

STARTEK INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 07, 2012

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Washington, D.C. 20549**

---

**Form 10-Q**

**(Mark One)**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012**

**or**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from            to**

**Commission file number 1-12793**

---

**StarTek, Inc.**

*(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)*

Edgar Filing: STARTEK INC - Form 10-Q

Delaware 84-1370538  
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. employer  
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

8200 E. Maplewood Drive, Suite 100  
Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111  
(Address of principal executive offices) (*Zip code*)

**(303) 399-2400**

*(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)*

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Stock, \$0.01 Par Value 15,303,048 shares as of July 31, 2012.

**STARTEK, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**FORM 10-Q**

**INDEX**

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Item 1.	Financial Statements (unaudited):	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011	4
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	5
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011	6
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	17
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	25
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	25
<b>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</b>		
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	26
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	26
Item 6.	Exhibits	26
<b>SIGNATURES</b>		27

## CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, including the following:

certain statements, including possible or assumed future results of operations, in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations";

any statements contained herein regarding the prospects for our business or any of our services;

any statements preceded by, followed by or that include the words "may", "will", "should", "seeks", "believes", "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "continue," "estimate," "plans," "future," "targets," "predicts," "budgeted," "projections", "outlooks" scheduled", or similar expressions; and

other statements contained herein regarding matters that are not historical facts.

Our business and results of operations are subject to risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our ability to control or predict. Because of these risks and uncertainties, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements, and investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such statements, which speak only as of the date thereof. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations and may adversely affect our business and results of operations, include, but are not limited to, those items described herein or set forth in Item 1A. "Risk Factors" appearing in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

**Part I. Financial Information****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)****STARTEK, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenue	\$ 44,421	\$ 57,139	\$ 95,280	\$ 116,649
Cost of services	41,150	52,652	86,672	106,455
Gross profit	3,271	4,487	8,608	10,194
Selling, general and administrative expenses	7,329	11,380	15,653	19,380
Impairment and restructuring charges	467	3,272	3,553	3,272
Operating loss	(4,525 )	(10,165 )	(10,598 )	(12,458 )
Net interest and other income	84	(12 )	187	6
Loss before income taxes	(4,441 )	(10,177 )	(10,411 )	(12,452 )
Income tax benefit	163	525	2	246
Net loss	\$ (4,278 )	\$ (9,652 )	\$ (10,409 )	\$ (12,206 )
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$ 6	\$ 176	\$ 348	\$ 409
Change in fair value of derivatives instruments	\$ 832	\$ (571 )	\$ 901	\$ (959 )
Comprehensive loss	\$ (3,440 )	\$ (10,047 )	\$ (9,160 )	\$ (12,756 )
Net loss per share				
Basic	\$ (0.28 )	\$ (0.64 )	\$ (0.68 )	\$ (0.81 )
Diluted	\$ (0.28 )	\$ (0.64 )	\$ (0.68 )	\$ (0.81 )
Weighted average shares outstanding				
Basic	15,239	15,072	15,214	15,043
Diluted	15,239	15,072	15,214	15,043

*See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.*



**STARTEK, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)

	As of	
	June 30,	December 31,
	2012	2011
	(unaudited)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11,368	\$ 9,719
Trade accounts receivable, net	31,984	37,736
Income tax receivable	293	—
Deferred income tax assets	195	193
Derivative asset	798	106
Prepaid expenses	2,569	2,534
Assets held for sale	4,969	4,102
Current portion of note receivable	660	660
Other current assets	1,010	1,277
Total current assets	53,846	56,327
Property, plant and equipment, net	30,626	38,475
Long-term deferred income tax assets	3,095	3,355
Long-term note receivable, net of current portion	851	1,192
Other assets	2,173	2,084
Total assets	\$90,591	\$ 101,433
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$6,844	\$ 7,385
Accrued liabilities:		
Accrued payroll	6,847	7,036
Accrued compensated absences	3,324	3,441
Accrued restructuring costs	1,023	1,260
Other accrued liabilities	910	1,079
Derivative liability	147	616
Deferred revenue	565	671
Deferred income tax liabilities	1,363	1,363
Other current liabilities	800	634
Total current liabilities	21,823	23,485
Accrued restructuring charges	285	390

Edgar Filing: STARTEK INC - Form 10-Q

Deferred rent	2,413	2,756
Other liabilities	118	440
Total liabilities	24,639	27,071
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, 32,000,000 non-convertible shares, \$0.01 par value, authorized; 15,303,048 and 15,249,829 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	153	152
Additional paid-in capital	71,807	71,058
Accumulated other comprehensive income	2,751	1,502
Retained (deficit) earnings	(8,759 )	1,650
Total stockholders' equity	65,952	74,362
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$90,591	\$ 101,433

*See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.*

**STARTEK, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Dollars in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	For the six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
<b>Operating Activities</b>		
Net loss	\$(10,409)	\$(12,206)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities		
Depreciation	7,060	8,047
Impairment of property, plant and equipment	3,086	1,026
Non-cash compensation cost	696	870
Deferred income taxes	275	(253 )
Other, net	180	(32 )
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Trade accounts receivable, net	5,748	6,400
Prepaid expenses and other assets	91	(1,144 )
Accounts payable	(1,788 )	405
Income taxes, net	68	(471 )
Accrued and other liabilities	(1,744 )	2,141
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,263	4,783
<b>Investing Activities</b>		
Proceeds from note receivable	330	330
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(1,617 )	(4,178 )
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,287 )	(3,848 )
<b>Financing Activities</b>		
Proceeds from stock option exercises	1	—
Proceeds from line of credit	10,760	—
Principal payments on line of credit	(10,760)	—
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	53	171
Principal payments on capital lease obligations	(48 )	(43 )
Net cash provided by financing activities	6	128
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(333 )	348
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	1,649	1,411
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	9,719	18,740
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$11,368	\$20,151

## Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information

Edgar Filing: STARTEK INC - Form 10-Q

Cash paid for interest	\$30	\$21
Income taxes paid	\$—	\$370

*See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.*

## **STARTEK, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

### **NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

#### **1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

The accompanying Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. These financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring entries, except as noted) which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for fair presentation. Operating results during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, are not necessarily indicative of operating results that may be expected during any other interim period of 2012 or the year ending December 31, 2012.

Effective January 1, 2012, we revised our business segments in order to better align them with our strategic approach to the markets and customers we serve. Refer to Note 3, "Segment Information," for further information. Prior period segment amounts throughout the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been reclassified to the new segment structure. The reclassification of historical business segment information had no impact on our basic financial statements.

The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 was derived from audited financial statements at that date, but does not include all information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the StarTek, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Certain reclassifications have been made to 2011 information to conform to the 2012 presentation. Refer to Note 2, "Reclassifications," for further information.

Unless otherwise noted in this report, any description of "us" refers to StarTek, Inc. and our subsidiaries. The assets and liabilities of our foreign operations that are recorded in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars at exchange rates prevailing at the balance sheet date. Revenues and expenses are translated at the weighted-average exchange rate during the reporting period.

### ***Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements***

In 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued two Accounting Standard Updates (“ASUs”), which amend guidance for the presentation of comprehensive income. The amended guidance requires an entity to present components of net income and other comprehensive income in one continuous statement, referred to as the statement of comprehensive income, or in two separate, but consecutive statements. The current option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of stockholders’ equity has been eliminated. Although the new guidance changes the presentation of comprehensive income, there are no changes to the components that are recognized in net income or other comprehensive income under existing guidance. We adopted these ASUs using one continuous statement in the first half of 2012 for all periods presented.

## **2. RECLASSIFICATIONS**

Effective January 1, 2012, we changed our method of allocating certain site human resource, recruiting and facilities costs, whereby these costs that are directly related to hiring, employment and maintenance at our facilities (other than corporate offices) were reclassified from selling, general and administrative expense to cost of services.

Historically, we recorded these human resource, recruiting and facilities personnel costs in selling, general and administrative expense as they were managed centrally from leadership positions at our corporate headquarters. Those corporate positions have been eliminated and site human resource, recruiting and facility personnel now report to the site directors within each facility. Given these reporting changes, we believe it is more appropriate to record these costs within cost of services as they are directly attributable to rendering our services at our facilities. The costs are variable and unique to each facility such that if we exit a facility, the corresponding human resource, recruiting and facility costs would also be eliminated.

We have reclassified 2011 information to conform with this presentation in order to provide greater comparability to the users of our financial statements. The reclassification by segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011
Domestic	\$ 1,386	\$ 2,720
Asia Pacific	344	617
Latin America	86	159
Total	\$ 1,816	\$ 3,496

The effect of the reclassification on our 2011 information was as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		
	As Reported	Reclassification	As Adjusted
Revenue	\$ 57,139	\$ —	\$ 57,139
Cost of services	50,836	1,816	52,652
Gross profit	6,303	(1,816 )	4,487
Selling, general and administrative expenses	13,196	(1,816 )	11,380
Impairment and restructuring charges	3,272	—	3,272
Net loss	(9,652 )	—	(9,652 )

  

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011		
	As Reported	Reclassification	As Adjusted
Revenue	\$ 116,649	\$ —	\$ 116,649
Cost of services	102,959	3,496	106,455
Gross profit	13,690	(3,496 )	10,194
Selling, general and administrative expenses	22,876	(3,496 )	19,380
Impairment and restructuring charges	3,272	—	3,272
Net loss	(12,206 )	—	(12,206 )

The reclassification did not have any effect on our operating loss, net loss or basic and diluted loss per share for the period. The reclassification also did not have any impact on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets or Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

### 3. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We regularly review our segments and the approach used by management to evaluate performance and allocate resources. During the quarter ended March 31, 2012, we revised our business segments in order to better align them with our strategic approach to the regions in which our services are rendered. Over the past several years, we have closed and opened several operating centers which has changed the way in which management and our chief operating decision maker evaluate performance and allocate resources. We consolidated our U.S. and Canadian segments into our Domestic segment and created two new segments, Asia Pacific and Latin America, which were previously reported in our Offshore segment. As of June 30, 2012, our Domestic segment included the operations of five facilities in the U.S. and two facilities in Canada. Our Asia Pacific segment included the operations of two facilities in the Philippines and our Latin America segment included one facility in Costa Rica and one facility in Honduras.

Prior period segment amounts throughout the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been reclassified to the new segment structure. The reclassification of historical business segment information had no impact on our basic financial statements.

We use gross profit as our measure of profit and loss for each business segment. The accounting principles applied at the operating segment level in determining gross profit are the same as those applied at the consolidated financial statement level. Our chief operating decision maker evaluates performance and allocates resources based on net sales, gross profit and working capital in each of the reporting segments.

Information about our reportable segments, which correspond to the geographic areas in which we operate, is as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>Revenue:</b>				
Domestic	\$ 21,609	\$ 43,139	\$ 48,960	\$ 90,649
Asia Pacific	18,709	12,100	38,265	23,000
Latin America	4,103	1,900	8,055	3,000
Total	\$ 44,421	\$ 57,139	\$ 95,280	\$ 116,649
<b>Gross profit:</b>				
Domestic	\$ 423	\$ 3,896	\$ 1,267	\$ 8,919
Asia Pacific	3,179	965	8,023	2,330
Latin America	(331 )	(374 )	(682 )	(1,055 )
Total	\$ 3,271	\$ 4,487	\$ 8,608	\$ 10,194

#### 4. NET LOSS PER SHARE

Basic and diluted net loss per common share is computed on the basis of our weighted average number of common shares outstanding, as determined by using the calculations outlined below:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net loss	\$(4,278 )	\$(9,652 )	\$(10,409 )	\$(12,206 )
Weighted average shares of common stock	15,239	15,072	15,214	15,043
Dilutive effect of stock options	—	—	—	—
Common stock and common stock equivalents	15,239	15,072	15,214	15,043
Net loss per basic share	\$(0.28 )	\$(0.64 )	\$(0.68 )	\$(0.81 )
Net loss per diluted share	\$(0.28 )	\$(0.64 )	\$(0.68 )	\$(0.81 )

Net loss per diluted share is computed on the basis of our weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus the effect of dilutive outstanding stock options and non-vested restricted stock using the treasury stock method. Anti-dilutive securities totaling 2,268 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2,520 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, were not included in our calculation due to our net loss from continuing

operations during those periods.

## **5. IMPAIRMENT LOSSES AND RESTRUCTURING CHARGES**

### ***Impairment Losses***

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we incurred \$0 and \$3,086, respectively, of impairment losses (all in our Domestic segment), due to the impairment of certain long-lived assets for which the carrying value of those assets is not recoverable. The impairment losses related to long-lived assets in two facilities where we received customer notification of a ramp-down in business. Future cash flows did not support the carrying value of the long-lived assets in these facilities, such as computer equipment, software, equipment and furniture and fixtures. Refer to Note 8, "Fair Value Measurements," for additional information on the fair value measurements for all assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 we incurred approximately \$1,026 of impairment losses (all in our Domestic segment).

*Assets Held for Sale*

In 2010, we committed to a plan to sell the buildings at our closed facilities in Laramie, Wyoming and Greeley, Colorado. We received estimates of the selling prices of these buildings, and have reduced the value of the buildings and land to fair value less the costs to sell. In 2012, we committed to sell the facility in Enid, Oklahoma. As of June 30, 2012, the fair value of the buildings and land less the costs to sell was \$4,969. These long-lived assets are presented as current assets held for sale on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. In order for an asset to be held for sale, management must determine that the asset is to be held for sale in its current condition, an active plan to complete the sale of the asset has been initiated and the sale of the asset is probable within one year. We evaluated the facilities during the second quarter of 2012 and determined these assets meet all the criteria for an asset held for sale.

*Restructuring Charges*

A summary of the activity under the restructuring plans as of June 30, 2012, and changes during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, are presented below:

	Facility-Related Costs					
	Victoria	Laramie	Grand Junction	Regina	Decatur	Total
Balance as of January 1, 2012	\$483	\$ 63	\$ 252	\$ 852	\$—	\$1,650
Expense	—	—	3	—	464	467
Payments, net of receipts for sublease	42	(38 )	(85 )	(552 )	(193)	(826 )
Foreign currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	17	—	17
Balance as of June 30, 2012	\$525	\$ 25	\$ 170	\$ 317	\$271	\$1,308

During the year ended December 31, 2010, we entered into sublease agreements for our Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada and Victoria, Texas facilities through the remainder of their respective lease terms. We had assumed a sublease in our original estimated restructuring liabilities for Thunder Bay and Victoria and do not expect to incur material changes to the restructuring liabilities in future periods as a result of the subleases. We have recorded an accrual for certain property taxes still owed in Victoria, which we expect to pay through the remainder of our lease term, or December 2014. We expect completion of the Laramie, Wyoming, Grand Junction, Colorado and Regina, Saskatchewan restructuring plans no later than 2012 for all facilities; however, completion may be earlier or later depending on our ability to sublease the facilities, buy-out the lease or sell the facilities. We have made certain assumptions related to our ability to sublease, sell or buy-out the lease on these facilities. Refer to Note 8, "Fair Value Measurements," for additional information on the fair value measurements for all assets and liabilities, including restructuring charges that are measured at fair value in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. We expect to pay \$7,675 in our Domestic segment in facility related costs over the term of the restructuring plans. The cumulative amount paid as of June 30, 2012 for facility related costs related to the closures was \$6,366 in our Domestic segment.

**6. PRINCIPAL CLIENTS**

The following table represents revenue concentration of our principal clients.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2012	2011	June 30, 2012	2011
AT&T Services, Inc. and AT&T Mobility, LLC, subsidiaries of AT&T Inc. ("AT&T")	33.2 %	63.0 %	35.9 %	64.1 %
T-Mobile USA, Inc., a subsidiary of Deutsche Telekom	31.7 %	17.3 %	30.1 %	16.8 %

The loss of a principal client, a material reduction in the amount of business we receive from a principal client, renegotiation of price by a principal client, or the loss, delay or termination of a principal client's product launch or service offering would adversely affect our business, revenue and operating results. We may not be able to retain our principal clients or, if we were to lose any of our principal clients, we may not be able to timely replace the revenue generated by the lost clients. Loss of a principal client could result from many factors, including consolidation or economic downturns in our clients' industries. We experienced a material decline in revenue with AT&T during the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011, however growth among our other clients has partially offset the decline with AT&T.

Our work for AT&T is covered by several contracts for a variety of different lines of AT&T business. These contracts expire over the course of 2013 through 2015. The initial term of our master services agreement covering all AT&T work expired in January 2010, and has been extended annually with the latest extension through October 31, 2012. On July 28, 2011, we entered into a new master services agreement (the "MSA") with T-Mobile effective July 1, 2011 which covers all services that we provide to T-Mobile. The MSA replaces the previous master services agreement dated October 1, 2007 and has an initial term of five years and will automatically renew for additional one-year periods thereafter, but may be terminated by T-Mobile upon 90 days written notice.

## 7. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

We use derivatives to partially offset our business exposure to foreign currency exchange risk. We enter into foreign currency exchange contracts to hedge our anticipated operating commitments that are denominated in foreign currencies. The contracts cover periods commensurate with expected exposure, generally three to nine months, and are principally unsecured foreign exchange contracts. The market risk exposure is essentially limited to risk related to currency rate movements. We operate in Canada, the Philippines, Costa Rica and Honduras. The functional currencies of our Canadian and Philippine operations are the Canadian dollar and the Philippine peso, respectively, which are used to pay labor and other operating costs in those countries. However, our client contracts primarily generate revenues paid to us in U.S. dollars. In Costa Rica and Honduras, our functional currency is the U.S. dollar and the majority of our costs are denominated in U.S. dollars. We have elected to follow cash flow hedge accounting in order to associate the results of the hedges with forecasted future expenses. The current mark-to-market gain or loss is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI") as a component of stockholders' equity and will be re-classified to operations as the forecasted expenses are incurred, typically within one year. During the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, our cash flow hedges were highly effective and there were no amounts charged to the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for hedge ineffectiveness.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, we entered into deliverable forward contracts with respect to the Canadian dollar for a notional amount of 0 and 11,800, respectively. The Company entered into foreign currency option contracts for the Canadian dollar during the three months ended June 30, 2012. The notional principal of foreign currency option contracts to purchase U.S. dollars with foreign currencies was \$8,764 at June 30, 2012. The notional principal of foreign exchange contracts to sell U.S. dollars for foreign currencies were \$9,180 at June 30, 2012. The Company uses both types of contracts with Canadian dollars to hedge our foreign currency risk with respect

to labor costs in Canada. We entered into non-deliverable forward contracts with respect to the Philippine peso for a notional amount of 975,500 and 2,052,160, respectively, Philippine pesos to hedge our foreign currency risk with respect to labor costs in the Philippines. As of June 30, 2012, we have not entered into any arrangements to hedge our exposure to fluctuations in the Costa Rican colon or Honduran lempira relative to the U.S. dollar.

The following table shows the notional principal of our derivative instruments as of June 30, 2012:

	Currency	Notional Principal
Instruments qualifying as accounting hedges:		
Foreign exchange contracts	Canadian dollar	13,860
Foreign exchange contracts	Philippine peso	1,380,120

We expect unrealized gains and losses reported in AOCI will be reclassified to earnings during the next twelve months. The estimates of fair value are based on applicable and commonly used pricing models and prevailing financial market information as of June 30, 2012. Refer to Note 8, "Fair Value Measurements," of this Form 10-Q, for additional information on the fair value measurements for all assets and liabilities, including derivative assets and derivative liabilities, that are measured at fair value in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following table shows our derivative instruments measured at gross fair value as reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	As of June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Derivative assets:		
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 798	\$ 106
Derivative liabilities:		
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 147	\$ 616

The following table shows the effect of our derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011	
	Loss	Loss	
	Recognized	Recognized	Location of Gain
	in	in	(Loss) Reclassified
	Reclassified	Reclassified	from AOCI into
	AOCI, from AOCI	AOCI, from AOCI	Income
	net of	net of	
	into Income	into Income	
	tax	tax	
Cash flow hedges:			

Edgar Filing: STARTEK INC - Form 10-Q

Foreign exchange contracts \$(870) \$ (38 ) \$(1,165) \$ 594 Cost of services

	Six Months Ended				Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income
	June 30, 2012		June 30, 2011		
	Loss	Gain	Loss	Gain	
	Recognized	Reclassified	Recognized	Reclassified	
	AOCI, net of	from AOCI	AOCI, net of	from AOCI	
	tax	into Income	tax	into Income	
Cash flow hedges:					
Foreign exchange contracts	\$(819)	\$ 81	\$(2,257)	\$ 1,298	Cost of services

## 8. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

### *Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*

Our derivative instruments are valued using third-party broker or counterparty statements, derived from pricing models using inputs based upon market information, including contractual terms, market prices and yield curves. The inputs to the valuation pricing models are observable in the market, and as such are generally classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

### *Restructuring Charges*

As described in Note 5, "Impairment Losses and Restructuring Charges," during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we closed several facilities. These costs were valued using a discounted cash flow model. The cash flows consist of the future lease payment obligations required under the lease agreement. We have assumed that we can sublease our facility in Grand Junction for a portion of the remaining lease term and sell our facility in Laramie, Wyoming based on our knowledge of the respective marketplaces, as well as our historical ability to sublease our facilities in other locations in which we operate. In the future, if we sublease for periods that differ from our assumption or if an actual buy-out of a lease differs from our estimate, we may be required to record a gain or loss in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income (Loss). Future cash flows also include estimated property taxes through the remainder of the lease term, which are valued based upon historical tax payments. Given that the restructuring charges were valued using our internal estimates using a discounted cash flow model, we have classified the accrued restructuring costs as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

### *Long-Lived Assets*

As described in Note 5, "Impairment Losses and Restructuring Charges," during the quarter ended June 30, 2012, we recorded impairment losses in our Domestic segment, due to the impairment of certain long-lived assets for which the carrying value of those assets is not recoverable based upon our estimated future cash flows. We periodically, on at least an annual basis, evaluate potential impairments of our long-lived assets. In our annual evaluation or when we determine that the carrying value of a long-lived asset may not be recoverable, based upon the existence of one or more indicators of impairment, we evaluate the projected undiscounted cash flows related to the assets. If these cash flows are less than the carrying values of the assets, we measure the impairment based on the excess of the carrying value of the long-lived asset over the long-lived asset's fair value. Where appropriate we use a probability-weighted approach to determine our future cash flows, based upon our estimate of the likelihood of certain scenarios, primarily whether we expect to sell new business within a current location. These estimates are consistent with our internal projections and external communications and public disclosures. The measurement of the fair value of the buildings

was based upon our third-party real estate broker's non-binding estimate of fair value using the observable market information regarding sale prices of comparable assets. The fair value of these long-lived assets after the impairment charges were \$66. Given that the impairment losses were valued using internal estimates of future cash flows or upon non-identical assets using significant unobservable inputs, we have classified the remaining fair value of long-lived assets as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

In 2010, we committed to a plan to sell the buildings and land at our closed facilities in Laramie, Wyoming and Greeley, Colorado, as well as in 2012, we committed to sell the facilities in Enid, Oklahoma. We received estimates of the selling prices of this real estate, and have reduced the value of the buildings and land to fair value, less costs to sell, or approximately \$4,969 at June 30, 2012. The measurement of the fair value of the buildings was based upon our third-party real estate broker's non-binding estimate of fair value using the observable market information regarding sale prices of comparable assets. As these inputs to the determination of fair value are based upon non-identical assets and use significant unobservable inputs, we have classified the assets as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

### ***Fair Value Hierarchy***

The following tables set forth our assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and a non-recurring basis by level within the fair value hierarchy. Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Assets Measured at Fair Value  
on a Recurring Basis as of June 30, 2012

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ -	\$ 798	\$ -	\$ 798
Total fair value of assets measured on a recurring basis	\$ -	\$ 798	\$ -	\$ 798
Liabilities:				
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ -	\$ 147	\$ -	\$ 147
Total fair value of liabilities measured on a recurring basis	\$ -	\$ 147	\$ -	\$ 147

Assets Measured at Fair Value  
on a Recurring Basis as of December 31, 2011

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ -	\$ 106	\$ -	\$ 106
Total fair value of assets measured on a recurring basis	\$ -	\$ 106	\$ -	\$ 106
Liabilities:				
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ -	\$ 616	\$ -	\$ 616
Total fair value of liabilities measured on a recurring basis	\$ -	\$ 616	\$ -	\$ 616

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a  
Non-Recurring Basis as of June 30, 2012

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets:				
Assets held for sale	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,969	\$ 4,969
Property, plant and equipment, net	-	-	66	66
Total fair value of assets measured on a non-recurring basis	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,035	\$ 5,035
Liabilities:				
Accrued restructuring costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,308	\$ 1,308
Total fair value of liabilities measured on a non-recurring basis	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,308	\$ 1,308

## Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis as of December 31, 2011

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
--	---------	---------	---------	-------

Assets:				
Assets held for sale	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,102	\$ 4,102
Property, plant and equipment, net	-	-	1,606	1,606
Total fair value of assets measured on a non-recurring basis	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,708	\$ 5,708

Liabilities:				
Accrued restructuring costs	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,875	\$ 5,875
Total fair value of liabilities measured on a non-recurring basis	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,875	\$ 5,875

**9. DEBT**

On February 28, 2012, we terminated our secured line of credit with UMB Bank, which was effective through August 1, 2012, and replaced it with a secured revolving credit facility with Wells Fargo Bank. The Credit Agreement is effective February 28, 2012 through February 28, 2015. The amount we may borrow under the Credit Agreement is the lesser of the borrowing base calculation and \$10,000, and, so long as no default has occurred, we may increase the maximum availability to \$20,000 in \$2,500 increments. We may request letters of credit under the Credit Agreement in an aggregate amount equal to the lesser of the borrowing base calculation (minus outstanding advances) and \$5,000. The borrowing base is generally defined as 85% of our eligible accounts receivable less reserves for foreign exchange forward contracts and other reserves as defined in the Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2012, we had \$9,349 available for borrowings under the credit facility.

Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at the daily three-month LIBOR index plus 2.50% to 3.00% depending on the calculation of the fixed charge coverage ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement. Until the first monthly report of the fixed charge coverage ratio, the interest rate will be the daily three-month LIBOR index plus 3.00%. We will pay letter of credit fees on the average daily aggregate available amount of all letters of credit outstanding monthly at a rate per annum of 3.0% and a monthly unused fee at a rate per annum of 0.30% on the aggregate unused commitment under the Credit Agreement. We granted Wells Fargo a security interest in all of our assets, including all cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, general intangibles, owned real property, equipment and fixtures. In addition, under the Credit Agreement, we are subject to certain standard affirmative and negative covenants, including the following financial covenants: 1) maintaining a minimum adjusted EBITDA, as defined in the credit Agreement, of no less than the monthly minimum amounts set forth in the Credit Agreement and 2) limiting non-financed capital expenditures during 2012 to \$6,500, provided that such expenditures would not cause the ratio of excess availability, as defined in the Credit Agreement, to aggregate non-financed capital expenditures to be less than 1:50 to 1:00. The requirement for non-financed capital expenditures may be increased quarterly by an amount equal to 50% of any positive variance between budgeted and actual adjusted EBITDA results measured at the end of each quarter. The Company and Wells Fargo are required to agree on financial covenants for the remaining term of the Credit Agreement beyond 2012, and any failure to do so will constitute an event of default.



**10. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS**

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consisted of the following items:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments:				
Beginning balance	\$ 2,727	\$ 2,780	\$ 2,385	\$ 2,547
Translation adjustments	88	176	434	409
Taxes associated with translation adjustments	(82 )	-	(86 )	-
Ending balance	\$ 2,733	\$ 2,956	\$ 2,733	\$ 2,956
Accumulated unrealized derivative gains (losses):				
Beginning balance	\$ (814 )	\$ 227	\$ (883 )	\$ 615
Gain reclassified to earnings	(38 )	594	81	1,298
Taxes associated with gain on derivatives	(220 )	-	(261 )	
Change in fair value of cash flow hedges	1,090	(1,165 )	1,081	(2,257 )
Ending balance	\$ 18	\$ (344 )	\$ 18	\$ (344 )

**11. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION**

Our share-based compensation arrangements include grants of stock options, restricted stock awards and deferred stock units under the StarTek, Inc. 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, which replaced the StarTek, Inc. Stock Option Plan and StarTek, Inc. Directors' Stock Option Plan, certain awards granted outside of these plans and our Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Refer to Note 10, "Share-Based Compensation," in Item 8. "Financial Statements and Supplementary Financial Data" appearing in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for further information on our share-based compensation arrangements. The compensation cost that has been charged against income related to share-based compensation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$362 and \$696, respectively, and is included in selling, general and administrative expense in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The compensation cost that has been charged against income related to share-based compensation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$421 and \$870, respectively. As of June 30, 2012, there was \$820 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options and \$40 related to non-vested restricted stock awards. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.4 years and 1.5 years for the stock options and restricted stock awards, respectively.

**12. INCOME TAXES**

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income in the period during which such rates are enacted.

We consider all available evidence to determine whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become realizable. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities (including the impact of available carryback and carryforward periods), and projected taxable income in assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets. In making such judgments, significant weight is given to evidence that can be objectively verified. Based on all available evidence, in particular our three-year historical cumulative losses, recent operating losses and an expected U.S. pre-tax loss for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011, we recorded a valuation allowance against our U.S. net deferred tax assets in the second quarter of 2010. In order to fully realize the U.S. deferred tax assets, we will need to generate sufficient taxable income in future periods before the expiration of the deferred tax assets governed by the tax code.

### **13. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

On August 1, 2012, Wells Fargo amended the EBITDA debt covenants for the second half of 2012 with no impact on financial results.

## **ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the Consolidated Financial Statements and related Notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, and with the information under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Unless otherwise noted in this report, any description of "us" or "we" refers to StarTek, Inc. and our subsidiaries. Financial information in this report is presented in U.S. dollars.

### **BUSINESS DESCRIPTION AND OVERVIEW**

StarTek, Inc. is a global provider of business process outsourcing services with over 9,000 employees, whom we refer to as Brand Warriors, who have been committed to making a positive impact on our clients' business results for 25 years. Our company mission is to enable and empower our Brand Warriors to advance our clients' brands every day to bring value to our stakeholders. We accomplish this by aligning with our clients' business objectives, resulting in a trusted partnership. The StarTek Advantage System is the sum total of our culture, customized solutions, and processes that enhance our clients' customers' experience. The StarTek Advantage System is focused on improving customer experience and reducing total cost of ownership for our clients. StarTek has proven results for the multiple services we provide including sales, order management and provisioning, customer care, technical support, receivables management, and retention programs. We manage programs using a variety of multi-channel customer interaction capabilities including voice, chat, email, IVR and back-office support. StarTek has delivery centers in the U.S., Philippines, Canada, Costa Rica, Honduras and through our StarTek@Home workforce.

We seek to become a valuable partner by helping our clients effectively handle their customers throughout the customer lifecycle. Through this effort we will return value to our stakeholders. Our approach is to develop relationships with our clients that are partnering and collaborative in nature where we are focused, flexible and responsive to their business needs. In addition, we offer creative industry-based solutions to meet our clients' ever changing business needs. The end result is the delivery of a customer experience which requires little effort by our client's customers. To become a leader in the market, our strategy is to:

- grow our existing client base by deepening and broadening our relationships,
- add new clients and continue to diversify our client base,
- improve the profitability of our business through operational improvements, increased utilization and right-sizing our Domestic operation,
- expand our global delivery platform to meet our client needs, and

- broaden our service offerings by providing more innovative and technology-enabled solutions.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2012, we revised our business segments in order to better align them with our strategic approach to the regions in which our services are rendered. Over the past several years, we have closed and opened several operating centers which have changed the way in which management and our chief operating decision maker evaluate performance and allocate resources. We consolidated our U.S. and Canadian segments into our Domestic segment and created two new segments, Asia Pacific and Latin America, which were previously reported in our Offshore segment. As of June 30, 2012, our Domestic segment included the operations of five facilities in the U.S. and two facilities in Canada. Our Asia Pacific segment included the operations of two facilities in the Philippines and our Latin America segment included one facility in Costa Rica and one in Honduras.

In 2010, 2011 and thus far in 2012, we received lower call volumes in our Domestic facilities, which adversely affected our results. Partially offsetting lower call volumes in North America has been strong demand for our offshore call center services, primarily in our Asia Pacific segment. We have observed that our clients are decreasing the number of agents handling calls by leveraging call disposition technology and there continues to be a shift toward outsourced and offshore providers. While the increased use of call disposition technology has somewhat adversely impacted our 2012 financial results, the shift toward outsourced and offshore providers has positively impacted our business due to our expanded presence in the Philippines, Costa Rica and Honduras. Part of our strategy, as noted above, is to further expand our geographic footprint offshore and near-shore to capitalize on this trend and to diversify geographic risk. We also believe our clients and potential clients are seeking front and back-office business processes to increase operating efficiencies in order to enhance their customer experience. We believe we are positioned to benefit from this trend as we have developed a comprehensive suite of services which includes front and back-office offerings for our clients.

**SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENTS DURING THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012*****Jonesboro, Arkansas and Decatur, Illinois***

In February 2012, we received written customer notification that they would be reducing business in our Jonesboro, Arkansas and Decatur, Illinois facilities. The reductions resulted in approximately \$5.9 million less revenue during the second quarter of 2012, compared to the second quarter of 2011, and decreased gross profit by \$1.1 million, compared to the second quarter of 2011. In the first quarter of 2012, we incurred approximately \$3.6 million in impairment losses related to these two facilities as the carrying values of the long-lived assets were not recoverable by future cash flows. We continue to negotiate new business for the Jonesboro facility. However, we do not anticipate securing new business for the Decatur facility and consequently recorded a \$0.5 million restructuring reserve in the second quarter of 2012.

***Enid, Oklahoma***

As of June 30, 2012 we have reclassified the Enid Oklahoma facility as assets held for sale as we have committed to sell the facility. It was determined that the fair market value was greater than the net book value therefore we have reclassified \$0.9 million to assets held for sale.

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS – THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND JUNE 30, 2011**

Effective January 1, 2012, we changed our method of allocating certain site human resource, recruiting and facilities costs, whereby these costs that are directly related to hiring, employment and maintenance at our facilities (not our corporate offices) are now recorded in cost of services rather than selling, general and administrative expenses. We have reclassified 2011 information to conform to this presentation and the effect of the reclassification for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was a \$1.8 million increase to cost of services and a corresponding decrease to selling, general and administrative expenses (\$1.4 million Domestic segment, \$0.3 million Asia Pacific segment and \$0.1 million Latin America segment).

The following table presents selected items from our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) in thousands of dollars and as a percentage of revenue for the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012	% of Revenue		Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	% of Revenue		% Change Q2 2011 to Q2 2012	
Revenue	\$ 44,421	100.0 %		\$ 57,139	100.0 %		-22.3	%

Edgar Filing: STARTEK INC - Form 10-Q

Cost of services	41,150	92.6	%	52,652	92.1	%	-21.8	%	
Gross profit	3,271	7.4	%	4,487	7.9	%	-27.1	%	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	7,329	16.5	%	11,380	19.9	%	-35.6	%	
Impairment losses and restructuring charges	467	1.1	%	3,272	5.7	%	-85.7	%	
Operating loss	(4,525)	)	-10.2	%	(10,165)	)	-17.8	%	NM
Net interest and other income	84	)	0.2	%	(12)	)	0.0	%	NM
Loss before income taxes	(4,441)	)	-10.0	%	(10,177)	)	-17.8	%	NM
Income tax expense	163	)	0.4	%	525	)	0.9	%	NM
Net loss	\$ (4,278)	)	-9.6	%	\$ (9,652)	)	-16.9	%	NM

The following table summarizes our revenues and gross profit for the periods indicated, by reporting segment:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,					
	2012		2011			
	(in 000s)	(% of Total)	(in 000s)	(% of Total)		
<b>Domestic:</b>						
Revenue	\$21,609	48.6	% \$43,139	75.5	%	
Cost of services	21,186	51.5	% 39,197	74.4	%	
Gross profit	\$423	12.9	% \$3,896	86.8	%	
<i>Gross profit %</i>	2.0	%	9.0	%		
<b>Asia Pacific:</b>						
Revenue	\$18,709	42.1	% \$12,100	21.2	%	
Cost of services	15,530	37.7	% 11,102	21.1	%	
Gross profit	\$3,179	97.2	% \$965	21.5	%	
<i>Gross profit %</i>	17.0	%	8.0	%		
<b>Latin America:</b>						
Revenue	\$4,103	9.2	% \$1,900	3.3	%	
Cost of services	4,434	10.8	% 2,353	4.5	%	
Gross loss	\$(331 )	-10.1	% \$(374 )	-8.3	%	
<i>Gross loss %</i>	-8.1	%	-19.7	%		
<b>Total:</b>						
Revenue	\$44,421	100.0	% \$57,139	100.0	%	
Cost of services	41,150	100.0	% 52,652	100.0	%	
Gross profit	\$3,271	100.0	% \$4,487	100.0	%	
<i>Gross profit %</i>	7.4	%	7.9	%		

### **Revenue**

Revenue decreased by \$12.7 million, or 22.3%, from \$57.1 million in the second quarter of 2011 to \$44.4 million in the second quarter of 2012. The decrease was driven by a \$21.5 million decline in revenue in our Domestic segment. Of that decrease, approximately \$14.6 million is attributable to four site closures that occurred over the past year in Enid, Oklahoma, Decatur, Illinois, Collinsville, Virginia and Kingston, Ontario. In addition, the downsizing of our facility in Cornwall, Ontario during 2011 resulted in approximately \$2.5 million less revenue in the second quarter of 2012, compared to the second quarter of 2011. The ramp-down of business in our Jonesboro, Arkansas facility resulted in \$2.9 million less revenue in the second quarter of 2012, compared to the second quarter of 2011. Revenue in our Asia Pacific segment increased by \$6.6 million in the second quarter of 2012, compared to the second quarter of 2011. The increase was due primarily to the ramp of new business in both Philippines locations. The total number of Asia Pacific full-time equivalent agents increased by approximately 83% in the second quarter of 2012, compared to the second quarter of 2011. Revenue in our Latin America segment increased by \$2.2 million in the second quarter of 2012, compared to the second quarter of 2011. The revenue increase was due to new business in our Honduras location which opened in the fall of 2011, and the ramp-up of additional business in our Costa Rica facility. Full-time

equivalent agents (“FTE”) in our Latin America segment increased by 226% in the second quarter of 2012, compared to the second quarter of 2011. Our client base was more diversified during the quarter ended June 30, 2012, compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2011, as the growth in Asia Pacific and Latin America was fueled by higher call volumes from two clients, offset by lower call volumes domestically from our largest client.

### ***Cost of Services and Gross Profit***

Cost of services declined \$11.5 million, or 21.8%, from \$52.6 million in the second quarter of 2011 to \$41.1 million in the second quarter of 2012. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue decreased from 7.9% in the second quarter of 2011 to 7.4% in the second quarter of 2012. Domestic cost of services decreased by approximately \$18.0 million due primarily to a \$12.0 million decline related to the site closures and ramp-downs mentioned above. Domestic gross profit as a percentage of revenue decreased from 9.0% in the second quarter of 2011 to 2.0% in the second quarter of 2012 due to the site closures and ramp-downs. Cost of services in the Asia Pacific segment increased by approximately \$4.4 million, or 40%. The increase was due to higher cost of services in our Makati and Ortigas facilities, compared to the second quarter of 2011, due to new business launches in those facilities. Asia Pacific gross profit as a percentage of revenue increased from 8.0% in the second quarter of 2011 to 17.0% in the second quarter of 2012. The improvement was due to the higher utilization from the greater number of FTEs serving new business launched over the past year. Cost of services in Latin America increased by approximately \$2.1 million, or 88%. The increase was primarily due to the opening of a new facility in Honduras in 2011, as well as an increase in FTE in Costa Rica mentioned above resulting from new business launches. Latin America gross profit as a percentage of revenue increased from (19.7%) to (8.1%) due to the higher FTE and utilization.

### ***Selling, General and Administrative Expenses***

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by \$4.0 million, or 35.6%, from \$11.4 million in the second quarter of 2011 to \$7.3 million in the second quarter of 2012. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses was due primarily to decreases in severance expense of \$2.6 million, legal expenses of \$1.0 million and other miscellaneous costs of \$0.4 million.

### ***Impairment Losses and Restructuring Charges***

Impairment losses and restructuring charges totaled \$0.5 million and \$3.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The \$0.5 million of restructuring charges in the second quarter of 2012 in our Domestic segment was related to lease, utilities, maintenance, and security expense that will continue to be incurred for the Decatur, Illinois location.

### ***Operating Loss***

We reported an operating loss of \$4.5 million in the second quarter of 2012 and \$10.2 million in the second quarter of 2011. Operating loss as a percentage of revenue was (12.0%) for the second quarter of 2012 compared to (17.8%) for the second quarter of 2011. The change in operating loss was due to lower gross profit, lower selling, general and administrative expenses and lower impairment and restructuring charges in 2012, as previously discussed.

### ***Income Tax***

Income tax benefit for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. The income tax benefit is primarily related to taxable losses from our Canadian operations due to a full valuation allowance recorded on our U.S. deferred tax assets and tax holidays in the Philippines, Costa Rica and Honduras.

***Net Loss***

Net loss was \$4.3 million for the second quarter of 2012 and \$9.7 million for the second quarter of 2011. The decreased net loss was due to lower gross profit, lower selling, general and administrative expenses and lower impairment and restructuring charges in 2012, partially offset by lower income tax benefit, as previously discussed.

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS – SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012 AND JUNE 30, 2011**

Effective January 1, 2012, we changed our method of allocating certain site human resource, recruiting and facilities costs, whereby these costs that are directly related to hiring, employment and maintenance at our facilities (not our corporate offices) are now recorded in cost of services rather than selling, general and administrative expenses. We have reclassified 2011 information to conform to this presentation and the effect of the reclassification for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was a \$3.5 million increase to cost of services and a corresponding decrease to selling, general and administrative expenses (\$2.7 million Domestic segment, \$0.6 million Asia Pacific segment and \$0.2 million Latin America segment).

The following table presents selected items from our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) in thousands of dollars and as a percentage of revenue for the periods indicated.

Edgar Filing: STARTEK INC - Form 10-Q

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		Six Months Ended June 30, 2011		% Change YTD June 30, 2011 to 2012	
		% of Revenue		% of Revenue		
Revenue	\$ 95,280	214.5 %	\$ 116,649	204.1 %	-18.3	%
Cost of services	86,672	195.1 %	106,455	186.3 %	-18.6	%
Gross profit	8,608	19.4 %	10,194	17.8 %	-15.6	%
Selling, general and administrative expenses	15,653	35.2 %	19,380	33.9 %	-19.2	%
Impairment losses and restructuring charges	3,553	8.0 %	3,272	5.7 %	8.6	%
Operating loss	(10,598 )	-23.9 %	(12,458 )	-21.8 %	NM	
Net interest and other income	187	0.4 %	6	0.0 %	NM	
Loss before income taxes	(10,411 )	-23.4 %	(12,452 )	-21.8 %	NM	
Income tax expense	2	0.0 %	246	0.4 %	NM	
Net loss	\$ (10,409 )	-23.4 %	\$ (12,206 )	-21.4 %	NM	

The following table summarizes our revenues and gross profit for the periods indicated, by reporting segment:

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2012 (in 000s)		2011 (in 000s)	
		(% of Total)		(% of Total)
<b>Domestic:</b>				
Revenue	\$48,960	51.4 %	\$90,649	77.7 %
Cost of services	47,693	55.0 %	81,730	76.8 %
Gross profit	\$1,267	14.7 %	\$8,919	87.5 %
<i>Gross profit %</i>	2.6 %		9.8 %	
<b>Asia Pacific:</b>				
Revenue	\$38,265	40.2 %	\$23,000	19.7 %
Cost of services	30,242	34.9 %	20,670	19.4 %
Gross profit	\$8,023	93.2 %	\$2,330	22.9 %
<i>Gross profit %</i>	21.0 %		10.1 %	
<b>Latin America:</b>				
Revenue	\$8,055	8.5 %	\$3,000	2.6 %
Cost of services	8,737	10.1 %	4,055	3.8 %
Gross loss	\$(682 )	-7.9 %	\$(1,055 )	-10.3 %
<i>Gross loss %</i>	-8.5 %		-35.2 %	
<b>Total:</b>				
Revenue	\$95,280	100.0 %	\$116,649	100.0 %
Cost of services	86,672	100.0 %	106,455	100.0 %
Gross profit	\$8,608	100.0 %	\$10,194	100.0 %
<i>Gross profit %</i>	9.0 %		8.7 %	



**Revenue**

Revenue decreased by \$21.4 million, or 18.3%, from \$116.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2011 to \$95.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012. The decrease was driven by a \$41.7 million decline in revenue in our Domestic segment. Of that decrease, \$27.0 million is attributable to five site closures that occurred over the past year in Alexandria, Virginia, Collinsville, Virginia, Decatur Illinois, Enid, Oklahoma and Kingston, Ontario. In addition, the downsizing of our facility in Cornwall, Ontario during 2011 resulted in approximately \$6.2 million less revenue in the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The ramp-down of business in our Jonesboro, Arkansas facility resulted in \$4.4 million less revenue in the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The remaining change in revenue is attributable to decreased business with our largest client. Revenue in our Asia Pacific segment increased by \$15.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was due to the ramp of new business in both Philippines facilities. Revenue in our Latin America segment increased by \$5.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The revenue increase was due to new business in our Honduras location, which opened in the fall of 2011, and the ramp-up of additional business in our Costa Rica facility. Our client base was more diversified during the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011, as the growth in Asia Pacific and Latin America was fueled by higher call volumes from two clients, offset by lower call volumes domestically from our largest client.

**Cost of Services and Gross Profit**

Cost of services declined \$19.8 million, or 18.6%, from \$106.5 million in the first half of 2011 to \$86.7 million in the first half of 2012. Gross profit as a percentage of revenue increased from 8.7% in the first half of 2011 to 9% in the first half of 2012. Domestic cost of services decreased by approximately \$34.0 million due primarily to a \$24.1 million decline related to the site closures and ramp-downs mentioned above. Domestic gross profit as a percentage of revenue decreased from 9.8% in the first half of 2011 to 2.6% in the first half of 2012 due to the site closures and ramp-downs. Cost of services in the Asia Pacific segment increased by approximately \$9.6 million, or 46%. The increase was due to higher cost of services in our Makati and Ortigas facilities, compared to the first half of 2011, due to new business launches in those facilities. Asia Pacific gross profit as a percentage of revenue increased from 10.1% in the first half of 2011 to 21.0% in the first half of 2012. The improvement was due to the higher utilization as a result of new business launched over the past year. Cost of services in Latin America increased by approximately \$4.7 million, or 115%. The increase was primarily due to the opening of a new facility in Honduras in 2011, as well as an increase in FTEs in Costa Rica mentioned above resulting from new business launches. Latin America gross profit as a percentage of revenue increased from (35.2%) to (8.5%) due to the higher FTE and utilization.

**Selling, General and Administrative Expenses**

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by \$3.7 million, or 19.2%, from \$19.3 million in the first half of 2011 to \$15.7 million in the first half of 2012. The decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses was primarily due to a decrease in severance expense \$2.4 million, legal fees of \$1.0 million and other miscellaneous costs of \$0.3 million.

### ***Impairment Losses and Restructuring Charges***

Impairment losses and restructuring charges totaled \$3.6 million and \$3.3 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We incurred \$3.1 million of impairment losses in the first half of 2012 in our Domestic segment related to long-lived assets such as computer equipment, software, equipment and furniture and fixtures for which the future cash flows did not support the carrying value of the assets in our Decatur, Illinois and Jonesboro, Arkansas facilities. Additionally, we incurred \$0.5 million of restructuring charges in the first half of 2012 in our Domestic segment related to lease, utilities, maintenance, and security expense that will continue to be incurred for the Decatur, Illinois location.

### ***Operating Loss***

We reported an operating loss of \$10.6 million in the first half of 2012 and \$12.5 million in the first half of 2011. Operating loss as a percentage of revenue was (11.1%) for the first half of 2012 compared to (10.7%) for the first half of 2011. The change in operating loss was due to lower gross profit, lower selling, general and administrative expenses in 2012, as previously discussed.

### ***Income Tax***

Income tax benefit for the first half 2012 and 2011 was \$0.0 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. The income tax benefit is primarily related to taxable losses from our Canadian operations due to a full valuation allowance recorded on our U.S. deferred tax assets and tax holidays in the Philippines, Costa Rica and Honduras.

### ***Net Loss***

Net loss was \$10.4 million for the first half of 2012 and \$12.2 million for the first half of 2011. The decreased net loss was due to lower gross profit, lower selling, general and administrative expenses, and income tax benefit in 2012, as previously discussed.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

As of June 30, 2012, working capital totaled \$32.0 million and our current ratio was 2.47:1, compared to working capital at December 31, 2011, of \$32.8 million and a current ratio of 2.40:1.

We have historically financed our operations, liquidity requirements, capital expenditures, and capacity expansion primarily through cash flows from operations, and to a lesser degree, through various forms of debt and leasing arrangements. In addition to funding basic operations, our primary uses of cash typically relate to capital expenditures to upgrade our existing information technologies and service offerings and investment in our facilities. During the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we drew and re-paid approximately \$10.7 million and \$0, respectively. Due to the timing of our collections of large billings with our major customers, we have historically needed to draw on our line of credit for ongoing operating activities.

On February 28, 2012, we terminated our secured line of credit with UMB Bank, which was effective through August 1, 2012, and replaced it with a secured revolving credit facility with Wells Fargo Bank. The Credit Agreement is effective February 28, 2012 through February 28, 2015. The amount that we may borrow under the Credit Agreement is subject to a borrowing base calculation, and has an initial availability of \$10 million, with the flexibility to borrow up to \$20 million at our option. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement bear interest at the daily three-month LIBOR index plus 2.50% to 3.00% depending on the calculation of the fixed charge coverage ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement. We granted Wells Fargo a security interest in all of our cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, general intangibles, owned real property, equipment and fixtures. As of June 30, 2012, there was no balance outstanding on our credit facility and we were in compliance with our covenants.

We believe that the borrowing capacity under the secured line of credit with Wells Fargo Bank, together with cash on hand and anticipated cash flow from operations, will be adequate to meet our working capital and capital expenditure requirements for the next year. Any of the factors described in Item 1A. "Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 could adversely affect our business and our liquidity position.

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
	(in thousands)	
Net cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 3,263	\$ 4,783
Investing activities	(1,287 )	(3,848 )
Financing activities	6	128
Effect of foreign exchange rates on cash	(333 )	348
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,649	\$ 1,411

Our balance of cash and cash equivalents was \$11.4 million at June 30, 2012, compared to a balance of \$9.7 million at December 31, 2011.

**Operating Activities.** Net cash provided by operating activities decreased \$1.5 million from \$4.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 to \$3.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The decline in cash provided by operating activities was driven by changes in working capital and other current assets that caused a decrease in

operating cash flows of 5.0 million in the first half of 2012, compared to the first half of 2011, partially offset by increases in cash provided by operating activities due to \$1.8 million decrease in net loss combined with a \$1.6 million net increase in non-cash transactions, including depreciation, non-cash compensation, and deferred income taxes, in the first half of 2012 compared to the first half of 2011.

**Investing Activities.** Net cash used in investing activities was \$1.3 million in the first half of 2012, compared to \$3.8 million in the first half of 2011. The decrease was due to a decrease of \$2.6 million in purchases of property, plant and equipment as management reduced our amount of new capital spend during 2012.

**Financing Activities.** Net cash provided by financing activities decreased by approximately \$0.1 million in the first half of 2012, compared to the first half of 2011. The decrease was due to a lower amount of stock issuances in 2012 from stock option exercises.

**Contractual Obligations.** Other than operating leases for certain equipment and real estate and commitments to purchase goods and services in the future, we have no off-balance sheet transactions, unconditional purchase obligations or similar instruments, and we are not a guarantor of any other entities' debt or other financial obligations. We maintain a \$20 million secured revolving credit facility with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. which we use to finance regular, short-term operating expenses. The credit facility expires on February 28, 2015. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we drew and re-paid approximately \$10.7 million on our credit facility. There was no balance outstanding on the line of credit as of June 30, 2012 and we were in compliance with our covenants.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, there were no other material changes in our contractual obligations. For a complete discussion of our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2011, see Item 7. "Contractual Obligations" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

***Other Factors Impacting Liquidity.*** Effective November 4, 2004, our board of directors authorized purchases of up to \$25.0 million of our common stock. The repurchase program will remain in effect until terminated by the board of directors and allows us to repurchase shares of our common stock from time to time on the open market, in block trades and in privately-negotiated transactions. Repurchases will be implemented by the Chief Financial Officer consistent with the guidelines adopted by the board of directors and will depend on market conditions and other factors. Any repurchases of shares will be made in accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission rules. We have not yet repurchased any shares pursuant to this board authorization.

Our business currently has a high concentration of a few principal clients. The loss of a principal client and/or changes in timing or termination of a principal client's product launch or service offering would have a material adverse effect on our business, liquidity, operating results, and financial condition. These client relationships are further discussed in Note 5, "Principal Clients," to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which are included at Item 1, "Financial Statements," of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. To limit our credit risk, management from time to time will perform credit evaluations of our clients. Although we are directly impacted by the economic conditions in which our clients operate, management does not believe substantial credit risk existed as of June 30, 2012. Refer to Item 1A. "Risk Factors" appearing in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for further information regarding these risks.

There is a risk that the counterparties to our hedging instruments could suffer financial difficulties due to economic conditions or other reasons, and we could realize losses on these arrangements which could impact our liquidity. However, we do not believe we are exposed to more than a nominal amount of credit risk in our derivative hedging activities, as the counterparties are established, well-capitalized financial institutions.

Because we service relatively few large clients, the availability of cash is highly dependent on the timing of cash receipts from accounts receivable. As a result, from time to time, we borrow cash from our line of credit to cover short-term cash needs. These borrowings are typically outstanding for a short period of time before they are repaid. However, our debt balance can fluctuate significantly during any given quarter as part of our ordinary course of business. Accordingly, our debt balance at the end of any given period is not necessarily indicative of the debt balance at any other time during that period.

Although management cannot accurately anticipate effects of domestic and foreign inflation on our operations, management does not believe inflation has had a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition. However, there is a risk that inflation could occur in certain countries in which we operate which could have an adverse affect on our financial results. We engage in hedging activities which may reduce this risk; however, currency hedges do not, and will not, eliminate our exposure to foreign inflation.

## **VARIABILITY OF OPERATING RESULTS**

Our business has been seasonal only to the extent that our clients' marketing programs and product launches are geared toward the winter holiday buying season. We have experienced and expect to continue to experience some quarterly variations in revenue and operating results due to a variety of factors, many of which are outside our control, including: (i) timing and amount of costs incurred to expand capacity in order to provide for volume growth from existing and future clients; (ii) changes in the volume of services provided to principal clients; (iii) expiration or termination of client projects or contracts; (iv) timing of existing and future client product launches or service offerings; (v) seasonal nature of certain clients' businesses; and (vi) variability in demand for our services by our clients depending on demand for their products or services and/or depending on our performance.

## **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES**

In preparing our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management must undertake decisions that impact the reported amounts and related disclosures. Such decisions include the selection of the appropriate accounting principles to be applied and assumptions upon which accounting estimates are based. Management applies its best judgment based on its understanding and analysis of the relevant circumstances to reach these decisions. By their nature, these judgments are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty. Accordingly, actual results may vary significantly from the estimates we have applied.

Our critical accounting policies and estimates are consistent with those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Please refer to Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, for a complete description of our Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates.

### ***Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements***

In 2011, the FASB issued two ASUs, which amend guidance for the presentation of comprehensive income. The amended guidance requires an entity to present components of net income and other comprehensive income in one continuous statement, referred to as the statement of comprehensive income, or in two separate, but consecutive statements. The current option to report other comprehensive income and its components in the statement of stockholders' equity has been eliminated. Although the new guidance changes the presentation of comprehensive income, there are no changes to the components that are recognized in net income or other comprehensive income under existing guidance. We adopted these ASUs using one continuous statement in the first half of 2012 for all periods presented.

### **ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

In the normal course of business, we are exposed to certain market risks related to changes in interest rates and other general market risks, and foreign currency exchange rates. This information should be read in conjunction with the information set forth in Part II, Item 7A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, in addition to the interim Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, accompanying notes and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" presented in Items 1 and 2 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

#### ***Interest Rate Risk***

We are exposed to interest rate risk with respect to our cash and cash equivalents and debt obligations. Cash and cash equivalents are not restricted. We consider cash equivalents to be short-term, highly liquid investments readily convertible to known amounts of cash, and so near their maturity they present insignificant risk of changes in value because of changes in interest rates. Management would not expect our cash and cash equivalents to be affected, to any significant degree, by any sudden changes in market interest rates. Declines in interest rates over time will, however, reduce our interest income derived from cash and cash equivalents. We currently have a \$10 million secured credit facility, which can grow to \$20 million. The interest rate on our credit facility is variable based upon the LIBOR index, and therefore, is affected by changes in market interest rates. We drew \$10.7 million on our line of credit during the six months ended June 30, 2012, and as of June 30, 2012, there was no amount outstanding on the line of credit. If the LIBOR increased 100 basis points, there would not be a material impact to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### ***Foreign Currency Exchange Risks***

We enter into foreign currency exchange contracts to hedge our anticipated operating commitments that are denominated in foreign currencies. The contracts cover periods commensurate with expected exposure, generally three

to nine months, and are principally unsecured foreign exchange contracts. The market risk exposure is essentially limited to risk related to currency rate movements. We operate in Canada, the Philippines, Costa Rica and Honduras. The functional currencies of our Canadian and Philippine operations are the Canadian dollar and the Philippine peso, respectively, which are used to pay labor and other operating costs in those countries. However, our client contracts primarily generate revenues which are paid to us in U.S. dollars. In Costa Rica and Honduras, our functional currency is the U.S. dollar and the majority of our costs are denominated in U.S. dollars. In connection with the termination of our secured line of credit with UMB Bank, we liquidated all of our outstanding hedge positions with UMB Bank in the first quarter of 2012, and replaced them with new hedges with Wells Fargo Bank, which resulted in a gain of approximately \$0.2 million during the first half of 2012. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we entered into forward contracts with respect to the Philippine peso for a notional amount of 2,052.2 million Philippine pesos to hedge our foreign currency risk with respect to labor costs in the Philippines. As of June 30, 2012, we have not entered into any arrangements to hedge the Costa Rican colon or Honduran lempira relative to the U.S. dollar. As of June 30, 2012, we had contracted to purchase 13.9 million Canadian dollars to be delivered periodically through June, 2013 and we had contracted to purchase 1,380 million Philippine pesos to be delivered periodically through June 2013.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, there were no other material changes in our market risk exposure. For a complete discussion of our market risks associated with foreign currency and interest rate risks as of December 31, 2011, see Item 7A. "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Also, refer to Part II, Item IA. "Risk Factors" in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors" appearing in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for further information regarding these risks.

#### **ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

***Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.*** As of June 30, 2012, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act). Based on such evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of June 30, 2012, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and were designed to ensure that all information required to be disclosed by us in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

***Changes in internal controls over financial reporting.*** There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2012, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## **Part II. Other Information**

### **ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

We have been involved from time to time in litigation arising in the normal course of business, none of which is expected by management to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

### **ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

*An Index of Exhibits* follows the signature page of this Form 10-Q.

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this Form 10-Q to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

STARTEK, INC.

(REGISTRANT)

By: /s/ CHAD A. CARLSON                      Date: August 7, 2012  
Chad A. Carlson  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ LISA A. WEAVER                      Date: August 7, 2012  
Lisa A. Weaver  
Senior Vice President, Chief Financial  
Officer and Treasurer  
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit	Description	Incorporated Herein by Reference		
		Form	Exhibit	Filing Date
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of StarTek, Inc.	S-1	3.1	1/29/1997
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of StarTek, Inc.	8-K	3.2	11/1/2011
3.3	Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation of StarTek, Inc. filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on May 21, 1999.	10-K	3.3	3/8/2000
3.4	Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation of StarTek, Inc. filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on May 23, 2000.	10-Q	3.4	8/14/2000
4.1	Specimen Common Stock certificate.	10-Q	4.2	11/6/2007
10.1*†	2012 Incentive Bonus Plan.			
31.1*	Certification of Chad A. Carlson pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			
31.2*	Certification of Lisa A. Weaver pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			
32.1*	Written Statement of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer furnished pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.			
101#	The following materials are formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and (iv) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements tagged in block text.			

\*

Filed with this Form 10-Q.

#Furnished, not filed.

†

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.