

MICROTUNE INC  
Form 10-Q/A  
April 29, 2004  
Table of Contents

---

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

---

**FORM 10-Q/A**  
**Amendment No. 1**

(Mark one)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

Commission file number 000-31029-40

---

**MICROTUNE, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

<b>Delaware</b>	<b>75-2883117</b>
<b>(State or other jurisdiction of</b>	<b>(I.R.S. Employer</b>
<b>Incorporation or organization)</b>	<b>Identification Number)</b>

**2201 10th Street**

**Plano, Texas 75074**

**(Address of principal executive office and zip code)**

**(972) 673-1600**

**(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)**

---

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES x NO "

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES x NO "

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. As of July 31, 2003, approximately 50,379,084 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, \$0.001 par value per share were outstanding.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Microtune, Inc.**

**FORM 10-Q/A**

**June 30, 2003**

**INDEX**

	<b>Page</b>
	<b><u>      </u></b>
<b>Part I. Financial Information</b>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002 (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 (unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 (unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	6
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	16
<u>Item 3. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosure About Market Risk</u>	21
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	21
<b>Part II. Other Information</b>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	23
<u>Item 2. Changes In Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	25
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	25
<u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	25
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	25
<u>Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K</u>	25
<u>Signatures</u>	
Exhibit	

**Explanatory Note:** Microtune, Inc. is filing this Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-Q/A to its Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 which was originally filed on August 14, 2003 (the "Original 10-Q") to (i) supplement the discussion under Item 4 Controls and Procedures and (ii) make certain technical corrections to the text of the certifications (Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2) of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer so that they conform to the exact form mandated by the applicable rules and regulations under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. For the convenience of the reader, this Amendment No. 1 amends in its entirety the Original 10-Q. This Amendment No. 1 continues to speak as of the date of the Original 10-Q, and we have not updated the disclosure contained herein to reflect any events that occurred at a later date other than that set forth above. All information contained in this Amendment No. 1 is subject to updating and supplementing as provided in our periodic reports filed with the SEC subsequent to the date of the filing of the Original 10-Q.

**Caution Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

## Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

Throughout this quarterly report on this Form 10-Q/A, there are forward-looking statements that are based upon our current expectations, estimates and projections about our business and our industry, and that reflect our beliefs and assumptions based upon information available to us at the date of this report. In some cases, you can identify these statements by words such as if, may, might, will, should, expects, plan, anticipates, believes, estimates, predicts, potential or continue, and other similar terms. These forward-looking statements include, among things, projections of our future financial performance, our anticipated growth, our planned improvements to our internal and disclosure controls, our strategies and trends we anticipate in our businesses and the markets in which we operate, and the competitive nature and anticipated growth of those markets.

**Table of Contents****PART I.****Financial Information****Item 1. Financial Statements****Microtune, Inc.****Consolidated Balance Sheets****(In thousands, except per share data)****(unaudited)**

	<b>June 30,</b>	<b>December</b>
	<b>2003</b>	<b>31, 2002</b>
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 94,988	\$ 106,278
Accounts receivable, net	2,245	7,625
Inventories	5,832	11,852
Other current assets	4,196	2,008
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total current assets	107,261	127,763
Property and equipment, net	11,476	17,805
Intangible assets, net	8,394	10,599
Other assets and deferred charges	1,303	929
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total assets	<u>\$ 128,434</u>	<u>\$ 157,096</u>
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders Equity</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 4,935	\$ 8,681
Accrued compensation	1,441	1,434
Accrued expenses	8,466	15,009
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total current liabilities	14,842	25,124
Other non-current liabilities	1,308	1,283
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value		
Authorized 25,000 shares		
Issued and outstanding shares none		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value		
Authorized 150,000 shares	50	50

Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

Issued and outstanding shares	50,332 and 49,917 respectively		
Additional paid-in capital		436,403	437,787
Unearned stock compensation		(3,276)	(8,865)
Loans receivable from stockholders		(135)	(397)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(988)	(988)
Accumulated deficit		(319,770)	(296,898)
		<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total stockholders' equity		112,284	130,689
		<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity		\$ 128,434	\$ 157,096
		<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

See accompanying notes.

**Table of Contents****Microtune, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Operations****(In thousands, except per share data)****(unaudited)**

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30,</b>		<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
		<b>(Restated</b>		<b>(Restated</b>
		<b>Note 2)</b>		<b>Note 2)</b>
Net revenue	\$ 13,976	\$ 22,034	\$ 26,598	\$ 40,298
Cost of revenue	9,086	14,091	19,487	25,280
Gross margin	4,890	7,943	7,111	15,018
Operating expenses:				
Research and development:				
Stock option compensation	477	2,577	1,682	5,154
Other	5,664	11,162	12,229	20,239
	6,141	13,739	13,911	25,393
Selling, general and administrative:				
Stock option compensation	1,133	702	1,546	1,460
Other	7,730	4,970	14,177	10,230
	8,863	5,672	15,723	11,690
Restructuring	(1,303)		100	54
Amortization of intangible assets	1,055	2,703	2,136	5,387
Total operating expenses	14,756	22,114	31,870	42,524
Loss from operations	(9,866)	(14,171)	(24,759)	(27,506)
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	181	789	593	1,610
Foreign currency gains (losses), net	1,474	(348)	1,324	(696)
Other	137	47	215	(71)
Loss before provision for income taxes	(8,074)	(13,683)	(22,627)	(26,663)
Income tax expense	82	327	245	398
Net loss	\$ (8,156)	\$ (14,010)	\$ (22,872)	\$ (27,061)
Basic and diluted loss per common share	\$ (0.16)	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.46)	\$ (0.51)

Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

Weighted-average shares used in computing basic and diluted loss per common share	50,244	52,953	50,008	52,671
---	--------	--------	--------	--------

See accompanying notes.



**Table of Contents****Microtune, Inc.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(In thousands)****(unaudited)**

	<b>June 30,</b>	
	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
		<b>(Restated Note 2)</b>
<b>Operating activities:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (22,872)	\$ (27,061)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash used in operating activities,		
Depreciation	3,629	3,119
Amortization of intangible assets	2,135	5,387
Non-cash restructuring costs	762	54
Foreign currency (gains) losses, net	(1,324)	696
Amortization of deferred stock option compensation	3,229	6,614
Loss on sale of Philippine assets	461	
Gain on sale of MHDC	(1,627)	
Other non-cash charges	462	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable, net	5,380	(1,364)
Inventories	538	(5,757)
Other assets	(11)	505
Accounts payable	(3,457)	224
Accrued expenses	(4,626)	(3,214)
Other liabilities	431	124
Accrued compensation	56	229
Net cash used in operating activities	(16,834)	(20,444)
<b>Investing activities:</b>		
Purchases of property and equipment	(407)	(3,205)
Sale of property and equipment	199	71
Proceeds from sale of Philippine manufacturing assets	5,151	
Sale of MHDC	(934)	
Loans receivable	(130)	(254)
Acquisition of intangible assets	(208)	(262)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	3,671	(3,650)
<b>Financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	549	804
Loans receivable from stockholders		(390)
Other, net		(89)
Net cash provided by financing activities	549	325
Effect of foreign currency exchange rate changes on cash	1,324	(696)

Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(11,290)	(24,465)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	106,278	173,149
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 94,988	\$ 148,684

See accompanying notes.

**Table of Contents**

**Microtune, Inc.**

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

**June 30, 2003**

**(unaudited)**

**1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

**Description of business**

Microtune, Inc. was incorporated on May 28, 1996 and commenced operations on August 21, 1996. We operate in a single industry segment, designing and marketing radio frequency (RF) silicon and subsystem module solutions for the worldwide broadband communications and transportation electronics markets. We also design and market selected Bluetooth wireless connectivity products.

**General**

The accompanying unaudited financial statements as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 have been prepared by us, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and the notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments which are of a normal and recurring nature and are necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows as of and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 have been made. Results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, are not necessarily indicative of results of operations to be expected for the entire year or any other period.

**Consolidation**

Our Consolidated Financial Statements include the financial statements of Microtune and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

### **Use of Estimates**

We make estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the disclosures made in the accompanying notes, including reserves for inventory, warranty costs, determining the collectibility of accounts receivable, the valuation of deferred tax assets and other amounts. We also use estimates, judgments and assumptions to determine the remaining economic lives and carrying values of purchased intangibles, property and equipment and other long-lived assets. We believe that the estimates, judgments and assumptions upon which we rely are appropriate and correct based upon information available to us at the time that these estimates, judgments and assumptions are made. These estimates, judgments and assumptions can affect our reported assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported revenue and expense during the periods presented. If there are material differences between these estimates, judgments or assumptions and actual facts, our financial statements will be affected.

### **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

We consider highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents consist of bank deposits, money market funds and asset-backed commercial paper. Our investments in asset-backed commercial paper are comprised of high-quality securities in accordance with our investment policy.

### **Inventories**

Our inventories are stated at the lower of standard cost, which approximates actual cost determined on a first-in, first-out basis, or estimated realizable value. Adjustments to reduce our inventories to estimated realizable value, including allowances for excess and obsolete inventories, are determined quarterly by comparing inventory levels of individual materials and parts to historical usage rates, current backlog and estimated future sales. Actual amounts realized upon the sale of inventories may differ from estimates used to determine inventory valuation allowances due to changes in customer demand, technology changes and other factors.

### **Property and Equipment**

Our property and equipment is stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. We calculate depreciation using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from 3 to 7 years. We amortize leasehold improvements using the straight-line method over the lesser of their estimated useful lives or remaining lease terms.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Intangible Assets**

Our intangible assets, which consist primarily of a customer base and patents, have been recorded as the result of our business or asset acquisitions and are being amortized on the straight-line basis over 3 to 7 years.

### **Impairment of Long-lived Assets**

We review long-lived assets, including intangible assets, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. We evaluate the recoverability of these assets by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to projected undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the assets or business center. If we determine our long-lived assets are impaired, we recognize the impairment in the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the estimated fair value of the assets.

### **Revenue Recognition**

We recognize revenue when we have received a purchase order from our customer, our product has been shipped, title has transferred to our customer, the price that we will receive for our product is fixed or determinable, and collection from our customer is considered probable. Title to our product transfers to our customer either when it is shipped to or received by our customer, based on the specific agreement.

Our revenue is recorded based on the facts currently known to us. If we do not meet the criteria above, we do not recognize revenue. For example, if we are unable to determine the amount that we will ultimately collect once our product has shipped and title has transferred to our customer, we defer recognition of revenue until we can determine the amount that ultimately will be collected. Terms of agreements with customers that impact our ability to determine the amount we will ultimately collect include stock rotation rights, rights to return unsold product, price protection, payment terms conditional on sale or use of the product by our customer or other extended payment terms. In most instances when we defer revenue, the timing and amount of revenue we ultimately recognize is determined upon our receipt of payment. Until we receive payment from our customer, we present deferred revenue as a reduction of the related accounts receivable.

### **Research and Development Costs**

Our research and development expenses consist of personnel-related expenses, lab supplies, training and prototype subcontract materials. We expense all of our research and development costs in the period incurred. Research and development efforts currently are focused primarily on development of our next generation of RF products.

### **Shipping and Handling Costs**

Shipping and handling costs that we incur related to product shipments to customers are included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

### **Warranty Costs**

We provide a minimum of a one-year warranty on all products and record a related provision for estimated warranty costs at the date of sale. Estimated warranty costs are recorded at the date of sale based on a percentage of revenues derived from our historical warranty costs. Additionally, we record specific warranty provisions for any identified individual product issues, which have not been significant to date.

### **Foreign Currency Gains and Losses**

The impact from the re-measurement of accounts not denominated in U.S. dollars is recognized currently in our results of operations as a component of foreign currency gains and losses.

### **Income Taxes**

Our income taxes are computed using the asset and liability method of accounting. Under the asset and liability method, a deferred tax asset or liability is recognized for estimated future tax effects attributable to temporary differences and carryforwards. The measurement of deferred income tax assets is adjusted by a valuation allowance, if necessary, to recognize future tax benefit only to the extent, based on available evidence, it is more likely than not such benefit will be realized.

**Table of Contents****Earnings Per Share**

Basic earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period and dilutive common equivalent shares consisting of stock options, restricted stock subject to repurchase rights and employee stock purchase plan options.

The following table sets forth anti-dilutive securities that have been excluded from diluted earnings per share (in thousands):

	<b>June 30,</b>	
	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
Stock options	5,478	7,866
Restricted common stock	45	432
Employee stock purchase plan	37	53
<b>Total anti-dilutive securities excluded</b>	<b>5,560</b>	<b>8,351</b>

**Stock-Based Compensation**

At June 30, 2003, we have four stock-based compensation plans covering employees and directors. We have elected to follow Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related interpretations in accounting for our employee stock options. We account for stock-based compensation for non-employees under the fair value method prescribed by SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* (SFAS No. 123). Through June 30, 2003, there have been no significant grants to non-employees.

Stock option compensation expense results from grants of stock options with deemed exercise prices below the estimated fair value per share of our common stock at the date of grant and as a result of the Transilica acquisition under the provisions of APB No. 25. Deferred stock option compensation is being amortized and charged to operations over the vesting period of the related options. As of June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002, unearned deferred stock compensation was \$3.3 million and \$8.9 million, respectively. The weighted average remaining vesting period of outstanding compensatory stock options was 1.3 years at June 30, 2003.

During October 2002, we established a program whereby each employee with outstanding stock options was given the opportunity to cancel some or all of their option grants in exchange for a promise by us to grant a new stock option in six months and two days from the date of the employee's election to cancel options. The new grants were for the same or lesser number of options cancelled and have an exercise price equal to the market at the date of the new grant. Any new grants under this program will be 6/54 vested on the date of the grant and will vest 1/54 each month thereafter. The program ended on October 31, 2002 and 1,884,413 shares were cancelled, resulting in a charge to stock compensation expense of \$0.9 million. In April and May of 2003, 1,494,037 new options were granted to employees with fair market value exercise prices ranging from \$1.76 to \$2.54 per share pursuant to the program. No additional stock compensation expense resulted from the new grants.

## Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

Although SFAS 123 allows us to continue to follow the present APB No. 25 guidelines, we are required to disclose pro forma net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share as if we had adopted SFAS No. 123. The pro forma impact of applying SFAS 123 will not necessarily be representative of the pro forma impact in future periods. Our pro forma information is as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
		(Restated Note 2)		(Restated Note 2)
Net loss, as reported	\$ (8,156)	\$ (14,010)	\$ (22,872)	\$ (27,061)
Add stock compensation expense recorded under the intrinsic value method	1,610	3,279	3,228	6,614
Less pro forma stock compensation expense computed under the fair value method	(2,196)	(3,484)	(4,618)	(6,904)
<b>Pro forma net loss</b>	<b>\$ (8,742)</b>	<b>\$ (14,215)</b>	<b>\$ (24,262)</b>	<b>\$ (27,351)</b>
<b>Basic and diluted pro forma loss per common share</b>	<b>\$ (0.17)</b>	<b>\$ (0.27)</b>	<b>\$ (0.48)</b>	<b>\$ (0.52)</b>

### Risk Concentrations

Financial instruments that potentially subject Microtune to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of trade accounts receivable and notes receivable. Products are sold to customers internationally, principally in Asia Pacific and Europe. Management continually evaluates the creditworthiness of its customers' financial condition and generally does not require collateral. At June 30, 2003, approximately 88% of our net accounts receivable were due from five of our customers. We evaluate the collectability of our accounts receivable based on a combination of factors. In circumstances where we are aware of a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligations to us, we record a specific reserve for bad debts against amounts due. For all other customers, we recognize allowances for doubtful accounts based on the length of time the receivables are outstanding, industry and geographic concentrations,



## **Table of Contents**

the current business environment and our historical experience. If the financial condition of our customers deteriorates or if economic conditions worsen, additional allowances may be required in the future. Historically, our reserves have generally been adequate to cover our actual credit losses.

We depend on third party foundries to manufacture all of our integrated circuit products. We do not have long-term supply agreements with our foundries and obtain integrated circuit products on a purchase order basis. The inability of a third party foundry to continue manufacturing our integrated circuits could have a material adverse effect on our operations. We are also dependent upon third parties, some of whom are competitors, for the supply of components for module manufacturing. Our failure to obtain components for module manufacturing would significantly impact our ability to ship modules to customers in a timely manner.

## **Risk and Uncertainties**

Our future results of operations and financial condition will be impacted by the following factors, among others: the level of difficulty experienced in the integration of acquired businesses, dependence on the broadband and automotive markets, dependence on a few significant customers, lengthy sales cycle, dependence on third party manufacturers and subcontractors, dependence on third party distributors in certain markets, technological change and dependence on the successful development of products and marketing of new products, international operations and foreign currency fluctuations, intellectual property rights, potential litigation costs and product liability.

## **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In January 2003, the FASB issued FIN 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*. FIN 46 requires us to consolidate a variable interest entity if we are subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the variable interest entity's activities or entitled to receive a majority of the entity's residual returns or both. A variable interest entity is a corporation, partnership, trust or any other legal structure used for business purposes that either does not have equity investors with voting rights or has equity investors that do not provide sufficient financial resources for the entity to support its activities. A variable interest entity often holds financial assets, including loans or receivables, real estate or other property. A variable interest entity may be essentially passive or it may engage in research and development or other activities on behalf of another company. The consolidation requirements of FIN 46 apply immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003. The consolidation requirements apply to older entities in the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. Some of the disclosure requirements apply to all financial statements issued after June 30, 2003, regardless of when the variable interest entity was established. We do not currently have any variable interest entities and, accordingly, we did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows upon adoption.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, *Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Equity*. SFAS No. 150 requires us to classify and measure certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that requires a transfer of assets and that meets the definition of liabilities in Concepts Statement 6 and other recognition criteria in SFAS No. 5, *Recognition and Measurement in Financial Statements of Business Enterprises*, as a liability. SFAS No. 150 also requires that certain obligations that could be settled by issuance of an entity's equity but lack other characteristics of equity be reported as liabilities even though the obligation does not meet the definition of liabilities in Concepts Statement No. 6. SFAS No. 150 is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2003. The adoption of SFAS No. 150 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

## **2. Restatement of Financial Statements**

In February 2003, our Audit Committee, under the direction of our Board of Directors, retained John M. Fedders, a former Director of the Division of Enforcement of the Securities and Exchange Commission, as independent counsel to inquire into the events related to significant negative adjustments to preliminary recorded revenue for products shipped in the third and fourth quarters of 2002. The inquiry was subsequently expanded to include all of 2001 and 2002 and concluded in July 2003.

The inquiry concluded that in certain instances we recognized revenue earlier than appropriate under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). On April 29, 2003, based on preliminary findings of the inquiry, our Board determined to restate our previously reported financial statements for 2001 and for our quarters ended September 30, 2001, December 31, 2001, March 31, 2002, June 30, 2002 and September 30, 2002. Based on the preliminary findings our Board also determined to revise our financial results that were reported via a press release on February 20, 2003 and a related current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC.

Our Board's determinations are based upon summary findings from the inquiry set forth in the numbered paragraphs below.

**Table of Contents**

1. We shipped product to customers at the end of quarters in excess of orders received at the time of shipment, including shipments of unfinished product. We recognized revenue for these shipments even though we had not received purchase orders for the product shipped.
2. We granted extended payment terms, including flexible payment terms, to customers, including customers who were delinquent in their obligations to us. We recognized revenue despite collections of the related accounts receivable being questionable, and reserves were not established.
3. We granted price protection arrangements to distributors whereby (a) profits were guaranteed and (b) credits were promised if the product was resold for less than what we were to be paid. While price protection arrangements are not improper, we recognized revenue when it should not have been under GAAP.
4. We granted rights of return, or extraordinary stock rotation privileges, to distributors. These included the right to return any product not sold. Despite these rights of return, we recognized revenue at the time of shipment.

In addition, in preparing our restated financial statements, we determined that in some cases we recognized revenue in the wrong quarter because of delivery of our products was not in accordance with our customers' shipping terms and shipment had been made to a third party warehouse rather than to our customer.

Our financial statements as of and for the three months ended June 30, 2002 and related financial information have been restated as follows, (in thousands, except per share data):

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2002</b>	
	<b>Reported</b>	<b>Restated</b>
<b>Statement of operations data:</b>		
Net revenue	\$ 23,179	\$ 22,034
Cost of revenue	14,801	14,091
Gross margin	8,378	7,943
Loss from operations	(13,436)	(14,171)
Loss before income taxes	(12,948)	(13,683)
Net loss	(13,275)	(14,010)
Basic and diluted loss per common share	\$ (0.25)	\$ (0.26)
<b>Balance sheet data:</b>		
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 16,432	\$ 11,842
Inventories	15,014	15,907
Total current assets	183,347	179,331
Total current liabilities	22,086	20,557
Accumulated deficit	(137,399)	(141,097)
Total stockholders' equity	290,423	286,725

**3. Accounts Receivable, net**

Accounts receivable, net consists of the following (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

	June 30,	December 31,
	2003	2002
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Gross accounts receivable	\$ 3,579	\$ 10,587
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(110)	(375)
Deferred revenue	(1,224)	(2,587)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 2,245	\$ 7,625
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

**4. Inventories**

Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,	December 31,
	2003	2002
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Finished goods	\$ 3,949	\$ 3,736
Work-in-process	1,883	4,279
Raw materials		3,837
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total inventory	\$ 5,832	\$ 11,852
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

At June 30, 2003, the carrying value of wireless product inventories totalled \$1.2 million. If future demand for these or our other products is less than our current expectations, additional write-offs may be required.

**Table of Contents**

**5. Intangible Assets**

Amortization expense on intangible assets was \$1.1 million and \$2.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and \$2.1 million and \$5.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and June 30, 2002, respectively .

The gross carrying amounts and related accumulated amortization of intangible assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	Remaining Weighted Average Useful Life in Years	June 30,		December 31,	
		2003		2002	
		Gross Carrying Amount	Accum. Amort.	Gross Carrying Amount	Accum. Amort.
Developed technology		\$	\$	\$ 567	\$ 224
Patents	2.1	11,253	4,090	11,046	2,430
Other	1.5	4,309	3,078	4,308	2,668
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$ 15,562</b>	<b>\$ 7,168</b>	<b>\$ 15,921</b>	<b>\$ 5,322</b>

The following table sets forth the estimated future amortization of intangible assets as of June 30, 2003 (in thousands):

<u>Year Ending December 31,</u>	
2003	2,076
2004	4,142
2005	2,177

**6. Accrued Expenses**

Accrued expenses consist of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,	December 31,
	2003	2002
Accrued warranty obligation	\$ 301	\$ 407
Accrued income taxes	3,517	3,084

## Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

Accrued restructuring costs (Note 10)	1,006	4,936
Accrued non-cancelable inventory purchase obligations	207	1,671
Other	3,435	4,911
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total accrued expenses	\$ 8,466	\$ 15,009
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

### 7. Income Taxes

We have established a valuation allowance to fully reserve our deferred tax assets at December 31, 2002 due to the uncertainty of the timing and amount of future taxable income. For U.S. federal income tax purposes, at December 31, 2002, we had a net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$121.1 million, including operating loss carryforwards of Transilica and an unused research and development credit carryforward of approximately \$3.0 million, that begin to expire in 2011. A change in ownership, as defined in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code, may limit utilization of the U.S. federal net operating loss and research and development credit carryforwards.

The provision for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, consists of foreign income taxes and U.S. state income taxes.

Our income tax returns and those of our subsidiaries are subject to review and examination in the various jurisdictions in which we operate. We believe that all income tax issues that have been or may be raised as a result of such reviews and examinations will be resolved with no material impact on our financial position or future results of operations.

### 8. Commitments and Contingencies

#### *Lease Commitments*

In March 2000, we entered into a five-year operating lease for office space in Plano, Texas to be used as its headquarters, as well as for certain administrative, sales and marketing and research and development activities. Microtune KG leases its administrative, sales and marketing and research and development facility in Germany under an operating lease with a twenty-two year term, which began in December 1999. We lease our facilities in San Diego, California, which are primarily for research and development activities, under an operating lease that expires in 2004. We also lease certain other facilities, equipment and computer software under operating leases. Future minimum lease payments required under operating leases as of June 30, 2003 are as follows (in thousands):

**Table of Contents**

<u>Year Ending December 31,</u>	
2003	\$ 1,630
2004	2,412
2005	821
2006	443
2007	420
Thereafter	5,777
	<u>\$ 11,503</u>

As of June 30, 2003, future minimum payments required under the operating lease for the facility in Germany include \$3.1 million guaranteed by Microtune KG relating to obligations issued to finance the land and building.

Rent expense for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, was \$0.6 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. Rent expense for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, was \$1.4 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

*Purchase Commitments*

We have committed with a supplier to purchase a minimum of \$2.0 million of silicon wafers during 2003 in return for volume pricing. In addition, under the terms of our agreement with TFS (Note 10), we may become obligated to purchase raw materials during 2003. Our maximum obligation to purchase raw materials inventory under this agreement is approximately \$5.4 million. However, we are unable at this time to estimate the amount of inventory which will be repurchased, if any, under the agreement.

*Legal Proceedings*

From time to time, we may be involved in routine legal proceedings, as well as demands, claims and threatened litigation that arise in the normal course of our business. The ultimate amount of liability, if any, for any pending claims of any type (either alone or combined) may materially and adversely affect our financial position, results of operations or liquidity. Moreover, the ultimate outcome of any litigation is uncertain. Any outcome, whether favorable or unfavorable, may materially and adversely affect Microtune due to legal costs and expenses, diversion of management resources and other factors. Except as described below, we are not currently a party to any material litigation.

*Intellectual Property Litigation*

On January 24, 2001, we filed a lawsuit alleging patent infringement in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division, against Broadcom Corporation. The lawsuit alleged that Broadcom's BCM3415 microchip and related products infringe on our U.S. Patent No. 5,737,035. In our complaint, we sought monetary damages resulting from the alleged infringement as well as injunctive relief precluding Broadcom from taking any further action that infringes our patent. On March 20, 2003, a jury found in favor of Microtune. The jury found that certain Broadcom products do infringe Microtune's valid and enforceable patent and that the infringement was willful. On April

## Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

17, 2003 a preliminary injunction was issued that prohibits Broadcom from making, using, marketing, selling or distributing in the U.S. any technology found by the jury to infringe our patent. Several post-trial motions are still pending, including Microtune's motion regarding enhanced damages, attorney's fees and permanent injunction issues. Broadcom has announced that it intends to appeal the verdict.

On July 15, 2002, Broadcom Corporation filed a lawsuit alleging patent infringement in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division, against Microtune. The lawsuit alleges that various Microtune products infringe Broadcom's U.S. Patent No. 6,377,315B1. The complaint alleges seeking monetary damages resulting from the alleged infringement as well as injunctive relief precluding Microtune from taking any further action that infringes the U.S. Patent No. 6,377,315. On June 18, 2003 Broadcom filed a Motion to Dismiss this suit against Microtune with prejudice. We did not oppose the Motion to Dismiss.

On January 24, 2003, Broadcom Corporation filed a lawsuit alleging patent infringement in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California against Microtune. The lawsuit alleges that various Microtune products infringe Broadcom's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,445,039B1, 5,682,379 and 6,359,872. Two of these patents are also the subject of the March 3, 2003 action described below. The complaint seeks monetary damages resulting from the alleged infringement as well as injunctive relief precluding Microtune from taking any further action that infringes any of the listed patents. The case has been stayed pending resolution of the March 3, 2003 action described below. While we intend to vigorously defend this suit, we are unable at this time to determine whether the outcome of this litigation will have a material impact on our business prospects, results of operations or financial condition in any future period.

On March 3, 2003, Broadcom Corporation filed a complaint with the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) alleging patent infringement by Microtune products of Broadcom's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,445,039B1 and 5,682,379, which are also the subject of the lawsuit Broadcom filed on January 24, 2003 described above. The complaint seeks permanent injunctive relief excluding from entry into the United States the accused Microtune products. The ITC has appointed Administrative Law Judge Sidney Harris to schedule and hold an evidentiary hearing and make an initial determination. We are unable at this time to determine whether the outcome of the investigation will have a material impact on our business prospects, results of operations or financial condition in any future period.



## Table of Contents

On April 24 2003, Broadcom Corporation filed a *Complaint For Declaratory Judgment of Patent Noninfringement* in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division, against Microtune. Broadcom is alleging that their BCM3416 and BCM93416 reference design do not infringe our U.S. Patent No. 5,737,035. While we intend to vigorously oppose this suit, we are unable at this time to determine whether the outcome of this litigation will have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition in any future period.

### *Anti-Trust Litigation*

On February 27, 2003 we filed a lawsuit alleging anti-competitive and monopolistic conduct, as well as restraint of trade conducts, in violation of the Texas Anti-Trust Act, in the District Court of Williamson County, Texas, against Broadcom Corporation. On March 28, 2003 the lawsuit was removed to the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Austin Division. Microtune amended its complaint to allege violation of the Sherman Act & Clayton Act, as well as the Texas Anti-Trust Act. The lawsuit alleges that Broadcom engaged in various illegal anti-competitive activities, including bundling its tuner together with its demodulator chips, in attempts to exclude Microtune and other competitors from a substantial share of the tuner and cable modem markets. In our complaint, we seek injunctive relief and monetary damages resulting from the alleged unlawful conduct, and treble damages for willful anti-competitive and monopolistic conduct. We are unable at this time to determine whether the outcome of the litigation will have a material impact on our business prospects, results of operations or financial condition in any future period.

### *Securities Litigation*

Starting on July 11, 2001, multiple purported securities fraud class action complaints were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. We are aware of at least three such complaints: *Berger v. Goldman, Sachs & Co., Inc. et al.*; *Atlas v. Microtune et al.*; and *Ellis Investments Ltd. v. Goldman Sachs & Co., Inc. et al.* The complaints are brought purportedly on behalf of all persons who purchased our common stock from August 4, 2000 through December 6, 2000 and are related to *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*. The Atlas complaint names as defendants Microtune, Douglas J. Bartek, our former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Everett Rogers, our former Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance and Administration, and several investment banking firms that served as underwriters of our initial public offering. Microtune, Mr. Bartek and Mr. Rogers were served with notice of the Atlas complaint on August 22, 2001, however, they have not been served regarding the other referenced complaints. The Berger and Ellis Investment Ltd. complaints assert claims against the underwriters only. The complaints were consolidated and amended on May 29, 2002. The amended complaint alleges liability under §§ 11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 (1933 Act Claims) and §§ 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (1934 Act Claims), on the grounds that the registration statement for our initial public offering did not disclose that (1) the underwriters had agreed to allow certain of their customers to purchase shares in the offering in exchange for excess commissions paid to the underwriters, and (2) the underwriters had arranged for certain of their customers to purchase additional shares in the aftermarket at pre-determined prices. The amended complaint also alleges that false analyst reports were issued. No specific amount of damages is claimed. We are aware that similar allegations have been made in other lawsuits filed in the Southern District of New York challenging over 300 other initial public offerings and secondary offerings conducted in 1998, 1999 and 2000. Those cases have been consolidated for pretrial purposes before the Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin. On February 19, 2003, the Court ruled on all defendants' motions to dismiss. The Court denied the motions to dismiss the 1933 Act claims. The Court did not dismiss the 1934 Act claims against us and other issuers and underwriters.

We have decided to accept a settlement proposal presented to all issuer defendants. In this settlement, plaintiffs will dismiss and release all claims against the Microtune defendants, in exchange for a contingent payment by the insurance companies collectively responsible for insuring the issuers in all of the IPO cases, and for the assignment or surrender of certain claims Microtune may have against the underwriters. The Microtune defendants will not be required to make any cash payments in the settlement, unless the *pro rata* amount paid by the insurers in the settlement exceeds the amount of the insurance coverage, a circumstance which we do not believe will occur. The settlement will require approval by the Court, which cannot be assured, after class members are given the opportunity to object to the settlement or opt out of the settlement.

## Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

Beginning in February 2003, Microtune, our former Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Douglas J. Bartek, our former Chief Financial Officer and Vice-President of Finance and Administration, Everett Rogers, our former President and Chief Operating Officer, William L. Housley, and our present Chief Financial Officer and General Counsel, Nancy A. Richardson, were named as defendants in several class action lawsuits alleging violations of federal securities laws and regulations. The claims of the plaintiffs in the various lawsuits include that the defendants violated §§ 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as well as SEC Rule 10b-5, resulting in damages to persons who purchased, converted, exchanged, or otherwise acquired our common stock between April 23, 2001 and February 20, 2003, inclusive. The plaintiffs' specific allegations include that the defendants misrepresented material facts and omitted to state material facts necessary to make other statements made not misleading, and that these misrepresentations or omissions had the effect of artificially inflating Microtune's stock price. At this time, the alleged misrepresentations and omissions include allegations that: Microtune materially overstated revenue by recognizing certain sales immediately as revenue when deferred revenue recognition would have been more appropriate; Microtune failed to disclose that a material portion of its revenue had not been paid for or had not been paid in cash; Microtune lacked adequate internal controls and was therefore unable to ascertain its own true financial condition; Microtune's margins were being squeezed by a dramatic decline in the

## **Table of Contents**

price of low-technology products; Microtune's product advantages were grossly overstated as Microtune was experiencing shortfalls due to the successes of Broadcom and Conexant; Microtune's customers agreed to take product only after receiving generous credits and/or were unable to pay for product; the value of Microtune's acquisition of Transilica was overstated by more than \$50 million; the financial statements in Microtune's SEC Form 10-Q submissions did not present, in all material respects, Microtune's true financial condition, and did not reflect all adjustments that were necessary for a fair statement of the periods presented; Microtune's SEC Form 10-Q submissions were not presented in conformity with GAAP or principles of fair reporting; Microtune was shipping non-compliant product to customers to make its quarterly earnings and assuring the customers that it would replace non-compliant product in the future; and Microtune offered customers extended payment terms in exchange for accepting product the customers did not need or want. The relief sought by the plaintiffs in the various lawsuits, both individually and on behalf of shareholders, includes damages, interest, costs, fees, and expenses. The defendants have not yet filed a responsive pleading in any of the lawsuits. The actions have been consolidated into one case and lead plaintiffs have been appointed. We intend to vigorously defend these suits. We are unable at this time to determine whether the outcome of the litigation will have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition in any future period. Furthermore, there can be no assurance regarding the outcome of the litigation or any related claim for indemnification or contribution.

On August 4, 2003, we received notification that Microtune is the subject of an investigation by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The SEC advised that the process under way is a fact-finding investigation and that it has not reached any conclusions regarding the matter. We are cooperating fully with the SEC. We believe the investigation relates directly to our recently concluded internal inquiry commissioned by the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

If our directors' and officers' liability insurance is insufficient or unavailable to cover the amount of any damages that may result from pending and future securities litigation for any reason, we may be required to pay the costs of indemnifying and defending certain of our directors and officers. Directors' and officers' liability insurance may not be available to us in sufficient amounts to cover any claims made in securities litigation filed against us in the future.

## **9. Stockholders' Equity**

On July 19, 2002, our Board authorized a stock repurchase program to acquire outstanding common stock on either the open market or through negotiated transactions. Under the program, we were authorized to purchase up to approximately 5.3 million of our outstanding shares. Since the beginning of the program through January 31, 2003 we have purchased approximately 4.4 million shares for an aggregate cost of approximately \$7.7 million pursuant to this program. In February 2003, the Board of Directors suspended the repurchase program. The repurchase program may be reconsidered in the future.

## **10. Restructuring Costs**

Beginning in the third quarter of 2002, we initiated a restructuring of our operations in light of the continued economic downturn. The measures, which included reducing the workforce, consolidating facilities and changing the strategic focus of a number of sites, were largely intended to strengthen our ability to focus on core strategic competencies and reduce our worldwide operating costs.

On March 27, 2003, we signed a manufacturing agreement with Three-Five Systems (TFS), a worldwide supplier of engineering and manufacturing services, whereby TFS will manufacture, assemble and test our RF tuner modules and wireless module products in TFS' existing manufacturing facility in Manila, Philippines. As part of the agreement, we sold most of the equipment and most of the raw materials inventories of our Philippines' manufacturing facility for approximately \$7.9 million, consisting of \$1.7 million in cash, an escrow payment of \$3.5 million

## Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

and a note receivable of \$2.7 million, which is due in three installments. We received the escrow amount in the second quarter of 2003. We also contracted with TFS to provide nearly all of our current demand for fully-assembled RF subsystems. As a result of the sale of assets to TFS, we reduced our payroll by approximately 1,000 employees. We charged restructuring costs \$1.4 million in the first quarter of 2003 as a result of this sale, including employee severance and benefits, and settlement of our lease obligations and the loss on the disposal of the assets.

Restructuring costs in the second quarter of 2003 include \$0.6 million in employee severance and benefits due to additional workforce reductions, principally at our facilities in San Diego, CA. On April 23, 2003, we sold MHDC to the Micronas Group resulting in a gain of approximately \$1.6 million, which is included as a credit in restructuring. As a result of this sale, we reduced our payroll by 23 employees.

During the third quarter of 2003, we negotiated a favorable settlement of a software license agreement which reduced future payments for licenses previously used at two of our locations. This resulted in a \$0.3 million decrease of the restructuring accrual as of June 30, 2003.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes the restructuring accrual activity (in thousands):

	Severance and benefits	Lease obligations and facility closure costs	Other exit costs (credits)	Total
Balance at December 31, 2002	\$ 1,666	\$ 2,165	\$ 1,105	\$ 4,936
Provision	1,070	407	469	1,946
Changes in prior estimates	12	(572)	341	(219)
Sale of MHDC	(82)	(533)	(1,012)	(1,627)
Non-cash charges	(427)		(116)	(543)
Cash payments	(2,006)	(766)	(715)	(3,487)
Balance at June 30, 2003	\$ 233	\$ 701	\$ 72	\$ 1,006

Accruals related to restructuring activities were recorded in accrued expenses in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. See Note 6. All remaining cash payments related to these restructurings are expected to be made by the end of the first quarter of 2004.

**11. Geographic Information and Significant Customers**

Our headquarters and main design center are located in Plano, Texas. We have other sales offices and design centers in the United States and other worldwide locations. We also have a design center in Germany. Revenue by geographical area are summarized below (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
		(Restated Note 2)		(Restated Note 2)
North America	\$ 4,336	\$ 4,835	\$ 8,348	\$ 10,039
Europe	3,200	4,367	6,716	8,265
Asia Pacific	6,434	12,832	11,480	21,994
Other	6		54	
Total	\$ 13,976	\$ 22,034	\$ 26,598	\$ 40,298

## Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

Sales to World Peace Industrial, Daimler Chrysler and Panasonic accounted for approximately 29%, 19% and 12%, respectively, of consolidated net revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2003. Sales to Daimler Chrysler, World Peace Industrial and Askey accounted for approximately, 19%, 12% and 11%, respectively, of consolidated net revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2002. Sales to World Peace Industrial and Daimler Chrysler accounted for approximately 21% and 20%, respectively, of consolidated net revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2003. Sales to Daimler Chrysler and Askey accounted for approximately 20% and 12%, respectively, of consolidated net revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2002. During the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, we recognized 41% and 21% of our net revenue upon receipt of payment from our customers. During the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, we recognized 34% and 16% of our net revenue upon receipt of payment from our customers.

Sales to our ten largest customers, including sales to their respective manufacturing subcontractors, accounted for approximately 72% and 76% of our net revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

The locations of property and equipment are summarized below (in thousands):

	<b>June 30,</b>	<b>December 31,</b>
	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
North America	\$ 7,143	\$ 8,770
Europe	1,630	1,937
Asia Pacific	126	154
Philippines	2,577	6,944
	\$ 11,476	\$ 17,805

### 12. Resignation of Chairman of the Board, CEO and President

On June 27, 2003, Douglas J. Bartek resigned from his positions as Chairman of the Board, CEO and President. Mr. Bartek and the Board reached an agreement as to his severance terms. Under this agreement, Mr. Bartek's outstanding options became vested as of the date of the agreement. As a result we incurred stock compensation expense of approximately \$0.9 million in the second quarter of 2003. In addition, Mr. Bartek was paid approximately \$0.5 million for compensation, vacation time, benefits and miscellaneous expense. Mr. Bartek has agreed not to compete with Microtune and not to solicit Microtune customers or employees for a period of two and a half years from the date of his resignation.

## **Table of Contents**

### **13. Subsequent Events**

On August 12, 2003, we announced, James A. Fontaine has joined Microtune, as Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and President. Albert H. Taddiken, formerly our Chief Technical Officer (CTO), has been named to the position of Chief Operating Officer. Rob-Roy J. Graham, formerly the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) of Intervoice, Inc., has been named as our new CFO, effective August 25, 2003. Nancy A. Richardson will remain our Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary and remain our CFO until Mr. Graham's arrival.

Since July 1, 2003, through August 13, 2003, we have granted to our employees 2,514,459 stock options with exercise prices ranging from \$2.20 to \$2.70 per share. The stock options generally vest over the next four years.

### **Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

#### **Caution Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

Throughout this quarterly report on this Form 10-Q/A, we make forward-looking statements that are based on our current expectations, estimates and projections about our business and our industry, and that reflect our beliefs and assumptions based upon information available to us at the date of this report. In some cases, you can identify these statements by words such as *if, may, might, will, should, expects, plans, anticipate, believes, estimates, predicts, potential or continue*, and other similar terms. These forward-looking statements include, among other things, projections of our future financial performance, our anticipated growth, our strategies and trends we anticipate in our businesses and the markets in which we operate and the competitive nature and anticipated growth of those markets.

We caution investors that forward-looking statements are only predictions, based on our current expectations about future events. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Our actual results, performance or achievements could differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. In addition to the other information in this report, we encourage you to review the information regarding risk set forth in our 2002 Form 10-K (filed with the SEC on July 31, 2003) under the caption *Factors Affecting Operating Results and Stock Price* and in our other filings with the SEC, before deciding to invest in our stock or to maintain or change your investment. We caution investors not to rely on these forward-looking statements, which reflect management's analysis only as of the date of this report. We undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statement for any reason.

Unless otherwise noted, all references to our quarterly results for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 in this Item 2, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* will refer to our restated results for such period.

#### **Overview**

Microtune, Inc. designs and markets radio frequency (RF) silicon and subsystem module solutions for the worldwide broadband communications and transportation electronics markets. We also design and market selected Bluetooth wireless connectivity products. Our

## Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

mission is to develop and sell integrated circuit (IC) and module products and technology for the delivery of broadband video, audio and data to consumers and businesses.

Our expertise in RF, analog and to a lesser extent digital technologies allows us to deliver ICs and complete subsystem module solutions (called Modules or MicroModules) that permit the delivery and exchange of broadband information using terrestrial (off-air) and/or cable communications systems. We also develop and offer niche products to the Bluetooth wireless communications market.

Our products include tuners, amplifiers, transceivers, upconverters and Bluetooth wireless radio and baseband processors. When integrated into our customers' commercial or consumer equipment, our products permit the transmission and reception of RF signals that contain video, audio and/or data. Our products are used in a range of applications, including cable high-speed internet access, digital and high-definition television, TV on a PC, in-car audio and video, and cable-based digital phone service.

Today, our products principally are marketed to original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) in the following two markets:

### Cable and Terrestrial Broadband Communications

This market includes products that send and receive cable and terrestrial broadband signals. Our Cable Broadband products are designed for use in RF electronics from the cable head-end upconverter to consumer access and gateway devices, including cable modems, digital and analog set-top boxes, digital televisions and cable telephony systems. Our Terrestrial Broadband products are designed for use in off-air applications including digital and analog television sets and their companion appliances (VCRs, High Definition Television (HDTV) projection displays, liquid crystal display (LCDs), digital set-top boxes, digital personal video recorders), and PC/TV-based multimedia products.

### Transportation Electronics

This market includes products targeted for mobile environments, such as automobile and airline in-flight entertainment systems. Our Transportation Electronics products range from components for traditional AM/FM radio to components for the emerging entertainment and telematics applications that provide value to mobile customers, including in-car and in-flight video, HD radio (digital radio), and radio data system for traffic avoidance and other services.



## **Table of Contents**

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). Note 1 of our Consolidated Financial Statements describes the significant accounting policies essential to our Consolidated Financial Statements. Preparation of our financial statements requires estimates, judgments and assumptions. We believe that the estimates, judgments and assumptions upon which we rely are appropriate and correct based upon information available to us at the time that these estimates, judgments and assumptions are made. These estimates, judgments and assumptions can affect our reported assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported revenue and expense during the periods presented. If there are material differences between these estimates, judgments or assumptions and actual facts, our financial statements may be affected.

In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by GAAP and does not require our judgment in its application. There are areas in which our judgment in selecting among available alternatives would not produce a materially different result, but there are some areas in which our judgment in selecting among available alternatives would produce a materially different result. See the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements that contain additional information regarding our accounting policies and other disclosures.

We believe the following to be our critical accounting policies. That is, they are both important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results, and they require significant estimates, judgments and assumptions about matters that are inherently uncertain.

### **Revenue Recognition**

We recognize revenue when we receive a purchase order from our customer, our product has been shipped, title has transferred to our customer, the price that we will receive for our product is fixed or determinable, and collection from our customer is considered probable. Title to our product transfers to our customer either when it is shipped to or received by our customer, based on the specific agreement.

Our revenue is recorded based on the facts currently known to us. If we do not meet the criteria above, we do not recognize revenue. For example, if we are unable to determine the amount that we will ultimately collect once our product has shipped and title has transferred to our customer, we defer recognition of revenue until we can determine the amount that ultimately will be collected. Terms of agreements with customers that impact our ability to determine the amount we will ultimately collect include stock rotation rights, rights to return unsold product, price protection, payment terms conditional on sale or use of the product by our customer and other extended payment terms. In most instances when we defer revenue, the timing and amount of revenue we ultimately recognize is determined upon our receipt of payment, which can result in significant fluctuations in revenues from period to period. For example, for the three months ending June 30, 2003, we recognized 41% of our net revenue upon receipt of payment compared to 28% of our net revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2003. Until we receive payment from these customers, we present deferred revenue as a reduction of the related accounts receivable.

### **Allowance for Doubtful Accounts**

We evaluate the collectibility of our accounts receivable based on a combination of factors. In cases where we are aware of circumstances that may impair a specific customer's ability to meet its financial obligations to us, we record a specific allowance against amounts due to us and reduce the net recognized receivable to the amount we reasonably believe will be collected. In other instances, we recognize allowances for

## Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

doubtful accounts based on the length of time the receivables are outstanding, industry and geographic concentrations, the current business environment and our historical experience. If the financial condition of our customers deteriorates or if economic conditions worsen, increased allowance may be required in the future. We cannot predict future changes in the financial stability of our customers, and there can be no assurance that our allowance will be adequate. If actual credit losses are significantly greater than the allowance we have established, that would increase our general and administrative expenses and increase our reported net loss. Conversely, if our actual credit losses are significantly less than our allowance, this would eventually decrease our general and administrative expenses and decrease our reported net loss.

### **Inventory Valuation**

Our inventories are stated at the lower of standard cost, which approximates actual cost determined on a first-in, first-out basis, or estimated realizable value. Adjustments to reduce our inventories to estimated realizable value, including allowances for excess and obsolete inventories, are determined quarterly by comparing inventory levels of individual materials and parts to historical usage rates, current backlog and estimated future sales. Actual amounts realized upon the sale of inventories may differ from estimates used to determine inventory valuation allowances due to changes in customer demand, technology changes and other factors.

### **Impairment of Long-lived Assets**

We review long-lived assets, including intangible assets, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. We evaluate the recoverability of these assets by a comparison of the

**Table of Contents**

carrying amount of an asset to projected undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the assets or business unit. If we determine our long-lived assets are impaired, we recognize the impairment in the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the estimated fair value of the assets.

**Deferred Taxes**

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, at December 31, 2002, we had a net operating loss carry-forward of approximately \$121.1 million and an unused research and development credit carry-forward of approximately \$3.0 million, that begin to expire in 2011. Due to the uncertainty of our ability to realize our deferred tax assets, they have been fully reserved. If we generate U.S. taxable income in future periods, reversal of this valuation allowance could have a significant positive impact on net income in the period that it becomes more likely than not that the net operating loss carryforward will be recognized.

**Results of Operations**

The following table shows certain data from our consolidated statements of operations expressed as a percentage of net revenues:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
		(Restated)		(Restated)
Net revenue	100%	100%	100%	100 %
Cost of revenue	65	64	73	63
Gross margin	35	36	27	37
Operating expenses:				
Research and development:				
Stock option compensation	3	12	6	13
Other	41	50	46	50
	44	62	52	63
Selling, general and administrative:				
Stock option compensation	8	3	6	4
Other	55	23	54	25
	63	26	60	29
Restructuring costs	(9)			
Amortization of intangible assets and goodwill	7	12	8	13
Total operating expenses	105	100	120	105
Loss from operations	(70)	(64)	(93)	(68)

Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

Other income	13	2	8	2
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Loss before income taxes	(57)	(62)	(85)	(66)
Income tax expense	1	2	1	1
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Net loss	(58)%	(64)%	(86)%	(67)%
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

*Comparison of the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2003 and 2002*

**Net Revenue**

Total net revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2003 was \$13.9 million, compared to \$22.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2002, representing a decrease of 37%. Our net revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2003 was \$26.6 million, compared to \$40.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002, representing a decrease of 34%. The decline was primarily experienced in the broadband communications sector due to a combination of a shift in customer purchasing from modules to silicon products which have lower average sales prices and increased competitor pressures. This percentage will fluctuate based on the amount and timing of shipments for which revenue recognition is deferred until we receive payment. Currently, we expect that the percentage of net revenue recognized upon payment from our customers will decrease in the third quarter of 2003 due to the decrease in deferred revenue at June 30, 2003.

Sales to World Peace Industrial, Daimler Chrysler and Panasonic accounted for approximately 29%, 19% and 12%, respectively, of consolidated net revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2003. Sales to Daimler Chrysler, World Peace Industrial and Askey accounted for approximately, 19%, 12% and 11%, respectively, of consolidated net revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2002. Sales to World Peace Industrial and Daimler Chrysler accounted for approximately 21% and 20%, respectively, of consolidated net revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2003. Sales to Daimler Chrysler and Askey accounted for approximately 20% and 12%, respectively, of consolidated net revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2002. During the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, we recognized 41% and 21% of our net revenue upon receipt of payment from our customers. During the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, we recognized 34% and 16% of our net revenue upon receipt of payment from our customers.

## **Table of Contents**

Sales to our ten largest customers, including sales to their respective manufacturing subcontractors, accounted for approximately 83% and 76% of our net revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively, and 72% and 76% of our net revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

In general, our net revenue from automotive products decreases in the third quarter of each year due to the seasonal nature of our sales to automobile manufacturers and their suppliers. We believe this decrease results from automobile factory shutdowns for re-tooling to accommodate automobile model year changeovers. In addition, since the third quarter of 2002, we believe sales by a competitor of its products that were found to willfully infringe one of our patents had and will continue to have a material and negative effect on our revenues.

### ***Cost of Revenue***

Cost of revenue includes the cost of subcontracted materials, integrated circuit assembly, final test, factory labor and overhead, shipping of materials, custom expenses, warranty costs and inventory charges. We also incur cost for the depreciation of our test and handling equipment, labor and logistics. Our raw materials costs may increase due to price fluctuations and cyclical demand for those materials. We may not be able to pass cost increases on to our customers.

Cost of revenue as a percentage of net revenue was 65% and 64% for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Cost of revenue as a percentage of net revenue increased to 73% for the six months ended June 30, 2003 compared to 63% for the six months ended June 30, 2002. Our cost of revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2003 increased compared to the same period for 2002 as a result of a \$1.2 million write-down of our Bluetooth wireless inventories, as well as inefficiencies at our former manufacturing facility in the Philippines caused by reduced production volumes prior to the sale of these facilities in March 2003.

### ***Research and Development***

Our research and development expenses consist of personnel-related expenses, quality assurance, test development, qualification, lab supplies, training and prototype subcontract materials. We expense all of our research and development costs in the period incurred. Research and development efforts are currently focused primarily on development of the next generation of RF products.

Research and development expenses, including non-cash stock compensation, for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 were \$6.1 million, or 44% of net revenue, and \$13.7 million, or 62% of net revenue, respectively. Research and development expenses, including non-cash stock compensation, for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 were \$13.9 million, or 52% of net revenue, and \$25.4 million, or 63% of net revenue, respectively. The decrease in research and development is due in part to a reduction in personnel and associated decrease in stock compensation related to those employees. Stock option compensation related to research and development was \$0.5 million and \$2.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Stock option compensation related to research and development was \$1.7 million and \$5.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

### ***Selling, General and Administrative***

## Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

Selling, general and administrative expenses include our personnel-related expenses for administrative, finance, human resources, marketing and sales, information technology and legal departments, and include expenditures related to legal, public relations and financial advisors. These expenses also include promotional and marketing costs, sales commissions, shipping costs to customers and allowance for doubtful accounts.

Selling, general and administrative expenses, including non-cash stock compensation, for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 were \$8.9 million, or 63% of net revenue, and \$5.7 million, or 26% of net revenue, respectively. Selling, general and administrative expenses, including non-cash stock compensation, for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 were \$15.7 million, or 60% of net revenue, and \$11.7 million, or 29% of net revenue, respectively. The increase relates to increases in legal expenses due to our lawsuits regarding various patents and shareholder lawsuits. See Part II Item 1, *Legal Proceedings*. In addition, our cost of obtaining directors and officers insurance has significantly increased. Selling, general and administrative costs for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, include expense of \$0.9 million of stock compensation, and \$0.5 million for compensation, vacation time, benefits and miscellaneous expense paid to our former CEO, Douglas J. Bartek pursuant to his separation agreement. Stock option compensation related to selling, general and administrative was \$1.1 million and \$0.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. Stock option compensation related to selling, general and administrative was \$1.5 million for both the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002.

### *Amortization of Intangible Assets*

Amortization of intangible assets for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 was \$1.1 million and \$2.7 million, respectively. Amortization of intangible assets for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 was \$2.1 million and \$5.4 million,

## **Table of Contents**

respectively. Amortization of intangible assets in 2003 results principally from our combinations with Microtune KG and our acquisition of patents from Silicon Wave.

### ***Restructuring Costs***

Restructuring costs for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 were \$0.1 million and \$54,000, respectively. The change in 2003 resulted primarily from the sale of the assets of our manufacturing facility in Manila, Philippines in the first quarter of 2003, and the sale of MHDC in the second quarter of 2003. Restructuring costs for the six months ended June 30, 2003, included employee severance, settlement of our lease obligation and the loss on the disposal of the assets related to the sale of the manufacturing facility in the Philippines. These charges were taken to reduce operating costs and strengthen our ability to focus on core strategic competencies. Restructuring costs in the second quarter of 2003 include \$0.6 million in employee severance and benefits due to additional workforce reductions, principally at our facilities in San Diego, CA. In the second quarter of 2003, we sold MHDC to the Micronas Group, resulting in a gain of approximately \$1.6 million, which is included as a credit in restructuring. Restructuring costs in the second quarter of 2003 also include a credit of \$0.3 million due to a favorable settlement of a software license agreement. See Note 10 of our Consolidated Financial Statements.

### ***Other Income and Expense***

Other income consists of interest income from investment of cash and cash equivalents, foreign currency gains and losses and other non-operating income and expenses.

Interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 was \$0.2 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. Interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 was \$0.6 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. The decrease in interest income is mainly due to the decrease in interest rates and lower cash and cash equivalents balance for the three months ended June 30, 2003 as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2002.

Our functional currency is the U.S. Dollar. The impact from the remeasurement of accounts not denominated in U.S. Dollars is recognized currently in our results of operations as a component of foreign currency gains and losses.

### ***Income Taxes***

Our income taxes are computed using the asset and liability method of accounting. Under the asset and liability method, a deferred tax asset or liability is recognized for estimated future tax effects attributable to temporary differences and carryforwards. The measurement of deferred income tax assets is adjusted by a valuation allowance, if necessary, to recognize future tax benefit only to the extent, based on available evidence, it is more likely than not such benefit will be realized.

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, at December 31, 2002, we had a net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$121.1 million and an unused research and development credit carryforward of approximately \$3.0 million, that begin to expire in 2011. Due to the uncertainty of our

ability to realize our deferred tax assets, they have been fully reserved.

The provision for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 consists of foreign income taxes and U.S. state income taxes.

### *Liquidity and Capital Resources*

As of June 30, 2003, we had net working capital of \$92.4 million, including \$95.0 million of cash and cash equivalents, compared to net working capital of \$102.6 million, including \$106.3 million of cash and cash equivalents, at December 31, 2002. We consider highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents consist of bank deposits, money market funds and asset-backed commercial paper. Our investments in asset-backed commercial paper are comprised of high-quality securities in accordance with our investment policy.

On July 19, 2002, our Board authorized a stock repurchase program to acquire outstanding common stock on either the open market or through negotiated transactions. Under the program, we were authorized to purchase up to approximately 5.3 million of our outstanding shares. From the beginning of the program through January 31, 2003 we have purchased approximately 4.4 million shares for an aggregate cost of approximately \$7.7 million. In February 2003, our Board of Directors suspended the repurchase program. The repurchase program may be reconsidered in the future.

Operating activities used \$16.8 million in cash during the six months ended June 30, 2003 compared to \$20.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002. For the six months ended June 30, 2003, the decrease in cash used from operations is mostly due to reduced research and development costs and a decrease in working capital requirements, partially offset by higher selling, general and administrative costs.

Investing activities generated \$3.7 million in cash during the six months ended June 30, 2003 compared to \$3.6 million used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2002. We received approximately \$5.2 million from the sale of the assets of our manufacturing facility in Manila, Philippines in the first quarter of 2003. During the second quarter of 2003, the sale of MHDC resulted in the transfer of approximately \$0.9 million in cash to Micronas. Investments in property and equipment used \$0.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2003, compared to \$3.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2002.



**Table of Contents**

compared to \$3.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2002. The reduction in capital expenditures in 2003 resulted primarily from the sale of our manufacturing facility.

Financing activities provided \$0.5 million in cash during the six months ended June 30, 2003 compared to \$0.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002. We received cash of approximately \$0.6 million and \$0.9 million net of loans, from the sale of our common stock upon the exercise of employee stock options and from shares purchased under our Employee Stock Purchase Plan during the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2002, we also incurred \$0.5 million for the remainder of costs from our December 18, 2001 follow-on public offering when we issued 5 million shares of common stock resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$109 million.

*Future Operating Commitments*

In the normal course of business, we may enter into leases for new or expanded facilities in both domestic and global locations. We also evaluate, on an ongoing basis, the merits of acquiring technology or businesses, or establishing strategic relationships with and investing in other companies. We may decide to use cash and cash equivalents to fund such activities in the future.

Our future cash commitments are primarily for long-term facility leases. Future minimum lease payments required under operating leases as of June 30, 2003 are as follows (in thousands):

<u>Year Ending December 31,</u>	
2003	\$ 1,630
2004	2,412
2005	821
2006	443
2007	420
Thereafter	5,777
	<u>\$ 11,503</u>

*Purchase Commitments*

We have committed with a supplier to purchase a minimum of \$2.0 million of silicon wafers during 2003 in return for volume pricing. In addition, under the terms of our agreement with TFS, we may become obligated to purchase raw materials inventory during 2003. Our maximum obligation to purchase raw materials inventory under this agreement is approximately \$5.4 million. However, we are unable at this time to estimate the amount of inventory which will be repurchased, if any, under the agreement.

We expect to continue to incur significant operating expenses in the foreseeable future, particularly research and development expenses, sales and marketing expenses, and legal costs and expenses to secure, protect and defend our intellectual property. We anticipate that such operating

## Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

expenses, as well as planned capital expenditures, will constitute a material use of our cash resources. As a result, our net cash flows will depend heavily on the level of future sales and our ability to manage expenses.

Currently, our expenses significantly exceed our cash receipts, and we expect this trend to continue. Although there can be no assurance, we believe that our current balances of cash and cash equivalents will provide adequate liquidity to fund our operations and meet our other cash requirements for approximately the next two (2) years. We may find it necessary or we may choose to seek additional financing if our investment plans change, or if industry or market conditions are favorable for a particular type of financing. If we raise additional funds through the issuance of equity or convertible debt securities, the percentage ownership of our stockholders will be reduced. There can be no assurance that we will be able to raise additional funds if needed.

### **Item 3. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

We are exposed to certain market risks in the ordinary course of our business. These risks result primarily from changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. In addition, our international operations are subject to risks related to differing economic conditions, differing tax structures, and other regulations and restrictions. More detailed information concerning market risk is contained in our 2002 Form 10-K Report and is incorporated by reference to this report.

### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

We have evaluated, with the assistance of our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and our Chief Financial Officer (CFO), the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures in effect as of the end of the period covered by this report (the Evaluation Date). Based on this evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were ineffective due to

**Table of Contents**

certain weaknesses in internal controls and procedures as discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2002. The CEO and CFO also have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures in effect as of the filing date of this Amended Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A and have concluded that they are now effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by Microtune in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms.

The CEO and CFO note that since the Evaluation Date we have made improvements to our internal controls and procedures as follows:

In July 2003, we adopted a Code of Ethics to promote the honest and ethical conduct of all of our officers and financial executives, including the ethical handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships, to promote full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in periodic reports required to be filed by us, and to promote compliance with all applicable rules and regulations that apply to us and our officers. This policy was in addition to our training of all employees whose duties impact the preparation of our financial statements as to the critical nature of their representations and assertions that are embodied in such statements.

In July 2003, we hired an outside consulting company to review and provide insight into our internal controls and procedures. As of November 2003, the consulting company found no significant weaknesses in our disclosure controls and procedures.

In August 2003, we separated the position of Chief Financial Officer and General Counsel into two positions each held by a different individual.

In the fourth quarter of 2003, we implemented procedures designed to strengthen the documentation of any reconciliation item between all sub-ledgers and their corresponding general ledger accounts.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Part II Other Information**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

From time to time, we may be involved in routine legal proceedings, as well as demands, claims and threatened litigation that arise in the normal course of our business. The ultimate amount of liability, if any, for any pending claims of any type (either alone or combined) may materially and adversely affect our financial position, results of operations or liquidity. Moreover, the ultimate outcome of any litigation is uncertain. Any outcome whether favorable or unfavorable, may materially and adversely affect us due to legal costs and expenses, diversion of management resources and other factors. Except as described below, we are not currently a party to any material litigation.

*Intellectual Property Litigation*

On January 24, 2001, we filed a lawsuit alleging patent infringement in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division, against Broadcom Corporation. The lawsuit alleged that Broadcom's BCM3415 microchip and related products infringe on our U.S. Patent No. 5,737,035. In our complaint, we sought monetary damages resulting from the alleged infringement as well as injunctive relief precluding Broadcom from taking any further action that infringes our patent. On March 20, 2003, a jury found in favor of Microtune. The jury found that certain Broadcom products do infringe Microtune's valid and enforceable patent and that the infringement was willful. On April 17, 2003 a preliminary injunction was issued that prohibits Broadcom from making, using, marketing, selling or distributing in the U.S. any technology found by the jury to infringe our patent. Several post-trial motions are still pending, including Microtune's motion regarding enhanced damages, attorney's fee, and permanent injunction issues. Broadcom has announced that it intends to appeal the verdict.

On July 15, 2002, Broadcom Corporation filed a lawsuit alleging patent infringement in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division, against the Company. The lawsuit alleges that various Microtune products infringe Broadcom's U.S. Patent No. 6,377,315B1. The complaint is seeking monetary damages resulting from the alleged infringement as well as injunctive relief precluding Microtune from taking any further action that infringes the U.S. Patent No. 6,377,315. On June 18, 2003 Broadcom filed a Motion to Dismiss this suit against Microtune with prejudice. We did not oppose the Motion to Dismiss.

On January 24, 2003, Broadcom Corporation filed a lawsuit alleging patent infringement in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California against Microtune. The lawsuit alleges that various Microtune products infringe Broadcom's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,445,039B1, 5,682,379 and 6,359,872. Two of these patents are also the subject of the March 3, 2003 action described below. The complaint seeks monetary damages resulting from the alleged infringement as well as injunctive relief precluding Microtune from taking any further action that infringes any of the listed patents. The case has been stayed pending resolution of the March 3, 2003, action described below. While we intend to vigorously defend this suit, we are unable at this time to determine whether the outcome of this litigation will have a material impact on our business prospects, results of operations or financial condition in any future period.

On March 3, 2003, Broadcom Corporation filed a complaint with the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) alleging patent infringement by Microtune products of Broadcom's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,445,039B1 and 5,682,379, which are also the subject of the lawsuit Broadcom filed on January 24, 2003 described above. The complaint seeks permanent injunctive relief excluding from entry into the United States the accused Microtune products. The ITC has appointed Administrative Law Judge Sidney Harris to schedule and hold an evidentiary hearing and make an initial determination. We are unable at this time to determine whether the outcome of the investigation will have a material impact on our

business prospects, results of operations or financial condition in any future period.

On April 24 2003, Broadcom Corporation filed a *Complaint For Declaratory Judgment of Patent Noninfringement* in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, Sherman Division, against Microtune. Broadcom is alleging that their BCM3416 and BCM93416 reference design do not infringe our U.S. Patent No. 5,737,035. While we intend to vigorously oppose this suit, we are unable at this time to determine whether the outcome of this litigation will have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition in any future period.

*Anti-Trust Litigation*

On February 27, 2003, we filed a lawsuit alleging anti-competitive and monopolistic conduct, as well as restraint of trade conduct, in violation of the Texas Anti-Trust Act, in the District Court of Williamson County, Texas, against Broadcom Corporation. On March 28, 2003 the lawsuit was removed to the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Austin Division. Microtune amended its complaint to allege violation of the Sherman Act and Clayton Act, as well as the Texas Anti-Trust Act. The lawsuit alleges that Broadcom engaged in various illegal anti-competitive activities including bundling its tuner together with its demodulator chips in attempts to exclude Microtune and other competitors from a substantial share of the tuner and cable modem markets. In our

**Table of Contents**

complaint, we seek injunctive relief and monetary damages resulting from the alleged unlawful conduct, and treble damages for willful anti-competitive and monopolistic conduct. We are unable at this time to determine whether the outcome of the litigation will have a material impact on our business prospects, results of operations or financial condition in any future period.

*Securities Litigation*

Starting on July 11, 2001, multiple purported securities fraud class action complaints were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. We are aware of at least three such complaints: *Berger v. Goldman, Sachs & Co., Inc. et al.*; *Atlas v. Microtune et al.*; and *Ellis Investments Ltd. v. Goldman Sachs & Co., Inc. et al.* The complaints are brought purportedly on behalf of all persons who purchased our common stock from August 4, 2000 through December 6, 2000 and are related to *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*. The Atlas complaint names as defendants Microtune, Douglas J. Bartek, our former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Everett Rogers, our former Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance and Administration and several investment banking firms that served as underwriters of our initial public offering. Microtune, Mr. Bartek and Mr. Rogers were served with notice of the Atlas complaint on August 22, 2001, however, they have not been served regarding the other referenced complaints. The Berger and Ellis Investment Ltd. complaints assert claims against the underwriters only. The complaints were consolidated and amended on May 29, 2002. The amended complaint alleges liability under §§11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 (1933 Act Claims) and §§10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (1934 Act Claims), on the grounds that the registration statement for our initial public offering did not disclose that (1) the underwriters had agreed to allow certain of their customers to purchase shares in the offering in exchange for excess commissions paid to the underwriters, and (2) the underwriters had arranged for certain of their customers to purchase additional shares in the aftermarket at pre-determined prices. The amended complaint also alleges that false analyst reports were issued. No specific amount of damages is claimed. We are aware that similar allegations have been made in other lawsuits filed in the Southern District of New York challenging over 300 other initial public offerings and secondary offerings conducted in 1998, 1999 and 2000. Those cases have been consolidated for pretrial purposes before the Honorable Shira A. Scheindlin. On February 19, 2003, the Court ruled on all defendants' motions to dismiss. The Court denied the motions to dismiss the 1933 Act Claims. The Court did not dismiss the 1934 Act Claims against us and other issuers and underwriters.

We have decided to accept a settlement proposal presented to all issuer defendants. In this settlement, plaintiffs will dismiss and release all claims against the Microtune defendants, in exchange for a contingent payment by the insurance companies collectively responsible for insuring the issuers in all of the IPO cases, and for the assignment or surrender of certain claims the Company may have against the underwriters. The Microtune defendants will not be required to make any cash payments in the settlement, unless the *pro rata* amount paid by the insurers in the settlement exceeds the amount of the insurance coverage, a circumstance which we do not believe will occur. The settlement will require approval by the Court, which cannot be assured, after class members are given the opportunity to object to the settlement or opt out of the settlement.

Beginning in February 2003, Microtune, our former Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, Douglas J. Bartek, our former Chief Financial Officer and Vice-President of Finance and Administration, Everett Rogers, our former President and Chief Operating Officer, William L. Housley, and our present Chief Financial Officer and General Counsel, Nancy A. Richardson, were named as defendants in several class action lawsuits alleging violations of federal securities laws and regulations. The claims of the plaintiffs in the various lawsuits include that the defendants violated §§10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as well as SEC Rule 10b-5, resulting in damages to persons who purchased, converted, exchanged, or otherwise acquired our common stock between April 23, 2001 and February 20, 2003, inclusive. The plaintiffs' specific allegations include that the defendants misrepresented material facts and omitted to state material facts necessary to make other statements made not misleading, and that these misrepresentations or omissions had the effect of artificially inflating Microtune's stock price. At this time, the alleged misrepresentations and omissions include allegations that: Microtune materially overstated revenue by recognizing certain sales immediately as revenue when deferred revenue recognition would have been more appropriate; Microtune failed to disclose that a material portion of its revenue had not been paid for or had not been paid in cash; Microtune lacked adequate internal controls and was therefore unable to ascertain its own true financial condition; Microtune's margins were being squeezed by a dramatic decline in the price of low-technology products; Microtune's product advantages were grossly overstated as Microtune was experiencing shortfalls due to the successes of Broadcom and Conexant; Microtune's customers agreed to take product only after receiving generous credits and/or were unable to pay for product; the value of Microtune's acquisition of Transilica was overstated by more than \$50 million; the financial statements in Microtune's SEC Form 10-Q submissions did not present, in all material respects, Microtune's true financial condition, and did not reflect all adjustments that were

## Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

necessary for a fair statement of the periods presented; Microtune's SEC Form 10-Q submissions were not presented in conformity with GAAP or principles of fair reporting; Microtune was shipping non-compliant product to customers to make its quarterly earnings and assuring the customers that it would replace non-compliant product in the future; and Microtune offered customers extended payment terms in exchange for accepting product the customers did not need or want. The relief sought by the plaintiffs in the various lawsuits, both individually and on behalf of shareholders, includes damages, interest, costs, fees, and expenses. The defendants have not yet filed a responsive pleading in any of the lawsuits. The actions have all been consolidated into one case and lead plaintiffs have been appointed. We intend to vigorously defend these suits. We are unable at this time to determine whether the outcome of the litigation will have a material impact on our results of operations or financial

**Table of Contents**

condition in any future period. Furthermore, there can be no assurance regarding the outcome of the litigation or any related claim for indemnification or contribution.

On August 4, 2003, we received notification that Microtune is the subject of an investigation by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The SEC advised that the process under way is a fact-finding investigation and that it has not reached any conclusions regarding the matter. We are cooperating fully with the SEC. We believe the investigation relates directly to our recently concluded internal inquiry commissioned by the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

If our directors' and officers' liability insurance is insufficient or unavailable to cover the amount of any damages that may result from pending and future securities litigation for any reason, we may be required to pay the costs of indemnifying and defending certain of our directors and officers from our cash reserves. Directors' and officers' liability insurance may not be available to us in sufficient amounts to cover any claims made in securities litigation filed against us in the future.

**Item 2. Changes In Securities and Use of Proceeds**

Not applicable.

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

Not applicable.

**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders**

Not applicable.

**Item 5. Other Information**

Not applicable.

**Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K**



## Edgar Filing: MICROTUNE INC - Form 10-Q/A

### (a) Exhibits

- 31.1\* Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2\* Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1\* Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2\* Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

---

\* Filed herewith.

### (b) Reports on Form 8-K

On April 16, 2003, we filed a Form 8-K with the SEC to announce, among other things, the delay of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 and the release of our first quarter 2003 results.

On April 24, 2003, we filed a Form 8-K with the SEC to announce that we received a NASDAQ Staff Determination on April 17, 2003 indicating that we failed to comply with the filing requirements for continued listing set forth in Marketplace Rule 4310(c)(14), and that we were therefore, subject to delisting from the NASDAQ National Market.

On April 28, 2003, we filed a Form 8-K with the SEC to announce that William L. Housley resigned from his position as the President and Chief Operating Officer.

On April 30, 2003, we filed a Form 8-K with the SEC to announce, among other things, the restatement of our financial results for 2001 and adjustments for the previously reported first three unaudited quarters of 2002.

On May 20, 2003, we filed a Form 8-K with the SEC to announce, among other things, the filing of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 and our Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2003, about mid-June 2003.

On June 5, 2003, we filed a Form 8-K with the SEC to announce, among other things, the filing of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 and our Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2003, in July 2003.

On June 30, 2003, we filed a Form 8-K with the SEC to announce that Douglas J. Bartek resigned from his position as the Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and President.

**Table of Contents**

**Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: April 29, 2004

/s/ JAMES A. FONTAINE

---

**James A. Fontaine**

**Chief Executive Officer**

**(Principal Executive Officer)**