VERSAR INC Form 10-Q May 11, 2006

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

| FORM 1 | 10-Q | | |
|---|-------------------------------|----------|--|
| (Mark One) [X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934. | ON 13 OI | R 15 (d | .) OF THE |
| For the Quarterly Period Ended March 3 | 31, 200 | 6 | |
| Commission File Number 1-9309 | | | |
| VERSA | AR INC. | | |
| (Exact name of registrant as specified i | in its (| charter |) |
| DELAWARE | | | 54-0852979 |
| (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) | | | |
| 6850 Versar Center Springfield, Virginia | | | 22151 |
| (Address of principal executive offices) | | (Zip | Code) |
| Registrant's telephone number, including | g area (| code | (703) 750-3000 |
| | Not App | plicabl | е |
| (Former name, former address and former report.) | fiscal | year, | if changed since last |
| Indicate by check mark whether the registrequired to be filed by Section 13 or 15 of 1934 during the preceding 12 months registrant was required to file such registred filing requirements for the past 90 | o(d) of (or for ports), | the Se | curities Exchange Act horter period that th |
| | Yes | X | No |
| Indicate by check mark whether the regis | | | _ |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

accelerated filer and large filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No X

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practical date.

VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

PAGE PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION ITEM 1 - Financial Statements Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2006 and July 1, 2005 3 Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three-Month and Nine-Month Periods Ended March 31, 2006 and April 1, 2005 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine-Month Periods Ended March 31, 2006 and April 1, 2005 5 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements 6-12 ITEM 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ITEM 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 19 19 ITEM 4 - Procedures and Controls PART II - OTHER INFORMATION 19-20 ITEM 1 - Legal Proceedings ITEM 6 - Exhibits 20 SIGNATURES 21 EXHIBITS 22-25

VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Balance Sheets (In Thousands)

| | | | March 200 | | 2006 |
|--|----|--------|----------------|----------|---------------|
| | | | (Unaudit | ed) | |
| ASSETS | | | | | |
| Current assets | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | | 2,261 | \$ | 132 |
| Accounts receivable, net | | | 11,019 | | 14,57 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | | 1,532 | | 2,017 | |
| Deferred income taxes | | 765 | ; | 308 | 3 |
| Total current assets | | | 15,577 | | 17,03 |
| Property and equipment, net | | | 1,858 | | 1,855 |
| Deferred income taxes | | | 945 | | 457 |
| Goodwill | | | | 776 | |
| Other Assets | | | 796 | | 790 |
| Total assets | \$ | | 19,952 | \$ \$ | 20,912 |
| | | | ======== | ==== - | ======= |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities | | | | | |
| Bank line of credit | \$ | | \$ | | 777 |
| Accounts payable | | 2,505 | | 3,958 | |
| Billings in excess of revenue | | =, | 324 | -, | |
| Accrued salaries and vacation | | | 1,803 | | 1,49 |
| Other liabilities | | | | 531 | |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations, net | | 234 | <u></u> | | 280 |
| Total current liabilities | | | 6 , 397 | | 9 , 14 |
| Other long-term liabilities | | | 968 | | 1,04 |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations, net | | | - | | 172 |
| Total liabilities | | | 7. | 365 | |
| IOCAL TIADITICIES | | | | | |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | | | |
| Stockholders' equity | | | | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value; 30,000,000 | | | | | |
| shares authorized; 8,086,405 shares and | | | | | |
| 7,924,116 shares issued March 31, 2006 | | | | | |
| and July 1, 2005, respectively; 8,070,900 | | | | | |
| and 7,908,611 shares outstanding at | | | 0.4 | | 70 |
| March 31, 2006 and July 1, 2005, respectively | | 22 606 | 81 | | 79 |
| Capital in excess of par value Accumulated deficit | | 22,606 | (10,028) | | 22,119 (11,5 |
| Treasury stock | | | (72) | | (*±,**) |
| Total stockholders' equity | | | 12,587 | | 10,5 |
| | | | | | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ | | 19,952 | \$ | 20,912 |
| edarel | 7 | | ======== | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

3

VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited - in thousands, except per share amounts)

For the Three-Month Periods Ended

| | | | Marc 2000 | ch 31, 6 | 200 | il 1, 5 | Marc 2006 |
|---|-------|------|--------------|-----------------|-----|----------------|--------------|
| GROSS REVENUE Purchased services and materials, at co | | | | | 3 | | |
| NET SERVICE REVENUE | | | 8,335 | | | | |
| Direct costs of services and overhead Selling, general and administrative exp | enses | | | | | | |
| OPERATING (LOSS) INCOME | | | (14) | | 306 | | 819 |
| OTHER EXPENSE Interest expense Income tax (benefit) | | | 19) | | | (94 | |
| INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS | | | 912 | 2 | 283 | 3 | 1,751 |
| LOSS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS | | | | | | | (205) |
| NET INCOME | | | \$ | | \$ | | \$ |
| INCOME PER SHARE FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS - BASIC | | \$ | | \$ ====== | | | |
| INCOME PER SHARE FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS - DILUTED | | \$ | 0.11 | | | \$ ====== | 0.21 |
| NET INCOME PER SHARE - BASIC | | \$ | | \$ | | | 0.19 |
| NET INCOME PER SHARE - DILUTED | \$ | 0.11 | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 0.19 | \$ |
| | | | | | | | |

| | ========== | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-------|-------|
| OUTSTANDING - DILUTED | 8,336 | 8,302 | 8,343 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES | | | |
| | ========== | | |
| OUTSTANDING - BASIC | 8,071 | 7,914 | 8,038 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES | | | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

4

VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited - in thousands)

For the Nine-Month _____ March 31, 2006 Cash flows from operating activities Income from continuing operations 1,751 (205) Loss from discontinued operations _____ Net income 1,546 Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities Depreciation and amortization 573 55 Loss on sale of property and equipment 30 Provision for doubtful accounts receivable (34) Share based compensation 47 Decrease in tax valuation allowance (945)Changes in assets and liabilities 1,57 3,592 Decrease in accounts receivable Decrease (increase) in prepaids and other assets 474 Decrease in accounts payable (1,453)Increase (decrease) in accrued salaries and vacation 313 Decrease in other liabilities (860) Net cash provided by continuing operating activities 3,283 Changes in net liabilities of discontinued operations (218) (151) _____ 3,065 Net cash provided by operating activities _____ Cash flows used in investing activities

Purchase of property and equipment

(542)

| (Increase) in life insurance policies cash surrender value | (59) | | (3 |
|--|-------|---------------|-------------------|
| Net cash used in investing activities | (6 | 01) | (46 |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | |
| Net payments on bank line of credit | (7 | 77) | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | | 442 | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (3 | 35) | |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | | 2,129 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period | | 132 | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the period \$ | 2,261 | \$ ======= | 1,79 ===== |
| Supplementary disclosure of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for | | | |
| Interest | | \$ | 83 |
| Income taxes | | 34 | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

5

VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(A) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Versar, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries ("Versar" or the "Company"). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The financial information has been prepared in accordance with the Company's customary accounting practices. The accompanying consolidated financial statements are presented in accordance with the requirements of Form 10-Q and consequently do not include all of the disclosures normally required by generally accepted accounting principles or those normally made in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report filed on Form 10-K/A for the year ended July 1, 2005 for additional information. In the opinion of management, the information reflects all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the Company's consolidated financial position as of March 31, 2006, and the results of operations for the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2006 and April 1, 2005. The results of operations for such periods, however, are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for a full fiscal year.

(B) Accounting Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires

management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

(C) Contract Accounting

Contracts in process are stated at the lower of actual cost incurred plus accrued profits or net estimated realizable value of incurred costs, reduced by progress billings. The Company records income from major fixedprice contracts, extending over more than one accounting period, using the percentage-of-completion method. During performance of such contracts, estimated final contract prices and costs are periodically reviewed and revisions are made as required. The effects of these revisions are included in the periods in which the revisions are made. On cost-plus-fee contracts, revenue is recognized to the extent of costs incurred plus a proportionate amount of fee earned, and on time-and-material contracts, revenue is recognized to the extent of billable rates times hours delivered plus material and other reimbursable costs incurred. Losses on contracts are recognized when they become known. Disputes arise in the normal course of the Company's business on projects where the Company is contesting with customers for collection of funds because of events such as delays, changes in contract specifications and questions of cost allowability or collectibility. Such disputes, whether claims or unapproved change orders in the process of negotiation, are recorded at the lesser of their estimated net realizable value or actual costs incurred and only when realization is probable and can be reliably estimated. Claims against the Company are recognized where loss is considered probable and reasonably determinable in amount. Management reviews outstanding receivables on a regular basis and assesses the need for reserves taking into consideration past collection history and other events that bear on the collectibility of such receivables.

(D) Income Taxes

At March 31, 2006, the Company had approximately \$4.0 million in deferred tax assets which primarily relate to net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Since the Company had experienced losses in previous years, management recorded a valuation allowance of approximately \$2.3 million against the net deferred tax asset. The valuation allowance is adjusted periodically based upon management's assessment of the Company's ability to derive benefit from the deferred tax assets. In the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, the Company decreased the tax valuation allowance by \$945,000 due to an improved history of earnings, significant reductions in fixed costs and

6

VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

recent contract wins, which should enhance profitability in future years. As such, the net deferred tax asset of \$1.7 million represents an amount that management believes more likely than not will benefit future periods. This also provides a greater assurance that the Company will be able to utilize the tax assets in future periods.

(E) Debt

The Company has a line of credit facility with United Bank (the Bank) that provides for advances up to \$5,000,000 based upon qualifying receivables. Interest on borrowings is based on the prime rate of interest (7.75% as of March 31, 2006). As of March 31, 2006, there were no borrowings outstanding under the line of credit and had borrowing capacity under the line of credit of \$5,000,000. Obligations under the credit facility are quaranteed by the Company and each subsidiary individually and collectively secured by accounts receivable, equipment and intangibles, plus all insurance policies on property constituting collateral. The credit facility matures in November 2007. The line of credit is subject to certain covenants related to the maintenance of financial ratios. These covenants require a minimum tangible net worth of \$8,500,000, a maximum total liabilities to tangible net worth ratio not to exceed 2.5 to 1; and a minimum current ratio of at least 1.25 to 1. Failure to meet the covenant requirements gives the Bank the right to demand outstanding amounts due under the line of credit, which may impact the Company's ability to finance its working capital requirements. At March 31, 2006, the Company was in compliance with the financial covenants.

(F) Discontinued Operations and Restructuring Charges

In fiscal year 1998, the Company discontinued a significant portion of the operations of Science Management Corporation (SMC). Since 1998, the Company has disposed of substantially all of the remaining assets and liabilities of SMC. At December 30, 2005, there was a \$205,000 reserve set aside to cover the additional cost to wind down the SMC pension plan and fully fund the pension plan in accordance with the PBGC requirements for settling the remaining benefit plan obligations of SMC. The Company is in the process of locating eligible participants in the pension plan and intends to make a final distribution as early as administratively practical.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2005, management approved a plan to discontinue the operations of its biological laboratory facilities due to lack of business volume, market concentration and poor operating performance. The Company recorded \$420,000 facility termination costs at the end of fiscal year 2005. In fiscal year 2006, the Company sublet the laboratory facility and completely satisfied the equipment leases. At March 31, 2006, there were approximately \$22,000 net liability remaining to wind down the Company's existing obligations. Management believes the balance is adequate to satisfy the remaining obligations.

(G) Contingencies

Versar and its subsidiaries are parties to various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. The Company believes that the ultimate resolution of these legal actions will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position and results of operations. (See Part II, Item 1 - Legal Proceedings).

In March 2006, the Company entered into a new master lease for its headquarters facility in Springfield, Virginia. The new lease reduced the prior leased square footage by 19,000 square feet to 47,222 square feet. The rent is subject to two and one-half percent escalation per year through June 30, 2016. As a result of the new lease, the Company reversed the \$154,000 vacant space reserve, which was set up in prior years to reduce the leased space and is no longer required.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(H) Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

On January 30, 1998, Versar completed the acquisition of The Greenwood Partnership, P.C. subsequently renamed Versar Global Solutions, Inc. or VGSI. The transaction was accounted for as a purchase. Goodwill resulting from this transaction was approximately \$1.1 million. In fiscal year 2003, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" which eliminated the amortization of goodwill, but requires the Company to test such goodwill for impairment annually. Currently, the carrying value of goodwill is approximately \$776,000 relating to the acquisition of VGSI, which is now part of the Infrastructure and Management Services (IMS) reporting unit. The IMS business segment was combined with the Engineering and Construction business segment during fiscal year 2005 and continues to operate under the IMS segment name, because many of the services provided were similar to the Company's remediation business, and the two segments shared similar customers and business opportunities, and were duplicative in nature. This combination provided a more efficient use of resources and more effective management of the business operations. In performing its goodwill impairment analysis, management has utilized a market-based valuation approach to determine the estimated fair value of the IMS reporting unit. Management engages outside professionals and valuation experts, as necessary, to assist in performing this analysis. An analysis was performed on public companies and company transactions to prepare a market-based valuation. Based upon the analysis as of July 1, 2005, the estimated fair value of the IMS reporting unit was \$23 million which is in excess of the carrying amount of the net assets of the reporting unit by a substantial margin.

On April 15, 2005, the Company acquired the Cultural Resources Group from Parsons Infrastructure & Technology Group, Inc., a subsidiary of Parsons Corporation for a purchase price of approximately \$260,000 in cash. The Cultural Resources Group, based in Fairfax County, Virginia provides archaeological, cultural and historical services to federal, state and municipal clients across the country. The acquisition will expand the Company's existing and future capabilities in cultural resources work. Their expertise will enhance and compliment Versar's environmental core business. The Cultural Resources Group was incorporated into the Company's IMS segment. As part of the acquisition, the Company entered into a two year marketing agreement with Parsons to give Versar the first right of refusal to certain Parsons cultural resources work from existing Parsons' clients. Thereafter, this agreement is annually renewable upon the agreement of both parties. Approximately \$25,000 of the purchase price was allocated to fixed assets, with the remaining balance allocated to contract rights which are being amortized over three years.

(I) Net Income Per Share

Basic net income per common share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per common share also includes the assumed exercise or conversion of common stock equivalents outstanding during the period, if dilutive. The Company's common stock equivalents consist of stock options.

| For the | Three-Month | Periods | Ended | | For | the | Nine-Month | Peri |
|----------|-------------|---------|-------|-------|-----|-----|------------|------|
| March 31 | - / | April 1 | 1, | March | 31, | | Apri. | 1 1, |
| 2006 | | 2005 | | 20 | 06 | | | 2005 |

| Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic | 8,071,030 | 7,914,442 | 8,037,668 | 7,879,293 |
|--|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Assumed exercise of options (treasury stock method) | 264 , 872 | 387 , 129 | 305 , 169 | 426 , 660 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding - diluted | 8,335,902 ====== | 8,301,571 ======= | 8,342,837 ======= | 8,305,953 ======= |

8

VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

(J) Common Stock

The Company issued 162,289 shares of common stock upon the exercise of stock options during the first nine months of fiscal year 2006. Total proceeds from the exercise of such stock options was approximately \$440,000.

Effective January 1, 2005, the Company implemented an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) to allow eligible employees of Versar the opportunity to acquire an ownership interest in the Company's common stock. Through the Plan, employees initially purchased shares of Versar common stock from the open market at 90% of its fair market value. The plan was modified, effective January 1, 2006, to increase the purchase price to 95% of fair market value to address certain issues raised under Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ("SFAS") 123 (Revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment". The Plan qualifies as an "employee stock purchase plan" under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code.

(K) Stock-Based Compensation

In November 2005, the stockholders approved the Versar, Inc. 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2005 Plan). The 2005 Plan provides for grants of incentive awards, including stock options, SARS, restricted stock, restricted share units and performance based awards, may be granted to directors, officers and employees of the Company and its affiliates. Only employees may receive stock options classified as "incentive stock options", also known as "ISO". The per share exercise price for options and SARS granted under the 2005 Plan shall not be less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. A maximum of 400,000 shares of Common Stock may be awarded under the 2005 Plan. No single director, officer, or employee may be granted more than 100,000 shares of Common Stock during the term of the 2005 Plan. The 2005 Plan awards in this plan will terminate in September 2015.

In November 2002, the stockholders approved the Versar, Inc. 2002 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2002 Plan). The 2002 Plan provides for the grant of options, restricted stock and other types of stock-based awards to any employee, service provider or director to whom a grant is approved from time to time by the Company's Compensation Committee. A "service provider" is

defined for purposes of the 2002 Plan as an individual who is neither an employee nor a director of the Company or any of its affiliates but who provides the Company or one of its affiliates substantial and important services. The aggregate number of shares of the Company's Common Stock that may be issued upon exercise of options or granted as restricted stock or other stock-based awards under the 2002 Plan is 700,000. Grants of restricted stock, performance equity awards, options and stock appreciation rights in any one fiscal year to any one participant may not exceed 250,000 shares. The maximum amount of compensation that may be received by any one employee with respect to performance unit grants in any one fiscal year may not exceed \$250,000.

In November 1996, the stockholders approved the Versar 1996 Stock Option Plan (the 1996 Plan) to provide employees and directors of the Company and certain other persons an incentive to remain as employees of the Company and to encourage superior performance. The Company also maintains the Versar 1992 Stock Option Plan (the "1992 Plan") and the Versar 1987 Stock Option Plan (the "1987 Plan"). Options to purchase all shares of common stock reserved under these plans have been granted.

Under the 1996 Plan, which expires in November 2006, options may be granted to key employees, directors and service providers at the fair market value on the date of grant. The vesting of each option will be determined by the Administrator of the Plan. Each option expires on the earlier of the last day of the tenth year after the date of grant or after expiration of a period designated in the option agreement.

Under the 1992 Plan and through the expiration of the 1992 Plan in November 2002, options were generally granted to key employees at the fair market value on the date of grant and became exercisable during the ten-year period from the date of the grant at 20% per year. Options were granted with a ten year term and expired if

9

VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

not exercised by the tenth anniversary of the grant date. The 1992 plan has expired and no additional options may be granted. The Company will continue to maintain the plan until all previously granted options have been exercised, forfeited or expire.

Effective July 1, 2005, the Company adopted the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) SFAS No. 123 (Revised 2004), "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" (SFAS 123(R)). This Statement revises SFAS No. 123 by eliminating the option to account for employee stock options under APB No. 25 and generally requires companies to recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of those awards (the "fair-value-based" method). As a result a compensation expense of \$47,000 for the nine months of fiscal year 2006 was included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations.

On June 21, 2005, the Board of Directors of the Company accelerated the vesting of certain previously awarded unvested and "out-of-the-money" stock options that had an exercise price per share of \$3.00 or more for all employees and officers of the Company. The accelerated awards were originally made under the Versar, Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan and 2002 Stock

Incentive Plan. As a result, options to purchase 306,010 shares of the Company's common stock became exercisable immediately. All other terms and conditions applicable to the outstanding stock option grants remain in effect. The closing price of the Company's Common Stock on the American Stock Exchange on June 21, 2005 was \$3.00. The acceleration of the out-of-the-money stock options was effected in order to avoid as significant an impact from the adoption of SFAS 123(R). Outstanding non-qualified stock options and options held by non-employee directors were not included in the acceleration. As a result of the acceleration, the Company reduced the amount of stock compensation expense it otherwise would have been required to recognize in its consolidated statements of income by approximately \$124,000 over the next four years on a pre-tax basis.

A summary of option activity under the Company's employee stock incentive plans in the nine months ended March 31, 2006, is presented below:

| | | | | | | Weighte | d- |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| | | | | Weighte | | _ | Aggregate |
| | | | | Averag | е | Remaini | ng I |
| Options | | Shares | Exercis | Exercise | Contrac | ctual | Value |
| | | (000) | | Price | Term | (\$000) | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Outstanding at July 1, 2005 | 1,690 | | \$ | 3.10 | | | |
| Granted | 5 | | \$ | 3.20 | | | |
| Exercised | | (163) | \$ | 2.72 | | | |
| Forfeited or cancelled | (196) | \$ | 3.20 | | | | |
| Outstanding at march 31, 2006 | 1,336 | | \$ | 3.18 | | 5.9 | \$ 2 |
| | ====== | | ====== | === | ====== | ==== | ======= |
| Exercisable at March 31, 2006 | 1,164 | | \$ | 3.19 | | 6.0 | \$ 2 |
| | | | = | | | | |

As of March 31, 2006, there were approximately 172,000 unvested options to purchase common stock under the plans. The total fair value of these unvested options was approximately \$285,000. An estimated compensation cost of \$64,000 is expected to be recognized over 5 years.

For periods prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, the Company accounts for employee stock option grants using the intrinsic method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25 "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and related interpretations. Accordingly compensation expense, if any, is measured as the excess of the underlying stock price over the exercise price on the date of grant. The Company complies with the disclosure option of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", as amended by SFAS No. 148 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure" which requires pro-forma disclosure of compensation expense associated with stock options under the fair value method.

VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

The Company's pro forma information follows (in thousands, except per share data):

| | | For the Three-Month Periods Ended April 1, | | | Ended April 1, |
|--|------|---|-------|-------|----------------|
| | | 2005 | | 2 | 005 |
| Net income, as reported Less: Total Stock-Based Compensation determined under the fair-value based | \$ | 98 | | \$ | 926 |
| method Pro-forma net income | | (32) | (130) | | 592 |
| Net income per share - basic, as reported Pro-forma net income per share - basic | \$ | 0.01 | | \$ | 0.12 |
| Net income per share - diluted, as reported Pro-forma net income per share \$ | \$ - | 0.01 | | \$ 0. | 0.11 |

(L) Business Segments

The Company's two business segments are Infrastructure and Management Services and National Security. The Infrastructure and Management Services segment provides a full range of services including remediation/corrective actions, site investigations, remedial designs, construction, operation and maintenance of remedial systems, and engineering, design and construction management to industrial, commercial and government facilities. The National Security segment provides expertise in developing, testing and providing personal protection equipment.

In fiscal year 2005, Versar combined the Infrastructure and Management Services and the former Engineering and Construction business segment because many of the services provided were similar to the Company's remediation business, and the two segments shared similar customers and business opportunities, and were duplicative in nature. The combination provided a more efficient use of resources and more effective management of the business operations, given the cyclical nature of the former Engineering and Construction business segment. The Company now evaluates the business along the two business lines described above. The prior year segment information has been restated to conform to the new presentation.

The Company evaluates and measures the performance of its business segments based on net service revenue and operating income. As such, selling, general and administrative expenses, interest and income taxes have not been allocated to the Company's business segments.

11

VERSAR, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (continued)

Summary financial information for each of the Company's segments follows:

| | For t | he Three-1 | Month | | | For the Nine-Month Per | | | | |
|--|-------|-------------------|-------|------------------|-------|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| | | March 31, 2006 | | April 1, 2005 | | rch 31, | April 1, 2005 | | | |
| NET SERVICE REVENUE | | | | | | | | | | |
| Infrastructure and Management Services National Security | \$ | 1,475 | | | 1,674 | | | \$ 4 , 246 | | |
| | • | 8,335 ====== | | \$:====== | 8,823 | | | • | | |
| OPERATING INCOME (A) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Infrastructure and Management Services National Security | \$ | 386 | | | 436 | | 4,148 | \$ 940 | | |
| | | 1,423 | | | 1,823 | | | 5 , 088 | | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | | (1,437) | | (1,517) | | (4,269 |)) | (4,571 | | |
| OPERATING (LOSS) INCOME (A) | \$ | (14) | | 306 | | • | 819 | т | | |

Operating income is defined as net revenue less direct costs of services and overhead.

| IDENTIFIABLE ASSETS | March 31, 2006 | | July 1, 2005 | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------|-------|
| Infrastructure and Management Services \$ National Security Corporate and Other | 11,212 | \$ 6,893 | 14,817 1,847 | 4,357 | 1,738 |

Total Assets \$ 19,952 \$ 20,912

12

ITEM 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Financial trends

During the third and fourth quarters of fiscal year 2005, gross revenues on major construction projects declined compared to the first half of the fiscal year, due to delays in obtaining follow-on and new projects. The resulting reduction in gross revenues along with the delay in resolution of several construction change orders had a negative effect on the Company's operating results for the second half of fiscal year 2005. Additionally, during 2005, there were delays in contract funding for the Environmental Protection Agency and certain delays with civilian agencies because of diversion of funds for the war effort and the announcement of additional military base closings by the BRAC commission, which cancelled project funding of approximately \$5 million.

During much of the first quarter of 2006, the Company continued to experience reduced gross revenues as a result of continuing effects of the delays and other factors that impacted the last half of fiscal year 2005. However, late in the first quarter of fiscal year 2006, the Company increased its funded contract backlog from \$31 million as reported at July 1, 2005 to \$40 million at September 30, 2005, primarily due to the release of several construction projects, the award of an additional \$3 million contract for construction oversight in Iraq and increased activity at the government fiscal year end. Late in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, with the award of the Army's professional services contract, funded backlog increased to \$48 million as of March 31, 2006. In addition, the Company has over \$16 million of pending large construction projects that as of yet have not been funded by the government. Subsequent to the third quarter end, \$9.6 million of the \$16 million of funding was received. We anticipate that with the current level of funded backlog, that quarterly gross revenues will increase during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year as compared to that reported for the first nine months of fiscal 2006. However, for the Company to foster and sustain growth, it must win additional follow-on projects and additional new contracts to keep funded contract backlog at levels that will support continued growth. There can be no assurance that the Company's efforts to grow the business base will be successful or that the Company will receive sufficient contract awards to replace work as contracts are completed.

In fiscal year 2005, the Company discontinued the operations of its biological laboratory primarily due to the continued poor operating performance, market saturation and poor future business outlook. Such results are presented as discontinued operations for financial statement purposes and the liabilities of such operations have been segregated as the Company winds down the business affairs of the laboratory.

There are a number of risk factors or uncertainties that could significantly impact our financial performance including the following:

- General economic or political conditions;
- Threatened or pending litigation;

- The timing of expenses incurred for corporate initiatives;
- Employee hiring, utilization, and turnover rates;
- The seasonality of spending in the federal government and for commercial clients;
- Delays in project contracted engagements;
- Unanticipated contract changes impacting profitability;
- Reductions in prices by our competitors;
- The ability to obtain follow on project work;
- Failure to properly manage projects resulting in additional costs;
- The cost of compliance for the Company's laboratories;

13

ITEM 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

- The impact of a negative government audit potentially impacting our costs, reputation and ability to work with the federal government;
- Loss of key personnel;
- The ability to compete in a highly competitive environment; and
- Federal funding delays due to war in Iraq.

Results of Operations

Third Quarter Comparison of Fiscal Year 2006 and 2005

This report contains certain forward-looking statements which are based on current expectations. Actual results may differ materially. The forward-looking statements include those regarding the continued award of future work or task orders from government and private clients, cost controls and reductions, the expected resolution of delays in billing of certain projects, and the possible impact of current and future claims against the Company based upon negligence and other theories of liability. Forward-looking statements involve numerous risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially, including, but not limited to, the possibilities that the demand for the Company's services may decline as a result of possible changes in general and industry specific economic conditions and the effects of competitive services and pricing; the possibility the Company will not be able to perform work within budget or contractual limitations; one or more current or future claims made against the Company may result in substantial liabilities; the possibility the Company will not be able to attract and retain key professional employees; changes to or failure of the Federal government to fund certain programs in which the Company participates; and such other risks and uncertainties as are described in reports and other documents filed by the Company from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Gross revenue for the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 was \$12,974,000, a \$1,947,000 (13%) decrease over that reported in the third quarter of fiscal year 2005. The decrease is attributable to reduced new construction work and continued delayed project funding of over \$16 million in pending construction work in the Company's Infrastructure and Management Services Business segment due to delays in federal funding and the diversion of funds to the war in Iraq. Subsequent to the third quarter end, \$9.6 million of the \$16 million of funding was received. A large portion of these revenues was primarily generated from purchased services and materials.

Purchased services and materials decreased by \$1,459,000 (24%) in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 compared to that reported in the third quarter of fiscal year 2005. The decrease was primarily the result of reduced subcontracted construction work and delayed project funding as discussed above.

Net service revenue is derived by deducting the costs of purchased services and materials from gross revenue. Versar considers it appropriate to analyze operating margins and other ratios in relation to net service revenue, because such revenues reflect the actual work performed by the Company's labor force. Net service revenues decreased by 6% in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 compared to that reported in the third quarter of fiscal year 2005. The decrease was primarily due to the lower labor utilization as a result of project funding delays which translated into lower margins for the Company during the quarter, and the lower markup associated with the reduced purchased services and materials as mentioned above.

Direct costs of services and revenue include the cost to Versar of direct and overhead staff, including recoverable and unallowable costs that are directly attributable to contracts. The percentage of these costs to net service revenue increased to 82.9% in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 compared to 79.3% in the third quarter of fiscal year 2005. The increase is attributable to the reduced labor utilization, lower than anticipated performance in the chemical laboratory, and the increases in costs related to a performance based project in the Infrastructure and Management Services Business segment.

14

ITEM 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

Selling, general and administrative expenses remained at approximated 17.2% of net service revenue in the third quarter of fiscal years 2006 and 2005.

Operating loss for the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 was \$14,000 compared to operating income of \$306,000 over the same period in fiscal year 2005. The decrease was due to the lower gross revenues, and purchased services and higher direct costs of services in relation to the business volume as mentioned above.

Interest expense for the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 was \$19,000, a reduction of \$4,000 over that reported in the third quarter of fiscal year 2005. The interest expense is primarily for the financing of large insurance premiums and capital leases as part of the normal operations of the business. The Company continues to remain cash positive and did not utilize the existing line of credit during the third quarter of fiscal year 2006.

In the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, the Company decreased the tax valuation allowance by \$945,000 due to an improved earnings history, significant reductions in fixed costs and recent contract wins, which should enhance profitability in future years. As such, the net deferred tax asset of \$1.71 million represents an amount that management believes more likely than not will benefit future periods. This also provides a greater assurance that the Company will be able to utilize the tax assets in future periods.

Income from continuing operations was \$912,000 for the third quarter of

fiscal year 2006, an increase of \$629,000 over that reported in the third quarter of fiscal year 2005 as a result of tax benefit recorded in the quarter due to the reduction of the tax valuation allowance as noted above.

Loss from discontinued operations for the third quarter of fiscal year 2005 was \$185,000, which was due to the discontinuance of the Company's biological laboratory operations in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2005. The results for the third quarter of fiscal year 2005 were adjusted to reflect the discontinued operations of the biological laboratory.

Versar's net income for the third quarter of fiscal year 2006 was \$912,000 compared to \$98,000 in the prior fiscal year. The improved earnings were primarily attributable to the removal of the biological laboratory from the operating results of the Company and the tax benefit recorded during the quarter.

Nine Months Comparison of Fiscal Years 2006 and 2005

Gross revenues for the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 decreased by \$9,788,000 (19%) compared to the first nine months of fiscal year 2005. The decrease is due to the decrease in construction work in fiscal year 2006 in the Infrastructure and Management Services business segment. The decrease is attributable to the completion of two large construction projects in fiscal year 2005. One project for \$4.7 million was received late in the third quarter of fiscal year 2005 and an additional \$16 million remain outstanding pending funding from the federal government. Subsequent to the end of the third quarter, the Company has received \$9.6 million of the \$16 million of pending project funding. See "Financial Trends" for additional discussion.

Purchased services and materials decreased by \$9,034,000 (35%) in the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 compared to that reported in the first nine months of fiscal year 2005. As discussed above, with the completion of the two large construction projects, there was decreased subcontractor activity in the Infrastructure and Management Services segment, which accounted for a majority of the reduction in purchased services and materials.

Net service revenue decreased by 3% for the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 primarily due to the reduced markup associated with the lower level of purchased services and materials due to the delay in the award of construction related projects.

15

ITEM 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

Direct costs of services as a percentage of net service revenue increased to 80.4% in the first nine months of fiscal year 2006, compared to 77.7% in the first nine months of fiscal year 2005. The increase is due to higher proposal costs, lower markup obtained from the reduced level of purchased services and materials, and lower than expected financial performance in the Company's chemical laboratory.

Selling, general and administrative expenses approximated 16.5% of net service revenue for the first nine months of fiscal year 2006, compared to 17.1% in the prior fiscal year. The decrease is due to the consolidation of

certain administrative functions during fiscal year 2006.

Operating income for the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 was \$819,000, a \$545,000 decrease over that reported in the prior fiscal year. The decrease is primarily due to the decrease in gross revenues as a result of reduced construction work and higher proposal costs in fiscal year 2006.

Interest expense for the first nine months was \$13,000, a decrease of \$33,000 to that reported in the prior fiscal year. The decrease is attributable to \$25,000 of interest income associated with the resolution of a receivable that was resolved in arbitration and improved cash flow of the Company. The Company continues to finance certain insurance policies and capital equipment, which will continue to incur interest costs in the future.

In the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, the Company decreased the tax valuation allowance by \$945,000 due to an improved earnings history, significant reductions in fixed costs and recent contract wins, which should enhance profitability in future years. As such, the net deferred tax asset of \$1.71 million represents an amount that management believes more likely than not will benefit future periods. This also provides a greater assurance that the Company will be able to utilize the tax assets in future periods.

Income from continuing operations for the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 was \$1,751,000, an increase of \$433,000. The increase was primarily due to the tax benefit recorded in the third quarter of fiscal year 2006.

Loss from discontinued operations in the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 was \$205,000, which resulted from additional costs to wind down the SMC pension plan and to fully fund the plan in accordance with PBGC requirements. The loss incurred from discontinued operations of \$392,000 for the first nine months of fiscal year 2005 resulted from the discontinuance of the Company's biological laboratory operations.

Net income for the first nine months of fiscal year 2006 was \$1,546,000 compared to \$926,000 in the first nine months of fiscal year 2005.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's working capital as of March 31, 2006 approximated \$9,180,000, an increase of \$1,293,000 (16.4%). In addition, the Company's current ratio was 2.44, which improved over the 1.86 reported at July 1, 2005. The improvement was primarily due to efforts to decrease accounts receivable through improved collections, which improved the Company's cash position and allowed it to repay its line of credit.

The Company has a line of credit facility with United Bank (the Bank) that provides for advances up to \$5,000,000 based upon qualifying receivables. Interest on borrowings is based on the prime rate of interest (7.75% as of March 31, 2006). As of March 31, 2006, there were no borrowings outstanding under the line of credit and borrowing capacity under the line of credit of \$5,000,000. Obligations under the credit facility are guaranteed by the Company and each subsidiary individually and collectively secured by accounts receivable, equipment and intangibles, plus all insurance policies on property constituting collateral. The credit facility matures in November 2007. The line of credit is subject to certain covenants related to the maintenance of financial ratios. These covenants require a minimum tangible net worth of \$8,500,000, a maximum total liabilities to tangible net worth ratio not to exceed 2.5 to 1; and a minimum current ratio of at least 1.25 to 1. Failure to meet the covenant

16

ITEM 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

requirements gives the Bank the right to demand outstanding amounts due under the line of credit, which may impact the Company's ability to finance its working capital requirements. At March 31, 2006, the Company was in compliance with the financial covenants.

We believe that our current cash position, together with anticipated cash flows and the renewal of the line of credit, will be sufficient to meet the Company's liquidity needs within the next year. Expected capital requirements for remainder of fiscal year 2006 are approximately \$200,000, primarily to maintain and upgrade our chemical laboratory and the Company's computer systems. Such capital requirements will either be funded through the existing working capital or will be financed through third party financing sources. However, third party financing may not be available on terms acceptable to us, or at all.

Critical Accounting Policies and Related Estimates That Have a Material

Effect on Versar's Consolidated Financial Statements

Below is a discussion of the accounting policies and related estimates that we believe are the most critical to understanding the Company's consolidated, financial position, and results of operations which require management judgments and estimates, or involve uncertainties. Information regarding our other accounting policies is included in the notes to our consolidated financial statements included in our annual report filed on Form 10-K.

Revenue recognition: Contracts in process are stated at the lower of actual costs incurred plus accrued profits or net estimated realizable value of costs, reduced by progress billings. On cost-plus fee contracts, revenue is recognized to the extent of costs incurred plus a proportionate amount of fee earned, and on time-and material contracts, revenue is recognized to the extent of billable rates times hours delivered plus material and other reimbursable costs incurred. The Company records income from major fixed-price contracts, extending over more than one accounting period, using the percentage-of-completion method. During the performance of such contracts, estimated final contract prices and costs are periodically reviewed and revisions are made as required. Fixed price contracts can be significantly impacted by changes in contract performance, contract delays, liquidated damages and penalty provisions, and contract change orders, which may affect the revenue recognition on a project. Losses on contracts are recognized in the period when they become known.

From time to time we may proceed with work based on customer direction pending finalizing and signing of contract funding documents. We have an internal process for approving any such work. The Company recognizes revenue based on actual costs incurred to the extent that the funding is assessed as probable. In evaluating the probability of the receipt of funding, we consider our previous experiences with the customer, communications with the customer regarding funding status, and our knowledge of available funding for the contract or program. If funding is not assessed as probable, costs are expensed as they are incurred.

There is the possibility that there will be future and currently unforeseeable significant adjustments to our estimated contract revenues, costs and margins for fixed price contracts, particularly in the later stages of these contracts. It is most likely that such adjustments could occur in our Engineering and Construction business segment. Such adjustments are common in the construction industry given the nature of the contracts. These adjustments could either positively or negatively impact our estimates due to the circumstances surrounding the negotiations of change orders, the impact of schedule slippage, subcontractor claims and contract disputes which are normally resolved at the end of the contract. Adjustments to the financial statements for such events are made when they are known.

Allowance for doubtful accounts: Disputes arise in the normal course of the Company's business on projects where the Company is contesting with customers for collection of funds because of events such as delays, changes in contract specifications and questions of cost allowability or collectibility. Such disputes, whether claims or unapproved change orders in process of negotiation, are recorded at the lesser of their estimated net realizable value or actual costs incurred and only when realization is probable and can be reliably estimated. Claims against

17

ITEM 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

the Company are recognized where loss is considered probable and reasonably determinable in amount. Management reviews outstanding receivables on a regular basis and assesses the need for reserves, taking into consideration past collection history and other events that bear on the collectibility of such receivables.

Deferred tax valuation allowance: The Company has approximately \$4.0 million in deferred tax assets of which a \$2.3 million valuation allowance has been established against such assets. Management provides for a valuation allowance until such time as it can conclude more likely than not that the Company will derive a benefit from such assets. In the third quarter of fiscal year 2006, the Company decreased the tax valuation allowance by \$945,000 due to an improved history of earnings, significant reductions in fixed costs and recent contract wins, which should enhance profitability in future years. This also provides a greater assurance that the Company will be able to utilize the tax assets in future periods. The valuation allowance is adjusted as necessary based upon the Company's ability to generate taxable income, including management's ability to implement tax strategies that will enable the Company to benefit from such deferred tax assets.

Goodwill and other intangible assets: On January 30, 1998, Versar completed the acquisition of The Greenwood Partnership, P.C. subsequently renamed Versar Global Solutions, Inc. or VGSI. The transaction was accounted for as a purchase. Goodwill resulting from this transaction was approximately \$1.1 million. In fiscal year 2003, the Company adopted the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" which eliminated the amortization of goodwill, but requires the Company to test such goodwill for impairment annually. Currently, the carrying value of goodwill is approximately \$776,000 relating to the acquisition of VGSI, which is now part of the Infrastructure and Management Services (IMS) reporting unit. The IMS business segment was combined with the Engineering and Construction business segment during fiscal

year 2005 and continues to operate under the IMS segment name, because many of the services provided were similar to the Company's remediation business, and the two segments shared similar customers and business opportunities, and were duplicative in nature. This combination provided a more efficient use of resources and more effective management of the business operations. In performing its goodwill impairment analysis, management has utilized a market-based valuation approach to determine the estimated fair value of the IMS reporting unit. Management engages outside professionals and valuation experts, as necessary, to assist in performing this analysis. An analysis was performed on public companies and company transactions to prepare a market-based valuation. Based upon the analysis, as of July 1, 2005, the estimated fair value of the IMS reporting unit was \$23 million which is in excess of the carrying amount of the net assets of the reporting unit by a substantial margin. As such, management concluded goodwill was not impaired.

On April 15, 2005, the Company acquired the Cultural Resources Group from Parsons Infrastructure & Technology Group, Inc., a subsidiary of Parsons Corporation for a purchase price of approximately \$260,000 in cash. The Cultural Resources Group, based in Fairfax County, Virginia provides archaeological, cultural and historical services to federal, state and municipal clients across the country. The acquisition will expand the Company's existing and future capabilities in cultural resources work. Their expertise will enhance and compliment Versar's environmental core business. The Cultural Resources Group was incorporated into the Company's IMS segment. As part of the acquisition, the Company entered into a two year marketing agreement with Parsons to give Versar the first right of refusal to certain Parsons cultural resources work from existing Parsons' clients. Thereafter, this agreement is annually renewable upon agreement of both parties. Approximately \$25,000 of the purchase price was allocated to fixed assets, with the remaining balance to be allocated to contract rights which are being amortized over three years.

Impact of Inflation

Versar seeks to protect itself from the effects of inflation. The majority of contracts the Company performs are for a period of a year or less or are cost plus fixed-fee type contracts and, accordingly, are less susceptible to the effects of inflation. Multi-year contracts provide for projected increases in labor and other costs.

18

Commitments and Contingencies

In September 2002, the Company recorded a non-recurring charge of \$800,000 to reduce the Company's overall cost structure and to reduce costs in non-performing divisions. The costs included \$450,000 for severance payments to terminated employees and \$350,000 for costs to restructure certain leased facilities. At September 2005, all of the severance obligations were satisfied. As of March 31, 2006, the remaining accrual was reversed due to the Company's lease renewal in Springfield at reduced square footage, which made the accrual no longer necessary.

Item 3 - Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no material changes regarding the Company's market risk position from the information provided on Form 10-K for the fiscal year end July 1, 2005.

Item 4 - Procedures and Controls

As of the last day of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective, as of such date, to ensure that required information will be disclosed on a timely basis in its reports under the Exchange Act.

Further, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures have been designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed by us under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, in a manner to allow timely decisions regarding the required disclosure.

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the last quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1 - Legal Proceedings

In August 1997, Versar entered into a contract with the Trustees for the Enviro-Chem Superfund Site, which provided that, based upon an existing performance specification, Versar would refine the design of, and construct and operate a soil vapor extraction system. During the performance of the contract, disputes arose between Versar and the Trustees regarding the scope of work. Eventually, Versar was terminated by the Trustees for convenience. The Trustees then failed to pay certain invoices and retainages due Versar.

On March 19, 2001, Versar instituted a lawsuit against the Trustees and three environmental consulting companies in the U.S. District Court of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, entitled Versar, Inc. v. Roy O. Ball, Trustee, URS Corporation, Environmental Resources Management and Environ Corp., No. 01CV1302. Versar, in seeking to recover amounts due under the remediation contract from the Trustees of the Superfund Site, claimed breach of contract, interference with contractual relationships, negligent misrepresentations, breach of good faith and fair dealing, unjust enrichment and implied contract. Mr. Ball and several defendants moved to dismiss the action or, in the alternative, transfer the action to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Indiana, where, on April 20, 2001, the two Trustees had filed suit against Versar in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Indiana, entitled, Roy O. Ball and Norman W. Bernstein, Trustees v. Versar, Inc., Case No. IPO1-0531 C H/G.

19

The Trustees alleged breach of contract and breach of warranty with respect to the remediation contract and asked for a declaratory judgment on a number of the previously stated claims.

On July 12, 2001, the Federal District Court in Pennsylvania granted defendants' motion to transfer the Pennsylvania lawsuit and consolidate the two legal actions in Indiana. The Company filed an answer and counterclaim to the Indiana lawsuit. The plaintiffs and third-party defendants filed Motions to Dismiss the Company's counterclaim. The court granted the motions in part and denied them in part. Versar amended its answer and counterclaim. In the meantime, plaintiffs filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment which the Judge granted in part and denied in part. The Judge held that certain agreements entered into by the parties prevented Versar from recovering certain amounts under its counterclaim but that Versar could pursue its claim for fraud in other areas. Written and oral discovery has continued for several years. The court granted Versar's demand that the Trustees supply requested information and documents, including electronic documents. Versar continues to seek additional discovery compliance by the Trustees. Motions for Summary Judgement have been filed and briefed by both parties. date is presently scheduled. Based upon discussions with outside counsel, management does not believe that the ultimate resolution under the Trustees' lawsuit will have a materially adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

Versar and its subsidiaries are parties from time to time to various other legal actions arising in the normal course of business. The Company believes that any ultimate unfavorable resolution of these legal actions will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

Item 6 - Exhibits

- (a) Exhibits
 - 31.1 and 31.2 Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Section 13a-14.
 - 32.1 and 32.2 Certification under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

20

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

VERSAR, INC. -----(Registrant)

/S/ Theodore M. Prociv Theodore M. Prociv Chief Executive Officer, President, and Director

/S/ Lawrence W. Sinnott By:_ Lawrence W. Sinnott

Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer, and Principal Accounting Officer

Date: May 11, 2006

21

/TD> 5.13 % 04/01/39 4,500 4,902,075

Beverly Hills Unified School District (Election of 2008); Series 2009, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds^(e) 08/01/28 3,000 1,592,190

Brea (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Redevelopment Project AB); Series 2001 A, Ref. Tax Allocation RB (INS AMBAC9)

5.50 % 08/01/20 3,535 3,550,837

Brea Olinda Unified School District; Series 2002 A, Ref. COP (INS AGM9)

5.50 % 1.510 1,531,125 08/01/20

California (State of) Department of Veterans Affairs; Series 2007 A, Home

Purchase RB(d)(f)

4.95 % 12/01/37 9,000 9,130,590

California (State of) Department of Water Resources; Subseries 2005 F-5,

Power Supply RB

5.00 % 05/01/22 2,000 2,333,940

California (State of) Educational Facilities Authority (California College of the Arts); Series 2005, RB

5.00 % 06/01/35 2,000 1,847,300

California (State of) Educational Facilities Authority (Chapman University);

Series 2011, RB

5.00 % 04/01/31 1,250 1,365,838

California (State of) Educational Facilities Authority (Claremont McKenna College); Series 2007, RB^(d)

01/01/38 7,480 8,073,688

California (State of) Educational Facilities Authority (OTIS College of Art and Design Goldsmith Campus);

Series 1999 B, RB

5.25 % 04/01/24 580 579,959

California (State of) Educational Facilities Authority (Pitzer College); Series 2009, RB

5.38 % 04/01/34 2,000 2,161,820

California (State of) Educational Facilities Authority (University of Southern California); Series 2009 B, RB^(d) 5.25 % 10/01/39 10,200 11,520,288

California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Adventist Health System West); Series 2009 A, RB 5.75 % 09/01/39 2.500 2.787,250

California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Catholic Healthcare West); Series 2009 A, RB 6.00 % 07/01/39 2,500 2,867,325

California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center); Series 2009, RB 5.00 % 08/15/39 4,000 4,175,720

California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Children s Hospital Los Angeles); Series 2010, RB (INS AGM9)

5.25 % 07/01/38 2,000 2,092,600

California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Providence Health & Services); Series 2008 C, RB 6.50 % 10/01/33 3,000 3,574,980

California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Scripps Health);

Series 2010 A, RB

5.00 % 11/15/36 3,500 3,731,245

Series 2012 A, RB

5.00 % 11/15/40 2,000 2,177,160

California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Stanford Hospital); Series 2008 A-2, Ref. RB 5.25 % 11/15/40 3,000 3,305,490

California (State of) Health Facilities Financing Authority (Sutter Health);

Series 2011 B, RB

5.50 % 11/15/40 2,000 2,383,520

Series 2011 D, Ref. RB

5.25 % 08/15/26 7,200 8,241,624

California (State of) Housing Finance Agency; Series 1997 A, MFH RB (INS NATL9)(f)

5.85 % 08/01/17 2,005 2,007,426

California (State of) Municipal Finance Authority (Community Hospitals of Central California Obligated Group); Series 2007, COP

5.25 % 02/01/37 2,500 2,504,175

California (State of) Municipal Finance Authority (Eisenhower Medical Center); Series 2010 A, RB

5.75 % 07/01/40 2,100 2,195,235

California (State of) Municipal Finance Authority (High Tech High-Chula Vista); Series 2008 B, Educational Facility RB^(g)

6.00 % 07/01/28 1,000 954,860

California (State of) Pollution Control Financing Authority (San Diego Gas & Electric); Series 1996 A, Ref. PCR (INS_NATL(9))

5.90 % 06/01/14 1,000 1,113,140

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

6 Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust

| | Interest Rate | Maturity Date | Principal Amount (000) | Value |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| California (continued) | | | | |
| California (State of) Pollution Control Financing Authority (San Jose Water Co.); Series 2010 A, RB | 5.10% | 06/01/40 | \$ 2,450 | \$ 2,589,184 |
| California (State of) Pollution Control Financing Authority (Waste Management Inc.); Series 2005 C, Solid Waste Disposal RB ^{(f)(h)} | 5.13% | 11/01/23 | 2,000 | 2,085,640 |
| California (State of) Rural Home Mortgage Finance Authority (Mortgage-Backed Securities Program); Series 2000 B, Single Family Mortgage RB (CEP GNMA ^{f)} | 6.15% | 06/01/20 | 15 | 15,179 |
| Series 2000 B, Single Family Mortgage RB (CEP GNMA ^{f)} | 6.25% | 12/01/31 | 30 | 30,324 |
| California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Adventist Health System/West); Series 2005 A, Health Facility RB | 5.00% | 03/01/19 | 1,825 | 1,949,866 |
| California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (American Baptist Homes of the West); Series 2010, RB | 6.25% | 10/01/39 | 2,000 | 2,116,700 |
| California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (California Baptist University); Series 2007 A, RB | 5.50% | 11/01/38 | 1,250 | 1,187,938 |
| California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Collegiate Housing Foundation Irvine, L.L.C. University of California-Irvine East Campus Apartments, Phase II); Series 2008, Student Housing RB | 5.75% | 05/15/32 | 2,500 | 2,650,825 |
| California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Cottage Health System Obligated Group); Series 2010, RB | 5.25% | 11/01/30 | 2,150 | 2,412,988 |
| California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Enloe Medical Center); Series 2008, RB (INS CA MTG) ^(c) | 6.25% | 08/15/28 | 2,750 | 3,106,702 |
| California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Front Porch Communities & Services); Series 2007 A, $RB^{(g)}$ | 5.13% | 04/01/37 | 1,500 | 1,427,790 |

| California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (John Muir Health); Series 2006 A, RB | 5.00% | 08/15/28 | 2,000 | 2,162,800 |
|---|-------|----------|-------|-----------|
| California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Methodist Hospital); Series 2009, RB (INS FHA9) | 6.75% | 02/01/38 | 2,000 | 2,396,260 |
| California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Rady Children s Hospital); Series 2008 B, VRD RB (LOC Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ⁽³⁾)(b) | 0.09% | 08/15/47 | 7,650 | 7,650,000 |
| California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Southern California Presbyterian Homes); Series 2009, Senior Living RB | 7.25% | 11/15/41 | 2,000 | 2,225,960 |
| California (State of) Statewide Communities Development Authority (Trinity Health Credit Group); Series 2011, Ref. RB ^(d) | 5.00% | 12/01/41 | 5,025 | 5,429,412 |
| California (State of); Series 2004 B-1, VRD Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (LOC Citibank, N.A. ⁽³⁾)(b) | 0.09% | 05/01/34 | 6,940 | 6,940,000 |
| Series 2009, Various Purpose Unlimited Tax GO Bonds | 5.75% | 04/01/31 | 1,150 | 1,342,177 |
| Series 2009, Various Purpose Unlimited Tax GO Bonds | 6.00% | 11/01/35 | 2,000 | 2,353,640 |
| Series 2009, Various Purpose Unlimited Tax GO Bonds | 6.00% | 04/01/38 | 1,565 | 1,815,619 |
| Series 2009 A, Ref. Economic Recovery Unlimited Tax GO Bonds | 5.25% | 07/01/21 | 3,000 | 3,689,940 |
| Series 2010, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds | 5.25% | 11/01/40 | 2,500 | 2,727,925 |
| Series 2010, Various Purpose Unlimited Tax GO Bonds | 5.50% | 03/01/40 | 2,500 | 2,775,500 |
| Series 2011, Various Purpose Unlimited Tax GO Bonds | 5.00% | 09/01/32 | 2,250 | 2,483,550 |
| Series 2011, Various Purpose Unlimited Tax GO Bonds | 5.00% | 10/01/41 | 2,500 | 2,673,750 |
| California County Tobacco Securitization Agency (The) (Los Angeles County Securitization Corp.); Series 2006, Tobacco Settlement Conv. Asset-Backed RB | 5.45% | 06/01/28 | 3,000 | 2,412,930 |
| California State University; Series 2009 A, Systemwide RB | 5.25% | 11/01/38 | 3,000 | 3,313,290 |
| Carson (City of) Redevelopment Agency; Series 2003 A, Ref. Tax Allocation RB (INS NATL(9) | 5.00% | 10/01/23 | 2,000 | 2,079,120 |
| Cathedral City (City of) Public Financing Authority; | | | | |

Edgar Filing: VERSAR INC - Form 10-Q

| Series 2000 A, Tax Allocation CAB RB (INS | NATL(9)(e) | 0.00% | 08/01/27 | 1,085 | 439,262 |
|---|------------|-------|----------|-------|---------|
| Series 2000 A, Tax Allocation CAB RB (INS | NATL(9)(e) | 0.00% | 08/01/28 | 1,085 | 407,049 |
| Series 2000 A, Tax Allocation CAB RB (INS | NATL(9)(e) | 0.00% | 08/01/30 | 1,085 | 348,556 |
| Series 2000 A, Tax Allocation CAB RB (INS | NATL(9)(e) | 0.00% | 08/01/31 | 1,085 | 313,825 |
| Series 2000 A, Tax Allocation CAB RB (INS | NATL(9)(e) | 0.00% | 08/01/32 | 1,085 | 283,001 |
| Series 2000 A, Tax Allocation CAB RB (INS | NATL(9)(e) | 0.00% | 08/01/33 | 1,085 | 259,000 |

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust

7

| | Interest Rate | Maturity Date | Principal Amount (000) | Value |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| California (continued) | | | | |
| Chula Vista (City of) (San Diego Gas); Series 1996 A, IDR | 5.30% | 07/01/21 | \$ 2,000 | \$ 2,132,480 |
| Colton (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Mount Vernon Corridor); Series 1999, Tax Allocation RB | 6.30% | 09/01/36 | 2,000 | 2,000,060 |
| Compton (City of); Series 2009, Water RB | 6.00% | 08/01/39 | 1,750 | 1,881,442 |
| Daly City (City of) Housing Development Finance Agency (Franciscan Mobile Home Park Acquisition); Series 2007 C, Ref. Third Tier Mobile Home Park RB | 6.50% | 12/15/47 | 1,950 | 1,939,021 |
| Desert Hot Springs (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Merged Redevelopment); Series 2008 A-2, Tax Allocation RB | 5.75% | 09/01/38 | 2,000 | 1,576,800 |
| East Bay Municipal Utility District; Series 2010 A, Ref. Sub. Water System $RB^{(d)}$ | 5.00% | 06/01/36 | 1,980 | 2,263,694 |
| Eden (Township of) Healthcare District; Series 2010, COP | 6.00% | 06/01/30 | 1,500 | 1,613,430 |
| El Cerrito (City of) Redevelopment Agency; Series 1998 B, Ref. Tax Allocation RB (INS NATL(9)(f) | 5.25% | 07/01/15 | 790 | 823,670 |
| Emeryville (City of) Public Financing Authority (Shellmound Park Redevelopment & Housing); Series 1998 B, RB (INS NATL(9) | 5.00% | 09/01/19 | 1,000 | 1,001,100 |
| Florin Resource Conservation District (Elk Grove Water Service); Series 2003 A, Capital Improvement COP (INS NATL(9) | 5.00% | 09/01/33 | 4,580 | 4,508,827 |
| Folsom (City of) Public Financing Authority; Series 2007 A, Special Tax RB (INS AMBAC) | 5.00% | 09/01/28 | 3,000 | 3,020,070 |
| Foothill-De Anza Community College District; Series 2011 C, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds ^(d) | 5.00% | 08/01/40 | 6,600 | 7,324,284 |
| Foothill-Eastern Transportation Corridor Agency; Series 1995 A, Sr. Lien Toll Road CAB RB ^{(e)(j)} | 0.00% | 01/01/27 | 2,950 | 1,959,832 |

| Series 1999, Ref. Toll Road CAB RB ^(e) | 0.00% | 01/15/31 | 5,000 | 1,599,350 |
|---|-------|----------|--------|------------|
| Garden Grove (City of) Agency for Community Development; Series 2008, Sub. RN ^(g) | 6.00% | 10/01/27 | 1,825 | 1,635,821 |
| Irvine (City of) Public Facilities & Infrastructure Authority; Series 2002 B, Special Assessment RB (INS AMBAC9) | 5.00% | 09/02/22 | 2,845 | 2,864,005 |
| La Quinta (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Redevelopment Area No. 1); Series 2002, Tax Allocation RB (INS AMBAC9) | 5.00% | 09/01/22 | 1,420 | 1,463,125 |
| Series 2002, Tax Allocation RB (INS AMBAC9) | 5.13% | 09/01/32 | 1,500 | 1,528,200 |
| Lancaster (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Combined Redevelopment Areas); Series 2009, Tax Allocation RB | 6.88% | 08/01/39 | 1,000 | 1,070,500 |
| Lathrop (City of) Mossdale Village Assessment District No. 03-1; Series 2005, Special Assessment Improvement RB | 5.00% | 09/02/25 | 1,000 | 949,110 |
| Long Beach (City of) (Long Beach Towne Center); Series 2008, Special Tax RB | 5.75% | 10/01/25 | 2,000 | 2,108,460 |
| Long Beach (City of); Series 2010 A, Sr. Airport RB | 5.00% | 06/01/40 | 1,590 | 1,669,373 |
| Los Angeles (City of) (Sonnenblick Del Rio); Series 2000, Sr. COP (INS AMBAC9) | 6.00% | 11/01/19 | 3,000 | 3,008,970 |
| Los Angeles (City of) Community Redevelopment Agency (Grand Central Square- Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority); Series 2007 B, Ref. MFH RB (INS AMBAC9)(f) | 4.75% | 12/01/26 | 2,215 | 1,988,339 |
| Los Angeles (City of) Department of Airports (Los Angeles International Airport); Series 2008 C, Sub. RB | 5.13% | 05/15/33 | 1,230 | 1,336,715 |
| Series 2010 A, Sr. RB ^(d) | 5.00% | 05/15/35 | 3,000 | 3,335,550 |
| Series 2010 D, Sr. RB ^(d) | 5.25% | 05/15/33 | 10,000 | 11,388,200 |
| Los Angeles (City of) Department of Water & Power; Series 2009 A, Water System RB | 5.38% | 07/01/38 | 2,000 | 2,252,780 |
| Series 2011 A, Power System RB ^(d) | 5.00% | 07/01/22 | 4,800 | 5,912,928 |
| Subseries 2008 A-1, Power System RB ^(d) | 5.25% | 07/01/38 | 4,000 | 4,567,200 |

Edgar Filing: VERSAR INC - Form 10-Q

| Subseries 2008 A-1, Power System RB ^(d) | 5.25% | 07/01/38 | 3,000 | 3,425,400 |
|--|-------|----------|-------|-----------|
| Los Angeles Community College District (Election of 2003); | | | | |
| Series 2008 F-1, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds ^(d) | 5.00% | 08/01/33 | 5,000 | 5,544,650 |
| Series 2008 F-1, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds ^(d) | 5.00% | 08/01/33 | 3,000 | 3,326,790 |
| Los Angeles County Schools Regionalized Business Services Corp. (Los Angeles County Schools Pooled Financing Program); | | | | |
| Series 1999 A, CAB COP (INS AMBAC9)(e) | 0.00% | 08/01/26 | 1,200 | 500,232 |
| Series 2003 A, COP (INS AGM9) | 5.00% | 09/01/28 | 1,250 | 1,308,675 |
| Los Angeles Unified School District (Election of 2002); Series 2009 D, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds | 5.00% | 01/01/34 | 2,000 | 2,213,740 |

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

8 Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust

| | Interest Rate | Maturity Date | Principal Amount (000) | Value |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| California (continued) | | | | |
| Los Angeles Unified School District (Election of 2004); Series 2009-I, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS AGC) | 5.00% | 01/01/34 | \$ 2,450 | \$ 2,711,831 |
| Mendocino (County of) (County Public Facilities Corp); Series 2000, COP (INS NATI(9) | 5.25% | 06/01/30 | 2,000 | 2,001,040 |
| Montclair (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Hacienda Mobile Home Park); Series 2002, Mobile Home Park RB | 6.00% | 11/15/22 | 2,000 | 2,043,340 |
| Morongo Band of Mission Indians (The) (Enterprise Casino); | | | | |
| Series 2008 B, RB ^(g) | 5.50% | 03/01/18 | 1,650 | 1,647,905 |
| Series 2008 B, RB ^(g) | 6.50% | 03/01/28 | 2,175 | 2,143,571 |
| National City (City of) Community Development Commission (National City Redevelopment); Series 2004 A, Tax Allocation RB (INS AMBAC®) | 5.50% | 08/01/32 | 1,730 | 1,757,507 |
| Needles (City of) Public Utility Authority (Utility System Acquisition); Series 1997 A, RB | 6.50% | 02/01/22 | 2,000 | 2,000,120 |
| Oakland (City of) Joint Powers Financing Authority (Fruitvale Transit Village); Series 2001 B, VRD RB (LOC Citibank N.A. ^(a))(b) | 0.14% | 07/01/33 | 2,530 | 2,530,000 |
| Oakland (Port of); Series 2002 N, Ref. RB ^{(f)(i)(j)} | 5.00% | 11/01/12 | 175 | 180,483 |
| Series 2002 N, Ref. RB (INS NATI(9)(f) | 5.00% | 11/01/22 | 2,325 | 2,370,919 |
| Orange (County); Series 2009 A, Airport RB | 5.00% | 07/01/31 | 1,000 | 1,096,640 |
| Oxnard Union High School District; Series 2001 A, Ref. Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS NATL9) | 6.20% | 08/01/30 | 1,000 | 1,106,380 |
| Palm Desert (City of) Financing Authority (Area No. 2); Series 2002 A, Ref. Tax Allocation RB (INS NATI(9) | 5.00% | 08/01/21 | 1,230 | 1,244,489 |
| Palm Springs (City of) (Palm Springs International Airport); | ; | | | |

| Series 2006, Ref. Sub. Airport Passenger Facility Charge $RB^{(f)}$ | 5.45% | 07/01/20 | 250 | 234,658 |
|--|-------|----------|-------|-----------|
| Series 2006, Ref. Sub. Airport Passenger Facility Charge RB ^(f) | 5.55% | 07/01/28 | 475 | 416,494 |
| Series 2008, Ref. Sub. Airport Passenger Facility Charge $RB^{(f)}$ | 6.00% | 07/01/18 | 250 | 251,008 |
| Series 2008, Ref. Sub. Airport Passenger Facility Charge RB ^(f) | 6.40% | 07/01/23 | 250 | 243,095 |
| Series 2008, Ref. Sub. Airport Passenger Facility Charge $RB^{(f)}$ | 6.50% | 07/01/27 | 530 | 511,180 |
| Palomar Pomerado Health; Series 2009, COP | 6.75% | 11/01/39 | 2,000 | 2,149,640 |
| Perris (City of) Public Financing Authority (Perris Valley Vistas IA 3); Series 2008 B, Local Agency Special Tax RB | 6.63% | 09/01/38 | 2,000 | 2,065,400 |
| Perris (City of) Public Financing Authority; Series 2002 A, Tax Allocation RB (INS NATL(9) | 5.00% | 10/01/31 | 1,000 | 1,018,290 |
| Series 2006, Tax Allocation RB | 5.35% | 10/01/36 | 4,350 | 4,040,236 |
| Pico Rivera (City of) Water Authority (Water System); Series 1999 A, RB (INS NATL(9) | 5.50% | 05/01/19 | 1,000 | 1,086,170 |
| Rancho Cordova (City of) Community Facilities District No. 2003-1 (Sunridge Anatolia); Series 2003, Special Tax RB | 6.00% | 09/01/24 | 2,000 | 2,027,860 |
| Rancho Cucamonga (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Rancho Redevelopment); Series 1999, Ref. Tax Allocation RB (INS AGM9) | 5.25% | 09/01/20 | 1,000 | 1,002,480 |
| Rancho Mirage (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Redevelopment Plan 1984); Series 2001 A-1, Tax Allocation RB (INS NATL(9) | 5.00% | 04/01/26 | 1,220 | 1,221,232 |
| Series 2001 A-E, Tax Allocation RB (INS NATL9) | 5.25% | 04/01/33 | 2,540 | 2,541,753 |
| Redlands (City of) Redevelopment Agency; Series 1998 A, Ref. Tax Allocation RB (INS NATIO) | 4.75% | 08/01/21 | 3,800 | 3,800,342 |
| Redwood City School District (Election of 2002); Series 2002, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS NATL(9) | 5.00% | 07/15/23 | 4,000 | 4,061,480 |
| Regents of the University of California; Series 2009 E, Medical Center Pooled RB | 5.50% | 05/15/27 | 2,500 | 2,786,625 |

Edgar Filing: VERSAR INC - Form 10-Q

| Series 2009 O, General RB ^(d) | 5.25% | 05/15/39 | 7,500 | 8,403,225 |
|---|-------|----------|-------|-----------|
| Richmond (City of) Joint Powers Financing Authority (Point Potrero); Series 2009 A, Lease RB | 6.25% | 07/01/24 | 2,000 | 2,251,260 |
| Riverside (County of) Transportation Commission; Series 2010 A, Limited Sales Tax RB | 5.00% | 06/01/32 | 1,500 | 1,664,010 |
| Riverside Community College District (Election 2004); Series 2007 C, Unlimited Tax GO Bond (INS AGM9)(d) | 5.00% | 08/01/32 | 5,000 | 5,427,800 |
| RNR School Financing Authority (Community Facilities District No. 92-1); Series 2006 A, Special Tax RB (INS AMBAC9) | 5.00% | 09/01/36 | 2,000 | 2,010,000 |
| Roseville Joint Union High School District; Series 1995 B, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS NATL9)(e) | 0.00% | 06/01/20 | 1,650 | 1,138,550 |

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

9 Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust

| | Interest Rate | Maturity Date | Principal Amount (000) | Value |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| California (continued) | | | | |
| Sacramento (City of) Municipal Utility District; Series 2008 U, Electric RB (INS AGM))(d) | 5.00% | 08/15/24 | \$ 4,960 | \$ 5,704,496 |
| Series 2008 U, Electric RB (INS AGM9)(d) | 5.00% | 08/15/26 | 10,000 | 11,360,900 |
| Series 2011 X, Ref. Electric RB | 5.00% | 08/15/27 | 2,050 | 2,340,690 |
| Sacramento (County of) Sanitation Districts Financing Authority (Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District); Series 2008 A, Ref. VRD Sub. Lien RB (LOC JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ⁽³⁾)(b) | 0.14% | 12/01/36 | 2,295 | 2,295,000 |
| Sacramento (County of); Series 2010, Sr. Airport System RB | 5.00% | 07/01/40 | 2,000 | 2,119,420 |
| Salinas Valley Solid Waste Authority; Series 2002, RB (INS AMBAC) ^(f) | 5.25% | 08/01/27 | 1,000 | 1,002,940 |
| Series 2002, RB (INS AMBAC9)(f) | 5.25% | 08/01/31 | 2,000 | 2,003,600 |
| San Bernardino (City of) Joint Powers Financing Authority (Police Station, South Valle Refunding and 201 Building); Series 1999, Ref. COP (INS NATL(9) | 5.50% | 09/01/20 | 1,720 | 1,722,012 |
| San Diego (City of) Public Facilities Financing Authority; Series 2009 B, Water RB | 5.38% | 08/01/34 | 5,000 | 5,786,600 |
| San Diego (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Centre City Redevelopment); Series 1999 A, RB | 6.40% | 09/01/25 | 2,500 | 2,502,675 |
| San Diego (County of) Regional Airport Authority; Series 2010 A, Sub. RB | 5.00% | 07/01/34 | 3,000 | 3,240,600 |
| San Diego Community College District (Election of 2002); Series 2009, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds ^(d) | 5.25% | 08/01/33 | 5,000 | 5,784,900 |
| San Dimas (City of) Redevelopment Agency (Creative Growth); Series 1998 A, Tax Allocation RB (INS AGM9) | 5.00% | 09/01/16 | 560 | 562,010 |

| San Francisco (City & County of) Airport Commission (San Francisco International Airport); Series 2001 27-A, Ref. Second Series RB | | | | |
|--|-------|----------|--------|------------|
| (INS NATL ^{(g)(f)} | 5.25% | 05/01/31 | 1,290 | 1,293,767 |
| Series 2011 C, Ref. Second Series RB ^(f) | 5.00% | 05/01/23 | 2,150 | 2,464,437 |
| Series 2011 F, Ref. Second Series RB ^(f) | 5.00% | 05/01/25 | 4,000 | 4,475,400 |
| Series 2011 G, Second Series RB | 5.25% | 05/01/28 | 3,000 | 3,502,260 |
| San Francisco (City & County of) Airport Commission (San Francisco International Airport-SFO Fuel Co. LLC); Series 2000 A, Special Facilities Lease RB (INS AGM9)(f) | 6.13% | 01/01/27 | 1,660 | 1,663,420 |
| San Francisco (City & County of) Public Utilities Commission (Water System Improvement Program); Subseries 2011 A, Water RB ^(d) | 5.00% | 11/01/36 | 4,800 | 5,409,312 |
| San Francisco (City & County of) Redevelopment Financing Authority (Mission Bay North Redevelopment); Series 2011 C, Tax Allocation RB | 6.50% | 08/01/27 | 400 | 455,968 |
| San Francisco (City of) Bay Area Rapid Transit District; Series 2010, Ref. RB | 5.00% | 07/01/28 | 1,000 | 1,167,020 |
| Sanger Unified School District; Series 1999, Ref. Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS NATL(9) | 5.60% | 08/01/23 | 2,000 | 2,275,280 |
| Santa Ana Unified School District (Financing Project); Series 1999, CAB COP (INS AGM9)(e) | 0.00% | 04/01/36 | 1,000 | 251,380 |
| Santa Clara (County of) Financing Authority (Multiple Facilities); Series 2008 L, Ref. Lease RB ^(d) | 5.25% | 05/15/36 | 10,000 | 10,995,100 |
| Sierra View Local Health Care District; Series 2007, RB | 5.25% | 07/01/32 | 2,000 | 2,048,900 |
| South Orange (County of) Public Financing Authority; Series 1999, Reassessment RB (INS AGM9) | 5.80% | 09/02/18 | 3,305 | 3,382,866 |
| South Tahoe Joint Powers Financing Authority (South Tahoe Redevelopment Project Area No. 1); Series 2004 A, Ref. RB (INS AGM9) | 5.00% | 10/01/29 | 1,640 | 1,687,429 |
| Series 2005 A, Ref. RB (INS AMBAC9) | 5.00% | 10/01/28 | 2,250 | 2,252,092 |
| Southern California Metropolitan Water District; Series 2003 B-2, RB ^{(i)(j)} | 5.00% | 10/01/13 | 1,900 | 2,043,488 |

Edgar Filing: VERSAR INC - Form 10-Q

| Southern California Public Power Authority (Milford Wind Corridor Phase II); | | | | |
|---|-------|----------|-------|-----------|
| Series 2011-1, RB ^(d) | 5.25% | 07/01/29 | 2,100 | 2,500,176 |
| Series 2011-1, RB ^(d) | 5.25% | 07/01/31 | 2,100 | 2,466,387 |
| Southern California Public Power Authority; Series 1989, RB | 6.75% | 07/01/12 | 5,000 | 5,104,600 |
| Turlock (City of) (Emanuel Medical Center, Inc.); Series 2007 A, Health Facility Revenue COP | 5.13% | 10/15/31 | 1,000 | 973,980 |
| Series 2007 B, Health Facility Revenue COP | 5.13% | 10/15/37 | 1,000 | 947,410 |
| Tustin (City of) Public Financing Authority; Series 2011 A, Water RB | 5.00% | 04/01/36 | 2,500 | 2,774,300 |
| Twin Rivers Unified School District (School Facility Bridge Funding Program); Series 2007, COP (INS AGM))(h)(i) | 3.50% | 05/31/13 | 2,000 | 2,002,300 |

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

| | Interest Rate | Maturity Date | Principal Amount (000) | Value |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| California (continued) | | | | |
| Twin Rivers Unified School District; Series 2009, Unlimited Tax CAB GO BAN ^(e) | 0.00% | 04/01/14 | \$ 1,500 | \$ 1,458,435 |
| Vernon (City of); Series 2009 A, Electric System RB | 5.13% | 08/01/21 | 3,000 | 3,260,970 |
| Vista Unified School District (Election of 2002); Series 2007 C, Unlimited Tax GO Bonds (INS AGM9)(d) | 5.00% | 08/01/28 | 2,185 | 2,405,008 |
| West Contra Costa Unified School District; Series 2005, Unlimited Tax CAB GO Bonds (INS NATL(9)(e) | 0.00% | 08/01/25 | 5,000 | 2,697,150 |
| | | | | 478,129,510 |
| Puerto Rico 4.88% | | | | |
| Puerto Rico (Commonwealth of) Aqueduct & Sewer Authority; Series 2012 A, Sr. Lien RB | 6.00% | 07/01/47 | 2,500 | 2,696,575 |
| Puerto Rico (Commonwealth of) Electric Power | | | | |
| Authority; Series 2010 AAA, RB | 5.25% | 07/01/29 | 1,500 | 1,641,225 |
| Series 2010 XX, RB | 5.25% | 07/01/40 | 1,150 | 1,208,938 |
| Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp.; First Subseries 2010 C, RB | 5.25% | 08/01/41 | 2,000 | 2,154,220 |
| Series 2011 C, RB ^(d) | 5.00% | 08/01/40 | 2,250 | 2,440,755 |
| Series 2011 C, RB ^(d) | 5.25% | 08/01/40 | 3,750 | 4,172,437 |
| | | | | 14,314,150 |
| Virgin Islands 2.44% | | | | |
| Virgin Islands (Government of) Public Finance Authority (Gross Receipts Taxes Loan Note); Series 1999 A, RB | 6.38% | 10/01/19 | 2,740 | 2,747,425 |

| Virgin Islands (Government of) Public Finance Authority (Matching Fund Loan Note Diageo); Series 2009 A, Sub. RB | 6.63% | 10/01/29 | 2,000 | 2,289,920 |
|---|-------|----------|-------|----------------|
| Virgin Islands (Government of) Public Finance Authority (Matching Fund Loan Note); Series 2010 A, Sr. Lien RB | 5.00% | 10/01/29 | 2,000 | 2,109,140 |
| | | | | 7,146,485 |
| Guam 1.63% | | | | |
| Guam (Territory of) (Section 30); Series 2009 A, Limited Obligation RB | 5.38% | 12/01/24 | 2,900 | 3,103,957 |
| Guam (Territory of); Series 2011 A, Business Privilege Tax RB | 5.25% | 01/01/36 | 1,500 | 1,668,615 |
| | | | | 4,772,572 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENTS ^(k) 172.13% (Cost \$474,500,559) | | | | 504,362,717 |
| FLOATING RATE NOTE OBLIGATIONS (31.42%) Notes with interest rates ranging from 0.13% to 0.36% at 02/29/12 and contractual maturities of collateral ranging | | | | |
| from 07/01/22 to 12/01/41 (See Note 1I) ⁽¹⁾ | | | | (92,075,000) |
| OTHER ASSETS LESS LIABILITIES (1.12%) | | | | (3,275,691) |
| PREFERRED SHARES (39.59%) | | | | (116,000,000) |
| NET ASSETS APPLICABLE TO COMMON SHARES 100.00% | | | | \$ 293,012,026 |

Investment Abbreviations:

| AGC | Assured Guaranty Corp. |
|-------------|--|
| AGM | Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. |
| AMBAC | American Municipal Bond Assurance Corp. |
| BAN | Bond Anticipation Notes |
| CAB | Capital Appreciation Bonds |
| CEP | Credit Enhancement Provider |
| Conv. | Convertible |
| COP | Certificates of Participation |
| FHA | Federal Housing Administration |
| GNMA | Government National Mortgage Association |
| GO | General Obligation |
| IDR | Industrial Development Revenue Bonds |

INS Insurer

LOC Letter of Credit

MFH Multi-Family Housing

NATL National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.

PCR Pollution Control Revenue Bonds Radian Radian Asset Assurance, Inc.

RB Revenue Bonds
Ref. Refunding
RN Revenue Notes

Sr. Senior

11

Sub. Subordinated

VRD Variable Rate Demand

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

Notes to Schedule of Investments:

- (a) Demand security payable upon demand by the Trust at specified time intervals no greater than thirteen months. Interest rate is redetermined periodically. Rate shown is the rate in effect on February 29, 2012.
- (b) Principal and interest payments are fully enhanced by a letter of credit from the bank listed or a predecessor bank, branch or subsidiary.
- (c) Principal and/or interest payments are secured by the bond insurance company listed.
- (d) Underlying security related to Dealer Trusts entered into by the Trust. See Note 11.
- (e) Zero coupon bond issued at a discount.
- (f) Security subject to the alternative minimum tax.
- (g) Security purchased or received in a transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The security may be resold pursuant to an exemption from registration under the 1933 Act, typically to qualified institutional buyers. The aggregate value of these securities at February 29, 2012 was \$7,809,947, which represented 2.67% of the Trust s Net Assets.
- (h) Interest or dividend rate is redetermined periodically. Rate shown is the rate in effect on February 29, 2012.
- (i) Security has an irrevocable call by the issuer or mandatory put by the holder. Maturity date reflects such call or put.
- (i) Advance refunded; secured by an escrow fund of U.S. Government obligations or other highly rated collateral.
- (k) This table provides a listing of those entities that have either issued, guaranteed, backed or otherwise enhanced the credit quality of more than 5% of the securities held in the portfolio. In instances where the entity has guaranteed, backed or otherwise enhanced the credit quality of a security, it is not primarily responsible for the issuer s obligations but may be called upon to satisfy the issuer s obligations.

| Entities | Percentage |
|---|------------|
| Assured Guarantee Municipal Corp. | 8.9% |
| National Public Finance Guarantee Corp. | 8.6 |
| American Municipal Bond Assurance Corp. | 5.3 |

Floating rate note obligations related to securities held. The interest rates shown reflect the rates in effect at February 29, 2012. At February 29, 2012, the Trust s investments with a value of \$164,529,493 are held by Dealer Trusts and serve as collateral for the \$92,075,000 in the floating rate note obligations outstanding at that date.

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

Statement of Assets and Liabilities

February 29, 2012

| | | | 4 - | _ |
|---------------|----|---|-----|---|
| | SS | | | |
| $\overline{}$ | SS | C | เก | • |

| Investments, at value (Cost \$474,500,559) | \$ 504,362,717 |
|--|----------------|
| Receivable for: Investments sold | 200,000 |
| Interest | 5,900,736 |
| Total assets | 510,463,453 |
| Liabilities: | |
| Floating rate note obligations | 92,075,000 |
| Payable for: Investments purchased | 2,772,907 |
| Amount due custodian | 6,406,559 |
| Income distributions preferred and common shares | 9,887 |
| Accrued fees to affiliates | 49,986 |
| Accrued other operating expenses | 137,088 |
| Total liabilities | 101,451,427 |
| Preferred shares (\$0.01 par value, authorized 100,000,000 shares, 4,640 issued with liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share) | 116,000,000 |
| Net assets attributable to common shares | \$ 293,012,026 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares consist of: | |
| Shares of beneficial interest common shares | \$ 333,214,166 |
| Undistributed net investment income | 2,655,982 |
| Undistributed net realized gain (loss) | (72,720,280) |

Unrealized appreciation 29,862,158

\$ 293,012,026

Shares outstanding, \$0.01 par value per common share, with an unlimited number of shares authorized:

| Common shares | 2 | 22,142,812 |
|----------------------------------|----|------------|
| Net asset value per common share | \$ | 13.23 |
| Market value per common share | \$ | 14.01 |

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

Statement of Operations

For the year ended February 29, 2012

Investment income:

| Interest | \$ 23,602,165 |
|--|---------------|
| Expenses: | |
| Advisory fees | 2,527,951 |
| Administrative services fees | 100,594 |
| Custodian fees | 14,952 |
| Interest, facilities and maintenance fees | 783,471 |
| Transfer agent fees | 48,364 |
| Trustees and officers fees and benefits | 42,231 |
| Other | 210,023 |
| Total expenses | 3,727,586 |
| Less: Fees waived | (160,762) |
| Net expenses | 3,566,824 |
| Net investment income | 20,035,341 |
| Realized and unrealized gain (loss): | |
| Net realized gain (loss) from investment securities | (11,112,713) |
| Change in net unrealized appreciation of investment securities | 61,814,171 |
| Net realized and unrealized gain | 50,701,458 |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | 70,736,799 |
| Distributions to preferred shareholders from net investment income | (319,160) |

Net increase in net assets from operations applicable to common shares

\$ 70,417,639

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

Statement of Changes in Net Assets

For the year ended February 29, 2012, the period November 1, 2010 to February 28, 2011 and the year ended October 31, 2010

| | Year ended February 29, 2012 | Four months ended February 28, 2011 | Year ended October 31, 2010 |
|--|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| Operations: | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 20,035,341 | \$ 7,076,297 | \$ 21,558,799 |
| Net realized gain (loss) | (11,112,713) | (3,577,213) | (5,609,167) |
| Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) | 61,814,171 | (35,367,713) | 25,367,469 |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations | 70,736,799 | (31,868,629) | 41,317,101 |
| Distributions to preferred shareholders from net investment income | (319,160) | (177,464) | (543,369) |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets from operations applicable to common shares | 70,417,639 | (32,046,093) | 40,773,732 |
| Distributions to shareholders from net investment income | (20,176,124) | (6,717,790) | (20,131,211) |
| Share transactions net: | | | |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from share transactions | 434,858 | 149,578 | 567,912 |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to common shares | 50,676,373 | (38,614,305) | 21,210,433 |
| Net assets applicable to common shares: | | | |
| Beginning of period | 242,335,653 | 280,949,958 | 259,739,525 |
| End of period (includes undistributed net investment income of \$2,655,982, \$3,207,250 and \$3,092,904, respectively) | \$ 293,012,026 | \$ 242,335,653 | \$ 280,949,958 |

See accompanying Notes to Financial Statements which are an integral part of the financial statements.

Statement of Cash Flows

Net decrease in cash

Cash at beginning of period

For the year ended February 29, 2012

Cash provided by operating activities:

Net increase in net assets from operations applicable to common shares

\$ 70,417,639

Adjustments to reconcile the change in net assets from operations applicable to common shares to net cash provided by operating activities

| Net realized loss from investment securities | 11,112,713 |
|--|---------------|
| Net change in unrealized appreciation on investments | (61,814,171) |
| Amortization of premium | 537,491 |
| Accretion of discount | (914,922) |
| Cost of purchases of investments | (109,387,108) |
| Proceeds from sales of investments | 90,698,238 |
| Decrease in interest receivables | 255,080 |
| Decrease in accrued expenses and other payables | (9,629) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 895,331 |
| Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities: | |
| Proceeds from redemptions of preferred shares | (14,000,000) |
| Dividends paid to common shareholders from net investment income | (19,753,596) |
| Increase in payable for amount due custodian | 6,406,559 |
| Proceeds from floating rate note obligations | 26,150,000 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (1,197,037) |

(301,706)

301,706

Cash at end of period \$

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Cash paid during the period for interest, facilities and maintenance fees

\$ 834,409

Notes to Financial Statements

February 29, 2012

NOTE 1 Significant Accounting Policies

Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust (the Trust), a Massachusetts business trust, is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), as a diversified, closed-end management investment company.

The Trust s investment objective is to seek to provide a high level of current income which is exempt from federal and California income taxes, consistent with preservation of capital. The Trust will invest substantially all of its assets in California municipal securities rated investment grade at the time of investment.

The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies followed by the Trust in the preparation of its financial statements.

A. Security Valuations Securities, including restricted securities, are valued according to the following policy. Securities are fair valued using an evaluated quote provided by an independent pricing service approved by the Board of Trustees. Evaluated quotes provided by the pricing service may be determined without exclusive reliance on quoted prices and may reflect appropriate factors such as institution-size trading in similar groups of securities, yield, quality, coupon rate, maturity, type of issue, individual trading characteristics and other market data. Securities with a demand feature exercisable within one to seven days are valued at par. Debt securities are subject to interest rate and credit risks. In addition, all debt securities involve some risk of default with respect to interest and principal payments.

Securities for which market quotations either are not readily available or are unreliable are valued at fair value as determined in good faith by or under the supervision of the Trust s officers following procedures approved by the Board of Trustees. Some of the factors which may be considered in determining fair value are fundamental analytical data relating to the investment; the nature and duration of any restrictions on transferability or disposition; trading in similar securities by the same issuer or comparable companies; relevant political, economic or issuer specific news; and other relevant factors under the circumstances.

Valuations change in response to many factors including the historical and prospective earnings of the issuer, the value of the issuer s assets, general economic conditions, interest rates, investor perceptions and market liquidity. Because of the inherent uncertainties of valuation, the values reflected in the financial statements may materially differ from the value received upon actual sale of those investments.

B. Securities Transactions and Investment Income Securities transactions are accounted for on a trade date basis. Realized gains or losses on sales are computed on the basis of specific identification of the securities sold. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis from settlement date. Dividend income (net of withholding tax, if any) is recorded on the ex-dividend date. Bond premiums and discounts are amortized and/or accreted for financial reporting purposes.

The Trust may periodically participate in litigation related to Trust investments. As such, the Trust may receive proceeds from litigation settlements. Any proceeds received are included in the Statement of Operations as realized gain (loss) for investments no longer held and as unrealized gain (loss) for investments still held.

Brokerage commissions and mark ups are considered transaction costs and are recorded as an increase to the cost basis of securities purchased and/or a reduction of proceeds on a sale of securities. Such transaction costs are included in the determination of net realized and unrealized gain (loss) from investment securities reported in the Statement of Operations and the Statement of Changes in Net Assets and the net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on securities per share in the Financial Highlights. Transaction costs are included in the calculation of the Trust s net asset value and, accordingly, they reduce the Trust s total returns. These transaction costs are not considered operating expenses and are not reflected in net investment income reported in the Statement of Operations and Statement of Changes in Net Assets, or the net investment income per share and ratios of expenses and net investment income reported in the Financial Highlights, nor are they limited by any expense limitation arrangements between the Trust and the investment adviser.

- C. Country Determination For the purposes of making investment selection decisions and presentation in the Schedule of Investments, the investment adviser may determine the country in which an issuer is located and/or credit risk exposure based on various factors. These factors include the laws of the country under which the issuer is organized, where the issuer maintains a principal office, the country in which the issuer derives 50% or more of its total revenues and the country that has the primary market for the issuer securities, as well as other criteria. Among the other criteria that may be evaluated for making this determination are the country in which the issuer maintains 50% or more of its assets, the type of security, financial guarantees and enhancements, the nature of the collateral and the sponsor organization. Country of issuer and/or credit risk exposure has been determined to be the United States of America, unless otherwise noted.
- **D. Distributions** The Trust declares and pays monthly dividends from net investment income to common shareholders. Distributions from net realized capital gain, if any, are generally paid annually and are distributed on a pro rata basis to common and preferred shareholders. The Trust may elect to treat a portion of the proceeds from redemptions as distributions for federal income tax purposes.
- **E. Federal Income Taxes** The Trust intends to comply with the requirements of Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code necessary to qualify as a regulated investment company and to distribute substantially all of the Trust s taxable earnings to shareholders. As such, the Trust will not be subject to federal income taxes on otherwise taxable income (including net realized capital gain) that is distributed to shareholders. Therefore, no provision for federal income taxes is recorded in the financial statements.

In addition, the Trust intends to invest in such municipal securities to allow it to qualify to pay shareholders exempt dividends , as defined in the Internal Revenue Code.

The Trust files tax returns in the U.S. Federal jurisdiction and certain other jurisdictions. Generally, the Trust is subject to examinations by such taxing authorities for up to three years after the filing of the return for the tax period.

F. Accounting Estimates The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period including estimates and assumptions

- related to taxation. Actual results could differ from those estimates by a significant amount. In addition, the Trust monitors for material events or transactions that may occur or become known after the period-end date and before the date the financial statements are released to print.
- **G. Indemnifications** Under the Trust's organizational documents, each Trustee, officer, employee or other agent of the Trust is indemnified against certain liabilities that may arise out of performance of their duties to the Trust. Additionally, in the normal course of business, the Trust enters into contracts, including the Trust's servicing agreements that contain a variety of indemnification clauses. The Trust's maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against the Trust that have not yet occurred. The risk of material loss as a result of such indemnification claims is considered remote.
- **H.** Cash and Cash Equivalents For the purposes of the Statement of Cash Flows the Trust defines Cash and Cash Equivalents as cash (including foreign currency), money market funds and other investments held in lieu of cash and excludes investments made with cash collateral received.
- Bonds (RIBs) or Tender Option Bonds (TOBs) for investment purposes and to enhance the yield of the Trust. Inverse floating rate investments tend to underperform the market for fixed rate bonds in a rising interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when interest rates decline or remain relatively stable. Such transactions may be purchased in the secondary market without first owning the underlying bond or by the sale of fixed rate bonds by the Trust to special purpose trusts established by a broker dealer (Dealer Trusts) in exchange for cash and residual interests in the Dealer Trusts assets and cash flows, which are in the form of inverse floating rate securities. The Dealer Trusts finance the purchases of the fixed rate bonds by issuing floating rate notes to third parties and allowing the Trust to retain residual interest in the bonds. The floating rate notes issued by the Dealer Trusts have interest rates that reset weekly and the floating rate note holders have the option to tender their notes to the Dealer Trusts for redemption at par at each reset date. The residual interests held by the Trust (inverse floating rate investments) include the right of the Trust (1) to cause the holders of the floating rate notes to tender their notes at par at the next interest rate reset date, and (2) to transfer the municipal bond from the Dealer Trusts to the Trust, thereby collapsing the Dealer Trusts.

TOBs are presently classified as private placement securities. Private placement securities are subject to restrictions on resale because they have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended or are otherwise not readily marketable. As a result of the absence of a public trading market for these securities, they may be less liquid than publicly traded securities. Although these securities may be resold in privately negotiated transactions, the prices realized from these sales could be less than those originally paid by the Trust or less than what may be considered the fair value of such securities.

The Trust accounts for the transfer of bonds to the Dealer Trusts as secured borrowings, with the securities transferred remaining in the Trust s investment assets, and the related floating rate notes reflected as Trust liabilities under the caption *Floating rate note obligations* on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Trust records the interest income from the fixed rate bonds under the caption *Interest* and records the expenses related to floating rate obligations and any administrative expenses of the Dealer Trusts as a component of *Interest*, *facilities and maintenance fees* on the Statement of Operations.

The Trust generally invests in inverse floating rate securities that include embedded leverage, thus exposing the Trust to greater risks and increased costs. The primary risks associated with inverse floating rate securities are varying degrees of liquidity and the changes in the value of such securities in response to changes in market rates of interest to a greater extent than the value of an equal principal amount of a fixed rate security having similar credit quality, redemption provisions and maturity which may cause the Trust—s net asset value to be more volatile than if it had not invested in inverse floating rate securities. In certain instances, the short-term floating rate interests created by the special purpose trust may not be able to be sold to third parties or, in the case of holders tendering (or putting) such interests for repayment of principal, may not be able to be remarketed to third parties. In such cases, the special purpose trust holding the long-term fixed rate bonds may be collapsed. In the case of RIBs or TOBs created by the contribution of long-term fixed income bonds by the Trust, the Trust will then be required to repay the principal amount of the tendered securities. During times of market volatility, illiquidity or uncertainty, the Trust could be required to sell other portfolio holdings at a disadvantageous time to raise cash to meet that obligation.

- **J. Interest, Facilities and Maintenance Fees** Interest, Facilities and Maintenance Fees include interest and related borrowing costs such as commitment fees and other expenses associated with lines of credit and interest and administrative expenses related to establishing and maintaining Auction Rate Preferred Shares and floating rate note obligations, if any.
- **K.** Other Risks The value of, payment of interest on, repayment of principal for and the ability to sell a municipal security may be affected by constitutional amendments, legislative enactments, executive orders, administrative regulations, voter initiatives and the economics of the regions in which the issuers are located.

Since many municipal securities are issued to finance similar projects, especially those relating to education, health care, transportation and utilities, conditions in those sectors can affect the overall municipal securities market and a Trust s investments in municipal securities.

There is some risk that a portion or all of the interest received from certain tax-free municipal securities could become taxable as a result of determinations by the Internal Revenue Service.

NOTE 2 Advisory Fees and Other Fees Paid to Affiliates

The Trust has entered into a master investment advisory agreement with Invesco Advisers, Inc. (the Adviser or Invesco). Under the terms of the investment advisory agreement, the Trust pays an advisory fee to the Adviser based on the annual rate 0.55% of the Trust is average daily managed assets including current preferred shares and leverage entered into to retire preferred shares of the Trust.

Under the terms of a master sub-advisory agreement between the Adviser and each of Invesco Asset Management Deutschland GmbH, Invesco Asset Management Limited, Invesco Asset Management (Japan) Limited, Invesco Australia Limited, Invesco Hong Kong Limited, Invesco Senior Secured Management, Inc. and Invesco Canada Ltd. (collectively, the Affiliated Sub-Advisers) the Adviser, not the Trust, may pay 40% of the fees paid to the Adviser to any such Affiliated Sub-Adviser(s) that provide(s) discretionary investment management services to the Trust based

on the percentage of assets allocated to such Sub-Adviser(s).

The Adviser has contractually agreed, through at least June 30, 2012, to waive advisory fees and/or reimburse expenses to the extent necessary to limit the Trust s expenses (excluding certain items discussed below) to 1.05%. In determining the Adviser s obligation to waive advisory fees and/or reimburse expenses, the following expenses are not taken into account, and could cause the Trust s expenses to exceed the limit reflected above: (1) interest, facilities and maintenance fees; (2) taxes; (3) dividend expense on short sales; (4) extraordinary or non-routine items, including litigation expenses; and (5) expenses that the Trust has incurred but did not actually pay because of an expense offset arrangement. Unless the Board of Trustees and Invesco mutually agree to amend or continue the fee waiver agreement, it will terminate on June 30, 2012.

For the year ended February 29, 2012, the Adviser waived advisory fees of \$160,762.

The Trust has entered into a master administrative services agreement with Invesco pursuant to which the Trust has agreed to pay Invesco for certain administrative costs incurred in providing accounting services to the Trust. For the year ended February 29, 2012, expenses incurred under this agreement are shown in the Statement of Operations as administrative services fees.

Certain officers and trustees of the Trust are officers and directors of Invesco.

NOTE 3 Additional Valuation Information

GAAP defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, under current market conditions. GAAP establishes a hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation methods giving the highest priority to readily available unadjusted quoted prices in an active market for identical assets (Level 1) and the lowest priority to significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) generally when market prices are not readily available or are unreliable. Based on the valuation inputs, the securities or other investments are tiered into one of three levels. Changes in valuation methods may result in transfers in or out of an investment sassigned level:

Level 1 Prices are determined using quoted prices in an active market for identical assets.

- Level 2 Prices are determined using other significant observable inputs. Observable inputs are inputs that other market participants may use in pricing a security. These may include quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, yield curves, loss severities, default rates, discount rates, volatilities and others.
- Level 3 Prices are determined using significant unobservable inputs. In situations where quoted prices or observable inputs are unavailable (for example, when there is little or no market activity for an investment at the end of the period), unobservable inputs may be used. Unobservable inputs reflect the Trust s own assumptions about the factors market participants would use in determining fair value of the securities or instruments and would be based on the best available information.

The following is a summary of the tiered valuation input levels, as of February 29, 2012. The level assigned to the securities valuations may not be an indication of the risk or liquidity associated with investing in those securities. Because of the inherent uncertainties of valuation, the values reflected in the financial statements may materially differ from the value received upon actual sale of those investments.

During the year ended February 29, 2012, there were no significant transfers between investment levels.

| | Level | | Level | |
|-----------------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------------|
| | 1 | Level 2 | 3 | Total |
| Municipal Obligations | \$ | \$ 504,362,717 | \$ | \$ 504,362,717 |

NOTE 4 Security Transactions with Affiliated Funds

The Trust is permitted to purchase or sell securities from or to certain other Invesco Funds under specified conditions outlined in procedures adopted by the Board of Trustees of the Trust. The procedures have been designed to ensure that any purchase or sale of securities by the Trust from or to another fund or portfolio that is or could be considered an affiliate by virtue of having a common investment adviser (or affiliated investment advisers), common Trustees and/or common officers complies with Rule 17a-7 of the 1940 Act. Further, as defined under the procedures, each transaction is effected at the current market price. Pursuant to these procedures, for the year ended February 29, 2012, the Trust engaged in securities purchases of \$3,113,960.

NOTE 5 Trustees and Officers Fees and Benefits

Trustees and Officers Fees and Benefits include amounts accrued by the Trust to pay remuneration to certain Trustees and Officers of the Trust.

During the year ended February 29, 2012, the Trust paid legal fees of \$50,575 for services rendered by Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP as counsel to the Trust. A trustee of the Trust is of council with the firm.

NOTE 6 Cash Balances and Borrowings

The Trust is permitted to temporarily carry a negative or overdrawn balance in its account with State Street Bank and Trust Company, the custodian bank. Such balances, if any at period end, are shown in the Statement of Assets and Liabilities under the payable caption *amount due custodian*. To compensate the custodian bank for such overdrafts, the overdrawn Trust may either (1) leave funds as a compensating balance in the account so the custodian bank can be compensated by earning the additional interest; or (2) compensate by paying the custodian bank at a rate agreed upon by the custodian bank and Invesco, not to exceed the contractually agreed upon rate.

Inverse floating rate obligations resulting from the transfer of bonds to Dealer Trusts are accounted for as secured borrowings. The average floating rate notes outstanding and average annual interest and fees related to inverse

floating rate note obligations during the year ended February 29, 2012 were \$71,328,462 and 0.83%, respectively.

NOTE 7 Distributions to Shareholders and Tax Components of Net Assets

Tax Character of Distributions to Shareholders Paid During the year ended February 29, 2012, the period November 1, 2010 to February 28, 2011 and the year ended October 31, 2010:

| | Year ended February 29, 2012 | Four months ended February 28, 2011 | Year ended October 31, 2010 | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Ordinary income | \$ | \$ | \$ 53,482 | | | | | | | | |
| Tax-exempt income | 20,495,284 | 6,895,254 | 20,621,098 | | | | | | | | |
| Total distributions | \$ 20,495,284 | \$ 6,895,254 | \$ 20,674,580 | | | | | | | | |
| Tax Components of Net Assets at Period-End: | | | 2012 | | | | | | | | |
| Undistributed ordinary income | | | \$ 2,324,393 | | | | | | | | |
| Net unrealized appreciation investments | | | 29,271,695 | | | | | | | | |
| Capital loss carryforward | | | (71,798,228) | | | | | | | | |
| Shares of beneficial interest Common Shares | | | 333,214,166 | | | | | | | | |
| Total net assets | | | \$ 293,012,026 | | | | | | | | |
| 19 Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust | | | | | | | | | | | |

The difference between book-basis and tax-basis unrealized appreciation (depreciation) is due to differences in the timing of recognition of gains and losses on investments for tax and book purposes. The Trust s net unrealized appreciation difference is attributable primarily to book to tax accretion and amortization differences and TOBs.

Capital loss carryforward is calculated and reported as of a specific date. Results of transactions and other activity after that date may affect the amount of capital loss carryforward actually available for the Trust to utilize. The Regulated Investment Company Modernization Act of 2010 (the Act) eliminated the eight-year carryover period for capital losses that arise in taxable years beginning after its enactment date of December 22, 2010. Consequently, these capital losses can be carried forward for an unlimited period. However, capital losses with an expiration period may not be used to offset capital gains until all net capital losses without an expiration date have been utilized. Additionally, post-enactment capital loss carryovers will retain their character as either short-term or long-term capital losses instead of as short-term capital losses as under prior law. The ability to utilize capital loss carryforward in the future may be limited under the Internal Revenue Code and related regulations based on the results of future transactions.

The Trust has a capital loss carryforward as of February 29, 2012, which expires as follows:

| Expiration | Capital Loss Carryforward* Short-Term | Long-Term | Total | | |
|---------------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|--|
| February 28, 2015 | \$ 1,441,133 | \$ | \$ 1,441,133 | | |
| February 29, 2016 | 20,393,535 | | 20,393,535 | | |
| February 28, 2017 | 31,471,695 | | 31,471,695 | | |
| February 28, 2018 | 5,165,565 | | 5,165,565 | | |
| February 28, 2019 | 3,798,339 | | 3,798,339 | | |
| Not subject to expiration | | 9,527,961 | 9,527,961 | | |
| | \$ 62,270,267 | \$ 9,527,961 | \$ 71,798,228 | | |

^{*} Capital loss carryforward as of the date listed above is reduced for limitations, if any, to the extent required by the Internal Revenue Code.

NOTE 8 Investment Securities

The aggregate amount of investment securities (other than short-term securities, U.S. Treasury obligations and money market funds, if any) purchased and sold by the Trust during the year ended February 29, 2012 was \$90,171,444 and \$90,694,359, respectively. Cost of investments on a tax basis includes the adjustments for financial reporting purposes as of the most recently completed Federal income tax reporting period-end.

Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) of Investment Securities on a Tax Basis

Aggregate unrealized appreciation of investment securities

\$ 31,412,911

Aggregate unrealized (depreciation) of investment securities

(2,141,216)

Net unrealized appreciation of investment securities

\$ 29,271,695

Cost of investments for tax purposes is \$475,091,022.

NOTE 9 Reclassification of Permanent Differences

Primarily as a result of differing book/tax treatment of taxable income and bonds with market discounts, on February 29, 2012, undistributed net investment income was decreased by \$91,325, undistributed net realized gain (loss) was increased by \$40,658 and shares of beneficial interest was increased by \$50,667. This reclassification had no effect on the net assets of the Trust.

NOTE 10 Common Shares of Beneficial Interest

Transactions in common shares of beneficial interest were as follows:

| | Year ended February 29, 2012 | Four months ended February 28, 2011 | Year ended October 31, 2010 |
|---|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Beginning shares | 22,106,471 | 22,093,084 | 22,046,524 |
| Shares Issued Through Dividend Reinvestment | 36,341 | 13,387 | 46,560 |
| Ending shares | 22,142,812 | 22,106,471 | 22,093,084 |

The Trustees have approved share repurchases whereby the Trust may, when appropriate, purchase shares in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions at a price not above market value or net asset value, whichever is lower at the time of purchase.

NOTE 11 Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest

The Trust has issued Auction Rate Preferred Shares (preferred shares) which have a liquidation value of \$25,000 per share plus the redemption premium, if any, plus accumulated but unpaid dividends, whether or not declared, thereon to the date of distribution. The Trust may redeem such shares, in whole or in part, at the original purchase price of \$25,000 per share plus accumulated but unpaid dividends, whether or not declared, thereon to the date of redemption.

Historically, the Trust paid annual fees equivalent to 0.25% of the preferred share liquidation value for the remarketing efforts associated with the preferred auction. Effective March 16, 2009, the Trust decreased this amount to 0.15% due to auction failures. In the future, if auctions no longer fail, the Trust may return to an annual fee payment of 0.25% of the preferred share liquidation value. These fees are included as a component of Interest, facilities and maintenance fees on the Statement of Operations.

Dividends, which are cumulative, are reset through auction procedures.

| | | Amount (000 s | | Range of | | | | | |
|--------|---------|---------------|--------|------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Series | Shares+ | omitted)+ | Rate+ | Reset Date | Dividend Rates++ | | | | |
| A | 1,392 | \$ 34,800 | 0.244% | 03/01/2012 | 0.107-0.411% | | | | |
| В | 1,740 | 43,500 | 0.229 | 03/07/2012 | 0.107-0.411 | | | | |
| C | 1,044 | 26,100 | 0.133 | 03/01/2012 | 0.122-0.411 | | | | |
| D | 464 | 11,600 | 0.244 | 03/21/2012 | 0.107-0.411 | | | | |

As of February 29, 2012.

Subsequent to February 29, 2012 and up through April 5, 2012, the Trust paid dividends to preferred shareholders at rates ranging from 0.20% to 0.33% in the aggregate amount of \$27,693.

The Trust is subject to certain restrictions relating to the preferred shares. Failure to comply with these restrictions could preclude the Trust from declaring any distributions to common shareholders or purchasing common shares and/or could trigger the mandatory redemption of preferred shares at liquidation value.

Beginning February 13, 2008 and continuing through February 29, 2012, all series of preferred shares of the Trust were not successfully remarketed. As a result, the dividend rates of these preferred shares were reset to the maximum applicable rate.

The preferred shares, which are entitled to one vote per share, generally vote with the common shares but vote separately as a class to elect two Trustees and on any matters affecting the rights of the preferred shares.

The preferred shares are not listed on an exchange. Investors in preferred shares may participate in auctions through authorized broker-dealers; however, such broker-dealers are not required to maintain a secondary market in preferred shares, and there can be no assurance that a secondary market will develop, or if it does develop, a secondary market may not provide you with liquidity. When a preferred share auction fails, investors may not be able to sell any or all of their preferred shares and because of the nature of the market for preferred shares, investors may receive less than the price paid for their preferred shares if sold outside of the auction.

The Trust entered into additional floating rate note and dealer trust obligations as an alternative form of leverage in order to redeem a portion of its preferred shares. Transactions in preferred shares were as follows:

⁺⁺ For the year ended February 29, 2012.

Edgar Filing: VERSAR INC - Form 10-Q

| | Series A | | S | eries B | S | eries C | Series D | | |
|--|----------|---------------|--------|---------------|--------|---------------|----------|---------------|--|
| | Shares | Value | Shares | Value | Shares | Value | Shares | Value | |
| Outstanding at February 28, 2011 | 1,560 | \$ 39,000,000 | 1,950 | \$ 48,750,000 | 1,170 | \$ 29,250,000 | 520 | \$ 13,000,000 | |
| Shares redeemed | (168) | (4,200,000) | (210) | (5,250,000) | (126) | (3,150,000) | (56) | (1,400,000) | |
| Outstanding at February 29, 2012 | 1,392 | \$ 34,800,000 | 1,740 | \$ 43,500,000 | 1,044 | \$ 26,100,000 | 464 | \$ 11,600,000 | |

NOTE 12 Dividends

The Trust declared the following dividends to common shareholders from net investment income subsequent to February 29, 2012:

| Declaration Date | Amount Per Share | Record Date | Payable Date |
|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| March 1, 2012 | \$ 0.076 | March 14, 2012 | March 30, 2012 |
| April 2, 2012 | \$ 0.076 | April 13, 2012 | April 30, 2012 |

NOTE 13 Financial Highlights

The following schedule presents financial highlights for one common share of the Trust outstanding throughout the periods indicated.

| | ear ended bruary 29, 2012 | our months ended ebruary 28, 2011 | 2010 | Y | /ear endec 2009 | d Oct | tober 31, 2008 | 2007 |
|--|---------------------------------|--|-------------|----|--------------------|-------|-------------------|-------------|
| Net asset value, beginning of period | \$ 10.96 | \$ 12.72 | \$ 11.78 | \$ | 10.11 | \$ | 15.38 | \$ 16.69 |
| Net investment income ^(a) | 0.91 | 0.32 | 0.98 | | 1.04 | | 1.18 | 1.13 |
| Net gains (losses) on securities (both realized and unrealized) | 2.28 | (1.77) | 0.89 | | 1.58 | | (5.28) | (1.25) |
| Distributions paid to preferred shareholders from: | | | | | | | | |
| Net investment income | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.02) | | (0.06) | | (0.32) | (0.30) |
| Net realized gain | | | | | | | | (0.02) |
| Total from investment operations | 3.18 | (1.46) | 1.85 | | 2.56 | | (4.42) | (0.44) |
| Less distributions paid to common shareholders: | | | | | | | | |
| Dividends from net investment income | (0.91) | (0.30) | (0.91) | | (0.89) | | (0.85) | (0.81) |
| Distributions from net realized gains | | | | | | | | (0.06) |
| Total distributions to common | (0.91) | (0.30) | (0.91) | | (0.89) | | (0.85) | (0.87) |

| shareholders | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|----------------------|------|-------------------------|------|---------|------|---------|------|----------|-------------|--------|
| Net asset value, end of period | \$ | 13.23 | \$ | 10.96 | \$ | 12.72 | \$ | 11.78 | \$ | 10.11 | \$ | 15.38 |
| Market value, end of period | \$ | 14.01 | \$ | 11.21 | \$ | 13.02 | \$ | 12.02 | \$ | 10.34 | \$ | 15.44 |
| Total return at net asset value ^(b) | | 30.26% | | (11.47)% | | 16.33% | | | | | | |
| Total return at market value ^(c) | | 34.87% | | (11.54)% | | 16.70% | | 26.67% | | (28.65)% | | 2.80% |
| Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period (000 s omitted) | \$? | 93,012 | \$ * | 242,336 | \$ 2 | 280,950 | \$ 2 | 59,740 | \$ 0 | 222,299 | \$ 3 | 37,272 |
| | Φ 4 | 93,012 | φ. | 242,330 | Φ 2 | .80,930 | Φ 2 | .59,740 | Ψ 4 | 222,299 | φυ | 31,212 |
| Portfolio turnover rate ^(d) | | 20% | | 4% | | 12% | | 30% | | 33% | | 38% |
| Ratios/supplemental data based on average net assets applicable to common shares: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ratio of expenses: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| With fee waivers and/or expense | | 1.2507 (f) | | 1 400 (g)(h) | | 1 260 | | 1 500 | | 2.020 | | 1 0107 |
| reimbursements ^(e) | | 1.35% ^(f) | | 1.40% ^{(g)(h)} | | 1.36% | | 1.53% | | 2.03% | | 1.91% |
| With fee waivers and/or expense reimbursements excluding interest, facilities and maintenance fees ^{(e)(i)} | | 1.050/(f) | | 1 050 (a)(h) | | 1 1207 | | 1 100 | | 0.070 | | 1.020 |
| | | 1.05% ^(f) | | 1.05% ^{(g)(h)} | | 1.12% | | 1.19% | | 0.97% | | 1.03% |
| Without fee waivers and/or expense reimbursements ^(e) | | 1.41% ^(f) | | 1.43%(g)(h) | | 1.46% | | 1.72% | | 2.19% | | 2.05% |
| Ratio of net investment income before preferred | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| share dividends | | 7.60% ^(f) | | $8.66\%^{(g)(h)}$ | | 8.03% | | 9.97% | | 8.52% | | 7.04% |
| | | 0.12% ^(f) | | $0.22\%^{(h)}$ | | | | | | | | |

Preferred share dividends

Ratio of net investment income after preferred share dividends

share dividends 7.48%^(f) 8.44%^{(g)(h)} 7.83% 9.37% 6.23% 5.18%

Senior securities:

| Total amount of preferred shares outstanding (000 s omitted) | 116,000 | \$ 130,000 | \$ 130,000 | \$ \$ 140,000 | \$ 160,000 | \$ 200,00 | 0 |
|--|--------------|---------------|------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|---|
| Asset coverage per preferred share ^(j) | \$ 88,149 | \$ 71,603 | \$ 79,032 | \$ 71,385 | \$ 59,769 | \$ 67,18 | 2 |
| Liquidating preference per preferred share | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,000 | \$ 25,00 | Ю |

- (a) Calculated using average shares outstanding.
- (b) Includes adjustments in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and as such, the net asset value for financial reporting purposes and the returns based upon those net asset values may differ from the net asset value and returns for shareholder transactions. Not annualized for periods less than one year, if applicable.
- (c) Total return assumes an investment at the common share market price at the beginning of the period indicated, reinvestment of all distributions for the period in accordance with the Trust s dividend reinvestment plan, and sale of all shares at the closing common share market price at the end of the period indicated. Not annualized for periods less than one year, if applicable.
- (d) Portfolio turnover is not annualized for periods less than one year, if applicable.
- (e) Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to preferred shareholders.
- (f) Ratios are based on average net assets applicable to common shares (000 s omitted) of \$263,452.
- (g) Ratio includes an adjustment for a change in accounting estimate for professional fees during the period. Ratios excluding this adjustment would have been higher by 0.05%.
- (h) Annualized.
- (i) For the years ended October 31, 2010 and prior, ratio does not exclude facilities and maintenance fees.
- (j) Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets and dividing this by the number of preferred shares outstanding.

NOTE 14 Significant Event

23

The Board of Trustees of the Trust (the Board) approved the redomestication of the Trust, a Massachusetts business trust, into a Delaware statutory trust pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Redomestication (the Redomestication). The Board also approved an Agreement and Plan of Merger pursuant to which Invesco California Municipal Income Trust, Invesco California Municipal Securities and Invesco California Quality Municipal Securities (each a Target Trust) would merge with and into the Trust in accordance with the Delaware Statutory Trust Act (the Merger). As a result of the Merger, all the assets and liabilities of each Target Trust will become assets and liabilities of the Trust and each Target Trust s shareholders will become shareholders of the Trust. The Redomestication and the Agreement are subject to shareholder approval.

In addition, the Board also approved a plan to redeem all of the outstanding auction rate preferred shares at their respective liquidation preferences. These redemptions are anticipated to be funded with proceeds received from the issuance of Variable Rate Munifund Term Preferred Shares (VMTPS) and Tender Option Bonds (TOBs). VMTPS are a variable rate form of preferred stock with a mandatory redemption date. These redemptions and this issuance of VMTPS are targeted to occur in the first half of 2012.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Trustees and Shareholders of Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust:

In our opinion, the accompanying statement of assets and liabilities, including the schedule of investments, and the related statements of operations, of changes in net assets and of cash flows and the financial highlights present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust (hereafter referred to as the Trust) at February 29, 2012, the results of its operations and cash flows for the year then ended, and the changes in its net assets and financial highlights for the year then ended, the period ended February 28, 2011 and the year ended October 31, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements and financial highlights (hereafter referred to as financial statements) are the responsibility of the Trust s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits, which included confirmation of securities at February 29, 2012 by correspondence with the custodian and brokers, provide a reasonable basis for our opinion. The financial highlights of the Trust for the periods ended October 31, 2009 and prior were audited by other independent auditors whose report dated December 21, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP

April 23, 2012 Houston, Texas

Tax Information

Form 1099-DIV, Form 1042-S and other year-end tax information provide shareholders with actual calendar year amounts that should be included in their tax returns. Shareholders should consult their tax advisors.

The following distribution information is being provided as required by the Internal Revenue Code or to meet a specific state s requirement.

The Trust designates the following amounts or, if subsequently determined to be different, the maximum amount allowable for its fiscal year ended February 29, 2012:

Federal and State Income Tax

Qualified Dividend Income*0.00%Corporate Dividends Received Deduction*0.00%Tax-exempt interest dividends*99.74%

^{*} The above percentages are based on ordinary income dividends paid to shareholders during the Trust s fiscal year.

Supplemental Information

The disclosure concerning the investment objective, principal investment strategies and principal risks of Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust (the Fund) is being updated. The investment objective has not changed; however the Board of Trustees of the Fund approved a revised statement of the principal investment strategies for the Fund. The revised disclosure of the investment objective, principal investment strategies and associated principal risks for the Fund is set forth below.

Investment Objective

The investment objective of Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust (the Fund) is to seek to provide Common Shareholders with a high level of current income exempt from federal and California income taxes, consistent with preservation of capital.

The investment objective is fundamental and may not be changed without approval of a majority of the Funds outstanding voting securities, as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act).

Principal Investment Strategies of the Fund

Under normal market conditions, at least 80% of the Fund s net assets will be invested in municipal securities. The policy stated in the foregoing sentence is a fundamental policy of the Fund and may not be changed without approval of a majority of the Fund s outstanding voting securities, as defined in the 1940 Act. Under normal market conditions, the Fund s investment adviser, Invesco Advisers, Inc. (the Adviser), seeks to achieve the Fund s investment objective by investing at least 80% of the Fund s net assets in investment grade California municipal securities. Investment grade securities are: (i) securities rated BBB- or higher by Standard & Poor s Financial Services LLC, a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. (S&P) or Baa3 or higher by Moody s Investors Service, Inc. (Moody s) or an equivalent rating by another nationally recognized statistical rating organization (NRSRO), (ii) comparably rated short term securities, or (iii) unrated municipal securities determined by the Adviser to be of comparable quality at the time of purchase. Under normal market conditions, the Fund may invest up to 20% of its net assets in municipal securities rated below investment grade or that are unrated but determined by the Adviser to be of comparable quality at the time of purchase. Lower-grade securities are commonly referred to as junk bonds, and involve greater risks than investments in higher-grade securities. The Fund does not purchase securities that are in default or rated in categories lower than B- by S&P or B3 by Moody s or unrated securities of comparable quality.

The foregoing percentage and rating limitations apply at the time of acquisition of a security based on the last previous determination of the Fund s net asset value. Any subsequent change in any rating by a rating service or change in percentages resulting from market fluctuations or other changes in the Fund s total assets will not require elimination of any security from the Fund s portfolio.

The Fund may invest all or a substantial portion of its total assets in California municipal securities that may subject certain investors to the federal alternative minimum tax and, therefore, a substantial portion of the income produced by the Fund may be taxable for such investors under the federal alternative minimum tax. Accordingly, the Fund may not be a suitable investment for investors who are already subject to the federal alternative minimum tax or could become subject to the federal alternative minimum tax as a result of an investment in the Fund.

The Adviser buys and sells securities for the Fund with a view towards seeking a high level of current income exempt from federal and California income taxes, subject to reasonable credit risk. As a result, the Fund will not necessarily invest in the highest yielding municipal securities permitted by its investment policies if the Adviser determines that market risks or credit risks associated with such investments would subject the Fund s portfolio to undue risk. The potential realization of capital gains or losses resulting from possible changes in interest rates will not be a major consideration and frequency of portfolio turnover generally will not be a limiting factor if the Adviser considers it advantageous to purchase or sell securities.

Although the Fund s policy is to emphasize investments in municipal obligations with longer-term maturities because generally longer-term obligations, while more susceptible to market fluctuations resulting from changes in interest

rates, produce higher yields than short-term obligations, the Fund does not maintain a specific average weighted maturity of its portfolio, and the Fund s average portfolio maturity will vary depending upon market conditions and other factors.

The Adviser employs a bottom-up, research-driven approach to identify securities that have attractive risk/reward characteristics for the sectors in which the Fund invests. The Adviser also integrates macroeconomic analysis and forecasting into its evaluation and ranking of various sectors and individual securities. Finally, the Fund employs leverage in an effort to enhance the Fund s income and total return. Sell decisions are based on: (i) a deterioration or likely deterioration of an individual issuer s capacity to meet its debt obligations on a timely basis; (ii) a deterioration or likely deterioration of the broader fundamentals of a particular industry or sector; and (iii) opportunities in the secondary or primary market to purchase a security with better relative value.

Municipal Securities. Municipal securities are obligations issued by or on behalf of states, territories or possessions of the United States, the District of Columbia and their cities, counties, political subdivisions, agencies and instrumentalities, the interest on which, in the opinion of bond counsel or other counsel to the issuers of such securities, is, at the time of issuance, exempt from federal income tax. California municipal securities are municipal obligations, the interest on which, in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer, is exempt from federal and California income taxes. The Adviser does not conduct its own analysis of the tax status of the interest paid by municipal securities held by the Fund, but will rely on the opinion of counsel to the issuer of each such instrument.

The issuers of municipal securities obtain funds for various public purposes, including the construction of a wide range of public facilities such as airports, highways, bridges, schools, hospitals, housing, mass transportation, streets and water and sewer works. Other public purposes for which municipal securities may be issued include refunding outstanding obligations, obtaining funds for general operating expenses and obtaining funds to lend to other public institutions and facilities. Certain types of municipal securities are issued to obtain funding for privately operated facilities.

The yields of municipal securities depend on, among other things, general money market conditions, general conditions of the municipal securities market, size of a particular offering, the maturity of the obligation and rating of the issue. There is no limitation as to the maturity of the municipal securities in which the Fund may invest. The ratings of S&P and Moody s represent their opinions of the quality of the municipal securities they undertake to rate. These ratings are general and are not absolute standards of quality. Consequently, municipal securities with the same maturity, coupon and rating may have different yields while municipal securities of the same maturity and coupon with different ratings may have the same yield.

Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust

26

The two principal classifications of municipal securities are general obligation and revenue or special delegation securities. General obligation securities are secured by the issuer s pledge of its faith, credit and taxing power for the payment of principal and interest. Revenue securities are usually payable only from the revenues derived from a particular facility or class of facilities or, in some cases, from the proceeds of a special excise tax or other specific revenue source. Industrial development bonds are usually revenue securities, the credit quality of which is normally directly related to the credit standing of the industrial user involved.

Within these principal classifications of municipal securities, there are a variety of types of municipal securities, including:

Variable rate securities, which bear rates of interest that are adjusted periodically according to formulae intended to reflect market rates of interest.

Municipal notes, including tax, revenue and bond anticipation notes of short maturity, generally less than three years, which are issued to obtain temporary funds for various public purposes.

Variable rate demand notes, which are obligations that contain a floating or variable interest rate adjustment formula and which are subject to a right of demand for payment of the principal balance plus accrued interest either at any time or at specified intervals. The interest rate on a variable rate demand note may be based on a known lending rate, such as a bank s prime rate, and may be adjusted when such rate changes, or the interest rate may be a market rate that is adjusted at specified intervals. The adjustment formula maintains the value of the variable rate demand note at approximately the par value of such note at the adjustment date.

Municipal leases, which are obligations issued by state and local governments or authorities to finance the acquisition of equipment and facilities. Certain municipal lease obligations may include non-appropriation clauses which provide that the municipality has no obligation to make lease or installment purchase payments in future years unless money is appropriated for such purpose on a yearly basis.

Private activity bonds, which are issued by, or on behalf of, public authorities to finance privately operated facilities.

Participation certificates, which are obligations issued by state or local governments or authorities to finance the acquisition of equipment and facilities. They may represent participations in a lease, an installment purchase contract or a conditional sales contract.

Municipal securities that may not be backed by the faith, credit and taxing power of the issuer.

Municipal securities that are privately placed and that may have restrictions on the Fund s ability to resell, such as timing restrictions or requirements that the securities only be sold to qualified institutional investors.

Municipal securities that are insured by financial insurance companies.

Derivatives. The Fund may use derivative instruments for a variety of purposes, including hedging, risk management, portfolio management or (other than for futures and swaps) to earn income. Derivatives are financial instruments whose value is based on the value of another underlying asset, interest rate, index or financial instrument. Derivative instruments and techniques that the Fund may use include:

<u>Futures</u>. A futures contract is a standardized agreement between two parties to buy or sell a specific quantity of an underlying instrument at a specific price at a specific future time. The value of a futures contract tends to increase and decrease in tandem with the value of the underlying instrument. Futures contracts are bilateral agreements, with both the purchaser and the seller equally obligated to complete the transaction. Depending on the terms of the particular contract, futures contracts are settled through either physical delivery of the underlying instrument on the settlement date or by payment of a cash settlement amount on the settlement date.

<u>Swaps.</u> A swap contract is an agreement between two parties pursuant to which the parties exchange payments at specified dates on the basis of a specified notional amount, with the payments calculated by reference to specified securities, indexes, reference rates, currencies or other instruments. Most swap agreements provide that when the period payment dates for both parties are the same, the payments are made on a net basis (i.e., the two payment streams are netted out, with only the net amount paid by one party to the other). The Fund s obligations or rights under a swap contract entered into on a net basis will generally be equal only to the net amount to be paid or received under the agreement, based on the relative values of the positions held by each counterparty.

Inverse Floating Rate Obligations. The Fund may invest in inverse floating rate obligations. Inverse floating rate obligations are variable rate debt instruments that pay interest at rates that move in the opposite direction of prevailing interest rates. Because the interest rate paid to holders of such obligations is generally determined by subtracting a variable or floating rate from a predetermined amount, the interest rate paid to holders of such obligations will decrease as such variable or floating rate increases and increase as such variable or floating rate decreases. The inverse floating rate obligations in which the Fund may invest include derivative instruments such as residual interest bonds (RIBs) or tender option bonds (TOBs). Such instruments are typically created by a special purpose trust that holds long-term fixed rate bonds and sells two classes of beneficial interests: short-term floating rate interests, which are sold to third party investors, and inverse floating residual interests, which are purchased by the Fund. The short-term floating rate interests have first priority on the cash flow from the bond held by the special purpose trust and the Fund (as holder of the inverse floating residual interests) is paid the residual cash flow from the bond held by the special purpose trust.

When-Issued and Delayed Delivery Transactions. The Fund may purchase and sell securities on a when-issued and delayed delivery basis, which means that the Fund buys or sells a security with payment and delivery taking place in the future. The payment obligation and the interest rate are fixed at the time the Fund enters into the commitment. No income accrues on such securities until the date the Fund actually takes delivery of the securities.

Preferred Shares. The Fund uses leverage in the form of Preferred Shares. Dividends on the Preferred Shares will typically be comparable to the yields on investment grade short-term municipal securities, although the assets attributable to the Preferred Shares will generally be invested in longer-term municipal securities, which typically have higher yields than short-term municipal securities. Assuming such a yield differential, this leveraged capital structure enables the Fund to pay a potentially higher yield on the Common Shares than similar investment companies that do not use leverage.

The Fund will generally maintain an asset coverage of the value of the Fund s total assets, less all liabilities and indebtedness of the Fund not represented by the Preferred Shares, of 200% of the aggregate liquidation value of the Preferred Shares. The liquidation value of the Preferred Shares is their aggregate original purchase price, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends.

Portfolio Turnover. The Fund generally will not engage in the trading of securities for the purpose of realizing short-term profits, but it will adjust its portfolio as it deems advisable in view of prevailing or anticipated market conditions to accomplish the Fund s investment objective. For example, the Fund may sell portfolio securities in anticipation of a movement in interest rates. Other than for tax purposes, frequency of portfolio turnover will not be a limiting factor

if the Fund considers it advantageous to purchase or sell securities. The Fund does not anticipate that the annual portfolio turnover rate of the Fund will be in excess of 100%. A high rate of portfolio turnover involves correspondingly greater brokerage commission and transaction expenses than a lower rate, which expenses must be borne by the Fund and its Common Shareholders. High portfolio turnover may also result in the realization of substantial net short-term capital gains, and any distributions resulting from such gains will be taxable at ordinary income rates for federal income tax purposes.

Temporary Defensive Strategy. When market conditions dictate a more defensive investment strategy, the Fund may, on a temporary basis, hold cash or invest a portion or all of its assets in high-quality, short-term municipal securities. If such municipal securities are not available or, in the judgment of the Adviser, do not afford sufficient protection against adverse market conditions, the Fund may invest in taxable instruments. Such taxable securities may include securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. government, its agencies or instrumentalities, other investment grade quality fixed income securities, prime commercial paper, certificates of deposit, bankers—acceptances and other obligations of domestic banks, repurchase agreements, and money market funds (including money market funds affiliated with the Adviser). In taking a defensive position, the Fund would temporarily not be pursuing its principal investment strategies and may not achieve its investment objective.

Zero Coupon/PIK Bonds. The Fund may invest in securities not producing immediate cash income, including zero coupon securities or pay-in-kind (PIK) securities, when their effective yield over comparable instruments producing cash income makes these investments attractive. PIK securities are debt securities that pay interest through the issuance of additional securities. Zero coupon securities are debt securities that do not entitle the holder to any periodic payment of interest prior to maturity or a specified date when the securities begin paying current interest. They are issued and traded at a discount from their face amounts or par value, which discount varies depending on the time remaining until cash payments begin, prevailing interest rates, liquidity of the security and the perceived credit quality of the issuer. The securities do not entitle the holder to any periodic payments of interest prior to maturity, which prevents any reinvestment of interest payments at prevailing interest rates if prevailing interest rates rise. On the other hand, because there are no periodic interest payments to be reinvested prior to maturity, zero coupon securities eliminate the reinvestment risk and may lock in a favorable rate of return to maturity if interest rates drop. In addition, the Fund would be required to distribute the income on these instruments as it accrues, even though the Fund will not receive all of the income on a current basis or in cash. Thus, the Fund may have to sell other investments, including when it may not be advisable to do so, to make income distributions to the Common Shareholders.

Principal Risks of Investing in the Fund

As with any fund investment, loss of money is a risk of investing. An investment in the Fund is not a deposit in a bank and is not insured or guaranteed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other government agency. As with any managed fund, the Adviser may not be successful in selecting the best-performing securities or investment techniques, and the Fund s performance may lag behind that of similar funds. The risks associated with an investment in the Fund can increase during times of significant market volatility.

Municipal Securities Risk. Under normal market conditions, longer-term municipal securities generally provide a higher yield than shorter-term municipal securities. The Adviser may adjust the average maturity of the Fund s portfolio from time to time depending on its assessment of the relative yields available on securities of different maturities and its expectations of future changes in interest rates. The yields of municipal securities may move differently and adversely compared to the yields of the overall debt securities markets. Certain kinds of municipal securities are subject to specific risks that could cause a decline in the value of those securities:

<u>Lease Obligations</u>. Certain lease obligations contain non-appropriation clauses that provide that the governmental issuer has no obligation to make future payments under the lease or contract unless money is appropriated for that purpose by the appropriate legislative body on an annual or other periodic basis. Consequently, continued lease payments on those lease obligations containing non-appropriation clauses are dependent on future legislative actions. If these legislative actions do not occur, the holders of the lease obligation may experience difficulty in exercising their rights, including disposition of the property.

<u>Private Activity Bonds.</u> The issuers of private activity bonds in which the Fund may invest may be negatively impacted by conditions affecting either the general credit of the user of the private activity project or the project itself. Conditions such as regulatory and environmental restrictions and economic downturns may lower the need for these facilities and the ability of users of the project to pay for the facilities. Private activity bonds may also pay interest subject to the alternative minimum tax.

In 2011, S&P lowered its long-term sovereign credit rating on the U.S. to AA+ from AAA with a negative outlook. Following S&P s downgrade of the long-term sovereign credit rating on the U.S., the major rating agencies have also placed many municipalities on review for potential downgrades, which could impact the market price, liquidity and volatility of the municipal securities held by the Fund in its portfolio. If the universe of municipal securities meeting the Fund s ratings and credit quality requirements shrinks, it may be more difficult for the Fund to meet its investment objectives and the Fund s investments may become more concentrated in fewer issues. Future downgrades by other rating agencies could have significant adverse effects on the economy generally and could result in significant adverse impacts on municipal issuers and the Fund.

Many state and municipal governments that issue securities are under significant economic and financial stress and may not be able to satisfy their obligations. In response to the national economic downturn, governmental cost burdens have been and may continue to be reallocated among federal, state and local governments. The ability of municipal issuers to make timely payments of interest and principal may be diminished during general economic downturns and as governmental cost burdens are reallocated among federal, state and local governments. Also, as a result of the downturn and related unemployment, declining income and loss of property values, many state and local governments have experienced significant reductions in revenues and consequently difficulties meeting ongoing expenses. As a result, certain of these state and local governments may have difficulty paying or default in the payment of principal or interest on their outstanding debt, may experience ratings downgrades of their debt. The taxing power of any governmental entity may be limited by provisions of state constitutions or laws and an entity s credit will depend on many factors, including the entity s tax base, the extent to which the entity relies on federal or state aid, and other factors which are beyond the entity s control. In addition, laws enacted in the future by Congress or state legislatures or referenda could extend the time for payment of principal and/or interest, or impose other constraints on enforcement of such obligations or on the ability of municipalities to levy taxes.

In addition, municipalities might seek protection under the bankruptcy laws, thereby affecting the repayment of their outstanding debt. Issuers of municipal securities might seek protection under the bankruptcy laws. In the event of bankruptcy of such an issuer, holders of municipal securities could experience delays

Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust

28

in collecting principal and interest and such holders may not be able to collect all principal and interest to which they are entitled. Certain provisions of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code governing such bankruptcies are unclear. Further, the application of state law to municipal securities issuers could produce varying results among the states or among municipal securities issuers within a state. These uncertainties could have a significant impact on the prices of the municipal securities in which the Fund invests. The value of municipal securities generally may be affected by uncertainties in the municipal markets as a result of legislation or litigation, including legislation or litigation that changes the taxation of municipal securities or the rights of municipal securities holders in the event of a bankruptcy. To enforce its rights in the event of a default in the payment of interest or repayment of principal, or both, the Fund may take possession of and manage the assets securing the issuer s obligations on such securities, which may increase the Fund s operating expenses. Any income derived from the Fund s ownership or operation of such assets may not be tax-exempt and could jeopardize the Fund s status as a regulated investment company under the Internal Revenue Code.

The U.S. economy may be in the process of deleveraging, with individuals, companies and municipalities reducing expenditures and paying down borrowings. In such event, the number of municipal borrowers and the amount of outstanding municipal securities may contract, potentially without corresponding reductions in investor demand for municipal securities. As a result, the Fund may have fewer investment alternatives, may invest in securities that it previously would have declined and may concentrate its investments in a smaller number of issuers.

Insurance Risk. Financial insurance guarantees that interest payments on a bond will be made on time and that principal will be repaid when the bond matures. Insured municipal obligations would generally be assigned a lower rating if the rating were based primarily on the credit quality of the issuer without regard to the insurance feature. If the claims-paying ability of the insurer were downgraded, the ratings on the municipal obligations it insures may also be downgraded. Insurance does not protect the Fund against losses caused by declines in a bond s value due to a change in market conditions.

Market Risk. Market risk is the possibility that the market values of securities owned by the Fund will decline. The net asset value of the Fund will change with changes in the value of its portfolio securities, and the value of the Fund s investments can be expected to fluctuate over time. The financial markets in general are subject to volatility and may at times experience extreme volatility and uncertainty, which may affect all investment securities, including debt securities and derivative instruments. Volatility may be greater during periods of general economic uncertainty.

Interest Rate Risk. Because the Fund invests primarily in fixed income municipal securities, the net asset value of the Fund can be expected to change as general levels of interest rates fluctuate. When interest rates decline, the value of a portfolio invested in fixed income securities generally can be expected to rise. Conversely, when interest rates rise, the value of a portfolio invested in fixed income securities generally can be expected to decline. The prices of longer term municipal securities generally are more volatile with respect to changes in interest rates than the prices of shorter term municipal securities. These risks may be greater in the current market environment because certain interest rates are near historically low levels.

Credit Risk. Credit risk refers to an issuer s ability to make timely payments of interest and principal when due. Municipal securities, like other debt obligations, are subject to the credit risk of nonpayment. The ability of issuers of municipal securities to make timely payments of interest and principal may be adversely affected by general economic downturns and as relative governmental cost burdens are allocated and reallocated among federal, state and local governmental units. Private activity bonds used to finance projects, such as industrial development and pollution control, may also be negatively impacted by the general credit of the user of the project. Nonpayment would result in a reduction of income to the Fund, and a potential decrease in the net asset value of the Fund. The Adviser continuously monitors the issuers of securities held in the Fund.

The Fund will rely on the Adviser s judgment, analysis and experience in evaluating the creditworthiness of an issuer. In its analysis, the Adviser may consider the credit ratings of NRSROs in evaluating securities, although the Adviser does not rely primarily on these ratings. Credit ratings of NRSROs evaluate only the safety of principal and interest payments, not the market risk. In addition, ratings are general and not absolute standards of quality, and the creditworthiness of an issuer may decline significantly before an NRSRO lowers the issuer s rating. A rating downgrade does not require the Fund to dispose of a security.

Medium-grade obligations (for example, bonds rated BBB by S&P) possess speculative characteristics so that changes in economic conditions or other circumstances are more likely to lead to a weakened capacity of the issuer to make principal and interest payments than in the case of higher-rated securities. Securities rated below investment grade are considered speculative by NRSROs with respect to the issuer s continuing ability to pay interest and principal.

Income Risk. The income you receive from the Fund is based primarily on prevailing interest rates, which can vary widely over the short and long term. If interest rates decrease, your income from the Fund may decrease as well. Call Risk. If interest rates fall, it is possible that issuers of securities with high interest rates will prepay or call their securities before their maturity dates. In this event, the proceeds from the called securities would likely be reinvested by the Fund in securities bearing the new, lower interest rates, resulting in a possible decline in the Fund s income and distributions to shareholders.

Market Segment Risk. The Fund generally considers investments in municipal securities issued by governments or political subdivisions not to be subject to industry concentration policies (because such issuers are not in any industry). The Fund may, however, invest in municipal securities issued by entities having similar characteristics. For example, the issuers may be located in the same geographic area or may pay their interest obligations from revenue of similar projects, such as hospitals, airports, utility systems and housing finance agencies. This may make the Fund s investments more susceptible to similar economic, political or regulatory occurrences, which could increase the volatility of the Fund s net asset value. The Fund may invest more than 25% of its total assets in a segment of the municipal securities market with similar characteristics if the Adviser determines that the yields available from obligations in a particular segment justify the additional risks of a larger investment in that segment. The Fund may not, however, invest more than 25% of its total assets in municipal securities, such as many private activity bonds or industrial development revenue bonds, issued for non-governmental entities that are in the same industry.

Tax Risk. To qualify for the favorable U.S. federal income tax treatment generally accorded to regulated investment companies, among other things, the Fund must derive in each taxable year at least 90% of its gross income from certain prescribed sources. If for any taxable year the Fund does not qualify as a regulated investment company, all of its taxable income (including its net capital gain) would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates without any deduction for distributions to shareholders, and all distributions from the Fund (including underlying distributions attributable to tax exempt interest income) would be taxable to shareholders as ordinary dividends to the extent of the Fund s current and accumulated earnings and profits.

The value of the Fund s investments and its net asset value may be adversely affected by changes in tax rates and policies. Because interest income from municipal securities is normally not subject to regular federal income taxation, the attractiveness of municipal securities in relation to other investment

29 Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust

alternatives is affected by changes in federal income tax rates or changes in the tax-exempt status of interest income from municipal securities. Any proposed or actual changes in such rates or exempt status, therefore, can significantly affect the demand for and supply, liquidity and marketability of municipal securities. This could in turn affect the Fund s net asset value and ability to acquire and dispose of municipal securities at desirable yield and price levels. Additionally, the Fund may not be a suitable investment for individual retirement accounts, for other tax-exempt or tax-deferred accounts or for investors who are not sensitive to the federal income tax consequences of their investments.

The Fund may invest all or a substantial portion of its total assets in municipal securities subject to the federal alternative minimum tax. Accordingly, an investment in the Fund could cause shareholders to be subject to (or result in an increased liability under) the federal alternative minimum tax. As a result, the Fund may not be a suitable investment for investors who are already subject to the federal alternative minimum tax or who could become subject to the federal alternative minimum tax as a result of an investment in the Fund.

Subsequent to the Fund s acquisition of a municipal security, the security may be determined to pay, or to have paid, taxable income. As a result, the treatment of dividends previously paid or to be paid by the Fund as exempt-interest dividends could be adversely affected, subjecting the Fund s shareholders to increased federal income tax liabilities.

For federal income tax purposes, distributions of ordinary taxable income (including any net short-term capital gain) will be taxable to shareholders as ordinary income (and not eligible for favorable taxation as qualified dividend income), and capital gain dividends will be taxed at long-term capital gain rates. In certain circumstances, the Fund will make payments to holders of Preferred Shares to offset the tax effects of a taxable distribution.

Generally, to the extent the Fund s distributions are derived from interest on municipal securities of a particular state (and, in some cases qualifying obligations of U.S. territories and possessions), its distributions are exempt from the personal income tax of that state. In some cases, the Fund s shares may (to the extent applicable) also be exempt from personal property taxes of such state. However, some states require that the Fund meet certain thresholds with respect to the portion of its portfolio consisting of municipal securities of such state in order for such exemption to apply.

Risks of Using Derivative Instruments. A derivative instrument often has risks similar to its underlying instrument and may have additional risks, including imperfect correlation between the value of the derivative and the underlying instrument or instrument being hedged, risks of default by the other party to certain transactions, magnification of losses incurred due to changes in the market value of the securities, instruments, indices or interest rates to which they relate, and risks that the derivatives may not be liquid. The use of derivatives involves risks that are different from, and potentially greater than, the risks associated with other portfolio investments. Derivatives may involve the use of highly specialized instruments that require investment techniques and risk analyses different from those associated with other portfolio investments. Certain derivative transactions may give rise to a form of leverage. Leverage associated with derivative transactions may cause the Fund to liquidate portfolio positions when it may not be advantageous to do so to satisfy its obligations or to meet earmarking or segregation requirements, pursuant to applicable SEC rules and regulations, or may cause the Fund to be more volatile than if the Fund had not been leveraged. The Fund could suffer losses related to its derivative positions as a result of unanticipated market movements, which losses may potentially be unlimited. Although the Adviser may seek to use derivatives to further the Fund s investment objective, the Fund is not required to use derivatives and may choose not to do so and there is no assurance that the use of derivatives will achieve this result.

<u>Counterparty Risk.</u> The Fund will be subject to credit risk with respect to the counterparties to the derivative transactions entered into by the Fund. If a counterparty becomes bankrupt or otherwise fails to perform its obligations under a derivative contract due to financial difficulties, the Fund may experience significant delays in obtaining any recovery under the derivative contract in bankruptcy or other reorganization proceeding. The Fund may obtain only a limited recovery or may obtain no recovery in such circumstances.

<u>Futures Risk.</u> A decision as to whether, when and how to use futures involves the exercise of skill and judgment and even a well-conceived futures transaction may be unsuccessful because of market behavior or unexpected events. In addition to the derivatives risks discussed above, the prices of futures can be highly volatile, using futures can lower total return, and the potential loss from futures can exceed the Fund s initial investment in such contracts.

<u>Swaps Risk.</u> Swap agreements are not entered into or traded on exchanges and there is no central clearing or guaranty function for swaps. Therefore, swaps are subject to credit risk or the risk of default or non-performance by the counterparty. Swaps could result in losses if interest rate or credit quality changes are not correctly anticipated by the Fund or if the reference index, security or investments do not perform as expected.

<u>Tax Risk.</u> The use of derivatives may generate taxable income. In addition, the Fund s use of derivatives may be limited by the requirements for taxation as a regulated investment company or the Fund s intention to pay dividends that are exempt from federal and California income taxes. The tax treatment of derivatives may be adversely affected by changes in legislation, regulations or other legal authority, subjecting the Fund s shareholders to increased federal income tax liabilities.

Inverse Floating Rate Obligations Risk. Like most other fixed-income securities, the value of inverse floating rate obligations will decrease as interest rates increase. They are more volatile, however, than most other fixed-income securities because the coupon rate on an inverse floating rate obligation typically changes at a multiple of the change in the relevant index rate. Thus, any rise in the index rate (as a consequence of an increase in interest rates) causes a correspondingly greater drop in the coupon rate of an inverse floating rate obligation while a drop in the index rate causes a correspondingly greater increase in the coupon of an inverse floating rate obligation. Some inverse floating rate obligations may also increase or decrease substantially because of changes in the rate of prepayments. Inverse floating rate obligations tend to underperform the market for fixed rate bonds in a rising interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when interest rates decline or remain relatively stable. Inverse floating rate obligations have varying degrees of liquidity.

The Fund generally invests in inverse floating rate obligations that include embedded leverage, thus exposing the Fund to greater risks and increased costs. The market value of leveraged inverse floating rate obligations generally will fluctuate in response to changes in market rates of interest to a greater extent than the value of an unleveraged investment. The extent of increases and decreases in the value of inverse floating rate obligations generally will be larger than changes in an equal principal amount of a fixed rate security having similar credit quality, redemption provisions and maturity, which may cause the Fund s net asset value to be more volatile than if it had not invested in inverse floating rate obligations.

In certain instances, the short-term floating rate interests created by a special purpose trust may not be able to be sold to third parties or, in the case of holders tendering (or putting) such interests for repayment of principal, may not be able to be remarketed to third parties. In such cases, the special purpose

30 Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust

trust holding the long-term fixed rate bonds may be collapsed. In the case of inverse floating rate obligations created by the Fund, the Fund would then be required to repay the principal amount of the tendered securities. During times of market volatility, illiquidity or uncertainty, the Fund could be required to sell other portfolio holdings at a disadvantageous time to raise cash to meet that obligation.

The use of short-term floating rate obligations may require the Fund to segregate or earmark cash or liquid assets to cover its obligations. Securities so segregated or earmarked will be unavailable for sale by the Fund (unless replaced by other securities qualifying for segregation requirements), which may limit the Fund s flexibility and may require that the Fund sell other portfolio investments at a time when it may be disadvantageous to sell such assets.

Risks of Investing in Lower-Grade Securities. Securities that are in the lower-grade categories generally offer higher yields than are offered by higher-grade securities of similar maturities, but they also generally involve greater risks, such as greater credit risk, market risk, volatility and liquidity risk. In addition, the amount of available information about the financial condition of certain lower-grade issuers may be less extensive than other issuers, making the Fund more dependent on the Adviser's credit analysis than a fund investing only in higher-grade securities. To minimize the risks involved in investing in lower-grade securities, the Fund does not purchase securities that are in default or rated in categories lower than B- by S&P or B3 by Moody's or unrated securities of comparable quality.

Secondary market prices of lower-grade securities generally are less sensitive than higher-grade securities to changes in interest rates and are more sensitive to general adverse economic changes or specific developments with respect to the particular issuers. A significant increase in interest rates or a general economic downturn may significantly affect the ability of municipal issuers of lower-grade securities to pay interest and to repay principal, or to obtain additional financing, any of which could severely disrupt the market for lower-grade municipal securities and adversely affect the market value of such securities. Such events also could lead to a higher incidence of default by issuers of lower-grade securities. In addition, changes in credit risks, interest rates, the credit markets or periods of general economic uncertainty can be expected to result in increased volatility in the price of the lower-grade securities and the net asset value of the Fund. Adverse publicity and investor perceptions, whether or not based on rational analysis, may affect the value, volatility and liquidity of lower-grade securities.

In the event that an issuer of securities held by the Fund experiences difficulties in the timely payment of principal and interest and such issuer seeks to restructure the terms of its borrowings, the Fund may incur additional expenses and may determine to invest additional assets with respect to such issuer or the project or projects to which the Fund s securities relate. Further, the Fund may incur additional expenses to the extent that it is required to seek recovery upon a default in the payment of interest or the repayment of principal on its portfolio holdings and the Fund may be unable to obtain full recovery on such amounts.

Investments in debt obligations that are at risk of or in default present special tax issues for the Fund. Federal income tax rules are not entirely clear about issues such as when the Fund may cease to accrue interest, original issue discount or market discount, when and to what extent deductions may be taken for bad debts or worthless securities, how payments received on obligations in default should be allocated between principal and interest and whether certain exchanges of debt obligations in a workout context are taxable. These and other issues will be addressed by the Fund, in the event it invests in or holds such securities, in order to seek to ensure that it distributes sufficient income to preserve its status as a regulated investment company.

Liquidity Risk. Liquidity relates to the ability of a fund to sell a security in a timely manner at a price which reflects the value of that security. The amount of available information about the financial condition of municipal securities issuers is generally less extensive than that for corporate issuers with publicly traded securities, and the market for municipal securities is generally considered to be less liquid than the market for corporate debt obligations. Certain municipal securities in which the Fund may invest, such as special obligation bonds, lease obligations, participation certificates and variable rate instruments, may be particularly less liquid. To the extent the Fund owns or may acquire illiquid or restricted securities, these securities may involve special registration requirements, liabilities and costs, and liquidity and valuation difficulties.

The effects of adverse publicity and investor perceptions may be more pronounced for securities for which no established retail market exists as compared with the effects on securities for which such a market does exist. An economic downturn or an increase in interest rates could severely disrupt the market for such securities and adversely

affect the value of outstanding securities or the ability of the issuers to repay principal and interest. Further, the Fund may have more difficulty selling such securities in a timely manner and at their stated value than would be the case for securities for which an established retail market does exist.

The markets for lower-grade securities may be less liquid than the markets for higher-grade securities. To the extent that there is no established retail market for some of the lower-grade securities in which the Fund may invest, trading in such securities may be relatively inactive. Prices of lower-grade securities may decline rapidly in the event a significant number of holders decide to sell. Changes in expectations regarding an individual issuer of lower-grade securities generally could reduce market liquidity for such securities and make their sale by the Fund at their current valuation more difficult.

From time to time, the Fund s investments may include securities as to which the Fund, by itself or together with other funds or accounts managed by the Adviser, holds a major portion or all of an issue of municipal securities. Because there may be relatively few potential purchasers for such investments and, in some cases, there may be contractual restrictions on resales, the Fund may find it more difficult to sell such securities at a time when the Adviser believes it is advisable to do so.

Preferred Shares Risk. The Fund s use of leverage through Preferred Shares may result in higher volatility of the net asset value of the Common Shares, and fluctuations in the dividend rates on the Preferred Shares (which are expected to reflect yields on short-term municipal securities) may affect the yield to the Common Shareholders. So long as the Fund is able to realize a higher net return on its investment portfolio than the then current dividend rate of the Preferred Shares, the effect of the leverage provided by the Preferred Shares will be to cause the Common Shareholders to realize a higher current rate of return than if the Fund were not so leveraged. On the other hand, to the extent that the then current dividend rate on the Preferred Shares approaches the net return on the Fund s investment portfolio, the benefit of leverage to the Common Shareholders will be reduced, and if the then current dividend rate on the Preferred Shares were to exceed the net return on the Fund s leveraged capital structure would result in a lower rate of return to the Common Shareholders than if the Fund were not so structured.

Similarly, because any decline in the net asset value of the Fund s investments will be borne entirely by the Common Shareholders, the effect of leverage in a declining market would result in a greater decrease in net asset value to the Common Shareholders than if the Fund were not so leveraged. Any such decrease would likely be reflected in a decline in the market price for Common Shares. If the Fund s current investment income were not sufficient to meet dividend

31 Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust

requirements on the Preferred Shares, the Fund might have to liquidate certain of its investments in order to meet required dividend payments, thereby reducing the net asset value attributable to the Fund s Common Shares.

The amount of Preferred Shares outstanding from time to time may vary, depending on the Adviser's analysis of conditions in the municipal securities market and interest rate movements. Management of the amount of outstanding Preferred Shares places greater reliance on the ability of the Adviser to predict trends in interest rates than if the Fund did not use leverage. In the event the Adviser later determines that all or a portion of such Preferred Shares should be reissued so as to increase the amount of leverage, no assurance can be given that the Fund will subsequently be able to reissue Preferred Shares on terms and/or with dividend rates that are beneficial to the Common Shareholders. Further, redemption and reissuance of the Preferred Shares, and any related trading of the Fund's portfolio securities, results in increased transaction costs to the Fund and its Common Shareholders. Because the Common Shareholders bear these expenses, changes to the Fund's outstanding leverage and any losses resulting from related portfolio trading will have a proportionately larger impact on the Common Shares net asset value and market price.

In addition, the Fund is not permitted to declare any cash dividend or other distribution on its Common Shares unless, at the time of such declaration, the Fund has an asset coverage of at least 200% (determined after deducting the amount of such dividend or distribution). This prohibition on the payment of dividends or other distributions might impair the ability of the Fund to maintain its qualification as a regulated investment company for federal income tax purposes. The Fund intends, however, to the extent possible, to purchase or redeem Preferred Shares from time to time to maintain an asset coverage of the Preferred Shares of at least 200%.

If a determination were made by the IRS to treat the Preferred Shares as debt rather than equity for U.S. federal income tax purposes, the Common Shareholders might be subject to increased federal income tax liabilities.

Unrated Securities Risk. Many lower-grade securities are not listed for trading on any national securities exchange, and many issuers of lower-grade securities choose not to have a rating assigned to their obligations by any NRSRO. As a result, the Fund s portfolio may consist of a higher portion of unlisted or unrated securities as compared with an investment company that invests solely in higher-grade, listed securities. Unrated securities are usually not as attractive to as many buyers as are rated securities, a factor which may make unrated securities less marketable. These factors may limit the ability of the Fund to sell such securities at their fair value. The Fund may be more reliant on the Adviser s judgment and analysis in evaluating the creditworthiness of an issuer of unrated securities.

When-Issued and Delayed Delivery Risks. When-issued and delayed delivery transactions are subject to market risk as the value or yield of a security at delivery may be more or less than the purchase price or the yield generally available on securities when delivery occurs. In addition, the Fund is subject to counterparty risk because it relies on the buyer or seller, as the case may be, to consummate the transaction, and failure by the other party to complete the transaction may result in the Fund missing the opportunity of obtaining a price or yield considered to be advantageous.

Zero Coupon/PIK Bond Risk. Prices on non-cash-paying instruments may be more sensitive to changes in the issuer s financial condition, fluctuations in interest rates and market demand/supply imbalances than cash-paying securities with similar credit ratings, and thus may be more speculative than are securities that pay interest periodically in cash. These securities may subject the Fund to greater market risk than a fund that does not own these types of securities. Special tax considerations are associated with investing in non-cash-paying instruments, such as zero coupon or PIK securities. The Adviser will weigh these concerns against the expected total returns from such instruments.

Special Risk Considerations Regarding California Municipal Securities. The Fund invests substantially all of its assets in a portfolio of California municipal securities. Because the Fund invests substantially all of its assets in a portfolio of California municipal securities, the Fund is more susceptible to political, economic, regulatory or other factors affecting issuers of California municipal securities than a fund which does not limit its investments to such issuers. These risks include possible legislative, state constitutional or regulatory amendments that may affect the ability of state and local governments or regional governmental authorities to raise money to pay principal and interest on their municipal securities. Economic, fiscal and budgetary conditions throughout the state may also influence the Fund s performance.

Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust

32

Trustees and Officers

The address of each trustee and officer is 1555 Peachtree, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30309. Generally, each trustee serves for a three year term or until his or her successor has been duly elected and qualified, and each officer serves for a one year term or until his or her successor has been duly elected and qualified. Column two below includes length of time served with predecessor entities, if any.

| Name, Year of Birth and Position(s) Held with the Fund | Trustee and/ or Officer Since | Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years | Number of Funds in Fund Complex Overseen by Trustee | Other Directorship(s) Held by Trustee |
|---|----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Interested Persons | | | | |
| Colin Meadows 1971 Trustee, President and Principal Executive Officer | 2010 | Chief Administrative Officer, Invesco Advisers, Inc., since 2006; Prior to 2006, Senior Vice President of business development and mergers and acquisitions at GE Consumer Finance; Prior to 2005, Senior Vice President of strategic planning and technology at Wells Fargo Bank; From 1996 to 2003, associate principal with McKinsey & Company, focusing on the financial services and venture capital industries, with emphasis in banking and asset management sectors. | 18 | None |
| Wayne W. Whalen ¹ 1939 Trustee and Chair | 1993 | Of Counsel, and prior to 2010, partner in the law firm of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, legal counsel to | 158 | Director of the Abraham Lincoln Presidential Library Foundation |

funds in the Fund Complex

Independent Trustees

| David C. Arch | 1945 | 1993 | |
|---------------|------|------|--|
| Trustee | | | |
| | | | |

Chairman and Chief **Executive Officer of** Blistex Inc., a consumer health care products

158 manufacturer.

Member of the Heartland Alliance Advisory Board, a nonprofit organization serving human needs based in Chicago. Board member of the Illinois Manufacturers Association. Member of the Board of Visitors, Institute for the Humanities, University of Michigan

Jerry D. Choate 1938 Trustee

2003

From 1995 to 1999, 18 Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Allstate Corporation (Allstate) and Allstate Insurance Company. From 1994 to 1995, President and Chief Executive Officer of Allstate. Prior to 1994, various management positions at Allstate.

Trustee/Director/Managing General Partner of funds in the Fund Complex. Director since 1998 and member of the governance and nominating committee, executive committee, compensation and management development committee and equity award committee, of Amgen Inc., a biotechnological company. Director since 1999 and member of the nominating and governance committee and compensation and executive committee, of Valero Energy Corporation, a crude oil refining and marketing company. Previously, from 2006 to 2007, Director and member of the compensation committee and audit committee, of H&R Block, a tax preparation services company.

Rodney F. Dammeyer 1940 1993 Trustee Chairman of CAC, LLC, a 158 private company offering capital investment and management advisory services.

Formerly: Prior to January 2004, Director of TeleTech Holdings Inc.; Prior to 2002, Director of Arris Group, Inc.; Prior to 2001, Managing Partner at **Equity Group Corporate** Investments. Prior to 1995, Vice Chairman of Anixter International. Prior to 1985, experience includes Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Household International, Inc, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Northwest Industries, Inc. and Partner of Arthur Andersen & Co.

Director of Quidel Corporation and Stericycle, Inc. Prior to May 2008, Trustee of The Scripps Research Institute. Prior to February 2008, Director of Ventana Medical Systems, Inc. Prior to April 2007, Director of GATX Corporation. Prior to April 2004, Director of TheraSense, Inc.

Linda Hutton Heagy 1948 2003 Trustee

Prior to June 2008, Managing Partner of Heidrick & Struggles, the second largest global executive search firm, and from 2001-2004, Regional Managing Director of U.S. operations at Heidrick & Struggles. Prior to 1997, Managing Partner of Ray & Berndtson, Inc., an executive recruiting firm. Prior to 1995, Executive Vice President of ABN AMRO, N.A., a bank holding company, with oversight for treasury

18

Trustee/Director/Managing
General Partner of funds in
the Fund Complex. Prior to
2010, Trustee on the
University of Chicago
Medical Center Board, Vice
Chair of the Board of the
YMCA of Metropolitan
Chicago and a member of
the Women s Board of the
University of Chicago.

management operations including all non-credit product pricing. Prior to 1990, experience includes Executive Vice President of The Exchange National Bank with oversight of treasury management including capital markets operations, Vice President of Northern Trust Company and an Associate at Price Waterhouse.

R. Craig Kennedy 1952 Trustee 2003

Director and President of 18 the German Marshall Fund of the United States, an independent U.S. foundation created to deepen understanding, promote collaboration and stimulate exchanges of practical experience between Americans and Europeans. Formerly, advisor to the Dennis Trading Group Inc., a managed futures and option company that invests money for individuals and institutions. Prior to 1992, President and Chief Executive Officer, Director and member of the Investment Committee of the Joyce Foundation, a private foundation.

Trustee/Director/Managing General Partner of funds in the Fund Complex. Director of First Solar, Inc.

T-1 Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust

¹ Mr. Whalen is considered an interested person (within the meaning of Section 2(a)(19) of the 1940 Act) of certain Funds in the Fund Complex by reason of he and his firm currently providing legal services as legal counsel to such Funds in the Fund Complex.

Trustees and Officers (continued)

The address of each trustee and officer is 1555 Peachtree, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30309. Generally, each trustee serves for a three year term or until his or her successor has been duly elected and qualified, and each officer serves for a one year term or until his or her successor has been duly elected and qualified. Column two below includes length of time served with predecessor entities, if any.

| Name, Year of Birth and Position(s) Held with the Fu | Trustee and/ nd or Officer Since | Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years | Number of Funds in Fund Complex Overseen by Trustee | Other Directorship(s) Held by Trustee |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Independent Trustees | | | | |
| Howard J Kerr 1935 Trustee | 1993 | Retired. Previous member of the City Council and Mayor of Lake Forest, Illinois from 1988 through 2002. Previous business experience from 1981 through 1996 includes President and Chief Executive Officer of Pocklington Corporation, Inc., an investment holding company, President and Chief Executive Officer of Grabill Aerospace, and President of Custom Technologies Corporation. United States Naval Officer from 1960 through 1981, with responsibilities including Commanding Officer of United States Navy destroyers and Commander of United States Navy Destroyer Squadron Thirty-Three, White House experience in 1973 through 1975 as | 18 | Trustee/Director/Managing General Partner of funds in the Fund Complex. Director of the Lake Forest Bank & Trust. Director of the Marrow Foundation. |

military aide to Vice Presidents Agnew and Ford and Naval Aid to President Ford, and Military Fellow on the Council of Foreign Relations in 1978-through 1979.

18

Jack E. Nelson 1936 2003 Trustee

President of Nelson **Investment Planning** Services, Inc., a financial planning company and registered investment adviser in the State of Florida. President of Nelson Ivest Brokerage Services Inc., a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), Securities Investors Protection Corp. and the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board. President of Nelson Sales and Services Corporation, a marketing and services company to support affiliated companies.

Trustee/Director/Managing General Partner of funds in the Fund Complex.

1994 Hugo F. Sonnenschein 1940 Trustee

Distinguished Service 158 **Professor and President** Emeritus of the University of Chicago and the Adam Smith Distinguished Service Professor in the Department of Economics at the University of Chicago. Prior to July 2000, President of the University of Chicago.

Trustee of the University of Rochester and a member of its investment committee. Member of the National Academy of Sciences, the American Philosophical Society and a fellow of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences

Suzanne H. Woolsey, Ph.D. 1941 2003 Trustee

Chief Communications Officer of the National

18

Trustee/Director/Managing General Partner of funds in

Academy of Sciences and Engineering and Institute of Medicine/National Research Council, an independent, federally chartered policy institution, from 2001 to November 2003 and Chief Operating Officer from 1993 to 2001. Executive Director of the Commission on Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education at the National Academy of Sciences/National Research Council from 1989 to 1993. Prior to 1980, experience includes Partner of Coopers & Lybrand (from 1980 to 1989), Associate Director of the US Office of Management and Budget (from 1977 to 1980) and Program Director of the Urban Institute (from 1975 to 1977).

the Fund Complex. Independent Director and audit committee chairperson of Changing World Technologies, Inc., an energy manufacturing company, since July 2008. Independent Director and member of audit and governance committees of Fluor Corp., a global engineering, construction and management company, since January 2004. Director of Intelligent Medical Devices, Inc., a private company which develops symptom-based diagnostic tools for viral respiratory infections. Advisory Board member of ExactCost LLC, a private company providing activity-based costing for hospitals, laboratories, clinics, and physicians, since 2008. Chairperson of the Board of Trustees of the Institute for Defense Analyses, a federally funded research and development center, since 2000. Trustee from 1992 to 2000 and 2002 to present, current chairperson of the finance committee, current member of the audit committee, strategic growth committee and executive committee, and former Chairperson of the Board of Trustees (from 1997 to 1999), of the German Marshall Fund of the United States, a public foundation. Lead Independent Trustee of the Rocky Mountain Institute, a non-profit energy and environmental institute; Trustee since 2004.

Chairperson of the Board of Trustees of the Colorado College; Trustee since 1995. Trustee of California Institute of Technology. Previously, Independent Director and member of audit committee and governance committee of Neutrogena Corporation from 1998 to 2006; and Independent Director of Arbros Communications from 2000 to 2002

T-2 Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust

Trustees and Officers (continued)

The address of each trustee and officer is 1555 Peachtree, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30309. Generally, each trustee serves for a three year term or until his or her successor has been duly elected and qualified, and each officer serves for a one year term or until his or her successor has been duly elected and qualified. Column two below includes length of time served with predecessor entities, if any.

| Name, Year of Birth and Position(s) Held with the Fund | Trustee and/ or Officer Since | Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years | Number of Funds in Fund Complex Overseen by Trustee | Other Directorship(s) Held by Trustee |
|--|----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Other Officers | | | | |
| John M. Zerr 1962 Senior Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary | 2010 | Director, Senior Vice President, Secretary and General Counsel, Invesco Management Group, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Aim Management Group, Inc.) and Van Kampen Exchange Corp.; Senior Vice President, Invesco Advisers, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Institutional (N.A.), Inc.) (registered investment adviser); Senior Vice President and Secretary, Invesco Distributors, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Aim Distributors, Inc.); Director, Vice President and Secretary, Invesco Investment Services, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Aim Investment Services, Inc.) and IVZ Distributors, Inc. (formerly known as INVESCO Distributors, | N/A | N/A |

Inc.); Director and Vice

President, INVESCO Funds Group, Inc.; Senior Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary, The Invesco Funds; Manager, Invesco PowerShares Capital Management LLC; Director, Secretary and General Counsel, Invesco Investment Advisers LLC (formerly known as Van Kampen Asset Management); Secretary and General Counsel, Van Kampen Funds Inc. and Chief Legal Officer, **PowerShares Exchange-Traded Fund** Trust, PowerShares Exchange-Traded Fund Trust II, PowerShares India Exchange-Traded Fund Trust and PowerShares Actively Managed Exchange-Traded Fund Trust Formerly: Director and Secretary, Van Kampen Advisors Inc.; Director Vice President, Secretary and General Counsel Van Kampen Investor Services Inc.; Director, Invesco Distributors, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Aim Distributors, Inc.); Director, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, Invesco Advisers, Inc.; and Van Kampen Investments Inc.; Director, Vice President and Secretary, Fund Management Company; Director, Senior Vice President, Secretary, General Counsel and Vice President, Invesco Aim

Capital Management, Inc.; **Chief Operating Officer** and General Counsel, Liberty Ridge Capital, Inc. (an investment adviser); Vice President and Secretary, PBHG Funds (an investment company) and PBHG Insurance Series Fund (an investment company); Chief Operating Officer, General Counsel and Secretary, Old Mutual Investment Partners (a broker-dealer); General Counsel and Secretary, Old Mutual Fund Services (an administrator) and Old Mutual Shareholder Services (a shareholder servicing center); Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, Old Mutual Capital, Inc. (an investment adviser); and Vice President and Secretary, Old Mutual Advisors Funds (an investment company)

Karen Dunn Kelley 1960 2010 Vice President Head of Invesco s World N/A Wide Fixed Income and Cash Management Group; Senior Vice President, Invesco Management Group, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Aim Management Group, Inc.) and Invesco Advisers, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Institutional (N.A.), Inc.) (registered investment adviser); Executive Vice President, Invesco Distributors, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Aim Distributors,

N/A

Inc.); Director, Invesco Mortgage Capital Inc.; Vice President, The Invesco Funds (other than AIM Treasurer s Series Trust (Invesco Treasurer s Series Trust) and **Short-Term Investments** Trust); and President and Principal Executive Officer, The Invesco Funds (AIM Treasurer s Series Trust (Invesco Treasurer s Series Trust) and Short-Term Investments Trust only). Formerly: Senior Vice President, Van Kampen Investments Inc.; Vice President, Invesco Advisers, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Institutional (N.A.), Inc.); Director of Cash Management and Senior Vice President, Invesco Advisers, Inc. and Invesco Aim Capital Management, Inc.; President and Principal Executive Officer, Tax-Free Investments Trust; Director and President, Fund Management Company; Chief Cash Management Officer, Director of Cash Management, Senior Vice President, and Managing Director, Invesco Aim Capital Management, Inc.; Director of Cash Management, Senior Vice President, and Vice President, Invesco Advisers, Inc. and The Invesco Funds (AIM Treasurer s Series Trust (Invesco Treasurer s Series

Trust), Short-Term Investments Trust and

Tax-Free Investments

Trust only)

Sheri Morris 1964 Vice President, Principal

Financial Officer and Treasurer

2010

Vice President, Treasurer N/A

N/A

and Principal Financial Officer, The Invesco

Funds; Vice President,

Invesco Advisers, Inc.

(formerly known as

Invesco Institutional (N.A.), Inc.) (registered

investment adviser).

Formerly: Treasurer,

PowerShares

Exchange-Traded Fund

Trust, PowerShares

Exchange-Traded Fund

Trust II, PowerShares

India Exchange-Traded

Fund Trust and

PowerShares Actively

Managed

Exchange-Traded Fund

Trust, Vice President,

Invesco Advisers, Inc.,

Invesco Aim Capital

Management, Inc. and

Invesco Aim Private Asset

Management, Inc.;

Assistant Vice President

and Assistant Treasurer,

The Invesco Funds and

Assistant Vice President,

Invesco Advisers, Inc.,

Invesco Aim Capital

Management, Inc. and

Invesco Aim Private Asset

Management, Inc.

T-3 Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust

Trustees and Officers (continued)

The address of each trustee and officer is 1555 Peachtree, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30309. Generally, each trustee serves for a three year term or until his or her successor has been duly elected and qualified, and each officer serves for a one year term or until his or her successor has been duly elected and qualified. Column two below includes length of time served with predecessor entities, if any.

Number of

| Name, Year of Birth and Position(s) Held with the Fund | Trustee and/ or Officer Since | Principal Occupation(s) During Past 5 Years | Funds in Fund Complex Overseen by Trustee | Other Directorship(s) Held by Trustee |
|--|----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Other Officers | | | | |
| Yinka Akinsola 1977 Anti-Money Laundering Compliance Officer | 2012 | Anti-Money Laundering Compliance Officer, Invesco Advisers, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Institutional (N.A.), Inc.) (registered investment adviser); Invesco Distributors, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Aim Distributors, Inc.), Invesco Investment Services, Inc. (formerly known as Invesco Aim Investment Services, Inc.), The Invesco Funds, Invesco Van Kampen Closed-End Funds, Van Kampen Funds Inc., PowerShares Exchange-Traded Fund Trust, PowerShares Exchange-Traded Fund Trust II, PowerShares India Exchange-Traded Fund Trust, and PowerShares Actively Managed | N/A | N/A |

Exchange-Traded Fund

Trust

Formerly: Regulatory Analyst III, Financial **Industry Regulatory** Authority (FINRA).

Valinda Arnett-Patton 1959 **Chief Compliance Officer**

2011

Chief Compliance Officer, N/A

N/A

Invesco Van Kampen Closed-End Funds.

Office of the Fund 1555 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30309

Investment Adviser Invesco Advisers, Inc.

1555 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30309

Auditors PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP 1201 Louisiana Street, **Suite 2900** Houston, TX

77002-5678

State Street Bank and Trust Company 225 Franklin Boston, MA 02110-2801

Custodian

Counsel to the Fund Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP 155 North Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606

Transfer Agent Computershare Trust

Company, N.A. P.O. Box 43078 Providence, RI 02940-3078

T-4 Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust

Correspondence information

Send general correspondence to Computershare, P.O. Box 43078, Providence, RI 02940-3078.

Invesco privacy policy

You share personal and financial information with us that is necessary for your transactions and your account records. We take very seriously the obligation to keep that information confidential and private.

Invesco collects nonpublic personal information about you from account applications or other forms you complete and from your transactions with us or our affiliates. We do not disclose information about you or our former customers to service providers or other third parties except to the extent necessary to service your account and in other limited circumstances as permitted by law. For example, we use this information to facilitate the delivery of transaction confirmations, financial reports, prospectuses and tax forms.

Even within Invesco, only people involved in the servicing of your accounts and compliance monitoring have access to your information. To ensure the highest level of confidentiality and security, Invesco maintains physical, electronic and procedural safeguards that meet or exceed federal standards. Special measures, such as data encryption and authentication, apply to your communications with us on our website. More detail is available to you at invesco.com/privacy.

Trust holdings and proxy voting information

The Trust provides a complete list of its holdings four times in each fiscal year, at the quarter-ends. For the second and fourth quarters, the lists appear in the Trust semiannual and annual reports to shareholders. For the first and third quarters, the Trust files the lists with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on Form N-Q. Shareholders can also look up the Trust s Forms N-Q on the SEC website at sec.gov. Copies of the Trust s Forms N-Q may be reviewed and copied at the SEC Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. You can obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room, including information about duplicating fee charges, by calling 202 551 8090 or 800 732 0330, or by electronic request at the following email address: publicinfo@sec.gov. The SEC file number for the Trust is 811-07404.

A description of the policies and procedures that the Trust uses to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities is available without charge, upon request, from our Client Services department at 800 341 2929 or at invesco.com/proxyguidelines.

The information is also available on the SEC website, sec.gov.

Information regarding how the Trust voted proxies related to its portfolio securities during the 12 months ended June 30, 2011, is available at invesco.com/proxysearch. In addition, this information is available on the SEC website at sec.gov.

Invesco Advisers, Inc. is an investment adviser; it provides investment advisory services to individual and institutional clients and does not sell securities. Invesco Distributors, Inc. is the U.S. distributor for Invesco Ltd. s retail mutual funds, exchange-traded funds and institutional money market funds.

Both are wholly owned, indirect subsidiaries of Invesco Ltd.

VK-CE-CAVMI-AR-1 Invesco Distributors, Inc.

ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Registrant had adopted a code of ethics (the Code) that applies to the Registrant s principal executive officer (PEO) and principal financial officer (PFO). There were no amendments to the Code during the period covered by the report. The Registrant did not grant any waivers, including implicit waivers, from any provisions of the Code to the PEO or PFO during the period covered by this report. ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

The Board of Trustees has determined that the Registrant has at least one audit committee financial expert serving on its Audit Committee. The Audit Committee financial experts are Jerry D. Choate, Linda Hutton Heagy and R. Craig Kennedy. Jerry D. Choate, Linda Hutton Heagy and R. Craig Kennedy are independent within the meaning of that term as used in Form N-CSR.

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

Fees Billed by PWC Related to the Registrant

PWC billed the Registrant aggregate fees for services rendered to the Registrant for the last two fiscal years as follows:

| | | | Percentage of Fees | | | Percentage of Fees |
|-----------------------------------|-----|-----------|----------------------------|----|-----------|----------------------------|
| | | | Billed Applicable to | | | Billed Applicable to |
| | | | Non-Audit Services | | | Non-Audit Services |
| | Fe | es Billed | | Fe | es Billed | |
| | | for | Provided for fiscal | | for | Provided for fiscal |
| | S | ervices | | 5 | Services | |
| | Re | ndered to | year end 2/29/2012 | Re | ndered to | year end 2/28/2011 |
| | | the | | | the | |
| | Re | egistrant | | R | egistrant | |
| | | for | Pursuant to Waiver of | | for | Pursuant to Waiver of |
| | fis | scal year | | fi | scal year | |
| | | end | Pre-Approval | | end | Pre-Approval |
| | 2/ | 29/2012 | Requirement ⁽¹⁾ | 2 | /28/2011 | Requirement ⁽¹⁾ |
| Audit Fees | \$ | 36,300 | N/A | \$ | 19,250 | N/A |
| Audit-Related Fees ⁽²⁾ | \$ | 5,000 | 0% | \$ | 4,000 | 0% |
| Tax Fees ⁽³⁾ | \$ | 5,700 | 0% | \$ | 2,300 | 0% |
| All Other Fees ⁽⁴⁾ | \$ | 0 | 0% | \$ | 1,667 | 0% |
| Total Fees | \$ | 47,000 | 0% | \$ | 27,217 | 0% |
| DIVICAL III A.A. D | | 11. 6 | C 0 1 0 7 0 0 C 1 C 1 | | 1 15 1 | 20 2012 1 |

PWC billed the Registrant aggregate non-audit fees of \$10,700 for the fiscal year ended February 29, 2012, and \$7,967 for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2011, for non-audit services rendered to the Registrant.

- (1) With respect to the provision of non-audit services, the pre-approval requirement is waived pursuant to a de minimis exception if (i) such services were not recognized as non-audit services by the Registrant at the time of engagement, (ii) the aggregate amount of all such services provided is no more than 5% of the aggregate audit and non-audit fees paid by the Registrant to PWC during a fiscal year; and (iii) such services are promptly brought to the attention of the Registrant s Audit Committee and approved by the Registrant s Audit Committee prior to the completion of the audit.
- (2) Audit-Related fees for the fiscal year end February 29, 2012 includes fees billed for agreed upon procedures related to auction rate preferred securities. Audit-Related fees for the fiscal year end February 28, 2011 includes fees billed for agreed upon procedures related to auction rate preferred securities.

Tax fees for the fiscal year end February 29, 2012 includes fees billed for reviewing tax returns. Tax fees for the fiscal year end February 28, 2011 includes fees billed for reviewing tax returns.

(4) All Other fees for the fiscal year end February 28, 2011 includes fees billed for completing professional services related to benchmark analysis.

Fees Billed by PWC Related to Invesco and Invesco Affiliates

PWC billed Invesco Advisers, Inc. (Invesco), the Registrant s adviser, and any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with Invesco that provides ongoing services to the Registrant (Invesco Affiliates) aggregate fees for pre-approved non-audit services rendered to Invesco and Invesco Affiliates for the last two fiscal years as follows:

| | Fees | | Fees | |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| | Billed for | | Billed for | |
| | Non- | | Non- | |
| | Audit | | Audit | |
| | Services | | Services | |
| | Rendered | | Rendered | |
| | to Invesco | Percentage of Fees | to Invesco | Percentage of Fees |
| | and | | and | |
| | Invesco | | Invesco | |
| | Affiliates | Billed Applicable to | Affiliates | Billed Applicable to |
| | for fiscal | | for fiscal | |
| | year end | Non-Audit Services | year end | Non-Audit Services |
| | 2/29/2012 | | 2/28/2011 | |
| | That Were | Provided for fiscal year | That Were | Provided for fiscal year |
| | Required | end 2/29/2012 | Required | end 2/28/2011 |
| | to be | | to be | |
| | Pre-Approved | Pursuant to Waiver of | Pre-Approved | Pursuant to Waiver of |
| | by the | | by the | |
| | Registrant s | Pre-Approval | Registrant s | Pre-Approval |
| | Audit | | Audit | |
| | Committee | Requirement(1) | Committee | Requirement(1) |
| Audit-Related Fees | \$ 0 | 0% | \$ 0 | 0% |
| Tax Fees | \$ 0 | 0% | \$ 0 | 0% |
| All Other Fees | \$ 0 | 0% | \$ 0 | 0% |
| Total Fees ⁽²⁾ | \$ 0 | 0% | \$ 0 | 0% |

- (1) With respect to the provision of non-audit services, the pre-approval requirement is waived pursuant to a de minimis exception if (i) such services were not recognized as non-audit services by the Registrant at the time of engagement, (ii) the aggregate amount of all such services provided is no more than 5% of the aggregate audit and non-audit fees paid by the Registrant, Invesco and Invesco Affiliates to PWC during a fiscal year; and (iii) such services are promptly brought to the attention of the Registrant s Audit Committee and approved by the Registrant s Audit Committee prior to the completion of the audit.
- (2) Including the fees for services not required to be pre-approved by the registrant s audit committee, PWC billed Invesco and Invesco Affiliates aggregate non-audit fees of \$0 for the fiscal year ended February 29, 2012, and \$0 for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2011, for non-audit services rendered to Invesco and Invesco Affiliates.

The Audit Committee also has considered whether the provision of non-audit services that were rendered to Invesco and Invesco Affiliates that were not required to be pre-approved pursuant to SEC regulations, if any, is compatible with maintaining PWC s independence. To the extent that such services were provided, the Audit Committee determined that the provision of such services is compatible with PWC maintaining independence with respect to the Registrant.

PRE-APPROVAL OF AUDIT AND NON-AUDIT SERVICES POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

As adopted by the Audit Committees of the Invesco Funds (the Funds)

Statement of Principles

Under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) (Rules), the Audit Committees of the Funds (the Audit Committees) Board of Trustees (the Board) are responsible for the appointment, compensation and oversight of the work of independent accountants (an Auditor). As part of this responsibility and to assure that the Auditor is independence is not impaired, the Audit Committees pre-approve the audit and non-audit services provided to the Funds by each Auditor, as well as all non-audit services provided by the Auditor to the Funds investment adviser and to affiliates of the adviser that provide ongoing services to the Funds (Service Affiliates) if the services directly impact the Funds operations or financial reporting. The SEC Rules also specify the types of services that an Auditor may not provide to its audit client. The following policies and procedures comply with the requirements for pre-approval and provide a mechanism by which management of the Funds may request and secure pre-approval of audit and non-audit services in an orderly manner with minimal disruption to normal business operations.

Proposed services either may be pre-approved without consideration of specific case-by-case services by the Audit Committees (general pre-approval) or require the specific pre-approval of the Audit Committees (specific pre-approval). As set forth in these policies and procedures, unless a type of service has received general pre-approval, it will require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committees. Additionally, any fees exceeding 110% of estimated pre-approved fee levels provided at the time the service was pre-approved will also require specific approval by the Audit Committees before payment is made. The Audit Committees will also consider the impact of additional fees on the Auditor s independence when determining whether to approve any additional fees for previously pre-approved services.

The Audit Committees will annually review and generally pre-approve the services that may be provided by each Auditor without obtaining specific pre-approval from the Audit Committee generally on an annual basis. The term of any general pre-approval runs from the date of such pre-approval through September 30th of the following year, unless the Audit Committees consider a different period and state otherwise. The Audit Committees will add to or subtract from the list of general pre-approved services from time to time, based on subsequent determinations.

The purpose of these policies and procedures is to set forth the guidelines to assist the Audit Committees in fulfilling their responsibilities.

Delegation

The Audit Committees may from time to time delegate pre-approval authority to one or more of its members who are Independent Trustees. All decisions to pre-approve a service by a delegated member shall be reported to the Audit Committees at the next quarterly meeting.

Audit Services

The annual audit services engagement terms will be subject to specific pre-approval of the Audit Committees. Audit services include the annual financial statement audit and other procedures such as tax provision work that is required to be performed by the independent auditor to be able to form an opinion on the Funds financial statements. The Audit Committees will obtain, review and consider sufficient information concerning the proposed Auditor to make a reasonable evaluation of the Auditor s qualifications and independence.

In addition to the annual Audit services engagement, the Audit Committees may grant either general or specific pre-approval of other audit services, which are those services that only the independent auditor reasonably can provide. Other Audit services may include services such as issuing consents for the

inclusion of audited financial statements with SEC registration statements, periodic reports and other documents filed with the SEC or other documents issued in connection with securities offerings.

Non-Audit Services

The Audit Committees may provide either general or specific pre-approval of any non-audit services to the Funds and its Service Affiliates if the Audit Committees believe that the provision of the service will not impair the independence of the Auditor, is consistent with the SEC s Rules on auditor independence, and otherwise conforms to the Audit Committees general principles and policies as set forth herein.

Audit-Related Services

Audit-related services are assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Fund s financial statements or that are traditionally performed by the independent auditor. Audit-related services include, among others, accounting consultations related to accounting, financial reporting or disclosure matters not classified as Audit services; assistance with understanding and implementing new accounting and financial reporting guidance from rulemaking authorities; and agreed-upon procedures related to mergers, compliance with ratings agency requirements and interfund lending activities.

Tax Services

Tax services include, but are not limited to, the review and signing of the Funds federal tax returns, the review of required distributions by the Funds and consultations regarding tax matters such as the tax treatment of new investments or the impact of new regulations. The Audit Committees will scrutinize carefully the retention of the Auditor in connection with a transaction initially recommended by the Auditor, the major business purpose of which may be tax avoidance or the tax treatment of which may not be supported in the Internal Revenue Code and related regulations. The Audit Committees will consult with the Funds Treasurer (or his or her designee) and may consult with outside counsel or advisors as necessary to ensure the consistency of Tax services rendered by the Auditor with the foregoing policy.

No Auditor shall represent any Fund or any Service Affiliate before a tax court, district court or federal court of claims.

Under rules adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and approved by the SEC, in connection with seeking Audit Committees pre-approval of permissible Tax services, the Auditor shall:

- 1. Describe in writing to the Audit Committees, which writing may be in the form of the proposed engagement letter:
 - a. The scope of the service, the fee structure for the engagement, and any side letter or amendment to the engagement letter, or any other agreement between the Auditor and the Fund, relating to the service; and
 - b. Any compensation arrangement or other agreement, such as a referral agreement, a referral fee or fee-sharing arrangement, between the Auditor and any person (other than the Fund) with respect to the promoting, marketing, or recommending of a transaction covered by the service;
- 2. Discuss with the Audit Committees the potential effects of the services on the independence of the Auditor; and
- 3. Document the substance of its discussion with the Audit Committees.

All Other Auditor Services

The Audit Committees may pre-approve non-audit services classified as All other services that are not categorically prohibited by the SEC, as listed in Exhibit 1 to this policy.

Pre-Approval Fee Levels or Established Amounts

Pre-approval of estimated fees or established amounts for services to be provided by the Auditor under general or specific pre-approval policies will be set periodically by the Audit Committees. Any proposed fees exceeding 110% of the maximum estimated pre-approved fees or established amounts for pre-approved audit and non-audit services will be reported to the Audit Committees at the quarterly Audit Committees meeting and will require specific approval by the Audit Committees before payment is made. The Audit Committees will always factor in the overall relationship of fees for audit and non-audit services in determining whether to pre-approve any such services and in determining whether to approve any additional fees exceeding 110% of the maximum pre-approved fees or established amounts for previously pre-approved services.

Procedures

Generally on an annual basis, Invesco Advisers, Inc. (Invesco) will submit to the Audit Committees for general pre-approval, a list of non-audit services that the Funds or Service Affiliates of the Funds may request from the Auditor. The list will describe the non-audit services in reasonable detail and will include an estimated range of fees and such other information as the Audit Committee may request.

Each request for services to be provided by the Auditor under the general pre-approval of the Audit Committees will be submitted to the Funds Treasurer (or his or her designee) and must include a detailed description of the services to be rendered. The Treasurer or his or her designee will ensure that such services are included within the list of services that have received the general pre-approval of the Audit Committees. The Audit Committees will be informed at the next quarterly scheduled Audit Committees meeting of any such services for which the Auditor rendered an invoice and whether such services and fees had been pre-approved and if so, by what means.

Each request to provide services that require specific approval by the Audit Committees shall be submitted to the Audit Committees jointly by the Fund s Treasurer or his or her designee and the Auditor, and must include a joint statement that, in their view, such request is consistent with the policies and procedures and the SEC Rules. Each request to provide tax services under either the general or specific pre-approval of the Audit Committees will describe in writing: (i) the scope of the service, the fee structure for the engagement, and any side letter or amendment to the engagement letter, or any other agreement between the Auditor and the audit client, relating to the service; and (ii) any compensation arrangement or other agreement between the Auditor and any person (other than the audit client) with respect to the promoting, marketing, or recommending of a transaction covered by the service. The Auditor will discuss with the Audit Committees the potential effects of the services on the Auditor s independence and will document the substance of the discussion.

Non-audit services pursuant to the *de minimis* exception provided by the SEC Rules will be promptly brought to the attention of the Audit Committees for approval, including documentation that each of the conditions for this exception, as set forth in the SEC Rules, has been satisfied.

On at least an annual basis, the Auditor will prepare a summary of all the services provided to any entity in the investment company complex as defined in section 2-01(f)(14) of Regulation S-X in sufficient detail as to the nature of the engagement and the fees associated with those services.

The Audit Committees have designated the Funds Treasurer to monitor the performance of all services provided by the Auditor and to ensure such services are in compliance with these policies and procedures. The Funds Treasurer will report to the Audit Committees on a periodic basis as to the results of such monitoring. Both the Funds Treasurer and management of Invesco will immediately report to the chairman of the Audit Committees any breach of these policies and procedures that comes to the attention of the Funds Treasurer or senior management of Invesco.

Exhibit 1 to Pre-Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services Policies and Procedures

Conditionally Prohibited Non-Audit Services (not prohibited if the Fund can reasonably conclude that the results of the service would not be subject to audit procedures in connection with the audit of the Fund s financial statements)

Bookkeeping or other services related to the accounting records or financial statements of the audit client

Financial information systems design and implementation

Appraisal or valuation services, fairness opinions, or contribution-in-kind reports

Actuarial services

Internal audit outsourcing services
Categorically Prohibited Non-Audit Services
Management functions

Human resources

Broker-dealer, investment adviser, or investment banking services

Legal services

Expert services unrelated to the audit

Any service or product provided for a contingent fee or a commission

Services related to marketing, planning, or opining in favor of the tax treatment of confidential transactions or aggressive tax position transactions, a significant purpose of which is tax avoidance

Tax services for persons in financial reporting oversight roles at the Fund

Any other service that the Public Company Oversight Board determines by regulation is impermissible. ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

- (a) The registrant has a separately-designed standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Members of the audit committee are: Jerry D. Choate, Linda Hutton Heagy and R. Craig Kennedy.
- (b) Not applicable.

ITEM 6. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS.

Investments in securities of unaffiliated issuers is included as part of the reports to stockholders filed under Item 1 of this Form.

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

I.2. PROXY POLICIES AND PROCEDURES RETAIL

Applicable to Retail Accounts

Risk Addressed by Policy breach of fiduciary duty to client under Investment Advisers Act of

1940 by placing Invesco personal interests ahead of client best

economic interests in voting proxies Investment Advisers Act of 1940

Relevant Law and Other Sources

Last Tested Date

Policy/Procedure OwnerAdvisory CompliancePolicy ApproverFund Board

Approved/Adopted Date Fund Board
January 1, 2010

The following policies and procedures apply to certain funds and other accounts managed by Invesco Advisers, Inc. (Invesco).

A. POLICY STATEMENT

Introduction Our Belief

The Invesco Funds Boards of Trustees and Invesco s investment professionals expect a high standard of corporate governance from the companies in our portfolios so that Invesco may fulfill its fiduciary obligation to our fund shareholders and other account holders. Well governed companies are characterized by a primary focus on the interests of shareholders, accountable boards of directors, ample transparency in financial disclosure, performance-driven cultures and appropriate consideration of all stakeholders. Invesco believes well governed companies create greater shareholder wealth over the long term than poorly governed companies, so we endeavor to vote in a manner that increases the value of our investments and fosters good governance within our portfolio companies.

In determining how to vote proxy issues, Invesco considers the probable business consequences of each issue and votes in a manner designed to protect and enhance fund shareholders—and other account holders—interests. Our voting decisions are intended to enhance each company—s total shareholder value over Invesco—s typical investment horizon. Proxy voting is an integral part of Invesco—s investment process. We believe that the right to vote proxies should be managed with the same care as all other elements of the investment process. The objective of Invesco—s proxy-voting activity is to promote good governance and advance the economic interests of our clients. At no time will Invesco exercise its voting power to advance its own

commercial interests, to pursue a social or political cause that is unrelated to our clients economic interests, or to favor a particular client or business relationship to the detriment of others.

B. OPERATING PROCEDURES AND RESPONSIBLE PARTIES

Proxy administration

The Invesco Retail Proxy Committee (the Proxy Committee) consists of members representing Invesco s Investments, Legal and Compliance departments. Invesco s Proxy Voting Guidelines (the Guidelines) are revised annually by the Proxy Committee, and are approved by the Invesco Funds Boards of Trustees. The Proxy Committee implements the Guidelines and oversees proxy voting.

The Proxy Committee has retained outside experts to assist with the analysis and voting of proxy issues. In addition to the advice offered by these experts, Invesco uses information gathered from our own research, company managements, Invesco s portfolio managers and outside shareholder groups to reach our voting decisions. Generally speaking, Invesco s investment-research process leads us to invest in companies led by management teams we believe have the ability to conceive and execute strategies to outperform their competitors. We select companies for investment based in large part on our assessment of their management teams—ability to create shareholder wealth. Therefore, in formulating our proxy-voting decisions, Invesco gives proper consideration to the recommendations of a company s Board of Directors.

Important principles underlying the Invesco Proxy Voting Guidelines I. Accountability

Management teams of companies are accountable to their boards of directors, and directors of publicly held companies are accountable to their shareholders. Invesco endeavors to vote the proxies of its portfolio companies in a manner that will reinforce the notion of a board s accountability to its shareholders. Consequently, Invesco votes against any actions that would impair the rights of shareholders or would reduce shareholders influence over the board or over management.

The following are specific voting issues that illustrate how Invesco applies this principle of accountability. *Elections of directors*. In uncontested director elections for companies that do not have a controlling shareholder, Invesco votes in favor of slates if they are comprised of at least a majority of independent directors and if the boards key committees are fully independent. Key committees include the Audit, Compensation and Governance or Nominating Committees. Invesco s standard of independence excludes directors who, in addition to the directorship, have any material business or family relationships with the companies they serve.

Contested director elections are evaluated on a case-by-case basis and are decided within the context of Invesco s investment thesis on a company.

Director performance. Invesco withholds votes from directors who exhibit a lack of accountability to shareholders, either through their level of attendance at meetings or by enacting egregious corporate-governance or other policies. In cases of material financial restatements, accounting fraud, habitually late filings, adopting shareholder rights plan (poison pills) without shareholder approval, or other areas of poor performance, Invesco may withhold votes from some or all of a company s directors. In situations where directors performance is a concern, Invesco may also support shareholder proposals to take corrective actions such as so-called clawback provisions.

Auditors and Audit Committee members. Invesco believes a company s Audit Committee has a high degree of responsibility to shareholders in matters of financial disclosure, integrity of the financial statements and effectiveness of a company s internal controls. Independence, experience and financial expertise are critical elements of a well-functioning Audit Committee. When electing directors who are members of a company s Audit Committee, or when ratifying a company s auditors, Invesco considers the past performance of the Committee and holds its members accountable for the quality of the company s financial statements and reports.

Majority standard in director elections. The right to elect directors is the single most important mechanism shareholders have to promote accountability. Invesco supports the nascent effort to reform the U.S. convention of electing directors, and votes in favor of proposals to elect directors by a majority vote.

Classified boards. Invesco supports proposals to elect directors annually instead of electing them to staggered multi-year terms because annual elections increase a board s level of accountability to its shareholders.

Supermajority voting requirements. Unless proscribed by law in the state of incorporation, Invesco votes against actions that would impose any supermajority voting requirement, and supports actions to dismantle existing supermajority requirements.

Responsiveness. Invesco withholds votes from directors who do not adequately respond to shareholder proposals that were approved by a majority of votes cast the prior year.

Cumulative voting. The practice of cumulative voting can enable minority shareholders to have representation on a company s board. Invesco supports proposals to institute the practice of cumulative voting at companies whose overall corporate-governance standards indicate a particular need to protect the interests of minority shareholders.

Shareholder access. On business matters with potential financial consequences, Invesco votes in favor of proposals that would increase shareholders—opportunities to express their views to boards of directors, proposals that would lower barriers to shareholder action and proposals to promote the adoption of generally accepted best practices in corporate governance.

II. Incentives

Invesco believes properly constructed compensation plans that include equity ownership are effective in creating incentives that induce managements and employees of our portfolio companies to create greater shareholder wealth. Invesco supports equity compensation plans that promote the proper alignment of incentives, and votes against plans that are overly dilutive to existing shareholders, plans that contain objectionable structural features, and plans that appear likely to reduce the value of an account s investment.

Following are specific voting issues that illustrate how Invesco evaluates incentive plans.

Executive compensation. Invesco evaluates compensation plans for executives within the context of the company s performance under the executives tenure. Invesco believes independent compensation committees are best positioned to craft executive-compensation plans that are suitable for their company-specific circumstances. We view the election of those independent compensation committee members as the appropriate mechanism for shareholders to express their approval or disapproval of a company s compensation practices. Therefore, Invesco generally does not support shareholder proposals to limit or eliminate certain forms of executive compensation. In the interest of reinforcing the notion of a compensation committee s accountability to shareholders, Invesco supports proposals requesting that companies subject each year s compensation record to an advisory shareholder vote, or so-called say on pay proposals.

Equity-based compensation plans. When voting to approve or reject equity-based compensation plans, Invesco compares the total estimated cost of the plans, including stock options and restricted stock, against a carefully selected peer group and uses multiple performance metrics that help us determine whether the incentive structures in place are creating genuine shareholder wealth. Regardless of a plan s estimated cost relative to its peer group, Invesco votes against plans that contain structural features that would impair the alignment of incentives between shareholders and management. Such features include the ability to reprice or reload options without shareholder approval, the ability to issue options below the stock s current market price, or the ability to automatically replenish shares without shareholder approval.

Employee stock-purchase plans. Invesco supports employee stock-purchase plans that are reasonably designed to provide proper incentives to a broad base of employees, provided that the price at which employees may acquire stock is at most a 15 percent discount from the market price.

Severance agreements. Invesco generally votes in favor of proposals requiring advisory shareholder ratification of executives severance agreements. However, we oppose proposals requiring such agreements to be ratified by shareholders in advance of their adoption.

III. Capitalization

Examples of management proposals related to a company s capital structure include authorizing or issuing additional equity capital, repurchasing outstanding stock, or enacting a stock split or reverse stock split. On requests for additional capital stock, Invesco analyzes the company s stated reasons for the request. Except where the request could adversely affect the fund s ownership stake or voting rights, Invesco generally supports a board s decisions on its needs for additional capital stock. Some capitalization proposals require a case-by-case analysis within the context of Invesco s investment thesis on a company. Examples of such proposals include authorizing common or preferred stock with special voting rights, or issuing additional stock in connection with an acquisition.

IV. Mergers, Acquisitions and Other Corporate Actions

Issuers occasionally require shareholder approval to engage in certain corporate actions such as mergers, acquisitions, name changes, dissolutions, reorganizations, divestitures and reincorporations. Invesco analyzes these proposals within the context of our investment thesis on the company, and determines its vote on a case-by-case basis.

V. Anti-Takeover Measures

Practices designed to protect a company from unsolicited bids can adversely affect shareholder value and voting rights, and they create conflicts of interests among directors, management and shareholders. Except under special issuer-specific circumstances, Invesco votes to reduce or eliminate such measures. These measures include adopting or renewing poison pills , requiring supermajority voting on certain corporate actions, classifying the election of directors instead of electing each director to an annual term, or creating separate classes of common or preferred stock with special voting rights. Invesco generally votes against management proposals to impose these types of measures, and generally votes for shareholder proposals designed to reduce such measures. Invesco supports shareholder proposals directing companies to subject their anti-takeover provisions to a shareholder vote.

VI. Shareholder Proposals on Corporate Governance

Invesco generally votes for shareholder proposals that are designed to protect shareholder rights if a company s corporate-governance standards indicate that such additional protections are warranted.

VII. Shareholder Proposals on Social Responsibility

The potential costs and economic benefits of shareholder proposals seeking to amend a company s practices for social reasons are difficult to assess. Analyzing the costs and economic benefits of these proposals is highly subjective and does not fit readily within our framework of voting to create greater shareholder wealth over Invesco s typical investment horizon. Therefore, Invesco abstains from voting on shareholder proposals deemed to be of a purely social, political or moral nature.

VIII. Routine Business Matters

Routine business matters rarely have a potentially material effect on the economic prospects of fund holdings, so we generally support the board s discretion on these items. However, Invesco votes against proposals where there is insufficient information to make a decision about the nature of the proposal. Similarly, Invesco votes against proposals to conduct other unidentified business at shareholder meetings.

Summary

These Guidelines provide an important framework for making proxy-voting decisions, and should give fund shareholders and other account holders insight into the factors driving Invesco s decisions. The Guidelines cannot address all potential proxy issues, however. Decisions on specific issues must be made within the context of these Guidelines and within the context of the investment thesis of the funds and other accounts that own the company s stock. Where a different investment thesis is held by portfolio managers who may hold stocks in common, Invesco may vote the shares held on a fund-by-fund or account-by-account basis.

Exceptions

In certain circumstances, Invesco may refrain from voting where the economic cost of voting a company s proxy exceeds any anticipated benefits of that proxy proposal.

Share-lending programs

One reason that some portion of Invesco s position in a particular security might not be voted is the securities lending program. When securities are out on loan and earning fees for the lending fund, they are transferred into the borrower s name. Any proxies during the period of the loan are voted by the borrower. The lending fund would have to terminate the loan to vote the company s proxy, an action that is not generally in the best economic interest of fund shareholders. However, whenever Invesco determines that the benefit to shareholders or other account holders of voting a particular proxy outweighs the revenue lost by terminating the loan, we recall the securities for the purpose of voting the fund s full position.

Share-blocking

Another example of a situation where Invesco may be unable to vote is in countries where the exercise of voting rights requires the fund to submit to short-term trading restrictions, a practice known as share-blocking. Invesco generally

January 2010 I.2 6

refrains from voting proxies in share-blocking countries unless the portfolio manager determines that the benefit to fund shareholders and other account holders of voting a specific proxy outweighs the fund s or other account s temporary inability to sell the security.

International constraints

An additional concern that sometimes precludes our voting non-U.S. proxies is our inability to receive proxy materials with enough time and enough information to make a voting decision. In the great majority of instances, however, we are able to vote non-U.S. proxies successfully. It is important to note that Invesco makes voting decisions for non-U.S. issuers using these Guidelines as our framework, but also takes into account the corporate-governance standards, regulatory environment and generally accepted best practices of the local market.

Exceptions to these Guidelines

Invesco retains the flexibility to accommodate company-specific situations where strictly adhering to the Guidelines would lead to a vote that the Proxy Committee deems not to be in the best interest of the funds—shareholders and other account holders. In these situations, the Proxy Committee will vote the proxy in the manner deemed to be in the best interest of the funds—shareholders and other account holders, and will promptly inform the funds—Boards of Trustees of such vote and the circumstances surrounding it.

Resolving potential conflicts of interest

A potential conflict of interest arises when Invesco votes a proxy for an issuer with which it also maintains a material business relationship. Examples could include issuers that are distributors of Invesco s products, or issuers that employ Invesco to manage portions of their retirement plans or treasury accounts. Invesco reviews each proxy proposal to assess the extent, if any, to which there may be a material conflict between the interests of the fund shareholders or other account holders and Invesco.

Invesco takes reasonable measures to determine whether a potential conflict may exist. A potential conflict is deemed to exist only if one or more of the Proxy Committee members actually knew or should have known of the potential conflict.

If a material potential conflict is deemed to exist, Invesco may resolve the potential conflict in one of the following ways: (1) if the proposal that gives rise to the potential conflict is specifically addressed by the Guidelines, Invesco may vote the proxy in accordance with the predetermined Guidelines; (2) Invesco may engage an independent third party to determine how the proxy should be voted; or (3) Invesco may establish an ethical wall or other informational barrier between the persons involved in the potential conflict and the persons making the proxy-voting decision in order to insulate the potential conflict from the decision makers.

Because the Guidelines are pre-determined and crafted to be in the best economic interest of shareholders and other account holders, applying the Guidelines to vote client proxies should, in most instances, adequately resolve any potential conflict of

January 2010 I.2 7

interest. As an additional safeguard against potential conflicts, persons from Invesco s marketing, distribution and other customer-facing functions are precluded from becoming members of the Proxy Committee.

On a quarterly basis, the Invesco Funds Boards of Trustees review a report from Invesco s Internal Compliance Controls Committee. The report contains a list of all known material business relationships that Invesco maintains with publicly traded issuers. That list is cross-referenced with the list of proxies voted over the period. If there are any instances where Invesco s voting pattern on the proxies of its material business partners is inconsistent with its voting pattern on all other issuers, they are brought before the Trustees and explained by the Chairman of the Proxy Committee.

Personal conflicts of interest. If any member of the Proxy Committee has a personal conflict of interest with respect to a company or an issue presented for voting, that Proxy Committee member will inform the Proxy Committee of such conflict and will abstain from voting on that company or issue.

Funds of funds. Some Invesco Funds offering diversified asset allocation within one investment vehicle own shares in other Invesco Funds. A potential conflict of interest could arise if an underlying Invesco Fund has a shareholder meeting with any proxy issues to be voted on, because Invesco s asset-allocation funds or target-maturity funds may be large shareholders of the underlying fund. In order to avoid any potential for a conflict, the asset-allocation funds and target maturity funds vote their shares in the same proportion as the votes of the external shareholders of the underlying fund.

C. RECORDKEEPING

Records are maintained in accordance with Invesco s Recordkeeping Policy.

Policies and Vote Disclosure

A copy of these Guidelines and the voting record of each Invesco Fund are available on our web site, www.invesco.com. In accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission regulations, all funds file a record of all proxy-voting activity for the prior 12 months ending June 30th. That filing is made on or before August 31st of each year.

January 2010 I.2 8

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

The following individuals are jointly and primarily responsible for the day-to-day management of the Trust:

Thomas Byron, Portfolio Manager, who has been responsible for the Trust since 2009 and has been associated with Invesco and/or its affiliates since 2010. From 1981 to 2010, Mr. Byron was associated with Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc. in an investment management capacity.

Robert Stryker, Portfolio Manager, who has been responsible for the Trust since 2009 and has been with Invesco and/or its affiliates since 2010. From 1994 to 2010, Mr. Stryker was associated with Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc. in an investment management capacity.

Julius Williams, Portfolio Manager, who has been responsible for the Trust since 2011 and has been associated with Invesco and/or its affiliates since 2010. From 2000 to 2010, Mr. Williams was associated with Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc. in an investment management capacity.

Robert Wimmel, Portfolio Manager, who has been responsible for the Trust since 2001 and has been associated with Invesco and/or its affiliates since 2010. From 1996 to 2010, Mr. Wimmel was associated with Morgan Stanley Investment Advisors Inc. in an investment management capacity.

Portfolio Manager Fund Holdings and Information on Other Managed Accounts

Invesco s portfolio managers develop investment models which are used in connection with the management of certain Invesco Funds as well as other mutual funds for which Invesco or an affiliate acts as sub-adviser, other pooled investment vehicles that are not registered mutual funds, and other accounts managed for organizations and individuals. The Investments chart reflects the portfolio managers investments in the Funds that they manage. Accounts are grouped into three categories: (i) investments made directly in the Fund, (ii) investments made in an Invesco pooled investment vehicle with the same or similar objectives and strategies as the Fund, and (iii) any investments made in any Invesco Fund or Invesco pooled investment vehicle. The Assets Managed chart reflects information regarding accounts other than the Funds for which each portfolio manager has day-to-day management responsibilities. Accounts are grouped into three categories: (i) other registered investment companies, (ii) other pooled investment vehicles and (iii) other accounts. To the extent that any of these accounts pay advisory fees that are based on account performance (performance-based fees), information on those accounts is specifically broken out. In addition, any assets denominated in foreign currencies have been converted into U.S. Dollars using the exchange rates as of the applicable date.

Investments

The following information is as of February 29, 2012:

| | Dollar Range of Investments | Dollar Range of Investments | Dollar Range of all Investments in Funds and Invesco pooled | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Portfolio | in each | in Invesco pooled investment | | | |
| Manager | Fund ¹ | vehicles ² | investment vehicles | | |
| | Invesco Van Kampen Califo | rnia Value Municipal Incom | e Trust | | |
| Thomas Byron | None | N/A | \$ 100,001-\$500,000 | | |
| Robert Stryker | None | N/A | \$ 100,001-\$500,000 | | |
| Julius Williams | None | N/A | \$ 50,001-\$100,000 | | |
| Robert Wimmel | None | N/A | \$ 100,001-\$500,000 | | |
| | Asse | ets Managed | | | |

The following information is as of February 29, 2012:

| Other Registered Investment Companies Managed (assets in millions) | | Other Pooled Investment Vehicles Managed (assets in millions) | | Other Accounts Managed (assets in millions) | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| Number of | | Number of | | Number of | |
| Accounts | Assets | Accounts | Assets | Accounts | Assets |
| o Van Kamper | n California Valu | e Municipal Inc | ome Trust | | |
| 30 | \$14,147.6 | None | None | None | None |
| 30 | \$14,147.6 | None | None | None | None |
| 12 | \$ 2,629.8 | None | None | None | None |
| 30 | \$14,147.6 | None | None | None | None |
| | Inventor Compania (a in management in manage | Investment Companies Managed (assets in millions) Number of Accounts Assets to Van Kampen California Value 30 \$14,147.6 30 \$14,147.6 12 \$2,629.8 | Investment Invest Companies Managed Vehicles M (assets (assets in millions) in mill Number of of Accounts Assets Accounts to Van Kampen California Value Municipal Incess 30 \$14,147.6 None 30 \$14,147.6 None 12 \$2,629.8 None | Investment Companies Managed (assets (assets in millions) Number of Accounts Assets Accounts Assets Accounts Assets O Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust 30 \$14,147.6 None None 30 \$14,147.6 None None 12 \$2,629.8 None None | Investment Investment Other Accompanies Managed (assets (assets in millions) in millions) millions Number Number of of Of Accounts Assets Accounts Assets Accounts O Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust 30 \$14,147.6 None None None 30 \$14,147.6 None None None 12 \$2,629.8 None None None |

Potential Conflicts of Interest

Actual or apparent conflicts of interest may arise when a portfolio manager has day-to-day management responsibilities with respect to more than one Fund or other account. More specifically, portfolio managers who manage multiple Funds and/or other accounts may be presented with one or more of the following potential conflicts:

- Ø The management of multiple Funds and/or other accounts may result in a portfolio manager devoting unequal time and attention to the management of each Fund and/or other account. The Adviser and each Sub-Adviser seek to manage such competing interests for the time and attention of portfolio managers by having portfolio managers focus on a particular investment discipline. Most other accounts managed by a portfolio manager are managed using the same investment models that are used in connection with the management of the Funds.
- Ø If a portfolio manager identifies a limited investment opportunity which may be suitable for more than one Fund or other account, a Fund may not be able to take full advantage of that opportunity due to an allocation of filled purchase or sale orders across all eligible Funds and other accounts. To deal with these situations, the Adviser, each Sub-Adviser and the Funds have adopted procedures for allocating portfolio transactions across multiple accounts.
- This column reflects investments in a Fund s shares beneficially owned by a portfolio manager (as determined in accordance with Rule 16a-1(a) (2) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Beneficial ownership includes ownership by a portfolio manager s immediate family members sharing the same household.

2 This column reflects portfolio managers investments made either directly or through a deferred compensation or a similar plan in Invesco pooled investment vehicles with the same or similar objectives and strategies as the Fund as of the most recent fiscal year end of the Fund.

- Ø The Adviser and each Sub-Adviser determine which broker to use to execute each order for securities transactions for the Funds, consistent with its duty to seek best execution of the transaction. However, for certain other accounts (such as mutual funds for which Invesco or an affiliate acts as sub-adviser, other pooled investment vehicles that are not registered mutual funds, and other accounts managed for organizations and individuals), the Adviser and each Sub-Adviser may be limited by the client with respect to the selection of brokers or may be instructed to direct trades through a particular broker. In these cases, trades for a Fund in a particular security may be placed separately from, rather than aggregated with, such other accounts. Having separate transactions with respect to a security may temporarily affect the market price of the security or the execution of the transaction, or both, to the possible detriment of the Fund or other account(s) involved.
- Ø Finally, the appearance of a conflict of interest may arise where the Adviser or Sub-Adviser has an incentive, such as a performance-based management fee, which relates to the management of one Fund or account but not all Funds and accounts for which a portfolio manager has day-to-day management responsibilities.

The Adviser, each Sub-Adviser, and the Funds have adopted certain compliance procedures which are designed to address these types of conflicts. However, there is no guarantee that such procedures will detect each and every situation in which a conflict arises.

Description of Compensation Structure

For the Adviser and each affiliated Sub-Adviser

The Adviser and each Sub-Adviser seek to maintain a compensation program that is competitively positioned to attract and retain high-caliber investment professionals. Portfolio managers receive a base salary, an incentive bonus opportunity and an equity compensation opportunity. Portfolio manager compensation is reviewed and may be modified each year as appropriate to reflect changes in the market, as well as to adjust the factors used to determine bonuses to promote competitive Fund performance. The Adviser and each Sub-Adviser evaluate competitive market compensation by reviewing compensation survey results conducted by an independent third party of investment industry compensation. Each portfolio manager s compensation consists of the following three elements:

Base Salary. Each portfolio manager is paid a base salary. In setting the base salary, the Adviser and each Sub-Adviser s intention is to be competitive in light of the particular portfolio manager s experience and responsibilities.

Annual Bonus. The portfolio managers are eligible, along with other employees of the Adviser and each Sub-Adviser, to participate in a discretionary year-end bonus pool. The Compensation Committee of Invesco Ltd. reviews and approves the amount of the bonus pool available for the Adviser and each of the Sub-Adviser's investment centers. The Compensation Committee considers investment performance and financial results in its review. In addition, while having no direct impact on individual bonuses, assets under management are considered when determining the starting bonus funding levels. Each portfolio manager is eligible to receive an annual cash bonus which is based on quantitative (i.e. investment performance) and non-quantitative factors (which may include, but are not limited to, individual performance, risk management and teamwork).

Each portfolio manager s compensation is linked to the pre-tax investment performance of the Funds/accounts managed by the portfolio manager as described in Table 1 below.

Table 1

Invesco Senior Secured^{4,6}

Sub-Adviser Performance time period³

Invesco ⁴ One-, Three- and Five-year performance against

Invesco Australia⁴ Fund peer group. Invesco Deutschland

Invesco Advisors- Invesco Real Estate⁵ Not applicable

Invesco Canada⁴ One-year performance against Fund peer group.

Three- and Five-year performance against entire universe of Canadian funds.

Invesco Hong Kong⁴
One-, Three- and Five-year performance against
Invesco Asset Management
Fund peer group.

Invesco Japan⁷ One-, Three- and Five-year performance against the appropriate Micropol benchmark.

High investment performance (against applicable peer group and/or benchmarks) would deliver compensation generally associated with top pay in the industry (determined by reference to the third-party provided compensation survey information) and poor investment performance (versus applicable peer group) would result in low bonus compared to the applicable peer group or no bonus at all. These decisions are reviewed and approved collectively by senior leadership which has responsibility for executing the compensation approach across the organization.

Equity-Based Compensation. Portfolio managers may be granted an annual deferral award that allows them to select receipt of shares of certain Invesco Funds with a vesting period as well as common shares and/or restricted shares of Invesco Ltd. stock from pools determined from time to time by the Compensation Committee of Invesco Ltd. s Board of Directors. Awards of equity-based compensation typically vest over time, so as to create incentives to retain key talent.

Portfolio managers also participate in benefit plans and programs available generally to all employees. ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT

COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

Not applicable

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

None

ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

- (a) As of March 21, 2012, an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the officers of the Registrant, including the PEO and PFO, to assess the
- Rolling time periods based on calendar year-end.
- ⁴ Portfolio Managers may be granted an annual deferral award that vests on a pro-rata basis over a four year period and final payments are based on the performance of eligible Funds selected by the portfolio manager at the time the award is granted.
- Portfolio Managers for Invesco Global Real Estate Fund, Invesco Real Estate Fund, Invesco Global Real Estate Income Fund and Invesco V.I. Global Real Estate Fund base their bonus on new operating profits of the U.S. Real Estate Division of Invesco.

- ⁶ Invesco Senior Secured s bonus is based on annual measures of equity return and standard tests of collateralization performance.
- Portfolio Managers for Invesco Pacific Growth Fund s compensation is based on the one-, three- and five-year performance against the appropriate Micropol benchmark.

effectiveness of the Registrant s disclosure controls and procedures, as that term is defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the Act), as amended. Based on that evaluation, the Registrant s officers, including the PEO and PFO, concluded that, as of March 21, 2012, the Registrant s disclosure controls and procedures were reasonably designed to ensure: (1) that information required to be disclosed by the Registrant on Form N-CSR is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission; and (2) that material information relating to the Registrant is made known to the PEO and PFO as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) There have been no changes in the Registrant s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the Act) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

- 12(a) (1) Code of Ethics.
- 12(a) (2) Certifications of principal executive officer and principal financial officer as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the Investment Company Act of 1940.
- 12(a) (3) Not applicable.
- 12(b) Certifications of principal executive officer and principal financial officer as required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the Investment Company Act of 1940.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. Registrant: Invesco Van Kampen California Value Municipal Income Trust

By: /s/ Colin Meadows

Colin Meadows

Principal Executive Officer

Date: May 4, 2012

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Colin Meadows

Colin Meadows

Principal Executive Officer

Date: May 4, 2012

By: /s/ Sheri Morris

Sheri Morris

Principal Financial Officer

Date: May 4, 2012

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 12(a)(1) Code of Ethics.
- 12(a)(2) Certifications of principal executive officer and principal Financial officer as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the Investment Company Act of 1940.
- 12(a)(3) Not applicable.
- 12(b) Certifications of principal executive officer and principal financial officer as required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the Investment Company Act of 1940.