

KLA TENCOR CORP  
Form 10-Q  
October 30, 2009  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
**Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
For the quarterly period ended: September 30, 2009

or

**Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**  
For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 0-09992

**KLA-Tencor Corporation**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**04-2564110**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**One Technology Drive**

**Milpitas, California**

**95035**

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

**(408) 875-3000**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer   
(Do not check if a smaller  
reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of October 14, 2009, there were 170,892,034 shares of the registrant's Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding.

**Table of Contents**

**INDEX**

	<b>Page Number</b>
<b><u>PART I</u></b>	
<b><u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1	
Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 and 2008</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 and 2008</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
Item 2	
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	29
Item 3	
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	41
Item 4	
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	41
<b><u>PART II</u></b>	
<b><u>OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1	
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	42
Item 1A	
<u>Risk Factors</u>	42
Item 2	
<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	51
Item 3	
<u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>	51
Item 4	
<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	51
Item 5	
<u>Other Information</u>	51
Item 6	
<u>Exhibits</u>	52
<b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>	53
<b><u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u></b>	54

**Table of Contents****PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION****Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets**

<i>(In thousands)</i>	<b>September 30, 2009 (unaudited)</b>	<b>June 30, 2009</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 540,839	\$ 524,967
Marketable securities	846,393	804,917
Accounts receivable, net	243,924	210,143
Inventories, net	347,199	370,206
Deferred income taxes	251,917	261,121
Other current assets	251,189	227,263
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>2,481,461</b>	<b>2,398,617</b>
Land, property and equipment, net	283,160	291,878
Goodwill	338,318	329,379
Purchased intangibles, net	140,879	149,080
Other non-current assets	432,452	440,584
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 3,676,270</b>	<b>\$ 3,609,538</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 75,561	\$ 63,485
Deferred system profit	114,722	95,820
Unearned revenue	59,025	63,237
Other current liabilities	355,746	341,441
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>605,054</b>	<b>563,983</b>
Non-current liabilities:		
Long-term debt	745,339	745,204
Income tax payable	50,631	49,738
Unearned revenue	5,837	6,058
Other non-current liabilities	63,013	60,163
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>1,469,874</b>	<b>1,425,146</b>
Commitments and contingencies (Note 13 and Note 14)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock and capital in excess of par value	855,830	835,477
Retained earnings	1,360,941	1,370,132
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(10,375)	(21,217)
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<b>2,206,396</b>	<b>2,184,392</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 3,676,270</b>	<b>\$ 3,609,538</b>

Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

*See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).*

**Table of Contents****KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations**

(Unaudited)

<i>(In thousands, except per share data)</i>	<b>Three months ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>Revenues:</b>		
Product	\$ 229,251	\$ 405,496
Service	113,436	127,017
<b>Total revenues</b>	<b>342,687</b>	<b>532,513</b>
<b>Costs and operating expenses:</b>		
Costs of revenues	171,892	252,813
Engineering, research and development	78,209	114,361
Selling, general and administrative	77,636	118,490
Goodwill and purchased intangible assets impairment		11,911
<b>Total costs and operating expenses</b>	<b>327,737</b>	<b>497,575</b>
<b>Income from operations</b>	<b>14,950</b>	<b>34,938</b>
Interest income and other, net	21,299	18,050
Interest expense	13,457	13,873
<b>Income before income taxes</b>	<b>22,792</b>	<b>39,115</b>
Provision for income taxes	2,387	19,826
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 20,405</b>	<b>\$ 19,289</b>
<b>Net income per share:</b>		
Basic	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.11
Diluted	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.11
<b>Cash dividend paid per share</b>	<b>\$ 0.15</b>	<b>\$ 0.15</b>
<b>Weighted average number of shares:</b>		
Basic	170,698	172,088
Diluted	172,718	174,386

*See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).*

**Table of Contents****KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION****Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(Unaudited)

<i>(In thousands)</i>	<b>Three months ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 20,405	\$ 19,289
<b>Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:</b>		
Depreciation and amortization	23,134	42,708
Goodwill, purchased intangible asset and long-lived asset impairment charges		12,358
Gain on sale of real estate assets	(2,824)	(1,368)
Non-cash stock-based compensation	20,199	34,382
Tax charge from equity awards	(5,133)	(618)
Excess tax benefit from equity awards		(1,689)
Net gain on sale of marketable securities and other investments	(1,292)	(128)
<b>Changes in assets and liabilities, net of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations:</b>		
Decrease (increase) in accounts receivable, net	(28,279)	131,364
Decrease (increase) in inventories	26,971	(16,739)
Decrease (increase) in other assets	(46,368)	50,623
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	11,288	(9,881)
Increase (decrease) in deferred system profit	18,902	(68,667)
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	36,246	(110,277)
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>73,249</b>	<b>81,357</b>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Acquisition of business, net of cash received		(127,023)
Capital expenditures, net	(3,635)	(10,132)
Proceeds from sale of real estate assets		2,466
Purchase of available-for-sale securities	(263,646)	(394,378)
Proceeds from sale and maturity of available-for-sale securities	221,588	269,235
Purchase of trading securities	(23,573)	(8,939)
Proceeds from sale of trading securities	29,145	11,704
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>(40,121)</b>	<b>(257,067)</b>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Issuance of common stock	2,917	5,967
Tax withholding payment related to vested and released restricted stock units	(1,833)	(10,342)
Common stock repurchases		(177,469)
Payment of dividends to stockholders	(25,606)	(25,840)
Excess tax benefit from equity awards		1,689
<b>Net cash used in financing activities</b>	<b>(24,522)</b>	<b>(205,995)</b>
<b>Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>7,266</b>	<b>(12,942)</b>
<b>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>15,872</b>	<b>(394,647)</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period</b>	<b>524,967</b>	<b>1,128,106</b>

Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 540,839	\$ 733,459
Supplemental cash flow disclosures:		
Income taxes paid, net	\$ 10,591	\$ 11,042
Interest paid	\$ 246	\$ 424

*See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited).*



---

**Table of Contents**

**KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION**

**Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**

(Unaudited)

**NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

**Basis of Presentation.** The condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by KLA-Tencor Corporation ( KLA-Tencor or the Company ) pursuant to the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. In the opinion of management, the unaudited interim financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal, recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair statement of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods indicated. These financial statements and notes, however, should be read in conjunction with Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, filed with the SEC on August 7, 2009.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of KLA-Tencor and its majority-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. The Company has included the results of operations of acquired companies from the date of acquisition.

References in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to authoritative guidance are to the Accounting Standards Codification issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) in June 2009.

The results of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the full fiscal year ending June 30, 2010.

Certain reclassification has been made to prior year financial statements to conform to the current year presentation. Starting in the second quarter of fiscal year 2009, goodwill and purchased intangible assets impairment has been presented as a separate line item in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (previously reported as a component of the respective operating expenses). This reclassification had no effect on the consolidated operating results as previously reported.

The Company has evaluated material subsequent events through October 29, 2009, the date these condensed consolidated financial statements were issued, and no additional items were noted that need to be disclosed.

**Management Estimates.** The preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements.** In September 2009, the FASB s Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) issued authoritative guidance addressing revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. The guidance requires revenue to be allocated to multiple elements using relative fair value based on vendor-specific-objective-evidence, third party evidence or estimated selling price. The residual method also becomes obsolete under this guidance. This guidance is effective for the Company s interim reporting period ending on September 30, 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the implementation of this guidance on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In September 2009, FASB s EITF issued authoritative guidance addressing certain revenue arrangements that include software elements. This guidance states that tangible products with hardware and software components that work together to deliver the product functionality are considered non-software products, and the accounting guidance under the revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables is to be followed. This guidance is effective for the Company s interim reporting period ending on September 30, 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the implementation of this guidance on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In August 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance for measuring liabilities at fair value that reaffirms the existing definition of fair value and reintroduces the concept of entry value into the determination of fair value of liabilities. Entry value is the amount an entity would receive to enter into an identical liability. The guidance is effective for the Company s interim reporting period ending on December 31, 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the guidance on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

In June 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance for consolidations that changes how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The determination of whether a company is required to consolidate an entity is based on, among other things, an entity's purpose and design and a company's ability to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. This guidance is effective for its interim reporting period ending on September 30, 2010. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the guidance on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

---

**Table of Contents**

In June 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance to establish the FASB Accounting Standards Codification as the source of authoritative accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. This guidance is effective for the Company's interim reporting period ended on September 30, 2009 and only impacts references for accounting guidance.

In April 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance for business combinations that amends the provisions related to the initial recognition and measurement, subsequent measurement and disclosure of assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in a business combination. This guidance will require such contingencies be recognized at fair value on the acquisition date if fair value can be reasonably estimated during the allocation period. Otherwise, entities would typically account for the acquired contingencies in accordance with authoritative guidance for contingencies. The guidance became effective for the Company's business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after July 1, 2009. The Company did not complete any business combination during the three months ended September 30, 2009, and the effect on future periods will depend on the nature and significance of business combinations subject to this guidance.

In April 2009, FASB issued authoritative guidance to increase the frequency of fair value disclosures of financial instruments, thereby enhancing consistency in financial reporting. The guidance relates to fair value disclosures for any financial instruments that are not currently reflected on a company's balance sheet at fair value. Prior to the effective date of this guidance, fair values for these types of financial assets and liabilities have only been disclosed once a year. The guidance requires these disclosures on a quarterly basis, providing qualitative and quantitative information about fair value estimates for all those financial instruments not measured on the balance sheet at fair value. The disclosure requirement under this guidance is effective for the Company's interim reporting period ended on September 30, 2009. The implementation did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows as it is disclosure-only in nature.

In December 2008, the FASB issued authoritative guidance for an employer's disclosures about plan assets of a defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan. The guidance requires annual disclosures surrounding how investment allocation decisions are made, including the factors that are pertinent to an understanding of investment policies and strategies. The annual disclosure requirement under this guidance is effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009. The guidance does not change the accounting treatment for postretirement benefit plans.

On August 27, 2008, the SEC announced that they will issue for comment a proposed roadmap regarding the potential use by U.S. issuers of financial statements prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). IFRS is a comprehensive series of accounting standards published by the International Accounting Standards Board. Under the proposed roadmap, the Company could be required in fiscal year 2014 to prepare financial statements in accordance with IFRS, and the SEC will make a determination in 2011 regarding the mandatory adoption of IFRS. The Company is currently assessing the impact that this potential change would have on its consolidated financial statements, and it will continue to monitor the development of the potential implementation of IFRS.

In April 2008, the FASB issued authoritative guidance for general intangibles other than goodwill, amending the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset. This guidance is effective for intangible assets acquired on or after July 1, 2009. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

**NOTE 2 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

Effective July 1, 2008, the Company adopted authoritative guidance for fair value measurement and disclosure provisions of fair value measurements of financial and nonfinancial assets and liabilities that were already subject to fair value measurements under current accounting rules. This guidance also required expanded disclosures related to fair value measurements.

On July 1, 2009, the Company adopted the newly issued accounting standard for fair value measurements of all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities not recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis. The Company's financial assets are measured and recorded at fair value, except for equity investments in privately-held companies. These equity investments are generally accounted for under the cost method of accounting and are periodically assessed for other-than-temporary impairment when an event or circumstance indicates that an other-than-temporary decline in value may have occurred. The Company's non-financial assets, such as goodwill, intangible assets, and property, plant and equipment, are recorded at cost and are assessed for impairment when an event or circumstance indicates that an other-than-temporary decline in value may have occurred.

**Table of Contents**

Concurrently with the July 1, 2008 adoption of the fair value measurement and disclosure guidance for financial assets and liabilities, the Company adopted the authoritative guidance that permits entities to elect, at specified election dates, to measure eligible financial instruments at fair value. As of September 30, 2009, the Company did not elect the fair value option under this guidance for any financial assets and liabilities that were not previously measured at fair value, with the exception of the Put Option related to the auction rate securities repurchase agreement with UBS AG referenced in Note 4, Marketable Securities.

**Fair Value Hierarchy.** The authoritative guidance for fair value measurements establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under the guidance are described below:

- Level 1 Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access.
- Level 2 Valuations based on quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.
- Level 3 Valuations based on inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

A financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Most of the Company's financial instruments are classified within Level 1 or Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted market prices, broker or dealer quotations, or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. The types of instruments valued based on quoted market prices in active markets include money market funds and U.S. Treasury securities. Such instruments are generally classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

The types of instruments valued based on other observable inputs include U.S. agency securities, commercial paper, U.S. corporate bonds and municipal obligations. Such instruments are generally classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The principal market in which the Company executes our foreign currency contracts is the institutional market in an over-the-counter environment with a relatively high level of price transparency. The market participants usually are large commercial banks. The Company's foreign currency contracts' valuation inputs are based on quoted prices and quoted pricing intervals from public data sources and do not involve management judgment. These contracts are typically classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

The types of instruments valued based on unobservable inputs include the auction rate securities held by the Company. Such instruments are generally classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The Company estimated the fair value of these auction rate securities using a discounted cash flow model incorporating assumptions that market participants would use in their estimates of fair value. Some of these assumptions include estimates for interest rates, timing and amount of cash flows and expected holding periods of the auction rate securities.

Financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2009 were as follows:

(In thousands)	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Fixed income securities	\$ 1,241,300	\$ 704,896	\$ 501,197	\$ 35,207
Derivative assets	2,818		431	2,387
Other assets	115,671	115,671		

Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

Total financial assets	\$ 1,359,789	\$ 820,567	\$ 501,628	\$ 37,594
Derivative liabilities	\$ (2,387)	\$	\$ (2,387)	\$
Total financial liabilities	\$ (2,387)	\$	\$ (2,387)	\$

**Table of Contents**

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis were included in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2009 as follows:

(In thousands)	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Cash equivalents	\$ 394,907	\$ 394,907	\$	\$
Marketable securities	846,393	309,989	501,197	35,207
Other current assets	2,818		431	2,387
Other non-current assets	115,671	115,671		
<b>Total financial assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,359,789</b>	<b>\$ 820,567</b>	<b>\$ 501,628</b>	<b>\$ 37,594</b>
Other current liabilities	\$ (2,387)	\$	\$ (2,387)	\$
<b>Total financial liabilities</b>	<b>\$ (2,387)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ (2,387)</b>	<b>\$</b>

Changes in our Level 3 securities for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

	(Level 3) Three months ended September 30, 2009      2008	
Beginning aggregate estimated fair value of Level 3 securities	\$ 40,584	\$ 42,147
Total realized and unrealized gains		
Unrealized gain included in other comprehensive income		22
Unrealized gain included in income	35	
Net settlements	(3,025)	(750)
Ending Aggregate estimated fair value of Level 3 securities	\$ 37,594	\$ 41,419

**Table of Contents****NOTE 3 BALANCE SHEET COMPONENTS**

(In thousands)	September 30, 2009	June 30, 2009
Accounts receivable, net		
Accounts receivable, gross	\$ 279,335	\$ 245,618
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(35,411)	(35,475)
	\$ 243,924	\$ 210,143
Inventories, net		
Customer service parts	\$ 141,393	\$ 146,724
Raw materials	86,642	99,383
Work-in-process	71,988	66,292
Finished goods and demonstration equipment	47,176	57,807
	\$ 347,199	\$ 370,206
Other current assets		
Prepaid expenses	\$ 69,325	\$ 61,854
Income tax related receivables	158,373	138,500
Other current assets	23,491	26,909
	\$ 251,189	\$ 227,263
Land, property and equipment, net		
Land	\$ 52,675	\$ 52,493
Buildings and improvements	133,316	132,872
Machinery and equipment	405,142	410,643
Office furniture and fixtures	24,013	23,976
Leasehold improvements	104,326	106,811
Construction in progress	979	1,171
	720,451	727,966
Less: accumulated depreciation	(437,291)	(436,088)
	\$ 283,160	\$ 291,878
Other non-current assets		
Long-term investments	\$ 136,816	\$ 128,776
Deferred tax assets long-term	279,207	295,536
Other	16,429	16,272
	\$ 432,452	\$ 440,584
Other current liabilities		
Warranty and retrofit obligations	\$ 17,896	\$ 21,812
Compensation and benefits	200,146	176,828
Income taxes payable	17,152	15,536
Interest payable	21,706	8,769
Accrued litigation costs	8,129	4,848
Other accrued expenses	90,717	113,648

\$ 355,746 \$ 341,441



**Table of Contents****NOTE 4 MARKETABLE SECURITIES**

The amortized costs and estimated fair value of marketable securities as of September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009 are as follows:

As of September 30, 2009 (In thousands)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 69,453	\$ 188	\$	\$ 69,641
U.S. Government agency securities	272,226	2,032	(10)	274,248
Municipal bonds	35,016	379	(9)	35,386
Corporate debt securities	400,988	5,649	(195)	406,442
Money market, bank deposits and other	402,903			402,903
Sovereign securities	17,322	151		17,473
Auction rate securities	37,625		(2,418)	35,207
Subtotal	1,235,533	8,399	(2,632)	1,241,300
Less: Cash equivalents	394,907			394,907
Marketable securities	\$ 840,626	\$ 8,399	\$ (2,632)	\$ 846,393

As of June 30, 2009 (In thousands)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 85,843	\$ 576	\$ (7)	\$ 86,412
U.S. Government agency securities	277,762	2,089	(155)	279,696
Municipal bonds	30,228	260	(68)	30,420
Corporate debt securities	349,522	3,478	(557)	352,443
Money market, bank deposits and other	325,014			325,014
Sovereign securities	10,319	73	(31)	10,361
Auction rate securities	40,650		(2,482)	38,168
Subtotal	1,119,338	6,476	(3,300)	1,122,514
Less: Cash equivalents	317,597			317,597
Marketable securities	\$ 801,741	\$ 6,476	\$ (3,300)	\$ 804,917

KLA-Tencor's investment portfolio consists of both corporate and government securities that have a maximum maturity of 3 years. The longer the duration of these securities, the more susceptible they are to changes in market interest rates and bond yields. As yields increase, those securities with a lower yield-at-cost show a mark-to-market unrealized loss. All unrealized losses are due to changes in interest rates and bond yields. The Company has the ability to realize the full value of all these investments upon maturity. The following table summarizes the fair value and gross unrealized losses of its investments, aggregated by investment instrument and length of time that the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of September 30, 2009:

(In thousands)	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses(1)
U.S. Government agency securities	\$ 11,409	\$ (10)
Municipal bonds	907	(9)
Corporate debt securities	56,211	(195)
Auction rate securities	35,207	(2,418)

Total	\$ 103,734	\$ (2,632)
-------	------------	------------

- (1) Of the total gross unrealized losses, there were no amounts that have been in a loss position for 12 months or more.

**Table of Contents**

The contractual maturities of securities classified as available-for-sale as of September 30, 2009, regardless of the consolidated balance sheet classification, are as follows:

(In thousands)	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Due within one year	\$ 177,720	\$ 179,047
Due after one year through three years	619,991	632,139
	\$ 797,711	\$ 811,186

Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Net realized gain for the three months ended September 30, 2009 was approximately \$1.2 million.

The Company's investment portfolio includes auction rate securities, which are investments with contractual maturities generally between 20 to 30 years. They are usually found in the form of municipal bonds, preferred stock, a pool of student loans, or collateralized debt obligations whose interest rates are reset. The reset typically occurs every seven to forty-nine days, through an auction process. At the end of each reset period, investors can sell or continue to hold the securities at par. The auction rate securities held by the Company are backed by student loans and are collateralized, insured and guaranteed by the United States Federal Department of Education. In addition, all auction rate securities held by the Company are rated by the major independent rating agencies as either AAA or Aaa. In February 2008, auctions failed for approximately \$48.2 million in par value of municipal auction rate securities that the Company held because sell orders exceeded buy orders. These failures are not believed to be a credit issue, but rather caused by a lack of liquidity. The funds associated with these failed auctions may not be accessible until the issuer calls the security, a successful auction occurs, a buyer is found outside of the auction process, or the security matures. Prior to June 30, 2009, a total of \$7.6 million of the auction rate securities held by the Company were called at par value by the issuer (therefore no losses were recognized on these securities). During the three months ended September 30, 2009, an additional \$3.0 million of the auction rate securities were called at par value by the issuer. The fair value of the auction rate securities at September 30, 2009 was \$35.2 million, which is included in marketable securities under current assets.

By letter dated August 8, 2008, the Company received notification from UBS AG ( "UBS" ), in connection with a settlement entered into between UBS and certain regulatory agencies, offering to repurchase all of the Company's auction rate security holdings at par value. The Company formally accepted the settlement offer and entered into a repurchase agreement ( "Agreement" ) with UBS on November 11, 2008 ( "Acceptance Date" ). By accepting the Agreement, the Company (1) received the right ( "Put Option" ) to sell its auction rate securities at par value to UBS between June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2012 and (2) gave UBS the right to purchase the auction rate securities from the Company any time after the Acceptance Date as long as the Company receives the par value. The Company's intention is to exercise its right with UBS to sell these auction rate securities at par value at the earliest date possible, which is June 30, 2010. However, if the Put Option is not exercised before June 30, 2012, it will expire, and UBS will have no further rights or obligation to buy the auction rate securities.

The Agreement covers \$37.6 million par value (fair value of \$35.2 million) of the auction rate securities held by the Company as of September 30, 2009. The Company is accounting for the Put Option as a freestanding financial instrument and elected to record the value under the fair value option during the three months ended September 30, 2009. The fair value of the Put Option was \$2.4 million as of September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009.

During the three months ended December 31, 2008, the Company made an election pursuant to authoritative guidance for debt and equity investments to transfer these auction rate securities from available-for-sale to trading securities. The transfer to trading securities reflects the Company's intent to exercise the Put Option during the period June 30, 2010 to June 30, 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, the Company recognized a decrease in the fair value of the auction rate securities of \$0.1 million, which is included in interest income and other, net.

The Company expects that the future changes in the fair value of the Put Option will continue to be largely offset by the fair value movements in the auction rate securities. The Company estimated the fair value of the auction rate securities using a discounted cash flow model incorporating assumptions that market participants would use in their estimates of fair value. Some of these assumptions include estimates for interest rates, timing and amount of cash flows and expected holding periods of the auction rate securities. The Company estimated the fair value of the Put Option using the expected value that the Company will receive from UBS, which was calculated as the difference between the anticipated recognized losses and par value of the auction rate securities as of the option exercise date. This value was discounted by using UBS's credit default swap rate to account for the credit considerations of the counterparty risk. The Company does not believe that the lack of liquidity of its

auction rate securities will have a material impact on its overall ability to meet its cash requirements for the foreseeable future.

**Table of Contents****Executive Savings Plan**

The Company has a non-qualified deferred compensation plan whereby certain executives and non-employee directors may defer a portion of their salary and bonus. Participants are credited with returns based on their allocation of their account balances among measurement funds. The Company controls the investment of these funds and the participants remain general creditors of the Company. Distributions from the plan commence the quarter following a participant's retirement or termination of employment. The Company classifies these deferred compensation plan investments as trading securities. As of September 30, 2009, the Company had a deferred compensation plan related asset and liability of \$115.7 million and \$117.0 million included as a component of other non-current assets and other current liabilities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet, respectively. As of June 30, 2009, the Company had a deferred compensation plan related asset and liability of \$107.2 million and \$108.3 million included as a component of other non-current assets and other current liabilities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet, respectively.

**NOTE 5 BUSINESS COMBINATIONS**

The Company accounts for business combinations using the purchase method of accounting. Consideration includes the cash paid and the value of options assumed, if any, less any cash acquired, and excludes contingent employee compensation payable in cash.

During the three months ended September 30, 2008, the Company completed its acquisition of the Microelectronic Inspection Equipment business unit ( MIE business unit ) of Vistec Semiconductor Systems for net cash consideration of approximately \$141.4 million. The acquired MIE business unit is a provider of mask registration measurement tools, scanning electron microscopy ( SEM ) based tools for mask critical dimension measurement and macro defect inspection systems.

The following table represents the final purchase price allocation and summarizes the aggregate estimated fair values of the net assets acquired on the closing date of the acquisition of the MIE business unit:

<b>(In thousands)</b>	<b>Final Purchase Price Allocation</b>
Cash	\$ 14,219
Current assets	60,094
Intangibles:	
Existing technology	39,800
Patents	18,200
Trade name/Trademarks	4,800
Customer relationships	19,300
In-process R&D ( IPR&D )	8,600
Backlog	6,750
Other intangible assets	9,950
Noncurrent assets	2,749
Goodwill	33,071
Liabilities assumed	(61,915)
Cash consideration paid	\$ 155,618

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired. The \$33.1 million of goodwill was assigned to the defect inspection reporting unit, and is not expected to be deductible for tax purposes. This acquisition has provided the Company with a line of mask registration measurement tools to complement the Company's existing mask inspection products. In addition, through the acquisition the Company has acquired a provider of SEM-based tools for mask critical dimension measurement. Other technologies of the MIE business unit acquired by the Company in the transaction include macro defect inspection systems, overlay measurement systems for microelectromechanical systems ( MEMS ) applications and software packages for defect classification and data analysis.

The results of operations of the acquired MIE business unit are included in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations from the closing date of the acquisition on September 30, 2008. Pro forma earnings information has not been presented because the effect of the

acquisition of the MIE business unit is not material.

The fair value of the purchased IPR&D and identified intangibles was determined using the income approach, which discounts expected future cash flows from projects to their net present value. Each project was analyzed to determine the technological innovations included; the utilization of core technology; the complexity, cost and time to complete development; any alternative future use or current technological feasibility; and the stage of completion. Future cash flows were estimated, taking into account the expected life cycles of the products and the underlying technology, relevant market sizes and industry trends. The Company determined a discount rate for each project based on the relative risks inherent in the project's development horizon, the estimated costs of development, and the level of technological change in the project and the industry, among other factors.

**Table of Contents**

The Company expensed IPR&D of \$8.6 million upon the completion of the acquisition of the MIE business unit in the three months ended September 30, 2008, in connection with acquired intellectual property for which technological feasibility had not been established and no future alternative uses existed.

**NOTE 6 GOODWILL AND PURCHASED INTANGIBLE ASSETS****Goodwill**

The following table presents goodwill balances and the movements during the three months ended September 30, 2009:

(In thousands)	Amount
As of June 30, 2009	\$ 329,379
Acquisition	
Adjustments	8,939
As of September 30, 2009	\$ 338,318

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired in each business combination. The Company completed its annual evaluation of the goodwill by reporting unit as at December 31, 2008. As a result of the global economic downturn, reductions to the Company's revenue, operating income, and cash flow forecasts, and a significant reduction in the Company's market capitalization, the Company determined that the goodwill related to its Metrology reporting unit was impaired. As a result, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$272.1 million, which represented the entire goodwill amount related to the Metrology reporting unit, during the three months ended December 31, 2008. The Company's assessment of goodwill impairment indicated that the fair values of the Company's Defect Inspection, Service, and Other reporting units exceeded their estimated carrying values and therefore goodwill in those reporting units was not impaired. There have been no significant events or circumstances affecting the valuation of goodwill subsequent to the impairment test performed in the second quarter of the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.

Given the current economic environment and the uncertainties regarding the impact on the Company's business, there can be no assurance that the Company's estimates and assumptions regarding the duration of the recent economic downturn, or the period or strength of recovery, made for purposes of the Company's goodwill impairment testing as at December 31, 2008 will prove to be accurate predictions of the future. If the Company's assumptions regarding forecasted revenue or margin growth rates of certain reporting units are not achieved, the Company may be required to record additional goodwill impairment charges in future periods, whether in connection with the Company's next annual impairment testing in the second quarter of fiscal year 2010 if any triggering event occurs outside of the quarter during which the annual goodwill impairment test is performed. It is not possible at this time to determine if any such future impairment charge would result or, if it does, whether such charge would be material.

Adjustments to goodwill during the three months ended September 30, 2009 resulted primarily from foreign currency translation adjustments.

**Purchased Intangible Assets**

The components of purchased intangible assets as of September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009 were as follows:

(In thousands)	Range of Useful Lives	As of September 30, 2009			As of June 30, 2009		
		Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Amount
Existing technology	4-7 years	\$ 132,051	\$ 61,143	\$ 70,908	\$ 131,966	\$ 56,367	\$ 75,599
Patents	6-13 years	57,656	29,443	28,213	57,626	27,847	29,779
Trade name / Trademark	4-10 years	19,629	9,693	9,936	19,616	9,221	10,395
Customer relationships	6-7 years	54,445	23,154	31,291	54,409	21,673	32,736
Other	0-1 year	16,806	16,275	531	16,759	16,188	571

Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

Total	\$ 280,587	\$ 139,708	\$ 140,879	\$ 280,376	\$ 131,296	\$ 149,080
-------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------

Intangible assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset or asset group may not be recoverable. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, the Company identified a certain business



**Table of Contents**

unit as held for sale. During the three months ended September 30, 2008, based on the revised estimated selling price of that business unit, the Company determined that the carrying amount of certain related net assets further exceeded fair value by \$11.9 million. As a result, an additional impairment charge of \$11.9 million, of which \$4.5 million related to goodwill impairment and \$7.4 million related to intangible assets impairment, was recorded during the three months ended September 30, 2008.

For the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, amortization expense for purchased intangible assets was \$8.3 million and \$24.9 million, respectively. Based on the intangible assets recorded as of September 30, 2009, and assuming no subsequent additions to, or impairment of the underlying assets, the remaining estimated amortization expense is expected to be as follows:

Fiscal year ending June 30:	Amortization (in thousands)
2010 (remaining 9 months)	\$ 25,036
2011	32,375
2012	29,600
2013	20,327
2014	14,958
Thereafter	18,583
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 140,879</b>

**NOTE 7 LONG-TERM DEBT**

In April 2008, the Company issued \$750 million aggregate principal amount of 6.90% senior, unsecured long-term debt due in 2018 with an effective interest rate of 7.00%. The discount on the debt amounted to \$5.4 million and is being amortized over the life of the debt using the straight-line method as opposed to the interest method due to immateriality. Interest is payable semi-annually on November 1 and May 1. The debt indenture includes covenants that limit the Company's ability to grant liens on its facilities and to enter into sale and leaseback transactions, subject to significant allowances under which certain sale and leaseback transactions are not restricted. The Company was in compliance with all of its covenants as at September 30, 2009.

In certain circumstances involving a change of control followed by a downgrade of the rating of the Company's senior notes, the Company will be required to make an offer to repurchase the senior notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The Company's ability to repurchase the senior notes in such event may be limited by law, by the indenture associated with the senior notes, by the terms of other agreements to which the Company may be party at such time. If the Company fails to repurchase the senior notes as required by the indenture, it would constitute an event of default under the indenture governing the senior notes which, in turn, may also constitute an event of default under other of its obligations.

Based on the trading prices of the debt at September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009, the estimated fair value of the debt at September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009 were \$782.0 million and \$702.0 million, respectively.

**NOTE 8 STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION****Equity Incentive Program**

Under the Company's current equity incentive program, the Company issues equity awards under its 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2004 Plan"), under which officers, employees, non-employee directors and consultants may be granted options to purchase shares of the Company's stock, restricted stock units and other types of equity awards.

Except for options granted to non-employee directors as part of their regular compensation package for service through the end of the first quarter of fiscal year 2008, the Company has granted only restricted stock units under its equity incentive program since September 2006. For the preceding several years until June 30, 2006, stock options were generally granted at the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant (except for the retroactively priced options which were granted primarily prior to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002), with a vesting period of five years and an exercise period not to exceed seven years (ten years for options granted prior to July 1, 2005) from the date of issuance. Restricted stock units may be granted with varying criteria such as service-based or performance-based vesting. Substantially all of the Company's employees that meet established performance goals and qualify as key employees participate in its main equity incentive plan.

## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

On October 18, 2004, the Company's stockholders approved the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2004 Plan) which provides for the grant of options to purchase shares of its common stock, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, performance shares, performance units and deferred stock units to its employees, consultants and members of its Board of Directors. The 2004 Plan permits the issuance of up to 21.0 million shares of common stock, of which 2.1 million shares were available for grant as of September 30, 2009. Any 2004 Plan awards of restricted stock, performance shares, performance units or deferred stock units with a

**Table of Contents**

per share or unit purchase price lower than 100% of fair market value on the grant date are counted against the total number of shares issuable under the 2004 Plan as 1.8 shares for every one share subject thereto. Total options outstanding under all plans as of September 30, 2009 were 12.5 million shares with a weighted-average remaining contractual term of 3.3 years. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, approximately 0.3 million restricted stock units were granted to senior management with performance-based and service-based vesting criteria.

The following table summarizes the combined activity under the Company's equity incentive plans for the indicated period:

(In thousands)	Available For Grant
Balances at June 30, 2009(1)	7,702
Plan shares expired	(224)
Restricted stock units granted(2)	(5,074)
Restricted stock units canceled(2)	847
Restricted stock units traded for taxes	116
Options canceled/expired/forfeited	356
<b>Balances at September 30, 2009(1)</b>	<b>3,723</b>

- (1) Includes shares available for issuance under the Company's 1998 Outside Director Option Plan (the "Outside Director Plan"), which only permits the issuance of stock options to the Company's non-employee directors. As of September 30, 2009, approximately 1.6 million shares were available for grant under the Outside Director Plan.
- (2) Any 2004 Plan awards of restricted stock, performance shares, performance units or deferred stock units with a per share or unit purchase price lower than 100% of fair market value on the grant date are counted against the total number of shares issuable under the 2004 Plan as 1.8 shares for every one share subject thereto.

The fair value of stock-based awards is measured at grant date and is recognized as expense over the employee's requisite service period. The fair value is determined using a Black-Scholes valuation model for stock options and for purchase rights under the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan and using the closing price of the Company's common stock on the grant date for restricted stock units.

The following table shows pre-tax stock-based compensation expense for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

(In thousands)	Three months ended September 30,	
	2009	2008
Stock-based compensation expense by:		
Costs of revenues	\$ 3,288	\$ 5,456
Engineering, research and development	6,603	9,972
Selling, general and administrative	10,308	18,954
<b>Total stock-based compensation</b>	<b>\$ 20,199</b>	<b>\$ 34,382</b>

**Stock Options**

The following table shows the applicable number of stock options and weighted-average exercise price for stock option granted, exercised, expired, and forfeited during the three months ended September 30, 2009:

Stock Options	Shares (In thousands)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
---------------	--------------------------	------------------------------------

Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

Outstanding stock options as of June 30, 2009	12,979	\$	43.49
Granted		\$	
Exercised	(91)	\$	32.17
Cancelled/expired/forfeited	(356)	\$	43.22
Outstanding stock options as of September 30, 2009	12,532	\$	43.58
Exercisable as of September 30, 2009	11,756	\$	43.42

The Company has not issued any stock options since November 1, 2007.

**Table of Contents**

The authoritative guidance on stock-based compensation permits companies to select the option-pricing model used to estimate the fair value of their stock-based compensation awards. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the option's expected life and the price volatility of the underlying stock. The expected stock price volatility assumption was based on market-based implied volatility from traded options on the Company's stock.

The following table shows total intrinsic value of options exercised, total cash received from employees as a result of employee stock option exercises, and tax benefits realized in connection with these stock option exercises for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

(In thousands, except for weighted-average grant date fair value per share)	Three months ended September 30,	
	2009	2008
Total intrinsic value of options exercised	\$ 210	\$ 9,149
Total cash received from employees as a result of employee stock option exercises	2,917	5,967
Tax benefits realized in connection with these stock option exercises	77	3,217

As of September 30, 2009, 11.8 million options were exercisable with a weighted-average remaining contractual term of 3.2 years. The aggregate intrinsic value for the options exercisable as of September 30, 2009 was \$8.7 million. As of September 30, 2009, the unrecognized stock-based compensation balance related to stock options was \$10.8 million and will be recognized over an estimated weighted-average amortization period of 1.1 years.

The Company settles employee stock option exercises with newly issued common shares except in certain tax jurisdictions where settling such exercises with treasury shares provides the Company or one of its subsidiaries with a tax benefit.

The following table shows stock-based compensation capitalized as inventory and deferred system profit as of September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009:

(In thousands)	September 30, 2009	June 30, 2009
Inventory	\$ 6,430	\$ 6,561
Deferred system profit		

**Restricted Stock Units**

The following table shows the applicable number of restricted stock units and weighted-average grant date fair value for restricted stock units granted, vested and released, traded for taxes, and forfeited during the three months ended September 30, 2009 and restricted stock units outstanding as of September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009:

Restricted Stock Units	Shares (In thousands)	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding restricted stock units as of June 30, 2009	5,464	\$ 24.77
Granted	2,819	\$ 22.16
Vested and released	(94)	\$ 36.59
Traded for taxes	(64)	\$ 36.39
Forfeited	(471)	\$ 25.49
Outstanding restricted stock units as of September 30, 2009	7,654	\$ 23.52

The restricted stock units granted by the Company since the beginning of the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 generally vest in two equal installments on the second and fourth anniversaries of the date of grant. Prior to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, the restricted stock units granted by the Company generally vested in two equal installments over four or five years from the anniversary date of the grant. The value of the restricted stock units is based on the closing market price of the Company's common stock on the date of award. The restricted stock units

## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

have been awarded under the Company's 2004 Plan, and each unit will entitle the recipient to one share of common stock when the applicable vesting requirements for that unit are satisfied. However, for each share actually issued under the awarded restricted stock units, the share reserve under the 2004 Plan will be reduced by 1.8 shares, as provided under the terms of the 2004 Plan.

As of September 30, 2009, the unrecognized stock-based compensation balance related to restricted stock units was \$136.9 million and will be recognized over an estimated weighted-average amortization period of 2.1 years.

**Table of Contents**

In connection with the vested and released restricted stock units, the Company realized tax benefits as follows:

(In thousands)	Three months ended September 30,	
	2009	2008
Tax benefits realized in connection with vested and released restricted stock units	\$ 1,638	\$ 11,679

**Employee Stock Purchase Plan**

KLA-Tencor's Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) provides that eligible employees may contribute up to 10% of their eligible earnings toward the semi-annual purchase of KLA-Tencor's common stock. The ESPP is qualified under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code. The employee's purchase price is derived from a formula based on the fair market value of the common stock at the time of enrollment into the offering period versus the fair market value on the date of purchase. During the quarter ended December 31, 2008, the Company's Board of Directors, as part of the Company's ongoing efforts to reduce operating expenses, approved amendments to the ESPP so as to, among other things, reduce each offering period under the ESPP (and therefore the length of the look-back period) from 24 months to 6 months. This change became effective January 1, 2009, such that the offering period that began on January 1, 2009 had a duration of six months, and the purchase price with respect to such offering period was 85% of the lesser of (i) the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the commencement of the six-month offering period or (ii) the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the purchase date.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2009, the Company's Board of Directors approved further amendments to the ESPP in continuation of the Company's cost reduction efforts. These most recent amendments to the ESPP (a) eliminate the look-back feature (i.e., the reference to the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the commencement of the applicable six-month offering period) and (b) reduce the purchase price discount from 15% to 5%. These changes were effective July 1, 2009, such that the purchase price with respect to each offering period beginning on or after such date will be 95% of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the purchase date.

The Company estimated the fair value of purchase rights under the ESPP using a Black-Scholes valuation model. The fair value of each purchase right under the ESPP was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model and the straight-line attribution approach with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three months ended September 30,	
	2009	2008
Stock purchase plan:		
Expected stock price volatility	(*)	41%
Risk free interest rate	(*)	1.8%
Dividend yield	(*)	1.4%
Expected life of options (in years)	(*)	1.3

\* These most recent amendments to the ESPP eliminate the look-back feature. No compensation cost is recognized as the purchase price is based solely on the market price of the shares at the purchase date and the discount on the purchase price is 5%.

The ESPP shares are replenished annually on the first day of each fiscal year by virtue of an evergreen provision. The provision allows for share replenishment equal to the lesser of 2.0 million shares or the number of shares which KLA-Tencor estimates will be required to issue under the ESPP during the forthcoming fiscal year. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, a total of 2.0 million additional shares were reserved under the ESPP, and an additional 2.0 million shares are expected to be reserved under the ESPP during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010. As of September 30, 2009 (without taking into account any shares expected to be added to the ESPP with respect to the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010), a total of 1.4 million shares were reserved and available for issuance under the ESPP.

**Table of Contents**

In connection with the disqualifying dispositions of shares purchased under the ESPP, the Company realized tax benefits as the following:

(In thousands)	Three months ended	
	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2008
Tax benefits realized in connection with disqualifying dispositions of ESPP shares	\$ 713	\$ 226

**Executive Severance and Consulting Agreement**

During August 2008, the Company announced that effective January 1, 2009, John H. Kispert, the Company's former President and Chief Operating Officer, would cease to be an employee of the Company. In accordance with the terms of a Severance and Consulting Agreement entered into between the Company and Mr. Kispert dated August 28, 2008, Mr. Kispert received, in addition to certain cash payments and benefits, the following benefits related to his outstanding equity awards: (i) accelerated, pro-rated vesting of the unvested portion (as of the date that his employment with the Company terminated) of all of his outstanding restricted stock units, such that a percentage of the unvested portion of each such restricted stock unit grant, representing the portion of the entire service vesting period under such grant that would have been served by Mr. Kispert as of the date that he ceased to be an employee of the Company, was accelerated; (ii) the acceleration of the delivery of all restricted stock units for which vesting is accelerated in accordance with the provisions of the Severance and Consulting Agreement; and (iii) the extension of the post-termination exercise period of each of Mr. Kispert's stock options so that each such option will remain exercisable for twelve months following the date Mr. Kispert ceased to be an employee of the Company, but in no event beyond the original term of the award. In connection with the stock-related benefits agreed to under such agreement, the Company recorded an additional non-cash, stock-based compensation charge of approximately \$4.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2008, which is included as a component of selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expense.

**NOTE 9 STOCK REPURCHASE PROGRAM**

Since July 1997, the Board of Directors has authorized the Company to systematically repurchase up to 62.8 million shares of its common stock under the repurchase program in the open market. This plan was put into place to reduce the dilution from KLA-Tencor's employee benefit and incentive plans such as the stock option and employee stock purchase plans, and to return excess cash to the Company's shareholders. Subject to market conditions, applicable legal requirements and other factors, the repurchases will be made from time to time in the open market in compliance with applicable securities laws, including the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the rules promulgated thereunder such as Rule 10b-18. In October 2008, the Company suspended its stock repurchase program. At September 30, 2009, 9.8 million shares were available for repurchase under the Company's repurchase program.

Share repurchases for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

(In thousands)	Three months ended	
	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2008
Number of shares of common stock repurchased		4,960
Total cost of repurchase		\$ 180,041

**NOTE 10 NET INCOME PER SHARE**

Basic net income per share is calculated by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated by using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period increased to include the number of additional shares of common stock that would have been outstanding if the dilutive potential shares of common stock had been issued. The dilutive effect of outstanding options and restricted stock units is reflected in diluted earnings per share by application of the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, the amount the employee must pay for exercising stock options, the amount of compensation cost for future service that the Company has not yet recognized, and the amount of tax benefits that would be recorded in additional paid-in capital when the award becomes deductible are assumed to be used to repurchase shares. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per share:



Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

(In thousands, except per share data)	Three months ended	
	September 30,	
	2009	2008
Numerator:		
Net income	\$ 20,405	\$ 19,289
Denominator:		
Weighted-average shares outstanding, excluding unvested restricted stock units	170,698	172,088
Effect of dilutive options and restricted stock	2,020	2,298
Denominator for diluted earnings per share	172,718	174,386
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.11
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.11
Anti-dilutive securities excluded from the computation of diluted net income per share	12,242	12,572

**Table of Contents**

The total amount of dividends paid during the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 were \$25.6 million and \$25.8 million, respectively.

**NOTE 11 COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

The components of comprehensive income (loss), net of tax, are as follows:

(In thousands)	Three months ended	
	September 30,	
	2009	2008
Net income	\$ 20,405	\$ 19,289
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Currency translation adjustments	9,089	(63,623)
Gain on cash flow hedging instruments, net	162	2,137
Change in unrecognized losses and transition obligation related to pension and post retirement plans	18	170
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments, net	1,573	(2,530)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 10,842	\$ (63,846)
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 31,247	\$ (44,557)

**NOTE 12 INCOME TAXES**

The Company recorded a provision of \$2.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009, which is an effective tax rate of 10.5%. The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2009 was an expense on income before taxes. The tax expense was reduced by approximately 18 percentage points in the three months ended September 30, 2009 due to a non-taxable increase in the assets held within the Executive Deferred Savings Plan.

The effective tax rate for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 was a benefit of 13.1% on a loss before income taxes. The tax benefit was reduced by approximately 16 percentage points for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 due to the effect of a \$276.6 million goodwill impairment charge, which is non-deductible for tax purposes.

In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to examination by taxing authorities throughout the world. The Company is not under United States federal income tax examination at this time. The Company remains subject to federal income tax examination for all years beginning from the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. The Company is subject to state income tax examinations for all years beginning from the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005. The Company is also subject to examinations in major foreign jurisdictions, including Japan, Israel and Singapore, for all years beginning from the fiscal year ended June 30, 2004 and is currently under tax examinations in various other foreign tax jurisdictions. It is possible that certain examinations may be concluded in the next twelve months. The Company believes it is possible that it may recognize \$11.7 million of its existing unrecognized tax benefits within the next twelve months as a result of the lapse of statutes of limitations, and the resolution of agreements with various foreign tax authorities.

**NOTE 13 LITIGATION AND OTHER LEGAL MATTERS**

**Government Inquiries and SEC Settlement Relating to Historical Stock Option Practices.** On May 23, 2006, the Company received a subpoena from the United States Attorney's Office ( USAO ) requesting information relating to the Company's past stock option grants and related accounting matters. Also on May 23, 2006, the Company received a letter from the SEC making an informal inquiry and request for information on the same subject matters. The Company learned on February 2, 2007 that the SEC had opened a formal investigation into these matters. The Company cooperated fully with the SEC investigation. On July 25, 2007, the Company announced that it had reached a settlement with the SEC by consenting to the entry of a permanent injunction against future violations of the reporting, books and records, and internal controls provisions of the federal securities laws. The settlement resolves completely the SEC investigation into the Company's historical stock option granting practices. KLA-Tencor was not charged by the SEC with

**Table of Contents**

fraud, nor was the Company required to pay any civil penalty, fine or money damages as part of the settlement. On July 31, 2008, the USAO informed the Company that it had closed its investigation and had determined not to take any action against the Company. Both the SEC and USAO investigations with respect to the Company are now closed.

The Company has also responded to inquiries from the U.S. Department of Labor ( DOL ), which conducted an examination of the Company s 401(k) Savings Plan prompted by the Company s stock option issues. The Company cooperated fully with this examination, and the DOL has advised the Company that it has closed its examination with no further action, subject to confirmation of resolution of any potential claims on behalf of the Company s 401(k) Savings Plan in connection with its investments in the Company s stock. The Company believes there is no basis for any such claims; however, an independent fiduciary appointed to act in the best interests of the Company s 401(k) Savings Plan has elected to participate in the previously announced settlement of the shareholder class action of all potential non-ERISA claims (described below), which will involve no additional cost to the Company, and the Company has entered into a separate settlement with the independent fiduciary of any and all potential ERISA claims, in which the Company denied all liability and paid the Company s 401(k) Savings Plan a total of \$25,000. As a result, the DOL examination has been concluded without any material adverse consequence to the Company. In addition, the Internal Revenue Service conducted an audit covering calendar year 2006 related to the Company s historical stock option practices, which was concluded in July 2008 with a payment by the Company of \$0.1 million. There can be no assurance that other inquiries, investigations or actions will not be started by other United States federal or state regulatory agencies or by foreign governmental agencies.

**Shareholder Derivative Litigation Relating to Historical Stock Option Practices.** Beginning on May 22, 2006, several persons and entities identifying themselves as shareholders of KLA-Tencor filed derivative actions purporting to assert claims on behalf of and in the name of the Company against several of the Company s current and former directors and officers relating to its accounting for stock options issued from 1994 to the present. The complaints in these actions allege that the individual defendants breached their fiduciary duties and other obligations to the Company and violated state and federal securities laws in connection with the Company s historical stock option granting process, its accounting for past stock options, and historical sales of stock by the individual defendants. Three substantially similar actions are pending, one in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (the Federal Derivative Action, which consists of three separate lawsuits consolidated into one action); one in the California Superior Court for Santa Clara County; and one in the Delaware Chancery Court.

The plaintiffs in the derivative actions have asserted claims for violations of Sections 10(b) (including Rule 10b-5 thereunder), 14(a), and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, unjust enrichment, breach of fiduciary duty and aiding and abetting such breach, negligence, misappropriation of information, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, waste of corporate assets, breach of contract, constructive fraud, rescission, and violations of California Corporations Code section 25402, as well as a claim for an accounting of all stock option grants made to the named defendants. KLA-Tencor is named as a nominal defendant in these actions. On behalf of KLA-Tencor, the plaintiffs seek unspecified monetary and other relief against the named defendants. The plaintiffs are James Ziolkowski, Mark Ziering, Alaska Electrical Pension Fund, Jeffrey Rabin and Benjamin Langford. The individual named defendants are current directors and officers Edward W. Barnholt, Robert T. Bond, Stephen P. Kaufman, and Richard P. Wallace; and former directors and officers H. Raymond Bingham, Robert J. Boehlke, Leo Chamberlain, Gary E. Dickerson, Richard J. Elkus, Jr., Dennis J. Fortino, Jeffrey L. Hall, John H. Kispert, Kenneth Levy, Michael E. Marks, Stuart J. Nichols, Arthur P. Schnitzer, Kenneth L. Schroeder, Jon D. Tompkins and Lida Urbanek. Current director David C. Wang and former director Dean O. Morton were originally named as defendants in one of the derivative actions filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, but were dropped as named defendants as of December 22, 2006 upon the filing of a consolidated complaint in that action.

The derivative actions are at an early procedural stage. The defendants are not yet required to respond to the complaints in the actions. The Company s Board of Directors appointed a Special Litigation Committee ( SLC ) composed solely of independent directors to conduct an independent investigation of the claims asserted in the derivative actions and to determine the Company s position with respect to those claims. On March 25, 2008, the SLC filed a motion to terminate the Federal Derivative Action and to approve certain settlements with Gary E. Dickerson, Kenneth Levy, Kenneth Schroeder and Jon D. Tompkins related to the claims brought against them in connection with the derivative actions. The Court denied the motion to terminate and to approve the settlements on December 12, 2008. The SLC filed an appeal and petition for writ of mandate challenging that decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, which dismissed the appeal on May 8, 2009 and denied the petition for writ of mandate on July 10, 2009. As a result, the derivative actions remain ongoing. The defendants have not yet responded to the complaint in the Federal Derivative Action and will not be required to do so until after plaintiff has had an opportunity to amend the complaint. The plaintiffs are expected to file an amended complaint by November 2, 2009. The parties are currently participating in a mediation of the derivative claims in the Federal Action. No defendant is yet required to answer the complaints in the state court derivative actions in the California Superior Court for Santa Clara County and the Delaware Chancery Court. Response dates in the California Superior Court action have been stayed until responses are due in the Federal Derivative Action. It is not known whether the California Superior Court action will remain stayed after that time. On March 17, 2009, the Delaware Chancery Court issued an order staying the Delaware action so that the litigation of the issues can be confined to the prior Federal Derivative Action. Plaintiff sought leave to appeal the stay decision, which was denied by the Chancery Court on April 14, 2009. Plaintiff subsequently filed a notice of appeal with the Delaware Supreme Court seeking to overturn the Chancery Court s denial of the application to appeal, which the Delaware Supreme Court denied on April 27, 2009.



**Table of Contents**

The Company cannot predict whether these derivative actions are likely to result in any material recovery by or expense to KLA-Tencor.

**Shareholder Class Action Litigation Relating to Historical Stock Option Practices.** KLA-Tencor and various of its current and former directors and officers were named as defendants in putative securities class action filed on June 29, 2006 in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. Two similar actions were filed later in the same court, and all three cases were consolidated into a single action. On September 26, 2008, Judge Charles Breyer of the Northern District granted final approval of a settlement resolving all class claims and dismissing with prejudice all claims brought by the consolidated action. The class action had alleged material misrepresentations in the Company's SEC filings and public statements and brought claims under Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, Section 14(a), Section 20(a), and Section 20A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as a result of the Company's past stock option grants and related accounting and reporting. The settlement resolved all claims against all defendants, who were KLA-Tencor, Edward W. Barnholt, H. Raymond Bingham, Robert T. Bond, Gary E. Dickerson, Richard J. Elkus, Jr., Jeffrey L. Hall, Stephen P. Kaufman, John H. Kispert, Kenneth Levy, Michael E. Marks, Stuart J. Nichols, Kenneth L. Schroeder, Jon D. Tompkins, Lida Urbaneck and Richard P. Wallace.

The Company made a payment of \$65.0 million to the settlement class as a term of the court-approved settlement during the three months ended September 30, 2008, which provides a full release of KLA-Tencor and the other named defendants in connection with the allegations raised in the lawsuit. The Company had reached an agreement in principle to resolve the action prior to December 31, 2007, and therefore an amount of \$65.0 million was accrued by a charge to selling, general and administrative expenses during the three months ended December 31, 2007.

Another plaintiff, Chris Crimi, filed a putative class action complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Santa Clara on September 4, 2007 against the Company and certain of its current and former directors and officers. The plaintiff sought to represent a class consisting of persons who held KLA-Tencor common stock between September 20, 2002 and September 27, 2006, originally alleging causes of action for breach of fiduciary duty and rescission based on alleged misstatements and omissions in the Company's SEC filings concerning the Company's past stock option grants, and seeking unspecified damages based upon purported dilution of the Company's stock, injunctive relief, and rescission. The plaintiff named the Company, Edward W. Barnholt, H. Raymond Bingham, Robert T. Bond, Richard J. Elkus, Jr., Stephen P. Kaufman, Kenneth Levy, Michael E. Marks, Dean O. Morton, Kenneth L. Schroeder, Jon D. Tompkins, and Richard P. Wallace as defendants in the action. The Company filed a demurrer to the complaint, which was sustained, and then removed the case to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California upon plaintiff's filing an amended complaint. The Company then filed a motion to dismiss the action in the Northern District of California, which was granted in part, with the remaining claims being remanded back to the California Superior Court on September 12, 2008. The Company filed a demurrer to plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint and plaintiff responded by agreeing to dismiss the action with prejudice, bringing an end to this action.

As part of a derivative lawsuit filed in the Delaware Chancery Court on July 21, 2006, a plaintiff claiming to be a KLA-Tencor shareholder also asserted a separate putative class action claim against the Company and certain of its current and former directors and officers alleging that shareholders incurred damage due to purported dilution of KLA-Tencor common stock resulting from historical stock option granting practices. On March 17, 2009, the Delaware Chancery Court dismissed the putative class action claim and stayed the derivative claims in the action. Plaintiff sought leave to appeal this decision, which the Chancery Court denied on April 14, 2009. Plaintiff subsequently filed a notice of appeal with the Delaware Supreme Court seeking to overturn the Chancery Court's denial of the application to appeal, which the Delaware Supreme Court denied on April 27, 2009.

A final judgment has not been entered in the Delaware Chancery Court action, and the Company cannot predict the final outcome or estimate the likelihood or potential dollar amount of any adverse result. However, an unfavorable outcome in this litigation could have a material adverse impact upon the financial position, results of operations or cash flows for the period in which the outcome occurs and in future periods.

**Litigation with Former CEO Kenneth Schroeder.** On April 17, 2009, Kenneth Schroeder, the Company's former Chief Executive Officer, served the Company with a lawsuit filed in the California Superior Court for Santa Clara County asserting various contract and tort claims in connection with the Company's termination of Mr. Schroeder and the cancellation of certain of his stock options and restricted stock units in October 2006. The Company filed a motion to compel arbitration of Mr. Schroeder's claims on June 15, 2009. After the Company filed the motion to compel, Mr. Schroeder stipulated to arbitration, and the California Superior Court for Santa Clara County issued an order compelling the arbitration of his claims and staying the state court action on July 27, 2009. Mr. Schroeder initiated an AAA arbitration claim against the Company on August 7, 2009. In response, the Company filed an Answer and Counterclaim on September 14, 2009. The Company alleged counterclaims against Mr. Schroeder for breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, fraudulent concealment, declaratory relief and equitable indemnification. The arbitration hearing is scheduled to begin on April 25, 2010. The Company denies having any liability and intends to vigorously defend itself against all claims asserted by Mr. Schroeder.

**Table of Contents**

At this early stage of the litigation, the Company cannot predict the final outcome or estimate the likelihood or potential dollar amount of any adverse result. However, an unfavorable outcome in this litigation could have a material adverse impact upon the financial position, results of operations or cash flows for the period in which the outcome occurs and in future periods.

**Indemnification Obligations.** Subject to certain limitations, the Company is obligated to indemnify its current and former directors, officers and employees in connection with the investigation of the Company's historical stock option practices and the related litigation and ongoing government inquiry. These obligations arise under the terms of the Company's certificate of incorporation, its bylaws, applicable contracts, and Delaware and California law. The obligation to indemnify generally means that the Company is required to pay or reimburse the individuals reasonable legal expenses and possibly damages and other liabilities incurred in connection with these matters. The Company is currently paying or reimbursing legal expenses being incurred in connection with these matters by a number of its current and former directors, officers and employees. It is also paying defense costs to two former officers and employees facing SEC civil actions to which the Company is not a party. Although the maximum potential amount of future payments KLA-Tencor could be required to make under these agreements is theoretically unlimited, the Company believes the fair value of this liability, to the extent estimable, is appropriately considered within the reserve it has established for currently pending legal proceedings.

**Other Legal Matters.** The Company is named from time to time as a party to lawsuits in the normal course of its business. Actions filed against the Company include commercial, intellectual property, customer, and labor and employment related claims, including complaints of alleged wrongful termination and potential class action lawsuits regarding alleged violations of federal and state wage and hour and other laws. Litigation, in general, and intellectual property and securities litigation in particular, can be expensive and disruptive to normal business operations. Moreover, the results of legal proceedings are difficult to predict, and the costs incurred in litigation can be substantial, regardless of outcome. The Company believes the amounts provided in its financial statements are adequate in light of the probable and estimated liabilities. However, because such matters are subject to many uncertainties, the ultimate outcomes are not predictable and there can be no assurances that the actual amounts required to satisfy alleged liabilities from the matters described above will not exceed the amounts reflected in the Company's financial statements or will not have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

**NOTE 14 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

**Factoring.** KLA-Tencor has agreements with financial institutions to sell certain of its trade receivables and promissory notes from customers without recourse. KLA-Tencor does not believe it is at risk for any material losses as a result of these agreements. In addition, from time to time KLA-Tencor will discount without recourse Letters of Credit ( LCs ) received from customers in payment for goods.

The following table shows total receivables sold under factoring agreements and proceeds from sales of LCs and related discounting fees paid for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

(In thousands)	Three months ended	
	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2008
Receivables sold under factoring agreements	\$ 30,201	\$ 82,271
Proceeds from sales of LCs	\$ 10,507	\$ 8,386
Discounting fees paid on sales of LCs (1)	\$ 123	\$ 38

(1) Discounting fees were equivalent to interest expense and were recorded in interest income and other, net.

**Facilities.** KLA-Tencor leases certain of its facilities under arrangements, which are accounted for as operating lease.

**Table of Contents**

The following is a schedule of operating lease payments (in thousands):

Fiscal year ended June 30,	Amount
2010 (remaining 9 months)	\$ 7,091
2011	7,086
2012	4,691
2013	3,491
2014	2,490
2015 and thereafter	6,380
<b>Total minimum lease payments</b>	<b>\$ 31,229</b>

Rent expense was approximately \$2.6 million and \$2.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

**Purchase Commitments.** KLA-Tencor maintains certain open inventory purchase commitments with its suppliers to ensure a smooth and continuous supply for key components. KLA-Tencor's liability under these purchase commitments is generally restricted to a forecasted time-horizon as mutually agreed upon between the parties. This forecast time-horizon can vary among different suppliers. The Company's open inventory purchase commitments were approximately \$122.6 million as of September 30, 2009 and are primarily due within the next 12 months. Actual expenditures will vary based upon the volume of the transactions and length of contractual service provided. In addition, the amounts paid under these arrangements may change in the event that the arrangements are renegotiated or canceled. Certain agreements provide for potential cancellation penalties.

**Guarantees.** KLA-Tencor provides standard warranty coverage on its systems for 40 hours per week for twelve months, providing labor and parts necessary to repair the systems during the warranty period. KLA-Tencor accounts for the estimated warranty cost as a charge to cost of revenues when revenue is recognized. The estimated warranty cost is based on historical product performance and field expenses. Utilizing actual service records, KLA-Tencor calculates the average service hours and parts expense per system and applies the actual labor and overhead rates to determine the estimated warranty charge. KLA-Tencor updates these estimated charges on a quarterly basis. The actual product performance and/or field expense profiles may differ, and in those cases KLA-Tencor adjusts its warranty accruals accordingly.

The following table provides the balances and changes in the product warranty accrual for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

(In thousands)	Three months ended September 30,	
	2009	2008
Beginning balance	\$ 18,213	\$ 38,700
Accruals for warranties issued during the period	4,733	5,142
Changes in liability related to pre-existing warranties	(1,925)	2,415
Settlements made during the period	(5,969)	(12,340)
<b>Ending balance</b>	<b>\$ 15,052</b>	<b>\$ 33,917</b>

Subject to certain limitations, KLA-Tencor indemnifies its current and former officers and directors for certain events or occurrences. Although the maximum potential amount of future payments KLA-Tencor could be required to make under these agreements is theoretically unlimited, the Company believes the fair value of this liability, to the extent estimable, is appropriately considered within the reserve it has established for currently pending legal proceedings.

KLA-Tencor is a party to a variety of agreements pursuant to which it may be obligated to indemnify the other party with respect to certain matters. Typically, these obligations arise in connection with contracts and license agreements or the sale of assets, under which the Company customarily agrees to hold the other party harmless against losses arising from a breach of warranties, representations and covenants related to such matters as title to assets sold, validity of certain intellectual property rights, non-infringement of third-party rights, and certain income tax-related matters. In each of these circumstances, payment by the Company is typically subject to the other party making a claim to and

## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

cooperating with the Company pursuant to the procedures specified in the particular contract. This usually allows the Company to challenge the other party's claims or, in case of breach of intellectual property representations or covenants, to control the defense or settlement of any third-party claims brought against the other party. Further, the Company's obligations under these agreements may be limited in terms of amounts, activity (typically at the Company's option to replace or correct the products or terminate the agreement with a refund to the other party), and duration. In some instances, the Company may have recourse against third parties and/or insurance covering certain payments made by the Company.



**Table of Contents**

It is not possible to predict the maximum potential amount of future payments under these or similar agreements due to the conditional nature of the Company's obligations and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement. Historically, payments made by the Company under these agreements have not had a material effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company maintains guarantee arrangements of \$17.0 million in various locations to fund customs guarantees for VAT and letter of credit needs of its subsidiaries in Europe and Asia. Approximately \$10.3 million was outstanding under these arrangements as of September 30, 2009.

**NOTE 15 RESTRUCTURING CHARGES**

In March 2009, the Company announced a plan to further reduce its global workforce by approximately 10%, which followed the Company's announcement in November 2008 of a global workforce reduction of approximately 15%. The Company has undertaken a number of cost reduction activities, including these workforce reductions, in an effort to lower its quarterly operating expense run rate. The program in the United States is accounted for in accordance with the authoritative guidance related to compensation for nonretirement postemployment benefits, whereas the programs in the international locations are accounted for in accordance with the authoritative guidance for contingencies.

The following table shows the activity primarily related to severance and benefits expense for the three months ended September 30, 2009:

(In thousands)	Three months ended September 30, 2009	Three months ended September 30, 2008
Beginning Balance	\$ 8,086	\$ 1,333
Restructuring costs	583	1,333
Adjustments	(536)	
Cash payments	(4,099)	
Ending Balance	\$ 4,034	\$ 1,333

Substantially all of the restructuring charges related to the Company's workforce reductions announced in November 2008 and March 2009 are expected to be paid out by the end of calendar year 2009.

**NOTE 16 DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES**

The authoritative guidance for derivatives requires companies to recognize all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities at fair value in the statement of financial position. In accordance with the guidance, the Company designates foreign currency forward exchange contracts as cash flow hedges of certain forecasted foreign currency denominated sales and purchase transactions.

KLA-Tencor's foreign subsidiaries operate and sell KLA-Tencor's products in various global markets. As a result, KLA-Tencor is exposed to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. KLA-Tencor utilizes foreign currency forward exchange contracts and options to hedge against future movements in foreign exchange rates that affect certain existing and forecasted foreign currency denominated sales and purchase transactions. KLA-Tencor does not use derivative financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes. The Company routinely hedges its exposures to certain foreign currencies with various financial institutions in an effort to minimize the impact of certain currency exchange rate fluctuations. If a financial counter-party to any of the Company's hedging arrangement experiences financial difficulties or is otherwise unable to honor the terms of the foreign currency hedge, the Company may experience material financial losses.

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing either hedge ineffectiveness or hedge components excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are recognized in current earnings.

For derivative instruments that are not designated as accounting hedges, gains and losses are recognized in interest income and other, net. The majority of such derivatives are foreign currency forward contracts to hedge certain foreign currency denominated assets or liabilities. The gains and losses on these derivatives are largely offset by the changes in the fair value of the assets or liabilities being hedged.



**Table of Contents**

The outstanding hedge contracts, with maximum maturity of 13 months, were as follows:

(In thousands)	As of September 30, 2009	As of June 30, 2009
<b>Cash flow hedge contracts</b>		
Purchase	\$ 4,377	\$
Sell	(21,124)	(36,938)
<b>Other foreign currency hedge contracts</b>		
Purchase	93,140	73,914
Sell	(74,597)	(106,080)
<b>Net</b>	<b>\$ 1,796</b>	<b>\$ (69,104)</b>

The location and fair value amounts of the Company's derivative instruments reported in its Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009 were as follows:

(In thousands)	Balance Sheet Location	Asset Derivatives		Balance Sheet Location	Liability Derivatives	
		September 30, 2009	June 30, 2009		September 30, 2009	June 30, 2009
		Fair Value	Fair Value		Fair Value	Fair Value
<b>Derivatives designated as hedging instrument</b>						
Foreign exchange contract	Other current assets	\$ 90	\$ 441	Other current liabilities	\$ 216	\$ 657
<b>Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments</b>		<b>\$ 90</b>	<b>\$ 441</b>		<b>\$ 216</b>	<b>\$ 657</b>
<b>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</b>						
Foreign exchange contract	Other current assets	\$ 341	\$ 1,803	Other current liabilities	\$ 2,171	\$ 2,142
Other(1)	Other current assets	2,387	2,416			
<b>Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</b>		<b>2,728</b>	<b>4,219</b>		<b>\$ 2,171</b>	<b>\$ 2,142</b>
<b>Total derivatives</b>		<b>\$ 2,818</b>	<b>\$ 4,660</b>		<b>\$ 2,387</b>	<b>\$ 2,799</b>

(1) Includes the Put Option to sell the Company's auction rate securities at par value to UBS.

The location and amounts of designated derivative instruments' gains and losses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended September 30, 2009 are as follows:

(In thousands)	Amount of Gain Recognized in AOCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)	Location of (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffectiveness Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffectiveness Portion and Amount Excluded from
Table of Contents					43

Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

<b>Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships</b>	<b>Three months ended September 30, 2009</b>		<b>Three months ended September 30, 2009</b>		<b>Effectiveness Testing) Three months ended September 30, 2009</b>			
Foreign exchange contracts	\$	(202)	Revenues	\$	(212)	Interest income and other, net	\$	(521)
Foreign exchange contracts			Costs of revenues					
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>(202)</b>		<b>\$</b>	<b>(212)</b>		<b>\$</b>	<b>(521)</b>

**Table of Contents**

The location and amounts of designated derivative instruments gains and losses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended September 30, 2008 are as follows:

(In thousands)	Amount of Gain Recognized in AOCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) Three months ended September 30, 2008	Location of (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion) Three months ended September 30, 2008	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffectiveness Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffectiveness Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) Three months ended September 30, 2008
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships				Interest income and other, net	
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 199	Revenues	\$ (3,523)		\$ 383
Foreign exchange contracts		Costs of revenues	\$ 275		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 199</b>		<b>\$ (3,248)</b>		<b>\$ 383</b>

The location and amounts of non-designated derivative instruments gains and losses in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

(In thousands)	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative Three months ended September 30,	
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments		2009	2008
Foreign exchange contracts	Interest income and other, net	\$ (2,513)	\$ (11,348)
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$ (2,513)</b>	<b>\$ (11,348)</b>

The following table provides the balances and changes in the accumulated other comprehensive income related to derivative instruments for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

(In thousands)	Three months ended September 30,	
	2009	2008
Beginning balance	\$ (1,613)	\$ (6,779)
Amount reclassified to income	462	3,248
Net change	(202)	199
Ending balance	\$ (1,353)	\$ (3,332)

**NOTE 17 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

During the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company purchased from, or sold to, JDS Uniphase Corporation, Freescale Semiconductor, Inc., National Semiconductor Corp., STMicroelectronics, NV, Oracle Corporation and Cisco Systems, where one or more members of the Company's Board of Directors, or their immediate family members, also serves (or, for the applicable time period, served) as an executive officer or board member. For the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company's total revenues from transactions with these parties (for the portion of such period that they were considered related) were approximately \$3 million and \$3 million, respectively. In addition, for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company's total purchases from transactions with these parties (for the portion of such period that they were considered related) were approximately \$1 million and \$1 million, respectively. The Company had a receivable balance from these parties of approximately \$2 million and \$1 million at September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009, respectively. Management believes that such transactions are at arms length and on similar terms as would have been obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

### **NOTE 18 SEGMENT REPORTING AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION**

KLA-Tencor reports one reportable segment in accordance with the provisions of the authoritative guidance for segment reporting. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. KLA-Tencor's chief operating decision maker is the Chief Executive Officer.

**Table of Contents**

KLA-Tencor is engaged primarily in designing, manufacturing, and marketing process control and yield management solutions for the semiconductor and related nanoelectronics industries. All operating units have been aggregated due to their inter-dependencies, commonality of long-term economic characteristics, products and services, the production processes, class of customer and distribution processes. The Company's service products are an extension of the system product portfolio and provide customers with spare parts and fab management services (including system preventive maintenance and optimization services) to improve yield, increase production uptime and throughput, and lower the cost of ownership. Since KLA-Tencor operates in one segment, all financial segment information can be found in the condensed consolidated financial statements.

KLA-Tencor's significant operations outside the United States include manufacturing facilities in Israel and Singapore, and sales, marketing and service offices in Western Europe, Japan and the Asia Pacific region. For geographical revenue reporting, revenues are attributed to the geographic location in which the customer is located. Long-lived assets consist primarily of net property and equipment and are attributed to the geographic region in which they are located.

The following is a summary of revenues by geographic region for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	Three months ended September 30,			
	2009		2008	
Revenues:				
United States	\$ 74,557	22%	\$ 90,554	17%
Taiwan	122,119	36%	85,518	16%
Japan	59,352	17%	169,907	32%
Europe & Israel	23,925	7%	43,427	8%
Korea	29,512	8%	87,861	16%
Rest of Asia Pacific	33,222	10%	55,246	11%
Total	\$ 342,687	100%	\$ 532,513	100%

Long-lived assets by geographic region as of September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009 were as follows:

(In thousands)	September 30, 2009	June 30, 2009
Long-lived assets:		
United States	\$ 226,682	\$ 239,863
Taiwan	941	1,021
Japan	4,264	4,308
Europe & Israel	142,400	143,410
Korea	3,658	3,764
Rest of Asia Pacific	62,528	64,868
Total	\$ 440,473	\$ 457,234

The following is a summary of revenues by major products for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 (as a percentage of total revenue):

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	Three months ended September 30,			
	2009		2008	
Revenues:				
Defect inspection	\$ 171,746	50%	\$ 301,697	57%
Metrology	45,336	13%	85,810	16%

## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

Service	112,188	33%	125,062	23%
Other	13,417	4%	19,945	4%
 Total	 \$ 342,687	 100%	 \$ 532,514	 100%

For the three months ended September 30, 2009, two customers, Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Limited and Intel Corporation, accounted for greater than 10% of revenue. For the three months ended September 30, 2008, one customer, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., accounted for greater than 10% of revenue. As of September 30, 2009, two customers, Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Limited and Intel Corporation, accounted for greater than 10% of net accounts receivable. As of June 30, 2009, no customer accounted for greater than 10% of net accounts receivable.



**Table of Contents****ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS  
SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

*This report contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. All statements other than statements of historical fact may be forward-looking statements. You can identify these and other forward-looking statements by the use of words such as may, will, could, would, should, expects, plans, anticipates, relies, believes, estimates, predicts, intends, potential, continue, thinks, seeks, or the negative of such terms, or other comparable terminology. Forward-looking statements also include the assumptions underlying or relating to any of the foregoing statements. Such forward-looking statements include, among others, forecasts of the future results of our operations; the percentage of spending that our customers allocate to process control; orders for our products and capital equipment generally; sales of semiconductors; the allocation of capital spending by our customers; growth of revenue in the semiconductor industry, the semiconductor capital equipment industry and our business; technological trends in the semiconductor industry; future developments or trends in the global capital and financial markets; the availability of the offer to repurchase our auction rate securities by the securities firm from which we purchased such securities; the future impact of the restatement of our historical financial statements, shareholder litigation and related matters arising from the discovery that we had retroactively priced stock options (primarily from July 1, 1997 to June 30, 2002) and had not accounted for them correctly; our future product offerings and product features; the success and market acceptance of new products; timing of shipment of backlog; the future of our product shipments and our product and service revenues; our future gross margins; our future selling, general and administrative expenses; our ability to successfully implement our efforts to reduce our operating costs, and the anticipated cost savings to be realized from such efforts; international sales and operations; our ability to maintain or improve our existing competitive position; success of our product offerings; creation and funding of programs for research and development; attraction and retention of employees; results of our investment in leading edge technologies; the effects of hedging transactions; the effect of the sale of trade receivables and promissory notes from customers; our future income tax rate; dividends; the completion of any acquisitions of third parties, or the technology or assets thereof; benefits received from any acquisitions and development of acquired technologies; sufficiency of our existing cash balance, investments and cash generated from operations to meet our operating and working capital requirements; and the adoption of new accounting pronouncements.*

*Our actual results may differ significantly from those projected in the forward-looking statements in this report. Factors that might cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in Item 1A, *Risk Factors* in this report as well as in Item 1, *Business* and Item 7, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* in this report. You should carefully review these risks and also review the risks described in other documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the *Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q* that we will file during the remainder of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2010. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, and we expressly assume no obligation to update the forward-looking statements in this report after the date hereof.*

**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND POLICIES**

The preparation of our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions in applying our accounting policies that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 describes the significant accounting policies and methods used in preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements. We based these estimates and assumptions on historical experience, and evaluate them on an on-going basis to ensure that they remain reasonable under current conditions. Actual results could differ from those estimates. We discuss the development and selection of the critical accounting estimates with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors on a quarterly basis, and the Audit Committee has reviewed the Company's related disclosure in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The accounting policies that reflect our more significant estimates, judgments and assumptions and which we believe are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results include the following:

Revenue Recognition

Inventories

Warranty

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Stock-Based Compensation

---

**Table of Contents**

Contingencies and Litigation

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Income Taxes

System revenues recognized without a written acceptance from the customer were approximately 17%, 17% and 14% of total revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2009, June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008, respectively. Shipping charges billed to customers are included in system revenues, and the related shipping costs are included in costs of revenues.

With the exception of the below paragraph that discusses the impact of Accounting Standards Codification on our critical accounting estimates and policies for fair value measurements, during the three months ended September 30, 2009 there were no significant changes in our critical accounting estimates and policies. Please refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in Part II, Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for our fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 for a more complete discussion of our critical accounting policies and estimates.

*Adoption of fair value measurement*

We adopted authoritative guidance for fair value measurements as of the beginning of fiscal year 2009. In February 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued a provision, which allows companies to elect a one-year delay in applying the fair value measurements guidance to certain fair value measurements, primarily related to nonfinancial assets and liabilities. The Company elected the delayed adoption date for its nonfinancial assets and liabilities impacted by the guidance. This guidance defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting principles and enhances disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The adoption of the guidance relating to the fair value measurement of nonfinancial assets and liabilities on July 1, 2009 did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated results of operations or financial condition. See Note 2, Fair Value Measurements, to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Concurrently with the adoption of the fair value measurement and disclosure provisions, we adopted the authoritative guidance, which permits entities to elect, at specified election dates, to measure eligible financial instruments at fair value. See Note 2, Fair Value Measurements, to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements.** In September 2009, the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) issued authoritative guidance addressing revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. The guidance requires revenue to be allocated to multiple elements using relative fair value based on vendor-specific-objective-evidence, third party evidence or estimated selling price. The residual method also becomes obsolete under this guidance. This guidance is effective for our interim reporting period ending on September 30, 2010. We are currently evaluating the impact of the implementation of this guidance on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In September 2009, FASB's EITF issued authoritative guidance addressing certain revenue arrangements that include software elements. This guidance states that tangible products with hardware and software components that work together to deliver the product functionality are considered non-software products, and the accounting guidance under the revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables is to be followed. This guidance is effective for our interim reporting period ending on September 30, 2010. We are currently evaluating the impact of the implementation of this guidance on its financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In August 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance for measuring liabilities at fair value that reaffirms the existing definition of fair value and reintroduces the concept of entry value into the determination of fair value of liabilities. Entry value is the amount an entity would receive to enter into an identical liability. The guidance is effective for our interim reporting period ending on December 31, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact of the implementation on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance for consolidations that changes how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. The determination of whether a company is required to consolidate an entity is based on, among other things, an entity's purpose and design and a company's ability to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. This guidance is effective for our interim reporting period ending

## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

on September 30, 2010. We are currently evaluating the impact of the implementation on our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

---

## **Table of Contents**

In June 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance to establish the FASB Accounting Standards Codification as the source of authoritative accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. This guidance is effective for our interim reporting period ending on September 30, 2009 and only impacts references for accounting guidance.

In April 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance for business combinations that amends the provisions related to the initial recognition and measurement, subsequent measurement and disclosure of assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in a business combination. This guidance will require such contingencies be recognized at fair value on the acquisition date if fair value can be reasonably estimated during the allocation period. Otherwise, entities would typically account for the acquired contingencies in accordance with authoritative guidance for contingencies. The guidance became effective for our business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after July 1, 2009. We did not complete any business combination during the three months ended September 30, 2009, and the effect on future periods will depend on the nature and significance of business combinations subject to this guidance.

In April 2009, the FASB issued authoritative guidance to increase the frequency of fair value disclosures of financial instruments, thereby enhancing consistency in financial reporting. The guidance relates to fair value disclosures for any financial instruments that are not currently reflected on a company's balance sheet at fair value. Prior to the effective date of this guidance, fair values for these assets and liabilities have only been disclosed once a year. The guidance requires these disclosures on a quarterly basis, providing qualitative and quantitative information about fair value estimates for all those financial instruments not measured on the balance sheet at fair value. The disclosure requirement under this guidance is effective for our interim reporting period ended on September 30, 2009. The implementation did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows as it is disclosure-only in nature.

In December 2008, the FASB issued authoritative guidance for an employer's disclosures about plan assets of a defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan. The guidance requires annual disclosures surrounding how investment allocation decisions are made, including the factors that are pertinent to an understanding of investment policies and strategies. The annual disclosure requirement under this guidance is effective for our fiscal year beginning July 1, 2009. The guidance does not change the accounting treatment for postretirement benefit plans.

On August 27, 2008, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) announced that they will issue for comment a proposed roadmap regarding the potential use by U.S. issuers of financial statements prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). IFRS is a comprehensive series of accounting standards published by the International Accounting Standards Board. Under the proposed roadmap, we could be required in fiscal year 2014 to prepare financial statements in accordance with IFRS, and the SEC will make a determination in 2011 regarding the mandatory adoption of IFRS. We are currently assessing the impact that this potential change would have on our consolidated financial statements, and we will continue to monitor the development of the potential implementation of IFRS.

In April 2008, the FASB issued authoritative guidance for general intangibles other than goodwill, amending the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset. This guidance is effective for intangible assets acquired on or after July 1, 2009. The adoption did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

KLA-Tencor Corporation is a leading supplier of process control and yield management solutions for the semiconductor and related nanoelectronics industries. Within our primary area of focus, our comprehensive portfolio of products, services, software and expertise helps integrated circuit (IC or chip) manufacturers manage yield throughout the entire wafer fabrication process from research and development to final volume production. In addition to the semiconductor industry, our technologies serve a number of other industries, including light emitting diode (LED), data storage, solar process development and control, and general materials research.

Our products and services are used by virtually every major wafer, IC and photomask manufacturer in the world. Our revenues are driven largely by capital spending by our customers who operate in one or more of several key semiconductor markets, including the memory, foundry and logic markets. Our customers purchase our products either in response to the need to drive advances in process technologies or to ramp up production to satisfy demand from industries such as communication, data processing, consumer electronics, automotive and aerospace. We believe that, over the long-term, our customers will continue to invest in advanced technologies and new materials to enable smaller design rules and higher density applications, as well as reduced cost, which in turn will drive increased adoption of process control to reduce defectivity.

As a supplier to the global semiconductor and semiconductor-related industries, we are subject to business cycles, the timing, length and volatility of which can be difficult to predict. The industries we serve have historically been cyclical due to sudden changes in demand and manufacturing capacity. We expect our customers' technology-related capital spending on process control (as differentiated from capacity-related

capital spending) to increase over the long term, as technology spending is driven by the demand

**Table of Contents**

for more precise diagnostics capabilities to address multiple new defects as a result of further shrinking of device feature sizes, the transition to new materials, new devices and circuit architecture, new lithography challenges and fab process innovation. However, our ability to predict future capacity-related capital spending by our customers is more limited, as such spending is more closely connected to the unpredictable business cycles within their industries.

The demand for our products is generally affected by the profitability of our customers, which is driven by capacity and market supply for their products. While semiconductor content in communication, data processing, consumer electronics, automotive and aerospace products continues to increase, the global economic weakness during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 adversely impacted our customers that operate in those industries and consequently impacted the demand for our products. However, over the past two quarters, the outlook for economic growth, end product demand for our customer's products and factory utilization of our customers has improved, resulting in an increased in the demand for semiconductor capital equipment. As our foundry customers accelerate capital investments, we have started to increase production volumes to support anticipated customer demand. We cannot predict the duration and sustainability of the improving business conditions, and whether the increased demand that we are experiencing from some of our foundry customers will translate to increased demand from the memory and logic customers. As we increase production volumes and make commitments to increase our capacity in anticipation of improved business conditions, we remain at risk of incurring inventory related and other restructuring charges if the improved business conditions do not sustain.

The following table sets forth some of the key quarterly unaudited financial information which we use to manage our business.

(In thousands, except net income per share - diluted)	Fiscal year 2010		Fiscal year 2009		
	First Quarter	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Total revenues	\$ 342,687	\$ 532,513	\$ 396,589	\$ 309,612	\$ 281,502
Total costs and operating expenses	\$ 327,737	\$ 497,575	\$ 902,220	\$ 381,893	\$ 316,469
Income (loss) from operations	\$ 14,950	\$ 34,938	\$ (505,631)	\$ (72,281)	\$ (34,967)
Net income (loss)	\$ 20,405	\$ 19,289	\$ (434,254)	\$ (82,827)	\$ (25,576)
Net income (loss) per share:					
Basic (1)	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.11	\$ (2.57)	\$ (0.49)	\$ (0.15)
Diluted (1)	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.11	\$ (2.57)	\$ (0.49)	\$ (0.15)

- (1) Basic and diluted earnings per share are computed independently for each of the quarters presented based on the weighted average basic and fully diluted shares outstanding for each quarter. Therefore, the sum of quarterly basic and diluted per share information may not equal annual basic and diluted earnings per share.

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Revenues and Gross Margin**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	Three months ended			Q1 FY10 vs.		Q1 FY10 vs.	
	September 30, 2009	June 30, 2009	September 30, 2008	Q4 FY09	Q1 FY09	Q1 FY09	Q1 FY09
Revenues:							
Product	\$ 229,251	\$ 176,226	\$ 405,496	\$ 53,025	30%	\$ (176,245)	-43%
Service	\$ 113,436	\$ 105,276	\$ 127,017	\$ 8,160	8%	\$ (13,581)	-11%
Total revenues	\$ 342,687	\$ 281,502	\$ 532,513	\$ 61,185	22%	\$ (189,826)	-36%
Costs of revenues	\$ 171,892	\$ 164,621	\$ 252,813	\$ 7,271	4%	\$ (80,921)	-32%
Stock-based compensation expense included in costs of revenues	\$ 3,288	\$ 5,091	\$ 5,456	\$ (1,803)	-35%	\$ (2,168)	-40%
Gross margin percentage	50%	42%	52%				

**Product revenues**

## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

Product revenues increased by 30% during the three months ended September 30, 2009 from the three months ended June 30, 2009 as our customers accelerated their capital spending due to improved factory utilization and demand for their products, as well as increased demand from our customers for inspection and measurement equipment to support their advanced technology development programs. These factors contributed to an increase in the number of tools that we sold within each of our major product lines, as evidenced by the fact that, from the three months ended June 30, 2009 to the three months ended September 30, 2009, revenues from sales of defect inspection equipment increased by 30% while metrology equipment sales revenue increased by 13%.



**Table of Contents**

Product revenues decreased by 43% during the three months ended September 30, 2009 from the three months ended September 30, 2008 as a result of a reduction in capital spending by our customers due to the weakness in the semiconductor industry and a deteriorating macroeconomic environment. These factors contributed to a significant decline in the number of tools that we sold within each of our major product lines, as evidenced by the fact that, from the three months ended September 30, 2008 to the three months ended September 30, 2009, revenues from sales of defect inspection equipment declined by 43% while metrology equipment sales revenues fell by 47%. The decrease in the quantity of tools sold that we experienced during the three months ended September 30, 2009, as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2008, was a function of a number of converging factors in our industry and in the macroeconomic environment. These factors included customers delaying capital investments (including purchases and installations of our products) and otherwise reducing capital spending in an effort to conserve cash in response to their business environment, even as their need for more precise diagnostics capabilities increases with technological advances, as well as customers having reduced access to the capital necessary to fund investments in new equipment due to the weak macroeconomic and credit environments during three months ended September 30, 2009. Our product revenues may continue to be adversely affected by various factors, such as global economic conditions.

**Service revenues**

Service revenues are generated from maintenance service contracts, as well as time and material billable service calls made to our customers after the expiration of the warranty period. The amount of service revenues generated is generally a function of the number of post-warranty systems installed at our customers' sites and the utilization of those systems. Service revenues increased in the three months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009 as a result of our customers reactivating some of the previously idled production equipment in response to increased factory utilization and increased demand for our customers' products. Service revenue decreased during the three months ended September 30, 2009 from the three months ended September 30, 2008 as customers had idled their under-utilized production equipment in response to the recent weakness in the semiconductor industry and a deteriorating macroeconomic environment which adversely impacted the demand for our customers' products.

**Revenues by region**

Revenues by region for the periods indicated were as follows:

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	Three months ended					
	September 30, 2009		June 30, 2009		September 30, 2008	
United States	\$ 74,557	22%	\$ 85,562	30%	\$ 90,554	17%
Taiwan	122,119	36%	40,607	15%	85,518	16%
Japan	59,352	17%	73,251	26%	169,907	32%
Europe & Israel	23,925	7%	36,430	13%	43,427	8%
Korea	29,512	8%	17,176	6%	87,861	16%
Rest of Asia Pacific	33,222	10%	28,476	10%	55,246	11%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 342,687</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 281,502</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 532,513</b>	<b>100%</b>

A significant portion of our revenues continue to be generated in Asia, where a substantial portion of the world's semiconductor manufacturing capacity is located, and we expect that this trend will continue.

**Gross margin**

Our gross margin fluctuates with revenue levels and product mix, and is affected by variations in costs related to manufacturing and servicing our products. Our gross margin percentage was higher during the three months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009 primarily due to higher product and service revenues, increased manufacturing capacity utilization, and lower intangible assets amortization expense. The following are expenses that were recorded in costs of revenues in the three months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009:

\$59.1 million for employee-related expenses, compared to \$60.3 million in the three months ended June 30, 2009, and

## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

\$4.9 million charge for excess inventory write-downs, compared to \$14.9 million in the three months ended June 30, 2009. Our gross margin percentage was lower during the three months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2008 primarily due to lower product and service revenues and lower manufacturing capacity utilization. The following are expenses that were recorded in costs of revenues in the three months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2008:

\$59.1 million for employee-related expenses, compared to \$85.4 million in the three months ended September 30, 2008, and

\$4.9 million charge for excess inventory write-downs, compared to \$8.4 million in the three months ended September 30, 2008.

**Table of Contents****Engineering, Research and Development ( R&D )**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	Three months ended			Q1 FY10 vs.		Q1 FY09 vs.	
	September 30, 2009	June 30, 2009	September 30, 2008	Q4 FY09	-1%	Q1 FY09	-32%
R&D expenses	\$ 78,209	\$ 79,227	\$ 114,361	\$ (1,018)	-1%	\$ (36,152)	-32%
Stock-based compensation expense included in R&D expenses	\$ 6,603	\$ 8,650	\$ 9,972	\$ (2,047)	-24%	\$ (3,369)	-34%
R&D expenses as a percentage of total revenues	23%	28%	21%				

R&D expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2009 decreased slightly compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009. The slight decrease is primarily attributable to reduced engineering material costs, as well as reduced employee-related expenses as a result of a number of cost reduction activities that we had undertaken during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. The following are expenses that were recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009:

\$47.7 million for employee-related expenses, compared to \$48.9 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009,

\$19.9 million for engineering material costs, compared to \$21.8 million in the three months ended June 30, 2009,

\$5.7 million for outside services such as consulting and legal, compared to \$6.2 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009, and

\$1.4 million of benefit to R&D expense from external funding, compared to \$5.0 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009.

The decrease in R&D expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2008 is primarily due to reduced employee-related expenses as a result of a number of cost reduction activities that we have undertaken, as well as reduced engineering material costs during the three months ended September 30, 2009.

The following are expenses that were recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2008:

\$47.7 million for employee-related expenses, compared to \$61.9 million during the three months ended September 30, 2008,

\$19.9 million for engineering material costs, compared to \$27.9 million in the three months ended September 30, 2008,

\$5.7 million for outside services such as consulting and legal, compared to \$9.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2008, and

\$0.9 million for amortization of purchased intangibles, compared to \$10.1 million, which includes \$8.6 million of expensed in-process R&D related to the MIE business unit, during the three months ended September 30, 2008.

R&D expenses include the benefit of \$1.4 million, \$5.0 million and \$3.6 million of external funding received during the three months ended September 30, 2009, June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008, respectively, for certain strategic development programs from government grants.

## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

Our future operating results will depend significantly on our ability to produce products and provide services that have a competitive advantage in our marketplace. To do this, we believe that we must continue to make substantial investments in our research and development. We remain committed to product development in new and emerging technologies as we address the yield challenges our customers face at future technology nodes.

**Table of Contents****Selling, General and Administrative ( SG&A )**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	Three months ended			Q1 FY10 vs.		Q1 FY10 vs.	
	September 30, 2009	June 30, 2009	September 30, 2008	Q4 FY09	7%	Q1 FY09	-34%
SG&A expenses	\$ 77,636	\$ 72,621	\$ 118,490	\$ 5,015	7%	\$ (40,854)	-34%
Stock-based compensation expense included in SG&A expenses	\$ 10,308	\$ 12,351	\$ 18,954	\$ (2,043)	-17%	\$ (8,646)	-46%
SG&A expenses as a percentage of total revenues	23%	26%	22%				

SG&A expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2009 were higher compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008 primarily due to higher expenses related to the shareholder litigation relating to our historical stock option practices, as well as higher employee-related expenses as a result of our application of a higher accrual rate for bonus for fiscal year 2010. The following are expenses that were recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009:

\$66.6 million for employee-related expenses, compared to \$63.1 million in the three months ended June 30, 2009,

\$8.4 million for depreciation of fixed assets and amortization of purchased intangibles, compared to \$11.8 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009, and

\$5.2 million for expenses related to the shareholder litigation relating to our historical stock option practices, compared to \$1.7 million benefit recorded during the three months ended June 30, 2009.

The SG&A expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2009 were lower compared to the three months ended September 30, 2008 primarily due to lower employee-related expenses as a result of a number of cost reduction activities that we had undertaken during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 and lower amortization of purchased intangibles as a result of the \$162.8 million impairment we recorded during the three months ended December 31, 2008. The following are expenses that were recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2008:

\$66.6 million for employee-related expenses, compared to \$99.8 million in the three months ended September 30, 2008, and

\$8.4 million for depreciation of fixed assets and amortization of purchased intangibles, compared to \$15.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2008.

**Restructuring Charges**

In March 2009, we announced a plan to further reduce our global workforce by approximately 10%, which followed our announcement in November 2008 of a global workforce reduction of approximately 15%. We have undertaken a number of cost reduction activities, including these workforce reductions, in an effort to lower our quarterly operating expense run rate. The program in the United States is accounted for in accordance with the authoritative guidance related to compensation for nonretirement postemployment benefits, whereas the programs in the international locations are accounted for in accordance with the authoritative guidance for contingencies.

The following table shows the activity primarily related to severance and benefits expense for the three months ended September 30, 2009:

(In thousands)	Three months ended September 30, 2009	Three months ended September 30, 2008
----------------	---	---

Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

Beginning Balance	\$	8,086	\$	
Restructuring costs		583		1,333
Adjustments		(536)		
Cash payments		(4,099)		
Ending Balance	\$	4,034	\$	1,333

Substantially all of the restructuring charges related to our workforce reductions announced in November 2008 and March 2009 are expected to be paid out by the end of calendar year 2009.

**Table of Contents****Interest Income and Other, Net and Interest Expense**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	September 30, 2009	Three months ended June 30, 2009	September 30, 2008
Interest income and other, net	\$ 21,299	\$ 2,595	\$ 18,050
Interest expense	\$ 13,457	\$ 14,005	\$ 13,873
Interest income and other, net as a percentage of total revenues	6%	1%	3%
Interest expense as a percentage of total revenues	4%	5%	3%

Interest income and other, net is comprised primarily of interest income earned on our investment and cash portfolio, realized gains or losses on sales of marketable securities, as well as gains or losses recorded upon settlement of certain foreign currency contracts. The increase in interest income and other, net during the three months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009 was primarily due to a benefit of \$15.9 million we recorded upon expiration of a statute of limitations relating to an uncertainty in our position with respect to a foreign transaction-based tax. In the three months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded a benefit of approximately \$8.5 million upon expiration of the same statute.

The decrease in interest expense in the three months ended September 30, 2009 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009 and compared to the three months ended September 30, 2008 is primarily due to reduced factoring activities.

**Provision for Income Taxes**

Our effective income tax rate was 10.5%, 44.9% and 50.7% for the three months ended September 30, 2009, June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2008, respectively.

The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was a benefit of 44.9% on a loss before income taxes compared to an expense of 10.5% on income before income taxes for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily related to the effect of a loss for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to income for the three months ended September 30, 2009 with a similar composition of items affecting the tax rate.

The decrease in the effective tax from an expense of 50.7% on income before taxes for the three months ended September 30, 2008 to an expense of 10.5% on income before taxes for the three months ended September 30, 2009, is primarily due to the effect of the \$8.6 million IPR&D charges related to our acquisition of the MIE business unit and the \$4.5 million goodwill impairment charge related to a certain business unit that is held for sale, both of which are non-deductible for tax purposes, incurred during the three months ended September 30, 2008. In addition, the effective tax rate was lower by approximately 18% in the three months ended September 30, 2009 due to a non-taxable increase in the assets held within the Executive Deferred Savings Plan.

Our future effective income tax rate depends on various factors, such as tax legislation, the geographic composition of our pre-tax income, non tax-deductible expenses incurred in connection with acquisitions, research and development credits as a percentage of aggregate pre-tax income, non-taxable or non-deductible increases or decreases in the assets held within the Executive Deferred Savings Plan, and the effectiveness of our tax planning strategies.

Windfall tax benefits arise when a company's tax deductions for employee stock activity exceeds book compensation for the same activity. A shortfall arises when the tax deduction is less than book compensation. Windfalls are recorded as increases to capital in excess of par value. Shortfalls are recorded as decreases to capital in excess of par value to the extent that cumulative windfalls exceed cumulative shortfalls. Shortfalls in excess of cumulative windfalls are recorded as provision for income taxes.

At September 30, 2009, we had no cumulative windfalls in excess of shortfalls recorded as part of capital in excess of par value. For the three months ending December 31, 2009, we expect cumulative shortfalls will begin to exceed cumulative windfalls, and we will therefore report higher provision for income taxes as a result. Because we can not determine all of the factors that will enter into our income tax expense computation, we cannot currently estimate this impact on our tax rate for the three months ending December 31, 2009.

In the normal course of business, we are subject to examination by taxing authorities throughout the world. We are not under United States federal income tax examination at this time. We remain subject to federal income tax examination for all years beginning from the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. We are subject to state income tax examinations for all years beginning from the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005. We are also subject to examinations in major foreign jurisdictions, including Japan, Israel and Singapore, for all years beginning from the fiscal year

## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

ended June 30, 2004 and are currently under tax examinations in various other foreign tax jurisdictions. It is possible that certain examinations may be concluded in the next twelve months. We believe it is possible that we may recognize \$11.7 million of our existing unrecognized tax benefits within the next twelve months as a result of the lapse of statutes of limitations, and the resolution of agreements with various foreign tax authorities.



**Table of Contents****LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	September 30, 2009	June 30, 2009
Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities	\$ 540,839	\$ 524,967
Marketable securities	846,393	804,917
<b>Total cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities</b>	<b>\$ 1,387,232</b>	<b>\$ 1,329,884</b>
Percentage of total assets	38%	37%
	Three months ended	
	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2008
<b>Cash flow</b>		
Cash provided by operating activities	\$ 73,249	\$ 81,357
Cash used in investing activities	(40,121)	(257,067)
Cash used in financing activities	(24,522)	(205,995)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	7,266	(12,942)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 15,872	\$ (394,647)

At September 30, 2009, our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities totaled \$1.4 billion, an increase of \$57.3 million from June 30, 2009. We generated \$73.2 million in cash from operations and used \$40.1 million in investing activities during the three months ended September 30, 2009. We used \$24.5 million in cash for financing activities during the three months ended September 30, 2009.

We have historically financed our operations through cash generated from operations. Cash provided by operating activities was \$73.2 million and \$81.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Cash provided by operating activities during the three months ended September 30, 2009 consisted primarily of net income of \$20.4 million, increased by non-cash depreciation and amortization of \$23.1 million, stock-based compensation of \$20.2 million, a decrease in inventories of \$27.0 million due to higher shipments, increase in deferred systems profit of \$18.9 as a result of higher shipments compared to revenue, which are offset by an increase in accounts receivable of \$28.3 million as shipments exceeded collections during the three months ended September 30, 2009.

Cash provided by operating activities during the three months ended September 30, 2008 consisted primarily of net income of \$19.3 million, increased by non-cash depreciation and amortization of \$42.7 million, stock-based compensation of \$34.4 million, a decrease in accounts receivable of \$131.4 million as collections exceeded shipments during the three months ended September 30, 2008, which are offset by an increase in inventories of \$16.7 million due to lower shipments, a decrease in deferred system profit of \$68.7 million as a result of lower shipments compared to revenue, and a net decrease in other assets and liabilities of \$69.5 million due to the payment of \$65.0 million to the settlement class as a term of the settlement of the shareholder class action litigation relating to our historical stock option practices in the three months ended September 30, 2008.

Investing activities typically consist of purchases and sales or maturities of marketable securities, purchases of capital assets to support long-term growth and acquisitions of technology or other companies to allow access to new markets or emerging technologies. Cash used in investing activities was \$40.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2009, while cash used in investing activities was \$257.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2008.

Financing activities include dividend payments to our common stockholders and sales and repurchases of our common stock. We did not repurchase our common stock during the three months ended September 30, 2009, compared to \$177.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2008.

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, our Board of Directors declared a dividend of \$0.15 per share of our outstanding common stock, which was paid on September 1, 2009 to our stockholders on record as of August 17, 2009. During the same period in fiscal year 2009, our Board of Directors also declared and paid a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.15 per share. The total amount of dividends paid during the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 were \$25.6 million and \$25.8 million, respectively.



**Table of Contents**

The following is a schedule summarizing our significant obligations to make future payments under contractual obligations as of September 30, 2009:

(In thousands)	Total	Fiscal year ending June 30,					Thereafter	Other
		2010(2)	2011	2012	2013	2014		
Long-term debt obligations(1)	\$ 750,000	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 750,000	
Interest expense associated with long-term debt obligations	457,125	51,750	51,750	51,750	51,750	51,750	198,375	
Purchase commitments	122,582	114,019	3,637	3,802	582	542		
Non-current income tax payable(3)	50,631							50,631
Operating leases	31,229	7,091	7,086	4,691	3,491	2,490	6,380	
Pension obligations	17,251	944	1,549	1,489	1,455	1,765	10,049	
<b>Total contractual cash obligations</b>	<b>\$ 1,428,818</b>	<b>\$ 173,804</b>	<b>\$ 64,022</b>	<b>\$ 61,732</b>	<b>\$ 57,278</b>	<b>\$ 56,547</b>	<b>\$ 964,804</b>	<b>\$ 50,631</b>

(1) In April 2008, we issued \$750 million aggregate principal amount of senior notes due in 2018.

(2) Remaining 9 months.

(3) Represents the non-current income tax payable obligation. We are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the timing of payments in individual years beyond 12 months due to uncertainties in the timing of tax audit outcomes.

We have agreements with financial institutions to sell certain of our trade receivables and promissory notes from customers without recourse. In addition, from time to time we will discount, without recourse, Letters of Credit ( LCs ) received from customers in payment of goods.

The following table shows total receivables sold under factoring agreements and proceeds from sales of LCs and related discounting fees paid for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

(In thousands)	Three months ended	
	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2008
Receivables sold under factoring agreements	\$ 30,201	\$ 82,271
Proceeds from sales of LCs	\$ 10,507	\$ 8,386
Discounting fees paid on sales of LCs (1)	\$ 123	\$ 38

(1) Discounting fees were equivalent to interest expense and were recorded in interest income and other, net.

We maintain guarantee arrangements of \$17.0 million in various locations to fund customs guarantees for VAT and LC needs of our subsidiaries in Europe and Asia. Approximately \$10.3 million was outstanding under these arrangements as of September 30, 2009.

We maintain certain open inventory purchase commitments with our suppliers to ensure a smooth and continuous supply chain for key components. Our liability under these purchase commitments is generally restricted to a forecasted time-horizon as mutually agreed upon between the parties. This forecast time-horizon can vary among different suppliers. Our open inventory purchase commitments were approximately \$122.6 million as of September 30, 2009 and are primarily due within the next 12 months. Actual expenditures will vary based upon the volume of the transactions and length of contractual service provided. In addition, the amounts paid under these arrangements may change in the event that the arrangements are renegotiated or canceled. Certain agreements provide for potential cancellation penalties.



**Table of Contents**

We provide standard warranty coverage on our systems for 40 hours per week for twelve months, providing labor and parts necessary to repair the systems during the warranty period. We account for the estimated warranty cost as a charge to cost of revenues when revenue is recognized. The estimated warranty cost is based on historical product performance and field expenses. The actual product performance and/or field expense profiles may differ, and in those cases we adjust our warranty accruals accordingly. The difference between the estimated and actual warranty costs tends to be larger for new product introductions as there is limited historical product performance to estimate warranty expense; more mature products with longer product performance histories tend to be more stable in our warranty charge estimates. Non-standard warranty coverage generally includes services incremental to the standard 40-hour per week coverage for twelve months. See Note 14, Commitments and Contingencies, to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a detailed description.

Working capital increased to \$1.9 billion as of September 30, 2009, compared to \$1.8 billion as of June 30, 2009. As of September 30, 2009, our principal sources of liquidity consisted of \$1.4 billion of cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities. Our liquidity is affected by many factors, some of which are based on the normal ongoing operations of the business, and others of which relate to the uncertainties of global economies and the semiconductor and the semiconductor equipment industries. Although cash requirements will fluctuate based on the timing and extent of these factors, we believe that cash generated from operations, together with the liquidity provided by existing cash balances, will be sufficient to satisfy our liquidity requirements for at least the next twelve months.

Our investment portfolio includes auction rate securities, which are investments with contractual maturities generally between 20 to 30 years. They are usually found in the form of municipal bonds, preferred stock, a pool of student loans, or collateralized debt obligations whose interest rates are reset. The reset typically occurs every seven to forty-nine days, through an auction process. At the end of each reset period, investors can sell or continue to hold the securities at par. The auction rate securities held by us are backed by student loans and are collateralized, insured and guaranteed by the United States Federal Department of Education. In addition, all auction rate securities held by us are rated by the major independent rating agencies as either AAA or Aaa. In February 2008, auctions failed for approximately \$48.2 million in par value of municipal auction rate securities that we held because sell orders exceeded buy orders. These failures are not believed to be a credit issue, but rather caused by a lack of liquidity. The funds associated with these failed auctions may not be accessible until the issuer calls the security, a successful auction occurs, a buyer is found outside of the auction process, or the security matures. Prior to June 30, 2009, a total of \$7.6 million of the auction rate securities were called at par value by the issuer (therefore, no losses were recognized on these securities). During the three months ended September 30, 2009, an additional \$3.0 million of the auction rate securities were called at par value by the issuer. The fair value of our auction rate securities at September 30, 2009 was \$35.2 million, which is included in marketable securities under current assets.

By letter dated August 8, 2008, we received notification from UBS AG ( UBS ), in connection with a settlement entered into between UBS and certain regulatory agencies, offering to repurchase all of our auction rate security holdings at par value. We formally accepted the settlement offer and entered into a repurchase agreement ( Agreement ) with UBS on November 11, 2008 ( Acceptance Date ). By accepting the Agreement, we (1) received the right ( Put Option ) to sell our auction rate securities at par value to UBS between June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2012 and (2) gave UBS the right to purchase the auction rate securities from us any time after the Acceptance Date as long as we receive the par value. Our intention is to exercise our right with UBS AG ( UBS ) to sell these auction rate securities at par value at the earliest date possible, which is June 30, 2010. However, if the Put Option is not exercised before June 30, 2012, it will expire and UBS will have no further rights or obligation to buy the auction rate securities.

The Agreement covers \$37.6 million par value (fair value of \$35.2 million) of the auction rate securities held by us as of September 30, 2009. We are accounting for the Put Option as a freestanding financial instrument and elected to record the value under the fair value option during the three months ended September 30, 2009. The fair value of the Put Option at September 30, 2009 was \$2.4 million.

During the three months ended December 31, 2008, we made an election pursuant to the authoritative guidance for debt and equity investments to transfer these auction rate securities from available-for-sale to trading securities. The transfer to trading securities reflects our intent to exercise the Put Option during the period June 30, 2010 to June 30, 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, we recognized a decrease in the fair value of the auction rate securities of \$0.1 million, which is included in interest income and other, net.

We expect that the future changes in the fair value of the Put Option will continue to be largely offset by the fair value movements in the auction rate securities. We estimated the fair value of the auction rate securities using a discounted cash flow model incorporating assumptions that market participants would use in their estimates of fair value. Some of these assumptions include estimates for interest rates, timing and amount of cash flows and expected holding periods of the auction rate securities. We estimated the fair value of the Put Option using the expected value that we will receive from UBS which was calculated as the difference between the anticipated recognized losses and par value of the auction rate securities as of the option exercise date. This value was discounted by using UBS's credit default swap rate to account for the credit considerations of the counterparty risk. We do not believe that the lack of liquidity of our auction rate securities will have a material impact on our overall ability to meet our cash requirements for the foreseeable future.



**Table of Contents**

In April 2008, we issued \$750 million aggregate principal amount of 6.90% senior, unsecured long-term debt due in 2018 with an effective interest rate of 7.00%. The discount on the debt amounted to \$5.4 million and is being amortized over the life of the debt using the straight-line method as opposed to the interest method due to immateriality. Interest is payable semi-annually on November 1 and May 1. The debt indenture includes covenants that limit our ability to grant liens on our facilities and to enter into sale and leaseback transactions, subject to significant allowances under which certain sale and leaseback transactions are not restricted. We are in compliance with all of our covenants as at September 30, 2009.

Our credit ratings and outlooks as of October 14, 2009 are summarized below.

<b>Rating Agency</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Outlook</b>
Fitch	BBB	Negative
Moody's	Baa1	Stable
Standard & Poor's	BBB	Negative

Factors that can affect our credit ratings include changes in our operating performance, the economic environment, conditions in the semiconductor and semiconductor equipment industries, our financial position, and changes in our business strategy.

**Table of Contents****Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

Under our foreign-currency risk management strategy, we utilize derivative instruments to protect our interests from unanticipated fluctuations in earnings and cash flows caused by volatility in currency exchange rates. This financial exposure is monitored and managed as an integral part of our overall risk management program which focuses on the unpredictability of financial markets and seeks to reduce the potentially adverse effects that the volatility of these markets may have on our operating results. We continue our policy of hedging our current and forecasted foreign currency exposures with hedging instruments having tenors of up to 18 months. The outstanding hedge contracts, with maximum maturity of 13 months, were as follows:

(In thousands)	As of September 30, 2009	As of June 30, 2009
Cash flow hedge contracts		
Purchase	\$ 4,377	\$
Sell	(21,124)	(36,938)
Other foreign currency hedge contracts		
Purchase	93,140	73,914
Sell	(74,597)	(106,080)
Net	\$ 1,796	\$ (69,104)

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

We are exposed to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and marketable equity security prices. To mitigate these risks, we utilize derivative financial instruments, such as foreign currency hedges. We do not use derivative financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes. All of the potential changes noted below are based on sensitivity analyses performed on our financial position as of September 30, 2009. Actual results may differ materially.

As of September 30, 2009, we had an investment portfolio of fixed income securities of approximately \$846.4 million, excluding those classified as cash and cash equivalents. These securities, as with all fixed income instruments, are subject to interest rate risk and will fall in value if market interest rates increase. If market interest rates were to increase immediately and uniformly by 10% from levels as of September 30, 2009, the fair value of the portfolio would have declined by \$1.7 million.

As of September 30, 2009, we had net forward contracts to purchase \$1.8 million in foreign currency in order to hedge currency exposures (see Note 16, Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a detailed description). If we had entered into these contracts on September 30, 2009, the U.S. dollar equivalent would have been \$0.2 million. A 10% adverse move in all currency exchange rates affecting the contracts would decrease the fair value of the contracts by \$15.6 million. However, if this occurred, the fair value of the underlying exposures hedged by the contracts would increase by a similar amount. Accordingly, we believe that the hedging of our foreign currency exposure should have no material impact on net income or cash flows.

See Note 4, Marketable Securities, to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I, Item 1; Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, *Liquidity and Capital Resources*, in Part I, Item 2; and Risk Factors in Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a description of recent market events that may affect the value of the investments in our portfolio and the liquidity of certain auction rate securities that we held at September 30, 2009.

**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES****Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures and Related CEO and CFO Certifications**

*Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures*



## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

The Company conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in the Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act )) ( Disclosure Controls ) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (this Report ) required by Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(b) or 15d-15b. The controls evaluation was conducted under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer ( CEO ) and Chief Financial Officer ( CFO ). Based on this evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this Report the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective at a reasonable assurance level.

Attached as exhibits to this Report are certifications of the CEO and CFO, which are required in accordance with Rule 13a-14 of the Exchange Act. This Controls and Procedures section includes the information concerning the controls evaluation referred to in the certifications, and it should be read in conjunction with the certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### *Definition of Disclosure Controls*

Disclosure Controls are controls and procedures designed to reasonably assure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports filed under the Exchange Act, such as this Report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure Controls are also designed to reasonably assure that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The Company's Disclosure Controls include components of its internal control over financial reporting, which consists of control processes designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of its financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. To the extent that components of the Company's internal control over financial reporting are included within its Disclosure Controls, they are included in the scope of the Company's annual controls evaluation.

### *Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls*

The Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that the Company's disclosure controls or internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended September 30, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## **PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

### **ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The information set forth above under Note 13, "Litigation and Other Legal Matters," to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 1 of Part 1 is incorporated herein by reference.

### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

#### **Risks Associated with Our Industry and Market Conditions**

*The semiconductor equipment industry is highly cyclical. The purchasing decisions of our customers are highly dependent on the economies of both the local markets in which they are located and the semiconductor industry worldwide. If we fail to respond to industry cycles, our business could be seriously harmed.*

The timing, length and severity of the up-and-down cycles in the semiconductor equipment industry are difficult to predict. The cyclical nature of the industry in which we operate is largely a function of our customers' capital spending patterns and need for expanded manufacturing capacity, which in turn are affected by factors such as capacity utilization, consumer demand for products, inventory levels and our customers' access to capital. This cyclical nature affects our ability to accurately predict future revenue, and in some cases, future expense levels. In the current environment, our ability to accurately predict our future operating results is particularly limited. During down cycles in our industry, the financial results of our customers may be negatively impacted, which could result not only in a decrease in, or cancellation or delay of, orders (which are generally subject to cancellation or delay by the customer with limited or no penalty) but also a weakening of their financial condition that could impair their ability to pay for our products or our ability to recognize revenue from certain customers. When cyclical fluctuations result in lower than expected revenue levels, operating results may be adversely affected and cost reduction measures may be necessary in order for us to remain competitive and financially sound. During periods of declining revenues, as was experienced during fiscal

## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

year 2009, we must be in a position to adjust our cost and expense structure to prevailing market conditions and to continue to motivate and retain our key employees. If we fail to respond, or if our attempts to respond fail to accomplish our intended results, then our business could be seriously harmed. Furthermore, any workforce reductions and cost-reduction actions that we adopt in response to down cycles may result in additional restructuring charges, disruptions in our operations and loss of key personnel. In addition, during periods of rapid growth, we must be able to increase manufacturing capacity and personnel to meet customer demand. We can provide no assurance that these objectives can be met in a timely manner in response to industry cycles. Each of these factors could adversely impact our operating results and financial condition.

---

**Table of Contents**

In addition, the semiconductor equipment industry and other industries that we serve are constantly developing and changing over time. These changes currently, or in the future may, include the increasing cost of building and operating fabrication facilities and the impact of such increases on our customers' investment decisions; the variability of future growth rates in the semiconductor and related industries; the ever-increasing cost and complexity involved in the adoption by our customers of technology advances and the potential impact that may have on their rate of adoption; pricing trends in the end-markets for consumer electronics and other products, which places a growing emphasis on our customers' cost of ownership; overall changes in capital spending patterns by our customers; and demand by semiconductor manufacturers for shorter cycle times for developing, manufacturing and installing capital equipment. Further, many semiconductor manufacturers have been recently experiencing decreased profitability, causing them to enter into collaboration or sharing arrangements for capacity, cost or risk with other manufacturers, outsource manufacturing activities, focus only on specific markets or applications, or purchase less manufacturing equipment. Any of the changes described in this paragraph may, particularly during periods of challenging macroeconomic conditions, negatively affect our customers' rate of investment in capital equipment, which could result in downward pressure on our prices, customer orders, revenues and gross margins. If we do not successfully manage the risks resulting from any of these or other potential changes in our industries, our business, financial condition and operating results could be adversely impacted.

***We are exposed to risks associated with a weakening in the condition of the financial markets and the global economy.***

The recent severe tightening of the credit markets, turmoil in the financial markets and weakening of the global economy that were experienced during the fiscal year June 30, 2009 contributed to slowdowns in the industries in which we operate, which slowdowns could recur or worsen if economic conditions were to deteriorate again.

The markets for semiconductors, and therefore our business, are ultimately driven by the global demand for electronic devices by consumers and businesses. Economic uncertainty frequently leads to reduced consumer and business spending, which, in the past year's economic slowdown, caused our customers to decrease, cancel or delay their equipment and service orders from us. In addition, the tightening of credit markets and concerns regarding the availability of credit that accompanied that slowdown made it more difficult for our customers to raise capital, whether debt or equity, to finance their purchases of capital equipment, including the products we sell. Reduced demand, combined with delays in our customers' ability to obtain financing (or the unavailability of such financing), has in recent periods adversely affected our product and service sales and revenues and therefore has harmed our business and operating results, and our operating results and financial condition may be further adversely impacted if economic conditions decline from their current levels.

In addition, a decline in the condition of the global financial markets could adversely impact the market values or liquidity of our investments. Our investment portfolio includes corporate and government securities, auction rate securities, money market funds and other types of debt and equity investments. Although we believe our portfolio continues to be comprised of sound investments due to the quality and (where applicable) credit ratings and government guarantees of the underlying investments, a decline in the capital and financial markets would adversely impact the market values of our investments and their liquidity. If the market value of such investments were to decline, or if we were to have to sell some of our investments under illiquid market conditions, we may be required to recognize an impairment charge on such investments or a loss on such sales, either of which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results.

If we are unable to timely and appropriately adapt to changes resulting from difficult macroeconomic conditions, our business, financial condition or results of operations may be materially and adversely affected.

***Our future performance depends, in part, upon our ability to continue to compete successfully worldwide.***

Our industry includes large manufacturers with substantial resources to support customers worldwide. Some of our competitors are diversified companies with greater financial resources and more extensive research, engineering, manufacturing, marketing, and customer service and support capabilities than we possess. We face competition from companies whose strategy is to provide a broad array of products and services, some of which compete with the products and services that we offer. These competitors may bundle their products in a manner that may discourage customers from purchasing our products, including pricing such competitive tools significantly below our product offerings. In addition, we face competition from smaller emerging semiconductor equipment companies whose strategy is to provide a portion of the products and services that we offer, using innovative technology to sell products into specialized markets. The strength of our competitive positions in many of our existing markets is largely due to our leading technology, which is the result of continuing significant investments in product research and development. However, we may enter new markets, whether through acquisitions or new internal product development, in which competition is based primarily on product pricing, not technological superiority. Further, some new growth markets that emerge may not require leading technologies. Loss of competitive position in any of the markets we serve, or an inability to sell our products on favorable commercial terms in new markets we may enter, could negatively affect our prices, customer orders, revenues, gross margins and market share, any of which would negatively affect our operating results and financial condition.



**Table of Contents*****We are exposed to risks associated with a highly concentrated customer base.***

Our customer base, particularly in the semiconductor industry, historically has been, and is becoming increasingly, highly concentrated. In this environment, orders from a relatively limited number of manufacturers have accounted for, and are expected to continue to account for, a substantial portion of our sales. In addition, the mix and type of customers, and sales to any single customer, may vary significantly from quarter to quarter and from year to year. If customers do not place orders, or they delay or cancel orders, we may not be able to replace the business. Furthermore, because our products are configured to customer specifications, any changes, delays or cancellations of orders may result in significant, non-recoverable costs. As a result of the consolidation within our customer base, the customers that survive that consolidation represent a greater portion of our sales. Those surviving customers may have more aggressive policies regarding engaging alternative, second-source suppliers for the products we serve and, in addition, may seek, and on occasion receive, pricing, payment, intellectual property-related, or other commercial terms that are less favorable to us. Any of these changes could negatively impact our prices, customer orders, revenues and gross margins. Also, certain customers have undergone significant ownership changes, experienced management changes or have outsourced manufacturing activities, any of which may result in additional complexities in managing customer relationships and transactions. During the challenging economic environment experienced over the past year, we have been exposed to additional risks related to the continued financial viability of certain of our customers. To the extent our customers experience liquidity issues, we may be required to incur additional bad debt expense with respect to receivables owed to us by those customers. In addition, customers with liquidity issues may be forced to discontinue operations or may be acquired by one of our customers, and in either case such event would have the effect of further consolidating our customer base. These factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

***A majority of our annual revenue is derived from outside the United States, and we expect that international revenue will continue to represent a substantial percentage of our revenue. A protracted economic slowdown in any of the countries in which we do business may adversely affect our business and results of operations.***

A majority of our annual revenue is derived from outside the United States, and we expect that international revenue will continue to represent a substantial percentage of our revenue. Our international revenue and operations are affected by economic conditions specific to each country and region. Because of our significant dependence on international revenue, a decline in the economies of any of the countries or regions in which we do business could negatively affect our operating results. Managing global operations and sites located throughout the world presents challenges associated with, among other things, cultural diversity and organizational alignment. Moreover, each region in the global semiconductor equipment market exhibits unique characteristics that can cause capital equipment investment patterns to vary significantly from period to period. Periodic local or international economic downturns, trade balance issues, tariffs or other trade barriers, political instability, legal or regulatory changes or terrorism in regions where we have operations or where we do business, along with fluctuations in interest and currency exchange rates, could negatively affect our business and results of operations. Although we attempt to manage near-term currency risks through the use of hedging instruments, there can be no assurance that such efforts will be adequate.

**Risks Related to Our Business*****If we do not develop and introduce new products and technologies in a timely manner in response to changing market conditions or customer requirements, our business could be seriously harmed.***

Success in the semiconductor equipment industry depends, in part, on continual improvement of existing technologies and rapid innovation of new solutions. For example, the size of semiconductor devices continues to shrink and the industry is currently transitioning to the use of new materials and innovative fab processes. While we expect these trends will increase our customers' reliance on diagnostic products such as ours, we cannot be sure that these trends will directly improve our business. These and other evolving customer needs require us to respond with continued development programs and to cut back or discontinue older programs, which may no longer have industry-wide support. Technical innovations are inherently complex and require long development cycles and appropriate staffing of highly qualified employees. Our competitive advantage and future business success depend on our ability to accurately predict evolving industry standards, to develop and introduce new products that successfully address changing customer needs, to win market acceptance of these new products and to manufacture these new products in a timely and cost-effective manner.

In this environment, we must continue to make significant investments in research and development in order to enhance the performance, features and functionality of our products, to keep pace with competitive products and to satisfy customer demands. Substantial research and development costs typically are incurred before we confirm the technical feasibility and commercial viability of a new product, and not all development activities result in commercially viable products. There can be no assurance that revenue from future products or product enhancements will be sufficient to recover the development costs associated with such products or enhancements. In addition, we cannot be sure that these products or enhancements will receive market acceptance or that we will be able to sell these products at prices that are favorable to us. Our business will be seriously harmed if we are unable to sell our products at favorable prices or if the market in which we operate does not accept our products.



---

## **Table of Contents**

***Our business would be harmed if we do not receive sufficient parts to meet our production requirements in a timely and cost-effective manner.***

We use a wide range of materials in the production of our products, including custom electronic and mechanical components, and we use numerous suppliers to supply these materials. We generally do not have guaranteed supply arrangements with our suppliers. Because of the variability and uniqueness of customers' orders, we do not maintain an extensive inventory of materials for manufacturing. We seek to minimize the risk of production and service interruptions and/or shortages of key parts by selecting and qualifying alternative suppliers for key parts, monitoring the financial stability of key suppliers and maintaining appropriate inventories of key parts. Although we make reasonable efforts to ensure that parts are available from multiple suppliers, key parts may be available only from a single supplier or a limited group of suppliers. In addition, if certain of our key suppliers experience liquidity issues and are forced to discontinue operations, which is a heightened risk during the current economic downturn, that would affect their ability to deliver parts and could result in delays for our products. Our operating results and business may be adversely impacted if we are unable to obtain parts to meet our production requirements, or if we are only able to do so on unfavorable terms.

***Disruption of our manufacturing facilities due to earthquake, flood, other natural catastrophic events, health epidemics or terrorism could result in cancellation of orders or loss of customers and could seriously harm our business.***

We have significant manufacturing operations in the United States, with additional operations in Israel, Singapore, Belgium, Germany and China. Operations at our manufacturing facilities and our assembly subcontractors are subject to disruption for a variety of reasons, including work stoppages, acts of war, terrorism, health epidemics, fire, earthquake, energy shortages, flooding or other natural disasters. Such disruption could cause delays in shipments of products to our customers. We cannot ensure that alternate production capacity would be available if a major disruption were to occur or that, if it were available, it could be obtained on favorable terms.

As part of the cost-cutting actions that we have recently announced, we are currently in the process of consolidating several of our operating facilities. During fiscal year 2009 and in previous years, our operations in California have been distributed among several locations, including Milpitas, San Jose, Fremont and Santa Clara. However, upon completion of our current consolidation efforts, our California operations will be primarily centralized in our Milpitas facility. The consolidation of our California operations into a single campus could further concentrate the risks related to any of the disruptive events described in the preceding paragraph, such as acts of war or terrorism, earthquakes, fires or other natural disasters, if any such event were to impact our Milpitas facility.

***We outsource a number of services to third-party service providers, which decreases our control over the performance of these functions. Disruptions or delays at our third-party service providers could adversely impact our operations.***

We outsource a number of services, including our transportation and logistics management of spare parts, to domestic and overseas third-party service providers. While outsourcing arrangements may lower our cost of operations, they also reduce our direct control over the services rendered. It is uncertain what effect such diminished control will have on the quality or quantity of products delivered or services rendered, or our ability to quickly respond to changing market conditions. Disruptions or delays at our third-party service providers due to events such as regional economic, business, environmental or political events, information technology system failures or military actions could adversely impact our operations and our ability to ship products, manage our product inventory or record and report financial and management information on a timely and accurate basis.

***Our success is dependent in part on our technology and other proprietary rights. If we are unable to maintain our lead or protect our proprietary technology, we may lose valuable assets.***

Our success is dependent in part on our technology and other proprietary rights. We own various United States and international patents and have additional pending patent applications relating to some of our products and technologies. The process of seeking patent protection is lengthy and expensive, and we cannot be certain that pending or future applications will actually result in issued patents or that issued patents will be of sufficient scope or strength to provide meaningful protection or commercial advantage to us. Other companies and individuals, including our larger competitors, may develop technologies and obtain patents relating to our business that are similar or superior to our technology or may design around the patents we own, adversely affecting our business. In addition, we at times engage in collaborative technology development efforts with our customers and suppliers, and these collaborations may constitute a key component of certain of our ongoing technology and product research and development projects. The termination of any such collaboration, or delays caused by disputes or other unanticipated challenges that may arise in connection with any such collaboration, could significantly impair our research and development efforts, which could have a material adverse impact on our business and operations.



## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

We also maintain trademarks on certain of our products and services and claim copyright protection for certain proprietary software and documentation. However, we can give no assurance that our trademarks and copyrights will be upheld or successfully deter infringement by third parties.

While patent, copyright and trademark protection for our intellectual property is important, we believe our future success in highly dynamic markets is most dependent upon the technical competence and creative skills of our personnel. We attempt to protect our trade secrets and other proprietary information through confidentiality and other agreements with our customers, suppliers, employees and consultants and through other security measures. We also maintain exclusive and non-exclusive licenses with third

---

**Table of Contents**

parties for strategic technology used in certain products. However, these employees, consultants and third parties may breach these agreements, and we may not have adequate remedies for wrongdoing. In addition, the laws of certain territories in which we develop, manufacture or sell our products may not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the laws of the United States. In any event, the extent to which we can protect our trade secrets through the use of confidentiality agreements is limited, and our success will depend to a significant extent on our ability to innovate ahead of our competitors.

***We might be involved in intellectual property disputes or other intellectual property infringement claims that may be costly to resolve, prevent us from selling or using the challenged technology and seriously harm our operating results and financial condition.***

As is typical in the semiconductor equipment industry, from time to time we have received communications from other parties asserting the existence of patent rights, copyrights, trademark rights or other intellectual property rights which they believe cover certain of our products, processes, technologies or information. In addition, we occasionally receive notification from customers who believe that we owe them indemnification or other obligations related to intellectual property claims made against such customers by third parties. Litigation tends to be expensive and requires significant management time and attention and could have a negative effect on our results of operations or business if we lose or have to settle a case on significantly adverse terms. Our customary practice is to evaluate such infringement assertions and to consider whether to seek licenses where appropriate. However, we cannot ensure that licenses can be obtained or, if obtained, will be on acceptable terms or that costly litigation or other administrative proceedings will not occur. The inability to obtain necessary licenses or other rights on reasonable terms, or the instigation of litigation or other administrative proceedings, could seriously harm our operating results and financial condition.

***We depend on key personnel to manage our business effectively, and if we are unable to attract, retain and motivate our key employees, our sales and product development could be harmed.***

Our employees are vital to our success, and our key management, engineering and other employees are difficult to replace. We generally do not have employment contracts with our key employees. Further, we do not maintain key person life insurance on any of our employees. The expansion of high technology companies worldwide has increased demand and competition for qualified personnel. If we are unable to retain key personnel, or if we are not able to attract, assimilate or retain additional highly qualified employees to meet our needs in the future, our business and operations could be harmed.

***Acquisitions are an important element of our strategy but, because of the uncertainties involved, we may not find suitable acquisition candidates and we may not be able to successfully integrate and manage acquired businesses. We are also exposed to risks in connection with strategic alliances into which we may enter.***

In addition to our efforts to develop new technologies from internal sources, part of our growth strategy is to pursue acquisitions and acquire new technologies from external sources. As part of this effort, we may make acquisitions of, or significant investments in, businesses with complementary products, services and/or technologies. There can be no assurance that we will find suitable acquisition candidates or that acquisitions we complete will be successful. In addition, we may use equity to finance future acquisitions, which would increase our number of shares outstanding and be dilutive to current shareholders.

If we are unable to successfully integrate and manage acquired businesses or if acquired businesses perform poorly, then our business and financial results may suffer. It is possible that the businesses we have acquired, as well as businesses that we may acquire in the future, may perform worse than expected or prove to be more difficult to integrate and manage than expected. In addition, we may lose key employees of the acquired companies. As a result, risks associated with acquisition transactions may give rise to a material adverse effect on our business and financial results for a number of reasons, including:

we may have to devote unanticipated financial and management resources to acquired businesses;

the combination of businesses may cause the loss of key personnel or an interruption of, or loss of momentum in, the activities of our company and/or the acquired business;

we may not be able to realize expected operating efficiencies or product integration benefits from our acquisitions;

## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

we may experience challenges in entering into new market segments for which we have not previously manufactured and sold products;

we may face difficulties in coordinating geographically separated organizations, systems and facilities;

the customers, distributors, suppliers, employees and others with whom the companies we acquire have business dealings may have a potentially adverse reaction to the acquisition;

we may have to write-off goodwill or other intangible assets; and

we may incur unforeseen obligations or liabilities in connection with acquisitions.

At times, we may also enter into strategic alliances with customers, suppliers or other business partners with respect to development of technology and intellectual property. These alliances typically require significant investments of capital and exchange of proprietary, highly sensitive information. The success of these alliances depends on various factors over which we may have

---

## **Table of Contents**

limited or no control and requires ongoing and effective cooperation with our strategic partners. Mergers and acquisitions and strategic alliances are inherently subject to significant risks, and the inability to effectively manage these risks could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results.

***Compliance with federal securities laws, rules and regulations, as well as NASDAQ requirements, is becoming increasingly complex, and the significant attention and expense we must devote to those areas may have an adverse impact on our business.***

Federal securities laws, rules and regulations, as well as NASDAQ rules and regulations, require companies to maintain extensive corporate governance measures, impose comprehensive reporting and disclosure requirements, set strict independence and financial expertise standards for audit and other committee members and impose civil and criminal penalties for companies and their chief executive officers, chief financial officers and directors for securities law violations. These laws, rules and regulations have increased, and in the future are expected to continue to increase, the scope, complexity and cost of our corporate governance, reporting and disclosure practices, which could harm our results of operations and divert management's attention from business operations.

***We are predominantly uninsured for losses and interruptions caused by terrorist acts and acts of war. If international political instability continues or increases, our business and results of operations could be harmed.***

The threat of terrorism targeted at the regions of the world in which we do business increases the uncertainty in our markets. Any act of terrorism which affects the economy or the semiconductor industry could adversely affect our business. Increased international political instability in various parts of the world, disruption in air transportation and further enhanced security measures as a result of terrorist attacks, may hinder our ability to do business and may increase our costs of operations. Such continuing instability could cause us to incur increased costs in transportation, make such transportation unreliable, increase our insurance costs, and cause international currency markets to fluctuate. This same instability could have the same effects on our suppliers and their ability to timely deliver their products. If international political instability continues or increases, our business and results of operations could be harmed. We are predominantly uninsured for losses and interruptions caused by terrorist acts and acts of war.

***We self insure certain risks including earthquake risk. If one or more of the uninsured events occurs, we could suffer major financial loss.***

We purchase insurance to help mitigate the economic impact of certain insurable risks; however, certain other risks are uninsurable or are insurable only at significant cost or cannot be mitigated with insurance. An earthquake could significantly disrupt our manufacturing operations, a significant portion of which are conducted in California, an area highly susceptible to earthquakes. It could also significantly delay our research and engineering efforts on new products, much of which is also conducted in California. We take steps to minimize the damage that would be caused by an earthquake, but there is no certainty that our efforts will prove successful in the event of an earthquake. We self insure earthquake risks because we believe this is a prudent financial decision based on our large cash reserves and the high cost and limited coverage available in the earthquake insurance market. Certain other risks are also self-insured either based on a similar cost-benefit analysis, or based on the unavailability of insurance. If one or more of the uninsured events occurs, we could suffer major financial loss.

***A change in accounting standards or practices or a change in existing taxation rules or practices (or changes in interpretations of such standards, practices or rules) can have a significant effect on our reported results and may even affect reporting of transactions completed before the change is effective.***

New accounting pronouncements and taxation rules and varying interpretations of accounting pronouncements and taxation rules have occurred and may occur in the future. Changes to (or revised interpretations of) existing tax or accounting rules or the questioning of current or past practices may adversely affect our reported financial results or the way we conduct our business.

For example, the adoption of the authoritative guidance for stock-based compensation, which required us to measure all employee stock-based compensation awards using a fair value method beginning in fiscal year 2006 and record such expense in our consolidated financial statements, has had a material impact on our consolidated financial statements, as reported under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

***A change in our effective tax rate can have a significant adverse impact on our business.***

A number of factors may adversely impact our future effective tax rates, such as the jurisdictions in which our profits are determined to be earned and taxed; the resolution of issues arising from tax audits with various tax authorities; changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities; adjustments to estimated taxes upon finalization of various tax returns; increases in expenses not deductible for tax purposes, including write-offs of acquired in-process research and development and impairment of goodwill in connection with acquisitions; changes in

## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

available tax credits; changes in share-based compensation expense; changes in tax laws or the interpretation of such tax laws (for example, proposals for fundamental U.S. international tax reform, such as the recent proposal by President Obama's Administration, if enacted); changes in generally accepted accounting principles; and the repatriation of non-U.S. earnings for which we have not previously provided for U.S. taxes. A change in our effective tax rate can adversely impact our results from operations.

**Table of Contents**

***We are exposed to various risks related to the legal and regulatory environments in which we perform our operations and conduct our business.***

We are subject to various risks related to compliance with new, existing, different, inconsistent or even conflicting laws, rules and regulations enacted by legislative bodies and/or regulatory agencies in the countries in which we operate and with which we must comply, including environmental, safety, antitrust and export control regulations. For example, we are subject to environmental and safety regulations in connection with our global business operations, including regulations related to the development, manufacture and use of our products, recycling and disposal of materials used in our products or in producing our products, the operation of our facilities, and the use of our real property. Our failure or inability to comply with existing or future laws, rules or regulations, or changes to existing laws, rules or regulations, including changes that result in inconsistent or conflicting laws, rules or regulations, in the countries in which we operate could result in violations of contractual or regulatory obligations that may adversely affect our reported financial results or our ability to conduct our business.

In addition, we may from time to time be involved in legal proceedings or claims regarding employment, contracts, product performance, product liability, antitrust, environmental regulations, securities, unfair competition and other matters (in addition to proceedings and claims related to intellectual property matters, which are separately discussed elsewhere in this Item 1A). These legal proceedings and claims, regardless of their merit, may be time-consuming and expensive to prosecute or defend, divert management's attention and resources, and/or inhibit our ability to sell our products. There can be no assurance regarding the outcome of current or future legal proceedings or claims, which could adversely affect our operating results, financial condition and our ability to operate our business.

We are also exposed to additional risks related to our receipt of external funding for certain strategic development programs from various governments and government agencies, both domestically and internationally. Under the terms of these government grants, the applicable granting agency typically has the right to audit the costs that we incur, directly and indirectly, in connection with such programs. Any such audit could result in modifications to, or even termination of, the applicable government funding program. For example, if an audit were to identify any costs as being improperly allocated to the applicable program, those costs would not be reimbursed, and any such costs that had already been reimbursed would have to be refunded. We do not know the outcome of any future audits. Any adverse finding resulting from any such audit could lead to penalties (financial or otherwise), termination of funding programs, suspension of payments, fines and suspension or prohibition from receiving future government funding from the applicable government or government agency, any of which could adversely impact our operating results, financial condition and our ability to operate our business.

***We are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations; although we hedge certain currency risks, we may still be adversely affected by changes in foreign currency exchange rates or declining economic conditions in these countries.***

We have some exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, primarily the Euro and the Japanese Yen. We have international subsidiaries that operate and sell our products globally. In addition, an increasing proportion of our manufacturing activities are conducted outside of the United States, and many of the costs associated with such activities are denominated in foreign currencies. We routinely hedge our exposures to certain foreign currencies with various financial institutions in an effort to minimize the impact of certain currency exchange rate fluctuations, but these hedges may be inadequate to protect us from currency exchange rate fluctuations. To the extent that these hedges are inadequate, or if there are significant currency exchange rate fluctuations in currencies for which we do not have hedges in place, our reported financial results or the way we conduct our business could be adversely affected. Furthermore, if a financial counter-party to our hedges experiences financial difficulties or is otherwise unable to honor the terms of the foreign currency hedge, we may experience material financial losses.

***We are exposed to risks related to our financial arrangements with respect to receivables factoring and banking arrangements.***

We enter into factoring arrangements with financial institutions to sell certain of our trade receivables and promissory notes from customers without recourse. In addition, we maintain bank accounts with several domestic and foreign financial institutions, any of which may prove not to be financially viable. If we were to stop entering into these factoring arrangements, our operating results, financial condition and cash flows could be adversely impacted by delays or failures in collecting trade receivables. However, by entering into these arrangements, and by engaging these financial institutions for banking services, we are exposed to additional risks. If any of these financial institutions experiences financial difficulties or is otherwise unable to honor the terms of our factoring or deposit arrangements, we may experience material financial losses due to the failure of such arrangements or a lack of access to our funds, any of which could have an adverse impact upon our operating results, financial condition and cash flows.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### ***There are risks associated with our outstanding indebtedness.***

As of September 30, 2009, we had \$750 million aggregate principal amount of outstanding indebtedness represented by our senior notes that will mature in 2018, and we may incur additional indebtedness in the future. Our ability to pay interest and repay the principal for our indebtedness is dependent upon our ability to manage our business operations and the other risk factors discussed in this section. There can be no assurance that we will be able to manage any of these risks successfully.

In addition, changes by any rating agency to our outlook or credit rating could negatively affect the value and liquidity of both our debt and equity securities. Factors that can affect our credit rating include changes in our operating performance, the economic environment, conditions in the semiconductor and semiconductor equipment industries, our financial position, and changes in our business strategy.

In certain circumstances involving a change of control followed by a downgrade of the rating of our senior notes, we will be required to make an offer to repurchase the senior notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest. We cannot make any assurance that we will have sufficient financial resources at such time or will be able to arrange financing to pay the repurchase price of the senior notes. Our ability to repurchase the senior notes in such event may be limited by law, by the indenture associated with the senior notes, or by the terms of other agreements to which we may be party at such time. If we fail to repurchase the senior notes as required by the indenture, it would constitute an event of default under the indenture governing the senior notes which, in turn, may also constitute an event of default under other of our obligations.

### ***We are exposed to fluctuations in the market values of our portfolio investments and in interest rates; impairment of our investments could harm our earnings. In addition, we and our stockholders are exposed to risks related to the volatility of the market for our common stock.***

Our investment portfolio consists of both corporate and government securities that have a maximum effective maturity of 10 years. The longer the duration of these securities, the more susceptible they are to changes in market interest rates and bond yields. As yields increase, those securities with a lower yield-at-cost show a mark-to-market unrealized loss. We have the ability to realize the full value of all these investments upon maturity. Unrealized losses are due to changes in interest rates and bond yields.

Auction rate securities backed by student loans which are collateralized, insured and guaranteed by the United States Federal Department of Education are also included in our investment portfolio. Due to the current illiquidity in the auction rate security market, the funds associated with these failed auctions may not be accessible until the issuer calls the security, a successful auction occurs, a buyer is found outside of the auction process, or the security matures. Although we believe our auction rate securities continue to represent sound investments due to the AAA/Aaa credit ratings of the underlying investments, we may be forced to sell some of our auction rate securities portfolio under illiquid market conditions, which could result in our recognizing a loss on such sales.

In August 2008, UBS AG entered into a settlement in principle with the SEC and various state regulatory agencies to restore liquidity to all clients holding auction rate securities. Per the settlement, UBS has agreed to offer certain clients the option to redeem all of their auction rate securities at par, no loss, from UBS between June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2012, and we formally accepted this offer and entered into a repurchase agreement with UBS on November 11, 2008. However, UBS has expressly disclaimed any assurance that it will have enough financial resources necessary to perform its obligations under the agreement. If we elect to retain our auction rate securities in reliance upon that offer, with the intent of participating in the offer, but UBS is unable to satisfy its obligations under the offer at the applicable time, we may be required to sell the auction rate securities at that time at a significant loss or hold the auction rate securities until they may be sold, which could have an adverse impact upon our operating results and financial condition.

In addition, the market price for our common stock is volatile and has fluctuated significantly during recent years. The trading price of our common stock could continue to be highly volatile and fluctuate widely in response to various factors, including without limitation conditions in the semiconductor industry and other industries in which we operate, fluctuations in the global economy or capital markets, our operating results or other performance metrics, or adverse consequences experienced by us as a result of any of the risks described elsewhere in this Item 1A. Volatility in the market price of our common stock could cause an investor in our common stock to experience a loss on the value of their investment in us and could also adversely impact our ability to raise capital through the sale of our common stock or to use our common stock as consideration to acquire other companies.

### ***We have recorded significant restructuring, inventory write-off and asset impairment charges in the past and may do so again in the future, which could have a material negative impact on our business.***

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, we recorded material restructuring charges of \$38.7 million related to our global workforce reduction, large excess inventory write-offs of \$85.6 million, and material impairment charges of \$446.7 million related to our goodwill and

## Edgar Filing: KLA TENCOR CORP - Form 10-Q

purchased intangible assets. If the current challenging economic conditions persist, we may implement additional cost-reduction actions, which would require us to take additional, potentially material, restructuring charges related to, among other things, employee terminations or exit costs. We may also be required to write off additional inventory if our product build plans or usage of service inventory experience further declines, and such additional write-offs could constitute material charges.



---

**Table of Contents**

As noted above, we recorded a material charge during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 related to the impairment of our goodwill and purchased intangible assets. Goodwill represents the excess of costs over the net fair value of net assets acquired in a business combination. Goodwill is not amortized, but is instead tested for impairment at least annually in accordance with authoritative guidance for goodwill. Purchased intangible assets with estimable useful lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives using the straight-line method, and are reviewed for impairment in accordance with authoritative guidance for long-lived assets. The valuation of goodwill and intangible assets requires assumptions and estimates of many critical factors, including revenue and market growth, operating cash flows, market multiples, and discount rates. A substantial decline in our stock price, or any other adverse change in market conditions, particularly if such change has the effect of changing one of the critical assumptions or estimates we used to calculate the amount of such impairment charge, could result in a change to the estimation of fair value that could result in an additional impairment charge.

Any such additional material charges, whether related to restructuring or goodwill or purchased intangible asset impairment, may have a material negative impact on our operating results and related financial statements.

***We are exposed to risks related to our indemnification of third parties and the performance of our products.***

From time to time, in the normal course of business, we indemnify third parties with whom we enter into contractual relationships, including customers and lessors, with respect to certain matters. We have agreed, under certain conditions, to hold these third parties harmless against specified losses, such as those arising from a breach of representations or covenants, other third party claims that our products when used for their intended purposes infringe the intellectual property rights of such other third parties, or other claims made against certain parties. We may be compelled to enter into or accrue for probable settlements of alleged indemnification obligations or subject to potential liability arising from our customers' involvements in legal disputes. In addition, notwithstanding the provisions related to limitations on our liability that we seek to include in our business agreements, the counter-parties to such agreements may dispute our interpretation or application of such provisions, and a court of law may not interpret or apply such provisions in our favor, any of which could result in an obligation for us to pay material damages to third parties and engage in costly legal proceedings. It is difficult to determine the maximum potential amount of liability under any indemnification obligations, whether or not asserted, due to our limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances that are likely to be involved in any particular claim. Our business, financial condition and results of operations in a reported fiscal period could be materially adversely affected if we expend significant amounts in defending or settling any purported claims, regardless of their merit or outcomes.

We are also exposed to potential costs associated with unexpected product performance issues. Our products and production processes are extremely complex and thus could contain unexpected product defects, especially when products are first introduced. Unexpected product performance issues could result in significant costs being incurred by us, including increased service or warranty costs, providing product replacements for (or modifications to) defective products, litigation related to defective products, product recalls, or product write-offs or disposal costs. These costs could be substantial and could have an adverse impact upon our business, financial condition and operating results. In addition, our reputation with our customers could be damaged as a result of such product defects, which could reduce demand for our products and negatively impact our business.

***We rely upon certain critical information systems for our daily business operation. Our inability to use or access these information systems at critical points in time could unfavorably impact the timeliness and efficiency of our business operations.***

Our global operations are linked by information systems, including telecommunications, the internet, our corporate intranet, network communications, email and various computer hardware and software applications. Despite our implementation of network security measures, our tools and servers are vulnerable to computer viruses, break-ins and similar disruptions from unauthorized tampering with our computer systems and tools located at customer sites, or could be subject to system failures or malfunctions for other reasons. System failures or malfunctioning, such as difficulties with our customer relationship management ( CRM ) system, could disrupt our operations and our ability to timely and accurately process and report key components of our financial results. In addition, any disruptions or difficulties that may occur in connection with our enterprise resource planning ( ERP ) system or other systems (whether in connection with the regular operation of such systems or as a result of the integration of our acquired businesses into such systems) could adversely affect our ability to complete important business processes, such as the evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Any such event could have an adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Risks Related to the Restatement of Our Prior Financial Results**

*We have been named as a party to a number of shareholder derivative and class action lawsuits relating to our historical stock option practices, and we may be named in additional lawsuits in the future. This litigation has been and continues to be time consuming and expensive and could result in the payment of significant judgments and settlements, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.*

In connection with our historical stock option practices and resulting restatements, a number of derivative actions were filed against certain of our current and former directors and officers purporting to assert claims on the Company's behalf. In addition, a number of securities class action complaints were filed against us and certain of our current and former directors and officers seeking damages related to our historical stock option practices and the resulting investigation, inquiries and restatements. There may be additional lawsuits or other legal proceedings of this nature filed in the future (such as claims by former officers and employees in connection with their stock options, employment terminations and other matters). We cannot predict the outcome of these lawsuits, nor can we predict the amount of time and expense that will be required to resolve these lawsuits. If these lawsuits become time consuming and expensive, or if there are unfavorable outcomes in any of these cases, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our insurance coverage will not cover our total liabilities and expenses in these lawsuits, in part because we have a significant deductible on certain aspects of the coverage. In addition, subject to certain limitations, we are obligated to indemnify our current and former directors, officers and employees in connection with the investigation of our historical stock option practices and the related litigation and government actions. We currently hold insurance policies for the benefit of our directors and officers, although our insurance coverage may not be sufficient in some or all of these matters. Furthermore, the insurers may seek to deny or limit coverage in some or all of these matters, in which case we may have to self-fund all or a substantial portion of our indemnification obligations.

*We are subject to the risks of additional government actions in the event we were to breach the terms of any settlement arrangement into which we have entered.*

In connection with the settlement of certain government actions and other legal proceedings related to our historical stock option practices, we have explicitly agreed as a condition to such settlements that we will comply with certain laws, such as the books and records provisions of the federal securities laws. If we were to violate any such law, we might not only be subject to the significant penalties applicable to such violation, but our past settlements may also be impacted by such violation, which could give rise to additional government actions or other legal proceedings. Any such additional actions or proceedings may require us to expend significant management time and incur significant accounting, legal and other expenses, and may divert attention and resources from the operation of our business. These expenditures and diversions, as well as an adverse resolution of any such action or proceeding, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

*The Special Committee investigation of our historical stock option practices and the resulting restatements have been time consuming and expensive, and have had a material adverse effect on us.*

The Special Committee investigation and the resulting restatement activities have required us to expend significant management time and incur significant accounting, legal and other expenses. The period of time that will be necessary to resolve these matters is uncertain, and these matters could require significant additional attention and resources.

**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

None.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None.

**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

None.

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

- 10.46 Fiscal Year 2010 Performance Bonus Plan \* +
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Under Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Under Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350.

\* Denotes a management contract, plan or arrangement.

+ Confidential treatment has been requested as to a portion of this exhibit.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

	<b>KLA-Tencor Corporation</b> (Registrant)
October 29, 2009 (Date)	/s/ RICHARD P. WALLACE Richard P. Wallace  President and Chief Executive Officer  (Principal Executive Officer)
October 29, 2009 (Date)	/s/ MARK P. DENTINGER Mark P. Dentinger  Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  (Principal Financial Officer)
October 29, 2009 (Date)	/s/ VIRENDRA A. KIRLOSKAR Virendra A. Kirloskar  Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer  (Principal Accounting Officer)

**Table of Contents**

**KLA-TENCOR CORPORATION**

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Exhibit Description</b>	<b>Incorporated by Reference</b>			
		<b>Form</b>	<b>File No.</b>	<b>Exhibit Number</b>	<b>Filing Date</b>
10.46	Fiscal Year 2010 Performance Bonus Plan * +				
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934				
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934				
32	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350				

\* Denotes a management contract, plan or arrangement.

+ Confidential treatment has been requested as to a portion of this exhibit.