

GENWORTH FINANCIAL INC
Form DEFM14A
January 25, 2017
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A
(Rule 14a-101)
INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT
SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

Genworth Financial, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

January 25, 2017

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend a special meeting of stockholders of Genworth Financial, Inc., a Delaware corporation (*Genworth*), which will be held on March 7, 2017, at 9:00 a.m. Eastern Time, at The Westin Richmond located at 6631 West Broad Street, Richmond, Virginia 23230. The purpose of the meeting is to consider and vote on proposals relating to the proposed acquisition of Genworth by China Oceanwide Holdings Group Co., Ltd., a limited liability company incorporated in the People's Republic of China (*China Oceanwide*), through its subsidiary, Asia Pacific Global Capital Co., Ltd., a limited liability company incorporated in the People's Republic of China (*Asia Pacific*).

On October 21, 2016, Genworth entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (as it may be amended from time to time, the *merger agreement*) with Asia Pacific, Asia Pacific Global Capital USA Corporation, a Delaware corporation and an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Asia Pacific (*Merger Sub*), and an indirect subsidiary of China Oceanwide. Subject to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, Merger Sub will merge with and into Genworth (the *merger*), with Genworth surviving the merger as an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Asia Pacific and an indirect subsidiary of China Oceanwide. If the merger is completed, you will be entitled to receive \$5.43 in cash, without interest, and less any applicable withholding taxes, for each share of Genworth's Class A common stock, par value \$0.001 (*Genworth common stock*), you own as of the date of the merger (unless you have properly exercised your appraisal rights with respect to the merger). At the special meeting, Genworth will ask you to adopt the merger agreement.

The proxy statement accompanying this letter provides you with more specific information concerning the special meeting, the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. We encourage you to carefully read the accompanying proxy statement and the copy of the merger agreement attached as Annex A to the proxy statement.

After careful consideration, the board of directors of Genworth (the *Board*) unanimously determined that the merger is fair to, and in the best interests of, Genworth and its stockholders. **Accordingly, the Board recommends that you vote FOR the proposal to adopt the merger agreement.**

Your vote is very important, regardless of the number of shares of Genworth common stock you own. Genworth cannot complete the merger unless the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Genworth common stock entitled to vote on such matter at the special meeting vote in favor of the proposal to adopt the merger agreement. The failure to vote your shares will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the proposal to adopt the merger agreement.

After reading the accompanying proxy statement, please make sure to vote your shares promptly by proxy by completing, signing and dating the accompanying proxy card and returning it in the enclosed prepaid envelope or by voting by proxy by telephone or through the Internet. Instructions regarding all three methods of voting by proxy are provided on the accompanying proxy card. If you hold shares through an account with a bank, broker, trust or other nominee, please follow the instructions you receive from it to vote your shares.

Thank you for your continued support and your consideration of this matter.

/s/ Thomas J. McInerney
Thomas J. McInerney
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ James S. Riepe
James S. Riepe
Non-Executive Chairman of the Board

Neither the United States Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities regulatory agency has approved or disapproved the merger, passed upon the merits or fairness of the merger, the merger agreement or the other transactions contemplated thereby or passed upon the adequacy or accuracy of the disclosure in this document. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The accompanying proxy statement is dated January 25, 2017 and is first being mailed to Genworth's stockholders on or about January 25, 2017.

Table of Contents

GENWORTH FINANCIAL, INC.

6620 West Broad Street

Richmond, Virginia 23230

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held on March 7, 2017

To our Stockholders:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special meeting of stockholders of Genworth Financial, Inc. (*Genworth*) will be held on March 7, 2017, at 9:00 a.m. Eastern Time, at The Westin Richmond located at 6631 West Broad Street, Richmond, Virginia 23230, to address the following matters:

- 1) ***Merger Agreement***. To consider and vote on a proposal to adopt the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of October 21, 2016 (as it may be amended from time to time, the *merger agreement*), by and among Genworth, a Delaware corporation, Asia Pacific Global Capital Co., Ltd., a limited liability company incorporated in the People's Republic of China (*Asia Pacific*), and Asia Pacific Global Capital USA Corporation, a Delaware corporation and an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Asia Pacific (*Merger Sub*), pursuant to which Merger Sub will merge with and into Genworth, subject to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement (the *merger*);
- 2) ***Merger-Related Executive Compensation***. To consider and vote on a proposal to approve, by a non-binding advisory vote, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Genworth's named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the merger; and
- 3) ***Adjournment of the Special Meeting***. To consider and vote on a proposal to adjourn the special meeting to a later date or time if necessary or appropriate, including to solicit additional proxies if there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to adopt the merger agreement.

Only holders of record of Genworth's Class A common stock, par value \$0.001 per share (*Genworth common stock*), as of the close of business on January 17, 2017 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the special meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof. A list of stockholders entitled to vote at the special meeting will be available for inspection by stockholders of record during regular business hours at Genworth's executive offices at 6620 Broad Street, Richmond, Virginia 23230 for 10 days prior to the date of the special meeting and will be available at the

special meeting.

For more information concerning the special meeting, the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, please review the accompanying proxy statement and the copy of the merger agreement attached as Annex A to the proxy statement.

After careful consideration, the board of directors of Genworth (the *Board*) unanimously determined that the merger is fair to, and in the best interests of, Genworth and its stockholders **and recommends that you vote FOR the proposal to adopt the merger agreement.**

The Board also unanimously, by those directors present, recommends that you vote FOR the approval, by a non-binding advisory vote, of the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Genworth's named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the merger and FOR the proposal to adjourn the special meeting if necessary or appropriate, including to solicit additional proxies.

Regardless of whether you plan to attend the special meeting in person, please fill in your vote, sign and mail the enclosed proxy card (or voting instruction form, if you hold your shares through a broker, bank, trust or other nominee) as soon as possible. We have enclosed a return envelope, which requires no postage if mailed in the United States. Alternatively, you may vote by proxy by telephone or through the Internet. Instructions

Table of Contents

regarding each of the methods of voting by proxy are provided on the enclosed proxy card. If you are voting by proxy by telephone or through the Internet, then your voting instructions must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the day before the special meeting. Your proxy is being solicited by the Board.

If you have any questions about the merger or how to submit your proxy, or if you need additional copies of this proxy statement or the enclosed proxy card or voting instructions, please call our proxy solicitor, Georgeson LLC, toll-free at 888-877-5360.

Stockholders who do not vote in favor of the adoption of the merger agreement will have the right to seek appraisal of the fair value of their shares of Genworth common stock if the merger is completed, but only if they submit a written demand for appraisal of their shares before the taking of the vote on the merger agreement at the special meeting and they comply with all requirements under Section 262 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, which are summarized in greater detail in the accompanying proxy statement.

Your vote is very important. The merger cannot be completed unless the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Genworth common stock entitled to vote on such matter at the special meeting vote in favor of the proposal to adopt the merger agreement. Such adoption is a condition to the completion of the merger. If you fail to vote your shares by proxy by returning your proxy, by telephone or through the Internet, or fail to vote your shares at the special meeting in person, your shares will not be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present at the special meeting and will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the proposal to adopt the merger agreement.

By Order of the Board of Directors

/s/ Michael J. McCullough
Michael J. McCullough
Corporate Secretary

Richmond, Virginia

January 25, 2017

Please Submit Your Proxy Your Vote is Important

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>SUMMARY</u>	1
<u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MERGER AND SPECIAL MEETING</u>	15
<u>CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	22
<u>PARTIES TO THE MERGER</u>	23
<u>Genworth</u>	23
<u>Asia Pacific</u>	23
<u>Merger Sub</u>	24
<u>THE SPECIAL MEETING</u>	25
<u>Date, Time and Place of the Special Meeting</u>	25
<u>Purpose of the Special Meeting</u>	25
<u>Recommendation of the Board</u>	25
<u>Required Vote</u>	26
<u>Record Date, Quorum</u>	26
<u>Voting by Directors and Officers</u>	26
<u>Voting, Proxies, Revocation of Proxies</u>	27
<u>Revocation of Proxies</u>	27
<u>Adjournments and Postponements</u>	28
<u>Effect of Abstentions</u>	28
<u>Solicitation of Proxies</u>	28
<u>Questions and Additional Information</u>	28
<u>PROPOSAL 1: ADOPTION OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT</u>	29
<u>THE MERGER</u>	30
<u>Description of the Merger</u>	30
<u>Background of the Merger</u>	30
<u>Recommendation of the Board</u>	65
<u>Reasons for the Board's Recommendation</u>	65
<u>Opinions of Genworth's Financial Advisors</u>	72
<u>Certain Genworth Unaudited Financial Projections</u>	85
<u>Interests of Certain Persons (Directors and Officers) in the Merger</u>	94
<u>Certain Effects of the Merger</u>	101
<u>Litigation Related to the Merger</u>	102
<u>Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger</u>	102
<u>Regulatory Matters / Governmental Approvals Required for the Merger</u>	105
<u>THE MERGER AGREEMENT</u>	110
<u>Explanatory Note Regarding the Merger Agreement</u>	110
<u>Date of the Merger Agreement</u>	110
<u>The Merger</u>	110
<u>Closing and Effective Time of the Merger</u>	110

<u>Organizational Documents: Directors and Officers of the Surviving Corporation</u>	111
<u>Merger Consideration</u>	111
<u>Treatment of Stock Options and Other Equity Incentive Awards</u>	112
<u>Payment for Genworth Common Stock in the Merger</u>	113
<u>Representations and Warranties</u>	114
<u>Conduct of Genworth's Business Pending the Merger</u>	119
<u>Genworth's Obligations With Respect to Subsidiaries That Are Not Wholly Owned</u>	122
<u>Restrictions on Solicitation; Acquisition Proposals</u>	123

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>No Change of Recommendation or Alternative Acquisition Agreement</u>	124
<u>Existing Discussions</u>	126
<u>Proxy Filing</u>	126
<u>Stockholders Meeting</u>	126
<u>Efforts to Complete the Merger</u>	127
<u>Delisting of Genworth Common Stock</u>	129
<u>Employee Benefits</u>	129
<u>Indemnification; Directors and Officers Insurance</u>	130
<u>Defense of Stockholder Litigation</u>	131
<u>Escrow of Asia Pacific Termination Fee</u>	131
<u>Capital Support</u>	132
<u>Equity Financing</u>	132
<u>Other Covenants and Agreements</u>	132
<u>Conditions to the Merger</u>	133
<u>Termination</u>	135
<u>Termination Fees</u>	136
<u>Remedies</u>	137
<u>Expenses</u>	138
<u>Modification or Amendment</u>	138
<u>Governing Law; Arbitration; Specific Performance</u>	138
<u>OTHER RELATED AGREEMENTS</u>	139
<u>Escrow Deposit Agreement</u>	139
<u>Escrow Agreement</u>	139
<u>Equity Commitment Letter</u>	140
<u>PROPOSAL 2: NON-BINDING COMPENSATION ADVISORY PROPOSAL</u>	142
<u>PROPOSAL 3: AUTHORITY TO ADJOURN THE SPECIAL MEETING</u>	143
<u>APPRAISAL RIGHTS</u>	144
<u>MARKET PRICE AND DIVIDEND DATA</u>	150
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS & MANAGEMENT</u>	151
<u>STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS</u>	154
<u>WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION</u>	155
<u>Annex A Agreement and Plan of Merger</u>	
<u>Annex B Opinion of Goldman, Sachs & Co.</u>	
<u>Annex C Opinion of Lazard Frères & Co. LLC</u>	
<u>Annex D Section 262 of the Delaware General Corporation Law</u>	

Table of Contents

SUMMARY

*The following summary highlights certain information in this proxy statement and may not contain all of the information that may be important to you. Accordingly, we encourage you to read carefully this entire proxy statement and the attached Annexes and the other documents to which this proxy statement refers for a more complete understanding of the matters being considered at the special meeting. In addition, this proxy statement incorporates by reference important business and financial information about Genworth. You may obtain the information incorporated by reference in this proxy statement without charge by following the instructions in the section entitled *Where You Can Find More Information*. In this proxy statement, unless the context otherwise indicates, we refer to Genworth Financial, Inc. and its subsidiaries as **Genworth**, **we**, **us** or **our**.*

The Parties to the Merger (see page 23)

Genworth is a financial services company dedicated to helping meet the homeownership and long-term care needs of its customers. Genworth operates its business through the following five operating segments: U.S. Mortgage Insurance; Canada Mortgage Insurance; Australia Mortgage Insurance; U.S. Life Insurance; and Runoff. Genworth's principal executive offices are located at 6620 West Broad Street, Richmond, Virginia 23230 and our telephone number is (804) 281-6000.

Asia Pacific Global Capital Co., Ltd. (which we refer to as **Asia Pacific**) is a newly formed limited liability company incorporated in July 2016 in Tianjin, the People's Republic of China (which we refer to as the **PRC**). Asia Pacific is a subsidiary of China Oceanwide Holdings Group Co., Ltd. (which we refer to as **China Oceanwide**), a limited liability company incorporated in the PRC, with well-established and diversified businesses, including insurance operations in China and real estate assets globally, including in the United States (which we refer to as the **U.S.**). Asia Pacific was established to hold China Oceanwide's investment in Genworth and currently has no operations. Asia Pacific's registered address is 1703E-130, Tower 1, Kuangshi International Building, Yingbin Avenue, Tianjin Free Trade Pilot Area (Central Business District), Tianjin, PRC and its telephone number is +86 10 85259639.

Asia Pacific Global Capital USA Corporation (which we refer to as **Merger Sub**) is a Delaware corporation that was formed in September 2016 by Asia Pacific Insurance USA Holdings Corporation, an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Asia Pacific, solely for the purpose of entering into the merger agreement and consummating the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. Upon the completion of the merger, Merger Sub will cease to exist and Genworth will continue as the surviving corporation. Merger Sub's principal place of business is located at 160 Greentree Drive, Suite 101, Dover, Delaware 19904 and its telephone number is (855) 685-3513.

The Merger (see page 30)

On October 21, 2016, Genworth, Asia Pacific and Merger Sub entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (which, as it may be amended from time to time, we refer to as the **merger agreement**). Pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, subject to the satisfaction or waiver of specified conditions, Merger Sub will merge with and into Genworth (which we refer to as the **merger**). As a result of the merger, Merger Sub will cease to exist. Genworth will survive the merger as an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Asia Pacific (which we refer to as the **surviving corporation**).

Upon completion of the merger, each share of Class A common stock of Genworth, par value \$0.001 per share (which we refer to as **Genworth common stock**), that is issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time (as defined below) of the merger (other than any excluded shares (as described in the section entitled **The Merger Agreement Merger Consideration** beginning on page 111), will cease to be outstanding,

Table of Contents

will be canceled and cease to exist and will be automatically converted into the right to receive \$5.43 per share, in cash (which we refer to as the *per share merger consideration*), without interest and less any applicable withholding taxes. The merger will become effective at such time (which we refer to as the *effective time*) as the certificate of merger has been duly filed with the Delaware Secretary of State (or at such later time as may be agreed by the parties and as specified in the certificate of merger).

Following the completion of the merger, Genworth common stock will be delisted from the New York Stock Exchange (which we refer to as the *NYSE*), deregistered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (which we refer to as the *Exchange Act*) and cease to be publicly traded.

The Special Meeting (see page 25)

The special meeting of Genworth's stockholders will be held on March 7, 2017, at 9:00 a.m. Eastern Time, at The Westin Richmond located at 6631 West Broad Street, Richmond, Virginia 23230 (which we refer to as the *special meeting*). At the special meeting, you will be asked, among other things, to vote for the proposal to adopt the merger agreement. See the section entitled "The Special Meeting," beginning on page 25, for additional information on the special meeting, including how to vote your shares of Genworth common stock.

Stockholders Entitled to Vote; Vote Required to Adopt the Merger Agreement (see page 26)

You may vote at the special meeting if you were a holder of Genworth common stock as of the close of business on January 17, 2017, which is the record date for the special meeting (which we refer to as the *record date*). Each share of Genworth common stock is entitled to one vote per share for the purposes of voting on all matters to be presented and voted upon at the special meeting. As of the record date, there were 498,407,541 shares of Genworth common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote at the special meeting. The adoption of the merger agreement by Genworth's stockholders requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Genworth common stock entitled to vote on such matter at the special meeting (which we refer to as the *requisite company vote*).

Voting (see page 27)

In addition to voting in person at the special meeting, stockholders of record have a choice of voting by proxy by completing a proxy card and mailing it in the prepaid envelope provided, by calling a toll-free telephone number or through the Internet. Please refer to your proxy card or the information forwarded by your bank, broker, trust or other nominee to see which of the alternative proxy submission methods are available to you. The telephone and Internet proxy facilities for stockholders of record will close at 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the day before the special meeting.

If you wish to vote by proxy and your shares are held by a bank, broker, trust or other nominee, you must follow the voting instructions provided to you by your bank, broker, trust or other nominee. Unless you give your bank, broker, trust or other nominee instructions on how to vote your shares of Genworth common stock, your bank, broker, trust or other nominee will not be able to vote your shares at the special meeting with respect to any of the proposals. If you hold your shares of Genworth common stock through the Genworth Financial, Inc. Retirement and Savings Plan (which we refer to as the *Retirement and Savings Plan*), the Genworth Financial Canada Stock Savings Plan (which we refer to as the *Canada Plan*) or the Genworth Financial Share Participation Scheme in Ireland (which we refer to as the *Ireland Plan*), you will receive instructions about how to direct the trustee of your plan to vote your shares. If you hold your shares through the Retirement and Savings Plan and submit your voting instruction form but do not specify how to vote your shares, the shares credited to your account will be voted by the trustee in the same proportion that it votes shares in other accounts for which it received timely instructions.

Table of Contents

If you wish to vote in person at the special meeting and your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker, trust or other nominee, you must obtain a legal proxy, executed in your favor, from the bank, broker, trust or other nominee authorizing you to vote at the special meeting.

YOU SHOULD NOT SEND IN YOUR STOCK CERTIFICATE(S) WITH YOUR PROXY CARD. A letter of transmittal with instructions for the surrender of certificates representing shares of Genworth common stock will be mailed to Genworth's stockholders if the merger is completed.

For additional information regarding the procedure for delivering your proxy, see the section entitled "The Special Meeting Voting, Proxies, Revocation of Proxies" beginning on page 27. If you have more questions about the merger or how to submit your proxy, or if you need additional copies of this proxy statement or the enclosed proxy card or voting instructions, please call our proxy solicitor, Georgeson LLC (which we refer to as "**Georgeson**"), toll-free at 888-877-5360.

Recommendation of the Board; Reasons for Recommending the Adoption of the Merger Agreement (see page 65)

The board of directors of Genworth (which we refer to as the "**Board**") carefully reviewed and considered the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. The Board has (i) unanimously determined that the merger is fair to, and in the best interests of, Genworth and its stockholders, approved and declared advisable the merger agreement and the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and resolved to recommend adoption of the merger agreement to the holders of Genworth common stock and (ii) unanimously directed that the merger agreement be submitted to the holders of Genworth common stock for their adoption. **Accordingly, the Board unanimously recommends that at the special meeting Genworth's stockholders vote FOR the proposal to adopt the merger agreement.**

For a discussion of the material factors considered by the Board in reaching its conclusions, see the section entitled "The Merger Reasons for the Board's Recommendation," beginning on page 65. In addition, in considering the Board's recommendation that Genworth's stockholders adopt the merger agreement (which we refer to as the "**Board recommendation**"), you should be aware that some of our directors and executive officers have interests that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Genworth's stockholders generally. See the section entitled "The Merger Interests of Certain Persons (Directors and Officers) in the Merger," beginning on page 94, and related discussion in the section entitled "The Merger Background of the Merger," beginning on page 30.

Interests of Certain Persons (Directors and Officers) in the Merger

In considering the recommendation of the Board that you vote **FOR** the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, you should be aware that some of our directors and executive officers have interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Genworth's stockholders generally. The Board was aware of these interests and considered and discussed potential conflicts related thereto at the time it approved the merger agreement and the other transactions contemplated thereby, including the merger and made its recommendation to Genworth's stockholders that they vote in favor of the adoption of the merger agreement.

Opinions of Genworth's Financial Advisors (see page 72)

Opinion of Goldman, Sachs & Co. (page 72 and Annex B)

Goldman, Sachs & Co. (which we refer to as ***Goldman Sachs***) delivered its oral opinion to the Board on October 20, 2016, which opinion was subsequently confirmed in a written opinion dated October 21, 2016, that,

Table of Contents

as of the date of such opinion and based upon and subject to the factors and assumptions set forth therein, the per share merger consideration to be paid to the holders (other than Asia Pacific and its affiliates) of shares of Genworth common stock pursuant to the merger agreement was fair from a financial point of view to such holders.

The full text of the written opinion of Goldman Sachs, dated October 21, 2016, which sets forth assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken in connection with the opinion, is included as Annex B to this proxy statement. Goldman Sachs provided its opinion for the information and assistance of the Board in connection with its consideration of the merger. The Goldman Sachs opinion is not a recommendation as to how any holder of Genworth common stock should vote with respect to the merger or any other matter.

Opinion of Lazard Frères & Co. LLC (page 78 and Annex C)

Lazard Frères & Co. LLC (which we refer to as *Lazard*), delivered its oral opinion to the Board on October 20, 2016, which opinion was subsequently confirmed in a written opinion dated October 21, 2016, that, as of the date of such opinion and based upon and subject to the assumptions, procedures, factors, qualifications and limitations set forth in such written opinion, the per share merger consideration to be paid to the holders of shares of Genworth common stock (other than holders of the excluded shares, as defined in the section entitled "The Merger Agreement Merger Consideration," beginning on page 111) pursuant to the merger agreement was fair from a financial point of view to such holders.

The full text of the written opinion of Lazard, dated October 21, 2016, which sets forth the assumptions made, procedures followed, factors considered and qualifications and limitations on the review undertaken by Lazard in connection with its opinion, is attached to this proxy statement as Annex C and is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety. The summary of Lazard's opinion is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the opinion, and Genworth's stockholders are encouraged to read the opinion carefully and in its entirety. Lazard's engagement and its opinion were for the benefit of the Board (in its capacity as such), and Lazard's opinion was rendered to the Board in connection with its evaluation of the merger and addressed only the fairness as of the date of the opinion, from a financial point of view, to the holders of shares of Genworth common stock (other than holders of the excluded shares) of the per share merger consideration to be paid to such holders in the merger. Lazard's opinion was not intended to, and does not, constitute a recommendation to any stockholder as to how such stockholder should vote or act with respect to the merger or any matter relating to the merger. Lazard expressed no view or opinion as to any terms or other aspects (other than the per share merger consideration to the extent expressly specified in the opinion) of the merger, including, without limitation, the form or structure of the merger or any agreements or arrangements entered into in connection with, or contemplated by, the merger. In addition, Lazard expressed no view or opinion as to the fairness of the amount or nature of, or any other aspects relating to, the compensation to any officers, directors or employees of any parties to the merger, or class of such persons, relative to the per share merger consideration or otherwise.

Treatment of Stock Options and Other Equity Incentive Awards (see page 112)

The merger agreement provides that, as of the effective time of the merger:

each option to purchase shares of Genworth common stock (each of which we refer to as a *company option*) and each Genworth stock appreciation right (each of which we refer to as a *company SAR*) that is

outstanding as of the effective time of the merger, whether vested or unvested, will be automatically cancelled and converted into the right to receive a cash payment equal to the product of

Table of Contents

(x) the number of shares underlying such company option or company SAR and (y) the excess, if any, of the per share merger consideration over the exercise price per share of such company option or company SAR, payable in a lump sum as soon as reasonably practicable after the effective time of the merger, less any applicable withholding taxes and without interest;

each restricted stock unit (each of which we refer to as a *company RSU*) under Genworth's 2012 Omnibus Incentive Plan and 2004 Omnibus Incentive Plan (which we refer to as the *stock plans*) that is outstanding as of the effective time of the merger, whether vested or unvested, will be automatically cancelled and converted into the right to receive a cash payment equal to the number of shares of Genworth common stock subject to such company RSU immediately prior to the effective time of the merger multiplied by the per share merger consideration, which amount will vest and become payable at the time or times provided by and subject to the terms applicable to such company RSU, less any applicable withholding taxes and without interest; and

each outstanding performance stock unit or company RSU subject to performance vesting conditions (each of which we refer to as a *company PSU*) under stock plans that is outstanding as of the effective time of the merger, whether vested or unvested, will be automatically cancelled and converted into the right to receive a cash payment equal to the number of shares of Genworth common stock subject to such company PSU immediately prior to the effective time of the merger multiplied by the per share merger consideration, which amount will vest and become payable (x) in the case of the company PSUs granted during 2015, at the effective time of the merger and determined based on target performance, subject to proration for the portion of the performance period elapsed through the effective time of the merger, (y) in the case of the company PSUs granted during 2016 (but prior to the signing date of the merger agreement), in accordance with the original vesting schedule, subject to the holder's continued employment through the applicable vesting date, determined based on the greater of target and actual performance as of the effective time of the merger and (z) in the case of any company PSUs granted on or after the date of the merger agreement, in accordance with the original vesting schedule, subject to the holder's continued employment through the applicable vesting date, determined based on actual performance as of the date performance is measured as prescribed by the applicable award agreement, in each case, less any applicable withholding taxes and without interest.

Efforts to Complete the Merger (see page 127)

The merger agreement provides that each of Genworth, Asia Pacific and Merger Sub will cooperate and use their reasonable best efforts to consummate the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement as promptly as practicable. The merger agreement further provides that, notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained therein, in no event shall (i) Genworth, Asia Pacific, Merger Sub or any of their respective subsidiaries or other affiliates be required to agree to any material term, condition, obligation, restriction, requirement, limitation, qualification, remedy or other action imposed, required or requested by a governmental entity in connection with its grant of any requisite approvals to Genworth or Asia Pacific that is not conditioned upon the consummation of the merger or (ii) Genworth or any of its subsidiaries or other affiliates agree to any term, condition, obligation, restriction, requirement, limitation, qualification, remedy or other action in connection with the obtaining of any requisite governmental approvals by Genworth or Asia Pacific without the prior written consent of Asia Pacific (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, delayed or conditioned). Further, the merger agreement provides that neither its terms nor the reasonable best efforts standard shall require, or be construed to require, that Asia Pacific or any of its affiliates, in order to obtain any of the requisite governmental approvals by Genworth or Asia Pacific, agree to or accept a burdensome condition (as defined below).

Pursuant to the merger agreement, a ***burdensome condition*** is any obligation, restriction, requirement, limitation, qualification, condition, remedy or other action imposed in connection with the regulatory approval

Table of Contents

process that (i) in the case of any such item that does not relate to a contribution of capital to Genworth or any of its subsidiaries, would, individually or in the aggregate, (x) reasonably be expected to result in a material adverse effect on the financial condition; properties, assets and liabilities (considered together); business; or results of operation of Asia Pacific and its affiliates, taken as a whole, or a company material adverse effect with respect to Genworth, or (y) reasonably be expected to materially impair the aggregate economic benefits that, as of the date of the merger agreement, Asia Pacific and its affiliates reasonably expect to derive from the consummation of the merger and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement; or (ii) requires any contribution of capital to Genworth or any of its subsidiaries in excess of (x) the \$600 million that Asia Pacific has committed to contribute to Genworth for the purpose of the retirement of the outstanding 6.515% senior notes of Genworth due 2018, or the \$525 million that Asia Pacific has committed to contribute to Genworth for the purpose of facilitating the unstacking (see the section entitled "The Merger Agreement Capital Support") plus (y) \$175 million that Genworth has committed to facilitate the unstacking.

Conditions to the Merger (see page 133)

Genworth, Asia Pacific and Merger Subsidiaries' respective obligations to complete the merger are subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the following conditions, at or prior to the effective time of the merger:

the merger agreement shall have been adopted by the requisite company vote;

Asia Pacific and Genworth shall have received certain regulatory and other governmental approvals (references related to governmental approvals in this proxy statement include those related to the GSEs, as defined below), non-disapprovals or confirmations, as applicable from certain U.S. insurance regulators in Delaware, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, Vermont and Virginia, the Committee on Foreign Investment in the U.S., the Federal National Mortgage Association (which we refer to as "*Fannie Mae*") and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (which we refer to as "*Freddie Mac*" and, together with Fannie Mae, as the "*GSEs*") (as may be applicable), the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, and certain Canadian, Australian and New Zealand regulators (each of which we refer to as a "*non-PRC regulatory approval*") and any other governmental approval for which the failure to obtain such approval would subject Genworth, Asia Pacific or their respective affiliates or any of their respective directors, officers, other employees or representatives to any criminal liability;

all requisite filings, confirmations and approvals with or by the National Development and Reform Commission of the PRC, Ministry of Commerce of the PRC, the State Administration of Foreign Exchange of the PRC, or their respective competent local counterparts, as applicable, shall have been made or obtained (each of which we refer to as a "*PRC regulatory approval*");

the applicable waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended (which we refer to as the "*HSR Act*"), shall have expired or been earlier terminated; and

the absence of any court or government order or other legal restraint enjoining or otherwise prohibiting the consummation of the merger.

The obligations of Asia Pacific and Merger Sub to complete the merger are also subject to the satisfaction or waiver by Asia Pacific of additional conditions at or prior to the effective time of the merger, including:

subject to certain materiality qualifiers and exceptions in certain cases, the accuracy of each of its representations and warranties in the merger agreement as of the closing of the merger;

Genworth's performance in all material respects of all obligations required to be performed by Genworth under the merger agreement at or prior to the date of the closing of the merger;

the receipt by Asia Pacific and Genworth of certain requisite regulatory and other governmental approvals, including the non-PRC regulatory approvals and the PRC regulatory approvals, and the

Table of Contents

expiration or termination of the applicable waiting period under the HSR Act, in each case, without the imposition of any burdensome condition (as described in the section entitled *The Merger Agreement Efforts to Complete the Merger*, beginning on page 127);

the receipt of certain regulatory approvals necessary to consummate the transfer by Genworth Life Insurance Company (which we refer to as *GLIC*) of all of its ownership of Genworth Life and Annuity Insurance Company (which we refer to as *GLAIC*), in whole, to an intermediate holding company such that GLAIC is no longer a subsidiary of GLIC (which we refer to as the *unstacking*) and certain of the other reorganization transactions to be consummated by Genworth as provided in the merger agreement (such reorganization transactions, including the unstacking, are referred to as the *U.S. Life Restructuring*);

the absence of a company material adverse effect (as defined in *The Merger Agreement Representations and Warranties*, beginning on page 114) since the date of the merger agreement;

that Genworth Mortgage Insurance Australia Limited (which we refer to as *Genworth Australia*) and Genworth MI Canada Inc. (which we refer to as *Genworth Canada*), which are publicly traded majority owned subsidiaries of Genworth, and certain of their respective subsidiaries, abstain from certain material actions prior to the effective time of the merger; and

there not having occurred or be continuing a change or the public announcement of a change in the financial strength rating assigned to Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corporation to below BB (negative outlook) by Standard & Poor's Corporation (which we refer to as *S&P*) that is primarily and directly attributable to (i) the actions or inactions of Genworth, its affiliates or their respective representatives that do not relate to an excluded effect (as described in the section entitled *The Merger Agreement Conditions to the Merger*, beginning on page 133) or (ii) an adverse change in the condition (financial or otherwise) of Genworth Mortgage Insurance Corporation and its businesses not resulting from or arising out of an excluded effect. Genworth's obligations to complete the merger are also subject to the satisfaction or waiver by Genworth of additional conditions, at or prior to the effective time of the merger, including:

subject to certain materiality qualifiers and exceptions, the accuracy of each of the representations and warranties of Asia Pacific and Merger Sub in the merger agreement as of the closing of the merger; and

each of Asia Pacific and Merger Sub's performance in all material respects of all obligations required to be performed under the merger agreement at or prior to the date of the closing of the merger.

Regulatory Matters / Governmental Approvals Required for the Merger (see page 105)

Consummation of the merger is subject to the completion of certain governmental and regulatory clearance procedures, including the receipt of approvals from certain U.S. state insurance regulators in connection with the merger and the U.S. Life Restructuring, early termination or expiration of the waiting period under the HSR Act, completion of the process of review by the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (which we refer to as *CFIUS*), the receipt of approvals, non-disapprovals or confirmations from, or the making of notifications to,

governmental authorities in the PRC, Australia, Canada and New Zealand, as applicable, and the receipt of any necessary approvals or non-disapprovals, as applicable, from Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (which we refer to as *FINRA*).

The parties are required under the merger agreement to make all of these governmental and regulatory filings and use reasonable best efforts to obtain any required regulatory approvals as promptly as practicable. The merger agreement provides that neither its terms nor this reasonable best efforts standard will require Asia Pacific or any of its affiliates to agree to or accept any burdensome condition in order to obtain any of the

Table of Contents

requisite governmental approvals (as more fully described in the section entitled "The Merger - Regulatory Matters / Governmental Approvals Required for the Merger," beginning on page 105).

Capital Support (see page 132)

The merger agreement contains a covenant that requires Asia Pacific to contribute to Genworth aggregate cash amounts equal to \$1.125 billion as follows: (i) on or prior to the maturity of the outstanding 6.515% senior notes of Genworth due in 2018, \$600 million to retire such outstanding debt obligations and (ii) on or prior to the consummation of the unstacking, \$525 million to facilitate the unstacking.

Restrictions on Solicitation of Other Offers; Ability to Terminate in Connection with a Superior Proposal (see pages 123 and 135)

Subject to certain exceptions with respect to unsolicited proposals, the merger agreement generally prohibits Genworth, its subsidiaries and Genworth's and its subsidiaries' respective officers and directors from, and requires Genworth to use its reasonable best efforts to cause its representatives to refrain from, directly or indirectly, (i) initiating, soliciting or knowingly encouraging any inquiries or the making of any proposal or offer that constitutes, or could reasonably be expected to lead to, any acquisition proposal (as defined in the section entitled "The Merger Agreement - Restrictions on Solicitation; Acquisition Proposals," beginning on page 123), (ii) engaging in or otherwise participating in any discussions or negotiations regarding an acquisition proposal, or providing any non-public information or data to any person that has made, or to the knowledge of Genworth is reasonably likely to make or is considering (in each case whether alone or as part of a group), an acquisition proposal, except to notify such person of the existence of the provisions of this section of the merger agreement, (iii) taking any action to exempt any third party from the restrictions on "business combinations" contained in Section 203 of the DGCL or any other applicable takeover statute or otherwise cause such restrictions not to apply, or (iv) otherwise knowingly facilitating any effort or attempt to make an acquisition proposal. However, Genworth may waive, and may choose not to enforce, any provision of any standstill or confidentiality agreement with any person that would prohibit such person from communicating confidentially an acquisition proposal to the Board. Further, at any time prior to the receipt of the requisite company vote, in certain circumstances and after following certain procedures set forth in the merger agreement, the Board may terminate the merger agreement in connection with an unsolicited acquisition proposal that the Board has concluded in good faith, after consultation with Genworth's outside legal counsel and financial advisors, constitutes a "superior proposal" (as described in the section entitled "The Merger Agreement - No Change of Recommendation or Alternative Acquisition Agreement," beginning on page 124) and with respect to which Genworth enters into a definitive written agreement concurrently with, or immediately after, the termination of the merger agreement, if Genworth, as a condition to the effectiveness of such termination, pays to Asia Pacific the termination fee prescribed by the merger agreement (as described in the section entitled "The Merger Agreement - Termination Fees," beginning on page 136).

Restrictions on a Change of Board Recommendation (see page 124)

The merger agreement contains restrictions on the ability of the Board and any of its committees to (i) withhold, withdraw, qualify or modify, or publicly propose or resolve to withhold, withdraw, qualify or modify in a manner adverse to Asia Pacific, the Board recommendation, (ii) authorize, approve or recommend, or publicly propose to authorize, approve or recommend, any acquisition proposal (as defined in the section entitled "The Merger Agreement - Restrictions on Solicitation; Acquisition Proposals," beginning on page 123), (iii) fail to include the Board recommendation in this proxy statement; (iv) fail to recommend that Genworth's stockholders reject any tender offer or exchange offer that has been publicly announced with respect to the outstanding shares of Genworth common stock prior to the earlier of (x) the date of the special meeting and (y) 11 business days after the commencement of such

tender offer or exchange offer, or (v) fail to reaffirm the Board

Table of Contents

recommendation within two business days after receiving a written request to do so from Asia Pacific or approve, recommend or otherwise declare advisable, or publicly propose to approve or recommend, any acquisition proposal (we refer to each of the above as a *change of recommendation*), in each case, subject to certain exceptions.

The merger agreement further provides that, subject to Genworth's termination right in connection with effectuating the change of recommendation as a result of the receipt of a superior proposal (as defined in the section entitled "The Merger Agreement - No Change of Recommendation or Alternative Acquisition Agreement"), Genworth shall not, and shall cause its subsidiaries not to, and the Board and each committee of Board shall not approve or recommend, or publicly propose to approve or recommend, or cause or permit Genworth or any of its subsidiaries to enter into any letter of intent, memorandum of understanding, agreement in principle, acquisition agreement, merger agreement, or other agreement relating to any acquisition proposal (other than any confidentiality agreement entered into as permitted by the terms of the merger agreement). Further, no actions or omissions of the board of directors (or other governing bodies) of Genworth Australia or Genworth Canada will constitute a change of recommendation under the merger agreement.

At any time prior to the time the requisite company vote is obtained, if an intervening event (as defined in the section entitled "The Merger Agreement - No Change of Recommendation or Alternative Acquisition Agreement") has occurred or if Genworth receives an unsolicited acquisition proposal that the Board has determined in good faith constitutes a superior proposal and the Board has determined in good faith, after consulting with its financial advisor and outside legal counsel, that the failure to take such action would be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties, the Board may make a change of recommendation subject to the terms and conditions as described below.

Prior to the Board making such change of recommendation, the following requirements must be met:

in the case of a change of recommendation in connection with a superior proposal, the receipt of such proposal was not the result of a material breach of Genworth's no shop obligations;

Genworth provides prior written notice to Asia Pacific of its intention to take such action at least five business days before making such change of recommendation (which we refer to as the *notice period*). In the case of a superior proposal, the notice shall specify its material terms and conditions (including the identity of the person making such superior proposal) and attach the most current unredacted version of any documents evidencing such superior proposal, and any material modifications to any of the foregoing. In the case of an intervening event, the notice shall include a reasonably detailed description of such intervening event;

during such notice period, Genworth must (and must cause its financial advisor and outside counsel to) negotiate in good faith with Asia Pacific if Asia Pacific proposes to amend the merger agreement such that, in the case of a superior proposal, such acquisition proposal no longer constitutes a superior proposal and, in the case of an intervening event, the failure to make such change of recommendation in light of such intervening event would no longer be inconsistent with the fiduciary duties of the Board (in each case as determined by the Board in good faith after taking into account any amendments agreed to by Asia Pacific prior to the end of the notice period); and

the Board takes into account any amendments to the merger agreement agreed to by Asia Pacific in writing prior to the end of the notice period.

Further, whenever there is a material amendment of any acquisition proposal, such amended proposal will be deemed to be a new acquisition proposal, exc:justify;font-size:10pt;">There were no events of default under the covenants of our credit facilities at December 28, 2013. Although we believe we will remain in compliance with these covenants in the foreseeable future and that our relationship with our lender is strong, there is

no assurance our lender would consent to an amendment or waiver in the event of noncompliance; or that such consent would not be conditioned upon the receipt of a cash payment, revised principal payout terms, increased interest rates or restrictions in the expansion of the credit facilities for the foreseeable future, or that our lender would not exercise rights that would be available to them, including, among other things, demanding payment of outstanding borrowings. In addition, our ability to obtain additional capital or alternative borrowing arrangements at reasonable rates may be adversely affected. All or any of these adverse events would further limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, downturns in our business.

We may need new or additional financing in the future to expand our business or refinance existing indebtedness, and our inability to obtain capital on satisfactory terms or at all may have an adverse impact on our operations and our financial results. If we are unable to access capital on satisfactory terms and conditions, we may not be able to expand our business or meet our payment requirements under our existing credit facilities. Our ability to obtain new or additional financing will depend on a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control. We may not be able to obtain new or additional financing because we may have substantial debt or because we may not have sufficient cash flows to service or repay our existing or future debt. In addition, depending on market conditions and our financial performance, equity financing may not be available on satisfactory terms or at all. If we are unable to access capital on satisfactory terms and conditions, this could have an adverse impact on our operations and our financial results.

Our existing property and liability insurance coverages contain exclusions and limitations on coverage. We have maintained various forms of insurance, including insurance covering claims related to our properties and risks associated with our operations. From time-to-time, in connection with renewals of insurance, we have experienced additional exclusions and limitations on coverage, larger self-insured retentions and deductibles and higher premiums, primarily from the operations of the Specialty Chemicals Segment. As a result, our existing coverage may not be sufficient to cover any losses we may incur and in the future our insurance coverage may not cover claims to the extent that it has in the past and the costs that we incur to procure insurance may increase significantly, either of which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations or cash flows.

We may not be able to make the operational and product changes necessary to continue to be an effective competitor. We must continue to enhance our existing products and to develop and manufacture new products with improved capabilities in order to continue to be an effective competitor in our business markets. In addition, we must anticipate and respond to changes in industry standards that affect our products and the needs of our customers. We also must continue to make improvements in our productivity in order to maintain our competitive position. When we invest in new technologies, processes or production capabilities, we face risks related to construction delays, cost over-runs and unanticipated technical difficulties.

The success of any new or enhanced products will depend on a number of factors, such as technological innovations, increased manufacturing and material costs, customer acceptance, and the performance and quality of the new or enhanced products. As we introduce new products or refine existing products, we cannot predict the level of market acceptance or the amount of market share these new or enhanced products may achieve. Moreover, we may experience delays in the introduction of new or enhanced products. Any manufacturing delays or problems with new or enhanced product launches will adversely affect our operating results. In addition, the introduction of new products could result in a decrease in revenues from existing products. Also, we may need more capital for product development and enhancement than is available to us, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. We sell our products in industries that are affected by technological changes, new product introductions and changing industry standards. If we do not respond by developing new products or enhancing existing products on a timely basis, our products will become obsolete over time and our revenues, cash flows, profitability and competitive position will suffer.

In addition, if we fail to accurately predict future customer needs and preferences, we may invest heavily in the development of new or enhanced products that do not result in significant sales and revenue. Even if we successfully innovate in the development of new and enhanced products, we may incur substantial costs in doing so, and our profitability may suffer. Our products must be kept current to meet the needs of our customers. To remain competitive, we must develop new and innovative products on an on-going basis. If we fail to make innovations, or the market does not accept our new or enhanced products, our sales and results could suffer.

Our inability to anticipate and respond to changes in industry standards and the needs of our customers, or to utilize changing technologies in responding to those changes, could have a material adverse effect on our business and our results of operations.

Our strategy of using acquisitions and dispositions to position our businesses may not always be successful, which may have a material adverse impact on our financial results and profitability. We have historically utilized acquisitions and dispositions in an effort to strategically position our businesses and improve our ability to compete. We plan to continue to do this by seeking specialty niches, acquiring businesses complementary to existing strengths and continually evaluating the performance and strategic fit of our existing business units. We consider acquisition, joint ventures and other business combination opportunities as well as

possible business unit dispositions. From time-to-time, management holds discussions with management of other companies to explore such opportunities. As a result, the relative makeup of the businesses comprising our Company is subject to change. Acquisitions, joint ventures and other business combinations involve various inherent risks, such as: assessing accurately the value, strengths, weaknesses, contingent and other liabilities and potential profitability of acquisition or other transaction candidates; the potential loss of key personnel of an acquired business; significant transaction costs that were not identified during due diligence; our ability to achieve identified financial and operating synergies anticipated to result from an acquisition or other transaction; and unanticipated changes in business and economic conditions affecting an acquisition or other transaction. If acquisition opportunities are not available or if one or more acquisitions are not successfully integrated into our operations, this could have a material adverse impact on our financial results and profitability.

The loss of key members of our management team, or difficulty attracting and retaining experienced technical personnel, could reduce our competitiveness and have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations. The successful implementation of our strategies and handling of other issues integral to our future success will depend, in part, on our experienced management team. The loss of key members of our management team could have an adverse effect on our business. Although we have entered into an employment agreement with Craig C. Bram, our President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Bram may resign from the Company at any time and seek employment elsewhere, subject to certain non-competition restrictions for a one-year period. Additionally, if we cannot retain our technical personnel or attract additional experienced technical personnel, our ability to compete could be harmed.

Federal, state and local legislative and regulatory initiatives relating to hydraulic fracturing, as well as governmental reviews of such activities could result in delays or eliminate new wells from being started, thus reducing the demand for our fiberglass and steel storage tanks. Hydraulic fracturing (“fracking”) is currently an essential and common practice to extract oil from dense subsurface rock formations and this lower cost extraction method is a significant driving force behind the recent surge of oil exploration and drilling in several locations in the United States. However, the Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Congress and state legislatures have considered adopting legislation to provide additional regulations and disclosures surrounding this process. In the event that new legal restrictions surrounding the fracking process are adopted in the areas in which our customers operate, we may see a dramatic decrease in Palmer’s profitability which could have an adverse impact on our financial results.

Our results of operations could be adversely affected by goodwill impairments. As a result of our acquisitions, we had approximately \$18.3 million of goodwill on our balance sheet as of December 28, 2013. Goodwill must be tested at least annually for impairment, and more frequently when circumstances indicate likely impairment. Goodwill is considered impaired to the extent that its carrying amount exceeds its implied fair value. An impairment of goodwill could have a substantial negative effect on our profitability.

Our allowance for doubtful accounts may not be adequate to cover actual losses. An allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments and for disputed claims and quality issues. This allowance may not be adequate to cover actual losses, and future provisions for losses could materially and adversely affect our operating results. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on prior experience, as well as an evaluation of the outstanding receivables and existing economic conditions. The amount of future losses is susceptible to changes in economic, operating and other outside forces and conditions, all of which are beyond our control, and these losses may exceed current estimates. Although management believes that the allowance for doubtful accounts is adequate to cover current estimated losses, we cannot make assurances that we will not further increase the allowance for doubtful accounts. A significant increase in the allowance for doubtful accounts could adversely affect our earnings.

We depend on third parties to distribute certain of our products and because we have no control over such third parties we are subject to adverse changes in such parties’ operations or interruptions of service, each of which may have an

adverse effect on our operations. We use third parties over which we have only limited control to distribute certain of our products. Our dependency on these third party distributors has increased as our business has grown. Because we rely on these third parties to provide these distribution services, any change in our ability to access these third party distribution services could have an adverse impact on our revenues and put us at a competitive disadvantage with our competitors.

Freight costs for products produced in our Palmer operations restrict our sales area for this facility. The freight and other distribution costs for products sold from our Palmer facility are extremely high. As a result, the market area for these products is restricted, which limits the geographic market for Palmer's tanks and the ability to significantly increase revenues derived from sales of products from the Palmer facility.

New regulations related to "conflict minerals" may force us to incur additional expenses, may make our supply chain more complex and may result in damage to our reputation with customers. On August 22, 2012, under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), the SEC adopted new requirements for companies that use certain minerals and metals, known as conflict minerals, in their products, whether or not these products are manufactured by third parties.

These requirements will require companies to conduct due diligence and disclose whether or not such minerals originate from the Democratic Republic of Congo and adjoining countries. Tungsten and tantalum are designated as conflict minerals under the Dodd-Frank Act. These metals are used to varying degrees in our welding materials and are also present in specialty alloy products. The implementation of these new requirements could adversely affect the sourcing, availability and pricing of minerals used in our products. In addition, we could incur additional costs to comply with the disclosure requirements, including costs related to determining the source of any of the relevant minerals and metals used in our products. Since our supply chain is complex, we may not be able to sufficiently verify the origins for these minerals and metals used in our products through the due diligence procedures that we implement, which may harm our reputation. In such event, we may also face difficulties in satisfying customers who could require that all of the components of our products are conflict mineral-free.

Our inability to sufficiently or completely protect our intellectual property rights could adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations. Our ability to compete effectively in both of our business segments will depend on our ability to maintain the proprietary nature of the intellectual property used in our businesses. These intellectual property rights consist largely of trade-secrets and know-how. We rely on a combination of trade secrets and non-disclosure and other contractual agreements and technical measures to protect our rights in our intellectual property. We also depend upon confidentiality agreements with our officers, directors, employees, consultants and subcontractors, as well as collaborative partners, to maintain the proprietary nature of our intellectual property. These measures may not afford us sufficient or complete protection, and others may independently develop intellectual property similar to ours, otherwise avoid our confidentiality agreements or produce technology that would adversely affect our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

Our internal controls over financial reporting could fail to prevent or detect misstatements. Because of its inherent limitations, internal controls over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Any failure to maintain effective internal controls or to timely effect any necessary improvement in our internal control and disclosure controls could, among other things, result in losses from fraud or error, harm our reputation or cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Cyber security risks and cyber incidents could adversely affect our business and disrupt operations. Cyber incidents can result from deliberate attacks or unintentional events. These incidents can include, but are not limited to, gaining unauthorized access to digital systems for purposes of misappropriating assets or sensitive information, corrupting data, or causing operational disruption. The result of these incidents could include, but are not limited to, disrupted operations, misstated financial data, liability for stolen assets or information, increased cyber security protection costs, litigation and reputational damage adversely affecting customer or investor confidence.

Item 1B Unresolved Staff Comments
None.

Item 2 Properties

The Company operates the major plants and facilities listed below, all of which are in adequate condition for their current usage. All facilities throughout the Company are believed to be adequately insured. The buildings are of various types of construction including brick, steel, concrete, concrete block and sheet metal. All have adequate transportation facilities for both raw materials and finished products. The Company owns all of these plants and facilities, except the warehouse facilities located in Dalton, GA, and the corporate offices located in Spartanburg, SC and Glen Allen, VA.

Location	Principal Operations	Building Square Feet	Land Acres
Bristol, TN	Manufacturing stainless steel pipe and stainless and carbon steel piping systems	275,000	73.1
Fountain Inn, SC	Chemical manufacturing and warehousing facilities	136,834	16.9
Crossett, AR	Manufacturing stainless and carbon steel and chrome alloy piping systems	133,000	19.8
Cleveland, TN	Chemical manufacturing and warehousing facilities	118,000	10.5
Andrews, TX	Manufacturing liquid storage solutions and separation equipment	109,432	19.6
Dalton, GA	Warehouse facilities ⁽¹⁾	32,000	2.0
Spartanburg, SC	Corporate headquarters ⁽¹⁾	6,840	—
Glen Allen, VA	Office space for Corporate employees ⁽¹⁾	2,869	—
Augusta, GA	Chemical manufacturing ⁽²⁾	—	46.0

(1) Leased facility.

(2) Plant was closed in 2001 and all structures and manufacturing equipment have been removed.

Item 3 Legal Proceedings

For a discussion of legal proceedings, see Notes 5 and 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Item 4 Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5 Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Company had 605 common shareholders of record at February 24, 2014. The Company's common stock trades on the NASDAQ Global Market under the trading symbol SYNL. The Company's credit agreement only restricts the payment of dividends through a minimum tangible net worth covenant. The Company paid a \$0.26 cash dividend on December 3, 2013, a \$0.25 cash dividend on December 10, 2012, and a \$0.25 cash dividend on December 5, 2011. The prices shown below are the high and low sales prices for the common stock for each full quarterly period in the last two fiscal years as quoted on the NASDAQ Global Market.

Quarter	2013		2012	
	High	Low	High	Low
1st	\$ 14.88	\$ 12.53	\$ 13.78	\$ 10.21
2nd	16.00	12.94	13.45	10.39
3rd	17.38	14.99	14.00	10.45
4th	16.75	13.80	14.97	12.26

The information required by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K is set forth in Part III, Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Comparison of 5 Year Cumulative Total Return Graph

	12/08	12/09	12/10	12/11	12/12	12/13
Synalloy Corporation	\$ 100.00	\$ 200.38	\$ 272.97	\$ 237.06	\$ 336.39	\$ 366.85
Russell 2000	100.00	127.17	161.32	154.59	179.86	249.69
NASDAQ Non-Financial	100.00	151.48	177.87	179.66	209.54	295.28

This graph and related information shall not be deemed to be “filed” with the Securities and Exchange Commission or “soliciting material” or subject to Regulation 14A, or the liabilities of Section 18 of the 1934 Act, except to the extent the Company specifically requests that such information be treated as soliciting material or specifically incorporates it by reference into a filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the 1934 Act.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

Pursuant to the compensation arrangement with directors discussed under Item 12 "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters" in this Form 10-K, on April 5, 2013, the Company issued an aggregate of 9,411 shares of restricted stock to non-employee directors in lieu of \$128,000 of their annual cash retainer fees. Issuance of these shares was not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 based on the exemption provided by Section 4(2) thereof because no public offering was involved.

The Company also issued 8,161 shares of common stock in 2013 to management and key employees that vested pursuant to the 2005 Stock Awards Plan. Issuance of these shares was not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 based on the exemption provided by Section 4(2) thereof because no public offering was involved.

Neither the Company, nor any affiliated purchaser (as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) of the 1934 Act) on behalf of the Company repurchased any of the Company's securities during the fourth quarter of 2013.

Item 6 Selected Financial Data

Selected Financial Data and Other Financial Information

(Dollar amounts in thousands except for per share data)

	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009	
Operations						
Net sales	\$220,750	\$197,659	\$170,575	\$151,121	\$103,640	
Gross profit	19,202	21,928	21,090	15,916	9,489	
Selling, general & administrative expense	17,388	14,140	12,284	9,724	8,787	
Operating income	1,814	7,788	8,805	6,192	702	
Net income continuing operations	1,761	4,235	5,797	4,034	219	
Net (loss) income discontinued operations	—	—	—	—	(4)
Net income	1,761	4,235	5,797	4,034	215	
Financial Position						
Total assets	163,260	148,507	98,916	81,375	78,252	
Working capital	74,988	65,919	56,344	43,232	44,123	
Long-term debt, less current portion	20,905	37,593	8,650	219	—	
Shareholders' equity	106,098	71,774	68,619	63,875	62,721	
Financial Ratios						
Current ratio	4.0:1	3.6:1	4.1:1	4.0:1	4.5:1	
Gross profit to net sales	9	% 11	% 12	% 11	% 9	%
Long-term debt to capital	16	% 34	% 11	% 0	% 0	%
Return on average assets	1	% 3	% 6	% 5	% 0	%
Return on average equity	2	% 6	% 9	% 6	% 0	%
Per Share Data (income/(loss) – diluted)						
Net income continuing operations	\$0.25	\$0.66	\$0.91	\$0.64	\$0.03	
Net income (loss) discontinued operations	—	—	—	—	(0.00)
Net income	0.25	0.66	0.91	0.64	0.03	
Dividends declared and paid	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.50	0.10	
Book value	12.21	11.29	10.85	10.16	10.01	
Other Data						
Depreciation and amortization	\$5,114	\$3,399	\$2,659	\$2,642	\$2,402	
Capital expenditures	\$5,766	\$4,740	\$3,185	\$5,095	\$1,892	
Employees at year end	670	597	441	441	466	
Shareholders of record at year end	619	669	687	704	790	
Average shares outstanding - diluted	6,947	6,394	6,362	6,309	6,269	
Stock Price						
Price range of common stock						
High	\$17.38	\$14.97	\$15.50	\$12.25	\$10.49	
Low	12.53	10.21	9.15	7.47	3.85	
Close	15.53	13.49	10.27	12.12	9.42	

Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations discusses the Company's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the

reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates and judgments based on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Management believes the following critical accounting policies, among others, affect its more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company maintained allowances for doubtful accounts of approximately \$1,079,000 as of December 28, 2013, for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments and for disputed claims and quality issues. The allowance is based upon a review of outstanding receivables, historical collection information and existing economic conditions. The Company performs periodic credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and generally does not require collateral. Receivables are generally due within 30 to 60 days. Delinquent receivables are written off based on individual credit evaluations and specific circumstances of the customer.

Inventory Reserves

The Company establishes a reserve for estimated obsolete or unmarketable inventory in an amount equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and current market conditions. Based on historical results, the Company also maintains an inventory reserve to provide for the amount of estimated inventory quantity loss since the last physical inventory. As of December 28, 2013, the Company has approximately \$2,217,000 accrued for the various inventory reserves. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those estimated by management, additional inventory reserves may be required.

Environmental Reserves

As noted in Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, the Company has accrued \$626,000 as of December 28, 2013, in environmental remediation costs which, in management's best estimate, are sufficient to satisfy anticipated costs of known remediation requirements as explained in Note 5. Expenditures related to costs currently accrued are not discounted to their present values and are expected to be made over the next three to four years. However, as a result of the evolving nature of the environmental regulations, the difficulty in estimating the extent and necessary remediation of environmental contamination, and the availability and application of technology, the estimated costs for future environmental compliance and remediation are subject to uncertainties and it is not possible to predict the amount or timing of future costs of environmental matters which may subsequently be determined. Changes in information known to management or in applicable regulations may require the Company to record additional remediation reserves.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company continually reviews the recoverability of the carrying value of long-lived assets. Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment when events or changes in circumstances, also referred to as "triggering events", indicate that the carrying value of a long-lived asset or group of assets (the "Assets") may no longer be recoverable. Triggering events include: a significant decline in the market price of the Assets; a significant adverse change in the operating use or physical condition of the Assets; a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate impacting the Assets' value, including regulatory issues such as environmental actions; the generation by the Assets of historical cash flow losses combined with projected future cash flow losses; or the expectation that the Assets will be sold or disposed of significantly before the end of the useful life of the Assets. The Company concluded that there were no indications of impairment requiring further testing during the year ended December 28, 2013.

If the Company concluded that, based on its review of current facts and circumstances, there were indications of impairment, testing of the applicable Assets would be performed. The recoverability of the Assets to be held and used is tested by comparing the carrying amount of the Assets at the date of the test to the sum of the estimated future undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by those Assets over the remaining useful life of the Assets. In estimating the future undiscounted cash flows, the Company uses projections of cash flows directly associated with, and which are expected to arise as a direct result of, the use and eventual disposition of the Assets. This approach

requires significant judgments including the Company's projected net cash flows, which are derived using the most recent available estimate for the reporting unit containing the Assets tested. Several key assumptions would include periods of operation, projections of product pricing, production levels, product costs, market supply and demand, and inflation. If it is determined that the carrying amount of the Assets are not recoverable, an impairment loss would be calculated equal to the excess of the carrying amount of the Assets over their fair value. Assets classified as held for sale, if

18

any, would be recorded at the lower of their carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell. Assets to be disposed of other than by sale, if any, would be classified as held and used until the Assets are disposed or use has ceased.

Goodwill

The Company has goodwill of approximately \$1,355,000 recorded as part of its 1996 acquisition of MC, operating within the Specialty Chemicals Segment, \$1,000,000 recorded as part of its 2009 acquisition of Ram-Fab and approximately \$15,898,000 recorded as part of its 2012 acquisition of Palmer, both operating within the Metals Segment. Goodwill, which represents the excess of purchase price over fair value of net assets acquired, is tested for impairment at least on an annual basis. The initial step of the goodwill impairment test involves a comparison of the fair value of the reporting unit in which the goodwill is recorded, with its carrying amount. If the reporting unit's fair value exceeds its carrying value, no impairment loss is recognized and the second step, which is a calculation of the impairment, is not performed. However, if the reporting unit's carrying value exceeds its fair value, an impairment charge equal to the difference in the carrying value of the goodwill and the implied fair value of the goodwill is recorded. Implied fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as the amount of goodwill recognized in a business combination. That is, the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit as if it had been acquired in a business combination. The excess of the fair value of the reporting unit over the amounts allocated to assets and liabilities is the implied fair value of goodwill.

In making our determination of fair value of the reporting unit, we rely on the discounted cash flow method. This method uses projections of cash flows from the reporting unit. This approach requires significant judgments including the Company's projected net cash flows, the weighted average cost of capital ("WACC") used to discount the cash flows and terminal value assumptions. We derive these assumptions used in the testing from several sources. Many of these assumptions are derived from our internal budgets, which would include existing sales data based on current product lines and assumed production levels, manufacturing costs and product pricing. We believe that our internal forecasts are consistent with those that would be used by a potential buyer in valuing our reporting units.

The WACC rate is based on an average of the capital structure, cost of capital and inherent business risk profiles of the Company. The assumptions used in the valuation are interrelated. The continuing degree of interrelationship of these assumptions is, in and of itself, a significant assumption. Because of the interrelationships among the assumptions, we do not believe it would be meaningful to provide a sensitivity analysis on any of the individual assumptions. However, one key assumption in our valuation model is the WACC. If the WACC, which is used to discount the projected cash flows, were higher, the measure of the fair value of the net assets of the reporting unit would decrease. Conversely, if the WACC were lower, the measure of the fair value of the net assets of the reporting unit would increase. Changes in any of the Company's other estimates could also have a material effect on the estimated future undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the reporting unit's assets.

Based on the Company's goodwill impairment test in the fourth quarter of 2013, each reporting unit's fair value exceeded its carrying value, therefore no further testing was required and no impairment loss was recognized.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash flows used in operating activities during 2013 totaled \$5,542,000 while cash flows provided from operating activities during 2012 totaled \$1,635,000, a decrease in cash flows of \$7,177,000. Cash flows in 2013 were generated from net income totaling \$5,798,000 before depreciation and amortization expense of \$5,114,000 and the one-time bargain purchase gain on the purchase of CRI Tolling of \$1,077,000, net of deferred income taxes. Since the Company completed its acquisition of CRI on August 26, 2013, cash flows resulting from changes in operating assets and liabilities cannot be determined simply by subtracting 2013 balance sheet amounts from 2012 values. All acquired CRI balances represent beginning balances for cash flow purposes. Cash flows were adversely affected by a \$5,461,000 increase in net inventories in 2013. Substantially all of the increase occurred in the Metals Segment as special alloy inventory increased in support of the current special alloy backlog and inventory at Ram-Fab increased to support the higher fabrication backlog at the end of 2013. Accounts receivable increased \$2,288,000 in 2013, net of reserves, as a result of the higher Metals Segment sales activity during the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to the same period of 2012, combined with an increase in the number of days sales outstanding for the fabrication facilities. Higher inventory purchases made during the fourth quarter of 2013 increased the accounts payable balance at the end of 2013 by \$1,541,000 when compared to the 2012 year-end balance. Operating cash flows were unfavorably affected

by lower accrued expenses at the end of 2013 compared to the end of 2012 of \$2,242,000, as profit based incentives decreased \$1,876,000 reflecting lower 2013 profits, the majority of the tax liability associated with the Palmer acquisition was used in 2013 and accrued interest decreased as the line of credit was paid off during the fourth quarter of 2013.

Cash flows provided by operating activities during 2012 totaled \$1,635,000 and cash flows used in operating activities in 2011 totaled \$3,858,000, an improvement in cash flows of \$5,493,000. Cash flows in 2012 were generated from net income totaling \$7,634,000 before depreciation and amortization expense of \$3,399,000. Since the Company acquired Palmer on August 21, 2012, cash flows resulting from changes in operating assets and liabilities cannot be determined simply by subtracting 2012 balance

sheet amounts from 2011 values. The net value of all assets and liabilities acquired are shown in the "Acquisition of Palmer of Texas" line in the investing activities section of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Accordingly, these individual acquired balances represent beginning balances for Palmer cash flow purposes. Cash flows were adversely affected by a \$1,422,000 increase in inventories in 2012, as year-end balances increased, net of reserves, from \$43,063,000 at the end of 2011 for historical Company operations plus the \$5,678,000 Palmer beginning balance to \$50,163,000 at the end of 2012. Substantially all of the increase occurred in the Specialty Chemicals Segment to support higher 2013 sales projections, including the additional defoamer business acquired during 2012. Accounts payable also adversely affected cash flows by \$4,152,000 in 2012 as there were significant inventory purchases in the fourth quarter of 2011 in the Metals Segment which increased the 2011 year-end accounts payable balance combined with lower nickel surcharges included in 2012's year-end accounts payable balance. Operating cash flows were also unfavorably affected by higher other assets and liabilities, net. A receivable from the prior owners of Palmer was established in December 2012 for \$1,494,000 which resulted from the final working capital adjustment, uncollected accounts receivable and other items detailed in the SPA. This receivable was settled in January 2013.

In 2013, the Company's current assets increased \$8,689,000 and current liabilities decreased \$380,000, from the year ended 2012 amounts, which caused working capital for 2013 to increase by \$9,069,000 to \$74,988,000 from the 2012 total of \$65,919,000. The current ratio for the year ended December 28, 2013, increased to 4.0:1 from the 2012 year-end ratio of 3.6:1.

On August 26, 2013, the a subsidiary of the Company, CRI Tolling, completed the purchase of substantially all of the assets and assumed certain operating liabilities of CRI. Located in Fountain Inn, South Carolina, CRI Tolling will continue CRI's business as that of a toll manufacturer that provides outside manufacturing resources to global and regional chemical companies. The assets purchased from CRI included equipment and certain other assets and approximately \$387,000 worth of inventory and accounts receivables, net of assumed payables. The total purchase price was \$1,100,000. The Company acquired the building and land where CRI operates in a separate but related transaction on August 9, 2013 for approximately \$3,500,000. The Company viewed both the building and operating assets of CRI together as one business, capable of providing a return to ownership by expanding the segment's production capacity. Accordingly, the acquisition meets the definition of a business and the transaction is structured in a way that meets the definition of a business combination.

Due to severe financial difficulties CRI was experiencing prior to our acquisition, the Company was able to purchase the land, building and equipment at below market value. As a result of the favorable purchase price, the Company recorded a bargain purchase gain on this transaction in the third quarter of 2013 of \$1,077,000, net of deferred taxes. The Company also used cash during 2013 for investing activities to fund capital expenditures of \$5,766,000.

Financing activities during 2013 generated \$15,682,000. On September 30, 2013, the Company closed on an underwritten public offering of 2,000,000 shares of its common stock at a price of \$15.75 per share. The underwriters also exercised their option to purchase and close upon an additional 300,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$15.75 per share. The Company received net proceeds, after underwriting discounts and estimated expenses, of approximately \$34,233,000. The Company used \$18,061,000 of the net proceeds to pay off the outstanding line of credit balance during 2013. The Company also paid a \$0.26 dividend on December 3, 2013 which used \$2,260,000. The Company expects that existing cash balances, cash flows from 2013 operations and available borrowings will be sufficient to make debt payments and fund estimated 2014 capital expenditures of \$7,800,000.

On June 30, 2010, the Company entered into a Credit Agreement with a regional bank to provide a \$20,000,000 line of credit that was to expire on June 30, 2013. This agreement was amended by the bank on August 19, 2011 to extend the maturity date of the Credit Agreement by one additional year to June 30, 2014. In connection with the Palmer acquisition discussed in Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, on August 21, 2012, the Company modified the Credit Agreement to increase the limit of the credit facility by \$5,000,000 to a maximum of \$25,000,000, and extended the maturity date to August 21, 2015. On October 22, 2012, the Company modified this agreement to increase the limit by an additional \$5,000,000 to a maximum of \$30,000,000. This increase was in effect for one year and the maximum line of credit reverted back to \$25,000,000 on October 22, 2013. None of the other provisions of the Credit Agreement were changed as a result of this modification. In connection with the CRI acquisition discussed in Note 16 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item

8 of this Form 10-K, on August 26, 2013, the Company modified the Credit Agreement to fund this transaction, as detailed in the following paragraph. Interest on the Credit Agreement is calculated using the One Month LIBOR (as defined in the Credit Agreement), plus a pre-defined spread, based on the Company's Total Funded Debt to EBITDA ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement). Borrowings under the line of credit are limited to an amount equal to a borrowing base calculation that includes eligible accounts receivable, inventories and other non-capital assets. The Credit Agreement modification on August 26, 2013 provided for a new ten-year term loan in the amount of \$4,033,000, with monthly principal payments customized to account for the 20 year amortization of the real estate assets combined with a 5-year amortization of the equipment assets purchased. In conjunction with the new term loan, to mitigate the variability of interest rate risk, the Company entered into an interest rate swap contract (the "interest rate swap") on September 3, 2013. The interest rate swap is for an initial notional amount of \$4,033,250 with a fixed interest rate of 4.83% and runs for ten years to August 19, 2023,

which equates to the due date of the term loan. The notional amount of the interest rate swap decreases as monthly principal payments are made.

The Credit Agreement modification on August 21, 2012 also provided for a ten-year term loan in the amount of \$22,500,000 that requires equal monthly payments of \$187,500 plus interest. In conjunction with this term loan, to mitigate the variability of the interest rate risk, the Company entered into an interest rate swap contract on August 21, 2012 with its current bank. The interest rate swap is for an initial notional amount of \$22,500,000 with a fixed interest rate of 3.74 percent, and runs for ten years, expiring on August 21, 2022, which equates to the date of the term loan. The notional amount of the interest rate swap decreases as monthly principal payments are made.

Although the two swap agreements mentioned above are expected to effectively offset variable interest in the borrowings, hedge accounting will not be utilized. Therefore, changes in its fair value are being recorded in current assets or liabilities, as appropriate, with corresponding offsetting entries to other income (expense).

Pursuant to the Credit Agreement, the Company was required to pledge all of its tangible and intangible properties. Covenants under the Credit Agreement include maintaining a certain total funded debt to EBITDA ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement), a minimum tangible net worth, and total liabilities to tangible net worth ratio. The Company will also be limited to a maximum amount of capital expenditures per year, which is in line with the Company's currently projected needs. At December 28, 2013, the Company was in compliance with all debt covenants.

Results of Operations

Comparison of 2013 to 2012 - Consolidated

For the fiscal year ending December 28, 2013, the Company generated net earnings of \$1,761,000, or \$0.25 per share, on sales of \$220,750,000, compared to net earnings of \$4,235,000, or \$0.66 per share, on sales of \$197,659,000 in the prior year. The Company generated a net loss of \$3,078,000, or \$0.36 loss per share, on sales of \$52,244,000 in the fourth quarter of 2013, compared to net earnings of \$965,000, or \$0.15 per share, on sales of \$53,138,000 in the fourth quarter of 2012.

Consolidated gross profit decreased twelve percent to \$19,202,000 in 2013, compared to \$21,928,000 in 2012, and, as a percent of sales, decreased to nine percent of sales in 2013 compared to eleven percent of sales in 2012. For the fourth quarter of 2013, consolidated gross profit showed a loss of \$335,000, or one percent of sales, compared to a profit of \$5,893,000, or eleven percent of sales, for the fourth quarter of 2012. The decreases in dollars and in percentage of sales were attributable to the Metals Segment as discussed in the Metals Segment Comparison of 2013 to 2012 below. Consolidated selling, general and administrative expense for 2013 increased by \$3,248,000 to \$17,388,000, compared to \$14,140,000 for 2012, and was eight percent of sales for 2013, up from seven percent for 2012. For the fourth quarter, these costs increased by \$509,000 to \$4,402,000, or eight percent of sales, for 2013 compared to \$3,893,000, or seven percent of sales, for 2012. Since Palmer was acquired in late-August of 2012, only a portion of their selling, general and administrative expenses were included in the prior year. This accounted for approximately \$2,081,000 of the increased costs in 2013. The remainder of the increased costs for 2013 when compared to 2012 resulted from the receipt of the final installment of a dumping penalty in 2012, increased sales commissions, higher legal costs associated with the illegal dumping case initiated in 2013 and higher corporate travel and professional fees associated with the Palmer acquisition. These costs were partially offset by lower incentive-based bonus expense.

Comparison of 2012 to 2011 - Consolidated

For the fiscal year ending December 29, 2012, the Company generated net earnings of \$4,235,000, or \$0.66 per share, on sales of \$197,659,000, compared to net earnings of \$5,797,000, or \$0.91 per share, on sales of \$170,575,000 in the prior year. The Company generated net earnings of \$965,000, or \$0.15 per share, on sales of \$53,138,000 in the fourth quarter of 2012, compared to net earnings of \$1,017,000, or \$0.16 per share, on sales of \$40,241,000 in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Consolidated gross profit increased four percent to \$21,928,000 in 2012, compared to \$21,090,000 in 2011, and, as a percent of sales, decreased to eleven percent of sales in 2012 compared to twelve percent of sales in 2011. For the fourth quarter of 2012, consolidated gross profit was \$5,893,000, an increase of 23 percent from the fourth quarter of 2011 of \$4,783,000. Consolidated gross profit was eleven percent of sales for the fourth quarter of 2012 and twelve percent of sales for same period of 2011. The increases in dollars and in percentage of sales were attributable to the

Specialty Chemicals Segment as discussed in the Specialty Chemicals Segment Comparison of 2012 to 2011 below. Consolidated selling, general and administrative expense for 2012 increased by \$1,856,000 to \$14,140,000 compared to \$12,284,000 for 2011, and was seven percent of sales for both 2012 and 2011. These costs increased \$355,000 during the fourth quarter of 2012 compared to the same period of 2011 and decreased to seven percent of sales from nine percent of sales for the fourth quarters of 2012 and 2011, respectively. The dollar increase for both the year and fourth quarter of 2012 when compared to the same periods of 2011 resulted primarily from higher professional fees, travel and amortization connected with the Palmer acquisition, an increase in management performance-based incentives,

higher sales commissions and increased salaries and wages. These costs were partially offset by a decrease in bad debt expense for the Chemicals Segment. In addition, the Company incurred \$881,000 one-time acquisition costs associated with the Palmer acquisition in 2012. These costs were \$252,000 for the fourth quarter of 2012. All of these items will be discussed in greater detail in the respective sections below.

Metals Segment – The following table summarizes operating results and backlogs for the three years indicated.

Reference should be made to Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

(in thousands)	2013		2012		2011			
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%		
Net sales	\$ 164,232	100.0	% \$ 146,285	100.0	% \$ 127,727	100.0	%	
Cost of goods sold	154,762	94.2	% 132,596	90.6	% 112,445	88.0	%	
Gross profit	9,470	5.8	% 13,689	9.4	% 15,282	12.0	%	
Selling, general and administrative expense	10,202	6.2	% 7,551	5.2	% 6,029	4.7	%	
Operating (loss) income	\$(732)	(0.4)	% \$6,138	4.2	% \$9,253	7.3	%	
Year-end backlogs - Piping systems	\$50,752		\$19,254		\$22,743			
Tanks	\$11,477		not available		not available			

Comparison of 2013 to 2012 – Metals Segment

Sales for 2013 were \$164,232,000, up twelve percent from last year's results of \$146,285,000. The Metals Segment experienced an operating loss of \$732,000 for 2013 compared to an operating income of \$6,138,000 for 2012.

Excluding Palmer's sales results, sales for the Metals Segment for 2013 would have been two percent lower than the same period of 2012 which resulted from lower unit volumes. Sales during the fourth quarter of 2013 totaled \$37,355,000, a decrease of seven percent from \$40,051,000 for the same quarter last year. The Metals Segment had an operating loss of \$5,295,000 for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to operating income of \$1,825,000 for the fourth quarter of 2012. The Company purchased 100 percent of the issued and outstanding stock of Palmer on August 21, 2012. Excluding Palmer's sales results, sales for the fourth quarter 2013 would have been seven percent lower than the prior year. The sales decrease resulted from a six percent decrease in average selling prices combined with a one percent decrease in average unit volumes. In the fourth quarter, the Metals Segment experienced commodity unit volumes increasing nine percent while non-commodity unit volume decreased 13 percent. Selling prices for commodity pipe decreased approximately eleven percent while selling prices for non-commodity pipe increased approximately five percent. Shipments of carbon steel pipe associated with the Bechtel nuclear project dropped significantly in the fourth quarter of 2013 as the project was completed. Shipments of stainless steel pipe in the fourth quarter of 2013 continued to be constrained as distributors are maintaining lean inventory levels going into 2014. Special alloy inquiries, bookings and backlog remained strong in the fourth quarter of 2013 and we have seen increased shipments in January.

Operating income, which decreased \$6,870,000 and \$7,120,000 for the entire year and fourth quarter of 2013, respectively, when compared to the same periods of 2012, was impacted by the following factors:

- Palmer was acquired August 21, 2012. Its fourth quarter and full year results were included in the 2013 Metals Segment results while only 19 weeks of Palmer's results were included in the prior year. Fourth quarter 2013 operating income was adversely affected by a more prevalent holiday shutdown in 2013. There were approximately \$700,000 of finished tanks that could not be shipped to the customers' work sites in December 2013. The unit also
- a) incurred warranty repairs of approximately \$200,000 in the fourth quarter of 2013. Additionally, fourth quarter 2013 sales and profitability were affected by a less favorable product mix as smaller fiberglass and steel tanks were produced. The facility was nearing emission limits for the fiberglass shop which resulted in the production of smaller, lower priced, and less profitable tanks.
 - b) Associated with the acquisition of Palmer, an intangible asset of \$9,000,000 was recorded for the customer base acquired by the Company. This asset is amortized on an accelerated basis which resulted in an amortization charge

of \$1,530,000 for the entire year and \$382,000 for the fourth quarter of 2013 compared to \$540,000 of amortization for both the entire year and fourth quarter of 2012.

Pricing and margins at BRISMET during the first nine months of the year were negatively impacted by foreign imports. Stainless steel pipe imports from Malaysia, Vietnam and Thailand entered the country at significantly reduced prices. This factor forced BRISMET to reduce prices accordingly to retain market share. On May 16, 2013, c) BRISMET, along with several other domestic manufacturers of stainless steel pipe, filed an antidumping petition with the U.S. Department of Commerce ("Commerce") and the U.S. International Trade Commission ("USITC") alleging that

welded stainless steel pipe imported from Malaysia, Vietnam and Thailand was being dumped in the United States market. On June 28, 2013, the USITC determined there was a reasonable indication that a U.S. industry was materially injured by reason of imports from these three countries. All six commissioners of the USITC hearing the petition voted in favor of the petitioners in the affirmative.

On December 31, 2013, Commerce announced its affirmative preliminary determinations. Commerce determined that welded stainless pressure pipe from Malaysia, Thailand and Vietnam has been sold in the United States at dumped margins and will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to require cash deposits based on the preliminary rates calculated from the date of the preliminary ruling forward. In the case of Malaysia, they also imposed the effective date of the preliminary rates to be 90 days prior to the publication of the determination in the Federal Register. Price increases were implemented by several domestic producers in late August and early September of 2013. The Company is beginning to see higher pricing across most product categories and is optimistic that this trend will continue into 2014. Commerce is scheduled to announce its final determinations in May 2014 and the USITC will make its final injury determination in July 2014.

Profits at BRISMET were negatively impacted for the fourth quarter of 2013 by significant third party contract services associated with the Bechtel project, and an unfavorable sales mix which was heavily weighted toward less than six-inch diameter pipe that has very low to negative gross margins. Labor costs were also above targeted levels for the quarter as we were slow to bring staffing back to pre-Bechtel levels.

Relatively stable nickel prices during the last half of 2013 resulted in lower inventory losses in 2013. For 2013 and 2012, inventory losses were approximately \$3,103,000 and \$4,645,000, respectively. For the fourth quarter of 2013, inventory losses were approximately \$581,000 compared to an inventory loss of approximately \$1,150,000 in the fourth quarter of 2012.

The BristolFab unit showed a significant operating loss for the fourth quarter and entire year of 2013. As this unit ramped up labor to support the increased backlog, labor efficiencies declined by approximately 50 percent from previous levels. The large decrease in labor efficiency caused overtime to double as the unit attempted to meet customer delivery schedules and outside contractors were utilized to keep projects on track. These additional expenses put further pressure on the unit's cost structure.

Throughout the Metals Segment, production manpower was higher than optimal operating levels. In late December and early January 2014, personnel reductions were implemented across all three business units and we believe that our labor efficiencies throughout the Metals Segment will return to targeted levels in 2014.

Selling, general and administrative expense increased \$2,651,000, or 35 percent in 2013 when compared to 2012. This expense category was six percent of sales for 2013 compared to five percent of sales for 2012. The increase mainly resulted from the inclusion of Palmer expenses for the entire year of 2013 compared to a portion of 2012, receiving the final installment of a dumping penalty in 2012 and higher legal costs associated with the illegal dumping case initiated in 2013 partially offset by lower incentive-based bonus expense.

Comparison of 2012 to 2011 – Metals Segment

The Metals Segment sales increased 15 percent for 2012 as compared to 2011 primarily as a result of the addition of Palmer for 19 weeks in 2012 plus a 13 percent increase in unit volumes partially offset by an eight percent decrease in average selling prices. Sales for the fourth quarter of 2012 totaled \$40,051,000, an increase of 34 percent over 2011 results. Excluding Palmer results, sales for the fourth quarter 2012 would have been up six percent over the prior year. The fourth quarter sales increase resulted from a 13 percent increase in unit volumes partially offset by a seven percent decrease in average selling prices. Gross profit for 2012 decreased ten percent to \$13,689,000, or nine percent of sales, compared to 2011's year-end total of \$15,282,000, or twelve percent of sales. For the fourth quarter of 2012, gross profit was \$3,844,000, or ten percent of sales, an increase of twelve percent over the fourth quarter of 2011 of \$3,435,000, or twelve percent of sales. The Segment experienced operating income of \$6,138,000, a decrease of 66 percent, and \$1,780,000, down four percent, for the year and fourth quarter of 2012, respectively, compared to \$3,774,000 and \$1,863,000, respectively, for same periods of 2011.

Excluding the effect of Palmer on 2012 sales, the Metals Segment experienced a favorable product mix in 2012 with higher priced non-commodity unit volume increasing 29 percent while commodity unit volume increased four percent. The favorable product mix also affected the fourth quarter shipments, with non-commodity unit volumes

increasing 32 percent for the quarter while commodity unit volumes increased two percent. Special alloy product shipments surpassed prior year levels as a result of increased customer projects and distributor restocking. The improved unit volumes for the year and fourth quarter are also the result of increased market share in North America and strong increases in international sales.

23

Operating income for the entire year and fourth quarter of 2012 when compared to the same periods of 2011 was impacted by the following four factors:

a) Palmer was acquired August 21, 2012 and accordingly, 19 weeks and 13 weeks of their operations were included in the year and fourth quarter of 2012, respectively.

b) Associated with the acquisition of Palmer, an intangible asset of \$9,000,000 was recognized, which represents the fair value of the customer base that was acquired by the Company. This intangible asset will be amortized over a 15-year period using an accelerated amortization method. As a result of this transaction, the year and fourth quarter of 2012 includes \$540,000 of amortization expense.

c) Declining nickel prices resulted in inventory losses in the year and fourth quarter of 2012 of approximately \$4,645,000 and \$1,150,000, respectively. For the same periods last year, fluctuating nickel prices produced inventory losses of \$1,637,000 and \$870,000, respectively. As nickel prices decrease, selling prices are reduced accordingly while material costs reflect the higher priced inventory.

d) In the year and fourth quarter of 2011, operating income for the fabrication unit of our Metals Segment was favorably affected by higher unit selling prices associated with the completion of several large scale lump-sum jobs. The unit realized \$4,659,000 and \$135,000 of additional billings during the year and fourth quarter of 2011, respectively, from these completed jobs.

Demand for manufactured pipe remains relatively strong, while the fabrication unit continues to deal with excess capacity in the industry which results in margin compression and impacts our sales and profits. Margins on fabrication projects in the fourth quarter of 2012 were the lowest of the entire year.

Selling, general and administrative expense increased \$1,522,000, or 25 percent in 2012 when compared to 2011. This expense category was five percent of sales for both periods. The increase resulted from the inclusion of Palmer expenses for a portion of 2012, the amortization of Palmer's intangible asset, an additional provision in 2012 for the collectability of accounts receivable, increased sales commissions, additional salaries and wages in 2012 and higher performance-based bonuses for select segment employees. These higher expense categories were partially offset by increased employee procurement expenses in 2011 as additional sales executives were hired for the fabrication product line.

Specialty Chemicals Segment – The following tables summarize operating results for the three years indicated. Reference should be made to Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

(Amounts in thousands)	2013		2012		2011			
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%		
Net sales	\$56,518	100.0	% \$51,374	100.0	% \$42,848	100.0	%	
Cost of goods sold	46,786	82.8	% 43,134	84.0	% 37,040	86.4	%	
Gross profit	9,732	17.2	% 8,240	16.0	% 5,808	13.6	%	
Selling, general and administrative expense	3,989	7.1	% 3,397	6.6	% 3,587	8.4	%	
Operating income	\$5,743	10.2	% \$4,843	9.4	% \$2,221	5.2	%	

Comparison of 2013 to 2012 – Specialty Chemicals Segment

Specialty Chemicals Segment sales for the entire year of 2013 were \$56,518,000, up \$5,144,000 or ten percent from \$51,374,000 for 2012. Gross profit for 2013 for the Specialty Chemicals Segment was \$9,732,000, or 17 percent of sales, compared to \$8,240,000, or 16 percent of sales, for 2012, an increase of 18 percent. The additional Ashland defoamer sales which began in the third quarter of 2012 contributed to the increase in gross profit for the Segment. For the fourth quarter of 2013, Specialty Chemicals Segment's sales were \$14,888,000, which represented a 14 percent increase from \$13,087,000 for the same quarter of 2012. Overall selling prices decreased 16 percent in the fourth quarter when compared to 2012 due in part to a significant increase in usage of a lower cost raw material that is reflected in the selling price at MC and generally lower average selling prices at CRI Tolling. Gross profit for the

fourth quarter of 2013 and 2012 was \$2,588,000, or 17 percent of sales, and \$2,049,000, or 16 percent of sales, respectively, an increase of 26 percent. CRI Tolling had a positive impact on profitability during its first quarter under Company ownership. The Specialty Chemicals Segment continues to focus on changing the product mix to higher priced / higher margin products and controlling operating and support costs. At CRI Tolling, streamlining processes and improving production capabilities will be a major focus.

24

On August 26, 2013, CRI Tolling completed the purchase of substantially all of the assets and assumed certain operating liabilities of CRI. Located in Fountain Inn, South Carolina, CRI Tolling will continue CRI's business as that of a toll manufacturer that provides outside manufacturing resources to global and regional chemical companies. The assets purchased from CRI included equipment and certain other assets and approximately \$387,000 worth of inventory and accounts receivables, net of assumed payables. The total purchase price was \$1,100,000. The Company acquired the building and land where CRI operates in a separate but related transaction on August 9, 2013 for approximately \$3,500,000. The Company viewed both the building and operating assets of CRI together as one business, capable of providing a return to ownership by expanding the segment's production capacity. Accordingly, the acquisition meets the definition of a business and the transaction is structured in a way that meets the definition of a business combination under GAAP.

Due to severe financial difficulties CRI was experiencing prior to our acquisition, the Company was able to purchase the land, building and equipment at below market value. As a result of the favorable purchase price, the Company recorded a bargain purchase gain on this transaction in the third quarter of 2013 of \$1,077,000, net of deferred taxes. The Company funded the acquisition of CRI through a new term loan with the Company's bank, plus an increase in its line of credit.

Selling, general and administrative expense increased \$592,000 or 17 percent in 2013 when compared to 2012. These costs were seven percent of sales for both 2013 and 2012. The increase was due to higher sales commissions and incentive-based bonuses in 2013.

Comparison of 2012 to 2011 – Specialty Chemicals Segment

Sales for the Specialty Chemicals Segment increased 20 percent for 2012, ending the year at \$51,374,000 compared to \$42,848,000 in 2011. Pounds shipped for the year were 18 percent higher than the prior year. For the fourth quarter of 2012, sales were \$13,087,000, up 28 percent from 2011's fourth quarter sales of \$10,267,000. Pounds shipped for the fourth quarter were 28 percent higher than the same period of the prior year. The fourth quarter and annual sales increase resulted mainly from the addition of additional defoamer production for a global chemical manufacturer which began in late May 2012 and reached targeted production levels during the third quarter of 2012. Gross profit for the year was \$8,240,000, up 42 percent from the prior year amount of \$5,808,000. As a percent of sales, 2012 gross profit was 16 percent of sales and 2011 gross profit was 14 percent of sales. The fourth quarter showed gross profit of \$2,049,000, or 16 percent of sales, and \$1,336,000, or 13 percent of sales, for 2012 and 2011, respectively. Gross profit increased for the year and fourth quarter as a result of higher facility utilization associated with the additional defoamer production combined with the ability to pass along raw material price increases to our customers. Operating income for the year increased 118 percent from the prior year. Operating income for 2012 was \$4,843,000, or nine percent of sales, while 2011 recorded \$2,221,000, or five percent of sales. The segment showed operating income of \$1,102,000, or eight percent of sales, for the fourth quarter of 2012. The fourth quarter of 2011 recorded an operating loss of \$97,000, or one percent of sales. During December 2011, the segment recorded an \$817,000 charge to reserve for the potential uncollectable receivable balances for four customers. The bulk of the charge was for a customer who experienced financial difficulty during the last half of 2011. Management attempted to develop a long-term payment strategy for the customer but was never able to develop a plan suitable to both parties.

Selling, general and administrative expense decreased \$190,000 or five percent in 2012 when compared to 2011, and decreased to seven percent of sales in 2012 compared to eight percent in 2011. For the fourth quarter, selling, general and administrative expense was \$947,000 in 2012, a decrease of \$485,000 when compared to the same period of 2011. The increase in the reserve for potential uncollectable receivables in December 2011, as explained in the prior paragraph, was partially offset by higher sales commissions in 2012.

Unallocated Income and Expense

Reference should be made to Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, for the schedule that includes these items.

Comparison of 2013 to 2012 – Corporate

Corporate expenses were \$3,197,000, or one percent of sales and \$3,193,000, or two percent of sales, for 2013 and 2012, respectively. Additional costs were incurred in 2013 as the Company strengthened its IT support team (wages and travel), improved its reporting software functionality, incurred legal and travel costs associated with its follow-on

stock offering, recorded additional stock option compensation expense and increased recurring professional fees associated with the Palmer and CRI acquisitions. These increases were substantially offset by lower incentive-based bonus expense in 2013.

Acquisition related costs during 2013 reflect the accumulation of one-time expenses associated with the acquisition of CRI. For 2012, this category reflects one-time costs associated with our Palmer acquisition.

25

Interest expense 2013 was \$1,357,000 compared to \$601,000 for 2012, an increase of \$756,000. Higher interest expense for the year resulted from the additional borrowings associated with the purchase of CRI in August 2013 and Palmer in August 2012. Interest expense decreased during the fourth quarter of 2013 as the Company paid off the outstanding balance of the line of credit.

Also, as mentioned in the Specialty Chemicals Segment discussion for 2013, the acquisition of CRI resulted in a gain on bargain purchase of \$1,077,000, net of deferred taxes.

Comparison of 2012 to 2011 – Corporate

Corporate expenses for 2012 were \$3,193,000, or two percent of sales, compared to \$2,668,000, or two percent of sales for 2011. This represents an increase of \$525,000 or 20 percent. Directly as a result of the Palmer acquisition, the Company incurred higher corporate costs in 2012 for professional fees, travel and non-income related taxes and licenses of approximately \$262,000.

The Company also incurred \$881,000 of Palmer non-recurring acquisition costs during 2012. These expenditures include \$355,000 for professional audit fees associated with due diligence, preparation and audit of historical financial statements and intangible asset identification and valuation, \$337,000 related to bank fees associated with the swap agreement, \$93,000 of legal fees, \$25,000 of travel costs and other various charges of \$71,000.

Contractual Obligations and Other Commitments

As of December 28, 2013, the Company's contractual obligations and other commitments were as follows:

(Amounts in thousands)	Total	Payment Obligations for the Year Ended					
		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Thereafter
Obligations:							
Term loans	\$23,439	\$2,534	\$2,534	\$2,534	\$2,534	\$2,497	\$10,806
Interest payments	4,503	871	773	675	577	480	1,127
Contingent consideration	6,000	2,500	3,500	—	—	—	—
Operating leases	785	370	229	120	60	6	—
Purchase obligations	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Deferred compensation ⁽¹⁾	375	51	51	51	21	21	180
Total	\$35,102	\$6,326	\$7,087	\$3,380	\$3,192	\$3,004	\$12,113

⁽¹⁾ For a description of the deferred compensation obligation, see Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Current Conditions and Outlook

Management was disappointed in 2013's financial results. Starting in mid-December, we have put in place a number of initiatives across the Metals Segment to return it to its expected level of profitability. The primary focus for 2014 will be to reduce the cost structure in all of our business units, improve the product mix at both BRISMET and Palmer, continue to penetrate new markets in the Specialty Chemicals Segment, and improve our bidding process for large projects at BRISMET and Synalloy Fabrication, which includes BristolFab and Ram-Fab.

The Metals Segment's business continues to be highly dependent on its customers' capital expenditures. We expect to see gradual improvements in 2014 with increased quoting activity and new project startups. It is too early to project whether pricing will return to the more favorable levels of 2012, but we do expect solid improvement over 2013 pricing. Stainless steel surcharges for the fourth quarter of 2013 and the first two months of 2014 have been fluctuating in a fairly tight range. We do not anticipate any material declines in nickel prices from their current levels. Our inventory gains and losses are determined by a number of factors including sales mix and the holding period of particular products. As a consequence, there may not be a direct correlation between the direction of stainless steel surcharges and inventory profits or losses at a particular point in time. Our experience has been that over the course of a business cycle, this volatility has tended towards zero. We believe we are the largest and most capable domestic producer of non-commodity stainless steel pipe and an effective producer of commodity stainless steel pipe. Our market position remains strong in the commodity pipe market and we continue to see strong order activity in special alloys. Management anticipates continued strong sales of fiberglass and steel tanks at Palmer as the oil drilling expansion continues in the Permian Basin and Eagle Ford Shale areas of Texas. During 2014, we will continue to focus on gaining production efficiencies improving our product mix.

Total fabrication backlog was \$50,752,000 at December 28, 2013 and \$19,254,000 at December 29, 2012.

Management continues to address staffing levels, customer requirements and outsourcing opportunities as we work to complete the backlog, profitably and on schedule.

Specialty Chemicals Segment's sales are expected to show solid growth in 2014 as both units further penetrate new end markets with existing products. As previously discussed, the new markets will include oil and gas, agriculture and mining. The expansion of the CRI Tolling facility is on schedule with an anticipated completion date of late third quarter 2014.

Item 7A Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks

The Company is exposed to market risks from adverse changes in interest rates. Changes in United States interest rates affect the interest earned on the Company's cash and cash equivalents as well as interest paid on its indebtedness. Except as described below, the Company does not engage in speculative or leveraged transactions, nor does it hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes. The Company is exposed to changes in interest rates primarily as a result of its borrowing activities used to maintain liquidity and fund business operations.

Fair value of the Company's debt obligations, which approximated the recorded value, consisted of:

At December 28, 2013

\$19,500,000 under a term loan expiring August 21, 2022 with a variable interest rate of 2.41 percent.

An interest rate swap contract with a notional amount of \$19,500,000 which fixes the term loan interest rate at 3.74 percent. The fair value of the interest rate swap contract was an asset to the Company of \$301,000.

\$3,939,000 under a term loan expiring August 19, 2023 with a variable interest rate of 2.17 percent.

An interest rate swap contract with a notional amount of \$3,939,000 which fixes the term loan interest rate at 4.83 percent. The fair value of this interest rate swap contract was a liability to the Company of \$80,000.

At December 29, 2012

\$18,061,000 under a \$30,000,000 revolving line of credit expiring on August 21, 2015 with a variable interest rate of 2.21 percent.

\$21,750,000 under a term loan expiring August 21, 2022 with a variable interest rate of 2.49 percent.

An interest rate swap contract with a notional amount of \$21,750,000 which fixes the term loan interest rate at 3.74 percent. The fair value of the interest rate swap contract was a liability to the Company of \$450,000.

Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The Company's consolidated financial statements, related notes, report of management and report of the independent registered public accounting firm follow on subsequent pages of this report.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

As of December 28, 2013 and December 29, 2012

	2013	2012
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$1,776,763	\$1,085,261
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,079,288 and \$1,312,715 respectively	34,089,364	31,177,526
Inventories, net		
Raw materials	16,557,350	13,975,628
Work-in-process	20,402,032	13,773,037
Finished goods	18,897,421	22,414,727
Total inventories	55,856,803	50,163,392
Deferred income taxes	3,776,647	2,981,439
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	4,111,775	5,514,530
Total current assets	99,611,352	90,922,148
Cash value of life insurance	2,007,419	2,549,220
Property, plant and equipment, net	35,883,376	28,034,930
Goodwill	18,252,678	18,252,678
Intangible asset, net	6,930,000	8,460,000
Deferred charges, net and other non-current assets	575,546	287,564
Total assets	\$163,260,371	\$148,506,540
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$2,533,908	\$2,274,054
Accounts payable	12,430,290	10,523,788
Accrued expenses	9,511,528	12,083,499
Current portion of environmental reserves	147,500	122,000
Total current liabilities	24,623,226	25,003,341
Long-term debt, less current portion	20,904,708	37,593,309
Long-term environmental reserves	478,500	518,000
Long-term deferred compensation	219,794	263,872
Long-term contingent consideration	3,362,031	5,708,831
Deferred income taxes	7,573,999	7,645,119
Shareholders' equity		
Common stock, par value \$1 per share - authorized 12,000,000 shares; issued 10,300,000 shares and 8,000,000 shares, respectively	10,300,000	8,000,000
Capital in excess of par value	33,657,714	1,398,612
Retained earnings	76,337,597	76,836,761
	120,295,311	86,235,373

Edgar Filing: GENWORTH FINANCIAL INC - Form DEFM14A

Less cost of common stock in treasury: 1,612,200 and 1,643,267 shares, respectively	14,197,198	14,461,305
Total shareholders' equity	106,098,113	71,774,068
Commitments and contingencies – See Note 11		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 163,260,371	\$ 148,506,540

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

28

Consolidated Statements of Operations

Years ended December 28, 2013, December 29, 2012 and December 31, 2011

	2013	2012	2011
Net sales	\$220,749,554	\$197,658,874	\$170,575,298
Cost of sales	201,547,470	175,730,511	149,485,455
Gross profit	19,202,084	21,928,363	21,089,843
Selling, general and administrative expense	17,387,857	14,140,355	12,284,478
Operating income	1,814,227	7,788,008	8,805,365
Other (income) and expense			
Interest expense	1,357,328	600,893	140,784
Acquisition related costs	264,186	880,583	—
Change in fair value of interest rate swap	(740,832) 113,648	—
Gain on bargain purchase, net of taxes	(1,077,332) —	—
Other, net	(147,687) (148,028) (85,579
Income before income taxes	2,158,564	6,340,912	8,750,160
Provision for income taxes	398,000	2,106,000	2,953,000
Net income	\$1,760,564	\$4,234,912	\$5,797,160
Net income per common share:			
Basic	\$0.25	\$0.67	\$0.92
Diluted	\$0.25	\$0.66	\$0.91

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

29

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity

	Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value	Retained Earnings	Cost of Common Stock in Treasury	Total
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$8,000,000	\$942,707	\$69,981,395	\$(15,049,001)	\$63,875,101
Net income	—	—	5,797,160	—	5,797,160
Payment of dividends, \$0.25 per share	—	—	(1,580,404)	—	(1,580,404)
Issuance of 18,280 shares of common stock from the treasury	—	(72,247)	—	160,835	88,588
Stock options exercised for 18,155 shares, net	—	6,876	—	155,027	161,903
Employee stock option and grant compensation	—	276,553	—	—	276,553
Balance at December 31, 2011	8,000,000	1,153,889	74,198,151	(14,733,139)	68,618,901
Net income	—	—	4,234,912	—	4,234,912
Payment of dividends, \$0.25 per share	—	—	(1,596,302)	—	(1,596,302)
Issuance of 19,089 shares of common stock from the treasury	—	(113,071)	—	167,990	54,919
Stock options exercised for 11,800 shares, net	—	20,044	—	103,844	123,888
Employee stock option and grant compensation	—	337,750	—	—	337,750
Balance at December 29, 2012	8,000,000	1,398,612	76,836,761	(14,461,305)	71,774,068
Net income	—	—	1,760,564	—	1,760,564
Payment of dividends, \$0.26 per share	—	—	(2,259,728)	—	(2,259,728)
Issuance of 17,572 shares of common stock from the treasury	—	(33,545)	—	154,741	121,196
Stock options exercised for 13,495 shares, net	—	28,660	—	109,366	138,026
Employee stock option and grant compensation	—	331,362	—	—	331,362
Issuance of 2,300,000 shares of common stock	2,300,000	31,932,625	—	—	34,232,625
Balance at December 28, 2013	\$10,300,000	\$33,657,714	\$76,337,597	\$(14,197,198)	\$106,098,113

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Years ended December 28, 2013, December 29, 2012 and December 31, 2011

	2013	2012	2011
Operating activities			
Net income	\$1,760,564	\$4,234,912	\$5,797,160
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation expense	3,516,374	2,831,718	2,631,864
Amortization expense	1,597,578	567,693	26,958
Deferred income taxes	(1,467,068)	53,697	121,192
Bargain gain on acquisition of Color Resources, LLC, net of taxes	(1,077,332)	—	—
(Reduction of) provision for losses on accounts receivable	(231,230)	106,883	792,719
Provision for (reduction of) losses on inventories	169,810	484,070	(599,981)
Loss (gain) on sale of property, plant and equipment	2,695	(76,184)	198
Cash value of life insurance	(161,530)	(190,996)	(62,864)
Change in fair value of interest rate swap	(740,832)	113,648	—
Environmental reserves	(14,000)	—	(296,456)
Issuance of treasury stock for director fees	127,989	99,995	78,704
Employee stock option and grant compensation	331,362	337,750	276,553
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(2,057,069)	246,899	(7,402,098)
Inventories	(5,630,450)	(1,906,355)	(8,110,000)
Other assets and liabilities, net	(315,099)	(1,668,773)	(973,550)
Accounts payable	1,540,605	(4,151,832)	2,369,076
Accrued expenses	(2,171,957)	1,195,374	1,806,371
Accrued income taxes	(722,208)	(643,636)	(313,626)
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(5,541,798)	1,634,863	(3,857,780)
Investing activities			
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(5,766,091)	(4,739,728)	(3,185,129)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	141,646	153,850	31,490
Acquisition of Palmer of Texas	—	(27,895,209)	—
Cash received from Palmer of Texas acquisition	—	1,389,054	—
Acquisition of Color Resources, LLC	(4,527,762)	—	—
Proceeds from life insurance settlement	703,331	734,206	—
Net cash used in investing activities	(9,448,876)	(30,357,827)	(3,153,639)
Financing activities			
Net (payments on) borrowings from line of credit	(18,060,894)	9,410,463	8,431,156
Borrowings from long-term debt	4,033,250	22,500,000	—
Payments on long-term debt	(2,401,103)	(759,962)	—
Proceeds from notes receivable	—	20,000	—
Proceeds from sale of common stock	34,232,625	—	—
Proceeds from exercised stock options	138,026	123,888	161,903
Dividends paid	(2,259,728)	(1,596,302)	(1,580,404)
Net cash provided by financing activities	15,682,176	29,698,087	7,012,655
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	691,502	975,123	1,236
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	1,085,261	110,138	108,902
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$1,776,763	\$1,085,261	\$110,138

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business

Synalloy Corporation, a Delaware corporation, was incorporated in 1958 as the successor to a chemical manufacturing business founded in 1945. Its charter is perpetual. The name was changed on July 31, 1967 from Blackman Uhler Industries, Inc. On June 3, 1988, the state of incorporation was changed from South Carolina to Delaware. The Company's executive offices are located at 775 Spartan Boulevard, Suite 102, Spartanburg, South Carolina 29301 and 4301 Dominion Boulevard, Suite 130, Glen Allen, Virginia 23060.

The Company's business is divided into two segments, the Metals Segment and the Specialty Chemicals Segment. The Metals Segment operates as BRISMET, BristolFab, Ram-Fab and Palmer. BRISMET manufactures pipe, BristolFab fabricates piping systems from stainless and carbon steel and other alloys, Ram-Fab fabricates piping systems from chrome, stainless and carbon steel and other alloys, and Palmer manufactures liquid storage solutions and separation equipment. The Specialty Chemicals Segment operates as Manufacturers Chemicals and CRI Tolling and produces specialty chemicals.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries, all of which are wholly owned. The Metals Segment is comprised of three wholly-owned subsidiaries: Synalloy Metals, Inc. which owns 100 percent of Bristol Metals, LLC, located in Bristol, Tennessee; Ram-Fab, LLC, located in Crossett, Arkansas and Palmer of Texas Tanks, Inc, located in Andrews, Texas. The Specialty Chemicals Segment consists of two wholly-owned subsidiaries: Manufacturers Soap and Chemical Company which owns 100 percent of Manufacturers Chemicals, LLC, located in Cleveland, Tennessee and Dalton, Georgia and CRI Tolling, LLC, located in Fountain Inn, South Carolina. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

Accounting Period

The Company's fiscal year is the 52 or 53 week period ending the Saturday nearest to December 31. Fiscal year 2013 ended on December 28, 2013, fiscal year 2012 ended on December 29, 2012 and fiscal year 2011 ended on December 31, 2011, each year having 52 weeks.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains cash balances at financial institutions with strong credit ratings.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable from the sale of products are recorded at net realizable value and the Company generally grants credit to customers on an unsecured basis. Substantially all of the Company's accounts receivables are due from companies located throughout the United States. The Company provides an allowance for doubtful collections and for disputed claims and quality issues. The allowance is based upon a review of outstanding receivables, historical collection information and existing economic conditions. The Company performs periodic credit evaluations of its customers' financial condition and generally does not require collateral. Receivables are generally due within 30 to 60 days. Delinquent receivables are written off based on individual credit evaluations and specific circumstances of the customer.

Included in the stock purchase agreement (the "SPA") of Palmer, the sellers guaranteed the collectability of the acquired accounts receivable. Per the SPA, at 120 days after the acquisition date, an allowance for doubtful accounts was established for all open, pre-acquisition receivables of \$821,000, with an offsetting increase in the amount due from the sellers during the year ended December 29, 2012. Subsequent collections on these accounts by the Company will be reimbursed to the sellers.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined by the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") method. The Company writes down its inventory for estimated obsolescence or unmarketable inventory equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and current market conditions. Based upon historical results, the Company also maintains an inventory reserve to provide

for the amount of estimated inventory quantity

32

loss since the last physical inventory. As of December 28, 2013 and December 29, 2012, inventories have been reduced by \$2,217,000 and \$2,383,000, respectively, for obsolescence, market and physical inventory reserves.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is provided on the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the assets. Land improvements and buildings are depreciated over a range of ten to 40 years, and machinery, fixtures and equipment are depreciated over a range of three to 20 years. The costs of software licenses are amortized over five years using the straight-line method. The Company continually reviews the recoverability of the carrying value of long-lived assets. The Company also reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. When the future undiscounted cash flows of the operation to which the assets relate do not exceed the carrying value of the asset, the assets are written down to fair value.

Goodwill, Intangible Assets and Deferred Charges

Goodwill, arising from the excess of purchase price over fair value of net assets of businesses acquired, is not amortized but is reviewed annually in the fourth quarter for impairment. Intangible assets represents the fair value of intellectual, non-physical assets resulting from a business acquisition. Deferred charges represent other intangible assets such as debt service costs. Intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives using an accelerated method. Deferred charges are amortized over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method. Deferred charges are amortized over a period ranging from 3 to 10 years and intangible assets are amortized over a period of 15 years. Deferred charges and intangible assets totaled \$9,407,000 and \$9,353,000 at December 28, 2013 and December 29, 2012, respectively. Accumulated amortization of deferred charges and intangible assets as of December 28, 2013 and December 29, 2012 totaled \$2,203,000 and \$605,000, respectively. Estimated amortization expense for the next five fiscal years based on existing deferred charges and intangible assets is: 2014 - \$1,362,000, 2015 - \$1,168,000, 2016 - \$999,000; 2017 - \$870,000; 2018 - \$706,000; and thereafter - \$2,099,000. The Company recorded amortization expense of \$1,598,000, \$568,000 and \$27,000 for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue from product sales is recognized at the time ownership of goods transfers to the customer and the earnings process is complete, which is typically on the date the inventory is shipped to the customer.

Shipping Costs

Shipping costs of approximately \$4,871,000, \$3,445,000 and \$3,088,000 in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, are recorded in cost of goods sold.

Research and Development Expenses

The Company incurred research and development expense of approximately \$558,000, \$612,000 and \$352,000 in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Earnings Per Share of Common Stock

Earnings per share of common stock are computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each period. See Note 12.

Fair Value Disclosures

The Company makes estimates of fair value in accounting for certain transactions, in testing and measuring impairment, and in providing disclosures of fair value in its consolidated financial instruments. The Company determines the fair values of its financial instruments for disclosure purposes by maximizing the use of observable inputs and minimizing the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Fair value disclosures for assets and liabilities are grouped in three levels. The levels prioritize the inputs used to measure the fair value of the assets or liabilities. These levels are:

Level 1 - Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for assets and liabilities, either directly or indirectly. These inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets or quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are less active.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity for assets or liabilities and includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques.

Estimates of fair value using levels 2 and 3 may require judgments as to the timing and amount of cash flows, discount rates, and other factors requiring significant judgment, and the outcomes may vary widely depending on the selection of these assumptions. The Company's most significant fair value estimates in 2013 and 2012 related to purchase accounting adjustments in the CRI and Palmer acquisitions, including the measurement of the contingent consideration, estimating the fair value of the reporting units in testing goodwill for impairment, estimating the fair value of the interest rate swaps, and providing disclosures of the fair values of financial instruments.

As of December 28, 2013 and December 29, 2012, the carrying amount for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and borrowings under the Company's line of credit and term loan, which are based on variable interest rates, approximates their fair value.

The Company does not currently have any Level 1 financial assets or liabilities. The Company has three Level 2 financial assets and liabilities. Cash value of life insurance had a fair value of \$2,007,000 and \$2,549,000 at December 28, 2013 and December 29, 2012, respectively. The fair value of the life insurance policies was determined by the underwriting insurance company's valuation models and represents the guaranteed value the Company would receive upon surrender of these policies. Changes in the policies' fair value were recorded in non-current assets with corresponding offsetting entries to selling, general and administrative expense. Also, the fair value of the Palmer swap was an asset of \$301,000 and a liability of \$450,000 at December 28, 2013 and December 29, 2012, respectively. The fair value of the CRI swap was a liability of \$80,000 at December 28, 2013. The interest rate swaps were priced using discounted cash flow techniques which are corroborated by using non-binding market prices. Changes in the swaps' fair value were recorded in current assets or liabilities, as appropriate, with corresponding offsetting entries to other income (expense). Significant inputs to the discounted cash flow model include projected future cash flows based on projected one-month LIBOR and the average margin for companies with similar credit ratings and similar maturities. These are classified as Level 2 as they are not actively traded and are valued using pricing models that use observable market inputs.

The contingent consideration payments, discussed in Note 16, are classified as Level 3. The amount of the total earn-out liability to the prior owners was determined using management's best estimate of Palmer's earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") for the three-year earn-out period which will determine the amount of the ultimate payment to be made. Factors such as volume increases, selling price increases and inflation were used to develop a base projection. The Company believes additional costs will be required to improve employee turnover, safety, internal controls, etc. These estimated costs were deducted in order to determine projected EBITDA. The Company's current cost of borrowing was used to determine the present value of these expected payments. Each quarter-end, the Company re-evaluates their assumptions and adjustments to the estimated present value of the expected payments to be made, if required.

The following table presents a summary of changes in fair value of the Company's Level 3 liabilities measured on a recurring basis for 2013 and 2012:

	Level 3 Inputs
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$—
Present value contingent consideration liability associated with the Palmer acquisition	8,152,031
Interest expense charged during the year	56,800
Change in fair value of contingent consideration liability	—
Balance at December 29, 2012	8,208,831
Interest expense charged during the year	153,200
Change in fair value of contingent consideration liability	—
Payment to Palmer sellers	(2,500,000)
Balance at December 28, 2013	\$5,862,031

There were no transfers of assets or liabilities between Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3 in the years ended December 28, 2013 or December 29, 2012. There have also been no changes in the fair value methodologies used by the Company.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions, primarily for

testing goodwill for impairment, determining proper period-end balances for certain employee benefit accruals, estimating fair value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed as a result of business acquisitions and for establishing reserves on accounts receivable,

inventories and environmental issues, that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash deposits, trade accounts receivable and cash surrender value of life insurance. The cash surrender value of life insurance is the contractual amount on policies maintained with one insurance company. The Company performs a periodic evaluation of the relative credit standing of this company as it relates to the insurance industry.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. These reclassifications had no material effect on previously reported results of operations or shareholders' equity.

Subsequent Events

Management has evaluated subsequent events through the date of filing this Form 10-K.

Note 2 Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following:

	2013	2012
Land	\$912,213	\$732,213
Land improvements	713,545	707,286
Buildings	21,082,454	16,225,324
Machinery, fixtures and equipment	52,381,064	47,588,233
Construction-in-progress	5,023,108	3,748,831
	80,112,384	69,001,887
Less accumulated depreciation	44,229,008	40,966,957
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$35,883,376	\$28,034,930

The Company recorded depreciation expense of \$3,516,000, \$2,832,000, and \$2,632,000 for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Note 3 Long-term Debt

	2013	2012
\$ 25,000,000 Revolving line of credit, due August 21, 2015	\$—	\$18,060,894
\$ 22,500,000 Term loan, due August 21, 2022	19,500,000	21,750,000
\$4,033,250 Mortgage, due August 19, 2023	3,938,616	—
Vehicle loan	—	56,469
	23,438,616	39,867,363
Less current portion	2,533,908	2,274,054
Long-term debt, less current portion	\$20,904,708	\$37,593,309

On June 30, 2010, the Company entered into a Credit Agreement with a regional bank to provide a \$20,000,000 line of credit that was to expire on June 30, 2013. This agreement was amended by the bank on August 19, 2011 to extend the maturity date of the Credit Agreement by one additional year to June 30, 2014. In connection with the Palmer acquisition discussed in Note 16, on August 21, 2012, the Company modified the Credit Agreement to increase the limit of the credit facility by \$5,000,000 to a maximum of \$25,000,000, and extended the maturity date to August 21, 2015. On October 22, 2012, the Company modified this agreement to increase the limit by an additional \$5,000,000 to a maximum of \$30,000,000. This increase was in effect for one year and the maximum line of credit reverted back to \$25,000,000 on October 22, 2013. None of the other provisions of the Credit Agreement were changed as a result of this modification. Interest on the Credit Agreement is calculated using the One Month

LIBOR (as defined in the Credit Agreement), plus a pre-defined spread, based on the Company's Total Funded Debt to EBITDA ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement). Borrowings under the line of credit are limited to an amount equal to a borrowing base calculation that includes eligible accounts receivable, inventories and other non-capital assets.

The Credit Agreement modification on August 21, 2012 also provided for a ten-year term loan in the amount of \$22,500,000 that requires equal monthly payments of \$187,500 plus interest. In conjunction with the new term loan, to mitigate the variability of the interest rate risk, the Company entered into an interest rate swap contract (the "Palmer swap") on August 21, 2012 with its current bank. The Palmer swap was for an initial notional amount of \$22,500,000 with a fixed interest rate of 3.74 percent, and a term of ten years, expiring on August 21, 2022, which is consistent with the maturity of the term loan. The notional amount of the interest rate swap decreases as monthly principal payments are made.

In connection with acquisition of CRI, discussed in Note 16, on August 9, 2013 the Company amended its Credit Agreement for a new ten-year term loan in the amount of \$4,033,250, with monthly principal payments customized to account for the 20 year amortization of the real estate assets combined with a 5-year amortization of the equipment assets purchased. In conjunction with the new term loan, to mitigate the variability of interest rate risk, the Company entered into an interest rate swap contract (the "CRI swap") on September 3, 2013. The CRI swap was for an initial notional amount of \$4,033,250 with a fixed interest rate of 4.83 percent and runs for ten years to August 19, 2023, which equates to the due date of the term loan. The notional amount of the interest rate swap decreases as monthly principal payments are made.

Although both swap agreements are expected to effectively offset variable interest in the borrowings, hedge accounting will not be utilized. Therefore, changes in their fair value are being recorded in current assets or liabilities, as appropriate, with corresponding offsetting entries to other income (expense). The Company recorded a \$301,000 asset and a \$450,000 liability for the fair value of the Palmer swap as of December 28, 2013 and December 29, 2012, respectively. During 2012, a portion of the initial change in fair value on the Palmer swap was deemed to be attributable to a cost of underwriting the term loan obtained for the Palmer acquisition, therefore \$337,000 of the total change in fair value was classified as an acquisition cost, and the remainder as other income (expense). As of December 28, 2013, the Company recorded an \$80,000 liability for the fair value of the CRI swap. During 2013, a portion of the initial change in fair value on the CRI swap was deemed to be attributable to a cost of underwriting the term loan obtained for the CRI Tolling acquisition; therefore \$70,000 of the total change in fair value was classified as an acquisition cost, and the remainder as other income (expense). In future periods, the change in fair value will be charged or credited to other income or expense.

Pursuant to the Credit Agreement, the Company was required to pledge all of its tangible and intangible properties, including the acquired assets of Palmer and CRI. Covenants under the Credit Agreement include maintaining a certain Total Funded Debt to EBITDA ratio (as defined in the Credit Agreement), a minimum tangible net worth, and total liabilities to tangible net worth ratio. The Company will also be limited to a maximum amount of capital expenditures per year, which is in line with the Company's currently projected needs. At December 28, 2013, the Company was in compliance with all debt covenants.

The line of credit interest rates were 2.16 percent, 2.21 percent, and 1.78 percent at December 28, 2013, December 29, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively. Additionally, the Company is required to pay a fee equal to 0.125 percent on the average daily unused amount of the line of credit on a quarterly basis. No amounts were borrowed on the line of credit as of December 28, 2013 resulting in \$25,000,000 available for borrowing under the line of credit at December 28, 2013. Average line of credit borrowings outstanding during fiscal 2013, 2012 and 2011 were \$19,860,000, \$11,045,000 and \$5,663,000 with weighted average interest rates of 1.74 percent, 1.82 percent and 1.73 percent, respectively. The average borrowings for 2013 was determined based on the period the Company had an outstanding balance on the line of credit, which was completely paid in October 2013.

The Company also had one vehicle loan with a bank that was acquired with the acquisition of Palmer (Note 16) and was paid in full during 2013. Monthly installments of \$2,039 including principal and interest were due on the loan, expiring April 16, 2015. The interest rate on the vehicle loan was fixed at 0.90 percent. The vehicle loan was secured by the vehicle.

Scheduled maturities of total long-term debt obligations are as follows: 2014 - \$2,534,000; 2015 - \$2,534,000; 2016 - \$2,534,000; 2017 - \$2,534,000; 2018 - \$2,497,000; and thereafter - \$10,806,000.
The Company made interest payments on all credit facilities of \$1,202,000 in 2013, \$492,000 in 2012 and \$114,000 in 2011.

Note 4 Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

	2013	2012
Salaries, wages and commissions	\$1,265,178	\$3,275,685
Current portion of contingent consideration	2,500,000	2,500,000
Advances from customers	1,826,510	2,015,246
Insurance	1,229,440	1,008,434
Taxes, other than income taxes	836,640	1,600,762
Benefit plans	530,603	260,810
Interest	31,015	482,503
Professional fees	302,304	259,933
Interest rate swap liability	80,498	450,248
Current portion of deferred compensation	51,000	71,000
Other accrued items	858,340	158,878
Total accrued expenses	\$9,511,528	\$12,083,499

Note 5 Environmental Compliance Costs

At December 28, 2013 and December 29, 2012, the Company had accrued \$626,000 and \$640,000, respectively, for remediation costs which, in management's best estimate, is sufficient to satisfy anticipated costs of known remediation requirements as outlined below. Expenditures related to costs currently accrued are not discounted to their present values and are expected to be made over the next three to four years. As a result of the evolving nature of the environmental regulations, the difficulty in estimating the extent and remedy of environmental contamination, and the availability and application of technology, the estimated costs for future environmental compliance and remediation are subject to uncertainties and it is not possible to predict the amount or timing of future costs of environmental matters which may subsequently be determined.

Prior to 1987, the Company utilized certain products at its chemical facilities that are currently classified as hazardous materials. Testing of the groundwater in the areas of the former wastewater treatment impoundments at these facilities disclosed the presence of certain contaminants. In addition, several solid waste management units ("SWMUs") at the plant sites have been identified. In 1998, the Company completed a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") Facility Investigation at its Spartanburg, SC plant site, and based on the results, completed a Corrective Measures Study in 2000. A Corrective Measures Plan specifying remediation procedures to be performed was submitted in 2000 and the Company received regulatory approval. In prior years, remediation projects were completed to clean up all 14 SWMUs on the Spartanburg plant site at a cost of approximately \$968,000. On October 2, 2009, the Company entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement and sold the Spartanburg facilities. As part of the Agreement, the purchaser agreed to assume any and all future unidentified environmental liabilities at the site and pay all future annual monitoring and reporting costs required by the RCRA permit covering the site. The Company has completed all of the RCRA-Permit required cleanup projects.

At the former Augusta, GA plant site, the Company submitted a Baseline Risk Assessment and Corrective Measures Plan for regulatory approval. A Closure and Post-Closure Care Plan was submitted and approved in 2001 for the closure of the surface impoundment (former regulated unit). The Company completed and certified closure of the surface impoundment during 2002. During 2005, the Company completed a preliminary analysis of remedial alternatives to eliminate direct contact with surface soils based on the Baseline Risk Assessment. In 2011, the Company identified a concentration of soil contamination. With the approval of the Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Division ("EPD"), the affected soil was removed and the section of the property was backfilled with clean fill material plus selected chemicals to clean any impurities left behind. Based upon the soil remediation performed, the Company filed a Site-Wide Corrective Action Plan with the EPD in December 2011 to terminate the RCRA Permit. The Company has accrued \$551,000 and \$565,000 at December 28, 2013 and December 29, 2012, respectively, for estimated future remedial and cleanup costs. As part of the Asset Purchase Agreement for the Spartanburg facility, the purchaser also agreed to pay for all future annual monitoring and reporting

costs at the Augusta facility required by the EPD.

The Company has identified and evaluated two SWMUs at its plant in Bristol, Tennessee that revealed residual groundwater contamination. An Interim Corrective Measures Plan to address the final area of contamination identified was submitted for regulatory approval and was approved in March 2005. The Company had \$75,000 accrued at December 28, 2013 and December 29, 2012, to provide for estimated future remedial and cleanup costs.

37

The Company has been designated, along with others, as a potentially responsible party under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, or comparable state statutes, at two waste disposal sites. Notifications for these two sites were received by the Company in November 2007 and February 2008. The site represented by the November 2007 notification was settled during the current year. The Company was not named in the final settlement and was not required to make any payments. It is impossible to determine the ultimate costs related to the remaining site due to several factors such as the unknown possible magnitude of possible contamination, the unknown timing and extent of the corrective actions which may be required, and the determination of the Company's liability in proportion to the other parties. At the present time, the Company does not have sufficient information to form an opinion as to whether it has any liability, or the amount of such liability, if any. However, it is reasonably possible that some liability exists.

The Company was also named as one of many potentially responsible parties in a Superfund Site brought by the United States Environmental Protection Agency. Notification for this site was received on September 13, 2010. The Company qualified for a special de minimis party settlement at this site and upon payment of approximately \$2,000, was able to be released from further consideration.

The Company does not anticipate any insurance recoveries to offset the environmental remediation costs it has incurred. Due to the uncertainty regarding court and regulatory decisions, and possible future legislation or rulings regarding the environment, many insurers will not cover environmental impairment risks, particularly in the chemical industry. Hence, the Company has been unable to obtain this coverage at an affordable price.

Note 6 Deferred Compensation

The Company has deferred compensation agreements with certain former officers providing for payments for the longer of ten years or life from age 65. The present value of such vested future payments, \$271,000 at December 28, 2013 and \$335,000 at December 29, 2012, has been accrued.

Note 7 Stock Options, Stock Grants and New Stock Issues

A summary of activity in the Company's stock option plans is as follows:

	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Contractual Term (in years)	Intrinsic Value of Options	Options Available
At January 1, 2011	\$9.13	44,000	3.6	\$131,670	—
2011 option plan					350,000
Granted January 24, 2011	\$11.55	100,000			(100,000)
Exercised	\$9.15	(19,200)			
Canceled / Expired	\$9.96	(4,000)			—
At December 31, 2011	\$11.28	120,800	8.0	\$6,448	250,000
Granted February 9, 2012	\$11.35	36,740			(36,740)
Granted August 21, 2012	\$12.73	75,000			(75,000)
Exercised	\$10.50	(11,800)			
Canceled / Expired	\$—	—			—
At December 29, 2012	\$11.82	220,740	8.4	\$367,937	138,260
Granted February 7, 2013	\$13.70	40,594			(40,594)
Exercised	\$10.69	(15,247)			
Canceled / Expired	\$12.70	(83,351)			83,351
At December 28, 2013	\$11.95	162,736	7.5	582,894	181,017
Exercisable options	\$11.26	40,591	6.8	\$173,518	
Options expected to vest:				Grant Date Fair Value	
At December 31, 2011	\$11.55	100,000	9.1	\$7.93	
Granted February 9, 2012	\$11.35	36,740		\$5.03	
Granted August 21, 2012	\$12.73	75,000		\$5.44	
Vested	\$11.55	(20,000)			
At December 29, 2012	\$11.97	191,740	8.9	\$6.40	
Granted February 7, 2013	\$13.70	40,594		\$6.30	
Vested	\$11.49	(27,347)			
Forfeited unvested options	\$12.71	(82,842)			
At December 28, 2013	\$12.18	122,145	7.8	\$7.19	

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 28, 2013:

Range of Exercise Prices	Outstanding Stock Options			Exercisable Stock Options	
	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Remaining Contractual Life in Years	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$9.96	5,000	\$9.96	1.09	5,000	\$9.96
\$11.55	91,000	\$11.55	7.07	16,000	\$11.55
\$11.35	30,037	\$11.35	8.12	19,591	\$11.35
\$13.70	36,699	\$13.70	9.11	—	
	162,736			40,591	

On January 21, 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted the 2011 Long-Term Incentive Stock Option Plan (the "2011 Plan") which was approved by the shareholders at the April 28, 2011 Annual Meeting. The 2011 Plan authorizes the issuance of incentive options for up to 350,000 shares of the Company's common stock. All shares granted under this plan may be exercised beginning one year after the date of the grant at a rate of 20 percent annually on a cumulative basis and unexercised options expire ten years from the grant date.

The Company granted options to purchase 100,000 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$11.55 to its CEO on January 24, 2011. The per share weighted-average fair value of the stock options granted during 2011 was \$7.93. The Black-Scholes model for this grant was based on a risk-free interest rate of 3.34 percent, an expected life of seven years, an expected volatility of 0.49 and a dividend yield of 2.10 percent.

On February 9, 2012, the Company granted options to purchase 36,740 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$11.35 to participants in the 2011 Plan and an additional 75,000 options were granted on August 21, 2012 to the President of Palmer in connection with his employment agreement with the Company at an exercise price of \$12.73. The fair value of the option grants were \$5.03 and \$5.44, respectively. The fair value of the grants were estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model based on a risk-free interest rate of 2.04 percent and 1.80 percent, respectively, an expected volatility of 0.53 and 0.51, respectively, with both grants using an expected life of seven years and a dividend yield of 2.10 percent.

On February 7, 2013, the Company granted options to purchase 40,594 shares of its common stock at an exercise price of \$13.70 per share to participants in the 2011 Plan. The stock options will vest in 20 percent increments annually on a cumulative basis, beginning one year after the date of grant. In order for the options to vest, the employee must be in the continuous employment of the Company since the date of the grant. Any portion of the grant that has not vested will be forfeited upon termination of employment. The Company may terminate any portion of the grant that has not vested upon an employee's failure to comply with all conditions of the award or the 2011 Plan. Shares representing grants that have not yet vested will be held in escrow by the Company. An employee will not be entitled to any voting rights with respect to any shares not yet vested, and the shares are not transferable. The per share weighted-average fair value of this stock option grant was \$6.30. The Black-Scholes model for this grant was based on a risk-free interest rate of 2.00 percent, an expected life of seven years, an expected volatility of 0.53 and a dividend yield of 1.80 percent.

Prior to the 2011 Plan, the Company had two stock option plans, neither of which have options available for future issuance after April 30, 2008. Under the 1998 Plan covering officers and key employees, options may be exercised beginning one year after date of grant at a rate of 20 percent annually on a cumulative basis, and unexercised options expire ten years from the grant date. Under the 1994 Non-Employee Directors' Plan, options were exercisable at the date of grant. Shares issued under both stock option plans come from shares held in treasury with the Company. The 2011 Plan and the 1998 Plan are incentive stock option plans, therefore there are no income tax consequences to the Company when an option is granted or exercised. In 2013, 2012 and 2011, options for 15,247, 11,800 and 19,200 shares were exercised by employees and directors for an aggregate exercise price of \$163,000, \$124,000 and \$176,000, respectively. The proceeds were generated from cash received of \$138,000 and repurchase of 1,752 shares from employees and directors totaling \$25,000 in 2013, from cash received of \$124,000 in 2012 and from cash received of \$162,000 and from the repurchase of 1,045 shares from employees and directors totaling \$14,000 in 2011. At the 2013, 2012 and 2011 respective year ends, options to purchase 40,591, 29,000 and 20,800 shares with weighted average exercise prices of \$11.26, \$10.84 and \$9.96, respectively, were fully exercisable. Compensation cost charged against income before taxes for the options was approximately \$249,000 for 2013, \$228,000 for 2012 and \$111,000 for 2011. As of December 28, 2013, there was \$668,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options granted under the Company's stock option plans. The weighted average period over which the stock option compensation cost is expected to be recognized is 2.68 years.

The Company has a stock awards plan in effect at December 28, 2013. A summary of plan activity for 2011, 2012 and 2013 is as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	48,340	\$ 10.47
Granted January 24, 2011	13,420	\$ 11.55
Granted February 9, 2011	13,300	\$ 13.34
Vested	(12,290) \$ 12.81
Forfeited	(19,198) \$ 9.62
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	43,572	\$ 11.39
Vested	(11,099) \$ 12.60
Forfeited	—	
Outstanding at December 29, 2012	32,473	\$ 10.98
Vested	(8,161) \$ 11.06
Forfeited	(5,060) \$ 10.20
Outstanding at December 28, 2013	19,252	\$ 11.15

The Compensation & Long-Term Incentive Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company approves stock grants under the Company's 2005 Stock Awards Plan to certain management employees of the Company. The stock awards vest in 20 percent increments annually on a cumulative basis, beginning one year after the date of grant from shares held in treasury with the Company. In order for the awards to vest, the employee must be in the continuous employment of the Company since the date of the award. Any portion of an award that has not vested is forfeited upon termination of employment. The Company may terminate any portion of the award that has not vested upon an employee's failure to comply with all conditions of the award or the 2005 Stock Awards Plan. An employee is not entitled to any voting rights with respect to any shares not yet vested, and the shares are not transferable. There were no grants issued in 2013. Compensation expense on the grants issued is being charged against earnings equally before forfeitures, if any, over a period of 60 months from the dates of the grants, with the offset recorded in Shareholders' Equity. Compensation cost charged against income for the awards was approximately \$82,000, \$52,000 net of income taxes, or \$0.01 per share for 2013, \$110,000, \$70,000 net of income taxes, or \$0.01 per share for 2012 and \$165,000, \$105,000 net of income taxes, or \$0.02 per share, for 2011. As of December 28, 2013, there was \$143,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock grants under the 2005 Stock Awards Plan. The weighted average period over which the stock grant compensation cost is expected to be recognized is 1.96 years.

On April 27, 2012, the Company issued to each of its non-employee directors 1,598 shares of its common stock from shares held in treasury with the Company (an aggregate of 7,990 shares) and 7,238 shares in 2011. Such shares were issued to the directors in lieu of \$20,000 of their annual cash retainer fees for 2012 and \$15,000 of their annual cash retainer fees for 2011. During 2011, two non-employee directors resigned/retired from the Board of Directors resulting in the forfeiture of 1,248 shares.

On April 25, 2013, the Company permitted each non-employee director to elect up to 100% of their annual retainer in restricted stock. The number of restricted shares issued is determined by the average of the high and low common stock price on the day prior to the Annual Meeting of Shareholders or the date prior to the appointment to the Board. In 2013, non-employee directors received an aggregate of 9,411 shares of restricted stock in lieu of total retainer fees of \$128,000. The shares granted to the directors are not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and are subject to forfeiture in whole or in part upon the occurrence of certain events.

On September 30, 2013, the Company closed on an underwritten public offering of 2,000,000 shares of its common stock at a price of \$15.75 per share. The underwriters also exercised their option to purchase and close upon an additional 300,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$15.75 per share. The Company received net proceeds, after underwriting discounts and estimated expenses, of approximately \$34,233,000. The Company intends to use the net proceeds from the offering to invest approximately \$3,500,000 in new equipment for the CRI Tolling facility. The

Company used \$18,061,000 of the stock sale proceeds to pay off the outstanding balance on the line of credit.

Note 8 Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax liabilities and assets are as follows at the respective year ends:

(Amounts in thousands)	2013	2012
Deferred tax assets:		
Inventory valuation reserves	\$794	\$853
Allowance for doubtful accounts	100	162
Inventory capitalization	3,089	2,239
Environmental reserves	224	229
Interest rate swap	128	116
Back charge accrual	203	72
Deferred compensation	97	120
State net operating loss carryforwards	142	66
Other	253	278
Total deferred tax assets	5,030	4,135
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Tax over book depreciation and amortization	8,021	8,046
Prepaid expenses	749	663
Other	57	90
Total deferred tax liabilities	8,827	8,799
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$(3,797)) \$(4,664)

Significant components of the provision for income taxes are as follows:

(Amounts in thousands)	2013	2012	2011
Current:			
Federal	\$1,689	\$1,771	\$2,670
State	176	281	162
Total current	1,865	2,052	2,832
Deferred:			
Federal	(1,321)) 114	108
State	(146)) (60)) 13
Total deferred	(1,467)) 54	121
Total	\$398	\$2,106	\$2,953

The reconciliation of income tax computed at the U. S. federal statutory tax rates to income tax expense is:

(Amounts in thousands)	2013		2012		2011			
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%		
Tax at U.S. statutory rates	\$734	34.0	\$2,156	34.0	\$2,975	34.0		
State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit	(23)	(1.1)	118	1.9	133	1.5		
Bargain gain on CRI acquisition	(366)	(17.0)	—	—	—	—		
Manufacturing exemption	(138)	(6.4)	(180)	(2.8)	(162)	(1.9)		
Stock issuance costs	101	4.7	—	—	—	—		
Stock option compensation	85	3.9	38	0.6	38	0.4		
Other, net	5	0.3	(26)	(0.5)	(31)	(0.3)		
Total	\$398	18.4	\$2,106	33.2	\$2,953	33.7		

Income tax payments of approximately \$2,393,000, \$2,112,000 and \$3,143,000 were made in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company had state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$45,503,000 at December 28, 2013, which will expire between the years 2017 to 2032, and \$43,143,000 at December 29, 2012. Since the likelihood of recognizing the tax effect of these carryforwards is remote, they have not been recognized in the consolidated financial statements.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax of multiple state jurisdictions. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal or state income tax examinations for years before 2009. The Company's federal income tax return for 2007 was examined by the Internal Revenue Service in 2009 and federal income tax and interest liabilities resulting from this examination were not material. The Company's continuing practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in the provision for income taxes. The Company had no accruals for uncertain tax positions including interest and penalties at the end of 2013 or 2012.

Note 9 Benefit Plans and Collective Bargaining Agreements

The Company has a 401(k) Employee Stock Ownership Plan (the "401(k)/ESOP Plan") covering all non-union employees. Employees may contribute to the 401(k)/ESOP Plan up to 100 percent of their salary with a maximum of \$17,500 for 2013. Under the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act, employees who are age 50 or older may contribute an additional \$5,500 per year for a maximum of \$23,000 for 2013. Contributions by the employees are invested in one or more funds at the direction of the employee; however, employee contributions cannot be invested in Company stock. Contributions by the Company are made in cash and then used by the 401(k)/ESOP Plan Trustee to purchase Company stock. The Company contributes on behalf of each eligible participant a matching contribution equal to a percentage which is determined each year by the Board of Directors. For 2013, 2012 and 2011 the maximum was four percent. The matching contribution is allocated after each payroll. Matching contributions of approximately \$550,000, \$390,000 and \$345,000 were made for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company may also make a discretionary contribution, which if made, would be distributed to all eligible participants regardless of whether they contribute to the 401(k)/ESOP Plan. No discretionary contributions were made to the 401(k)/ESOP Plan in 2013, 2012 or 2011. The Company also contributes to union-sponsored defined contribution retirement plans. Contributions relating to these plans were approximately \$882,000, \$739,000 and \$688,000 for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company has three collective bargaining agreements at its Bristol, Tennessee facility. The number of employees of the Company represented by these unions is 249, or 37 percent of the Company's total employees. They are represented by two locals affiliated with the AFL-CIO and one local affiliated with the Teamsters. The Company considers relationships with its union employees to be satisfactory. Collective bargaining contracts will expire in January 2015 and March 2015. The Company is currently in negotiations with the union regarding the collective bargaining contract that expired February 2014.

Note 10 Leases

The Company leases a warehouse facility in Dalton, Georgia, property for a manufacturing facility in Orange, Texas, office space in Spartanburg, South Carolina and Glen Allen, Virginia and various manufacturing and office equipment at each of its locations, all under operating leases. The amount of future minimum lease payments under the operating leases are as follows: 2014 - \$370,000; 2015 - \$229,000; 2016 - \$120,000; 2017 - \$60,000; and 2018 - \$6,000. Rent expense related to operating leases was \$1,043,000, \$470,000 and \$140,000 in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company does not have any leases that are classified as capital leases for any of the periods presented in the consolidated financial statements.

Note 11 Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is from time-to-time subject to various claims, other possible legal actions for product liability and other damages, and other matters arising out of the normal conduct of the Company's business. The Metals Segment recorded claim expense of \$512,000, \$330,000 and \$100,000 for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, for specific customers' product claims.

In November 2012, after almost twelve months of collection efforts, the Specialty Chemicals Segment filed suit against a former customer for past due invoices totaling \$134,000. That former customer, in turn, filed a variety of counterclaims against the Specialty Chemicals Segment and alleged \$3,000,000 in damages. The Company settled this case during 2013 with no cash outlay.

In November 2013, a Metals Segment customer filed suit against Bristol Metals, LLC in Louisiana state court alleging damages from breach of warranty, among other claims. The plaintiff's claim for damages does not state a dollar amount. The Company filed a counterclaim against the customer for \$135,000 of past due invoices and successfully removed the case to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana, where the case is currently pending.

In January 2014, a Metals Segment customer filed suit against Palmer and another unrelated defendant in Texas state court alleging breach of warranty, among other claims. The plaintiff's claim for damages does not state a dollar amount. Palmer has yet to be served with the lawsuit while the parties discuss settlement. This matter arises out of products manufactured and sold by Palmer prior to the Company's acquisition of Palmer. As such, the sellers of Palmer are contractually bound in the SPA to indemnify the Company for any and all costs, including attorneys' fees, which may arise out of this matter.

Other than the environmental contingencies discussed in Note 5 and the matters discussed in this Note 11, management is not currently aware of any other asserted or unasserted matters which could have a significant effect on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company.

Note 12 Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	2013	2012	2011
Numerator:			
Net income	\$1,760,564	\$4,234,912	\$5,797,160
Denominator:			
Denominator for basic earnings per share - weighted average shares	6,941,794	6,341,856	6,313,418
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Employee stock options and stock grants	5,610	52,488	48,670
Denominator for diluted earnings per share - weighted average shares	6,947,404	6,394,344	6,362,088
Earnings per share:			
Basic	\$0.25	\$0.67	\$0.92
Diluted	\$0.25	\$0.66	\$0.91

The diluted earnings per share calculations exclude the effect of potentially dilutive shares when the inclusion of those shares in the calculation would have an anti-dilutive effect. The Company had weighted average shares of common stock of 161,084 in 2013, 231,200 in 2012 and 139,484 in 2011, which were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation as their effect was anti-dilutive.

Note 13 Industry Segments

The Company operates in two principal industry segments: metals and specialty chemicals. The Company identifies such segments based on products and services. The Metals Segment consists of Synalloy Metals, Inc. a wholly-owned

subsidiary which owns 100 percent of Bristol Metals, LLC, Ram-Fab, LLC and Palmer of Texas Tanks, Inc., both wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company. The Metals Segment manufactures pipe from stainless steel and other alloys, fabricates piping systems from carbon, chrome, stainless steel and other alloys, and produces fiberglass and steel storage tanks. The Metal Segment's products, many of

which are custom-produced to individual orders and required for corrosive and high-purity processes, are used principally by the chemical, petrochemical, pulp and paper, mining, power generation (including nuclear), water and wastewater treatment, liquid natural gas, brewery, food processing, petroleum, pharmaceutical and other industries. Products include pipe, piping systems, storage tanks and a variety of other components. The Specialty Chemicals Segment consists of Manufacturers Soap and Chemical Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company which owns 100 percent of Manufacturers Chemicals, LLC and CRI Tolling, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. The Specialty Chemicals Segment manufactures a wide variety of specialty chemicals and dyes for the carpet, chemical, paper, metals, mining, agricultural, fiber, paint, textile, automotive, petroleum, cosmetics, mattress, furniture, janitorial and other industries.

Segment operating income is the segment's total revenue less operating expenses, excluding interest expense and income taxes. Identifiable assets, all of which are located in the United States, are those assets used in operations by each segment. The Metals Segment's identifiable assets include goodwill of \$16,898,000 in 2013 and 2012, and the Specialty Chemicals Segment's identifiable assets include goodwill of \$1,355,000 in 2013 and 2012. Centralized data processing and accounting expenses are allocated to the two segments based upon estimates of their percentage of usage. Unallocated corporate expenses include environmental charges of \$17,000, \$46,000 and \$8,000 for 2013, 2012 and 2011 respectively. Corporate assets consist principally of cash, certain investments, and equipment.

The Metals Segment has one domestic customer that accounted for approximately eleven percent of the Metals Segment's revenues in 2013. These revenues were for the Bechtel project which will not recur in the future. The Company believes that it will be able to grow base stainless steel pipe sales to completely offset the loss of these revenues in 2014. A different customer accounted for ten percent of the Metals Segment's revenues in 2011. There were no customers representing more than ten percent of the Metals Segment's revenues for 2012. The Specialty Chemicals Segment has one domestic customer that accounted for approximately 40 percent of revenues for 2013, 28 percent of revenues for 2012, and 24 percent of revenues in 2011. However, this customer is a large global company, and the purchases by this customer are derived from several different business units that operate autonomously from each other. Even so, loss of this customer's revenues would have a material adverse effect on the Specialty Chemicals Segment and the Company.

In order to establish stronger business relationships, the Metals Segment uses only a few raw material suppliers. Seven suppliers furnish about 71 percent of total dollar purchases of raw materials, with one supplier furnishing 24 percent. However, the Company does not believe that the loss of this supplier would have a materially adverse effect on the Company as raw materials are readily available from a number of different sources, and the Company anticipates no difficulties in fulfilling its requirements. For the Specialty Chemicals Segment, most raw materials are generally available from numerous independent suppliers and about 50 percent of total purchases are from its top seven suppliers. While some raw material needs are met by a sole supplier or only a few suppliers, the Company anticipates no difficulties in fulfilling its raw material requirements.

Segment Information: (Amounts in thousands)	2013	2012	2011
Net sales			
Metals Segment	\$164,232	\$146,285	\$127,727
Specialty Chemicals Segment	56,518	51,374	42,848
	\$220,750	\$197,659	\$170,575
Operating (loss) income			
Metals Segment	\$(732) \$6,138	\$9,253
Specialty Chemicals Segment	5,743	4,843	2,221
	5,011	10,981	11,474
Less unallocated corporate expenses	3,197	3,193	2,668
Operating income	1,814	7,788	8,806
Acquisition related costs	264	881	—
Interest expense	1,357	601	141
Change in fair value of interest rate swap	(741) 114	—
Gain on bargain purchase, net of taxes	(1,077) —	—
Other income, net	(148) (149) (85
Income before income taxes	\$2,159	\$6,341	\$8,750
Identifiable assets			
Metals Segment	\$124,720	\$117,340	
Specialty Chemicals Segment	28,041	21,949	
Corporate	10,499	9,218	
	\$163,260	\$148,507	
Depreciation and amortization			
Metals Segment	\$4,251	\$2,776	\$2,073
Specialty Chemicals Segment	659	435	419
Corporate	204	188	167
	\$5,114	\$3,399	\$2,659
Capital expenditures			
Metals Segment	\$4,312	\$3,551	\$2,097
Specialty Chemicals Segment	1,397	1,066	930
Corporate	57	123	158
	\$5,766	\$4,740	\$3,185
Geographic sales			
United States	\$212,816	\$188,292	\$159,820
Elsewhere	7,934	9,367	10,755
	\$220,750	\$197,659	\$170,575

Note 14 Quarterly Results (Unaudited)

The following is a summary of quarterly operations for 2013 and 2012:

(Amounts in thousands except for per share data)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
2013				
Net sales	\$57,836	\$56,273	\$54,397	\$52,244
Gross profit (loss)	6,905	7,129	5,503	(335)
Net income (loss)	1,465	1,913	1,461	(3,078)
Per common share				
Basic	0.23	0.30	0.23	(0.36)
Diluted	0.23	0.30	0.23	(0.36)
2012				
Net sales	\$47,372	\$46,878	\$50,271	\$53,138
Gross profit	5,091	5,261	5,683	5,893
Net income	1,337	1,090	843	965
Per common share				
Basic	0.21	0.17	0.13	0.15
Diluted	0.21	0.17	0.13	0.15

Note 15 Interest Rate Swaps

As discussed in Note 3, as a result of the CRI acquisition and in conjunction with the term loan obtained in August 2013, to mitigate the variability of the interest rate risk, the Company entered into the CRI swap on August 9, 2013 with its current bank. The CRI swap has an initial notional amount of \$4,033,250 with a fixed interest rate of 4.83 percent and a term of ten years that expires on August 19, 2023. The notional amount of the swap decreases as monthly principal payments are made. Also, as discussed in Note 3, as a result of the Palmer acquisition and in conjunction with the term loan obtained in August 2012 to mitigate the variability of the interest rate risk, the Company entered into the Palmer swap on August 21, 2012 with its current bank. The Palmer swap has an initial notional amount of \$22,500,000 with a fixed interest rate of 3.74 percent, and a term of ten years that expires on August 21, 2022. The notional amount of the interest rate swap decreases as monthly principal payments are made. Although the swaps are expected to effectively offset variable interest in the borrowing, hedge accounting will not be utilized. Therefore, changes in their fair value are recorded in current assets or liabilities, as appropriate, with corresponding offsetting entries to other expense. The Company recorded an \$80,000 liability for the fair value of the CRI swap as of December 28, 2013. The Company recorded a \$301,000 asset and a \$450,000 liability for the fair value of the Palmer swap at December 28, 2013 and December 29, 2012, respectively.

Note 16 Acquisitions

Acquisition of Color Resources, LLC

The Company completed the purchase of the business assets of Color Resources, LLC ("CRI") and the building and land located in Fountain Inn, South Carolina where CRI was the sole tenant (the "CRI Facility"). CRI Tolling, a South Carolina limited liability company and wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, will continue CRI's business as that of a toll manufacturer that provides outside manufacturing resources to global and regional chemical companies. On August 9, 2013, Synalloy purchased the CRI Facility for a total purchase price of \$3,450,000. On August 26, 2013, the Company purchased certain assets and assumed certain operating liabilities of CRI through CRI Tolling for a total purchase price of \$1,100,000. The assets purchased from CRI included accounts receivable, inventory, certain other assets, and equipment, net of assumed payables. The Company plans to use the acquisition of CRI and the CRI Facility to expand its production capacity from MC's Cleveland, Tennessee facility to further penetrate existing markets, as well as develop new ones, including those in the energy industry. CRI Tolling will operate as a division of

the Company's Specialty Chemicals Segment, which includes MC. The Company viewed both the building and operating assets of CRI together as one business, capable of providing a return to ownership by expanding the segment's production capacity.

47

Accordingly, the acquisition meets the definition of a business and the transaction is structured in a way that meets the definition of a business combination under in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification 805, Business Combinations.

The transaction is being accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America. Under this method, the total consideration transferred to consummate the acquisition is allocated to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values as of the closing date of the acquisition. The acquisition method of accounting requires extensive use of estimates and judgments to allocate the consideration transferred to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets, if any, acquired and liabilities assumed.

The purchase price for the acquisition of CRI and the CRI Facility was funded through a new term loan with the Company's bank which is discussed in Note 3 along with an increase in the Company's line of credit.

A summary of sources and uses of proceeds for the acquisition of CRI and the CRI Facility is as follows:

Sources of funds:

Proceeds from term loan	\$4,033,250
Proceeds from line of credit	516,750
Total sources of funds	\$4,550,000

Uses of funds:

Acquisition of CRI Facility	\$3,450,000
Acquisition of certain CRI assets, net of assumed liabilities	1,100,000
Amount received by Company for pro-rated property taxes at close	\$(22,000)
Total uses of funds	\$4,528,000

The total consideration transferred was allocated to CRI's net tangible and identifiable assets based on their fair value as of August 26, 2013. The preliminary allocation of the total consideration to the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of August 26, 2013 is as follows:

	As recorded by CRI	Purchased CRI Facility	Purchase accounting and fair value adjustments	As recorded by the Company
Accounts receivable, net	\$623,539	\$—	\$—	\$623,539
Inventories, net	232,771	—	—	232,771
Prepaid expenses	11,695	—	—	11,695
Building and land	—	3,450,000	650,000	4,100,000
Equipment, net	614,998	—	1,028,072	1,643,070
Accounts payable	(365,898)	—	—	(365,898)
Accrued liabilities	(17,105)	—	—	(17,105)
Deferred tax liability	—	—	(600,750)	(600,750)
	\$1,100,000	\$3,450,000	\$1,077,322	\$5,627,322

Due to severe financial difficulties CRI was experiencing prior to the acquisition, the Company was able to purchase the land, building and equipment at below market value. Therefore, the overall fair value of the assets acquired by the Company exceeded the amount paid. Upon the determination that the Company was going to recognize a gain related to the bargain purchase of CRI and the CRI Facility, the Company reassessed its assumptions and measurement of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed and concluded that the preliminary valuation procedures and resulting measures were appropriate. Due to the bargain purchase accounting rules, a one-time gain, net of taxes, was recognized during year ended December 28, 2013 as follows:

Fair value of net assets acquired	\$5,627,332
Total consideration paid	(4,550,000)
Bargain purchase gain	\$1,077,332

The amount of CRI's revenues and pre-tax earnings included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 28, 2013 was \$1,824,000 for revenues and \$144,000 for pre-tax earnings. The following unaudited pro forma information is provided to present a summary of the combined results of the Company's operations with CRI as if the acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2012. The unaudited pro forma financial information is for informational purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of what the results would have been had the acquisition been completed on the date indicated above.

Pro Forma (Unaudited)

	2013	2012
Pro forma revenues	\$223,969,000	\$204,850,000
Pro forma net income	1,230,000	3,599,000
Earnings per share:		
Basic	\$0.18	\$0.57
Diluted	\$0.18	\$0.56

The pro-forma calculation excludes non-recurring acquisition costs of \$255,000 during 2013. These expenditures included \$113,000 for professional audit fees associated with the audit of historical financial statements and the valuation of assets acquired, \$70,000 related to bank fees associated with the swap agreement, \$53,000 of legal fees and other various charges of \$19,000. These expenses were all recorded at the corporate level and are included as a separate line item in the consolidated statement of operations.

Acquisition of Palmer of Texas

On August 21, 2012, the Company completed the purchase of all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Palmer. Palmer is a manufacturer of liquid storage solutions and separation equipment for the petroleum, municipal water, wastewater, chemical and food industries. The Company viewed the Palmer acquisition as an excellent complement to the Metals Segment as both companies service many of the same markets and the Company has the ability to drive Palmer efficiencies in purchasing and operations. Palmer's results of operations since the acquisition date are reflected in the Company's consolidated statements of operations, and the Palmer acquisition added approximately 130 employees at December 29, 2012. Effective January 22, 2013, Lee-Var, Inc. changed its name to Palmer of Texas Tanks, Inc.

The purchase price for the acquisition was \$25,575,000. The adjustment for working capital increased the purchase price to \$26,951,209. In addition, the amount of maintenance expenditures over the 18-month period following closing and the final cost of a production expansion capital project currently underway could also result in purchase price adjustments. Currently, the Company does not expect to realize any material purchase price adjustments from these two items. The sellers of Palmer will also have the ability to receive earn-out payments ranging from \$2,500,000 to \$10,500,000 if the business unit achieves targeted levels of Adjusted EBITDA, as defined in the SPA, over a three year period following closing; and the Company will have the ability to claw-back portions of the purchase price over a two-year period following closing if Adjusted EBITDA falls below baseline levels. Palmer had recorded liabilities of approximately \$1.2 million related to certain contingencies for which the former Palmer shareholders have agreed

to indemnify the Company. Accordingly, the Company has carried over these liabilities in its consolidated financial statements and has recorded an asset of approximately \$1.2 million in prepaid expenses reflecting the indemnification against these potential payments. During 2013, several of the identified contingency items were resolved and the amount of prepaid expenses for these indemnified contingencies decreased to \$336,000 and the end of 2013.

At the end of each year (based on the acquisition date) for the next three years, if Palmer's Adjusted EBITDA for the year is below \$5,825,000, there will not be an earn-out paid for that year. If Adjusted EBITDA for the year is greater than \$5,825,000 but less than \$6,825,000, the sellers of Palmer will be paid \$2,500,000 for that year. If Adjusted EBITDA exceeds \$6,825,000 for the year, the earn-out would be \$3,500,000. At the conclusion of the three-year earn-out period, in the event that the cumulative Adjusted EBITDA for the earn-out period is more than \$17,475,000, the sellers of Palmer will receive an additional earn-out payment, if any, as follows. In the event that the cumulative Adjusted EBITDA for the earn-out period is greater than \$17,475,000 but less than \$20,475,000, the Company will make an additional earn-out payment so that the total cumulative earn-out payments for the three-year earn-out period equals \$7,500,000. If the cumulative Adjusted EBITDA exceeds \$20,475,000, the Company will make an additional earn-out payment so that the total cumulative earn-out payments for the three-year period equals \$10,500,000. At acquisition, the Company forecasted earn-out payments totaling \$8,500,000, which was discounted to a present value of \$8,152,000 using its incremental borrowing rate of two percent. The first year earn-out of \$2,500,000 (before the downward adjustment for indemnification claims) was paid in 2013, leaving an earn-out liability balance of \$6,000,000 at the end of 2013. The various assumptions and projections used in the earn-out projections were reviewed at December 28, 2013 with no additional adjustments required. Any future changes to the projected earn-out payments as a result of our quarterly review of forecasted Adjusted EBITDA would be reflected as an adjustment to earnings in that period.

The purchase price for the Palmer acquisition was funded through an increase in the Company's current credit facility and a new term loan with the Company's bank which is discussed in Note 3.

The total purchase price was allocated to Palmer's net tangible and identifiable assets based on their estimated fair values as of August 21, 2012. An intangible asset representing the fair value of Palmer's customer base acquired by the Company was valued at \$9,000,000, which is being amortized over a 15-year period using an accelerated amortization method. The excess of the consideration transferred over the fair value of the net tangible and identifiable assets and intangible assets is reflected as goodwill. The Company believes the amount of goodwill resulting from the purchase price allocation is attributable to the workforce of the acquired business (which is not eligible for separate recognition as an identifiable asset) and the expected synergistic benefits of being able to leverage Palmer's expertise with the Company's existing manufacturing and fabrication processes. All of the goodwill was allocated to the Metals Segment. Since the Company purchased the stock of Palmer, goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes. The current allocation of the total consideration paid to the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed is as follows:

	As recorded by Palmer	Purchase accounting and fair value adjustments	As recorded by Synalloy
Cash and cash equivalents	\$1,389,054	\$—	\$1,389,054
Accounts receivable, net	4,969,030	—	4,969,030
Inventories, net	5,678,368	—	5,678,368
Prepaid expenses	75,804	1,536,000	1,611,804
Net fixed assets	4,799,692	2,691,370	7,491,062
Goodwill	—	15,897,948	15,897,948
Intangible asset - customer base	—	9,000,000	9,000,000
Contingent consideration	—	(8,152,031)	(8,152,031)
Other liabilities assumed	(6,833,315)	(3,156,711)	(9,990,026)
	\$10,078,633	\$17,816,576	\$27,895,209

The purchase accounting and fair value adjustment for prepaid expenses represents the indemnification provided by the sellers of Palmer for certain liabilities assumed at acquisition, as mentioned earlier in this note, plus the Controller's retention bonus. The adjustment for net fixed assets increases the book value of the property, plant and equipment to their estimated fair value as of the acquisition date. Contingent consideration is the present value of projected earn-out payments to the prior owners of Palmer. The majority of the adjustments to other liabilities assumed represents current and deferred income taxes inherent with the acquisition.

The amount of Palmer's revenues and pre-tax earnings included in the consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 29, 2012 was \$12,619,000 for revenues and \$977,000 for pre-tax earnings. The following unaudited pro forma information is provided to present a summary of the combined results of the Company's operations with Palmer as if the acquisition had occurred on January 2, 2011. The unaudited pro forma financial information is for information purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of what the results would have been had the acquisition been completed on the date indicated above.

Pro Forma (Unaudited)

	2012	2011
Pro forma revenues	\$220,955,000	\$202,689,000
Pro forma net income	5,537,000	6,478,000
Earnings per share:		
Basic	\$0.87	\$1.03
Diluted	0.87	1.02

The pro-forma calculation excludes non-recurring acquisition costs of \$881,000 during 2012. These expenditures included \$355,000 for professional audit fees associated with due diligence, preparation and audit of historical financial statements and intangible asset identification and valuation, \$337,000 related to bank fees associated with the swap agreement, \$93,000 of legal fees, \$25,000 of travel costs and other various charges of \$71,000. These expenses were all recorded at the corporate level and are included as a separate line item in the consolidated statement of operations.

Note 17 Payment of Dividends

On November 7, 2013, the Board of Directors of the Company voted to pay an annual dividend of \$0.26 per share which was paid on December 3, 2013 to holders of record on November 18, 2013 for a total of \$2,260,000. In 2012, the Company paid a \$0.25 cash dividend on December 10, 2012 for a total of \$1,596,000 and in 2011, the Company paid a \$0.25 cash dividend on December 5, 2011 for a total payment of \$1,580,000. The Board presently plans to review at the end of each fiscal year the financial performance and capital needed to support future growth to determine the amount of cash dividend, if any, which is appropriate.

Note 18 Subsequent Events

On February 20, 2014, the Compensation & Long-Term Incentive Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company approved stock option grants under the 2011 Plan. Options for a total of 13,790 shares, with an exercise price of \$14.76 per share, were granted under the 2011 Plan to certain management employees of the Company. The stock options will vest in 20 percent increments annually on a cumulative basis, beginning one year after the date of grant. In order for the options to vest, the employee must be in the continuous employment of the Company since the date of the grant. Any portion of the grant that has not vested will be forfeited upon termination of employment. The Company may terminate any portion of the grant that has not vested upon an employee's failure to comply with all conditions of the award or the 2011 Plan. Shares representing grants that have not yet vested will be held in escrow by the Company. An employee will not be entitled to any voting rights with respect to any shares not yet vested, and the shares are not transferable. The per share weighted-average fair value of this stock option grant was \$6.70. The Black-Scholes model for this grant was based on a risk-free interest rate of two percent, an expected life of seven years, an expected volatility of 0.52 and a dividend yield of 1.80 percent. Compensation expense totaling \$92,000 will be recorded against earnings equally over the following 60 months from the date of grant with the offset recorded in Shareholders' Equity.

On January 2, 2014, the Credit Agreement was amended to extend the maturity date of the line of credit by one additional year to August 21, 2016. This amendment also modified certain financial covenants in the agreement including the Minimum Tangible Net Worth requirement (as defined in the Credit Agreement) and the maximum amount of capital expenditures (as defined in the Credit Agreement). No other provisions of the agreement were modified.

Management's Annual Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for preparing the Company's annual consolidated financial statements and for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company. Management has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 28, 2013 based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). As permitted by guidance provided by the staff of the SEC, the scope of management's assessment of internal control over financial reporting as of December 28, 2013 has excluded the operations of CRI Tolling, LLC, from its assessment of internal controls over financial reporting as of December 28, 2013, because CRI was acquired by the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary CRI Tolling, in August 2013. CRI Tolling constituted 0.8% of consolidated net sales for the year ended December 28, 2013, and 4.2% of consolidated total assets as of December 28, 2013.

Based on this evaluation, management believes that the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 28, 2013 was effective.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm that audited the Company's consolidated financial statements included in this annual report has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
Synalloy Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Synalloy Corporation and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 28, 2013 and December 29, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders’ equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 28, 2013. We also have audited the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 28, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”). The Company’s management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As described in Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting, the Company’s management has excluded CRI Tolling, LLC (“CRI”), from its assessment of internal controls over financial reporting as of December 28, 2013, because CRI was acquired by the Company in August 2013. We have also excluded CRI from the scope of our audit of internal control over financial reporting. CRI constituted 0.8% of consolidated net sales for the year ended December 28, 2013, and 4.3% of consolidated total assets as of December 28, 2013.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Synalloy Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 28, 2013 and December 29, 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 28, 2013,

in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 28, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992) issued by COSO. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule listed in Item 15(a)2, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina
March 11, 2014

53

Item 9 Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure
None

Item 9A Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Based on the evaluation required by 17 C.F.R. Section 240.13a-15(b) or 240.15d-15(b) of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in 17 C.F.R. Sections 240.13a-15(e) and 240.15d-15(e)), the Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that such controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this annual report, were effective.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting is set forth at the conclusion of the Company's consolidated statements set forth in Item 8 of this Form 10-K. The scope of the Company's efforts to comply with the Section 404 Rules with respect to fiscal year 2013 included all of the Company's operations other than the operations associated with the August 26, 2013 acquisition of substantially all of the assets of CRI. In accordance with the SEC's published guidance, because the Company acquired these operations during the fiscal year, the Company excluded these operations from its efforts to comply with Section 404 Rules with respect to fiscal year 2013. The Company is currently evaluating and documenting the internal controls over financial reporting at CRI and will include them in their internal control testing in 2014.

The Attestation Report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm on the Company's internal control over financial reporting is included in the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm set forth in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B Other Information

Not applicable

PART III

Item 10 Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information set forth under the captions "Proposal 1 - Election of Directors," "Executive Officers," and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the Company's definitive proxy statement to be used in connection with its Annual Meeting of Shareholder to be held April 24, 2014 (the "Proxy Statement") is incorporated herein by reference.

Code of Ethics. The Company's Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and corporate and divisional controllers. The Code of Ethics is available on the Company's website at www.synalloy.com. Any amendment to, or waiver from, this Code of Ethics will be posted on the Company's website.

Audit Committee. The Company has a separately designated standing Audit Committee of the Board of Directors established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The members of the Audit Committee are Anthony A. Callander, Murray H. Wright and James W. Terry.

Audit Committee Financial Expert. The Company's Board of Directors has determined that the Company has at least one "audit committee financial expert," as that term is defined by Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, serving on its Audit Committee. Mr. Anthony A. Callander meets the terms of the definition and is independent, as independence is defined for audit committee members in the rules of the NASDAQ Global Market. Pursuant to the terms of Item 407(d) of Regulation S-K, a person who is determined to be an "audit committee financial expert" will not be deemed an expert for any purpose as a result of being designated or identified as an "audit committee financial expert" pursuant to Item 407(d), and such designation or identification does

not impose on such person any duties, obligations or liability that are greater than the duties, obligations or liability imposed on such person as a member of the Audit Committee and Board of Directors in the absence of such designation or identification. Further, the designation or identification of a person as an "audit committee

financial expert" pursuant to Item 407(d) does not affect the duties, obligations or liability of any other member of the Audit Committee or Board of Directors.

Item 11 Executive Compensation

The information set forth under the captions "Board of Directors and Committees - Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation," "Director Compensation," "Discussion of Executive Compensation" and "Compensation Committee Report" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12 Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information set forth under the captions "Beneficial Owners of More Than Five Percent of the Company's Common Stock" and "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated by reference.

Equity Compensation Plan Information. The following table sets forth aggregated information as of December 28, 2013 about all of the Company's equity compensation plans.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) ⁽¹⁾ (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	162,736	\$ 11.95	412,338
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	—	—	—
Total	162,736	\$ 11.95	412,338

(1) Represents shares remaining available for issuance under the 2005 Stock Awards Plan and the 2011 Plan.

Non-employee directors are paid an annual retainer of \$45,000, and each director has the opportunity to elect to receive 100% of the retainer in restricted stock. For 2013, non-employee directors received an aggregate of \$128,000 of the annual retainer in restricted stock. The number of restricted shares is determined by the average of the high and low sale price of the Company's stock on the day prior to the Annual Meeting of Shareholders. For 2013, five non-employee directors each received an aggregate of 9,411 shares. Issuance of the shares granted to the directors is not registered under the Securities Act of 1933 and the shares are subject to forfeiture in whole or in part upon the occurrence of certain events. The above table does not reflect these shares issued to non-employee directors.

Item 13 Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information set forth under the captions "Board of Directors and Committees – Related Party Transactions" and "– Director Independence" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated therein by reference.

Item 14 Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information set forth under the captions "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" and "– Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15 Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this report:

1. Financial Statements: The following consolidated financial statements of Synalloy Corporation are included in Part II, Item 8:

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 28, 2013 and December 29, 2012

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 28, 2013, December 29, 2012 and December 31, 2011

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 28, 2013, December 29, 2012 and December 31, 2011

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 28, 2013, December 29, 2012 and December 31, 2011

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2. Financial Statements Schedules: The following consolidated financial statements schedule of Synalloy Corporation is included in Item 15:

Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for the years ended December 28, 2013, December 29, 2012 and December 31, 2011

All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable, and therefore have been omitted.

3. Listing of Exhibits:

See "Exhibit Index"

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E
Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Charged to (Reduction of) Cost and Expenses	Deductions	Balance at End of Period
Year ended December 28, 2013				
Deducted from asset account:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 1,313,000	\$ (192,000)	\$ (42,000)	\$ 1,079,000
Year ended December 29, 2012				
Deducted from asset account:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 1,203,000	\$ 928,000	\$ 818,000	\$ 1,313,000
Year ended December 31, 2011				
Deducted from asset account:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 435,000	\$ 793,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 1,203,000

Charged to cost and expenses for 2012 is comprised of:

(1) the amount due from Palmer's prior owners of \$821,000 for the amount of pre-acquisition receivables outstanding at 120 days after acquisition which were indemnified by the sellers (see Note 1); and

(2) \$107,000 charged against earnings.

Deductions represent uncollected accounts and credit balances written off against reserve, net of recoveries.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SYNALLOY CORPORATION

By /s/ Craig C. Bram
Craig C. Bram
Chief Executive Officer
March 11, 2014
Date

By /s/ Richard D. Sieradzki
Richard D. Sieradzki
Chief Financial Officer and
Principal Accounting Officer
Registrant
March 11, 2014
Date

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

By /s/ Carroll D. Vinson
Carroll D. Vinson
Chairman of the Board
March 11, 2014
Date

By /s/ Anthony A. Callander
Anthony A. Callander
Director
March 11, 2014
Date

By /s/ Murray H. Wright
Murray H. Wright
Director
March 11, 2014
Date

By /s/ James W. Terry, Jr.
James W. Terry, Jr.
Director
March 11, 2014
Date

By /s/ Henry L. Guy
Henry L. Guy
Director
March 11, 2014
Date

By /s/ Craig C. Bram
Craig C. Bram
Chief Executive Officer and Director
March 11, 2014
Date

Index to Exhibits

Exhibit No.

from

Item 601 of

Regulation S-K

Description

1.1	Underwriting Agreement dated September 24, 2013, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K filed September 24, 2013
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant, as amended, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended April 13, 2007
3.2	Bylaws of Registrant, as amended, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2001 (the "first quarter 2001 Form 10-Q")
4.1	Form of Common Stock Certificate, incorporated by reference to the first quarter 2001 Form 10-Q
10.1	Synalloy Corporation 1998 Long-Term Incentive Stock Plan, incorporated by reference to the first quarter 2001 Form 10-Q
10.2	Synalloy Corporation 2005 Stock Awards Plan, incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement for the 2005 Annual Meeting of Shareholders
10.3	Amendment 1 to the Synalloy Corporation 2005 Stock Awards Plan incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 29, 2007
10.4	2011 Long-Term Incentive Stock Option Plan, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders
10.5	2011 Short-Term Cash Incentive and Options Plan, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011
10.6	2012 Short-Term Cash Incentive and Options Plan, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 29, 2012
10.7	2013 Short-Term Cash Incentive and Options Plan
10.8	Agreement between Registrant's Bristol Metals, LLC subsidiary and the United Steelworkers of America Local 4586, dated December 10, 2010, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2011
10.9	Agreement between Registrant's Bristol Metals, LLC subsidiary and the United Association of Journeymen and Apprentices of the Plumbing and Pipe Fitting Industry of the United States and Canada Local Union No. 538, dated February 16, 2009, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2011
10.10	Agreement between Registrant's Bristol Metals, LLC subsidiary and the Teamsters Local Union No. 549, dated March 5, 2010, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2011
10.11	Loan Agreement, dated as of June 30, 2010, between Registrant and Branch Banking and Trust ("BB&T"), incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2011
10.12	First Amendment to First Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated August 21, 2012, between Registrant and Branch Banking and Trust ("BB&T"), incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 29, 2012
10.13	First Amendment to First Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated October 22, 2012, between Registrant and Branch Banking and Trust ("BB&T"), incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 29, 2012
10.14	Second Amendment to First Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated August 9, 2013, between Registrant and Branch Banking and Trust ("BB&T")
10.15	Third Amendment to First Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated January 2, 2014, between Registrant and Branch Banking and Trust ("BB&T")
10.16	Employment Agreement dated January 24, 2011, between Registrant and Craig C. Bram, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended January 1, 2011

Edgar Filing: GENWORTH FINANCIAL INC - Form DEFM14A

- 10.17 Amended Employment Agreement dated January 24, 2012, between Registrant and Craig C. Bram, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011
- 10.18 Amended Employment Agreement dated January 24, 2013, between Registrant and Craig C. Bram, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 29, 2012
- 10.19 Amended Employment Agreement dated June 1, 2013, between Registrant and Craig C. Bram, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K for the filed June 28, 2013

59

10.20	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of August 10, 2012, among Jimmie Dean Lee, James Varner, Steven C. O'Brate and Synalloy Corporation, incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K filed on August 24, 2012
10.21	Modification, Renewal, Increase and Restatement of Promissory Note dated January 2, 2014, between Registrant and Branch Banking and Trust ("BB&T")
21	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23	Consent of Dixon Hughes Goodman LLP, independent registered public accounting firm for Registrant
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer
32	Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
*	In accordance with Regulation S-T, the XBRL-related information in Exhibit 101 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K shall be deemed "furnished" and not "filed."