

Edgar Filing: EDAP TMS SA - Form 20-F

EDAP TMS SA
Form 20-F
March 31, 2011

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 31, 2011
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

__ REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(B) OR (G) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934,

OR

X ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2010

OR

__ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

OR

__ SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934

0-29374

(Commission file number)

EDAP TMS S.A.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

France

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

Parc d'Activites la Poudrette-Lamartine
4/6, rue du Dauphiné

69120 Vaulx-en-Velin, France
(Address of principal executive offices)

Mrs. Blandine Confort

Tel. +33 4 72 15 31 50, E-mail: bconfort@edap-tms.com
(Name, Telephone, E-mail of Company Contact Person)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
American Depositary Shares, each representing One Ordinary Share	NASDAQ Global Market
Ordinary Shares, nominal value €0.13 per share	NASDAQ Global Market

Edgar Filing: EDAP TMS SA - Form 20-F

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act: None

Outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of December 31, 2010: 13,008,401 Ordinary Shares

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board Other

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow. Item 17 Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page
Presentation of Financial and Other Information	4
Cautionary Statement on Forward-looking Information	4
PART I	
Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisors	6
Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable	6
Item 3. Key Information	6
Item 4. Information on the Company	20
Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments	34
Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects	34
Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees	46
Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions	51
Item 8. Financial Information	52
Item 9. The Offer and Listing	53
Item 10. Additional Information	54
Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	67
Item 12. Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities	67
PART II	
Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies	69
Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds	69
Item 15. Controls and Procedures	69
Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert.	70
Item 16B. Code of Ethics	70
Item 16C. Principal Accounting Fees and Services	70
Item 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees	71
Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers	71
Item 16F. Change in Registrant's Certifying Accountant	71
Item 16G. Corporate Governance	71
PART III	
Item 17. Financial Statements	72
Item 18. Financial Statements	72
Item 19. Exhibits	72

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

PRESENTATION OF FINANCIAL AND OTHER INFORMATION

Unless the context otherwise requires, references herein to “we,” “us” or “our” are to EDAP TMS S.A. and its consolidated subsidiaries and references herein to the “Company,” “EDAP” or “EDAP TMS” are to EDAP TMS S.A.

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles (“U.S. GAAP”). In this annual report, references to “euro” or “€” are to the legal currency of the countries of European Monetary Union, including the Republic of France, and references to “dollars,” “U.S. dollars” or “\$” are to the legal currency of the United States of America. Solely for the convenience of the reader, this annual report contains translations of certain euro amounts into dollars at specified rates. These translations should not be construed as representations that the euro amounts actually represent such dollar amounts or could be converted into dollars at those rates. See Item 3, “Key Information—Exchange Rates” for information regarding certain currency exchange rates and Item 11, “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk” for a discussion of the effects of fluctuations in currency exchange rates on the Company.

The following are registered trademarks of the Company in the United States: EDAP TMS® & associated logo, EDAP®, Technomed®, Ablatherm®, Ablasonic®, Ablapak®, Praktis®, Sonolith®, Sonolith i-sys®, @-REGISTRY®. Registration of Sonolith i-move trademark is currently being processed. This annual report also makes references to trade names and trademarks of companies other than the Company.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT ON FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This annual report includes certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the U.S. Securities Act of 1933 (the “Securities Act”) or Section 21E of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”), usually containing words such as “believe,” “plan,” “intend,” “estimate,” “expect” and “anticipate” or similar which reflect our views about future events and financial performance. Actual events or results may differ materially from those projected in such forward-looking statements as a result of various factors that may be beyond our control. These factors include, without limitation:

- the effects of intense competition in the markets in which we operate;
- the uncertainty of market acceptance for our HIFU devices;
- the clinical status of our HIFU devices;
- the uncertainty of reimbursement status of procedures performed with our products;
- the impact of government regulation, particularly relating to public healthcare systems and the commercial distribution of medical devices;
 - the uncertainty in the U.S. FDA approval process, mostly changes in FDA recommendations and guidance;
 - dependence on our strategic suppliers;
 - any event or other occurrence that would interrupt operations at our primary production facility;
 - reliance on patents, licenses and key proprietary technologies;
 - product liability risk;
- risk of exchange rate fluctuations, particularly between the euro and the U.S. dollar and between the euro and the Japanese yen;
 - fluctuations in results of operations due to the seasonal nature of demand for medical devices;
 - risks associated to the current uncertain worldwide economic and financial environment;
 - risks associated with the October 2007 private placement;
 - risks relating to ownership of our securities; and
- changes in the fair value of the convertible debentures and warrants issued in the October 2007 private placement.

You should also consider the information contained in Item 3, “Key Information—Risk Factors” and Item 5, “Operating and Financial Review and Prospects,” as well as the information contained in our periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) (including our reports on Form 6-K) for further discussion of the risks and uncertainties that may cause such differences to occur. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. Other than required by law, we do not undertake any obligation to update them in light of new information or future developments.

PART I

Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisors

Not applicable.

Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable

Not applicable.

Item 3. Key Information

Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected consolidated financial data for the periods indicated. This information is qualified by and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the Notes thereto included in Part III of this annual report, as well as Item 5, “Operating and Financial Review and Prospects.” The selected balance sheet data as of December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 and the selected income statement data for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 set forth below have been derived from our consolidated financial statements included in this annual report. The selected balance sheet data as of December 31, 2006 and the selected income statement data for the year ended December 31, 2006 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006. These financial statements, together with our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. To date, we have not been required, and presently are not required under French law, to prepare consolidated financial statements under French GAAP or IFRS, nor have we done so.

In thousands of euro, except per share data in euro	Year Ended and at December 31,				
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
INCOME STATEMENT DATA					
Total revenues	20,265	22,327	23,053	24,885	23,708
Total net sales	20,174	22,213	22,856	24,839	23,202
Gross profit	8,319	9,179	9,099	10,672	9,455
Operating expenses	(11,365)	(13,158)	(13,258)	(13,874)	(13,272)
Loss from operations	(3,047)	(3,979)	(4,159)	(3,202)	(3,818)
Income (loss) before income taxes	(3,328)	(5,461)	1,648	(7,694)	(11,778)
Income tax (expense) benefit	(103)	30	(51)	(72)	(939)
Net income (loss)	(3,431)	(5,430)	1,597	(7,766)	(12,717)
Basic earnings (loss) per share	(0.39)	(0.59)	0.17	(0.74)	(0.98)

Diluted earnings (loss) per share	(0.39)	(0.59)	0.17	(0.74)	(0.98)
Dividends per share(1)	—	—	—	—	—
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	8,817,007	9,200,757	9,582,593	10,510,305	13,008,401
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	8,817,007	9,200,757	9,658,295	10,567,563	13,094,235

BALANCE SHEET DATA

Total current assets	26,393	36,124	35,786	33,248	29,865
Property and equipment, net	3,211	4,179	3,763	3,288	2,877
Total current liabilities	10,926	12,884	14,457	15,175	14,658
Total assets	32,473	45,003	43,863	40,378	35,938
Long-term debt, less current portion	58	15,174	9,500	10,138	10,075
Total shareholders' equity	19,300	14,499	17,191	12,579	8,900

(1)No dividends were paid with respect to fiscal years 2006 through 2009 and subject to approval of the annual shareholders' meeting to be held in 2011 the Company does not anticipate paying any dividend with respect to fiscal year 2010. See Item 8, "Financial Information — Dividends and Dividend Policy."

Note: Certain prior years amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation (See Item 5, "Operating Results—Research and Development, Patents and Licenses.")

EXCHANGE RATES

Fluctuations in the exchange rate between the euro and the dollar will affect the dollar amounts received by owners of American Depositary Shares (“ADSs”) representing ordinary shares of the Company (“Shares”) on conversion by the Depository of dividends, if any, paid on the Shares in the form of ADSs. Moreover, such fluctuations may affect the dollar price of our ADSs on NASDAQ.

The following table sets forth, for each of the years indicated, the high, low, average and year-end Noon Buying Rates expressed in euro per \$1.00. The rate is derived from the noon buying rate in The City of New York for cable transfers in euro as certified for customs purposes by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (the “Noon Buying Rate”).

Year ended December 31,	High €	Low €	Average(1) €	End of Year €
2006	0.84	0.75	0.79	0.76
2007	0.78	0.67	0.73	0.68
2008	0.80	0.62	0.68	0.72
2009	0.80	0.66	0.72	0.70
2010	0.82	0.69	0.75	0.75

(1)The average of the Noon Buying Rates on the last business day of each month during the year indicated. See “Presentation of Financial and Other Information” elsewhere in this annual report.

The following table sets forth, for each of the previous six months, the high and low Noon Buying Rates expressed in euro per \$1.00.

	End of Month €	High €	Low €	Average €
2010				
September	0.74	0.79	0.73	0.76
October	0.72	0.73	0.71	0.72
November	0.77	0.77	0.70	0.73
December	0.75	0.76	0.75	0.76
2011				
January	0.73	0.78	0.73	0.75
February	0.73	0.74	0.72	0.73
March, through March 18, 2011	0.71	0.72	0.71	0.72

On March 18, 2011, the Noon Buying Rate was U.S.\$1.00 = €0,71.

RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information contained in this annual report, the following risk factors should be carefully considered in evaluating us and our business. These statements are intended to highlight the material risk factors that may cause actual financial, business, research or operating results to differ materially from expectations disclosed in this annual report. See also factors disclosed under “Cautionary statement on forward-looking information”.

Risks Relating to Our Business

Our future revenue growth and income depend, among other things, on the success of our HIFU technology.

We depend on the success of our High Intensity Focused Ultrasound (“HIFU”) technology for future revenue growth and net income. Our Extracorporeal Shockwave Lithotripsy (“ESWL”) line of products competes in a mature market that has experienced declining unit sales prices in recent years, although total revenues have remained stable owing to increased sales volumes. In particular, we are dependent on the successful development and commercialization of other product lines, such as medical devices based on HIFU, particularly the Ablatherm, to generate significant additional revenues and achieve and sustain profitability in the future. The Ablatherm is in its commercialization phase in the European Union, Canada and other countries. However, the Ablatherm is not approved for commercial distribution in the United States. In December 2001, our request for an additional Investigational Device Exemption (“IDE”) from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) to conduct clinical trials in the United States for the Ablatherm as a primary therapy was rejected. After redesigning the clinical protocol, we resumed and plan to complete the clinical trials in order to obtain FDA approval of the Ablatherm using the \$17.4 million net proceeds of the October 2007 private placement. While we expect these funds to be sufficient to enable us to fund the clinical trials in their entirety, we cannot guarantee that the proceeds will in fact be enough to do so. We can also not guarantee that the FDA will accept the changes to the protocol that we may submit, based upon the December 11, 2009 panel recommendations and our ongoing discussions with the FDA. Finally, we cannot guarantee the successful completion of clinical trials nor can we guarantee that the FDA will grant approval to market a device even if clinical trials are conclusive and/or are successfully completed. See “—Our clinical trials for products using HIFU technology may not be successful” and Item 4, “Information on the Company—HIFU Division—HIFU Division Clinical and Regulatory Status.”

Our clinical trials for products using HIFU technology may not be successful.

Before obtaining regulatory approvals for the commercial sale of any of our devices under development, we must demonstrate through preclinical testing and clinical trials that the device is safe and effective for use in each indication. The results from preclinical testing and early clinical trials may not predict the results that will be obtained in large scale clinical trials, and there can be no assurance that our clinical trials will demonstrate that our products are safe, effective, and marketable. A number of companies have suffered significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials, even after promising results in earlier trials. Discussions with regulatory authorities to improve our clinical protocol may prove difficult and lengthy. We may face difficulties in recruiting patients in our study, hence hindering our progress and timing towards approval. We, the FDA or other regulatory authorities may suspend or terminate clinical trials at any time and regulating agencies such as the FDA may even refuse to grant exemptions to pursue clinical trials. See Item 4, “Information on the Company—High Intensity Focused Ultrasound Division—HIFU Division Clinical and Regulatory Status.”

We rely on scientific, technical and clinical data supplied by academics who work with us to evaluate and develop our devices. We cannot assure investors that there are no errors or omissions in such data that would adversely affect the development of our products.

The process of applying for regulatory approval is unpredictable, often lengthy and requires the expenditure of substantial resources. Our HIFU devices that have not received regulatory approval may not prove to be effective or safe in clinical trials or may not be approved by the appropriate regulatory authorities. We do not anticipate receiving FDA approval for any HIFU device, including the Ablatherm, for the coming years, if at all. If our HIFU devices do not prove to be effective and safe in clinical trials to the satisfaction of the relevant regulatory authorities, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

HIFU technology may not be accepted and adopted by the medical community.

Our HIFU devices represent new therapies for the conditions that they are designed to treat. Notwithstanding any positive clinical results that our HIFU devices may have achieved or may achieve in the future in terms of safety and effectiveness, and any marketing approvals that we may have obtained or may obtain in the future, there can be no assurance that such products will gain acceptance in the medical community. Physician acceptance depends, among other things, on adequate reimbursement from healthcare payers, which has not been provided for our HIFU products in any country, except for full public reimbursement in Germany and Italy and partial reimbursement from private insurers in the United Kingdom, and evidence of the cost effectiveness of a therapy as compared to existing therapies. In February 2011, French Healthcare Authorities recently granted a special temporary reimbursement for the use of our HIFU technology in the treatment of localized prostate cancer, under certain clinical conditions. French Healthcare Authorities will review the clinical data gathered within the next five years in view of granting definitive reimbursement for HIFU. However, we cannot guarantee that such definitive reimbursement code will be granted. Acceptance by patients depends in part on physician recommendations, as well as other factors, including the degree of invasiveness, the rate and severity of complications and other side effects associated with the therapy as compared to other therapies.

Our cash flow is highly dependent on demand for our products.

Our cash flow has historically been subject to significant fluctuations over the course of any given financial year due to seasonal demand for medical devices, and the resulting annual and quarterly fluctuations in trade and other receivables and inventories (see Item 8 – “significant changes as of March 31, 2011 with respect to recent events in Japan”). This has in the past resulted in significant variations in working capital requirements and operating cash flows. In 2010, 2009 and 2008, moreover, our operating cash flow was negative due to the cash requirements of operating activities, which we financed using cash and cash equivalents on hand. In addition, our 2010, 2009 and 2008 operating cash flow was negative due to the working-capital cash requirements, the cash requirements of investing activity to expand our mobile activity and to expand the leasing of our products as part of our revenue-per-procedure (“RPP”) model, and due to the sponsoring of the pre-market approval (“PMA”) trials for the FDA’s approval of our Ablatherm-HIFU solution for the treatment of prostate cancer in the United States. Since we anticipate relying principally on cash flow from operating activities to meet our liquidity requirements, a decrease in the demand for our products, or the inability of our customers to meet their financial obligations to us, would reduce the funds available to us. Our future cash flow may also be affected by the expected continued expansion of the leasing of our products, or the continued expansion of our mobile activity (which is invoiced on a RPP basis), since each of these activities generates smaller immediate revenues than device sales, and by the implementation of our U.S. clinical trials to seek the FDA’s approval. In the future, our liquidity may be constrained and our cash flows may be uncertain, negative or significantly different from period to period. In 2006, we raised new equity funds via a \$7.5 million private placement of ordinary shares in the form of ADSs, aimed at financing our new marketing and sales campaign to promote and develop the RPP business. Our future cash flow will be affected by the increased expenses in sales efforts as well as marketing and promotion tools, while there is no assurance that this will result in the increase in the demand for our products and services. In October 2007, we raised a \$20 million in a private placement of convertible debentures (the “Convertible Debentures”, as described in “Item 5 - Convertible Debentures”), aimed at financing our pre-market approval trial process to seek the FDA’s approval on our Ablatherm-HIFU solution for the treatment of prostate cancer in the United States (our Ablatherm device, considered as a Class III device by the FDA, must receive pre-market approval by the FDA to ensure its safety and effectiveness). Our future cash flow will be affected by the expenses to fund the trials, while there is no assurance that our cash flow will in fact be enough to do so or that clinical trials will be successful or that the FDA will grant approval to market our device even if the trials are successfully completed.

We have a history of operating losses and it is uncertain when and if we will reach profitability.

We have incurred operating losses in each fiscal year since 1998 and may never achieve profitability. We expect that our marketing, selling and research and development expenses will increase as we attempt to develop and commercialize HIFU devices. We may not, however, generate a sufficient level of revenue to offset these expenses and may not be able to adjust spending in a timely manner to respond to any unanticipated decline in revenue. In 2008, we had negative operating income in our UDS division, reflecting sharp price competition in this business together with non-optimal manufacturing costs on our newly developed Sonolith I-sys product range. In 2009, we had positive operating income in both HIFU and Urology Devices and Services (“UDS”) divisions which however were not sufficient to offset the cost of the Ablatherm-HIFU FDA PMA trials and the cost of our corporate activities thus resulting in a consolidated operating loss. In 2010, we had negative operating income in our HIFU division, reflecting a slowdown in HIFU activity which was not sufficient to offset the fixed costs of the division. We cannot assure investors that we will realize sufficient revenue to become profitable in the future. See Item 5, “Operating and Financial Review and Prospects.”

Competition in the markets in which we operate is intense and is expected to increase in the future.

Competition in the markets in which we operate is intense and is expected to increase in the future. In each of our main businesses, we face competition both directly from other manufacturers of medical devices that apply the same technologies that we use, as well as indirectly from existing or emerging therapies for the treatment of urological disorders.

We believe that because ESWL has long been the standard treatment for urinary tract calculus disease, competition in that market comes principally from current manufacturers of lithotripters, including Siemens, Storz and Dornier. In the markets that we target for our HIFU products, competition comes from new market entrants and alternative therapies, as well as from current manufacturers of medical devices. In the HIFU market, our devices, in particular the Ablatherm, compete with all current treatments for localized tumors, including surgery, external beam radiotherapy, brachytherapy and cryotherapy. Other companies working with HIFU technology for the minimally invasive treatment of tumors, include Focus Surgery, Inc. (“Focus Surgery”), which has developed a device called the Sonablate SB500 for the treatment of localized prostate cancer. Misonix, Inc., USHIFU and International HIFU are also involved in marketing and distribution of the Sonablate. Insightec, an Israeli company owned mainly by General Electric and Elbit Medical Imaging Ltd, has developed a device using HIFU technology to treat uterine fibroids. Haifu, a Chinese company, is developing HIFU products addressing various types of cancers. Philips Healthcare is also developing HIFU devices addressing uterine fibroids, breast tumors and drug delivery activated by HIFU. In some cases, we also form cooperative arrangements with other companies. For example, on April 25, 2007, we signed an exclusive distribution agreement with China Medical Technologies (“Chinamed”), a Chinese company, to distribute their HIFU devices in the European Union and Russia once their devices are approved for use in those jurisdictions. Prior to this agreement, Chinamed had been developing HIFU products for various types of cancer tumors, but only marketing its HIFU products in China. In September 21, 2007, we entered into a Consulting Agreement with Chinamed, now Haifuning HIFU Technology (Beijing) Co. Ltd (“Haifuning”) pursuant to which we will assist them in obtaining market approvals in Europe for their HIFU products, for other pathologies than prostate cancer. See Item 4, “Information on the Company—High Intensity Focused Ultrasound Division— HIFU Competition” and Item 4, “Information on the Company—Urology Devices and Services Division.”

Many of our competitors have significantly greater financial, technical, research, marketing, sales, distribution and other resources than us and may have more experience in developing, manufacturing, marketing and supporting new medical devices. In addition, our future success will depend in large part on our ability to maintain a leading position in technological innovation, and we cannot assure investors that we will be able to develop new products or enhance our current ones to compete successfully with new or existing technologies. Rapid technological development by competitors may result in our products becoming obsolete before we recover a significant portion of the research, development and commercialization expenses incurred with respect to those products.

We also face competition for our maintenance and service contracts. Larger hospitals often utilize their in-house maintenance departments instead of contracting with equipment manufacturers like us to maintain and repair their medical equipment. In addition, third-party medical equipment maintenance companies increasingly compete with equipment manufacturers by offering broad repair and maintenance service contracts to hospitals and clinics. This increased competition for medical devices and maintenance and service contracts could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We operate in a highly regulated industry and our future success depends on government regulatory approval of our products, which we may not receive or which may be delayed for a significant period of time.

Government regulation significantly impacts the development and marketing of our products, particularly in the United States. We are regulated in each of our major markets with respect to preclinical and clinical testing, manufacturing, labeling, distribution, sale, marketing, advertising and promotion of our products. To market and sell products still in the clinical trial stage, we are required to obtain approval or clearance from the relevant regulatory agencies, including the FDA, in the United States. In particular, our Ablatherm device is under clinical trials in the U.S. and, following the December 11, 2009 panel experts recommendations and our discussions with the FDA, we thoroughly evaluated all options based on inputs from our clinical and regulatory advisors. In April 2010, we decided to discontinue enrollment of patients in the HIFU comparative arm of the study, completed the treatment of 134 patients in June 2010 and then entered into the required 2-year follow-up phase. Clinical outcomes from these patients combined with our European long-term database will form the foundation of a submission to the FDA which we expect to occur in 2012. Consequently, we may not be able to follow the FDA recommendations with regards to our prospective study and its cryoablation comparative arm and to conduct our trials within the timeframe and budget we initially expected. Moreover, regulatory approval to market a product, if granted, may include limitations on the indicated uses for which it may be marketed. Failure to comply with regulatory requirements can result in fines, suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approvals, product recalls, seizure of products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecutions. Regulatory policy may change and additional government regulations may be established that could prevent or delay regulatory approval of our products. Any delay, failure to receive regulatory approval or the loss of previously received approvals could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. For more information on the regulation of our business, see Item 4, “Information on the Company—Government Regulation” and “High Intensity Focused Ultrasound Division—HIFU Division Clinical and Regulatory Status.”

Additional statutes or regulations that affect our business could also be adopted and could impose substantial additional costs or otherwise have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The success of our products depends on whether procedures performed by those products are eligible for reimbursement which depends on the decisions of national health authorities and third-party payers.

Our success depends, among other things, on the extent to which reimbursement can be obtained from healthcare payers in the United States and elsewhere for procedures performed with our products. In the United States, we are dependent upon favorable decisions by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (“CMS”), formerly the Health Care Financing Administration (“HCFA”), for Medicare reimbursement, individual managed care organizations, private insurers and other payers. These decisions may be revised from time to time, which could affect reimbursement for procedures performed using our devices. Outside the United States, and in particular in the European Union and Japan, third-party reimbursement is generally conditioned upon decisions by national health authorities. In the European Union, there is no harmonized procedure for obtaining reimbursement and, consequently, we must seek regulatory approval in each Member State. If we fail to establish reimbursement from healthcare payers or government and private healthcare payers’ policies change, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Lithotripsy procedures currently are reimbursed by public healthcare systems in the European Union, in Japan and in the United States. However, a decision in any of those countries to modify reimbursement policies for these procedures could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial conditions and results of operations. In contrast, procedures performed with our Ablatherm device are not reimbursed in the European Union countries with the exception of Italy, Germany, the UK and on a special temporary basis in France, where procedures are partially reimbursed by either public healthcare systems or private insurers. We cannot assure investors that additional

reimbursement approvals will be obtained in the near future. If reimbursement for our products is unavailable, limited in scope or amount or if pricing is set at unsatisfactory levels, our business could be materially harmed.

Our manufacturing operations are highly regulated and failure to comply with those regulations would harm our business.

Our manufacturing operations must comply with regulations established by regulatory agencies in the United States, the European Union and other countries, and in particular with the good manufacturing practices (“GMP”) mandated by the FDA and European Union standards for quality assurance and manufacturing process control. Since such standards may change, we may not, at all times, comply with all applicable standards and, therefore, will be unable to manufacture our products for commercial sale. Our manufacturing facilities are subject to inspection by regulatory authorities at any time. If any inspection by the regulatory authorities reveals deficiencies in manufacturing, we could be required to take immediate remedial actions, suspend production or close the current and future production facilities, which would disrupt our manufacturing processes. Accordingly, failure to comply with these regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We depend on a single site to manufacture our products, and any interruption of operations could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Most of our manufacturing currently takes place in a single facility located in Vaulx-en-Velin, on the outskirts of Lyon, France. A significant interruption in the operations of our sole facility for any reason, such as fire, flood or other natural disaster or a failure to obtain or maintain required regulatory approvals, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

For certain components or services we depend on a single supplier who, due to events beyond our control may fail to deliver sufficient supplies to us or increase the cost of items supplied, which would interrupt our production processes or negatively impact our results of operations.

We purchase the majority of the components used in our products from a number of suppliers, but rely on a single supplier for some key components. In addition, we rely on single suppliers for certain services. If the supply of certain components or services were interrupted for any reason, our manufacturing and marketing of the affected products would be delayed. These delays could be extensive, especially in situations where a component substitution would require regulatory approval. In addition, such suppliers could decide unilaterally to increase the price of supplied items and therefore cause additional charges for the Company. We expect to continue to depend upon our suppliers for the foreseeable future. Failure to obtain adequate supplies of components or services in a timely manner and at the agreed price could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Intellectual property rights are essential to protect our medical devices, and any dispute with respect to these rights could be costly and have an uncertain outcome.

Our success depends in large part on our ability to develop proprietary products and technologies and to establish and protect the related intellectual property rights, without infringing the intellectual property rights of third parties. The validity and scope of claims covered in medical technology patents involve complex legal and factual questions and, therefore, the outcome of such claims may be highly uncertain. The medical device industry has been characterized by extensive patents and other intellectual property rights litigation. Our products, including our HIFU devices, may be subject to litigation involving claims of patent infringement or violation of other intellectual property rights of third parties. The defense and prosecution of intellectual property suits, patent opposition proceedings and related legal and administrative proceedings are both costly and time consuming and may result in a significant diversion of effort and resources by our technical and management personnel. An adverse determination in any such litigation or proceeding to which we become a party could subject us to significant liability to third parties, require us to seek licenses from third parties and pay ongoing royalties, require us to redesign certain products or subject us to injunctions preventing the manufacture, use or sale of the affected products. In addition to being costly, drawn-out litigation to defend or prosecute intellectual property rights could cause our customers or potential customers to defer or limit their purchase or use of our products until the litigation is resolved. See Item 4, “Information on the Company—High Intensity Focused Ultrasound Division—HIFU Division Patents and Intellectual Property” and Item 4, “Information on the Company—Urology Devices and Services Division—UDS Division Patents and Intellectual Property.”

We own patents covering several of our technologies and have additional patent applications pending in the United States, the European Union, Japan and elsewhere. The process of seeking patent protection can be long and expensive and there can be no assurance that our patent applications will result in the issuance of patents. We also cannot assure investors that our current or future patents are or will be sufficient to provide meaningful protection or commercial advantage to us. Our patents or patent applications could be challenged, invalidated or circumvented in the future. The failure to maintain or obtain necessary patents, licenses or other intellectual property rights from third parties on acceptable terms or the invalidation or cancellation of material patents could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. Litigation may be necessary to enforce patents issued to us or to determine the enforceability, scope and validity of the proprietary rights of others. Our competitors, many of which

have substantial resources and have made substantial investments in competing technologies, may apply for and obtain patents that will interfere with our ability to make, use or sell certain products, including our HIFU devices, either in the United States or in foreign markets.

12

We also rely on trade secrets and proprietary know-how, which we seek to protect through non-disclosure agreements with employees, consultants and other parties. It is possible, however, that those non-disclosure agreements will be breached, that we will not have adequate remedies for any such breach, or that our trade secrets will become known to, or independently developed by, competitors. Litigation may be necessary to protect trade secrets or know-how owned by us. In addition, effective copyright and trade secret protection may be unavailable or limited in certain countries.

The occurrence of any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and result of operations.

We face a significant risk of exposure to product liability claims in the event that the use of our products results in personal injury or death.

Our products are designed to be used in the treatment of severe affections and conditions. Despite the use of our products, patients may suffer personal injury or death, and we may, as a result, face significant product liability claims. We maintain separate product liability insurance policies for the United States and Canada and for the other markets in which we sell our products. Product liability insurance is expensive and there can be no assurance that it will continue to be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all. In addition, our insurance may not cover certain product liability claims or our liability for any claims may exceed our coverage limits. A product liability claim or series of claims brought against us with respect to uninsured liabilities or in excess of our insurance coverage, or any claim or product recall that results in significant cost to or adverse publicity against us could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Also, if any of our products prove to be defective, we may be required to recall or redesign the product.

We sell our products in many parts of the world and, as a result, our business is affected by fluctuations in currency exchange rates.

We are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk because the mix of currencies in which our costs are denominated is different from the mix of currencies in which we earn our revenue. In 2010, approximately 66% of our total operating expenses were denominated in euro, while approximately 41% of our sales were denominated in currencies other than euro (primarily the U.S. dollar and the Japanese yen). Our operating profitability could be materially adversely affected by large fluctuations in the rate of exchange between the euro and other currencies. For instance, a decrease in the value of the U.S. dollar or the Japanese yen against the euro would have a negative effect on our revenues, which may not be offset by an equal reduction in operating expenses and would therefore negatively impact operating profitability. From time to time we enter into foreign exchange forward sale contracts to hedge against fluctuations in the exchange rates of the principal foreign currencies in which our receivables are denominated (in particular, the U.S. dollar and the Japanese yen), but there can be no assurance that such hedging activities will limit the effect of movements in exchange rates on our results of operations. As of December 31, 2010, we had no outstanding hedging instruments. In addition, since any dividends that we may declare will be denominated in euro, exchange rate fluctuations will affect the U.S. dollar equivalent of any dividends received by holders of ADSs.

Our results of operations have fluctuated significantly from quarter to quarter in the past and may continue to do so in the future.

Our results of operations have fluctuated in the past and are expected to continue to fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter depending upon numerous factors, including, but not limited to, the timing and results of clinical trials, changes in healthcare reimbursement policies, seasonality of demand for our products, changes in pricing policies by us or our competitors, new product announcements by us or our competitors, customer order deferrals in anticipation of new or enhanced products offered by us or our competitors, product quality problems and exchange rate fluctuations. Furthermore, because our main products have relatively high unit prices, the amount and timing of

individual orders can have a substantial effect on our results of operations in any given quarter.

13

Our results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected by the adverse economic and financial developments.

The current economic and financial environment has affected the level of public and private spending in the healthcare sector generally. A cautious or negative business outlook may cause our customers to further delay or cancel investment in medical equipment, which would adversely affect our revenues.

In addition, we rely on the credit market to secure dedicated lease financings to fund the development of our RPP activity. Due to the limited availability of lending in the current market environment, we may be unable to access sufficient lease financing. Without lease financing, we may be unable to continue the development of our RPP activity or we may need to fund such activity out of our existing working capital. Similarly, some of our clients rely on lease financing to finance their purchases of equipment. Limited availability of lease financing facilities may also affect their purchasing decisions and may adversely impact our equipment sales.

In accordance with the terms of our Convertible Debentures, we have the option to pay interest on the Convertible Debentures in shares. The current economic and financial environment has adversely affected and may continue to affect our share price, thus we may be unable to make payment in shares without significantly diluting the interest of the existing shareholders. If we are unable to issue shares on reasonable terms, we may need to make interest payments in cash, thus negatively affecting our working capital.

Further, the volatility in our share price due to the current economic and financial environment has had a direct impact on the valuation of the Convertible Debentures and warrants (the "Warrants" as defined in Item 5 – "Warrants" below) issued in the October 2007 private placement, which in turn could have a material adverse impact on our financial conditions. See "Risks Relating to the October 2007 Private Placement— Changes in the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and warrants issued in the October 2007 private placement at each balance sheet date could have a significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations."

If any of the above materializes, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Relating to the October 2007 Private Placement

If we fail to maintain the registration of our securities, we will be subject to substantial penalties.

Pursuant to the terms of the registration rights agreement we entered into in connection with the October 2007 private placement, we secured the registration of a portion of the securities deliverable upon conversion of the Convertible Debentures and in payment of interest under the Convertible Debentures as well as the securities deliverable upon exercise of the warrants. If we fail to maintain the effectiveness of any registration statement as required under the registration rights agreement, we are subject to significant penalties, including payment of liquidated damages. Failure to meet these obligations will cause us to incur substantial penalties in the form of liquidated damages and could, given the passage of time, lead to an event of default under the Convertible Debentures. Payment of liquidated damages or mandatory default amount will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operation and our ability to continue as a going concern.

If we are required for any reason to repay our outstanding Convertible Debentures, we would be required to deplete our working capital or raise additional funds. Our failure to repay the Convertible Debentures, if required, could result in legal action against us, which could require the sale of substantial assets.

The Convertible Debentures are due and payable on October 30, 2012, unless sooner converted into ordinary shares. Any event of default could require the early repayment of the Convertible Debentures at the mandatory default amount, including all other amounts of interest, costs, expenses and liquidated damages due in respect of the defaulted Convertible Debentures. If, prior to the maturity date, we are required to repay the outstanding Convertible Debentures in full, we would be required to use our working capital and raise additional funds. If we were unable to repay the Convertible Debentures when required, the Convertible Debentures holders could commence legal action against us to recover the amounts due. Any such action would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

The issuance of shares upon conversion of the Convertible Debentures, exchange offer, exercise of outstanding Warrants and payment of interests on the Convertible Debentures will cause immediate and substantial dilution to our existing shareholders.

The issuance of ordinary shares upon conversion of the Convertible Debentures and exercise of the Warrants will result in substantial dilution to the interests of other shareholders since the selling shareholders may ultimately convert and sell the full amount issuable on conversion. Based on the conversion price of the Convertible Debentures and the exercise price of the Warrants at the closing of the October 2007 private placement, taking into account the December 2010 exchange offer extended to all Convertible Debentures and Warrants holders, up to 2,480,173 shares are issuable upon conversion and exercise, representing approximately 19% of our issued and outstanding share capital. In addition, interest on the Convertible Debentures is payable, under certain circumstances, in ordinary shares, under a formula which is tied to the trading price of our ADSs, and under which there is no upper limit of shares that may be required to be issued under our election to pay interest in ordinary shares. Although no single selling shareholder may convert its Convertible Debentures and/or exercise its Warrants if such conversion or exercise would cause it to own more than 4.99% of our outstanding ordinary, this restriction does not prevent each selling shareholder from converting and/or exercising a portion of its holdings, selling those securities and then converting the rest of its holdings. In this way, each selling shareholder could sell more than this limit while never holding more than this limit.

On June 24, 2010, our shareholders adopted several new resolutions and extended the validity of existing ones, allowing the Board of Directors to renegotiate our indebtedness with the maximum flexibility while remaining within the limit of the dilution already approved by shareholders, hence authorizing the issuance of a maximum of 6,512,370 new shares.

Based on these resolutions and in view of our debt restructuring and new projects financing, we filed a Form F-3 registration statement with the SEC on October 6, 2010 to register ordinary shares and warrants for a maximum amount of \$9 million. Such registration statement was declared effective by the SEC on October 20, 2010.

Finally, pursuant to shareholders' authorization and upon the Board of Directors delegation, the management extended an offer to all Convertible Debentures and Warrant holders to exchange all of their Convertible Debentures and warrants for ADRs in order to redeem part of our outstanding convertible debt. On December 29, 30 and 31, 2010, we entered into specific exchange agreements (the "Exchange Agreements") with some of the debenture and warrant holders. Pursuant to these Exchange Agreements, we issued 1,441,743 new ordinary shares in the form of ADRs in exchange for 4,558 Convertible Debentures and 986,965 Warrants, reducing the nominal amount of our outstanding convertible debt to \$10.5 million. In the future, we may extend other exchange offers to remaining Convertible Debentures and Warrant holders, or seek to negotiate new terms to further redeem our indebtedness; however, there is no certainty that we will be able to do so.

We may not be authorized to issue enough ordinary shares or be able to fulfill the conditions precedent to pay interest on the Convertible Debentures in the form of ordinary shares, and if we fail to do so after we have notified the Convertible Debentures holders of our intention to do so, an event of default under the Convertible Debentures could occur.

As noted above, interest on the Convertible Debentures is payable, under certain circumstances, in ordinary shares, under a formula which is tied to the trading price of our ADSs. In order to pay interest in this manner, we need to notify our debenture holders at least 21 trading days prior to the relevant interest payment date and fulfil certain conditions during that notice period, up to and including the date interest is paid. Any such notice is irrevocable. Interest paid in ordinary shares is paid at the “interest conversion rate”, which is based on the trading price of our ADSs during the notice period, after our irrevocable notice has been given. In the event our share price were to fall during the notice period, we would have to deliver a higher number of shares than we may have originally planned at the time we gave the irrevocable notice. In the event the number of shares we are required to deliver exceeds the number of shares we are then authorized by our shareholders to issue, we may not be able to deliver all of the interest shares then due. Additionally, if, on the day we pay interest, we do not fulfill the relevant conditions, we are not permitted to pay interest in the form of ordinary shares. In the event we are not able to deliver shares for any reason, we will be subject to late fees and our debenture holders may decline to receive interest paid in cash. In the event they do not accept payment in cash, we would not be able to make a complete interest payment or any interest payment at all, which will result in an event of default under the Convertible Debentures. An event of default with respect to the Convertible Debentures would have a material adverse effect on our financial conditions and results of operations.

Our increased leverage as a result of the sale of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants in the October 2007 private placement may harm our financial condition and results of operations.

Our total consolidated long-term financial debt as of December 31, 2010 was €10.4 million and represented approximately 29% of our total assets, including the current portion of indebtedness of approximately €0.322 million as of that date. Our level of indebtedness could have important consequences on our future operations, including:

- Reducing the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes, and limiting our ability to obtain additional financing for these purposes; and
- Limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, and increasing our vulnerability to, changes in our business, the industry in which we operate and the general economy.

Provisions in the Convertible Debentures could discourage an acquisition of us or an investment in us by a third party, even if the acquisition or investment would be favourable to investors.

The Convertible Debentures prohibit us from engaging in certain transactions, each known as a “fundamental transaction”, including any merger, the sale of all of our assets or a tender offer under which our shareholders are permitted to exchange their shares for cash, securities or property, unless the successor entity agrees to comply with the requirement to provide our debenture holders, upon conversion, with the same property provided to our existing shareholders under the terms of the fundamental transaction. In addition, if we are party to a “fundamental transaction” or “change of control” (as defined in the debenture) or agree to dispose of in excess of 40% of our assets, the holders have the right to require us to redeem the Convertible Debentures at their election shortly after they are notified of such a change. Any redemption under these circumstances will be at a premium equal to the higher of 130% of the then-outstanding principal amount of the debenture or the outstanding principal amount of the debenture, plus all accrued and unpaid interest, divided by the conversion price then in effect, multiplied by the VWAP (as defined in the debenture) then in effect.

In addition, under the terms of the securities purchase agreement we entered into in the October 2007 private placement, for so long as the Convertible Debentures are outstanding, we are required to offer the investors who purchased Convertible Debentures and Warrants in the October 2007 private placement the right to participate in certain types of financings we arrange in the future, up to 50% of the value of such financing. We must provide this opportunity unless the offering is an underwritten public offering or an “exempt issuance”. Exempt issuances include securities issued to our employees under plans, subject to certain volume limits, and securities issued pursuant to strategic transactions with persons who are engaged in a business synergistic with ours. However, securities issued to persons who are not engaged in a synergistic business, such as a financial investor, are not exempt issuances.

The restrictions on the types of transactions we can engage in and the participation rights we may have to offer in future financings may result in discouraging third parties from engaging in these types of transactions with us, even if such transactions would be beneficial to us and our shareholders.

Changes in the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants issued in the October 2007 private placement at each balance sheet date could have a significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

We use various market parameters to evaluate the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants issued in the October 2007 private placement at each balance sheet date which could have a significant impact on our financial condition and results of operation as a result of changes in these market parameters. The following market parameters are most likely to change at each balance sheet date and the following paragraphs describe how hypothetical increases or decreases in those market parameters would have affected the U.S. Dollar fair value of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants as of December 31, 2010:

- stock volatility: as of December 31, 2010 and every other market parameter being equal, an increase in the stock volatility of 5 percentage points would have resulted in an increase of 1% in the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants, and a decrease in the stock volatility of 5 percentage points would have resulted in a decrease of 1% in the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants.
- the stock value: as of December 31, 2010 and every other market parameter being equal, an increase in the stock value of 10% would have resulted in an increase of 5% in the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants, and a decrease in the stock value of 10% would have resulted in a decrease of 5% in the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants.
- the risk free interest rate: as of December 31, 2010 and every other market parameter being equal, an increase in the risk free interest rate of 1 percentage point would have resulted in a decrease of 0.5% in the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants, and a decrease in the risk free interest rate of 1 percentage point would have resulted in an increase of 0.2% in the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants.
- credit spread: as of December 31, 2010 and every other market parameter being equal, an increase in the credit spread of 1 percentage point would have resulted in a decrease of 1% in the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants, and a decrease in the credit spread of 1 percentage point would have resulted in an increase of 0.7% in the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants.
- liquidity discount factor: as of December 31, 2010 and every other market parameter being equal, an increase in the liquidity discount factor of 5 percentage points would have resulted in a decrease of 3% in the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants, and a decrease in the liquidity discount factor of 5 percentage points would have resulted in an increase of 3% in the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants.
- combined sensitivity to market parameters: as of December 31, 2010, a 5 percentage point increase in stock volatility together with a 10% increase in the stock value, a 1 percentage point decrease in the risk free interest rate, a 1 percentage point decrease in the credit spread and a 5 percentage point decrease in the liquidity discount factor would have resulted in an increase of 11% in the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants; conversely, a 5 percentage point decrease in the stock volatility together with a 10% decrease in the stock value, a 1 percentage point increase in the risk free interest rate, a 1 percentage point increase in the credit spread and a 5 percentage point increase in the liquidity discount factor would have resulted in a decrease of 10% in the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants.

Risks Relating to Ownership of Securities

Our securities may be affected by volume fluctuations, and may fluctuate significantly in price.

Our ADSs are currently traded on the NASDAQ Global Market. The average daily trading volume of our ADSs in December 2010 was 528,234, the high and low bid price of our ADSs for the last two financial years ended on December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, was \$ 6.97 and \$ 5.95, and \$ 1.89 and \$0.96, respectively. Our ADSs have experienced, and are likely to experience in the future, significant price and volume fluctuations, which could adversely affect the market price of our ADSs without regard to our operating performance. For example, average daily trading volume of our ADSs in December 2009 was 61,795 as opposed to 528,234 for the same period of 2010. The price of our securities, and our ADSs in particular, may fluctuate as a result of a variety of factors beyond our control, including changes in our business, operations and prospects, regulatory considerations, results of clinical trials of our products or those of our competitors, developments in patents and other proprietary rights, and general market and economic conditions.

We may issue additional securities that may be dilutive to our existing shareholders.

As set forth above, on June 24, 2010, shareholders adopted resolutions allowing the Board of Directors to issue new shares when renegotiating our indebtedness, only within the maximum 6,512,370 additional share limit already authorized by the shareholders, such limit is to be considered taking into account as of March 18, 2011, the conversions of Convertible Debentures and payments of quarterly interests paid in shares, hence reducing the maximum number authorized to be issued to 4,575,966. The issuance of additional ordinary shares, including any additional ordinary shares issuable pursuant to the exercise of preferential subscription rights that may not be available to all of our shareholders, would reduce the proportionate ownership and voting power of then-existing shareholders.

We are subject to different corporate disclosure standards that may limit the information available to holders of our ADSs.

As a foreign private issuer, we are not required to comply with the notice and disclosure requirements under the Exchange Act, relating to the solicitation of proxies for shareholder meetings. Although we are subject to the periodic reporting requirements of the Exchange Act, the periodic disclosure required of non-U.S. issuers under the Exchange Act is more limited than the periodic disclosure required of U.S. issuers. Therefore, there may be less publicly available information about us than is regularly published by or about other public companies in the United States.

We currently do not intend to pay dividends, and cannot assure shareholders that we will make dividend payments in the future.

We have not paid any dividend on our shares for the past five years and do not anticipate paying any dividends for the foreseeable future. In particular, in connection with the October 2007 private placement, we agreed not to pay cash dividends on any of our equity securities. Thereafter, declaration of dividends on our shares will depend upon, among other things, future earnings, if any, the operating and financial condition of our business, our capital requirements, general business conditions and such other factors as our Board of Directors deems relevant. See Item 8, “Financial Information—Dividends and Dividend Policy.”

Judgments of U.S. courts, including those predicated on the civil liability provisions of the federal securities laws of the United States, may not be enforceable in French courts.

An investor in the United States may find it difficult to:

- effect service of process within the United States against us and our non-U.S. resident directors and officers;

- effect service of process within the United States against us and our non-U.S. resident directors and officers;
- enforce U.S. court judgments based upon the civil liability provisions of the U.S. federal securities laws against us and our non-U.S. resident directors and officers in France; or
- bring an original action in a French court to enforce liabilities based upon the U.S. federal securities laws against us and our non-U.S. resident directors and officers.

Holders of ADSs have fewer rights than shareholders and must act through the Depositary to exercise those rights.

Holders of ADSs do not have the same rights as shareholders and accordingly, cannot exercise rights of shareholders against us. The Bank of New York, as Depositary (the “Depositary”), is the registered shareholder of the deposited shares underlying the ADSs, and therefore holders of ADSs will generally have to exercise the rights attached to those shares through the Depositary. We have used and will continue to use reasonable efforts to request that the Depositary notify the holders of ADSs of upcoming votes and ask for voting instructions from them. If a holder fails to return a voting instruction card to the Depositary by the date established by it for receipt of such voting instructions, or if the Depositary receives an improperly completed or blank voting instruction card, or if the voting instructions included in the voting instruction card are illegible or unclear, then such holder will be deemed to have instructed the Depositary to vote its shares and the Depositary shall vote such shares in favour of any resolution proposed or approved by our Board of Directors and against any resolution not so proposed or approved.

Preferential subscription rights may not be available for U.S. persons.

Under French law, shareholders have preferential rights to subscribe for cash issuances of new shares or other securities giving rights to acquire additional shares on a pro rata basis. U.S. holders of our securities may not be able to exercise preferential subscription rights for their shares unless a registration statement under the Securities Act is effective with respect to such rights or an exemption from the registration requirements imposed by the Securities Act is available. We may, from time to time, issue new shares or other securities giving rights to acquire additional shares (such as warrants) at a time when no registration statement is in effect and no Securities Act exemption is available. If so, U.S. holders of our securities will be unable to exercise their preferential rights and their interests will be diluted. We are under no obligation to file any registration statement in connection with any issuance of new shares or other securities.

For holders of ADSs, the Depositary may make these rights or other distributions available to holders after we instruct it to do so and provide it with evidence that it is legal to do so. If we fail to do this and the Depositary determines that it is impractical to sell the rights, it may allow these rights to lapse. In that case the holders of ADSs will receive no value for them.

Item 4. Information on the Company

We develop and market the Ablatherm® device, an advanced choice for High Intensity Focused Ultrasound (HIFU) treatment of localized prostate cancer. HIFU treatment is shown to be a minimally invasive and effective treatment option for localized prostate cancer with a low occurrence of side effects. Ablatherm-HIFU is generally recommended for patients with localized prostate cancer (stages T1-T2) who are not candidates for surgery or who prefer an alternative option. It is also used for patients who failed a radiotherapy treatment. In addition, we are developing HIFU technology for the treatment of certain other types of tumors. We also produce and commercialize medical equipment for treatment of urinary tract stones using Extra-corporeal Shockwave Lithotripsy (“ESWL”).

History and Development of the Company

Our legal name is EDAP TMS S.A. and our commercial name is EDAP TMS. EDAP TMS S.A. was incorporated on December 3, 1979 as a Société Anonyme organized under the laws of the Republic of France for 60 years from the date of incorporation. Our principal executive offices are located at Parc d'Activités la Poudrette- Lamartine, 4/6, rue du Dauphiné, 69120 Vaulx-en-Velin, France and our telephone number is +33 (0) 4 72 15 31 50. NATIXIS PRAMEX INTERNATIONAL Corp, 1251 Ave of the Americas – 34th floor, New York, NY 10020 – U.S.A, is our new agent for service of process in the United States as from January 1, 2010, replacing Mr. Lee Sanderson.

Founded in 1979, we originally specialized in the manufacturing and distribution of lithotripters (devices which use shockwaves to disintegrate urinary calculi) and produced the first piezo-electric lithotripter (using electric shocks produced by a piezo-component) in 1985. In 1994, we purchased most of the assets of Technomed International S.A. (“Technomed”) out of liquidation. Technomed was established in 1985 and launched an electrohydraulic lithotripter (using electric shocks produced by an electrode within a hydraulic system) in 1986 and the Prostatron, a medical device using TransUrethral Microwave Thermotherapy (“TUMT”) for the minimally invasive treatment of benign prostate hyperplasia (“BPH”) in the European Union in 1990. The assets we acquired in Technomed’s liquidation included the ownership of, and full distribution rights to, the Prostatron, the Sonolith series of lithotripters (Sonolith Praktis, Sonolith Vision and Sonolith Isys) and the Ablatherm HIFU device.

In October 2000, we sold our Prostatron business to Urologix Inc. for consideration consisting of approximately \$12 million in common stock and warrants to purchase additional shares of common stock and \$8 million in cash.

In July 2002, we reorganized our management structure and created two separate operating divisions, the HIFU division and the UDS division. The implementation of the new corporate structure consolidated our management structure from a two-tiered management system with a Supervisory Board and a Management Board into a single Board of Directors with the consolidated management responsibilities of the two-tiered system.

On February 25, 2004, we finalized a distribution agreement (the “Distribution Agreement”), with a subsidiary of HealthTronics Surgical Services, Inc. (“HealthTronics”), under which HealthTronics agreed to distribute our lithotripters in the United States. Under the Distribution Agreement, 1,000,000 warrants were allocated to HealthTronics, which were to be exercised upon the completion of certain milestones linked to the grant of the Ablatherm pre-market approval and certain minimum sales of lithotripters in the United States. On December 29, 2005, we amended the Distribution Agreement after HealthTronics decided to focus all of its efforts on implementing Ablatherm clinical trials in the United States to gain FDA approval and to cease pursuing distribution of our lithotripters in the United States. In connection with this amendment, 200,000 warrants, of the 1,000,000 warrants that had been issued to HealthTronics, were cancelled since their exercise was directly linked to future purchases of our lithotripters.

On August 3, 2006, we closed a private placement of 961,676 ordinary shares in the form of ADSs, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$7.5 million. These funds were and are being used to fund additional marketing efforts to

accelerate the adoption of Ablatherm-HIFU in key European markets.

On November 10, 2006, HealthTronics informed us that they intended to cease conducting clinical trials and pursuing the Ablatherm PMA approval from the FDA.

20

On April 3, 2007, we executed an Agreement and Release whereby HealthTronics agreed to transfer the Ablatherm FDA study to us. The Agreement and Release was amended on July 9, 2007. Under this Agreement and Release, HealthTronics agreed to pay us \$600,000 under certain conditions linked to the registration of 200,000 shares it acquired under exercise of a portion of the 800,000 remaining warrants it had acquired pursuant to the Distribution Agreement. On September 29, 2009, HealthTronics's commitment to pay us \$600,000, related to the April 3 and July 9, 2007 Agreement and Release, was waived in compensation of a royalty-free, sublicense granted to us allowing us to use certain HealthTronics's patents in the lithotripsy field.

On October 31, 2007, we completed a private placement of \$20 million principal amount of 9% Senior Convertible Debentures due 2012. In addition, the purchasers of the Convertible Debentures and our placement agent (the "Placement Agent") received warrants to purchase our ordinary shares for an average exercise price of \$6.68 per share which expire in 2013. The October 2007 private placement resulted in net proceeds of approximately \$17.4 million. We agreed to use the proceeds of the private placement to finance costs associated with the regulatory approval for the commercialization of Ablatherm HIFU in the United States (including related clinical trials) and for general and administrative expenses.

In 2007, following the termination of the Distribution Agreement signed with HealthTronics, EDAP Technomed Inc, our Delaware registered fully owned subsidiary, regained the sponsorship of our Ablatherm IDE study and has pursued our clinical trials in the United States since then. Therefore, a portion of the proceeds resulting from our October 2007 private placement were transferred to EDAP Technomed Inc. to finance our U.S. Ablatherm-HIFU clinical trials.

Effective January 1, 2008, to clarify and simplify our French organizational structure, we merged the two operational French entities EDAP SA and Technomed Medical Systems SA into a single entity named EDAP TMS France S.A. (formerly Technomed Medical Systems SA), which is wholly owned by EDAP TMS SA, the holding company.

On October 30, 2009, our shareholders adopted several resolutions allowing the Board of Directors to renegotiate our indebtedness with the maximum flexibility while staying within the limit of the dilution already authorized by shareholders on October 30, 2007 and February 26, 2009. On November 16, 2009, pursuant to the shareholders' authorization, the Board of Directors issued a Supplement to the current Convertible Debentures allowing bondholders to convert their Convertible Debentures earlier, with a lower exercise price and including by the payment of an accelerated interest premium, payable in shares, within the already authorized dilution limits. This Supplement was unanimously approved on December 3, 2009 by the general meeting of bondholders. It expired on December 2, 2010.

On March 10, April 23 and November 16, 2010, one holder of Convertible Debentures elected to convert a total of 2,050 Convertible Debentures, representing a total value of \$2.1 million. Under the terms of the Convertible Debentures and the above Supplement, 440,206 new shares were issued. Following this conversion, our total aggregate amount of our outstanding convertible debenture was reduced to \$15.1 million.

On June 24, 2010, our shareholders adopted several new resolutions and extended the validity of existing ones, allowing the Board of Directors to renegotiate our indebtedness while remaining within the limit of the dilution already authorized by shareholders.

On October 6, 2010, based on the above mentioned resolutions and in view of debt restructuring and new projects financing, we filed a Form-F3 registration statement with the SEC to register ordinary shares and warrants for a maximum amount of \$9 million. Such registration statement was declared effective by the SEC on October 20, 2010.

On December 29, 30 and 31, 2010, pursuant to the above mentioned shareholders' authorizations, the Management, upon the Board of Directors delegation, extended an offer to all senior debenture and warrant holders to exchange all of their Convertible Debentures and Warrants against ADRs in order to redeem part of our outstanding convertible

debt and entered into the Exchange Agreements with some of the Convertible Debentures and Warrants holders. Pursuant to the Exchange Agreements, we issued 1,441,743 new ordinary shares in the form of ADRs in exchange for 4,558 Convertible Debentures and 986,965 Warrants, reducing the nominal amount of our outstanding convertible debt to \$10.5 million.

21

Business Overview & Strategy

EDAP TMS S.A. is a holding company and is responsible for providing common services to its subsidiaries, including preparation and consolidation of the financial statements for the group, complying with the requirements of various regulatory agencies and maintaining the listing of its publicly held securities and, in conjunction with its Board of Directors, directing the overall strategy of our group.

Our activity is organized in two divisions: HIFU and UDS (including lithotripsy activities). Through these two divisions, we develop, produce and market minimally invasive medical devices, mainly for urological diseases. We believe that the creation of these two divisions has allowed us to expand our market share by optimizing worldwide distribution capabilities, all of which is coordinated through our subsidiaries.

Our HIFU and UDS divisions operate in Europe, the Americas, Asia and the rest of the world. Total net sales for the HIFU division (in net contributions to total consolidated sales) were €6.9 million, €9.6 million and €9.1 million for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively (all in Europe and the rest of the world, outside certain countries in Asia (including Japan) and the United States where our Ablatherm-HIFU device is not approved yet). Total net sales for the UDS division were €16.3 million (including €7.8 million in Asia and €8.5 million in Europe and the rest of the world), €15.2 million (including €6.8 million in Asia and €8.4 million in Europe and the rest of the world) and €13.8 million (including €6.1 million in Asia and €7.7 million in Europe and the rest of the world), each for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

See Note 27 to our consolidated financial statements for a breakdown of total sales and revenue during the past three fiscal years by operating division and Item 5 “Operating and Financial Review and Prospects.”

HIFU Division

The HIFU division is engaged in the development, manufacturing and marketing of medical devices based on HIFU technology for the minimally invasive treatment of urological and other clinical indications. The HIFU division had total revenues of €6.9 million during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Our HIFU business is quite seasonal and generally linked to lengthy hospital decision and investment processes. Hence our quarterly revenues are often impacted and fluctuate according to these parameters, generally resulting in a higher purchasing activity in the last quarter of the year.

HIFU Division Business Overview

The HIFU division currently develops, manufactures and markets devices for the minimally invasive destruction of certain types of localized tumors using HIFU technology. HIFU technology uses a high-intensity convergent ultrasound beam generated by high power transducers to produce heat. HIFU technology is intended to allow the surgeon to destroy a well-defined area of diseased tissue without damaging surrounding tissue and organs, thereby eliminating the need for incisions, transfusions and general anesthesia and associated complications. The Ablatherm is a HIFU-based device developed and marketed by the HIFU division for the treatment of organ-confined prostate cancer, referred to as T1-T2 stage. Ablatherm can be used for patients who are not candidates for surgery or who have failed a radiotherapy treatment. Ablatherm is approved for commercial distribution in the European Union, South Korea, Canada, Australia, Taiwan, South Africa, New Zealand, the Philippines, Argentina, Mexico, Brazil and Russia. Clinical trials in the United States are underway. The HIFU division had an installed base of 86 Ablatherm machines worldwide (with an additional 8 used for clinical studies and other research and training purposes) and 265 trained clinical sites were using this technology as of December 31, 2010.

In addition to developing, manufacturing and marketing HIFU devices, the HIFU division also generates revenues from leasing equipment, as well as from the sale of disposables, spare parts and maintenance services. Our HIFU

mobile treatment option provides access to the HIFU devices without requiring hospitals and clinics to make an up-front investment in the equipment. Instead, hospitals and clinics perform treatments using these devices and remunerate us on a RPP basis (i.e., on the basis of the number of individual treatments provided). With this model, once the treatment is established in the medical community, a permanent installation may become more attractive, leading to the sale of the device in some of the larger locations.

HIFU Division Business Strategy

The HIFU division's business strategy is to capitalize on its expertise in HIFU and its position in urology to achieve long-term growth as a leader in the development, manufacturing, marketing and distribution of minimally invasive medical devices for urological and other indications, using HIFU technology, while preserving patient quality of life. The HIFU division believes that minimally invasive treatments using HIFU could provide an alternative to current invasive therapies on the basis of reduced cost and reduced morbidity for a number of different indications. The key elements of the HIFU division's strategy to achieve that objective are:

- Provide Minimally Invasive Solutions to Treat Prostate Cancer using HIFU. Building upon our established position in the ESWL market, our HIFU division is striving to become the leading provider of our minimally invasive treatment option for prostate cancer. We believe that there is a large market opportunity with an increase in incidence linked to the aging male population, an increase in screening and recent campaigns to increase awareness. We also believe that HIFU could represent a credible alternative to surgery, external beam radiotherapy, brachytherapy and cryotherapy for the treatment of organ-confined prostate cancer without the cost, in-patient hospitalization and adverse side effects associated with those therapies. With the growing demand for more focused treatments destroying the tumor only (focal therapy) while continuously controlling the disease, HIFU and its focused approach, is well positioned to address this new trend. The HIFU division intends to achieve this through a direct sales network in key European countries and through selected distributors in other European countries and in Asia. The HIFU division has built a strong clinical credibility based on clinical articles published in peer-reviewed journals. We ensure effective patient and physician education through a focused communication program. In addition to that current operational basis, we are seeking FDA approval to enter the U.S. market with our Ablatherm-HIFU device. For more information, see "HIFU Clinical and Regulatory Status".
- Achieve Long-Term Growth by Expanding HIFU Applications Beyond Prostate Cancer. The HIFU division's long-term growth strategy is to apply our HIFU technology toward the minimally invasive treatment of other medical conditions beyond prostate cancer. We believe that HIFU could represent an alternative to surgery and radiotherapy for the treatment of many tumors without the cost, in-patient hospitalization and adverse side effects associated with those therapies. The HIFU division is working on various other applications where HIFU could provide an alternative to current invasive therapies. See "—HIFU Products." The HIFU division maintained expenses at levels similar to 2009 on research and development ("R&D") projects in 2010 to develop HIFU applications beyond prostate cancer. The division is considering sustaining R&D spending in 2011 and future years to strengthen its technological leadership in HIFU and expand its application beyond urology.

HIFU Products

Currently, the only commercial product of the company utilizing HIFU technology is the Ablatherm, an ultrasound guided HIFU device for the treatment of organ-confined prostate cancer. The Ablatherm is cleared for distribution in the European Union, South Korea, Canada, Australia, South Africa, New Zealand, the Philippines Taiwan, Mexico, Argentina, Brazil and Russia. Clinical trials are underway in the United States. The Ablatherm consists of a treatment module, a control table with a computer and a computer screen, and a diagnostic ultrasound device connected to the treatment module. After insertion of an endorectal probe, the physician visualizes the prostate and defines the area to be treated. The computer automatically calculates the optimum treatment distribution of lesions. During the treatment, the transducer automatically moves and fires at each predefined lesion until the entire area has been treated, while controlling and imaging the treatment in real time due to its integrated imaging system. Cell destruction by HIFU is accomplished by a combination of thermal and cavitation effects caused by focused application of piezoelectric-generated high-intensity ultrasound. The procedure is performed under general or spinal anesthesia.

HIFU Division Patents and Intellectual Property

As of December 31, 2010, the HIFU division's patent portfolio contained 48 patents consisting of 19 in the United States, 23 in the European Union and Japan and 6 in Israel and the rest of the world. They belong to 22 groups of patents covering key technologies related to therapeutic ultrasound principles, systems and associated software.

During 2010, one patent expired in the United States and four patents covering obsolete technologies were abandoned (one in Canada, two in Israel and one in Japan). One patent covering the Ablatherm disposable has been delivered in Japan. One patent covering a specific transducer and probe design dedicated to thyroid or others extracorporeal treatments has been approved in the United States. In accordance to our decision to extend our patent portfolio to China, a patent covering our integrated imaging technology used on the Ablatherm HIFU probe has been delivered in China. Finally a new patent covering a specific transducer design for liver cancer treatment using HIFU technology has been delivered in France.

Nine additional patents covering certain other aspects of our HIFU technology in the European Union and Japan (6), the United States (2), and the rest of the world (1) are also under review. These patents relate to new transducer design for both HIFU and high intensity collimated ultrasound ("HICU") technologies.

Our ongoing research and development objectives are to maintain our leadership position in the treatment of prostate cancer and to extend the HIFU technology to new applications and minimally invasive systems. These research projects are conducted in cooperation with the French National Institute for Health and Medical Research ("INSERM") which give rise in some cases to the filing, followed by the grant of co-owned patents. We have entered into various license agreements with INSERM whereby we commit to pay a fixed amount of royalties to INSERM based on the net revenues generated from the sales of HIFU devices using co-owned patents. Under these agreements, which last for the life of each co-owned patents we have the exclusive right to the commercial use of the co-owned patents, including the right to out-license such commercial rights.

In August 2004, we licensed our HIFU technology for the specific treatment of the "cervicofacial" lesions, including the thyroid, to Theraclion, a French company created by our former director of research and development. This license agreement allows for the payment of certain royalties calculated on the basis of Theraclion's future sales of devices. We determined that we could not invest in these specific applications at that time and this license agreement therefore allows Theraclion to pursue the development of HIFU for this application. We own no interest in Theraclion.

Although we believe that our HIFU patents are valid and should be enforceable against third parties and that our patent applications should, if successfully pursued, result in the issuance of additional enforceable patents, there can be no assurance that any or all of these patents or patent applications will provide effective protection for the HIFU division's proprietary rights in such technology. HIFU devices, as they are currently or may in the future be designed, may also be subject to claims of infringement of patents owned by third parties, which could result in an adverse effect on our ability to market HIFU systems. See Risk Factors – risks relating to Intellectual Property rights.

HIFU Division Clinical and Regulatory Status

Clinical and Regulatory Status in Europe

The HIFU division has conducted an extensive clinical trial for the Ablatherm in the European Union. This trial, the European Multicentric Study, involved a total of 652 patients suffering from localized prostate cancer and included six sites in France, Germany and The Netherlands. The primary goals of the trial were to assess the safety and effectiveness of the Ablatherm. The diagnosis of prostate cancer has two steps. The first step is the evaluation of the Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA), which although not specific to cancer tumors, measures the increase of cells' activity inside the prostate. During the second step a sextant biopsy is performed inside the prostate to reveal the presence of a

tumor. An interim analysis performed on the first 559 patients included 402 patients treated with the Ablatherm device as a first-line therapy. Of these patients, 81.4% had a normal PSA and 87.2% had negative biopsies at the last follow-up and were considered cancer free. The trials also included 157 patients who underwent an Ablatherm treatment as a salvage therapy after a previous failed therapy (hormonotherapy, radiation or prostatectomy). Of these patients, 80.7% and 67.9% had negative biopsies and normal PSA after treatment, respectively.

Based on these results, in May 1999 we obtained a CE Marking that allows us to market the Ablatherm in the European Union.

Clinical and Regulatory Status in France

In 2001, the French Urology Association (“AFU”) conducted an independent clinical trial to confirm the efficacy and safety results observed in the European Multicentric Study, and to evaluate the therapy-related costs. Patient recruitment was successfully performed at eight investigational sites. Patient enrollment was completed in an 11-month period with 117 patients included. Patient follow-up is ongoing, with intermediate assessment at one year. The two-year follow-up results were presented at the AFU congress in November 2004. Follow-up with these patients will continue to evaluate the long-term efficacy of the treatment.

In March 2004, French authorities approved a new treatment protocol concerning the treatment of patients who failed radiotherapy. We obtained CE Marking, which currently allows us to market this new Ablatherm treatment indication.

In 2005, a clinical trial was started in France to validate the efficacy and safety of Ablatherm as rescue treatment in patients after brachytherapy failure. Patients are still being enrolled.

In 2006, a clinical trial was launched to evaluate new Ablatherm treatment options allowing the treatment of larger prostates. This clinical study was completed in 2007 and a new software improving the device specifications was released at the end of 2007.

In 2007, a new clinical trial using Ablatherm-HIFU and dedicated to the treatment of patients with high risk disease who are not candidates for radical surgery because of their age and/or co-morbidities was started in France. The objective of this trial is to demonstrate the existence, in vivo, of the enhancement of HIFU treatment effect by a concomitant chemotherapy with docetaxel. This adjuvant should give a better control of the local cancer in this population of patients, and so, in term, obtain a better control of the cancer illness. Patient enrolment is still in progress.

Also in 2007, a clinical trial to evaluate the utility of contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) for the early diagnosis of local cancer recurrence after HIFU treatment was started in France. The preliminary results assessed that contrast-enhanced ultrasound is efficient in distinguishing residual viable prostate tissue from ablated tissue after HIFU prostate ablation. This study provides evidence that contrast echography can diagnose early cancer recurrences. This trial has been extended by an amendment allowing for 15 additional patients. Patient enrolment was completed in January 2011.

In 2009 a new clinical trial was started in France to validate a new strategy of minimally invasive treatment of prostatic adenocarcinomas localized in a single lobe with HIFU. This concept of partial treatment is proposed as an intermediate option between active surveillance and whole prostate treatment. Partial treatment for this trial is hemiablation of the prostate in which a single prostatic lobe is ablated using HIFU in patients with prostate cancer that has a low risk of recurrence and for which the imaging and biopsy assessments show a unilateral cancer. The goal of hemiablation is to reduce the complications associated with standard treatments, notably the risks of incontinence and impotence. Clinical trial is still underway.

Clinical and Regulatory Status in the United States

In February 2004, we entered into the Distribution Agreement with HealthTronics. The terms of the Distribution Agreement granted HealthTronics the right to pursue market approval from the FDA for the Ablatherm. Under the terms of the Distribution Agreement, HealthTronics would be granted exclusive distribution rights for the Ablatherm in the United States. In November 2006, HealthTronics informed us that they intended to discontinue Ablatherm FDA

trials. On April 3, 2007, we executed an Agreement and Release whereby HealthTronics agreed to transfer the Ablatherm FDA study to us. See Item 4, "Information on the Company – History and Development of the Company."

On June 29, 2007, the FDA officially approved the transfer of the study to EDAP Technomed Inc. and the trials resumed as per the approved protocol. In October 2007, we completed a private placement raising net proceeds of \$17.4 million allowing us to finance and conduct the U.S. trials for the expected period of time.

In March 2009, facing patient enrollment issues on the cryoablation comparative arm of the U.S. ENLIGHT study, we met with the FDA to propose alternatives to the approved protocol and its prospective comparative study.

On December 11, 2009, a Gastroenterology and Urology Devices Panel of the Medical Devices Advisory Committee (the "Panel") was convened by the FDA. The Panel clearly indicated that prospective data was recommended for endpoint evaluation of treatments for localized prostate cancer. As a result of the Panel's discussion, we met with the FDA on January 28, 2010 to further address options and alternatives to move forward with our HIFU trial in the U.S. The FDA confirmed the Panel's recommendation for a prospective study and reiterated the Panel's concerns regarding the concept of patient randomization and the follow-up period.

Given the very challenging recommendations of the FDA with regards to our prospective study and its cryoablation comparative arm, there is a risk that we may not be able to follow FDA recommendations or to conduct our trials in the timeframe we initially expected and within an acceptable budget. In this respect, following the December 11, 2009 Panel experts recommendations and our discussions with the FDA, we thoroughly evaluated all options based on inputs from our clinical and regulatory advisors. In April 2010, we decided to discontinue enrollment of patients in the HIFU comparative arm of the study and informed the FDA of such decision. We completed the treatment of 134 patients in June 2010 and entered into the required 2-years follow-up phase. Clinical outcomes from these patients combined with our strong European long-term database will form the foundation of a submission to the FDA which we expect to occur in 2012. See Item 5, "Operating and Financial Review and Prospects – Liquidity and Capital Resources" and Item 3, "Risk Factors" – "We operate in a highly regulated industry and our future success depends on government regulatory approval of our products, which we may not receive or which may be delayed for a significant period of time."

Clinical and Regulatory Status in Japan

In June 2000, the HIFU division applied for approval by the Japanese Ministry of Health for the Ablatherm to be marketed in Japan. We retrieved the application in 2005 to update it and review the process. We are still assessing the opportunity to file a new application. The process of requesting approval to market the Ablatherm in Japan might be long and may never result in the approval to market the Ablatherm in Japan. See Item 3, "Key Information—Risk Factors—Our future revenue growth and income depend, among other things, on the success of our HIFU technology."

Clinical and Regulatory Status in China

On August 2, 2010, we entered into an exclusive distribution agreement with Shaw Han Biomedical Co. Ltd to distribute Ablatherm-HIFU throughout China, once approved by Chinese authorities. This agreement involves a two-stage process: Shaw Han will first be responsible for processing the marketing clearance application with China's Food and Drug Administration for Ablatherm-HIFU, then they will lead the marketing and distribution of the device in China for four years post approval.

HIFU Clinical Data

As of December 31, 2010, our clinical Ablatherm-HIFU results has been published in 42 peer-reviewed renowned journals. The first clinical paper reporting long term results using Ablatherm-HIFU was published in November 2007. The results of the study confirm the efficacy of HIFU in patients with localized prostate cancer. In 2010, the results of a major multicentric study on 803 patients have been published showing a local control of the disease in 77.9% of the patients.

We have set up an extensive worldwide database called "@-registry." This on-line database is designed to compile treatment information and follow-up data for patients who have undergone HIFU for prostate cancer. The goal of the @-registry is to further demonstrate the safety, effectiveness and durability of Ablatherm HIFU. Information from the registry will be submitted to medical conferences for presentation and to peer-reviewed medical journals for publication.

HIFU Division Market Potential

Prostate cancer is currently the first or second most common form of cancer among men in many populations. In the United States, the American Cancer Society estimates the number of new prostate cancers diagnosed every year to be approximately 217,730, of which 70% are diagnosed with localized stage prostate cancer. Additionally, the HIFU division believes, based on figures provided by the World Health Organization, that the worldwide incidence of localized prostate cancer is approximately twice this U.S. figure. A more effective diagnostic method for prostate cancer, the PSA test, has increased public awareness of the disease in developed countries since its introduction. The PSA test measures the blood level of a protein, the PSA, which is produced only by the prostate. PSA levels jump sharply when cancer is present. Prostate cancer is an age-related disease, and its incidence in developed countries is expected to increase as the population ages.

If the efficacy of HIFU therapy is established, the HIFU division believes that its application could be expanded to other medical conditions, such as certain localized thyroid, breast, gynecological, bladder, kidney, liver, brain, pancreatic and retroperitoneal tumors. However, the expansion of the use of HIFU to other areas of treatment will require a significant investment in research and development, an investment we will undertake gradually while focusing on the acceptance of HIFU as a treatment for localized prostate cancer.

HIFU Competition

The principal current therapies for prostate cancer carry side effects that can seriously affect a patient's quality of life. One of the current therapies is radical prostatectomy (surgery), which involves the ablation of the entire prostate gland. Radical prostatectomy requires several days of hospital stay and several weeks of recovery, usually with catheterization, and may result in partial and/or total urinary incontinence. In addition, it almost invariably renders patients impotent. A new surgical technique, nerve-sparing prostatectomy, has been developed to address that problem. However, the procedure can only be applied when the tumor is not located close to the surface of the prostate and requires a very skilled surgeon. Other therapies for localized prostate cancer include brachytherapy, a therapy that involves the implantation of radioisotopes into the prostate gland, external beam radiotherapy and cryotherapy.

Our Ablatherm-HIFU device competes with all current treatments for localized tumors, which include surgery, brachytherapy, radiotherapy, cryotherapy and hormone therapy. We believe that HIFU competes against those treatments on the basis of efficacy, limited side effects and cost-effectiveness.

We also believe that Ablatherm-HIFU is well positioned to address the growing demand for a 'focal' approach of localized prostate cancer which cannot be answered by surgery or radiation therapy. 'Focal' treatment (also known as 'partial' or 'zonal' treatment, as opposed to 'radical' treatment) is providing an effective and accurate ablative treatment of localized tumors with the capacities of being flexible and repeatable, while preserving patient quality of life.

Other companies are working with HIFU for the minimally invasive treatment of tumors, including Focus Surgery, which has developed a device called the Sonablate SB500 for the treatment of localized prostate cancer. Misonix, USHIFU and International HIFU are also involved in the marketing and distribution of the Sonablate. Insightec, an Israeli company majority owned by General Electric and Elbit Medical Imaging, has developed a device using HIFU technology to treat uterine fibroids. Haifu, a Chinese company developing HIFU products is addressing various cancers. Philips Healthcare is also developing HIFU devices addressing uterine fibroids, breast tumors and drug

delivery activated by HIFU..

27

We have also entered into cooperative arrangements with other companies. On April 25, 2007, we signed an exclusive distribution agreement with Chinamed to distribute their HIFU devices in the European Union and Russia once their devices are approved for use in those jurisdictions. Prior to this agreement, Chinamed had been developing HIFU products for various types of cancer tumors, but only marketing its HIFU products in China. On September 21, 2007, we entered into a Consulting Agreement with Chinamed, now Haifuning, pursuant to which we will assist them in obtaining market approvals in Europe for their HIFU products. See Item 4, ‘‘Information on the Company—HIFU Division— HIFU Competition’’ and ‘‘Information on the Company—UDS Division.’’. See Risk Factors – risks relating competition.

Certain existing and potential competitors of our HIFU division may have substantially greater financial, research and development, sales and marketing and personnel resources than us and may have more experience in developing, manufacturing, marketing and supporting new products. We believe that an important factor in the potential future market for HIFU treatments will be the ability to make the substantial investments in research and development in advancing the technology beyond the treatment of prostate cancer. This future investment is wholly dependent on the successful acceptance of the device for the treatment of prostate cancer.

HIFU Division Sales and Distribution of Products

The HIFU division markets and sells its products through our own direct marketing and sales organization as well as through selected third-party distributors and agents in several countries. Using our direct subsidiaries or representative offices network, the HIFU division maintains direct marketing and sales forces in France, Germany, Russia and Italy, which currently represent its largest HIFU markets. Additionally, the HIFU division markets and sells its products through our distribution platform in South Korea and South East Asia.

The HIFU division’s customers are located worldwide and have historically been principally public and private hospitals and urology clinics. The HIFU division believes that as it increases its customer base it will gain further access to the urological community, which will enable it to monitor the urological market, introduce new products and conduct trials under satisfactory conditions. No single customer of the HIFU division represents a significant portion of the division’s installed base.

The HIFU division’s marketing efforts include the organization of information and training programs for urologists, mainly in key European countries where HIFU awareness is growing, comprehensive media and web programs to educate patients on the availability of HIFU technology to treat localized prostate cancer and strong participation in focused dedicated urological events. Our dedicated web site www.hifu-planet.com for patients and physicians is visited regularly.

UDS Division

The UDS division is engaged in the development, marketing, manufacturing and servicing of medical devices for the minimally invasive diagnosis or treatment of urological disorders, mainly urinary stones, and other clinical indications. The UDS division contributed €16.3 million to our consolidated net sales during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

Our UDS business is quite seasonal and generally linked to lengthy hospital decision and investment processes and their activities. Hence our quarterly revenues are often impacted and fluctuate according to these parameters, generally resulting in a higher selling activity in the last quarter of the year.

UDS Division Business Overview

The UDS division's primary business is producing and marketing devices, known as lithotripters, for the treatment of urinary tract stones by means of ESWL technology. ESWL uses extracorporeal shockwaves, which can be focused at urinary stones within the human body to fragment the stones, thereby permitting their natural elimination and preventing the need for incisions, transfusions, general anaesthesia, and the resulting complications. The UDS division currently manufactures three models of lithotripters: the Sonolith Praktis, the Sonolith i-move and the Sonolith i-sys. The newly designed ESWL device: the Sonolith i-move is to gradually replace the Sonolith Praktis if and when the Sonolith i-move is approved in the United States and Japan. The UDS division has sold 600 ESWL lithotripters worldwide to this date and actively maintained or otherwise served 440 installed lithotripters as of December 31, 2010.

In addition to its manufacturing and selling of lithotripters, the UDS division also generates revenues from the leasing of lithotripters, as well as from the sale of disposables, spare parts and maintenance services. The UDS division through our Japanese and Italian subsidiaries also derives marginal revenues from the distribution of Prostatron parts and related services under the distribution agreement entered into with Urologix in October 2000.

UDS Division Business Strategy

The UDS division's business strategy is to capitalize on its expertise in ESWL and its position in urology to achieve long-term growth as a leader in the development, production, marketing and distribution of minimally invasive medical devices for urological and other clinical indications. To achieve this strategic goal, the UDS division intends to capitalize and expand on its expertise as the manufacturer of minimally invasive devices such as its ESWL lithotripters. Until December 31, 2007, the UDS division manufactured the Ablatherm and the disposable Ablapack on behalf of the HIFU division; the HIFU division now manufactures its own products as part of EDAP TMS France. The key elements of the UDS division's strategy are:

- **Capitalize on the Current ESWL Installed Base.** The UDS division's long-term growth strategy relies on its ability to capitalize on its extensive installed base of ESWL lithotripters to recognize ongoing revenue from sales of disposables, accessories, services and replacement machines. We believe that a combination of continued investment in lowering end-user costs and offering units that are easily adaptable to various treatment environments, as well as a commitment to quality and service will allow the UDS division to achieve this goal. See “—UDS Division Products”.
- **Capitalize on an Established Distribution Platform in Urology by Expanding Distribution Possibilities.** We believe that we can achieve additional long-term growth by offering our established distribution platform in urology to other developers of medical technologies and acting as a distributor for their devices. Our distribution platform in urology consists of a series of well-established subsidiaries in Europe and Asia as well as a network of third-party distributors worldwide.
- **Provide Manufacturing Solutions to Other Developers of Medical Technologies.** Building upon its established position in the high-tech medical devices market, we believe that the UDS division can become a provider of manufacturing alternatives to other developers of medical technologies that do not have or do not wish to invest in their own manufacturing facilities. We believe that our FDA-inspected, ISO 9001 (V:2008) certified and ISO 13485 (V:2003) certified facilities allow to offer manufacturing services to a wide range of potential medical equipment developers.

UDS Division Products

The UDS division offers the Sonolith i-move and Praktis to small and mid-size hospitals, while the Sonolith i-sys is offered to large hospitals that can afford a fully dedicated and integrated lithotripter. The UDS division also sells disposable parts for lithotripters, including the piezo-electric elements of the LT02 (although the manufacturing of new machines was discontinued in 2002) and the electrodes of the Sonolith line, which need to be replaced approximately every ten treatments, respectively. These parts incorporate key proprietary technologies, and the UDS division has retained sole marketing rights for these parts.

Product	Procedure	Development Stage	Clinical and Regulatory Status
Sonolith	Electroconductive	Commercial	Approved for distribution:
Praktis	treatment of	Production	European Union

compact lithotripter	urinary stones	Japan
		United States
		Canada
		Russia
		South Korea
		Australia
		New Zealand
		Taiwan

Product	Procedure	Development Stage	Clinical and Regulatory Status
Sonolith i-move	Electroconductive treatment of urinary stones	Commercial Production	Approved for distribution:
			European Union
			South Korea
			South-East Asia
			Peru
			Colombia
			Venezuela
Sonolith i-sys Praktis compact lithotripter	Electroconductive treatment of urinary stones	Commercial Production	Approved for distribution:
			European Union
			South Korea
			Canada
			United States
			Japan
			Australia
			Colombia
			Peru

The Sonolith Praktis, the Sonolith i-move and the Sonolith i-sys rely on an electroconductive technology for shockwave generation. The electroconductive technology, which is derived from the electrohydraulic technology on which the first ESWL lithotripters were based, permits improved focusing of the shockwave, reduces the variability in the shockwave pressure and allows a better transfer of energy to the calculus. These features result in a faster, more effective treatment as compared to electrohydraulic lithotripters.

The UDS division's ESWL customers are located worldwide and have historically been principally large hospitals, urology clinics and research institutions. To increase its penetration of the market segment of smaller hospitals and outpatient clinics, the UDS division developed the Sonolith Praktis, an electroconductive lithotripter designed for smaller clinics which is more compact than the Sonolith i-sys, which is more fully dedicated and integrated electroconductive lithotripters for larger hospitals and can be used as a urological workstation to perform endourological procedures. The Sonolith i-move, launched in 2010 to gradually replace the Sonolith Praktis in the clinics and small hospitals segment, brings a novel approach to the market by offering a wide range of configurations to suit various budgets and various local market needs. The Sonolith i-move has also been very successful during the first few months of its commercial launch thanks to its innovative Visio-Track ultrasound stone localization: a unique 3D virtual system that uses Infrared stereovision technology to guide the treatment robotically.

UDS Division Patents and Intellectual Property

As of December 31, 2010, the UDS division's patent portfolio contained nine patents consisting of two in the United States, four in the European Union and Japan and three in Israel and the rest of the world. They belong to four groups of patents covering key technologies relating to ESWL systems and associated software capabilities.

During 2010, one patent covering the real time ultrasound imaging technology on our i-sys device has been granted in France.

Five additional patents, one in the United States, three the European Union and one in Japan are also in the examination process. These patents concern the i-sys lithotripter technology and our newly introduced i-move device.

The UDS division's patents cover both piezoelectricity and electroconductivity technologies associated to ESWL treatment head, electrodes and localization systems. The UDS division's ongoing research and development objectives in ESWL are to further increase the clinical efficacy, the cost-effectiveness and the ease of use of its products to make them accessible to wider patient and user populations.

As with the development of our HIFU technology, we cooperate with INSERM to develop our ESWL technology. This cooperation gave rise to co-owned patents in some cases. We have entered into various license agreements with INSERM whereby we commit to pay a fixed amount of royalties to INSERM based on the net revenues generated from the sales of ESWL devices using co-owned patents. Under these agreements, which last for the life of each co-owned patents we have the exclusive right to the commercial use of the co-owned patents, including the right to out-license such commercial rights.

UDS Division Regulatory Status

The Sonolith Praktis is available for commercial distribution in the United States, Canada, the European Union, Russia, South Korea, Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and Japan. The Sonolith i-move is available for commercial distribution in the European Union, South Korea, South-East Asia, Peru, Venezuela and Colombia. The Sonolith i-sys is available in the European Union, South Korea, Canada, United States, Peru, Colombia, Japan and Australia. The UDS division continues to provide disposables, replacement parts and services for the current installed base of LT02 machines, even though we discontinued the manufacture of these machines.

UDS Division Market Potential

We estimate that roughly 2% to 3% of the world population suffers from kidney or ureteric stones during their lifetime and that urinary calculi are responsible for 10% of urological hospital admissions worldwide. Although urinary calculi may be eliminated naturally by the body, natural elimination is frequently accompanied by considerable pain and very often by serious complications, such as obstruction and infection of the urinary tract.

Since its introduction in clinical practice nearly 30 years ago, ESWL has become the standard treatment for urinary calculi. ESWL consists of fragmenting calculi within the body using extracorporeal shockwaves without any surgery. We believe that the market for lithotripters includes both buyers looking for a sophisticated, higher-priced machine (generally hospitals and larger urology clinics) and buyers looking for simpler and less expensive machines (typically smaller clinics). We also believe that after a period of fast growth in the mid-1980s and early 1990s, the market for lithotripters is now mature and has become primarily a replacement and service and maintenance market in most of the world. Several geographical opportunities remain in under-equipped countries or in some countries where the national health system strategy is being reviewed for hospitals and clinics equipment.

We believe that companies with a large installed base of ESWL lithotripters will be most successful in the replacement market. Consequently, we intend to capitalize on our share of the installed base of ESWL lithotripters to gain a significant position in the replacement market for those machines. We expect the ESWL business to continue to contribute, at historically consistent levels, to the UDS division's financial results despite the mature nature of the market, due to revenues from maintenance contracts and demand for replacement machines. See Item 5, "Operating and Financial Review and Prospects".

UDS Division Competition

The ESWL market is characterized by severe price competition among manufacturers, with the result that, in recent years, the average unit price of ESWL lithotripters has declined. The UDS division expects this trend to continue. See Item 5, "Operating and Financial Review and Prospects." The UDS division's major competitors in developed countries are Siemens, Storz and Dornier.

UDS Division Sales and Distribution of Products

The UDS division markets, sells and services its products through our direct sales and service platform in France, Italy, Germany, United States, Japan, South Korea and Malaysia and markets its products through agents and third-party distributors in several other countries. See Item 4, "Information on the Company—History and Development of the Company."

The UDS division's customers are located worldwide and have historically been mainly public and private hospitals and urology clinics. We believe that the division's customer base provides it with excellent access to the urological community and enables it to introduce new products and conduct trials under satisfactory conditions.

No single customer of the UDS division represents a significant portion of the division's installed base. The UDS division's marketing efforts include the organization of training programs for urologists worldwide.

UDS Division Services and Distribution

The UDS division is also pursuing various distribution options that use its strong network of worldwide subsidiaries and agents. The UDS division distributes products on behalf of Andromeda in Japan and Lumenis in France. We believe that the UDS division can successfully market its worldwide distribution platform to a wide range of medical equipment development companies, thus allowing for quick, easy and economically sound entry for these companies into markets covering most of the world.

Manufacturing

Our current operations consist of manufacturing medical products in our facility that is FDA-approved and certified under international standards ISO 9001 and ISO 13485. We believe that this facility can extend its outsourced services to provide device and disposable development and manufacturing services to a wide range of medical equipment development companies. Up until December 31, 2007, the HIFU division subcontracted the manufacturing of its HIFU devices and accessories, including disposables. Each division now manufactures its own products through EDAP TMS France.

We manufacture the critical components for our devices and accessories (unless a subcontractor can manufacture the component more cost-effectively), perform final assembly and quality control processes and maintain our own set of production standards. We purchase the majority of the raw materials used in our products from a number of suppliers, but for several components of our products, rely on a single source. Furthermore, we conduct regular quality audits of suppliers' manufacturing facilities. Our principal suppliers are located in France, Germany, Denmark, Korea and the United States. Management believes that the relationships with our suppliers are good.

Quality and Design Control

The manufacturing operations of EDAP TMS France must comply with the GMP regulations enacted by the FDA, which establish requirements for assuring quality by controlling components, processes and document traceability and retention, among other things. EDAP TMS France's facilities are also subject to scheduled inspections by the FDA. EDAP TMS France has obtained the ISO 9001 (V:2008) and ISO 13485 (V:2003) certifications, which indicate compliance by EDAP TMS France's manufacturing facilities with international standards for quality assurance, design and manufacturing process control. EDAP TMS France also complies with the applicable requirements that will allow it to affix the CE Marking to certain of its products. Our manufacturing site also complies with Taiwanese, Japanese and Canadian regulations, as well as with the U.S. Quality System Regulation. See “—Government Regulation—Healthcare Regulation in the United States” and “—Government Regulation—Healthcare Regulation in the European Union.”

Property and Equipment

We have one principal facility, which is located in Vaulx-en-Velin, on the outskirts of Lyon, France. The premises comprise 3,740 square meters and are leased to us under a renewable nine-year commercial lease agreement. We believe the terms of the lease reflect commercial practice and market rates. The manufacturing facility, and principal offices, which we utilize to manufacture and/or assemble all of our products, have ISO 9001 and ISO 13485 certifications. We are not aware of any environmental issues that could affect utilization of the facility.

In addition, we lease office and/or warehouse facilities in Kuala Lumpur (Malaysia), Rome (Italy), Flensburg (Germany), Atlanta (U.S.A), Moscow (Russia), Seoul (South Korea), Fukuoka, Osaka, Sapporo and Tokyo (Japan).

Organizational Structure

The following table sets forth the fully consolidated subsidiaries of the Company as of the date of this annual report:

Name of the Company	Jurisdiction of Establishment	Percentage Owned(1)
EDAP TMS France SAS	France	100%
EDAP Technomed Inc.	United States	100%
EDAP Technomed Co. Ltd	Japan	100%
EDAP Technomed Sdn Bhd	Malaysia	100%
EDAP Technomed Srl	Italy	100%
EDAP TMS GmbH	Germany	100%

(1) Percentage of equity capital owned by EDAP TMS S.A. directly or indirectly through subsidiaries.

Government Regulation

Government regulation in our major markets, in particular the United States, the European Union and Japan, is a significant factor in the development and marketing of our products and in our ongoing research and development activities. See Risk Factors – risks related to Government regulations.

Regulation in the United States

We and our products are regulated in the United States by the FDA under a number of statutes including the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (“FDC Act”). Pursuant to the FDC Act, the FDA regulates the preclinical and clinical testing, manufacturing, labeling, distribution, sale, marketing, advertising and promotion of medical devices in the United States. Medical devices are classified in the United States into one of three classes - Class I, II or III - on the basis of the controls reasonably necessary to ensure their safety and effectiveness. Class I devices are those whose safety and effectiveness can be ensured through general controls, such as establishment and registration, medical device listing, FDA-mandated good manufacturing practices (GMP), labeling, and pre-market notification (known as “510(k)”). Most Class I devices are exempt from premarket notification and/or GMP regulations. Class II devices are those whose safety and effectiveness can reasonably be ensured through the use of general controls and “special controls,” such as special labeling requirements, mandatory performance standards, and post-market surveillance. FDA may require the submission of clinical data as part of pre-market notifications for Class II devices. Class III devices are those that must receive pre-market approval (“PMA”) by the FDA to ensure their safety and effectiveness. Before a new Class III device may be introduced on the market, the manufacturer generally must obtain FDA approval of a PMA. The PMA process is expensive and often lengthy, typically requiring several years, and may never result in approval. The manufacturer or the distributor of the device must obtain an Investigational Device Exemption (“IDE”) from the FDA before commencing human clinical trials in the United States in support of the PMA. The lithotripsy range of products has been reclassified by the FDA as a Class II device. However, the Ablatherm device, a Class III device, has not yet been approved by FDA; it is currently under IDE Study G050103.

The regulatory pathway for placement in the U.S. market may include the pre-market notification or PMA routes. Regardless of the regulatory route to market, FDA has mandated the submission of clinical evidence of safety and effectiveness.

Advertising and promotional activities in the United States are subject to regulation by the FDA and, in certain instances, by the U.S. Federal Trade Commission. The FDC Act also regulates our quality control and manufacturing procedures by requiring us to demonstrate and maintain compliance with current GMP regulations. Our manufacturing facilities are in compliance with GMP regulations. No major deficiencies have been observed during inspections carried out by FDA auditors (or its representative, the GMED, in France) in the past few years.

Regulation in the European Union

In the European Union, we have received the ISO 9001 (V:2008) and ISO 13485 (V:2003) certifications, showing that we comply with standards for quality assurance and manufacturing and design process control. In the European Union, our products are also subject to legislation implementing the European Union Council Directive 93/42/EEC concerning medical devices (the “Medical Device Directive”). The Medical Device Directive provides that medical devices that meet certain safety standards must bear a certification of conformity, the European Community approval “CE Marking.” Except in limited circumstances, member states of the European Union may not prohibit or restrict the sale, free movement or use for its intended purpose of a medical device bearing the CE Marking. Medical devices marketed throughout the European Union must comply with the requirement of the Medical Device Directive to bear a CE Marking (subject to certain exceptions). All of our products bear the CE Marking.

Pursuant to the Medical Device Directive, medical devices are classified into four classes, Class I, Class IIa, Class IIb and Class III, on the basis of their invasiveness and the duration of their use. The classification serves as a basis for determining the conformity assessment procedures that apply to medical devices to be eligible to receive a CE Marking. The conformity assessment procedures for Class I devices can be carried out, as a general rule, under the sole responsibility of the manufacturer, while for devices of other classes, the involvement of an authorized supervisory body is required. The extent of the involvement of such body in the development and manufacturing of a device varies according to the class under which it falls, with Class III devices being subject to the greatest degree of supervision. All of the devices currently marketed by us are Class IIb devices.

Regulation in Japan

The import and sales of medical devices in Japan is regulated by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (“MHLW”) under the license “Marketing Authorization Holder”. Our Japanese subsidiary has obtained a general license as well as specific approvals to import our products that have been approved in Japan. The MHLW also administers various national health insurance programs to which each Japanese citizen is required to subscribe. These programs cover, among other things, the cost of medical devices used in operations. The MHLW establishes a price list of reimbursable prices applicable to certain medical devices under the national health insurance programs and until a new device is included in this list its costs are not covered by the programs. The LT02, the Sonolith Praktis, the Sonolith Vision, the Sonolith i-sys and the Prostatron are all included on the MHLW’s list for reimbursement.

Item 4A. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

The following discussion of our results of operations and liquidity and capital resources for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 is based on, and should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in Item 18 of this annual report. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and refer to the new topic-based FASB Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”).

The following discussion contains certain forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those contained in such forward-looking statements. See “Cautionary Statement on Forward-Looking Information” at the beginning of this annual report.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon the consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, accounts receivable, bad debts, inventories, warranty obligations, litigation and deferred tax assets. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates and assumptions form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements are made in connection with the following critical accounting policies.

Revenue Recognition

Sales of goods:

For medical device sales with no significant remaining vendor obligation, payments contingent upon customer financing, acceptance criteria that can be subjectively interpreted by the customer, or tied to the use of the device, revenue is recognized when evidence of an arrangement exists, title to the device passes (depending on terms, either upon shipment or delivery), and the customer has the intent and ability to pay in accordance with contract payment terms that are fixed or determinable. For sales in which payment is contingent upon customer financing, acceptance criteria can be subjectively interpreted by the customer, or payment depends on use of the device, revenue is recognized when the contingency is resolved. We provide training and usually provide a one-year warranty upon installation. We accrue the estimated training and warranty costs at the time of sale. Revenues related to disposables are recognized when goods are delivered.

Sales of RPP Treatments and leases:

Revenues related to the sale of Ablatherm treatments invoiced on a RPP basis are recognized when the treatment procedure has been completed. If a contract of RPP includes a minimum number of treatments, the revenue is recognized on a linear basis over the contract period. For treatments in excess of minimum levels, the revenue is recognized when the treatment procedure has been completed. Revenues from devices leased to customers under operating leases are recognized on a straight-line basis.

Sales of spare parts and services:

Revenues related to spare parts are recognized when goods are delivered. Maintenance contracts rarely exceed one year and are recognized on a linear basis. Billings or cash receipts in advance of services due under maintenance contracts are recorded as deferred revenue.

Leases and Sales and leaseback Transactions

In accordance with ASC 840 - Leases, we classify all leases at the inception date as either a capital lease or an operating lease. A lease is a capital lease if it meets any one of the following criteria; otherwise, it is an operating lease:

- Ownership is transferred to the lessee by the end of the lease term;
- The lease contains a bargain purchase option;
- The lease term is at least 75% of the property's estimated remaining economic life;
- The present value of the minimum lease payments at the beginning of the lease term is 90% or more of the fair value of the leased property to the lessor at the inception date.

We enter into sale and leaseback transactions from time to time. In accordance with ASC 840 - Leases, any profit or loss on the sale is deferred and amortized prospectively over the term of the lease, in proportion to the leased asset if a capital lease, or in proportion to the related gross rental charged to expense over the lease term, if an operating lease.

Convertible Debentures

On October 29, 2007, the Company raised \$20 million in non-secured, Convertible Debentures with detachable warrants. At the inception date, the Company elected to measure the instrument and its embedded derivatives in their entirety at fair value, with changes in fair value reported in the income statement under financial income, in accordance with ASC 815. Thus, the Convertible Debentures together with their embedded derivatives are recorded as a liability, with subsequent changes in fair value recorded in financial income and expenses. The Company used a Black & Scholes valuation model to measure the fair value of the Warrants as defined below and a binomial valuation model with a Company specific credit spread to measure the fair value of the Convertible Debentures. See Item 3, “Key Information—Risk Factors—Changes in the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and warrants issued in the October 2007 private placement of each balance sheet date could have a significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations”, Notes 14 and 20 to the consolidated financial statements for a detailed description of the fair value calculations and see Note 1-21 to our consolidated financial statements.

Warrants

As part of the October 2007 \$20 million issuance of the Convertible Debentures, we issued warrants to both the investors in the Convertible Debentures and to the bank that assisted us as placement agent (the "Placement Agent"). Warrants granted to the Placement Agent (the "Placement Agent Warrants") were cancelled last December 2010 when Placement Agent signed an Exchange Agreement with us to exchange its warrants against a certain number of ADRs. In accordance with ASC 815, the warrants issued to the investors in the Convertible Debentures (the "Investor Warrants" and together with the Placement Agent Warrants, the "Warrants") are classified as a liability because the Company may be required to settle them on a net cash basis upon the occurrence of certain events outside the control of the Company. We accounted for the Warrants based on their fair value at inception date, with subsequent changes in fair value recorded as financial earnings (or loss) at each balance sheet date. We use a Black & Scholes pricing model to determine the fair value of the Warrants. The application of the model to the Warrants requires the use of subjective assumptions, including historical share price volatility, the expected life of the Warrants, our risk-free interest rate, and the liquidity discount factor. A change in one or more of these assumptions could result in a material change to the estimated fair value of the Investor Warrants. See Item 3, "Key Information—Risk Factors—Changes in the fair value of the Convertible Debentures and warrants issued in the October 2007 private placement at each balance sheet date could have a significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations", "— Convertible Debentures" above and Notes 14 and 20 to our consolidated financial statements.

Accounts Receivable

We generate most of our revenues and corresponding accounts receivable from sales of medical equipment, spare parts, maintenance and service to public and private hospitals and physicians worldwide. We perform initial credit evaluations of our customers and adjust credit terms based upon customers' creditworthiness as determined by such things as their payment history, credit ratings and our historical experiences.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We evaluate the collectibility of our accounts receivable based on the individual circumstances of each customer on a quarterly basis. In circumstances where we are aware of a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligations to us (e.g., bankruptcy filings, substantial downgrading of credit scores), we record a specific reserve for bad debts against amounts due to reduce the net recognized receivable to the amount we reasonably believe we will collect. If circumstances change (i.e. higher than expected defaults or an unexpected material adverse change in a major customer's ability to meet its financial obligations to us), our estimates of the recoverability of amounts due to us could be reduced by a material amount.

Operating Results

Overview

Total revenues include sales of our medical devices and sales of disposables ("sales of goods"), sales of RPPs and leases, and sales of spare parts and services, all net of commissions, as well as other revenues.

Sales of goods have historically been comprised of net sales of medical devices (Prostatrons, ESWL lithotripters and Ablatherms) and net sales of disposables (mostly Ablapaks in the HIFU division and electrodes in the UDS division). The sale price of our medical devices is subject to variation based on a number of factors, including market competitive environment, warranties and payment terms. Consequently, a particular sale of a medical device may, depending on its terms, result in significant fluctuations in the average unit sale price of the product for a given period, which may not be indicative of a market trend.

Sales of RPP and leases include the revenues from the sale of Ablatherm treatment procedures and from the leasing of Ablatherm machines. We provide Ablatherms to clinics and hospitals for free for a limited period, rather than selling the devices. These hospitals and clinics perform treatments using the devices and pay us on the basis of the number of individual treatments provided. With this business model, the hospital or clinic does not make an initial investment until the increase in patient demand justifies the purchase of an Ablatherm. As a consequence, we are able to make Ablatherm treatments available to a larger number of hospitals and clinics, which we believe should serve to create more long-term interest in the product. Compared to the sale of devices, this business model initially generates a smaller, although more predictable stream of revenue and, if successful, should lead to more purchases of Ablatherms by hospitals and clinics in the long term. This activity has already increased significantly in the past years and now accounts for approximately half of the net sales of the HIFU division.

Sales of spare parts and services include revenues arising from maintenance services furnished by us for the installed base of Prostatrons, ESWL lithotripters and Ablatherms.

We derive a significant portion of both net sales of medical devices and consumables and net sales of spare parts and services from our operations in Asia, through our wholly owned subsidiaries or representative offices in Japan (Edap Technomed Co. Ltd), Malaysia (Edap Technomed Sdh Bhd) and Korea (Edap Technomed Korea). Revenue derived from our operations in Asia represented approximately 36% of our total consolidated revenue in 2010. Net sales of goods in Asia represented approximately 45% of such sales in 2010 and consisted primarily of sales of ESWL lithotripters and consumables. Net sales of spare parts, supplies and services in Asia represented approximately 23% of such sales in 2010 and related primarily to ESWL lithotripters, reflecting the fact that approximately 51% of the installed base of our ESWL lithotripters that we actively maintain or otherwise serve are located in Asia (see Item 8 – “significant changes as of March 31, 2011 with respect to recent events in Japan”).

We sell our products in many parts of the world and, as a result, our business is affected by fluctuations in currency exchange rates. We are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk because the mix of currencies in which our costs are denominated is different from the mix of currencies in which we earn revenues. In 2010, approximately 66% of our research and development, selling, marketing and general and administrative expenses were denominated in euro, while approximately 41% of our sales were denominated in currencies other than euro (primarily the U.S. Dollar and Japanese yen). Our operating profitability could be materially affected by large fluctuations in the rate of exchange between the euro and such other currencies. To minimize our exposure to exchange rate risks, we sometimes use certain financial instruments for hedging purposes. See Item 3, “Key Information—Risk Factors—We sell our products in many parts of the world and, as a result, our business is affected by fluctuations in currency exchange rates” and Item 11, “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk” for a description of the impact of foreign currency fluctuations on our business and results of operations.

Reserves for slow-moving and obsolete inventory are determined based upon quarterly reviews of all inventory items. Items which are not expected to be sold or used in production, based on management’s analysis, are written down to their net realizable value, which is their fair market value or zero in the case of spare parts or disposable parts for devices that are no longer in commercial production.

Consolidated research and development expenses include all costs related to the development of new technologies and products and the enhancement of existing products, including the costs of organizing clinical trials and of obtaining patents and regulatory approvals. We do not capitalize any of our research and development expenses, except for the expenses relating to the production of machines to be used in clinical trials and that have alternative future uses as equipment or components for future research projects.

Consolidated research and development expenses, as described above amounted to €3.3 million, €3.7 million and €3.7 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, representing approximately 14.1%, 14.7% and 16.1% of total revenues in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Consolidated research and development expenses included R&D government

grants and tax credits of €0.5 million, €0.5 million and €0.5 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively – See Note 1-15 of the consolidated financial statements – Research and development costs. Excluding R&D government grants and tax credits, consolidated research and development expenses amounted to €3.8 million, €4.1 million and €4.3 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, representing approximately 16.0%, 16.5% and 18.5% of total revenues in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Research and development costs in 2010 and 2009 were primarily related to clinical expenses for the Phase II/III PMA trials in the United States to expand our leadership in HIFU for prostate cancer (the cost of which represented 7% of total revenues in 2010). Beginning in 2011, management expects the budget for research and development expenses in Europe (excluding the conduct of FDA clinical trials in the United States) to level off at approximately 10% of total revenues, which we expect will allow us to maintain our strategy to launch new clinical studies (thus strengthening our clinical credibility), to continue to focus our efforts on getting regulatory approvals and reimbursement in key countries, to continue to develop our HIFU and ESWL product range and to fund projects to expand the use of HIFU beyond the treatment of prostate cancer.

Consolidated selling and marketing expenses amounted to €6.5 million in 2010, €6.1 million in 2009 and €5.7 million in 2008. Selling and marketing expenses included allowances for doubtful accounts of €0.2 million in 2010, €0.3 million in 2009, and included no allowance for doubtful accounts in 2008. The €0.4 million or 6.9% increase in selling and marketing expenses from 2009 to 2010 was primarily due to the €0.3 million increase in expenses to support sales efforts in the United States for the Lithotripsy division. Management expects marketing and sales efforts to stay at significant levels in the future to consolidate the Ablatherm-HIFU technology's status as a standard of care for prostate cancer in Europe, and to sustain its worldwide market position in Lithotripsy.

In 2010, 2009 and 2008, we did not record any non-recurring operating expense.

Over the past several years, we have experienced declining sale prices in the market for ESWL lithotripters. In 2010, 2009 and 2008, however, our ESWL sales had a significant increase as a result of the success of our Sonolith Isys device launched in 2007 and our Sonolith i-move device launched in 2010, and a sustained commercial effort which allowed us to capture market share in both the European and Asian markets. We believe that the market for ESWL lithotripters is now mature and has become primarily a replacement and maintenance market, with intense competition. As a result, we expect unit sale prices for ESWL lithotripters worldwide to continue to decline and total market volumes to remain stable at current levels in the foreseeable future.

We believe that our results of operations in the near future will be affected by our ability to control expenses in connection with the development, marketing and commercial launch of HIFU applications, including the Ablatherm, and the funding of Ablatherm trials in the United States in order to continue the FDA process. See “—Liquidity and Capital Resources.” Increases, if any, in expenses may only be offset partially in the near future by revenues arising from sale of HIFU devices and treatments.

Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2009

We report our segment information on a “net contribution” basis, so that each segment's results comprise the elimination of our intra-group revenues and expenses and thus reflect the true contribution to consolidated results of the segment. See Note 27 to our consolidated financial statements.

(in millions of euros)	2010	2009
Total revenues	23.7	24.9
Total net sales	23.2	24.8
Of which HIFU	6.9	9.6
Of which UDS	16.3	15.2
Total cost of sales	(14.3)	(14.2)
Gross profit	9.5	10.7
Gross profit as a percentage of total net sales	40.8 %	43.0 %
Operating expenses before FDA PMA	(11.3)	(11.7)
FDA PMA expenses	(1.9)	(2.2)
Total operating expenses	(13.3)	(13.9)
Operating loss before FDA PMA	(1.9)	(1.0)
Loss from operations	(3.8)	(3.2)
Net income (loss)	(12.7)	(7.8)

Total revenues

Our total revenues decreased 4.7% from €24.9 million in 2009 to €23.7 million in 2010, principally due to decreased HIFU sales and the increase in lithotripsy equipment sales.

HIFU division. The HIFU division's total revenues decreased 28.4% to €6.9 million in 2010 as compared to €9.6 million in 2009.

The HIFU division's net sales of medical devices decreased 54.4% to €1.2 million in 2010, with four Ablatherm units sold, as compared €2.7 million and seven Ablatherm units sold in 2009.

Net sales of RPP treatments decreased 16.5% to €3.5 million in 2010, in the context of a depressed economic environment.

Net sales of consumables decreased 27.5% to €0.8 million in 2010, and net sales of HIFU-related spare parts, supplies, leasing and services decreased 18.1% from €1.8 million in 2009 to €1.5 million in 2010.

Other HIFU-related revenues were stable at €6 thousand in 2010 from €7 thousand in 2009.

UDS division. The UDS division's total revenues increased 10.2% from €15.3 million in 2009 to €16.8 million in 2010, mostly due to increased sales volumes in medical devices.

The UDS division's net sales of medical devices increased 11.1% from €8.6 million in 2009 to €9.6 million in 2010 with 45 devices sold in 2010 compared to 39 units sold in 2009. Equipement sales in 2010 benefited from a €0.9 million favorable currency exchange rate impact, mostly on the Japanese Yen, while the average sales price decreased as a result of the product mix with a greater number of smaller, modular machines.

Net sales of UDS-related spare parts, supplies, leasing and services increased 2.0% from €6.6 million in 2009 to €6.7 million in 2010, primarily related to the success of the RPP-type sales of lithotripsy treatments, mainly in France.

Other UDS-related revenue increased from €39 thousand in 2009 to €500 thousand in 2010, with a €0.5 million Government grant as part of a small businesses aid program.

Cost of sales.

Cost of sales was stable from €14.2 million in 2009 to €14.3 million in 2010, and represented 61.4% as a percentage of net sales in 2010, up from 57.2% as a percentage of net sales in 2009.

Operating expenses.

Operating expenses decreased 4.3%, or €0.6 million, from €13.9 million in 2009 to €13.3 million in 2010. Operating expenses included R&D grants and tax credits of €690 thousand and €452 thousand in 2010 and 2009, respectively. Without the effect of the FDA PMA trials, operating expenses decreased €0.3 million or 2.7%, mainly due to the €0.8 million decrease in R&D, marketing, G&A and depreciation expenses and despite the €0.5 million increase in selling expenses, mostly related to the inception of our commercial organization in the United States.

The costs associated with the FDA PMA trial decreased 12.8% at €1.9 million in 2010 compared to €2.2 million in 2009, as a result of the completion in June of the most active recruitment and treatment phase of the trial, and the entering in the second half of the year in the less costly patient follow-up phase.

Excluding the FDA PMA trials, marketing and sales expenses increased €0.4 million, or 6.9%, mostly due to the €88 thousand decrease in expenses related to congresses and exhibitions, and the €0.5 million increase in selling expenses, mostly related to the inception of our commercial organization in the United States.

Excluding the FDA PMA trials, research and development expenses decreased 16.7% at €1.5 million in 2010 from €1.8 million in 2009, and comprised R&D grants and tax credits of €690 thousand and €452 thousand in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Excluding the FDA PMA trials, general and administrative expenses and depreciation decreased 11.4% from €3.8 million in 2009 to €3.3 million in 2010.

Operating loss.

As a result of the factors discussed above, we recorded a consolidated operating loss of €3.8 million in 2010, as compared to a consolidated operating loss of €3.2 million in 2009.

Excluding the expenses of the FDA PMA trials, the consolidated operating loss in 2010 was €1.9 million, an increase of €0.9 million when compared to the previous year.

We realized an operating loss in the HIFU division of €0.6 million in 2010, compared to an operating profit of €0.2 million in 2009 and an operating profit in the UDS division of €0.1 million in 2010, as compared to €0.2 million in 2009.

Financial (expense) income, net. Financial (expense) income net was a loss of €8.8 million in 2010, including a €6.0 million expense due to the adjustment of the convertible debt to fair value, compared with a loss of €4.4 million in 2009, including a €2.7 million income due to the adjustment of the convertible debt to fair value.

Foreign currency exchange gains (loss), net. In 2010, we recorded a net foreign currency exchange gain of €0.9 million, mainly due to the variation of the euro against the U.S. dollar and the Japanese yen, compared to a loss of €0.1 million in 2009.

Other income (expense), net. No other income or expense in 2010 and 2009.

Income taxes. Income tax was an expense of €0.9 million in 2010, compared to an expense of €72 thousand in 2009. Income tax expense in 2010 comprised the reimbursement of a €0.8 million state aid received by the EDAP-TMS France in 1994. See Note 21-5 of the consolidated financial statements.

Net income / (loss)

We realized a consolidated net loss of €12.7 million in 2010 compared with a consolidated net loss of €7.8 million in 2009. The €5.0 million variation in net loss was primarily due to the €9.4 million negative impact from the variation in the convertible debt fair value.

Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2008

We report our segment information on a “net contribution” basis, so that each segment’s results comprise the elimination of our intra-group revenues and expenses and thus reflect the true contribution to consolidated results of the segment. See Note 27 to our consolidated financial statements.

(in millions of euros)	2009(1)	2008(1)
Total revenues	24.9	23.1
Total net sales	24.8	22.9
Of which HIFU	9.6	9.1
Of which UDS	15.2	13.8
Total cost of sales	(14.2)	(14.0)
Gross profit	10.7	9.1
Gross profit as a percentage of total net sales	43.0 %	39.8 %
	(11.7)	(11.1)

Operating expenses before FDA PMA		
FDA PMA expenses	(2.2)	(2.2)
Total operating expenses	(13.9)	(13.3)
Operating loss before FDA PMA	(1.0)	(2.0)
Loss from operations	(3.2)	(4.2)
Net income (loss)	(7.8)	1.6

(1) Certain prior years amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation (see Note 1-15 "Research and development costs" to our consolidated financial statements).

Total revenues

Our total revenues increased 7.9% from €23.1 million in 2008 to €24.9 million in 2009, principally due to increased HIFU-RPP sales and the increase in lithotripsy equipment sales.

HIFU division. The HIFU division's total revenues increased 4.7% at €9.6 million in 2009 as compared to €9.2 million in 2008. Sales of medical devices were stable, while sales of RPP treatments experienced solid growth.

The HIFU division's net sales of medical devices remained stable at €2.7 million in 2009, with seven Ablatherm units sold, as compared €2.7 million in 2008. In 2008, we also sold seven Ablatherm units. The average unit sales price also remained stable at €380 thousand in 2009 (down 1.1% from €384 thousand in 2008).

Net sales of RPP treatments increased 17.9% to €4.2 million in 2009, driven by the 24% growth in the number of treatments performed both on a mobile and fixed RPP basis.

Net sales of consumables increased 3.5% at €1.0 million in 2009, and net sales of HIFU-related spare parts, supplies, leasing and services decreased 4.2% from €1.9 million in 2008 to €1.8 million in 2009.

Other HIFU-related revenue decreased from €138 thousand in 2008 to €7 thousand in 2009 and reflected the decrease in HIFU related consulting services. See Note 17 of the consolidated financial statements.

UDS division. The UDS division's total revenues increased 10.1% from €13.9 million in 2008 to €15.3 million in 2009, mostly due to increased sales volumes in medical devices.

The UDS division's net sales of medical devices increased 14.8% from €7.5 million in 2008 to €8.6 million in 2009 with 39 devices sold in 2009 compared to 49 units sold in 2008. Equipment sales in 2009 benefited from a favorable change in product mix, as a greater proportion of high-end Sonolith Isys machines were sold, thus driving the 45.0% increase in average sales price in 2009.

Net sales of UDS-related spare parts, supplies, leasing and services increased 5.0% from €6.3 million in 2008 to €6.6 million in 2009, primarily related to the success of the RPP-type sales of lithotripsy treatments, mainly in France.

Other UDS-related revenue decreased from €59 thousand in 2008 to €39 thousand in 2009.

Cost of sales.

Cost of sales increased 1.9% from €14.0 million in 2008 to €14.2 million in 2009, and represented 57.2% as a percentage of net sales in 2009, down from 61.1% as a percentage of net sales in 2008.

Operating expenses.

Operating expenses increased 4.6%, or €0.6 million, from €13.3 million in 2008 to €13.9 million in 2009. Operating expenses included R&D tax credits of €452 thousand and €544 thousand in 2009 and 2008, respectively, that were reclassified from income tax to pre-tax income see Note 1-14 of the consolidated financial statements - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies. Without the effect of the FDA PMA trials, operating expenses increased €0.6 million or 5.1%, mainly due to the €0.7 million increase in selling expenses.

The costs associated with the FDA PMA trial were stable at €2.2 million in 2009 compared to €2.2 million in 2008, and comprised mostly clinical and administrative expenses due to the ongoing PMA trials in the United States.

Excluding the FDA PMA trials, marketing and sales expenses increased €0.4 million, or 6.8%, mostly due to the strengthening of sales teams in Europe and Japan, and to new allowances for doubtful accounts of €0.3 million in 2009.

Excluding the FDA PMA trials, research and development expenses increased 4.4% at €2.3 million in 2009 from €2.2 million in 2008, and comprised R&D tax credits of €452 thousand and €544 thousand in 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Excluding the FDA PMA trials, general and administrative expenses decreased 9.2% from €3.8 million in 2008 to €3.4 million in 2009.

Operating loss.

As a result of the factors discussed above, we recorded a consolidated operating loss of €3.2 million in 2009, as compared to a consolidated operating loss of €4.2 million in 2008.

Excluding the expenses of the FDA PMA trials, the consolidated operating loss in 2009 was €1.0 million, an improvement of €1.0 million when compared to the previous year.

We realized an operating profit in the HIFU division of €0.2 million in 2009, compared to €0.7 million in 2008 and an operating profit in the UDS division of €0.2 million in 2009, as compared to an operating loss of €0.7 million in 2008.

Financial (expense) income, net. Financial (expense) income net was a loss of €4.4 million in 2009, including a €2.7 million expense due to the adjustment of the convertible debt to fair value, compared with a gain of €5.2 million in 2008, including a €6.7 million income due to the adjustment of the convertible debt to fair value.

Foreign currency exchange gains (loss), net. In 2009, we recorded a net foreign currency exchange loss of €0.1 million, mainly due to the weakening of the euro against the U.S. dollar and the Japanese yen, compared to a gain of €0.6 million in 2008.

Other income (expense), net. No other income or expense in 2009, compared to a loss of €1 thousand in 2008.

Income taxes. Income tax was an expense of €72 thousand in 2009, compared to an expense of €51 thousand in 2008. R&D tax credits of €452 thousand and €544 thousand in 2009 and 2008, respectively, are not included in the net amount of income taxes as they were reclassified from income tax to pre-tax income – see Note 1-14 of the consolidated financial statements - Summary of Significant Accounting and Note 21-2 of the consolidated financial statements.

Net income / (loss)

We realized a consolidated net loss of €7.8 million in 2009 compared with a consolidated net profit of €1.6 million in 2008. The €9.4 million variation in net income / (loss) was primarily due to the €9.4 million negative impact from the variation in the convertible debt fair value.

Effect of Inflation

Management believes that the impact of inflation was not material to our net sales or loss from operations in the three years ended December 31, 2010.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our cash flow has historically been subject to significant fluctuations over the course of any given financial year due to seasonal demand for medical devices. Seasonal demand has historically resulted in significant annual and quarterly fluctuations in trade and other receivables and inventories, and therefore led to significant variations in working capital requirements and operating cash flows that were not necessarily indicative of changes in our business. We believe our working capital is sufficient for our present working capital requirements although we have in the past experienced negative cash flows and associated risks to liquidity, and may in the future experience the same. Our negative cash flow situation is described in more detail below.

We anticipate that cash flow in future periods will be derived mainly from ongoing operations and any capital raising the Company would potentially undertake. As of the date of this annual report we do not employ any off-balance sheet financing. Because we anticipate relying principally on cash and cash equivalent balances to meet our liquidity

requirements, a decrease in the demand for our products, or the inability of our customers to meet their financial obligations to us due to operating difficulties or adverse market conditions, would reduce the availability of funds to us. Additionally, failure to meet our obligations arising out of the Convertible Debentures issued in the October 2007 private placement would cause us to incur substantial penalties in the form of liquidated damages and could, over the passage of time, lead to an event of default under the Convertible Debentures. Payment of liquidated damages or mandatory default amount will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operation. See Item 3, “Key Information—Risk Factors—Risks Relating to the October 2007 Private Placement.”

(in thousands of euros)	2010	2009	2008
Net cash used in operating activities	(3,818)	(2,996)	(4,593)
Net cash used in investing activities	(685)	402	(712)
Net cash used in financing activities	652	323	296
Net effect of exchange rate changes	(369)	33	1,313
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(4,221)	(2,237)	(3,696)
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year	11,590	13,827	17,523
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the year	7,369	11,590	13,827
Total cash and cash equivalents, and short-term investments at the end of the year	8,888	12,703	14,970

Our cash position as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, was €8.9 million (including €1.5 million of short-term treasury investments), €12.7 million (including €1.1 million of short-term treasury investments) and €15.0 million (including €1.1 million of short-term treasury investments), respectively. We experienced negative cash flows of €4.2 million, €2.3 million and €3.7 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In 2010, our negative cash flow situation was primarily due to the lack of significant additional external financing and the negative cash flows from operations. In 2009, our negative cash flow situation was primarily due to the lack of significant additional external financing, however, our cash needs were reduced when compared to the previous year due to cash improvements from the operating activities. In 2008, our negative cash flow situation was primarily due to the lack of external financing similar to the capital raising activities in 2007 and the negative cashflow operations.

In 2010, net cash used in operating activities was €3.7 million compared with net cash used in operating activities of €3.0 million in 2009 and €4.6 million in 2008, respectively.

In 2010, net cash used in operating activities reflected principally:

- a net loss of €12.7 million;
- elimination of €8.3 million of net expenses without effects on cash, including €1.2 million of depreciation and amortization and a loss of €6.1 million due to variation of the fair value of financial instruments (Convertible Debentures and Warrants);
- a decrease in trade accounts receivable of €0.1 million;
- a decrease in other receivables of €0.1 million
- an increase in inventories of €0.4 million;
- an increase in payables of €0.2 million;
- a decrease in prepaid expenses of €0.9 million; and
- a decrease in accrued expenses of €0.2 million.

In 2009, net cash used in operating activities was €3.0 million compared with net cash used in operating activities of €4.6 million in 2008 and €2.7 million in 2007, respectively.

In 2009, net cash used in operating activities reflected principally:

- a net loss of €7.8 million;
- elimination of €5.1 million of net expenses without effects on cash, including €1.8 million of depreciation and amortization, €0.3 million of change in long-term provisions and a loss of €2.9 million due to variation of the fair value of financial instruments (Convertible Debentures and Warrants);
- an increase in trade accounts receivable of €0.5 million;
- a decrease in other receivables of €0.2 million

- an increase in inventories of €0.3 million;
- a decrease in payables of €0.3 million;
- a decrease in prepaid expenses of €0.5 million; and
- an increase in accrued expenses of €54 thousand.

In 2008, net cash used in operating activities reflected principally:

- a net profit of €1.6 million;
- elimination of €3.3 million of net expenses without effects on cash, including €1.8 million of depreciation and amortization and the €6.7 million profit due to changes in the fair value of financial instruments (Convertible Debentures and Warrants);
- an increase in trade accounts receivable of €3.5 million, mostly due to the exceptionally high level of sales in December 2008;
- an increase in inventories of €0.1 million;
- an increase in payables of €0.6 million; and
- an increase in accrued expenses and other current liabilities of €0.2 million.

In 2010, net cash used in investing activities was €685 thousand compared with net cash generated of €402 thousand in investing activities in 2009 and €712 thousand in 2008.

Net cash used in investing activities of €685 thousand in 2010 reflected investments of €0.2 million in capitalized assets produced by the Company, mostly lithotripsy machines used for commercial demonstrations and training, an investment of €0.4 million in property and equipment, net proceeds from sales of leased-back assets of €0.3 million and acquisition of short-term investments for €0.4 million.

Net cash generated in investing activities of €402 thousand in 2009 reflected investments of €0.4 million in capitalized assets produced by the Company, mostly HIFU devices used on the RPP basis, an investment of €0.4 million in property and equipment, and net proceeds from sales of leased-back assets of €1.1 million.

In 2008, net cash used in investing activities was €712 thousand, reflecting investments of €0.7 million in capitalized assets produced by the Company (mainly Ablatherm devices as a support of the RPP business model in HIFU), an investment of €0.4 million in property and equipment, and net proceeds from sales of leased-back assets of €1.1 million.

In 2010, net cash provided by financing activities was €652 thousand compared with net cash provided in financing activities of €323 thousand in 2009, and net cash generated of €296 thousand in 2008.

Net cash provided by financing activities of €0.65 million in 2010 reflected principally the €9.0 million increase in capital related to the issuance of new shares, a long-term debt increase of €0.6 million through the Japanese and Italian subsidiaries, repayment of long term borrowing for €7.4 million, repayment of capital lease obligations totaling €0.8 million and an increase of €0.6 million in bank overdrafts.

Net cash provided by financing activities of €0.3 million in 2009 reflected principally the €2.6 million increase in capital related to the issuance of new shares in payment of the interest coupons on the convertible debt and the U.S. \$ 2.9 million partial conversion of the convertible debt, a long-term debt increase of €0.5 million through the Japanese subsidiary, repayment of long term borrowing for €2.2 million, repayment of capital lease obligations totaling €0.9 million, and an increase of €0.3 million in bank overdrafts.

In 2008, net cash provided by financing activities was €0.3 million, reflecting principally the €0.6 million increase in capital related to the issuance of new shares in payment of the interest coupons on the convertible debt, a long-term debt increase of €0.2 million through the Japanese subsidiary, repayment of long term borrowing for €0.1 million,

repayment of capital lease obligations totaling €0.6 million, and an increase of €0.2 million in bank overdrafts.

44

Our future cash flow may also be affected by the expansion of our mobile RPP business. In 1999, in an effort to increase the availability of our equipment, we implemented a new marketing strategy of leasing our medical devices on a monthly, quarterly or yearly basis, rather than selling them directly to end-users, and in 2002, we began to develop our mobile activity by making certain devices available to hospitals and clinics free of charge, charging instead on the basis of each procedure that was performed. Relative to the sale of devices, this business model initially generates smaller, but more predictable cash flows. The RPP model is now established in Europe as a growth and profitability model, and we will continue to expand this business model in the near future. See Item 4 - HIFU Division Business Overview. On October 31, 2007, we completed the private placement of \$20 million aggregate principal amount of our 9% Senior Convertible Debentures due 2012. In addition, the purchasers of the Convertible Debentures and our Placement Agent received warrants to purchase our ordinary shares, which expire in 2013. The October 2007 private placement resulted in net proceeds of approximately \$17.4 million. In August 2009, bonds for a total value of \$2.9 million were converted, thus reducing the outstanding principal amount of the convertible debt from \$20 million to \$17.1 million. In March, April and November, 2010, additional Convertible Debentures were converted for a total value of \$2.1 million, thus reducing the outstanding principal amount of the convertible debt from \$17.1 million to \$15.1 million. In December, 2010, certain bondholders and the Placement Agent accepted an offer to exchange all of their Convertible Debentures and warrants against ADRs; as a result, the outstanding principal amount of the convertible debt was further reduced by \$4.6 million to \$10.5 million and a total of 986,965 warrants were cancelled. The terms of the Convertible Debentures allow us to use the proceeds of the private placement to finance costs associated with the regulatory approval for the commercialization of Ablatherm HIFU in the United States (including related clinical trials) and for general and administrative expenses. The warrants may be exercised for cash or, under certain circumstances, through a cashless exercise procedure. If all of the outstanding warrants issued under the October 2007 private placement were fully exercised for cash, we would receive approximately \$6.1 million in cash from those warrant holders. For more information on the terms of the Convertible Debentures and the use of proceeds relating to the issuance of the Convertible Debentures, see the Form of Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of October 29, 2007, included as Exhibit 4.5 to this annual report.

Our policy is that our treasury department should maintain liquidity with the use of short-term borrowings and the minimal use of long-term borrowings. The treasury department currently adheres to this objective by using fixed-rate debt, which normally consists of long-term borrowing from a Japanese bank and with certain long-term borrowings consisting of sale and leaseback equipment financing. Currently the majority of our short-term debt is based on an annual variable rate: Euribor+0.5 and Eonia+0.5. We maintain bank accounts for each of our subsidiaries in the local currencies of each subsidiary. The primary currencies in which we maintain balances are the euro, the U.S. dollar and the Japanese yen. To minimize our exposure to exchange rate risks, we may use certain financial instruments for hedging purposes from time to time. As of December 31, 2010, there were no outstanding hedging instruments.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments as of December 31, 2010 (in thousands of euro, excluding interest expenses)

	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4-5 years	More than 5 years
Short-Term Debt	2,031	2,031	—	—	—
Long-Term Debt	10,397	322	9,871	204	—
Capital Lease Obligations.	1,649	688	797	164	—
Operating Leases.	739	578	161	—	—

New Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued new accounting guidance that requires entities to allocate revenue in multiple-element arrangements using estimated selling prices of the delivered goods and services based on a selling price hierarchy. This guidance eliminates the requirement to establish the fair value of undelivered products and services and instead provides for separate revenue recognition based upon management's estimate of the selling price for an undelivered item when there is no other means to determine the fair value of that undelivered item. This new approach is effective prospectively for multiple-element revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The Company does not expect adoption to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Research and Development, Patents and Licenses

See “—Operating Results—Overview” and Item 4, “Information on the Company—High Intensity Focused Ultrasound Division—HIFU Division Patents and Intellectual Property” and “Information on the Company—Urology and Services Division—UDS Division Patents and Intellectual Property.”

The French government provides tax credits to companies for innovative research and development. This tax credit is calculated based on a percentage of eligible research and development costs and it can be refundable in cash.

In 2009, the Company reviewed the presentation of its research tax credit and elected to change for the preferred classification as permitted under ASC 250-10.

Research tax credit amounted to €326 thousand in 2010 and €452 thousand in 2009 and were classified as a reduction of research and development expense. The 2008 research tax credit amounting to €544 thousand has also been reclassified from income tax to research and development expense.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At December 31, 2010, we have no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees

Senior Executive Officers

The following table sets forth the name, age and position of each of our Senior Executive Officers as of March 31, 2011. The Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer listed below have entered into employment contracts with us or our subsidiaries (which permit the employee to resign subject to varying notice periods). In addition, in case of a change of control of the Company, or of a termination of their employment contract by the Company without cause, the Senior Executive Officers are entitled to receive severance packages totaling approximately € 0.4 million.

Name Age Position

Marc Oczachowski	Chief Executive Officer of EDAP TMS S.A. and President of the HIFU Division and the UDS Division.
------------------	---

Age : 41	Marc Oczachowski joined the Company in May 1997 as Area Sales Manager, based in Lyon, France. From March 2001 to January 2004, he held management positions as General Manager of EDAP Technomed Malaysia. He was appointed Chief Operating Officer of EDAP TMS in November 2004 and became Chief Executive Officer of the Company on March 31, 2007. Previously he worked for Sodem Systems, which manufactures orthopedic power tools, as Area Sales Manager. He is a graduate of Institut Commercial de Lyon, France
----------	---

Eric Soyer	Chief Financial Officer of EDAP TMS S.A.
------------	--

Age 44	Eric Soyer joined the Company in December 2006. He was previously CFO of Medica, a €270 million French company operating 108 nursing home and post-care clinics throughout France and Italy. Prior to that he was CFO and a Managing Director of April Group, an insurance services company listed on Euronext Paris, with 22 subsidiaries in France, the UK, Spain, Germany and Italy. He has international experience as a controller and cost accountant for
--------	---

Michelin Group in France, the United States and Africa. Eric Soyer has a BA degree from Clermont Graduate School of Management, an MBA degree from the University of Kansas and an Executive MBA degree from the HEC Paris School of Management.

Board of Directors

The following table sets forth the names and backgrounds of the members of the Board of Directors. None of the directors have service contracts with the Company or any of its subsidiaries providing for benefits upon termination of employment.

<p>Philippe Chauveau Age: 75 Mandate: 6 years Appointment : Apr. 8, 1997 (renewed) Expiration : June 2014</p>	<p>In 1997, Philippe Chauveau was named chairman of EDAP TMS S.A.'s Supervisory Board. In 2002, the Company's two-tiered board structure was replaced by a single Board of Directors with Philippe Chauveau serving as Chairman and CEO until 2004 when he was succeeded as CEO. From 2000 to 2007, Philippe Chauveau served as founding Chairman of the Board of Scynexis Inc., funded by private equity, which is an innovative drug discovery company based in the United States, partnering with major pharmaceutical companies worldwide. He is also personal executive coach to senior research leaders at Hoffmann LaRoche. Additionally, he is involved in management development programs at Solvay Business School in Brussels, Belgium. He was Vice-President of research and development at AT&T Bell Labs and has also served as Chairman of Apple Computer Europe, preceded by increasing marketing roles in ITT and in Procter & Gamble. He has an Honours Degree from Trinity College Dublin with a B.A. and a Bsc.</p>
--	---

<p>Pierre Beysson Age: 69 Mandate: 6 years Appointment : Sept. 27, 2002 (renewed) Expiration : June 2014</p>	<p>Pierre Beysson was appointed as a member of the Board of Directors in September 2002. Pierre Beysson was then the Chief Financial Officer of Compagnie des Wagons-Lits ("CWL"), the on-board train service division of Accor, a French multinational Hotel and Business Services Group. In this capacity, he sat on a number of boards of companies related to the Accor Group. He is now a mergers and acquisitions consultant. Before his assignment at CWL, Pierre Beysson held a number of senior financial positions with Nixdorf Computers, Trane (Air Conditioning), AM International (Office Equipment) and FMC (Petroleum Equipment). Pierre Beysson was trained as a CPA, has auditing experience and has an MBA from Harvard Business School.</p>
---	---

<p>Argil Wheelock Age: 63 Mandate: 6 years Appointment : June 25, 2009 Expiration : June 2014</p>	<p>Dr. Argil Wheelock was elected as a member of the Company's Board of Directors in June 2009. Dr. Wheelock, a U.S. board certified urologist, is currently Chief of Urology at Erlanger Medical Center, a tertiary care and teaching hospital in Chattanooga, Tennessee. He is Chief Medical Advisor to HealthTronics Inc., a subsidiary of Endopharmaceuticals, a NASDAQ company. HealthTronics is a leading U.S. provider of urological services and products. From 1996 to 2005, Dr. Wheelock served as Chairman and CEO of HealthTronics, a publicly traded NASDAQ company where he was a founder. He has built a successful track record introducing new medical devices to the U.S. and navigating the FDA approval process. He is widely known among the U.S. urological community for bringing clinical benefits to patients and economic value to urology practices. Dr. Wheelock graduated from the University of Tennessee College of Medicine and completed urological training at Mount Sinai Hospital in New York City.</p>
--	---

<p>Rob Michiels Age: 61 Mandate: 6 years</p>	<p>Rob Michiels was elected as a member of the Company's Board of Directors in July 2009. He is a 30-year U.S. veteran of the medical device industry. He currently serves as chief executive officer (CEO) of CardiAQ Valve Technologies, a venture funded start-up developing Transcatheter Mitral Valve Implantation. He previously served as chief operating officer (COO) of CoreValve; and as President and COO of InterVentional Technologies. He helped</p>
---	---

Appointment : July 16, 2009, drive both companies from cardiovascular start-ups to established market leaders, using new and innovative technologies which have strong synergies to the HIFU story. Rob Michiels is a director of CardiAQ Valve Technologies and of Embolization Prevention Technologies, both privately held companies. Rob Michiels is a founding partner of CONSILIUM, a medical device market research company active in identifying, funding and greenhousing start-up technologies. Fluent in English, French and Dutch languages, he holds a bachelor's degree in economics from Antwerp University in Belgium and a Masters in business administration (MBA) from Indiana University.

Expiration : June 2014

Compensation and Options

Aggregate compensation paid or accrued for services in all capacities by the Company and its subsidiaries to Senior Executive Officers and to the Board of Directors as a group for the fiscal year 2010 was approximately €0.505 million (including performance bonuses of €0.102 million). No amount was set aside or accrued by us to provide pension, retirement or similar benefits for Senior Executive Officers and to the Board of Directors as a group in respect of the year 2010.

Compensation Committee

The entire Board of Directors acts as a ‘‘Compensation Committee’’ which gathers once a year to review the compensation of our Senior Executive Officers, as per the approved charter of the Compensation Committee, and to propose any changes to compensation paid to the Board of Directors, provided that the majority of independent members participate in the votes for Management compensations.

Audit Committee

The Board of Directors’ Audit Committee comprises all four members of the Board, each an independent director: Mr. Pierre Beysson, acting as Head of the Audit Committee, Mr. Philippe Chauveau, Dr. Argil Wheelock and Mr. Rob Michiels. The purpose of the Audit Committee, in accordance with its annually approved charter, is to:

- Provide assistance to the Board of Directors in fulfilling their oversight responsibility to the shareholders, potential shareholders, the investment community and others relating to: the integrity of our financial statements, our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, our accounting practices and financial reporting processes, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, the independent auditor’s qualifications and independence, and the performance of our internal audit function and independent auditors.
- Prepare the Audit Committee report, the Audit Committee may request any officer or employee of the Company or our outside counsel or independent auditor to attend a meeting of the Audit Committee or to meet with any members of, or consultants to, the Audit Committee.

Employees

As of December 31, 2008, we employed 149 individuals on a full-time basis, as follows:

	Sales & Marketing	Manufac- turing	Service	Research & Dvpt	Regula- tory	Clinical Affairs	Adminis- trative	Total
France	16	31	19	11	4	2	13	96
Italy	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5
Germany	4	0	2	0	0	0	2	8
Japan	9	0	15	0	2	0	3	29
Malaysia	2	0	3	0	0	0	2	7
South Korea	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Russia	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
USA	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Total	36	31	39	11	6	3	23	149

As of December 31, 2009, we employed 154 individuals on a full-time basis, as follows:

	Sales & Marketing	Manufacturing	Service	Research & Dvpt	Regulatory	Clinical Affairs	Administrative	Total
France	16	30	19	13	4	2	15	99
Italy	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5
Germany	5	0	2	0	0	0	2	9
Japan	12	0	13	0	2	0	3	30
Malaysia	2	0	3	0	0	0	3	8
South Korea	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
USA	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Total	39	30	37	13	6	3	26	154

As of December 31, 2010, we employed 151 individuals on a full-time basis, as follows:

	Sales & Marketing	Manufacturing	Service	Research & Dvpt	Regulatory	Clinical Affairs	Administrative	Total
France	16	31	19	11	4	2	14	97
Italy	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	5
Germany	5	0	2	0	0	0	2	9
Japan	13	0	13	0	1	0	3	30
Malaysia	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	6
South Korea	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
USA	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
Total	41	31	36	11	5	3	24	151

Management considers labor relations to be good. Employee benefits are in line with those specified by applicable government regulations.

Share Ownership

As of March 18, 2011, the total number of shares issued was 13,463,306 with 381,528 shares held as treasury stocks, thus bringing the total number of shares outstanding to 13,048,401.

As of March 18, 2011, the Board of Directors and the Senior Executive Officers of the Company held a total of 31,123 Shares. The Board of Directors and Senior Executive Officers beneficially own, in the aggregate less than 1% of the Company's shares.

As of March 18, 2011, Senior Executive Officers held an aggregate of 238,763 options to purchase or to subscribe to shares of our common stock, with a weighted average exercise price of €3.35 per share. Of these options, 3,425 expire on June 18, 2012, 30,000 expire on February 24, 2014, 155,338 expire on October 29, 2017 and 50,000 expire on June 25, 2020.

Options to Purchase or Subscribe for Securities

As of March 18, 2011, we had sponsored four stock purchase and subscription option plans open to employees of EDAP TMS group.

On June 12, 2001, the shareholders authorized the Board of Directors to grant up to 300,000 options to purchase pre-existing Shares, at a fixed exercise price to be set by the Supervisory Board.

On January 29, 2004, the shareholders authorized the Board of Directors to grant up to 240,000 options to purchase pre-existing Shares at a fixed price to be set by the Board of Directors. All of the Shares that may be purchased through the exercise of stock options are currently held as treasury stock.

On May 22, 2007, the shareholders authorized the Board of Directors to grant up to 600,000 options to subscribe to 600,000 new Shares and up to 105,328 options to purchase pre-existing Shares at a fixed price to be set by the Board of Directors. All of the Shares that may be purchased through the exercise of stock options are currently held as treasury stock.

On June 24, 2010, the shareholders authorized the Board of Directors to grant up to 229,100 options to purchase pre-existing Shares at a fixed price to be set by the Board of Directors. All of the Shares that may be purchased through the exercise of stock options are currently held as treasury stock.

On December 31, 2010, the expiration of our stock option contracts was as follows:

Date of expiration	Number of Shares
September 25, 2011	25,000
June 18, 2012	3,425
February 24, 2014	124,000
October 29, 2017	445,338
June 25, 2020	309,012

As of December 31, 2010, a summary of stock option activity to purchase or to subscribe to Shares under these plans is as follows:

	2010		2009		2008	
	Options	Weighted average exercise price (€)	Options	Weighted average exercise price (€)	Options	Weighted average exercise price (€)
Outstanding on January 1,	656,013	3.57	706,725	3.51	781,625	3.51
Granted	325,012	2.23				
Exercised	(18,000)	2.15	(24,212)	2.07		
Forfeited	(56,250)	2.45	(26,500)	3.36	(34,000)	3.59
Expired					(40,900)	3.37
Outstanding on December 31,	906,775	3.19	656,013	3.57	706,725	3.51
Exercisable on December 31,	486,446	3.52	420,719	3.33	342,929	3.00

Shares purchase options available for grant on December 31	16,003	105,328	105,328
--	--------	---------	---------

50

The following table summarizes information about options to purchase existing Shares held by the Company, or to subscribe to new Shares, at December 31, 2010:

Exercise price (€)	Outstanding options			Exercisable options		
	Options	Weighted average remaining contractual life	Weighted average exercise price (€)	Options	Weighted average exercise price (€)	
3.99		445,338	6,8	3.99	334,021	3.99
2.60		124,000	2.2	2.60	124,000	2.60
2.38		213,100	8.5	2.38		
2.08(1)		25,000	0.8	2.08	25,000	2.08
2.02(2)		3,425	1.5	2.02	3,425	2.02
1.88)		95,912	8.5	1.88		
1.88 to 3.99		906,775	5.8	3.14	486,446	3.52

(1) All the 25,000 options were granted on September 25, 2001 with an exercise price expressed in U.S. dollars (\$1.92) and converted here to euros based on the noon buying rate on September 25, 2001 (\$1 = €1.085).

(2) All the 3,425 options were granted on June 18, 2002 with an exercise price expressed in U.S. dollars (\$1.92) and converted here to euros based on the noon buying rate on June 18, 2002 (\$1 = €1.0545).

Free Shares: On July 3, 2009, 11,775 free shares have been issued to certain employees of the Company upon reaching one performance milestone. See Note 16-5 of the consolidated financial statements.

Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions

Major Shareholders (as of February 28, 2011)

To our knowledge, we are not directly or indirectly owned or controlled by another corporation, by any foreign government, or by any other natural or legal person or persons acting severally or jointly. At February 28, 2011, to our knowledge, no investor had beneficial ownership of more than 5% of the Shares.

As of December 31, 2009, Siemens France Holding held 1,003,250 EDAP shares, or 9.07% of EDAP share capital. On February 7, 2011, Siemens France Holding and Siemens AktienGesellschaft, filed an amended statement of Beneficial Ownership where they indicated that Siemens did not own any EDAP share as of December 31, 2010. As of December 31, 2009, Mr. Jonathan Schwartz held 580,644 EDAP shares, or 5.25% of EDAP share capital. On January 25, 2011, Mr. Jonathan Schwartz filed an amended statement of Beneficial Ownership where he indicated that he owned 462,366 EDAP share as of December 31, 2010. As of December 31, 2009, Bruce & Co. Inc. held 769,463 EDAP shares, or 6.96% of EDAP share capital. On February 28, 2011, Bruce & Co Inc. held 647,993 EDAP shares, or 4.84% of EDAP share capital.

There are no arrangements known to us, the operation of which may at a later date result in a change of control of the Company. All shares issued by the Company have the same voting rights, except the treasury stocks held by the Company, which have no voting rights.

As of March 18, 2011, 13,463,306 Shares were issued, including 13,081,778 outstanding and 381,528 treasury Shares. At the same date, there were 11,287,711 ADSs, each representing one Share, all of which were held of record by 17 registered holders in the United States (including The Depository Trust Company).

Related Party Transactions

The General Manager of the Company's Korean branch "EDAP-TMS Korea" is also Chairman of a Korean company named Dae You. EDAP-TMS Korea subcontracts to Dae You the service contract maintenance of our medical devices installed in Korea. The amounts payable under this contract were €59 thousand, €52 thousand and €61 thousand for 2010, 2009 and 2008 respectively. Dae You also acts as an agent to promote our medical devices in South Korea, and receives commissions on sales. Dae You has purchased medical devices from us, which it operates in partnership with hospitals or clinics. These purchases amounted to €315 thousand, €234 thousand and €539 thousand in 2010, 2009 and 2008 respectively. As of December 31, 2010, receivables amounted to €33 thousand and payables to €21 thousand. As of December 31, 2009, receivables amounted to €67 thousand and payables to €14 thousand. As of December 31, 2008, receivables from Dae You amounted to €384 thousand and our payables to them amounted to €31 thousand.

The Company purchases certain technological elements from Siemens AG, an affiliate of its shareholder, Siemens France Holding. Total purchases amounted to €20 thousand in 2010, €216 thousand in 2009 and €212 thousand in 2008. As of December 31, 2010, payables due to Siemens AG amounted to €0 thousand and as of December 31, 2009, payables due to Siemens AG amounted to €2 thousand.

As of December 31, 2010, Siemens France Holding is no more a shareholder of EDAP-TMS.

Interests of Experts and Counsel

N/A

Item 8. Financial Information

Consolidated Financial Statements

See Item 18, “Financial Statements.”

Export Sales

As of December 31, 2010, total consolidated export net sales, which we define as sales made outside of France, were €19.2 million, which represented 83% of total net sales.

Legal Proceedings

As of the date of this annual report, the Company is not involved in any material legal proceedings.

Dividends and Dividend Policy

The payment and amount of dividends depend on our earnings and financial condition and such other factors that our Board of Directors deems relevant. Dividends are subject to recommendation by the Board of Directors and a vote by the shareholders at the shareholders’ ordinary general meeting. Dividends, if any, would be paid in euro and, with respect to ADSs, would be converted at the then-prevailing exchange rate into U.S. dollars. Holders of ADSs will be entitled to receive payments in respect of dividends on the underlying Shares in accordance with the Deposit Agreement.

In France, dividends are paid out of after-tax income. Dividends paid to holders of Shares who are not residents of France generally will be subject to French withholding tax at a rate of 25%. Holders who qualify for benefits under an applicable tax treaty and who comply with the procedures for claiming treaty benefits may be entitled to a reduced rate of withholding tax and, in certain circumstances, certain other benefits, under conditions provided for in the relevant treaty under French law. See Item 10, “Additional Information—French Taxation—Taxation of Dividends on Shares or ADSs—Withholding Tax.”

No dividends were paid with respect to fiscal years 2005 through 2009, and we do not anticipate paying any dividends for the foreseeable future. In particular, in connection with the October 2007 private placement, we agreed not to pay cash dividends on any of our equity securities for the duration of the Convertible Debentures. Thereafter, any declaration of dividends on our shares will depend upon, among other things, future earnings, if any, the operating and financial condition of our business, our capital requirements, general business conditions and such other factors as our Board of Directors deems relevant.

Significant Changes as of March 31, 2011

Following the March 2011 earthquake and tsunami in Japan, we are closely monitoring the situation of our subsidiary in Japan which accounts for approximately 33% of our lithotripsy sales. We do not have any manufacturing facility in Japan nor do we procure significant supplies from Japan. As of March 31, 2011, it is too early to determine whether the current Japanese situation will have any significant impact on our business in this territory.

Item 9. The Offer and Listing

Description of Securities

The Shares are traded solely in the form of ADSs, each ADS representing one Share. Each ADS may be evidenced by an American Depositary Receipt issued by The Bank of New York, our Depository. The principal United States trading market for the ADSs, which is also the principal trading market for the ADSs overall, is the NASDAQ Global Market of the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc. (“NASDAQ”), on which the ADSs are quoted under the symbol “EDAP.”

Trading Market

The following tables set forth, for the years 2006 through 2010, the reported high and low sales prices of the ADSs on NASDAQ.

	NASDAQ	
	High	Low
	\$	
2010	5.95	0.96
2009	5.95	0.96
2008	5.12	1.05
2007	9.40	4.25
2006	21.64	5.12

The following tables set forth, for the years 2009 and 2010, the reported high and low sales prices of the ADSs on NASDAQ for each full financial quarter:

	NASDAQ	
	High	Low
	\$	
2009:		
First Quarter	2.06	1.10
Second Quarter	1.80	0.96
Third Quarter	5.95	1.20
Fourth Quarter	4.41	2.42
2010:		
First Quarter	4.25	2.16

Second Quarter	4.03	2.20
Third Quarter	3.45	1.89
Fourth Quarter	6.97	2.06

The following table sets forth, for the most recent six months (from September 2010 through March 18, 2011), the reported high and low sale prices of the ADSs on NASDAQ for each month:

	NASDAQ	
	High	Low
	\$	
2010:		
September	3.45	2.00
October	2.46	2.06
November	4.81	2.29
December	6.97	3.63
2011:		
January	5.68	3.73
February	4.34	3.22
March (through March 25, 2011)	4.40	4.20

Item 10. Additional Information

Memorandum and Articles of Association

Set forth below is a brief summary of significant provisions of our articles of association (or statuts) and applicable French laws. This is not a complete description and is qualified in its entirety by reference to our articles of association, a translation of which is provided in Exhibit 1.1 to this annual report. Each time they are modified which can only occur with the approval of a two third majority of our the shareholders present or represented at a shareholders' meeting, we file copies of our statuts with, and such articles of association are publicly available from, the Registry of Commerce and Companies in Lyon, France, under number 316488204 RCS-LYON.

Our corporate affairs are governed by its statuts and by Book II of the French Commercial Code, as amended.

Our articles of association were last updated in January 2011 to act the recent increase in share capital related to the issuance of additional shares in 2010.

Corporate Purposes

Pursuant to Article 2 of the articles of association, the purposes of the Company are:

- the taking of financial interests, under whatever form, in all French or foreign groups, companies or businesses which currently exist or which may be created in the future, mainly through contribution, subscription or purchasing of stocks or shares, obligations or other securities, mergers, holding companies, groups, alliances or partnerships;
- the management of such financial interests;
- the direction, management, control and coordination of its subsidiaries and interests;
- the provision of all administrative, financial, technical or other services; and
- generally, all operations of whatever nature, financial, commercial, industrial, civil, relating to property and real estate which may be connected directly or indirectly, in whole or in part, to the Company's purposes or to any other similar or related purposes which may favor the extension or development of said purposes.

Board of Directors

The Board of Directors is currently composed of four members who were appointed by the shareholders for a period of six years expiring upon the date of the annual general shareholders' meeting approving the financial results for fiscal year 2013. See Item 6, "Directors, Senior Management and Employees". The tenure of a Director terminates at the end of the ordinary general shareholders' meeting convened to vote upon the accounts of the then-preceding fiscal year and is held in the year during which the term of such Director comes to an end. Directors may always be re-elected; a Director may also be dismissed at any time at the shareholders' meeting.

The mandate for each member of the current Board of Directors expires on the date of the ordinary general shareholders' meeting approving the financial results for the 2013 fiscal year.

Each Director must own at least one share during his/her term of office. If, at the time of his/her appointment, a Director does not own the required number of shares or if during his/her term, he/she no longer owns the required number of shares, he/she is considered to have automatically resigned if he/she fails to comply with the shareholding requirement within three months.

An individual person cannot be on more than five Boards of Directors or Supervisory Boards in corporations (société anonyme) registered in France; directorships in controlled companies (as defined by Section L.233-16 of the French Commercial Code) by the Company are not taken into account.

In case of the death or resignation of one or more Director, the Board of Directors may make provisional appointments to fill vacancies before the next general shareholders meetings. These provisional appointments must be ratified by the next following ordinary shareholders meeting. Even if a provisional appointment is not ratified, resolutions and acts previously approved by the Board of Directors nonetheless remain valid.

When the number of Directors falls below the compulsory legal minimum, the remaining Directors must convene an ordinary general shareholders' meeting to reach the full complement of the Board of Directors.

Any Director appointed in replacement of another Director whose tenure has not expired remains in office only for the remaining duration of the tenure of his predecessor.

One of our employees may be appointed to serve as a Director. His/her contract of employment must however entail actual work obligations. In this case, he/she does not lose the benefit of his/her employment contract.

The number of Directors who are also linked to the Company by an employment contract cannot exceed one third of the Directors then in office and in any case five members.

Pursuant to our statuts, Directors cannot be more than eighty years old. If one of the Directors reaches this limit during his/her tenure, such Director is automatically considered to have resigned at the next general shareholders meeting.

The Board of Directors determines the direction of our business and supervises our operations. Within the limits set out by the corporate purposes and the powers expressly granted by law to the general shareholders' meeting, the Board of Directors may deliberate upon our operations and make any decisions in accordance with our business. However, a Director must abstain from voting on matters in which the Director has an interest. The resolutions passed in a meeting of the Board of Directors are valid only if a quorum of half of the Directors is reached. A Director cannot borrow money from the Company.

French law provides that the functions of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer in a French société anonyme may be distinct and held by two separate individuals.

The Chairman of the Board

The Board of Directors must elect one of its members as Chairman of the Board of Directors, who must be an individual person. The Board of Directors determines the duration of the tenure of the Chairman, which cannot exceed that of his/her tenure as a Director. The Board of Directors may dismiss the Chairman at any time. The remuneration of the Chairman is decided by the Board of Directors, upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee.

The Chairman represents the Board of Directors and organizes its work. The general shareholders' meeting must be informed of this work by the Chairman. The Chairman is responsible for the good functioning of our organization and for supervising the ability of the Board members to perform their mission.

Pursuant to Section 706-43 of the French Criminal Proceedings Code, the Chairman may validly delegate to any person he/she chooses the power to represent us in any criminal proceedings that we may face.

As with any other Director, the Chairman may not be over eighty years old. In case the Chairman reaches this limit during his/her tenure, he/she will automatically be considered to have resigned. However, his/her tenure is extended until the next Board of Directors meeting, during which his/her successor will be appointed. Subject to the age limit provision, the Chairman of the Board may also be re-elected.

The Chief Executive Officer

We are managed by the Chairman of the Board of Directors or an individual elected by the Board of Directors bearing the title of Chief Executive Officer. The choice between these two methods of management belongs to the Board of Directors and must be made as provided for by our articles of association. On March 31, 2008, the Board of Directors appointed Mr. Marc Oczachowski as Chief Executive Officer.

The Chief Executive Officer is vested with the powers to act under all circumstances on behalf of the Company, within the limits set out by the Company's corporate purposes, and subject to the powers expressly granted by law to the Board of Directors and the general shareholders' meeting.

The Chief Executive Officer represents us with respect to third parties. We are bound by any acts of the Chief Executive Officer even if they are contrary to corporate purposes, unless it is proven that the third party knew such act exceeded the Company's corporate purposes or could not ignore it in light of the circumstances. Publication of the articles of association alone is not sufficient evidence of such knowledge.

The remuneration of the Chief Executive Officer is set by the Board of Directors, upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee. The Chief Executive Officer can be terminated at any time by the Board of Directors. If such termination is found to be unjustified, damages may be allocated to the Chief Executive Officer, except when the Chief Executive Officer is also the Chairman of the Board.

The Chief Executive Officer may not hold another position as Chief Executive Officer or member of a Management Board in a corporation (*société anonyme*) registered in France except when (a) such company is controlled (as referred to in Section L.233-16 of the French Commercial Code) by the Company and (b) when this controlled company's shares are not quoted on a regulated market.

Pursuant to our statutes, the Chief Executive Officer may not be over seventy years old. In case the Chief Executive Officer reaches this limit during his/her office, he/she is automatically considered to have resigned. However, his/her tenure is extended until the next Board of Directors meeting, during which his/her successor must be appointed.

Dividend and Liquidation Rights (French Law)

Net income in each fiscal year, as increased or reduced, as the case may be, by any profit or loss of the Company carried forward from prior years, less any contributions to legal reserves, is available for distribution to our shareholders as dividends, subject to the requirements of French law and our articles of association.

Under French law and our statutes, we are required to allocate 5% of our net profits in each fiscal year to a legal reserve fund until the amount in such reserve fund is equal to 10% of the nominal amount of the registered capital. The legal reserve is distributable only upon the liquidation of the Company.

Our shareholders may, upon recommendation of the Board of Directors, decide to allocate all or a part of distributable profits, if any, among special or general reserves, to carry them forward to the next fiscal year as retained earnings, or to allocate them to the shareholders as dividends.

Our statutes provide that, if so agreed by the shareholders, reserves that are available for distribution under French law and our articles of association may be distributed as dividends, subject to certain limitations.

If we have made distributable profits since the end of the preceding fiscal year (as shown on an interim income statement certified by our statutory auditors), the Board of Directors has the authority under French law, without the approval of shareholders, to distribute interim dividends to the extent of such distributable profits. We have never paid

interim dividends.

Under French law, dividends are distributed to shareholders pro rata according to their respective shareholdings. Dividends are payable to holders of shares outstanding on the date of the annual shareholders' meeting deciding the distribution of dividends, or in the case of interim dividends, on the date of the Board of Directors meeting approving the distribution of interim dividends. However, holders of newly issued shares may have their rights to dividends limited with respect to certain fiscal years. The actual dividend payment date is decided by the shareholders in an ordinary general meeting or by the Board of Directors in the absence of such a decision by the shareholders. The payment of the dividends must occur within nine months from the end of our fiscal year. Under French law, dividends not claimed within five years of the date of payment revert to the French State.

56

If the Company is liquidated, our assets remaining after payment of our debts, liquidation expenses and all of our remaining obligations will be distributed first to repay in full the nominal value of the shares, then the surplus, if any, will be distributed pro rata among the shareholders based on the nominal value of their shareholdings and subject to any special rights granted to holders of priority shares, if any.

Changes in Share Capital (French Law)

Our share capital may be increased only with the approval of two third majority of f the shareholders entitled to vote present or represented at an extraordinary general meeting, following a recommendation of the Board of Directors. Increases in the share capital may be effected either by the issuance of additional shares (including the creation of a new class of shares) or by an increase in the nominal value of existing shares. Additional Shares may be issued for cash or for assets contributed in kind, upon the conversion of debt securities previously issued by the Company, by capitalization of reserves, or, subject to certain conditions, in satisfaction of indebtedness incurred by the Company. Dividends paid in the form of Shares may be distributed in lieu of payment of cash dividends, as described above under “—Dividend and Liquidation Rights (French law).” French law permits different classes of shares to have liquidation, voting and dividend rights different from those of the outstanding ordinary shares, although we only have one class of shares.

Our share capital may be decreased only with the approval a two third majority of of the shareholders entitled to vote present or represented at an extraordinary general meeting. The share capital may be reduced either by decreasing the nominal value of the shares or by reducing the number of outstanding shares. The conditions under which the registered capital may be reduced will vary depending upon whether or not the reduction is attributable to losses incurred by the Company. The number of outstanding shares may be reduced either by an exchange of shares or by the repurchase and cancellation by us of our shares. Under French law, all the shareholders in each class of shares must be treated equally unless the inequality in treatment is accepted by the affected shareholder. If the reduction is not attributable to losses incurred by us, each shareholder will be offered an opportunity to participate in such capital reduction and may decide whether or not to participate therein.

Repurchase of Shares (French Law)

Pursuant to French law, the Company may not acquire its own shares except (a) to reduce its share capital under certain circumstances with the approval of the shareholders at an extraordinary general meeting, (b) to provide shares for distribution to employees under a profit sharing or stock option plan and (c) after obtaining approval from the shareholders at an ordinary general meeting, to make purchases for stabilization of quotations on a regulated stock exchange. In either case, the amounts to be repurchased under (b) and (c) may not result in the Company holding more than 10% of its shares then-issued. A subsidiary of the Company is prohibited by French law from holding shares of the Company and, in the event it becomes a shareholder of the Company, such shareholder must transfer all the shares of the Company that it holds.

Attendance and Voting at Shareholders' Meetings (French Law)

In accordance with French law, there are two types of general shareholders' meetings, ordinary and extraordinary. Ordinary general meetings are required for matters such as the election of directors, the appointment of statutory auditors, the approval of the report prepared by the Board of Directors and the annual accounts, the declaration of dividends and the issuance of (non-convertible) bonds.

Extraordinary general meetings are required for approval of matters such as amendments to the Company's articles of association, modification of shareholders' rights, approval of mergers, increases or decreases in share capital (including a waiver of preferential subscription rights), the creation of a new class of shares, the authorization of the issuance of investment certificates or securities convertible or exchangeable into shares and for the sale or transfer of substantially

all of the Company's assets.

The Board of Directors is required to convene an annual ordinary general shareholders meeting, which must be held within six months of the end of our fiscal year, for approval of the annual accounts. Other ordinary or extraordinary meetings may be convened at any time during the year. Shareholders meetings may be convened by the Board of Directors or, if the Board of Directors fails to call such a meeting, by our statutory auditors or by a court-appointed agent. The court may be requested to appoint an agent either by one or more shareholders holding at least 5% of the our registered capital or by an interested party under certain circumstances, or, in case of an urgent matter, by the Work Council (Comité d'entreprise) representing the employees. The notice calling a meeting must state the agenda for such meeting.

57

French law provides that, at least 15 days before the date set for any general meeting on first notice, and at least six days before the date set for any general meeting on second notice, notice of the meeting must be sent by mail to all holders of properly registered shares who have held such shares for more than one month before the date of the notice. A preliminary written notice (avis de réunion) must be sent to each shareholder who has requested to be notified in writing. Under French law, one or several shareholders together holding a specified percentage of shares may propose resolutions to be submitted for approval by the shareholders at the meeting. Upon our request, the Bank of New York will send to holders of ADSs notices of shareholders' meetings and other reports and communications that are made generally available to shareholders. The Work Council may also require the registration of resolution proposals on the agenda.

Attendance and exercise of voting rights at ordinary and extraordinary general meetings are subject to certain conditions. Shareholders deciding to exercise their voting rights must have their shares registered in their names in the shareholder registry maintained by or on behalf of the Company before the meeting. An ADS holder must timely and properly return its voting instruction card to the Depositary to exercise the voting rights relating to the shares represented by its ADSs. The Depositary will use its reasonable efforts to vote the underlying shares in the manner indicated by the ADS holder. In addition, if an ADS holder does not timely return a voting instruction card or the voting instruction card received is improperly completed or blank, that holder will be deemed to have given the Depositary a proxy to vote, and the Depositary will vote in favor of all proposals recommended by the Board of Directors and against all proposals that are not recommended by the Board of Directors.

All shareholders who have properly registered their shares have the right to participate in general meetings, either in person, by proxy, or by mail, and to vote according to the number of shares they hold. Each share confers on the shareholder the right to one vote. Under French law, an entity we control directly or indirectly is prohibited from holding shares in the Company and, in the event it becomes a shareholder, shares held by such entity would be deprived of voting rights. A proxy may be granted by a shareholder whose name is registered on our share registry to his or her spouse, to another shareholder or to a legal representative, in the case of a legal entity, or by sending a proxy in blank to the Company without nominating any representatives. In the latter case, the Chairman of the shareholders' meeting will vote such blank proxy in favor of all resolutions proposed by the Board of Directors and against all others.

The presence in person or by proxy of shareholders having not less than 20% (in the case of an ordinary general meeting or an extraordinary general meeting deciding upon any capital increase by capitalization of reserves) or 25% (in the case of an extraordinary general meeting) of the Shares entitled to vote is necessary to reach a quorum. If a quorum is not reached at any meeting, the meeting is adjourned. Upon reconvening of an adjourned meeting, there is no quorum requirement in the case of an ordinary general meeting or an extraordinary general meeting deciding upon any capital increase by capitalization of reserves. The presence in person or by proxy of shareholders having not less than 20% of the Shares is necessary to reach a quorum in the case of any other type of extraordinary general meeting.

At an ordinary general meeting or an extraordinary general meeting deciding upon any capital increase by capitalization of reserves, a simple majority of the votes of the shareholders present or represented by proxy is required to approve a resolution. At any other extraordinary general meeting, a two-thirds majority of the votes cast is required. However, a unanimous vote is required to increase liabilities of shareholders. Abstention from voting by those present or represented by proxy is viewed as a vote against the resolution submitted to a vote.

In addition to his/her rights to certain information regarding the Company, any shareholder may, during the two-week period preceding a shareholders' meeting, submit to the Board of Directors written questions relating to the agenda for the meeting. The Board of Directors is required to respond to such questions during the meeting.

Under French law, shareholders can nominate individuals for election to the Board of Directors at a shareholders' meeting. When the nomination is part of the agenda of the shareholders' meeting, the nomination must contain the name, age, professional references and professional activity of the nominee for the past five years, as well as the number of shares owned by such candidate, if any. In addition, if the agenda for the shareholders' meeting includes the election of members of the Board of Directors, any shareholder may require, during the meeting, the nomination of a candidate for election at the Board of Directors at the shareholders' meeting, even if such shareholder has not followed the nomination procedures. Under French law, shareholders cannot elect a new member of the Board of Directors at a general shareholders meeting if the agenda for the meeting does not include the election of a member of the Board of Directors, unless such nomination is necessary to fill a vacancy due to the previous resignation of a member.

As set forth in our articles of association, shareholders' meetings are held at our registered office of the Company or at any other locations specified in the written notice. We do not have staggered or cumulative voting arrangements for the election of Directors.

Preferential Subscription Rights (French Law)

Shareholders have preferential rights to subscribe for additional shares issued by the Company for cash on a pro rata basis (or any equity securities of the Company or other securities giving a right, directly or indirectly, to equity securities issued by the Company). Shareholders may waive their preferential rights, either individually or at an extraordinary general meeting under certain circumstances. Preferential subscription rights, if not previously waived, are transferable during the subscription period relating to a particular offering of shares. U.S. holders of ADSs may not be able to exercise preferential rights for Shares underlying their ADSs unless a registration statement under the Securities Act is effective with respect to such rights or an exemption from the registration requirement thereunder is available.

Form and Holding of Shares (French Law)

Form of Shares

Our articles of association provide that shares can only be held in registered form.

Holding of Shares

The shares are registered in the name of the respective owners thereof in the registry maintained by or on behalf of the Company.

Stock certificates evidencing shares, in a manner comparable to that in the United States, are not issued by French companies, but we may issue or cause to be issued confirmations of shareholdings registered in such registry to the persons in whose names the shares are registered. Pursuant to French law, such confirmations do not constitute documents of title and are not negotiable instruments.

Ownership of ADSs or Shares by Non-French Residents (French Law)

Under French law, there is no limitation on the right of non-French residents or non-French security holders to own, or where applicable, vote securities of a French company. A non-resident of France must file a *déclaration administrative*, or administrative notice, with French authorities in connection with the acquisition of a controlling interest in any French company. Under existing administrative rulings, ownership, by a non-resident of France or a French corporation which is itself controlled by a foreign national, of 33.33% or more of a company's share capital or voting rights is regarded as a controlling interest, but a lower percentage may be held to be a controlling interest in certain circumstances (depending upon such factors as the acquiring party's intentions, its ability to elect directors or

financial reliance by the French company on the acquiring party).

Certain Exemptions (French Law)

Under the U.S. securities laws, as a foreign private issuer, we are exempt from certain rules that apply to domestic U.S. issuers with equity securities registered under the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, including the proxy solicitation rules and the rules requiring disclosure of share ownership by directors, officers and certain shareholders. We are also exempt from certain of the current NASDAQ corporate governance requirements. For more information on these exemptions, see Item 16 G – “Corporate Governance —Exemptions from Certain NASDAQ Corporate Governance Rules.”

Enforceability of Civil Liabilities (French Law)

We are a société anonyme, or limited liability corporation, organized under the laws of the Republic of France. The majority of our directors and executive officers reside in the Republic of France. All or a substantial portion of our assets and the assets of such persons are located outside the United States. As a result, it may not be possible for investors to effect service of process within the United States upon such persons or to enforce, either inside or outside the United States, judgments against such persons obtained in U.S. courts or to enforce in U.S. court judgments obtained against such persons in courts in jurisdictions outside the United States, in each case, in any action predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the federal securities laws of the United States. In an original action brought in France predicated solely upon the U.S. federal securities laws, French courts may not have the requisite jurisdiction to grant the remedies sought, and actions for enforcement in France of judgments of U.S. courts rendered against French persons referred to in the second sentence of this paragraph would require such French persons to waive their right under Article 15 of the French Civil Code to be sued in France only. We believe that no such French persons have waived such right with respect to actions predicated solely upon U.S. federal securities laws. In addition, actions in the United States under the U.S. federal securities laws could be affected under certain circumstances by the French law of July 16, 1980, which may preclude or restrict obtaining evidence in France or from French persons in connection with such actions.

Material Contracts

On October 31, 2007, we completed the private placement of \$20 million principal amount of 9% Senior Convertible Debentures due 2012. In addition, the purchasers of the Convertible Debentures and the Placement Agent received warrants to purchase our ordinary shares, which expire in 2013. The October 2007 private placement resulted in net proceeds of approximately \$17.4 million. The Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 29, 2007, among EDAP TMS S.A. and each purchaser is provided on Exhibit 4.3. of this annual report. The terms for registering the underlying ADSs with the SEC are included in the Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of October 29, 2007, among EDAP TMS S.A. and the investors signatory thereto, provided on Exhibit 4.4. of this annual report.

On August 24, 2009, one holder of Convertible Debentures elected to convert 2,892 Convertible Debentures, out of a total of 20,000 representing a total value of \$2.892 million. Under the terms of the Convertible Debentures, the 2,892 Convertible Debentures were converted into 440,182 new shares, using the conversion price of \$6.57. Following this conversion, our convertible debt was reduced to \$17.1 million.

On October 30, 2009, our shareholders adopted several resolutions allowing the Board of Directors to renegotiate our indebtedness with the maximum flexibility while staying within the limit of the dilution already authorized by shareholders on October 30, 2007 and February 26, 2009. On November 16, 2009, pursuant to these resolutions, the Board of Directors issued a Supplement to the current Convertible Debentures allowing bondholders to convert their Convertible Debentures earlier, with a lower exercise price and including the payment of an accelerated interest premium, payable in shares, within the already authorized dilution limits. This Supplement was unanimously approved by the debenture holders on December 3, 2009, convened in a General Meeting (Masse). For more information on the terms of the Supplement, see Exhibit 4.6 of this annual report.

On March 10, April 23 and November 16, 2010, one holder of Convertible Debentures elected to convert a total of 2,050 Convertible Debentures, representing a total value of \$2.1 million. Under the terms of the Convertible Debentures and the above Supplement, 440,206 new shares were issued. Following this conversion, our total aggregate amount of our outstanding convertible debenture was reduced to \$15.1 million.

On June 24, 2010, our shareholders adopted several new resolutions and extended the validity of existing ones, allowing the Board of Directors to renegotiate our indebtedness while remaining within the limit of the dilution already authorized by shareholders.

On October 6, 2010, based on the above mentioned resolutions and in view of debt restructuring and new projects financing, we filed a Form-F3 registration statement with the SEC to register ordinary shares and warrants for a maximum amount of \$9 million. Such registration statement was declared effective by the SEC on October 20, 2010.

On December 29, 2010, we entered into an amendment to the Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of October 29, 2007, relating to the issuance and sales of the Company's Convertible Debentures and Warrants in order to exempt exchanges of our ordinary shares for outstanding Convertible Debentures or Warrants from the anti-dilution provisions of those instruments.

On December 29, 30 and 31, 2010, pursuant to the above mentioned shareholders' authorizations, the Management, upon the Board of Directors delegation, extended an offer to all senior debenture and warrant holders to exchange all of their Convertible Debentures and warrants against ADRs in order to redeem part of our outstanding convertible debt and entered into the exchange agreements with some of the debenture and warrant holders. Pursuant to the Exchange Agreements, we issued 1,441,743 new ordinary shares in the form of ADRs in exchange for 4,558 Convertible Debentures and 986,965 warrants, reducing the nominal amount of our outstanding convertible debt to \$10.5 million.

Exchange Controls

Under current French foreign exchange control regulations, there are no limitations on the amount of cash payments that we may remit to residents of foreign countries. Laws and regulations concerning foreign exchange controls do require, however, that all payments or transfers of funds made by a French resident to a non-resident be handled by an accredited intermediary. All registered banks and credit institutions in France are accredited intermediaries.

Under French law, there is no limitation on the right of non-French residents or non-French security holders to own, or where applicable, vote securities of a French company. A non-resident of France must file a déclaration administrative, or administrative notice, with French authorities in connection with the acquisition of a controlling interest in any French company. Under existing administrative rulings, ownership by a non-resident of France or a French corporation which is itself controlled by a foreign national, of 20% or more of a listed company's share capital or voting rights is regarded as a controlling interest, but a lower percentage may be held to be a controlling interest in certain circumstances (depending upon such factors as the acquiring party's intentions, its ability to elect directors or financial reliance by the French company on the acquiring party).

French Taxation

The following generally summarizes the material French tax consequences of purchasing, owning and disposing of Shares or ADSs. The statements relating to French tax laws set forth below are based on the laws in force as of the date hereof, and are subject to any future changes in applicable laws and tax treaties.

This discussion is intended only as a descriptive summary and does not purport to be a complete analysis or listing of all potential tax effects of the purchase, ownership or disposition of Shares or ADSs. It does not constitute legal or tax advice. The following summary does not address the treatment of Shares or ADSs that are held by a resident of France (except for purposes of describing related tax consequences for other holders) or in connection with a permanent establishment or fixed base through which a holder carries on business or performs personal services in France, or by a person that owns, directly or indirectly, 5% or more of the stock of the Company. Moreover, the following discussion of the tax treatment of dividends only deals with distributions made on or after January 1, 2006.

There are currently no procedures available for holders that are not U.S. residents to claim tax treaty benefits in respect of dividends received on ADSs or Shares registered in the name of a nominee. Such holders should consult their own tax advisor about the consequences of owning and disposing of ADSs or Shares.

Investors should consult their own tax advisors regarding the tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and disposition of shares in light of their particular circumstances.

Taxation of Dividends on Shares or ADSs - Withholding Tax

Dividends paid by a French corporation, such as EDAP, to non-residents normally are subject to a 25% French withholding tax (reduced to 18% since January 1, 2008 when non-residents are individuals resident from one of the countries of the European Economic Area, except Liechtenstein. From March 1, 2010, dividends paid by a French corporation towards non-cooperative States or territories, as defined in Article 238-0 A of the French General Tax Code, will generally be subject to French withholding tax at a rate of 50%, irrespective of the tax residence of the beneficiary of the dividends if the dividends are received in such States or territories. However, non-resident holders that are entitled to and comply with the procedures for claiming benefits under an applicable tax treaty may be subject to a reduced rate (generally 15%) of French withholding tax. If a non-resident holder establishes its entitlement to treaty benefits prior to the payment of a dividend, then French tax generally will be withheld at the reduced rate provided under the treaty.

Taxation of dividends

Dividends received by French resident individuals are either included in their total income and subject to the progressive income tax plus social contributions, or they can alternatively be subject to an 18% levy source plus social contributions (i.e. a global rate of 30.1%) at the option of the beneficiary.

When no option is exercised by the French resident individuals, they are only taxed on 60% of the dividends they receive and, in addition to a second fixed annual allowance of €3,050 for couples subject to joint taxation and €1,525 for single persons, widows or divorced persons, are entitled to a tax credit equal to 50% of all dividends received within one year (the "Tax Credit"). The Tax Credit is capped for all dividends received within one year at €230 for married couples and members of a civil union agreement subject to joint taxation and €115 for single persons, widows or widowers, divorcees or married persons subject to separate taxation.

As a result of the French Finance Act for 2008, French resident individuals can elect to have all or part of the dividends received subject to an 18% levy at source at the irrevocable option of the shareholder exercised no later than at the time of the payment if it occurs in France. If the option is exercised only for a portion of the dividends received during the year (whether they are distributed by EDAP or any other company), the remaining dividends subject to the progressive income tax lose the benefit of the aforementioned allowances and the Tax Credit. Holders of Shares are invited to contact their financial or tax advisor to be informed of the consequences of such option on their tax situation and the terms and conditions of exercising the option and the payment of the levy at source as well as the reporting obligations related to such option when the paying agent is not located in France.

Taxation on Sale or Disposition of Shares or ADSs

Subject to the more favorable provisions of a relevant tax treaty, holders that are not residents of France for tax purposes, do not hold Shares or ADSs in connection with the conduct of a business or profession in France, and have not held more than 25% of dividend rights (droits aux bénéfices sociaux) of the Company, directly or indirectly, alone or together with their spouse, ascendants or descendants, at any time during the preceding five years, are not subject to French income tax or capital gains tax on the sale or disposition of Shares or ADSs.

A 3% ad valorem registration duty (subject to a maximum of €5,000 per transfer) applies to certain transfers of shares in French companies. This duty does not apply to transfers of shares in listed companies that are not evidenced by a written agreement, or if any such agreement is executed outside France.

Estate and Gift Tax

France imposes estate and gift tax on shares or ADSs of a French corporation that are acquired by inheritance or gift. The tax applies without regard to the tax residence of the transferor. However, France has entered into estate and gift tax treaties with a number of countries pursuant to which, assuming certain conditions are met, residents of the treaty country may be exempted from such tax or obtain a tax credit.

Wealth Tax

Individuals who are not residents of France for purposes of French taxation are not subject to a wealth tax (Impôt de Solidarité sur la Fortune) in France as a result of owning an interest in the share capital of a French corporation, provided that such ownership interest is, directly or indirectly, less than 10% of the corporation's share capital and does not enable the shareholder to exercise influence over the corporation. Double taxation treaties may provide for a more favorable tax treatment.

Taxation of U.S. Investors

The following is a summary of the material French and U.S. federal income tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and disposition of Shares or ADSs by a holder that is a resident of the United States for purposes of the Convention Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the French Republic for the Avoidance of Double Taxation and the Prevention of Fiscal Evasion with Respect to Taxes on Income and Capital of August 31, 1994 (the “Treaty”), which entered into force on December 30, 1995 (as amended by the protocol described below and any subsequent protocols), and the tax regulations issued by the French tax authorities, and are fully eligible for benefits under the Treaty (a “U.S. holder”).

In particular, the United States and France signed a protocol on January 13, 2009, that entered into force on December 23, 2009 and make several significant changes to the Treaty, including changes to the “Limitation of Benefits” provision. U.S. holders are advised to consult their own tax advisors regarding the effect the protocol may have on their eligibility for Treaty benefits in light of their own particular circumstances.

A holder generally will be entitled to Treaty benefits in respect of Shares or ADSs if he is concurrently:

- the beneficial owner of the shares or ADSs (and the dividends paid with respect thereto);
- an individual resident of the United States, a U.S. corporation, or a partnership, estate or trust to the extent its income is subject to taxation in the United States in its hands or in the hands of its partners or beneficiaries;
 - not also a resident of France for French tax purposes; and
- not subject to an anti-treaty shopping article that applies in limited circumstances.

Special rules apply to pension funds and certain other tax-exempt investors.

If a partnership holds Shares or ADSs, the tax treatment of a partner generally will depend on the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. If a U.S. Holder is a partner in a partnership that holds Shares or ADSs, the holder is urged to consult its own tax advisor regarding the specific tax consequences of owning and disposing of its Shares and ADSs.

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, a U.S. holder’s ownership of the Company’s ADSs will be treated as ownership of the Company’s underlying shares.

This summary does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all of the tax considerations that may be relevant to any particular investor, and does not discuss tax considerations that arise from rules of general application or that are generally assumed to be known by investors. In particular, the summary does not deal with Shares or ADSs that are not held as capital assets, and does not address the tax treatment of holders that are subject to special rules, such as banks, insurance companies, dealers in securities or currencies, regulated investment companies, persons that elect mark-to-market treatment, persons holding Shares or ADSs as a position in a synthetic security, straddle or conversion transaction, persons that own, directly or indirectly, 5% or more of the Company’s voting stock or 5% or more of the Company’s outstanding capital and persons whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar.

This summary does not discuss the treatment of shares or ADSs that are held in connection with a permanent establishment or fixed base through which a holder carries on business or performs personal services in France. The summary is based on laws, treaties, regulatory interpretations and judicial decisions in effect on the date hereof, all of which are subject to change. Such changes could apply retroactively and could affect the consequences described below.

Holders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and disposition of Shares or ADSs in the light of their particular circumstances, including the effect of any state, local, or

other national laws.

Dividends and paying agents

Generally, dividend distributions to non-residents of France are subject to French withholding tax at a 25% rate (reduced to 18% since January 1, 2008 when non-residents are individuals resident from one of the countries of the European Economic Area, except Liechtenstein) or to 50% as from March 1, 2010 if paid towards non-cooperative States or territories, as defined in Article 238-0 A of the French General Tax Code, irrespective of the tax residence of the beneficiary of the dividends if the dividends are received in such States or territories.

Under the Treaty, the rate of French withholding tax on dividends paid to an eligible U.S. holder whose ownership of the ordinary shares or ADSs is not effectively connected with a permanent establishment or fixed base that such U.S. holder has in France is reduced to 15% and a U.S. holder may claim a refund from the French tax authorities of the amount withheld in excess of the Treaty rate of 15%, if any. For U.S. holders that are not individuals, the requirements for eligibility for Treaty benefits, including the reduced 15% withholding tax rate, contained in the “Limitation on Benefits” provision of the Treaty are complicated, and certain technical changes were made to these requirements by the new protocol. U.S. holders are advised to consult their own tax advisers regarding their eligibility for Treaty benefits in light of their own particular circumstances.

French withholding tax will be withheld at the 15% Treaty rate if a U.S. holder has established before the date of payment that the holder is a resident of the United States under the Treaty by following the simplified procedure described below.

The gross amount of dividends that a U.S. holder receives (before the deduction of French withholding tax) generally will be subject to U.S. federal income taxation as ordinary dividend income to the extent paid or deemed paid out of the current or accumulated earnings and profits of the Company (as determined under U.S. federal income tax principles). Such dividends will not be eligible for the dividends received deduction generally allowed to U.S. corporations. To the extent that an amount received by a U.S. holder exceeds the allocable share of current and accumulated earnings and profits of the Company, such excess will be applied first to reduce such U.S. holder’s tax basis in its Shares or ADSs and then, to the extent it exceeds the U.S. holder’s tax basis, it will constitute capital gain from a deemed sale or exchange of such Shares or ADSs. As the Company does not maintain “earnings and profits” computations, holders should assume that all distributions constitute dividends.

Subject to certain exceptions for short-term and hedged positions, the U.S. dollar amount of dividends received by an individual before January 1, 2011 with respect to the Shares or ADSs will be subject to taxation at a maximum rate of 15% if the dividends are “qualified dividends.” Dividends paid on the Shares or ADSs will be treated as qualified dividends if (i) the issuer is eligible for the benefits of a comprehensive income tax treaty with the United States that the IRS has approved for the purposes of the qualified dividend rules and (ii) the Company was not, in the year prior to the year in which the dividend was paid, and is not, in the year in which the dividend is paid, a passive foreign investment company (“PFIC”). The Treaty has been approved for the purposes of the qualified dividend rules. Based on the Company’s audited financial statements and relevant market and shareholder data, we believe that the Company was not treated as a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes with respect to its 2009 taxable year. In addition, based on the Company’s audited financial statements and our current expectations regarding the value and nature of its assets, the sources and nature of its income, and relevant market and shareholder data, we do not anticipate it becoming a PFIC for the 2010 taxable year (as described under “—Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules” below). Accordingly, dividends paid by us in 2010 to a U.S. holder should constitute “qualified dividends”.

Holders of ADSs and Shares should consult their own tax advisers regarding the availability of the reduced dividend tax rate in light of their own particular circumstances.

Dividends distributed with respect to the Shares or ADSs generally will be treated as dividend income from sources outside of the United States, and generally will be treated as “passive category” (or, in the case of certain U.S. holders, “general category”) income for U.S. foreign tax credit purposes. Subject to certain limitations, French income tax withheld in connection with any distribution with respect to the Shares or ADSs may be claimed as a credit against the U.S. federal income tax liability of a U.S. holder if such U.S. holder elects for that year to credit all foreign income taxes. Alternatively, such French withholding tax may be taken as a deduction against taxable income. Foreign tax credits will not be allowed for withholding taxes imposed in respect of certain short-term or hedged positions in securities and may not be allowed in respect of certain arrangements in which a U.S. holder’s expected economic profit is insubstantial. U.S. holders should consult their own tax advisers concerning the implications of these rules in light

of their particular circumstances.

Dividends paid in euro will be included in the income of a U.S. holder in a U.S. dollar amount calculated by reference to the exchange rate in effect on the date of receipt by the holder (or, in the case of the ADSs, by the Depositary), regardless of whether the payment is in fact converted into U.S. dollars. If such a dividend is converted into U.S. dollars on the date of receipt, a U.S. holder generally should not be required to recognize foreign currency gain or loss in respect of the dividend income.

Procedures for Claiming Treaty Benefits

The French tax authorities issued guidelines in Instruction n° 4-J-1-05, dated February 25, 2005 that significantly changed the formalities to be complied with by non-resident shareholders, including U.S. holders, in order to obtain the reduced withholding tax rate on distributions made on or after January 1, 2005.

Pursuant to these guidelines, U.S. holders can either claim Treaty benefits under a simplified procedure or under the normal procedure. The procedure to be followed depends on whether the application for Treaty benefits is filed before or after the dividend payment.

Under the simplified procedure, in order to benefit from the lower rate of withholding tax applicable under the Treaty before the payment of the dividend, a U.S. holder must complete and deliver to the paying agent (through its account holder) a treaty form (Form 5000), to certify in particular that:

- the U.S. holder is beneficially entitled to the dividend;
- the U.S. holder is a U.S. resident within the meaning of the Treaty;
- the dividend is not derived from a permanent establishment or a fixed base that the U.S. holder has in France; and
 - the dividend received is or will be reported to the tax authorities in the United States.

For partnerships or trusts, claims for Treaty benefits and related attestations are made by the partners, beneficiaries or grantors who also have to supply certain additional documentation.

In order to be eligible for Treaty benefits, pension funds and certain other tax-exempt U.S. holders must comply with the simplified procedure described above, though they may be required to supply additional documentation evidencing their entitlement to those benefits.

If Form 5000 is not filed prior to the dividend payment, a withholding tax will be levied at the 25% rate, and a holder would have to claim a refund for the excess under the normal procedure by filing both Form 5000 and Form 5001 no later than December 31 of the second calendar year following the year in which the dividend is paid.

Pension funds and certain other tax-exempt entities are subject to the same general filing requirements as other U.S. holders except that they may have to supply additional documentation evidencing their entitlement to these benefits.

Copies of Form 5000 and Form 5001 may be downloaded from the French tax authorities' website (www.impots.gouv.fr) and are also available from the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and from the Centre des Impôts des Non-Résidents in France (10 rue du Centre 93160, Noisy-le-Grand).

Capital Gains

Under the Treaty, a U.S. holder will not be subject to French tax on any gain derived from the sale or exchange of Shares or ADSs, unless the gain is effectively connected with a permanent establishment or fixed base maintained by the holder in France.

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, gain or loss realized by a U.S. holder on the sale or other disposition of Shares or ADSs will be capital gain or loss, and will be long-term capital gain or loss if the Shares or ADSs were held for more than one year. The net amount of long-term capital gain recognized by an individual U.S. holder before January 1, 2011 generally is subject to taxation at a maximum rate of 15%. U.S. holders' ability to offset capital losses against ordinary income is limited.

Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules

Unfavorable U.S. tax rules (the “PFIC rules”) apply to companies that are considered passive foreign investment companies (“PFICs”). The Company will be classified as a PFIC in a particular taxable year if either (a) 75% or more of its gross income is treated as passive income for purposes of the PFIC rules; or (b) the average percentage of the value of its assets that produce or are held for the production of passive income is at least 50%.

As explained above, the Company believes that it was not a PFIC for U.S. tax purposes with respect to the year 2009, and also does not anticipate becoming a PFIC with respect to the year 2010. However, as discussed in Forms 20-f filed by the Company with respect to certain prior years the Company believes that it was a PFIC in the past. Moreover, because the PFIC determination is made annually and is dependent upon a number of factors, some of which are beyond the Company's control (including whether the Company continues to earn substantial amounts of operating income as well as the market composition and value of the Company's assets), there can be no assurance that the Company will not become a PFIC in future years.

U.S. holders that held Shares or ADSs at any time during the years when the Company was a PFIC and did not make certain U.S. tax elections (a "mark-to-market election" or a "QEF election") will be subject to adverse tax treatment. For instance, such holders will be subject to a special tax at ordinary income tax rates on certain dividends that the Company pays and on gains realized on the sale of Shares or ADSs ('excess distributions') in all subsequent years, even though the Company ceased to qualify as a PFIC. The amount of this tax will be increased by an interest charge to compensate for tax deferral, calculated as if the excess distributions had been earned ratably over the period the U.S. holder held its Shares or ADSs. It may be possible, in certain circumstances, for a holder to avoid the application of the PFIC rules by making a "deemed sale" election for its taxable year that includes the last day of the Company's last taxable year during which it qualified as a PFIC. The PFIC rules are extremely complex, and holders should consult their own tax advisers regarding the possible application of the PFIC rules to their Shares or ADSs and the desirability and availability of a "deemed sale election".

French Estate and Gift Tax

Under the estate and gift tax convention between the United States and France, a transfer of Shares or ADSs by gift or by reason of the death of a U.S. holder entitled to benefits under that convention will not be subject to French gift or inheritance tax, so long as the donor or decedent was not domiciled in France at the time of the transfer, and Shares or ADSs were not used or held for use in the conduct of a business or profession through a permanent establishment or fixed base in France.

French Wealth Tax

The French wealth tax does not generally apply to Shares or ADSs of a U.S. holder if the holder is a resident of the United States for purposes of the Treaty.

U.S. Information Reporting and Backup Withholding Rules

Payments of dividends and sales proceeds that are made within the United States or through certain U.S.-related financial intermediaries are subject to information reporting and may be subject to backup withholding unless the holder (i) is a corporation or other exempt recipient or (ii) provides a taxpayer identification number and certifies that no loss of exemption from backup withholding has occurred. Holders that are not U.S. persons generally are not subject to information reporting or backup withholding. However, such a holder may be required to provide a certification of its non- U.S. status in connection with payments received within the United States or through a U.S.-related financial intermediary.

Statement by Experts

N/A

Documents on Display

We are subject to the informational requirements of the Exchange. In accordance with these requirements, we file reports and other information with the SEC. These materials, including this annual report and the exhibits hereto, may be inspected and copied at the SEC's public reference room at 100F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549 and at the SEC's regional offices at 500 West Madison Street, Suite 1400, Chicago, Illinois 60661, and 233 Broadway, New York, New York 10279. Copies of the materials may be obtained from the public reference room of the Commission at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549 at prescribed rates. The public may obtain information on the operation of the SEC's Public Reference Room by calling the SEC in the United States at +1 800 SEC 0330.

Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We are exposed to market risk from changes in both foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. We do not hold or issue derivative or other financial instruments. As of December 31, 2010, we had no outstanding foreign exchange sale or purchase contracts.

Exchange Rate Risk

Revenues and Expenses in Foreign Currencies

We are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk because a significant portion of our costs are denominated in currencies other than those in which we earn revenues. In 2010, approximately 66% of our total operating expenses were denominated in euro. During the same period, approximately 59% of our sales were denominated in euro, the rest being denominated primarily in U.S. dollars and Japanese yen.

A uniform 10% strengthening in the value of the euro as of December 31, 2010 relative to the U.S. dollar and the Japanese yen would have resulted in an increase in income before taxes and minority interests of approximately €309,000 for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to a increase of approximately €223,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009. This calculation assumes that the U.S. dollar and Japanese yen exchange rates would have changed in the same direction relative to the euro. In addition to the direct effect of changes in exchange rates quantified above, changes in exchange rates also affect the volume of sales.

We regularly assess the exposure of our receivables to fluctuations in the exchange rates of the principal foreign currencies in which our sales are denominated (in particular, the U.S. dollar and the Japanese yen) and, from time to time, hedge such exposure by entering into forward sale contracts for the amounts denominated in such currencies that we expect to receive from our local subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2010 we had no outstanding hedging instruments.

Financial Instruments and Indebtedness

Over the past three years, we also had exchange rate exposures with respect to indebtedness and assets denominated in Japanese yen and U.S. dollars. Approximately €0.675 million, €0.568 million and €0.231 million of our outstanding indebtedness at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, were denominated in Japanese yen. Approximately €9.4 million, €9.7 million and €9.3 million of our outstanding indebtedness at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, were denominated in U.S. dollars. See “Risk Factors—Risks relating to the October 2007 Private Placement.”

In addition, we had approximately €4.9 million, €7.9 million and €9.6 million of cash denominated in U.S. dollars at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and €0.7 million, €1.0 million and €0.5 million of cash denominated in Japanese yen at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Item 12. Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities

American Depositary Shares

Fees Payable to ADS Holders

The Bank of New York Mellon, as the Company’s Depository, currently collects its fees for delivery and surrender of ADSs directly from investors depositing shares or surrendering ADSs for the purpose of withdrawal or from intermediaries acting for them. With respect to our Senior Convertible Debenture contract, fees for delivery of ADS directly linked to a debenture conversion, a warrant exercise or the payment of quarterly interest shares are supported

by the Company.

67

The Depositary may collect fees for making distributions to investors by deducting those fees from the amounts distributed or by selling a portion of distributable property to pay the fees. The Depositary may collect its annual fee for Depositary services by deductions from cash distributions or by directly billing investors or by charging the book-entry system accounts of participants acting for them. The Depositary may generally refuse to provide fee-attracting services until fees for those services are paid.

Fees:	For:
\$5.00 (or less) per 100 ADSs (or portion of 100 ADSs)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Issuance of ADSs, including issuances resulting from a distribution of shares or rights or other property, - Cancellation of ADSs for the purpose of withdrawal, including if the deposit agreement terminates.
\$0.2 (or less) per ADS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Any cash distribution to ADS registered holders.
A fee equivalent to the fee that would be payable if securities distributed to you had been shares and the shares had been deposited to issuance of ADSs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Distribution of securities distributed to holders of deposited securities which are distributed by the Depositary to ADS registered holders.
Registration or transfer fees	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Transfer and registration of shares on our share register to or from the name of the Depositary or its agent when you deposit or withdraw shares
Expenses of the Depositary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Cable, telex and facsimile transmissions (when expressly provided in the deposit agreement) - Converting foreign currency to U.S. dollars
Taxes and other governmental charges the Depositary or the custodian have to pay on any ADS or share underlying an ADS, for example, stock transfer taxes, stamp duty or withholding taxes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - As necessary
Any charges incurred by the Depositary or its agents for servicing the deposited securities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - As necessary

Fees Payable to the Company by the Depositary

From January 1, 2010 to March 18, 2011, the following amounts were paid by the Depositary \$95,000, \$8,733.09 and \$3,375.00, respectively for administration of ADR program, expenses linked to annual analysis and survey of the Company's shareholder base and assistance for printing, mailing and distributing assembly meetings materials and proxies.

PART II

Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies

Not applicable.

Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

Item 15. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Company conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act), as of December 31, 2010. Based on this evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of such date. The Company's disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely discussions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Company's internal controls over financial reporting include those policies and procedures that:

- Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of the Company's management and directors; and
- Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of inherent limitations, internal controls over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 based upon the framework as set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on the Management's assessment, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2010.

This annual report does not include the attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the SEC that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Change in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

No change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting occurred as of the end of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 16. [Reserved]

Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert

Our Board of Directors has determined that the chair of the Board's Audit Committee, Mr. Pierre Beysson, an independent Director, qualifies as an audit committee financial expert.

Item 16B. Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics applicable to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, principal accounting officers and to any persons performing similar functions. The code of ethics is reviewed every year by the Board of Directors. In 2010, there were no waivers of its applicability. Our code of ethics has previously been filed with the SEC and we have made it available on our website at <http://www.edap-tms.com>. You may request a copy of our code of ethics free of charge upon request to Blandine Confort, Investor Relations Officer, at bconfort@edap-tms.com.

Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The "Audit and Non-Audit Services Pre-Approval Policy" was approved by our Audit Committee on December 22, 2003 (the "2003 Rules") and reviewed on July 22, 2005. This requires all services which are to be performed by our external auditors to be pre-approved. Pre-approval may be in the form of a general pre-approval or as pre-approval on a case-by-case basis. All services to be performed by the external auditors were subjected to the above policy and approved in advance. The Audit Committee has been regularly informed of the services and the fees to be paid. Our external auditors Ernst & Young Audit ("E&Y") billed the following services related to our 2010 financial year:

Nature of the Fees

	2010 (in €)	2009 (in €)	2008 (in €)
Audit fees	193,920	229,960	213,380
Audit-related fees	680	600	1,360
Tax fees	1,850		

All other fees

Total	196,450	230,560	214,740
-------	---------	---------	---------

70

Audit Fees

The following services were billed under the category “audit services”: audit of financial statements and services performed in relation to legal obligations, including the formulation of audit opinions and reports, domestic and international legal audits and support in the preparation and auditing of the documents to be filed. Audit services also included the auditing of information systems and processes and tests, which serve to promote understanding and reliability of the systems and internal corporate controls, as well as advice on issues of billing, accounting and reporting.

Audit-Related Fees

Audit-related services mainly consisted of services that are normally performed by the external auditor in connection with the auditing of the annual financial statements. Audit-related services also included advice on issues of accounting and reporting which were not classified as audit services, support with the interpretation and implementation of new accounting and reporting standards, auditing of employee benefit plans and support with the implementation of corporate control requirements for reporting.

Tax Fees

Tax services consisted of services relating to issues of domestic and international taxation (adherence to tax law, tax planning and tax consulting). Furthermore, services were commissioned for the review of tax returns, assistance with tax audits, as well as assistance relating to tax law.

All Other Fees

Other services mainly consisted of routine and administrative follow-up of patents and brand names. All these services were unrelated to the audits of our financial statements.

Item 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees

Not applicable.

Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

In 2010, one Director acquired 17,500 shares of EDAP TMS. There was no other purchase of equity securities of the Company registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act by the Company or by affiliated purchasers.

Item 16F. Change in Registrant’s Certifying Accountant

Not applicable.

Item 16G. Corporate Governance

Exemptions from Certain NASDAQ Corporate Governance Rules

NASDAQ rules provide for exemptions from the NASDAQ corporate governance standards to a foreign issuer when those standards are contrary to a law, rule or regulation of any public authority exercising jurisdiction over such issuer or contrary to generally accepted business practices in the issuer’s country of domicile. We received from NASDAQ an exemption from compliance with one corporate governance standard that is contrary to the French corporate law. The exemption, and the practices followed by the Company, are described below.

We are exempt from NASDAQ's quorum requirements applicable to meetings of shareholders. In keeping with French law and generally accepted business practices in France, the presence in person or by proxy of shareholders having not less than 20% (in the case of an ordinary general meeting or an extraordinary general meeting deciding upon any capital increase by capitalization of reserves) or 25% (in the case of an extraordinary general meeting) of the shares is necessary for a quorum. If a quorum is not present at any meeting, the meeting is adjourned. Upon recommencement of an adjourned meeting, there is no quorum requirement in the case of an ordinary general meeting or an extraordinary general meeting deciding upon any capital increase by capitalization of reserves. The presence in person or by proxy of shareholders having not less than 20% of the Shares is necessary for a quorum in the case of any other type of extraordinary general meeting. We petitioned for this exemption because there are doubts as to whether it would be legally permissible for a French company to adopt in its articles of association quorum requirements that would be more stringent than those prescribed by French law, and this would in any event be contrary to generally accepted business practice in France.

PART III

Item 17. Financial Statements.

See Item 18, "Financial Statements."

Item 18. Financial Statements

The financial statements listed in the Index to Financial Statements are filed as a part of this annual report.

Item 19. Exhibits

The exhibits listed in the Index to Exhibits are filed or incorporated by reference as a part of this annual report.

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Company has filed certain agreements as exhibits to this annual report on Form 20-F. These agreements may contain representations and warranties by the parties. These representations and warranties have been made solely for the benefit of the other party or parties to such agreements and (i) may be intended not as statements of fact, but rather as a way of allocating the risk to one of the parties to such agreements if those statements turn out to be inaccurate; (ii) may have been qualified by disclosures that were made to such other party or parties and that either have been reflected in the Company's filings or are not required to be disclosed in those filings; (iii) may apply materiality standards different from what may be viewed as material to investors; and (iv) were made only as of the date of such agreements or such other date(s) as may be specified in such agreements and are subject to more recent developments. Accordingly, these representations and warranties may not describe the Company's actual state of affairs at the date hereof.

Exhibit Description

Number:

- 1.1 By-laws (statuts) of EDAP TMS S.A. as amended as of January 3, 2011.
- 4.1 (a) Commercial Leases dated October 1, 2002 and Amendment No. 1 dated October 15, 2002, between Maison Antoine Baud and EDAP TMS S.A., EDAP S.A. and Technomed Medical Systems S.A. (together with an English translation thereof) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the annual report on Form 20-F filed on May 8, 2003 (File No. 000-29374)). (1)
- (b) Amendment No. 2 to commercial leases between TMS S.A. and Maison Antoine Baud, signed on June 28, 2004 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2(b) to the annual report on Form 20-F filed on May 20, 2005 (File No. 000-29374)). (1)
- 4.2 Form of Registration Rights Agreement dated as of July 27, 2006, among EDAP TMS S.A. and the investors signatory thereto (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2 to the Report of Foreign Private Issuer on Form 6-K/A furnished on August 18, 2006 (File No. 000-29374)). (1)
- 4.3 Form of Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of October 29, 2007 among EDAP TMS S.A. and each purchaser identified on the signature pages thereto (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1 to the Report of Foreign Private Issuer on Form 6-K furnished on October 31, 2007 (File No. 000-29374)). (1)
- 4.4 Form of Registration Rights Agreement dated as of October 29, 2007, among EDAP TMS S.A. and the investors signatory thereto (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2 to the Report of Foreign Private Issuer on Form 6-K furnished on October 31, 2007 (File No. 000-29374)). (1)
- 4.5 Amended and Restated Depositary Agreement with Bank of New York, filed with the SEC on March 27, 2008 as Post-Effective Amendment No.1 to Form F-6 (File No. 333-07314). (1)
- 4.6 Supplement to 9% Senior Convertible Debendure Due October 30, 2012 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Form 6-K furnished on December 3, 2009 (File No. 333-07314)). (1)
- 4.7 Form of Registration Statement filed with the SEC on October 6, 2010 (File No. 333-169793). (1)
- 4.8 Amendment to the Securities Purchase Agreement among the Company and the investors signatory thereto (incorporated therein by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Form 6-K filed on January 3, 2011 (File No. 000-29374)). (1)
- 8.1 List of subsidiaries of EDAP TMS S.A. as of March 31, 2011.
- 11.1 Code of Ethics of the Company, approved by the Board of Directors on July 22, 2005.(1)
- 12.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 12.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 13.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- 15.1 Consent of Ernst & Young.

(1) Previously filed.

SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this annual report on its behalf.

EDAP TMS S.A.

Dated: March 31, 2011

/s/ Marc Oczachowski
Chief Financial Officer

Dated: March 31, 2011

/s/ Eric Soyer
Chief Financial Officer

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Audited Consolidated Financial Statements for EDAP TMS S.A. and Subsidiaries for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

<u>Report of Independent Auditors</u>	<u>F-2</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009</u>	<u>F-3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	<u>F-4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	<u>F-5</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	<u>F-6</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	<u>F-7</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>F-8</u>

F-1

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Shareholders of EDAP TMS S.A.,

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of EDAP TMS S.A. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for the three years ended December 31, 2010. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of EDAP TMS's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of EDAP TMS S.A. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2009 and 2010, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the three years ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

ERNST & YOUNG Audit

/s/ JACQUES FOURNIER

Represented by
Jacques Fournier

March 31, 2011
Lyon, France

F-2

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
As of December 31, 2010 and 2009
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted)

ASSETS	Notes	2010	2009
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	2	7,369	11,590
Net Trade accounts and notes receivable	3	15,441	14,802
Other receivables	4	650	723
Inventories	5	3,917	3,794
Deferred tax assets	21-3	282	355
Other assets, current portion	6	687	870
Short-term investment	2	1,519	1,113
Total current assets		29,865	33,248
Other assets, non-current	6	187	861
Property and equipment, net	7	2,877	3,288
Intangible assets, net	8	82	103
Goodwill	8	2,412	2,412
Deposits and other non-current assets		515	466
Total assets		35,938	40,378
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Current liabilities			
Trade accounts and notes payable	9	5,899	5,734
Deferred revenues, current portion	10	578	558
Social security and other payroll withholdings taxes		837	823
Employee absences compensation		488	466
Income taxes payable		48	124
Other accrued liabilities	11	3,768	3,784
Short-term borrowings	13	2,031	2,675
Current portion of capital lease obligations	12	688	837
Current portion of long-term debt	14	322	173
Total current liabilities		14,658	15,175
Deferred revenues, non current	10	297	330
Capital lease obligations, non current	12	961	1,372
Convertible debentures carried at fair value	14	8,121	8,934
Financial instruments carried at fair value	14	1,287	808
Long-term debt, non current	14	668	396
Other long-term liabilities	15	1,047	784
Total liabilities		27,038	27,799
Shareholders' equity			
Common stock, €0.13 par value; 13,389,929 shares issued and 13,008,401 shares outstanding; 10,909,833 shares issued and 10,510,305 shares outstanding; at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively			
		1,741	1,418
Additional paid-in capital		38,870	29,961
Retained earnings		(27,151)	(14,436)
Cumulative other comprehensive loss		(3,386)	(3,131)
Treasury stock, at cost; 381,528 and 399,528 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively			
		(1,172)	(1,233)
Total shareholders' equity	16	8,900	12,579

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	35,938	40,378
--	--------	--------

F-3

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted)

	Notes	2010	2009	2008(2)
Sales of goods		13,135	13,775	12,547
Sales of RPPs & leases		4,689	5,444	4,664
Sales of spare parts and services		5,378	5,620	5,645
Total sales		23,202	24,839	22,856
Total net sales		23,202	24,839	22,856
Other revenues	17	506	46	197
Total revenues		23,708	24,885	23,053
Cost of goods		(7,656)	(7,847)	(8,395)
Cost of RPPs & leases		(2,641)	(2,768)	(2,546)
Cost of spare parts and services		(3,956)	(3,598)	(3,014)
Total cost of sales		(14,253)	(14,213)	(13,955)
Gross profit		9,455	10,672	9,099
Research and development expenses	18	(3,268)	(3,651)	(3,712)
Selling and marketing expenses		(6,684)	(6,401)	(5,684)
General and administrative expenses		(3,320)	(3,822)	(3,862)
Non-recurring operating expenses	19			
Loss from operations		(3,818)	(3,202)	(4,159)
Financial (expense) income, net	20	(8,844)	(4,390)	5,232
Foreign currency exchange gain (loss), net		884	(101)	577
Other income (expense), net		-	-	(1)
Income (loss) before taxes		(11,778)	(7,694)	1,648
Income tax (expense) benefit	21	(939)	(72)	(51)
Net income (loss)		(12,717)	(7,766)	1,597
Basic income (loss) per share	22	(0.98)	(0.74)	0.17
Diluted income (loss) per share(1)	22	(0.98)	(0.74)	0.17
Basic Weighted average shares outstanding	22	13,008,401	10,510,305	9,582,593
Diluted Weighted average shares outstanding	22	13,094,235	10,567,563	9,658,295

- (1) Due to the net losses in 2010 and 2009, the assumed net exercise of stock options/warrants and stock relating to the convertible bonds in those years was excluded, as the effect would have been anti-dilutive.
- (2) Certain prior years amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation (see Note 1-15 Research and development costs)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted)

	2010	2009	2008
Net income (loss)	(12,717)	(7,766)	1,597
Other comprehensive loss:			
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(93)	123	(168)
Provision for retirement indemnities	(162)	32	(34)
Comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(12,972)	(7,611)	1,395

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted)

	Number of Shares	Common Stock	Additional paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Cumulative Other Comprehensive Income (loss)	Treasury Stock	Total
Balance as of January 1, 2008	9,200,757	1,251	25,896	(8,265)	(3,082)	(1,301)	14,499
Net income				1,597			1,597
Translation adjustment					(168)		(168)
Warrants and stock options granted			699				699
Capital increase	381,836	50	550				599
Provision for retirement indemnities					(34)		(34)
Balance as of December 31, 2008	9,582,593	1,301	27,145	(6,668)	(3,285)	(1,301)	17,191
Net income				(7,766)			(7,766)
Translation adjustment					123		123
Warrants and stock options granted	24,212		312			68	380
Capital increase	903,500	117	2,504	(2)			2,620
Provision for retirement indemnities					32		32
Balance as of December 31, 2009	10,510,305	1,418	29,961	(14,436)	(3,131)	(1,233)	12,579
Net loss				(12,717)			(12,717)
Translation adjustment					(93)		(93)
Warrants and stock options granted	18,000		265			62	327
Capital increase	2,480,096	322	8,644				8,966
Provision for retirement indemnities					(162)		(162)
Balance as of December 31, 2010	13,008,401	1,740	38,870	(27,154)	(3,386)	(1,172)	8,900

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted).

	2010	2009	2008
Cash flows from operating activities			
Net income (loss)	(12,717)	(7,766)	1,597
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	1,233	1,801	1,785
Change in fair value on Convertible Debentures	3,434	2,342	(3,465)
Change in fair value on Investors Warrants and Placement Agent Warrants	2,619	376	(3,238)
Other Non-cash compensation	489	528	684
Change in allowances for doubtful accounts & slow-moving inventories	186	(330)	394
Change in long-term provisions	(21)	294	325
Net capital loss on disposals of assets	78	119	255
Deferred tax expense (benefit)	180	(57)	(77)
Operating cash flow	(4,519)	(2,693)	(1,740)
Increase/Decrease in operating assets and liabilities:			
Decrease (Increase) in trade accounts and notes and other receivables	146	(903)	(3,467)
Decrease (Increase) in inventories	(355)	(319)	(126)
Decrease (Increase) in other assets	940	504	26
(Decrease) Increase in trade accounts and notes payable	192	(307)	561
(Decrease) Increase in accrued expenses, other current liabilities	(223)	54	152
Net increase (decrease) in operating assets and liabilities	700	(971)	(2,854)
Net cash used in operating activities	(3,818)	(3,664)	(4,593)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Additions to capitalized assets produced by the Company	(244)	(383)	(687)
Net proceeds from sale of leased back assets	283	1,079	1,108
Acquisitions of property and equipment	(352)	(320)	(373)
Acquisitions of intangible assets	(13)	(35)	(57)
Acquisitions of short term investments, net	(406)	(8)	(691)
Net proceeds from sale of assets	39	71	
Increase (decrease) in deposits and guarantees, net	8	(2)	(11)
Net cash generated by (used in) investing activities	(685)	402	(712)
Cash flow from financing activities:			
Proceeds from capital increase	8,966	2,620	600
Proceeds from long term borrowings, net of financing costs	598	499	238
Repayment of long term borrowings	(7,424)	(2,161)	(65)
Repayment of obligations under capital leases	(843)	(888)	(636)
Increase (decrease) in bank overdrafts and short-term borrowings	(644)	922	159
Net cash generated by financing activities	652	992	296
Net effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(369)	33	1,313
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(4,221)	(2,237)	(3,696)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	11,590	13,827	17,523
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	7,369	11,590	13,827

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

1—SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

1-1 Nature of operations

EDAP TMS S.A. and its subsidiaries (“the Company”) are engaged in the development, production, marketing, distribution and maintenance of a portfolio of minimally-invasive medical devices for the treatment of urological diseases. The Company currently produces devices for treating stones of the urinary tract and localized prostate cancer. Net sales consist primarily of direct sales to hospitals and clinics in France and Europe, export sales to third-party distributors and agents, and export sales through subsidiaries based in Germany, Italy and Asia.

The Company purchases the majority of the components used in its products from a number of suppliers but for some components, relies on a single source. Delay would be caused if the supply of these components or other components was interrupted and these delays could be extended in certain situations where a component substitution may require regulatory approval. Failure to obtain adequate supplies of these components in a timely manner could have a material adverse effect on the Company’s business, financial position and results of operation.

1-2 Management estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“U.S. GAAP”) requires management to make estimates and assumptions, such as business plans, that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

1-3 Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of EDAP TMS S.A. and all its domestic and foreign owned subsidiaries, which include EDAP TMS France SAS, EDAP Technomed Inc., Edap Technomed Sdn Bhd, Edap Technomed Italia S.R.L, EDAP Technomed Co. Ltd. and EDAP TMS GmbH. Edap Technomed Sdn Bhd was incorporated in early 1997. Edap Technomed Co. Ltd. was created in late 1996. EDAP TMS GmbH was created in July 2006. EDAP SA, a subsidiary incorporating HIFU activities merged all of its activity into EDAP TMS France SAS in 2008. All intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation

1-4 Revenue recognition

Sales of goods:

For medical device sales with no significant remaining vendor obligation, payments contingent upon customer financing, acceptance criteria that can be subjectively interpreted by the customer, or tied to the use of the device, revenue is recognized when evidence of an arrangement exists, title to the device passes (depending on terms, either upon shipment or delivery), and the customer has the intent and ability to pay in accordance with contract payment terms that are fixed or determinable. For sales in which payment is contingent upon customer financing, acceptance criteria can be subjectively interpreted by the customer, or payment depends on use of the device, revenue is recognized when the contingency is resolved. The Company provides training and provides a minimum of one-year warranty upon installation. The Company accrues for the estimated training and warranty costs at the time of sale.

Revenues related to disposables are recognized when goods are delivered.

Sales of RPPs and leases:

Revenues related to the sale of Ablatherm treatments invoiced on a “Revenue-Per-Procedure” (“RPP”) basis are recognized when the treatment procedure has been completed. Revenues from devices leased to customers under operating leases are recognized on a straight-line basis.

F-8

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

Sales of spare parts and services:

Revenues related to spare parts are recognized when goods are delivered. Maintenance contracts rarely exceed one year and are recognized on a linear basis. Billings or cash receipts in advance of services due under maintenance contracts are recorded as deferred revenue.

1-5 Shipping and handling costs

The Company recognizes revenue from the shipping and handling of its products as a component of revenue. Shipping and handling costs are recorded as a component of cost of sales.

1-6 Cash equivalents and short term investments

Cash equivalents are cash investments which are highly liquid and have initial maturities of 90 days or less.

Cash investments with a maturity higher than 90 days are considered as short-term investments.

1-7 Accounts Receivables

Accounts receivables are stated at cost net of allowances for doubtful accounts. The Company makes judgments as to its ability to collect outstanding receivables and provides allowances for the portion of receivables when collection becomes doubtful. Provision is made based upon a specific review of all significant outstanding invoices. These estimates are based on our bad debt write-off experience, analysis of credit information, specific identification of probable bad debt based on our collection efforts, aging of accounts receivables and other known factors.

1-8 Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of manufacturing cost, which is principally comprised of components and labor costs, or market (net realizable value). Cost is determined on a first-in, first-out basis for components and spare parts and by specific identification for finished goods (medical devices). The Company establishes reserves for inventory estimated to be obsolete, unmarketable or slow moving, first based on a detailed comparison between quantity in inventory and historical consumption and then based on case-by-case analysis of the difference between the cost of inventory and the related estimated market value.

1-9 Property and equipment

Property and equipment is stated at historical cost. Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment are calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the related assets, as follows:

Leasehold improvements.....	10 years or lease term if shorter
Equipment.....	3-10 years
Furniture, fixtures, fittings and other	2-10 years

Equipment includes industrial equipment and research equipment that has alternative future uses. Equipment also includes devices that are manufactured by the Company and leased to customers through operating leases related to

Revenue-Per-Procedure transactions and devices subject to sale and leaseback transactions. This equipment is depreciated over a period of seven years.

F-9

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

1-10 Long-lived assets

The Company reviews the carrying value of its long-lived assets, including fixed assets and intangible assets, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be fully recoverable. Recoverability of long-lived assets is assessed by a comparison of the carrying amount of the assets (or the Group of assets, including the asset in question, that represents the lowest level of separately-identifiable cash flows) to the total estimated undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset or group of assets. If the future net undiscounted cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset or group of assets, the asset or group of assets is considered impaired and an expense is recognized equal to the amount required to reduce the carrying amount of the asset or group of assets to its then fair value. Fair value is determined by discounting the cash flows expected to be generated by the assets, when the quoted market prices are not available for the long-lived assets. Estimated future cash flows are based on assumptions and are subject to risk and uncertainty.

1-11 Goodwill and intangible assets

Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price over the fair value of identifiable net assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill is not amortized but instead tested annually for impairment or more frequently when events or change in circumstances indicate that the assets might be impaired by comparing the carrying value to the fair value of the reporting units to which it is assigned. Under ASC 350, "Goodwill and other intangible assets", the impairment test is performed in two steps. The first step compares the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, a second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss. The second step allocates the fair value of the reporting unit to the Company's tangible and intangible assets and liabilities. This derives an implied fair value for the reporting unit's goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting units goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of that goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized equal to that excess. For the purpose of any impairment test, the Company relies upon projections of future undiscounted cash flows and takes into account assumptions regarding the evolution of the market and its ability to successfully develop and commercialize its products.

Changes in market conditions could have a major impact on the valuation of these assets and could result in additional impairment losses.

Intangible assets consist primarily of purchased patents relating to lithotripters, purchased licenses, a purchased trade name and a purchased trademark. The basis for valuation of these assets is their historical acquisition cost. Amortization of intangible assets is calculated by the straight-line method over the shorter of the contractual or estimated useful life of the assets, as follows:

Patents.....	5 years
Licenses.....	5 years
Trade name and trademark	7 years

1-12 Treasury Stocks

Treasury stock purchases are accounted for at cost. The sale of treasury stocks is accounted for using the first in first out method. Gains on the sale or retirement of treasury stocks are accounted for as additional paid-in capital whereas losses on the sale or retirement of treasury stock are recorded as additional paid-in capital to the extent that previous

net gains from sale or retirement of treasury stocks are included therein; otherwise the losses shall be recorded to accumulated benefit (deficit) account. Gains or losses from the sale or retirement of treasury stock do not affect reported results of operations.

F-10

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

1-13 Warranty expenses

The Company generally provides customers with a warranty for each product sold and accrues warranty expense at time of sale based upon historical claims experience. Actual warranty costs incurred are charged against the accrual when paid and are classified in cost of sales in the statement of income. Warranty expense amounted to €555 thousand, €738 thousand and €768 thousand for the years ended December 31 2010, 2009 and 2008 respectively.

1-14 Income taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with ASC 740, "Accounting for Income Taxes" Under ASC 740, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities and are measured by applying enacted tax rates and laws to taxable years in which such differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is established if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion, or all of the deferred tax assets, will not be realized. In accordance with ASC740, no provision has been made for income or withholding taxes on undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries, such undistributed earnings being permanently reinvested.

As of January 1, 2007, the Company adopted FIN48 (now ASC 740) "Accounting for uncertainty in income tax". Under ASC740, the measurement of a tax position that meets the more-likely-that-not recognition threshold must take into consideration the amounts and probabilities of the outcomes that could be realized upon ultimate settlement using the facts, circumstances and information available at the reporting date.

1-15 Research and development costs

Research and development costs are recorded as an expense in the period in which they are incurred.

The French government provides tax credits to companies for innovative research and development. This tax credit is calculated based on a percentage of eligible research and development costs and it can be refundable in cash.

In 2009, the Company reviewed the presentation of its research tax credit and elected to change for the preferred classification as permitted under ASC 250-10.

The research tax credit amounted to €327 thousand, €452 thousand and €544 thousand for the years ended December 31 2010, 2009 and 2008 respectively.

1-16 Advertising costs

Advertising costs are recorded as an expense in the period in which they are incurred. Advertising costs amounted to €920 thousand, €1,090 thousand and €1,408 thousand for the years ended December 31 2010, 2009 and 2008 respectively.

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

1-17 Foreign currency translation and transactions

Translation of the financial statements of consolidated companies

The reporting currency of EDAP TMS S.A. for all years presented is the euro (€). The functional currency of each subsidiary is its local currency. In accordance with ASC 830, all accounts in the financial statements are translated into euro from the functional currency at exchange rate as follows:

- assets and liabilities are translated at year-end exchange rates;
- shareholders' equity is translated at historical exchange rates (as of the date of contribution);
 - statement of income items are translated at average exchange rates for the year; and
 - translation gains and losses are recorded in a separate component of shareholders' equity.

Foreign currencies transactions

Transactions involving foreign currencies are translated into the functional currency using the exchange rate prevailing at the time of the transactions. Receivables and payables denominated in foreign currencies are translated at year-end exchange rates. The resulting unrealized exchange gains and losses are carried to the statement of income.

1-18 Earnings per share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of the Company. The dilutive effects of the Company's common stock options and warrants is determined using the treasury stock method to measure the number of shares that are assumed to have been repurchased using the average market price during the period, which is converted from U.S. dollars at the average exchange rate for the period.

1-19 Derivative instruments

ASC 815 requires the Company to recognize all of its derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities in the statement of financial position at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value (i.e., gains or losses) of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship and further, on the type of hedging relationship. For those derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedging instruments, the Company must classify the hedging instrument, based upon the exposure being hedged, as fair value hedge, cash flow hedge or a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation.

Gains and losses from derivative instruments are recorded in the income statement.

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

1-20 Employee stock option plans

At December 31, 2010, the Company had four stock-based employee compensation plans. The Company adopted ASC 718, “Share-Based Payment”, effective January 1, 2006. ASC 718 requires the recognition of fair value of stock compensation as an expense in the calculation of net income (loss).

The fair value of each stock option granted during the year is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009(1)	2008(1)
Weighted-average expected life (years)	6.25	—	—
Expected volatility rates	87	%	—
Expected dividend yield	—	—	—
Risk-free interest rate	2.32	%	—
Weighted-average exercise price (€)	2.23	—	—
Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the year (€)	1.45	—	—

(1) The Company did not make any grants during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009.

1-21 Convertible debentures and detachable warrants

Convertible Debentures

On October 29, 2007, the Company issued \$20 million in aggregate principal amount of non-secured, convertible debentures (the “Convertible Debentures”) with detachable warrants (the “Investors Warrants” as defined below). See Note 14 for further discussion. At the inception date, the Company elected to measure the instrument and the embedded derivatives in their entirety at fair value, with changes in fair value reported in the income statement under financial income, in accordance with ASC 815. Thus, the Convertible Debentures together with their embedded derivatives are recorded as a liability, with subsequent changes in fair value recorded in financial income and expenses. The Company used a binomial valuation model to measure the fair value of the Investor Warrants and a binomial valuation model with a Company specific credit spread to measure the fair value of the Convertible Debentures.

Warrants:

As part of the October 2007 \$20 million issuance of the 9% Convertible Debentures, the Company issued Warrants (as defined below) to both the investors in the Convertible Debentures and to the bank that assisted us as the Placement Agent. See Note 14 for further discussion.

In accordance with ASC 815, the warrants issued to the investors in the Convertible Debentures (“Investor Warrants”) and the Placement Agent (“Placement Agent Warrants” and together with the Investor Warrants, the “Warrants”) are classified as a liability because the Company may be required to net-cash and settle them upon the occurrence of certain events outside the control of the Company. The Company accounted for the Warrants based on their fair value at inception date, with subsequent changes in fair value recorded as financial earnings (or loss) as each balance sheet

date. The Company used a Black & Scholes pricing model to determine the fair value of the Warrants. The application of the model to the Warrants therefore requires the use of subjective assumptions, including historical share price volatility, the expected life of the Warrants and our risk-free interest rate, and the liquidity discount factor. A change in one or more of these assumptions could result in a material change to the estimated fair value of the vested Warrants.

F-13

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

1-22 Leases and Sales and leaseback transactions

In accordance with ASC 840, Accounting for Leases, the Company classifies all leases at the inception date as either a capital lease or an operating lease. A lease is a capital lease if it meets any one of the following criteria; otherwise, it is an operating lease:

- Ownership is transferred to the lessee by the end of the lease term;
- The lease contains a bargain purchase option;
- The lease term is at least 75% of the property's estimated remaining economic life;
- The present value of the minimum lease payments at the beginning of the lease term is 90% or more of the fair value of the leased property to the lessor at the inception date.

The Company enters into sale and leaseback transactions from time to time. In accordance with ASC 840, any profit or loss on the sale is deferred and amortized prospectively over the term of the lease, in proportion to the leased asset if a capital lease, or in proportion to the related gross rental charged to expense over the lease term, if an operating lease.

1-23 New accounting pronouncements

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued new accounting guidance that requires entities to allocate revenue in multiple-element arrangements using estimated selling prices of the delivered goods and services based on a selling price hierarchy. This guidance eliminates the requirement to establish the fair value of undelivered products and services and instead provides for separate revenue recognition based upon management’s estimate of the selling price for an undelivered item when there is no other means to determine the fair value of that undelivered item. This new approach is effective prospectively for multiple-element revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The Company does not expect adoption to have a material impact on the Company’s financial position or results of operations.

2—CASH EQUIVALENTS AND SHORT TERM INVESTMENTS

Cash and cash equivalents are comprised of the following:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Total cash and cash equivalents	7,369	11,590
Short term investments	1,519	1,113
Total cash and cash equivalents, and short term investments	8,888	12,703

Short term investments are comprised of money market funds. The aggregate fair value of the short term investments is consistent with their book value.

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

3—TRADE ACCOUNTS AND NOTES RECEIVABLE, NET

Trade accounts and notes receivable consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Trade accounts receivable	16,234	15,390
Notes receivable	286	274
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	(1,079)	(862)
Total	15,441	14,802

Notes receivable usually represent commercial bills of exchange (drafts) with initial maturities of 90 days or less.

Bad debt expenses amount to €200 thousand, €332 thousand and €149 thousand, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008.

4—OTHER RECEIVABLES

Other receivables consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Value-added taxes receivable	221	90
Research and development tax credit receivable from the French State	327	452
Personnel advances	47	39
Other receivables from the French State	-	62
Others	55	80
Total	650	723

5—INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Components, spare parts	3,754	3,656
Work-in-progress	329	317
Finished goods	705	627
Total gross inventories	4,789	4,600
Less: provision for slow-moving inventory	(871)	(805)
Total	3,917	3,794

The provision for slow moving inventory relates to components and spare parts. The allowance for slow moving inventory, the changes in which are classified within cost of sales, amounted to €184 thousand, €196 thousand and €390 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

F-15

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

6—OTHER ASSETS

Other assets consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Deferred financing costs , current portion	224	469
Other prepaid expenses, current portion	463	401
Total	687	870

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Deferred financing costs , non-current	187	861

Deferred financing costs related to the debentures issued in the October 2007 private placement are being amortized over 5 years, the duration of the debt. In case of debt reimbursement through earlier conversion, the amortization is then accelerated. The amortization of deferred financing costs, which is classified as financial expense, net, amounted to €920 thousand, €470 thousand and €470 thousand, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

7—PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET

Property and equipment consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Equipment	8,369	8,270
Furniture, fixture, and fittings and other	2,727	2,718
Total gross value	11,096	10,988
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(8,219)	(7,701)
Total	2,877	3,288

Depreciation and amortization expense related to property and equipment amounted to €950 thousand, to €1,097 thousand and €1,273 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Capitalized costs on equipment held under capital leases of €3,326 thousand and €3,251 thousand and are included in property and equipment at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Accumulated amortization of these assets leased to third parties was €2,086 thousand and €1,786 thousand, at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Amortization expense on assets held under capital leases is included in total amortization expense and amounted to €333 thousand, €350 thousand and €372 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

8—GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

As discussed in Note 1-11, the Company adopted ASC 350, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets”, on January 1, 2002. ASC 350 requires that goodwill and other intangible assets that have indefinite lives not be amortized but instead be tested at least annually for impairment, or more frequently when events or change in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired, by comparing the carrying value to the fair value of the reporting unit to which they are assigned. The Company considers its ASC 280 operating segment — High Intensity Focused Ultrasound (HIFU) and Urology Devices and Services (UDS) — to be its reporting units for purposes of testing for impairment, as the components within each operating segment have similar economic characteristics and thus do not represent separate reporting units. Goodwill amounts to €1,767 thousand for the UDS division and to €645 thousand for the HIFU division, at December 31, 2009.

The Company completed the required annual impairment test in the fourth quarter of 2010. To determine the fair value of the Company’s reporting units, the Company used the discounted cash flow approach for each of the two reportable units. The main assumptions used are the following: (i) a five-year business plan approved by management in late 2010, (ii) a discount rate of 15% for HIFU, 10% for UDS, (iii) a residual value specific to each segment. In both cases, the fair value of the reporting unit was in excess of the reporting unit's book value, which resulted in no goodwill impairment.

A one percentage point increase in the HIFU discount rate assumed in the impairment testing would not lead the Company to record an impairment charge. Similarly, a one percentage point increase in the UDS discount rate assumed in the impairment testing would not lead the Company to record an impairment charge. A zero growth rate in the Company’s business plan would not lead the Company to record any impairment charge.

Intangible assets consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Licenses	401	389
Trade name and trademark	682	591
Patents	412	412
Organization costs	363	363
Total gross value	1,858	1,755
Less: accumulated amortization	(1,776)	(1,652)
Total	82	103

Amortization expenses related to intangible assets amounted to €36 thousand, €32 thousand and €37 thousand, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

For the two coming years, the annual estimated amortization expense for intangible assets will be approximately €35 thousand.

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

9—TRADE ACCOUNTS AND NOTES PAYABLE

Trade accounts and notes payable consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Trade accounts payable	4,309	4,061
Notes payable	1,589	1,673
Total	5,899	5,734

Trade accounts payable usually represent invoices with a due date of 90 days or less.

Notes payable represent commercial bills of exchange (drafts) with initial maturities of 90 days or less.

10—DEFERRED REVENUES

Deferred revenues consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Deferred revenues on maintenance contracts	338	311
Deferred revenue on RPP	41	27
Deferred revenue on sale of devices	437	428
Deferral of the gain on sale-lease-back transactions	58	122
Total	875	888
Less long term portion	297	330
Current portion	578	558

11—OTHER ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Other accrued liabilities consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Provision for warranty costs	1,402	1,295
Value added tax payable	531	511
Accruals for social expenses	624	559
Conditional government subsidies(1)	621	814
Retirement indemnities	78	93
Accrued interests	254	267
Others	259	246
Total	3,768	3,784

(1) The maturity of conditional government subsidies depends on the nature of the project.

F-18

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

Changes in the provision for warranty costs are as follows:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Beginning of year	1,295	1,114
Amount used during the year (payments)	(448)	(557)
New warranty expenses	555	738
End of year	1,402	1,295

12—LEASE OBLIGATIONS

12-1 Capital leases

The Company leases certain of its equipment under capital leases. At December 31, 2010, this equipment consists of medical devices for an amount of €1,534 thousand and vehicles for an amount of €115 thousand. Future minimum lease payments under capital leases for the years ending December 31, 2010 are as follows:

	December 31,
	2010
2011	764
2012	527
2013	342
2014	80
Thereafter	84
Total minimum lease payments	1,797
Less: amount representing interest	(148)
Present value of minimum lease payments	1,649
Less: current portion	688
Long-term portion	961

Interest paid under capital lease obligations was €115 thousand, €150 thousand, and €110 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

12-2 Operating leases

As of December 31, 2010, operating leases having initial or remaining non-cancelable lease terms greater than one year consist of one lease for the facilities of TMS S.A. in Vaulx-en-Velin, France and several leases for facilities in Japan. The French lease contract has a lease term of nine years expiring at the option of the lessee at the end of a first four-year period, then a two-year and finally a three-year period, through 2011 (i.e. in 2006, 2008 or 2011).

Future minimum lease payments for these operating leases consist of the following amounts, unless leases are otherwise cancelled by the lessees:

	France	Japan
--	--------	-------

Edgar Filing: EDAP TMS SA - Form 20-F

2011	294	284
2012		161
Total	294	445

F-19

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

Total rent expenses under operating leases amounted to €790 thousand, €822 thousand and €753 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These total rent expenses include the above-mentioned operating leases, but also lease expenses related to subsidiaries office rentals, office equipment and car rentals.

13—SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

As of December 31, 2010, short-term borrowings consist of €1,031 thousand of account receivables factored and for which the Company is supporting the collection risk and a loan in euro amounting to €1,000 thousand with the following conditions:

	Amount	Maturation	Interest rate
EDAP-TMS France SAS	1,000	January 20, 2011	Euribor + 0,5%

As of December 31, 2009, short-term borrowings consist of €1,675 thousand of account receivables factored and for which the Company is supporting the collection risk and a loan in euro amounting to €1,000 thousand with the following conditions:

	Amount	Maturation	Interest rate
EDAP-TMS France SAS	1,000	January 13, 2010	Euribor + 0,5%

14—LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of the following:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Japanese yen term loan	675	568
Italy term loan	315	-
Convertible debentures carried at fair value	8,121	8,934
Investor Warrants	1,287	702
Placement Agent Warrants	-	106
Financial instruments carried at fair value	1,287	808
Total	10,397	10,310
Less current portion	(322)	(173)
Total long-term portion	10,075	10,137

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

Long-term debt at December 31, 2010 matures as follows:

2011	322
2012	8,351
2013	1,520
2014	130
2015	74
Total	10,397

As of December 31, 2010, long-term debt in Japan consists of 4 loans in Yen with the following conditions:

	Amount	Maturation	Interest rate
EDAP Technomed Co. Ltd	30,000,000	November 17, 2011	2.87%
	10,000,000	July 17, 2014	2.00%
	10,000,000	March 31, 2015	0.10%
	50,000,000	September 30, 2015	1.60%

As of December 31, 2009, long-term debt in Japan consists of 4 loans in Yen with the following conditions:

	Amount	Maturation	Interest rate
EDAP Technomed Co. Ltd	30,000,000	November 17, 2011	2.87%
	50,000,000	February 27, 2014	2.00%
	10,000,000	July 17, 2014	2.00%
	5,000,000	September 30, 2014	1.60%

As of December 31, 2010, long-term debt in Italy consists of a loan in euro amounting to €404 thousand with an interest rate at Euribor + 1.9% due to mature on February 28, 2014.

As of December 31, 2010, and 2009, long-term in USD consists of a \$20 million convertible debt with Warrants, raised on October 29, 2007 through a Private Investment in Public Equity deal with selected investors – see Note 1-21 on the accounting treatment of the convertible debentures and the detachable Investors Warrants.

At inception date, the fair value of the convertible debentures and detachable warrants was \$20 million. The Company has allocated the proceeds to the fair value of the debt host and the Investors Warrants.

The \$20 million convertible debt is in the form of 20,000 debentures with a face value of \$1,000 and each bond is convertible into 152 shares of common stock at any time at the election of the holder, using a conversion price of \$6.57, subject to standard anti-dilution adjustments.

The debentures mature in five years (October 28, 2012) and bear an annual interest rate of 9% payable on a quarterly basis in cash or in common stock, at the option of the Company (decision made every quarter) with a 10% discount price over the average market price of common stock.

Investors in the convertible debentures also received an aggregate number of 1,680,000 detachable Investors Warrants to purchase one share of common stock for each warrant. The Investors Warrants have a six-year term and an exercise price of \$6.87, subject to standard anti-dilutive adjustments.

The Company also granted to the bank acting as placement agent in the transaction Placement Agent Warrants to purchase 188,965 shares of common stock, with a five-year term and the following exercise prices: 121,765 shares at \$6.57 and 67,200 shares at \$6.87.

On August 24, 2009, one holder of Convertible Debentures elected to convert 2,892 debentures representing a total value of \$2.892 million. Under the terms of the Convertible Debentures, the 2,892 Convertible Debentures have been converted into 440,182 new shares, using the conversion price of \$6.57

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

On October 30, 2009, our shareholders adopted several resolutions allowing the Board of Directors to renegotiate our indebtedness with the maximum flexibility while staying within the limit of the dilution already authorized by shareholders on October 30, 2007 and February 26, 2009. On November 16, 2009, pursuant to these resolutions, the Board of Directors issued a Supplement to the current debentures offering a 12-month option to the bondholders to convert their debentures earlier out-of-the-money and be compensated by the payment of an accelerated interest premium, payable in shares, within the already authorized dilution limits. This Supplement was unanimously approved by the debenture holders on December 3, 2009, convened in a General Meeting (Masse).

During the year 2010, 2,050 debentures have been converted under this Supplement for a total value of \$2.050 million. The 2,050 debentures have been converted into 312,023 new shares, using the conversion price of \$6.57. The accelerated interest premium represented a total amount of \$0.487 million and triggered the issuance of 128,181 new shares.

On June 24, 2010, our shareholders adopted several new resolutions and extended the validity of existing ones, allowing the Board of Directors to renegotiate our indebtedness with the maximum flexibility while remaining within the limit of the dilution already approved by shareholders, hence authorizing the issuance of a maximum of 6,512,370 new shares.

On December 29, 2010, the Company entered into an amendment to the Securities Purchase Agreement dated as of October 29, 2007, relating to the issuance and sales of the Company's Convertible Debentures and Warrants in order to exempt exchanges of the Company's ordinary shares for outstanding Convertible Debentures or Warrants from the anti-dilution provisions of those instruments.

Pursuant to shareholders' authorization and upon the Board of Directors delegation, the management extended an offer to all senior debenture and warrant holders to exchange all of their Convertible Debentures and Warrants against ADRs in order to redeem part of our outstanding convertible debt. On December 29, 30 and 31, 2010, the Company entered into specific exchange agreements (the "Exchange Agreements") with some of the debenture and warrant holders. Pursuant to these Exchange Agreements, the Company issued 1,441,743 new ordinary shares in the form of ADRs in exchange for 4,558 senior debentures and 986,965 Warrants, reducing the nominal amount of our outstanding convertible debt to \$10.5 million.

Observable and unobservable inputs for fair value measurements: Given the classification established by ASC 820, the following table indicates each input or assumption and the level it belongs to:

Input	ASC 820 level	Comment
Share price	Level 1	Quoted price directly linked to the instrument
Risk free rate	Level 2	Observable input
Volatility	Level 3	Unobservable input
Credit Spread	Level 3	Unobservable input
Liquidity discount	Level 3	Unobservable input

Fair Value of Investor Warrants:

The valuation model of Investor Warrants used a binomial valuation model at inception to capture the complexity of the instruments, and notably the possibility to exercise the call option at any time from the inception date. For subsequent years, the Company used a Black & Scholes valuation model.

F-22

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

As of October 29, 2007, the binomial model uses the following main assumptions and parameters:

- Share price at inception date: \$5.95
- Strike price of Investor Warrants: \$6.87
- Risk free interest rate at 6 years: 4.11%
 - Share price volatility: 45%
- Liquidity discount factor: 26.91%

As of December 31, 2009, the Black & Scholes model used the following main assumptions and parameters:

- Share price at closing date: \$2.75
- Strike price of Investor Warrants: \$6.87
- Risk free interest rate at 6 years: 2.11%
 - Share price volatility: 80%
- Liquidity discount factor: 42.66%

As of December 31, 2010, the Black & Scholes model uses the following main assumptions and parameters:

- Share price at closing date: \$5.64
- Strike price of Investor Warrants: \$6.87
- Risk free interest rate at 6 years: 0.95%
 - Share price volatility: 107%
- Liquidity discount factor: 42.66%

At inception, the Company used a 30-day volatility to fit the monthly arbitration step of its binomial valuation model. At December 31, 2008, given the peculiar market conditions and the erratic changes in stock volatility, the Company, in agreement with third-party experts, determined that a share price volatility based on the residual lifetime of the convertible instruments would be more relevant and should then be used for assessing the fair value of the instruments. Share price volatility was determined using the historical volatility methodology.

On that basis, the unit fair value of Investor Warrants was \$2.32 per warrant at inception date, \$0.60 per warrant as of December 31, 2009, and \$1.95 per warrant as of December 31, 2010. The total fair value for the 1,680,000 issued Investor Warrants was \$3.890 million at inception date and \$1.011 million at December 31, 2009.

As of December 31, 2010, the total fair value for the 882,000 remaining Investor Warrants was \$1.719 million

Fair Value of the Convertible Debt:

The total fair value of the convertible debt is the aggregate of the fair value of the underlying debt host instrument and the fair value of the embedded derivative.

The estimate of the fair value of the underlying debt component is obtained by using the actual interest spread the Company would have had to pay if a straight, unsecured, debt had been raised, with no additional remuneration to lenders in the form of conversion options or warrants. Before and at inception date, the Company conducted an analysis of the terms on a non-convertible, unsecured, conventional debt. Based on this analysis, a rate of 30% has

been used to assess the fair value of the debt host, which represents an interest spread of 26% over the risk-free interest rate at inception date. The present value of the debt host using an effective interest rate of 30% was \$10.330 million.

F-23

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

At December 31, 2009 the fair value has been measured considering any changes required in underlying assumptions, and mostly the risk free interest rate and the credit spread. With the support of third-party experts, the Company determined that the spread to be used over the risk-free rate was 28.51%, in line with the increase in risk-aversion on financial markets. The present value of the debt host at December 31, 2009 was \$11.217 million taking into account the conversion of 2,892 Convertible Debentures in August 2009.

At December 31, 2010 the fair value has been measured considering any changes required in underlying assumptions, and mostly the risk free interest rate and the credit spread. With the support of third-party experts, the Company determined that the spread to be used over the risk-free rate was 29.96%, in line with the increase in risk-aversion on financial markets. The present value of the debt host at December 31, 2010 was \$7.863 million taking into account the remaining 10,500 debentures.

The valuation model of the conversion option uses a binomial valuation model to capture the complexity of the instrument, and notably the continuous possibility of an arbitrage between holding common shares versus interest bearing bonds.

As of October 29, 2007, the binomial model used the following main assumptions and parameters:

- Share price at inception date: \$5.95
- Strike price of Convertible Debentures: \$6.87
- Risk free interest rate at 5 years: 4.04%
 - Share price volatility: 45%
 - Liquidity discount factor: 26.91%

As of December 31, 2009, the binomial model used the following main assumptions and parameters:

- Share price at closing date: \$2.75
- Strike price of warrants: \$6.57
- Risk free interest rate at 5 years: 1.56%
 - Share price volatility: 80%
 - Liquidity discount factor: 42.66%

As of December 31, 2010, the binomial model uses the following main assumptions and parameters:

- Share price at closing date: \$5.64
- Strike price of warrants: \$6.57
- Risk free interest rate at 5 years: 0.56%
 - Share price volatility: 107%
 - Liquidity discount factor: 42.66%

At inception, the Company used a 30-day volatility to fit the monthly arbitrage step of its binomial valuation model. At December 31, 2008, given the peculiar market conditions and the erratic changes in stock volatility, the Company, in agreement with third-party experts, determined that a share price volatility based on the residual lifetime of the convertible instruments would be more relevant and should then be used for assessing the fair value of the instruments. Share price volatility was determined using the historical volatility methodology.

On that basis, the fair value of the conversion option was \$5.780 million (\$7.909 million before liquidity discount) at inception date, \$1.653 million (\$2.883 million before liquidity discount) as of December 31, 2009, and \$2.988 million (\$5.211 million before liquidity discount) as of December 31, 2010.

F-24

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

Placement Agent Warrants:

As part of the transaction costs, the Company granted to the bank acting as placement agent in the transaction Placement Agent Warrants to purchase 188,965 shares of common stock, with a five year term and the following exercise prices: 121,765 shares at \$6.57 per share and 67,200 shares at \$6.87 per share. The fair value of the Placement Agent Warrants has been valued using the Black-Scholes option valuation method, using a 4.04% risk free interest rate and a 75% volatility at inception date, and a 1.56% risk free interest rate and a 80% stock volatility at December 31, 2009.

At December 31, 2010, all the Placement Agent Warrants had been exchanged against ADRs

The following table summarizes the fair value of the entire indebtedness related to the Convertible Debentures, Investor Warrants and Placement Agent Warrants:

In '000 US Dollars	Total Fair Value At inception date	Total Fair Value At December 31, 2009	Total Fair Value At December 31, 2010	Conversion	Change in Fair Value in USD 2010 vs 2009
Convertible debt	16,110	12,870	10,851	(6,608)	4,589
Investor Warrants	3,890	1,011	1,719	(2,380)	3,089
Total	20,000	13,881	12,570	(8,988)	7,678
Placement Agent Warrants at \$6.57	448	100	-	(363)	263
Placement Agent Warrants at \$6.87	244	53	-	(200)	147
Total	20,692	14,034	12,570	(9,552)	8,088

The following table reflects the impact after translation in euros:

In '000 Euros	Total Fair Value At inception date	Total Fair Value At December 31, 2009	Total Fair Value At December 31, 2010	Conversion and exchange	Change in Fair Value in EUR (reflected in Financial income – See Note 20)	Exchange rate impact
Exchange Rate (USD/EUR)	1.4548	1.4405	1.3362		1.3362	
Convertible debt	11,074	8,934	8,121	(4,918)	3,434	670
Investor Warrants	2,674	702	1,287	(1,782)	2,311	55
Total	13,748	9,636	9,407	(6,700)	5,745	725
Placement Agent Warrants	476	106	-	(422)	307	8
Total	14,224	9,743	9,407	(7,121)	6,053	733

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

15—OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

Other long-term liabilities consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Provision for retirement indemnities	1,047	771
Other	-	13
Total	1,047	784

Pension, post-retirement, and post-employment benefits for most of the Company's employees are sponsored by European governments. The Company's liability with respect to these plans is mostly limited to specific payroll deductions.

In addition to government-sponsored plans, certain subsidiaries in Japan and France have defined benefit retirement indemnity plans in place. The provision for retirement indemnities at December 31, 2010 represents an accrual for lump-sum retirement indemnity payments to be paid at the time an employee retires. The largest part of this liability relates to employees in France. This provision has been calculated taking into account the estimated payment at retirement (discounted to the current date), turnover and salary increases. Calculations have been performed by an actuary consultant.

The actuarial assumptions as of year-end are as follows:

	Pension Benefits – France					
	2010		2009		2008	
Discount rate	4.60	%	5.00	%	5.50	%
Salary increase	2.50	%	2.50	%	2.50	%
Retirement age	65		65		65	
Average retirement remaining service period	25		26		26	

	Pension Benefits – Japan					
	2010		2009		2008	
Discount rate	1.00	%	1.25	%	1.25	%
Salary increase	2.30	%	1.80	%	1.80	%

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

The reconciliation between projected benefit obligations and the accumulated benefit obligations is as follows as of December 31, 2010 (in thousands of euros):

	France	Japan
Projected benefit obligation	371	562
Normal cost	21	50
Accumulated benefit obligation	247	458

Provision presentation according to ASC 715 in euro:

	France	Japan
Non current liabilities	370,913	484,221
Current liabilities	-	77,901
Non current asset	-	-
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(7,929)	(235,703)
Total	362,984	326,420

Detailed reconciliation of pension cost components (in thousands of euros) during fiscal year ending December 31, 2010:

France	2010	2009	2008
Change in benefit obligations			
Benefit obligations at beginning of year	262	256	240
Service cost	21	23	23
Interest cost	13	14	13
Plan amendments	31	-	-
(gain) / loss	43	(24)	(20)
Benefits paid	-	(7)	-
Benefit obligations at end of year	371	262	256
Change in plan assets			
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	-	-	-
Employer contribution	-	7	-
Return on plan assets	-	-	-
Benefits paid	-	(7)	-
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	-	-	-
Unrecognized actuarial (gain) loss	(23)	(68)	(45)
Unrecognized prior service cost	31	-	-
Accrued pension cost	363	330	300

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

Japan	2010	2009	2008
Change in benefit obligations			
Benefit obligations at beginning of year	435	392	278
Service cost	50	45	44
Interest cost	6	5	5
Plan amendments	-	-	-
Termination benefits	-	-	-
(gain) / loss	68	14	35
Benefits paid	(95)	-	(57)
Exchange rate impact	98	(21)	87
Benefit obligations at end of year	562	435	392
Change in plan assets			
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	-	-	-
Employer contribution	-	-	-
Return on plan assets	-	-	-
Benefits paid	-	-	-
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	-	-	-
Unrecognized actuarial (gain) loss	236	149	158
Unrecognized prior service cost	-	-	-
Accrued pension cost	326	285	233

16—SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

16-1 Common stock

As of December 31, 2010, EDAP TMS S.A.'s common stock consisted of 13,389,929 issued shares, fully paid, and with a par value of €0.13 each. 13,008,401 of the shares were outstanding.

16-2 Pre-emptive subscription rights

Shareholders have preemptive rights to subscribe on a pro rata basis for additional shares issued by the Company for cash. Shareholders may waive such preemptive subscription rights at an extraordinary general meeting of shareholders under certain circumstances. Preemptive subscription rights, if not previously waived, are transferable during the subscription period relating to a particular offer of shares.

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

16-3 Dividend rights

Dividends may be distributed from the statutory retained earnings, subject to the requirements of French law and the Company's by-laws. The Company has not distributed any dividends since its inception. Distributable statutory retained earnings amounted to €19,465 thousand and €19,581 thousand at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Dividend distributions, if any, will be made in euros. The Company has no plans to distribute dividends in the foreseeable future.

16-4 Treasury stock

As of December 31, 2010, the 381,528 shares of treasury stock consisted of (i) 331,988 shares acquired between August and December 1998 for €956 thousand, and (ii) 49,540 shares acquired in June and July 2001 for €150 thousand. All 381,528 shares of treasury stock have been acquired to cover outstanding stock options (see Note 16-5).

16-5 Stock-option plans

As of December 31, 2010, EDAP TMS S.A. sponsored four stock purchase and subscription option plans:

On June 12, 2001, the shareholders of EDAP TMS S.A. authorized the Board of Directors to grant up to 300,000 options to purchase pre-existing Shares, at a fixed exercise price to be set by the Supervisory Board. Under this plan, 28,425 options are still in force on December 31, 2010.

On January 29, 2004, the shareholders of EDAP TMS S.A. authorized the Board of Directors to grant up to 240,000 options to purchase pre-existing Shares at a fixed price to be set by the Board of Directors. All of the Shares that may be purchased through the exercise of stock options are currently held as treasury stock. Under this plan, 124,000 options are still in force on December 31, 2010.

On May 22, 2007, the shareholders of EDAP TMS S.A. authorized the Board of Directors to grant up to 600,000 options to subscribe to 600,000 new Shares and up to 105,328 options to purchase pre-existing Shares at a fixed price to be set by the Board of Directors. All of the 105,328 Shares that may be purchased through the exercise of stock options are currently held as treasury stock.

Conforming to this stock option plan, on October 29, 2007, the Board of Directors granted 504,088 options to subscribe to new Shares to certain employees of EDAP TMS. The exercise price was fixed at €3.99 per share. Options were to begin vesting one year after the date of grant and will be fully vested as of October 29, 2011 (i.e., four years after the date of grant). Shares acquired pursuant to the options cannot be sold prior to four years from the date of grant. The options expire on October 29, 2017 (i.e., ten years after the date of grant) or when employment with the Company ceases, whichever occurs earlier. At December 31, 2007 the total fair value of the options granted under this plan was €1,731 thousand. This non-cash financial charge will be recognized in the Company's operating expenses over a period of 48 months; the impact on 2008 Operating Income was €661 thousand. The impact on 2009 operating income, in accordance with ASC 718 was €333 thousand. The impact on 2010 operating income was €167 thousand.

Conforming to this stock option plan, on June 25, 2010, the Board of Directors granted the remaining 95,912 options to subscribe to new Shares to certain employees of EDAP TMS. The exercise price was fixed at €1.88 per share. Options were to begin vesting one year after the date of grant and will be fully vested as of June 25, 2014 (i.e., four years after the date of grant). Shares acquired pursuant to the options cannot be sold prior to four years from the date

of grant. The options expire on June 25, 2020 (i.e., ten years after the date of grant) or when employment with the Company ceases, whichever occurs earlier. At June 25, 2010 the total fair value of the options granted under this plan was €143 thousand. This non-cash financial charge will be recognized in the Company's operating expenses over a period of 48 months; the impact on 2010 Operating Income was €39 thousand.

F-29

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

On June 24, 2010, the shareholders authorized the Board of Directors to grant up to 229,100 options to purchase pre-existing Shares at a fixed price to be set by the Board of Directors. All of the Shares that may be purchased through the exercise of stock options are currently held as treasury stock. Conforming to this stock option plan, on June 25, 2010, the Board of Directors granted 229,100 options to purchase existing Shares to certain employees of EDAP TMS. The exercise price was fixed at €2.38 per share. Options were to begin vesting one year after the date of grant and will be fully vested as of June 25, 2014 (i.e., four years after the date of grant). Shares acquired pursuant to the options cannot be sold prior to four years from the date of grant. The options expire on June 25, 2020 (i.e., ten years after the date of grant) or when employment with the Company ceases, whichever occurs earlier. At June 24, 2010 the total fair value of the options granted under this plan was €328 thousand. This non-cash financial charge will be recognized in the Company's operating expenses over a period of 48 months; the impact on 2010 Operating Income was €82 thousand.

On February 17, 2005, the shareholders of EDAP TMS S.A. authorized the Board of Directors to grant up to 625,000 free shares to be issued to certain employees of the Company, subject to compliance with the conditions and performance criteria fixed by the Board of Directors. On July 3, 2009, only 11,775 free shares out of the 625,000 right allocated, have been issued to certain employees of the Company upon reaching one performance milestone. All remaining rights to subscribe to free shares were cancelled as corresponding performance milestones were not met. As of December 31, 2010, no more right to subscribe to new shares was valid.

As of December 31, 2010, a summary of stock option activity to purchase or to subscribe to Shares under these plans is as follows:

	2010		2009		2008	
	Options	Weighted average exercise price (€)	Options	Weighted average exercise price (€)	Options	Weighted average exercise price (€)
Outstanding on January 1,	656,013	3.57	706,725	3.51	781,625	3.51
Granted	325,012	2.23				
Exercised	(18,000)	2.15	(24,212)	2.07		
Forfeited	(56,250)	2.45	(26,500)	3.36	(34,000)	3.59
Expired					(40,900)	3.37
Outstanding on December 31,	906,775	3.19	656,013	3.57	706,725	3.51
Exercisable on December 31,	486,446	3.52	420,719	3.33	342,929	3.00
Share purchase options available for grant on December 31	16,003		105,328		105,328	

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

The following table summarizes information about options to purchase existing Shares held by the Company, or to subscribe to new Shares, at December 31, 2010:

Exercise price (€)	Outstanding options			Exercisable options	
	Options	Weighted average remaining contractual life	Weighted average exercise price (€)	Options	Weighted average exercise price (€)
3.99	445,338	6.8	3.99	334,021	3.99
2.60	124,000	2.2	2.60	124,000	2.60
2.38	213,100	8.5	2.38		
2.08(1)	25,000	0.8	2.08	25,000	2.08
2.02(2)	3,425	1.5	2.02	3,425	2.02
1.88)	95,912	8.5	1.88		
1.88 to 3.99	906,775	5.8	3.14	486,446	3.52

(1) All the 25,000 options were granted on September 25, 2001 with an exercise price expressed in U.S. dollars (\$1.92) and converted here to euros based on the noon buying rate on September 25, 2001 (\$1 = €1.085).

(2) All the 3,425 options were granted on June 18, 2002 with an exercise price expressed in U.S. dollars (\$1.92) and converted here to euros based on the noon buying rate on June 18, 2002 (\$1 = €1.0545).

A summary of the status of the non-vested shares as of December 31, 2010, and changes during the year ended December, 2010, is presented below:

	Options	Weighted average Grant-Date Fair Value (€)
Non-vested at January 1, 2010	235,086	2.97
Granted	325,012	1.45
Vested	(117,043)	2.97
Forfeited	(22,812)	1.89
Non-vested at December 31, 2010	420,243	1.85

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

17—OTHER REVENUES

Other revenues consist of the following:

	2010	2009	2008
Royalties	-	-	-
Grants and others	506	46	197
Total	506	46	197

In 2010, other revenues consist mainly of €500 thousand of French Government grant as part of a small businesses aid program

In 2009, other revenues were mainly comprised of training and consulting services.

In 2008, EDAP TMS France invoiced €58 thousand to China Medical Technologies for consulting services and received grants of €59 thousand from ANVAR a French government agency

18—RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT EXPENSES

Research and development expenses consist of the following:

	2010	2009	2008
Gross research and development expenses	(3,958)	(4,103)	(4,255)
Research Tax Credit and grants	690	452	544
Net Research and development expenses	(3,268)	(3,651)	(3,712)

19—NON-RECURRING OPERATING EXPENSES

In 2010, 2009 and 2008, there were no non-recurring expenses.

20—FINANCIAL INCOME, NET

Interest (expense) income, net consists of the following:

	2010	2009	2008
Interest income	265	252	412
Interest expense	(2,136)	(1,454)	(1,413)
Depreciation of prepaid expenses on debt grant	(920)	(469)	(469)
Changes in fair value of the Convertible Debentures	(3,434)	(2,342)	3,465
Changes in fair value of the Investor Warrants	(2,311)	(323)	2,931
Changes in fair value of the Placement Agent Warrants	(307)	(53)	307
Total	(8,844)	(4,390)	5,232

The interest expense related to the payment of the 9% interest coupon on the Convertible Debentures amounted to €1,058 thousand, €1,214 thousand and €1,223 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008,

respectively.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the interest expense related to earlier conversion or exchange of the convertible debenture amounted to €919 thousand.

F-32

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

21—INCOME TAXES

21-1 Loss before income taxes

Loss before income taxes is comprised of the following:	2010	2009	2008
France	(8,972)	(6,153)	3,727
EDAP Inc	(2,143)	(1,848)	(1,896)
Other countries	(663)	307	(181)
Total	(11,778)	(7,694)	1,648

Certain prior years amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation

21-2 Income tax (expense)/ benefit

Income tax (expense)/benefit consists of the following:	2010	2009	2008
Current income tax expense:			
France	(818)	-	(1)
Other countries	(49)	(79)	(35)
Sub-total current income tax expense	(866)	(79)	(36)
Deferred income tax (expense) benefit:			
France	(13)	(50)	(81)
Other countries	(60)	57	65
Sub-total deferred income tax (expense) benefit	(73)	7	(15)
Total	(939)	(72)	(51)

Certain prior years amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation

The current income tax expense in France consists for €773 thousand of the reimbursement of a state aid received by EDAP-TMS France in 1994 for the acquisition of the activities of Technomed International. This aid was finally considered as an illegal State Aid by European Court of Justice (C-214/07 "Commission of the European Communities vs French Republic").

21-3 Deferred income taxes:

Deferred income taxes reflect the impact of temporary differences between the amounts of assets and liabilities reported for financial reporting purposes and such amounts as measured in accordance with tax laws. The tax effects of temporary differences which give rise to significant deferred tax assets (liabilities) are as follows:

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Elimination of intercompany profit in inventory	128	104
Elimination of intercompany profit in fixed assets	267	350
Other items	827	821
Net operating loss carryforwards	15,496	11,946
Total deferred tax assets	16,718	13,221

Edgar Filing: EDAP TMS SA - Form 20-F

Capital leases treated as operating leases for tax	(164)	(91)
Other items	(11)	(72)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(175)	(163)
Net deferred tax assets	16,543	13,058
Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets	(16,261)	(10,050)
Deferred tax assets (liabilities), net of allowance	282	322

F-33

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

Net operating loss carryforwards of €8,107 thousand, €2,644 thousand, €1,301 thousand, €392 thousand, €225 thousand and €32,714 thousand as of December 31, 2010 are available at EDAP Technomed Inc., EDAP-TMS France S.A.S., Edap Technomed Co Ltd Japan, Edap Technomed Sdn Bhd Malaysia, EDAP TMS GmbH and EDAP TMS S.A., respectively. These net operating losses generate deferred tax assets of €15,496 thousand. Realization of these assets is contingent on future taxable earnings in the applicable tax jurisdictions. As of December 31, 2010, €12,126 thousand out of these €15,496 thousand net operating loss carry-forwards have no expiration date. The remaining tax loss carry-forwards expire in years 2013 through 2030. In accordance with ASC 740, a valuation allowance is recorded as realization of those amounts is not considered probable.

Deferred taxes have not been provided on the undistributed earnings of domestic subsidiaries as these earnings, with the exception of the earnings of EDAP-TMS France SAS, which benefited from the tax exemption, can be distributed tax-free to EDAP TMS S.A. The tax-exempted earnings of EDAP-TMS France SAS would normally be taxable if distributed to EDAP TMS S.A. via dividends. However, no taxes will be due if the Company first incorporates these earnings into statutory capital and then makes a distribution via a statutory capital reduction (redemption). As the Company intends on implementing this tax planning opportunity in the event a distribution were to be made, no deferred taxes have been provided on these earnings.

21-4 Effective tax rate

A reconciliation of differences between the statutory French income tax rate and the Company's effective tax rate is as follows:

	2010		2009		2008	
French statutory rate	33.8	%	33.8	%	33.8	%
Income of foreign subsidiaries taxed at different tax rates	0.6	%	0.4	%	(2.6)	%
Effect of net operating loss carry-forwards and valuation allowances	(28.3)	%	(35.1)	%	80.0	%
Non taxable debt fair value variation	(17.4)	%	(11.9)	%	(137.5)	%
Non deductible entertainment expenses	0.4	%	0.7	%	2.1	%
Other	2.9	%	11.3	%	27.3	%
Effective tax rate	(8.0)	%	(0.9)	%	3.1	%

Certain prior years amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation

21-5 Uncertainty in Income Taxes

According to ASC 740, the Company reviewed the tax positions of each subsidiary. On December 31, 2010 there is no uncertainty in the Company's tax positions.

In July 2010, the Company was requested by the French Tax Authorities to pay the amount of €772,822 to comply with the European Court of Justice ruling on fair competition and illegal state aids (C-214/07 "Commission of the European Communities vs. French Republic"). The amount was related to a state aid received by EDAP-TMS France in 1994 for the acquisition of the activities of Technomed International and included €374,156 of late interest. The Company reversed consequently the €50 thousand reserve that had been taken as of December 31, 2009.

In March 2011, the Company engaged in a contentious procedure against the French Tax Authorities to contest this position and ask for the recuperation of the paid amounts.

F-34

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

As a result, the effect on the retained earnings is the following:

	Unrecognized tax benefits		
	2010	2009	2008
Balance as of January 1st,	(50)	-	-
Impact of tax positions taken during a prior period	-	-	-
Impact of tax positions taken during the current period	-	(50)	-
Impact of settlements with taxing authorities	50	-	-
Impact of a lapse of the applicable statute of limitations	-	-	-
Balance as of December 31st,	-	(50)	-

As the state aid received in 1994 was an income tax credit, the payment of €773 thousand has been recognized as an income tax in 2010. The tax years that remain subject to examination by major tax jurisdictions are 2008, 2009 and 2010.

22—EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted EPS calculations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

	For the year ended Dec. 31, 2010			For the year ended Dec. 31, 2009			For the year ended Dec. 31, 2008		
	Income in euro (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per-Share Amount	Loss in euro (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per-Share Amount	Loss in euro (Numerator)	Shares (Denominator)	Per-Share Amount
Basic EPS									
Income (loss) available to common Shareholders	(12,717,105)	13,008,401	(0.98)	(7,765,901)	10,510,305	(0.74)	1,597,189	9,582,593	0.17
Effect of dilutive securities:									
Stock options only in the money		85,834			57,258			75,702	
Diluted EPS									
Income (Loss) available to common shareholders, including assumed Conversions	(12,717,105)	13,094,235	(0.98)	(7,765,901)	10,567,563	(0.74)	1,597,189	9,658,295	0.17

23—COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

23-1 Commitments

The Company currently has commitments regarding its operating leases as described in Note 12-2.

F-35

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

The Company also has commitments regarding its Convertible Debentures and Warrants. Under the terms of the registration rights agreement the Company entered into in connection with the October 2007 private placement, the Company agreed to secure the registration of a portion of the securities deliverable upon conversion of the Convertible Debentures and in payment of interest under the Convertible Debentures by certain dates, and the Company agreed to secure the registration of the remaining securities deliverable on conversion of the Convertible Debentures and all of the securities deliverable upon exercise of the Warrants by certain dates, with penalties, including payment of liquidated damages in case of a default of these commitments. Also, the Company committed to a certain number of covenants regarding its convertible debentures and Warrants, and any event of default on these covenants could require the early repayment of the Convertible Debentures at the mandatory default amount, including all other amounts of interest, costs, expenses and liquidated damages due in respect of the defaulted Convertible Debentures.

23-2 Litigation

As of the date of these financial statements, the Company is not involved in any material legal proceedings.

23-3 Contingencies

The Company currently has contingencies relating to warranties provided to customers for products as described in Note 1-13 and Note 11.

24—FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following disclosure of the estimated fair value of financial instruments was made in accordance with the requirements of ASC 825 “Disclosure about fair value of financial instruments.” The estimated fair value amounts have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. The estimates of fair values of the Company’s financial instruments are compared below to the recorded amounts at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

	December 31,		December 31,	
	2010	2010	2009	2009
	Recorded	Estimated	Recorded	Estimated
	Value	Fair Value	Value	Fair Value
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	7,369	7,369	11,590	11,590
Trade accounts and notes receivable, net	15,441	15,441	14,802	14,802
Short term investment	1,519	1,519	1,113	1,113
Liabilities:				
Short-term borrowings	2,031	2,031	2,675	2,675
Trade accounts payable	4,309	4,309	4,061	4,061
Notes payable	1,589	1,589	1,673	1,673
Convertible Debentures and other Long Term Debt	8,121	8,121	9,330	9,330
Investor Warrants	1,287	1,287	702	702
Placement Agent Warrants	-	-	106	106

The recorded amount of cash and cash equivalents, restricted short term investment, investments available for sale, trade accounts and notes receivable (drafts), short-term borrowings, and trade accounts and notes payable (drafts) are a reasonable estimate of their fair value due to the short-term maturities of these instruments.

The long-term debt is recorded at fair value.

F-36

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

25—CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

Financial instruments, which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of cash and cash equivalents and trade accounts and notes receivable from customers, primarily located in France, Japan and the United States. The Company maintains cash deposits with major banks. Management periodically assesses the financial condition of these institutions and believes that any possible credit risk is limited.

The Company has procedures in effect to monitor the creditworthiness of its customers. The Company obtains bank guarantees for first-time or infrequent customers, and in certain cases obtains insurance against the risk of a payment default by the customer. The Company reviewed individual customer balances considering current and historical loss experience and general economic conditions in determining the allowance for doubtful accounts receivable of €1.1 million and €0.9 million, for the years ended December 31, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Ultimate losses may vary from the current estimates, and any adjustments are reported in earnings in the periods in which they become known.

In 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Company did not generate significant revenue with a single customer.

26—FOREIGN CURRENCY TRANSACTIONS

The Company generates a significant percentage of its revenues, and of its operating expenses, in currencies other than euro. The Company's operating profitability could be materially adversely affected by large fluctuations in the rate of exchange between the euro and such other currencies. The Company engages in foreign exchange hedging activities when it deems necessary, but there can be no assurance that hedging activities will be offset by the impact of movements in exchange rates on the Company's results of operations. As of December 31, 2010, there were no outstanding hedging instruments.

27—SEGMENT INFORMATION

In July 2002, the Company announced an organizational realignment that created two operating divisions within the Company. For reporting purposes, this organizational realignment created three reporting segments: the holding Company, EDAP TMS S.A., the High Intensity Focused Ultrasound division and the Urological Devices and Services division. Then, in 2007, the Company created a new reporting segment dedicated to the FDA approval for Ablatherm-HIFU activity. The following tables set forth the key income statement figures, by segment for fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008 and the key balance sheet figures, by segment, for fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008.

The business in which the Company operates is the development and production of minimally invasive medical devices, primarily for the treatment of urological diseases. Substantially all revenues result from the sale of medical devices and their related license and royalty payments from third parties. The segments derive their revenues from this activity.

Segment operating profit or loss and segment assets are determined in accordance with the same policies as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies except that interest income and expense, current and deferred income taxes, and goodwill are not allocated to individual segments. A reconciliation of segment operating profit or loss to consolidated net loss is as follows:

	2010	2009	2008 (1)
Segment operating loss	(3,818)	(3,202)	(4,159)
Financial income, net	(8,844)	(4,390)	5,232
Foreign Currency exchange (losses) gains, net	884	(101)	577
Other income, net	-	-	(1)
Income tax (expense) credit	(939)	(72)	(51)
Consolidated net loss	(12,717)	(7,766)	1,597

(1) Certain prior years amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation. See Note 1-15 of the consolidated financial statements – Research & Development costs.

EDAP TMS S.A. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands of euros unless otherwise noted, except per share data)

A summary of the Company's operations by business unit is presented below for years ending December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

	HIFU Division	UDS Division	EDAP TMS (Corporate)	FDA	Total consolidated
2010					
Sales of goods	1,939	11,196	-	-	13,135
Sales of RPPs & leases	3,505	1,184	-	-	4,689
Sales of spare parts and services	1,438	3,940	-	-	5,378
Total sales	6,882	16,319	-	-	23,202
Total net sales	6,882	16,319	-	-	23,202
External other revenues	6	500	-	-	506
Total revenues	6,888	16,820	-	-	23,708
Total COS	(3,285)	(10,969)	-	-	(14,253)
Gross margin	3,604	5,851	-	-	9,455
R&D	(741)	(793)	-	(1,734)	(3,268)
Selling expenses	(2,741)	(3,943)	-	-	(6,684)
G&A	(766)	(1,024)	(1,338)	(193)	(3,320)
Total expenses	(4,247)	(5,760)	(1,338)	(1,927)	(13,272)
Operating income (loss)	(644)	91	(1,338)	(1,927)	(3,818)
Total Assets	9,344	20,803	5,474	317	35,938
Capital expenditures	116	411	73	-	600
Long-lived assets	2,341	3,310	175	59	5,886
Goodwill	645	1,767	-	-	2,412
2009					
Sales of goods	3,663	10,113	-	-	13,775
Sales of RPPs & leases	4,267	1,177	-	-	5,444
Sales of spare parts and services	1,690	3,930	-	-	5,620
Total sales	9,620	15,219	-	-	24,839
Total net sales	9,620	15,219	-	-	24,839
External other revenues	7	39	-	-	46
Total revenues	9,627	15,258	-	-	24,885
Total COS	(4,173)	(10,040)	-	-	