CURATIVE HEALTH SERVICES INC Form 10-Q August 09, 2005

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

IXI Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005

OR

|_| Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number: 000-50371

Curative Health Services, Inc. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MINNESOTA (State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

51-0467366 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

61 Spit Brook Road Nashua, New Hampshire 03060 (Address of principal executive offices)

(603) 888-1500 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: Yes |X| No $|_|$

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act): Yes |X| No $|_|$

As of August 1, 2005, there were 13,019,800 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, \$.01 par value, outstanding.

Part I 	Financial Information	Page
Item 1	Financial Statements:	
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations Three and Six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004	3
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004	5
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	16
	Cautionary Statement and Risk Factors	25
Item 3	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	43
Item 4	Controls and Procedures	44

Part II 	Other Information	Page
Item 1	Legal Proceedings	45
Item 4	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	45
Item 5	Other Information	46
Item 6	Exhibits	46
	Signatures	48

2

Part I Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

Curative Health Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (In thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited)

Three Mont	hs Ended	Six Months	Ended
June	30	June 3	30
2005	2004	2005	2004

Edgar Filing:	CURATIVE HEALTH SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q
- 3 3	

Products Services		\$ 57,698 6,742	13,838	13,214
Total revenues		64,440		
Costs and operating expenses:				
Cost of product sales		46,586		
Cost of services		2,972		
Selling, general and administrative		16,176		
Total costs and operating expenses	72,318	65,734	156,285	125 , 503
(Loss) income from operations	(709)	(1,294)	2,067	4,495
Interest expense	(6,172)	(3,993)	(11,998)	(4,609
Other income	2,582		608	108
Loss before income taxes	(4,299)	(5,186)	(9,323)	(6
Income tax provision (benefit)	475	(2,054)	(1,185)	
Net (loss) income	\$ (4,774) ========			
Net (loss) income per common share, basic	\$ (0.37) ========			
Net (loss) income per common share, diluted(1)	\$ (0.37) ========	,		•
Weighted average common shares, basic		13,092		
Weighted average common shares, diluted	13,011	13,092		

(1) See Note 2 for net (loss) income per share calculation.

See accompanying notes

3

Curative Health Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (In thousands) (Unaudited)

June 30,	December	31,
2005	2004	

Edgar Filing:	CURATIVE HEALTH SERVICES INC - Form 10-Q	

Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,002	\$	1,176
Accounts receivable, net	76 , 639		81,766
Inventories	11,435		18,398
Prepaids and other current assets	8,003		5,660
Federal income tax refund receivable	3,431		3,431
Deferred income tax assets	3,966		3,977
Total current assets	104,476		114,408
Property and equipment, net	11,558		11,104
Intangibles subject to amortization, net	19,628		20,540
Intangibles not subject to amortization (trade names)	1,615		1,615
Goodwill	114,509		123,138
Other assets	13,289		12,979
Total assets	\$ 265,075		283,784
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) EQUITY			
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$ 18 , 709	\$	35,740
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	20,632		21,384
Current portion of long-term liabilities	4,996		6,496
Total current liabilities	44,337		63,620
Long-term liabilities	217,776		210,991
Deferred income taxes	4,348		3,511
Other long-term liabilities	83		1,209
Total long-term liabilities	222,207		215,711
Stockholders' (deficit) equity:			
Common stock	129		128
Additional paid in capital	120,224 (119,425)		119,449
Accumulated deficit	(119,425)		(111,287)
Deferred compensation	(2,397)		(2,364)
Notes receivable - stockholders			(1,473)
Total stockholders' (deficit) equity	(1,469)		4,453
Total liabilities and stockholders' (deficit) equity	\$ 265,075	\$	283,784
		====	

See accompanying notes

4

Curative Health Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (In thousands) (Unaudited)

> Six Months End June 30

	2005
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	
Net (loss) income	\$ (8,138)
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash	
(used in) provided by operating activities:	
Depreciation and amortization	3,124
Provision for doubtful accounts	1,684
Amortization of deferred financing fees	984
Stock based compensation	742
Change in fair value of interest rate swap	(1,082)
Deferred income taxes	(248)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from	
Specialty Infusion acquisitions:	2 4 4 4
Accounts receivable	3,444
Inventories Propaids and other	6,963
Prepaids and other	1,567 (15,228)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(15,228)
NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(6,188)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:	
Specialty Infusion acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(100)
Sale of Accordant Health Services, Inc.	
Purchases of property and equipment, net of disposals	(2,244)
NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(2,344)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	
Net proceeds from long-term borrowings	
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	
Proceeds from repayment of notes receivable – stockholders	1,473
Borrowing on (repayments of) credit facilities and long-term liabilities, net, and payment of deferred financing costs	6,885
NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	 8,358
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(174)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	1,176
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 1,002

See accompanying notes

5

Curative Health Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited and reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and operating results for the interim periods. The condensed

consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004 and notes thereto contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year classifications. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire fiscal year ending December 31, 2005.

Stock Based Compensation Plans

The Company grants options for a fixed number of shares to employees and directors with an exercise price equal to the fair value of the shares at the date of grant. The Company accounts for stock option grants under the intrinsic value method of Accounting Principles Board ("APB") No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related Interpretations because the Company believes the alternate fair value accounting provided for under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," requires the use of option valuation models that were not developed for use in valuing employee stock options. Under APB No. 25, because the exercise price of the Company's employee stock options equals the market price of the underlying stock on the date of grant, no compensation expense is recorded.

The following table illustrates the effect on net (loss) income and net (loss) income per share for the three and six months ended June 30 as if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to stock-based compensation (in thousands, except per share data):

		hree Mont June 2005	ths Ended 30 2004			Six Mon Ju 2005	
Net (loss) income, as reported Add: Stock based employee compensation expense included in	Ş	(4,774)	Ş	(3,132)	Ş		
reported net (loss) income, net of related tax effects Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects		416 (1,240)		(1,274)		704 (2,426	
Pro forma net loss	\$ ==	(5,598)	\$ ==	(4,406)	\$ ==	(9,860	
(Loss) income per share: Basic – as reported Basic – pro forma	\$	(0.37) (0.43)	\$	(0.24) (0.34)	\$	(0.63 (0.76	
Diluted - as reported(1) Diluted - pro forma	Ş	(0.37) (0.43)	Ş	(0.24) (0.34)	Ş	(0.63 (0.76	

(1) See Note 2 for net (loss) income per share calculation.

Curative Health Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1. Basis of Presentation (continued)

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," ("SFAS No. 123(R)") which eliminated the alternative of accounting for share-based compensation transactions under the intrinsic value method of APB No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." Instead, SFAS No. 123(R) requires companies to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. The grant date fair value of employee share options and similar instruments will be estimated using option-pricing models adjusted for the unique characteristics of those instruments. SFAS No. 123(R) will be adopted by the Company beginning January 1, 2006.

Note 2. Net (Loss) Income per Common Share

Net (loss) income per common share, basic, is computed by dividing the net (loss) income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Net (loss) income per common share, diluted, is computed by dividing adjusted net (loss) income (see below) by the weighted average number of shares outstanding plus dilutive common share equivalents. The following table sets forth the computation of weighted average shares, basic and diluted, used in determining basic and diluted (loss) income per share for the three and six months ended June 30 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Montl	ns Ended
	June 30		June	e 30
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Weighted average shares, basic	13,011	13,092	13,011	13,08
Effect of dilutive stock options and convertible notes				74
Weighted average shares, diluted	13,011	13,092	13,011	13,82

Adjusted net (loss) income and net (loss) income per common share, diluted, for the three and six months ended June 30 were computed as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

		Three Months Ended June 30			
		2005		2004	-
Net (loss) income, as reported Add back interest related to convertible notes, net of tax	Ş	(4,774)	\$	(3,132) 31	Ş
Adjusted net (loss) income	\$ ==:	(4,774)	\$ ==	(3,101)	\$
Net (loss) income per common share, diluted	\$	(0.37)(1)	\$ ==:	(0.24)(2)	\$
Weighted average shares, diluted		13,011		13,092	

(1) Basic shares were used to calculate net loss per common share, diluted, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 as using the effects of stock options and convertible notes would have an anti-dilutive effect on net loss per share. If not anti-dilutive, weighted average shares, diluted, would have been 13,287 and 13,325 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively.

7

Curative Health Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 2. Net (Loss) Income per Common Share (continued)

- (2) In accordance with SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share," net income per common share, diluted, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2004 was calculated under the "as if converted" method, which requires adding shares related to convertible notes that have no contingencies to the denominator for diluted net income per share and adding to net income, the numerator, tax effected interest expense relating to those convertible notes.
- Note 3. Specialty Infusion Acquisition

On April 23, 2004, the Company acquired Critical Care Systems, Inc. ("CCS"), a leading national provider of specialty infusion pharmaceuticals and related comprehensive clinical services. Total cash consideration was approximately \$154.2 million, including working capital adjustments of approximately \$4.1 million. The Company financed the acquisition of CCS with a portion of its \$185.0 million aggregate principal amount of 10.75% senior notes due 2011 (the "Notes") and additional borrowings under the Company's refinanced credit facility with General Electric Capital Corporation ("GE Capital"), as agent and lender. Fair market valuations have not yet been finalized and, as such, the allocation of the purchase price is preliminary, pending completion of a final valuation and the resolution of certain pre-acquisition account balance contingencies.

The CCS acquisition was consummated for purposes of expanding the Company's Specialty Infusion business and was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. The accounts of CCS and related goodwill and intangibles are included in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. The operating results of CCS are included in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations from the date of acquisition.

Unaudited pro forma amounts for the three and six months ended June 30, 2004 assuming the CCS acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2004, were as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	-	e Months Ended 30, 2004	-	x Months ended 30, 2004
Revenue Net loss	\$	71,830 (5,161)	\$	163,548 (3,949)

Net loss per c	common share,	diluted(1)	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.29)

(1) Calculated under the "as if converted" method. See Note 2.

The pro forma amounts shown above for the three and six months ended June 30, 2004 give effect to: (i) the Company's issuance of the Notes; (ii) the refinancing of the Company's revolving credit facility and (iii) adjustments related to the CCS acquisition, including, but not limited to, the amortization of identifiable intangibles related to a preliminary purchase price allocation, additional compensation expense and retention incentives, and pro forma tax adjustments as if the acquisition and related transactions occurred on January 1, 2004.

The pro forma operating results shown above are not necessarily indicative of operations in the periods following the CCS acquisition.

8

Curative Health Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 4. Segment Information

The Company follows the provisions of SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information." The Company has two reportable segments: Specialty Infusion and Wound Care Management. In its Specialty Infusion business unit, the Company purchases biopharmaceutical products (including Synagis(R) for the prevention of respiratory syncytial virus) and other pharmaceutical products from suppliers and contracts with insurance companies and other payors to provide its services, which include coordination of patient care, 24-hour nursing and pharmacy availability, patient education and reimbursement billing and collection services. Revenues from Synagis(R) sales for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 were approximately \$2.6 million and \$27.2 million, respectively. As respiratory syncytial virus ("RSV") occurs primarily during the winter months, the major portion of the Company's Synagis(R) sales may be higher during the first and fourth quarters of the calendar year which may result in significant fluctuations in the Company's quarterly operating results.

In its Wound Care Management business unit, the Company contracts with hospitals to manage outpatient Wound Care Center(R) programs.

The Company evaluates segment performance based on (loss) income from operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, management estimated that corporate general and administrative expenses allocated to the reportable segments were 61% and 39% for Specialty Infusion and Wound Care Management, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2004, management estimated that corporate general and administrative expenses allocated to the reportable segments were 63% and 61%, respectively, for Specialty Infusion and 37% and 39%, respectively, for Wound Care Management. Intercompany transactions were eliminated to arrive at consolidated totals.

The following tables present the results of operations and total assets of the reportable segments of the Company at and for the three and six months ended June 30 (in thousands):

At and for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2005

	Specialty Infusion	Wound Care Management	Totals
Revenues (Loss) income from operations Total assets	\$ 64,539 \$ (1,834) \$ 245,300	\$ 7,070 \$ 1,125 \$ 19,775	\$ 71,609 \$ (709) \$ 265,075
2	At and for the Thre	ee Months Ended	June 30, 2004
	Specialty Infusion	Wound Care Management	Totals
Revenues (Loss) income from operations Total assets	\$ 57,698 \$ (2,289) \$ 384,022	\$ 6,742 \$ 995 \$ 16,160	\$ 64,440 \$ (1,294) \$ 400,182

9

Curative Health Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 4. Segment Information (continued)

	At and for the Six	Months Ended	June 30, 2005
	Specialty Infusion	Wound Care Management	Totals
Revenues (Loss) income from operations Total assets	\$ 144,514 \$ (156) \$ 245,300	\$ 13,838 \$ 2,223 \$ 19,775	\$ 2,067
	At and for the Six	Months Ended	June 30, 2004
	Specialty Infusion	Wound Care Management	Totals
Revenues Income from operations Total assets	\$ 116,784 \$ 3,075 \$ 384,022	\$ 13,214 \$ 1,420 \$ 16,160	

Note 5. Employee and Facility Termination Costs

The Company adheres to SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities," which establishes fair value as the objective for initial measurement of liabilities related to exit or disposal activities and requires that such liabilities be recognized when incurred.

In the first quarter of 2003, the Company consolidated its pharmacy operations in California which resulted in the termination of a total of 25 employees and the vacating of a leased facility. The Company recorded charges related to this activity of \$0.4 million in 2004 and \$1.6 million in 2003.

Additionally, as previously disclosed, Curative's corporate headquarters and corporate functions are being consolidated into the Company's office located in Nashua, New Hampshire. As a result, in the fourth quarter of 2004, the Company recorded severance charges for the consolidation of approximately \$0.7 million related to the termination of 19 employees and facility termination

costs of \$0.1 million. The Company recorded costs of approximately \$3 thousand and \$0.8 million in the first and second quarters of 2005, respectively, related to its headquarters consolidation. As of June 30, 2005, the consolidation has been substantially completed.

The following provides a reconciliation of the related accrued costs associated with the pharmacy consolidation and headquarters consolidation, which are included in Selling, General and Administrative expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements, at and for the three and six months ended June 30 (in thousands):

	At and :	for the Three Mc	nths Ended June 30,	, 2005
	Beginning	Costs Charged	Costs Paid or	Ending
	Balance	To Expense	otherwise settled	Balance
Employee termination costs	\$ 257	\$ 590	\$ 251	\$ 596
Facility termination costs	572	241	95	718
	\$ 829	\$ 831	\$ 346	1,314
	======	======	======	======

10

Curative Health Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 5. Employee and Facility Termination Costs (continued)

At	and	for	the	Three	Months	Ended	June	30,	2004	
----	-----	-----	-----	-------	--------	-------	------	-----	------	--

	Beginning Balance	, j	Costs Paid or otherwise settled	Ending Balance
Employee termination costs	\$ 39	\$	\$	\$ 39
Facility termination costs	371		60	311
	\$ 410	\$	\$ 60	350
		======	======	

At and for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2005

	Beginning Costs Charged		Costs Paid or	Ending
	Balance To Expense		otherwise settled	Balance
Employee termination costs	\$ 666	\$ 593	\$ 663	\$ 596
Facility termination costs	660	241	183	718
	\$1,326	\$ 834	\$ 846	1,314

	At and	d for the Six Mo	nths Ended June 30,	2004
	Beginning Balance	Costs Charged To Expense	Costs Paid or otherwise settled	Ending Balance
Employee termination costs Facility termination costs	\$ 39 431	\$ 	\$ 120	\$ 39 311
	\$ 470	\$	\$ 120	350

In 2005, the Company expects to pay approximately \$1.1 million of the costs accrued as of June 30, 2005 and the remainder through 2007.

Note 6. Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities and Debt

The Company adopted SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as amended by SFAS No. 138, "Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities," and SFAS No. 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." These statements require that all derivative instruments be recorded on the consolidated balance sheets at their respective fair values as either assets or liabilities.

In April 2004 and in conjunction with the Company's issuance of the Notes, which bear interest at 10.75%, payable semi-annually, the Company entered into a \$90.0 million notional amount interest rate swap agreement. This agreement was used by the Company to reduce interest expense and modify exposure to interest rate risk by converting its fixed rate debt to a floating rate liability. Under the agreement, the Company received, on the portion of the senior subordinated notes hedged, 10.75% fixed rate amounts in exchange for floating interest rate (the 6-month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") rate plus a premium) payments over the life of the agreement without an exchange of the underlying principal amount.

11

Curative Health Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 6. Derivative Instruments, Hedging Activities and Debt (continued)

The swap was a cash flow hedge. Due to hedge ineffectiveness, measured by comparing the change in the fair value of debt caused only by changes in the LIBOR yield curve to the change in the value of the swap, changes in fair value of the swap are recognized in earnings, and the carrying value of the Company's debt is not marked to fair value. The changes in fair value for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 of \$2.5 million, net of a \$0.5 million termination payment, and \$0.5 million, respectively, were recorded in other income on the statement of operations.

In June 2005, the Company exercised its right to terminate its interest rate swap agreement and, as a result, the Company paid a \$0.5 million in fair

market value to National City Bank in June of 2005. The swap was scheduled to mature on May 2, 2011. No early termination penalties were incurred by the Company.

Also in April 2004, the Company restructured its previous credit facility with GE Capital, as agent and lender to a \$40.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility to support permitted acquisitions and future working capital and general corporate needs. The amended and restated revolving credit facility is an asset backed facility, with availability based upon the Company's balance of eligible accounts receivable and inventory. As of June 30, 2005, the Company had approximately \$8.1 million of availability under its revolving credit facility. The facility also contains certain financial covenants which are measured quarterly during the term of the facility which expires on April 23, 2009. Effective June 30, 2005, the Company and GE Capital executed a waiver agreement to the revolving credit facility related to the financial covenants of total leverage ratio and senior secured leverage ratio as the Company was not in compliance with those covenants. The waiver agreement also amended the Company's total leverage ratio requirement for the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006. As of June 30, 2005, the Company was in compliance with all other financial covenants.

Note 7. Deferred Taxes

In the second quarter of 2005, the Company recorded a valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets of approximately \$1.9 million to reflect the uncertainty of realization of those assets. The resulting tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2005 was (12.71%). The tax rate reconciliation is as follows:

Federal statutory rate	(34.00%)
Valuation allowance	20.68%
Other	0.61%
Effective tax rate	(12.71%)

Note 8. Note Guarantees

On April 23, 2004, the Company issued the Notes under an Indenture (the "Indenture"), dated April 23, 2004, among the Company, its subsidiaries and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. The Notes are jointly and severally guaranteed by all of the Company's existing and future restricted subsidiaries ("Restricted Subsidiaries"), as defined in the Indenture, on a full and unconditional basis, and no separate consideration will be received for the issuance of these guarantees. However, under certain circumstances, the Company may be permitted to designate any of its Restricted Subsidiaries as Unrestricted Subsidiaries.

12

Curative Health Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 8. Note Guarantees (continued)

The Company has no assets or operations independent of its Restricted Subsidiaries. Furthermore, as of April 23, 2004, there were no significant restrictions on the ability of any Restricted Subsidiary to transfer to the Company, without consent of a third party, any of such Restricted Subsidiary's

assets, whether in the form of loans, advances or cash dividends.

Note 9. Recent Developments

California Medi-Cal Reimbursement Reduction

Approximately 9% and 7% of the Company's total revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively, were derived from blood-clotting products reimbursed by California state funded health programs. The California state legislature in 2003 passed legislation that modified the reimbursement methodology for blood-clotting factor products under various California state funded health programs. Under the new reimbursement methodology, blood-clotting factor products are reimbursed based upon Average Selling Price ("ASP"), as provided by the manufacturers, plus 20%.

In addition, payments for California's Medicaid program ("Medi-Cal") and certain other state-funded health programs were to be reduced by 5% for services provided on and after January 1, 2004. On December 23, 2003, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California issued an injunction enjoining that scheduled 5% Medi-Cal reimbursement rate cut. The California Department of Health Services ("DHS") appealed the decision to the federal Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and oral argument was heard by the Ninth Circuit on December 8, 2004. An exact date when the decision will be issued cannot be predicted. The length of the injunction and the ultimate outcome of this litigation are uncertain at this time. The court order enjoining the 5% Medi-Cal rate reduction did not apply to other state funded programs for hemophilia patients, and California implemented the 5% reduction for these other programs. However, the 5% reduction as applied to the other state funded programs was repealed on or about July 31, 2004 for services provided on and after July 1, 2004.

Effective June 1, 2004, Medi-Cal implemented the ASP reimbursement methodology for blood-clotting factor products. The change amounted to an approximate 30-40% cut from rates previously in effect. The implementation of the reduction in the reimbursement from Medi-Cal, and changes in regulations governing such reimbursement, has adversely impacted the Company's revenues and profitability from the sale of products by the Company or by retail pharmacies to which it provides products or services for hemophilia patients who are Medi-Cal beneficiaries or beneficiaries of other state funded programs for hemophilia patients.

In December 2004, the Company and certain named individual plaintiffs entered into a Settlement Agreement which resolved both a lawsuit previously filed on behalf of two individual Medi-Cal recipients with hemophilia in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California against the State of California relating to the implementation of the new ASP reimbursement methodology, and a lawsuit previously filed by the Company in the Superior Court for the County of Sacramento relating to, among other things, the State of California's failure to comply with certain applicable federal procedural requirements relating to the reimbursement rates. In return for dismissal of both lawsuits, DHS agreed to process, on a priority basis, all pending and future Medi-Cal, California Children's Services and Genetically Handicapped Persons Program claims submitted by the Company. In addition, DHS agreed to expedite its efforts to implement electronic billing and payment for blood-clotting factor claims.

13

Curative Health Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 9. Recent Developments (continued)

In addition, the California legislature recently approved a proposal by the Governor of California to expand the Medi-Cal managed care program into 13 additional counties and to phase in mandatory enrollment for parents and children who are Medi-Cal beneficiaries. The Governor's proposal for mandatory enrollment of seniors and disabled individuals was rejected by the legislature, except for those individuals who may reside in an expansion county where a County Organized Health System ("COHS") model is proposed. Under the COHS model, all eligible Medi-Cal beneficiaries are mandatorily enrolled into the managed care plan, including seniors and persons with disabilities. This proposal may be reconsidered by the Legislature in August of 2005. The Company understands there may be significant concern by various constituencies over mandatory enrollment of medically fragile populations, and the outcome of these proposals is uncertain at this time.

Change in Medicare Reimbursement Methodology

Effective January 1, 2005, the Medicare reimbursement methodology for blood-clotting factor products changed to ASP plus 6% plus a \$0.14 per unit dispensing fee. Under the previous methodology, the Company was reimbursed at 95% of average wholesale price ("AWP"). The Company anticipates that the new methodology will result in reduced reimbursement of approximately 12%.

Note 10. Legal Proceeding

In the normal course of its business, the Company may be involved in lawsuits, claims, audits and investigations, including any arising out of services or products provided by or to the Company's operations, personal injury claims and employment disputes, the outcome of which, in the opinion of management, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

Prescription City Litigation

As previously disclosed in a Form 8-K filed on July 27, 2005, on July 26, 2005, the Company announced that it has reached a settlement with Prescription City, Inc. in connection with a complaint filed by the Company in November 2003 seeking rescission, compensatory and punitive damages and other relief. Under the terms of the settlement, the Company received \$4.5 million in cash and was released from its obligation to pay a \$1.0 million promissory note entered into in connection with the asset purchase of Prescription City, Inc. At June 30, 2005, the \$4.5 million in cash was recorded in prepaids and other current assets and the \$1.0 million promissory note was forgiven as a result of the settlement, resulting in a \$5.5 million decrease to goodwill.

Curative acquired certain assets of Prescription City, Inc., formerly a Spring Valley, New York specialty pharmacy business, on June 10, 2003. The asset purchase was structured to provide indemnification, representations and warranties by the sellers. A search warrant relating to a criminal investigation was issued by a U.S. Magistrate Judge, Southern District of New York, executed on November 4, 2003. Curative was not a target of the investigation and cooperated fully with the U.S. Attorney's Office in its investigation.

Curative Health Services, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 11. Recently Issued Accounting Standard

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," ("SFAS No. 123(R)") which eliminated the alternative of accounting for share-based compensation transactions under the intrinsic value method of APB No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." Instead, SFAS No. 123(R) requires companies to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. The grant date fair value of employee share options and similar instruments will be estimated using option-pricing models adjusted for the unique characteristics of those instruments.

The Company expects to adopt SFAS No. 123(R) on January 1, 2006. The adoption of SFAS No. 123(R)'s fair value method will have a significant impact on the Company's results of operations, although it will have no impact its overall financial position.

15

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

Curative Health Services, Inc. ("Curative" or the "Company"), through its Specialty Infusion and Wound Care Management business units, seeks to deliver high-quality care and positive clinical outcomes that result in high patient satisfaction for patients experiencing serious acute or chronic medical conditions.

Through its Specialty Infusion business unit, the Company provides intravenous and injectable biopharmaceutical and compounded pharmaceutical products and comprehensive infusion services to patients with chronic and critical disease states. All patient care is delivered through a national footprint of community-based branches. Each local branch has an experienced multidisciplinary team of pharmacists, nurses, reimbursement specialists and patient service representatives who comprehensively manage all aspects of a patient's infusion and related support needs. In its Specialty Infusion operations, the Company purchases biopharmaceutical and other pharmaceutical products from suppliers and contracts with insurance companies and other payors to provide its services, which include coordination of patient care, 24-hour nursing and pharmacy availability, patient education and reimbursement billing and collection services. The Company's Specialty Infusion revenues are derived primarily from fees paid by the payors under these contracts for the distribution of these biopharmaceutical and other pharmaceutical products and for the injection or infusion services provided. Additional revenues are acquired through biopharmaceutical and pharmaceutical product distribution and support services under contracts with retail pharmacies for which the Company receives related service fees. The products distributed and the injection or infusion therapies offered by Curative are used by patients with chronic or severe conditions such as hemophilia, RSV, immune system disorders, chronic or severe infections, nutritionally compromised and other severe conditions requiring nutritional support, cancer, rheumatoid arthritis, hepatitis C and multiple sclerosis. Examples of biopharmaceutical products used by Curative's patients include hemophilia clotting factor, intravenous immune globulins ("IVIG"), Synagis(R) and Remicade(R). Examples of pharmaceutical products used by Curative's patients include compounded pharmaceuticals, such as total parenteral nutrition ("TPN") products, anti-infectives, chemotherapy agents and

pain management products. As of June 30, 2005, the Company had approximately 425 payor contracts and provided products or services in approximately 45 states.

The following provides approximate percentages of the Specialty Infusion business unit's patient revenues for the three and six months ended June 30 and the year ended December 31:

	Three Months Ended	Six Months Ended	Year Ended
	June 30, 2005	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Private Payors	66.0%	60.5%	53.4%
Medicaid	24.8%	32.1%	39.5%
Medicare	9.2%	7.4%	7.1%

Curative's Wound Care Management business unit is a leading provider of wound care services specializing in chronic wound care management. It manages, on behalf of hospital clients, a nationwide network of Wound Care Center(R) programs that offer a comprehensive range of services across a continuum of care for treatment of chronic wounds. The Company's Wound Management ProgramSM consists of diagnostic and therapeutic treatment procedures that are designed to meet each patient's specific wound care needs on a cost-effective basis. The treatment procedures are designed to achieve positive results for wound healing based on significant experience in the field. The Company maintains a proprietary database of patient results that it has collected since 1988 containing over 510,000 patient cases as of June 30, 2005. The treatment procedures, which are based on extensive patient data, have allowed the Company to achieve an overall rate of healing of approximately 89% for patients completing therapy. As of June 30, 2005, the Wound Care Center(R) network consisted of 108 outpatient clinics (99 operating and 9 contracted) located on or near campuses of acute care hospitals in approximately 30 states.

16

The Wound Care Management business unit currently operates two types of Wound Care Center(R) programs with hospitals: a management model and an "under arrangement" model, with a primary focus on developing management models. In the management model, Wound Care Management provides management and support services for a chronic wound care facility owned or leased by the hospital and staffed by employees of the hospital, and generally receives a fixed monthly management fee or a combination of a fixed monthly management fee and a variable case management fee. In the "under arrangement" model, Wound Care Management provides management and support services, as well as the clinical and administrative staff, for a chronic wound care facility owned or leased by the hospital, and generally receives fees based on the services provided to each patient.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations discusses the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates its estimates

and judgments, including those related to revenue recognition, bad debts, inventories, income taxes and intangibles. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Management believes the following critical accounting policies, among others, affect its more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of its condensed consolidated financial statements:

Revenue recognition

Specialty Infusion revenues are recognized, net of any contractual allowances, when the product is shipped to a patient, retail pharmacy or a physician's office, or when the service is provided. Wound Care Management revenues are recognized after the management services are rendered and are billed monthly in arrears.

Trade receivables

Considerable judgment is required in assessing the ultimate realization of receivables, including the current financial condition of the customer, age of the receivable and the relationship with the customer. The Company estimates its allowances for doubtful accounts using these factors. If the financial condition of the Company's customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. In circumstances where the Company is aware of a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligations (e.g., bankruptcy filings), a specific reserve for bad debts is recorded against amounts due to reduce the receivable to the amount the Company has reserves for bad debt based upon the total accounts receivable balance. Although the Company believes its reserve for accounts receivable at June 30, 2005 is reasonable, there can be no assurance that additional reserves will not be needed in the future. The recording of any such reserve may have a negative impact on the Company's operating results.

Inventories

Inventories are carried at the lower of cost or market on a first in, first out basis. Inventories consist of high-cost biopharmaceutical and pharmaceutical products that, in many cases, require refrigeration or other special handling. As a result, inventories are subject to spoilage or shrinkage. On a quarterly basis, the Company performs a physical inventory and determines whether any shrinkage or spoilage adjustments are needed. Although the Company believes its inventories balance at June 30, 2005 is reasonably accurate, there can be no assurance that spoilage or shrinkage adjustments will not be needed in the future. The recording of any such reserve may have a negative impact on the Company's operating results.

17

Deferred income taxes

The Company had approximately \$6.0 million in deferred income tax assets at June 30, 2005 (\$4.0 million represented a current asset and \$2.0 million was recorded in other long-term assets on the accompanying balance sheet) and approximately \$4.3 million in deferred income tax liabilities. The deferred income tax assets were recorded net of a second quarter 2005 valuation allowance of approximately \$1.9 million (see Note 7). The Company has considered future

income expectations and prudent tax strategies in assessing the need for a valuation allowance. In the event the Company determines in the future that it needs to record an additional valuation allowance, an adjustment to deferred income tax assets would be charged against income in the period of determination.

Goodwill and intangibles

Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired. Intangibles consist of separately identifiable intangibles, such as pharmacy and customer relationships and covenants not to compete. The Company accounts for goodwill and intangible assets in accordance with SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," which requires goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives to not be amortized but rather to be reviewed annually, or more frequently if impairment indicators arise, for impairment. Separable intangible assets that are not deemed to have an indefinite life are amortized over their useful lives. In assessing the recoverability of the Company's goodwill and intangibles, the Company must make assumptions regarding estimated future cash flows and other factors to determine the fair value of the respective assets. If these estimates or assumptions change in the future, the Company may need to record an impairment charge for these assets. An impairment charge would reduce operating income in the period it was determined that the charge was needed. For example, due primarily to changes in the economics of the Specialty Infusion business unit, including the changes in reimbursement methodology that occurred in 2004, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$134.7 million in goodwill and \$0.1 million in other intangible assets, respectively, in the fourth quarter of 2004. The Company will continue to monitor its goodwill and intangibles for impairment indicators.

18

Key Performance Indicators

The following provides a summary of some of the key performance indicators that may be used to assess the Company's results of operations. These comparisons are not necessarily indicative of future results (dollars in thousands).

	For the Six Months Ended June 30			
	2005	2004	\$ Change 	% Change
Specialty Infusion revenues Wound Care Management revenues	•	\$116,784 13,214		24% 5%
Total revenues	\$158 , 352	\$129,998	\$ 28,354	22%
Specialty Infusion revenues to total Wound Care Management revenues to total Total	91% 9% 100%	90% 10% 		
Specialty Infusion gross margin Wound Care Management gross margin	\$ 19,679 7,507		\$ (3,694) 192	(16%) 3%

Total gross margin	\$ 27,186	\$ 30,688	\$ (3,502)	(11%)
Specialty Infusion gross margin %	14%	20%		
Wound Care Management gross margin %	54%	55%		
Total gross margin %	17%	24%		
Specialty Infusion SG&A	\$ 11,186	\$ 9,219	\$ 1,967	21%
Wound Care Management SG&A	1,675	2,047	(372)	(18%)
Corporate SG&A	9,253	9,870	(617)	(6%)
Charges(1)	3,005	5,057	(2,052)	(41%)
Total SG&A	\$ 25,119	\$ 26,193	\$ (1,074)	(4%)
Operating margin	\$ 2,067	\$ 4,495	\$ (2,428)	(54%)
Operating margin %	18	3%		

 The Company's charges are discussed under Results of Operations - Selling, General and Administrative.

19

Recent Developments

California Medi-Cal Reimbursement Reduction

Approximately 9% and 7% of the Company's total revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively, were derived from blood-clotting products reimbursed by California state funded health programs. The California state legislature in 2003 passed legislation that modified the reimbursement methodology for blood-clotting factor products under various California state funded health programs. Under the new reimbursement methodology, blood-clotting factor products are reimbursed based upon ASP, as provided by the manufacturers, plus 20%.

In addition, payments for Medi-Cal and certain other state-funded health programs were to be reduced by 5% for services provided on and after January 1, 2004. On December 23, 2003, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California issued an injunction enjoining that scheduled 5% Medi-Cal reimbursement rate cut. The DHS appealed the decision to the federal Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and oral argument was heard by the Ninth Circuit on December 8, 2004. An exact date when the decision will be issued cannot be predicted. The length of the injunction and the ultimate outcome of this litigation are uncertain at this time. The court order enjoining the 5% Medi-Cal rate reduction did not apply to other state funded programs for hemophilia patients, and California implemented the 5% reduction for these other programs. However, the 5% reduction as applied to the other state funded programs was repealed on or about July 31, 2004 for services provided on and after July 1, 2004.

Effective June 1, 2004, Medi-Cal implemented the ASP reimbursement methodology for blood-clotting factor products. The change amounted to an approximate 30-40% cut from rates previously in effect. The implementation of the reduction in the reimbursement from Medi-Cal, and changes in regulations governing such reimbursement, has adversely impacted the Company's revenues and profitability from the sale of products by the Company or by retail pharmacies to which it provides products or services for hemophilia patients who are Medi-Cal beneficiaries or beneficiaries of other state funded programs for hemophilia patients.

In December 2004, the Company and certain named individual plaintiffs entered into a Settlement Agreement which resolved both a lawsuit previously filed on behalf of two individual Medi-Cal recipients with hemophilia in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California against the State of California relating to the implementation of the new ASP reimbursement methodology, and a lawsuit previously filed by the Company in the Superior Court for the County of Sacramento relating to, among other things, the State of California's failure to comply with certain applicable federal procedural requirements relating to the reimbursement rates. In return for dismissal of both lawsuits, DHS agreed to process, on a priority basis, all pending and future Medi-Cal, California Children's Services and Genetically Handicapped Persons Program claims submitted by the Company. In addition, DHS agreed to expedite its efforts to implement electronic billing and payment for blood-clotting factor claims.

In addition, the California legislature recently approved a proposal by the Governor of California to expand the Medi-Cal managed care program into 13 additional counties and to phase in mandatory enrollment for parents and children who are Medi-Cal beneficiaries. The Governor's proposal for mandatory enrollment of seniors and disabled individuals was rejected by the legislature, except for those individuals who may reside in an expansion county where a COHS model is proposed. Under the COHS model, all eligible Medi-Cal beneficiaries are mandatorily enrolled into the managed care plan, including seniors and persons with disabilities. This proposal may be reconsidered by the Legislature in August of 2005. The Company understands there may be significant concern by various constituencies over mandatory enrollment of medically fragile populations, and the outcome of these proposals is uncertain at this time.

Change in Medicare Reimbursement Methodology

Effective January 1, 2005, the Medicare reimbursement methodology for blood-clotting factor products changed to ASP plus 6% plus a \$0.14 per unit dispensing fee. Under the previous methodology, the Company was reimbursed at 95% of AWP. The Company anticipates that the new methodology will result in reduced reimbursement of approximately 12%.

20

Results of Operations

Revenues

The Company's revenues for the second quarter of 2005 increased \$7.2 million, or 11%, to \$71.6 million compared to \$64.4 million for the second quarter of 2004. For the first six months of 2005, revenues increased \$28.4 million, or 22%, to \$158.4 million from \$130.0 million for the same period in 2004. The increases in revenues were the result of the 2004 acquisition of CCS, offset by a reduction in hemophilia revenue related to the reduced reimbursement from California state programs.

Product revenues, attributed entirely to the Specialty Infusion business unit, increased \$6.8 million, or 12%, to \$64.5 million in the second quarter of 2005 from \$57.7 million in the second quarter of 2004. For the first six months of 2005, product revenues increased \$27.7 million, or 24%, to \$144.5 million compared to \$116.8 million for the same period in 2004. The increases in product revenues were primarily attributable to the 2004 acquisition of CCS, offset by a reduction in hemophilia revenue related to the reduced reimbursement from California state programs. As a percentage of Specialty Infusion's revenues, hemophilia revenues and Synagis(R) sales for the prevention of RSV accounted for

42% and 4%, respectively, for the second quarter of 2005 and 34% and 19%, respectively, for the first six months of 2005. As RSV occurs primarily during the winter months, the major portion of the Company's Synagis(R) sales may be higher during the first and fourth quarters of the calendar year which may result in significant fluctuations in the Company's quarterly operating results.

Service revenues, attributed entirely to the Wound Care Management business unit, increased \$0.3 million, or 5%, to \$7.1 million in the second quarter of 2005 from \$6.7 million in the second quarter of 2004. For the first six months of 2005, service revenues increased \$0.6 million, or 5%, to \$13.8 million compared to \$13.2 million for the same period in 2004. For the second quarter of 2005, the Company signed seven new Wound Care Management contracts and no contracts were terminated. For the first six months of 2005, the Company signed eleven new Wound Care Management contracts and one contract was terminated.

Cost of Product Sales

Cost of product sales, attributed entirely to the Specialty Infusion business unit, increased \$8.8 million, or 19%, to \$55.4 million in the second quarter of 2005 compared to \$46.6 million in the second quarter of 2004. For the first six months of 2005, cost of product sales increased \$31.4 million, or 34%, to \$124.8 million compared to \$93.4 million for the same period in 2004. The increases in cost of product sales were primarily attributable to the 2004 acquisition of CCS and increased costs for IVIG products. As a percentage of product revenues, cost of product sales for the second quarter of 2005 was 86% compared to 81% for the same period in 2004 and 86% for the first six months of 2005 compared to 80% for the same period in 2004. The percentage increases for 2005 were primarily attributable to the acquisition of CCS which resulted in the reduction of the percentage of the Company's revenues derived from hemophilia products, which have a lower product cost as a percentage of revenue, as well as the reduction in hemophilia revenue related to the reduced reimbursement from California state programs.

Cost of Services

Cost of services, attributed entirely to the Wound Care Management business unit, increased \$0.3 million, or 10%, to \$3.3 million in the second quarter of 2005 from \$3.0 million in the second quarter of 2004. For the first six months of 2005, cost of services increased \$0.4 million, or 7%, to \$6.3 million compared to \$5.9 million for the same period in 2004. As a percentage of service revenues, cost of services for the second quarter of 2005 was 46% compared to 44% for the same period in 2004 and 46% for the first six months of 2005 compared to 45% for the same period in 2004.

21

Gross Margin

Gross margin decreased \$1.9 million, or 13%, to \$13.0 million in the second quarter of 2005 from \$14.9 million for the second quarter of 2004. Specialty Infusion's gross margin declined to \$9.2 million for the second quarter of 2005 from \$11.1 million for the same period in 2004, a decrease of \$1.9 million, or 17%. As a percentage of its revenues, Specialty Infusion's gross margin was 14% in the second quarter of 2005 as compared to 19% for the same period in 2004. For the first six months of 2005, Specialty Infusion's gross margin declined to \$19.7 million from \$23.4 million for the same period in 2004, a decrease of \$3.7 million, or 16%. As a percentage of its revenues, Specialty Infusion's gross margin was 14% for the first six months of 2005 as compared to 20% for the same period in 2004. The decreases in gross margin

dollars and percentage for the three and six months ended June 30, 2005 were attributed to lower average revenue per unit for hemophilia products as a result of changes in reimbursement rates, lower average revenue per unit for IVIG at pharmacies operating before the CCS acquisition due to a higher mix of managed care business and a higher cost of service. These decreases were partially offset by the inclusion of the gross margin from the CCS acquisition.

Wound Care Management's gross margin was flat at \$3.8 million for the second quarter of 2005 compared to the same period in 2004. As a percentage of its revenues, Wound Care Management's gross margin was 54% for the second quarter of 2005 compared to 56% for the same period in 2004. For the first six months of 2005, Wound Care Management's gross margin increased \$0.2 million to \$7.5 million compared to \$7.3 million for the same period in 2004. As a percentage of its revenues, Wound Care Management's gross margin was 54% for the first six months of 2005 as compared to 55% for the same period in 2004.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by \$2.5 million, or 16%, to \$13.7 million for the second quarter of 2005 compared to \$16.2 million for the second quarter of 2004 and consisted of \$5.6 million related to the Specialty Infusion business unit, \$0.9 million related to the Wound Care Management business unit, \$4.5 million related to corporate services and \$2.7 million in charges related to the Company's corporate reorganization. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses of \$2.5 million was primarily due to the charges of \$2.7 million in the second quarter of 2005 compared to \$4.9 million in charges in the same period of 2004 and cost savings from reductions in workforce, offset by additional expenses, as a result of the CCS acquisition. As a percentage of total Company revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses were 19% for the second quarter of 2005 compared to 25% for the same period in 2004.

For the first six months of 2005, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by \$1.1 million, or 4%, to \$25.1 million from \$26.2 million for the same period in 2004 and consisted of \$11.2 million related to the Specialty Infusion business unit, \$1.7 million related to the Wound Care Management business unit, \$9.2 million related to corporate services and \$3.0 million in charges, primarily related to the Company's corporate reorganization. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses of \$1.1 million was primarily due to the charges of \$3.0 million for the first six months of 2005 compared to \$5.0 million in charges in the same period of 2004 and cost savings from reductions in workforce, offset by additional expenses, as a result of the CCS acquisition. As a percentage of total Company revenues, selling, general and administrative expenses were 16% for the first six months of 2005 compared to 20% for the same period in 2004.

Net Loss

Net loss was \$4.8 million, or (\$0.37) per share, in the second quarter of 2005 compared to net loss of \$3.1 million, or (\$0.24) per share, for the same period in 2004. For the first six months of 2005, net loss was \$8.1 million, or (\$0.63) per share, compared to net income of \$2 thousand, or \$0 per diluted share, for the same period in 2004. The net losses for the second quarter and first six months of 2005 were attributed to the increased interest expense related to the Company's senior notes, the decreased gross margins for Specialty Infusion and the charges taken related to the Company's corporate office relocation. Net loss for the second quarter and the first six months of 2005 included a gain of approximately \$2.5 million, or \$0.14 per share, after tax, and \$0.5 million, or \$0.3 per share, after tax, respectively, related to the Company's interest rate swap agreement in June 2005 (see Note 6).

22

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Working capital was \$60.1 million at June 30, 2005 compared to \$50.8 million at December 31, 2004. Total cash and cash equivalents at June 30, 2005 was \$1.0 million. The ratio of current assets to current liabilities was 2.4 to 1 at June 30, 2005 and 1.8 to 1 at December 31, 2004.

Cash flows used in operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2005 totaled \$6.2 million, primarily attributable to reductions in accounts receivable of \$3.4 million, inventories of \$7.0 million, prepaids and other of \$1.6 million and accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$15.2 million, offset by the net loss for the period.

Cash flows used in investing activities totaled \$2.3 million, primarily attributable to \$2.2 million in fixed asset purchases, net of disposals.

Cash flows provided by financing activities totaled \$8.4 million attributable to \$6.9 million in borrowing on credit facilities and long-term liabilities, net, and payment of deferred financing costs, offset by \$1.5 million in proceeds from repayments of notes receivable from stockholders.

At June 30, 2005, the Company experienced a net decrease in accounts receivable of \$5.1 million primarily attributable to reduced sales of Synagis(R) which is a seasonal product. Days Sales Outstanding ("DSO") was 96 days at June 30, 2005, as compared to 88 days at December 31, 2004 and 86 days at March 31, 2005. At June 30, 2005, DSO for the Specialty Infusion business unit was 101 days and for the Wound Care Management business unit, DSO was 56 days, compared to 89 days and 73 days, respectively, at December 31, 2004.

As of June 30, 2005, the Company's current portion of long-term liabilities of \$5.0 million included \$1.1 million representing the current portion of the Department of Justice ("DOJ") obligation, \$0.9 million representing the current portion of a convertible note payable used in connection with the purchase of Apex Therapeutic Care, Inc. ("Apex") in February 2002 and \$3.0 million in a convertible note payable related to the purchase of Home Care of New York, Inc. ("Home Care") in October 2002. At June 30, 2005, the Company's long-term liabilities of \$217.8 million included \$185.0 million in senior notes payable, \$31.9 million in borrowed funds from the Company's commercial lender and a \$0.9 million promissory note representing the long-term portion of the convertible note used in the purchase of Apex.

The Company's current portion of long-term liabilities and long-term liabilities increased \$5.3 million to \$222.8 million compared to \$217.5 million at December 31, 2004. The increase was primarily due to a higher revolver balance at June 30, 2005 compared to December 31, 2004, offset by the release of the obligation to pay a \$1.0 million promissory note entered into in connection with the asset purchase of Prescription City, Inc. (see Part II, Item 1, "Legal Proceeding"). The higher revolver balance was reflective of the net loss as well as the increase in DSO at June 30, 2005.

The Company's longer term cash requirements include working capital for the expansion of its Specialty Infusion business branch pharmacy network and servicing of the Company's substantial debt. Other cash requirements are anticipated for capital expenditures in the normal course of business, including the acquisition of software, computers and equipment related to the Company's management information systems. As of June 30, 2005, the Company had a \$1.1 million obligation, payable over approximately one year, to the DOJ related to the settlement of its litigation previously disclosed, as well as senior notes

bank debt and convertible and promissory notes totaling \$221.6 million payable over various periods through 2011.

As of June 30, 2005, the Company had approximately \$8.1 million of availability under its revolving credit facility with GE Capital. The credit facility contains both financial and non-financial covenants. The financial covenants include a total leverage ratio, fixed charges coverage ratio, senior secured leverage ratio, capital expenditures and accounts receivable days outstanding limits. In the event of default under any of these covenants, the Company may seek a waiver or amendment of the covenants. Effective June 30, 2005, the Company and GE Capital executed a waiver agreement related to the covenants of total leverage ratio and senior secured leverage ratio as the Company was not in compliance with those covenants. The waiver agreement also amended the Company's total leverage ratio requirement for the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006. There can be no

23

assurance, however, that such a waiver or amendment that may be needed in the future will be obtained. In the event of any such default, the lender may suspend or terminate advances under the credit facility, or the lender may accelerate the debt and demand immediate payment of any outstanding balance. An acceleration of the debt under the Company's senior secured credit facility would result in an event of default under the indenture for the Company's 10.75% senior notes due 2011 as well. The Company was in compliance with the other financial covenants, as amended, under the credit facility at June 30, 2005.

The Company believes that, based on the above as well as its current business plan, recent settlement with Prescription City whereby the Company received \$4.5 million in cash and was released from its \$1.0 million promissory note and an expected \$3.4 million in refundable taxes, its operating cash flow and existing credit facilities will be sufficient to meet working capital needs for the servicing of its debt, approximately \$19.9 million in interest expense, paid semi-annually, related principally to the Company's outstanding senior notes, the \$3.0 million convertible note payable related to the purchase of Home Care due in the fourth quarter of 2005 and the expansion of its branch network of full-service pharmacies, including capital expenditure requirements of approximately \$5.0 million, over the next twelve months. On May 2, 2005, the Company made the first 2005 semi-annual interest payment of approximately \$9.75 million on the senior notes. The Company made the payment by drawing against its revolving credit facility. The Company expects that the balance of its revolving credit facility will decline over the next several months sufficiently enough to provide liquidity for payment of the November 2005 interest coupon. However, any continued increase in the Company's days sales outstanding could hamper the Company's ability to service its debt or require the Company to slow its business expansion plans. In such case, the Company may be required to increase its credit facilities, issue equity, offer some combination of both debt and equity, or consider other alternatives to meet its working capital needs.

Recently Issued Accounting Standard

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment," ("SFAS No. 123(R)") which eliminated the alternative of accounting for share-based compensation transactions under the intrinsic value method of APB No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." Instead, SFAS No. 123(R) requires companies to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. The grant date fair value of employee share options and similar instruments will be estimated using option-pricing models adjusted for the unique characteristics of those instruments.

The Company expects to adopt SFAS No. 123(R) on January 1, 2006. The adoption of SFAS No. 123(R)'s fair value method will have a significant impact on the Company's results of operations, although it will have no impact its overall financial position.

24

Cautionary Statement and Risk Factors

The statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q include forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "PSLRA"). When used in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in future filings by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in our news releases, presentations to securities analysts or investors, and in oral statements made by or with the approval of one of our executive officers, the words or phrases "believes," "anticipates," "expects," "plans," "seeks," "intends," "will likely result," "estimates," "projects" or similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These statements are only predictions. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Actual events or results may differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements.

The following text contains cautionary statements regarding our business that investors and others should consider. This discussion is intended to take advantage of the "safe harbor" provisions of the PSLRA. Except to the extent otherwise required by federal securities laws, we do not undertake to address or update forward-looking statements in future filings with the SEC or communications regarding our business or operating results, and do not undertake to address how any of these factors may have caused results to differ from discussions or information contained in previous filings or communications. You should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date they are made. In addition, any of the matters discussed below may have affected past, as well as current, forward-looking statements about future results so that our actual results in the future may differ materially from those expressed in prior communications.

Risks Related to our Business

Our substantial level of indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition and prevent us from fulfilling our debt obligations.

As of June 30, 2005, we had approximately \$222.8 million of total indebtedness which included \$185.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 10.75% Senior Notes due 2011 (the "Notes") and our credit facility of \$31.9 million with General Electric Capital Corporation. Subject to restrictions in the indenture related to the Notes and our credit facility, we may incur additional indebtedness.

Our high level of indebtedness could have important consequences. For example, it could:

- o make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations on the Notes or under our revolving credit facility;
- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to interest and principal payments on our indebtedness, reducing the availability of our cash flow for other purposes, such

as capital expenditures, acquisitions and working capital;

- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;
- o increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;
- o place us at a disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt;
- expose us to fluctuations in the interest rate environment because the Company's revolving credit facility is at a variable rate of interest; and
- o limit our ability to borrow additional funds.

25

We expect to obtain the money to pay our expenses and to pay the interest on the Notes, our revolving credit facility and other debt from cash flow from our operations and from additional loans under our revolving credit facility. Our ability to meet our expenses thus depends on our future performance, which will be affected by financial, business, economic and other factors. For example, in 2004, our business was adversely affected by reimbursement reductions in the State of California for the hemophilia related products we sell. We will not be able to control many of these factors, such as economic conditions in the markets where we operate and pressure from competitors. We cannot be certain that our cash flow will be sufficient to allow us to pay principal and interest on our debt (including the Notes) and meet our other obligations, such as those relating to the expansion of our branch pharmacy network. If we do not have enough money, we may be required to refinance all or part of our existing debt (including the Notes), sell assets or borrow more money. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so on terms acceptable to us. In addition, the terms of existing or future debt agreements, including our revolving credit facility and the indenture, may restrict us from adopting any of these alternatives. The failure to generate sufficient cash flow or to achieve such alternatives could significantly adversely affect the value of the Notes and our ability to pay principal of and interest on the Notes.

Our substantial outstanding debt subjects us to covenant default risk under our senior secured credit facility.

We are highly leveraged. If we are unable to achieve our forecasted operating results, we may violate covenants under our senior secured credit facility which include a total leverage ratio, fixed charges coverage ratio, senior secured leverage ratio, capital expenditures and accounts receivable days outstanding limits. In the event we default under any of these covenants, we may seek a waiver or amendment of the covenants. Effective December 31, 2004, the Company executed an amendment to its revolving credit facility to amend the financial covenants of total leverage ratio and fixed charges, in addition to other changes made to the credit agreement. Effective June 30, 2005, the Company and GE Capital executed a waiver agreement to the revolving credit facility related to the financial covenants of total leverage ratio and senior secured leverage ratio as the Company was not in compliance with those covenants. The waiver agreement also amended the Company's total leverage ratio requirement for the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006. The other financial covenants were amended through December 31, 2005 and may need to be amended again depending on the Company's operating results. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to obtain such a waiver or amendment. In the event

we are unable to obtain a waiver or amendment to remedy any such default, the lender may suspend or terminate advances under the credit facility, or the lender may accelerate the debt and demand immediate payment of any outstanding balance. An acceleration of the debt under our senior secured credit facility would result in an event of default under the indenture for the Notes as well.

If we fail to comply with the terms of our settlement agreement with the government, we could be subject to additional litigation or other governmental actions which could be harmful to our business.

On December 28, 2001, we entered into a settlement with the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ"), the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York, the U.S. Attorney for the Middle District of Florida and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Inspector General, in connection with all federal investigations and legal proceedings related to whistleblower lawsuits previously pending against us in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York and the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. These lawsuits included allegations that we improperly caused our hospital customers to seek reimbursement for a portion of our management fees that included costs related to advertising and marketing activities by our personnel and allegations that we violated the federal anti-kickback law and the federal False Claims Act. Under the terms of the settlement, the lawsuits were dismissed, the United States and the whistleblowers released us from the claims asserted in the lawsuits, and we agreed to pay to the United States a \$9.0 million initial payment, with an additional \$7.5 million to be paid over the next four years. As of June 30, 2005, a balance of approximately \$1.1 million was outstanding on this obligation. Pursuant to the settlement, we have been required to fulfill certain additional obligations, including abiding by a five-year Corporate Integrity Agreement, avoiding violations of law and providing certain information to the DOJ from time to time. As of December 17, 2003, we were released from part of our obligations under the Corporate Integrity Agreement. The independent review organization that conducts the audit of our records pursuant to the Corporate Integrity Agreement is no longer required to conduct the general compliance review. If we fail or if we are accused of failing to comply with the terms of the settlement, we may be subject to additional litigation or other governmental actions, including our Wound Care Management business unit being barred from participating in the Medicare program

26

and other federal health care programs. In addition, as part of the settlement, we consented to the entry of a judgment against us for \$28.0 million, less any amounts previously paid under the settlement, that would be imposed only if we fail to comply with the terms of the settlement, which, if required to be paid, could have a material adverse effect on our financial position. In July 2002, we settled a shareholders' class action suit for \$10.5 million that had been consolidated from four lawsuits involving allegations stemming from the whistleblower lawsuits and DOJ investigations.

We are involved in litigation which may harm the value of our business.

In the normal course of our business, we are involved in lawsuits, claims, audits and investigations, including any arising out of services or products provided by or to our operations, personal injury claims, employment disputes and contractual claims, the outcome of which, in our opinion, should not have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations. However, we may become subject to future lawsuits, claims, audits and investigations that could result in substantial costs and divert our attention and resources. In addition, since our current growth strategy includes

acquisitions, among other things, we may become exposed to legal claims for the activities of an acquired business prior to the respective acquisition.

Our industry is subject to extensive government regulation, and non-compliance by us, our suppliers, our customers or our referral sources could harm our business.

The marketing, labeling, dispensing, storing, provision, selling, pricing and purchasing of drugs, health supplies and health services, including the biopharmaceutical products we provide, are extensively regulated by federal and state governments, and if we fail or are accused of failing to comply with laws and regulations, our business could be harmed. Our business could also be harmed if the suppliers, customers or referral sources we work with are accused of violating laws or regulations. The applicable regulatory framework is complex, and the laws are very broad in scope. Many of these laws remain open to interpretation and have not been addressed by substantive court decisions. The federal government or states in which we operate could, in the future, enact more restrictive legislation or interpret existing laws and regulations in a manner that could limit the manner in which we can operate our business and have a negative impact on our business.

A substantial percentage of our revenue is attributable to the Medicaid and Medicare programs. Our business has been significantly adversely impacted by recent changes in Medi-Cal reimbursement policies and will continue to be subject to changes in reimbursement policies and other legislative or regulatory initiatives aimed at reducing costs associated with various government programs.

In the year ended December 31, 2004, approximately 40% of our Specialty Infusion business unit's revenues were derived from products and/or services provided to patients covered under various state Medicaid programs, most of which were from California, and approximately 7% of our Specialty Infusion business unit's revenues were derived from products and/or services provided to patients covered under the Medicare program. During the six months ended June 30, 2005, approximately 32% and 7% of our Specialty Infusion business unit's revenues were derived from products and/or services provided to patients covered under various state Medicaid and Medicare programs, respectively. As a result of our acquisition of CCS, we expect the percentage of our revenues attributable to federal and state programs to decrease. Such programs are highly regulated and subject to frequent and substantial changes and cost-containment measures that may limit and reduce payments to providers. In the recent past, many states have been experiencing budget deficits that may require future reductions in health care related expenditures. According to a Kaiser Family Foundation report issued in October 2004, all 50 states and the District of Columbia implemented Medicaid cost containment measures in fiscal year 2004, and each of these states planned to put in additional spending constraints in fiscal year 2005. State cost containment activity continued to focus heavily on reducing provider payments and controlling prescription drug spending.

In December 2003, the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 ("MMA") was signed into federal law, providing for a Medicare prescription drug benefit and other changes to the Medicare program, including changes to payment methodologies for products we distribute that are covered by Medicare. Prior to MMA, Medicare reimbursement for many of the products we distribute was based on 95% of the products' average

27

wholesale price ("AWP"). Under MMA, Medicare reimbursement for many of the products we distribute, including most physician-administered drugs and biologicals, was lowered to 80-85% of AWP effective January 1, 2004. This 2004

change did not affect Medicare reimbursement for blood-clotting factor products, which continued to be reimbursed at 95% of AWP during 2004.

Effective January 1, 2005, the Medicare reimbursement methodology for blood-clotting factor products changed from an AWP-based system to one based upon Average Selling Price ("ASP") which has lowered Medicare reimbursement. In addition to the payment we receive from the Medicare program for blood-clotting factor, beginning in January 2005, we receive a separate payment of \$0.14 for each unit of factor furnished to Medicare beneficiaries. It is possible that states and/or commercial payors may adopt the new Medicare reimbursement methodology. The conversion to a system based upon ASP could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, MMA changes the relationship between the Medicare and Medicaid programs such that we may receive less reimbursement in the future for individuals who receive benefits under both of these programs.

In addition to these federal initiatives, many states are also making modifications to the manner with which they reimburse providers of pharmacy services. For example, in California, where approximately 12% and 7% of our total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2004 and for the six months ended June 30, 2005, respectively, were derived from blood-clotting products reimbursed by California state funded health programs, the state legislature in 2003 passed legislation that modified the reimbursement methodology for blood-clotting factor products under various California state funded health programs. Under the new reimbursement methodology, blood-clotting factor products are reimbursed based upon ASP, as provided by the manufacturers, plus 20%. In addition, payments for California's Medicaid program ("Medi-Cal") and certain other state-funded health programs were to be reduced by 5% for services provided on and after January 1, 2004. On December 23, 2003, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California issued an injunction enjoining that scheduled 5% Medi-Cal reimbursement rate cut. The California Department of Health Services ("DHS") appealed the decision to the federal Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and oral argument was heard by the Ninth Circuit on December 8, 2004. An exact date when the decision will be issued cannot be predicted. The length of the injunction and the ultimate outcome of this litigation are uncertain at this time. The court order enjoining the 5% Medi-Cal rate reduction did not apply to other state funded programs for hemophilia patients, and California implemented the 5% reduction for these other programs. However, the 5% reduction as applied to the other state funded programs was repealed on or about July 31, 2004 for services provided on and after July 1, 2004.

Effective June 1, 2004, Medi-Cal implemented the ASP reimbursement methodology for blood-clotting factor products. The change amounted to an approximate 30-40% cut from rates previously in effect. The implementation of the reduction in the reimbursement from Medi-Cal, and changes in regulations governing such reimbursement, has adversely impacted our revenues and profitability from the sale of products by us or by retail pharmacies to which we provide products or services for hemophilia patients who are Medi-Cal beneficiaries or beneficiaries of other state funded programs for hemophilia patients.

In December, 2004, we and certain named individual plaintiffs entered into a Settlement Agreement which resolved both a lawsuit previously filed on behalf of two individual Medi-Cal recipients with hemophilia in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California against the State of California relating to the implementation of the new ASP reimbursement methodology, and a lawsuit previously filed by us in the Superior Court for the County of Sacramento relating to, among other things, the State of California's failure to comply with certain applicable federal procedural requirements relating to the reimbursement rates. In return for dismissal of both lawsuits, DHS agreed to process, on a priority basis, all pending and future Medi-Cal,

California Children's Services and Genetically Handicapped Persons Program claims submitted by us. In addition, DHS agreed to expedite its efforts to implement electronic billing and payment for blood-clotting factor claims. There can be no assurance, however, that the Company's accounts receivable collections from the State of California will improve as the result of this settlement agreement. A failure of the Company to improve its accounts receivable collections from the State of California could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and operating results.

28

In addition, the California legislature recently approved a proposal by the Governor of California to expand the Medi-Cal managed care program into 13 additional counties and to phase in mandatory enrollment for parents and children who are Medi-Cal beneficiaries. The Governor's proposal for mandatory enrollment of seniors and disabled individuals was rejected by the legislature, except for those individuals who may reside in an expansion county where a County Organized Health System ("COHS") model is proposed. Under the COHS model, all eligible Medi-Cal beneficiaries are mandatorily enrolled into the managed care plan, including seniors and persons with disabilities. This proposal may be reconsidered by the Legislature in August of 2005. We understand there may be significant concern by various constituencies over mandatory enrollment of medically fragile populations, and the outcome of these proposals is uncertain at this time.

We are in the process of evaluating the impact various federal and state legislative and related initiatives may have on our business, financial position and results of operations.

Our growth strategy includes the expansion of our branch pharmacy network by the opening of new branch pharmacy locations.

An important element of the growth strategy of our Specialty Infusion business unit is the expansion of our branch pharmacy network through the opening of new branch locations. This expansion will involve significant planning and execution processes, such as identifying new markets, leasing facility space, hiring qualified personnel, obtaining payor contracts and obtaining patient referrals. In addition, the Company will need to invest capital in facility build out, computers, offices and other furniture and equipment. It is expected that these branch pharmacies will incur losses during their startup periods. Any failure by us to effectively execute this expansion strategy, including the successful transition of expansion branches from loss positions to profitability, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows.

We have experienced rapid growth by acquisitions. If we are unable to manage our growth effectively or purchase or integrate new companies, our business could be harmed.

Our growth strategy will likely strain our resources, and if we cannot effectively manage our growth, our business could be harmed. In connection with our growth strategy, we will likely experience an increase in the number of our employees in our branch network, the size of our programs and the scope of our operations. Our ability to manage this growth and to be successful in the future will depend partly on our ability to retain skilled employees, enhance our management team and improve our management information and financial control systems.

As part of our growth strategy, we may evaluate acquisition opportunities. Acquisitions involve many risks, including the following:

- Since the specialty pharmacy industry is undergoing consolidation, we may experience difficulty in identifying suitable candidates and negotiating and consummating acquisitions on attractive terms, if at all.
- o In the industry in which our Specialty Infusion business unit operates, there are customers who have a strong affiliation with their community-based representatives; accordingly, we may experience difficulty in retaining and assimilating the community-based representatives of companies we acquire.
- o Because of the relationships between community-based representatives and customers in certain of our product lines, the loss of a single community-based representative may entail the loss of a significant amount of revenue.
- Our operational, financial and management systems may be incompatible with or inadequate to cost effectively integrate and manage the acquired business' systems. As a result, billing practices could be interrupted, and cash collections on the newly acquired business could be delayed pending conversion of patient files onto our billing systems and receipt of provider numbers from government payors.

29

- A growth strategy that involves significant acquisitions diverts our management's attention from existing operations.
- Acquisitions may involve significant transaction costs which we may not be able to recoup.
- We may not be able to integrate newly acquired businesses appropriately.

In addition, we may become subject to litigation and other liabilities resulting from the conduct of an acquired business prior to their acquisition by us.

Our growth strategy may include acquisitions. If we fail to implement our acquisition growth strategy as intended, or incur unknown liabilities for the past practices of acquired companies, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

An element of the growth strategy of our Specialty Infusion business unit may be expansion through the acquisition of complementary businesses. Our competitors may acquire or seek to acquire many of the businesses that would also be suitable acquisition candidates for us. This competition could limit our ability to grow by acquisition or increase the cost of our acquisitions. There can be no assurance that we will be able to acquire any complementary businesses that meet our target criteria on satisfactory terms, or at all.

We may acquire businesses with significant unknown or contingent liabilities, including liabilities for failure to comply with health care or reimbursement laws and regulations. We have policies to conform the practices of acquired businesses to our standards and applicable laws and generally intend to seek indemnification from prospective sellers covering these matters. We may, however, incur material liabilities for past activities of acquired businesses.

While we generally obtain contractual rights to indemnification from owners of the businesses we acquire, our ability to realize on any indemnification claims will depend on many factors, including, among other things, the availability of assets of the indemnifying parties. These indemnifying parties are often individuals who may not have the resources to satisfy an indemnification claim.

We operate in a rapidly changing, consolidating and competitive environment. If we are unable to adapt quickly to these changes, our business and results of operations could be seriously harmed.

The specialty infusion industry is experiencing rapid consolidation. We believe that technological and regulatory changes will continue to attract new entrants to the market. Industry consolidation among our competitors may increase their financial resources, enabling them to compete more effectively based on price and services offered. This could require us either to reduce our prices or increase our service levels, or risk losing market share. Moreover, industry consolidation may result in stronger competitors that are better able to compete. If we are unable to effectively execute our growth strategy, our ability to compete in a rapidly changing and consolidating specialty pharmacy industry may be negatively impacted.

The anticipated benefits of combining Curative and CCS may not be realized.

In April of 2004, we purchased CCS with the expectation that the combination of both companies will result in various benefits including, among other things, benefits relating to increased infrastructure of added pharmacies, increased leverage with a greater number of payor contracts, an essential and demonstrably cost-effective therapy offering, increased clinical backbone and expertise, cost savings and operating efficiencies. There can be no assurance that we will realize any of these benefits or that the acquisition will not result in the deterioration or loss of significant business of the combined company. Costs incurred and liabilities assumed in connection with the acquisition, including pending and/or threatened disputes and litigation, could have a material adverse effect on the combined company's business, financial condition and operating results.

30

Curative may have difficulty and incur substantial costs in integrating CCS.

Integrating Curative and CCS will be a complex, time-consuming and expensive process. Before the acquisition, Curative and CCS operated independently, each with its own business, products, customers, employees, culture and systems. The combined company may face substantial difficulties, costs and delays in integrating Curative and CCS. These factors may include:

- potential difficulty in leveraging the value of the separate technologies of the combined company;
- managing patient and payor overlap and potential pricing conflicts;
- o costs and delays in implementing common systems and procedures;
- o difficulty integrating differing distribution models;
- o diversion of management resources from the business of the combined company;
- o potential incompatibility of business cultures and philosophies;

- o reduction or loss of revenue due to the potential for market confusion, hesitation and delay;
- retaining and integrating management and other key employees of the combined company; and
- coordinating infrastructure operations in an effective and efficient manner.

We may seek to combine certain operations and functions using common information and communication systems, operating procedures, financial controls and human resource practices. We may be unsuccessful in implementing the integration of these systems and processes.

Any one or all of these factors may cause increased operating costs, worse than anticipated financial performance or the loss of patients and payor contracts. Many of these factors are also outside our control. The failure to effectively and efficiently integrate Curative and CCS could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

In December 2004, we announced that our corporate headquarters and corporate functions in Hauppauge, New York, would be consolidated into our office located in Nashua, New Hampshire. The consolidation, which was substantially completed as of June 30, 2005, required recruitment of qualified personnel in the areas of finance, legal and marketing. There can be no assurance that we will be able to attract and/or retain qualified personnel in these areas. The failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

We may need additional capital to finance our growth and capital requirements, which could prevent us from fully pursuing our growth strategy.

In order to implement our present growth strategy, we may need substantial capital resources and may incur, from time to time, short- and long-term indebtedness, the terms of which will depend on market and other conditions. Due to uncertainties inherent in the capital markets (e.g., availability of capital, fluctuation of interest rates, etc.), we cannot be certain that existing or additional financing will be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all. Even if we are able to obtain additional debt financing, we may incur additional interest expense, which may decrease our earnings, or we may become subject to contracts that restrict our operations. As a result, we could be unable to fully pursue our growth strategy. Further, additional financing may involve the issuance of equity securities that would dilute the interests of our existing shareholders and potentially decrease the market price of our common stock.

31

An impairment of the significant amount of goodwill on our financial statements could adversely affect our results of operations.

Our specialty infusion acquisitions resulted in the recording of a significant amount of goodwill on our financial statements. The goodwill was recorded because the fair value of the net assets acquired was less than the purchase price. We may not realize the full value of this goodwill. As such, we evaluate, at least on an annual basis, whether events and circumstances indicate that all or some of the carrying value of goodwill is no longer recoverable, in which case we would write off the unrecoverable goodwill as a charge against our earnings. Due primarily to changes in the economics of the Specialty Infusion business unit, including the changes in reimbursement methodology that occurred

in 2004, we recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$134.7 million in goodwill and \$0.1 million in other intangible assets, respectively, in the fourth quarter of 2004. We will continue to monitor our goodwill and intangibles for impairment indicators.

Since our growth strategy may involve the acquisition of other companies, we may record additional goodwill in the future. The possible write-off of this goodwill could negatively impact our future earnings. We will also be required to allocate a portion of the purchase price of any acquisition to the value of any intangible assets that meet the criteria specified in the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, "Business Combinations," such as marketing, customer or contract-based intangibles. The amount allocated to these intangible assets could be amortized over a fairly short period, which may negatively affect our earnings or the market price of our common stock.

As of June 30, 2005, we had goodwill of approximately \$114.5 million, or 43% of total assets.

Failure to achieve and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and stock price.

We must continue to document and test our internal control procedures in order to satisfy the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, which requires annual management assessments of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and a report by our independent registered public accounting firm attesting to these assessments. During this process, management may identify control deficiencies and material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting and in our disclosure controls and procedures. Although management's evaluation concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2004, we cannot predict the outcome of testing in future periods. If we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal controls and disclosure controls, as such standards are modified, supplemented or amended from time to time, we may not be able to conclude on an ongoing basis that we have effective internal control over financial reporting. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports, our business and operating results could be harmed, investors could lose confidence in our reported financial information and the trading price of our stock could decline.

We are highly dependent on our relationships with a limited number of biopharmaceutical and pharmaceutical suppliers, and the loss of any of these relationships could significantly affect our ability to sustain or grow our revenues.

The biopharmaceutical and pharmaceutical industries are susceptible to product shortages. Some of the products that we distribute, such as factor VIII blood-clotting products and IVIG, have experienced shortages in the past due to the inability of suppliers to increase production to meet rising global demand. Although such shortages have ended, demand continues to grow. We are currently experiencing allocation restrictions of IVIG products. Suppliers were unable to increase production to meet rising global demand. For the year ended December 31, 2004, approximately 32%, or \$81.3 million, and for the six months ended June 30, 2005, approximately 23%, or \$33.1 million, of our Specialty Infusion business unit's revenues were derived from our sale of factor VIII. We purchase the majority of our supplies of blood-clotting products from five suppliers: Baxter Healthcare Corporation, Bayer Direct, ZLB Behring, Genetics Institute and Grifols Biologicals, Inc. We believe that these five suppliers represent substantially all of the production capacity for recombinant factor VIII. In the event that one of these suppliers is unable to continue to supply us with products, it is uncertain whether the remaining suppliers would be able to make up any shortfall resulting from such inability. Our ability to take on

additional customers or to acquire other specialty pharmacy or infusion services businesses with significant hemophilia customer bases could be affected negatively in

32

the event we are unable to secure adequate supplies of our products from these suppliers. In addition, MedImmune, Inc. is the sole source of Synagis(R), a product used to treat RSV in infants. For the year ended December 31, 2004, approximately 17%, or \$43.7 million, and for the six months ended June 30, 2005, approximately 19%, or \$27.2 million, of our Specialty Infusion business unit's revenues were derived from our sale of Synagis(R). MedImmune's failure to provide us with an adequate supply of Synagis(R) product for any reason could impair our ability to add and service patients. In particular, RSV occurs primarily during the winter months and thus the demand for Synagis(R) is greater during this time. A shortage in the supply of Synagis(R) or our failure to adequately plan for the demand could adversely affect our financial results. Under our existing arrangements with MedImmune, we are non-exclusive distributors of Synagis(R) and MedImmune has no obligation to supply us with a minimum amount of Synagis(R). We have recently been put on allocation of product for IVIG by our largest supplier of IVIG product. Although we believe we will have sufficient supply of IVIG to service our existing customers, we may not be able to increase our market share of providing infusion services related to IVIG. There can be no assurance as to when the allocation for IVIG products will terminate. In addition, it is possible t