

Kearny Financial Corp.  
Form 10-Q  
May 11, 2015

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2015

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 000-51093

KEARNY FINANCIAL CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

UNITED STATES  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

22-3803741  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)

120 Passaic Ave., Fairfield, New Jersey  
(Address of principal executive offices)

07004-3510  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

973-244-4500

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: May 8, 2015.

\$0.10 par value common stock — 67,375,247 shares outstanding

KEARNY FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

	Page Number
PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1: Financial Statements	
<u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014 (Unaudited)</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014 (Unaudited)</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014 (Unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014 (Unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014 (Unaudited)</u>	7
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	9
Item 2: <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	67
Item 3: <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk</u>	88
Item 4: <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	94
PART II—OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1: <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	95
Item 1A: <u>Risk Factors</u>	95
Item 2: <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	95
Item 3: <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	95
Item 4: <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	95
Item 5: <u>Other Information</u>	95

SIGNATURES



## KEARNY FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

(In Thousands, Except Share and Per Share Data)

	March 31, 2015 (Unaudited)	June 30, 2014
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and amounts due from depository institutions	\$ 13,231	\$ 14,403
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	107,725	120,631
Cash and Cash Equivalents	120,956	135,034
Debt securities available for sale (amortized cost \$423,249 and \$411,228)	421,543	407,898
Debt securities held to maturity (fair value \$218,678 and \$213,472)	218,925	216,414
Loans receivable, including unamortized yield adjustments of \$(65) and \$(1,397)	1,992,371	1,741,471
Less allowance for loan losses	(14,087 )	(12,387 )
Net Loans Receivable	1,978,284	1,729,084
Mortgage-backed securities available for sale (amortized cost \$366,201 and \$432,802)	372,617	437,223
Mortgage-backed securities held to maturity (fair value \$306,868 and \$293,781)	299,945	295,658
Premises and equipment	39,629	40,105
Federal Home Loan Bank of New York ("FHLB") stock	31,881	25,990
Accrued interest receivable	9,688	9,013
Goodwill	108,591	108,591
Bank owned life insurance	89,823	88,820
Deferred income tax assets, net	12,389	10,314
Other assets	9,458	5,865
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$ 3,713,729</b>	<b>\$ 3,510,009</b>
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>		
<b>Liabilities</b>		
<b>Deposits:</b>		
Non-interest-bearing	\$ 217,894	\$ 224,054
Interest-bearing	2,287,172	2,255,887
<b>Total Deposits</b>	<b>2,505,066</b>	<b>2,479,941</b>
Borrowings	669,580	512,257
Advance payments by borrowers for taxes	8,806	9,001
Other liabilities	34,536	14,134
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>3,217,988</b>	<b>3,015,333</b>
<b>Stockholders' Equity</b>		
Preferred stock, \$0.10 par value, 25,000,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding	-	-
Common stock, \$0.10 par value, 75,000,000 shares authorized; 73,781,587 issued;	7,378	7,378

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

67,375,247 and 67,267,865 outstanding, respectively

Paid-in capital	225,602	231,870
Retained earnings	345,325	336,355
Unearned Employee Stock Ownership Plan shares;		
278,827 shares and 387,924 shares, respectively	(2,788 )	(3,879 )
Treasury stock, at cost; 6,406,340 shares and 6,513,722 shares, respectively	(73,535 )	(74,768 )
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(6,241 )	(2,280 )
Total Stockholders' Equity	495,741	494,676
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 3,713,729	\$ 3,510,009

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

## KEARNY FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	March 31, 2015	2014	March 31, 2015	2014
<b>Interest Income</b>				
Loans	\$19,240	\$16,892	\$56,293	\$49,217
Mortgage-backed securities	4,934	4,987	14,364	16,046
Debt securities:				
Taxable	1,838	1,308	5,365	3,807
Tax-exempt	501	460	1,476	1,374
Other interest-earning assets	356	309	981	745
Total Interest Income	26,869	23,956	78,479	71,189
<b>Interest Expense</b>				
Deposits	3,953	3,599	11,771	10,825
Borrowings	2,351	1,876	7,045	5,212
Total Interest Expense	6,304	5,475	18,816	16,037
Net Interest Income	20,565	18,481	59,663	55,152
Provision for Loan Losses	1,761	880	4,351	2,607
<b>Net Interest Income after Provision for</b>				
Loan Losses	18,804	17,601	55,312	52,545
<b>Non-Interest Income</b>				
Fees and service charges	826	513	2,256	1,834
Gain on sale of securities	-	830	7	1,056
Gain on sale of loans	82	27	91	80
Loss on sale and write down of real estate owned	(510 )	(71 )	(656 )	(70 )
Income from bank owned life insurance	2,063	668	3,369	2,077
Electronic banking fees and charges	218	237	760	877
Miscellaneous	447	181	597	321
Total Non-Interest Income	3,126	2,385	6,424	6,175
<b>Non-Interest Expense</b>				
Salaries and employee benefits	9,817	9,098	29,481	26,774
Net occupancy expense of premises	2,229	2,106	5,666	5,375
Equipment and systems	1,954	3,168	5,918	7,097
Advertising and marketing	423	332	799	891
Federal deposit insurance premium	630	582	1,826	1,712
Directors' compensation	164	173	525	517
Merger-related expenses	-	190	-	190

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Miscellaneous	2,175	1,866	6,468	5,798
Total Non-Interest Expense	17,392	17,515	50,683	48,354
Income before Income Taxes	4,538	2,471	11,053	10,366
Income taxes	660	685	2,083	3,007
Net Income	\$3,878	\$1,786	\$8,970	\$7,359

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.



KEARNY FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Continued)

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	March 31,		March 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net Income per Common Share (EPS)				
Basic	\$0.06	\$0.03	\$0.13	\$0.11
Diluted	\$0.06	\$0.03	\$0.13	\$0.11
Weighted Average Number of				
Common Shares Outstanding				
Basic	67,078	65,684	67,032	65,797
Diluted	67,092	65,782	67,146	65,829
Dividends Declared Per Common Share	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

## KEARNY FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In Thousands, Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	March 31, 2015	2014	March 31, 2015	2014
Net Income	\$3,878	\$1,786	\$8,970	\$7,359
Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income:				
Net unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of deferred income tax expense (benefit) of:				
2015 \$1,485, \$1,310;				
2014 \$3,231, \$(571)	2,176	6,263	2,315	213
Net loss on securities transferred from available for sale to held to maturity, net of deferred income tax benefit of:				
2015 \$(9), \$(9);				
2014 \$0, \$0	(13 )	-	(11 )	-
Net realized gain on securities available for sale, net of income tax expense of:				
2015 \$0, \$(3);				
2014 \$(340), \$(433)	-	(490 )	(4 )	(623 )
Fair value adjustments on derivatives, net of deferred income tax benefit of:				
2015 \$(2,836), \$(4,198);				
2014 \$(930), \$(1,026)	(4,107)	(1,347)	(6,079)	(1,487)
Benefit plan adjustments, net of deferred income tax expense (benefit) of:				
2015 \$8, \$(124);				
2014 \$3, \$340	11	7	(182 )	495

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Total Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income	(1,933)	4,433	(3,961)	(1,402)
---	---------	-------	---------	---------

Total Comprehensive Income	\$1,945	\$6,219	\$5,009	\$5,957
----------------------------	---------	---------	---------	---------

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

## KEARNY FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Nine Months Ended March 31, 2014

(In Thousands, Unaudited)

	Common Stock		Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Unearned		Accumulated Other	
	Shares	Amount			ESOP Shares	Treasury Stock	Comprehensive Loss	Total
Balance - June 30, 2013	66,501	\$7,274	\$215,722	\$326,167	\$(5,334 )	\$(71,983)	\$(4,139 )	\$467,707
Net Income	-	-	-	7,359	-	-	-	7,359
Other comprehensive loss, net of								
income tax benefit	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,402 )	(1,402 )
ESOP shares committed to be								
released (108 shares)	-	-	126	-	1,091	-	-	1,217
Stock option expense	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	30
Treasury stock purchases	(386 )	-	-	-	-	(4,020 )	-	(4,020 )
Treasury stock reissued	36	-	45	-	-	415	-	460
Restricted stock plan shares								
earned (12 shares)	-	-	126	-	-	-	-	126
Balance - March 31, 2014	66,151	\$7,274	\$216,049	\$333,526	\$(4,243 )	\$(75,588)	\$(5,541 )	\$471,477

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

## KEARNY FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Nine Months Ended March 31, 2015

(In Thousands, Unaudited)

	Common Stock		Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Unearned		Accumulated Other	
	Shares	Amount			ESOP Shares	Treasury Stock	Comprehensive Loss	Total
Balance - June 30, 2014	67,268	\$ 7,378	\$ 231,870	\$ 336,355	\$(3,879 )	\$(74,768)	\$( 2,280 )	\$ 494,676
Net Income	-	-	-	8,970	-	-	-	8,970
Other comprehensive loss, net of								
income tax benefit	-	-	-	-	-	-	(3,961 )	(3,961 )
ESOP shares committed to be								
released (108 shares)	-	-	455	-	1,091	-	-	1,546
Stock option expense	-	-	124	-	-	-	-	124
Treasury stock reissued	107	-	132	-	-	1,233	-	1,365
Restricted stock plan shares								
earned (18 shares)	-	-	209	-	-	-	-	209
Settlement of stock options with								
cash in lieu of shares	-	-	(7,188 )	-	-	-	-	(7,188 )
Balance - March 31, 2015	67,375	\$ 7,378	\$ 225,602	\$ 345,325	\$(2,788 )	\$(73,535)	\$( 6,241 )	\$ 495,741

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

## KEARNY FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In Thousands, Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended	
	March 31,	2014
	2015	2014
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities:</b>		
Net income	\$8,970	\$7,359
<b>Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:</b>		
Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment	2,210	1,958
Net amortization of premiums, discounts and loan fees and costs	1,709	2,273
Deferred income taxes	1,272	146
Realized gain on bargain purchase	(370 )	-
Amortization of intangible assets	124	93
Amortization of benefit plans' unrecognized net loss	57	32
Provision for loan losses	4,351	2,607
Loss on write-down and sales of real estate owned	656	70
Realized gain on sale of loans	(91 )	(80 )
Proceeds from sale of loans	1,089	817
Realized loss on sale of debt securities available for sale	594	1,294
Realized gain on sale of mortgage-backed securities available for sale	(601 )	(2,350 )
Realized gain on disposition of premises and equipment	(13 )	-
Increase in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance	(1,936 )	(2,077 )
ESOP, stock option plan and restricted stock plan expenses	1,879	1,373
Increase in interest receivable	(675 )	(887 )
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(14,301 )	345
Increase in interest payable	20	71
Increase in other liabilities	20,079	1,760
<b>Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities</b>	<b>25,023</b>	<b>14,804</b>
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>		
Purchase of debt securities available for sale	(52,528 )	(108,850)
Proceeds from sale of debt securities available for sale	39,444	54,075
Proceeds from repayments of debt securities available for sale	516	497
Purchase of debt securities held to maturity	(7,696 )	(4,315 )
Proceeds from calls and maturities of debt securities held to maturity	4,857	1,468
Proceeds from repayments of debt securities held to maturity	167	341
Purchase of loans	(161,666)	(107,969)
Net increase in loans receivable	(94,174 )	(185,209)
Proceeds from sale of real estate owned	1,047	1,219
Purchases of mortgage-backed securities available for sale	(10,384 )	(45,076 )

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Principal repayments on mortgage-backed securities available for sale	58,520	93,195
Proceeds from sale of mortgage-backed securities available for sale	17,780	87,728
Purchases of mortgage-backed securities held to maturity	(35,296 )	-
Principal repayments on mortgage-backed securities held to maturity	30,383	1,247
Purchase of FHLB stock	(11,430 )	(24,120 )
Redemption of FHLB stock	5,539	15,348
Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment	49	-
Additions to premises and equipment	(1,769 )	(3,105 )
Proceeds from repayment of BOLI cash surrender value	933	-
Adjustment to cash acquired in merger	53	-
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	\$(215,655)	\$(223,526)

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

## KEARNY FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)

(In Thousands, Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2015	2014
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>		
Net increase in deposits	\$25,234	\$26,187
Repayment of term FHLB advances	(1,053,070)	(500,066)
Proceeds from term FHLB advances	1,225,000	700,000
Net change in overnight borrowings	(17,000 )	(5,000 )
Increase (decrease) in other short-term borrowings	2,408	(1,410 )
(Decrease) increase in advance payments by borrowers for taxes	(195 )	969
Purchase of common stock of Kearny Financial Corp. for treasury	-	(4,020 )
Issuance of common stock of Kearny Financial Corp. from treasury	1,365	460
Payment of cash for exercise of stock options	(7,188 )	-
Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities	176,554	217,120
Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(14,078 )	8,398
Cash and Cash equivalents - Beginning	135,034	127,034
Cash and Cash equivalents - Ending	\$120,956	\$135,432
<b>Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flows Information:</b>		
Cash paid during the year for:		
Income taxes, net of refunds	\$1,825	\$5,522
Interest	\$18,744	\$15,966
<b>Non-cash investing and financing activities:</b>		
Acquisition of real estate owned in settlement of loans	\$1,465	\$848
Fair value of assets acquired, net of cash and cash equivalents acquired	\$317	\$-

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.



KEARNY FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1. PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Kearny Financial Corp. (the “Company”), its wholly-owned subsidiary, Kearny Bank (the “Bank”) and the Bank’s wholly-owned subsidiaries, CJB Investment Corp. and KFS Financial Services, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, KFS Insurance Services, Inc. The Company conducts its business principally through the Bank. Management prepared the unaudited consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”), including the elimination of all significant inter-company accounts and transactions during consolidation.

2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with instructions for Form 10-Q and Regulation S-X and do not include information or footnotes necessary for a complete presentation of financial condition, income, comprehensive income, changes in stockholders’ equity and cash flows in conformity with GAAP. However, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the unaudited consolidated financial statements have been included. The results of operations for the three- month and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2015, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire fiscal year or any other period.

The data in the consolidated statement of financial condition for June 30, 2014 was derived from the Company’s 2014 annual report on Form 10-K. That data, along with the interim unaudited financial information presented in the consolidated statements of financial condition, income, comprehensive income, changes in stockholders’ equity and cash flows should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, included in the Company’s 2014 annual report on Form 10-K.

3. NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE (“EPS”)

Basic EPS is based on the weighted average number of common shares actually outstanding including restricted stock awards (see following paragraph) adjusted for Employee Stock Ownership Plan (“ESOP”) shares not yet committed to be released. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock, such as outstanding stock options, were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of the Company. Diluted EPS is calculated by adjusting the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding to include the effect of contracts or securities exercisable or which could be converted into common stock, if dilutive, using the treasury stock method. Shares issued and reacquired during any period are weighted for the portion of the period they were outstanding.

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) has issued guidance on determining whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities. This guidance clarifies that all outstanding unvested share-based payment awards that contain rights to non-forfeitable dividends participate in undistributed earnings with common shareholders. Awards of this nature are considered participating securities and the two-class method of computing basic and diluted earnings per share must be applied.

The following is a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per share computations:

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	March 31, 2015		Per	March 31, 2015		Per
	Income	Shares	Share	Income	Shares	Share
	(Numerator)		Amount	(Numerator)		Amount
	(Denominator)		(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)	(Denominator)		(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)
Net income	\$3,878			\$8,970		
Basic earnings per share, income						
available to common stockholders	\$3,878	67,078	\$ 0.06	\$8,970	67,032	\$ 0.13
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Stock options	-	14		-	114	
	\$3,878	67,092	\$ 0.06	\$8,970	67,146	\$ 0.13

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended		
	March 31, 2014			March 31, 2014		
			Per			Per
	Income	Shares	Share	Income	Shares	Share
	(Numerator)		Amount	(Numerator)		Amount
	(Denominator)		(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)	(Denominator)		(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)
Net income	\$1,786			\$7,359		
Basic earnings per share, income						
available to common stockholders	\$1,786	65,684	\$ 0.03	\$7,359	65,797	\$ 0.11
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Stock options	-	98		-	32	
	\$1,786	65,782	\$ 0.03	\$7,359	65,829	\$ 0.11

During the three and nine months ended March 31, 2015, the average number of options which were considered anti-dilutive totaled approximately 162,333 and 177,555, respectively. During the three and nine months ended March 31, 2014, the average number of options which were considered anti-dilutive totaled approximately 1,915,850 and 1,964,126, respectively.

#### 4. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has evaluated events and transactions occurring subsequent to the statement of financial condition date of March 31, 2015, for items that should potentially be recognized or disclosed in these consolidated financial statements. The evaluation was conducted through the date this document was filed.

#### 5. PLAN OF CONVERSION AND REORGANIZATION

On September 4, 2014, the Boards of Directors of Kearny MHC (the majority stockholder of the Company), the Company and the Bank adopted a Plan of Conversion and Reorganization (the "Plan"). Pursuant to the Plan, Kearny MHC will convert from the mutual holding company form of organization to the fully public form. Kearny MHC will be merged into the Company, and Kearny MHC will no longer exist. The Company will then merge into a new Maryland corporation, also named Kearny Financial Corp., which will become the holding company for the Bank.

As part of the conversion, Kearny MHC's ownership interest in the Company will be offered for sale in a public offering. The existing publicly held shares of the Company, which represent the remaining ownership interest in the Company, will be exchanged for new shares of common stock of the new Maryland corporation. The exchange ratio

will ensure that immediately after the conversion and public offering, the public shareholders of the Company will own the same aggregate percentage of common stock of the new Maryland corporation that they owned immediately prior to the completion of the conversion and public offering (excluding shares purchased in the stock offering and cash received in lieu of fractional shares).

When the conversion and public offering are completed, all of the capital stock of the Bank will be owned by the new Maryland corporation. The Plan provides for the establishment, upon the completion of the conversion, of special "liquidation accounts" for the benefit of certain depositors of the Bank in an amount equal to the greater of Kearny MHC's ownership interest in the retained earnings of the Company as of the date of the latest balance sheet contained in the prospectus or the value of the net assets of Kearny MHC as of the date of the latest statement of financial condition of Kearny MHC prior to the consummation of the conversion (excluding its ownership of the Company).

Following the completion of the conversion, under the rules of the Federal Reserve Bank ("FRB"), the Bank will not be permitted to pay dividends on its capital stock to the Company, its sole shareholder, if the Company's shareholders' equity would be reduced below the amount of the liquidation accounts. The liquidation accounts will be reduced annually to the extent that eligible account holders have reduced their qualifying deposits. Subsequent increases will not restore an eligible account holder's interest in the liquidation accounts. Direct costs of the conversion and public offering will be deferred and reduce the proceeds from the shares sold in the public offering. The Company has incurred approximately \$2.1 million in such costs through December 31, 2014.

On May 5, 2015, the stockholders of the Company and members of Kearny MHC approved the plan of conversion and reorganization. Additionally, on May 5, 2015, the Company's stockholders and Kearny MHC's members each approved the establishment and funding of the KearnyBank Foundation with a contribution of 500,000 shares of New Kearny common stock and

\$5.0 million in cash. The transactions contemplated by the Plan remain subject to approval by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

## 6. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In January 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2014-04, Receivables—Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40) Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure. The purpose of the ASU is to reduce diversity in the application of guidance by clarifying when an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs, that is, when a creditor should be considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan such that the loan receivable should be derecognized and the real estate property recognized. This ASU is effective for public business entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this ASU on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). The ASU’s core principle is built on the contract between a vendor and a customer for the provision of goods and services. It attempts to depict the exchange of rights and obligations between the parties in the pattern of revenue recognition based on the consideration to which the vendor is entitled. To accomplish this objective, the standard requires five basic steps: i) identify the contract with the customer, (ii) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (iii) determine the transaction price, (iv) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract, and (v) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation. For public entities, the guidance is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2016, however, on April 1, 2015 the FASB proposed to defer the effective date by one year. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this ASU on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-11, Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860) Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures. The purpose of the ASU is to address the concern that current accounting guidance distinguishes between repurchase agreements that settle at the same time as the maturity of the transferred financial asset and those that settle any time before maturity. In particular, repurchase-to-maturity transactions are generally accounted for as sales with forward agreements under current accounting, whereas typical repurchase agreements that settle before the maturity of the transferred financial asset are accounted for as secured borrowings. Additionally, current accounting guidance requires an evaluation of whether an initial transfer of a financial asset and a contemporaneous repurchase agreement (a repurchase financing) should be accounted for separately or linked. If linked, the arrangement is accounted for on a combined basis as a forward agreement. Those outcomes often are referred to as off-balance-sheet accounting. The ASU changes the accounting for repurchase-to-maturity transactions and linked repurchase financings to secured borrowing accounting, which is consistent with the accounting for other repurchase agreements. The amendments also require two new related disclosures. This ASU is effective for public business entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this ASU on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-14, Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40): Classification of Certain Government-Guaranteed Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure. The purpose of the ASU is to address a practice issue related to the classification of certain foreclosed residential and nonresidential

mortgage loans that are either fully or partially guaranteed under government programs. Specifically, creditors should reclassify loans that meet certain conditions to "other receivables" upon foreclosure, rather than reclassifying them to other real estate owned (OREO). The separate other receivable recorded upon foreclosure is to be measured based on the amount of the loan balance (principal and interest) the creditor expects to recover from the guarantor. The ASU is effective for public business entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this ASU on its consolidated financial statements.

## 7. STOCK REPURCHASE PLANS

On December 2, 2013, the Company announced that the Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase plan to acquire up to 762,640 shares, or 5%, of the Company's outstanding stock held by persons other than Kearny MHC. Through March 31, 2015, the Company has repurchased a total of 62,900 shares in accordance with this repurchase plan at a total cost of approximately \$700,000 and at an average cost per share of \$11.13.

## 8. SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair values of debt and mortgage-backed securities available for sale at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014 and stratification by contractual maturity of debt securities available for sale at March 31, 2015 are presented below:

	March 31, 2015			
	Gross		Gross	
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
	(In Thousands)			
Securities available for sale:				
Debt securities:				
U.S. agency securities	\$7,581	\$ 122	\$ 14	\$7,689
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	27,519	243	152	27,610
Asset-backed securities	87,584	1,065	216	88,433
Collateralized loan obligations	128,616	95	953	127,758
Corporate bonds	163,056	492	1,198	162,350
Trust preferred securities	8,893	20	1,210	7,703
Total debt securities	423,249	2,037	3,743	421,543
Mortgage-backed securities:				
Collateralized mortgage obligations:				
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	30,184	69	153	30,100
Federal National Mortgage Association	47,357	99	509	46,947
Non-agency securities	178	-	2	176
Total collateralized mortgage obligations	77,719	168	664	77,223
Mortgage pass-through securities:				
Residential pass-through securities:				
Government National Mortgage Association	2,632	260	-	2,892
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	163,758	3,466	372	166,852
Federal National Mortgage Association	109,385	3,566	198	112,753
Total residential pass-through securities	275,775	7,292	570	282,497
Commercial pass-through securities:				
Federal National Mortgage Association	12,707	190	-	12,897
Total commercial pass-through securities	12,707	190	-	12,897
Total mortgage-backed securities	366,201	7,650	1,234	372,617
Total securities available for sale	\$789,450	\$ 9,687	\$ 4,977	\$794,160

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

March 31, 2015  
Amortized Fair

	Cost	Value
	(In Thousands)	
Debt securities available for sale:		
Due in one year or less	\$20,015	\$20,123
Due after one year through five years	20,700	20,362
Due after five years through ten years	153,030	152,371
Due after ten years	229,504	228,687
Total	\$423,249	\$421,543

- 12 -

---



	June 30, 2014			
	Gross		Gross	
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
(In Thousands)				
<b>Securities available for sale:</b>				
<b>Debt securities:</b>				
U.S. agency securities	\$4,159	\$ 48	\$ 2	\$4,205
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	27,537	9	773	26,773
Asset-backed securities	87,480	663	827	87,316
Collateralized loan obligations	120,089	-	517	119,572
Corporate bonds	163,076	617	1,459	162,234
Trust preferred securities	8,887	32	1,121	7,798
<b>Total debt securities</b>	<b>411,228</b>	<b>1,369</b>	<b>4,699</b>	<b>407,898</b>
<b>Mortgage-backed securities:</b>				
<b>Collateralized mortgage obligations:</b>				
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	33,505	-	485	33,020
Federal National Mortgage Association	51,277	12	1,249	50,040
Non-agency securities	210	-	-	210
<b>Total collateralized mortgage obligations</b>	<b>84,992</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1,734</b>	<b>83,270</b>
<b>Mortgage pass-through securities:</b>				
<b>Residential pass-through securities:</b>				
Government National Mortgage Association	3,055	221	-	3,276
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	196,882	3,937	1,929	198,890
Federal National Mortgage Association	147,873	4,750	836	151,787
<b>Total residential pass-through securities</b>	<b>347,810</b>	<b>8,908</b>	<b>2,765</b>	<b>353,953</b>
<b>Total mortgage-backed securities</b>	<b>432,802</b>	<b>8,920</b>	<b>4,499</b>	<b>437,223</b>
<b>Total securities available for sale</b>	<b>\$844,030</b>	<b>\$ 10,289</b>	<b>\$ 9,198</b>	<b>\$845,121</b>

During the nine months ended March 31, 2015, proceeds from sales of securities available for sale totaled \$57.2 million and resulted in gross gains of \$601,000 and gross losses of \$594,000. Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale during the nine months ended March 31, 2014, totaled \$141.8 million and resulted in gross gains of \$2,891,000 and gross losses of \$1,835,000.

At March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014, securities available for sale with carrying values of approximately \$63.0 million and \$76.1 million, respectively, were utilized as collateral for borrowings through the FHLB of New York. As of those same dates, securities available for sale with total carrying values of approximately \$1.5 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, were pledged to secure public funds on deposit.

At March 31, 2015, the Company's available for sale mortgage-backed securities were secured by residential and commercial mortgage loans with original contractual maturities of ten to thirty years. At June 30, 2014, the Company's available for sale mortgage-backed securities were secured by residential mortgage loans only with original contractual maturities of ten to thirty years. The effective lives of mortgage-backed securities are generally shorter than their contractual maturities due to principal amortization and prepayment of the mortgage loans comprised within those securities. Investors in mortgage pass-through securities generally share in the receipt of principal repayments on a pro-rata basis as paid by the borrowers. By comparison, collateralized mortgage obligations generally represent individual tranches within a larger investment vehicle that is designed to distribute cash flows received on securitized mortgage loans to investors in a manner determined by the overall terms and structure of the investment vehicle and those applying to the individual tranches within that structure.

9. SECURITIES HELD TO MATURITY

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair values of debt and mortgage-backed securities held to maturity at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014 and stratification by contractual maturity of debt securities held to maturity at March 31, 2015 are presented below:

	March 31, 2015			
	Gross		Gross	
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
	(In Thousands)			
<b>Securities held to maturity:</b>				
<b>Debt securities:</b>				
U.S. agency securities	\$ 144,186	\$ 1	\$ 379	\$ 143,808
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	74,739	443	312	74,870
<b>Total debt securities</b>	<b>218,925</b>	<b>444</b>	<b>691</b>	<b>218,678</b>
<b>Mortgage-backed securities:</b>				
<b>Collateralized mortgage obligations:</b>				
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	18	2	-	20
Federal National Mortgage Association	232	26	-	258
Non-agency securities	44	-	1	43
<b>Total collateralized mortgage obligations</b>	<b>294</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>321</b>
<b>Mortgage pass-through securities:</b>				
<b>Residential pass-through securities:</b>				
Government National Mortgage Association	8	1	-	9
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	245	16	-	261
Federal National Mortgage Association	128,298	2,840	18	131,120
<b>Total residential pass-through securities</b>	<b>128,551</b>	<b>2,857</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>131,390</b>
<b>Commercial pass-through securities:</b>				
Government National Mortgage Association	10,208	54	-	10,262
Federal National Mortgage Association	160,892	4,023	20	164,895
<b>Total commercial pass-through securities</b>	<b>171,100</b>	<b>4,077</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>175,157</b>
<b>Total mortgage-backed securities</b>	<b>299,945</b>	<b>6,962</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>306,868</b>
<b>Total securities held to maturity</b>	<b>\$ 518,870</b>	<b>\$ 7,406</b>	<b>\$ 730</b>	<b>\$ 525,546</b>

March 31, 2015  
Amortized Fair

Cost Value

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

(In Thousands)

Debt securities available for sale:		
Due in one year or less	\$5,372	\$5,379
Due after one year through five years	152,032	151,628
Due after five years through ten years	37,631	37,737
Due after ten years	23,890	23,934
Total	\$218,925	\$218,678

	June 30, 2014			
	Gross		Gross	
	Amortized Unrealized		Unrealized Fair	
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
(In Thousands)				
<b>Securities held to maturity:</b>				
<b>Debt securities:</b>				
U.S. agency securities	\$ 144,349	\$ 6	\$ 1,408	\$ 142,947
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	72,065	15	1,555	70,525
<b>Total debt securities</b>	<b>216,414</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>2,963</b>	<b>213,472</b>
<b>Mortgage-backed securities:</b>				
<b>Collateralized mortgage obligations:</b>				
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	20	2	-	22
Federal National Mortgage Association	264	30	-	294
Non-agency securities	54	-	1	53
<b>Total collateralized mortgage obligations</b>	<b>338</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>369</b>
<b>Mortgage pass-through securities:</b>				
<b>Residential pass-through securities:</b>				
Government National Mortgage Association	9	-	-	9
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	283	4	-	287
Federal National Mortgage Association	114,276	140	83	114,333
<b>Total residential pass-through securities</b>	<b>114,568</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>114,629</b>
<b>Commercial pass-through securities:</b>				
Federal National Mortgage Association	180,752	73	2,042	178,783
<b>Total commercial pass-through securities</b>	<b>180,752</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>2,042</b>	<b>178,783</b>
<b>Total mortgage-backed securities</b>	<b>295,658</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>2,126</b>	<b>293,781</b>
<b>Total securities held to maturity</b>	<b>\$ 512,072</b>	<b>\$ 270</b>	<b>\$ 5,089</b>	<b>\$ 507,253</b>

There were no sales of securities held to maturity during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014.

At March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014, securities held to maturity with carrying values of approximately \$127.4 million and \$128.1 million, respectively, were utilized as collateral for borrowings from the FHLB of New York. As of those same dates, securities held to maturity with total carrying values of approximately \$7.9 million and \$4.5 million, respectively, were pledged to secure public funds on deposit.

At March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014, the Company's held to maturity mortgage-backed securities were secured by both residential and commercial mortgage loans with original contractual maturities of ten to thirty years. The effective lives of mortgage-backed securities are generally shorter than their contractual maturities due to principal amortization and prepayment of the mortgage loans comprised within those securities. Investors in mortgage pass-through securities generally share in the receipt of principal repayments on a pro-rata basis as paid by the borrowers. By comparison, collateralized mortgage obligations generally represent individual tranches within a larger

investment vehicle that is designed to distribute cash flows received on securitized mortgage loans to investors in a manner determined by the overall terms and structure of the investment vehicle and those applying to the individual tranches within that structure.

#### 10. IMPAIRMENT OF SECURITIES

The following two tables summarize the fair values and gross unrealized losses within the available for sale and held to maturity portfolios at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014. The gross unrealized losses, presented by security type, represent temporary impairments of value within each portfolio as of the dates presented. Temporary impairments within the available for sale portfolio have been recognized through other comprehensive income as reductions in stockholders' equity on a tax-effected basis.

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

The tables are followed by a discussion that summarizes the Company's rationale for recognizing certain impairments as "temporary" versus those identified as "other-than-temporary". Such rationale is presented by investment type and generally applies consistently to both the "available for sale" and "held to maturity" portfolios, except where specifically noted.

	March 31, 2015					
	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses
	(In Thousands)					
<b>Securities Available for Sale:</b>						
U.S. agency securities	\$ 1,549	\$ 9	\$ 716	\$ 5	\$ 2,265	\$ 14
<b>Obligations of state and political</b>						
subdivisions	5,505	46	3,025	106	8,530	152
Asset-backed securities	-	-	30,100	216	30,100	216
Collateralized loan obligations	98,321	951	4,982	2	103,303	953
Corporate bonds	39,772	228	54,082	970	93,854	1,198
Trust preferred securities	-	-	6,683	1,210	6,683	1,210
Collateralized mortgage obligations	176	1	54,708	663	54,884	664
Residential pass-through securities	4	-	52,629	570	52,633	570
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 145,327</b>	<b>\$ 1,235</b>	<b>\$ 206,925</b>	<b>\$ 3,742</b>	<b>\$ 352,252</b>	<b>\$ 4,977</b>

	June 30, 2014					
	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses
	(In Thousands)					
<b>Securities Available for Sale:</b>						
U.S. agency securities	\$ 826	\$ 1	\$ 84	\$ 1	\$ 910	\$ 2
<b>Obligations of state and political</b>						
subdivisions	946	3	23,140	770	24,086	773
Asset-backed securities	28,404	630	25,169	197	53,573	827
Collateralized loan obligations	84,705	270	24,829	247	109,534	517
Corporate bonds	19,790	210	53,811	1,249	73,601	1,459
Trust preferred securities	-	-	6,766	1,121	6,766	1,121
Collateralized mortgage obligations	21,806	219	50,028	1,515	71,834	1,734
Residential pass-through securities	-	-	123,666	2,765	123,666	2,765
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 156,477</b>	<b>\$ 1,333</b>	<b>\$ 307,493</b>	<b>\$ 7,865</b>	<b>\$ 463,970</b>	<b>\$ 9,198</b>





Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

The number of available for sale securities with unrealized losses at March 31, 2015 totaled 73 and included five U.S. agency securities, 24 municipal obligations, four asset-backed securities, 16 collateralized loan obligations, seven corporate obligations, four trust preferred securities and 13 mortgage-backed securities comprising six collateralized mortgage obligations and seven residential pass-through securities. The number of available for sale securities with unrealized losses at June 30, 2014 totaled 111 and included four U.S. agency securities, 63 municipal obligations, five asset-backed securities, 16 collateralized loan obligations, six corporate obligations, four trust preferred securities and 13 mortgage-backed securities comprising six collateralized mortgage obligations and seven residential pass-through securities.

	March 31, 2015					
	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses
(In Thousands)						
<b>Securities Held to Maturity:</b>						
U.S. agency securities	\$-	\$ -	\$142,953	\$ 379	\$142,953	\$ 379
<b>Obligations of state and political</b>						
subdivisions	15,843	75	8,086	237	23,929	312
Collateralized mortgage obligations	18	-	24	1	42	1
Residential pass-through securities	2,064	18			2,064	18
Commercial pass-through securities	9,769	20	-	-	9,769	20
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$27,694</b>	<b>\$ 113</b>	<b>\$151,063</b>	<b>\$ 617</b>	<b>\$178,757</b>	<b>\$ 730</b>

	June 30, 2014					
	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses
(In Thousands)						
<b>Securities Held to Maturity:</b>						
U.S. agency securities	\$-	\$ -	\$141,919	\$ 1,408	\$141,919	\$ 1,408
<b>Obligations of state and political</b>						
subdivisions	5,808	36	57,056	1,519	62,864	1,555
Collateralized mortgage obligations	30	1	-	-	30	1
Residential pass-through securities	59,993	83	-	-	59,993	83
Commercial pass-through securities	56,234	230	96,937	1,812	153,171	2,042
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$122,065</b>	<b>\$ 350</b>	<b>\$295,912</b>	<b>\$ 4,739</b>	<b>\$417,977</b>	<b>\$ 5,089</b>

The number of held to maturity securities with unrealized losses at March 31, 2015 totaled 73 and included seven U.S. agency securities, 59 municipal obligations and seven mortgage-backed securities comprising four collateralized

mortgage obligations, two commercial pass-through securities and one residential pass-through security. The number of held to maturity securities with unrealized losses at June 30, 2014 totaled 198 and included seven U.S. agency securities, 137 municipal obligations and 54 mortgage-backed securities comprising three collateralized mortgage obligations, 26 residential pass-through securities and 25 commercial pass-through securities.

- 17 -

---

In general, if the fair value of a debt security is less than its amortized cost basis at the time of evaluation, the security is “impaired” and the impairment is to be evaluated to determine if it is other than temporary. The Company evaluates the impaired securities in its portfolio for possible other than temporary impairment (OTTI) on at least a quarterly basis. The following represents the circumstances under which an impaired security is determined to be other than temporarily impaired:

- When the Company intends to sell the impaired debt security;
- When the Company more likely than not will be required to sell the impaired debt security before recovery of its amortized cost (for example, whether liquidity requirements or contractual or regulatory obligations indicate that the security will be required to be sold before a forecasted recovery occurs); or
- When an impaired debt security does not meet either of the two conditions above, but the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost of the security. According to applicable accounting guidance for debt securities, this is generally when the present value of cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost of the security.

In the first two circumstances noted above, the amount of OTTI recognized in earnings is the entire difference between the security’s amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. In the third circumstance, however, the OTTI is to be separated into the amount representing the credit loss from the amount related to all other factors. The credit loss component is to be recognized in earnings while the non-credit loss component is to be recognized in other comprehensive income. In these cases, OTTI is generally predicated on an adverse change in cash flows (e.g. principal and/or interest payment deferrals or losses) versus those expected at the time of purchase. The absence of an adverse change in expected cash flows generally indicates that a security’s impairment is related to other “non-credit loss” factors and is thereby generally not recognized as OTTI.

The Company considers a variety of factors when determining whether a credit loss exists for an impaired security including, but not limited to:

- The length of time and the extent (a percentage) to which the fair value has been less than the amortized cost basis;
- Adverse conditions specifically related to the security, an industry, or a geographic area (e.g. changes in the financial condition of the issuer of the security, or in the case of an asset backed debt security, in the financial condition of the underlying loan obligors, including changes in technology or the discontinuance of a segment of the business that may affect the future earnings potential of the issuer or underlying loan obligors of the security or changes in the quality of the credit enhancement);
- The historical and implied volatility of the fair value of the security;
- The payment structure of the debt security;
- Actual or expected failure of the issuer of the security to make scheduled interest or principal payments;
- Changes to the rating of the security by external rating agencies; and
- Recoveries or additional declines in fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date.

At March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014, the Company held no securities on which credit-related OTTI had been recognized in earnings. The following discussion summarizes the Company’s rationale for recognizing the impairments reported in the tables above as “temporary” versus “other-than-temporary”. Such rationale is presented by investment type and generally applies consistently to both the available for sale and held to maturity portfolios, except where specifically noted.

#### Mortgage-backed Securities.

The carrying value of the Company’s mortgage-backed securities totaled \$672.6 million at March 31, 2015 and comprised 51.2% of total investments and 18.1% of total assets as of that date. This category of securities primarily includes mortgage pass-through securities and collateralized mortgage obligations issued by U.S. government

agencies and/or government-sponsored entities (“GSEs”) such as Ginnie Mae, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac who guarantee the contractual cash flows associated with those securities. Those guarantees were strengthened during the 2008-2009 financial crisis at which time Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac were placed into receivership by the federal government. Through those actions, the U.S. government effectively reinforced the guarantees of their agencies thereby strengthening the creditworthiness of the mortgage-backed securities issued by those agencies.

With credit risk being reduced to negligible levels due primarily to the U.S. government’s support of most of these agencies, the unrealized losses on the Company’s investment in U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities are due largely to the combined effects of several market-related factors including, most notably, changes in market interest rates. In general, the fair value of certain debt

securities, including the Company's mortgage-backed securities, move inversely with changes in market interest rates. As market interest rates increase, the value of the securities, which are largely characterized by fixed interest rates or adjustable rates that lag the movement in market interest rates, generally decline and vice-versa.

Additionally, movements in market interest rates significantly impact the average lives of mortgage-backed securities by influencing the rate of principal prepayment attributable to refinancing activity. Changes in the expected average lives of such securities significantly impact their fair values due to the extension or contraction of the cash flows that an investor expects to receive over the life of the security. Generally, lower market interest rates prompt greater refinancing activity thereby shortening the average lives of mortgage-backed securities and vice-versa. The historically low mortgage rates prevalent in the marketplace during recent years created significant refinancing incentive for qualified borrowers.

Prepayment rates are also influenced by fluctuating real estate values and the overall availability of credit in the marketplace which significantly impacts the ability of borrowers to qualify for refinancing. The residential real estate marketplace in recent years has been characterized by diminished property values and reduced availability of credit due to tightening underwriting standards. As a consequence, the ability of certain borrowers to qualify for the refinancing of existing loans has been reduced while residential real estate purchase activity has been stifled. These factors have partially offset the effects of historically low interest rates on mortgage-backed security prepayment rates.

The market price of mortgage-backed securities, being the key measure of the fair value to an investor in such securities, is also influenced by the overall supply and demand for such securities in the marketplace. Absent other factors, an increase in the demand for, or a decrease in the supply of, a security increases its price. Conversely, a decrease in the demand for, or an increase in the supply of, a security decreases its price.

In sum, the factors influencing the fair value of the Company's U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities, as described above, generally result from movements in market interest rates and changing real estate and financial market conditions which affect the supply and demand for such securities. Such market conditions may fluctuate over time resulting in certain securities being impaired for periods in excess of 12 months. However, the longevity of such impairment is not necessarily reflective of an expectation for an adverse change in cash flows signifying a credit loss. Consequently, the impairments of value resulting directly from these changing market conditions are considered "noncredit-related" and "temporary" in nature.

Finally, the Company has the stated ability and intent to "hold to maturity" those securities so designated at March 31, 2015 and does not intend to sell the temporarily impaired available for sale securities prior to the recovery of their fair value to a level equal to or greater than the Company's amortized cost. Moreover, the Company has concluded that the possibility of being required to sell the securities prior to their anticipated recovery is unlikely based upon its strong liquidity, asset quality and capital position as of that date. In light of the factors noted, the Company does not consider its U.S. agency and GSE mortgage-backed securities with unrealized losses at March 31, 2015 to be "other-than-temporarily" impaired as of that date.

In addition to those mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. agencies and GSEs, the Company held a nominal balance of non-agency mortgage-backed securities at March 31, 2015. Unlike agency and GSE mortgage-backed securities, non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations are not explicitly guaranteed by a U.S. government sponsored entity. Rather, such securities generally utilize the structure of the larger investment vehicle to reallocate credit risk among the individual tranches comprised within that vehicle. Through this process, investors in different tranches are subject to varying degrees of risk that the cash flows of their tranche will be adversely impacted by borrowers defaulting on the underlying mortgage loans. The creditworthiness of certain tranches may also be further enhanced by additional credit insurance protection embedded within the terms of the total investment vehicle.

The fair values of the non-agency mortgage-backed securities are subject to many of the factors applicable to the agency securities that may result in “temporary” impairments in value. However, due to the lack of agency guaranty, the Company also monitors the general level of credit risk for each of its non-agency mortgage-backed securities based upon a variety of factors including, but not limited to, the ratings assigned to its specific tranches by one or more credit rating agencies, where available. As noted above, the level of such ratings and changes thereto, is one of several factors considered by the Company in identifying those securities that may be other-than-temporarily impaired.

The applicable securities generally maintained their credit-ratings at levels supporting the investment grade assessment by the Company. The Company has the stated ability and intent to “hold to maturity” those securities at March 31, 2015 and has further concluded that the possibility of being required to sell the securities prior to their anticipated recovery is unlikely based upon its strong liquidity, asset quality and capital position as of that date. In light of the factors noted, the Company does not consider its non-agency mortgage-backed securities with unrealized losses at March 31, 2015 to be “other-than-temporarily” impaired as of that date.

#### U.S. Agency Debt Securities.

The carrying value of the Company's U.S. agency debt securities totaled \$151.9 million at March 31, 2015 and comprised 11.6% of total investments and 4.1% of total assets as of that date. Such securities included U.S. agency debentures and securitized pools of loans issued and fully guaranteed by the Small Business Administration ("SBA"), a U.S. government agency.

With credit risk being reduced to negligible levels due to the issuer's guarantee, the unrealized losses on the Company's investment in U.S. agency debentures are due largely to the combined effects of several market-related factors including, most notably, changes in market interest rates. In general, the fair value of certain debt securities, including the Company's U.S. agency debentures, move inversely with changes in market interest rates. As market interest rates increase, the value of the securities, which are largely characterized by fixed interest rates, generally decline and vice-versa.

The market price of U.S. agency debentures is also influenced by the overall supply and demand for such securities in the marketplace. Absent other factors, an increase in the demand for, or a decrease in the supply of, a security increases its price. Conversely, a decrease in the demand for, or an increase in the supply of, a security decreases its price.

In sum, the factors influencing the fair value of the Company's U.S. agency debentures, as described above, generally result from movements in market interest rates and changing market conditions which affect the supply and demand for such securities. Those market conditions may fluctuate over time resulting in certain securities being impaired for periods in excess of 12 months. However, the longevity of such impairment is not necessarily reflective of an expectation for an adverse change in cash flows signifying a credit loss. Consequently, the impairments of value resulting directly from these changing market conditions are considered "noncredit-related" and "temporary" in nature.

Finally, the Company has the stated ability and intent to "hold to maturity" those securities so designated at March 31, 2015 and does not intend to sell the temporarily impaired available for sale securities prior to the recovery of their fair value to a level equal to or greater than the Company's amortized cost. Furthermore, the Company has concluded that the possibility of being required to sell the securities prior to their anticipated recovery is unlikely based upon its strong liquidity, asset quality and capital position as of that date. In light of the factors noted, the Company does not consider its balance of U.S. agency securities with unrealized losses at March 31, 2015 to be "other-than-temporarily" impaired as of that date.

#### Obligations of State and Political Subdivisions.

The carrying value of the Company's securities representing obligations of state and political subdivisions totaled \$102.3 million at March 31, 2015 and comprised 7.8% of total investments and 2.8% of total assets as of that date. Such securities primarily include fixed-rate, bank-qualified securities representing general obligations of municipalities located within the U.S. or the obligations of their related entities such as boards of education or school districts. The portfolio also includes a nominal balance of non-rated bond anticipation notes ("BANs") comprising short-term obligations issued by New Jersey municipalities with whom the Company maintains or seeks to maintain deposit relationships. At March 31, 2015, the fair value of each of the Company's BANs equaled or exceeded their respective carrying values resulting in no reported impairment on those securities as of that date.

As noted earlier, the Company considers the ratings assigned by one or more credit rating agencies, where available, in its evaluation of the impairment attributable to each of its municipal obligations. The Company uses such ratings, in conjunction with the other criteria noted earlier, to identify those securities whose impairments are potentially "credit-related" versus "noncredit-related".

Unrealized losses associated with municipal obligations whose credit ratings exceed certain internally defined thresholds are considered to be indicative of “noncredit-related” impairment given the nominal level of credit losses that would be expected based upon such ratings. That conclusion is generally reinforced, as appropriate, by additional internal analysis supporting the Company’s periodic internal investment grade assessment of the security.

At March 31, 2015, each of the Company’s impaired municipal obligations were consistently rated by Moody’s Investors Service (“Moody’s”) and Standard & Poor’s Financial Services (“S&P”) well above the thresholds that generally support the Company’s investment grade assessment with such ratings equaling “A” or higher by S&P and/or “A2” or higher by Moody’s, where rated by those agencies. In the absence of such ratings, the Company relies upon its own internal analysis of the issuer’s financial condition to validate its investment grade assessment.

Given the absence of any expectation for an adverse change in cash flows signifying a credit loss, the unrealized losses on the Company’s investment in municipal obligations are due largely to the combined effects of several market-related factors including, most notably, changes in market interest rates. In general, the fair value of certain debt securities, including the Company’s municipal



obligations, move inversely with changes in market interest rates. As market interest rates increase, the value of the securities, which are largely characterized by fixed interest rates, generally decline and vice-versa.

The market price of municipal obligations is also influenced by the overall supply and demand for such securities in the marketplace. While these factors may generally reflect the level of available liquidity in the marketplace, demand for individual securities will specifically reflect investors' assessment of an issuer's creditworthiness and resulting expectations for timely and full repayment in accordance with the terms of the applicable security agreement. Absent other factors, an increase in the demand for, or a decrease in the supply of, a security increases its price. Conversely, a decrease in the demand for, or an increase in the supply of, a security decreases its price.

In sum, the factors influencing the fair value of the Company's municipal obligations, as described above, generally result from movements in market interest rates and changing market conditions which affect the supply and demand for such securities. Those market conditions may fluctuate over time resulting in certain securities being impaired for periods in excess of 12 months. However, the longevity of such impairment is not necessarily reflective of an expectation for an adverse change in cash flows signifying a credit loss. Consequently, the impairments of value resulting directly from these changing market conditions are considered "noncredit-related" and "temporary" in nature.

Finally, the Company has the stated ability and intent to "hold to maturity" those securities so designated at March 31, 2015 and does not intend to sell the temporarily impaired available for sale securities prior to the recovery of their fair value to a level equal to or greater than the Company's amortized cost. Furthermore, the Company has concluded that the possibility of being required to sell the securities prior to their anticipated recovery is unlikely based upon its strong liquidity, asset quality and capital position as of that date. In light of the factors noted, the Company does not consider its balance of obligations of state and political subdivisions with unrealized losses at March 31, 2015 to be "other-than-temporarily" impaired as of that date.

#### Asset-backed Securities.

The carrying value of the Company's asset-backed securities totaled \$88.4 million at March 31, 2015 and comprised 6.7% of total investments and 2.4% of total assets as of that date. This category of securities is comprised entirely of structured, floating-rate securities representing securitized federal education loans with 97% U.S. government guarantees. The securities represent tranches of a larger investment vehicle designed to reallocate credit risk among the individual tranches comprised within that vehicle. Through this process, investors in different tranches are subject to varying degrees of risk that the cash flows of their tranche will be adversely impacted by borrowers defaulting on the underlying loans. The Company's securities represent the highest credit-quality tranches within the overall structures with each being rated "AA+" by S&P at March 31, 2015.

With credit risk being reduced to nominal levels due to the guarantees and structural support noted above, the unrealized losses on the Company's investment in asset-backed securities are due largely to the combined effects of several market-related factors, including changes in market interest rates and fluctuating demand for such securities in the marketplace. In general, the fair value of certain debt securities, including the Company's asset-backed securities, move inversely with changes in market interest rates. As market interest rates increase, the value of the securities generally decline and vice-versa. However, the floating-rate nature of the Company's asset-backed securities greatly reduces their sensitivity to such changes in market rates.

More significantly, the market price of asset-backed securities is also influenced by the overall supply and demand for such securities in the marketplace. Absent other factors, an increase in the demand for, or a decrease in the supply of, a security increases its price. Conversely, a decrease in the demand for, or an increase in the supply of, a security decreases its price.

In sum, the factors influencing the fair value of the Company's asset-backed securities, as described above, generally result from movements in market interest rates and changing market conditions which affect the supply and demand for such securities. Those market conditions may fluctuate over time resulting in certain securities being impaired for periods in excess of 12 months. However, the longevity of such impairment is not necessarily reflective of an expectation for an adverse change in cash flows signifying a credit loss. Consequently, the impairments of value resulting directly from these changing market conditions are considered "noncredit-related" and "temporary" in nature.

Finally, the Company does not intend to sell the temporarily impaired available for sale securities prior to the recovery of their fair value to a level equal to or greater than the Company's amortized cost. Furthermore, the Company has concluded that the possibility of being required to sell the securities prior to their anticipated recovery is unlikely based upon its strong liquidity, asset quality and capital position as of March 31, 2015. In light of the factors noted, the Company does not consider its balance of asset-backed securities with unrealized losses at March 31, 2015 to be "other-than-temporarily" impaired as of that date.

### Collateralized Loan Obligations.

The outstanding balance of the Company's collateralized loan obligations totaled \$127.8 million at March 31, 2015 and comprised 9.7% of total investments and 3.4% of total assets as of that date. This category of securities is comprised entirely of structured, floating-rate securities comprised of securitized commercial loans to large U.S. corporations. The Company's securities represent tranches of a larger investment vehicle designed to reallocate cash flows and credit risk among the individual tranches comprised within that vehicle. Through this process, investors in different tranches are subject to varying degrees of risk that the cash flows of their tranche will be adversely impacted by borrowers defaulting on the underlying loans.

As noted earlier, the Company considers the ratings assigned by one or more credit rating agencies, where available, in its evaluation of the impairment attributable to each of its collateralized loan obligations. The Company uses such ratings, in conjunction with the other criteria noted earlier, to identify those securities whose impairments are potentially "credit-related" versus "noncredit-related".

Unrealized losses associated with collateralized loan obligations whose credit ratings exceed certain internally defined thresholds are considered to be indicative of "noncredit-related" impairment given the nominal level of credit losses that would be expected based upon such ratings. That conclusion is generally reinforced, as appropriate, by additional internal analysis supporting the Company's periodic internal investment grade assessment of the security.

At March 31, 2015, each of the Company's impaired collateralized loan obligations were consistently rated by Moody's and S&P well above the thresholds that generally support the Company's investment grade assessment, with such ratings equaling "AA" or higher by S&P and "Aa2" or higher by Moody's, where rated by those agencies.

Given the absence of any expectation for an adverse change in cash flows signifying a credit loss, the unrealized losses on the Company's investment in collateralized loan obligations are due largely to the combined effects of several market-related factors, including changes in market interest rates and fluctuating demand for such securities in the marketplace. In general, the fair value of certain debt securities, including the Company's collateralized loan obligations, move inversely with changes in market interest rates. As market interest rates increase, the value of the securities generally decline and vice-versa. However, the floating-rate nature of the Company's collateralized loan obligations greatly reduces their sensitivity to such changes in market rates.

More significantly, the market price of collateralized loan obligations is also influenced by the overall supply and demand for such securities in the marketplace. While these factors may generally reflect the level of available liquidity in the marketplace, demand for individual securities will specifically reflect the performance of the underlying collateral in conjunction with the resiliency of the security's structural support as they affect investors' expectations for timely and full repayment. Absent other factors, an increase in the demand for, or a decrease in the supply of, a security increases its price. Conversely, a decrease in the demand for, or an increase in the supply of, a security decreases its price.

In sum, the factors influencing the fair value of the Company's collateralized loan obligations, as described above, generally result from movements in market interest rates and changing market conditions which affect the supply and demand for such securities. Those market conditions may fluctuate over time resulting in certain securities being impaired for periods in excess of 12 months. However, the longevity of such impairment is not necessarily reflective of an expectation for an adverse change in cash flows signifying a credit loss. Consequently, the impairments of value resulting directly from these changing market conditions are considered "noncredit-related" and "temporary" in nature.

During fiscal 2014, the Company sold certain collateralized loan obligations that it had identified as potentially ineligible investments under the terms of the "Volcker Rule" and related regulations enacted by regulatory agencies in

conjunction with the ongoing implementation of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. Such ineligibility was primarily based upon the actual composition of the securitized financial assets within the applicable securities.

At March 31, 2015, the Company's entire portfolio of collateralized loan obligations remains compliant with the Volcker Rule in that regard. As such, the Company concluded that the possibility of being required to sell its collateralized loan obligations prior to their anticipated recovery is currently unlikely which is further reinforced by the overall strength of the Company's liquidity, asset quality and capital position as of that date. Moreover, the Company does not otherwise intend to sell the temporarily impaired available for sale securities prior to the recovery of their fair value to a level equal to or greater than the Company's amortized cost at March 31, 2015.

The Company has also reviewed the underlying security agreements for each of its collateralized loan obligations to determine if the terms of such agreements could potentially allow for the inclusion of ineligible assets within the security's structure in the future.

To the extent the agreements contained such provisions and could or would not be modified by the issuer to ensure ongoing compliance with the Volcker Rule, the Bank sold such securities during the first half of fiscal 2015.

In light of the factors noted, the Company does not consider its balance of collateralized loan obligations with unrealized losses at March 31, 2015 to be “other-than-temporarily” impaired as of that date.

#### Corporate Bonds.

The carrying value of the Company’s corporate bonds totaled \$162.4 million at March 31, 2015 and comprised 12.4% of total investments and 4.4% of total assets as of that date. This category of securities is comprised entirely of floating-rate corporate debt obligations of large financial institutions.

As noted earlier, the Company considers the ratings assigned by one or more credit rating agencies, where available, in its evaluation of the impairment attributable to each of its corporate bonds. The Company uses such ratings, in conjunction with the other criteria noted earlier, to identify those securities whose impairments are potentially “credit-related” versus “noncredit-related”.

Unrealized losses associated with corporate bonds whose credit ratings exceed certain internally defined thresholds are considered to be indicative of “noncredit-related” impairment given the nominal level of credit losses that would be expected based upon such ratings. That conclusion is generally reinforced, as appropriate, by additional internal analysis supporting the Company’s periodic internal investment grade assessment of the security.

At March 31, 2015, each of the Company’s impaired corporate bonds were consistently rated by Moody’s and S&P above the thresholds that generally support the Company’s investment grade assessment with such ratings equaling “A-” or higher by S&P and/or “Baa1” or higher by Moody’s, where rated by those agencies.

Given the absence of any expectation for an adverse change in cash flows signifying a credit loss, the unrealized losses on the Company’s investment in corporate bonds are due largely to the combined effects of several market-related factors including changes in market interest rates and fluctuating demand for such securities in the marketplace. In general, the fair value of certain debt securities, including the Company’s corporate bonds, move inversely with changes in market interest rates. As market interest rates increase, the value of the securities generally decline and vice-versa. However, the floating-rate nature of the Company’s corporate bonds greatly reduces their sensitivity to such changes in market rates.

More significantly, the market price of corporate bonds is also influenced by the overall supply and demand for such securities in the marketplace. While these factors may generally reflect the level of available liquidity in the marketplace, demand for individual securities will specifically reflect investors’ assessment of an issuer’s creditworthiness and resulting expectations for timely and full repayment in accordance with the terms of the applicable security agreement. Absent other factors, an increase in the demand for, or a decrease in the supply of, a security increases its price. Conversely, a decrease in the demand for, or an increase in the supply of, a security decreases its price.

In sum, the factors influencing the fair value of the Company’s corporate bonds, as described above, generally result from movements in market interest rates and changing market conditions which affect the supply and demand for such securities. Those market conditions may fluctuate over time resulting in certain securities being impaired for periods in excess of 12 months. However, the longevity of such impairment is not necessarily reflective of an expectation for an adverse change in cash flows signifying a credit loss. Consequently, the impairments of value resulting directly from these changing market conditions are considered “noncredit-related” and “temporary” in nature.

Finally, the Company does not intend to sell the temporarily impaired available for sale securities prior to the recovery of their fair value to a level equal to or greater than the Company's amortized cost. Furthermore, the Company has concluded that the possibility of being required to sell the securities prior to their anticipated recovery is unlikely based upon its strong liquidity, asset quality and capital position as of March 31, 2015. In light of the factors noted, the Company does not consider its balance of corporate bonds with unrealized losses at March 31, 2015 to be "other-than-temporarily" impaired as of that date.

Trust Preferred Securities.

The carrying value of the Company's trust preferred securities totaled \$7.7 million at March 31, 2015 and comprised less than one percent of total investments and total assets as of that date. The category comprises a total of five "single-issuer" (i.e. non-pooled) trust preferred securities, four of which are impaired as of March 31, 2015, that were originally issued by four separate financial

institutions. As a result of bank mergers involving the issuers of these securities, the Company's five trust preferred securities currently represent the de-facto obligations of three separate financial institutions.

As noted earlier, the Company considers the ratings assigned by one or more credit rating agencies, where such ratings are available, in its evaluation of the impairment attributable to each of its trust preferred securities. The Company uses such ratings, in conjunction with other criteria, to identify those securities whose impairments are potentially "credit-related" versus "noncredit-related".

Unrealized losses associated with trust preferred securities whose credit ratings exceed certain internally defined thresholds are considered to be indicative of "noncredit-related" impairment given the nominal level of credit losses that would be expected based upon such ratings. That conclusion is generally reinforced, as appropriate, by additional internal analysis supporting the Company's internal investment grade assessment of the security.

At March 31, 2015, the Company owned two securities at an amortized cost of \$3.0 million that were consistently rated by Moody's and S&P above the thresholds that generally support the Company's investment grade assessment. The securities were originally issued through Chase Capital II and currently represent de facto obligations of JPMorgan Chase & Co.

The Company has attributed the unrealized losses on these securities to the combined effects of several market-related factors, including movements in market interest rates and general level of liquidity of such securities in the marketplace based on overall supply and demand.

With regard to interest rates, the Company's impaired trust preferred securities are variable rate securities whose interest rates generally float with three-month LIBOR plus a margin. Based upon the historically low level of short-term market interest rates, the current yield on these securities is comparatively low. Consequently, the fair value of the securities, as determined based upon their market price, reflects the adverse effects of the historically low market interest rates at March 31, 2015.

More significantly, the market prices of the impaired trust preferred securities also currently reflect the effect of reduced demand for such securities in the current marketplace.

In addition to the securities noted above, the Company owned two additional trust preferred securities at an amortized cost of \$4.9 million whose external credit ratings by both S&P and Moody's fell below the thresholds that the Company normally associates with investment grade securities. The securities were originally issued through BankBoston Capital Trust IV and MBNA Capital Trust B and currently represent de-facto obligations of Bank of America Corporation.

The Company's evaluation of the unrealized loss associated with these securities considered a variety of factors to determine if any portion of the impairment was credit-related at March 31, 2015. Factors generally considered in such evaluations included the financial strength and viability of the issuer and its parent company, the security's historical performance through prior business and economic cycles, rating consistency or variability among rating companies, the security's current and anticipated status regarding payment default or deferral of contractual payments to investors and the impact of these factors on the present value of the security's expected future cash flows in relation to its amortized cost basis.

In its evaluation, the Company noted the overall financial strength and continuing expected viability of the issuing entity's parent, particularly given their systemically critical role in the marketplace. The Company noted the security's absence of historical defaults or payment deferrals throughout prior business cycles including the recent fiscal crisis that triggered the current economic weaknesses prevalent in the marketplace. Given these factors, the Company had

no basis upon which to estimate an adverse change in the expected cash flows over the securities' remaining terms to maturity.

In sum, the factors influencing the fair value of the Company's trust preferred securities and the resulting impairment attributable to each generally resulted from movements in market interest rates and changing market conditions which affect the supply and demand for such securities. Such market conditions may generally fluctuate over time resulting in the securities being impaired for periods in excess of 12 months. However, the longevity of such impairment is not reflective of an expectation for an adverse change in cash flows signifying a credit loss. Consequently, the impairments of value arising from these changing market conditions are both "noncredit-related" and "temporary" in nature.

Finally, the Company does not intend to sell the temporarily impaired available for sale securities prior to the recovery of their fair value to a level equal to or greater than the Company's amortized cost. Furthermore, the Company has concluded that the possibility of being required to sell the securities prior to their anticipated recovery is unlikely based upon its strong liquidity, asset



quality and capital position as of March 31, 2015. In light of the factors noted, the Company does not consider its investments in trust preferred securities with unrealized losses at March 31, 2015 to be “other-than-temporarily” impaired as of that date.

## 11. LOAN QUALITY AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

**Past Due Loans.** A loan’s “past due” status is generally determined based upon its “P&I delinquency” status in conjunction with its “past maturity” status, where applicable. A loan’s “P&I delinquency” status is based upon the number of calendar days between the date of the earliest P&I payment due and the “as of” measurement date. A loan’s “past maturity” status, where applicable, is based upon the number of calendar days between a loan’s contractual maturity date and the “as of” measurement date. Based upon the larger of these criteria, loans are categorized into the following “past due” tiers for financial statement reporting and disclosure purposes: Current (including 1-29 days past due), 30-59 days, 60-89 days and 90 or more days.

**Nonaccrual Loans.** Loans are generally placed on nonaccrual status when contractual payments become 90 days or more past due, and are otherwise placed on nonaccrual status when the Company does not expect to receive all P&I payments owed substantially in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement. Loans that become 90 days past maturity, but remain non-delinquent with regard to ongoing P&I payments, may remain on accrual status if: (1) the Company expects to receive all P&I payments owed substantially in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement, past maturity status notwithstanding, and (2) the borrower is working actively and cooperatively with the Company to remedy the past maturity status through an expected refinance, payoff or modification of the loan agreement that is not expected to result in a troubled debt restructuring (“TDR”) classification. All TDRs are placed on nonaccrual status for a period of no less than six months after restructuring, irrespective of past due status. The sum of nonaccrual loans plus accruing loans that are 90 days or more past due are generally defined collectively as “nonperforming loans”.

Payments received in cash on nonaccrual loans, including both the principal and interest portions of those payments, are generally applied to reduce the carrying value of the loan for financial statement purposes. When a loan is returned to accrual status, any accumulated interest payments previously applied to the carrying value of the loan during its nonaccrual period are recognized as interest income as an adjustment to the loan’s yield over its remaining term.

Loans that are not considered to be TDRs are generally returned to accrual status when payments due are brought current and the Company expects to receive all remaining P&I payments owed substantially in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement. Non-TDR loans may also be returned to accrual status when a loan’s payment status falls below 90 days past due and the Company: (1) expects receipt of the remaining past due amounts within a reasonable timeframe, and (2) expects to receive all remaining P&I payments owed substantially in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement.

**Acquired Loans.** Loans that we acquire through acquisitions are recorded at fair value with no carryover of the related allowance for credit losses. Determining the fair value of the loans involves estimating the amount and timing of principal and interest cash flows expected to be collected on the loans and discounting those cash flows at a market rate of interest.

The excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the estimated fair value is referred to as the accretable yield and is recognized into interest income over the remaining life of the loan. The difference between contractually required payments at acquisition and the cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition is referred to as the nonaccretable

yield. The nonaccretable yield represents estimated future credit losses expected to be incurred over the life of the loan. Subsequent decreases to the expected cash flows require us to evaluate the need for an allowance for credit losses. Subsequent improvements in expected cash flows result in the reversal of a corresponding amount of the nonaccretable yield which we then reclassify as accretable yield that is recognized into interest income over the remaining life of the loan using the interest method. Our evaluation of the amount of future cash flows that we expect to collect is performed in a similar manner as that used to determine our allowance for credit losses. Charge-offs of the principal amount on acquired loans would be first applied to the nonaccretable yield portion of the fair value adjustment.

Acquired loans that met the criteria for nonaccrual of interest prior to the acquisition may be considered performing upon acquisition, regardless of whether the customer is contractually delinquent, if we can reasonably estimate the timing and amount of the expected cash flows on such loans and if we expect to fully collect the new carrying value of the loans. As such, we may no longer consider the loan to be nonaccrual or nonperforming and may accrue interest on these loans, including the impact of any accretable yield.

At March 31, 2015, the remaining outstanding principal balance and carrying amount of acquired credit-impaired loans totaled approximately \$10,610,000 and \$9,022,000, respectively. By comparison, at June 30, 2014, the remaining outstanding principal balance and carrying amount of acquired credit-impaired loans totaled approximately \$11,778,000 and \$10,138,000, respectively.

The carrying amount of acquired credit-impaired loans for which interest is not being recognized due to the uncertainty of the cash flows relating to such loans totaled \$1,947,000 and \$2,374,000 at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014, respectively.

The balance of the allowance for loan losses at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014 included approximately \$88,000 and \$98,000 of valuation allowances, respectively, for a specifically identified impairment attributable to acquired credit-impaired loans. The valuation allowances were attributable to additional impairment recognized on the applicable loans subsequent to their acquisition, net of any charge offs recognized during that time.

The following table presents the changes in the accretable yield relating to the acquired credit-impaired loans for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014.

	Three Months Ended	Nine Months Ended
	March 31, 2015 (In Thousands)	March 31, 2015 (In Thousands)
Beginning balance	\$ 1,684	\$ 1,891
Accretion to interest income	(379 )	(586 )
Disposals	-	-
Reclassifications from nonaccretable difference	-	-
Ending balance	\$ 1,305	\$ 1,305

	Three Months Ended	Nine Months Ended
	March 31, 2014 (In Thousands)	March 31, 2014 (In Thousands)
Beginning balance	\$ 2,123	\$ 741
Accretion to interest income	(60 )	(172 )
Disposals	-	-
Reclassifications from nonaccretable difference	-	1,494
Ending balance	\$ 2,063	\$ 2,063

Classification of Assets. In compliance with regulatory guidelines, the Company's loan review system includes an evaluation process through which certain loans exhibiting adverse credit quality characteristics are classified "Special Mention", "Substandard", "Doubtful" or "Loss".

An asset is classified as “Substandard” if it is inadequately protected by the paying capacity and net worth of the obligor or the collateral pledged, if any. Substandard assets include those characterized by the distinct possibility that the insured institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as “Doubtful” have all of the weaknesses inherent in those classified as “Substandard”, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values. Assets, or portions thereof, classified as “Loss” are considered uncollectible or of so little value that their continuance as assets is not warranted.

Management evaluates loans classified as substandard or doubtful for impairment in accordance with applicable accounting requirements. As discussed in greater detail below, a valuation allowance is established through the provision for loan losses for any impairment identified through such evaluations.

To the extent that impairment identified on a loan is classified as “Loss”, that portion of the loan is charged off against the allowance for loan losses. The classification of loan impairment as “Loss” is based upon a confirmed expectation for loss. For loans primarily secured by real estate, the expectation for loss is generally confirmed when: (a) impairment is identified on a loan individually evaluated in the manner described below, and (b) the loan is presumed to be collateral-dependent such that the source of loan repayment is expected to arise solely from sale of the collateral securing the applicable loan. Impairment identified on non-collateral-dependent loans may or may not be eligible for a “Loss” classification depending upon the other salient facts and circumstances that affect the manner and likelihood of loan repayment. However, loan impairment that is classified as “Loss” is charged off against the allowance for loan losses concurrent with that classification.

The timeframe between when loan impairment is first identified by the Company and when such impairment may ultimately be charged off varies by loan type. For example, unsecured consumer and commercial loans are generally classified as “Loss” at 120 days past due, resulting in their outstanding balances being charged off at that time. For the Company’s secured loans, the condition of collateral dependency generally serves as the basis upon which a “Loss” classification is ascribed to a loan’s impairment thereby

confirming an expected loss and triggering charge off of that impairment. While the facts and circumstances that effect the manner and likelihood of repayment vary from loan to loan, the Company generally considers the referral of a loan to foreclosure, coupled with the absence of other viable sources of loan repayment, to be demonstrable evidence of collateral dependency. Depending upon the nature of the collections process applicable to a particular loan, an early determination of collateral dependency could result in a nearly concurrent charge off of a newly identified impairment. By contrast, a presumption of collateral dependency may only be determined after the completion of lengthy loan collection and/or workout efforts, including bankruptcy proceedings, which may extend several months or more after a loan's impairment is first identified.

In a limited number of cases, the entire net carrying value of a loan may be determined to be impaired based upon a collateral-dependent impairment analysis. However, the borrower's adherence to contractual repayment terms precludes the recognition of a "Loss" classification and charge off. In these limited cases, a valuation allowance equal to 100% of the impaired loan's carrying value may be maintained against the net carrying value of the asset.

Assets which do not currently expose the Company to a sufficient degree of risk to warrant an adverse classification but have some credit deficiencies or other potential weaknesses are designated as "Special Mention" by management. Adversely classified assets, together with those rated as "Special Mention", are generally referred to as "Classified Assets". Non-classified assets are internally rated within one of four "Pass" categories or as "Watch" with the latter denoting a potential deficiency or concern that warrants increased oversight or tracking by management until remediated.

Management performs a classification of assets review, including the regulatory classification of assets, generally on a monthly basis. The results of the classification of assets review are validated by the Company's third party loan review firm during their quarterly independent review. In the event of a difference in rating or classification between those assigned by the internal and external resources, the Company will generally utilize the more critical or conservative rating or classification. Final loan ratings and regulatory classifications are presented monthly to the Board of Directors and are reviewed by regulators during the examination process.

**Allowance for Loan Losses.** The allowance for loan losses is a valuation account that reflects the Company's estimation of the losses in its loan portfolio to the extent they are both probable and reasonable to estimate. The balance of the allowance is generally maintained through provisions for loan losses that are charged to income in the period that estimated losses on loans are identified by the Company's loan review system. The Company charges confirmed losses on loans against the allowance as such losses are identified. Recoveries on loans previously charged-off are added back to the allowance.

The Company's allowance for loan loss calculation methodology utilizes a "two-tier" loss measurement process that is generally performed monthly. Based upon the results of the classification of assets and credit file review processes described earlier, the Company first identifies the loans that must be reviewed individually for impairment. Factors considered in identifying individual loans to be reviewed include, but may not be limited to, loan type, classification status, contractual payment status, performance/accrual status and impaired status.

The loans considered by the Company to be eligible for individual impairment review include its commercial mortgage loans, comprising multi-family and nonresidential real estate loans, construction loans, commercial business loans as well as its one-to-four family mortgage loans, home equity loans and home equity lines of credit.

A reviewed loan is deemed to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Once a loan is determined to be impaired, management performs an analysis to determine the amount of impairment associated with that loan.

In measuring the impairment associated with collateral-dependent loans, the fair value of the collateral securing the loan is generally used as a measurement proxy for that of the impaired loan itself as a practical expedient. In the case of real estate collateral, such values are generally determined based upon a discounted market value obtained through an automated valuation module or prepared by a qualified, independent real estate appraiser. The value of non-real estate collateral is similarly determined based upon an independent assessment of fair market value by a qualified resource.

The Company generally obtains independent appraisals on properties securing mortgage loans when such loans are initially placed on nonperforming or impaired status with such values updated approximately every six to twelve months thereafter throughout the collections, bankruptcy and/or foreclosure processes. Appraised values are typically updated at the point of foreclosure, where applicable, and approximately every six to twelve months thereafter while the repossessed property is held as real estate owned.

As supported by accounting and regulatory guidance, the Company reduces the fair value of the collateral by estimated selling costs, such as real estate brokerage commissions, to measure impairment when such costs are expected to reduce the cash flows available to repay the loan.

The Company establishes valuation allowances in the fiscal period during which the loan impairments are identified. The results of management's individual loan impairment evaluations are validated by the Company's third party loan review firm during their quarterly independent review. Such valuation allowances are adjusted in subsequent fiscal periods, where appropriate, to reflect any changes in carrying value or fair value identified during subsequent impairment evaluations which are generally updated monthly by management.

The second tier of the loss measurement process involves estimating the probable and estimable losses which addresses loans not otherwise reviewed individually for impairment as well as those individually reviewed loans that are determined to be non-impaired. Such loans include groups of smaller-balance homogeneous loans that may generally be excluded from individual impairment analysis, and therefore collectively evaluated for impairment, as well as the non-impaired loans within categories that are otherwise eligible for individual impairment review.

Valuation allowances established through the second tier of the loss measurement process utilize historical and environmental loss factors to collectively estimate the level of probable losses within defined segments of the Company's loan portfolio. These segments aggregate homogeneous subsets of loans with similar risk characteristics based upon loan type. For allowance for loan loss calculation and reporting purposes, the Company currently stratifies its loan portfolio into seven primary segments: residential mortgage loans, commercial mortgage loans, construction loans, commercial business loans, home equity loans, home equity lines of credit and other consumer loans.

The risks presented by residential mortgage loans are primarily related to adverse changes in the borrower's financial condition that threaten repayment of the loan in accordance with its contractual terms. Such risk to repayment can arise from job loss, divorce, illness and the personal bankruptcy of the borrower. For collateral dependent residential mortgage loans, additional risk of loss is presented by potential declines in the fair value of the collateral securing the loan.

Home equity loans and home equity lines of credit generally share the same risks as those applicable to residential mortgage loans. However, to the extent that such loans represent junior liens, they are comparatively more susceptible to such risks given their subordinate position behind senior liens.

In addition to sharing similar risks as those presented by residential mortgage loans, risks relating to commercial mortgage also arise from comparatively larger loan balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers. Moreover, the repayment of such loans is typically dependent on the successful operation of an underlying real estate project and may be further threatened by adverse changes to demand and supply of commercial real estate as well as changes generally impacting overall business or economic conditions.

The risks presented by construction loans are generally considered to be greater than those attributable to residential and commercial mortgage loans. Risks from construction lending arise, in part, from the concentration of principal in a limited number of loans and borrowers and the effects of general economic conditions on developers and builders. Moreover, a construction loan can involve additional risks because of the inherent difficulty in estimating both a property's value at completion of the project and the estimated cost, including interest, of the project. The nature of these loans is such that they are comparatively more difficult to evaluate and monitor than permanent mortgage loans.

Commercial business loans are also considered to present a comparatively greater risk of loss due to the concentration of principal in a limited number of loans and/or borrowers and the effects of general economic conditions on the business. Commercial business loans may be secured by varying forms of collateral including, but not limited to,

business equipment, receivables, inventory and other business assets which may not provide an adequate source of repayment of the outstanding loan balance in the event of borrower default. Moreover, the repayment of commercial business loans is primarily dependent on the successful operation of the underlying business which may be threatened by adverse changes to the demand for the business' products and/or services as well as the overall efficiency and effectiveness of the business' operations and infrastructure.

Finally, our unsecured consumer loans generally have shorter terms and higher interest rates than other forms of lending but generally involve more credit risk due to the lack of collateral to secure the loan in the event of borrower default. Consumer loan repayment is dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability, and therefore is more likely to be adversely affected by job loss, divorce, illness and personal bankruptcy. By contrast, our consumer loans also include account loans that are fully secured by the borrower's deposit accounts and generally present nominal risk to the Bank.



Each primary segment is further stratified to distinguish between loans originated and purchased through third parties from loans acquired through business combinations. Commercial business loans include secured and unsecured loans as well as loans originated through SBA programs. Additional criteria may be used to further group loans with common risk characteristics. For example, such criteria may distinguish between loans secured by different collateral types or separately identify loans supported by government guarantees such as those issued by the SBA.

In regard to historical loss factors, the Company's allowance for loan loss calculation calls for an analysis of historical charge-offs and recoveries for each of the defined segments within the loan portfolio. The Company utilizes a two-year moving average of annual net charge-off rates (charge-offs net of recoveries) by loan segment, where available, to calculate its actual, historical loss experience. The outstanding principal balance of the non-impaired portion of each loan segment is multiplied by the applicable historical loss factor to estimate the level of probable losses based upon the Company's historical loss experience.

As noted, the second tier of the Company's allowance for loan loss calculation also utilizes environmental loss factors to estimate the probable losses within the loan portfolio. Environmental loss factors are based upon specific qualitative criteria representing key sources of risk within the loan portfolio. Such risk criteria includes the level of and trends in nonperforming loans; the effects of changes in credit policy; the experience, ability and depth of the lending function's management and staff; national and local economic trends and conditions; credit risk concentrations and changes in local and regional real estate values. During fiscal 2014, the environmental factors utilized by the Company in its allowance for loan loss calculation were expanded to include changes in the nature, volume and terms of loans, changes in the quality of loan review systems and resources and the effects of regulatory, legal and other external factors.

For each category of the loan portfolio, a level of risk, developed from a number of internal and external resources, is assigned to each of the qualitative criteria utilizing a scale ranging from zero (negligible risk) to 15 (high risk), with higher values potentially ascribed to exceptional levels of risk that exceed the standard range, as appropriate. The sum of the risk values, expressed as a whole number, is multiplied by .01% to arrive at an overall environmental loss factor, expressed in basis points, for each loan category.

The Company incorporates its credit-rating classification system into the calculation of environmental loss factors by loan type by including risk-rating classification "weights" in its calculation of those factors. The Company's risk-rating classification system ascribes a numerical rating of "1" through "9" to each loan within the portfolio. The ratings "5" through "9" represent the numerical equivalents of the traditional loan classifications "Watch", "Special Mention", "Substandard", "Doubtful" and "Loss", respectively, while lower ratings, "1" through "4", represent risk-ratings within the least risky "Pass" category. The environmental loss factor applicable to each non-impaired loan within a category, as described above, is "weighted" by a multiplier based upon the loan's risk-rating classification. Within any single loan category, a "higher" environmental loss factor is ascribed to those loans with comparatively higher risk-rating classifications resulting in a proportionately greater ALLL requirement attributable to such loans compared to the comparatively lower risk-rated loans within that category.

In evaluating the impact of the level and trends in nonperforming loans on environmental loss factors, the Company first broadly considers the occurrence and overall magnitude of prior losses recognized on such loans over an extended period of time. For this purpose, losses are considered to include both charge offs as well as loan impairments for which valuation allowances have been recognized through provisions to the allowance for loan losses, but have not yet been charged off. To the extent that prior losses have generally been recognized on nonperforming loans within a category, a basis is established to recognize existing losses on loans collectively evaluated for impairment based upon the current levels of nonperforming loans within that category. Conversely, the absence of material prior losses attributable to delinquent or nonperforming loans within a category may significantly diminish, or even preclude, the consideration of the level of nonperforming loans in the calculation of the

environmental loss factors attributable to that category of loans.

Once the basis for considering the level of nonperforming loans on environmental loss factors is established, the Company then considers the current dollar amount of nonperforming loans by loan type in relation to the total outstanding balance of loans within the category. A greater portion of nonperforming loans within a category in relation to the total suggests a comparatively greater level of risk and expected loss within that loan category and vice-versa.

In addition to considering the current level of nonperforming loans in relation to the total outstanding balance for each category, the Company also considers the degree to which those levels have changed from period to period. A significant and sustained increase in nonperforming loans over a 12-24 month period suggests a growing level of expected loss within that loan category and vice-versa.

As noted above, the Company considers these factors in a qualitative, rather than quantitative fashion when ascribing the risk value, as described above, to the level and trends of nonperforming loans that is applicable to a particular loan category. As with all

environmental loss factors, the risk value assigned ultimately reflects the Company's best judgment as to the level of expected losses on loans collectively evaluated for impairment.

The sum of the probable and estimable loan losses calculated through the first and second tiers of the loss measurement processes as described above, represents the total targeted balance for the Company's allowance for loan losses at the end of a fiscal period. As noted earlier, the Company establishes all additional valuation allowances in the fiscal period during which additional individually identified loan impairments and additional estimated losses on loans collectively evaluated for impairment are identified. The Company adjusts its balance of valuation allowances through the provision for loan losses as required to ensure that the balance of the allowance for loan losses reflects all probable and estimable loans losses at the close of the fiscal period. Notwithstanding calculation methodology and the noted distinction between valuation allowances established on loans collectively versus individually evaluated for impairment, the Company's entire allowance for loan losses is available to cover all charge-offs that arise from the loan portfolio.

Although the Company's allowance for loans losses is established in accordance with management's best estimate, actual losses are dependent upon future events and, as such, further additions to the level of loan loss allowances may be necessary.

The following tables present the balance of the allowance for loan losses at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014 based upon the calculation methodology described above. The tables identify the valuation allowances attributable to specifically identified impairments on individually evaluated loans, including those acquired with deteriorated credit quality, as well as valuation allowances for impairments on loans evaluated collectively. The tables include the underlying balance of loans receivable applicable to each category as of those dates as well as the activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014. Unless otherwise noted, the balance of loans reported in the tables below excludes yield adjustments and the allowance for loan loss.

Allowance for Loan Losses and Loans Receivable  
at March 31, 2015

	Residential Mortgage (In Thousands)	Commercial Mortgage	Construction	Commercial Business	Home Equity Loans	Home Equity Lines of Credit	Other Consumer	Total
Balance of allowance for loan losses:								
Originated and purchased loans:								
Loans individually evaluated								
for impairment	\$ 130	\$ 381	\$ -	\$ 43	\$ 14	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 568
Loans collectively evaluated								
for impairment	2,018	8,978	27	933	203	34	19	12,212
Allowance for loan losses on								
originated and purchased loans	2,148	9,359	27	976	217	34	19	12,780
Loans acquired at fair value:								
Loans acquired with deteriorated								
credit quality	-	-	-	88	-	-	-	88
Other acquired loans								
individually								
evaluated for impairment	-	138	-	79	-	-	-	217
Acquired loans collectively								
evaluated for impairment	13	338	4	541	64	41	1	1,002
Allowance for loan losses on								
loans acquired at fair value	13	476	4	708	64	41	1	1,307
Total allowance for loan losses	\$ 2,161	\$ 9,835	\$ 31	\$ 1,684	\$ 281	\$ 75	\$ 20	\$ 14,087

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Allowance for Loan Losses and Loans Receivable  
Period Ended March 31, 2015

	Residential Mortgage (In Thousands)	Commercial Mortgage	Construction	Commercial Business	Home Equity Loans	Home Equity Lines of Credit	Other Consumer	Total
Changes in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2015:								
At December 31, 2014:								
Allocated	\$2,310	\$ 8,392	\$ 59	\$ 1,407	\$ 314	\$ 80	\$ 22	\$12,584
Unallocated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total allowance for loan losses	2,310	8,392	59	1,407	314	80	22	12,584
Total charge offs	(183 )	-	-	(38 )	(38 )	-	(1 )	(260 )
Total recoveries	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	2
Total allocated provisions	34	1,443	(28 )	313	5	(5 )	(1 )	1,761
Total unallocated provisions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
At March 31, 2015:								
Allocated	2,161	9,835	31	1,684	281	75	20	14,087
Unallocated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total allowance for loan losses	\$2,161	\$ 9,835	\$ 31	\$ 1,684	\$ 281	\$ 75	\$ 20	\$14,087

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Allowance for Loan Losses and Loans Receivable  
 Period Ended March 31, 2015 (continued)

	Residential	Commercial	Construction	Commercial	Home	Lines	Other	
	Mortgage	Mortgage	Business	Equity	Loans	Credit	Consumer	Total
	(In Thousands)							
Changes in the allowance for loan losses for the nine months ended								
March 31, 2015:								
At June 30, 2014:								
Allocated	\$2,729	\$ 7,737	\$ 67	\$ 1,284	\$ 460	\$ 88	\$ 22	\$12,387
Unallocated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total allowance for loan losses	2,729	7,737	67	1,284	460	88	22	12,387
Total charge offs	(1,620)	(612 )	-	(489 )	(77 )	-	(1 )	(2,799 )
Total recoveries	141	-	-	7	-	-	-	148
Total allocated provisions	911	2,710	(36 )	882	(102 )	(13 )	(1 )	4,351
Total unallocated provisions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
At March 31, 2015:								
Allocated	2,161	9,835	31	1,684	281	75	20	14,087
Unallocated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total allowance for loan losses	\$2,161	\$ 9,835	\$ 31	\$ 1,684	\$ 281	\$ 75	\$ 20	\$14,087

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Allowance for Loan Losses and Loans Receivable  
 Period Ended March 31, 2014

	Residential Mortgage (In Thousands)	Commercial Mortgage	Construction	Commercial Business	Home Equity Loans	Home Equity Lines of Credit	Other Consumer	Total
Changes in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended								
March 31, 2014:								
At December 31, 2013:								
Allocated	\$3,274	\$ 6,574	\$ 71	\$ 1,042	\$ 435	\$ 74	\$ 23	\$11,493
Unallocated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total allowance for loan losses	3,274	6,574	71	1,042	435	74	23	11,493
Total charge offs	(296 )	-	-	-	-	-	-	(296 )
Total recoveries	7	-	-	2	2	-	-	11
Total allocated provisions	8	681	(11 )	176	27	1	(2 )	880
Total unallocated provisions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
At March 31, 2014:								
Allocated	2,993	7,255	60	1,220	464	75	21	12,088
Unallocated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total allowance for loan losses	\$2,993	\$ 7,255	\$ 60	\$ 1,220	\$ 464	\$ 75	\$ 21	\$12,088

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Allowance for Loan Losses and Loans Receivable  
 Period Ended March 31, 2014 (continued)

	Residential Mortgage (In Thousands)	Commercial Mortgage	Construction	Commercial Business	Home Equity Loans	Home Equity Lines of Credit	Other Consumer	Total
Changes in the allowance for loan losses for the nine months ended								
March 31, 2014:								
At June 30, 2013:								
Allocated	\$3,660	\$ 5,359	\$ 81	\$ 1,218	\$ 490	\$ 76	\$ 12	\$10,896
Unallocated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total allowance for loan losses	3,660	5,359	81	1,218	490	76	12	10,896
Total charge offs	(804 )	(34 )	-	(1,080 )	(34 )	-	(29 )	(1,981 )
Total recoveries	32	525	-	7	2	-	-	566
Total allocated provisions	105	1,405	(21 )	1,075	6	(1 )	38	2,607
Total unallocated provisions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
At March 31, 2014:								
Allocated	2,993	7,255	60	1,220	464	75	21	12,088
Unallocated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total allowance for loan losses	\$2,993	\$ 7,255	\$ 60	\$ 1,220	\$ 464	\$ 75	\$ 21	\$12,088



Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Allowance for Loan Losses and Loans Receivable  
at March 31, 2015

	Residential	Commercial		Commercial	Home	Home	Other	
	Mortgage	Mortgage	Construction	Business	Equity	Lines of	Consumer	Total
	(In Thousands)							
Balance of loans receivable:								
Originated and purchased loans:								
Loans individually evaluated								
for impairment	\$ 11,021	\$ 4,089	\$ -	\$ 1,881	\$ 1,038	\$ 17	\$ -	\$ 18,046
Loans collectively evaluated								
for impairment	482,648	1,136,177	2,907	66,905	63,420	10,860	4,120	1,767,037
Total originated and purchased loans	493,669	1,140,266	2,907	68,786	64,458	10,877	4,120	1,785,083
Loans acquired at fair value:								
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	727	322	-	7,973	-	-	-	9,022
Other acquired loans individually evaluated for impairment	330	4,239	2,329	1,924	525	948	-	10,295
Acquired loans collectively evaluated for impairment	63,062	89,034	349	19,103	5,994	10,403	91	188,036
Total loans acquired at fair value	64,119	93,595	2,678	29,000	6,519	11,351	91	207,353
Total loans	\$ 557,788	\$ 1,233,861	\$ 5,585	\$ 97,786	\$ 70,977	\$ 22,228	\$ 4,211	1,992,436
Unamortized yield								(65 )

adjustments	
Loans receivable, including	
unamortized yield adjustments	\$1,992,371

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Allowance for Loan Losses and Loans Receivable  
at June 30, 2014

	Residential Mortgage (In Thousands)	Commercial Mortgage	Construction	Commercial Business	Home Equity Loans	Home Equity Lines of Credit	Other Consumer	Total
Balance of allowance for loan losses:								
Originated and purchased loans:								
Loans individually evaluated								
for impairment	\$528	\$ 404	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 75	\$ -	\$ -	\$1,007
Loans collectively evaluated								
for impairment	2,172	6,760	29	352	272	35	21	9,641
Allowance for loan losses on								
originated and purchased loans	2,700	7,164	29	352	347	35	21	10,648
Loans acquired at fair value:								
Loans acquired with deteriorated								
credit quality	-	-	-	98	-	-	-	98
Other acquired loans								
individually								
evaluated for impairment	-	165	-	346	57	-	-	568
Acquired loans collectively								
evaluated for impairment	29	408	38	488	56	53	1	1,073
Allowance for loan losses on								
loans acquired at fair value	29	573	38	932	113	53	1	1,739
Total allowance for loan losses	\$2,729	\$ 7,737	\$ 67	\$ 1,284	\$ 460	\$ 88	\$ 22	\$12,387

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Allowance for Loan Losses and Loans Receivable  
at June 30, 2014 (continued)

	Residential	Commercial		Commercial	Home	Home	Other	
	Mortgage	Mortgage	Construction	Business	Equity	Lines of	Consumer	Total
	(In Thousands)							
Balance of loans receivable:								
Originated and purchased loans:								
Loans individually evaluated								
for impairment	\$ 11,923	\$ 5,403	\$ -	\$ 1,263	\$ 1,010	\$ 17	\$ -	\$ 19,616
Loans collectively evaluated								
for impairment	494,522	873,340	3,619	31,326	66,163	10,529	4,248	1,483,747
Total originated and purchased loans	506,445	878,743	3,619	32,589	67,173	10,546	4,248	1,503,363
Loans acquired at fair value:								
Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	742	1,071	-	8,325	-	-	-	10,138
Other acquired loans individually evaluated for impairment	-	1,895	1,448	2,456	692	964	-	7,455
Acquired loans collectively evaluated for impairment	73,425	102,046	2,214	23,891	7,746	12,500	90	221,912
Total loans acquired at fair value	74,167	105,012	3,662	34,672	8,438	13,464	90	239,505
Total loans	\$ 580,612	\$ 983,755	\$ 7,281	\$ 67,261	\$ 75,611	\$ 24,010	\$ 4,338	1,742,868
Unamortized yield								(1,397 )

adjustments	
Loans receivable, including	
unamortized yield adjustments	\$1,741,471

The following tables present key indicators of credit quality regarding the Company's loan portfolio based upon loan classification and contractual payment status at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014.

Credit-Rating Classification of Loans Receivable  
at March 31, 2015

	Residential Commercial		Commercial	Home Equity	Home Equity	Lines of Credit	Other	Total
	Mortgage (In Thousands)	Mortgage	Construction	Business	Loans	Credit	Consumer	Total
<b>Originated and purchased loans:</b>								
Non-classified	\$481,483	\$1,134,882	\$2,907	\$66,765	\$63,233	\$10,680	\$4,117	\$1,764,067
<b>Classified:</b>								
Special Mention	833	259	-	59	57	180	-	1,388
Substandard	11,353	4,854	-	1,962	1,168	17	3	19,357
Doubtful	-	271	-	-	-	-	-	271
Loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total classified loans	12,186	5,384	-	2,021	1,225	197	3	21,016
<b>Total originated and purchased loans</b>								
	493,669	1,140,266	2,907	68,786	64,458	10,877	4,120	1,785,083
<b>Loans acquired at fair value:</b>								
Non-classified	62,071	84,202	-	14,448	5,817	9,915	63	176,516
<b>Classified:</b>								
Special Mention	374	3,731	349	7,225	76	243	25	12,023
Substandard	1,674	5,662	2,329	7,321	626	1,193	3	18,808
Doubtful	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	6
Loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total classified loans	2,048	9,393	2,678	14,552	702	1,436	28	30,837
<b>Total loans acquired at fair value</b>								
	64,119	93,595	2,678	29,000	6,519	11,351	91	207,353
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>\$557,788</b>	<b>\$1,233,861</b>	<b>\$5,585</b>	<b>\$97,786</b>	<b>\$70,977</b>	<b>\$22,228</b>	<b>\$4,211</b>	<b>\$1,992,436</b>

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Credit-Rating Classification of Loans Receivable  
at June 30, 2014

	Residential		Commercial	Commercial	Home	Home	Other	
	Mortgage	Mortgage	Construction	Business	Equity	Equity	Consumer	Total
	(In Thousands)							
Originated and purchased loans:								
Non-classified	\$492,531	\$ 872,063	\$ 3,461	\$ 31,301	\$66,016	\$10,352	\$ 4,247	\$1,479,971
Classified:								
Special Mention	1,626	357	158	25	146	84	1	2,397
Substandard	12,288	6,039	-	1,263	1,011	110	-	20,711
Doubtful	-	284	-	-	-	-	-	284
Loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total classified loans	13,914	6,680	158	1,288	1,157	194	1	23,392
Total originated and purchased loans								
	506,445	878,743	3,619	32,589	67,173	10,546	4,248	1,503,363
Loans acquired at fair value:								
Non-classified	73,425	96,758	-	18,946	7,582	12,003	71	208,785
Classified:								
Special Mention	-	4,600	353	4,602	45	245	16	9,861
Substandard	742	3,654	3,309	11,118	811	1,216	3	20,853
Doubtful	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	6
Loss	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total classified loans	742	8,254	3,662	15,726	856	1,461	19	30,720
Total loans acquired at fair value								
	74,167	105,012	3,662	34,672	8,438	13,464	90	239,505
Total loans	\$580,612	\$ 983,755	\$ 7,281	\$ 67,261	\$75,611	\$24,010	\$ 4,338	\$1,742,868

Contractual Payment Status of Loans Receivable  
at March 31, 2015

	Residential	Commercial		Commercial	Home	Home	Other	
	Mortgage	Mortgage	Construction	Business	Equity	Equity	Consumer	Total
	(In Thousands)							
Originated and purchased loans:								
Current	\$486,566	\$1,138,013	\$2,907	\$67,222	\$63,872	\$10,802	\$4,117	\$1,773,499
Past due:								
30-59 days	1,545	222	-	23	87	58	-	1,935
60-89 days	308	-	-	435	12	-	2	757
90+ days	5,250	2,031	-	1,106	487	17	1	8,892
Total past due	7,103	2,253	-	1,564	586	75	3	11,584
Total originated and purchased loans								
	493,669	1,140,266	2,907	68,786	64,458	10,877	4,120	1,785,083
Loans acquired at fair value:								
Current	63,179	90,988	1,626	25,864	6,306	10,357	90	198,410
Past due:								
30-59 days	-	717	-	17	19	27	1	781
60-89 days	-	525	276	25	106	19	-	951
90+ days	940	1,365	776	3,094	88	948	-	7,211
Total past due	940	2,607	1,052	3,136	213	994	1	8,943
Total loans acquired at fair value								
	64,119	93,595	2,678	29,000	6,519	11,351	91	207,353
Total loans	\$557,788	\$1,233,861	\$5,585	\$97,786	\$70,977	\$22,228	\$4,211	\$1,992,436



Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Contractual Payment Status of Loans Receivable  
at June 30, 2014

	Residential		Commercial	Commercial	Home	Home	Other	
	Mortgage	Mortgage	Construction	Business	Equity	Lines of	Consumer	Total
	(In Thousands)							
<b>Originated and purchased loans:</b>								
Current	\$495,330	\$ 875,887	\$ 3,619	\$ 31,081	\$66,548	\$10,499	\$ 4,034	\$1,486,998
<b>Past due:</b>								
30-59 days	1,385	-	-	245	183	-	60	1,873
60-89 days	1,163	-	-	-	3	30	28	1,224
90+ days	8,567	2,856	-	1,263	439	17	126	13,268
Total past due	11,115	2,856	-	1,508	625	47	214	16,365
<b>Total originated and purchased loans</b>								
	506,445	878,743	3,619	32,589	67,173	10,546	4,248	1,503,363
<b>Loans acquired at fair value:</b>								
Current	72,736	102,881	2,810	32,346	7,731	12,390	88	230,982
<b>Past due:</b>								
30-59 days	689	561	-	-	152	-	-	1,402
60-89 days	-	427	-	-	95	110	1	633
90+ days	742	1,143	852	2,326	460	964	1	6,488
Total past due	1,431	2,131	852	2,326	707	1,074	2	8,523
<b>Total loans acquired at fair value</b>								
	74,167	105,012	3,662	34,672	8,438	13,464	90	239,505
<b>Total loans</b>	<b>\$580,612</b>	<b>\$ 983,755</b>	<b>\$ 7,281</b>	<b>\$ 67,261</b>	<b>\$75,611</b>	<b>\$24,010</b>	<b>\$ 4,338</b>	<b>\$1,742,868</b>

The following tables present information relating to the Company's nonperforming and impaired loans at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014. Loans reported as "90+ days past due accruing" in the table immediately below are also reported in the preceding contractual payment status table under the heading "90+ days past due".

Performance Status of Loans Receivable  
at March 31, 2015

	Residential Commercial		Commercial	Home Equity	Home Equity	Lines of Credit	Other	Total
	Mortgage	Mortgage	Construction	Business	Loans	Credit	Consumer	Total
	(In Thousands)							
Originated and purchased loans:								
Performing	\$485,116	\$1,136,177	\$2,907	\$66,928	\$63,959	\$10,860	\$4,117	\$1,770,064
Nonperforming:								
90+ days past due accruing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nonaccrual	8,553	4,089	-	1,858	499	17	3	15,019
Total nonperforming	8,553	4,089	-	1,858	499	17	3	15,019
Total originated and purchased loans	493,669	1,140,266	2,907	68,786	64,458	10,877	4,120	1,785,083
Loans acquired at fair value:								
Performing	63,061	89,755	349	25,881	6,178	10,403	91	195,718
Nonperforming:								
90+ days past due accruing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nonaccrual	1,058	3,840	2,329	3,119	341	948	-	11,635
Total nonperforming	1,058	3,840	2,329	3,119	341	948	-	11,635
Total loans acquired at fair value	64,119	93,595	2,678	29,000	6,519	11,351	91	207,353
Total loans	\$557,788	\$1,233,861	\$5,585	\$97,786	\$70,977	\$22,228	\$4,211	\$1,992,436

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Performance Status of Loans Receivable  
at June 30, 2014

	Residential		Commercial	Construction	Commercial	Home	Home	Other	
	Mortgage	Mortgage		Business	Equity	Lines of	Equity	Consumer	Total
	(In Thousands)				Loans	Credit			
Originated and purchased loans:									
Performing	\$497,243	\$873,421	\$3,619	\$31,326	\$66,734	\$10,529	\$4,122		\$1,486,994
Nonperforming:									
90+ days past due accruing	-	-	-	-	-	-	125		125
Nonaccrual	9,202	5,322	-	1,263	439	17	1		16,244
Total nonperforming	9,202	5,322	-	1,263	439	17	126		16,369
Total originated and purchased loans	506,445	878,743	3,619	32,589	67,173	10,546	4,248		1,503,363
Loans acquired at fair value:									
Performing	73,425	103,399	2,214	31,016	7,928	12,500	89		230,571
Nonperforming:									
90+ days past due accruing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Nonaccrual	742	1,613	1,448	3,656	510	964	1		8,934
Total nonperforming	742	1,613	1,448	3,656	510	964	1		8,934
Total loans acquired at fair value	74,167	105,012	3,662	34,672	8,438	13,464	90		239,505
Total loans	\$580,612	\$983,755	\$7,281	\$67,261	\$75,611	\$24,010	\$4,338		\$1,742,868

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Impairment Status of Loans Receivable  
at March 31, 2015

	Residential Mortgage (In Thousands)	Commercial Mortgage	Construction	Commercial Business	Home Equity Loans	Home Equity Lines of Credit	Other Consumer	Total
Carrying value of impaired loans:								
Originated and purchased loans:								
Non-impaired loans	\$482,648	\$1,136,177	\$2,907	\$66,905	\$63,420	\$10,860	\$4,120	\$1,767,037
Impaired loans:								
Impaired loans with no allowance								
for impairment	8,788	3,743	-	1,423	950	17	-	14,921
Impaired loans with allowance								
for impairment:								
Recorded investment	2,233	346	-	458	88	-	-	3,125
Allowance for impairment	(130 )	(381 )	-	(43 )	(14 )	-	-	(568 )
Balance of impaired loans net								
of allowance for impairment	2,103	(35 )	-	415	74	-	-	2,557
Total impaired loans, excluding								
allowance for impairment:								
Total originated and purchased loans	493,669	1,140,266	2,907	68,786	64,458	10,877	4,120	1,785,083
Loans acquired at fair value:								
Non-impaired loans	63,062	89,034	349	19,103	5,994	10,403	91	188,036
Impaired loans:								
Impaired loans with no allowance								
for impairment	1,057	3,713	2,329	9,534	525	948	-	18,106

Impaired loans with  
allowance

for impairment:

Recorded investment	-	848	-	363	-	-	-	1,211
Allowance for impairment	-	(138 )	-	(167 )	-	-	-	(305 )
Balance of impaired loans net								
of allowance for impairment	-	710	-	196	-	-	-	906
Total impaired loans, excluding								
allowance for impairment:	1,057	4,561	2,329	9,897	525	948	-	19,317
Total loans acquired at fair value	64,119	93,595	2,678	29,000	6,519	11,351	91	207,353
Total loans	\$557,788	\$1,233,861	\$ 5,585	\$ 97,786	\$70,977	\$22,228	\$ 4,211	\$1,992,436

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Impairment Status of Loans Receivable  
at March 31, 2015 (continued)

	Residential	Commercial		Commercial	Home	Home	Lines	Other	
	Mortgage	Mortgage	Construction	Business	Equity	Equity	of	Consumer	Total
	(In Thousands)								
Unpaid principal balance									
of impaired loans:									
Originated and purchased loans	\$17,734	\$4,711	\$-	\$2,040	\$1,060	\$17	\$-	\$-	\$25,562
Loans acquired at fair value	1,143	4,735	2,479	11,627	544	975	-	-	21,503
Total impaired loans	\$18,877	\$9,446	\$2,479	\$13,667	\$1,604	\$992	\$-	\$-	\$47,065
For the three months ended									
March 31, 2015:									
Average balance of impaired loans	\$12,462	\$7,626	\$2,421	\$11,794	\$1,657	\$972	\$-	\$-	\$36,932
Interest earned on impaired loans	\$33	\$28	\$-	\$233	\$10	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$304
For the nine months ended									
March 31, 2015:									
Average balance of impaired loans	\$12,867	\$7,837	\$1,818	\$11,795	\$1,645	\$1,015	\$-	\$-	\$36,977
Interest earned on impaired loans	\$105	\$49	\$5	\$639	\$35	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$833
For the three months ended									
March 31, 2014:									
Average balance of impaired loans	\$13,310	\$8,412	\$2,401	\$11,677	\$1,428	\$676	\$-	\$-	\$37,904
Interest earned on impaired loans	\$26	\$49	\$-	\$176	\$14	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$265
For the nine months ended									
March 31, 2014:									
Average balance of impaired loans	\$13,883	\$10,040	\$2,552	\$10,556	\$1,464	\$635	\$-	\$-	\$39,130
Interest earned on impaired loans	\$100	\$135	\$-	\$546	\$54	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$835



Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Impairment Status of Loans Receivable  
at June 30, 2014

	Residential Mortgage	Commercial Mortgage	Construction	Commercial Business	Home Equity Loans	Home Equity Lines of Credit	Other Consumer	Total
	(In Thousands)							
Carrying value of impaired loans:								
Originated and purchased loans:								
Non-impaired loans	\$ 494,522	\$ 873,340	\$ 3,619	\$ 31,326	\$ 66,163	\$ 10,529	\$ 4,248	\$ 1,483,747
Impaired loans:								
Impaired loans with no allowance								
for impairment	9,800	5,037	-	1,263	911	17	-	17,028
Impaired loans with allowance								
for impairment:								
Recorded investment	2,123	366	-	-	99	-	-	2,588
Allowance for impairment	(528 )	(404 )	-	-	(75 )	-	-	(1,007 )
Balance of impaired loans net								
of allowance for impairment	1,595	(38 )	-	-	24	-	-	1,581
Total impaired loans, excluding								
allowance for impairment:	11,923	5,403	-	1,263	1,010	17	-	19,616
Total originated and purchased loans	506,445	878,743	3,619	32,589	67,173	10,546	4,248	1,503,363
Loans acquired at fair value:								
Non-impaired loans	73,425	102,046	2,214	23,891	7,746	12,500	90	221,912
Impaired loans:								
Impaired loans with no allowance								
for impairment	742	1,690	1,448	10,141	617	964	-	15,602



Impaired loans with allowance

for impairment:

Recorded investment	-	1,276	-	640	75	-	-	1,991
Allowance for impairment	-	(165 )	-	(444 )	(57 )	-	-	(666 )
Balance of impaired loans net								
of allowance for impairment	-	1,111	-	196	18	-	-	1,325
Total impaired loans, excluding								
allowance for impairment:	742	2,966	1,448	10,781	692	964	-	17,593
Total loans acquired at								
fair value	74,167	105,012	3,662	34,672	8,438	13,464	90	239,505
Total loans	\$580,612	\$983,755	\$7,281	\$67,261	\$75,611	\$24,010	\$4,338	\$1,742,868

- 47 -

Impairment Status of Loans Receivable  
at June 30, 2014 (continued)

	Residential	Commercial		Commercial	Home Equity	Lines of Credit	Other	
	Mortgage	Mortgage	Construction	Business	Loans	Credit	Consumer	Total
	(In Thousands)							
Unpaid principal balance								
of impaired loans:								
Originated and purchased loans	\$ 17,655	\$ 5,919	\$ -	\$ 1,407	\$ 1,027	\$ 17	\$ -	\$ 26,025
Loans acquired at fair value	742	3,264	1,547	12,495	726	975	-	19,749
Total impaired loans	\$ 18,397	\$ 9,183	\$ 1,547	\$ 13,902	\$ 1,753	\$ 992	\$ -	\$ 45,774

Troubled Debt Restructurings (“TDRs”). A modification to the terms of a loan is generally considered a TDR if the Bank grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor’s financial difficulties. In granting the concession, the Bank’s general objective is to make the best of a difficult situation by obtaining more cash or other value from the borrower or otherwise increase the probability of repayment.

A TDR may include, but is not necessarily limited to, the modification of loan terms such as a temporary or permanent reduction of the loan’s stated interest rate, extension of the maturity date and/or reduction or deferral of amounts owed under the terms of the loan agreement. In measuring the impairment associated with restructured loans that qualify as TDRs, the Company compares the cash flows under the loan’s existing terms with those that are expected to be received in accordance with its modified terms. The difference between the comparative cash flows is discounted at the loan’s effective interest rate prior to modification to measure the associated impairment. The impairment is charged off directly against the allowance for loan loss at the time of restructuring resulting in a reduction in carrying value of the modified loan that is accreted into interest income as a yield adjustment over the remaining term of the modified cash flows.

All restructured loans that qualify as TDRs are placed on nonaccrual status for a period of no less than six months after restructuring, irrespective of the borrower’s adherence to a TDR’s modified repayment terms during which time TDRs continue to be adversely classified and reported as impaired. TDRs may be returned to accrual status if (1) the borrower has paid timely P&I payments in accordance with the terms of the restructured loan agreement for no less than six consecutive months after restructuring, and (2) the Company expects to receive all P&I payments owed substantially in accordance with the terms of the restructured loan agreement at which time the loan may also be returned to a non-adverse classification while retaining its impaired status.

The following table presents information regarding the restructuring of the Company's troubled debts during the three and nine months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 and any defaults during those periods of TDRs that were restructured within 12 months of the date of default.

Troubled Debt Restructurings of Loans Receivable  
at March 31, 2015

	Residential Mortgage (In Thousands)	Commercial Construction	Commercial Business	Home Equity Loans	Home Equity Lines of Credit	Other Consumer	Total
Troubled debt restructuring activity for the three months ended March 31, 2015							
Originated and purchased loans							
Number of loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pre-modification outstanding							
recorded investment	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Post-modification outstanding							
recorded investment	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
Charge offs against the allowance for loan							
loss recognized at modification	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
Loans acquired at fair value							
Number of loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pre-modification outstanding							
recorded investment	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Post-modification outstanding							
recorded investment	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
Charge offs against the allowance for loan							
loss recognized at modification	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-

Troubled debt restructuring defaults for  
the

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

three months ended March 31, 2015

Originated and purchased loans									
Number of loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outstanding recorded investment	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Loans acquired at fair value									
Number of loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outstanding recorded investment	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-

- 50 -

---

Troubled Debt Restructurings of Loans Receivable  
at March 31, 2015

	Residential Mortgage (In Thousands)	Commercial Mortgage	Construction	Commercial Business	Home Equity Loans	Home Equity Lines of Credit	Other Consumer	Total
Troubled debt restructuring activity for the								
nine months ended March 31, 2015								
Originated and purchased loans								
Number of loans	5	-	-	2	-	-	-	7
Pre-modification outstanding								
recorded investment	\$1,955	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 348	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$2,303
Post-modification outstanding								
recorded investment	1,823	-	-	322	-	-	-	\$2,145
Charge offs against the allowance for loan								
loss recognized at modification	261	-	-	27	-	-	-	\$288
Loans acquired at fair value								
Number of loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pre-modification outstanding								
recorded investment	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-
Post-modification outstanding								
recorded investment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
Charge offs against the allowance for loan								
loss recognized at modification	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-

Troubled debt restructuring defaults  
for the

nine months ended March 31, 2015

Originated and purchased loans

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Number of loans	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Outstanding recorded investment	\$419	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$419

Loans acquired at fair value

Number of loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outstanding recorded investment	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$-

- 51 -

---

Troubled Debt Restructurings of Loans Receivable  
at March 31, 2014

	Residential Mortgage (In Thousands)	Commercial Construction	Commercial Business	Home Equity Loans	Home Equity Lines of Credit	Other Consumer	Total
Troubled debt restructuring activity for the							
three months ended March 31, 2014							
Originated and purchased loans							
Number of loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pre-modification outstanding							
recorded investment	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Post-modification outstanding							
recorded investment	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
Charge offs against the allowance for loan							
loss recognized at modification	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
Loans acquired at fair value							
Number of loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pre-modification outstanding							
recorded investment	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Post-modification outstanding							
recorded investment	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
Charge offs against the allowance for loan							
loss recognized at modification	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
Troubled debt restructuring defaults for the							
three months ended March 31, 2014							
Originated and purchased loans							
Number of loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outstanding recorded investment	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-



Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Loans acquired at fair value

Number of loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outstanding recorded investment	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

- 52 -

---

Troubled Debt Restructurings of Loans Receivable  
at March 31, 2014

	Residential Mortgage (In Thousands)	Commercial Construction Business	Commercial Loans	Home Equity Loans	Lines of Credit	Other Consumer	Total
Troubled debt restructuring activity for the nine months ended March 31, 2014							
Originated and purchased loans							
Number of loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pre-modification outstanding							
recorded investment	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Post-modification outstanding							
recorded investment	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
Charge offs against the allowance							
for loan loss for impairment							
recognized at modification	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
Loans acquired at fair value							
Number of loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pre-modification outstanding							
recorded investment	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Post-modification outstanding							
recorded investment	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
Charge offs against the allowance							
for loan loss for impairment							
recognized at modification	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
Troubled debt restructuring defaults for the nine months ended March 31, 2014							
Originated and purchased loans							

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Number of loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outstanding recorded investment	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Loans acquired at fair value										
Number of loans	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outstanding recorded investment	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-

The manner in which the terms of a loan are modified through a troubled debt restructuring generally includes one or more of the following changes to the loan's repayment terms:

- Interest Rate Reduction: Temporary or permanent reduction of the interest rate charged against the outstanding balance of the loan.
- Capitalization of Prior Past Dues: Capitalization of prior amounts due to the outstanding balance of the loan.
- Extension of Maturity or Balloon Date: Extending the term of the loan past its original balloon or maturity date.
- Deferral of Principal Payments: Temporary deferral of the principal portion of a loan payment.
- Payment Recalculation and Re-amortization: Recalculation of the recurring payment obligation and resulting loan amortization/repayment schedule based on the loan's modified terms.

## 12. BORROWINGS

Fixed rate advances from the FHLB of New York mature as follows:

	March 31, 2015		June 30, 2014	
	Weighted		Weighted	
	Average		Average	
	Amount	Interest Rate	Amount	Interest Rate
<b>Maturing in years ending June 30:</b>				
2015	\$475,000	0.37 %	\$320,000	0.38 %
2016	7,500	1.09	7,500	1.09
2017	3,000	1.05	3,000	1.05
2018	5,225	1.18	5,225	1.18
2021	695	4.94	765	4.94
2023	145,000	3.04	145,000	3.04
	636,420	1.00 %	481,490	1.21 %
Fair value adjustments	14		29	
	\$636,434		\$481,519	

At March 31, 2015, \$476.0 million in advances are due within one year while the remaining \$160.4 million in advances are due after one year of which \$145.0 million are callable in April 2018.

At March 31, 2015, FHLB advances were collateralized by the FHLB capital stock owned by the Bank and mortgage loans and securities with carrying values totaling approximately \$845.2 million and \$190.4 million, respectively. At June 30, 2014, FHLB advances were collateralized by the FHLB capital stock owned by the Bank and mortgage loans and securities with carrying values totaling approximately \$739.4 million and \$204.2 million, respectively.

Borrowings at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014 also included overnight borrowings in the form of depositor sweep accounts totaling \$33.1 million and \$30.7 million, respectively. Depositor sweep accounts are short term borrowings representing funds that are withdrawn from a customer's noninterest-bearing deposit account and invested in an uninsured overnight investment account that is collateralized by specified investment securities owned by the Bank.

13. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

At March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014, the Company was subject to the terms of certain interest rate derivative agreements that were utilized by the Company to manage the interest rate exposure arising from specific wholesale funding positions. Such wholesale funding sources include floating-rate brokered money market deposits indexed to one-month LIBOR as well as a number of 90 day fixed-rate FHLB advances that are forecasted to be periodically redrawn at maturity for the same 90 day term as the original advance. The derivatives, comprising eight interest rate swaps and two interest rate caps, were designated as cash flow hedges with changes in their fair value recorded as an adjustment through other comprehensive income on an after-tax basis.

- 54 -

---

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

The effects of derivative instruments on the statements of condition included in the Consolidated Financial Statements at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014 and for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 are as follows:

	March 31, 2015		Balance	
	Notional/		Sheet	Expiration
	Contract	Fair	Location	Date
	Amount	Value		
	(Dollars in Thousands)			
Derivatives designated				
as hedging instruments				
Interest rate swaps by effective date:				
July 1, 2013	\$ 165,000	\$(1,176 )	Other liabilities	July 1, 2018
August 19, 2013	75,000	(1,444 )	Other liabilities	August 20, 2018
October 9, 2013	50,000	(582 )	Other liabilities	October 9, 2018
March 28, 2014	75,000	(1,779 )	Other liabilities	March 28, 2019
June 5, 2015	60,000	(2,159 )	Other liabilities	June 5, 2020
July 28, 2015	50,000	(2,033 )	Other liabilities	July 28, 2020
September 28, 2015	40,000	(1,593 )	Other liabilities	September 28, 2020
December 28, 2015	35,000	(1,437 )	Other liabilities	December 28, 2020
	550,000	(12,202)		
Interest rate caps by effective date:				
June 5, 2013	40,000	476	Other liabilities	June 5, 2018
July 1, 2013	35,000	405	Other liabilities	July 1, 2018
	75,000	881		
Total	\$625,000	\$(11,321)		

	June 30, 2014		Balance	
	Notional/		Sheet	Expiration
	Contract	Fair	Location	Date
	Amount	Value		
	(Dollars in Thousands)			
Derivatives designated				
as hedging instruments				
Interest rate swaps by effective date:				
July 1, 2013	\$ 165,000	\$ 103	Other liabilities	July 1, 2018
August 19, 2013	75,000	(1,109)	Other liabilities	August 20, 2018
October 9, 2013	50,000	(234 )	Other liabilities	October 9, 2018

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

March 28, 2014	75,000	(1,203)	Other liabilities	March 28, 2019
June 5, 2015	60,000	(271 )	Other liabilities	June 5, 2020
	425,000	(2,714)		
Interest rate caps by effective date:				
June 5, 2013	40,000	913	Other liabilities	June 5, 2018
July 1, 2013	35,000	826	Other liabilities	July 1, 2018
	75,000	1,739		
Total	\$500,000	\$(975 )		

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Three Months Ended March 31, 2015		
Amount of Loss	Location of Gain (Loss)	Amount of Gain (Loss)
Recognized in OCI on	Derivatives, net of Tax	Recognized in Income of Derivatives
(Effective Portion)	(Ineffective Portion)	(Ineffective Portion)
(Dollars in Thousands)		
Derivatives in cash flow hedges		
Interest rate swaps by effective date:		
July 1, 2013	\$(804 ) Not applicable	\$ -
August 19, 2013	(339 ) Not applicable	-
October 9, 2013	(259 ) Not applicable	-
March 28, 2014	(420 ) Not applicable	-
June 5, 2015	(625 ) Not applicable	-
July 28, 2015	(536 ) Not applicable	-
September 28, 2015	(439 ) Not applicable	-
December 28, 2015	(396 ) Not applicable	-
	(3,818)	-
Interest rate caps by effective date:		
June 5, 2013	(151 ) Not applicable	-
July 1, 2013	(138 ) Not applicable	-
	(289 )	-
Total	\$(4,107)	\$ -

Nine Months Ended March 31, 2015		
Amount of Gain (Loss)	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income of	Amount of Gain (Loss)
Recognized in OCI on	Derivatives (Ineffective Portion)	Recognized in Income of
Derivatives, net of Tax	Derivatives	Derivatives (Ineffective Portion)



Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

(Effective  
Portion)  
(Dollars in Thousands)

Derivatives in cash flow hedges			
Interest rate swaps by effective date:			
July 1, 2013	\$(757 )	Not applicable	\$ -
August 19, 2013	(198 )	Not applicable	-
October 9, 2013	(206 )	Not applicable	-
March 28, 2014	(341 )	Not applicable	-
June 5, 2015	(1,117)	Not applicable	-
July 28, 2015	(1,202)	Not applicable	-
September 28, 2015	(942 )	Not applicable	-
December 28, 2015	(850 )	Not applicable	-
	(5,613)		-
Interest rate caps by effective date:			
June 5, 2013	(234 )	Not applicable	-
July 1, 2013	(232 )	Not applicable	-
	(466 )		-
Total	\$(6,079)		\$ -

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
Amount of Gain (Loss)	Recognized in OCI on Location of Gain (Loss) Derivatives, net of Tax	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income of Derivatives
(Effective Portion)	(Ineffective Portion)	(Ineffective Portion)
(Dollars in Thousands)		
<b>Derivatives in cash flow hedges</b>		
Interest rate swaps by effective date:		
July 1, 2013	\$(221 ) Not applicable	\$ -
August 19, 2013	(63 ) Not applicable	-
October 9, 2013	(74 ) Not applicable	-
March 28, 2014	(334 ) Not applicable	-
June 5, 2015	(439 ) Not applicable	-
	(1,131)	-
Interest rate caps by effective date:		
June 5, 2013	(111 ) Not applicable	-
July 1, 2013	(105 ) Not applicable	-
	(216 )	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$(1,347)</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

Nine Months Ended March 31, 2014		
Amount of Gain (Loss)	Recognized in OCI on Location of Gain (Loss) Derivatives, net of Tax	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income of Derivatives
(Effective Portion)	(Ineffective Portion)	(Ineffective Portion)
(Dollars in Thousands)		
<b>Derivatives in cash flow hedges</b>		
Interest rate swaps by effective date:		

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

July 1, 2013	(225 )	Not applicable	-
August 19, 2013	(395 )	Not applicable	-
October 9, 2013	69	Not applicable	-
March 28, 2014	(334 )	Not applicable	-
June 5, 2015	(279 )	Not applicable	-
	(1,164)		-
Interest rate caps by effective date:			
June 5, 2013	(175 )	Not applicable	-
July 1, 2013	(148 )	Not applicable	-
	(323 )		-
Total	\$(1,487)		\$ -

The Company has in place enforceable master netting arrangements with all counterparties. All master netting arrangements include rights to offset associated with the Company's recognized derivative assets, derivative liabilities, and cash collateral received and pledged.

At March 31, 2015, two of the Company's derivatives were in an asset position totaling \$881,000 while the remaining eight derivatives were in a liability position totaling \$12.2 million. In total, the Company's derivatives were in a net liability position of \$11.3 million at March 31, 2015 and included in other liabilities as of that date. As required under the enforceable master netting arrangement with its derivatives counterparties, the Company posted financial collateral to two counterparties totaling \$10.9 million at March 31, 2015. The financial collateral posted was not included as an offsetting amount at March 31, 2015.

At June 30, 2014, three of the Company's derivatives were in an asset position totaling \$1.8 million while the remaining four derivatives were in a liability position totaling \$2.8 million. In total, the Company's derivatives were in a net liability position of \$975,000 at June 30, 2014 and included in other liabilities as of that date. As required under the enforceable master netting arrangement with its derivatives counterparty, the Company posted financial collateral in the amount of \$1.1 million at June 30, 2014 that was not included as an offsetting amount.

14. BENEFIT PLANS

Components of Net Periodic Expense

The following table sets forth the aggregate net periodic benefit expense for the Bank's Benefit Equalization Plan, Postretirement Welfare Plan and Directors' Consultation and Retirement Plan:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	March 31, 2015	March 31, 2014	March 31, 2015	March 31, 2014
	(In thousands)		(In thousands)	
Service cost	\$57	\$51	\$170	\$151
Interest cost	82	84	246	251
Amortization of unrecognized past service liability	11	11	35	34
Amortization of unrecognized net actuarial loss (gain)	8	(1 )	22	(2 )
Net periodic benefit cost	\$158	\$145	\$473	\$434

Stock Compensation Plans

The following is a summary of the Company's stock option activity and related information for its option plans for the nine months ended March 31, 2015:

	Options (In thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Range of prices	Weighted Average	
				Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands)
Outstanding at June 30, 2014	3,035	\$ 12.37	\$10.16 - \$14.79	2.0 years	\$ 9,034
Granted	-	\$ -	-		
Exercised	(2,785 )	\$ 12.26	\$11.55 - \$12.71		

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Forefeited	(30	)	\$ 14.79	\$14.79		
				\$10.16		
				-		
Outstanding at March 31, 2015	220		\$ 13.42	\$14.79	8.1 Years	\$ 222
Exercisable at March 31, 2015	39		\$ 10.16	\$10.16	6.0 Years	\$ 133

A total of 2,785,122 vested options with an aggregate intrinsic value of \$7.4 million were exercised during the nine months ended March 31, 2015. The Company issued 107,382 shares from treasury stock carrying an average cost of \$11.48 per share in conjunction with the equivalent number of options exercised during the period. The cash proceeds received in conjunction with the exercise of these options totaled approximately \$1.4 million.

The Company elected to settle the exercise of the remaining 2,677,740 stock options exercised during the period in cash based upon the difference between the exercise price of the options and the closing price of the Company's stock on the date of exercise. The net cash proceeds of these exercises resulted in a direct reduction of stockholders' equity totaling approximately \$7.2 million. No additional shares of the Company's capital stock were issued and no cash proceeds were received in relation to the exercise of these options. A portion of the exercises settled in cash during the period represented disqualifying dispositions of incentive stock options for which the Company recognized approximately \$416,000 in income tax benefits.

There were no vested options exercised during the nine months ended March 31, 2014.

15. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The guidance on fair value measurement establishes a hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

In addition, the guidance requires the Company to disclose the fair value for assets and liabilities on both a recurring and non-recurring basis.

Those assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

	March 31, 2015			
	Quoted Prices			
	in Active Markets			
	Significant for Other			
	Identical			
	Observable			Unobservable
	Assets			
	Inputs			Inputs
	(Level 1)			(Level 3)
	(Level 2)			Total
	(In Thousands)			
Debt securities available for sale:				
U.S. agency securities	\$-	\$ 7,689	\$ -	\$ 7,689
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	-	27,610	-	27,610
Asset-backed securities	-	88,433	-	88,433
Collateralized loan obligations	-	127,758	-	127,758

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Corporate bonds	-	162,350	-	162,350
Trust preferred securities	-	7,703	-	7,703
Total debt securities	-	421,543	-	421,543
Mortgage-backed securities available for sale:				
Collateralized mortgage obligations	-	77,223	-	77,223
Residential pass-through securities	-	282,497	-	282,497
Commercial pass-through securities	-	12,897	-	12,897
Total mortgage-backed securities	-	372,617	-	372,617
Total securities available for sale	\$-	\$ 794,160	\$	- \$ 794,160
Derivative instruments				
Interest rate swaps	\$-	\$(12,202 )	\$	- \$(12,202 )
Interest rate caps	-	881	-	881
Total derivatives	\$-	\$(11,321 )	\$	- \$(11,321 )

	June 30, 2014			
	Quoted			
	Prices			
	in			
	Active			
	Marked Significant			
	for			
	Other	Significant		
	Identical	Observable	Unobservable	
	Assets	Inputs	Inputs	
	(Level	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Total
	1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Total
	(In Thousands)			
<b>Debt securities available for sale:</b>				
U.S. agency securities	\$-	\$ 4,205	\$ -	\$ 4,205
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	-	26,773	-	26,773
Asset-backed securities	-	87,316	-	87,316
Collateralized loan obligations	-	119,572	-	119,572
Corporate bonds	-	162,234	-	162,234
Trust preferred securities	-	7,798	-	7,798
Total debt securities	-	407,898	-	407,898
<b>Mortgage-backed securities available for sale:</b>				
Collateralized mortgage obligations	-	83,270	-	83,270
Residential pass-through securities	-	353,953	-	353,953
Total mortgage-backed securities	-	437,223	-	437,223
<b>Total securities available for sale</b>	<b>\$-</b>	<b>\$ 845,121</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 845,121</b>
<b>Derivative instruments</b>				
Interest rate swaps	\$-	\$(2,714)	\$ -	\$(2,714)
Interest rate caps	-	1,739	-	1,739
Total derivatives	\$-	\$(975)	\$ -	\$(975)

The fair values of securities available for sale (carried at fair value) or held to maturity (carried at amortized cost) are primarily determined by obtaining matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs).

The Company has contracted with a third party vendor to provide periodic valuations for its interest rate derivatives to determine the fair value of its interest rate caps and swaps. The vendor utilizes standard valuation methodologies applicable to interest rate derivatives such as discounted cash flow analysis and extensions of the Black-Scholes



Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

model. Such valuations are based upon readily observable market data and are therefore considered Level 2 valuations by the Company.

For the three and nine months ended March 31, 2015, there were no purchases, sales, issuances, or settlements of assets or liabilities whose fair values are determined based upon Level 3 inputs on a recurring basis. For those same periods, there were no transfers of assets or liabilities within the fair valuation measurement hierarchy between Level 1 and Level 2 inputs.

Those assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below:

	March 31, 2015			
	Quoted			
	Prices			
	in			
	Active			
	Markets			
	Significant			
	for			
	Other	Significant		
	Identical	Observable	Unobservable	
	Assets	Inputs	Inputs	
	(Level	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Total
	1)			
	(In Thousands)			
Impaired loans	\$-	\$ -	\$ 9,837	\$9,837
Real estate owned	\$-	\$ -	\$ 772	\$772

	June 30, 2014			
	Quoted			
	Prices			
	in			
	Active			
	Markets			
	for			
	Other	Significant		
	Identical	Unobservable		
	Assets			
	Inputs	Inputs		
	(Level	(Level 3)		Total
	1) (Level 2)	(Level 3)		
	(In Thousands)			
Impaired loans	\$-	\$ -	\$ 10,387	\$ 10,387

The following table presents additional quantitative information about assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and for which the Company has utilized adjusted Level 3 inputs to determine fair value:

		March 31, 2015			Weighted	
	Fair Value (In Thousands)	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Input	Range	Average	
Impaired loans	\$9,837	Market valuation of underlying collateral	(1)Direct disposal costs	(2)6% - 10%	7.14	%
Real estate owned	\$772	Market valuation of property	(3)Direct disposal costs	(2)8%	8.00	%

		June 30, 2014			Weighted	
	Fair Value (In Thousands)	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Input	Range	Average	
Impaired loans	\$ 10,387	Market valuation of underlying collateral	(1)Direct disposal costs	(2)6% - 10%	7.10	%

(1)

The fair value of impaired loans is generally determined based on an independent appraisal of the market value of a loan's underlying collateral.

- (2) The fair value basis of impaired loans is adjusted to reflect management estimates of disposal costs including, but not necessarily limited to, real estate brokerage commissions and title transfer fees, with such cost estimates generally ranging from 6% to 10% of collateral or property market value.
- (3) The fair value basis of real estate owned is generally determined based upon the lower of an independent appraisal of the property's market value or the applicable listing price or contracted sales price.

An impaired loan is evaluated and valued at the time the loan is identified as impaired at the lower of cost or market value. Loans for which it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement are considered impaired. Market value is measured based on the value of the collateral securing the loan and is classified at a Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. Once a loan is identified as individually impaired, management measures impairment in accordance with the FASB's guidance on accounting by creditors for impairment of a loan with the fair value estimated using the market value of the collateral reduced by estimated disposal costs. Those impaired loans not requiring an allowance represent loans for which the fair value of the expected repayments or collateral exceeds the recorded investments in such loans. Impaired loans are reviewed and evaluated on at least a quarterly basis for additional impairment and adjusted accordingly.

At March 31, 2015, impaired loans valued using Level 3 inputs comprised loans with principal balances totaling \$10.7 million and valuation allowances of \$873,000 reflecting fair values of \$9.8 million. By comparison, at June 30, 2014, impaired loans valued using Level 3 inputs comprised loans with principal balances totaling \$12.1 million and valuation allowances of \$1.7 million reflecting fair values of \$10.4 million.

Once a loan is foreclosed, the fair value of the real estate owned continues to be evaluated based upon the market value of the repossessed real estate originally securing the loan. At March 31, 2015, the Company held real estate owned totaling \$772,000 whose carrying value was written down utilizing Level 3 inputs during fiscal 2015. At June 30, 2014, the Company held no real estate owned whose carrying value was written down utilizing Level 3 inputs during fiscal 2014.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014:

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Interest Receivable and Interest Payable. The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, interest receivable and interest payable approximate fair value because they mature in three months or less.

Securities. See the discussion presented above concerning assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

Loans Receivable. Except for certain impaired loans as previously discussed, the fair value of loans receivable is estimated by discounting the future cash flows, using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities, of such loans.

FHLB of New York Stock. The carrying amount of restricted investment in bank stock approximates fair value, and considers the limited marketability of such securities.

Deposits. The fair value of demand, savings and club accounts is equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of certificates of deposit is estimated using rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. The fair value estimates do not include the benefit that results from the low-cost funding provided by deposit liabilities compared to the cost of borrowing funds in the market.

Advances from FHLB. Fair value is estimated using rates currently offered for advances of similar remaining maturities.

Interest Rate Derivatives. See the discussion presented above concerning assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

Commitments. The fair value of commitments to fund credit lines and originate or participate in loans is estimated using fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest and the committed rates. The carrying value, represented by the net deferred fee arising from the unrecognized commitment, and the fair value, determined by discounting the remaining contractual fee over the term of the commitment using fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements with similar credit risk, is not considered material for disclosure. The contractual amounts of unfunded commitments are presented in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under the heading Liquidity and Capital Resources.

The carrying amounts and fair values of financial instruments are as follows:

	March 31, 2015		Quoted Prices		
			in Active	Significant	
			Markets	Other	Significant
			for	Observable	Unobservable
	Carrying	Fair	Assets	Inputs	Inputs
	Amount	Value	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
	(In Thousands)				
<b>Financial assets:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 120,956	\$ 120,956	\$ 120,956	\$ -	\$ -
Debt securities available for sale	421,543	421,543	-	421,543	-
Debt securities held to maturity	218,925	218,678	-	218,678	-
Loans receivable	1,978,284	1,977,761	-	-	1,977,761
<b>Mortgage-backed securities</b>					
available for sale	372,617	372,617	-	372,617	-
<b>Mortgage-backed securities</b>					
held to maturity	299,945	306,868	-	306,868	-
FHLB Stock	31,881	31,881	-	-	31,881
Interest receivable	9,688	9,688	9,688	-	-
<b>Financial liabilities:</b>					
Deposits (1)	2,505,066	2,516,342	1,484,437	-	1,031,905
Borrowings	669,580	684,493	-	-	684,493
Interest payable on borrowings	993	993	993	-	-
<b>Derivative instruments:</b>					
Interest rate swaps	(12,202 )	(12,202 )	-	(12,202 )	-
Interest rate caps	881	881	-	881	-

(1) Includes accrued interest payable on deposits of \$88,000 at March 31, 2015.



Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

June 30, 2014

	Carrying Amount (In Thousands)	Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
<b>Financial assets:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 135,034	\$ 135,034	\$ 135,034	\$ -	\$ -
Debt securities available for sale	407,898	407,898	-	407,898	-
Debt securities held to maturity	216,414	213,472	-	213,472	-
Loans receivable	1,729,084	1,711,972	-	-	1,711,972
<b>Mortgage-backed securities</b>					
available for sale	437,223	437,223	-	437,223	-
<b>Mortgage-backed securities</b>					
held to maturity	295,658	293,781	-	293,781	-
FHLB Stock	25,990	25,990	-	-	25,990
Interest receivable	9,013	9,013	9,013	-	-
<b>Financial liabilities:</b>					
Deposits (1)	2,479,941	2,490,933	1,442,723	-	1,048,210
Borrowings	512,257	521,839	-	-	521,539
Interest payable on borrowings	1,001	1,001	1,001	-	-
<b>Derivative instruments:</b>					
Interest rate swaps	(2,714 )	(2,714 )	-	(2,714 )	-
Interest rate caps	1,739	1,739	-	1,739	-

(1)Includes accrued interest payable on deposits of \$69,000 at June 30, 2014.

Limitations. Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time based on relevant market information and information about the financial instruments. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market value exists for a significant portion of the financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature, involve uncertainties and matters of judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

The fair value estimates are based on existing on-and-off balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to value anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. Other significant assets and liabilities that are not considered financial assets and liabilities include premises and equipment, and advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance. In addition, the ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in any of the estimates.



## 16. COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss included in stockholders' equity at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014 are as follows:

	March 31, 2015	June 30, 2014
	(In Thousands)	
Net unrealized gain on securities available for sale	\$4,710	\$1,091
Tax effect	(1,900 )	(592 )
Net of tax amount	2,810	499
Net unrealized loss on securities available for sale		
transferred to held to maturity	(1,010 )	(990 )
Tax effect	413	404
Net of tax amount	(597 )	(586 )
Fair value adjustments on derivatives	(13,778)	(3,501)
Tax effect	5,628	1,430
Net of tax amount	(8,150 )	(2,071)
Benefit plan adjustments	(512 )	(206 )
Tax effect	208	84
Net of tax amount	(304 )	(122 )
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$(6,241 )	\$(2,280)

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Other comprehensive loss and related tax effects for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014 are presented in the following table:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	March 31, 2015	2014	March 31, 2015	2014
	(In Thousands)		(In Thousands)	
Net unrealized holding gain (loss) on securities available for sale	\$3,662	\$9,494	\$3,626	\$(358 )
Amortization of unrealized holding loss on securities available for sale transferred to held to maturity <sup>(3)</sup>	(22 )	-	(20 )	-
Net realized gain on securities available for sale	-	(830 )	(7 )	(1,056)
Net unrealized loss on derivatives	(6,943)	(2,277)	(10,277)	(2,513)
Benefit plans: Amortization of:				
Actuarial loss (gain) <sup>(1)</sup>	8	(1 )	22	(2 )
Past service cost <sup>(1)</sup>	11	11	35	34
New actuarial (loss) gain	-	-	(363 )	803
Net change in benefit plan accrued expense	19	10	(306 )	835
Other comprehensive (loss) income before taxes	(3,284)	6,397	(6,984 )	(3,092)
Tax effect <sup>(2)</sup>	1,351	(1,964)	3,023	1,690
Total comprehensive (loss) income	\$(1,933)	\$4,433	\$(3,961 )	\$(1,402)

- (1) Represents amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income and included in the computation of net periodic pension expense. See Note 14 – Benefit Plans for additional information.
- (2) The amounts included in income taxes for items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income totaled \$8 and \$(127) for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2015, respectively, and \$343 and \$773 for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2014, respectively.
- (3) Represents amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income and included in interest income on taxable securities.



ITEM 2.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF  
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

This report on Form 10-Q may include certain forward-looking statements based on current management expectations. Such forward-looking statements may be identified by reference to a future period or periods or by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as "may", "will", "believe", "expect", "estimate", "anticipate", "continue", or similar terms variations on those terms, or the negative of those terms. The actual results of the Company could differ materially from those management expectations. Factors that could cause future results to vary from current management expectations include, but are not limited to, general economic conditions, legislative and regulatory changes, monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government and changes in tax policies, rates and regulations of federal, state and local tax authorities. Additional potential factors include changes in interest rates, deposit flows, cost of funds, demand for loan products and financial services, competition and changes in the quality or composition of loan and investment portfolios of the Company. Other factors that could cause future results to vary from current management expectations include changes in accounting principles, policies or guidelines, and other economic, competitive, governmental and technological factors affecting the Company's operations, markets, products, services and prices. Further description of the risks and uncertainties to the business are included in the Company's other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Except as required by applicable law or regulation, the Company does not undertake, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to release publicly the result of any revisions that may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of the statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

Comparison of Financial Condition at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014

General. Total assets increased by \$203.7 million to \$3.71 billion at March 31, 2015 from \$3.51 billion at June 30, 2014. The increase in total assets was primarily attributable to an increase in the balances of loans and debt securities that were partially offset by a decline in the balance of cash and cash equivalents and total mortgage-backed securities. The net increase in total assets was largely funded through increases in the balances of deposits and borrowings.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents, which consist primarily of interest-earning and non-interest-earning deposits in other banks, decreased by \$14.1 million to \$121.0 million at March 31, 2015 from \$135.0 million at June 30, 2014. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents reflects the Company's effort to further reduce the opportunity cost of maintaining the level of short-term liquid assets in excess of that needed for liquidity management and contingency funding purposes. Management will continue to monitor and adjust the level of short-term, liquid assets in relation to the Company's near-term operating liquidity needs while also considering the funding needed to support its longer-term strategic initiatives – particularly those relating to the expansion of its commercial lending functions and capital management strategies.

Debt Securities Available for Sale. Debt securities classified as available for sale increased by \$13.6 million to \$421.5 million at March 31, 2015 from \$407.9 million at June 30, 2014. The net increase partly reflected the purchase of \$52.5 million in securities during the nine months ended March 31, 2015. The increase also reflected a \$1.6 million increase in the fair value of the portfolio to a net unrealized loss of \$1.7 million at March 31, 2015 from a net unrealized loss of \$3.3 million at June 30, 2014. The decrease in the net unrealized loss was largely attributable to changes in the fair value of various sectors within the portfolio arising primarily from movements in market interest rates. The net increase in the portfolio was partially offset by sales of securities totaling \$40.0 million coupled with \$469,000 in principal repayments, net of premium amortization and discount accretion during the same period.

At March 31, 2015, the available for sale debt securities portfolio included U.S. agency debentures, single-issuer trust preferred securities, corporate bonds, asset-backed securities, collateralized loan obligations and municipal obligations. Based on its evaluation, management has concluded that no other-than-temporary impairment is present within this segment of the investment portfolio as of that date.

Additional information regarding debt securities available for sale at March 31, 2015 is presented in Note 8 and Note 10 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Debt Securities Held to Maturity. Debt securities classified as held to maturity increased by \$2.5 million to \$218.9 million at March 31, 2015 from \$216.4 million at June 30, 2014. The net increase partly reflected the purchase of \$7.7 million in securities during the nine months ended March 31, 2015. The net increase in the portfolio was partially offset by calls and maturities of such securities as well as repayments, net of premium amortization and discount accretion, totaling \$5.2 million during the same period.

At March 31, 2015, the held to maturity debt securities portfolio included U.S. agency debentures and municipal obligations, a small portion of which represent non-rated, short term, bond anticipation notes (“BANs”) issued by New Jersey municipalities with whom Kearny Bank maintains or seeks to maintain deposit relationships. Based on its evaluation, management has concluded that no other-than-temporary impairment is present within this segment of the investment portfolio as of that date.

Additional information regarding debt securities held to maturity at March 31, 2015 is presented in Note 9 and Note 10 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

**Loans Receivable.** Loans receivable, net of unamortized premiums, deferred costs and the allowance for loan losses, increased by \$249.2 million to \$1.98 billion at March 31, 2015 from \$1.73 billion at June 30, 2014. The increase in net loans receivable was primarily attributable to new loan origination and purchase volume outpacing loan repayments during the nine months ended March 31, 2015.

Residential mortgage loans, including home equity loans and lines of credit, decreased by \$29.2 million to \$651.0 million at March 31, 2015 from \$680.2 million at June 30, 2014. The components of the net decrease included a decrease in the balance of one-to-four family first mortgage loans of \$22.8 million to \$557.8 million at March 31, 2015 from \$580.6 million at June 30, 2014. The net decrease also reflected a \$4.6 million decrease in the balance of home equity loans to \$71.0 million at March 31, 2015 from \$75.6 million at June 30, 2014 as well as a \$1.8 million decrease in the balance of home equity lines of credit to \$22.2 million at March 31, 2015 from \$24.0 million at June 30, 2014.

Residential mortgage loan activity for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 continued to reflect our increased strategic focus on commercial lending coupled with the combined effects of a slower pace of refinancing and a continuing low level of demand for “new purchase” mortgages. Moreover, as a portfolio lender cognizant of potential exposure to interest rate risk, we have generally refrained from lowering our long-term, fixed-rate residential mortgage rates to the levels available in the marketplace. Consequently, a portion of our residential mortgage borrowers may continue to seek long-term, fixed-rate refinancing opportunities from other market resources, further limiting growth within this segment of the loan portfolio.

In total, residential mortgage loan origination and purchase volume for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 were \$31.5 million and \$11.3 million, respectively, while aggregate originations of home equity loans and home equity lines of credit totaled \$15.5 million for that same period.

Commercial loans, in aggregate, increased by \$280.6 million to \$1.33 billion at March 31, 2015 from \$1.05 billion at June 30, 2014. The components of the aggregate increase included an increase in commercial mortgage loans totaling \$250.1 million and an increase in commercial business loans of \$30.5 million. The ending balances of commercial mortgage loans and commercial business loans at March 31, 2015 were \$1.23 billion and \$97.8 million, respectively. Commercial loan origination volume for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 totaled \$225.8 million, comprising \$204.6 million and \$21.3 million of commercial mortgage and commercial business loan originations, respectively. Commercial loan originations were augmented with the purchase of commercial mortgage loans and participations totaling \$118.0 million of which approximately \$106.1 million were purchased at the end of March 2015. Commercial loan originations were further augmented with the purchase of commercial business loans totaling \$32.3 million during the nine months ended March 31, 2015.

The outstanding balance of construction loans, net of loans-in-process, decreased by \$1.7 million to \$5.6 million at March 31, 2015 from \$7.3 million at June 30, 2014. Construction loan disbursements for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 totaled \$3.9 million.

Other loans, primarily comprising account loans, deposit account overdraft lines of credit and other consumer loans, decreased by \$127,000 to \$4.2 million at March 31, 2015 from \$4.3 million at June 30, 2014. Other loan originations for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 totaled approximately \$1.3 million.

Nonperforming Loans. Nonperforming loans increased by \$1.4 million to \$26.7 million or 1.34% of total loans at March 31, 2015 from \$25.3 million or 1.45% of total loans at June 30, 2014. The balance of nonperforming loans at March 31, 2015 was comprised entirely of “nonaccrual” loans. By comparison, the balance of nonperforming loans at June 30, 2014 included \$25.2 million of “nonaccrual” loans and \$125,000 of loans reported as “over 90 days past due and accruing”.

The composition of nonperforming loans at March 31, 2015 continued to include a disproportionate balance of residential mortgage loans originally acquired from Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. (“Countrywide”) which continue to be serviced by their acquirer, Bank of America through its subsidiary, BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP (“BOA”). In total, nonperforming Countrywide loans totaled \$7.4 million, or 27.9% of total nonperforming loans, at March 31, 2015. As of that same date, we owned a total of 66

residential mortgage loans with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$28.0 million that were originally acquired from Countrywide. Of these loans, one additional loan totaling \$440,000 is 30-89 days past due.

Additional information about the Company's nonperforming loans at March 31, 2015 is presented in Note 11 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

**Allowance for Loan Losses.** During the nine months ended March 31, 2015, the balance of the allowance for loan losses increased by \$1.7 million to \$14.1 million or 0.71% of total loans at March 31, 2015 from \$12.4 million or 0.71% of total loans at June 30, 2014. The increase resulted from provisions of \$4.4 million during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 that were partially offset by charge offs, net of recoveries, totaling \$2.7 million during that same period.

With regard to loans individually evaluated for impairment, the balance of our allowance for loan losses attributable to such loans decreased by \$800,000 to approximately \$873,000 at March 31, 2015 from \$1.7 million at June 30, 2014. The balance at March 31, 2015 reflected the allowance for impairment identified on \$4.3 million of impaired loans while an additional \$33.0 million of impaired loans had no allowance for impairment as of that date. By comparison, the balance at June 30, 2014 reflected the allowance for impairment identified on \$4.6 million of impaired loans while an additional \$32.6 million of impaired loans had no allowance for impairment as of that date. The outstanding balances of impaired loans reflected the cumulative effects of various adjustments including, but not limited to, purchase accounting valuations and prior charge-offs, where applicable, which are considered in the evaluation of impairment.

With regard to loans evaluated collectively for impairment, the balance of our allowance for loan losses attributable to such loans increased by \$2.5 million to \$13.2 million at March 31, 2015 from \$10.7 million at June 30, 2014. The increase in valuation was partly attributable to a \$249.4 million increase in the aggregate outstanding balance of loans collectively evaluated for impairment to \$1.96 billion at March 31, 2015 from \$1.71 billion at June 30, 2014, as well as the ongoing reallocation of loans within the portfolio in favor of commercial loans against which we generally assign comparatively higher historical and environmental loss factors in our ALLL calculation.

The change in the allowance also reflected updates to historical loss factors during the nine months ended March 31, 2015. Specifically, our loan portfolio experienced a net annualized charge-off rate of 20 basis points during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 representing an increase of eight basis points from the 12 basis points of charge-offs reported for fiscal 2014. The historical loss factors used in our allowance for loan loss calculation methodology were updated to reflect the effect of these charge offs on the average annualized historical charge off rates by loan segment over the two year look-back period used by that methodology. The effect of the noted increase in charge offs during the current period was partially offset by the reduced consideration given to the comparatively higher level of charge offs during fiscal 2013 in the calculation of average charge off rates as the two year look-back period was rolled forward to capture the current nine month period ended March 31, 2015. The change in average net charge-off rates coupled with the concurrent increase in the overall balance of the unimpaired portion of the loan portfolio resulted in a net increase of \$191,000 in the applicable portion of the allowance to \$2.2 million as of March 31, 2015 compared to \$2.1 million as of June 30, 2014.

In addition to updating historical loss factors, we also modified the following environmental loss factors during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 to reflect the increased level of risk exposure and estimated losses arising from the continued growth in the applicable segments of our commercial loan portfolio:

- **Concentration of credit:** Increase loss factor within the following loan segments to reflect additional estimated impairment arising from increased exposure to one or more of the following concentration-related risks: (a) increase in origination and/or purchase of larger loan amount to individual borrowers, (b) increase in origination and/or



Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

purchase of loans collateralized by real estate located in the five boroughs of New York City, (c) increase in credit exposure to large, commercial business loan borrowers through participations acquired through a limited number of wholesale/secondary market sources.

- Multi-family mortgage loans: Increased (+6 bp) from “9” to “15”
- Commercial business loans (purchased): Increased (+6 bp) from “0” to “6”
- Changes in the nature, volume and terms of loans: Increase loss factor within the following loan segments to reflect additional estimated impairment arising from accelerating growth within the applicable segment.

- Nonresidential mortgage loans: Increased (+3 bp) from “9” to “12”
- Commercial business loans (purchased): Increased (+3 bp) from “9” to “12”

In conjunction with the increase in the overall balance of the unimpaired portion of the loan portfolio, the increase in the environmental loss factors during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 resulted in a net increase of \$2.3 million in the applicable valuation allowances to \$11.0 million at March 31, 2015 from \$8.7 million at June 30, 2014.

- 69 -

---

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

The tables on the following pages present the historical and environmental loss factors, reported as a percentage of outstanding loan principal, that were the basis for computing the portion of the allowance for loan losses attributable to loans collectively evaluated for impairment at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014.

Allowance for Loan Losses

Allocation of Loss Factors on Loans Collectively Evaluated for Impairment at March 31, 2015

	Historical Loss Factors (Dollars in Thousands)	Environmental Loss Factors <sup>(2)</sup>	Total
<b>Residential mortgage loans</b>			
Originated	0.01 %	0.27 %	0.28 %
Purchased	3.40	0.75	4.15
Acquired in merger	2.04	0.30	2.34
<b>Home equity loans</b>			
Originated	0.03	0.33	0.36
Acquired in merger	0.71	0.30	1.01
<b>Home equity lines of credit</b>			
Originated	-	0.33	0.33
Acquired in merger	-	0.30	0.30
<b>Construction loans</b>			
<b>1-4 family</b>			
Originated	0.02	0.69	0.71
Acquired in merger	-	0.30	0.30
<b>Multi-family</b>			
Originated	-	0.69	0.69
Acquired in merger	-	0.30	0.30
<b>Nonresidential</b>			
Originated	-	0.69	0.69
Acquired in merger	-	0.30	0.30
<b>Commercial mortgage loans</b>			
<b>Multi-family</b>			
Originated	-	0.78	0.78
Acquired in merger	-	0.30	0.30
<b>Nonresidential</b>			
Originated	0.06	0.75	0.81
Acquired in merger	-	0.30	0.30
<b>Commercial business loans</b>			

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Secured (1-4 family)			
Originated	0.16	0.69	0.85
Acquired in merger	-	0.30	0.30
Secured (other)			
Originated	0.44	0.69	1.13
Purchased	1.19	0.45	1.64
Acquired in merger	0.50	0.30	0.80
Unsecured			
Originated	-	0.54	0.54
Acquired in merger	1.11	0.21	1.32

## Allowance for Loan Losses

## Allocation of Loss Factors on Loans Collectively Evaluated for Impairment

at March 31, 2015 (continued)

	Historical		Environmental		Loss		Total
	Factors	Loss Factors <sup>(2)</sup>	Loss Factors <sup>(2)</sup>	Loss Factors <sup>(2)</sup>	Factors	Loss Factors <sup>(2)</sup>	
	(Dollars in Thousands)						
<b>SBA 7A</b>							
Originated	-	%	0.69	%	0.69	%	
Acquired in merger	17.32		0.33				17.65
<b>SBA Express</b>							
Originated	-		0.69				0.69
Acquired in merger	46.34		0.33				46.67
<b>SBA Line of credit</b>							
Originated	-		0.69				0.69
Acquired in merger	15.58		0.33				15.91
Other consumer loans <sup>(1)</sup>	-		-				-

(1) The Company generally maintains an environmental loss factor of 0.21% —0.30% on other consumer loans while historical loss factors range from 0.00% to 12.20% based on loan type. Resulting balances in the allowance for loan losses are immaterial and therefore excluded from the presentation.

(2) “Base” environmental factors reported excluding the effect of “weights” attributable to internal credit-rating classification as follows: “Pass-1”: 70%, “Pass-2”: 80%, “Pass-3”: 90%, “Pass-4”: 100%, “Watch”: 200%, “Special Mention”: 400%, “Substandard”: 600%, “Doubtful”: 800%. (e.g. Environmental loss factor applicable to originated residential mortgage loan rated as “Substandard”: 0.30% x 600% = 1.8%).

## Allowance for Loan Losses

Allocation of Loss Factors on Loans Collectively Evaluated for  
Impairment  
at June 30, 2014

	Historical Loss Factors	Environmental Loss Factors <sup>(2)</sup>	Total
	(Dollars in Thousands)		
<b>Residential mortgage loans</b>			
Originated	0.03 %	0.27 %	0.30 %
Purchased	2.56	0.75	3.31
Acquired in merger	3.25	0.30	3.55
<b>Home equity loans</b>			
Originated	0.11	0.33	0.44
Acquired in merger	0.36	0.30	0.66
<b>Home equity lines of credit</b>			
Originated	-	0.33	0.33
Acquired in merger	-	0.30	0.30
<b>Construction loans</b>			
<b>1-4 family</b>			
Originated	0.09	0.69	0.78
Acquired in merger	-	0.30	0.30
<b>Multi-family</b>			
Originated	-	0.69	0.69
Acquired in merger	-	0.30	0.30
<b>Nonresidential</b>			
Originated	-	0.69	0.69
Acquired in merger	-	0.30	0.30
<b>Commercial mortgage loans</b>			
<b>Multi-family</b>			
Originated	-	0.72	0.72
Acquired in merger	-	0.30	0.30
<b>Nonresidential</b>			
Originated	0.10	0.72	0.82
Acquired in merger	-	0.30	0.30
<b>Commercial business loans</b>			
<b>Secured (1-4 family)</b>			
Originated	-	0.69	0.69
Acquired in merger	-	0.30	0.30
<b>Secured (other)</b>			
Originated	0.50	0.69	1.19
Purchased	1.19	0.36	1.55

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Acquired in merger	0.06	0.30	0.36
Unsecured			
Originated	-	0.54	0.54
Acquired in merger	-	0.21	0.21

- 72 -

---

Allowance for Loan Losses

Allocation of Loss Factors on Loans Collectively Evaluated for Impairment  
at June 30, 2014 (continued)

	Historical		Environmental		Total
	Loss Factors	Loss Factors <sup>(2)</sup>	Loss Factors <sup>(2)</sup>	Loss Factors <sup>(2)</sup>	
	(Dollars in Thousands)				
<b>SBA 7A</b>					
Originated	-	%	0.69	%	0.69 %
Acquired in merger	18.91		0.33		19.24
<b>SBA Express</b>					
Originated	-		0.69		0.69
Acquired in merger	-		0.33		0.33
<b>SBA Line of credit</b>					
Originated	-		0.69		0.69
Acquired in merger	0.53		0.33		0.86
Other consumer loans <sup>(1)</sup>	-		-		-

(1) The Company generally maintains an environmental loss factor of 0.21% —0.30% on other consumer loans while historical loss factors range from 0.00% to 12.20% based on loan type. Resulting balances in the allowance for loan losses are immaterial and therefore excluded from the presentation.

(2) “Base” environmental factors reported excluding the effect of “weights” attributable to internal credit-rating classification as follows: “Pass-1”: 70%, “Pass-2”: 80%, “Pass-3”: 90%, “Pass-4”: 100%, “Watch”: 200%, “Special Mention”: 400%, “Substandard”: 600%, “Doubtful”: 800%. (e.g. Environmental loss factor applicable to originated residential mortgage loan rated as “Substandard”: 0.30% x 600% = 1.8%).

Additional information about our allowance for loan losses at March 31, 2015 is presented in Note 11 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

**Mortgage-backed Securities Available for Sale.** Mortgage-backed securities available for sale decreased by \$64.6 million to \$372.6 million at March 31, 2015 from \$437.2 million at June 30, 2014. The net decrease partly reflected security sales totaling \$17.2 million during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 coupled with cash repayment of principal, net of discount accretion and premium amortization, totaling \$59.8 million. These decreases in the portfolio were partially offset by security purchases totaling \$10.4 million and a \$2.0 million increase in the fair value of the portfolio to an unrealized gain of \$6.4 million at March 31, 2015 from an unrealized gain of \$4.4 million at June 30, 2014.

At March 31, 2015, the available for sale mortgage-backed securities portfolio primarily included agency pass-through securities and agency collateralized mortgage obligations. As of that date, we also held one non-agency mortgage-backed security within the available for sale portfolio whose aggregate carrying value and fair value totaled \$178,000 and \$176,000, respectively. Based on its evaluation, management has concluded that no other-than-temporary impairment is present within this segment of the investment portfolio as of that date.

Additional information regarding mortgage-backed securities available for sale at March 31, 2015 is presented in Note 8 and Note 10 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Mortgage-backed Securities Held to Maturity. Mortgage-backed securities held to maturity increased by \$4.3 million to \$299.9 million at March 31, 2015 from \$295.7 million at June 30, 2014. The increase in the portfolio largely reflected purchases of securities totaling \$35.3 million that were acquired primarily based upon their Community Reinvestment Act eligibility. This increase was partially offset by cash repayment of principal, net of discount accretion and premium amortization, totaling \$31.0 million during the nine months ended March 31, 2015.

At March 31, 2015, the held to maturity mortgage-backed securities portfolio primarily included agency pass-through securities and agency collateralized mortgage obligations. As of that date, we also held four non-agency mortgage-backed securities in the held to maturity portfolio whose aggregate carrying value and fair value totaled \$44,000 and \$43,000, respectively. Based on its evaluation, management has concluded that no other-than-temporary impairment is present within this segment of the investment portfolio as of that date.



Additional information regarding mortgage-backed securities held to maturity at March 31, 2015 is presented in Note 9 and Note 10 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

**Other Assets.** The aggregate balance of other assets, including premises and equipment, FHLB stock, accrued interest receivable, goodwill, bank owned life insurance, deferred income taxes and other miscellaneous assets, increased by \$12.8 million to \$301.5 million at March 31, 2015 from \$288.7 million at June 30, 2014. The increase in other assets partly reflected an obligatory \$5.9 million increase in the balance of FHLB stock resulting from additional advances drawn during the period. The increase in other assets also reflected a \$1.0 million net increase in the cash surrender value of our bank-owned life insurance policies arising from the periodic growth in such policies that was recognized as income during the period less the repayment in full of the cash surrender value of certain policies whose benefits were paid out during that time. Additionally, the increase in other assets reflected \$2.1 million in accumulated stock offering expenses paid through March 31, 2015 that will be netted against the gross proceeds received by the Company when recording the additional capital raised through the sale of its newly issued common shares.

The noted increases in other assets were partially offset by a \$240,000 decrease in the balance of real estate owned (“REO”) to \$1.4 million at March 31, 2015 from \$1.6 million at June 30, 2014 representing the net carrying values of five and seven properties held at the close of each period, respectively.

The remaining increases and decreases in other assets during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 generally comprised normal growth or operating fluctuations in their respective balances.

**Deposits.** The balance of total deposits increased by \$25.1 million to \$2.51 billion at March 31, 2015 from \$2.48 billion at June 30, 2014. The net increase in deposit balances included a net increase in interest-bearing deposits totaling \$31.3 million that was partially offset by a decrease in non-interest-bearing checking accounts of \$6.2 million. The net increase in interest-bearing deposits comprised a \$47.3 million increase in interest-bearing checking accounts coupled with an increase of \$604,000 in savings and club accounts that were partially offset by a \$16.6 million decrease in certificates of deposit.

The decrease in non-interest-bearing checking accounts was primarily attributable to the closing of a single commercial deposit relationship whose account balances totaled approximately \$11.5 million at June 30, 2014. This decrease was partially offset by a \$5.3 million net increase in the balance of other non-interest-bearing checking accounts.

The change in deposit balances for the period reflected changes in the balances of retail deposits as well as “non-retail” deposits acquired through various wholesale channels. The increase in the balance of interest-bearing checking accounts included a \$35.9 million increase in the balance of brokered money market deposits acquired through Promontory’s IND program to \$249.4 million or 9.9% of total deposits at March 31, 2015 from \$213.5 million or 8.6% of total deposits at June 30, 2014. The terms of the IND program generally establish a reciprocal commitment for Promontory to deliver and for us to accept such deposits for a period of no less than five years during which time total aggregate balances shall be maintained within a range of \$200.0 million to \$230.0 million. Such deposits are generally sourced by Promontory from large retail and institutional brokerage firms whose individual clients seek to have a portion of their investments held in interest-bearing accounts at FDIC-insured institutions. The balance of the Bank’s IND deposits at March 31, 2015 temporarily exceeded the upper end of the targeted range to effectively accommodate the addition of a new brokerage firm funding source within the Bank’s IND program. The Bank expects the IND balances to return to the targeted operating range during the quarter ending June 30, 2015. The increase in interest-bearing checking accounts attributable to the increase in IND program deposits was augmented by an \$11.4 million increase in retail account balances.

We continued to utilize a deposit listing service through which we attract “non-brokered” wholesale time deposits targeting institutional investors with a three-to-five year investment horizon. We generally prohibit the withdrawal of our listing service deposits prior to maturity. The balance of our listing service time deposits increased by \$21.9 million to \$82.5 million or 3.3% of total deposits at March 31, 2015 from \$60.6 million or 2.4% of total deposits at June 30, 2014.

We also maintain a small portfolio of longer-term, brokered certificates of deposit that were originally acquired during fiscal 2014 whose balances remained stable at approximately \$18.5 million at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014. In combination with Promontory IND money market deposits noted above, our brokered deposits totaled \$267.9 million or 10.7% of deposits at March 31, 2015 compared to \$232.0 million or 9.7% of total deposits at June 30, 2014.

The growth in certificates of deposit acquired through wholesale sources was more than offset by a net decrease of \$38.5 million in other retail time deposit balances. The decrease in retail time deposits largely reflected our efforts to manage our cost of deposits which allowed for some controlled outflow of shorter-term time deposits during the nine months ended March 31, 2015. However, we did maintain our attractive offering rates on certain longer-term time deposits during that period to attract retail funding within the

four-to-five year maturity tranches, which supported our larger goal of extending the duration of time deposits for interest rate risk management purposes.

**Borrowings.** The balance of borrowings increased by \$157.3 million to \$669.6 million at March 31, 2015 from \$512.3 million at June 30, 2014. The increase in borrowings primarily reflected an additional \$175.0 million of FHLB advances drawn to fund a portion of our growth in loans during the first nine months fiscal 2015. Of these new advances, \$75.0 million were drawn during the quarter ended September 30, 2014 and we utilized interest rate derivatives during that period to effectively swap the rolling 90-day maturity/repricing characteristics of these new borrowings into a fixed rate for five years. The remaining \$100.0 million in new advances represented short-term FHLB advance drawn at the end of March 2015 to fund the purchase of a pool of multi-family mortgage loans. The Bank expects to repay this short-term advance with a portion of the net proceeds received in conjunction with the Company's second-step stock offering.

The new advances drawn during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 were partially offset by the repayments of \$17.0 million of FHLB overnight advances and a maturing \$3.0 million short-term advance that were outstanding at June 30, 2014. Borrowing activity for the period also reflected the rollover of other short-term FHLB advances during the period that coincided with the repricing of interest rate swaps that effectively converted such advances into longer-term, fixed rate funding.

The change in borrowing balances also reflected a \$2.4 million increase in the balance of customer sweep accounts to \$33.1 million at March 31, 2015, from \$30.7 million at June 30, 2014. Sweep accounts are short-term borrowings representing funds that are withdrawn from a customer's non-interest-bearing deposit account and invested in an uninsured overnight investment account that is collateralized by specified investment securities we own.

**Other Liabilities.** The balance of other liabilities, including advance payments by borrowers for taxes and other miscellaneous liabilities, increased by \$20.2 million to \$43.3 million at March 31, 2015 from \$23.1 million at June 30, 2014. The increase in other liabilities was primarily attributable to a decrease in the fair value of our interest rate derivatives of approximately \$10.3 million coupled with a \$9.0 million "due to broker" to reflect a funding obligation relating to commercial business loans purchased prior to March 31, 2015 for which the funding settled after that date. The remaining variance generally represented normal operating fluctuations in the balances of other liabilities.

**Stockholders' Equity.** Stockholders' equity increased \$1.1 million to \$495.7 million at March 31, 2015 from \$494.7 million at June 30, 2014. The net increase in stockholders' equity reflected \$9.0 million in net income during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 coupled with a reduction of unearned ESOP shares for plan shares earned during the period. The increase in stockholders' equity also reflected a net decrease in Treasury stock resulting from the re-issuance of 107,382 shares at an average cost of \$11.48 resulting from additional exercises of stock options during in the quarter ended September 30, 2014.

The increase in stockholders' equity was partially offset by the effect of additional stock option exercises on September 10, 2014. On that date, members of management and the Board of Directors exercised a total of 2,677,740 vested stock options that were granted in 2005 and would otherwise expire in 2015. Pursuant to the terms of the Company's 2005 Stock Compensation and Incentive Plan, the Company elected to settle the exercise of all such stock options in cash based upon the difference between the exercise price of the options and the closing price of the Company's stock on the date of exercise. The net cash proceeds of these exercises resulted in a direct reduction of stockholders' equity totaling approximately \$7.2 million that was partially offset by a reduction of income tax expense of approximately \$416,000 during the quarter ended September 30, 2014, and described below. Based on this manner of settlement, no additional shares of the Company's capital stock were issued in relation to the exercise of these options.

The increase in stockholders' equity was further offset, in part, by a \$4.0 million decrease in accumulated other comprehensive loss due primarily to changes in the composition and fair value of the Company's available for sale securities portfolio and outstanding derivatives whose changes in fair value are reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income on an after tax basis.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014

General. Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was \$3.9 million or \$0.06 per diluted share; an increase of \$2.1 million from \$1.8 million or \$0.03 per diluted share for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in net income reflected increases in net interest income and non-interest income coupled with a decrease in non-interest expense that were partially offset by an increase in the provision for loan losses. These factors contributed to an overall increase in pre-tax net income. The increase in net income also reflected a decrease in the provision for income taxes reflecting a comparatively lower effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was \$20.6 million; an increase of \$2.1 million from \$18.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in net interest income between the comparative periods resulted from an increase in interest income that was partially offset by an increase in interest expense. The increase in interest income was attributable to an increase in the average balance of interest-earning assets coupled with a concurrent increase in their average yield. The increase in interest expense resulted from an increase in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities coupled with a concurrent increase in their average cost. The reported increase in the average balances of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities between comparative periods partly reflected the impact of the acquisition of Atlas Bank (“Atlas”) on June 30, 2014.

As a result of these factors, our net interest rate spread increased six basis points to 2.36% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 2.30% for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in the net interest rate spread reflected an increase in the average yield of interest-earning assets of 11 basis points to 3.25% for three months ended March 31, 2015 from 3.14% for the three months ended March 31, 2014 while the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities increased five basis points to 0.89% from 0.84% for the same comparative periods. A discussion of the factors contributing to changes in the average yield and average cost of categories within interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, respectively, is presented in the separate discussion and analysis of interest income and interest expense below.

The factors resulting in the reported increase in our net interest rate spread also affected our net interest margin, which increased by seven basis points to 2.49% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 2.42% for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Interest Income. Total interest income increased \$2.9 million to \$26.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$24.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. As noted above, the increase in interest income partly reflected a \$254.3 million increase in the average balance of interest-earning assets to \$3.30 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$3.05 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the yield on earning assets increased 11 basis points to 3.25% from 3.14%.

Interest income from loans increased \$2.3 million to \$19.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$16.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in interest income on loans was attributable to a net increase in the average balance of loans that was partially offset by a decline in their average yield.

The average balance of loans increased by \$240.7 million to \$1.85 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$1.61 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The reported increase in the average balance of loans primarily reflected an aggregate increase of \$200.1 million in the average balance of commercial loans to \$1.18 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$976.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. Our commercial loans generally comprise commercial mortgage loans, including multi-family and nonresidential mortgage loans, as well as secured and unsecured commercial business loans.

The increase in the average balance of commercial loans was augmented by a \$41.4 million increase in the average balance of residential mortgage loans to \$658.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$617.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in the average balance was primarily attributable residential mortgage loans acquired in conjunction with the Atlas acquisition. Our residential mortgages generally comprise one- to four-family first mortgage loans, home equity loans and home equity lines of credit.

For those same comparative periods, the average balance of other loans, comprising account loans, deposit account overdraft lines of credit and other consumer loans, also increased by \$104,000 to \$4.8 million from \$4.6 million.

The noted increases in the average balances of loans were partially offset by a \$1.4 million decrease in the average balance of construction loans which declined to \$7.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$8.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

The effect on interest income attributable to the net increase in the average balance of loans was partially offset by the noted decrease in their average yield. The average yield on loans decreased by four basis points to 4.17% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 4.21% for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The reduction in the overall yield on our loan portfolio partly reflects the effect of low market interest rates which provide “rate reduction” refinancing incentive to existing borrowers while also contributing to the downward re-pricing of adjustable rate loans. Additionally, the average yield on newly originated loans that have provided the incremental growth in the portfolio between comparative periods also reflects the low interest rates prevalent in the marketplace which further reduces the overall yield of the loan portfolio.

Interest income from mortgage-backed securities decreased by \$53,000 to \$4.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$5.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease in interest income reflected a decrease in the average balance of mortgage-backed securities that was partially offset by an increase in their average yield.

The average balance of mortgage-backed securities decreased by \$73.8 million to \$695.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$769.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease in the average balance of mortgage-backed securities largely reflects principal repayments and security sales that outpaced the level of security purchases between comparative periods.

For those same comparative periods, the average yield on mortgage-backed securities increased by 25 basis points to 2.84% from 2.59%. The increase in the overall yield of the mortgage-backed securities portfolio largely reflected the recognition of non-recurring prepayment penalties received on certain agency commercial mortgage-backed securities arising from the repayment in full of the underlying securitized loans during the three months ended March 31, 2015. Absent the effects of these prepayment penalties, the average yield on mortgage-backed securities would have modestly decreased reflecting the effects of the reduction in market interest rates between comparative periods coupled with the continuing repayment of comparatively higher yielding securities within the portfolio.

Interest income from debt securities increased by \$571,000 to \$2.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$1.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in interest income reflected an increase in the average balance of debt securities augmented by an increase in their average yield. The average balance of debt securities increased \$100.3 million to \$643.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$542.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the average yield of debt securities increased 15 basis points to 1.45% from 1.30%.

The increase in the average balance of debt securities was partly attributable to a \$92.4 million increase in the average balance of taxable securities to \$540.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$447.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the average balance of tax-exempt securities increased by \$7.9 million to \$103.0 million from \$95.1 million.

The increase in the average yield on debt securities reflected a 19 basis point increase in the yield on taxable securities to 1.36% during the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 1.17% during the three months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the yield on tax-exempt securities increased one basis point to 1.95% from 1.94%.

Interest income from other interest-earning assets increased by \$47,000 to \$356,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$309,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014 reflecting an increase in the average yield that was partially offset by a decrease in the average balance. The average yield of other interest-earning assets increased by 26 basis points to 1.19% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 0.93% for the three months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the average balance of other interest-earning assets decreased by \$12.9 million to \$119.6 million from \$132.5 million.

The increase in the average yield on other interest-earning assets and the corresponding decrease in their average balance largely reflected an increase in the average balance of the comparatively higher-yielding investment in FHLB stock that was outpaced by the decrease in the average balance of comparatively lower-yielding assets within the category.

Interest Expense. Total interest expense increased by \$829,000 to \$6.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$5.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. As noted earlier, the increase in interest expense

resulted from an increase in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities coupled with an increase in their average cost. The average balance of interest-bearing liabilities increased by \$220.4 million to \$2.84 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$2.62 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities increased five basis points to 0.89% from 0.84%.

Interest expense attributed to deposits increased by \$354,000 to \$4.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$3.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in interest expense was attributable to an increase in the average cost of interest-bearing deposits coupled with an increase in their average balance.

The average cost of interest-bearing deposits increased by four basis points to 0.70% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 0.66% for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The net increase in the average cost was primarily attributable to an increase in the average cost of certificates of deposit which increased eight basis points to 1.09% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 1.01% for the three months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the average cost of interest-bearing



checking accounts increased two basis points to 0.53% from 0.51% while the average cost of savings and club accounts decreased one basis point to 0.15% from 0.16%.

The increases in the average cost of certificates of deposit largely reflected our efforts to attract and retain accounts with longer terms to maturity for interest rate risk management purposes. Competitive offering rates on longer duration term deposits were made available through both our retail deposit and wholesale funding channels.

The average balance of interest-bearing deposits increased by \$83.9 million to \$2.27 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$2.18 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The net increase in the average balance reflected an increase in the average balances of savings and club accounts and certificates of deposit that were partially offset by a decrease in the average balance of interest-bearing checking accounts. For the comparative periods noted, the average balance of savings and club accounts increased by \$36.3 million to \$512.7 million from \$476.5 million and the certificates of deposit increased by \$47.9 million to \$1.03 billion from \$979.6 million while the average balance of interest-bearing checking accounts decreased by \$279,000 to \$727.9 million from \$728.2 million.

Interest expense attributed to borrowings increased by \$475,000 to \$2.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in interest expense on borrowings reflected an increase in their average balance that was partially offset by a decrease in their average cost. The average balance of borrowings increased by \$136.6 million to \$567.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$431.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the average cost of borrowings decreased by eight basis points to 1.66% from 1.74%.

The increase in the average balance of borrowings largely reflected a \$136.4 million increase in the average balance of FHLB advances which increased to \$538.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$402.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the average cost of FHLB advances decreased 11 basis points to 1.72% from 1.83%. The noted increase in the average balance of FHLB advances was augmented by a \$190,000 increase in the average balance of other borrowings, comprised primarily of depositor sweep accounts, to \$29.0 million from \$28.9 million. The average cost of sweep accounts increased by one basis point to 0.49% from 0.48% between the same comparative periods.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses increased by \$881,000 to \$1.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$880,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in the provision for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 was largely attributable to a higher level of loan growth in the non-impaired portion of the loan portfolio during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the earlier comparative period.

The increase in the provision for loan losses also reflected updates to environmental and historical loss factors used in the Company's allowance for loan loss calculations at March 31, 2015. Changes to environmental loss factors primarily reflected continued growth in the Company's commercial mortgage loan and business loan portfolios while updates to historical factors reflected the effects of net charge off activity for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 on the two-year average charge off rates used in those calculations. The updates to these loss factors contributed to the overall increase in provision for loan losses on the non-impaired portion of the loan portfolio that is evaluated collectively for impairment.

The increases in the provision for loan losses noted above were partially offset by a decrease in the provision expense attributable to specific losses on nonperforming loans that are individually evaluated for impairment.

Additional information regarding the allowance for loan losses and the associated provisions recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2015 is presented in Note 11 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements as well as the Comparison of Financial Condition at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014 presented earlier.

Non-Interest Income. Non-interest income, excluding security sale gains and losses on the sale and write-down of real estate owned, increased by \$2.0 million to \$3.6 million for the three month periods ended March 31, 2015 from \$1.6 million for the three months ended and March 31, 2014. The increase was partly attributable to the recognition of payouts on life insurance policies totaling \$1.4 million included in income from bank-owned life insurance. The increase also reflected a non-recurring adjustment of \$370,000 to gain on bargain purchase included in miscellaneous income relating to the acquisition of Atlas Bank on June 30, 2014.

The increase in non-interest income also included an increase in sale gains on SBA loans reflecting an overall increase in related loan origination and sale activity as well as an increase in loan prepayment fees, included in fees and service charges. These increases in non-interest income were partially offset by a decline in electronic banking fees and charges primarily reflecting fluctuations in ATM and debit card transaction volume.

In addition to the changes in non-interest income noted above, we had also recognized net security sale gains totaling \$830,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2014 for which no such gains were recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2015. Additionally, we recognized a \$510,000 write down on one foreclosed property held in real estate owned during the three months ended March 31, 2015 to reflect a decline in its fair value based on an updated property appraisal and listing agreement. The property, located in Absecon, New Jersey, had been operated as a hotel until both the property and business were abandoned by the borrower, which resulted in a rapid and severe deterioration of the property's condition and decline in fair value. The property has been cleaned and secured and is currently listed for sale. By comparison, losses on sale and write down of real estate owned totaled \$71,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

**Non-Interest Expenses.** Non-interest expense decreased \$123,000 to \$17.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$17.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The net decrease in non-interest expense partly reflected decreases in equipment and systems expense coupled with a decrease in merger-related expenses that were partially offset by increases in salary and employee benefit expense, premises occupancy expense, advertising and marketing expense and miscellaneous expense. Less noteworthy variances in other categories of non-interest expense such federal deposit insurance expense and director compensation expense reflected normal growth or operating fluctuations within those categories.

Equipment and systems expense decreased by \$1.2 million to \$2.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$3.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The expense during the earlier comparative period included the recognition of certain non-recurring expenses supporting the Company's conversion to Fiserv systems for which no such expenses were recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

In addition to the non-recurring expenses associated with the Fiserv conversion, the Company also recognized \$190,000 of non-recurring, merger-related expenses during the quarter ended March 31, 2014 attributable to its acquisition of Atlas Bank for which no such expenses were recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

The noted decreases in expense attributable to the non-recurring items noted above were partially offset by increases across several other categories of non-interest expense. Salaries and employee benefits increased by \$719,000 to \$9.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$9.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase partly reflected overall increases in wage and salary expense and benefits expense attributable to our strategic efforts to expand our commercial lending origination and support staff. The increase also included the recognition of additional compensation costs resulting from the acquisition of Atlas on June 30, 2014. Such costs included the ongoing wages and salary expense of retained staff as well as a portion of the expected severance costs resulting from the acquisition. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in compensation expense reflecting the higher level of overtime compensation paid to employees during the earlier comparative period to support the Fiserv conversion.

The increase in salaries and benefits expense also reflected an increase in non-executive compensation expense arising from the realignment of the Company's annual employee performance assessment and compensation review process from a calendar year to fiscal year cycle. Additionally, the increase reflected the Company's adoption and implementation of the Senior Management Incentive Compensation Plan during fiscal 2015. Through this plan, senior and executive management's annual bonus compensation is based directly on the Company's actual fiscal year performance in relation to specific corporate profitability, growth and risk management goals and objectives outlined in its business plan.

To a lesser extent, the noted increase in salaries and employee benefits expense also reflected an increase in ESOP expense attributable to the increase in our share value between comparative periods coupled with an increase in stock

benefit plan expenses attributable to stock options and shares of restricted stock granted to employees during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2014. The increase also reflected an increase in health insurance premiums that went into effect in January 2015.

The increase in premises occupancy expense partly reflected seasonal fluctuations in facility repairs and maintenance and utility expenses with a noteworthy increase in snow removal expense during the quarter ended March 31, 2015 reflecting the adverse weather conditions that challenged the region during the period compared to the same period one year earlier. The increase in premises occupancy expense also reflected the effect of property tax refunds received in conjunction with several successful tax appeal strategies enacted during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

The noted increase in advertising and marketing expenses largely reflected the expenditures supporting the Bank's name change and re-branding strategy that was initiated during the quarter ending March 31, 2015.

Finally, the increase in miscellaneous expense reflected increases across several underlying expense categories. The largest increases were attributable to growth in loan-related underwriting and processing charges as well as an increase in loan-related

servicing fees. Less noteworthy increases in miscellaneous expense were also reflected in postage, legal, regulatory assessment and REO-related expenses.

**Provision for Income Taxes.** Despite a noteworthy increase in pre-tax net income, the provision for income taxes decreased by \$25,000 to \$660,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$685,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in pre-tax net income partly reflected non-recurring sources of tax-exempt income during the quarter ended March 31, 2015 including a \$1.4 million payout on bank-owned life insurance policies and a \$370,000 adjustment to gain on bargain purchase. After adjusting for these and other recurring sources of tax-exempt income, the overall decrease in income tax expense largely reflected the underlying differences in the taxable portion of pre-tax income between comparative periods.

Our effective tax rate during the three months ended March 31, 2015 was 14.5% which, in relation to statutory income tax rates, reflected the effects of both recurring and non-recurring sources of tax-favored income included in pre-tax income. By comparison, our effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2014 was 27.7% which generally reflected the effects of only recurring sources of tax-favored income.

#### Comparison of Operating Results for the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2015 and March 31, 2014

**General.** Net income for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 was \$9.0 million or \$0.13 per diluted share; an increase of \$1.6 million from \$7.4 million or \$0.11 per diluted share for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in net income reflected increases in net interest income and non-interest income that were partially offset by increases in non-interest expense and the provision for loan losses. These factors contributed to an overall increase in pre-tax net income. The increase in net income also reflected a decrease in the provision for income taxes reflecting a comparatively lower effective tax rate for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2014.

**Net Interest Income.** Net interest income for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 was \$59.7 million; an increase of \$4.5 million from \$55.2 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in net interest income between the comparative periods resulted from an increase in interest income that was partially offset by an increase in interest expense. The increase in interest income was attributable to an increase in the average balance of interest-earning coupled with an increase in their average yield. The increase in interest expense resulted from an increase in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities coupled with an increase in their average cost. The reported increase in the average balances of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities between comparative periods partly reflected the impact of the Atlas acquisition on June 30, 2014.

As a result of these factors, our net interest rate spread decreased four basis points to 2.30% for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from 2.34% for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease in the net interest rate spread reflected an increase in the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities of six basis points to 0.89% for nine months ended March 31, 2015 from 0.83% for the nine months ended March 31, 2014 while the yield on earning assets increased two basis points to 3.19% from 3.17% for the same comparative periods. A discussion of the factors contributing to changes in the average yield and average cost of categories within interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, respectively, is presented in the separate discussion and analysis of interest income and interest expense below.

The factors resulting in the reported decrease in our net interest rate spread also affected our net interest margin which decreased by two basis points to 2.43% for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from 2.45% for the nine months ended March 31, 2014.

Interest Income. Total interest income increased \$7.3 million to \$78.5 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$71.2 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. As noted above, the increase in interest income partly reflected a \$281.6 million increase in the average balance of interest-earning assets to \$3.28 billion for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$3.00 billion for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the yield on earning assets increased two basis points to 3.19% from 3.17%.

Interest income from loans increased \$7.1 million to \$56.3 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$49.2 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in interest income on loans was attributable to a net increase in the average balance of loans that was partially offset by a decline in their average yield.

The average balance of loans increased by \$279.1 million to \$1.79 billion for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$1.52 billion for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The reported increase in the average balance of loans primarily reflected an aggregate increase of \$228.4 million in the average balance of commercial loans to \$1.12 billion for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$887.0 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. Our commercial loans generally comprise commercial mortgage loans, including multi-family and nonresidential mortgage loans, as well as secured and unsecured commercial business loans.

The increase in the average balance of commercial loans was augmented by a \$52.8 million increase in the average balance of residential mortgage loans to \$668.0 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$615.2 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in the average balance was primarily attributable to residential mortgage loans acquired in conjunction with the Atlas acquisition. Our residential mortgages generally comprise one-to-four family first mortgage loans, home equity loans and home equity lines of credit.

For those same comparative periods, the average balance of other loans, comprising account loans, deposit account overdraft lines of credit and other consumer loans, also increased by \$343,000 to \$4.8 million from \$4.5 million.

The noted increases in the average balances of loans were partially offset by a \$2.5 million decrease in the average balance of construction loans which declined to \$7.4 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$9.9 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014.

The effect on interest income attributable to the net increase in the average balance of loans was partially offset by the noted decrease in their average yield. The average yield on loans decreased by 15 basis points to 4.18% for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from 4.33% for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The reduction in the overall yield on our loan portfolio partly reflects the effect of low market interest rates which provides “rate reduction” refinancing incentive to existing borrowers while also contributing to the downward re-pricing of adjustable rate loans. Additionally, the average yield on newly originated loans that have provided the incremental growth in the portfolio between comparative periods also reflects the low interest rates prevalent in the marketplace which further reduces the overall yield of the loan portfolio.

Interest income from mortgage-backed securities decreased by \$1.7 million to \$14.4 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$16.0 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease in interest income reflected a decrease in the average balance of mortgage-backed securities that was partially offset by an increase in their average yield.

The average balance of mortgage-backed securities decreased by \$112.9 million to \$713.8 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$826.7 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease in the average balance of mortgage-backed securities largely reflects principal repayments and security sales that outpaced the level of security purchases between comparative periods.

For those same comparative periods, the average yield on mortgage-backed securities increased by nine basis points to 2.68% from 2.59%. The increase in the overall yield of the mortgage-backed securities portfolio largely reflected the recognition of non-recurring prepayment penalties received on certain agency commercial mortgage-backed securities arising from the repayment in full of the underlying securitized loans during the nine months ended March 31, 2015. Absent the effects of these prepayment penalties, the average yield on mortgage-backed securities would have remained generally stable between comparative periods.

Interest income from debt securities increased by \$1.6 million to \$6.8 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$5.2 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in interest income reflected an increase in the average balance of debt securities augmented by an increase in their average yield. The average balance of debt securities increased \$111.4 million to \$635.7 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$524.2 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the average yield of debt securities increased 12 basis points to 1.44% from 1.32%.

The increase in the average balance of debt securities was partly attributable to a \$105.0 million increase in the average balance of taxable securities to \$534.9 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$429.9 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the average balance of tax-exempt

securities increased by \$6.5 million to \$100.8 million from \$94.3 million.

The increase in the average yield on debt securities reflected a 16 basis point increase in the yield on taxable securities to 1.34% during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from 1.18% during the nine months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the yield on tax-exempt securities increased one basis point to 1.95% from 1.94%.

Interest income from other interest-earning assets increased by \$236,000 to \$981,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$745,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2014 reflecting increase in both their average yield and average balance. The average yield of other interest-earning assets increased by 21 basis points to 0.97% for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from 0.76% for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the average balance of other interest-earning assets increased by \$3.9 million to \$134.9 million from \$131.0 million.



The increase in the average balance and average yield on other interest-earning assets between comparative periods largely reflected an increase in the average balance of our investment in FHLB stock arising from additional advances drawn coupled with an increase in its average yield between comparative periods.

Interest Expense. Total interest expense increased by \$2.8 million to \$18.8 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$16.0 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. As noted earlier, the increase in interest expense resulted from an increase in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities coupled with an increase in their average cost. The average balance of interest-bearing liabilities increased by \$246.5 million to \$2.81 billion for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$2.56 billion for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities increased six basis points to 0.89% from 0.83%.

Interest expense attributed to deposits increased by \$946,000 to \$11.8 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$10.8 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in interest expense was attributable to an increase in the average cost of interest-bearing deposits coupled with an increase in their average balance.

The average cost of interest-bearing deposits increased by two basis points to 0.69% for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from 0.67% for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The net increase in the average cost was primarily attributable to an increase in the average cost of certificates of deposit which increased six basis points to 1.08% for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from 1.02% for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the average cost of interest-bearing checking accounts increased one basis points to 0.53% from 0.52% while the average cost of savings and club accounts remained stable at 0.16%.

The increases in the average cost of certificates of deposit largely reflected our efforts to attract and retain accounts with longer terms to maturity for interest rate risk management purposes. Competitive offering rates on longer duration term deposits were made available through both our retail deposit and wholesale funding channels.

The average balance of interest-bearing deposits increased by \$96.8 million to \$2.26 billion for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$2.16 billion for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The net increase in the average balance reflected an increase in the average balances of savings and club accounts and certificates of deposit that were partially offset by a decrease in the average balance of interest-bearing checking accounts. For the comparative periods noted, the average balance of savings and club accounts increased by \$42.0 million to \$512.7 million from \$470.7 million and the certificates of deposit increased by \$63.0 million to \$1.03 billion from \$965.8 million while the average balance of interest-bearing checking accounts decreased by \$8.2 million to \$719.6 million from \$727.8 million.

Interest expense attributed to borrowings increased by \$1.8 million to \$7.0 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$5.2 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in interest expense on borrowings reflected an increase in their average balance that was partially offset by a decrease in their average cost. The average balance of borrowings increased by \$150.0 million to \$549.3 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$399.6 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the average cost of borrowings decreased by three basis points to 1.71% from 1.74%.

The increase in the average balance of borrowings largely reflected a \$153.0 million increase in the average balance of FHLB advances which increased to \$519.6 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$366.5 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. For those same comparative periods, the average cost of FHLB advances decreased seven basis points to 1.78% from 1.85%. The noted increase in the average balance of FHLB advances was partially offset by a \$3.3 million decrease in the average balance of other borrowings, comprised primarily of depositor sweep accounts, to \$29.8 million from \$33.1 million. The average cost of sweep accounts increased by one basis point remained to 0.50% from 0.49% between the same comparative periods.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses increased by \$1.8 million to \$4.4 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$2.6 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in the provision for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 was partly attributable to an increase in specific losses recognized on nonperforming loans individually reviewed for impairment. However, the increase also reflected a greater amount of recoveries credited back to the allowance for loan losses during the nine months ended March 31, 2014 that reduced the required provision expense for that earlier comparative period.

The increase in the provision for loan losses also reflected updates to environmental and historical loss factors used in the Company's allowance for loan loss calculations at March 31, 2015. Changes to environmental loss factors primarily reflected continued growth in the Company's commercial mortgage loan and business loan portfolios while updates to historical factors reflected the effects of an increase in net charge off activity for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 on the two-year average charge

off rates used in those calculations. The updates to these loss factors contributed to the overall increase in provision for loan losses on the non-impaired portion of the loan portfolio that is evaluated collectively for impairment.

The noted increases in provision expense were partially offset by the effects of lower net growth in the non-impaired portion of the overall loan portfolio for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period one year earlier. However, these effects were muted by the ongoing reallocation within the loan portfolio in favor of various commercial loan types that are generally subject to higher loss factors used by our allowance for loan loss calculation methodology.

Additional information regarding the allowance for loan losses and the associated provisions recognized during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 is presented in Note 11 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements as well as the Comparison of Financial Condition at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014 presented earlier.

**Non-Interest Income.** Non-interest income, excluding security sale gains and losses on the sale and write-down of real estate owned, increased by \$1.9 million to \$7.1 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$5.2 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The increase was largely attributable to the recognition of payouts on life insurance policies totaling \$1.4 million included in income from bank-owned life insurance. The increase also reflected a non-recurring adjustment of \$370,000 to gain on bargain purchase included in miscellaneous income relating to the acquisition of Atlas Bank on June 30, 2014.

The increase in non-interest income also included an increase in sale gains on SBA loans reflecting an overall increase in related loan origination and sale activity as well as a net increase in fees and service charges attributable to an increase loan prepayment fees that more than offset a net decline in deposit-related service fees. These increases in non-interest income were partially offset by a decline in electronic banking fees and charges primarily reflecting fluctuations in ATM and debit card transaction volume.

In addition to the changes in non-interest income noted above, we also recognized net security sale gains totaling \$7,000 during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 compared to \$1.1 million of such gains recognized during the nine months ended March 31, 2014. We also recognized net losses totaling \$656,000 arising from the write down and sale of real estate owned during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 compared to net losses of \$70,000 recognized during the earlier comparative period. The increase in losses primarily reflected a \$510,000 write down on one foreclosed property held in real estate owned during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 to reflect a decline in its fair value based on an updated property appraisal and listing agreement. The property, located in Absecon, New Jersey, had been operated as a hotel until both the property and business were abandoned by the borrower, which resulted in a rapid and severe deterioration of the property's condition and decline in fair value. The property has been cleaned and secured and is currently listed for sale as of March 31, 2015.

**Non-Interest Expenses.** Non-interest expense increased by \$2.3 million to \$50.7 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$48.4 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The net increase in non-interest expense primarily reflected increases in salary and employee benefit expense, premises occupancy expense, and miscellaneous expense that were partially offset by decreases in equipment and systems expense and advertising and marketing expense. Less noteworthy variances in other categories of non-interest expense such as federal deposit insurance expense and director compensation expense reflected normal growth or operating fluctuations within those categories.

Salaries and employee benefits increased by \$2.7 million to \$29.5 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$26.8 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. The increase partly reflected overall increases in wage and salary expense and benefits expense attributable to our strategic efforts to expand our commercial lending origination and support staff. The increase also included the recognition of additional compensation costs resulting

from the acquisition of Atlas on June 30, 2014. Such costs included the ongoing wages and salary expense of retained staff as well as a portion of the expected severance costs resulting from the acquisition. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in compensation expense reflecting the higher level of overtime compensation paid to employees during the earlier comparative period to support the Fiserv conversion.

The increase in salaries and benefits also reflected an increase in non-executive compensation expense arising from the realignment of the Company's annual employee performance assessment and compensation review process from a calendar year to fiscal year cycle. Additionally, the increase reflected the Company's adoption and implementation of the Senior Management Incentive Compensation Plan during fiscal 2015. Through this plan, senior and executive management's annual bonus compensation is based directly on the Company's actual fiscal year performance in relation to specific corporate profitability, growth and risk management goals and objectives outlined in its business plan.

To a lesser extent, the noted increase in salaries and employee benefits expense also reflected an increase in ESOP expense attributable to the increase in our share value between comparative periods coupled with an increase in stock benefit plan expenses

attributable to stock options and shares of restricted stock granted to employees during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2014. The increase also reflected an increase in health insurance premiums that went into effect during the current fiscal year.

The noted increases in salaries and employee benefits were partially offset by adjustments to accrued employee pension expense during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 arising from changes to actuarial assumptions relating to the Company's multi-employer defined benefit pension plan for employees. Such adjustments have reduced the required contributions and associated expense to be recognized during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015.

Finally, the variance in salaries and employee benefits reflected an increase in employer payroll tax expense that was partly attributable to the taxable compensation recognized by certain employees resulting from the exercise of stock options during the quarter ended September 30, 2014.

The increase in premises occupancy expense generally reflected higher levels of non-capitalized facility repair and maintenance charges between comparative periods. Such costs included a noteworthy increase in snow removal expense during the winter months of fiscal 2015 reflecting the adverse weather conditions that challenged the region during that period compared to the same period one year earlier. The increase in premises occupancy expense also reflected the effect of property tax refunds received in conjunction with several successful tax appeal strategies enacted during the nine months ended March 31, 2014.

The increase in miscellaneous expense was partly attributable to an increase in professional and consulting service fees including, but not limited to, those relating to personnel recruitment expenses supporting expansion of our commercial lending resources as well as certain consulting expenses relating to our second step conversion that are not considered direct costs of the stock offering and are therefore expensed as incurred. Such increases also include additional audit and income tax-related expenses arising from the Company's acquisition of Atlas. The increase also reflected growth in loan-related underwriting and processing charges as well as an increase in loan-related servicing fees. Less noteworthy increases in miscellaneous expense were also reflected in corporate insurance, postage, regulatory assessment and REO-related expenses.

The noted increases in non-interest expense were partially offset by a decrease in equipment and systems expenses that largely reflected the recognition of certain non-recurring expenses supporting the Company's conversion to Fiserv systems during fiscal 2014 for which a greatly reduced level of expense was recognized during the current fiscal year. The overall increase in non-interest expense also reflected a decrease in advertising and marketing expenses that reflected a reduction of such expenditures through the first half of fiscal 2015 in anticipation of increased expenditures during the latter half of fiscal 2015 supporting the Bank's name change and re-branding strategy was initiated during the quarter ending March 31, 2015.

Provision for Income Taxes. Despite an increase in pre-tax net income, the provision for income taxes decreased by \$924,000 to \$2.1 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2015 from \$3.0 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2014. As discussed earlier, the increase in pre-tax net income partly reflected non-recurring sources of tax-exempt income during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 that included a \$1.4 million payout on bank-owned life insurance policies and a \$370,000 adjustment to gain on bargain purchase. Moreover, income tax expense during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 reflected a \$416,000 reduction in income tax expense arising from the exercise of stock options during the quarter ended September 30, 2014. After adjusting for these factors and other recurring sources of tax-exempt income, the overall decrease in income tax expense largely reflected the underlying differences in the taxable portion of pre-tax income between comparative periods.

Our effective tax rate during the nine months ended March 31, 2015 was 18.8% which, in relation to statutory income tax rates, reflected the non-recurring effects of the items noted above that reduced income tax expense during the

period as well as the recurring effects of tax-favored income sources included in pre-tax income. By comparison, our effective tax rate for the nine months ended March 31, 2014 was 29.0% which generally reflected the effects of only recurring sources of tax-favored income.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our liquidity, represented by cash and cash equivalents, is a product of our operating, investing and financing activities. Our primary sources of funds are deposits, borrowings, amortization, prepayments and maturities of mortgage-backed securities and outstanding loans, maturities and calls of debt securities and funds provided from operations. In addition to cash and cash equivalents, we invest excess funds in short-term interest-earning assets such as overnight deposits or U.S. agency securities, which provide liquidity to meet lending requirements. While scheduled payments from the amortization of loans and mortgage-backed securities and maturing securities and short-term investments are relatively predictable sources of funds, general interest rates, economic conditions and competition greatly influence deposit flows and prepayments on loans and mortgage-backed securities.

The Bank is required to have enough investments that qualify as liquid assets in order to maintain sufficient liquidity to ensure a safe operation. Toward that end, we generally maintain cash and cash equivalents whose balances decreased by \$14.0 million to \$121.0 million at March 31, 2015 from \$135.0 million at June 30, 2014. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents reflects our effort to further reduce the opportunity cost of maintaining the level of short-term liquid assets in excess of that needed for liquidity management and contingency funding purposes.

Investments that formally qualify as liquid assets are supplemented by our portfolio of securities classified as available for sale whose balances at March 31, 2015 included \$372.6 million of mortgage-backed securities and \$421.5 million of debt securities that can readily be sold if necessary.

At March 31, 2015, the Company had outstanding commitments to originate and purchase loans totaling approximately \$58.3 million compared to \$29.2 million at June 30, 2014. Construction loans in process and unused lines of credit were \$1.2 million and \$59.2 million, respectively, at March 31, 2015 compared to \$6.4 million and \$59.8 million, respectively, at June 30, 2014. The Company is also subject to the contingent liabilities resulting from letters of credit whose outstanding balances totaled \$387,000 and \$519,000 at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014, respectively.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee by the customer. Our exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit is represented by the contractual notional amount of those instruments. We use the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as we do for on-balance-sheet instruments. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

As noted earlier, for the nine months ended March 31, 2015, the balance of total deposits increased by \$25.1 million to \$2.51 billion. The net growth in deposits partly reflected an increase in the balances of the Company's wholesale deposits that were partially offset by a net decrease in retail deposit. In large part, the decrease in retail deposits reflected our active management of deposit pricing during the period to extend the duration of term deposits for interest rate risk management purposes while supporting net interest spread and margin. The balance of certificates of deposit with maturities of greater than 12 months increased to \$464.5 million at March 31, 2015 compared to \$455.7 million at June 30, 2014 with such balances representing 45.5% and 43.9% of total certificates of deposit at the close of each period, respectively.

Borrowings from the FHLB of New York are available to supplement the Company's liquidity position and, to the extent that maturing deposits do not remain with the Company, management may replace such funds with advances. As of March 31, 2015, the Company's outstanding balance of FHLB advances, excluding fair value adjustments, totaled \$636.4 million. Of these advances, \$145.0 million represent long-term, fixed-rate advances maturing in 2023 that have terms enabling the FHLB to call the borrowing at their option prior to maturity. The remaining balance of long-term, fixed rate advances totaled \$15.7 million representing three separate advances maturing during the fiscal years 2016, 2017 and 2018. Short-term FHLB advances at March 31, 2015 included \$475.0 million of fixed-rate borrowings. Of these short-term advances, \$375.0 million were effectively converted to longer duration funding sources through the use of interest rate derivatives. The remaining \$100.0 million short-term advance was drawn to fund loan growth through March 31, 2015 and is expected to be repaid in full with a portion of the net capital proceeds raised in conjunction with the Company's second step conversion which is expected to close during the fourth quarter ending June 30, 2015. The remaining \$695,000 of advances represents one fixed-rate, amortizing advance maturing in 2021.

The Company has the capacity to borrow additional funds from the FHLB, through a line of credit or by taking additional short-term or long-term advances. Such borrowings are an option available to management if funding needs change or to lengthen liabilities. Most of the Bank's mortgage-backed and debt securities are held in safekeeping at the FHLB of New York with a majority being available as collateral if necessary. In addition to the FHLB advances, the Bank has other borrowings totaling \$33.1 million at March 31, 2015 representing overnight "sweep account" balances linked to customer demand deposits.

Consistent with its goals to operate a sound and profitable financial organization, the Bank actively seeks to maintain its status as a well-capitalized institution in accordance with regulatory standards. As of March 31, 2015, the Company and Bank exceeded all capital requirements of federal banking regulators.



Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

The following table sets forth the Bank's capital position at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014, as compared to the minimum regulatory capital requirements that were in effect as of those dates:

At March 31, 2015							
	Actual		Adequacy Purposes		Provisions		
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
(Dollars in Thousands)							
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$382,618	18.18 %	\$168,383	8.00 %	\$210,479	10.00 %	To Be Well Capitalized
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	368,531	17.51 %	126,287	6.00 %	168,383	8.00 %	Under Prompt Corrective Action
Common equity tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	368,531	17.51 %	94,715	4.50 %	136,811	6.50 %	
Tier 1 capital (to adjusted total assets)	368,531	10.65 %	138,479	4.00 %	173,099	5.00 %	

At June 30, 2014							
	Actual		Adequacy Purposes		Provisions		
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$376,343	20.45 %	\$147,232	8.00 %	\$184,040	10.00 %	To Be Well Capitalized
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	363,956	19.78 %	73,616	4.00 %	110,424	6.00 %	Under Prompt Corrective Action
Tier 1 capital (to adjusted total assets)	363,956	10.75 %	135,420	4.00 %	169,275	5.00 %	

The following table sets forth the Company's capital position at March 31, 2015 as compared to the minimum regulatory capital requirements that were in effect as of those dates. The Company was not subject to minimum regulatory capital requirements at June 30, 2014:

At March 31, 2015			
Actual	For Capital		To Be Well Capitalized
	Adequacy Purposes		Under Prompt Corrective Action

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

	Amount		Ratio		Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
	(Dollars in Thousands)					
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$406,617	19.29	% \$168,603	8.00	% \$210,754	10.00 %
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	392,530	18.63	% 126,453	6.00	% 168,603	8.00 %
Common equity tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	392,530	18.63	% 94,839	4.50	% 136,990	6.50 %
Tier 1 capital (to adjusted total assets)	392,530	11.33	% 138,629	4.00	% 173,287	5.00 %

In July 2013, the OCC and the other federal bank regulatory agencies issued a final rule to revise the risk-based and leverage capital requirements and the method for calculating risk-weighted assets, to make them consistent with the agreements that were reached by the international Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The final rule applies to all depository institutions, top-tier bank holding companies and top-tier savings and loan holding companies with total consolidated assets of \$1.0 billion or more (“banking organizations”). Among other things, the rule establishes a new common equity Tier 1 minimum capital requirement (4.5% of risk-weighted assets), sets the minimum leverage ratio for all banking organizations at a uniform 4% of total assets, increases the minimum Tier 1 capital to risk-based assets requirement (from 4% to 6% of risk-weighted assets) and assigns a higher risk weight (150%) to exposures that are more than 90 days past due or are on nonaccrual status and to certain commercial real estate facilities that finance the acquisition, development or construction of real property. The final rule also requires unrealized gains and losses on certain “available-for-sale” securities holdings to be included for purposes of calculating regulatory capital requirements unless a one-time opt out is exercised which the Company and the Bank intend to exercise. The final rule limits a banking organization’s dividends, stock repurchases and other capital distributions, and certain discretionary bonus payments to executive officers, if the bank organization does not hold a “capital conservation buffer” consisting of 2.5% of common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets above regulatory minimum risk-based requirements. The final rule became effective for us on January 1, 2015. The capital conservation buffer requirement will be phased in beginning January 1, 2016 and ending January 1, 2019, when the full capital conservation buffer requirement will be effective.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of our business of investing in loans and securities as well as in the normal course of maintaining and improving Kearny Bank's facilities. These financial instruments include significant purchase commitments, such as commitments related to capital expenditure plans and commitments to purchase securities or mortgage-backed securities and commitments to extend credit to meet the financing needs of our customers. At March 31, 2015, we had no significant off-balance sheet commitments to purchase securities or for capital expenditures.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For a discussion of the expected impact of recently issued accounting pronouncements that have yet to be adopted by the Company, please refer to Note 6 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 3.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Qualitative Analysis. The majority of our assets and liabilities are sensitive to changes in interest rates. Consequently, interest rate risk is a significant form of business risk that we must manage. Interest rate risk is generally defined in regulatory nomenclature as the risk to our earnings or capital arising from the movement of interest rates. It arises from several risk factors including: the differences between the timing of rate changes and the timing of cash flows (re-pricing risk); the changing rate relationships among different yield curves that affect bank activities (basis risk); the changing rate relationships across the spectrum of maturities (yield curve risk); and the interest-rate-related options embedded in bank products (option risk).

Regarding the risk to our earnings, movements in interest rates significantly influence the amount of net interest income we recognized. Net interest income is the difference between:

- the interest income recorded on our interest-earning assets, such as loans, securities and other interest-earning assets; and
  - the interest expense recorded on our interest-bearing liabilities, such as interest-bearing deposits and borrowings.
- Net interest income is, by far, our largest revenue source to which we add our non-interest income and from which we deduct our provision for loan losses, non-interest expense and income taxes to calculate net income. Movements in market interest rates, and the effect of such movements on the risk factors noted above, significantly influence the “spread” between the interest we earned on our loans, securities and other interest-earning assets and the interest paid on our deposits and borrowings. Movements in interest rates that increase, or “widen”, that net interest spread enhance our net income. Conversely, movements in interest rates that reduce, or “tighten”, that net interest spread adversely impact our net income.

For any given movement in interest rates, the resulting degree of movement in an institution’s yield on interest-earning assets compared with that of its cost of interest-bearing liabilities determines if an institution is deemed “asset sensitive” or “liability sensitive”. An asset sensitive institution is one whose yield on interest-earning assets reacts more quickly to movements in interest rates than its cost of interest-bearing liabilities. In general, the earnings of asset sensitive institutions are enhanced by upward movements in interest rates through which the yield on its interest-earning assets increases faster than its cost of interest-bearing liabilities resulting in a widening of its net interest spread. Conversely, the earnings of asset sensitive institutions are adversely impacted by downward movements in interest rates through which the yield on its interest-earning assets decreases faster than its cost of interest-bearing liabilities resulting in a tightening of its net interest spread.

In contrast, a liability sensitive institution is one whose cost of interest-bearing liabilities reacts more quickly to movements in interest rates than its yield on interest-earning assets. In general, the earnings of liability sensitive institutions are enhanced by downward movements in interest rates through which the cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreases faster than its yield on its interest-earning assets resulting in a widening of its net interest spread. Conversely, the earnings of liability sensitive institutions are adversely impacted by upward movements in interest rates through which the cost of interest-bearing liabilities increases faster than its yield on its interest-earning assets resulting in a tightening of its net interest spread.

The degree of an institution’s asset or liability sensitivity is traditionally represented by its “gap position”. In general, gap is a measurement that describes the net mismatch between the balance of an institution’s interest-earning assets that are maturing and/or re-pricing over a selected period of time compared to that of its interest-costing liabilities. Positive gaps represent the greater dollar amount of interest-earning assets maturing or re-pricing over the selected period of time than interest-costing liabilities. Conversely, negative gaps represent the greater dollar amount of interest-costing

liabilities maturing or re-pricing over the selected period of time than interest-earning assets. The degree to which an institution is asset or liability sensitive is reported as a negative or positive percentage of assets, respectively. The industry commonly focuses on cumulative one-year and three-year gap percentages as fundamental indicators of interest rate risk sensitivity.

Based upon the findings of our internal interest rate risk analysis, we are considered to be liability sensitive. Liability sensitivity characterizes the balance sheets of many thrift institutions and is generally attributable to the comparatively shorter contractual maturity and/or re-pricing characteristics of the institution's deposits and borrowings versus those of its loans and investment securities.

With respect to the maturity and re-pricing of our interest-bearing liabilities, at March 31, 2015, \$556.1 million or 54.5% of our certificates of deposit mature within one year with an additional \$158.1 million or 15.5% maturing after one year but within two years. The remaining \$306.4 million, or 30.0% of certificates, at March 31, 2015 have remaining terms to maturity exceeding two years. Based on current market interest rates, the majority of these certificates are projected to re-price to a level at or below their current rates to the extent they remain with us at maturity and are renewed at the same original term to maturity.

Excluding fair value adjustments, the balance of FHLB advances totaled \$636.4 million at March 31, 2015 and comprises both short-term and long-term advances with fixed rates of interest. Short-term FHLB advances generally have original maturities of less than one year and may include overnight borrowings which Kearny Bank typically utilizes to address short term funding needs as they arise. At March 31, 2015, Kearny Bank had a total of \$575.0 million of short-term FHLB advances of which \$375.0 million represented 90-day FHLB term advances that are generally forecasted to be periodically redrawn at maturity for the same 90 day term as the original advance. Based on this presumption, Kearny Bank has utilized interest rate swaps to effectively extend the duration of each of these advances at the time they were drawn to effectively fix their cost for period of five years. The remaining \$100.0 million short-term advance was drawn to fund loan growth through March 31, 2015 and is expected to be repaid in full with a portion of the net capital proceeds raised in conjunction with the Company's second step conversion which is expected to close during the fourth quarter ending June 30, 2015.

Long-term advances generally include term advances with original maturities of greater than one year. At March 31, 2015, our outstanding balance of long-term FHLB advances totaled \$161.4 million. Such advances included \$160.7 million of fixed-rate, non-amortizing advances as well as a \$695,000 fixed-rate amortizing advance.

With respect to the maturity and re-pricing of our interest-earning assets, at March 31, 2015, \$36.5 million, or 1.8% of our total loans will reach their contractual maturity dates within one year with the remaining \$1.96 billion, or 98.2% of total loans having remaining terms to contractual maturity in excess of one year. Of loans maturing after one year, \$1.20 billion had fixed rates of interest while the remaining \$760.4 million had adjustable rates of interest with such loans representing 60.0% and 38.2% of total loans, respectively.

At March 31, 2015, \$29.8 million or 2.3% of our securities will reach their contractual maturity dates within one year with the remaining \$1.28 billion, or 97.7% of total securities, having remaining terms to contractual maturity in excess of one year. Of the latter category, \$913.7 million comprising 69.6% of our total securities had fixed rates of interest while the remaining \$369.5 million comprising 28.1% of our total securities had adjustable or floating rates of interest.

At March 31, 2015, mortgage-related assets, including mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities, totaled \$2.55 billion and comprise 74.2% of total earning assets. In addition to remaining term to maturity and interest rate type as discussed above, other factors contribute significantly to the level of interest rate risk associated with mortgage-related assets. In particular, the scheduled amortization of principal and the borrower's option to prepay any or all of a mortgage loan's principal balance, where applicable, have a significant effect on the average lives of such assets and, therefore, the interest rate risk associated with them. In general, the prepayment rate on lower yielding assets tends to slow as interest rates rise due to the reduced financial incentive for borrowers to refinance their loans. By contrast, the prepayment rate of higher yielding assets tends to accelerate as interest rates decline due to the increased financial incentive for borrowers to prepay or refinance their loans to comparatively lower interest rates. These characteristics tend to diminish the benefits of falling interest rates to liability sensitive institutions while exacerbating the adverse impact of rising interest rates.

We generally retained our liability sensitivity throughout the first nine months of fiscal 2015 while the degree of that sensitivity, as measured internally by the institution's one-year and three-year gap percentages increased modestly during the period. Specifically, our cumulative one-year gap percentage changed to (15.96)% at March 31, 2015 from (12.08)% at June 30, 2014 while our cumulative three-year gap percentage changed to (12.78)% from (14.20)% over those same comparative periods. Our one-year and three-year gap measures do not currently reflect the effect of our interest rate derivatives and the effective extension of liability duration arising from their use as cash flow hedges.

As a liability-sensitive institution, our net interest spread is generally expected to benefit from overall reductions in market interest rates. Conversely, our net interest spread is generally expected to be adversely impacted by overall

increases in market interest rates. However, the general effects of movements in market interest rates can be diminished or exacerbated by “nonparallel” movements in interest rates across a yield curve. Nonparallel movements in interest rates generally occur when shorter term and longer term interest rates move disproportionately in a directionally consistent manner. For example, shorter term interest rates may decrease faster than longer term interest rates which would generally result in a “steeper” yield curve. Alternately, nonparallel movements in interest rates may also occur when shorter term and longer term interest rates move in a directionally inconsistent manner. For example, shorter term interest rates may rise while longer term interest rates remain steady or decline which would generally result in a “flatter” yield curve.

At its extreme, a yield curve may become “inverted” for a period of time during which shorter term interest rates exceed longer term interest rates. While inverted yield curves do occasionally occur, they are generally considered a “temporary” phenomenon portending a change in economic conditions that will restore the yield curve to its normal, positively sloped shape.

In general, the interest rates paid on our deposits tend to be determined based upon the level of shorter term interest rates. By contrast, the interest rates earned on our loans and investment securities generally tend to be based upon the level of longer term interest rates to the extent such assets are fixed rate in nature. As such, the overall “spread” between shorter term and longer interest rates when earning assets and costing liabilities re-price greatly influences our overall net interest spread over time. In general, a wider spread between shorter term and longer term interest rates, implying a “steeper” yield curve, is beneficial to our net interest spread. By contrast, a narrower spread between shorter term and longer term interest rates, implying a “flatter” yield curve, or a negative spread between those measures, implying an inverted yield curve, adversely impacts our net interest spread.

We continue to execute various strategies to mitigate the risk to our net interest rate spread and margin arising from adverse changes in interest rates and the shape of the yield curve. Such strategies include deploying excess liquidity in higher yielding interest-earning assets, such as commercial loans and investment securities, while continuing to generally maintain our cost of interest-bearing liabilities at low levels while extending their duration through various deposit pricing strategies. For example, we have extended the duration of our wholesale funding sources through cost effective use of interest rate derivatives that effectively converted short-term wholesale funding sources into longer-term, fixed-rate funding sources. Through various deposit pricing strategies, we have allowed for some controlled outflow of shorter term certificates while attracting term deposits with longer maturities through both our retail and “non-retail” deposit listing service channels.

Notwithstanding these efforts, the risk of further net interest rate spread and margin compression is significant as the yield on our interest-earning assets continues to reflect the impact of the greater declines in longer term market interest rates in recent years compared to the lesser concurrent reductions in shorter term market interest rates that affect the cost of our interest-bearing liabilities. In particular, our ability to further reduce the cost of our interest-bearing deposits is increasingly limited since most deposit offering rates are already well below 1.00% at March 31, 2015. Moreover, our liability sensitivity may adversely affect net income in the future when market interest rates ultimately increase from their historical lows and our cost of interest-bearing liabilities may rise faster than our yield on interest-earning assets.

Given the inherent liability sensitivity of our balance sheet, our business plan also calls for greater expansion into C&I lending. Toward that end, we are continuing to expand our retail lending resources with an experienced team of business lenders focused on the origination of floating-rate and shorter-term fixed-rate loans and the corresponding core deposit account balances typically associated with such relationships. As a complement to this retail business lending strategy, we have implemented strategies through which floating-rate and other shorter-term fixed-rate C&I loans are acquired through wholesale resources.

We maintain an Asset/Liability Management (“ALM”) Program to address all matters relating to the management of interest rate risk and liquidity risk. The program is overseen by the Board of Directors through our Interest Rate Risk Management Committee comprising five members of the Board with our Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Risk Officer participating as management’s liaison to the committee. The committee meets quarterly to address management of our assets and liabilities, including review of our liquidity and interest rate risk profiles, loan and deposit pricing and production volumes, investment and wholesale funding strategies, and a variety of other asset and liability management topics. The results of the committee’s quarterly review are reported to the full Board, which adjusts our ALM policies and strategies, as it considers necessary and appropriate.

The Board of Directors has assigned the responsibility for the operational aspects of the ALM program to our Asset/Liability Management Committee (“ALCO”). The ALCO is a management committee comprising the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Lending Officer, Branch Administrator, Chief Risk Officer, Chief Investment Officer/Treasurer and Controller. Additional members of our management team may be asked to participate on the ALCO, as appropriate.



Responsibilities conveyed to the ALCO by the Board of Directors include:

- developing ALM-related policies and associated operating procedures and controls that will identify and measure the risks associated with ALM while establishing the limits and thresholds relating thereto;
- developing ALM-related operating strategies and tactics designed to manage the relevant risks within the applicable policy thresholds and limits while supporting the achievement of the goals and objectives of our strategic business plan;
- developing, implementing and maintaining a management- and Board-level ALM monitoring and reporting system;
- ensuring that the ALCO and the Board of Directors are kept abreast of current technologies, procedures and industry best practices that may be utilized to carry out their ALM-related duties and responsibilities;

- 90 -

---

- ensuring the periodic independent validation of Kearny Bank’s ALM risk management policies and operating practices and controls; and
- conducting periodic ALCO committee meetings to review all matters relating to ALM strategies and risk management activities.

Quantitative Analysis. The quantitative analysis regularly conducted by management measures interest rate risk from both a capital and earnings perspective. With regard to capital, our internal interest rate risk analysis calculates the sensitivity of our EVE ratio to movements in interest rates. EVE represents the present value of the expected cash flows from our assets less the present value of the expected cash flows arising from our liabilities adjusted for the value of off-balance sheet contracts. The EVE ratio represents the dollar amount of our EVE divided by the present value of our total assets for a given interest rate scenario. In essence, EVE attempts to quantify our economic value using a discounted cash flow methodology while the EVE ratio reflects that value as a form of capital ratio. The degree to which the EVE ratio changes for any hypothetical interest rate scenario from its “base case” measurement is a reflection of an institution’s sensitivity to interest rate risk.

Our EVE ratio is first calculated in a “base case” scenario that assumes no change in interest rates as of the measurement date. The model then measures the change in the EVE ratio throughout a series of interest rate scenarios representing immediate and permanent, parallel shifts in the yield curve up and down 100, 200 and 300 basis points with additional scenarios modeled where appropriate. The model requires that interest rates remain positive for all points along the yield curve for each rate scenario which may preclude the modeling of certain “down rate” scenarios during periods of lower market interest rates. Our interest rate risk management policy establishes acceptable floors for the EVE ratio and caps for the maximum change in the EVE ratio throughout the scenarios modeled.

As illustrated in the tables below, our EVE would be negatively impacted by an increase in interest rates. This result is expected given our liability sensitivity noted earlier. Specifically, based upon the comparatively shorter maturity and/or re-pricing characteristics of our interest-bearing liabilities compared with that of our interest-earning assets, an upward movement in interest rates would have a disproportionately adverse impact on the present value of our assets compared to the beneficial impact arising from the reduced present value of our liabilities. Hence, our EVE and EVE ratio decline in the increasing interest rate scenarios. Historically low interest rates at March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014 precluded the modeling of certain scenarios as parallel downward shifts in the yield curve of 100 basis points or more would result in negative interest rates for many points along that curve.

The following tables present the results of our internal EVE analysis as of March 31, 2015 and June 30, 2014, respectively.

Change in Interest Rates <sup>(1)</sup>	At March 31, 2015			EVE as a % of	
	Economic Value of Equity ("EVE")			Present Value of Assets	
	\$ Amount	\$ Change	% Change	EVE Ratio	Change in EVE Ratio
	of EVE (Dollars in Thousands)	in EVE	in EVE		
+300 bps	282,838	(153,848)	(35)%	8.49 %	(355) bps
+200 bps	339,570	(97,116 )	(22)%	9.90 %	(214) bps
+100 bps	395,621	(41,065 )	(9 )%	11.20 %	(84 ) bps

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

Change in Interest Rates <sup>(1)</sup>	Economic Value of Equity ("EVE") \$ Amount	\$ Change in EVE	% Change in EVE	EVE as a % of Present Value of Assets EVE Ratio	Change in EVE Ratio
	(Dollars in Thousands)				
0 bps	436,686	-	-	12.04 %	-
At June 30, 2014					
+300 bps	221,884	(196,106)	(47)%	7.20 %	(509) bps
+200 bps	297,815	(120,175)	(29)%	9.34 %	(295) bps
+100 bps	365,983	(52,007)	(12)%	11.11 %	(118) bps
0 bps	417,990	-	-	12.29 %	-

(1) The (100) bps, (200) bps and (300) bps scenarios are not shown due to the low prevailing interest rate environment.

As seen in the table above, the dollar amount of EVE and the EVE ratio increased between comparative periods across all scenarios modeled while the sensitivity of those measures to movements in interest rates between comparative periods declined.

There are numerous internal and external factors that may contribute to changes in an institution's EVE ratio and its sensitivity. Internally, changes in the composition and allocation of an institution's balance sheet and the interest rate risk characteristics of its components can significantly alter the exposure to interest rate risk as quantified by the changes in the EVE sensitivity measures. Toward that end, the decrease in EVE sensitivity partly reflects the aggregate effects of the various balance sheet management strategies we have undertaken to reduce our exposure to interest rate risk. However, changes to certain external factors, most notably changes in the level of market interest rates and overall shape of the yield curve, can significantly alter the projected cash flows of the institution's interest-earning assets and interest-costing liabilities and the associated present values thereof. Changes in internal and external factors from period to period can complement one another's effects to reduce overall sensitivity, partly or wholly offset one another's effects, or exacerbate one another's adverse effects and thereby increase the institution's exposure to interest rate risk as quantified by EVE sensitivity measures.

Our internal interest rate risk analysis also includes an "earnings-based" component which, compared to EVE-based analysis, generally focuses on shorter-term exposure to interest rate risk. A quantitative, earnings-based approach to measuring interest rate risk is strongly encouraged by bank regulators as a complement to the "EVE-based" methodology. However, there are no commonly accepted "industry best practices" that specify the manner in which "earnings-based" interest rate risk analysis should be performed with regard to certain key modeling variables. Such variables include, but are not limited to, those relating to rate scenarios (e.g., immediate and permanent rate "shocks" versus gradual rate change "ramps", "parallel" versus "nonparallel" yield curve changes), measurement periods (e.g., one year versus two year, cumulative versus noncumulative), measurement criteria (e.g., net interest income versus net income) and balance sheet composition and allocation ("static" balance sheet, reflecting reinvestment of cash flows into like instruments, versus "dynamic" balance sheet, reflecting internal budget and planning assumptions).

We are aware that the absence of a commonly shared, industry-standard set of analysis criteria and assumptions on which to base an "earnings-based" analysis could result in inconsistent or misinterpreted disclosure concerning an institution's level of interest rate risk. Consequently, we limit the presentation of our earnings-based interest rate risk analysis to the scenarios presented in the table below. Consistent with the EVE analysis above, such scenarios utilize immediate and permanent rate "shocks" that result in parallel shifts in the yield curve. For each scenario, projected net interest income is measured over a one year period utilizing a static balance sheet assumption through which incoming and outgoing asset and liability cash flows are reinvested into the same instruments. Product pricing and earning asset prepayment speeds are appropriately adjusted for each rate scenario.

As illustrated in the tables below, our net interest income would be negatively impacted by a parallel upward shift in the yield curve. Like the EVE results presented earlier, this result is generally expected given our liability sensitivity noted earlier. The tables below reflect a modest increase in the sensitivity of net interest income to movements in interest rates between the comparative periods as analyzed from this earnings-based perspective. The increase in sensitivity partly reflects the effect of the additional \$100.0 million of short-term advances drawn to fund loan growth through March 31, 2015. As noted above, such advances are expected to be repaid in full with a portion of the net capital proceeds raised in conjunction with the Company's second step conversion. The effect of these additional short-term advances was partially offset by the aggregate impact of the various balance sheet management strategies we have undertaken to reduce our exposure to interest rate risk while also reflecting the effects of changes in the level of market interest rates and overall shape of the yield curve.

Edgar Filing: Kearny Financial Corp. - Form 10-Q

At March 31, 2015  
Net Interest

Change in	Balance Sheet	Measurement	Income ("NII")		
			\$	\$	%
Interest Rates (1)	Composition	Period	of NII	in NII	in NII
			(In Thousands)		
+300 bps	Static	One Year	76,505	(3,596 )	(4.49)%
+200 bps	Static	One Year	77,518	(2,583 )	(3.22)%
+100 bps	Static	One Year	78,368	(1,733 )	(2.16)%
0 bps	Static	One Year	80,101	-	- %

- 92 -

---

		At June 30, 2014			
		Net Interest			
Change in	Balance Sheet	Measurement	Income ("NII")		
			\$	\$	%
Interest Rates (1)	Composition	Period	of NII	in NII	in NII
(In Thousands)					
+300 bps	Static	One Year	74,726	(2,512 )	(3.25)%
+200 bps	Static	One Year	75,506	(1,732 )	(2.24)%
+100 bps	Static	One Year	76,140	(1,098 )	(1.42)%
0 bps	Static	One Year	77,238	-	- %

(1) The (100) bps, (200) bps and (300) bps scenarios are not shown due to the low prevailing interest rate environment. Notwithstanding the rate change scenarios presented in the EVE and earnings-based analyses above, future interest rates and their effect on net portfolio value or net interest income are not predictable. Computations of prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes are based on numerous assumptions, including relative levels of market interest rates, prepayments and deposit run-offs and should not be relied upon as indicative of actual results. Certain shortcomings are inherent in this type of computation. Although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturity or periods of re-pricing, they may react at different times and in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. The interest rate on certain types of assets and liabilities, such as demand deposits and savings accounts, may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while rates on other types of assets and liabilities may lag behind changes in market interest rates. Certain assets, such as adjustable-rate mortgages, generally have features which restrict changes in interest rates on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. In the event of a change in interest rates, prepayments and early withdrawal levels could deviate significantly from those assumed in making calculations set forth above. Additionally, an increased credit risk may result as the ability of many borrowers to service their debt may decrease in the event of an interest rate increase.

ITEM 4.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by the report, an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2015, there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II

## ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

At March 31, 2015, neither the Company nor the Bank were involved in any pending legal proceedings other than routine legal proceedings occurring in the ordinary course of business, which involve amounts in the aggregate believed by management to be immaterial to the financial condition of the Company and the Bank.

## ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

Management of the Company does not believe there have been any material changes with regard to the Risk Factors previously disclosed under Item 1A of the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2014 previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

## ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The following table reports information regarding repurchases of the Company's common stock during the quarter ended March 31, 2015.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)
January 1-31, 2015	-	\$ -	-	699,740
February 1-28, 2015	-	\$ -	-	699,740
March 1-31, 2015	-	\$ -	-	699,740
Total	-	\$ -	-	699,740

(1) On December 2, 2013, the Company announced the authorization of an eighth repurchase program for up to 762,640 shares or 5% of shares outstanding which remains in effect at March 31, 2015.

## ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

## ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.



ITEM 5. Other Information

None.

- 95 -

---

ITEM 6. Exhibits

The following Exhibits are filed as part of this report:

- 3.1 Charter of Kearny Financial Corp. <sup>(1)</sup>
- 3.2 By-laws of Kearny Financial Corp. <sup>(2)</sup>
- 4.0 Specimen Common Stock Certificate of Kearny Financial Corp. <sup>(1)</sup>
- 10.1 Employment Agreement between Kearny Federal Savings Bank and William C. Ledgerwood <sup>(2)</sup>
- 10.2 Employment Agreement between Kearny Federal Savings Bank and Erika K. Parisi <sup>(2)</sup>
- 10.3 Employment Agreement between Kearny Federal Savings Bank and Patrick M. Joyce <sup>(2)</sup>
- 10.4 Employment Agreement between Kearny Federal Savings Bank and Craig L. Montanaro <sup>(2)</sup>
- 10.5 Employment Agreement between Kearny Financial Corp. and Craig L. Montanaro <sup>(3)</sup>
- 10.6 Directors Consultation and Retirement Plan <sup>(1)</sup>
- 10.7 Benefit Equalization Plan for Pension Plan <sup>(1)</sup>
- 10.8 Benefit Equalization Plan for Employee Stock Ownership Plan <sup>(1)</sup>
- 10.9 Kearny Financial Corp. 2005 Stock Compensation and Incentive Plan <sup>(4)</sup>
- 10.10 Kearny Federal Savings Bank Director Life Insurance Agreement <sup>(5)</sup>
- 10.11 Kearny Federal Savings Bank Executive Life Insurance Agreement <sup>(5)</sup>
- 10.12 Employment Agreement between Kearny Federal Savings Bank and Eric B. Heyer <sup>(6)</sup>  
Certification by Craig L. Montanaro pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

31.1

31.2 Certification by Eric B. Heyer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.1 Certification by Craig L. Montanaro pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

32.2 Certification by Eric B. Heyer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101.INS XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH XBRL Schema Document

101.CAL XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document

101.LAB XBRL Labels Linkbase Document

101.PRE XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document

101.DEF XBRL Definition Linkbase Document

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-118815).
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the exhibit to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed for the year ended June 30, 2008 (File No. 000-51093).
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the exhibit to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed for the year ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 000-51093).
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-130204).
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on August 18, 2005 (File No. 000-51093).
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the exhibit to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on June 30, 2011 (File No. 000-51093).

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

KEARNY FINANCIAL CORP.

Date: May 11, 2015 By: /s/ Craig L. Montanaro  
Craig L. Montanaro  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Duly authorized officer and principal executive officer)

Date: May 11, 2015 By: /s/ Eric B. Heyer  
Eric B. Heyer  
Executive Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal financial and accounting officer)