

ENOVA SYSTEMS INC
Form 10-Q/A
December 29, 2006

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q/A**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2006

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Transition Period From _____ To _____

**Commission File No. 001-33001
ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

CALIFORNIA

95-3056150

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

(IRS employer identification number)

19850 South Magellan Drive Torrance, CA 90502

(Address of Principal Executive Offices and Zip Code)

(310) 527-2800

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated" in Rule 12b-2 of the Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of October 31, 2006, there were 14,800,000 shares of Common Stock, no par value, 2,674,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock, no par value, and 1,217,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock, no par value, outstanding.

EXPLANATORY NOTE: The Company initially filed its Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 on November 13, 2006. As reported in a Form 8-K filed November 29, 2006, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, Windes & McClaughry Accountancy Corporation ("Windes"), notified the Company that the Form 10-Q was filed without Windes's express authorization. The Company subsequently obtained authorization from Windes to refile this Form 10-Q as amended. The disclosure in this amended Form 10-Q now contains (a) an additional \$4,000 in net losses as reflected in the subsequent event Note 6 to the financial statements and (b) an updated and expanded discussion of factors the Company's principal executive and financial officers considered in reaching their conclusions as to the effectiveness of the Company's controls and procedures.

INDEX
 ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.

	Page No.
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements	3
<u>Balance Sheets:</u>	
<u>September 30, 2006 (unaudited) and December 31, 2005</u>	3
<u>Statements of Operations (unaudited):</u>	
<u>Three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005</u>	4
<u>Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited):</u>	
<u>Nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005</u>	5
<u>Notes to Financial Statements (unaudited):</u>	
<u>Three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005</u>	6
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of</u>	9
<u>Operations</u>	
Item 2. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	16
Item 3. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	16
Item 4. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	17
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	17
Item 1.A <u>Risk Factors</u>	17
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	21
Item 3. <u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>	21
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	21
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	21
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	21
<u>SIGNATURE</u>	21
<u>EXHIBIT 31.1</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 31.2</u>	
<u>EXHIBIT 32.1</u>	

CERTIFICATIONS

Enova Systems is a trademark of Enova Systems, Inc. All other brand names or trademarks appearing in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A are the property of their respective holders.

Table of Contents**ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.
BALANCE SHEETS**

	As of September 30, 2006 (unaudited)	As of December 31, 2005 (audited)
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,340,000	\$ 16,187,000
Short term investment	5,000,000	
Accounts receivable, net	183,000	2,173,000
Inventories and supplies, net	1,200,000	1,016,000
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	429,000	182,000
Total current assets	14,152,000	19,558,000
Property and equipment, net	680,000	576,000
Equity method investment	1,607,000	1,649,000
Other assets	102,000	190,000
Total assets	\$ 16,541,000	\$ 21,973,000
 LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 88,000	\$ 1,396,000
Accrued payroll and related expense	245,000	195,000
Other accrued expenses	551,000	302,000
Current portion of notes payable	67,000	42,000
Total current liabilities	951,000	1,935,000
Accrued interest payable	700,000	1,113,000
Notes payable, net of current portion	1,306,000	2,321,000
Total liabilities	\$ 2,957,000	\$ 5,369,000
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders equity (deficit)		
Series A convertible preferred stock no par value 30,000,000 shares authorized 2,674,000 and 2,674,000 shares issued and outstanding Liquidating preference at \$0.60 per share, aggregating \$1,604,000	\$ 1,679,000	\$ 1,679,000
Series B convertible preferred stock no par value 5,000,000 shares authorized 1,217,000 and 1,217,000 shares issued and outstanding Liquidating preference at \$2 per share, aggregating \$2,434,000	2,434,000	2,434,000
	109,422,000	109,323,000

Edgar Filing: ENOVA SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-Q/A

Common Stock, no par value 750,000,000 shares authorized,
14,800,000 and 14,783,000 shares issued and outstanding

Common stock subscribed	36,000	30,000
Stock notes receivable	(1,176,000)	(1,176,000)
Additional paid-in capital	6,942,000	6,900,000
Accumulated deficit	(105,753,000)	(102,586,000)
Total stockholders' equity	13,584,000	16,604,000
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 16,541,000	\$ 21,973,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

3

Table of Contents

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.
STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)
For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30,

	Nine Months		Three Months	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Net revenues				
Research and development contracts	\$ 439,000	\$ 1,160,000	\$ 19,000	\$ 455,000
Production	634,000	1,711,000	293,000	402,000
Total net revenues	1,073,000	2,871,000	312,000	857,000
Cost of revenues				
Research and development contracts	767,000	813,000	211,000	393,000
Production	1,228,000	1,509,000	409,000	336,000
Total cost of revenues	1,995,000	2,322,000	620,000	729,000
Gross profit (loss)	(922,000)	549,000	(308,000)	128,000
Operating expenses				
Research and development	929,000	592,000	297,000	197,000
Selling, general and administrative	3,113,000	1,924,000	1,154,000	765,000
Loss from operations	(4,964,000)	(1,967,000)	(1,759,000)	(834,000)
Other income and (expense)				
Interest and other income/expense, net	447,000	(83,000)	118,000	59,000
Equity in losses of equity method investee	(42,000)	(73,000)		(7,000)
Debt extinguishment	920,000			
Interest extinguishment	472,000			
Total other income (expense)	1,797,000	(156,000)	118,000	52,000
Net loss	\$ (3,167,000)	\$ (2,123,000)	\$ (1,641,000)	\$ (782,000)
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.06)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.06)
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding	14,800,000	10,628,000	14,800,000	13,373,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)
For the Nine Months Ended September 30,

	2006	2005
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net loss	\$ (3,167,000)	\$ (2,123,000)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities		
Debt extinguishment	(920,000)	
Interest extinguishment	(472,000)	
Depreciation and amortization	322,000	79,000
Equity in losses of equity method investee	42,000	73,000
Issuance of common stock for services	105,000	237,000
Equity compensation expense	42,000	
(Increase) decrease in:		
Accounts receivable	1,990,000	(357,000)
Inventory and supplies	(184,000)	(235,000)
Prepaid expenses and other current asset	(247,000)	(36,000)
Increase (decrease) in:		
Accounts payable	(1,308,000)	511,000
Accrued payroll and related expenses	50,000	(22,000)
Other accrued expenses	249,000	(228,000)
Accrued interest payable	59,000	231,000
Net cash used in operating activities	(3,439,000)	(1,870,000)
Cash flows from investing activities		
Purchase of short term investment	\$ (5,000,000)	\$
Purchases of property and equipment	(243,000)	(155,000)
Net cash used in investing activities	(5,243,000)	(155,000)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Net payments on line of credit	\$	\$ (229,000)
Payment on notes payable and capital lease obligations		(17,000)
Net proceeds from sale of common stock		18,361,000
Payment to extinguish debt	(165,000)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(165,000)	18,115,000
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(8,847,000)	16,090,000
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	16,187,000	1,575,000
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 7,340,000	\$ 17,665,000
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information		
Interest paid	\$	\$ 5,000
Income taxes paid	\$	\$

Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities

Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	\$	\$	40,000
--	----	----	--------

Software acquired through financing agreement	\$	95,000	\$
--	----	--------	----

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

5

Table of Contents

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

NOTE 1 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited financial statements have been prepared from the records of our company without audit and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q/A and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not contain all the information and notes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position at September 30, 2006 and the interim results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 have been included. The balance sheet at December 31, 2005, presented herein, has been prepared from the audited financial statements of our company for the year then ended.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions affecting the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. The September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 inventories are reported at the lower of cost or market value. Inventories have been valued on the basis that they would be used, converted and sold in the normal course of business. The amounts estimated for the above, in addition to other estimates not specifically addressed, could differ from actual results; and the difference could have a significant impact on the financial statements.

Accounting policies followed by us are described in Note 1 to the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted for purposes of the interim financial statements. The financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements, including the notes thereto, for the year ended December 31, 2005, which are included in our Form 10-K Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Basic earnings (loss) per common share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares and dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. Dilutive potential common shares consist of employee stock options and preferred stock conversions.

The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Short Term Investments

Short term investments consist of highly liquid instruments with original maturities greater than three months and current maturities less than twelve months from the balance sheet date.

Stock Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123(R)), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors, including stock options related to the Company's Employee Stock Option Plan (the Employee Option Plan) based on their fair values. SFAS 123(R) supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB 25), which the Company previously followed in accounting for stock-based awards. In March 2005, the SEC issued *Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107* (SAB 107) to provide guidance on SFAS 123(R). The Company has applied SAB 107 in its adoption of SFAS 123(R).

The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006. In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, the Company's financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS 123(R).

Share-based compensation expense

6

Table of Contents

recognized is based on the value of the portion of share-based payment awards that is ultimately expected to vest. Share-based compensation expense recognized in the Company's Statement of Operations during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 includes compensation expense for share-based payment awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of, December 31, 2005 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the pro forma provisions of SFAS 123.

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model for estimating the fair value of options granted. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable. In addition, option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the expected stock price volatility. The Company uses projected volatility rates, which are based upon historical volatility rates, trended into future years. Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options, and because changes in the subjective input assumptions can materially affect the fair value estimate, in management's opinion, the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of the Company's options. For purposes of pro forma disclosures, the estimated fair values of the options are amortized over the options' vesting periods.

Stock Option Program Description

As of September 30, 2006, the Company had one stock option plan: the 1996 Stock Option Plan (the 1996 Plan). Stock option grants are designed to reward employees and executives for their long-term contributions to the Company and provide incentives for them to remain with the Company. The number and frequency of stock option grants are based on competitive practices, operating results of the Company, and government regulations.

The maximum number of shares issuable over the term of the 1996 Plan is limited to 65 million shares. Options granted under the 1996 Plan typically have an exercise price of 100% of the fair market value of the underlying stock on the grant date and expire no later than ten years from the grant date.

Options issued to executives will vest based on the Company achieving certain revenue milestones for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2006. If such milestones are not met, the options with respect to those milestones will terminate. Options issued to employees will vest in equal installments over 36 months. All of the granted options will remain in effect for a period of 10 years or until 90 days after the employment of the optionee terminates.

Diluted shares outstanding include the dilutive effect of in-the-money options. As of September 30, 2006, and on December 31, 2005, the Company did not have any in-the-money options, and therefore, there was no dilutive effect relating to stock options outstanding on the 1996 plan.

Current quarter ended September 30, 2006

In conjunction with the adoption of SFAS 123(R), the Company elected to attribute the value of share-based compensation to expense using the straight-line method, which was previously used for its pro forma information required under SFAS 123. Share-based compensation expense related to stock options was \$14,000 and \$41,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and was recorded in the financial statements as a component of selling, general and administrative expense.

Share-based compensation expense reduced the Company's results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	\$ 14,000	\$ 23,000
Income from continuing operations after income taxes	\$ 14,000	\$ 23,000
Cash flows from operations	\$ 14,000	\$ 23,000
Cash flows from financing activities	\$	\$
Basic and Diluted EPS	\$	\$

During the quarter ended September, 2006, the Company did not grant any stock options.

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2006, the total compensation cost related to non-vested awards not yet recognized is \$110,000. The weighted average period over which the future compensation cost is expected to be recognized is 24 months. The aggregate intrinsic value of total awards outstanding is zero.

Stock-based compensation expense recognized during the period is based on the value of the portion of share-based payment awards that is ultimately expected to vest during the period. Stock-based compensation expense recognized in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 included compensation expense for share-based payment awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of December 31, 2005 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the pro forma provisions of SFAS 123 and compensation expense for the share-based payment awards granted subsequent to December 31, 2005 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R). As stock-based compensation expense recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the first nine months of fiscal 2006 has been based on awards ultimately expected to vest, it has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. SFAS 123(R) requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. For the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006, the Company applied estimated average forfeiture rates of approximately 28.8% for non-officer grants and 61.6% for officer and senior management grants, based on historical forfeiture experience. The estimated pricing term of option grants for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 was 5.0 years for non-officer grants. Officer and senior management grants are vested based on revenue milestones. Under the current grant, milestones were set for the 2005 and 2006 fiscal years. Options granted for the 2006 fiscal year are not expected to vest and have been included in the forfeiture rate calculation. In the Company's pro forma information required under SFAS 123 for the periods prior to fiscal 2006, the Company accounted for forfeitures as they occurred.

SFAS 123(R) requires the cash flows resulting from the tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options to be classified as financing cash flows. Due to the Company's loss position, there were no such tax benefits during the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R), those benefits would have been reported as operating cash flows had the Company received any tax benefits related to stock option exercises.

The fair value of stock-based awards to officers and employees is calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, even though this model was developed to estimate the fair value of freely tradable, fully transferable options without vesting restrictions, which differ significantly from the Company's stock options. The Black-Scholes model also requires subjective assumptions, including future stock price volatility and expected time to exercise, which greatly affect the calculated values. The expected term of options granted is derived from historical data on employee exercises and post-vesting employment termination behavior. The risk-free rate selected to value any particular grant is based on the bond equivalent yields that corresponds to the pricing term of the grant effective as of the date of the grant. The expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock price. These factors could change in the future, affecting the determination of stock-based compensation expense in future periods.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006,
Dividend Yield	0.0%
Expected Volatility	57.4%
Risk-Free Interest Rate	4.0%
Forfeiture Rate, officer and senior management grants	61.6%
Forfeiture Rate, non-officer grants	28.8%
Estimated Life	5 Years

General Option Information

A summary of option activity follows:

	1996 Plan	
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding, December 31, 2004	164,000	\$5.40
Granted	310,000	\$4.35
Exercised		
Forfeited	(38,000)	\$7.64
Outstanding December 31, 2005	436,000	\$4.46
Granted	46,000	\$4.60
Exercised		
Forfeited	(152,000)	\$4.60
Outstanding September 30, 2006	330,000	\$4.42
Vested and Expected to Vest	236,000	\$4.35
Exercisable, September 30, 2006	168,000	\$4.99

The weighted-average remaining contractual life of the options outstanding at September 30, 2006 was 7.2 years. The exercise prices of the options outstanding at September 30, 2006 ranged from \$4.35 to \$8.10. The weighted-average remaining contractual life of the options outstanding at December 31, 2005 was 7 years. The exercise prices of the options outstanding at December 31, 2005 also ranged from \$4.35 to \$8.10. Options exercisable were 168,000 and 255,000, at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

Valuation and Expense Information under SFAS 123

Prior to the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R) Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Revised (SFAS 123(R)), at March 31, 2006, the Company would not have recognized compensation expense for employee share-based awards, when the price of such awards equaled the market price of the underlying stock on the date of the grant. The Company previously had adopted the provisions of Statement of SFAS 123 as amended by SFAS 148, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation, Transition and Disclosure (SFAS 148) through disclosure only. The Company did not have any share based awards for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, and consequently, there is no pro forma effect of share based awards for the first three quarters of 2005.

Reclassification

Certain amounts in prior-quarter financial statements have been reclassified for comparative purposes to conform with current quarter presentation.

NOTE 2 Property and Equipment

Table of Contents

During the third quarter, the Company reduced the estimated useful life of one of the demonstration vehicles from five years to three years. The change in estimated life was made based on the expected usage of the vehicle. As a result of this change, depreciation expense increased by \$39,000 during the three month period ended September 30, 2006. The effect of this change is immaterial to the financial statement in the current period and on a prospective basis.

NOTE 3 Notes Payable, Long-Term Debt and Other Financing

Notes payable and long-term debt is comprised of the following:

	Sep 30 2006 (unaudited)	Dec 31 2005 (audited)
Secured note payable to Credit Managers Association of California, bearing interest at prime plus 3% per annum in 2005 and through maturity. The prime rate resets once a year at April 30. At September 30, 2006, interest was being accrued at a rate of 10.75%. Principal and unpaid interest due in April 2016.	1,238,000	2,321,000
Secured note payable to Coca Cola Enterprises in the original amount of \$40,000, bearing interest at 5% per annum. Principal and unpaid interest due now.	40,000	40,000
Secured note payable to a financial institution in the original amount of \$33,000, bearing interest at 8% per annum, payable in 36 equal monthly installments.		2,000
Secured note payable to a financing company in the original amount of \$95,000, bearing interest at 6.21% per annum, payable in 39 equal monthly installments.	95,000	
	1,373,000	2,363,000
Less current maturities	67,000	42,000
Long-term portion	\$ 1,306,000	\$ 2,321,000

NOTE 4 Stockholders Equity

During the three months ended September 30, 2006, the Company recorded 6,870 shares of restricted common stock as common stock subscribed, valued at \$36,000, to the Board of Directors at an average price of \$5.24 per share for board meetings and committee meetings during the third quarter of 2006.

In the third quarter of 2006, and in accordance with the Directors Compensation Plan, the Company issued 8,220 shares of restricted common stock, valued at \$30,000, to the Board of Directors as the share based portion of their compensation.

In the third quarter of 2006, the company issued 2,532 shares of restricted common stock, valued at \$10,000, to an employee as a sign-on bonus.

NOTE 5 Related Party Transactions

During the three months ended September 30, 2006, the Company purchased approximately \$146,000 in components, materials, and services from Hyundai Heavy Industries (HHI), a joint venture party. The Company had \$67,500 of outstanding payable balances owed to HHI on September 30, 2006.

NOTE 6 Subsequent Events

As reported in the Company's Form 8-K filed November 29, 2006, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, Windes & McClaughry Accountancy Corporation, notified the Company that the initial Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 as filed November 13, 2006 was filed without Windes's express authorization. The Company subsequently obtained authorization from Windes to refile this Form 10-Q as amended.

The Company has amended its original Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, filed on November 13, 2006 to properly state revenue and expenses on certain invoiced purchase orders. The Company originally recorded revenue and the related expenses for these purchase orders, however, after further analysis of the facts it was determined that the revenue recorded relating to these purchase orders did not meet the requirements as earned revenue. As a result, the Company has amended this Form 10-Q to reverse the revenue of \$112,000 and related costs of \$108,000. The effects to the income statement were to reduce production revenue by \$112,000, reduce production labor by \$21,000 and reduce outside services by \$87,000. This resulted in a net increase in the Company's operating loss for the quarter of \$4,000. The effects to the balance sheet were to reduce accounts receivable by \$112,000, increase inventory by \$101,000, increase prepaid expenses by \$7,000 and increase the accumulated deficit by \$4,000.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING STATEMENTS OF EXPECTED FUTURE PERFORMANCE

Certain statements in this Form 10-Q under Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). In addition, the Company may from time to time make oral forward-looking statements. Words such as may, will, should, could, expect, plan, anticipate, estimate, predict, potential or contribute or similar words are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these words. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance, or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among others, the

Table of Contents

following: general economic and business conditions; the impact of competitive products and pricing; success of operating initiatives; development and operating costs; advertising and promotional efforts; adverse publicity; acceptance of new product offerings; consumer trial and frequency; changes in business strategy or development plans; availability, terms and deployment of capital; availability of qualified personnel; commodity, labor, and employee benefit costs; changes in, or failure to comply with, government regulations; weather conditions; construction schedules; and other factors referenced in this Form 10-Q and in Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. Because of these and other factors that may affect the Company's operating results, past financial performance should not be considered an indicator of future performance, and investors should not use historical trends to anticipate results or trends in future periods. The Company expressly disclaims any intent or obligation to publicly release the results of any revisions to these forward-looking statements that may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Section 404 Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Based on current guidance for the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 will be effective for the Company's fiscal year ending December 31, 2007. In order to comply with the Act, the Company is in the process of centralizing most accounting and many administrative functions at its corporate headquarters in an effort to control the cost of maintaining its control systems. Current proposals being considered at the SEC may exempt the Company from the final requirements of Section 404 and as a result, the Company has delayed extensive and costly documentation and testing of its internal controls pending further guidance from the SEC. Should these requirements be imposed on the Company, management will likely incur substantial additional expenses and diversion of management's time in the remaining periods of fiscal year 2006 to comply with Section 404. During the course of these activities, the Company may identify certain internal control issues which management believes should be improved. These improvements, if necessary, will likely include further formalization of policies and procedures, improved segregation of duties, additional information technology system controls and additional monitoring controls. Although management does not believe that any of these matters will result in material weaknesses being identified in the Company's internal controls as defined by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, no assurances can be given regarding the outcome of these efforts. Additionally, control weaknesses may not be identified in a timely enough manner to allow remediation prior to the issuance of the auditor's report on internal controls over financial reporting. Any failure to adequately comply could result in sanctions or investigations by regulatory authorities, which could harm the Company's business or investors' confidence in the Company.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company is not engaged in any off-balance sheet arrangements within the meaning of Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of Regulation S-K.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

In the ordinary course of business, the Company has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of results of operations and financial condition in the preparation of its financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates under different assumptions and conditions. The Company believes that the following discussion addresses the Company's most critical accounting policies, which are those that are most important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results of operations. The Company constantly re-evaluates these significant factors and makes adjustments where facts and circumstances dictate. Historically, actual results have not significantly deviated from those determined using the necessary estimates inherent in the preparation of financial statements. Estimates and assumptions include, but are not limited to, customer receivables, inventories, equity investments, fixed asset lives, contingencies and litigation. The Company has also chosen certain accounting policies when options were available, including:

Cash and Short Term Investments

We seek to maximize interest return on our cash reserves while minimizing risk. Therefore, we purchased two certificates of deposit, in the amount of \$5,000,000 each, in the first quarter of 2006, and both from a single financial

establishment. The first certificate was purchased at the end of January, had a term of 6 months, and earned an annual percentage rate of 5%. This certificate matured and the funds were transferred to a new financial institution and invested in triple A rated securities with maturities less than 90 days. Interest rates on these securities average 5.28%. The second certificate was purchased at the beginning of February, has a one year term, and earns an interest rate of 5.25%. Cash and investments held at financial institutions exceed the federal insured limit. Cash and short term investments represent 75% of our total assets at September 30, 2006.

Table of Contents

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. The assessment of the ultimate realization of accounts receivable including the current credit-worthiness of each customer is subject to a considerable degree to the judgment of our management. If the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required.

Inventory

Inventories are priced at the lower of cost or market utilizing first-in, first-out (FIFO) cost flow assumption. We maintain a perpetual inventory system and continuously record the quantity on-hand and standard cost for each product, including purchased components, subassemblies and finished goods. We maintain the integrity of perpetual inventory records through periodic physical counts of quantities on hand. Finished goods are reported as inventories until the point of transfer to the customer. Generally, title transfer is documented in the terms of sale.

We maintain an allowance against inventory for the potential future obsolescence or excess inventory that is based on our estimate of future sales. A substantial decrease in expected demand for our products, or decreases in our selling prices could lead to excess or overvalued inventories and could require us to substantially increase our allowance for excess inventory. If future customer demand or market conditions are less favorable than our projections, additional inventory write-downs may be required, and would be reflected in cost of revenues in the period the revision is made.

Warranty

We accrue for warranty and claim costs based upon our estimate of future exposure. Factors such as limited historical experience are considered in our estimate. In addition, the nature of the product, the limited number of units produced and the research and development nature of the product may increase the risk of warranty obligation. Actual warranty and claims costs are deducted from the accrual when incurred.

Stock-Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123(R)), which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to our employees and directors, including stock options related to our Employee Stock Option Plan (the Employee Stock Option Plan) based on their fair values. SFAS 123(R) supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* (APB 25), which we previously followed in accounting for stock-based awards. In March 2005, the SEC issued *Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107* (SAB 107) to provide guidance on SFAS 123(R). We have applied SAB 107 in its adoption of SFAS 123(R).

We adopted SFAS 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2006. In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, our financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS 123(R). Share-based compensation expense recognized is based on the value of the portion of share-based payment awards that is ultimately expected to vest. Share-based compensation expense recognized in our Statement of Operations during the three months ended September 30, 2006 includes compensation expense for share-based payment awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of, December 31, 2005 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the pro forma provisions of SFAS 123. We use share-based compensation to compensate certain executives and employees. If we issue stock related to this compensation, it could have a dilutive effect on our earnings per share. In addition, factors such as interest rates, the value of our stock and its volatility can significantly impact the compensation expense.

Contract Services Revenue and Cost Recognition

We are required to make judgments based on historical experience and future expectations, as to the reliability of shipments made to its customers. These judgments are required to assess the propriety of the recognition of revenue based on Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 101 and 104, Revenue Recognition, and related guidance. We make these assessments based on the following factors: i) customer-specific information, ii) return policies, and iii) historical experience for issues not yet identified. Under FAS Concepts No. 5, revenues are not recognized until earned.

Table of Contents

We manufacture proprietary products and other products based on design specifications provided by our customers. Revenue from sales of products are generally recognized at the time title to the goods and the benefits and risks of ownership passes to the customer which is typically when products are shipped based on the terms of the customer purchase agreement. Revenue relating to long-term fixed price contracts is recognized using the percentage of completion method. Under the percentage of completion method, contract revenues and related costs are recognized based on the percentage that costs incurred to date bear to total estimated costs. Changes in job performance, estimated profitability and final contract settlements may result in revisions to cost and revenue, and are recognized in the period in which the revisions are determined. Contract costs include all direct materials, subcontract and labor costs and other indirect costs. General and administrative costs are charged to expense as incurred. At the time a loss on a contract becomes known, the entire amount of the estimated loss is accrued. The aggregate of costs incurred and estimated earnings recognized on uncompleted contracts in excess of related billings is shown as a current asset, and billings on uncompleted contracts in excess of costs incurred and estimated earnings is shown as a current liability. These accounting policies were applied consistently for all periods presented. Our operating results would be affected if other alternatives were used.

GENERAL

We believe we are an early stage production company in an emerging industry and a leading developer of proprietary electric, hybrid and fuel cell digital power management systems. Power management systems control and monitor electric power in an automotive or commercial application such as an automobile or a stand-alone power generator. Drive systems are comprised of an electric motor, an electronics control unit and a gear unit which power an electric vehicle. Hybrid systems, which are similar to pure electric drive systems, contain an internal combustion engine in addition to the electric motor, eliminating external recharging of the battery system. Our HybridPower hybrid electric drive system provides all the functionality of an internal combustion engine powered vehicle. The HybridPower system consists of an enhanced electric motor and the electronic controls that regulate the flow of electricity to and from the batteries at various voltages and power to propel the vehicle. In addition to the motor and controller, the system may include a gear reduction/differential unit which ensures the desired propulsion and performance. The system is designed to be installed as a drop in, fully integrated turnkey fashion. Regardless of power source (battery, fuel cell, diesel generator or turbine) the HybridPower electric motor is designed to meet the customer's drive cycle requirements.

Our light/medium-duty drive systems include:

30kW, 60kW, 90kW all-electric drives

90kW series-hybrid drive

any combination of these systems based on customer requirements.

Our heavy-duty electric drive systems include:

120kW all-electric drive

120/60kW peak series hybrid system

240/60kW peak series hybrid system

90kW peak mild, pre-transmission parallel hybrid system

100kW peak post-transmission parallel hybrid systems

100kW peak pre-transmission parallel hybrid system.

Our drive systems, in conjunction with, internal combustion engines, microturbines, fuel cells, flywheels, and generator sets provide state of the art hybrid-electric propulsion systems. Hybrid vehicles are those that utilize an electric motor and batteries in conjunction with an internal combustion engine (ICE), whether piston or turbine. With

a hybrid system, a small piston or turbine engine fueled by gasoline or diesel, CNG, methane, etc., in a tank supplements the electric motor and battery. These systems are self-charging, in

Table of Contents

that the operating ICE recharges the battery.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2006, we continued to develop and/or produce electric and hybrid electric drive systems and components for such customers as First Auto Works of China, Ford Motor Company (Ford), Hyundai Motor Car (Hyundai), the U.S. Military, International Truck and Engine (IC Corp.), Wrightbus Ltd. (Wrightbus), Tomoe of Japan and several other domestic and international vehicle and bus manufacturers. We also continue to advance its technologies and products for greater market penetration for 2006, and beyond. In addition, we continue to develop independently and in conjunction with the Hyundai-Enova Innovative Technology Center (ITC) progress on several fronts to produce commercially available heavy-duty, series and parallel hybrid drive systems.

We continue to support IC Corp. in their efforts to maximize exposure in the Hybrid School Bus Market. We have been involved in large shows in Albany, NY and Reno, NV, as well as smaller venues throughout the Midwest. The exposure via shows and direct interface will be aggressively pursued throughout the remainder of 2006, in an effort to promote IC Corp. 's production intent for Hybrid School Buses. IC Corp. claims to be the nation 's largest integrated school bus manufacturer with 60-65% of the school bus market share.

In July, IC Corp. announced it was ready to move to production on Hybrid School Buses. At the same time, IC Corp. announced that Enova would be their Hybrid drive system supplier. Also in July, Enova and IC Corp. were awarded a contract for nineteen Hybrid School Buses. These buses will be delivered to eleven states throughout the next three to six months. The award was based on a project coordinated by the Advanced Energy consortium and was the first major Hybrid School Bus award of its kind.

In August, Enova announced it had received a major initial contract from Phoenix Motorcars, to integrate ten Sport Utility Trucks (SUT) with their 90kW Electric Drive System. This award was Enova 's first award with a major North American Fleet operator.

In August, Enova announced that its common stock has been approved to be listed and will be available for trading on the American Stock Exchange (AMEX).

Wrightbus, one of the largest low-floor bus manufacturers in the United Kingdom, continues to purchase our diesel genset-powered, series hybrid drive systems for their medium and large bus applications. Our systems have been integrated into six of Wrightbus ' Hybrid Buses and were introduced into London 's public bus fleet in early February 2006. Although the buses were temporarily removed from service due to a non-hybrid related issue during this past quarter, we understand that these buses are back to operating on a seven day per week, eighteen hour per day schedule. We anticipate the results of this project will be increased Hybrid volumes in this arena.

Enova continues to implement the necessary processes and procedures to become ISO/QS certified in 2006. The certification will be valuable to optimize internal processes and efficiencies, as well as secure Enova 's position as a production supplier to major Original Equipment Manufacturers.

Ford Motor Company continues to evaluate our components in thirty Ford Focus Hydrogen Fuel Cell Vehicles being evaluated in three countries. According to Ford Motor Company communications, the vehicles have functioned satisfactorily, and they continue to evaluate markets for producing additional vehicles. In August, Enova announced that Ford Motor Company has ordered four (4) advanced design High Voltage Energy Converters (HVECs). This award confirms Ford 's continued interest in Enova 's technology. During the last quarter we announced that Hyundai was using its Hybrid Drive components to power a Fuel Cell Bus and two Hyundai Tucsons being featured at the World Cup Soccer tournament in Germany. Hyundai is an official sponsor of the World Cup Soccer tournament through 2014. At this year 's event, Hyundai had thirty two buses in use. One of the thirty two Hyundai Buses was hybrid powered by our 240kW Induction Motor and High Voltage Motor Control Unit. In addition to the bus, the Tucson 's contain our 80kW Induction Motor and Control Unit. We expect Hyundai to utilize more vehicles of this type in the future and expect to be their supplier in said vehicles. Our alliance with Hyundai has been critical to the development of hybrid systems such as the ones being used in the fuel cell bus and Tucson 's.

Throughout the quarter we hosted and visited numerous potential customers from the Pick Up and Delivery, Medium Duty and Heavy Duty markets. During the quarter we provided a large fleet operator a functional vehicle for evaluation. We expect this evaluation period to take several weeks, and expect results to be positive with a likelihood of future volume. Every effort is made to continue to mature these relationships, as we hope that they will eventually

lead to viable business relationships.

We also anticipate continuing our work with Tsinghua University of China, and their fuel cell bus development program. We believe

Table of Contents

that China intends to use hybrid-electric buses to shuttle athletes and guests at the 2008 Beijing Summer Olympics and the 2010 World's Expo in Shanghai and that it is seeking up to one thousand full-size hybrid-electric buses to support these global events. MTrans of Malaysia has integrated two of our standard HybridPower 120kW drive system into a hybrid 10-meter bus with a Capstone microturbine as its power source. This drive system is currently on demonstration in Hong Kong, PRC. Also, Hyundai continues to evaluate our converters in their fuel cell hybrid electric vehicles and we currently expect to deliver an additional sixteen units in 2006.

Research and Development Programs

We continue to pursue government and commercially sponsored development programs for both ground and marine heavy-duty drive system applications. In 2006, we continued the integration of a fuel cell powered step-van similar to the Hydrogenics program for the Hawaii Center for Advanced Transportation Technologies (HCATT) and the U.S. Air Force. We intend to establish new development programs with the Hawaii Center for Advanced Transportation Technologies in mobile and marine applications as well as other state and federal government agencies as funding becomes available.

Our development contract with EDO Corporation of New York for the design and fabrication of a high voltage DC-DC power conversion system utilizing a Capstone microturbine as the primary power source for the U.S. Navy unmanned minesweeper project also continues to progress during 2006. The electronics package will include our advanced power components including a new, enhanced 50V, 700A DC-DC power converter, our Battery Care Unit and Hybrid Control Unit which will power the minesweeper's electromagnetic detection system. Our power management and conversion system will be used to provide on-board power to other accessories on the platform.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

We have experienced losses primarily attributable to research, development, marketing and other costs associated with our strategic plan as an international developer and supplier of electric propulsion and power management systems and components. Cash flows from operations have not been sufficient to meet our obligations. Therefore, we have had to raise funds through several financing transactions. At least until we reach breakeven volume in sales and develop and/or acquire the capability to manufacture and sell our products profitably, we will need to continue to rely on cash from external financing sources.

Our operations during the period ended September 30, 2006 and the year ended December 31, 2005 were financed by development contracts and product sales, as well as from working capital reserves.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, our operations required \$3,439,000 more in cash than was generated. We continue to increase marketing and development spending as well as administrative expenses necessary for expansion to meet customer demand. Accounts receivable at September 30, 2006 decreased by \$1,990,000, from \$2,173,000, or approximately 92% from the balance at December 31, 2005 (net of reserves). The decrease was primarily due to collections made on outstanding receivables from Tomoe Manufacturing in the first and third quarter of 2006.

Inventory balances increased by \$184,000 from \$1,016,000 to \$1,200,000 when comparing the period end balances at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005. During the fourth quarter of 2005, we completed several large development contracts, including the Tomoe project. Conversely, in the first nine months of 2006, we were focused more on internal research and development as well as sales and marketing activities. As such, inventory did not experience significant turnover when comparing quarter on quarter.

Prepaid expenses and other current assets increased by \$247,000 net of amortization at September 30, 2006 from the December 31, 2005 balance of \$182,000, or 137%. The increase is attributable to interest receivable on one certificate of deposit and cash held in a short term, cash equivalent, investment account.

Fixed assets increased by \$104,000, net of depreciation and write-offs at September 30, 2006 from the December 31, 2005 balance of \$576,000. In the first nine months of 2006, we purchased \$338,000 in new property and equipment. We recognized \$234,000 of depreciation expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Equity method investments decreased by \$42,000 in the first nine months of 2006 from \$1,649,000 at December 31, 2005, which reflects our pro-rata share of losses attributable to our forty percent investment interest in the Hyundai-Enova Innovative Technology Center (ITC). For the first nine months of 2006, the ITC generated a net loss of approximately \$105,000 resulting in a charge to us of \$42,000 utilizing the equity method of accounting for our

interest in the ITC.

Table of Contents

Other assets decreased by \$88,000 when comparing the September 30, 2006 balance of \$102,000 with the December 31, 2005 balance of \$190,000. The decrease is occurring as a result of amortization of the Ford Value Participation Agreement and our other intellectual property assets.

Accounts payable at September 30, 2006 decreased by \$1,308,000, to \$88,000 from \$1,396,000 at December 31, 2005. During the fourth quarter of 2005, the Company completed several large development contracts, including the Tomoe project. Conversely, in the first nine months of 2006, we were focused more on internal research and development as well as sales and marketing activities. As such, the Company did not incur significant trade payables resulting from inventory purchases. Meanwhile, we continued to pay our trade payables in the due course.

Accrued payroll at September 30, 2006 increased by \$50,000, to \$245,000 from \$195,000 at December 31, 2005. This is a result of open positions in the fourth quarter of 2005, as well as an increase in the total number of personnel at September 30, 2006.

Other accrued expenses increased by \$249,000 when comparing the balance at September 30, 2006 from the balance of \$302,000 at December 31, 2005. This fluctuation is primarily due to un-invoiced out-sourced production services and internal project services at September 30, 2006.

Accrued interest decreased by \$413,000 for the first nine months of 2006, a decrease of 37% from the balance of \$1,113,000 at December 31, 2005. The decrease was due to the settlement of certain components of interest payable on the Note due the Credit Managers Association of California, combined with interest accrued on the remaining balance of notes payable.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 were \$1,073,000 as compared to \$2,871,000 for the corresponding period in 2005. Net revenues were \$312,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to \$857,000 for the same period in 2005. Net production sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 decreased to \$634,000 from \$1,711,000 in the same period in 2005. Net production sales for the three months ended September 30, 2006 decreased to \$293,000 from \$402,000 for the corresponding period in 2005. Research and development revenues decreased to \$439,000 in the first nine months of 2006, from \$1,160,000 during the same period in 2005. For the three months ending September 30, 2006, research and development revenues decreased to \$19,000 from \$455,000 for the same period in 2005. In the first quarter and nine months of 2006, our revenues derived mostly from production type contracts with Hyundai Motor Corporation and the State of Hawaii. The decrease in revenues in the first nine months of 2006 for the corresponding period of 2005 was a result of contracts that were completed or near completion in 2005. During the first nine months of 2006, we focused on establishing new customer relationships and submitting proposals. As a result, we have been awarded contracts with, but have not yet recognized revenues from, IC Corp. for nineteen school buses, Phoenix Motor Car for ten sport utility trucks and Ford Motor Company for four High Voltage Energy Converters (HVEC). We have additional proposals with other potential customers who have not yet made final decisions.

Cost of revenues consists of component and material costs, direct labor costs, integration costs and overhead related to manufacturing our products. Product development costs incurred in the performance of engineering development contracts for the U.S. Government and private companies are charged to cost of sales for this contract revenue. Cost of revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 decreased \$109,000 and \$327,000, or 15% and 14% respectively from \$729,000 and \$2,322,000 respectively, for the same period in 2005. As a percentage, cost of revenues did not decrease in the same proportion as sales when comparing the three and nine months ended 2006 to the equivalent period in 2005. This is primarily attributable to continued product development initiatives. We anticipate there may be an increase in cost of sales for products due to potential weakening of the U.S. dollar. While we do not transact in foreign currencies, our suppliers are overseas and may raise our input prices in response to a weaker value of our functional currency, which is the U.S. Dollar.

Internal research, development and engineering expenses increased in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 to \$297,000 and \$929,000 as compared with \$197,000 and \$592,000 respectively, for the same period in 2005. We continue to develop several new products such as our post transmission parallel hybrid drive system and enhancements to our diesel generator set which account for a majority of the increase during the first quarter and nine months of 2006 when compared to the same period in 2005. We continue to allocate increased resources to the

development of our parallel hybrid drive systems, upgraded proprietary control software, enhanced DC-DC converters and advanced digital inverters and other power management firmware.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$1,189,000 to \$3,113,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from the previous year's comparable reporting period. For the three months ended September 30, 2006, selling, general and

Table of Contents

administrative expenses increased by \$389,000 to \$1,154,000 when comparing with the three months ended September 30, 2005. The increase during the first nine months and quarter of 2006 when compared to the same periods in 2005 is attributable to additional costs associated with marketing, engineering and technical staff. We also have experienced increased expenditures related to stricter regulatory oversight in conjunction with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. We have incurred additional cost in our efforts to remediate certain material weaknesses, as described in Item 4 Controls and Procedures. Furthermore, we are in the process of upgrading our accounting and reporting software, thus further contributing to the general and administrative cost increase. Management believes that as we move toward sustainable production, our cost structure will become more efficient.

Interest income/expense increased by \$59,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006, up from the same period in 2005, and now shown net as interest income. The increase in the three months ended September 30, 2006 is illustrated as the net effect of interest income earned on cash and certificates of deposit, and regular interest expense recognized on the remaining debt outstanding. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, interest income/expense increased by \$530,000, primarily related to the settlement of portions of our note payable to the Credit Managers Association of California.

We incurred net losses of \$1,641,000 and \$3,167,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to a loss of \$782,000 and \$2,123,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. The increase in net loss for the three and nine months ended 2006 is a result of increased operational costs associated with our expanding product and marketing initiatives.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

None.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.**

In accordance with Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), an evaluation was carried out by our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q/A for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. As a result of that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls were not effective.

As of the quarter ended September 30, 2006, we have identified and isolated an internal control deficiency associated with our disclosure controls. We identified a clerical error in the preparation of the first quarter 2006 financial statements that resulted in a misclassification of certain line items within the total revenue and total cost of revenue. The total revenue, total cost of revenue, gross profit and net loss were not affected by the misclassification. Once we identified this deficiency we reviewed our procedures and modified them to include additional reviews of the financial statements, disclosures, and related regulatory filings. This includes formal reviews by directors, audit committee members, executives, and accounting managers. Our remediation efforts in connection with our material weakness related to separation of duties identified this issue, and will continue to resolving this deficiency. We subscribe to several publications and services that assist management in remaining up to date on all recent disclosure guidance. Furthermore, we expect to achieve additional disclosure controls assurance upon the successful implementation of a new accounting and reporting system, which is scheduled for the fourth quarter of 2006. We believe that these remediation efforts will be successful. We will soon begin testing to determine that these controls are effective and the associated control weaknesses have been remediated.

In addition, as reported in the Explanatory Note to this Form 10-Q amendment and in a Form 8-K filed November 29, 2006, the company's independent auditor Windes & McLaughry Accountancy Corporation notified the company that the initial Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 as filed November 13, 2006 was filed without Windes's express authorization. Although the company believed it was authorized to file the Form 10-Q at the time, the notification by the company's independent auditor signifies to the company's principal officers that the company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q. The company subsequently obtained authorization from Windes to refile this Form 10-Q as amended. Going forward, the company will strive to ensure all appropriate authorizations are received prior to making any public filings. To this

end, each of the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and the Chairman of the Audit Committee will document in writing that written auditor authorization has been delivered to the Company prior to filing any periodic report, current report, or registration statement that requires auditor consent or review.

Further, in connection with resolving the Form 10-Q filing issue addressed in the prior paragraph and as part of their disclosure controls and procedures evaluation, the Company's principal officers also have factored in specific concerns regarding revenue recognition and general concerns regarding documentation.

First, as reflected in Note 6 to the financial statements elsewhere in this Form 10-Q/A, the Company initially recorded revenue for certain invoiced purchase orders that upon further reflection had not been properly earned yet as revenue pursuant to relevant accounting literature. Accordingly, in this Form 10-Q/A, the Company has reversed out the associated components of revenue and related costs resulting in a net increase in operating loss of \$4,000.

Notwithstanding the amount involved, any correction to its financial statements impacts the integrity of the Company's overall controls and procedures. The Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Audit Committee Chairman have actively discussed this matter and are committed to reaching the correct application of relevant accounting standards. To this end, as noted in changes in internal controls over financial reporting below, the Company has retained Jarrett Fenton, CPA, as a special consultant to assist in reviewing and documenting the Company's accounting memoranda.

Second, in at least one instance, the Company was unable to produce original documentation to support an accounting treatment that nonetheless was appropriate. An inability to properly obtain supporting documentation impacts the integrity of the Company's overall controls and procedures. The Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Audit Committee Chairman have actively discussed this matter and are committed to ensuring all necessary and appropriate documentation is obtained, organized, and readily available. The Company believes that the recent hiring of a new Chief Financial Officer has enabled it to take steps to improve its documentation process. However, the Chief Executive Officer and the audit committee recognize that more improvements would be beneficial to the Company. Therefore, as noted in the changes in internal controls over financial reporting below, the Company has retained special consultant Jarrett Fenton to, in conjunction with the Chief Financial Officer and with the involvement as appropriate of the Chief Executive Officer and Audit Committee, review and further improve the Company's documentation controls and procedures.

Table of Contents

Changes in internal controls over financial reporting.

We previously reported in Item 9A- Controls and Procedures in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 and in Risk Factors included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A a material weakness in internal control over inventory pricing, tracking, and the reserve analysis. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we have conducted a detailed risk analysis of the inventory cycle, and identified the specific process deficiencies that are contributing to the control weaknesses. We have adopted formalized policies and procedures surrounding the inventory deficiencies. This includes detailed inventory and pricing review, formalized cycle count and resolution procedures, and increased monitoring over the purchasing and inventory requisition processes. We believe that these remediation efforts will be successful. We will soon begin testing to determine that these controls are effective and the associated control weaknesses have been remediated.

In addition, we reported that we did not have adequate segregation of duties in relation to the accounting function. We have since established, by policy and action, separation of critical duties in the accounting and finance areas. We have hired a full time Chief Financial Officer and Controller and are considering additional personnel additions and reassignments to achieve an effective segregation of duties. We recognize to do this appropriately we must systematically evaluate and document all of our policies and procedures and that process is ongoing. We believe that these remediation efforts will be successful. We will soon begin testing to determine that these controls are effective and the associated control weaknesses have been remediated.

Based on the forgoing, we do not believe that these control weaknesses have contributed to a material financial statement error. Active remediation of these material weaknesses is well in process, and we will soon begin testing in order to conclude that these controls are effective and the associated control weaknesses have been remediated.

Further, subsequent to the filing of the initial Form 10-Q, the company has retained Jarett Fenton, CPA. Mr. Fenton will review and document the company's accounting memoranda, assess the company's preparation for Section 404 compliance, and perform other documentation roles as requested. He will report to the audit committee and work under its direction.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We may from time to time become a party to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. As of November 8, 2006, we were not involved in any material legal proceedings.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A contains forward looking statements concerning our existing and future products, markets, expenses, revenues, liquidity, performance and cash needs as well as our plans and strategies. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties and are based on current management's expectations and we are not obligated to update this information. Many factors could cause actual results and events to differ significantly from the results anticipated by us and described in these forward looking statements including, but not limited to, the following risk factors. You should carefully consider these risk factors as each of these risks could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition. In those cases, the trading of our common stock could decline and you may lose all or a part of your investment.

We may not be able to utilize our net losses to offset our future profits, if any.

We have experienced recurring losses from operations and had an accumulated deficit of \$105,753,000 at September 30, 2006. There is no assurance, however, that any net operating losses will be available to us in the future as an offset against future profits, if any, for income tax purposes.

The nature of our industry is dependent on technological advancement and highly competitive.

The mobile and stationary power markets, including electric vehicle and hybrid electric vehicles, continue to be subject to rapid technological change. Most of the major domestic and foreign automobile manufacturers: (1) have already produced electric and hybrid vehicles, and/or (2) have developed improved electric storage, propulsion and control systems, and/or (3) are now entering or have entered into production, while continuing to improve technology or incorporate newer technology. Various companies are also

Table of Contents

developing improved electric storage, propulsion and control systems. In addition, the stationary power market is still in its infancy. A number of established energy companies are developing new technologies. Cost-effective methods to reduce price per kilowatt have yet to be established and the stationary power market is not yet viable.

Our current products are designed for use with, and are dependent upon, existing technology. As technologies change, subject to our limited available resources, we plan to upgrade or adapt our products in order to continue to provide products with the latest technology. We cannot assure you, however, that we will be able to avoid technological obsolescence, that the market for our products will not ultimately be dominated by technologies other than ours, or that we will be able to adapt to changes in or create leading edge technology. In addition, further proprietary technological development by others could prohibit us from using our own technology.

Our industry is affected by political and legislative changes.

In recent years there has been significant public pressure to enact legislation in the United States and abroad to reduce or eliminate automobile pollution. Although states such as California have enacted such legislation, we cannot assure you that there will not be further legislation enacted changing current requirements or that current legislation or state mandates will not be repealed or amended, or that a different form of zero emission or low emission vehicle will not be invented, developed and produced, and achieve greater market acceptance than electric or hybrid electric vehicles. Extensions, modifications or reductions of current federal and state legislation, mandates and potential tax incentives could also adversely affect our business prospects if implemented.

We are subject to increasing emission regulations in a changing legislative climate.

Because vehicles powered by internal combustion engines cause pollution, there has been significant public pressure in Europe and Asia, and enacted or pending legislation in the United States at the federal level and in certain states, to promote or mandate the use of vehicles with no tailpipe emissions (zero emission vehicles) or reduced tailpipe emissions (low emission vehicles). Legislation requiring or promoting zero or low emission vehicles is necessary to create a significant market for electric vehicles. The California Air Resources Board (CARB) is continuing to modify its regulations regarding its mandatory limits for zero emission and low emission vehicles. Furthermore, several car manufacturers have challenged these mandates in court and have obtained injunctions to delay these mandates.

There are substantial risks involved in the development of unproven products.

In order to remain competitive, we must adapt existing products as well as develop new products and technologies. In fiscal years 2005, 2004 and 2003, we spent collectively in excess of \$2.5 million on research and development of new products and technology. We continue to incur costs related to such research and development. Despite our best efforts a new product or technology may prove to be unworkable, not cost effective, or otherwise unmarketable. We cannot assure you that any new product or technology we may develop will be successful or that an adequate market for such product or technology will ever develop.

We may be unable to effectively compete with other companies who have significantly greater resources than we have.

Many of our competitors, in the automotive, electronic and other industries, are larger, more established companies that have substantially greater financial, personnel, and other resources than we do. These companies may be actively engaged in the research and development of power management and conversion systems. Because of their greater resources, some of our competitors may be able to adapt more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements, or to devote greater resources to the promotion and sales of their products than we can. We believe that developing and maintaining a competitive advantage will require continued investment in product development, manufacturing capability and sales and marketing. We cannot assure you however that we will have sufficient resources to make the necessary investments to do so. In addition, current and potential competitors may establish collaborative relationships among themselves or with third parties, including third parties with whom we have relationships. Accordingly, new competitors or alliances may emerge and rapidly acquire significant market share.

Future equity financings may dilute your holdings in our Company.

We may need to obtain additional funding through public or private equity or debt financing, collaborative agreements or from other sources. If we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities, current shareholders may experience significant dilution of their holdings. We may be unable to obtain adequate financing on acceptable terms, if at all. If

we are unable to obtain adequate funds, we may be required to reduce significantly our spending and delay, scale back or eliminate research, development or marketing programs, or cease operations altogether.

Table of Contents

Potential intellectual property, shareholder or other litigation could adversely impact our business.

Because of the nature of our business, we may face litigation relating to intellectual property matters, labor matters, product liability or shareholder disputes. Any litigation could be costly, divert management attention or result in increased costs of doing business. Although we intend to vigorously defend any future lawsuits, we cannot assure you that we would ultimately prevail in these efforts. An adverse judgment could negatively impact the price of our common stock and our ability to obtain future financing on favorable terms or at all.

We may be exposed to product liability or tort claims if our products fail, which could adversely impact our results of operations.

A malfunction or the inadequate design of our products could result in product liability or other tort claims. Accidents involving our products could lead to personal injury or physical damage. Any liability for damages resulting from malfunctions could be substantial and could materially adversely affect our business and results of operations. In addition, a well-publicized actual or perceived problem could adversely affect the market's perception of our products. This could result in a decline in demand for our products, which would materially adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We are highly subject to general economic conditions.

The financial success of our company is sensitive to adverse changes in general economic conditions, such as inflation, unemployment, and consumer demand for our products. These changes could cause the cost of supplies, labor, and other expenses to rise faster than we can raise prices. Such changing conditions also could significantly reduce demand in the marketplace for our products. We have no control over any of these changes.

We are an early growth stage company.

Although our Company was originally founded in 1976, many aspects of our business are still in the early growth stage development, and our proposed operations are subject to all of the risks inherent in a start-up or growing business enterprise, including the likelihood of continued operating losses. Enova is relatively new in focusing its efforts on electric systems, hybrid systems and fuel cell management systems. The likelihood of our success must be considered in light of the problems, expenses, difficulties, complications, and delays frequently encountered in connection with the growth of an existing business, the development of new products and channels of distribution, and current and future development in several key technical fields, as well as the competitive and regulatory environment in which we operate.

We operate in a highly regulated business environment and changes in regulation could impose costs on us or make our products less economical.

Our products are subject to federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations, governing, among other things, emissions as well as laws relating to occupational health and safety. Regulatory agencies may impose special requirements for implementation and operation of our products or may significantly impact or even eliminate some of our target markets. We may incur material costs or liabilities in complying with government regulations. In addition, potentially significant expenditures could be required in order to comply with evolving environmental and health and safety laws, regulations and requirements that may be adopted or imposed in the future.

We are highly dependent on a few key personnel and will need to retain and attract such personnel in a labor competitive market.

Our success is largely dependent on the performance of our key management and technical personnel, including Edwin Riddell, our Chief Executive Officer, Corinne Bertrand, our Chief Financial Officer and Don Kang, our Vice President of Engineering, the loss of one or more of whom could adversely affect our business. Additionally, in order to successfully implement our anticipated growth, we will be dependent on our ability to hire additional qualified personnel. There can be no assurance that we will be able to retain or hire other necessary personnel. We do not maintain key man life insurance on any of our key personnel. We believe that our future success will depend in part upon our continued ability to attract, retain, and motivate additional highly skilled personnel in an increasingly competitive market.

Table of Contents

There are minimal barriers to entry in our market.

We presently license or own only certain proprietary technology and, therefore, have created little or no barrier to entry for competitors other than the time and significant expense required to assemble and develop similar production and design capabilities. Our competitors may enter into exclusive arrangements with our current or potential suppliers, thereby giving them a competitive edge which we may not be able to overcome, and which may exclude us from similar relationships.

We extend credit to our customers, which exposes us to credit risk.

Most of our outstanding accounts receivable are from a limited number of large customers. If we fail to monitor and manage effectively the resulting credit risk and a material portion of our accounts receivable is not paid in a timely manner or becomes uncollectible, our business would be significantly harmed, and we could incur a significant loss associated with any outstanding accounts receivable.

We are exposed to risks relating to evaluations of our internal controls.

We previously reported in Item 9A- Controls and Procedures in our 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K certain material weaknesses in internal controls. In response to the material weakness, we have conducted certain reviews of processes and procedures. However, for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, management has concluded that the Company's disclosure controls are not effective, including with respect to application of accounting standards and maintenance of appropriate documentation. Although management has begun the process of remediation, we can not assure you that we will be successful in a manner, if at all. See Part I, Item 4, Controls and Procedures.

Under the current SEC rules and regulations, as a non-accelerated filer, starting with our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2007, our management will be required to assess the effectiveness of our internal controls in order to satisfy the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the related SEC rules. In turn, starting with our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008, our auditor will be required to attest to the effectiveness of our internal controls. While we intend to address and have begun efforts to remediate any material weaknesses, including, subsequent to the filing of our annual report on Form 10-K, the hiring of a Chief Financial Officer and a Controller, as well as a special consultant, to oversee the remediation process, there is no assurance that this will be accomplished. These efforts may necessitate significant time and attention of our management and additional resources. If we fail to satisfactorily strengthen the effectiveness of our internal controls, neither we nor our independent registered public accounting firm may be able to conclude on an ongoing basis that we have effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

California law generally prohibits the payment of dividends unless the Company has sufficient retained earnings or meets certain asset to liability ratios.

Prior to September 2005, for each meeting attended in person, each outside director received \$2,000 in cash and \$4,000 of stock valued on the date of the meeting at the average of the closing ask and bid prices; for each telephonic Board meeting, each outside director received \$500 in cash and \$500 of stock valued on the date of the meeting at the average of the closing ask and bid prices; and for each meeting of a Board committee attended in person, a committee member received \$1,000 in cash and \$1,000 of stock valued on the date of the meeting at the average of the closing ask and bid prices. In September 2005, the compensation structure for Directors was changed. Effective in the fourth quarter of 2005 and the first quarter of 2006, Directors receive quarterly compensation at a flat rate of \$4,000 in cash and \$6,000 in stock valued on the last business day of the quarter at the average of the closing ask and bid prices. The flat rate is not dependent on the amount or type of services performed by the Directors. Compensation for the chairman of the audit committee is \$2,500 per quarter. The two audit committee members each receive \$1,250 per quarter, effective March 31, 2006.

In the third quarter of 2006, and in accordance with the Directors Compensation Plan, the Company issued 8,220 shares of restricted common stock, valued at \$30,000, to the Board of Directors as the share based portion of their compensation.

In the third quarter of 2006, the company issued 2,532 shares of restricted common stock, valued at \$10,000, to an employee as a sign-on bonus.

These issuances were made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 or other available exemptions and were made without general solicitation or advertising.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITIES HOLDERS

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 31.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002
- 31.2* Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1* Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, furnished as permitted by Item 601(b)(32)(ii) of Regulation S-K. This Exhibit 32.1 is not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and is not and should not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

* filed herewith

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: December 29, 2006

ENOVA SYSTEMS, INC.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ Corinne Bertrand

Corinne Bertrand, Chief Financial
Officer

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

Exhibits:

- 31.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002
- 31.2* Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1* Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, furnished as permitted by Item 601(b)(32)(ii) of Regulation S-K. This Exhibit 32.1 is not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and is not and should not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

* - filed herewith