

JOINT Corp
Form 10-K
March 09, 2018

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 001-36724

The Joint Corp.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware **90-0544160**
(State or Other Jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
Incorporation) *Identification No.)*

16767 N. Perimeter Drive, Suite 240, Scottsdale 85260

Arizona

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(480) 245-5960

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title Of Each Class</u>	<u>Name Of Each Exchange On Which Registered</u>
Common Stock, \$0.001 Par Value Per Share	The NASDAQ Capital Market LLC

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes

No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment of this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$46.5 million as of June 30, 2017 based on the closing sales price of the common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market.

There were 13,586,254 shares of the registrant's common stock issued and outstanding as of March 2, 2018.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement relating to its 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the registrant's fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page Numbers</u>
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>32</u>
<u>Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>69</u>
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>70</u>
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>71</u>

<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>71</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>72</u>

Forward-Looking Statements and Terminology

The information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, or this Form 10-K, including this discussion under the headings “Business” and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” contains forward-looking statements and information within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, which are subject to the “safe harbor” created by those sections. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included or incorporated in this Form 10-K could be deemed forward-looking statements, particularly statements about our plans, strategies and prospects under the headings “Business” and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.” In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “could,” “expects,” “plans,” “anticipates,” “believes,” “estimates,” “predicts,” “potential,” “negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. All forward-looking statements in this Form 10-K are made based on our current expectations, forecasts, estimates and assumptions, and involve risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause results or events to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. In evaluating these statements, you should specifically consider various factors, uncertainties and risks that could affect our future results or operations as described from time to time in our SEC reports., including those risks outlined under “Risk Factors” in Item 1A of this Form 10-K. These factors, uncertainties and risks may cause our actual results to differ materially from any forward-looking statement set forth in this Form 10-K. You should carefully consider the trends, risks and uncertainties described below and other information in this Form 10-K and subsequent reports filed with or furnished to the SEC before making any investment decision with respect to our securities. All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by this cautionary statement. Some of the important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those projected in any forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

we may not be able to successfully implement our growth strategy if we or our franchisees are unable to locate and secure appropriate sites for clinic locations, obtain favorable lease terms, and attract patients to our clinics;

- in operating company-owned or managed clinics, we may not be able to duplicate the success of some of our franchisees, and in the case of certain company-owned or managed clinics that we have closed or may close, we were not able to duplicate the success of our most successful franchisees;*

we may not be able to acquire operating clinics from existing franchisees or develop company-owned or managed clinics on attractive terms;

any acquisitions that we make could disrupt our business and harm our financial condition;

we may not be able to continue to sell regional developer licenses to qualified regional developers or sell franchises to qualified franchisees, and our regional developers and franchisees may not succeed in developing profitable territories and clinics;

• *we may not be able to identify, recruit and train enough qualified chiropractors to staff our clinics;*

• *new clinics may not reach the point of profitability, and we may not be able to maintain or improve revenues and franchise fees from existing franchised clinics;*

• *the chiropractic industry is highly competitive, with many well-established competitors, which could prevent us from increasing our market share or result in reduction in our market share;*

administrative actions and rulings regarding the corporate practice of medicine and joint employer responsibility may jeopardize our business model;

we may face negative publicity or damage to our reputation, which could arise from concerns expressed by opponents of chiropractic and by chiropractors operating under traditional service models;

our security systems may be breached, and we may face civil liability and public perception of our security measures could be diminished, either of which would negatively affect our ability to attract and retain patients;

legislation, regulations, as well as new medical procedures and techniques could reduce or eliminate our competitive advantages; and

we face increased costs as a result of being a public company.

Additionally, there may be other risks that are otherwise described from time to time in the reports that we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Any forward-looking statements in this report should be considered in light of various important factors, including the risks and uncertainties listed above, as well as others.

As used in this Form 10-K:

· “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to The Joint Corp.

· a “clinic” refers to a chiropractic clinic operating under our “Joint” brand, which may be (i) owned by a franchisee, (ii) owned by a professional corporation or limited liability company and managed by a franchisee; (iii) owned directly by us; or (iv) owned by a professional corporation or limited liability company and managed by us.

· when we identify an “operator” of a clinic, a party that is “operating” a clinic, or a party by whom a clinic is “operated,” we are referring to the party that operates all aspects of the clinic in certain jurisdictions, and to the party that manages all aspects of the clinic other than the practice of chiropractic in certain other jurisdictions.

· when we describe our acquisition or our opening of a clinic, we are referring to our acquisition or opening of the entity that operates all aspects of the clinic in certain jurisdictions, and to our acquisition or opening of the entity that manages aspects of the clinic other than the practice of chiropractic in certain other jurisdictions.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

Our principal business is to develop, own, operate, support and manage chiropractic clinics through direct ownership, management arrangements, franchising and the sale of regional developer rights throughout the United States.

We are a rapidly growing franchisor and operator of chiropractic clinics that uses a private pay, non-insurance, cash-based model. We seek to be the leading provider of chiropractic care in the markets we serve and to become the most recognized brand in our industry through the rapid and focused expansion of chiropractic clinics in key markets throughout North America and abroad. We strive to accomplish our mission by making quality care readily available and affordable in a retail setting. We have created a growing network of modern, consumer-friendly chiropractic clinics operated by franchisees and by us that employ licensed chiropractors. Our model enables us to price our services below most competitors' pricing for similar services and below most insurance co-payment levels (i.e., below the patient co-payment required for an insurance-covered service).

Since acquiring the predecessor to our company in March, 2010, we have grown our enterprise from eight to 399 clinics in operation as of December 31, 2017, with an additional 104 franchise licenses sold but not yet developed across our network, and eight letters of intent for future clinic licenses. As of December 31, 2017, 352 of our clinics were operated by franchisees and 47 clinics were operated as company-owned or managed clinics. We reached 400 open clinics system-wide in January, 2018, having opened 41 new franchised clinics during 2017. In the year ended December 31, 2017, our system registered nearly five million patient visits and generated system-wide sales of \$126.8 million. Our future growth strategy remains focused on accelerating the development of our franchise base through the sale of additional franchises and through a robust regional developer network. Additionally, this year we plan to opportunistically acquire select operating clinics or develop in areas in which we already support company-owned or managed clinics. We collect a royalty of 7.0% of revenues from franchised clinics. We remit a 3.0% royalty to our regional developers on the gross sales of franchises opened within certain regional developer protected territories. We also collect a national marketing fee of 2.0% of gross sales of all franchised clinics. We receive a franchise sales fee of \$39,900 for each franchise we sell directly and a franchise fee ranging from \$19,950 to \$25,400 for each franchise sold through our network of regional developers. If a franchisee purchases additional franchise licenses, the initial franchise fee is reduced by \$10,000 per additional license.

On November 14, 2014, we completed our initial public offering, or the IPO, of 3,000,000 shares of common stock at an initial price to the public of \$6.50 per share, and we received net proceeds of approximately \$17.1 million. Our underwriters exercised their option to purchase 450,000 additional shares of common stock to cover over-allotments on November 18, 2014, pursuant to which we received net proceeds of approximately \$2.7 million. Also, in conjunction with the IPO, we issued warrants to the underwriters for the purchase of 90,000 shares of common stock, which can be exercised between November 10, 2015 and November 10, 2018 at an exercise price of \$8.125 per share.

On November 25, 2015, we closed on our follow-on public offering of 2,272,727 shares of common stock, at a price to the public of \$5.50 per share. We granted the underwriters a 45-day option to purchase up to 340,909 additional shares of common stock to cover over-allotments, if any. On December 30, 2015, our underwriters exercised their over-allotment option to purchase an additional 340,909 shares of common stock at a price of \$5.50 per share. After giving effect to the over-allotment exercise, the total number of shares offered and sold in our follow-on public offering increased to 2,613,636 shares. With the over-allotment option exercise, we received aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$13.0 million.

We deliver convenient, appointment-free chiropractic adjustments in an inviting, open bay environment at prices that are approximately 66% lower than the average industry cost for comparable procedures offered by traditional chiropractors, according to 2017 industry data from Chiropractic Economics. In support of our mission to offer quality, affordable and convenient care and value for our patients, our clinics offer a variety of customizable membership and wellness treatment plans which provide additional value pricing even as compared with our single-visit pricing schedules. These flexible plans are designed to attract patients and encourage repeat visits and routine usage as part of an overall health and wellness program.

As of December 31, 2017, we had 399 franchised or company-owned or managed clinics in operation in 30 states. The map below shows the states in which we or our franchisees operate clinics and the number of clinics open in each state as of December 31, 2017.

Our retail locations have been selected to be visible, accessible and convenient. We offer a welcoming, consumer-friendly experience that attempts to redefine the chiropractic doctor/patient relationship. Our clinics are open longer hours than many of our competitors, including weekend days, and our patients do not need appointments. We accept cash or major credit cards in return for our services. We do not accept insurance and do not provide Medicare covered services. We believe that our approach, especially our commitment to affordable pricing and our ready service delivery model, will attract existing consumers of chiropractic services and will also appeal to the growing market of consumers who seek alternative or non-invasive wellness care, but have not yet tried chiropractic. According to our patient survey conducted in 2017 by WestGroup Research, 22% of our new patients had never tried chiropractic care before they came to The Joint.

Our patients arrive at our clinics without appointments at times convenient to their schedules. Once a patient has joined our system and is returning for treatment, they simply swipe their membership card at a card reader at the reception desk to announce their arrival. Typically, within three to five minutes (the average throughout our system), the patient is escorted to our open adjustment area, where they are required to remove only their outerwear to receive their adjustment. Each patient's records are digitally updated for retrieval in our proprietary data storage system by our chiropractors in compliance with all applicable medical records security and privacy regulations. The adjustment process, administered by a licensed chiropractor, takes approximately 15 –20 minutes on average for a new patient and 5 – 7 minutes on average for a returning patient.

Our consumer-focused service model targets the non-acute treatment market, which is part of the \$15 billion chiropractic services market. As our model does not focus on the treatment of severe, acute injury, we do not provide expensive and invasive diagnostic tools such as MRIs and X-rays. Instead we refer those with acute symptoms to alternate healthcare providers, including traditional chiropractors.

Our Industry

Chiropractic care is widely accepted among individuals with a variety of medical conditions, particularly back pain. A 2016 Gallup report commissioned by Palmer College of Chiropractic shows that 35.5 million U.S. adults (11% of the total U.S. population) now seek chiropractic care each year, an increase of 1.9 million as compared to the 33.6 million U.S. adults reported in the inaugural 2015 Gallup-Palmer report. These numbers represent a marked increase over the 2012 National Health Interview Survey that measured chiropractic use at 20.6 million U.S. adults, or 8% of the

population. According to the American Chiropractic Association, 80% of Americans experience back pain at least once in their lifetime. According to the 2016 Gallup report commissioned by the Palmer College of Chiropractic, over half of adults in the United States (55%) say they are likely to see a chiropractor if they had significant neck or back pain.

Chiropractic care is increasingly recognized as an effective treatment for pain and potentially for a variety of other conditions. The American College of Physicians (ACP) now recommends non-drug therapy such as spinal manipulation as a first line of treatment for patients with chronic low back pain. The ACP states that treatments such as spinal manipulation are shown to improve symptoms with little risk of harm. The National Center for Complementary & Alternative Medicine of the National Institutes of Health has stated that spinal manipulation appears to benefit some people with low-back pain and also may be helpful for headaches, neck pain, upper- and lower-extremity joint conditions and whiplash-associated disorders. The Mayo Clinic has recognized chiropractic as safe when performed by trained and licensed chiropractors, and the Cleveland Clinic has stated that chiropractors are established members of the mainstream medical team.

The chiropractic industry in the United States is large, growing and highly fragmented. The Bureau of Labor Statistics estimates that \$90 billion is spent on back pain each year in the U.S. According to a report issued by IBIS World Chiropractors Market Research in August 2016, expenditures for chiropractic services in the U.S. are \$15.0 billion annually. The United States Bureau of Labor Statistics expects employment in chiropractic to grow faster than the average for all occupations. Some of the factors that the Bureau of Labor Statistics identified as driving this growth are healthcare cost pressures, an aging population requiring more health care and technological advances, all of which are expected to increasingly shift services from inpatient facilities and hospitals to outpatient settings. We believe that the demand for our chiropractic services will continue to grow as a result of several additional drivers, such as the growing recognition of the benefits of regular maintenance therapy coupled with an increasing awareness of the convenience of our service and of our pricing at a significant discount to the cost of traditional chiropractic adjustments and, in most cases, at or below the level of insurance co-payment amounts.

Today, most chiropractic services are provided by sole practitioners, generally in medical office settings. The chiropractic industry differs from the broader healthcare services industry in that it is more heavily consumer-driven, market-responsive and price sensitive, in large measure a result of many treatment options falling outside the bounds of traditional insurance reimbursable services and fee schedules. According to First Research, the top 50 companies delivering chiropractic services in the United States generated less than 10% of all industry revenue. We believe these characteristics are evidence of an underserved market with potential consumer demand that is favorable for an efficient, low-cost, consumer-oriented provider.

Most chiropractic practices are set up to accept and to process insurance-based reimbursement. While chiropractors typically accept cash payment in addition to insurance, Medicare and Medicaid, they continue to incur overhead expenses associated with maintaining the capability to process third-party reimbursement. We believe that most chiropractors who use this third-party reimbursement model would find it economically difficult to discount the prices they charge for their services to levels comparable with our pricing.

Accordingly, we believe these and certain other trends favor our business model. Among these are:

-

People, most notably Millennials, have increasingly active lifestyles and are living longer, requiring more medical, maintenance and preventative support;

• People are increasingly open to alternative, non-pharmacological types of care;

• Utilization of more conveniently situated, local-sited urgent-care or “mini-care” alternatives to primary care is increasing; and

• Popularity of health clubs, massage and other non-drug, non-invasive wellness maintenance providers is growing.

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe the following competitive strengths have contributed to our initial success and will position us for future growth:

Retail, consumer-driven approach. To support our consumer-focused model, we use strong, recognizable retail approaches to stimulate brand-awareness and attract patients to our clinics. We intend to continue to drive awareness of our brand by locating clinics mainly at retail centers and convenience points, displaying prominent signage and employing consistent, proven and targeted marketing tools. We offer our patients the flexibility to visit our clinics without an appointment and receive prompt attention. Additionally, most of our clinics offer extended hours of operation, including weekends, which is not typical among our competitors.

We attracted an average per clinic of 862 new patients during the year ended December 31, 2017, as compared to the 2017 chiropractic industry average of 390 new patients per year for traditional insurance-based non-multidisciplinary or integrated practices, according to a 2017 Chiropractic Economics survey.

Quality Service. Across our system we have a community of over 1,000 fully licensed chiropractic doctors, who performed nearly five million adjustments last year. Our doctors provide patient care focused on pain relief and ongoing wellness to promote healthy, active lifestyles. We provide our doctors one-on-one training, as well as ongoing coaching and mentoring through our partnerships with two of the profession's preeminent instructors in chiropractic technique and adjusting. Our doctors continually refine their skills, as our clinics see an average of 234 patients per week, as compared to the 2017 chiropractic industry average of 137 patients per week for non-multidisciplinary or integrated practices, according to a 2017 Chiropractic Economics survey. Our service offerings encourage consumer trial, repeat visits and sustainable patient relationships.

By limiting the administrative burdens of insurance processing, our model helps chiropractors focus on patient service. We believe the time our chiropractors save by not having to perform administrative duties related to insurance reimbursement allows more time to see more patients, establish and reinforce chiropractor/patient relationships, and educate patients on the benefits of chiropractic maintenance therapy.

Our approach has made us an attractive alternative for chiropractic doctors who want to spend more time treating patients than they typically do in traditional practices, which are burdened with greater overhead, personnel and administrative expense. We believe that our model helps us to recruit chiropractors who want to focus their practice principally on patient care.

Pricing Structure. We believe that our strongest competitive advantages are our price and convenience. By focusing on non-acute care in an open-bay environment and by not participating in insurance or Medicare reimbursement, we are able to offer a much less expensive alternative to traditional chiropractic services. We can do this because our clinics do not have the expenses of performing certain diagnostic procedures and processing reimbursement claims. Our model allows us to pass these savings on to our patients. According to Chiropractic Economics in 2017, the average fee for a chiropractic treatment involving spinal manipulation in a cash-based practice in the United States is approximately \$77. By comparison, our average fee as of December 31, 2017, was approximately \$26, approximately 66% lower than the industry average price.

We believe our pricing and service offering structure helps us to generate higher usage. The following table sets forth our average price per adjustment as of December 31, 2017, for patients who pay by single adjustment plans, multiple adjustment packages, and multiple adjustment membership plans. Our price per adjustment as of December 31, 2017 averaged approximately \$26 across all three groups.

	The Joint Service Offering		
	Single Visit	Package(s)	Membership(s)
Price per adjustment	\$39	\$20 – \$33	\$15 – \$20

Proven track record of opening clinics and growing revenue at the clinic level. We have grown our clinic revenue base consistently since we acquired our predecessor in March 2010. From January 2012 through December 31, 2017, we have increased monthly sales at our clinics from \$0.4 million to \$12.0 million. During this period, we increased the number of clinics in operation from 33 to 399.

We continue to be encouraged by the ability of individual clinics to generate growth. While there is significant variation in results among our system, and the results of our top-performing clinics are not representative of our system overall, we believe it is worth noting that in January 2012, the highest-performing clinic in our system was a franchise clinic which had monthly sales of approximately \$45,000, and in December 2017, the highest performing clinic in our system was a franchise clinic which had monthly sales of approximately \$108,000.

Strong and proven management team. Our strategic vision is directed by our president and chief executive officer Peter D. Holt, who has more than 30 years of experience in domestic and international franchising, franchise development and operations. Under his direction we have confirmed our commitment to the continued strengthening of operations, the continued cultivation and management of our franchise community, as well as a strong commitment to future clinic development both domestically and internationally. Mr. Holt was most recently president and chief executive officer of Tasti-D-Lite & Planet Smoothie. He has also served as chief operating officer of 24seven Vending (U.S), where he directed its franchise system in the U.S., and as executive vice president of development for Mail Boxes Etc. and vice president of international for I Can't Believe It's Yogurt and Java Coast Fine Coffees. Mr. Holt directs a team of dedicated leaders who are focused on executing our business plan and implementing our growth strategy.

During 2016 and 2017, Mr. Holt assembled a strong management team including John Meloun as chief financial officer. In addition to valuable institutional memory, Mr. Meloun has financial and accounting experience from the University of Phoenix, Emerson Network Power and Motorola.

Also joining the team in 2016 was Eric Simon, vice president of franchise sales and development. Mr. Simon has over 20 years of experience in all aspects of franchising, most recently as director of franchise development for AAMCO Transmissions. Mr. Simon spent five years as a franchisee and area developer with Extreme Pita and previously spent 10 years with Mail Boxes Etc. in franchise sales roles.

In 2017, Jorge Armenteros joined as vice president of operations bringing with him more than 30 years of franchise operations leadership experience. For 10 years prior to joining the team, Mr. Armenteros was the executive senior vice president of franchise operations and corporate development for Campero USA, a fast food restaurant chain. Prior to that, he held progressively senior roles up to zone vice president and corporate office with the Dunkin Donuts, Baskin Robbins, Togo's brand. His career also includes a period as a multi-unit franchisee of Dunkin Donuts..

Amy Karroum was promoted to vice president of human resources in 2017, having joined us in 2015. Prior to working at The Joint, Ms. Karroum was director of human resources for Thermo Fluids, an oil recycling company. And before that she spent five years in homebuilding with both Taylor Morrison and Pulte Homes.

In 2018, Jason Greenwood joined our management team as Vice President of Marketing. Mr. Greenwood spent the last 10 years at Peter Piper Pizza in progressively responsible roles, most recently as chief marketing officer. Prior to that he was a multi-unit franchisee for Robeks Juice.

We believe that our management team's experience and demonstrated success in building and operating a robust franchise system will be a key driver of our growth and will position us well for achieving our long-term strategy.

Our Growth Strategy

Our goal is not only to capture a significant share of the existing market but also to expand the market for chiropractic care. We are accomplishing this through the rapid geographic expansion of our affordable franchising program and the opportunistic addition of company-owned or managed clinics. While we temporarily suspended our addition of company-owned or managed clinics during 2017 in order to stabilize our corporate clinic portfolio, we believe that we will be able to resume taking advantage of opportunities for the addition of company-owned or managed clinics during 2018. Accordingly, our long-term growth tactics include:

- the continued growth of system sales and royalty income;
- accelerating the opening of clinics already in development;
- the sale of additional franchises;
- the sale of additional regional developer protected territories;

- increasing the capability and capacity of our existing regional developer network;
- improving operational margins and leveraging infrastructure;
- the opportunistic acquisition of existing franchises – referred to as “buybacks”; and
- the development of company-owned clinics – referred to as “greenfields” – in clustered geographies.

Our analysis of data from over 500,000 patient records from 395 clinics across 30 states suggests that the United States market alone can support at least 1,700 of our clinics.

Continued growth of system sales.

System wide comparable same-store sales growth, or “Comp Sales,” for 2017 was 21.0%, reflecting the growing acceptance of The Joint business model. Comp Sales refers to the amount of sales a clinic generates in the most recent accounting period, relative to the amount of sales it generated in a similar period in the past. Comp Sales include the sales from both company-owned or managed clinics and franchised clinics that in each case have been open at least 13 full months, and exclude any clinics that have closed. We believe that the experience we have gained in developing and refining management systems, operating standards, training materials and marketing and customer acquisition activities has contributed to our system’s revenue growth. In addition, we believe that increasing awareness of our brand has contributed to revenue growth, particularly in markets where the number and density of our clinics has made cooperative and mass media advertising attractive. We believe that our ability to leverage aggregated and general media digital advertising and search tools will continue to grow as the number and density of our clinics increases.

Selling additional franchises.

We will continue to sell franchises. We believe that to secure leadership in our industry and to maximize our opportunities in our markets, it is important to gain brand equity and consumer awareness as rapidly as possible, consistent with a disciplined approach to opening clinics. We believe that continued sales of franchises in selected markets is the most effective way to drive brand awareness in the short term. Our longer-term strategy includes the resumption of opening or acquiring company-owned or managed clinics, and we believe that a growth strategy that includes both franchised and company-owned or managed clinics has advantages over either approach by itself.

Selling additional Regional Developer rights.

We believe that we can achieve scale faster by using a regional developer model, which is employed by many successful franchisors. We sell a regional developer the rights to open a minimum number of clinics in a defined territory. They in turn help us to identify and qualify potential new franchisees in that territory and assist us in providing field training, clinic openings and ongoing support. In return, we share part of the initial franchise fee and pay the regional developer 3% of the 7% ongoing royalties we collect from the franchisees in their protected territory. On December 31, 2016 we had eight regional developers operating. In 2017 we sold the rights to an additional 10 regional developer territories for a combined minimum development commitment of 259 clinics over the lifetime of their regional developer agreements.

Opening clinics in development.

In addition to our 399 operating clinics, as of December 31, 2017, we have granted franchises, either directly or through our regional developers, for an additional 104 clinics that we believe will be developed in the future. We will continue to support our franchisees and regional developers to open these clinics and to achieve sustainable performance as rapidly as possible.

During the year ended December 31, 2017, we terminated three franchise licenses for undeveloped clinics that were in default.

Continue to improve margins and leverage infrastructure.

We believe our corporate infrastructure can support a clinic base greater than our existing footprint. As we continue to grow, we expect to drive greater efficiencies across our operations, development and marketing programs and further leverage our technology and existing support infrastructure. We believe we will be able to control corporate costs over time to enhance margins as general and administrative expenses grow at a slower rate than our clinic base and sales. At the clinic level, we expect to drive margins and labor efficiencies through continued sales growth and consistently applied operating standards as our clinic base matures and the average number of patient visits increases. In addition, we will consider introducing selected and complementary branded products such as nutraceuticals or dietary supplements and related additional services.

Acquiring existing franchises.

We believe that we can accelerate the development of, and revenue generation from, company-owned or managed clinics through the further selective acquisition of existing franchised clinics. We will seek out the opportunistic acquisition of existing franchised clinics that meet our criteria for demographics, site attractiveness, proximity to other clinics and additional suitability factors. Following the completion of the IPO through December 31, 2017, we acquired 34 existing franchises, subsequently closed three, and continue to operate 31 of them as company-owned or managed clinics.

Development of company-owned or managed clinics.

We acquired our first company-owned or managed clinic on December 31, 2014. In the first full calendar quarter after that acquisition, total revenue from company-owned or managed clinics was \$0.4 million, growing to approximately \$3.0 million in the quarter ended December 31, 2017. From July, 2016, we ceased additional expansion of our company-owned or managed clinic portfolio to allow our portfolio of clinics to mature and to focus resources on the growth of our franchise system. In January, 2017, we sold the assets of six of our 11 clinics in the Chicago area for a nominal amount to a limited liability company that includes existing franchisees as members, and recorded a related impairment charge. The limited liability company operates the clinics pursuant to a franchise agreement. We closed the remaining five Chicago-area clinics, as well as three company-managed clinics in upstate New York. Total revenue from our 47 company-owned or managed clinics was approximately \$11.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 as compared to \$8.6 million from 61 company-owned or managed clinics for the year ended December 31, 2016. Through December 31, 2017, revenue from company-owned or managed clinics consisted of revenue earned from 31 franchised clinics that we acquired, as well as 16 clinics that we developed.

When we resume the acquisition and development of company-owned or managed clinics, we intend to target geographic clusters where we are able to increase efficiencies through a consolidated real estate penetration strategy, leverage cooperative advertisement and marketing, and attain general corporate and administrative operating efficiencies. We also believe that the development timeline and point of break-even for company-owned or managed clinics can be shortened and that our revenue from company-owned or managed clinics will ultimately exceed revenue that would be generated through royalty income from a franchise-only system.

Regulatory Environment

HIPAA

In an effort to further combat healthcare fraud and protect patient confidentiality, Congress included several anti-fraud measures in the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA). HIPAA created a source of funding for fraud control to coordinate federal, state and local healthcare law enforcement programs, conduct investigations, provide guidance to the healthcare industry concerning fraudulent healthcare practices, and establish a national data bank to receive and report final adverse actions. HIPAA also criminalized certain forms of healthcare fraud against all public and private payors. Additionally, HIPAA mandates the adoption of standards regarding the exchange of healthcare information in an effort to ensure the privacy and security of electronic patient information. Sanctions for failing to comply with HIPAA include criminal penalties and civil sanctions. In February 2009, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) was enacted. Title XIII of ARRA, the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH), includes substantial Medicare and Medicaid incentives for providers to adopt electronic health records (“EHR”) and grants for the development of health information exchange (“HIE”) systems. Recognizing that HIE and EHR systems will not be implemented unless the public can be assured that the privacy and security of patient information in such systems is protected, HITECH also significantly expands the scope of the privacy and security requirements under HIPAA. Most notable are the new mandatory breach notification requirements and a heightened enforcement scheme that includes increased penalties, and which now apply to business associates as well as to covered entities. In addition to HIPAA, a number of states have adopted laws and/or regulations applicable in the use and disclosure of individually identifiable health information that can be more stringent than comparable provisions under HIPAA and HITECH.

We believe that our operations substantially comply with applicable standards for privacy and security of protected healthcare information. We cannot predict what negative effect, if any, HIPAA/HITECH or any applicable state law or regulation will have on our business.

State regulations on corporate practice of chiropractic.

In states that regulate the “corporate practice of chiropractic,” our chiropractic services are provided by legal entities organized under state laws as professional corporations, or PCs or their equivalents. Each of the PCs is wholly owned by one or more licensed chiropractors, and employs or contracts with chiropractors in one or more offices. We do not own any capital stock of (or have any other ownership interest in) any such PC. We and our franchisees that are not owned by chiropractors enter into management services agreements with PCs to provide the PCs on an exclusive basis with all non-clinical administrative services needed by the chiropractic practice. In November, 2015, the California Board of Chiropractic Examiners commenced an administrative proceeding to which we were not a party, in which it claimed that the doctor who owns the PC that we manage in southern California violated California’s prohibition on the corporate practice of chiropractic, among other claims, because our management of the clinics operated by his PC involved the exercise of control over certain clinical aspects of his practice. The California Board of Chiropractic Examiners subsequently dismissed those claims in congruence with findings of the overseeing administrative judge. In June 2015, the New York Attorney General announced that it had entered into an Assurance of Discontinuance with a provider of business services to independently owned dental practices in New York, pursuant to which the provider paid a substantial fine and agreed to change its business and branding practices. While the effect of the proceeding before the California Board of Chiropractic Examiners and the New York Assurance of Discontinuance is that our business practices in California and New York may be under stricter scrutiny than elsewhere, we believe we are in substantial compliance with all applicable laws relating to the corporate practice of chiropractic.

Regulation relating to franchising

We are subject to the rules and regulations of the Federal Trade Commission and various state laws regulating the offer and sale of franchises. The Federal Trade Commission and various state laws require that we furnish a Franchise Disclosure Document or FDD containing certain information to prospective franchisees, and a number of states require registration of the FDD at least annually with state authorities. Included in the information required to be disclosed in our FDD is our business experience, material litigation, all fees due to us from franchisees, a franchisee's estimated initial investment, restrictions on sources of products and services we impose on franchisees, development and operating obligations of franchisees, whether we provide financing to franchisees, our training and support obligations and other terms and conditions of our franchise agreement. We are operating under exemptions from registration in several states based on our qualifications for exemption as set forth in those states' laws. Substantive state laws regulating the franchisor-franchisee relationship presently exist in many states. We believe that our FDD and franchising procedures comply in all material respects with both the Federal Trade Commission guidelines and all applicable state laws regulating franchising in those states in which we have offered franchises. As of December 31, 2017, we were registered to sell franchises in every state (where registrations are required); and could sell franchises in all 50 states.

Other federal, state and local regulation

We are subject to varied federal regulations affecting the operation of our business. We are subject to the U.S. Fair Labor Standards Act, the U.S. Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986, the Occupational Safety and Health Act and various other federal and state laws governing such matters as minimum wage requirements, overtime, fringe benefits, workplace safety and other working conditions and citizenship requirements. A significant number of our clinic service personnel are paid at rates related to the applicable minimum wage, and increases in the minimum wage could increase our labor costs. We are continuing to assess the impact of recently-adopted federal health care legislation on our health care benefit costs. Many of our smaller franchisees will qualify for exemption from the mandatory requirement to provide health insurance benefits because of their small number of employees. The imposition of any requirement that we or our franchisees provide health insurance benefits to our or their employees that are more extensive than the health insurance benefits that we currently provide to our employees or that franchisees may or may not provide, or the imposition of additional employer paid employment taxes on income earned by our employees, could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial position. Our distributors and suppliers also may be affected by higher minimum wage and benefit standards, which could result in higher costs for goods and services supplied to us.

In August, 2015, the National Labor Relations Board (or "NLRB") adopted a more expansive definition of what it means to be a "joint employer," making it easier for employees of franchisees to organize and bargain collectively. This NLRB action, as well as a July 2014 NLRB action holding that McDonald's Corporation could be held jointly liable for labor and wage violations by its franchisees, may also make it easier for a franchisor to be held responsible as employer for a franchisee's misconduct. In December, 2017, the NLRB reversed the standard it had set in 2015 and reinstated a narrower definition of what it means to be a "joint employer." We believe that this recent NLRB action is favorable to us and makes it less likely that we would be held accountable for the actions of our franchisees. In

February 2018, the NLRB reversed its decision to revert to the narrower (and thus more favorable to us) definition of “joint employer,” due to a conflict of interest on the part of one of the NLRB’s commissioners. While this action was taken more on procedural than on policy grounds, it effectively reinstates the NLRB’s 2015 ruling.

We are required to comply with the accessibility standards mandated by the U.S. Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and related federal and state statutes, which generally prohibit discrimination in accommodation or employment based on disability. We may, in the future, have to modify our clinics to provide service to or make reasonable accommodations for disabled persons. While these expenses could be material, our current expectation is that any such actions will not require us to expend substantial funds.

We are subject to extensive and varied state and local government regulation affecting the operation of our business, as are our franchisees, including regulations relating to public and occupational health and safety, sanitation, fire prevention and franchise operation. Each franchised clinic is subject to licensing and regulation by a number of governmental authorities, which include zoning, health, safety, sanitation, environmental, building and fire agencies in the jurisdiction in which the clinic is located. We require our franchisees to operate in accordance with standards and procedures designed to comply with applicable codes and regulations. However, our or our franchisees’ inability to obtain or retain health or other licenses would adversely affect operations at the impacted clinic or clinics. Although we have not experienced and do not anticipate any significant difficulties, delays or failures in obtaining required licenses, permits or approvals, any such problem could delay or prevent the opening of, or adversely impact the viability of, a particular clinic. In addition, in order to develop and construct our clinics, we need to comply with applicable zoning and land use regulations. Federal and state regulations have not had a material effect on our operations to date, but more stringent and varied requirements of local governmental bodies with respect to zoning and land use could delay or even prevent construction and increase development costs of new clinics.

Competition

The chiropractic industry is highly fragmented. According to First Research's report, the top 50 providers of chiropractic services in the United States generate less than 10% of industry revenue. Our competitors include approximately 39,000 independent chiropractic offices currently open throughout the United States, according to a 2017 Kentley Insights market research report, as well as certain multi-unit operators. We may also face competition from traditional medical practices, outpatient clinics, physical therapists, med-spas, massage therapists and sellers of devices intended for home use to address back and joint discomfort. Our three largest multi-unit competitors are HealthSource Chiropractic, AlignLife Chiropractic & Natural Health Centers and ChiroOne Wellness Centers, all of which are insurance-based models.

We have identified three competitors who are attempting to duplicate our cash-only, low cost, appointment-free model. Based on publicly available information, these competitors each operate less than ten clinics as franchises. We anticipate that other direct competitors will join our industry as our visibility, reputation and perceived advantages become more widely known. We believe our first mover advantage, proprietary operations systems, and strong unit level economics will continue to accelerate our growth even with the spawning of additional competition.

Employees

As of March 2, 2018, we had 148 employees on a full-time basis. None of our employees are members of unions or participate in other collective bargaining arrangements.

Facilities

We lease the property for our corporate headquarters and all of the properties on which we own or manage clinics. As of March 2, 2018, we leased 47 facilities in which we operate or intend to operate clinics.

Our corporate headquarters are located at 16767 North Perimeter Drive, Suite 240, Scottsdale, Arizona 85260. The term of our lease for this location expires on July 31, 2019. The primary functions performed at our corporate headquarters are financial, accounting, treasury, marketing, operations, human resources, information systems support and legal.

We are also obligated under non-cancellable leases for the clinics which we own or manage. Our clinics are on average 1,200 square feet. Our clinic leases generally have an initial term of five years, include one to two options to renew for terms of five years, and require us to pay a proportionate share of real estate taxes, insurance, common area maintenance charges and other operating costs.

As of March 2, 2018, our franchisees operated 355 clinics in 29 states. All of our franchise locations are leased.

Intellectual Property

Trademarks, trade names and service marks

“The Joint Chiropractic” is our trademark, registered in December 2016, under registration number 5095943. We have also registered "Relief Recovery Wellness" in February 2018, under registration number 5398367, “Be Chiro-Practical” in October 2017, under registration number 5313693, “Relief. On so many levels” in December 2015, under registration number 4871809, and “The Joint” in April 2015, under registration number 4723892.

Additional trademarks previously registered include “The Joint... the Chiropractic Place” registered in February 2011, under registration number 3922558. We also registered the words, letters, and stylized form of service mark, “The Joint... the Chiropractic Place” in April 2013 under registration number 4323810.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Risks Related to Our Business

New clinics, once opened, may not be profitable, and the increases in average clinic sales and comparable clinic sales that we have experienced in the past may not be indicative of future results.

Our clinics continue to demonstrate increases in comparable clinic sales even as they mature. Our annual Comp Sales for the full year 2017, for clinics that have been open for greater than 48 months was 13%. However, we cannot assure you that this will continue for our existing clinics or that clinics we open in the future will see similar results. In new markets, the length of time before average sales for new clinics stabilize is less predictable and can be longer than we expect because of our limited knowledge of these markets and consumers’ limited awareness of our brand. New clinics may not be profitable and their sales performance may not follow historical patterns. In addition, our average clinic sales and comparable clinic sales for existing clinics may not increase at the rates achieved over the past several years. Our ability to operate new clinics, especially company-owned or managed clinics, profitably and increase average clinic sales and comparable clinic sales will depend on many factors, some of which are beyond our control, including:

- consumer awareness and understanding of our brand;

general economic conditions, which can affect clinic traffic, local rent and labor costs and prices we pay for the supplies we use;

changes in consumer preferences and discretionary spending;

competition, either from our competitors in the chiropractic industry or our own clinics;

the identification and availability of attractive sites for new facilities and the anticipated commercial, residential and infrastructure development near our new facilities;

changes in government regulation; and

other unanticipated increases in costs, any of which could give rise to delays or cost overruns.

If our new clinics do not perform as planned, our business and future prospects could be harmed. In addition, if we are unable to achieve our expected average clinics sales, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Our failure to manage our growth effectively could harm our business and operating results.

Our growth plan includes a significant number of new clinics, focused currently on franchised clinics, but in the long term, also including company-owned or managed clinics. Our existing clinic management systems, administrative staff, financial and management controls and information systems may be inadequate to support our planned expansion. Those demands on our infrastructure and resources may also adversely affect our ability to manage our existing clinics. Managing our growth effectively will require us to continue to enhance these systems, procedures and controls and to hire, train and retain managers and team members. We may not respond quickly enough to the changing demands that our expansion will impose on our management, clinic teams and existing infrastructure which could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. We are currently in the process of replacing and upgrading our management information systems, and we cannot provide assurances that we will accomplish this without delays, difficulties or service interruptions.

Our long-term strategy involves opening new, company-owned or managed clinics, and is subject to many unpredictable factors.

One component of our long-term growth strategy will be to open new company-owned or managed clinics and to operate those clinics on a profitable basis. After the sale or closing of 14 company-owned or managed clinics in Chicago and Upstate New York during 2017, we currently own or manage 47 company-owned or managed clinics. We suspended the development of new company-owned or managed clinics from July 2016 in order to stabilize our corporate clinic portfolio, and when we resume development of such clinics in 2018, we may not be able to open new company-owned or managed clinics as quickly as planned. In the past, we have experienced delays in opening some franchised clinics, for various reasons, including the landlord's failure to turn over the premises to our franchisee on a timely basis. Such delays could happen again in future clinic openings. Delays or failures in opening new clinics could materially and adversely affect our growth strategy and our business, financial condition and results of operations. As we operate more clinics, our rate of expansion relative to the size of our clinic base will eventually decline.

In addition, one of our biggest challenges is locating and securing an adequate supply of suitable new clinic sites in our target markets. Competition for those sites is intense, and other retail concepts that compete for those sites may have unit economic models that permit them to bid more aggressively for those sites than we can. There is no guarantee that a sufficient number of suitable sites will be available in desirable areas or on terms that are acceptable to us in order to achieve our growth plan. Our ability to open new clinics also depends on other factors, including:

- negotiating leases with acceptable terms;

- identifying, hiring and training qualified employees in each local market;

- timely delivery of leased premises to us from our landlords and punctual commencement and completion of construction;

- managing construction and development costs of new clinics, particularly in competitive markets;

- obtaining construction materials and labor at acceptable costs, particularly in urban markets;

- unforeseen engineering or environmental problems with leased premises;

- generating sufficient funds from operations or obtaining acceptable financing to support our future development;

-

securing required governmental approvals, permits and licenses (including construction permits and operating licenses) in a timely manner and responding effectively to any changes in local, state or federal laws and regulations that adversely affect our costs or ability to open new clinics; and

avoiding the impact of inclement weather, natural disasters and other calamities.

Our expansion into new markets may be more costly and difficult than we currently anticipate which would result in slower growth than we expect.

Clinics we open in new markets may take longer to reach expected sales and profit levels on a consistent basis and may have higher construction, occupancy, marketing or operating costs than clinics we open in existing markets, thereby affecting our overall profitability. New markets may have competitive conditions, consumer tastes and discretionary spending patterns that are more difficult to predict or satisfy than our existing markets. We may need to make greater investments than we originally planned in advertising and promotional activity in new markets to build brand awareness. We may find it more difficult in new markets to hire, motivate and keep qualified employees who share our vision and culture. We may also incur higher costs from entering new markets, particularly with company-owned clinics if, for example, we hire and assign regional managers to manage comparatively fewer clinics than in more developed markets. For these reasons, both our new franchised clinics and our new company-owned or managed clinics may be less successful than our existing franchised clinics or may achieve target rates of patient visits at a slower rate. If we do not successfully execute our plans to enter new markets, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Opening new clinics in existing markets may negatively affect revenue at our existing clinics.

The target area of our clinics varies by location and depends on a number of factors, including population density, other available retail services, area demographics and geography. As a result, the opening of a new clinic in or near markets in which we already have clinics could adversely affect the revenues of those existing clinics. Existing clinics could also make it more difficult to build our patient base for a new clinic in the same market. Our business strategy does not entail opening new clinics that we believe will materially affect revenue at our existing clinics, but we may selectively open new clinics in and around areas of existing clinics that are operating at or near capacity to effectively serve our patients. Revenue “cannibalization” between our clinics may become significant in the future as we continue to expand our operations and could affect our revenue growth, which could, in turn, adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Any acquisitions that we make could disrupt our business and harm our financial condition.

From time to time, we may evaluate potential strategic acquisitions of existing franchised clinics to facilitate our growth. We may not be successful in identifying acquisition candidates. In addition, we may not be able to continue the operational success of any franchised clinics we acquire or successfully integrate any businesses that we acquire. We may have potential write-offs of acquired assets and an impairment of any goodwill recorded as a result of acquisitions. Furthermore, the integration of any acquisition may divert management’s time and resources from our core business and disrupt our operations or may result in conflicts with our business. Any acquisition may not be successful, may reduce our cash reserves and may negatively affect our earnings and financial performance. We cannot ensure that any acquisitions we make will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Damage to our reputation or our brand in existing or new markets could negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We believe we have built our reputation on high quality patient care, and we must protect and grow the value of our brand to continue to be successful in the future. Our brand may be diminished if we do not continue to make investments in areas such as marketing and advertising, as well as the day-to-day investments required for facility operations, equipment upgrades and staff training. Any incident, real or perceived, regardless of merit or outcome, that erodes our brand, such as failure to comply with federal, state or local regulations including allegations or perceptions of non-compliance or failure to comply with ethical and operating standards, could significantly reduce the value of our brand, expose us to adverse publicity and damage our overall business and reputation. Further, our brand value could suffer and our business could be adversely affected if patients perceive a reduction in the quality of service or staff.

We may be unable to maintain or improve our operating margins, which could adversely affect our financial condition and ability to grow.

If we are unable to successfully manage our growth, we may not be able to capture the efficiencies and opportunities that we expect from our expansion strategy. If we are not able to capture expected efficiencies of scale, maintain patient volumes, improve our systems and equipment, continue our cost discipline and retain appropriate chiropractors and overall labor levels, our operating margins may stagnate or decline, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations and adversely affect the price of our common stock.

We have experienced net losses and may not achieve or sustain profitability in the future.

We have experienced periods of net losses, including consolidated net losses of approximately \$3.3 and \$15.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Our revenue may not grow and we may not achieve or maintain profitability in the future. Even if we do achieve profitability, we may not sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis in the future. Our ability to achieve profitability will be affected by the other risks and uncertainties described in this section and in Management's Discussion and Analysis. If we are not able to achieve, sustain or increase profitability, our business will be materially adversely affected and the price of our common stock may decline.

Our marketing programs may not be successful.

We incur costs and expend other resources in our marketing efforts to attract and retain patients. Our marketing activities are principally focused on increasing brand awareness and driving patient volumes. As we open new facilities, we undertake aggressive marketing campaigns to increase community awareness about our growing presence. We plan to utilize targeted marketing efforts within local neighborhoods through channels such as radio, digital media, community sponsorships and events, and a robust online/social media presence. These initiatives may not be successful, resulting in expenses incurred without the benefit of higher revenue. Our ability to market our services may be restricted or limited by federal or state law.

We will be subject to all of the risks associated with leasing space subject to long-term non-cancelable leases for clinics that we intend to operate.

We do not own and we do not intend to own any of the real property where our company-owned or managed clinics will operate. We expect the spaces for the company-owned or managed clinics we intend to open in the future will be leased. We anticipate that our leases generally will have an initial term of five or ten years and generally can be extended only in five-year increments (at increased rates). We expect that all of our leases will require a fixed annual rent, although some may require the payment of additional rent if clinic sales exceed a negotiated amount. We expect that our leases will typically be net leases, which require us to pay all of the cost of insurance, taxes, maintenance and utilities, and that these leases will not be cancellable by us. If a future company-owned clinic is not profitable, resulting in its closure, we may nonetheless be committed to perform our obligations under the applicable lease including, among other things, paying the base rent for the balance of the lease term. In addition, we may fail to negotiate renewals as each of our leases expires, either on commercially acceptable terms or at all, which could cause us to pay increased occupancy costs or to close stores in desirable locations. These potential increases in occupancy costs and the cost of closing company-owned or managed clinics could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. We have settled disputes over future rent with landlords at eleven of the fourteen clinics that we either closed or never opened. We are currently negotiating with the remaining three landlords.

Our intended reliance on sources of revenue other than from franchise and regional developer licenses exposes us to risks including the loss of revenue and reduction of working capital.

From the commencement of our operations until we began to acquire or open company-owned or managed clinics, we have relied exclusively on the sale of franchises and regional developer licenses as sources of revenue until the franchises we have sold begin to generate royalty revenues. While we have determined to re-emphasize our franchising strategy in the near term, we may place less reliance in the future on these sources of revenue when we resume acquiring, developing and operating company-owned or managed clinics. Prior to January 1, 2018, we did not recognize revenues from company-owned or managed clinics until the opening of those clinics, and we will be required to use our working capital to operate our business and to develop company-owned or managed clinics. If the opening of our company-owned or managed clinics is delayed or if the cost of developing company-owned or managed clinics exceeds our expectations, we may experience insufficient working capital to fully implement our development plans, and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Our potential need to raise additional capital to accomplish our objectives of expanding into new markets and selectively developing company-owned or managed clinics exposes us to risks including limiting our ability to develop or acquire clinics and limiting our financial flexibility.

We intend to resume the selective development and acquisition of company-owned or managed clinics and related businesses. If we do not have sufficient cash resources, our ability to develop and acquire clinics and related businesses could be limited unless we are able to obtain additional capital through future debt or equity financings. Using cash to finance development and acquisition of clinics and related businesses could limit our financial flexibility by reducing cash available for operating purposes. Using debt financing could result in lenders imposing financial covenants that limit our operations and financial flexibility. Using equity financing may result in dilution of ownership interests of our existing stockholders. We may also use common stock as consideration for the future acquisition of clinics and related businesses. If our common stock does not maintain a sufficient market value or if prospective acquisition candidates are unwilling to accept our common stock as part of the consideration for the sale of their clinics or businesses, we may be required to use more of our cash resources or greater debt financing to complete these acquisitions.

Changes in economic conditions and adverse weather and other unforeseen conditions could materially affect our ability to maintain or increase sales at our clinics or open new clinics.

Our services emphasize maintenance therapy, which is generally not a medical necessity, and should be viewed as a discretionary medical expenditure. The United States in general or the specific markets in which we operate may suffer from depressed economic activity, recessionary economic cycles, higher fuel or energy costs, low consumer confidence, high levels of unemployment, reduced home values, increases in home foreclosures, investment losses, personal bankruptcies, reduced access to credit or other economic factors that may affect consumer discretionary spending. Traffic in our clinics could decline if consumers choose to reduce the amount they spend on non-critical medical procedures. Negative economic conditions might cause consumers to make long-term changes to their discretionary spending behavior, including reducing medical discretionary spending on a permanent basis. In addition, given our geographic concentrations in the West, Southwest and mid-Atlantic regions of the United States, economic conditions in those particular areas of the country could have a disproportionate impact on our overall results of operations, and regional occurrences such as local strikes, terrorist attacks, increases in energy prices, adverse weather conditions, tornadoes, earthquakes, hurricanes, floods, droughts, fires or other natural or man-made disasters could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Adverse weather conditions may also impact customer traffic at our clinics. All of our clinics depend on visibility and walk-in traffic, and the effects of adverse weather may decrease visits to malls in which our clinics are located and negatively impact our revenues. If clinic sales decrease, our profitability could decline as we spread fixed costs across a lower level of sales. Reductions in staff levels, asset impairment charges and potential clinic closures could result from prolonged negative clinic sales, which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our dependence on the success of our franchisees exposes us to risks including the loss of royalty revenue and harm to our brand.

A substantial portion of our revenues comes from royalties generated by our franchised clinics. We anticipate that franchise royalties will represent a substantial part of our revenues in the future. As of December 31, 2017, we had franchisees operating 352 clinics. Accordingly, we are reliant on the performance of our franchisees in successfully opening and operating their clinics and paying royalties to us on a timely basis. Our franchise system subjects us to a number of risks as described in the next four risk factors, any one of which could impact our ability to collect royalty payments from our franchisees, may harm the goodwill associated with our brand and may materially adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Our franchisees are independent operators over whom we have limited control.

Franchisees are independent operators, and their employees are not our employees. Accordingly, their actions are outside of our control. Although we have developed criteria to evaluate and screen prospective franchisees, we cannot be certain that our franchisees will have the business acumen or financial resources necessary to operate successful franchises in their approved locations, and state franchise laws may limit our ability to terminate or modify these

franchise agreements. Moreover, despite our training, support and monitoring, franchisees may not successfully operate clinics in a manner consistent with our standards and requirements, or may not hire and adequately train qualified personnel. The failure of our franchisees to operate their franchises successfully and the actions taken by their employees could have a material adverse effect on our reputation, our brand and our ability to attract prospective franchisees, and on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

A July, 2014 decision by the United States National Labor Relations Board (or “NLRB”) held that McDonald’s Corporation could be held jointly liable for labor and wage violations by its franchisees. Also, in August, 2015, the NLRB adopted a more expansive definition of what it means to be a “joint employer,” making it easier for employees of franchisees to organize and bargain collectively. In December, 2017, the NLRB reversed the standard it had set in 2015 and reinstated a narrower definition of what it means to be a “joint employer.” We believe that this recent NLRB action is favorable to us and makes it less likely that we would be held accountable for the actions of our franchisees, however, if this standard is again expanded, or if the McDonald’s decision is upheld, it could result in us having responsibility for damages, reinstatement, back pay and penalties in connection with labor law violations by our franchisees over whom we have no control, and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. In February 2018, the NLRB reversed its decision to revert to the narrower (and thus more favorable to us) definition of “joint employer,” due to a conflict of interest on the part of one of the NLRB’s commissioners. While this action was taken more on procedural than on policy grounds, it effectively reinstates the NLRB’s 2015 ruling.

We are subject to the risk that our franchise agreements may be terminated or not renewed.

Each franchise agreement is subject to termination by us as the franchisor in the event of a default, generally after expiration of applicable cure periods, although under certain circumstances a franchise agreement may be terminated by us upon notice without an opportunity to cure. The default provisions under the franchise agreements are drafted broadly and include, among other things, any failure to meet operating standards and actions that may threaten our intellectual property. In addition, each franchise agreement has an expiration date. Upon the expiration of the franchise agreement, we or the franchisee may, or may not, elect to renew the franchise agreement. If the franchise agreement is renewed, the franchisee will receive a new franchise agreement for an additional term. Such option, however, is contingent on the franchisee’s execution of the then-current form of franchise agreement (which may include increased royalty payments, advertising fees and other costs) and the payment of a renewal fee. If a franchisee is unable or unwilling to satisfy any of the foregoing conditions, we may elect not to renew the expiring franchise agreement, in which event the franchise agreement will terminate upon expiration of its term. The termination or non-renewal of a franchise agreement could result in the reduction of royalty payments we receive.

Our franchisees may not meet timetables for opening their clinics, which could reduce the royalties we receive.

Our franchise agreements specify a timetable for opening the clinic. Failure by our franchisees to open their clinics within the specified time limit would result in the reduction of royalty payments we receive and could result in the termination of the franchise agreement. As of December 31, 2017, we have 104 active licenses which we believe to be developable, and an additional eight letters of intent for future clinic licenses. Of these, 66 have not met their development requirements within the time periods specified in their franchise agreements.

Our franchisees may elect bankruptcy protection and deprive us of income.

The bankruptcy of a franchisee could negatively impact our ability to collect payments due under such franchisee's franchise agreement. In a franchisee bankruptcy, the bankruptcy trustee may reject the franchisee's franchise agreement pursuant to Section 365 under the United States Bankruptcy Code, in which case we would no longer receive royalty payments from the franchisee.

Our regional developers are independent operators over whom we have limited control.

Our regional developers are independent operators. Accordingly, their actions are outside of our control. We depend upon our regional developers to sell a minimum number of franchises within their territory and to assist the purchasers of those franchises to develop and operate their clinics. The failure by regional developers to sell the specified minimum number of franchises within the time limits set forth in their regional developer license agreements would reduce the franchise fees we receive, delay the payment of royalties to us and result in a potential event of default under the regional developer license agreement. Of our total of eighteen regional developers as of December 31, 2017, ten of which were sold during 2017, three have not met their minimum franchise opening requirements within the time periods specified in their regional developer agreements.

Our ability to operate effectively could be impaired if we fail to attract and retain our executive officers.

Our success depends, in part, upon the continuing contributions of our executive officers and key employees at the management level. Although we have employment agreements with certain of our key executive officers, there is no guarantee that they will not leave. The loss of the services of any of our executive officers or the failure to attract other executive officers could have a material adverse effect on our business or our business prospects. If we lose the services of any of our key employees at the operating or regional level, we may not be able to replace them with similarly qualified personnel, which could harm our business.

A lack of qualified employees will significantly hinder our growth plans and adversely affect our results of operations.

As we grow, our ability to increase productivity and profitability will be limited by our ability to employ, train and retain skilled personnel. There can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain an adequate skilled labor force necessary to operate efficiently, that our labor expenses will not increase as a result of a shortage in the supply of skilled personnel or that we will not have to curtail our planned internal growth as a result of labor shortages.

We may not be able to successfully recruit and retain qualified chiropractors.

Our success depends upon our continuing ability to recruit and retain qualified chiropractors. In the event we are unable to attract a sufficient number of qualified chiropractors, our growth rate may suffer.

Our clinics and chiropractors compete for patients in a highly competitive environment that may make it more difficult to increase patient volumes and revenues.

The business of providing chiropractic services is highly competitive in each of the markets in which our clinics operate. The primary bases of such competition are quality of care and reputation, price of services, marketing and advertising strategy and implementation, convenience, traffic flow and visibility of office locations and hours of operation. Our clinics compete with all other chiropractors in their local market. Many of those chiropractors have established practices and reputations in their markets. Some of these competitors and potential competitors may have financial resources, affiliation models, reputations or management expertise that provide them with competitive advantages over us, which may make it difficult to compete against them. Our three largest multi-unit competitors are HealthSource Chiropractic, which currently operates 295 units; AlignLife Chiropractic & Natural Health Centers, which currently operates 23 units; and ChiroOne Wellness Centers, which currently operates 41 units. Each of these competitors is currently operating under an insurance based model. In addition, a number of other chiropractic

franchises and chiropractic practices that are attempting to duplicate or follow our business model are currently operating in our markets and in other parts of the country and may enter our existing markets in the future.

Our success is dependent on the chiropractors who control the professional corporations, or PC owners, with whom we enter into management services agreements, and we may have difficulty locating qualified chiropractors to replace PC owners.

In states that regulate the corporate practice of chiropractic, our chiropractic services are provided by legal entities organized under state laws as professional corporations, or PCs and their equivalents. Each PC employs or contracts with chiropractors in one or more offices. Each of the PCs is wholly owned by one or more licensed chiropractors, or medical professionals as state law may require, and we do not own any capital stock of any PC. We and our franchisees that are not owned by chiropractors enter into management services agreements with PCs to provide on an exclusive basis all non-clinical services of the chiropractic practice. The PC owner is critical to the success of a clinic because he or she has control of all clinical aspects of the practice of chiropractic and the provision of chiropractic services. Upon the departure of a PC owner, we may not be able to locate one or more suitably qualified licensed chiropractors to hold the ownership interest in the PC and maintain the success of the departing PC owner.

Our management services agreements with our affiliated PCs could be challenged by a state or chiropractor under laws regulating the practice of chiropractic, and some state chiropractic boards have made inquiries concerning our business model.

The laws of every state in which we operate contain restrictions on the practice of chiropractic and control over the provision of chiropractic services. The laws of many states where we operate permit a chiropractor to conduct a chiropractic practice only as an individual, a member of a partnership or an employee of a PC, limited liability company or limited liability partnership. These laws typically prohibit chiropractors from splitting fees with non-chiropractors and prohibit non-chiropractic entities, such as chiropractic management services organizations, from engaging in the practice of chiropractic and from employing chiropractors. The specific restrictions against the corporate practice of chiropractic, as well as the interpretation of those restrictions by state regulatory authorities, vary from state to state. However, the restrictions are generally designed to prohibit a non-chiropractic entity from controlling or directing clinical care decision-making, engaging chiropractors to practice chiropractic or sharing professional fees. The form of management agreement that we utilize, and that we recommend to our franchisees that are management service organizations, explicitly prohibits the management service organization from controlling or directing clinical care decisions. However, there can be no assurance that all of our franchisees that are management service organizations will strictly follow the provisions in our recommended form of management agreement. The laws of many states also prohibit chiropractic practitioners from paying any portion of fees received for chiropractic services in consideration for the referral of a patient. Any challenge to our contractual relationships with our affiliated PCs by chiropractors or regulatory authorities could result in a finding that could have a material adverse effect on our operations, such as voiding one or more management services agreements. Moreover, the laws and regulatory environment may change to restrict or limit the enforceability of our management services agreements. We could be prevented from affiliating with chiropractor-owned PCs or providing comprehensive business services to them in one or more states.

In February, 2015, the Arkansas Board of Chiropractic Examiners questioned whether our business model might violate Arkansas law in its response to an inquiry we made on behalf of one of our franchisees. While the Arkansas Board did not thereafter pursue the matter of a possible violation, it might choose to do so at any time in the future. The Kansas Healing Arts Board, in response to a third-party complaint about one of our franchisees, sent a letter to the franchisee in February 2015 questioning whether the franchise business model might violate Kansas law regarding the unauthorized practice of chiropractic care. We and the franchisee have had several communications with the Kansas Board with respect to modifying the management agreement to address its concerns, but we have no assurance that changes to the agreement will satisfy these concerns. The Oregon Board of Chiropractic Examiners has made several inquiries since our franchisees began operating in Oregon. While we have satisfied these past inquiries by providing a brief response or documentation, recently the Oregon Board has asked to meet with the franchisee's PC chiropractor owner to address questions which may relate to our business model. In February 2018, the Oregon Board asked for clarification regarding ownership of our franchise locations operating in Oregon, and we intend to respond with the requested clarification.

In November, 2015, the California Board of Chiropractic Examiners commenced an administrative proceeding to which we are not a party, in which it claimed that the doctor who owns the PC that we manage in southern California violated California's prohibition on the corporate practice of chiropractic, among other claims, because our management of the clinics operated by his PC involved the exercise of control over certain clinical aspects of his

practice. The claims were subsequently dismissed congruent with the decision of the administrative law judge who conducted the proceeding; however, we cannot assure you that similar claims will not be made in the future, either against us or our affiliated PCs.

The New York Attorney General's recent investigation into the practices of a provider of business support services to independently owned dental practices may mean that our business model will be subject to greater scrutiny in New York. The New York Attorney General concluded that the provider, Aspen Dental Management, improperly made business decisions impacting clinical matters, illegally engaged in fee-splitting with dental practices and required the dental practices to use the "Aspen Dental" trade name in a manner that had the potential to mislead consumers into believing that the "Aspen Dental" — branded offices were under common ownership with the provider. In June 2015, the New York Attorney General agreed to an Assurance of Discontinuance, pursuant to which Aspen Dental paid a substantial fine and agreed to change its business and branding practices, including changes to its website and marketing materials in order to make clear that the Aspen-branded dental offices were independently owned and operated. The New York Attorney General could similarly choose to challenge our contractual relationships with our affiliated PCs in New York and, in particular, might question whether use of The Joint trademark by our affiliated PCs misleads consumers, causing them to incorrectly conclude that we are the provider of chiropractic treatment.

Recent decisions by the United States National Labor Relations Board expanding the meaning of “joint employer” mean that we could have liability for employment law violations by our franchisees.

A July, 2014 decision by the United States National Labor Relations Board, or the NLRB, held that McDonald’s Corporation could be held liable as a “joint employer” for labor and wage violations by its franchisees. Subsequently, the NLRB issued a number of complaints against McDonald’s Corporation in connection with these violations. Additionally, an August 2015 decision by the NLRB held that Browning-Ferris Industries is a “joint employer” obligated to negotiate with the Teamsters union over workers supplied by a contract staffing firm within one of its recycling plants. In January 2016, Browning-Ferris Industries filed an appeal in a U.S. appellate court of an unfair labor practices charge arising out of this NLRB decision.

In December, 2017, the NLRB reversed the standard it had set in 2015 and reinstated a narrower definition of what it means to be a “joint employer.” We believe that this recent NLRB action is favorable to us and makes it less likely that we would be held accountable for the actions of our franchisees, However, if the expanded definition of “joint employer” is upheld in the Browning-Ferris appeal or in an expected appeal of the McDonald’s decision, it could result in us having responsibility for damages, reinstatement, back pay and penalties in connection with labor law violations by our franchisees over whom we have no control and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. In February 2018, the NLRB reversed its decision to revert to the narrower (and thus more favorable to us) definition of “joint employer,” due to a conflict of interest on the part of one of the NLRB’s commissioners. While this action was taken more on procedural than on policy grounds, it effectively reinstates the NLRB’s 2015 ruling.

We and our affiliated chiropractor-owned PCs are subject to complex laws, rules and regulations, compliance with which may be costly and burdensome.

We, our franchisees and the chiropractor-owned PCs to which we and our franchisees provide management services, are subject to extensive federal, state and local laws, rules and regulations, including:

- state regulations on the practice of chiropractic;

- the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, as amended, and its implementing regulations, or HIPAA, and other federal and state laws governing the collection, dissemination, use, security and confidentiality of patient-identifiable health and financial information;

- federal and state laws and regulations which contain anti-kickback and fee-splitting provisions and restrictions on referrals;

the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and similar state laws that restrict the methods that we and third-party collection companies may use to contact and seek payment from patients regarding past due accounts; and

state and federal labor laws, including wage and hour laws.

Many of the above laws, rules and regulations applicable to us, our franchisees and our affiliated PCs are ambiguous, have not been definitively interpreted by courts or regulatory authorities and vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction. Accordingly, we may not be able to predict how these laws and regulations will be interpreted or applied by courts and regulatory authorities, and some of our activities could be challenged. In addition, we must consistently monitor changes in the laws and regulatory schemes that govern our operations. Although we have tried to structure our business and contractual relationships in compliance with these laws, rules and regulations in all material respects, if any aspect of our operations were found to violate applicable laws, rules or regulations, we could be subject to significant fines or other penalties, required to cease operations in a particular jurisdiction, prevented from commencing operations in a particular state or otherwise be required to revise the structure of our business or legal arrangements. Our efforts to comply with these laws, rules and regulations may impose significant costs and burdens, and failure to comply with these laws, rules and regulations may result in fines or other charges being imposed on us.

We conduct business in a heavily regulated industry and, if we fail to comply with these laws and government regulations, we could incur penalties or be required to make significant changes to our operations.

The healthcare industry is heavily regulated and closely scrutinized by federal, state and local governments. Comprehensive statutes and regulations govern the manner in which we provide and bill for services, our contractual relationships with our physicians, vendors and customers, our marketing activities and other aspects of our operations. Failure to comply with these laws can result in civil and criminal penalties such as fines, damages, overpayment recoupment, loss of enrollment status or exclusion from government healthcare programs. The risk of our being found in violation of these laws and regulations is increased by the fact that many of them have not been fully interpreted by regulatory authorities or the courts, and their provisions are sometimes open to multiple interpretations. Any action against us for violation of these laws or regulations, even if we successfully defend against it, could cause us to incur significant legal expenses and divert our managements' attention from the operation of our business.

Our chiropractors are also subject to ethical guidelines and operating standards of professional and trade associations and private accreditation agencies. Compliance with these guidelines and standards is often required by our contracts with our customers or to maintain our reputation. The laws, regulations and standards governing the provision of healthcare services may change significantly in the future. New or changed healthcare laws, regulations or standards may materially and adversely affect our business. In addition, a review of our business by judicial, law enforcement, regulatory or accreditation authorities could result in a determination that could adversely affect our operations.

Our facilities are subject to extensive federal and state laws and regulations relating to the privacy and security of individually identifiable information.

HIPAA required the United States Department of Health and Human Service, or HHS, to adopt standards to protect the privacy and security of individually identifiable health-related information, or PHI. HHS released final regulations containing privacy standards in December 2000 and published revisions to the final regulations in August 2002. The privacy regulations extensively regulate the use and disclosure of PHI. The regulations also provide patients with significant rights related to understanding and controlling how their health information is used or disclosed. The security regulations require healthcare providers to implement administrative, physical and technical practices to protect the security of individually identifiable health information that is maintained or transmitted electronically. The Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, or HITECH, which was signed into law in February of 2009, enhanced the privacy, security and enforcement provisions of HIPAA by, among other things, extending HIPAA's privacy and security standards to "business associates," which, like us, are independent contractors or agents of covered entities (such as the chiropractic PCs and other healthcare providers) that create, receive, maintain, or transmit PHI in connection with providing a service for or on behalf of a covered entity. HITECH also established security breach notification requirements, created a mechanism for enforcement of HIPAA by state attorneys general, and increased penalties for HIPAA violations. Violations of HIPAA or HITECH could result in civil or criminal penalties. In addition to HIPAA, there are numerous federal and state laws and regulations addressing patient and consumer privacy concerns, including unauthorized access or theft of personal information. State statutes and regulations vary from state to state. Lawsuits, including class actions and action by state attorneys general, directed at companies that have experienced a privacy or security breach also can occur. We have established policies

and procedures in an effort to ensure compliance with these privacy related requirements. However, if there is a breach, we may be subject to various penalties and damages and may be required to incur costs to mitigate the impact of the breach on affected individuals.

We are subject to the data privacy, security and breach notification requirements of HIPAA and other data privacy and security laws, and the failure to comply with these rules, or allegations that we have failed to do so, can result in civil or criminal sanctions.

HIPAA required the United States Department of Health and Human Service, or HHS, to adopt standards to protect the privacy and security of certain health-related information. The HIPAA privacy regulations contain detailed requirements concerning the use and disclosure of individually identifiable health information and the grant of certain rights to patients with respect to such information by “covered entities.” As a provider of healthcare who conducts certain electronic transactions, each of our facilities is considered a covered entity under HIPAA. We have taken actions to comply with the HIPAA privacy regulations and believe that we are in substantial compliance with those regulations. These actions include the creation and implementation of policies and procedures, staff training, execution of HIPAA-compliant contractual arrangements with certain service providers and various other measures. Ongoing implementation and oversight of these measures involves significant time, effort and expense.

In addition to the privacy requirements, HIPAA covered entities must implement certain administrative, physical and technical security standards to protect the integrity, confidentiality and availability of certain electronic health-related information received, maintained or transmitted by covered entities or their business associates. We have taken actions in an effort to be in compliance with these security regulations and believe that we are in substantial compliance, however, a security incident that bypasses our information security systems causing an information security breach, loss of protected health information or other data subject to privacy laws or a material disruption of our operational systems could result in a material adverse impact on our business, along with fines. Ongoing implementation and oversight of these security measures involves significant time, effort and expense.

The Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, or HITECH, as implemented in part by an omnibus final rule published in the Federal Register on January 25, 2013, further requires that patients be notified of any unauthorized acquisition, access, use, or disclosure of their unsecured protected health information, or PHI, that compromises the privacy or security of such information. HHS has established the presumption that all unauthorized uses or disclosures of unsecured protected health information constitute breaches unless the covered entity or business associate establishes that there is a low probability the information has been compromised. HITECH and implementing regulations specify that such notifications must be made without unreasonable delay and in no case later than 60 calendar days after discovery of the breach. If a breach affects 500 patients or more, it must be reported immediately to HHS, which will post the name of the breaching entity on its public website. Breaches affecting 500 patients or more in the same state or jurisdiction must also be reported to the local media. If a breach involves fewer than 500 people, the covered entity must record it in a log and notify HHS of such breaches at least annually. These breach notification requirements apply not only to unauthorized disclosures of unsecured PHI to outside third parties, but also to unauthorized internal access to or use of such PHI.

HITECH significantly expanded the scope of the privacy and security requirements under HIPAA and increased penalties for violations. The amount of penalty that may be assessed depends, in part, upon the culpability of the applicable covered entity or business associate in committing the violation. Some penalties for certain violations that were not due to “willful neglect” may be waived by the Secretary of HHS in whole or in part, to the extent that the payment of the penalty would be excessive relative to the violation. HITECH also authorized state attorneys general to file suit on behalf of residents of their states. Applicable courts may award damages, costs and attorneys’ fees related to violations of HIPAA in such cases. HITECH also mandates that the Secretary of HHS conduct periodic compliance audits of a cross-section of HIPAA covered entities and business associates. Every covered entity and business associate is subject to being audited, regardless of the entity’s compliance record.

States may impose more protective privacy restrictions in laws related to health information and may afford individuals a private right of action with respect to the violation of such laws. Both state and federal laws are subject to modification or enhancement of privacy protection at any time. We are subject to any federal or state privacy-related laws that are more restrictive than the privacy regulations issued under HIPAA. These statutes vary and could impose additional requirements on us and more severe penalties for disclosures of health information. If we fail to comply with HIPAA or similar state laws, including laws addressing data confidentiality, security or breach notification, we could incur substantial monetary penalties and our reputation could be damaged.

In addition, states may also impose restrictions related to the confidentiality of personal information that is not considered “protected health information” under HIPAA. Such information may include certain identifying information and financial information of our patients. These state laws may impose additional notification requirements in the event of a breach of such personal information. Failure to comply with such data confidentiality, security and breach notification laws may result in substantial monetary penalties.

Our business model depends on proprietary and third-party management information systems that we use to, among other things, track financial and operating performance of our clinics, and any failure to successfully design and maintain these systems or implement new systems could materially harm our operations.

We depend on integrated management information systems, some of which are provided by third parties, and standardized procedures for operational and financial information, as well as for patient records and our billing operations. We are currently replacing and upgrading our management information systems. We may experience unanticipated delays, complications, data breaches or expenses in replacing, upgrading, implementing, integrating, and operating our systems. Our management information systems regularly require modifications, improvements or replacements that may require both substantial expenditures as well as interruptions in operations. Our ability to implement these systems is subject to the availability of skilled information technology specialists to assist us in creating, implementing and supporting these systems. Our failure to successfully design, implement and maintain all of our systems could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we fail to properly maintain the integrity of our data or to strategically implement, upgrade or consolidate existing information systems, our reputation and business could be materially adversely affected.

We increasingly use electronic means to interact with our customers and collect, maintain and store individually identifiable information, including, but not limited to, personal financial information and health-related information. Despite the security measures we have in place to ensure compliance with applicable laws and rules, our facilities and systems, and those of our third-party service providers, may be vulnerable to security breaches, acts of cyber terrorism, vandalism or theft, computer viruses, misplaced or lost data, programming and/or human errors or other similar events. Additionally, the collection, maintenance, use, disclosure and disposal of individually identifiable data by our businesses are regulated at the federal and state levels as well as by certain financial industry groups, such as the Payment Card Industry organization. Federal, state and financial industry groups may also consider from time to time new privacy and security requirements that may apply to our businesses. Compliance with evolving privacy and security laws, requirements, and regulations may result in cost increases due to necessary systems changes, new limitations or constraints on our business models and the development of new administrative processes. They also may impose further restrictions on our collection, disclosure and use of individually identifiable information that is housed in one or more of our databases. Noncompliance with privacy laws, financial industry group requirements or a security breach involving the misappropriation, loss or other unauthorized disclosure of personal, sensitive and/or confidential information, whether by us or by one of our vendors, could have material adverse effects on our business, operations, reputation and financial condition, including decreased revenue; material fines and penalties; increased financial processing fees; compensatory, statutory, punitive or other damages; adverse actions against our licenses to do business; and injunctive relief whether by court or consent order.

If our security systems are breached, we may face civil liability and public perception of our security measures could be diminished, either of which would negatively affect our ability to attract and retain patients.

Techniques used to gain unauthorized access to corporate data systems are constantly evolving, and we may be unable to anticipate or prevent unauthorized access to data pertaining to our patients, including credit card and debit card information and other personally identifiable information. Our systems, which are supported by our own systems and those of third-party vendors, are vulnerable to computer malware, Trojans, viruses, worms, break-ins, phishing attacks, denial-of-service attacks, attempts to access our servers in an unauthorized manner, or other attacks on and disruptions of our and third-party vendor computer systems, any of which could lead to system interruptions, delays, or shutdowns, causing loss of critical data or the unauthorized access to personally identifiable information. If an actual or perceived breach of security occurs on our systems or a vendor's systems, we may face civil liability and reputational damage, either of which would negatively affect our ability to attract and retain patients. We also would be required to expend significant resources to mitigate the breach of security and to address related matters.

We may not be able to effectively control the unauthorized actions of third parties who may have access to the patient data we collect. Any failure, or perceived failure, by us to maintain the security of data relating to our patients and employees, and to comply with our posted privacy policy, laws and regulations, rules of self-regulatory organizations, industry standards and contractual provisions to which we may be bound, could result in the loss of confidence in us, or result in actions against us by governmental entities or others, all of which could result in litigation and financial losses, and could potentially cause us to lose patients, revenue and employees.

We are subject to a number of risks related to credit card and debit card payments we accept.

We accept payments through credit and debit card transactions. For credit and debit card payments, we pay interchange and other fees, which may increase over time. An increase in those fees would require us to either increase the prices we charge for our services, which could cause us to lose patients and revenue, or absorb an increase in our operating expenses, either of which could harm our operating results.

If we or any of our processing vendors have problems with our billing software, or the billing software malfunctions, it could have an adverse effect on patient satisfaction and could cause one or more of the major credit card companies to disallow our continued use of their payment products. In addition, if our billing software fails to work properly, and as a result, we do not automatically process monthly membership fees to our patients' credit cards on a timely basis or at all, or there are issues with financial insolvency of our third-party vendors or other unanticipated problems or events, we could lose revenue, which would harm our operating results.

We are also subject to payment card association operating rules, certification requirements and rules governing electronic funds transfers, which could change or be reinterpreted to make it more difficult for us to comply. We are not currently accredited against, and in compliance with, the Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard, or PCI DSS, the payment card industry's security standard for companies that collect, store or transmit certain data regarding credit and debit cards, credit and debit card holders and credit and debit card transactions. Once compliant, there is no guarantee that we will maintain PCI DSS compliance. Our failure to comply fully with PCI DSS in the future could violate payment card association operating rules, federal and state laws and regulations and the terms of our contracts with payment processors and merchant banks. Such failure to comply fully also could subject us to fines, penalties, damages and civil liability and could result in the suspension or loss of our ability to accept credit and debit card payments. Further, there is no guarantee that PCI DSS compliance will prevent illegal or improper use of our payment systems or the theft, loss, or misuse of data pertaining to credit and debit cards, credit and debit card holders and credit and debit card transactions.

If we fail to adequately control fraudulent credit card transactions, we may face civil liability, diminished public perception of our security measures and significantly higher credit card-related costs, each of which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. If we are unable to maintain our chargeback or refund rates at acceptable levels, credit and debit card companies may increase our transaction fees, impose monthly fines until resolved or terminate their relationships with us. Any increases in our credit and debit card fees could adversely affect our results of operations, particularly if we elect not to raise our rates for our service to offset the increase. The termination of our ability to process payments on any major credit or debit card would significantly impair our ability to operate our business.

We, along with our affiliated PCs and their chiropractors, may be subject to malpractice and other similar claims and may be unable to obtain or maintain adequate insurance against these claims.

The provision of chiropractic services by chiropractors entails an inherent risk of potential malpractice and other similar claims. While we do not have responsibility for compliance by affiliated PCs and their chiropractors with regulatory and other requirements directly applicable to chiropractors, claims, suits or complaints relating to services provided at the offices of our franchisees or affiliated PCs may be asserted against us. As we develop company-owned or managed clinics, our exposure to malpractice claims will increase. We have experienced several malpractice claims since our founding in April, 2010, which we have defended or are vigorously defending and do not expect their outcome to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. The assertion or outcome of these claims could result in higher administrative and legal expenses, including settlement costs or litigation damages. Our current minimum professional liability insurance coverage required for our franchisees, affiliated PCs and company-owned clinics is \$1.0 million per occurrence and \$3.0 million in annual aggregate, with a self-insured retention of \$0 per claim and \$0 annual aggregate. In addition, we have a corporate business owner's policy with coverage of \$2.0 million per occurrence and \$4.0 million in annual aggregate. If we are unable to obtain adequate insurance or if there is an increase in the future cost of insurance to us and the chiropractors who provide chiropractic services or an increase in the amount we have to self-insure, there may be a material adverse effect on our business and financial results.

We could be party to litigation that could adversely affect us by distracting management, increasing our expenses or subjecting us to material monetary damages and other remedies.

In addition to malpractice claims, we are also subject to a variety of other claims arising in the ordinary course of our business, including personal injury claims, contract claims and claims alleging violations of federal and state law regarding workplace and employment matters, equal opportunity, harassment, discrimination and similar matters, and we could become subject to class action or other lawsuits related to these or different matters in the future. Regardless of whether any claims against us are valid, or whether we are ultimately held liable, claims may be expensive to defend and may divert time and money away from our operations and hurt our performance. A judgment in excess of our insurance coverage for any claims could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. Any adverse publicity resulting from these allegations may also materially and adversely affect our reputation or prospects, which in turn could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to the risk that our current insurance may not provide adequate levels of coverage against claims.

Our current insurance policies may not be adequate to protect us from liabilities that we incur in our business. Additionally, in the future, our insurance premiums may increase, and we may not be able to obtain similar levels of insurance on reasonable terms, or at all. Any substantial inadequacy of, or inability to obtain insurance coverage could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Furthermore, there are types of losses we may incur that cannot be insured against or that we believe are not economically reasonable to insure. Such losses could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Failure to obtain and maintain adequate directors' and officers' insurance would likely adversely affect our ability to attract and retain qualified officers and directors.

Events or rumors relating to our brand names or our ability to defend successfully against intellectual property infringement claims by third parties could significantly impact our business.

Recognition of our brand names, including "THE JOINT CHIROPRACTIC", and the association of those brands with quality, convenient and inexpensive chiropractic maintenance care are an integral part of our business. The occurrence of any events or rumors that cause patients to no longer associate the brands with quality, convenient and inexpensive chiropractic maintenance care may materially adversely affect the value of the brand names and demand for chiropractic services at our franchisees or their affiliated PCs.

Our ability to compete effectively depends in part upon our intellectual property rights, including but not limited to our trademarks. Our use of contractual provisions, confidentiality procedures and agreements, and trademark, copyright, unfair competition, trade secret and other laws to protect our intellectual property rights may not be adequate. Litigation may be necessary to enforce our intellectual property rights, or to defend against claims by third parties that the conduct of our businesses or our use of intellectual property infringes upon such third party's intellectual property rights. Any intellectual property litigation or claims brought against us, whether or not meritorious, could result in substantial costs and diversion of our resources, and there can be no assurances that favorable final outcomes will be obtained in all cases. Our business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected as a result.

We present Adjusted EBITDA as a supplemental measure to help us describe our operating performance. Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure commonly used in our industry and should not be construed as an alternative to net income (loss) or as a better indicator of operating performance.

Adjusted EBITDA consists of net income (loss), before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, acquisition related and stock compensation expense, bargain purchase gain, and loss on disposition or impairment. We present Adjusted EBITDA as a supplemental measure to help us describe our operating performance. Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure commonly used in our industry and should not be construed as an alternative to net income (loss) (as determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, or GAAP) or as a better indicator of operating performance. You should not consider Adjusted EBITDA as a substitute for operating profit, as an indicator of our operating performance or as an alternative to cash flows from operating activities as a measure of liquidity. We may calculate Adjusted EBITDA differently from other companies.

In addition, in the future we may incur expenses similar to those excluded when calculating Adjusted EBITDA. Our presentation of these measures should not be construed as an inference that our future results will be unaffected by unusual or non-recurring items. Our computation of Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures computed by other companies, because all companies do not calculate Adjusted EBITDA in the same fashion.

Our management does not consider Adjusted EBITDA in isolation or as an alternative to financial measures determined in accordance with GAAP. The principal limitation of Adjusted EBITDA is that it excludes significant expenses and income that are required by GAAP to be recorded in our financial statements. Some of these limitations are: (i) Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect our cash expenditures, or future requirements, for capital expenditures or contractual commitments; (ii) Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs; (iii) Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debts, and although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will often have to be replaced in the future; (iv) Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements; (v) Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the bargain purchase gain, which represents the excess of the fair value of net assets acquired over the purchase consideration; and (vi) Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the loss on disposition or impairment, which represents the impairment of assets from Company managed clinics held for sale as of the reporting date. We do not consider these to be indicative of our ongoing operations.

Changes to financial accounting standards will require our operating leases to be recognized on the balance sheet.

All of our existing clinics are subject to leases. As we increase the number of our company-owned or managed clinics we will have increased our obligations under our operating leases. Changes to financial accounting standards will require such leases to be recognized on our balance sheet in the future. The lease terms of our clinics vary, but typically have initial terms of between five and ten years with five year renewal options. The accounting treatment of these leases is described in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements.

In February, 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, released the new Accounting Standards Update related to leases. The changes require that substantially all operating leases be recognized as assets and liabilities on our balance sheet, which is a significant departure from the current standard, which classifies operating leases as off balance sheet transactions and accounts for only the current year operating lease expense in the statement of operations. The right to use the leased property is to be capitalized as an asset and the expected lease payments over the life of the lease will be accounted for as a liability. The effective date is for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018. While we have not quantified the impact this standard will have on our financial statements, when our current operating leases are instead recognized on the balance sheet, it will result in a significant increase in the liabilities reflected on our balance sheet and in the interest expense and depreciation and amortization expense reflected in our statement of operations, while reducing the amount of rent expense.

Changes in U.S. tax laws could have a material adverse effect on our business, cash flow, results of operations or financial conditions.

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the “Act”) was enacted on December 22, 2017 and contains many significant changes to U.S. Federal tax laws. The Act requires complex computations that were not previously provided for under U.S. tax law. The Company has provided for an estimated effect of the Act in its financial statements. The Act requires significant judgments to be made in interpretation of the law and significant estimates in the calculation of the provision for income taxes. However, additional guidance may be issued by the IRS, Department of the Treasury, or other governing body that may significantly differ from the Company’s interpretation of the law, which may result in a material adverse effect on our business, cash flow, results of operations or financial conditions.

We are an “emerging growth company” as defined in the Securities Act and the reduced disclosure requirements applicable to emerging growth companies may make our common stock less attractive to investors.

We are an “emerging growth company” as defined in Section 2(a) of the Securities Act, as modified by the JOBS Act, and we may take advantage of certain exemptions from various reporting requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not “emerging growth companies” including, among other things, not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as amended, reduced financial disclosure requirements, which include being permitted to provide only two years of audited financial statements, with correspondingly reduced “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” disclosure, reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation and exemptions from the requirements of holding a non-binding stockholder advisory vote on executive compensation and stockholder approval of any golden parachute payments not previously approved. As a result, our stockholders may not have access to certain information that they may deem important. In addition, Section 107 of the JOBS Act also provides that an emerging growth company can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2) of the Securities Act for complying with new or revised accounting standards. We have irrevocably elected not to avail ourselves of this exemption from new or revised accounting standards and, therefore, will be subject to the same new or revised accounting standards as other public companies that are not emerging growth companies.

We could be an emerging growth company until as late as December 31, 2019 (the last day of the fiscal year following the fifth anniversary of the date of our initial public offering, which occurred on November 14, 2014), although circumstances could cause us to lose that status earlier, including (i) if our total annual gross revenue exceeds \$1.0 billion, if we issue more than \$1.0 billion in non-convertible debt securities during any three-year period, or (ii) if the market value of our common stock held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700.0 million as of any June 30 before that time. Investors may find our common stock less attractive because we may rely on these exemptions. If some investors find our common stock less attractive as a result, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock and our stock price may be more volatile.

Pursuant to the JOBS Act, our independent registered public accounting firm will not be required to attest to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 for so long as we are an “emerging growth company.”

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as amended, or the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, requires annual management assessments of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, starting with the second annual report that we file with the SEC as a public company, including disclosure of any material weaknesses identified by our management in our internal control over financial reporting. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act generally requires in the same report a report by our independent registered public accounting firm on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. However, under the JOBS Act, our independent registered public accounting firm will not be required to attest to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 until we are no longer an “emerging growth company.” We could be an “emerging growth company” as late as December 31, 2019 (the last day of the fiscal year following the fifth anniversary of the date of our initial public offering, which occurred on November 14, 2014).

We may identify material weaknesses that we may not be able to remediate in time to meet the applicable deadline imposed upon us for compliance with the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. In addition, if we fail to achieve and maintain the adequacy of our internal controls, as such standards are modified, supplemented or amended from time to time, we may not be able to conclude that we have effective internal controls over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. If we are not able to implement the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act in a timely manner or with adequate compliance, our independent registered public accounting firm may issue an adverse opinion due to ineffective internal controls over financial reporting and we may be subject to sanctions or investigation by regulatory authorities, such as the SEC. As a result, there could be a negative reaction in the financial markets due to a loss of confidence in the reliability of our financial statements. In addition, we may be required to incur costs in improving our internal control system and the hiring of additional personnel. Any such action could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, results of operations and financial condition.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period ended December 31, 2017. Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

The requirements of being a public company, including compliance with the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act and the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, may strain our resources, increase our costs and distract management, and we may be unable to comply with these requirements in a timely or cost-effective manner.

Our initial public offering had a significant, transformative effect on us. Prior to our initial public offering, our business operated as a privately-owned company, and we now incur significant additional legal, accounting, reporting and other expenses as a result of having publicly-traded common stock. As a public company with listed equity securities, we need to comply with certain laws, regulations and requirements, including corporate governance provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, related regulations of the SEC, and the requirements of The NASDAQ Capital Market with which we had not been required to comply as a private company. Complying with these statutes, regulations and requirements occupies a significant amount of time of our Board of Directors and management and has significantly increased our costs and expenses. We will continue to:

- institute more comprehensive corporate governance and compliance functions;

- design, establish, evaluate and maintain a system of internal control over financial reporting in compliance with the requirements of Section 404(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the related rules and regulations of the SEC and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board;

- comply with rules promulgated by The NASDAQ Capital Market;

- prepare and distribute periodic public reports in compliance with our obligations under the federal securities laws;

- establish new internal policies, such as those relating to disclosure controls and procedures and insider trading; and

- to a greater degree than previously, involve and retain outside counsel and accountants in the above activities.

Risks Related to Our Public Offerings and Listing of Our Common Stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market

Our stock price could be volatile and could decline.

The price at which our common stock will trade could be extremely volatile and may fluctuate substantially due to the following factors, some of which are beyond our control:

- variations in our operating results;

- variations between our actual operating results and the expectations of securities analysts, investors and the financial community;

- announcements of developments affecting our business or expansion plans by us or others; and

- conditions and trends in the chiropractic industry.

As a result of these and other factors, investors in our common stock may not be able to resell their shares at or above their purchase price.

In the past, securities class action litigation often has been instituted against companies following periods of volatility in the market price of their securities. This type of litigation, if directed at us, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources.

Provisions of Delaware law could discourage a takeover that stockholders may consider favorable.

As a Delaware corporation, we have elected to be subject to the Delaware anti-takeover provisions contained in Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. Under Delaware law, a corporation may not engage in a business combination with any holder of 15% or more of its capital stock unless the holder has held the stock for three years or, among other things, the Board of Directors has approved the transaction. Our Board of Directors could rely on this provision to prevent or delay an acquisition of us. For a description of our capital stock, see “Description of Capital Stock.”

Future sales of our common stock may depress our stock price and our share price may decline due to the large number of shares eligible for future sale or exchange.

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market by our officers, directors or significant shareholders may adversely affect the market price of our common stock. Shares issued upon the exercise of outstanding options and shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrants we issued to the underwriters in our initial public offering also may be sold in the public market. Such sales could create the perception to the public of difficulties or problems with our business. As a result, these sales might make it more difficult for us to sell securities in the future at a time and price that we deem necessary or appropriate.

The market price of our common stock could decline as a result of sales of a large number of shares of common stock in the market or the perception that such sales could occur. These sales, or the possibility that these sales may occur, might also make it more difficult for us to sell equity securities in the future at a time and at a price that we deem appropriate. As of December 31, 2017, we have 13,586,254 outstanding shares of common stock, and are authorized to sell up to 20,000,000 shares of common stock. The trading volume of shares of our common stock has averaged 31,452 shares per day during the year ended December 31, 2017. Accordingly, sales of even small amounts of shares of our common stock by existing stockholders may drive down the trading price of our common stock.

If securities analysts do not publish research or reports about our business or if they downgrade our company or our sector, the price of our common stock could decline.

The trading market for our common stock depends in part on the research and reports that industry or financial analysts publish about us or our business. We do not influence or control the reporting of these analysts. If one or more of the analysts who do cover us downgrade or provide a negative outlook on our company or our industry, or the stock of any of our competitors, the price of our common stock could decline. If one or more of these analysts ceases coverage of our company, we could lose visibility in the market, which in turn could cause the price of our common stock to decline.

Our actual results may differ from forecasts.

It is difficult to accurately forecast our revenues, operating expenses and results, and operating data. The inability by us or the financial community to accurately forecast our operating results could cause our net losses in a given quarter to be greater than expected, which could cause a decline in the trading price of our common stock. We base our current and forecasted expense and cash expenditure levels on our operating plans and estimates of future revenues, which are dependent on the growth of the number of patients and the demand for our services. As a result, we may be unable to make accurate financial forecasts or to adjust our spending in a timely manner to compensate for any unexpected shortfalls in revenues. We believe that these difficulties in forecasting are even greater for financial analysts that may publish their own estimates of our financial results.

We do not intend to pay dividends. You will not receive funds without selling shares, and you may lose the entire amount of your investment.

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our capital stock and do not intend to pay dividends in the foreseeable future. We intend to invest our future earnings, if any, to fund our growth. We cannot assure you that you will receive a positive return on your investment when you subsequently sell your shares or that you will not lose the entire amount of your investment.

Claims for indemnification by our directors and officers may reduce our available funds to satisfy successful third-party claims against us and may reduce the amount of money available to us.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws provide that we will indemnify our directors and officers, in each case to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law. In addition, we have entered and expect to continue to enter into agreements to indemnify our directors, executive officers and other employees as determined by our Board of Directors. Under the terms of such indemnification agreements, we are required to indemnify each of our directors and officers, to the fullest extent permitted by the laws of the state of Delaware, if the basis of the indemnitee's involvement was by reason of the fact that the indemnitee is or was a director or officer of the Company or any of its subsidiaries or was serving at the Company's request in an official capacity for another entity. We must indemnify our officers and directors against all reasonable fees, expenses, charges and other costs of any type or nature whatsoever, including any and all expenses and obligations paid or incurred in connection with investigating, defending, being a witness in, participating in (including on appeal), or preparing to defend, be a witness or participate in any completed, actual, pending or threatened action, suit, claim or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, or establishing or enforcing a right to indemnification under the indemnification agreement. The indemnification agreements also require us, if so requested, to advance within 30 days of such request all reasonable fees, expenses, charges and other costs that such director or officer incurred, provided that such person will return any such advance if it is ultimately determined that such person is not entitled to indemnification by us. Any claims for indemnification by our directors and officers may reduce our available funds to satisfy successful third-party claims and may reduce the amount of money available to us.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We lease the property for our corporate headquarters and all of the properties on which we own or manage clinics. As of March 2, 2018, we leased 47 facilities in which we operate or intend to operate clinics.

Our corporate headquarters are located at 16767 North Perimeter Drive, Suite 240, Scottsdale, Arizona 85260. The term of our lease for this location expires on July 31, 2019. The primary functions performed at our corporate headquarters are financial, accounting, treasury, marketing, operations, human resources, information systems support and legal.

We are also obligated under non-cancellable leases for the clinics which we own or manage. Our clinics are on average 1,200 square feet. Our clinic leases generally have an initial term of five years, include one to two options to renew for terms of five years, and require us to pay a proportionate share of real estate taxes, insurance, common area maintenance charges and other operating costs.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the normal course of business, we are party to litigation from time to time. We maintain insurance to cover certain actions and believe that resolution of such litigation will not have a material adverse effect on the Company.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT’S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Beginning November 11, 2014, our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol “JYNT.” The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices for our common stock for the calendar quarters or other periods indicated as reported by the NASDAQ Capital Market.

Company Stock Performance

Fiscal Year 2016	High	Low
First Quarter	\$5.89	\$2.65
Second Quarter	\$3.90	\$2.03
Third Quarter	\$3.20	\$1.85
Fourth Quarter	\$2.80	\$1.96

Fiscal Year 2017	High	Low
First Quarter	\$4.74	\$2.48
Second Quarter	\$4.35	\$3.41
Third Quarter	\$5.07	\$3.46
Fourth Quarter	\$6.00	\$4.10

Holders

As of December 31, 2017, there were approximately 11 holders of record of our common stock and 13,586,254 shares of our common stock outstanding.

Dividends

Since our initial public offering, we have not declared nor paid dividends on our common stock and we do not expect to pay cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2017	2016
	(in thousands, except per share data)	
Consolidated Statement of Operations Data:		
Total revenues	\$25,164	\$20,524
Cost of revenues	3,312	2,940
Selling, general and administrative expense	24,609	29,072
Loss from operations	(3,175)	(15,008)
Net loss	(3,275)	(15,174)
Basic and diluted loss per share	(0.25)	(1.20)
Weighted average shares outstanding used in computing basic and diluted loss per share	13,245,119	12,696,649
Non-GAAP Financial Data:		
Net loss	(3,275)	(15,174)
Interest expense	105	15
Depreciation and amortization expense	2,017	2,566
Tax expense	36	164
EBITDA	(1,117)	(12,429)
Stock compensation expense	594	1,123
Acquisition related expenses	14	76
Loss on disposition or impairment	418	3,520
Adjusted EBITDA	\$(91)	\$(7,710)

	As of December 31,	
	2017	2016
	(in thousands)	
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:		
Cash and cash equivalents	4,216	3,010
Property and equipment	3,800	4,725
Deferred franchise costs	1,297	1,585
Goodwill and intangible assets	4,676	5,089
Other assets	2,920	2,646
Total assets	16,910	17,055
Deferred revenue	7,247	5,309
Other liabilities	4,764	4,820
Total liabilities	12,011	10,129
Stockholders' equity	4,899	6,925

Adjusted EBITDA consists of net loss, before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, acquisition related and stock compensation expense, bargain purchase gain, and loss on disposition or impairment. We have provided Adjusted EBITDA because it is a measure of financial performance commonly used for comparing companies in our industry. Adjusted EBITDA provides an alternative measure of cash flow from operations. You should not consider Adjusted EBITDA as a substitute for operating profit as an indicator of our operating performance or as an alternative to cash flows from operating activities as a measure of liquidity. We may calculate Adjusted EBITDA differently from other companies.

We believe that the use of Adjusted EBITDA provides an additional tool for investors to use in evaluating ongoing operating results and trends and in comparing our financial measures with other outpatient medical clinics, which may present similar non-GAAP financial measures to investors. In addition, you should be aware when evaluating Adjusted EBITDA that in the future we may incur expenses similar to those excluded when calculating these measures. Our presentation of these measures should not be construed as an inference that our future results will be unaffected by unusual or non-recurring items. Our computation of Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures computed by other companies, because all companies do not calculate Adjusted EBITDA in the same fashion.

Our management does not consider Adjusted EBITDA in isolation or as an alternative to financial measures determined in accordance with GAAP. The principal limitation of Adjusted EBITDA is that it excludes significant expenses and income that are required by GAAP to be recorded in our financial statements. Some of these limitations are:

- a. Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect our cash expenditures, or future requirements, for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;
- b. Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;
- c. Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our debts;
- d. Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will often have to be replaced in the future, and Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements;

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the bargain purchase gain, which represents the excess of the fair value of net assets acquired over the purchase consideration. We do not consider this to be indicative of our ongoing operations; and

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the loss on disposition or impairment, which represents the impairment of assets from Company managed clinics held for sale as of the reporting date. We do not consider this to be indicative of our ongoing operations.

Because of these limitations, Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for performance measures calculated in accordance with GAAP. We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our GAAP results and using Adjusted EBITDA only supplementally. You should review the reconciliation of net income (loss) to Adjusted EBITDA above and not rely on any single financial measure to evaluate our business. The table above reconciles net loss to adjusted EBITDA for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of the results of operations and financial condition of The Joint Corp. for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, and other financial information contained elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Overview

Our principal business is to develop, own, operate, support and manage chiropractic clinics through franchising and the sale of regional developer rights, and through direct ownership and management arrangements throughout the United States.

We seek to be the leading provider of chiropractic care in the markets we serve and to become the most recognized brand in our industry through the rapid and focused expansion of chiropractic clinics in key markets throughout North America and abroad.

Key Performance Measures. We receive both weekly and monthly performance reports from our clinics which include key performance indicators including gross clinic sales, total royalty income, and patient office visits. We believe these indicators provide us with useful data with which to measure our performance and to measure our franchisees' and clinics' performance.

Key Clinic Development Trends. As of December 31, 2017, we and our franchisees operated 399 clinics. Of the 47 company-owned or managed clinics, 16 were constructed and developed by us, and 31 were acquired from franchisees.

Our current strategy is to grow through the sale and development of additional franchises, and to foster the growth of acquired and developed clinics that are owned and managed by us. In addition, we believe that we can accelerate the development of, and revenue generation from, company-owned or managed clinics through the further selective acquisition of existing franchised clinics. We will seek out the opportunistic acquisition of existing franchised clinics that meet our criteria for demographics, site attractiveness, proximity to other clinics and additional suitability factors.

We believe that The Joint has a remarkably sound concept, benefiting from the fundamental changes taking place in the manner in which Americans access chiropractic care and their growing interest in seeking effective, affordable natural solutions for general wellness. These trends join with the strong preference we have seen among chiropractic doctors to reject the insurance-based model, to produce a dynamic combination that benefits the consumer and the service provider alike. We believe that these forces create an important opportunity to accelerate the growth of our network.

Significant Events and/or Recent Developments

In January 2017, we entered into a Credit and Security Agreement (the "Credit Agreement"), and signed a revolving credit note payable to the lender. Under the Credit Agreement, we are able to borrow up to an aggregate of \$5,000,000 under revolving loans. Interest on the unpaid outstanding principal amount of any revolving loans is at a rate equal to 10% per annum, provided, however, that the minimum amount of interest paid in the aggregate on all revolving loans granted over the term of the Credit Agreement is \$200,000. Interest is due and payable on the last day of each fiscal quarter in an amount determined by us, but not less than \$25,000. The lender's lending commitments under the Credit Agreement terminate in December 2019, unless sooner terminated in accordance with the provisions of the Credit Agreement. We intend to use the credit facility for general working capital needs. We have drawn \$1,000,000 of the \$5,000,000 available under the Credit Agreement.

In January 2017, we sold the assets of six of our 11 clinics in the Chicago area for a nominal amount to a limited liability company that includes existing franchisees. The purchaser will continue to operate the clinics as franchised locations pursuant to a franchise agreement. Concurrently, we sold regional developer rights to the Chicago area to the purchaser of our six Chicago clinics for \$300,000. Pursuant to the regional developer agreement, the limited liability company has agreed to open a minimum of 30 Chicago area clinics over the next 10 years. We have closed the remaining five Chicago-area clinics, as well as three Company-managed clinics in upstate New York. We recognized an additional lease exit liability in the first quarter of 2017 related to these closures. These assets were designated as held for sale as of December 31, 2016, and we recognized a loss on disposition or impairment of approximately \$3.5 million. We made these tactical decisions in the 4th quarter of 2016 to reduce our current cash usage, allowing us to focus on accelerating the point at which we believe we will achieve cash-flow breakeven.

During the first quarter of 2017, we sold regional developer territories for Chicago, Philadelphia and Washington State for a total of approximately \$650,000. Their combined development schedule requires the opening and operating of a minimum of 70 clinics over a ten-year period. The revenues related to these sales will be recognized over the estimated number of franchised clinics to be opened in the respective territories.

During the second quarter of 2017, we sold two regional developer territories for Central Florida and Ohio for a total of \$620,000. Their combined development schedule requires the opening and operating of a minimum of 79 franchised clinics over a ten-year period.

During the third quarter of 2017, we sold three regional developer territories for New Jersey, Maryland/Washington DC and Minnesota for a total of approximately \$440,000. Their combined development schedule requires the opening and operating of a minimum of 62 franchised clinics over a ten-year period, with respect to New Jersey and Maryland/Washington DC and a five-year period for the Minnesota territory.

During the fourth quarter of 2017, we sold two regional developer territories for certain areas of Texas, Oklahoma and Arkansas as well as Tennessee for a total of approximately \$642,000. Their combined development schedule requires the opening and operating of a minimum of 48 franchised clinics over a ten-year period, with respect to Texas, Oklahoma and Arkansas and an eight-year period for the Tennessee territory.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, we terminated three franchise licenses that were in default. In conjunction with these terminations, during the twelve months ended December 31, 2017, we recognized \$92,915 of revenue and \$18,250 of costs, which were previously deferred.

Factors Affecting Our Performance

Our operating results may fluctuate significantly as a result of a variety of factors, including the timing of new clinic openings, markets in which they are contained and related expenses, general economic conditions, consumer confidence in the economy, consumer preferences, and competitive factors.

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2014-09, "*Revenue from Contracts with Customers*," which requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The standard also calls for additional disclosures around the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. The ASU will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in U.S. GAAP when it becomes effective. The new standard becomes effective for the Company on January 1, 2018. The Company expects the adoption of this standard to negatively impact 2018 consolidated franchise fee revenues by approximately \$0.2 million, favorably impact regional developer fees revenue by approximately \$0.2 million, and favorably decrease franchise cost of revenue by approximately \$0.1 million as compared to forecasted amounts under previous GAAP.

Significant Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We base our accounting estimates on historical experience and other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ from those estimates. We have discussed the development and selection of significant accounting policies and estimates with our Audit Committee.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist primarily of re-acquired franchise and regional developer rights and customer relationships. We amortize the fair value of re-acquired franchise rights over the remaining contractual terms of the re-acquired franchise rights at the time of the acquisition, which range from six to eight years. In the case of regional developer rights, we amortize the acquired regional developer rights over seven years. The fair value of customer relationships is amortized over their estimated useful life of two years.

Goodwill

Goodwill consists of the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired in the acquisitions discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements. Goodwill and intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives are not amortized but are subject to annual impairment tests. As required, we perform an annual impairment test of goodwill as of the first day of the fourth quarter or more frequently if events or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. The Company recorded an impairment charge of \$54,994 during the year ended December 31, 2016 which represents the write-off of the goodwill associated with an acquired clinic in New York. No impairment was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Long-Lived Assets

We review our long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recovered. We look primarily to estimated undiscounted future cash flows in its assessment of whether or not long-lived assets have been impaired. Impairments of \$0 and approximately \$2.4 million were recorded for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Stock-Based Compensation

We account for share-based payments by recognizing compensation expense based upon the estimated fair value of the awards on the date of grant. We determine the estimated grant-date fair value of restricted shares using quoted market prices and the grant-date fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. In order to calculate the fair value of the options, certain assumptions are made regarding the components of the model, including the estimated fair value of underlying common stock, risk-free interest rate, volatility, expected dividend yield and expected option life. Changes to the assumptions could cause significant adjustments to the valuation. We recognize compensation costs ratably over the period of service using the straight-line method.

Revenue Recognition

The Company generates revenue through initial franchise fees, regional developer fees, royalties, advertising fund revenue, IT related income, and computer software fees, and from its company-owned and managed clinics.

Franchise Fees. The Company requires the entire non-refundable initial franchise fee to be paid upon execution of a franchise agreement, which typically has an initial term of ten years. Initial franchise fees are recognized as revenue when the Company has substantially completed its initial services under the franchise agreement, which typically occurs upon opening of the clinic. The Company's services under the franchise agreement include: training of franchisees and staff, site selection, construction/vendor management and ongoing operations support. The Company provides no financing to franchisees and offers no guarantees on their behalf.

Regional Developer Fees. During 2011, the Company established a regional developer program to engage independent contractors to assist in developing specified geographical regions. Under the historical program, regional developers paid a license fee ranging from \$7,250 to 25% of the then current franchise fee for each franchise they received the right to develop within the region. In 2017, the program was revised to grant exclusive geographical territory and establish a minimum development obligation within that defined territory. Regional developers receive fees ranging from \$14,500 to \$19,950, which are collected from franchisees upon the sale of franchises within their region and a royalty of 3% of sales generated by franchised clinics in their region. Regional developer fees paid to the Company are nonrefundable and are recognized as revenue when the Company has performed substantially all initial services required by the regional developer agreement, which generally is considered to be upon the opening of each franchised clinic. Accordingly, revenue is recognized on a pro-rata basis determined by the number of franchised clinics to be opened in the area covered by the regional developer agreement. Upon the execution of a regional developer agreement, the Company estimates the number of franchised clinics to be opened, which is typically consistent with the contracted minimum. The Company reassesses the number of clinics expected to be opened as the regional developer performs under its regional developer agreement. When a material change to the original estimate becomes apparent, the amount of revenue to be recognized per clinic is revised on a prospective basis, and the unrecognized fees are allocated among, and recognized as revenue upon the opening of, the expected remaining unopened franchised clinics within the region. The franchisor's services under regional developer agreements include

site selection, grand opening support for the clinics, sales support for identification of qualified franchisees, general operational support and marketing support to advertise for ownership opportunities. Several of the regional developer agreements grant the Company the option to repurchase the regional developer's license.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company entered into ten regional developer agreements for which it received approximately \$2.1 million, which was deferred as of the respective transaction dates and will be recognized on a pro-rata basis over the estimated number of franchised clinics to be opened in the respective regions. Certain of these regional developer agreements resulted in the regional developer acquiring the rights to existing royalty streams from clinics already open in the respective territory. In those instances, the revenue associated with the sale of the royalty stream is being recognized over the remaining life of the respective franchise agreements.

Revenues and Management Fees from Company Clinics. The Company earns revenues from clinics that it owns and operates or manages throughout the United States. In those states where the Company owns and operates the clinics, revenues are recognized when services are performed. The Company offers a variety of membership and wellness packages which feature discounted pricing as compared with its single-visit pricing. Amounts collected in advance for membership and wellness packages are recorded as deferred revenue and recognized when the service is performed. In other states where state law requires the chiropractic practice to be owned by a licensed chiropractor, the Company enters into a management agreement with the doctor's PC. Under the management agreement, the Company provides administrative and business management services to the doctor's PC in return for a monthly management fee. When the collectability of the full management fee is uncertain, the Company recognizes management fee revenue only to the extent of fees expected to be collected from the PCs.

Royalties. The Company collects royalties, as stipulated in its franchise agreements, equal to 7% of gross sales, and a marketing and advertising fee currently equal to 2% of gross sales. Certain franchisees with franchise agreements acquired during the formation of the Company pay a monthly flat fee. Royalties are recognized as revenue when earned. Royalties are collected bi-monthly two working days after each sales period has ended.

IT Related Income and Software Fees. The Company collects a monthly fee for use of its proprietary chiropractic software, computer support, and internet services support. These fees are recognized on a monthly basis as services are provided. IT related revenue represents a flat fee to purchase a clinic's computer equipment, operating software, preinstalled chiropractic system software, key card scanner (patient identification card), credit card scanner and credit card receipt printer. These fees are recognized as revenue upon receipt of equipment by the franchisee.

Results of Operations

Total Revenues

Components of revenues for the year ended December 31, 2017 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2016, are as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,		Change from Prior Year	Percent Change from Prior Year	
	2017	2016			
Revenues:					
Revenues and management fees from company clinics	\$11,125,115	\$8,550,980	\$2,574,135	30.1	%
Royalty fees	7,722,856	5,973,079	1,749,777	29.3	%
Franchise fees	1,442,415	2,286,809	(844,394)	(36.9)	%
Advertising fund revenue	2,753,776	1,866,406	887,370	47.5	%
IT related income and software fees	1,137,363	932,709	204,654	21.9	%
Regional developer fees	583,550	617,573	(34,023)	(5.5)	%
Other revenues	398,929	296,084	102,845	34.7	%
Total revenues	\$25,164,004	\$20,523,640	\$4,640,364	22.6	%

The reasons for the significant changes in our components of total revenues are as follows:

Consolidated Results

Total revenues increased by \$4.6 million primarily due to the continued revenue growth of our company-owned or managed clinics, and continued expansion and revenue growth of our franchise base.

Corporate Clinics

Revenues and management fees from company-owned or managed clinics increased primarily due to improved same-store sales growth, offset by fewer company-owned or managed clinics in operation during 2017 compared to 2016. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, there were 47 and 61 company-owned or managed clinics in operation, respectively.

Franchise Operations

Royalty fees have increased due to an increase in the number of franchised clinics in operation along with continued sales growth in existing franchised clinics. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, there were 352 and 309 franchised clinics in operation, respectively.

Franchise fees decreased due to the timing of franchise license terminations and fewer clinic openings. In the year ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, we recognized revenue from terminations of \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. In the year ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, we had 41 and 56 franchised clinic openings, respectively.

Regional developer fees decreased due to the timing of regional developer terminations. We recognized revenue in relation to regional developer terminations of \$0 and \$0.1 million during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

IT related income and software fee, advertising fund revenue and other revenues increased due to an increase in our franchise clinic base as described above.

Cost of Revenues

	Year Ended December 31,		Change from	Percent Change	
	2017	2016	Prior Year	from Prior Year	
Cost of Revenues	\$3,312,194	\$2,939,609	\$ 372,585	12.7	%

For the year ended December 31, 2017, as compared with the year ended December 31, 2016, the total cost of revenues increased due to an increase in regional developer royalties of \$0.6 million triggered by an increase of royalty revenues of approximately 29%, offset by a reduction of \$0.2 million in regional developer commissions recognized in conjunction with franchise openings.

Selling and Marketing Expenses

	Year Ended December 31,		Change from	Percent Change	
	2017	2016	Prior Year	from Prior Year	
Selling and Marketing Expenses	\$4,473,881	\$4,419,180	\$ 54,701	1.2	%

Selling and marketing expenses increased slightly for the year ended December 31, 2017, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2016, driven by an increase in the overall size of the national marketing fund due to a larger franchise clinic base, offset by lower spending on company-owned or managed clinics advertising and promotion due to the sale or closure of 14 clinics.

Depreciation and Amortization Expenses

	Year Ended December 31, 2017	2016	Change from Prior Year	Percent Change from Prior Year
Depreciation and Amortization Expenses	\$2,017,323	\$2,566,136	\$(548,813)	(21.4)%

Depreciation and amortization expenses decreased for the year ended December 31, 2017, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to the sale or closure of 14 company-owned or managed clinics.

General and Administrative Expenses

	Year Ended December 31, 2017	2016	Change from Prior Year	Percent Change from Prior Year
General and Administrative Expenses	\$18,117,533	\$22,086,321	\$(3,968,788)	(18.0)%

General and administrative expenses decreased during the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to the following:

A decrease of approximately \$1.2 million in payroll related expenses and approximately \$0.8 million in utilities and facilities related expenses primarily due to the sale or closure of 14 company-owned or managed clinics; and

A decrease of approximately \$0.6 million in legal and accounting related expenses and approximately \$1.0 million of other miscellaneous expenses as a result of certain legal settlements and real estate development expenses recognized in the year ended December 31, 2016.

Loss from Operations

	Year Ended December 31,		Change from	Percent Change
	2017	2016	Prior Year	from Prior Year
Loss from Operations	\$(3,174,898)	\$(15,007,976)	\$11,833,078	(78.8)%

Consolidated Results

Consolidated loss from operations decreased by \$11.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily driven by the \$8.0 million decrease in operating loss in the corporate clinic segment, discussed below, a decrease in corporate general and administrative expenses of \$2.1 million, and increased net income from franchise operations of \$1.7 million discussed below.

Franchise Operations

Our franchise operations segment had net income from operations of \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, an increase of \$1.7 million, compared to net income from operations of \$4.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. This increase was primarily driven by:

An increase of approximately \$1.2 million in total revenues (net of national marketing fund contributions), due primarily to an approximately 29% increase in franchise royalty revenues; and

A decrease of approximately \$0.8 million in general and administrative expenses, primarily related to decreases of \$0.6 million in payroll related expenses and \$0.2 million in legal, accounting and professional services; offset by,

· An increase of approximately \$0.4 million in royalties and commissions, paid to regional developers.

Corporate Clinics

Our corporate clinics segment (i.e., company-owned or managed clinics) had a loss from operations of \$1.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, a decrease of \$8.0 million compared to a loss from operations of \$9.7 million for the same period ended December 31, 2016. This decrease was primarily driven by:

a \$3.5 million loss recorded during the year ended December 31, 2016, on disposition or impairment of the portfolio of clinics in Illinois and New York deemed to be held for sale as of December 31, 2016. The loss on disposition or impairment consisted of a \$2.4 million impairment charge to lower the carrying costs of property and equipment to its estimated fair value less cost to sell, a \$0.7 million write-off of accounts receivable deemed to be uncollectible for certain working capital advances made to PC entities in Illinois and New York, a \$0.1 million impairment charge related to goodwill and intangible assets associated with an acquired clinic in New York, and a \$0.3 million lease exit liability recorded for certain abandoned leases during the fourth quarter. During the year ended December 31, 2017, we recorded a \$0.4 million loss on disposition or impairment primarily related to additional lease exit liabilities recorded to exit the remaining clinics in the Illinois and New York markets. Overall this represented a \$3.1 million decrease in loss from operations;

· An increase in revenues of approximately \$2.6 million from company-owned or managed clinics; and

· A decrease of approximately \$0.8 million in occupancy costs, \$0.6 million of selling and marketing expenses, \$0.6 million in depreciation and amortization, and \$0.3 million of other general and administrative costs primarily due to the sale or closure of 14 company-owned or managed clinics.

Income Tax (Expense) Benefit

	Year Ended December 31,	Change from	Percent Change
	2017	Prior Year	from Prior Year
Income Tax Expense	\$ (35,880)	\$ (164,429)	\$ 128,549 (78.2)%

Changes in our income tax expense related primarily to changes in the valuation allowance on our deferred tax assets and the impact of certain permanent differences on taxable income. For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the effective rates were -1.1% and -2.6%, respectively. The difference is due to an increased valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets, in addition to state income taxes relating to Voluntary Disclosure Agreements (“VDAs”) with various taxing jurisdictions and an adjustment to expected federal income tax refunds.

U.S. Tax Reform

In December 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the “Act”) was enacted. The Act represents major tax reform legislation that, among other provisions, reduces the U.S. corporate tax rate. Certain income tax effects of the Act, including \$3.9 million of tax expense recorded principally due to the write-down of our net deferred tax assets, are reflected in our financial results in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 118 (SAB 118), which provides SEC staff guidance regarding the application of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 740, Income Taxes, in the reporting period in which the Act became law. See Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements for further information on the financial statement impact of the Act.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources of Liquidity

From 2012 until November 2014, when we completed an initial public offering (“IPO”), we financed our business primarily through existing cash on hand and cash flows from operations.

On November 14, 2014, we completed our IPO of 3,000,000 shares of common stock at a price to the public of \$6.50 per share. As a result of the IPO, we received aggregate net proceeds, after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions and other offering expenses, of approximately \$17.1 million. On November 18, 2014, our underwriters exercised their option to purchase 450,000 additional shares of common stock to cover over-allotments, pursuant to which we received aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$2.7 million.

On November 25, 2015 we completed our follow-on public offering of 2,272,727 shares of our common stock at a price to the public of \$5.50 per share. On December 30, 2015 our underwriters exercised their over-allotment option to purchase an additional 340,909 shares of common stock to cover over-allotments pursuant to which we received aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$13.0 million.

We have used a significant amount of the net proceeds from our public offerings for the development of company-owned or managed clinics. We accomplished this by developing new clinics, and by repurchasing existing franchises. In addition, we have used proceeds from our offerings to repurchase existing regional developer licenses and to continue to expand our franchised clinic business. We are holding the net proceeds in cash or short-term bank deposits.

As of December 31, 2017, we had cash and short-term bank deposits of approximately \$4.2 million. We generated approximately \$0.2 million of cash flow from operating activities in the year ended December 31, 2017. We will continue to preserve cash, and while we plan to resume the acquisition and development of company-owned or managed clinics we intend to progress at a measured pace and target geographic clusters where we are able to increase efficiencies through a consolidated real estate penetration strategy, leverage cooperative advertisement and marketing and attain general corporate and administrative operating efficiencies.

In January 2017, we executed a Credit and Security Agreement which provided a credit facility up to \$5.0 million. We have drawn \$1.0 million under the credit facility. See Note 7 to our consolidated financial statements included in this report for additional discussion of the credit facility.

Analysis of Cash Flows

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$10.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. This change was attributable primarily to a decrease in net loss.

Net cash used in investing activities was approximately \$0.4 million and \$2.7 million during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2017, this includes purchases of property and equipment of approximately \$0.4 million. For the year ended December 31, 2016, this includes cash paid for acquisitions of approximately \$0.8 million, cash paid for reacquisition and termination of regional developer rights of approximately \$0.3 million and purchases of property of equipment of approximately \$1.6 million.

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities was approximately \$1.4 million and (\$0.2) million during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2017, this includes borrowings on revolving credit note payable of \$1 million, proceeds from sale of treasury stock of approximately \$0.3 million, proceeds from exercise of stock options of approximately \$0.4 million partially offset by repayments on notes payable of approximately \$0.2 million. For the year ended December 31, 2016, this includes repayments on notes payable of approximately \$0.4 million partially offset by treasury stock sales of approximately \$0.2 million.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1, *Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, for information regarding recently issued accounting pronouncements that may impact our financial statements.

Contractual Obligations and Risk

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations at December 31, 2017 and the effect that such obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flows in future periods:

	Payments Due by Fiscal Year						
	Total	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Thereafter
Operating leases	\$11,228,713	\$2,119,305	\$1,808,476	\$1,544,978	\$1,411,126	\$1,283,865	\$3,060,963
Notes payable	1,100,000	100,000	1,000,000	-	-	-	-
	\$12,328,713	\$2,219,305	\$2,808,476	\$1,544,978	\$1,411,126	\$1,283,865	\$3,060,963

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

During the year ended December 31, 2017, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated organizations or financial partnerships, such as structured finance or special purpose entities that were established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not required for smaller reporting companies.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	<u>Page</u>
The Joint Corp.	
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2017 and 2016</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>49</u>

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of

The Joint Corp. and Subsidiary

Scottsdale, Arizona

OPINION ON THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of The Joint Corp. and Subsidiary (the "Company") as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows, for each year in the two-year period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each year in the two-year period ended December 31, 2017, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

BASIS FOR OPINION

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) ("PCAOB") and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion.

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

EKS&H LLLP

March 9, 2018

Denver, Colorado

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2013.

43

THE JOINT CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$4,216,221	\$3,009,864
Restricted cash	103,819	334,394
Accounts receivable, net	1,138,380	1,021,733
Income taxes receivable	-	42,014
Notes receivable - current portion	171,928	40,826
Deferred franchise costs - current portion	484,081	748,300
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	542,342	499,525
Total current assets	6,656,771	5,696,656
Property and equipment, net	3,800,466	4,724,706
Notes receivable, net of current portion and reserve	351,857	-
Deferred franchise costs, net of current portion	812,600	836,350
Intangible assets, net	1,760,042	2,338,922
Goodwill	2,916,426	2,750,338
Deposits and other assets	611,808	707,889
Total assets	\$16,909,970	\$17,054,861
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$1,068,669	\$1,054,946
Accrued expenses	86,959	299,997
Co-op funds liability	89,681	73,246
Payroll liabilities	867,430	750,421
Notes payable - current portion	100,000	331,500
Deferred rent - current portion	152,198	215,450
Deferred revenue - current portion	2,553,818	3,077,430
Other current liabilities	48,534	60,894
Total current liabilities	4,967,289	5,863,884
Notes payable, net of current portion	1,000,000	-
Deferred rent, net of current portion	802,492	1,400,790
Deferred revenue, net of current portion	4,693,441	2,231,712
Deferred tax liability	136,434	120,700
Other liabilities	411,497	512,362
Total liabilities	12,011,153	10,129,448
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Series A preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 50,000 shares authorized, 0 issued and outstanding, as of December 31, 2017, and December 31, 2016	-	-
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized, 13,600,338 shares issued and 13,586,254 shares outstanding as of December 31, 2017 and 13,317,393	13,600	13,317

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

shares issued and 13,020,889 outstanding as of December 31, 2016		
Additional paid-in capital	37,229,869	36,398,588
Treasury stock 14,084 shares as of December 31, 2017 and 296,504 shares as of December 31, 2016, at cost	(86,045)	(503,118)
Accumulated deficit	(32,258,607)	(28,983,374)
Total stockholders' equity	4,898,817	6,925,413
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$16,909,970	\$17,054,861

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

THE JOINT CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2017	2016
Revenues:		
Revenues and management fees from company clinics	\$11,125,115	\$8,550,980
Royalty fees	7,722,856	5,973,079
Franchise fees	1,442,415	2,286,809
Advertising fund revenue	2,753,776	1,866,406
IT related income and software fees	1,137,363	932,709
Regional developer fees	583,550	617,573
Other revenues	398,929	296,084
Total revenues	25,164,004	20,523,640
Cost of revenues:		
Franchise cost of revenues	2,996,797	2,717,691
IT cost of revenues	315,397	221,918
Total cost of revenues	3,312,194	2,939,609
Selling and marketing expenses	4,473,881	4,419,180
Depreciation and amortization	2,017,323	2,566,136
General and administrative expenses	18,117,533	22,086,321
Total selling, general and administrative expenses	24,608,737	29,071,637
Loss on disposition or impairment	417,971	3,520,370
Loss from operations	(3,174,898)	(15,007,976)
Other expense, net	(64,455)	(1,467)
Loss before income tax expense	(3,239,353)	(15,009,443)
Income tax expense	(35,880)	(164,429)
Net loss and comprehensive loss	\$(3,275,233)	\$(15,173,872)
Loss per share:		
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.25)	\$(1.20)
Basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding	13,245,119	12,696,649

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

THE JOINT CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

	Common Stock		Additional	Treasury Stock		Accumulated	
	Shares	Amount	Paid In Capital	Shares	Amount	Deficit	Total
Balances, December 31, 2015	13,070,180	\$ 13,070	\$35,267,376	534,000	\$(791,638)	\$(13,809,502)	\$20,679,306
Stock-based compensation expense	-	-	1,123,481	-	-	-	1,123,481
Issuance of vested restricted stock	162,441	162	(162)	-	-	-	-
Exercise of stock options	37,824	38	70,893	-	-	-	70,931
Issuance of common stock, offering costs adjustment	-	-	(1,042)	-	-	-	(1,042)
Purchases of treasury stock under employee stock plans	-	-	-	13,376	(83,391)	-	(83,391)
Sale of treasury stock	-	-	(161,911)	(250,872)	371,911	-	210,000
Issuance of common stock for legal settlement	46,948	47	99,953	-	-	-	100,000
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(15,173,872)	(15,173,872)
Balances, December 31, 2016	13,317,393	\$ 13,317	\$36,398,588	296,504	\$(503,118)	\$(28,983,374)	\$6,925,413
Stock-based compensation expense	-	-	594,371	-	-	-	594,371
Issuance of vested restricted stock	76,070	76	(76)	-	-	-	-
Purchases of treasury stock under employee stock plans	-	-	-	708	(2,655)	-	(2,655)
Sale of treasury stock	-	-	(127,057)	(283,128)	419,728	-	292,671
Exercise of stock options	206,875	207	364,043	-	-	-	364,250
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(3,275,233)	(3,275,233)
Balances, December 31, 2017	13,600,338	\$ 13,600	\$37,229,869	14,084	\$(86,045)	\$(32,258,607)	\$4,898,817

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

THE JOINT CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2017	2016
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$(3,275,233)	\$(15,173,872)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
(Recovery) provision for bad debts	(40,000)	(10,830)
Regional developer fees recognized upon acquisition of development rights	-	(138,500)
Adjustment to deferred revenue from previous acquisitions	133,943	-
Net franchise fees recognized upon termination of franchise agreements	(73,665)	(342,259)
Depreciation and amortization	2,017,323	2,566,136
Gain on sale of fixed assets	(14,525)	(2,191)
Loss on disposition or impairment of assets	417,971	3,520,370
Deferred income taxes	15,734	120,700
Stock based compensation expense	594,371	1,123,481
Cash paid for legal settlement	-	100,000
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Restricted cash	230,575	50,888
Accounts receivable	(124,108)	(999,522)
Income taxes receivable	42,014	28,967
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(42,817)	(133,492)
Deferred franchise costs	269,719	361,600
Deposits and other assets	96,081	71,549
Accounts payable	(36,751)	(953,084)
Accrued expenses	(213,038)	(75,532)
Co-op funds liability	16,435	(127,832)
Payroll liabilities	117,009	(742,954)
Other liabilities	(734,321)	(19,130)
Deferred rent	(410,964)	824,390
Deferred revenue	1,170,691	(896,195)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	156,444	(10,847,312)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Cash paid for acquisitions	-	(839,000)
Reacquisition and termination of regional developer rights	-	(325,000)
Purchase of property and equipment	(449,204)	(1,567,727)
Payments received on notes receivable	76,351	35,905
Net cash used in investing activities	(372,853)	(2,695,822)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Borrowings on revolving credit note payable	1,000,000	-
Issuance of common stock, offering costs adjustment	-	(1,042)
Purchases of treasury stock under employee stock plans	(2,655)	(83,391)

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

Proceeds from sale of treasury stock	292,671	210,000
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	364,250	70,931
Repayments on notes payable	(231,500)	(436,350)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	1,422,766	(239,852)
Net increase (decrease) in cash	1,206,357	(13,782,986)
Cash at beginning of year	3,009,864	16,792,850
Cash at end of year	\$4,216,221	\$3,009,864

During the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, cash paid for income taxes was \$29,315 and \$11,250, respectively. During the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, cash paid for interest was \$108,016 and \$15,262, respectively.

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash activity:

As of December 31, 2017, the Company had property and equipment purchases of \$50,474 which were included in accounts payable. As of December 31, 2016, the Company had property and equipment purchases of \$11,059 which were included in accounts payable.

In connection with the acquisitions of franchises during the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company acquired \$293,014 of property and equipment, intangible assets of \$339,000, goodwill of \$269,780 and assumed deferred revenue associated with membership packages paid in advance of \$45,072 in exchange for \$839,000 in cash and notes payable issued to the sellers for an aggregate amount of \$186,000. Additionally, at the time of these transactions, the Company carried deferred revenue of \$29,000, representing franchise fees collected upon the execution of franchise agreements, and deferred costs of \$1,450, related to its acquisition of undeveloped franchises. The Company netted these amounts against the aggregate purchase price of the acquisitions (Note 2).

In connection with the reacquisition and termination of regional developer rights during the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company had deferred revenue of \$224,750 representing license fees collected upon the execution of the regional developer agreements. In accordance with ASC-952-605, the Company netted these amounts against the aggregate purchase price of the acquisitions.

In connection with the sale of the regional developer territories in Central Florida, Maryland/Washington DC, Minnesota, Texas, Oklahoma and Arkansas, the Company issued notes receivable in the amount of \$559,310 with revenue to be recognized over the anticipated number of clinics to be opened in the respective territories. The Company has recognized \$14,967 of revenue related to these notes in the year ended December 31, 2017.

During December of 2016, the Company entered into a settlement agreement, whereby it resolved a pending litigation matter. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, the Company agreed to a one-time settlement amount comprised of cash and 46,948 shares of common stock. The fair value of the total consideration related to common stock was \$100,000. The fair value of the common stock was measured using the closing price of the Company's common stock on the settlement date.

During December of 2017 the Company recorded an adjustment to goodwill related to deferred revenue from previous acquisitions of \$166,088.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

THE JOINT CORP. AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1: Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of The Joint Corp. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, The Joint Corporate Unit No. 1, LLC (collectively, the “Company”), which was dormant for all periods presented.

All significant intercompany accounts and transactions between The Joint Corp. and its subsidiary have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain balances were reclassified from general and administrative expenses to other expense, net, as well as certain balances from other revenues to revenues and management fees from company clinics for the year ended December 31, 2016 to conform to the current year presentation and align with the segment footnote presentation.

Comprehensive Loss

Net loss and comprehensive loss are the same for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Nature of Operations

The Joint Corp., a Delaware corporation, was formed on March 10, 2010. Its principal business purposes are owning, operating, managing and franchising chiropractic clinics, selling regional developer rights and supporting the operations of owned, managed and franchised chiropractic clinics at locations throughout the United States of America. The franchising of chiropractic clinics is regulated by the Federal Trade Commission and various state authorities.

The following table summarizes the number of clinics in operation under franchise agreements and as company-owned or managed for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016:

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2017	2016
Franchised clinics:		
Clinics open at beginning of period	309	265
Opened or purchased during the period	41	56
Acquired or sold during the period	6	(6)
Closed or sold during the period	(4)	(6)
Clinics in operation at the end of the period	352	309

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2017	2016
Company-owned or managed clinics:		
Clinics open at beginning of period	61	47
Opened during the period	-	8
Acquired during the period	-	6
Closed or sold during the period	(14)	-
Clinics in operation at the end of the period	47	61
Total clinics in operation at the end of the period	399	370
Clinics licenses sold but not yet developed	104	115
Executed letters of intent for future clinic licenses	8	-

Variable Interest Entities

An entity deemed to hold the controlling interest in a voting interest entity or deemed to be the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity (“VIE”) is required to consolidate the VIE in its financial statements. An entity is deemed to be the primary beneficiary of a VIE if it has both of the following characteristics: (a) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and (b) the obligation to absorb the majority of losses of the VIE or the right to receive the majority of benefits from the VIE. Investments where the Company does not hold the controlling interest and are not the primary beneficiary are accounted for under the equity method.

Certain states, in which the Company manages clinics, regulate the practice of chiropractic care and require that chiropractic services be provided by legal entities organized under state laws as professional corporations or PCs. Such PCs are VIEs. In these states, the Company has entered into management services agreements with PCs under which the Company provides, on an exclusive basis, all non-clinical services of the chiropractic practice. The Company has analyzed its relationship with the PCs and has determined that the Company does not have the power to direct the activities of the PCs. As such, the activity of the PCs is not included in the Company's consolidated financial statements

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company continually monitors its positions with, and credit quality of, the financial institutions with which it invests. As of the balance sheet date and periodically throughout the period, the Company has maintained balances in various operating accounts in excess of federally insured limits. The Company had no cash equivalents as of December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash relates to cash franchisees and corporate clinics contribute to the Company's National Marketing Fund and cash franchisees provide to various voluntary regional Co-Op Marketing Funds. Cash contributed by franchisees to the National Marketing Fund is to be used in accordance with the Franchise Disclosure Document with a focus on regional and national marketing and advertising.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

From time to time, the Company grants credit in the normal course of business to franchisees and PCs related to the collection of royalties and other operating revenues. The Company periodically performs credit analysis and monitors the financial condition of the franchisees and PCs to reduce credit risk. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, one PC entity and six franchisees represented 13% and 24%, respectively, of outstanding accounts receivable. The Company did not have any customers that represented greater than 10% of its revenues during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable represent amounts due from franchisees for initial franchise fees, royalty fees, marketing and advertising expenses and amounts due from PCs for which the Company performs management services for the repayment of working capital advances. The Company considers an allowance for doubtful accounts based on the creditworthiness of the franchisee or named entity. The provision for uncollectible amounts is continually reviewed and adjusted to maintain the allowance at a level considered adequate to cover future losses. The allowance is management's best estimate of uncollectible amounts and is determined based on specific identification and historical performance that the Company tracks on an ongoing basis. The losses ultimately could differ materially in the near term from the amounts estimated in determining the allowance. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company had an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0 and \$131,830, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2017 the Company recovered \$40,000 of accounts receivable that had previously been deemed uncollectible.

The Company writes off accounts receivable when it deems them uncollectible and records recoveries of accounts receivable previously written off when it receives them. In the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company determined that certain working capital advances from its PC entities in Illinois and New York were no longer collectible as a result of the sale or closure of the related clinics. Accordingly, the Company wrote-off approximately \$47,000 of accounts receivable to loss on disposition or impairment related to these entities during the year ended December 31, 2017. The Company wrote-off \$731,857 of accounts receivable to loss on disposition or impairment related to these entities during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Deferred Franchise Costs

Deferred franchise costs represent commissions that are paid in conjunction with the sale of a franchise and are expensed when the respective revenue is recognized, which is generally upon the opening of a clinic.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of three to seven years. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful life of the assets.

Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred; major renewals and improvements are capitalized. When items of property or equipment are sold or retired, the related cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is included in the consolidated statement of operations.

Software Developed

The Company capitalizes certain software development costs. These capitalized costs are primarily related to proprietary software used by clinics for operations and by the Company for the management of operations. Costs incurred in the preliminary stages of development are expensed as incurred. Once an application has reached the development stage, internal and external costs, if direct, are capitalized as assets in progress until the software is substantially complete and ready for its intended use. Capitalization ceases upon completion of all substantial testing. The Company also capitalizes costs related to specific upgrades and enhancements when it is probable the expenditures will result in additional functionality. Software developed is recorded as part of property and equipment. Maintenance and training costs are expensed as incurred. Internal use software is amortized on a straight-line basis over its estimated useful life, generally 5 years.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist primarily of re-acquired franchise and regional developer rights and customer relationships. The Company amortizes the fair value of re-acquired franchise rights over the remaining contractual terms of the re-acquired franchise rights at the time of the acquisition, which range from six to eight years. The Company amortizes the acquired regional developer rights over seven years. The fair value of customer relationships is amortized over their estimated useful life of two years.

The Company recorded an impairment charge of \$38,185 during the year ended December 31, 2016 related to closure of an acquired clinic in New York. No impairment was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Goodwill

Goodwill consists of the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired in the acquisitions discussed in Note 2. Goodwill and intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives are not amortized but are subject to annual impairment tests. As required, the Company performs an annual impairment test of goodwill as of the first day of the fourth quarter or more frequently if events or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value.

The Company recorded an impairment charge of \$54,994 during the year ended December 31, 2016 which represents the write-off of the goodwill associated with the closure of an acquired clinic in New York. No impairment was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Long-Lived Assets

The Company reviews its long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recovered. The Company looks primarily to estimated undiscounted future cash flows in its assessment of whether or not long-lived assets have been impaired. No impairments were recorded for the year ended December 31, 2017. The Company recorded an impairment charge of \$2.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2016 due to the sale or closure of clinics in Illinois and New York (Note 4).

Advertising Fund

The Company has established an advertising fund for national/regional marketing and advertising of services offered by its clinics. The monthly marketing fee is 2% of clinic sales. The Company segregates the marketing funds collected which are included in restricted cash on its consolidated balance sheets. As amounts are expended from the fund, the Company recognizes advertising fund revenue and a related expense. Amounts collected in excess of marketing expenditures are included in restricted cash on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

Co-Op Marketing Funds

Some franchises have established regional Co-Ops for advertising within their local and regional markets. The Company maintains a custodial relationship under which the marketing funds collected are segregated and used for the purposes specified by the Co-Ops' officers. The marketing funds are included in restricted cash on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities

The Company recognizes a liability for the cost associated with an exit or disposal activity that is measured initially at its fair value in the period in which the liability is incurred.

Costs to terminate an operating lease or other contracts are (a) costs to terminate the contract before the end of its term or (b) costs that will continue to be incurred under the contract for its remaining term without economic benefit to the entity. A liability for costs that will continue to be incurred under a contract for its remaining term without economic benefit to the entity shall be recognized at the cease-use date. In periods subsequent to initial measurement, changes to the liability are measured using the credit adjusted risk-free rate that was used to measure the liability initially. The cumulative effect of a change resulting from a revision to either the timing or the amount of estimated cash flows shall be recognized as an adjustment to the liability in the period of the change.

Lease exit liability at December 31, 2016	\$338,151
Additions	883,146
Settlements	(891,991)
Net accretion	(29,906)
Lease exit liability at December 31, 2017	\$299,400

As of December 31, 2016, the Company recognized a liability of approximately \$0.3 million related to operating leases that will no longer provide economic benefit to the entity, net of estimated sublease income.

In the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company ceased use of eight clinic locations from its corporate clinics segment and recognized a liability of approximately \$0.9 million for lease exit costs incurred based on the remaining lease rental due, reduced by estimated sublease rental income that could be reasonably obtained for the properties. The Company recognized the resulting expense of approximately \$0.4 million in loss on disposition or impairment in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations.

Deferred Rent

The Company leases office space for its corporate offices and company-owned and managed clinics under operating leases, which may include rent holidays and rent escalation clauses. It recognizes rent holiday periods and scheduled rent increases on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. The Company records tenant improvement allowances as deferred rent and amortizes the allowance over the term of the lease, as a reduction to rent expense.

Revenue Recognition

The Company generates revenue through initial franchise fees, regional developer fees, royalties, advertising fund revenue, IT related income, and computer software fees, and from its company-owned and managed clinics.

Franchise Fees. The Company requires the entire non-refundable initial franchise fee to be paid upon execution of a franchise agreement, which typically has an initial term of ten years. Initial franchise fees are recognized as revenue when the Company has substantially completed its initial services under the franchise agreement, which typically occurs upon opening of the clinic. The Company's services under the franchise agreement include: training of franchisees and staff, site selection, construction/vendor management and ongoing operations support. The Company provides no financing to franchisees and offers no guarantees on their behalf.

Regional Developer Fees. During 2011, the Company established a regional developer program to engage independent contractors to assist in developing specified geographical regions. Under the historical program, regional developers paid a license fee ranging from \$7,250 to 25% of the then current franchise fee for each franchise they received the right to develop within the region. In 2017, the program was revised to grant exclusive geographical territory and establish a minimum development obligation within that defined territory. Regional developers receive fees ranging from \$14,500 to \$19,950 which are collected from franchisees upon the sale of franchises within their region and a royalty of 3% of sales generated by franchised clinics in their region. Regional developer fees paid to the Company are nonrefundable and are recognized as revenue when the Company has performed substantially all initial services required by the regional developer agreement, which generally is considered to be upon the opening of each franchised clinic. Accordingly, revenue is recognized on a pro-rata basis determined by the number of franchised clinics to be opened in the area covered by the regional developer agreement. Upon the execution of a regional developer agreement, the Company estimates the number of franchised clinics to be opened, which is typically consistent with the contracted minimum. The Company reassesses the number of clinics expected to be opened as the regional developer performs under its regional developer agreement. When a material change to the original estimate becomes apparent, the amount of revenue to be recognized per clinic is revised on a prospective basis, and the unrecognized fees are allocated among, and recognized as revenue upon the opening of, the expected remaining unopened franchised clinics within the region. The franchisor's services under regional developer agreements include site selection, grand opening support for the clinics, sales support for identification of qualified franchisees, general operational support and marketing support to advertise for ownership opportunities. Several of the regional developer agreements grant the Company the option to repurchase the regional developer's license.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company entered into ten regional developer agreements for which it received approximately \$2.1 million, which was deferred as of the respective transaction dates and will be recognized on a pro-rata basis over the estimated number of franchised clinics to be opened in the respective regions. Certain of these regional developer agreements resulted in the regional developer acquiring the rights to existing royalty streams from clinics already open in the respective territory. In those instances, the revenue associated from the sale of the royalty stream is being recognized over the remaining life of the respective franchise agreements.

Revenues and Management Fees from Company Clinics. The Company earns revenues from clinics that it owns and operates or manages throughout the United States. In those states where the Company owns and operates the clinic, revenues are recognized when services are performed. The Company offers a variety of membership and wellness packages which feature discounted pricing as compared with its single-visit pricing. Amounts collected in advance for membership and wellness packages are recorded as deferred revenue and recognized when the service is performed. In other states where state law requires the chiropractic practice to be owned by a licensed chiropractor, the Company enters into a management agreement with the doctor's PC. Under the management agreement, the Company provides administrative and business management services to the doctor's PC in return for a monthly management fee. When the collectability of the full management fee is uncertain, the Company recognizes management fee revenue only to the extent of fees expected to be collected from the PCs.

Royalties. The Company collects royalties, as stipulated in the franchise agreement, equal to 7% of gross sales, and a marketing and advertising fee currently equal to 2% of gross sales. Certain franchisees with franchise agreements acquired during the formation of the Company pay a monthly flat fee. Royalties are recognized as revenue when earned. Royalties are collected bi-monthly two working days after each sales period has ended.

IT Related Income and Software Fees. The Company collects a monthly fee for use of its proprietary chiropractic software, computer support, and internet services support. These fees are recognized on a monthly basis as services are provided. IT related revenue represents a flat fee to purchase a clinic's computer equipment, operating software, preinstalled chiropractic system software, key card scanner (patient identification card), credit card scanner and credit card receipt printer. These fees are recognized as revenue upon receipt of equipment by the franchisee.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising expenses for years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 were \$1,397,076 and \$2,279,572, respectively.

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are recognized for differences between the basis of assets and liabilities for financial statement and income tax purposes. The differences relate principally to depreciation of property and equipment and treatment of revenue for franchise fees and regional developer fees collected. Deferred tax assets and liabilities represent the future tax consequence for those differences, which will either be taxable or deductible when the assets and liabilities are recovered or settled. Deferred taxes are also recognized for operating losses that are available to offset future taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

The Company accounts for uncertainty in income taxes by recognizing the tax benefit or expense from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The Company measures the tax benefits and expenses recognized in the consolidated financial statements from such a position based on the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate resolution.

Loss per Common Share

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

Basic loss per common share is computed by dividing the net loss by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted loss per common share is computed by giving effect to all potentially dilutive common shares including preferred stock, restricted stock, and stock options.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2017	2016
Net loss	\$(3,275,233)	\$(15,173,872)
Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic	13,245,119	12,696,649
Effect of dilutive securities:		
Stock options	-	-
Weighted average common shares outstanding - diluted	13,245,119	12,696,649
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.25)	\$(1.20)

The following table summarizes the potential shares of common stock that were excluded from diluted net loss per share, because the effect of including these potential shares was anti-dilutive:

	Year Ended	
	December 31,	
	2017	2016
Unvested restricted stock	63,700	92,415
Stock options	1,003,916	953,075
Warrants	90,000	90,000

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for share-based payments by recognizing compensation expense based upon the estimated fair value of the awards on the date of grant. The Company determines the estimated grant-date fair value of restricted shares using quoted market prices and the grant-date fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. In order to calculate the fair value of the options, certain assumptions are made regarding the components of the model, including the estimated fair value of underlying common stock, risk-free interest rate, volatility, expected dividend yield and expected option life. Changes to the assumptions could cause significant adjustments to the valuation. The Company recognizes compensation costs ratably over the period of service using the straight-line method.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Items subject to significant estimates and assumptions include the allowance for doubtful accounts, share-based compensation arrangements, fair value of stock options, useful lives and realizability of long-lived assets, classification of deferred revenue and deferred franchise costs, uncertain tax positions, realizability of deferred tax assets, impairment of goodwill and intangible assets, and purchase price allocations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2014-09, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers*,” which requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to

which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. The standard also calls for additional disclosures around the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers. The ASU will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in U.S. GAAP when it becomes effective. The new standard becomes effective for the Company on January 1, 2018.

In April 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-10, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing*,” to clarify the following two aspects of Topic 606: 1) identifying performance obligations, and 2) the licensing implementation guidance. The effective date and transition requirements for these amendments are the same as the effective date and transition requirements of ASU 2014-09.

In May 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-12, “*Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients*,” to clarify certain core recognition principles including collectability, sales tax presentation, noncash consideration, contract modifications and completed contracts at transition and disclosures no longer required if the full retrospective transition method is adopted. The effective date and transition requirements for these amendments are the same as the effective date and transition requirements of ASU 2014-09.

The Company has performed a review of the above revenue standards updates and does not expect the adoption of the updates to have a material impact on its revenues and management fees from company clinics, advertising fund revenue, or IT related income and software fees. In addition, the Company does not expect the adoption to have a material impact on its franchise royalty revenues, as they are based on a percent of sales. The Company expects the adoption of Topic 606 to impact its accounting for initial franchise fees and regional developer fees. Currently, the Company recognizes revenue from initial franchise fees and regional developer fees upon the opening of a franchised clinic when the Company has performed all of its material obligations and initial services under the respective agreements. Upon the adoption of Topic 606, the Company expects to recognize the revenue related to initial franchise fees and regional developer fees over the term of the related franchise agreement or regional developer agreement. The Company has finalized its accounting policies, and has selected the full retrospective method as its transition method.

The Company quantified the impact of adopting this standard, and designed internal controls during the year ended December 31, 2017 to be implemented on January 1, 2018. The Company estimates the cumulative catch-up adjustment to be recorded to retained earnings as of December 31, 2015 to be an approximately \$3.3 million increase to the accumulated deficit as the Company has adopted the full retrospective approach. This is made up of a decrease to franchise fee revenue of approximately \$4.5 million, a decrease to regional developer revenue of approximately \$0.4 million, and a decrease to franchise cost of revenue of approximately \$1.6 million. The Company estimates the adjustment to be recorded to retained earnings as of December 31, 2016 to be an approximately \$0.6 million increase to accumulated deficit. This is made up of a decrease to franchise fee revenue of approximately \$0.8 million, with a decrease to franchise cost of revenue of approximately \$0.2 million. The Company estimates the adjustment to be recorded to retained earnings as of December 31, 2017 to be an approximately \$0.2 million increase to accumulated deficit. This is made up of a decrease to franchise fee revenue of approximately \$0.3 million, with an offsetting increase to franchise cost of revenue of approximately \$0.1 million. The impact to regional developer revenue for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2017 was not material. No impact to the Company's consolidated statement of cash flows is expected as the initial fees will continue to be collected upon execution of the franchise agreement. The Company will comply with the increased financial statement disclosure requirements during the first quarter of 2018.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, "*Leases (Topic 842)*." The ASU requires that substantially all operating leases be recognized as assets and liabilities on the Company's balance sheet, which is a significant departure from the current standard, which classifies operating leases as off-balance sheet transactions and accounts for only the current year operating lease expense in the statement of operations. The right to use the leased property is to be capitalized as an asset and the expected lease payments over the life of the lease will be accounted for as a liability. The effective date is for fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2018. While the Company has not yet quantified the impact that this standard will have on its financial statements, it will result in a significant increase in the assets and liabilities reflected on the Company's balance sheet and in the interest expense and depreciation and amortization expense reflected in its statement of operations, while reducing the amount of rent expense.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, "*Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments*." This update addresses how certain cash inflows and outflows are classified in the statement of cash flows to eliminate existing diversity in practice. This update is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted. The Company adopted the standard on January 1, 2018 and does not anticipate this amendment will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-18, "*Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash*" (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force), to provide guidance on the presentation of restricted cash or restricted cash equivalents in the statement of cash flows. The amendments should be applied using a retrospective transition method, and are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company adopted the standard on January 1, 2018 and does not anticipate this amendment will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-01, “*Business Combinations (Topic 805): Clarifying the Definition of a Business*,” to clarify the definition of a business with the objective of adding guidance to assist entities with evaluating whether transactions should be accounted for as acquisitions (or disposals) of assets or businesses. The amendments should be applied prospectively, and are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company adopted the standard on January 1, 2018 and does not anticipate this amendment will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-04, “*Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment*.” This update simplifies the subsequent measurement of goodwill by eliminating “Step 2” from the goodwill impairment test. This update is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this standard will have on the Company's consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-09, “*Compensation—Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Scope of Modification Accounting*,” to provide clarity and reduce both (1) diversity in practice and (2) cost and complexity when applying the guidance in Topic 718, Compensation—Stock Compensation, to a change to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award. The ASU provides guidance about which changes to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award require an entity to apply modification accounting in ASC 718. The amendments are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017 and should be applied prospectively to an award modified on or after the adoption date. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in an interim period. The Company adopted the standard on January 1, 2018 and does not anticipate this amendment will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Note 2: Acquisitions*Franchises acquired during 2016*

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company continued to execute its growth strategy and entered into a series of unrelated transactions with existing franchisees to re-acquire an aggregate of six developed franchises and one undeveloped franchise throughout California and New Mexico for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,025,000, subject to certain adjustments, consisting of cash of \$839,000 and notes payable of \$186,000. The Company is operating the six developed franchises as company-owned or managed clinics and has terminated the undeveloped clinic license. At the time these transactions were consummated, the Company carried a deferred revenue balance of \$29,000, representing franchise fees collected upon the execution of the franchise agreements, and deferred franchise costs of \$1,450, related to an undeveloped franchise. The Company accounted for the franchise rights associated with the undeveloped franchise as a cancellation, and the respective deferred revenue and deferred franchise costs were netted against the aggregate purchase price. The remaining \$997,450 was accounted for as consideration paid for the acquired franchises.

The Company incurred approximately \$75,000 of transaction costs related to these acquisitions for the year ended December 31, 2016, which are included in general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Purchase Price Allocation

The following summarizes the aggregate estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed during 2016 as of the acquisition date:

Property and equipment	\$293,014
Intangible assets	339,000
Favorable leases	140,728
Goodwill	269,780
Total assets acquired	1,042,522
Deferred membership revenue	(45,072)
Net purchase price	\$997,450

Intangible assets in the table above consist of reacquired franchise rights of \$181,000 and customer relationships of \$158,000 and will be amortized over their estimated useful lives ranging from six to eight years and two years, respectively.

Goodwill recorded in connection with these acquisitions was attributable to the workforce of the clinics and synergies expected to arise from cost savings opportunities. All of the recorded goodwill is tax-deductible.

Pro Forma Results of Operations (Unaudited)

The following table summarizes selected unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations data for the year ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 as if the acquisitions in 2016 had been completed on January 1, 2016.

	Pro Forma for the Year Ended December 31, 2017		December 31, 2016
Revenues, net	\$ -	\$ 20,985,277	
Net loss	\$ -	\$ (15,483,492)

This selected unaudited pro forma consolidated financial data is included only for the purpose of illustration and does not necessarily indicate what the operating results would have been if the acquisitions had been completed on that date. Moreover, this information is not indicative of what the Company's future operating results will be. The information for 2016 prior to the acquisitions is included based on prior accounting records maintained by the acquired companies. In some cases, accounting policies differed materially from accounting policies adopted by the Company following the acquisitions. For 2016, this information includes actual data recorded in the Company's consolidated financial statements for the period subsequent to the date of the acquisitions. The Company's consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2016 includes net revenue and net income of approximately \$7.5 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, attributable to the acquisitions.

The pro forma amounts included in the table above reflect the application of accounting policies and adjustment of the results of the clinics to reflect the additional depreciation and amortization that would have been charged assuming the fair value adjustments to property and equipment and intangible assets had been applied from January 1, 2015, together with the consequential tax impacts.

Note 3: Notes Receivable

Effective July 2012, the Company sold a company-owned clinic, including the license agreement, equipment, and customer base, in exchange for a \$90,000 unsecured promissory note. The note bore interest at 6% per annum for fifty-four months and required monthly principal and interest payments over forty-two months, beginning on August 2013. The note matured in January 2017 and was paid in full upon maturity.

Effective July 2015, the Company entered into two license transfer agreements, in exchange for \$10,000 and \$29,925 in separate unsecured promissory notes. The non-interest-bearing notes require monthly principal payments over 24 months, beginning on September 1, 2015. The note was settled in full in 2017.

Effective May 2016, the Company entered into three license transfer agreements, in exchange for three separate \$7,500 unsecured promissory notes. The non-interest-bearing notes require monthly principal payments over six months, beginning on May 1, 2017. The note matured in October 2017 and was paid in full upon maturity.

Effective April 29, 2017, the Company entered into a regional developer agreement for certain territories in the state of Florida in exchange for \$320,000, of which \$187,000 was funded through a promissory note. The note bears interest at 10% per annum for 42 months and requires monthly principal and interest payments over 36 months, beginning November 1, 2017 and maturing on October 1, 2020. The note is secured by the regional developer rights in the respective territory.

Effective August 31, 2017, the Company entered into a regional developer agreement for certain territories in Maryland/Washington DC in exchange for \$220,000, of which \$117,475 was funded through a promissory note. The note bears interest at 10% per annum for 36 months and requires monthly principal and interest payments over 36 months, beginning September 1, 2017 and maturing on August 1, 2020. The note is secured by the regional developer rights in the respective territory.

Effective September 22, 2017, the Company entered into a regional developer and asset purchase agreement for certain territories in Minnesota in exchange for \$228,293, of which \$119,147 was funded through a promissory note. The note bears interest at 10% per annum for 36 months and requires monthly principal and interest payments over 36 months, beginning October 1, 2017 and maturing on September 1, 2020. The note is secured by the regional developer rights in the respective territory.

Effective October 10, 2017, the Company entered into a regional developer agreement for certain territories in Texas, Oklahoma and Arkansas in exchange for \$170,000, of which \$135,688 was funded through a promissory note. The note bears interest at 10% per annum for 36 months and requires monthly principal and interest payments over 36 months, beginning September 24, 2017 and maturing on October 24, 2020. The note is secured by the regional developer rights in the respective territory.

The outstanding balance of the notes as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 were \$523,785 and \$40,826, respectively.

Note 4: Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consist of the following:

	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Office and computer equipment	\$ 1,137,970	\$ 1,083,039
Leasehold improvements	5,117,379	5,085,366
Software developed	1,066,454	891,192
	7,321,803	7,059,597
Accumulated depreciation	(3,928,349)	(2,566,172)
	3,393,454	4,493,425
Construction in progress	407,012	231,281
	\$ 3,800,466	\$ 4,724,706

Depreciation expense was \$1,438,443 and \$1,818,403 for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

In December 2016, the Company determined that 14 clinics from its Corporate Clinics segment met the criteria for classification as held for sale. Accordingly, in December 2016, the Company recognized a \$2.4 million impairment charge to lower the carrying costs of the property and equipment to its estimated fair value less cost to sell which was recorded in the loss on disposition or impairment line of the 2016 consolidated statement of operations. The Company completed the sale of the property in the first quarter of 2017 for nominal consideration.

Note 5: Fair Value Consideration

The Company's financial instruments include cash, restricted cash, accounts receivable, notes receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses and notes payable. The carrying amounts of its financial instruments approximate their fair value due to their short maturities.

The Company does not use derivative financial instruments to hedge exposures to cash-flow, market or foreign-currency risks.

Authoritative guidance defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The guidance establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on reliability of the inputs as follows:

Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets;

Level 2: Inputs, other than the quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly; and

Level 3: Unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company does not have any financial instruments that are measured on a recurring basis as Level 1, 2 or 3.

As of December 31, 2016, the Company had non-recurring fair value measurements. As a result of the sale of certain clinics subsequent to year end, the Company recorded the assets at the lesser of their carrying values and their fair value less costs to sell, which resulted in a write-down of approximately \$3.5 million. The inputs used to determine such fair values were based on the offer price provided by a third-party in connection with the sale, and are classified within Level 3 in the hierarchy.

Note 6: Intangible Assets

On January 1, 2016, the Company entered into an agreement under which it repurchased the regional development rights to develop franchises in San Bernardino and Riverside Counties in California. The total consideration for the transaction was \$275,000, paid in cash. The Company carried a deferred revenue balance associated with these transactions of \$36,250, representing license fees collected upon the execution of the regional developer agreements. The Company accounted for the development rights associated with the unsold or undeveloped franchises as a cancellation, and the respective deferred revenue was netted against the aggregate purchase price or recognized as revenue to the extent deferred revenue was in excess of the cash consideration paid.

On June 1, 2016, the Company entered into an agreement under which it repurchased the regional development rights to develop franchises in Virginia. The total consideration for the transaction was \$50,000, paid in cash. The Company carried a deferred revenue balance associated with these transactions of \$188,500, representing license fees collected upon the execution of the regional developer agreements. The Company accounted for the development rights associated with the unsold or undeveloped franchises as a cancellation, and the respective deferred revenue was netted against the aggregate purchase price or recognized as revenue to the extent deferred revenue was in excess of the cash consideration paid.

Intangible assets consisted of the following:

	As of December 31, 2017		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Value
Amortized intangible assets:			

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

Reacquired franchise rights	\$ 1,673,000	\$ 657,943	\$ 1,015,057
Customer relationships	701,000	674,667	26,333
Reacquired development rights	1,162,000	443,348	718,652
	\$3,536,000	\$ 1,775,958	\$ 1,760,042

	As of December 31, 2016		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Value
Amortized intangible assets:			
Reacquired franchise rights	\$ 1,673,000	\$ 410,688	\$ 1,262,312
Customer relationships	701,000	509,042	191,958
Reacquired development rights	1,162,000	277,348	884,652
	\$3,536,000	\$ 1,197,078	\$ 2,338,922

Amortization expense was \$578,880 and \$747,733 for the year ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

The Company evaluates the recoverability of finite-lived intangible assets for possible impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. The evaluation is performed at the lowest level for which identifiable cash flows are largely independent of the cash flows of other assets and liabilities. Recoverability of these assets is measured by a comparison of the carrying amounts to the future undiscounted cash flows the assets are expected to generate. If such review indicates that the carrying amount of intangible assets is not recoverable, the carrying amount of such assets is reduced to fair value. The Company recorded an impairment charge as a result of the closure of a clinic acquired in 2015 of \$38,185 related to certain reacquired franchise rights and customer relationships during the year ended December 31, 2016 which is included on the loss on disposition or impairment line of the statement of consolidated operations. No impairment was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Estimated amortization expense for 2018 and subsequent years is as follows:

2018	\$439,590
2019	413,256
2020	413,256
2021	348,034
2022	133,693
Thereafter	12,213
Total	\$1,760,042

Note 7: Debt

Notes Payable

During 2015, the Company issued 12 notes payable totaling \$800,350 as a portion of the consideration paid in connection with the Company's various acquisitions. Interest rates range from 1.5% to 5.25% with maturities through February of 2017.

During 2016, the Company issued two notes payable totaling \$186,000 as a portion of the consideration paid in connection with the Company's various acquisitions. Interest rates for both notes are 4.25% with maturities through May of 2017. The outstanding note will be paid upon execution of a final settlement and release agreement between the parties.

Maturities of notes payable are as follows as of December 31, 2017:

2018	\$100,000
Thereafter	-
Total	\$100,000

Credit and Security Agreement

On January 3, 2017, the Company entered into a Credit and Security Agreement (the “Credit Agreement”) and signed a revolving credit note payable to the lender. Under the Credit Agreement, the Company is able to borrow up to an aggregate of \$5,000,000 under revolving loans. Interest on the unpaid outstanding principal amount of any revolving loans is at a rate equal to 10% per annum, provided that the minimum amount of interest paid in the aggregate on all revolving loans granted over the term of the Credit Agreement is \$200,000. Interest is due and payable on the last day of each fiscal quarter in an amount determined by the Company, but not less than \$25,000. The lender’s lending commitments under the Credit Agreement terminate in December 2019, unless sooner terminated in accordance with the provisions of the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement is collateralized by the assets in the Company’s company-owned or managed clinics. The Company is using the credit facility for general working capital needs. As of December 31, 2017, the Company had drawn \$1,000,000 of the \$5,000,000 available under the Credit Agreement.

Note 8: Equity*Stock Options*

On May 15, 2014, the Company adopted the 2014 Stock Plan (“2014 Plan”). The 2014 Plan is designed to supersede and replace the 2012 Plan, effective as of the adoption date and set aside 1,513,000 shares of the Company’s common stock that may be granted under the 2014 Plan.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company granted 660,000 stock options to employees with exercise prices ranging from \$2.23 - \$4.11.

During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company granted 295,286 stock options to employees with exercise prices ranging from \$2.65 - \$5.51.

The Company’s stock trading price is the basis of fair value of its common stock used in determining the value of share-based awards. To the extent the value of the Company’s share-based awards involves a measure of volatility, it will rely upon the volatilities from publicly traded companies with similar business models until its common stock has accumulated enough trading history for it to utilize its own historical volatility. We use the simplified method as to calculate the expected term of stock option grants to employees as we do not have sufficient historical exercise data to provide a reasonable basis upon which to estimate the expected term of stock options granted to employees. Accordingly, the expected life of the options granted is based on the average of the vesting term and the contractual term of the option. The risk-free rate for periods within the expected life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury 10-year yield curve in effect at the date of the grant.

The Company has computed the fair value of all options granted during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, using the following assumptions:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	
Expected volatility	42%	42%	- 45%
Expected dividends	None		None
Expected term (years)	5.5 - 7		7
Risk-free rate	1.98% to 2.20%	1.19%	- 1.68%
Forfeiture rate	20%		20%

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

The information below summarizes the stock options:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Fair Value	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	477,459	\$ 4.30	\$ 2.01	8.7
Granted at market price	660,000	3.22		
Exercised	(37,824)	1.88		
Cancelled	(146,560)	4.34		
Outstanding at December 31, 2016	953,075	\$ 3.66	\$ 1.86	6.9
Granted at market price	295,286	4.31		
Exercised	(206,875)	1.76		
Cancelled	(37,570)	5.11		
Outstanding at December 31, 2017	1,003,916	\$ 4.18	\$ 1.87	8.1
Exercisable at December 31, 2017	287,230	\$ 5.37	\$ 2.38	7.4

The intrinsic value of the Company's stock options outstanding was \$1,306,260 at December 31, 2017.

For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, stock-based compensation expense for stock options was \$380,067 and \$561,559, respectively. Unrecognized stock-based compensation expense for stock options for the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$840,826, which is expected to be recognized ratably over the next 2.7 years.

Restricted Stock

During 2016, the Company granted restricted stock awards to seven members of the Board of Directors. The awards have been granted under The Joint Corp. 2014 Incentive Stock Plan pursuant to the Director Compensation Policy of the Company. The awards shall vest on the earlier of (i) one year from the Grant Date and (ii) the date of the next annual meeting of the shareholders of the Company occurring after the Grant Date, for each to earn 12,345 shares of common stock. The estimated fair market value of these shares was valued at \$3.10 per share, based on the Company's stock trading price, totaling approximately \$268,000 to be recognized ratably as the stock is vested.

During 2017, the Company granted restricted stock awards to six members of the Board of Directors. The awards have been granted under The Joint Corp. 2014 Incentive Stock Plan pursuant to the Director Compensation Policy of the Company. The awards shall vest on the earlier of (i) one year from the Grant Date and (ii) the date of the next annual meeting of the shareholders of the Company occurring after the Grant Date, for each to earn 9,950 shares of common stock. The estimated fair market value of these shares was valued at \$4.02 per share, based on the Company's stock trading price, totaling approximately \$240,000 to be recognized ratably as the stock is vested.

The information below summarizes the restricted stock activity:

Restricted Stock Awards	Shares
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	339,288
Awards granted	86,415
Awards vested	(162,440)
Awards forfeited	(170,848)
Outstanding at December 31, 2016	92,415
Awards granted	59,700
Awards vested	(76,070)
Awards forfeited	(12,345)
Outstanding at December 31, 2017	63,700

For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, stock-based compensation expense for restricted stock awards was \$214,304 and \$561,922, respectively. Unrecognized stock-based compensation expense for restricted stock awards as of December 31, 2017 was \$143,240 to be recognized ratably over 0.7 years.

Modifications

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company accelerated the vesting of all unvested stock options and restricted stock awards granted to the Company's former chief development officer in connection with his separation from the Company. In addition, the Company modified the post-employment exercise period of the stock options previously granted, extending the exercise period to December 31, 2017.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company modified the post-employment exercise period of stock options previously granted to the Company's former chief executive officer in connection with his separation from the Company. The modification extended the exercise period to May 13, 2020. In addition, the Company accelerated the vesting of 9,733 shares of the previously granted restricted stock awards that were scheduled to vest in July 2016. The remaining unvested restricted stock awards were forfeited upon separation.

These modifications resulted in an approximately \$412,000 increase in stock-based compensation for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Treasury Stock

In December 2013, the Company exercised its right of first refusal under the terms of a Stockholders Agreement dated March 10, 2010 to repurchase 534,000 shares of the Company's common stock. The shares were purchased for \$0.45 per share or \$240,000 in cash along with the issuance of an option to repurchase the 534,000 shares. The repurchased shares were recorded as treasury stock, at cost in the amount of \$791,638. The option is classified in equity as it is considered indexed to the Company's stock and meets the criteria for classification in equity. The option was granted to the seller for a term of 8 years. The option contained the following exercise prices:

Year 1	\$0.56
Year 2	\$0.68
Year 3	\$0.84
Year 4	\$1.03
Year 5	\$1.28
Year 6	\$1.59
Year 7	\$1.97
Year 8	\$2.45

Consideration given in the form of the option was valued using a Binomial Lattice-Based model resulting in a fair value of \$1.03 per share option for a total fair value of \$551,638. The option was valued using the Binomial Lattice-Based valuation methodology because that model embodies all of the relevant assumptions that address the features underlying the instrument.

During December 2016, the option holder partially exercised the call option and purchased 250,872 shares at a total repurchase price of \$210,000. The Company reduced the cost of treasury shares by approximately \$113,000 related to the transaction, reduced the value of the option by approximately \$259,000, and reduced additional paid-in-capital by approximately \$162,000.

During September 2017, the option holder exercised the remainder of the call option and purchased 283,128 shares at a total repurchase price of \$292,671. The Company reduced the cost of treasury shares by approximately \$127,000 related to the transaction, reduced the value of the option by approximately \$292,000, and reduced additional paid-in-capital by approximately \$127,000.

Warrants

In conjunction with the IPO, the Company issued warrants to the underwriters for the purchase of 90,000 shares of common stock, which can be exercised between November 10, 2015 and November 10, 2018 at an exercise price of \$8.125 per share. The fair value of the warrants was determined using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. The warrants expire on November 10, 2018 and have a remaining contractual life of .9 years as of December 31, 2017.

The information below summarizes the warrants:

	Number of Units	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	90,000	\$ 8.13	2.9	\$ -
Granted	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at December 31, 2016	90,000	\$ 8.13	1.9	\$ -
Granted	-	-	-	-
Outstanding at December 31, 2017	90,000	\$ 8.13	0.9	\$ -
Exercisable at December 31, 2017	90,000	\$ 8.13	0.9	\$ -

Issuance of Common Stock for Legal Settlement

During December 2016, the Company entered into a settlement agreement, whereby it resolved a pending litigation matter. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, the Company agreed to a one-time settlement amount comprised of cash and newly issued shares of its common stock. The amounts paid by the Company in this settlement was determined by the Company not to be material. The fair value of the total consideration related to common stock was valued using the closing price of our common stock on the settlement date.

Note 9: Income Taxes

Income tax provision reported in the consolidated statements of operations is comprised of the following (in hundreds):

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
Current provision:		
Federal	\$-	\$22,800

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

State, net of state tax credits	20,100	20,900
Total current provision	20,100	43,700
Deferred provision:		
Federal	13,800	97,400
State	2,000	23,300
Total deferred provision	15,800	120,700
Total income tax provision	\$35,900	\$164,400

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

The following are the components of the Company's net deferred taxes for federal and state income taxes (in hundreds):

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
Deferred revenue	\$756,900	\$1,509,400
Deferred franchise costs	(281,000)	(553,900)
Allowance for doubtful accounts	-	51,400
Accrued expenses	45,300	57,400
Goodwill - component 1	(136,500)	(120,700)
Goodwill - component 2	55,500	86,800
Restricted stock compensation	(17,900)	(30,800)
Nonqualified stock options	152,900	182,100
Deferred rent	257,100	629,600
Lease abandonment	80,600	108,900
Net operating loss carryforwards	7,061,300	8,924,800
Tax credits	14,000	14,000
Charitable contribution carryover	5,200	6,500
Asset basis difference related to property and equipment	377,800	201,300
Intangibles	368,100	429,600
	8,739,300	11,496,400
Less valuation allowance	(8,875,800)	(11,617,100)
Net non-current deferred tax liability	\$(136,500)	\$(120,700)

The 2017 Tax Act was signed into law on December 22, 2017. The 2017 Tax Act significantly revises the U.S. corporate income tax by, among other things, lowering the statutory corporate tax rate from 34% to 21%, eliminating certain deductions, imposing a mandatory one-time tax on accumulated earnings of foreign subsidiaries, introducing new tax regimes, and changing how foreign earnings are subject to U.S. tax. The Company has not completed its determination of the accounting implications of the 2017 Tax Act on its accruals. However, it has reasonably estimated the effects of the 2017 Tax Act and recorded a provisional tax expense in its financial statements as of December 31, 2017 of approximately \$3.9 million. This amount is a remeasurement of federal net deferred tax assets resulting from the permanent reduction in the U.S. statutory corporate tax rate to 21% from 34%. As the Company completes its analysis of the 2017 Tax Act, collects and prepares necessary data, and interprets any additional guidance issued by the U.S. Treasury Department, the IRS, and other standard-setting bodies, it may make adjustments to the provisional amounts.

At December 31, 2017, the Company has federal and state net operating losses of approximately \$26,527,000 and \$32,030,000 respectively. These net operating losses are available to offset future taxable income and will begin to expire in 2035 for federal purposes and 2025 for state purposes.

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

The following is a reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate applied to pre-tax accounting net loss, compared to the income tax provision in the consolidated statement of operations (in hundreds):

	For the Years Ended December 31,			
	2017		2016	
	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Expected federal tax benefit	\$(1,100,000)	(34.00)%	\$(5,106,100)	(34.00)%
State tax provision, net of federal benefit	(140,200)	(4.33)	(735,500)	(4.90)
Effect of (decrease) increase in valuation allowance	(2,741,300)	(84.73)	6,042,900	40.24
Other permanent differences	16,700	0.52	108,800	0.72
Stock Compensation	(131,900)	(4.08)	-	-
Impact of enacted tax reform	3,946,100	121.97	-	-
State deferred tax true up	185,000	5.72	-	-
Other, net	1,500	0.05	(145,700)	(0.97)
Provision	\$35,900	1.11 %	\$164,400	1.09 %

The state tax benefit stems from the resolution of various voluntary disclosure agreements with multiple states where the Company had not yet been in compliance. In addition, the Company is responsible to pay certain minimum and franchise taxes to jurisdictions in which it does business.

Changes in income tax expense related primarily to changes in pretax losses during the year ended December 31, 2017, as compared to year ended December 31, 2016, and the effective rate was 1.1% and 1.1%, respectively. The difference is primarily due to state taxes, stock compensation and the impact of enacted tax reform which is mostly offset by the valuation allowance.

For the year ended December 31, 2017 and, 2016, the Company recorded a liability for income taxes for operations and uncertain tax positions of \$0 and approximately \$40,000, respectively, of which \$0 and approximately \$27,000 respectively, represent penalties and interest and are recorded in the “other liabilities” section of the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Interest and penalties associated with tax positions are recorded in the period assessed as general and administrative expenses. Management made a determination that the Company was not in compliance with several state and local tax jurisdictions in which the Company was doing business. Accordingly, management undertook to analyze its tax exposures, both income and otherwise, with respect to jurisdictions in which compliance was deemed to be inadequate and has entered into Voluntary Disclosure Agreements (VDAs) with the taxing authorities.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of uncertain tax positions during the tax years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 (in hundreds):

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

	2017		2016	
	Tax	Interest/ penalties	Tax	Interest/ penalties
Uncertain tax positions - January 1	\$13,200	\$26,800	\$32,600	\$33,000
Gross increases - tax positions in prior period	-	-	-	-
Gross decreases - tax positions in prior period	(13,200)	(26,800)	(19,400)	(6,200)
Uncertain tax positions - December 31	\$-	\$-	\$13,200	\$26,800

The Company's tax returns for tax years subject to examination by tax authorities include 2013 through the current period for state and 2014 through the current period for federal purposes.

Note 10: Related Party Transactions

The Company entered into consulting and legal arrangements with certain stockholders related to services performed for the operations and transaction related activities of the Company. Amounts paid to or for the benefit of these stockholders was approximately \$205,000 and \$461,000 for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Note 11: Commitments and Contingencies***Operating Leases***

The Company leases its corporate office space and the space for each of the company-owned or managed clinics in the portfolio.

Total rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 was \$2,808,837 and \$3,389,971, respectively.

Future minimum annual lease payments are as follows:

2018	\$2,119,305
2019	1,808,476
2020	1,544,978
2021	1,411,126
2022	1,283,865
Thereafter	3,060,963
Total	\$11,228,713

In December 2016, the Company ceased use of five undeveloped clinic locations from its corporate clinics segment and recognized a liability for lease exit costs based on the remaining lease rental due, reduced by estimated sublease rental income that could be reasonably obtained for the properties. The Company classified all of the approximately \$338,000 lease exit liability in other liabilities, and related expense in loss on disposition or impairment.

Litigation

In the normal course of business, the Company is party to litigation from time to time. The Company maintains insurance to cover certain actions and believes that resolution of such litigation will not have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Note 12: Segment Reporting

An operating segment is defined as a component of an enterprise for which discrete financial information is available and is reviewed regularly by the Chief Operating Decision Maker (“CODM”), to evaluate performance and make operating decisions. The Company has identified its CODM as the Chief Executive Officer.

The Company has two operating business segments. The Corporate Clinics segment is comprised of the operating activities of the company-owned or managed clinics. As of December 31, 2017, the Company operated or managed 47 clinics under this segment. The Franchise Operations segment is comprised of the operating activities of the franchise business unit. As of December 31, 2017, the franchise system consisted of 352 clinics in operation. Corporate is a non-operating segment that develops and implements strategic initiatives and supports the Company’s two operating business segments by centralizing key administrative functions such as finance and treasury, information technology, insurance and risk management, litigation and human resources. Corporate also provides the necessary administrative functions to support the Company as a publicly-traded company. A portion of the expenses incurred by Corporate are allocated to the operating segments.

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

The tables below present financial information for the Company's two operating business segments (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2017	2016
Revenues:		
Corporate clinics	\$11,125	\$8,550
Franchise operations	14,039	11,974
Total revenues	\$25,164	\$20,524
Segment operating (loss) income:		
Corporate clinics	\$(1,704)	\$(9,730)
Franchise operations	6,243	4,561
Total segment operating (loss) income	\$4,539	\$(5,169)
Depreciation and amortization:		
Corporate clinics	\$1,608	\$2,186
Franchise operations	-	-
Corporate administration	409	380
Total depreciation and amortization	\$2,017	\$2,566
Reconciliation of total segment operating income (loss) to consolidated loss before income tax expense:		
Total segment operating income (loss)	\$4,539	\$(5,169)
Unallocated corporate overhead	(7,714)	(9,839)
Consolidated loss from operations	(3,175)	(15,008)
Other (expense) income, net	(64)	(1)
Loss before income tax expense	\$(3,239)	\$(15,009)

	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Segment assets:		
Corporate clinics	\$8,998	\$9,936
Franchise operations	2,362	2,003
Total segment assets	\$11,360	\$11,939
Unallocated cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$4,320	\$3,344
Unallocated property and equipment	765	781
Other unallocated assets	465	991
Total assets	\$16,910	\$17,055

“Unallocated cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash” relates primarily to corporate cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash (see Note 1), “unallocated property and equipment” relates primarily to corporate fixed assets, and “other unallocated assets” relates primarily to deposits, prepaid and other assets.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

69

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2017. Disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act, such as this Annual Report on Form 10-K, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures also include, without limitation, controls and procedures that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

The evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures included a review of the control objectives and design, our implementation of the controls and the effect of the controls on the information generated for use in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. After conducting this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined by Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act, were effective as of December 31, 2017 to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K was recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and was accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act). Internal control over financial reporting is the process designed under the Chief Executive Officer's and the Chief Financial Officer's supervision, and effected by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States.

There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility that misstatements may not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, an effective control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the designed control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and

procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. The design of any system of controls is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, as required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(c). In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”) in the 2013 Internal Control - Integrated Framework. Based on our assessment under the framework in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013 framework), management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2017.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

Management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, confirm there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the year ended December 31, 2017 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

We believe that a control system, no matter how well designed and operated, cannot provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the control system are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within any company have been detected.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this item will be included in our Proxy Statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after our year ended December 31, 2017 in connection with our 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, or the 2018 Proxy Statement, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

We have adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to employees, officers and directors, including our executive management team, such as our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. This Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is posted on our website at www.thejoint.com. We intend to satisfy the requirements under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding disclosure of amendments to, or waivers from, provisions of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics by posting such information on our website.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item will be included in the 2018 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item will be included in the 2018 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item will be included in the 2018 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item will be included in the 2018 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) Documents filed as part of this report.

(1) *Financial Statements.* The consolidated financial statements listed on the index to Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K are filed as a part of this Annual Report.

(2) *Financial Statement Schedules.* All financial statement schedules have been omitted since the information is either not applicable or required or is included in the financial statements or notes thereof.

Exhibits. Those exhibits marked with a (*) refer to exhibits filed or furnished herewith. The other exhibits are incorporated herein by reference, as indicated in the following list. Those exhibits marked with a (+) refer to management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements. Portions of the exhibits marked with a () are the subject of a Confidential Treatment Request under 17 C.F.R. §§ 200.80(b)(4), 200.83 and 240.24b-2. Omitted material for which confidential treatment has been requested has been filed separately with the SEC.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on March 9, 2018.

The Joint Corp.

By: /s/ Peter D. Holt
Peter D. Holt
President and
Chief Executive
Officer

(Principal
Executive
Officer)

The Joint Corp.

By: /s/ John P. Meloun
John P. Meloun
Chief Financial
Officer

(Principal Financial
Officer)

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Peter D. Holt and John P. Meloun, jointly and severally, his or her attorneys-in-fact, each with the power of substitution, for him or her in any and all capacities, to sign any amendments to this Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all that each of said attorneys-in-fact, or his or her substitute or substitutes may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Peter D. Holt Peter D. Holt	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer) and Director	March 9, 2018
/s/ John P. Meloun John P. Meloun	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	March 9, 2018
/s/ Matthew E. Rubel Matthew E. Rubel	Lead Director	March 9, 2018
/s/ James H. Amos, Jr. James H. Amos, Jr.	Director	March 9, 2018
/s/ Ronald V. DaVella Ronald V. DaVella	Director	March 9, 2018
/s/ Suzanne M. Decker Suzanne M. Decker	Director	March 9, 2018
/s/ Richard A. Kerley Richard A. Kerley	Director	March 9, 2018
/s/ Bret Sanders Bret Sanders	Director	March 9, 2018

EXHIBIT INDEX

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Incorporated by Reference</u>			<u>Provided Herewith</u>
		<u>Form</u>	<u>File No.</u>	<u>Exhibit(s)</u>	
<u>3.1</u>	<u>Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>3.2</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>3.2</u>	<u>Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant, plus amendments.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>3(ii).1</u>	<u>3/07/2016</u>
<u>4.1</u>	<u>Warrant to Purchase Common Stock issued to Feltl and Company, Inc. on November 14, 2014.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-207632</u>	<u>4.2</u>	<u>10/27/2015</u>
<u>4.2</u>	<u>Warrant to Purchase Common Stock issued to Roth Capital Partners, LLC on November 14, 2014.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-207632</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>10/27/2015</u>
<u>10.1#</u>	<u>Form of Indemnification Agreement between Registrant and each of its directors and officers and related schedule.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.1</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>10.2#</u>	<u>2012 Stock Plan.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.2</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>10.3#</u>	<u>Amended and Restated 2014 Incentive Stock Plan.</u>			<u>10.3</u>	<u>10/27/2015</u>
<u>10.4#</u>	<u>Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement under 2014 Stock Plan.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-207632</u>	<u>10.4</u>	<u>10/27/2015</u>
<u>10.5#</u>	<u>Form of Nonstatutory Stock Option Agreement under 2014 Stock Plan.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-207632</u>	<u>10.5</u>	<u>10/27/2015</u>
<u>10.6#</u>	<u>Form of Nonstatutory Stock Option Agreement under 2014 Stock Plan for Article 7, Annual Option Grants.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-207632</u>	<u>10.6</u>	<u>10/27/2015</u>
<u>10.7#</u>	<u>Employment Agreement between Registrant and John B. Richards dated October 23, 2015</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-207632</u>	<u>10.7</u>	<u>10/27/2015</u>
<u>10.8</u>	<u>Lease Agreement dated between Registrant and DTR 14, LLC, for Registrant's office located at 16767 North Perimeter Drive, Suite 240, Scottsdale, Arizona 85260.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.5</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>10.9#</u>	<u>Employment Agreement between Registrant and David Orwasher dated January 1, 2014.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.6</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

<u>10.10#</u>	<u>Employment Term Sheet between Registrant and John B. Richards, Chief Executive Officer of Registrant.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.7</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>10.11#</u>	<u>Employment Term Sheet between Registrant and Catherine Hall, Chief Marketing Officer of Registrant.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.8</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>10.12#</u>	<u>Employment Agreement between The Joint Corp. and Francis T. Joyce dated December 12, 2014</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>10.1</u>	<u>12/22/2014</u>
<u>10.13#</u>	<u>Stock Option Agreement between Registrant and David Orwasher dated January 1, 2014.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.9</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>10.14#</u>	<u>Stock Option Agreement between Registrant and Catherine Hall dated May 15, 2014.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.1</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>10.15#</u>	<u>Restricted Stock Award Agreement between Registrant and John B. Richards dated January 1, 2014.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.11</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>10.16#</u>	<u>Restricted Stock Award Agreement between Registrant and David Orwasher dated January 1, 2014.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.12</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>10.17#</u>	<u>Restricted Stock Award Agreement between Registrant and Francis T. Joyce dated December 16, 2014</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-207632</u>	<u>10.14</u>	<u>10/27/2015</u>
<u>10.18</u>	<u>Form of Registrant's Franchise Disclosure Document.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.13</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>10.19</u>	<u>Form of Registrant's Regional Developer License Agreement.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.14</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>10.20</u>	<u>Form of Registrant's Franchise Agreement.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.15</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>10.21#</u>	<u>Written Description of Management Services Arrangement between Registrant and Business Ventures Corp.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.16</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>10.22#</u>	<u>Written Description of Consulting Arrangement between Registrant and John Leonesio.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.17</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>10.23</u>	<u>Indemnification Agreement between Registrant and former director Fred Gerretzen.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.18</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>10.24</u>	<u>Indemnification Agreement between Registrant and former officer Ronald Record.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>10.19</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>
<u>10.25</u>	<u>Termination Agreement dated as of December 31, 2014 by The Joint Corp., Kairos Marketing, LLC and Chad Meisinger.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>1/07/2015</u>
<u>10.26</u>	<u>Asset and Franchise Purchase Agreement dated as of December 31, 2014 between The Joint Corp., The Joint RRC Corp., Raymond G. Espinoza, Chad Meisinger and Rob Morris.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>1/07/2015</u>
<u>10.27</u>	<u>Asset and Franchise Purchase Agreement dated as of January 30, 2015 between The Joint Corp., TJSC, LLC, Theodore Amendola and Scott Lewandowski.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>10.1</u>	<u>2/05/2015</u>
<u>10.28</u>	<u>Asset and Franchise Purchase Agreement dated February 17, 2015 by and among The Joint Corp., Roth & Pelan Enterprises, LLC, Timothy Roth, Blue Sky & Sunny Days, Inc., and Thomas Pelan.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>10.1</u>	<u>2/19/2015</u>

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

<u>10.29</u>	<u>Asset and Franchise Purchase Agreement dated as of February 27, 2015 between The Joint Corp., The Joint San Gabriel Valley, Inc. and Vincent Huan.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>3/09/2015</u>
<u>10.30</u>	<u>Asset and Franchise Purchase Agreement dated as of March 31, 2015 between The Joint Corp., The Joint Chiropractic Bell Towne, LLC, Marla R. Allan and Marc W. Payson.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>4/22/2015</u>
<u>10.31</u>	<u>Franchise Agreement Termination and Reinstatement Agreement dated as of as of April 30, 2015, by The Joint Corp., Stephanie McRae and South Bay Joint Development, Inc.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>5/05/2015</u>
<u>10.32</u>	<u>Asset and Franchise Purchase Agreement dated as of April 30, 2015, between The Joint Corp., San Diego Joint Development, Inc., Stephanie McRae, and Elizabeth McRae.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>5/05/2015</u>
<u>10.33</u>	<u>Regional Developer Termination Agreement dated as of as of May 18, 2015, among The Joint Corp., Dennis Conklin, Eric Hua and Orange County Wellness, Inc.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>5/21/2015</u>
<u>10.34</u>	<u>Asset and Franchise Purchase Agreement dated as of May 18, 2015, among First Light Junction, Inc., a California corporation, Eric Hua and Tracy Hua.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>5/21/2015</u>
<u>10.35</u>	<u>Asset and Franchise Purchase Agreement dated as of June 3, 2015, by and between The Joint Corp., a Delaware corporation, WHB Franchise Inc., a California corporation and William Bargfrede.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>6/05/2015</u>
<u>10.36</u>	<u>Asset and Franchise Purchase Agreement dated as of June 5, 2015, by and among The Joint Corp., a Delaware corporation, Clear Path Ventures, Inc., a California corporation, Carol Warren, and Jodi Wolf.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>6/10/2015</u>
<u>10.37</u>	<u>Asset and Franchise Purchase Agreement dated as of July 1, 2015, by and among The Joint Corp., a Delaware corporation, Chiro-Novo, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company, Kent L. Cooper, as trustee of The Kent L. Cooper Trust, Benjamin Cooper, as trustee of The Benjamin and Milena Cooper Family Trust dated May 2, 2006, Robert A. Cooper and Andrew C. Cooper.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>7/07/2015</u>
<u>10.38</u>	<u>Termination Agreement dated as of as of August 10, 2015, among The Joint Corp., a Delaware corporation and Align Group, LLC a New York limited liability company, and Marc Ressler.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>2.2</u>	<u>8/14/2015</u>
<u>10.39</u>	<u>Asset and Franchise Purchase Agreement dated as of August 10, 2015, by and between The Joint Corp., a Delaware corporation, Chiro Group, LLC, a New York limited liability company, Marc Ressler, Angelo Marracino, Jesse Curry and Cleon Easton.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>2.1</u>	<u>8/14/2015</u>
<u>10.40</u>	<u>Asset and Franchise Purchase Agreement dated as of December 29, 2015, by and among The Joint Corp., a Delaware corporation, Forte Vita Ventures, Inc., a California corporation, Neil Sinay and Jennifer M. Sinay.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>1/05/2016</u>
<u>10.41</u>	<u>Regional Developer License Purchase Agreement, dated January 1, 2016, among the Company, Christina Ybanez and Mark Elias.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>1/07/2016</u>

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

<u>10.42#</u>	<u>Employment Agreement dated April 27, 2016, between The Joint Corp. and Peter Holt</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>10.1</u>	<u>5/3/2016</u>	
<u>10.43#</u>	<u>Separation Agreement dated April 29, 2016, between The Joint Corp. and David Orwasher</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>10.2</u>	<u>5/3/2016</u>	
<u>10.44</u>	<u>Asset and Franchise Purchase Agreement dated as of April 29, 2016, by and among The Joint Corp., a Delaware corporation, Guthrie Joint Venture NM, LLC, a New Mexico limited liability company and Ronald Guthrie</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>10.1</u>	<u>5/5/2016</u>	
<u>10.45</u>	<u>Asset and Franchise Purchase Agreement dated as of May 6, 2016 by and among The Joint Corp., a Delaware corporation, T&J Chiropractic Management, Inc., a California corporation, Vortex Financial Management, Inc., a California corporation, Anita Davis, Johnny Linderman and Ped Abghari aka Ted Abghari.</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>10.1</u>	<u>5/12/2016</u>	
<u>10.46#</u>	<u>Separation Agreement dated June 29, 2015, between The Joint Corp. and John Richards</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>10.1</u>	<u>6/30/2016</u>	
<u>10.47#</u>	<u>Employment Agreement dated November 8, 2016, between The Joint Corp. and John Meloun</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>10.1</u>	<u>11/10/2016</u>	
<u>10.48</u>	<u>Credit and Security Agreement dated as of January 3, 2017, by and between The Joint Corp/, a Delaware corporation, and Tower 7 Partnership LLC, and Ohio limited liability company</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>10.1</u>	<u>1/9/2017</u>	
<u>10.49</u>	<u>Revolving Credit Note, dated January 3, 2017, by The Joint Corp., a Delaware corporation in favor of Tower 7 Partnership LLC</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36274</u>	<u>10.2</u>	<u>1/9/2017</u>	
<u>10.49</u>	<u>Revolving Credit Note, dated January 3, 2017, by The Joint Corp., a Delaware corporation in favor of Tower 7 Partnership LLC</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36274</u>	<u>10.2</u>	<u>1/9/2017</u>	
<u>10.50#</u>	<u>Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated January 3, 2017, between The Joint Corp., a Delaware corporation, and Peter Holt</u>	<u>8-K</u>	<u>001-36274</u>	<u>10.3</u>	<u>1/9/2017</u>	
<u>10.51</u>	<u>Asset Purchase Agreement dated January 6th, 2017, by and between The Joint Corp., a Delaware corporation, Don Daniels, Larry Maddalena and Jody O'Donnell.</u>	<u>10-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>99.1</u>	<u>3/10/2017</u>	
<u>10.52</u>	<u>Assignment and Assumption Agreement dated February 24, 2017, by and between The Joint Corp., a Delaware corporation, Don Daniels, Larry Maddalena and Jody O'Donnell and Porter Partners, LLC.</u>	<u>10-K</u>	<u>001-36724</u>	<u>99.2</u>	<u>3/10/2017</u>	
<u>10.53#</u>	<u>2017 Executive Short-Term Incentive Plan</u>					<u>X</u>
<u>10.54#</u>	<u>Form of Restricted Stock Award.</u>					<u>X</u>
<u>21.1</u>	<u>List of subsidiaries of The Joint Corp.</u>	<u>S-1</u>	<u>333-198860</u>	<u>21.1</u>	<u>9/19/2014</u>	
<u>23</u>	<u>Consent of EKS&H LLLP</u>					<u>X</u>
<u>31.1</u>	<u>Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u>					<u>X</u>
<u>31.2</u>	<u>Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u>					<u>X</u>
<u>32</u>	<u>Certification by Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u>					<u>X</u>

Edgar Filing: JOINT Corp - Form 10-K

101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	X
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document (4)	X
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document (4)	X
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document (4)	X
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document (4)	X
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document (4)	X

#Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.