CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC Form DEF 14A March 25, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

File	d by the Registrant [X]				
	d by a Party other than the Registrant []				
Che	ck the appropriate box:				
[]	Preliminary Proxy Statement				
[]					
[X]					
[]	Definitive Additional Materials				
[]	Soliciting Material Under § 240.14a-12				
	CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC.				
	(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)				
	(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)				
	Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):				
[X]	No fee required.				
[]	Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14(a)-6(i)(1) and 0-11.				
1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:				
2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:				
3) (Set	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):				
4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:				
5)	Total fee paid:				
[]	Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.				
	Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.				

1)	Amount Previously Paid:
2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
3)	Filing Party:
4)	Date Filed:

March 25, 2011	
Dear Stockholder:	
•	the annual meeting of stockholders which will be held at The Chattanoogan, 1201 Tennessee, on Monday, May 2, 2011 at 4:00 p.m. (EDT).
meeting. Management will report of Company and its activities. Please telephone or via the Internet, to e cannot attend. Even if you plan to	on the following pages contain details concerning the business to come before the concurrent operations and there will be an opportunity for discussion concerning the e sign and return your proxy card in the enclosed envelope, or vote your shares by ensure that your shares will be represented and voted at the meeting even if you attend the meeting, you are urged to sign and return the enclosed proxy card, or to a the Internet in accordance with the instructions on the enclosed proxy card.
I look forward to personally meetin	ng all stockholders who are able to attend.
	Sincerely,
	Chairman of the Board

CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS May 2, 2011

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), will be held at The Chattanoogan, 1201 South Broad Street, Chattanooga, Tennessee, on Monday, May 2, 2011 at 4:00 p.m. (EDT) for the following purposes:

- 1. To re-elect two directors to serve for a term of three years and until their respective successors are elected and qualified, and to elect an additional director to serve for a term of three years and until his successor is elected and qualified ("Proposal 1");
- 2. To act upon a proposal to ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the independent registered public accountants for the Company's fiscal year ending December 31, 2011 ("Proposal 2");
 - 3. To act upon an advisory vote relating to our executive compensation ("Proposal 3");
- 4. To act upon an advisory vote on the frequency of future stockholder advisory votes relating to our executive compensation ("Proposal 4");
- 5. To act upon a proposal to amend the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to provide for the declassification of our Board of Directors ("Proposal 5");
- 6. To act upon a stockholder proposal, if properly presented at the Annual Meeting, to request that the Board of Directors adopt a Bylaw amendment specifying that the election of our Directors shall be decided by a majority of the votes cast, with a plurality vote standard used only in those director elections when the number of nominees exceeds the number to be elected ("Proposal 6"); and
- 7. To consider and act upon any other matters which may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

In accordance with the provisions of the Company's Bylaws, the Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on March 4, 2011, as the record date for the determination of the stockholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting.

Your attention is directed to the accompanying Proxy Statement.

Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, we urge you to submit your Proxy. To submit your Proxy by mail, please sign, date and promptly return the enclosed Proxy in order to ensure representation of your shares. An addressed envelope for which no postage is required if mailed in the United States is enclosed for that purpose. Alternatively, you may use the toll-free telephone number indicated on the enclosed Proxy to vote by telephone or visit the website indicated on the enclosed Proxy to vote via the Internet. This will not prevent you from voting your shares at the meeting if you desire to do so, as your Proxy is revocable at your option.

By Order of the Board of Directors

President and Chief Executive Officer

Chattanooga, Tennessee March 25, 2011

PROXY STATEMENT

CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC. 2030 Hamilton Place Blvd. Suite 500 CBL Center Chattanooga, Tennessee 37421 (423) 855-0001

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS May 2, 2011

PROXIES

The enclosed proxy is solicited by and on behalf of the Board of Directors of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company" or "CBL"), for use at the annual meeting of stockholders of the Company (the "Annual Meeting") to be held at The Chattanoogan, 1201 South Broad Street, Chattanooga, Tennessee, on Monday, May 2, 2011, at 4:00 p.m. (EDT) and at any and all postponements or adjournments thereof. Any proxy given may be revoked at any time before it is voted by filing with the Secretary of the Company either an instrument revoking it or a duly executed proxy bearing a later date. All expenses of the solicitation of proxies for the Annual Meeting, including the cost of mailing, will be borne by the Company. In addition to solicitation by mail, Company officers and regular employees may solicit proxies from stockholders by telephone, telegram or personal interview but will not receive additional compensation for such services. The Company also intends to request persons holding stock in their name or custody, or in the name of nominees, to send proxy materials to their principals and request authority for the execution of the proxies. The Company will reimburse such persons for the associated expense.

The Company anticipates mailing proxy materials and the Annual Report for the Company's fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, on or about March 25, 2011, to stockholders of record as of March 4, 2011. To obtain directions to be able to attend the meeting and vote in person, you may contact our Vice President – Corporate Communications and Investor Relations either by mail at our corporate office address listed above, or by e-mail to Katie Reinsmidt@cblproperties.com.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to Be Held on May 2, 2011:

The Company's Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting, as well as our Annual Report for the Company's fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, are also available at http://cblproperties.com/cbl.nsf/financial_reports.html.

VOTING SECURITIES

Record Date and Shares Entitled to Vote

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on March 4, 2011 are entitled to vote on the matters to be presented at the Annual Meeting. The number of shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$.01 per share ("Common Stock"), outstanding on such date and entitled to vote was 148,140,607 shares.

Quorum Requirements

The presence in person or by proxy of holders of record of a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock is required for a quorum to transact business at the Annual Meeting with respect to those matters requiring approval by the holders of Common Stock, but if a quorum should not be present, the Annual Meeting may be adjourned from time to time until a quorum is obtained.

Votes Necessary to Approve the Proposals

The vote required to approval each of the proposals at the Annual Meeting is as follows:

- The affirmative vote of the holders of a plurality of the shares of the Common Stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting is required for the election of directors under Proposal 1.
- The affirmative vote of the holders of 66-2/3% of the Company's issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock, voting as a class, is required under the terms of the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (the "Certificate of Incorporation"), for the approval of Proposal 5, the proposed amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation to provide for the declassification of our Board of Directors.
- The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of shares of Common Stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting is required for approval of:
- o Proposal 2, ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the independent registered public accountants (referred to herein as the "independent registered public accountants" or the "independent auditors") for the Company's fiscal year ending December 31, 2011,
 - o Proposal 3, the advisory resolution regarding named executive officer compensation,
- o Proposal 4, the choice, on an advisory basis, of every one, two or three years as the frequency with which the Company will hold advisory votes on executive compensation and
 - o Proposal 6, the stockholder proposal (if properly presented at the Annual Meeting).

Each share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote with respect to those matters upon which such share is to be voted. No cumulative voting rights are authorized and dissenters' rights are not applicable to these matters.

Special Note Regarding Shares Held in Broker Accounts

Under current New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") Rule 452, NYSE member organizations are prohibited from giving a proxy to vote with respect to (i) an election of directors, (ii) any proposal related to executive compensation (including any stockholder advisory votes on the approval of executive compensation and any stockholder advisory vote on the frequency with which advisory votes on executive compensation should be held) or (iii) any stockholder proposal which is opposed by management, without receiving voting instructions from a beneficial owner. Therefore, brokers will not be entitled to vote shares at the Annual Meeting with respect to Proposal 1, Proposal 3, Proposal 4 or Proposal 6 without instructions by the beneficial owner of the shares. Beneficial owners of shares held in broker accounts are advised that, if they do not timely provide instructions to their broker, their shares will not be voted in connection with the election of directors (Proposal 1), or with taking action on any of Proposal 3, Proposal 4 or Proposal 6.

Voting Procedures

A proxy card is being mailed to each holder of shares of the Company's Common Stock for voting with respect to each stockholder's shares of Common Stock. Stockholders should complete, sign and return the proxy card to the Company. Alternatively, stockholders may use the toll-free telephone number indicated on the enclosed proxy card to vote by telephone or visit the website indicated on the enclosed proxy card to vote via the Internet.

As noted above, under the rules of the NYSE, on certain routine matters brokers may, at their discretion, vote shares they hold in "street name" on behalf of beneficial owners who have not returned voting instructions to the brokers. We believe that approval of the proposed amendment to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation to provide for the declassification of our Board of Directors (Proposal 5) is considered a routine item under the NYSE Rules, which means that such matter may be voted on by brokers in the absence of specific instructions by our stockholders. Routine matters also include the ratification of the selection of the independent registered public accountants (Proposal 2). In instances where brokers are prohibited from exercising discretionary authority (so-called "broker non-votes"), the shares they hold are counted as present at the Annual Meeting for the purpose of determining whether or not a quorum exists, but are not included in the vote totals. As noted above, at the Annual

Meeting, brokers will be prohibited from exercising discretionary authority with respect to the election of directors (Proposal 1), the advisory resolution on the approval of named executive officer compensation (Proposal 3), the approval, on an advisory basis, of the selection of every one, two or three years as the frequency with which the Company will hold advisory votes on executive compensation (Proposal 4), and the stockholder proposal (Proposal 6). Because broker non-votes are not included in the vote, they are not counted as votes cast "for" or "against" a proposal. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the election of any nominee for director under Proposal 1, so long as such nominee receives any affirmative votes, and also will have no effect on the ratification of the selection of the independent registered public accountants under Proposal 2, the approval of the advisory resolution on the approval of named executive officer compensation under Proposal 3, the approval, on an advisory basis, of the selection of every one, two or three years as the frequency with which the Company will hold advisory votes on executive compensation under Proposal 4, or the approval of the stockholder proposal under Proposal 6. While we believe that approval of the proposed amendment to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation to provide for the declassification of our Board of Directors (Proposal 5) is considered a routine item under the NYSE Rules, which means there should be no broker non-votes with respect to such matter, abstentions (and broker non-votes, if any) will have the same effect as a vote against Proposal 5 to amend our Certificate of Incorporation.

Unless contrary instructions are indicated on the accompanying proxy, the shares represented thereby will be voted FOR the election of the Board of Directors' nominees for election as directors of the Company as described in Proposal 1; FOR ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the independent registered public accountants for the Company's fiscal year ending December 31, 2011 as described in Proposal 2; FOR approval of the advisory resolution on the approval of named executive officer compensation as described in Proposal 3; as an ABSTENTION concerning the approval, on an advisory basis, of the selection of every one, two or three years as the frequency with which the Company will hold advisory votes on executive compensation as described in Proposal 4; FOR approval of the proposed amendment to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation to provide for the declassification of our Board of Directors as described in Proposal 5; and AGAINST approval of the stockholder proposal as described in Proposal 6.

PROPOSAL 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Company's Board of Directors currently consists of nine members divided into three classes (having three members each) serving staggered three-year terms. Under our Certificate of Incorporation, and Amended and Restated Bylaws (the "Bylaws"), a majority of the directors must be unaffiliated ("Independent Directors") with the Company and its predecessor entity, CBL & Associates, Inc. and its affiliates ("CBL's Predecessor"). Each year the term of office of one class of directors expires. On February 7, 2011, Leo Fields, an Independent Director of the Company, notified the Company of his decision, for personal reasons, to retire from the Board of Directors and not to stand for reelection upon the conclusion of his current term at the Company's 2011 Annual Meeting. As discussed in more detail below, the Board of Directors has nominated Gary J. Nay to stand for election as an Independent Director of the Company, to fill the vacancy on the Board created by the retirement of Mr. Fields at the 2011 Annual Meeting.

Our Board of Directors has delegated to the Board's Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, pursuant to the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines and the provisions of such Committee's Charter, the responsibility for evaluating and recommending to the Board candidates to be considered as nominees for election as directors of the Company. In discharging these responsibilities, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee may solicit recommendations from any or all of the following sources: the Independent Directors, the Chairman of the Board, the Chief Executive Officer, other executive officers, third-party search firms or any other source it deems appropriate. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee's criteria for the evaluation and selection of director candidates are described in more detail below under "Board of Directors' Meetings and Committees – Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee."

As a general matter, our Board believes that each director nominee, and each of the Company's continuing directors, has valuable individual experiences, qualifications, attributes and skills that, taken together, provide us with the variety and depth of knowledge, judgment and vision necessary to provide effective oversight of the

Company's business. As indicated in their biographies presented below, each of our directors and director nominees has significant experience in one or more of the fields of (i) commercial real estate (Messrs. DeRosa, Dominski, Foy, C. Lebovitz, S. Lebovitz and Ms. Nelson), (ii) financial services (Messrs. DeRosa, Dominski, Fields, Foy, S. Lebovitz, Walker and Ms. Nelson), (iii) law (Mr. Bryenton and Mr. Foy) and (iv) retail operations (Messrs. DeRosa, Fields and Nay) that enable them to effectively oversee the management of a publicly traded real estate investment trust ("REIT") in the shopping center industry such as CBL. Each of our directors and director nominees also has significant leadership and strategic planning experience gained through service (A) as the president or chief executive officer (Messrs. Dominski, C. Lebovitz, S. Lebovitz, and Walker) or as the principal financial officer (Messrs. Foy and DeRosa) of either the Company or another publicly traded company, (B) as the Executive Partner and Chief Operating Officer of a major national law firm (Mr. Bryenton), (C) as chief administrative officer for the mortgage and real estate division of a major institutional investor (Ms. Nelson), (D) as the senior real estate executive leading strategic growth programs for two major national retailers (Mr. Nay) or (E) as vice chairman and a member of the executive committee of a major national retailer (Mr. Fields). Additional details concerning the senior executive management, professional, public company and philanthropic leadership experiences that the Board of directors has determined qualify each continuing director and director nominee for service on the Company's Board of Directors are set forth in each individual's biography presented below.

Upon the recommendation of the Company's Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, the Board of Directors intends to present for action at the Annual Meeting the re-election of Charles B. Lebovitz and Gary L. Bryenton, whose present terms expire in 2011, to serve for a term of three years and until their successors are duly elected and shall qualify. Mr. Lebovitz is Chairman of the Board of the Company and is the Chairman of the Company's Executive Committee. Mr. Bryenton is one of the Company's six Independent Directors and currently serves as the Chairman of the Company's Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee and as a member of the Company's Audit Committee. The Board of Directors also intends to present, upon the recommendation of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, the nomination of Gary J. Nay as an Independent Director of the Company, to serve for a term of three years and until his successor is duly elected and shall qualify, to fill the vacancy on the Board created by the retirement of Mr. Fields at the 2011 Annual Meeting.

Mr. Nay was recommended to the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee for consideration by several of the Company's other management and non-management directors, including the Chairman of the Board, the President and Chief Executive Officer, and the Chief Financial Officer, based on their familiarity with his professional reputation and experience in the commercial real estate industry, including the prior experience of several of these individuals in transacting business with Mr. Nay during his career as Vice President of Real Estate of Macy's, Inc. and its predecessor, Federated Department Stores (as described in more detail below). The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee did not engage the services of any third-party search firm in connection with its selection of Mr. Nay.

Unless authority to vote for such directors is withheld, the enclosed proxy will be voted for such persons, except that the persons designated as proxies reserve discretion to cast their votes for other persons in the unanticipated event that any of such nominees is unable or declines to serve.

Nominees

Set forth below is information with respect to the nominees for election:

Name	Age	Current Position*
Charles B. Lebovitz	74	Chairman of the Board of Directors

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form DEF 14A

Gary L. Bryenton	71	Director
Gary J. Nay	66	Nominee for Director

^{*}The position shown represents the individual's position with the Company and with CBL & Associates Management, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Management Company"), through which the Company's property management and development activities are conducted. Charles B. Lebovitz also served as Chief

Executive Officer of the Company prior to being succeeded in that position by Stephen D. Lebovitz, effective January 1, 2010.

Charles B. Lebovitz served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company from the completion of its initial public offering in November 1993 until Stephen D. Lebovitz became Chief Executive Officer effective January 1, 2010. He continues to serve as Chairman of the Board and as Chairman of the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors. Mr. Lebovitz also served as President of the Company until February 1999. Prior to the Company's formation, he served in a similar capacity with CBL's Predecessor. Mr. Lebovitz has been involved in shopping center development since 1961 when he joined his family's development business. In 1970, he became affiliated with Arlen Realty & Development Corp. ("Arlen") where he served as President of Arlen's shopping center division, and, in 1978, he founded CBL's Predecessor together with his associates (the "Associates"), including John N. Foy and Ben S. Landress. Mr. Lebovitz is an Advisory Director of First Tennessee Bank, N.A., Chattanooga, Tennessee and a member of the Urban Land Institute. He is a past president of the B'nai Zion Congregation of Chattanooga, a member of the National Board of Directors of Maccabiah USA/Sports for Israel (Maccabiah Games), and a National Vice Chairman of the United Jewish Appeal. Mr. Lebovitz also has previously served as Chairman of the International Council of Shopping Centers, Inc. ("ICSC") and as a Trustee and Vice President (Southern Division) of the ICSC and is a former member of the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT"). He is also a former member of the Chancellor's Round Table for the University of Tennessee at Chattanooga and a Past President of the Alumni Council for The McCallie School, Chattanooga, and a past member of The McCallie School Board of Trustees. Mr. Lebovitz received his Bachelor of Arts degree in Business from Vanderbilt University. He is the father of Stephen D. Lebovitz, Michael I. Lebovitz and Alan L. Lebovitz, executive officers of the Company.

Gary L. Bryenton joined the Company as a director on January 31, 2001, in accordance with the terms of the Company's acquisition of a portfolio of properties from Jacobs Realty Investors Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership ("JRI") and certain of its affiliates and partners (collectively referred to herein as the "Jacobs Group" and the acquisition is referred to herein as the "Jacobs Acquisition"). Mr. Bryenton is Chairman of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee and a member of the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors. Mr. Bryenton is a Senior Partner of the law firm of Baker & Hostetler LLP, where he counsels individual professionals and business entities in business, financial and tax planning as well as in structuring a variety of complex real estate, financing and merger and acquisition transactions, and has formerly served as the firm's Executive Partner and Chief Operating Officer. He currently is a member of the Board of Trustees of Heidelberg College and also is a former Trustee of the Rutherford B. Hayes Presidential Center. Mr. Bryenton received his Bachelor of Arts degree from Heidelberg College and a Doctor of Jurisprudence degree from Case Western Reserve University School of Law.

Gary J. Nay is the former Vice President of Real Estate of Macy's, Inc. and its predecessor, Federated Department Stores, a position he held from 1988 through his retirement in February 2010. As head of Real Estate at Federated/Macy's, Mr. Nay led the growth of the company's portfolio from 220 stores to 850 Macy's and Bloomingdale's stores across 45 states, Puerto Rico and Guam, generating more than \$24 billion in sales. From 1980 to 1988, Mr. Nay served as Divisional Vice President of Real Estate for Mervyn's, then a subsidiary of Dayton Hudson Corporation, during which time he was responsible for Mervyn's expansion to the East Coast, opening 76 stores from Texas to Florida. Mr. Nay has served on the Board of Trustees of the ICSC, including positions on the Executive Committee and as former Dean of the School of Retailing for ICSC's University of Shopping Centers. He also serves as a director of the Dan Beard Council of The Boy Scouts of America and has previously held positions on the Strategic Planning Committee and as past Co-Chairman of the Friends of Scouting campaign. During his career at Federated/Macy's, Mr. Nay chaired the annual United Way Campaign for Macy's corporate office and represented

Macy's on the board of The Cincinnati New Markets Fund, a private organization of 13 leading Cincinnati corporations, providing loans and equity investments that have helped to revitalize the center city and adjacent Over-The-Rhine neighborhood in Cincinnati, Ohio. Mr. Nay holds a B.A. degree from the University of North Texas.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION OF THE THREE DIRECTOR NOMINEES NAMED ABOVE

Directors and Executive Officers

Set forth below is information with respect to those individuals serving as directors and executive officers of the Company as of March 4, 2011 (other than Charles B. Lebovitz and Gary L. Bryenton):

	Term		
Name	Expires (1)	Age	Current Position (2)
Stephen D. Lebovitz	2013	50	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer
John N. Foy	2012	67	Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary
Thomas J. DeRosa	2012	53	Director
Matthew S. Dominski	2012	56	Director
Leo Fields	2011	82	Director
Kathleen M. Nelson	2013	65	Director
Winston W. Walker	2013	67	Director
Ben S. Landress	_	83	Executive Vice President – Management
Michael I. Lebovitz	_	47	Executive Vice President – Development and Administration
Farzana K. Mitchell	_	59	Executive Vice President – Finance
Augustus N. Stephas	_	68	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
Victoria S. Berghel	_	58	Senior Vice President – General Counsel
Howard B. Grody	_	50	Senior Vice President – Leasing
Alan L. Lebovitz	_	43	Senior Vice President – Asset Management
Mark D. Mancuso	_	56	Senior Vice President – Development
Jerry L. Sink	_	60	Senior Vice President – Mall Management
Charles W.A. Willett, Jr.	_	61	Senior Vice President – Real Estate Finance

⁽¹⁾ Indicates expiration of term as a director.

⁽²⁾ The position shown represents the individual's position with the Company and with the Management Company.

Stephen D. Lebovitz served as President and Secretary of the Company from February 1999 to January 1, 2010, when he became President and Chief Executive Officer, and has served as a director of the Company since the completion of its initial public offering in November 1993. He also serves as a member of the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors. Since joining CBL's Predecessor in 1988, Mr. Lebovitz has also served as Executive Vice President – Development/Acquisitions, Executive Vice President – Development, Senior Vice President – New England Office, and as Senior Vice President – Community Center Development and Treasurer of the Company. Before joining CBL's Predecessor, Mr. Lebovitz was affiliated with Goldman, Sachs & Co. from 1984 to 1986. Mr. Lebovitz is past president of the Boston Jewish Family & Children's Service, co-chair of the 2009 Annual Campaign and a member of the Board of Directors of Boston's Combined Jewish Philanthropies, and a former member of the Board of Trust of Children's Hospital, Boston. He is a past Trustee and Divisional Vice President of the ICSC (2002-08). Mr. Lebovitz holds a Bachelor's degree in Political Science from Stanford University and a Master of Business Administration degree from Harvard University. Stephen D. Lebovitz is a son of Charles B. Lebovitz and a brother of Michael I. Lebovitz and Alan L. Lebovitz.

John N. Foy has served as Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors and Treasurer of the Company since February 1999 and as a director and Chief Financial Officer of the Company since the completion of its initial public offering in November 1993. From November 1993 until February 1999, he served as Executive Vice President - Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary of the Company, and he resumed the role of Secretary of the Company effective January 1, 2010. Mr. Foy is a member of the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors. Prior to the Company's formation, he served in similar executive capacities with CBL's Predecessor. Mr. Foy has been involved in the shopping center industry since 1968 when he joined the Lebovitz family's shopping center development business. In 1970, he became affiliated with the shopping center division of Arlen, and, in 1978, joined Charles B. Lebovitz in establishing CBL's Predecessor. Mr. Foy served as the non-executive Chairman of the Board of First Fidelity Savings Bank in Crossville, Tennessee from December 1985 until April 1994. Mr. Foy has served as Chairman of the Board of Directors of Chattanooga Neighborhood Enterprise, a non-profit organization based in Chattanooga, Tennessee, and currently serves as a member of the Board of Trustees of the University of Tennessee, and as a member of the Board of Directors of the Electric Power Board of Chattanooga, a non-profit agency of the City of Chattanooga, Tennessee. He is a former member of the Board of Governors of NAREIT. Mr. Foy received his Bachelor of Arts degree in History from Austin Peay State University and a Doctor of Jurisprudence degree from the University of Tennessee.

Thomas J. DeRosa is former Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer of The Rouse Company (real estate development and operations), a position he held from September 2002 until November 2004 when The Rouse Company merged with General Growth Properties, Inc. From 1992 to September 2002, Mr. DeRosa held various positions at Deutsche Bank (Deutsche Bank AG) and Alex, Brown & Sons, including Global Co-Head of the Health Care Investment Banking Group of Deutsche Bank and Managing Director in the Real Estate Investment Banking Group of Alex. Brown & Sons. Since 2004, Mr. DeRosa has been a director of Health Care REIT, Inc., a NYSE-listed REIT focused on senior housing and health care real estate, where he serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee of the board of directors and is a member of the Investment, Nominating/Corporate Governance and Planning Committees of Health Care REIT's board. Mr. DeRosa serves as a director of Value Retail PLC, a private UK owner, operator and developer of high fashion outlet shopping villages in Europe, and as a director of Tri-US Inc., a private sport-nutrition company. He also previously served as a director of Dover Corporation, a NYSE-listed global provider of equipment, specialty systems and services for various industrial and commercial markets, and as a member of the Audit Committee of Dover Corporation's board. Mr. DeRosa received a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration from Georgetown University, where he currently serves as a Trustee and as a member of the school's Board of Directors and its Audit Committee, and also received a Master of Business Administration degree from Columbia University.

Matthew S. Dominski joined the Company as a director on February 2, 2005, when he was appointed to the Board of Directors to fill the un-expired term of William J. Poorvu, who retired from the Company's Board in July 2004. Mr. Dominski is a member of the Company's Audit, Compensation and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committees. From 1993 through 2000, Mr. Dominski served as Chief Executive Officer of Urban Shopping Centers ("Urban"). Urban was formerly one of the largest regional mall property companies in the United States and was a publicly traded REIT listed on the NYSE and the Chicago Exchange. Previously, he also served in various management positions at JMB Realty Corporation. Following the purchase of Urban by Rodamco North

America in 2000, Mr. Dominski served as Urban's President until 2002. In 2003, Mr. Dominski formed Polaris Capital, LLC, a Chicago, Illinois based real estate investment firm of which he currently is joint owner. In March 2010, Mr. Dominski was appointed as a director of First Industrial Realty Trust, another NYSE-listed REIT which buys, sells, leases, develops and manages industrial real estate. From 1998 until 2004, Mr. Dominski served as a member of the Board of Trustees of the ICSC. Mr. Dominski received his Bachelor of Arts degree in economics from Trinity College and a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Chicago.

Leo Fields has served as a director of the Company since the completion of its initial public offering in November 1993 and is a member of the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors. Mr. Fields served as Executive Vice President of Gerald L. Ray Associates, Ltd., an investment advisory firm, from February 15, 2009 through his retirement in June 2010. Mr. Fields served as Co-Chairman of Weisberg & Fields, Inc., an investment advisory firm he started in 1991, until that firm was purchased by Gerald L. Ray Associates, Ltd. on February 15, 2009. From 1984 through 1991, Mr. Fields directed Leo Fields Interests, a private investment firm. He was affiliated with Zale Corporation from 1947 until his retirement in 1984, serving, from 1981 to 1984, as Vice Chairman and a member of Zale's Executive Committee. Mr. Fields also is a director of the M. B. and Edna Zale Foundation.

Kathleen M. Nelson joined the Company as a director on May 5, 2009, when she was appointed to the Board of Directors to fill the vacancy that resulted from the retirement of director Martin J. Cleary following the Company's 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Mr. Cleary had joined the Board in January 2001, in accordance with the terms of the Jacobs Acquisition. As discussed below under "Certain Terms of the Jacobs Acquisition," Ms. Nelson succeeded Mr. Cleary as one of two JRI designees on the Company's Board of Directors. Ms. Nelson has an extensive background in commercial real estate and financial services with over 40 years of experience, including 36 years at TIAA-CREF. Ms. Nelson held the position of Managing Director/Group Leader and Chief Administrative Officer for TIAA-CREF's Mortgage and Real Estate Division. TIAA-CREF's mortgage and real estate portfolio totaled over \$53.0 billion and was invested in all sectors of real estate, of which approximately 25% was invested in retail. Ms. Nelson developed and staffed TIAA-CREF's Real Estate Research Department and created the pre-eminent commercial mortgage loan sales model for TIAA-CREF, generating over \$10.0 billion in mortgage sales. She retired from this position in 2004 and currently serves as President and Founder of KMN Associates LLC ("KMN"), a commercial real estate investment advisory and consulting firm which advises clients in a variety of commercial real estate transactions including portfolio strategy and capital sourcing. In 2009, Ms. Nelson co-founded and serves as Managing Principal of Bay Hollow Associates, LLC, a commercial real estate consulting firm, which provides counsel to institutional investors. Ms. Nelson has previously served as Chairman of the ICSC, has been an ICSC Trustee since 1991, and served as the Treasurer and Chairman for the 1996 ICSC Annual Convention. She is the Chairman of the ICSC Audit Committee and is a member of various other ICSC committees. Ms. Nelson is a director, and a member of the Audit, Compensation and Human Resources, and Nominating and Coprorate Governance Committees, of Apartment Investment and Management Company (AIMCO), a publicly held REIT that owns and manages multi-family residential properties. Ms. Nelson is also a director, and a member of the Risk Committee, of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc., a publicly traded bank holding company based in Brooklyn, New York. She also serves on the Castagna Realty Company Advisory Board, the Beverly Willis Architectural Foundation Advisory Board and is a member of the Anglo American Real Property Institute. She has served on the Board of Advisors to the Rand Institute Center for Terrorism Risk Management Policy. Ms. Nelson is a graduate of Indiana University with a Bachelor of Science degree in Real Estate, the University of Chicago Executive Management Program, and the Aspen Institute Leadership Seminar.

Winston W. Walker has served as a director of the Company since the completion of its initial public offering in November 1993. He is a member of the Compensation and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committees of the Company's Board of Directors and is Chairman of the Audit Committee. Mr. Walker served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Provident Life and Accident Insurance Company of America ("Provident") from 1987 until 1993, and served in various other capacities with Provident from 1974 to 1987. Since 1993, Mr. Walker has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Walker & Associates, which provides strategic consultation primarily to clients in the

healthcare and insurance industries. Mr. Walker is a director, a member of the Audit Committee and Chairman of the Compensation Committee of American Campus Communities, Inc. of Austin, Texas, a NYSE-listed REIT that is one of the largest owners, managers and developers of high quality student housing properties in the United States. Mr. Walker received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Russian from Tulane University and a Ph.D. in Mathematics from the University of Georgia.

Ben S. Landress serves as Executive Vice President – Management of the Company. He has held that position since January 1997. Prior to that time, Mr. Landress served as Senior Vice President - Management and prior thereto, he served in a similar capacity with CBL's Predecessor. He also serves as the Company's Compliance Officer. Mr. Landress has been involved in the shopping center business since 1961 when he joined the Lebovitz family's development business. In 1970, he became affiliated with Arlen's shopping center division, and, in 1978, joined Charles B. Lebovitz as an Associate in establishing CBL's Predecessor.

Michael I. Lebovitz serves as Executive Vice President – Development and Administration of the Company. Mr. Lebovitz served as Chief Development Officer – Senior Vice President of the Company from June 2006 through January 1, 2010, when he was promoted to his current position. Previously, he served the Company as Senior Vice President – Mall Projects, having held that position since January 1997. Prior to that time, Mr. Lebovitz served as Vice President - Development and as a project manager for the Company. Mr. Lebovitz joined CBL's Predecessor in 1988 as a project manager for CoolSprings Galleria in Nashville, Tennessee, and was promoted to Vice President in 1993. Prior to joining CBL's Predecessor, he was affiliated with Goldman, Sachs & Co. from 1986 to 1988. He is past president of the Jewish Community Federation of Greater Chattanooga and a past member of the national board of Hillel. Mr. Lebovitz currently serves on the national boards of Jewish Federations of North America, the United Israel Appeal and the United States Holocaust Memorial Council, and is currently serving as National Campaign Chair for the Jewish Federations of North America for 2010 – 2011. He is also a member of the Board of the United Way of Greater Chattanooga. Michael I. Lebovitz is a son of Charles B. Lebovitz and a brother of Stephen D. Lebovitz and Alan L. Lebovitz.

Farzana K. Mitchell serves as Executive Vice President – Finance of the Company. Ms. Mitchell served as Senior Vice President – Finance of the Company from September 2000 through January 1, 2010, when she was promoted to her current position. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. Mitchell was Vice President of Equitable Real Estate (successor to Lend Lease Real Estate Investments prior to its acquisition by Morgan Stanley). Ms. Mitchell served the Equitable and Lend Lease companies for 18 years in various senior financial positions and as Deputy Portfolio Manager for Equitable/AXA Financial's mortgage portfolio. From 1976 to 1982, she served as Assistant Treasurer of IRT Property Company, a former REIT. Ms. Mitchell recently was appointed to the Board of Commissioners of the Chattanooga Metropolitan Airport Authority (CMA) and also is a member of the Finance Committee of the CMA. Ms. Mitchell received a Bachelor of Business Administration degree in Economics, a Master of Business Administration degree in Accounting and a Master of Science degree in Real Estate and Urban Affairs from Georgia State University. She is a certified public accountant, licensed in the state of Georgia.

Augustus N. Stephas serves as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company. Mr. Stephas served as Chief Operating Officer – Senior Vice President of the Company from February 2007 through January 1, 2010, when he was promoted to his current position. Previously, Mr. Stephas served as Senior Vice President – Accounting and Controller of the Company, having held those positions since January 1997. Mr. Stephas joined CBL's Predecessor in July 1978 as Controller and was promoted to Vice President in 1984. From 1970 to 1978, Mr. Stephas was affiliated with the shopping center division of Arlen, first as accountant and later as assistant controller.

Victoria S. Berghel serves as Senior Vice President – General Counsel of the Company. She was promoted to that position effective January 1, 2006. Ms. Berghel formerly served as Vice President – Deputy General Counsel since joining the Company in February 2004. Prior to joining the Company, Ms. Berghel served as a Vice President – Law – Real Estate, Construction and Environmental Affairs for Sears, Roebuck and Co. (1996 – 2004). Before joining Sears in 1996, she was a partner with the Baltimore, Maryland law firm of Weinberg & Green (now part of the law firm of Saul Ewing LLP). Ms. Berghel received her Doctor of Jurisprudence degree from the University of Maryland School of Law, where she was on the Editorial Board of the Maryland Law Review. Ms. Berghel has been a member of the American College of Real Estate Lawyers since 1989 and served as Chair of the Maryland State Bar Association's Section of Real Property, Planning and Zoning from 1994 to 1996. She serves on the Advisory Board of the John Marshall School of Law LLM-Real Estate program and is a member of the Law Conference Program Committee for

the ICSC having previously served as co-chair (2003) and chair (2004) of the ICSC Law Conference and as a dean of the ICSC University of Shopping Centers School of Shopping Center Law.

Howard B. Grody serves as Senior Vice President – Leasing of the Company. He was promoted to that position effective June 17, 2008. Previously, Mr. Grody had served as Vice President – Mall Leasing of the Company since 2000. Mr. Grody joined CBL in 1991 as a Leasing Manager for the Turtle Creek Mall development in Hattiesburg, Mississippi, and subsequently was promoted to the position of Senior Leasing Manager, assuming leasing responsibilities for the Company's three Nashville-area malls. Prior to joining CBL, Mr. Grody worked in the real estate industry with Sizeler Real Estate Properties and R. G. Foster & Associates. Mr. Grody received his Bachelor of Science degree in Management from Tulane University.

Alan L. Lebovitz serves as Senior Vice President – Asset Management of the Company. He was promoted to that position effective February 23, 2009, having previously served as Vice President – Asset Management since 2002. Mr. Lebovitz previously had served in various positions in management, leasing and development since joining the Company in 1995. Mr. Lebovitz was affiliated with Goldman, Sachs & Co. from 1990 to 1992 and obtained a Master of Business Administration degree from Vanderbilt University prior to joining CBL in 1995. He is the immediate past president of the B'nai Zion Congregation of Chattanooga, a Leadership Chattanooga alumnus and is a member of the Board of the United Way of Greater Chattanooga. Alan L. Lebovitz is a son of Charles B. Lebovitz and a brother of Stephen D. Lebovitz and Michael I. Lebovitz.

Mark D. Mancuso serves as Senior Vice President –Development of the Company. He was promoted to that position effective January 1, 2006. Mr. Mancuso formerly served as Vice President and Director of Community Center Development – Boston Office from 1993 through 2006, and as a project manager for the Company from 1989 through 2003. Prior to joining the Company in 1989, he was a partner with The Pyramid Companies (1984-1989). Mr. Mancuso previously has served as a State Director for the ICSC and as Chairman of the Board of the West Suburban YMCA in Newton, Massachusetts. He received a Bachelor of Science degree from Syracuse University and a Master of Business Administration degree from Harvard University.

Jerry L. Sink serves as Senior Vice President – Mall Management of the Company. He has held that position since February 1998. Prior to that time, Mr. Sink had served as Vice President - Mall Management since joining the Company in July 1993. Mr. Sink served as Vice President of Retail Asset Management for Equitable Real Estate, Chicago, Illinois, from January 1988 to June 1993 and, prior to January 1988, he was affiliated with General Growth Companies, Inc. as Vice President of Management. Mr. Sink holds the designation of Senior Certified Shopping Center Manager (SCSM) as recognized by the ICSC.

Charles W.A. Willett, Jr. serves as Senior Vice President – Real Estate Finance of the Company. He has held that position since January 2002. Mr. Willett was promoted to Vice President - Real Estate Finance in 1996 and held that position until his promotion to Senior Vice President as stated above. Prior to 1996, Mr. Willett participated in the Company's finance department and he served in a similar capacity with CBL's Predecessor prior to 1993. Mr. Willett joined CBL's Predecessor in 1978 and prior thereto, he was affiliated with Arlen in its finance and accounting departments.

Operation of the Company's Business; Certain Aspects of the Company's Capital Structure

The Company operates through its two wholly-owned subsidiaries, CBL Holdings I, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("CBL Holdings I"), and CBL Holdings II, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("CBL Holdings II"). Through the referenced subsidiaries, the Company currently holds a 1.0% sole general partner interest and a 76.8% limited partner interest in CBL & Associates Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership"). See "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions – Operating Partnership Agreement; CBL Rights". The Company conducts substantially all of its business through the Operating Partnership. The Company conducts its property management and development activities through the Management Company, which is a taxable REIT subsidiary of the Operating Partnership, to comply with certain technical requirements of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

Certain Terms of the Jacobs Acquisition

In connection with the Jacobs Acquisition and pursuant to a voting and standstill agreement (the "Voting/Standstill Agreement"), the Company agreed to expand its Board of Directors from seven to nine members

and to nominate two designees of JRI as members of the Board. Martin J. Cleary and Gary L. Bryenton were appointed to the Board as these initial designees in 2001. Under the Voting/Standstill Agreement, JRI will continue to be entitled to nominate two Board members until JRI, together with Richard E. Jacobs and certain members of his family and certain trusts for the benefit of the families of Richard E. Jacobs and David H. Jacobs (collectively, the "Jacobs Persons"), as a group, beneficially own fewer than an aggregate of 13.55 million special common units ("SCUs") in the Operating Partnership (or common units, if certain redemption or exchange provisions under the Operating Partnership Agreement have been triggered) and shares of Common Stock, following which JRI will be entitled to nominate only one Board member. JRI will no longer be entitled to nominate any Board members if the Jacobs Persons, as a group, beneficially own fewer than an aggregate of 6.67 million SCUs (or common units, if applicable) and shares of Common Stock. Pursuant to the Voting/Standstill Agreement, CBL's Predecessor and certain of the Company's executive officers have agreed to vote their shares in favor of JRI's designees until the twelfth anniversary of the Jacobs Acquisition. The Jacobs Persons have agreed to a 12-year standstill period during which they will not seek to acquire control of the Company and will not participate in a group which seeks to acquire such control. The Jacobs Persons also agreed until the twelfth anniversary of the Jacobs Acquisition to vote their shares in favor of the election of the Board's nominees to the Board of Directors who are running unopposed and uncontested. The Company consulted with JRI prior to the submission of Ms. Nelson's name for consideration as a potential director candidate by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, and JRI indicated its approval of Ms. Nelson as a potential replacement for Mr. Cleary as one of the two JRI designees pursuant to the terms of the Voting/Standstill Agreement. Neither Gary L. Bryenton nor Kathleen M. Nelson are parties to the Voting/Standstill Agreement, nor is either of them a party to any agreement which obligates them to vote with management of the Company on any matter.

Corporate Governance Matters

Overview. Our Board of Directors has adopted guidelines on corporate governance (including director independence criteria), committee charters, and a code of business conduct and ethics setting forth the Company's corporate governance principles and practices and, effective as of January 1, 2006, the Company adopted amended and restated guidelines on corporate governance incorporating all previous guidelines on corporate governance and including additional policy statements (collectively, as amended and restated, referred to herein as the "Corporate Governance Guidelines"). See "Corporate Governance Matters – Additional Policy Statements". These documents can be accessed in the "Investing – Governance Documents" and "Investing – Board Committees" sections of the Company's website at colleptoperties.com.

Director Independence. Our Board has adopted a set of director independence standards ("Director Independence Standards") for evaluating the independence of each of the directors in accordance with the requirements of the SEC and of the NYSE corporate governance standards. The Director Independence Standards are included as an exhibit to the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, which can be found in the "Investing – Governance Documents" section of the Company's website at colproperties.com. Pursuant to NYSE Rule 303A.02(a) and the provisions of the Company's Director Independence Standards (as set forth below), our Board has reviewed whether any director has any relationship with the Company's independent auditors that would preclude independence under SEC and NYSE rules, or any material relationship with the Company (either directly or as a partner, member, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company) which could (directly or indirectly) materially impact the ability of such director or nominee to exert his or her independent judgment and analysis as a member of the Board. As a result of this review, the Board affirmatively determined that six of the Company's nine current directors, as well as new director nominee Gary J. Nay, were independent under the standards of the SEC and NYSE and as set forth in the Company's Director Independence Standards. Messrs. Charles B. Lebovitz, Stephen D. Lebovitz and John N. Foy, who are executive officers of the Company and employees of the Management Company, were not deemed independent. In making the independence determinations with respect to the other six directors and the additional director nominee, the Board considered the following matters and determined that they did not interfere with the independence of the following three directors:

• With respect to Mr. Bryenton and Ms. Nelson, the Board considered the Company's contractual commitments in connection with the terms of the Jacobs Acquisition as described above (see above "Certain Terms of the Jacobs Acquisition").

- With respect to Mr. Bryenton, the Board considered the fact that he serves on the board of REJ Realty LLC, which holds the majority of the assets comprising the estate of Richard E. Jacobs, and continues to serve as legal counsel to the Jacobs Group and to certain members of the Jacobs family, but solely concerning matters unrelated to the Company and the Jacobs Acquisition (for which such parties employ separate counsel). In connection with these relationships, the Board also considered the fact that Mr. Bryenton has provided formal, written confirmation to both the Company and REJ Realty LLC that:
- (i) in his capacity as a director of CBL, he will recuse himself from any and all discussions relating to the SCUs held or controlled by REJ, the potential conversion of such SCUs to common units in CBL's Operating Partnership and any response or planning related to the exercise of exchange rights with respect to Operating Partnership units held or controlled by REJ into common stock of CBL;
- (ii) in his capacity as one of the voting members of the Board of Managers of REJ, he will recuse himself from any and all discussions relating to the SCUs held or controlled by REJ, any response or planning with respect to the potential conversion of such SCUs to common units by CBL, and any decision to exercise the exchange rights with respect to units held or controlled by REJ for common stock of CBL and, if so exchanged for common stock of CBL, any decision regarding the disposition of such common stock of CBL; and
- (iii) both in his capacity as a director of CBL and in his capacity as one of the voting members of the Board of Managers of REJ, he will recuse himself from any and all discussions relating to decisions regarding Triangle Town Center and Gulf Coast Town Center while REJ continues to own and/or control interests in those joint ventures.
- With respect to Mr. Fields, the Board considered the fact that he serves as Executive Vice President of Gerald L. Ray Associates, Ltd., an investment advisory firm that has provided certain advisory services, from time to time, to Charles B. Lebovitz, members of his family and to the Lebovitz Family Charitable Trust, a charitable foundation. In 2010, during the period prior to Mr. Fields' retirement from such firm in June 2010, fees paid to Gerald L. Ray Associates, Ltd. by Charles B. Lebovitz, members of his family and the Lebovitz Family Charitable Trust totaled approximately \$74,364.

Additional Policy Statements. Effective as of January 1, 2006, the Company has included additional policy statements as part of the Corporate Governance Guidelines. A summary of these policy statements is as follows:

Limits on Other Board Participation – a policy statement that limits to four (4) the number of other public company boards (not counting the Company's Board) upon which a director may serve at any given time.

Minimum Stock Ownership for Non-Employee Directors – a policy statement that provides that by the later of five (5) years from the adoption of the policy or becoming a member of the Company's Board, a Non-Employee Director (a director that is not an employee of the Company, currently, the Independent Directors) must own at least the lesser of 3,500 shares of the Company's Common Stock or \$150,000 worth of the Company's Common Stock.

Minimum Stock Ownership for Executive Officers – a policy statement that provides that by the later of five (5) years from the adoption of the policy or becoming an executive officer, such executive officer must own an amount of the Company's Common Stock, determined as set forth in the policy statement, having a value at least equal to the following formula amounts:

Executive Officer	Level of Stock Ownership
Chief Executive Officer	3x prior calendar year's annual base salary
President	2x prior calendar year's annual base salary
Chief Financial Officer	2x prior calendar year's annual base salary
Executive Vice President	2x prior calendar year's annual base salary
Senior Vice Presidents	1x prior calendar year's annual base salary

Changes in Director's Principal Occupation or Business Association – a policy statement that provides that when the principal occupation or business association of a member of the Board of Directors changes substantially from the position he or she held when originally invited to join the Board of Directors, such director shall promptly tender his or her resignation as a director to the Chairman of the Board of Directors. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee shall then review whether it is appropriate and in the best interests of the Company to allow the continued participation of such director as a member of the Board of Directors of the Company. If the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee recommends that such director should no longer serve as a member of the Board of Directors of the Company as a result of such change, and the full Board of Directors (excluding the director at issue) ratifies such recommendation, then the tender of resignation by the affected director shall be accepted by the Board of Directors.

Initial Term of Director Appointed to Fill a Board Vacancy – a policy statement that provides that any director appointed by the Board of Directors of the Company to fill a vacancy created by the departure of another director shall serve only until the next regularly scheduled annual meeting of the Company's stockholders. In order for such director to continue to serve thereafter, he or she must be nominated and duly elected to fill the remainder of the term to which the director was originally appointed (or for another full term, as appropriate).

Executive Sessions for Independent Directors. In accordance with the NYSE Rule 303A.03, the Independent Directors of the Company meet from time to time in scheduled executive sessions without management participation. The Independent Directors have designated Winston W. Walker as lead Independent Director, solely for the purpose of chairing these executive sessions. The Independent Directors met in four executive sessions during 2010.

Board Leadership Structure. Our Board of Directors has no formal policy with respect to the separation of the offices of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Prior to January 1, 2010, Charles B. Lebovitz had served as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since the completion of its initial public offering in November 1993. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Board determined that Stephen D. Lebovitz should be promoted to serve as Chief Executive Officer of the Company effective January 1, 2010. The Board determined that it was appropriate to separate these positions at this time, as part of a natural progression in planning for succession in the leadership of the Company, and in recognition of the significant contribution that Stephen D. Lebovitz' leadership has made to the success of the Company in his over 20 years of service, including his service as CBL's President and Secretary since 1999 and his involvement as a principal in each major transaction engaged in by the Company since its initial public offering in 1993. The Board also determined that Charles B. Lebovitz should continue to serve as executive Chairman of the Board, thereby maintaining his integral role in the Company's ongoing operations and leadership.

Additionally, our Board of Directors believes that the leadership provided to the Company by the three executive directors (Chairman Charles B. Lebovitz, Vice Chairman John N. Foy and President and Chief Executive Officer Stephen D. Lebovitz) is appropriately complemented by a strong leadership and oversight role played by the Company's Independent Directors, which may be summarized as follows:

- Both our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws require that a majority of our Board be comprised of Independent Directors, and historically six of the nine members of the Company's Board have satisfied this requirement, as described above.
- The Independent Directors are a sophisticated group of professionals, all of whom have significant experience in the commercial real estate industry in addition to possessing a variety of other expertise

and skills, and many of whom either are currently, or have been, leaders of major companies or institutions.

- Our Board has established three standing Committees composed solely of Independent Directors the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee each with a different Independent Director serving as Committee chair, and each with responsibility for overseeing key aspects of CBL's corporate governance (see "Board of Directors Meetings and Committees" below).
- As described above, the Independent Directors regularly meet in executive session without the presence of management, with the lead Independent Director presiding over such sessions.
- The Independent Directors, as well as our full Board, have complete access to the Company's management team. The Board and its committees receive regular reports from management on the business and affairs of the Company and the current and future issues that it faces.
- Under the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, all Company directors are to have full access to the executive officers of the Company, the Company's independent counsel, the Company's in-house counsel, and any other advisors the Board or any director deems necessary or appropriate.

Board and Management Roles in Risk Oversight. Assessing and managing risk is the responsibility of the management of CBL. Our Board is responsible for overseeing our risk management. The Board administers its risk oversight function through (1) the review and discussion of regular periodic reports to the Board and its committees on topics relating to the risks that the Company faces, including, among others, market conditions, tenant concentrations and credit worthiness, leasing activity, the status of current and anticipated development projects, compliance with debt covenants, management of debt maturities, access to debt and equity capital markets, existing and potential legal claims against the Company and various other matters relating to the Company's business; (2) the required approval by the Board of Directors (or a committee thereof) of significant transactions that entail the expenditure of funds or incurrence of debt or liability in amounts in excess of certain threshold dollar amounts; (3) the review and discussion of regular periodic reports to the Board and its committees from the Company's independent registered public accountants regarding various areas of potential risk, including, among others, those relating to the qualification of the Company as a REIT for tax purposes; and (4) the direct oversight of specific areas of the Company's business by the Compensation, Audit and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committees.

In addition, under its charter, the Audit Committee is specifically responsible for reviewing and discussing management's policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management. The Company's Director of Internal Audit meets regularly in executive sessions with the Audit Committee (at least quarterly and more frequently if necessary), for discussions of the Company's oversight of risk through the internal audit function, including an annual review of the Company's internal audit plan, which is focused on significant areas of financial, operating, and compliance risk, and periodic updates on the results of completed internal audits of these significant areas of risk. The Audit Committee also monitors the Company's SEC disclosure compliance, and any related reporting risks, by receiving regular reports from the head of the Company's Disclosure Committee.

Communicating with the Board of Directors. The Company provides a process for stockholders and other interested parties to send communications to the Board or any of the directors. Such persons may send written communications to the Board or any of the directors c/o the Company's Vice President – Corporate Communications and Investor Relations, CBL & Associates Properties, Inc., 2030 Hamilton Place Blvd., Suite 500, CBL Center, Chattanooga, Tennessee, 37421-6000. All communications will be compiled by the Company's Vice President – Corporate Communications and Investor Relations and submitted to the Board or the individual director(s) to whom such communication is addressed. It is the Company's policy that all directors attend the Annual Meeting unless they are

prevented from attending due to scheduling conflicts or important personal or business reasons; provided, however, it is the Company's policy that a majority of the directors (including a majority of the Company's Independent Directors) attend each Annual Meeting. All of the Company's directors attended the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. Our Board has adopted a Second Amended and Restated Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the "Code of Business Conduct") that applies to all directors, officers and employees,

including the Company's principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer. The Code of Business Conduct is available in the "Investing – Governance Documents" section of the Company's website at cblproperties.com, or at no charge by directing a written request for a copy to the Company at CBL & Associates Properties, Inc., CBL Center, Suite 500, 2030 Hamilton Place Boulevard, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37421-6000, Attention: Vice President – Corporate Communications and Investor Relations. The purpose of the Code of Business Conduct is to provide a codification of standards that is reasonably designed to deter wrongdoing and to promote accountability for and adherence to the standards of the Code, including honest and ethical conduct; the ethical handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships; full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in the Company's filings with the SEC and in other public communications by the Company; and compliance with all applicable rules and regulations that apply to the Company and to its directors, officers and employees.

Board of Directors' Meetings and Committees

Our Board of Directors has established standing Executive, Audit, Compensation and Nominating/ Corporate Governance Committees. The Board of Directors met eight times and took action by unanimous written consent two times during 2010. Each director attended more than 75% of the aggregate of (i) the total number of Board meetings and (ii) the total number of meetings of Board committees on which the director served at the time during 2010.

Executive Committee. The Executive Committee is comprised of Charles B. Lebovitz (Chairman), John N. Foy, Stephen D. Lebovitz and Leo Fields. The Executive Committee may exercise all the powers and authority of the Board of Directors of the Company in the management of the business and affairs of the Company as permitted by law; provided, however, unless specifically authorized by the Board of Directors, the Executive Committee may not exercise the power and authority of the Board of Directors with respect to (i) the declaration of dividends, (ii) issuance of stock, (iii) amendment to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation or Bylaws, (iv) filling vacancies on the Board of Directors, (v) approval of borrowings in excess of \$40 million per transaction or series of related transactions, (vi) hiring executive officers, (vii) approval of acquisitions or dispositions of property or assets in excess of \$40 million per transaction and (viii) certain transactions between the Company and its directors and officers and certain sales of real estate and reductions of debt that produce disproportionate tax allocations to CBL's Predecessor pursuant to the Company's Bylaws. The Executive Committee met four times and took action by unanimous written consent two times during 2010.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is comprised of Winston W. Walker (Chairman), Gary L. Bryenton, Thomas J. DeRosa and Matthew S. Dominski, all of whom the Board of Directors has determined are Independent Directors pursuant to the independence requirements of Sections 303A.02 and 303A.07(b) of the listing standards of the NYSE as currently applicable. The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a written amended and restated charter adopted by the Board of Directors on February 3, 2004. A copy of the amended and restated charter is available and can be accessed in the "Investing – Board Committees" section of the Company's website at colproperties.com, or at no charge by written request to the Company's Vice President – Corporate Communications and Investor Relations at the address provided above. The Audit Committee is responsible for the engagement of the independent auditors and the plans and results of the audit engagement. The Audit Committee approves audit and non-audit services provided by the independent auditors and the fees for such services and reviews the adequacy of the Company's internal accounting controls as well as the Company's accounting policies and results and management's policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management. The Audit Committee met six times during 2010.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee is comprised of Matthew S. Dominski (Chairman), Thomas J. DeRosa, Kathleen M. Nelson and Winston W. Walker, all of whom the Board of Directors has determined are Independent Directors. The Compensation Committee operates pursuant to a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors on February 3, 2004. A copy of the charter is available and can be accessed in the "Investing – Board Committees" section of the Company's website at cblproperties.com, or at no charge by written request to the

Company's Vice President – Corporate Communications and Investor Relations at the address provided above. The Compensation Committee generally reviews and approves compensation programs and, specifically, reviews and approves salaries, bonuses, stock awards and stock options for officers of the Company of

the level of vice president or higher. The Compensation Committee administers the Second Amended and Restated CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. Stock Incentive Plan (the "Stock Incentive Plan"), but typically delegates the responsibility for routine, ministerial functions related to the Stock Incentive Plan, such as the documentation and record-keeping functions concerning awards issued under the plan, to employees in the Company's accounting and treasury departments, with assistance from Company counsel. Additional information concerning the Compensation Committee's processes and procedures for determining director and executive officer compensation is set forth herein under the sections entitled "Director Compensation" and "Executive Compensation – Compensation Discussion and Analysis." The Compensation Committee met three times during 2010.

Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee currently is comprised of Gary L. Bryenton (Chairman), Matthew S. Dominski, Kathleen M. Nelson and Winston W. Walker, all of whom the Board of Directors has determined are Independent Directors. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee operates pursuant to a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors on February 3, 2004. A copy of the charter is available and can be accessed in the "Investing – Board Committees" section of the Company's website at collapse committees.com, or at no charge by written request to the Company's Vice President – Corporate Communications and Investor Relations at the address provided above. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee reviews and makes recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding various aspects of the Board of Directors' and the Company's governance processes and procedures. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee also evaluates and recommends candidates for election to fill vacancies on the Board, including consideration of the renominations of members whose terms are due to expire.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee requires a majority of the Company's directors to be "independent" in accordance with applicable requirements of the Company's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws as well as rules of the SEC and NYSE (including certain additional independence requirements for Audit Committee members). A set of uniform Director Independence Standards, which was used in making all such Independent Director determinations, is included in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, a copy of which is available in the "Investing – Governance Documents" section of the Company's website at colproperties.com. In addition and as part of the evaluation of potential candidates, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee considers the breadth of a candidate's business and professional skills and experiences, reputation for personal integrity, and ability to devote sufficient time to Board service, as well as the Company's needs for particular skills, insight and/or talents on the Board of Directors. Neither the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee nor the Board has a specific policy with regard to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees, although both may consider whether a director candidate, if elected, assists in achieving a mix of Board members that represents a diversity of perspective, background and experience. For incumbent directors whose terms of office are set to expire, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee reviews such directors' overall service during their term, including the number of meetings attended, level of participation and quality of performance. With respect to the Board seats presently held by Mr. Bryenton and Ms. Nelson, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee also considers the Company's contractual commitments in connection with the terms of the Jacobs Acquisition, as discussed above.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee will consider candidates for Board of Directors' seats proposed by stockholders. Any such proposals should be made in writing to CBL & Associates Properties, Inc., 2030 Hamilton Place Blvd., Suite 500, CBL Center, Chattanooga, Tennessee, 37421-6000, Attention: Corporate Secretary, and must be received no later than November 26, 2011, in order to be considered for inclusion in the Company's proxy statement for the 2012 Annual Meeting. In order to be considered by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, any candidate proposed by stockholders will be required to submit appropriate biographical and other information equivalent to that required of all other director candidates, including consent to an initial background check. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee does not intend to alter the manner in which it evaluates candidates on the criteria set forth above regardless of whether the candidate was recommended by a stockholder or by the Company. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee met two times during 2010.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information available to the Company as of March 4, 2011, with respect to the ownership of Common Stock by (i) each person known to the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding Common Stock, (ii) each director of the Company, (iii) each Named Executive Officer of the Company, as defined below, and (iv) all directors and executive officers as a group. Except as otherwise indicated, each person named below has sole investment and voting power with respect to the securities shown. Except as otherwise indicated, the address of each beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding Common Stock is the Company's address.

	Number of Shares(1)	Rule 13d-3 Percentage(1)	Fully-Diluted Percentage(2)
FMR LLC (3) 82 Devonshire Street Boston, MA 02109	20,711,721	13.98%	10.88%
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. (4) 100 E. Pratt Street Baltimore, MD 21202	8,716,034	5.88%	4.58%
The Vanguard Group, Inc (5). 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	13,937,930	9.41%	7.32%
Black Rock, Inc (6). 40 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10022	10,035,398	6.77%	5.27%
Invesco Ltd. (7) 1360 Peachtree Street NE Atlanta, GA 30309	9,745,673	6.58%	5.12%
William B. Summers, Jr., Trustee (8) 20749 Beach Cliff Boulevard Rocky River, OH 44116	7,535,483	5.09%	3.96%
Affiliates of Jacobs Realty Investors Limited Partnership (9) 25425 Center Ridge Road Cleveland, OH 44145-4122	13,106,525	8.13%	6.89%
CBL & Associates, Inc.("CBL's Predecessor") (10)	18,908,547	11.54%	9.94%
Charles B. Lebovitz (11)	20,816,469	12.61%	10.94%
John N. Foy (12)	1,373,639	*	*

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form DEF 14A

Stephen D. Lebovitz (13)	1,092,616	*	*
Augustus N. Stephas (14)	104,650	*	*
Farzana K. Mitchell (15)	126,959	*	*
Gary L. Bryenton (16)	8,911	*	*
Thomas J. DeRosa (17)	1,792	*	*
Matthew S. Dominski (18)	4,873	*	*

	Number of	Rule 13d-3 Percentage(1)	Fully-Diluted Percentage(2)
Leo Fields (19)	149,018	*	*
Gary J. Nay (20)	494	*	*
Kathleen M. Nelson (21)	2,534	*	*
Winston W. Walker (22)	121,981	*	*
All executive officers, directors and director nominees (20 persons) as a group (23)	25,461,783	15.25%	13.37%

^{*} Less than 1%

- (1) The Company conducts all of its business activities through the Operating Partnership. Pursuant to the Operating Partnership Agreement, each of the partners of the Operating Partnership, which include, among others, CBL's Predecessor and certain of the executive officers named in this Proxy Statement, has the right, pursuant to the exercise of their CBL Rights as described above, to exchange all or a portion of its common units or special common units (as applicable) in the Operating Partnership for shares of Common Stock or their cash equivalent, at the Company's election. Under the terms of Rule 13d-3 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), shares of Common Stock that may be acquired within 60 days are deemed outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage of Common Stock owned by a stockholder. Therefore, for purposes of Rule 13d-3 of the Exchange Act, percentage ownership of the Common Stock is computed based on the sum of (i) 148,140,607 shares of Common Stock actually outstanding as of March 4, 2011, (ii) as described in the accompanying footnotes, each individual's or entity's share of 42,141,679 shares of Common Stock that may be acquired upon exercise of CBL Rights by the individual or entity whose percentage of share ownership is being computed (but not taking account of the exercise of CBL Rights by any other person or entity) and (iii) as described in the accompanying footnotes, each individual's share of 191,800 shares of Common Stock that may be acquired within 60 days of March 4, 2011 upon the exercise of outstanding options by the individual whose percentage of share ownership is being computed (but not taking into account the exercise of such outstanding options by any other person). Amounts shown were determined without regard to applicable ownership limits contained in the Company's Certificate of Incorporation.
- (2) The Fully-Diluted Percentage calculation is based on (i) 148,140,607 shares of Common Stock outstanding and (ii) assumes the full exercise of all CBL Rights for shares of Common Stock by all holders of common units and SCUs of the Operating Partnership (in each case, without regard to applicable ownership limits), for an aggregate of 190,282,286 shares of Common Stock. The Fully-Diluted Percentage calculation does not include 191,800 shares of Common Stock subject to outstanding stock options other than, with respect to each person whose fully-diluted percentage is being computed, shares which may be acquired within 60 days of March 4, 2011 upon the exercise of outstanding options.
- (3) In a Schedule 13G/A filed on February 14, 2011 by FMR LLC ("FMR") and three of its affiliates, FMR reported that as of December 31, 2010, it beneficially owned 20,711,721 shares of Common Stock, or 14.00% of the total shares outstanding as of March 4, 2011. FMR and its affiliates reported that, of the 20,711,721 shares of Common Stock beneficially owned, they possessed sole voting power with respect to 1,219,263 shares of Common Stock and sole

dispositive power with respect to 17,280,299 shares of Common Stock.

(4)In a Schedule 13G/A filed on February 10, 2011 by T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. ("TRP"), TRP reported, as of December 31, 2010, aggregate beneficial ownership of 8,716,034 shares of Common Stock, or 5.89% of the total shares outstanding as of March 4, 2011. TRP reported that, of the 8,716,034 shares of Common Stock beneficially owned, it possessed sole voting power with respect to 2,533,032 shares of Common Stock and sole dispositive power with respect to 8,716,034 shares of Common Stock.

- (5) In a Schedule 13G filed on February 10, 2011 by The Vanguard Group, Inc. ("Vanguard"), Vanguard reported that as of December 31, 2010, it beneficially owned 13,937,930 shares of Common Stock, or 9.42% of the total shares outstanding as of March 4, 2011. Vanguard reported that of the 13,937,930 shares of Common Stock beneficially owned, it possesses sole voting power and shared dispositive power with respect to 217,215 shares of Common Stock, and sole dispositive power with respect to 13,720,715 shares of Common Stock.
- (6) In a Schedule 13G filed on February 3, 2011 by Black Rock, Inc. ("Black Rock"), Black Rock reported that as of December 31, 2010, it beneficially owned 10,035,398 shares of Common Stock, or 6.78% of the total shares outstanding as of March 4, 2011. Black Rock reported that it possessed sole voting power and sole dispositive power with respect to all of the 10,035,398 shares of Common Stock beneficially owned.
- (7) In a Schedule 13G/A filed on February 11, 2011 by Invesco Ltd. ("Invesco") and a group of its affiliated companies, Invesco reported, as of December 31, 2010, aggregate beneficial ownership of 9,745,673 shares of Common Stock, or 6.59% of the total shares outstanding as of March 4, 2011. Of the 9,745,673 shares of Common Stock beneficially owned, Invesco and its affiliates reported that they possessed sole voting power with respect to 4,276,229 shares and shared voting power with respect to 47,681 shares, and sole dispositive power with respect to 9,718,041 and shared dispositive power with respect to 27,632 shares, as follows: Invesco Advisers, Inc. (sole voting power over 4,196,061 shares, shared voting power over 47,681 shares, sole dispositive power over 9,637,873 shares and shared dispositive power over 27,632 shares); Invesco Powershares Capital Management (sole voting and dispositive power over 61,477 shares); and Invesco Powershares Capital Management Ireland Ltd. (sole voting and dispositive power over 961 shares).
- (8) In a Schedule 13G filed on December 30, 2010 by William B. Summers, Jr., in his capacity as Trustee of six trusts for the benefit of members of the family of David H. Jacobs, Jr. (deceased), Mr. Summers reported that, as of December 20, 2010, he beneficially owned 7,535,483 shares of Common Stock, or 5.09% of the total shares outstanding as of March 4, 2011. Mr. Summers reported that he possessed sole voting power and sole dispositive power with respect to all of such shares of Common Stock.
- (9) Includes 13,106,525 shares of Common Stock that may be acquired by the Jacobs Group on exercise of CBL Rights with respect to SCUs owned by the Jacobs Group. The Jacobs Group received the above-referenced SCUs as part of the Jacobs Acquisition. See "Voting Securities Certain Terms of the Jacobs Acquisition."
- (10) Includes (i) 3,179,169 shares of Common Stock owned directly (410,000 of which are pledged to First Tennessee Bank as security for a line of credit extended to CBL's Predecessor), (ii) 15,520,703 shares of Common Stock that may be acquired upon the exercise of CBL Rights and (iii) 208,675 shares of Common Stock that may be acquired by four entities controlled by CBL's Predecessor (CBL Employees Partnership/Conway, Foothills Plaza Partnership, Girvin Road Partnership and Warehouse Partnership) upon the exercise of CBL Rights.
- (11)Includes (i) 572,068 shares of unrestricted Common Stock owned directly, (ii) 37,000 shares of restricted Common Stock that Charles B. Lebovitz received under the Stock Incentive Plan, (iii) 13,212 shares owned by Mr. Lebovitz' wife and 40,221 shares held in trusts for the benefit of his grandchildren (of which Mr. Lebovitz disclaims beneficial ownership), all as to which Mr. Lebovitz may be deemed to share voting and investment power, (iv) 756,350 shares of Common Stock that may be acquired by Mr. Lebovitz upon the exercise of CBL Rights, (v) 18,908,547 shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by CBL's Predecessor as described in Note (7) above, which Mr. Lebovitz may be deemed to beneficially own by virtue of his control of CBL's Predecessor, and (vi) 489,071 shares of Common Stock that may be acquired by College Station Associates, an entity controlled by Mr. Lebovitz, upon the exercise of CBL Rights.

(12)Includes (i) 867,053 shares of unrestricted Common Stock owned directly, (ii) 37,000 shares of restricted Common Stock that Mr. Foy received under the Stock Incentive Plan, (iii) 405,586 shares of Common Stock that may be acquired by Mr. Foy upon the exercise of CBL Rights and (iv) 64,000 shares of Common Stock subject to currently exercisable options granted under the Stock Incentive Plan.

- (13)Includes (i) 510,304 shares of unrestricted Common Stock owned directly, (ii) 43,000 shares of restricted Common Stock that Stephen D. Lebovitz received under the Stock Incentive Plan, and (iii) 539,312 shares of Common Stock that may be acquired by Mr. Lebovitz upon the exercise of CBL Rights.
- (14) Includes (i) 22,710 shares of unrestricted Common Stock owned directly, (ii) 15,800 shares of restricted Common Stock that Mr. Stephas received under the Stock Incentive Plan, (iii) 55,340 shares of Common Stock that may be acquired by Mr. Stephas upon the exercise of CBL Rights and (iv) 10,800 shares of Common Stock subject to currently exercisable options granted under the Stock Incentive Plan.
- (15)Includes (i) 103,906 shares of unrestricted Common Stock owned directly, (ii) 7,453 shares of Common Stock owned by Ms. Mitchell's individual retirement account, and (iii) 15,600 shares of restricted Common Stock that Ms. Mitchell received under the Stock Incentive Plan.
- (16)Includes (i) 1,461 shares of unrestricted Common Stock owned directly, (ii) 2,000 shares of Common Stock subject to currently exercisable stock options granted to Mr. Bryenton under the Stock Incentive Plan and (iii) 5,450 shares of restricted Common Stock granted to Mr. Bryenton under the Stock Incentive Plan.
- (17) Includes (i) 42 shares of unrestricted Common Stock owned directly and (ii) 1,750 shares of restricted Common Stock granted to Mr. DeRosa under the Stock Incentive Plan.
- (18) Includes (i) 123 shares of unrestricted Common Stock owned directly and (ii) 4,750 shares of restricted Common Stock granted to Mr. Dominski under the Stock Incentive Plan.
- (19) Includes (i) 191 shares of Common Stock owned directly, (ii) 56,433 shares of Common Stock owned by a family limited partnership created by Mr. Fields and his wife and in which Mr. Fields serves as a general partner (including 1,000 shares of restricted Common Stock, as discussed below), (iii) 85,815 shares of Common Stock held by members of Mr. Fields' family, with respect to which Mr. Fields acts as investment adviser and might be deemed to share investment power, and of which Mr. Fields disclaims beneficial ownership, (iv) 2,129 shares of Common Stock owned by Mr. Fields as a tenant-in-common with his wife and (v) 5,450 shares of restricted Common Stock granted to Mr. Fields under the Stock Incentive Plan (4,450 of which Mr. Fields holds directly and 1,000 of which he holds as part of the 56,433 shares held by his family limited partnership).
- (20) Includes 494 shares of unrestricted Common Stock owned directly by Mr. Nay.
- (21) Includes (i) 34 shares of unrestricted Common Stock owned directly and (ii) 2,500 shares of restricted Common Stock granted to Ms. Nelson under the Stock Incentive Plan.
- (22)Includes (i) 191 shares of unrestricted Common Stock owned directly, (ii) 106,545 shares of Common Stock owned by a trust of which Mr. Walker is a co-trustee and co-beneficiary, as to which he may be deemed to share voting and investment power, (iii) 6,601 shares of Common Stock owned by Mr. Walker's individual retirement account, (iv) 3,194 shares of Common Stock owned by Mr. Walker's wife, as to which he may be deemed to share voting and investment power and (v) 5,450 shares of restricted Common Stock granted to Mr. Walker under the Stock Incentive Plan.
- (23)Includes an aggregate of (i) 6,444,104 shares of unrestricted Common Stock beneficially owned directly or indirectly by members of such group (410,000 of which are pledged as security for a line of credit), (ii) 221,690 shares of restricted Common Stock that members of such group received under the Stock Incentive Plan, (iii) 18,604,156 shares of Common Stock that may be acquired by members of such group upon the exercise of CBL

Rights which they hold directly or indirectly through other entities and (iv) 191,800 shares of Common Stock subject to options granted to members of such group under the Stock Incentive Plan that are currently exercisable.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company's directors, executive officers and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of the Company's equity securities to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in beneficial ownership of Common Stock and other equity securities of the Company. Officers, directors and greater than ten percent stockholders are required by SEC regulation to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file.

Based solely upon the Company's review of copies of such reports furnished to it through the date hereof, or written representations that no other reports were required to be filed, the Company believes that during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 all officers, directors and ten percent stockholders complied with the filing requirements applicable to them, except for one late report by Howard B. Grody covering 2 transactions).

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview

The Company is a self-managed, self-administered, fully-integrated real estate company which is engaged in the ownership, marketing, management, leasing, expansion, development, redevelopment, acquisition and financing of regional malls, open air and community centers. The Company itself has no employees other than its statutory officers and its officers receive all of their compensation in their capacity as employees of the Management Company, which also employs all of the other personnel engaged in the operation of the Company's business.

The Compensation Committee determines all matters related to the compensation of all officers of the Company of the level of vice president or higher and administers the Company's Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (the "Stock Incentive Plan"). The Compensation Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors on February 3, 2004. A copy of the charter is available and can be accessed in the "Investing – Board Committees" section of the Company's website at colproperties.com. Historically, the Compensation Committee met twice each year. Beginning in 2008 a third regularly scheduled meeting was added in the first quarter of each year related to consideration of the amount of annual restricted stock awards (if any) to be granted under the Stock Incentive Plan. The Compensation Committee may meet more often if needed.

The factors, objectives and policies underlying each element of compensation paid to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, its Chief Financial Officer and its next three most highly compensated executive officers (these three, together with Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer being herein referred to as the "Named Executive Officers") are discussed below.

The Compensation Committee's objectives in administering the Company's executive compensation with respect to the Named Executive Officers are to ensure that pay levels and incentive compensation are competitive in attracting and retaining the best personnel, properly linked to the Company's performance, and simple in design. To fulfill these objectives, the compensation approach for the Named Executive Officers historically has included three primary elements: (i) base salary, (ii) discretionary bonuses and (iii) periodic grants of stock awards and stock options pursuant to the Stock Incentive Plan. In general, the Compensation Committee has chosen not to utilize formula-based plans to compensate the Company's executives on the basis of performance targets or other criteria. Instead, the Compensation Committee has relied on grants of time-vesting restricted stock, coupled with an opportunity for officers to elect to receive annual bonuses in unrestricted shares of Common Stock, as a means of rewarding CBL's executives for the creation of long-term value for the Company's stockholders. The Compensation Committee believes that this approach has served the objective of linking management's long-term economic interests with those of CBL's stockholders while also making this linkage as transparent as possible by preserving relative simplicity in the design and operation of the compensation system.

For fiscal year 2010, management and the Compensation Committee decided to supplement this traditional approach by adding a one-year net operating income ("NOI") growth incentive plan. This plan was designed to provide an across the board incentive, available on the same terms to all full-time employees, that would only be earned if the employees, as a group, enabled CBL to achieve meaningful same-center NOI growth in 2010 to offset some of the economic difficulties experienced by the Company (and all retail shopping center developers) in prior periods. The NOI growth incentive plan would have rewarded each participating employee with an incentive payment of 5% of their 2010 base salaries for each 1% of positive growth in the Company's same-center 2010 NOI over 2009 levels. While the Company improved its performance significantly in 2010 as compared to 2009, as we succeeded in stabilizing the operating income of our existing properties, lowering our leverage levels and achieved a 200 basis

point increase in total portfolio occupancy for the year, we did not achieve same-center NOI growth of 1% and, as a result, no incentive payments were earned under the NOI growth incentive plan. Management and the Compensation Committee decided not to renew the NOI growth incentive plan for 2011, and instead determined to rely on the Company's traditional model of basing annual compensation and bonus decisions on a more flexible and subjective evaluation of the factors influencing the Company's performance, and each officer's contribution to those factors, as the retail shopping center industry continues to recover from the difficulties experienced over the past three years.

Compensation Process and Philosophy

The annual base salary and discretionary cash bonus components of the compensation of the Named Executive Officers are designed to provide the Company's executives with immediate, tangible rewards commensurate with the Compensation Committee's evaluation of their current contributions to the Company's performance.

The Compensation Committee believes that one of the most effective means of encouraging and rewarding the creation of long-term value for the Company's stockholders by senior executives (including the Named Executive Officers), as well as retaining superior management talent, is to use the Stock Incentive Plan to ensure that such individuals attain a significant proprietary interest in the Company. As detailed above under the heading "Corporate Governance Matters – Additional Policy Statements," the Company has adopted stock ownership guidelines for both non-employee directors and executive officers in its Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Compensation Committee, as well as the entire Board of Directors, believes that it is in the best interests of the Company's stockholders for those who manage and oversee the Company's operations to have a stake in the creation of long-term stockholder value. The time-vesting stock option and stock award elements of compensation, coupled with the opportunity (as discussed below) offered to all of the Company's officers to receive amounts payable with respect to annual bonuses in unrestricted shares of Common Stock, are designed to work in conjunction with the stock ownership guidelines to encourage and create ownership and retention of the Company's stock by both directors and executive officers (including the Named Executive Officers), thereby matching their interests to those of stockholders and allowing the opportunity for such individuals to build a meaningful ownership stake in the Company.

The Compensation Committee receives recommendations from CBL's senior management as to the three basic components of compensation for the Named Executive Officers, as follows:

- The executive compensation budget, pursuant to which annual base salaries and bonus opportunities are determined, is normally approved for each year during the fourth quarter of the preceding fiscal year.
 - Beginning with the restricted stock grants approved in February 2008 (in consideration of 2007 performance), management's recommendations concerning the annual restricted stock awards for each year are presented to the Compensation Committee during the first quarter of the following year (usually in February), to allow both management and the Compensation Committee to consider the Company's financial and operating results for the full preceding year in making such awards.

Management's recommendations are presented to the Compensation Committee by Stephen D. Lebovitz, who, in consultation with senior management, including Charles B. Lebovitz, John N. Foy, Augustus N. Stephas and others, prepares management's recommendations regarding these matters. These recommendations have included a recommendation regarding the annual base salary and potential annual bonus for Stephen D. Lebovitz in his capacity as the Company's Chief Executive Officer, although the final decisions regarding these matters are left to the discretion of the Compensation Committee based on its own deliberations. Stephen D. Lebovitz normally attends each meeting of the Compensation Committee by invitation (as do Charles B. Lebovitz and John N. Foy, pursuant to invitations extended to all members of the Board to attend each Compensation Committee meeting). While neither Stephen D. Lebovitz nor any other director who is not a member of the Compensation Committee has a vote on the Committee's decisions, Stephen D. Lebovitz has the primary responsibility for presenting management's recommendations concerning the compensation for the Named Executive Officers and other officers of the Company to the Committee, and he participates actively in the Compensation Committee's discussion of such matters.

Each executive officer of the Company, including the Named Executive Officers (other than Charles B. Lebovitz, John N. Foy and Stephen D. Lebovitz), receives an annual review of his or her performance in accordance with the procedure described below during each fiscal year. The Compensation Committee evaluates the compensation of

Charles B. Lebovitz, John N. Foy and Stephen D. Lebovitz in conjunction with its overall evaluation of the Company's performance in light of the factors discussed below. For all other executive officers, one or both of Charles B. Lebovitz and Stephen D. Lebovitz will participate in the annual review of each executive officer, and will meet with such officer as part of the review process. If the executive officer has an immediate

supervisor, such supervisor will likewise participate in such annual review and in the in-person performance review with the officer, and will provide his or her subjective evaluation of the performance of the particular officer under his or her supervision. The role of both Charles B. Lebovitz and Stephen D. Lebovitz, as well as the role of any immediate supervisors involved in these annual reviews, has been to subjectively evaluate the performance of each officer as to his or her contribution to the overall success and growth of the Company taking into account the individual's performance and results. Stephen D. Lebovitz is responsible for conveying the results of these performance reviews to the Compensation Committee in conjunction with its review of management's recommendations concerning the compensation of such individuals. In making compensation decisions for the Named Executive Officers, the Compensation Committee gives significant weight to the recommendations made by the Company's senior management in consultation with Stephen D. Lebovitz, but the Compensation Committee is not bound by management's recommendations and makes its own determinations as to these matters.

The Compensation Committee's determination of each Named Executive Officer's compensation also includes a review (for informational purposes only) of compensation for executives at a group of five comparable publicly traded mall REITs. The five publicly traded mall REITs used for comparison during 2010 were: The Macerich Company; Glimcher Realty Trust; Simon Property Group, Inc.; Taubman Centers, Inc. and Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust. This review of the compensation of similarly-situated executive officers is for the purpose of giving the Compensation Committee a general sense of the manner in which the compensation of the Named Executive Officers compares with similarly-situated executive officers at these industry peers and to provide to the Compensation Committee an understanding of whether the Company is competitive in the compensation paid to the Named Executive Officers (taking into account differences in size and scope of operations between the Company and certain of its peers). The Compensation Committee does not, however, set specific competitive pay targets or objectives in this review or otherwise engage in any formal "benchmarking" comparisons of the compensation of the Company's Named Executive Officers against that of the executives of these peer companies.

The Compensation Committee also gives general consideration in making compensation decisions to such issues as historical levels of compensation for each officer, the relationship of the level of each officer's compensation to the overall compensation of the Company's officers and the performance of the Company's business for the year in question. While neither management nor the Compensation Committee typically utilize specific formulas or quantitative metrics in recommending and approving compensation for the Named Executive Officers, management's recommendations and the Compensation Committee's review of those recommendations both take into account the overall performance of the Company. The performance of each Named Executive Officer is considered as set forth above, along with consideration of a number of indicators of the overall performance of the Company, including without limitation (i) the Company's annual growth in funds from operations ("FFO"), one of the performance measures most commonly utilized by the market in analyzing the performance of REITs; (ii) the Company's achievement of growth in NOI; (iii) the Company's maintenance of occupancy levels in its shopping centers and achievement of increases in such occupancy levels; and (iv) changes in the market price for the Company's Common Stock. Each of these factors may be given more or less weight in the Compensation Committee's overall evaluation from year to year, depending on the Compensation Committee's subjective evaluation, in consultation with Stephen D. Lebovitz, of the overall performance of the Company in a given year in relation to the performance of the overall economy and of the Company's peers. While the individual performance of each Named Executive Officer is an important factor in the determination of his or her compensation, such performance is evaluated on a largely subjective basis by the Compensation Committee, considering the foregoing factors as well as the criteria discussed below with respect to each of the three major elements of compensation, but without any specific formulaic relationship to the overall performance of the Company or to any specific, quantitative performance metrics.

Additional Factors Affecting 2010 and 2011 Compensation Decisions Concerning the Named Executive Officers

As discussed in the Annual Report to Stockholders which accompanies this Proxy Statement, the past three years have been one of the most difficult periods in the history of the retail industry. While the Company achieved positive FFO growth of 3.9% for 2008, FFO growth was negative for both 2007 and 2009 after a nearly uninterrupted thirteen year track record of solid FFO growth prior to 2007 (though the 2009 decline was due to a non-cash charge for the impairment of certain under-performing real estate assets). Additionally, as with most of CBL's peer mall REITs, the Company's stock price came under significant pressure in 2007 and experienced even more dramatic declines in 2008 and the first quarter of 2009. By the end of 2009, however, we had successfully

raised \$381.8 million in net proceeds through the issuance of additional common equity during the year and our stock had recovered some of the ground lost, with the Company achieving total return to Common Stock holders of nearly 60% for the year. We made additional progress during 2010, as the Company achieved a total return to our common stockholders of 89% for the year, while also increasing total portfolio occupancy by 200 basis points to 92.4%, realizing net income attributable to common stockholders of \$29.5 million, or \$0.21 per diluted share, compared with net loss of \$36.8 million, or \$0.35 per diluted share, for 2009, and achieving both a healthy increase in FFO and a further reduction of approximately \$435.2 million in CBL's overall debt level (aided by the disposition of several non-core properties at attractive pricing and two successful preferred equity offerings that raised approximately \$232.3 million during the year).

At the beginning of this difficult period, as economic conditions in the retail industry worsened throughout 2008, management and the Board of Directors determined it was necessary for the Company to take a number of proactive steps to strengthen the Company's balance sheet and create additional liquidity, in an effort to regain and enhance long-term stockholder value. These steps entailed a number of cost-saving measures at our malls, headquarters and regional offices and the suspension of our future development program, including extremely difficult decisions to implement reductions in force that affected personnel at all levels of our organization and to reduce the dividend on the Company's Common Stock in November 2008.

In consideration of these developments, the Compensation Committee and senior management also took the following actions during 2008 and afterwards, which have impacted compensation for all employees (including the Named Executive Officers) throughout 2010 and will continue to do so in 2011:

- The Compensation Committee decided to maintain annual base salaries at 2008 levels, with no increases for 2009 and 2010. Subsequently, in conjunction with the approval of CBL's 2011 executive compensation budget during the fourth quarter of 2010, it was determined that this freeze in base salaries would remain in effect for 2011.
- After initially deferring consideration of both 2009 and 2010 bonuses until more information became available on the Company's performance for each respective year, the Compensation Committee ultimately approved bonuses for both 2009 and 2010 during the fourth quarter of each such year for all executive officers (including the Named Executive Officers) pursuant to the criteria described below, but at levels which, continuing the cost reduction measures implemented in 2008, were reduced by 50% in comparison to the levels of annual bonuses historically approved for individuals in each of these positions prior to the economic challenges faced by the Company in recent periods.
- The Compensation Committee also accepted senior management's recommendations to implement certain reductions to the Company's annual restricted stock awards that affected awards to the Named Executive Officers in February 2009 and February 2010, as discussed in more detail below in relation to the determination of the restricted stock element of the Named Executive Officers' compensation.
- In light of the improvement in the Company's outlook during 2010, including the increase in total portfolio occupancy, the continued strengthening of the Company's financial position and the total return to Common Stock holders of 89% achieved for the year, the Compensation Committee approved senior management's recommendation that the Named Executive Officers' restricted stock grants made in February 2011, based on 2010 performance, should reflect a significant increase over prior year levels, but that the freeze in base salaries for the Named Executive Officers should be continued for the present time, and any decision on potential bonus levels for the Named Executive Officers for fiscal 2011 performance should be deferred until later in the year, in light of the uncertain pace of the continued recovery in the retail shopping center industry.

Determination of the Three Primary Elements of 2010 Compensation for the Current Named Executive Officers

The following discussion provides additional information as to the factors considered by the Compensation Committee in evaluating and acting upon management's recommendations with respect to each of the three major elements of compensation for CBL's current Named Executive Officers.

Base Salaries – Management's recommendations in the annual executive compensation budget as to base salaries are based on the historical levels of base salaries paid to the Named Executive Officers, with adjustments that management subjectively has deemed appropriate based on the overall performance of the Company and the overall performance of the Named Executive Officers. In reviewing and acting upon management's recommendations as to base salaries, the Compensation Committee considers each officer's level of responsibility, experience and tenure with the Company, in addition to the performance of such officer in carrying out his or her responsibilities and in overseeing the responsibilities of those under his or her supervision. The achievements of the particular division over which a Named Executive Officer has supervision are also considered by the Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee annually evaluates and approves adjustments to the base salaries of the Named Executive Officers, with such review and adjustments normally being undertaken during the fourth quarter to be effective for the following fiscal year. At meetings held on November 2, 2009 and November 1, 2010, based on management's recommendations as presented to the Compensation Committee by the Chief Executive Officer and on the Compensation Committee's subjective evaluation of the factors described above, the Compensation Committee set the base salaries of each of the Named Executive Officers as follows:

Named Executive Officer	2010 Base Salary	2011 Base Salary
Charles B. Lebovitz Chairman of the Board	\$592,833	\$592,833
John N. Foy Vice Chairman of the Board, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary	\$526,320	\$526,320
Stephen D. Lebovitz President and Chief Executive Officer	\$525,000	\$525,000
Augustus N. Stephas Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	\$496,600	\$496,600
Farzana K. Mitchell Executive Vice President – Finance	\$470,000	\$470,000

In the case of each of these officers, other than Mr. Stephas and Ms. Mitchell, any base salaries approved would normally take effect as of January 1 of the relevant year. In the case of Mr. Stephas, the effective date normally would be February 28 of the relevant year, and in the case of Ms. Mitchell, the effective date normally would be May 15 of the relevant year.

Annual Bonus Opportunities – As part of establishing the annual executive compensation budget submitted to the Compensation Committee for approval, management also normally recommends a maximum potential annual bonus that may be earned by each Named Executive Officer for performance during the upcoming fiscal year. Management's recommendations concerning these bonuses generally are based on the amount of such awards that have been made in past years in relation to the criteria considered for each officer (as discussed below), with such increases or other adjustments as management deems advisable in light of the Company's business plans for the current year. As with the base salary recommendations discussed above, management subjectively considers the overall performance of both the Named Executive Officers and the Company, including consideration of the factors referenced above, in preparing its recommendations as to annual bonus awards. Neither management nor the Compensation Committee, however, set targets or utilize specific formulas or quantitative metrics in developing and acting upon such recommendations.

For two of the Named Executive Officers (Charles B. Lebovitz and Augustus N. Stephas), the Compensation Committee typically does not make annual bonus determinations with reference to consideration of specific

projects or activities, but rather in consideration of its subjective evaluation of the overall performance of the Company for the year and of such officers' contributions to such performance. For the other three Named Executive Officers (John N. Foy, Stephen D. Lebovitz and Farzana K. Mitchell), the determination of the maximum potential bonus for each officer as set forth in the annual compensation budget, as well as the determination of the amount of bonus ultimately paid, normally reflects consideration by both senior management (particularly Stephen D. Lebovitz) and the Compensation Committee of various factors related to the successful continuation and/or completion of development, financing, leasing and re-leasing, expansions, acquisitions, joint ventures and market transactions with respect to the Company and its properties, identified by senior management and the Compensation Committee as being within such executive's areas of responsibility. The material factors considered in making bonus determinations with reference to such projects include successful completion of development projects (i.e., completion of project construction or phases of construction on multi-phased projects and grand openings); achievement of acceptable pro forma returns; achievement of lease up levels for new developments and maintaining and increasing occupancy levels in existing projects in the Company's portfolio; successful completion of financings (i.e., closing on financings and re-financings and enhancement of the Company's debt structure); successful closing of acquisitions of additional properties for the Company's portfolio; and successful completion of market transactions (i.e., issuances of additional equity securities and other market transactions). Since each of these factors may be significantly influenced by events affecting the national economy, as well as the local economies of the markets in which our shopping center properties are located, the degree of challenge presented to each officer in achieving successful performance may vary significantly from year to year, and may differ within a given year from that which was anticipated by the Compensation Committee in its initial budgeting for executive bonuses. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee's final decision as to the bonuses paid each year is based on its overall, qualitative evaluation of each officer's performance with regard to such factors in light of the Company's performance and the external factors (economic and otherwise) that impacted such performance during the year.

Changes may occur in the projects considered for each officer over the course of a given year, based on changes in the Company's development, acquisition, financing and market plans. Some changes may be due to internal considerations, while others may be due to changes in market factors beyond the control of the Company or its executives. Accordingly, while an executive's annual bonus typically is not increased beyond the level budgeted by the Compensation Committee, the final bonus payment may be decreased (or, for exceptional performance, increased within a level of allowable increases in the overall executive compensation budget), and the projects ultimately considered in determining the annual bonus paid to each officer may differ from those utilized in setting the original budget based on changes in the Company's business plans during the year. These matters are reviewed by the Compensation Committee with senior management and revised as needed during the year, with final decisions typically made at the Compensation Committee's meeting during the fourth quarter. The final bonus payout for each Named Executive Officer is determined by the Compensation Committee based on the Committee's ultimate evaluation of such officer's performance during the year, but within the parameters of the approved executive compensation budget and giving such consideration as the Committee deems appropriate to the project-related matters and other factors described above.

As discussed above, in light of the prevailing economic environment and the results experienced by the Company during the past three years, the Compensation Committee accepted management's recommendation to continue for 2010 the reduction of annual bonus payouts to a level 50% below the levels of annual bonuses historically approved for individuals at comparable levels of responsibility. These reductions were reflected in the bonuses received by each of the Named Executive Officers.

For Named Executive Officers John N. Foy, Stephen D. Lebovitz and Farzana K. Mitchell, the projects among which the Compensation Committee allocates various components of their potential annual bonuses typically include the completion of acquisitions, closing of financing transactions, completion of phases of construction on development of shopping centers, completion and grand opening of shopping centers, completion of joint ventures and completion of

securities offerings.

Pursuant to the criteria described above, as adjusted to reflect management's recommendations and the 50% reduction versus historical bonus levels, the Company paid annual bonuses of \$337,500 to John N. Foy, \$337,500 to Stephen D. Lebovitz and \$100,000 to Farzana K. Mitchell for performance during 2010. In

determining the bonus paid to Mr. Foy, the Compensation Committee considered his overall contributions to the development projects opened by the Company during the year or under development at year end, as well as his overall contributions to the leadership of the Company's financing activities (including developments in both equity and debt financing that took place during the year) and to the Company's property sales and joint venture activities during 2010. In determining the bonus paid to Stephen D. Lebovitz, the Compensation Committee considered his direct contributions to the development projects opened by the Company during the year or under development at year end, as well as his overall contributions to the leadership of the Company's mall remodeling and expansion, financing and property sales and joint venture activities during 2010. In determining the bonus paid to Ms. Mitchell, the Compensation Committee considered her overall contributions to the leadership of the Company's financing activities (including developments in both equity and debt financing that took place during the year) and to the Company's property sales and joint venture activities during 2010.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, in conjunction with its final decisions on executive bonuses for the year, the Compensation Committee determined to award cash bonuses for 2010 performance of \$337,500 to Charles B. Lebovitz and \$150,000 to Mr. Stephas. In accordance with its practice in prior years, the Compensation Committee does not make annual bonus determinations for these two officers with reference to consideration of specific projects or activities, but rather in consideration of its subjective evaluation of the overall performance of the Company for the year and of such officers' contributions to such performance. As with all other bonuses awarded for 2010 performance, these amounts reflected a reduction of annual bonus payouts to a level 50% below the levels of annual bonuses historically approved for individuals at comparable levels of responsibility.

As discussed above, the Compensation Committee customarily allows each officer who receives a bonus (including the Named Executive Officers) the choice of whether to have the bonus paid in cash or in unrestricted shares of the Company's Common Stock issued under the Stock Incentive Plan, as an additional means of encouraging equity ownership in the Company. The number of shares issued for any bonus that an officer elects to receive in Common Stock is determined based on the market value of the Common Stock on the date when such bonus becomes payable. For fiscal 2010, none of the Named Executive Officers elected to receive bonus payments in the form of Common Stock pursuant to this feature.

Restricted Stock Awards – The third principal element of annual compensation for the Company's officers (including the Named Executive Officers) normally consists of awards of shares of restricted stock under the Company's Stock Incentive Plan. The Compensation Committee's objective in making restricted stock awards has been to increase the alignment of these executives' economic interests with the interests of the Company's stockholders, thereby supplementing the incentives provided by annual bonuses with additional incentives for such officers to manage the Company with a view towards maximizing long-term stockholder value.

Each year, management prepares and presents to the Compensation Committee a list of suggested amounts for the annual grant of restricted stock awards to employees, including the Named Executive Officers, under the Stock Incentive Plan. The recommended levels of restricted stock awards are based on historical levels of such awards in past years with increases or decreases that management has subjectively deemed appropriate based on the overall performance of the Company, and also taking into account such matters as potential dilution and the number of shares available for issuance under the Stock Incentive Plan. As with the base salaries and annual bonus awards discussed above, management does not utilize a formulaic approach in determining its recommendations. Both management and the Compensation Committee, however, set such awards with reference to the principle that the number of shares of restricted stock included in each annual grant should reflect the recipient's level of responsibility. The number of shares awarded is higher for individuals with greater responsibility and greater ability to influence the Company's performance. Accordingly, the three largest grants of restricted stock, on an annual basis, normally have been made to the Company's three most senior executive officers – Charles B. Lebovitz, Stephen D. Lebovitz and John N. Foy. In past years, the other Named Executive Officers typically have received grants of restricted stock commensurate with

the amounts of such grants awarded to other Company executives at comparable levels of authority.

Management does not necessarily consider any specific element of the performance of a Named Executive Officer in recommending annual grants of restricted stock but, rather, subjectively considers the overall performance of both the Company and the officer, the number of shares granted in the past, and the scope of

authority of each Named Executive Officer. The Compensation Committee reviews and acts on management's recommendations based on its subjective evaluation of these same considerations. Ultimately, while the number of shares granted to each Named Executive Officer reflects consideration of both Company and individual officer performance, the most important elements that influence this decision are the Compensation Committee's judgment regarding the overall number of shares to be granted in a given year and the appropriate allocation of shares to individuals at different levels of responsibility.

In keeping with this philosophy, after reducing the February 2008 restricted stock awards by approximately 50% as compared to the levels granted during the prior two years, the Compensation Committee accepted senior management's recommendation in February 2009 to make no restricted stock awards based on 2008 performance in light of the then-current economic environment and the results experienced by the Company during 2008. Based on the Company's improved outlook by the end of 2009, however, and in consideration of the total return to Common Stock holders of nearly 60% that CBL achieved for the year, the Compensation Committee approved senior management's recommendation that a restricted stock grant should be made in February 2010 based on 2009 performance, but once again reduced by approximately 50% versus the grants typically made to individuals at comparable levels of seniority for years prior to 2007. The February 2010 restricted stock grants also included additional one-time grants of restricted stock to certain executives who received promotions effective January 1, 2010, including three of the current Named Executive Officers – Stephen D. Lebovitz (in recognition of his promotion to President and Chief Executive Officer), Augustus N. Stephas (in recognition of her promotion to Executive Vice President – Finance).

As discussed above, in light of the further improvement in the Company's outlook during 2010, including the increase in total portfolio occupancy, the continued strengthening of the Company's financial position and the total return to Common Stock holders of 89% achieved for the year, the Compensation Committee approved senior management's recommendation that the Named Executive Officers' restricted stock grants made in February 2011, based on 2010 performance, should reflect a significant increase over prior year levels.

The resulting grants to the Named Executive Officers were as follows:

Named Executive Officer	February 2010 Restricted Stock Grant		February 2011 Restricted Stock Grant	
Charles B. Lebovitz	Annual grant: shares	7,500	Annual grant: shares	25,000
John N. Foy	Annual grant: shares	7,500	Annual grant: shares	25,000
Stephen D. Lebovitz	Annual grant: shares	7,500	Annual grant: shares	25,000
	Additional award in recognition of promotion to Chief Executive Officer: 7,500 shares			

10,000

Augustus N. Annual Annual

Stephas grant: 3,000 grant: 10,000

shares shares

Additional award in

recognition

of promotion to Executive Vice President and Chief Operating

Officer: 2,500 shares

Farzana K. Annual Annual Mitchell grant: 3,000 grant:

shares shares

Additional award in

recognition

of promotion to Executive Vice President – Finance: 2,500

shares

Section 162(m) Issues.

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") imposes a \$1,000,000 ceiling on a publicly traded company's federal income tax deduction for compensation paid in a taxable year to an individual who, on the last day of the taxable year, was (i) the chief executive officer or (ii) among the four other most highly compensated executive officers whose compensation is reported in the Summary Compensation Table in such company's proxy statement. The limitation does not apply to any compensation that satisfies the certain specific and detailed requirements to be treated as "qualified performance-based compensation" under Section 162(m) and its associated regulations.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed the potential impacts of Section 162(m) on the anticipated tax treatment to the Company and its officers (including the Named Executive Officers) in its review and establishment of compensation programs and payments. While the Compensation Committee generally seeks to preserve the Company's ability to claim any applicable tax deductions for compensation paid to executives to the greatest extent practicable, the Compensation Committee also believes that stockholder interests are best served by having the Committee retain the discretion and flexibility to structure certain elements of the Company's incentive compensation programs based on considerations other than the full deductibility of compensation.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information regarding the compensation of the Company's Named Executive Officers (as determined pursuant to SEC rules) for the Company's fiscal years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010:

Summary Compensation Table (1)

Name and Principal Position(2)	Year	Salary(\$) (3)	Bonus(\$) (3)	Stock Award(s) (\$) (5)	All Other Compensation (\$) (6)	Total Compensation (\$)
Charles B.	2010	592,833	337,500	77,363	6,125	1,013,821
Lebovitz, Chairman of the	2009	596,836	337,500	_	6,125	940,461
Board	2008	592,833	<u>—</u>	183,638	5,750	782,221
John N. Foy,	2010	526,320	337,500	77,363	6,125	947,308
Vice Chairman of the Board, Chief Financial	2009	532,906	337,500	_	6,125	876,531
Officer, Treasurer and Secretary	2008	526,320	_	183,638	5,750	715,708
Stephen D.	2010	525,000	337,500	154,725	6,125	1,023,350
Lebovitz, Director, President and Chief	2009	525,000	337,500	_	6,125	868,625
Executive Officer	2008	525,000	337,500	183,638	5,750	1,051,888
Augustus N.	2010	496,600	150,000	56,733	6,125	709,458
Stephas, Executive Vice	2009	496,600	150,000	_	6,125	672,725
President and	2008	494,100	150,000	36,727		706,577
Chief Operating Officer(4)					5,750	
Farzana K.	2010	470,000	100,000	56,733	4,245	630,978
Mitchell, Executive Vice President – Finance	2009	470,000	100,000	_	4,223	574,223

⁽¹⁾ All compensation cost resulting from amounts paid to the Named Executive Officers as shown in this table is recognized at the Management Company, which is a taxable REIT subsidiary of the Company.

⁽²⁾ The position shown represents the individual's position with the Company and the Management Company.

⁽³⁾Each of Charles B. Lebovitz and John N. Foy elected to receive a portion of their salary and/or bonus compensation payable with respect to 2008 and 2009 in the form of fully vested, unrestricted shares of the Company's Common Stock issued pursuant to agreements entered into under the Stock Incentive Plan, which provided that the amounts representing annual increases over each of such officers' base salaries since 1995 (net of the dollar amounts withheld for taxes) were paid in quarterly installments (generally on the last business day of

each quarter) in the form of the Company's Common Stock rather than cash, with the number of shares issued in payment of each such quarterly installment determined based on application of the market price for the Common Stock on the last trading day in each of the three months during the quarter to the amount of salary accrued during each such month. During 2009, each of these Named Executive Officers also received shares under these arrangements based on the interaction of the terms of these agreements and the Company's election to pay a portion of its Common Stock dividend for the first quarter of 2009 in shares

of the Company's Common Stock. These agreements were terminated, with the approval of the Compensation Committee, effective December 31, 2009. Prior to November 1, 2008, a similar arrangement also was in place with respect to Stephen D. Lebovitz. The aggregate amount of salary and bonus compensation paid in this manner for each of such officers during 2009 was as follows: Charles B. Lebovitz – \$154,059 of salary and John N. Foy – \$218,530 of salary. The aggregate amount of salary and bonus compensation paid in this manner for each of such officers during 2008 was as follows: Charles B. Lebovitz – \$147,382 of salary; John N. Foy – \$215,609 of salary; and Stephen D. Lebovitz – \$209,800 of salary. Each of the Named Executive Officers also elected to contribute a portion of his or her salary to the CBL & Associates Management, Inc. 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan and Trust (the "401(k) Plan") during 2008, 2009 and 2010.

- (4) Salary and Bonus amounts reported for Mr. Stephas for the years 2008, 2009 and 2010 do not include \$20,000 received in each such year representing compensation for services rendered by Mr. Stephas to CBL's Predecessor, for which amounts the Company is fully reimbursed by CBL's Predecessor.
- (5) We report all equity awards at their full grant date fair value in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 718. For awards of Common Stock, such value is calculated based on the NYSE market price for shares of our Common Stock subject to the award on the grant date for the award. Generally, the aggregate grant date fair value represents the amount that the Company expects to expense in its financial statements over the award's vesting schedule and does not correspond to the actual value that will be realized by each Named Executive Officer. For additional information, refer to Note 16 Share-Based Compensation in the Company's audited financial statements contained in the Annual Report to Shareholders that accompanies this Proxy Statement and in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, as amended, filed with the SEC.
- (6) For fiscal years 2008, 2009 and 2010, amounts shown represent matching contributions by the Management Company under the 401(k) Plan.

2010 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

Name of Executive	Grant Date	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (2)
Charles B. Lebovitz	2/02/2010	7,500 (1)	\$ 77,363
John N. Foy	2/02/2010	7,500 (1)	\$ 77,363
Stephen D. Lebovitz	2/02/2010	15,000 (1)	\$154,725
Augustus N. Stephas	2/02/2010	5,500 (1)	\$ 56,733
Farzana K. Mitchell	2/02/2010	5,500 (1)	\$ 56,733

- (1) Represents an award of shares of restricted stock to each such officer under the Stock Incentive Plan, with the additional terms and conditions described in the narrative presented below. Included in the shares granted to Stephen D. Lebovitz were both (i) a grant of 7,500 shares made in connection with the annual restricted stock awards and (ii) a one-time grant of an additional 7,500 shares, made in recognition of his promotion to Chief Executive Officer of the Company. Included in the shares granted to Augustus N. Stephas and Farzana K. Mitchell were both (i) grants of 3,000 shares each made in connection with the annual restricted stock awards and (ii) one-time grants of an additional 2,500 shares each, made in recognition of their promotions to Executive Vice Presidents of the Company.
- (2) Represents the grant date fair value of these stock awards, calculated as described in footnote (5) to the Summary Compensation Table above.

Additional Information Concerning Compensation Reported Above

The following discussion presents additional information relevant to the compensation reported above for each of the Named Executive Officers in the Summary Compensation Table and the 2010 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table.

Quarterly Deferred Compensation Arrangements for Three Named Executive Officers

As described in the footnotes to the Summary Compensation Table, each of Charles B. Lebovitz, John N. Foy and Stephen D. Lebovitz were parties to agreements entered into under the Stock Incentive Plan, dated as of January 1, 2004, pursuant to which the amounts representing annual increases to each of such officers' base salaries since 1995 (net of the dollar amounts withheld for taxes) were paid in quarterly installments (generally on the last business day of each quarter) in the form of the Company's Common Stock rather than cash, with the number of shares issued in payment of each such quarterly installment determined based on application of the market price for the Common Stock on the last trading day in each of the three months during the quarter to the amount of salary accrued during each such month. As noted above, these arrangements were terminated, with the approval of the Compensation Committee, effective November 1, 2008 as to Stephen D. Lebovitz, and effective December 31, 2009 as to Charles B. Lebovitz and John N. Foy.

Bonus Arrangements for Named Executive Officers

The terms of the bonus arrangements for the Named Executive Officers for fiscal 2010 are described above in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section. Each officer who received a bonus had the option of electing whether to have his or her bonus paid in cash or in shares of the Company's Common Stock pursuant to the terms of the Stock Incentive Plan. The number of shares issued with respect to any bonus that an officer elects to receive in Common Stock is determined based on the market value of the Common Stock on the date when such bonus becomes payable. As reflected above, no Named Executive Officer elected to receive his or her bonus in Common Stock in fiscal 2010.

Terms of Restricted Stock Grants to Named Executive Officers

As described above in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section, during the first quarter of 2009 the Compensation Committee accepted the recommendation of the Company's senior management that no restricted stock awards should be made with respect to the Company's 2008 performance. During February 2010, however, the Compensation Committee determined, based on management's recommendations, to grant shares of restricted stock with respect to performance during 2009 to a wide range of management employees, including the Named Executive Officers, and also simultaneously granted additional shares of restricted stock to certain executive officers in recognition of promotions that took effect January 1, 2010. The Compensation Committee also determined during February 2011, again based on management's recommendations, to grant shares of restricted stock with respect to performance during 2010 to a wide range of management employees, including the Named Executive Officers. As in prior years, the terms of each award of restricted shares of Common Stock granted to the Named Executive Officers in February 2010 and February 2011 provide for the following terms:

- The recipient of the award generally has all of the rights of a stockholder during the vesting/restricted period, including the right to receive dividends on the same basis and at the same rate as all other outstanding shares of Common Stock and the right to vote such shares on any matter on which holders of the Company's Common Stock are entitled to vote.
- The shares generally are not transferable during the restricted period, except for any transfers which may be required by law (such as pursuant to a domestic relations order).

• If the Named Executive Officer's employment terminates during the restricted period for any reason other than death, disability, or retirement after reaching age 70 with at least 10 years of continuous service, the award agreements provide that any non-vested portion of the restricted stock award is immediately forfeited by such officer.

• If employment terminates during the restricted period due to death or disability (as defined in the award), or due to the Named Executive Officer having retired after reaching age 70 and having maintained at least 10 years of continuous employment with the Company, its subsidiaries or affiliates, any portion of the restricted stock award that is not vested as of such date shall immediately become fully vested in the officer or his estate, as applicable.

The restrictions expire with respect to 20% of the shares granted to each Named Executive Officer annually beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant. The terms of the restricted stock awards to the Named Executive Officers are substantially identical (except as to the number of shares subject to each such award) to the terms of all other annual restricted stock awards granted to employees under the Stock Incentive Plan in February 2010 and February 2011.

Risks Arising From Design of Compensation Programs

Both senior management and the Compensation Committee believe that the design of the Company's compensation programs, including our executive compensation program, does not encourage our executives or employees to take unnecessary and excessive risks, and that the risks arising from these programs are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. Factors supporting these conclusions include, among others, the following:

- Both annual performance bonuses and grants of restricted stock awards under our Stock Incentive Plan are not automatic, but are granted in the discretion of senior management and the Compensation Committee and are subject to downward adjustment as the Compensation Committee or management may deem appropriate.
 - The metric of same-center NOI, which was used as the basis for determining whether any payments would be made under the 2010 NOI Growth Incentive Plan described above, was determined on a company-wide basis and could not be easily manipulated by short-term risk taking actions.
- As noted above, our Board of Directors requires approval by the Board (or a committee thereof) of significant transactions that entail the expenditure of funds or incurrence of debt or liability in amounts in excess of certain threshold dollar amounts, thereby limiting the risks to which employees, or even senior management, may expose the Company without higher-level Board review. Company policy also provides similar checks against the creation of risk by compensation-based incentives at the operational level such as a procedure that employees compensated based in part on leasing results may have the authority to negotiate new and renewal lease terms, but the authority to approve and execute the leases rests with a higher level of management whose compensation is not subject to the same incentives.
 - Due to the scope of their authority, risk-related decisions concerning the Company's business are primarily under the control of our executive officers. As discussed above, we maintain stock ownership guidelines for all executive officers supported by the features of our compensation programs that encourage our executives to achieve and maintain a significant proprietary interest in the Company. These guidelines tend to align our senior executives' long-term interests with those of our stockholders and serve as a disincentive to behavior that is focused only on the short-term and risks material harm to the Company.

Non-Competition Arrangements

Pursuant to agreements entered into at the time of the Company's initial public offering in November 1993, each of Charles B. Lebovitz, John N. Foy and Stephen D. Lebovitz has agreed to refrain from competing with the Company until two years from the date of termination of his employment. Prohibited competition includes any participation in the development, improvement or construction of any shopping center project, acquiring any interest in a shopping center project or acquiring vacant land for development as a shopping center project. Charles B. Lebovitz, John N. Foy and Stephen D. Lebovitz are, however, permitted to hold certain investments which they owned prior to completion of the Company's initial public offering in November 1993, and to hold passive investments equal to less than 1% of the outstanding securities of any publicly traded company.

2010 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

	Option Awards				Stock Awards	;
Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable (1)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable (1)	Option Exercise Price (\$)(1)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)(2)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(2)
Charles B. Lebovitz	_	_	_	_	15,000 (3)	\$262,500
John N. Foy	32,000 32,000		13.8375 18.2675	5/02/2011 5/07/2012	15,000 (3)	262,500
Stephen D. Lebovitz	_	_	_	_	22,500 (4)	393,750
Augustus N. Stephas	10,800	_	18.2675	5/07/2012	7,200 (5)	126,000
Farzana K. Mitchell	_	_	_	_	7,000 (6)	122,500

⁽¹⁾ The Company has not granted any stock options since 2002. All option awards reflected in the table vest in 20% increments on each of the first through fifth anniversaries of their date of grant and expire on the tenth anniversary of their date of grant; accordingly, all of the options reflected in the table are now fully vested.

⁽²⁾ Except as otherwise noted, all of these shares were issued as part of the Company's annual restricted stock grants to officers and other key employees under the Stock Incentive Plan. Shares issued pursuant to each such annual restricted stock grant vest in 20% increments on each of the first through fifth anniversaries of their date of grant. Market value shown for all unvested shares of restricted stock is based on the closing price for the Company's Common Stock on the NYSE on the last trading day of fiscal 2010 (December 31) of \$17.50 per share.

Such shares were issued as part of the annual restricted stock grants described in Note (2) above, and vest as follows: 3,000 shares will vest on May 8, 2011; 1,500 shares vested on February 6, 2011, and 1,500 additional shares will vest on February 6 in each of the years 2012 and 2013; and 1,500 shares vested on February 2, 2011 and 1,500 additional shares will vest on February 2 in each of the years 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015.

- (4) Such shares were issued as part of the annual restricted stock grants described in Note (2) above, and vest as follows: 3,000 shares will vest on May 8, 2011; 1,500 shares vested on February 6, 2011, and 1,500 additional shares will vest on February 6 in each of the years 2012 and 2013; and 3,000 shares vested on February 2, 2011 and 3,000 additional shares will vest on February 2 in each of the years 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015.
- (5) Such shares were issued as part of the annual restricted stock grants described in Note (2) above, other than a one-time grant of 500 shares in November 2007 related to Mr. Stephas' 2007 promotion to Chief Operating Officer, and vest as follows: 600 shares will vest on May 8, 2011; 300 shares vested on February 6, 2011, and 300 additional shares will vest on February 6 in each of the years 2012 and 2013; 100 shares will vest on

- (5) November 5 in each of the years 2011 and 2012; and 1,100 shares vested on February 2, 2011 and 1,100 additional shares will vest on February 2 in each of the years 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015.
- (6) Such shares were issued as part of the annual restricted stock grants described in Note (2) above, and vest as follows: 600 shares will vest on May 8, 2011; 300 shares vested on February 6, 2011, and 300 additional shares will vest on February 6 in each of the years 2012 and 2013; and 1,100 shares vested on February 2, 2011 and 1,100 additional shares will vest on February 2 in each of the years 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015.

2010 Option Exercises and Stock Vested

	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)(1)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)(2)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(3)
Charles B. Lebovitz	_	_	8,500	\$111,110
John N. Foy	_	_	8,500	111,110
Stephen D. Lebovitz	_	_	8,500	111,110
Augustus N. Stephas	_	_	1,800	24,069
Farzana K. Mitchell	_	_	1,700	22,222

- (1) There were no option exercises by any Named Executive Officer during 2010.
- (2) All of such shares were received pursuant to restricted stock awards which vested during fiscal 2010.
- (3) For vesting of restricted stock awards during 2010, amounts shown are based on the closing market price for the Company's Common Stock on the NYSE on the respective dates when each installment vested (or on the immediately preceding trading day, if such date was not a business day). As each installment vests, the officer may choose either (A) to sell all (or some portion) of the underlying shares immediately following the vesting date or (B) to hold all (or some portion) of the underlying shares indefinitely or for sale at a later date. Accordingly, such amounts do not correspond to the actual value that will be realized by each Named Executive Officer pursuant to the vesting of restricted stock during the year.

Except for the noncompetition arrangements described above, the Named Executive Officers do not have any employment, severance or change of control agreements with the Company. Accordingly, except for certain impacts on outstanding equity awards, such officers will not receive compensation in connection with any termination of employment due to death, disability, retirement or any other reason, except for such benefits as are available generally to all salaried employees under the Company's 401(k) Plan, insurance and other benefits programs (including the Company's current policy on continuation of medical benefits for certain retirees, as described below).

Impact of Death, Disability or Retirement on Outstanding Awards Under the Stock Incentive Plan

All of the outstanding options granted to the Named Executive Officers under the Stock Incentive Plan provide that if the grantee's employment terminates without "Cause" or by reason of death, the option may be exercised by the grantee's estate or representative for up to one year thereafter, but only to the extent that it was vested/exercisable on the date of such termination. In the case of a termination of employment due to disability or retirement, such options provide that they generally may be exercised by the grantee or the grantee's representative for up to three years following such event, but only to the extent that they were vested/exercisable on the date of termination. Unless otherwise determined by the Compensation Committee, the Stock Incentive Plan defines "Cause" for such purpose to mean (i) a felony conviction under applicable Federal or state law, (ii) dishonesty in the course of the optionee's employment duties or (iii) willful failure on the part of the optionee to perform his duties in any material respect.

Outstanding restricted stock awards made to the Named Executive Officers prior to 2004 under the Stock Incentive Plan generally provide that all unvested shares are immediately forfeited if the grantee's employment terminates for any reason, including death, disability or retirement. Restricted stock awards made to the Named Executive Officers in 2004 and subsequent years, however, provide that if the grantee's employment terminates by reason of death or disability, any portion of the award that is not vested on the date of such termination shall immediately vest in the grantee or the grantee's estate.

"Disability" for these purposes generally means the employee's complete and permanent disability as defined by the Company's health insurance plans or as otherwise defined by the Company from time to time.

Beginning with the 2006 restricted stock grants, the Company has added a provision which states that, if the grantee's employment terminates due to retirement after reaching age 70 and having maintained at least 10 years of continuous employment with the Company, its subsidiaries or affiliates, any portion of the award that is not vested as of such date shall immediately vest in the grantee.

Based on the foregoing, the following table summarizes the intrinsic value (that is, the value based on the Company's stock price, and in the case of options, the Company's stock price minus the exercise price) of all equity awards that each of the Named Executive Officers would have been entitled to retain if he or she had retired, died or become disabled, assuming that such event occurred as of December 31, 2010 (and using the NYSE closing price of \$17.50 per share on December 31, 2010, the last trading day of the year):

	Termination Due to Retireme	ent	Termination Due to Death/Disab	-
Name	Options	Restricted Stock Grants	Options	Restricted Stock Grants
Charles B. Lebovitz	_	\$262,500 (1)	_	\$262,500
John N. Foy	\$117,200	_	\$117,200	262,500
Stephen D. Lebovitz	_	_	_	393,750
Augustus N. Stephas	— (2)	_	—(2)	126,000
	_	_	_	122,500

Farzana K. Mitchell

- (1) Since Charles B. Lebovitz is the only Named Executive Officer to have attained age 70 with 10 years of continuous employment with the Company as of December 31, 2010, no other Named Executive Officer would have retained any unvested shares of restricted stock if he had retired as of such date.
- (2) Although Mr. Stephas held exercisable options to purchase a total of 10,800 shares of Common Stock as of December 31, 2010, the exercise price applicable to all such options exceeded the then-current market price for the Common Stock.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth information regarding the compensation of each director not employed by the Company (a "Non-Employee Director") for the Company's fiscal year ended December 31, 2010. Directors who are employees of the Company do not receive any separate compensation for service in their capacity as a director.

2010 Director Compensation Table

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)(2)	Stock Awards (\$)(3)	Option Awards (\$)(4)	Total (\$)
Claude M. Ballard (1)	\$16,250	\$ 7,459	_	\$23,709
Gary L. Bryenton	53,625	7,459	_	61,804
Thomas J. DeRosa (1)	32,250	15,265	_	47,515
Matthew S. Dominski	58,875	7,459	_	66,334
Leo Fields	51,875	7,459	_	59,334
Kathleen M. Nelson	48,375	7,459	_	55,834
Winston W. Walker	78,875	7,459	_	86,334

⁽¹⁾ Director Claude M. Ballard passed away on February 11, 2010. Thomas J. DeRosa was nominated by the Board of Directors for election at the Company's 2010 annual meeting of stockholders, to fill the Board vacancy created by Mr. Ballard's death.

⁽²⁾ This column reports the aggregate amount of all cash compensation earned by each Non-Employee Director during 2010 for Board and committee service, determined as described below under "Additional Information Concerning Director Compensation."

⁽³⁾ This column represents the grant date fair value of stock awards granted to the Non-Employee Directors under the Stock Incentive Plan in 2010, calculated in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ASC Topic 718. During 2010, each Non-Employee Director (other than Thomas J. DeRosa) was granted 750 shares of restricted Common Stock under the Stock Incentive Plan, having a grant date fair value of \$9.945 per share, which was the average of the high and low price of the Company's Common Stock as reported on the NYSE on January 4, 2010. Director Thomas J. DeRosa was granted 1,000 shares of restricted Common Stock in connection with his initial election to the Board, having a grant date fair value of \$15.265 per share, which was the average of the high and low price of the Company's Common Stock as reported on the NYSE on May 3, 2010. For more information, refer to Note 16 – Share-Based Compensation in the Company's audited financial statements contained in the Annual Report to Shareholders that accompanies this Proxy Statement and in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, as amended, filed with the SEC. The grant date fair value represents the

amount that the Company expects to expense in its financial statements over the vesting schedule for these awards and does not correspond to the actual value that will be realized by each Non-Employee Director. The aggregate number of outstanding shares of restricted Common Stock held by each Non-Employee Director as of December 31, 2010 (other than deceased Non-Employee Director Claude M. Ballard) was as follows: Gary L. Bryenton – 4,700 shares; Thomas J. DeRosa – 1,000 shares; Matthew S. Dominski – 4,000 shares; Leo Fields – 4,700 shares; Kathleen M. Nelson – 1,750 shares; and Winston W. Walker – 4,700 shares.

(4) The Company did not grant any stock options during 2010. The aggregate number of shares of Common Stock subject to outstanding options held by each Non-Employee Director as of December 31, 2010 was as follows: Gary L. Bryenton –2,000 shares; Thomas J. DeRosa –none; Matthew S. Dominski – none; Leo Fields – none; Kathleen M. Nelson – none; and Winston W. Walker – none.

Additional Information Concerning Director Compensation

Both the Company's senior management and the Compensation Committee intend for the compensation of the Company's Non-Employee Directors to be competitive and reasonable in relation to the directors' responsibilities for supervising the overall management and policies of the Company, and in relation to the compensation of Non-Employee Directors at the same group of peer companies reviewed by the Compensation Committee in setting base salaries for the Named Executive Officers (taking into account differences in size and scope of operations between the Company and certain of its peers). Additional compensation is provided to non-employee directors who serve on the Executive Committee, and to the Chairman of the Audit Committee, in recognition of the additional workload undertaken by such directors. While senior management and the Compensation Committee periodically review the compensation paid to the Non-Employee Directors, the Company typically has not adjusted such compensation on an annual basis, but only when senior management and the Compensation Committee decide that such review indicates that some adjustments may be warranted. As in the case of the Compensation Committee's review of executive salaries at the peer companies discussed above, such review is only intended to provide the Compensation Committee with a general understanding of whether the Company's compensation of its outside directors is competitive for purposes of attracting and retaining well-qualified individuals to serve as Non-Employee Directors of the Company. As in the case of executive officer compensation, the Compensation Committee does not set specific competitive compensation objectives or otherwise engage in any formal "benchmarking" comparisons of the compensation of the Company's directors against that of directors of the peer companies considered. The equity component of director compensation, as described under "Director Compensation" herein, in conjunction with the Company's stock ownership guidelines for non-employee directors, is intended by the Compensation Committee to align the interests of the non-employee directors with those of the Company's stockholders by ensuring that they attain and maintain a significant proprietary interest in the Company.

In February 2011, upon the recommendation of the Company's Compensation Committee, the Board of Directors voted to make the adjustments summarized below to the cash portion of the Company's compensation arrangements for each Non-Employee Director, which had not been adjusted since November 2007. The column listing fees payable prior to January 1, 2011 reflects the fees paid to Non-Employee Directors that were in effect during all of 2010, while the column for fees effective January 1, 2011 summarizes the new fee arrangements, following the February 2011 Compensation Committee and Board actions, effective for the current year:

Description	Amount of Fee Prior to January 1, 2011	New Fees Effective January 1, 2011
Annual Fee for each Non-Employee Director	\$30,000	\$30,000
Meeting Fee for each Board, Compensation Committee, Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee or Audit Committee Meeting Attended*	\$1,750	\$2,000
Monthly Fee for each Non-Employee Director Who Serves as a Member of the Executive Committee (in lieu of Executive Committee Meeting Fees)	\$875	\$1,000
	\$2.250	\$2,750

Monthly Fee for the Audit Committee Chairman*

Fee for each Telephonic Board or	\$875	\$1,000
Committee Meeting		

^{*}The Non-Employee Director who serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee receives a monthly fee in lieu of meeting fees for his participation on the Audit Committee.

Each Non-Employee Director also receives reimbursement of expenses incurred in attending meetings.

Under the current terms of the Company's Stock Incentive Plan, Non-Employee Directors also receive an annual grant of either (A) up to 1,000 shares of restricted Common Stock of the Company or (B) options to purchase 1,000 shares of Common Stock having an exercise price equal to 100% of the fair market value of the shares of Common Stock on December 31 of such fiscal year. For 2009, each Non-Employee Director received 750 shares of restricted Common Stock of the Company with a fair value (on the date of grant, January 4, 2010) of \$9.945 per share, which was the average of the high and low price of the Company's Common Stock as reported on the NYSE on January 4, 2010. For 2010, each Non-Employee Director received 750 shares of restricted Common Stock of the Company with a fair value (on the date of grant, January 3, 2011) of \$17.845 per share, which was the average of the high and low price of the Company's Common Stock as reported on the NYSE on January 3, 2011. The restrictions on shares of Common Stock received by the Non-Employee Directors are set forth in the Stock Incentive Plan and provide that such shares may not be transferred during the Non-Employee Director's term and for one year thereafter. Each holder of a Non-Employee Director option granted pursuant to the above-stated arrangement has the same rights as other holders of options in the event of a change in control. Options granted to the Non-Employee Directors (i) shall have a term of 10 years from date of grant, (ii) are 100% vested upon grant, (iii) are non-forfeitable prior to the expiration of the term except upon the Non-Employee Director's conviction for any criminal activity involving the Company or, if non-exercised, within one year following the date the Non-Employee Director ceases to be a director of the Company, and (iv) are non-transferable.

In addition, any person who becomes a Non-Employee Director will, upon joining the Board of Directors, receive an initial grant of 1,000 shares of restricted Common Stock under the Stock Incentive Plan, having the same terms and restrictions as the other Non-Employee Director grants described above. During 2010, Thomas J. DeRosa was granted 1,000 shares of restricted Common Stock in connection with his initial election as a Non-Employee Director, having a grant date fair value of \$15.265 per share, which was the average of the high and low price of the Company's Common Stock as reported on the NYSE on May 3, 2010.

Equity Compensation Plan Information as of December 31, 2010

The following table sets forth information as to the Company's equity compensation plans as of the end of the Company's 2010 fiscal year:

	(a)		(c)
Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of the outstanding options, warrants and rights		Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	447,825	\$16.92 (1)	1,247,365
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	None	N/A	N/A

(1) The weighted average calculation does not reflect 65,489 shares reserved for issuance under deferred compensation arrangements as of December 31, 2010. The Company's Stock Incentive Plan permits the Compensation Committee to enter into deferred compensation arrangements designed to provide a deferral of taxable income to participants, which may be funded or unfunded and may provide for future payments to participants in the form of Common Stock or cash. As used by the Compensation Committee, these deferred compensation arrangements typically allow the executive/employee to elect to defer a portion of his/her salary or bonuses into the arrangement on an unfunded and unsecured basis. For deferred compensation arrangements payable in Common Stock, the amount of salary or bonus deferred is then deemed to be converted to shares of the Company's Common Stock based on the closing price of the Common Stock on the date of the deferral. The number of such shares is then further deemed to increase as dividends are paid on the Common Stock as if such dividends had been utilized via the Company's Dividend Reinvestment Plan to acquire additional shares of Common Stock at the price provided through the Company's Dividend Reinvestment Plan. The arrangements generally provide that on the earlier of (i) a date certain as specified in each deferred compensation arrangement or (ii) the death, disability or termination of employment of the executive/employee or (iii) the merger, consolidation or sale of the Company, the executive/employee will then be entitled to receive the stated

amount of cash or, for deferred compensation arrangements payable in Common Stock, that number of shares of Common Stock deemed set aside on the date of the deferral together with additional shares of Common Stock deemed acquired through the Company's Dividend Reinvestment Plan through the date of the payout.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors consists of Matthew S. Dominski (Chairman), Thomas J. DeRosa, Kathleen M. Nelson and Winston W. Walker. Prior to his death on February 11, 2010, Mr. Ballard also served as a member of the Compensation Committee and served as its Chairman. None of the members of the Compensation Committee are or have been officers or employees of the Company or any of its subsidiaries and each member of the Compensation Committee is an Independent Director.

No executive officer of the Company served on any board of directors or compensation committee of any entity (other than the Company or its subsidiaries) with which any member of the Compensation Committee, or any other director of the Company, is affiliated.

REPORT OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The information contained in this report shall not be deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the SEC, nor shall such information or report be deemed incorporated by reference into any future filing by the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates it by reference in such filing.

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company currently is composed of four Independent Directors, Matthew S. Dominski (Chairman), Thomas J. DeRosa, Kathleen M. Nelson and Winston W. Walker. The Compensation Committee operates under an amended and restated written charter adopted by the Board of Directors on February 3, 2004. A copy of the amended and restated charter is available and can be accessed in the "Investing – Board Committees" section of the Company's website at colproperties.com. The Company's Board of Directors has determined that each of the members of the Compensation Committee is "independent" pursuant to the listing standards of the NYSE as currently applicable.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with Management of the Company the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of SEC Regulation S-K and presented elsewhere in this Proxy Statement.

Based on the Compensation Committee's review and discussions referred to above, the Compensation Committee recommended that the Board of Directors include the Compensation Discussion and Analysis in the Company's Proxy Statement for its 2011 Annual Meeting and in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the SEC.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE Matthew S. Dominski (Chairman)

Thomas J. DeRosa Kathleen M. Nelson Winston W. Walker

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The information contained in this report shall not be deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the SEC, nor shall such information or report be deemed incorporated by reference into any future filing by the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates it by reference in such filing.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company currently is composed of four Independent Directors, Winston W. Walker (Chairman), Gary L. Bryenton, Thomas J. DeRosa and Matthew S. Dominski. The Audit Committee operates under an amended and restated written charter adopted by the Board of Directors on February 3, 2004. A copy of the amended and restated charter is available and can be accessed in the "Investing – Board Committees" section of the Company's website at colproperties.com. The Company's Board of Directors has determined that each of the members of the Audit Committee is "independent" pursuant to the listing standards of the NYSE as currently applicable.

Management is responsible for the Company's internal controls and financial reporting process. The Company's independent auditors are responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company's financial statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States and for issuing a report thereon. The Audit Committee's responsibility is to monitor and oversee these processes.

In this context, the Audit Committee has met and held discussions with Management and the Company's independent auditors. Management reported to the Audit Committee that the Company's consolidated financial statements for the Company's 2010 fiscal year were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed these consolidated financial statements with Management and the Company's independent auditors. The Audit Committee discussed with the independent auditors the matters required to be discussed by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB) Interim Auditing Standard AU Section 380 (Communication with Audit Committees).

The Company's independent auditors also provided to the Audit Committee the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent auditors' communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and the Audit Committee discussed with the independent auditors their firm's independence. The Audit Committee considered whether the provision of services by the independent auditors (other than audit services) is compatible with maintaining the independent auditors' independence.

Pursuant to the mandates of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Company's Board of Directors has determined that Winston W. Walker, an Independent Director and Chairman of the Audit Committee, qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert" as such term is defined by the SEC.

Based on the Audit Committee's review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended that the Board of Directors include the Company's audited consolidated financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, filed with the SEC and provide in such Annual Report on Form 10-K the disclosure of Winston W. Walker as an "audit committee financial expert".

AUDIT COMMITTEE Winston W. Walker (Chairman) Gary L. Bryenton Thomas J. DeRosa Matthew S. Dominski

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

Review and Approval of Related Person Transactions

The Company's Bylaws provide that any contract or transaction (i) between the Company or the Operating Partnership and one or more directors or officers of the Company or (ii) between the Company or the Operating Partnership and any other entity in which one or more of its directors or officers are directors or officers, or have a financial interest, must be approved by a majority of the Independent Directors (excluding any director who has an interest in the matter) or by the Company's stockholders, after the material facts as to the relationship or interest and as to the contract or transaction are disclosed or are known to them. The Company's Code of Business Conduct also contains provisions governing the approval of certain transactions involving the Company and employees (or immediate family members of employees, as defined therein) that are not subject to the provision of the Bylaws described above. The application of these provisions to the review and approval of those transactions and relationships reported for fiscal 2010 is described in pertinent detail below.

Management Company and Management Agreement

The Company is party to a management agreement with the Management Company pursuant to which the Management Company renders management and administrative services with respect to the Company's properties. The Management Company also provides management services for certain properties owned by CBL's Predecessor and certain other third parties for which the Management Company is paid a management fee. See "Retained Property Interests." The Operating Partnership owns 100% of both of the Management Company's preferred stock and its common stock.

Operating Partnership Agreement; CBL Rights

The Company, through subsidiaries, serves as the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and owned, as of March 4, 2011, 148,140,607 common partnership units, representing a 1.0% interest as the sole general partner and a 76.8% interest as a limited partner for an aggregate 77.8% interest in the Operating Partnership. As of March 4, 2011, CBL's Predecessor owned 15,729,378 common partnership units, representing an 8.27% limited partner interest in the Operating Partnership and CBL's Predecessor also owned 3,179,169 shares of the Company's Common Stock, for a combined total interest of 9.95% in the Operating Partnership. Certain executive and senior officers also own common partnership units.

Pursuant to the Operating Partnership Agreement, the limited partners possess CBL Rights, consisting of the rights to exchange all or a portion of their common units or special common units (as applicable) in the Operating Partnership for shares of Common Stock or their cash equivalent, at the Company's election. The CBL Rights may be exercised at any time and from time to time to the extent that, upon exercise of the CBL Rights, the exercising party shall not beneficially or constructively own shares of Common Stock in excess of the applicable share ownership limits set forth in the Company's Certificate of Incorporation. The Company, however, may not pay in shares of Common Stock to the extent that this would result in a limited partner beneficially or constructively owning in the aggregate more than its applicable ownership limit or otherwise jeopardize, in the opinion of counsel to the Company, the Company's qualification as a REIT for tax purposes.

The number of shares of Common Stock received by the limited partners of the Operating Partnership upon exercise of CBL Rights will be based upon the equivalent number of partnership units owned by the limited partners on a

one-for-one basis and the amount of cash received by the limited partners upon such exercise, if the Company elects to pay cash, will be based upon the trading price of the shares of Common Stock at the time of exercise.

CBL Rights will expire in November 2043 if not exercised prior to that date.

Retained Property Interests

CBL's Predecessor owns interests in outparcels at certain of the Company's malls and a 22.5% minority interest in Jacksonville Avenues Limited Partnership, the majority interest of which is owned by third parties. The properties retained by CBL's Predecessor are managed and leased by the Management Company, which receives a fee for its services pursuant to property management agreements that were already in place prior to the Company's initial public offering in November 1993. Accordingly, these agreements were not subject to review under the procedures prescribed in the Company's Bylaws since they predate the adoption of the Bylaws, and their existence was disclosed in the Company's initial public offering prospectus and has been continually disclosed to investors in the Company's periodic reports filed with the SEC since that time. During fiscal year 2010, CBL's Predecessor paid the Management Company approximately \$343,966 under such arrangements. The following individuals, collectively, own 100% of the equity interests in CBL's Predecessor: Charles B. Lebovitz (Chairman of the Board of the Company); the four children of Charles B. Lebovitz (Stephen D. Lebovitz (President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company); Michael I. Lebovitz (Executive Vice President – Development and Administration of the Company); Alan L. Lebovitz (Senior Vice President – Asset Management of the Company); and Beth Lebovitz-Backer); John N. Foy (Vice Chairman of the Board and Chief Financial Officer of the Company); and Ben S. Landress (Executive Vice President – Management of the Company).

These property management arrangements are expected to continue on substantially similar terms, with management fees paid on the same basis, during fiscal year 2011.

Certain Joint Ventures

On October 24, 2005, affiliates of the Company and of JRI entered into a definitive agreement to form a 50/50 joint venture to own Triangle Town Center and its associated and lifestyle centers, Triangle Town Place and Triangle Town Commons, in Raleigh, NC. Under the terms of the joint venture agreement, the Company assumed management, leasing and any future development responsibilities for the property, and is required to fund any additional equity necessary for capital expenditures, including future development or expansion of the property, and any operating deficits of the joint venture. The Company has guaranteed funding of such items up to a maximum of \$50 million. The Company receives fees for management, leasing and financing services for the property pursuant to a property management agreement, and the joint venture's profits will be allocated 50/50 to JRI and the Company. The Company is entitled to receive a preferred return on its invested capital in the joint venture and will, after payment of such preferred return and repayment of the Company's invested capital, and repayment of the balance of Jacobs' equity, share equally with JRI in the joint venture's cash flows. Neither the Company nor JRI made any additional capital contributions, or received any distributions, pursuant to the terms of the joint venture during 2010.

On April 27, 2005, affiliates of the Company and JRI formed a joint venture for the development of Gulf Coast Town Center in Lee County (Ft. Myers/Naples), Florida. Under the terms of the joint venture arrangement, the Company acquired a 50% interest in the joint venture, and will provide any additional equity necessary to fund the development of the property, as well as to fund up to an aggregate of \$30.0 million of any operating deficits of the joint venture. The Company is entitled to receive a preferred return on its invested capital in the joint venture and will, after payment of such preferred return and repayment of the Company's remaining invested capital of \$7.5 million, share equally with JRI in the joint venture's profits. JRI oversaw Phase One of this development, while the Company will oversee and be responsible for the development of the remaining phases of the project. The Company receives fees for management, leasing and financing services for the property pursuant to a property management agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the joint venture, during 2010 the Company contributed \$19,200 in accordance with its funding obligations and received total distributions of \$4,618,324. JRI made no additional capital contributions and received no distributions, due to the Company having not yet received repayment of its invested

capital plus the required preferred return.

JRI's ownership interests in the Company are described above, under the headings "Certain Terms of the Jacobs Acquisition" and "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management." Each of the joint

venture transactions described above was approved by the Company's Board of Directors, which included approval by all of the Independent Directors.

Affiliated Entities

Certain executive officers of the Company and members of the immediate family of Charles B. Lebovitz collectively have a significant but non-controlling interest in EMJ Corporation, a major national construction company that built substantially all of the properties developed by the Company and was building seven of the Company's projects under construction as of December 31, 2010, including renovations and expansions. Such interests, which collectively aggregate to 43.09% of the total equity interests in the construction company, are held by the following individuals: Charles B. Lebovitz; the four children of Charles B. Lebovitz (Stephen D. Lebovitz, Michael I. Lebovitz, Alan L. Lebovitz and Beth Lebovitz-Backer); and Ben S. Landress. Prior to May 1, 2010, Charles B. Lebovitz also served a director of this construction company and received substantial director fees in such capacity. Effective May 1, 2010, Charles B. Lebovitz resigned as a director of the construction company. The majority interest in the construction company is held by members of the construction company's senior management and a majority of the construction company's directors are members of its senior management, none of whom are affiliated (as significant shareholders, directors or officers) with CBL's Predecessor or the Company.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had seven active contracts (including contracts with respect to each of the construction properties) with such construction company having an initial aggregate value of approximately \$55.9 million, of which the Company's initial obligation was \$54.4 million (the portion of such amount that is the Company's obligation as of December 31, 2010 is \$14.3 million and the obligation of its third party partners is \$1.2 million). During fiscal year 2010, the Company paid an aggregate of approximately \$36.9 million to this construction company (the portion of such amount that represented the Company's obligations was \$34.2 million and the balance was advanced by the Company on behalf of its third party partners). Gross revenues to the construction company from its contracts with the Company in 2010 represented less than 14% of the 2010 aggregate gross revenues of the construction company.

The Company's Audit Committee reviews the relationship between the Company and the referenced construction company pursuant to procedures approved by the Independent Directors in accordance with the Bylaws upon their establishment in November 1994. These procedures include an ongoing review by the Company's independent auditors of a cross section of the Company's contracts with the referenced construction company for, among other things, the provisions for allocation of cost savings between owner and contractor.

During 2007, the construction company negotiated a new lease agreement with the Company with respect to office space in a new office building adjacent to the Company's existing office building. The construction company relocated its office facilities to this new space, pursuant to the terms of the new lease, effective February 1, 2008. This move replaced the office space subject to the arrangement that was in effect during the first month of 2008 and in prior years with a new lease for 41,964 square feet of space in the new building. The aggregate of all payments made (or to be made) by the construction company to the Company from January 1, 2010 through the end of the contract term of the new lease (July 31, 2019) is \$9,446,000 (based on estimates of tenant cost recoveries currently in effect), with such payments during fiscal 2010 having totaled \$848,000. This lease was approved by the Company's Independent Directors in accordance with the Bylaws. In connection with such approval, as with the prior lease, the Independent Directors considered management's opinion that, at the time such lease was entered into, it provided for rental payments at market rates and terms.

On March 11, 2010, The Promenade D'Iberville, LLC, a subsidiary of the Company, filed a lawsuit in the Circuit Court of Harrison County, Mississippi, against multiple defendants, including this construction company, seeking damages for, among other things, alleged property damage arising out of work on a shopping center development in

D'Iberville, Mississippi. The construction company has filed an answer and counterclaim denying liability and seeking to recover from the subsidiary the retainage of approximately \$320,000 allegedly owed under the construction contract. The case is at the discovery stage. Charles B. Lebovitz, Chairman of the Board of the Company, resigned as a director of the construction company effective May 1, 2010, and no other CBL officer or director currently serves as a director or officer of this construction company.

100 SC Partners, a limited partnership that owns aircraft used by the personnel of the Company and the construction company, is beneficially owned as follows: 560, Inc., which holds a 1% interest as the sole general partner of the partnership, is wholly owned by Charles B. Lebovitz; CBL's Predecessor holds a 98% limited partner interest and the construction company owns a 1% limited partner interest. 560, Inc. also owns a fractional interest in another aircraft used by the personnel of the Company. Each partner contributes equally to fixed costs and shares variable costs through an hourly charge based on usage. The Company reimburses the partnership for costs on an hourly basis associated with use of the aircraft relating to the business of the Company. During fiscal year 2010, the Company paid approximately \$1.5 million as reimbursement for operating expenses pursuant to such arrangement, with the amount of such reimbursement being previously approved by the Company's Independent Directors in accordance with the Bylaws. As described above, certain individuals who are deemed to be "related persons" with respect to the Company in accordance with applicable SEC rules collectively own 100% of CBL's Predecessor and own 43.09% of the equity interests in the construction company.

Certain Retail Leases

Certain Company officers and employees are partners in partnerships that lease 29 spaces representing approximately 25,366 square feet in 22 of the Company's malls as tenants. Such spaces are operated as food service and entertainment establishments. The aggregate of all payments made (or to be made) by such entities to the Company from January 1, 2010 through the end of the contract term of each of the relevant leases (based on estimates of tenant cost recoveries currently in effect) is \$17.3 million, with such payments during fiscal 2010 having totaled \$2.0 million. The following table sets forth information concerning the interest of each individual who served as an executive officer of the Company during any portion of 2010 and who participates in any of these partnerships, to the extent that the value of such officer's pro-rata interest in the aggregate lease payments described above exceeds \$120,000:

Officer's Name and Title	Number of Partnerships in Which The Officer Participates	Pro-Rata Interest in Total Lease Payments Based on Officer's Aggregate Ownership Interest(1)
Charles B. Lebovitz Chairman of the Board of Directors	9	\$ 161,000
John N. Foy Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary	4	253,000
Stephen D. Lebovitz Director, President and Chief Executive Officer	2	91,000
Augustus N. Stephas Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	9	1,396,000

Edgar Filing: CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES INC - Form DEF 14A

Ben S. Landress Executive Vice President – Management	4	252,000
Michael I. Lebovitz Executive Vice President – Development and Administration	9	1,142,000
Farzana K. Mitchell Executive Vice President – Finance	2	473,000
Mark D. Mancuso Senior Vice President —Development	7	1,142,000
Jerry L. Sink Senior Vice President – Mall Management	3	568,000
Charles W.A. Willett, Jr. Senior Vice President – Real Estate Finance	4	901,000

⁽¹⁾ Excludes any future percentage rents based on sales levels which are not presently determinable.

Each of these leases has been approved at the time that they were entered into by the Independent Directors in accordance with the Bylaws. In connection with such approvals, the Independent Directors considered management's opinion that, at the time each of these leases were entered into, they provided for rental payments at market rates and terms.

Certain Employment Relationships

Michael I. Lebovitz and Alan L. Lebovitz, sons of Charles B. Lebovitz, are employed by the Company as Executive Vice President – Development and Administration and Senior Vice President – Asset Management, respectively, and Daniel M. Backer, the son-in-law of Charles B. Lebovitz, also is employed by the Company. Each receives compensation from the Company commensurate with his level of experience and other employees having similar responsibilities, and based upon an annual review of his individual performance conducted in the same manner described above for all Company officers. During 2010, the aggregate compensation paid by the Company to each of these individuals (including both cash compensation and the grant date fair value of equity awards granted during the year calculated in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ASC Topic 718) was as follows: Michael I. Lebovitz – \$575,358, Alan L. Lebovitz – \$451,598 and Daniel M. Backer – \$137,201. Each also is eligible for equity awards under the Company's Stock Incentive Plan and the Company's insurance and other employee benefit programs on the same basis as other, similarly situated employees. The compensation of both Michael I. Lebovitz and Alan L. Lebovitz is subject to approval by the Compensation Committee in connection with that Committee's approval of the compensation of all officers of the Company of the level of vice president or higher, and the compensation approval procedures set forth in Section I(E) of the Company's Code of Business Conduct.

Other

Charles B. Lebovitz is currently an advisory director of First Tennessee Bank, N.A., Chattanooga, Tennessee ("First Tennessee"). The Company is currently maintaining a \$105 million line of credit from a group of banks led by First Tennessee that matures in 2012. There was approximately \$4.2 million outstanding on this line of credit as of December 31, 2010. First Tennessee also provides certain cash management services to the Company. In the future, the Company or the Operating Partnership may, in the ordinary course of business, engage in other transactions with First Tennessee on competitive terms. All such transactions have been, and will continue to be, approved by the Company's Board of Directors.

John N. Foy served as an advisory director of Regions Bank of Tennessee ("Regions") until Regions discontinued its advisory board during the first quarter of 2009. The Company is currently maintaining a secured \$14.9 million line of credit to be used only for Letters of Credit from Regions that matures in 2011. There was approximately \$11.2 million of Letters of Credit drawn on this line of credit as of December 31, 2010. Regions is a 26.2% participant in the First Tennessee line of credit referred to in the immediately preceding paragraph and provides certain cash management services to the Company. In the future, the Company or the Operating Partnership may, in the ordinary course of business, engage in other transactions with Regions on competitive terms. All such transactions have been, and will continue to be, approved by the Company's Board of Directors.

PROPOSAL 2

RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

The firm of Deloitte & Touche LLP ("Deloitte & Touche") has served as the independent auditors for the Company since May 7, 2002, and the Audit Committee has recommended, subject to ratification by the stockholders, that Deloitte & Touche serve as the Company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011.

Independent Registered Public Accountants' Fees and Services

The Company was billed for professional services provided during fiscal years 2009 and 2010 by Deloitte & Touche in the amounts set forth in the following table.

	2009	2010
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 752,900	\$ 768,000
Audit-Related Fees (2)	130,000	204,000
Tax Fees – Compliance (3)	212,500	212,500
Tax Fees – Consulting (4)	696,367	313,130
Total	\$ 1,791,767	\$1,497,630

- (1) Consists of fees billed for professional services in connection with the audit of the Company's annual financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, the audit of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 and 2010, reviews of the financial statements included in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q during the 2009 and 2010 fiscal years, comfort letters and other services normally provided by the independent auditor in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.
- (2) Consists of fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's consolidated financial statements and are not reported under "Audit Fees". These services include audits of the Company's subsidiaries pursuant to requirements of certain loan agreements, joint venture agreements and ground lease agreements.
- (3) Consists of fees billed for professional services for assistance regarding federal and state tax compliance.
- (4) Consists of fees billed for professional services for tax advice and tax planning, which consists of tax services related to joint ventures and tax planning.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has considered the services rendered by Deloitte & Touche for services other than the audit of the Company's financial statements and has determined that the provision of these services is compatible with maintaining the independence of Deloitte & Touche.

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy that it is required to approve all services (audit and/or non-audit) to be performed by the independent auditor to assure that the provision of such services does not impair such auditor's independence. All services, engagement terms, conditions and fees, as well as changes in such terms, conditions and fees, must be approved by the Audit Committee in advance. The Audit Committee will annually review and approve services that may be provided by the independent auditor during the next year and will revise the list of approved services from time to time based on subsequent determinations. The Audit Committee believes that the independent auditor can provide tax services to the Company such as tax compliance, tax planning and tax advice without impairing such auditor's independence and that such tax services do not constitute prohibited services pursuant to SEC

and/or NYSE rules. The authority to approve services may be delegated by the Audit Committee to one or more of its members including the Chairman of the Audit Committee, but may not be delegated to management. If authority to approve services has been delegated to an Audit Committee member, any such approval of services must be reported to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The Audit Committee has not relied on the de minimis exception under applicable SEC rules in approving any of the non-audit fees described above.

Recommendation and Vote Necessary to Approve the Proposal

The Board of Directors, in concurrence with the Audit Committee, proposes and recommends that the stockholders ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche to serve as the independent auditors for the Company's fiscal year ending December 31, 2011. Unless otherwise directed by the stockholders, proxies will be voted for approval of the selection of Deloitte & Touche to serve as the Company's independent auditors for the 2011 fiscal year. A representative of Deloitte & Touche will attend the Annual Meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement and to respond to appropriate questions.

The ratification of the selection of Deloitte & Touche as the Company's independent auditors for the 2011 fiscal year must be approved by a majority of the shares of Common Stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR"
THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF
DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY'S
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS FOR 2011

PROPOSAL 3

ADVISORY VOTE RELATING TO EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Description of Advisory Vote

As required by Section 14A of the Exchange Act, we are including a proposal for our stockholders to vote to approve, on a nonbinding, advisory basis, the compensation of our Named Executive Officers as described in the Executive Compensation section comprising pages 23 through 38 of this proxy statement (including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis as well as the related compensation tables and narrative discussions set forth in the Executive Compensation section).

We do not have any employees other than the Company's statutory officers. Our officers, including the Named Executive Officers, receive all of their compensation in their capacity as employees of the Management Company, which also employs all of the other personnel engaged in the operation of our business. None of our Named Executive Officers has an employment agreement with the Company and we do not pay them salaries or bonuses or provide them other compensation or benefits, except for the grants of shares under our Stock Incentive Plan as described above in the Executive Compensation section of this proxy statement.

As described in greater detail in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above, the Compensation Committee's objectives in administering our executive compensation programs are to ensure that pay levels and incentive compensation are competitive in attracting and retaining the best personnel, properly linked to the Company's performance, and simple in design. This advisory vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our Named Executive Officers and the compensation philosophy, policies, and practices described in this proxy statement.

Stockholder Resolution

Under this Proposal 3, stockholders have the opportunity to vote for, against, or abstain from voting with respect to the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Named Executive Officers of CBL & Associates Properties, Inc. (the "Company"), as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of SEC Regulation S-K in the Executive Compensation section of the Company's proxy statement for its 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis as well as the related compensation tables and narrative discussions set forth therein, is hereby approved by the Company's stockholders on a nonbinding, advisory basis.

Recommendation and Vote Necessary to Approve the Advisory Proposal; Advisory Nature

Approval of the stockholder resolution that is the subject of this Proposal 3 will require the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of our Common Stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting. The stockholder vote on this proposal is advisory and nonbinding in nature, serves only as a recommendation to our Compensation Committee and Board of Directors, and will not overrule any decisions previously made by the Company, the Compensation Committee or the Board of Directors with respect to executive compensation, nor will it create any duty for the Company, the Compensation Committee or the Board of Directors to take any action in response to the outcome of the vote. Our Board has not yet determined the frequency with which we will hold the stockholder advisory vote on Named Executive Officer compensation required by Section 14A of the Exchange Act or when the next such stockholder advisory vote on named executive officer compensation will occur. Director compensation disclosed in this proxy statement is not subject to or covered by this advisory vote.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" THE APPROVAL OF THE FOREGOING ADVISORY RESOLUTION RELATING TO EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

PROPOSAL 4

ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION ADVISORY VOTES

Description of Advisory Vote

As required by Section 14A of the Exchange Act, we are including a proposal for our stockholders to vote to approve, on a nonbinding, advisory basis, the frequency with which they wish to have a nonbinding, advisory vote on the compensation paid to our Named Executive Officers – in other words, how often a proposal similar to this year's Proposal 3 will be included in the matters to be voted on at our future annual meetings. The choices available under Section 14A of the Exchange Act are "every one year," "every two years" or "every three years." Section 14A of the Exchange Act also requires that, at least once every six years, we hold a separate advisory vote similar to this Proposal 4 on whether our stockholders prefer to hold advisory votes on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers every one, two or three years.

Recommendation and Vote Necessary to Approve the Proposal; Advisory Nature

Under the Company's Bylaws, the approval of a majority of the shares of our Common Stock present or represented at the Annual Meeting is required for the non-binding approval by stockholders of the selection of the "one year," "two year" or "three year" alternatives for holding future advisory votes on Named Executive Officer compensation under this Proposal 4. Due to the nature of this proposal, however, if none of the alternatives (one year, two years or three years) receive a majority vote we will consider the highest number of votes cast by stockholders to be the frequency that has been selected on an advisory basis. However, because this vote is advisory and not binding on the Board of Directors or the Company in any way, the Board may decide that it is in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders to hold future advisory votes on Named Executive Officer compensation more or less frequently than the option approved by our stockholders. The Board of Directors has not made a recommendation on this Proposal 4 because it has decided to consider the views of the Company's stockholders before making a determination. Please mark your proxy card to indicate your preference on this Proposal 4, or your abstention if you wish to abstain.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS MAKES NO RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING YOUR VOTE ON THE FOREGOING PROPOSAL 4 REGARDING THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION ADVISORY VOTES

PROPOSAL 5

BOARD PROPOSAL TO AMEND THE COMPANY'S CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION TO PROVIDE FOR THE DECLASSIFICATION OF OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Background and Reasons for the Proposed Amendment

Our Board of Directors is currently divided into three classes. Each director is elected to serve for a three-year term and until his or her successor is duly elected and qualifies. The terms of one such class of three directors expire at this year's Annual Meeting, while the terms of the other two classes expire at our 2012 and 2013 annual meetings of stockholders, respectively.

The Board of Directors is recommending that our stockholders approve an amendment to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation to provide for the annual election of all directors, while also accomplishing such declassification of our Board in a manner that does not affect the unexpired terms of previously elected directors. A similar proposal brought by a stockholder received the support of a significant majority the votes cast by stockholders at the Company's past two annual meetings.

In response to this proposal, our Board has previously noted its belief that our current classified board structure promotes greater continuity, stability and knowledge of the Company's business affairs and financial strategies by ensuring that a majority of the directors at any given time will have prior experience as directors of the Company, and that having a classified board structure enhances the Board's ability to negotiate the best results for the stockholders in a takeover situation and reduces the Company's vulnerability to certain unfriendly or unsolicited takeover tactics. These beliefs have not changed. On the other hand, our Board also recognizes that an increasing number of investors have come to believe classified boards reduce the accountability of directors because they limit the ability of stockholders to evaluate and elect all directors on an annual basis. Accordingly, in keeping with its commitment to good corporate governance, the Board requested this year that our Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee again consider the various advantages and disadvantages of maintaining a classified Board, particularly in light of evolving corporate governance practices and investor sentiment. Based upon this analysis and the recommendation of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, our Board has determined that an amendment to our Certificate of Incorporation to provide for the annual election of all directors, in a manner that does not affect the unexpired terms of previously elected directors, is in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders at this time.

Proposed Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation

The proposed amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation provides for annual elections to be phased in over time, so that the current terms of our directors are not affected. This means that:

- each of the three directors elected at this year's Annual Meeting will be elected for a three-year term that expires at our annual meeting of stockholders in 2014;
- our three current directors whose terms expire at the 2012 annual meeting will stand for election to a one-year term at our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders; and
- our three current directors whose terms expire at the 2013 annual meeting will continue to serve until the expiration of their term at the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders and, together with the directors who were elected at the 2012 annual meeting, will stand for election at the 2013 annual meeting.

Thereafter, beginning with the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, all directors will be elected annually. In order to effect this change, our Board of Directors recommends that our stockholders amend Section (c) of Article VI of the Company's Certificate of Incorporation by deleting that section in its entirety and replacing it with the following:

(c) The directors, other than those who may be elected by the holders of any series of Preferred Stock and subject to the phasing-in process described below, shall be elected at every annual meeting of stockholders, by a plurality vote of all votes cast at such meeting, to hold office for a term expiring at the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. The class of directors whose current term expires at the Corporation's annual meeting of stockholders in 2012 shall hold office until that term expires and the successors to that class of directors shall be elected for a one year term to hold office until the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are duly elected and shall qualify. The class of directors

whose current term expires at the Corporation's annual meeting of stockholders in 2013 shall hold office until that term expires and the successors to that class of directors, together with directors elected at the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, shall be elected for a one year term to hold office until the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are duly elected and shall qualify. The class of directors elected at the Corporation's annual meeting of stockholders in 2011 whose term expires at the annual meeting of stockholders in 2014 shall hold office until that term expires and the successors to that class of directors, together with all other directors, shall be elected for a one year term to hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are duly elected and shall qualify, beginning with the Corporation's annual meeting of stockholders in 2014.

If our stockholders vote to approve this proposed amendment, it will become effective upon the filing of a Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation with the Delaware Secretary of State, which we intend to do promptly following the Annual Meeting if this Proposal 5 is approved. In addition, if this proposed amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation is approved, our Board of Directors will adopt conforming amendments to those provisions of Article III of the Company's Bylaws which provide for a classified board. Such amendments would be effective prior to the Company's 2012 annual meeting of stockholders.

Recommendation and Vote Necessary to Approve the Proposal

As set forth in Section (f) of Article VI of the Company's Certificate of Incorporation, approval and adoption of the proposed amendment that is the subject of this Proposal 5 requires the affirmative vote of the holders of Sixty-six and two-thirds percent (66-2/3%) of the Company's issued and outstanding shares of Common Stock, voting as a class. Since only shares of the Company's Common Stock qualify as "Voting Stock" entitled to vote generally in the election of directors under the Certificate of Incorporation, and since the proposed amendment does not affect the election of any directors who may be elected by the holders of any series of Preferred Stock, holders of the Company's issued and outstanding shares of Preferred Stock are not entitled to vote on the proposed amendment under the terms of the Certificate of Incorporation or applicable Delaware law.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" APPROVAL OF THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION TO PROVIDE FOR THE DECLASSIFICATION OF OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS

PROPOSAL 6: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL REGARDING MAJORITY VOTING IN THE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Company has been informed that Gerald R. Armstrong, 910 Sixteenth Street, No. 412, Denver, Colorado 80202-2917 (the "Proponent"), the owner of 1,567 shares of Common Stock, intends to introduce at the Annual Meeting the following resolution. If properly presented, this proposal will be voted on at the Annual Meeting. The Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote AGAINST this stockholder proposal. Voting on this matter would serve only as an advisory vote for the Board of Directors to reconsider the plurality voting requirement for election of directors currently prescribed by the Company's governing documents. In accordance with rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the text of the Proponent's resolution and his supporting statement are set forth below exactly as submitted by him. The Board of Directors' statement in opposition to the Proponent's proposal immediately follows. The Company is not responsible for the contents of the Proponent's resolution or his supporting statement.

Stockholder Proposal—Majority Voting in the Election of Directors

That the shareholders of CBL & ASSOCIATES PROPERTIES, INC. request its Board of Directors to adopt a by-law amendment specifying that the election of our Directors shall be decided by a majority of the votes cast, with a plurality vote standard used only in those director elections when the number of nominees exceeds the number to be elected.

Supporting Statement

The proponent of this proposal has introduced proposals to declassify terms of directors from three years to one year in the past two annual meetings. In the last meeting, 120,421,726 shares (94.68% of the vote) worth \$1,880,987,360.12 on the meeting date, were voted in its favor. Our Board of Directors has not taken any action on the matter at the time this proposal is submitted (11/19/2010).

In the last meeting, 37,663,167 shares (34.11% of the vote) were withheld from the president, Stephen Lebovitz.

Our proxy statement for last year's annual meeting discloses that our corporation requires a majority vote to ratify the appointment of the independent accountants and majority vote to recommend the Board declassify its terms to one year although it requires only a "plurality vote" to elect directors. In the eyes of the proponent, this is an imbalance for the voting rights of shareholders.

The proponent believes that in order to provide shareholders a meaningful role in director elections, CBL's director election standard should be changed to a "majority" vote standard. This standard would require a nominee to receive a majority of the votes cast in order to be elected, a standard that is well-suited for the vast majority of director elections in which only board nominated candidates are being voted on. The current members of the board have been meeting this standard.

The right to having a significant meaning in "abstaining" or voting "against" would be established and would create a voting standard for nominees which could come into place if their performance should diminish.

Strong shareholder support for the "majority vote" standard in director elections is apparent as a growing number of corporations have adopted it--Intel, Dell, Motorola, Texas Instruments, Wal-Mart, Safeway, Home Depot, Gannett, Marathon Oil, to name just a few.

The Council of Institutional Investors (www.cii.org), whose members had \$3 trillion invested, recommends the adoption of proposals of this topic and had notified our nation's largest corporations of its recommendations. Leading

proxy advisory firms have also recommended a "majority" voting standard.

If you agree, please join the proponent in voting "FOR" this proposal.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST THE FOREGOING PROPOSAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

The Board believes that the method provided by the Delaware General Corporation Law under which our Company is incorporated, that directors shall be elected by a plurality of the votes of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at a meeting and entitled to vote on the election of directors unless otherwise specified in a company's certificate of incorporation or bylaws, is fair and impartial and serves the best interests of the Company's stockholders. Our Board is comprised of highly qualified directors from diverse backgrounds, a majority of whom are "independent" within the meaning of Securities and Exchange Commission rules and NYSE listing standards. Since the Company's stockholders have a history of electing highly qualified, experienced and competent directors under the current plurality standard, a change in the voting requirement would not result in a more effective Board of Directors.

Our Board believes that adherence to sound corporate governance policies and practices is important in ensuring that the Company is governed and managed with the highest standards of responsibility, ethics and integrity and in the best interests of our stockholders. The Company currently elects its directors by a plurality standard, meaning that the nominees who receive the most affirmative votes are elected to the Board. This method of voting has served the Company well since the Company's inception in 1993 and, in fact, in no instance has plurality voting prevented our stockholders from either electing the directors they wanted to elect or otherwise expressing their dissatisfaction with any particular director or the Board as a whole. The plurality standard is also the standard that governs many other public companies that are incorporated in Delaware and is the predominant method currently in use among public companies in the United States.

The Proponent has stated that one of his reasons for offering this proposal is the Company's inaction on his prior proposal to declassify our Board of Directors. We point out that, for the reasons discussed in more detail under Proposal 5 above, our Board of Directors has unanimously approved, and recommended to our stockholders for their approval, an amendment to our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to eliminate the classification of our Board and provide for the annual election of all directors, with the implementation of this declassification to be over a three-year period and in a manner that will not affect the unexpired terms of any of our previously-elected directors. Our Board believes, as discussed below, that requiring all directors to be re-nominated and stand for election on an annual basis will provide a sufficient opportunity for our Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, and the Board, to consider and respond to any hypothetical instance in which a director might fail to receive the approval of a majority of votes cast by our stockholders in future elections.

In addition to the reasons set forth above, our Board believes it would not be in the best interests of the Company or our stockholders to change the method by which directors are elected at this time for the following additional reasons:

• This proposal is unnecessary to the achievement of sou