MICROTUNE INC Form 10-Q August 14, 2002

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark one)

[x] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2002

OR

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to ____ to

Commission file number 000-31029-40

MICROTUNE, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of Incorporation or organization)

75-2883117 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

2201 10th Street
Plano, Texas 75074
(Address of principal executive office and zip code)

(972) 673-1600

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES [x] NO []

As of July 31, 2002, 53,587,104 shares of the Registrant's common stock were outstanding.

-1-

Microtune, Inc.

FORM 10-Q June 30, 2002

INDEX

	Page
Part I. Financial Information	
Item 1. Financial Statements	3
Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001 (unaudited)	3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited)	4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited)	5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	6
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	14
Item 3. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosure About Market Risk	19
Part II. Other Information	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	19
Item 2. Changes In Securities and Use of Proceeds	20
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	20
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	20
<pre>Item 5. Other Information</pre>	21
Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	21
Signatures	22
Exhibit	23
Forward Looking Statements	
This document includes certain forward-looking statements within the meaning the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are	_

This document includes certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are based on management's current expectations and are subject to uncertainty and changes in circumstances. Actual results may differ materially from these expectations due to changes in global political, economic, business, competitive and market factors.

-2-

PART I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

Microtune, Inc.

Consolidated Balance Sheets (in thousands, except per share data)

(unaudited)

Assets	June 30, 2002
Current assets:	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 148,684
\$601 at June 30, 2002 and \$592 at December 31, 2001	16,432
Inventories	15 , 014 319
Other current assets	2,898
Total current assets	183,347
Property and equipment, net	19,284
June 30, 2002 and \$4,016 at December 31, 2001	59,011
2002 and December 31, 2001	51,040
Deferred income taxes	1,805 981
Total assets	\$ 315,468 =======
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	
Current liabilities:	
Accounts payable	\$ 8,080
Accrued expenses	11,422 2,584
Total current liabilities	22,086
Deferred income taxes	595
Other noncurrent liabilities	2,364
Conuni Chiefics	
Stockholders' equity: Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value per share	
Authorized shares - 25,000 at June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001	_
Common stock, \$0.001 par value per share Authorized shares - 150,000 at June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001; issued and outstanding shares - 53,567 at June 30,	
2002 and 52,737 at December 31, 2001	53
Additional paid-in capital	449,408
Unearned stock compensation	(20,226)
Loans receivable from stockholders	(425)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(988) (137 , 399)
Total stockholders' equity	290 , 423
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 315,468

See accompanying notes.

Microtune, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Operations (in thousands, except per share data) (unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		
	2002	2001	
Net revenues	\$ 23,179 14,801	\$ 14,455 10,101	\$
Gross margin Operating expenses: Research and development:	8 , 378	4,354	
Stock option compensation	2,577 10,862	339 3,579	
Acquired in-process research and development Selling, general and administration: Stock option compensation	13,439 - 702 4,970	3,918 - 420 4,249	
Restructuring Costs	5,672 - 2,703	4,669 - 1,804	
Total operating expenses	21,814	10,391	
Loss from operations Other income (expense): Interest income (expense), net Foreign currency translation and transaction gains (losses), net Other	(13,436) 789 (348) 47	(6,037) 814 (1,221) 18	
Loss before income taxes	(12,948) 327	(6,426) (185)	
Net loss	\$ (13,275) ======	\$ (6,241) ======	 \$ ==
Basic and diluted loss per common share	\$ (0.25) ======	\$ (0.16) ======	\$
Weighted-average shares used in computing basic and diluted loss per common share	52,953	39,327	

See accompanying notes.

Microtune, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (in thousands) (unaudited)

	Six Months En
	2002
Operating activities:	
Net loss	\$ (26 , 392)
Depreciation	3,119
Amortization of intangible assets	5 , 385
Amortization of goodwill	_
Foreign currency translation and transaction gains (losses), net	696
Amortization of deferred stock option compensation	6,614
Deferred income taxes	(258)
Accounts receivable	(1,852)
Inventories	(5,614)
Other assets	563
Accounts payable	224
Other operating accrued expenses	(3,295)
Accrued compensation	229
Net cash used in operating activities	(20,581)
Purchases of property and equipment	(3,205)
Sale of property and equipment	71
Loans receivable	(122)
Purchase of intangible assets	(260)
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,516)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options and	
from shares purchased under Employee Stock Purchase Plan	1,282
Loans receivable from stockholders	(390)
Other, net	(564)
other, net	
Net cash provided by financing activities	328
Effect of foreign currency exchange rate changes on cash	(696)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(24,465)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	173,149
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 148,684

See accompanying notes.

Microtune, Inc.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
June 30, 2002
(unaudited)

Basis of Presentation

General

The accompanying unaudited financial statements as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 have been prepared by Microtune, Inc. (the Company), pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments which are of a normal and recurring nature and are necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2002 have been made. Results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2002, are not necessarily indicative of results of operations to be expected for the entire year or any other period.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Adoption of New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations, effective as of June 20, 2001 and SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. Under the new rules, the pooling-of-interests method of accounting for business combinations has been eliminated. Also, the criteria for recognizing acquired intangible assets apart from goodwill has been changed, and acquired goodwill and intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives are no longer amortized, but are subject to annual impairment tests in accordance with SFAS No. 141 and SFAS No. 142. Other intangible assets will continue to be amortized over their useful lives.

The Company has applied the new rules on accounting for goodwill and acquired intangible assets beginning December 31, 2001. Intangible assets that do not meet the criteria for recognition apart from goodwill must be reclassified. As a result, intangible assets relating to an acquired workforce of \$701,600, net of the related accumulated amortization at December 31, 2001 of \$175,400 were reclassified to goodwill as of December 31, 2001. See Note 7 for further detail of intangible assets.

With the application of the nonamortization provisions of SFAS No. 142, the Company ceased amortization of goodwill as of January 1, 2002. The following table presents the quarterly results of the Company on a comparable basis assuming the nonamortizaton provisions of SFAS No. 142 were effective January 1, 2001 (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six	
	2002	2001	2002	
Net Loss:				
Reported net loss	\$(13,275)	\$ (6,241)	\$(26 , 392	
Goodwill and workforce amortization	_	1,432	_	
Adjusted net loss	\$ (13 , 275)	\$ (4,809)	\$(26 , 392	
	=======	=======	=======	
Basic and diluted loss per common share:				
Reported net loss	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.16)	\$ (0.50	
Goodwill and workforce amortization	-	.04	_	
Adjusted net loss	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.50	
•	=======	=======	=======	

As of January 1, 2002, the Company completed the initial goodwill impairment test required by SFAS No. 142 and determined that no impairment existed at that date. The assessment of goodwill impairment in the future may be impacted if projected future operating cash flows of the Company are not achieved, resulting in decreases in the related estimated fair market values.

In October 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. This statement establishes new rules for determining impairment of certain other long-lived assets, including intangible assets subject to amortization, property and equipment and long-term prepaid assets. The adoption of this standard did not have an effect on the operating results or the financial position of the Company.

2. Business Acquisitions

On November 28, 2001, the Company acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of Transilica Inc. (Transilica), a privately-held company based in California. Transilica was engaged in research and development of silicon and system-on-chip products for short-range wireless applications. The consideration in the acquisition consisted of 7,206,187 shares of the Company's common stock. In addition, the Company assumed Transilica stock options which represent 831,967 options for the Company's common stock. The merger agreement also provided that approximately 15% of the total shares of the Company's common stock issued to Transilica shareholders at the time of acquisition be placed in escrow for the purpose of securing the indemnification obligations of Transilica under the merger agreement. The escrow shares are to be released periodically, subject to any escrow claims, at the end of each of the three years following the closing. The results of operations of Transilica are included in the results of operations of the Company from the date of acquisition. The components of the aggregate cost of the acquisition were as follows (in thousands, except share data):

Fair market value of 7,206,187 shares of common stock
(including 1,206,307 shares placed in escrow)
Fair market value of 831,967 Transilica stock options assumed
Transaction costs
Total acquisition cost

The fair market value of the Company's common stock was based on the closing stock price on the date of acquisition. The fair value of the Transilica stock options assumed was based on the Black-Scholes option valuation model.

The cost of the acquisition has been allocated to the assets and liabilities acquired, acquired in-process research and development and deferred stock compensation, with the remainder recorded as excess cost over net assets acquired, based on estimates of fair values as follows (in thousands):

-7-

Working capital
Noncurrent assets and liabilities, net
Developed technology
Patents
Employment and non-compete agreements
Goodwill
Acquired in-process research and development costs charged to expense
Unearned stock compensation
Total acquisition cost

Unearned stock compensation recorded in connection with the acquisition represents the intrinsic value of Transilica's unvested stock options and restricted common stock shares for which future service is required subsequent to the date of the acquisition in order for employees to vest in the stock options and restricted common stock shares. The amount allocated to unearned stock compensation has been deducted from the estimated fair value of the unvested stock options and restricted common stock shares for purposes of the allocation of purchase price to assets acquired. The unearned stock compensation will be amortized to expense over the remaining vesting period of the unvested stock options and restricted common stock shares of one to four years. The Company is in the process of evaluating the other assets and liabilities acquired in the Transilica acquisition. The final allocation of the purchase price, which is expected to be complete in the fourth quarter of 2002, will be based on the complete evaluation of the acquired assets and liabilities.

On October 16, 2001, the Company acquired a research and design center, located in the Netherlands, which was subsequently renamed the Microtune Holland Design Center (MHDC). MHDC specializes in the design of digital signal processing VLSI chips and associated software, currently targeted at the digital television equipment market. MHDC's products provide decoding and decompression of video and audio that are embedded within the radio-frequency transmitted signals. The consideration in the acquisition consisted of \$3,000,000 of cash and 210,000

shares of the Company's common stock. The results of operations of MHDC are included in the results of operations of the Company from the date of acquisition. The components of the aggregate cost of the acquisition were as follows (in thousands, except share data):

Cash paid to shareholders
Fair market value of 210,000 shares of common stock
Transaction costs
Total acquisition cost

The fair market value of the Company's common stock was based on the closing price as of October 1, 2001, when the terms of the acquisition were agreed to by the parties to the transaction.

The cost of the acquisition has been allocated to the assets and liabilities acquired and to acquired in-process research and development, with the remainder recorded as excess cost over net assets acquired, based on estimates of fair values as follows (in thousands):

Working capital (deficit)
Noncurrent assets and liabilities, net
Developed technology
Goodwill
Acquired in-process research and development costs charged to expense
Deferred income taxes
Total acquisition cost

The acquisitions of Transilica and MHDC have allowed the Company to expand its core RF silicon and systems technologies. These acquisitions provide the Company with complementary wireless silicon solutions that,

-8-

when integrated into consumer or commercial end products, enable users to remotely access data or voice through wireless personal or local areas networks.

The Company's management is primarily responsible for estimating the fair values of intangible assets and acquired in-process research and development. The estimates of the fair values of intangible assets and acquired in-process research and development were determined based on information furnished by management of the companies acquired.

The value of the acquired developed technology, patents, and other intangibles was determined by discounting the estimated projected net cash flows to be generated from the related assets. Projected net cash flows were based on estimates of future revenues and costs related to such assets. The rate used to discount the net cash flows to present value ranged from 17% to 25%.

Amounts allocated to acquired in-process research and development were expensed

at the date of acquisition because the purchased research and development had no alternative future uses, and had not reached technological feasibility based on the status of design and development activities that required further refinement and testing. The estimates used in valuing the research and development were based upon assumptions regarding future events and circumstances management believes to be reasonable, but that are inherently uncertain and unpredictable. The relative stage of completion and projected operating cash flows of the underlying in-process projects acquired were the most significant and uncertain assumptions utilized in the valuation analysis of the acquired in-process research and development. Such uncertainties could give rise to unforeseen budget overruns and revenue shortfalls in the event that the Company is unable to successfully complete and commercialize the projects.

The value of the acquired in-process research and development was determined by discounting the estimated projected net cash flows related to the applicable products of each acquisition for the amount of years as shown in the table below, including costs to complete the development of the technology and the future revenues to be earned upon release of the products. The rates utilized to discount the net cash flows to present value as shown in the table below were based on the weighted average cost of capital adjusted for the risks associated with the estimated growth, profitability, developmental and market risks of the acquired development projects for each acquisition. Projected net cash flows from such products of each acquisition are based on estimates of revenues and operating profit (loss) related to such products. Management expects that the purchased research and development projects generally will be successfully developed into commercially viable products and expects to essentially meet its original cash flows and return expectations for these projects. However, there can be no assurance that commercial viability or timely release of these products will be achieved.

				Estimated Cost / Time to Comple Projects		
Entity Acquired	Acquisition Date	In Process Projects	Discount Rate	At Acquisition	At June 30 2002	
Transilica Inc.	Nov. 2001	Short-range wireless applications	35%	\$3.2 million / Oct. 2002	\$3.0 million Dec. 2002	
SPaSE, B.V.	Oct. 2001	Demodulator design	28%	\$2.7 million / June 2003	\$2.5 million June 2003	

-9-

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period and common equivalent shares consisting of preferred stock, stock options, warrants, restricted stock subject to repurchase rights and employee stock purchase plan options.

The following table sets forth anti-dilutive securities that have been excluded from diluted earnings per share (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2002	200
Stock options	7,866 432	7
Employee stock purchase plan	53	
Total anti-dilutive securities excluded	8,351	7
Total anti-dilutive securities excluded	8,351 =======	======

4. Inventories

Inventories consists of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2002	Decembe 200
Finished goods	\$ 1,074	\$ 1,
Work-in-process	5,209	1,
Raw materials	8,731	6,
	\$15,014	\$ 9,
	======	====

Inventories are stated at the lower of standard cost, which approximates actual cost determined on a first-in, first-out basis, or estimated realizable value.

-10-

5. Intangible Assets

Excluding goodwill and intangible assets reclassified into goodwill as of December 31, 2001, amortization expense on intangible assets was \$5.4 million and \$0.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, and \$2.7 million and \$0.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. Effective January 1, 2002 acquired goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are no longer amortized, but are subject to annual impairment tests in accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Application of the non-amortization provisions of SFAS No. 142 decreased amortization of intangible assets and goodwill by \$2.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2002. However, amortization of recorded intangible assets increased by \$4.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2002 as the result of the acquisitions of Transilica and SPaSE.

The following table sets forth the estimated amortization expense of intangible

assets for the fiscal years ending December 31 (in thousands):

2002	 \$10,773
2003	 10,746
2004	 10,663
2005	 9,087
2006	 7,928

Intangible assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	June 30	0, 2002	December 3	1, 2001	
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accum. Amort.	Gross Carrying Amount	Accum. Amort.	U
Developed technology	\$ 36 , 767	\$ 3 , 145	\$36 , 767	\$ 463	6.9
Patents	22,142	3 , 083	21,891	1,424	6.7
Employment agreements	5,010	731	5,010	104	4.0
Other	4,309	2,258	4,309	1,850	5.0
	\$ 68,228	\$ 9 , 217	\$67 , 977	\$3,841	6.5
	=======	======	======	======	===

6. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consists of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2002	December 31, 2001
Deferred revenue	\$ - 365 5,109 276 5,672	\$ 1,494 743 5,289 492 7,081
	\$ 11,422 ======	\$ 15,099 ======

7. Income Taxes

Prior to our combination with Microtune KG, the Company had not recognized any provision for income taxes. For U.S. federal income tax purposes, at December 31, 2001, the Company had a net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$72.6 million and an unused research and development credit carryforward of approximately \$1.4 million, which begins to expire in 2011. Due to the uncertainty of our ability to utilize these deferred tax assets, they have been fully reserved.

-11-

The provision for the three and six months ended June 30, 2002 and the benefit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2001 consists of foreign income

taxes and U.S. state taxes. Effective January 1, 2001, the German government reduced tax rates of retained earnings, previously 40%, and earnings distributed as a dividend, previously 30%, to a flat rate of 25%.

8. Commitments and Contingencies

From time to time, we may be involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of our ordinary course of business. We are not currently a party to any material litigation, except as described below.

On January 24, 2001, the Company filed a lawsuit alleging patent infringement in the United States Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division, against Broadcom Corporation. The lawsuit alleges that Broadcom Corporation's BCM3415 microchip infringes on the Company's U.S. patent no. 5,737,035. The Company's complaint is seeking monetary damages resulting from the alleged infringement as well as injunctive relief precluding Broadcom Corporation from taking any further action which infringes the Company's 5,737,035 patent. On May 7, 2002 Broadcom Corporation filed a Motion for Leave to Supplement its counterclaim asking the court's permission to add a counterclaim asserting infringement by Microtune of Broadcom's U.S. patent no. 6,377,315. On June 19, 2002, Broadcom's counterclaim was denied. We are unable at this time to determine whether the outcome of the litigation will have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition in any future period.

On July 19, 2002, Broadcom Corporation filed a lawsuit alleging patent infringement in the United States Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division, against the Company. The lawsuit alleges that various Microtune products infringe Broadcom's U.S. patent no. 6,377,315. The complaint is seeking monetary damages resulting from the alleged infringement as well as injunctive relief precluding Microtune from taking any further action which infringes the U.S. patent no. 6,377,315. No scheduling order has been entered in the case. We are unable at this time to determine whether the outcome of the litigation will have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition in any future period.

Starting on July 11, 2001, multiple purported securities fraud class action complaints were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The Company is aware of at least three such complaints: Berger v. Goldman, Sachs & Co., Inc. et al.; Atlas v. Microtune et al.; and Ellis Investments Ltd. v. Goldman Sachs & Co., Inc. et al. The complaints are brought purportedly on behalf of all persons who purchased the Company's common stock from August 4, 2000 through December 6, 2000. The Atlas complaint names as defendants Microtune, Douglas J. Bartek, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Everett Rogers, the Company's former Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance and Administration and current Vice President of Investor Relations, and several investment banking firms that served as underwriters of our initial public offering. Microtune, Mr. Bartek and Mr. Rogers were served with notice on the Atlas complaint on August 22, 2001, however, they have not been served regarding the other referenced complaints. The Berger and Ellis Investment Ltd. complaints assert claims against the underwriters only. The complaints were consolidated and amended on May 29, 2002. Among other things, the complaints allege liability under Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, on the grounds that the registration statement for our initial public offering did not disclose that (1) the underwriters had agreed to allow certain of their customers to purchase shares in the offering in exchange for excess commissions paid to the underwriters and (2) the underwriters had arranged for certain of their customers to purchase additional shares in the aftermarket at pre-determined prices. We are

aware that similar allegations have been made in lawsuits challenging over 180 other initial public offerings conducted in 1998, 1999, and 2000. No specific amount of damages is claimed in the three complaints involving our initial public offering. These cases are subject to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These cases and all of the other lawsuits filed in the Southern District of New York making similar allegations have been coordinated before the Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin. We believe that the allegations against Microtune, Inc., Mr. Bartek and Mr. Rogers are without merit. We intend to contest them vigorously, including by filing a motion to dismiss these cases. We are unable at this time to determine whether the outcome of the litigation will have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition in any future period. Furthermore, there can be no assurances regarding the outcome of the litigation or any related claim for indemnification or contribution between or among any of the underwriters and us.

9. Stock Plans

In 2001 and 2000, the Company recorded approximately \$17.8 million and \$16.5 million, respectively, of deferred stock option compensation as a result of granting stock options with deemed exercise prices below the estimated fair value per share of the Company's common stock at the date of grant prior to the initial public offering of its common stock or as a result of the Transilica acquisition. Deferred stock option compensation is being amortized and charged to operations over the vesting period of the applicable options. As of June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, unamortized deferred stock compensation was \$20.2 million and \$28.3 million, respectively. The weighted-average remaining vesting period of outstanding compensatory stock options was 1.7 years at December 31, 2001 based upon the annual stock option compensation amortization over the total deferred stock option compensation. Actual vesting periods range from 2002 to 2006.

10. Restructuring Costs

In the fourth quarter of 2001 the Company recorded a \$3.0 million charge related to restructuring actions, primarily related to the consolidation of the Company's manufacturing operations in the Philippines from two factories into a single factory. Of the \$3.0 million charge, \$0.8 million related to severance for 477 employees that had been paid out by year end, \$1.3 million related to write-offs of equipment to be disposed of, \$0.4 million related to write-offs of VAT receivables which will not be collectable and the remaining \$0.5 million related to the write-down of other assets and accrual of costs related to the restructuring. The equipment which was written-off will no longer be used at the Philippines factory and is expected to be completely disposed of by the end of 2002. At June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, accrued restructuring costs totaled \$0.6 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. Such costs are expected to be incurred by the end of 2002.

11. Geographic Information and Significant Customers

The Company's headquarters and main design center are located in Plano, Texas. The Company has other sales offices and design centers in the United States. The Company also has significant design centers in Germany and the Netherlands and a manufacturing facility in the Philippines. Revenues by geographical area are summarized below (in thousands):

Three Months	Ended June 30,	Six Months Ended	June 30,
2002	2001	2002	2001

North America	\$ 4,767	\$ 6,145	\$ 9 , 812	\$ 16,148
Europe	4,920	3 , 778	9 , 567	7,456
Asia Pacific	13,492	4,425	22,043	8,321
Other	_	107	_	189
	\$ 23 , 179	\$ 14,455	\$ 41,422	\$ 32,114
	=======	=======	======	=======

Sales to DaimlerChrysler and Askey accounted for approximately 19% and 12%, respectively, of consolidated net revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2002. Sales to DaimlerChrysler accounted for approximately 24% of consolidated net revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2001.

-13-

Sales to DaimlerChrysler, Ambit Microsystems and Askey accounted for approximately 18%, 11% and 10%, respectively, of consolidated net revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2002. Sales to DaimlerChrysler, Motorola/General Instruments, Askey and Panasonic accounted for approximately 29%, 13%, 11% and 11%, respectively, of consolidated net revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2001.

Property and equipment are summarized below by location (in thousands):

	June, 30 2002	December 2001
Weath Burning	â 0 060	ć 7 10
North AmericaEurope	\$ 8,960 1,802	\$ 7,13 3,06
Philippines	7,829	8,21
Asia Pacific	693	85
	\$ 19,284	 \$ 19 , 26
	======	======

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Certain statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including, without limitation, statements in the discussion and analysis below contain forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that involve risks and uncertainties, such as statements for the plans, objectives, expectations and intentions of Microtune. Such forward looking statements often contain the words "plan", "could", "would", "may", "believe", "anticipates", "estimates", "expects", and words of similar import, and may constitute forward looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Readers are referred to the disclosures under the caption "Factors Affecting Future Operating Results and Stock Price" in our 2001 Form 10K Report and in our Form 10Q for the period ended March 31, 2002 which is incorporated by this reference to such reports, which describes factors that could cause actual events to differ materially from those contained in the forward looking statements.

-14-

Financial Information

Since inception we have incurred significant losses, and as of June 30, 2002, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$137.4 million. With the acquisition of Transilica, our activities have expanded into the wireless connectivity market with Bluetooth technology and 802.11 technology. We have not previously designed, manufactured, or marketed in this area. Our limited combined operating history combined with business risks, including those risks set forth under the caption "Factors Affecting Future Operating Results and Stock Price" on pages 32 - 48 of our 2001 Annual Report and in our Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2002, make the prediction of future results of operations difficult, and as a result there can be no assurance that we will achieve or sustain revenue growth or profitability.

The time lag between product availability and volume shipment can be significant due to a sales process that includes customer qualification of our products, and can take as long as two years during which we continue to evolve our technology.

We have invested heavily in research and development of our RF integrated circuits and systems technology. We expect to increase our investment in these areas in absolute dollars to further develop our RF products. This investment will include the continued recruitment of RF and analog integrated circuit designers and systems engineers, acquisition of test, development and production equipment and expansion of facilities for research and manufacturing. As a result, we may continue to incur substantial losses from operations for the foreseeable future.

We use IBM, TSMC and X-FAB to manufacture our wafers and Amkor and Carsem to assemble our integrated circuits. We perform final testing, packaging and shipping of our integrated circuits at our facility in Plano, Texas, and overseas at Amkor, Carsem and United Test & Assembly Center. With respect to our tuner modules, we perform most of our assembly and calibration functions in our factory in Manila, Philippines. Test functions of our tuner modules are performed in our factory in Manila, Philippines, at our facility in Huntsville, Alabama and at AMB Electric in Landshut, Germany.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods presented, certain data from our consolidated statements of operations expressed as a percentage of net revenues:

-15-

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended	
		2001	2002	
Net revenues	100 % 64	100 % 70	100 % 63	
Gross margin	 36	30	37	

Operating expenses:			
Research and development:			
Stock option compensation	11	2	12
Other	47	25	47
	58	27	59
Selling, general and administration:			
Stock option compensation	3	3	4
Other	21	29	26
	24	32	30
Amortization of intangible assets and goodwill	12	13	13
Total operating expenses	94	72	102
Loss from operations	(58)	(42)	(65)
Other income (expense)	2	(2)	2
Loss before income taxes	(56)	(44)	(63)
Income tax expense (benefit)	1	(1)	1
Net loss	(57)% ======	(43)% ======	(64)% ======

Comparison of the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2002 and 2001

Net Revenues

Our net revenues increased \$9.3 million, or 29%, to \$41.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2002, from \$32.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2001. Our net revenues increased \$8.7 million, or 60%, to \$23.2 million in the three months ended June 30, 2002, from \$14.5 million in the three months ended June 30, 2001. This increase is primarily due to growth experienced in the broadband communications sector, as well as sales from the initial production in the Bluetooth (TM) wireless connectivity products during the first half of 2002. Sales to DaimlerChrysler and Askey accounted for approximately 19% and 12%, respectively, of consolidated net revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2002. Sales to DaimlerChrysler accounted for approximately 24% of consolidated net revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2001. Sales to DaimlerChrysler, Ambit Microsystems and Askey accounted for approximately 18%, 11% and 10%, respectively, of consolidated net revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2002. Sales to DaimlerChrysler, Motorola/General Instruments, Askey and Panasonic accounted for approximately 29%, 13%, 11% and 11%, respectively, of consolidated net revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2001. Sales to our twenty largest customers, including sales to their respective manufacturing subcontractors, accounted for approximately 94% and 95% of our revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. We could experience pricing pressures at any point in the future for our products.

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues includes the cost of purchases for subcontracted materials, integrated circuit assembly, factory labor and overhead and warranty costs. In addition, we perform final testing of our products and incur cost for the depreciation of our test and handling equipment, labor, quality assurance and logistics. Our subcontracted materials experience cyclical trends in pricing due to fluctuations in demand. Our costs of revenues in the six months ended June 30, 2002 were \$26.3 million, or 63% of net revenues, compared to \$24.2

million, or 75% of net revenues, in the six months ended June 30, 2001. Our costs of revenues in the three months ended June 30, 2002 were \$14.8 million, or 64% of net revenues, compared to \$10.1 million, or 70% of net revenues, in the three months ended June 30, 2001. Our gross margins in the three and six months ended

-16-

June 30, 2002 increased compared to the same periods for 2001 as a result of the consolidation of our factory in Manila in the fourth quarter 2001 and strength in silicon sales. In the near future, we believe gross margins may continue to improve due to increased efficiencies in our factories and increasing levels of our silicon in our product mix partially offset by increased selling price pressures. However, we do not expect gross margins to consistently increase each quarter. As we add new products to our manufacturing lines, we will incur higher cost of revenues, which may be offset over time as we negotiate volume discounts with our suppliers and become more efficient in manufacturing each new product.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses consist of personnel-related expenses, lab supplies, training, prototype subcontract materials, and prototype assembly. We expense all of our research and development costs in the period incurred. Research and development efforts are currently focused primarily on development of the next generation of RF products. Research and development expenses including noncash stock compensation for the six months ended June 30, 2002 were \$24.8 million, or 59% of net revenues, compared to \$8.2 million, or 26% of net revenues, in the six months ended June 30, 2001. Research and development expenses including noncash stock compensation for the three months ended June 30, 2002 were \$13.4 million, or 58% of net revenues, compared to \$3.9 million, or 27% of net revenues, in the three months ended June 30, 2001. The increase in research and development expenses primarily reflects the acquisitions of SPaSE in October 2001 and Transilica in November 2001, as well as continued recruiting of engineers and increased prototype activity in the silicon design process. We expect that research and development expenses will increase in absolute dollars in future periods, and may fluctuate significantly as a percentage of total revenues from period to period. Stock option compensation related to research and development was \$5.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2002 and \$0.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2001 and \$2.6 million in the three months ended June 30, 2002 and \$0.3 million in the three months ended June 30, 2001, but does not affect our total stockholders' equity or cash flows. The increase in stock option compensation is due to the Transilica acquisition.

See Note 2 to the financial statements for a discussion on the status of the Company's acquired in-process research and development projects.

Selling, General and Administration

Selling, general and administration expenses include our personnel-related expenses for administration, finance, human resources, marketing and sales, and information technology departments, and include expenditures related to legal, public relations and financial advisors. In addition, these expenses include promotional and marketing costs, sales commissions, shipping costs to customers and reserves for bad debts. Selling, general and administration expenses including noncash stock compensation for the six months ended June 30, 2002 were \$11.8 million, or 30% of net revenues, compared to \$9.2 million, or 29% of net revenues, in the six months ended June 30, 2001. Selling, general and administration expenses including noncash stock compensation for the three months ended June 30, 2002 were \$5.7 million, or 24% of net revenues, compared to \$4.7 million, or 32% of net revenues, in the three months ended June 30,

2001. The increase relates to significant increases in legal expenses due to the lawsuit we filed alleging patent infringement in the United Sates Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division, against Broadcom Corporation and the additional ongoing expenses resulting from the acquisitions of SPaSE in October 2001 and Transilica in November 2001. Stock option compensation related to selling, general and administration was \$1.5 million and \$1.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, and \$0.7 million and \$0.4 million in the three months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively, but does not affect our total stockholders' equity or cash flows.

-17-

Amortization of Intangible Assets and Goodwill

Amortization of intangible assets for the six months ended June 30, 2002 was \$5.4 million compared to amortization of intangible assets and goodwill of \$3.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. Amortization of intangible assets for the three months ended June 30, 2002 was \$2.7 million compared to amortization of intangible assets and goodwill of \$1.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2001. Amortization of intangible assets and goodwill results principally from our combinations with Microtune KG, SPaSE and Transilica. All combinations were accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. Effective January 1, 2002 acquired goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are no longer amortized, but are subject to annual impairment tests in accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. Application of the non-amortization provisions of SFAS No. 142 decreased amortization of intangible assets and goodwill by \$2.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2002. However, amortization of recorded intangible assets increased by \$4.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2002 as the result of the acquisitions of Transilica and SPaSE.

Other Income and Expense

Other income consists of interest income from investment of cash and cash equivalents, foreign currency gains and losses and other non-operating income and expenses.

Interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2002 was \$1.7 million compared to \$1.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2001. Interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 was \$0.8 million. The decrease in interest income is mainly due to the significant decrease of approximately 2.5% in interest rates for the six months ended June 30, 2002 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2001.

Our functional currency is the U.S. Dollar. The impact from the remeasurement of financial statements of the Subsidiaries not denominated in U.S. Dollars is recognized currently in our results of operations as a component of foreign currency gains and losses. The decrease in the foreign currency loss is mainly due to the weakening of the U.S. Dollar against the Euro during the latter half of the second quarter of 2002.

Income Taxes

Prior to our combination with Microtune KG, we had not recognized any provision for income taxes. For U.S. federal income tax purposes, at December 31, 2001, the Company had a net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$72.6 million and an unused research and development credit carryforward of approximately \$1.4 million, which begins to expire in 2011. Due to the uncertainty of our ability to utilize these deferred tax assets, they have been fully reserved.

The provision for the three and six months ended June 30, 2002 and the benefit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2001 consists of foreign income taxes and U.S. state taxes. Effective January 1, 2001, the German government reduced corporate tax rates of retained earnings, previously 40%, and earnings distributed as a dividend, previously 30%, to a flat rate of 25%.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of June 30, 2002, we had net working capital of \$161.3 million, including \$148.7 million of cash and cash equivalents compared to net working capital of \$175.4 million, including \$173.1 million of cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2001. Highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less are considered to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents consist of bank deposits, money market funds and asset-backed commercial paper. Our investments in asset-backed commercial paper are comprised of high-quality securities in accordance with the Company's investment policy.

Operating activities used \$20.6 million in cash during the six months ended June 30, 2002 compared to \$0.5 million used in operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2001. The increase in cash used is due to increased expenses primarily from acquisitions. In addition, accounts receivable increased due to the growth in our sales volume, inventories have increased to meet expected customer demand in third quarter

-18-

2002, and accrued expenses have decreased mainly due to reduction of deferred revenue and the timing of various vendor payments.

Investing activities used \$3.5 million in cash during the six months ended June 30, 2002 compared to \$7.4 million used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2001. Investments in property and equipment were \$3.2 million and \$6.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. We expect capital expenditures to range from \$1.0 million to \$4.0 million per quarter through 2002.

Financing activities generated \$0.3 million in cash during the six months ended June 30, 2002 compared to \$2.4 million provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2001. We received cash of approximately \$1.3 million and \$1.7 million from the sale of common stock upon the exercise of employee stock options and from shares purchased under our Employee Stock Purchase Plan during the six months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001, respectively. During the first quarter of 2002, we also incurred \$0.5 million for the remainder of costs from our December 18, 2001 follow-on public offering when we issued 5 million shares of common stock resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$109 million.

Microtune KG has a credit agreement with a bank that provides for borrowings of up to \$1.0 million. The agreement is cancelable upon notification by the bank. Borrowings under this agreement bear interest at a rate determined from time to time by the bank. The rate was 7.25% at June 30, 2002. At June 30, 2002, no borrowings were outstanding under this credit agreement.

We believe that our current cash balance will provide adequate liquidity to fund our operations and meet our other cash requirements through at least 2003. However, we may find it necessary or we may choose to seek additional financing if our investment plans change, or if industry or market conditions are favorable for a particular type of financing. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of equity or convertible debt securities, the percentage

ownership of our stockholders will be reduced.

Item 3. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Information concerning market risk is contained in our 2001 Form 10-K Report and in our Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2002 and is incorporated by reference to these reports.

Part II Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we may be involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of our ordinary course of business. We are not currently a party to any material litigation, except as described below.

On January 24, 2001, we filed a lawsuit alleging patent infringement in the United States Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division, against Broadcom Corporation. The lawsuit alleges that Broadcom Corporation's BCM3415 microchip infringes on our U.S. patent no. 5,737,035. In our complaint, we are seeking monetary damages resulting from the alleged infringement as well as injunctive relief precluding Broadcom Corporation from taking any further action which infringes our 5,737,035 patent. On May 7, 2002 Broadcom Corporation filed a Motion for Leave to Supplement its counterclaim asking the court's permission to add a counterclaim asserting infringement by Microtune of Broadcom's U.S. patent no. 6,377,315. On June 19, 2002 Broadcom's counterclaim was denied. We are unable at this time to determine whether the outcome of the litigation will have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition in any future period.

On July 19, 2002, Broadcom Corporation filed a lawsuit alleging patent infringement in the United States Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division, against the Company. The lawsuit alleges that various Microtune products infringe Broadcom's U.S. patent no. 6,377,315. The complaint is seeking monetary

-19-

damages resulting from the alleged infringement as well as injunctive relief precluding Microtune from taking any further action which infringes the U.S. patent no. 6,377,315. No scheduling order has been entered in the case. We are unable at this time to determine whether the outcome of the litigation will have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition in any future period.

Starting on July 11, 2001, multiple purported securities fraud class action complaints were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. We are aware of at least three such complaints: Berger v. Goldman, Sachs & Co., Inc. et al.; Atlas v. Microtune et al.; and Ellis Investments Ltd. v. Goldman Sachs & Co., Inc. et al. The complaints are brought purportedly on behalf of all persons who purchased our common stock from August 4, 2000 through December 6, 2000. The Atlas complaint names as defendants Microtune, Douglas J. Bartek, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Everett Rogers, our former Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance and Administration and current Vice President of Investor Relations, and several investment banking firms that served as underwriters of our initial public offering. Microtune, Mr. Bartek and Mr. Rogers were served with notice on the Atlas complaint on August 22, 2001, however, they have not been served regarding the other referenced complaints. The Berger and Ellis Investment Ltd. complaints assert claims against the underwriters only. The complaints were consolidated

and amended on May 29, 2002. Among other things, the complaints allege liability under Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, on the grounds that the registration statement for our initial public offering did not disclose that (1) the underwriters had agreed to allow certain of their customers to purchase shares in the offering in exchange for excess commissions paid to the underwriters and (2) the underwriters had arranged for certain of their customers to purchase additional shares in the aftermarket at pre-determined prices. We are aware that similar allegations have been made in lawsuits challenging over 180 other initial public offerings conducted in 1998, 1999, and 2000. No specific amount of damages is claimed in the three complaints involving our initial public offering. These cases are subject to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These cases and all of the other lawsuits filed in the Southern District of New York making similar allegations have been coordinated before the Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin. We believe that the allegations against Microtune, Inc., Mr. Bartek and Mr. Rogers are without merit. We intend to contest them vigorously, including by filing a motion to dismiss these cases. We are unable at this time to determine whether the outcome of the litigation will have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition in any future period. Furthermore, there can be no assurances regarding the outcome of the litigation or any related claim for indemnification or contribution between or among any of the underwriters and us.

Item 2. Changes In Securities and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

At our Annual Meeting of the Stockholders held on April 17, 2002 in Plano, Texas, our stockholders voted on the following matters.

- To approve an amendment to the 2000 Director Option Plan that will affect the following changes:
 - (i) increase the number of shares of our common stock subject to initial option grants under the Plan from 10,000 shares to 15,000 shares; and

-20-

(ii) increase the number of shares of our common stock subject to subsequent option grants under the Plan on and after the date of this Annual Meeting of Stockholders from 5,000 to 7,500.

The amendment passed.

		Against or
	For	Withheld
Amendment to 2000 Director Option Plan	40,257,854	5,312,140

2. To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent auditors for the year ended December 31, 2002. The appointment of Ernst & Young LLP was ratified.

	Against or
For	Withheld

Ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as 43,675,925 1,894,069 independent auditors

Item 5. Other Information

On July 19, 2002 the Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program to acquire outstanding common stock on the open market or negotiated transactions. Under the program, up to 10% of the outstanding shares of Microtune common stock could be reacquired.

On August 8, 2002, Microtune began closing the design center located in Singapore. The closing is expected to be completed within 30 days and will result in the termination of employment for approximately 20 individuals. The closing is not expected to affect any current research and development projects.

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

- (a) Exhibits
 - 12. Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
- (b) Reports on Form 8-K

There were no Form 8-Ks filed during the quarter ended June 30, 2002.

-21-

Signatures

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as amended, the Registrant has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 14, 2002

/s/ Nancy A. Richardson

Nancy A. Richardson Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

-22-