

NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND
Form N-CSRS
November 08, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED
MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-6383

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund
(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Kevin J. McCarthy
Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: February 28

Date of reporting period: August 31, 2013

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

Life is Complex

Nuveen makes things e-simple.

It only takes a minute to sign up for e-Reports. Once enrolled, you'll receive an e-mail as soon as your Nuveen Investments Fund information is ready—no more waiting for delivery by regular mail. Just click on the link within the e-mail to see the report and save it on your computer if you wish.

Free e-Reports right to your e-mail!

www.investordelivery.com

If you receive your Nuveen Fund dividends and statements from your financial advisor or brokerage account.

or

www.nuveen.com/accountaccess

If you receive your Nuveen Fund dividends and statements directly from Nuveen.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Chairman’s Letter to Shareholders | 4 |
| Portfolio Managers’ Comments | 5 |
| Fund Leverage | 9 |
| Common Share Information | 11 |
| Risk Considerations | 13 |
| Performance Overview and Holding Summaries | 14 |
| Portfolios of Investments | 18 |
| Statement of Assets and Liabilities | 48 |
| Statement of Operations | 49 |
| Statement of Changes in Net Assets | 50 |
| Statement of Cash Flows | 52 |
| Financial Highlights | 54 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | 60 |
| Annual Investment Agreement Approval Process | 74 |
| Reinvest Automatically, Easily and Conveniently | 82 |
| Glossary of Terms Used in this Report | 83 |
| Additional Fund Information | 87 |

Nuveen Investments 3

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders

Dear Shareholders,

I am pleased to have this opportunity to introduce myself to you as the new independent chairman of the Nuveen Fund Board, effective July 1, 2013. I am honored to have been selected as chairman, with its primary responsibility to serve the interests of the Nuveen Fund shareholders. My predecessor, Robert Bremner, was the first independent director to serve as chairman of the Board and I, and my fellow Board members, plan to continue his legacy of strong independent oversight of your funds.

The global economy has hit major turning points over the last several months to a year. The developed world is gradually recovering from their financial crisis while the emerging markets appear to be struggling with the downshift of China's growth potential. Japan is entering a new era of growth after decades of economic stagnation and many of the Eurozone nations appear to be exiting their recession. Despite the positive events, there are still potential risks. Middle East tensions, rising oil prices, defaults in Europe and fallout from the financial stress in emerging markets could all reverse the recent progress in the global economy.

On the domestic front, the U.S. economy is experiencing sustainable slow growth. Corporate fundamentals are strong as earnings per share and corporate cash are at the highest level in two decades. Unemployment is trending down and the housing market has experienced a rebound, each assisting the positive economic scenario. However, there are some issues to be watched. Interest rates are expected to increase but significant uncertainty about the timing remains. Partisan politics in Washington D.C. with their troublesome outcome add to the uncertainties that could cause problems for the economy going forward.

In the near term, governments are focused on economic recovery and the growth of their economies, which could lead to an environment of attractive investment opportunities. Over the long term, the uncertainties mentioned earlier could hinder the potential growth. Because of this, Nuveen's investment management teams work hard to balance return and risk with a range of investment strategies. I encourage you to read the following commentary on the management of your fund.

On behalf of the other members of the Nuveen Fund Board, we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead.

Sincerely,

William J. Schneider
Chairman of the Nuveen Fund Board
October 21, 2013

Portfolio Managers' Comments

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund (NAZ)
 Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (NTX)
 Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund (NUM)
 Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund (NUO)

These Funds feature management by Nuveen Asset Management, LLC, an affiliate of Nuveen Investments. Portfolio managers Michael S. Hamilton and Daniel J. Close, CFA, discuss key investment strategies and the six-month performance of the Nuveen Arizona, Michigan, Ohio and Texas Funds. Michael assumed portfolio management responsibility for NAZ in 2011 and Dan has managed NTX, NUM and NUO since 2007.

FUND REORGANIZATIONS

Effective before the opening of business on April 8, 2013, certain Arizona Funds (the Acquired Funds) were reorganized into one, larger Arizona Fund included in this report (the Acquiring Fund) as follows:

| Acquired Funds | Symbol | Acquiring Fund | Symbol |
|--|--------|--|--------|
| Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund | NFZ | Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund | NAZ |
| Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 | NKR | | |
| Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 | NXE | | |

Effective before the opening of business on April 8, 2013, certain Ohio Funds (the Acquired Funds) were reorganized into one, larger Ohio Fund included in this report (the Acquiring Fund) as follows:

| Acquired Funds | Symbol | Acquiring Fund | Symbol |
|---|--------|---|--------|
| Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund | NXI | Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund | NUO |
| Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 | NBJ | | |
| Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 | NVJ | | |

Upon the closing of the reorganizations, the Acquired Funds transferred their assets to the Acquiring Funds in exchange for common and preferred shares of the Acquiring Funds and the assumption by the Acquiring Funds of the liabilities of the Acquired Funds. The Acquired Funds were then liquidated, dissolved, and terminated in accordance with their Declaration of Trust. Shareholders of the Acquired Funds became shareholders of the Acquiring Funds. Holders of common shares of the Acquired Funds received newly issued common shares of the Acquiring Funds, the aggregate net asset value of which was equal to the aggregate net asset value of the common shares of the Acquired Funds held immediately prior to the reorganizations (including for this purpose fractional Acquiring Funds shares to which shareholders would be entitled). Fractional shares were sold on the open market, and shareholders received

Certain statements in this report are forward-looking statements. Discussions of specific investments are for illustration only and are not intended as recommendations of individual investments. The forward-looking statements and other views expressed herein are those of the portfolio managers as of the date of this report. Actual future results or occurrences may differ significantly from those anticipated in

any forward-looking statements, and the views expressed herein are subject to change at any time, due to numerous market and other factors. The Funds disclaim any obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements or views expressed herein.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

Portfolio Managers' Comments (continued)

cash in lieu of such fractional shares. Holders of preferred shares of the Acquired Funds received on a one-for-one basis newly issued preferred shares of the Acquiring Funds, in exchange for their preferred shares of the Acquired Funds held immediately prior to the reorganizations.

In conjunction with the reorganizations, a change-of-domicile reorganization was approved to convert NAZ and NUO from Minnesota corporations to Massachusetts business trusts. As a result, on April 8, 2013, the Funds' names were changed to Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund and Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund. The Funds' tickers remained unchanged.

What key strategies were used to manage the Arizona, Michigan, Ohio and Texas Funds during the six-month reporting period ended August 31, 2013?

During this reporting period, uncertainty about the next step for the Federal Reserve's quantitative easing program and the potential impact on the economy and financial markets led to increased market volatility. Ongoing political debate over federal spending and headline credit stories involving Detroit and Puerto Rico also contributed to an unsettled environment and prompted an increase in shareholder outflows for the general municipal market. Given this backdrop, municipal bond prices generally declined during this period, while the yield curve steepened. During this time, we continued to take a bottom-up approach to discovering sectors that appeared undervalued as well as individual credits that had the potential to perform well over the long term and helped us keep our Funds fully invested.

NAZ found value in a number of sectors, including health care, where we purchased bonds for the Yavapai County Regional Medical Center in Prescott and the University Medical Center in Tucson. We also added to the Fund's existing positions across the yield curve. In NTX, our purchases focused on diversified areas of the market, including general obligation (GO) bonds, health care, lower rated charter schools, industrial development revenue bonds and dedicated tax credits. We also participated in the \$2 billion offering of bonds issued for the Grand Parkway in Houston, which when complete, will be the longest beltway in the U.S. NUM found value in diversified areas of the market; which includes GO bonds, higher education, tax increment credits, single-A rated health care and an airport issue. We also exchanged certain Michigan tobacco securities for others that better fit our management objectives. These trades enabled us to diversify more equally between the two issues (2007 and 2008) of tobacco bonds available in the Michigan municipal marketplace. In NUO, we purchased four health care issues with ratings that ranged from single-A to BB in addition to GOs, higher education, specialty tax and utilities credits. The Fund also bought bonds issued for the Ohio Turnpike and for JobsOhio; the JobsOhio deal is the state's private, non-profit economic development agency. The proceeds from these bonds were used to lease Ohio's wholesale liquor franchise for a term of 25 years, while the state's Division of Liquor Control continues to operate and manage the liquor business. Profits from the franchise, which are expected to total approximately \$100 million annually, will be used to fund JobsOhio's job creation efforts.

In general, our focus in NAZ was on maintaining the Fund's duration at its target level by adding to positions throughout the curve. Other than a small purchase of Puerto Rico bonds (see the Puerto Rico discussion later in this report), all of NAZ's purchases during this reporting period were made in the Arizona market. In NTX, NUM and NUO we generally purchased bonds with intermediate and longer maturities in order to maintain the Fund's duration within targeted objectives and provide protection for its duration and yield curve positioning. All of our purchases in NTX involved instate paper, bought in both the primary and secondary markets. Despite a substantial decline in Michigan issuance during this period, partially due to higher borrowing costs tied to the Detroit bankruptcy filing, all of our purchases in NUM involved in-state paper, bought largely in the secondary market. NUO purchased Ohio paper in both the primary and secondary markets.

6 Nuveen Investments

Activity during this reporting period was driven primarily by the reinvestment of proceeds from called and matured bonds, which was aimed at keeping the Funds fully invested and supporting their income streams. During the first part of this reporting period, we saw an increased number of current bond calls resulting from a growth in refinancings, which provided a meaningful source of liquidity. In the latter months of this period, as interest rates rose, refinancing activity declined. NAZ also took advantage of an opportunity to sell holdings of auction rate student loan bonds, which had been essentially illiquid since the financial crisis that began in 2008. These bonds were sold at an attractive price and the proceeds redeployed into credits offering higher yields. NTX, NUM and NUO also generated cash through a few small sales. Overall, however, selling was minimal.

As of August 31, 2013, all the Funds continued to use inverse floating rate securities. We employ inverse floaters for a variety of reasons, including duration management, income enhancement and total return enhancement. During this period, NUO found it advantageous to add a new inverse floating rate trust funded with paper issued by JobsOhio.

How did the Funds perform during the six-month reporting period ended August 31, 2013?

The tables in each Fund's Performance Overview and Holding Summaries section of this report provide the Funds' returns for the six-month, one-year, five-year and ten-year periods ended August 31, 2013. Each Fund's returns are compared with performance of a corresponding market index and Lipper classification average.

For the six months ended August 31, 2013, the cumulative returns on common share net asset value (NAV) for NAZ, NUM, NUO and NTX, underperformed the returns for their respective state's S&P Municipal Bond Index as well as the national S&P Municipal Bond Index. For the same period, NAZ and NTX exceeded the average return for the Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average. NUM exceeded the average return for the Lipper Michigan Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average, while NUO trailed the average return for the Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average. Shareholders should note that the performance of the Lipper Other States classification represents the overall average of returns for funds from ten states with a wide variety of municipal market conditions, making direct comparisons less meaningful.

Key management factors that influenced the Funds' returns included duration and yield curve positioning, credit exposure and sector allocation. In addition, the use of regulatory leverage was an important factor affecting the Funds' performance. Leverage is discussed in more detail later in this report.

As interest rates rose and the yield curve steepened, municipal bonds with shorter maturities generally outperformed those with longer maturities. Overall, credits at the shortest end of the municipal yield curve posted the best returns during this reporting period, while bonds at the longest end produced the weakest results. NAZ, NTX, NUM and NUO were overweighted in the longer segments of the curve that underperformed and NAZ also was underweighted in the shorter parts of the curve that outperformed relative to the market. As a result, duration and yield curve positioning was the major factor detracting from the Funds' performance.

Credit exposure also factored into the Funds' performance during these six months, as credit spreads, or the difference in yield spreads between U.S. Treasury securities and comparable investments such as municipal bonds, began to widen and higher quality bonds generally outperformed lower quality bonds. In general, these Funds benefited from their exposure to the higher rated categories. They also were generally underweighted in bonds rated single-B, which lessened the impact of this sector's underperformance.

After underperforming for many months, pre-refunded bonds, which are often backed by U.S. Treasury securities, were among the best performing market segments. The outperformance of these bonds can be attributed primarily to their shorter effective maturities and higher credit quality. As of August 31, 2013, NTX benefited from its overweighting in

Portfolio Managers' Comments (continued)

pre-refunded bonds, while NAZ held a significantly smaller position in these credits. NUM had a significantly heavier weighting of pre-refunded bonds than NUO. GO credits and housing bonds also typically outperformed the general municipal market.

In contrast, revenue bonds as a whole underperformed the municipal market. Among the revenue sectors that lagged municipal market performance by the widest margins for this reporting period were industrial development revenue (IDR), health care (including hospitals), water and sewer, and transportation. NUM, in particular, was overexposed to water and sewer bonds relative to the Michigan index, which had a negative impact on its performance. Although NUO was overweighted in health care, the specific health care bonds held by this Fund performed well, making a positive relative contribution to NUO's return. Tobacco credits backed by the 1998 master tobacco settlement agreement also were among the poorest performing market sectors, due in part to their longer effective durations. All the Funds held positions in tobacco bonds.

Shareholders should be aware of issues impacting the Funds' Puerto Rico holdings. In 2012, Moody's downgraded Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation (COFINA) bonds to Aa3 from Aa2 and Puerto Rico GO bonds to Baa3 from Baa1. These downgrades were based on Puerto Rico's ongoing economic problems and, in the case of the COFINA bonds, the impact of these problems on the projected growth of sales tax revenues. However, the COFINA bonds were able to maintain a higher credit rating than the GOs because, unlike the revenue streams supporting some Puerto Rican issues, the sales taxes supporting the COFINA bonds cannot be diverted and used to support Puerto Rico's GO bonds. For the reporting period ended August 31, 2013, Puerto Rico paper generally underperformed the municipal market as a whole. NTX holds approximately 1% of its portfolio in Puerto Rico tobacco bonds. Both NUM and NUO have limited exposure (approximately 2% in each Fund) to Puerto Rico bonds, the majority of which are the dedicated sales tax bonds issued by COFINA, along with small amounts of water and sewer bonds. NUM also holds a small position in Puerto Rico bonds issued for a cogeneration facility, while NUO has a small allocation of Puerto Rico tobacco credits. None of these Funds has any exposure to Puerto Rico GOs. The limited nature of the Funds' exposure to Puerto Rico helped to moderate the impact of the underperformance. During this period, no additional Puerto Rico bonds were purchased by NUM, NUO or NTX, while NAZ purchased a small position in Puerto Rico electric power bonds that were escrowed to maturity in U.S. government securities. This purchase was attractive to us because NAZ had cash to reinvest and had reached its target duration, and these bonds offered a short maturity (approximately four years) and a higher yield than otherwise would have been available in that part of the yield curve. In addition, due to the escrow, we believe that these bonds should not be impacted by the Puerto Rico market. NAZ also sold some of its COFINA holdings prior to a market downturn in June 2013.

During this period, another noteworthy credit event weighed on the municipal market. On July 18, 2013, the City of Detroit filed for Chapter 9 in federal bankruptcy court. Detroit, burdened by decades of population loss, changes in the auto manufacturing industry, and significant tax base deterioration, has been under severe financial stress for an extended period. Detroit's bankruptcy filing will likely be a lengthy one, given the complexity of its debt portfolio, number of creditors, numerous union contracts and significant legal questions that must be addressed. It is not yet clear how this bankruptcy will impact the actual creditworthiness, or the market's perception of that creditworthiness, of other municipalities in Michigan. Shareholders of NUM should note that this Fund held no Detroit GO bonds during this reporting period. NUM's holdings of Detroit water and sewer bonds, which generally are insured, are categorized as essential services bonds. During this reporting period, these bonds generally underperformed.

Fund Leverage

IMPACT OF THE FUNDS' LEVERAGE STRATEGIES ON PERFORMANCE

One important factor impacting the return of the Funds relative to their benchmarks was the Funds' use of leverage. The Funds use leverage because their managers believe that, over time, leveraging provides opportunities for additional income and total return for common shareholders. However, use of leverage also can expose common shareholders to additional volatility. For example, as the prices of securities held by a Fund decline, the negative impact of these valuation changes on common share net asset value and common shareholder total return is magnified by the use of leverage. Conversely, leverage may enhance common share returns during periods when the prices of securities held by a Fund generally are rising. Leverage had a negative impact on the performance of the Funds over this reporting period.

As of August 31, 2013, the Funds' percentages of effective and regulatory leverage are as shown in the accompanying table:

| | NAZ | | NUM | | NUO | | NTX | |
|----------------------|-------|---|-------|---|-------|---|-------|---|
| Effective Leverage* | 38.28 | % | 38.24 | % | 41.36 | % | 34.68 | % |
| Regulatory Leverage* | 33.78 | % | 35.21 | % | 34.80 | % | 33.46 | % |

* Effective leverage is a Fund's effective economic leverage, and includes both regulatory leverage and the leverage effects of certain derivative and other investments in a Fund's portfolio that increase the Fund's investment exposure. Currently, the leverage effects of Tender Option Bond (TOB) inverse floater holdings are included in effective leverage values, in addition to any regulatory leverage. Regulatory leverage consists of preferred shares issued or borrowings of a Fund. Both of these are part of a Fund's capital structure. Regulatory leverage is subject to asset coverage limits set forth in the Investment Company Act of 1940.

Fund Leverage (continued)

THE FUNDS' REGULATORY LEVERAGE

As of August 31, 2013, the Funds have issued and outstanding MuniFund Term Preferred (MTP) Shares and/or Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred (VMTP) Shares as shown in the accompanying table.

| | Series | MTP Shares | | NYSE / NYSE MKT Ticker | VMTP Shares | | Total |
|-----|--------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|--|----------------|
| | | Shares Issued at Liquidation Value | Annual Interest Rate | | Series | Shares Issued at Liquidation Value | |
| NAZ | 2015** | \$ 29,825,000 | 2.05% | NAZ PRC | 2014 | \$ 28,000,000 | |
| | 2016** | 20,846,000 | 2.90% | NAZ PRD | — | — | |
| | | \$ 50,671,000 | | | | \$ 28,000,000 | \$ 78,671,000 |
| NUM | 2015 | \$ 16,313,000 | 2.30% | NUM PRC | 2014 | \$ 87,900,000 | |
| | | — | — | | 2014-1 | 53,900,000 | |
| | | \$ 16,313,000 | | | | \$ 141,800,000 | \$ 158,113,000 |
| NUO | 2014** | \$ 42,714,150 | 2.35% | NUO PRACL | 2014 | \$ 73,500,000 | |
| | 2015** | 19,450,000 | 2.35% | NUO PRCCL | — | — | |
| | 2016** | 11,653,400 | 2.95% | NUO PRDCL | — | — | |
| | | \$ 73,817,550 | | | | \$ 73,500,000 | \$ 147,317,550 |
| NTX | 2015 | \$ 70,920,000 | 2.30% | NTX PRC | — | — | |
| | | \$ 70,920,000 | | | | | \$ 70,920,000 |

** Shares issued in connection with reorganizations.

Subsequent to the close of this reporting period, NUO redeemed all series of their MTP Shares, at their \$10.00 liquidation value per share plus an additional amount representing any dividend amounts owed, with the proceeds of newly issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred (VRDP) Shares. On September 26, 2013, VRDP Shares were issued to qualified institutional buyers in a private offering pursuant to Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933 and NUO's MTP Shares were redeemed on October 7, 2013.

Refer to Notes to Financial Statements, Note 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies for further details on MTP and VMTP Shares.

Common Share Information

COMMON SHARE DIVIDENDS INFORMATION

During the current reporting period ended August 31, 2013, the Fund's monthly dividends to common shareholders were as shown in the accompanying table.

| | Per Common Share Amounts | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | NAZ | NUM | NUO | NTX |
| March | \$0.0640 | \$0.0740 | \$0.0800 | \$0.0580 |
| April* | 0.0640 | 0.0740 | 0.1079 | 0.0580 |
| May | 0.0640 | 0.0740 | 0.0800 | 0.0580 |
| June | 0.0640 | 0.0740 | 0.0800 | 0.0580 |
| July | 0.0640 | 0.0740 | 0.0800 | 0.0580 |
| August | 0.0640 | 0.0740 | 0.0800 | 0.0580 |
| Market Yield** | 6.45% | 7.06% | 6.92% | 5.23% |
| Taxable-Equivalent Yield** | 9.39% | 10.25% | 10.16% | 7.26% |

* In connection with the Fund's reorganization, the Fund declared a dividend of \$0.0279 per common share with an ex-dividend date of April 16, 2013, payable on May 1, 2013. This distribution was in addition to the Fund's monthly tax-free dividend of \$0.0800 with an ex-dividend date of April 3, 2013, payable on May 1, 2013.

** Market Yield is based on the Fund's current annualized monthly dividend divided by the Fund's current market price as of the end of the reporting period. Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a combined federal and state income tax rate of 31.3%, 31.1% and 31.9% for the Arizona, Michigan and Ohio Funds, respectively. The Texas Fund is based on a federal income tax rate of 28.0%. When comparing a Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

All of the Funds in this report seek to pay stable dividends at rates that reflect each Fund's past results and projected future performance. During certain periods, each Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net investment income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it holds the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the Fund's NAV. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid dividends in excess of its earnings, the excess constitutes negative UNII that is likewise reflected in the Fund's NAV. Each Fund will, over time, pay all of its net investment income as dividends to shareholders. As of August 31, 2013, all of the Funds in this report had positive UNII balances, based upon our best estimate, for tax purposes and positive UNII balances for financial reporting purposes.

COMMON SHARE REPURCHASES

As of August 31, 2013 and since the inception of the Funds' repurchase programs, the Funds have cumulatively repurchased and retired their outstanding common shares as shown in the accompanying table. Since the inception of the Funds' repurchase programs, NAZ, NUO and NTX have not repurchased any of their outstanding common shares.

| | NAZ | NUM | NUO | NTX |
|--|---------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Common Shares Cumulatively Repurchased and Retired | — | 170,200 | — | — |
| Common Shares Authorized for Repurchase | 445,000 | 1,155,000 | 980,000 | 975,000 |

Common Share Information (continued)

During the current reporting period, the Funds repurchased and retired its common shares at a weighted average price per common share and a weighted average discount per common share as shown in the accompanying table.

| | NAZ | NUM | NUO | NTX |
|--|-----|--------|-----|-----|
| Common Shares Repurchased and Retired | — | 9,500 | — | — |
| Weighted Average Price per Common Share Repurchased and Retired | —\$ | 12.87 | — | — |
| Weighted Average Discount per Common Share Repurchased and Retired | — | 12.17% | — | — |

COMMON SHARE EQUITY SHELF PROGRAMS

NTX is authorized to issue an additional 950,000 common shares through its ongoing equity shelf program. Under this program, the Fund, subject to market conditions, may raise additional capital from time to time in varying amounts and offering methods at a net price at or above the Fund's NAV per common share.

During the current reporting period, NTX sold common shares through its equity shelf program at a weighted average premium to its NAV per common share as shown in the accompanying table.

| | NTX |
|---|--------|
| Common Shares Sold through Equity Shelf Program | 10,120 |
| Weighted Average Premium to NAV per Common Share Sold | 1.35% |

OTHER COMMON SHARE INFORMATION

As of August 31, 2013, and during the current reporting period, the Funds' common share prices were trading at a premium/(discount) to their common share NAV as shown in the accompanying table.

| | NAZ | NUM | NUO | NTX |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Common Share NAV | \$ 13.34 | \$ 13.95 | \$ 14.90 | \$ 14.07 |
| Common Share Price | \$ 11.90 | \$ 12.58 | \$ 13.88 | \$ 13.31 |
| Premium/(Discount) to NAV | (10.79)% | (9.82)% | (6.85)% | (5.40)% |
| 6-Month Average Premium/(Discount) to NAV | (6.66)% | (8.49)% | (4.78)% | (3.26)% |

Risk Considerations

Fund Shares are not guaranteed or endorsed by any bank or other insured depository institution, and are not federally insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Fund common shares are subject to a variety of risks, including:

Investment, Market and Price Risk. An investment in common shares is subject to investment risk, including the possible loss of the entire principal amount that you invest. Your investment in common shares represents an indirect investment in the municipal securities owned by the Fund, which generally trade in the over-the-counter markets. Shares of closed-end investment companies like these Funds frequently trade at a discount to their NAV. Your common shares at any point in time may be worth less than your original investment, even after taking into account the reinvestment of Fund dividends and distributions.

Leverage Risk. Each Fund's use of leverage creates the possibility of higher volatility for the Fund's per share NAV, market price, distributions and returns. There is no assurance that a Fund's leveraging strategy will be successful.

Tax Risk. The tax treatment of Fund distributions may be affected by new IRS interpretations of the Internal Revenue Code and future changes in tax laws and regulations.

Issuer Credit Risk. This is the risk that a security in a Fund's portfolio will fail to make dividend or interest payments when due.

Interest Rate Risk. Fixed-income securities such as bonds, preferred, convertible and other debt securities will decline in value if market interest rates rise.

Reinvestment Risk. If market interest rates decline, income earned from a Fund's portfolio may be reinvested at rates below that of the original bond that generated the income.

Call Risk or Prepayment Risk. Issuers may exercise their option to prepay principal earlier than scheduled, forcing a Fund to reinvest in lower-yielding securities.

Inverse Floater Risk. The Funds invest in inverse floaters. Due to their leveraged nature, these investments can greatly increase a Fund's exposure to interest rate risk and credit risk. In addition, investments in inverse floaters involve the risk that the Fund could lose more than its original principal investment.

NAZ

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund
Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of August 31, 2013

Average Annual Total Returns as of August 31, 2013

| | Cumulative | | Average Annual | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|----------------|---------|--|--|
| | 6-Month | 1-Year | 5-Year | 10-Year | | |
| NAZ at Common Share NAV | (11.45)% | (7.69)% | 5.50 % | 5.01 % | | |
| NAZ at Common Share Price | (22.01)% | (20.75)% | 4.15 % | 4.15 % | | |
| S&P Municipal Bond Arizona Index | (5.41)% | (2.98)% | 4.77 % | 4.64 % | | |
| S&P Municipal Bond Index | (5.99)% | (3.74)% | 4.50 % | 4.55 % | | |
| Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average | (11.89)% | (9.39)% | 4.83 % | 4.80 % | | |

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

Portfolio Composition¹

(as a % of total investments)

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Tax Obligation/Limited | 26.1% |
| Health Care | 19.5% |
| Utilities | 14.1% |
| Education and Civic Organizations | 12.7% |
| Tax Obligation/General | 11.2% |
| Water and Sewer | 7.4% |
| U.S. Guaranteed | 6.2% |
| Other | 2.8% |

Credit Quality^{1,2,3}

(as a % of total investment exposure)

| | |
|---------------------|-------|
| AAA/U.S. Guaranteed | 12.9% |
| AA | 28.9% |
| A | 31.8% |
| BBB | 14.8% |
| BB or Lower | 2.0% |
| N/R | 7.4% |

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this page.

- 1 Holdings are subject to change.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.
- 3 Percentage may not add to 100% due to the exclusion of other assets less liabilities from the table.

14 Nuveen Investments

NUM

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund
Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of August 31, 2013

Average Annual Total Returns as of August 31, 2013

| | Cumulative 6-Month | 1-Year | Average Annual 5-Year | 10-Year |
|--|-----------------------|----------|--------------------------|---------|
| NUM at Common Share NAV | (12.17)% | (8.98)% | 5.09% | 4.87% |
| NUM at Common Share Price | (16.87)% | (14.14)% | 6.59% | 4.25% |
| S&P Municipal Bond Michigan Index | (6.37)% | (3.75)% | 4.50% | 4.45% |
| S&P Municipal Bond Index | (5.99)% | (3.74)% | 4.50% | 4.55% |
| Lipper Michigan Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average | (14.32)% | (11.29)% | 4.54% | 4.45% |

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

Portfolio Composition¹

(as a % of total investments)

| | |
|------------------------|-------|
| Tax Obligation/General | 32.6% |
| Health Care | 13.0% |
| U.S. Guaranteed | 13.0% |
| Water and Sewer | 11.6% |
| Tax Obligation/Limited | 8.2% |
| Utilities | 5.9% |
| Consumer Staples | 4.6% |
| Other | 11.1% |

Credit Quality^{1,2,3}

(as a % of total investment exposure)

| | |
|---------------------|-------|
| AAA/U.S. Guaranteed | 21.5% |
| AA | 52.2% |
| A | 16.6% |
| BBB | 1.6% |
| BB or Lower | 6.3% |
| N/R | 0.6% |

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this page.

- 1 Holdings are subject to change.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.
- 3 Percentage may not add to 100% due to the exclusion of other assets less liabilities from the table.

NUO

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund
Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of August 31, 2013

Average Annual Total Returns as of August 31, 2013

| | Cumulative | | Average Annual | | | | | |
|---|------------|----|----------------|----|--------|---------|------|---|
| | 6-Month | | 1-Year | | 5-Year | 10-Year | | |
| NUO at Common Share NAV | (12.89) |)% | (9.42) |)% | 5.19 | % | 4.80 | % |
| NUO at Common Share Price | (19.40) |)% | (20.87) |)% | 6.25 | % | 4.11 | % |
| S&P Municipal Bond Ohio Index | (7.13) |)% | (4.06) |)% | 4.31 | % | 4.15 | % |
| S&P Municipal Bond Index | (5.99) |)% | (3.74) |)% | 4.50 | % | 4.55 | % |
| Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average | (11.89) |)% | (9.39) |)% | 4.83 | % | 4.80 | % |

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

Portfolio Composition¹

(as a % of total investments)

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| Health Care | 20.2% |
| Tax Obligation/General | 19.5% |
| Tax Obligation/Limited | 17.2% |
| U.S. Guaranteed | 15.9% |
| Education and Civic Organizations | 5.7% |
| Water and Sewer | 5.0% |
| Consumer Staples | 4.5% |
| Other | 12.0% |

Credit Quality^{1,2,3}

(as a % of total investment exposure)

| | |
|---------------------|-------|
| AAA/U.S. Guaranteed | 21.2% |
| AA | 41.8% |
| A | 21.6% |
| BBB | 8.4% |
| BB or Lower | 5.7% |
| N/R | 0.3% |

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this page.

- 1 Holdings are subject to change.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.
- 3 Percentage may not add to 100% due to the exclusion of other assets less liabilities from the table.

16 Nuveen Investments

NTX

Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund
Performance Overview and Holding Summaries as of August 31, 2013

Average Annual Total Returns as of August 31, 2013

| | Cumulative | | Average Annual | | | | | |
|---|------------|----|----------------|--------|---------|---|------|---|
| | 6-Month | | 1-Year | 5-Year | 10-Year | | | |
| NTX at Common Share NAV | (9.27) |)% | (6.76) |)% | 5.56 | % | 5.22 | % |
| NTX at Common Share Price | (14.80) |)% | (18.24) |)% | 6.54 | % | 4.99 | % |
| S&P Municipal Bond Texas Index | (5.80) |)% | (3.28) |)% | 4.94 | % | 4.79 | % |
| S&P Municipal Bond Index | (5.99) |)% | (3.74) |)% | 4.50 | % | 4.55 | % |
| Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification | | | | | | | | |
| Average | (11.89) |)% | (9.39) |)% | 4.83 | % | 4.80 | % |

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares. Comparative index and Lipper return information is provided for the Fund's shares at NAV only. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

Portfolio Composition¹

(as a % of total investments)

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| U.S. Guaranteed | 19.0% |
| Tax Obligation/General | 16.1% |
| Tax Obligation/Limited | 11.9% |
| Water and Sewer | 10.8% |
| Utilities | 10.6% |
| Transportation | 9.9% |
| Health Care | 8.9% |
| Education and Civic Organizations | 7.9% |
| Other | 4.9% |

Credit Quality^{1,2,3}

(as a % of total investment exposure)

| | |
|---------------------|-------|
| AAA/U.S. Guaranteed | 29.5% |
| AA | 28.5% |
| A | 22.8% |
| BBB | 13.4% |
| BB or Lower | 2.0% |

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this page.

- 1 Holdings are subject to change.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.
- 3 Percentage may not add to 100% due to the exclusion of other assets less liabilities from the table.

NAZ

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund
 Portfolio of Investments
 August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | Consumer Staples – 0.6% (0.4% of Total Investments) | | | |
| \$ 1,045 | Puerto Rico, The Children’s Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 5/15/33 | 11/13 at 100.00 | BBB+ | \$ 963,647 |
| | Education and Civic Organizations – 19.0% (12.7% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 1,000 | Arizona Board of Regents, Arizona State University System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Bonds, Series 2013A, 5.000%, 7/01/37 | No Opt. Call | AA | 1,012,760 |
| 1,400 | Arizona Board of Regents, University of Arizona, Stimulus Plan for Economic and Educational Development Revenue Bonds, Series 2013, 5.000%, 8/01/21 | No Opt. Call | AA– | 1,593,410 |
| 2,240 | Arizona Board of Regents, University of Arizona, System Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 4310, 18.150%, 6/01/20 (IF) (4) | No Opt. Call | AA– | 2,346,915 |
| | Arizona State University, System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005: | | | |
| 2,705 | 5.000%, 7/01/20 – AMBAC Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 2,917,072 |
| 750 | 5.000%, 7/01/21 – AMBAC Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 808,373 |
| 2,000 | Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds, Midwestern University, Refunding Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/15/31 | 5/22 at 100.00 | A– | 1,962,980 |
| 3,775 | Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds, Midwestern University, Refunding Series 2010, 5.125%, 5/15/40 | 5/20 at 100.00 | A+ | 3,572,660 |
| 790 | Northern Arizona University, System Revenue Bonds, Series 2012, 5.000%, 6/01/41 | 6/21 at 100.00 | A+ | 778,276 |
| 900 | Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Facility Revenue Bonds, Choice Academies Charter Schools Project, Series 2012, 5.625%, 9/01/42 | 9/22 at 100.00 | BB+ | 766,845 |
| 755 | Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Facility Revenue Bonds, fbo Brighter Choice Foundation Charter Middle Schools Project, Albany, New York, Series 2012, 7.500%, 7/01/42 | 7/22 at 100.00 | BB– | 732,403 |
| 585 | Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Revenue Bonds, Great Hearts Academies – | 7/21 at 100.00 | BB | 552,105 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|-------|--|--------------------|------|-----------|
| | Veritas Project, Series 2012, 6.300%, 7/01/42 | | | |
| 745 | Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Revenue Bonds, Painted Rock Academy Charter School Project, Series 2012A, 7.500%, 7/01/42 | 7/20 at 100.00 | N/R | 720,206 |
| 3,675 | Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Lease Revenue Bonds, Rowan University Project, Series 2012, 5.000%, 6/01/42 (UB) (4) | 6/22 at 100.00 | A+ | 3,400,845 |
| 1,045 | Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Noah Webster Basic Schools Inc., Series 2004, 6.000%, 12/15/24 | 12/14 at 100.00 | BBB- | 1,046,682 |
| 745 | Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Revenue Bonds, Carden Traditional Schools Project, Series 2012, 7.500%, 1/01/42 | 1/22 at 100.00 | B | 723,641 |
| | Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Revenue Bonds, Paradise Education Center Project, Series 2010: | | | |
| 745 | 6.000%, 6/01/40 | 6/19 at 100.00 | BBB- | 695,279 |
| 200 | 6.100%, 6/01/45 | 6/19 at 100.00 | BBB- | 186,752 |
| 655 | Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Educational Revenue Bonds, Paradise Education Center Charter School, Series 2006, 6.000%, 6/01/36 | 6/16 at 100.00 | BBB- | 610,421 |
| 1,000 | Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Educational Revenue Bonds, Valley Academy Charter School Project, Series 2008, 6.500%, 7/01/38 | 7/18 at 100.00 | Baa3 | 1,006,880 |
| 250 | Sun Devil Energy LLC, Arizona, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Arizona State University Project, Series 2008, 5.000%, 7/01/22 | No Opt. Call | AA- | 279,900 |
| 1,500 | Tempe Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Lease Revenue Bonds, Arizona State University Foundation Project, Series 2003, 5.000%, 7/01/34 - AMBAC Insured | 11/13 at 100.00 | N/R | 1,344,165 |
| 1,350 | Tucson Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Arizona Agribusiness and Equine Center Charter School, Series 2004A, 6.125%, 9/01/34 | 9/14 at 100.00 | BB+ | 1,293,921 |

18 Nuveen Investments

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | Education and Civic Organizations (continued) | | | |
| \$ 825 | Yavapai County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Arizona Agribusiness and Equine Center Charter School, Series 2011, 7.875%, 3/01/42 | 3/21 at 100.00 | BB+ | \$ 893,170 |
| 29,635 | Total Education and Civic Organizations | | | 29,245,661 |
| | Health Care – 29.2% (19.5% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 3,855 | Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Banner Health Systems, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 1/01/25 | 1/17 at 100.00 | AA– | 4,010,742 |
| 7,730 | Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Banner Health Systems, Series 2008D, 5.500%, 1/01/38 | 1/18 at 100.00 | AA– | 7,908,640 |
| 5,100 | Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Hospital System Revenue Bonds, Phoenix Children’s Hospital, Refunding Series 2012A, 5.000%, 2/01/42 | 2/22 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 4,531,605 |
| 1,225 | Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Blood Systems Inc., Series 2004, 5.000%, 4/01/20 | 4/14 at 100.00 | A | 1,257,328 |
| 1,800 | Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds, John C. Lincoln Health Network, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 12/01/37 | 12/15 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 1,699,650 |
| 2,965 | Glendale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds, John C. Lincoln Health Network, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/42 | 12/17 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 2,732,159 |
| 6,100 | Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Health Facility Revenue Bonds, Catholic Healthcare West, Series 2004A, 5.375%, 7/01/23 | 7/14 at 100.00 | A | 6,326,005 |
| 7,560 | Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Health Facility Revenue Bonds, Catholic Healthcare West, Series 2007A, 5.250%, 7/01/32 | 7/17 at 100.00 | A | 7,726,547 |
| 330 | Puerto Rico Industrial, Tourist, Educational, Medical and Environmental Control Facilities Financing Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Hospital de la Concepcion, Series 2000A, 6.375%, 11/15/15 | 11/13 at 100.00 | AA+ | 331,614 |
| 1,120 | Scottsdale Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Scottsdale Healthcare, Series 2006C. Re-offering, 5.000%, 9/01/35 – AGC Insured | 9/20 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,106,022 |
| | Show Low Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Navapache Regional Medical Center, Series 2005: | | | |
| 1,415 | 5.000%, 12/01/25 – RAAI Insured | 12/15 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 1,421,976 |
| 1,160 | 5.000%, 12/01/30 – RAAI Insured | 12/15 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 1,116,430 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|--------|--|--------------------|------|------------|
| 2,500 | University Medical Center Corporation, Tucson, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2011, 6.000%, 7/01/39 | 7/21 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 2,542,700 |
| | University Medical Center Corporation, Tucson, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2013: | | | |
| 200 | 5.000%, 7/01/19 | No Opt. Call | BBB+ | 218,298 |
| 800 | 5.000%, 7/01/20 | No Opt. Call | BBB+ | 860,024 |
| | Yavapai County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Yavapai Regional Medical Center, Series 2013A: | | | |
| 210 | 5.000%, 8/01/19 | No Opt. Call | Baa1 | 228,442 |
| 1,000 | 5.250%, 8/01/33 | 8/23 at 100.00 | Baa1 | 949,630 |
| 45,070 | Total Health Care Long-Term Care – 0.8% (0.6% of Total Investments) | | | 44,967,812 |
| 550 | Arizona Health Facilities Authority, Health Care Facilities Revenue Bonds, The Beatitudes Campus Project, Series 2006, 5.100%, 10/01/22 | 10/16 at 100.00 | N/R | 500,148 |
| 780 | Tempe Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds, Friendship Village of Tempe Project, Refunding Series 2012A, 6.000%, 12/01/32 | 12/21 at 100.00 | N/R | 759,954 |
| 1,330 | Total Long-Term Care Tax Obligation/General – 16.7% (11.2% of Total Investments) | | | 1,260,102 |
| 2,140 | El Mirage, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds Series 2012, 5.000%, 7/01/42 – AGM Insured | 7/22 at 100.00 | AA– | 2,117,273 |
| 1,265 | Gila County Unified School District 10 Payson, Arizona, School Improvement Bonds, Project 2006, Series 2008B, 5.750%, 7/01/28 | 7/18 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 1,408,881 |
| 1,000 | Maricopa County Elementary School District 83 Cartwright, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement, Project 2010, Series 2011A, 5.375%, 7/01/30 – AGM Insured | 7/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,029,870 |

Nuveen Investments 19

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

NAZ Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Tax Obligation/General (continued) | | | |
| \$ 1,000 | Maricopa County School District 6, Arizona, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Washington Elementary School, Series 2002A, 5.375%, 7/01/16 – AGM Insured | No Opt. Call | Aa2 | \$ 1,124,120 |
| 775 | Maricopa County School District 79 Litchfield Elementary, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011, 5.000%, 7/01/23 | 7/21 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 860,219 |
| 1,180 | Maricopa County Unified School District 69, Paradise Valley, Arizona, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2002A, 5.250%, 7/01/14 – FGIC Insured | No Opt. Call | Aa2 | 1,228,274 |
| 1,200 | Maricopa County Unified School District 95 Queen Creek, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 7/01/27 – AGM Insured | 7/18 at 100.00 | A1 | 1,261,596 |
| 1,405 | Mesa, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 7/01/15 – FGIC Insured | No Opt. Call | AA | 1,525,015 |
| 1,370 | Pima County Continental Elementary School District 39, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011A, 6.000%, 7/01/30 – AGM Insured | 7/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,502,452 |
| 1,000 | Pima County Unified School District 08 Flowing Wells, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011B, 5.375%, 7/01/29 | 7/21 at 100.00 | A+ | 1,034,280 |
| 1,750 | Pima County Unified School District 6, Marana, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Project 2010 Series 2011A, 5.000%, 7/01/25 | 7/21 at 100.00 | A+ | 1,839,023 |
| 4,530 | Pinal County Unified School District 1, Florence, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008C, 5.250%, 7/01/28 | 7/18 at 100.00 | A | 4,789,977 |
| | Scottsdale, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Preserve Acquisition Series 1999: | | | |
| 1,310 | 5.000%, 7/01/32 | 7/21 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,381,081 |
| 1,360 | 5.000%, 7/01/33 | 7/21 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,429,156 |
| 1,705 | 5.000%, 7/01/34 | 7/21 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,785,902 |
| 1,340 | Yuma & La Paz Counties Community College District, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/01/21 – NPMFG Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 1,456,191 |
| 24,330 | Total Tax Obligation/General | | | 25,773,310 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| Tax Obligation/Limited – 39.0% (26.1% of Total Investments) | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|-----|-----------|
| 2,310 | Arizona Sports and Tourism Authority, Senior Revenue Refunding Bonds, Multipurpose Stadium Facility Project, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 7/01/36 | 7/22 at 100.00 | A1 | 2,248,508 |
| 1,000 | Arizona State Transportation Board, Highway Revenue Bonds, Subordinate Refunding Series 2011A, 5.000%, 7/01/36 | 7/21 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,024,020 |
| | Buckeye, Arizona, Festival Ranch Community Facilities District General Obligation Bonds, Series 2012: | | | |
| 345 | 5.000%, 7/15/27 | 7/22 at 100.00 | BBB | 325,828 |
| 1,085 | 5.000%, 7/15/31 | 7/22 at 100.00 | BBB | 972,431 |
| 639 | Centerra Community Facilities District, Goodyear, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.500%, 7/15/29 | 7/15 at 100.00 | N/R | 543,597 |
| 500 | Eastmark Community Facilities District 1, Mesa, Arizona, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, Assessment District 1, Series 2013, 5.250%, 7/01/38 | 7/23 at 100.00 | N/R | 397,585 |
| | Estrella Mountain Ranch Community Facilities District, Arizona, Special Assessment Bonds, Montecito Assessment District, Series 2007: | | | |
| 442 | 5.700%, 7/01/27 | 1/17 at 100.00 | N/R | 401,380 |
| 477 | 5.800%, 7/01/32 | 1/17 at 100.00 | N/R | 411,746 |
| 757 | Estrella Mountain Ranch Community Facilities District, Goodyear, Arizona, Special Assessment Lien Bonds, Series 2001A, 7.875%, 7/01/25 | 11/13 at 100.00 | N/R | 757,969 |
| 1,500 | Goodyear, Arizona, Community Facilities General District 1, Arizona, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2013, 5.000%, 7/15/23 | No Opt. Call | A– | 1,603,080 |
| 510 | Government of Guam, Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series 2011A, 5.000%, 1/01/31 | 1/22 at 100.00 | A | 512,382 |
| 2,280 | Greater Arizona Development Authority, Infrastructure Revenue Bonds, Series 2006-1, 5.000%, 8/01/22 – NPMFG Insured | 8/16 at 100.00 | AA– | 2,516,618 |
| 1,550 | Greater Arizona Development Authority, Infrastructure Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 8/01/23 – NPMFG Insured | 8/16 at 100.00 | A1 | 1,669,986 |
| 250 | La Paz County, Arizona, Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Judgment Series 2011A, 4.750%, 7/01/36 | 7/17 at 100.00 | AA– | 243,190 |
| 1,425 | Marana, Arizona, Pledged Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2013, 5.000%, 7/01/33 | 7/23 at 100.00 | AA | 1,431,498 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Tax Obligation/Limited (continued) | | | |
| \$ 3,282 | Marana, Arizona, Tangerine Farms Road Improvement District Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 4.600%, 1/01/26 | 7/16 at 100.00 | A2 | \$ 3,224,828 |
| 680 | Marley Park Community Facilities District, City of Surprise, Arizona, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008 (Bank Qualified), 6.100%, 7/15/32 | 7/17 at 100.00 | N/R | 607,879 |
| 1,160 | Merrill Ranch Community Facilities District 1, Florence, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008A, 7.400%, 7/15/33 | 7/18 at 100.00 | N/R | 1,198,315 |
| 2,175 | Mohave County, Arizona, Certificates of Participation, Series 2004, 5.250%, 7/01/19 – AMBAC Insured | 7/14 at 100.00 | N/R | 2,205,537 |
| 300 | Page, Arizona, Pledged Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2011, 5.000%, 7/01/26 | 7/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 315,462 |
| 1,500 | Palm Valley Community Facility District 3, Goodyear, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.300%, 7/15/31 | 7/16 at 100.00 | N/R | 1,256,850 |
| 1,000 | Palm Valley Community Facility District 3, Goodyear, Arizona, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.800%, 7/15/32 | 7/17 at 100.00 | N/R | 863,080 |
| 400 | Parkway Community Facilities District 1, Prescott Valley, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.350%, 7/15/31 | 7/16 at 100.00 | N/R | 330,052 |
| 1,000 | Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Transit Excise Tax Revenue Refunding Bonds, Light Rail Project, Series 2013, 5.000%, 7/01/20 | No Opt. Call | AA | 1,150,420 |
| 2,500 | Phoenix Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Education Facility Revenue Bonds, JMF-Higley 2012 LLC Project, Series 2012, 5.000%, 12/01/36 | No Opt. Call | A+ | 2,397,000 |
| 580 | Phoenix Mesa Gateway Airport Authority, Arizona, Special Facility Revenue Bonds, Mesa Project, Series 2012, 5.000%, 7/01/38 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 7/22 at 100.00 | AA+ | 525,648 |
| 3,000 | Pinal County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Correctional Facilities Contract Revenue Bonds, Florence West Prison LLC, Series 2002A, 5.000%, 10/01/18 – ACA Insured | 11/13 at 100.00 | BBB– | 3,002,460 |
| 1,140 | Pinetop Fire District of Navajo County, Arizona, Certificates of Participation, Series 2008, 7.750%, 6/15/29 | 6/16 at 102.00 | A3 | 1,154,170 |
| 300 | Pronghorn Ranch Community Facilities District, Prescott Valley, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 6.400%, 7/15/29 | 7/14 at 100.00 | N/R | 297,612 |
| 275 | | | BBB– | 221,645 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|-------|--|-----------------|-----|-----------|
| | Puerto Rico Public Buildings Authority, Guaranteed Government Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002D, 5.125%, 7/01/24 | 11/13 at 100.00 | | |
| 2,000 | Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, First Subordinate Series 2009A, 0.000%, 8/01/32 | 8/26 at 100.00 | A+ | 1,655,580 |
| 500 | Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, First Subordinate Series 2010A, 5.375%, 8/01/39 | 2/20 at 100.00 | A+ | 405,435 |
| 3,350 | Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, First Subordinate Series 2010C, 0.000%, 8/01/38 | No Opt. Call | A+ | 549,166 |
| 4,300 | San Luis Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Municipal Facilities Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/25 – SYNCORA GTY Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | A+ | 4,375,551 |
| 3,000 | Scottsdale Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/01/24 | No Opt. Call | AAA | 3,462,840 |
| 5,000 | Scottsdale Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Water & Sewer Improvements Project, Series 2010, 5.000%, 7/01/36 | 7/20 at 100.00 | AAA | 5,190,100 |
| 1,570 | Tartesso West Community Facility District, Buckeye, Arizona, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.900%, 7/15/32 | 7/17 at 100.00 | N/R | 1,356,621 |
| 2,000 | Tempe, Arizona, Transit Excise Tax Revenue Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 7/01/37 | 7/22 at 100.00 | AAA | 2,028,400 |
| 1,750 | Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Matching Fund Loan Notes Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2010A, 5.000%, 10/01/29 | 10/20 at 100.00 | BBB | 1,691,725 |
| 3,145 | Vistancia Community Facilities District, Peoria, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.750%, 7/15/24 | 7/15 at 100.00 | A1 | 3,215,542 |
| 1,597 | Watson Road Community Facilities District, Arizona, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 6.000%, 7/01/30 | 7/16 at 100.00 | N/R | 1,542,734 |

Nuveen Investments 21

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

NAZ Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | Tax Obligation/Limited (continued) | | | |
| \$ 1,000 | Westpark Community Facilities District, Buckeye, Arizona, General Obligation Tax Increment Bonds Series 2006, 5.250%, 7/15/31 | 7/16 at 100.00 | N/R | \$ 837,320 |
| 63,574 | Total Tax Obligation/Limited | | | 60,121,790 |
| | Transportation – 2.7% (1.8% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 180 | Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior Lien Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 7/01/40 | 7/20 at 100.00 | A+ | 174,557 |
| | Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Senior Lien Airport Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2013: | | | |
| 1,785 | 5.000%, 7/01/30 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 7/23 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,800,065 |
| 2,215 | 5.000%, 7/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 7/23 at 100.00 | AA– | 2,206,827 |
| 4,180 | Total Transportation | | | 4,181,449 |
| | U.S. Guaranteed – 9.2% (6.2% of Total Investments) (5) | | | |
| 3,000 | Glendale Western Loop 101 Public Facilities Corporation, Arizona, Third Lien Excise Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2008B, 6.250%, 7/01/38 (Pre-refunded 1/01/14) | 1/14 at 100.00 | AA (5) | 3,061,320 |
| 1,250 | Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Samaritan Health Services, Series 1990A, 7.000%, 12/01/16 – NPMG Insured (ETM) | No Opt. Call | N/R (5) | 1,395,550 |
| 1,000 | Maricopa County Unified School District 11, Peoria, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Second Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/20 (Pre-refunded 7/01/15) – FGIC Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | AA- (5) | 1,083,770 |
| 100 | Maricopa County Unified School District 89, Dysart, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004B, 5.250%, 7/01/20 (Pre-refunded 7/01/14) – AGM Insured | 7/14 at 100.00 | AA- (5) | 104,203 |
| 615 | Maricopa County Union High School District 210 Phoenix, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006C, 5.000%, 7/01/24 (Pre-refunded 7/01/16) – NPMG Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | AA (5) | 688,480 |
| 1,575 | Maricopa County Union High School District 210, Phoenix, Arizona, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 7/01/20 (Pre-refunded 7/01/14) – | 7/14 at 100.00 | AA (5) | 1,638,331 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| AGM Insured | | | | |
|-------------|--|-----------------|---------|------------|
| 655 | Maricopa County, Arizona, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Sun Health Corporation, Series 2005, 5.000%, 4/01/16 (Pre-refunded 4/01/15) | 4/15 at 100.00 | N/R (5) | 702,376 |
| 3,400 | Mesa, Arizona, Street and Highway User Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 7/01/24 (Pre-refunded 7/01/15) – AGM Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | AA (5) | 3,680,262 |
| 630 | Mesa, Arizona, Utility System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 7/01/17 – FGIC Insured (ETM) | No Opt. Call | Aa2 (5) | 727,568 |
| 1,335 | Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Power Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1989N, 0.000%, 7/01/17 – NPFM Insured (ETM) | No Opt. Call | A (5) | 1,175,080 |
| 13,560 | Total U.S. Guaranteed Utilities – 21.0% (14.1% of Total Investments) | | | 14,256,940 |
| 1,495 | Apache County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, Tucson Electric Power Company, Series 20102A, 4.500%, 3/01/30 | 3/22 at 100.00 | BBB | 1,343,198 |
| | Arizona Power Authority, Special Obligation Power Resource Revenue Refunding Crossover Bonds, Hoover Project, Series 2001: | | | |
| 1,000 | 5.250%, 10/01/15 | No Opt. Call | AA | 1,096,680 |
| 1,500 | 5.250%, 10/01/17 | No Opt. Call | AA | 1,726,140 |
| 175 | Guam Power Authority, Revenue Bonds, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 10/01/30 – AGM Insured | 10/22 at 100.00 | AA– | 178,579 |
| 4,310 | Maricopa County Pollution Control Corporation, Arizona, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Southern California Edison Company, Series 2000A, 5.000%, 6/01/35 | 6/20 at 100.00 | A1 | 4,326,809 |
| 370 | Mesa, Arizona, Utility System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.250%, 7/01/17 – FGIC Insured | No Opt. Call | Aa2 | 424,072 |

22 Nuveen Investments

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|--|---|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Utilities (continued) | | | | |
| \$ 3,335 | Pima County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Revenue Bonds, Tucson Electric Power Company, Refunding Series 2008, 5.750%, 9/01/29 | 1/15 at 100.00 | BBB | \$ 3,396,564 |
| 1,800 | Pinal County Electrical District 3, Arizona, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2011, 5.250%, 7/01/36 | 7/21 at 100.00 | A | 1,818,288 |
| 2,660 | Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, Power Revenue Bonds, Series 2005RR: 5.000%, 7/01/26 – SYNCORA GTY Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | BBB | 2,060,622 |
| 2,170 | 5.000%, 7/01/27 – SYNCORA GTY Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | BBB | 1,648,896 |
| 2,000 | Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, Arizona, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 1/01/35 | No Opt. Call | Aa1 | 2,043,500 |
| 2,500 | Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, Arizona, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 09-9W, 17.590%, 1/01/38 (IF) (4) | 1/18 at 100.00 | Aa1 | 2,572,900 |
| 4,500 | Salt Verde Financial Corporation, Arizona, Senior Gas Revenue Bonds, Citigroup Energy Inc Prepay Contract Obligations, Series 2007: 5.500%, 12/01/29 | No Opt. Call | A- | 4,607,055 |
| 5,665 | 5.000%, 12/01/37 | No Opt. Call | A- | 5,187,214 |
| 33,480 | Total Utilities | | | 32,430,517 |
| Water and Sewer – 11.1% (7.4% of Total Investments) | | | | |
| 500 | City of Goodyear, Arizona Subordinate Lien Water and Sewer Revenue Obligations, Series 2011, 5.500%, 7/01/41 | 7/21 at 100.00 | AA- | 513,400 |
| 1,005 | Cottonwood, Arizona, Senior Lien Water System Revenue Bonds, Municipal Property Corporation, Series 2004, 5.000%, 7/01/24 – SYNCORA GTY Insured | 7/14 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 1,009,965 |
| 500 | Glendale, Arizona, Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 7/01/28 | 7/22 at 100.00 | AA | 517,295 |
| 2,855 | Goodyear, Arizona, Water and Sewer Revenue Obligations, Series 2010, 5.625%, 7/01/39 | 7/20 at 100.00 | A+ | 2,920,551 |
| 455 | Maricopa County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Water System Improvement Revenue Bonds, Chaparral City Water Company, Series 1997A, 5.400%, 12/01/22 – AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 12/13 at 100.00 | N/R | 455,305 |
| 1,000 | Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior Lien Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, | 7/14 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,038,570 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|------|-----------|
| Series 2004, 5.000%, 7/01/24 – NPMFG Insured | | | | |
| Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior Lien Water System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2001: | | | | |
| 1,250 | 5.500%, 7/01/21 – FGIC Insured | No Opt. Call | AAA | 1,486,950 |
| 1,040 | 5.500%, 7/01/22 – FGIC Insured | No Opt. Call | AAA | 1,231,620 |
| 1,500 | Pima County, Arizona, Sewer System Revenue Obligations, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 7/01/26 | No Opt. Call | AA– | 1,599,165 |
| 750 | Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewerage Authority, Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2008A, 6.000%, 7/01/38 | 7/18 at 100.00 | BBB– | 565,245 |
| Surprise Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona, Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2007: | | | | |
| 1,600 | 4.700%, 4/01/22 | 4/14 at 100.00 | A– | 1,602,448 |
| 1,970 | 4.900%, 4/01/32 | 4/17 at 100.00 | A– | 1,814,015 |
| 500 | Tucson, Arizona, Water System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2013A, 5.000%, 7/01/23 | No Opt. Call | AA | 564,170 |

Nuveen Investments 23

NAZ Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call | | Value |
|------------------------------|--|--------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | | Provisions | Ratings (3) | |
| | Water and Sewer (continued) | | | |
| \$ 2,370 | Yuma County Industrial Development Authority, Arizona, Exempt Revenue Bonds, Far West Water & Sewer Inc. Refunding, Series 2007A, 6.375%, 12/01/37 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 12/17 at 100.00 | N/R | \$ 1,814,993 |
| 17,295 | Total Water and Sewer | | | 17,133,692 |
| \$ 233,499 | Total Investments (cost \$231,851,747) – 149.3% | | | 230,334,920 |
| | Floating Rate Obligations – (1.8)% | | | (2,755,000) |
| | MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (32.9)% (6) | | | (50,671,000) |
| | Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (18.2)% (6) | | | (28,000,000) |
| | Other Assets Less Liabilities – 3.6% | | | 5,322,542 |
| | Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100% | | | \$ 154,231,462 |

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions: Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings: Using the highest of Standard & Poor’s Group (“Standard & Poor’s”), Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. (“Moody’s”) or Fitch, Inc. (“Fitch”) rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor’s, Baa by Moody’s or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- (4) Investment, or portion of investment, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations for investments in inverse floating rate transactions.
- (5) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities.
- (6) MuniFund Term Preferred Shares and Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments are 22.0% and 12.2%, respectively.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
- (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
- (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Note 3 – Portfolio Securities and Investments in Derivatives, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

NUM

Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund
 Portfolio of Investments
 August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|---|--|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Consumer Staples – 7.1% (4.6% of Total Investments) | | | | |
| \$ 7,100 | Michigan Tobacco Settlement Finance Authority, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2007A, 6.000%, 6/01/34 | 6/17 at 100.00 | B– | \$ 5,489,578 |
| 17,150 | Michigan Tobacco Settlement Finance Authority, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Series 2008A, 6.875%, 6/01/42 | 6/18 at 100.00 | BB– | 15,161,801 |
| 24,250 | Total Consumer Staples | | | 20,651,379 |
| Education and Civic Organizations – 7.0% (4.5% of Total Investments) | | | | |
| 1,000 | Conner Creek Academy East, Michigan, Public School Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 5.250%, 11/01/36 | 11/16 at 100.00 | BB– | 713,600 |
| 1,255 | Detroit Community High School, Michigan, Public School Academy Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.750%, 11/01/30 | 11/15 at 100.00 | B+ | 952,507 |
| 805 | Michigan Finance Authority, Public School Academy Limited Obligation Revenue and Refunding Bonds, Detroit Service Learning Academy Project, Series 2011, 7.000%, 10/01/31 | 10/21 at 100.00 | BB+ | 850,652 |
| Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority, Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Kettering University, Series 2001: | | | | |
| 1,685 | 5.500%, 9/01/17 – AMBAC Insured | 3/14 at 100.00 | N/R | 1,686,247 |
| 1,150 | 5.000%, 9/01/26 – AMBAC Insured | 3/14 at 100.00 | N/R | 1,054,332 |
| 250 | Michigan Public Educational Facilities Authority, Charter School Revenue Bonds, American Montessori Academy, Series 2007, 6.500%, 12/01/37 | 12/17 at 100.00 | N/R | 220,455 |
| 5,000 | Michigan State University, General Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2010C, 5.000%, 2/15/40 | 2/20 at 100.00 | Aa1 | 5,024,550 |
| 1,350 | Michigan Technological University, General Revenue and Refunding Bonds, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 10/01/34 | 10/21 at 100.00 | A1 | 1,352,606 |
| 5,000 | Wayne State University, Michigan, General Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2008, 5.000%, 11/15/35 – AGM Insured | No Opt. Call | Aa2 | 5,029,200 |
| 3,700 | Wayne State University, Michigan, General Revenue Bonds, Series 2013A, 5.000%, 11/15/40 | 11/23 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 3,624,261 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|--------|--|--------------------|-----|------------|
| 21,195 | Total Education and Civic Organizations Health Care – 20.1% (13.0% of Total Investments) | | | 20,508,410 |
| 4,000 | Grand Traverse County Hospital Financial Authority, Michigan, Revenue Bonds, Munson Healthcare, Refunding Series 2011A, 5.000%, 7/01/29 | 7/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 4,001,000 |
| 1,800 | Jackson County Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Allegiance Health, Refunding Series 2010A, 5.000%, 6/01/37 – AGM Insured | 6/20 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,716,858 |
| | Kent Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Spectrum Health System, Refunding Series 2011C: | | | |
| 5,500 | 5.000%, 1/15/31 | 1/22 at 100.00 | AA | 5,493,345 |
| 2,000 | 5.000%, 1/15/42 | 1/22 at 100.00 | AA | 1,881,640 |
| 5,505 | Michigan Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue and Refunding Bonds, Crittenton Hospital Medical Center, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 6/01/39 | No Opt. Call | A– | 5,088,657 |
| 3,930 | Michigan Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Oakwood Obligated Group, Refunding Series 2013, 5.000%, 8/15/31 | 8/23 at 100.00 | A | 3,770,246 |
| | Michigan Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Oakwood Obligated Group, Refunding Series 2012: | | | |
| 1,000 | 5.000%, 11/01/25 | 11/22 at 100.00 | A | 1,035,520 |
| 3,750 | 5.000%, 11/01/42 | 11/22 at 100.00 | A | 3,430,650 |
| 3,000 | Michigan Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Sparrow Obligated Group, Series 2012, 5.000%, 11/15/42 | 11/22 at 100.00 | A+ | 2,756,460 |
| 9,500 | Michigan Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Trinity Health Credit Group, Refunding Series 2011, 5.000%, 12/01/39 | 12/21 at 100.00 | AA | 9,176,050 |

Nuveen Investments 25

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

NUM Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | Health Care (continued) | | | |
| | Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Henry Ford Health System, Refunding Series 2009: | | | |
| \$ 150 | 5.000%, 11/15/20 | 11/19 at 100.00 | A | \$ 162,081 |
| 7,300 | 5.750%, 11/15/39 | 11/19 at 100.00 | A | 7,384,607 |
| 4,000 | Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds, MidMichigan Obligated Group, Series 2009A, 5.875%, 6/01/39 – AGC Insured | 6/19 at 100.00 | AA– | 4,106,560 |
| 2,000 | Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Henry Ford Health System, Series 2006A, 5.250%, 11/15/46 | 11/16 at 100.00 | A | 1,864,340 |
| 1,000 | Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Trinity Health Care Group, Series 2009C, 5.000%, 12/01/48 | 6/22 at 100.00 | AA | 928,280 |
| 3,640 | Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Hospital Revenue and Refunding Bonds, William Beaumont Hospital Obligated Group, Series 2009W, 6.000%, 8/01/39 | 8/19 at 100.00 | A1 | 3,791,242 |
| 1,500 | Royal Oak Hospital Finance Authority, Michigan, Hospital Revenue Bonds, William Beaumont Hospital, Refunding Series 2009V, 8.250%, 9/01/39 | 9/18 at 100.00 | A1 | 1,793,715 |
| 59,575 | Total Health Care | | | 58,381,251 |
| | Housing/Multifamily – 5.7% (3.7% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 2,675 | Michigan Housing Development Authority, FNMA Limited Obligation Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Parkview Place Apartments, Series 2002A, 5.550%, 12/01/34 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 12/20 at 101.00 | AA+ | 2,781,331 |
| 990 | Michigan Housing Development Authority, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds, Breton Village Green Project, Series 1993, 5.625%, 10/15/18 – AGM Insured | 10/13 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,001,039 |
| 1,285 | Michigan Housing Development Authority, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds, Walled Lake Villa Project, Series 1993, 6.000%, 4/15/18 – AGM Insured | 10/13 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,287,069 |
| 1,395 | Michigan Housing Development Authority, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 1988A: 3.375%, 11/01/16 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 11/14 at 101.00 | AA | 1,430,391 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|--------|--|--------------------|-----|------------|
| 1,405 | 3.875%, 11/01/17 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 11/14 at 101.00 | AA | 1,438,270 |
| 140 | Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.300%, 10/01/37 – NPFG Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 10/13 at 100.00 | AA | 139,999 |
| 2,300 | Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2006D, 5.125%, 4/01/31 – AGM Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 7/15 at 100.00 | AA | 2,308,533 |
| 325 | Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2009A, 5.700%, 10/01/39 | 10/18 at 100.00 | AA | 332,163 |
| 1,825 | Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 10/01/35 | 10/20 at 100.00 | AA | 1,803,283 |
| 1,725 | Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2012A-2, 4.625%, 10/01/41 | 4/22 at 100.00 | AA | 1,546,532 |
| | Michigan Housing Development Authority, Rental Housing Revenue Bonds, Series 2012D: | | | |
| 2,150 | 3.950%, 10/01/37 | 4/22 at 100.00 | AA | 1,750,057 |
| 1,000 | 4.000%, 10/01/42 | No Opt. Call | AA | 805,720 |
| 17,215 | Total Housing/Multifamily | | | 16,624,387 |
| | Housing/Single Family – 1.3% (0.9% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 3,060 | Michigan Housing Development Authority, Single Family Homeownership Revenue Bonds, Series 2010C, 5.500%, 12/01/28 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 6/20 at 100.00 | AA+ | 3,113,183 |
| 750 | Michigan Housing Development Authority, Single Family Homeownership Revenue Bonds, Series 2011A, 4.600%, 12/01/26 | 6/21 at 100.00 | AA+ | 731,355 |
| 3,810 | Total Housing/Single Family | | | 3,844,538 |
| | Industrials – 0.2% (0.1% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 500 | Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Bonds, Republic Services Inc., Series 2001, 4.250%, 8/01/31 (Mandatory put 4/01/14) (Alternative Minimum Tax) | No Opt. Call | BBB | 505,165 |

26 Nuveen Investments

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Tax Obligation/General – 50.5% (32.6% of Total Investments) | | | |
| \$ 2,310 | Ann Arbor Public School District, Washtenaw County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 5/01/29 | 5/22 at 100.00 | Aa2 | \$ 2,419,032 |
| 2,200 | Ann Arbor, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Court & Police Facilities Capital Improvement Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/38 | 5/18 at 100.00 | AA+ | 2,210,758 |
| 100 | Battle Creek School District, Calhoun County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/37 – AGM Insured | 5/17 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 100,226 |
| 3,000 | Bloomfield Hills Schools, Oakland County, Michigan, School Building and Site General Obligation – Unlimited Tax Bonds, Series 2013, 4.000%, 5/01/39 | 5/23 at 100.00 | Aaa | 2,647,800 |
| 1,000 | Byron Center Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2012: 4.000%, 5/01/32 | 5/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 875,070 |
| 500 | 4.000%, 5/01/33 | 5/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 431,885 |
| | Caledonia Community Schools, Kent, Allegan and Barry Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005: | | | |
| 1,000 | 5.000%, 5/01/25 – NPMFG Insured | 5/15 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 1,032,830 |
| 2,250 | 5.000%, 5/01/26 – NPMFG Insured | 5/15 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 2,326,298 |
| 4,257 | Caledonia Community Schools, Kent, Allegan and Barry Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 2008-1096, 7.935%, 5/01/32 – NPMFG Insured (IF) | 5/17 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 4,218,602 |
| 875 | Charlotte Public School District, Easton County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 5/01/20 | No Opt. Call | AA– | 1,008,796 |
| 1,200 | Comstock Park Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, School Building & Site, Series 2011B: 5.500%, 5/01/36 | 5/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,238,520 |
| 2,190 | 5.500%, 5/01/41 | 5/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 2,249,064 |
| 2,000 | Detroit City School District, Wayne County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002A: 6.000%, 5/01/19 – FGIC Insured | No Opt. Call | Aa2 | 2,289,360 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|-----|-----------|
| 1,815 | 6.000%, 5/01/20 – FGIC Insured | No Opt. Call | Aa2 | 2,081,605 |
| 1,075 | 6.000%, 5/01/21 – FGIC Insured | No Opt. Call | Aa2 | 1,228,241 |
| Detroit-Wayne County Stadium Authority, Michigan, Wayne County Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Building Authority Stadium Refunding Series 2012: | | | | |
| 1,040 | 5.000%, 10/01/19 – AGM Insured | No Opt. Call | AA– | 1,126,154 |
| 2,615 | 5.000%, 10/01/20 – AGM Insured | No Opt. Call | AA– | 2,808,641 |
| 1,000 | 5.000%, 10/01/21 – AGM Insured | No Opt. Call | AA– | 1,059,260 |
| 1,645 | 5.000%, 10/01/22 – AGM Insured | No Opt. Call | AA– | 1,734,274 |
| 4,850 | 5.000%, 10/01/26 – AGM Insured | 10/22 at 100.00 | AA– | 4,808,436 |
| Grand Rapids and Kent County Joint Building Authority, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Devos Place Project, Series 2001: | | | | |
| 8,900 | 0.000%, 12/01/25 | No Opt. Call | AAA | 5,467,804 |
| 3,000 | 0.000%, 12/01/26 | No Opt. Call | AAA | 1,744,020 |
| 100 | 0.000%, 12/01/27 | No Opt. Call | AAA | 54,927 |
| 5,305 | 0.000%, 12/01/29 | No Opt. Call | AAA | 2,586,081 |
| Grand Rapids, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Capital Improvement Series 2007: | | | | |
| 860 | 5.000%, 9/01/24 – NPFPG Insured | 9/17 at 100.00 | AA | 926,607 |
| 2,000 | 5.000%, 9/01/27 – NPFPG Insured | 9/17 at 100.00 | AA | 2,090,540 |
| 1,650 | Holly Area School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.125%, 5/01/32 – NPFPG Insured | 5/16 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 1,677,968 |
| 3,185 | Kalamazoo Public Schools, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/25 – AGM Insured | 5/16 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 3,334,599 |
| 200 | L’Anse Creuse Public Schools, Macomb County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/35 – AGM Insured | 5/15 at 100.00 | AA | 200,192 |
| 2,505 | Lincoln Consolidated School District, Washtenaw and Wayne Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/25 – NPFPG Insured | 5/16 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 2,622,660 |
| 3,810 | Livonia Public Schools, Wayne County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 5/01/21 – NPFPG Insured | 5/14 at 100.00 | A1 | 3,904,679 |

Nuveen Investments 27

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

NUM Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Tax Obligation/General (continued) | | | |
| \$ 2,160 | Lowell Area Schools, Kent and Ionia Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/37 – AGM Insured | 5/17 at 100.00 | Aa2 | \$ 2,162,009 |
| 1,925 | Marshall Public Schools, Calhoun County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/30 – SYNCORA GTY Insured | 5/17 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,938,976 |
| 990 | Michigan Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Detroit City School District, Series 2012, 5.000%, 6/01/20 | No Opt. Call | A+ | 1,063,418 |
| 4,000 | Michigan State, General Obligation Bonds, Environmental Program, Refunding Series 2011A, 5.000%, 12/01/22 | 12/21 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 4,521,960 |
| 1,000 | Michigan State, General Obligation Bonds, Environmental Program, Series 2009A, 5.500%, 11/01/25 | 5/19 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 1,098,210 |
| 2,500 | Montrose School District, Michigan, School Building and Site Bonds, Series 1997, 6.000%, 5/01/22 – NPFPG Insured | No Opt. Call | Aa3 | 2,969,100 |
| 1,410 | New Haven Community Schools, Macomb County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/25 – AGM Insured | 5/16 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 1,476,228 |
| 6,820 | Oakland Intermediate School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/36 – AGM Insured | 5/17 at 100.00 | Aaa | 6,844,473 |
| 1,595 | Oakridge Public Schools, Muskegon County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/22 – NPFPG Insured | 5/15 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,697,479 |
| | Ottawa County, Michigan, Water Supply System, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007: | | | |
| 4,330 | 5.000%, 8/01/26 – NPFPG Insured (UB) | 8/17 at 100.00 | Aaa | 4,601,231 |
| 5,620 | 5.000%, 8/01/30 – NPFPG Insured (UB) | 8/17 at 100.00 | Aaa | 5,748,529 |
| 1,100 | Oxford Area Community Schools, Oakland and Lapeer Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/25 – AGM Insured | 5/14 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 1,130,789 |
| 2,285 | Parchment School District, Kalamazoo County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 2836, 10.818%, 5/01/15 – AGM Insured (IF) | No Opt. Call | Aa2 | 2,174,475 |
| 750 | Plainwell Community Schools, Allegan County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, School | 5/18 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 772,943 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

Building & Site, Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/28 – AGC
Insured

| Port Huron, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding & Capital Improvement Series 2011: | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|-----|-----------|
| 1,585 | 5.000%, 10/01/31 – AGM Insured | 10/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,583,066 |
| 640 | 5.250%, 10/01/37 – AGM Insured | 10/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 638,234 |
| Port Huron, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011B: | | | | |
| 530 | 5.000%, 10/01/31 – AGM Insured | 10/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 529,353 |
| 800 | 5.250%, 10/01/40 – AGM Insured | 10/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 798,816 |
| 500 | Rockford Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 5/01/19 | No Opt. Call | AA– | 569,005 |
| 1,000 | Rockford Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/27 – AGM Insured | 5/15 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 1,036,500 |
| 2,100 | Rockford Public Schools, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/33 – AGM Insured | 5/18 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 2,113,755 |
| 350 | South Haven, Van Buren County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Capital Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%, 12/01/33 – AGC Insured | 12/19 at 100.00 | AA– | 363,717 |
| 3,175 | South Redford School District, Wayne County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, School Building and Site, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/30 – NPFPG Insured | 5/15 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 3,201,130 |
| 1,655 | Southfield Library Building Authority, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 5/01/26 – NPFPG Insured | 5/15 at 100.00 | AA | 1,664,847 |
| 1,535 | Thornapple Kellogg School District, Barry County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 5/01/32 – NPFPG Insured | 5/17 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 1,545,622 |
| 3,600 | Trenton Public Schools District, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 5/01/34 – AGM Insured | 5/18 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 3,614,616 |
| 2,275 | Troy City School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 5/01/19 – NPFPG Insured | 5/16 at 100.00 | Aa1 | 2,490,829 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Tax Obligation/General (continued) | | | |
| | Van Dyke Public Schools, Macomb County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, School Building and Site, Series 2008: | | | |
| \$ 1,110 | 5.000%, 5/01/31 – AGM Insured | 5/18 at 100.00 | Aa2 | \$ 1,123,276 |
| 2,150 | 5.000%, 5/01/38 – AGM Insured | 5/18 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 2,150,731 |
| 2,905 | Wayne Charter County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Building Improvements, Series 2009A, 6.750%, 11/01/39 | 12/19 at 100.00 | BBB | 3,019,922 |
| | Wayne Charter County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Airport Hotel Revenue Bonds, Detroit Metropolitan Wayne County Airport, Series 2001A: | | | |
| 1,500 | 5.500%, 12/01/18 – NPFPG Insured | 11/13 at 100.00 | A | 1,501,740 |
| 5,000 | 5.000%, 12/01/21 – NPFPG Insured | 11/13 at 100.00 | A | 4,999,850 |
| 6,125 | 5.000%, 12/01/30 – NPFPG Insured | 11/13 at 100.00 | A | 5,858,318 |
| 3,850 | Wayne Westland Community Schools, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/17 – AGM Insured | 11/14 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 4,044,849 |
| 1,725 | Williamston Community School District, Michigan, Unlimited Tax General Obligation QSBLF Bonds, Series 1996, 5.500%, 5/01/25 – NPFPG Insured | No Opt. Call | Aa3 | 1,991,133 |
| 1,475 | Willow Run Community Schools, Washtenaw County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2011, 4.500%, 5/01/31 – AGM Insured | 5/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,382,459 |
| 150,507 | Total Tax Obligation/General Tax Obligation/Limited – 12.7% (8.2% of Total Investments) | | | 146,926,517 |
| 2,485 | Government of Guam, Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series 2011A, 5.125%, 1/01/42 | 1/22 at 100.00 | A | 2,365,472 |
| | Grand Rapids Building Authority, Kent County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2011: | | | |
| 560 | 5.000%, 10/01/28 | 10/21 at 100.00 | AA | 569,285 |
| 500 | 5.000%, 10/01/30 | 10/21 at 100.00 | AA | 500,305 |
| 500 | 5.000%, 10/01/31 | 10/21 at 100.00 | AA | 498,800 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|--------|---|-----------------|-----|------------|
| 1,000 | Grand Rapids Building Authority, Kent County, Michigan, Limited Tax General Obligation Bonds, Series 1998, 5.000%, 4/01/16 | No Opt. Call | AA | 1,100,920 |
| 170 | Kalkaska County Hospital Authority, Michigan, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 5.125%, 5/01/14 | No Opt. Call | A- | 174,457 |
| 4,730 | Michigan Finance Authority, Unemployment Obligation Assessment Revenue Bonds, Series 2012B, 5.000%, 7/01/22 | 7/16 at 100.00 | AAA | 5,090,426 |
| | Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Facilities Program, Series 2005II: | | | |
| 1,600 | 5.000%, 10/15/30 – AMBAC Insured | 10/15 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 1,606,784 |
| 2,135 | 5.000%, 10/15/33 – AMBAC Insured | 10/15 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 2,138,074 |
| | Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2006IA: | | | |
| 7,000 | 0.000%, 10/15/27 – AGM Insured | 10/16 at 58.27 | AA | 3,277,120 |
| 7,720 | 0.000%, 10/15/28 – AGM Insured | 10/16 at 55.35 | AA | 3,360,207 |
| 8,040 | 5.000%, 10/15/36 – FGIC Insured | 10/16 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 8,046,432 |
| | Michigan State Trunk Line Fund Refunding Bonds, Series 2009: | | | |
| 1,160 | 4.000%, 11/15/32 | 11/21 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,038,954 |
| 1,300 | 5.000%, 11/15/36 | 11/21 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,299,896 |
| 17,000 | Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A, 0.000%, 8/01/44 – NPFPG Insured | No Opt. Call | AA- | 1,784,150 |
| 1,930 | Taylor Brownfield Redevelopment Authority, Wayne County, Michigan, Tax Increment Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 5/01/34 – NPFPG Insured | 5/15 at 100.00 | A | 1,605,085 |
| 1,000 | Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Matching Fund Loan Notes Revenue Bonds, Series 2009B, 5.000%, 10/01/25 | 10/19 at 100.00 | BBB | 1,027,530 |
| 1,570 | Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Matching Fund Loan Notes, Series 2009A-1, 5.000%, 10/01/39 | 10/19 at 100.00 | BBB | 1,441,527 |
| 60,400 | Total Tax Obligation/Limited | | | 36,925,424 |

Nuveen Investments 29

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

NUM Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | Transportation – 2.9% (1.9% of Total Investments) | | | |
| \$ 230 | Kent County, Michigan, Airport Revenue Bonds, Gerald R. Ford International Airport, Series 2007, 5.000%, 1/01/32 | 1/17 at 100.00 | AAA | \$ 231,599 |
| | Wayne County Airport Authority, Michigan, Airport Revenue Bonds, Detroit Metro Wayne County Airport, Series 2012A: | | | |
| 2,345 | 5.000%, 12/01/23 | No Opt. Call | A | 2,448,860 |
| 1,000 | 5.000%, 12/01/42 – AGM Insured | 12/22 at 100.00 | AA– | 912,730 |
| 4,500 | Wayne County Airport Authority, Michigan, Revenue Bonds, Detroit Metropolitan Airport, Refunding Series 2011A, 5.000%, 12/01/21 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | No Opt. Call | A | 4,826,925 |
| 8,075 | Total Transportation | | | 8,420,114 |
| | U.S. Guaranteed – 20.2% (13.0% of Total Investments) (4) | | | |
| 1,475 | Anchor Bay School District, Macomb and St. Clair Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 5/01/21 (Pre-refunded 11/01/13) | 11/13 at 100.00 | Aa2 (4) | 1,487,051 |
| 915 | Detroit, Michigan, Second Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 7/01/30 (Pre-refunded 7/01/15) – NPMFG Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | A (4) | 989,545 |
| 1,400 | Howell Public Schools, Livingston County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 5/01/21 (Pre-refunded 11/01/13) | 11/13 at 100.00 | Aa2 (4) | 1,411,214 |
| 1,065 | Jackson Public Schools, Jackson County, Michigan, General Obligation School Building and Site Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/22 (Pre-refunded 5/01/14) – AGM Insured | 5/14 at 100.00 | Aa2 (4) | 1,099,431 |
| | Lansing School District, Ingham County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004: | | | |
| 500 | 5.000%, 5/01/22 (Pre-refunded 5/01/14) | 5/14 at 100.00 | Aa2 (4) | 516,100 |
| 500 | 5.000%, 5/01/22 (Pre-refunded 5/01/14) | 5/14 at 100.00 | Aa2 (4) | 516,100 |
| 3,880 | Mayville Community Schools, Tuscola County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, School Building and Site Project, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/34 (Pre-refunded 11/01/14) – FGIC Insured | 11/14 at 100.00 | Aa2 (4) | 4,098,444 |
| 3,630 | | | | N/R (4) 3,819,123 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|-------|---|-----------------|----------|-----------|
| | Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 10/01/19 (Pre-refunded 10/01/14) | 10/14 at 100.00 | | |
| 1,060 | Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Drinking Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 10/01/23 (Pre-refunded 10/01/14) | 10/14 at 100.00 | N/R (4) | 1,115,226 |
| | Michigan State Building Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Facilities Program, Series 2003II: | | | |
| 7,100 | 5.000%, 10/15/22 (Pre-refunded 10/15/13) – NPF Insured | 10/13 at 100.00 | Aa3 (4) | 7,142,529 |
| 7,480 | 5.000%, 10/15/23 (Pre-refunded 10/15/13) – NPF Insured | 10/13 at 100.00 | Aa3 (4) | 7,524,805 |
| 3,460 | Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, St. John’s Health System, Series 1998A, 5.000%, 5/15/28 – AMBAC Insured (ETM) | 11/13 at 100.00 | Aaa | 3,472,733 |
| | Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Chelsea Community Hospital, Series 2005: | | | |
| 425 | 5.000%, 5/15/25 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15) | 5/15 at 100.00 | AA+ (4) | 457,700 |
| 1,600 | 5.000%, 5/15/30 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15) | 5/15 at 100.00 | AA+ (4) | 1,723,104 |
| 835 | 5.000%, 5/15/37 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15) | 5/15 at 100.00 | AA+ (4) | 899,245 |
| | Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Marquette General Hospital, Series 2005A: | | | |
| 4,435 | 5.000%, 5/15/26 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15) | 5/15 at 100.00 | N/R (4) | 4,772,282 |
| 2,680 | 5.000%, 5/15/34 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15) | 5/15 at 100.00 | N/R (4) | 2,883,814 |
| 1,115 | Michigan Technological University, General Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 10/01/22 (Pre-refunded 10/01/13) – NPF Insured | 10/13 at 100.00 | A1 (4) | 1,119,516 |
| 1,000 | Otsego Public Schools District, Allegan and Kalamazoo Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/25 (Pre-refunded 5/01/14) – AGM Insured | 5/14 at 100.00 | Aa2 (4) | 1,032,330 |
| 4,340 | Plymouth-Canton Community School District, Wayne and Washtenaw Counties, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 5/01/26 (Pre-refunded 5/01/14) – FGIC Insured | 5/14 at 100.00 | Aa2 (4) | 4,479,748 |
| | Puerto Rico Public Finance Corporation, Commonwealth Appropriation Bonds, Series 2002E: | | | |
| 170 | 6.000%, 8/01/26 (ETM) | No Opt. Call | BBB- (4) | 206,021 |
| 1,530 | 6.000%, 8/01/26 (ETM) | No Opt. Call | BBB- (4) | 1,854,192 |
| 4,100 | Puerto Rico, Highway Revenue Bonds, Highway and Transportation Authority, Series 1996Y, 5.500%, 7/01/36 (Pre-refunded 7/01/16) | 7/16 at 100.00 | Aaa | 4,649,769 |
| 1,425 | Walled Lake Consolidated School District, Oakland County, Michigan, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%, 5/01/20 (Pre-refunded 5/01/14) – NPF | 5/14 at 100.00 | AA- (4) | 1,473,464 |

Insured

56,120 Total U.S. Guaranteed

58,743,486

30 Nuveen Investments

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | Utilities – 9.2% (5.9% of Total Investments) | | | |
| | Lansing Board of Water and Light, Michigan, Steam and Electric Utility System Revenue Bonds, Series 2008A: | | | |
| \$ 390 | 5.000%, 7/01/28 | 7/18 at 100.00 | AA– | \$ 396,501 |
| 8,250 | 5.000%, 7/01/32 | 7/18 at 100.00 | AA– | 8,302,223 |
| | Lansing Board of Water and Light, Michigan, Utility System Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 4700: | | | |
| 1,700 | 18.344%, 7/01/37 (IF) (5) | 7/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,783,096 |
| 1,110 | 18.344%, 7/01/37 (IF) (5) | 7/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,164,257 |
| 3,530 | Michigan Public Power Agency, AFEC Project Revenue Bonds, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 1/01/43 | 1/22 at 100.00 | A2 | 3,288,725 |
| | Michigan Public Power Agency, Revenue Bonds, Combustion Turbine 1 Project, Series 2011: | | | |
| 1,760 | 5.000%, 1/01/24 – AGM Insured | 1/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,869,701 |
| 1,990 | 5.000%, 1/01/25 – AGM Insured | 1/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 2,090,794 |
| 2,180 | 5.000%, 1/01/26 – AGM Insured | 1/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 2,265,282 |
| 290 | 5.000%, 1/01/27 – AGM Insured | 1/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 297,499 |
| 3,630 | Michigan Strategic Fund, Limited Obligation Revenue Refunding Bonds, Detroit Edison Company, Series 1991BB, 7.000%, 5/01/21 – AMBAC Insured | No Opt. Call | A1 | 4,378,579 |
| 990 | Puerto Rico Industrial, Tourist, Educational, Medical and Environmental Control Facilities Financing Authority, Co-Generation Facility Revenue Bonds, Series 2000A, 6.625%, 6/01/26 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 12/13 at 100.00 | Ba1 | 898,098 |
| 25,820 | Total Utilities | | | 26,734,755 |
| | Water and Sewer – 18.0% (11.6% of Total Investments) | | | |
| | Detroit Water and Sewerage Department, Michigan, Sewage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Senior Lien Series 2012A: | | | |
| 500 | 5.250%, 7/01/26 | 7/22 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 469,275 |
| 3,500 | 5.000%, 7/01/39 – AGM Insured | 7/22 at 100.00 | AA– | 3,030,685 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|-----|-----------|
| Detroit, Michigan, Second Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A: | | | | |
| 1,085 | 5.000%, 7/01/30 – NPFPG Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | A | 968,797 |
| 135 | 5.000%, 7/01/35 – NPFPG Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | A | 118,083 |
| 4,000 | Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2001B, 5.500%, 7/01/29 – FGIC Insured | No Opt. Call | A | 3,808,800 |
| 1,965 | Detroit, Michigan, Senior Lien Sewerage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 7/01/17 – AGM Insured | 11/13 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,941,145 |
| 425 | Detroit, Michigan, Sewage Disposal System Revenue Bonds, Second Lien Series 2006A, 5.500%, 7/01/36 – BHAC Insured | 7/18 at 100.00 | AA+ | 424,307 |
| 5,350 | Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2011A, 5.250%, 7/01/41 | 7/21 at 100.00 | BB– | 4,591,584 |
| 1,060 | Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2011C, 5.000%, 7/01/41 | No Opt. Call | BB– | 875,295 |
| 305 | Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Second Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2003B, 5.000%, 7/01/34 – NPFPG Insured | 11/13 at 100.00 | A | 267,659 |
| 10,100 | Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Second Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 7/01/34 – AGM Insured | 7/16 at 100.00 | AA– | 8,896,080 |
| 190 | Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Second Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2006C, 5.000%, 7/01/33 – AGM Insured | No Opt. Call | AA– | 168,522 |
| Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Senior Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2003A: | | | | |
| 1,500 | 5.000%, 7/01/25 – NPFPG Insured | 11/13 at 100.00 | A | 1,395,720 |
| 60 | 5.000%, 7/01/26 – NPFPG Insured | 11/13 at 100.00 | A | 55,589 |
| 175 | Detroit, Michigan, Water Supply System Senior Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2006D, 5.000%, 7/01/33 – NPFPG Insured | No Opt. Call | A | 154,109 |
| 1,330 | Grand Rapids, Michigan, Sanitary Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 1/01/30 – NPFPG Insured | 7/15 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,374,129 |
| 1,190 | Grand Rapids, Michigan, Sanitary Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 1/01/38 | 1/18 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,195,438 |
| 2,605 | Grand Rapids, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2009, 5.100%, 1/01/39 – AGC Insured | 1/19 at 100.00 | AA | 2,683,228 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

NUM Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| | Water and Sewer (continued) | | | |
| | Michigan Finance Authority, State Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Clean Water Series 2012: | | | |
| \$ 2,000 | 5.000%, 10/01/31 | 10/22 at 100.00 | AAA | \$ 2,066,300 |
| 1,135 | 5.000%, 10/01/32 | 10/22 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,166,667 |
| 580 | Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 10/01/19 | 10/14 at 100.00 | AAA | 607,550 |
| 1,000 | Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Clean Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 10/01/19 | 10/15 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,087,260 |
| 90 | Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Drinking Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 10/01/23 | 10/14 at 100.00 | AAA | 94,195 |
| | Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Water Revolving Fund Revenue Bonds, Series 2007: | | | |
| 500 | 5.000%, 10/01/23 | 10/17 at 100.00 | AAA | 549,810 |
| 2,000 | 5.000%, 10/01/24 | 10/17 at 100.00 | AAA | 2,167,920 |
| 8,245 | North Kent Sewer Authority, Michigan, Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 11/01/31 – NPFPG Insured | 11/16 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 8,294,800 |
| | Port Huron, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2011: | | | |
| 500 | 5.250%, 10/01/31 | 10/21 at 100.00 | A | 495,370 |
| 1,500 | 5.625%, 10/01/40 | 10/21 at 100.00 | A | 1,513,980 |
| 1,500 | Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewerage Authority, Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2008A, 6.000%, 7/01/44 | 7/18 at 100.00 | BBB– | 1,102,575 |
| 700 | Saginaw, Michigan, Water Supply System Revenue Bonds, Series 2008, 5.250%, 7/01/22 – NPFPG Insured | 7/18 at 100.00 | A | 737,002 |
| 55,225 | Total Water and Sewer | | | 52,301,874 |
| \$ 482,692 | Total Investments (cost \$455,724,984) – 154.9% | | | 450,567,300 |
| | Floating Rate Obligations – (2.3)% | | | (6,625,000) |
| | MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (5.6)% (6) | | | (16,313,000) |
| | | | | (141,800,000) |

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (48.7)% (6) | |
| Other Assets Less Liabilities – 1.7% | 5,104,950 |
| Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100% | \$ 290,934,250 |

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to common shares unless otherwise noted.
 - (2) Optional Call Provisions: Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
 - (3) Ratings: Using the highest of Standard & Poor’s Group (“Standard & Poor’s”), Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. (“Moody’s”) or Fitch, Inc. (“Fitch”) rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor’s, Baa by Moody’s or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
 - (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities.
 - (5) Investment, or portion of investment, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations for investments in inverse floating rate transactions.
 - (6) MuniFund Term Preferred Shares and Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments are 3.6% and 31.5%, respectively.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
 (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
 (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Note 3 – Portfolio Securities and Investments in Derivatives, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

NUO

Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund
 Portfolio of Investments
 August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | Consumer Staples – 6.9% (4.5% of Total Investments) | | | |
| \$ 400 | Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, Ohio, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien, Series 2007A-1, 5.000%, 6/01/16 | No Opt. Call | A1 | \$ 432,636 |
| | Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, Ohio, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien, Series 2007A-2: | | | |
| 7,500 | 5.125%, 6/01/24 | 6/17 at 100.00 | B– | 5,781,975 |
| 18,995 | 5.875%, 6/01/47 | 6/17 at 100.00 | B | 12,805,479 |
| 200 | Puerto Rico, The Children’s Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 5/15/33 | 11/13 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 184,430 |
| 27,095 | Total Consumer Staples | | | 19,204,520 |
| | Education and Civic Organizations – 8.9% (5.7% of Total Investments) | | | |
| | Miami University of Ohio, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2011: | | | |
| 130 | 5.000%, 9/01/33 | No Opt. Call | AA | 133,186 |
| 1,960 | 5.000%, 9/01/36 | 9/21 at 100.00 | AA | 1,992,301 |
| | Miami University of Ohio, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2012: | | | |
| 480 | 4.000%, 9/01/32 | 9/22 at 100.00 | AA | 435,830 |
| 1,000 | 4.000%, 9/01/33 | 9/22 at 100.00 | AA | 899,060 |
| 3,150 | Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission, General Revenue Bonds, Kenyon College, Series 2006, 5.000%, 7/01/41 | 7/16 at 100.00 | A+ | 3,075,755 |
| | Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Ohio Northern University, Series 2002: | | | |
| 865 | 5.750%, 5/01/16 | 11/13 at 100.00 | Baa2 | 867,301 |
| 2,650 | 5.000%, 5/01/22 | 11/13 at 100.00 | Baa2 | 2,650,557 |
| | Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Wittenberg University, Series 2005: | | | |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|--------|---|--------------------|------|------------|
| 1,150 | 5.000%, 12/01/24 | 12/15 at 100.00 | B1 | 1,062,980 |
| 1,000 | 5.000%, 12/01/29 | 12/15 at 100.00 | B1 | 869,770 |
| 2,420 | Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, General Revenue Bonds, University of Dayton, 2006 Project, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/30 – AMBAC Insured | 12/16 at 100.00 | A | 2,487,034 |
| | Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Denison University Project, Series 2012: | | | |
| 120 | 5.000%, 11/01/27 | 5/22 at 100.00 | AA | 126,492 |
| 590 | 5.000%, 11/01/32 | 5/22 at 100.00 | AA | 602,449 |
| 1,250 | Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, University of Dayton, Tender Option Bond Trust 1144, 17.282%, 12/01/43 (IF) (4) | 12/22 at 100.00 | A | 1,075,850 |
| 1,575 | Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Wittenberg University, Series 2001, 5.500%, 12/01/15 | 12/13 at 100.00 | B1 | 1,576,685 |
| 1,500 | Ohio State Higher Education Facilities, Revenue Bonds, Case Western Reserve University, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/44 – NPFG Insured | 12/16 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,492,830 |
| 3,000 | Ohio State Higher Educational Facility Commission, Higher Education Facility Revenue Bonds, Xavier University 2008C, 5.750%, 5/01/28 | 11/18 at 100.00 | A– | 3,168,210 |
| 950 | Ohio State, Higher Educational Facility Revenue Bonds, Otterbein College Project, Series 2008A, 5.500%, 12/01/28 | 12/18 at 100.00 | A3 | 994,840 |
| 1,000 | Ohio University at Athens, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2013, 5.000%, 12/01/39 | 12/22 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 984,120 |
| 24,790 | Total Education and Civic Organizations | | | 24,495,250 |
| | Health Care – 31.2% (20.2% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 65 | Akron, Bath and Copley Joint Township Hospital District, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Summa Health System, Series 2004A, 5.500%, 11/15/34 – RAAI Insured | 11/14 at 100.00 | Baa1 | 65,216 |

Nuveen Investments 33

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

NUO Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|---|--|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Health Care (continued) | | | | |
| \$ 3,000 | Akron, Bath and Copley Joint Township Hospital District, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Children's Hospital Medical Center, Improvement Series 2013, 5.000%, 11/15/38 | 5/23 at 100.00 | A1 | \$ 2,881,410 |
| 1,950 | Allen County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Catholic Healthcare Partners, Series 2010A, 5.250%, 6/01/38 | 6/20 at 100.00 | AA- | 1,944,482 |
| 3,500 | Butler County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, UC Health, Series 2010, 5.500%, 11/01/40 | 11/20 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 3,387,125 |
| 6,575 | Butler County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Cincinnati Children's Medical Center Project, Series 2006K, 5.000%, 5/15/31 - FGIC Insured | 5/16 at 100.00 | Baa1 | 6,412,335 |
| 2,400 | Fairfield County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Fairfield Medical Center Project, Series 2013, 5.000%, 6/15/43 | 6/23 at 100.00 | Baa2 | 2,174,592 |
| Franklin County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Nationwide Children's Hospital Project, Improvement Series 2009: | | | | |
| 250 | 5.000%, 11/01/34 | 11/19 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 247,728 |
| 2,615 | 5.250%, 11/01/40 | 11/19 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 2,625,748 |
| 2,470 | Franklin County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Nationwide Children's Hospital Project, Series 2008A, 5.000%, 11/01/40 | 11/18 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 2,353,515 |
| 250 | Franklin County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, OhioHealth Corporation, Series 2011A, 5.000%, 11/15/41 | 11/21 at 100.00 | AA+ | 240,480 |
| 4,480 | Franklin County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, OhioHealth Corporation, Tender Option Bond Trust 11-21B, 9.374%, 11/15/41 (IF) (4) | 11/21 at 100.00 | AA+ | 4,138,803 |
| Hamilton County, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Children's Hospital Medical Center, Series 2004J: | | | | |
| 2,455 | 5.250%, 5/15/16 - FGIC Insured | 5/14 at 100.00 | A | 2,516,179 |
| 3,260 | 5.125%, 5/15/28 - FGIC Insured | 5/14 at 100.00 | A | 3,261,076 |
| 3,225 | Hancock County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Blanchard Valley Regional Health Center, Series 2011A, 6.250%, 12/01/34 | 6/21 at 100.00 | A2 | 3,426,853 |
| 1,865 | | | A3 | 1,926,545 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|-------|---|-----------------|-----|-----------|
| | Lake County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Lake Hospital System, Inc., Refunding Series 2008C, 6.000%, 8/15/43 | 8/18 at 100.00 | | |
| | Lucas County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, ProMedica Healthcare Obligated Group, Series 2008D: | | | |
| 90 | 5.000%, 11/15/38 | 11/18 at 100.00 | AA | 87,358 |
| 40 | 5.125%, 11/15/40 | 11/18 at 100.00 | AA | 39,277 |
| 3,965 | Lucas County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, ProMedica Healthcare Obligated Group, Series 2011A, 6.000%, 11/15/41 | 11/21 at 100.00 | AA | 4,287,117 |
| 1,500 | Miami County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Upper Valley Medical Center Inc., Series 2006, 5.250%, 5/15/21 | 5/16 at 100.00 | A2 | 1,565,790 |
| 820 | Middleburg Heights, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Southwest General Health Center Project, Refunding Series 2011, 5.250%, 8/01/41 | 8/21 at 100.00 | A2 | 782,452 |
| | Montgomery County, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Catholic Health Initiatives, Series 2004A: | | | |
| 3,700 | 5.000%, 5/01/30 | 5/14 at 100.00 | AA- | 3,702,516 |
| 2,500 | 5.000%, 5/01/32 | 5/14 at 100.00 | AA- | 2,500,000 |
| 6,105 | Muskingum County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Revenue Bonds, Genesis HealthCare System Obligated Group Project, Series 2013, 5.000%, 2/15/44 | 2/23 at 100.00 | BB+ | 4,789,972 |
| 95 | Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, University Hospitals Health System Inc., Series 2007A, 5.250%, 1/15/46 – BHAC Insured | 1/17 at 100.00 | AA+ | 96,718 |
| | Ohio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Cleveland Clinic Health System Obligated Group, Series 2008A: | | | |
| 3,000 | 5.000%, 1/01/25 | 1/18 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 3,120,600 |
| 240 | 5.250%, 1/01/33 | 1/18 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 244,166 |
| | Ohio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Summa Health System Project, Series 2010: | | | |
| 1,500 | 5.750%, 11/15/40 – AGM Insured | 5/20 at 100.00 | AA- | 1,530,555 |
| 1,520 | 5.250%, 11/15/40 – AGM Insured | 5/20 at 100.00 | AA- | 1,491,014 |
| 1,500 | Ohio State, Hospital Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds, Cleveland Clinic Health System Obligated Group, Series 2009A, 5.500%, 1/01/39 | 1/19 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 1,586,895 |

34 Nuveen Investments

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|---|---|------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Health Care (continued) | | | | |
| Ohio State, Hospital Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds, Cleveland Clinic Health System Obligated Group, Tender Option Bond Trust 3551: | | | | |
| \$ 875 | 19.885%, 1/01/17 (IF) | No Opt. Call | Aa2 | \$ 890,785 |
| 5,350 | 20.365%, 1/01/33 (IF) | 1/19 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 6,589,702 |
| 1,640 | Ohio State, Hospital Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds, Cleveland Clinic Health System Obligated Group, Tender Option Bond Trust 3591, 20.523%, 1/01/17 (IF) | No Opt. Call | Aa2 | 2,020,021 |
| 2,300 | Richland County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Bonds, MidCentral Health System Group, Series 2006, 5.250%, 11/15/36 | 11/16 at 100.00 | A- | 2,270,951 |
| Ross County, Ohio, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds, Adena Health System Series 2008: | | | | |
| 1,425 | 5.750%, 12/01/28 | 12/18 at 100.00 | A- | 1,511,156 |
| 1,385 | 5.750%, 12/01/35 | 12/18 at 100.00 | A- | 1,446,314 |
| 1,000 | 5.750%, 12/01/35 – AGC Insured | 12/18 at 100.00 | AA- | 1,028,370 |
| Wood County, Ohio, Hospital Facilities Refunding and Improvement Revenue Bonds, Wood County Hospital Project, Series 2012: | | | | |
| 2,635 | 5.000%, 12/01/37 | No Opt. Call | Baa2 | 2,461,169 |
| 4,920 | 5.000%, 12/01/42 | No Opt. Call | Baa2 | 4,533,632 |
| 86,465 | Total Health Care | | | 86,178,617 |
| Housing/Multifamily – 3.5% (2.3% of Total Investments) | | | | |
| 1,385 | Clermont County, Ohio, GNMA Collateralized Mortgage Revenue Bonds, S.E.M. Villa II Project, Series 1994A, 5.950%, 2/20/30 | 2/14 at 100.00 | Aaa | 1,386,330 |
| 1,165 | Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority, Ohio, Lease Revenue Bonds, Euclid Avenue Housing Corporation – Fenn Tower Project, Series 2005, 5.000%, 8/01/23 – AMBAC Insured | 8/15 at 100.00 | N/R | 1,102,952 |
| 920 | Franklin County, Ohio, GNMA Collateralized Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Agler Project, Series 2002A, 5.550%, 5/20/22 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 5/14 at 100.00 | Aaa | 929,651 |
| 1,600 | Montgomery County, Ohio, GNMA Guaranteed Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Canterbury Court Project, Series 2007, 5.500%, 10/20/42 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 10/18 at 101.00 | Aa1 | 1,629,168 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|-------|---|-----------------|------|-----------|
| 1,250 | Ohio Housing Finance Agency, FHA-Insured Multifamily Housing Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Madonna Homes, Series 2006M, 4.900%, 6/20/48 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 6/16 at 102.00 | AA+ | 1,176,838 |
| 3,390 | Summit County Port Authority, Ohio, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Callis Tower Apartments Project, Series 2007, 5.250%, 9/20/47 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 9/17 at 102.00 | AA+ | 3,404,577 |
| 9,710 | Total Housing/Multifamily Industrials – 2.9% (1.9% of Total Investments) | | | 9,629,516 |
| 2,055 | Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority, Ohio, Common Bond Fund Revenue Bonds, Cleveland Christian Home Project, Series 2002C, 5.950%, 5/15/22 | 5/14 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 2,007,797 |
| 835 | Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority, Ohio, Development Revenue Bonds, Bond Fund Program – Columbia National Group Project, Series 2005D, 5.000%, 5/15/20 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 11/15 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 805,825 |
| 820 | Cleveland-Cuyahoga County Port Authority, Ohio, Development Revenue Bonds, Jergens Inc., Series 1998A, 5.375%, 5/15/18 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 11/13 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 816,400 |
| 3,495 | Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, Ohio, Revenue Refunding Bonds, CSX Transportation Inc., Series 1992, 6.450%, 12/15/21 | No Opt. Call | Baa2 | 4,170,758 |
| 1,600 | Western Reserve Port Authority, Ohio, Solid Waste Facility Revenue Bonds, Central Waste Inc., Series 2007A, 6.350%, 7/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (5) | 7/17 at 102.00 | N/R | 222,960 |
| 8,805 | Total Industrials Long-Term Care – 1.2% (0.8% of Total Investments) | | | 8,023,740 |
| 895 | Franklin County, Ohio, Healthcare Facilities Revenue Bonds, Ohio Presbyterian Retirement Services, Improvement Series 2010A, 5.625%, 7/01/26 | 7/21 at 100.00 | BBB | 916,319 |
| 2,220 | Montgomery County, Ohio, Health Care and Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Saint Leonard, Refunding & improvement Series 2010, 6.625%, 4/01/40 | 4/20 at 100.00 | BBB– | 2,280,162 |
| 3,115 | Total Long-Term Care | | | 3,196,481 |

Nuveen Investments 35

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

NUO Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Materials – 0.8% (0.5% of Total Investments) | | | |
| \$ 2,000 | Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, Ohio, Port Revenue Bonds, Cargill Inc., Series 2004B, 4.500%, 12/01/15 | No Opt. Call | A | \$ 2,100,720 |
| | Tax Obligation/General – 30.2% (19.5% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 125 | Barberton City School District, Summit County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Series 2008, 5.250%, 12/01/31 | 6/18 at 100.00 | AA | 131,479 |
| | Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2002: | | | |
| 110 | 5.000%, 12/01/21 – NPFQ Insured | 12/13 at 100.00 | Aa1 | 111,269 |
| 100 | 5.000%, 12/01/22 – NPFQ Insured | 12/13 at 100.00 | Aa1 | 101,154 |
| | Central Ohio Solid Waste Authority, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding & Improvements, Series 2012: | | | |
| 1,140 | 5.000%, 12/01/26 | 6/22 at 100.00 | Aaa | 1,231,656 |
| 2,545 | 5.000%, 12/01/28 | 6/22 at 100.00 | Aaa | 2,692,686 |
| 1,605 | 5.000%, 12/01/29 | 6/22 at 100.00 | Aaa | 1,683,741 |
| | Cincinnati, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2012A: | | | |
| 1,960 | 5.000%, 12/01/31 | 12/20 at 100.00 | AA+ | 2,032,206 |
| 875 | 5.000%, 12/01/32 | 12/20 at 100.00 | AA+ | 902,851 |
| 1,000 | Cleveland Municipal School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/15 – AGM Insured | 6/14 at 100.00 | AA | 1,032,500 |
| 2,000 | Cleveland, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011, 5.000%, 12/01/29 | 12/19 at 100.00 | AA | 2,023,400 |
| 1,140 | Columbia Local School District, Lorain County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Facilities Improvement Series 2011, 5.000%, 11/01/39 – AGM Insured | 11/21 at 100.00 | A1 | 1,152,107 |
| | Columbus City School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006: | | | |
| 4,310 | 0.000%, 12/01/27 – AGM Insured | No Opt. Call | AA | 2,286,240 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|--------|--|--------------------|------|------------|
| 5,835 | 0.000%, 12/01/28 – AGM Insured Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007: | No Opt. Call | AA | 2,915,925 |
| 3,355 | 5.000%, 12/01/27 | 12/17 at 100.00 | AAA | 3,618,368 |
| 1,840 | 5.000%, 12/01/28 | 12/17 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,944,954 |
| 1,355 | Grove City, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Construction & Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%, 12/01/36 | 12/19 at 100.00 | Aa1 | 1,405,094 |
| 12,750 | Hamilton City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 – AGM Insured | 6/17 at 100.00 | AA– | 12,766,320 |
| 6,580 | Indian Lake Local School District, Logan and Auglaize Counties, Ohio, School Facilities Improvement and Refunding Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/34 – NPMF Insured | 6/17 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 6,597,371 |
| 2,160 | Kenston Local School District, Geauga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2011, 0.000%, 12/01/21 | No Opt. Call | Aa1 | 1,673,525 |
| | Lakewood City School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007: | | | |
| 1,010 | 5.000%, 12/01/25 – FGIC Insured | 12/17 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 1,088,366 |
| 775 | 5.000%, 12/01/30 – FGIC Insured | 12/17 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 798,506 |
| 2,620 | Lucas County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2010, 5.000%, 10/01/40 | 10/18 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 2,612,166 |
| 3,015 | Marysville Exempted Village School District, Union County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/01/25 – AGM Insured | 12/15 at 100.00 | AA– | 3,238,020 |
| 4,500 | Middletown City School District, Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2007, 5.250%, 12/01/31 – AGM Insured | No Opt. Call | A2 | 4,668,885 |
| 1,500 | Milford Exempted Village School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.250%, 12/01/36 | 12/18 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 1,528,320 |
| 1,305 | Monroe Local School District, Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.500%, 12/01/24 – AMBAC Insured | No Opt. Call | Baa1 | 1,447,336 |
| 725 | Napoleon City School District, Henry County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Facilities Construction & Improvement Series 2012, 5.000%, 12/01/36 | 6/22 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 726,987 |
| 2,500 | New Albany Plain Local School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding School Improvement Series 2013, 4.000%, 12/01/43 | 12/22 at 100.00 | AA+ | 2,074,800 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Tax Obligation/General (continued) | | | |
| \$ 3,665 | Newark City School District, Licking County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/28 – FGIC Insured | 12/15 at 100.00 | Aa3 | \$ 3,757,358 |
| 2,300 | Northmor Local School District, Morrow County, Ohio, General Obligation School Facilities Construction and Improvement Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 11/01/36 | 11/18 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 2,305,037 |
| 500 | Oak Hills Local School District, Hamilton County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/24 – AGM Insured | 12/15 at 100.00 | AA– | 530,985 |
| 1,000 | Ohio State, General Obligation Highway Capital Improvement Bonds, Series 2012Q, 5.000%, 5/01/28 | 5/22 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,069,570 |
| 500 | Olentangy Local School District, Delaware and Franklin Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.000%, 12/01/36 | 6/18 at 100.00 | AA+ | 503,325 |
| 1,510 | Painesville City School District, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/22 – FGIC Insured | 12/14 at 100.00 | A1 | 1,588,460 |
| 5,000 | South Euclid, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Real Estate Acquisition and Urban Redevelopment, Series 2012, 5.000%, 6/01/42 | 6/22 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 4,969,400 |
| 2,250 | South-Western City School District, Franklin and Pickaway Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Facilities Construction & Improvement Series 2012, 5.000%, 12/01/36 | 6/22 at 100.00 | AA | 2,296,193 |
| 70 | Strongsville, Ohio, Limited Tax General Obligation Various Purpose Improvement Bonds, Series 1996, 5.950%, 12/01/21 | 11/13 at 100.00 | Aaa | 70,323 |
| 700 | Sylvania City School District, Lucas County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Series 1995, 5.250%, 12/01/36 – AGC Insured | 6/17 at 100.00 | AA– | 726,530 |
| 1,000 | Vandalia Butler City School District, Montgomery County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Improvement Series 2009, 5.125%, 12/01/37 | 6/19 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 1,014,630 |
| 87,230 | Total Tax Obligation/General Tax Obligation/Limited – 26.7% (17.2% of Total Investments) | | | 83,318,043 |
| 125 | Cincinnati City School District, Ohio, Certificates of Participation, Series 2006, 5.000%, 12/15/32 – AGM Insured | 12/16 at 100.00 | AA– | 126,458 |
| 1,315 | Cleveland, Ohio, Income Tax Revenue Bonds, Bridges and Roadways Improvements, Subordinate Lien Series 2013A-2: 5.000%, 10/01/27 | | AA | 1,381,394 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | | |
|-------|---|--------------|--------------------|-----|-----------|
| | | | 10/23 at 100.00 | | |
| 1,520 | 5.000%, 10/01/30 | | 10/23 at 100.00 | AA | 1,558,684 |
| 1,600 | 5.000%, 10/01/31 | | 10/23 at 100.00 | AA | 1,626,560 |
| 6,750 | Cuyahoga County, Ohio, Recovery Zone Facility Economic Development Revenue Bonds, Medical Mart- Convention Center Project, Series 2010F, 5.000%, 12/01/27 | | 12/20 at 100.00 | AA | 7,024,928 |
| 300 | Delaware County District Library, Delaware, Franklin, Marion, Morrow and Union Counties, Ohio, Library Fund Library Facilities Special Obligation Notes, Series 2009, 5.000%, 12/01/34 | | 12/19 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 305,295 |
| 5,000 | Franklin County Convention Facilities Authority, Ohio, Excise Tax and Lease Revenue Anticipation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/27 – AMBAC Insured | | 12/15 at 100.00 | Aaa | 5,279,650 |
| 2,490 | Government of Guam, Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series 2011A, 5.125%, 1/01/42 | | 1/22 at 100.00 | A | 2,370,231 |
| 1,055 | Government of Guam, Business Privilege Tax Bonds, Series 2012B-1, 5.000%, 1/01/42 | | 1/22 at 100.00 | A | 1,007,282 |
| | Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority, Ohio, Sales Tax Supported Capital Improvement Bonds, Refunding Series 2012: | | | | |
| 1,010 | 5.250%, 12/01/27 | | 12/21 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,085,558 |
| 1,090 | 5.250%, 12/01/28 | | 12/21 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,162,005 |
| 760 | 5.250%, 12/01/30 | | 12/21 at 100.00 | AAA | 800,485 |
| 600 | 5.000%, 12/01/31 | | 12/21 at 100.00 | AAA | 612,150 |
| | Hamilton County Convention Facilities Authority, Ohio, First Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2004: | | | | |
| 1,085 | 5.000%, 12/01/18 – FGIC Insured | | 6/14 at 100.00 | A+ | 1,117,691 |
| 1,415 | 5.000%, 12/01/21 – FGIC Insured | | 6/14 at 100.00 | A+ | 1,456,219 |
| 7,250 | Hamilton County, Ohio, Sales Tax Bonds, Subordinate Lien, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 12/01/32 – AMBAC Insured | | 12/16 at 100.00 | A+ | 7,277,478 |
| 5,565 | Hamilton County, Ohio, Sales Tax Bonds, Subordinate Series 2000B, 0.000%, 12/01/28 – AGM Insured | No Opt. Call | | AA– | 2,569,973 |
| 5,000 | Hamilton County, Ohio, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2011A, 5.000%, 12/01/31 | | 12/21 at 100.00 | A+ | 5,013,050 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

NUO Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Tax Obligation/Limited (continued) | | | |
| \$ 1,750 | Hudson City School District, Ohio, Certificates of Participation, Series 2012, 4.000%, 6/01/34 – NPF Insured | 6/22 at 100.00 | Aa3 | \$ 1,517,320 |
| 11,500 | JobsOhio Beverage System, Ohio, Statewide Senior Lien Liquor Profits Revenue Bonds, Tax Exempt Series 2013A, 5.000%, 1/01/38 (UB) (4) | 1/23 at 100.00 | AA | 11,168,225 |
| | JobsOhio Beverage System, Ohio, Statewide Senior Lien Liquor Profits Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 1157: | | | |
| 175 | 17.259%, 1/01/38 (IF) (4) | 1/23 at 100.00 | AA | 154,884 |
| 1,250 | 17.325%, 1/01/38 (IF) (4) | 1/23 at 100.00 | AA | 1,105,750 |
| 875 | 17.325%, 1/01/38 (IF) (4) | 1/23 at 100.00 | AA | 774,025 |
| 1,000 | New Albany Community Authority, Ohio, Community Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2012C, 5.000%, 10/01/24 | 10/22 at 100.00 | A1 | 1,072,760 |
| 32,500 | Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, First Subordinate Series 2009A, 0.000%, 8/01/34 | No Opt. Call | A+ | 7,342,725 |
| 18,900 | Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, First Subordinate Series 2010A, 0.000%, 8/01/35 | No Opt. Call | A+ | 3,942,351 |
| | Riversouth Authority, Ohio, Riversouth Area Redevelopment Bonds, Refunding Series 2012A: | | | |
| 1,645 | 5.000%, 12/01/23 | 12/22 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,804,730 |
| 1,200 | 5.000%, 12/01/24 | 12/22 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,299,528 |
| | Vermilion Local School District, East and Lorain Counties, Ohio, Certificates of Participation, Series 2012: | | | |
| 765 | 5.000%, 12/01/24 | No Opt. Call | Aa3 | 825,565 |
| 805 | 5.000%, 12/01/25 | 12/20 at 100.00 | Aa3 | 859,201 |
| 116,295 | Total Tax Obligation/Limited Transportation – 4.0% (2.6% of Total Investments) | | | 73,642,155 |
| 1,500 | Cleveland, Ohio, Airport System Revenue Bonds, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 1/01/31 – AGM Insured | 1/22 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,484,475 |
| 3,475 | | | A– | 3,484,904 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|--------|---|-----------------|---------|------------|
| | Dayton, Ohio, Airport Revenue Bonds, James M. Cox International Airport, Series 2003C, 5.250%, 12/01/23 – RAAI Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 12/13 at 100.00 | | |
| 3,550 | Ohio Turnpike Commission, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1998A, 5.500%, 2/15/18 – FGIC Insured | No Opt. Call | AA | 4,131,029 |
| 2,050 | Ohio Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Bonds, Infrastructure Project, Junior Lien Series 2013A-1, 5.250%, 2/15/39 | 2/23 at 100.00 | A+ | 2,080,484 |
| 10,575 | Total Transportation U.S. Guaranteed – 24.6% (15.9% of Total Investments) (6) | | | 11,180,892 |
| 3,000 | Centerville City School District, Montgomery County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/30 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) – AGM Insured | 6/15 at 100.00 | Aa1 (6) | 3,236,550 |
| 110 | Central Ohio Solid Waste Authority, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding & Improvements, Series 2012: 5.000%, 12/01/26 (Pre-refunded 6/01/22) | 6/22 at 100.00 | N/R (6) | 128,753 |
| 245 | 5.000%, 12/01/28 (Pre-refunded 6/01/22) | 6/22 at 100.00 | N/R (6) | 286,768 |
| 160 | 5.000%, 12/01/29 (Pre-refunded 6/01/22) | 6/22 at 100.00 | N/R (6) | 187,277 |
| 1,000 | Central Ohio Solid Waste Authority, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 12/01/15 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – AMBAC Insured | 6/14 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,036,200 |
| 605 | Columbus City School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.500%, 12/01/15 (Pre-refunded 12/01/14) – AGM Insured | 12/14 at 100.00 | AA (6) | 645,087 |
| 1,380 | Columbus, Ohio, Tax Increment Financing Bonds, Easton Project, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – AMBAC Insured | 6/14 at 100.00 | N/R (6) | 1,428,990 |
| 2,300 | Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded 12/01/14) | 12/14 at 100.00 | AA+ (6) | 2,436,206 |
| 1,000 | Dayton, Ohio, Airport Revenue Bonds, James M. Cox International Airport, Series 2005B, 5.000%, 12/01/14 – SYNCORA GTY Insured (ETM) | No Opt. Call | A- (6) | 1,060,000 |
| 1,000 | Dayton, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.250%, 12/01/19 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – AMBAC Insured | 6/14 at 100.00 | Aa2 (6) | 1,038,080 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | U.S. Guaranteed (6) (continued) | | | |
| \$ 1,000 | Dublin City School District, Franklin, Delaware and Union Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 12/01/22 (Pre-refunded 12/01/13) – AGM Insured | 12/13 at 100.00 | AAA | \$ 1,012,240 |
| 1,195 | Fairview Park City School District, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/24 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) – NPPFG Insured | 6/15 at 100.00 | Aa2 (6) | 1,290,767 |
| 2,620 | Green, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 5.500%, 12/01/32 (Pre-refunded 12/01/15) | 12/15 at 100.00 | AA+ (6) | 2,907,204 |
| 1,850 | Hilliard City School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, School Construction, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/26 (Pre-refunded 12/01/15) – NPPFG Insured | 12/15 at 100.00 | Aa1 (6) | 2,036,092 |
| 3,000 | Hilliard City School District, Franklin County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/16) – NPPFG Insured | 12/16 at 100.00 | Aa1 (6) | 3,401,280 |
| 1,000 | Hudson City School District, Ohio, Certificates of Participation, Series 2004, 5.000%, 6/01/26 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – NPPFG Insured | 6/14 at 100.00 | Aa3 (6) | 1,035,970 |
| 1,000 | Marysville Exempted Village School District, Ohio, Certificates of Participation, School Facilities Project, Series 2005, 5.250%, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) – NPPFG Insured | 6/15 at 100.00 | N/R (6) | 1,083,210 |
| 1,000 | Mason City School District, Warren and Butler Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/31 (Pre-refunded 6/01/17) | 6/17 at 100.00 | Aa1 (6) | 1,143,120 |
| 1,760 | Middletown City School District, Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/13) – FGIC Insured | 12/13 at 100.00 | Baa1 (6) | 1,781,454 |
| 1,920 | Montgomery County, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Miami Valley Hospital, Series 2009A, 6.250%, 11/15/39 (Pre-refunded 11/15/14) | 11/14 at 100.00 | Aa3 (6) | 2,059,373 |
| 1,750 | Ohio Higher Education Facilities Commission, General Revenue Bonds, Oberlin College, Series 2003, 5.125%, 10/01/24 (Pre-refunded 10/01/13) | 10/13 at 100.00 | AA (6) | 1,757,455 |
| 480 | Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, Denison University, Series 2004: 5.000%, 11/01/21 (Pre-refunded 11/01/14) | 11/14 at 100.00 | Aa3 (6) | 506,731 |
| 935 | 5.000%, 11/01/21 (Pre-refunded 11/01/14) | 11/14 at 100.00 | AA (6) | 987,070 |
| 1,320 | | | A (6) | 1,398,170 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|-------|--|--------------------|---------|-----------|
| | Ohio Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Revenue Bonds, University of Dayton, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/25 (Pre-refunded 12/01/14) – AMBAC Insured | 12/14 at 100.00 | | |
| 1,595 | Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, Administrative Building Fund Projects, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 4/01/25 (Pre-refunded 4/01/15) – AGM Insured | 4/15 at 100.00 | AA (6) | 1,710,366 |
| 2,645 | Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, Adult Correctional Building Fund Project, Series 2004A, 5.250%, 4/01/15 (Pre-refunded 4/01/14) – NPFPG Insured | 4/14 at 100.00 | AA (6) | 2,721,996 |
| 2,000 | Ohio State Building Authority, State Facilities Bonds, Adult Correctional Building Fund Project, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 4/01/23 (Pre-refunded 4/01/15) – AGM Insured | 4/15 at 100.00 | AA (6) | 2,144,660 |
| 3,850 | Ohio State Higher Educational Facilities Commission, Hospital Revenue Bonds, University Hospitals Health System, Series 2009, 6.750%, 1/15/39 (Pre-refunded 1/15/15) | 1/15 at 100.00 | A (6) | 4,187,722 |
| 1,760 | Ohio University at Athens, Subordinate Lien General Receipts Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/01/20 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – NPFPG Insured | 6/14 at 100.00 | Aa3 (6) | 1,823,712 |
| 1,220 | Ohio Water Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Drinking Water Assistance Fund, State Match, Series 2008, 5.000%, 6/01/28 (Pre-refunded 6/01/18) – AGM Insured | 6/18 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,417,347 |
| | Ohio Water Development Authority, Revenue Bonds, Water Development Community Assistance Program, Series 2003: | | | |
| 645 | 5.000%, 12/01/23 (Pre-refunded 12/01/13) – NPFPG Insured | 12/13 at 100.00 | Aa1 (6) | 652,863 |
| 730 | 5.000%, 12/01/23 (Pre-refunded 12/01/13) – NPFPG Insured | 12/13 at 100.00 | Aa1 (6) | 738,899 |
| | Ohio Water Development Authority, Water Pollution Control Loan Fund Revenue Bonds, Water Quality Project, Series 2005B: | | | |
| 1,225 | 5.000%, 6/01/25 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) | 6/15 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,322,498 |
| 275 | 5.000%, 6/01/25 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) | 6/15 at 100.00 | AAA | 296,887 |
| | Olentangy Local School District, Delaware and Franklin Counties, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A: | | | |
| 1,050 | 5.500%, 12/01/15 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – FGIC Insured | 6/14 at 100.00 | AA+ (6) | 1,091,958 |
| 1,000 | 5.250%, 12/01/21 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – FGIC Insured | 6/14 at 100.00 | AA+ (6) | 1,038,080 |
| 1,315 | 5.250%, 12/01/23 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – FGIC Insured | 6/14 at 100.00 | AA+ (6) | 1,365,075 |
| 3,380 | | | AA+ (6) | 3,508,710 |

| | |
|---|-------------------|
| 5.250%, 12/01/24 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – FGIC Insured | 6/14 at 100.00 |
|---|-------------------|

Nuveen Investments 39

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

NUO Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | U.S. Guaranteed (6) (continued) | | | |
| \$ 1,000 | Princeton City School District, Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 12/01/30 (Pre-refunded 12/01/13) – NPFPG Insured | 12/13 at 100.00 | AA (6) | \$ 1,012,240 |
| 1,345 | Troy City School District, Miami County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/28 (Pre-refunded 12/01/14) – AGM Insured | 12/14 at 100.00 | Aa2 (6) | 1,425,175 |
| 2,605 | University of Cincinnati, Ohio, General Receipts Bonds, Series 2004D, 5.000%, 6/01/25 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – AMBAC Insured | 6/14 at 100.00 | AA- (6) | 2,698,702 |
| | Warren City School District, Trumbull County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004: | | | |
| 2,515 | 5.000%, 12/01/20 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – FGIC Insured | 6/14 at 100.00 | AA (6) | 2,605,465 |
| 1,170 | 5.000%, 12/01/22 (Pre-refunded 6/01/14) – FGIC Insured | 6/14 at 100.00 | AA (6) | 1,212,085 |
| 1,000 | West Chester Township, Butler County, Ohio, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2003, 5.000%, 12/01/28 (Pre-refunded 12/01/13) – NPFPG Insured | 12/13 at 100.00 | Aaa | 1,012,260 |
| 63,955 | Total U.S. Guaranteed | | | 67,870,747 |
| | Utilities – 6.1% (3.9% of Total Investments) | | | |
| | American Municipal Power Ohio Inc., General Revenue Bonds, Prairie State Energy Campus Project Series 2008A: | | | |
| 50 | 5.000%, 2/15/38 – AGC Insured | 2/18 at 100.00 | AA- | 49,167 |
| 5,000 | 5.250%, 2/15/43 | 2/18 at 100.00 | A1 | 4,977,600 |
| | Cleveland, Ohio, Public Power System Revenue Bonds, Series 2008B: | | | |
| 2,000 | 0.000%, 11/15/28 – NPFPG Insured | No Opt. Call | A | 914,780 |
| 6,895 | 0.000%, 11/15/32 – NPFPG Insured | No Opt. Call | A | 2,427,178 |
| 2,155 | 0.000%, 11/15/34 – NPFPG Insured | No Opt. Call | A | 669,321 |
| 1,500 | Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Air Quality Revenue Refunding Bonds, Columbus Southern Power Company Project, Series 2009B, 5.800%, 12/01/38 | 12/19 at 100.00 | Baa1 | 1,539,495 |
| 2,025 | Ohio Air Quality Development Authority, Ohio, Revenue Bonds, Ohio Valley Electric Corporation Project, Series 2009E, 5.625%, 10/01/19 | No Opt. Call | BBB- | 2,176,794 |
| 950 | Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest Certificates, Belleville | No Opt. Call | A1 | 440,107 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|--------|---|--------------------|-----|------------|
| | Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2001, 0.000%, 2/15/29 – NPFQ Insured | | | |
| | Ohio Municipal Electric Generation Agency, Beneficial Interest Certificates, Belleville Hydroelectric Project – Joint Venture 5, Series 2004: | | | |
| 2,595 | 5.000%, 2/15/20 – AMBAC Insured | 2/14 at 100.00 | A1 | 2,644,876 |
| 1,000 | 5.000%, 2/15/21 – AMBAC Insured | 2/14 at 100.00 | A1 | 1,018,430 |
| 24,170 | Total Utilities | | | 16,857,748 |
| | Water and Sewer – 7.8% (5.0% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 8,150 | Cincinnati, Ohio, Water System Revenue Bonds, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 12/01/37 | 12/21 at 100.00 | AAA | 8,365,568 |
| 865 | City of Marysville, Ohio, Water System Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/32 – AMBAC Insured | 12/17 at 100.00 | A1 | 873,408 |
| | Cleveland, Ohio, Water Revenue Bonds, Second Lien Series 2012A: | | | |
| 2,500 | 5.000%, 1/01/25 | 1/22 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 2,725,550 |
| 1,975 | 5.000%, 1/01/26 | 1/22 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 2,115,166 |
| 1,025 | Cleveland, Ohio, Waterworks First Mortgage Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 1993G, 5.500%, 1/01/21 – NPFQ Insured | No Opt. Call | Aa1 | 1,207,266 |
| 1,220 | Hamilton, Ohio, Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.250%, 10/01/22 – AGM Insured | 10/15 at 100.00 | A1 | 1,314,123 |
| 2,025 | Ironton, Ohio, Sewer System Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 2011, 5.250%, 12/01/40 – AGM Insured | 12/20 at 100.00 | A2 | 2,057,785 |

40 Nuveen Investments

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | Water and Sewer (continued) | | | |
| \$ 225 | Marysville, Ohio, Wastewater Treatment System Revenue Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/37 – SYNCORA GTY Insured | 12/17 at 100.00 | A– | \$ 224,357 |
| 1,670 | Marysville, Ohio, Wastewater Treatment System Revenue Bonds, Series 2006, 5.250%, 12/01/24 – SYNCORA GTY Insured | 12/16 at 100.00 | A– | 1,858,409 |
| 1,000 | Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewerage Authority, Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2008A, 6.000%, 7/01/44 | 7/18 at 100.00 | BBB– | 735,050 |
| 20,655 | Total Water and Sewer | | | 21,476,682 |
| \$ 484,860 | Total Investments (cost \$432,112,902) – 154.8% | | | 427,175,111 |
| | Floating Rate Obligations – (3.1)% | | | (8,625,000) |
| | MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (26.7)% (7) | | | (73,817,550) |
| | Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (26.6)% (7) | | | (73,500,000) |
| | Other Assets Less Liabilities – 1.6% | | | 4,802,896 |
| | Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100% | | | \$ 276,035,457 |

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions: Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings: Using the highest of Standard & Poor’s Group (“Standard & Poor’s”), Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. (“Moody’s”) or Fitch, Inc. (“Fitch”) rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor’s, Baa by Moody’s or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- (4) Investment, or portion of investment, has been pledged to collateralize the net payment obligations for investments in inverse floating rate transactions.
- (5) At or subsequent to the end of the reporting period, this security is non-income producing. Non-income producing, in the case of a fixed-income security, generally denotes that the issuer has (1) defaulted on the payment of principal or interest, (2) is under the protection of the Federal Bankruptcy Court or (3) the Fund’s Adviser has concluded that the issue is not likely to meet its future interest payment obligations and has directed the Fund’s custodian to cease accruing additional income on the Fund’s records.
- (6) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities.
- (7) MuniFund Term Preferred Shares and Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments are 17.3% and 17.2%, respectively.
- (ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
- (IF) Inverse floating rate investment.
- (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Note 3 – Portfolio Securities and Investments in Derivatives, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for

more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Nuveen Investments 41

NTX

Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund
 Portfolio of Investments
 August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Consumer Discretionary – 1.7% (1.1% of Total Investments) | | | |
| | Austin Convention Enterprises Inc., Texas, Convention Center Hotel Revenue Bonds, First Tier Series 2006A: | | | |
| \$ 1,450 | 5.250%, 1/01/18 – SYNCORA GTY Insured | 1/17 at 100.00 | BB+ | \$ 1,497,995 |
| 1,000 | 5.000%, 1/01/34 – SYNCORA GTY Insured | 1/17 at 100.00 | BB+ | 887,640 |
| 2,450 | Total Consumer Discretionary | | | 2,385,635 |
| | Consumer Staples – 1.2% (0.8% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 1,915 | Puerto Rico, The Children’s Trust Fund, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 5.375%, 5/15/33 | 11/13 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 1,765,917 |
| | Education and Civic Organizations – 11.6% (7.9% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 2,000 | Board of Regents, University of Texas System, Financing System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012B, 5.000%, 8/15/22 | No Opt. Call | AAA | 2,326,340 |
| | Clifton Higher Education Finance Corporation, Texas, Education Revenue Bonds, Uplift Education Charter School, Series 2013A: | | | |
| 1,000 | 4.350%, 12/01/42 | 12/22 at 100.00 | BBB– | 705,070 |
| 1,000 | 4.400%, 12/01/47 | 12/22 at 100.00 | BBB– | 692,540 |
| 1,000 | Danbury Higher Education Authority, Texas, Charter School Revenue Bonds, John H. Wood Jr. Public Charter District, Inspire Academies, Series 2013A, 6.000%, 8/15/28 | 8/23 at 100.00 | BBB– | 984,470 |
| 1,000 | Hale Center Education Facilities Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Wayland Baptist University Project, Improvement and Refunding Series 2010, 5.000%, 3/01/35 | 3/21 at 100.00 | A– | 958,060 |
| 1,000 | Harris County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Medical Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Baylor College of Medicine, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 11/15/26 | 11/22 at 100.00 | A– | 1,028,930 |
| 3,000 | Harris County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Revenue Refunding Bonds, | 6/23 at 100.00 | Baa3 | 2,700,960 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|--------|--|-----------------|------|------------|
| | Young Men's Christian Association of the Greater Houston Area, Series 2013A, 5.000%, 6/01/38 | | | |
| 2,000 | Laredo Community College District, Webb County, Texas, Combined Fee Revenue Bonds, Series 2010, 5.250%, 8/01/35 – AGM Insured | 8/20 at 100.00 | AA– | 2,039,400 |
| 200 | Newark Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Lease Revenue Bonds, A.W. Brown-Fellowship Leadership Academy, Series 2012A, 6.000%, 8/15/42 | 2/15 at 103.00 | BBB– | 187,022 |
| | Red River Education Finance Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Hockaday School, Series 2005: | | | |
| 1,170 | 5.000%, 5/15/27 | 5/15 at 100.00 | AA | 1,225,470 |
| 1,230 | 5.000%, 5/15/28 | 5/15 at 100.00 | AA | 1,285,301 |
| 1,290 | 5.000%, 5/15/29 | 5/15 at 100.00 | AA | 1,325,862 |
| 890 | Texas State University System, Financing Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 3/15/24 – AGM Insured | 9/14 at 100.00 | Aa2 | 932,293 |
| 16,780 | Total Education and Civic Organizations | | | 16,391,718 |
| | Health Care – 13.1% (8.9% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 1,000 | Harris County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Memorial Hermann Healthcare System, Refunding Series 2013A, 5.000%, 12/01/35 | 12/22 at 100.00 | A+ | 956,580 |
| 1,350 | Harrison County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Good Shepherd Health System, Refunding Series 2010, 5.250%, 7/01/28 | 7/20 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 1,335,515 |
| 2,000 | North Central Texas Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Children's Medical Center Dallas Project, Series 2009, 5.750%, 8/15/39 | 8/19 at 100.00 | AA | 2,114,360 |
| 885 | North Central Texas Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Children's Medical Center Dallas Project, Series 2012, 5.000%, 8/15/32 | 8/22 at 100.00 | AA | 912,701 |
| | Richardson Hospital Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Richardson Regional Medical Center, Series 2004: | | | |
| 2,000 | 5.875%, 12/01/24 | 12/13 at 100.00 | A+ | 2,025,160 |
| 1,000 | 6.000%, 12/01/34 | 12/13 at 100.00 | A+ | 1,009,120 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|---|--|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Health Care (continued) | | | | |
| \$ 1,250 | Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Scott & White Healthcare Project, Series 2010, 5.250%, 8/15/40 | 8/20 at 100.00 | AA- | \$ 1,251,050 |
| 2,500 | Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Texas Health Resources, Series 2007B, 5.000%, 11/15/42 | 11/17 at 100.00 | AA- | 2,385,425 |
| 2,000 | Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corporation, Texas, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Christus Health, Series 2008A, 6.500%, 7/01/37 – AGC Insured | 1/19 at 100.00 | AA- | 2,156,760 |
| 1,720 | Tyler Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, East Texas Medical Center Regional Healthcare System, Series 2007A, 5.375%, 11/01/37 | 11/17 at 100.00 | Baa2 | 1,599,118 |
| 700 | Tyler Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Mother Frances Hospital Regional Healthcare Center, Series 2007B, 5.000%, 7/01/37 | 7/17 at 100.00 | Baa1 | 617,834 |
| 2,250 | Tyler Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Mother Frances Hospital Regional Healthcare Center, Series 2007, 5.000%, 7/01/33 | 7/17 at 100.00 | Baa1 | 2,047,950 |
| 18,655 | Total Health Care | | | 18,411,573 |
| Industrials – 1.3% (0.9% of Total Investments) | | | | |
| 2,000 | Gulf Coast Industrial Development Authority, Texas, Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds, Citgo Petroleum Corporation Project, Series 1995, 4.875%, 5/01/25 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 10/22 at 100.00 | BB+ | 1,841,360 |
| Long-Term Care – 1.0% (0.7% of Total Investments) | | | | |
| Bexar County, Texas, Health Facilities Development Corporation Revenue Bonds, Army Retirement Residence, Series 2007: | | | | |
| 910 | 5.000%, 7/01/27 | 7/17 at 100.00 | BBB | 908,590 |
| 600 | 5.000%, 7/01/37 | 7/17 at 100.00 | BBB | 560,754 |
| 1,510 | Total Long-Term Care | | | 1,469,344 |
| Materials – 2.1% (1.4% of Total Investments) | | | | |
| 3,000 | Cass County Industrial Development Corporation, Texas, Environmental Improvement Revenue Bonds, International Paper Company, Series 2000A, 6.600%, 3/15/24 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 9/13 at 100.00 | BBB | 2,935,860 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

Tax Obligation/General – 23.7% (16.1% of Total Investments)

| | | | | |
|-------|--|----------------|-----|-----------|
| 650 | Bexar County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 6/15/19 | 6/14 at 100.00 | Aaa | 673,322 |
| 400 | Calallen Independent School District, Nueces County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, School Building Series 2008, 5.000%, 2/15/38 | 2/18 at 100.00 | AAA | 404,992 |
| 1,620 | Cameron County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, State Highway 550 Project, Series 2012, 5.000%, 2/15/32 – AGM Insured | 2/22 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,644,527 |
| 1,500 | College Station, Texas, Certificates of Obligation, Series 2012, 5.000%, 2/15/32 | 2/21 at 100.00 | AA | 1,540,350 |
| 1,000 | El Paso County Hospital District, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2013, 5.000%, 8/15/33 | 8/23 at 100.00 | AA | 1,012,500 |
| 1,750 | El Paso County, Texas, Certificates of Obligation, Series 2001, 5.000%, 2/15/21 – AGM Insured | No Opt. Call | AA | 2,003,418 |
| 8,500 | Grand Prairie Independent School District, Dallas County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Capital Appreciation Refunding Series 2009, 0.000%, 8/15/39 | 8/18 at 22.64 | AA | 1,546,830 |
| 3,255 | Hutto Independent School District, Williamson County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2012A, 0.000%, 8/01/45 | 8/21 at 100.00 | A | 437,114 |
| 4,900 | Leander Independent School District, Williamson and Travis Counties, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 0.000%, 8/15/45 | 8/14 at 17.78 | AAA | 672,868 |
| 1,000 | Leander Independent School District, Williamson and Travis Counties, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008, 0.000%, 8/15/36 | 8/17 at 33.01 | AAA | 279,220 |
| 365 | Lone Star College System, Harris and Montgomery Counties, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009, 5.000%, 8/15/34 | 8/19 at 100.00 | AAA | 391,773 |
| 1,750 | Martin County Hospital District, Texas, Combination Limited Tax and Revenue Bonds, Series 2011A, 7.250%, 4/01/36 | 4/21 at 100.00 | BBB | 1,816,360 |
| 1,010 | Mercedes Independent School District, Hidalgo County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 8/15/23 | 8/15 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,092,891 |
| 1,935 | Midlothian Independent School District, Ellis County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 2/15/34 | No Opt. Call | Aaa | 1,993,398 |

Nuveen Investments 43

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

NTX Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|--|--|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Tax Obligation/General (continued) | | | | |
| \$ 1,500 | Montgomery County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2008B, 5.250%, 3/01/32 | 3/19 at 100.00 | Aa1 | \$ 1,618,980 |
| 2,000 | Plano Independent School District, Collin County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2008A, 5.250%, 2/15/34 | 2/18 at 100.00 | Aaa | 2,159,820 |
| 1,425 | Port of Houston Authority, Harris County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2010E, 0.000%, 10/01/35 | No Opt. Call | AAA | 510,749 |
| Roma Independent School District, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005: | | | | |
| 1,110 | 5.000%, 8/15/22 | 8/15 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,201,098 |
| 1,165 | 5.000%, 8/15/23 – AGM Insured | 8/15 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,260,612 |
| 1,250 | Southside Independent School District, Bexar County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 8/15/22 | 8/14 at 100.00 | Aaa | 1,304,200 |
| 5,000 | Texas State, General Obligation Bonds, Transportation Commission Mobility Fund, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 4/01/33 (UB) | 4/17 at 100.00 | AAA | 5,182,600 |
| 1,000 | Texas State, General Obligation Bonds, Transportation Commission Mobility Fund, Series 2008, 5.000%, 4/01/30 (UB) | 4/18 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,073,850 |
| 325 | Texas State, General Obligation Bonds, Water Utility, Series 2001, 5.250%, 8/01/23 | 11/13 at 100.00 | Aaa | 326,316 |
| 3,025 | Victoria Independent School District, Victoria County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007, 5.000%, 2/15/32 | 2/17 at 100.00 | AAA | 3,192,857 |
| West Texas Independent School District, McLennan and Hill Counties, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 1998: | | | | |
| 45 | 0.000%, 8/15/22 | 8/14 at 64.64 | AAA | 27,716 |
| 45 | 0.000%, 8/15/24 | 8/14 at 57.95 | AAA | 24,851 |
| White Settlement Independent School District, Tarrant County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006: | | | | |
| 240 | 0.000%, 8/15/43 | 8/15 at 23.11 | AAA | 39,667 |
| 240 | 0.000%, 8/15/44 | | AAA | 36,907 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | | |
|--------|---|--------------|--------------------|-----|------------|
| | | | 8/15 at 21.88 | | |
| 65 | 0.000%, 8/15/45 | | 8/15 at 20.76 | AAA | 9,409 |
| 48,070 | Total Tax Obligation/General Tax Obligation/Limited – 17.5% (11.9% of Total Investments) | | | | 33,479,195 |
| 1,000 | Bexar County, Texas, Venue Project Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2010, 5.250%, 8/15/38 – AGM Insured | | 8/19 at 100.00 | AA– | 1,013,750 |
| 7,940 | Dallas Area Rapid Transit, Texas, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Refunding Series 2007, 5.000%, 12/01/36 – AMBAC Insured | | 12/16 at 100.00 | AA+ | 8,224,329 |
| 1,390 | Harris County Metropolitan Transit Authority, Texas, Sales and Use Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2011A, 5.000%, 11/01/41 | | 11/21 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,400,105 |
| | Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Junior Lien Series 2001H: | | | | |
| 300 | 0.000%, 11/15/24 – NPFPG Insured | No Opt. Call | | A | 153,144 |
| 210 | 0.000%, 11/15/32 – NPFPG Insured | | 11/31 at 94.05 | A | 59,438 |
| 260 | 0.000%, 11/15/33 | | 11/31 at 88.44 | A | 68,375 |
| 2,045 | 0.000%, 11/15/34 – NPFPG Insured | | 11/31 at 83.17 | A | 500,309 |
| 1,130 | 0.000%, 11/15/36 – NPFPG Insured | | 11/31 at 73.51 | A | 237,775 |
| 4,270 | 0.000%, 11/15/38 – NPFPG Insured | | 11/31 at 64.91 | A | 870,311 |
| 2,260 | 0.000%, 11/15/39 – NPFPG Insured | | 11/31 at 60.98 | A | 382,889 |
| | Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2001G: | | | | |
| 2,250 | 5.250%, 11/15/22 – NPFPG Insured | | 11/13 at 100.00 | A | 2,250,293 |
| 3,440 | 0.000%, 11/15/41 – NPFPG Insured | | 11/31 at 53.78 | A | 559,998 |
| 1,000 | Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Third Lien Series 2004A-3, 0.000%, 11/15/33 – NPFPG Insured | | 11/24 at 59.10 | A | 262,980 |
| 2,000 | Houston, Texas, Hotel Occupancy Tax and Special Revenue Bonds, Convention and Entertainment Project, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 9/01/33 | | 11/13 at 100.00 | A2 | 2,000,040 |
| 1,470 | Houston, Texas, Hotel Occupancy Tax and Special Revenue Bonds, Convention and Entertainment Project, Series 2001B, 0.000%, 9/01/32 – AMBAC Insured | No Opt. Call | | A2 | 480,661 |
| 3,000 | North Texas Tollway Authority, Special Projects System Revenue Bonds, Current Interest Series 2011D, 5.000%, 9/01/31 | | 9/21 at 100.00 | AA | 3,077,550 |
| 2,000 | | | | AA | 2,092,120 |

| | |
|---|---------|
| North Texas Tollway Authority, Special Projects | 9/21 at |
| System Revenue Bonds, Series 2011A, 5.500%, | 100.00 |
| 9/01/41 | |

44 Nuveen Investments

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Tax Obligation/Limited (continued) | | | |
| \$ 1,000 | Uptown Development Authority, Houston, Texas, Tax Increment Revenue Bonds, Infrastructure Improvement Facilities, Series 2009, 5.500%, 9/01/29 | 9/19 at 100.00 | BBB | \$ 1,031,930 |
| 36,965 | Total Tax Obligation/Limited | | | 24,665,997 |
| | Transportation – 14.5% (9.9% of Total Investments) | | | |
| 1,000 | Austin, Texas, Airport System Prior Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2003, 5.250%, 11/15/16 – NPMG Insured | 11/13 at 100.00 | A | 1,010,160 |
| | Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2010: | | | |
| 2,945 | 0.000%, 1/01/36 | No Opt. Call | Baa2 | 723,115 |
| 2,205 | 0.000%, 1/01/37 | No Opt. Call | Baa2 | 508,451 |
| 2,160 | 0.000%, 1/01/38 | No Opt. Call | Baa2 | 463,342 |
| 1,000 | 0.000%, 1/01/40 | No Opt. Call | Baa2 | 186,630 |
| 665 | Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, Revenue Bonds, Subordinate Lien Refunding Series 2013, 5.000%, 1/01/42 | 1/23 at 100.00 | Baa3 | 547,614 |
| 1,000 | Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport, Texas, Joint Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2010A, 5.000%, 11/01/42 | 11/20 at 100.00 | A+ | 954,200 |
| 1,165 | Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport, Texas, Joint Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012B, 5.000%, 11/01/35 | 11/20 at 100.00 | A+ | 1,140,465 |
| 1,170 | Grand Parkway Transportation Corporation, Texas, System Toll Revenue Bonds, First Tier Series 2013A, 5.125%, 10/01/43 | 10/23 at 100.00 | BBB+ | 1,083,151 |
| 1,165 | Harris County, Texas, Toll Road Senior Lien Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2012C, 5.000%, 8/15/31 | No Opt. Call | AA | 1,201,465 |
| 2,000 | Houston, Texas, Subordinate Lien Airport System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 7/01/31 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 7/22 at 100.00 | A+ | 1,900,200 |
| 3,000 | Love Field Airport Modernization Corporation, Texas, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds, Southwest Airlines Company, Series 2010, 5.250%, 11/01/40 | 11/20 at 100.00 | BBB– | 2,818,290 |
| 395 | North Texas Tollway Authority, First Tier System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2008A, 5.750%, 1/01/40 | 1/18 at 100.00 | A2 | 413,608 |
| | North Texas Tollway Authority, First Tier System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2008B: | | | |
| 325 | 5.750%, 1/01/40 | 1/18 at 100.00 | A2 | 340,311 |
| 225 | 5.750%, 1/01/40 – NPMG Insured | 1/18 at 100.00 | A | 235,600 |
| 2,500 | | No Opt. Call | AA– | 703,225 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|--------|--|----------------|----------|------------|
| | North Texas Tollway Authority, First Tier System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2008D, 0.000%, 1/01/36 – AGC Insured | | | |
| 950 | North Texas Tollway Authority, Second Tier System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2008F, 5.750%, 1/01/38 | 1/18 at 100.00 | A3 | 987,145 |
| | North Texas Tollway Authority, System Revenue Bonds, First Tier Series 2009A: | | | |
| 100 | 6.100%, 1/01/28 | 1/19 at 100.00 | A2 | 112,446 |
| 2,000 | 6.250%, 1/01/39 | 1/19 at 100.00 | A2 | 2,143,200 |
| 2,500 | San Antonio, Texas, Airport System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 7/01/27 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 7/22 at 100.00 | A+ | 2,537,300 |
| 1,250 | Texas Turnpike Authority, Central Texas Turnpike System Revenue Bonds, First Tier Series 2002A, 0.000%, 8/15/29 – AMBAC Insured | 8/14 at 40.96 | A– | 485,113 |
| 29,720 | Total Transportation | | | 20,495,031 |
| | U.S. Guaranteed – 28.0% (19.0% of Total Investments) (4) | | | |
| 610 | Bexar County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 6/15/19 (Pre-refunded 6/15/14) | 6/14 at 100.00 | Aaa | 633,223 |
| 2,000 | Borger Independent School District, Hutchison County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 2/15/36 (Pre-refunded 2/15/16) | 2/16 at 100.00 | AAA | 2,208,480 |
| | Brazoria County Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Brazosport Memorial Hospital, Series 2004: | | | |
| 1,745 | 5.250%, 7/01/20 (Pre-refunded 7/01/14) – RAAI Insured | 7/14 at 100.00 | BBB- (4) | 1,817,906 |
| 1,835 | 5.250%, 7/01/21 (Pre-refunded 7/01/14) – RAAI Insured | 7/14 at 100.00 | BBB- (4) | 1,911,666 |
| 3,455 | Brownsville, Texas, Utility System Priority Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/27 (Pre-refunded 9/01/15) – AMBAC Insured | 9/15 at 100.00 | A2 (4) | 3,764,533 |
| 1,190 | Canutillo Independent School District, El Paso County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.000%, 8/15/22 (Pre-refunded 8/15/15) | 8/15 at 100.00 | AAA | 1,296,124 |

Nuveen Investments 45

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

NTX Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (continued)
Portfolio of Investments August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | U.S. Guaranteed (4) (continued) | | | |
| \$ 3,260 | Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2005, 5.000%, 1/01/22 (Pre-refunded 1/01/15) – FGIC Insured | 1/15 at 100.00 | A (4) | \$ 3,458,469 |
| 295 | Coppell Independent School District, Dallas County, Texas, Unlimited Tax School Building and Refunding Bonds, Series 1992, 0.000%, 8/15/14 – NPFPG Insured (ETM) | No Opt. Call | Aa3 (4) | 294,153 |
| 3,615 | Frisco, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006, 5.000%, 2/15/26 (Pre-refunded 2/15/16) – FGIC Insured | 2/16 at 100.00 | Aa1 (4) | 3,998,370 |
| 5,000 | Houston, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005E, 5.000%, 3/01/23 (Pre-refunded 3/01/15) – AMBAC Insured | 3/15 at 100.00 | AA (4) | 5,341,049 |
| 1,655 | Irving, Texas, Waterworks and Sewerage Revenue Bonds, Subordinate Lien Series 2004, 5.000%, 8/15/23 (Pre-refunded 8/15/14) – AMBAC Insured | 8/14 at 100.00 | Aa1 (4) | 1,731,180 |
| | Lower Colorado River Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Series 2008: | | | |
| 40 | 5.750%, 5/15/37 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15) | 5/15 at 100.00 | A1 (4) | 43,516 |
| 1,785 | 5.750%, 5/15/37 (Pre-refunded 5/15/15) | 5/15 at 100.00 | A1 (4) | 1,948,310 |
| 3,580 | Midlothian Independent School District, Ellis County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 2/15/34 (Pre-refunded 2/15/15) | 2/15 at 100.00 | N/R (4) | 3,820,970 |
| 1,000 | North Central Texas Health Facilities Development Corporation, Hospital Revenue Bonds, Presbyterian Healthcare System, Series 1996A, 5.750%, 6/01/26 – NPFPG Insured (ETM) | No Opt. Call | Aaa | 1,197,150 |
| 2,500 | Retama Development Corporation, Texas, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds, Retama Park Racetrack, Series 1993, 8.750%, 12/15/18 (Pre-refunded 12/15/17) | 12/17 at 100.00 | Aaa | 3,271,800 |
| 1,260 | Rowlett, Rockwall and Dallas Counties, Texas, Waterworks and Sewerage System Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 3/01/22 (Pre-refunded 3/01/14) – NPFPG Insured | 3/14 at 100.00 | AA- (4) | 1,290,278 |
| 775 | Texas State University System, Financing Revenue Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 3/15/24 (Pre-refunded 9/15/14) – AGM Insured | 9/14 at 100.00 | Aa2 (4) | 813,246 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|---------|------------|
| White Settlement Independent School District, Tarrant County, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006: | | | | |
| 1,260 | 0.000%, 8/15/43 (Pre-refunded 8/15/15) | 8/15 at 23.11 | N/R (4) | 286,864 |
| 1,260 | 0.000%, 8/15/44 (Pre-refunded 8/15/15) | 8/15 at 21.88 | N/R (4) | 271,480 |
| 360 | 0.000%, 8/15/45 (Pre-refunded 8/15/15) | 8/15 at 20.76 | N/R (4) | 73,606 |
| 38,480 | Total U.S. Guaranteed Utilities – 15.7% (10.6% of Total Investments) | | | 39,472,373 |
| 3,000 | Austin, Texas, Electric Utility System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2012A, 5.000%, 11/15/40 | No Opt. Call | AA– | 2,982,120 |
| 2,560 | Brazos River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, TXU Electric Company, Series 1999C, 7.700%, 3/01/32 (Alternative Minimum Tax) | 4/14 at 100.00 | C | 153,600 |
| 1,545 | Brownsville, Texas, Utility System Priority Revenue Bonds, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 9/01/27 – AMBAC Insured | 9/15 at 100.00 | A+ | 1,622,096 |
| 2,000 | Bryan, Brazos County, Texas, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series 2009, 5.000%, 7/01/34 | 7/17 at 100.00 | A+ | 2,006,780 |
| 3,000 | Lower Colorado River Authority, Texas, Refunding Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 5/15/40 | 5/20 at 100.00 | A1 | 2,934,870 |
| 175 | Lower Colorado River Authority, Texas, Revenue Bonds, Series 2008, 5.750%, 5/15/37 | No Opt. Call | A1 | 183,789 |
| 2,000 | Lower Colorado River Authority, Texas, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2012B, 5.000%, 5/15/29 | 5/22 at 100.00 | A1 | 2,058,180 |
| 1,500 | Matagorda County Navigation District Number One, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds, Central Power and Light Company Project, Series 2009A, 6.300%, 11/01/29 | 7/19 at 102.00 | BBB | 1,631,160 |
| 1,000 | Sam Rayburn Municipal Power Agency, Texas, Power Supply System Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2012, 5.000%, 10/01/20 | No Opt. Call | BBB+ | 1,113,450 |
| Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corporation I, Gas Supply Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Series 2008D: | | | | |
| 1,180 | 5.625%, 12/15/17 | No Opt. Call | A– | 1,324,314 |
| 3,000 | 6.250%, 12/15/26 | No Opt. Call | A– | 3,333,630 |
| 1,000 | Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corporation I, Gas Supply Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.250%, 12/15/20 | No Opt. Call | A– | 1,100,240 |
| Texas Municipal Power Agency, Revenue Bonds, Transmission Refunding Series 2010: | | | | |
| 640 | 5.000%, 9/01/34 | 9/20 at 100.00 | A+ | 646,778 |
| 1,000 | 5.000%, 9/01/40 | 9/20 at 100.00 | A+ | 1,004,690 |
| 23,600 | Total Utilities | | | 22,095,697 |

46 Nuveen Investments

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| Principal Amount (000) | Description (1) | Optional Call Provisions (2) | Ratings (3) | Value |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | Water and Sewer – 15.9% (10.8% of Total Investments) | | | |
| \$ 2,500 | Bexar Metropolitan Water District, Texas, Waterworks System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2010, 5.875%, 5/01/40 | 5/20 at 100.00 | A1 | \$ 2,608,175 |
| 2,500 | Canadian River Municipal Water Authority, Texas, Contract Revenue Bonds, Conjunctive Use Groundwater Supply Project, Subordinate Lien Series 2011, 5.000%, 2/15/31 | 2/21 at 100.00 | AA | 2,562,475 |
| | Coastal Water Authority, Texas, Contract Revenue Bonds, Houston Water Projects, Series 2004: | | | |
| 1,005 | 5.000%, 12/15/20 – FGIC Insured | 12/14 at 100.00 | AA | 1,027,713 |
| 1,030 | 5.000%, 12/15/21 – FGIC Insured | 12/14 at 100.00 | AA | 1,049,343 |
| 1,000 | El Paso, Texas, Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2008C, 5.375%, 3/01/29 | 3/18 at 100.00 | AA+ | 1,114,050 |
| 3,000 | Houston, Texas, First Lien Combined Utility System Revenue Bonds, First Lien Series 2004A, 5.250%, 5/15/23 – FGIC Insured | 5/14 at 100.00 | AA | 3,098,010 |
| 2,000 | Houston, Texas, First Lien Combined Utility System Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2012D, 5.000%, 11/15/42 | 11/22 at 100.00 | AA | 2,024,880 |
| | Irving, Texas, Waterworks and Sewerage Revenue Bonds, Subordinate Lien Series 2004: | | | |
| 100 | 5.000%, 8/15/22 – AMBAC Insured | 8/14 at 100.00 | Aa1 | 104,336 |
| 105 | 5.000%, 8/15/23 – AMBAC Insured | 8/14 at 100.00 | Aa1 | 109,553 |
| 4,000 | Laredo, Webb County, Texas, Waterworks and Sewer System Revenue Bonds, Series 2010, 5.250%, 3/01/40 | 3/20 at 100.00 | AA– | 4,093,479 |
| 710 | North Fort Bend Water Authority, Texas, Water System Revenue Bonds, Series 2011, 5.000%, 12/15/36 – AGM Insured | 12/21 at 100.00 | AA– | 698,434 |
| 3,860 | North Harris County Regional Water Authority, Texas, Water Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Refunding Series 2013, 5.000%, 12/15/33 | 12/22 at 100.00 | A+ | 3,905,508 |
| 21,810 | Total Water and Sewer | | | 22,395,956 |
| \$ 244,955 | Total Investments (cost \$207,336,710) – 147.3% | | | 207,805,656 |
| | Floating Rate Obligations – (2.8)% | | | (3,960,000) |
| | MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value – (50.3)% (5) | | | (70,920,000) |
| | Other Assets Less Liabilities – 5.8% | | | 8,130,573 |
| | Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares – 100% | | | \$ 141,056,229 |

- (1) All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets applicable to common shares unless otherwise noted.
- (2) Optional Call Provisions: Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.
- (3) Ratings: Using the highest of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- (4) Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities.
- (5) MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value as a percentage of Total Investments is 34.1%.
(ETM) Escrowed to maturity.
- (UB) Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction. See Notes to Financial Statements, Note 3 – Portfolio Securities and Investments in Derivatives, Inverse Floating Rate Securities for more information.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Nuveen Investments 47

Statement of

Assets & Liabilities

August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | Texas Quality Income (NTX) |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Assets | | | | |
| Investments, at value (cost \$231,851,747, \$455,724,984, \$432,112,902 and \$207,336,710, respectively) | \$ 230,334,920 | \$ 450,567,300 | \$ 427,175,111 | \$ 207,805,656 |
| Cash | 3,527,436 | 236,982 | 700,440 | — |
| Receivable for: | | | | |
| Interest | 2,564,897 | 6,445,397 | 5,542,830 | 2,588,930 |
| Investments sold | — | — | 190,000 | 5,813,933 |
| Deferred offering costs | 523,974 | 425,372 | 455,156 | 783,015 |
| Other assets | 14,946 | 51,355 | 15,943 | 9,688 |
| Total assets | 236,966,173 | 457,726,406 | 434,079,480 | 217,001,222 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Cash overdraft | — | — | — | 215,261 |
| Floating rate obligations | 2,755,000 | 6,625,000 | 8,625,000 | 3,960,000 |
| Payable for: | | | | |
| Common share dividends | 718,149 | 1,423,857 | 1,354,948 | 527,753 |
| Interest | 127,618 | 164,400 | 219,402 | 135,916 |
| MuniFund Term Preferred (MTP) Shares, at liquidation value | 50,671,000 | 16,313,000 | 73,817,550 | 70,920,000 |
| Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred (VMTP) Shares, at liquidation value | 28,000,000 | 141,800,000 | 73,500,000 | — |
| Accrued expenses: | | | | |
| Management fees | 129,026 | 236,715 | 229,596 | 113,349 |
| Trustees fees | 1,014 | 31,991 | 2,826 | 911 |
| Reorganization | 207,259 | — | 117,278 | — |
| Other | 125,645 | 197,193 | 177,423 | 71,803 |
| Total liabilities | 82,734,711 | 166,792,156 | 158,044,023 | 75,944,993 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares | \$ 154,231,462 | \$ 290,934,250 | \$ 276,035,457 | \$ 141,056,229 |
| Common shares outstanding | 11,563,886 | 20,848,187 | 18,521,955 | 10,027,210 |
| Net asset value per common share outstanding (net assets applicable to common shares, divided by common shares outstanding) | \$ 13.34 | \$ 13.95 | \$ 14.90 | \$ 14.07 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares consist of: | | | | |
| Common shares, \$.01 par value per share | \$ 115,639 | \$ 208,482 | \$ 185,220 | \$ 100,272 |
| Paid-in surplus | 157,520,312 | 296,515,763 | 280,778,008 | 142,476,483 |
| Undistributed (Over-distribution of) net investment income | 1,433,447 | 2,516,235 | 2,185,948 | 424,552 |
| Accumulated net realized gain (loss) | (3,321,109) | (3,148,546) | (2,175,928) | (2,414,024) |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) | (1,516,827) | (5,157,684) | (4,937,791) | 468,946 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares | \$ 154,231,462 | \$ 290,934,250 | \$ 276,035,457 | \$ 141,056,229 |
| Authorized shares: | | | | |
| Common | Unlimited | Unlimited | Unlimited | Unlimited |
| Preferred | Unlimited | Unlimited | Unlimited | Unlimited |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

48 Nuveen Investments

Statement of

Operations

Six Months Ended August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | Texas Quality Income (NTX) |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Investment Income | \$ 5,341,643 | \$ 11,426,219 | \$ 10,276,882 | \$ 5,162,884 |
| Expenses | | | | |
| Management fees | 692,822 | 1,480,784 | 1,300,536 | 700,191 |
| Shareholder servicing agent fees and expenses | 26,386 | 36,864 | 41,714 | 12,023 |
| Interest expense and amortization of offering costs | 821,992 | 1,233,183 | 1,466,117 | 971,674 |
| Custodian fees and expenses | 21,736 | 39,057 | 37,697 | 23,155 |
| Trustees fees and expenses | 2,854 | 6,277 | 5,399 | 2,903 |
| Professional fees | 20,463 | 24,052 | 24,351 | 4,506 |
| Shareholder reporting expenses | 90,245 | 159,959 | 111,674 | 7,644 |
| Stock exchange listing fees | 3,590 | 26,032 | 3,979 | 12,536 |
| Investor relations expenses | 11,023 | 18,379 | 17,539 | 11,782 |
| Reorganization expenses | — | 28,133 | — | — |
| Other expenses | 12,754 | 46,112 | 15,674 | 4,133 |
| Total expenses | 1,703,865 | 3,098,832 | 3,024,680 | 1,750,547 |
| Net investment income (loss) | 3,637,778 | 8,327,387 | 7,252,202 | 3,412,337 |
| Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss) | | | | |
| Net realized gain (loss) from investments | (517,663) | 173,281 | 35,991 | 125,314 |
| Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments | (22,977,312) | (49,240,309) | (48,991,044) | (18,107,062) |
| Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) | (23,494,975) | (49,067,028) | (48,955,053) | (17,981,748) |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to common shares from operations | \$ (19,857,197) | \$ (40,739,641) | \$ (41,702,851) | \$ (14,569,411) |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Statement of

Changes in Net Assets (Unaudited)

| | Arizona | | Michigan | |
|---|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | Premium Income (NAZ) | | Quality Income (NUM) | |
| | Six Months | Year | Six Months | Year |
| | Ended | Ended | Ended | Ended |
| | 8/31/13 | 2/28/13 | 8/31/13 | 2/28/13 |
| Operations | | | | |
| Net investment income (loss) | \$ 3,637,778 | \$ 3,359,363 | \$ 8,327,387 | \$ 10,609,331 |
| Net realized gain (loss) from investments | (517,663) | 399,259 | 173,281 | 1,055,844 |
| Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments | (22,977,312) | 2,572,355 | (49,240,309) | 5,075,408 |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to common shares from operations | (19,857,197) | 6,330,977 | (40,739,641) | 16,740,583 |
| Distributions to Common Shareholders | | | | |
| From net investment income | (3,533,302) | (3,435,310) | (9,260,288) | (10,948,631) |
| Decrease in net assets applicable to common shares from distributions to common shareholders | (3,533,302) | (3,435,310) | (9,260,288) | (10,948,631) |
| Capital Share Transactions | | | | |
| Common shares: | | | | |
| Issued in the Reorganizations(1) | 108,375,032 | — | — | 150,995,038 |
| Proceeds from shelf offering, net of offering costs | — | — | — | — |
| Net proceeds from shares issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions | 10,916 | 72,322 | — | — |
| Repurchased and retired | — | — | (122,456) | — |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to common shares from capital share transactions | 108,385,948 | 72,322 | (122,456) | 150,995,038 |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to common shares | 84,995,449 | 2,967,989 | (50,122,385) | 156,786,990 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares at the beginning of period | 69,236,013 | 66,268,024 | 341,056,635 | 184,269,645 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares at the end of period | \$ 154,231,462 | \$ 69,236,013 | \$ 290,934,250 | \$ 341,056,635 |
| Undistributed (Over-distribution of) net investment income at the end of period | \$ 1,433,447 | \$ 1,328,971 | \$ 2,516,235 | \$ 3,449,136 |

(1) Refer to Note 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Fund Reorganizations for further details.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | Ohio | | Texas | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Quality Income (NUO) | Quality Income (NTX) | Quality Income (NUO) | Quality Income (NTX) |
| | Six Months | Year | Six Months | Year |
| | Ended | Ended | Ended | Ended |
| | 8/31/13 | 2/28/13 | 8/31/13 | 2/28/13 |
| Operations | | | | |
| Net investment income (loss) | \$ 7,252,202 | \$ 8,747,176 | \$ 3,412,337 | \$ 6,597,706 |
| Net realized gain (loss) from investments | 35,991 | 528,266 | 125,314 | 146,649 |
| Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments | (48,991,044) | 4,628,049 | (18,107,062) | 4,534,825 |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to common shares from operations | (41,702,851) | 13,903,491 | (14,569,411) | 11,279,180 |
| Distributions to Common Shareholders | | | | |
| From net investment income | (8,013,250) | (9,392,963) | (3,489,278) | (7,480,548) |
| Decrease in net assets applicable to common shares from distributions to common shareholders | (8,013,250) | (9,392,963) | (3,489,278) | (7,480,548) |
| Capital Share Transactions | | | | |
| Common shares: | | | | |
| Issued in the Reorganizations(1) | 152,721,496 | — | — | — |
| Proceeds from shelf offering, net of offering costs | — | — | 159,072 | 6,438,085 |
| Net proceeds from shares issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions | 131,761 | 678,374 | 35,861 | 460,831 |
| Repurchased and retired | — | — | — | — |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to common shares from capital share transactions | 152,853,257 | 678,374 | 194,933 | 6,898,916 |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to common shares | 103,137,156 | 5,188,902 | (17,863,756) | 10,697,548 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares at the beginning of period | 172,898,301 | 167,709,399 | 158,919,985 | 148,222,437 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares at the end of period | \$ 276,035,457 | \$ 172,898,301 | \$ 141,056,229 | \$ 158,919,985 |
| Undistributed (Over-distribution of) net investment income at the end of period | \$ 2,185,948 | \$ 2,946,996 | \$ 424,552 | \$ 501,493 |

(1) Refer to Note 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Fund Reorganizations for further details.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Statement of

Cash Flow

Six Months Ended August 31, 2013 (Unaudited)

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | Texas Quality Income (NTX) |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: | | | | |
| Net Increase (Decrease) In Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares from Operations | \$ (19,857,197) | \$ (40,739,641) | \$ (41,702,851) | \$ (14,569,411) |
| Adjustments to reconcile the net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to common shares from operations to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | | |
| Purchases of investments | (18,126,761) | (35,592,712) | (35,575,601) | (9,312,541) |
| Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments | 18,800,010 | 35,405,460 | 22,948,089 | 15,895,317 |
| Amortization (Accretion) of premiums and discounts, net | 142,113 | 194,961 | (103,609) | 61,736 |
| Assets (Liabilities) acquired in the Reorganizations, net | (47,016,914) | — | (68,626,181) | — |
| (Increase) Decrease in: | | | | |
| Receivable for interest | (1,622,706) | 71,256 | (2,693,309) | 14,101 |
| Receivable for investments sold | — | — | (10,000) | (5,783,933) |
| Other assets | (14,391) | (21,689) | (14,929) | (8,725) |
| Increase (Decrease) in: | | | | |
| Payable for interest | 102,856 | 7,728 | 154,400 | (14) |
| Accrued management fees | 82,017 | 3,680 | 112,376 | 3,922 |
| Accrued Trustees fees | 641 | 1,736 | 1,878 | 40 |
| Accrued reorganization expenses | 127,259 | (555,000) | (82,722) | — |
| Accrued other expenses | 79,311 | 55,637 | 89,718 | (10,469) |
| Net realized (gain) loss from investments | 517,663 | (173,281) | (35,991) | (125,314) |
| Change in net unrealized (appreciation) depreciation of investments | 22,977,312 | 49,240,309 | 48,991,044 | 18,107,062 |
| Taxes paid on undistributed capital gains | (3,118) | (1,181) | (3,148) | (288) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | (43,811,905) | 7,897,263 | (76,550,836) | 4,271,483 |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: | | | | |
| (Increase) Decrease in: | | | | |
| Deferred offering costs | (429,219) | 267,286 | (223,126) | 105,645 |
| Increase (Decrease) in: | | | | |
| Cash overdraft | — | — | — | (1,057,304) |
| Floating rate obligations | — | — | 8,625,000 | — |
| Payable for offering costs | — | (77,209) | — | — |
| MTP Shares, at liquidation value | 50,671,000 | — | 73,817,550 | — |
| Cash distributions paid to common shareholders | (3,075,105) | (9,259,063) | (7,218,326) | (3,478,896) |
| Proceeds from shelf offering, net of offering costs | — | — | — | 159,072 |
| Cost of common shares repurchased and retired | — | (122,456) | — | — |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 47,166,676 | (9,191,442) | 75,001,098 | (4,271,483) |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------|
| Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash | 3,354,771 | (1,294,179) | (1,549,738) | — |
| Cash at the beginning of period | 172,665 | 1,531,161 | 2,250,178 | — |
| Cash at the End of Period | \$ 3,527,436 | \$ 236,982 | \$ 700,440 | \$ — |

Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | Texas Quality Income (NTX) |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Cash paid for interest (excluding amortization of offering costs) | \$ 551,941 | \$ 1,035,377 | \$ 1,010,882 | \$ 822,425 |
| Non-cash financing activities not included herein consists of reinvestments of common share distributions | 10,916 | — | 131,761 | 35,861 |

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Financial

Highlights (Unaudited)

Selected data for a common share outstanding throughout each period:

| | Investment Operations | | | | | Less Distributions | | | | | Ending Market Value | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|--|------|---------------------------|----------|
| | Beginning Common Share Net Asset Value | Net Investment Income (Loss) | Realized Gains (Loss) | Distributions from Net Investment Income to Shareholders (a) | Accumulated Net Realized Gains to Shareholders (a) | From Net Investment Income to Common Shareholders | Accumulated Net Realized Gains to Common Shareholders | Discount from Common Shares Repurchased and Retired | Ending Common Share Net Asset Value | | | |
| Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Year Ended 2/28–2/29: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2014(h) | \$ 15.47 | \$.21 | \$ (1.96) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (1.75) | \$ (.38) | \$ — | \$ (.38) | \$ — | 13.34 | \$ 11.90 |
| 2013 | 14.82 | .75 | .67 | — | — | 1.42 | (.77) | — | (.77) | — | 15.47 | 15.70 |
| 2012 | 13.25 | .80 | 1.54 | (.01) | — | 2.33 | (.76) | — | (.76) | — | 14.82 | 14.61 |
| 2011(f) | 13.99 | .49 | (.77) | (.02) | — | (.30) | (.44) | — | (.44) | — | 13.25 | 12.32 |
| Year Ended 7/31: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | 12.92 | .84 | .96 | (.03) | — | 1.77 | (.70) | — | (.70) | — | 13.99 | 13.34 |
| 2009 | 13.00 | .85 | (.16) | (.13) | — | .56 | (.64) | — | (.64) | — | 12.92 | 12.29 |
| 2008 | 14.00 | .88 | (1.05) | (.22) | — | (.39) | (.61) | — | (.61) | — | 13.00 | 13.35 |
| Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Year Ended 2/28–2/29: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2014(h) | 16.35 | .40 | (2.36) | — | — | (1.96) | (.44) | — | (.44) | —* | 13.95 | 12.58 |
| 2013 | 15.95 | .74 | .55 | — | — | 1.29 | (.89) | — | (.89) | — | 16.35 | 15.62 |
| 2012 | 14.18 | .89 | 1.75 | (.01) | — | 2.63 | (.86) | — | (.86) | —* | 15.95 | 15.40 |
| 2011 | 14.79 | .94 | (.69) | (.03) | — | .22 | (.83) | — | (.83) | —* | 14.18 | 12.75 |
| 2010 | 13.55 | .93 | 1.06 | (.04) | — | 1.95 | (.73) | — | (.73) | .02 | 14.79 | 12.94 |
| 2009(g) | 14.13 | .54 | (.60) | (.13) | — | (.19) | (.39) | — | (.39) | — | 13.55 | 10.61 |
| Year Ended 7/31: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2008 | 14.96 | .93 | (.71) | (.24) | (.04) | (.06) | (.67) | (.10) | (.77) | — | 14.13 | 12.32 |

- (a) The amounts shown are based on common share equivalents.
- (b) Total Return Based on Market Value is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at the time of reinvestment. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending market price. The actual reinvestment for the last dividend declared in the period may

take place over several days, and in some instances may not be based on the market price, so the actual reinvestment price may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

Total Return Based on Common Share Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in common share net asset value, reinvested dividend income at net asset value and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending net asset value. The actual reinvest price for the last dividend declared in the period may often be based on the Fund's market price (and not its net asset value), and therefore may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

* Rounds to less than \$.01 per share.

54 Nuveen Investments

| Total Returns | | | Ratios/Supplemental Data Ratios to Average Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares(c) | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Based on Common Share Net Asset Value(b) | Based on Market Value(b) | Ending Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (000) | Expenses(d) | Net Investment Income (Loss) | Portfolio Turnover Rate(e) |
| (11.45)% | (22.01)% | \$ 154,231 | 2.30%** | 4.92%** | 9% |
| 9.77 | 13.02 | 69,236 | 1.80 | 4.94 | 10 |
| 18.08 | 25.48 | 66,268 | 1.52 | 5.73 | 7 |
| (2.23) | (4.55) | 59,256 | 1.19** | 6.11** | 5 |
| 13.94 | 14.47 | 62,549 | 1.21 | 6.13 | 8 |
| 4.73 | (2.61) | 57,755 | 1.33 | 7.01 | 25 |
| (2.87) | 7.10 | 58,097 | 1.40 | 6.42 | 21 |
| (12.17) | (16.87) | 290,934 | 1.89** | 5.12** | 7 |
| 8.27 | 7.30 | 341,057 | 1.84 | 5.09 | 12 |
| 19.11 | 28.44 | 184,270 | 1.56 | 5.97 | 14 |
| 1.39 | 4.69 | 163,876 | 1.18 | 6.37 | 6 |
| 14.83 | 29.40 | 170,983 | 1.24 | 6.50 | 9 |
| (1.27) | (10.68) | 158,717 | 1.33** | 6.93** | 3 |
| (.43) | (7.77) | 165,525 | 1.29 | 6.28 | 18 |

(c) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to Auction Rate Preferred shareholders, where applicable; Net Investment Income (Loss) ratios reflect income earned and expenses incurred on assets attributable to Auction Rate Preferred Shares (“ARPS”), MTP Shares and/or VMTP Shares, where applicable.

(d) The expense ratios reflect, among other things, all interest expense and other costs related to MTP Shares, VMTP Shares and/or the interest expense deemed to have been paid by the Fund on the floating rate certificates issued by the special purpose trusts for the self-deposited inverse floaters held by the Fund, where applicable, each as described in Note 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, and Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares and Note 3 – Portfolio Securities and Investments in Derivatives, Inverse Floating Rate Securities, respectively, as follows:

Arizona Premium Income (NAZ)

Year Ended 2/28–2/29:

| | |
|---------|---------|
| 2014(h) | 1.11%** |
| 2013 | .57 |

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 2012 | .35 |
| 2011(f) | — |
| Year Ended 7/31: | |
| 2010 | — |
| 2009 | — |
| 2008 | .14 |
| Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | |
| Year Ended 2/28–2/29: | |
| 2014(h) | .76%** |
| 2013 | .70 |
| 2012 | .46 |
| 2011 | .02 |
| 2010 | .02 |
| 2009(g) | — |
| Year Ended 7/31: | |
| 2008 | .04 |

- (e) Portfolio Turnover Rate is calculated based on the lesser of long-term purchases or sales (as disclosed in Note 5 – Investment Transactions) divided by the average long-term market value during the period.
- (f) For the seven months ended February 28, 2011.
- (g) For the seven months ended February 28, 2009.
- (h) For the six months ended August 31, 2013.
- ** Annualized.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Nuveen Investments 55

Financial Highlights (Unaudited) (continued)

Selected data for a common share outstanding throughout each period:

| | Beginning Common Share Net Asset Value | Net Investment Income (Loss) | Net Realized/ Unrealized Gain (Loss) | Investment Operations | | Total | Less Distributions | |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--------|---|---|
| | | | | Distributions from Net Investment Income to Auction Rate Preferred Shareholders(a) | Distributions from Accumulated Net Realized Gains to Auction Rate Preferred Shareholders(a) | | From Net Investment Income to Common Shareholders | From Accumulated Net Realized Gains to Common Shareholders |
| Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | | | | | | | | |
| Year Ended 2/28–2/29: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | \$ | | |
| 2014(h) \$ | 17.64 | \$.33 | \$ (2.56) | \$ — | \$ — | (2.23) | \$ (.51) | \$ — |
| 2013 | 17.17 | .89 | .54 | — | — | 1.43 | (.96) | — |
| 2012 | 15.44 | .99 | 1.68 | (.01) | — | 2.66 | (.93) | — |
| 2011 | 16.15 | 1.01 | (.79) | (.03) | — | .19 | (.90) | — |
| 2010 | 14.56 | 1.01 | 1.42 | (.04) | — | 2.39 | (.80) | — |
| 2009(f) | 15.04 | .56 | (.52) | (.13) | — | (.09) | (.39) | — |
| Year Ended 7/31: | | | | | | | | |
| 2008 | 15.81 | .95 | (.71) | (.25) | (.02) | (.03) | (.67) | (.07) |
| Texas Quality Income (NTX) | | | | | | | | |
| Year Ended 2/28–2/29: | | | | | | | | |
| 2014(h) | 15.87 | .34 | (1.79) | — | — | (1.45) | (.35) | — |
| 2013 | 15.46 | .68 | .47 | — | — | 1.15 | (.77) | — |
| 2012 | 14.12 | .75 | 1.48 | — | — | 2.23 | (.86) | (.03) |
| 2011(g) | 15.01 | .48 | (.85) | (.01) | — | (.38) | (.50) | (.01) |
| Year Ended 7/31: | | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | 13.84 | .94 | 1.08 | (.03) | —* | 1.99 | (.81) | (.01) |
| 2009 | 13.98 | .94 | (.17) | (.13) | (.02) | .62 | (.71) | (.05) |
| 2008 | 14.87 | .94 | (.83) | (.23) | (.02) | (.14) | (.69) | (.06) |

- (a) The amounts shown are based on common share equivalents.
- (b) Total Return Based on Market Value is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at the time of reinvestment. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending market price. The actual reinvestment for the last dividend declared in the period may take place over several days, and in some instances may not be based on the market price, so the actual reinvestment price may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

Total Return Based on Common Share Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in common share net asset value, reinvested dividend income at net asset value and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically

paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending net asset value. The actual reinvest price for the last dividend declared in the period may often be based on the Fund's market price (and not its net asset value), and therefore may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

* Rounds to less than \$.01 per share.

56 Nuveen Investments

| Total Returns | | | Ratios/Supplemental Data Ratios to Average Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares(c) | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Based on Common Share Net Asset Value(b) | Based on Market Value(b) | Ending Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (000) | Expenses(d) | Net Investment Income (Loss) | Portfolio Turnover Rate(e) |
| (12.89)% | (19.40)% | \$ 276,035 | 2.17%** | 5.20%** | 6% |
| 8.53 | 11.27 | 172,898 | 1.76 | 5.14 | 13 |
| 17.73 | 20.55 | 167,709 | 1.50 | 6.10 | 10 |
| 1.09 | .91 | 150,555 | 1.14 | 6.32 | 14 |
| 16.76 | 27.57 | 157,439 | 1.20 | 6.51 | 6 |
| (.49) | (.71) | 141,883 | 1.35** | 6.77** | 10 |
| (.26) | (2.18) | 146,617 | 1.42 | 6.08 | 14 |
| (9.27) | (14.80) | 141,056 | 2.28** | 4.45** | 4 |
| 7.80 | 2.97 | 158,920 | 2.38 | 4.33 | 12 |
| 16.23 | 13.81 | 148,222 | 2.48 | 5.10 | 9 |
| (2.61) | (7.15) | 134,850 | 1.92** | 5.69** | 10 |
| 14.71 | 20.92 | 143,080 | 1.19 | 6.42 | 6 |
| 4.80 | 25.98 | 131,513 | 1.27 | 7.06 | 10 |
| (1.04) | (5.16) | 132,713 | 1.26 | 6.46 | 8 |

- (c) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to Auction Rate Preferred shareholders, where applicable; Net Investment Income (Loss) ratios reflect income earned and expenses incurred on assets attributable to ARPS, MTP Shares and/or VMTP Shares, where applicable.
- (d) The expense ratios reflect, among other things, all interest expense and other costs related to MTP Shares, VMTP Shares and/or the interest expense deemed to have been paid by the Fund on the floating rate certificates issued by the special purpose trusts for the self-deposited inverse floaters held by the Fund, where applicable, each as described in Note 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, MuniFund Term Preferred Shares and Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares and Note 3 – Portfolio Securities and Investments in Derivatives, Inverse Floating Rate Securities, respectively, as follows:

Ohio Quality Income (NUO)

Year Ended 2/28–2/29:

| | |
|---------|---------|
| 2014(h) | 1.05%** |
| 2013 | .61 |
| 2012 | .40 |
| 2011 | — |

| | |
|----------------------------|---------|
| 2010 | — |
| 2009(f) | .04** |
| Year Ended 7/31: | |
| 2008 | .16 |
| Texas Quality Income (NTX) | |
| Year Ended 2/28–2/29: | |
| 2014(h) | 1.27%** |
| 2013 | 1.27 |
| 2012 | 1.37 |
| 2011(g) | .80** |
| Year Ended 7/31: | |
| 2010 | .02 |
| 2009 | .01 |
| 2008 | .05 |

- (e) Portfolio Turnover Rate is calculated based on the lesser of long-term purchases or sales (as disclosed in Note 5 – Investment Transactions) divided by the average long-term market value during the period.
- (f) For the seven months ended February 28, 2009.
- (g) For the seven months ended February 28, 2011.
- (h) For the six months ended August 31, 2013.
- ** Annualized.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Financial Highlights (Unaudited) (continued)

| | ARPS at the End of Period | | MTP Shares at the End of Period (a) | | VMTP Shares at the End of Period | | MTP and VMTP Shares at the End of Period |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | Aggregate Amount Outstanding (000) | Asset Coverage Per \$25,000 Share | Aggregate Amount Outstanding (000) | Asset Coverage Per \$10 Share | Aggregate Amount Outstanding (000) | Asset Coverage Per \$100,000 Share | Asset Coverage Per \$1 Liquidation Preference |
| Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | | | | | | | |
| Year Ended 2/28–2/29: | | | | | | | |
| 2014(d) | \$ — | \$ — | 50,671 | \$ 29.60 | \$ 28,000 | \$ 296,046 | \$ 2.96 |
| 2013 | — | — | — | — | 28,000 | 347,271 | — |
| 2012 | — | — | — | — | 28,000 | 336,672 | — |
| 2011(b) | 27,875 | 78,144 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Year Ended 7/31: | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | 27,875 | 81,097 | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2009 | 27,875 | 76,798 | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2008 | 30,000 | 73,414 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | | | | | | | |
| Year Ended 2/28–2/29: | | | | | | | |
| 2014(d) | — | — | 16,313 | 28.40 | 141,800 | 284,004 | 2.84 |
| 2013 | — | — | 16,313 | 31.57 | 141,800 | 315,704 | 3.16 |
| 2012 | — | — | — | — | 87,900 | 309,636 | — |
| 2011 | 87,325 | 71,915 | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2010 | 87,325 | 73,950 | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2009(c) | 90,900 | 68,651 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Year Ended 7/31: | | | | | | | |
| 2008 | 94,000 | 69,023 | — | — | — | — | — |

(a) The Ending and Average Market Value Per Share for each Series of the Fund's MTP Shares were as follows:

| | 2014(d) | 2013(f) |
|--|----------|---------|
| Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) Series 2015 (NAZ PRC) (e) | | |
| Ending Market Value per Share | \$ 10.01 | — |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Average Market Value per Share | 10.01 Δ | — |
| Series 2016 (NAZ PRD) (e) | | |
| Ending Market Value per Share | 10.10 | — |
| Average Market Value per Share | 10.10 Δ | — |
| Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | | |
| Series 2015 (NUM PRC) | | |
| Ending Market Value per Share | 10.02 | \$ 10.08 |
| Average Market Value per Share | 10.03 | 10.06 $\Delta\Delta$ |

- (b) For the seven months ended February 28, 2011.
- (c) For the seven months ended February 28, 2009.
- (d) For the six months ended August 31, 2013.
- (e) MTP Shares issued in connection with the Reorganizations as further described in Note 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Fund Reorganizations and MuniFund Term Preferred Shares.
- (f) The Fund did not issue MTP Shares prior to the fiscal year end February 28, 2013.
- Δ For the period April 8, 2013 (effective date of the Reorganizations) through August 31, 2013.
- $\Delta\Delta$ For the period January 7, 2013 (effective date of the Reorganizations) through February 28, 2013.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

| | ARPS at the End of Period | | MTP Shares at the End of Period (a) | | VMTP Shares at the End of Period | | MTP and VMTP Shares at the End of Period |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | Aggregate Amount Outstanding (000) | Asset Coverage Per \$25,000 Share | Aggregate Amount Outstanding (000) | Asset Coverage Per \$10 Share | Aggregate Amount Outstanding (000) | Asset Coverage Per \$100,000 Share | Asset Coverage Per \$1 Liquidation Preference |
| Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | | | | | | | |
| Year Ended 2/28-2/29: | | | | | | | |
| 2014(d) | \$ — | \$ — | 73,818 | \$ 28.74 | \$ 73,500 | \$ 287,374 | 2.87 |
| 2013 | — | — | — | — | 73,500 | 335,236 | — |
| 2012 | — | — | — | — | 73,500 | 328,176 | — |
| 2011 | 73,000 | 76,560 | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2010 | 73,000 | 78,917 | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2009(b) | 77,000 | 71,066 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Year Ended 7/31: | | | | | | | |
| 2008 | 77,000 | 72,603 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Texas Quality Income (NTX) | | | | | | | |
| Year Ended 2/28-2/29: | | | | | | | |
| 2014(d) | — | — | 70,920 | 29.89 | — | — | — |
| 2013 | — | — | 70,920 | 32.41 | — | — | — |
| 2012 | — | — | 70,920 | 30.90 | — | — | — |
| 2011(c) | — | — | 70,920 | 29.01 | — | — | — |
| Year Ended 7/31: | | | | | | | |
| 2010 | 65,050 | 79,988 | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2009 | 65,050 | 75,543 | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2008 | 69,000 | 73,084 | — | — | — | — | — |

(a) The Ending and Average Market Value Per Share for each Series of the Fund's MTP Shares were as follows:

| | 2014(d) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011(f) |
|--------------------------------|----------|------|------|---------|
| Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | | | | |
| Series 2014 (NUO PRACL) (e) | | | | |
| Ending Market Value per Share | \$ 10.05 | — | — | — |
| Average Market Value per Share | 10.02 | — | — | — |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|----|-------|----|-------|---------|
| Series 2015 (NUO PRCCL) (e) | | | | | | |
| Ending Market Value per Share | 10.04 | | — | — | | — |
| Average Market Value per Share | 10.04 | | — | — | | — |
| Series 2016 (NUO PRDCL) (e) | | | | | | |
| Ending Market Value per Share | 10.04 | | — | — | | — |
| Average Market Value per Share | 10.07 | | — | — | | — |
| Texas Quality Income (NTX) | | | | | | |
| Series 2015 (NTX PRC) | | | | | | |
| Ending Market Value per Share | 10.03 | \$ | 10.04 | \$ | 10.05 | \$ 9.85 |
| Average Market Value per Share | 10.04 | | 10.06 | | 9.97 | 9.86 |

- (b) For the seven months ended February 28, 2009.
- (c) For the seven months ended February 28, 2011.
- (d) For the six months ended August 31, 2013.
- (e) MTP Shares issued in connection with the Reorganizations as further described in Note 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies, Fund Reorganizations and MuniFund Term Preferred Shares.
- (f) The Fund did not issue MTP Shares prior to the fiscal year ended February 28, 2011.
For the period April 8, 2013 (effective date of the Reorganizations) through August 31, 2013.
For the period November 2, 2010 (first issuance date of shares) through February 28, 2011.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Nuveen Investments 59

Notes to

Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. General Information and Significant Accounting Policies

General Information

The funds covered in this report and their corresponding New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) symbols are as follows (each a “Fund” and collectively, the “Funds”):

- Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund (NAZ) (“Arizona Premium Income (NAZ)”)
- Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund (NUM) (“Michigan Quality Income (NUM)”)
- Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund (NUO) (“Ohio Quality Income (NUO)”)
- Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund (NTX) (“Texas Quality Income (NTX)”)

The Funds are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as diversified, closed-end registered investment companies. Arizona Premium Income (NAZ), Michigan Quality Income (NUM) and Ohio Quality Income (NUO) were organized as Massachusetts business trusts on April 8, 2013, January 7, 2013 and April 8, 2013, respectively (previously organized as Minnesota trusts on January 23, 1991, July 25, 1991 and October 17, 1991, respectively). Texas Quality Income (NTX) was organized as a Massachusetts business trust on July 26, 1991.

The Funds’ investment adviser is Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC (the “Adviser”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nuveen Investments, Inc. (“Nuveen”). The Adviser is responsible for each Fund’s overall investment strategy and asset allocation decisions. The Adviser has entered into sub-advisory agreements with Nuveen Asset Management, LLC (the “Sub-Adviser”), a subsidiary of the Adviser, under which the Sub-Adviser manages the investment portfolios of the Funds.

Each Fund seeks to provide current income exempt from both regular federal and designated state income taxes by investing primarily in a portfolio of municipal obligations issued by state and local government authorities within a single state or certain U.S. territories.

Fund Reorganizations

Effective before the opening of business on April 8, 2013, certain Arizona and Ohio Funds were reorganized in two of the larger-state funds included in this report as follows:

| Acquired Funds | Acquiring Funds |
|---|------------------------------|
| Arizona Funds | |
| Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NFZ) (“Arizona Dividend Advantage (NFZ)”) | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) |
| Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NKR) (“Arizona Dividend Advantage 2 (NKR)”) | |
| Nuveen Arizona Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NXE) (“Arizona Dividend Advantage 3 (NXE)”) | |
| Ohio Funds | |
| Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NXI) (“Ohio Dividend Advantage (NXI)”) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) |

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NBJ) (“Ohio Dividend Advantage 2 (NBJ)”)

Nuveen Ohio Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NVJ) (“Ohio Dividend Advantage 3 (NVJ)”)

The reorganizations of the Funds were approved by the shareholders of the Acquired Funds at a special meeting on March 11, 2013.

Upon the closing of each Fund’s reorganization (each a “Reorganization” and collectively, the “Reorganizations”), the Acquired Funds transferred their assets to the Acquiring Funds in exchange for common and preferred shares of the Acquiring Funds and the assumption by the Acquiring Funds of the liabilities of the Acquired Funds. The Acquired Funds were then liquidated, dissolved and terminated in accordance with their Declaration of Trust. Shareholders of the Acquired Funds became shareholders of the Acquiring Funds. Holders of common shares of the Acquired Funds received newly issued common shares of the Acquiring Funds, the aggregate net asset value of which was equal to the aggregate net asset value of the common shares of the Acquired Funds held immediately prior to the Reorganizations (including for this purpose fractional Acquiring Funds shares to which shareholders would be entitled). Fractional shares were sold on the open market and shareholders received cash in lieu of such fractional shares. Holders of preferred shares of the Acquired Funds received on a one-for-one basis newly issued preferred shares of the Acquiring Funds, in exchange for preferred shares of the Acquired Funds held immediately prior to the Reorganizations. Details of each state’s Reorganizations are further described in the MuniFund Term Preferred Shares section of this note and Note 8 – Fund Reorganizations.

60 Nuveen Investments

Significant Accounting Policies

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Funds in the preparation of their financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“U.S. GAAP”).

Investment Transactions

Investment transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses from investment transactions are determined on the specific identification method, which is the same basis used for federal income tax purposes. Investments purchased on a when-issued/delayed delivery basis may have extended settlement periods. Any investments so purchased are subject to market fluctuation during this period. The Funds have instructed the custodian to earmark securities in the Funds’ portfolios with a current value at least equal to the amount of the when-issued/delayed delivery purchase commitments. As of August 31, 2013, there were no such outstanding purchase commitments in any of the Funds.

Investment Income

Interest income, which reflects the amortization of premiums and includes accretion of discounts for financial reporting purposes, is recorded on an accrual basis. Investment income also reflects paydown gains and losses, if any.

Professional Fees

Professional fees presented on the Statement of Operations consist of legal fees incurred in the normal course of operations, audit fees, tax consulting fees and, in some cases, workout expenditures. Workout expenditures are incurred in an attempt to protect or enhance an investment or to pursue other claims or legal actions on behalf of Fund shareholders. Should a Fund receive a refund of workout expenditures paid in a prior reporting period, such amounts will be recognized as “Legal fee refund” on the Statement of Operations.

Dividends and Distributions to Common Shareholders

Dividends from net investment income are declared monthly. Net realized capital gains and/or market discount from investment transactions, if any, are distributed to shareholders at least annually. Furthermore, capital gains are distributed only to the extent they exceed available capital loss carryforwards.

Distributions to common shareholders of net investment income, net realized capital gains and/or market discount, if any, are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The amount and timing of distributions are determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations, which may differ from U.S. GAAP.

Auction Rate Preferred Shares

Each Fund is authorized to issue Auction Rate Preferred Shares (“ARPS”). During prior fiscal periods, the Funds redeemed all of their outstanding ARPS, at liquidation value.

MuniFund Term Preferred Shares

The Funds have issued and outstanding MuniFund Term Preferred (“MTP”) Shares, with a \$10 stated (“par”) value per share. Each Fund’s MTP Shares may be issued in one or more Series and trade on the NYSE/NYSE MKT. Dividends on MTP Shares, which are recognized as interest expense for financial reporting purposes, are paid monthly at a fixed annual rate, subject to adjustments in certain circumstances.

In connection with Arizona Premium Income’s (NAZ) and Ohio Quality Income’s (NUO) Reorganizations, holders of MTP Shares of the Acquired Funds received on a one-for-one basis newly issued MTP Shares of the Acquiring Funds, in exchange for MTP Shares of the Acquired Funds held immediately prior to the Reorganizations.

Prior to the closing of the Reorganizations, details of each Acquired Funds’ outstanding MTP Shares were as follows:

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | Series | NYSE/ NYSE MKT Ticker | Shares Outstanding | Shares Outstanding at \$10 Per Share Liquidation Value | Annual Interest Rate |
|------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Arizona Dividend Advantage (NFZ) | | | | | |
| | 2015 | NFZ PRC | 1,110,000 | \$ 11,100,000 | 2.05% |
| Arizona Dividend Advantage 2 (NKR) | | | | | |
| | 2015 | NKR PRC | 1,872,500 | \$ 18,725,000 | 2.05% |
| Arizona Dividend Advantage 3 (NXE) | | | | | |
| | 2016 | NXE PRC | 2,084,600 | \$ 20,846,000 | 2.90% |

Nuveen Investments 61

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) (continued)

| | Series | NYSE/ NYSE MKT Ticker | Shares Outstanding | | Shares Outstanding at \$10 Per Share Liquidation Value | Annual Interest Rate |
|--|--------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----|---|----------------------------|
| Ohio Dividend Advantage (NXI) | | | | | | |
| | 2015 | NXI PRC | 1,945,000 | \$ | 19,450,000 | 2.35% |
| | 2016 | NXI PRD | 1,165,340 | | 11,653,400 | 2.95% |
| Ohio Dividend Advantage 2 (NBJ) | | | | | | |
| | 2014 | NBJ PRA | 2,424,400 | \$ | 24,244,000 | 2.35% |
| Ohio Dividend Advantage 3 (NVJ) | | | | | | |
| | 2014 | NVJ PRA | 1,847,015 | \$ | 18,470,150 | 2.35% |

As of August 31, 2013, the details of each Fund's MTP Shares outstanding were as follows:

| | Series | NYSE/ NYSE MKT Ticker | Shares Outstanding | | Shares Outstanding at \$10 Per Share Liquidation Value | Annual Interest Rate |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----|--|----------------------------|
| Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | | | | | | |
| | 2015* | NAZ PRC | 2,982,500 | \$ | 29,825,000 | 2.05% |
| | 2016* | NAZ PRD | 2,084,600 | | 20,846,000 | 2.90% |
| Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | | | | | | |
| | 2015 | NUM PRC | 1,631,300 | \$ | 16,313,000 | 2.30% |
| Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | | | | | | |
| | 2014* | NUO PRACL | 4,271,415 | \$ | 42,714,150 | 2.35% |
| | 2015* | NUO PRCCL | 1,945,000 | | 19,450,000 | 2.35% |
| | 2016* | NUO PRDCL | 1,165,340 | | 11,653,400 | 2.95% |
| Texas Quality Income (NTX) | | | | | | |
| | 2015 | NTX PRC | 7,092,000 | \$ | 70,920,000 | 2.30% |

* MTP Shares issued in connection with the Reorganizations.

Each Fund is obligated to redeem its MTP Shares by the date as specified in its offering document ("Term Redemption Date"), unless earlier redeemed or repurchased by the Fund. MTP Shares are subject to optional and mandatory redemption in certain circumstances. MTP Shares were subject to redemption at the option of each Fund ("Optional Redemption Date"), subject to a payment of premium for one year following the Optional Redemption Date ("Premium Expiration Date"), and at par thereafter. MTP Shares also will be subject to redemption, at the option of each Fund, at par in the event of certain changes in the credit rating of the MTP Shares. Each Fund may be obligated to redeem certain of the MTP Shares if the Fund fails to maintain certain asset coverage and leverage ratio requirements and such failures are not cured by the applicable cure date. The redemption price per share is equal to the sum of the liquidation value per share plus any accumulated but unpaid dividends. The Term Redemption Date, Optional

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

Redemption Date and Premium Expiration Date for each Fund's series of MTP Shares by NYSE/NYSE MKT ticker symbol are as follows:

| Series | NYSE/ NYSE MKT Ticker | Term Redemption Date | Optional Redemption Date | Premium Expiration Date |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | | | | |
| 2015* | NAZ PRC | November 1, 2015 | November 1, 2011 | October 31, 2012 |
| 2016* | NAZ PRD | March 1, 2016 | March 1, 2012 | February 28, 2013 |
| Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | | | | |
| 2015 | NUM PRC | December 1, 2015 | December 1, 2011 | November 30, 2012 |
| Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | | | | |
| 2014* | NUO PRACL | May 1, 2014 | May 1, 2012 | April 30, 2013 |
| 2015* | NUO PRCCL | December 1, 2015 | December 1, 2011 | November 30, 2012 |
| 2016* | NUO PRDCL | April 1, 2016 | April 1, 2012 | March 31, 2013 |
| Texas Quality Income (NTX) | | | | |
| 2015 | NTX PRC | December 1, 2015 | December 1, 2011 | November 30, 2012 |

* MTP Shares issued in connection with the Reorganizations.

The average liquidation value of all series of MTP Shares outstanding for each Fund during the six months ended August 31, 2013, was as follows:

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ)** | Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO)** | Texas Quality Income (NTX) |
|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Average liquidation value of MTP Shares outstanding | \$ 50,671,000 | \$ 16,313,000 | \$ 73,817,550 | \$ 70,920,000 |

** For the period April 8, 2013 (effective date of the Reorganizations) through August 31, 2013.

For financial reporting purposes only, the liquidation value of MTP Shares is recorded as a liability and recognized as “MuniFund Term Preferred (MTP) Shares, at liquidation value” on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. Unpaid dividends on MTP Shares are recognized as a component of “Interest payable” on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. Dividends paid on MTP Shares are recognized as a component of “Interest expense and amortization of offering costs” on the Statement of Operations. Costs incurred by the Funds in connection with their offerings of MTP Shares were recorded as a deferred charge, which are amortized over the life of the shares and are recognized as components of “Deferred offering costs” on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities and “Interest expense and amortization of offering costs” on the Statement of Operations.

Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred Shares

The following Funds have issued and outstanding Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred (“VMTP”) Shares, with a \$100,000 liquidation value per share.

Arizona Premium Income (NAZ), Michigan Quality Income (NUM) and Ohio Quality Income (NUO) issued their VMTP Shares in privately negotiated offerings, which were offered to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933. As of August 31, 2013, the number of VMTP Shares outstanding, at liquidation value, for the Funds are as follows:

| | Series | Shares Outstanding | Shares Outstanding at \$100,000 Per Share Liquidation Value |
|-------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|--|
| Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | 2014 | 280 | \$ 28,000,000 |
| Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | 2014 | 879 | \$ 87,900,000 |
| | 2014-1 | 539 | \$ 53,900,000 |
| Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | 2014 | 735 | \$ 73,500,000 |

Each Fund is obligated to redeem its VMTP Shares by the date as specified in its offering document (“Term Redemption Date”), unless earlier redeemed or repurchased by the Fund. VMTP Shares are subject to optional and mandatory redemption in certain circumstances. The VMTP Shares are subject to redemption at the option of each Fund (“Optional Redemption Date”), subject to payment of premium for one year following the Optional Redemption Date (“Premium Expiration Date”), and at par thereafter. Each Fund may be obligated to redeem certain of the VMTP

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

Shares if the Fund fails to maintain certain asset coverage and leverage ratio requirements and such failures are not cured by the applicable cure date. The redemption price per share is equal to the sum of the liquidation value per share plus any accumulated but unpaid dividends. The Term Redemption Date, Optional Redemption Date and Premium Expiration Date for the Fund's VMTP Shares are as follows:

| Series | Term Redemption Date | Optional Redemption Date | Premium Expiration Date |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | | | |
| 2014 | August 1, 2014 | August 1, 2012 | July 31, 2012 |
| Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | | | |
| 2014 | August 1, 2014 | August 1, 2012 | July 31, 2012 |
| 2014-1 | August 1, 2014 | August 1, 2012 | July 31, 2012 |
| Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | | | |
| 2014 | August 1, 2014 | August 1, 2012 | July 31, 2012 |

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) (continued)

The average liquidation value of VMTP Shares outstanding and annualized dividend rate for the Fund during the six months ended August 31, 2013, were as follows:

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| Average liquidation value of VMTP Shares outstanding | \$ 28,000,000 | \$ 141,800,000 | \$ 73,500,000 |
| Annualized dividend rate | 1.16% | 1.16% | 1.16% |

Dividends on VMTP shares (which are treated as interest payments for financial reporting purposes) are set weekly.

For financial reporting purposes only, the liquidation value of VMTP Shares is recorded as a liability and recognized as “Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred (VMTP) Shares, at liquidation value” on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. Unpaid dividends on VMTP Shares are recognized as a component of “Interest payable” on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. Dividends paid on VMTP Shares are recognized as a component of “Interest expense and amortization of offering costs” on the Statement of Operations. Costs incurred by the Fund in connection with its offering of VMTP Shares were recorded as a deferred charge, which are amortized over the life of the shares and are recognized as components of “Deferred offering costs” on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities and “Interest expense and amortization of offering costs” on the Statement of Operations.

Common Shares Equity Shelf Programs and Offering Costs

Texas Quality Income (NTX) has filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) authorizing the Fund to issue additional common shares through its ongoing equity shelf program (“shelf offering”), which became effective with the SEC during prior fiscal periods.

Under the shelf offering, the Fund, subject to market conditions, may raise additional equity capital from time to time in varying amounts and offering methods at a net price at or above the Fund’s net asset value (“NAV”) per common share.

Authorized common shares, common shares issued and offering proceeds, net of offering costs under the Fund’s shelf offering during the six months ended August 31, 2013 and the fiscal year ended February 28, 2013 were as follows:

| | Six Months Ended 8/31/13 | Texas Quality Income (NTX) | Year Ended 2/28/13 |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Authorized common shares | 950,000 | | 950,000 |
| Common shares issued | 10,120 | | 398,357 |
| Offering proceeds, net of offering costs | \$ 159,072 | \$ | 6,438,085 |

Costs incurred by the Fund in connection with its initial shelf offering are recorded as a deferred charge, which are amortized over the period such additional common shares are sold not to exceed the one-year life of the shelf offering period and are recognized as a component of “Deferred offering costs” on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. Ongoing shelf offering costs, and any additional costs the Fund may incur in connection with this shelf offering, are

expensed as incurred and recorded as a component of "Proceeds from shelf offering, net of offering costs" on the Statement of Changes in Net Assets.

During the six months ended August 31, 2013, Nuveen Securities, LLC, the Funds' distributor and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nuveen, received commissions of \$324, related to the sale of common shares from the shelf offering of Texas Quality Income (NTX).

Indemnifications

Under the Funds' organizational documents, their officers and trustees are indemnified against certain liabilities arising out of the performance of their duties to the Funds. In addition, in the normal course of business, the Funds enter into contracts that provide general indemnifications to other parties. The Funds' maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against the Funds that have not yet occurred. However, the Funds have not had prior claims or losses pursuant to these contracts and expect the risk of loss to be remote.

Netting Agreements

In the ordinary course of business, the Funds have entered into transactions subject to enforceable master repurchase agreements, International Swaps and Derivative Association, Inc. ("ISDA") master agreements or other similar arrangements ("netting agreements"). Generally, the right to offset in netting agreements allows each Fund to offset any exposure to a specific counterparty with any collateral received or delivered to that counterparty based on the terms of the agreements. Generally, a Fund manages its cash collateral and securities collateral on a counterparty basis. As of August 31, 2013, the Funds were not invested in any portfolio securities or derivatives that are subject to netting agreements.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of increases and decreases in net assets applicable to common shares from operations during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

2. Investment Valuation and Fair Value Measurements

Investment Valuation

Prices of municipal bonds are provided by a pricing service approved by the Funds' Board of Trustees. These securities are generally classified as Level 2 for fair value measurement purposes. The pricing service establishes a security's fair value using methods that may include consideration of the following: yields or prices of investments of comparable quality, type of issue, coupon, maturity and rating, market quotes or indications of value from security dealers, evaluations of anticipated cash flows or collateral, general market conditions and other information and analysis, including the obligor's credit characteristics considered relevant. In pricing certain securities, particularly less liquid and lower quality securities, the pricing service may consider information about a security, its issuer, or market activity, provided by the Adviser. These securities are generally classified as Level 2 or Level 3 depending on the priority of the significant inputs.

Certain securities may not be able to be priced by the pre-established pricing methods as described above. Such securities may be valued by the Funds' Board of Trustees or its designee at fair value. These securities generally include, but are not limited to, restricted securities (securities which may not be publicly sold without registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended) for which a pricing service is unable to provide a market price; securities whose trading has been formally suspended; debt securities that have gone into default and for which there is no current market quotation; a security whose market price is not available from a pre-established pricing source; a security with respect to which an event has occurred that is likely to materially affect the value of the security after the market has closed but before the calculation of a Fund's net asset value (as may be the case in non-U.S. markets on which the security is primarily traded) or make it difficult or impossible to obtain a reliable market quotation; and a security whose price, as provided by the pricing service, is not deemed to reflect the security's fair value. As a general principle, the fair value of a security would appear to be the amount that the owner might reasonably expect to receive for it in a current sale. A variety of factors may be considered in determining the fair value of such securities, which may include consideration of the following: yields or prices of investments of comparable quality, type of issue, coupon, maturity and rating, market quotes or indications of value from security dealers, evaluations of anticipated cash flows or collateral, general market conditions and other information and analysis, including the obligor's credit characteristics considered relevant. These securities are generally classified as Level 2 or Level 3 depending on the priority of the significant inputs. Regardless of the method employed to value a particular security, all valuations are subject to review by the Funds' Board of Trustees or its designee.

Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is defined as the price that the Funds would receive upon selling an investment or transferring a liability in an orderly transaction to an independent buyer in the principal or most advantageous market for the investment. A three-tier hierarchy is used to maximize the use of observable market data and minimize the use of unobservable inputs and to establish classification of fair value measurements for disclosure purposes. Observable inputs reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Observable inputs are based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity. Unobservable inputs reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Unobservable inputs are based on the best information available in the circumstances. The following is a summary of the three-tiered hierarchy of valuation input levels.

Level 1 – Inputs are unadjusted and prices are determined using quoted prices in active markets for identical securities.

Level 2 – Prices are determined using other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.).

Level 3 –

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

Prices are determined using significant unobservable inputs (including management’s assumptions in determining the fair value of investments).

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not an indication of the risks associated with investing in those securities. The following is a summary of each Fund’s fair value measurements as of the end of the reporting period:

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Long-Term Investments*: | | | | |
| Municipal Bonds | \$ — | \$ 230,334,920 | \$ — | \$ 230,334,920 |
| Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Long-Term Investments*: | | | | |
| Municipal Bonds | \$ — | \$ 450,567,300 | \$ — | \$ 450,567,300 |
| Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Long-Term Investments*: | | | | |
| Municipal Bonds | \$ — | \$ 427,175,111 | \$ — | \$ 427,175,111 |
| Texas Quality Income (NTX) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Long-Term Investments*: | | | | |
| Municipal Bonds | \$ — | \$ 207,805,656 | \$ — | \$ 207,805,656 |

* Refer to the Fund’s Portfolio of Investments for industry classifications.

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) (continued)

The Nuveen funds' Board of Directors/Trustees is responsible for the valuation process and has delegated the oversight of the daily valuation process to the Adviser's Valuation Committee. The Valuation Committee, pursuant to the valuation policies and procedures adopted by the Board of Directors/Trustees, is responsible for making fair value determinations, evaluating the effectiveness of the funds' pricing policies, and reporting to the Board of Directors/Trustees. The Valuation Committee is aided in its efforts by the Adviser's dedicated Securities Valuation Team, which is responsible for administering the daily valuation process and applying fair value methodologies as approved by the Valuation Committee. When determining the reliability of independent pricing services for investments owned by the funds, the Valuation Committee, among other things, conducts due diligence reviews of the pricing services and monitors the quality of security prices received through various testing reports conducted by the Securities Valuation Team.

The Valuation Committee will consider pricing methodologies it deems relevant and appropriate when making a fair value determination, based on the facts and circumstances specific to the portfolio instrument. Fair value determinations generally will be derived as follows, using public or private market information:

- (i) If available, fair value determinations shall be derived by extrapolating from recent transactions or quoted prices for identical or comparable securities.
- (ii) If such information is not available, an analytical valuation methodology may be used based on other available information including, but not limited to: analyst appraisals, research reports, corporate action information, issuer financial statements and shelf registration statements. Such analytical valuation methodologies may include, but are not limited to: multiple of earnings, discount from market value of a similar freely-traded security, discounted cash flow analysis, book value or a multiple thereof, risk premium/yield analysis, yield to maturity and/or fundamental investment analysis.

The purchase price of a portfolio instrument will be used to fair value the instrument only if no other valuation methodology is available or deemed appropriate, and it is determined that the purchase price fairly reflects the instrument's current value.

For each portfolio security that has been fair valued pursuant to the policies adopted by the Board of Directors/Trustees, the fair value price is compared against the last available and next available market quotations. The Valuation Committee reviews the results of such testing and fair valuation occurrences are reported to the Board of Directors/Trustees.

3. Portfolio Securities and Investments in Derivatives

Portfolio Securities

Inverse Floating Rate Securities

Each Fund is authorized to invest in inverse floating rate securities. An inverse floating rate security is created by depositing a municipal bond, typically with a fixed interest rate, into a special purpose trust created by a broker-dealer. In turn, this trust (a) issues floating rate certificates, in face amounts equal to some fraction of the deposited bond's par amount or market value, that typically pay short-term tax-exempt interest rates to third parties, and (b) issues to a long-term investor (such as one of the Funds) an inverse floating rate certificate (sometimes referred to as an "inverse floater") that represents all remaining or residual interest in the trust. The income received by the inverse floater holder varies inversely with the short-term rate paid to the floating rate certificates' holders, and in most circumstances the inverse floater holder bears substantially all of the underlying bond's downside investment risk and also benefits

disproportionately from any potential appreciation of the underlying bond's value. The price of an inverse floating rate security will be more volatile than that of the underlying bond because the interest rate is dependent on not only the fixed coupon rate of the underlying bond but also on the short-term interest paid on the floating rate certificates, and because the inverse floating rate security essentially bears the risk of loss of the greater face value of the underlying bond.

A Fund may purchase an inverse floating rate security in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond (referred to as an "externally-deposited inverse floater"), or instead by first selling a fixed-rate bond to a broker-dealer for deposit into the special purpose trust and receiving in turn the residual interest in the trust (referred to as a "self-deposited inverse floater"). The inverse floater held by a Fund gives the Fund the right (a) to cause the holders of the floating rate certificates to tender their notes at par, and (b) to have the broker transfer the fixed-rate bond held by the trust to the Fund, thereby collapsing the trust. An investment in an externally-deposited inverse floater is identified in the Portfolio of Investments as "(IF) – Inverse floating rate investment." An investment in a self-deposited inverse floater is accounted for as a financing transaction. In such instances, a fixed-rate bond deposited into a special purpose trust is identified in the Portfolio of Investments as "(UB) – Underlying bond of an inverse floating rate trust reflected as a financing transaction," with the Fund accounting for the short-term floating rate certificates issued by the trust, at their liquidation value, as "Floating rate obligations" on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. In addition, the Fund reflects in "Investment Income" the entire earnings of the underlying bond and related interest paid to the holders of the short-term floating rate certificates as a component of "Interest expense and amortization of offering costs" on the Statement of Operations.

During the six months ended August 31, 2013, each Fund invested in externally-deposited inverse floaters and/or self-deposited inverse floaters.

Each Fund may also enter into shortfall and forbearance agreements (sometimes referred to as a “recourse trust” or “credit recovery swap”) (such agreements referred to herein as “Recourse Trusts”) with a broker-dealer by which a Fund agrees to reimburse the broker-dealer, in certain circumstances, for the difference between the liquidation value of the fixed-rate bond held by the trust and the liquidation value of the floating rate certificates issued by the trust plus any shortfalls in interest cash flows. Under these agreements, a Fund’s potential exposure to losses related to or on inverse floaters may increase beyond the value of a Fund’s inverse floater investments as a Fund may potentially be liable to fulfill all amounts owed to holders of the floating rate certificates. At period end, any such shortfall is recognized as “Unrealized depreciation on Recourse Trusts” on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities.

As of August 31, 2013, each Fund’s maximum exposure to the floating rate obligations issued by externally-deposited Recourse Trusts was as follows:

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | Texas Quality Income (NTX) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Maximum exposure to Recourse Trusts | \$ 14,215,000 | \$ 8,430,000 | \$ 15,130,000 | \$ — |

The average floating rate obligations outstanding and average annual interest rate and fees related to self-deposited inverse floaters during the six months ended August 31, 2013, were as follows:

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | Texas Quality Income (NTX) |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Average floating rate obligations outstanding | \$ 2,755,000 | \$ 6,625,000 | \$ 6,375,000 | \$ 3,960,000 |
| Average annual interest rate and fees | 0.60% | 0.83% | 0.60% | 0.34% |

Zero Coupon Securities

Each Fund is authorized to invest in zero coupon securities. A zero coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. The market prices of zero coupon securities generally are more volatile than the market prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

Investments in Derivatives

Each Fund is authorized to invest in certain derivative instruments such as futures, options and swap contracts. Each Fund will limit its investments in futures, options on futures and swap contracts to the extent necessary for the Adviser to claim the exclusion from registration by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission as a commodity pool operator with respect to the Fund. The Funds record derivative instruments at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized on the Statement of Operations, when applicable. Even though the Funds’ investments in derivatives may represent economic hedges, they are not considered to be hedge transactions for financial reporting purposes.

Although the Funds are authorized to invest in derivative instruments and may do so in the future, they did not make such investments during the six months ended August 31, 2013.

Market and Counterparty Credit Risk

In the normal course of business each Fund may invest in financial instruments and enter into financial transactions where risk of potential loss exists due to changes in the market (market risk) or failure of the other party to the transaction to perform (counterparty credit risk). The potential loss could exceed the value of the financial assets recorded on the financial statements. Financial assets, which potentially expose each Fund to counterparty credit risk, consist principally of cash due from counterparties on forward, option and swap transactions, when applicable. The extent of each Fund's exposure to counterparty credit risk in respect to these financial assets approximates their carrying value as recorded on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities.

Each Fund helps manage counterparty credit risk by entering into agreements only with counterparties the Adviser believes have the financial resources to honor their obligations and by having the Adviser monitor the financial stability of the counterparties. Additionally, counterparties may be required to pledge collateral daily (based on the daily valuation of the financial asset) on behalf of each Fund with a value approximately equal to the amount of any unrealized gain above a pre-determined threshold. Reciprocally, when each Fund has an unrealized loss, the Funds have instructed the custodian to pledge assets of the Funds as collateral with a value approximately equal to the amount of the unrealized loss above a pre-determined threshold. Collateral pledges are monitored and subsequently adjusted if and when the valuations fluctuate, either up or down, by at least the pre-determined threshold amount.

Nuveen Investments 67

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) (continued)

4. Fund Shares

Common Shares

Transactions in common shares were as follows:

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | | Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | |
|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Six Months Ended 8/31/13 | Year Ended 2/28/13 | Six Months Ended 8/31/13 | Year Ended 2/28/13 |
| Common shares: | | | | |
| Issued in the Reorganizations(1) | 7,087,734 | — | — | 9,303,434 |
| Issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions | 704 | 4,753 | — | — |
| Repurchased and retired | — | — | (9,500) | — |
| Total | 7,088,438 | 4,753 | (9,500) | 9,303,434 |
| Weighted average common share: | | | | |
| Price per share repurchased and retired | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 12.87 | \$ — |
| Discount per share repurchased and retired | — | — | 12.17% | — |
| | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | | Texas Quality Income (NTX) | |
| | Six Months Ended 8/31/13 | Year Ended 2/29/12 | Six Months Ended 8/31/13 | Year Ended 2/28/13 |
| Common shares: | | | | |
| Issued in the Reorganizations(1) | 8,710,950 | — | — | — |
| Sold through shelf offering | N/A | N/A | 10,120 | 398,357 |
| Issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of distributions | 7,507 | 38,469 | 2,256 | 29,023 |
| Total | 8,718,457 | 38,469 | 12,376 | 427,380 |
| Weighted average common share: | | | | |
| Premium to NAV per shelf offering share sold | N/A | N/A | 1.35% | 3.21% |

(1) Refer to Note 8 – Fund Reorganizations for further details.

N/A – The Fund is not authorized to issue additional common shares through a shelf offering.

Preferred Shares

Michigan Quality Income (NUM) and Texas Quality Income (NTX) did not have any transactions in MTP Shares during the six months ended August 31, 2013. Arizona Premium Income (NAZ), Ohio Quality Income (NUO) and Texas Quality Income (NTX) did not have any transactions in MTP Shares during the fiscal year ended February 28, 2013.

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

Transactions in MTP Shares for the Funds, where applicable, were as follows:

| Six Months Ended August 31, 2013 | | | | |
|---|------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | | NYSE/ NYSE MKT Ticker | Shares | Amount |
| Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | | | | |
| MTP Shares issued in connection with the Reorganizations: | 2015 | NAZ PRC | 2,982,500 | \$ 29,825,000 |
| | 2016 | NAZ PRD | 2,084,600 | 20,846,000 |
| Total | | | 5,067,100 | \$ 50,671,000 |
| Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | | | | |
| MTP Shares issued in connection with the Reorganizations: | 2014 | NUO PRACL | 4,271,415 | \$ 42,714,150 |
| | 2015 | NUO PRCCL | 1,945,000 | 19,450,000 |
| | 2016 | NUO PRDCL | 1,165,340 | 11,653,400 |
| Total | | | 7,381,755 | \$ 73,817,550 |

68 Nuveen Investments

Year Ended February 28, 2013

| | Series | NYSE/ NYSE MKT Ticker | Shares | Amount |
|---|--------|--------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | | | | |
| MTP Shares issued in connection with the Reorganizations: | 2015 | NUM PRC | 1,631,300 | \$ 16,313,000 |

The Funds did not have any transactions in VMTP during the six months ended August 31, 2013. Arizona Premium Income (NAZ), Ohio Quality Income (NUO) and Texas Quality Income (NTX) did not have any transactions in VMTP Shares during the fiscal year ended February 28, 2013.

Transactions in VMTP Shares for the Funds, where applicable, were as follows:

| | Series | Shares | Amount |
|---|---------|--------|---------------|
| Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | | | |
| VMTP Shares issued in connection with the reorganization: | 2014-1* | 539 | \$ 53,900,000 |

5. Investment Transactions

Purchases and sales (including maturities but excluding short-term investments, where applicable) during the six months ended August 31, 2013, were as follows:

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | Texas Quality Income (NTX) |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Purchases | \$ 18,126,761 | \$ 35,592,712 | \$ 35,575,601 | \$ 9,312,541 |
| Sales and maturities | 18,800,010 | 35,405,460 | 22,948,089 | 15,895,317 |

6. Income Tax Information

Each Fund is a separate taxpayer for federal income tax purposes. Each Fund intends to distribute substantially all of its net investment income and net capital gains to shareholders and to otherwise comply with the requirements of Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies. Therefore, no federal income tax provision is required. Furthermore, each Fund intends to satisfy conditions that will enable interest from municipal securities, which is exempt from regular federal and designated state income taxes, to retain such tax-exempt status when distributed to shareholders of the Funds. Net realized capital gains and ordinary income distributions paid by the Funds are subject to federal taxation.

For all open tax years and all major taxing jurisdictions, management of the Funds has concluded that there are no significant uncertain tax positions that would require recognition in the financial statements. Open tax years are those that are open for examination by taxing authorities (i.e., generally the last four tax year ends and the interim tax period since then). Furthermore, management of the Funds is also not aware of any tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly change in the next twelve months.

The following information is presented on an income tax basis. Differences between amounts for financial statement and federal income tax purposes are primarily due to timing differences in recognizing taxable market discount, timing differences in recognizing certain gains and losses on investment transactions and the treatment of investments

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

in inverse floating rate securities reflected as financing transactions, if any. To the extent that differences arise that are permanent in nature, such amounts are reclassified within the capital accounts as detailed below. Temporary differences do not require reclassification. Temporary and permanent differences do not impact the net asset values of the Funds.

As of August 31, 2013, the cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | Texas Quality Income (NTX) |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Cost of investments | \$ 230,951,410 | \$ 449,384,583 | \$ 422,956,648 | \$ 204,165,519 |
| Gross unrealized: | | | | |
| Appreciation | \$ 9,310,632 | \$ 10,746,186 | \$ 13,061,751 | \$ 8,982,512 |
| Depreciation | (12,682,127) | (16,188,486) | (17,468,144) | (9,302,380) |
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments | \$ (3,371,495) | \$ (5,442,300) | \$ (4,406,393) | \$ (319,868) |

Nuveen Investments 69

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) (continued)

Permanent differences, primarily due to reorganization adjustments, nondeductible reorganization expenses, federal taxes paid, taxable market discount and nondeductible offering costs, resulted in reclassifications among the Funds' components of common share net assets as of February 28, 2013, the Funds' last tax year end, as follows:

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | Texas Quality Income (NTX) |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Paid-in surplus | \$ (129,877) | \$ 1,184,190 | \$ (297,516) | \$ (266,551) |
| Undistributed (Over-distribution of) net investment income | 129,819 | 451,504 | 283,114 | 259,110 |
| Accumulated net realized gain (loss) | 58 | (1,635,694) | 14,402 | 7,441 |

The tax components of undistributed net tax-exempt income, net ordinary income and net long-term capital gains as of February 28, 2013, the Funds' last tax year end, were as follows:

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | Texas Quality Income (NTX) |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Undistributed net tax-exempt income 1 | \$ 1,398,058 | \$ 4,714,372 | \$ 3,457,377 | \$ 1,085,436 |
| Undistributed net ordinary income 2 | 25,500 | 21,254 | 56,868 | 4,645 |
| Undistributed net long-term capital gains | — | — | — | — |

- 1 Undistributed net tax-exempt income (on a tax basis) has not been reduced for the dividend declared on February 1, 2013, paid on March 1, 2013.
- 2 Net ordinary income consists of taxable market discount income and net short-term capital gains, if any.

The tax character of distributions paid during the Funds' last tax year ended February 28, 2013, was designated for purposes of the dividends paid deduction as follows:

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) | Texas Quality Income (NTX) |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Distributions from net tax-exempt income | \$ 3,775,218 | \$ 11,395,363 | \$ 10,282,944 | \$ 9,187,583 |
| Distributions from net ordinary income 2 | — | — | — | — |
| Distributions from net long-term capital gains | — | — | — | — |

- 2 Net ordinary income consists of taxable market discount income and net short-term capital gains, if any.

As of February 28, 2013, the Funds' last tax year end, the Funds had unused capital loss carryforwards available for federal tax purposes to be applied against future capital gains, if any. If not applied, the carryforwards will expire as shown in the following table. The losses not subject to expiration retain the character reflected and will be utilized first by a Fund, while the losses subject to expiration are considered short-term.

| Arizona | Michigan | Ohio | Texas |
|---------|----------|------|-------|
|---------|----------|------|-------|

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | Premium Income (NAZ) | Quality Income (NUM)* | Quality Income (NUO) | Quality Income (NTX) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Expiration: | | | | |
| February 29, 2016 | \$ 221,769 | \$ 44,485 | \$ — | — |
| February 28, 2017 | 323,876 | 1,222,403 | 668,753 | — |
| February 28, 2018 | 43,720 | 1,385,653 | 78,027 | — |
| February 28, 2019 | — | — | 1,468,286 | — |
| Not subject to expiration: | | | | |
| Short-term losses | — | — | — | 12,149 |
| Long-term losses | — | — | — | 1,556,491 |
| Total | \$ 589,365 | \$ 2,652,541 | \$ 2,215,066 | \$ 1,568,640 |

* A portion of Michigan Quality Income's (NUM) capital loss carryforward is subject to an annual limitation under the Internal Revenue Code and related regulations.

During the Funds' last tax year ended February 28, 2013, the following Funds utilized capital loss carryforwards as follows:

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Michigan Quality Income (NUM) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| Utilized capital loss carryforwards | \$ 340,615 | \$ 1,061,603 | \$ 542,668 |

The Funds have elected to defer late-year losses in accordance with federal income tax rules. These losses are treated as having arisen on the first day of the current fiscal year. The following Fund has elected to defer losses as follows:

| | Michigan Quality Income (NUM) |
|--|--|
| Post-October capital losses ³ | \$ 2,687 |
| Late-year ordinary losses ⁴ | — |

- 3 Capital losses incurred from November 1, 2012 through February 28, 2013, the Funds' last tax year end.
- 4 Ordinary losses incurred from January 1, 2013 through February 28, 2013, and specified losses incurred from November 1, 2012 through February 28, 2013.

7. Management Fees and Other Transactions with Affiliates

Each Fund's management fee compensates the Adviser for overall investment advisory and administrative services and general office facilities. The Sub-Adviser is compensated for its services to the Funds from the management fees paid to the Adviser.

Each Fund's management fee consists of two components – a fund-level fee, based only on the amount of assets within the Fund, and a complex-level fee, based on the aggregate amount of all eligible fund assets managed by the Adviser. This pricing structure enables Fund shareholders to benefit from growth in the assets within their respective Fund as well as from growth in the amount of complex-wide assets managed by the Adviser.

The annual fund-level fee for each Fund, payable monthly, is calculated according to the following schedule:

| Average Daily Managed Assets* | Fund-Level Fee Rate |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| For the first \$125 million | .4500% |
| For the next \$125 million | .4375 |
| For the next \$250 million | .4250 |
| For the next \$500 million | .4125 |
| For the next \$1 billion | .4000 |
| For the next \$3 billion | .3875 |
| For managed assets over \$5 billion | .3750 |

The annual complex-level fee for each Fund, payable monthly, is calculated according to the following schedule:

| Complex-Level Managed Asset Breakpoint Level* | Effective Rate at Breakpoint Level |
|---|------------------------------------|
| \$55 billion | .2000% |
| \$56 billion | .1996 |
| \$57 billion | .1989 |
| \$60 billion | .1961 |
| \$63 billion | .1931 |
| \$66 billion | .1900 |
| \$71 billion | .1851 |
| \$76 billion | .1806 |
| \$80 billion | .1773 |
| \$91 billion | .1691 |
| \$125 billion | .1599 |

| | |
|---------------|-------|
| \$200 billion | .1505 |
| \$250 billion | .1469 |
| \$300 billion | .1445 |

* For the fund-level and complex-level fees, managed assets include closed-end fund assets managed by the Adviser that are attributable to certain types of leverage. For these purposes, leverage includes the funds' use of preferred stock and borrowings and certain investments in the residual interest certificates (also called inverse floating rate securities) in tender option bond (TOB) trusts, including the portion of assets held by a TOB trust that has been effectively financed by the trust's issuance of floating rate securities, subject to an agreement by the Adviser as to certain funds to limit the amount of such assets for determining managed assets in certain circumstances. The complex-level fee is calculated based upon the aggregate daily managed assets of all Nuveen Funds that constitute "eligible assets." Eligible assets do not include assets attributable to investments in other Nuveen Funds and assets in excess of \$2 billion added to the Nuveen Fund complex in connection with the Adviser's assumption of the management of the former First American Funds effective January 1, 2011. As of August 31, 2013, the complex-level fee rate for these Funds was .1694%.

The Funds pay no compensation directly to those of its trustees who are affiliated with the Adviser or to its officers, all of whom receive remuneration for their services to the Funds from the Adviser or its affiliates. The Board of Trustees has adopted a deferred compensation plan for independent trustees that enables trustees to elect to defer receipt of all or a portion of the annual compensation they are entitled to receive from certain Nuveen-advised funds. Under the plan, deferred amounts are treated as though equal dollar amounts had been invested in shares of select Nuveen-advised funds.

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) (continued)

8. Fund Reorganizations

The Reorganizations were structured to qualify as tax-free reorganizations under the Internal Revenue Code for federal income tax purposes, and the Acquired Funds' shareholders will recognize no gain or loss for federal income tax purposes as a result. Prior to the closing of each of the Reorganizations, the Acquired Funds distributed all of their net investment income and capital gains, if any. Such a distribution may be taxable to the Acquired Funds' shareholders for federal income tax purposes.

The cost, fair value and net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of the investments of the Acquired Funds as of the date of their respective Reorganization, were as follows:

| | Arizona Dividend Advantage (NFZ) | Arizona Dividend Advantage 2 (NKR) | Arizona Dividend Advantage 3 (NXE) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Cost of investments | \$ 31,692,347 | \$ 50,765,455 | \$ 61,775,129 |
| Fair value of investments | 34,328,681 | 54,827,769 | 66,235,496 |
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments | 2,636,334 | 4,062,314 | 4,460,367 |

| | Ohio Dividend Advantage (NXI) | Ohio Dividend Advantage 2 (NBJ) | Ohio Dividend Advantage 3 (NVJ) |
|---|--|--|--|
| Cost of investments | \$ 88,964,805 | \$ 64,931,242 | \$ 46,999,229 |
| Fair value of investments | 98,040,884 | 71,430,110 | 51,876,683 |
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments | 9,076,079 | 6,498,868 | 4,877,454 |

For financial reporting purposes, assets received and shares issued by the Acquiring Funds were recorded at fair value; however, the cost basis of the investments received from the Acquired Funds were carried forward to align ongoing reporting of the Acquiring Funds' realized and unrealized gains and losses with amounts distributable to shareholders for tax purposes.

For accounting and performance reporting purposes, the Acquiring Funds are the survivors. The shares outstanding, net assets and NAV per common share immediately before and after the Reorganizations are as follows:

| Acquired Funds – Prior to Reorganizations | Arizona Dividend Advantage (NFZ) | Arizona Dividend Advantage 2 (NKR) | Arizona Dividend Advantage 3 (NXE) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Common shares outstanding | 1,548,446 | 2,440,142 | 3,066,030 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares | \$ 23,732,776 | \$ 38,158,301 | \$ 46,483,956 |
| NAV per common share outstanding | \$ 15.33 | \$ 15.64 | \$ 15.16 |

| | Ohio Dividend Advantage | Ohio Dividend Advantage 2 | Ohio Dividend Advantage 3 |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| Acquired Funds – Prior to Reorganizations | (NXI) | (NBJ) | (NVJ) |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Common shares outstanding | 4,250,030 | 3,124,341 | 2,158,865 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares | \$ 68,674,255 | \$ 49,324,657 | \$ 34,722,583 |
| NAV per common share outstanding | \$ 16.16 | \$ 15.79 | \$ 16.08 |

| Acquiring Funds – Prior to Reorganizations | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Common shares outstanding | 4,476,152 | 9,811,005 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares | \$ 68,442,421 | \$ 172,007,473 |
| NAV per common share outstanding | \$ 15.29 | \$ 17.53 |

72 Nuveen Investments

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Acquiring Funds – Post Reorganizations | | |
| Common shares outstanding | 11,563,886 | 18,521,955 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares | \$ 176,817,454 | \$ 324,728,968 |
| NAV per common share outstanding | \$ 15.29 | \$ 17.53 |

The beginning of the Acquired Funds' current fiscal period was March 1, 2013. Assuming the Reorganizations had been completed on March 1, 2013, the beginning of the Acquiring Funds' current fiscal period, the pro forma results of operations for the six months ended August 31, 2013, are as follows:

| | Arizona Premium Income (NAZ) | Ohio Quality Income (NUO) |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Acquiring Funds – Pro Forma Results of Operations | | |
| Net investment income (loss) | \$ 4,057,488 | \$ 7,852,814 |
| Net realized and unrealized gains (losses) | (23,998,275) | (49,346,828) |
| Change in net assets resulting from operations | (19,940,787) | (41,494,014) |

Because the combined investment portfolios for each Reorganization have been managed as a single integrated portfolio since each Reorganization was completed, it is not practicable to separate the amounts of revenue and earnings of the Acquired Funds that have been included in the Statement of Operations for the Acquiring Fund since the Reorganizations were consummated.

In connection with the Reorganizations, the Acquiring Funds incurred certain associated costs and expenses. Such amounts were included as components of "Accrued reorganization expenses" on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities and "Reorganization expenses" on the Statement of Operations.

9. Subsequent Events

Refinancing of MTP Shares

Subsequent to the close of this reporting period, Ohio Quality Income (NUO) redeemed all series of its MTP Shares, at their \$10.00 liquidation value per share plus an additional amount representing any dividend amounts owed, with the proceeds of newly issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred (VRDP) Shares. On September 26, 2013, VRDP Shares were issued to qualified institutional buyers in a private offering pursuant to Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Ohio Quality Income's (NUO) MTP Shares were redeemed on October 7, 2013.

Annual Investment Management
Agreement Approval Process (Unaudited)

The Board of Trustees (each, a “Board” and each Trustee, a “Board Member”) of the Funds, including the Board Members who are not parties to the Funds’ advisory or sub-advisory agreements or “interested persons” of any such parties (the “Independent Board Members”), is responsible for approving the advisory agreements (each, an “Investment Management Agreement”) between each Fund and Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC (the “Adviser”) and the sub-advisory agreements (each, a “Sub-Advisory Agreement”) between the Adviser and Nuveen Asset Management, LLC (the “Sub-Adviser”) (the Investment Management Agreements and the Sub-Advisory Agreements are referred to collectively as the “Advisory Agreements”) and their periodic continuation. Pursuant to the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “1940 Act”), the Board is required to consider the continuation of the Advisory Agreements on an annual basis. Accordingly, at an in-person meeting held on May 20-22, 2013 (the “May Meeting”), the Board, including a majority of the Independent Board Members, considered and approved the continuation of the Advisory Agreements for the Funds for an additional one-year period.

In preparation for its considerations at the May Meeting, the Board requested and received extensive materials prepared in connection with the review of the Advisory Agreements. The materials provided a broad range of information regarding the Funds, the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser (the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser are collectively, the “Fund Advisers” and each, a “Fund Adviser”). As described in more detail below, the information provided included, among other things, a review of Fund performance, including Fund investment performance assessments against peer groups and appropriate benchmarks; a comparison of Fund fees and expenses relative to peers; a description and assessment of shareholder service levels for the Funds; a summary of the performance of certain service providers; a review of product initiatives and shareholder communications; and an analysis of the Adviser’s profitability with comparisons to comparable peers in the managed fund business. As part of its annual review, the Board also held a separate meeting on April 17-18, 2013, to review the Funds’ investment performance and consider an analysis provided by the Adviser of the Sub-Adviser which generally evaluated the Sub-Adviser’s investment team, investment mandate, organizational structure and history, investment philosophy and process, performance of the applicable Fund, and significant changes to the foregoing. As a result of its review of the materials and discussions, the Board presented the Adviser with questions and the Adviser responded.

The materials and information prepared in connection with the annual review of the Advisory Agreements supplement the information and analysis provided to the Board during the year. In this regard, throughout the year, the Board, acting directly or through its committees, regularly reviews the performance and various services provided by the Adviser and the Sub-Adviser. The Board meets at least quarterly as well as at other times as the need arises. At its quarterly meetings, the Board reviews reports by the Adviser regarding, among other things, fund performance, fund expenses, premium and discount levels of closed-end funds, the performance of the investment teams, and compliance, regulatory and risk management matters. In addition to regular reports, the Adviser provides special reports to the Board or a committee thereof from time to time to enhance the Board’s understanding of various topics that impact some or all the Nuveen funds (such as accounting and financial statement presentations of the various forms of leverage that may be used by a closed-end fund or an update on the valuation policies and procedures), to update

the Board on regulatory developments impacting the investment company industry or to update the Board on the business plans or other matters impacting the Adviser. The Board also meets with key investment personnel managing the fund portfolios during the year. In October 2011, the Board also created two standing committees (the Open-End Fund Committee and the Closed-End Fund Committee) to assist the full Board in monitoring and gaining a deeper insight into the distinctive business practices of open-end and closed-end funds. These Committees meet prior to each quarterly Board meeting, and the Adviser provides presentations to these Committees permitting them to delve further into specific matters or initiatives impacting the respective product line.

In addition, the Board continues its program of seeking to have the Board Members or a subset thereof visit each sub-adviser to the Nuveen funds at least once over a multiple year rotation, meeting with key investment and business personnel. In this regard, the Independent Board Members visited certain of the Sub-Adviser's investment teams in Minneapolis in September 2012, and the Sub-Adviser's municipal team in November 2012. In addition, the ad hoc Securities Lending Committee of the Board met with certain service providers and the Audit Committee of the Board made a site visit to three pricing service providers.

The Board considers the information provided and knowledge gained at these meetings and visits during the year when performing its annual review of the Advisory Agreements. The Independent Board Members also are assisted throughout the process by independent legal counsel. Counsel provided materials describing applicable law and the duties of directors or trustees in reviewing advisory contracts. During the course of the year and during their deliberations regarding the review of advisory contracts, the Independent Board Members met with independent legal counsel in executive sessions without management present. In addition, it is important to recognize that the management arrangements for the Nuveen funds are the result of many years of review and discussion between the Independent Board Members and fund management and that the Board Members' conclusions may be based, in part, on their consideration of fee arrangements and other factors developed in previous years.

The Board considered all factors it believed relevant with respect to each Fund, including among other factors: (a) the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by the Fund Advisers, (b) the investment performance of the Fund and Fund Advisers, (c) the advisory fees and costs of the services to be provided to the Fund and the profitability of the Fund Advisers, (d) the extent of any economies of scale, (e) any benefits derived by the Fund Advisers from the relationship with the Fund and (f) other factors. Each Board Member may have accorded different weight to the various factors in reaching his or her conclusions with respect to a Fund's Advisory Agreements. The Independent Board Members did not identify any single factor as all important or controlling. The Independent Board Members' considerations were instead based on a comprehensive consideration of all the information presented. The principal factors considered by the Board and its conclusions are described below.

A. Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

In considering renewal of the Advisory Agreements, the Independent Board Members considered the nature, extent and quality of the Fund Adviser's services, including advisory services and the resulting Fund performance and administrative services. The Independent Board Members further considered the overall reputation and capabilities of the Adviser and its affiliates, the commitment of the Adviser to provide high quality service to the Funds, their overall confidence in the capability and integrity of the Adviser and its staff and the Adviser's responsiveness to questions and concerns raised by them. The Independent Board Members reviewed materials outlining, among other things, the Fund Adviser's organization and business; the types of services that the Fund Adviser or its affiliates provide to the Funds; the performance record of the applicable Fund (as described in further detail below); and any applicable initiatives Nuveen had taken for the closed-end fund product line.

Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process (Unaudited) (continued)

In considering advisory services, the Board recognized that the Adviser provides various oversight, administrative, compliance and other services for the Funds and the Sub-Adviser generally provides the portfolio investment management services to the Funds. In reviewing the portfolio management services provided to each Fund, the Board reviewed the materials provided by the Nuveen Investment Services Oversight Team analyzing, among other things, the Sub-Adviser's investment team and changes thereto, organization and history, assets under management, the investment team's philosophy and strategies in managing the Fund, developments affecting the Sub-Adviser or Fund and Fund performance. The Independent Board Members also reviewed portfolio manager compensation arrangements to evaluate each Fund Adviser's ability to attract and retain high quality investment personnel, preserve stability, and reward performance but not provide an inappropriate incentive to take undue risks. In addition, the Board considered the Adviser's execution of its oversight responsibilities over the Sub-Adviser. Given the importance of compliance, the Independent Board Members also considered Nuveen's compliance program, including the report of the chief compliance officer regarding the Funds' compliance policies and procedures; the resources dedicated to compliance; and the record of compliance with the policies and procedures. Given the Adviser's emphasis on business risk, the Board also appointed an Independent Board Member as a point person to review and keep the Board apprised of developments in this area during the year.

In addition to advisory services, the Board considered the quality and extent of administrative and other non-investment advisory services the Adviser and its affiliates provide to the Funds, including product management, investment services (such as oversight of investment policies and procedures, risk management, and pricing), fund administration, oversight of service providers, shareholder services and communications, administration of Board relations, regulatory and portfolio compliance, legal support, managing leverage and promoting an orderly secondary market for common shares. The Board further recognized Nuveen's additional investments in personnel, including in compliance and risk management.

In reviewing the services provided, the Board considered the new services and service enhancements that the Adviser has implemented since the various advisory agreements were last reviewed. In reviewing the activities of 2012, the Board recognized the Adviser's focus on product rationalization for both closed-end and open-end funds during the year, consolidating certain Nuveen funds through mergers that were designed to improve efficiencies and economies of scale for shareholders, repositioning various Nuveen funds through updates in their investment policies and guidelines with the expectation of bringing greater value to shareholders, and liquidating certain Nuveen funds. The Board recognized the Adviser's significant investment in technology initiatives to, among other things, create a central repository for fund and other Nuveen product data, develop a group within the Adviser designed to handle and analyze fund performance data, and implement a data system to support the risk oversight group. The Board also recognized the enhancements in the valuation group within the Adviser, including upgrading the team and process and automating certain basic systems, and in the compliance group with the addition of personnel, particularly within the testing group. With the advent of the Open-End Fund Committee and Closed-End Fund Committee, the Board also noted the enhanced support and comprehensive in-depth presentations provided by the Adviser to these committees.

In addition to the foregoing actions, the Board also considered other initiatives related to the Nuveen closed-end funds, including the significant level of oversight and administration necessary to manage leverage that has become increasingly varied and complex and the ongoing redesign of technology systems to manage and track the various forms of leverage; continued capital management services, including developing shelf offering programs for various funds; the implementation of projects designed to enhance data integrity for information published on the web and to increase the use of data received from third parties to gain market intelligence; and the continued communication efforts with shareholders, fund analysts and financial advisers. With respect to the latter, the Independent Board

Members noted Nuveen's continued commitment to supporting the secondary market for the common shares of its closed-end funds through a comprehensive secondary market communication program and campaigns designed to raise investor and analyst awareness and understanding of closed-end funds. Nuveen's support services included, among other things: developing materials covering the Nuveen closed-end fund product line and educational materials regarding closed-end funds; designing and executing various marketing campaigns; supporting and promoting the alternative minimum tax (AMT)-free funds; sponsoring and participating in conferences; communicating with closed-end fund analysts and financial advisers throughout the year; providing marketing and product updates for the closed-end funds; and maintaining and enhancing a closed-end fund website.

Based on their review, the Independent Board Members found that, overall, the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the respective Funds under each applicable Advisory Agreement were satisfactory.

B. The Investment Performance of the Funds and Fund Advisers

The Board, including the Independent Board Members, considered the performance history of each Fund over various time periods. The Board reviewed reports, including an analysis of the Funds' performance and the applicable investment team. In general, in considering a fund's performance, the Board recognized that a fund's performance can be reviewed through various measures including the fund's absolute return, the fund's return compared to the performance of other peer funds, and the fund's performance compared to its respective benchmark. Accordingly, the Board reviewed, among other things, each Fund's historic investment performance as well as information comparing the Fund's performance information with that of other funds (the "Performance Peer Group") and with recognized and/or customized benchmarks (i.e., generally benchmarks derived from multiple recognized benchmarks) for the quarter, one-, three- and five-year periods ending December 31, 2012 as well as performance information reflecting the first quarter of 2013. In addition, with respect to closed-end funds (such as the Funds), the Independent Board Members also reviewed historic premium and discount levels, including a summary of actions taken to address or discuss other developments affecting the secondary market discounts of various funds. This information supplemented the fund performance information provided to the Board at each of its quarterly meetings.

In evaluating performance, the Board recognized several factors that may impact the performance data as well as the consideration given to particular performance data. The Board recognized that the performance data reflects a snapshot of time, in this case as of the end of the most recent calendar year or quarter. The Board noted that selecting a different performance period could derive significantly different results. Further, the Board recognized that it is possible that long-term performance can be adversely affected by even one period of significant underperformance so that a single investment decision or theme has the ability to disproportionately affect long-term performance. The Independent Board Members also noted that the investment experience of a particular shareholder in the Nuveen funds will vary depending on when such shareholder invests in the applicable fund, the class held (if multiple classes are offered in a fund) and the performance of the fund (or respective class) during that shareholder's investment period.

With respect to the comparative performance information, the Board recognized that the usefulness of comparative performance data as a frame of reference to measure a fund's performance may be limited because the Performance Peer Group, among other things, does not adequately reflect the objectives and strategies of the fund, has a different investable universe, or the composition of the peer set may be limited in size or number as well as other factors. In this regard, the Board noted that the Adviser classified, in relevant part, the Performance Peer Groups of certain funds (including the Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund (the "Michigan Fund")) as having significant differences from the funds but to still be somewhat relevant while the Performance Peer Groups of other funds (including the

Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process (Unaudited) (continued)

Nuveen Arizona Premium Income Municipal Fund, the Nuveen Ohio Quality Income Municipal Fund and the Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund) were classified as having such significant differences as to be irrelevant. Accordingly, while the Board is cognizant of the relative performance of a fund's peer set and/or benchmark(s), the Board evaluated fund performance in light of the respective fund's investment objectives, investment parameters and guidelines and considered that the variations between the objectives and investment parameters or guidelines of the funds with their peers and/or benchmarks result in differences in performance results. In addition, with respect to any Nuveen funds for which the Board has identified performance concerns, the Board monitors such funds closely until performance improves, discusses with the Adviser the reasons for such results, considers those steps necessary or appropriate to address such issues, and reviews the results of any efforts undertaken.

In considering the performance data for the Michigan Fund, the Independent Board Members observed that such Fund had satisfactory performance in comparison to peers, performing in the second or third quartile over various periods, and that such Fund also outperformed its benchmark for the one-, three- and five-year periods. In considering the performance data for the other Funds, given that, as noted above, the Performance Peer Group for each such Fund was classified as irrelevant, thereby limiting the usefulness of the peer comparison data, the Board also considered such Funds' performance compared to their respective benchmarks and noted that each such Fund had outperformed its benchmark over the one-, three- and five-year periods.

Based on their review, the Independent Board Members determined that each Fund's investment performance had been satisfactory.

C. Fees, Expenses and Profitability

1. Fees and Expenses

The Board evaluated the management fees and expenses of each Fund reviewing, among other things, such Fund's gross management fees, net management fees and net expense ratio in absolute terms as well as compared to the fees and expenses of a comparable universe of funds provided by an independent fund data provider (the "Peer Universe") and any expense limitations.

The Independent Board Members further reviewed the methodology regarding the construction of the applicable Peer Universe. In reviewing the comparisons of fee and expense information, the Independent Board Members took into account that in certain instances various factors such as: the limited size and particular composition of the Peer Universe (including the inclusion of other Nuveen funds in the peer set); expense anomalies; changes in the funds comprising the Peer Universe from year to year; levels of reimbursement or fee waivers; the timing of information used; the differences in the type and use of leverage; and differences in the states reflected in the Peer Universe may impact the comparative data, thereby limiting somewhat the ability to make a meaningful comparison with peers.

In reviewing the fee schedule for a Fund, the Independent Board Members also considered the fund-level and complex-wide breakpoint schedules (described in further detail below) and any fee waivers and reimbursements provided by Nuveen. In reviewing fees and expenses (excluding leverage costs and leveraged assets, as applicable), the Board considered the expenses and fees to be higher if they were over 10 basis points higher, slightly higher if they were approximately 6 to 10 basis points higher, in line if they were within approximately 5 basis points higher than the peer average and below if they were below the peer average of the Peer Universe. In reviewing the reports, the Board noted that the majority of the Nuveen funds were at, close to or below their peer set average based on the net total expense ratio.

78 Nuveen Investments

The Independent Board Members noted that each of the Funds had a net management fee that was below or in line with its respective peer average and a net expense ratio (including fee waivers and expense reimbursements) that was below its respective peer average.

Based on their review of the fee and expense information provided, the Independent Board Members determined that each Fund's management fees to a Fund Adviser were reasonable in light of the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Fund.

2. Comparisons with the Fees of Other Clients

The Board recognized that all Nuveen funds have a sub-adviser (which, in the case of the Funds, is an affiliated sub-adviser), and therefore, the overall fund management fee can be divided into two components, the fee retained by the Adviser and the fee paid to the sub-adviser. In general terms, the fee to the Adviser reflects the administrative services it provides to support the funds, and while some administrative services may occur at the sub-adviser level, the fee generally reflects the portfolio management services provided by the sub-adviser. The Independent Board Members reviewed information regarding the nature of services provided by the Adviser, including through the Sub-Adviser, and the range of fees and average fee the Sub-Adviser assessed for such services to other clients. Such other clients include municipal separately managed accounts and passively managed exchange traded funds (ETFs) sub-advised by the Adviser. In evaluating the comparisons of fees, the Independent Board Members noted that the fee rates charged to the Funds and other clients vary, among other things, because of the different services involved and the additional regulatory and compliance requirements associated with registered investment companies, such as the Funds. Accordingly, the Independent Board Members considered the differences in the product types, including, but not limited to, the services provided, the structure and operations, product distribution and costs thereof, portfolio investment policies, investor profiles, account sizes and regulatory requirements. The Independent Board Members noted, in particular, that the range of services provided to the Funds (as discussed above) is much more extensive than that provided to separately managed accounts. Many of the additional administrative services provided by the Adviser are not required for institutional clients. Given the inherent differences in the various products, particularly the extensive services provided to the Funds, the Independent Board Members believe such facts justify the different levels of fees.

3. Profitability of Fund Advisers

In conjunction with their review of fees, the Independent Board Members also considered the profitability of Nuveen for its advisory activities and its financial condition. The Independent Board Members reviewed the revenues and expenses of Nuveen's advisory activities for the last two calendar years, the allocation methodology used in preparing the profitability data and an analysis of the key drivers behind the changes in revenues and expenses that impacted profitability in 2012. The Independent Board Members noted this information supplemented the profitability information requested and received during the year to help keep them apprised of developments affecting profitability (such as changes in fee waivers and expense reimbursement commitments). In this regard, the Independent Board Members noted that they have an Independent Board Member serve as a point person to review and keep them apprised of changes to the profitability analysis and/or methodologies during the year. The Independent Board Members also considered Nuveen's revenues for advisory activities, expenses, and profit margin compared to that of various unaffiliated management firms with comparable assets under management (based on asset size and asset composition).

In reviewing profitability, the Independent Board Members recognized the Adviser's continued investment in its business to enhance its services, including capital improvements to investment technology, updated compliance systems, and additional personnel. In addition, in evaluating profitability, the Independent Board Members also

Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process (Unaudited) (continued)

recognized the subjective nature of determining profitability which may be affected by numerous factors including the allocation of expenses and that various allocation methodologies may each be reasonable but yield different results. Further, the Independent Board Members recognized the difficulties in making comparisons as the profitability of other advisers generally is not publicly available and the profitability information that is available for certain advisers or management firms may not be representative of the industry and may be affected by, among other things, the adviser's particular business mix, capital costs, types of funds managed and expense allocations. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Independent Board Members reviewed Nuveen's methodology and assumptions for allocating expenses across product lines to determine profitability. Based on their review, the Independent Board Members concluded that the Adviser's level of profitability for its advisory activities was reasonable in light of the services provided.

With respect to sub-advisers affiliated with Nuveen, including the Sub-Adviser, the Independent Board Members reviewed the sub-adviser's revenues, expenses and profitability margins (pre- and post-tax) for its advisory activities and the methodology used for allocating expenses among the internal sub-advisers. Based on their review, the Independent Board Members were satisfied that the Sub-Adviser's level of profitability was reasonable in light of the services provided.

In evaluating the reasonableness of the compensation, the Independent Board Members also considered other amounts paid to a Fund Adviser by the Funds as well as indirect benefits (such as soft dollar arrangements), if any, the Fund Adviser and its affiliates receive, or are expected to receive, that are directly attributable to the management of the Funds. See Section E below for additional information on indirect benefits a Fund Adviser may receive as a result of its relationship with the Funds. Based on their review of the overall fee arrangements of each Fund, the Independent Board Members determined that the advisory fees and expenses of the respective Fund were reasonable.

D. Economies of Scale and Whether Fee Levels Reflect These Economies of Scale

With respect to economies of scale, the Independent Board Members have recognized the potential benefits resulting from the costs of a fund being spread over a larger asset base, although economies of scale are difficult to measure and predict with precision, particularly on a fund-by-fund basis. One method to help ensure the shareholders share in these benefits is to include breakpoints in the advisory fee schedule. Generally, management fees for funds in the Nuveen complex are comprised of a fund-level component and a complex-level component, subject to certain exceptions. Accordingly, the Independent Board Members reviewed and considered the applicable fund-level breakpoints in the advisory fee schedules that reduce advisory fees as asset levels increase. Further, the Independent Board Members noted that although closed-end funds may from time-to-time make additional share offerings, the growth of their assets will occur primarily through the appreciation of such funds' investment portfolio.

In addition to fund-level advisory fee breakpoints, the Board also considered the Funds' complex-wide fee arrangement. Pursuant to the complex-wide fee arrangement, the fees of the funds in the Nuveen complex are generally reduced as the assets in the fund complex reach certain levels. The complex-wide fee arrangement seeks to provide the benefits of economies of scale to fund shareholders when total fund complex assets increase, even if assets of a particular fund are unchanged or have decreased. The approach reflects the notion that some of Nuveen's costs are attributable to services provided to all its funds in the complex and therefore all funds benefit if these costs are spread over a larger asset base. In addition, with the acquisition of the funds previously advised by FAF Advisors, Inc. at the end of 2010, the Board noted that a portion of such funds' assets at the time of acquisition were deemed eligible to be

included in the complex-wide fee calculation in order to deliver fee savings to shareholders in the combined complex and such funds were subject to differing complex-level fee rates.

Based on their review, the Independent Board Members concluded that the breakpoint schedules and complex-wide fee arrangement were acceptable and reflect economies of scale to be shared with shareholders when assets under management increase.

E. Indirect Benefits

In evaluating fees, the Independent Board Members received and considered information regarding potential “fall out” or ancillary benefits the respective Fund Adviser or its affiliates may receive as a result of its relationship with each Fund. In this regard, the Independent Board Members considered any revenues received by affiliates of the Adviser for serving as co-manager in initial public offerings of new closed-end funds as well as revenues received in connection with secondary offerings.

In addition to the above, the Independent Board Members considered whether the Fund Advisers received any benefits from soft dollar arrangements whereby a portion of the commissions paid by a Fund for brokerage may be used to acquire research that may be useful to the Fund Adviser in managing the assets of the Funds and other clients. The Funds’ portfolio transactions are determined by the Sub-Adviser. Accordingly, the Independent Board Members considered that the Sub-Adviser may benefit from its soft dollar arrangements pursuant to which it receives research from brokers that execute the Funds’ portfolio transactions. With respect to fixed income securities, however, the Board recognized that such securities generally trade on a principal basis that does not generate soft dollar credits. Nevertheless, the Sub-Adviser may engage in soft dollar arrangements on behalf of other clients, and the Funds as well as the Sub-Adviser may benefit from the research or other services received. Similarly, the Board recognized that the research received pursuant to soft dollar arrangements by the Sub-Adviser may also benefit a Fund and shareholders to the extent the research enhances the ability of the Sub-Adviser to manage the Fund. The Independent Board Members noted that the Sub-Adviser’s profitability may be somewhat lower if it did not receive the research services pursuant to the soft dollar arrangements and had to acquire such services directly.

Based on their review, the Independent Board Members concluded that any indirect benefits received by a Fund Adviser as a result of its relationship with the Funds were reasonable and within acceptable parameters.

F. Other Considerations

The Independent Board Members did not identify any single factor discussed previously as all-important or controlling. The Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously concluded that the terms of each Advisory Agreement are fair and reasonable, that the respective Fund Adviser’s fees are reasonable in light of the services provided to each Fund and that the Advisory Agreements be renewed.

Reinvest Automatically,
Easily and Conveniently

Nuveen makes reinvesting easy. A phone call is all it takes to set up your reinvestment account.

Nuveen Closed-End Funds Automatic Reinvestment Plan

Nuveen Closed-End Fund allows you to conveniently reinvest distributions in additional Fund shares. By choosing to reinvest, you'll be able to invest money regularly and automatically, and watch your investment grow through the power of compounding. Just like distributions in cash, there may be times when income or capital gains taxes may be payable on distributions that are reinvested. It is important to note that an automatic reinvestment plan does not ensure a profit, nor does it protect you against loss in a declining market.

Easy and convenient

To make recordkeeping easy and convenient, each month you'll receive a statement showing your total distributions, the date of investment, the shares acquired and the price per share, and the total number of shares you own.

How shares are purchased

The shares you acquire by reinvesting will either be purchased on the open market or newly issued by the Fund. If the shares are trading at or above net asset value at the time of valuation, the Fund will issue new shares at the greater of the net asset value or 95% of the then-current market price. If the shares are trading at less than net asset value, shares for your account will be purchased on the open market. If the Plan Agent begins purchasing Fund shares on the open market while shares are trading below net asset value, but the Fund's shares subsequently trade at or above their net asset value before the Plan Agent is able to complete its purchases, the Plan Agent may cease open-market purchases and may invest the uninvested portion of the distribution in newly-issued Fund shares at a price equal to the greater of the shares' net asset value or 95% of the shares' market value on the last business day immediately prior to the purchase date. Distributions received to purchase shares in the open market will normally be invested shortly after the distribution payment date. No interest will be paid on distributions awaiting reinvestment. Because the market price of the shares may increase before purchases are completed, the average purchase price per share may exceed the market price at the time of valuation, resulting in the acquisition of fewer shares than if the distribution had been paid in shares issued by the Fund. A pro rata portion of any applicable brokerage commissions on open market purchases will be paid by Plan participants. These commissions usually will be lower than those charged on individual transactions.

Flexible

You may change your distribution option or withdraw from the Plan at any time, should your needs or situation change. You can reinvest whether your shares are registered in your name, or in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee. Ask your investment advisor if his or her firm will participate on your behalf. Participants whose shares are registered in the name of one firm may not be able to transfer the shares to another firm and continue to participate in the Plan. The Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Plan at any time. Although the Fund reserves the right to amend the Plan to include a service charge payable by the participants, there is no direct service charge to participants in the Plan at this time.

Call today to start reinvesting distributions

For more information on the Nuveen Automatic Reinvestment Plan or to enroll in or withdraw from the Plan, speak with your financial advisor or call us at (800) 257-8787.

82 Nuveen Investments

Glossary of Terms Used in this Report

Auction Rate Bond: An auction rate bond is a security whose interest payments are adjusted periodically through an auction process, which process typically also serves as a means for buying and selling the bond. Auctions that fail to attract enough buyers for all the shares offered for sale are deemed to have “failed,” with current holders receiving a formula-based interest rate until the next scheduled auction.

Average Annual Total Return: This is a commonly used method to express an investment’s performance over a particular, usually multi-year time period. It expresses the return that would have been necessary each year to equal the investment’s actual cumulative performance (including change in NAV or market price and reinvested dividends and capital gains distributions, if any) over the time period being considered.

Duration: Duration is a measure of the expected period over which a bond’s principal and interest will be paid, and consequently is a measure of the sensitivity of a bond’s or bond fund’s value to changes when market interest rates change. Generally, the longer a bond’s or fund’s duration, the more the price of the bond or fund will change as interest rates change.

Effective Leverage: Effective leverage is a fund’s effective economic leverage, and includes both regulatory leverage (see leverage) and the leverage effects of certain derivative investments in the fund’s portfolio. Currently, the leverage effects of Tender Option Bond (TOB) inverse floater holdings are included in effective leverage values, in addition to any regulatory leverage.

Gross Domestic Product (GDP): The total market value of all final goods and services produced in a country/region in a given year, equal to total consumer, investment and government spending, plus the value of exports, minus the value of imports.

Inverse Floating Rate Securities: Inverse floating rate securities, also known as inverse floaters or tender option bonds (TOBs), are created by depositing a municipal bond, typically with a fixed interest rate, into a special purpose trust created by a broker-dealer. This trust, in turn, (a) issues floating rate certificates typically paying short-term tax-exempt interest rates to third parties in amounts equal to some fraction of the deposited bond’s par amount or market value, and (b) issues an inverse floating rate certificate (sometimes referred to as an “inverse floater”) to an investor (such as a fund) interested in gaining investment exposure to a long-term municipal bond. The income received by the holder of the inverse floater varies inversely with the short-term rate paid to the floating rate certificates’ holders, and in most circumstances the holder of the inverse floater bears substantially all of the underlying bond’s downside investment risk. The holder of the inverse floater typically also benefits disproportionately from any potential appreciation of the underlying bond’s value. Hence, an inverse floater essentially represents an investment in the underlying bond on a leveraged basis.

Leverage: Leverage is created whenever a fund has investment exposure (both reward and/or risk) equivalent to more than 100% of the investment capital.

Lipper Michigan Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average: Calculated using the returns of all closed-end funds in this category. Lipper returns account for the effects of management fees and assume reinvestment of distributions, but do not reflect any applicable sales charges.

Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average: Calculated using the returns of all closed-end funds in this category. Lipper returns account for the effects of management fees and assume reinvestment of distributions, but do not reflect any applicable sales charges.

Net Asset Value (NAV): The net market value of all securities held in a portfolio.

Net Asset Value (NAV) Per Share: The market value of one share of a mutual fund or closed-end fund. For a fund, the NAV is calculated daily by taking the fund's total assets (securities, cash, and accrued earnings), subtracting the fund's liabilities, and dividing by the number of shares outstanding.

Pre-Refunding: Pre-Refunding, also known as advanced refundings or refinancings, is a procedure used by state and local governments to refinance municipal bonds to lower interest expenses. The issuer sells new bonds with a lower yield and uses the proceeds to buy U.S. Treasury securities, the interest from which is used to make payments on the higher-yielding bonds. Because of this collateral, pre-refunding generally raises a bond's credit rating and thus its value.

Regulatory Leverage: Regulatory leverage consists of preferred shares issued by or borrowings of a fund. Both of these are part of a fund's capital structure. Regulatory leverage is subject to asset coverage limits set in the Investment Company Act of 1940.

S&P Municipal Bond Indexes Arizona and Texas: Unleveraged, market value-weighted indexes designed to measure the performance of the tax-exempt, investment-grade municipal bond markets in Arizona and Texas, respectively. Index returns assume reinvestment of distributions, but do not reflect any applicable sales charges or management fees.

S&P Municipal Bond Indexes for Michigan and Ohio: Unleveraged, market value-weighted indexes designed to measure the performance of the tax-exempt, investment-grade municipal bond markets in Michigan and Ohio, respectively. Index returns assume reinvestment of distributions, but do not reflect any applicable sales charges or management fees.

S&P Municipal Bond Index: An unleveraged, market value-weighted index designed to measure the performance of the tax-exempt, investment-grade U.S. municipal bond market. Index returns assume reinvestment of distributions, but do not reflect any applicable sales charges or management fees.

Total Investment Exposure: Total investment exposure is a fund's assets managed by the Adviser that are attributable to financial leverage. For these purposes, financial leverage includes a fund's use of preferred stock and borrowings and investments in the residual interest certificates (also called inverse floating rate securities) in tender option bond (TOB) trusts, including the portion of assets held by a TOB trust that has been effectively financed by the trust's issuance of floating rate securities.

Zero Coupon Bond: A zero coupon bond does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the bond. Income to the holder of the bond comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the bond at issuance and the par value of the bond at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. The market prices of zero coupon bonds generally are more volatile than the market prices of bonds that pay interest periodically.

Notes

Nuveen Investments 85

Notes

86 Nuveen Investments

Additional Fund Information

Board of Trustees

| | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| William Adams IV* | Robert P. Bremner | Jack B. Evans | William C. Hunter | David J. Kundert | John K. Nelson |
| William J. Schneider | Thomas S. Schreier, Jr.* | Judith M. Stockdale | Carole E. Stone | Virginia L. Stringer | Terence J. Toth |

* Interested Board Member.

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Fund Manager Nuveen Fund Advisors, LLC 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 | Custodian State Street Bank & Trust Company Boston, MA 02111 | Legal Counsel Chapman and Cutler LLP Chicago, IL 60603 | Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Ernst & Young LLP Chicago, IL 60606 | Transfer Agent and Shareholder Services State Street Bank & Trust Company Nuveen Funds P.O. Box 43071 Providence, RI 02940-3071 (800) 257-8787 |
|--|---|---|--|--|

Quarterly Form N-Q Portfolio of Investments Information

Each Fund is required to file its complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. You may obtain this information directly from the SEC. Visit the SEC on-line at <http://www.sec.gov> or in person at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. Call the SEC toll-free at (800) SEC -0330 for room hours and operation.

Nuveen Funds' Proxy Voting Information

You may obtain (i) information regarding how each fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities held during the most recent twelve-month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling Nuveen Investments toll-free at (800) 257-8787 or on Nuveen's website at www.nuveen.com and (ii) a description of the policies and procedures that each fund used to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities without charge, upon request, by calling Nuveen Investments toll free at (800) 257-8787. You may also obtain this information directly from the SEC. Visit the SEC on-line at <http://www.sec.gov>.

CEO Certification Disclosure

Each Fund's Chief Executive Officer has submitted to the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) the annual CEO certification as required by Section 303A.12(a) of the NYSE Listed Company Manual. Each Fund has filed with the SEC the certification of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

Common Share Information

Each Fund intends to repurchase shares of its own common stock at such times and in such amounts as is deemed advisable. During the period covered by this report, the Fund repurchased shares of its common stock as shown in the

accompanying table. Any future repurchases will be reported to shareholders in the next annual or semi-annual report.

| | NAZ | NUM | NUO | NTX |
|---------------------------|-----|-------|-----|-----|
| Common shares repurchased | — | 9,500 | — | — |

Nuveen Investments 87

Nuveen Investments:

Serving Investors for Generations

Since 1898, financial advisors and their clients have relied on Nuveen Investments to provide dependable investment solutions through continued adherence to proven, long-term investing principles. Today, we offer a range of high quality equity and fixed-income solutions designed to be integral components of a well-diversified core portfolio.

Focused on meeting investor needs.

Nuveen Investments provides high-quality investment services designed to help secure the long-term goals of institutional and individual investors as well as the consultants and financial advisors who serve them. Nuveen Investments markets a wide range of specialized investment solutions which provide investors access to capabilities of its high-quality boutique investment affiliates—Nuveen Asset Management, Symphony Asset Management, NWQ Investment Management Company, Santa Barbara Asset Management, Tradewinds Global Investors, Winslow Capital Management and Gresham Investment Management. In total, Nuveen Investments managed \$216 billion as of June 30, 2013.

Find out how we can help you.

To learn more about how the products and services of Nuveen Investments may be able to help you meet your financial goals, talk to your financial advisor, or call us at (800) 257-8787. Please read the information provided carefully before you invest. Investors should consider the investment objective and policies, risk considerations, charges and expenses of any investment carefully. Where applicable, be sure to obtain a prospectus, which contains this and other relevant information. To obtain a prospectus, please contact your securities representative or Nuveen Investments, 333 W. Wacker Dr., Chicago, IL 60606. Please read the prospectus carefully before you invest or send money.

Learn more about Nuveen Funds at: www.nuveen.com/cef

Distributed by Nuveen Investments, LLC | 333 West Wacker Drive | Chicago, IL 60606 | www.nuveen.com

ESA-C-0813D

ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

Not applicable to this filing.

ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

Not applicable to this filing.

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

Not applicable to this filing.

ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

Not applicable to this filing.

ITEM 6. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS.

(a) See Portfolio of Investments in Item 1.

(b) Not applicable.

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

Not applicable to this filing.

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

Not applicable to this filing.

ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

| Period* | (a) TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES (OR UNITS) PURCHASED | (b) AVERAGE PRICE PAID PER SHARE (OR UNIT) | (c) TOTAL NUMBER OF SHARES (OR UNITS) PURCHASED AS PART OF PUBLICLY ANNOUNCED PLANS OR PROGRAMS | (d)* MAXIMUM NUMBER (OR APPROXIMATE DOLLAR VALUE) OF SHARES (OR UNITS) THAT MAY YET BE PURCHASED UNDER THE PLANS OR PROGRAMS |
|------------------|--|---|--|--|
| MARCH 1-31, 2013 | 0 | | 0 | 1,155,000 |

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN MICHIGAN QUALITY INCOME MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSRS

| | | | | |
|-------------------|-------|---------|-------|-----------|
| APRIL 1-30, 2013 | 0 | | 0 | 1,155,000 |
| MAY 1-31, 2013 | 0 | | 0 | 1,155,000 |
| JUNE 1-30, 2013 | 0 | | 0 | 1,155,000 |
| JULY 1-31, 2013 | 7,100 | \$13.05 | 7,100 | 1,147,900 |
| AUGUST 1-31, 2013 | 2,400 | \$12.35 | 2,400 | 1,145,500 |
| TOTAL | 9,500 | | | |

* The registrant's repurchase program authorized the repurchase of 1,155,000 shares on November 15, 2012. Any repurchases made by the registrant pursuant to the program were made through open-market transactions.

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrant's Board implemented after the registrant last provided disclosure in response to this Item.

ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

(a) The registrant's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, have concluded that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act") (17 CFR 270.30a-3(c))) are effective, as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this report that includes the disclosure required by this paragraph, based on their evaluation of the controls and procedures required by Rule 30a-3(b) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(b)) and Rules 13a-15(b) or 15d-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") (17 CFR 240.13a-15(b) or 240.15d-15(b)).

(b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(d))) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

File the exhibits listed below as part of this Form.

(a)(1) Any code of ethics, or amendment thereto, that is the subject of the disclosure required by Item 2, to the extent that the registrant intends to satisfy the Item 2 requirements through filing of an exhibit: Not applicable to this filing.

(a)(2) A separate certification for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the registrant as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(a)) in the exact form set forth below: See Ex-99.CERT attached hereto.

(a)(3) Any written solicitation to purchase securities under Rule 23c-1 under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.23c-1) sent or given during the period covered by the report by or on behalf of the registrant to 10 or more persons: Not applicable.

(b) If the report is filed under Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, provide the certifications required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(b)); Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13a-14(b) or 240.15d-14(b)), and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350) as an exhibit. A certification furnished pursuant to this paragraph will not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78r), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference: See Ex-99.906 CERT attached hereto.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

(Registrant) Nuveen Michigan Quality Income Municipal Fund

By (Signature and Title) /s/ Kevin J. McCarthy
Kevin J. McCarthy
Vice President and Secretary

Date: November 8, 2013

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By (Signature and Title) /s/ Gifford R. Zimmerman
Gifford R. Zimmerman
Chief Administrative Officer
(principal executive officer)

Date: November 8, 2013

By (Signature and Title) /s/ Stephen D. Foy
Stephen D. Foy
Vice President and Controller
(principal financial officer)

Date: November 8, 2013