

BOSTON PROPERTIES INC  
Form DEF 14A  
April 01, 2009  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**SCHEDULE 14A**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the  
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. )

Filed by Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

**BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC.**

---

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

---

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

Edgar Filing: BOSTON PROPERTIES INC - Form DEF 14A

x No fee required.

.. Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

---

2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

---

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

---

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

---

5) Total fee paid:

---

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount Previously Paid:

---

Edgar Filing: BOSTON PROPERTIES INC - Form DEF 14A

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

---

3) Filing Party:

---

4) Date Filed:

---

**Table of Contents**

April 1, 2009

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2009 annual meeting of stockholders of Boston Properties, Inc. The annual meeting will be held on Tuesday, May 19, 2009 at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Time, at Citigroup Center, 153 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor, New York, New York.

The proxy statement, with the accompanying formal notice of the meeting, describes the matters expected to be acted upon at the meeting. We urge you to review these materials carefully and to use this opportunity to take part in the affairs of Boston Properties by voting on the matters described in the proxy statement. Following the formal portion of the meeting, our directors and management team will be available to answer appropriate questions from stockholders.

We are pleased to inform you that we are taking advantage of the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules that allow companies to furnish proxy materials to their stockholders via the Internet rather than in paper form. Accordingly, we are sending most of our stockholders a notice regarding the availability of the proxy statement and our annual report via the Internet. We believe these rules will expedite your receipt of proxy materials, help conserve natural resources and reduce our printing and mailing costs.

Your vote is important. We hope that you will be able to attend the meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, please vote as soon as possible. Instructions on how to vote are contained in the proxy statement.

Thank you for your continued support of Boston Properties.

Sincerely,

Mortimer B. Zuckerman

Chairman of the Board

Edward H. Linde

Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

**BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC.**

**800 Boylston Street**

**Suite 1900**

**Boston, MA 02199-8103**

**NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**

**TO BE HELD ON MAY 19, 2009**

The 2009 annual meeting of stockholders of Boston Properties, Inc. will be held on Tuesday, May 19, 2009 at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Time, at Citigroup Center, 153 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor, New York, New York, for the following purposes:

1. To elect the three nominees named in the proxy statement as Class III directors, each to serve for a three-year term and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified.
2. To ratify the Audit Committee's appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009.
3. To consider and act upon a stockholder proposal concerning the annual election of directors, if properly presented at the meeting.
4. To consider and act upon a stockholder proposal concerning majority voting, if properly presented at the meeting.
5. To consider and act upon a stockholder proposal concerning the preparation of a sustainability report, if properly presented at the meeting.
6. To consider and act upon a stockholder proposal concerning an independent board chairman, if properly presented at the meeting.
7. To consider and act upon any other matters that are properly brought before the annual meeting and at any adjournments or postponements thereof.

You may vote if you were a stockholder of record as of the close of business on March 24, 2009. If you do not plan to attend the meeting and vote your shares of common stock in person, we urge you to vote your shares as instructed in the proxy statement. If you received a copy of the

Edgar Filing: BOSTON PROPERTIES INC - Form DEF 14A

proxy card by mail, you may sign, date and mail the proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided.

If your shares of common stock are held by a bank, broker or other nominee, please follow the instructions you receive from your bank, broker or other nominee to have your shares of common stock voted.

Any proxy may be revoked at any time prior to its exercise at the annual meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors

FRANK D. BURT, ESQ.  
*Secretary*

April 1, 2009

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for  
the Stockholders Meeting to be Held on May 19, 2009**

**The proxy statement and our 2008 annual report to stockholders  
are available at [www.edocumentview.com/bxp](http://www.edocumentview.com/bxp).**

**Table of Contents**

**PROXY STATEMENT**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING</u></b>	1
<u>Why did I receive a notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of the proxy materials instead of a paper copy of the proxy materials?</u>	1
<u>What is the purpose of the annual meeting?</u>	1
<u>Who is entitled to vote?</u>	1
<u>May I attend the meeting?</u>	2
<u>What constitutes a quorum?</u>	2
<u>How do I vote?</u>	2
<u>Will other matters be voted on at the annual meeting?</u>	3
<u>May I revoke my proxy instructions?</u>	3
<u>What is householding?</u>	3
<b><u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRINCIPLES AND BOARD MATTERS</u></b>	4
<u>The Board of Directors and its Committees</u>	4
<u>Consideration of Director Nominees</u>	7
<u>Communications with the Board</u>	9
<u>Corporate Governance Guidelines</u>	9
<u>Code of Business Conduct and Ethics</u>	10
<b><u>PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u></b>	11
<u>Introduction</u>	11
<u>Vote Required</u>	11
<u>Recommendation</u>	11
<u>Information Regarding the Nominees, Other Directors and Executive Officers</u>	11
<b><u>PRINCIPAL AND MANAGEMENT STOCKHOLDERS</u></b>	17
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	21
<b><u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u></b>	21
<u>Executive Compensation Philosophy and Objectives</u>	21
<u>Overview</u>	22
<u>Elements of Executive Compensation</u>	27
<u>Base Salary</u>	28
<u>Annual Cash Bonuses</u>	28
<u>Annual Long-Term Equity Incentives</u>	32
<u>2008 Outperformance Program</u>	33
<u>Equity Award Grant Policy</u>	35
<u>LTIP Units</u>	35
<u>Mandatory Minimum Equity Ownership Policy for Senior Executives</u>	36
<u>Employment Agreements</u>	37
<u>Change in Control Arrangements</u>	37
<u>Perquisites</u>	37
<u>Deferred Compensation Plan</u>	38
<u>Other Benefits</u>	38
<u>Tax and Accounting Implications</u>	38
<b><u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT</u></b>	39
<b><u>COMPENSATION OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS</u></b>	40
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	40
<u>2008 Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	42
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2008</u>	43
<u>2008 Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	45
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	45

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Page</b>
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	46
<u>COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS</u>	51
<u>Director Compensation</u>	52
<u>Director Stock Ownership Guidelines</u>	53
<u>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION</u>	53
<u>PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u>	54
<u>Fees</u>	54
<u>Auditor Fees Policy</u>	54
<u>Vote Required</u>	55
<u>Recommendation</u>	55
<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	56
<u>PROPOSAL 3: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL</u>	57
<u>Proposal Concerning the Annual Election of Directors</u>	57
<u>Boston Properties Statement in Opposition</u>	57
<u>Vote Required</u>	58
<u>Recommendation</u>	58
<u>PROPOSAL 4: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL</u>	59
<u>Proposal Concerning Majority Voting</u>	59
<u>Boston Properties Statement in Opposition</u>	59
<u>Vote Required</u>	61
<u>Recommendation</u>	61
<u>PROPOSAL 5: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL</u>	62
<u>Proposal Concerning the Preparation of a Sustainability Report</u>	62
<u>Boston Properties Statement in Opposition</u>	63
<u>Vote Required</u>	65
<u>Recommendation</u>	65
<u>PROPOSAL 6: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL</u>	66
<u>Proposal Concerning an Independent Board Chairman</u>	66
<u>Boston Properties Statement in Opposition</u>	66
<u>Vote Required</u>	67
<u>Recommendation</u>	67
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS</u>	68
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	71
<u>Expenses of Solicitation</u>	71
<u>Stockholder Proposals for the 2010 Annual Meeting</u>	71



**Table of Contents**

April 1, 2009

**BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC.**

**800 Boylston Street**

**Suite 1900**

**Boston, MA 02199-8103**

**PROXY STATEMENT**

This proxy statement is being made available to stockholders on or about April 1, 2009 via the Internet or by delivering printed copies by mail, and is furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Boston Properties, Inc. for use at the 2009 annual meeting of stockholders of Boston Properties, Inc. to be held on Tuesday, May 19, 2009 at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Time, at Citigroup Center, 153 East 53<sup>rd</sup> Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor, New York, New York, and at any adjournments or postponements thereof.

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING**

**Why did I receive a notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of the proxy materials instead of a paper copy of the proxy materials?**

As permitted by rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ), we are making this proxy statement and our 2008 annual report, including a copy of our annual report on Form 10-K and financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2008, available to our stockholders electronically via the Internet. On or about April 1, 2009, we began mailing to many of our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability ( Notice ) containing instructions on how to access this proxy statement and our annual report online, as well as instructions on how to vote. Also on or about April 1, 2009, we began mailing printed copies of these proxy materials to stockholders that have requested printed copies. If you received a Notice by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials in the mail unless you request a copy. Instead, the Notice instructs you on how to access and review all of the important information contained in the proxy statement and annual report. The Notice also instructs you on how you may vote via the Internet. If you received a Notice by mail and would like to receive a printed copy of our proxy materials, you should follow the instructions for requesting such materials included in the Notice. Our 2008 annual report is not part of the proxy solicitation material.

**What is the purpose of the annual meeting?**

At the annual meeting, stockholders will be asked to vote upon the matters set forth in the accompanying notice of annual meeting, including the election of directors, the ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm and, if properly presented, consideration of four stockholder proposals.

**Who is entitled to vote?**

If you were a stockholder of record as of the close of business on March 24, 2009, which is referred to in this proxy statement as the record date, you are entitled to receive notice of the annual meeting and to vote the shares of common stock that you held as of the close of business on the record date. Each outstanding share of common stock entitles its holder to cast one vote on each matter to be voted upon.



## **Table of Contents**

### **May I attend the meeting?**

All stockholders of record of shares of common stock of Boston Properties at the close of business on the record date, or their designated proxies, are authorized to attend the annual meeting. Each stockholder and proxy will be asked to present a valid government-issued photo identification, such as a driver's license or passport, before being admitted. If you are not a stockholder of record but hold shares through a broker, bank or other nominee (i.e., if you hold your shares in street name), you should provide proof of beneficial ownership as of the record date, such as an account statement reflecting your stock ownership as of the record date, a copy of the voting instruction card provided by your broker, or other similar evidence of ownership. We reserve the right to determine the validity of any purported proof of beneficial ownership. If you do not have proof of ownership, you may not be admitted to the annual meeting. Cameras, recording devices and other electronic devices will not be permitted, and attendees may be subject to security inspections and other security precautions. You may obtain directions to the annual meeting on our website at <http://www.bostonproperties.com/proxy>.

### **What constitutes a quorum?**

The presence, in person or by proxy, of holders of at least a majority of the total number of outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote is necessary to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the annual meeting. As of the record date, there were 121,278,522 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the annual meeting. Shares that reflect votes withheld for director nominees, abstentions or broker non-votes (i.e., shares represented at the meeting held by brokers, as to which instructions have not been received from the beneficial owners or persons entitled to vote such shares and with respect to which, on one or more but not all matters, the broker does not have discretionary voting power to vote such shares) will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present for the transaction of business at the annual meeting.

### **How do I vote?**

*Voting in Person at the Meeting.* If you are a stockholder of record and attend the annual meeting, you may vote in person at the meeting. If your shares of common stock are held in street name and you wish to vote in person at the meeting, you will need to obtain a legal proxy from the broker, bank or other nominee that holds your shares of common stock of record.

*Voting by Proxy for Shares Registered Directly in the Name of the Stockholder.* If you hold your shares of common stock in your own name as a holder of record with our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., you may instruct the proxy holders named in the proxy card how to vote your shares of common stock in one of the following ways:

*Vote by Internet.* You may vote via the Internet by following the instructions provided in the Notice or, if you received printed materials, on your proxy card. The website for Internet voting is printed on the Notice and also on your proxy card. Please have your Notice or proxy card in hand. Internet voting is available 24 hours per day until 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on May 18, 2009. You will receive a series of instructions that will allow you to vote your shares of common stock. You will also be given the opportunity to confirm that your instructions have been properly recorded. **IF YOU VOTE VIA THE INTERNET, YOU DO NOT NEED TO RETURN YOUR PROXY CARD.**

*Vote by Telephone.* If you received printed copies of the proxy materials, you also have the option to vote by telephone by calling the toll-free number listed on your proxy card. Telephone voting is available 24 hours per day until 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on May 18, 2009. When you call, please have your proxy card in hand. You will receive a series of voice instructions that will allow you to vote your shares of common stock. You will also be given the opportunity to confirm that your instructions have been properly recorded. If you did not receive printed materials and would like to vote by telephone, you must request printed copies of the proxy materials by following the instructions on your Notice. **IF YOU VOTE BY TELEPHONE, YOU DO NOT NEED TO RETURN YOUR PROXY CARD.**

## **Table of Contents**

*Vote by Mail.* If you received printed materials, and would like to vote by mail, then please mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it promptly to our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., in the postage-paid envelope provided. If you did not receive printed materials and would like to vote by mail, you must request printed copies of the proxy materials by following the instructions on your Notice.

*Voting by Proxy for Shares Registered in Street Name.* If your shares of common stock are held in street name, you will receive instructions from your broker, bank or other nominee that you must follow in order to have your shares of common stock voted.

### **Will other matters be voted on at the annual meeting?**

We are not currently aware of any other matters to be presented at the annual meeting other than those described in this proxy statement. If any other matters not described in the proxy statement are properly presented at the meeting, any proxies received by us will be voted in the discretion of the proxy holders.

### **May I revoke my proxy instructions?**

You may revoke your proxy at any time before it has been exercised by:

filing a written revocation with the Secretary of Boston Properties, Inc., 800 Boylston Street, Suite 1900, Boston, Massachusetts 02199-8103;

submitting a new proxy by telephone, Internet or proxy card after the time and date of the previously submitted proxy; or

appearing in person and voting by ballot at the annual meeting.

Any stockholder of record as of the record date attending the annual meeting may vote in person whether or not a proxy has been previously given, but the presence (without further action) of a stockholder at the annual meeting will not constitute revocation of a previously given proxy.

### **What is householding?**

If you and other residents at your mailing address own shares of common stock in street name, your broker, bank or other nominee may have sent you a notice that your household will receive only one annual report and proxy statement. This procedure, known as householding, is intended to reduce the volume of duplicate information stockholders receive and also reduce printing and postage costs. Under applicable law, if you consented or were deemed to have consented, your broker, bank or other nominee has sent one copy of our annual report and proxy statement to your address for all residents that own shares of common stock in street name. However, even if your broker, bank or other nominee has sent only one copy of these proxy materials, you should receive a proxy card for each stockholder in your household. If you wish to revoke your consent to householding, you must contact your broker, bank or other nominee. If you are receiving multiple copies of our annual report and proxy statement, you can request householding by contacting your broker, bank or other nominee.

If you wish to request extra copies free of charge of our annual report or proxy statement, please send your request to Investor Relations, Boston Properties, Inc., 800 Boylston Street, Suite 1900, Boston, Massachusetts 02199-8103; call us with your request at (617) 236-3322; or visit our website at <http://www.bostonproperties.com>.

---

**Table of Contents****CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRINCIPLES AND BOARD MATTERS****The Board of Directors and its Committees**

*Board of Directors.* Boston Properties is currently managed by a ten member Board of Directors, which is divided into three classes (Class I, Class II and Class III). Our Board of Directors is currently composed of three Class I directors (Mortimer B. Zuckerman, Carol B. Einiger and Richard E. Salomon), four Class II directors (Lawrence S. Bacow, Zoë Baird, Alan J. Patricof and Martin Turchin) and three Class III directors (Frederick J. Iseman, Edward H. Linde and David A. Twardock). Mr. Iseman was appointed to the Board of Directors on December 15, 2008. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee oversaw the search process for a new director and collaborated with other non-employee directors and executive officers in identifying and recommending Mr. Iseman to our Board for appointment to fill the vacancy in Class III. The members of each class of our Board of Directors serve for staggered three-year terms, and the terms of our current Class I, Class II and Class III directors expire upon the election and qualification of directors at the annual meetings of stockholders to be held in 2010, 2011 and 2009, respectively. At each annual meeting of stockholders, directors will be elected or re-elected for a full term of three years to succeed those directors whose terms are expiring.

*Director Independence.* Under the New York Stock Exchange Corporate Governance Rules, or the NYSE Rules, a majority of the Board of Directors must qualify as independent directors. To qualify as an independent director, the Board of Directors must affirmatively determine that the director has no material relationship with us (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with us). As permitted by the NYSE Rules, the Board of Directors established categorical standards to assist it in making the required independence determinations.

Under these categorical standards, any relationship with us shall be deemed not material if:

1. The relationship does not preclude a finding of independence under Sections 303A.02(b)(i) through 303A.02(b)(v) of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual (the "NYSE Disqualifying Rules");
2. The relationship does not involve any of the following, whether currently existing or occurring since the end of the last fiscal year or during the past three fiscal years:
  - (a) a director being an executive officer of, or owning, or having owned, of record or beneficially in excess of a ten percent (10%) equity interest in, any business or professional entity that has made during any of such fiscal years, or proposes to make during our current fiscal year, payments to us for property or services in excess of five percent (5%) of: (i) our consolidated gross revenues for such fiscal year (or, in the case of proposed payments, its last fiscal year), or (ii) the other entity's consolidated gross revenues for such fiscal year (or, in the case of proposed payments, its last fiscal year);
  - (b) a director being an executive officer of, or owning, or having owned, of record or beneficially in excess of a ten percent (10%) equity interest in, any business or professional entity to which we have made during any of such fiscal years, or propose to make during our current fiscal year, payments for property or services in excess of five percent (5%) of: (i) our consolidated gross revenues for such fiscal year (or, in the case of proposed payments, our last fiscal year), or (ii) the other entity's consolidated gross revenues for such fiscal year (or, in the case of proposed payments, its last fiscal year);
  - (c) a director or an immediate family member of the director being an officer, director or trustee of a charitable organization where our annual discretionary charitable contributions in any single year to the charitable organization exceeded the greater of \$1 million or two percent (2%) of that organization's consolidated gross revenues for the fiscal year;
  - (d) a director or an immediate family member of a director being indebted to us in an amount in excess of \$120,000;



---

**Table of Contents**

- (e) a director being an executive officer, partner or greater than 10% equity owner of an entity, or being a trustee or a substantial beneficiary of a trust or estate, indebted to us in an amount in excess of the greater of \$120,000 or 5% of such entity's total consolidated assets, or to whom we are indebted (other than with respect to (i) any of our publicly traded debt securities or (ii) non-recourse loans secured by real estate where both we and the lender intend for the lender to transfer all right to, and control over, the loan within 12 months and the documentation includes customary provisions for loans targeted at the commercial mortgage backed securities (CMBS) or collateralized debt obligation (CDO) markets) in an amount in excess of 5% of our total consolidated assets;
- (f) a transaction or currently proposed transaction (other than relating to the ownership of our securities), which involved or involves the direct or indirect payment in a single year of in excess of \$120,000 from us to a director or an immediate family member of a director; or
- (g) a director or an immediate family member of a director being an executive officer, general or managing partner or owner of more than 10% of the outstanding equity securities of an entity that has a co-investment or is a joint venture partner with us where the amount of the entity's equity investment in any single year exceeds the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the total consolidated assets of the entity.

For purposes of these standards, immediate family member has the same meaning as in the NYSE Disqualifying Rules.

Relationships not specifically deemed not material by the above categorical standards may, in the Board's judgment, be deemed not to be material.

Because Meses. Baird and Einiger and Messrs. Bacow, Iseman, Patricof, Salomon and Twardock do not have any relationships with us other than those that are deemed not material under the foregoing categorical standards, the Board of Directors has determined that they are independent directors for purposes of the NYSE Rules.

*Meetings.* Our Board of Directors met eleven times during 2008. Each director attended at least 75% of the aggregate of (1) the total number of meetings of our Board of Directors (held during the period for which he or she has been a director) and (2) the total number of meetings of all committees of our Board of Directors on which the director served (during the periods that he or she served). Directors are expected to attend annual meetings of our stockholders in person unless doing so is impracticable due to unavoidable conflicts. Eight of the nine directors then serving attended the 2008 annual meeting of stockholders; one director was unable to attend due to airline delays.

Directors who qualify as non-management within the meaning of the NYSE Rules meet on a regular basis in executive sessions without management participation. The executive sessions occur after each regularly scheduled meeting of the entire Board and at such other times that the non-management directors deem appropriate. Each director has the right to call an executive session. In addition, at least once per year, an executive session is held with only independent directors present. The executive sessions are chaired by the chair of the Board committee having jurisdiction over the particular subject matter to be discussed at the particular executive session or portion of an executive session.

**Table of Contents**

*Committees.* Our Board of Directors has the following three committees: (1) Audit, (2) Compensation and (3) Nominating and Corporate Governance. The membership and the function of each of these committees are described below.

<b>Audit</b>	<b>Compensation</b>	<b>Nominating and Corporate Governance</b>
Alan J. Patricof, Chair Lawrence S. Bacow Carol B. Einiger	Richard E. Salomon, Chair Zoë Baird Frederick J. Iseman David A. Twardock	Zoë Baird, Chair David A. Twardock

*Audit Committee.* Our Board of Directors has established an Audit Committee consisting of Messrs. Patricof (Chair) and Bacow and Ms. Einiger. The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a charter that was approved by our Board of Directors and that is reviewed and reassessed at least annually. The Audit Committee, among other functions, (1) has the sole authority to appoint, retain, terminate and determine the compensation of our independent accountants, (2) reviews with our independent accountants the scope and results of the audit engagement, (3) approves professional services provided by our independent accountants and (4) reviews the independence of our independent accountants. Each member of the Audit Committee is independent as that term is defined in the rules of the SEC and the applicable NYSE Rules. Our Board of Directors determined that Mr. Patricof qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as that term is defined in the rules of the SEC. The Audit Committee Report is included in this proxy statement beginning on page 56. The Audit Committee met eight times during 2008.

*Compensation Committee.* Our Board of Directors has established a Compensation Committee consisting of Messrs. Salomon (Chair), Iseman and Twardock and Ms. Baird. Mr. Iseman joined the Compensation Committee as of January 1, 2009. None of the members of the Compensation Committee is an employee of Boston Properties and each of them is an independent director under the NYSE Rules.

The Compensation Committee operates pursuant to a charter that was approved by our Board of Directors and that is reviewed and reassessed at least annually. The Compensation Committee's responsibilities include, among other duties, the responsibility to (1) review and approve the corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the Chairman, the Chief Executive Officer and certain designated senior executive officers, (2) evaluate the performance of the Chairman, the Chief Executive Officer and designated senior executive officers in light of such goals and objectives and determine and approve compensation of such officers based on such evaluation, (3) review and approve the compensation of other executive officers, (4) review and approve grants and awards under all incentive-based compensation plans and equity-based plans and (5) perform other functions or duties deemed appropriate by the Board.

The Compensation Committee makes all compensation decisions for the Chairman, the Chief Executive Officer and all other executive officers. With respect to compensation decisions relating to executive officers other than the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee takes into consideration recommendations made by the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and/or President. Decisions regarding the non-equity compensation of other officers and employees are made by the Chief Executive Officer, in consultation with the President and Chief Operating Officer. The Compensation Committee has delegated limited authority to the Chief Executive Officer to make equity grants to employees who are not executive officers. In 2006, 2007 and 2008, the Compensation Committee engaged The Schonbraun McCann Group, or SMG, a real estate advisory practice of FTI Consulting, Inc., to assist the committee in determining the amount and form of executive compensation. Information concerning the nature and scope of SMG's assignments and related disclosures is included in *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* beginning on page 21. The Compensation Committee also reviews and makes recommendations to the full Board of Directors regarding the compensation of non-employee directors.



---

**Table of Contents**

The Compensation Committee Report is included in this proxy statement on page 39. The Compensation Committee met eight times during 2008.

*Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.* Our Board of Directors has established a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (the NCG Committee ) consisting of Ms. Baird (Chair) and Mr. Twardock, each of whom is an independent director under the NYSE Rules. The NCG Committee operates pursuant to a charter that was approved by our Board of Directors and that is reviewed and reassessed at least annually. The NCG Committee, among other functions, is responsible for identifying individuals qualified to become Board members, consistent with criteria established by the NCG Committee, and recommending director nominees to the Board for election at each annual meeting of stockholders. The NCG Committee is also responsible for developing and recommending to the Board a set of corporate governance guidelines, establishing a policy with regard to the consideration by the NCG Committee of director candidates recommended by securityholders, establishing procedures to be followed by securityholders submitting such recommendations and establishing a process for identifying and evaluating nominees for the Board, including nominees recommended by securityholders. The NCG Committee met four times during 2008.

A copy of each of our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and NCG Committee Charters is available on our website at <http://www.bostonproperties.com> under the heading Corporate Governance. These Charters are also available in print to any stockholder upon written request addressed to Investor Relations, Boston Properties, Inc., 800 Boylston Street, Suite 1900, Boston, MA 02199-8103.

Our Board of Directors has also established (1) a Special Transactions Committee, the members of which are Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde, which may approve acquisitions, dispositions, financings and refinancings involving amounts less than \$25 million and may approve refinancings in amounts greater than \$25 million if the existing debt is increasing by less than \$25 million, and (2) a Significant Transactions Committee, the members of which are Messrs. Zuckerman, E. Linde and Twardock, which may approve acquisitions, dispositions, financings and refinancings involving amounts equal to or greater than \$25 million but less than \$200 million and may approve refinancings in amounts greater than \$200 million if the existing debt is increasing by less than \$200 million. The Special Transactions Committee took action by written consent three times during 2008. The Significant Transactions Committee held no meetings during 2008.

Our Board of Directors may from time to time establish other special or standing committees to facilitate the management of Boston Properties or to discharge specific duties delegated to the committee by the full Board of Directors.

**Consideration of Director Nominees**

*Securityholder Recommendations.* The NCG Committee's current policy is to review and consider any director candidates who have been recommended by securityholders in compliance with the procedures established from time to time by the NCG Committee. All securityholder recommendations for director candidates must be submitted to our Secretary at Boston Properties, Inc., 800 Boylston Street, Suite 1900, Boston, MA 02199-8103, who will forward all recommendations to the NCG Committee. We did not receive any securityholder recommendations for director candidates for election at the 2009 annual meeting in compliance with the procedures set forth below. All securityholder recommendations for director candidates for election at the 2010 annual meeting of stockholders must be submitted to our Secretary on or before December 2, 2009 and must include the following information:

the name and address of record of the securityholder;

a representation that the securityholder is a record holder of our securities, or if the securityholder is not a record holder, evidence of ownership in accordance with Rule 14a-8(b)(2) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934;

**Table of Contents**

the name, age, business and residential address, educational background, current principal occupation or employment, and principal occupation or employment for the preceding five (5) full fiscal years of the proposed director candidate;

a description of the qualifications and background of the proposed director candidate which addresses the minimum qualifications and other criteria for Board membership as approved by the Board from time to time;

a description of all arrangements or understandings between the securityholder and the proposed director candidate;

the consent of the proposed director candidate (1) to be named in the proxy statement relating to our annual meeting of stockholders and (2) to serve as a director if elected at such annual meeting; and

any other information regarding the proposed director candidate that is required to be included in a proxy statement filed pursuant to the rules of the SEC.

*Board Membership Criteria.* The NCG Committee has established criteria for NCG Committee-recommended director nominees. These criteria include the following specific, minimum qualifications that the NCG Committee believes must be met by an NCG Committee-recommended nominee for a position on the Board:

the candidate must have experience at a strategic or policymaking level in a business, government, non-profit or academic organization of high standing;

the candidate must be highly accomplished in his or her respective field, with superior credentials and recognition;

the candidate must be well regarded in the community and must have a long-term reputation for high ethical and moral standards;

the candidate must have sufficient time and availability to devote to our affairs, particularly in light of the number of boards on which the nominee may serve;

the candidate's principal business or occupation must not be such as to place the candidate in competition with us or conflict with the discharge of a director's responsibilities to us and our stockholders; and

to the extent the candidate serves or has previously served on other boards, the candidate must have a history of actively contributing at board meetings.

In addition to the minimum qualifications for each nominee set forth above, the NCG Committee will recommend director candidates to the full Board for nomination, or present director candidates to the full Board for consideration, to help ensure that:

a majority of the Board of Directors shall be independent as defined by the NYSE Rules;

each of its Audit, Compensation and NCG Committees shall be comprised entirely of independent directors; and

at least one member of the Audit Committee shall have such experience, education and other qualifications necessary to qualify as an audit committee financial expert as defined by the rules of the SEC.

## **Table of Contents**

Finally, in addition to any other standards the NCG Committee may deem appropriate from time to time for the overall structure and composition of the Board, the NCG Committee may consider the following factors when recommending director candidates to the full Board for nomination, or presenting director candidates to the full Board for consideration:

whether the candidate has direct experience in the real estate industry or in the markets in which we operate; and

whether the candidate, if elected, assists in achieving a mix of Board members that represents a diversity of background and experience.

*Identifying and Evaluating Nominees.* The NCG Committee may solicit recommendations for director nominees from any or all of the following sources: non-management directors, the Chairman, the Chief Executive Officer, other executive officers, third-party search firms or any other source it deems appropriate.

The NCG Committee will review and evaluate the qualifications of any proposed director candidate that it is considering or has been recommended to it by a securityholder in compliance with the NCG Committee's procedures for that purpose, and conduct inquiries it deems appropriate into the background of these proposed director candidates. In identifying and evaluating proposed director candidates, the NCG Committee may consider, in addition to the minimum qualifications for NCG Committee-recommended director nominees, all facts and circumstances that it deems appropriate or advisable, including, among other things, the skills of the proposed director candidate, his or her depth and breadth of business experience, his or her independence and the needs of the Board. Other than circumstances in which we may be legally required by contract or otherwise to provide third parties with the ability to nominate directors, the NCG Committee will evaluate all proposed director candidates that it considers or who have been properly recommended to it by a securityholder based on the same criteria and in substantially the same manner, with no regard to the source of the initial recommendation of the proposed director candidate.

## **Communications with the Board**

If you wish to communicate with any of our directors or the Board of Directors as a group, you may do so by writing to them at [Name(s) of Director(s)/Board of Directors of Boston Properties, Inc.], c/o Compliance Officer, Boston Properties, Inc., 800 Boylston Street, Suite 1900, Boston, MA 02199-8103.

If you wish to contact the Audit Committee to report complaints or concerns regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, you may do so by writing to the Chair of the Audit Committee of Boston Properties, Inc., c/o Compliance Officer, Boston Properties, Inc., 800 Boylston Street, Suite 1900, Boston, MA 02199-8103. You are welcome to make any such reports anonymously, but we prefer that you identify yourself so that we may contact you for additional information if necessary or appropriate.

If you wish to communicate with our non-management directors as a group, you may do so by writing to Non-Management Directors of Boston Properties, Inc., c/o Compliance Officer, Boston Properties, Inc., 800 Boylston Street, Suite 1900, Boston, MA 02199-8103.

We recommend that all correspondence be sent via certified U.S. mail, return receipt requested. All correspondence received by the Compliance Officer will be forwarded by the Compliance Officer promptly to the addressee(s).

## **Corporate Governance Guidelines**

Our Board of Directors adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, a copy of which is available on our website at <http://www.bostonproperties.com> under the heading Corporate Governance. These Guidelines are also available in print to any stockholder upon written request addressed to Investor Relations, Boston Properties, Inc., 800 Boylston Street, Suite 1900, Boston, MA 02199-8103.

**Table of Contents**

**Code of Business Conduct and Ethics**

Our Board of Directors adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which governs business decisions made and actions taken by our directors, officers and employees. A copy of this code is available on our website at <http://www.bostonproperties.com> under the heading Corporate Governance. We intend to disclose on this website any amendment to, or waiver of, any provision of this Code applicable to our directors and executive officers that would otherwise be required to be disclosed under the rules of the SEC or the NYSE Rules. A copy of this Code is also available in print to any stockholder upon written request addressed to Investor Relations, Boston Properties, Inc., 800 Boylston Street, Suite 1900, Boston, MA 02199-8103.

---

**Table of Contents**

**PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

**Introduction**

At the annual meeting, three Class III directors will be elected to serve until the 2012 annual meeting and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified. Following the recommendation of the NCG Committee, our Board of Directors has nominated Messrs. Frederick J. Iseman, Edward H. Linde and David A. Twardock for election as Class III directors. Each nominee is currently serving as a director of Boston Properties. In making its recommendations, the NCG Committee considered a number of factors, including its criteria for Board membership, which included the minimum qualifications that must be possessed by a director candidate in order to be nominated for a position on the Board. Our Board of Directors anticipates that, if elected, the nominees will serve as directors. However, if any person nominated by our Board of Directors is unable to serve or for good cause will not serve, the proxies will be voted for the election of such other person as our Board of Directors may recommend.

**Vote Required**

Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes of the shares of common stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the election of directors at the annual meeting. Votes may be cast for or withheld from each nominee. Votes cast for the nominees will count as yes votes; votes that are withheld from the nominees will not be voted with respect to the director or directors indicated, but they will be counted when determining whether there is a quorum present. In the absence of your voting instructions, your broker may vote your shares with respect to the election of directors in its discretion.

**Recommendation**

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR ITS NOMINEES, FREDERICK J. ISEMAN, EDWARD H. LINDE AND DAVID A. TWARDOCK. PROPERLY AUTHORIZED PROXIES SOLICITED BY THE BOARD WILL BE VOTED FOR EACH OF THE NOMINEES UNLESS INSTRUCTIONS TO THE CONTRARY ARE GIVEN.**

**Information Regarding the Nominees, Other Directors and Executive Officers**

The following biographical descriptions set forth certain information with respect to the nominees for election as Class III directors at the annual meeting, each director who is not standing for election and the executive officers who are not directors, based on information furnished to Boston Properties by each nominee, director and executive officer as of February 2, 2009. Each executive officer holds office until the regular meeting of the Board of Directors following the next annual meeting of stockholders and until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified or until his or her earlier resignation or removal.

*Nominees for Election as Class III Directors Terms Expiring 2009*

**Frederick J. Iseman.** Mr. Frederick J. Iseman has been a director since December 15, 2008. Mr. Iseman is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of CI Capital Partners LLC, a private equity investment firm he founded in 1993. He is also Chairman of Conney Safety Products, LLC, KIK Custom Products, Valley National Gases LLC, CoVant Technologies, American Residential Services, L.L.C. and Ply Gem Industries, Inc., as well as a member of the Advisory Board of the STAR Fund. He is the former Chairman of Anteon International Corporation. From 1988 to 1990, as a member of Hambro International Equity Partners, Mr. Iseman made or directed investments in healthcare, environmental services, publishing and banking. From 1990 to 1993, he ran Hambro-Iseman Capital Partners and was the controlling shareholder of the public company Geowaste, Inc. In addition, Mr. Iseman is a Board member of the International Rescue Committee, the Metropolitan Opera, the Municipal Art Society, Carnegie Hall, the White Nights Foundation of America and the Academy for Educational Development. Mr. Iseman received a BA in English Literature from Yale College. He is 56 years old.

**Table of Contents**

**Edward H. Linde.** Mr. Edward H. Linde serves as Chief Executive Officer of Boston Properties, Inc. and has been a director since June 23, 1997. Mr. Linde also served as President until May 2007, when Douglas T. Linde was named President. Mr. Linde co-founded Boston Properties in 1970 after spending five years at Cabot, Cabot & Forbes, where he became Vice President and Senior Project Manager. Mr. Linde serves as Chairman of the Board of Trustees of the Boston Symphony Orchestra and a director of Jobs for Massachusetts, WGBH and Boston World Partnership. He is a past Chairman of the Board of the Beth Israel Hospital, which subsequently merged to become the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, and currently serves as a Trustee. Mr. Linde is a member of The Real Estate Roundtable and serves on the Executive Committee of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT. Mr. Linde received a BS in Civil Engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1962 and an MBA from Harvard Business School. His son, Douglas T. Linde, serves as our President. Mr. Linde is 67 years old.

**David A. Twardock.** Mr. David A. Twardock has been a director since May 7, 2003. Mr. Twardock is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Prudential Mortgage Capital Company, LLC, the real estate finance affiliate of Prudential Financial, Inc. Since 1982, Mr. Twardock has held numerous positions relating to real estate equity and debt with Prudential, including his position from 1996 to November 1998 as Senior Managing Director of Prudential Realty Group. Mr. Twardock is a member of the Urban Land Institute, International Council of Shopping Centers, the Economics Club of Chicago and the American Hotel & Lodging Association and he previously served as Chairman of the Real Estate Roundtable Capital Markets Committee. Mr. Twardock serves as a director of Prudential Mortgage Capital Holdings Corp. and its various subsidiaries, Prudential Asset Resources, Inc. and Prudential Realty Securities, Inc. He received a BS in Civil Engineering from the University of Illinois and an MBA in Finance and Behavioral Science from the University of Chicago. He is 51 years old.

*Incumbent Class I Directors Terms Expiring 2010*

**Mortimer B. Zuckerman.** Mr. Mortimer B. Zuckerman serves as Chairman of the Board of Directors of Boston Properties, Inc. and has been a director since June 23, 1997. Mr. Zuckerman co-founded Boston Properties in 1970 after spending seven years at Cabot, Cabot & Forbes where he rose to the position of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. He is also Chairman and Editor-in-Chief of U.S. News & World Report and Chairman and Publisher of the New York Daily News. He serves as trustee of Memorial Sloan-Kettering and he is also a member of the JPMorgan National Advisory Board, the Council on Foreign Relations, the Washington Institute for Near East Studies and the International Institute of Strategic Studies. He is also a former Associate Professor of City and Regional Planning at the Harvard Graduate School of Design, a former lecturer of City and Regional Planning at Yale University and a past president of the Board of Trustees of the Dana Farber Cancer Institute in Boston. Mr. Zuckerman was awarded the Commandeur De L'Ordre des Arts et des Lettres by the government of France, the Lifetime Achievement Award from Guild Hall and the Gold Medal from the American Institute of Architecture in New York. Mr. Zuckerman is a graduate of McGill University in Montreal where he received an undergraduate degree in 1957 and a degree in law in 1961. He received an MBA with distinction from the Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania in 1961 and an LLM from Harvard University in 1962. He has also received three honorary degrees. He is 71 years old.

**Carol B. Einiger.** Ms. Carol B. Einiger has been a director since May 5, 2004. Ms. Einiger is President of Post Rock Advisors, LLC, a private investment advisory firm established in 2005. Ms. Einiger began a 20-year investment banking career in 1971 at Goldman, Sachs & Co. and worked at The First Boston Corporation from 1973 to 1988, becoming Managing Director and head of the Capital Markets Department and the Short-Term Finance Department. In 1988, Ms. Einiger became Executive-in-Residence and Visiting Professor at Columbia Business School, and in 1989 she joined Wasserstein Perella & Co. as Managing Director. She joined the Edna McConnell Clark Foundation in 1992, serving as Chief Financial Officer and then Acting President until 1996. Ms. Einiger served as Chief Investment Officer of The Rockefeller University from 1996 through 2005, when she established Post Rock Advisors. Ms. Einiger is a director of the Lasker Foundation and the New York Stem Cell Foundation and a member of the Board of Overseers of Columbia Business School and Investment Committee of UJA-Federation of New York. She previously served on the Boards of Trustees and Investment

**Table of Contents**

Committees of the University of Pennsylvania and the Horace Mann School, as Vice Chair of the Investment Committee of the Museum of Modern Art, as a Director of Credit Suisse First Boston (USA) and on the Advisory Board of Blackstone Alternative Asset Management L.P. Ms. Einiger is the recipient of numerous awards, including the Alumni Award of Merit of the University of Pennsylvania, the Columbia Business School Distinguished Alumna Award, the Anti-Defamation League Woman of Achievement Award and the Catalyst Award for Corporate Leadership. She received a BA from the University of Pennsylvania and an MBA from Columbia Business School. She is 59 years old.

**Richard E. Salomon.** Mr. Richard E. Salomon has been a director since November 12, 1998. He is Managing Partner of East End Advisors LLC, a private investment advisory firm. Mr. Salomon was President of Mecox Ventures, Inc., a private investment company from 2000 until 2008 and President and Managing Director of the investment advisory firm, Spears, Benzak, Salomon & Farrell from 1982 until 2000. Mr. Salomon serves as Senior Advisor to Mr. David Rockefeller. He represented Rockefeller interests on the Executive Committee of Embarcadero Center from 1977 until 1998. He is a trustee of the Council on Foreign Relations, the Museum of Modern Art, The Rockefeller University, the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation and the Peterson Institute of International Economics. Mr. Salomon is also a member of the Investment Committee at the Council on Foreign Relations, the Rockefeller University, the Museum of Modern Art, the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation and the Peterson Institute of International Economics. He received a BA from Yale University in 1964 and an MBA from Columbia Business School in 1967. He is 66 years old.

*Incumbent Class II Directors Terms Expiring 2011*

**Lawrence S. Bacow.** Mr. Lawrence S. Bacow has been a director since May 7, 2003. Since September 2001, Mr. Bacow has served as President of Tufts University. Prior to his appointment to this position, Mr. Bacow served in various capacities at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, including Chancellor from August 1998 to June 2001. During his 24-year tenure at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Mr. Bacow was the Lee and Geraldine Martin Professor of Environmental Studies in the Department of Urban Studies and Planning and he also served as the Director of the MIT Center for Real Estate Development, the Chair of the MIT Council on the Environment and the Chairman of the Faculty of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Mr. Bacow serves as a director of Tufts University, Cummings Foundation, Campus Compact, Wheaton College and the Association of Independent Colleges and Universities of Massachusetts. He received an SB in Economics from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and a Ph.D., an MPP and a JD from Harvard University. He is 57 years old.

**Zoë Baird.** Ms. Zoë Baird has been a director since May 11, 2005. Since January 1998 she has served as President of the Markle Foundation, a private philanthropy that focuses on using information and communications technologies to address critical public needs, particularly in the areas of health care and national security. Ms. Baird serves as Co-Chair of the Markle Task Force on National Security in the Information Age and participates in the Steering Committee of Markle's Connecting for Health Initiative. Ms. Baird previously had been Senior Vice President and General Counsel of Aetna, Inc., an international insurance company, from 1990 to 1996, and a senior visiting scholar at Yale Law School from 1996 to 1997. Prior to holding such positions, Ms. Baird had served as Counselor and Staff Executive of General Electric Co., a partner in the international law firm of O Melveny and Myers, an associate general counsel to President Jimmy Carter and an attorney in the Office of Legal Counsel of the United States Department of Justice. Ms. Baird founded and serves as Chair Emeritus on the board of Lawyers for Children America, which is concerned with the impact of violence on children. Ms. Baird is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations and the American Law Institute, and she serves on the boards of Convergys Corporation and The Chubb Corporation. She also serves as an honorary trustee of The Brookings Institution and serves as secretary and treasurer of the U.S. China Youth Forum. She has also served on the Technology & Privacy Advisory Committee to the United States Secretary of Defense from 2003 to 2004, President Clinton's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board from 1993 to 2001 and the International Competition Policy Advisory Committee to the United States Attorney General from 1997 to



**Table of Contents**

2000. Ms. Baird received an undergraduate degree from the University of California at Berkeley with majors in communications and public policy, as well as political science. She also received a JD from the University of California at Berkeley's Boalt School of Law. She is 56 years old.

**Alan J. Patricof.** Mr. Alan J. Patricof has been a director since June 23, 1997. Mr. Patricof is Managing Director of Greycroft, LLC, a venture capital firm he formed in 2006. Prior to that, he was Chairman of Apax Partners, Inc. (formerly Patricof & Co. Ventures, Inc.), a venture capital company that he founded in 1969, which is now one of the world's leading private equity firms with \$34 billion under management or advice. He is a board member of TechnoServe, the Trickle Up Program, National Foundation for Teaching Entrepreneurship (NFTE) and the Millennium Challenge Corporation and serves on the Global Advisory Board of Endeavor, Inc. Mr. Patricof received a BS in Finance from Ohio State University and an MBA from Columbia Business School. He is 74 years old.

**Martin Turchin.** Mr. Martin Turchin has been a director since June 23, 1997. Mr. Turchin serves as Vice-Chairman of CB Richard Ellis, the world's largest real estate services company. From 1985 until its merger with CB Richard Ellis in July 2003, Mr. Turchin served as Vice-Chairman of Insignia/ESG, Inc., a subsidiary of Insignia Financial Group, which was one of the nation's largest commercial real estate brokerage, consulting and management firms. Prior to joining Insignia/ESG, Inc., he spent 14 years with Kenneth E. Laub & Company, Inc. where he was involved in real estate acquisition, financing, leasing and consulting. Mr. Turchin has more than 30 years experience as a commercial real estate broker, consultant and advisor and has been involved in some of the largest real estate transactions in the United States. During his career, he has orchestrated more than 50 million square feet of real estate transactions. He is a three-time recipient of the Real Estate Board of New York's Most Ingenious Deal of the Year Award and a two-time recipient of the Robert T. Lawrence Award. Mr. Turchin serves on the board of GenCorp Inc. He holds a BS from City College of the University of New York and a JD from St. John's Law School. He is 67 years old.

*Executive Officers who are not Directors*

**Douglas T. Linde.** Mr. Douglas T. Linde serves as President of Boston Properties, Inc. Prior to his appointment to this position in May 2007, he served as Executive Vice President since January 2005 and he also served as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer from 2000 until November 2007. He joined Boston Properties in January 1997 as Vice President of Acquisitions and New Business to help identify and execute acquisitions and to develop new business opportunities and was promoted to Senior Vice President for Financial and Capital Markets in October 1998. Prior to joining Boston Properties, Mr. Linde served from 1993 to 1997 as President of Capstone Investments, a Boston real estate investment company. From 1989 to 1993, he served as Project Manager and Assistant to the Chief Financial Officer of Wright Runstad and Company, a private real estate developer in Seattle, WA. He began his career in the real estate industry with Salomon Brothers' Real Estate Finance Group. Mr. Linde is a member of the Board of Directors of Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and serves on the Finance Committee and is a director of the Boston Municipal Research Bureau. Mr. Linde received a BA from Wesleyan University in 1985 and an MBA from Harvard Business School in 1989. Mr. Linde's father, Edward H. Linde, serves as our Chief Executive Officer and a director. Mr. Linde is 45 years old.

**E. Mitchell Norville.** Mr. E. Mitchell Norville serves as Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer with responsibilities for administrative policy and day-to-day control of our operations. Prior to his promotion to this position in May 2007, Mr. Norville served as Executive Vice President for Operations since September 2005. From March 1998 to September 2005, Mr. Norville served as Senior Vice President and Regional Manager of our Washington, D.C. office. In that capacity he was in charge of all development activities as well as being responsible for all leasing, construction, property management and administrative activities. From 1994 to 1998, he served as Senior Vice President and Senior Project Manager in our Washington, D.C. region, with responsibilities for various project developments. Mr. Norville is a member of the Clemson University President's Advisory Board and he is a member of the Clemson University Center For Real Estate Development (CRED) Advancement Board. He received a BS in Mechanical Engineering from Clemson University in 1980 and an MBA from the University of Virginia in 1984. He is 50 years old.

**Table of Contents**

**Raymond A. Ritchey.** Mr. Raymond A. Ritchey serves as Executive Vice President and National Director of Acquisitions and Development. Prior to his appointment in April 1998 to this position, he served as Senior Vice President and Co-Manager of our Washington, D.C. office. In his current position, Mr. Ritchey is responsible for all business development, leasing and marketing as well as new opportunity origination in the Washington, D.C. area. He also directly oversees similar activities on a national basis. Mr. Ritchey joined us in 1980, leading our expansion to become one of the dominant real estate firms in the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area. For four years prior to joining us, Mr. Ritchey was one of the leading commercial real estate brokers in the Washington, D.C. area with Coldwell Banker. He is a 1972 graduate of the U.S. Naval Academy and a 1973 graduate of the U.S. Naval Post Graduate School in Monterey, California. He is 58 years old.

**Michael E. LaBelle.** Mr. Michael E. LaBelle serves as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. Prior to his appointment to this position in November 2007, Mr. LaBelle served as Senior Vice President, Finance since February 2005. His primary responsibilities have included managing all debt capital market activities, including maintaining our relationships with our rating agencies and lending institutions, supervising treasury management and underwriting tenant credit capacity. In his current role, Mr. LaBelle oversees the finance, accounting, internal audit and investor relations departments and is also responsible for capital raising, financial strategy and planning. Prior to joining us in March 2000, Mr. LaBelle held the position of Vice President & Relationship Manager with Fleet National Bank for nine years with the responsibility of financing large-scale commercial real estate developments. He started his career as an Associate National Bank Examiner with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency in New York City specializing in commercial real estate debt portfolio analysis and valuation in commercial banks located throughout the Mid-Atlantic and Northeastern United States. Mr. LaBelle is a member of the Board of Directors for the Boston Chapter of the Real Estate Finance Association. Mr. LaBelle holds a BS degree in Economics from the University of Colorado. He is 44 years old.

**Peter D. Johnston.** Mr. Peter D. Johnston serves as Senior Vice President and Regional Manager of our Washington, D.C. office. He is in charge of all operations including project development, leasing, construction, property management and administrative activities for our Washington, D.C. office, with a staff of approximately 200 people. Mr. Johnston joined the Company in 1987. In 1989 he was promoted to Project Manager, with subsequent promotions in 1991 to Vice President and in 1997 to Senior Vice President. In 2003 he was appointed head of the development team in the Washington Region and held this position until his promotion in September 2005 to the position of Regional Manager. Mr. Johnston has been directly responsible for more than four million square feet of new development and renovation projects. He is a past member of the board of directors of the Northern Virginia Chapter of the National Association of Industrial and Office Properties (NAIOP). Mr. Johnston received a BA in Business Administration from Roanoke College, an MA in 1982 from Hollins College and an MBA in 1987 from the University of Virginia. He is 50 years old.

**Bryan J. Koop.** Mr. Bryan J. Koop serves as Senior Vice President and Regional Manager of our Boston office. Mr. Koop is responsible for overseeing the operation of our existing regional portfolio in the Boston area, which includes the Prudential Center and Cambridge Center. He is also responsible for developing new business opportunities in the area. Prior to joining us in 1999, Mr. Koop served at Trammell Crow Company from 1982 to 1999 where his career covered high-rise office building leasing and the development of commercial office buildings and shopping centers. From 1993 to 1999, his position was Managing Director and Regional Leader for Trammell Crow Company's New England region, which included all commercial office and shopping center operations. Mr. Koop is a member of the Board of Directors for the Massachusetts Chapter of NAIOP. Mr. Koop received a BBA in 1980 and an MBA in 1982 from Texas Christian University. He is 50 years old.

**Mitchell S. Landis.** Mr. Mitchell S. Landis serves as Senior Vice President and Regional Manager of our Princeton office. Prior to his appointment to this position in February 2001, he served as Vice President and Regional Manager of our Princeton office. He is responsible for overseeing development, leasing and management for the Carnegie Center and Tower Center assets and for the pursuit of new business opportunities in the region. Mr. Landis joined Boston Properties in June 1998 when we acquired the assets of The Landis

## Table of Contents

Group, for which he was Chief Operating Officer. For 19 years prior to that, he owned and operated Landis Food Services, a restaurant franchiser and owner in the Northeast United States and Canada. Mr. Landis received a BS degree in Economics from New York University in 1973 and completed coursework toward a master's degree in Economics in 1975. Mr. Landis is 58 years old.

**Robert E. Pester.** Mr. Robert E. Pester serves as Senior Vice President and Regional Manager of our San Francisco office, with responsibility for all of our activities on the West Coast. Mr. Pester is responsible for overseeing existing operations at the Embarcadero Center and our other Bay Area properties on the Peninsula and in Silicon Valley, and developing new business opportunities in the area. Prior to joining us in 1998, he served as Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer of Bedford Property Investors, a real estate investment trust in Lafayette, CA, where he led the acquisitions and development program. Prior to 1994, he was President of Bedford Property Development, a private West Coast development concern that held more than \$2 billion in real estate assets. From 1980 to 1989, he was a leading commercial real estate broker with Cushman & Wakefield in northern California, where he last served as Vice President. He is a 1979 graduate of the University of California at Santa Barbara with a BA in Economics and Political Science. He is 52 years old.

**Robert E. Selsam.** Mr. Robert E. Selsam serves as Senior Vice President and Regional Manager of our New York office. He oversees all aspects of our New York activities, including development, acquisitions, leasing and building operations. He joined us as a Vice President in 1984, prior to which he was Director of Planning for the Metropolitan Transportation Authority of the State of New York. Mr. Selsam is a member of the Board of Governors of the Real Estate Board of New York and is a board member of the New York Building Congress. He is also a trustee of Phipps Houses, Chairman of the Salvadori Center and a member of the Advisory Board of Goldman Family Enterprises. He received a BA from the University of Pennsylvania in 1968 and an MS in Urban Planning from the Columbia University School of Architecture in 1970. He is 62 years old.

**Frank D. Burt.** Mr. Frank D. Burt serves as Senior Vice President and General Counsel, a position he has held since 2003. He is responsible for overseeing the legal department and the delivery of legal services for Boston Properties. Mr. Burt has served in various capacities since he joined us in 1986, and he represented us in the acquisition of the Prudential Center in Boston and the Embarcadero Center in San Francisco, as well as in the development activities at the Prudential Center. He previously worked in the real estate department at Nutter, McClennen & Fish in Boston. Mr. Burt is a member of the Boston Bar Association and a speaker for Massachusetts Continuing Legal Education. Mr. Burt received a BA, magna cum laude, from Brown University in 1980 and a JD, cum laude, from the University of Pennsylvania Law School in 1983. Mr. Burt is 50 years old.

**Arthur S. Flashman.** Mr. Arthur S. Flashman serves as Vice President and Controller. He is responsible for overseeing financial reporting, property accounting and tax compliance and is also responsible for providing transactional support on capital markets activity. Prior to joining us in 2002, Mr. Flashman served as an Asset Manager with the Winn Companies and previous to this role he was with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP where he specialized in real estate, serving both public REITs and private institutional funds. Mr. Flashman is a member of the Best Financial Practices Council of NAREIT and a member of the Real Estate Roundtable. He also serves as co-chairman of the accounting committee of NAREIT. Mr. Flashman received a BS/BA in finance and accounting from Boston University in 1984 where he was elected to the Beta Alpha Psi honor society. Mr. Flashman is 47 years old.

**Table of Contents**

**PRINCIPAL AND MANAGEMENT STOCKHOLDERS**

The table below shows the amount of common stock of Boston Properties, Inc. and units of partnership interest in Boston Properties Limited Partnership (the Operating Partnership) beneficially owned as of February 2, 2009 by:

each director;

the Chairman of the Board, the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and the two other most highly compensated executive officers of Boston Properties, each of whose compensation exceeded \$100,000 during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 (the named executive officers);

all directors and executive officers of Boston Properties as a group; and

each person known by Boston Properties to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our outstanding common stock. On February 2, 2009, there were:

- (1) 121,260,740 shares of our common stock outstanding;
- (2) 19,891,152 common units of partnership interest in the Operating Partnership (common units) outstanding (other than the common units held by Boston Properties), each of which is redeemable for one share of Boston Properties common stock (if Boston Properties elects to issue common stock rather than pay cash upon such redemption);
- (3) 1,453,002 long term incentive units of partnership interest in the Operating Partnership issued pursuant to the Long Term Incentive Plan (other than LTIP units issued in the form of 2008 outperformance awards) each of which, upon the satisfaction of certain conditions, is convertible into one common unit;
- (4) 1,113,044 Series Two preferred units of partnership interest in the Operating Partnership (Series Two preferred units), each of which is currently convertible into approximately 1.312336 common units (or a total of 1,460,688 common units); and
- (5) 73,718 deferred stock units.

All references to LTIP units include long term incentive units of partnership interest in the Operating Partnership but exclude LTIP units issued in the form of 2008 outperformance awards. LTIP units issued in the form of 2008 outperformance awards are referred to herein as 2008 OPP Awards.

**Table of Contents**

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner*	Common Stock		Common Stock and Units	
	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned(1)	Percent of Common Stock(2)	Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned(1)	Percent of Common Stock and Units(3)
<b>Directors and Named Executive Officers</b>				
Mortimer B. Zuckerman(4)	2,943,637	2.42%	9,502,984	6.57%
Lawrence S. Bacow(5)	7,710	**	11,206	**
Zoë Baird(6)	4,322	**	6,078	**
Carol B. Einiger(7)	5,630	**	8,218	**
Frederick J. Iseman(8)	38	**	690	**
Edward H. Linde(9)	972,171	**	8,346,142	5.79
Alan J. Patricof(10)	19,492	**	22,562	**
Richard E. Salomon(11)	15,889	**	29,636	**
Martin Turchin(12)	25,413	**	28,483	**
David A. Twardock(13)	8,499	**	11,410	**
Douglas T. Linde(14)	162,222	**	361,092	**
Raymond A. Ritchey(15)	245,359	**	648,604	**
Michael E. LaBelle(16)	5,770	**	18,563	**
<b>All directors and executive officers as a group (21 persons)(17)</b>	<b>4,767,047</b>	<b>3.91%</b>	<b>19,730,267</b>	<b>13.60%</b>
<b>5% Holders</b>				
The Vanguard Group, Inc.(18)	8,912,974	7.35%	8,912,974	6.18%
Barclays Global Investors, NA(19)	8,271,118	6.82	8,271,118	5.74
Cohen & Steers, Inc.(20)	7,219,421	5.95	7,219,421	5.01
Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc.(20)	7,184,032	5.92	7,184,032	4.98
State Street Bank and Trust Company(21)	6,720,625	5.54	6,720,625	4.66
Morgan Stanley(22)	6,559,185	5.41	6,559,185	4.55

\* Unless otherwise indicated, the address is c/o Boston Properties, Inc., 800 Boylston Street, Suite 1900, Boston, MA 02199-8103.

\*\* Less than 1%.

- (1) The number of shares of common stock beneficially owned by each stockholder is determined under rules issued by the SEC regarding the beneficial ownership of securities. This information is not necessarily indicative of beneficial ownership for any other purpose. Number of Shares Beneficially Owned includes (a) shares of common stock that may be acquired upon the exercise of options to acquire shares of common stock that are exercisable on or within 60 days after February 2, 2009 and (b) the number of shares of common stock issuable to directors upon conversion of deferred stock units. The Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned includes all shares included in the Number of Shares Beneficially Owned column plus the number of shares of common stock for which common units, LTIP units and Series Two preferred units may be redeemed (assuming, in the case of LTIP units and Series Two preferred units, that they have first been converted into common units). Pursuant to the limited partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership, the holders of the common units, LTIP units and Series Two Preferred units (assuming conversion in full into common units, as applicable) have the right to redeem such units for cash, or at our option, shares of common stock, subject to certain conditions. Deferred stock units are granted under the Second Amendment and Restatement of the Boston Properties, Inc. 1997 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (the 1997 Stock Plan) pursuant to elections by our non-employee directors to defer his or her cash compensation and to receive his or her cash compensation in the form of Boston Properties common stock upon the director's retirement from our Board of Directors. See *Compensation of Directors* below. Except as otherwise noted, each beneficial owner has sole voting and investment power over the shares and units. Holders of common units, LTIP units, Series Two preferred units and deferred stock units are not entitled to vote such units on any of the matters presented at the 2009 annual meeting.

**Table of Contents**

- (2) The total number of shares outstanding used in calculating this percentage assumes (a) the exercise of all options to acquire shares of common stock that are exercisable on or within 60 days after February 2, 2009 held by the beneficial owner and that no options held by other beneficial owners are exercised and (b) the conversion into shares of common stock of all deferred stock units held by the beneficial owner and that no deferred stock units held by other beneficial owners are converted.
- (3) The total number of shares outstanding used in calculating this percentage assumes (a) that all common units, LTIP units and Series Two preferred units are presented (assuming conversion in full into common units, if applicable) to Boston Properties for redemption and are acquired by Boston Properties for shares of common stock, (b) does not separately include outstanding common units held by Boston Properties, as these common units are already reflected in the denominator by the inclusion of all outstanding shares of common stock, (c) the exercise of all options to acquire shares of common stock that are exercisable on or within 60 days after February 2, 2009 held by the beneficial owner and that no options held by other beneficial owners are exercised and (d) the conversion into shares of common stock of all deferred stock units.
- (4) Includes 2,537,548 shares of common stock held directly and 406,089 shares of common stock underlying currently exercisable stock options. Also includes, only under the Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned column, 6,215,294 common units held directly, 46,474 common units held by limited partnerships of which the sole general partners are limited liability companies of which Mr. Zuckerman is the sole member and manager and 297,579 LTIP units (of which 211,555 LTIP units are subject to vesting). Excludes 529,889 shares of common stock held by The MBZ Charitable Remainder Unitrust, of which Mr. Zuckerman is the grantor, and 1,405,392 common units held by The MBZ 1996 Trust, of which Mr. Zuckerman is the grantor. Also excludes 2008 OPP Awards. See also note (9).
- (5) Includes 421 shares of common stock and 7,289 deferred stock units. Also includes, only under the Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned column, 3,496 LTIP units (of which 942 LTIP units are subject to vesting).
- (6) Includes 415 shares of common stock and 3,907 deferred stock units. Also includes, only under the Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned column, 1,756 LTIP units (of which 942 LTIP units are subject to vesting).
- (7) Includes 415 shares of common stock and 5,215 deferred stock units. Also includes, only under the Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned column, 2,588 LTIP units (of which 942 LTIP units are subject to vesting).
- (8) Includes 38 deferred stock units. Also includes, only under the Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned column, 652 LTIP units (all of which are subject to vesting).
- (9) Includes 716,503 shares of common stock held directly and 255,668 shares of common stock held through a trust. Also includes, only under the Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned column, 5,693,083 common units held by a limited partnership of which Mr. E. Linde is the general partner, 46,474 common units held by limited partnerships of which the sole general partners are limited liability companies of which Mr. E. Linde is the sole manager and 229,022 LTIP units (of which 148,722 LTIP units are subject to vesting). The Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned column also includes 1,405,392 common units held by The MBZ 1996 Trust, of which Mr. E. Linde serves as sole trustee. Excludes 2008 OPP Awards. Mr. E. Linde disclaims beneficial ownership of the common units held by The MBZ 1996 Trust.
- (10) Includes 415 shares of common stock and 19,077 deferred stock units. Also includes, only under the Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned column, 3,070 LTIP units (of which 942 LTIP units are subject to vesting).
- (11) Includes 415 shares of common stock and 15,474 deferred stock units. Also includes, only under the Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned column, 3,070 LTIP units (of which 942 LTIP units are subject to vesting) and 8,136 Series Two preferred units. Mr. Salomon is deemed to own an aggregate of 10,677 common units into which such Series Two preferred units are convertible.
- (12) Includes 3,415 shares of common stock held directly, 350 shares of common stock held by Mr. Turchin's wife, 650 shares of common stock held through trusts, 5,779 shares of common stock underlying currently exercisable stock options and 15,219 deferred stock units. Also includes, only under the Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned column, 3,070 LTIP units (of which 942 LTIP units are subject to vesting).

**Table of Contents**

- Mr. Turchin has shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 350 shares of common stock.
- (13) Includes 1,000 shares of common stock (of which 293 shares are subject to vesting) and 7,499 deferred stock units. Also includes, only under the Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned column, 2,911 LTIP units (of which 649 are subject to vesting).
- (14) Includes 30,087 shares of common stock held directly, 700 shares of common stock held by Mr. D. Linde's wife, 2,100 shares of common stock held by Mr. D. Linde's children, 700 shares of common stock held through family trusts and 128,635 shares of common stock underlying currently exercisable stock options. Also includes, only under the Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned column, 56,830 common units held directly and 142,040 LTIP units (of which 97,678 LTIP units are subject to vesting). Excludes 2008 OPP Awards. Mr. D. Linde has shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 700 shares of common stock.
- (15) Includes 25,970 shares of common stock (all of which are subject to vesting) held directly, 23,017 shares of common stock held by a grantor retained annuity trust of which a limited liability company of which Mr. Ritchey is the sole manager is the sole beneficiary, and 196,372 shares of common stock underlying currently exercisable stock options. Also includes, only under the Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned column, 250,570 common units held directly, 35,600 common units held by a limited liability company of which Mr. Ritchey is the sole manager and a member, 14,336 common units held by a grantor retained annuity trust of which a limited liability company of which Mr. Ritchey is the sole manager is the sole beneficiary and 102,739 LTIP units (of which 71,925 LTIP units are subject to vesting). Excludes 2008 OPP Awards.
- (16) Includes 5,337 shares of common stock (of which 2,492 shares are subject to vesting) and 433 shares of common stock underlying currently exercisable stock options. Also includes, only under the Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned column, 12,793 LTIP units (of which 11,856 LTIP units are subject to vesting). Excludes 2008 OPP Awards.
- (17) Includes an aggregate of 3,726,571 shares of common stock, 966,758 shares of common stock underlying currently exercisable stock options and 73,718 deferred stock units. Also includes, only under the Number of Shares and Units Beneficially Owned column, 13,878,337 common units, 1,074,206 LTIP units and 8,136 Series Two preferred units (which are convertible into an aggregate of 10,677 common units). See also notes (4)–(16) above. Excludes 2008 OPP Awards.
- (18) Information regarding The Vanguard Group, Inc. (Vanguard) is based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed by Vanguard with the SEC on February 13, 2009. Vanguard's address is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355. The Schedule 13G/A indicates that Vanguard has sole voting power with respect to 141,379 shares of common stock, sole dispositive power with respect to 8,912,974 shares of common stock and no shared voting or dispositive power.
- (19) Information regarding Barclays Global Investors, NA is based solely on a Schedule 13G filed jointly by Barclays Global Investors, NA, Barclays Global Fund Advisors, Barclays Global Investors, LTD, Barclays Global Investors Japan Limited, Barclays Global Investors Canada Limited, Barclays Global Investors Australia Limited and Barclays Global Investors (Deutschland) AG with the SEC on February 5, 2009. Barclay's address is 400 Howard Street, San Francisco, California 94105. The Schedule 13G indicates that (a) Barclays Global Investors, NA has sole voting power with respect to 2,800,078 shares of common stock, sole dispositive power with respect to 3,402,904 shares of common stock and no shared voting or dispositive power, (b) Barclays Global Fund Advisors has sole voting power with respect to 4,018,242 shares of common stock, sole dispositive power with respect to 4,025,810 shares of common stock and no shared voting or dispositive power, (c) Barclays Global Investors, LTD has sole voting power with respect to 537,151 shares of common stock, sole dispositive power with respect to 576,866 shares of common stock and no shared voting or dispositive power, (d) Barclays Global Investors Japan Limited has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 214,303 shares of common stock and no shared voting or dispositive power and (e) Barclays Global Investors Canada Limited has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 51,235 shares of common stock and no shared voting or dispositive power. The Schedule 13G indicates that each of Barclays Global Investors Australia Limited and Barclays Global Investors (Deutschland) AG has no beneficial ownership.

---

**Table of Contents**

- (20) Information regarding Cohen & Steers, Inc. (Cohen) and Cohen & Steers Capital Management, Inc. (Cohen Capital) is based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed by Cohen, Cohen Capital, and Cohen & Steers Europe S.A. (Cohen Europe) with the SEC on February 17, 2009. Cohen's and Cohen Capital's address is 280 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10017. The Schedule 13G/A indicates that (a) Cohen has sole voting power with respect to 6,449,706 shares of common stock, sole dispositive power with respect to 7,219,421 shares of common stock and no shared voting or dispositive power, (b) Cohen Capital has sole voting power with respect to 6,426,974 shares of common stock and sole dispositive power with respect to 7,184,032 shares of common stock and (c) Cohen Europe has sole voting power with respect to 22,732 shares of common stock, sole dispositive power with respect to 35,389 shares of common stock and no shared voting or dispositive power. The Schedule 13G/A indicates that Cohen holds a 100% interest in Cohen Capital and that Cohen and Cohen Capital together hold a 100% interest in Cohen Europe.
- (21) Information regarding State Street Bank and Trust Company (State Street) is based solely on a Schedule 13G filed by State Street on February 13, 2009. State Street's address is One Lincoln Street, Boston, MA 02111. The Schedule 13G indicates that State Street has sole voting power and shared dispositive power with respect to 6,720,625 shares of common stock.
- (22) Information regarding Morgan Stanley is based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed by Morgan Stanley with the SEC on February 17, 2009. Morgan Stanley's address is 1585 Broadway, New York, New York 10036. The Schedule 13G/A indicates that Morgan Stanley had sole voting power with respect to 3,617,786 shares of common stock, shared voting power with respect to 391 shares of common stock, sole dispositive power with respect to 6,559,185 shares of common stock and no shared dispositive power.

**Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), requires the executive officers and directors of Boston Properties, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of Boston Properties' equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange. Officers, directors and greater than ten percent beneficial owners are required by SEC regulations to furnish Boston Properties with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. To our knowledge, based solely on our review of the copies of such reports furnished to us and written representations that no other reports were required during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our executive officers, directors and greater than ten percent beneficial owners were satisfied.

**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

**Executive Compensation Philosophy and Objectives**

Our executive compensation program is administered under the direction of the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors. The current members of the Compensation Committee are Messrs. Salomon (Chair), Iseman and Twardock and Ms. Baird. Mr. Iseman was appointed to the Compensation Committee effective January 1, 2009.

Our executive compensation philosophy is as follows:

attract, retain and reward executives who have the motivation, experience and skills necessary to lead the Company effectively and continue our short-term and long-term profitability, growth and total return to stockholders;

link management's success in enhancing stockholder value, given market conditions, with executive compensation;

base executive compensation levels on the appropriate blend for each executive officer of our financial and operating performance at the corporate level, the regional contribution to our overall financial and operating performance, and the individual contribution of the executive officer to our success. This is particularly appropriate for us given that we are organized into five distinct regions, with executives in each region being held accountable for the operating performance of the assets within their control, and other executives being held accountable for balance sheet management, strategic planning and the allocation of resources to competing growth opportunities;



## **Table of Contents**

position total executive compensation levels to be competitive with other similarly situated public companies, including those in the real estate industry in general and real estate investment trusts, or REITs, in particular, as well as private real estate businesses;

provide most of each executive's total compensation as variable compensation in a pay-for-performance setting through a combination of cash bonuses and equity grants;

provide a majority of total compensation as non-cash compensation in the form of long-term equity awards to more closely align the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders and to maximize retention insofar as all equity awards are subject to time-based vesting; and

hold executives accountable for their level of success in attaining specific goals set for them individually.

## **Overview**

*Engagement of Compensation Consultant.* In 2008 the Compensation Committee again retained The Schonbraun McCann Group, a real estate advisory practice of FTI Consulting, Inc. ( SMG ), a nationally recognized consulting firm specializing in the real estate industry that was first engaged in the fourth quarter of 2006. Neither the Compensation Committee nor the Company has any other professional relationship with SMG. The Compensation Committee directed SMG to, among other things: (1) assist the Compensation Committee in applying our compensation philosophy for our executive officers, including the determination of the portion of total compensation awarded in the form of salary, cash bonus and equity-based compensation; (2) analyze current compensation conditions in the marketplace generally and among our peers specifically, and assess the competitiveness and appropriateness of compensation levels for our executive officers; (3) recommend to the Compensation Committee any modifications or additions to the Company's existing compensation programs that it deems advisable; and (4) make specific recommendations to the Compensation Committee for base salary, cash bonus and equity-based awards for our executive officers.

*Peer Group Analysis.* In 2008 SMG conducted a peer group analysis similar to the one they conducted in 2007. In determining the companies to be included in our peer group, SMG considered a number of factors, including historical peer companies, equity market capitalization, geographic location and industry sector. The 2008 peer group remained relatively unchanged from the 2007 peer group, with the only change being the removal of Archstone-Smith Trust due to its acquisition. The SMG compensation review was based on information disclosed in the peers' 2008 proxy statements, which reported data with respect to fiscal 2007 (the latest year for which comprehensive data is publicly available). SMG's review compared our executive pay practices against both an expanded REIT peer group and a selective office REIT peer group to determine the range of cash and equity-based compensation awarded to executives in comparable positions to our executives in terms of base salary, annual bonus and annual long-term compensation awards.

SMG also analyzed outperformance compensation, which has become one of the elements of executive compensation for many of the companies in the peer group. Due to the significant declines in the stock market in 2008 and the reduced likelihood of future outperformance plan payouts, SMG excluded any potential future outperformance income from the analysis, both for the Company and for the peer groups. However, in circumstances where actual outperformance payouts were made by the Company's peers, SMG included the realized gains and annualized them over the performance period to provide the full context in which compensation committees at those peer companies could be expected to make their 2008 compensation decisions. SMG also compared our historical total returns to stockholders (including share appreciation and dividends) to the comparable total returns of the peers for fiscal 2008 and the latest three- and five-year periods.

**Table of Contents**

The more comprehensive peer group, to which we refer in this discussion as the expanded peer group, is relatively broad and includes the following 22 public real estate companies in various sectors, including office, multi-family, shopping centers and diversified, with equity market capitalizations as of December 31, 2008 ranging between approximately \$300 million and \$15 billion:

Alexandria Real Estate Equities, Inc.	Host Hotels & Resorts, Inc.
AMB Property Corporation	iStar Financial, Inc.
AvalonBay Communities, Inc.	Kilroy Realty Corporation
Brandywine Realty Trust	Kimco Realty Corporation
Corporate Office Properties Trust	Liberty Property Trust
Cousins Properties Incorporated	Mack-Cali Realty Corporation
Developers Diversified Realty Corporation	ProLogis
Douglas Emmett, Inc.	Public Storage, Inc.
Duke Realty Corporation	Simon Property Group, Inc.
Forest City Enterprises, Inc.	SL Green Realty Corp.
General Growth Properties, Inc.	Vornado Realty Trust

The more selective peer group, to which we refer in this discussion as the selective office peer group, is a subset of the first peer group and includes the following ten public REITs specializing in the office sector that more closely resemble our business model:

Alexandria Real Estate Equities, Inc.

Brandywine Realty Trust

Corporate Office Properties Trust

Douglas Emmett, Inc.

Duke Realty Corporation

Kilroy Realty Corporation

Liberty Property Trust

Mack-Cali Realty Corporation

SL Green Realty Corp

Vornado Realty Trust

*Compensation Consultant's Conclusions.* SMG advised the Compensation Committee that both the expanded peer group and the selective office peer group generally have compensation programs comparable to our compensation program, with annual bonuses generally in the form of cash ranging between 150% and 250% of base salary and annual long-term compensation generally in the form of equity with time-based vesting over three to five years. Many of our peers also have outperformance programs in place. In late 2006, based on an extensive review performed by SMG, the Compensation Committee concluded that despite our superior market and corporate performance over the previous several years, the total compensation payable to our executives had lagged significantly behind our peers. Based primarily on that conclusion, the Compensation Committee decided in early 2007 to adopt SMG's recommendation of significant increases in overall compensation levels for our executive officers and a gradual increase in non-cash compensation in the form of long-term equity grants from historical levels such that, for the most senior executives, it would eventually constitute at least 50% of their total annual compensation. This realignment in total compensation was planned over a two-year period such that following decisions made in January 2008, executive compensation would be at the desired levels.

In the fall of 2008, SMG presented its analysis of 2007 compensation levels and concluded that total compensation levels (exclusive of outperformance awards) for our named executive officers other than Mr. LaBelle were generally at the desired peer group levels, assuming the Compensation Committee's objective continues to be to place them at approximately the 75th percentile of the expanded peer group and the 60th

to 70th percentiles of the selective office peer group. The Compensation Committee did not endeavor to set

**Table of Contents**

Mr. LaBelle's compensation at the same relative levels due to the comparatively short period he has served as Chief Financial Officer compared to his peers. More specifically, 2008 base salaries were at approximately the 75th percentile of both sets of peer groups for Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde, and between the 60th and the 65th percentiles of both sets of peer groups for Messrs. D. Linde and Ritchey. Annual bonus awards with respect to 2007 (which were paid in 2008) were between the 75th and the 80th percentiles of both sets of peer groups for all named executive officers other than Mr. LaBelle. Finally, annual long-term compensation awards with respect to 2007 (which were granted in 2008) placed our named executive officers other than Mr. LaBelle between the 50th and 75th percentiles of both sets of peers.

With respect to Mr. LaBelle, SMG advised the Compensation Committee that his base salary for 2008 was at approximately the 25th percentile for both sets of peers, while his cash bonus and long-term incentive compensation awards with respect to 2007 placed him below the 25th percentiles of both peer groups.

The Compensation Committee also received data on the aggregate compensation paid to our named executive officers, compared to the aggregate compensation paid to the comparable executives at each company within the expanded peer group, showing that we fell within the target range with respect to this metric (approximately the 75th to 80th percentile), except for Mr. LaBelle (below the 25th percentile).

In assessing management's performance in 2008, the Compensation Committee began with the recognition of the impact of the global economic turmoil and credit crisis on the entire REIT sector, as evidenced by the following total return to stockholders (TRS) statistics, including our rank relative to the expanded and selective office peer groups:

	<b>2008 TRS</b>	<b>Three-Year TRS</b>	<b>Five-Year TRS</b>
Boston Properties, Inc.	-37.94%	-9.50%	57.20%
Average for expanded peer group (23 companies)	-46.58%	-43.63%	-8.73%
Rank (percent rank) within expanded peer group	10 (59%)	3 (90%)	3 (90%)
Average for selective office peer group (11 companies)	-35.60%	-34.49%	4.25%
Rank (percent rank) within selective office peer group	6 (50%)	2 (89%)	2 (89%)
Morgan Stanley REIT Index	-37.97%	-29.87%	3.40%
SNL Office Index	-43.15%	-35.02%	-10.67%

Beginning in November 2008, SMG shared with the Compensation Committee its views on trends in executive compensation for 2008 in the REIT industry generally in light of the economic downturn, decreases in stock prices, the ongoing credit crisis and other pressures. SMG expected total compensation for top executives of all REITs to decline, with REITs that experienced fundamental operating difficulties, including liquidity issues and cash flow deficiencies, reporting significantly larger decreases than REITs that experienced industry average market stock price declines, but maintained solid fundamentals. Within the selective office peer group, SMG anticipated incentive compensation overall to decrease by approximately 10% to 20% on average, with long-term equity awards generally accounting for a greater proportion of the decrease than annual cash bonuses. For companies with similar performance as Boston Properties, including stock price declines that, while significant, were generally in line with the median in their peer group, but whose operating performance (including earnings) and balance sheets were strong, SMG concluded that appropriate year-end compensation decision would reflect the following: (i) base salaries would likely be flat and (ii) overall incentive compensation (cash and non-cash) would decline between 5% and 15% year over year in the aggregate, allocated between cash bonuses and long-term equity compensation in varying proportions from company to company.

Based on SMG's input, the Compensation Committee determined that it would be appropriate to make an assessment of how the management team as a whole performed during the year, and specifically how the team executed against changing operating and other strategies devised to address the rapidly evolving market conditions during the year. The Compensation Committee viewed the year as comprised of two distinct phases.

**Table of Contents**

During the earlier part of the year management focused primarily on performance against the strategic direction set by the Board at the beginning of the year, which included the following quantitative goals:

achieve FFO of between \$4.60 and \$4.65 per share; 2008 FFO was \$4.82 per share (after adjustment for non-cash impairment charges), exceeding the mid-point of the guidance range by approximately 4.2%;

increase same store net operating income on a cash basis between 5% and 6%; NOI in 2008 increased by 5.5%;

achieve development starts totaling approximately \$468 million; during 2008 approximately \$530 million of development was started;

exceed the corporate leasing goal of 4.4 million square feet; during 2008 approximately 4.6 million square feet of leasing was accomplished; and

achieve a year-end portfolio-wide occupancy of at least 94.9%; occupancy remained essentially flat, ending 2008 at 94.5%.

As the credit crisis intensified and the operating environment turned increasingly negative during the latter part of the year, management focused principally on preserving and enhancing the Company's liquidity, with the following principal accomplishments:

issuance of \$747.5 million of 3.625% exchangeable senior notes in August 2008, which added significant liquidity shortly before the collapse of the credit markets;

completion of secured financings totaling \$700 million, which raised \$130 million of new capital; and

expansion of the Company's unsecured credit facility from \$605 million to \$1 billion.

The Compensation Committee also took note of the following accomplishments during 2008:

aggressive pursuit and completion of the acquisition of the General Motors Building and three additional properties located in New York City through new joint venture relationships with third-party capital sources;

increase in the approved density at 888 Boylston Street in Boston by approximately 135,000 square feet;

effective efforts to rezone 240,000 square feet of residential space at 280 Congress Street (Russia Wharf) in Boston into office space;

finalizing the 454,357 square foot lease with Wellington Management at 280 Congress Street (Russia Wharf) in Boston; and

signing a 356,367 square foot build-to-suit lease with Biogen Idec in Weston, Massachusetts.

## Edgar Filing: BOSTON PROPERTIES INC - Form DEF 14A

In light of SMG's peer group analysis and this assessment of management's performance, the Compensation Committee concluded that it should continue to target total compensation for our executive officers (other than Mr. LaBelle) in the top quartile of both the expanded and the selective office peer groups. Based on these considerations and the ranges of expected decline in incentive compensation identified by SMG within the peer groups based on a classification of companies in light of their overall performance in a deteriorating market during 2008, the Compensation Committee determined that for the named executive officers other than Mr. LaBelle base salaries would remain unchanged, cash bonuses would be reduced by 6% from 2007 levels and long-term incentive compensation would be reduced between 13% and 15% from 2007 levels. These determinations reflected the Compensation Committee's assessment of each named executive officer's performance discussed later in this report. In the case of Mr. LaBelle, the Compensation Committee took into account the additional responsibilities he assumed in 2008 as Chief Financial Officer and sought to adjust his compensation with a view to bringing it to appropriate peer group levels over a multi-year period.

**Table of Contents**

The following table shows the amount of total compensation approved by the Compensation Committee for each of our named executive officers over the last three years, reflecting (other than for Mr. LaBelle) an increase in 2006 and 2007 to bring them to the desired target level relative to peers and a decrease in 2008 in line with both the expanded and selective peer groups.

Name	2006 Total	2007 Total	%	2008 Total	%
	Compensation	Compensation	Increase (Decrease)	Compensation	Increase (Decrease)
Mortimer B. Zuckerman	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 9,300,000	55%	\$ 8,375,000	(10%)
Edward H. Linde	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 6,850,000	14%	\$ 6,150,000	(10%)
Douglas T. Linde	\$ 3,140,000	\$ 4,400,000	40%	\$ 3,975,000	(10%)
Raymond Ritchey	\$ 3,390,000	\$ 4,500,000	33%	\$ 4,050,000	(10%)
Michael E. LaBelle(1)	\$ 468,000	\$ 680,000	45%	\$ 1,025,000	51%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 18,998,000</b>	<b>\$ 25,730,000</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>\$ 23,575,000</b>	<b>(8%)</b>

(1) Mr. LaBelle was not a named executive officer in 2006.

The amounts in the table above represent the sum of base salary, annual cash bonus and annual long-term equity incentive compensation awarded by the Compensation Committee for each of the last three years. These amounts are different from the amounts set forth in the Total Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table presented under *Compensation of Executive Officers*, primarily because applicable SEC rules require those amounts to include the GAAP expense recorded by the Company in each year with respect to equity awards granted in prior years. The table above is a summary of the Compensation Committee's actual compensation decisions over the past three years and is not intended as an alternative to the tables presented later in this proxy statement.

*Individual Determinations.* To assist in its determination of individual compensation levels for our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee asked SMG to develop recommended ranges of total compensation, as well as allocations among base salary, cash bonus and annual long-term incentive compensation, based on comparable executives within the expanded and selective office peer groups, taking into account job descriptions, years of experience, leadership roles and historical and expected contribution to different aspects of the business. The Compensation Committee does not have a policy of setting compensation tiers for executives or a formula to limit the compensation of any one named executive officer relative to another or to categories of employees. The elements of total compensation (salary, cash bonus and annual long-term compensation) are the same for all of our named executive officers and individual determinations, as well as the material reasons for such determinations, are discussed below. The Compensation Committee's overall policy is to compensate each named executive officer at a level commensurate with his experience and duties, based on competitive information and peer group data, after assessing corporate and individual performance.

At meetings of the Compensation Committee held on October 21, 2008, November 25, 2008, December 15, 2008, December 22, 2008 and January 22, 2009, SMG presented extensive data for 2007, the latest year for which comprehensive data was publicly available. The Compensation Committee, with assistance from SMG, reviewed this information, including statistical analysis and a comparison of the roles and responsibilities of individual executives at the Company and at the various peer companies. The goal of this review was to develop fair and reliable market ranges of compensation within what SMG and the Compensation Committee considered the appropriate subset of peers upon which the Compensation Committee could then base its individual determinations.

From time to time, the Compensation Committee requests and receives from SMG detailed information regarding equity ownership value and dividend income relative to total compensation for chairmen and chief executive officers within the combined peer groups, as compared to those for Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde. The committee also receives from SMG detailed information for each named executive officer regarding the value of vested and unvested equity securities and amounts realized and realizable upon exercise of stock option

## **Table of Contents**

awards, as compared to comparable executives within the expanded and selective office peer groups. This information, however, did not affect the Compensation Committee's compensation decisions with respect to 2008.

### **Elements of Executive Compensation**

The principal compensation elements used for our executives are:

*Base salary.* Base salary is set on the basis of assigned responsibilities, reviewed annually against market data and adjusted as needed to reflect individual roles and performance, as well as our overall financial and operating performance.

*Annual cash bonus.* Executive officers are eligible to receive annual incentive bonuses based upon corporate performance, both on an absolute basis and relative to our peers, as well as individual performance, in each case as established by the Compensation Committee in its discretion. Corporate performance measures generally include funds from operations (FFO), net operating income, occupancy, leasing activity, development starts, acquisition/disposition activity and other measures intended to reflect execution of the Company's overall strategy at the time.

*Annual long-term equity incentive compensation.* The Compensation Committee awards annual long-term equity incentive compensation after a review of overall corporate performance, regional performance (if relevant to the individual executive) and individual performance. Executives have a choice of receiving their award as LTIP units or restricted stock, or a combination of both, with time-based vesting ratably over a four-year period (25% per year beginning on January 15 of the year following the award).

*Outperformance awards.* Effective February 5, 2008, the Compensation Committee, with the advice of SMG, implemented a new long-term incentive program designed to provide our management team, on a broadly distributed basis, with the potential to earn significant equity awards subject to our achieving superior performance. This kind of program is generally referred to as an outperformance plan and has become quite common in the REIT industry in recent years. Our 2008 outperformance program was designed to reward management for stockholder value creation in terms of total return to stockholders above predetermined absolute and industry index thresholds over a three-year period, with time-based vesting of earned rewards over the subsequent two years.

Other compensation elements used for our executives include:

employment agreements and change in control programs;

perquisites; and

deferred compensation and other benefits.

The Compensation Committee does not employ a formula for determining the relationship among the different elements of compensation. Instead, consistent with our compensation philosophy, we seek to align both total compensation and the relative amounts of salary, bonus and long-term compensation with those paid by our peers based on market analysis of competitive pay practices, an assessment of our corporate performance relative to that of our peers, and an assessment of each executive's individual performance relative to pre-established goals. The Compensation Committee has developed the following basic framework for our named executive officers:

consistent with our pay-for-performance philosophy, base salary should generally be a relatively small percentage of total compensation;



annual non-cash compensation in the form of long-term equity awards should generally be at least 50% of total annual compensation, as is the case for our peers;

**Table of Contents**

annual awards of long-term incentive compensation should be in the form of full-value equity securities, rather than stock options, which combine certainty of value with better alignment with stockholders, as well as a retention tool through time-based vesting;

variable annual incentive compensation should be tied primarily to company-wide quantitative performance goals established at the beginning of the year;

each executive's incentive compensation should also be tied, but to a more limited extent, to other corporate performance and management goals, both quantitative and subjective, related to his specific role within our organization; and

a separate outperformance program should provide management with the potential to earn significant long-term equity compensation in addition to annual equity awards, if we achieve superior market-based performance in terms of total return to stockholders, both in absolute terms and relative to our peers, over multiple years.

**Base Salary**

Base salaries are set for executive officers on the basis of assigned responsibilities. The base salaries of our executive officers are reviewed annually and adjusted to reflect individual roles and performance, our overall financial and operating performance, and where applicable, the regional contribution to our overall financial and operating performance. Consistent with our pay-for-performance philosophy, base salaries generally constitute a relatively small percentage of total compensation, as we expect our executives to receive a majority of their total compensation from annual cash incentives and equity incentives, which are variable and tied to both individual and corporate performance.

In January 2007, the Compensation Committee decided to align our executive compensation with our peers and as part of that decision approved significant one-time raises in base salaries for our named executive officers (other than Mr. LaBelle, who was not within that group at the time). The SMG comparative data presented in both January 2008 and January 2009 showed that base salaries for our named executive officers other than Mr. LaBelle are in line with ranges for comparable officers within both the expanded and the selective office peer groups, and recommended that 2009 base salaries remain unchanged. The Compensation Committee concurred. With the exception of Mr. LaBelle, who was promoted to Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer in November 2007, our named executive officers have not received an increase in base salary since January 2007. As a result of the foregoing, on January 22, 2009 the Compensation Committee approved base salaries for 2009 as follows:

<b>Name</b>	<b>2009 Base Salary</b>
Mr. Zuckerman	\$ 950,000
Mr. E. Linde	\$ 950,000
Mr. D. Linde	\$ 550,000
Mr. Ritchey	\$ 600,000
Mr. LaBelle	\$ 300,000

**Annual Cash Bonuses**

Early each year our Compensation Committee, based upon the overall corporate strategy outlined by the Board of Directors and discussions with management, establishes performance goals for the management team as a whole and for each of our executive officers. Depending on our operating plan for the year, quantitative corporate goals typically relate to growth in FFO, portfolio-wide occupancy and leasing activity, and successful disposition, acquisition and development activities. Although no specific target is established, the Compensation Committee also considers total return to stockholders, on an absolute basis and particularly relative to our peers, to be a material factor when awarding cash bonuses. There are also quantitative and subjective performance goals

**Table of Contents**

that vary from executive to executive, depending on company-wide and/or regional responsibilities. The current design of our bonus program is such that quantitative goals established at the beginning of the year may change due to opportunistic activities and changed circumstances during the year. That was the case in 2008 as the economic downturn and ongoing credit crisis grew progressively worse during the year and required significant adjustments in the Company's operating and capital strategy. The achievement of specific quantitative or subjective performance goals by our executives does not automatically entitle them to formulaic cash bonuses, as bonus awards are made at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. After the end of the year, the Compensation Committee evaluates the performance of the Company as a whole and the performance of each executive against his pre-established performance goals. The 2008 goals and the Compensation Committee's overall assessment of performance are discussed earlier in this report.

Though the principal focus of the Compensation Committee in setting annual incentive compensation (both cash bonuses and long-term equity awards) for our named executive officers is on corporate goals and achievements, at the beginning of each year our senior executives are also given performance goals relevant to their specific duties and responsibilities. These goals are both quantitative and qualitative and include the following, among others:

managing non-income producing recurring capital expenditures to the annual budget;

controlling general and administrative expenses;

executing our acquisition, disposition and/or development programs according to plan;

formulating and managing both general and specific leasing strategies;

optimizing secured and unsecured borrowing; and

strengthening operational, budgeting and management processes.

Each of our senior executives is also given his own set of management goals, which are entirely subjective in nature, tailored to the executive's role within, and expected contribution to, our organization and focused on continued professional development. These subjective performance goals relate primarily to each individual's leadership skills, are numerous and detailed, and differ from executive to executive. They can generally be grouped in one or more of the following categories:

coaching and development;

judgment;

communication;

teamwork; and

flexibility and adaptability.

## Edgar Filing: BOSTON PROPERTIES INC - Form DEF 14A

Performance relative to individual goals cannot always be measured objectively. Also, the Compensation Committee may emphasize some goals over others in its discretionary decision-making process in ways that do not lend themselves to a formulaic approach. In the end, the Compensation Committee makes an overall assessment of each executive's effectiveness as a manager. No specific form (i.e., cash bonus or long-term equity awards) or amount of compensation is directly associated with meeting any one or more of the individual goals. The Compensation Committee evaluates performance against individual goals in a fully discretionary framework and calibrates accordingly the amount of cash bonus and annual long-term equity awards within the ranges established on the basis of competitive pay data for our peers.

## Table of Contents

The following is a brief analysis of the Compensation Committee's deliberations on an executive by executive basis:

*Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde.* Prior to 2007, one of the Compensation Committee's guiding principles was maintaining substantial parity in total compensation between Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde as the co-founders of the Company and the only two executives who report directly to our Board of Directors. In May 2007, Mr. D. Linde was elevated to President and, while Mr. E. Linde retains the title of Chief Executive Officer, a gradual shift in day-to-day responsibilities from him to Mr. D. Linde began. Accordingly, in 2007, for the first time since the Company's 1997 IPO, the Compensation Committee differentiated between Mr. Zuckerman and Mr. E. Linde in terms of both cash bonus and long-term equity awards and Mr. E. Linde's total compensation was approximately 75% of Mr. Zuckerman's. After requesting SMG to provide data comparing total compensation for the Company's five most highly compensated officers to the same group at other comparable REITs, the Compensation Committee maintained this percentage in 2008. The Compensation Committee expects to continue to assess the evolving role of Mr. E. Linde and adjust this percentage accordingly. In its latest assessment of Messrs. Zuckerman's and E. Linde's performance the Compensation Committee focused on the following accomplishments, among others:

leadership in assisting the Board of Directors with developing Company strategy to ensure that stockholder value is maximized over the long term, particularly with respect to evaluating acquisition opportunities, exploring new sources of capital, and pursuing an ambitious development pipeline;

stewardship of the succession process at the top level of our organization;

fostering relationships with third-party capital sources and forming joint ventures to aggressively pursue and complete the acquisition of the General Motors Building and three related assets;

increasing the approved density at 888 Boylston Street in Boston by approximately 135,000 square feet;

effective efforts to rezone 240,000 square feet of residential space into office space at the Company's 280 Congress Street (Russia Wharf) development in Boston;

finalizing the 454,357 square foot lease with Wellington Management Company, LLP at 280 Congress Street (Russia Wharf) in Boston;

signing a 356,367 square foot build-to-suit lease with Biogen Idec in Weston, Massachusetts; and

maintaining the right tone at the top and creating a culture of strong corporate governance, transparency and ethics.

*Mr. D. Linde.* Following his promotion to President in May 2007, Mr. D. Linde has made rapid progress in assuming increased responsibilities from Mr. E. Linde for the day-to-day execution of Company strategy, while at the same time managing the transition of his responsibilities as Chief Financial Officer to Mr. LaBelle. In its latest assessment of Mr. D. Linde's performance, the Compensation Committee focused on the following accomplishments, among others:

Mr. D. Linde's active involvement in the acquisition of the General Motors Building and three other assets from Macklowe Properties, particularly the tax structuring and negotiation of the joint venture agreements and loan assumptions;

## Edgar Filing: BOSTON PROPERTIES INC - Form DEF 14A

successful development, supervision and execution of the Company's capital markets strategies, including increasing the maximum borrowing amount under the unsecured credit facility to \$1 billion and the timely issuance of \$747.5 million of 3.625% exchangeable senior notes in August 2008 just before the credit markets effectively closed;

control of non-income producing recurring capital expenditures to come in below the Company's overall budget, while staging projects on a property-by-property basis effectively;

## Table of Contents

effective collaboration in his new role as President with Messrs. Ritchey, Norville and LaBelle, as well as our regional managers, in new business generation and other operational matters and a positive relationship with members of the broader management team; and

increased engagement with the Board of Directors beyond financial matters and a raised profile with outside constituencies.

*Mr. Ritchey.* Mr. Ritchey has continued to fulfill his unique and valuable role of implementing development, leasing and acquisition strategies on a national basis, with particular emphasis on attaining targeted occupancy and income levels in each of our regions and identifying opportunities in high barrier-to-entry submarkets for future development of first-class office projects on a national basis. At the same time, he has maintained his leadership role in the Washington region. In its latest assessment of Mr. Ritchey's performance, the Compensation Committee focused on the following accomplishments, among others:

support of regional leasing teams in executing approximately 4.6 million square feet of leasing activity, while maintaining portfolio occupancy at approximately 94%;

development of an effective and collaborative working relationship with Mr. D. Linde, as President, for key decisions and daily coordination with Messrs. D. Linde and Norville on important operational matters;

mentorship, guidance and support of all regional managers as they assume greater responsibilities and leadership for executing Company strategy;

securing a lead tenant for the Company's 2200 Pennsylvania Avenue development in Washington, D.C.;

signing two build-to-suit leases with the American College of Surgeons and National Public Radio in Washington D.C.; and

establishment of strong relationships with major corporate users with multi-regional space requirements.

*Mr. LaBelle.* As a result of his promotion to Chief Financial Officer in November 2007, Mr. LaBelle was included in the group of executives whose compensation is set by the Compensation Committee for the first time in January 2008 (i.e., with respect to fiscal 2007). In light of Mr. LaBelle's short tenure in his position, Mr. LaBelle's total compensation for 2007 was significantly less than that paid to substantially all of his peers (i.e., below the 10th percentile of both peer groups). Continuing the trend from 2007 to 2008, the Compensation Committee expects that, assuming satisfactory performance, Mr. LaBelle's total compensation will increase at a greater rate than the other named executive officers over the next several years as Mr. LaBelle gains more experience and responsibilities in his new position and the committee moves to align his compensation more closely with his peers. In its assessment of Mr. LaBelle's performance, the Compensation Committee focused on the following:

despite deteriorating capital markets environment, overseeing \$1.8 billion of new financings, including construction financings for Wisconsin Place and Democracy Tower, increasing the maximum borrowing amount under the Company's unsecured credit facility, timely issuance of \$747.5 million of 3.625% exchangeable senior notes and the financing of Embarcadero Center Four;

active involvement in the assumption of the loans securing the General Motors Building and three other assets acquired from Macklowe Properties;

## Edgar Filing: BOSTON PROPERTIES INC - Form DEF 14A

leadership of the investor relations function and enhanced role in earnings calls and other communication with investors and capital markets professionals; and

assumed leadership of Accounting and Financial Reporting, Internal Audit and Financial Planning and Budgeting departments.



**Table of Contents**

Based on a review of corporate and individual performance, the Compensation Committee approved the following cash bonuses for 2008 (payable in early 2009):

<b>Name</b>	<b>Bonus</b>	<b>% Increase (decrease) from 2007</b>
Mr. Zuckerman	\$ 2,200,000	(6%)
Mr. E. Linde	\$ 1,975,000	(6%)
Mr. D. Linde	\$ 1,125,000	(6%)
Mr. Ritchey	\$ 1,175,000	(6%)
Mr. LaBelle	\$ 300,000	58%

**Annual Long-Term Equity Incentives**

The Compensation Committee believes that awards of equity provide our executive officers with long-term incentive compensation that is aligned directly with the achievement of enhanced value for stockholders. Therefore, we provide an annual grant of full-value equity securities to our management team. Our employees have a choice of receiving this grant either in the form of restricted stock or LTIP units, or a combination of both.

LTIP units are a special class of partnership units in our Operating Partnership that provide more favorable tax treatment to the recipients than restricted stock (see *LTIP Units* below). We discontinued granting stock options in 2003 because the Compensation Committee believes that awards of restricted stock/LTIP units provide a more effective tool than options to reward performance and retain key employees, while being less dilutive and in general better serve the interests of our stockholders.

Annual equity awards vest over a four-year period, with 25% of the restricted shares/LTIP units vesting on January 15 of each of the first four years following the date of grant. Vesting accelerates for employees, other than Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde, who are (1) over the age of 62 with at least 20 years of service with us or (2) over the age of 65.

The following table sets forth the value of restricted stock/LTIP unit awards granted to our named executive officers in January 2009, with respect to our performance in 2008. As discussed earlier, the value of these awards, together with base salaries and cash bonuses paid for 2008 performance, are intended to provide our named executive officers (other than Mr. LaBelle) with total compensation at approximately the 75th percentile of the combined peer groups. These awards also reflect the Compensation Committee's goal of increasing long-term incentive equity awards to constitute a majority of total compensation. The goals and assessments relevant to the Compensation Committee's decision to make these awards are the same as those for cash bonus awards.

<b>Name</b>	<b>Value of Restricted Stock/LTIP Unit Awards</b>	<b>% Increase (decrease) from 2007</b>
Mr. Zuckerman	\$ 5,225,000	(13%)
Mr. E. Linde	\$ 3,225,000	(15%)
Mr. D. Linde	\$ 2,300,000	(13%)
Mr. Ritchey	\$ 2,275,000	(14%)
Mr. LaBelle	\$ 425,000	55%

**Table of Contents**

Pursuant to our Equity Award Grant Policy discussed below, the awards were issued as of the close of business on February 2, 2009 based on the closing price per share of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on that date (\$43.80) in the following amounts:

Name	Number of Restricted Stock/LTIP Unit Awards
Mr. Zuckerman	119,292
Mr. E. Linde	73,630
Mr. D. Linde	52,511
Mr. Ritchey	51,940
Mr. LaBelle	9,703

**2008 Outperformance Program**

In 2008, the Compensation Committee implemented a new long-term incentive program designed to provide officers and key employees with the potential to earn significant equity awards subject to our achieving superior performance. This kind of program, generally referred to as an outperformance plan, had become quite common in the REIT industry and in several cases between 2004 and 2007 resulted in significant rewards being earned by the management teams of several of the Company's closest peers. Recipients of 2008 outperformance awards would share in an outperformance pool if our TRS, including both share appreciation and dividends, exceeds absolute and relative hurdles over the period from February 5, 2008 to February 5, 2011. The aggregate reward that recipients of all 2008 outperformance awards can earn, as measured by the outperformance pool, is subject to a maximum cap of \$110 million, although only awards for an aggregate of up to approximately \$104.8 million have been granted to date and the balance remains available for future grants, with awards exceeding a potential reward of \$1 million requiring the Compensation Committee's approval. Assuming no changes in the aggregate annual per share dividend through February 2011, the Company's stock price would have to exceed \$104.15 per share for recipients of 2008 outperformance awards to be eligible to earn any rewards.

The outperformance pool would consist of the sum of (1) three percent of the excess total return above a cumulative absolute TRS hurdle of 30% over the full three-year measurement period (the Absolute TRS Component) and (2) three percent of the excess or deficient excess total return above or below a relative TRS hurdle equal to the total return of the SNL Equity REIT Index over the three-year measurement period (the Relative TRS Component). In the event that the Relative TRS Component is potentially positive because our TRS is greater than the total return of the SNL Equity REIT Index, the actual contribution to the outperformance pool from the Relative TRS Component will be subject to a sliding scale factor as follows: (i) 100% of the potential Relative TRS Component will be earned if our TRS is equal to or greater than a cumulative 30% over three years (equivalent to 10% per annum); (ii) 0% will be earned if our TRS is equal to or less than a cumulative 21% over three years (equivalent to 7% per annum); and (iii) a percentage from 0% to 100% calculated by linear interpolation will be earned if our cumulative TRS over three years is between 21% and 30%. The potential Relative TRS Component before application of the sliding scale factor will be capped at \$110 million (or such lesser amount as corresponds to the outperformance awards actually granted). In the event that the Relative TRS Component is negative because our TRS is less than the total return of the SNL Equity REIT Index, any outperformance reward potentially earned under the Absolute TRS Component will be reduced dollar for dollar, provided that the potential Absolute TRS Component before reduction for any negative Relative TRS Component will be capped at \$110 million (or such lesser amount as corresponds to the outperformance awards actually granted). The algebraic sum of the Absolute TRS Component and the Relative TRS Component determined as described above will never exceed \$110 million (or such lesser amount as corresponds to the outperformance awards actually granted).

**Table of Contents**

Rewards earned based on performance will, subject to certain exceptions discussed below, vest 25% on February 5, 2011, 25% on February 5, 2012, and 50% on February 5, 2013, based on continued employment. In the event of a change of control of the Company prior to the end of the three-year measurement period, outperformance rewards will be calculated based on the Company's TRS performance up to the date of the change of control, measured against absolute and relative TRS hurdles *pro rated* for the shortened performance measurement period, and will vest immediately upon the change of control. In the event of termination of an award recipient's employment by the Company without cause, by the recipient for good reason, or as a result of retirement prior to the end of the measurement period, the recipient will still be eligible for performance-based vesting at the end of the measurement period based on the Company's TRS for the entire period, but the earned outperformance reward will be reduced in proportion to the duration of employment relative to the measurement period. Although continued employment will no longer be a vesting condition, the terminated or retired recipient will not be able to transfer or liquidate the award until such time as he or she would have become vested absent termination or retirement. In the event of death or disability prior to the end of the measurement period, a recipient, or his or her estate, will still be eligible for performance-based vesting at the end of the measurement period depending on the Company's TRS performance for the entire period, but unlike the case of termination or retirement, there will not be any reduction in the size of the earned reward and the award may be transferred or liquidated immediately following the end of the measurement period. If any such change of control, termination, retirement, death or disability occurs after the end of the three-year measurement period, any earned, but unvested portion of the outperformance reward will immediately become vested in full and will be eligible to be transferred or liquidated.

2008 outperformance awards were issued in the form of LTIP units on the grant date, but are subject to forfeiture depending on the extent of rewards actually earned at the end of the measurement period. The number of LTIP units issued initially to award recipients was an estimate of the maximum number of LTIP units that they could earn, based on certain assumptions. The number of LTIP units actually earned by each award recipient will be determined at the end of the performance measurement period by dividing his or her share of the outperformance pool by the average closing price of a share of our common stock for the 15 trading days immediately preceding the measurement date. Total return for us and for the SNL Equity REIT Index over the three-year measurement period and other circumstances will determine how many LTIP units are earned by each recipient; if they are fewer than the number issued initially, the balance will be forfeited as of the performance measurement date.

Prior to the measurement date, LTIP units issued on account of 2008 outperformance awards will be entitled to receive per unit distributions equal to one-tenth (10%) of the regular quarterly distributions payable on a common unit, but will not be entitled to receive any special distributions. After the measurement date, LTIP units, both vested and unvested, which 2008 outperformance award recipients have earned based on the establishment of an outperformance pool will be entitled to receive distributions in an amount per unit equal to distributions, both regular and special, payable on a common unit.

The 2008 outperformance awards to our named executive officers were as follows (each award is expressed as the maximum dollar value of the award and the corresponding percentage allocation based on the aggregate program cap of \$110 million):

Name	Maximum Dollar Value	Percentage Allocation
Mr. Zuckerman	\$ 15,000,000	13.64%
Mr. E. Linde	\$ 13,000,000	11.82%
Mr. D. Linde	\$ 12,000,000	10.91%
Mr. Ritchey	\$ 12,000,000	10.91%
Mr. LaBelle	\$ 1,500,000	1.36%

## **Table of Contents**

### **Equity Award Grant Policy**

Under our Equity Award Grant Policy, our annual grants are approved at a meeting of our Compensation Committee held on or around the third week of January each year. Beginning in 2007, the policy specifies the effective grant date for such awards as immediately following the closing of the New York Stock Exchange on the second trading day after the Company publicly releases its financial results for the prior year. We believe the new policy provides increased certainty and transparency for both employees and investors, while allowing the Compensation Committee the necessary flexibility in making decisions consistent with our past practices.

Our Compensation Committee approves equity awards in dollar values, and the number of shares of restricted stock and/or LTIP units (see *LTIP Units* below) that are actually issued is calculated by dividing the dollar value of the approved awards by the closing market price on the New York Stock Exchange of a share of our common stock on the effective date of grant.

The Equity Award Grant Policy did not apply to 2008 outperformance awards. Because the 2008 outperformance awards are not full-value awards immediately upon issuance, and only have value if certain total return thresholds are satisfied based on performance above an initial baseline value, the Committee determined that the baseline value should be based on a five-day trading average. See *2008 Outperformance Program* above.

### **LTIP Units**

Since 2003 we have used a class of partnership interests in our Operating Partnership, called long term incentive plan units, or LTIP units, as a form of equity-based award for annual long-term incentive equity compensation. LTIP units are designed to qualify as profits interests in the Operating Partnership for federal income tax purposes, meaning that initially they are not economically equivalent in value to a share of our common stock, but over time can increase in value to one-for-one parity with common stock by operation of special tax rules applicable to profits interests. LTIP units are designed to offer executives a long-term incentive comparable to restricted stock, while allowing them to enjoy a more favorable income tax treatment. Each LTIP unit awarded is deemed equivalent to an award of one share of common stock reserved under the Second Amendment and Restatement of the Boston Properties, Inc. Stock Option and Incentive Plan, reducing availability for other equity awards on a one-for-one basis. We also used LTIP units for 2008 outperformance awards, which required some modifications to the terms of the previously established LTIP units to reflect the design of the outperformance program.

LTIP units issued in connection with annual long-term incentive compensation awards, whether vested or not, receive the same per unit distributions as common units of our Operating Partnership, which equal per share dividends (both regular and special) on our common stock. This treatment with respect to distributions is analogous to the treatment of restricted stock. LTIP units issued in connection with 2008 outperformance awards receive per unit distributions equal to 10% of regular quarterly distributions on common units of our Operating Partnership and no special distributions until the performance measurement date for the 2008 outperformance program (February 5, 2011 or consummation of a change of control transaction); thereafter, LTIP units that have been earned based on performance under the 2008 outperformance program, whether vested or not, will receive the same regular and special per unit distributions as common units of our Operating Partnership, which equal per share dividends (both regular and special) on our common stock.

The key difference between LTIP units and restricted stock is that at the time of award, LTIP units do not have full economic parity with common units, but can achieve such parity over time upon the occurrence of specified events. This accretion to parity is driven by partnership tax rules and basically hinges on the circumstance under which LTIP units would become entitled to receive distributions upon a hypothetical liquidation of our Operating Partnership, such that executives will have the right to require our Operating Partnership to redeem their LTIP units at a value equivalent to that of an equal number of common units. Both the liquidation value and the redemption value of LTIP units are based on the book capital account associated with such units for tax purposes. Generally, the book capital account associated with LTIP units when they are

---

**Table of Contents**

initially issued is close to zero, while the book capital account associated with common units is equal on a per unit basis to the price per share of the Company's common stock. Economic parity is reached when the book capital account of the LTIP units has grown, through special allocations of unrealized or realized gain, to be equal to that of an equal number of common units. Events that allow such special allocations under the partnership agreement and applicable federal tax regulations include: (1) the issuance by the Company of common stock, (2) the issuance by our Operating Partnership of common or other partnership units, (3) significant repurchases of common stock for cash, and (4) the redemption by the Company of common units for cash, in each case so long as the price of the Company's common stock at the time is higher than the price on the date on which the LTIP units were initially issued. If capital account parity with common units is reached, vested LTIP units become convertible into an equal number of common units. Until and unless such parity is reached, the value that an executive will realize for a given number of vested LTIP units is less than the value of an equal number of shares of our common stock.

LTIP units are awarded in the alternative to restricted stock. One key disadvantage of restricted stock is that executives are generally taxed on the full market value of a grant at the time of vesting, even if they choose to hold the stock. As a result, executives may need to sell a portion of their vested shares to pay taxes on their restricted stock awards from prior years. Conversely, an executive who received LTIP units would generally be taxed only when he or she chooses to liquidate his or her LTIP units, rather than at the time of vesting.

Our Compensation Committee believes that using LTIP units for equity-based awards (1) serves our objectives by increasing the after-tax value of a given equity grant and, therefore, enhances our equity-based compensation package for executives as a whole, (2) advances the separate goal of promoting long-term equity ownership by executives (see *Mandatory Minimum Equity Ownership Policy for Senior Executives* below), (3) has no adverse impact on dilution as compared to using restricted stock, (4) does not increase our recorded expense on account of equity-based compensation awards, and (5) further aligns the interests of executives with the interests of stockholders. Based on these considerations, we offer eligible officers and employees a choice between restricted stock and LTIP units on a one-for-one basis for their annual long-term equity compensation awards and have used LTIP units for 2008 outperformance awards.

**Mandatory Minimum Equity Ownership Policy for Senior Executives**

Our Compensation Committee has always believed that it is important to align the interests of those in senior management positions with those of our stockholders. As one concrete step to ensure such alignment, on January 16, 2003, the Compensation Committee adopted a mandatory stock ownership requirement for senior management. Under this policy all executive vice presidents must achieve minimum equity ownership equal to three times their annual base salary and all senior vice presidents must achieve minimum equity ownership equal to two times their annual base salary, and then maintain such ownership during their continuing employment. Those persons who were senior executives on January 16, 2003 had until January 1, 2008 to achieve this ownership requirement, while those who were or may be hired or promoted to senior management positions after January 16, 2003 will have a five-year period beginning on January 1 of the year following their appointment. Exceptions may be made for significant extenuating personal circumstances. The types of securities that will be counted toward the equity ownership requirement include shares of our common stock, restricted stock, units of limited partnership interest of our Operating Partnership and LTIP units (excluding those issued on account of 2008 outperformance program awards, until and unless they have been earned based on performance), in each case both vested and unvested, and shares acquired and held through our stock purchase and dividend reinvestment plans. Stock options will not be counted. Our goal in imposing this stock ownership requirement is to demonstrate to our stockholders and the investment community that our senior management is personally committed to our continued financial success.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Employment Agreements**

We have entered into employment agreements (see *Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control* below) with each of our named executive officers. These agreements provide for a certain level of severance, generally the sum of base salary plus the prior year's cash bonus, 12 additional months of vesting in equity-based awards and participation in our health plan for up to 12 months, in the event of a termination of employment by us without cause or by the executives for good reason. In return, each executive agrees, during the term of employment and for one year thereafter, not to compete with us, solicit our tenants or employees or interfere with our relationship with our tenants, suppliers, contractors, lenders, employees or with any governmental agency. We believe that these agreements are fair to the executives and to our stockholders because these agreements provide relatively modest severance in exchange for the negative covenants which protect us. Further, because the severance level is negotiated up front, it makes it easier for our Board to terminate executives for performance reasons without the need for protracted negotiations over severance.

### **Change in Control Arrangements**

We have also entered into agreements with Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde to provide them with certain severance benefits in the event of their termination under certain circumstances within 24 months following a change in control. (See *Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control* below for further details.) We have also adopted two change in control severance plans, one for our President, Executive Vice Presidents, the Chief Financial Officer and the Regional Office Heads, and the other for our Senior Vice Presidents and those Vice Presidents with ten (10) or more years of tenure with us. These plans also provide severance benefits in the event of termination of employment under certain circumstances within 24 months following a change in control. In all instances, these are double trigger arrangements, providing severance benefits only upon involuntary termination or constructive termination of the executive officer. (See *Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control* below for further details.) Under our 1997 Stock Plan, all equity awards become fully vested upon a change in control. In our experience, change in control protection for executive officers is common in the REIT industry. Our Compensation Committee believes it is fair to provide severance protection and accelerated vesting of equity grants upon a change in control. Very often, senior managers lose their jobs in connection with a change in control. By agreeing up front to provide severance benefits and accelerated vesting of equity grants in the event of a change in control, our Compensation Committee believes we can reinforce and encourage the continued attention and dedication of senior management to their assigned duties without distraction in the face of an actual or threatened change in control and ensure that management is motivated to negotiate the best merger consideration for our stockholders.

Our change in control arrangements also provide for a tax gross-up payment to our named executive officers and other executives covered in the two severance plans described above in the event they become subject to the 20% golden parachute excise tax. We agreed to this payment because at the time of adoption of these plans it was market practice in the REIT industry and because our Compensation Committee believed that our management team should be able to receive what it bargained for without being subject to this tax.

### **Perquisites**

We provide a car and a full-time driver for the use of our Chairman. This allows our Chairman to use his time efficiently for business purposes during his travel time. The cost to the Company for the car, driver and parking in 2008 was \$131,746. For our Chief Executive Officer, we provide a Company-owned car (purchased in 2005) for which we pay all maintenance and insurance costs and we also provide him with a designated parking space. The cost to the Company for this car and parking space in 2008 was \$9,180. For our other executive officers, we provide a monthly car allowance of \$750 and a designated parking space. Apart from these arrangements, we do not provide any other perquisites to our executive officers.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Deferred Compensation Plan**

We offer a deferred compensation plan that enables our executives to defer a portion of their base salaries and bonuses. The amounts deferred are not included in the executive's current taxable income and, therefore, are not currently deductible by us. The executives select from a limited number of mutual funds which serve as measurement funds, and the deferred amounts are increased or decreased to correspond to the market value of the mutual fund investments. Because the measurement funds are publicly traded securities, we do not consider any of the earnings credited under the deferred compensation plan to be above market. We do not provide any matching contribution to any executive officer who participates in this plan, other than a limited amount to make up for any loss of matching contributions under our Section 401(k) plan. We have made this plan available to our executives in order to ensure that our benefits are competitive. See *Nonqualified Deferred Compensation* beginning on page 45.

### **Other Benefits**

Our executives participate in Company-sponsored benefit programs available broadly to generally all our salaried employees, including our employee stock purchase plan and our Section 401(k) plan, which provides a dollar-for-dollar Company matching contribution of 200% of the first 3% of compensation contributed to the plan (utilizing earnings not in excess of an amount established by the Internal Revenue Service (\$230,000 in 2008)). Other benefits, such as health and dental plans, group term life insurance, short- and long-term disability insurance and travel accident insurance, are also available generally to all our salaried employees.

### **Tax and Accounting Implications**

#### *Deductibility of Executive Compensation.*

Our Compensation Committee's policy is to consider the tax treatment of compensation paid to our executive officers while simultaneously seeking to provide our executives with appropriate rewards for their performance. Under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, we may not deduct compensation of more than \$1 million paid to any covered employee unless the compensation is paid pursuant to a plan which is performance-related, nondiscretionary and has been approved by our stockholders. To the extent that such compensation paid to our executive officers is subject to and does not qualify for deduction under Section 162(m), our Compensation Committee is prepared to exceed the limit on deductibility under Section 162(m) to the extent necessary to ensure our executive officers are compensated in a manner consistent with our best interests and those of our stockholders. Because we qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, we generally distribute at least 100% of our net taxable income each year and therefore do not pay federal income tax. As a result, and based on the level of cash compensation paid to our executive officers, the possible loss of a federal tax deduction would not be expected to have a material impact on us.

#### *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation.*

Beginning on January 1, 2005, we began accounting for stock-based awards in accordance with the requirements of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004) *Share-Based Payment* ( FAS 123R ).

**Table of Contents**

**COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT**

The Compensation Committee of Boston Properties has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement.

*Submitted by the Compensation Committee:*

Richard E. Salomon, Chair

Zoë Baird

Frederick J. Iseman

David A. Twardock



**Table of Contents****COMPENSATION OF EXECUTIVE OFFICERS****Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth the compensation paid for 2008, 2007 and 2006 to each of the named executive officers.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)(9)	Total \$(10)
Mortimer B. Zuckerman	2008	950,000	2,200,000(2)	4,219,822(5)(8)	145,708	7,515,530
Chairman of the Board	2007	916,346	2,350,000(3)	1,861,475(6)(8)	174,274	5,302,095
	2006	600,000	1,916,177(4)	1,062,600(7)(8)	164,886	3,743,663
	2008	950,000	1,975,000(2)	3,600,595(5)(8)	9,504	6,535,099
Edward H. Linde	2008	950,000	1,975,000(2)	3,600,595(5)(8)	9,504	6,535,099
Chief Executive Officer	2007	916,346	2,100,000(3)	1,861,475(6)(8)	10,916	4,888,737
	2006	600,000	1,916,177(4)	1,062,600(7)(8)	11,510	3,590,287
	2008	950,000	1,975,000(2)	3,600,595(5)(8)	9,504	6,535,099
Douglas T. Linde(1)	2008	550,000	1,125,000(2)	2,156,199(5)(8)	29,724	3,860,923
President	2007	544,230	1,200,000(3)	1,095,825(6)(8)	29,424	2,869,479
	2006	488,557	959,244(4)	748,192(7)(8)	28,944	2,224,937
	2008	550,000	1,125,000(2)	2,156,199(5)(8)	29,724	3,860,923
Raymond A. Ritchey	2008	600,000	1,175,000(2)	2,204,349(5)(8)	26,244	4,005,593
Executive Vice President and National Director of Acquisitions and Development	2007	589,423	1,250,000(3)	1,141,475(6)(8)	25,644	3,006,542
	2006	488,557	1,009,244(4)	748,192(7)(8)	25,224	2,271,217
	2008	600,000	1,175,000(2)	2,204,349(5)(8)	26,244	4,005,593
Michael E. LaBelle(1)	2008	290,192	300,000(2)	231,232(5)(8)	20,724	842,148
Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	2007	215,596	190,000(3)	105,348(6)(8)	19,680	530,624

- (1) Mr. LaBelle was appointed Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer effective November 15, 2007. On that date, Mr. D. Linde relinquished those positions.
- (2) Represents a cash bonus paid to each of the named executive officers in 2009 to reflect performance in 2008.
- (3) Represents a cash bonus paid to each of the named executive officers in 2008 to reflect performance in 2007.
- (4) Includes a cash bonus paid in 2007 to each of Messrs. Zuckerman, E. Linde, D. Linde and Ritchey to reflect performance in 2006 as follows: Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde \$1,900,000; Mr. D. Linde \$950,000; and Mr. Ritchey \$1,000,000. Also includes a special cash bonus awarded to each of the named executive officers as follows: Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde \$16,177; Messrs. D. Linde and Ritchey \$9,244. The special cash bonuses were awarded by the Compensation Committee to each recipient of equity compensation granted on April 28, 2006 to recognize a missed dividend payment resulting from the delay in the grant date of such equity compensation in an amount equal to (1) \$0.68 (which was the amount of the dividend) multiplied by (2) the number of common shares/LTIP units awarded to such employee on April 28, 2006.
- (5) Represents the proportionate amount of the total fair value of common stock and LTIP unit awards and 2008 OPP Awards recognized by us as an expense in 2008 for financial reporting purposes, disregarding for this purpose the estimate of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. The amounts expensed in 2008 for each named executive officer relating to common stock and LTIP unit awards are as follows: Mr. Zuckerman \$3,358,055; Mr. E. Linde \$2,853,730; Mr. D. Linde \$1,647,756; Mr. Ritchey \$1,695,906; and Mr. LaBelle

## Edgar Filing: BOSTON PROPERTIES INC - Form DEF 14A

\$167,676. The amounts expensed in 2008 for each named executive officer relating to 2008 OPP Awards are as follows: Mr. Zuckerman \$861,767; Mr. E. Linde \$746,865; Mr. D. Linde \$508,443; Mr. Ritchey \$508,443; and Mr. LaBelle \$63,555. The fair values of these awards and

**Table of Contents**

- the amounts expensed in 2008 were determined in accordance with FAS 123R. The awards for which expense is shown in this table include the awards granted in 2008 described in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table below, as well as awards granted in 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007 for which we continued to recognize expense in 2008.
- (6) Represents the proportionate amount of the total fair value of common stock and LTIP unit awards recognized by us as an expense in 2007 for financial reporting purposes, disregarding for this purpose the estimate of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. The fair values of these awards and the amounts expensed in 2007 were determined in accordance with FAS 123R. The awards for which expense is shown in this table include the awards granted in 2007, as well as awards granted in 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006 for which we continued to recognize expense in 2007.
- (7) Represents the proportionate amount of the total fair value of common stock and LTIP unit awards recognized by us as an expense in 2006 for financial reporting purposes, disregarding for this purpose the estimate of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. The fair values of these awards and the amounts expensed in 2006 were determined in accordance with FAS 123R. The awards for which expense is shown in this table include the awards granted in 2006, as well as awards granted in 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 for which we continued to recognize expense in 2006.
- (8) A discussion of the assumptions used in calculating these values can be found in (1) Note 17 to our 2008 audited financial statements beginning on page 145 of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, (2) Note 17 to our 2007 audited financial statements beginning on page 128 of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 and (3) Note 17 to our 2006 audited financial statements beginning on page 122 of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.
- (9) The table below shows the components of All Other Compensation for 2008, which include the life insurance premiums paid by us for group term life insurance, our match for each individual who made 401(k) contributions, the car and driver provided to Mr. Zuckerman, the car provided to Mr. E. Linde, the car allowances provided to Messrs. D. Linde and Ritchey and the costs to the Company of providing parking spaces to each individual. The amounts shown for company cars, driver and car allowances in the table below reflect the aggregate cost to the Company without deducting costs attributable to business use. The components of All Other Compensation for 2006 and 2007 for Messrs. Zuckerman, E. Linde, D. Linde and R. Ritchey were reported in our 2007 and 2008 proxy statements, respectively. The components of All Other Compensation for 2007 for Mr. LaBelle were reported in our 2008 proxy statement.

Component	Mr. Zuckerman	Mr. E. Linde	Mr. D. Linde	Mr. Ritchey	Mr. LaBelle
Life Insurance	\$ 162	\$ 324	\$ 324	\$ 324	\$ 324
401(k) match	13,800	0	13,800	13,800	13,800
Company Car/Car Allowance	0	2,580	9,000	9,000	0
Car and Driver	127,848	0	0	0	0
Parking	3,898	6,600	6,600	3,120	6,600
Total All Other Compensation	\$ 145,708	\$ 9,504	\$ 29,724	\$ 26,244	\$ 20,724

- (10) The amounts shown in the Total compensation column for each named executive officer equal the sum of all columns of the Summary Compensation Table.

**Table of Contents****2008 Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

We have provided the following Grants of Plan-Based Awards table to provide additional information about stock awards granted to our named executive officers during the year ended December 31, 2008.

Name	Grant Date	Date of Compensation Committee Approval	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)		All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)(2)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards \$(3)
			Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)		
Mortimer B. Zuckerman	2/1/2008	1/24/2008			62,441	5,501,677
	2/5/2008	1/24/2008	0	15,000,000		2,820,329
Edward H. Linde	2/1/2008	1/24/2008			39,546	3,484,398
	2/5/2008	1/24/2008	0	13,000,000		2,444,285
Douglas T. Linde	2/1/2008	1/24/2008			27,578	2,429,898
	2/5/2008	1/24/2008	0	12,000,000		2,256,263
Raymond A. Ritchey	2/1/2008	1/24/2008			27,578	2,429,898
	2/5/2008	1/24/2008	0	12,000,000		2,556,263
Michael E. LaBelle	2/1/2008	1/24/2008			2,861	252,083
	2/5/2008	1/24/2008	0	1,500,000		282,033

- (1) Represents 2008 OPP Awards. 2008 OPP Awards for each named executive officer may range from \$0 to the maximum amount set forth in the table. Accordingly, the Threshold column is not applicable and has been omitted. Also, because there is no established target amount under this program, the amount reported in the Target subcolumn represents the amount the named executive officers would have earned if our performance for the three-year performance period under the program continued at the same annualized rate as we experienced from February 5, 2008, the first day of the performance period, through December 31, 2008. Because the annualized total stockholder return during this period was less than that required for the named executive officers to earn awards under the 2008 outperformance program, the amount reported in the Target subcolumn is \$0. Distributions are payable on 2008 OPP Awards at one-tenth (1/10) of the regular quarterly distributions on common units of our Operating Partnership (and no amounts are payable on special distributions) prior to being earned.
- (2) Stock awards were made in the form of shares of restricted common stock and/or LTIP units at the election of each named executive officer. Each named executive officer, except Mr. LaBelle, elected to receive all LTIP units. Mr. LaBelle elected to receive half of his award in the form of LTIP units and half of his award in the form of restricted common stock. Restricted stock and LTIP units are awarded under the 1997 Stock Plan by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors. Dividends are payable on restricted stock and distributions are payable on the LTIP units to the same extent and on the same date that dividends and distributions are paid on Boston Properties common stock and common units of our Operating Partnership, respectively. Grantees of restricted stock pay \$0.01 per share and grantees of LTIP units pay \$0.25 per unit. The awards vest over a four-year period with 25% vesting on January 15 of each year beginning January 15, 2009.
- (3) The amounts included in this column represent the full grant date fair value of the restricted common stock and LTIP unit awards and 2008 OPP Awards computed in accordance with FAS 123R, disregarding for this purpose the estimate of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. A discussion of the assumptions used in calculating these values can be found in Note 17 to our 2008 audited financial statements beginning on page 145 of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

**Table of Contents****Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2008**

The following table shows the outstanding equity awards held by our named executive officers as of December 31, 2008.

Name	Option Awards			Stock Awards(1)		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(3)
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares of Stock or Units That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(2)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)(3)
Mortimer B. Zuckerman	406,089(4)	36.45	2/2/2011	11,993(7)	659,615	0
				25,867(8)	1,422,685	
				23,790(9)	1,308,450	
				20,689(10)	1,137,895	
				62,441(11)	3,434,255	
						0
Edward H. Linde	0			11,993(7)	659,615	
				25,867(8)	1,422,685	
				23,790(9)	1,308,450	
				20,689(10)	1,137,895	
				39,546(11)	2,175,030	
						0
Douglas T. Linde	128,635(5)	32.62	1/17/2012	6,396(7)	351,780	
				14,227(8)	782,485	
				13,594(9)	747,670	
				10,049(10)	552,695	
				27,578(11)	1,516,790	
						0
Raymond A. Ritchey	66,314(6)	35.26	1/18/2011	6,396(7)	351,780	
	130,058(5)	32.62	1/17/2012	14,227(8)	782,485	
				13,594(9)	747,670	
				11,231(10)	617,705	
				27,578(11)	1,516,790	
						0
Michael E. LaBelle	433(5)	32.62	1/17/2012	440(7)	24,200	
				1,449(8)	79,695	
				1,416(9)	77,880	
				994(10)	54,670	
				2,861(11)	157,355	
						0

---

**Table of Contents**

- (1) This table does not include LTIP unit and restricted common stock grants made in February 2009 reflecting performance in 2008 because they were not outstanding at the end of 2008. Such grants are described above under *Compensation Discussion and Analysis*.
- (2) The market value of such holdings is based on the closing price of our common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2008 of \$55.00 per share.
- (3) On February 5, 2008, the named executive officers received 2008 OPP Awards. The number and market or payout value of equity incentive plan awards is based on the amount that the named executive officers would have earned under our 2008 outperformance program if our performance for the three-year performance period under the program continued at the same annualized rate as we experienced from February 5, 2008, the first day of the performance period, through December 31, 2008. Because the annualized total stockholder return during this period was less than that required for the named executive officers to earn awards under our 2008 outperformance program, no amounts were reported for the number and market or payout value. The terms of our 2008 outperformance program, including the vesting terms, are described above under *Compensation Discussion and Analysis 2008 Outperformance Program*.
- (4) The options vested in three equal annual installments beginning on February 2, 2002.
- (5) The options vested in three equal annual installments beginning on January 17, 2003.
- (6) The options vested in three equal annual installments beginning on January 18, 2002.
- (7) On January 30, 2004, each of Messrs. Zuckerman, E. Linde, D. Linde and Ritchey received awards of LTIP units under the 1997 Stock Plan as follows: Mr. Zuckerman 29,982 LTIP units; Mr. E. Linde 29,982 LTIP units; Mr. D. Linde 15,990 LTIP units; and Mr. Ritchey 15,990 LTIP units. On February 18, 2004, Mr. LaBelle received an award of 1,099 shares of restricted common stock under the 1997 Stock Plan. These LTIP units and restricted common shares vest over five years with no LTIP units vesting in the first two years following the date of grant, 25% vesting on February 1, 2007, 35% vesting on February 1, 2008 and 40% vesting on February 1, 2009.
- (8) On January 28, 2005, the named executive officers received awards of LTIP units or shares of restricted common stock under the 1997 Stock Plan as follows: Mr. Zuckerman 34,489 LTIP units; Mr. E. Linde 34,489 LTIP units; Mr. D. Linde 18,969 LTIP units; Mr. Ritchey 18,969 LTIP units; and Mr. LaBelle 1,931 shares. These LTIP units and restricted common shares vest over five years with no LTIP units vesting in the first two years following the date of grant, 25% vesting on February 1, 2008, 35% vesting on February 1, 2009 and 40% vesting on February 1, 2010.
- (9) On April 28, 2006, the named executive officers received awards of LTIP units and/or shares of restricted common stock under the 1997 Stock Plan as follows: Mr. Zuckerman 23,790 LTIP units; Mr. E. Linde 23,790 LTIP units; Mr. D. Linde 13,594 LTIP units; Mr. Ritchey 13,594 LTIP units; and Mr. LaBelle 425 LTIP units and 991 shares. These LTIP units and restricted common shares vest over five years with no LTIP units vesting in the first two years following the date of grant, 25% vesting on January 15, 2009, 35% vesting on January 15, 2010 and 40% vesting on January 15, 2011.
- (10) On February 2, 2007, the named executive officers received awards of LTIP units and/or shares of restricted common stock under the 1997 Stock Plan as follows: Mr. Zuckerman 27,585 LTIP units; Mr. E. Linde 27,585 LTIP units; Mr. D. Linde 13,398 LTIP units; Mr. Ritchey 14,974 LTIP units; and Mr. LaBelle 662 LTIP units and 662 shares. These LTIP units and restricted common shares vest ratably over four years, with 25% of the total award vesting on January 15 of each year beginning January 15, 2008.
- (11) On February 1, 2008, the named executive officers received awards of LTIP units and/or shares of restricted common stock under the 1997 Stock Plan as follows: Mr. Zuckerman 62,441 LTIP units; Mr. E. Linde 39,546 LTIP units; Mr. D. Linde 27,578 LTIP units; Mr. Ritchey 27,578 LTIP units; and Mr. LaBelle 2,003 LTIP units and 858 shares. These LTIP units and restricted common shares vest ratably over four years, with 25% of the total award vesting on January 15 of each year beginning January 15, 2009.

**Table of Contents****2008 Option Exercises and Stock Vested**

The following table sets forth the aggregate number of options to purchase shares of our common stock exercised by our named executive officers in 2008 and the aggregate number of shares of common stock and LTIP units that vested in 2008. The value realized on exercise is the product of (1) the fair market value of a share of common stock on the date of exercise minus the exercise price, multiplied by (2) the number of shares of common stock underlying exercised options. The value realized on vesting is the product of (1) the fair market value of a share of common stock on the vesting date, multiplied by (2) the number of shares/LTIP units vesting. In each case, the value realized is before payment of any applicable withholding tax and brokerage commissions.

Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)(1)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Mortimer B. Zuckerman	750,000	18,742,500	26,012	2,437,291
Edward H. Linde	289,668	2,796,126	26,012	2,437,291
Douglas T. Linde	0	0	20,506	1,940,214
Raymond A. Ritchey	0	0	20,900	1,974,519
Michael E. LaBelle	0	0	1,652	155,764

- (1) For Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde, represents LTIP units that vested in 2008; for Mr. D. Linde, represents 13,688 LTIP units and 6,818 common shares that vested in 2008; for Mr. Ritchey, represents 14,082 LTIP units and 6,818 common shares that vested in 2008; and for Mr. LaBelle, represents 165 LTIP units and 1,487 common shares that vested in 2008.

**Nonqualified Deferred Compensation**

We provide our executives with the opportunity to defer up to 20% of their base salary and cash bonuses. Deferrals are credited with earnings or losses based upon the executive's selection of 14 measurement funds which are all publicly traded mutual funds. Executives may change their selection of measurement funds on a daily basis. The table below summarizes the annual rates of return for the year ended December 31, 2008 for the 14 measurement funds:

Allianz NFJ Dividend Value Fund(1)	-36.17%	Oakmark Equity & Income	-16.18%
American Beacon Small Cap Value	-32.11%	Pimco Low Duration Bond	-1.52%
Artisan Mid-Cap	-44.13%	Pimco Total Return Bond	4.56%
Buffalo Small Cap	-29.84%	T. Rowe Price Equity Index	-37.07%
Davis NY Venture	-40.03%	T. Rowe Price Growth Stock	-42.26%
Dodge & Cox International	-46.69%	T. Rowe Price Mid-Cap Value	-34.57%
Domini Social Equity	-37.88%	Virtus Real Estate Securities A(2)	-37.26%

- (1) Effective August 1, 2008, Allianz NFJ Dividend Value Fund replaced AmCent Large Company Value. The annual rate of return for AmCent Large Company Value for the year ended December 31, 2008 was -37.40%.

- (2) Effective October 1, 2008, Phoenix Real Estate changed its name to Virtus Real Estate Securities A.

Benefits under the deferred compensation plan are generally paid in a lump sum upon the executive's termination of employment prior to attainment of retirement age (age 55 with five years of service) or the executive's death, or in a lump sum or annual installments for a period of up to 15 years (as previously selected by the executive) upon the executive's retirement. Payment will generally start or be made by January 15 following the year of termination or retirement, or six months after the executive's termination or retirement, whichever is later. Executives may also at the time of deferral elect a fixed distribution date, which must be at

**Table of Contents**

least five years after the end of the calendar year in which amounts are deferred. The deferred compensation plan also permits an in-service withdrawal of the executive's account balance attributable to pre-2005 deferrals, subject to a withdrawal penalty equal to 10% of the amount withdrawn.

The following table outlines deferrals made by our named executive officers to the deferred compensation plan during the year ended December 31, 2008, the earnings during the year, and the aggregate account balance of each named executive officer under the deferred compensation plan as of December 31, 2008.

Name	Executive Contributions in 2008 \$(1)(2)	Registrant Contributions in 2008 (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in 2008 (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at 12/31/2008 \$(2)
Mortimer B. Zuckerman	0	0	0	0	0
Edward H. Linde	610,000	0	-1,114,112	0	2,037,402
Douglas T. Linde	0	0	0	0	0
Raymond A. Ritchey	60,000	0	-117,187	0	308,713
Michael E. LaBelle	29,000	450(3)	-18,902	0	35,730

- (1) These amounts do not include any contributions out of bonus payments that were made during 2009 for performance in 2008.
- (2) Of the amounts reported in the contributions column, (a) \$190,000 of Mr. E. Linde's contributions, the entire amount of Mr. Ritchey's contributions and \$10,000 of Mr. LaBelle's contributions are also included in the Summary Compensation Table as salary for 2008 and (b) \$420,000 of Mr. E. Linde's contributions and \$19,000 of Mr. LaBelle's contributions are also included in the Summary Compensation Table as bonus for 2007 that was paid in 2008. Of the amounts reported in the aggregate balance column, \$120,000 of Mr. E. Linde's aggregate balance and \$48,856 of Mr. Ritchey's aggregate balance are also included in the Summary Compensation Table as salary for 2006, \$380,000 of Mr. E. Linde's aggregate balance is also included in the Summary Compensation Table as bonus for 2006, and \$183,269 of Mr. E. Linde's aggregate balance, \$58,942 of Mr. Ritchey's aggregate balance and \$7,500 of Mr. LaBelle's aggregate balance are also included in the Summary Compensation Table as salary for 2007.
- (3) Represents the amount credited by the Company to the executive in 2008 as an Annual 401(k) Restoration Matching Amount under the deferred compensation plan.

#### Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

Mr. Mortimer B. Zuckerman, as Chairman of the Board of Directors, and Mr. Edward H. Linde, as Chief Executive Officer, each has an employment and noncompetition agreement with us. During the terms of such agreements, Mr. Zuckerman will devote a majority of his business time, and Mr. E. Linde will devote substantially all of his business time, to our business and affairs. The initial term of each agreement was three years beginning on January 17, 2003, in the case of Mr. Zuckerman, and November 29, 2002, in the case of Mr. E. Linde, with automatic one-year renewals commencing on each anniversary date unless written notice of termination is given at least 90 days prior to such date by either party. Apart from base salaries, each of Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde is eligible to receive bonus compensation, including equity-based incentive compensation, to be determined in the discretion of the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors. The base salary of each of Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde is to be reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee and may be increased but not decreased at its discretion.

Each of Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde's employment with us may be terminated for cause by us for (1) gross negligence or willful misconduct, (2) an uncured breach of any of his material duties under the employment agreement, (3) fraud or other conduct against our material best interests, or (4) an indictment of a felony if such indictment has a material adverse effect on our interests or reputation. In addition, each of Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde may terminate his employment for good reason, which includes (1) a substantial adverse change in the nature or scope of his responsibilities and authority under his employment agreement, (2) a



## Table of Contents

breach by us of any of our material obligations under his employment agreement, or (3) a material change in the geographic location at which he must perform his services.

If the employment of either of Messrs. Zuckerman or E. Linde is terminated by us without cause or by either of Messrs. Zuckerman or E. Linde for good reason, then the respective employee will be entitled to the amount of his accrued and unpaid target bonus prorated for the number of days actually employed during the year in which his employment is terminated. In addition, the respective employee will be entitled to a severance amount payable over a 12-month period equal to the sum of (x) his base salary plus (y) the amount of his cash bonus received in respect of the immediately preceding year; provided, however, that if any such payment would be considered deferred compensation subject to interest and additional tax imposed pursuant to Section 409A(a) of the Code, then no such payment shall be payable prior to the date that is the earlier of (1) six months and one day after his separation from service or (2) his death, and the initial payment shall include a catch-up payment covering amounts that would otherwise have been paid during the six-month period but for the application of such provision. Each of Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde is also entitled to an additional 12 months of vesting in his stock-based awards and, subject to payment of premiums, may also participate in our health plan for up to 12 months.

In the event Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde's employment with us is terminated by reason of death or disability, the respective employee or his beneficiary will be entitled to his accrued and unpaid target bonus prorated for the number of days actually employed during the year in which his employment is terminated. In addition, the respective employee's equity grants will become fully vested and, subject to payment of premiums, he or his spouse and dependents may also participate in our health plan for up to 18 months.

The employment agreements prohibit each of Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde, while he is our director or officer and for one year thereafter, from (1) engaging, directly or indirectly, in the acquisition, development, construction, operation, management, or leasing of any commercial real estate property, (2) intentionally interfering with our relationships with our tenants, suppliers, contractors, lenders or employees or with any governmental agency, or (3) soliciting our tenants or employees. Pursuant to each employment agreement, however, Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde may engage in minority interest passive investments which include the acquisition, holding, and exercise of voting rights associated with investments made through (1) the purchase of securities that represent a non-controlling, minority interest in an entity or (2) the lending of money, in either case with the purpose or intent of obtaining a return on such investment but without management of the property or business to which such investment directly or indirectly relates and without any business or strategic consultation with such entity. In addition, each of Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde are expressly permitted to participate as an officer or director of, or advisor to, any organization that is not engaged in commercial real estate activities provided that such activities do not materially restrict his ability to fulfill his obligations to us as an employee and officer. In addition, each employment agreement provides that the noncompetition provision shall not apply if Messrs. Zuckerman's or E. Linde's employment is terminated following our change of control.

Messrs. D. Linde, Ritchey and LaBelle have employment agreements with us similar to that of Mr. E. Linde, except that the initial terms of the employment agreements are two years instead of three years, and these employees are permitted to participate as an officer or director of, or advisor to, any charitable or other tax exempt organization only. Additionally, the geographic scope of the noncompetition provisions contained in the employment agreements is limited to our markets at the time of termination of their employment.

The current base salaries for Messrs. Zuckerman, E. Linde, D. Linde, Ritchey and LaBelle are set forth in the *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* section in this proxy statement.

We entered into severance agreements with each of Mr. Zuckerman and Mr. E. Linde on July 30, 1998. The severance agreements provide for severance benefits to Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde in the event of their termination under certain circumstances within 24 months following a change in control. In the event a terminating event occurs within 24 months following a change in control, Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde

**Table of Contents**

will each receive a lump sum amount equal to \$3,630,000 within thirty-one days following the date of termination; provided, however that if the change in control does not constitute a change in the ownership or effective control of the Company, or in the ownership of a substantial portion of the assets of the Company, within the meaning of Section 409A of the Code, then such amount will be payable over a 12-month period. Health, dental and life insurance benefits are provided for three (3) years following termination. Finally, the severance agreements provide for tax protection in the form of excise tax gross-up as well as financial counseling, tax preparation assistance and outplacement counseling. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if any payment or benefit that the employee becomes entitled to receive under the severance agreement would be considered deferred compensation subject to interest, penalties and additional tax imposed pursuant to Section 409A(a) of the Code, then no such payment or benefit shall be payable or provided prior to the date that is the earlier of (i) six months and one day after the employee's separation from service, or (ii) the employee's death. Any such deferred payment shall earn simple interest calculated at the short-term applicable federal rate in effect on the date of termination. On or before the employee's date of termination, we will make an irrevocable contribution to a rabbi trust with an independent bank trustee in an amount equal to the amount of such deferred payment plus interest.

We adopted the Boston Properties, Inc. Senior Executive Severance Plan (referred to as the severance plan) on July 30, 1998 in order to reinforce and encourage the continued attention and dedication of the President, Executive Vice Presidents, the Chief Financial Officer and the Regional Office Heads. Messrs. D. Linde, Ritchey and LaBelle are covered under the severance plan. The severance plan provides for the payment of severance benefits to each such executive officer in the event of termination under certain circumstances within 24 months following a change in control of three (3) times such executive officer's annual base salary and three (3) times the amount of the average annual bonus earned by the executive officer with respect to the three (3) calendar years immediately prior to the change in control less any amount paid or payable to such executive officer by us under the terms of any employment agreement or other plan. Tax protection, financial counseling, tax preparation assistance, outplacement counseling and continuation of health, dental and life insurance are the same as described above in the severance agreements with Messrs. Zuckerman and E. Linde. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if any payment or benefit that the employee becomes entitled to receive under the severance plan would be considered deferred compensation subject to interest, penalties and additional tax imposed pursuant to Section 409A(a) of the Code, then no such payment or benefit shall be payable or provided prior to the date that is the earlier of (i) six months and one day after the employee's separation from service, or (ii) the employee's death. Any such deferred payment shall earn simple interest calculated at the short-term applicable federal rate in effect on the date of termination. On or before the employee's date of termination, we will make an irrevocable contribution to a rabbi trust with an independent bank trustee in an amount equal to the amount of such deferred payment plus interest.

Under our 1997 Stock Plan, unless otherwise provided by the Compensation Committee at the time of grants, all equity grants become fully vested upon a change in control. The provisions of our 2008 outperformance program relating to termination of employment or a change in control are described under *Compensation Discussion and Analysis 2008 Outperformance Program* beginning on page 33.

**Table of Contents**

The following tables show potential payments and benefits that will be provided to our named executive officers upon the occurrence of a change in control and certain termination triggering events.

Name/Payment or Benefit	Voluntary Termination, Retirement or Involuntary For Cause Termination (\$)(1)	Involuntary Not for Cause Termination/ Good Reason Termination (\$)(1)	Involuntary or Good Reason Termination Following Change in Control (\$)(1)	Change in Control Without Termination (\$)(1)	Death or Disability (\$)(1)
<b>Mortimer B. Zuckerman</b>					
Bonus	0	950,000	0	0	950,000
Severance	0	3,300,000	3,630,000	0	0
Unvested Equity Awards(2)(3)	0	2,888,435	7,962,900	7,962,900	7,962,900
2008 OPP Awards(4)	0	0	0	0	0
Benefits Continuation	0	12,417	40,248	0	18,626
Other Benefits(5)	0	0	735,000	0	0
Excise Tax Gross-Up	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7,150,852</b>	<b>12,368,148</b>	<b>7,962,900</b>	<b>8,931,526</b>
<b>Edward H. Linde</b>					
Bonus	0	950,000	0	0	950,000
Severance	0	3,050,000	3,630,000	0	0
Unvested Equity Awards(2)(3)	0	2,573,615	6,703,675	6,703,675	6,703,675
2008 OPP Awards(4)	0	0	0	0	0
Benefits Continuation	0	13,796	45,147	0	20,694
Other Benefits(5)	0	0	685,000	0	0
Excise Tax Gross-Up	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6,587,411</b>	<b>11,063,822</b>	<b>6,703,675</b>	<b>7,674,369</b>
<b>Douglas T. Linde</b>					
Bonus	0	550,000	0	0	550,000
Severance	0	1,750,000	4,400,000	0	0
Unvested Equity Awards(2)(3)	0	1,467,235	3,951,420	3,951,420	3,951,420
2008 OPP Awards(4)	0	0	0	0	0
Benefits Continuation	0	15,177	49,572	0	22,766
Other Benefits(5)	0	0	425,000	0	0
Excise Tax Gross-Up	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,782,412</b>	<b>8,825,992</b>	<b>3,951,420</b>	<b>4,524,186</b>
<b>Raymond A. Ritchey</b>					
Bonus	0	600,000	0	0	600,000
Severance	0	1,850,000	4,650,000	0	0
Unvested Equity Awards(2)(3)	0	1,488,905	4,016,430	4,016,430	4,016,430
2008 OPP Awards(4)	0	0	0	0	0
Benefits Continuation	0	13,796	45,147	0	20,694
Other Benefits(5)	0	0	445,000	0	0
Excise Tax Gross-Up	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,952,701</b>	<b>9,156,577</b>	<b>4,016,430</b>	<b>4,637,124</b>



**Table of Contents**

Name/Payment or Benefit	Voluntary Termination, Retirement or Involuntary For Cause Termination (\$)(1)	Involuntary Not for Cause Termination/ Good Reason Termination (\$)(1)	Involuntary or Good Reason Termination Following Change in Control (\$)(1)	Change in Control Without Termination (\$)(1)	Death or Disability (\$)(1)
<b>Michael E. LaBelle</b>					
Bonus	0	300,000	0	0	300,000
Severance	0	490,000	1,300,000	0	0
Unvested Equity Awards(2)(3)	0	138,325	393,800	393,800	393,800
2008 OPP Awards(4)	0	0	0	0	0
Benefits Continuation	0	15,177	49,572	0	22,766
Other Benefits(5)	0	0	173,000	0	0
Excise Tax Gross-Up	0	0	574,441	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>943,502</b>	<b>2,490,813</b>	<b>393,800</b>	<b>716,566</b>

- (1) Assumes terminating event or change in control occurs on December 31, 2008. For termination in connection with a change in control, assumes termination occurs simultaneously with the change in control. The closing market price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2008 was \$55.00 per share.
- (2) In the event of an involuntary not for cause termination or a good reason termination prior to a change in control, the vesting of equity awards will be accelerated by 12 months. Accordingly, the following shares of restricted common stock and LTIP units would have vested: Mr. Zuckerman 52,517 LTIP units; Mr. E. Linde 46,793 LTIP units; Mr. D. Linde 26,677 LTIP units; Mr. Ritchey 27,071 LTIP units; and Mr. LaBelle 1,743 shares of restricted common stock and 772 LTIP units.
- (3) In the event of (a) an involuntary not for cause termination or a good reason termination following a change in control, (b) change in control without termination or (c) death or disability, all outstanding equity awards become fully vested. At December 31, 2008, Messrs. Zuckerman, E. Linde, D. Linde, Ritchey and LaBelle held unvested restricted common stock and LTIP units as follows: Messrs. Zuckerman 144,780; Mr. E. Linde 121,885 LTIP units; Mr. D. Linde 71,844 LTIP units; Mr. Ritchey 73,026 LTIP units; and Mr. LaBelle 4,235 shares of restricted common stock and 2,925 LTIP units.
- (4) For a detailed discussion of the vesting of 2008 OPP Awards upon the occurrence of a change in control and certain termination triggering events, see *Compensation Discussion and Analysis 2008 Outperformance Program* above. To have value, the 2008 OPP Awards require the Company to outperform absolute and relative return thresholds. Such thresholds were not met as of December 31, 2008.
- (5) Includes outplacement services valued at 20% of current base salary and bonus with respect to the immediately preceding year paid in a lump sum, and financial counseling and tax preparation services valued at \$25,000 per year for 36 months.

The amounts shown in the above tables do not include payments and benefits to the extent they have been earned prior to the termination of employment or are provided on a non-discriminatory basis to salaried employees upon termination of employment. These include:

accrued salary and vacation pay;

distribution of plan balances under our 401(k) plan and the non-qualified deferred compensation plan (see *Nonqualified Deferred Compensation* on page 45 for the plan balances of each named executive officer under the non-qualified deferred compensation plan); and

life insurance proceeds in the event of death.

---

**Table of Contents**

**COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS**

Our directors who are also employees receive no additional compensation for their services as directors. During 2008, we paid our non-employee directors:

an annual retainer of \$50,000 (payable in quarterly installments) for their services;

an annual retainer of \$15,000 (payable in quarterly installments) to the chair of each of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and NCG Committee;

an annual retainer of \$15,000 (payable in quarterly installments) to Mr. Twardock for his service on the Significant Transactions Committee;

\$1,500 for each Board of Directors meeting attended;

\$1,500 to the members of the Audit Committee for each Audit Committee meeting attended; and

\$1,000 to the members of each of the Compensation Committee and NCG Committee for each committee meeting attended. Committee attendance fees are received whether or not the committee meeting is held on the same day as a meeting of our Board of Directors. Non-employee directors also are reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred to attend Board of Directors and committee meetings.

Each non-employee director (other than Ms. Baird) has made an election, in accordance with our 1997 Stock Plan and approved by the Board of Directors, to defer all cash retainer and meeting attendance fees payable to such director and to receive his or her deferred cash compensation in the form of our common stock upon the director's retirement from our Board of Directors. Each director is credited with the number of deferred stock units determined by dividing the amount of the cash compensation deferred during each calendar quarter by the closing market price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the last trading day of the quarter. Hypothetical dividends on the deferred stock units are reinvested in additional deferred stock units based on the closing market price of the common stock on the cash dividend payment date. Payment of a director's account may only be made in a lump sum of shares of our common stock equal to the number of deferred stock units in a director's account upon the director's retirement from our Board of Directors. Ms. Baird revoked her election to defer all cash retainer and meeting attendance fees effective January 1, 2009.

Additionally, in 2008 each continuing non-employee director was entitled to receive, on the fifth business day after the annual meeting of stockholders, a number of shares of restricted common stock or, if elected by such director, LTIP units (or a combination of both) valued at \$65,000. In addition, any new non-employee director was entitled to receive, on the fifth business day after his or her initial election to our Board of Directors, a number of shares of restricted common stock (or, if offered by the Board of Directors and elected by such director, LTIP units) valued at \$35,000. These annual and initial grants are made pursuant to a formal policy adopted by the Board of Directors so that the equity compensation of non-employee directors will be determined by a formula. The actual number of shares of restricted common stock or LTIP units that we grant is determined by dividing the fixed value of the grant by the closing market price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the grant date. Pursuant to this policy, on May 19, 2008, Mses. Baird and Einiger and Messrs. Bacow, Patricof, Salomon, Turchin and Twardock each received 649 LTIP units, and on December 22, 2008, Mr. Iseman received 652 LTIP units. Annual grants of LTIP units and restricted common stock made prior to May 19, 2008 vest in equal annual installments on the first and second anniversaries of the grant date. Annual grants of LTIP units and restricted common stock made on or after May 19, 2008 to non-employee directors vest over a two-year period with (1) 50% of such awards vesting on the earlier of (i) the first anniversary of the date of grant and (ii) the date of the next annual meeting of stockholders and (2) 50% of such awards vesting on the earlier of (i) the second anniversary of the date of grant and (ii) the date of the second annual meeting of stockholders following the date of grant. Initial grants of LTIP units and restricted common stock to new non-employee directors vest in equal annual installments on the first and second anniversaries of the grant date.



**Table of Contents**

The Compensation Committee currently expects that it will review the compensation of non-employee directors once every two years and as circumstances arise that may dictate otherwise. In accordance with this policy, in the fall of 2008, the Company engaged Mercer Human Resource Consulting, an independent compensation consultant, to assist it in conducting a competitive review of the Company's non-employee director compensation program. More specifically, Mercer reviewed (1) how the use of each component of total compensation (e.g., cash retainers, meeting fees and equity awards) compared to market practice, and (2) how the total compensation for Board and committee members compared to market practice. Mercer's report presented data comparing our director compensation to market levels using three distinct peer groups—the same expanded and selective office peer groups used by the Compensation Committee in evaluating executive compensation and a group of eleven diversified financial service companies. While management interacted with Mercer throughout its process, the Compensation Committee oversaw the selection of the peer groups and the overall project. Mercer's findings showed that total compensation paid to the non-employee directors was positioned at approximately the median of the expanded peer group, between the median and the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile of the selective office peer group and between the 25<sup>th</sup> percentile and the median of the diversified financial peer group. Based on those findings and Mercer's recommendations, the Compensation Committee recommended no changes to the compensation program for 2009 and the full Board of Directors concurred.

**Director Compensation**

The following table summarizes the compensation earned by our non-employee directors during the year ended December 31, 2008.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in		Total (\$)
	Cash \$(1)	Stock Awards \$(2)	
Lawrence S. Bacow	78,500	55,791	134,291
Zoë Baird	92,000	55,791	147,791
Carol B. Einiger	77,000	55,791	132,791
Frederick J. Iseman	2,083	0	2,083
Alan J. Patricof	92,000	55,791	147,791
Richard E. Salomon	88,000	55,791	143,791
Martin Turchin	65,000	55,791	120,791
David A. Twardock	90,000	58,618	148,618

- (1) Each director deferred his or her cash fees earned during 2008 and received in lieu thereof deferred stock units pursuant to our 1997 Stock Plan as described above. The following table summarizes the deferred stock units credited to the director accounts during 2008, as well as the aggregate number of deferred stock awards (including dividend equivalents) accumulated in their deferral accounts for all years of service as a director.

Name	Deferred Stock Units Earned during 2008 (#)	Account Balance as of December 31, 2008 (#)
Lawrence S. Bacow	1,579	7,176
Zoë Baird	1,450	3,847
Carol B. Einiger	1,378	5,134
Frederick J. Iseman	38	38
Alan J. Patricof	2,793	18,782
Richard E. Salomon	2,437	15,235
Martin Turchin	2,133	14,983
David A. Twardock	1,757	7,383



**Table of Contents**

- (2) Represents the proportionate amount of the total fair value of restricted common stock and LTIP unit awards granted to non-employee directors recognized by us as an expense in 2008 for financial reporting purposes, disregarding the estimate of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. The fair values of these awards and the amounts expensed in 2008 were determined in accordance with FAS 123R. The awards for which expense is shown in this table include the awards granted in 2006, 2007 and 2008. Each director, other than Mr. Iseman, received an annual grant of LTIP units on May 19, 2008 with a FAS 123R grant date fair value of \$59,601. Mr. Iseman received an initial equity award of LTIP units on December 22, 2008 with a FAS 123R grant date fair value of \$32,093. A discussion of the assumptions used in calculating these values can be found in Note 17 to our 2008 audited financial statements beginning on page 145 of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. As of December 31, 2008, our non-employee directors had the following unexercised option awards and unvested equity awards outstanding:

Name	Stock Options (#)	LTIP Units (#)	Restricted Common Stock (#)
Lawrence S. Bacow	0	942	0
Zoë Baird	0	942	0
Carol B. Einiger	0	942	0
Frederick J. Iseman	0	652	0
Alan J. Patricof	0	942	0
Richard E. Salomon	0	942	0
Martin Turchin	5,779	942	0
David A. Twardock	0	649	293

**Director Stock Ownership Guidelines**

The Board believes it is important to align the interests of the directors with those of the stockholders and for directors to hold equity ownership positions in Boston Properties. Accordingly, each non-employee director is expected to retain an aggregate number of shares of our common stock, our deferred stock units (and related dividend equivalent rights), and LTIP units, Series Two preferred units (on an as-converted basis) and common units in our Operating Partnership, whether vested or not, equal to at least the aggregate number of such shares or units received by the director as annual retainers during the first three years following the later of: (a) our 2007 annual meeting of stockholders or (b) our annual meeting of stockholders at which the director was initially elected or, if earlier, the first annual meeting of stockholders following the initial appointment of the director. Compliance with these ownership guidelines will be measured as of the end of each fiscal year. Any director who is prohibited by law or by applicable regulation of his or her employer from owning equity in the Company shall be exempt from this requirement. The NCG Committee may consider whether exceptions should be made for any director on whom this requirement could impose a financial hardship.

**COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION**

Our Compensation Committee consists of Messrs. Salomon (Chair), Iseman and Twardock and Ms. Baird. None of them has served as an officer or employee of Boston Properties. None of these persons had any relationships with Boston Properties requiring disclosure under applicable rules and regulations of the SEC.

**Table of Contents****PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has selected and appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm to audit our consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2009. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP has audited our consolidated financial statements since our initial public offering in June 1997. Although ratification by stockholders is not required by law or by our By-Laws, the Audit Committee believes that submission of its selection to stockholders is a matter of good corporate governance. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, may select a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time if the Audit Committee believes that such a change would be in the best interests of Boston Properties and its stockholders. If our stockholders do not ratify the appointment PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Audit Committee will take that fact into consideration, together with such other factors it deems relevant, in determining its next selection of independent auditors.

It is anticipated that a representative of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP will attend the annual meeting of stockholders, will have an opportunity to make a statement if he or she desires to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

**Fees**

Aggregate fees for professional services rendered by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

	2008	2007
<b>Audit Fees</b>		
Recurring audit, quarterly reviews and accounting assistance for new accounting standards and potential transactions	\$ 1,492,000	\$ 1,183,300
Comfort letters, consents and assistance with documents filed with the SEC and securities offerings	180,700	177,940
<b>Subtotal</b>	1,672,700	1,361,240
<b>Audit-Related Fees</b>		
Audits required by lenders, joint ventures, tenants and employee benefit plans	268,170	220,606
<b>Tax Fees</b>		
Recurring tax compliance	199,372	161,302
Tax planning and research	172,938	125,078
REIT and other compliance matters	52,727	30,540
Tax assistance for potential transactions	168,088	139,885
Sales and use tax examinations	0	5,273
<b>Subtotal</b>	593,125	462,078
<b>All Other Fees</b>		
Software licensing fee	1,500	1,500
<b>Total</b>	\$ 2,535,495	\$ 2,045,424

**Auditor Fees Policy**

The Audit Committee has approved a policy concerning the pre-approval of audit and non-audit services to be provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm. The policy requires that all services provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to us, including audit services, audit-related



**Table of Contents**

services, tax services and other services, must be pre-approved by the Audit Committee. In some cases, pre-approval is provided by the full Audit Committee for up to a year, and relates to a particular category or group of services and is subject to a particular budget. In other cases, specific pre-approval is required. The Audit Committee has delegated authority to the Chair of the Audit Committee to pre-approve additional services, and any such pre-approvals must then be communicated to the full Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee approved all audit and non-audit services provided to us by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP during the 2008 and 2007 fiscal years.

**Vote Required**

The affirmative vote of a majority of shares of common stock present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on this proposal is required for the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. Abstentions shall be included in determining the number of shares present and entitled to vote on the proposal, thus having the effect of a vote against the proposal. In the absence of your voting instructions, your broker may vote your shares with respect to the proposal in its discretion.

**Recommendation**

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THIS PROPOSAL. PROPERLY AUTHORIZED PROXIES SOLICITED BY THE BOARD WILL BE VOTED FOR THIS PROPOSAL UNLESS INSTRUCTIONS TO THE CONTRARY ARE GIVEN.**

**Table of Contents**

**AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT**

The members of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Boston Properties submit this report in connection with the committee's review of the financial reports for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 as follows:

1. The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the audited financial statements for Boston Properties, Inc. for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008.
2. The Audit Committee has discussed with representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the matters required to be discussed by the statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, Vol. 1. AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T.
3. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent accountant required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the independent accountant the independent accountant's independence.

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 for filing with the SEC.

The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a charter that was approved by our Board of Directors. A copy of the Audit Committee Charter is available on our website at <http://www.bostonproperties.com> under the heading "Corporate Governance."

*Submitted by the Audit Committee:*

Alan J. Patricof, Chair

Lawrence S. Bacow

Carol B. Einiger

**Table of Contents**

**PROPOSAL 3: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL**

**Proposal Concerning the Annual Election of Directors**

Mrs. Evelyn Y. Davis, Watergate Office Building, 2600 Virginia Avenue, N.W., Suite 215, Washington, D.C. 20037, record holder of 100 shares of common stock of Boston Properties, has given formal notice that she will introduce the following resolution at the 2009 annual meeting and has furnished the following statements in support of her proposal:

**RESOLVED:** That the stockholders of Boston Properties recommend that the Board of Directors take the necessary steps to reinstate the election of directors ANNUALLY, instead of the stagger system which was recently adopted.

**REASONS:**

The great majority of New York Stock Exchange listed corporations elect all their directors each year.

Last year the owners of 87,703,061 shares, representing approximately 86.1% of shares voting, voted for THIS proposal. It is the WILL of the MAJORITY!!!!!!!

This insures that ALL directors will be more accountable to ALL shareholders each year and to a certain extent prevents the self-perpetuation of the Board.

Companies such as Bristol Meyers Squibb, Starwood, Marriott, Host Hotels, Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanley, Lehman, Avon, Pfizer, Dow Jones, News Corporation and many, many others adopted this resolution.

If you AGREE, please mark your proxy FOR this resolution.

**Boston Properties Statement in Opposition**

The past year has witnessed historic disruptions of global debt and equity markets and steep declines in the stock prices of many companies, including REITs. As a result, the Board of Directors does not believe that it is in the best interests of Boston Properties stockholders to declassify the Board at this time. However, in contrast to past years, it is now the intention of the Board to take the steps necessary to declassify the Board once economic conditions have stabilized.

The Board has considered identical proposals for the declassification of the Board at prior annual meetings. Each year, the Board has carefully considered, with the advice of counsel, whether to begin the process to declassify the Board. The Board has considered, among other things: (1) overall trends among public companies, including other public companies in the S&P 500 and our peers in the publicly traded REIT industry, (2) academic studies regarding the effects of classified boards on stockholder value, (3) the benefits of classified boards in enhancing stockholder value in the context of a coercive tender offer coupled with a proxy contest, both theoretically and in specific cases of contests for corporate control and (4) the views of our stockholders, as expressed in the voting results of the proposals to declassify the Board. The Board has always recognized that there are persuasive arguments both for and against classified boards. However, prior to this year, the Board had concluded that declassifying the Board was not in the best interests of Boston Properties regardless of general economic conditions or the value of the Company's common stock relative to the intrinsic value of its assets.

In reaching its past decisions, the Board of Directors had concluded that the benefits of a classified board in the context of an unfriendly or unsolicited coercive proposal to take over or restructure Boston Properties outweighed any of the potential drawbacks to this board structure. In the event of any unfriendly or unsolicited proposal to take over or restructure Boston Properties, a classified board would reduce our vulnerability to certain coercive takeover tactics and enhance the Board's ability to negotiate in the best interests of all

## **Table of Contents**

stakeholders by affording Boston Properties time to negotiate with the sponsor of the proposal, to consider alternative proposals and to assure that stockholder value is maximized. By forcing any potential acquisition to occur through a negotiated process with the Board as opposed to one or more take it or leave it offers submitted directly to our stockholders, a classified board likely would enable Boston Properties to extract greater value. The Board continues to believe that the classified board structure remains valuable in this context, particularly at times when the trading value of its common stock is less than the intrinsic value of its assets.

However, the Board recognizes that during the past ten years the corporate governance landscape has shifted notwithstanding the arguments advanced in favor of the benefits of classified boards of directors. The percentage of companies in the S&P 500 with a classified board has declined from more than 60% to less than 35% during this decade. Moreover, the percentage of shares voted in favor of the proposal to declassify our Board has steadily increased as has the percentage of votes withheld from the election of our directors as a result of this issue. Stockholder attitudes have also shifted regarding the value of annual elections of all directors in creating greater accountability to stockholders.

As a result of the foregoing, the Board is now firmly committed to following the trend among the members of the S&P 500 to declassify their boards of directors, and intends to take the steps necessary to declassify once economic conditions and REIT valuations stabilize. The only reason the Board of Directors decided not to initiate the process to declassify the Board this year is because of the historic U.S. and global economic disruptions that remain ongoing and the resulting depressed market value of our stock relative to the current and historical values of our assets. In light of these conditions, the Board concluded that the value of the classified board structure outweighs the drawbacks of retaining this structure at this time.

### **Vote Required**

The affirmative vote of the majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on this proposal is required for adoption of this resolution. Abstentions shall be included in determining the number of shares present and entitled to vote on the proposal, thus having the effect of a vote against the proposal. Broker non-votes are not counted in determining the number of shares present and entitled to vote and will therefore have no effect on the outcome.

### **Recommendation**

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST THIS PROPOSAL. PROPERLY AUTHORIZED PROXIES SOLICITED BY THE BOARD WILL BE VOTED AGAINST THIS PROPOSAL UNLESS INSTRUCTIONS TO THE CONTRARY ARE GIVEN.**

**Table of Contents**

**PROPOSAL 4: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL**

**Proposal Concerning Majority Voting**

The United Brotherhood of Carpenters Pension Fund, 101 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001, beneficial holder of 1,970 shares of common stock of Boston Properties, has given formal notice that it will introduce the following resolution at the 2009 annual meeting and has furnished the following statements in support of its proposal:

**Resolved:** That the shareholders of Boston Properties, Inc ( Company ) hereby request that the Board of Directors initiate the appropriate process to amend the Company s governance documents (certificate of incorporation and bylaws) to provide that director nominees shall be elected by the affirmative vote of the majority of votes cast at an annual meeting of shareholders, with a plurality vote standard retained for contested director elections, that is, when the number of director nominees exceeds the number of board seats.

**Supporting Statement:** We believe that in order to provide shareholders a meaningful role in director elections, the Company s director election vote standard should be changed to a majority vote standard. A majority vote standard would require that a nominee receive a majority of the votes cast in order to be elected. The standard is particularly well-suited for the vast majority of director elections in which only board nominated candidates are on the ballot. We believe that a majority vote standard in board elections would establish a challenging vote standard for board nominees and improve the performance of individual directors and boards. Boston Properties presently uses a plurality vote standard in all director elections. Under the plurality vote standard, a nominee for the Board of directors can be elected with as little as a single affirmative vote, even if a majority of the votes are withheld from the nominee, such as occurred with the four Company nominees in the 2008 director election. Each director nominee received a majority withhold vote, but each was re-elected to the Board.

Strong shareholder support for a majority vote standard in director elections has prompted an increasing number of the nation s leading companies, including Intel, General Electric, Motorola, Hewlett-Packard, Morgan Stanley, Home Depot, Gannett, Marathon Oil, and Pfizer, to adopt a majority vote standard in company bylaws or articles of incorporation. These companies have also adopted director resignation policies in their bylaws or corporate governance policies to address post-election issues related to the status of director nominees that fail to win election. Other companies have responded only partially to the call for change, retaining plurality voting and simply adopting post-election director resignation policies that set procedures for addressing the status of director nominees that receive more withhold votes than for votes. We believe this partial response is an inadequate reform. Boston Properties has taken neither action.

The critical first step in establishing a meaningful majority vote policy is the adoption of a majority vote standard. With a majority vote standard in place, the Board could then consider action on developing post-election procedures to address the status of directors that fail to win election. A majority vote standard combined with a post-election director resignation policy would establish a meaningful right for shareholders to elect directors, and reserve for the Board an important post-election role in determining the continued status of an unelected director.

**Boston Properties Statement in Opposition**

It is the view of our Board of Directors that it is not in the best interests of Boston Properties or our stockholders to amend our certificate of incorporation and by-laws to provide for election of directors by a majority of votes cast, with a plurality vote standard retained for contested elections.



## **Table of Contents**

In accordance with Delaware law, our certificate of incorporation and by-laws provide that our directors are elected by a plurality voting standard. This system, under which the nominee who receives the most affirmative votes is elected to the Board, avoids the possibility and consequences of a failed election. Our Board of Directors believes that this system is a fair and democratic way to elect directors and that it best serves the needs of Boston Properties and our stockholders.

The majority voting standard that the proponent seeks to have Boston Properties adopt creates the potential for failed elections. A failed election either would result in the existing director continuing to serve as a director, whether as a holdover director or as a result of being reappointed by the Board, or would create a vacancy for the Board to fill. We believe that the purpose of annual elections of directors is to elect representatives of the stockholders from the persons properly nominated to serve as such, not to create vacancies that may leave stockholders without the proper number of representatives.

Under our certificate of incorporation and by-laws, all of our stockholders have the right to nominate candidates for election as directors. Additionally, each year the NCG Committee goes through the process of identifying individuals qualified to become Board members and recommends director nominees to the Board. Any stockholder may recommend a candidate to be reviewed and considered by the NCG Committee for nomination for election to the Board. Under the policy described on page 7, the NCG Committee will evaluate any director candidates recommended by our stockholders based on the same criteria and in substantially the same manner as other candidates, including incumbent directors. These procedures provide ample opportunity for our stockholders to recommend or directly nominate alternative director candidates. Additionally, stockholders are always free to express any concerns regarding directors or other matters by communicating directly with one or more of our directors through the communication policy described on page 9. Our Board of Directors believes that the nomination process provides the appropriate time and method for stockholders to express preferences, and take action to determine, the individuals from whom they will be able to choose their representatives on the Board. The purpose of the election itself is then to choose which of these individuals should serve on the Board, not to require the Board to initiate a new process to appoint directors on its own.

We do not believe that adopting a majority voting standard for uncontested director elections provides the stockholders with any additional meaningful amount of input into the election of directors and it imposes additional costs on the Board. If there is a failed election, it is up to the Board to fill the vacancy without any further stockholder vote. Stockholders would have no greater assurance that the person selected to fill the Board seat would be any more satisfactory than the person who failed to receive the majority vote, and it may not even be a different person. Based on current proxy voting trends and the influence of proxy voting advisory services, we believe that most withhold votes for directors in uncontested elections occur as a result of the rigid application of voting guidelines that are heavily focused on technical corporate governance mechanics. These voting guidelines typically do not take into account the more important role of directors in setting strategic direction and making important business decisions. As a result, in most, if not all, cases, it could be expected that the Board would still view the election of its original nominee as in the best interests of Boston Properties and our stockholders notwithstanding the number of votes withheld. Nevertheless, addressing failed elections would undoubtedly be distracting to the Board and may require the Board and/or the NCG Committee to repeat much of the process it went through prior to the stockholder meeting in order to select nominees. We do not believe that any of this is likely to create any meaningfully greater enfranchisement of our stockholders.

Accordingly, it is the view of our Board of Directors that it is not in the best interests of Boston Properties or our stockholders to amend our certificate of incorporation and by-laws to provide for election of directors by a majority of votes cast in uncontested elections.

**Table of Contents**

**Vote Required**

The affirmative vote of the majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on this proposal is required for adoption of this resolution. Abstentions shall be included in determining the number of shares present and entitled to vote on the proposal, thus having the effect of a vote against the proposal. Broker non-votes are not counted in determining the number of shares present and entitled to vote and will therefore have no effect on the outcome.

**Recommendation**

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST THIS PROPOSAL. PROPERLY AUTHORIZED PROXIES SOLICITED BY THE BOARD WILL BE VOTED AGAINST THIS PROPOSAL UNLESS INSTRUCTIONS TO THE CONTRARY ARE GIVEN.**

---

**Table of Contents**

**PROPOSAL 5: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL**

**Proposal Concerning the Preparation of a Sustainability Report**

The Office of the Comptroller of the City of New York, as custodian and trustee of the New York City Employees Retirement System, the New York City Teachers Retirement System, the New York City Police Pension Fund, and the New York City Fire Department Pension Fund, and as custodian of the New York City Board of Education Retirement System (collectively, the Funds), beneficial holder of an aggregate of 1,223,789 shares of common stock of Boston Properties, has given formal notice that the Funds intend to introduce the following resolution at the 2009 annual meeting and have furnished the following statements in support of its proposal:

**WHEREAS:** Investors increasingly seek disclosure of companies' social and environmental practices in the belief that they impact shareholder value. Many investors believe companies that are good employers, environmental stewards, and corporate citizens are more likely to generate stronger financial returns, better respond to emerging issues, and enjoy long-term business success.

Mainstream financial companies are also increasingly recognizing the links between sustainability performance and shareholder value. According to research consultant Innovest, major investment firms including ABN-AMRO, Schroders, T. Rowe Price, and Legg Mason subscribe to information on companies' social and environmental practices to help make investment decisions.

Globally over 2,600 companies issued reports on sustainability issues in 2007 ([www.corporateregister.com](http://www.corporateregister.com)). A recent survey found that 80% of the Global Fortune 250 companies now release corporate responsibility data, which is up from 64% in 2005 (KPMG International Survey of Corporate Responsibility Reporting 2008). Unfortunately, the vast majority of U.S. companies in the real estate industry lag on sustainability reporting, especially regarding key issues such as climate change. To date, only four U.S. real estate companies—CB Richard Ellis, KB Homes, ProLogis, and Centex—have shown leadership by producing sustainability reports.

The Department of Energy's 2008 Building Energy Data Book reports that buildings use 40% of all energy and are accountable for 38% of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, making them the largest single source of emissions. Most of those emissions are attributable to the electricity used in buildings, which is generated mainly in fossil-fuel dependent plants, and which adds up to 72% of all electricity use in the United States.

Given the industry's large carbon footprint, we feel it is imperative that Boston Properties develops clear policies and programs that address the impacts of its operations on the environment and on society. Sustainability reporting would help investors understand what our company is doing to manage environmental and social impacts and the steps Boston Property is taking to respond to the growing demand for and interest in green buildings.

According to a McGraw Hill Construction Marketplace May 2008 survey of builders, green building has provided a boost to builders during an otherwise difficult market, and as the market improves green development appears headed for tremendous growth. McGraw Hill Construction also reports that the value of green building construction is projected to increase to \$60 billion by 2010.

**RESOLVED:** Shareholders request that the Board of Directors prepare a sustainability report describing corporate strategies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and addressing other environmental and social impacts such as water and waste management, and employee and community relations. The report, prepared at reasonable cost and omitting proprietary information, should be published by December 2009.

**SUPPORTING STATEMENT:** The report should include the company's definition of sustainability and a company-wide review of company policies, practices, and metrics related to long-term social and environmental sustainability.

---

## **Table of Contents**

We recommend that Boston Properties use the Global Reporting Initiative's Sustainability Reporting Guidelines (the Guidelines) to prepare the report. The Global Reporting Initiative ([www.globalreporting.org](http://www.globalreporting.org)) is an international organization developed with representatives from the business, environmental, human rights and labor communities. The Guidelines provide guidance on report content, including performance on direct economic impacts, environmental, labor practices and decent work conditions, human rights, society, and product responsibility. The Guidelines provide a flexible reporting system that allows the omission of content that is not relevant to company operations.

### **Boston Properties Statement in Opposition**

Our Board of Directors does not believe that preparing a sustainability report based on the guidelines published by the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), a not-for-profit organization located in Amsterdam, the Netherlands, would be a prudent use of our resources. While our Board of Directors recognizes that environmental and social issues are important, and while we strive to conduct our business in a socially responsible manner, we do not believe that a GRI-based sustainability report would provide meaningful marginal benefits to management or would provide sufficiently useful information to our stockholders and investors to justify its costs, especially in light of the current global economic recession.

The GRI guidelines describe sustainability reporting as the practice of measuring, disclosing, and being accountable to a wide range of stakeholders, including both internal and external stakeholders. A review of the GRI guidelines leads us to the conclusion that the proposed sustainability report would not provide policies and principles that advance our business success or guide our compliance with any laws or regulations. Rather, the report would simply disclose information about our economic, environmental and social performance as viewed in the context of this collaborating centre of the United Nations Environmental Programme. The proposed sustainability report would, therefore, simply add another disclosure document to those we already prepare and file with the SEC and the other information we provide to our stockholders.

As one of the nation's leading office REITs, Boston Properties is already committed to improving its natural resource efficiency and demonstrating that the operation and development of commercial real estate can be conducted with a conscious regard for the environment and other social issues. In 2008, our management team formed a Sustainability Committee to (1) identify and execute new strategies for promoting sustainability in new construction, existing buildings and corporate operations, (2) promote communication across regions, (3) share best practices and (4) assess the cost effectiveness of small and large scale projects and programs. A sample of some of the sustainability programs that have evolved include, among others, the following:

**Energy Savings Program** Properties across our portfolio are routinely rated and benchmarked on the EPA's Energy Star program. In 2008, 17 of the Company's properties earned the Energy Star Award, accounting for over 7.8 million square feet of energy efficiency excellence. Simple to complex initiatives were rolled out across these 17 existing buildings to achieve the rankings. We expect that additional properties across the portfolio will receive the same recognition in 2009.

**Recycling and solid waste management** In addition to extensive recycling programs across the regions, Boston Properties also works closely with its vendors and tenants to promote café and restaurant composting and responsible waste management practices. To further increase the percentage of recycled materials across the Company, our regional teams are working closely with waste management vendors to enforce tenant compliance and auditing with a goal of moving to a single stream recycling across the Company.

**Water conservation** Boston Properties continues to find innovative ways to minimize its environmental footprint through reducing water use in its buildings and operations. For example, advanced landscaping irrigation systems are being piloted at several properties that incorporate rain gauges to communicate information daily, measure water levels and adjust the amount of water used to maintain the plantings. These systems result in the use of approximately 50% less water to achieve

---

**Table of Contents**

comparable results. In addition, all of our regions have water conservation programs in place that range from water efficient devices to managing frequency of exterior window washing programs.

**Transportation and parking programs** Boston Properties promotes these programs through on-site events, newsletters and one-on-one meetings with office tenant contacts. Boston Properties employees across the Company are involved with local transportation management authorities and support alternative transportation programs through their involvement.

**Green cleaning and landscaping** All Boston Properties regions have formalized a Green Cleaning Requirement with their cleaning vendors. Aspects of this requirement include Green Seal approved cleaning products, HEPA (high efficiency particle air) vacuums, dry cleaning for carpets and restroom supply products made from a minimum of 60% recycled materials in multi-tenant buildings (100% recycled content is encouraged and used when possible).

Not only is Boston Properties taking the aforementioned actions, but it has also endeavored to disclose its efforts to its investors. Boston Properties already includes in its Annual Reports on Form 10-K disclosure regarding various sustainability efforts and environmentally sound development initiatives. In addition, during our Investor Conference on October 3, 2008, management included a discussion of our sustainability dashboard, which helps us monitor the energy consumption, waste production, water consumption and carbon emissions at our buildings and use that information to make efficiency retrofits. During our Investor Conference our management also delivered a presentation on Building Green in which we described our efforts to develop LEED-certified buildings and our ongoing auditing and retrofit efforts on existing buildings. The U.S. Green Building Council's Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) program for certification follows a rigorous registration process which evaluates and gives Certified, Silver, Gold, and Platinum ratings to green buildings. We currently have LEED registered projects under development throughout our portfolio, including the following:

77 CityPoint in Waltham, MA certified Gold LEED

Annapolis Junction in Annapolis, MD pre-certified Silver LEED

Russia Wharf in Boston, MA pre-certified Gold LEED

2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, DC pre-certified Silver LEED

Democracy Tower, Reston, VA pre-certified Silver LEED

Numerous other development projects are LEED registered and targeting LEED Silver ratings or better. We are also actively exploring LEED certification for our existing buildings, and anticipate additional certifications in 2009. (A replay of the 2008 Investor Conference is available on our website at [www.bostonproperties.com](http://www.bostonproperties.com) in the Investor Relations section under the heading Events & Webcasts. )

The stockholder's proposal does not convey the burden associated with preparing a sustainability report other than noting that the report should be prepared at a reasonable cost. In order to comply with the GRI guidelines, we believe we would need to undertake extensive analyses that require substantial financial and personnel commitments and the engagement of consultants with specialized expertise. In short, the proposed sustainability report would require us to greatly expand the types of information we gather, analyze and disclose, well in excess of any requirements of the SEC or other existing voluntary disclosures. As a result, management would be distracted from the day-to-day operations and the aim of running a profitable business, and we would incur significant financial expense with no meaningful marginal benefit. Information previously available on the website of the GRI showed that companies have often been required to spend several hundred thousand dollars or more to produce a sustainability report in accordance with GRI guidelines.

Our Board of Directors respects our investors' interest in environmental and social issues, and we strive to conduct our business in a socially responsible manner that ensures our long-term profitability. Our Board of



**Table of Contents**

Directors and management continue to monitor every aspect of our business with the primary objective of maximizing our investors' return on investment. Rather than diverting important resources to prepare an additional disclosure document, our Board of Directors believes our time, efforts and finances are best utilized on other matters that we believe are more likely to achieve this objective.

**Vote Required**

The affirmative vote of the majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on this proposal is required for adoption of this resolution. Abstentions shall be included in determining the number of shares present and entitled to vote on the proposal, thus having the effect of a vote against the proposal. Broker non-votes are not counted in determining the number of shares present and entitled to vote and will therefore have no effect on the outcome.

**Recommendation**

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST THIS PROPOSAL. PROPERLY AUTHORIZED PROXIES SOLICITED BY THE BOARD WILL BE VOTED AGAINST THIS PROPOSAL UNLESS INSTRUCTIONS TO THE CONTRARY ARE GIVEN.**

**Table of Contents**

**PROPOSAL 6: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL**

**Proposal Concerning an Independent Board Chairman**

The Massachusetts Laborers' Pension Fund, 14 New England Executive Park, Suite 200, Burlington, MA 01803, beneficial holder of approximately 13,825 shares of common stock of Boston Properties, has given formal notice that it will introduce the following resolution at the 2009 annual meeting and has furnished the following statements in support of its proposal:

**RESOLVED:** That stockholders of Boston Properties, Inc. ( Boston Properties or the Company ) ask the board of directors to adopt a policy that the board's chairman be an independent director who has not previously served as an executive officer of the Company. The policy should be implemented so as not to violate any contractual obligation. The policy should also specify (a) how to select a new independent chairman if a current chairman ceases to be independent during the time between annual meetings of shareholders; and, (b) that compliance with the policy is excused if no independent director is available and willing to serve as chairman.

**SUPPORTING STATEMENT**

It is the responsibility of the Board of Directors to protect shareholders' long-term interests by providing independent oversight of management, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), in directing the corporation's business and affairs. Currently at our Company Mr. Mortimer Zuckerman, co-founder of Boston Properties, holds the position of Chairman of the Board. In addition, the Company has not designated a lead independent director. We believe that this current scheme may not adequately protect shareholders.

Shareholders of Boston Properties require an independent leader to ensure that management acts strictly in the best interests of the Company. By setting agendas, priorities and procedures, the position of Chairman is critical in shaping the work of the Board of Directors. Accordingly, we believe that having an independent director serve as chairman can help ensure the objective functioning of an effective Board.

As a long-term shareholder of our Company, we believe that ensuring that the Chairman of the Board of our Company is independent will enhance Board leadership at our Company, and protect shareholders from future management actions that can harm shareholders. Other corporate governance experts agree. As a Commission of The Conference Board stated in a 2003 report, "The ultimate responsibility for good corporate governance rests with the board of directors. Only a strong, diligent and independent board of directors that understands the key issues, provides wise counsel and asks management the tough questions is capable of ensuring that the interests of shareholders as well as other constituencies are being properly served."

We believe that the recent wave of corporate scandals demonstrates that no matter how many independent directors there are on the Board, that Board is less able to provide independent oversight of the officers if the Chairman of that Board is also the CEO of the Company.

We, therefore, urge shareholders to vote **FOR** this proposal.

**Boston Properties' Statement in Opposition**

It is the view of our Board of Directors that the adoption of a firm policy that our Chairman of the Board of Directors be an independent director who has not previously served as an executive officer of Boston Properties is unnecessary and would only serve to limit our Board of Directors' flexibility in determining the best candidate to serve as Chairman.

Requiring that the Chairman of our Board of Directors be an independent director is not necessary to ensure that our Board of Directors provides independent and effective oversight of Boston Properties' business and



## **Table of Contents**

affairs. Such oversight is maintained at Boston Properties through the composition of our Board of Directors, the strong leadership of our independent directors and the independent committees of our Board of Directors, and our other corporate governance structures and processes already in place.

Eight of the ten members of our Board of Directors are non-management directors, and seven of these directors are independent under the NYSE Rules. All of our directors are free to suggest the inclusion of items on the agenda for meetings of our Board of Directors or raise subjects that are not on the agenda for that meeting. In addition, our Board of Directors and each committee has complete and open access to any member of management and the authority to retain independent legal, financial and other advisors as they deem appropriate without consulting or obtaining the approval of any member of management. Our Board of Directors also holds regularly scheduled executive sessions of only non-management directors in order to promote discussion among the non-management directors and assure independent oversight of management. Moreover, our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, all of which are comprised entirely of independent directors, also perform oversight functions independent of management.

The adoption of a policy requiring that the Chairman be an independent director who has not previously served as an executive officer of Boston Properties would preclude our current Chairman, Mortimer B. Zuckerman, from continuing to serve in this role. Mortimer B. Zuckerman co-founded Boston Properties in 1970 and has served on our Board of Directors since our initial public offering in June 1997. The Board of Directors has given careful consideration to the appointment of a non-executive Chairman and has determined that Boston Properties and our stockholders are best served by having Mr. Zuckerman continue to serve as Chairman. Mr. Zuckerman's combined role as an executive officer and the Chairman of the Board of Directors promotes unified leadership and direction for the Board of Directors and executive management, and it allows for a single, clear focus for the chain of command to execute our strategic initiatives and business plans.

Our Board of Directors believes that it is in the best interests of Boston Properties and our stockholders for the Board of Directors to retain the flexibility and discretion to conduct Boston Properties' business in the most efficient and effective manner, including the flexibility to determine on a case-by-case basis who is best qualified to serve as our Chairman. Implementing the proposal would deprive our Board of Directors of its ability to select the person that it determines has the most effective leadership style and is best qualified to serve as Chairman.

### **Vote Required**

The affirmative vote of the majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote on this proposal is required for adoption of this resolution. Abstentions shall be included in determining the number of shares present and entitled to vote on the proposal, thus having the effect of a vote against the proposal. Broker non-votes are not counted in determining the number of shares present and entitled to vote and will therefore have no effect on the outcome.

### **Recommendation**

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE AGAINST THIS PROPOSAL. PROPERLY AUTHORIZED PROXIES SOLICITED BY THE BOARD WILL BE VOTED AGAINST THIS PROPOSAL UNLESS INSTRUCTIONS TO THE CONTRARY ARE GIVEN.**

**Table of Contents**

**CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS**

The Board of Directors has adopted a Related Person Transaction Approval and Disclosure Policy for the review, approval or ratification of any related person transaction. This written policy provides that all related person transactions, other than a transaction for which an obligation to disclose under Item 404 of Regulation S-K (or any successor provision) arises solely from the fact that a beneficial owner of more than 5% of a class of the Company's voting securities (or an immediate family member of any such beneficial owner) has an interest in the transaction, must be reviewed and approved by a majority of the disinterested directors on our Board of Directors in advance of us or any of our subsidiaries entering into the transaction; provided that, if we or any of our subsidiaries enter into a transaction without recognizing that such transaction constitutes a related person transaction, the approval requirement will be satisfied if such transaction is ratified by a majority of the disinterested directors on the Board promptly after we recognize that such transaction constituted a related person transaction. Disinterested directors are directors that do not have a personal financial interest in the transaction that is adverse to our financial interest or that of our stockholders. The term "related person transaction" refers to a transaction required to be disclosed by us pursuant to Item 404 of Regulation S-K (or any successor provision) promulgated by the SEC. For purposes of determining whether such disclosure is required, a related person will not be deemed to have a direct or indirect material interest in any transaction that is deemed to be not material (or would be deemed not material if such related person was a director) for purposes of determining director independence pursuant to the Company's categorical standards of director independence. Please refer to the categorical standards under *Director Independence* beginning on page 4 of this Proxy Statement.

In 2008, the Company paid a firm controlled by Mr. Raymond A. Ritchey's brother aggregate leasing commissions of approximately \$2,218,990. Given current leasing activity, the Company expects to pay additional commissions to this firm during 2009. Mr. Ritchey is an Executive Vice President of Boston Properties. The Company believes the terms of the related agreements are comparable to, and in most cases more favorable to us than, similar arrangements with other brokers in relevant markets.

On June 30, 1998, we acquired from entities controlled by Mr. Alan B. Landis, who is the brother of Mr. Mitchell S. Landis, the Senior Vice President and Regional Manager of our Princeton office, a portfolio of properties known as the Carnegie Center Portfolio and Tower Center One and related operations and development rights (collectively, the Carnegie Center Portfolio). In connection with the acquisition of the Carnegie Center Portfolio, the Operating Partnership entered into a development agreement (the Development Agreement) with affiliates of Mr. A. Landis providing for up to approximately 2,000,000 square feet of development in or adjacent to the Carnegie Center office complex. One affiliate of Mr. A. Landis was entitled to a purchase price for each parcel developed under the Development Agreement calculated on the basis of \$20 per rentable square foot of property developed. Another affiliate of Mr. A. Landis was eligible to earn a contingent payment for each developed property that achieves a stabilized return in excess of a target annual return ranging between 10.5% and 11%. The Development Agreement also provided that upon negotiated terms and conditions, we and Mr. A. Landis would form a development company to provide development services for these development projects and would share the expenses and profits, if any, of this new company.

---

**Table of Contents**

On October 21, 2004, the Operating Partnership and Mr. A. Landis entered into an agreement (the 2004 Agreement) to modify several provisions of the Development Agreement. Under the terms of the 2004 Agreement, the Operating Partnership and affiliates of Mr. A. Landis amended the Development Agreement to limit the rights of Mr. A. Landis and his affiliates to participate in the development of properties under the Development Agreement. Among other things, Mr. A. Landis agreed that (1) Mr. A. Landis and his affiliates will have no right to participate in any entity formed to acquire land parcels or the development company formed by the Operating Partnership to provide development services under the Development Agreement, (2) Mr. A. Landis will have no right or obligation to play a role in development activities engaged in by the development company formed by the Operating Partnership under the Development Agreement or receive compensation from the development company and (3) the affiliate of Mr. A. Landis will have no right to receive a contingent payment for developed properties based on stabilized returns. In exchange, we agreed to:

effective as of June 30, 1998, pay Mr. A. Landis \$125,000 on January 1 of each year until the earlier of (A) January 1, 2018, (B) the termination of the Development Agreement or (C) the date on which all development properties under the Development Agreement have been conveyed pursuant to the Development Agreement, with \$750,000, representing payments of this annual amount from 1998 to 2004, being paid upon execution of the 2004 Agreement; and

pay an affiliate of Mr. A. Landis, in connection with the development of land parcels acquired under the Development Agreement, an aggregate fixed amount of \$10.50 per rentable square foot of property developed (with a portion of this amount (i.e., \$5.50) being subject to adjustment, in specified circumstances, based on future increases in the Consumer Price Index) in lieu of a contingent payment based on stabilized returns, which payment could have been greater or less than \$10.50 per rentable square foot of property developed.

The Operating Partnership also continues to be obligated to pay an affiliate of Mr. A. Landis the purchase price of \$20 per rentable square foot of property developed for each land parcel acquired as provided in the original Development Agreement. During the 20-year term of the Development Agreement, until such time, if any, as the Operating Partnership elects to acquire a land parcel, an affiliate of Mr. A. Landis will remain responsible for all carrying costs associated with such land parcel.

Pursuant to the Development Agreement, as amended by the 2004 Agreement, we paid Mr. A. Landis \$125,000 on each of January 1, 2008 and January 1, 2009. In addition, on July 24, 2007, the Company acquired from Mr. A. Landis 701 Carnegie Center, a land parcel located in Princeton, New Jersey for a purchase price of approximately \$3.1 million. The land was acquired in connection with a build-to-suit development for Princeton University.

During 2008, we received lease and related payments of approximately \$1.7 million from State Street Bank and Trust Company. Based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 13, 2009, State Street Bank and Trust is the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our common stock. Information regarding the identity of State Street Bank and Trust's affiliates is based solely on the list of subsidiaries filed by State Street Bank and Trust as an exhibit to its Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 27, 2009.

During 2008, we received lease and related payments of approximately \$2.4 million from affiliates of Morgan Stanley for the lease of space at our properties. In addition, an affiliate of Morgan Stanley is a lender under our \$1.0 billion unsecured credit facility having a commitment percentage of 3.5% of the total commitment. During 2008, a maximum of approximately \$643 million was outstanding under the credit facility, of which an affiliate of Morgan Stanley had funded its pro rata share based on its percentage of the total commitment. As of March 16, 2009, approximately \$100 million was outstanding under the credit facility. During 2008, we paid Morgan Stanley approximately \$179,000 in interest payments. In addition, from January 1, 2009 through February 25, 2009, we paid Morgan Stanley approximately \$8,000 in interest payments and we expect to pay additional interest in 2009. In connection with the increase of this facility from \$605 million to \$1.0 billion during 2008, we paid an affiliate of Morgan Stanley \$400,000.

**Table of Contents**

In addition, from time to time we engage affiliates of Morgan Stanley for financial advisory and other services. During 2008, we paid affiliates of Morgan Stanley approximately \$3.75 million in advisory fees in connection with the acquisition of the General Motors Building, 540 Madison Avenue, Two Grand Central Tower and 125 West 55<sup>th</sup> Street in New York, NY. In addition, in connection with the issuance by the Operating Partnership of \$747.5 million of 3.625% exchangeable senior notes in August 2008, we paid affiliates of Morgan Stanley approximately \$4.8 million in underwriting discounts and commissions and \$8.5 million in connection with related capped call transactions.

In August 2007, we entered into two treasury rate hedge transactions, also known as treasury locks, with an affiliate of Morgan Stanley to fix the 10-year treasury rate at 4.695% and 4.597%, respectively, on notional amounts of \$25.0 million, respectively. The treasury locks matured on April 1, 2008, at which time we cash-settled the contracts. On November 2, 2007, we entered into a forward-starting interest rate swap contract with an affiliate of Morgan Stanley to lock the 10-year LIBOR swap rate on a notional amount of \$25.0 million at a forward-starting 10-year swap rate of 5.054% per annum. The 10-year treasury rate is a component of the 10-year swap rate and the referenced contract effectively fixed the 10-year treasury rate at 4.384%. The swap contract went into effect on July 31, 2008 and was to expire on July 31, 2018. On July 31, 2008, we cash-settled the forward-starting interest rate swap contract. On November 9, 2007, we entered into a treasury lock with an affiliate of Morgan Stanley to fix the 10-year treasury rate at 4.326% per annum on a notional amount of \$25.0 million. The treasury lock matured on July 31, 2008, at which time we cash-settled the contract. During 2008, in connection with the settlement of the treasury locks and forward-starting interest rate swap contracts, we paid affiliates of Morgan Stanley an aggregate of approximately \$6.9 million.

Based on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 17, 2009, Morgan Stanley, the parent entity of Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, is the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our common stock. Information regarding the identity of Morgan Stanley's affiliates is based solely on the list of subsidiaries filed by Morgan Stanley as an exhibit to its Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on January 28, 2009.

**Table of Contents**

**OTHER MATTERS**

**Expenses of Solicitation**

The cost of solicitation of proxies will be borne by Boston Properties. In an effort to have as large a representation at the annual meeting as possible, special solicitation of proxies may, in certain instances, be made personally or by telephone, telegraph or mail by one or more employees of Boston Properties. We also may reimburse brokers, banks, nominees and other fiduciaries for postage and reasonable clerical expenses of forwarding the proxy material to their principals who are beneficial owners of shares of our common stock. In addition, MacKenzie Partners, Inc., a proxy solicitation firm, has been engaged by Boston Properties to act as proxy solicitor and will receive a fee of \$7,500 plus reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket expenses.

**Stockholder Proposals for the 2010 Annual Meeting**

Any stockholder proposals submitted pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 14a-8 for inclusion in Boston Properties' proxy statement and form of proxy for its 2010 annual meeting must be received by Boston Properties on or before December 2, 2009 in order to be considered for inclusion in its proxy statement and form of proxy. Such proposals must also comply with the requirements as to form and substance established by the SEC if such proposals are to be included in the proxy statement and form of proxy. Any such proposal should be mailed to: Boston Properties, Inc., 800 Boylston Street, Suite 1900, Boston, Massachusetts 02199-8103, Attn.: Secretary.

Stockholder proposals to be presented at Boston Properties' 2010 annual meeting, other than stockholder proposals submitted pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 14a-8 for inclusion in Boston Properties' proxy statement and form of proxy for its 2010 annual meeting, must be received in writing at our principal executive office not earlier than January 19, 2010, nor later than March 5, 2010, unless our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders is scheduled to take place before April 19, 2010 or after July 18, 2009. Our By-Laws state that the stockholder must provide timely written notice of such proposal or a nomination and supporting documentation as well as be present at such meeting, either in person or by a representative. A stockholder's notice shall be timely received by Boston Properties at its principal executive office not less than seventy-five (75) days nor more than one hundred twenty (120) days prior to the anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual meeting (the Anniversary Date); provided, however, that in the event the annual meeting is scheduled to be held on a date more than thirty (30) days before the Anniversary Date or more than sixty (60) days after the Anniversary Date, a stockholder's notice shall be timely if received by Boston Properties at its principal executive office not later than the close of business on the later of (1) the seventy-fifth (75th) day prior to the scheduled date of such annual meeting or (2) the fifteenth (15th) day following the day on which public announcement of the date of such annual meeting is first made by Boston Properties. Proxies solicited by our Board of Directors will confer discretionary voting authority with respect to these proposals, subject to SEC rules and regulations governing the exercise of this authority. Any such proposals must be mailed to: Boston Properties, Inc., 800 Boylston Street, Suite 1900, Boston, Massachusetts 02199-8103, Attn: Secretary.

**Table of Contents**

**Electronic Voting Instructions**

**You can vote by Internet or telephone!**

**Available 24 hours per day, 7 days per week!**

Instead of mailing your proxy, you may choose one of the two voting methods outlined below to vote your proxy.

VALIDATION DETAILS ARE LOCATED BELOW IN THE TITLE BAR.

**Proxies submitted by the Internet or telephone must be received by 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on May 18, 2009.**

**Vote by Internet**

Log on to the Internet and go to [www.envisionreports.com/BXP](http://www.envisionreports.com/BXP)  
Follow the steps outlined on the secured website.

**Vote by telephone**

Call toll free 1-800-652-VOTE (8683) within the United States, Canada & Puerto Rico any time on a touch tone telephone. There is **NO CHARGE** to you for the call.  
Follow the instructions provided by the recorded message.

Using a **black ink** pen, mark your votes with an **X** as shown in this example. Please do not write outside the designated areas.

**X**

**q IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. q**

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** all the nominees listed.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **AGAINST** Proposals 3, 4, 5 and 6.

**For Against Abstain**

Edgar Filing: BOSTON PROPERTIES INC - Form DEF 14A

1. To elect the three nominees named in the proxy statement as Class III directors, each to serve for a three-year term and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified:

01 - Frederick J. Iseman

02 - Edward H. Linde

03 - David A. Twardock

Mark here to vote      Mark here to **WITHHOLD**

••      ••

**FOR** all nominees      vote from all nominees

••      **For All EXCEPT** - To withhold authority to vote for any nominee(s), write the name(s) of such nominee(s) below.

3. To consider and act upon a stockholder proposal concerning the annual election of directors, if properly presented at the Annual Meeting.

••      ••      ••      +

**For    Against    Abstain**

••      ••      ••

4. To consider and act upon a stockholder proposal concerning majority voting, if properly presented at the Annual Meeting.

**For    Against    Abstain**

••      ••      ••

5. To consider and act upon a stockholder proposal concerning the preparation of a sustainability report, if properly presented at the Annual Meeting.

6. To consider and act upon a stockholder proposal concerning an independent board chairman, if properly presented at the Annual Meeting.

**For    Against    Abstain**

••      ••      ••

7. In their discretion, the proxies are authorized to vote upon any other business that may properly come before the Annual Meeting and at any adjournments or postponements thereof.

**The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR Proposal 2.**

2. To ratify the Audit Committee's appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Boston Properties, Inc.'s Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009.

**For    Against    Abstain**  
••      ••      ••

**IF VOTING BY MAIL, YOU MUST COMPLETE BOTH SIDES OF THIS CARD.**

•  
<STOCK#> 010AFG

+

**Table of Contents**

¶ IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. ¶

+

**Proxy**

**BOSTON PROPERTIES, INC.**

**THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

**FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**

**TO BE HELD MAY 19, 2009**

The undersigned hereby appoints Douglas T. Linde and Frank D. Burt, and each of them, as proxies for the undersigned, each with the power to appoint his substitute, and hereby authorizes them to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Boston Properties, Inc. (the Annual Meeting ) to be held at Citigroup Center, 153 East 53rd Street, 14th Floor, New York, NY 10022 on May 19, 2009 at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Time, and at any adjournments or postponements thereof, to vote, as designated on the reverse side, all of the shares that the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting and otherwise to represent the undersigned with all of the powers the undersigned would possess if personally present at the Annual Meeting. The undersigned hereby acknowledges receipt of the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the Proxy Statement and the Annual Report to Stockholders and revokes any proxy heretofore given with respect to the Annual Meeting.

**THIS PROXY, WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED, WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED HEREIN. UNLESS DIRECTION IS GIVEN TO THE CONTRARY, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED FOR ALL NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR, FOR PROPOSAL 2 AND AGAINST PROPOSALS 3, 4, 5 AND 6. IN THEIR DISCRETION, THE PROXIES ARE AUTHORIZED TO VOTE ON SUCH OTHER BUSINESS THAT MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE ANNUAL MEETING AND AT ANY ADJOURNMENTS OR POSTPONEMENTS THEREOF, INCLUDING WHETHER OR NOT TO ADJOURN THE ANNUAL MEETING. THIS PROXY ALSO CONFERS DISCRETIONARY AUTHORITY ON THE PROXIES TO VOTE WITH RESPECT TO THE ELECTION OF ANY INDIVIDUAL AS DIRECTOR WHERE ONE OR MORE NOMINEES ARE UNABLE TO SERVE, OR FOR GOOD CAUSE WILL NOT SERVE, AND WITH RESPECT TO MATTERS INCIDENTAL TO THE CONDUCT OF THE ANNUAL MEETING.**

**PLEASE MARK, SIGN AND DATE AND RETURN PROMPTLY, OR VOTE BY TELEPHONE OR INTERNET.**

**THIS PROXY IS CONTINUED ON REVERSE SIDE**

Please sign exactly as name appears hereon. Joint owners should each sign. Executors, administrators, trustees, guardians or other fiduciaries should give full title as such. If signing for a company or partnership, please sign in full company or partnership name by a duly authorized officer or partner.



Edgar Filing: BOSTON PROPERTIES INC - Form DEF 14A

Date (mm/dd/yyyy) Please print date below.

/ /

Signature 1 Please keep signature within the box.

Signature 2 Please keep signature within the box.

**IF VOTING BY MAIL, YOU MUST COMPLETE BOTH SIDES OF THIS CARD.**

