LIBERTY ALL STAR EQUITY FUND Form N-30D March 16, 2001

[LOGO] ALL STAR EQUITY FUND -SM-		
[GRAPHIC OF STAR]		
ANNUAL REPORT 2000		
LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND		
A SINGLE INVESTMENT A DIVERSIFIED PORTFOLIO		
Only one mutual fund offers:		
- A diversified, multi-managed portfolio of growth and value stocks		
- Exposure to all of the industry sectors that make the U.S. economy the world's most dynamic		
- Access to institutional-quality investment managers		
- Objective and ongoing manager evaluation		
- A quarterly fixed distribution policy		
- The power of more than \$1.3 billion in assets		
- Listing on the New York Stock Exchange (ticker symbol: USA)		
LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND		
[GRAPHIC OF NUMBERS]		
	PRESIDENT'S	LETTE

FELLOW SHAREHOLDERS:

FEBRUARY 2001

Despite difficulties in the stock market during 2000, I am pleased to report that Liberty All-Star Equity Fund turned in a very strong year – outperforming both the Lipper Growth and Income Mutual Fund Average and the S&P 500 Index in all four quarters and substantially surpassing both for the full year. The

following tables summarize key performance data for the fourth quarter and full year 2000, as well as for 3-, 5- and 10-year periods.

FUND FACTS AND SHORT-TERM PERFORMANCE	4TH QUARTER	
LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND Year End Net Asset Value (NAV) Year End Market Price Year End Discount Dividends Paid Market Price Trading Range Discount Range Shares Valued at NAV Shares Valued at NAV with Dividends Reinvested Shares Valued at Market Price with Dividends Reinvested Lipper Growth and Income Mutual Fund Average S&P 500 Index	\$0.36 \$11.3125 to \$13.25 11.5% to 4.2% (1.7)% (1.8)% (3.0)% (2.1)%	
LONG-TERM PERFORMANCE SUMMARY		RATES OF RETURN
	3 YEAR	5 YEAR 10

Figures shown for the Fund and the Lipper Growth and Income Mutual Fund Average are total returns, which include dividends, after deducting fund expenses. The Fund's reinvested returns assume all primary subscription rights in all the Fund's rights offerings were exercised. Figures shown for the unmanaged S&P 500 Index are total returns, including income.

Shares Valued at NAV with Dividends Reinvested 12.8

Shares Valued at Market Price with Dividends Reinvested

Lipper Growth and Income Mutual Fund Average

Two thousand was an unprecedented year. Many investors may believe that statement refers to the record decline in the Nasdaq Composite, or to the end of a five-year period when the S&P 500 provided double digit returns. In fact, both of those did occur in 2000. However, I am referring to the historically wide difference in performance and volatility between value and growth stocks.

To illustrate, let us focus on the Russell 1000 Value Index and the Russell 1000 Growth Index. Never before has there been a double digit difference in monthly performance between these two large capitalization indices. Yet, in 2000, it occurred not once, but four times. The bar chart on the following page illustrates this phenomenon.

ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND 1

9.3 9.4

LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

16.7%

17.2 15.3

15.0

18.3

Note that growth outperformed value by 12.3 percent in February and 12.2 percent in June; then, observe the rotation that occurred as value outperformed growth in five of the remaining six months of the year, highlighted by a 10.4 percent difference in September and an 11.0 percent difference in November.

By the time the year was over, value outperformed growth for the year by 29.4 percent. In fact, the difference between these style indices on a monthly, quarterly and annual basis was unprecedented in the history of those indices, which date back to 1979.

[BAR GRAPH]

RUSSELL LARGE CAP VALUE INDEX VERSUS GROWTH INDEX 2000 RELATIVE MONTHLY AND ANNUAL PERFORMANCE

VALUE OUTPERFORMED

JAN. 1.4% FEB. -12.3% MAR. 5.0% 3.6% APR. 6.1% MAY JUNE -12.2% JULY 5.4% AUG. -3.5 SEP. 10.4% OCT. 7.2% NOV. 11.0% 8.28 DEC. 2000 29.4% GROWTH OUTPERFORMED

The facts speak to the unusual year that 2000 was. But what of lessons for individual investors? Above all, the constant changes in investor sentiment make it clear that it was impossible to attempt to time the market. Shareholders have only to look at the middle part of the bar chart to see how value and growth seesawed every month during the May to September period. The other lesson is in the major rotation away from growth stocks and toward value stocks. Even though value outperformed growth in four of the first six months of the year, growth actually outperformed value in both the first and second quarter. For the remaining two quarters, however, value far surpassed growth - in the third quarter by 12.3 percent and in the fourth quarter by 26.4 percent.

The lessons were particularly meaningful for All-Star Equity Fund shareholders. To the first lesson about timing, our blending of styles enabled the Fund to benefit from the rapid and unpredictable swings that occurred throughout the year. To the second point, concerning the rotation to value from growth, it was a perfect illustration of how styles go into and out of favor - a point we have communicated consistently over the years - and a confirmation of our approach to risk control.

I believe the year also serves as a validation of our disciplined approach to investing. In fact, it was the kind of market environment in which our multi-manager, multi-style investment strategy works best. Two thousand was the exact

2 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

PRESIDENT'S LETTER

opposite of 1999. During 1999, growth stocks - especially many of the large-cap technology stocks - dominated the strong performance of the widely followed benchmarks. For the Fund, this was a challenging environment. In 2000, the market broadened significantly and rewarded good stock picking abilities, such as our investment managers possess.

As a result, the Fund outperformed both the Lipper Growth and Income Mutual Fund Average and the S&P 500 Index for all four quarters and for the year. Moreover, relative to the S&P 500 Index, 2000 was the best year in the Fund's history. For the full year, the Lipper benchmark advanced just 0.7 percent and the S&P 500 declined 9.1 percent. By comparison, the Fund's NAV rose 7.5 percent; the Fund's NAV return with dividends reinvested rose 8.8 percent; and Fund shares valued at market price gained 25.4 percent, which contributed to a significant narrowing of the discount to NAV during the year.

The longer term results are extremely positive and they demonstrate the Fund's consistent above average results. This is apparent when you compare the Fund's percentile ranking to Lipper, Inc.'s universe of open-end growth and income funds. Liberty All-Star Equity Fund is in the top quartile of performance for 1- and 3-year periods; for 5- and 10-year periods, the Fund ranks in the 26th and 27th percentile, respectively. Most telling is the Fund's 18th percentile ranking since inception 15 years ago. We are pleased with these results and the consistency of that record.

Before closing, let me turn to related matters of shareholder interest. First, registered shareholders can now access their account through our transfer agent, EquiServe, using the World Wide Web. For example, shareholders can access information about share balances; view current market price and total investment value; request and print various forms, including transfer of ownership; and conduct transactions. Additional online services will be added in the near future. Second, Liberty Asset Management Company (LAMCO) has recently published an updated marketing brochure, which will be used to further increase awareness and promote the merits of the closed-end funds that LAMCO advises. Shareholders interested in receiving a copy can call 1-800-241-1850 or access the Fund's Web site at www.all-starfunds.com.

In this Annual Report, I would like to call your attention to two special features. The first is an article authored by the members of LAMCO's senior management team. I believe shareholders will gain a deeper understanding of the Fund by reading this article. In addition, we offer the insights of the Fund's five investment managers in our annual Roundtable, beginning on page 10. I think you'll find their comments interesting and informative.

On behalf of the entire LAMCO team, I would like to take this opportunity to thank you for your continuing support of the Fund. As always, we will continue to work in the best long-term interests of All-Star shareholders.

Sincerely,

/s/ William R. Parmentier, Jr.

William R. Parmentier, Jr. President and Chief Executive Officer Liberty All-Star Equity Fund and Liberty Asset Management Company

ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND 3

SENIOR MANAGEMENT OF THE FUND ADVISOR DISCUSSES THE FACTORS THAT MAKE THE ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND UNIQUE

[PHOTO FROM LEFT ARE: MARK HALEY, BILL PARMENTIER AND CHRIS CARABELL]

IN THIS SPECIAL ARTICLE FOR THE 2000 ANNUAL REPORT, THE SENIOR MANAGEMENT OF LIBERTY ASSET MANAGEMENT COMPANY (LAMCO) PROVIDES SHAREHOLDERS WITH AN IN-DEPTH LOOK AT THE ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND, INCLUDING THE FACTORS THAT MAKE IT UNIQUE, ITS LONG-TERM OBJECTIVES, SHAREHOLDER BENEFITS AND HOW THE FUND IS MANAGED. THE THREE LAMCO EXECUTIVES WRITING FOR THIS SPECIAL SECTION ARE WILLIAM R. PARMENTIER, JR., PRESIDENT AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER; CHRISTOPHER S. CARABELL, SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT - PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT; AND MARK T. HALEY, VICE PRESIDENT - INVESTMENTS. IN BRIEF, THEIR BACKGROUNDS FOLLOW:

BILL PARMENTIER CAME TO LAMCO IN 1995 FROM THE GRUMMAN CORPORATION, WHERE HE WAS CHIEF INVESTMENT OFFICER AND PRESIDENT OF GQ ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC. AT GRUMMAN, HE WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS WITH COMBINED ASSETS OF \$5 BILLION. HE IS A GRADUATE OF NEW YORK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND HOLDS AN M.B.A. IN FINANCE FROM HOFSTRA UNIVERSITY.

CHRIS CARABELL: A SENIOR MEMBER OF THE INVESTMENT TEAM, HE CAME TO LAMCO IN 1996 FROM BARRA ROGERSCASEY, AN INSTITUTIONAL CONSULTING FIRM, WHERE HE WAS ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR, U.S. EQUITY RESEARCH. PRIOR TO THAT, HE WAS DIRECTOR OF INVESTMENTS FOR THE BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA'S PENSION PLAN AND ENDOWMENT. HE IS A GRADUATE OF BAYLOR UNIVERSITY AND HOLDS AN M.B.A. IN FINANCE FROM SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY.

MARK HALEY: RESPONSIBLE FOR MANAGER SELECTION/IDENTIFICATION, PERFORMANCE EVALUATION/MONITORING AND PORTFOLIO STRUCTURE, HE CAME TO LIBERTY IN 1989 FROM STATE STREET CORPORATION. HE IS A GRADUATE OF SAINT ANSELM COLLEGE AND HOLDS AN M.B.A. FROM SUFFOLK UNIVERSITY.

4 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

A CLOSER LOOK AT LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

THE FUND ADVISOR STRESSES ALIGNMENT OF MANAGEMENT AND SHAREHOLDER INTERESTS

By William R. Parmentier, Jr.

[PHOTO OF WILLIAM R. PARMENTIER, JR.]

If there is any characteristic of Liberty Asset Management Company that should be of paramount interest to shareholders it is our dedication to the proposition of putting shareholders' interests first. Another way of stating it: we believe we have achieved excellent alignment of shareholder and fund advisor interests, and we are dedicated to maintaining it going forward.

Before going into this important topic in a little more depth, I should make sure that we are on the same page when it comes to terms. The first question to be asked and answered is, Who or what is Liberty Asset Management Company, or LAMCO, as we abbreviate it? LAMCO was established in 1986, the same year that the Liberty All-Star Equity Fund commenced operations. From a purely definitional standpoint, LAMCO is the registered investment management company responsible for managing the fund and its assets.

What we do is different from most asset management companies in that

instead of managing a portfolio of stocks, we manage a portfolio of investment managers. We look at investment management firms in much the same way that a portfolio manager analyzes a company that's a candidate for investment. I like to refer to this as "looking at the four Ps" . . . that is, we focus on each firm's philosophy, process, people and performance. So, instead of looking for a portfolio of stocks, we're looking for superior investment managers, but we're delving as deeply as an investment management firm would if they were buying "XYZ" stock.

In terms of shareholder benefit, that makes us a turnkey investment. That is, we perform all the due diligence, research, selection and monitoring that an individual investor would. The difference is we do it with the experience, skill and expertise of professionals. That's not to say that individuals aren't thoughtful, careful investors. It's simply that this is what we do for a living, and we have years of experience, state-of-the-art analytical tools and ample time to perform all the necessary due diligence.

I look at this as an ongoing extra layer of expertise that we provide our shareholders. We pick managers for the fund and we analyze them on an ongoing basis. We add value by selecting best of breed managers and replacing them when necessary. This brings me back to the point about objectivity: there are very few mutual fund products, even if they're multi-managed, that have the objective oversight that we provide on an ongoing basis. I think investors can take comfort in that.

We are aligned with the investor and shareholder because if a manager is not performing, then we're not performing. We have no vested interest in retaining or keeping managers for any reason other than superior performance relative to their style. Period. We're absolutely aligned with the shareholder. We want the same thing the shareholder wants, and that's better long-term, risk-adjusted returns than the average mutual fund. We are shareholders in the Fund and a good part of our compensation is based on the performance of the Fund. If the Fund does not perform well, we feel it as shareholders and as employees. So, there's no question where our interests lie: with you.

ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

A CLOSER LOOK AT LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

MULTI-MANAGEMENT IS EMBEDDED IN THE ALL-STAR APPROACH TO INVESTING

By Mark T. Haley

[PHOTO OF MARK T. HALEY]

Multi-management is fundamental to All-Star's investment approach and to LAMCO's investment philosophy. It's the same investment approach and philosophy that is practiced by major institutional investors, such as pension plans and endowment funds. The big difference between All-Star and most mutual funds is that All-Star is multi-managed. With most funds, a single manager or a team of managers makes the investment decisions. With the All-Star Equity Fund, five complementary investment managers make the decisions, each operating separately from the others.

LAMCO has had a decade and a half of experience managing for individuals using the multi-management philosophy and process. As you can see from our backgrounds (summarized on page 4), the senior managers of LAMCO have in-depth experience in both the institutional money management and mutual fund industries, so we are well equipped to manage funds in a multi-management

We've more or less defined multi-management already, but to reiterate, multi-management is an investment approach in which assets are allocated among several different, but complementary investment managers. Although the

allocation could be disproportionate, the All-Star Equity Fund allocates its assets on an approximately equal basis among the five investment managers: three value managers and two growth managers. The most important point is that multi-management is a disciplined way to invest for the long term.

The rationale for multi-management is diversification and, hence, risk control. With All-Star, diversification is practiced at two levels. At the fund level, we don't diversify by stock, we diversify by manager, or subadvisor (LAMCO being the advisor). At the manager level, the investment managers diversify their portfolios (while remaining true to their investment style and strategy). It's interesting to note that subadvised and/or multi-managed funds are growing rapidly in the mutual fund industry; some estimate that for the past six years subadvised mutual funds have grown 6 percent a year faster than internally managed funds. LAMCO recognized the value of this approach 15 years ago.

In addition to diversification, multi-management using independent subadvisors is highly objective. Out of thousands of investment managers, LAMCO has a wide open playing field to choose those whom we believe, both qualitatively and quantitatively, are superior investment managers.

The All-Star Equity Fund has a growth and income objective which balances both growth and value style investing within the fund. We don't have a manager picking both growth and value stocks because we feel that's an inefficient way to blend the two styles. We believe that many good investment managers got to be that way, in large part, because they practice a particular way of investing and do so because that's what they truly believe is the best way to make money. So, we don't try to force-fit growth and value through one manager, and that differentiates us from the average growth and income fund.

Another point meriting attention is the recognition of sub-styles within the overall value and growth styles. We define the differences in the managers' sub-styles in the Manager Roundtable, which begins on page 10. The relevant point for shareholders is that understanding the managers' specific sub-styles allows us to do a superior job of portfolio construction. For instance, if all three value managers were practicing value investing through the same sub-style, we'd have a great deal of stock duplication within the fund. But, because of the way the managers are blended, we are able to limit the number of stocks held by more than one manager.

The benefits are reduced volatility and more consistent returns over the long term.

6 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

A CLOSER LOOK AT LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

THE DISTRIBUTION POLICY IS ANOTHER ATTRIBUTE THAT MAKES ALL-STAR UNIQUE

By Christopher S. Carabell

[PHOTO OF CHRISTOPHER S. CARABELL]

Since 1988, the All-Star Equity Fund has had a policy of paying annual distributions on its common shares totaling approximately 10 percent of the fund's net asset value (NAV). The distributions are paid quarterly at a rate of $2.5\ \mathrm{percent}$.

This distribution policy is among several attributes that distinguish the All-Star Equity Fund. Two others are the fund's structure as a closed-end mutual fund and its multi-management investment approach, the latter having been discussed already. Actually, all three of these factors work together to add value for shareholders.

A closed-end fund is one that has a fixed number of shares, which are bought and sold on a stock exchange through a broker or other financial intermediary. By contrast, most mutual funds are "open-ended" funds that continually offer new shares to investors and redeem shares when requested by the investor. All-Star is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (ticker symbol: USA) and is priced just like any other stock traded on an exchange - that is, by the supply and demand for the stock. Open-ended funds are not traded on stock exchanges; rather, purchases and redemptions are transacted at the net asset value by the fund sponsor.

Why is All-Star a closed-end fund? Basically, because it lends itself to multi-management and to All-Star's objective as a long-term core investment. Being a closed-end fund with a fixed number of shares allows All-Star's investment managers to plan more effectively and not be forced to react to temporary stock market fluctuations and untimely cash flows. Our managers are strictly focused on stock selection - not what the next day's inflows or outflows will be. Another advantage to closed-end funds is lower expenses. As an example, because there's a fixed shareholder base and, thus, fewer transactions, transfer agency fees are lower.

Multi-management complements the payout policy because when LAMCO finds it necessary to replace an investment manager a portion of the portfolio is turned over (sold). This provides a systematic mechanism for distributing funds to shareholders principally in the form of long-term capital gains, which are generally taxed at a lower rate than ordinary income for most shareholders. This helps to make the fund a tax efficient investment for most shareholders.

I should point out that All-Star has a highly diverse shareholder base. Some investors want a steady stream of income with equity participation and choose to take their dividends in the form of cash. Others choose to reinvest their dividends. So, it's not like the fund is liquidating 10 percent of its assets each year, because many shareholders let their dividends compound over time through reinvestment.

Finally, the 10 percent distribution policy has the natural effect of helping to narrow the discount at which All-Star trades to its NAV. The 10 percent distribution, 2.5 percent each quarter, is based on the NAV price. So, if the stock exchange or market price compared to the NAV widens (i.e., the discount gets bigger), the 10 percent payout based on the market price, which is the price investors pay for a share of the fund, actually increases. Most closed-end funds sell for an amount less than their NAV or at a discount to NAV (while open-ended fund transactions are always at the current NAV). Shareholders participating in the All-Star Fund Dividend Reinvestment Plan will always benefit because their shares are reinvested at the lower of the market price or NAV. Occasionally, a closed-end fund, such as All-Star, will sell for a premium to its NAV, in other words, a situation in which the market price exceeds the

ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

7

WHY LAMCO PRACTICES MULTI-MANAGEMENT

Most mutual funds are run by a single portfolio manager or an in-house team of managers who pursue a particular investment style, whether it's growth or value. But styles go in and out of favor. A style that produces strong returns one year may produce disappointing results the next. That's a prescription for increased volatility.

All-Star's Fund Manager, Liberty Asset Management Company (LAMCO), utilizes multi-management, the same approach that is practiced by most large institutions, such as pension and endowment funds. Rather than rely on a single investment style, multi-management combines managers who practice different

investment styles to reduce volatility while producing attractive returns.

All-Star's long-term track record provides clear testimony to the value of the multi-management strategy. As you can see from the chart below, since All-Star's first full calendar year of operation 14 years ago, the Fund has achieved better-than-average returns and better-than-average consistency compared with other major open-end growth and income funds.

[ANNUAL RETURN GRAPHIC]

X-Axis	Y-Axis
5.22	14.73
7.37	12.62
3.59	13.59
6.78	13.56
5.06	10.09
5.80	11.69
3.76	12.22
5.56	13.39
4.76	15.37
4.00	16.92
12.32	8.68
4.07	15.71
3.81	15.03
5.09	14.53
3.16	12.40
2.98	15.25
6.09	12.90
8.37	14.86
8.15	14.83
5.28	14.40
6.64	12.81
8.53	10.41
6.24	14.61
6.24	14.61
8.15	11.15
5.09	12.65
5.00	13.28
3.90	14.69
6.60	11.09
5.97	13.71
4.75	15.00
5.55	12.90
7.44	8.49
6.32	16.52
4.99	13.20
6.14	12.93
7.74	15.47
5.81	15.14
8.73	13.53
6.79	14.48
6.45	14.61
3.85	15.13
5.95	13.49

All-Star's high return and high consistency combination is well-placed among the universe of major open-end growth and income funds.

Each dot represents the precise 14-year return and consistency record ending December 31, 2000, of one fund in the universe of 40 open-end growth and income equity mutual funds (as listed by both Morningstar, Inc. and Lipper, Inc.) that had at least \$100 million in assets at the beginning of the 14-year period.

Consistency is measured by the volatility of "non-market" monthly returns, calculated by subtracting the return of the S&P 500 Index from each mutual fund's return. The lower the volatility, the higher the consistency of results compared with the stock market.

8 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

HOW LAMCO PRACTICES MULTI-MANAGEMENT

[PIE CHART]

MASTRAPASOUA & ASSOCIATES

 ${\tt GROWTH/Companies}$ whose valuations do not reflect the potential for accelerated earnings and cash flow growth.

TCW INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT COMPANY

GROWTH/Companies that have superior sales growth, leading and/or rising market shares, and high and/or rising profit margins.

BOSTON PARTNERS ASSET MANAGEMENT, L.P.

VALUE/Companies with low price-to-earnings and price-to-book ratios where a catalyst for positive change has been identified.

OPPENHEIMER CAPITAL

VALUE/Companies that exhibit the ability to generate excess cash flow while earning high returns on invested capital.

WESTWOOD MANAGEMENT CORPORATION

VALUE/Companies selling at reasonable valuations based on the firm's earnings projections, which are not yet reflected in consensus estimates.

LAMCO SELECTS AND BLENDS INVESTMENT MANAGERS FOR ALL-STAR, AND IT EVALUATES THEM ON AN ONGOING BASIS, REPLACING THEM WHEN NECESSARY

The investment managers LAMCO selects for All-Star are distinguished by a number of characteristics:

- A constant focus on a particular style of investing.
- A disciplined investment decision-making process.
- A record of success relative to other managers who practice the same strategy.
- Continuity among the investment professionals, so that those who have built the record remain the managers.
- A well-managed, highly responsive organization.

The pie chart above shows the investment manager lineup and the allocations to each, and is accompanied by a brief description of the managers' styles.

LAMCO conducts continuing evaluation of the investment managers. The purpose is to be sure that each is still the best choice for All-Star. Through frequent meetings with the investment managers, and through other qualitative and quantitative analyses, each is continually evaluated to assure that: they are consistently practicing their investment style; their organization and investment process continue to support their style; and their investment performance is competitive when compared with other managers practicing a similar style.

LAMCO is also alert to ensuring the proficiency of the investment managers as a team. The objective is to be certain that the team remains an optimal combination, giving All-Star the full benefits of multi-management. Our procedures include:

- Assuring that All-Star's total portfolio has the proper investment characteristics.
- Researching new managers as possible future investment managers.
- Active rebalancing among investment managers.
- Making manager changes when necessary. Ten investment managers have been replaced from inception in 1986 through 2000.

ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

Q

MANAGER ROUNDTABLE

2000 CONFIRMED THAT VALUATIONS, EARNINGS, INFLATION AND INTEREST RATES REALLY DO COUNT. NOW, IT'S POSSIBLE FOR THOSE VERY SAME FACTORS THAT DROVE THE MARKET DOWN TO REVIVE IT.

THE WORLD SURVIVED THE FIRST YEAR OF THE NEW MILLENNIUM, BUT NOT THE STOCK MARKET. HIGHER INTEREST RATES, SOARING ENERGY COSTS, OVEREXTENDED VALUATIONS, SLOWING EARNINGS GROWTH AND OVEREXUBERANCE FINALLY ROPED THE MIGHTY BULL. AFTER LABOR DAY, IT TURNED INTO A ROUT FOR TECHS AND THE DOT-COMS - BUT NOT FOR STOCKS MEETING TRADITIONAL VALUE METRICS. HOW DID IT HAPPEN? WHAT'S THE LESSON LEARNED? AND WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE?

THE VIEWS EXPRESSED IN THIS INTERVIEW REPRESENT THE MANAGERS' VIEWS AT THE TIME OF THE DISCUSSION (JANUARY 2001) AND ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE.

If ever there was a year when diversification was rewarded, it was 2000. The All-Star Equity Fund's balance of value and growth style investment managers enabled the fund to outperform all comparable benchmarks. In comparison to the most widely-watched market measures, the Fund topped the S&P 500 Index, the Nasdaq Composite and the Dow Jones Industrial Average.

Still, it was a year in which few investors could find solace. And that makes it a period of time that should be analyzed for the lessons one can store away for future reference. For a look at what happened in 2000 and why, as well as what lessons investors should take away and where we might be going from here, All-Star's five investment managers offer their best insights in this 2000 Annual Report Roundtable. The managers review the year past, analyze the investment environment and offer a comment on the future – all from the perspective of their specific investment styles and philosophies. The Fund Manager, Liberty Asset Management Company (LAMCO), serves as moderator for the Roundtable. The participating portfolio managers and their investment styles are:

BOSTON PARTNERS ASSET MANAGEMENT, L.P.

PORTFOLIO MANAGER/Mark E. Donovan,

Chairman, Equity Strategy Committee

INVESTMENT STYLE/Value - Boston Partners invests in undervalued companies that have sound business fundamentals and positive business momentum. The firm searches for companies with low price-to-earnings and price-to-book value ratios where a catalyst for positive change has been identified.

MASTRAPASQUA & ASSOCIATES

PORTFOLIO MANAGER/Frank Mastrapasqua

INVESTMENT STYLE/Growth - Mastrapasqua uses proprietary screens, in-house

research and direct contact with managements to select growth companies with compelling valuations. Mastrapasqua focuses on companies with proven competitive advantage and profitability records. A proprietary risk-adjusted price-to-earnings ratio is computed and compared to an independently derived five-year earnings growth rate. Companies selected for investment have projected growth rates that exceed the risk-adjusted price-to-earnings ratio.

OPPENHEIMER CAPITAL

PORTFOLIO MANAGER/John G. Lindenthal, Managing Director INVESTMENT STYLE/Value - Oppenheimer invests in the stocks of quality companies with sound business prospects that are considered undervalued. Research focuses on cash flow analysis. Purchase candidates exhibit a high return on equity, large undedicated cash flow and reasonable prices in relation to intrinsic value.

TCW INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT COMPANY
PORTFOLIO MANAGER/Glen E. Bickerstaff,
Managing Director U.S. Equities
INVESTMENT STYLE/Growth - TCW invests in companies that have superior sales
growth, leading and/or rising market shares, and high and/or rising profit

growth, leading and/or rising market shares, and high and/or rising profit margins. Its concentrated growth equity strategy seeks leading companies with distinct advantages in their business model and an inherent edge over their competitors. Research plays a critical role in the selection process, and the investment horizon is long term.

WESTWOOD MANAGEMENT CORPORATION
PORTFOLIO MANAGER/Susan M. Byrne,
President and Chief Investment Officer
INVESTMENT STYLE/Value - Westwood employs internally-

10 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

MANAGER ROUNDTABLE

generated, bottom-up, fundamental research and seeks to identify stocks with misperceived growth expectations. Proprietary income and balance sheet projections are utilized to identify securities whose typical portfolio characteristics include higher return on equity, higher forecasted 12-month growth rates and lower forecasted price-to-earnings ratios than the market.

LAMCO: After a hopeful start, 2000 turned out to be more of a minefield than a millennium. What's your perspective on the year, and what lessons should investors take away from it? Susan Byrne, let's ask you to open the discussion.

BYRNE (WESTWOOD - VALUE): The millennium minefield provided lessons in financial fundamentals, as witnessed in the technology sector. When the selling began in the technology sector early in 2000, investors turned their focus to technology companies that were generating positive earnings per share. It was no longer enough to have an exciting business plan. The market favored companies that were able to turn an exciting business plan into a company that would generate positive earnings per share. Profitable companies trading at record high valuations remained the darlings of the market. However, an end to these high valuations came at the hands of the Federal Reserve, whose three interest rate tightenings during the year totaled 100 basis points. The Fed's monetary policy resulted in weaker corporate earnings growth and negative earnings surprises, which caught investors by surprise. Afterwards, investors refused to support the high valuations at which many of the profitable technology companies were

trading. As a result of technology's slide from popularity, many tech stocks are now on value managers' radar screens, demonstrating the drastic decline in valuations.

LAMCO: Thank you. Let's hear from a growth manager. Glen Bickerstaff, share your thoughts with us, please.

BICKERSTAFF (TCW - GROWTH): We cautioned from the beginning of the year that higher economic rents in the form of higher interest rates and energy prices would create a challenge for some companies and, indeed, they did. A key lesson for the year should be that stocks are valued based on expectations and perceptions. As the economy showed incredible strength at the beginning of the year some extrapolated that the good times might never end. While we have always been careful on valuation it was equally important in 2000 to be aware of the indications that a slowdown was materializing.

LAMCO: Let's hear from another growth manager and welcome Frank Mastrapasqua to his first Roundtable. Frank, what are your thoughts?

MASTRAPASQUA (MASTRAPASQUA & ASSOCIATES - GROWTH): A year ago, our investment strategy was based on a number of underlying assumptions. They were:

- Y2K would not be substantially disruptive to world and U.S. economic growth
- The post-new year's celebration would herald capital spending returning to the Internet, with telecommunications a priority
- High flying "dot-com" corporate entities represented high risk
- Health care developments would be driven by genomics and proteomics research
- Overall economic growth would continue to be stimulated by accelerating productivity gains from expanded corporate Internet applications
- Fiscal policy would not be a significant variable
- Nominal and real interest rates would not curtail economic growth
- Finally, the Presidential election would be resolved by November 7, 2000 The first six assumptions developed as expected, while the seventh and eighth proved problematic. The year 2000 ended on a stressful note. Nasdaq valuations slid more than 50 percent from intra-year highs, with the downturn triggered by companies signaling weakening demand and earnings. Sluggish automobile sales spread to consumer durables, personal computers,

[SIDENOTE]

"A KEY LESSON FOR THE YEAR SHOULD BE THAT STOCKS ARE VALUED BASED ON EXPECTATIONS AND PERCEPTIONS."

GLEN BICKERSTAFF, TCW (GROWTH)

[SIDENOTE]

"AS A RESULT OF TECHNOLOGY'S SLIDE FROM POPULARITY, MANY TECH STOCKS ARE NOW ON VALUE MANAGERS' RADAR SCREENS, DEMONSTRATING THE DRASTIC DECLINE IN VALUATIONS."

SUSAN BYRNE, WESTWOOD MANAGEMENT (VALUE)

ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND 11

MANAGER ROUNDTABLE

semiconductors, outsourcing companies and mature telecommunications companies.

The market behavior has made investors fear that continued high nominal and real interest rates would translate into profit contraction and a recession in 2001. Money growth has not been sufficient to support continued 4 to 6 percent economic expansion.

LAMCO: Thank you. Now, let's turn to the other value managers. John Lindenthal

and Mark Donovan, share your thoughts, please.

LINDENTHAL (OPPENHEIMER - VALUE): The perspective on 2000 is that because of concerns about Y2K in the latter part of 1999, the Federal Reserve allowed money to grow extremely fast, and together with the hype around the Internet, that created a huge bubble in the technology and telecom sectors. The bubble has now burst and the non-technology/telecom portion of the equity market has broadened out nicely. The lesson is that valuation does matter.

DONOVAN (BOSTON PARTNERS - VALUE): The most remarkable feature of the year 2000 or, more specifically, the final nine months of the year, was that it was a nearly perfect mirror image of the period from October 1998 through March 2000. Prior to March 2000, valuation was not only meaningless, it was detrimental to one's investment performance to have a valuation discipline in the buying or selling of stocks. In the post-March period, valuation was of critical importance. Prior to March 2000, the performance of small and medium capitalization stocks was dwarfed by large cap stocks (and particularly by the largest companies). More recently, good investment opportunities were far more abundant among small and medium capitalization issues.

In our view, the most important investor lesson from the year 2000 was the age-old notion of paying attention to risk in making investment decisions. During the euphoria of the past few years, the definition of "risk" within the equity markets took on a new meaning. Investors began to view a "risky" portfolio as one that was underexposed to the highflying speculative issues that were leading the market. Following the Nasdaq meltdown of 2000, investors learned that the age-old definition of risk centered around preservation of capital is a critically important component of one's investment philosophy.

LAMCO: Thank you, all. Now, the question on investors' minds is how long the slump will last, i.e., when the market will turn. We won't ask you to forecast the future, but we would like you to comment generally on your own economic and market outlook for the next 12 months - either pro or con. Mark Donovan, why don't we stay with you?

DONOVAN (BOSTON PARTNERS - VALUE): The dramatic decision by the Federal Reserve on the second business day of 2001 to lower the federal funds rate by 50 basis points gives investors a potential source of optimism for the year ahead. This move signals that the central bank is willing to move quickly and decisively to inject liquidity into an economy that looks to be softening. There are, however, two key hurdles that we see to a more favorable backdrop for the equity market. One is earnings. We began to see a rising level of disappointing corporate earnings in the third quarter of 2000. December saw a high level of pre-announcements of earnings shortfalls for the fourth quarter, suggesting that the prior quarter's weakness was not a one-time event. We suspect that this period of declining earning expectations will continue through at least the middle of 2001, if not the entire year. The second cause for concern is valuation. Even after the sell-off of 2000, most valuation metrics for the market (e.g. price-to-earnings, price-to-cash-flow, price-to-book) are closer to historic highs than to lows. Our judgment is that the speculative excesses built up prior to March 2000 have not yet been fully worked off.

[SIDENOTE]

"THE BUBBLE HAS NOW BURST AND THE NON-TECHNOLOGY/ TELECOM PORTION OF THE EQUITY MARKET HAS BROADENED OUT NICELY. THE LESSON IS THAT VALUATION DOES MATTER."

JOHN LINDENTHAL, OPPENHEIMER (VALUE)

[SIDENOTE]

"PRIOR TO MARCH 2000, THE PERFORMANCE OF SMALL AND MEDIUM CAPITALIZATION STOCKS WAS DWARFED BY LARGE CAP STOCKS...MORE RECENTLY, GOOD INVESTMENT OPPORTUNITIES

WERE FAR MORE ABUNDANT AMONG SMALL AND MEDIUM CAPITALIZATION ISSUES."

MARK DONOVAN,
BOSTON PARTNERS (VALUE)

12 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

MANAGER ROUNDTABLE

LAMCO: John Lindenthal and Susan Byrne, what are your thoughts about overall direction?

LINDENTHAL (OPPENHEIMER - VALUE): The overall market should offer positive returns this year because valuation is better and the Federal Reserve is in a position to add liquidity to the financial system. However, the market is split between the technology-driven Nasdaq and the rest of the market. Technology spending may turn up in the second half of 2001, so it could take awhile for the Nasdaq to turn around.

BYRNE (WESTWOOD - VALUE): Economic activity in the U.S. is expected to slow in 2001 as a result of deteriorating consumer confidence and diminishing capital expenditures. Westwood anticipates rest-of-world growth to outpace domestic growth, with respective forecasted GDPs of approximately 3 percent and 2.5 percent. As the rest-of-world growth outpaces domestic growth, we expect to derive returns from multinational companies, most notably in the form of a recovery in the euro. We anticipate incremental decreases in the Fed funds rate following the inter-meeting decrease on January 3. Slowing economic growth will result in substantially less pricing power, as relief from the Fed's recent easing will lag the effects on demand of previous policy tightenings. We expect modest inflationary pressures, as productivity gains fail to offset rising labor costs. An impending squeeze in corporations' operating margins will be the likely result of higher production costs. While we expect energy prices to remain high, consumers can expect some relief as energy prices moderately decline.

LAMCO: What is your perspective, Frank Mastrapasqua?

MASTRAPASQUA (MASTRAPASQUA & ASSOCIATES - GROWTH): The good news is that the landscape appears to be changing. We believe the Federal Reserve has recognized that the Internet is transforming the way business works in fundamentally positive ways. The Fed on December 19, 2000, switched to an accommodative monetary bias. On January 3, 2001, the Fed cut interest rates by 50 basis points. Fiscal stimulus, through potential tax reductions next year, would be an additional positive step.

The first tangible glimpse of 2001 market and economic prospects will come early in 2001 when corporations report fourth quarter earnings and disclose business trends and expectations. The realigned earnings picture will be overlaid with the Fed's January 3 rate cut, which was, hopefully, the first in a series of reductions.

Conceivably, we are destined to suffer through a contracting economy. Although a small risk exists that the Fed may still move too slowly, there is evidence that a more accommodative monetary policy is here. Multiple interest rate reductions will stimulate business activity. Fiscal stimulus is also likely to occur, with magnitude and timing the only uncertainties.

LAMCO: What is your perspective, Glen Bickerstaff?

BICKERSTAFF (TCW - GROWTH): Markets tend to over-discount information in both

directions. We expect there is more bad news ahead, but particularly for the technology sector the markets have already discounted most of it. The slowing economy may set in motion several structural forces that enable the economy to re-expand and the stock market will, at some point, reflect the potential for improvement. From a valuation standpoint, we think our holdings are quite reasonably priced. Moreover we anticipate, given their business model advantage, these companies will be able to gain share through the slowdown and come out of it in far better shape than their competitors.

LAMCO: Is there a sector that you expect will replace technology as the market leader for the next 12 to 24 months, or do you expect tech to resume leadership at some point in 2001? What do the growth managers think about this? Frank Mastrapasqua, your thoughts, please.

MASTRAPASQUA (MASTRAPASQUA & ASSOCIATES - GROWTH): Technology/telecommunications, health care and e-value will provide market leadership over

[SIDENOTE]

"CONCEIVABLY, WE ARE DESTINED TO SUFFER THROUGH A CONTRACTING ECONOMY. ALTHOUGH A SMALL RISK EXISTS THAT THE FED MAY STILL MOVE TOO SLOWLY, THERE IS EVIDENCE THAT A MORE ACCOMMODATIVE MONETARY POLICY IS HERE."

FRANK MASTRAPASQUA,
MASTRAPASQUA & ASSOCIATES (GROWTH)

[SIDENOTE]

"WE HAVE BEEN OVERWEIGHT IN FINANCIAL STOCKS SINCE THE EARLY '90'S AND WE STILL BELIEVE THEY ARE THE BEST RELATIVE AND ABSOLUTE VALUES IN THE MARKETPLACE."

JOHN LINDENTHAL, OPPENHEIMER (VALUE)

ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

13

MANAGER ROUNDTABLE

the next 12 to 24 months. The e-value sector is made up of "old economy" companies, most of which have not realized the full potential of Internet-based technologies and the efficiencies that will result. Implementation of these technologies will lift earnings of the companies in this sector to levels above expectations.

Mature global communication systems were designed and developed to accommodate analog voice traffic. Data traffic now exceeds voice and is growing ten times faster per year. Hence, the scramble is on to simultaneously deliver a modern voice/data system and accommodate prospective demand requirements. The development of a new communications infrastructure rivals all previous capital projects. The benefits will be lower communication costs and expanded communication capability. In addition, accelerated medical breakthroughs, specifically in genetics, will result in significant drug development and delivery in 2001.

The foundation for non-inflationary and profitable economic growth in 2001 and beyond rests primarily upon the continued development of Internet- and communications productivity-enhancing products, and genomics- and proteomics-based life-advancing systems. The speeds with which these develop depend upon the adequacy of enabling monetary policies, but the foundation has been set.

BICKERSTAFF (TCW - GROWTH): In past recessions, the best performing sectors have been financials, health care and technology. Financials benefit from attendant interest rate declines. Health care spending is somewhat impervious to a decline in discretionary consumer spending. And, companies tend to continue to spend on technology, as it is critical to their being able to improve productivity and cut costs. In the fourth quarter of 2000 financials and health care have already markedly outperformed the broad market. Meanwhile, technology has dramatically underperformed. On a relative valuation basis, we find each area attractive, while the companies we own continue to have superior growth prospects.

LAMCO: Mark Donovan, how do you see things unfolding?

DONOVAN (BOSTON PARTNERS - VALUE): It seems highly unlikely that technology could regain the kind of dominant leadership position that it held prior to March 2000. To expect any other sector to take on that type of role is also probably unrealistic. We do not believe that any one or two sectors stand out right now as having vastly superior fundamentals or highly compelling valuations. Therefore, we would expect that investment success in 2001 may well be determined more by good stock selection within sectors as opposed to sector allocations.

LAMCO: Susan Byrne, your views?

BYRNE (WESTWOOD - VALUE): In early 2000, we believe that dominance by the technology sector came to an end. While certain technology companies should recover as the capacity bubble created by Y2K excesses is worked out, we continue to believe the S&P 500's weighting of 30 percent in the technology sector represented a long-term peak. Technology outperformance was replaced by outperformance in select energy and utility stocks. In 2001, earnings prospects and modest valuations will allow select stocks in these sectors to continue to perform well. In addition, we expect consumer and other export-related companies to benefit from a weaker dollar, especially against the euro.

LAMCO: John Lindenthal, wrap things up, will you?

LINDENTHAL (OPPENHEIMER - VALUE): We have been overweight in financial stocks since the early '90s and we still believe they are the best relative and absolute values in the marketplace. Once the excess in technology spending has worked through the system, investors will once again focus on this area. We have started to increase our exposure, but only modestly at this point.

LAMCO: Many thanks to all of you for an interesting discussion

[SIDENOTE]

"IN PAST RECESSIONS, THE BEST PERFORMING SECTORS HAVE BEEN FINANCIALS, HEALTH CARE AND TECHNOLOGY...ON A RELATIVE VALUATION BASIS, WE FIND EACH AREA ATTRACTIVE..."

GLEN BICKERSTAFF, TCW (GROWTH)

[SIDENOTE]

"TECHNOLOGY/TELECOMMUNICATIONS, HEALTH CARE AND E-VALUE WILL PROVIDE MARKET LEADERSHIP OVER THE NEXT 12 TO 24 MONTHS."

FRANK MASTRAPASQUA,
MASTRAPASQUA & ASSOCIATES (GROWTH)

14 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

INVESTMENT MANAGERS/PORTFOLIO CHARACTERISTICS

THE FUND'S FIVE INVESTMENT MANAGERS AND THE STYLES THEY PRACTICE ARE:

BOSTON PARTNERS ASSET MANAGEMENT, L.P./VALUE

Companies with low price-to-earnings and price-to-book ratios where a catalyst for positive change has been identified.

OPPENHEIMER CAPITAL/VALUE

Companies that exhibit the ability to generate excess cash flow while earning high returns on invested capital.

WESTWOOD MANAGEMENT CORPORATION/VALUE

Companies selling at reasonable valuations based on the firm's earnings projections, which are not yet reflected in consensus estimates.

MASTRAPASQUA & ASSOCIATES/GROWTH

Companies whose valuations do not reflect the potential for accelerated earnings and cash flow growth.

TCW INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT COMPANY/GROWTH

Companies that have superior sales growth, leading and/or rising market shares, and high and/or rising profit margins.

MANAGERS' DIFFERING INVESTMENT STYLES ARE REFLECTED IN PORTFOLIO CHARACTERISTICS:

The portfolio characteristics table below is a regular feature of the Fund's shareholder reports. It serves as a useful tool for understanding the value of a multi-managed portfolio. The characteristics are different for each of the Fund's five investment managers. These differences are a reflection of the fact that each pursues a different investment style. The shaded column highlights the characteristics of the Fund as a whole, while the final column shows portfolio characteristics for the S&P 500 Stock Index.

INVESTMENT STYLE SPECTRUM

PORTFOLIO CHARACTERISTICS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2000 (UNAUDITED)

(GMIODITED)	VALUE				GROW
	Boston Partners	Oppen- heimer	Westwood	Mastra- pasqua	TC
Number of Holdings	39	30	43	44	28
Percent of Holdings in Top 10	40%	56%	27%	31%	53%
Weighted Average Market Capitalization (billions)	\$30	\$69	\$53 	\$92	\$85

Average Five-Year

Earnings Per Share Growth	9%	16%	10%	18%	27%
Dividend Yield	1.6%	1.3%	1.7%	0.5%	0.2%
Price/Earnings Ratio	17x	19x	17x	32x	34x
Average Price/Book Value Ratio	3.8x	3.8x	3.7x	7.4x	7.1x

ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND 15

INVESTMENT GROWTH AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2000

[LINE GRAPH]

	NET ASSET VALUE	NET ASSET VALUE PER	INCLUDES ADDITIONAL INVESTMENTS
	PER SHARE PLUS	SHARE ACQUIRED THROUGH	MADE THROUGH
	DISTRIBUTIONS PAID	DISTRIBUTIONS REINVESTMENT	RIGHTS OFFERING
31-Jan-87	\$10.17	\$10.17	\$10.17
28-Feb-87	\$10.87	\$10.87	\$10.87
31-Mar-87	\$11.08	\$11.09	\$11.09
30-Apr-87	\$10.77	\$10.77	\$10.77
31-May-87	\$10.86	\$10.86	\$10.86
30-Jun-87	\$11.31	\$11.32	\$11.32
31-Jul-87	\$11.81	\$11.82	\$11.82
31-Aug-87	\$12.28	\$12.39	\$12.39
30-Sep-87	\$11.80	\$11.94	\$11.94
31-Oct-87	\$9.24	\$9.12	\$9.12
30-Nov-87	\$8.51	\$8.32	\$8.32
31-Dec-87	\$9.08	\$9.01	\$9.01
31-Jan-88	\$9.47	\$9.45	\$9.45
29-Feb-88	\$9.82	\$9.85	\$9.85
31-Mar-88	\$9.51	\$9.51	\$9.51
30-Apr-88	\$9.52	\$9.52	\$9.52
31-May-88	\$9.48	\$9.47	\$9.47
30-Jun-88	\$9.85	\$9.95	\$9.95
31-Jul-88	\$9.75	\$9.83	\$9.83
31-Aug-88	\$9.54	\$9.58	\$9.58
30-Sep-88	\$9.90	\$10.05	\$10.05
31-Oct-88	\$10.05	\$10.23	\$10.23
30-Nov-88	\$9.92	\$10.08	\$10.08
31-Dec-88	\$10.11	\$10.33	\$10.33
31-Jan-89	\$10.65	\$11.01	\$11.01
28-Feb-89	\$10.49	\$10.81	\$10.81
31-Mar-89	\$10.64	\$11.04	\$11.04
30-Apr-89	\$11.05	\$11.56	\$11.56
31-May-89	\$11.44	\$12.07	\$12.07
30-Jun-89	\$11.35	\$11.99	\$11.99
31-Jul-89	\$12.09	\$12.98	\$12.98
31-Aug-89	\$12.31	\$13.26	\$13.26
30-Sep-89	\$12.35	\$13.38	\$13.38
31-Oct-89	\$12.14	\$13.09	\$13.09
30-Nov-89	\$12.25	\$13.25	\$13.25
31-Dec-89	\$12.35	\$13.44	\$13.44

31-Jan-90	\$11.79	\$12.66	\$12.66
28-Feb-90	\$11.88	\$12.78	\$12.78
31-Mar-90	\$12.13	\$13.18	\$13.18
30-Apr-90	\$11.99	\$12.98	\$12.98
_			
31-May-90	\$12.91	\$14.30	\$14.30
30-Jun-90	\$12.96	\$14.42	\$14.42
31-Jul-90	\$12.93	\$14.38	\$14.38
31-Aug-90	\$12.15	\$13.22	\$13.22
30-Sep-90	\$11.69	\$12.58	\$12.58
_			
31-Oct-90	\$11.67	\$12.55	\$12.55
30-Nov-90	\$12.23	\$13.41	\$13.41
31-Dec-90	\$12.59	\$14.00	\$14.00
31-Jan-91	\$13.04	\$14.71	\$14.71
28-Feb-91	\$13.71	\$15.76	\$15.76
31-Mar-91	\$14.10	\$16.41	\$16.41
30-Apr-91	\$14.03	\$16.30	\$16.30
31-May-91	\$14.54	\$17.12	\$17.12
-			
30-Jun-91	\$14.04	\$16.32	\$16.32
31-Jul-91	\$14.52	\$17.12	\$17.12
31-Aug-91	\$14.56	\$17.19	\$17.19
-			
30-Sep-91	\$14.76	\$17.54	\$17.54
31-Oct-91	\$14.95	\$17 . 86	\$17.86
	\$14.35		
30-Nov-91		\$16.84	\$16.84
31-Dec-91	\$15.89	\$19.50	\$19.50
31-Jan-92	\$15.69	\$19.15	\$19.15
		·	
29-Feb-92	\$15.46	\$18.75	\$18.75
31-Mar-92	\$15.52	\$18.86	\$18.86
30-Apr-92	\$15.62	\$19.04	\$20.95
_			
31-May-92	\$15.72	\$19.22	\$21.14
30-Jun-92	\$15.47	\$18.76	\$20.64
31-Jul-92	\$15.92	\$19.58	\$21.55
31-Aug-92	\$15.44	\$18.70	\$20.58
30-Sep-92	\$15.94	\$19.63	\$21.60
_			
31-Oct-92	\$16.04	\$19.81	\$21.81
30-Nov-92	\$16.17	\$20.06	\$22.08
31-Dec-92	\$16.54	\$20.75	\$22.84
31-Jan-93	\$16.62	\$20.91	\$23.01
28-Feb-93	\$16.46	\$20.60	\$22.67
		\$21.22	
31-Mar-93	\$16.78		\$23.36
30-Apr-93	\$16.45	\$20.57	\$22.64
31-May-93	\$16.55	\$20.77	\$22.86
30-Jun-93	\$16.85	\$21.36	\$23.52
31-Jul-93	\$16.83	\$21.32	\$23.47
31-Aug-93	\$17.02	\$21.71	\$23.90
-			
30-Sep-93	\$17.35	\$22.38	\$24.64
31-Oct-93	\$17.40	\$22.48	\$26.25
30-Nov-93	\$17.17	\$21.99	\$25.68
31-Dec-93	\$17.41	\$22.47	\$26.24
31-Jan-94	\$17.78	\$23.27	\$27.17
28-Feb-94	\$17.35	\$22.34	\$26.09
31-Mar-94	\$17.12	\$21.82	\$25.47
30-Apr-94	\$17.20	\$21.99	\$25.68
_			\$25.34
31-May-94	\$17.07	\$21.71	
30-Jun-94	\$17.00	\$21.52	\$25.12
31-Jul-94	\$17.30	\$22.20	\$25.92
31-Aug-94	\$17.76	\$23.27	\$27.16
30-Sep-94	\$17.46	\$22.57	\$27.85
31-Oct-94	\$17.63	\$22.96	\$28.34
30-Nov-94	\$16.94	\$21.36	\$26.35
31-Dec-94	\$17.27	\$22.18	\$27.36
31-Jan-95	\$17.41	\$22.51	\$27.78
28-Feb-95	\$17.50	\$22.73	\$28.04

	0		
31-Mar-95	\$18.08	\$24.12	\$29.77
30-Apr-95	\$18.23	\$24.49	\$30.22
31-May-95	\$18.38	\$24.86	\$30.67
30-Jun-95	\$19.03	\$26.47	\$32.66
31-Jul-95	\$19.45	\$27.53	\$33.97
31-Aug-95	\$19.24	\$27.00	\$33.32
30-Sep-95	\$19.82	\$28.51	\$35.17
31-Oct-95	\$19.70	\$28.20	\$34.79
30-Nov-95	\$19.81	\$28.48	\$35.14
31-Dec-95	\$20.08	\$29.19	\$36.01
31-Jan-96	\$20.44	\$30.14	\$37.19
29-Feb-96	\$20.62	\$30.61	\$37.78
31-Mar-96	\$20.76	\$30.98	\$38.23
30-Apr-96	\$20.99	\$31.61	\$39.00
31-May-96	\$20.96	\$31.53	\$38.90
30-Jun-96	\$21.17	\$32.15	\$39.67
31-Jul-96	\$20.52	\$30.33	\$37.44
	\$20.62	\$30.61	\$37.78
30-Sep-96	\$21.63	\$33.48	\$41.31
31-Oct-96	\$21.80	\$33.96	\$41.91
30-Nov-96	\$22.58	\$36.28	\$44.78
	\$22.31	\$35.52	\$43.83
31-Jan-97	\$23.01	\$37.60	\$46.40
28-Feb-97	\$22.91	\$37.30	\$46.03
31-Mar-97	\$22.41	\$35.79	\$44.16
30-Apr-97	\$22.87	\$37.19	\$45.90
31-May-97	\$23.45	\$38.96	\$48.08
30-Jun-97	\$24.31	\$41.62	\$51.36
31-Jul-97	\$25.29	\$44.68	\$55.15
31-Aug-97	\$24.34 \$25.36	\$41.71 \$44.92	\$51.48 \$55.44
30-Sep-97 31-Oct-97	\$23.36	\$43.31	\$53.46
30-Nov-97	\$25.21	\$44.45	\$54.88
31-Dec-97	\$25.37	\$44.98	\$55.53
31-Jan-98	\$25.35	\$44.91	\$55.45
28-Feb-98	\$26.45	\$48.63	\$60.03
31-Mar-98	\$27.20	\$51.19	\$63.21
30-Apr-98	\$27.33	\$51.64	\$63.77
31-May-98	\$26.45	\$48.60	\$62.44
30-Jun-98	\$27.19	\$51.29	\$65.88
31-Jul-98	\$26.89	\$50.22	\$64.51
31-Aug-98	\$24.23	\$40.76	\$52.36
30-Sep-98	\$25.37	\$44.88	\$57.65
31-Oct-98	\$26.21	\$47.96	\$61.60
30-Nov-98	\$26.50	\$49.02	\$62.96
31-Dec-98	\$27.67	\$53.47	\$68.67
31-Jan-99	\$27.72	\$53.66	\$68.91
28-Feb-99	\$27.24	\$51.85	\$66.59
31-Mar-99	\$27.75	\$53.86	\$69.17
30-Apr-99	\$28.56	\$56.98	\$73.19
31-May-99	\$27.96	\$54.67	\$70.21
30-Jun-99	\$28.88	\$58.38	\$74.98
31-Jul-99	\$28.27	\$55.96	\$71.88
31-Aug-99	\$27.48	\$52.83	\$67.85
30-Sep-99	\$27.48	\$52.91	\$67.95
31-Oct-99	\$28.14	\$55.60	\$71.41
30-Nov-99	\$27.92	\$54.70	\$70.25
31-Dec-99	\$28.86	\$58.93	\$75.68
31-Jan-00	\$28.39	\$56.95	\$73.14
29-Feb-00	\$28.26	\$56.40	\$72.44
31-Mar-00	\$29.65	\$62.65	\$80.47
30-Apr-00	\$29.48	\$61.92	\$79.52

31-May-00	\$29.22	\$60.79	\$78.08
30-Jun-00	\$29.62	\$62.71	\$80.54
31-Jul-00	\$29.51	\$62.22	\$79.91
31-Aug-00	\$30.12	\$64.94	\$83.40
30-Sep-00	\$30.15	\$65.25	\$83.80
31-Oct-00	\$30.33	\$66.07	\$84.86
30-Nov-00	\$28.89	\$59.48	\$76.39
31-Dec-00	\$29.87(1)	\$64.10(2)	\$82.33(2)

- 1. Net asset value (NAV) of one share of All-Star as of 12/31/00 plus distributions paid since inception.
- 2. To evaluate your investment in the Fund, these values should be used. Each value shows how your investment valued at NAV has fared by reinvesting the Fund's distributions. The upper value includes additional investments made through the rights offerings in 1992, 1993, 1994 and 1998.

The above chart illustrates the net asset value (NAV) growth of an original share of the Fund since the Fund's inception date through December 31, 2000. The bottom region of the chart reflects NAV growth assuming all distributions were received in cash and not reinvested back into the Fund. An original share grew to \$29.87 (current NAV of \$13.61 plus distributions paid since inception totaling \$16.26, which includes tax credits of \$0.67 on retained capital gains).

Moving up the chart, the middle region depicts additional value added through the reinvestment and compounding of distributions. An original share with distributions reinvested grew to \$64.10 (4.710 shares times the current NAV of \$13.61).

On four occasions, the Fund has allowed the purchase of additional shares of the Fund at a discount through rights offerings. The top region illustrates the additional value added assuming participation in all the rights offerings and the reinvestment of all distributions. An original share grew to \$82.33 (6.049 shares times the current NAV of \$13.61).

16 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

TABLE OF PER-SHARE VALUES, DISTRIBUTIONS AND REINVESTMENT

Year 	Shares Owned At Beginning Of Year	Per Share Distributions	Shares Purchased Through Reinvestment Program	Shares Acquired Through Rights Offering	Shares Owned At End Of Year	NAV(1) Per Share At End Of Year	Total Of Sh Own
1987	1.000	\$1.18	0.140	_	1.140	\$7.90	\$9.
1988	1.140	0.64	0.107	_	1.247	8.29	10.
1989	1.247	0.95	0.156	_	1.403	9.58	13.
1990	1.403	0.90	0.167	_	1.570	8.92	14.
1991	1.570	1.02	0.171	_	1.741	11.20	19.
1992	1.741	1.07	0.199	0.179(2)	2.119	10.78	22.
1993	2.119	1.25(3)	0.266	0.138(2)	2.523	10.40	26.
1994	2.523	1.00	0.277	0.155(2)	2.955	9.26	27.
1995	2.955	1.04	0.310	_	3.265	11.03	36.
1996	3.265	1.31(3)	0.403	_	3.668	11.95	43.
1997	3.668	1.69(3)	0.501	_	4.169	13.32	55.
1998	4.169	1.40	0.487	0.173(2)	4.829	14.22	68.

1999	4.829	1.39	0.569	_	5.398	14.02	75.
2000	5.398	1.42	0.651	-	6.049	13.61	82.

- 1. Net Asset Value.
- 1992: Rights offering completed in April 1992. One share offered at \$10.05 for every 10 shares owned.
 - 1993: Rights offering completed in October 1993. One share offered at \$10.41\$ for every 15 shares owned.
 - 1994: Rights offering completed in September 1994. One share offered at \$9.14\$ for every 15 shares owned.
 - 1998: Rights offering completed in April 1998. One share offered at \$12.83 for every 20 shares owned.
- 1993: Includes the \$0.18 per share tax credit passed through to shareholders, which was assumed to be reinvested at the year-end market price of \$11.125.
 - 1996: Includes the \$0.13 per share tax credit passed through to shareholders, which was assumed to be reinvested at the year-end market price of \$11.25.
 - 1997: Includes the \$0.36 per share tax credit passed through to shareholders, which was assumed to be reinvested at the year-end market price of \$13.313.

DISTRIBUTION POLICY

Liberty All-Star Equity Fund's current policy, in effect since 1988, is to pay distributions on its common shares totaling approximately 10 percent of its net asset value per year, payable in four quarterly installments of 2.5 percent of the Fund's net asset value at the close of the New York Stock Exchange on the Friday prior to each quarterly declaration date. THE FIXED DISTRIBUTIONS ARE NOT RELATED TO THE AMOUNT OF THE FUND'S NET INVESTMENT INCOME OR NET REALIZED CAPITAL GAINS OR LOSSES. If, for any calendar year, the total distributions required by the 10 percent pay-out policy exceed the Fund's net investment income and net realized capital gains, the excess will generally be treated as a tax-free return of capital, reducing the shareholder's adjusted basis in his or her shares. If the Fund's net investment income and net realized capital gains for any year exceed the minimum amount required to be distributed under the 10 percent pay-out policy, the Fund may, at its discretion, retain and not distribute net realized capital gains and pay income tax thereon to the extent of such excess. The Fund retained such excess gains in 1993, 1996 and 1997.

ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND 17

TOP 50 HOLDINGS

RANK AS RANK AS MARKET
OF 12/31/00 OF 9/30/00 SECURITY NAME VALUE (\$000)

1	1	Citigroup, Inc.	\$ 40,950
2	70	Emerson Electric Co.	25,646
3	12	Countrywide Credit Industries, Inc.	23,597
4	6	The Progressive Corp.	23,285
5	3	Pharmacia Corp.	22,951
6	25	Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co.	22,015
7	7	AFLAC, Inc.	21,656
8	21	Freddie Mac	20,663
9	11	Avon Products, Inc.	19,547
10	New	Bank of America Corp.	19,479
11	22	Pfizer, Inc.	18,507
12	33	Amgen, Inc.	18,107
13	16	XL Capital Ltd.	17,475
14	35	The Home Depot, Inc.	16,989
15	44	Genentech, Inc.	16,455
16	5	Intel Corp.	16,275
17	9	El Paso Energy Corp.	15 , 557
18	46	Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co.	15,454
19	26	The Boeing Co.	15,180
20	4	SBC Communications, Inc.	15,046
21	40	Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	15,008
22	19	Baxter International, Inc.	14,898
23	32	Alcoa, Inc.	14,797
24	8	Cisco Systems, Inc.	14,371
25	41	UST, Inc.	14,346
26	50	Sprint Corp. (FON Group)	12,984
27	38	Allmerica Financial Corp.	12,659
28	28	CIGNA Corp.	12,502
29	18	Verizon Communications, Inc.	12,479
30	65	General Electric Co.	12,459
31	29	Stilwell Financial, Inc.	12,328
32	24	Paychex, Inc.	11,262
33	54	Biogen, Inc.	11,154
34	57	Wells Fargo & Co.	11,138
35	37	Harcourt General, Inc.	10,965
36	17	Nokia Corp. ADR	10,875
37	14	Maxim Integrated Products, Inc.	10,834
38	2	Siebel Systems, Inc.	10,826
39	30	The Charles Schwab Corp.	10,747
40	45	Bristol-Myers Squibb Co.	10,662
41	27	Dell Computer Corp.	10,410
42	36	Burlington Resources, Inc.	10,408
43	80	Kimberly-Clark Corp.	10,201
44	52	American International Group, Inc.	10,039
45	51	Clear Channel Communications, Inc.	9,809
46	84	JDS Uniphase Corp.	9,680
47	23	ACE Ltd.	9,599
48	15	Providian Financial Corp.	9,580
49	75	Applied Materials, Inc.	9,562
50	96	The Reader's Digest Association, Inc.	9,511

18 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

MAJOR STOCK CHANGES IN THE FOURTH QUARTER

The following are the major (\$5.0 million or more) stock changes--both purchases

and sales—that were made in the Fund's portfolio during the fourth quarter of 2000, not including changes resulting from the manager replacement of J.P. Morgan Investment Management Inc., with Mastrapasqua & Associates effective November 1, 2000.

SECURITY NAME	PURCHASED (SOLD)	SHARES AS OF 12/31/0
PURCHASES		
Bank of America Corp		424,600
Canadian Pacific, Ltd	·	200,000
Emerson Electric Co	•	325,400
General Electric Co	•	259 , 900
Georgia-Pacific Group	•	250 , 700
JDS Uniphase Corp	•	232,200
Johnson & Johnson	. 63,000	63,000
KeyCorp	. 339,600	273 , 600
Kroger Co	•	250,000
Lexmark International, Inc	. 195,000	195,000
Merck & Co., Inc	. 60,600	60,600
Microsoft Corp	. 106,900	197,800
Network Appliance, Inc	. 73,300	73,300
Praxair, Inc	. 147,700	147,700
Sprint Corp. (FON Group)	. 299,200	639 , 200
SunTrust Banks, Inc	. 118,800	118,800
Target Corp	. 230,100	227,200
3Com Corp	. 633,100	869,300
United Technologies Corp	. 90,700	90,700
Weyerhaeuser Co	. 119,700	119,700
Xilinx, Inc	. 135,000	135,000
Yahoo!, Inc.	. 104,900	104,900
SALES		
Alcan Aluminum Ltd	. (254,100)	0
AXA Financial, Inc	. (212,900)	31,270
Baxter International, Inc		168,700
Burlington Northern Santa Fe Corp	. (200,000)	0
Caterpillar, Inc		0
Deere & Co		151,400
Fannie Mae	•	71,800
Gillette Co	, ,	0
Illinois Tool Works, Inc		0
Occidental Petroleum Corp		0
Providian Financial Corp		166,600
SBC Communications, Inc.		315,100
TrizecHahn Corp	, ,	0

ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND 19

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2000

COMMON STOCKS (97.4%)	SHARES	MARKET VALUE

AEROSPACE & DEFENSE (1.6%)

The Boeing Co	230,000	\$ 15,180,000
United Technologies Corp	90,700	7,131,288
		 22,311,288
BANKS (6.2%)		
Bank of America Corp.	424,600	19,478,525
The Bank of New York Co., Inc.	105,000	5,794,687
Chase Manhattan Bank.	164,750	7,485,828
Comerica, Inc.	79,800	4,738,125
First Union Corp	283,800	7,893,188
FleetBoston Financial Corp	170,000	6,385,625
KeyCorp	273,600	7,660,800
PNC Financial Services Group	105,300	7,693,481
SunTrust Banks, Inc.	118,800	7,484,400
Wells Fargo & Co	200,000	11,137,500
		 85 , 752 , 159
PROJECTIVE A GARAGE (1 20)		
BROADCASTING & CABLE (1.3%)	100 000	0 400 750
Cablevision Systems Corp. Class A (a)	100,000	8,493,750
Clear Channel Communications, Inc. (a)	202,508	 9,808,981
		18,302,731
BUSINESS & CONSUMER SERVICES (0.8%)		
Paychex, Inc	231,612	11,262,134
CHEMICALS (0.5%)		
Praxair, Inc	147,700	6,554,187
,	•	
COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT (2.4%)		
Avaya, Inc. (a)	158,300	1,632,469
Cisco Systems, Inc. (a)	375,700	14,370,525
Nokia Corp. (b)	250,000	10,875,000
Nortel Networks Corp.	171,600	5,501,925
		 32,379,919

20 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND See Notes to Schedule of Investments.

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED)	SHARES	MARKET VALUE
COMPUTER & BUSINESS EQUIPMENT (4.7%) Compaq Computer Corp	457,300	\$ 6,882,365

12 1 0111111 002	
. 597,000	10,410,188
. 72,500	4,821,250
. 102,150	8,682,750
. 195,000	8,640,938
. 91,100	4,475,287
. 73,300	4,708,380
. 144,200	4,019,575
. 869,300	7,389,050
. 55,000	4,812,500
	64,842,283
. 103,200	5,959,800
. 197,800	8,604,300
. 241,700	7,024,406
. 474,600	6,377,438
. 159,800	10,826,450
. 104,900	3,165,030
	41,957,424
. 408,300	19,547,362
. 144,300	10,200,567
. 110,900	8,698,719
. 511,200	14,345,550
	52,792,198
050 000	10 450 056
. 259,900	12,458,956
. 27,600	1,305,825
. 182,700	22,015,350
	35,780,131
L-STAR EQUITY FUND	21
SHARI	E C
30ARI	 -2
283,2	200
50,	000
332,3	
·	
	144,2 70,0

Elan Corp. PLC (a) (b) Forest Laboratories, Inc. (a) Genentech, Inc. (a) IDEC Pharmaceuticals Corp. (a) Invitrogen Corp. (a) Johnson & Johnson MedImmune, Inc. (a) Merck & Co., Inc. Pfizer, Inc. Pharmacia Corp. Protein Design Labs, Inc. (a) Wellpoint Health Networks, Inc. (a)	125,000 50,000 201,900 32,000 75,000 63,000 90,000 60,600 402,325 376,240 45,000 67,900
ELECTRIC & GAS UTILITIES (2.4%) Duke Energy Corp. Exelon Corp. NiSource, Inc. Progress Energy, Inc. Progress Energy, Inc Contingent Value Obligation (a) Reliant Energy, Inc.	82,600 115,000 51,330 168,610 174,900 175,000

22 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND See Notes to Schedule of Investments.

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS _____

COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED)	SHARES
ELECTRONICS & ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT (7.9%) Analog Devices, Inc. (a) Applied Materials, Inc. (a) Broadcom Corp. Class A (a) Emerson Electric Co. Intel Corp. JDS Uniphase Corp. (a) Maxim Integrated Products, Inc. (a) PMC-Sierra, Inc. (a) Synopsys, Inc. (a) Texas Instruments, Inc. Waters Corp. (a) Xilinx, Inc. (a)	80,000 250,400 35,000 325,400 538,000 232,200 226,600 45,000 131,500 148,100 85,000
FINANCIAL SERVICES (11.8%) American Express Co	105,000 31,270

The Charles Schwab Corp. Citigroup, Inc. Countrywide Credit Industries, Inc. Fannie Mae Freddie Mac Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. MetLife, Inc. (a) Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co. Providian Financial Corp. Stilwell Financial, Inc.	378,750 801,966 469,600 71,800 300,000 23,300 90,000 212,900 195,000 166,600 312,600
FOOD, BEVERAGE & RESTAURANTS (1.1%) Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc. Heinz (H.J.) Co.	163,300 160,900
See Notes to Schedule of Investments. ALL-STAR EQUITY SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS	FUND 23
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED)	SHARES
FORESTRY & PAPER (1.0%) Georgia-Pacific Group	250,700

INSURANCE (9.2%)	
ACE Ltd.	226,200
Aetna, Inc. (a)	183,800
AFLAC, Inc.	300,000
Allmerica Financial Corp.	174,600
American International Group, Inc.	101,850 94,500
CIGNA Corp The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc	102,700
The Progressive Corp., Ohio	224,700
UnumProvident Corp	156,700
XL Capital Ltd	200,000
All Capital lica	200,000
METALS & MINING (1.1%)	
Alcoa, Inc	441,700
Alcoa, inc.	441,700
24 ALL-STAR EOUITY FUND See Notes to Schedule of	- Ingrestments
24 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND See Notes to Schedule of	investments.
SCHEDIII.E. (OF TNVFSTMENTS
SCHEDULE (OF INVESTMENTS
	OF INVESTMENTS
	OF INVESTMENTS
	OF INVESTMENTS SHARES
	SHARES
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED)	SHARES
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED)	SHARES
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED)	SHARES
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%)	SHARES
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp.	SHARES 101,900
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp.	SHARES 101,900 106,800
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp. Conoco, Inc. Class A	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp.	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100 50,000
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp. Conoco, Inc. Class A	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100 50,000 170,000
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp. Conoco, Inc. Class A Conoco, Inc. Class B	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100 50,000 170,000 281,500
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp. Conoco, Inc. Class A Conoco, Inc. Class B Devon Energy Corp.	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100 50,000 170,000 281,500 122,179
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp. Conoco, Inc. Class A Conoco, Inc. Class B Devon Energy Corp. El Paso Energy Corp.	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100 50,000 170,000 281,500 122,179 217,200
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp. Conoco, Inc. Class A Conoco, Inc. Class B Devon Energy Corp. El Paso Energy Corp. Exxon Mobil Corp. Halliburton Co.	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100 50,000 170,000 281,500 122,179 217,200 88,000 135,700
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp. Conoco, Inc. Class A Conoco, Inc. Class B Devon Energy Corp. El Paso Energy Corp. Exxon Mobil Corp.	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100 50,000 170,000 281,500 122,179 217,200 88,000
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp. Conoco, Inc. Class A Conoco, Inc. Class B Devon Energy Corp. El Paso Energy Corp. Exxon Mobil Corp. Halliburton Co. USX-Marathon Group	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100 50,000 170,000 281,500 122,179 217,200 88,000 135,700 303,100
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp. Conoco, Inc. Class A Conoco, Inc. Class B Devon Energy Corp. El Paso Energy Corp. Exxon Mobil Corp. Halliburton Co. USX-Marathon Group	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100 50,000 170,000 281,500 122,179 217,200 88,000 135,700 303,100
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp. Conoco, Inc. Class A Conoco, Inc. Class B Devon Energy Corp. El Paso Energy Corp. Exxon Mobil Corp. Halliburton Co. USX-Marathon Group	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100 50,000 170,000 281,500 122,179 217,200 88,000 135,700 303,100
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp. Conoco, Inc. Class A Conoco, Inc. Class B Devon Energy Corp. El Paso Energy Corp. Exxon Mobil Corp. Halliburton Co. USX-Marathon Group	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100 50,000 170,000 281,500 122,179 217,200 88,000 135,700 303,100
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp. Conoco, Inc. Class A Conoco, Inc. Class B Devon Energy Corp. El Paso Energy Corp. Exxon Mobil Corp. Halliburton Co. USX-Marathon Group	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100 50,000 170,000 281,500 122,179 217,200 88,000 135,700 303,100
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp. Conoco, Inc. Class A Conoco, Inc. Class B Devon Energy Corp. El Paso Energy Corp. Exxon Mobil Corp. Halliburton Co. USX-Marathon Group	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100 50,000 170,000 281,500 122,179 217,200 88,000 135,700 303,100
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp. Conoco, Inc. Class A Conoco, Inc. Class B Devon Energy Corp. El Paso Energy Corp. Exxon Mobil Corp. Halliburton Co. USX-Marathon Group	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100 50,000 170,000 281,500 122,179 217,200 88,000 135,700 303,100
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp. Conoco, Inc. Class A Conoco, Inc. Class B Devon Energy Corp. El Paso Energy Corp. Exxon Mobil Corp. Halliburton Co. USX-Marathon Group The Williams Companies, Inc.	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100 50,000 170,000 281,500 122,179 217,200 88,000 135,700 303,100
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED) OIL & GAS (6.8%) Anadarko Petroleum Corp. Apache Corp. Burlington Resources, Inc. Chevron Corp. Conoco, Inc. Class A Conoco, Inc. Class B Devon Energy Corp. El Paso Energy Corp. Exxon Mobil Corp. Halliburton Co. USX-Marathon Group The Williams Companies, Inc.	SHARES 101,900 106,800 206,100 50,000 170,000 281,500 122,179 217,200 88,000 135,700 303,100 174,300

RETAIL TRADE (5.9%) Costco Wholesale Corp. (a) Harcourt General, Inc. The Home Depot, Inc. The Kroger Co. (a) The Limited, Inc. May Department Stores Co. RadioShack Corp. Target Corp. Tiffany & Co. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	82,000 191,700 371,850 250,000 339,600 225,000 100,000 227,200 103,000 282,500
See Notes to Schedule of Investments. ALL-STAR EQUITY	FUND 25
SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS	
COMMON STOCKS (CONTINUED)	SHARES
TELECOMMUNICATIONS (3.2%) QUALCOMM, Inc. (a)	39,300 315,100 639,200 248,954
TRANSPORTATION (2.4%) AMR Corp. (a) Canadian Pacific, Ltd. Delta Air Lines, Inc. Southwest Airlines Co. United Parcel Service, Inc. Class B	180,000 200,000 156,500 169,300 123,500
TOTAL COMMON STOCKS (Cost \$1,036,904,592)	
PREFERRED STOCK (0.3%)	
BROADCASTING & CABLE (0.3%) The News Corp. Ltd. (b) (Cost \$4,611,740)	150,000

26 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

See Notes to Schedule of Investments.

SCHEDULE	OF	INVESTMENTS

SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS (4.6%)	INTEREST RATE	MATURITY DATE	PAR VALUE
COMMERCIAL PAPER (1.2%)			
American Express	6.45%	1/2/01	\$8,000,000
Ford Motor Credit Corp.	6.30	1/4/01	3,000,000
Household Finance	6.50	1/5/01	5,000,000
TOTAL COMMERCIAL PAPER (COST \$15,	993,381)		
REPURCHASE AGREEMENT (3.4%) SBC Warburg Ltd., Repurchase Agre 6.00% to be repurchased at \$46,53 collateralized by U.S. Treasury b to 2028, with a current market va	7,004 on 01/02/01, onds and/or notes with		46,506,000
TOTAL SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS (COS	T \$62,499,381)		
TOTAL INVESTMENTS (102.3%) (COST	\$1,104,015,713) (c)		
OTHER ASSETS AND LIABILITIES, NET	(-2.3%)		
NET ASSETS (100.0%)			
NET ASSET VALUE PER SHARE (101,11	3,522 SHARES OUTSTANDIN	IG)	
December 31, 2000 Gross unrea	Depositary Receipt. tax purposes is \$1,109 ppreciation and depreci		38
Mal	-111	cooc 777 1	

Net unrealized appreciation \$298,777,154

See Notes to Financial Statements.	ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND 27
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
STATEMENTS OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES DECEMBER	ER 31, 2000
Receivable for investments sold Dividends and interest receivable	d cost \$1,104,015,713)
TOTAL ASSETS	
Payable for investments purchased Distributions payable to shareholders Management, administrative and bookkee Accrued expenses	ping fees payable
TOTAL LIABILITIES	
NET ASSETS	
Accumulated net realized gains on investme	2 shares outstanding)ents
TOTAL NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO OUTSTANDING OF BENEFICIAL INTEREST (\$13.61 PER SHARE) .	SHARES
28 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND	See Notes to Financial Statements.
	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
	· · · ·

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000	
INVESTMENT INCOME:	
Dividends	
Interest	
TOTAL INVESTMENT INCOME (NET OF FOREIGN TAXES WITHHELD AT SOURCE WHICH AMOUNTED TO \$38,636)	
EXPENSES:	
Management fees \$	
	2,451,561
Bookkeeping fee	283,483
Custodian and transfer agent fees	274,778
Proxy and shareholder communication expense	181,692
Printing expense	172,096
Legal and audit fees	58,296
Trustees' fees and expense	83,920
NYSE fees	86,536
Miscellaneous expense	26 , 903
TOTAL EXPENSES	
NET INVESTMENT INCOME	
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAINS (LOSSES) ON INVESTMENTS: Net realized gains on investment transactions: Proceeds from sales	
- Net realized gains on investment transactions	
Net unrealized appreciation on investments:	272 000 011
Beginning of year	
End of year	303,766,825
Change in unrealized appreciation-net	
NET INCREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS	
See Notes to Financial Statements. ALL-STAR EQUITY	FUND 29
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
	YEAR ENDED DECEMBEI
STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS	2000

OPERATIONS:		
Net investment income	5,128,422	\$
Net realized gains on investment transactions	167,110,714	
Change in unrealized appreciationnet	 (70,161,986)	_
Net increase in net assets resulting from operations	102,077,150	
DISTRIBUTIONS DECLARED FROM:	 	
Net investment income	(5,712,380)	
Net realized gains on investments	(135,687,387)	
Total distributions	 (141,399,767)	
CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS:	 ·	
Increase in net assets from capital share transactions	19,294,351	
Total increase (decrease) in net assets	 (20,028,266)	_
NET ASSETS:		
Beginning of year	1,396,200,979	_
End of year	\$ 1,376,172,713	
Undistributed net investment income		=
	 	_

30 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

See Notes to Financial Statements.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

			YEAR ENDED DECEMBE	:R 31
		1999		1
PER SHARE OPERATING PERFORMANCE: Net asset value at beginning of year				\$1
Income from Investment Operations:				
Net investment income (a)	0.05	0.05	0.05	
Net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on investments	0.96	1.22	2.35	
Provision for federal income tax				(
Total from Investment Operations	1.01	1.27	2.40	
Less Distributions from:				
Net investment income	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(
Realized capital gains	(1.36)	(1.34)	(1.35)	(

Total Distributions	(1.42)	(1.39)	(1.40)
Change due to rights offering (c)			(0.10)
<pre>Impact of shares issued in dividend reinvestment (d)</pre>		(0.08)	
Total Distributions, Reinvestments and Rights Offering	(1.42)	(1.47)	(1.50)
Net asset value at end of year	\$13.61	\$14.02	\$14.22
Market price at end of year	\$12.375	\$11.063	 \$12.938
TOTAL INVESTMENT RETURN FOR SHAREHOLDERS: (e)			
Based on net asset value Based on market price	8.8% 25.4%		19.8% 9.1%
RATIOS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA:			
Net assets at end of year (millions)	\$1 , 376	\$1,396	\$1,351
Ratio of expenses to average net assets	0.96%	0.97%	1.00%
Ratio of net investment income to average net assets	0.37%	0.37%	0.39%
Portfolio turnover rate	83%	90%	76%

- (a) The per share net investment income amounts do not reflect the period's reclassification of differences between book and tax basis income
- (b) Before provision for federal income tax.
- (c) Effect of All-Star's rights offerings for shares at a price below net asset value.
- (d) Effect of payment of a portion of distributions in newly issued shares at a discount from net asset value.
- (e) Calculated assuming all distributions reinvested at actual reinvestment price and all primary rights exercised.

See Notes to Financial Statements. ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND 31

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS DECEMBER 31, 2000

NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION AND ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Liberty All-Star Equity Fund (All-Star or the Fund), organized as a Massachusetts business trust on August 20, 1986, is a closed-end, diversified management investment company. All-Star's investment objective is to seek total investment return, comprised of long term capital appreciation and current income, through investment primarily in a diversified portfolio of equity securities. All-Star is managed by Liberty Asset Management Company (the "Manager"). The Manager is a subsidiary of Liberty Financial Companies, Inc., a

\$1

\$1

publicly traded company of which Liberty Mutual Insurance Company is the majority shareholder.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by All-Star in the preparation of its financial statements. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period.

VALUATION OF INVESTMENTS - Portfolio securities listed on an exchange and over-the-counter securities quoted on the NASDAQ system are valued on the basis of the last sale on the date as of which the valuation is made, or, lacking any sales, at the current bid prices. Over-the-counter securities not quoted on the NASDAQ system are valued on the basis of the mean between the current bid and asked prices on that date. Securities for which reliable quotations are not readily available are valued at fair value, as determined in good faith and pursuant to procedures established by the Trustees. Short-term instruments maturing in more than 60 days for which market quotations are readily available are valued at current market value. Short-term instruments with remaining maturities of 60 days or less are valued at amortized cost, unless the Board of Trustees determines that this does not represent fair value.

PROVISION FOR FEDERAL INCOME TAX - The Fund qualifies as a "regulated investment company." As a result, a federal income tax provision is not required for amounts distributed to shareholders.

OTHER - Security transactions are accounted for on the trade date. Interest income and expenses are recorded on the accrual basis. Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date.

NOTE 2. FEES PAID TO AFFILIATES

Under All-Star's Management and Portfolio Management Agreements, All-Star pays the Manager a management fee for its investment management services at an annual rate of 0.80% of All-Star's average weekly net assets. The Manager pays each Portfolio Manager a portfolio management fee at an annual rate of 0.40% of the average weekly net assets of the portion of the investment portfolio managed by it. All-Star also pays the Manager an administrative fee for its administrative services at an annual rate of 0.20% of All-Star's average weekly net assets. The annual fund management and administrative fees are reduced to 0.72% and 0.18%, respectively, on average weekly net assets in excess of \$400 million and the aggregate annual fees payable by the Manager to the Portfolio Managers are reduced to 0.36% of All-Star's average weekly net assets in excess of \$400 million. The annual fund management and administrative fees are further reduced to 0.648% and 0.162%, respectively, on average weekly net assets in excess of \$800 million to \$1.2 billion and 0.584% and 0.146%, respectively, on average weekly net assets in excess of \$1.2 billion. The aggregate annual fees payable by the Manager to the Portfolio.

32 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Managers are also reduced to 0.324% of the Fund's average weekly net assets in excess of \$800 million to \$1.2 billion and 0.292% of the Fund's average net assets in excess of \$1.2 billion. Colonial Management Associates, Inc., an affiliate of the Manager, provides bookkeeping and pricing services for \$36,000 per year plus 0.0233% of All-Star's average weekly net assets over \$50 million,

0.0167% in excess of \$500 million, and 0.015% in excess of \$1 billion.

NOTE 3. CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS

During the years ended December 31, 2000, and December 31, 1999, distributions in the amount of \$19,294,351 and \$55,329,487 respectively, were paid in newly issued shares valued at market value or net asset value, but not less than 95% of market value, resulting in the issuance of 1,535,869 and 4,579,753 shares, respectively.

NOTE 4. SECURITIES TRANSACTIONS

Realized gains and losses are recorded on the identified cost basis for both financial reporting and federal income tax purposes. The cost of investments purchased and the proceeds from investments sold excluding short-term debt obligations for the year ended December 31, 2000 were \$1,127,955,001 and \$1,250,026,665, respectively.

The Fund may enter into repurchase agreements and require the seller of the instrument to maintain on deposit with the Fund's custodian bank or in the Federal Reserve Book-Entry System securities in the amount at all times equal to or in excess of the value of the repurchase agreement, plus accrued interest. The Fund may experience costs and delays in liquidating the collateral if the issuer defaults or enters bankruptcy.

NOTE 5. DISTRIBUTIONS TO SHAREHOLDERS

All-Star currently has a policy of paying distributions on its common shares totaling approximately 10% of its net asset value per year, payable in four quarterly distributions of 2.5% of All-Star's net asset value at the close of the New York Stock Exchange on the Friday prior to each quarterly declaration

Distributions to shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Income and capital gain distributions are determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations, which may differ from generally accepted accounting principles. Reclassifications are made to the Fund's capital accounts to reflect income and gains available for distribution (or available capital loss carryovers) under income tax regulations. These reclassifications are excluded from the per share amounts in the Financial Highlights.

ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND 33

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

TO THE SHAREHOLDERS AND THE TRUSTEES OF LIBERTY ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

In our opinion, the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities, including the investment portfolio, and the related statements of operations and changes in net assets and the financial highlights present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Liberty All-Star Equity Fund (the "Fund") at December 31, 2000, the results of its operations, the changes in its net assets and the financial highlights for years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements and the financial highlights (hereafter referred to as "financial statements") are the responsibility of the Fund's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these financial statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in

the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating our overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits, which included confirmation of portfolio positions at December 31, 2000 by correspondence with the custodian and brokers, provide a reasonable basis for our opinion. The financial highlights of the Fund for periods prior to January 1, 1999 were audited by other independent accountants whose report dated February 12, 1999 expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Boston, Massachusetts February 12, 2001

34 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

AUTOMATIC DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT & CASH PURCHASE PLAN

Under the Fund's Automatic Dividend Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan (the "Plan"), shareholders may elect to participate and have all their Fund dividends and distributions automatically reinvested by State Street Bank and Trust Company, as agent for participants in the Plan (the "Plan Agent"), in additional shares of the Fund. For further information and enrollment forms, call Investor Assistance at 1-800-LIB-FUND (1-800-542-3863) weekdays between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. Eastern Time.

Shareholders whose shares are held in the name of a brokerage firm, bank or other nominee can participate in the Plan only if their brokerage firm, bank or nominee is able to do so on their behalf. Shareholders participating in the Plan through a brokerage firm may not be able to transfer their shares to another brokerage firm and continue to participate in the Plan.

Under the Plan, distributions declared payable in shares or cash at the option of shareholders are paid to participants in the Plan entirely in newly issued full and fractional shares valued at the lower of market value or net asset value per share on the valuation date for the distribution (but not at a discount of more than 5 percent from market price). Distributions declared payable only in cash will be reinvested for the accounts of participants in the Plan in additional shares purchased by the Plan Agent on the open market at prevailing market prices. If, prior to the Plan Agent's completion of such open market purchases, the market price of a share equals or exceeds its net asset value, the remainder of the distribution will be paid in newly issued shares valued at net asset value (but not at a discount of more than 5 percent from market price). Dividends and distributions are subject to taxation, whether received in cash or in shares.

Participants in the Plan have the option of making additional cash payments in any amount on a monthly basis for investment in shares of the Fund purchased on the open market. These voluntary cash payments will be invested on or shortly after the 15th day of each calendar month, and voluntary payments should be sent so as to be received by the Plan Agent no later than five business days before the next investment date. Barring suspension of trading, voluntary cash payments will be invested within 45 days of receipt. A participant may withdraw a voluntary cash payment by written notice received by the Plan Agent at least 48 hours before such payment is to be invested.

The Plan Agent maintains all shareholder accounts in the Plan and furnishes written confirmations of all transactions in the account, including information needed by shareholders for tax records. Shares in the account of each Plan participant will be held by the Plan Agent in non-certificated form in the name of the participant, and each shareholder's proxy will include those shares

purchased or received pursuant to the Plan.

There is no charge to participants for reinvesting distributions pursuant to the Plan. The Plan Agent's fees are paid by the Fund. There are no brokerage charges with respect to shares issued directly by the Fund as a result of dividends or distributions declared payable in shares or in cash. However, each participant bears a pro rata share of brokerage commissions incurred with respect to the Plan Agent's open market purchases in connection with the reinvestment of distributions declared payable in cash.

With respect to purchases from voluntary cash payments, the Plan Agent will charge \$1.25 for each such purchase for a participant, plus a pro rata share of the brokerage commissions. Brokerage charges for purchasing small amounts of shares for individual accounts through the Plan are expected to be less than the usual brokerage charges for such transactions, as the Plan Agent will be purchasing shares for all participants in blocks and prorating the lower commission thus attainable.

Shareholders may terminate their participation in the Plan by written notice to the Plan Agent, State Street Bank and Trust Company, c/o EquiServe, P.O. Box 43011, Providence, RI 02940-3011. Such termination will be effective immediately if received not less than 10 days prior to the record date for a dividend or distribution; otherwise it will be effective on the first business day after the payment date of such dividend or distribution. On termination, participants may either have certificates for the Fund shares in their Plan accounts delivered to them or have the Plan Agent sell such shares in the open market and deliver the proceeds, less a \$2.50 fee plus brokerage commissions, to the participant.

Experience under the Plan may indicate that changes are desirable. Accordingly, the Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Plan.

ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND 35

TAX INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

All 2000 distributions whether received in cash or shares of the Fund consist of the following:

- (1) ordinary income and
- (2) long-term capital gains distributions

Below is a table that details the breakdown of each 2000 distribution for federal income tax purposes.

TAX STATUS OF 2000 DISTRIBUTIONS

ORDINARY INCOME

		NET	SHORT-TERM	LONG-TERM	
	AMOUNT	INVESTMENT	CAPITAL	CAPITAL	
DATE PAID	PER SHARE	INCOME	GAINS	GAINS	
03/20/00	\$0.33	1.78%		98.22%	
06/19/00	\$0.36	6.20%		93.80%	
00/10/00		0.000	26 170		
09/18/00	\$0.37 	8.09% 	36.17% 	55.74%	

01/02/01 \$0.36 -- 39.35% 60.65%

FOR CORPORATE SHAREHOLDERS

23% of the ordinary income dividends qualify for the 70% dividend received deduction available for corporations for the year ended December 31, 2000.

36 ALL-STAR EQUITY FUND

[LOGO]

ALL STAR

EQUITY FUND -SM-

FUND MANAGER
Liberty Asset Management Company
Federal Reserve Plaza
600 Atlantic Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2214
617-722-6036
www.all-starfunds.com

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS
PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP
160 Federal Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

CUSTODIAN

JP Morgan Chase and Company 270 Park Avenue New York, New York 10017-2070

INVESTOR ASSISTANCE,
TRANSFER & DIVIDEND
DISBURSING AGENT & REGISTRAR
State Street Bank and Trust Company c/o EquiServe
P.O. Box 43011, Providence, Rhode Island 02940-3011
1-800-LIB-FUND (1-800-542-3863)
www.equiserve.com

LEGAL COUNSEL Bingham Dana LLP 150 Federal Street Boston, Massachusetts 02110

TRUSTEES

Robert J. Birnbaum*
James E. Grinnell*
Richard W. Lowry*
William E. Mayer
Dr. John J. Neuhauser*
Joseph R. Palombo

OFFICERS

Joseph R. Palombo, Chairman of the Board of Trustees
William R. Parmentier, Jr., President and Chief Executive Officer
Kevin M. Carome, Executive Vice President
Christopher S. Carabell, Vice President
Mark T. Haley, Vice President
J. Kevin Connaughton, Treasurer
William J. Ballou, Secretary

*Member of the audit committee.

[LOGO]

USA LISTED NYSE

[LOGO]

ALL STAR
EQUITY FUND -SMLiberty Asset Management Company,
Fund Manager
Federal Reserve Plaza
600 Atlantic Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2214
617-722-6036
www.all-starfunds.com

[LOGO] [LOGO]

USA A MEMBER OF THE
LISTED CLOSED-END
NYSE FUND
ASSOCIATION, INC.
www.closed-endfunds.com

[PICTURE OF STAR]