Intrepid Potash, Inc. Form 10-K February 29, 2016 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

x Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number: 001-34025

INTREPID POTASH, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware 26-1501877
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

707 17th Street, Suite 4200, Denver, Colorado 80202 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(303) 296-3006

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code) Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which

registered

Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per

share

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes $^{\circ}$ No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files.) Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of the Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Accelerated filer "

Smaller reporting company "

Large accelerated Non accelerated filer "

filer x (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes "No x The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based upon the closing sale price of the common stock on June 30, 2015, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, of \$11.94 per share as reported on the New York Stock Exchange was \$672,345,889 Shares of common stock held by each director and executive officer and by each person who owns 10% or more of the registrant's outstanding common stock and is believed by the registrant to be in a control position were excluded. The determination of affiliate status for this purpose is not a conclusive determination of affiliate status for any other purposes.

As of February 22, 2016, the registrant had 76,152,841 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001, outstanding. DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Certain information required by Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of Part III is incorporated by reference from portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement relating to its 2016 annual meeting of stockholders to be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

INTREPID POTASH, INC. TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page			
PART I	1			
Item 1. Business	<u>2</u>			
Item 1A. Risk Factors	<u>11</u>			
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	<u>22</u>			
Item 2. Properties	<u>22</u>			
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	<u>31</u>			
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>31</u>			
PART II	<u>32</u>			
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issue	2r ₃₂			
Purchases of Equity Securities	<u>32</u>			
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	<u>35</u>			
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of				
<u>Operations</u>	<u>36</u>			
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>56</u>			
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	<u>57</u>			
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial				
<u>Disclosure</u>	<u>85</u>			
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	<u>86</u>			
Item 9B. Other Information				
PART III	<u>88</u>			
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	<u>88</u>			
Item 11. Executive Compensation	<u>88</u>			
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and	88			
Related Stockholder Matters	00			
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	88 88			
PART IV	<u>89</u> 89			
<u>Signatures</u>				

PART I

Unless the context otherwise requires, the following definitions apply throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

- •"Intrepid," "our," "we," or "us" means Intrepid Potash, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.
- •"West," "East," "North," and "HB" mean our four operating facilities near Carlsbad, New Mexico. "Moab" means our operating facility in Moab, Utah. "Wendover" means our operating facility in Wendover, Utah. You can find more information about our facilities in Item 2 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

To supplement our consolidated financial statements, which are presented in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and which are prepared and presented in accordance with GAAP, we also use several non-GAAP financial measures to monitor and evaluate our performance. These non-GAAP financial measures include net sales, average net realized sales price, cash operating costs and average potash and Trio® gross margin. These non-GAAP financial measures are described and reconciled to the most comparable GAAP measures in Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Non-GAAP Financial Measures of this Annual Report on Form 10-K

We have included technical terms important to understanding our business in the "Glossary of Terms" in Item 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"). These forward looking statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K other than statements of historical fact are forward looking statements. Forward-looking statements include statements about our future results of operations and financial position, our business strategy and plans, and our objectives for future operations, among other things. In some cases, you can identify these statements by forward looking words, such as "estimate," "expect," "anticipate," "project," "plan," "intend," "believe," "forecast," "foresee," "likely," "may," "should," "goal," "target," "might," "will," "could," "predictions are only predictions based on our current knowledge, expectations, and projections about future events.

These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties, and assumptions, which are described in Item 1A. Risk Factors in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In addition, new risks emerge from time to time. It is not possible for our management to predict all risks that may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements we may make.

In light of these risks, uncertainties, and assumptions, the future events and trends discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K may not occur and actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated or implied in these forward-looking statements. As a result, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements, except as required by law.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

We are the only producer of muriate of potash ("potassium chloride" or "potash") in the United States and one of two producers of langbeinite ("sulfate of potash magnesia"), which we market and sell as Tro We have one operating segment which is the extraction, production and sale of potassium containing products. Our extraction and production operations are conducted entirely in the continental United States. Our principal offices are located at 707 17th Street, Suite 4200, Denver, Colorado 80202, and our telephone number is (303) 296-3006. Intrepid was incorporated in 2007.

Our Products and Markets

Our two primary products are potash and Trio[®]. Potash and Trio[®] sales as a percentage of net sales, which we calculate as gross sales less freight costs, were approximately as follows for the indicated periods.

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2015	2014	2013	
Contribution to Net Sales				
Potash	77	% 83	% 86	%
Trio [®]	23	% 17	% 14	%

Potash

The majority of our revenues and gross margin are derived from the production and sales of potash.

We sell potash into three primary markets: the agricultural market as a fertilizer input, the industrial market as a component in drilling and fracturing fluids for oil and gas wells and an input to other industrial processes, and the animal feed market as a nutrient supplement. The agricultural market predominately uses granular-sized potash, while the industrial and animal feed markets mostly use standard- and fine standard-sized product. We have the flexibility to produce all of our product in a granular form decreasing our dependence on sales of any one particular size of potash. With this flexibility, we have expanded our geographical reach and more closely aligned our production with specific demand.

We manage sales and marketing operations centrally. We evaluate our customers' needs to determine which of our production facilities is best suited, typically based on geographic location, to fill sales orders with the objective of realizing the highest average net realized sales price per ton. We calculate average net realized sales price per ton by deducting freight costs from gross revenues and then by dividing this result by tons of product sold during the period. Since 2005, we have supplied, on average, approximately 1.5% of annual world potassium consumption and 9.1% of annual U.S. potassium consumption.

Many of our sales are geographically concentrated in the central and western United States. Fertilizer sales are affected by weather and planting conditions in these regions, as well as farmer economics. A significant portion of our industrial sales are derived from oil and gas customers and correlate to drilling rig counts in specific regions in the United States.

Trio®

Trio[®], which we mine from langbeinite ore, is our specialty fertilizer that delivers potassium, sulfate and magnesium in a single particle and has the added benefit of being low in chloride. This unique combination of nutrients makes Trio[®] an attractive fertilizer across diverse crops and geographies. We produce Trio[®] in premium and granular sizes for sale into the U.S. market and in standard size mostly for sale into international markets. We currently produce Trio[®] in a joint process at our East facility. During the second half of 2015, we began transitioning our East facility to Trio[®]-only production. We expect this transition to be completed in mid-2016. We are one of two producers of langbeinite worldwide.

By-products

We also produce salt, magnesium chloride, and metal recovery salts from our potash mining processes, the sales of which are accounted for as by-product credits to our cost of sales.

Facilities

We produce potash at three solution mining facilities and two conventional underground mining facilities. Our solution mining production comes from our HB mine near Carlsbad, New Mexico, a solution mine near Moab, Utah, and a brine recovery mine in Wendover, Utah. Our conventional production comes from our underground West and East mines near Carlsbad, New Mexico. We also operate the North compaction facility near Carlsbad, New Mexico, which compacts and granulates product from the West and HB mines. We have a current estimated annual designed productive capacity of approximately 1.0 million tons of potash, including approximately 600,000 tons from conventional underground mines, and 400,000 tons from solar evaporation solution mines. When we complete the transition of our East facility to a Trio®-only facility, which we expect to be completed in mid-2016, we expect that our annual potash productive capacity will decrease by 225,000 tons when potash is no longer produced at the East facility. We also had an estimated annual designed productive capacity for 200,000 tons of Trio® at the end of 2015. We are currently expanding this capacity as we transition East to Trio®-only production as noted above. Our annual production rates for potash and Trio® are less than our estimated productive capacity. Actual production is affected by operating rates, the grade of ore mined, recoveries, mining rates, evaporation rates, product pricing, and the amount of development work that we perform. Therefore, as with other producers in our industry, our production results tend to be lower than reported productive capacity.

Industry Overview

Fertilizer serves a fundamental role in global agriculture by providing essential crop nutrients that help sustain both the yield and the quality of crops. The three primary nutrients required for plant growth are nitrogen, phosphate, and potassium, and there are no known substitutes for these nutrients. A proper balance of each of the three nutrients is necessary to maximize their effectiveness. Potassium helps regulate plants' physiological functions and improves plant durability, providing crops with protection from drought, disease, parasites, and cold weather. Unlike nitrogen and phosphate, the potassium contained in naturally occurring potash does not require additional chemical conversion to be used as a plant nutrient.

Long-term global fertilizer demand has been driven primarily by population growth and global economic conditions with annual demand variations based on planted acreage, agricultural commodity yields and prices, inventories of grains and oilseeds, application rates of fertilizer, weather patterns, and farm sector income. We expect these key variables to continue to have an impact on global fertilizer demand for the foreseeable future. Sustained per capita income growth and agricultural policies in the developing world also affect global demand for fertilizer. Fertilizer demand is affected by other geopolitical factors such as temporary disruptions in fertilizer trade related to government intervention and changes in the buying patterns of key consuming countries. Volatility in agricultural commodity prices also may impact farmer fertilizer buying decisions. While industry experts continue to expect that potash consumption rates will increase as world population grows, significant additional capacity has been brought on line over the last few years by existing potash producers. There are a number of brownfield expansions that have been commissioned or that are under construction by the larger potash producers. The estimated worldwide annual capacity is now in excess of recent annual demand. While large producers have announced some curtailments to production, we expect that this supply surplus will continue for the foreseeable future. Additional greenfield projects are expected to begin ramping up in 2017 and will add additional supply to the market. This additional production could further disrupt the balance of supply and demand that has been managed by the larger, well-established producers in the past. Potash prices are at their lowest level since 2007. We expect continued price pressure in 2016 due to the combination of oversupply and lower international transportation costs. The strength of the U.S. dollar against other currencies and credit worthiness has also served to make the United States market more attractive to foreign competitors. Historically, the North American market has experienced a premium price compared to the rest of the world, but this premium eroded in 2015. Both of these trends are expected to continue in the near-term and will limit upside on potash pricing. There may be a protracted period of lower relative pricing while demand gradually absorbs the recent increases in potash supply.

Decreasing oil prices are also affecting the demand for potash in North America. Potash is used in drilling fluids as a means to reduce the risk of swelling in clays in the formation during drilling activities. North American rig counts

have fallen 60% in the past twelve months and our sales into the industrial markets decreased significantly compared to last year.

Virtually all of the world's potash is currently extracted from approximately 19 commercial deposits. According to the International Fertilizer Industry Association and data published by potash mining companies, six countries accounted for approximately 89% of the world's aggregate potash production during 2014. During this time period, the top nine potash producers supplied approximately 95% of world production. The three major Canadian producers participate in the Canpotex

marketing group that supplied approximately 31% of the global potash production in 2014, one producer in Russia supplied approximately 21% of global production and one producer in Belarus supplied approximately 12% of global potash production during 2014.

Potash is mined from conventional underground mines, such as at our West and East mines near Carlsbad, as well as through solution mining sub-surface structures and brine recovery from surface resources, as is done at our HB, Moab, and Wendover facilities. In conventional underground mines, shafts are sunk to the ore body and mining machines cut out the ore, which is lifted to the surface for processing. In solution mining, the potash is dissolved in brine and pumped to the surface where it crystallizes and is processed.

Competition and Competitive Strategy

We sell into commodity markets and compete based on delivered price, our ability to deliver product in a timely manner, and product quality. We also compete based on the durability, particle size, and potassium oxide content of our products.

We compete primarily with much larger potash producers, principally Canadian producers and, to a lesser extent, producers located in Russia, Belarus, Chile, Germany, and Israel.

Our competitive strategy is focused on the following:

Maximizing margin. We are focused on maximizing the average net realized sales price that we earn on each ton of potash sold. We have the advantage of being located close to the markets we serve, and the North American market is much larger than our production capacity. We focus on marketing our products into sectors and geographies that provide the greatest margins. By fully participating in these markets at competitive prices, we aim to keep inventory moving through our plants, which can help to reduce per ton operating costs. We also attempt to maximize our average net realized sales price by leveraging our freight advantage to key geographies, our diverse customer and market base, and our flexible marketing approach.

Expanding Trio® production and sales. We believe we can increase our margins and cash flow by expanding our Trio® production. Over the long term, we believe demand for Trio® will exceed supply, providing an opportunity to increase our cash flow margin. In light of this opportunity, we are in the process of transitioning our East facility to a Trio®-only facility and expect this transition to be completed in mid-2016.

Expanding potash production from solution mining and solar evaporation. We have expansion opportunities at our solution operating facilities that, over time, are expected to increase potash production, reduce our overall per-ton cost, and increase our cash flow. Our per ton costs for solution mining are less than per ton costs at our conventional mines as solution facilities are less labor, energy, and equipment intensive as compared to our conventional mines.

After transitioning our East facility to a Trio[®] -only facility, we will no longer produce potash at our most costly facility. As a result, we expect, our per ton cost of goods sold for potash will decrease after the transition.

Additionally, in the future, as we continue to pursue expanding our production from our HB facility, we expect to further reduce our potash per ton cost of goods sold.

Evaluating West operations. Given the current and expected potash pricing environment, we are performing a strategic review to determine the viability of this facility long term. Following the transition of East to a Trio® -only facility, West will be our only conventional potash mine and our highest cost production facility. Competitive Strengths

U.S. based potash-only producer. We are one of three publicly traded potash-only companies, and the only U.S. producer of potash. We are dedicated to the production and marketing of potash and Trio[®]. We are located in the heart of a market that consumes significantly more potash than we can produce on an annual basis. Our geographic location also provides us with a transportation advantage over our competitors for shipping our product to our customers. As a U.S. producer, we enjoy a significantly lower total production tax and royalty burden than our principal competitors, which operate primarily in Saskatchewan, Canada. The Saskatchewan tax system for potash producers includes a capital tax and several potash mineral taxes, none of which are imposed on us as a U.S. producer. We currently pay an average royalty rate of approximately 4.1% of our net sales, which compares favorably to that of our competitors in Canada. The relative tax and royalty advantage for U.S. producers becomes more pronounced when profits per ton increase due primarily to the profit tax component of the Saskatchewan potash mineral tax.

Solar evaporation operations. The HB mine, located in the New Mexico desert, the Moab mine and the Wendover facility, both located in the Utah desert, utilize solar evaporation to crystallize potash from brines. Solar evaporation is a cost efficient production method because it significantly reduces our labor and energy consumption, which are two of the largest costs of production. Our understanding and application of low cost solution mining, combined with our reserves being located where a favorable climate for evaporation exists, make solar solution mining difficult for other producers to replicate. We also have significant reserves for future expansion of our solution mining operations. Assets located near our primary customer base. We believe that our locations allow us to obtain higher average net realized sales prices than our competitors, who must ship their products across longer distances to consuming markets, which are often export markets. Our location allows us to target sales to the markets in which we have the greatest transportation advantage, maximizing our average net realized sales price. Our access to strategic rail destination points and our location along major agricultural trucking routes support this advantage.

Diversity of markets. We sell to three different markets for potash—the agricultural, industrial, and feed markets. The agricultural market supplies farmers producing a wide range of crops in different geographies. During 2015, these markets represented approximately 75%, 17%, and 8% of our potash sales, respectively.

Marketing flexibility. We have the ability to convert all of our standard-sized potash product into granular-sized product as market conditions warrant. This also provides us with increased marketing flexibility as well as decreased dependence on any one particular market.

Participation in specialty markets. Given the greater scarcity of langbeinite relative to potash and its agronomic suitability for certain soils and crops, there is demand for our langbeinite product, known as Trio[®], outside of our core potash markets. There continues to be a growing awareness of the agronomic value of this specialty product. Significant reserve life and water rights. Our potash and langbeinite reserves each have substantial years of reserve life, with remaining reserve life ranging from 30 to greater than 100 years, based on proven and probable reserve estimates. In addition to our reserves, we have valuable water rights and access to significant mineralized areas of potash for potential future exploitation.

Existing facilities and infrastructure. Constructing a new potash production facility requires substantial time and extensive capital investment in mining, milling, and infrastructure to process, store and ship product. Our operating facilities already have significant facilities and infrastructure in place. We also have the ability to expand our business using existing installed infrastructure, in less time and with lower expenditures than would be required to construct entirely new mines.

International Sales and Distribution

During 2015, approximately 9% of our Trio® tons were sold internationally, representing approximately 2% of our total net sales. During the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, approximately 97% of our net sales were in the United States, with the remaining sales into countries and regions such as Canada, Asia, Mexico and other countries in Latin America.

Major Customers

Within the agricultural market, we supply a diversified customer base of distributors, cooperatives, retailers, and dealers, which in turn supply farmers producing a wide range of crops in different geographies. Servicing the industrial and feed markets provides us with a customer base that is unrelated to agricultural markets. In each of 2015 and 2014, no customer accounted for more than 10% of our sales. In 2013, one of our distributor customers accounted for approximately 11% of our sales. Because of the size of our company compared to the overall size of the North American market and the regional demands for our products, we do not believe that a decline in a specific customer's purchases would have a material adverse long-term effect upon our financial results.

Environmental, Safety, and Health Matters

We are subject to an evolving set of federal, state, and local environmental, safety, and health laws that regulate (1) soil, air, and water quality standards for our facilities; (2) disposal, storage, and management of hazardous and solid wastes; (3) post-mining land reclamation and closure; (4) conditions of mining and production operations; (5) employee and contractor safety and occupational health; and (6) product content and labeling. We employ and consult with professionals who monitor our compliance with these laws and who work with management to ensure that appropriate strategies and processes are in place to promote a culture that prioritizes safety and environmental responsibility.

In 2015, we had approximately \$3.0 million of capital investments, and \$0.2 million in other expenses, relating to environmental compliance, environmental studies, and remediation efforts. We expect to have an increased level of expenditures in 2016. However, future capital expenditures are subject to a number of uncertainties, including changes to environmental regulations and interpretations, and enforcement initiatives. If potential negative effects to the environment are discovered, or if the potential negative effects are of a greater magnitude than currently estimated, material expenditures could be required in the future to remediate the identified effects We expect that continued government and public emphasis on environmental issues will result in increased future investments for environmental controls at our operations.

Product Registration Requirements

We are required to register fertilizer products with each U.S. state and foreign country where products are sold. Each brand and grade of commercial fertilizer must be registered with the appropriate state agency before being offered for sale, sold, or distributed in that state. In most cases, these product registrations impose specific requirements relating to guaranteed analysis, product labeling, and regular reporting of sales.

Some states require similar registration and reporting for feed grade products. Industrial-grade products typically do not require registration or reporting.

Operating Requirements and Government Regulations

Permits. We are subject to numerous environmental laws and regulations, including laws and regulations regarding land use and reclamation; release of emissions to the atmosphere or water; plant and animal life; and the generation, treatment, storage, disposal, and handling of hazardous substances and wastes. These laws include the Clean Air Act; the Clean Water Act; the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act; the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"); the Toxic Substances Control Act; and various other federal, state, and local laws and regulations. Violations can result in substantial penalties, court orders to install pollution control equipment, civil and criminal sanctions, permit revocations, and facility shutdowns. In addition, environmental laws and regulations may impose joint and several liability, without regard to fault, for cleanup costs on potentially responsible parties who have released, disposed of, or arranged for release or disposal of hazardous substances in the environment.

We hold numerous environmental, mining, and other permits or approvals authorizing operations at each of our facilities. Our operations are subject to permits for, among other things, extraction of salt and brine, discharges of process materials and waste to air and surface water, and injection of brine. Some of our proposed activities may require waste storage permits. A decision by a government agency to deny or delay issuing a new or renewed permit or approval, or to revoke or substantially modify an existing permit or approval, could limit or prevent us from mining at these properties. In addition, changes to environmental and mining regulations or permit requirements could limit our ability to continue operations at the affected facility. In many cases, environmental permits and approvals are also required for an expansion of, or changes to, our operations. As a condition to procuring the necessary permits and approvals, we may be required to comply with financial assurance regulatory requirements. The purpose of these requirements is to assure the government that sufficient company funds will be available for the ultimate reclamation, closure, and post-closure care at our facilities. We obtain bonds as financial assurance for these obligations. These bonds require annual payment and renewal.

We believe we are in compliance with existing regulatory programs, permits, and approvals where non-compliance could have a material adverse effect on our operating results or financial condition, except as follows. In 2015, we received an inquiry from the New Mexico Office of State Engineer ("OSE") regarding whether certain of our tailings ponds and other impoundments qualify as jurisdictional dams. We are working with the OSE to resolve this issue, and we may be required to spend a significant amount of capital to bring these impoundments into compliance with requirements for jurisdictional dams or modify our operations to no longer use impoundments that may qualify as jurisdictional.

From time to time, we have received notices from governmental agencies that we are not in compliance with certain environmental laws, regulations, permits, or approvals. For example, although designated as zero discharge facilities under the applicable water quality laws and regulations, our East facility, North facility, and Moab facility at times may experience some water discharges during periods of significant rainfall. We have implemented several initiatives to address discharge issues, including the reconstruction or modification of certain impoundments, increasing evaporation, and reducing process water usage and discharges. State and federal officials are aware of these issues and have visited the sites to review our corrective efforts and action plans.

Air Emissions. From time to time, in the ordinary course of our business, we receive notices from the New Mexico Environment Department of alleged air quality control violations. Upon receipt of these notices, we promptly evaluate the matter and take any required corrective actions. In some cases, we may be required to pay civil penalties for these notices of violation.

Safety and Health Regulation and Programs. Our New Mexico and Utah facilities are subject to the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977, and/or the Occupational Safety and Health Act, related state statutes and regulations, or a combination of these laws.

The Mine Safety and Health Administration ("MSHA") is the governing agency for our conventional underground mines and related surface facilities in New Mexico. As required by MSHA, these operations are regularly inspected by MSHA personnel. Item 4 and Exhibit 95 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K provide information concerning mine safety violations and other regulatory matters required by Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Item 104 of Regulation S-K.

Our New Mexico facilities participate in MSHA's Region 8 "Partnership Program." There is a formally signed document and plan, pursuant to which each party commits to specific actions and behaviors. Examples of principles include working for an open, cooperative environment; agreeing to citation and conflict processes; and improving training. Our New Mexico facilities are serviced by two trained mine rescue teams, which are ready to respond to on-site incidents or assist in local incidents, if needed. The teams practice and participates at state and federal events and competitions.

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA") is the governing agency relating to the safety standards at our Utah facilities, as well as our HB mine and plant. Training and other certifications are provided to employees as needed based upon their work duties.

Remediation at Intrepid Facilities. Many of our current facilities have been in operation for a number of years. Operations by us and our predecessors have involved the historical use and handling of potash, salt, related potash and salt by-products, process tailings, hydrocarbons and other regulated substances. Some of these operations resulted, or may have resulted, in soil, surface water, or groundwater contamination. At some locations, there are areas where process waste, building materials (including asbestos containing transite), and ordinary trash may have been disposed or buried, and have since been closed and covered with soil and other materials.

At many of these facilities, spills or other releases of regulated substances may have occurred previously and potentially could occur at any of our facilities in the future, possibly requiring us to undertake or fund cleanup efforts under CERCLA or state laws governing cleanup or disposal of hazardous and solid waste substances.

We work closely with governmental authorities to obtain the appropriate permits to address identified site conditions. For example, buildings located at our facilities in both Utah and New Mexico have a type of siding that contains asbestos. We have adopted programs to encapsulate and stabilize portions of the siding through use of an adhesive spray and to remove the siding, replacing it with an asbestos-free material. Also, we have trained asbestos abatement crews that handle and dispose of the asbestos containing siding and related materials. We have a permitted asbestos landfill in Utah. We have worked closely with Utah officials to address asbestos related issues at our Moab mine.

Reclamation Obligations

Mining and processing of potash generates residual materials that must be managed both during the operation of the facility and upon facility reclamation and closure. Potash tailings, consisting primarily of salt and fine sediments, are stored in surface disposal sites. Some of these tailing materials may also include other contaminants, such as lead, that were introduced as reagents during historic processing methods that may require additional management and could cause additional disposal and reclamation requirements to be imposed. For example, at least one of our New Mexico mining facilities may have legacy issues regarding lead in the tailings pile resulting from production methods utilized prior to our acquisition of these assets. During the life of the tailings management areas, we have incurred, and will continue to incur, significant costs to manage potash residual materials in accordance with environmental laws and regulations and with permit requirements. Additional legal and permit requirements will take effect when these facilities are closed.

Our surface permits require us to reclaim property disturbed by operations at our facilities. Our operations in Utah and New Mexico have specific obligations related to reclamation of the land after mining and processing operations are concluded. The discounted present value of our estimated reclamation costs for our mines as of December 31, 2015, is approximately \$23.0 million, which is reflected in our financial statements. Various permits and authorization documents negotiated with or issued by the appropriate governmental authorities include these estimated reclamation costs on an undiscounted basis. The undiscounted amount of our estimated reclamation costs for our mines as of December 31, 2015, is approximately \$58.4 million.

It is difficult to estimate and predict the potential actual costs and liabilities associated with remediation and reclamation, and there is no guarantee that we will not be identified in the future as potentially responsible for additional remediation and reclamation costs, either as a result of changes in existing laws and regulations or as a result of the identification of additional matters subject to remediation and/or reclamation obligations or liabilities.

Royalties

The potash, langbeinite, and by-products we produce and sell from mineral leases are subject to royalty payments. We produce and sell from leased land owned by the U.S. Federal government, the states of New Mexico and Utah, and private landowners. The terms of the royalty payments are determined at the time of the issuance or renewal of the leases. Some royalties are determined as a fixed percentage of revenue and others are on a sliding scale that varies with the ore grade. Additionally, some of our leases are subject to overriding royalty interest payments paid to various owners. In 2015, we paid \$10.7 million, or an average of 4.1% of net sales, in royalties and other taxes. The royalty rates on our state and federal leases in New Mexico are currently set at various rates from 2.0% to 5.0%. The royalty rates for the private leaseholds are between 5.0% and 8.0%. The royalty rates on our state and federal leases in Utah are currently set at rates from 2.5% to 3.5%.

Seasonality

The sales patterns of our agricultural products are generally seasonal. Using averages of the monthly sales data over the last three years, our monthly sales volumes are highest in January through April and September through October, which generally coincides with shipping product in advance of the spring and fall application seasons in the United States. Likewise, our monthly sales volumes are lowest in November and December. The month-to-month seasonality of our sales is somewhat moderated due to the variety of crops, industries, distribution strategies and geographies that we serve. We generally build inventories during the low demand periods of the year in order to ensure timely product availability during the peak sales seasons. The seasonality of fertilizer demand results in our sales volumes and net sales being the highest during the spring and our working capital requirements being the highest just before the start of the spring season. We have observed fertilizer dealers in North America instituting practices that are designed to reduce their risk of changes in the price of fertilizer products through consignment type programs. These programs tend to make the timing of the spring and fall seasonal demand profile less predictable within the season. Further, through technological advances, the farmers in the United States have gained efficiencies in planting and harvesting their crops, which has compressed the application seasons.

Our quarterly financial results can vary from one year to the next due to weather related shifts in planting schedules and purchasing patterns.

Employees

As of January 29, 2016, we had 893 employees, the majority of which were full-time employees.

We have a collective bargaining agreement with a labor organization representing our hourly employees in Wendover, Utah, which expires on May 31, 2017. This is the sixth agreement negotiated between us and the United Steel, Paper and

Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union 00867. We consider our relationships with our employees to be good.

Available Information

We file or furnish with the SEC reports, including our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, currents reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements, and any amendments to these reports. These reports are available free of charge on our website at www.intrepidpotash.com as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. These reports also can be obtained at www.sec.gov, or by visiting the Public Reference Room of the SEC at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549, or by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330.

We routinely post important information about us and our business, including information about upcoming investor presentations, on our website under the Investor Relations tab. We encourage investors and other interested parties to enroll on our website to receive automatic email alerts or Really Simple Syndication (RSS) feeds regarding new postings. The information found on, or that can be accessed through, our website is not part of this or any other report we file with, or furnish to, the SEC.

Glossary of Terms

Conventional Underground Mine: A mine that uses a mechanical method of extracting economically attractive mineralization from deeper deposits. Underground mining generally consists of multiple shafts and/or entry points and a network of tunnels to provide access to minerals and haulage and conveyance systems to transport materials to the surface. Underground mining machines are used to remove the ore and a series of pillars are left behind to provide the appropriate level of ground support to ensure safe access and mining.

Designated Potash Area: A 497,000 acre location in southeastern New Mexico established by order of the U.S. Secretary of the Department of the Interior and administered by the BLM encompassing the United States' strategic potash reserve.

Langbeinite: A low-chloride potassium fertilizer that also contains sulfate and magnesium. We generally describe this specialty nutrient as langbeinite when we refer to production and as Trio[®] when we refer to sales and marketing. It is also sometimes referred to as sulfate of potash magnesia.

Magnesium Chloride: A by-product brine containing approximately 30% magnesium chloride that is typically used as a de-icing and de-dusting agent.

Metal Recovery Salt: Potash combined with salt in various ratios that chemically enhances the recovery of aluminum in aluminum recycling processing facilities.

Mill Feed Grade: A measurement of the amount of mineral contained in an ore as a percentage of the total weight of the ore. For potash it is often represented as percent of potassium oxide (K_2O) or percent potassium chloride (KCl). Potash: A generic term for potassium salts (primarily potassium chloride, but also potassium nitrate, potassium sulfate and sulfate of potash magnesia, or langbeinite) used predominantly and widely as a fertilizer in agricultural markets worldwide. Potash also has numerous industrial uses, including oil and gas drilling and stimulation fluids. The chloride containing potash salt is commonly called sylvite in the mineral form or muriate of potash in the product form. Unless otherwise indicated, references to "potash" refer to muriate of potash. Muriate of potash is either red or white in appearance, depending on how it is processed.

Probable (Indicated) Reserves: Reserves for which quantity and grade and/or quality are computed from information similar to that used for proven (measured) reserves, but the sites for inspection, sampling and measurement are farther apart or are otherwise less adequately spaced. The degree of assurance of probable (indicated) reserves, although lower than that for proven (measured) reserves, is high enough to assume geological continuity between points of observation. The classification of minerals as probable reserves requires that we believe with reasonable certainty that access to the reserves can be obtained, even though currently issued permits are not required.

Productive Capacity: The estimated amount of potash production that will likely be achieved based on the amount and quality of ore that we estimate can currently be mined, milled, and/or processed, assuming an estimated average reserve grade, no modifications to the systems, a normal amount of scheduled down time, average or typical mine

development efforts and operation of all of our mines and facilities at or near full capacity.

Proven (Measured) Reserves: Reserves for which (a) quantity is computed from dimensions revealed in outcrops, trenches, workings or drill holes; grade and/or quality are computed from the results of detailed sampling, and (b) the sites for inspection, sampling and measurement are spaced so closely and the geologic character is so well-defined that the size, shape, depth and mineral content of the reserves are well-established.

Recovery: The percentage of valuable material in the ore that is beneficiated prior to further treatment to develop a saleable product.

Reserve: That part of a mineral deposit, which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time of the reserve determination.

Solar Evaporation: A mineral concentration process by which brines containing salt, potash and magnesium chloride are collected into ponds, and solar energy is used to evaporate water thus crystallizing out the salt and potash contained in the brine. The resulting evaporate is then processed to separate the potash from the salt and subsequently prepared for sale.

Solution Mining: For potash, a mining process by which potash is extracted from mineralized beds by injecting a salt-saturated brine into a potash ore body and recovering a brine that is saturated in salt and also close to saturated in potash. The double mineral heavy brine is rich in potash that is brought to the surface for mineral recovery. Solution mining does not require employees or machines to be underground.

Tailings: Salt and insoluble minerals that remain after potash is removed from ore during processing, typically disposed of in a tailings pile.

Ton: A short ton, or a measurement of mass equal to 2,000 pounds. Unless expressly stated otherwise or the context otherwise requires, references to "tons" in this report refers to short tons.

Trio[®]: The product we market for sale that is recovered from langbeinite ore and which serves as a low-chloride potassium, magnesium and sulfur bearing fertilizer primarily for use in citrus, vegetable, sugarcane and palm applications and as an animal feed supplement. This product is a double sulfate of potash magnesia concentrate containing approximately 95% langbeinite and 5% salt or other minerals.

Executive Officers

The following section includes biographical information for our executive officers.

Name	Age	Position
Robert P. Jornayvaz III	57	Executive Chairman of the Board, President, and Chief Executive Officer
James N. Whyte	57	Executive Vice President of Human Resources and Risk Management
Kelvin G. Feist	48	Senior Vice President of Sales and Marketing
Brian D. Frantz	53	Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer
John G. Mansanti	60	Senior Vice President of Strategic Initiatives and Technical Services
Margaret E. McCandless	43	Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary

Robert P. Jornayvaz III has served as our Executive Chairman of the Board since 2010 and as our President and Chief Executive Officer since August 2014. Mr. Jornayvaz served as our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer from our formation in 2007 until 2010. Mr. Jornayvaz served, directly or indirectly, as a manager of our predecessor, Intrepid Mining LLC, from 2000 until its dissolution at the time of our IPO in 2008. Mr. Jornayvaz is the sole owner of Intrepid Production Corporation, which owns approximately 14% of our common stock. Mr. Jornayvaz has over 30 years of experience in the oil and gas industry and over 15 years of experience in the potash industry.

James N. Whyte has served as our Executive Vice President of Human Resources and Risk Management since 2007. Mr. Whyte joined Intrepid Mining LLC as Vice President of Human Resources and Risk Management in 2004. Prior to joining Intrepid, Mr. Whyte spent 17 years in the property and casualty insurance industry including roles with Marsh and McLennan, Incorporated, American Re-Insurance, and a private insurance brokerage firm he founded. Mr. Whyte is a director of American Eagle Energy Corporation.

Kelvin G. Feist has served as our Senior Vice President of Sales and Marketing since November 2011. Mr. Feist also served as our Vice President of Sales and Marketing from February 2011 to November 2011. From 1994 to 2011, Mr. Feist held various positions with Agrium Inc., a provider of fertilizer products and services, and its subsidiaries, most recently as Director of Potash Marketing from 2010 to 2011 and National Account Manager from 2007 to 2010. While at Agrium, Mr. Feist was responsible for all marketing and sales programs related to Agrium's potash portfolio, including matters relating to production and logistics.

John G. Mansanti has served as our Senior Vice President of Strategic Initiatives and Technical Services since January 2015. Mr. Mansanti served as our Senior Vice President of Operations from November 2011 to January 2015, and as our Vice President of Operations from 2009 to November 2011. From 2006 to 2009, Mr. Mansanti worked for Barrick Gold Corporation, a gold production company. While at Barrick, Mr. Mansanti's roles included General Manager of Goldstrike Mines in Nevada, where he was responsible for managing Barrick's largest gold producer at approximately 1.7 million ounces a year, and General Manager at the Cortez Gold Mine in Nevada, where he was responsible for managing all aspects of operations and managing the engineering, underground development, and permitting associated with the Cortez Hills project. From 2003 to 2006, Mr. Mansanti served as General Manager at the Turquoise Ridge Joint Venture (a joint venture between Placer Dome Inc. and Newmont Mining Corporation).

Brian D. Frantz has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer since June 2015. He served as our Interim Chief Financial Officer from August 2014 to June 2015, our Vice President-Finance from February 2012 to August 2014 and our Controller and Chief Accounting Officer from 2010 to August 2014. From 2008 to 2010, Mr. Frantz served as Chief Financial Officer of Honnen Equipment Company, a company specializing in selling and leasing construction equipment. In 2008, Mr. Frantz served as Chief Financial Officer of DWF Wholesale Florists Company, a national wholesale florist. From 1998 to 2007, Mr. Frantz held various positions at RE/MAX International, Inc., a company engaged in the franchising of real estate brokerage businesses, most recently as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. From 1986 to 1998, Mr. Frantz was with Arthur Andersen LLP in Denver, most recently as a senior manager, serving public and private companies primarily in the cable television, manufacturing, mining, and real estate industries.

Margaret E. McCandless has served as our Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary since January 2015. Ms. McCandless served as our Assistant General Counsel and Assistant Secretary from January 2012 to January 2015. Before joining Intrepid, Ms. McCandless served as Associate General Counsel Securities, Disclosure and Corporate Governance for Qwest Communications International Inc. and then CenturyLink, Inc., which acquired Qwest in April 2011. Prior to joining Qwest in 2004, Ms. McCandless was an associate at the law firms of Hogan Lovells LLP and Cooley LLP.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the following risk factors. Our future performance is subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties that could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations, and the trading price of our common stock. We may be subject to other risks and uncertainties not presently known to us. Risks Related to Our Business

Current and future indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition and impair our ability to operate our business.

We have outstanding \$150 million aggregate principal amount of unsecured senior notes. We also have an unsecured credit facility that allows us to borrow up to an additional \$150 million, subject to the operation of financial covenants, as described below.

Current and future indebtedness could have important consequences, including the following:

it could limit our ability to borrow additional money or sell additional shares of common stock to fund our working capital, capital expenditures, and debt service requirements

it could limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business

we could become more highly leveraged than some of our competitors, which could place us at a competitive disadvantage

it could make us more vulnerable to a downturn in our business or the economy

- it could require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to the repayment of our indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flow for other purposes
- it could adversely affect our business and financial condition if we default on or are unable to service our indebtedness or are unable to obtain additional financing, as needed

Our debt agreements contain financial and other restrictive covenants. With respect to our financial covenants, first, our leverage ratio may not exceed 3.5 to 1. Second, our fixed charge coverage ratio may not fall below 1.3 to 1. Both ratios take into account our adjusted EBITDA (earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation, amortization, and certain other expenses, as defined in the agreements governing the senior notes and credit facility) over the prior four fiscal quarters. For more information about how these ratios are calculated and recent amendments to these financial covenants, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources." We are currently in compliance with each of these financial covenants.

These covenants could limit our ability to engage in activities that are in our long-term best interests. These covenants also limit our ability to access the full amount of the credit facility. For example, as of December 31, 2015, \$133 million was available to us under the credit facility as a result of the operation of these covenants. We expect that the amount available to us under the credit facility will continue to be limited (potentially to zero) for the foreseeable future due to the operation of these covenants. In addition, due to continuing pressure on potash prices, we anticipate that our EBITDA levels will not be sufficient for us to maintain compliance with these financial covenants through 2016. Our failure to comply with these covenants would result in an event of default that, if not waived, could result in the acceleration of all outstanding indebtedness.

Our credit facility also has a covenant that requires us to provide to the lenders audited annual financial statements within 90 days of the end of each year. The audit report must not contain any going concern modification. The audit report included in this annual report contains a going concern modification, and therefore does not satisfy the credit facility covenant. If we are unable to provide an audit report without a going concern modification by March 31, 2016, or are unable to obtain a waiver of this covenant, our failure to comply with this covenant would result in an event of default that, could result in the acceleration of all outstanding indebtedness.

The credit facility is scheduled to expire in 2020 and the senior notes are due in 2020, 2023, and 2025. In the future, we may be unable to obtain new financing or financing on acceptable terms.

Due to the existence of a material uncertainty, our independent auditors have expressed substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern.

We are currently in compliance with the covenants under our debt agreements. However, as noted above, if current market conditions continue, we anticipate that our EBITDA levels will not be sufficient for us to maintain compliance with these financial covenants through 2016. As a result, we are proactively working with our lenders and evaluating options for maintaining compliance, which include covenant amendments, waivers, or forebearances and could include a possible reduction of our debt level, including the payment of prepayment penalties. Our failure to comply with any of the covenants under our debt agreements would be an event of default that, if not waived, could result in the acceleration of all outstanding indebtedness, including the acceleration of our senior notes and any amounts outstanding under the credit facility. In addition, the amount available under our credit facility would be reduced to

Due to this material uncertainty, our independent auditors have expressed substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern. As a result, the report of our independent auditors included in this annual report contains a going concern modification highlighting the substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. The existence of the going concern modification could impact our business relationships. Customers, suppliers, and other entities and individuals with which we do business may decide not to do business with us in the future because of the uncertainty about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Our financial statements do not include any adjustments that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty. We continue to work with our lenders to maintain compliance with our financial covenants; however, there can be no assurance that these efforts will succeed. If we are unable to maintain compliance with our financial covenants, we may not be able to continue as a going concern.

Our potash sales are subject to price and demand volatility resulting from periodic imbalances of supply and demand, which could negatively affect our results of operations.

The market for potash is cyclical, and the prices and demand for potash can fluctuate significantly. Periods of high demand, increasing profits, and high-capacity utilization lead to new plant investment and increased production. This growth continues until the market is over-saturated, leading to decreased prices and lower-capacity utilization until the cycle repeats. During 2015, we experienced an oversupplied market with decreased prices. Also, individual potash producers have, at times,

independently suspended production in response to market outlook. For example, we temporarily suspended production at our West and HB facilities in late 2015 and early 2016. As a result of these various factors, the prices and demand for potash can be volatile. This volatility can reduce profit margins and negatively affect our results of operations. We sell the majority of our potash into the spot market in the U.S. In addition, there is no active hedge market for potash as compared to many other commodities. As a result, we do not have protection from this price and demand volatility.

The execution of strategic projects could require more time and money than we expect, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

From time to time, we invest in strategic projects, such as the current transition of our East facility to a Trio[®]-only facility. The completion of these projects could require significantly more time and money than we expect. In some cases, the construction or commissioning processes could force us to slow or shut down normal operations at the affected facility for a period of time, which would cause lower production volumes and higher production costs per ton. In addition, our management team and other employees may be required to spend a significant amount of time addressing strategic projects, which could mean that our normal operations receive less time and attention. We are considering other potential long-term opportunities for revenue and strategic growth, including solution mining related to our HB mine. These potential projects are at an early stage, and we may not proceed with any of them.

Even if we proceed with one or more of these or other strategic projects, we may not realize the expected benefits despite substantial investments, they may cost significantly more than we expect, or we may encounter additional risks that we did not initially expect.

Aggressive pricing or operating strategies by other potash producers could adversely affect our sales and results of operations.

The potash industry is concentrated, with a small number of producers accounting for the majority of global production. Many of these producers have significantly larger operations and more resources than we do and mine potash from reserves that are thicker, higher-grade, and less geologically complex than our reserves. These larger producers may have greater leverage in pricing negotiations with customers and transportation providers. They may also be able to mine their potash at a lower cost due to economies of scale or other competitive advantages. In addition, they may decide to pursue aggressive pricing or operating strategies that disrupt the global and U.S. potash markets. These disruptions could cause lower prices or demand for our product, which would adversely affect our sales and results of operations.

Changes in the agricultural industry could exacerbate the cyclical nature of the prices and demand for our products or adversely affect the markets for our products.

Farmers attempt to apply the optimum amounts of fertilizer to maximize their economic returns. A farmer's decision about the application rate for each fertilizer, or the decision to forgo the application of a fertilizer, particularly potash and Trio[®], varies from year to year depending on a number of factors. These factors include crop prices, weather patterns, fertilizer and other crop input costs, and the level of crop nutrients remaining in the soil following the previous harvest. Farmers are more likely to increase application rates of fertilizers when crop prices are relatively high, fertilizer and other crop input costs are relatively low, or the level of crop nutrients remaining in the soil is relatively low. Conversely, farmers are likely to reduce application of fertilizers when farm economics are weak or declining or the level of crop nutrients remaining in the soil is relatively high. This variability in application rates can impact the cyclical nature of the prices and demand for our products. In addition, farmers may buy and apply potash or Trio[®] in excess of current crop needs, which results in a build-up of potassium in the soil that can be used by crops in subsequent crop years. If this occurs, demand for our products could be delayed to future periods.

State and federal governmental policies, including farm and ethanol subsidies and commodity support programs, may

also influence the number of acres planted, the mix of crops planted, and the use of fertilizers. In addition, there are various city, county, and state initiatives to regulate the use and application of fertilizers due to various environmental concerns. If U.S. agricultural production or fertilizer use decreased significantly due to one or more of these factors, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

Due to the significant and sustained decline in potash prices over the past several years, we were required to write down the value of some of our long-lived assets. If potash or Trio® prices continue to decline, we could be required to record additional write-downs of our long-lived assets, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

We evaluate our long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the related carrying amount may not be recoverable. Impairment is considered to exist if an asset's total estimated future cash flows on an undiscounted basis are less than the carrying amount of the related asset. An impairment loss is measured and recorded based

on the discounted estimated future cash flows. For example, in the fourth quarter of 2015, we recorded impairment charges of approximately \$324 million related to our East and West conventional mining facilities, and our North facility in Carlsbad, New Mexico due to the continued decline in potash prices noted during this period. Although we believe the carrying values of our long-lived assets were realizable as of the balance sheet dates, future events could cause us to conclude otherwise. These future events could include further significant and sustained declines in potash or Trio® prices or higher production and operating costs. Further, based on our analysis of the profitability of any of our facilities, we may decide to terminate or suspend operations at one or more of facilities. These events could require a further write-down of the carrying value of our assets, which would adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

If we are required to write down the value of our inventories, our financial condition and results of operations would be adversely affected.

We carry our inventories at the lower of cost or market. In periods when the market prices for our products fall below our cost to produce them and the lower prices are not expected to be temporary, we are required to write down the value of our inventories. Any write-down of our inventory would adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations, possibly materially. For example, in the year ended December 31, 2015, we recorded lower-of-cost-or-market adjustments totaling \$31.8 million.

Mining is a complex process that frequently experiences production disruptions, which could adversely affect our results of operations.

The process of mining is complex. Production delays can occur due to equipment failures, unusual or unexpected geological conditions, environmental hazards, acts of nature, and other unexpected events or problems. In addition, we must transport mined ore for long distances to remove it from the mines for processing, which creates a higher probability of incidents. Many of our facilities have had long service lives and may require more maintenance or be more likely to fail than newer facilities or equipment. For example, the shafts at our West mine were constructed in 1931, are located in an area of known subsidence, and require frequent maintenance due to water inflow, wooden structures, and salt build-up. During the third quarter of 2015, we received an order issued by the Mine Safety and Health Administration ("MSHA") relating to maintenance issues and salt build-up in the ore hoisting shaft at our West mine. Upon issuance of the order, we suspended production at the West mine for 15 days while we took corrective actions to resolve the issues.

Additionally, at our East mine, the mining of langbeinite ore, which is harder and more abrasive than sylvite ore, has caused greater wear on our equipment, thereby increasing the expense and frequency of maintenance and repairs. Operational difficulties can also arise from our milling processes. For example, the mill at our East mine experiences build-ups of complex salts, an undesirable by-product of langbeinite production that we must remove. Furthermore, production is dependent upon the maintenance and geotechnical structural integrity of our tailings and storage ponds. The amounts that we are required to spend on maintenance and repairs may be significant. Production delays and stoppages, and higher-than-expected maintenance and repair expense, could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Mining is a hazardous process, and accidents could result in significant costs or production delays.

The process of mining is hazardous and involves various risks and hazards that can result in serious accidents. If accidents or unforeseen events occur, or if our safety procedures are not effective, we could be subject to liabilities arising out of personal injuries or death, our operations could be interrupted, or we could be required to shut down or abandon affected facilities. Accidents could cause us to expend significant amounts to remediate safety issues or repair damaged facilities.

Existing or expanded oil and gas development near our mines could result in methane gas leaking from an oil and gas well into our mines. We test our mines daily for methane gas. However, unlike coal mines, our mines are not constructed or equipped to deal with methane gas. Any intrusion of methane gas into our mines could cause an explosion resulting in loss of life or significant property damage or could require the suspension of all mining operations until the completion of extensive modifications and re-equipping of the mine. The costs of modifying our mines and equipment could make it uneconomical to reopen our mines. You can find more information about the

co-development of potash and oil and gas resources near our New Mexico facilities under the risk factor below entitled "Existing and further oil and gas development in the Designated Potash Area could impair our potash reserves, which could adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations."

The grade of ore that we mine could vary from our projections due to the complex geology and mineralogy of potash reserves, which could adversely affect our potash production and our results of operations.

Potash ore bodies have complex geology. Our potash production is affected by the potassium content and other mineralogy of the ore. Our projections of ore grade may not be accurate. There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating ore grade, including many factors beyond our control. As the grade of our remaining ore reserves decreases over

time, we need to process more ore to produce the same amount of saleable-grade product. In addition, there are few opportunities to acquire more reserves in the areas around our current operations. If we are unable to process more ore to maintain current production levels, if the processing of more ore materially increases our costs, or if our ore grade projections are not accurate, our results of operations would be adversely affected.

If the assumptions underlying our reserve estimates are inaccurate or if future events cause us to negatively adjust our previous assumptions, the quantities and value of our reserves, and in turn our financial condition and results of operations, could be adversely affected.

There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating our potash and langbeinite reserves. As a result, our reserve estimates necessarily depend upon a number of assumptions, including the following:

geologic and mining conditions, which may not be fully identified by available exploration data and may differ from our experiences in areas where we currently mine or operate

future potash prices, operating costs, capital expenditures, royalties, severance and excise taxes, and development and reclamation costs

future mining technology improvements

the effects of governmental regulation

variations in mineralogy

In addition, because reserves are only estimates built on various assumptions, they cannot be audited for the purpose of verifying exactness. It is only after extraction that reserve estimates can be compared to actual values to adjust estimates of the remaining reserves. If any of the assumptions that we make in connection with our reserve estimates are incorrect, the amounts of potash and langbeinite that we are able to economically recover from our mines could be significantly lower than our reserve estimates. In addition, we periodically review the assumptions underlying our reserve estimates. If future events cause us to negatively adjust our previous assumptions, our reserve estimates could be adversely affected. In any of these events, our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

The seasonal demand for our products, and the resulting variations in our cash flows from quarter to quarter, could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and working capital requirements.

The fertilizer business is seasonal. We typically experience increased sales during the North American spring and fall application seasons. The degree of seasonality can change significantly from one year to the next due to weather-related shifts in planting schedules and purchasing patterns. We and our customers generally build inventories during low-demand periods of the year to ensure timely product availability during high-demand periods, resulting in increased working capital requirements just before the start of these seasons. If we are unable to accurately predict the timing of demand for our products due to variations in seasonality from year to year, our results of operations and working capital requirements could be adversely affected. Similarly, if we do not have adequate storage capacity to manage varying inventory needs, we may need to reduce production or lower the price at which we sell product, either of which would adversely affect our results of operations.

Further weakening of foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar could lead to lower domestic potash prices, which would adversely affect our results of operations. Fluctuations in these currencies could cause our results of operations to fluctuate.

The U.S. imports the majority of its potash, including from Canada, Russia and Belarus. If the local currencies for foreign suppliers strengthen in comparison to the U.S. dollar, foreign suppliers realize a smaller margin in their local currencies unless they increase their nominal U.S. dollar prices. Strengthening of these local currencies therefore tends to support higher U.S. potash prices as the foreign suppliers attempt to maintain their margins. However, if these local currencies continue to weaken in comparison to the U.S. dollar, foreign suppliers may continue to lower prices to increase sales volumes while again maintaining a margin in their local currency. These activities could cause our sales prices and results of operations to decrease or fluctuate significantly.

Adverse conditions in the global economy and disruptions in the financial markets could negatively affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Global economic volatility and uncertainty can create uncertainty for farmers and customers in the geographic areas where we sell our products. If farmers reduce, delay, or forgo their potash and Trio® purchases due to this uncertainty, our results of operations would be adversely affected. Moreover, volatility and disruptions in the financial markets could limit our customers' ability to obtain adequate financing or credit to purchase and pay for our products, which would decrease our sales volume. Changes in governmental banking, monetary, and fiscal policies to restore liquidity and increase credit availability may not be effective. It is difficult to determine the extent of economic and financial market problems and the many ways in which they could negatively affect our customers and business. In addition, if we are required to raise additional capital or obtain additional credit during an economic downturn, we could be unable to do so on favorable terms or at all.

Changes in laws and regulations affecting our business, or changes in enforcement practices, could have an adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

We are subject to numerous federal and state laws and regulations covering a wide variety of subject matters. Changes in these laws or regulations could require us to modify our operations, objectives, or reporting practices in ways that adversely impact our financial condition or results of operations. In addition, new laws and regulations, or new interpretations of or enforcement practices with respect to existing laws and regulations, could similarly impact our business.

For example, we are subject to significant regulation under MSHA and OSHA. High-profile mining accidents could prompt governmental authorities to enact new laws and regulations that apply to our operations or to more strictly enforce existing laws and regulations.

Physical effects of climate change, and climate change legislation, could have a negative effect on our operations and results of operations.

The potential physical effects of climate change could have an adverse effect on us and our customers. These effects could include changes in weather patterns (including drought and rainfall levels), water availability, storm patterns and intensities, and temperature levels. These changes could have an adverse effect on our costs, production, or sales. These changes could also have an adverse effect on our customers, which in turn could reduce the demand or price for our products.

In addition, federal and state legislators and regulators regularly consider ways to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in an effort to mitigate climate change. Any new rules could have a significant impact on our operations and products and could result in substantial additional costs for us.

Our business depends on skilled and experienced workers, and our inability to find and retain quality workers could have an adverse effect on our development and results of operations.

The success of our business depends on our ability to attract and retain skilled managers, engineers, and other workers. At times, we may not be able to find or retain qualified workers. In particular, the labor market around Carlsbad, New Mexico, is competitive and employee turnover is generally high. In that market, we compete for experienced workers with several other employers, including natural resource and hazardous waste facilities, oil fields, and another producer of langbeinite. If we are not able to attract and retain quality workers, the development of our business could suffer or we could be required to raise wages to keep our employees, hire less qualified workers, or incur higher training costs. These risks may be exacerbated in times when we need to reduce our workforce due to economic conditions, such as in early 2014 and early 2016. The occurrence of any of these events could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Changes in the prices of energy and other important materials used in our business, or disruptions to their supply, could adversely impact our sales, results of operations, or financial condition.

Natural gas, electricity, steel, water, chemicals, diesel, and gasoline are key materials that we purchase and use in the production of our products. The prices of these commodities are volatile.

Our sales and profitability are impacted by the price and availability of these materials. A significant increase in the price of these materials that is not recovered through an increase in the price of our products, or an extended interruption in the supply of these materials to our production facilities, could adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition. In addition, high natural gas or other fuel costs could increase crop input costs for farmers, which could cause our sales to decline.

A further decline in oil and gas drilling or a reduction in the use of potash in drilling fluids could increase our operating costs and decrease our average net realized sales price of potash.

A significant portion of our revenue comes from the sale of potassium chloride for use in oil and gas drilling fluids. Oil and gas drilling has decreased significantly over the past year. A continued or further decline in oil and gas drilling could reduce our sales into this industrial market. In addition, alternative products that have some of the same clay-inhibiting properties as potash are commercially available. These alternative products could temporarily or permanently replace some of our sales into the industrial market, where our average net realized sales price is higher than it is for the agricultural market. If a significant amount of our sales shift from the industrial market to the agricultural market due to any of these factors, our average net realized sales price of potash would decline. Increased costs could affect our per-ton profitability.

A substantial portion of our operating costs is comprised of fixed costs that do not vary based on production levels. These fixed costs include labor and benefits, base energy usage, property taxes, insurance, maintenance expenditures, and depreciation. Any increase in fixed costs or decrease in production generally increases our per-ton costs and correspondingly decreases our per-ton operating margin. As a result, a significant increase in costs at any of our facilities could have an adverse effect on our profitability and cash flows, particularly during periods of decreasing potash prices.

A shortage of railcars or trucks for transporting our products, increased transit times, or interruptions in railcar or truck transportation could result in customer dissatisfaction, loss of sales, higher transportation or equipment costs, or disruptions in production.

We rely heavily upon truck and rail transportation to deliver our products to our customers. In addition, the cost of transportation is an important component of the price of our products. A shortage of trucks or railcars for carrying product or increased transit times due to accidents, highway or railway disruptions, congestion, high or compressed demand, labor disputes, adverse weather, natural disasters, changes to transportation systems, or other events could prevent us from making timely delivery to our customers or lead to higher transportation costs. As a result, we could experience customer dissatisfaction or a loss of sales. Similarly, disruption within the transportation systems could negatively affect our ability to obtain the supplies and equipment necessary to produce our products. We may also have difficulty obtaining access to ships to deliver our products to overseas customers.

We rely on our management personnel for the development and execution of our business strategy, and the loss of one or more members of our management team could harm our business.

Our management personnel have significant relevant industry and company-specific experience. Our senior management team has developed and implemented first-of-their-kind processes and other innovative ideas that are important to our business. If we are unable to retain these individuals, our operations could be disrupted and we may be unable to achieve our business strategies and grow effectively. We do not currently maintain "key person" life insurance on any of our management personnel.

Existing and further oil and gas development in the Designated Potash Area could impair our potash reserves, which could adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations.

The U.S. Department of the Interior regulates the development of federal mineral resources - both potash and oil and gas - on federal lands in the Designated Potash Area. This 497,000-acre region outside of Carlsbad, New Mexico, includes all of our New Mexico operations and facilities. In 2012, the U.S. Department of the Interior issued an updated order that provides guidance to the BLM and industry on the co-development of these resources.

It is possible that oil and gas drilling in this area could limit our ability to mine valuable potash reserves or mineralized deposits because of setbacks from oil and gas wells and the establishment of unminable buffer areas around oil or gas wells. It is also possible that the BLM could determine that the size of these unminable buffer areas should be larger than they are currently, which could impact our ability to mine our potash reserves. We review applications for permits to drill oil and gas wells as they are publicly disclosed by the BLM and the State of New Mexico. When appropriate, we protest applications for drilling permits that we believe should not be drilled consistent with the operative federal and state rules and that could impair our ability to mine our potash reserves or put at risk the

safety of our potash miners. We may not prevail in these protests or be able to prevent wells from being drilled in the vicinity of our potash reserves. If, notwithstanding our protests and appeals, a sufficient number of wells are drilled through or near our potash reserves, our potash reserves could be significantly impaired, which could adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations.

If we are unable to obtain and maintain the required permits, governmental approvals, and leases necessary for our operations, our business could be adversely affected.

We hold numerous environmental, mining, safety, and other permits and governmental approvals authorizing the operations at each of our facilities. A decision by a governmental agency to deny or delay a new or renewed permit or approval, or to revoke or substantially modify an existing permit or approval, could prevent or limit us from continuing our operations at the affected facility, which could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations. In addition, we could experience increases in our existing regulatory compliance costs.

Any expansion of our existing operations would also require us to secure the necessary environmental and other permits and approvals. We may not be able to obtain these permits and approvals in a timely manner or at all. In addition, the federal government must consider and study a project's likely environmental impacts. Based on the federal government's conclusion, it could require an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement as a condition of approving a project or permit, which could result in significant time delays and costs. Furthermore, many of our operations take place on land that is leased from federal and state governmental authorities. Expansion of our existing operations could require securing additional federal and state leases. We may not be able to obtain or renew these leases on favorable terms or at all. In addition, our existing leases generally require us to commence mining operations within a specified time frame and to continue mining in order to retain the lease. The loss or non-renewal of a lease could adversely affect our ability to mine the associated reserves.

Also, our existing leases require us to make royalty payments based on the revenue generated by the potash we produce from the leased land. The royalty rates are subject to change whenever we renew our leases, which could lead to significant increases in these rates. As of December 31, 2015, approximately 15% of our state and federal lease acres at our New Mexico facilities (including leases at the HB and North mines) and 0.2% of our state and federal lease acres at our Utah operations will be up for renewal within the next five years. Increases in royalty rates would reduce our profit margins and, if the increases were significant, would adversely affect our results of operations. Our Trio® profitability could be affected by market entrants or the introduction of langbeinite alternatives. Langbeinite is produced by us and one other company from a single reserve located near Carlsbad, New Mexico. Additional competition in the market for langbeinite and comparable products exists and could increase in the future. Other companies could seek to create and market chemically similar alternatives to langbeinite. The market for langbeinite and our Trio® sales could be affected by the success of these and other products that are competitive with langbeinite, which could adversely affect the viability of our Trio® business and our results of operations and financial condition. Further, increases in the supply of langbeinite by us and the other producer will decrease the sales price of Trio®.

We have less product diversification than nearly all of our competitors, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We are dedicated exclusively to the production and marketing of potash and langbeinite, whereas nearly all of our competitors are diversified, primarily into nitrogen- or phosphate-based fertilizer businesses or other chemical or industrial businesses. Because we are focused exclusively on potash and langbeinite, and because we sell our products primarily within the U.S., we could be impacted more acutely by factors affecting our industry or the regions in which we operate than we would if our business was more diversified and our sales more global. A decrease in the demand for potash and langbeinite would have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Similarly, in periods when production exceeds demand, the price at which we sell our potash and langbeinite and our sales volumes would likely fall, which would adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition more than our diversified competitors.

Inflows of water into our potash mines from heavy rainfall or groundwater could result in increased costs and production downtime and could require us to abandon a mine, any of which could adversely affect our results of operations.

Major weather events such as heavy rainfall can result in water inflows into our mines. The potential effects of climate change may increase the possibility of heavy rainfall that results in water inflows into our mines. Additionally, the presence of water-bearing strata in many underground mines carries the risk of water inflows into the mines. If we

experience water inflows at our mines, our employees could be injured and our equipment and mine shafts could be seriously damaged. We could be forced to shut down the affected mine temporarily, potentially resulting in significant production delays, and spend substantial funds to repair or replace damaged equipment. Inflows may also destabilize the mine shafts over time, resulting in safety hazards for employees and potentially leading to the permanent abandonment of a mine.

Heavy precipitation or low evaporation rates at our solar solution mines could impact our potash production at those facilities, which could adversely affect our sales and results of operations.

Our HB, Moab, and Wendover facilities use solar evaporation ponds to form potash crystals from brines. Weather conditions could negatively impact potash production at these facilities. For example, heavy rainfall in September and October, just after the evaporation season ends, can reduce the amount of potash we produce in that year or the following year by causing the potash crystals to dissolve and consume pond capacity. Similarly, lower-than-average temperatures or higher-than-average seasonal rainfall would reduce evaporation rates and therefore impact production. The potential effects of climate change may increase the possibility of adverse weather conditions. If we experience heavy rainfall or low evaporation rates at any of our solar solution mines, we would have less potash available for sale, and our sales and results of operations could be adversely affected. As solar production increases as a percentage of our total production, our production risks related to rainfall and evaporation rates increase.

Environmental laws and regulations could subject us to significant liability and require us to incur additional costs. We are subject to many environmental, safety, and health laws and regulations, including laws and regulations relating to mine safety, mine land reclamation, remediation of hazardous substance releases, and discharges into the soil, air, and water.

Our operations, as well as those of our predecessors, have involved the use and handling of regulated substances, hydrocarbons, potash, salt, related potash and salt by-products, and process tailings. These operations resulted, or may have resulted, in soil, surface water, and groundwater contamination. At some locations, salt-processing waste, building materials (including asbestos-containing material), and ordinary trash may have been disposed or buried in areas that have since been closed and covered with soil and other materials.

We could incur significant liabilities under environmental remediation laws such as CERCLA with regard to our current or former facilities, adjacent or nearby third party facilities, or off-site disposal locations. Under CERCLA and similar state laws, under some circumstances, liability may be imposed without regard to fault or legality of conduct and one party may be required to bear more than its proportional share of cleanup costs at a site. Liability under these laws involves inherent uncertainties.

We are also subject to federal and state environmental laws that regulate discharges of pollutants and contaminants into the environment, such as the U.S. Clean Water Act and the U.S. Clean Air Act. For example, our water disposal processes rely on dikes and reclamation ponds that could breach or leak, resulting in a possible prohibited release into the environment. Moreover, although the North and East mines in New Mexico and the Moab mine in Utah are designated as zero discharge facilities under the applicable water quality laws and regulations, these mines could experience some water discharges during significant rainfall events.

We expect that we will be required to continue to invest in environmental controls at our facilities and that these expenses could be significant. In addition, violations of environmental, safety and health laws could subject us to civil and, in some cases, criminal sanctions. We could also be required to invest in additional equipment, facilities, or employees, or could incur significant liabilities, due to any of the following:

changes in the interpretation of environmental laws modifications to current environmental laws

the issuance of more stringent environmental laws

malfunctioning process or pollution control equipment

Mining and processing of potash also generates residual materials that must be managed both during the operation of the facility and upon facility closure. For example, potash tailings, consisting primarily of salt, iron, and clay, are stored in surface disposal sites and require management. At least one of our New Mexico facilities, the HB mine, may have issues regarding lead in the tailings pile as a result of operations conducted by previous owners. During the life of the tailings management areas, we have incurred and will continue to incur significant costs to manage potash residual materials in accordance with environmental laws and regulations and permit requirements.

As a potash producer, we currently are exempt from certain State of New Mexico mining laws related to reclamation obligations. If this exemption were to be eliminated or restricted, we could be required to incur significant expenses

related to reclamation at our New Mexico facilities.

For more information about environmental, safety and health matters affecting our business, see "Business-Environmental, Safety, and Health Matters."

The mining business is capital intensive, and our inability to fund necessary or desirable capital expenditures could have an adverse effect on our growth and profitability.

The mining business is capital intensive. We may find it necessary or desirable to make significant capital expenditures in the future to sustain or expand our existing operations. If costs associated with capital expenditures increase or if our earnings decrease significantly, we could have difficulty funding any necessary or desirable capital expenditures at an acceptable rate or at all. This could limit the expansion of our production or make it difficult for us to sustain our existing operations at optimal levels. Increased costs for capital expenditures could also have an adverse effect on the profitability of our existing operations and returns from our most recent strategic projects.

Market upheavals due to global pandemics, military actions, terrorist attacks, or economic repercussions from those events could reduce our sales or increase our costs.

Global pandemics, actual or threatened armed conflicts, terrorist attacks, or military or trade disruptions affecting the areas where we or our competitors do business could disrupt the global market for potash. As a result, our competitors may increase their sales efforts in our geographic markets and pricing of potash could suffer. If this occurs, we could lose sales to our competitors or be forced to lower our prices. In addition, due to concerns related to terrorism or the potential use of certain fertilizers as explosives, local, state, and federal governments could implement new regulations impacting the production, transportation, sale, or use of potash. These new regulations could result in lower sales or higher costs.

A significant disruption to our information technology systems could adversely affect our business and operating results.

We rely on a variety of information technology and automated operating systems to manage or support our operations. The proper functioning of these systems is critical to the efficient operation and management of our business. In addition, these systems could require modifications or upgrades as of a result of technological changes or growth in our business. These changes could be costly and disruptive to our operations, and could impose substantial demands on management time. Our systems, and those of third party providers, also could be vulnerable to damage or disruption caused by catastrophic events, power outages, natural disasters, computer system or network failures, viruses or malware, physical or electronic break-ins, unauthorized access, and cyber-attacks. Although we take steps to secure our systems and electronic information, these security measures may not be adequate. Any significant disruption to our systems could adversely affect our business and operating results.

Our business may be adversely affected by union activities.

Hourly employees at our Wendover facility are represented by a labor union. These employees represent approximately 5% of our workforce. Our current collective bargaining agreement with the union expires on May 31, 2017. Although we believe that our relations with our unionized employees are good, we may not be successful in negotiating a new collective bargaining agreement as a result of general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, political, and other factors beyond our control. Any new agreement could result in a significant increase in our labor costs. In addition, a breakdown in negotiations or failure to timely enter into a new collective bargaining agreement could materially disrupt our Wendover operations.

From time to time, efforts have been made to unionize employees at our other facilities. Additional unionization efforts could disrupt our business, consume management attention, or increase our operating costs. In addition, if these efforts were successful, we could experience increased labor costs, an increased risk of work stoppages, and limits on our flexibility to run our business in the most efficient manner to remain competitive.

Risks Related to our Common Stock

The price of our common stock may be volatile and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Securities markets experience significant price and volume fluctuations due to general economic and market conditions and other factors outside our control. This market volatility could cause the price of our common stock to decline significantly and without regard to our operating performance. Other factors that could affect the price of our common stock include the following:

our operating performance and the performance of our competitors

the public's reaction to our press releases, other public announcements or filings with the SEC

•

changes in earnings estimates or recommendations by research analysts who follow us or other companies in our industry

- variations in general economic, market, and political conditions
- changes in certain commodity prices or foreign currency exchange rates
- actions of our current stockholders, including sales of common stock by our directors and executive officers

Table of Contents

the arrival or departure of key personnel other developments affecting us, our industry, or our competitors the other risks described in this report

If our stock price declines due to one or more of these factors, you may not be able to sell your shares at or above the price you paid for them.

Our stock is currently listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"). For continued listing, we are required to meet specified listing standards, including a minimum stock price, market capitalization, and stockholders' equity. If we are unable to meet the NYSE's listing standards, the NYSE would delist our common stock. At that point, it is possible that our common stock could be quoted on the over-the-counter bulletin board or the pink sheets. This could have negative consequences, including reduced liquidity for stockholders; reduced trading levels for our common stock; limited availability of market quotations or analyst coverage of our common stock; stricter trading rules for brokers trading our common stock; and reduced access to financing alternatives for us. We also would be subject to greater state securities regulation if our common stock was no longer listed on a national securities exchange.

We may issue additional securities, including securities that are senior in right of dividends, liquidation, and voting to our common stock, without your approval, which would dilute your existing ownership interests.

Our board of directors may issue shares of preferred stock or additional shares of common stock without the approval of our stockholders, except as may be required by applicable NYSE rules. Our board of directors may approve the issuance of preferred stock with terms that are senior to our common stock in right of dividends, liquidation or voting. Our issuance of additional common shares or other equity securities of equal or senior rank will have the following effects:

our pre-existing stockholders' proportionate ownership interest in us will decrease

the relative voting strength of each previously outstanding common share may be diminished

the market price of the common stock may decline

Future sales of our common stock, or the perception that future sales may occur, could depress our common stock price.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock, including sales by our directors or executive officers, or the perception that these sales may occur, could depress the market price of our common stock. We cannot predict the effect, if any, that future sales of shares of our common stock would have on the market price of our common stock. Provisions in our charter documents and Delaware law may delay or prevent a third party from acquiring us.

We are a Delaware corporation and the anti-takeover provisions of Delaware law impose various barriers to the ability of a third party to acquire control of us, even if a change of control would be beneficial to our existing stockholders. In addition, our current certificate of incorporation and bylaws contain several provisions that may make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of us without the approval of our board of directors. These provisions may make it more difficult or expensive for a third party to acquire a majority of our outstanding common stock. Among other things, these provisions provide for the following:

allow our board of directors to create and issue preferred stock with rights senior to those of our common stock without prior stockholder approval, except as may be required by applicable NYSE rules

do not permit cumulative voting in the election of directors, which would otherwise allow less than a majority of stockholders to elect director candidates

prohibit stockholders from calling special meetings of stockholders

prohibit stockholders from acting by written consent, thereby requiring all stockholder actions to be taken at a meeting of our stockholders

require vacancies and newly created directorships on the board of directors to be filled only by affirmative vote of a majority of the directors then serving on the board

establish advance notice requirements for submitting nominations for election to the board of directors and for proposing matters that can be acted upon by stockholders at a meeting

classify our board of directors so that only some of our directors are elected each year

These provisions also may delay, prevent or deter a merger, acquisition, tender offer, proxy contest or other transaction that might otherwise result in our stockholders receiving a premium over the market price of the common stock they own.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Properties

We produce potash at three solution mining facilities and two conventional underground mining facilities. Our solution mining production comes from our HB mine near Carlsbad, New Mexico, a solar solution mine near Moab, Utah, and a solar brine recovery mine in Wendover, Utah. Our conventional production comes from our underground West and East mines near Carlsbad, New Mexico. We also operate the North compaction facility near Carlsbad, New Mexico, which compacts product from the West and HB mines.

We control the rights to mine approximately 138,000 acres of land northeast of Carlsbad, New Mexico. We lease approximately 32,000 acres from the state of New Mexico, approximately 106,000 acres from the federal government through the BLM, and approximately 240 acres from private leaseholders. We own approximately 4,300 surface acres in the vicinity of our mine sites and adjacent to federal and state mining leases.

We control the rights to mine approximately 10,300 acres of land west of Moab, Utah. We lease approximately 10,100 acres from the state of Utah and approximately 200 acres from the BLM. We own approximately 3,700 surface acres overlying and adjacent to portions of our mining leases with the state of Utah.

We control the rights to mine approximately 90,000 acres of land near Wendover, Utah. We own approximately 57,000 acres, and we lease approximately 8,000 acres from the state of Utah and approximately 25,000 acres from the federal government through the BLM.

We conduct most of our mining operations on properties that we lease from the state or federal government. These leases generally contain stipulations that require us to commence mining operations within a specified term and continue mining to retain the lease.

The stipulations on our leases are subject to periodic readjustment by the state and federal government. The lease stipulations could change in the future, which could impact the economics of our operations. Our federal leases are subject to readjustment of the lease stipulations, including the royalty payable to the federal government, every 20 years. Our leases with the state of New Mexico are issued for terms of ten years and for as long thereafter as potash is produced in commercial quantities and are subject to readjustment of the lease stipulations, including the royalty payable to the state. Our leases with the state of Utah are for terms of ten years subject to extension and possible readjustment of the lease by the state of Utah. Our leases for our Moab mine are operated as a unit under a unit agreement with the state of Utah, which extends the terms of all of the leases as long as operations are conducted on any portion of the leases. The term of the state leases for our Moab mine is currently extended until 2024 or so long as potash is being produced. Our federal leases are for indefinite terms subject to readjustment every 20 years. As of December 31, 2015, approximately 15% of our state, federal, and private lease acres at our New Mexico facilities will be up for renewal within the next five years. Only 0.2% of our state and federal lease acres at our Utah operations will be up for renewal within the next five years.

We have water rights at each of our mine properties that we believe are adequate for our needs. All of our mining operations are accessible by paved state or county highways and are accessible by rail. All of our operations obtain electric power from local utilities.

Our mines, plants, and equipment have been in substantially continuous operation since the dates indicated in the chart entitled "Our Proven and Probable Reserves" on the following pages; and our mineral development assets, mills, and equipment have been acquired over the interval since these dates.

As noted, we have relatively long-lived proven and probable reserves and consequently expect to conduct limited and focused additional exploration in the coming five years. We plan to drill core holes on occasion in areas near our Carlsbad, New Mexico, operations that are located in the Designated Potash Area, in order to further define the ore body. Development of the underground mines is expected to be coincident with the continued advancement of ore zones. Development of the solution mine and brine evaporation operations is expected to be enhanced by the drilling of additional wells. Although not in our current plans, we also have opportunities to rehabilitate the shafts at the currently idled North mine and additional surface infrastructure to accelerate mining of reserves.

Our leased office space in Denver, Colorado, is approximately 25,503 square feet and has a term extending through May 31, 2017.

We believe that all of our present facilities are adequate for our current needs and that additional space is available for future expansion on acceptable terms.

Proven and Probable Reserves

Our potash (muriate of potash) and langbeinite (sulfate of potash magnesia) reserves each have substantial life, with remaining reserve life ranging from 30 to over 100 years, based on proven and probable reserves estimated in accordance with SEC requirements. This lasting reserve base is the result of our past acquisition and development strategy. The estimates of our proven and probable reserves as of December 31, 2015, were prepared by us and were reviewed and independently determined by Agapito Associates, Inc. ("Agapito") based on mine plans and other data furnished by us as described in footnote one below. The following table summarizes our proven and probable reserves, stated as product tons and associated percent ore grade, as of December 31, 2015.

Our Proven and Probable Reserves (thousands of tons)(1)

			Proven (4)			Probable (7)					
Product/Operations	Date Mine Opened (2)	Current Extraction Method	Minimum Remaining Life (years) (3)	Recoveral Ore Tons (5)	Ore Ble Grade (6) (% KCl)		Product Tons as KCl	Recoveral Ore Tons (5)	Ore ble Grade (6) (% KCl)		Product Tons as KCl
Muriate of Potash											
Carlsbad West	1931	Underground		217,170			38,790	126,700	20.6		21,730
Carlsbad East	1965	Underground	40	61,010	19.1	%	9,500	54,420	18.6	%	8,460
Carlsbad HB Mine (2,9)	2012	Solution	42	19,680	36.4	%	6,540	2,190	40.2	%	800
Moab	1965	Solution	100+	30,410	42.5	%	12,100	32,110	44.0	%	14,800
Wendover (10)	1932	Brine Evaporation	30	_			_	_	0.7	%	3,220
Total Muriate of Potash		-			26.2	%	66,930		26.3	%	49,010
				Proven (4))			Probable	(7)		
Product/Operations	Date Mine Opened (2)	Current Extraction Method	Minimum Remaining Life (years) (3)	Recoverab Ore Tons (5)	Ore Grade (6) (% Lang)		Product Tons as Langbeini	Recovera Ore teTons (5)	Ore ble Grade (6) (% Lang)	,	Product Fons as Langbeinite
Sulfate of Potash											
Magnesia											
Carlsbad East (11) (8)	1965	Underground	100+	112,530	27.4 %	6	35,100	82,290	26.2	% '.	25,730

The determination of estimated reserves has been prepared by us and is based on an independent review and analysis of our mine plans and geologic, financial and other data by Agapito, which is familiar with our mines. The most recent review performed by Agapito for the New Mexico East, West, and HB properties was in 2015. Agapito's analysis for the West and East mines was based on detailed examination of our geologic site data and mine plan, which was updated with information from 2015 and 2014. As a result of the Agapito 2015 review, sylvite reserves in the West and East mines decreased and the langbeinite reserves in the East mine were decreased compared to previously reported reserves. The reduction in sylvite and langbeinite reserves in the West and East mines was primarily due to the 2015 mine plan update based on current geologic, mine production and economic data. The HB mine reserve estimate was increased due to the addition of the HB AMAX cavern solution mine

(1) reserves in 2015, less depletion for 2014 and 2015 production for the existing HB mine. The Moab property reserves are based on Agapito's 2015 mine reserve estimated based on detailed examination of our geologic, solution mine and site data that was updated with information from cavern development activities that occurred between 2012 and 2015. The Wendover property reserves are based on Agapito's 2015 brine aquifer reserve estimate based on detailed examination of our brine aquifer and site data that was updated with information from 2012 through 2015. However, depletion did not change the reserve life of 30 years as discussed in note 3 below. Because reserves are estimates, they cannot be audited for the purpose of verifying exactness. Instead, reserve information was reviewed in sufficient detail to determine if, in the aggregate, the data provided by us is reasonable and sufficient to estimate reserves in conformity with practices and standards generally employed by and within the mining industry and that are consistent with the requirements of U.S. securities laws.

These mines, excluding the HB mine, have operated in a substantially continuous manner since the dates set forth (2) in this table. The HB mine was originally opened in 1934 and operated continuously as an underground mine until 1996.

(3)

Minimum remaining lives at the West, East, HB mine, and Moab mines are based on reserves (product tons) divided by annual effective productive capacity over the full expected life of the ore body, and corrections for purity: one ton of red muriate of potash equals 0.95 ton of KCl; one ton of East white muriate of potash equals 0.95 ton of KCl; one ton of Moab white muriate of potash equals 0.97 ton of KCl; one ton of sulfate of potash magnesia equals 0.97 ton of langbeinite. East minimum remaining life was based on two plants and associated plant capacities. Currently, langbeinite-only production is planned for

mid-2016 and the existing sylvite plant is expected to be shut down at that time. If we decided to produce potash at East again in the future, we expect that we would be required to construct a new plant to process the remaining reserves. Annual effective productive capacity contemplates the grade of the ore and estimated recovery percentages. The current effective productive capacity is different than annual effective productive capacity which contemplates future additional investment in the East facility for both langbeinite and sylvite production. Calculated mine lives that exceed 100 years are reported at 100+ years to balance the reserve life with the uncertainties associated with those extended time frames. We currently do not report more than 30 years mining life for Wendover due to the uncertainties associated with natural brine containing aquifers.

Proven reserves mean tonnages computed from projection of data using the inverse distance squared method taking into account mining dilution, mine extraction efficiency, ore body impurities, metallurgical recovery factors, sales prices and operating costs from potash ore zone measurements as observed and recorded either in drill holes using

- (4) cores, or channel samples in mine workings. This classification has the highest degree of geologic assurance. The data points for measurement are adequately spaced and the geologic character so well defined that the thickness, areal extent, size, shape, and depth of the potash ore zone are well-established. The maximum acceptable distance for projection from ore zone data points varies with the geologic nature of the ore zone being studied. Recoverable ore tons is defined as the hoisted ore for the conventionally mined ore in our East and West Mines. This figure was derived from the in-place ore estimate that has been adjusted for factors such as geologic impurities and mine extraction ratios. For the HB mine and the Moab property, recoverable ore tons are defined as
- (5) the potassium that can be extracted from the underground workings and pumped to the surface. This figure was derived from the in-place ore estimate that has been adjusted for factors such as geologic impurities, potash that dissolves but remains in the cavern (dissolution factor), and an extraction factor that accounts for potash that may not be recovered because solution may be channeled away or stranded due to cavern geometry. We do not calculate recoverable ore tons for the Wendover property as it is a lake brine resource, not an in-place ore deposit.

 Ore grade expressed as expected mill feed grade to account for minimum mining height for the East and West
- (6) mines. Muriate of potash ore grade is reported in % KCl and sulfate of potash magnesia ore grade is reported in % langbeinite. The ore grade for the Moab and HB mines is the in-place KCl grade.
 - Probable reserves means tonnages computed by projection of data using the inverse distance squared method taking into account mining dilution, mine extraction efficiency, ore body impurities, metallurgical recovery factors,
- (7) sales prices and operating costs from available ore zone measurements as observed either in drill holes using cores or in mine workings for a distance beyond potash classified as proven reserves. This classification has a moderate degree of geological assurance.
- Our reserves in the 1st, 3rd, 4th, 7th, 8th and 10th ore zones contain either sylvite (KCl) or langbeinite (K₂SO₄(MgSO₄)₂) separately. Reserves currently being mined at our East mine are from the 5th ore zone and contain both sylvite and langbeinite which we call mixed ore. We will cease processing sylvite at the East mine in
- (8) mid-2016, and only the langbeinite ore contained in the East 5th ore zone is included in the mine reserve estimate. Additionally, the reserve amounts include West mine 3rd and 4th ore zones which contain langbeinite that we anticipate will be processed at the East mine.
- (9) The HB mine reserves were based on solution mining of old workings and recovery of potash from the residual pillars. Reserves are based on thicknesses, grades, and mine maps provided by us.
 - The Wendover facility reserves are the combination of a shallow and a deep aquifer. There were no proven reserves reported for either aquifer because the shallow aquifer represents an unconventional resource and there is uncertainty of the hydrogeology of the deep aquifer. The estimating method for the shallow aquifer was based on brine concentration, brine density, soil porosity within the aquifer, and aquifer thickness from historical reports.
- (10) The brine concentrations and brine density were confirmed by us recently, but values for the aquifer thickness and the porosity were obtained from literature published by other sources. Probable reserves for the shallow brine at the Wendover facility were calculated from KCl contained in the shallow aquifer based on estimates of porosity and thickness over the reserve area. The distance for projection of probable reserves is a radius of three quarters of a mile from points of measurement of brine concentration. Probable reserves for the deep-brine aquifer were estimated based on historical draw-down and KCl brine

concentrations. The ore grade (% KCl) for both the shallow and deep aquifer is the percentage by weight of KCl in the brine

(11) A portion of these reserves are within the West mine boundary. The classification of the reserve as being associated with the East mine is a result of where the ore is intended to be processed.

Production

Our facilities have a current estimated annual productive capacity of approximately 1.0 million tons of potash, and approximately 200,000 tons of langbeinite, based on current design. We are not currently producing at annual rates equal to our estimated productive capacity. Actual production is affected by operating rates, the grade of ore mined, recoveries, mining rates, evaporation rates, product pricing, and the amount of development work that we perform. Therefore, as with other producers in our industry, our production results tend to be lower than reported productive capacity.

Our production capabilities and capital improvements at our facilities are described in more detail below, along with our historical production of our primary products and by-products for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Solution Mines

The HB mine has a current estimated productive capacity of 180,000 tons annually. The productive capacity may vary between approximately 160,000 and 200,000 tons of potash. Potash produced from our HB mine is shipped to the North facility for compaction.

Potash ore at Moab is mined from two stacked ore zones: the original mine workings in Potash 5 and the horizontal caverns in Potash 9.

The Moab mine has a current estimated productive capacity of approximately 110,000 tons of potash annually; evaporation rates have historically varied and, consequently, productive capacity may vary between approximately 75,000 and 120,000 tons of potash.

Potash at Wendover is produced primarily from brine containing salt, potash and magnesium chloride that is collected in ditches from the shallow aquifers of the West Desert. These materials are also collected from a deeper aquifer by means of deep brine wells.

The Wendover facility has a current estimated productive capacity of approximately 100,000 tons of potash annually; evaporation rates have historically resulted in actual production between approximately 65,000 and 100,000 tons of potash.

Conventional Underground Mines

Sylvite and langbeinite ore at our Carlsbad locations is mined from a stacked ore body containing at least 10 different mineralized zones, seven of which contain proven and probable reserves.

• The West mine has a current estimated productive capacity of approximately 400,000 tons of red potash annually. Potash produced from our West mine is shipped to the North facility for compaction.

The East mine has a current estimated productive capacity of approximately 225,000 tons of white potash annually and, based on current design, approximately 200,000 tons of Trio[®] annually. The productive capacities at our East mine are based on annual averages. As the current plan is to transition to a Trio[®] -only operation in mid-2016, actual potash production is expected to be lower due to the transition. We expect our Trio[®] productive capacity to increase in 2016 as we transition the facility to a Trio[®] -only facility.

Compaction Facility

The North facility receives compactor feed from the West and HB facilities via truck and converts the compactor feed to finished granular-sized product and standard-sized product.

Our Development Assets

We have significant additional development opportunities in our New Mexico facilities with the acceleration of production from our reserves and mineralized deposits of potash, and the potential construction of additional production facilities in the region. We also own the leases on two idled mines near Carlsbad — the AMAX/Horizon mine and the North mine.

AMAX/Horizon mine

We acquired the potash leases associated with the AMAX/Horizon mine in October 2012. The AMAX/Horizon mine was in continuous operation between 1952 and 1993. This mine, similar to the HB mine, is a viable candidate for solution mining in a manner that is consistent with the HB mine. As these are relatively new lease holdings, we have not yet determined the feasibility associated with this potential development project, however, work is being performed to determine the ability to convert this area to a solution mining opportunity.

The AMAX/Horizon mine is expected to utilize the same evaporation ponds, and processing mill as the HB mine.

North mine

The North mine operated from 1957 to 1982 when it was idled mainly due to low potash prices and mineralogy changes which negatively impacted mineral processing at the facilities. Although the mining and processing equipment has been removed, the mine shafts remain open. The compaction facility at the North mine is where we granulate, store, and ship potash produced at the West and HB mines. Two abandoned mine shafts, rail access, storage facilities, water rights, utilities and leases covering potash deposits, are already in place. As part of our overall mine planning efforts, we continue to evaluate our strategic development options with respect to the shafts at the North mine and their access to mineralized deposits of potash.

Production of Our Primary Products (thousands of product tons)

One product ton of potash contains approximately 0.60 tons of K_2O when produced at our West, Moab, and Wendover facilities and approximately 0.62 tons of K_2O when produced at our East facility. The following table summarizes production of our primary products at each of our facilities for each of the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013.

	Year Ended December 31,											
	2015			2014			2013					
		Mill								Mill		
	Ore	Feed		Finished	Ore	Mill Fe	eed	Finished	Ore	Feed		Finished
	Production	Grade		Product	Production	Grade	(1)	Product	Production	Grade		Product
		(1)								(1)		
Muriate of Potash												
Carlsbad West	2,532	11.1	%	322	2,991	10.9	%	352	3,044	11.6	%	379
Carlsbad East	2,368	7.8	%	145	2,535	8.8	%	217	2,608	7.7	%	196
Carlsbad HB (3)	695	14.9	%	134	623	14.3	%	98		13.8	%	_
Moab	411	16.0	%	93	457	14.9	%	95	596	13.5	%	112
Wendover	379	16.2	%	74	462	17.2	%	97	447	17.5	%	93
	6,385			768	7,068			859	6,695			780
Langbeinite Carlsbad East(2)	2,368	4.7	%	162	2,535	4.3	%	160	2,608	4.6	%	177
Total Primary Products				930				1,019				957

⁽¹⁾ Mill feed grade is shown as percent K_2O .

Our By-Product Production

During the extraction of potash, we also recover marketable salt and magnesium chloride. At our Wendover facility, we also produce metal recovery salt, which is potash mixed with salt, in ratios requested by our customers. We account for the revenue generated from sales of these minerals as a reduction in the cost of goods sold of our primary potash product.

⁽²⁾ Muriate of potash and langbeinite at our East mine were processed from the same ore.

Our HB mine began processing a small amount of ore in late 2013; however, no ore production or finished product is shown due to rounding.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are subject to claims and legal actions in the ordinary course of business. While there are uncertainties in predicting the outcome of any claim or legal action, we believe that the ultimate resolution of these claims or actions is not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. We maintain liability insurance that will apply to some claims and actions and believe that our coverage is reasonable in view of the insurable legal risks to which our business ordinarily is subject.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

We are committed to providing a safe and healthy work environment. The objectives of our safety programs are to eliminate workplace accidents and incidents, preserve employee health, and comply with all safety- and health-based regulations. We seek to achieve these objectives by training employees in safe work practices; establishing, following, and improving safety standards; involving employees in safety processes; openly communicating with employees about safety matters; and recording, reporting, and investigating accidents, incidents, and losses to avoid recurrence. As part of our ongoing safety programs, we collaborate with MSHA and the New Mexico Bureau of Mine Safety to identify and implement accident prevention techniques and practices.

Our East, West, and North facilities in New Mexico are subject to regulation by MSHA under the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (the "Mine Act") and the New Mexico Bureau of Mine Safety. MSHA inspects these facilities on a regular basis and issues various citations and orders when it believes a violation has occurred under the Mine Act. Exhibit 95.1 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K provides the information concerning mine safety violations and other regulatory matters required by Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Item 104 of Regulation S-K. Our Utah and HB facilities are subject to regulation by OSHA and, therefore, are not required to be included in the information provided in Exhibit 95.1.

PART II

ITEM MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND

5. ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

Our common stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol IPI.

The following table sets forth the range of high and low sales prices of our common stock for the periods indicated, as reported by the NYSE.

	High	Low
2015		
Quarter ended December 31, 2015	\$7.14	\$2.63
Quarter ended September 30, 2015	\$12.02	\$5.35
Quarter ended June 30, 2015	\$13.24	\$10.85
Quarter ended March 31, 2015	\$15.09	\$10.92
2014		
Quarter ended December 31, 2014	\$15.57	\$12.39
Quarter ended September 30, 2014	\$16.98	\$14.40
Quarter ended June 30, 2014	\$17.64	\$14.11
Quarter ended March 31, 2014	\$17.29	\$13.63

Performance Graph—Comparison of Cumulative Return

The graph below compares the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock with the cumulative total stockholder return on the S&P 500 Index, the Dow Jones US Basic Materials Index, and our peer group (Potash Corporation of Saskatchewan Inc., The Mosaic Company, and Agrium Inc.) for the period beginning on December 31, 2010, through December 31, 2015, assuming an initial investment of \$100 and the reinvestment of dividends.

				Dow Jones U.S.
	IPI	Peer Group	S&P 500	Basic Materials
December 31, 2010	\$100.00	\$100.00	\$100.00	\$100.00
December 31, 2011	\$60.69	\$74.40	\$102.11	\$85.28
December 31, 2012	\$57.86	\$84.53	\$118.45	\$94.23
December 31, 2013	\$43.05	\$71.56	\$156.82	\$113.43
December 31, 2014	\$37.72	\$74.12	\$178.28	\$117.27
December 31, 2015	\$8.02	\$46.97	\$180.75	\$102.70

Holders

As of February 22, 2016, we had approximately 82 record holders of our common stock based upon information provided by our transfer agent.

Dividends

We currently intend to retain earnings to reinvest for future operations and growth of our business and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock. However, our board of directors, in its discretion, may decide to declare a dividend at an appropriate time in the future. A decision to pay a dividend would depend, among other factors, upon our results of operations, financial condition and cash requirements, and the terms and restrictions of our unsecured credit facility, senior notes, and other financing agreements at the time any payment is considered.

Table of Contents

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds None.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth our historical selected financial and operating data for the periods indicated (in thousands, except per share data). The selected financial and operating data should be read together with the other information contained in this document, including "Item 1. Business," wherein the presentation below is described more fully, and "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," the audited historical financial statements and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this document.

Sales Net (loss) Income	Year Ended D 2015 \$287,183 \$(524,776)	2014 \$410,389 \$9,761	2013 \$336,312 \$22,275	2012 \$451,316 \$87,443	2011 \$442,954 \$109,411
(Loss) Earnings Per Share: Basic Diluted Cash dividends declared and paid per	\$(6.94)	\$0.13 \$0.13	\$0.30 \$0.30	\$1.16 \$1.16	\$1.46 \$1.45
common share	\$— December 31,	\$	\$	\$0.75	\$ —
Total assets Total debt	2015 \$640,484 \$150,000	2014 \$1,166,719 \$150,000	2013 \$1,175,273 \$150,000	2012 \$994,623 \$—	2011 \$932,870 \$—
Supplemental Selected Financial Data:					
Cash, cash equivalents and investments Stockholders' equity	December 31, 2015 \$63,629 \$426,526	2014 \$89,879 \$947,285	2013 \$25,113 \$933,971	2012 \$57,747 \$905,736	2011 \$176,794 \$871,133
33					

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Management Discussion and Analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes contained elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, which have been prepared assuming we will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements and below, the existence of a material uncertainty related to our compliance with financial covenants under our debt agreements raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from this uncertainty.

This Management Discussion and Analysis contains forward looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties, and assumptions as described under the heading "Cautionary Note Regarding Forward Looking Statements," in Part I of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated by these forward looking statements as a result of many factors, including those discussed under "Item 1A. Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our Company

We are the only producer of potash in the United States and are one of two producers of langbeinite, which we market and sell as Trio[®]. Our revenues are generated exclusively from the sale of potash and Trio[®]. We also produce salt and magnesium chloride from our potash mining processes, the sales of which are accounted for as by-product credits to our cost of sales. These by-product credits represented approximately 2% to 4% of total cost of goods sold in each of the last three years.

We produce potash at three solution mining facilities and two conventional underground mining facilities. Our solution mining production comes from our HB mine near Carlsbad, New Mexico, a solution mine near Moab, Utah and a brine recovery mine in Wendover, Utah. Our conventional production comes from our underground West and East mines near Carlsbad, New Mexico. We also operate the North compaction facility near Carlsbad, New Mexico, which services the West and HB mines. Trio® production comes from underground conventional mining of a mixed ore body that contains both potash and langbeinite, which is mined and processed at the East facility near Carlsbad, New Mexico. We are in the process of transitioning our East facility to Trio®-only production and expect this transition to be completed in mid-2016.

Significant Business Trends and Activities

Our financial results have been impacted by several significant trends, which are described below. We expect that these trends will continue to drive our results of operations, cash flows, and financial position.

- Potash prices. Our average net realized sales price for potash remained essentially flat at \$339 per ton in the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$332 per ton in the year ended December 31, 2014. However, we experienced price declines sequentially during each quarter in 2015 due to concerns that global productive capacity exceeds demand. Potash prices are a significant driver of profitability for our business. Domestic pricing of our potash is influenced principally by the price established by our competitors. The significant price decline in the second half of 2015 was primarily due to oversupply and the impact of a strong US dollar on global producers importing tonnage into the North American potash market. The interaction of global potash supply and demand, credit, ocean, land and barge freight rates, and currency fluctuations also influence pricing. We expect potash prices will continue to be pressured throughout 2016 as global and U.S. potash supply continues to exceed demand and as commodity prices continue to be pressured.
- Potash demand. We sold 587,000 tons of potash in the year ended December 31, 2015, a decrease of 328,000 tons compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in sales volumes resulted primarily from soft demand during the second half of 2015. Our sales volumes reflect the uncertainty surrounding declining potash prices and our customers not wanting to take inventory price risk. We experienced weaker demand in the industrial markets in 2015 as compared to 2014 due to the decrease in oil and gas drilling in the U.S. We expect this trend to continue into 2016. As a result of the reduced demand, and limited inventory storage space, we temporarily curtailed production in late

2015 and early 2016 at our HB and West plants to manage inventory storage. If production continues to outpace demand, we may continue to utilize temporary curtailments to manage inventory levels.

Our ability to supply tons to our customers on a timely basis continues to be a fundamental element of our sales strategy. We utilize our geographic location, which is an advantage relative to other producers, as well as our strong distribution system, to effectively position product closer to our customers.

The specific timing of when farmers apply potash remains highly weather dependent and varies across the numerous growing regions within the U.S. The timing of potash sales is significantly influenced by the marketing programs of potash producers, as well as storage volumes closer to the farm gate. The combination of these items results in variability in potash sales and shipments, thereby increasing volatility of sales volumes from quarter to quarter and season to season.

• Tri® prices and demand. Sales volumes for Trio® decreased 19,000 tons for the year ended December 31, 2015, as compared to the same period in 2014. Trio® demand was also negatively impacted by the overall softness in the fertilizer market.

Our average net realized sales price for Trio[®] was \$364 per ton in the year ended December, 31 2015, an increase from \$349 per ton in the year ended December 31, 2014. We expect to see downward price pressure on the overall potassium markets in 2016; however, Trio[®] pricing has historically demonstrated more resiliency than the potash pricing due to Trio[®]'s unique nutrient make up and application to high-value crops. We continue to focus our efforts on maximizing our returns in the granular- and premium- domestic markets.

• Strategic rationalization of assets. We are taking actions to expand our Tri® production through the transition of our East facility to a Trio®-only facility. This transition, which we expect will be complete in mid-2016, will remove our highest-cost potash production from our portfolio and allow us to grow Trio® production. We expect the transition will allow us to replace East's potash tons with Trio® tons over time.

Following the transition of East to a Trio[®]-only facility, West will be our only conventional potash mine and our highest cost production facility. We are performing a strategic review to determine the long term viability of this facility given the current and expected potash pricing environment.

As we continue to rationalize assets, we expect to evaluate the underlying assumptions, including remaining asset lives, on the recoverability of our assets and these evaluations could result in accelerated depreciation or asset impairment charges in the future. In connection with our analysis of the recoverability of our long lived assets, due to the significant decrease in potash prices during the second half of 2015, in the fourth quarter of 2015, we recognized impairment charges of \$324 million related to our East and West conventional mining facilities, and our North facility in New Mexico due to the continued decline in potash prices noted during this period.

• Costs associated with abnormal production. We routinely evaluate our production levels and costs to determine if any costs are associated with abnormal production, as described under generally accepted accounting principles. The assessment of normal production levels is judgmental and unique to each quarter.

During the third quarter of 2015, we received an order issued by the Mine Safety and Health Administration ("MSHA") relating to maintenance issues and salt build-up in the ore hoisting shaft at our West mine. Upon issuance of the order, we suspended production at the West mine for 15 days while we took corrective actions to resolve the issues. As a result, potash production from our West mine was abnormally low during this period. In addition, although production resumed in mid-September, we continued to perform incremental maintenance on the ore hoisting shaft through the remainder of 2015, during which time production at the West mine was temporarily suspended.

During 2015, we temporarily suspended potash production at our East facility for a total of eleven days as we performed four separate plant tests relating to developing our plans to transition our East facility to Trio[®]-only production.

As a result of the temporary suspensions of production, we determined that approximately \$7.5 million and \$2.9 million of production costs at our West and East facilities, respectively, would have been allocated to additional potash tons produced, assuming we had been operating at normal production rates. Accordingly, these costs were excluded from our inventory values and instead directly expensed as period production costs. We compare actual production levels relative to what we estimated could have been produced if we had not incurred the temporary production suspensions and lower operating rates in order to determine the abnormal cost adjustment. We expect to perform additional testing in early 2016 at our East facility prior to its transition to a Trio[®]-only facility in mid-2016.

• Weather impact. Our solar facilities experienced a below average evaporation season in 2014, which negatively impacted 2015 production rates at all facilities. In addition, in 2015, we experienced a below average evaporation season, and as a result, fewer potash crystals were formed in our evaporation ponds for harvesting during the harvest season that began in the fall of 2015 and will continue into early 2016. Therefore, we expect lower production from these facilities in 2016 as compared to 2015 and are evaluating cost reduction opportunities at our solar facilities during the evaporative season.

• Cash conservation and covenant compliance. We remain focused on conserving cash and implementing further cost savings initiatives. We are currently in compliance with the covenants under our debt agreements; however, if current market conditions continue, we anticipate that our EBITDA levels will not be sufficient for us to maintain compliance with these financial covenants through 2016. As a result, we are proactively working with our lenders and evaluating options for maintaining compliance, which include requesting covenant amendments, waivers, or forbearances and could include a possible reduction of our debt level (including the payment of prepayment penalties). Our failure to comply with these covenants would be an event of default that, if not waived, could result in the acceleration of all outstanding indebtedness. In addition, the amount available under our credit facility would be reduced to zero. Our credit facility also has a covenant that requires us to provide to the lenders audited annual financial statements within 90 days of the end of each year. The audit report must not contain any going concern modification. The audit report included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K contains a going concern modification, and therefore does not satisfy the credit facility covenant. If we are unable to provide an audit report without a going concern modification by March 31, 2016, or are unable to obtain a waiver of this covenant, our failure to comply with this covenant would result in an event of default that could result in the acceleration of all outstanding indebtedness.

Selected Operating and Financial Data

The following tables present selected operations data for the periods noted. Analysis of the details of this information is contained throughout this discussion. We present this table as a summary of information relating to key indicators of financial condition and operating performance that we believe are important. We calculate average net realized sales price by deducting freight costs from gross revenues and then by dividing this result by tons of product sold during the period.

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2015	2014	2013	
Production volume (in thousands of tons):				
Potash	768	859	780	
Langbeinite	162	160	177	
Sales volume (in thousands of tons):				
Potash	587	915	692	
Trio®	163	182	123	
Gross sales (in thousands):				
Potash	\$217,467	\$334,323	\$284,831	
Trio®	69,716	76,066	51,481	
Total	287,183	410,389	336,312	
Freight costs (in thousands):				
Potash	18,262	30,615	20,796	
Trio®	10,461	12,608	8,060	
Total	28,723	43,223	28,856	
Net sales (in thousands) ⁽¹⁾ :				
Potash	199,205	303,708	264,035	
Trio®	59,255	63,458	43,421	
Total	\$258,460	\$367,166	\$307,456	
Potash statistics (per ton):				
Average net realized sales price ⁽¹⁾	\$339	\$332	\$382	
Cash operating costs ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	203	198	195	
Depreciation and depletion	77	69	52	
Royalties	13	12	13	
Total potash cost of goods sold	\$293	\$279	\$260	
Warehousing and handling costs	19	12	16	
Average potash gross margin ⁽¹⁾⁽³⁾	\$27	\$41	\$106	
Trio® statistics (per ton):				
Average net realized sales price ⁽¹⁾	\$364	\$349	\$352	
Cash operating costs ⁽¹⁾	203	194	201	
Depreciation and depletion	58	59	55	
Royalties	18	17	18	
Total Trio® cost of goods sold	\$279	\$270	\$274	
Warehousing and handling costs	17	11	15	
Average Trio [®] gross margin (1)(3)	\$68	\$68	\$63	

⁽¹⁾ Additional information about our non-GAAP financial measures is set forth under the heading "Non-GAAP Financial Measures."

Amounts are presented net of by-product credits. On a per-ton basis, by-product credits were \$13 for the year (2) ended December 31, 2015, \$7 for the year ended 2014, and \$9 for the year ended 2013. By-product credits were \$7.9 million, \$6.5 million and \$6.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively. Amounts presented exclude lower-of-cost-or-market inventory adjustments and costs associated with abnormal

⁽³⁾production. Lower-of-cost-or-market inventory adjustments were \$54 per ton and \$8 per ton of potash sold in the year

Table of Contents

ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Costs associated with abnormal production were \$14 per ton of potash produced in the year ended December 31, 2015. There were no costs associated with abnormal production in the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Results of Operations

Operating Highlights

Net loss for 2015 was \$524.8 million, or \$6.94 per diluted share, and cash flows from operating activities were \$22.7 million. Our net loss for 2015 included long-lived asset impairment charges of \$324 million in the fourth quarter of 2015 and a valuation allowance on our deferred tax assets, contributing to an income tax expense of \$150 million for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Potash

The majority of our revenues and gross margin is derived from the production and sales of potash. Potash sales as a percentage of our net sales, which we calculate as gross sales less freight costs, and gross margin were as follows for the indicated periods.

Contribution from

	Continuation	11011	L	
	Potash Sales			
	Net Sales		Gross Margin	
For the year ended December 31, 2015	77	%	59	%
For the year ended December 31, 2014	83	%	75	%
For the year ended December 31, 2013	86	%	90	%

We sold 587,000 tons of potash in 2015 compared with 915,000 tons in 2014. The decrease in sales volume was driven by uncertainty surrounding declining potash prices resulting from global and domestic potash supplies exceeding demand. As a result, customers have limited their exposure to inventory price risk, which resulted in decreased sales volumes in 2015 as compared to 2014. Our average net realized sales price of potash remained essentially flat at \$339 per ton in 2015, as compared to \$332 per ton in 2014. Beginning in the third quarter 2015, and intensifying through the fourth quarter 2015, the potash market experienced significant price declines due to global oversupply and producers importing tonnage into the North American potash market, in some cases by global producers seeking to reduce credit risk and take advantage of the strong U.S. dollar. We expect our average net realized sales price to decrease in 2016.

The table below shows our potash sales mix for 2015, 2014, and 2013.

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	2014	2013		
Agricultural	75	% 76	% 71	%	
Industrial	17	% 19	% 21	%	
Feed	8	% 5	% 8	%	

Our industrial sales are significantly influenced by oil and gas drilling activity. We believe our sales volumes to our industrial customers will decrease, and potentially pressure our net realized sales price, during 2016 as oil and gas drilling activity continues to slow in response to lower crude oil pricing.

Our production volume of potash in 2015 decreased to 768,000 tons, compared with 859,000 tons produced in 2014. The production decrease was due to reduced production at West due to the temporary suspensions of production noted above, as well as lower production at our solar solution facilities due to less favorable evaporative conditions in 2014 and 2015. These decreases were partially offset by increased production from our HB mine as it continued to ramp up production.

Trio®

Our Trio® production was flat in 2015 compared to 2014. We continue to focus our sales for granular- and premium-sized product in the domestic market. Our sales of Trio® decreased to 163,000 tons in 2015 as compared with 182,000 tons in 2014, as demand for Trio® was negatively affected by overall fertilizer price uncertainty, as noted above. We convert standard-sized product into premium-sized product through a process we call pelletization. The export market for the standard-sized product was relatively weak in 2015, highlighting the importance of our focus on improving the efficiency of our pelletization process and increasing the proportion of granular production. Our average Trio® gross margin was essentially unchanged in 2015 as compared to 2014. Our average net realized sales price for Trio® increased by \$15 per ton, but was offset by an increase of \$9 per ton in cash operating costs for

Trio® and an increase of \$6 per ton in warehouse and handling costs.

Our export sales of Trio[®] tend to have more variability as to the timing of these sales. As a result, the percentage of sales into the export market as compared to the domestic market can fluctuate significantly from period to period, as shown in the table below.

	United States	;	Export	
Trio [®] only				
For the year ended December 31, 2015	91	%	9	%
For the year ended December 31, 2014	91	%	9	%
For the year ended December 31, 2013	76	%	24	%

Average Net Realized Sales Price

We experienced price declines sequentially during each quarter in 2015 due to concerns that global productive capacity exceeds demand. We expect our average net realized sales price to decrease in 2016.

The table below demonstrates the progression of our average net realized sales price for potash and Trio[®] through 2014 and 2015.

Average net realized sales price for the three months ended:	Potash	$\operatorname{Trio}^{\mathbb{R}}$
	(Per ton)	
December 31, 2015	\$277	\$330
September 30, 2015	\$319	\$379
June 30, 2015	\$358	\$383
March 31, 2015	\$362	\$367
December 31, 2014	\$348	\$354
September 30, 2014	\$336	\$351
June 30, 2014	\$329	\$350
March 31, 2014	\$317	\$340

Specific Factors Affecting Our Results

Sales

Our gross sales are derived from the sales of potash and Trio[®] and are determined by the quantities of product we sell and the sales prices we realize. We quote prices to customers both on a delivered basis and on the basis of pick-up at our plants and warehouses. Freight costs are incurred on only a portion of our sales as many of our customers arrange and pay for their own freight directly. When we arrange and pay for freight, our quotes and billings are based on expected freight costs to the points of delivery. Although our gross sales include the freight that we bill, we do not believe that gross sales provide a representative measure of our performance in the market due to variations caused by ongoing changes in the proportion of customers paying for their own freight, the geographic distribution of our products, and freight rates. Rail freight rates have been steadily increasing, thereby negatively influencing our net realized sales prices. We view net sales, which are gross sales less freight costs, as the key performance indicator of our revenue as it conveys the net sales price of the product that we sold. We manage our sales and marketing operations centrally and we work to achieve the highest average net realized sales price we can by evaluating the product needs of our customers and associated logistics and then determining which of our production facilities can best satisfy these needs.

The volume of product we sell is determined by demand for our products and by our production capabilities. We intend to operate our facilities at full production levels, which provide the greatest operating efficiencies. By having adequate warehouse capacity, we can maintain production levels during periods of fluctuating product demand and have product inventory positioned closer to the fields in order to meet peak periods of fertilizer demand.

Cost of Goods Sold

Our cost of goods sold reflects the costs to produce our potash and Trio® products, less credits generated from the sale of our by-products. Many of our production costs are largely fixed and, consequently, our costs of sales per ton on a facility-by-facility basis tend to move inversely with the number of tons we produce, within the context of normal production levels. We expect to experience variability in our cost of goods sold due to fluctuations in the relative mix of product that we produce through conventional and solar solution mining. Our cost of goods sold per ton for our

solar solution facilities is less than our

cost of goods sold per ton for our conventional facilities. However, our solar solution production is impacted by weather variability. Our principal production costs include labor and employee benefits, maintenance materials, contract labor, and materials for operating or maintenance projects, natural gas, electricity, operating supplies, chemicals, depreciation and depletion, royalties, and leasing costs. There are elements of our cost structure associated with contract labor, consumable operating supplies, and reagents and royalties that are variable, which make up a smaller component of our cost base. Our periodic production costs and costs of goods sold will not necessarily match one another from period-to-period based on the fluctuation of inventory, sales, and production levels at our facilities. Our production costs per ton are also impacted when our production levels change, due to factors such as changes in the grade of ore delivered to the plant, levels of mine development, plant operating performance, downtime, and annual maintenance turnarounds. We expect that our labor and contract labor costs in Carlsbad, New Mexico, will continue to be influenced most directly by the demand for labor in the local Carlsbad, New Mexico, region where we compete for labor with the potash, oil and gas, and nuclear waste storage industries. Additionally, the East mine has a complex mineralogy with a mixed ore body comprised of potash and langbeinite. This complex ore is currently processed through a singular product flow at the surface facility. The specific grade, volume, and characterization of the ore that is mined at any particular time is subject to fluctuations due to the nature of the mineral deposits and influences the amount of tons of potash and langbeinite ultimately produced from the facility, which affects our production costs per ton for both products and affects our quarter-to-quarter results. Our total costs are also negatively impacted as we continue to perform plant tests relating to transitioning our East facility to Trio®-only production. We expect this transition to be completed in mid-2016.

We pay royalties to federal, state, and private lessors under our mineral leases. These payments typically equal a percentage of net sales of minerals extracted and sold under the applicable lease. In some cases, federal royalties for potash are paid on a sliding scale that varies with the grade of ore extracted. Our average royalty rate was 4.1%, 3.8% and 3.6% in 2015, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Income Taxes

We are a subchapter C corporation and, therefore, are subject to federal and state income taxes on our taxable income. Our effective tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 was (40.0)%, 9.7%, and 41.5%, respectively. Our effective income tax rates are impacted primarily by changes in the underlying tax rates in jurisdictions in which we are subject to income tax and permanent differences between book and tax income for the period, including the benefit associated with the estimated effect of the depletion deduction and research and development credits. During the year ended December 31, 2015, our effective tax rate was impacted as a result of recording an additional valuation allowance of \$300.3 million related to existing deferred tax assets, including \$218.8 million for property, plant, equipment and mineral properties, \$39.3 million for federal and state net operating losses, and \$4.2 million for federal and state alternative minimum tax credits. The additional valuation allowance was recorded due to the uncertainty around our ability to generate sufficient taxable income to realize the deferred tax assets

During the year ended December 31, 2014, our effective tax rate benefited from a discrete adjustment for the reversal of a \$1.7 million valuation allowance related to our New Mexico net operating loss carry forwards based on legislation passed by the State of New Mexico during the first quarter of 2014. Further, we benefited from a discrete adjustment related to the calculation of the benefit of the net operating loss carry back generated in 2013. The impact on our effective tax rate during 2014 of these discrete adjustments is more pronounced given the current level of income before income taxes

Our federal and state income tax returns are subject to examination by federal and state tax authorities. During the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, we recognized income tax expense of \$150.0 million, \$1.1 million and \$15.8 million, respectively. In 2015, 2014, and 2013, we incurred a net operating loss for income tax purposes. A portion of the net operating loss for 2013 was carried back to 2011 and 2012 with the remaining amount carried forward, along with the net operating losses for 2014 and 2015, as a deferred tax asset.

Total tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2015, was comprised of \$0.1 million of current income tax benefit and \$150.1 million of deferred income tax expense. The majority of our income tax expense in 2015 resulted from the increase in our valuation allowance related to our deferred tax assets, as discussed above. Total tax expense for the

year ended December 31, 2014, was comprised of \$1.0 million of current income tax benefit and \$2.1 million of deferred income tax expense. Total tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2013, was comprised of \$14.3 million of current income tax expense and \$30.1 million of deferred income tax expense. Our current tax expense for each of these periods was less than our total tax expense in large part due to the impact of accelerated tax bonus depreciation and the utilization of percentage depletion.

We evaluate our deferred tax assets and liabilities each reporting period using the enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the periods in which the deferred tax liability or asset is expected to be settled or realized. The estimated statutory income tax rates that are applied to our current and deferred income tax calculations are impacted most significantly

by the states in which we do business. Changing business conditions for normal business transactions and operations as well as changes to state tax rate and apportionment laws potentially alter our apportionment of income among the states for income tax purposes. These changes in apportionment laws result in changes in the calculation of our current and deferred income taxes, including the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities. The effects of any such changes are recorded in the period of the adjustment. These adjustments can increase or decrease the net deferred tax asset on the balance sheet and impact the corresponding deferred tax benefit or deferred tax expense on the income statement. As of December 31, 2013, our estimate of our blended state tax rate increased, resulting in an increase of the value of the deferred tax asset by net \$0.9 million to reflect changes in business conditions in concert with changes in apportionment rules of the states in which we operate, and a decrease in the state tax rate for the state of New Mexico.

Results of Operations for the Years ended December 31, 2015, and 2014

Net sales of potash decreased \$104.5 million, or 34%, from \$303.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, to \$199.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. This decrease was primarily the result of a 36% decrease in sales volumes of potash at an essentially flat average net realized sales price. Sales volumes in 2015 decreased over those realized in 2014 due primarily to lower demand and the decreased purchasing of potash as North American supply pressured sales prices, particularly in the second half of 2015.

Net sales of Trio® decreased from \$63.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, to \$59.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, due to a 10% decrease in the volume of sales, partially offset by the average net realized sales price of Trio® increased \$15 per ton. We continued to see solid demand for our Trio® product, particularly the granular-sized and premium-sized products; however, the margin opportunity for standard-sized Trio® was pressured in 2015. As a result, we continue to focus on converting standard-sized product to premium-sized product for sale in the domestic market.

Cost of Goods Sold

The following table presents our cost of goods sold for potash and Trio® for the subject periods:

	Year ended December 31,		Change Between		
	2015	2014	Periods	% Change	•
Cost of goods sold (in millions)	\$217.8	\$303.9	\$(86.1) (28)%
Cost per ton of potash sold(1)	\$293	\$279	\$14	5	%
Cost per ton of Trio® sold(2)	\$279	\$270	\$9	3	%

- (1) Depreciation and depletion expense for potash was \$45.4 million and \$63.0 million in 2015 and 2014, respectively, which equates to \$77 and \$69 on a per-ton basis.
- (2) Depreciation and depletion expense for Trio[®] was \$9.5 million and \$10.7 million in 2015 and 2014, respectively, which equates to \$58 and \$59 on a per-ton basis.

Total cost of goods sold of potash, which includes royalties and depreciation, depletion and amortization, decreased as we experienced lower sales volumes in 2015 compared to 2014. Our cash operating costs per ton for 2015 increased compared to 2014, due to lower production at our East, West and Wendover facilities, partially offset by increased production at our HB mine and costs associated with the start-up of our HB plant in 2014. As noted above, we recorded lower-of-cost-or-market inventory adjustments, and costs associated with abnormal production and other costs, during 2015 of \$31.8 million and \$10.4 million, respectively, which are excluded from our cost of goods sold. Total cost of goods sold of Trio® decreased on lower sales volumes in 2015 as compared to 2014. Production of langbeinite remained flat compared with 2014.

In total, our cost of goods sold decreased \$86.1 million, or 28%, from \$303.9 million in 2014 to \$217.8 million in 2015, as a result of fewer tons of potash sold in 2015. As a percentage of sales, cost of goods sold increased as our per ton production costs increased resulting from increased contract labor costs, maintenance, and professional services during the year ended December 31, 2015.

On a comparative basis, and within our production costs, depreciation and depletion increased \$5.3 million, or 7.1%, during 2015 primarily as a result of the accelerated depreciation of assets that will be taken out of service as a result of the transitioning of our East facility to Trio®-only. We expect this transition to be completed in mid-2016. Going forward, on a

year-over-year basis, we expect depreciation expense to decrease significantly as a result of the impairment charges recognized in the fourth quarter of 2015.

Lower-of-Cost-or-Market Inventory Adjustments

During the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, we recorded charges of approximately \$31.8 million and \$8.2 million, respectively, as a result of routine assessments of the lower of weighted average cost or estimated net realizable value on our finished goods product inventory. The \$31.8 million of lower-of-cost-or-market adjustments recorded during the year ended December 31, 2015, related to our potash inventories from our conventional facilities, and resulted from our higher production costs per ton and overall lower potash pricing. Of the \$8.2 million of lower-of-cost-or-market adjustments recorded during the year ended December 31, 2014, \$4.0 million related to the start-up activities of our HB mine and \$4.2 million related to inventory from our conventional facilities. Costs Associated with Abnormal Production and Other

As discussed above, because of the temporary suspensions of production during 2015, we determined that approximately \$7.5 million and \$2.9 million of production costs at our West and East facilities, respectively, would have been allocated to additional tons produced, assuming we had been operating at normal production rates. Accordingly, these costs were excluded from our inventory values and instead expensed in 2015 as period production costs. We compare actual production relative to what we estimated could have been produced if we had not incurred the temporary production suspensions and lower operating rates in order to determine the abnormal cost adjustment.

Selling and Administrative Expense

Selling and administrative expenses remained flat at \$27.5 million in 2015 compared to \$27.2 million in 2014. Restructuring Expense

In January 2014, in response to lower potash prices and the substantial completion of our major capital projects, we undertook a number of cost saving actions that were intended to better align our cost structure with the current business environment. These initiatives included the elimination of approximately 7% of our workforce, including capital project related support associated with our major capital projects, reduction in the use of outside professionals, and cutbacks in other general and administrative areas.

In January 2016, in response to continued downward pressure on potash prices, we undertook additional cost-savings actions. These actions included the elimination of approximately 5% of our workforce and reductions in compensation and benefits (including elimination of annual bonuses for 2015 and 2016 for most employees). We expect to recognize a restructuring charge of approximately \$400,000 in the first quarter of 2016. This restructuring charge is primarily comprised of severance-related payments.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

During the fourth quarter of 2015, we recognized impairment charges of \$324 million related to our East and West conventional mining facilities, and our North facility in New Mexico due to the continued decline in potash prices noted during this period.

Other Operating Expense (Income)

In December 2015, a snowstorm caused damage to a portion of one of our warehouses in New Mexico and product stored in the warehouse. These damages, as well as alternative handling and storage costs are expected to be covered by our insurance policies at replacement value, less a \$1 million deductible. We have submitted an insurance claim of \$2.2 million and are awaiting our carrier's response. During the fourth quarter of 2015, we recognized \$2.5 million of losses related to this snowstorm, and those losses are reflected in "Other operating (income) expense" in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations. We will recognize in income the portion of the proceeds from the insurance claim related to product losses if the claim is approved.

In late 2014, we initiated legal action to protest property tax valuations in New Mexico. In the second quarter of 2015, we reached an agreement with the State of New Mexico that resulted in a net \$2.0 million reduction in previously paid property taxes. Accordingly, as the inventory produced during 2014 has since been sold, we recorded the settlement in "Other operating (income) expense" during the second quarter of 2015.

During 2013, our application for certain New Mexico employment-related credits was denied, and we recorded an additional allowance of \$2.8 million related to the denied tax credits. In 2014, we received notice that the State of New Mexico had approved claims that had been previously denied. Accordingly, we reduced our estimate of the allowance related to the realizability of our claims by \$4.1 million. The credits were for periods prior to 2014 and the inventory produced during that

time has been sold; therefore, we recorded the decrease in the allowance as "Other operating (income) expense" in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations in 2014.

Results of Operations for the Years ended December 31, 2014, and 2013 Net Sales

Net sales of potash increased \$39.7 million, or 15%, from \$264.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, to \$303.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. This increase was the result of a 32% increase in sales volumes of potash partially offset by a decrease in the average net realized sales price of potash by \$50 per ton, or 13%, in the comparable period. Our 2014 sales volumes increased over those realized in 2013, due primarily to higher demand and the increased purchasing of potash as our customers had increased confidence in the price of potash in 2014. Net sales of Trio® increased from \$43.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, to \$63.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, due to a 48% increase in the volume of sales while the average net realized sales price of Trio® remained essentially flat. We saw strong demand for our Trio® product, particularly the granular-sized and premium-sized products; however, the margin opportunity for standard-sized Trio® in the export market was limited. Cost of Goods Sold

The following table presents our cost of goods sold for potash and Trio® for the subject periods:

	Year ended December 31,		Change Between		
	2014	2013	Periods	% Change	
Cost of goods sold (in millions)	\$303.9	\$212.9	\$91.0	43	%
Cost per ton of potash sold(1)	\$279	\$260	\$19.0	7	%
Cost per ton of Trio® sold(2)	\$270	\$274	\$(4.0) (1)%

- Depreciation and depletion expense for potash was \$63.0 million and \$35.6 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively, which equates to \$69 and \$52 on a per-ton basis.
- (2) Depreciation and depletion expense for Trio® was \$10.7 million and \$6.8 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively, which equates to \$59 and \$55 on a per-ton basis.

Total cost of goods sold of potash, which includes royalties and depreciation, depletion and amortization, increased as we experienced higher sales volumes in 2014 compared to 2013. Further, although our cash operating costs per ton for 2014 were essentially flat compared to 2013, these costs were negatively impacted by lower production at our West facility and the costs associated with the start-up of our HB plant, offset by increased production at the HB plant and East facility. We recorded lower-of-cost-or-market inventory adjustments during 2014 of \$8.2 million, of which \$4.0 million related to the start-up activities of our HB mine, and approximately \$3.9 million primarily related to standard-sized inventory at our East facility. The lower-of-cost-or market adjustments are excluded from our cost of goods sold.

Total cost of goods sold of Trio[®] increased as our sales volumes in 2014 were significantly higher than in 2013. Further, production of langbeinite decreased compared with 2013 due to lower ore grade and production inefficiencies related to our efforts to convert standard-sized Trio[®] into premium-sized Trio[®], as described previously. In total, our cost of goods sold increased \$91.0 million, or 43%, from \$212.9 million in 2013 to \$303.9 million in 2014, as a result of more tons of potash sold in 2014. As a percentage of sales, cost of goods sold increased as our per ton production costs increased resulting in higher per ton inventory values. The increases in production costs were the result of increases in labor costs, natural gas, electricity, maintenance and professional services during the year ended December 31, 2014.

On a comparative basis, and within our production costs, depreciation and depletion increased \$18.5 million, or 33%, during 2014 as a result of the significant capital investments being placed into service in the latter half of 2013 and the early part of 2014.

Lower-of-Cost-or-Market Inventory Adjustments

During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded charges of approximately \$8.2 million, as a result of routine assessments of the lower of weighted average cost or estimated net realizable value on our finished goods product

inventory. Of the \$8.2 million of lower-of-cost-or-market adjustments recorded during the year ended December 31, 2014, \$4.0 million related to the start-up activities of our HB mine and \$4.2 million related to inventory from our conventional facilities.

Selling and Administrative Expense

Selling and administrative expenses decreased \$6.6 million, or 19%, to \$27.2 million in 2014 from \$33.8 million in 2013. The decrease was driven by a number of cost saving actions that were implemented during 2014 to better align our cost structure with the current business environment, including the cost reduction items noted below.

Restructuring Expense

In January 2014, in response to lower potash prices and the substantial completion of our major capital projects, we undertook a number of cost saving actions that were intended to better align our cost structure with the current business environment. These initiatives included the elimination of approximately 7% of the workforce, including employees supporting our major capital projects, reduction in the use of outside professionals, and cutbacks in other general and administrative areas.

Other Operating (Income) Expense

During 2013, our application for certain New Mexico employment-related credits was denied, and we recorded an additional allowance of \$2.8 million related to the denied tax credits. In 2014, we received notice that the State of New Mexico had approved claims that had been previously denied. Accordingly, we reduced our estimate of the allowance related to the realizability of our claims by \$4.1 million. The credits were for periods prior to 2014 and the inventory produced during that time has been sold; therefore, we recorded the decrease in the allowance as "Other operating (income) expense" in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations in 2014.

Also in 2013, we received a refund from the State of New Mexico related to a compensating tax refund submitted in prior periods. The receipt of the refund removed uncertainty about the amount and collection of the refund and therefore, we recorded \$1.7 million of income, which was also recorded in "Other operating (income) expense" in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations in 2013.

Other Income (Expense)

In April 2013, we funded \$2.0 million to settle all pension plan liabilities and recorded an additional expense of approximately \$1.9 million to reflect the termination of the pension plan. This amount is recorded as "Other income (expense)" in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations in 2013, and represents the difference between the final amount funded, and the sum of the recorded pension liability and the unrecognized actuarial losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Capital Investments

We expect our level of capital investment to be approximately \$22 million to \$27 million for 2016, the majority of which we expect to be sustaining capital. We anticipate our 2016 operating plans and capital programs will be funded out of operating cash flows and existing cash and investments. We may also use our revolving credit facility, to the extent available, to fund capital investments.

Expected capital investment include amounts relating to the transition of our East facility to a Trio®-only facility, which we expect to be completed in mid-2016, and our efforts to optimize our langbeinite recovery techniques and maximize the amount of granular and premium-sized Trio® that we produce.

During 2015, we paid cash of \$46.0 million for capital projects.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2015, we had cash, cash equivalents, and investments of \$63.6 million.

This amount was made up of the following:

•\$9.1 million in cash;

\$0.3 million in cash equivalent investments, consisting of money market accounts with banking institutions that we believe are financially sound; and

\$50.5 million and \$3.8 million invested in short and long-term investments, respectively.

Our operations have been and are expected to be primarily funded from cash on hand and cash generated by operations. We will continue to monitor our future sources and uses of cash and anticipate that we will make adjustments to our capital allocation strategies when, and if, determined by our Board of Directors. We also have the ability to borrow under

our unsecured credit facility, to the extent available and subject to the limitations described below under the heading "Unsecured Credit Facility."

We may use our credit facility as a source of liquidity for operating activities and to give us additional flexibility to finance, among other things, our capital investments and possible repayments of debt.

The following summarizes our cash flow activity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013:

	Year ended December 31,					
	2015		2014		2013	
	(In thousands)					
Cash flows provided by operating activities	\$22,690		\$127,486		\$64,898	
Cash flows used in investing activities	\$(79,577)	\$(59,624)	\$(246,439)
Cash flows (used in) provided by financing activities	\$(1,395)	\$(667)	\$148,316	

Operating Activities

Total cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2015, was \$22.7 million, a decrease of \$104.8 million compared with the year ended December 31, 2014. The primary driver of this decrease was lower sales volumes at a flat average net realized sales price for potash, as discussed previously, which resulted in a net loss. Total cash provided by operating activities increased by \$62.6 million in 2014 compared to 2013. The primary driver of this increase was higher sales volumes at a lower average net realized sales price for potash which, together, resulted in lower net income.

Investing Activities

Total cash used in investing activities increased \$20.0 million in 2015 compared to 2014 primarily as a result of the increase in purchases of investments partially offset by decreased capital investment in 2014. Total cash used in investing activities decreased \$186.8 million in 2014 compared to 2013 primarily as a result of the decrease in capital investments in 2014.

Unsecured Credit Facility

We have an unsecured credit facility, led by U.S. Bank, as administrative agent, and Wells Fargo Bank, as syndication agent. In February 2016, we amended this unsecured credit facility to provide a revolving credit facility up to a maximum of \$150 million. The actual amount available to us is limited by our leverage ratio, which may not exceed 3.5 to 1, and our fixed charge coverage ratio, which may not be below 1.3 to 1. As of December 31, 2015, our leverage ratio was 1.51 to 1 and our fixed charge coverage ratio was 5.99 to 1.

In January 2016, we entered into an amendment to modify the financial covenants under the credit facility. As amended our financial covenants are as follows:

Our maximum leverage ratio, calculated as the ratio of funded indebtedness to adjusted EBITDA (earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation, amortization, and certain other expenses, as defined in the credit facility) for the prior four fiscal quarters, is 3.5 to 1. Funded indebtedness is calculated as total funded indebtedness less cash and cash equivalents up to a maximum of \$75 million.

Our minimum fixed charge coverage ratio, calculated as the ratio of adjusted EBITDA for the prior four fiscal quarters less maintenance capital expenditures and cash paid for income taxes, to interest expense plus scheduled principal amortization of long-term funded indebtedness, is 1.3 to 1, where annual maintenance capital expenditures is set at \$20 million.

These ratios operate to limit the total amount available to us under the facility. If adjusted EBITDA remains flat or continues to decrease over several quarters with no change to indebtedness, our leverage ratio will remain at a level where less than \$150 million is available to us. For example, as of December 31, 2015, \$133 million was available to us under the facility due to an increase in our leverage ratio as a result of lower levels of adjusted EBITDA over the prior four fiscal quarters. Based on current market conditions, we expect that the total amount available to us under the facility will continue to be limited, potentially to zero, during 2016. We believe that any amounts available to us will be adequate to fund our operations and our capital investment projects.

We are currently in compliance with the covenants under our debt agreements; however, if current market conditions continue, we anticipate that our EBITDA levels will not be sufficient for us to maintain compliance with these financial covenants through 2016. As a result, we are proactively working with our lenders and evaluating options for maintaining compliance, which include requesting covenant amendments, waivers or forbearances, and could include a possible reduction of our debt level (including the payment of prepayment penalties). Our failure to comply with these covenants would be an event of default that, if not waived, could result in the acceleration of all outstanding indebtedness (including the acceleration of our senior notes discussed below and any amounts outstanding under the credit facility). In addition, the amount available under the facility would be reduced to zero. If the lenders were to make such a demand for repayment, we would be unable to pay the obligations as we do not have sufficient cash on hand to satisfy these obligations. These factors raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. While we will continue to work with our existing lenders, there can be no assurance that we will be successful. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the ordinary course of business. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern. The credit facility also has a covenant that requires us to provide to the lenders audited annual financial statements within 90 days of the end of each year. The audit report must not contain any going concern modification. As noted above, the audit report included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K contains a going concern modification, and therefore does not satisfy the credit facility covenant. If we are unable to provide an audit report without a going concern modification by March 31, 2016, or are unable to obtain a waiver of this covenant, our failure to comply with this covenant would result in an event of default that could result in the acceleration of all outstanding indebtedness (including the acceleration of our senior notes discussed below and any amounts outstanding under the credit facility. Outstanding balances under the unsecured credit facility bear interest at a floating rate, which, at our option, is either (1) the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), plus a margin of between 1.125% and 2.25%, depending upon our leverage ratio, as defined above; or (2) an alternative base rate, plus a margin of between 0.125% and 1.25%, depending upon our leverage ratio. We pay a quarterly commitment fee on the outstanding portion of the unused revolving unsecured credit facility amount of between 0.15% and 0.35%, depending on our leverage ratio. The interest rate paid under our unsecured credit facility on any debt varies both with changes in the LIBOR and with our leverage ratio.

The facility is unsecured and is guaranteed by our material subsidiaries. We are currently in compliance with the covenants under the facility. The facility was amended in August 2015 to extend the maturity date by two years to August 2020. As of December 31, 2015, and December 31, 2014, there were no amounts outstanding under the facility. We occasionally borrow and repay amounts under the facility for near-term working capital needs and may do so in the future.

Unsecured Senior Notes

In April 2013, we received net proceeds of \$149.3 million from the issuance of \$150 million aggregate principal amount of the senior notes. The senior notes consist of the following series:

\$60 million of 3.23% Senior Notes, Series A, due April 16, 2020

\$45 million of 4.13% Senior Notes, Series B, due April 14, 2023

\$45 million of 4.28% Senior Notes, Series C, due April 16, 2025

The senior notes are senior unsecured obligations and rank equally in right of payment with any of our other unsubordinated unsecured indebtedness. The obligations under the senior notes are unconditionally guaranteed by our material subsidiaries. In January 2016, we entered into an amendment to modify the financial covenants under the senior notes similar to the amendment under our credit facility, as described above. The amendment also provides that the interest rate for the senior notes will be increased by 0.25% during any time that our leverage ratio exceeds 2.25 to 1.

As described above, these ratios and other restricted covenants under the senior notes could limit our ability to engage in activities that we believe are in our long-term best interests. We are currently in compliance with the covenants under our debt agreements; however, if current market conditions continue, we anticipate that our EBITDA levels will

not be sufficient for us to maintain compliance with our financial covenants through 2016. As a result, we are proactively working with our lenders and evaluating options for maintaining compliance, which include requesting covenant amendments, waivers, or forbearances and could include a possible reduction of our debt level (including the payment of prepayment penalties). Our failure to comply with these covenants would be an event of default that, waived, could result in the acceleration of all outstanding indebtedness (including the acceleration of any amounts outstanding under the credit facility discussed above).

Interest on the senior notes is paid semiannually on April 16 and October 16 of each year.

Contractual Obligations

As of December 31, 2015, we had contractual obligations totaling \$284.0 million on an undiscounted basis, as indicated below. Contractual commitments shown are for the full calendar year indicated unless otherwise indicated.

Payments Due By Period

	Payments Due By Period									
	Total	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	More Than 5 Years			
	(In thousands)									
Long-term debt	\$150,000	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$60,000	\$90,000			
Fixed rate interest										
obligations on long-term	40,957	5,723	5,723	5,723	5,723	4,753	13,312			
debt										
Operating lease	10,873	3,909	3,110	2,496	671	397	290			
obligations(1)	10,075	3,707	3,110	2,100	071	371	270			
Purchase	6,698	6,698								
commitments(2)	0,000	0,000								
Natural gas purchase	4,142	4,142								
commitments(3)	,	,								
Asset retirement	58,395		1,597	1,325	1,325	2,325	51,823			
obligation(4)	,		,	,	,	,	,			
Minimum royalty	12,917	517	517	517	517	517	10,332			
payments(5)	¢202.002	¢20.000	¢ 10 047	¢ 10 06 1	¢0.226	¢ 67,000	¢ 1 <i>65 757</i>			
Total	\$283,982	\$20,989	\$10,947	\$10,061	\$8,236	\$67,992	\$165,757			

- (1) Amounts include all operating lease payments, inclusive of sales tax, for leases for office space, an airplane, railcars and other equipment.
- (2) Purchase contractual commitments include the approximate amount due vendors for non-cancelable purchase commitments for materials and services.
 - We have committed to purchase a minimum quantity of natural gas, which is priced at floating index dependent
- (3) rates plus \$0.06 to \$0.15 per Million British Thermal Unit, estimated based on forward rates. Amounts are based on spot rates inclusive of estimated transportation costs and sales tax.
 - We are obligated to reclaim and remediate lands that our operations have disturbed, but, because of the long-term nature of our reserves and facilities, we estimate that the majority of those expenditures will not be required until
- after 2020. Although our reclamation obligation activities are not required to begin until after we cease operations, we anticipate certain activities to occur prior to then related to reclamation of facilities that have been replaced with newly constructed assets, as well as certain shaft closure activities for shafts that are no longer in use.

 Commitments shown are in today's dollars and are undiscounted.
- (5) Estimated annual minimum royalties due under mineral leases, assuming approximately a 25-year life, consistent with estimated useful lives of plant assets.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2015, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements aside from the operating leases described above under "Contractual Obligations" and bonding obligations described in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our financial statements. Actual results could differ from our estimates and assumptions, and these differences could result in material changes to our financial statements. The following discussion presents information about our most critical accounting policies and estimates. Our significant accounting policies are further described in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015, included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Revenue Recognition—Revenue is recognized when evidence of an arrangement exists, risks and rewards of ownership have been transferred to customers, which is generally when title passes, the selling price is fixed and determinable, and collection is reasonably assured. Title passes at the designated shipping point for the majority of sales, but, in a few cases, title passes at the delivery destination. The shipping point may be the plant, a distribution warehouse, a customer warehouse, or a port. Title passes for some international shipments upon payment by the purchaser; however, revenue is not recognized for these transactions until shipment because the risks and rewards of ownership have not transferred pursuant to a contractual arrangement. Prices are generally set at the time of, or prior to, shipment. In cases where the final price is determined after shipment and agreed to with our customer, revenue is recognized when the final sales price is fixed and determinable and the other revenue recognition criteria have been met.

Sales are reported on a gross basis. We quote prices to customers both on a delivered basis and on the basis of pick-up at our plants and warehouses. When a sale occurs on a delivered basis, we incur and, in turn, bill the customer and record as gross revenue the product sales value, freight, packaging, and certain other distribution costs. Many customers, however, arrange and pay for these costs directly and, in these situations, only the product sales are included in gross revenues.

Application of this policy requires that we make estimates regarding creditworthiness of the customer, which impacts the timing of revenue recognition and, ultimately, the determination of allowance for doubtful accounts. We make those estimates based on the most recent information available and historical experience, but they may be affected by subsequent changes in market conditions.

Property, Plant, and Equipment—Property, plant, and equipment are stated at historical cost. Expenditures for property, plant, and equipment relating to new assets or improvements are capitalized, provided the expenditure extends the useful life of an asset or extends the asset's functionality. Property, plant, and equipment are depreciated under the straight-line method using estimated useful lives. No depreciation is taken on assets classified as construction in progress until the asset is placed into service. Gains or losses are recorded upon retirement, sale or disposal of assets. Maintenance and repair costs are recognized as period costs when incurred. Capitalized interest, to the extent of debt outstanding, is calculated and assigned to assets that are being constructed, drilled, being built or otherwise are classified as construction in progress.

Mineral Properties and Development Costs—Mineral properties and development costs, which are referred to collectively as mineral properties, include acquisition costs, the cost of drilling wells, and the cost of other development work, all of which are capitalized. Depletion of mineral properties is calculated using the units-of-production method over the estimated life of the relevant ore body. The lives of reserves used for accounting purposes are shorter than current reserve life determinations due to uncertainties inherent in long-term estimates. We have prepared these reserve life estimates and they have been reviewed and independently determined by mine consultants. Tons of potash and langbeinite in the proven and probable reserves are expressed in terms of expected finished tons of product to be realized, net of estimated losses. Market price fluctuations of potash or Trio[®], as well as increased production costs or reduced recovery rates, could render proven and probable reserves containing relatively lower grades of mineralization uneconomic to exploit and might result in a reduction of reserves. In addition, the provisions of our mineral leases, including royalties payable, are subject to periodic readjustment by the state and federal government, which could affect the economics of our reserve estimates. Significant changes in the estimated reserves could have a material impact on our results of operations and financial position.

Inventory and Long-Term Parts Inventory—Inventory consists of product and by-product stocks that are ready for sale; mined ore; potash in evaporation ponds, which is considered work-in-process; and parts and supplies inventory. Product and by-product inventory cost is determined using the lower of weighted average cost or estimated net realizable value and includes direct costs, maintenance, operational overhead, depreciation, depletion, and equipment lease costs applicable to the production process. Direct costs, maintenance, and operational overhead include labor and associated benefits.

We evaluate production levels and costs to determine if any should be deemed abnormal and therefore excluded from inventory costs and expensed directly during the applicable period. The assessment of normal production levels is

judgmental and unique to each period. We model normal production levels and evaluate historical ranges of production by operating plant in assessing what is deemed to be normal.

Parts inventory, including critical spares, that is not expected to be used within a period of one year is classified as non-current. Parts and supply inventory cost is determined using the lower of average acquisition cost or estimated replacement cost. Detailed reviews are performed related to the net realizable value of parts inventory, giving consideration to quality, slow-moving items, obsolescence, excessive levels, and other factors. Parts inventories that have not turned over in more than a year, excluding parts classified as critical spares, are reviewed for obsolescence and, if deemed appropriate, are included in the determination of an allowance for obsolescence.

Recoverability of Long-Lived Assets—We evaluate our long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the related carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment is considered to potentially exist if an asset group's total estimated future cash flows on an undiscounted basis are less than the carrying amount of the related asset. An impairment loss is measured and recorded based on the excess of the carrying amount of long-lived assets over its estimated fair value. Changes in significant assumptions underlying future cash flow estimates or fair values of asset groups may have a material effect on our financial position and results of operations. Sales price is a significant element of any cash flow estimate, particularly for higher cost operations. Other assumptions we estimate include, among other things, the economic life of the asset, sales volume, inflation, raw materials costs, cost of capital, tax rates and capital spending. These assumptions do not change in isolation; therefore, it is not practicable to present the impact of changing a single assumption.

Factors we generally will consider important and which could trigger an impairment review of the carrying value of long-lived assets include the following:

- significant underperformance relative to expected operating results or operating losses;
- significant changes in the manner of use of assets or the strategy for our overall business;
- the denial or delay of necessary permits or approvals that would affect the utilization of our tangible assets;
- underutilization of our tangible assets;
- discontinuance of certain products by us or our customers;
- a decrease in estimated mineral reserves; and
- significant negative industry or economic trends.

In connection with our analysis of the recoverability of our long lived assets, due to the significant decrease in potash prices during the second half of 2015, in the fourth quarter of 2015, we recognized impairment charges of \$324 million related to our East and West conventional mining facilities, and our North facility in New Mexico. Although we believe the carrying values of our long-lived assets were realizable as of the balance sheet dates, future events could cause us to conclude otherwise.

Asset Retirement Obligation—All of our mining properties involve certain reclamation liabilities as required by the states in which they operate or by the BLM. Reclamation costs are initially recorded as a liability associated with the asset to be reclaimed or abandoned, based on applicable inflation assumptions and discount rates. The accretion of this discounted liability is recognized as expense over the life of the related assets, and the liability is periodically adjusted to reflect changes in the estimates of the time or amount of the reclamation and abandonment costs. These asset retirement obligations are reviewed and updated at least annually with any changes in balances recorded as adjustments to the related assets and liabilities. The estimates of amounts to be spent are subject to considerable uncertainty and long timeframes. Changes in these estimates could have a material impact on our results of operations and financial position.

Planned Turnaround Maintenance—Each production operation typically shuts down periodically for planned maintenance activities. Our New Mexico operations have historically shut down for up to two weeks to perform turnaround maintenance. Generally, our HB, Moab, and Wendover operations cease harvesting potash from our solar ponds during one or more summer months to make the most of the evaporation season. During these summer turnarounds, annual maintenance is performed. The costs of maintenance turnarounds at our facilities are considered part of production costs and are absorbed into inventory in the period incurred.

Income Taxes—We are a subchapter C corporation and therefore are subject to U.S. federal and state income taxes. We recognize income taxes under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the

estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of assets and

Table of Contents

liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the periods in which the deferred tax liability or asset is expected to be settled or realized. We record a valuation allowance if it is deemed more likely than not that our deferred income tax assets will not be realized in full; such determinations are subject to ongoing assessment.

Stock Based Compensation—We account for stock based compensation by recording expense using the fair value of the awards at the time of grant. We have recorded compensation expense associated with the issuance of non-vested restricted shares of common stock, non-vested performance units, and non-qualified stock options, all of which are subject to service conditions. The expense associated with these awards is recognized over the service period associated with each issuance. Performance units are also subject to operational performance or market based conditions.

New Accounting Standards

Refer to Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Annual Report for a discussion of new accounting standards.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

To supplement our consolidated financial statements, which are prepared and presented in accordance with GAAP, we use several non-GAAP financial measures to monitor and evaluate our performance. These non-GAAP financial measures include net sales, average net realized sales price, cash operating costs per ton and average potash and Trio[®] gross margin per ton. These non-GAAP financial measures should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for, or superior to, the financial information prepared and presented in accordance with GAAP. In addition, because the presentation of these non-GAAP financial measures varies among companies, our non-GAAP financial measures may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies.

We believe these non-GAAP financial measures provide useful information to investors for analysis of our business. We also refer to these non-GAAP financial measures in assessing our performance and when planning, forecasting, and analyzing future periods. We believe these non-GAAP financial measures are widely used by professional research analysts and others in the valuation, comparison, and investment recommendations of companies in the potash mining industry. Many investors use the published research reports of these professional research analysts and others in making investment decisions.

Below is a reconciliation of these non-GAAP measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measure, for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013:

Net Sales and Average Net Realized Sales Price per Ton

Net sales and average net realized sales price per ton are non-GAAP financial measures. Net sales are calculated as sales less freight costs. Average net realized sales price per ton is calculated as net sales, divided by the number of tons sold in the period. We consider net sales and average net realized sales price per ton to be useful because they remove the effect of transportation and delivery costs on sales and pricing. When we arrange transportation and delivery for a customer, we include in revenue and in freight costs the costs associated with transportation and delivery. However, many of our customers arrange for and pay their own transportation and delivery costs, in which case these costs are not included in our revenue and freight costs. We use net sales and average net realized sales price per ton as key performance indicators to analyze sales and price trends.