

UNIVERSAL CORP /VA/  
Form 10-Q  
November 09, 2011

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934  
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
1934  
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM \_\_\_\_\_ TO \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 001-00652

UNIVERSAL CORPORATION  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Virginia  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

54-0414210  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)

9201 Forest Hill Avenue,  
Richmond, Virginia  
(Address of principal executive offices)

23235  
(Zip Code)

804-359-9311  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of November 1, 2011, the total number of shares of common stock outstanding was 23,230,486.

---

UNIVERSAL CORPORATION  
FORM 10-Q  
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item No.		Page
<b>PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>		
1.	Financial Statements	3
2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	26
3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	30
4.	Controls and Procedures	31
<b>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</b>		
1.	Legal Proceedings	32
1A.	Risk Factors	33
6.	Exhibits	34
	Signatures	35

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

UNIVERSAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND RETAINED EARNINGS

(In thousands of dollars, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
	(Unaudited)		(Unaudited)	
Sales and other operating revenues	\$641,026	\$664,188	\$1,120,491	\$1,203,104
Costs and expenses				
Cost of goods sold	521,600	530,914	906,707	967,593
Selling, general and administrative expenses	57,660	59,094	119,238	119,277
Other income	—	—	(9,592 )	—
Restructuring costs	2,962	2,020	9,821	2,969
Charge for (reversal of) European Commission fines in Italy and Spain	49,091	(7,445 )	49,091	(7,445 )
Operating income	9,713	79,605	45,226	120,710
Equity in pretax earnings (loss) of unconsolidated affiliates	153	2,014	(3,336 )	2,392
Interest income	364	1,416	721	1,860
Interest expense	5,665	5,862	11,198	10,988
Income before income taxes and other items	4,565	77,173	31,413	113,974
Income taxes	12,562	23,390	22,088	35,773
Net income (loss)	(7,997 )	53,783	9,325	78,201
Less: net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries	(42 )	(1,952 )	(1,476 )	(1,050 )
Net income (loss) attributable to Universal Corporation	(8,039 )	51,831	7,849	77,151
Dividends on Universal Corporation convertible perpetual preferred stock	(3,713 )	(3,713 )	(7,425 )	(7,425 )
Earnings (loss) available to Universal Corporation common shareholders	\$(11,752 )	\$48,118	\$424	\$69,726
Earnings (loss) per share attributable to Universal Corporation common shareholders:				
Basic	\$(0.51 )	\$2.00	\$0.02	\$2.89
Diluted	\$(0.51 )	\$1.78	\$0.02	\$2.65
Retained earnings - beginning of year			\$825,751	\$767,213
Net income attributable to Universal Corporation			7,849	77,151
Cash dividends declared:				
Series B 6.75% Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock			(7,425 )	(7,425 )
Common stock (2011 - \$0.96 per share; 2010 - \$0.94 per share)			(22,338 )	(22,583 )
Repurchase of common stock - cost in excess of stated capital amount			(2,827 )	(15,885 )
Dividend equivalents on restricted stock units			(247 )	(202 )

Retained earnings - end of period	\$800,763	\$798,269
-----------------------------------	-----------	-----------

See accompanying notes.

UNIVERSAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(In thousands of dollars)

	September 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)	March 31, 2011
<b>ASSETS</b>			
<b>Current</b>			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 45,505	\$ 43,816	\$141,007
Accounts receivable, net	378,406	315,290	335,575
Advances to suppliers, net	75,477	128,923	160,616
Accounts receivable - unconsolidated affiliates	47,875	68,493	10,433
Inventories - at lower of cost or market:			
Tobacco	966,914	1,076,984	742,422
Other	73,048	64,792	48,647
Prepaid income taxes	17,777	11,075	18,661
Deferred income taxes	69,905	47,342	47,009
Other current assets	70,444	74,227	73,864
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>1,745,351</b>	<b>1,830,942</b>	<b>1,578,234</b>
<b>Property, plant and equipment</b>			
Land	13,986	15,866	14,851
Buildings	239,325	266,298	257,380
Machinery and equipment	530,982	551,551	555,316
	784,293	833,715	827,547
Less accumulated depreciation	(479,882 )	(503,859 )	(510,844 )
	304,411	329,856	316,703
<b>Other assets</b>			
Goodwill and other intangibles	99,365	105,444	99,546
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	88,217	107,588	115,478
Deferred income taxes	14,879	30,177	18,177
Other noncurrent assets	51,416	90,431	99,729
	253,877	333,640	332,930
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 2,303,639</b>	<b>\$ 2,494,438</b>	<b>\$2,227,867</b>

See accompanying notes.

UNIVERSAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(In thousands of dollars)

	September 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)	March 31, 2011
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>			
<b>Current</b>			
Notes payable and overdrafts	\$ 288,444	\$ 372,727	\$ 149,291
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	198,942	214,339	213,014
Accounts payable - unconsolidated affiliates	2,607	140	4,154
Customer advances and deposits	56,332	86,628	8,426
Accrued compensation	17,526	17,559	30,201
Income taxes payable	9,294	15,656	12,265
Current portion of long-term obligations	10,000	100,000	95,000
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>583,145</b>	<b>807,049</b>	<b>512,351</b>
<b>Long-term obligations</b>	<b>300,000</b>	<b>326,466</b>	<b>320,193</b>
<b>Pensions and other postretirement benefits</b>	<b>105,546</b>	<b>100,899</b>	<b>102,858</b>
<b>Other long-term liabilities</b>	<b>88,232</b>	<b>52,936</b>	<b>50,213</b>
<b>Deferred income taxes</b>	<b>58,576</b>	<b>45,459</b>	<b>42,847</b>
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>1,135,499</b>	<b>1,332,809</b>	<b>1,028,462</b>
<b>Shareholders' equity</b>			
Universal Corporation:			
Preferred stock:			
Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, no par value, 500,000 shares authorized, none issued or outstanding	—	—	—
Series B 6.75% Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, no par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 219,999 shares issued and outstanding (219,999 at September 30, 2010, and March 31, 2011)	213,023	213,023	213,023
Common stock, no par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 23,230,486 shares issued and outstanding (23,908,085 at September 30, 2010, and 23,240,503 at March 31, 2011)	193,644	194,523	191,608
Retained earnings	800,763	798,269	825,751
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(54,519 )	(51,122 )	(44,776 )
<b>Total Universal Corporation shareholders' equity</b>	<b>1,152,911</b>	<b>1,154,693</b>	<b>1,185,606</b>
<b>Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries</b>	<b>15,229</b>	<b>6,936</b>	<b>13,799</b>
<b>Total shareholders' equity</b>	<b>1,168,140</b>	<b>1,161,629</b>	<b>1,199,405</b>
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 2,303,639</b>	<b>\$ 2,494,438</b>	<b>\$ 2,227,867</b>

See accompanying notes.

5

---



UNIVERSAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(In thousands of dollars)

	Six Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
	(Unaudited)	
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net income	\$9,325	\$78,201
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used by operating activities:		
Depreciation	21,786	21,516
Amortization	785	814
Provisions for losses on advances and guaranteed loans to suppliers	6,421	7,363
Foreign currency remeasurement loss (gain), net	1,921	(183 )
Gain on fire loss insurance settlement	(9,592 )	—
Restructuring costs	9,821	2,969
Charge for (reversal of) European Commission fines in Italy and Spain	49,091	(7,445 )
Other, net	20,061	(7,794 )
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net	(231,659 )	(410,647 )
Net cash used by operating activities	(122,040 )	(315,206 )
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Purchase of property, plant and equipment	(18,474 )	(23,345 )
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment, and other	7,905	5,684
Proceeds from fire loss insurance settlement	9,933	—
Net cash used by investing activities	(636 )	(17,661 )
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Issuance (repayment) of short-term debt, net	144,148	190,000
Repayment of long-term obligations	(95,000 )	(10,000 )
Issuance of common stock	134	—
Repurchase of common stock	(4,004 )	(19,540 )
Dividends paid on convertible perpetual preferred stock	(7,425 )	(7,425 )
Dividends paid on common stock	(22,343 )	(22,779 )
Proceeds from termination of interest rate swap agreements	13,388	—
Net cash provided by financing activities	28,898	130,256
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(1,724 )	474
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(95,502 )	(202,137 )
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	141,007	245,953
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$45,505	\$43,816

See accompanying notes.

UNIVERSAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Universal Corporation, with its subsidiaries (“Universal” or the “Company”), is the leading global leaf tobacco merchant and processor. Because of the seasonal nature of the Company’s business, the results of operations for any fiscal quarter will not necessarily be indicative of results to be expected for other quarters or a full fiscal year. All adjustments necessary to state fairly the results for the period have been included and were of a normal recurring nature. Certain amounts in prior year statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. This Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011.

NOTE 2. ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Effective April 1, 2011, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Update 2009-13, “Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements” (“ASU 2009-13”). ASU 2009-13 establishes a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable in a multiple-deliverable arrangement. It also requires additional disclosures about methods and assumptions used to evaluate multiple-deliverable arrangements and to identify the significant deliverables within those arrangements. The adoption of ASU 2009-13 did not have a material effect on the Company’s financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-04, “Fair Value Measurement” (“ASU 2011-04”). The primary focus of ASU 2011-04 is the convergence of accounting requirements for fair value measurements and related financial statement disclosures under U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”). While ASU 2011-04 does not significantly change existing guidance for measuring fair value, it does require additional disclosures about fair value measurements and changes the wording of certain requirements in the guidance to achieve consistency with IFRS. ASU 2011-04 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, and is required to be applied prospectively. The Company is currently evaluating the revised guidance to determine the effect it will have on its financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-05, “Presentation of Comprehensive Income” (“ASU 2011-05”). This guidance requires companies to present the components of comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. Under either method, amounts reclassified from OCI to net income for each reporting period must be displayed as components of both net income and OCI on the face of the financial statements. The guidance does not change the items that are reported in OCI. ASU 2011-05 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, although the FASB plans to consider deferring certain parts of the new guidance. Universal plans to adopt any provisions that are not deferred in the quarter ending June 30, 2012, which is the first quarter of fiscal year 2013. The Company is currently evaluating the new guidance to determine the effect it will have on its financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-08, “Testing for Goodwill Impairment” (“ASU 2011-08”). The objective of ASU 2011-08 is to simplify the process of testing for goodwill impairment by permitting companies to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. Companies will only be required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit if the qualitative evaluation indicates that it is more likely than not that the fair value is less than the carrying amount. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with earlier adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the

new guidance but does not expect it to have a significant effect on its financial statements.

**NOTE 3. GUARANTEES, OTHER CONTINGENT LIABILITIES, AND OTHER MATTERS**

**Guarantees and Other Contingent Liabilities**

Guarantees of bank loans to growers for crop financing and construction of curing barns or other tobacco producing assets are industry practice in Brazil and support the farmers' production of tobacco there. At September 30, 2011, the Company's total exposure under guarantees issued by its operating subsidiary in Brazil for banking facilities of farmers in that country was approximately \$34 million (\$47 million face amount including unpaid accrued interest, less \$13 million recorded for the fair value of the guarantees). About 96% of these guarantees expire within one year, and all of the remainder expire within five years. The subsidiary withholds payments due to the farmers on delivery of tobacco and forwards those payments to the third-party banks. Failure of farmers to deliver sufficient quantities of tobacco to the subsidiary to cover their obligations to the third-party banks could result in a liability for the subsidiary under the related guarantees; however, in that case, the subsidiary would have recourse against the farmers. The maximum potential amount of future payments that the Company's subsidiary could be required to make at September 30, 2011, was the face amount, \$47 million including unpaid accrued interest (\$108 million as of September 30, 2010, and \$73 million at March 31, 2011). The fair value of the guarantees was a liability of approximately \$13 million at September 30, 2011 (\$21 million at September 30, 2010, and \$21 million at March 31, 2011). In addition to these guarantees, the Company has other contingent liabilities totaling approximately \$4 million.

## European Commission Fines

### European Commission Fines in Spain

In October 2004, the European Commission (the “Commission”) imposed fines on “five companies active in the raw Spanish tobacco processing market” totaling €20 million for “colluding on the prices paid to, and the quantities bought from, the tobacco growers in Spain.” Two of the Company’s subsidiaries, Tabacos Espanoles S.A. (“TAES”), a purchaser and processor of raw tobacco in Spain, and Deltafina, S.p.A. (“Deltafina”), an Italian subsidiary, were among the five companies assessed fines. In its decision, the Commission imposed a fine of €108,000 on TAES and a fine of €11.88 million on Deltafina. Deltafina did not and does not purchase or process raw tobacco in the Spanish market, but was and is a significant buyer of tobacco from some of the Spanish processors. The Company recorded a charge of about €12 million (approximately \$14.9 million at the September 2004 exchange rate) in the second quarter of fiscal year 2005 to accrue the full amount of the fines assessed against the Company’s subsidiaries.

In January 2005, Deltafina filed an appeal in the General Court of the European Union (“General Court”). A hearing was held in June 2009, and on September 8, 2010, the General Court issued its decision, in which it reduced the amount of the Deltafina fine to €6.12 million. The General Court held in part that the Commission erred in finding Deltafina acted as the leader of the Spanish cartel, and that the Commission’s corresponding increase of the underlying fine by 50% was not justified. As a result of the General Court’s decision in September 2010, during the second quarter of fiscal year 2011, the Company reversed €5.76 million (approximately \$7.4 million) of the charge previously recorded to accrue the fine and recognized approximately \$1.2 million of interest income returned on funds deposited in escrow to secure the fine. Deltafina filed an appeal to the General Court decision with the European Court of Justice on November 18, 2010. Although Deltafina believed the General Court erred in not reducing the remaining fine further based on numerous grounds, due to strategic reasons Deltafina withdrew its appeal in June 2011. The result is to end the matter in the judicial system, and to confirm the fine reduction granted in the General Court.

### European Commission Fines in Italy

In 2002, the Company reported that it was aware that the European Commission (the “Commission”) was investigating certain aspects of the leaf tobacco markets in Italy. Deltafina buys and processes tobacco in Italy. The Company reported that it did not believe that the Commission’s investigation in Italy would result in penalties being assessed against it or its subsidiaries that would be material to the Company’s earnings. The reason the Company held this belief was that it had received conditional immunity from the Commission because Deltafina had voluntarily informed the Commission of the activities that were the basis of the investigation.

On December 28, 2004, the Company received a preliminary indication that the Commission intended to revoke Deltafina’s immunity for disclosing in April 2002 that it had applied for immunity. Neither the Commission’s Leniency Notice of February 19, 2002, nor Deltafina’s letter of provisional immunity, contains a specific requirement of confidentiality. The potential for such disclosure was discussed with the Commission in March 2002, and the Commission never told Deltafina that disclosure would affect Deltafina’s immunity. On November 15, 2005, the Company received notification from the Commission that the Commission had imposed fines totaling €30 million on Deltafina and the Company jointly for infringing European Union antitrust law in connection with the purchase and processing of tobacco in the Italian raw tobacco market. In January 2006, the Company and Deltafina each filed appeals in the General Court. Deltafina’s appeal was held on September 28, 2010. For strategic reasons related to the defense of the Deltafina appeal, Universal withdrew its appeal. On September 9, 2011, the General Court issued its decision, in which it rejected Deltafina’s application to reinstate immunity. Deltafina intends to appeal the decision of the General Court. Such appeal must be filed with the European Court of Justice within two months and 10 days from the date of the notification of the decision. Effective with the September 9, 2011 General Court decision, the Company recorded a charge for the full amount of the fine (€30 million) plus accumulated interest (€5.9 million). The charge

totaled \$49.1 million at the exchange rate in effect on the date of the General Court decision. Deltafina continues to maintain a bank guarantee in favor of the Commission in the amount of the fine plus accumulated interest in order to stay execution during the appeals process. The Company expects the appeal to take up to two years, and any fine and interest Deltafina may ultimately be required to pay would not be due until the European Court of Justice issues its decision.

### Advances to Suppliers

In some regions where the Company operates, it provides agronomy services and seasonal advances of seed, fertilizer, and other supplies to tobacco farmers for crop production, or makes seasonal cash advances to farmers for the procurement of those inputs. These advances are short term, are repaid upon delivery of tobacco to the Company, and are reported in advances to suppliers in the consolidated balance sheet. Primarily in Brazil, the Company has made long-term advances to tobacco farmers to finance curing barns and other farm infrastructure. In addition, due to low crop yields and other factors, in some years individual farmers may not deliver sufficient volumes of tobacco to fully repay their seasonal advances, and the Company may extend repayment of those advances into the following crop year. The long-term portion of advances is included in other noncurrent assets in the consolidated balance sheet. Both the current and the long-term portions of advances to suppliers are reported net of allowances recorded when the Company determines that amounts outstanding are not likely to be collected. Short-term and long-term advances to suppliers totaled \$163 million at September 30, 2011, \$220 million at September 30, 2010, and \$271 million at March 31, 2011. The related valuation allowances totaled \$68 million at September 30, 2011, \$59 million at September 30, 2010, and \$74.9 million at March 31, 2011, and were estimated based on the Company's historical loss information and crop projections. The allowances were increased by provisions for estimated uncollectible amounts of approximately \$6.4 million and \$7.4 million in the six month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. These provisions are included in selling, general, and administrative expenses in the consolidated statements of income. Interest on advances is recognized in earnings upon the farmers' delivery of tobacco in payment of principal and interest. Recognition of interest is discontinued when an advance is not expected to be fully collected.

### Fire Loss Insurance Settlement

In June 2011, an operating subsidiary of the Company in Europe completed final settlement of an insurance claim related to a fire in 2010 that destroyed a portion of its facility and temporarily suspended factory operations. The Company and its subsidiary maintained general liability, business interruption, and replacement cost property insurance coverage on the facility. As part of the final settlement, the subsidiary received approximately \$9.9 million of insurance proceeds to cover the cost of reconstructing the damaged portion of the facility and replacing equipment that was destroyed in the fire. A gain of approximately \$9.6 million was recorded on the involuntary conversion of those assets in the quarter ended June 30, 2011, and is reported in Other Income in the consolidated statement of income and retained earnings. In addition, the subsidiary received insurance proceeds totaling approximately \$6.9 million for business interruption related to the fire. Approximately \$4.8 million of the business interruption recovery was recognized in earnings in fiscal year 2011, and the remaining \$2.1 million was recognized in the quarter ended June 30, 2011. In the consolidated statement of cash flows, the insurance proceeds attributable to the property and equipment destroyed in the fire are reported in cash flows from investing activities. All other insurance proceeds received during fiscal year 2011 or with the final claim settlement in June have been reported in cash flows from operating activities. Reconstruction of the facility was completed by the first quarter of fiscal year 2012, and the factory is fully operational.

### Statutory Severance and Pension Obligations in Malawi

Effective June 1, 2011, new Employment and Pension legislation was enacted into law in Malawi. The new legislation changed prior law related to statutory severance benefits by eliminating the requirement to pay those benefits to employees in cases of normal retirement. At the same time, the legislation created a new requirement to provide pension benefits to employees who meet specified service criteria. The pension benefit to which employees are entitled under the new law at June 1, 2011 is generally equivalent to the accumulated statutory severance benefit under the old law, but it considers any pension or gratuity benefits previously or currently provided to employees under a company's private pension programs. The Company's operating subsidiary in Malawi has historically provided pension and gratuity payments to specified employee groups that reduce or offset the pension obligations provided

under the new law. The Malawi subsidiary accounted for the enactment of the new legislation in its financial statements during the quarter ended June 30, 2011 by reversing approximately \$4 million of the statutory severance liability no longer required under the new law. Certain aspects of the new law have not yet been fully defined, and additional implementation guidance is expected to be issued by the government at a later date. Further adjustments to the severance and pension liabilities could be required as that guidance becomes available.

### Recoverable Value-Added Tax Credits

In many foreign countries, the Company's local operating subsidiaries pay significant amounts of value-added tax ("VAT") on purchases of unprocessed and processed tobacco, crop inputs, packing materials, and various other goods and services. In some countries, VAT is a national tax, and in other countries it is assessed at the state level. Items subject to VAT vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, as do the rates at which the tax is assessed. When tobacco is sold to customers in the country of origin, the operating subsidiaries generally collect VAT on those sales. The subsidiaries are normally permitted to offset those VAT payments against the collections and remit only the incremental VAT collections to the tax authorities. When tobacco is sold for export, VAT is normally not assessed. In countries where tobacco sales are predominately for export markets, VAT collections generated on downstream sales are often not sufficient to fully offset the subsidiaries' VAT payments. In those situations, unused VAT credits can accumulate. Some jurisdictions have procedures that allow companies to apply for refunds of unused VAT credits from the tax authorities, but the refund process often takes an extended period of time and it is not uncommon for refund applications to be challenged or rejected in part on technical grounds. Other jurisdictions may permit companies to sell or transfer unused VAT credits to third parties in private transactions, although approval for such transactions must normally be obtained from the tax authorities, limits on the amounts that can be transferred are usually imposed, and the proceeds realized may be heavily discounted from the face value of the credits. Due to these factors, local operating subsidiaries in some countries can accumulate significant balances of VAT credits over time. The Company reviews these balances on a regular basis and records valuation allowances on the credits to reflect amounts that are not expected to be recovered, as well as discounts anticipated on credits that are expected to be sold or transferred. At September 30, 2011, the aggregate balance of recoverable tax credits held by the Company's subsidiaries totaled approximately \$79 million, and the related valuation allowance totaled approximately \$24 million.

In June 2011, tax authorities in Brazil completed an audit of inter-state VAT filings by the Company's operating subsidiary there and issued assessments for tax, penalties, and interest for tax periods from 2006 through 2009 totaling approximately \$26 million based on the exchange rate for the Brazilian currency at September 30, 2011. Management of the operating subsidiary and outside counsel believe that errors were made by the tax authorities in determining portions of the assessment and that various defenses support the subsidiary's positions. Accordingly, the subsidiary has taken steps to contest the full amount of the assessment. No liability has been recorded at September 30, 2011, as no loss is considered probable at this time.

### Other Legal and Tax Matters

In addition to the above-mentioned matters, the Company is involved in other litigation and tax examinations incidental to its business activities. While the outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, management is vigorously defending these matters and does not currently expect that any of them will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position. However, should one or more of these matters be resolved in a manner adverse to management's current expectation, the effect on the Company's results of operations for a particular fiscal reporting period could be material.



## NOTE 4. RESTRUCTURING AND IMPAIRMENT COSTS

During fiscal year 2011 and continuing into fiscal year 2012, Universal has recorded restructuring and impairment costs related to initiatives to adjust various operations and reduce costs. A significant portion of the restructuring and impairment charges related to the Company's November 2010 decision to close its leaf tobacco processing facility in Simcoe, Ontario, Canada. The Company is continuing to buy tobacco grown in Canada, but now processes that leaf at its U.S. factory in North Carolina. The Simcoe processing facility and a separate storage complex were classified as "held for sale" at the date the decision was made to close the operations, and an impairment charge of approximately \$5.6 million was recorded in the third quarter of fiscal year 2011 to write those assets down to their fair values, net of selling costs. The sales of both properties were completed during the first quarter of fiscal year 2012 at prices approximating their adjusted book values. All full-time salaried employees at the Simcoe location were terminated by June 30, 2011. During fiscal year 2011, the Company recorded approximately \$2.4 million in costs for termination benefits payable to those employees under Canadian law and \$4.1 million in pension curtailment and settlement costs related to the termination of the Canadian employees' defined benefit pension plan. The Canadian operations are included in the North America segment, and revenues and earnings for those operations were not material to that segment in recent years.

In addition to the restructuring and impairment costs related to the decision to close the facility in Canada, the Company has recorded restructuring costs associated with various other cost reduction initiatives. A significant portion of those costs represent employee termination benefits associated with voluntary early retirement offers and involuntary separations at the Company's headquarters and operating locations in the United States, South America, Africa, and Europe that are part of the North America and Other Regions reportable segments. In addition, during the quarter ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded approximately \$3.1 million in costs related to the termination of its business arrangements with a supplier and processor of tobacco in Europe in response to market changes. That cost relates to an operating subsidiary that is part of the Other Regions reportable segment.

A summary of the cumulative restructuring and impairment costs recorded during fiscal year 2011 and fiscal year 2012 through September 30, 2011, is as follows:

(in thousands of dollars)	Closure of Processing Facility in Canada	Other Restructuring and Cost Reduction Initiatives	Total
<b>Restructuring Costs:</b>			
Employee termination benefits	\$2,412	\$ 15,467	\$17,879
Pension curtailment and settlement costs	4,081	—	4,081
Other costs	—	3,733	3,733
	6,493	19,200	25,693
<b>Impairment Costs:</b>			
Property, plant and equipment	5,632	—	5,632
<b>Total restructuring and impairment costs</b>	<b>\$12,125</b>	<b>\$ 19,200</b>	<b>\$31,325</b>

The above summary includes restructuring costs of approximately \$9.8 million and \$3.0 million recorded during the six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The amounts recorded in the six months ended September 30, 2011, included approximately \$6.7 million for employee termination benefits, primarily related to the Company's operations in the U.S. and South America, and the \$3.1 million of costs incurred to exit the supplier

arrangement in Europe. The restructuring costs recorded in the six months ended September 30, 2010, primarily consisted of termination benefits related to the U.S. operations.

A reconciliation of the Company's liability for the restructuring costs outlined above (excluding pension curtailment and settlement costs) through September 30, 2011, is as follows:

(in thousands of dollars)	Employee Termination Benefits	Other Costs	Total
<b>Fiscal Year 2011 activity:</b>			
Costs charged to expense	\$ 11,155	\$636	\$11,791
Payments	(4,769 )	(411 )	(5,180 )
Balance at March 31, 2011	6,386	225	6,611
<b>Fiscal Year 2012 activity through September 30, 2011:</b>			
Costs charged to expense	6,724	3,097	9,821
Payments	(10,914 )	(37 )	(10,951 )
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 2,196	\$3,285	\$5,481

The employee termination benefits outlined in the tables above relate to approximately 320 total employees, including those affected by the facility closure in Canada. The majority of the restructuring liability at September 30, 2011, will be paid before the end of fiscal year 2012. Universal continually reviews its business for opportunities to realize efficiencies, reduce costs, and realign its operations in response to business changes. The Company expects to incur additional restructuring costs and may also incur asset impairment charges in future periods as business changes occur and additional cost savings initiatives are implemented.

## NOTE 5. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share:

(in thousands, except per share data)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Share</b>				
Numerator for basic earnings (loss) per share				
Net income (loss) attributable to Universal Corporation	\$(8,039 )	\$51,831	\$7,849	\$77,151
Less: Dividends on convertible perpetual preferred stock	(3,713 )	(3,713 )	(7,425 )	(7,425 )
Earnings (loss) available to Universal Corporation common shareholders for calculation of basic earnings (loss) per share	(11,752 )	48,118	424	69,726
Denominator for basic earnings (loss) per share				
Weighted average shares outstanding	23,229	24,081	23,211	24,147
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$(0.51 )	\$2.00	\$0.02	\$2.89
<b>Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Share</b>				
Numerator for diluted earnings (loss) per share				
Earnings (loss) available to Universal Corporation common shareholders	\$(11,752 )	\$48,118	\$424	\$69,726
Add: Dividends on convertible perpetual preferred stock (if conversion assumed)	—	3,713	—	7,425
Earnings (loss) available to Universal Corporation common shareholders for calculation of diluted earnings (loss) per share	(11,752 )	51,831	424	77,151
Denominator for diluted earnings (loss) per share:				
Weighted average shares outstanding	23,229	24,081	23,211	24,147
Effect of dilutive securities (if conversion or exercise assumed)				
Convertible perpetual preferred stock	—	4,747	—	4,745
Employee share-based awards	—	225	296	242
Denominator for diluted earnings (loss) per share	23,229	29,053	23,507	29,134
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$(0.51 )	\$1.78	\$0.02	\$2.65

For the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, conversion of the Company's outstanding Series B 6.75% Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock was not assumed since the effect would have been antidilutive. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, exercise or distribution of employee share-based awards also was not assumed since the effect would have been antidilutive.

For the six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, certain employee share-based awards were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because their effect would have been anti-dilutive. These awards

included stock appreciation rights and stock options totaling 759,801 shares at a weighted-average exercise price of \$48.13 for the six months ended September 30, 2011, and 725,401 shares at a weighted-average exercise price of \$51.15 for the six months ended September 30, 2010.

## NOTE 6. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Comprehensive income (loss) for each period presented in the consolidated statements of income and retained earnings was as follows:

(in thousands of dollars - all amounts net of income taxes)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Six Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net income	\$(7,997 )	\$53,783	\$9,325	\$78,201
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(9,595 )	12,567	(7,031 )	1,161
Foreign currency hedge adjustment	(5,054 )	2,663	(2,758 )	465
Total comprehensive income (loss)	(22,646 )	69,013	(464 )	79,827
Less: comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries (including foreign currency translation adjustment)	—	(2,062 )	(1,430 )	(1,131 )
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Universal Corporation	\$(22,646 )	\$66,951	\$(1,894 )	\$78,696

## NOTE 7. INCOME TAXES

The Company is subject to the tax laws of many jurisdictions. Changes in tax laws or the interpretation of tax laws can affect the Company's earnings, as can the resolution of pending and contested tax issues. The consolidated income tax rate is affected by a number of factors, including the mix of domestic and foreign earnings and investments, local tax rates of subsidiaries, repatriation of foreign earnings, and the Company's ability to utilize foreign tax credits.

The consolidated effective income tax rates on pretax earnings were approximately 275% and 70% for the quarter and six months ended September 30, 2011. Those rates were significantly higher than normal because the Company did not record an income tax benefit on the non-deductible fine portion of the charge recorded during the quarter for the European Commission fine and interest in Italy (approximately \$40 million of the total \$49.1 million charge). Without that item, the effective income tax rates would have been approximately 29% and 31% for the quarter and six months, respectively. Those rates were lower than the 35% federal statutory rate primarily due to the effect of exchange rate changes on deferred income taxes of certain foreign subsidiaries. The effective income tax rates for the quarter and six months ended September 30, 2010, were approximately 30% and 31%, respectively. Those rates were lower than the 35% U.S. federal statutory rate primarily due to earnings of subsidiaries in the Company's African region, which allowed the recognition of foreign tax credits.

## NOTE 8. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

Universal is exposed to various risks in its worldwide operations and uses derivative financial instruments to manage two specific types of risks – interest rate risk and foreign currency exchange rate risk. Interest rate risk has been managed by entering into interest rate swap agreements, and foreign currency exchange rate risk has been managed by entering into forward foreign currency exchange contracts. However, the Company’s policy also permits other instruments. In addition, management works to manage foreign currency exchange rate risk by minimizing net monetary positions in non-functional currencies, which may include using local borrowings. The disclosures below provide additional information about the Company’s hedging strategies, the derivative instruments used, and the effects of these activities on the consolidated statements of income and the consolidated balance sheets. In the consolidated statements of cash flows, the cash flows associated with all of these activities are reported in cash flows from operating activities, except for the proceeds received on the early termination of interest rate swap agreements, which are reported in cash flows from financing activities.

## Fair Value Hedging Strategy for Interest Rate Risk

From time to time, the Company enters into interest rate swap agreements to manage its exposure to interest rate risk, with a strategy of maintaining a level of floating rate debt that approximates the interest rate exposure on its committed inventories. The strategy is implemented by borrowing at floating interest rates and converting a portion of the Company’s fixed-rate debt to floating rates. The interest rate swap agreements allow the Company to receive amounts equal to the fixed interest payments it is obligated to make on the underlying debt instruments in exchange for making floating-rate interest payments that are adjusted semi-annually based on changes in the benchmark interest rate.

The Company’s interest rate swap agreements are designated and qualify as hedges of the exposure to changes in the fair value of the underlying debt instruments created by fluctuations in prevailing market interest rates. In all cases, the critical terms of each interest rate swap agreement match the terms of the underlying debt instrument, and there is no hedge ineffectiveness. At September 30, 2010 and March 31, 2011, the Company had receive-fixed/pay-floating interest rate swaps outstanding in the total notional amount of \$245 million. During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, several of those swap contracts in the notional amount of \$50 million were settled on maturity of the underlying debt, and the remaining contracts in the total notional amount of \$195 million were settled prior to maturity at an aggregate gain of approximately \$13 million. That gain is being amortized over the remaining terms of the underlying debt instruments as a reduction in interest expense. No interest rate swap agreements were outstanding at September 30, 2011.

## Cash Flow Hedging Strategy for Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk Related to Forecast Purchases of Tobacco and Related Processing Costs

The majority of the tobacco production in most countries outside the United States where Universal operates is sold in export markets at prices denominated in U.S. dollars. However, purchases of tobacco from farmers and most processing costs (such as labor and energy) in those countries are usually denominated in the local currency. Changes in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and the local currencies where tobacco is grown and processed affect the ultimate U.S. dollar cost of the processed tobacco and therefore can adversely impact the gross profit earned on the sale of that tobacco. Since the Company is able to reasonably forecast the volume, timing, and local currency cost of its tobacco purchases and processing costs, it has routinely entered into forward contracts to sell U.S. dollars and buy the local currency at future dates that coincide with the expected timing of the portion of those purchases and costs on which customer sales and pricing have been agreed. By considering those pricing arrangements with key customers, this strategy substantially offsets the variability of future U.S. dollar cash flows for tobacco purchases and processing costs for the foreign currency notional amount hedged. The hedging strategy has been used mainly for tobacco

purchases and processing costs in Brazil, where the large crops, the terms of sale to customers, and the availability of derivative markets make it particularly desirable to manage the related foreign exchange rate risk.

For the crops bought, processed, and sold in fiscal years 2011 and 2012, all contracts related to tobacco purchases in Brazil were designated and qualify as hedges of the future cash flows associated with the forecast purchases of tobacco. As a result, except for insignificant amounts related to any ineffective portion of the hedging strategy, changes in fair values of the forward contracts have been recognized in comprehensive income as they occurred, but only recognized in earnings upon sale of the related tobacco to third-party customers. Forward contracts related to processing costs have not been designated as hedges, and gains and losses on those contracts have been recognized in earnings on a mark-to-market basis.

From March through June 2011, the Company hedged approximately \$188 million U.S. dollar notional amount related to 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 crop tobacco purchases in Brazil. Additional forward contracts totaling approximately \$55 million U.S. dollar notional amount were entered to mitigate currency exposure on processing costs related to those crops. Purchases of the 2010-2011 crop were completed in August 2011, and all forward contracts to hedge those purchases matured and were settled by that time. Purchases of the 2011-2012 crop are expected to begin in December 2011. For substantially all hedge gains and losses recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss at September 30, 2011, the Company expects to complete the sale of the tobacco and recognize the amounts in earnings in fiscal year 2012. As noted above, changes in the fair values of forward contracts related to processing costs are recognized in earnings each quarter on a mark-to-market basis.



From March through July 2010, the Company hedged approximately \$109 million U.S. dollar notional amount related to 2009-2010 crop tobacco purchases in Brazil, and additional forward contracts totaling approximately \$58 million U.S. dollar notional amount were entered to mitigate currency exposure on processing costs related to that crop. Purchases of the 2009-2010 crop were completed in July 2010, and all forward contracts to hedge those purchases matured and were settled by that time. All hedge gains and losses recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss were recognized in cost of goods sold with the sale of the tobacco during fiscal year 2011, and changes in the fair values of forward contracts related to processing costs were recognized in earnings on a mark-to-market basis each quarter.

#### Hedging Strategy for Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk Related to Net Local Currency Monetary Assets and Liabilities of Foreign Subsidiaries

Most of the Company's foreign subsidiaries transact the majority of their sales in U.S. dollars and finance the majority of their operating requirements with U.S. dollar borrowings, and therefore use the U.S. dollar as their functional currency. These subsidiaries normally have certain monetary assets and liabilities on their balance sheets that are denominated in the local currency. Those assets and liabilities can include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable, advances to farmers and suppliers, deferred income tax assets and liabilities, recoverable value-added taxes, and other items. Net monetary assets and liabilities denominated in the local currency are remeasured into U.S. dollars each reporting period, generating gains and losses that the Company records in earnings as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses. The level of net monetary assets or liabilities denominated in the local currency normally fluctuates throughout the year based on the operating cycle, but it is most common for monetary assets to exceed monetary liabilities, sometimes by a significant amount. When this situation exists and the local currency weakens against the U.S. dollar, remeasurement losses are generated. Conversely, remeasurement gains are generated on a net monetary asset position when the local currency strengthens against the U.S. dollar. Due to the size of its operations and the fact that it provides significant financing to farmers for crop production, the Company's subsidiary in Brazil has significant exposure to currency remeasurement gains and losses due to fluctuations in exchange rates at certain times of the year. To manage a portion of its exposure to currency remeasurement gains and losses in Brazil during fiscal year 2011, the Company entered into forward contracts to sell the Brazilian currency and buy U.S. dollars at future dates coinciding with expected changes in the overall net local currency monetary asset position of the subsidiary. Gains and losses on the forward contracts were recorded in earnings as a component of selling, general, and administrative expenses for each reporting period as they occurred, and thus directly offset the related remeasurement losses or gains in the consolidated statements of income for the notional amount hedged. Accordingly, the Company did not designate these contracts as hedges for accounting purposes. The notional amount of these contracts totaled approximately \$60 million in U.S. dollars. All of the contracts were entered and settled during the first quarter of fiscal year 2011. No contracts have been entered for this purpose in fiscal year 2012. To further mitigate currency remeasurement exposure, some of the Company's foreign subsidiaries have obtained short-term local currency financing during certain periods. This strategy, while not involving the use of derivative instruments, is intended to minimize the subsidiary's net monetary position by financing a portion of the local currency monetary assets with local currency monetary liabilities and thus hedging a portion of the overall position.

The Company has several foreign subsidiaries that transact the majority of their sales and finance the majority of their operating requirements in their local currency, and therefore use their respective local currencies as the functional currency for reporting purposes. From time to time, these subsidiaries sell tobacco to customers in transactions that are not denominated in the functional currency. In those situations, the subsidiaries routinely enter into forward exchange contracts to offset currency risk for the period of time that a fixed-price order and the related trade account receivable are outstanding with the customer. The contracts are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes.



## Effect of Derivative Financial Instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Income

The table below outlines the effects of the Company's use of derivative financial instruments on the consolidated statements of income for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

(in thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Six Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
<b>Fair Value Hedges - Interest Rate Swap Agreements</b>				
<b>Derivative</b>				
Gain (loss) recognized in earnings	\$1,776	\$2,919	\$3,195	\$6,701
Location of gain (loss) recognized in earnings	Interest expense			
<b>Hedged Item</b>				
Description of hedged item	Fixed rate long-term debt			
Gain (loss) recognized in earnings	\$(1,776 )	\$(2,919 )	\$(3,195 )	\$(6,701 )
Location of gain (loss) recognized in earnings	Interest expense			
<b>Cash Flow Hedges - Forward Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts</b>				
<b>Derivative</b>				
<b>Effective Portion of Hedge</b>				
Gain (loss) recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$(116 )	\$459	\$3,331	\$88
Gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss into earnings	\$3,385	\$143	\$3,823	\$100
Location of gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss into earnings	Cost of goods sold			
<b>Ineffective Portion and Early De-designation of Hedges</b>				
Gain (loss) recognized in earnings	\$62	\$2	\$858	\$101
Location of gain (loss) recognized in earnings	Selling, general and administrative expenses			
<b>Hedged Item</b>				
Description of hedged item	Forecast purchases of tobacco in Brazil			
<b>Derivatives Not Designated as Hedges - Forward Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts</b>				
<b>Contracts related to forecast processing costs and forecast purchases of tobacco, primarily in Brazil</b>				
Gain (loss) recognized in earnings	\$(283 )	\$1,015	\$1,302	\$1,202
Location of gain (loss) recognized in earnings	Selling, general and administrative expenses			
<b>Contracts related to net local currency monetary assets and liabilities of subsidiary in Brazil</b>				
Gain (loss) recognized in earnings	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$661
Location of gain (loss) recognized in earnings	Selling, general and administrative expenses			
<b>Contracts related to fixed-price orders and accounts receivable of non-U.S. dollar subsidiaries</b>				
Gain (loss) recognized in earnings	\$(660 )	\$1,086	\$(208 )	\$342
Location of gain (loss) recognized in earnings	Selling, general and administrative expenses			

Total gain (loss) recognized in earnings for forward foreign currency exchange contracts not designated as hedges	\$(943	) \$2,101	\$1,094	\$2,205
--	--------	-----------	---------	---------

For the interest rate swap agreements designated as fair value hedges, since the hedges have no ineffectiveness, the gain or loss recognized in earnings on the derivative is offset by a corresponding loss or gain on the underlying hedged debt.

For the forward foreign currency exchange contracts designated as cash flow hedges of tobacco purchases in Brazil, a net hedge gain of approximately \$1.9 million remained in accumulated other comprehensive loss at September 30, 2011. That balance reflects net gains on open and settled contracts primarily related to the 2010-2011 crop, less the amount reclassified to earnings related to tobacco sold through September 30, 2011. The majority of the balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss will be recognized in earnings as a component of cost of goods sold during fiscal year 2012 as the remaining 2010-2011 Brazilian crop tobacco is sold to customers. Based on the hedging strategy, as the gain or loss is recognized in earnings, it is expected to be offset by a change in the direct cost for the tobacco or by a change in sales prices if the strategy has been mandated by the customer. Generally, margins on the sale of the tobacco will not be significantly affected.

#### Effect of Derivative Financial Instruments on the Consolidated Balance Sheets

The table below outlines the effects of the Company's derivative financial instruments on the consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2011 and 2010, and March 31, 2011:

(in thousands of dollars)	Derivatives in a Fair Value Asset Position			Derivatives in a Fair Value Liability Position				
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value as of Sept. 30, 2011	Fair Value as of Sept. 30, 2010	Fair Value as of March 31, 2011	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value as of Sept. 30, 2011	Fair Value as of Sept. 30, 2010	Fair Value as of March 31, 2011
<b>Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments</b>								
Interest rate swap agreements	Other non-current assets	\$ —	\$ 16,466	\$ 10,193	Long-term obligations	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Forward foreign currency exchange contracts	Other current assets	—	—	2,400	Accounts payable and accrued expenses	163	—	—
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ 16,466</b>	<b>\$ 12,593</b>		<b>\$ 163</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ —</b>
<b>Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments</b>								
Forward foreign currency exchange contracts	Other current assets	\$ 13	\$ 579	\$ 1,222	Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 767	\$ 400	\$ 243
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$ 13</b>	<b>\$ 579</b>	<b>\$ 1,222</b>		<b>\$ 767</b>	<b>\$ 400</b>	<b>\$ 243</b>

## NOTE 9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Universal measures certain financial and nonfinancial assets and liabilities at fair value based on applicable accounting guidance. The financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value include money market funds, trading securities associated with deferred compensation plans, interest rate swap agreements, forward foreign currency exchange contracts, and guarantees of bank loans to tobacco growers. The application of the fair value guidance to nonfinancial assets and liabilities primarily includes assessments of goodwill and long-lived assets for potential impairment.

Under the accounting guidance, fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The framework for measuring fair value under the guidance is based on a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between observable inputs (i.e., inputs that are based on market data obtained from independent sources) and unobservable inputs (i.e., inputs that require the Company to make its own assumptions about market participant assumptions because little or no market data exists). There are three levels within the fair value hierarchy:

Level	Description
1	quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access as of the reporting date;
2	quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, or quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and
3	unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

In measuring the fair value of liabilities, the Company considers the risk of non-performance in determining fair value.

At September 30, 2011, the Company had certain financial assets and financial liabilities that were required to be measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis. These assets and liabilities are listed in the table below and classified based on how their values were determined under the fair value hierarchy:

(in thousands of dollars)	September 30, 2011			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
<b>Assets:</b>				
Money market funds	\$1,523	\$—	\$—	\$1,523
Trading securities associated with deferred compensation plans	17,192	—	—	17,192
Forward foreign currency exchange contracts	—	13	—	13
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$18,715</b>	<b>\$13</b>	<b>\$—</b>	<b>\$18,728</b>
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Guarantees of bank loans to tobacco growers	\$—	\$—	\$13,236	\$13,236
Forward foreign currency exchange contracts	—	930	—	930
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>\$—</b>	<b>\$930</b>	<b>\$13,236</b>	<b>\$14,166</b>

Money market funds

The fair values of money market funds, which are reported in cash and cash equivalents in the consolidated balance sheets, are based on quoted market prices (Level 1). The fair values of the Company's money market funds approximate cost due to the short-term maturities and high credit quality of the issuers of the underlying securities.

## Trading securities associated with deferred compensation plans

Trading securities represent mutual fund investments that are matched to employee deferred compensation obligations. These investments are bought and sold as employees defer compensation, receive distributions, or make changes in the funds underlying their accounts. Quoted market prices (Level 1) are used to determine the fair values of the mutual funds and their underlying securities.

## Interest rate swaps

The fair values of interest rate swap contracts are determined based on dealer quotes using a discounted cash flow model matched to the contractual terms of each instrument. Since inputs to the model are observable and significant judgment is not required in determining the fair values, interest rate swaps are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The Company did not have any interest rate swaps outstanding at September 30, 2011.

## Forward foreign currency exchange contracts

The fair values of forward foreign currency exchange contracts are also determined based on dealer quotes using a discounted cash flow model matched to the contractual terms of each instrument. Since inputs to the model are observable and significant judgment is not required in determining the fair values, forward foreign currency exchange contracts are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

## Guarantees of bank loans to tobacco growers

The fair values of the Company's guarantees of bank loans to tobacco growers are determined by using internally-tracked historical loss data for such loans to develop an estimate of future losses under the guarantees outstanding at the measurement date. The present value of the cash flows associated with those estimated losses is then calculated at a risk-adjusted interest rate. This approach is sometimes referred to as the "contingent claims valuation method." Although historical loss data is an observable input, significant judgment is required in applying this information to the portfolio of guaranteed loans outstanding at each measurement date and in selecting a risk-adjusted interest rate. The guarantees of bank loans to tobacco growers are therefore classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

A reconciliation of the change in the balance of the financial liability for guarantees of bank loans to tobacco growers (Level 3) for the six months ended September 30, 2011, is as follows:

	Six Months Ended September 30, 2011
(in thousands of dollars)	
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 20,699
Transfer to allowance for loss on direct loans to farmers (removal of prior crop year loans from portfolio)	(8,979 )
Transfer from allowance for loss on direct loans to farmers (addition of current crop year loans)	2,556
Change in discount rate and estimated collection period	615
Currency remeasurement	(1,655 )
Balance at end of period	\$ 13,236

The effects of currency remeasurement and the change in discount rate and estimated collection period are recorded in earnings and reported in selling, general, and administrative expense.



Universal has not elected to report at fair value any financial instruments or other items not otherwise required to be reported at fair value under current accounting guidance.

## NOTE 10. PENSION AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT PLANS

The Company has several defined benefit pension plans covering U.S. salaried employees and certain foreign and other employee groups. These plans provide retirement benefits based primarily on employee compensation and years of service. The Company also provides postretirement health and life insurance benefits for eligible U.S. employees attaining specific age and service levels.

The components of the Company's net periodic benefit cost were as follows:

(in thousands of dollars)	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	Three Months Ended		Three Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 1,274	\$ 1,242	\$ 189	\$ 204
Interest cost	3,386	3,556	578	625
Expected return on plan assets	(3,646 )	(3,703 )	(34 )	(36 )
Net amortization and deferral	1,335	997	(59 )	(78 )
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 2,349	\$ 2,092	\$ 674	\$ 715

(in thousands of dollars)	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	Six Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Service cost	\$ 2,564	\$ 2,482	\$ 378	\$ 408
Interest cost	6,837	7,103	1,156	1,249
Expected return on plan assets	(7,355 )	(7,399 )	(68 )	(72 )
Net amortization and deferral	2,677	1,993	(117 )	(156 )
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 4,723	\$ 4,179	\$ 1,349	\$ 1,429

During the six months ended September 30, 2011, the Company made contributions of approximately \$4.7 million to its qualified and non-qualified pension plans. Additional contributions of approximately \$4.6 million are expected during the remaining six months of fiscal year 2012.

## NOTE 11.STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Universal's shareholders have approved Executive Stock Plans ("Plans") under which officers, directors, and employees of the Company may receive grants and awards of common stock, restricted stock, restricted stock units ("RSUs"), performance share awards ("PSAs"), stock appreciation rights ("SARs"), incentive stock options, and non-qualified stock options. The Company's practice is to award grants of stock-based compensation to officers on an annual basis at the first regularly-scheduled meeting of the Executive Compensation, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Compensation Committee") in the fiscal year following the public release of the Company's financial results for the prior year. The Compensation Committee administers the Company's Plans consistently following previously defined guidelines. Awards of restricted stock, RSUs, PSAs, SARs, and non-qualified stock options are currently outstanding under the Plans. The non-qualified stock options and SARs have an exercise price equal to the closing price of a share of the Company's common stock on the grant date. All stock options currently outstanding are fully vested and exercisable, and they expire ten years after the grant date. The SARs are settled in shares of common stock, vest in equal one-third tranches one, two, and three years after the grant date, and expire ten years after the grant date, except that SARs granted after fiscal year 2007 expire on the earlier of three years after the grantee's retirement date or ten years after the grant date. The RSUs vest five years from the grant date and are then paid out in shares of common stock. Under the terms of the RSU awards, grantees receive dividend equivalents in the form of additional RSUs that vest and are paid out on the same date as the original RSU grant. The PSAs vest three years from the grant date, are paid out in shares of common stock at the vesting date, and do not carry rights to dividends or dividend equivalents prior to vesting. Shares ultimately paid out under PSA grants are dependent on the achievement of predetermined performance measures established by the Compensation Committee and can range from zero to 150% of the stated award. The Company's outside directors automatically receive restricted stock units or shares of restricted stock following each annual meeting of shareholders. RSUs awarded to outside directors vest three years after the grant date, and restricted shares vest upon the individual's retirement from service as a director.

During the six-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, Universal issued the following stock-based awards, representing the regular annual grants to officers and outside directors of the Company:

	Six Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
<b>SARs:</b>		
Number granted	170,400	153,600
Exercise price.	\$37.86	\$39.71
Grant date fair value	\$7.46	\$8.35
<b>RSUs:</b>		
Number granted	71,900	53,700
Grant date fair value	\$37.29	\$41.14
<b>PSAs:</b>		
Number granted	42,600	38,400
Grant date fair value	\$31.95	\$33.95

The grant date fair value of the SARs was estimated using the Black-Scholes pricing model and the following assumptions:

	2011		2010	
Expected term	5.0 years		5.0 years	
Expected volatility	35.8	%	35.3	%
Expected dividend yield	5.07	%	4.73	%
Risk-free interest rate	1.66	%	2.36	%

Fair value expense for stock-based compensation is recognized ratably over the period from grant date to the earlier of: (1) the vesting date of the award, or (2) the date the grantee is eligible to retire without forfeiting the award. For employees who are already eligible to retire at the date an award is granted, the total fair value of all non-forfeitable awards is recognized as expense at the date of grant. As a result, Universal typically incurs higher stock compensation expense in the first quarter of each fiscal year when grants are awarded than in the other three quarters. For PSAs, the Company generally recognizes fair value expense ratably over the performance and vesting period based on management's judgment of the ultimate award that is likely to be paid out based on the achievement of the predetermined performance measures. For the six-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded total stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$3.4 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. The Company expects to recognize stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$2.3 million during the remaining six months of fiscal year 2012.

## NOTE 12.

## OPERATING SEGMENTS

The principal approach used by management to evaluate the Company's performance is by geographic region, although some components of the business are evaluated on the basis of their worldwide operations. The Company evaluates the performance of its segments based on operating income after allocated overhead expenses (excluding significant non-recurring charges or credits), plus equity in pretax earnings of unconsolidated affiliates.

Operating results for the Company's reportable segments for each period presented in the consolidated statements of income and retained earnings were as follows:

(in thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	September 30, 2011	2010	September 30, 2011	2010
<b>SALES AND OTHER OPERATING REVENUES</b>				
Flue-cured and burley leaf tobacco operations:				
North America	\$67,729	\$56,751	\$126,358	\$119,918
Other regions (1)	535,149	559,939	893,799	961,758
Subtotal	602,878	616,690	1,020,157	1,081,676
Other tobacco operations (2)	38,148	47,498	100,334	121,428
Consolidated sales and other operating revenues.	\$641,026	\$664,188	\$1,120,491	\$1,203,104
<b>OPERATING INCOME</b>				
Flue-cured and burley leaf tobacco operations:				
North America	\$5,053	\$11,998	\$10,630	\$15,690
Other regions (1)	56,441	58,583	77,350	90,910
Subtotal	61,494	70,581	87,980	106,600
Other tobacco operations (2)	425	5,613	3,230	12,026
Segment operating income	61,919	76,194	91,210	118,626
Deduct: Equity in pretax (earnings) loss of unconsolidated affiliates (3)	(153 )	(2,014 )	3,336	(2,392 )
Restructuring costs (4)	(2,962 )	(2,020 )	(9,821 )	(2,969 )
Charge for (reversal of) European Commission fines in Italy and Spain (4)	(49,091 )	7,445	(49,091 )	7,445
Add: Other income (4)	—	—	9,592	—
Consolidated operating income	\$9,713	\$79,605	\$45,226	\$120,710

(1) Includes South America, Africa, Europe, and Asia regions, as well as inter-region eliminations.

(2) Includes Dark Air-Cured, Special Services, and Oriental, as well as inter-company eliminations. Sales and other operating revenues for this reportable segment include limited amounts for Oriental because its financial results consist principally of equity in the pretax earnings of an unconsolidated affiliate.

(3) Item is included in segment operating income, but not included in consolidated operating income.

(4) Item is not included in segment operating income, but is included in consolidated operating income.



NOTE 13. CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS IN SUBSIDIARIES

A reconciliation of the changes in Universal Corporation shareholders' equity and noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries for the six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

(in thousands of dollars)	Six Months Ended September 30, 2011			Six Months Ended September 30, 2010		
	Universal Corporation	Noncontrolling Interests	Total	Universal Corporation	Noncontrolling Interests	Total
Balance at beginning of year	\$1,185,606	\$ 13,799	\$1,199,405	\$1,122,570	\$ 5,805	\$1,128,375
<b>Changes in common stock</b>						
Issuance of common stock	140	—	140	—	—	—
Repurchase of common stock	(661 )	—	(661 )	(3,580 )	—	(3,580 )
Accrual of stock-based compensation	3,445	—	3,445	3,465	—	3,465
Withholding of shares for grantee income taxes (SARs, RSUs, and PSAs)	(1,135 )	—	(1,135 )	(565 )	—	(565 )
Dividend equivalents on RSUs	247	—	247	202	—	202
<b>Changes in retained earnings</b>						
Net income (loss)	7,849	1,476	9,325	77,151	1,050	78,201
<b>Cash dividends declared</b>						
Series B 6.75% convertible perpetual preferred stock	(7,425 )	—	(7,425 )	(7,425 )	—	(7,425 )
Common stock	(22,338 )	—	(22,338 )	(22,583 )	—	(22,583 )
Repurchase of common stock.	(2,827 )	—	(2,827 )	(15,885 )	—	(15,885 )
Dividend equivalents on RSUs	(247 )	—	(247 )	(202 )	—	(202 )
<b>Other comprehensive income (loss)</b>						
Translation adjustments, net of income taxes	(6,985 )	(46 )	(7,031 )	1,080	81	1,161
Foreign currency hedge adjustment, net of income taxes	(2,758 )	—	(2,758 )	465	—	465
Balance at end of period	\$1,152,911	\$ 15,229	\$1,168,140	\$1,154,693	\$ 6,936	\$1,161,629

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the following "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" contain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Among other things, these statements relate to the Company's financial condition, results of operation, and future business plans, operations, opportunities, and prospects. In addition, the Company and its representatives may from time to time make written or oral forward-looking statements, including statements contained in other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission and in reports to shareholders. These forward-looking statements are generally identified by the use of words such as we "expect," "believe," "anticipate," "could," "should," "may," "plan," "will," "predict," "estimate," and similar or words of similar import. These forward-looking statements are based upon management's current knowledge and assumptions about future events and involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results, performance, or achievements to be materially different from any anticipated results, prospects, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, anticipated levels of demand for and supply of its products and services; costs incurred in providing these products and services; timing of shipments to customers; changes in market structure; government regulation; product taxation; industry consolidation and evolution; changes in exchange rates; and general economic, political, market, and weather conditions. For a further description of factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from such forward-looking statements, see Item 1A, "Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011. We caution investors not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements as these statements speak only as of the date when made, and we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements made in this report. This Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2011.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

### Overview

The first half of the fiscal year is generally a period of significant working capital investment in both Africa and Brazil as crops are delivered by farmers. We funded our working capital needs in the six months ended September 30, 2011, using cash on hand, short-term borrowings, customer advances, and operating cash flows. Slower deliveries this year by farmers, particularly in Africa, have delayed some of these funding requirements until later in our fiscal year. In addition, green tobacco prices are lower, and in many areas, volumes purchased have been reduced. All of these factors are commonly seen in oversupplied market conditions such as those we have experienced this fiscal year.

Our liquidity and capital resource requirements are predominantly short term in nature and relate to working capital for tobacco crop purchases. Working capital needs are seasonal within each geographic region. The geographic dispersion and the timing of working capital needs permit us to predict our general level of cash requirements, although crop size, prices paid to farmers, shipment and delivery timing, and currency fluctuations affect requirements each year. Peak working capital requirements are generally reached during the first and second fiscal quarters. Each geographic area follows a cycle of buying, processing, and shipping, although in many regions, we also provide agricultural materials to farmers during the growing season. The timing of the elements of each cycle is influenced by such factors as local weather conditions and individual customer shipping requirements, which may change the level or the duration of crop financing. Despite a predominance of short-term needs, we maintain a relatively large portion of our total debt as long-term to reduce liquidity risk. We also periodically have large cash balances that we utilize to meet our working capital requirements.

### Operating Activities



We used \$122 million in net cash flows to fund our operating activities during the six months ended September 30, 2011. That amount was \$193 million lower than the amount we required during the same period last year, primarily due to lower working capital needs this year. Tobacco inventory increased by \$224 million from March 31, 2011 levels on seasonal leaf purchases and ended the period at \$967 million. However, tobacco inventory was \$110 million lower than at September 30, 2010, primarily due to lower purchases in Brazil, lower local currency leaf costs in many origins, later purchases in Africa, and smaller and later crop purchases in the United States. The flue-cured crop in the United States was delayed and then reduced by Hurricane Irene. Inventory is usually financed with a mix of cash, notes payable, and customer deposits, depending on our borrowing capabilities, interest rates, and exchange rates, as well as those of our customers.

Advances to suppliers were \$75 million at September 30, 2011, a reduction of \$85 million from March 31, 2011, as crops were delivered in payment of those balances. Compared to the same period last year, advances to suppliers were lower, reflecting lower requirements for advances in South America and Asia. Accounts receivable increased by \$43 million compared to March 31, 2011, reflecting seasonal increases. Accounts receivable were \$63 million above September 30, 2010 levels, due to additional African sales of carryover crop and earlier sales in some origins. Accounts receivable from unconsolidated affiliates increased by \$37 million in the six months, reflecting seasonal increases. Net cash flows from operations for the six months ended September 30, 2011, included approximately \$22 million in dividends received from unconsolidated affiliates.

We generally do not purchase material quantities of tobacco on a speculative basis. The majority of our inventories are not considered to be at risk as they are committed for sale to customers. At September 30, 2011, our uncommitted inventories were \$135 million, or about 14% of total tobacco inventory, compared to \$171 million, or about 23% of our March 31, 2011 inventory, and \$126 million, or about 12% of our September 30, 2010 inventory. These percentages are within the normal range for our business within their respective times of the year.

#### Investing Activities

During the six months ended September 30, 2011, we invested about \$18 million in our fixed assets compared to \$23 million in the six months ended September 30, 2010. Depreciation expense was approximately \$22 million in the six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. Our intent is to limit routine capital spending to a level below depreciation expense in order to maintain strong cash flow. We currently have no major capital expenditures approved for fiscal year 2012. However, from time to time, we are presented with opportunities that may require significant capital investment. In the six months ended September 30, 2011, we also received \$8 million in proceeds from the sale of fixed assets, including the sale of our Canadian facilities, and we received \$10 million from an insurance settlement on factory and equipment destroyed in a fire. We have replaced the assets destroyed by the fire.

#### Financing Activities

We consider the sum of notes payable and overdrafts, long-term debt (including the current portion), and customer advances and deposits, less cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments on our balance sheet to be our net debt. We also consider our net debt plus shareholders' equity to be our net capitalization. Net debt increased by about \$178 million to \$609 million during the six months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to seasonal working capital requirements. Net debt as a percentage of net capitalization was approximately 34% at September 30, 2011, and reflected lower than normal seasonal expansion, and was within our target range. This ratio is up from about 26% at March 31, 2011, and down from approximately 42% at September 30, 2010. Net debt was about \$233 million lower than September 30, 2010 levels, reflecting reduced volumes, a slower start to the season in some areas, and lower green tobacco costs. We repaid \$95 million of maturing long-term debt in September 2011 with borrowings under our revolving credit facility.

As of September 30, 2011, we were in compliance with all covenants of our debt agreements, which require us to maintain certain levels of tangible net worth and observe restrictions on debt levels. We had \$239 million available under a committed revolving credit facility and \$46 million in cash and cash equivalents. Our short-term debt totaled \$288 million, and we had \$10 million of current maturities of long-term debt. In addition, we had about \$438 million in unused, uncommitted credit lines. In November 2011, we entered into a new bank credit agreement that establishes a five-year committed revolving credit facility of \$450 million and a funded five-year amortizing term loan facility of \$100 million. The new revolving credit facility replaces an existing \$400 million revolving credit facility that would have matured in April 2012. The new term loan facility replaces a \$95 million medium term note that matured on September 1, 2011, and was funded under the previous credit facility. Both new facilities mature in November 2016. The financial covenants under the new facilities are substantially similar to those of the previous facility and require that we maintain a minimum level of tangible net worth and observe limits on debt levels.

Our seasonal working capital requirements typically increase significantly between March and September and decline after mid-year. Available capital resources from our cash balances, committed credit facility, and uncommitted credit lines exceed our normal working capital needs, projected cash requirements for restructurings and other unusual charges, current maturities of long-term debt, and currently anticipated capital expenditure requirements over the next twelve months.

In November 2009, our Board of Directors approved a \$150 million share repurchase program that was replaced in November 2011. The purchases under this program were carried out from time to time on the open market at prevailing market rates. During the six months ended September 30, 2011, we purchased 80,191 shares of common stock at an aggregate cost of \$3.5 million (average price per share of \$43.49), based on trading dates, which brought our total purchases under the program to 1,589,701 shares at an aggregate cost of \$70 million (average price per share of \$44.02). On November 8, 2011, we announced that our Board of Directors had approved a new authorization for the purchase of up to \$100 million of equity securities through November 8, 2013. The purchases may be carried out from time to time on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions at prices not exceeding prevailing market rates. In determining our level of share repurchase activity, our intent is to use only cash available after meeting our capital investment, dividend, and working capital requirements. As a result, our execution of the repurchase program may vary as we realize changes in cash flow generation and availability. As of September 30, 2011, we had approximately 23.2 million common shares outstanding.

## Derivatives

From time to time, we use interest rate swap agreements to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates. These agreements typically adjust interest rates on designated long-term obligations from fixed to variable. The swaps are accounted for as fair value hedges. During the quarter ended September 30, 2011, interest rate swap agreements in the notional amount of \$50 million were settled at the maturity of the underlying debt, and the remainder of the outstanding contracts, in the total notional amount of \$195 million, were settled prior to maturity at an aggregate gain of approximately \$13 million. That gain is being amortized over the remaining terms of the underlying debt instruments, which mature in fiscal years 2014 and 2015, as a reduction in interest expense. No interest rate swaps were outstanding at September 30, 2011.

We also enter forward contracts from time to time to hedge certain foreign currency exposures, primarily related to forecast purchases of tobacco and related processing costs in Brazil, as well as our net monetary asset exposure in local currency there. We generally account for our hedges of forecast tobacco purchases as cash flow hedges. At September 30, 2011, the fair value of our open contracts was not material. We had other forward contracts outstanding that were not designated as hedges, and the fair value of those contracts was also not material at September 30, 2011.

## Results of Operations

Amounts described as net income and earnings per diluted share in the following discussion are attributable to Universal Corporation and exclude earnings related to non-controlling interests in subsidiaries.

Net income for the first six months of fiscal year 2012, which ended on September 30, 2011, was \$7.8 million, or \$0.02 per diluted share, net of the charge for the European Commission fine, which reduced diluted earnings per share by \$1.90. These amounts were down compared with last year's net income of \$77.2 million, or \$2.65 per diluted share. The comparison of the current and prior year periods is affected significantly by several unusual items, which are described below and amount to an aggregate pretax charge of \$49.3 million (\$1.90 per diluted share) in fiscal year 2012, and an aggregate benefit of \$4.5 million (\$0.10 per share) in the same period last year. Similarly, the second fiscal quarter's net loss of \$8.0 million, or \$0.51 per diluted share, included charges totaling \$52.1 million (\$1.93 per share) compared with net income for the prior year's second quarter of \$51.8 million, or \$1.78 per diluted share, which included a net benefit from similar items of \$5.4 million (\$0.12 per share).

Segment operating income, which excludes the effect of those unusual items, was also lower in both the three- and six-month periods ended September 30, 2011, on reduced margins in most regions, consistent with the current cyclical market oversupply situation. In addition, results for the first half of fiscal year 2012 reflect the first quarter impact of last year's assignment of farmer contracts to Philip Morris International in Brazil. Segment operating income for the second fiscal quarter also declined on lower processing volumes in North America and reduced leaf volumes and margins in the Other Tobacco operations segment. Operating results in both periods also reflected \$6.1 million in dividend income from unconsolidated subsidiaries. Revenues fell by 7% to \$1.1 billion for the six months, and by 3% to \$641 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011, in part due to reductions in leaf prices related to oversupply conditions, which produced a very competitive environment. In addition, revenues were lower because toll processing volumes replaced a portion of leaf sales to Philip Morris International as a result of the assignment of farmer contracts in Brazil last year.

## Flue-cured and Burley Operations

### Six Months

Operating income for the flue-cured and burley tobacco operations, which includes results for the North America and Other Regions segments, was \$88.0 million in the first half of fiscal year 2012, compared to \$106.6 million for the first half of the prior year, on lower margins in most regions. Revenues of \$1.0 billion declined 5.7% from last year, as processing volumes replaced some lamina sales following last year's agreement with Philip Morris International in South America and as margin pressures reduced leaf prices in most origins.

In the North America segment, operating income decreased by 32% on reduced toll processing and higher factory unit costs. Revenues for this segment increased by 5% to \$126 million, chiefly due to higher sales of old crop tobaccos.

Earnings for the Other Regions segment were \$77.4 million, a decline of about 15% from last year's first half earnings of \$90.9 million. The decline was caused primarily by lower margins in several areas, combined with reduced sales volumes in South America and the effects of currency remeasurement and exchange losses due to the weakening of the Brazilian real against the U.S. dollar during that period. The lower South American volumes in part reflected the reduced leaf sales to Philip Morris International related to farmer contract assignments completed last year, which impacted the first quarter. African performance declined in the period on margin pressures in some origins as well as timing differences related to business mix and later shipments of current crop. These operating factors were partly offset by carryover shipments of old crop leaf. Asia's results were lower on the absence of last year's currency gains in the Philippines, as well as a decrease in trading business. In Europe, reduced volumes and higher leaf costs were offset by insurance recoveries on a fire loss. Selling, general, and administrative expenses for the segment were relatively flat, as unfavorable currency comparisons in South America and Asia were offset by currency gains and the reversal of a statutory severance accrual in Africa. In addition, results for the Other Regions segment benefited from \$6.1 million in dividend income from unconsolidated subsidiaries. Revenues for Other Regions were about \$894 million, reflecting a 7% decline from lower leaf volumes in South America and lower green leaf prices.

## Second Quarter

In the second quarter of fiscal year 2012, operating income for flue-cured and burley operations declined by \$9.1 million, to \$61.5 million, compared to the same period last year. Revenues for the group at \$602.9 million were down about 2%, on a combination of lower sales volumes in South America, higher volumes related to shipment timing in Asia, and the effects of local currency strengthening in Europe on U.S. dollar translated sales. Operating income for the North America segment decreased by about \$7 million, as increased shipments of old crop tobacco partially replaced the lower income from reduced processing volumes. The old crop sales also increased revenues for this segment. Results for the Other Regions segment were down by about 4% from last year, to \$56.4 million, on lower volumes in South America and reduced margins. Revenues for the segment decreased by 4% to \$535 million on slightly higher overall volumes and the lower cost of green leaf.

## Other Tobacco Operations

The Other Tobacco Operations segment operating income declined by \$5.2 million for the quarter and \$8.8 million for the six months, compared with the same periods for the previous fiscal year. Results from the oriental tobacco joint venture were lower due to reduced volumes, partly from shipments delayed into the second half of the year, as well as lower margins, which were affected by inventory writedowns. Overhead costs for the oriental venture were lower as a result of cost savings programs. Dark tobacco results also declined for both periods. Results were depressed for this business as a result of reduced volumes, partly due to poor crops, shipment timing, and lower margins, which included unfavorable foreign currency exchange comparisons this year. Revenues for this segment decreased for the first half of fiscal year 2012 by 17%, to \$100 million, mostly related to the transfer of some business from the just-in-time Special Services group to the Other Regions segment, and the timing of shipments of oriental tobaccos through the United States. Those items were partly offset by increased old crop shipments of dark tobacco in the first quarter. For the quarter, revenues in this segment also declined, primarily because of the Special Services group business transfer.

## Other Information

In September 2011, we announced that the General Court of the European Union issued a decision rejecting the appeal of Deltafina, S.p.A, our Italian subsidiary. That appeal related to the European Commission's revocation of Deltafina's immunity from a fine of €30 million (about \$41 million on September 9, 2011) assessed against Deltafina and us jointly for actions in connection with Deltafina's purchase and processing of tobacco in the Italian raw tobacco market between 1995 and 2002. Deltafina intends to appeal the decision of the General Court. Effective with the September 9, 2011 General Court decision, we recorded a charge for the full amount of the fine (€30 million) plus accumulated interest (€5.9 million). The charge totaled \$49.1 million at the exchange rate in effect on the date of the General Court decision.

Cost of sales decreased by about 7% to \$907 million in the first half of the fiscal year principally due to lower volumes of leaf sold, and by about 2% for the second quarter, as a result of lower green leaf prices.

Selling, general, and administrative costs were relatively flat in both the second fiscal quarter and in the first half of the year. For the quarter, currency-related items generated unfavorable variances of about \$7 million, primarily related to remeasurement in South America and Asia. Those variances were offset by the reversal of non-income tax provisions due to a favorable tax ruling in South America and reduced employment costs as a result of restructuring programs in various operations.

Interest expense was relatively flat for the second quarter and first half of fiscal year 2012 compared with previous periods. Interest income in the second quarter and the six months of the current year was about \$1 million lower due to the previous year's recognition of interest income on the return of funds that had been escrowed to bond the appeal

of the European Commission fine in Spain.

The consolidated effective income tax rates on pretax earnings were approximately 275% and 70% for the quarter and six months ended September 30, 2011. Those rates were significantly higher than normal because we did not record an income tax benefit on the non-deductible fine portion of the charge recorded during the quarter for the European Commission fine and interest in Italy (approximately \$40 million of the total \$49.1 million charge). Without that item, the effective income tax rates would have been approximately 29% and 31% for the quarter and six months, respectively. Those rates were lower than the 35% federal statutory rate chiefly due to the effect of exchange rate changes on deferred income taxes of certain foreign subsidiaries. The effective income tax rates for the quarter and six months ended September 30, 2010, were approximately 30% and 31%, respectively. Those rates were lower than the 35% U.S. federal statutory rate principally due to the recognition of foreign tax credits.

On November 3, 2011, we entered a new 5-year credit agreement, which provides for a \$450 million revolving credit facility, as well as a \$100 million term loan. The new revolving credit facility replaces an existing \$400 million revolving credit facility that would have matured in August 2012. The term loan replaces a \$95 million medium-term note that matured on September 1, 2011, and was funded under the previous credit facility. The new facilities mature in November 2016.

#### General Overview

Despite the effects on current year earnings of the rejection of our European Commission fine appeal, we believe we are doing well in a very difficult market. As the year is developing, the slow early season sales have been picking up. Although it is too early to indicate a correction, selling activity began to accelerate in the second quarter of the fiscal year. The increase in activity came after prices declined at both the farm and the dealer level. Today, lower margins appear to be largely due to the normal effects of a market correction in our business. Shipments are later this year in Brazil and Africa.

We are pleased to see the increase in selling activity since the end of the first fiscal quarter, and we are proud of our team's success in managing uncommitted inventory levels. We believe this is one of the ways that we guard our financial resources to enable us to grow when we have opportunities. It also allows us to reward our shareholders as we have with our 41st consecutive annual dividend increase, which we announced today. Most importantly, our customer relationships remain strong, and we continue to focus on keeping costs low by maximizing efficiencies in our procurement and production processes while supporting the core of our successful operation - sustainable tobacco production.

### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

#### Interest Rates

After inventory is purchased, interest rate risk is limited in the tobacco business because major customers often pay market rates of interest for inventory purchased for their accounts or pre-finance purchases. We bill our customers interest on tobacco purchased for their order at certain points in the inventory cycle. That interest is paid at rates based on current markets for variable-rate debt. When we fund our committed tobacco inventory with fixed-rate debt, we may not be able to recover interest at that fixed rate if current market interest rates were to fall. As of September 30, 2011, tobacco inventory of about \$967 million included about \$832 million in inventory that was committed for sale to customers and about \$135 million that was not committed. Committed inventory, after deducting \$56 million in customer deposits, represents our potential net exposure to interest rate changes of about \$776 million. We normally maintain a substantial portion of our debt at variable interest rates either directly or through interest rate exchange agreements in order to mitigate interest rate risk related to carrying fixed-rate debt. We also periodically have large cash balances that we use to fund seasonal tobacco purchases. These cash balances reduce our financing needs. Debt carried at variable interest rates was about \$288 million at September 30, 2011. Although a hypothetical 1% change in short-term interest rates would result in a change in annual interest expense of approximately \$2.9 million, that amount would be at least partially mitigated by changes in charges to customers. Our policy is to work toward a level of floating rate liabilities, including customer deposits, that reflects a substantial portion of our average committed inventory levels over time. In addition to the \$288 million of debt with variable interest rates, about \$310 million of long-term debt has an effective average fixed rate of 5.57%.

#### Currency

The international tobacco trade generally is conducted in U.S. dollars, thereby limiting foreign exchange risk to that which is related to leaf purchase and production costs, overhead, and income taxes in the source country. We also



provide farmer advances that are directly related to leaf purchases and are denominated in the local currency. Any currency gains or losses on those advances are usually offset by decreases or increases in the cost of tobacco, which is priced in the local currency. However, the effect of the offset may not occur until a subsequent quarter or fiscal year. Most of our operations are accounted for using the U.S. dollar as the functional currency. Because there are no forward foreign exchange markets in many of our major countries of tobacco origin, we often manage our foreign exchange risk by matching funding for inventory purchases with the currency of sale, which is usually the U.S. dollar, and by minimizing our net local currency monetary position in individual countries. We are vulnerable to currency gains and losses to the extent that monetary assets and liabilities denominated in local currency do not offset each other. In addition to foreign exchange gains and losses, we are exposed to changes in the cost of tobacco due to changes in the value of the local currency in relation to the U.S. dollar. For example, we have experienced years when the local currency in Brazil has strengthened significantly against the U.S. dollar; increasing the cost of the crop over that of the previous year. We have entered forward currency exchange contracts to hedge against some of the effects of currency movements on purchases of tobacco to reduce the volatility of costs, primarily pursuant to customer contracts. In addition, we periodically enter forward contracts to hedge balance sheet exposures.

In certain tobacco markets that are primarily domestic, we use the local currency as the functional currency. Examples of these domestic markets are Hungary, Poland, and the Philippines. In other markets, such as Western Europe, where export sales have been primarily in local currencies, we also use the local currency as the functional currency. In each case, reported earnings are affected by the translation of the local currency into the U.S. dollar.

#### Derivatives Policies

Hedging interest rate exposure using swaps and hedging foreign exchange exposure using forward contracts are specifically contemplated to manage risk in keeping with management's policies. We may use derivative instruments, such as swaps, forwards, or futures, which are based directly or indirectly upon interest rates and currencies to manage and reduce the risks inherent in interest rate and currency fluctuations. When we use foreign currency derivatives to mitigate our exposure to exchange rate fluctuations, we may choose not to designate them as hedges for accounting purposes, which may result in the effects of fair value changes for the derivatives being recognized in our earnings in periods different from the items that created the exposure.

We do not utilize derivatives for speculative purposes, and we do not enter into market risk-sensitive instruments for trading purposes. Derivatives are transaction-specific so that a specific debt instrument, forecast purchase, contract, or invoice determines the amount, maturity, and other specifics of the hedge.

#### ITEM 4.

#### CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports we file under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer evaluated, with the participation of other members of management, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)), as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective. There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting identified in connection with this evaluation that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

#### European Commission Fines

##### European Commission Fines in Spain

In October 2004, the European Commission (the “Commission”) imposed fines on “five companies active in the raw Spanish tobacco processing market” totaling €20 million for “colluding on the prices paid to, and the quantities bought from, the tobacco growers in Spain.” Two of our subsidiaries, Tabacos Espanoles S.A. (“TAES”), a purchaser and processor of raw tobacco in Spain, and Deltafina, S.p.A. (“Deltafina”), an Italian subsidiary, were among the five companies assessed fines. In its decision, the Commission imposed a fine of €108,000 on TAES and a fine of €11.88 million on Deltafina. Deltafina did not and does not purchase or process raw tobacco in the Spanish market, but was and is a significant buyer of tobacco from some of the Spanish processors. We recorded a charge of about €12 million (approximately \$14.9 million at the September 2004 exchange rate) in the second quarter of fiscal year 2005 to accrue the full amount of the fines assessed against our subsidiaries.

In January 2005, Deltafina filed an appeal in the General Court of the European Union (“General Court”). A hearing was held in June 2009, and on September 8, 2010, the General Court issued its decision, in which it reduced the amount of the Deltafina fine to €6.12 million. The General Court held in part that the Commission erred in finding Deltafina acted as the leader of the Spanish cartel, and that the Commission’s corresponding increase of the underlying fine by 50% was not justified. As a result of the General Court’s decision in September 2010, during the second quarter of fiscal year 2011, we reversed €5.76 million (approximately \$7.4 million) of the charge previously recorded to accrue the fine and recognized approximately \$1.2 million of interest income returned on funds deposited in escrow to secure the fine. Deltafina filed an appeal to the General Court decision with the European Court of Justice on November 18, 2010. Although Deltafina believed the General Court erred in not reducing the remaining fine further based on numerous grounds, due to strategic reasons Deltafina withdrew its appeal in June 2011. The result is to end the matter in the judicial system, and to confirm the fine reduction granted in the General Court.

##### European Commission Fines in Italy

In 2002, we reported that we were aware that the European Commission (the “Commission”) was investigating certain aspects of the leaf tobacco markets in Italy. Deltafina buys and processes tobacco in Italy. We reported that we did not believe that the Commission investigation in Italy would result in penalties being assessed against us or our subsidiaries that would be material to our earnings. The reason we held this belief was that we had received conditional immunity from the Commission because Deltafina had voluntarily informed the Commission of the activities that were the basis of the investigation.

On December 28, 2004, we received a preliminary indication that the Commission intended to revoke Deltafina’s immunity for disclosing in April 2002 that it had applied for immunity. Neither the Commission’s Leniency Notice of February 19, 2002, nor Deltafina’s letter of provisional immunity, contains a specific requirement of confidentiality. The potential for such disclosure was discussed with the Commission in March 2002, and the Commission never told Deltafina that disclosure would affect Deltafina’s immunity. On November 15, 2005, we received notification from the Commission that the Commission had imposed fines totaling €30 million on Deltafina and Universal Corporation jointly for infringing European Union antitrust law in connection with the purchase and processing of tobacco in the Italian raw tobacco market. In January 2006, Deltafina and Universal Corporation each filed appeals in the General Court. Deltafina’s appeal was held on September 28, 2010. For strategic reasons related to the defense of the Deltafina appeal, we withdrew our appeal. On September 9, 2011, the General Court issued its

decision, in which it rejected Deltafina's application to reinstate immunity. Deltafina intends to appeal the decision of the General Court. Such appeal must be filed with the European Court of Justice within two months and 10 days from the date of the notification of the decision. Effective with the September 9, 2011 General Court decision, we recorded a charge for the full amount of the fine (€30 million) plus accumulated interest (€5.9 million). The charge totaled \$49.1 million at the exchange rate in effect on the date of the General Court decision. Deltafina continues to maintain a bank guarantee in favor of the Commission in the amount of the fine plus accumulated interest in order to stay execution during the appeals process. We expect the appeal to take up to two years, and any fine and interest Deltafina may ultimately be required to pay would not be due until the European Court of Justice issues its decision.

#### Other Legal and Tax Matters

In addition to the above-mentioned matters, some of our subsidiaries are involved in other litigation and tax examinations incidental to their respective business activities. While the outcome of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, management is vigorously defending these matters and does not currently expect that any of them will have a material adverse effect on our financial position. However, should one or more of these matters be resolved in a manner adverse to management's current expectation, the effect on our results of operations for a particular fiscal reporting period could be material.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

As of the date of this report, there are no material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2011. In evaluating our risks, readers should carefully consider the risk factors discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or operating results, in addition to the other information set forth in this report and in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 12 Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges, and Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preference Dividends.\*
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.\*
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.\*
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.\*
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.\*
- 101.0 Interactive Data File (Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, for the quarterly and six-month periods ended September 30, 2011, furnished in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language)).\*

Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following documents formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Statements of Income and Retained Earnings for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, (ii) the Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2011, September 30, 2010 and March 31, 2011, and (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that this interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

\*Filed herewith

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: November 9, 2011

UNIVERSAL CORPORATION  
(Registrant)

*/s/ David C. Moore*  
David C. Moore, Senior Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

*/s/ Robert M. Peebles*  
Robert M. Peebles, Vice President and  
Controller  
(Principal Accounting Officer)