

ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP
Form 10-Q
August 10, 2009

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009.

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-15373

ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP

**Incorporated in the State of Delaware
I.R.S. Employer Identification # 43-1706259
Address: 150 North Meramec
Clayton, MO 63105
Telephone: (314) 725-5500**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-7 (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of []large accelerated filer[], []accelerated filer[] and []smaller reporting company[] in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act
Yes No

As of August 7, 2009, the Registrant had 12,833,777 shares of outstanding common stock.

ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP AND SUBSIDIARIES
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)	1
Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)	2
Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited)	3
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income (Unaudited)	3
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)	4
Notes to Consolidated Unaudited Financial Statements	5
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	20
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	32
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	34
PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 6. Exhibits	35
Signatures	36
Certifications	37

PART 1 □ ITEM 1 □ FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Balance Sheets

	Unaudited At June 30, 2009	Audited At December 31, 2008
<i>(In thousands, except share and per share data)</i>		
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 41,490	\$ 25,626
Federal funds sold	4,252	2,637
Interest-bearing deposits	2,893	14,384
Total cash and cash equivalents	48,635	42,647
Securities available for sale	155,794	96,431

Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

Other investments	13,515	11,884
Loans held for sale	2,004	2,632
Portfolio loans	1,905,340	1,977,175
Less: Allowance for loan losses	42,635	31,309
Portfolio loans, net	1,862,705	1,945,866
Other real estate	16,053	13,868
Fixed assets, net	23,872	25,158
Accrued interest receivable	7,369	7,557
State tax credits, held for sale, including \$36,026 and \$39,142 carried at fair value, respectively	42,609	39,142
Goodwill	3,134	48,512
Intangibles, net	2,955	3,504
Other assets	36,284	32,973
Total assets	\$ 2,214,929	\$ 2,270,174
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Deposits:		
Demand deposits	\$ 238,139	\$ 247,361
Interest-bearing transaction accounts	129,680	126,644
Money market accounts	610,226	702,886
Savings	9,460	7,826
Certificates of deposit:		
\$100k and over	456,596	520,197
Other	315,163	187,870
Total deposits	1,759,264	1,792,784
Subordinated debentures	85,081	85,081
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	139,520	119,957
Other borrowings	55,474	46,160
Accrued interest payable	2,260	2,473
Other liabilities	7,106	5,931
Total liabilities	2,048,705	2,052,386
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; 35,000 shares issued and outstanding	31,463	31,116
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 30,000,000 shares authorized; 12,909,777 and 12,876,981 shares issued, respectively	129	129
Treasury stock, at cost; 76,000 shares	(1,743)	(1,743)
Additional paid in capital	116,032	115,111
Retained earnings	19,292	71,927
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,051	1,248
Total shareholders' equity	166,224	217,788
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 2,214,929	\$ 2,270,174

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

<i>(In thousands, except per share data)</i>	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Interest income:				
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 26,463	\$ 27,857	\$ 52,590	\$ 56,733
Interest on debt securities:				
Taxable	1,202	1,213	2,317	2,288
Nontaxable	5	7	13	16
Interest on federal funds sold	1	18	1	179
Interest on interest-bearing deposits	14	24	34	42
Dividends on equity securities	73	164	129	273
Total interest income	27,758	29,283	55,084	59,531
Interest expense:				
Interest-bearing transaction accounts	171	366	342	942
Money market accounts	1,512	3,286	3,023	8,123
Savings	9	14	18	36
Certificates of deposit:				
\$100 and over	3,925	4,263	8,380	8,380
Other	2,019	1,601	3,710	3,335
Subordinated debentures	1,312	799	2,661	1,747
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	1,187	1,817	2,318	3,529
Notes payable and other borrowings	125	335	283	497
Total interest expense	10,260	12,481	20,735	26,589
Net interest income	17,498	16,802	34,349	32,942
Provision for loan losses	8,000	3,200	23,100	5,525
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	9,498	13,602	11,249	27,417
Noninterest income:				
Wealth Management revenue	2,249	2,682	5,520	5,266
Service charges on deposit accounts	1,249	1,202	2,544	2,139
Other service charges and fee income	250	230	472	501
Sale of branches/charter	-	(19)	-	560
Sale of other real estate	(2)	351	57	342
State tax credit activity, net	109	(29)	63	984
Sale of investment securities	636	73	952	73
Miscellaneous income (loss)	325	(46)	104	115
Total noninterest income	4,816	4,444	9,712	9,980
Noninterest expense:				
Employee compensation and benefits	7,255	7,575	14,345	15,914
Occupancy	1,261	977	2,428	2,060
Furniture and equipment	359	355	723	719
Data processing	516	560	1,046	1,085
Meals and entertainment	400	385	649	706
Amortization of intangibles	273	369	550	754
Goodwill impairment charge	-	-	45,377	-
Other	5,254	2,502	9,707	5,318
Total noninterest expense	15,318	12,723	74,825	26,556
(Loss) income before income tax (benefit) expense	(1,004)	5,323	(53,864)	10,841
Income tax (benefit) expense	(1,390)	1,823	(3,633)	3,778
Net income (loss)	\$ 386	\$ 3,500	\$ (50,231)	\$ 7,063
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders	\$ (216)	\$ 3,500	\$ (51,432)	\$ 7,063

(Loss) earnings per common share:

Basic	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.28	\$ (4.01)	\$ 0.57
Diluted	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.27	\$ (4.01)	\$ 0.56

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

2

ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited)

<i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i>	Preferred	Common Stock	Treasury	Additional paid in capital	Retained earnings
Balance December 31, 2008	\$ 31,116	\$ 129	\$ (1,743)	\$ 115,111	\$ 71,923
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(50,231)
Change in fair value of available for sale securities, net of tax	-	-	-	-	-
Reclassification adjustment for realized gain on sale of securities included in net income, net of tax	-	-	-	-	-
Reclassification of cash flow hedge, net of tax	-	-	-	-	-
Total comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	-
Cash dividends paid on common shares, \$0.105 per share	-	-	-	-	(1,343)
Dividends paid on preferred stock	-	-	-	-	(70)
Preferred stock amortization of discount and issuance cost	347	-	-	(130)	(34)
Issuance under equity compensation plans, net, 32,796 shares	-	-	-	352	-
Share-based compensation	-	-	-	1,036	-
Excess tax expense on additional share-based compensation in connection with acquisition of Clayco Banc Corporation	-	-	-	(364)	-
Excess tax benefit related to equity compensation plans	-	-	-	27	-
Balance June 30, 2009	\$ 31,463	\$ 129	\$ (1,743)	\$ 116,032	\$ 19,292

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income (Unaudited)

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income (loss)	\$ 386	\$ 3,500	\$ (50,231)	\$ 7,063
Other comprehensive income:				
Unrealized gain (loss) on investment securities arising during the period, net of tax	34	(934)	491	(16)
Less reclassification adjustment for realized gain on sale of securities included in net income, net of tax	(407)	-	(609)	-
Reclassification of cash flow hedge, net of tax	(39)	(47)	(79)	(47)
Total other comprehensive loss	(412)	(981)	(197)	(63)
Total comprehensive (loss) income	\$ (26)	\$ 2,519	\$ (50,428)	\$ 7,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Six months ended June	
	2009	2008
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net (loss) income	\$ (50,231)	\$ 7,100
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash from operating activities:		
Depreciation	1,735	1,100
Provision for loan losses	23,100	5,500
Deferred income taxes	(5,767)	(1,000)
Net amortization of debt securities	379	(1,000)
Amortization of intangible assets	549	(1,000)
Gain on sale of investment securities	(952)	(1,000)
Mortgage loans originated	(59,215)	(3,000)
Proceeds from mortgage loans sold	59,367	3,000
Gain on sale of other real estate	(57)	(1,000)
Gain on state tax credits, net	(63)	(1,000)
Excess tax expense on additional share-based compensation from acquisition of Clayco	364	(1,000)
Excess tax benefits of share-based compensation	(27)	(1,000)
Share-based compensation	1,141	(1,000)
Gain on sale of branches/charter	-	(1,000)
Goodwill impairment charge	45,377	(1,000)
Changes in:		
Accrued interest receivable and income tax receivable	2,238	(1,000)
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	(1,215)	(3,000)
Other, net	3,868	(1,000)
Net cash provided by operating activities	20,591	12,000
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Cash paid in sale of branch/charter, net of cash and cash equivalents received	-	(6,000)
Net decrease (increase) in loans	48,100	(22,000)
Proceeds from the sale/maturity/redemption/recoveries of:		
Debt and equity securities, available for sale	63,918	30,000
State tax credits held for sale	2,420	(1,000)
Other real estate	9,701	4,000
Loans previously charged off	131	(1,000)
Payments for the purchase/origination of:		
Available for sale debt and equity securities	(123,138)	(73,000)
Limited partnership interests	(512)	(4,000)
State tax credits held for sale	(6,583)	(13,000)
Fixed assets	(334)	(4,000)
Net cash used in investing activities	(6,297)	(28,000)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net decrease in noninterest-bearing deposit accounts	(9,222)	(3,000)
Net (decrease) increase in interest-bearing deposit accounts	(24,299)	12,000
Proceeds from Federal Home Loan Bank advances	18,615	73,000
Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(437)	(68,000)

Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

Net proceeds from federal funds purchased	2,250	
Net increase in other borrowings	7,064	42
Proceeds from notes payable	-	15
Repayments on notes payable	-	(3)
Cash dividends paid on common stock	(1,348)	(3)
Excess tax expense on additional share-based compensation from acquisition of Clayco	(364)	
Excess tax benefits of share-based compensation	27	
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(709)	
Preferred stock issuance cost	(130)	
Proceeds from the exercise of common stock options	247	2
Net cash (used) provided by financing activities	(8,306)	200
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	5,988	(70)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	42,647	153
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 48,635	\$ 83
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 20,948	\$ 27
Income taxes	310	4
Noncash transactions:		
Transfer to other real estate owned in settlement of loans	12,475	10

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

4

ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Unaudited Financial Statements

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The more significant accounting policies used by the Company in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements are summarized below:

Basis of Financial Statement Presentation

Enterprise Financial Services Corp (the "Company" or "EFSC") is a financial holding company that provides a full range of banking and wealth management services to individuals and corporate customers located in the St. Louis and Kansas City metropolitan markets through its banking subsidiary, Enterprise Bank & Trust ("Enterprise"). Enterprise also operates a loan production office in Phoenix, Arizona. In addition, the Company owns 100% of Millennium Brokerage Group, LLC ("Millennium"). Millennium is headquartered in Nashville, Tennessee and operates life insurance advisory and brokerage operations from fourteen offices serving life agents, banks, CPA firms, property and casualty groups, and financial advisors in 49 states. On July 31, 2008, the Company sold its remaining interests in Great American Bank ("Great American"). See Note 2 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 for more information.

The consolidated financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries have been prepared in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("U.S. GAAP") for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. They do not include all information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, Enterprise, Millennium and Great American (through the date of disposition.) Acquired businesses are included in the consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included.

Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the year ending December 31, 2009. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year balances to conform to the current year presentation. Such reclassifications had no effect on previously reported consolidated net income or shareholders' equity.

Income Taxes

Historically, the Company has recorded its income tax provision or benefit in interim periods based on an estimated annual effective tax rate as required by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 28, *Interim Financial Reporting*. FASB Interpretation No. 18 (FIN 18), *Accounting for Income Taxes in Interim Periods* and an interpretation of APB No. 28, provides that, when a reliable estimate of the annual effective tax rate cannot be made, the actual effective tax rate for the year-to-date period may be used. During the second quarter of 2009, the Company concluded that minor changes in the Company's estimated 2009 pre-tax results and projected permanent items produced significant variability in the estimated annual effective tax rate, and thus, the estimated rate may not be reliable. Accordingly, the Company has determined that the actual effective tax rate for the year-to-date period is the best estimate of the effective tax rate. The effective tax rate for subsequent 2009 periods could differ significantly from the effective tax rate for the first half of 2009.

The actual effective tax rate differs from the expected effective tax rate primarily due to the nondeductible goodwill impairment charge and other permanent differences related to tax exempt interest and federal tax credits.

The Company is permitted to recognize deferred tax assets only to the extent that they are expected to be used to reduce amounts that have been paid or will be paid to tax authorities. Management believes, based on all positive and negative evidence, that the deferred tax asset is more likely-than-not-to be realized.

New Accounting Standards

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB No. 141(R) *Business Combinations* a replacement of FASB No. 141 (FASB 141R). FASB 141R replaces FASB 141 *Business Combinations* (FASB 141) and applies to all transaction and other events in which one entity obtains control over one or more other businesses. FASB 141R requires an acquirer, upon initially obtaining control of another entity, to recognize the assets, liabilities and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at fair value as of the acquisition date. Contingent consideration is required to be recognized and measured at fair value on the date of acquisition rather than at a later date when the amount of that consideration may be determinable beyond a reasonable doubt.

5

This fair value approach replaces the cost-allocation process required under FASB 141 whereby the cost of an acquisition was allocated to the individual assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair value. FASB 141R requires acquirors to expense acquisition-related costs as incurred rather than allocating such costs to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, as was previously the case under FASB 141. Under FASB 141R, the requirements of FASB 146, *Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities*, would have to be met in order to accrue for a restructuring plan in purchase accounting. Pre-acquisition contingencies are to be recognized at fair value, unless it is a non-contractual contingency that is not likely to materialize, in which case, nothing should be recognized in purchase accounting and, instead, that contingency would be subject to the probable and estimable recognition criteria of FASB 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*. FASB 141R is expected to have an impact on the Company's accounting for business combinations closing on or after January 1, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued FASB No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an amendment of ARB No. 51* (FASB 160). FASB 160 amends Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, *Consolidated Financial Statements*, to establish accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. FASB 160 also clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements. FASB 160 requires consolidated net income to be reported at amounts that include the amounts attributable to both the parent and the noncontrolling interest. Prior to FASB 160, net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest generally was reported as an expense or other deduction in arriving at consolidated net income. Additional disclosures are required as a result of FASB 160 to clearly identify

and distinguish between the interests of the parent's owners and the interests of the noncontrolling owners of a subsidiary. FASB 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. As of June 30, 2009, the Company had no noncontrolling interests. FASB 160 will impact our consolidated financial statements if noncontrolling interests are acquired in the future.

On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted FASB No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133) (FASB 161). FASB 161 expands disclosure requirements regarding an entity's derivative instruments and hedging activities. Expanded qualitative disclosures required under FASB 161 include: (1) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments; (2) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under FASB 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and related interpretations; and (3) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. FASB 161 also requires several added quantitative disclosures in financial statements.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*, which delayed application of FASB 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, for certain nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. As a result, the Company adopted FSP FAS 157-2 on January 1, 2009.

In response to constituent feedback and financial statement user demand, in April 2009, the FASB issued three FASB Staff Positions (FSP) that address areas of accounting guidance that have received considerable scrutiny as global financial markets have struggled. The FSPs are: FSP FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*, FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments* and FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. The Company adopted these FSPs on April 1, 2009.

- **FSP FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly*** (FSP 157-4). This FSP addresses concerns that FASB 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, emphasized the use of an observable market transaction even when that transaction may not have been orderly or the market for that transaction may not have been active. FSP 157-4 provides additional guidance on: (a) determining when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability has significantly decreased; (b) identifying circumstances in which a transaction is not orderly; and (c) understanding the fair value measurement implications of both (a) and (b). The objectives of fair value measurement under FASB 157 have not changed.

FSP 157-4 requires several new disclosures, including the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value and a discussion of changes in valuation techniques and related inputs, if any, in both interim and annual periods. The adoption of FSP 157-4 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements or the disclosures presented in our consolidated financial statements.

-
- **FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments*** (FSP 115-2 and 124-2). This FSP amends the other-than-temporary impairment guidance for debt securities to make the guidance more operational and to improve the presentation and disclosure of other-than-temporary impairments in the financial statements. The most significant change FSP 115-2 and 124-2 brings is a revision to the amount of other-than-temporary loss of a debt security recorded in earnings.

FSP 115-2 and 124-2 clarifies the interaction of the factors that should be considered when determining whether a debt security is other-than-temporarily impaired. For debt securities, management must assess whether (a) it has the intent to sell the security, or (b) it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security prior to its anticipated recovery. These steps are done before assessing whether the entity will recover the cost basis of

the investment. Previously, this assessment required management to assert it has both the intent and the ability to hold a security for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in fair value to avoid recognizing an other-than-temporary impairment. In assessing whether the entire cost basis of the security will be recovered, a comparison must be made of the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected from the security to the amortized cost basis of the security. In addition, if it is more likely than not the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis, an other-than-temporary impairment is deemed to have occurred.

FSP 115-2 and 124-2 changes the presentation and amount of the other-than-temporary impairment recognized in the statement of earnings. The other-than-temporary impairment is separated into (a) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to credit issues in the debt security and (b) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to credit issues is recognized in earnings. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. We adopted the provisions of FSP 115-2 and 124-2 during the second quarter of 2009 which did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

This FSP also expands and increases the frequency of certain existing disclosures related to other-than-temporary impairments. The interim disclosures required by FSP 115-2 and 124-2 are reported in Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements.

- **FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1**, *Interim Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments* (FSP 107-1) which amends FASB 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods of publicly traded companies as well as in annual financial statements. APB 28-1 amends Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 28, *Interim Financial Reporting*, to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1 are effective for interim periods ending after June 15, 2009 and is applied prospectively. The interim disclosures required by FSP 107-1 and APB-1 are reported in Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued FASB No. 165, *Subsequent Events* (FASB 165) which established general standards of accounting for and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. FASB 165 also requires entities to disclose the date through which subsequent events were evaluated as well as the rationale for why that date was selected. FASB 165 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company adopted FASB 165 in the second quarter of 2009 and has evaluated all subsequent events through August 7, 2009 (the date the Company's second quarter Form 10Q was issued). The adoption of FASB 165 did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows or disclosures.

In June 2009, the FASB issued FASB No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets* (an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140) (FASB 166) which requires additional information regarding transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and where companies have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. FASB 166 eliminates the concept of a "qualifying special-purpose entity," changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets, and requires additional disclosures. FASB 166 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of FASB 166 will have on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows or disclosures.

In June 2009, the FASB issued FASB No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* (FASB 167). FASB 167 amends Interpretation No. 46(R) to require ongoing reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. Additionally, FASB 167 requires enhanced disclosures that will provide users of financial statements with more transparent information about an enterprise's involvement in variable interest entities. FASB 167 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of FASB 167 will have on our financial position, results of operations, cash flows or disclosures.

In June 2009, the FASB issued FASB No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (FASB 168). This FASB instituted a major change in the way

accounting standards are organized. After final approval by the FASB, the accounting standards Codification will become the single official source of authoritative, nongovernmental U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Only this one level of authoritative GAAP will exist, other than guidance issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission. All other literature will be non-authoritative. FASB 168 and the Codification are effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. When effective, the Codification will supersede all existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. The Codification is effective for us during our interim period ending September 30, 2009 and will not have an impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

NOTE 2 EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

Basic (loss) earnings per common share data is calculated by dividing net (loss) income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted (loss) earnings per common share gives effect to all dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method and the if-converted method for convertible securities related to an issuance of trust preferred securities. The following table presents a summary of per common share data and amounts for the periods indicated.

<i>(in thousands, except per share data)</i>	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income (loss), as reported	\$ 386	\$ 3,500	\$ (50,231)	\$ 7,063
Preferred stock dividend	(438)	-	(875)	-
Amortization of preferred stock discount	(164)	-	(326)	-
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders	\$ (216)	\$ 3,500	\$ (51,432)	\$ 7,063
Weighted average common shares outstanding	12,833	12,545	12,831	12,492
Additional dilutive common stock equivalents	-	215	-	225
Diluted common shares outstanding	12,833	12,760	12,831	12,717
Basic (loss) earnings per common share	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.28	\$ (4.01)	\$ 0.57
Diluted (loss) earnings per common share	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.27	\$ (4.01)	\$ 0.56

For the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, there were 2.5 million and 304,000 of weighted average common stock equivalents excluded from the per share calculations because their effect was anti-dilutive. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, there were 2.4 million and 323,000 of weighted average common stock equivalents excluded from the per share calculation because their effect was anti-dilutive. In addition, at June 30, 2009, the Company had outstanding warrants to purchase 324,074 shares of common stock associated with the U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program which were excluded from the per common share calculation because their effect was also anti-dilutive.

NOTE 3 INVESTMENTS

The following table presents the amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value of securities available-for-sale:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2009			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Available for sale securities:				
Obligations of U.S. Government agencies	\$ 24,883	\$ 367	\$ -	\$ 25,250
Obligations of U.S. Government sponsored agencies	7,998	46	-	8,044
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	569	6	-	575
Residential mortgage-backed securities	121,230	1,209	(514)	121,925

Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

\$ 154,680 \$ 1,628 \$ (514) \$ 155,794

<i>(in thousands)</i>	December 31, 2008			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Available for sale securities:				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 765	\$ 7	\$ -	\$ 772
Residential mortgage-backed securities	94,368	1,438	(147)	95,659
	\$ 95,133	\$ 1,445	\$ (147)	\$ 96,431

At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, there were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. Government and its agencies, in an amount greater than 10% of shareholders' equity. Debt securities having a carrying value of \$59.0 million and \$73.0 million at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, were pledged as collateral to secure public deposits and for other purposes as required by law or contract provisions.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of debt securities classified as available for sale at June 30, 2009, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 14,081	\$ 14,264
Due from one to five years	73,843	75,074
Due from five to ten years	58,814	58,635
Due after ten years	7,942	7,821
Total	\$ 154,680	\$ 155,794

The following table represents a summary of available-for-sale investment securities that had an unrealized loss:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2009					
	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$ 71,728	\$ 514	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 71,728	\$ 514

<i>(in thousands)</i>	December 31, 2008					
	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$ 21,709	\$ 144	\$ 628	\$ 3	\$ 22,337	\$ 147

The unrealized losses at both June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, were attributable to changes in market interest rates since the securities were purchased. Management systematically evaluates investment securities for other-than-temporary declines in fair value on a quarterly basis. This analysis requires management to consider various factors, which include (1) duration and magnitude of the decline in value, (2) the financial condition of the issuer or issuers, (3) structure of the security and (4) the intent to sell the security or whether it more likely than not that the Company would be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery in market value. At June 30, 2009, management performed its quarterly analysis of all securities with an unrealized loss and concluded no material individual securities were other-than-temporarily impaired.

The gross gains and gross losses realized from sales of available-for-sale for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 were as follows:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Gross gains realized	\$ 636	\$ 138	\$ 952	\$ 138
Gross losses realized	-	65	-	65
Net gains realized	\$ 636	\$ 73	\$ 952	\$ 73

NOTE 4 GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

FASB 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, requires that goodwill be tested for impairment annually and more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. Historically the Banking reporting unit has been tested for goodwill impairment at December 31 and the Millennium reporting unit has been tested for impairment at September 30.

At March 31, 2009, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$45.4 million which eliminated all goodwill at the Banking reporting unit. The impairment charge was primarily driven by the deterioration in the general economic environment and the resulting decline in the Company's share price and market capitalization in the first quarter of 2009.

There were no events or circumstances that required an interim impairment test for the Millennium reporting unit for the quarter ended June 30, 2009.

The table below summarizes the changes to goodwill for the periods presented.

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Reporting Unit		
	Millennium	Banking	Total
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 3,134	\$ 45,378	\$ 48,512
Goodwill impairment related to Banking segment	-	(45,378)	(45,378)
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$ 3,134	\$ -	\$ 3,134

The table below summarizes the changes to intangible asset balances. Customer and trade name intangibles are related to the Millennium reporting unit and Core deposit intangibles are related to the Banking reporting unit.

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Customer and Trade Name	Core Deposit	Net Intangible
	Intangibles	Intangible	
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 1,379	\$ 2,125	\$ 3,504
Amortization expense	(298)	(251)	(549)
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$ 1,081	\$ 1,874	\$ 2,955

The following table reflects the expected amortization schedule for the customer, trade name and core deposit intangibles.

Year	Amount
------	--------

Remaining		
2009	\$	528
2010		1,015
2011		371
2012		309
2013		247
After 2013		485
	\$	2,955

NOTE 5 DISCLOSURES ABOUT FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company issues financial instruments with off balance sheet risk in the normal course of the business of meeting the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments may involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest-rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets.

The Company's extent of involvement and maximum potential exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of these instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for financial instruments included on its consolidated balance sheets. At June 30, 2009, no amounts have been accrued for any estimated losses for these financial instruments.

The contractual amount of off-balance-sheet financial instruments as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are as follows:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 488,518	\$ 555,361
Standby letters of credit	29,253	33,875

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments usually have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Of the total commitments to extend credit at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, approximately \$127.0 million and \$131.0 million, respectively, represent fixed rate loan commitments. Since certain of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The bank evaluates each customer's credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by each bank upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower. Collateral held varies, but may include accounts receivable, inventory, premises and equipment, and real estate.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by Enterprise to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These standby letters of credit are issued to support contractual obligations of the bank's customers. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as the risk involved in extending loans to customers. The approximate remaining term of standby letters of credit range from 6 months to 5 years at June 30, 2009.

NOTE 6 DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted FASB 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - an Amendment of FASB Statement 133*, which increased required disclosures regarding derivatives and hedging activities, including disclosures regarding how an entity uses derivative instruments and how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for and affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. The Company is a party to various derivative financial instruments that are used in the normal course of business to meet the needs of its clients and as part of its risk management activities. These instruments include interest rate swaps and option contracts. The Company does not enter into

derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Interest rate swap contracts involve the exchange of fixed and floating rate interest payment obligations without the exchange of the underlying principal amounts. The Company enters into interest rate swap contracts on behalf of its clients and also utilizes such contracts to reduce or eliminate the exposure to changes in the cash flows or value of hedged assets or liabilities due to changes in interest rates. Interest rate option contracts consist of caps and provide for the transfer or reduction of interest rate risk in exchange for a fee. In November 2008, the Company entered into a series of interest rate caps in order to economically hedge changes in fair value of the State tax credits held for sale.

All derivative financial instruments, whether designated as hedges or not, are recorded on the consolidated balance sheet at fair value within Other assets or Other liabilities. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative in the consolidated statement of operations depends on whether the contract has been designated as a hedge and qualifies for hedge accounting in accordance with FASB No. 133. At June 30, 2009, the Company did not have any derivatives designated as cash flow or fair value hedges under FASB 133.

Using derivative instruments means assuming counterparty credit risk. Counterparty credit risk relates to the loss we could incur if a counterparty were to default on a derivative contract. Notional amounts of derivative financial instruments do not represent credit risk, and are not recorded in the consolidated balance sheet. They are used merely to express the volume of this activity. We monitor the overall credit risk and exposure to individual counterparties. We do not anticipate nonperformance by any counterparties. The amount of counterparty credit exposure is the unrealized gains, if any, on such derivative contracts. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, Enterprise had pledged cash of \$1.5 million and \$470,000, respectively, as collateral in connection with interest rate swap agreements.

Risk Management Instruments. The Company enters into certain derivative contracts to economically hedge state tax credits and certain loans.

- **Economic hedge of state tax credits.** In November 2008, the Company entered into a series of interest rate caps in order to economically hedge changes in fair value of the State tax credits held for sale. The Company paid \$2.1 million at inception of the contracts. See Note 8 Fair Value Measurements for further discussion of the fair value of the state tax credits.
- **Economic hedge of prime based loans.** The Company had two interest rate swaps with notional values of \$40.0 million each which economically hedged changes in cash flows of a pool of prime based loans. Those derivatives were terminated in February 2009, at which time the Company recognized a loss of \$530,000 upon termination. The loss was included in Miscellaneous loss in the consolidated statement of operations. The derivatives had previously been designated as cash flow hedges. However, in December 2008, due to a variable rate differential, the Company concluded the cash flow hedges would not be prospectively effective and the hedges were dedesignated. The unrealized gain prior to dedesignation was included in Accumulated other comprehensive income and is being amortized over the expected life of the related loans. At June 30, 2009, the amount remaining in Accumulated other comprehensive income was \$338,000. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, \$62,000 and \$124,000, respectively, were reclassified into Miscellaneous income. The Company expects to reclassify \$248,000 of remaining derivative gains from Accumulated other comprehensive income to earnings over the next twelve months.

The table below summarizes the notional amounts and fair values of the derivative instruments used to manage risk.

	Notional Amount		Asset Derivatives Fair Value		Liability Derivatives Fair Value	
	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
<i>(in thousands)</i>						
Non-designated hedging instruments						
Interest rate cap contracts	\$ 188,050	\$ 188,050	\$ 1,196	\$ 544	\$ -	\$ -

Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

Cash flow hedging instruments												
Interest rate swap contracts	\$	-	\$	80,000	\$	-	\$	1,291	\$	-	\$	-

12

The following table shows the location and amount of gains and losses related to derivatives used for risk management purposes that were recorded in the consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

(in thousands)	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Operations on Derivative Three months ended June 30,		Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Operations on Derivative Six months ended June 30,	
		2009	2008	2009	2008
Non-designated hedging instruments					
Interest rate cap contracts	State tax credit activity, net	\$ 736	\$ -	\$ 652	\$ -
Interest rate swap contracts	Miscellaneous income (loss)	\$ 62	\$ -	\$ (406)	\$ -

Client-Related Derivative Instruments. As an accommodation to certain customers, the Company enters into interest rate swaps to economically hedge changes in fair value of certain loans. The table below summarizes the notional amounts and fair values of the client-related derivative instruments.

(in thousands)	Notional Amount		Asset Derivatives Fair Value		Liability Derivatives Fair Value	
			June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
	Non-designated hedging instruments					
Interest rate swap contracts	\$ 30,885	\$ 17,429	\$ 26	\$ -	\$ 1,024	\$ 1,467

Changes in the fair value of client-related derivative instruments are recognized currently in operations. The following table shows the location and amount of gains and losses recorded in the consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

(in thousands)	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Operations on Derivative	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Operations on Derivative Three months ended June 30,		Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Operations on Derivative Six months ended June 30,	
		2009	2008	2009	2008
Designated hedging instruments					
Interest rate swap contracts	Interest and fees on loans	\$ (113)	\$ (84)	\$ (290)	\$ -

NOTE 7 SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

The Company maintains a number of share-based incentive programs, which are discussed in more detail in Note 17 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. There were no stock options, stock-settled stock appreciation rights, or restricted stock units granted in the first six months of 2009. The share-based compensation expense was \$491,000 and \$1.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. The share-based compensation expense was \$448,000 and \$901,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively.

Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

Employee Stock Options and Stock-settled Stock Appreciation Rights (SSAR)

At June 30, 2009, there was \$30,000 and \$2.5 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to stock options and SSARs, respectively, which is expected to be recognized over weighted average periods of 1.3 and 3.2 years, respectively. Following is a summary of the employee stock option and SSAR activity for the first six months of 2009.

<i>(Dollars in thousands, except share data)</i>	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	827,471	\$ 17.03		
Granted	-	-		
Exercised	(1,500)	10.00		
Forfeited	(24,946)	23.64		
Outstanding at June 30, 2009	801,025	\$ 16.84	5.9 years	\$ -
Exercisable at June 30, 2009	477,002	\$ 14.16	4.0 years	\$ -
Vested and expected to vest at June 30, 2009	739,011	\$ 16.24	5.9 years	\$ -

13

Restricted Stock Units (RSU)

At June 30, 2009, there was \$2.4 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to the RSUs, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.6 years. A summary of the Company's restricted stock unit activity for the first six months of 2009 is presented below.

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	150,463	\$ 22.89
Granted	-	-
Vested	(5)	22.63
Forfeited	(17,654)	23.36
Outstanding at June 30, 2009	132,804	\$ 22.83

Stock Plan for Non-Management Directors

Shares are issued twice a year and compensation expense is recorded as the shares are earned, therefore, there is no unrecognized compensation expense related to this plan. The Company recognized \$8,000 and \$105,000 of stock-based compensation expense for the directors for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. The Company recognized \$2,000 and \$97,000 of stock-based compensation expense for the directors for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively. Pursuant to this plan, the Company issued 8,829 and 4,434 shares in the first six months of 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Moneta Plan

As of December 31, 2006, the fair value of all Moneta options had been expensed. As a result, there have been no option-related expenses for Moneta in 2009 or 2008. Following is a summary of the Moneta stock option activity for the first six months of 2009.

Weighted Average Exercise	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual	Aggregate Intrinsic
---------------------------------	---	------------------------

<i>(Dollars in thousands, except share data)</i>	Shares	Price	Term	Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	91,001	\$ 13.55		
Granted	-	-		
Exercised	(22,462)	10.33		
Forfeited	(270)	10.33		
Outstanding at June 30, 2009	68,269	\$ 14.62	1.1 years	\$ -
Exercisable at June 30, 2009	68,269	\$ 14.62	1.1 years	\$ -

NOTE 8 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted FASB 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, for financial assets and financial liabilities. FASB 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. In accordance with FSP 157-2, *Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157*, the Company adopted FASB 157 for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities on January 1, 2009. On April 1, 2009, the Company adopted FSP 157-4 which requires several new disclosures, including the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value and a discussion of changes in valuation techniques and related inputs, if any, in both interim and annual periods.

State tax credits held for sale. Pursuant to the provisions of FASB 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, the Company elected not to account for state tax credits purchased in the first six months of 2009 at fair value. Of the \$42.6 million of state tax credits, held for sale on the consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2009, approximately \$36.0 million were carried at fair value. The remaining \$6.6 million of state tax credits were accounted for at cost. The Company elected not to account for the newly purchased state tax credits at fair value in order to limit the volatility of the fair value changes in our consolidated statements of operations.

14

The fair value of the state tax credits decreased by \$1.2 million in the first six months of 2009 compared to a \$768,000 increase in the first six months of 2008. These fair value changes are included in State tax credit activity, net in the consolidated statements of operations.

The following table summarizes financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2009, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value.

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Fair Value
Assets				
Securities available for sale	\$ -	\$ 155,794	\$ -	\$ 155,794
State tax credits held for sale	-	-	36,026	36,026
Derivative financial instruments	-	1,222	-	1,222
Portfolio loans	-	17,820	-	17,820
Total assets	\$ -	\$ 174,837	\$ 36,026	\$ 210,862
Liabilities				
Derivative financial instruments	\$ -	\$ 1,024	\$ -	\$ 1,024
Total liabilities	\$ -	\$ 1,024	\$ -	\$ 1,024

Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table presents the changes in Level 3 financial instruments measured at fair value as of June 30, 2009.

	State tax credits held for sale
<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 39,142
Total gains or losses (realized and unrealized):	
Included in earnings	(1,195)
Included in other comprehensive income	-
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net	(1,921)
Transfer in and/or out of Level 3	-
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$ 36,026
Change in unrealized gains or losses relating to assets still held at the reporting date	\$ (1,195)

15

From time to time, the Company measures certain assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These include assets that are measured at the lower of cost or market value that were recognized at fair value below cost at the end of the period. The following table presents financial instruments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of June 30, 2009.

	Total Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Gains (Losses)
<i>(in thousands)</i>					
Loans held for sale	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Impaired loans	15,028	-	15,028	-	(11,905)
Other real estate	2,679	-	2,679	-	(1,114)
Long-lived assets held and used	-	-	-	-	-
Goodwill	-	-	-	-	(45,377)
Total	\$ 17,706	\$ -	\$ 17,706	\$ -	\$ (58,396)

FSP 107-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, extends existing fair value disclosure for some financial instruments by requiring disclosure of the fair value of such financial instruments, both assets and liabilities and not recognized in the consolidated balance sheets.

Following is a summary of the carrying amounts and fair values of the Company's financial instruments on the consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

	June 30, 2009		December 31, 2008	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated fair value	Carrying Amount	Estimated fair value
<i>(in thousands)</i>				
Balance sheet assets				
Cash and due from banks	\$ 41,490	\$ 41,490	\$ 25,626	\$ 25,626
Federal funds sold	4,252	4,252	2,637	2,637

Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

Interest-bearing deposits	2,893	2,893	14,384	14,384
Securities available for sale	155,794	155,794	96,431	96,431
Other investments	13,515	13,515	11,884	11,884
Loans held for sale	2,004	2,004	2,632	2,632
Derivative financial instruments	1,222	1,222	1,835	1,835
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses	1,862,705	1,863,548	1,945,866	1,991,183
State tax credits, held for sale	42,609	42,471	39,142	39,142
Accrued interest receivable	7,369	7,369	7,557	7,557
Balance sheet liabilities				
Deposits	1,759,264	1,762,872	1,792,784	1,800,958
Subordinated debentures	85,081	71,922	85,081	71,394
Other borrowed funds	194,994	196,656	166,117	180,864
Derivative financial instruments	1,024	1,024	1,467	1,467
Accrued interest payable	2,260	2,260	2,473	2,473

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments for which it is practical to estimate such value:

Cash, Federal funds sold, and other short-term instruments

For cash and due from banks, federal funds purchased, interest-bearing deposits, and accrued interest receivable (payable), the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value, as such instruments reprice in a short time period.

Securities available for sale

The Company obtains fair value measurements for available for sale debt instruments from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions.

16

Other investments

Other investments, which primarily consists of membership stock in the FHLB is reported at cost, which approximates fair value.

Loans, net of allowance for loan losses

The fair value of adjustable-rate loans approximates cost. The fair value of fixed-rate loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers for the same remaining maturities.

State tax credits held for sale

The fair value of state tax credits held for sale is calculated using an internal valuation model with unobservable market data including discounted cash flows based upon the terms and conditions of the tax credits.

Derivative financial instruments

The fair value of derivative financial instruments is based on quoted market prices by the counterparty and verified by the Company using public pricing information.

Deposits

The fair value of demand deposits, interest-bearing transaction accounts, money market accounts and savings deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Subordinated debentures

Fair value of floating interest rate subordinated debentures is assumed to equal carrying value. Fair value of

fixed interest rate subordinated debentures is based on discounting the future cash flows using rates currently offered for financial instruments of similar remaining maturities.

Other borrowed funds

Other borrowed funds include FHLB advances, customer repurchase agreements, federal funds purchased, and notes payable. The fair value of FHLB advances is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using current rates on borrowed money with similar remaining maturities. The fair value of federal funds purchased, customer repurchase agreements and notes payable are assumed to be equal to their carrying amount since they have an adjustable interest rate.

Commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit

The fair value of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit would be estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements, the likelihood of the counterparties drawing on such financial instruments, and the present creditworthiness of such counterparties. The Company believes such commitments have been made on terms which are competitive in the markets in which it operates; however, no premium or discount is offered thereon and accordingly, the Company has not assigned a value to such instruments for purposes of this disclosure.

NOTE 9 SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company has two primary operating segments, Banking and Wealth Management, which are delineated by the products and services that each segment offers. The segments are evaluated separately on their individual performance, as well as their contribution to the Company as a whole.

The Banking operating segment consists of a full-service commercial bank, Enterprise, with locations in St. Louis and Kansas City and a loan production office in Phoenix, Arizona. The majority of the Company's assets and income result from the Banking segment. With the exception of the loan production office, all banking locations have the same product and service offerings, have similar types and classes of customers and utilize similar service delivery methods. Pricing guidelines and operating policies for products and services are the same across all regions.

The Wealth Management segment includes the Trust division of Enterprise, the state tax credit brokerage activities, and Millennium. The Trust division provides estate planning, investment management, and retirement planning as well as consulting on management compensation, strategic planning and management succession issues. State tax credits are part of a fee initiative designed to augment the Company's wealth management segment and banking lines of business. Millennium operates life insurance advisory and brokerage operations serving life agents, banks, CPA firms, property & casualty groups, and financial advisors in 49 states.

17

The Corporate segment's principal activities include the direct ownership of the Company's banking and non-banking subsidiaries and the issuance of debt and equity. Its principal source of liquidity is dividends from its subsidiaries and stock option exercises.

The financial information for each business segment reflects that information which is specifically identifiable or which is allocated based on an internal allocation method. There were no material intersegment revenues among the three segments. Management periodically makes changes to methods of assigning costs and income to its business segments to better reflect operating results. When appropriate, these changes are reflected in prior year information presented below.

18

Following are the financial results for the Company's operating segments.

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Banking	Wealth Management	Corporate and Intercompany	Total
Balance Sheet Information				
		At June 30, 2009		
Loans, less unearned loan fees	\$ 1,905,340	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,905,340
Goodwill	-	3,134	-	3,134

Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

Intangibles, net	1,874	1,081	-	2,955
Deposits	1,779,812	-	(20,548)	1,759,264
Borrowings	157,755	39,739	82,581	280,075
Total assets	2,143,939	52,540	18,450	2,214,929

At December 31, 2008

	Banking	Wealth Management	Corporate and Intercompany	Total
Loans, less unearned loan fees	\$ 1,977,175	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,977,175
Goodwill	45,378	3,134	-	48,512
Intangibles, net	2,126	1,378	-	3,504
Deposits	1,818,514	-	(25,730)	1,792,784
Borrowings	133,540	35,077	82,581	251,198
Total assets	2,204,341	48,775	17,058	2,270,174

Income Statement Information

Three months ended June 30, 2009

Net interest income (expense)	\$ 19,002	\$ (292)	\$ (1,212)	\$ 17,498
Provision for loan losses	8,000	-	-	8,000
Noninterest income	2,442	2,358	16	4,816
Noninterest expense	10,975	3,247	1,096	15,318
Goodwill impairment	-	-	-	-
Income (loss) before income tax expense	2,469	(1,181)	(2,292)	(1,004)
Income tax expense (benefit)	193	(549)	(1,034)	(1,390)
Net loss	\$ 2,276	\$ (632)	\$ (1,258)	\$ 386

Three months ended June 30, 2008

Net interest income (expense)	\$ 17,936	\$ (260)	\$ (874)	\$ 16,802
Provision for loan losses	3,200	-	-	3,200
Noninterest income	1,758	2,658	28	4,444
Noninterest expense	9,000	2,828	895	12,723
Income (loss) before income tax expense	7,494	(430)	(1,741)	5,323
Income tax expense (benefit)	2,744	(156)	(765)	1,823
Net income (loss)	\$ 4,750	\$ (274)	\$ (976)	\$ 3,500

Income Statement Information

Six months ended June 30, 2009

Net interest income (expense)	\$ 37,346	\$ (538)	\$ (2,459)	\$ 34,349
Provision for loan losses	23,100	-	-	23,100
Noninterest income	4,113	5,583	16	9,712
Noninterest expense	20,784	6,503	2,161	29,448
Goodwill impairment	45,377	-	-	45,377
Income (loss) before income tax expense	(47,802)	(1,458)	(4,604)	(53,864)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(1,009)	(632)	(1,992)	(3,633)
Net loss	\$ (46,793)	\$ (826)	\$ (2,612)	\$ (50,231)

Six months ended June 30, 2008

Net interest income (expense)	\$ 35,239	\$ (471)	\$ (1,826)	\$ 32,942
Provision for loan losses	5,525	-	-	5,525
Noninterest income	3,529	6,254	197	9,980
Noninterest expense	18,865	5,902	1,789	26,556
Income (loss) before income tax expense	14,378	(119)	(3,418)	10,841
Income tax expense (benefit)	5,300	(43)	(1,479)	3,778
Net income (loss)	\$ 9,078	\$ (76)	\$ (1,939)	\$ 7,063

Readers should note that in addition to the historical information contained herein, some of the information in this report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Forward-looking statements typically are identified with use of terms such as "may," "will," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "potential," "could," and similar words, although some forward-looking statements are expressed differently. You should be aware that the Company's actual results could differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including: burdens imposed by federal and state regulation, changes in accounting regulation or standards of banks; credit risk; exposure to general and local economic conditions; risks associated with rapid increase or decrease in prevailing interest rates; consolidation within the banking industry; competition from banks and other financial institutions; our ability to attract and retain relationship officers and other key personnel and technological developments; and other risks discussed in more detail in Item 1A: "Risk Factors" on Form 10-K, all of which could cause the Company's actual results to differ from those set forth in the forward-looking statements.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements, which reflect management's analysis only as of the date of the statements. The Company does not intend to publicly revise or update forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date of this report. Readers should carefully review all disclosures we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission which are available on our website at www.enterprisebank.com.

Introduction

The following discussion describes the significant changes to the financial condition of the Company that have occurred during the first six months of 2009 compared to the financial condition as of December 31, 2008. In addition, this discussion summarizes the significant factors affecting the consolidated results of operations, liquidity and cash flows of the Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same periods in 2008. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements included in this report and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Operating Results

Net income for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 was \$386,000 compared to \$3.5 million for the same period of 2008. After deducting dividends on preferred stock, the Company reported a net loss of \$0.02 per fully diluted share for the second quarter of 2009 compared to net income of \$0.27 per fully diluted share for the second quarter of 2008.

Results for the second quarter of 2009 included a \$1.1 million special assessment from the FDIC as part of its industry-wide program to bolster the insurance fund. During the quarter, the Company also recorded \$602,000 related to dividends on preferred stock purchased in late 2008 by the U.S. Treasury as part of its Capital Purchase Program. These dividends do not reduce the Company's net income, but are deducted in the calculation of earnings per share. Also during the second quarter of 2009, the Company set aside \$8.0 million in loan loss provision. By comparison, loan loss provision for the second quarter of 2008 was \$3.2 million.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company reported a net loss of \$50.2 million, or \$4.01 per fully diluted share, compared to net income of \$7.1 million, or \$0.56 per fully diluted share in the same period of 2008. The year-to-date net loss was attributable to a \$45.4 million non-cash accounting charge to eliminate goodwill related to our Banking reporting unit and loan loss provision totaling \$23.1 million in the first half of 2009 compared to \$5.5 million in the same period of 2008.

The goodwill impairment charge is a non-cash accounting adjustment that did not reduce the Company's regulatory or tangible capital position, liquidity or cash flow and did not impact the Company's operations. The goodwill impairment charge was primarily driven by the deterioration in the general economic environment and the resulting decline in the Company's share price and market capitalization in the first quarter of 2009.

Excluding the special FDIC assessment, the Company's pre-tax, pre-provision operating earnings for the second quarter of 2009 were \$8.1 million, up 6% versus the first quarter of 2009 and 5% lower than in the comparable period in 2008. The decline in year-over-year pre-tax, pre-provision operating earnings was attributable to higher loan-related legal expenses incurred in the second quarter of 2009. We are presenting operating earnings and loss figures, which are not financial measures as defined under U.S. GAAP, because we believe adjusting our results to exclude loan loss provision expenses, impairment charges, special FDIC assessments and extraordinary gains or losses provides shareholders with a more comparable basis for evaluating our period-to-period operating

results and financial performance. Below is a reconciliation of U.S. GAAP (loss) income before income taxes to operating earnings for the current quarter along with last quarter and one year ago quarter.

<i>(All amounts in thousands, except per share data)</i>	For the Quarter Ended		
	Jun 30, 2009	Mar 31, 2009	Jun 30, 2008
U.S. GAAP (loss) income before income tax	\$ (1,004)	\$ (52,860)	\$ 5,323
Goodwill impairment charge	-	45,377	-
Sale of Kansas City nonstrategic branch/charter	-	-	(19)
FDIC special assessment (included in Other noninterest expense)	1,100	-	-
Operating earnings (loss) before income tax	96	(7,483)	5,304
Provision for loan losses	8,000	15,100	3,200
Operating earnings before income taxes and provision for loan losses	\$ 8,096	\$ 7,617	\$ 8,504

Below are highlights of our Banking and Wealth Management segments. For more information on our segments, see Note 9 □ Segment Reporting.

Banking Segment

- Loan growth** □ At June 30, 2009, portfolio loans were \$1.91 billion, a decrease of \$72.0 million, or 4%, from December 31, 2008. Portfolio loans decreased by \$56.0 million, or 3%, from June 30, 2008 and \$59.0 million, or 3%, from March 31, 2009. The decreases are primarily due to clients paying down their lines, weak new loan demand and charge-offs. Enterprise continues to pursue prudent lending opportunities to support local economic activity, with new loan approvals of \$85.0 million during the second quarter of 2009. Since the issuance of preferred stock to the U.S. Treasury in December 2008, Enterprise has approved over \$161.0 million in new loans.
- Deposit growth** □ Total deposits were \$1.76 billion at June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$34.0 million, or 2%, from December 31, 2008. Total deposits increased \$90.0 million, or 5%, from June 30, 2008 and \$14.0 million, or about 1%, from March 31, 2009. Brokered deposits declined \$100.0 million from December 31, 2008 which contributed to the decline in total deposits for the year. Excluding brokered certificates of deposit, □core□ deposits grew \$108.0 million, or 8%, from a year ago, and \$35.0 million, or 2%, during the quarter. Core deposits include certificates of deposit sold to clients through the reciprocal CDARS program. As of June 30, 2009, Enterprise had \$105.0 million of reciprocal CDARS deposits outstanding compared to \$60.0 million at December 31, 2008. Most of the increase in CDARS represents new deposits.

For the second quarter of 2009, brokered certificates of deposit represented 14% of total deposits on average. For the quarter ended December 31, 2008, brokered deposits represented 20% of total deposits on average and 12% for the second quarter of 2008. Non-interest bearing demand deposits represented 14% of total deposits at June 30, 2009, December 31, 2008, and June 30, 2008. In January, we adjusted our incentive programs to focus our associates on deposit gathering efforts. The Company's goal is to drive core deposit growth through relationship selling while at the same time effectively managing the overall cost of funds.

- Asset quality** □ Loan loss provision for the second quarter of 2009 was \$8.0 million compared to \$15.1 million in the first quarter of 2009 and \$3.2 million in the second quarter of 2008. The lower loan loss provision in the second quarter compared to the first quarter was due to lower loan volumes and leveling off of nonperforming loans. The Company continues to monitor loan portfolio risk closely and expects nonperforming asset levels to remain elevated. See Provision for Loan Losses and Nonperforming Assets below for more information.
- Liquidity** □ During the second quarter of 2009, we substantially strengthened our liquidity position. During the second quarter of 2009, we reduced our brokered time deposits by \$21.0 million and short-term borrowings by \$53.0 million. In addition, we also increased our investment portfolio by \$44.0 million.

Wealth Management Segment

Fee income from the Wealth Management segment, including results from state tax credit brokerage activity, totaled \$2.4 million in the second quarter of 2009, a decrease of \$300,000, or 11%, from the same quarter of 2008. On a year-to-date basis, fee income from the Wealth Management segment, including results from state tax credit brokerage activity, was \$5.6 million, a \$670,000, or 11%, decrease from the same period in 2008. See Noninterest Income in this section for more information.

Net Interest Income

The Enterprise prime rate remained at 4.00% during the second quarter, and we continued to incorporate floors and increase spreads on our new and renewing loans. We continue to see the positive impacts of our loan pricing strategies and expect to experience continued favorable repricing on maturing certificates of deposit. Our relationship managers are getting the opportunity to visit with many good quality loan/deposit relationships with borrowers who have never considered Enterprise to be their primary bank in the past. Generally, these borrowers are questioning their current banking relationships, but are cautious about changing at this time. We expect over time, we will be given the opportunity to bid on much of this business.

Three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008

Net interest income (on a tax-equivalent basis) was \$17.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$17.0 million for the same period of 2008, an increase of \$0.8 million, or 5%. Total interest income decreased \$1.4 million offset by a decrease in total interest expense of \$2.2 million.

Average interest-earning assets increased \$173.0 million, or 9%, to \$2.095 billion for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$1.922 billion for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. Loans accounted for the majority of the growth, increasing by \$152.0 million, or 8%, to \$1.944 billion. Investments in debt and equity securities increased \$20.5 million, or 17%, to \$141.8 million. Interest income on loans increased \$2.4 million from growth, but was offset by a decrease of \$3.7 million due to the impact of lower rates, for a net decrease of \$1.3 million versus the second quarter of 2008.

For the quarter ended June 30, 2009, average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$116.1 million, or 7%, to \$1.804 billion compared to \$1.687 billion for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. The growth in interest-bearing liabilities resulted from a \$66.6 million increase in core deposits, a \$60.3 million increase in net brokered certificates of deposit, and a \$28.3 million increase in subordinated debentures, offset by a decrease of \$39.1 million in borrowed funds including FHLB advances and fed funds purchased. In addition, approximately \$30.0 million in core deposits were sold as part of the De Soto, Kansas branch in the third quarter of 2008. For the second quarter of 2009, interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities increased \$1.7 million due to growth while the impact of declining rates decreased interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities by \$3.9 million versus second quarter of 2008, for a net decrease of \$2.2 million.

The tax-equivalent net interest rate margin was 3.41% for the second quarter of 2009 compared to 3.56% for the same period of 2008. The decline in the margin was due to sharply falling interest rates, increased levels of nonperforming assets, and higher levels of more expensive wholesale funding to support loan growth. Higher average levels of nonperforming loans reduced the net interest rate margin by approximately 0.18% in the second quarter of 2009 compared to a reduction of 0.05% in the second quarter of 2008. The net interest margin for the second quarter was nine basis points higher than in the first quarter of 2009. The increase in margin was a result of improved loan pricing that offset the effects of higher nonperforming assets and reduced cost of liabilities, including lower rates on time deposits and LIBOR-based borrowed funds.

Six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008

Net interest income (on a tax-equivalent basis) was \$35.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$33.4 million for the same period of 2008, an increase of \$1.6 million, or 5%. Total interest income decreased \$4.2 million and was offset by a decrease in total interest expense of \$5.8 million.

Average interest-earning assets increased \$234.1 million, or 13%, to \$2.100 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$1.866 billion for the same period of 2008. Loans accounted for the majority of the growth, increasing by \$223.3 million, or 13%, to \$1.964 billion.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$185.8 million, or 11%, to \$1.813 billion compared to \$1.627 billion for the same period of 2008. The growth in interest-bearing liabilities resulted primarily from increases to core time deposits and brokered deposits, offset by a decrease in money

market balances.

The tax-equivalent net interest rate margin was 3.36% for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to 3.60% for the same period of 2008. The reasons for the decline are similar to those described above.

Average Balance Sheet

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, certain information related to our average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, as well as, the corresponding interest rates earned and paid, all on a tax equivalent basis.

(in thousands)	Three months ended June 30,				2008 Int Inc Exp
	2009 Average Balance	2009 Interest Income/ Expense	Average Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	
Assets					
Interest-earning assets:					
Taxable loans (1)	\$ 1,904,158	\$ 25,920	5.46%	\$ 1,765,670	\$
Tax-exempt loans (2)	39,449	854	8.68	25,979	
Total loans	1,943,607	26,774	5.53	1,791,649	
Taxable investments in debt and equity securities	141,224	1,274	3.62	120,559	
Non-taxable investments in debt and equity securities (2)	569	9	6.34	766	
Short-term investments	9,928	15	0.61	9,335	
Total securities and short-term investments	151,721	1,298	3.43	130,660	
Total interest-earning assets	2,095,328	28,072	5.37	1,922,309	
Non-interest-earning assets:					
Cash and due from banks	36,163			40,983	
Other assets	130,151			162,276	
Allowance for loan losses	(41,385)			(22,986)	
Total assets	\$ 2,220,257			\$ 2,102,582	
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity					
Interest-bearing liabilities:					
Interest-bearing transaction accounts	\$ 124,250	\$ 171	0.55%	\$ 125,304	\$
Money market accounts	610,891	1,512	0.99	700,005	
Savings	9,343	9	0.39	11,458	
Certificates of deposit	761,456	5,944	3.13	542,180	
Total interest-bearing deposits	1,505,940	7,636	2.03	1,378,947	
Subordinated debentures	85,081	1,312	6.19	56,807	
Borrowed funds	212,531	1,312	2.48	251,680	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,803,552	10,260	2.28	1,687,434	
Noninterest bearing liabilities:					
Demand deposits	242,697			221,858	
Other liabilities	7,637			12,016	
Total liabilities	2,053,886			1,921,308	
Shareholders' equity	166,371			181,274	
Total liabilities & shareholders' equity	\$ 2,220,257			\$ 2,102,582	
Net interest income		\$ 17,812			\$
Net interest spread			3.09%		
Net interest rate margin (3)			3.41		

(1) Average balances include non-accrual loans. The income on such loans is included in interest but is recognized only upon receipt. Loan fees, net of amortization of deferred loan origination fees and costs, included in interest income are approximately \$380,000 and \$568,000 for the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

(2) Non-taxable income is presented on a fully tax-equivalent basis using the combined statutory federal and state income tax in effect for the year. The tax-equivalent adjustments were \$314,000 and \$220,000 for the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

(3) Net interest income divided by average total interest-earning assets.

(in thousands)	Six months ended June 30,			
	2009 Average Balance	2008 Interest Income/ Expense	2008 Average Yield/ Rate	2008 Average Balance
Assets				
Interest-earning assets:				
Taxable loans (1)	\$ 1,921,878	\$ 51,417	5.40%	\$ 1,714,878
Tax-exempt loans (2)	41,908	1,845	8.88	25,646
Total loans	1,963,786	53,262	5.47	1,740,524
Taxable investments in debt and equity securities	124,429	2,445	3.96	109,538
Non-taxable investments in debt and equity securities (2)	651	20	6.20	844
Short-term investments	11,570	36	0.63	15,440
Total securities and short-term investments	136,650	2,501	3.69	125,822
Total interest-earning assets	2,100,436	55,763	5.35	1,866,346
Noninterest-earning assets:				
Cash and due from banks	35,014			41,349
Other assets	149,678			153,476
Allowance for loan losses	(37,553)			(22,585)
Total assets	\$ 2,247,575			\$ 2,038,586
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity				
Interest-bearing liabilities:				
Interest-bearing transaction accounts	\$ 121,505	\$ 342	0.57%	\$ 125,835
Money market accounts	626,709	3,023	0.97	696,461
Savings	9,222	18	0.39	10,880
Certificates of deposit	740,417	12,090	3.29	512,538
Total interest-bearing deposits	1,497,853	15,473	2.08	1,345,714
Subordinated debentures	85,081	2,661	6.31	56,807
Borrowed funds	229,983	2,601	2.28	224,627
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,812,917	20,735	2.31	1,627,148
Noninterest-bearing liabilities:				
Demand deposits	234,700			219,767
Other liabilities	7,792			12,949
Total liabilities	2,055,409			1,859,864
Shareholders' equity	192,166			178,722
Total liabilities & shareholders' equity	\$ 2,247,575			\$ 2,038,586
Net interest income		\$ 35,028		
Net interest spread			3.04%	
Net interest rate margin (3)			3.36	

- (1) Average balances include non-accrual loans. The income on such loans is included in interest but is recognized only upon receipt. Loan fees, net of amortization of deferred loan origination fees and costs, included in interest income are approximately \$797,000 and \$699,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- (2) Non-taxable income is presented on a fully tax-equivalent basis using the combined statutory federal and state income tax in effect for the year. The tax-equivalent adjustments were \$679,000 and \$440,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- (3) Net interest income divided by average total interest-earning assets.

Rate/Volume

The following table sets forth, on a tax-equivalent basis for the periods indicated, a summary of the changes in interest income and interest expense resulting from changes in yield/rates and volume.

Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

(in thousands)	3 month			2009 compared to 2008	
	Volume(1)	Rate(2)	Net	Volume(1)	Rate(2)
Interest earned on:					
Taxable loans	\$ 2,085	\$ (3,649)	\$ (1,564)	\$ 6,191	\$ (10,7
Nontaxable loans (3)	294	(27)	267	715	(
Taxable investments in debt and equity securities	216	(319)	(103)	319	(4
Nontaxable investments in debt and equity securities (3)	(3)	-	(3)	(6)	
Short-term investments	3	(31)	(28)	(45)	(1
Total interest-earning assets	\$ 2,595	\$ (4,026)	\$ (1,431)	\$ 7,174	\$ (11,3
Interest paid on:					
Interest-bearing transaction accounts	\$ (3)	\$ (192)	\$ (195)	\$ (31)	\$ (5
Money market accounts	(375)	(1,399)	(1,774)	(745)	(4,3
Savings	(3)	(2)	(5)	(5)	(
Certificates of deposit	1,994	(1,914)	80	4,275	(3,9
Subordinated debentures	432	81	513	879	
Borrowed funds	(300)	(540)	(840)	93	(1,5
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,745	(3,966)	(2,221)	4,466	(10,3
Net interest income	\$ 850	\$ (60)	\$ 790	\$ 2,708	\$ (1,0

(1) Change in volume multiplied by yield/rate of prior period.

(2) Change in yield/rate multiplied by volume of prior period.

(3) Nontaxable income is presented on a fully tax-equivalent basis using the combined statutory federal and state income tax rate in effect for each year.

NOTE: The change in interest due to both rate and volume has been allocated to rate and volume changes in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amounts of the change in each.

Provision for Loan Losses and Nonperforming Assets

The provision for loan losses in the second quarter of 2009 was \$8.0 million compared to \$15.1 million in the first quarter of 2009 and \$3.2 million in the second quarter of 2008. The lower loan loss provision in the second quarter compared to first quarter is due to lower loan volumes and a leveling off of nonperforming loans. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans was 2.24% at June 30, 2009 compared to 1.58% at December 31, 2008 and 1.30% at June 30, 2008. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate.

For the second quarter of 2009, the Company recorded net charge-offs of \$5.0 million, or 1.03%, of average portfolio loans on an annualized basis, compared to \$6.8 million, or 1.39%, for the first quarter of 2009 and \$1.4 million, or 0.32%, for the second quarter of 2008. The charge-offs in the second quarter of 2009 were attributable to write-downs on impaired assets and foreclosed real estate, with approximately 50% of the charge-offs related to residential real estate, 40% related to commercial real estate and 10% related to commercial and industrial loans.

At June 30, 2009, nonperforming loans were \$49.2 million, or 2.58%, of total loans. This compares to \$29.7 million, or 1.50%, at December 31, 2008 and \$13.2 million, or 0.71%, at June 30, 2008. The \$49.2 million balance is comprised of approximately 40 relationships with the largest being a \$6.6 million loan secured by a medical office building. Six relationships comprise more than 50% of the nonperforming loans. Approximately 64% of the nonperforming loans are located in the Kansas City region, which we believe has encountered a more difficult residential sale environment. As described last quarter, because Kansas is a judicial foreclosure state, all foreclosures must be processed through the Kansas state courts. Until the court confirms that the nonperforming loan is in default, we can take no action against the borrower or foreclose on the property. Due to this process, it takes approximately one year for us to foreclose on real estate secured collateral located in the State of Kansas.

Nonperforming loans (NPL) at June 30, 2009 by industry segment were:

	June 30, 2009		March 31, 2009		Dec 31, 2008	
	NPL	% of Total	NPL	% of Total	NPL	% of Total
Commercial Real Estate	\$ 23.4	47.5%	\$ 29.2	57.8%	\$ 16.1	54.2%
Residential Construction/Land						
Acquisition and Development	23.6	48.0%	16.9	33.5%	11.8	39.7%
Commercial and Industrial	2.2	4.5%	4.4	8.7%	1.7	5.7%
Other	--	--	--	--	0.1	0.4%
	\$ 49.2	100.0%	\$ 50.5	100.0%	\$ 29.7	100.0%

Other real estate was \$16.1 million at June 30, 2009 compared to \$13.9 million at December 31, 2008 and \$9.3 million at June 30, 2008. The following table summarizes the changes in Other real estate since December 31, 2008.

	2009		
	1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	Year-to-date
Other real estate at beginning of period	\$ 13,868	\$ 13,251	\$ 13,868
Additions and expenses capitalized to prepare property for sale	1,155	11,788	12,943
Writedowns in fair value	(608)	(506)	(1,114)
Sales	(1,164)	(8,480)	(9,644)
Other real estate at end of period	\$ 13,251	\$ 16,053	\$ 16,053

Residential lots and completed homes represented 57% of the Other real estate at June 30, 2009. Of the total Other real estate, 62%, or 40 properties, are located in the Kansas City region; 25%, or 28 properties, are located in the St. Louis region and 13%, or one property, is located in Arizona.

Included in the second quarter additions are a \$2.0 million residence, a \$2.5 million commercial lot and 30 residential lots and commercial properties. The majority of the Other real estate added in the second quarter were primarily Kansas-based properties.

Since December 31, 2008, we have recorded \$1.1 million of fair value declines on 13 residential properties held in Other real estate. The decline in fair value on these properties was recorded to Other expenses in the consolidated statement of operations.

While we are encouraged by trends in our nonperforming assets, we expect nonperforming asset levels to remain elevated. Our nonperforming credits continue to be concentrated in residential and commercial real estate segments and those areas remain stressed with persistent downward pressure on valuations. We continue to monitor our loan portfolio for signs of credit weakness in segments other than real estate. Thus far, our commercial and industrial portfolio has shown no significant signs of deterioration. While we have no significant nonperforming assets or past due loans in this sector, certain segments of the commercial and industrial portfolio may be adversely affected should the current economic recession continue for a protracted period of time.

The following table summarizes changes in the allowance for loan losses arising from loans charged off and recoveries on loans previously charged off, by loan category, and additions to the allowance charged to expense.

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Allowance at beginning of period	\$ 39,612	\$ 22,249	\$ 31,309	\$ 21,593

Edgar Filing: ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP - Form 10-Q

Loans charged off:				
Commercial and industrial	278	-	2,466	33
Real estate:				
Commercial	604	-	2,638	334
Construction	3,011	490	4,794	1,024
Residential	1,104	972	1,965	1,932
Consumer and other	24	8	42	13
Total loans charged off	5,021	1,470	11,905	3,336
Recoveries of loans previously charged off:				
Commercial and industrial	1	26	5	50
Real estate:				
Commercial	23	-	66	-
Construction	2	2	3	127
Residential	9	-	46	43
Consumer and other	9	4	11	9
Total recoveries of loans	44	32	131	229
Net loan chargeoffs	4,977	1,438	11,774	3,107
Provision for loan losses	8,000	3,200	23,100	5,525
Allowance at end of period	\$ 42,635	\$ 24,011	\$ 42,635	\$ 24,011
Average loans	\$ 1,943,607	\$ 1,791,649	\$ 1,963,786	\$ 1,740,524
Total portfolio loans	1,905,340	1,849,415	1,905,340	1,849,415
Nonperforming loans	49,188	13,180	49,188	13,180
Net chargeoffs to average loans (annualized)	1.03%	0.32%	1.21%	0.36%
Allowance for loan losses to loans	2.24	1.30	2.24	1.30

The following table presents the categories of nonperforming assets and other ratios as of the dates indicated.

<i>(in thousands)</i>	June 30	December 31
	2009	2008
Non-accrual loans	\$ 49,188	\$ 29,662
Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest	-	-
Restructured loans	-	-
Total nonperforming loans	49,188	29,662
Foreclosed property	16,053	13,868
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 65,241	\$ 43,530
Total assets	\$ 2,214,929	\$ 2,270,174
Total loans	1,905,340	1,977,175
Total loans plus foreclosed property	1,921,393	1,991,043
Nonperforming loans to total loans	2.58%	1.50%
Nonperforming assets to total loans plus foreclosed property	3.40	2.19
Nonperforming assets to total assets	2.95	1.92
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans	87.00%	106.00%

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income was \$4.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$372,000 or 8%, compared to the same period in 2008. The incremental increase includes \$563,000 of realized gains on the sale of securities and \$258,000 gain on the sale of mortgages. Lower Wealth Management revenues offset these gains.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, noninterest income decreased \$268,000, or 3%, from the same period in 2008. The 2008 results include a \$560,000 pre-tax gain on the sale of the Liberty branch. Excluding this amount, noninterest income increased \$292,000 or 3%.

- **Wealth Management revenue** □ For the three months ended June 30, 2009, Wealth Management revenue decreased \$433,000, or 16%, compared to the same period in 2008. Wealth Management revenue increased \$255,000, or 5%, on a year-to-date basis from the same period in 2008.
 - ◆ **Trust revenues** □ For the second quarter of 2009, Trust revenues declined \$428,000, or 27% compared to the same quarter in 2008. Revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2009 from the Trust division decreased \$712,000, or 23%, from the same period in 2008. The revenue declines are primarily due to lower asset values due to client turnover and adverse financial markets. The Company recently hired a new head of its advisory sales group and is actively working to augment its sales platform through aggressive recruiting efforts. Compared to the first quarter of 2009, Trust revenues declined only 2%. Trust assets under administration were \$1.1 billion at June 30, 2009 compared to \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2008 and \$1.5 billion one year ago.
 - ◆ **Millennium** □ Millennium revenues in the second quarter of 2009 were essentially flat compared to the second quarter of 2008. Millennium revenues increased \$967,000, or 45%, in the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period last year. The increase was due to the successful sale of several large insurance cases in the first quarter of 2009. MBG has experienced significantly wider margins as compared to the year ago period as it takes advantage of better pricing opportunities in the current market. While market conditions remain difficult, we continue to examine strategic alternatives for Millennium.
- **Service charges on deposit accounts** □ Increased Service charges on deposit accounts were primarily due to the declining earnings crediting rate on commercial accounts, which increased service charges earned.
- **Sale of other real estate** □ For the quarter ended June 30, 2009, we sold \$8.5 million of Other real estate for a gain of \$2,000. Year-to-date through June 30, 2009 we sold \$9.6 million of Other real estate for a net gain of \$57,000. For the year-to-date period in 2008, we sold \$4.0 million of Other real estate for a net gain of \$342,000.
- **State tax credit brokerage activities** □ For the six months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded a \$63,000 gain on state tax credit activity compared to a \$984,000 gain in the first half of 2008. Gains of \$606,000 from the sale of state tax credits to clients were more than offset by a \$1.2 million negative fair value adjustment on the tax credit assets net of a \$652,000 fair value adjustment on the interest rate caps used to economically hedge the tax credits. See Note 6 □ Derivatives Instruments and Hedging Activities above for more information on the interest rate caps. For more detailed information on the fair value treatment of the state tax credits, see Note 19 □ Fair Value Measurements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.
- **Sale of investment securities** □ In the first half of 2009, given the anticipated acceleration in prepayments on mortgage-backed securities and resultant loss in fair value, we elected to sell and reinvest a portion of our investment portfolio. During the first six months of 2009, we sold approximately \$49.0 million of agency mortgage backed securities realizing a gain of \$952,000 on these sales. In addition, we executed a leverage strategy whereby we borrowed \$20.0 million from the FHLB at a weighted average rate of 2.06% for a term of approximately 31 months. With the proceeds from the securities sales, the FHLB advance and other excess cash, we purchased approximately \$123.0 million of fixed rate agency mortgage backed and floating rate Small Business Administration securities. These transactions allowed us to increase our collateral available in the securities portfolio by approximately \$59.0 million during the first half of 2009.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense increased \$2.6 million or 20%, for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008. The increase is primarily due to \$1.1 million of legal expenses related to loan collection activities and \$1.5 million of additional FDIC premiums. Approximately \$1.1 million of the FDIC increase is due

an accrual for the FDIC's special assessment that will be paid in the third quarter. The remaining increase in the FDIC premium is due to the newly implemented rate structure. Both the FDIC premiums and legal expenses are reported in Other noninterest expenses.

28

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, noninterest expense increased \$48.3 million or 182% from prior year. The increase is due to a \$45.4 million goodwill impairment charge related to the banking segment. Excluding the goodwill impairment charge, noninterest expenses were \$29.4 million during the first half of 2009, an increase of \$2.9 million, or 11%, from the same period in 2008. The year-over-year increase includes additional FDIC premiums of \$1.8 million due to the FDIC special assessment and newly implemented rate structure and \$1.9 million of legal and other real estate expenses related to nonperforming assets. These increases are offset by a \$1.6 million decrease in salaries and benefits due to staff reductions and reduced incentive compensation.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, increases in Occupancy were primarily due to expenses related to a new Wealth Management location which was occupied in the fourth quarter of 2008.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, Amortization of intangibles decreased \$204,000 due to a lower carrying value on the customer related intangible that resulted from the Millennium impairment charge in the fourth quarter of 2008.

The Company's efficiency ratio in the second quarter of 2009 was 68.7% compared to 59.9% in the second quarter of 2008. Excluding the goodwill impairment charge, the efficiency ratio for the six months ended June 30, 2009 is 66.8% compared to 61.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

Income Taxes

Generally, the provision for income taxes is determined by applying an estimated annual effective income tax rate to income before income taxes. The rate is based on the most recent annualized forecast of pretax income, permanent book versus tax differences and tax credits. FASB Interpretation No. 18 (FIN 18), *Accounting for Income Taxes in Interim Periods - an interpretation of APB No. 28*, provides that, when a reliable estimate of the annual effective tax rate cannot be made, the actual effective tax rate for the year-to-date period may be used. During the second quarter of 2009, the Company concluded that minor changes in the Company's 2009 estimated pre-tax results and projected permanent items produced significant variability in the estimated annual effective tax rate, and thus, the estimated rate may not be reliable. Accordingly, the Company has determined that the actual effective tax rate for the year-to-date period is the best estimate of the effective tax rate. We re-evaluate the combined federal and state income tax rates each quarter. Therefore, the current projected effective tax rate for the entire year may change.

The Company's income tax benefit, which includes both federal and state taxes, was \$1.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to an income tax expense of \$1.8 million for the same period in 2008. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the income tax benefit was \$3.6 million compared to an income tax expense of \$3.8 million for the same period in 2008. The combined federal and state effective income tax rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 were 138.5% and 6.7%, respectively, compared to 34.2% and 34.8% for the same periods in 2008. Our income tax provision in the first half of 2009 reflects the impact of the \$45.4 million goodwill impairment charge, which is not tax deductible. The effective tax rate in the second quarter of 2009 reflects the use of the annual effective tax rate for the year-to-date period which increased the amount of income tax benefit recognized. The change in the effective tax rate year over year is primarily the result the nondeductible goodwill impairment charge and other permanent differences related to tax exempt interest and federal tax credits.

The Company is permitted to recognize deferred tax assets only to the extent that they are expected to be used to reduce amounts that have been paid or will be paid to tax authorities. Management has determined, based on all positive and negative evidence, that the deferred tax asset is more likely-than-not-to be realized.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity management

The objective of liquidity management is to ensure the Company has the ability to generate sufficient cash or cash equivalents in a timely and cost-effective manner to meet its commitments as they become due. Funds are available from a number of sources, such as from the core deposit base and from loans and securities repayments and maturities. Additionally, liquidity is provided from sales of the securities portfolio, lines of credit with major

banks, the Federal Reserve and the FHLB, the ability to acquire brokered deposits and the ability to sell loan participations to other banks.

The Company's liquidity management framework includes measurement of several key elements, such as the loan to deposit ratio, volatile liabilities as a percentage of long-term earning assets, and liquid assets plus availability on secured lines as a percentage of certain liabilities. The Company's liquidity framework also incorporates contingency planning to assess the nature and volatility of funding sources and to determine alternatives to these sources. Strong capital ratios, credit quality and core earnings are essential to retaining cost-effective access to the wholesale funding markets. Deterioration in any of these factors could have an impact on the Company's ability to access these funding sources and, as a result, these factors are monitored on an ongoing basis as part of the liquidity management process.

29

While core deposits and loan and investment repayments are principal sources of liquidity, funding diversification is another key element of liquidity management. Diversity is achieved by strategically varying depositor types, terms, funding markets, and instruments.

During the second quarter of 2009, we substantially strengthened our liquidity position. As a result of our loan clients using their cash to paydown their outstanding loans, we experienced some decline in transaction account balances during the second quarter of 2009. However, the declines in these accounts were more than offset by increases in certificates of deposit, both from the CDARS program and our own CDs. During the second quarter of 2009, the increase in core deposits along with the reduced loan funding requirements enabled us to reduce our brokered time deposits by \$21.0 million and short-term borrowings by \$53.0 million. In addition, as part of a strategy to reposition our securities portfolio, we also increased our investment portfolio by \$44.0 million.

Parent Company liquidity

The parent company's liquidity is managed to provide the funds necessary to pay dividends to shareholders, service debt, invest in subsidiaries as necessary, and satisfy other operating requirements. The parent company's primary funding sources to meet its liquidity requirements are dividends and other payments from subsidiaries and proceeds from the issuance of equity (i.e. stock option exercises).

In December 2008, the Company was approved by the U.S. Treasury for a \$62.0 million Capital Purchase Program investment. At the same time, the Company had the opportunity to privately place a Convertible Trust Preferred Security offering. As a result, the Company decided to take advantage of both the private and public capital sources.

On December 12, 2008, we completed a private placement of \$25.0 million in Convertible Trust Preferred Securities that qualify as Tier II regulatory capital until they would convert to EFSC common stock. On December 19, 2008, we received \$35.0 million from the U.S. Treasury under the Capital Purchase Program.

As of December 31, 2008, \$20.0 million of the capital funds were used to pay off the Company's line of credit and term loan. Our line of credit with a major bank was closed during the first quarter of 2009. In December 2008, we also injected \$18.0 million into Enterprise to support continued loan growth and bolster its capital ratios. Subject to other demands for cash, we expect to use the remaining capital funds to support continuing loan growth and strengthening our capital position as appropriate.

As of June 30, 2009, the Company had \$85.1 million of outstanding subordinated debentures as part of nine Trust Preferred Securities Pools. These securities are classified as debt but are included in regulatory capital and the related interest expense is tax-deductible, which makes them a very attractive source of funding. Management believes our current level of cash at the holding company of \$18.6 million will be sufficient to meet all projected cash needs in 2009.

On June 17, 2009, the Company filed a Shelf Registration statement on Form S-3 for up to \$35 million of certain types of our securities. Proceeds from an offering would be used for capital expenditures, repayment or refinancing of indebtedness or other securities from time to time, working capital, to make acquisitions, or for general corporate purposes. The Registration went effective on July 1, 2009. We are sensitive to the dilution a stock offering may have on our shareholders and therefore, are carefully monitoring the equity markets and have no formal plans for an offering at this time.

Enterprise liquidity

Enterprise is subject to regulations and, among other things, may be limited in its ability to pay dividends or transfer funds to the parent Company. Accordingly, consolidated cash flows as presented in the consolidated statements of cash flows may not represent cash immediately available for the payment of cash dividends to the Company's shareholders or for other cash needs.

Enterprise has a variety of funding sources available to increase financial flexibility. In addition to amounts currently borrowed, at June 30, 2009, Enterprise could borrow an additional \$145.0 million from the FHLB of Des Moines under blanket loan pledges and an additional \$275.2 million from the Federal Reserve Bank under a pledged loan agreement. Enterprise has unsecured federal funds lines with five correspondent banks totaling \$55.0 million.

30

Investment securities are an important tool to the Company's liquidity objective. During the first half of 2009, we elected to sell and reinvest a portion of our investment portfolio. During the first six months of 2009, we sold approximately \$49.0 million of agency mortgage backed securities. In addition, we executed a leverage strategy whereby we borrowed \$20.0 million from the FHLB at a weighted average rate of 2.06% for a term of approximately 31 months. With the proceeds from the securities sales, the FHLB advance and other excess cash, we purchased approximately \$123.0 million of fixed rate agency mortgage backed and floating rate Small Business Administration securities. These transactions allowed us to increase our collateral available in the securities portfolio by approximately \$59.0 million during the first half of 2009. As of June 30, 2009, of the \$155.8 million of the securities available for sale, \$59.0 million was pledged as collateral for public deposits, treasury, tax and loan notes, and other requirements. The remaining \$96.8 million could be pledged or sold to enhance liquidity, if necessary.

In July 2008, Enterprise joined the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service, or CDARS, which allows us to provide our customers with access to additional levels of FDIC insurance coverage. The Company considers the reciprocal deposits placed through the CDARS program as core funding and does not report the balances as brokered sources in its internal or external financial reports. As of June 30, 2009, the Bank had \$105.5 million of reciprocal CDARS deposits outstanding. In addition to the reciprocal deposits available through CDARS, we also have access to the "one-way buy" program, which allows us to bid on the excess deposits of other CDARS member banks. The Company will report any outstanding "one-way buy" funds as brokered funds in its internal and external financial reports. At June 30, 2009, we had no outstanding "one-way buy" deposits.

Finally, because the bank is "well-capitalized", it has the ability to sell certificates of deposit through various national or regional brokerage firms, if needed. At June 30, 2009, we had \$236.0 million of brokered certificates of deposit outstanding compared to \$336.0 million outstanding at December 31, 2008, a decrease of \$100.0 million.

Over the normal course of business, the Company enters into certain forms of off-balance sheet transactions, including unfunded loan commitments and letters of credit. These transactions are managed through the Company's various risk management processes. Management considers both on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet transactions in its evaluation of the Company's liquidity. The Company has \$488.5 million in unused loan commitments as of June 30, 2009. While this commitment level would be difficult to fund given the Company's current liquidity resources, the nature of these commitments is such that the likelihood of funding them is low.

Regulatory capital

The Company and its bank affiliate are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the Federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and its bank affiliate must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The banking affiliate's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and its banking affiliate to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to average assets. To be categorized as "well capitalized", banks must

maintain minimum total risk-based (10%), Tier 1 risk-based (6%) and Tier 1 leverage ratios (5%). Management believes, as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, that the Company and its banking affiliates meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

The following table summarizes the Company's risk-based capital and leverage ratios at the dates indicated:

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	At June 30 2009	At December 31 2008
Tier I capital to risk weighted assets	8.47%	8.89%
Total capital to risk weighted assets	13.13%	12.81%
Leverage ratio (Tier I capital to average assets)	7.77%	8.67%
Tangible common equity to tangible assets	5.84%	6.07%
Tier I capital	\$ 172,380	\$ 190,254
Total risk-based capital	\$ 267,407	\$ 273,977

31

Critical Accounting Policies

The impact and any associated risks related to the Company's critical accounting policies on business operations are discussed throughout "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," where such policies affect our reported and expected financial results. For a detailed discussion on the application of these and other accounting policies, see the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

The Company's consolidated financial position reflects material amounts of assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value. Securities available for sale and state tax credits held for sale are carried at fair value. The fair value of securities available for sale is based upon measurements from an independent pricing service, including dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data and other information. Fair value of state tax credits held for sale is determined using an internal valuation model with observable market data inputs. Considerable judgment may be required in determining the assumptions used in certain pricing models, including interest rate, credit risk and liquidity risk assumptions. Changes in these assumptions may have a significant effect on values.

See Note 1 "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" for more information on recent accounting pronouncements and their impact, if any, on our consolidated financial statements. Management believes there have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies.

ITEM 3: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The disclosures set forth in this item are qualified by the section captioned "Safe Harbor Statement Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995" included in Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of this report and other cautionary statements set forth elsewhere in this report.

Market risk arises from exposure to changes in interest rates and other relevant market rate or price risk. The Company faces market risk in the form of interest rate risk through transactions other than trading activities. Market risk from these activities, in the form of interest rate risk, is measured and managed through a number of methods. The Company uses financial modeling techniques to measure interest rate risk. These techniques measure the sensitivity of future earnings due to changing interest rate environments. Guidelines established by the Asset/Liability Management Committees and approved by the Company's Board of Directors are used to monitor exposure of earnings at risk. General interest rate movements are used to develop sensitivity as the Company feels it has no primary exposure to a specific point on the yield curve. These limits are based on the Company's exposure to a 100 basis points and 200 basis points immediate and sustained parallel rate move, either upward or downward.

Interest rate simulations for June 30, 2009 demonstrate that a rising rate environment will initially have a negative impact on net interest income because the Enterprise prime rate is set higher than the market prime rate and will not increase with the cost of our deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities.

The following table represents the Company's estimated interest rate sensitivity and periodic and cumulative gap positions calculated as of June 30, 2009.

(in thousands)	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	0
Interest-Earning Assets						
Securities available for sale	\$ 44,611	\$ 17,754	\$ 18,418	\$ 5,267	\$ 2,389	\$
Other investments	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interest-bearing deposits	2,893	-	-	-	-	-
Federal funds sold	4,252	-	-	-	-	-
Loans (1)	1,268,093	180,275	140,347	176,583	68,746	-
Loans held for sale	2,004	-	-	-	-	-
Total interest-earning assets	\$ 1,321,853	\$ 198,029	\$ 158,765	\$ 181,850	\$ 71,135	\$
Interest-Bearing Liabilities						
Savings, NOW and Money market deposits	\$ 749,366	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Certificates of deposit	589,564	153,972	25,553	1,990	252	-
Subordinated debentures	32,064	10,310	14,433	-	28,274	-
Other borrowings	141,221	21,196	22,096	90	42	-
Total interest-bearing liabilities	\$ 1,512,215	\$ 185,478	\$ 62,082	\$ 2,080	\$ 28,568	\$
Interest-sensitivity GAP						
GAP by period	\$ (190,362)	\$ 12,551	\$ 96,683	\$ 179,770	\$ 42,567	\$
Cumulative GAP	\$ (190,362)	\$ (177,811)	\$ (81,128)	\$ 98,642	\$ 141,209	\$
Ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities						
Periodic	0.87	1.07	2.56	87.43	2.49	-
Cumulative GAP as of June 30, 2009	0.87	0.90	0.95	1.06	1.08	-

(1) Adjusted for the impact of the interest rate swaps.

ITEM 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of June 30, 2009, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and the Chief Financial Officer (CFO), management has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2009, to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's periodic SEC filings is processed, recorded, summarized and reported when required. There were no changes during the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q in the Company's internal controls that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, those controls.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 4: SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS: The annual meeting of shareholders was held on April 30, 2009. Proxies were solicited pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. There was no solicitation in opposition to management's nominees for Directors and all nominees were elected.

The results of the voting on each proposal submitted at the meeting are as follows:

PROPOSAL NO. 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS*

	For	Withheld
Peter F. Benoist	9,317,885	123,998
James J. Murphy, Jr.	8,911,866	530,017
Michael A. DeCola	9,246,525	195,358
William H. Downey	9,197,600	244,283
Robert E. Guest, Jr.	9,157,999	283,884
Lewis A. Levey	9,355,746	83,137
Birch M. Mullins	9,186,391	255,492
Brenda D. Newberry	9,293,667	148,216
Sandra A. Van Trease	8,083,329	1,358,554
Henry D. Warshaw	9,210,620	231,263

*Vote tally for Directors is reported on a non-cumulative basis.

PROPOSAL NO. 2: AN ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE APPROVING EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

For	Against	Abstain
8,735,887	664,485	41,511

34

ITEM 6: EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
	Registrant hereby agrees to furnish to the Commission, upon request, the instruments defining the rights of holders of each issue of long-term debt of Registrant and its consolidated subsidiaries.
*31.1	Chief Executive Officer's Certification required by Rule 13(a)-14(a).
*31.2	Chief Financial Officer's Certification required by Rule 13(a)-14(a).
**32.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to section § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
**32.2	Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to section § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Filed herewith

** Furnished herewith. Notwithstanding any incorporation of this Quarterly Statement on Form 10-Q in any other filing by the Registrant, Exhibits furnished herewith and designated with two (**) shall not be deemed incorporated by reference to any other filing unless specifically otherwise set forth herein.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Clayton, State of Missouri on the day of August 10, 2009.

ENTERPRISE FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP

By: /s/ Peter F. Benoist
 Peter F. Benoist
 Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Frank H. Sanfilippo
 Frank H. Sanfilippo
 Chief Financial Officer