

FIRST FINANCIAL BANKSHARES INC

Form 10-Q

July 29, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010
Commission file number 0-7674
FIRST FINANCIAL BANKSHARES, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

Texas

75-0944023

**(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation
or organization)**

**(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)**

400 Pine Street, Abilene, Texas

79601

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(325) 627-7155

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulations S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☒

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting
company ☐

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Class

Outstanding at July 28, 2010

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share

20,849,431

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>1. Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets Unaudited</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Earnings Unaudited</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings Unaudited</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity Unaudited</u>	7
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Unaudited</u>	8
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements Unaudited</u>	9
<u>2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	20
<u>3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	41
<u>4. Controls and Procedures</u>	41
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>6. Exhibits</u>	43
<u>Signatures</u>	44
<u>EX-31.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.2</u>	
<u>EX-32.1</u>	
<u>EX-32.2</u>	
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

Table of Contents

PART I

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

The consolidated balance sheets of First Financial Bankshares, Inc. (the Company) at June 30, 2010 and 2009 and December 31, 2009, the consolidated statements of earnings and comprehensive earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, and changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, follow on pages 4 through 8.

Table of Contents

FIRST FINANCIAL BANKSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	June 30, 2010	2009	December 31, 2009
	(Unaudited)		
ASSETS			
CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS	\$ 100,460	\$ 102,339	\$ 139,915
FEDERAL FUNDS SOLD	225	26,375	14,290
INTEREST-BEARING DEPOSITS IN BANKS	139,521	17,252	167,336
Total cash and cash equivalents	240,206	145,966	321,541
TRADING SECURITIES, at fair value		31,189	
SECURITIES HELD-TO-MATURITY (fair value of \$11,403, \$19,746 and \$15,674 at June 30, 2010 and 2009 and December 31, 2009, respectively)	11,107	19,278	15,273
SECURITIES AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE, at fair value	1,402,448	1,250,784	1,270,104
LOANS			
Held for investment	1,513,671	1,462,885	1,510,046
Held for sale	6,001	16,237	4,323
	1,519,672	1,479,122	1,514,369
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(28,954)	(23,247)	(27,612)
Net loans	1,490,718	1,455,875	1,486,757
BANK PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT, net	66,888	63,807	64,363
INTANGIBLE ASSETS	62,840	63,565	63,152
OTHER ASSETS	61,388	47,071	58,266
Total assets	\$ 3,335,595	\$ 3,077,535	\$ 3,279,456
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY			
NONINTEREST-BEARING DEPOSITS	\$ 782,166	\$ 741,242	\$ 836,323
INTEREST-BEARING DEPOSITS	1,924,062	1,731,273	1,848,434
Total deposits	2,706,228	2,472,515	2,684,757
DIVIDENDS PAYABLE	7,088	7,077	7,081

SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS	159,480	176,673	146,094
OTHER LIABILITIES	31,801	32,411	25,822
 Total liabilities	 2,904,597	 2,688,676	 2,863,754

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY**

Common stock \$0.01 par value, authorized 40,000,000 shares;
20,848,421, 20,814,760, and 20,826,431 shares issued at
June 30, 2010 and 2009 and December 31, 2009, respectively

	208	208	208
Capital surplus	270,087	268,608	269,294
Retained earnings	128,863	102,756	115,123
Treasury stock (shares at cost: 164,965, 160,438 and 162,836 at June 30, 2010 and 2009, and December 31, 2009, respectively)	(4,038)	(3,671)	(3,833)
Deferred compensation	4,038	3,671	3,833
Accumulated other comprehensive earnings	31,840	17,287	31,077

Total shareholders' equity	430,998	388,859	415,702
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 3,335,595	\$ 3,077,535	\$ 3,279,456

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

FIRST FINANCIAL BANKSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (UNAUDITED)
(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
INTEREST INCOME:				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 22,792	\$ 22,690	\$ 45,165	\$ 45,744
Interest on investment securities:				
Taxable	9,237	9,151	18,203	18,806
Exempt from federal income tax	4,676	4,499	9,310	8,627
Interest on trading securities		57		140
Interest on federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits in banks	349	71	721	113
Total interest income	37,054	36,468	73,399	73,430
INTEREST EXPENSE:				
Interest on deposits	3,463	4,155	6,998	8,932
Other	133	192	297	454
Total interest expense	3,596	4,347	7,295	9,386
Net interest income	33,458	32,121	66,104	64,044
PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES	2,973	1,588	4,983	3,348
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	30,485	30,533	61,121	60,696
NONINTEREST INCOME:				
Trust fees	2,672	2,126	5,198	4,242
Service charges on deposit accounts	5,293	5,421	10,152	10,562
ATM and credit card fees	2,830	2,427	5,341	4,636
Real estate mortgage operations	857	858	1,417	1,446
Net gain on securities transactions	72	498	72	747
Net gain on sale of student loans				616
Net gain (loss) on sale of foreclosed assets	59	99	70	(59)
Other	787	691	1,430	1,466
Total noninterest income	12,570	12,120	23,680	23,656
NONINTEREST EXPENSE:				
Salaries and employee benefits	12,841	12,241	25,498	24,233
Net occupancy expense	1,561	1,567	3,139	3,187
Equipment expense	1,853	1,968	3,690	3,908
Printing, stationery and supplies	428	465	857	898

Edgar Filing: FIRST FINANCIAL BANKSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

FDIC insurance premiums	990	2,305	1,978	3,256
Correspondent bank service charges	181	323	372	635
ATM and interchange expense	756	618	1,529	1,418
Professional and service fees	636	591	1,329	1,337
Amortization of intangible assets	153	216	312	438
Other expenses	4,552	4,064	8,585	7,996
Total noninterest expense	23,951	24,358	47,289	47,306
EARNINGS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	19,104	18,295	37,512	37,046
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	4,906	4,729	9,597	9,776
NET EARNINGS	\$ 14,198	\$ 13,566	\$ 27,915	\$ 27,270
EARNINGS PER SHARE, BASIC	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.65	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.31
EARNINGS PER SHARE, ASSUMING DILUTION	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.65	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.31
DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER SHARE	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.68

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

FIRST FINANCIAL BANKSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE EARNINGS (UNAUDITED)
(Dollars in thousands)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
NET EARNINGS	\$ 14,198	\$ 13,566	\$ 27,915	\$ 27,270
OTHER ITEMS OF COMPREHENSIVE EARNINGS (LOSS):				
Change in unrealized gain on investment securities available-for-sale, before income taxes	(142)	1,257	1,246	10,650
Reclassification adjustment for realized gains on investment securities included in net earnings, before income tax	(72)	(498)	(72)	(747)
Total other items of comprehensive earnings (loss)	(214)	759	1,174	9,903
Income tax benefit (expense) related to other items of comprehensive earnings (loss)	75	(266)	(411)	(3,466)
COMPREHENSIVE EARNINGS	\$ 14,059	\$ 14,059	\$ 28,678	\$ 33,707

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

FIRST FINANCIAL BANKSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Common Stock		Capital	Retained	Treasury Stock		Deferred	Accumulated	Total
	Shares	Amount	Surplus	Earnings	Shares	Amount	Compensation	Other Comprehensive Earnings	Shareholders' Equity
Balances at December 31, 2008	20,799,198	\$ 208	\$ 268,087	\$ 89,637	(158,811)	\$ (3,500)	\$ 3,500	\$ 10,850	\$ 368,782
Net earnings (unaudited)				27,270					27,270
Stock issuances (unaudited)	15,562		355						355
Cash dividends declared, \$0.68 per share (unaudited)				(14,151)					(14,151)
Change in unrealized gain (loss) in investment securities available-for-sale, net of related income taxes (unaudited)								6,437	6,437
Additional tax benefit related to directors' deferred compensation plan (unaudited)			30						30
Shares purchased in connection with directors' deferred compensation plan, net (unaudited)					(1,627)	(171)	171		
Stock option expense (unaudited)			136						136
	20,814,760	\$ 208	\$ 268,608	\$ 102,756	(160,438)	\$ (3,671)	\$ 3,671	\$ 17,287	\$ 388,859

Edgar Filing: FIRST FINANCIAL BANKSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

Balances at
June 30, 2009
(unaudited)

Balances at December 31, 2009	20,826,431	\$ 208	\$ 269,294	\$ 115,123	(162,836)	\$ (3,833)	\$ 3,833	\$ 31,077	\$ 415,702
Net earnings (unaudited)				27,915					27,915
Stock issuances (unaudited)	21,990		569						569
Cash dividends declared, \$0.68 per share (unaudited)				(14,175)					(14,175)
Change in unrealized gain in investment securities available- for-sale, net of related income taxes (unaudited)								763	763
Additional tax benefit related to directors' deferred compensation plan (unaudited)			30						30
Shares purchased in connection with directors' deferred compensation plan, net (unaudited)					(2,129)	(205)	205		
Stock option expense (unaudited)			194						194
Balances at June 30, 2010 (unaudited)	20,848,421	\$ 208	\$ 270,087	\$ 128,863	(164,965)	\$ (4,038)	\$ 4,038	\$ 31,840	\$ 430,998

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

FIRST FINANCIAL BANKSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)
(Dollars in thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net earnings	\$ 27,915	\$ 27,270
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	3,526	3,898
Provision for loan losses	4,983	3,348
Securities premium amortization (discount accretion), net	2,086	526
Gain on sale of assets, net	(130)	(1,403)
Deferred federal income tax benefit	(659)	(213)
Trading security activity, net		24,802
Loans originated for resale	(57,561)	(111,949)
Proceeds from sales of loans held for resale	55,884	150,972
Change in other assets	1,625	4,142
Change in other liabilities	2,396	1,998
Total adjustments	12,150	76,121
Net cash provided by operating activities	40,065	103,391
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Activity in available-for-sale securities:		
Sales	15,018	35,359
Maturities	83,344	111,157
Purchases	(227,495)	(139,110)
Activity in held-to-maturity securities maturities	4,169	4,217
Net decrease (increase) in loans	(15,723)	44,358
Purchases of bank premises and equipment and computer software	(5,763)	(1,128)
Proceeds from sale of other assets	3,791	1,788
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(142,659)	56,641
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Net decrease in interest-bearing deposits	(54,157)	(55,835)
Net increase (decrease) in noninterest-bearing deposits	75,628	(54,403)
Net increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings	13,386	(58,926)
Common stock transactions:		
Proceeds from stock issuances	569	355
Dividends paid	(14,167)	(14,145)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	21,259	(182,954)

NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(81,335)	(22,922)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	321,541	168,888
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ 240,206	\$ 145,966

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION AND NONCASH TRANSACTIONS

Interest paid	\$ 7,357	\$ 10,016
Federal income tax paid	8,502	10,306
Transfer of loans to foreclosed assets	8,456	2,626
Investment securities purchased but not settled	4,054	9,926
See notes to consolidated financial statements.		

Table of Contents

**FIRST FINANCIAL BANKSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)**

Note 1 Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, a Texas corporation and a financial holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, or BHCA, and its wholly-owned subsidiaries: First Financial Bankshares of Delaware, Inc.; First Financial Investments of Delaware, Inc.; First Financial Bank, National Association, Abilene, Texas; First Financial Bank, Hereford, Texas; First Financial Bank, National Association, Sweetwater, Texas; First Financial Bank, National Association, Eastland, Texas; First Financial Bank, National Association, Cleburne, Texas; First Financial Bank, National Association, Stephenville, Texas; First Financial Bank, National Association, San Angelo, Texas; First Financial Bank, National Association, Weatherford, Texas; First Financial Bank, National Association, Southlake, Texas; First Financial Bank, National Association, Mineral Wells, Texas; First Technology Services, Inc.; First Financial Trust & Asset Management Company, National Association; First Financial Investments, Inc.; and First Financial Insurance Agency, Inc.

Through our subsidiary banks, we conduct a full-service commercial banking business. Our service centers are located primarily in North Central and West Texas. Including the branches and locations of all our bank subsidiaries, as of June 30, 2010, we had 50 financial centers across Texas, with ten locations in Abilene, two locations in Cleburne, three locations in Stephenville, three locations in Granbury, two locations in San Angelo, three locations in Weatherford, and one location each in Mineral Wells, Hereford, Sweetwater, Eastland, Ranger, Rising Star, Southlake, Aledo, Willow Park, Brock, Alvarado, Burleson, Keller, Trophy Club, Boyd, Bridgeport, Decatur, Roby, Trent, Merkel, Clyde, Moran, Albany, Midlothian, Glen Rose, Odessa and Fort Worth. Our trust subsidiary has six locations in Abilene, San Angelo, Stephenville, Sweetwater, Fort Worth and Odessa, all in Texas.

In the opinion of management, the unaudited consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's financial position and unaudited results of operations and should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements, and notes thereto, for the year ended December 31, 2009. All adjustments were of a normal recurring nature. However, the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the year ending December 31, 2010, due to seasonality, changes in economic conditions and loan credit quality, interest rate fluctuations, regulatory and legislative changes and other factors. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP) have been condensed or omitted under SEC rules and regulations. The Company evaluated subsequent events for potential recognition and/or disclosure through the date the consolidated financial statements were issued. Goodwill and other intangible assets are evaluated annually for impairment as of the end of the second quarter. No such impairment has been noted in connection with these evaluations.

Note 2 Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the periods presented. In computing diluted earnings per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company assumes that all dilutive outstanding options to purchase common stock have been exercised at the beginning of the period (or the time of issuance, if later). The dilutive effect of the outstanding options is reflected by application of the treasury stock method, whereby the proceeds from the exercised options are assumed to be used to purchase common stock at the average market price during the respective

Table of Contents

periods. The weighted average common shares outstanding used in computing basic earnings per common share for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, were 20,847,735 and 20,809,061 shares respectively. The weighted average common shares outstanding used in computing basic earnings per common share for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, were 20,841,389 and 20,805,392 shares respectively. The weighted average common shares outstanding used in computing fully diluted earnings per common share for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, were 20,869,138 and 20,830,965, respectively. The weighted average common shares outstanding used in computing fully diluted earnings per common share for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, were 20,869,403 and 20,821,782, respectively

Note 3 Securities

A summary of available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities is as follows (in thousands):

		June 30, 2010		
	Amortized	Gross	Gross	Estimated
	Cost Basis	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair Value
		Holding	Holding	
		Gains	Losses	
Securities held-to-maturity:				
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	\$ 10,546	\$ 286	\$ (9)	\$ 10,823
Residential mortgage-backed securities	561	19		580
Total debt securities held-to-maturity	\$ 11,107	\$ 305	\$ (9)	\$ 11,403
Securities available-for-sale:				
U. S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government sponsored-enterprises and agencies	\$ 341,158	\$ 10,916	\$	\$ 352,074
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	460,466	18,599	(489)	478,576
Corporate bonds and other	66,361	4,946		71,307
Residential mortgage-backed securities	477,432	23,061	(2)	500,491
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 1,345,417	\$ 57,522	\$ (491)	\$ 1,402,448

Table of Contents

		December 31, 2009		
	Amortized	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated
	Cost Basis			Fair Value
Securities held-to-maturity:				
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	\$ 14,652	\$ 392	\$ (6)	\$ 15,038
Residential mortgage-backed securities	621	16	(1)	636
Total debt securities held-to-maturity	\$ 15,273	\$ 408	\$ (7)	\$ 15,674
Securities available-for-sale:				
Obligations of U.S. government sponsored-enterprises and agencies	\$ 260,018	\$ 12,050	\$	\$ 272,068
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	437,550	18,643	(561)	455,632
Corporate bonds and other	73,858	5,028		78,886
Residential mortgage-backed securities	442,823	20,995	(300)	463,518
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 1,214,249	\$ 56,716	\$ (861)	\$ 1,270,104

The Company invests in mortgage-backed securities that have expected maturities that differ from their contractual maturities. These differences arise because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without a prepayment penalty. These securities include collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) and other asset backed securities. The expected maturities of these securities at June 30, 2010, were computed by using scheduled amortization of balances and historical prepayment rates. At June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company did not hold any CMOs that entail higher risks than standard mortgage-backed securities.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of debt securities at June 30, 2010, by contractual and expected maturity, are shown below (in thousands):

	Held-to-Maturity		Available-for-Sale	
	Amortized	Estimated	Amortized	Estimated
	Cost	Fair	Cost	Fair
	Basis	Value	Basis	Value
Due within one year	\$ 6,164	\$ 6,238	\$ 170,269	\$ 173,790
Due after one year through five years	4,107	4,319	402,370	419,619
Due after five years through ten years	135	127	248,994	261,559
Due after ten years	140	139	46,352	46,989
Mortgage-backed securities	561	580	477,432	500,491
Total	\$ 11,107	\$ 11,403	\$ 1,345,417	\$ 1,402,448

During the quarter ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, sales of investment securities that were classified as available-for-sale totaled \$11.8 million and \$30.0 million, respectively. Gross realized gains from 2010 and 2009 securities sales totaled \$72 thousand and \$498 thousand, respectively. There were no losses realized on securities sales during these periods. During the six-months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, sales of investment securities that were classified as available-for-sale totaled \$15.0 million and \$35.4 million, respectively. Gross realized gains from 2010 and 2009 securities sales totaled \$72 thousand and \$747 thousand, respectively. There were no losses realized on

securities sales during these periods. The specific identification method was used to determine cost in order to compute the realized gains.

Table of Contents

The following tables disclose, as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, our available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities that have been in a continuous unrealized-loss position for less than 12 months and those that have been in a continuous unrealized-loss position for 12 or more months (in thousands):

	Less than 12 Months Unrealized		12 Months or Longer Unrealized		Total Unrealized	
	Fair Value	Loss	Fair Value	Loss	Fair Value	Loss
June 30, 2010						
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	\$ 16,150	\$ 322	\$ 4,313	\$ 176	\$ 20,463	\$ 498
Residential mortgage-backed securities	515	2			515	2
Total	\$ 16,665	\$ 324	\$ 4,313	\$ 176	\$ 20,978	\$ 500

	Less than 12 Months Unrealized		12 Months or Longer Unrealized		Total Unrealized	
	Fair Value	Loss	Fair Value	Loss	Fair Value	Loss
December 31, 2009						
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	\$ 21,703	\$ 428	\$ 2,798	\$ 139	\$ 24,501	\$ 567
Residential mortgage-backed securities	27,619	300	82	1	27,701	301
Total	\$ 49,322	\$ 728	\$ 2,880	\$ 140	\$ 52,202	\$ 868

The number of investment positions in this unrealized loss position totaled 53 at June 30, 2010. We do not believe these unrealized losses are other than temporary as (1) we do not have the intent to sell our securities prior to recovery and/or maturity and (2) it is more likely than not that we will not have to sell our securities prior to recovery and/or maturity. The unrealized losses noted are interest rate related due to the level of interest rates at June 30, 2010 compared to the time of purchase. We have reviewed the ratings of the issuers and have not identified any issues related to the ultimate repayment of principal as a result of credit concerns on these securities. Our mortgage related securities are backed by GNMA, FNMA and FHLMC or are collateralized by securities backed by these agencies. As of June 30, 2009, trading securities totaled \$31.2 million. No amounts were held in trading securities at June 30, 2010 or December 31, 2009. The trading securities portfolio was a government securities money market fund comprised primarily of U.S. government agency securities and repurchase agreements collateralized by U.S. government agency securities. The trading securities were carried at estimated fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in earnings. The Company invested in trading securities in 2008 to improve its yield on daily funds and to lower its exposure on Federal funds. However, due to significantly lower interest rates, the Company has deployed these funds into our investment portfolio and into certificates of deposit at unaffiliated banks. Securities, carried at approximately \$748,511,000 at June 30, 2010, were pledged as collateral for public or trust fund deposits, repurchase agreements and for other purposes required or permitted by law.

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans And Allowance for Loan Losses**

Major classifications of loans are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	June 30,		December 31,
	2010	2009	2009
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 463,560	\$ 464,377	\$ 508,431
Real estate construction	88,777	104,168	77,711
Real estate mortgage	791,951	715,211	752,735
Consumer	175,384	195,366	175,492
 Total Loans	 \$ 1,519,672	 \$ 1,479,122	 \$ 1,514,369

Included in real estate-mortgage loans above are \$6.0 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, in loans held for sale at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 in which the carrying amounts approximate fair value. Included in real estate-mortgage and consumer loans above are \$4.1 million and \$12.1 million, respectively, in loans held for sale at June 30, 2009, in which the carrying amounts approximate fair value.

The Company's recorded investment in impaired loans and the related valuation allowance are as follows (in thousands):

June 30, 2010		June 30, 2009		December 31, 2009	
Recorded Investment	Valuation Allowance	Recorded Investment	Valuation Allowance	Recorded Investment	Valuation Allowance
\$ 14,240	\$ 2,780	\$ 10,242	\$ 2,495	\$ 18,540	\$ 3,340

The allowance for loan losses as of June 30, 2010 and 2009 and December 31, 2009, is presented below. The level of the allowance reflects our periodic evaluation of general economic conditions, the financial condition of our borrowers, the value and liquidity of collateral, delinquencies, prior loan loss experience, and the results of periodic reviews of the portfolio by our independent loan review department and regulatory examiners. Management has evaluated the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses by estimating the probable losses in various categories of the loan portfolio, which are identified below (in thousands):

	June 30,		December 31,
	2010	2009	2009
Allowance for loan losses provided for:			
Loans specifically evaluated as impaired	\$ 2,780	\$ 2,495	\$ 3,340
Remaining portfolio	26,174	20,751	24,272
 Total allowance for loan losses	 \$ 28,954	 \$ 23,246	 \$ 27,612

Table of Contents

Changes in the allowance for loan losses are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 28,750	\$ 22,652	\$ 27,612	\$ 21,529
Add:				
Provision for loan losses	2,973	1,588	4,983	3,348
Loan recoveries	201	232	388	488
Deduct:				
Loan charge-offs	(2,970)	(1,225)	(4,029)	(2,118)
Balance at end of period	\$ 28,954	\$ 23,247	\$ 28,954	\$ 23,247

Nonaccrual loans still accruing and past due 90 days or more, restructured loans and foreclosed assets are as follows (in thousands, except percentages):

	June 30,		December 31, 2009
	2010	2009	
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 14,240	\$ 10,242	\$ 18,540
Loans still accruing and past due 90 days or more	1	72	15
Restructured loans			
Foreclosed assets	8,306	3,755	3,533
Total	\$ 22,547	\$ 14,069	\$ 22,088
As a % of total loans and foreclosed assets	1.48%	0.95%	1.46%
As a % of total assets	0.68%	0.46%	0.67%

Certain of our subsidiary banks have established lines of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank of Dallas to provide liquidity and meet pledging requirements for those customers eligible to have securities pledged to secure certain uninsured deposits. At June 30, 2010, approximately \$258,253,000 in loans held by these subsidiaries were subject to blanket liens as security for letters of credit issued under these lines of credit.

Note 5 Income Taxes

Income tax expense was \$4.9 million for the second quarter in 2010 as compared to \$4.7 million for the same period in 2009. Our effective tax rates on pretax income were 25.7% and 25.8% for the second quarters of 2010 and 2009, respectively. Income tax expense was \$9.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$9.8 million for the same period in 2009. Our effective tax rates on pretax income were 25.6% and 26.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The effective tax rates differ from the statutory Federal tax rate of 35% largely due to tax exempt interest income earned on certain investment securities and loans, the deductibility of dividends paid to our employee stock ownership plan and Texas state taxes.

The decreases in the effective tax rates for the second quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010 over the same periods in 2009 were largely the result of an increase in tax exempt income.

Table of Contents

Note 6 Stock Based Compensation

The Company grants incentive stock options for a fixed number of shares with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the shares at the date of grant to employees. No stock options have been granted in 2010. In May 2009, the Company granted incentive stock options to purchase 101,600 shares of Company common stock with an exercise price of \$50.33 per share. The fair value of the options granted was estimated using the Black-Scholes options pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions: risk-free interest rate of 3.24%; expected dividend yield of 2.66%; expected life of 5.79 years; and expected volatility of 41.64%.

The Company recorded stock option expense totaling approximately \$98 thousand and \$71 thousand, respectively, for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. The Company recorded stock option expense totaling approximately \$194 thousand and \$136 thousand, respectively, for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

The additional disclosure requirements under authoritative accounting guidance have been omitted due to immateriality.

Note 7 Pension Plan

The Company's defined benefit pension plan was frozen effective January 1, 2004, whereby no additional years of service will accrue to participants, unless the pension plan is reinstated at a future date. The pension plan covered substantially all of the Company's employees at the time. The benefits for each employee were based on years of service and a percentage of the employee's qualifying compensation during the final years of employment. The Company's funding policy was and is to contribute annually the amount necessary to satisfy the Internal Revenue Service's funding standards. Contributions to the pension plan, prior to freezing the plan, were intended to provide not only for benefits attributed to service to date but also for those expected to be earned in the future. As a result of the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (the "Protection Act"), the Company will be required to contribute amounts in future years to fund any shortfalls. The Company has evaluated the provisions of the Protection Act as well as the Internal Revenue Service's funding standards to develop a preliminary plan for funding in future years. The Company made a contribution totaling \$1.0 million in March 2010 and \$1.4 million in April 2009 and continues to evaluate future funding amounts.

Net periodic benefit costs totaling \$100 thousand and \$80 thousand were recorded, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Net periodic benefit costs totaling \$200 thousand and \$160 thousand were recorded, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Note 8 Recently Issued Authoritative Accounting Guidance

In 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued authoritative guidance expanding disclosures related to fair value measurements including (i) the amounts of significant transfers of assets or liabilities between Levels 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy and the reasons for the transfers, (ii) the reasons for transfers of assets or liabilities in or out of Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, with significant transfers disclosed separately, (iii) the policy for determining when transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are recognized and (iv) for recurring fair value measurements of assets and liabilities in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, a gross presentation of information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements. The new guidance further clarifies that (i) fair value measurement disclosures should be provided for each class of assets and liabilities (rather than major category), which would generally be a subset of assets or liabilities

Table of Contents

within a line item in the statement of financial position and (ii) disclosures should be provided about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements for each class of assets and liabilities included in Levels 2 and 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The disclosures related to the gross presentation of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements of assets and liabilities included in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy will be required beginning January 1, 2011. The remaining disclosure requirements and clarifications made by the new guidance became effective on January 1, 2010.

In 2010, the FASB issued authoritative guidance that requires entities to provide enhanced disclosures in the financial statements about their loans including credit risk exposures and the allowance for loan losses. While some of the required disclosures are already included in the management discussion and analysis section of our interim and annual filings, the new guidance will require inclusion in the notes to the financial statements. Included in the new guidance are credit quality information, impaired loan information, loan modification information and nonaccrual and past due information. The period-end information will be required to be disclosed for the year ending December 31, 2010 and the activity-related information will be required to be disclosed beginning with the first quarter of 2011. The Company does not expect the adoption of this authoritative guidance to have a significant effect on the financial condition and results of operations.

Note 9 Fair Value Disclosures

The authoritative accounting guidance for fair value measurements defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. The price in the principal (or most advantageous) market used to measure the fair value of the asset or liability shall not be adjusted for transaction costs. An orderly transaction is a transaction that assumes exposure to the market for a period prior to the measurement date to allow for marketing activities that are usual and customary for transactions involving such assets and liabilities; it is not a forced transaction. Market participants are buyers and sellers in the principal market that are (i) independent, (ii) knowledgeable, (iii) able to transact and (iv) willing to transact.

The authoritative accounting guidance requires the use of valuation techniques that are consistent with the market approach, the income approach and/or the cost approach. The market approach uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets and liabilities. The income approach uses valuation techniques to convert future amounts, such as cash flows or earnings, to a single present amount on a discounted basis. The cost approach is based on the amount that currently would be required to replace the service capacity of an asset (replacement costs). Valuation techniques should be consistently applied. Inputs to valuation techniques refer to the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Inputs may be observable, meaning those that reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from independent sources, or unobservable, meaning those that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. In that regard, the authoritative guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy for valuation inputs that gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

Level 1 Inputs Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (for example, interest rates, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means. Level 2 investments consist

primarily of obligations of U.S. government sponsored enterprises and agencies, obligations of state and municipal subdivisions, corporate bonds and mortgage backed securities.

Level 3 Inputs Significant unobservable inputs that reflect an entity's own assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.

Table of Contents

A description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy, is set forth below.

In general, fair value is based upon quoted market prices, where available. If such quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed models that primarily use, as inputs, observable market-based parameters. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. While management believes the Company's valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date.

Securities classified as available-for-sale and trading are reported at fair value utilizing Level 1 and Level 2 inputs.

For these securities, the Company obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the United States Treasury (the Treasury) yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayments speeds, credit information and the security's terms and conditions, among other things.

There were no transfers between Level 2 and Level 3 during the quarter and the six-months ended June 30, 2010.

The following table summarizes financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2010, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value (dollars in thousands):

	Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs	Total Fair Value
Available for sale investment securities:				
U. S. Treasury securities and obligations of U. S. government sponsored-enterprises and agencies	\$ 15,530	\$ 336,544	\$	\$ 352,074
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	10,932	467,644		478,576
Corporate bonds	7,066	59,132		66,198
Residential mortgage-backed securities	15,247	485,244		500,491
Other securities	5,109			5,109
	\$ 53,884	\$ 1,348,564	\$	\$ 1,402,448

Certain financial assets and financial liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment). Financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis include the following at June 30, 2010:

Impaired Loans Impaired loans are reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Collateral values are estimated using Level 3 input based on the discounting of the collateral measured by appraisals. At June 30, 2010, impaired loans with a carrying value of \$14.2 million were reduced by specific valuation allowances totaling \$2.8 million resulting in a net fair value of \$11.4 million, based on Level 3 inputs.

Table of Contents

Loans Held for Sale Loans held for sale are reported at the lower of cost or fair value. In determining whether the fair value of loans held for sale is less than cost when quoted market prices are not available, the Company considers investor commitments/contracts. These loans are considered Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. At June 30, 2010, the Company's mortgage loans held for sale were recorded at cost as fair value exceeded cost.

Certain non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis include other real estate owned, goodwill and other intangible assets and other non-financial long-lived assets. Such amounts were not significant to the Company at June 30, 2010.

The Company is required under authoritative accounting guidance to disclose the estimated fair value of their financial instrument assets and liabilities including those subject to the requirements discussed above. For the Company, as for most financial institutions, substantially all of its assets and liabilities are considered financial instruments, as defined. Many of the Company's financial instruments, however, lack an available trading market as characterized by a willing buyer and willing seller engaging in an exchange transaction.

The estimated fair value amounts of financial instruments have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, considerable judgment is required to interpret data to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

In addition, reasonable comparability between financial institutions may not be likely due to the wide range of permitted valuation techniques and numerous estimates that must be made given the absence of active secondary markets for many of the financial instruments. This lack of uniform valuation methodologies also introduces a greater degree of subjectivity to these estimated fair values.

Financial instruments with stated maturities have been valued using a present value discounted cash flow with a discount rate approximating current market for similar assets and liabilities. Financial instrument liabilities with no stated maturities have an estimated fair value equal to both the amount payable on demand and the carrying value. The carrying value and the estimated fair value of the Company's contractual off-balance-sheet unfunded lines of credit, loan commitments and letters of credit, which are generally priced at market at the time of funding, are not material.

Table of Contents

The estimated fair values and carrying values of all financial instruments under current authoritative guidance at June 30, 2010 and 2009, were as follows (in thousands):

	June 30,		June 30,	
	2010		2009	
	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value
Cash and due from banks	\$ 100,460	\$ 100,460	\$ 102,339	\$ 102,339
Federal funds sold	225	225	26,375	26,375
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	139,521	139,521	17,252	17,252
Trading securities			31,189	31,189
Held to maturity securities	11,107	11,403	19,278	19,746
Available for sale securities	1,402,448	1,402,448	1,250,784	1,250,784
Loans	1,490,718	1,492,743	1,455,875	1,446,625
Accrued interest receivable	19,860	19,860	19,619	19,619
Deposits with stated maturities	801,741	803,966	709,066	712,537
Deposits with no stated maturities	1,904,487	1,904,487	1,763,449	1,763,449
Short term borrowings	159,480	159,480	176,673	176,673
Accrued interest payable	1,446	1,446	1,651	1,651
	19			

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. When used in this Form 10-Q, words such as anticipate, believe, estimate, expect, intend, predict, project, and similar expressions, as they relate to us or our management, identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on information currently available to our management. Actual results could differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including, but not limited to, those listed in Item 1A- Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K and the following:

- general economic conditions, including our local and national real estate markets and employment trends;
- volatility and disruption in national and international financial markets;
- the effects of recent legislative, tax, accounting and regulatory actions and reforms;
- political instability;
- the ability of the Federal government to deal with the national economic slowdown and the effect of stimulus packages enacted by Congress as well as future stimulus packages, if any;
- competition from other financial institutions and financial holding companies;
- the effects of and changes in trade, monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including interest rate policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System;
- changes in the demand for loans;
- fluctuations in the value of collateral securing our loan portfolio and in the level of the allowance for loan losses;
- the accuracy of our estimates of future loan losses;
- the accuracy of our estimates and assumptions regarding the performance of our securities portfolio;
- soundness of other financial institutions with which we have transactions;
- inflation, interest rate, market and monetary fluctuations;
- changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits;
- continued increases in FDIC deposit insurance assessments;
- our ability to attract deposits;
- consequences of continued bank mergers and acquisitions in our market area, resulting in fewer but much larger and stronger competitors;
- expansion of operations, including branch openings, new product offerings and expansion into new markets;

acquisitions and integration of acquired businesses; and

acts of God or of war or terrorism.

Such statements reflect the current views of our management with respect to future events and are subject to these and other risks, uncertainties and assumptions relating to our operations, results of operations, growth strategy and liquidity. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by this paragraph. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or otherwise revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

Introduction

As a multi-bank financial holding company, we generate most of our revenue from interest on loans and investments, trust fees, and service charges. Our primary source of funding for our loans and investments are deposits held by our subsidiary banks. Our largest expenses are interest on these deposits and salaries and related employee benefits. We usually measure our performance by calculating our return on average assets, return on average equity, our regulatory leverage and risk based capital ratios, and our efficiency ratio, which is calculated by dividing noninterest expense by the sum of net interest income on a tax equivalent basis and noninterest income.

The following discussion of operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and accompanying footnotes included in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q as well as those included in the Company's 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Regulatory Reform and Legislation

Congress and the regulators for financial institutions have proposed and passed significant changes to the laws, rules and regulations governing financial institutions. Most recently, the House of Representatives and Senate passed the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") which the President has signed. Prior to the Dodd-Frank Act, Congress and the financial institutions regulators made other significant changes affecting many aspects of banking. Those recent actions address many issues including capital, interchange fees, compliance and risk management, debit card overdraft fees, the establishment of a new consumer regulator, healthcare, incentive compensation, expanded disclosures and corporate governance. While many of the new regulations are for financial institutions with assets greater than \$10 billion, we expect the new regulations to reduce our revenues and increase our expenses in the future. We are closely monitoring those actions to determine the appropriate response to comply and at the same time minimize the adverse effect on our banks.

Critical Accounting Policies

We prepare consolidated financial statements based on the selection of certain accounting policies, generally accepted accounting principles and customary practices in the banking industry. These policies, in certain areas, require us to make significant estimates and assumptions.

We deem a policy critical if (1) the accounting estimate required us to make assumptions about matters that are highly uncertain at the time we make the accounting estimate; and (2) different estimates that reasonably could have been used in the current period, or changes in the accounting estimate that are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, would have a material impact on the financial statements.

The following discussion addresses (1) our allowance for loan losses and our provision for loan losses and (2) our valuation of securities, which we deem to be our most critical accounting policies. We have other significant accounting policies and continue to evaluate the materiality of their impact on our consolidated financial statements, but we believe these other policies either do not generally require us to make estimates and judgments that are difficult or subjective, or it is less likely they would have a material impact on our reported results for a given period.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is an amount we believe will be adequate to absorb probable losses on existing loans in which full collectibility is unlikely based upon our review and evaluation of the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses is increased by charges to income and decreased by charge-offs (net of recoveries).

Table of Contents

Our methodology is based on current authoritative accounting guidance, including guidance from the SEC. We also follow the guidance of the Interagency Policy Statement on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, issued jointly by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), the Federal Reserve Board, the FDIC, the National Credit Union Administration and the Office of Thrift Supervision. We have developed a loan review methodology that includes allowances assigned to certain classified loans, allowances assigned based upon estimated loss factors and qualitative reserves. The level of the allowance reflects our periodic evaluation of general economic conditions, the financial condition of our borrowers, the value and liquidity of collateral, delinquencies, prior loan loss experience, and the results of periodic reviews of the portfolio by our independent loan review department and regulatory examiners.

Our allowance for loan losses is comprised of three elements: (i) specific reserves determined in accordance with current authoritative accounting guidance based on probable losses on specific classified loans; (ii) general reserves determined in accordance with current authoritative accounting guidance that consider historical loss rates; and (iii) a qualitative reserve determined in accordance with current authoritative accounting guidance based upon general economic conditions and other qualitative risk factors both internal and external to the Company. We regularly evaluate our allowance for loan losses to maintain an adequate level to absorb estimated probable loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Factors contributing to the determination of specific reserves include the credit-worthiness of the borrower, changes in the value of pledged collateral, and general economic conditions. All classified loans are specifically reviewed and a specific allocation is assigned based on the losses expected to be realized from those loans. For purposes of determining the general reserve, the loan portfolio less cash secured loans, government guaranteed loans and classified loans is multiplied by the Company's historical loss rates. The qualitative reserves are determined by evaluating such things as current economic conditions and trends, including unemployment, changes in lending staff, policies or procedures, changes in credit concentrations, changes in the trends and severity of problem loans and changes in trends in volume and terms of loans.

Although we believe we use the best information available to make loan loss allowance determinations, future adjustments could be necessary if circumstances or economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making our initial determinations. A further downturn in the economy and employment could result in increased levels of nonperforming assets and charge-offs, increased loan loss provisions and reductions in income. Additionally, as an integral part of their examination process, bank regulatory agencies periodically review our allowance for loan losses. The bank regulatory agencies could require the recognition of additions to the loan loss allowance based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

Accrual of interest is discontinued on a loan when management believes, after considering economic and business conditions and collection efforts, the borrower's financial condition is such that collection of principal and interest is doubtful or generally if the loan is 90 days past due.

Our policy requires measurement of the allowance for an impaired collateral dependent loan based on the fair value of the collateral. Other loan impairments are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows or the loan's observable market price.

Valuation of Securities. The Company records its available-for-sale and trading securities portfolio at fair value.

Table of Contents

Fair values of these securities are determined based on methodologies in accordance with current authoritative accounting guidance. Fair values are volatile and may be influenced by a number of factors, including market interest rates, prepayment speeds, discount rates, credit ratings and yield curves. Fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on the quoted prices of similar instruments or an estimate of fair value by using a range of fair value estimates in the market place as a result of the illiquid market specific to the type of security.

When the fair value of a security is below its amortized cost, and depending on the length of time the condition exists and the extent the fair value is below amortized cost, additional analysis is performed to determine whether an other-than-temporary impairment condition exists. Available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities are analyzed quarterly for possible other-than-temporary impairment. The analysis considers (i) whether we have the intent to sell our securities prior to recovery and/or maturity and (ii) whether it is more likely than not that we will have to sell our securities prior to recovery and/or maturity. Often, the information available to conduct these assessments is limited and rapidly changing, making estimates of fair value subject to judgment. If actual information or conditions are different than estimated, the extent of the impairment of the security may be different than previously estimated, which could have a material effect on the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

Results of Operations

Performance Summary. Net earnings for the second quarter of 2010 were \$14.2 million compared to \$13.6 million for the same period in 2009, or a 4.66% increase over the same period in 2009. Net earnings for the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 were negatively impacted by an increase in the provision for loan losses of \$1.4 million and a decrease in net gain on securities transaction of \$426 thousand. The negative impact was offset by a decrease in FDIC insurance premiums of \$1.3 million, primarily due to the FDIC special assessment recorded in the second quarter of 2009.

Basic earnings per share for the second quarter of 2010 were \$0.68 compared to \$0.65 for the the same quarter last year. The return on average assets was 1.70% for the second quarter of 2010, as compared to 1.77% for the same quarter of 2009. The return on average equity was 13.37% for the second quarter of 2010 as compared to 13.98% for the same quarter of 2009.

Net earnings for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 were \$27.9 million, an increase of \$645 thousand, or 2.4% compared to net earnings for the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 of \$27.3 million. Net earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 were negatively impacted by (i) a decrease in gain from sale of student loans of \$616 thousand, (ii) an increase in the provision for loan losses of \$1.6 million and (iii) a decrease in net gain on securities transactions of \$675 thousand. The negative impact was offset by a decrease in FDIC insurance premiums of \$1.3 million, primarily due to the FDIC special assessment recorded in the six month period of 2009.

Basic earnings per share basis, net earnings were \$1.34 for the six-months of 2010 as compared to \$1.31 for the same period of 2009. The return on average assets was 1.69% for the six-months of 2010, as compared to 1.76% for the same period of 2009. The return on average equity was 13.33% for the six-months of 2010, as compared to 14.28% for the same period of 2009.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income on earning assets and interest expense on liabilities incurred to fund those assets. Our earning assets consist primarily of loans and investment securities. Our liabilities to fund those assets consist primarily of noninterest-bearing and interest-bearing deposits.

Table of Contents

Tax-equivalent net interest income was \$36.1 million for the second quarter of 2010, as compared to \$34.6 million for the same period last year. The increase in 2010 compared to 2009 was largely attributable to an increase in the volume of earning assets. Average earning assets increased \$246.1 million for the second quarter of 2010 over the same period in 2009. Average short-term investments and average taxable securities increased \$122.0 million and \$64.9 million, respectively, for the second quarter of 2010 over the second quarter of 2009. Average interest bearing liabilities increased \$172.4 million for the second quarter of 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009. The yield on earning assets decreased 33 basis points, whereas the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities decreased only 22 basis points in the second quarter of 2010.

Tax-equivalent net interest income was \$71.3 million for the first six-month period of 2010, as compared to \$68.7 million for the same period last year. The increase in 2010 compared to 2009 was largely attributable to (i) the decrease in the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities in an amount greater than the decrease in rates earned on interest earning assets and (ii) an increase in the volume of interest earning assets. Average interest earning assets increased \$193.6 million for the first six-months of 2010 over the same period in 2009. Average short-term investments and average tax exempt securities increased \$154.5 million and \$42.2 million, respectively, for the first six-month period of 2010 over the first six-month period of 2009, offsetting a decrease of \$21.7 million in average loans. Average interest bearing liabilities increased \$121.2 million for the six-month period of 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009. The yield on earning assets decreased 31 basis points, whereas the rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities decreased only 26 basis points in the first six months of 2010, primarily due to the effects of lower interest rates.

Table 1 allocates the change in tax-equivalent net interest income between the amount of change attributable to volume and to rate.

Table 1 Changes in Interest Income and Interest Expense (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2009			Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2009		
	Change Attributable to		Total	Change Attributable to		Total
	Volume	Rate	Change	Volume	Rate	Change
Short-term investments	\$ 590	\$ (311)	\$ 279	\$ 1,541	\$ (933)	\$ 608
Taxable investment securities (1)	675	(647)	28	392	(1,136)	(744)
Tax-exempt investment securities (2)	470	(160)	310	1,314	(186)	1,128
Loans (2) (3)	460	(299)	161	(657)	181	(476)
Interest income	2,195	(1,417)	778	2,590	(2,074)	516
Interest-bearing deposits	399	(1,091)	(692)	750	(2,683)	(1,933)
Short-term borrowings	6	(65)	(59)	(58)	(100)	(158)
Interest expense	405	(1,156)	(751)	692	(2,783)	(2,091)
Net interest income	\$ 1,790	\$ (261)	\$ 1,529	\$ 1,898	\$ 709	\$ 2,607

(1) Trading
securities are
included in

taxable
investment
securities.

(2) Computed on a
tax-equivalent
basis assuming
a marginal tax
rate of 35%.

(3) Nonaccrual
loans are
included in
loans.

Table of Contents

The net interest margin for the second quarter of 2010 was 4.69%, a decrease of 19 basis points from the same period in 2009. The net interest margin for the six months ended June 30, 2010, was 4.69%, a decrease of 13 basis points from the same period in 2010. These decreases are largely the result of the extended period of low short-term interest rates. The target Federal funds rate was reduced to a range of zero to 25 basis points in December 2008. The low level of interest rates has reduced the yields on our short-term investments and investment securities as the proceeds from maturing investment securities have been invested at lower rates. Should interest rates remain at the current low levels for an extended period, we anticipate added pressure on our interest margin.

The net interest margin, which measures tax-equivalent net interest income as a percentage of average earning assets, is illustrated in Table 2.

Table 2 Average Balances and Average Yields and Rates (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three months ended June 30,					
	Average Balance	2010 Income/Expense	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	2009 Income/Expense	Yield/Rate
Assets						
Short-term investments (1)	\$ 167,243	\$ 349	0.84%	\$ 45,286	\$ 71	0.63%
Taxable investment securities (2)(3)	950,304	9,237	3.89	885,382	9,209	4.16
Tax-exempt investment securities (3)(4)	459,528	7,048	6.13	429,542	6,738	6.27
Loans (4)(5)	1,511,587	23,062	6.12	1,481,792	22,900	6.20
Total earning assets	3,088,662	39,696	5.16%	2,842,002	38,918	5.49%
Cash and due from banks	102,096			98,906		
Bank premises and equipment, net	66,166			64,498		
Other assets	49,829			36,135		
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	62,918			63,675		
Allowance for loan losses	(29,291)			(22,938)		
Total assets	\$ 3,340,380			\$ 3,082,278		
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity						
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 1,902,497	\$ 3,463	0.73%	\$ 1,735,640	\$ 4,155	0.96%
Short-term borrowings	177,435	133	0.30	171,936	192	0.45
Total interest-bearing liabilities	2,079,932	3,596	0.69%	1,907,576	4,347	0.91%
Noninterest-bearing deposits	801,216			753,473		
Other liabilities	33,226			32,134		
Total liabilities	2,914,374			2,693,183		
Shareholders equity	426,006			389,095		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 3,340,380			\$ 3,082,278		

Edgar Filing: FIRST FINANCIAL BANKSHARES INC - Form 10-Q

Net interest income	\$ 36,100	\$ 34,571
Rate Analysis:		
Interest income/earning assets	5.16%	5.49%
Interest expense/earning assets	0.47	0.61
Net yield on earning assets	4.69%	4.88%

25

Table of Contents

	Six months ended June 30,					
	Average Balance	2010 Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	2009 Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate
Assets						
Short-term investments (1)	\$ 195,634	\$ 721	0.74%	\$ 41,115	\$ 113	0.56%
Taxable investment securities (2)(3)	913,613	18,203	3.98	895,102	18,947	4.23
Tax-exempt investment securities (3)(4)	456,707	14,026	6.14	414,480	12,899	6.22
Loans (4)(5)	1,502,504	45,682	6.13	1,524,211	46,157	6.11
Total earning assets	3,068,458	78,632	5.17%	2,874,908	78,116	5.48%
Cash and due from banks	106,434			106,451		
Bank premises and equipment, net	65,629			64,898		
Other assets	49,140			37,096		
Goodwill and other intangible assets, net	62,994			63,782		
Allowance for loan losses	(28,858)			(22,507)		
Total assets	\$ 3,323,797			\$ 3,124,628		
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity						
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 1,898,314	\$ 6,998	0.74%	\$ 1,751,263	\$ 8,932	1.03%
Short-term borrowings	175,609	297	0.34	201,416	454	0.45
Total interest-bearing liabilities	2,073,923	7,295	0.71%	1,952,679	9,386	0.97%
Noninterest-bearing deposits	794,570			754,851		
Other liabilities	33,154			32,119		
Total liabilities	2,901,647			2,739,649		
Shareholders' equity	422,150			384,979		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 3,323,797			\$ 3,124,628		
Net interest income		\$ 71,337			\$ 68,730	
Rate Analysis:						
Interest income/earning assets			5.17%			5.48%
Interest expense/earning assets			0.48			0.66
Net yield on earning assets			4.69%			4.82%

(1)

Short-term investments are comprised of Federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits in banks.

- (2) Trading securities are included in taxable investment securities.
- (3) Average balances include unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities.
- (4) Computed on a tax-equivalent basis assuming a marginal tax rate of 35%.
- (5) Nonaccrual loans are included in loans.

Noninterest Income. Noninterest income for the second quarter of 2010 was \$12.6 million, an increase of \$450 thousand, or 3.7%, as compared to the same period in 2009. Trust fees increased \$547 thousand and ATM and credit card fees increased \$403 thousand. The increase in trust fees reflects higher oil and gas prices and an increase in assets under management over the prior year. The fair value of our trust assets managed, which are not reflected in our consolidated balance sheet, totaled \$2.08 billion at June 30, 2010 as compared to \$1.99 billion for the same date in 2009. The increase in ATM and credit card fees is primarily a result of increased use of debit cards and an increase in net new accounts. Partially offsetting these increases was a 2009 second quarter net gain on securities transactions of \$498 thousand compared to only \$72 thousand in the second quarter of 2010.

Table of Contents

Noninterest income for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 was \$23.7 million, a slight increase over the same period in 2009. Trust fees increased \$956 thousand and ATM and credit card fees increased \$705 thousand. The increase in trust fees reflects higher oil and gas prices and an increase in assets under management over the prior year. The fair value of our trust assets managed, which are not reflected in our consolidated balance sheet, totaled \$2.08 billion at June 30, 2010 as compared to \$1.99 billion for the same date in 2009. The increase in ATM and credit card fees is primarily a result of increased use of debit cards and an increase in net new accounts. Partially offsetting these increases were decreases in the gain on sale of student loans, gains on securities transactions and service charges on deposits. In the first quarter of 2009, we recorded a gain of \$616 thousand on the sale of approximately \$73.7 million in student loans, approximately 86% of our student loan portfolio. The Company suspended its student loan origination activities as a result of changes mandated by the Department of Education and Congress which transferred the student loan program into direct lending with the government. At June 30, 2010, the Company held no student loans and had no student loan transactions in 2010. Securities transactions gains totaled \$747 thousand in the six-month period of 2009 compared to only \$72 thousand for the same period in 2010. Service charges on deposit accounts were \$10.2 million for the six-month period of 2010, a decrease of \$410 thousand compared to the same period in 2009.

Table 3 Noninterest Income (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
		Increase			Increase	
	2010	(Decrease)	2009	2010	(Decrease)	2009
Trust fees	\$ 2,672	\$ 547	\$ 2,125	\$ 5,198	\$ 956	\$ 4,242
Service charges on deposit accounts	5,293	(128)	5,421	10,152	(410)	10,562
Real estate mortgage operations	857	(1)	858	1,417	(29)	1,446
Gain on sale of student loans					(616)	616
ATM and credit card fees	2,830	403	2,427	5,341	705	4,636
Net gain on securities transactions	72	(426)	498	72	(675)	747
Net gain (loss) on sale of foreclosed assets	59	(40)	99	70	129	(59)
Other:						
Check printing fees	60	(42)	102	127	(81)	208
Safe deposit rental fees	95		95	267	1	266
Exchange fees	27	4	23	49	7	42
Credit life and debt protection fees	47	(4)	51	82	(7)	89
Brokerage Commissions	93	39	54	149	38	111
Interest on loan recoveries	255	208	47	293	107	186
Miscellaneous income	210	(110)	320	463	(101)	564
Total other	787	95	692	1,430	(36)	1,466
Total Noninterest Income	\$ 12,570	\$ 450	\$ 12,120	\$ 23,680	\$ 24	\$ 23,656

Noninterest Expense. Total noninterest expense for the second quarter of 2010 was \$23.9 million, a decrease of \$407 thousand, or 1.7%, as compared to the same period in 2009. An important measure in determining whether a banking company effectively manages noninterest expenses is the efficiency ratio, which is calculated by dividing noninterest expense by the sum of net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis and noninterest income. Lower ratios indicate better efficiency since more income is generated with a lower noninterest expense total. Our efficiency ratio for the second quarter of 2010 was 49.21% compared to 52.17% for the same period in 2009.

Table of Contents

Salaries and employee benefits for the second quarter of 2010 totaled \$12.8 million, an increase of \$600 thousand, or 4.9%, as compared to 2009. The increase was largely the result of an increase in profit sharing plan expense. All other categories of noninterest expense for the second quarter of 2010 totaled \$11.1 million, a decrease of \$1.0 million, or 8.3%, as compared to the same period in 2009. The most significant factor in the decrease was a reduction in FDIC insurance premiums. FDIC insurance premium expense was \$990 thousand in 2010, a decrease of \$1.3 million compared to 2009. In the second quarter of 2009, the Company's banks paid an FDIC special assessment of \$1.4 million. There was no special assessment in 2010. Equipment expense decreased \$115 thousand primarily as a result of a lower level of depreciation charges. Correspondent bank service charges decreased \$142 thousand as a result of an increase in compensating balances maintained with upstream correspondent banks. Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase in advertising expense of \$105 thousand. The increase in advertising expense reflected marketing efforts to capitalize on our being recognized in January 2010 as the best-performing bank in the nation in the \$3 billion-plus publicly traded category by *Bank Director Magazine*.

Total noninterest expense for the first six-months of 2010 was \$47.3 million, down slightly compared to the same period in 2009. Our efficiency ratio for the first six-months of 2010 was 49.77% compared to 51.21% for the same period in 2009.

Salaries and employee benefits for the first six-months of 2010 totaled \$25.5 million, an increase of \$1.3 million, or 5.2%, as compared to 2009. The primary cause was increased profit sharing plan expense.

All other categories of noninterest expense for the first six-months of 2010 totaled \$21.8 million, a decrease of \$1.3 million, or 5.6%, as compared to the same period in 2009. The most significant factor in the decrease was a reduction in FDIC insurance premiums. FDIC insurance premium expense was \$2.0 million in 2010, a decrease of \$1.3 million compared to 2009. In the first half of 2009, the Company's banks paid an FDIC special assessment of \$1.4 million. There was no special assessment in 2010. Equipment expense decreased \$218 thousand primarily as a result of a lower level of depreciation charges. Correspondent bank service charges decreased \$263 thousand as a result of an increase in compensating balances maintained with upstream correspondent banks. Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase in advertising expense of \$260 thousand. The increase in advertising expense reflected marketing efforts to capitalize on our being recognized in January 2010 as the best-performing bank in the nation in the \$3 billion-plus publicly traded category by *Bank Director Magazine*.

Table of Contents**Table 4 Noninterest Expense (in thousands):**

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2010	Increase (Decrease)	2009	2010	Increase (Decrease)	2009
Salaries	\$ 9,743	\$ 159	\$ 9,584	\$ 19,266	\$ 171	\$ 19,095
Medical	811	(138)	949	1,803	137	1,666
Profit sharing	1,079	524	555	1,819	769	1,050
Pension	100	20	80	200	40	160
401(k) match expense	293	(5)	298	615	14	601
Payroll taxes	717	13	704	1,601	76	1,525
Stock option expense	98	27	71	194	58	136
Total salaries and employee benefits	12,841	600	12,241	25,498	1,265	24,233
Net occupancy expense	1,561	(6)	1,567	3,139	(48)	3,187
Equipment expense	1,853	(115)	1,968	3,690	(218)	3,908
Intangible amortization	153	(63)	216	312	(126)	438
FDIC assessment fees	990	(1,315)	2,305	1,978	(1,278)	3,256
Printing, stationery and supplies	428	(37)	465	857	(41)	898
Correspondent bank service charges	181	(142)	323	372	(263)	635
ATM and interchange expense	756	138	618	1,529	111	1,418
Professional and service fees	636	45	591	1,329	(8)	1,337
Other:						
Data processing fees	113	7	106	226	14	212
Postage	343	(20)	363	688	(57)	745
Advertising	381	105	276	783	260	523
Credit card fees	111	(2)	113	221	(12)	233
Telephone	342	9	333	677	12	665
Public relations and business development	404	90	314	702	103	599
Directors' fees	177	(3)	180	384	10	374
Audit and accounting fees	261	(43)	304	578	(53)	631
Legal fees	197	66	131	344	72	272
Regulatory exam fees	220	(4)	224	432	(11)	443
Travel	167	21	146	295	47	248
Courier expense	147	7	140	282	(13)	295
Operational and other losses	252	(85)	337	401	(78)	479
Other real estate	374	281	93	473	199	274
Other miscellaneous expense	1,063	59	1,004	2,099	96	2,003
Total other	4,552	488	4,064	8,585	589	7,996

Total Noninterest Expense	\$ 23,951	\$ (407)	\$ 24,358	\$ 47,289	\$ (17)	\$ 47,306
---------------------------	-----------	----------	-----------	-----------	---------	-----------

Income Taxes. Income tax expense was \$4.9 million for the second quarter in 2010 as compared to \$4.7 million for the same period in 2009. Our effective tax rates on pretax income were 25.7% and 25.8% for the second quarters of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The effective tax rates differ from the statutory Federal tax rate of 35% largely due to tax exempt interest income earned on certain investment securities and loans, the deductibility of dividends paid to our employee stock ownership plan and Texas state taxes.

Income tax expense was \$9.6 million for the first six-months in 2010 as compared to \$9.8 million for the same period in 2009. Our effective tax rates on pretax income were 25.6% and 26.4% for the six month periods of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The effective tax rates differ from the statutory Federal tax rate of 35% largely due to tax exempt interest income earned on certain investment securities and loans, the deductibility of dividends paid to our employee stock ownership plan and Texas state taxes.

Table of Contents

The decreases in the effective tax rates for the second quarter and first six-month period ended June 30, 2010 over the same periods in 2009 were largely the result of an increase in tax exempt income.

Balance Sheet Review

Loans. Our portfolio is comprised of loans made to businesses, professionals, individuals, and farm and ranch operations located in the primary trade areas served by our subsidiary banks. Real estate loans represent loans primarily for 1-4 family residences and owner-occupied commercial real estate. The structure of loans in the real estate mortgage classification generally provides repricing intervals to minimize the interest rate risk inherent in long-term fixed rate loans. As of June 30, 2010, total loans were \$1.52 billion, an increase of \$5.3 million, as compared to December 31, 2009. As compared to December 31, 2009, commercial, financial and agricultural loans decreased \$44.9 million, real estate construction loans increased \$11.1 million, real estate mortgage loans increased \$39.2 million, and consumer loans decreased \$112 thousand. Loans averaged \$1.51 billion during the second quarter of 2010, an increase of \$29.8 million from the prior year second quarter average balances.

Table 5 Composition of Loans (in thousands):

	June 30,		December
	2010	2009	31,
			2009
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 463,560	\$ 464,377	\$ 508,431
Real estate construction	88,777	104,168	77,711
Real estate mortgage	791,951	715,211	752,735
Consumer	175,384	195,366	175,492
	\$ 1,519,672	\$ 1,479,122	\$ 1,514,369

At June 30, 2010, our real estate loans represent approximately 58.4% of our loan portfolio and are comprised of (i) commercial real estate loans of 33.2%, generally owner occupied, (ii) 1-4 family residence loans of 34.7%, (iii) residential development and construction loans of 7.6%, which includes our custom and speculation home construction loans, (iv) commercial development and construction loans of 4.1% and (v) other loans of 20.3%.

Asset Quality. Loan portfolios of each of our subsidiary banks are subject to periodic reviews by our centralized independent loan review group as well as periodic examinations by state and Federal bank regulatory agencies. Loans are placed on nonaccrual status when, in the judgment of management, the collectibility of principal or interest under the original terms becomes doubtful. Nonperforming assets, which are comprised of nonperforming loans, loans still accruing and past due 90 days or more and foreclosed assets, were \$22.5 million at June 30, 2010, as compared to \$14.1 million at June 30, 2009. As a percent of loans and foreclosed assets, nonperforming assets were 1.48% at June 30, 2010, as compared to 0.95% at June 30, 2009. The increased level of nonperforming assets is a result of a slowing real estate market and the recession.

Table of Contents**Table 6 Nonaccrual Loans, Loans Still Accruing and Past Due 90 Days or More, Restructured Loans and Foreclosed Assets (in thousands, except percentages):**

	June 30,		December 31,
	2010	2009	2009
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 14,240	\$ 10,242	\$ 18,540
Loans still accruing and past due 90 days or more	1	72	15
Restructured loans			
Foreclosed assets	8,306	3,755	3,533
Total	\$ 22,547	\$ 14,069	\$ 22,088
As a % of loans and foreclosed assets	1.48%	0.95%	1.46%
As a % of total assets	0.68%	0.46%	0.67%

The majority of our nonaccrual loans are in our bank subsidiaries closer to the Dallas-Fort Worth metroplex where we have experienced more credit deterioration in our loan portfolio. The major categories of nonaccrual loans at June 30, 2010 are (i) 1-4 family residences (44%), (ii) ranches (22%) and (iii) lots for development (18%).

We record interest payments received on impaired loans as interest income unless collections of the remaining recorded investment are placed on nonaccrual, at which time we record payments received as reductions of principal. Interest income amounts related to these non-accrual loans were not significant for the second quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is the amount we determine as of a specific date to be adequate to absorb probable losses on existing loans in which full collectability is unlikely based on our review and evaluation of the loan portfolio. For a discussion of our methodology, see Critical Accounting Policies Allowance for Loan Losses earlier in this section. The provision for loan losses was \$3.0 million for the second quarter of 2010, as compared to \$1.6 million for the second quarter of 2009. The provision for loan losses was \$5.0 million for the first six months of 2010 as compared to \$3.3 million for the first six months of 2009. The increase in the provision in 2010 was due to concern for the continuing national recession, a higher level of nonperforming assets and an increase in net charge-offs. As a percent of average loans, net loan charge-offs were 0.73% for the second quarter of 2010 compared to 0.27% during the second quarter of 2009. As a percent of average loans, net loan charge-offs were 0.49% for the six-month period of 2010 compared to 0.22% for the same period in 2009. The increase in the level of net charge-offs in 2010 was primarily from one commercial customer resulting in a \$1.8 million charge-off. The allowance for loan losses as a percent of loans was 1.91% as of June 30, 2010, as compared to 1.57% as of June 30, 2009. Included in Table 7 is further analysis of our allowance for loan losses compared to charge-offs.

Table of Contents

Although we believe we use the best information available to make loan loss allowance determinations, future adjustments could be necessary if circumstances or economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making our initial determinations. The current downturn in the economy or higher unemployment could result in increased levels of nonperforming assets and charge-offs and increased loan loss provisions, with corresponding reductions in net income. Additionally, as an integral part of their examination process, bank regulatory agencies periodically review the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses. The banking agencies could require the recognition of additions to the loan loss allowance based on their judgment of information available to them at the time of their examination.

Table 7 Loan Loss Experience and Allowance for Loan Losses (in thousands, except percentages):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 28,750	\$ 22,652	\$ 27,612	\$ 21,529
Charge-offs:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	2,181	204	2,273	519
Real Estate	315	491	967	683
Consumer	474	530	789	916
Total charge-offs	2,970	1,225	4,029	2,118
Recoveries:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	(53)	(72)	(91)	(148)
Real Estate	(19)	(20)	(66)	(35)
Consumer	(129)	(140)	(231)	(305)
Total recoveries	(201)	(232)	(388)	(488)
Net charge-offs	2,769	993	3,641	1,630
Provision for loan losses	2,973	1,588	4,983	3,348
Balance at June 30	\$ 28,954	\$ 23,247	\$ 28,954	\$ 23,247
Loans at period end	\$ 1,519,672	\$ 1,479,122	\$ 1,519,672	\$ 1,479,122
Average loans	1,511,587	1,481,792	1,502,504	1,524,211
Net charge-offs/average loans (annualized)	0.73%	0.27%	0.49%	0.22%
Allowance for loan losses/period-end loans	1.91	1.57	1.91	1.57
Allowance for loan losses/nonaccrual loans, past due 90 days still accruing and restructured loans	203.31	225.39	203.31	225.39

The ratio of our allowance to nonaccrual, past due 90 days still accruing and restructured loans has trended downward since 2007, as the economic conditions worsened. Although the ratio declined substantially in 2010 and 2009 from prior years when net charge-offs and nonperforming asset levels were historically low, management believes the allowance for loan losses is adequate at June 30, 2010 in spite of these trends.

Table of Contents

Interest-Bearing Deposits in Banks. As of June 30, 2010, our interest-bearing deposits were \$139.5 million compared with \$17.3 million and \$167.3 million as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2009, respectively. At June 30, 2010, interest-bearing deposits in banks included \$73.8 million invested in FDIC-insured certificates of deposit, \$25.1 million invested in money market accounts at a nonaffiliated regional bank, and \$39.2 million maintained at the Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas. The increase in our interest-bearing deposits in banks was the result of several factors; including relatively lower loan demand, cash flows from maturing investment securities and a growth in deposits.

Trading Securities. As of June 30, 2009, trading securities totaled \$31.2 million. No amounts were held in trading securities at June 30, 2010 or December 31, 2009. The trading securities portfolio is a government securities money market fund comprised primarily of U.S. government agency securities and repurchase agreements collateralized by U.S. government agency securities. The trading securities are carried at estimated fair value with unrealized gains and losses included in earnings. The Company invested in trading securities in 2009 to improve its yield on daily funds and to lower its exposure on Federal funds. However, due to significantly lower interest rates, the Company has deployed these funds into our investment portfolio and into certificates of deposit at unaffiliated banks.

Available-for-Sale and Held-to-Maturity Securities. At June 30, 2010, securities with an amortized cost of \$11.1 million were classified as securities held-to-maturity and securities with a fair value of \$1.40 billion were classified as securities available-for-sale. As compared to December 31, 2009, the available for sale portfolio, carried at fair value, at June 30, 2010, reflected (i) an increase of \$80.0 million in U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government sponsored-enterprises and agencies, (ii) an increase of \$22.9 million in obligations of states and political subdivisions, (iii) a \$7.6 million decrease in corporate and other bonds, and (iv) a \$37.0 million increase in mortgage-backed securities. Our mortgage related securities are backed by GNMA, FNMA or FHLMC or are collateralized by securities guaranteed by these agencies.

Table of Contents**Table 8 Composition of Available-for-Sale and Held-to-Maturity Securities (dollars in thousands):**

	June 30, 2010			
	Amortized	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated
	Cost Basis			Fair Value
Securities held-to-maturity:				
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	\$ 10,546	\$ 286	\$ (9)	\$ 10,823
Residential mortgage-backed securities	561	19		580
Total debt securities held-to-maturity	\$ 11,107	\$ 305	\$ (9)	\$ 11,403
Securities available-for-sale:				
U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government sponsored-enterprises and agencies	\$ 341,158	\$ 10,916	\$	\$ 352,074
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	460,466	18,599	(489)	478,576
Corporate bonds and other	66,361	4,946		71,307
Residential mortgage-backed securities	477,432	23,061	(2)	500,491
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 1,345,417	\$ 57,522	\$ (491)	\$ 1,402,448
	December 31, 2009			
	Amortized	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding Losses	Estimated
	Cost Basis			Fair Value
Securities held-to-maturity:				
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	\$ 14,652	\$ 392	\$ (6)	\$ 15,038
Residential mortgage-backed securities	621	16	(1)	636
Total debt securities held-to-maturity	\$ 15,273	\$ 408	\$ (7)	\$ 15,674
Securities available-for-sale:				
Obligations of U.S. government sponsored-enterprises and agencies	\$ 260,018	\$ 12,050	\$	\$ 272,068
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	437,550	18,643	(561)	455,632
Corporate bonds and other	73,858	5,028		78,886
Residential mortgage-backed securities	442,823	20,995	(300)	463,518
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 1,214,249	\$ 56,716	\$ (861)	\$ 1,270,104

Table of Contents

During the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, sales of investment securities that were classified as available-for-sale totaled \$11.8 million and \$30.0 million, respectively. Gross realized gains from 2010 and 2009 securities sales totaled \$72 thousand and \$498 thousand, respectively. There were no losses on securities sales during these periods. During the six-months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, sales of investment securities that were classified as available-for-sale totaled \$15.0 million and \$35.4 million, respectively. Gross realized gains from 2010 and 2009 securities sales totaled \$72 thousand and \$747 thousand, respectively. There were no losses realized on securities sales during these periods. The specific identification method was used to determine cost on computing the realized gains.

Table 9 Maturities and Yields of Available-for-Sale and Held-to-Maturity Securities Held at June 30, 2010 (in thousands, except percentages):

	One Year or Less		After One Year Through Five Years		Maturing After Five Years Through Ten Years		After Ten Years		Total	
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
Held-to-Maturity:										
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 6,164	7.28%	\$ 4,107	6.95%	\$ 135	6.01%	\$ 140	6.76%	\$ 10,546	7.13%
Residential mortgage-backed securities	13	6.01	332	4.50	216	3.36			561	4.21
Total	\$ 6,177	7.27%	\$ 4,439	6.75%	\$ 351	4.38%	\$ 140	6.76%	\$ 11,107	6.98%
	One Year or Less		After One Year Through Five Years		Maturing After Five Years Through Ten Years		After Ten Years		Total	
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
Available-for-Sale:										
U. S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government sponsored-enterprises and agencies	\$ 124,328	3.39%	\$ 227,746	3.00%	\$		%\$		%\$ 352,074	3.14%
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	24,871	5.80	151,951	5.63	254,765	6.20	46,989	6.20	478,576	6.00
Corporate bonds and other securities	24,591	4.33	39,922	4.78	6,794	7.08			71,307	4.81
Residential mortgage-backed securities	23,434	5.18	403,667	4.73	73,383	3.97	7	4.26	500,491	4.64
Total	\$ 197,224	4.03%	\$ 823,286	4.35%	\$ 334,942	5.73%	\$ 46,996	6.20%	\$ 1,402,448	4.73%

Total Available-for-Sale and Held- to-Maturity Securities:	One Year or Less		After One Year Through Five Years		Maturing After Five Years Through Ten Years		After Ten Years		Total	
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
U. S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government sponsored-enterprises and agencies	\$ 124,328	3.39%	\$ 227,746	3.00%	\$	%\$	%\$	%\$	352,074	3.14%
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	31,035	6.09	156,058	5.67	254,900	6.20	47,129	6.20	489,122	6.02
Corporate bonds and other securities	24,591	4.33	39,922	4.78	6,794	7.08			71,307	4.81
Residential mortgage-backed securities	23,447	5.18	403,999	4.73	73,599	3.97	7	4.26	501,052	4.64
Total	\$ 203,401	4.13%	\$ 827,725	4.36%	\$ 335,293	5.73%	\$ 47,136	6.20%	\$ 1,413,555	4.75%

All yields are computed on a tax-equivalent basis assuming a marginal tax rate of 35%. Yields on available-for-sale securities are based on amortized cost. Maturities of mortgage-backed securities are based on contractual maturities and could differ due to prepayments of underlying mortgages. Maturities of other securities are reported at the sooner of maturity date or call date.

Table of Contents**Table 10 Disclosure of Available-for-Sale and Held-to-Maturity Securities with Continuous Unrealized Loss**

The following tables disclose, as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, our available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities that have been in a continuous unrealized-loss position for less than 12 months and those that have been in a continuous unrealized-loss position for 12 or more months (in thousands):

	Less than 12 Months Unrealized		12 Months or Longer Unrealized		Total Unrealized	
	Fair Value	Loss	Fair Value	Loss	Fair Value	Loss
June 30, 2010						
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	\$ 16,150	\$ 322	\$ 4,313	\$ 176	\$ 20,463	\$ 498
Residential mortgage-backed securities	515	2			515	2
Total	\$ 16,665	\$ 324	\$ 4,313	\$ 176	\$ 20,978	\$ 500

	Less than 12 Months Unrealized		12 Months or Longer Unrealized		Total Unrealized	
	Fair Value	Loss	Fair Value	Loss	Fair Value	Loss
December 31, 2009						
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	\$ 21,703	\$ 428	\$ 2,798	\$ 139	\$ 24,501	\$ 567
Residential mortgage-backed securities	27,619	300	82	1	27,701	301
Total	\$ 49,322	\$ 728	\$ 2,880	\$ 140	\$ 52,202	\$ 868

The number of investment positions in this unrealized loss position totaled 53 at June 30, 2010. We do not believe these unrealized losses are other than temporary as (i) we do not have the intent to sell our securities prior to recovery and/or maturity and (ii) it is more likely than not that we will not have to sell our securities prior to recovery and/or maturity. The unrealized losses noted are interest rate related due to the level of interest rates at June 30, 2010 compared to the time of purchase. We have reviewed the ratings of the issuers and have not identified any issues related to the ultimate repayment of principal as a result of credit concerns on these securities. Our mortgage related securities are guaranteed by GNMA, FNMA and FHLMC or are collateralized by securities backed by these agencies. As of June 30, 2010, the investment portfolio had an overall tax equivalent yield of 4.75%, a weighted average life of 3.81 years and modified duration of 3.31 years.

Deposits. Deposits held by subsidiary banks represent our primary source of funding. Total deposits were \$2.71 billion as of June 30, 2010, as compared to \$2.47 billion as of June 30, 2009. Table 11 provides a breakdown of average deposits and rates paid for the second quarter and six month period ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

Table of Contents**Table 11 Composition of Average Deposits (in thousands, except percentages):**

	Three Months Ended June 30,			
	2010		2009	
	Average Balance	Average Rate	Average Balance	Average Rate
Noninterest-bearing deposits	\$ 801,216	%	\$ 753,473	%
Interest-bearing deposits				
Interest-bearing checking	657,979	0.31	592,393	0.33
Savings and money market accounts	459,215	0.31	432,981	0.43
Time deposits under \$100,000	346,724	1.31	362,519	1.72
Time deposits of \$100,000 or more	438,579	1.34	347,747	1.90
Total interest-bearing deposits	1,902,497	0.73%	1,735,640	0.96%
Total average deposits	\$ 2,703,713		\$ 2,489,113	

	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2010		2009	
	Average Balance	Average Rate	Average Balance	Average Rate
Noninterest-bearing deposits	\$ 794,570	%	\$ 754,851	%
Interest-bearing deposits				
Interest-bearing checking	671,413	0.30	612,595	0.36
Savings and money market accounts	456,606	0.33	432,031	0.45
Time deposits under \$100,000	348,102	1.35	366,458	1.86
Time deposits of \$100,000 or more	422,193	1.40	340,179	2.07
Total interest-bearing deposits	1,898,314	0.74%	1,751,263	1.03%
Total average deposits	\$ 2,692,884		\$ 2,506,114	

Short-Term Borrowings. Included in short-term borrowings were Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements of \$159.5 million and \$176.7 million at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Securities sold under repurchase agreements are generally with significant customers that require short-term liquidity for their funds which we pledge our securities that have a fair value equal to at least the amount of the short-term borrowing. The average balance of Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements was \$177.4 million and \$171.9 million in the second quarters of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The average rates paid on Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements were 0.30% and 0.45% for the second quarters of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The average balance of Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements was \$175.6 million and \$201.4 million in the first six month periods of 2010 and 2009, respectively. The average rates paid on Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements were 0.34% and 0.45% for the first six month periods of 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents**Capital Resources**

We evaluate capital resources by our ability to maintain adequate regulatory capital ratios to do business in the banking industry. Issues related to capital resources arise primarily when we are growing at an accelerated rate but not retaining a significant amount of our profits or when we experience significant asset quality deterioration.

Total shareholders' equity was \$431.0 million, or 12.92% of total assets, at June 30, 2010, as compared to \$388.9 million, or 12.64% of total assets, at June 30, 2009. Included in shareholders' equity at June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, were \$37.1 million and \$23.1 million, respectively, in unrealized gains on investment securities available-for-sale, net of related income taxes. For the second quarter of 2010, total shareholders' equity averaged \$426.0 million, or 12.75% of average assets, as compared to \$389.1 million, or 12.62% of average assets, during the same period in 2009. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, total shareholders' equity averaged \$422.1 million, or 12.70% of average assets, as compared to \$385.0 million, or 12.32% of average assets, during the same period in 2009.

Banking regulators measure capital adequacy by means of the risk-based capital ratio and leverage ratio. The risk-based capital rules provide for the weighting of assets and off-balance-sheet commitments and contingencies according to prescribed risk categories ranging from 0% to 100%. Regulatory capital is then divided by risk-weighted assets to determine the risk-adjusted capital ratios. The leverage ratio is computed by dividing shareholders' equity less intangible assets by quarter-to-date average assets less intangible assets. Regulatory minimums for total risk-based and leverage ratios are 8.00% and 3.00%, respectively. As of June 30, 2010, our total risk-based and leverage capital ratios were 19.48% and 10.63%, respectively, as compared to total risk-based and leverage capital ratios of 18.61% and 10.53% as of June 30, 2009. We believe by all measurements our capital ratios remain well above regulatory requirements to be considered well capitalized by the regulators.

Interest Rate Risk. Interest rate risk results when the maturity or repricing intervals of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities are different. Our exposure to interest rate risk is managed primarily through our strategy of selecting the types and terms of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities that generate favorable earnings while limiting the potential negative effects of changes in market interest rates. We use no off-balance-sheet financial instruments to manage or hedge interest rate risk.

Each of our subsidiary banks has an asset liability management committee that monitors interest rate risk and compliance with investment policies; there is also a holding company-wide committee that monitors the aggregate Company's interest rate risk and compliance with investment policies. The Company and each subsidiary bank utilize an earnings simulation model as the primary quantitative tool in measuring the amount of interest rate risk associated with changing market rates. The model quantifies the effects of various interest rate scenarios on projected net interest income and net income over the next twelve months. The model measures the impact on net interest income relative to a base case scenario of hypothetical fluctuations in interest rates over the next twelve months. These simulations incorporate assumptions regarding balance sheet growth and mix, pricing and the repricing and maturity characteristics of the existing and projected balance sheet.

As of June 30, 2010, the model simulations projected that 100 and 200 basis point increases in interest rates would result in negative variances in net interest income of 0.38% and 0.01%, respectively, relative to the base case over the next twelve months, while decreases in interest rates of 50 basis points would result in a positive variance in a net interest income of 0.16% relative to the base case over the next twelve months. The likelihood of a decrease in interest rates beyond 50 basis points as of June 30, 2010 is considered remote given current interest rate levels. These are good faith estimates and assume that the composition of our interest sensitive assets and liabilities existing at each year-end will remain constant over the relevant twelve month measurement period and that changes in market interest rates are

Table of Contents

instantaneous and sustained across the yield curve regardless of duration of pricing characteristics of specific assets or liabilities. Also, this analysis does not contemplate any actions that we might undertake in response to changes in market interest rates. We believe these estimates are not necessarily indicative of what actually could occur in the event of immediate interest rate increases or decreases of this magnitude. As interest-bearing assets and liabilities reprice in different time frames and proportions to market interest rate movements, various assumptions must be made based on historical relationships of these variables in reaching any conclusion. Since these correlations are based on competitive and market conditions, we anticipate that our future results will likely be different from the foregoing estimates, and such differences could be material.

Should we be unable to maintain a reasonable balance of maturities and repricing of our interest-earning assets and our interest-bearing liabilities, we could be required to dispose of our assets in an unfavorable manner or pay a higher than market rate to fund our activities. Our asset liability committees oversee and monitor this risk.

Liquidity

Liquidity is our ability to meet cash demands as they arise. Such needs can develop from loan demand, deposit withdrawals or acquisition opportunities. Potential obligations resulting from the issuance of standby letters of credit and commitments to fund future borrowings to our loan customers are other factors affecting our liquidity needs. Many of these obligations and commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon; therefore the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements affecting our liquidity position. The potential need for liquidity arising from these types of financial instruments is represented by the contractual notional amount of the instrument. Asset liquidity is provided by cash and assets which are readily marketable or which will mature in the near future. Liquid assets include cash, Federal funds sold, and short-term investments in time deposits in banks. Liquidity is also provided by access to funding sources, which include core depositors and correspondent banks that maintain accounts with and sell Federal funds to our subsidiary banks. Other sources of funds include our ability to borrow from short-term sources, such as purchasing Federal funds from correspondents and sales of securities under agreements to repurchase, which amounted to \$159.5 million at June 30, 2010, and an unfunded \$25.0 million line of credit established with a nonaffiliated bank which matures on June 30, 2011. First Financial Bank, N. A., Abilene also has Federal funds purchased lines of credit with two non-affiliated banks totaling \$60.0 million. No amount was outstanding at June 30, 2010.

On December 30, 2009, we renewed our loan agreement, effective December 31, 2009, with The Frost National Bank. Under the loan agreement, as renewed and amended, we are permitted to draw up to \$25.0 million on a revolving line of credit. Prior to June 30, 2011, interest is paid quarterly at Wall Street Journal Prime, and the line of credit matures June 30, 2011. If a balance exists at June 30, 2011, the principal balance converts to a term facility payable quarterly over five years and interest is paid quarterly at our election at Wall Street Journal Prime plus 50 basis points or LIBOR plus 250 basis points. The line of credit is unsecured. Among other provisions in the credit agreement, we must satisfy certain financial covenants during the term of the loan agreement, including, without limitation, covenants that require us to maintain certain capital, tangible net worth, loan loss reserve, non-performing asset and cash flow coverage ratio. In addition, the credit agreement contains certain operational covenants, that among others, restricts the payment of dividends above 55% of consolidated net income, limits the incurrence of debt (excluding any amounts acquired in an acquisition) and prohibits the disposal of assets except in the ordinary course of business. Since 1995, we have historically declared dividends as a percentage of our consolidated net income in a range of 37% (low) in 1995 to 53% (high) in 2003 and 2006. Management believes the Company was in compliance with financial covenants at June 30, 2010. There was no outstanding balance under the line of credit as of June 30, 2010, or December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

Given the strong core deposit base, relatively low loan to deposit ratios maintained at our subsidiary banks and dividend capacity of our subsidiary banks, we consider our current liquidity position to be adequate to meet our short- and long-term liquidity needs.

In addition, we anticipate that any future acquisition of financial institutions, expansion of branch locations or offering of new products could also place a demand on our cash resources. Available cash and interest-bearing deposits in banks at our parent company, which totaled \$50.1 million at June 30, 2010, investment securities which totaled \$13.7 million (of which 61.3% matures within 2.3 years and the remaining portion in 12 years), available dividends from subsidiary banks which totaled \$41.5 million at June 30, 2010, utilization of available lines of credit, and future debt or equity offerings are expected to be the source of funding for these potential acquisitions or expansions. Existing cash resources at our subsidiary banks may also be used as a source of funding for these potential acquisitions or expansions.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. We are a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of our customers. These financial instruments include unfunded lines of credit, commitments to extend credit and Federal funds sold and standby letters of credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in our consolidated balance sheets.

Our exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the counterparty to the financial instrument for unfunded lines of credit, commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual notional amount of these instruments. We generally use the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as we do for on-balance-sheet instruments.

Unfunded lines of credit and commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. These commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. We evaluate each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, as we deem necessary upon extension of credit, is based on our credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant, and equipment and income-producing commercial properties.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments we issue to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The average collateral value held on letters of credit usually exceeds the contract amount.

Table 12 Commitments as of June 30, 2010 (in thousands):

	Total Notional Amounts Committed
Unfunded lines of credit	\$ 310,107
Unfunded commitments to extend credit	60,230
Standby letters of credit	21,347
 Total commercial commitments	 \$ 391,684

We believe we have no other off-balance sheet arrangements or transactions with unconsolidated, special purpose entities that would expose us to liability that is not reflected on the face of the financial statements.

Table of Contents

Parent Company Funding. Our ability to fund various operating expenses, dividends, and cash acquisitions is generally dependent on our own earnings (without giving effect to our subsidiaries), cash reserves and funds derived from our subsidiary banks. These funds historically have been produced by intercompany dividends and management fees that are limited to reimbursement of actual expenses. We anticipate that our recurring cash sources will continue to include dividends and management fees from our subsidiary banks. At June 30, 2010, approximately \$41.5 million was available for the payment of intercompany dividends by the subsidiaries without the prior approval of regulatory agencies.

Dividends. Our long-term dividend policy is to pay cash dividends to our shareholders of between 40% and 55% of net earnings while maintaining adequate capital to support growth. The cash dividend payout ratios have amounted to 50.78% and 51.89% of net earnings, respectively, for the first half of 2010 and the same period in 2009. Given our current capital position and projected earnings and asset growth rates, we do not anticipate any significant change in our current dividend policy.

Our state bank subsidiary, which is a member of the Federal Reserve System, and each of our national banking association subsidiaries are required by Federal law to obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board and the OCC, respectively, to declare and pay dividends if the total of all dividends declared in any calendar year would exceed the total of (1) such bank's net profits (as defined and interpreted by regulation) for that year plus (2) its retained net profits (as defined and interpreted by regulation) for the preceding two calendar years, less any required transfers to surplus. In addition, these banks may only pay dividends to the extent that retained net profits (including the portion transferred to surplus) exceed bad debts (as defined by regulation).

To pay dividends, we and our subsidiary banks must maintain adequate capital above regulatory guidelines. In addition, if the applicable regulatory authority believes that a bank under its jurisdiction is engaged in or is about to engage in an unsafe or unsound practice (which, depending on the financial condition of the bank, could include the payment of dividends), the authority may require, after notice and hearing, that such bank cease and desist from the unsafe practice. The Federal Reserve Board, the FDIC and the OCC have each indicated that paying dividends that deplete a bank's capital base to an inadequate level would be an unsafe and unsound banking practice. The Federal Reserve Board, the OCC and the FDIC have issued policy statements that recommend that bank holding companies and insured banks should generally only pay dividends out of current operating earnings. In addition, under the Texas Finance Code, a Texas banking association may not pay a dividend that would reduce its outstanding capital and surplus unless it obtains approval of the Texas Banking Commissioner.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Management considers interest rate risk to be a significant market risk for the Company. See Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Capital Resources Interest Rate Risk for disclosure regarding this market risk.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of June 30, 2010, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our management, which includes our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures will prevent all errors and all fraud.

Table of Contents

A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints; additionally, the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls also is based, in part, upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate due to changes in conditions; also the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded based on our evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, that our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined, under Rule 13a-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, are effective at the reasonable assurance level as of June 30, 2010. Subsequent to our evaluation, there were no significant changes in internal controls or other factors that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, these internal controls.

Table of Contents

**PART II
OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed as part of this report:

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Formation (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Form 10-Q Quarterly Report for the quarter ended March 31, 2006).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws, and all amendments thereto, of the Registrant (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3.2 of the Registrant's Form 10-K Annual Report for the ended December 31, 2008).
- 4.1 Specimen certificate of First Financial Common Stock (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3 of the Registrant's Amendment No. 1 to Form 8-A filed on Form 8-A/A No. 1 on January 7, 1994).
- 10.1 Executive Recognition Plan (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Form 8-K Report filed July 1, 2010).
- 10.2 1992 Incentive Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed May 4, 2010).
- 10.3 2002 Incentive Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed May 4, 2010).
- 10.4 Loan agreement dated December 31, 2004, between First Financial Bankshares, Inc. and The Frost National Bank (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.4 of the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed May 4, 2010).
- 10.5 First Amendment to Loan Agreement, dated December 28, 2005, between First Financial Bankshares, Inc. and The Frost National Bank (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Form 8-K filed December 28, 2005).
- 10.6 Second Amendment to Loan Agreement, dated December 31, 2006, between First Financial Bankshares, Inc. and The Frost National Bank (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Form 8-K filed December 31, 2006).
- 10.7 Third Amendment to Loan Agreement, dated December 31, 2007, between First Financial Bankshares, Inc. and The Frost National Bank (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.4 of the Registrant's Form 8-K filed December 31, 2007).
- 10.8 Fourth Amendment to Loan Agreement, dated July 24, 2008, between First Financial Bankshares, Inc. and The Frost National Bank (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.10 of the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed July 25, 2008).
- 10.9 Fifth Amendment to Loan Agreement, dated December 19, 2008, between First Financial Bankshares, Inc. and The Frost National Bank (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.6 of the Registrant's Form 8-K filed December 22, 2008).
- 10.10 Sixth Amendment to Loan Agreement, dated June 16, 2009, signed June 30, 2009, between First Financial Bankshares, Inc. and The Frost National Bank (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.7 of

the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on June 30, 2009).

- 10.11 Seventh Amendment to Loan Agreement, dated December 30, 2009, between First Financial Bankshares, Inc. and The Frost National Bank (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.8 of the Registrant's Form 8-K filed December 30, 2009).
- *31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) / 15(d)-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer of First Financial Bankshares, Inc.
- *31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) / 15(d)-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer of First Financial Bankshares, Inc.
- *32.1 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer of First Financial Bankshares, Inc.
- *32.2 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer of First Financial Bankshares, Inc.

* Filed herewith

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FIRST FINANCIAL BANKSHARES, INC.

Date: July 28, 2010

**By: /s/ F. Scott Dueser
F. Scott Dueser
President and Chief Executive Officer**

Date: July 28, 2010

**By: /s/ J. Bruce Hildebrand
J. Bruce Hildebrand
Executive Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer**