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OR
[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from to

Commission file number 33-27312

## LAKELAND BANCORP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

| New Jersey <br> (State or other jurisdiction of | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22-2953275 } \\ & \text { (I.R.S. Employer } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| incorporation or organization) | Identification No.) |
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Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

$$
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$$

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act: (Check one):

> Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer [X] Non-accelerated filer [ ]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.):
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## APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer sclasses of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.
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## Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| ASSETS | June 30, 2006 (unaudited) (dollars in | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2005 \\ & \text { housands) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash | \$48,578 | \$42,639 |
| Federal funds sold and Interest-bearing deposits due from banks | 7,937 | 10,176 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 56,515 | 52,815 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 436,493 | 515,903 |
| Investment securities held to maturity; fair value of \$147,358 in 2006 and \$151,637 in 2005 | 151,988 | 154,569 |
| Loans, net of deferred costs | 1,426,018 | 1,312,767 |
| Less: allowance for loan and lease losses | 13,067 | 13,173 |
| Net loans | 1,412,951 | 1,299,594 |
| Premises and equipment - net | 32,459 | 32,428 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 8,542 | 8,851 |
| Goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets | 92,648 | 93,395 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 36,077 | 35,479 |
| Other assets | 14,987 | 12,999 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$2,242,660 | \$2,206,033 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |
| LIABILITIES: |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |
| Noninterest bearing | \$308,567 | \$312,529 |
| Savings and interest-bearing transaction accounts | 1,027,303 | 1,038,038 |
| Time deposits under \$100 thousand | 280,799 | 293,293 |
| Time deposits \$100 thousand and over | 176,952 | 154,300 |
| Total deposits | 1,793,621 | 1,798,160 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 130,378 | 103,199 |
| Long-term debt | 61,711 | 45,061 |
| Subordinated debentures | 56,703 | 56,703 |
| Other liabilities | 10,656 | 11,129 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 2,053,069 | 2,014,252 |
| Commitments and contingencies |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity: |  |  |
| Common stock, no par value; authorized shares, 40,000,000; issued shares, 23,564,454 at June 30, 2006 and |  |  |
| December 31, 2005; outstanding shares, 22,009,133 at June 30, 2006 and 21,121,923 at December 31, 2005 | 242,672 | 226,322 |
| Accumulated deficit | $(20,444)$ | $(9,514)$ |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 1,555,321 shares in 2006 and 1,386,434 shares in 2005 | $(22,779)$ | $(20,176)$ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | $(9,858)$ | $(4,851)$ |
| TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | 189,591 | 191,781 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$2,242,660 | \$2,206,033 |
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## Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

## UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS



| NET INCOME | $\$ 5,055$ | $\$ 5,137$ | $\$ 9,747$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| EARNINGS PER SHARE | $\$ 0.23$ | $\$ 0.23$ | $\$ 0.44$ | $\$ 0.43$ |
| Basic | $\$ 0.23$ | $\$ 0.23$ | $\$ 0.44$ | $\$ 0.42$ |
| Diluted |  |  |  |  |

## UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

$\left.\begin{array}{lccccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { For the three months ended June 30, } \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 6} \\ \text { (in thousands) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { For the six months ended June 30, } \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 5} \\ \text { 2005 }\end{array} \\ \text { (in thousands) }\end{array}\right)$

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements
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## Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY



See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements
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Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary<br>CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS-(UNAUDITED)



CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES

| Proceeds from repayments on and maturity of securities: |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Available for sale | 41,479 |  |
| Held to maturity | 11,930 |  |
| Proceeds from sales of securities: | 18,564 |  |
| Available for sale | 53,338 |  |
| Held to maturity | 46,366 |  |
| Purchase of securities: | 715 |  |
| Available for sale | $(23,162)$ |  |
| Held to maturity | $(9,435)$ |  |
| Net increase in loans | $(113,973)$ | $(4,81,198)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of branch, net | $(7,326)$ |  |
| Capital expenditures | $(1,983)$ |  |

NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES (49,132) 60,641

| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits | 3,453 | $(36,824)$ |
| Increase (decrease) in federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | $(7,747)$ |  |
| Repayments of long-term debt | $(3,179$ | $(7,230)$ |
| Issuance of long-term debt | 20,000 | 10,000 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | $(3,144)$ | $(5,195)$ |
| Exercise of stock options | 419 |  |
| Excess tax benefits from stock based compensation | 440 |  |
| Dividends paid | $(4,205)$ | $(4,132)$ |

NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES 40,397 (50,690)

| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 3,700 |
| :--- | :--- |


| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 52,815 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 55,346 |  |

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD $\quad \$ 56,515 \quad \$ 79,790$
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## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

## Note 1. Significant Accounting Policies

## Basis of Presentation.

This quarterly report presents the consolidated financial statements of Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. (the Company) and its subsidiary, Lakeland Bank (Lakeland).

The Company s financial statements reflect all adjustments and disclosures which management believes are necessary for a fair presentation of interim results. The results of operations for the quarter presented do not necessarily indicate the results that the Company will achieve for all of 2006. You should read these interim financial statements in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes that are presented in the Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

The financial information in this quarterly report has been prepared in accordance with the Company s customary accounting practices; these financial statements have not been audited. Certain information and footnote disclosures required under generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted, as permitted by rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior period financial statements to conform to the June 30, 2006 presentation.

## Note 2. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company established the 2000 Equity Compensation Program which authorizes the granting of incentive stock options and supplemental stock options to employees of the Company which includes those employees serving as officers and directors of the Company. The plan authorized options to purchase up to $2,149,875$ shares of common stock of the Company. All of the Company s stock option grants expire 10 years from the date of grant, thirty days after termination of service other than for cause, or one year after death or disability of the grantee. The Company has no option awards with market or performance conditions attached to them. Although the Company stock option program does allow for the grant of restricted shares, only stock options have been granted under the plan. The Company generally issues shares for option exercises from its treasury stock.

Prior to 2006, the Company followed the provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. SFAS No. 123 permitted entities to account for employee stock options and similar equity instruments under Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25,
Accounting for Stock Issued for Employees. Under SFAS No. 123, entities were required to make pro forma disclosures of net income and earnings per share, as if the fair-value based method of accounting defined in SFAS No. 123 had been applied.

On May 13, 2005, the Company accelerated the vesting of 483,812 stock options, representing all unvested stock options on such date which had exercise prices in excess of the market value of the Company s common stock on May 13, 2005. The Company s decision to accelerate the vesting of these options was part of a review by the Board of Directors of the Company sincentive compensation program and upcoming changes in the accounting for stock options. Compensation expense that would have been recorded absent the accelerated vesting was approximately $\$ 1.3$ million net of taxes, $\$ 644,000$ of which would have been recorded in 2006. In December 2005, the Company granted options to purchase 164,308 shares of common stock to key employees at an exercise price of $\$ 14.94$ per share. These options vested immediately.

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payments on January 1, 2006 using a modified method of prospective application. Under SFAS No. 123(R), all forms of share-based payments to employees, including employee stock options, are treated the same as other forms of compensation by recognizing the related cost in the income statement. The expense of the award would generally be measured at fair value at the grant date. SFAS No. 123(R) eliminates the ability to account for share-based compensation transactions using APB Opinion No. 25. All options were fully vested as of December 31, 2005. The Company granted no options in the first half of 2006. Because all of the Company stock options are fully vested, there was no impact on income from continuing operations, income before taxes, net income or basic and diluted earnings per share from adopting SFAS No. 123(R). Results for 2005 have not been restated.
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Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the Company presented all tax benefits of deductions resulting from the exercise of stock options as operating cash flows in the Statement of Cash Flows. Statement 123(R) requires the cash flows resulting from the tax benefits resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options (excess tax benefits) to be classified as financing cash flows. A $\$ 45,000$ excess tax benefit classified as a financing cash inflow would have been classified as an operating cash inflow had the Company not adopted SFAS No. 123(R).

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share as if the fair value recognition method had been applied for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2005 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

|  | For the Three Months ended June 30, |  | For the Six Month ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2005 |  | 2005 |  |
| Net income, as reported | \$ | 5,137 | \$ | 9,705 |
| Add: Stock-based compensation programs recorded as expense, net of tax |  |  |  |  |
| Deduct: Stock-based compensation costs determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of tax |  | 1,984 |  | 2,176 |
| Pro forma net income | \$ | 3,153 | \$ | 7,529 |
| Earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic, as reported | \$ | 0.23 | \$ | 0.43 |
| Basic, pro forma | \$ | 0.14 | \$ | 0.33 |
| Diluted, as reported | \$ | 0.23 | \$ | 0.42 |
| Diluted, pro forma | \$ | 0.14 | \$ | 0.33 |

Option activity under the Company s stock option plans as of June 30, 2006 is as follows:

|  |  |  | Weighted <br> average |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| remaining |  |  |  |  |
| contractual |  |  |  |  |
| term |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| Aggregate |
| :---: |
| intrinsic value |

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the difference between the Company s closing stock price on the last trading day of the second quarter of 2006 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in the money options).

Stock options outstanding were $1,156,329$ and $1,098,351$ at June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised during the quarters ended June 30,2006 and 2005 was $\$ 277,000$ and $\$ 620,000$, respectively. Exercise of stock options during first quarter 2006 and 2005 resulted in cash receipts of $\$ 419,000$ and $\$ 440,000$, respectively. The total fair value of options that vested in the first half

Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q of 2005 was $\$ 412,000$.
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## Note 3. Comprehensive Income

The components of other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows:
For the quarter ended:
Fet unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale

## Note 4. Statement of Cash Flow Information.

|  | For the six months ended <br> June 30, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ <br> (in thousands) |  |
| Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities: | $\$ 4,334$ | $\$ 3,533$ |
| Cash paid during the period for income taxes | 23,452 | 14,270 |

## Note 5. Earnings Per Share.

Basic earnings per share for a particular period of time is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during that period.

Diluted earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of outstanding common shares and common share equivalents. The Company s only outstanding common share equivalents are options to purchase its common stock.

Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q
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All weighted average, actual shares and per share information set forth in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q have been adjusted retroactively for the effects of stock dividends including the stock dividend declared on July 12, 2006, payable on August 16, 2006 to shareholders of record on July 31, 2006. The following schedule shows the Company s earnings per share for the periods presented:

For the three months ended For the six months ended

|  | June 30, |  | June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In thousands except per share data) | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Income applicable to common stock | \$5,055 | \$5,137 | \$9,747 | \$9,705 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - basic | 22,018 | 22,651 | 22,062 | 22,718 |
| Stock options | 137 | 162 | 150 | 173 |
| Weighted average number of common shares and common share equivalents diluted | 22,155 | 22,812 | 22,212 | 22,891 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$0.23 | \$0.23 | \$0.44 | \$0.43 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$0.23 | \$0.23 | \$0.44 | \$0.42 |

Options to purchase 702,120 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of $\$ 15.08$ per share were outstanding and were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share in second quarter 2006 because the option price was greater than the average market price. Options to purchase 716,157 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of $\$ 14.85$ per share were outstanding and were not included in the computations of diluted earnings per share in second quarter 2005.

Options to purchase 537,529 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of $\$ 15.39$ per share were outstanding and were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for year-to-date 2006 because the option price was greater than the average market price. Options to purchase 411,896 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of $\$ 15.52$ per share were outstanding and were not included in the computations of diluted earnings per share for year-to-date 2005.
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Note 6. Investment Securities

| AVAILABLE FOR SALE | June 30, 2006 |  |  |  | December 31, 2005 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair <br> Value | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair <br> Value |
| U.S. Treasury and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government agencies | \$119,031 | \$0 | (\$4,053) | \$114,978 | \$160,421 | \$0 | \$ $(3,039)$ | \$157,382 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 260,370 | 9 | $(12,151)$ | 248,228 | 293,179 | 27 | $(7,402)$ | 285,804 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 43,689 | 334 | (406) | 43,617 | 45,396 | 549 | (226) | 45,719 |
| Other debt securities | 8,079 | 18 | (408) | 7,689 | 8,084 | 13 | (166) | 7,931 |
| Other equity securities | 20,248 | 2,127 | (394) | 21,981 | 16,231 | 3,069 | (233) | 19,067 |
|  | \$451,417 | \$2,488 | \$(17,412) | \$436,493 | \$523,311 | \$3,658 | \$(11,066) | \$515,903 |


| HELD TO MATURITY | June 30, 2006 |  |  |  | December 31, 2005 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair <br> Value | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair <br> Value |
| U.S. Treasury and U.S. government agencies | \$38,542 | \$0 | \$ $(1,366)$ | \$37,176 | \$38,532 | \$0 | \$(834) | \$37,698 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 44,686 | 5 | $(1,840)$ | 42,851 | 49,277 | 13 | $(1,111)$ | 48,179 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 66,641 | 86 | $(1,400)$ | 65,327 | 64,143 | 142 | $(1,116)$ | 63,169 |
| Other | 2,119 |  | (115) | 2,004 | 2,617 |  | (26) | 2,591 |
|  | \$151,988 | \$91 | \$(4,721) | \$147,358 | \$154,569 | \$155 | \$ $(3,087)$ | \$151,637 |


|  | June 30, 2006 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Available for Sale Fair |  | Held to Maturity |  |
|  |  |  |  | Fair |
|  | Amortized Cost | Value (in tho | Amortized Cost sands) | Value |
| Due in one year or less | \$20,205 | \$20,145 | \$22,177 | \$22,127 |
| Due after one year through five years | 97,965 | 95,513 | 44,041 | 42,664 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 40,253 | 38,960 | 31,314 | 30,235 |
| Due after ten years | 12,376 | 11,666 | 9,770 | 9,481 |
|  | 170,799 | 166,284 | 107,302 | 104,507 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 260,370 | 248,228 | 44,686 | 42,851 |
| Other investments | 20,248 | 21,981 |  |  |
| Total securities | \$451,417 | \$436,493 | \$151,988 | \$147,358 |

Management has evaluated the securities in the above table and has concluded that none of the securities with losses has impairments that are other-than-temporary. In its evaluation, management considered the types of securities including if the securities were US government issued, and what the credit rating was on the securities. Most of the securities that are in an unrealized loss position are in a loss position because of changes in interest rates since the securities were purchased. These securities include US government agency securities and mortgage backed
securities whose market values are sensitive to interest rates. The corporate securities and the obligations of state and political subdivisions listed in the above table all are investment grade securities.
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## Note 7. Loans.

$\left.\begin{array}{lrr} & \begin{array}{r}\text { June 30, } \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 6} \\ \text { (in thousands) }\end{array} \\ \text { Desember 31, } \\ \text { 2005 }\end{array}\right)$

The Company follows Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan (known as SFAS No. 114 ), and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 118, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, Income Recognition and Disclosures. Impairment is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan seffective interest rate, except that as a practical expedient, a creditor may measure impairment based on a loan s observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral-dependent. Regardless of the measurement method, a creditor must measure impairment based on the fair value of the collateral when the creditor determines that foreclosure is probable.

The following table shows the Company s recorded investment in impaired loans and the related valuation allowance calculated under SFAS No. 114 as of June 30, 2006 and 2005, and the average recorded investment in impaired loans during the six months preceding those dates:
$\left.\begin{array}{lll} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Average } \\ \text { Recorded }\end{array} \\ \text { Investment } \\ \text { (over }\end{array}\right]$

Interest received on impaired loans may be recorded as interest income. However, if management is not reasonably certain that an impaired loan will be repaid in full, or if a specific time frame to resolve full collection cannot yet be reasonably determined, all payments received are recorded as reductions of principal. The Company recognized interest on impaired loans of $\$ 20,000$ in the first six months of 2006 . Interest that would have accrued had the loans performed under original terms would have been $\$ 147,000$ for the first six months of 2006 . The decline in impaired loans from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2006 is due to the settlement of the litigation concerning the commercial lease pools that is further discussed in Note 10.

## Note 8. Postretirement Health Care Benefits

The components of net periodic postretirement benefit cost are as follows:

For the three months ended
June 30,

For the six months ended June 30,

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ <br> (in thousands) | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ <br> (in thousands) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service cost | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 15$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 30$ |
| Interest cost | 0 | 10 | 0 | 20 |
| Expected return on plan assets | 0 |  |  | $(4)$ |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 0 | $(2)$ | 0 | $(4)$ |
| Amortization of unrecognized net actuarial loss | 0 | 12 | 0 | 24 |
| Amortization of transition obligation | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | $\$ 72$ |
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In December 2005, the Company terminated its post retirement benefit plan; therefore, there will be no contribution in 2006.

## Note 9. Directors Retirement Plan

The components of net periodic plan costs for the directors retirement plan are as follows:

|  | For the three months ended June 30, <br> 2006 <br> 2005 (in thousands) |  | For the six months ended June 30, <br> 2006 <br> 2005 <br> (in thousands) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service cost | \$6 | \$5 | \$12 | \$10 |
| Interest cost | 11 | 12 | 23 | 24 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 12 | 12 | 23 | 24 |
| Net periodic benefit expense | \$29 | \$29 | \$58 | \$58 |

The Company made contributions of $\$ 37,000$ to the plan in the six months ended June 30, 2006 and does not expect to make any more contributions in 2006.

## Note 10. Commitments and Contingencies

## Litigation

As the Company has disclosed in its periodic reports filed with the SEC, including the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (the 10-K ), the Company was involved in legal proceedings concerning four separate portfolios of predominately commercial leases which Lakeland purchased from Commercial Money Center, Inc. ( CMC ). CMC obtained surety bonds from three surety companies to guarantee each lessee s performance. Relying on these bonds, the Company and other investors purchased the leases and CMC s right to payment under the various surety bonds. CMC (and a related entity, Commercial Servicing Corp. ( CSC )) eventually stopped forwarding to the Company the required amounts.

On July 20, 2005, Lakeland entered into a settlement agreement with RLI Insurance Company and one remaining party in Lakeland sclaims related to the CMC matter. Pursuant to the settlement agreements Lakeland was paid an aggregate of $\$ 3,315,000$ and the parties executed mutual releases. As a result of the settlements, Lakeland s nonperforming assets were reduced by $\$ 6.4$ million and no additional loan loss provision was required. A charge-off of $\$ 3.0$ million was recorded in the third quarter of 2005.

Reference is made to the $10-\mathrm{K}$ for a description of a case captioned Ronnie Clayton dba Clayton Trucking, et al v. Ronald Fisher, et al.
From time to time, the Company and its subsidiaries are defendants in legal proceedings relating to their respective businesses. While the ultimate outcome of the above mentioned matter cannot be determined at this time, management does not believe that the outcome of any pending legal proceeding will materially affect the consolidated financial position of the Company, but could possibly be material to the results of operations of any one period.

## Note 11. Common Stock

On June 16, 2005, the Company announced a stock buyback plan for the purchase of up to 826,875 shares over the following year. The Company purchased 641,814 shares under this plan. The plan expired on June 16, 2006. On July 12, 2006, the Company announced a stock buyback plan for the purchase of up to 500,000 shares over the following year.
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## Note 12. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

On July 13, 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN No. 48). FIN No. 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN No. 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of FIN No. 48 will have on its consolidated financial position or results of operations.

## PART I ITEM 2

## Management s Discussion and Analysis of

## Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read this section in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. All weighted average, actual shares and per share information set forth in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q has been adjusted retroactively for the effects of stock dividends, including the five percent stock dividend declared on July 12, 2006 payable on August 16, 2006 to shareholders of record July 31, 2006.

## Statements Regarding Forward Looking Information

The information disclosed in this document includes various forward-looking statements that are made in reliance upon the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 with respect to credit quality (including delinquency trends and the allowance for loan and lease losses), corporate objectives, and other financial and business matters. The words anticipates, projects, intends, estimates, expects, believes, plans, may, will, should, could, and other similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking stateme Company cautions that these forward-looking statements are necessarily speculative and speak only as of the date made, and are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties, all of which may change over time. Actual results could differ materially from such forward-looking statements.

In addition to the factors disclosed by the Company elsewhere in this document, the following factors, among others, could cause the Company s actual results to differ materially and adversely from such forward-looking statements: pricing pressures on loan and deposit products; competition; changes in economic conditions nationally, regionally and in the Company s markets; the extent and timing of actions of the Federal Reserve Board; changes in levels of market interest rates; clients acceptance of the Company s products and services; credit risks of lending activities and competitive factors; changes in the conditions of the capital markets in general and in the capital markets for financial institutions in particular and the impact of the war in Iraq or elsewhere on such markets; and the extent and timing of legislative and regulatory actions and reforms.

The above-listed risk factors are not necessarily exhaustive, particularly as to possible future events, and new risk factors may emerge from time to time. Certain events may occur that could cause the Company s actual results to be materially different than those described in the Company s periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Any statements made by the Company that are not historical facts should be considered to be forward-looking statements. The Company is not obligated to update and does not undertake to update any of its forward-looking statements made herein.

## Significant Accounting Policies, Judgments and Estimates

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company and its subsidiaries conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and predominant practices within the banking industry. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, Lakeland, Lakeland Investment Corp. and Lakeland NJ Investment Corp. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.
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The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. These estimates and assumptions also affect reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Significant estimates implicit in these financial statements are as follows:

The principal estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the allowance for loan and lease losses, the analysis of goodwill impairment and the Company s deferred tax asset. The evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses includes, among other factors, an analysis of historical loss rates, by category, applied to current loan totals. However, actual losses may be higher or lower than historical trends, which vary. Actual losses on specified problem loans, which also are provided for in the evaluation, may vary from estimated loss percentages.

The allowance for loan and lease losses is established through a provision for loan and lease losses charged to expense. Loan principal considered to be uncollectible by management is charged against the allowance for loan and lease losses. The allowance is an amount that management believes will be adequate to absorb losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible based upon an evaluation of known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio. The evaluation takes into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and size of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, specific problem loans, and current economic conditions which may affect the borrowers ability to pay. The evaluation also details historical losses by loan category, the resulting loss rates for which are projected at current loan total amounts. Loss estimates for specified problem loans are also detailed. All of the factors considered in the analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses may be subject to change. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, additional provisions for loan and lease losses may be required that would adversely impact earnings in future periods.

Interest income is accrued as earned on a simple interest basis. Accrual of interest is discontinued on a loan when management believes, after considering economic and business conditions and collection efforts, that the borrower s financial condition is such that collection of interest is doubtful. When a loan is placed on such non-accrual status, all accumulated accrued interest receivable is reversed out of current period income. Commercial loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest must have both principal and accruing interest adequately secured and must be in the process of collection. Residential mortgage loans are placed on non-accrual status at the time when foreclosure proceedings are commenced except where there exists sufficient collateral to cover the defaulted principal and interest payments, and management s knowledge of the specific circumstances warrant continued accrual. Consumer loans are generally charged off when principal and interest payments are four months in arrears unless the obligations are well secured and in the process of collection. Interest thereafter on such charged-off consumer loans is taken into income when received only after full recovery of principal.

The Company accounts for impaired loans in accordance with SFAS No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, as amended by SFAS No. 118, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan Income Recognition and Disclosures. Impairment is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, except that as a practical expedient, a creditor may measure impairment based on a loan s observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral-dependent. Regardless of the measurement method, a creditor must measure impairment based on the fair value of the collateral when the creditor determines that foreclosure is probable.

The Company accounts for income taxes under the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities as measured by the enacted tax rates that will be in effect when these differences reverse. Deferred tax expense is the result of changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities. The principal types of differences between assets and liabilities for financial statement and tax return purposes are the allowance for loan and lease losses, deferred loan fees, deferred compensation and securities available for sale.

The Company accounts for goodwill and other identifiable intangible assets in accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Intangible Assets. SFAS No. 142 includes requirements to test goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets for impairment rather than amortize them. The Company has tested its goodwill as of December 31, 2005 and determined that it is not impaired.
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## Results of Operations

## (Second Quarter 2006 Compared to Second Quarter 2005)

## Net Income

Net income for the second quarter of 2006 was $\$ 5.1$ million, substantially the same as what was reported for the same period in 2005. Diluted earnings per share were $\$ 0.23$ for the second quarter of 2006 which was equivalent to what was reported for the same period last year. Return on Average Assets was $0.93 \%$ and Return on Average Equity was $10.74 \%$ for the second quarter 2006.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income on a tax equivalent basis for second quarter 2006 was $\$ 17.3$ million, representing a $\$ 635,000$ or $4 \%$ decrease from the $\$ 17.9$ million earned in the second quarter of 2005. The decrease in net interest income primarily results from an increase in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities from $1.90 \%$ in the second quarter of 2005 to $2.89 \%$ in 2006 . The net interest margin declined from $3.77 \%$ in second quarter of 2005 to $3.48 \%$ in the second quarter of 2006 because the yield on interest earning assets did not increase as much as the cost of interest-bearing liabilities. Due to the current market environment, short-term borrowing and short-term deposit rates have increased more than loan rates. There has also been a shift in deposits from lower yielding core deposits to higher costing time deposits. The components of net interest income will be discussed in greater detail below.

The following table reflects the components of the Company s net interest income, setting forth for the periods presented, (1) average assets, liabilities and stockholders equity, (2) interest income earned on interest-earning assets and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities, (3) average yields earned on interest-earning assets and average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities, (4) the Company s net interest spread (i.e., the average yield on interest-earning assets less the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities) and (5) the Company s net interest margin. Rates are computed on a tax equivalent basis using a tax rate of $35 \%$.
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## CONSOLIDATED STATISTICS ON A TAX EQUIVALENT BASIS

|  | For the three months ended, |  |  | For the three months ended, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2006 |  |  | June 30, 2005 |  |  |
|  | Average Balance | Interest <br> Income/ <br> Expense | Average rates earned/ paid (dollars in th | Average <br> Balance ousands) | Interest <br> Income/ <br> Expense | Average rates earned/ paid |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans (A) | \$1,375,278 | \$22,750 | 6.64\% | \$1,200,439 | \$18,424 | 6.16\% |
| Taxable investment securities | 495,455 | 5,053 | 4.08\% | 596,078 | 5,686 | 3.82\% |
| Tax-exempt securities | 109,473 | 1,532 | 5.60\% | 93,209 | 1,331 | 5.71\% |
| Federal funds sold (B) | 9,263 | 133 | 5.74\% | 13,637 | 89 | 2.61\% |
| Total interest-earning assets | 1,989,469 | 29,468 | 5.94\% | 1,903,363 | 25,530 | 5.38\% |
| Noninterest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | $(12,737)$ |  |  | $(16,876)$ |  |  |
| Other assets | 214,684 |  |  | 228,747 |  |  |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$2,191,416 |  |  | \$2,115,234 |  |  |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings accounts | \$339,334 | \$1,045 | 1.24\% | \$351,297 | \$473 | 0.54\% |
| Interest-bearing transaction accounts | 697,789 | 4,415 | 2.54\% | 657,618 | 2,453 | 1.50\% |
| Time deposits | 449,246 | 4,031 | 3.59\% | 393,751 | 2,442 | 2.48\% |
| Borrowings | 206,311 | 2,720 | 5.27\% | 205,135 | 2,269 | 4.42\% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,692,680 | 12,211 | 2.89\% | 1,607,801 | 7,637 | 1.90\% |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | 297,746 |  |  | 304,304 |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 12,175 |  |  | 11,022 |  |  |
| Stockholders equity | 188,815 |  |  | 192,107 |  |  |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY$\$ 2,191,416$$\$ 2,115,234$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income/spread |  | 17,257 | 3.05\% |  | 17,893 | 3.47\% |
| Tax equivalent basis adjustment |  | 536 |  |  | 466 |  |
| NET INTEREST INCOME |  | \$16,721 |  |  | \$17,427 |  |
| Net interest margin (C) |  |  | 3.48\% |  |  | 3.77\% |

(A) Includes non-accrual loans, the effect of which is to reduce the yield earned on loans, and deferred loan fees
(B) Includes interest-bearing cash accounts.
(C) Net interest income divided by interest-earning assets.

## Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Interest income on a tax equivalent basis increased from $\$ 25.5$ million in second quarter 2005 to $\$ 29.5$ million in 2006, an increase of $\$ 3.9$ million or $15 \%$. The increase in interest income was due to an increase in average interest-earning assets of $\$ 86.1$ million or $5 \%$ and to a 56 basis point increase in the yield on interest-earning assets. The yield on interest-earning assets increased from $5.38 \%$ in second quarter 2005 to $5.94 \%$ in second quarter 2006 as a result of the increasing rate environment and because of a change in mix in interest-earning assets. Loans as a percent of interest-earning assets increased from $63 \%$ in second quarter 2005 to $69 \%$ in 2006 while investment securities as a percent of interest-earning assets decreased from $36 \%$ in second quarter 2005 to $30 \%$ in second quarter 2006.

Total interest expense increased from $\$ 7.6$ million in second quarter 2005 to $\$ 12.2$ million in second quarter 2006, an increase of $\$ 4.6$ million. Average interest-bearing liabilities increased $\$ 84.9$ million, and the cost of funds increased 99 basis points to $2.89 \%$ due to the increasing rate environment and a change in the mix of interest-bearing liabilities. Liabilities shifted from lower cost core deposits to higher cost time deposits. Average savings accounts as a percent of interest-bearing liabilities decreased from $22 \%$ in second quarter 2005 to $20 \%$ in second quarter 2006. Additionally, average noninterest-bearing deposit accounts declined $\$ 6.5$ million from $\$ 304.3$ million in second quarter 2005 to $\$ 297.7$ million in 2006. Time deposits as a percent of total interest-bearing liabilities increased from $24 \%$ to $27 \%$ during the same time period. Time deposits generally pay a higher rate than core deposits.
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## Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

In determining the provision for loan and lease losses, management considers historical loan loss experience, changes in composition and volume of the portfolio, the level and composition of non-performing loans, the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses, and prevailing economic conditions.

The provision for loan losses decreased to $\$ 319,000$ for the second quarter of 2006 from $\$ 327,000$ for the same period last year as a result of management s evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses. During the second quarter of 2006, the Company charged off loans of $\$ 1.2$ million and recovered $\$ 758,000$ in previously charged off loans compared to $\$ 635,000$ and $\$ 379,000$, respectively, during the same period in 2005. Recoveries for second quarter 2006 included $\$ 518,000$ in recoveries related to a sale of previously charged off loans. The lower provision reflects an improvement in asset quality from second quarter 2005 to second quarter 2006. For more information regarding the determination of the provision, see Risk Elements under Financial Condition.

## Noninterest Income

Noninterest income increased $\$ 600,000$ or $16 \%$ from second quarter 2005 to second quarter 2006. Service charges on deposit accounts increased $\$ 364,000$ or $15 \%$ from second quarter 2005 to second quarter 2006 as a result of fee income received from implementing a new overdraft protection product in May 2005. Commissions and fees increased from $\$ 731,000$ in second quarter 2005 to $\$ 920,000$ in second quarter 2006 due to an increase in investment services brokerage income from $\$ 68,000$ in second quarter 2005 to $\$ 315,000$ in 2006. In 2005, Lakeland received commission income on the sales of investment services net of commission expense paid to the licensed sales representatives. In 2006, Lakeland employed its own licensed sales representatives, and as a result, has recorded gross commission income received on the sales of investments and recorded $\$ 147,000$ in commission expense paid to its sales representatives in salaries and benefits expense. The increase in investment services income was partially offset by a decline in fees on loans. There were no gains or losses on sales of securities in second quarter 2006 compared to $\$ 100,000$ in gains in second quarter 2005. Leasing income increased from $\$ 159,000$ in second quarter 2005 to $\$ 282,000$ in 2006 primarily as a result of an increase in fees from brokered transactions.

## Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense increased from $\$ 13.2$ million in the second quarter of 2005 to $\$ 13.4$ million in the second quarter of 2006, an increase of $\$ 184,000$ or $1 \%$. Salaries and employee benefits increased $\$ 530,000$ from $\$ 7.1$ million in the second quarter 2005 to $\$ 7.7$ million in 2006 as a result of normal salary and benefit increases and staff increases including the licensed investment sales representatives referred to above. Legal fees decreased from $\$ 224,000$ in the second quarter of 2005 to $\$ 70,000$ in the second quarter of 2006 resulting from a decline in litigation costs related to the purchased lease pools previously discussed in Note 10 . Marketing expense decreased from $\$ 534,000$ in second quarter 2005 to $\$ 403,000$ in 2006 resulting from management s decision to decrease its cable television advertising during second quarter 2006.

## (First Six Months of 2006 Compared to First Six Months of 2005)

## Net Income

Net income for the first half of 2006 was $\$ 9.7$ million, equivalent to the $\$ 9.7$ million reported for the same period in 2005 . Diluted earnings per share were $\$ 0.44$ for the first half of 2006 , a $\$ 0.02$ or $5 \%$ increase over what was reported for the same period last year. Return on Average Assets was $0.89 \%$ and Return on Average Equity was $10.37 \%$ for the first half of 2006.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income on a tax equivalent basis for the first half of 2006 was $\$ 34.4$ million, representing a $\$ 1.6$ million or $4.6 \%$ decrease from the $\$ 36.0$ million earned in the first half of 2005 . The decrease in net interest income primarily results from an increase in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities from $1.82 \%$ in the first half of 2005 to $2.78 \%$ in 2006. The net interest margin declined from $3.81 \%$ in the first half of 2005 to $3.47 \%$ in the first half of 2006 because the yield on interest earning assets
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did not increase as much as the cost of interest-bearing liabilities. The components of net interest income will be discussed in greater detail below.

The following table reflects the components of the Company $s$ net interest income, setting forth for the periods presented, (1) average assets, liabilities and stockholders equity, (2) interest income earned on interest-earning assets and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities, (3) average yields earned on interest-earning assets and average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities, (4) the Company s net interest spread (i.e., the average yield on interest-earning assets less the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities) and (5) the Company s net interest margin. Rates are computed on a tax equivalent basis using a tax rate of $35 \%$.

|  | For the six months ended, |  |  | For the six months ended, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2006 |  |  | June 30, 2005 |  |  |
|  | Average Balance | Interest <br> Income/ <br> Expense | Average rates earned/ paid | Average Balance | Interest <br> Income/ <br> Expense | Average rates earned/ paid |
| Assets | (dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans (A) | \$1,346,613 | \$43,848 | 6.57\% | \$1,186,434 | \$35,973 | 6.11\% |
| Taxable investment securities | 528,374 | 10,795 | 4.09\% | 609,817 | 11,735 | 3.85\% |
| Tax-exempt securities | 110,425 | 3,089 | 5.60\% | 95,245 | 2,732 | 5.74\% |
| Federal funds sold (B) | 9,929 | 234 | 4.71\% | 13,200 | 220 | 3.33\% |
| Total interest-earning assets | 1,995,341 | 57,966 | 5.85\% | 1,904,696 | 50,660 | 5.35\% |
| Noninterest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | $(12,935)$ |  |  | $(16,809)$ |  |  |
| Other assets | 217,107 |  |  | 236,959 |  |  |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$2,199,513 |  |  | \$2,124,846 |  |  |

Liabilities and Stockholders Equity

| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Savings accounts | \$337,709 | \$1,885 | 1.13\% | \$353,905 | \$937 | 0.53\% |
| Interest-bearing transaction accounts | 700,176 | 8,444 | 2.43\% | 675,506 | 4,866 | 1.45\% |
| Time deposits | 451,661 | 7,801 | 3.45\% | 390,752 | 4,646 | 2.38\% |
| Borrowings | 214,340 | 5,486 | 5.12\% | 196,098 | 4,221 | 4.30\% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,703,886 | 23,616 | 2.78\% | 1,616,261 | 14,670 | 1.82\% |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | 294,077 |  |  | 305,024 |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 11,984 |  |  | 10,754 |  |  |
| Stockholders equity | 189,566 |  |  | 192,807 |  |  |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | \$2,199,513 |  |  | \$2,124,846 |  |  |
| Net interest income/spread |  | 34,350 | 3.06\% |  | 35,990 | 3.53\% |
| Tax equivalent basis adjustment |  | 1,081 |  |  | 956 |  |
| NET INTEREST INCOME |  | \$33,269 |  |  | \$35,034 |  |
| Net interest margin (C) |  |  | 3.47\% |  |  | 3.81\% |

(A) Includes non-accrual loans, the effect of which is to reduce the yield earned on loans, and deferred loan fees.
(B) Includes interest-bearing cash accounts.
(C) Net interest income divided by interest-earning assets.

Interest income on a tax equivalent basis increased from $\$ 50.7$ million in the first half of 2005 to $\$ 58.0$ million in 2006, an increase of $\$ 7.3$ million or $14 \%$. The increase in interest income was due to an increase in average interest-earning assets of $\$ 90.6$ million or $5 \%$ and to a 50 basis point increase in the yield on interest-earning assets. The yield on interest-earning assets increased from $5.35 \%$ in the first half of 2005 to $5.85 \%$ in the first half of 2006 as a result of the increasing rate environment and a change in mix in interest-earning assets similar to the change in mix described above in the comparison of the results of operations between second quarter 2006 and second quarter 2005.

Total interest expense increased from $\$ 14.7$ million in the first half of 2005 to $\$ 23.6$ million in the first half of 2006 , an increase of $\$ 8.9$ million. Average interest-bearing liabilities increased $\$ 87.6$ million, and the cost of funds increased 96 basis points to $2.78 \%$ due to the increasing rate environment and a change in the mix of interest-bearing liabilities. Savings and
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interest-bearing deposit accounts as a percent of interest-bearing liabilities declined while time deposits and borrowings as a percent of interest-bearing liabilities increased.

## Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

In determining the provision for loan and lease losses, management considers historical loan loss experience, changes in composition and volume of the portfolio, the level and composition of non-performing loans, the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses, and prevailing economic conditions.

The provision for loan losses decreased to $\$ 651,000$ for the first half of 2006 from $\$ 1.1$ million for the same period last year as a result of management sevaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses. During the first half of 2006, the Company charged off loans of $\$ 1.6$ million and recovered $\$ 873,000$ in previously charged off loans compared to $\$ 1.8$ million and $\$ 582,000$, respectively, during the same period in 2005. The lower provision reflects lower net charge-offs during the first half of 2006 and an improvement in asset quality from the first half of 2005 to the first half of 2006. For more information regarding the determination of the provision, see Risk Elements under
Financial Condition.

## Noninterest Income

Noninterest income increased $\$ 1.5$ million or $21 \%$ from the first half of 2005 to the first half of 2006. Increases in service charges on deposit accounts and commissions and fees resulted from the same factors noted in the comparison of non-interest income between second quarter 2006 and second quarter 2005. Gains on sales of investment securities decreased from $\$ 128,000$ in the first half of 2005 to $\$ 78,000$ in 2006. Leasing income decreased from $\$ 660,000$ in the first half of 2005 to $\$ 463,000$ in 2006 primarily as a result of a decline in fees from brokered transactions from the first half of 2005 to the first half of 2006. Other income increased from $\$ 156,000$ to $\$ 575,000$ primarily as a result of a $\$ 361,000$ gain on the sale of a branch office of Lakeland during first quarter of 2006.

## Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense increased from $\$ 26.9$ million in the first half of 2005 to $\$ 27.2$ million in the first half of 2006, an increase of $\$ 306,000$ or $1 \%$. Increases in salaries and employee benefits and decreases in legal fees resulted from the same factors noted in the comparison of noninterest expense between second quarter 2006 and second quarter 2005. Other expenses decreased $\$ 450,000$ or $9 \%$ to $\$ 4.4$ million which included declines in audit expense, expense related to the operation of our ATMs, and telephone expense. These expenses declined as a result of the renegotiation of certain costs and upgrades in technology. Other expenses also declined as a result of merging Newton into Lakeland in late 2005 and from the resulting closure of three branches at the end of 2005.

## Financial Condition

The Company s total assets increased $\$ 36.6$ million or $2 \%$ from $\$ 2.206$ billion at December 31, 2005, to $\$ 2.243$ billion at June 30, 2006. Sales and maturities of investment securities were used to fund loan growth. Total deposits decreased from $\$ 1.798$ billion on December 31 , 2005 to $\$ 1.793$ billion on June 30, 2006, a decrease of $\$ 4.5$ million or less than $1 \%$. The decline in deposits included an $\$ 8$ million decline related to the sale of one of Lakeland s branch offices. Additionally, customer repurchase agreements increased $\$ 7.5$ million from December 31, 2005 to June 30, 2006.

## Loans

Gross loans increased from $\$ 1.307$ billion on December 31, 2005 to $\$ 1.420$ billion on June 30, 2006, an increase of $\$ 113.2$ million, or $9 \%$. The growth in the loan portfolio was primarily in commercial loans which increased from $\$ 679.8$ million to $\$ 757.2$ million, an increase of $\$ 77.4$ million or $11 \%$ including $\$ 38.8$ million in growth in leases from the Company s leasing division. For more information on the loan portfolio, see Note 7 in Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.
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## Risk Elements

The following schedule sets forth certain information regarding the Company s non-accrual, past due and renegotiated loans and other real estate owned on the dates presented:

| (in thousands) | June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-performing loans: | $\$ 4,007$ | $\$ 3,907$ | $\$ 11,690$ |
| Non-accrual loans |  |  |  |
| Renegotiated loans | 4,007 | 3,907 | 11,690 |
| TOTAL NON-PERFORMING LOANS | $\$ 4,007$ | $\$ 30$ |  |
| Other real estate owned | $\$ 174$ | $\$ 5,127$ | $\$ 169$ |
| TOTAL NON-PERFORMING ASSETS |  | $\$ 12,340$ |  |
| Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing |  |  |  |

Non-accrual loans increased from $\$ 3.9$ million on December 31, 2005 to $\$ 4.0$ million, or $0.18 \%$ of total assets, on June 30, 2006. Non-accrual loans decreased $\$ 7.7$ million from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2006 as a result of Lakeland s settlement with the remaining parties in Lakeland s claims on the purchased commercial lease pools which are more fully described in Note 10-Commitments and Contingencies in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Loans past due ninety days or more and still accruing at June 30, 2006 decreased $\$ 5.0$ million to $\$ 174,000$ from $\$ 5.1$ million on December 31, 2005 resulting from the renewal of a credit line that was past due over 90 days on December 31, 2005. Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing are those loans that are both well-secured and in process of collection.

On June 30, 2006, the Company had $\$ 4.0$ million in impaired loans (including $\$ 3.7$ million in non-accrual loans) compared to $\$ 3.7$ million at year-end 2005. For more information on these loans see Note 7 in Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The impairment of the loans is measured using the present value of future cash flows on certain impaired loans and is based on the fair value of the underlying collateral for the remaining loans. Based on such evaluation, $\$ 1.0$ million has been allocated to the allowance for loan and lease losses for impairment at June 30, 2006. At June 30, 2006, the Company also had $\$ 12.3$ million in loans that were rated substandard and not classified as non-performing or impaired.

There were no loans at June 30, 2006, other than those designated non-performing, impaired or substandard, where the Company was aware of any credit conditions of any borrowers or obligors that would indicate a strong possibility of the borrowers not complying with present terms and conditions of repayment and which may result in such loans being included as non-accrual, past due or renegotiated at a future date.
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The following table sets forth for the periods presented, the historical relationships among the allowance for loan and lease losses, the provision for loan losses, the amount of loans charged-off and the amount of loan recoveries:

| (dollars in thousands) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance of the allowance at the beginning of the year | \$13,173 | \$16,638 | \$16,638 |
| Loans charged off: |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 928 | 4,350 | 960 |
| Home Equity and consumer | 702 | 1,923 | 828 |
| Real estate mortgage |  |  |  |
| Total loans charged off | 1,630 | 6,273 | 1,788 |
| Recoveries: |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 645 | 753 | 384 |
| Home Equity and consumer | 228 | 499 | 197 |
| Real estate mortgage |  | 1 | 1 |
| Total Recoveries | 873 | 1,253 | 582 |
| Net charge-offs: | 757 | 5,020 | 1,206 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 651 | 1,555 | 1,110 |
| Ending balance | \$13,067 | \$13,173 | \$16,542 |
| Ratio of annualized net charge-offs to average loans outstanding | 0.11\% | 0.62\% | 0.20\% |
| Ratio of allowance at end of period as a percentage of period end total loans | 0.92\% | 1.00\% | 1.36\% |

The ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses to loans outstanding reflects management $s$ evaluation of the underlying credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio. The determination of the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses and periodic provisioning for estimated losses included in the consolidated financial statements is the responsibility of management and the Board of Directors. The evaluation process is undertaken on a quarterly basis.

Methodology employed for assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses consists of the following criteria:

The establishment of reserve amounts for all specifically identified classified loans that have been designated as requiring attention by the Company or its external loan review consultant.

The establishment of reserves for pools of homogeneous types of loans not subject to specific review, including $1 \quad 4$ family residential mortgages and consumer loans.

The establishment of reserve amounts for the non-classified loans in each portfolio based upon the historical average loss experience of these portfolios.
Consideration is given to the results of ongoing credit quality monitoring processes, the adequacy and expertise of the Company s lending staff, underwriting policies, loss histories, delinquency trends, and the cyclical nature of economic and business conditions. Since many of the Company s loans depend on the sufficiency of collateral as a secondary means of repayment, any adverse trend in the real estate markets could affect underlying values available to protect the Company against loss.

Based upon the process employed and giving recognition to all accompanying factors related to the loan portfolio, management considers the allowance for loan and lease losses to be adequate at June 30, 2006. The preceding statement constitutes a forward-looking statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.
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## Investment Securities

For detailed information on the composition and maturity distribution of the Company s investment security portfolio, see Note 6 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Form 10-Q. Total investment securities decreased from $\$ 670.5$ million on December 31, 2005 to $\$ 588.5$ million on June 30 , 2006, a decrease of $\$ 82.0$ million, or $12 \%$ which included sales and maturities of securities used to fund loan growth.

## Deposits

Total deposits decreased from $\$ 1.798$ billion on December 31, 2005 to $\$ 1.793$ billion on June 30, 2006, a decrease of $\$ 4.5$ million including the sale of a branch with $\$ 8.0$ million in deposits. Noninterest-bearing demand deposits decreased from $\$ 312.5$ million on December 31, 2005 to $\$ 308.6$ million on June 30, 2006, a decline of $\$ 4.0$ million or $1 \%$. Savings and interest-bearing transaction accounts decreased from $\$ 1.038$ billion on December 31, 2005 to $\$ 1.027$ billion on June 30, 2006, a decrease of $\$ 10.7$ million. Total core deposits, which consist of noninterest-bearing deposits and savings and interest-bearing transaction accounts, decreased by $\$ 14.7$ million to $\$ 1.336$ billion. Time deposits under $\$ 100,000$ decreased $\$ 12.5$ million from $\$ 293.3$ million on December 31, 2005 to $\$ 280.8$ million on June 30, 2006. Time deposits $\$ 100,000$ and over increased $\$ 22.7$ million from $\$ 154.3$ million on December 31, 2005 to $\$ 177.0$ million on June 30,2006 as a result of an increase in municipal time deposits.

## Liquidity

Cash and cash equivalents, totaling $\$ 56.5$ million on June 30, 2006, increased $\$ 3.7$ million from December 31, 2005. Operating activities, principally the result of the Company s net income, provided $\$ 12.4$ million in net cash. Investing activities used $\$ 49.1$ million in net cash, primarily reflecting the use of funds for investment security purchases and loan originations exceeded sales and maturities of investment securities. Financing activities provided $\$ 40.4$ million in net cash, reflecting an increase in deposits of $\$ 3.5$ million (net of the deposit outflows from the sale of the branch) and increases of federal funds purchased and long-term borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank. The Company anticipates that it will have sufficient funds available to meet its current loan commitments and deposit maturities. This constitutes a forward-looking statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. At June 30, 2006, the Company had outstanding loan origination commitments of $\$ 404.9$ million. These commitments include $\$ 348.1$ million that mature within one year; $\$ 31.8$ million that mature after one but within three years; $\$ 2.8$ million that mature after three but within five years and $\$ 22.2$ million that mature after five years. The Company also had $\$ 8.2$ million in letters of credit outstanding at June 30, 2006. This included $\$ 6.6$ million that are maturing within one year and $\$ 1.6$ million that mature after one but within three years. Time deposits issued in amounts of $\$ 100,000$ or more maturing within one year total $\$ 162.1$ million.

## Capital Resources

Stockholders equity decreased from $\$ 191.8$ million on December 31, 2005 to $\$ 189.6$ million on June 30, 2006. Book value per common share decreased to $\$ 8.61$ on June 30,2006 from $\$ 8.65$ on December 31, 2005. The decrease in stockholders equity from December 31, 2005 to June 30,2006 was primarily due to the increase in accumulated other comprehensive loss from ( $\$ 4.9$ ) million on December 31, 2005 to ( $\$ 9.9$ ) million on June 30, 2006 resulting from a decline in the market value of the Company s available for sale portfolio. Also contributing to a decline in equity is an increase in treasury stock from $\$ 20.2$ million on December 31, 2005 to $\$ 22.8$ million on June 30, 2006, resulting from purchasing shares under the Company s stock buyback program. Also contributing to the change in stockholders equity was net income, which was partially offset by dividends paid to shareholders.

The Company and Lakeland are subject to various regulatory capital requirements that are monitored by federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can lead to certain supervisory actions by regulators; any supervisory action could have a direct material effect on the Company or its subsidiaries financial statements. Management believes, as of June 30, 2006, that the Company and Lakeland meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.
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The capital ratios for the Company and Lakeland at June 30, 2006, and the minimum regulatory guidelines for such capital ratios for qualification as a well-capitalized institution are as follows:

|  | Tier 1 Capital <br> to Total Average <br> Assets Ratio <br> June 30, | Tier 1 Capital <br> to Risk-Weighted <br> Assets Ratio <br> June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | Total Capital <br> to Risk-Weighted <br> Assets Ratio <br> June 30, <br> 2006 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital Ratios: | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $10.82 \%$ | $11.70 \%$ |
| The Company | $7.66 \%$ | $9.62 \%$ | $10.50 \%$ |
| Lakeland Bank <br> Well capitalized institution under FDIC Regulations | $6.80 \%$ | $6.00 \%$ | $10.00 \%$ |

## Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

As further discussed in Note 2 Stock Based Compensation, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payments on January 1, 2006 using a modified method of prospective application. Prior to adopting SFAS No. 123(R), the Company followed the provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. SFAS No. 123 permitted entities to account for employee stock options and similar equity instruments under Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued for Employees. Under SFAS No. 123, entities were required to make pro forma disclosures of net income and earnings per share, as if the fair-value based method of accounting defined in SFAS No. 123 had been applied.

On May 13, 2005, the Company accelerated the vesting of 483,812 stock options, representing all unvested stock options on such date which had exercise prices in excess of the market value of the Company s common stock on May 13, 2005. The Company s decision to accelerate the vesting of these options was part of a review by the Board of Directors of the Company s incentive compensation program and upcoming changes in the accounting for stock options. Compensation expense that would have been recorded absent the accelerated vesting was approximately $\$ 1.3$ million net of taxes, $\$ 644,000$ of which would have been recorded in 2006.

Because the Company s options are fully vested, there was no impact on compensation expense or net income for the second quarter or first half of 2006. The Company did not grant any stock options in the first half of 2006.

When the Company does issue stock options, it anticipates that it will use the Black-Scholes method of pricing stock options and will recognize compensation cost on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award.

The Company is still considering whether it will continue to issue incentive stock options, or whether it will issue an alternative compensation vehicle such as restricted stock.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk
The Company manages interest rate risk and market risk by identifying and quantifying interest rate risk exposures using simulation analysis, economic value at risk models and gap analysis. At June 30, 2006, the cumulative one-year gap was $\$(288.7$ ) million or ( $12.9 \%$ ) of total assets.

The Company uses net interest income simulation because the Company s Asset/Liability Management Committee believes that the interest rate sensitivity modeling more accurately reflects the effects and exposure to changes in interest rates. Net interest income simulation considers the relative sensitivities of the balance sheet including the effects of interest rate caps on adjustable rate mortgages and the relatively stable aspects of core deposits. As such, net interest simulation is designed to address the probability of interest rate changes and the behavioral response of the balance sheet to those changes. Market Value of Portfolio Equity represents the fair value of the net present value of assets, liabilities and off-balance-sheet items. The Company s Market Value of Portfolio Equity at June 30, 2006 was $\$ 323.1$ million.

Based on its simulation models, the Company estimates that for a 200 basis point rate shock increase, the Company s Market Value of Portfolio Equity would decline ( $12.9 \%$ ) and would increase $7.6 \%$ for a 200 basis point rate shock decrease. The simulation model also shows that for a 200 basis point rate increase, the Company s projected net interest income for the next 12 months would decrease ( $5.0 \%$ ), and would increase $5.2 \%$ for a 200 basis point rate decrease. The information provided for net interest income over
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the next 12 months assumes that changes in interest rates of plus 200 basis points and minus 200 basis points change gradually in equal increments over the following 12 month period. The above information is based on significant estimates and assumptions and constitutes a forward-looking statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. For more information regarding the Company s market risk and assumptions used in the Company s simulation models, please refer to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

## ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Disclosure controls and procedures. As of the end of the Company s most recently completed fiscal quarter (the registrant s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, with the participation of the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based upon that evaluation, the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms.
(b) Changes in internal controls over financial reporting. There have been no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company s last fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

As the Company has disclosed in its periodic reports filed with the SEC, including the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (the 10-K ), the Company was involved in legal proceedings concerning four separate portfolios of predominately commercial leases which Lakeland purchased from Commercial Money Center, Inc. ( CMC ). CMC obtained surety bonds from three surety companies to guarantee each lessee s performance. Relying on these bonds, the Company and other investors purchased the leases and CMC s right to payment under the various surety bonds. CMC (and a related entity, Commercial Servicing Corp. ( CSC )) eventually stopped forwarding to the Company the required amounts.

On July 20, 2005, Lakeland entered into a settlement agreement with RLI Insurance Company and one remaining party in Lakeland sclaims related to the CMC matter. Pursuant to the settlement agreements Lakeland was paid an aggregate of $\$ 3,315,000$ and the parties executed mutual releases. As a result of the settlements, Lakeland s nonperforming assets were reduced by $\$ 6.4$ million and no additional loan loss provision was required. A charge-off of $\$ 3.0$ million was recorded in the third quarter of 2005.

Reference is made to the $10-\mathrm{K}$ for a description of a case captioned Ronnie Clayton dba Clayton Trucking, et al v. Ronald Fisher, et al.
From time to time, the Company and its subsidiaries are defendants in legal proceedings relating to their respective businesses. While the ultimate outcome of the above mentioned matter cannot be determined at this time, management does not believe that the outcome of any pending legal proceeding will materially affect the consolidated financial position of the Company, but could possibly be material to the results of operations of any one period.

Item 1A. Risk Factors
Not Applicable

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
On June 16, 2005, the Company announced a stock buyback plan for the purchase of up to 826,875 shares over the following year. The Company purchased 641,814 shares under this plan. The plan expired on June 16, 2006. On July 12, 2006, the Company announced a stock buyback plan for the purchase of up to 500,000 shares over the following year.

Information concerning the second quarter 2006 stock repurchases is set forth below.
$\left.\begin{array}{llrl} & \begin{array}{c}\text { (d) Maximum Number } \\ \text { (cor Approximate }\end{array} \\ \text { Dollar Value) of } \\ \text { Shares (or Units) } \\ \text { that May Yet Be }\end{array}\right)$
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Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities
Not Applicable
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Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.
The following table shows the persons who were elected to the board of directors at the Company s Annual Meeting of Shareholders held May 10, 2006. Also shown are their terms of office and the results of voting for each respective director.

| Name | Term | Shares for: | Authority Withheld |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arthur L. Zande | 3 years | $17,466,313$ | 496,476 |
| Bruce G. Bohuny | 3 years | $17,427,809$ | 534,980 |
| Mary Ann Deacon | 3 years | $17,476,122$ | 486,667 |
| Joseph P. O Dowd | 3 years | $17,469,289$ | 493,778 |

Item 5. Other Information
Not Applicable
Item 6. Exhibits
On August 2, 2006, Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. and Lakeland Bank entered into an agreement with Steven Schachtel, the President of Lakeland Bank s Equipment Leasing Division (the Division ). The agreement provides for the continued employment of Mr. Schachtel as President of the Division at a base salary of $\$ 200,000$. Mr. Schachtel is also entitled to earn a bonus based on the performance of the Division. If Mr. Schachtel s employment is terminated without cause or he resigns with good reason (as defined in the agreement), in either case after a change in control of Lakeland Bancorp has occurred, he will be entitled to receive a lump sum payment equal to two times the highest annual salary paid to him during any of the three years prior to the change in control. A complete copy of the agreement is filed as an exhibit to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.
10.1 Change of Control, Severance and Employment Agreement dated August 2, 2006 among Lakeland Bancorp., Lakeland Bank and Steven Schachtel.
31.1 Certification by Roger Bosma pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act
31.2 Certification by Joseph F. Hurley pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act.
32.1 Certification by Roger Bosma and Joseph F. Hurley pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## /s/ Roger Bosma

Roger Bosma
President and Chief Executive Officer

## /s/ Joseph F. Hurley

Joseph F. Hurley
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
August 8, 2006

Date


[^0]:    See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

