| ROCKWELL MEDICAL, INC.<br>Form DFAN14A<br>April 21, 2017                         |
|--|
| UNITED STATES  |
| SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION   |
| Washington, D.C. 20549   |
|  |
| SCHEDULE 14A   |
| (Rule 14a-101)   |
|  |
| INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT  |
|  |
| SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION   |
|  |
| Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934 |
|  |
| (Amendment No.)  |
|  |
| Filed by the Registrant  |
|  |
| Filed by a Party other than the Registrant                                       |
|  |
| Check the appropriate box:   |
|  |
| Preliminary Proxy Statement  |

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

**Definitive Proxy Statement** 

**Definitive Additional Materials** 

Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12

ROCKWELL MEDICAL, INC. (Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

RICHMOND BROTHERS, INC.

RBI PRIVATE INVESTMENT I, LLC

RBI PRIVATE INVESTMENT II, LLC

RBI PI MANAGER, LLC

RICHMOND BROTHERS 401(K) PROFIT SHARING PLAN

DAVID S. RICHMOND

MATTHEW J. CURFMAN

NORMAN J. RAVICH IRREVOCABLE TRUST

NORMAN AND SALLY RAVICH FAMILY TRUST

ALEXANDER COLEMAN RAVICH 1991 IRREVOCABLE TRUST

ALYSSA DANIELLE RAVICH 1991 IRREVOCABLE TRUST

MARK H. RAVICH

(Name of Persons(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

|                                | (1)  | Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:  |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
|                                | (2)  | Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:   |
| (3) Per unit price amount on w | or other underlying hich the filing fee is o | value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the calculated and state how it was determined):   |
|                                | (4)  | Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:   |
|                                | (5)  | Total fee paid:  |
| Fee paid previou               | ısly with preliminary                        | materials:   |
| which the offset               |  | ee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for viously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form |

# Edgar Filing: ROCKWELL MEDICAL, INC. - Form DFAN14A (1) Amount previously paid: (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: (3) Filing Party:

Date Filed:

(4)

Richmond Brothers, Inc. and Mark H. Ravich, together with the other participants named herein (collectively, "Richmond Brothers"), have filed a definitive proxy statement and accompanying **BLUE** proxy card with the Securities and Exchange Commission to be used to solicit votes for the election of Richmond Brothers' highly-qualified director nominee to the Board of Directors of Rockwell Medical, Inc., a Michigan corporation (the "Company"), at the Company's upcoming 2017 annual meeting of shareholders, or any other meeting of shareholders held in lieu thereof, and any adjournments, postponements, reschedulings or continuations thereof.

Item 1: On April 21, 2017, Richmond Brothers issued the following press release, which was also posted to www.richmondbrothers.com/time-for-action-at-rmti, which can be reached from www.richmondbrothers.com:

### Richmond Brothers and Mark H. Ravich Send Letter to Rockwell Medical, Inc. Shareholders

Believe Change is Needed Urgently to Turn the Tide of Underperformance at Rockwell – with Stock Down 52.5% over the Three Years Leading up to Richmond/Ravich Public Involvement

Highlight Series of Strategic Missteps and Failures to Execute, Including Inability to Monetize Promising Drugs Triferic and Calcitriol

Believe Rockwell's Removal of 'Evergreen' Feature in Latest Version of Proposed Executive Compensation Plan is Direct Result of Richmond Brothers' Public Criticism

Fear Rockwell Board Will Not Make Shareholders' Best Interests a Priority Unless Shareholder Representative is Elected to Board

Highly-Qualified Nominee Mark H. Ravich Would Bring True Ownership Mentality to a Board in Desperate Need of Change

Ravich Would Push for Value Creation, Greater Responsiveness to Shareholders, and Improved Corporate Governance – Including Review of Executive Compensation

Vote Now on the <u>BLUE</u> Proxy Card for our Highly-Qualified Nominee Mark H. Ravich

JACKSON, MI and ST. LOUIS PARK, MN (April 21, 2017) – Richmond Brothers, Inc., a Michigan-based SEC registered investment advisor and wealth management firm that is the largest beneficial owner of Rockwell Medical, Inc. (NASDAQ: RMTI) ("Rockwell" or the "Company"), and Mark H. Ravich, who together with their affiliates beneficially own over 6.1 million shares, or 11.8% of the Company's outstanding common stock, today sent a letter to Rockwell shareholders urging them to vote for Mark H. Ravich for election to the Board at the upcoming annual meeting of shareholders. The full text of the letter follows:

April 21, 2017

Dear Fellow Shareholders,

Richmond Brothers, Inc., together with Mark H. Ravich and their respective affiliates (collectively, "we"), is the largest shareholder of Rockwell Medical, Inc. ("Rockwell" or the "Company"), with ownership of approximately 11.8% of the

Company's outstanding shares. As Rockwell shareholders for more than 14 years, we have been continually frustrated by the Company's failure to create value for shareholders, the true owners of Rockwell.

For far too long, Rockwell's Board has demonstrated an inability to execute on strategic initiatives, failed to prepare itself or management with the right personnel to successfully bring its two already-approved drugs to market, consistently overpromised and under delivered, exhibited abhorrent corporate governance practices, and has revealed an unwillingness to communicate or work constructively with shareholders. In short, Rockwell has seemingly checked all the boxes in the "How Not to Run a Company" playbook.

# The Rockwell Board Has Generously Compensated Chairman and CEO Robert Chioini and Granted Him Exorbitant Stock Options, while Rockwell Shareholders Have Suffered Massive Losses and Significant Dilution

Perhaps the most frustrating issue we have observed at Rockwell is the historical lack of pay for performance alignment. Despite the Company losing half its value in three years, Chairman and CEO Robert Chioini has remained handsomely compensated. Worse, the Rockwell Board has approved highly dilutive equity compensation plans enabling insiders to enrich themselves, with egregious stock options grants, all while the Company has floundered and delivered strikingly negative returns for shareholders. While Rockwell recently pared back one of the worst aspects of its latest proposed equity compensation plan, we view that action as a direct result of our public criticism and yet another reason why a true champion of shareholder rights is needed on the Board.

We have on a number of occasions, over the course of several years, attempted to engage in a constructive dialogue with Mr. Chioini and make value enhancing suggestions that we believe would benefit shareholders, including encouraging him to provide shareholders with his long-term strategy and plan for the commercialization of Triferic and Calcitriol, but have, in our view, consistently been rebuffed.

That is why we nominated Mark H. Ravich for election and believe that change is needed urgently at Rockwell. <u>It is critical, in our view, that a true shareholder representative is elected to the Rockwell Board to look out for the best interests of all investors in the Company and to push for much-needed change.</u>

### Rockwell Has Consistently Delivered Negative Returns and Has Underperformed

Rockwell has delivered consistently negative returns for shareholders over the past one, three, five and ten-year periods, as the table below indicates.

o <u>Total shareholder return over the past three years leading up to our involvement has been an abysmal -52.5%</u>. Additionally, Rockwell has underperformed the NASDAQ Biotechnology Index (INDEXNASDAQ: NBI) (the "NBI Index") by significant margins over the same time periods.

### Total Shareholder Return<sup>1</sup>

1-Year 3-Year 5-Year 10-Year

RMTI -24.2% -52.5% -38.6% -21.8% NBI Index 12.5% 12.4% 151.2% 293.2% **RMTI Underperformance -36.7% -64.9% -189.8% -315**%

Source: Bloomberg

# Rockwell Has Failed to Monetize Its Promising Drug Candidates and Has Continually Kept Investors in the Dark

It has now been over two years since the approval of Triferic and more than three years since the approval of Calcitriol, the Company's vitamin D drug. Rockwell has failed to generate material revenue from either.

We believe this clearly calls into question management's strategy and competency to successfully build shareholder value, and the effectiveness of the current Board's oversight.

After leading analysts to believe that significant Triferic sales would begin in Q4 2015, and after ignoring our letters and requests asking the very same questions that may have prepared the Company to properly deal with professional sell-side analysts, the Company announced on a conference call in February of 2016 that there were effectively no sales of Triferic. We believe this sorely damaged Rockwell's credibility.

In fact, after the call, analysts at Stifel Nicolaus, Merrill Lynch, BTIG, Oppenheimer, and Summer Street Capital all § noted a level of confusion and a lack of clarity and understanding and downgraded Rockwell. Additionally, all of these analysts have subsequently dropped their coverage of Rockwell altogether.

Furthermore, the Company has not aggressively developed opportunities for licensing Triferic throughout the world or been sufficiently proactive in developing Triferic for other indications.

Troublingly, in February 2017 the Company announced that once again it had failed to get FDA approval to omanufacture Calcitriol (a drug that has already been approved) and would have to start that process over, which will cause a delay of at least four to six months.

In our view, the Company's longstanding lack of transparency regarding its drug pipeline and potential value creation opportunities has harmed shareholders and adversely impacted share price performance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Each period computed through February 20, 2017, the day prior to our public involvement at Rockwell.

### Rockwell's Management Has Gained Financially While Shareholders Have Suffered

Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS), one of the leading institutional proxy advisory firms, has repeatedly raised high levels of concern with respect to the alignment of CEO pay and the Company's performance, noting that Chairman and CEO Robert Chioini's pay was 5.49 times the median of Rockwell's peers in 2014 and 4.61 times the median of its peers in 2015.<sup>2</sup>

o This is even more troubling when taking into account the Company's poor performance under his leadership. Recently, Rockwell stooped to new lows with its proposed 2017 equity compensation plan ("2017 Plan"). It is shocking that the Board believed introducing a significantly more highly dilutive plan in 2017 was the appropriate response to its shareholders, who voted down the 2016 plan (which sought to reserve a total of 7,500,000 shares for issuance under its proposed 10-year life) at last year's Annual Meeting.

The Board initially adopted the 2017 Plan in a form containing an "evergreen" provision which, by our calculations, would have allowed over 29 million shares to be issued during its 10-year life, if not more, amounting to approximately 56% of the Company's currently outstanding shares.

This would have been in addition to the nearly 22% of the Company that has already been granted to insiders under prior equity plans.<sup>3</sup>

Only after we publicly criticized the 2017 Plan and its completely unacceptable level of potential dilution for shareholders did Rockwell revise the plan to remove the "evergreen" provision.

While the removal of the "evergreen" provision is a victory for shareholders, it does not change the fact that the Board oadopted and unanimously recommended for approval the 2017 Plan in a form that could have proven to be disastrous for shareholders

We believe that the revision of the 2017 Plan, saving shareholders from significant potential dilution over the next odecade, was purely driven by our public criticism – without a direct shareholder representative in the boardroom we fear that shareholders' best interests will continue to play second fiddle to those of insiders.

Despite the removal of the "evergreen" provision, if the 2017 Plan is approved, Mr. Chioini will still immediately be granted 775,000 options, an amount we feel is outrageous, especially considering the state of the Company and his prior award grants<sup>4</sup> (including the 245,000 share performance-based stock grant awarded to him just prior to the expiration of the prior equity incentive plan).

Without a direct shareholder representative on the Board to oversee the administration of the 2017 Plan, we are concerned that compensation issues will persist at Rockwell.

The Company's actions with respect to executive compensation have demonstrated, in our view, how tone-deaf the Board is to shareholder's concerns.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> According to ISS reports from Rockwell's past two annual meetings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> According to Rockwell's public filings, 11,434,306 securities were granted under Rockwell's 2007 Long Term Incentive Plan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> At year-end 2016, Mr. Chioini possessed 2,483,331 exercisable options and an additional 766,669 options that have not vested yet, not to mention the 1,140,000 options that he has exercised since June 2013 alone.

### Rockwell's Abysmal Corporate Governance Practices Limit Shareholder Rights

We believe that the poor corporate governance practices at Rockwell have effectively insulated the Board from shareholders.

OSS has repeatedly assigned Rockwell a QualityScore of 10, the worst possible score on a scale of 1 to 10, indicating the highest governance risks.

Rockwell's corporate governance shortcomings have caused shareholders to register significant opposition to oRockwell directors standing for reelection at its past two annual meetings – which was encouraged by ISS with its WITHHOLD vote recommendations.

Rockwell's Board appears deeply entrenched. Of the Company's five current directors, three have been on the Board for 17 years and a fourth has been a member for more than 11 years.<sup>5</sup>

The Company's recently announced so-called 'corporate governance enhancements' appear to us to be nothing more than a defensive and reactionary attempt to pull the wool over shareholders' eyes and distract them from the underperformance and corporate governance issues that have plagued the Company for years.

We doubt these recent changes would have been made absent our involvement and question whether future improvements will be made unless a shareholder representative is elected to the Board.

Actions speak louder than words – while the Company has recently paid lip service to corporate governance, it is currently suing us and other shareholders in what we see as an attempt to silence shareholders and perpetuate the status quo.

### Mark H. Ravich Would Be a Strong Voice for Shareholders in the Boardroom

| · Mark is a successful bus  | inessman and has experience serving on the boards of public and private companies:    |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| o   | Co-Founder and President of Tri-Star Management, Inc.                                 |  |  |  |
|   | roducts Company (NYSEMKT:TIS), where he is also Chairman of its Governance            |  |  |  |
| Committee and a member o  | f its Audit Committee.  |  |  |  |
| o Director of MR Instru   | uments, Inc a designer and manufacturer of advanced MRI Radiofrequency coils.         |  |  |  |
| o Director of Dilo  | n Technologies Inc a designer and manufacturer of medical imaging solutions.          |  |  |  |
| o Boar  | d advisor to Scidera Inc a provider of clinical laboratory testing services.          |  |  |  |
| o For   | rmer Chief Executive Officer and a director of Universal International, Inc.          |  |  |  |
| Graduated Magna Cum Laude from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania with a BSE and an MBA |   |  |  |  |
| degree with a major in finar  | ice.  |  |  |  |
| •   | Mark would push for the Board to take the following steps:                            |  |  |  |
| oRefresh the Board with mer   | nbers who have specific skills in managing a multibillion dollar global drug company. |  |  |  |
| o   | Develop a compensation plan fair to both management and shareholders.                 |  |  |  |
| О   | Increase transparency with shareholders.  |  |  |  |
| Develop and disseminate to  | shareholders a comprehensive business plan for the Company taking into account all    |  |  |  |
| indications for Triferic.   |   |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Following the Annual Meeting, two directors will have served on the Board for 17 years and a third will have been a member for more than 11 years as a result of Mr. Holt not standing for reelection at the Annual Meeting.

We believe that Rockwell has a sad history of disappointing performance, entrenched and self-enriching management, a Board that has failed to adequately oversee the Company, and a true lack of good corporate governance. We are providing you with an opportunity to elect a director committed to improving the Company's corporate governance and representing the best interests of shareholders – the true owners of the Company.

### Your vote is critical to turning the tide of woeful underperformance at Rockwell.

### **Vote FOR Nominee Mark H. Ravich on the BLUE Proxy Card Today.**

For more information, and to see other communications and filings from Richmond Brothers and Mark H. Ravich, visit this link: http://www.richmondbrothers.com/time-for-action-at-rmti.

### **About Richmond Brothers, Inc.**

Richmond Brothers, Inc. is an SEC registered investment advisor and wealth management firm founded in 1994.

### About Mark H. Ravich

Mark H. Ravich is a private investor and currently serves as President of Tri-Star Management, Inc., a commercial real estate management and syndication company that he co-founded in 1998.

### **Shareholder Contact**

Saratoga Proxy Consulting LLC

John Ferguson / Joe Mills, 212-257-1311

info@saratogaproxy.com

### **Media Contact**

Sloane & Company

Joe Germani / Jaimee Pavia, 212-486-9500

jgermani@sloanepr.com/jpavia@sloanepr.com

Item 2: The following materials were posted by Richmond Brothers to <a href="https://www.richmondbrothers.com/time-for-action-at-rmti">www.richmondbrothers.com/time-for-action-at-rmti</a>, which can be reached from <a href="https://www.richmondbrothers.com">www.richmondbrothers.com</a>/time-for-action-at-rmti</a>,