

FOSTER L B CO
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2010

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

Or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
for the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number:0-10436

L. B. Foster Company
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Pennsylvania
(State of Incorporation)

25-1324733
(I. R. S. Employer Identification No.)

415 Holiday Drive, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
(Address of principal executive offices)

15220
(Zip Code)

(412) 928-3417
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed
by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12
months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and Yes No
(2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on
its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and
posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such Yes No
shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).*

* The registrant has not yet been phased into the interactive data requirements.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer,
or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting
company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-Q

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

| Class | Outstanding at July 26, 2010 |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Common Stock, Par Value \$.01 | 10,245,964 Shares |

Table of Contents

L.B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

| PART I. Financial Information | Page |
|--|-----------|
| Item 1. Financial Statements: | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>6</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>21</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | <u>34</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>34</u> |
| PART II. Other Information | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>34</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | <u>34</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>34</u> |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | <u>34</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | <u>35</u> |
| <u>Signature</u> | <u>39</u> |

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 (In Thousands)

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------|
| ASSETS | (Unaudited) | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 131,318 | \$ 124,845 |
| Accounts and notes receivable: | | |
| Trade | 61,616 | 59,062 |
| Other | 319 | 2,116 |
| | 61,935 | 61,178 |
| Inventories | 94,164 | 98,982 |
| Current deferred tax assets | 3,671 | 3,678 |
| Prepaid income taxes | - | 248 |
| Other current assets | 1,240 | 1,161 |
| Total Current Assets | 292,328 | 290,092 |
| Property, Plant & Equipment - At Cost | 105,271 | 102,289 |
| Less Accumulated Depreciation | (69,045) | (64,882) |
| | 36,226 | 37,407 |
| Other Assets: | | |
| Goodwill | 3,211 | 350 |
| Other intangibles - net | 1,757 | 25 |
| Investments | 3,739 | 3,358 |
| Deferred tax assets | 1,554 | 1,574 |
| Other assets | 1,347 | 362 |
| Total Other Assets | 11,608 | 5,669 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 340,162 | \$ 333,168 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Current maturities of other long-term debt | \$ 3,099 | \$ 2,787 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt, term loan | 11,667 | 2,619 |
| Accounts payable - trade | 40,111 | 52,777 |
| Deferred revenue | 22,149 | 9,062 |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits | 5,077 | 6,106 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 5,334 | 6,409 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 87,437 | 79,760 |
| Long-Term Debt, Term Loan | - | 10,476 |
| Other Long-Term Debt | 3,047 | 2,721 |
| Deferred Tax Liabilities | 1,870 | 1,893 |
| Other Long-Term Liabilities | 5,695 | 5,726 |

STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Common stock | 111 | 111 |
| Paid-in capital | 46,709 | 47,660 |
| Retained earnings | 220,527 | 212,787 |
| Treasury stock - at cost | (24,929) | (27,574) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (305) | (392) |
| Total Stockholders' Equity | 242,113 | 232,592 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$ 340,162 | \$ 333,168 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 (In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (Unaudited) | | (Unaudited) | |
| Net Sales | \$ 119,504 | \$ 99,348 | \$ 201,506 | \$ 200,961 |
| Cost of Goods Sold | 99,189 | 86,516 | 169,118 | 174,447 |
| Gross Profit | 20,315 | 12,832 | 32,388 | 26,514 |
| Selling and Administrative Expenses | 10,774 | 8,612 | 19,967 | 17,639 |
| Interest Expense | 241 | 333 | 486 | 661 |
| Interest Income | (107) | (212) | (181) | (507) |
| Equity in Losses of Nonconsolidated Investments | 94 | - | 241 | - |
| Other Income | (51) | (186) | (153) | (329) |
| | 10,951 | 8,547 | 20,360 | 17,464 |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 9,364 | 4,285 | 12,028 | 9,050 |
| Income Tax Expense | 3,377 | 1,633 | 4,288 | 3,379 |
| Net Income | \$ 5,987 | \$ 2,652 | \$ 7,740 | \$ 5,671 |
| Basic Earnings Per Share | \$ 0.59 | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.76 | \$ 0.56 |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share | \$ 0.58 | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.75 | \$ 0.55 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In Thousands)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 2009 (Unaudited) | |
|--|---|-----------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net income | \$7,740 | \$5,671 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used by operating activities: | | |
| Deferred income taxes | (43) | 11 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,396 | 4,311 |
| Equity in losses of nonconsolidated investments | 241 | - |
| Loss (gain) on sale of property, plant and equipment | 1 | (1) |
| Deferred gain amortization on sale-leaseback | (107) | (107) |
| Stock-based compensation | 799 | 545 |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation | (623) | (56) |
| Unrealized loss on derivative mark-to-market | 11 | 32 |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (757) | 14,282 |
| Inventories | 5,736 | 8,623 |
| Other current assets | 55 | (420) |
| Prepaid income tax | 871 | (1,464) |
| Other noncurrent assets | 72 | 15 |
| Accounts payable - trade | 421 | (16,686) |
| Accrued payroll and employee benefits | (1,029) | (3,677) |
| Other current liabilities | (1,075) | (300) |
| Other liabilities | 3 | 17 |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 16,712 | 10,796 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment | - | 1 |
| Acquisitions | (5,050) | - |
| Capital contributions to equity method investment | (500) | (650) |
| Capital expenditures on property, plant and equipment | (2,700) | (2,306) |
| Net Cash Used by Investing Activities | (8,250) | (2,955) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Repayments of long-term debt, term loan | (1,428) | (1,428) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options and stock awards | 272 | 21 |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation | 623 | 56 |
| Treasury stock acquisitions | - | (1,863) |
| Repayments of other long-term debt | (1,456) | (1,611) |
| Net Cash Used by Financing Activities | (1,989) | (4,825) |

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | 6,473 | 3,016 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period | 124,845 | 115,074 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period | \$ 131,318 | \$ 118,090 |

Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:

| | | |
|-------------------|----------|----------|
| Interest Paid | \$ 398 | \$ 593 |
| Income Taxes Paid | \$ 3,199 | \$ 7,253 |

The Company financed \$0.1 million in certain capital expenditures through the execution of capital leases during the first six months of 2010. There were no such expenditures during the 2009 period.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

L. B. FOSTER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all estimates and adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. However, actual results could differ from those estimates. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2010. Amounts included in the balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 were derived from our audited balance sheet. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Certain accounts in the prior year consolidated financial statements have been reclassified for comparative purposes to conform with the presentation in the current year consolidated financial statements, including reclassification of certain shipping and handling costs from sales to cost of goods sold.

2. NEW ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

In June 2009, the FASB issued changes to the consolidation guidance applicable to a variable interest entity (VIE). FASB ASC Topic 810, "Consolidation," amends the guidance governing the determination of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE, and is, therefore, required to consolidate an entity, by requiring a qualitative analysis rather than a quantitative analysis. The qualitative analysis will include, among other things, consideration of who has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and who has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. This standard also requires continuous reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE. Previously, FIN 46R required reconsideration of whether an enterprise was the primary beneficiary of a VIE only when specific events had occurred. FASB ASC 810 also requires enhanced disclosures about an enterprise's involvement with a VIE. The Company adopted the changes issued by the FASB to accounting for VIE's on January 1, 2010.

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06, "Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements." This Update provides amendments to FASB ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements," that requires entities to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers. In addition, the Update requires entities to present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). The disclosures related to Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements are effective for the Company beginning in 2010 and the disclosures related to Level 3 fair value measurements are effective for the Company in 2011. The Update requires only new disclosures and had no impact on the Company's financial statements.

3. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

The Company is organized and evaluated by product group, which is the basis for identifying reportable segments. The Company is engaged in the manufacture, fabrication and distribution of rail, construction and tubular products.

Table of Contents

The following table illustrates revenues and profits of the Company by segment:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended, June 30, 2010 | | Six Months Ended, June 30, 2010 | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Net Sales | Segment Profit | Net Sales | Segment Profit |
| Rail products | \$52,685 | \$ 3,011 | \$93,745 | \$ 4,999 |
| Construction products | 59,179 | 6,800 | 95,381 | 8,326 |
| Tubular products | 7,640 | 962 | 12,380 | 804 |
| Total | \$119,504 | \$ 10,773 | \$201,506 | \$ 14,129 |

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended, June 30, 2009 | | Six Months Ended, June 30, 2009 | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Net Sales | Segment Profit/(Loss) | Net Sales | Segment Profit/(Loss) |
| Rail products | \$46,362 | \$ (1,131) | \$102,672 | \$ (1,049) |
| Construction products | 47,867 | 3,088 | 85,784 | 5,329 |
| Tubular products | 5,119 | 44 | 12,505 | 1,404 |
| Total | \$99,348 | \$ 2,001 | \$200,961 | \$ 5,684 |

Segment profits, as shown above, include internal cost of capital charges for assets used in the segment at a rate of, generally, 1% per month. There has been no change in the measurement of segment profit from December 31, 2009.

The following table provides a reconciliation of reportable segment net profit to the Company's consolidated total:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Income for reportable segments | \$10,773 | \$2,001 | \$14,129 | \$5,684 |
| Cost of capital for reportable segments | 4,158 | 4,764 | 8,056 | 9,699 |
| Interest expense | (241) | (333) | (486) | (661) |
| Interest income | 107 | 212 | 181 | 507 |
| Equity in losses of nonconsolidated investments | (94) | - | (241) | - |
| Other income | 51 | 186 | 153 | 329 |
| LIFO credit | 452 | 1,507 | 751 | 1,757 |
| Corporate expense and other unallocated charges | (5,842) | (4,052) | (10,515) | (8,265) |
| Income before income taxes | \$9,364 | \$4,285 | \$12,028 | \$9,050 |

4. ACQUISITIONS

Interlocking Deck Systems International, LLC

On March 23, 2010, the Company purchased, pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement (Purchase Agreement), certain assets of Interlocking Deck Systems International, LLC (IDSi) for \$7,000,000. The purchase price included \$5,050,000 in cash paid on the closing date and \$1,000,000 payable on the first anniversary of the closing, as defined in the Purchase Agreement, and \$950,000 payable on the second anniversary of the closing, with the deferred payment obligations being embodied in a promissory note. No liabilities were assumed in this acquisition. The proforma results for this acquisition are not material to the Company's financial results.

Table of Contents

The acquisition of IDSI will strengthen the Company's position as a leading supplier of steel bridge decking. The acquisition has been accounted for in accordance with ASC 805, "Business Combinations." The Company is in the process of completing its fair market appraisals, including the valuation of certain identifiable intangible assets. Accordingly, the preliminary purchase price allocation is subject to change. The following table presents the preliminary allocation of the aggregate purchase price based on estimated fair values:

| | (in thousands) |
|------------------------|----------------|
| Equipment | \$ 1,241 |
| Inventory | 918 |
| Proprietary software | 90 |
| Non-compete agreements | 1,830 |
| Goodwill | 2,861 |
| Net assets acquired | \$ 6,940 |

Due to the timing of the closing, the above purchase price allocation is based on a preliminary valuation. The measurement period for purchase price allocations ends as soon as information on the facts and circumstances becomes available, but does not exceed a period of 12 months. If new information is obtained about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date that, if known, would have affected the measurement of the amounts recognized for assets assumed, the Company will retrospectively adjust the amounts recognized as of the acquisition date.

The amount allocated to goodwill reflects the premium paid for the right to control the business acquired and synergies the Company expects to realize from expanding our steel bridge decking business and from eliminating redundant selling and administrative responsibilities and workforce efficiencies. The goodwill is deductible for tax purposes and has been allocated to our Construction Products Segment.

Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, other than the specifically identified assets included above, no other assets or liabilities of IDSI were included in the acquisition. IDSI will retain the use of certain of the acquired equipment for a limited transition period to allow IDSI to fulfill existing bids and backlog orders of the business. IDSI will retain all risk and be liable for any damage, loss, destruction or deterioration of the equipment and will deliver any such equipment to the Company, after the transition period, in the same condition as on the closing date.

Acquisition costs were approximately \$17,000 for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and were classified as "Selling and administrative expenses."

Portec Rail Products, Inc.

On February 16, 2010, the Company, Foster Thomas Company, a West Virginia corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company (Purchaser), and Portec Rail Products, Inc., a West Virginia corporation (Portec), entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (Merger Agreement).

Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, Purchaser commenced a tender offer (Offer) for all of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock, \$1.00 par value per share (Company Common Stock), of Portec at a price equal to \$11.71 per share of Company Common Stock (Shares), or approximately \$112,400,000, net to the seller in cash (Per-Share Amount), without interest (and subject to applicable withholding taxes). Upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, following a successful completion of the Offer, Purchaser will be merged with and into Portec with Portec surviving the merger as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company (Merger). In the Merger, each Share (other than Shares owned by the Company, Purchaser, or shareholders, if any,

who have perfected statutory dissenters' rights under West Virginia law) will be converted into the right to receive the Per-Share Amount, without interest (and subject to applicable withholding taxes). The consummation of the Merger is conditioned, among other matters, upon the receipt of necessary approvals under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended (HSR Act).

Table of Contents

Concurrently with the execution of the Merger Agreement, the Company also entered into a Tender and Voting Agreement, dated as of February 16, 2010 (Tender Agreement), with Purchaser and all of the directors and executive officers of Portec (Shareholders). The Shareholders have agreed to tender all of the Shares that each of them owns, including any Shares which such Shareholder acquires ownership of after the date of the Tender Agreement and prior to the termination of the Tender Agreement, to Purchaser in the Offer. Furthermore, each Shareholder has agreed, at any meeting of the shareholders of Portec, to vote all Shares (a) in favor of adopting the Merger Agreement and any transactions contemplated thereby, including the Merger, (b) against any alternative transaction proposal and (c) against any action that would delay, prevent or frustrate the Offer and the Merger and the related transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement.

On May 13, 2010, the Company, Purchaser and Portec executed the First Amendment to the Merger Agreement ("First Amendment") pursuant to which the Drop Dead Date was extended until the close of business on August 31, 2010. The Company and Portec executed a timing agreement dated as of April 29, 2010 with the Antitrust Division pursuant to which the Company and Portec agreed, subject to certain conditions, that they would not consummate the Merger before July 16, 2010, without the Antitrust Division's consent.

On June 24, 2010, the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania dissolved the preliminary injunction issued on April 21, 2010, which had enjoined the completion of the Company's offer to purchase all of the outstanding Shares of Portec.

On July 30, 2010, in accordance with the terms of the Merger Agreement the Purchaser extended the Offer until 12:00 midnight (one minute after 11:59 p.m.) New York City, New York time on Monday, August 16, 2010, unless further extended.

The Antitrust Division of the US Department of Justice (DOJ) has raised antitrust concerns to the Company's proposed acquisition of Portec. The DOJ has expressed concern that the proposed acquisition may have a potentially anti-competitive effect with respect to the merged company's rail joint business. As a condition to the proposed acquisition, the DOJ may seek various restructuring alternatives which may or may not be acceptable to the Company, possibly including the sale of certain assets associated with the merged company's rail joint business.

Acquisition costs were approximately \$684,000 and \$1,155,000 for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and were classified as "Selling and administrative expenses."

5. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The change in the carrying amount of goodwill by segment for the six month period ended June 30, 2010 is as follows (in thousands):

| | (in thousands) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 350 |
| Goodwill from acquisition of IDSI | 2,861 |
| Balance at June 30, 2010 | \$ 3,211 |

The goodwill from the IDSI acquisition is included in the Construction Products segment.

The components of the Company's intangible assets are as follows:

| June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---------------|-------------------|
|---------------|-------------------|

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-Q

| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Non-compete agreements | \$2,180 | \$ (442) | \$350 | \$ (350) |
| Patents | 125 | (106) | 125 | (100) |
| | \$2,305 | \$ (548) | \$475 | \$ (450) |

Table of Contents

In connection with the acquisition of IDSI, the Company preliminarily recorded \$1,830,000 of additional non-compete agreements. The non-compete agreements will be amortized over a period of 60 months. The amount recorded as non-compete agreements is an estimate as of June 30, 2010 and is subject to adjustment during the measurement period.

As the Company has no indefinite lived intangible assets, all intangible assets are amortized over their useful lives ranging from 5 to 10 years. Amortization expense for the three and six month periods ending June 30, 2010 was approximately \$95,000 and \$98,000, respectively. Amortization expense for the three and six month periods ending June 30, 2009 was approximately \$3,000 and \$6,000 respectively. Estimated amortization expense over the succeeding five years is as follows:

| | (In thousands) |
|------------|----------------|
| 2010 | \$ 189 |
| 2011 | 379 |
| 2012 | 366 |
| 2013 | 366 |
| 2014 | 366 |
| Thereafter | 91 |
| | \$ 1,757 |

6. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Credit is extended based upon an evaluation of the customer's financial condition and, generally, collateral is not required. Credit terms are consistent with industry standards and practices. Trade accounts receivable at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 have been reduced by an allowance for doubtful accounts of (\$1,317,000) and (\$1,055,000), respectively.

7. INVENTORIES

Inventories of the Company at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are summarized in the following table:

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|------------------|-------------------------|
| Finished goods | \$85,514 | \$92,190 |
| Work-in-process | 7,047 | 7,814 |
| Raw materials | 17,719 | 16,049 |
| Total inventories at current costs | 110,280 | 116,053 |
| Less: | | |
| LIFO reserve | (9,526) | (10,277) |
| Inventory valuation reserve | (6,590) | (6,794) |
| | \$94,164 | \$98,982 |

Inventories of the Company are generally valued at the lower of last-in, first-out (LIFO) cost or market. Other inventories of the Company are valued at average cost or market, whichever is lower. An actual valuation of inventory under the LIFO method is made at the end of each year based on the inventory levels and costs at that time. Accordingly, interim LIFO calculations are based on management's estimates of expected year-end levels and

costs.

8. INVESTMENTS

Investments of the Company at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are summarized in the following table:

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|------------------|-------------------------|
| Available-for-sale marketable equity securities | \$2,080 | \$1,958 |
| Equity method investment | 1,659 | 1,400 |
| | \$3,739 | \$3,358 |

Table of Contents

Additional information regarding the Company's marketable securities is as follows:

| | Cost | June 30, 2010 | | Fair Value |
|---|--------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Available-for-sale marketable equity securities | \$ 794 | \$ 1,286 | \$ - | \$ 2,080 |

| | Cost | December 31, 2009 | | Fair Value |
|---|--------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Available-for-sale marketable equity securities | \$ 794 | \$ 1,164 | \$ - | \$ 1,958 |

9. INVESTMENT IN JOINT VENTURE

During 2009 the Company completed the formation of a joint venture with L B Industries, Inc. and James Legg for a period of 9.5 years. The Company, along with L B Industries, Inc., has a 45% ownership interest in the joint venture, L B Pipe & Coupling Products, LLC (JV), which commenced operations in January 2010. The venture manufactures, markets and sells various products for the energy, utility and construction markets.

Under applicable guidance for variable interest entities in ASC 810, "Consolidation," the Company determined that the JV is a variable interest entity, as the JV has not demonstrated that it has sufficient equity to support its operations without additional financial support. The Company concluded that it is not the primary beneficiary of the variable interest entity, as the Company does not have a controlling financial interest and does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the JV. Accordingly, the Company concluded that the equity method of accounting remains appropriate.

The Company's exposure to loss results from its capital contributions, net of the Company's share of the venture's gains or losses, and its net investment in the direct financing lease covering the facility used by the JV for its operations. The carrying amounts with the maximum exposure to loss of the Company at June 30, 2010 are as follows:

| | (in thousands) |
|--|----------------|
| Equity method investment | \$ 1,659 |
| Net investment in direct financing lease | 1,029 |
| | \$ 2,688 |

The Company is leasing 5 acres of land and a facility to the JV over a period of 9.5 years, with a 5.5 year renewal period. Monthly rent over the term of the lease is approximately \$10,000, with a balloon payment of approximately \$488,000 which is required to be paid either at the termination of the lease, allocated over the renewal period or during the initial term of the lease. This lease qualifies as a direct financing lease under the applicable guidance in ASC 840-30, "Leases." At June 30, 2010, the Company maintained a net investment in this direct financing lease of approximately \$1,029,000.

The Company recorded equity in the losses of the JV of approximately \$94,000 and \$241,000 for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010.

10. DEFERRED REVENUE

Deferred revenue consists of customer payments received for which the sales process has been substantially completed but the right to recognize revenue has not yet been met. Deferred revenue as of June 30, 2010 related primarily to one customer. The Company has significantly fulfilled its obligations under the contract and the customer has paid, but due to the Company's continuing involvement with the material while in storage, revenue is precluded from being recognized until the customer takes possession.

Table of Contents

11. BORROWINGS

The Company's maximum credit line is \$90,000,000 under a fourth amendment to the Amended and Restated Revolving Credit and Security Agreement (Agreement) with a syndicate of three banks led by PNC Bank, N.A. The revolving credit facility is secured by substantially all of the trade receivables and inventory owned by the Company. Revolving credit facility availability under the Agreement is limited by the amount of eligible accounts receivable and inventory, applied against certain advance rates, and are limited to 85% of eligible receivables and 60% of eligible inventory. Additionally, the fourth amendment established a \$20,000,000 term loan that was immediately applied to pay down existing amounts outstanding on the revolving credit facility. The term loan is being amortized on a term of seven years with a balloon payment on the remaining outstanding principal due at the maturity of the Agreement, May 2011. If average availability should fall below \$10,000,000 over a 30-day period, the loans become immediately secured by a lien on the Company's equipment that is not encumbered by other liens.

Revolving credit facility borrowings placed in LIBOR contracts are priced at prevailing LIBOR rates, plus 1.25%. Borrowings placed in other tranches are priced at the prevailing prime rate, minus 1.00%. The term loan base rate spread is fixed at prime minus 0.75% and the LIBOR spread is fixed at plus 1.50%.

The Company is permitted to use various additional debt instruments to finance capital expenditures, outside of borrowings under the Agreement, under limitations as defined in the Agreement. Under the amended Agreement, the Company maintains dominion over its cash at all times, as long as excess availability stays over \$5,000,000 and there is no uncured event of default.

In March 2009, the Company entered into a fifth amendment to the Agreement which became effective as of December 31, 2008 and changed certain financial covenants included in the Agreement by creating an exclusion standard in the Agreement. This standard, which is met by the Company when revolving credit facility borrowings do not exceed \$20,000,000 and unused borrowing commitment is at least \$50,000,000, allows for certain items, as defined in the amendment, to be excluded in determining the fixed charge coverage ratio. Additionally, the amendment redefines the Company's calculation of earnings before interest and taxes by excluding any charges and credits related to the Company's LIFO method of accounting for inventory.

The fifth amendment also includes a revised minimum net worth covenant and a revised maximum level for annual consolidated capital expenditures of \$15,000,000.

In November 2009, the Company entered into a sixth amendment to the Agreement. This amendment permits the Company to spend up to \$15,000,000, subject to overall limitations on acquisitions, to acquire non-domestic entities which do not become a borrower, as defined by the Agreement, to the Agreement. This amendment also raised the limit to \$15,000,000 on the amount of assets, as defined in the Agreement, which the Company is permitted to sell. Additionally, the sixth amendment eliminated the unscheduled prepayments of debt from the calculation of the fixed charge coverage ratio, as defined in the agreement.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all of the Agreement's covenants.

Under the term loan, the Company had \$11,667,000 outstanding at June 30, 2010 all of which was classified as current. At December 31, 2009 the Company had \$13,095,000 outstanding of which \$10,476,000 was noncurrent.

At June 30, 2010, there were no outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility and the Company had approximately \$69,419,000 in unused borrowing availability.

The Company's ability to pay cash dividends is limited by the Agreement.

Table of Contents

12. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share:

| (in thousands, except earnings per share) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per common share | | | | |
| - | | | | |
| net income available to common stockholders: | \$5,987 | \$2,652 | \$7,740 | \$5,671 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average shares | 10,190 | 10,148 | 10,181 | 10,176 |
| Denominator for basic earnings per common share | 10,190 | 10,148 | 10,181 | 10,176 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Employee stock options | 82 | 101 | 85 | 101 |
| Other stock compensation plans | 41 | 26 | 38 | 41 |
| Dilutive potential common shares | 123 | 127 | 123 | 142 |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per common share - | | | | |
| adjusted weighted average shares and assumed conversions | 10,313 | 10,275 | 10,304 | 10,318 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$0.59 | \$0.26 | \$0.76 | \$0.56 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$0.58 | \$0.26 | \$0.75 | \$0.55 |

13. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company applies the provisions of FASB ASC 718, "Compensation – Stock Compensation," to account for the Company's share-based compensation. Share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the calculated fair value of the award and is recognized over the employees' requisite service period. The Company recorded stock compensation expense of \$584,000 and \$422,000 for the three month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and \$799,000 and \$545,000 for the six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, related to stock option awards, restricted stock awards and performance unit awards as discussed below.

Stock Option Awards

The Company recorded no stock compensation expense related to stock option awards for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010. The Company recorded stock compensation expense of \$9,000 and \$25,000 for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009, respectively, with related deferred tax benefits of \$3,000 and \$9,000, respectively.

There were no nonvested awards at June 30, 2010 and 2009. There were no stock options granted during the first six months of 2010 or 2009.

At June 30, 2010 and 2009, common stock options outstanding under the plans had option prices ranging from \$2.75 to \$14.77, with a weighted average exercise price of \$6.68 and \$5.59 per share, respectively.

The weighted average remaining contractual life of the stock options outstanding at June 30, 2010 and 2009 were 3.1 years.

Table of Contents

Options exercised during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 totaled 50,000 and 70,000 shares, respectively. The weighted average exercise price per share of the options exercised during the six month period ended June 30, 2010 was \$3.90. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 was \$1,112,000 and \$1,638,000, respectively. Options exercised during the six month period ended June 30, 2009 totaled 5,500 shares. The weighted average exercise price per share of the options exercised during the six month period ended June 30, 2009 was \$3.78. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the six month period ended June 30, 2009 was \$153,000.

A summary of the option activity as of June 30, 2010 is presented below.

| | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--|-----------|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding and Exercisable at January 1, 2010 | 180,950 | \$5.60 | 2.7 | |
| Granted | - | - | - | |
| Canceled | - | - | - | |
| Exercised | (70,000) | 3.90 | - | |
| Outstanding and Exercisable at June 30, 2010 | 110,950 | \$6.68 | 3.1 | \$2,134,678 |

The total intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable at June 30, 2009 was \$4,632,000.

Shares issued as a result of stock option exercises generally are previously issued shares which have been reacquired by the Company and held as Treasury shares or authorized but previously unissued common stock.

Restricted Stock Awards

During the six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 there were 12,000 and 10,500, respectively, fully vested restricted stock awards granted to the outside directors of the Company. The weighted average fair value per share of these restricted stock awards was \$28.32 and \$29.89, respectively. Compensation expense recorded by the Company related to these restricted stock awards was approximately \$340,000 and \$314,000, respectively, for the six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

A summary of the restricted stock awards activity as of June 30, 2010 is presented below.

| | Restricted Shares | Weighted Average Fair Value | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Fair Value |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2010 | - | \$- | - | \$- |
| Granted | 12,000 | 28.32 | | 339,840 |
| Vested | (12,000) | 28.32 | | (339,840) |
| Canceled | - | - | | - |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2010 | - | \$- | - | \$- |

Table of Contents

For the six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company granted approximately 32,000 and 18,000 shares, respectively, of restricted stock to individuals who are not outside directors:

| Grant Date | Units | Grant Date Fair Value | Aggregate Fair Value | Vesting Date |
|---------------|--------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| March 3, 2009 | 17,561 | \$20.63 | \$362,283 | March 3, 2013 |
| March 3, 2010 | 12,185 | 31.92 | 388,945 | March 3, 2014 |
| May 28, 2010 | 2,500 | 28.07 | 70,175 | February 28, 2012 |
| May 28, 2010 | 17,500 | 28.07 | 491,225 | May 28, 2014 |

Performance Unit Awards

Under separate three year incentive plans pursuant to the 2006 Omnibus Plan, as amended, the Company granted the following performance units during the six month periods ended June 30:

| Incentive Plan | Grant Date | Units | Grant Date Fair Value | Aggregate Fair Value | Vesting Date |
|-------------------|---------------|--------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| 2009 - 2011 | March 3, 2009 | 52,672 | \$20.63 | \$1,086,623 | March 3, 2012 |
| 2010 - 2012 | March 2, 2010 | 36,541 | 31.83 | 1,163,100 | March 2, 2013 |

These awards can be earned based upon the Company's performance relative to performance measures as defined in the plan. These awards are subject to forfeiture, cannot be transferred until four years after their grant date and will be converted into common stock of the Company based upon conversion multiples as defined in the underlying plan. The aggregate fair value in the above table is based upon reaching 100% of the performance targets as defined in the underlying plan. The number of shares awarded under the 2010 – 2012 Three Year Incentive Plan was determined using an average grant date fair value of \$29.39 over a ten day period in February 2010. The number of shares awarded under the 2009 – 2011 Three Year Incentive Plan was determined using an average grant date fair value of \$23.21 over a ten day period in February 2009.

Relative to the restricted stock awards granted to the non-outside directors and the performance unit awards, the Company recorded compensation expense of \$244,000 and \$99,000, respectively, for the three month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. For the six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded compensation expense of \$459,000 and \$206,000, respectively, for these awards. Shares issued as a result of restricted stock awards generally are previously issued shares which have been reacquired by the Company and held as Treasury shares or authorized but previously unissued common stock.

These forfeitable restricted stock awards time-vest after a four year holding period, unless indicated otherwise by the underlying agreement.

14. RETIREMENT PLANS

Retirement Plans

The Company has four plans covering all hourly and salaried employees, specifically two defined benefit plans (one active and one frozen) and two defined contribution plans. Employees are eligible to participate in these specific plans based on their employment classification. The Company's funding to the defined benefit and defined contribution plans is governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), applicable plan policy and investment guidelines. The Company policy is to contribute at least the minimum funding required by ERISA.

Table of Contents

Defined Benefit Plans

Net periodic pension costs for both plans for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Service cost | \$7 | \$7 | \$13 | \$14 |
| Interest cost | 66 | 65 | 133 | 130 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (71) | (57) | (141) | (114) |
| Prior service cost | - | 1 | - | 2 |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 27 | 35 | 52 | 70 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$29 | \$51 | \$57 | \$102 |

The Company expects to contribute approximately \$177,000 to its defined benefit plans in 2010. Contributions through June 30, 2010 were approximately \$44,000.

Defined Contribution Plans

The Company has a defined contribution plan that covers all non-union hourly and all salaried employees. This plan permits both pretax and after-tax employee contributions. Participants can contribute, subject to statutory limitations, between 1% and 75% of eligible pre-tax pay and between 1% and 100% of eligible after-tax pay. The Company's employer match is 100% of the first 1% of deferred eligible compensation and, based on years of service, up to 50% of the next 6% of deferred eligible compensation, for a total maximum potential match of 4%. The Company may also make discretionary contributions to the Plan. The expense associated with this plan for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 was \$472,000 and \$782,000. The expense associated with this plan for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2009 was \$338,000 and \$753,000.

The Company also has a defined contribution plan for union hourly employees with contributions made by both the participants and the Company based on various formulas. The expense associated with this active plan for the three month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$9,000 and for the six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$16,000.

15. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

FASB ASC Topic 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures," defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. ASC 820 does not require any new fair value measurements, but it does apply to existing accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. The Company applies the provisions of Topic 820 to all its assets and liabilities that are being measured and reported on a fair value basis.

ASC 820 discusses valuation techniques, such as the market approach (comparable market prices), the income approach (present value of future income or cash flow) and the cost approach (cost to replace the service capacity of an asset or replacement cost). Topic 820 enables readers of financial statements to assess the inputs used to develop those measurements by establishing a hierarchy, which prioritizes those inputs used, for ranking the quality and reliability of the information used to determine fair values. The standard requires that each asset and liability carried at fair value be classified into one of the following categories:

Level 1: Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

Table of Contents

The Company has an established process for determining fair value for its financial assets and liabilities, principally cash and cash equivalents, available-for-sale securities and foreign exchange contracts. Fair value is based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based on assumptions that use as inputs market-based parameters. The following sections describe the valuation methodologies used by the Company to measure different financial instruments at fair value, including an indication of the level in the fair value hierarchy in which each instrument is generally classified. Where appropriate the description includes details of the key inputs to the valuations and any significant assumptions.

Cash equivalents. Included within “Cash and cash equivalents” are principally investments in tax-free and taxable money market funds with municipal bond issuances as the underlying securities as well as government agency obligations and corporate bonds, all of which maintain AAA credit ratings. Also included within cash equivalents are our investments in bank certificates of deposit. The Company uses quoted market prices to determine the fair value of these investments and they are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The carrying amounts approximate fair value because of the short maturity of the instruments.

Available-for-sale equity securities. The Company uses quoted market prices to determine the fair value of its available-for-sale securities. These instruments consist of exchange-traded equity securities, are included within “Investments” and are classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Unrealized gains and temporary unrealized losses are included in accumulated other comprehensive income or loss, respectively.

Derivative contracts. The Company uses significant other observable inputs that are readily available in public markets or can be derived from information available in publicly quoted markets to determine the fair value of its derivative contracts. These instruments consist of foreign exchange contracts, are included within “Other accrued liabilities,” and are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Fluctuations in the fair values of derivative instruments are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss and reclassified into earnings as the underlying hedged items affect earnings. There were no such instruments as of June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

The following assets and liabilities were measured at fair value on a recurring basis subject to the disclosure requirements of ASC Topic 820 at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--|---|--|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 120,311 | \$ 120,311 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Bank certificates of deposit | 10,051 | 10,051 | - | - |
| Cash equivalents at fair value | 130,362 | 130,362 | - | - |
| Available-for-sale equity securities | 2,080 | 2,080 | - | - |
| | \$ 132,442 | \$ 132,442 | \$ - | \$ - |

| (in thousands) | December 31, 2009 | Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|--|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| Assets | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 109,131 | \$ 109,131 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Bank certificates of deposit | 15,115 | 15,115 | - | - |
| Cash equivalents at fair value | 124,246 | 124,246 | - | - |
| Available-for-sale equity securities | 1,958 | 1,958 | - | - |
| | \$ 126,204 | \$ 126,204 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Derivatives | \$ (18) | \$ - | \$ (18) | \$ - |
| Total other accrued liabilities | (18) | - | (18) | - |
| | \$ (18) | \$ - | \$ (18) | \$ - |

16. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income represents net income plus certain stockholders' equity changes not reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The components of comprehensive income, net of tax, were as follows:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|----------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2009 | June 30, 2010 | June 30, 2009 |
| Net income | \$5,987 | \$2,652 | \$7,740 | \$5,671 |

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|---------|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Market value adjustments for investments | (27 |) | 866 | 76 | 654 |
| Unrealized derivative gains on cash flow hedges | - | | 25 | 11 | 34 |
| Comprehensive income | \$5,960 | | \$3,543 | \$7,827 | \$6,359 |

18

Table of Contents

17. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

The Company is subject to laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment, and the Company's efforts to comply with environmental regulations may have an adverse effect on its future earnings. In the opinion of management, compliance with the present environmental protection laws will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, competitive position, or capital expenditures of the Company.

The Company is subject to legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of its business. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to these actions will not materially affect the financial condition or liquidity of the Company. The resolution, in any reporting period, of one or more of these matters could have a material effect on the Company's results of operations for that period.

In November 2005, the City of Clearfield, Utah, filed suit in the Second District Court, Davis County, Utah, against the Utah Department of Transportation, a general contractor, four design engineers and/or consultants, a bonding company and the Company. The City alleged that the design and engineering of an overpass in 2000 had been faulty and that the Company had provided the mechanical stabilized earth wall system for the project. The City alleged that the embankment to the overpass began, in 2001, to fail and slide away from the stabilized earth wall system, resulting in damage in excess of \$3,000,000. The City has agreed to settle its claims against several of the defendants and this settlement has been challenged by other defendants. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to these claims, that the Company's products complied with all applicable specifications and that other factors accounted for any alleged failure. The Company has referred this matter to its insurance carrier, which, although it reserved its right to deny coverage, has undertaken the defense of this claim.

On April 21, 2010, the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania (Court) entered an order in the matter captioned In re Portec Rail Products, Inc. Shareholders Litigation preliminarily enjoining Purchaser from completing the Offer. On June 24, the Court dissolved the preliminary injunction.

In March 2009, the Company discovered that some of the prestressed concrete railroad ties manufactured in 2004 and 2005 by its CXT Rail operation in Grand Island, NE had failed in track. The Company believes the cause was related to equipment fatigue on one production line at its Grand Island, NE facility before it was retrofitted with new equipment in the fall of 2005. The Company recorded a charge of \$1,600,000 within cost of goods sold for the Company's estimate of cracked concrete ties for the three month period ended March 31, 2009.

During the second quarter of 2009, the Company, along with customer personnel, inspected the ties in question to confirm the number of cracked concrete ties. Upon conclusion of this inspection, the Company recorded an additional charge of \$1,124,000 within cost of goods sold during the second quarter ended June 30, 2009 bringing the cumulative warranty charge related to this issue to \$2,724,000.

For certain manufactured products, the Company maintains a product liability accrual which is adjusted on a monthly basis as a percentage of cost of sales. This product liability accrual is periodically adjusted based on the identification or resolution of known individual product liability claims. The following table illustrates the Company's product warranty accrual:

| | (in thousands) |
|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 3,367 |
| Additions to warranty liability | 554 |
| Warranty liability utilized | (1,910) |
| Balance at June 30, 2010 | \$ 2,011 |

Included within the above table is the remaining concrete tie warranty related to the previously discussed cracked concrete tie charges of approximately \$819,000 as of June 30, 2010. While the Company believes this is a reasonable estimate of this potential warranty claim, this estimate could change due to new information and future events. We have had recent discussions with a large customer regarding additional ties that this customer contends are damaged ties subject to warranty replacement. We believe that most of these ties are either structurally sound or damaged due to causes other than those which would require warranty replacement. Based upon our preliminary assessment, we do not believe that these requests for warranty replacements, which relate to ties made with equipment and processes that were replaced in 2005, are valid. There can be no assurance at this point that future potential costs pertaining to this claim or other potential future claims will not have a material impact on our results of operations.

At June 30, 2010 the Company had outstanding letters of credit of approximately \$803,000.

Table of Contents

18. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company adopted the required enhanced disclosures of FASB ASC Topic 815, “Derivatives and Hedging” on January 1, 2009. Topic 815 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity’s derivative and hedging activities, including (i) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (ii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted, and (iii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity’s financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

The Company does not purchase or hold any derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. The Company uses derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate exposure on variable-rate debt, primarily by using interest rate collars and variable interest rate swaps. The Company’s primary source of variable-rate debt comes from its revolving credit agreement.

At contract inception, the Company designates its derivative instruments as hedges. The Company recognizes all derivative instruments on the balance sheet at fair value. Fluctuations in the fair values of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings within other income as the underlying hedged items affect earnings. To the extent that a change in interest rate derivative does not perfectly offset the change in value of the interest rate being hedged, the ineffective portion is recognized in earnings immediately.

The Company is not subject to significant exposures to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The Company will, however, manage its exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates on firm sale and purchase commitments by entering into foreign currency forward contracts. The Company’s risk management objective is to reduce its exposure to the effects of changes in exchange rates on these transactions over the duration of the transactions.

In the fourth quarter of 2008, the Company entered into a commitment with a notional amount of approximately \$630,000 to buy Euro funds based on the anticipated receipt of Euro funds from the purchase of certain rail in the first quarter of 2009. During the first quarter of 2009, the Company determined that the receipt of Euros would not coincide with the purchase commitment and the Company recorded a loss of approximately \$7,000 to record this commitment at market which was reported as “Other (Income) Expense” within the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In the first quarter of 2009, the Company entered into commitments with notional amounts totaling approximately \$974,000 to buy Euro funds based on the anticipated receipt of Euro funds from the purchase of certain rail in the second quarter of 2009. During the second quarter of 2009, these commitments matured for a realized gain of approximately \$105,000.

In the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company entered into commitments with notional amounts totaling approximately \$2,624,000 to sell Canadian funds based on the anticipated receipt of Canadian funds from the sale of certain rail in first quarter of 2010. During the first quarter of 2010, the Company determined that the receipt of Canadian funds would not coincide with the purchase commitment and the Company recorded a loss of approximately \$59,000 to record this commitment at market. During the first quarter of 2010, the Company entered into commitments with notional amounts totaling approximately \$2,683,000 to sell Canadian funds based on the anticipated receipt of Canadian funds from the aforementioned sale of certain rail in the first quarter of 2010. This contract matured for a realized gain of approximately \$59,000. These gains and losses were reported as “Other Income” within the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

General

L. B. Foster Company is a leading manufacturer, fabricator and distributor of products for the rail, construction, utility and energy markets. The Company is comprised of three business segments: Rail products, Construction products and Tubular products.

Recent Developments

On February 16, 2010 we entered into a Merger Agreement with Portec to acquire all of the issued and outstanding shares of Portec's common stock at a price equal to \$11.71 per share, or approximately \$112.4 million. Concurrently with the execution of the Merger Agreement, we also made a cash Tender Offer to purchase all of the shares of Portec. As of July 29, 2010, Shareholders collectively owning 6,185,458 shares, approximately 64.41% of the outstanding Shares, agreed to tender all such shares and vote in favor of adopting the Merger Agreement.

On May 13, 2010, the Company, Purchaser and Portec executed the First Amendment to the Merger Agreement ("First Amendment") pursuant to which the Drop Dead Date was extended until the close of business on August 31, 2010. The Company and Portec executed a timing agreement dated as of April 29, 2010 with the Antitrust Division pursuant to which the Company and Portec agreed, subject to certain conditions, that they would not consummate the Merger before July 16, 2010, without the Antitrust Division's consent.

On June 24, 2010, the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania dissolved the preliminary injunction issued on April 21, 2010, which had enjoined the completion of the Company's offer to purchase all of the outstanding Shares of Portec.

On July 30, 2010, in accordance with the terms of the Merger Agreement the Purchaser extended the Offer until 12:00 midnight (one minute after 11:59 p.m.) New York City, New York time on Monday, August 16, 2010, unless further extended.

The Antitrust Division of the US Department of Justice (DOJ) has raised antitrust concerns to the Company's proposed acquisition of Portec. The DOJ has expressed concern that the proposed acquisition may have a potentially anti-competitive effect with respect to the merged company's rail joint business. As a condition to the proposed acquisition, the DOJ may seek various restructuring alternatives which may or may not be acceptable, possibly including the sale of certain assets associated with the merged company's rail joint business.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. When more than one accounting principle, or method of its application, is generally accepted, management selects the principle or method that is appropriate in the Company's specific circumstances. Application of these accounting principles requires management to make estimates about the future resolution of existing uncertainties. As a result, actual results could differ from these estimates. In preparing these financial statements, management has made its best estimates and judgments of the amounts and disclosures included in the financial statements giving due regard to materiality. There have been no material changes in the Company's critical accounting policies or estimates since December 31, 2009. For more information regarding the Company's critical accounting policies, please see the Management's Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued changes to the consolidation guidance applicable to a variable interest entity (VIE). FASB ASC Topic 810, "Consolidation," amends the guidance governing the determination of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE, and is, therefore, required to consolidate an entity, by requiring a qualitative analysis rather than a quantitative analysis. The qualitative analysis will include, among other things, consideration of who has the power to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and who has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. This standard also requires continuous reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE. Previously, FIN 46R required reconsideration of whether an enterprise was the primary beneficiary of a VIE only when specific events had occurred. FASB ASC 810 also requires enhanced disclosures about an enterprise's involvement with a VIE. We adopted the changes issued by the FASB to accounting for VIE's on January 1, 2010.

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-06, "Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements." This Update provides amendments to FASB ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements," that requires entities to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers. In addition, the Update requires entities to present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). The disclosures related to Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements are effective for the Company beginning in 2010 and the disclosures related to Level 3 fair value measurements are effective for the Company in 2011. The Update requires only new disclosures and had no impact on our financial statements.

Table of Contents

Quarterly Results of Operations

| | Three Months Ended | | Percent of Total Net Revenues | | Percent | |
|--|----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|---------|---------------------|--|
| | June 30, | | Three Months Ended | | Increase/(Decrease) | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 vs. 2009 | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | |
| Net Sales: | | | | | | |
| Rail Products | \$ 52,685 | \$ 46,362 | 44.1 % | 46.7 % | 13.6 % | |
| Construction Products | 59,179 | 47,867 | 49.5 | 48.2 | 23.6 | |
| Tubular Products | 7,640 | 5,119 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 49.2 | |
| Total Net Sales | \$ 119,504 | \$ 99,348 | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 20.3 % | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Three Months Ended | | Gross Profit Percentage | | Percent | |
| | June 30, | | Three Months Ended | | Increase/(Decrease) | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 vs. 2009 | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | |
| Gross Profit: | | | | | | |
| Rail Products | \$ 6,839 | \$ 2,940 | 13.0 % | 6.3 % | 132.6 % | |
| Construction Products | 11,917 | 8,128 | 20.1 | 17.0 | 46.6 | |
| Tubular Products | 1,545 | 619 | 20.2 | 12.1 | 149.6 | |
| LIFO Credit | 452 | 1,507 | 0.4 | 1.5 | ** | |
| Other | (438) | (362) | (0.4) | (0.4) | 21.0 | |
| Total Gross Profit | \$ 20,315 | \$ 12,832 | 17.0 % | 12.9 % | 58.3 % | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Three Months Ended | | Percent of Total Net Revenues | | Percent | |
| | June 30, | | Three Months Ended | | Increase/(Decrease) | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 vs. 2009 | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | |
| Expenses: | | | | | | |
| Selling and Administrative Expenses | \$ 10,774 | \$ 8,612 | 9.0 % | 8.7 % | 25.1 % | |
| Interest Expense | 241 | 333 | 0.2 | 0.3 | (27.6) | |
| Interest Income | (107) | (212) | (0.1) | (0.2) | (49.5) | |
| Equity in Losses of Nonconsolidated Investment | 94 | - | 0.1 | - | ** | |
| Other Income | (51) | (186) | (0.0) | (0.2) | (72.6) | |
| Total Expenses | 10,951 | 8,547 | 9.2 % | 8.6 % | 28.1 % | |
| | | | | | | |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 9,364 | 4,285 | 7.8 % | 4.3 % | 118.5 % | |
| Income Tax Expense | 3,377 | 1,633 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 106.8 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Net Income | \$ 5,987 | \$ 2,652 | 5.0 % | 2.7 % | 125.8 % | |

** Results of calculation are not meaningful for presentation purposes.

Second Quarter 2010 Compared to Second Quarter 2009 – Company Analysis

Net income for the second quarter of 2010 was \$0.58 per diluted share which compares favorably to net income for the second quarter of 2009 of \$0.26 per diluted share. The 2010 improvement in gross profit is due principally to negative adjustments of approximately \$3.7 million taken in the 2009 period due to concrete tie problems as well as a \$2.1 million improvement in manufacturing variances in 2010 as compared to the prior year quarter.

Acquisition costs of approximately \$0.7 million, primarily related to our acquisition of Portec, increased bad debts expense of approximately \$0.5 million and increased results-driven incentive compensation costs of approximately \$1.0 million resulted in increased selling and administrative expenses over the prior year quarter. Included in net income for the current period is our share of the losses from our equity investment in the joint venture, which is reported as “Equity in Losses of Nonconsolidated Investment.” As the joint venture had not yet commenced significant revenue-generating activities, we recorded our 45% share of its start-up related expenses for the current quarter. The decreased effective income tax rate for the second quarter of 2010 to 36.1% from 38.1% in the prior year quarter resulted from an increased domestic manufacturing deduction.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations – Segment Analysis

Rail Products

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Increase/ (Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|---|---|-----|---|
| | 2010 | 2009 | | | | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 52,685 | \$ 46,362 | \$ 6,323 | 13.6 | % | |
| Gross Profit | \$ 6,839 | \$ 2,940 | \$ 3,899 | 132.6 | | |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 13.0 | % | 6.3 | % | 6.6 | % |

Second Quarter 2010 Compared to Second Quarter 2009

Our rail distribution and Allegheny Rail Products (ARP) divisions contributed improved sales performance in the 2010 second quarter. Both of these divisions have experienced an increase in the sales volumes in the current quarter compared to the prior year. While CXT concrete ties sales increased in the current period, during the second quarter of 2009 the UPRR refused to accept, due to the alleged quality of certain raw materials, certain prestressed concrete railroad ties produced at our Grand Island, NE facility reducing sales by approximately \$2.8 million. Partially offsetting these developments are inconsistent market conditions which have negatively impacted our trackwork division.

The product rejection previously mentioned caused a corresponding negative impact of approximately \$2.6 million within our CXT concrete tie gross profit. Additionally, we recognized an additional \$1.1 million tie warranty expense associated with the tie failures first identified in the first quarter of 2009. Excluding these two prior period issues, the rest of our divisions within the Rail Products segment reported gross profits generally consistent with the prior period.

Table of Contents

Construction Products

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Increase/ (Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|--|---|
| | 2010 | 2009 | | |
| Dollars in thousands | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 59,179 | \$ 47,867 | \$ 11,312 | 23.6 % |
| Gross Profit | \$ 11,917 | \$ 8,128 | \$ 3,789 | 46.6 % |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 20.1 % | 17.0 % | 3.2 % | 18.6 % |

Second Quarter 2010 Compared to Second Quarter 2009

With the exception of the flat results within our fabricated products division, the rest of our Construction Products divisions reported improved sales in the 2010 period, led by our concrete buildings division. Our concrete buildings division benefitted from significantly increased orders received from federal agencies, much of which is attributable to stimulus legislation. Improved sales volumes of sheet piling offset declines in sales prices yielding piling sales growth.

All of the divisions within our Construction Products segment experienced margin growth in the current quarter over the prior year period with the exception of our piling division. Our piling division continues to experience margin pressure in the current highly competitive market, although improved volumes have helped to mitigate this unfavorable impact. Improved sales volumes are also reducing unfavorable plant expenses throughout the segment.

Tubular Products

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Increase/ (Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|---|---|
| | 2010 | 2009 | | |
| Dollars in thousands | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 7,640 | \$ 5,119 | \$ 2,521 | 49.2 % |
| Gross Profit | \$ 1,545 | \$ 619 | \$ 926 | 149.6 % |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 20.2 % | 12.1 % | 8.1 % | 67.2 % |

Second Quarter 2010 Compared to Second Quarter 2009

The second quarter of 2010 has yielded growth in new orders having a favorable impact in the volumes at both our coated pipe and threaded products divisions over the prior year quarter. This increase in sales volumes has positively impacted the gross profit margins within our coated division. Our threaded products division has experienced margin growth due to improved purchase price variances in 2010 over the prior period.

Table of Contents

Year-to-date Results of Operations

| | Six Months Ended | | Percent of Total Net Revenues | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------|-------------------------------|---------|---|
| | June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Dollars in thousands | | | | | |
| Net Sales: | | | | | |
| Rail Products | \$ 93,745 | \$ 102,672 | 46.5 % | 51.1 % | (8.7) % |
| Construction Products | 95,381 | 85,784 | 47.3 | 42.7 | 11.2 |
| Tubular Products | 12,380 | 12,505 | 6.1 | 6.2 | (1.0) |
| Total Net Sales | \$ 201,506 | \$ 200,961 | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 0.3 % |

| | Six Months Ended | | Gross Profit Percentage | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 |
|-----------------------|------------------|-----------|---------------------------|--------|---|
| | June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Dollars in thousands | | | | | |
| Gross Profit: | | | | | |
| Rail Products | \$ 12,633 | \$ 7,462 | 13.5 % | 7.3 % | 69.3 % |
| Construction Products | 17,911 | 15,377 | 18.8 | 17.9 | 16.5 |
| Tubular Products | 1,926 | 2,633 | 15.6 | 21.1 | (26.9) |
| LIFO Credit | 751 | 1,757 | 0.4 | 0.9 | ** |
| Other | (833) | (715) | (0.4) | (0.4) | 16.5 |
| Total Gross Profit | \$ 32,388 | \$ 26,514 | 16.1 % | 13.2 % | 22.2 % |

| | Six Months Ended | | Percent of Total Net Revenues | | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 |
|--|------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|--------|---|
| | June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Dollars in thousands | | | | | |
| Expenses: | | | | | |
| Selling and Administrative Expenses | \$ 19,967 | \$ 17,639 | 9.9 % | 8.8 % | 13.2 % |
| Interest Expense | 486 | 661 | 0.2 | 0.3 | (26.5) |
| Interest Income | (181) | (507) | (0.1) | (0.3) | (64.3) |
| Equity in Losses of Nonconsolidated Investment | 241 | - | 0.1 | - | ** |
| Other Income | (153) | (329) | (0.1) | (0.2) | (53.5) |
| Total Expenses | 20,360 | 17,464 | 10.1 % | 8.7 % | 16.6 % |
| Income Before Income Taxes | 12,028 | 9,050 | 6.0 % | 4.5 % | 32.9 % |
| Income Tax Expense | 4,288 | 3,379 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 26.9 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------|----------|-----|---|-----|---|------|---|
| Net Income | \$ 7,740 | \$ 5,671 | 3.8 | % | 2.8 | % | 36.5 | % |
|------------|----------|----------|-----|---|-----|---|------|---|

** Results of calculation are not meaningful for presentation purposes.

First Six Months of 2010 Compared to First Six Months of 2009 – Company Analysis

Net income for the first half of 2010 was \$0.75 per diluted share, an improvement from net income for the first half of 2009 of \$0.55 per diluted share. The 2010 improvement in gross profit is due principally to negative adjustments of approximately \$5.3 million taken in the 2009 period due to concrete tie problems. We currently estimate a reduction of our LIFO reserve requirements during 2010, as a result of falling inventory prices, and have recorded a pro rata portion of this reserve reduction in cost of goods sold.

The leading causes of the increased Selling and Administrative costs for the first six months of 2010 are acquisition costs of \$1.2 million, incentive compensation costs of \$0.9 million and bad debt expense of \$0.5 million. A reduction in our outstanding debt, coupled with moderately lower interest rates, lead to a reduction of interest expense over the prior year period. The reduction in interest income was due to weak interest rates earned by our investment portfolio. Included in net income for the current six months is our share of the losses from our equity investment in the joint venture, which is reported as “Equity in Losses of Nonconsolidated Investment.” The effective income tax rate for the first six months of 2010 was 35.7% compared to 37.3% in the prior year period. The decrease was due to an increased domestic manufacturing deduction and the reversal of a reserve previously recorded for an uncertain tax position.

Table of Contents

Year-to-date Results of Operations – Segment Analysis

Rail Products

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Increase/ (Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------|---|---|-----|---|------|---|
| | 2010 | 2009 | | | | | | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 93,745 | \$ 102,672 | \$ (8,927) | (8.7 |)% | | | |
| Gross Profit | \$ 12,633 | \$ 7,462 | \$ 5,171 | 69.3 | % | | | |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 13.5 | % | 7.3 | % | 6.2 | % | 85.4 | % |

First Six Months of 2010 Compared to First Six Months of 2009

While volumes have remained consistent, lower selling prices within our rail distribution business is the primary contributing factor to the 2010 decrease in Rail Products sales. Weak industrial market conditions have led to lower new orders within our trackwork division and resulted in lower sales. Partially offsetting these decreases was the aforementioned 2009 sales return which hampered prior year sales within our CXT concrete ties division and increased ARP sales volumes.

The drivers of the increase in our Rail Products gross profit was the adverse prior year gross profit charges of \$2.6 million for the rejected concrete tie sales and \$2.7 million for the concrete tie warranty issue. However, our rail distribution business has been battling market condition-driven lower selling prices leading to lower margin results.

Due to our expectations that Class 1 railroad capital spending will remain at prior year levels, we anticipate continued weakness in our Rail Products Segment sales and gross profit. However, except for the \$5.3 million in concrete tie gross profit charges, our Rail Products segment gross profit would have increased approximately 140 basis points compared to the 2009 period. We anticipate the industrial market will remain weak in 2010 further hampering our sales of various rail products. Partially offsetting these weaknesses is our transit products division. The strong popularity of mass transit systems coupled with stimulus legislation funding has led to an increase in this division's backlog.

Table of Contents

Construction Products

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Increase/ (Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|---|---|-----|---|
| | 2010 | 2009 | | | | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 95,381 | \$ 85,784 | \$ 9,597 | 11.2 | % | |
| Gross Profit | \$ 17,911 | \$ 15,377 | \$ 2,534 | 16.5 | % | |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 18.8 | % | 17.9 | % | 0.9 | % |

First Six Months of 2010 Compared to First Six Months of 2009

Our Construction Products segment experienced sales increases in all of its divisions. Our concrete building division, realizing benefits from Federal spending partially due to the stimulus legislation, has delivered this segment's most significant sales growth in 2010. Additionally, our fabricated bridge division continues to benefit from a renewed focus on improving the nation's bridge infrastructure. Finally, while our piling sales volumes have increased over 2009, sales prices have declined due to lower commodity prices and a highly competitive market resulting in flat year over year sales levels.

The volume related increases in our Construction Products gross profit have come from all of the divisions with the notable exception of our piling division. Our piling division has experienced margin compression due to increased competition.

We have started to see a mixed rebound in our heavy civil and public works construction markets as we experience increased volumes that have been partially offset by declines in pricing. Finally, while we have seen opportunities generated from the stimulus bill, we do not expect this activity to compensate for the shortfalls created by the economic downturn.

Tubular Products

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | Increase/ (Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 | Percent Increase/(Decrease) 2010 vs. 2009 | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|---|---|------|----|
| | 2010 | 2009 | | | | |
| | Dollars in thousands | | | | | |
| Net Sales | \$ 12,380 | \$ 12,505 | \$ (125) | (1.0 |)% | |
| Gross Profit | \$ 1,926 | \$ 2,633 | \$ (707) | (26.9 |)% | |
| Gross Profit Percentage | 15.6 | % | 21.1 | % | (5.5 |)% |

First Six Months of 2010 Compared to First Six Months of 2009

Our Tubular Products segment experienced mixed results during the first six months of 2010. Our threaded products division benefitted from increased sales volumes also leading to gross profit growth. Conversely, our coated pipe division suffered from sales volume declines which negatively impacted gross profit. We continue to expect the markets served by both our coated pipe and threaded products to be challenged throughout 2010.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our capitalization is as follows:

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|------------------|-------------------------|
| Debt: (In millions) | | |
| Term loan, due May 2011 | \$11.7 | \$13.1 |
| Capital leases and interim lease financing | 4.2 | 5.5 |
| IDSi acquisition notes | 1.9 | - |
| Total Debt | 17.8 | 18.6 |
| Equity | 242.1 | 232.6 |
| Total Capitalization | \$259.9 | \$251.2 |

Total debt as a percentage of capitalization was approximately 6.8% as of June 30, 2010 compared to 7.4% at December 31, 2009. This measure reflects a strong financial position as there is minimal leverage and our cash balance covers debt by approximately a multiple of 7.4 times, exclusive of the impact that the successful closing of the Portec acquisition would have on our cash balances.

Our need for liquidity relates primarily to seasonal working capital requirements, capital expenditures, common stock repurchases, debt service obligations and acquisitions.

The following liquidity and capital resources discussion is as of June 30, 2010 and does not take into account the February 2010 announced merger agreement between us and Portec. Based on our tender offer, we propose to acquire the outstanding shares of Portec for \$11.71 per share, or approximately \$112.4 million in cash. We plan to fund this acquisition with our available cash and cash equivalents.

The following table summarizes the year-to-date impact of these items:

| (In millions) | 2010 | June 30, 2009 |
|--|--------|------------------|
| Liquidity needs: | | |
| Working capital and other assets and liabilities | \$4.3 | \$0.4 |
| Common stock purchases | - | (1.9) |
| Capital expenditures | (2.7) | (2.3) |
| JV capital contributions | (0.5) | (0.7) |
| IDSi acquisition | (5.1) | - |
| Scheduled repayments of long-term debt | (1.4) | (1.4) |
| Other long-term debt scheduled repayments | (1.4) | (1.6) |
| Cash interest paid | (0.4) | (0.6) |
| Net liquidity requirements | (7.2) | (8.1) |
| Liquidity sources: | | |
| Internally generated cash flows before interest paid | 12.8 | 11.0 |
| Equity transactions | 0.9 | 0.1 |

| | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| Net liquidity sources | 13.7 | 11.1 |
| Net Change in Cash | \$6.5 | \$3.0 |

Cash Flow from Operating Activities

During the first half of 2010, cash flows from operations provided \$16.7 million, an increase of \$5.9 million compared to the first half of 2009. Net income and adjustments to net income provided \$12.4 million for the 2010 period. Working capital provided \$4.3 million, an increase of \$3.9 million over the prior year period.

Table of Contents

Cash Flow from Investing Activities

We funded a portion of the \$7.0 million to acquire IDSI through cash payments totaling \$5.1 million with the residual by issuing notes payable of \$1.0 million due on the first and second anniversary of the closing. We contributed an additional \$0.5 million in capital to the joint venture as part of our required capital contributions compared to \$0.7 million in the prior year period. Capital expenditures were \$2.7 million for the first six months of 2010 compared to \$2.3 million for the same 2009 period. Current period expenditures were primarily used for facilities maintenance and technology infrastructure. We anticipate total capital spending in 2010 will range between \$6.0 million and \$7.0 million and will be funded by cash flow from operations.

Cash Flow from Financing Activities

The decrease in cash used by financing activities in 2010 as compared to 2009 is due to the absence of any purchases pursuant to our share repurchase program. Also contributing to this decrease were the cash flows from the exercise of stock options.

Financial Condition

We continue to see inconsistent opportunities generated from the Federal stimulus legislation primarily in our transit and concrete buildings divisions. We expect funding activity to decrease and, as a result, this activity is not expected to compensate for the shortfalls created by the current economic downturn that began to affect us in the fourth quarter of 2008.

We do, however, operate in this period of uncertainty in an extremely strong financial position. As of June 30, 2010, we had approximately \$131.3 million in cash and short-term instruments and a revolving credit facility with approximately \$69.4 million of availability while carrying only \$17.8 million in total debt. We believe this capacity will afford us the flexibility to take advantage of opportunities that we may encounter and weather the current economic downturn.

Included within cash and cash equivalents are primarily investments in tax-free and taxable money market funds and bank certificates of deposit. The money market funds include municipal bond issuances as the underlying securities as well as government agency obligations and corporate bonds all of which maintain AAA credit ratings. Our priority continues to be the maintenance of our principal balances.

We also have a revolving credit agreement which expires in May 2011 and provides for up to \$90.0 million in borrowings to support our working capital and other liquidity requirements. Borrowings under this agreement are secured by substantially all the trade receivables and inventory owned by us, and are limited to 85% of eligible receivables and 60% of eligible inventory.

Under the term loan, we had \$11.7 million outstanding at June 30, 2010 all of which was classified as current. Revolving credit facility borrowings placed in LIBOR contracts are priced at prevailing LIBOR rates, plus 1.25%. Borrowings placed in other tranches are priced at the prevailing prime rate, minus 1.00%. The term loan base rate spread is fixed at prime minus 0.75% and the LIBOR spread is fixed at plus 1.50%. As of June 30, 2010 we were in compliance with all of the Agreement's covenants. We anticipate that we will renegotiate our current revolving credit facility and replace it with a new facility during 2010.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company's off-balance sheet arrangements include operating leases, purchase obligations and standby letters of credit. A schedule of the Company's required payments under financial instruments and other commitments as of December 31, 2009 is included in the "Liquidity and Capital Resources" section of the Company's 2009 Annual Report filed on Form 10-K. These arrangements provide the Company with increased flexibility relative to the utilization and investment of cash resources.

Table of Contents

Outlook

Our businesses and results of operations have been impacted by the downturn in the global economy. We believe that the recession, continued credit concerns and expected reductions in federal and state government tax receipts will present challenges to many of the end markets to which we sell. As a result of continued soft demand for certain of our products as well as heightened competition, we expect to battle margin compression for at least the next three months. We expect to be challenged in 2010 by moderating sales volumes, production volumes and heightened competition caused by the recessionary economic environment. However, we also expect to be profitable and to generate positive cash flow. We believe that when conditions do improve the markets we participate in will be poised to benefit. We continue to navigate through this period of uncertainty in an extremely strong financial position.

We have received increased orders from the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) for concrete ties at both our Grand Island, NE and Tucson, AZ facilities increasing capacity to approximately 70%. We are actively pursuing, albeit in a difficult industrial market, product sales opportunities to other third parties at both of these locations.

During 2009 we recorded approximately \$2.7 million in warranty charges related to in-track failures of concrete railroad ties. While we believe this is a reasonable estimate of this potential warranty claim, this estimate could change due to new information and future events. We have had recent discussions with the UPRR regarding additional ties that this customer contends are damaged ties subject to warranty replacement. We believe that most of these ties are either structurally sound or damaged due to causes other than those which would require warranty replacement. Based upon our preliminary assessment, we do not believe that these communications requesting warranty replacements, which relate to ties made with equipment and processes that were replaced in 2005, are valid. There can be no assurance, however, at this point that future potential costs pertaining to this claim or other potential future claims will not have a material impact on our results of operations.

Our agreement with the UPRR includes their purchasing concrete ties from our Grand Island, NE facility through 2010 and our Tucson, AZ facility through 2012. We are currently negotiating a short-term extension to our supply agreement with the UPRR at our Grand Island, NE location. However, we are unsure as to how the aforementioned warranty issue may impact this negotiation or the relationship we currently have with the UPRR.

Our ARP facilities in Niles, OH and Pueblo, CO have contracts with Class 1 railroads that are periodically subject to renewal which account for a significant portion of this division's business. If we are unable to successfully renew these contracts, our results of operations and financial position could be negatively impacted.

Certain of our businesses rely heavily on spending authorized by the federal highway and transportation funding bill, SAFETEA-LU, enacted in August 2005. This legislation authorized \$286 billion for United States transportation improvement spending over a six-year period and expired in September 2009. This legislation has been extended through December 31, 2010. While certain estimates of the amounts that may be authorized under successor legislation to SAFETEA-LU range from \$400 to \$500 billion, there is significant uncertainty as to the timing of the renewal of this multi-year surface transportation legislation and the potential impact it may have on our markets. SAFETEA-LU was not approved until nearly two years after the previous authorization expired. This delay had a material detrimental impact upon the demand and spending levels in certain markets where we participated during 2003 to 2005.

We entered into a joint venture to manufacture, market and sell various products for the energy, utility and construction markets. In connection with the joint venture agreement we were required to make capital contributions of \$1.9 million. No assurances can be given that additional capital contributions will not be required or that the joint venture will perform in accordance with our expectations.

Table of Contents

Although backlog is not necessarily indicative of future operating results, total Company backlog at June 30, 2010, was approximately \$207.2 million, a 16.1% and 41.1% increase compared to December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2009, respectively. The following table provides the backlog by business segment:

| (In thousands) | Backlog | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2009 |
| Rail Products | \$78,180 | \$54,505 | \$57,499 |
| Construction Products | 123,408 | 120,845 | 85,000 |
| Tubular Products | 5,654 | 3,221 | 4,338 |
| Total Backlog | \$207,242 | \$178,571 | \$146,837 |

We continue to evaluate the overall performance of our operations. A decision to down-size or terminate an existing operation could have a material adverse effect on near-term earnings but would not be expected to have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the Company.

Market Risk and Risk Management Policies

The Company adopted the required enhanced disclosures of FASB ASC Topic 815, "Derivatives and Hedging" on January 1, 2009. Topic 815 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities, including (i) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (ii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted, and (iii) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

The Company does not purchase or hold any derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. The Company uses derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate exposure on variable-rate debt, primarily by using interest rate collars and variable interest rate swaps. The Company's primary source of variable-rate debt comes from its revolving credit agreement.

At contract inception, the Company designates its derivative instruments as hedges. The Company recognizes all derivative instruments on the balance sheet at fair value. Fluctuations in the fair values of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings within other income as the underlying hedged items affect earnings. To the extent that a change in interest rate derivative does not perfectly offset the change in value of the interest rate being hedged, the ineffective portion is recognized in earnings immediately.

The Company is not subject to significant exposures to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The Company will, however, manage its exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates on firm sale and purchase commitments by entering into foreign currency forward contracts. The Company's risk management objective is to reduce its exposure to the effects of changes in exchange rates on these transactions over the duration of the transactions.

Forward-Looking Statements

There are no assurances regarding the timing of the closing of the merger agreement involving L. B. Foster and Portec and the expected benefits of the transaction, including potential synergies and cost savings, future financial and operating results, and the combined company's plans and objectives. Risks and uncertainties include the satisfaction of

closing conditions for the acquisition, including clearance under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act; the risk that the US Department of Justice may impose conditions on the Portec acquisition that are unacceptable to the Company; the tender of sixty-five percent of the outstanding shares of common stock of Portec Rail Products, Inc., calculated on a fully diluted basis; the possibility that the transaction will not be completed, or if completed, not completed on a timely basis; the potential that market segment growth will not follow historical patterns or be otherwise unsatisfactory; general industry conditions and competition; business and economic conditions, such as interest rate and currency exchange rate fluctuations; technological advances and patents attained by competitors; and domestic and foreign governmental laws and regulations. L.B. Foster can give no assurance that any of the transactions related to the tender offer will be completed or that the conditions to the tender offer and the merger will be satisfied.

There are no assurances that the purchase of IDSI will result in improved operating results.

Table of Contents

Statements relating to the value of the Company's share of potential future contingent payments related to the DM&E merger with the Canadian Pacific Railway Limited (CP) are forward-looking statements and are subject to numerous contingencies and risk factors. The CP has stated that it may take years for it to determine whether to construct the Powder River Basin Expansion Project.

Our agreement with the UPRR includes their purchasing concrete ties from our Grand Island, NE facility through 2010 and our Tucson, AZ facility through 2012. We are currently negotiating a short-term extension to our supply agreement with the UPRR at our Grand Island, NE location. However, we are unsure as to how the aforementioned warranty issue may impact this negotiation or the relationship we currently have with the UPRR.

Our businesses could be affected adversely by significant changes in the price of steel, concrete, and other raw materials or the availability of existing and new piling and rail products. Our operating results may also be affected negatively by adverse weather conditions.

A substantial portion of our operations are heavily dependent on governmental funding of infrastructure projects. Many of these projects have "Buy America" or "Buy American" provisions. Significant changes in the level of government funding of these projects could have a favorable or unfavorable impact on our operating results. Additionally, government actions concerning "Buy America" provisions, taxation, tariffs, the environment, or other matters could impact our operating results.

Unexpected events including production delays or other problems encountered at our manufacturing facilities, equipment failures, failure to meet product specifications, additional concrete railroad tie defects and the availability of existing and new piling and rail products may cause our operating costs to increase or otherwise impact our financial performance.

The Company cautions readers that various factors could cause the actual results of the Company to differ materially from those indicated by forward-looking statements made from time to time in news releases, reports, proxy statements, registration statements and other written communications (including the preceding sections of this Management's Discussion and Analysis), as well as oral statements, such as references made to the future profitability, made from time to time by representatives of the Company. For a discussion of some of the specific risk factors, that may cause such differences, see the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Except for historical information, matters discussed in such oral and written communications are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to general business conditions, the availability of material from major suppliers, labor disputes, the impact of competition, the seasonality of the Company's business, the adequacy of internal and external sources of funds to meet financing needs, the Company's ability to curb its working capital requirements, taxes, inflation and governmental regulations. Sentences containing words such as "believes," "intends," "anticipates," "expects," or "will" generally should be considered forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

See the “Market Risk and Risk Management Policies” section under Item 2, Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

- a) L. B. Foster Company (the Company) carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company’s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a - 15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of June 30, 2010. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures are effective to timely alert them to material information relating to the Company (including its consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in the Company’s periodic SEC filings.
- b) There have been no significant changes in the Company’s internal controls over financial reporting that occurred in the period covered by this report that have materially affected or are likely to materially affect the Company’s internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See Note 17, “Commitments and Contingent Liabilities”, to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

There has not been any material change in the risk factors disclosure from that contained in the Company’s 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. In February 2010, the Securities and Exchange Commission published guidance regarding its existing disclosure requirements as they apply to climate change matters. A number of governments or governmental bodies have introduced or are contemplating legislative and regulatory change in response to the potential impacts of climate change including pending U.S. legislation that if enacted, would limit and reduce greenhouse gas emissions through a “cap and trade” system of allowances and credits, among other provisions. In addition, the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency has for the first time required large emitters of greenhouse gases to collect and report data with respect to their greenhouse gas emissions. Assessments of the potential impact, both positive or negative, of future climate change legislation, regulation and international treaties and accords are uncertain, given that these regulatory mechanisms may be either voluntary or legislated and may impact our operations directly or indirectly through our suppliers or customers.

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The Company had no purchases of its equity securities for the three or six month periods ended June 30, 2010. Purchases under the following plan have not been suspended:

| Average | Total Number of Shares Purchased as | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be |
|---------|---|--|
|---------|---|--|

Edgar Filing: FOSTER L B CO - Form 10-Q

| | Total Number Of Shares Purchased (1) | Price Paid per Share | Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|---------------------|--|----------------------------|--|---|
| As of June 30, 2010 | 951,673 | \$29.78 | 951,673 | \$11,654,894 |

(1) On May 12, 2008, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$25,000,000 of the Company's common shares until June 30, 2010. On October 28, 2008, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to an additional \$15,000,000 of the Company's common shares until December 31, 2010 at which time this authorization will expire.

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents

Item 6. EXHIBITS

The Exhibits marked with an asterisk are filed herewith. All exhibits are incorporated herein by reference:

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated February 16, 2010, by and among L.B. Foster Company, Foster Thomas Company and Portec Rail Products, Inc. filed as Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K on February 17, 2010.
- 2.1 First Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of May 13, 2010, by and among Portec Rail Products, Inc., L.B. Foster Company and Foster Thomas Company filed as Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K on May 13, 2010.
- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation, filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003.
- 3.2 Bylaws of the Registrant, as amended and filed as Exhibit 3.2 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.
- 4.0 Rights Amendment, dated as of May 15, 1997 between L. B. Foster Company and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, including the form of Rights Certificate and the Summary of Rights attached thereto, filed as Exhibit 4.0 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- 4.1 Rights Amendment, dated as of October 24, 2006, between L. B. Foster Company and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, including the form of Rights Certificate and the Summary of Rights attached thereto, filed as Exhibit 4B to Form 8-K on October 27, 2006.
- 10.0 Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement dated May 5, 2005, between Registrant and PNC Bank, N.A., LaSalle Bank N.A., and First Commonwealth Bank, filed as Exhibit 10.0 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005.
- 10.0.1 First Amendment to Revolving Credit and Security Agreement dated September 13, 2005, between Registrant and PNC Bank, N.A., LaSalle Bank N.A., and First Commonwealth Bank, filed as Exhibit 10.0.1 to Form 8-K on September 14, 2005.
- 10.0.3 Third Amendment to Revolving Credit and Security Agreement dated February 8, 2007, between Registrant and PNC Bank, N.A., LaSalle Bank N.A., and First Commonwealth Bank, filed as Exhibit 10.0.3 to Form 8-K on February 9, 2007.
- 10.0.5 Fifth Amendment to Revolving Credit and Security Agreement dated March 4, 2009, between Registrant and PNC Bank, N.A., Bank of America, N.A., and First Commonwealth Bank, filed as Exhibit 10.0.5 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.
- 10.1 Form of Tender and Voting Agreement, dated February 16, 2010, by and among L.B. Foster Company, Foster Thomas Company and identified persons for the indicated number of shares of Portec Rail Products, Inc. filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K on February 17, 2010.

- 10.12 Lease between CXT Incorporated and Pentzer Development Corporation, dated April 1, 1993, filed as Exhibit 10.12 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- 10.12.1 Second Amendment dated March 12, 1996 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Crown West Realty, LLC, successor, filed as Exhibit 10.12.1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- 10.12.2 Third Amendment dated November 7, 2002 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Crown West Realty, LLC, filed as Exhibit 10.12.2 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- 10.12.3 Fourth Amendment dated December 15, 2003 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Crown West Realty, LLC, filed as Exhibit 10.12.3 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.
- 10.12.4 Fifth Amendment dated June 29, 2004 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Park SPE, LLC, filed as Exhibit 10.12.4 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- 10.12.5 Sixth Amendment dated May 9, 2006 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Park SPE, LLC, filed as Exhibit 10.12.5 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.
- 10.12.6 Seventh Amendment dated April 28, 2008 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Park SPE, LLC, filed as Exhibit 10.12.6 to Form 8-K on May 2, 2008.
- 10.12.7 Eighth Amendment dated July 6, 2010 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Park SPE, LLC filed as Exhibit 10.12.7 to Form 8-K on July 7, 2010.

Table of Contents

- 10.13 Lease between CXT Incorporated and Crown West Realty, LLC, dated December 20, 1996, filed as Exhibit 10.13 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- 10.13.1 Amendment dated June 29, 2001 between CXT Incorporated and Crown West Realty, filed as Exhibit 10.13.1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.
- 10.14 Lease of property in Tucson, AZ between CXT Incorporated and the Union Pacific Railroad Company dated May 27, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.14 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.
- 10.15 Lease of property in Grand Island, NE between CXT Incorporated and the Union Pacific Railroad Company, dated May 27, 2005, and filed as Exhibit 10.15 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.
- 10.15.1 Industry Track Contract between CXT Incorporated and the Union Pacific Railroad Company, dated May 27, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.15 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005.
- 10.16 Lease Agreement dated March 3, 2008 between CCI-B Langfield I, LLC, as Lessor, and Registrant as Lessee, related to Registrant's threading operation in Harris County, Texas and filed as Exhibit 10.16 to Form 8-K on March 7, 2008.
- 10.16.1 First Amendment dated April 1, 2008 to lease between CCI-B Langfield I, LLC, as Lessor, and Registrant as Lessee, related to Registrant's threading operation in Harris County, Texas, filed as Exhibit 10.16.1 to Form 8-K on May 1, 2008.
- 10.16.2 Second Amendment dated January 6, 2009 to lease between CCI-B Langfield I, LLC, as lessor, and Registrant as Lessee, related to Registrant's threading operation in Harris County, Texas, filed as Exhibit 10.16.2 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.
- 10.17 Lease between Registrant and the City of Hillsboro, TX dated February 22, 2002, and filed as Exhibit 10.17 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.
- 10.19 Lease between Registrant and American Cast Iron Pipe Company for pipe-coating facility in Birmingham, AL, dated December 11, 1991, filed as Exhibit 10.19 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- 10.19.1 Amendment to Lease between Registrant and American Cast Iron Pipe Company for pipe-coating facility in Birmingham, AL dated November 15, 2000, and filed as Exhibit 10.19.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006.
- 10.19.2 Third Amendment dated July 6, 2010 to lease between CXT Incorporated and Park SPE, LLC filed as Exhibit 10.19.2 to Form 8-K on July 7, 2010.
- 10.20 Equipment Purchase and Service Agreement by and between the Registrant and LaBarge Coating LLC, dated July 31, 2003, and filed as Exhibit 10.20 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003.

[^]10.21 Agreement for Purchase and Sales of Concrete Ties between CXT Incorporated and the Union Pacific Railroad dated January 24, 2005, and filed as Exhibit 10.21 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.

[^]10.21.1 Amendment to Agreement for Purchase and Sales of Concrete Ties between CXT Incorporated and the Union Pacific Railroad dated October 28, 2005, and filed as Exhibit 10.21.1 to Form 8-K on November 14, 2005.

Table of Contents

- 10.24 Asset Purchase Agreement by and between the Registrant and The Reinforced Earth Company dated February 15, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.24 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.
- 10.25 Asset Purchase Agreement between Interlocking Deck Systems International, LLC and the Registrant dated March 23, 2010 filed as Exhibit 10.25 to Form 8-K on March 29, 2010.
- 10.33.2 Amended and Restated 1985 Long-Term Incentive Plan as of May 25, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.33.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005. **
- 10.34 Amended and Restated 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan as of May 25, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.34 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005. **
- 10.34.1 Amendment, effective May 24, 2006, to Amended and Restated 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan as of May 25, 2005, filed as Exhibit 10.34.1 to Form 8-K on May 31, 2006. **
- 10.45 Medical Reimbursement Plan (MRP1) effective January 1, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.45 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. **
- 10.45.1 Medical Reimbursement Plan (MRP2) effective January 1, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.45.1 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. **
- 10.46 Leased Vehicle Plan as amended and restated on September 1, 2007, filed as Exhibit 10.46 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007. **
- 10.51 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan as Amended and Restated on January 1, 2009, filed as Exhibit 10.51 to Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. **
- 10.53 Directors' resolution dated March 6, 2008, under which directors' compensation was established, filed as Exhibit 10.53 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008. **
- 10.55 Management Incentive Compensation Plan for 2007, filed as Exhibit 10.55 to Form 8-K on March 8, 2007. **
- 10.57.1 2006 Omnibus Plan, as amended and restated March 6, 2008, filed as exhibit 10.57.1 to Form 8-K on March 12, 2008. **
- 10.58 Special Bonus Arrangement, effective May 24, 2006, filed as Exhibit 10.58 to Form 8-K on May 31, 2006. **
- 10.59 Executive Annual Incentive Compensation Plan, filed as Exhibit 10.59 to Form 8-K on March 12, 2008. **

Table of Contents

- 10.60 Letter agreement on Lee B. Foster II's retirement, filed as Exhibit 10.59 to Form 8-K on April 22, 2008. **
- 10.61 Restricted Stock Agreement between Registrant and Stan L. Hasselbusch dated May 28, 2010 filed as Exhibit 10.61 to Form 8-K on June 1, 2010.
- 10.62 Restricted Stock Agreement between Registrant and David J. Russo dated May 28, 2010 filed as Exhibit 10.62 to Form 8-K on June 1, 2010.
- 19 Exhibits marked with an asterisk are filed herewith.
- *31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- *31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- *32.0 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
-
- * Exhibits marked with an asterisk are filed herewith.
- ** Identifies management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an Exhibit.
- ^ Portions of the exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a confidential treatment request.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

L.B. FOSTER COMPANY
(Registrant)

Date: August 9, 2010

By: /s/ David J. Russo
David J. Russo
Senior Vice President,
Chief Financial and Accounting Officer and Treasurer
(Duly Authorized Officer of Registrant)

Table of Contents