LEXINGTON CORPORATE PROPERTIES TRUST

Form S-4/A September 25, 2006 As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 25, 2006

Registration No. 333-137296

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Amendment No. 1 to

FORM S-4

REGISTRATION STATEMENT

UNDER

THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

LEXINGTON CORPORATE PROPERTIES TRUST

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its governing instruments)

Maryland	6784	13-371318
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)	(Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One Penn Plaza

Suite 4015

New York, New York 10119-4015

(212) 692-7200

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code,

of Registrant s principal executive offices)

T. Wilson Eglin

Chief Executive Officer and President

Lexington Corporate Properties Trust

One Penn Plaza

Suite 4015

New York, New York 10119-4015

(212) 692-7200

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone

number, including area code, of agent for service)

<i>With copies to:</i> Mark Schonberger, Esq.	Mark I. Fisher, Esq.
Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP	Elliot Press, Esq.
75 East 55 th Street	Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP
New York, New York 10022	575 Madison Avenue
(212) 318-6000	New York, New York 10022

(212) 940-8800

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: As soon as practicable after this Registration Statement becomes effective and all other conditions of the proposed merger described herein have been satisfied or waived.

If the securities being registered on this form are being offered in connection with the formation of a holding company and there is compliance with General Instruction G, check the following box. |_|

If this form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. |_|

If this form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. |_|

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

		Proposed Maximum	
Title of each class of securities	Amount to Be	Aggregate	Amount of
to be registered	Registered	Offering Price (1)(2)	Registration Fee (3)
Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share	15,500,000	\$ 252,262,500.00	\$26,992.09

(1) This number is based on 19,375,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc. outstanding as of September 1, 2006.

- (2) The registration fee has been computed pursuant to Rule 457(c) and Rule 457(f)(1) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee based on the average high and low prices for shares of Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc. s common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on September 8, 2006 (\$16.275 per share) multiplied by the maximum number of such shares that may be exchanged for the securities being registered.
- (3) Calculated in accordance with Rule 457(o) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Fee previously paid.

The registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant will file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement will thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until this Registration Statement will become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

The information contained in this proxy statement/prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not sell these securities offered by this proxy statement/prospectus until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This proxy statement/prospectus does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities in any state where an offer or solicitation is not permitted.

PRELIMINARY SUBJECT TO COMPLETION

DATED SEPTEMBER 25, 2006

TO THE SHAREHOLDERS OF

LEXINGTON CORPORATE PROPERTIES TRUST AND

NEWKIRK REALTY TRUST, INC.

After careful consideration, the board of trustees of Lexington Corporate Properties Trust, or Lexington, and the board of directors of Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc., or Newkirk, have determined that the merger of the two companies is in the best interests of our respective shareholders and have approved a merger agreement authorizing the merger of Newkirk with and into Lexington. We are sending you this joint proxy statement/prospectus to ask you to vote FOR the approval of the merger, and to cordially invite you to attend special meetings of the companies to be held at the dates, times and places set forth below.

The boards of both Newkirk and Lexington believe the merger represents a strategic combination that will create one of the largest and best-positioned net lease REITs in the United States. The combined company will own more than 350 properties located across 44 states with a presence in the nation s premier growth markets and will have a high-quality and diversified tenant base. Moreover, both boards believe that the combination will create a well-capitalized platform with significantly increased scale and liquidity. We believe this financial flexibility coupled with a highly-experienced management team will enable the combined company to exploit a wide range of equity and debt investment opportunities and pursue both traditional and opportunistic single tenant related lines of business for direct ownership or in joint ventures with other capital sources. For these reasons the boards of both companies believe that the merger of Newkirk and Lexington holds the potential to significantly enhance long-term growth opportunities thereby creating substantial value for shareholders.

If the merger is completed, Newkirk stockholders will receive Lexington common shares in exchange for their shares of Newkirk common stock. Each share of Newkirk common stock will be converted into the right to receive 0.80 Lexington common shares. The value of the Lexington common shares to be received by Newkirk stockholders is dependent on the market price of Lexington common shares at the time of the merger as the exchange ratio is fixed. Upon completion of the merger and based on the number of Lexington common shares and shares of Newkirk common stock outstanding on June 30, 2006, we estimate, assuming redemption of all operating partnership units for Lexington common shares but not the conversion of Lexington s 6.50% Series C Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, that Newkirk s former stockholders and operating partnership unitholders will own approximately 46.8%, and Lexington shareholders and operating partnership unitholders will own approximately 46.8%, and Lexington shareholders and operating partnership unitholders will own approximately 46.8%, and Lexington shareholders and operating partnership unitholders will own approximately 46.8%, and Lexington shareholders and operating partnership unitholders will own approximately 46.8%, and Lexington shareholders and operating partnership unitholders will own approximately 46.8%, and Lexington shareholders and operating partnership unitholders will own approximately 46.8%, and Lexington shareholders and operating partnership unitholders will own approximately 53.2%, of the combined company on a fully diluted basis. There will also be a 0.80 for 1 reverse split of the units of Newkirk Master Limited Partnership (which we refer to as MLP units) so that after the merger each MLP unit will be redeemable at the holder s option for cash, based on the value of one Lexington common shares, or, at Lexington s option, for one Lexington common share. Lexington s shareholders will continue to own their existing shares. Lexington common shares are listed on the New

If the merger is completed, Lexington intends, at the sole discretion of Lexington s board of trustees, to make a one-time special dividend/distribution of \$0.17 per Lexington common share/operating partnership unit to the holders thereof on a record date on or prior to the completion of the merger. Following the merger, although annual cash dividends will continue to be set at the sole discretion of Lexington s board of trustees, we anticipate that Lexington s annual cash dividend will be increased to \$1.50 per share.

Lexington will hold a special meeting of shareholders and Newkirk will hold a special meeting of stockholders in order to obtain those approvals necessary to consummate the merger and to approve certain other matters as described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. At the Lexington special meeting, Lexington will ask its common shareholders to approve the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger, the issuance of Lexington common shares and the amendment and restatement of Lexington s Declaration of Trust in connection with the merger, and to vote on the other Lexington special meeting matters described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. At the Newkirk special meeting, Newkirk will ask its voting stockholders to approve the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, and the merger agreement, be approved to the other Lexington special meeting matters described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. At the Newkirk special meeting, Newkirk will ask its voting stockholders to approve the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement,

including the merger and to vote on the other Newkirk special meeting matters described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

More information about Lexington, Newkirk and the proposed merger is contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. We urge you to read this joint proxy statement/prospectus carefully, including Risk Factors Risks Relating to the Merger for a discussion of the risks relating to the merger. You may obtain additional information about Lexington and Newkirk from the documents that each company has filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. See Where You Can Find More Information.

Your vote is very important. We cannot complete the merger without the affirmative vote of at least a majority of the votes entitled to be cast by the holders of (a) the outstanding Lexington common shares, and (b) the outstanding shares of Newkirk common stock and the Newkirk special voting preferred stock, voting together as a class. In order for the merger to proceed, the stockholders of Newkirk must approve the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger and the other Newkirk special meeting matters, and the Lexington shareholders must approve the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the merger, the issuance of Lexington common shares and the amendment and restatement of Lexington s Declaration of Trust and the other Lexington special meeting matters.

Whether or not you plan to attend the special meeting, we request that you cast your vote by either completing and returning the enclosed proxy card as promptly as possible or submitting your proxy or voting instructions by telephone or Internet. If you do not return or submit the proxy or vote in person at the Newkirk special meeting or the Lexington special meeting, the effect will be the same as a vote against the proposal to approve the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. The enclosed proxy card contains instructions regarding voting. The dates, times and places of the special meetings are as follows:

For Lexington:

, 2006

at the offices of Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP 75 E. 55th Street New York, New York 10022 For Newkirk:

, 2006

at the offices of Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP 575 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10022

We are very excited about the combined company s future and the opportunities the proposed merger brings to both Newkirk stockholders and Lexington shareholders, and we thank you for your consideration and continued support.

/s/ T. Wilson Eglin

T. Wilson Eglin

/s/ Michael L. Ashner

Michael L. Ashner

Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc.

Lexington Corporate Properties Trust

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the adequacy or accuracy of this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

This joint proxy statement/prospectus is dated , 2006, and will first be mailed to Newkirk stockholders and Lexington shareholders on or about , 2006.

REFERENCES TO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Except where we indicate otherwise, as used in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, Lexington refers to Lexington Corporate Properties Trust and its consolidated subsidiaries and Newkirk refers to Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries. This joint proxy statement/prospectus incorporates important business and financial information about Lexington from documents that it has filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, referred to as the SEC, but that have not been included in or delivered with this joint proxy statement/prospectus. This joint proxy statement/prospectus incorporates the annual report on Form 10-K/A of Lexington for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 and the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q of Lexington for the quarters ended March 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006. For a list of documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus and how you may obtain them, see Where You Can Find More Information.

This information is available to you without charge upon your written or oral request. You can obtain the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus by accessing the SEC s website maintained at www.sec.gov.

In addition, Lexington s SEC filings are available to the public on Lexington s website, www.lxp.com, and Newkirk s SEC filings are available to the public on Newkirk s website, www.newkirkreit.com. Information contained on Lexington s website, Newkirk s website or the website of any other person is not incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus, and you should not consider information contained on those websites as part of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Lexington will provide you with copies of this information relating to Lexington, without charge, if you request them in writing or by telephone from:

Lexington Corporate Properties Trust

One Penn Plaza, Suite 4015

New York, New York 10119-4015

Attention: Investor Relations

Telephone: (212) 692-7200

Newkirk will provide you with copies of this information relating to Newkirk, without charge, if you request them in writing or by telephone from:

Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc.

7 Bulfinch Place, Suite 500

Boston, Massachusetts 02114

Attention: Investor Relations

Telephone: (617) 570-4680

If you would like to request documents, please do so by , 2006,

, 2006, in order to receive them before the special meetings.

Lexington has supplied all information contained in or incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus relating to Lexington, and Newkirk has supplied all information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus relating to Newkirk.

NEWKIRK REALTY TRUST, INC.

7 Bulfinch Place, Suite 500

Boston, Massachusetts 02114

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a Special Meeting of Stockholders (the Newkirk special meeting) of NEWKIRK REALTY TRUST, INC., a Maryland corporation, will be held at the 11th Floor Conference Center in the offices of Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP, 575 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022, on , , , 2006 at 10:00 a.m. local time, to consider and act upon the following:

(1) To consider and vote on the approval of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of July 23, 2006, by and among Lexington Corporate Properties Trust and Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc., a copy of which is attached as Annex A to the accompanying joint proxy statement/prospectus and the merger of Newkirk with and into Lexington under the merger agreement, and the related transactions;

(2) The adjournment or postponement of the special meeting, if necessary, to permit further solicitation of proxies if there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the proposals; and

(3) To transact such other business as may properly come before the special meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the special meeting.

Only holders of shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of record at the close of business on , 2006 and NKT Advisors, LLC, as the holder of Newkirk s Special Voting Preferred Stock, shall be entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the Newkirk special meeting, and at any adjournment or postponement thereof. In the joint proxy statement/prospectus, we refer to the Newkirk common stock and the Newkirk special voting preferred stock, collectively, as the Newkirk voting stock.

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOUR NEWKIRK VOTING STOCK BE REPRESENTED AND VOTED AT THE SPECIAL MEETING. WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND THE SPECIAL MEETING, PLEASE INSTRUCT THE PROXY HOLDERS HOW TO VOTE YOUR SHARES IN ONE OF THE FOLLOWING WAYS:

MARK, SIGN, DATE AND PROMPTLY RETURN the enclosed proxy card in the postage-paid envelope (it requires no postage if mailed in the United States);

USE THE TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE NUMBER shown on the enclosed proxy card (this call is free in the United States and Canada) and follow the recorded instructions; or

VISIT THE INTERNET WEBSITE shown on the enclosed proxy card and follow the instructions provided to authorize your proxy to vote through the Internet.

Any proxy or instruction may be revoked at any time before its exercise at the special meeting. Please authorize your proxy using one of the methods set forth above so that your shares of common stock will be represented and voted at the special meeting.

By order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ Carolyn B. Tiffany Carolyn B. Tiffany Secretary

LEXINGTON CORPORATE PROPERTIES TRUST

One Penn Plaza, Suite 4015

New York, New York 10119-4015

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To the shareholders of Lexington Corporate Properties Trust:

Lexington will hold a special meeting of its shareholders at 10:00 a.m. local time, on , , , 2006, at the New York offices of Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP, located at 75 East 55th Street, New York, New York 10022, unless postponed or adjourned to a later date. The Lexington special meeting will be held for the following purposes:

- (1) To consider and vote on the approval of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of July 23, 2006, by and among Lexington Corporate Properties Trust and Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc., a copy of which is attached as Annex A, and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the merger of Newkirk with and into Lexington, the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust (a copy of which is attached as Annex B) and the issuance of Lexington common shares under and as contemplated by the merger agreement;
- (2) The adjournment or postponement of the special meeting, if necessary, to permit further solicitation of proxies if there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the proposals; and
- (3) To transact such other business as may properly come before the special meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the special meeting.

Only holders of record of Lexington common shares at the close of business on , 2006, the record date for the Lexington special meeting, are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the special meeting and any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT YOUR LEXINGTON COMMON SHARES BE REPRESENTED AND VOTED AT THE SPECIAL MEETING. WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO ATTEND THE SPECIAL MEETING, PLEASE INSTRUCT THE PROXY HOLDERS HOW TO VOTE YOUR SHARES IN ONE OF THE FOLLOWING WAYS:

- § MARK, SIGN, DATE AND PROMPTLY RETURN the enclosed proxy card in the postage-paid envelope (it requires no postage if mailed in the United States);
- § USE THE TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE NUMBER shown on the enclosed proxy card (this call is free in the United States and Canada) and follow the recorded instructions; or
- § VISIT THE INTERNET WEBSITE shown on the enclosed proxy card and follow the instructions provided to authorize your proxy to vote through the Internet.

Any proxy or instruction may be revoked at any time before its exercise at the special meeting. Please authorize your proxy using one of the methods set forth above so that your common shares will be represented and voted at the special meeting.

By Order of the Board of Trustees,

/s/ Paul R. Wood

Paul R. Wood

Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer

and Secretary

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MERGER AND THE SPECIAL MEETINGS	1
SUMMARY	8
THE COMPANIES	8
THE SPECIAL MEETINGS	10
Lexington Special Meeting; Quorum and Required Vote	10
Proxy Solicitation Costs	11
Newkirk Special Meeting; Quorum and Required Vote	11
THE MERGER	11
Treatment of Newkirk Common Stock Conversion of Newkirk Special Voting Preferred Stock	11
Payment of Dividends	12
Recommendation of Lexington s Board of Trustees and Lexington s	12
Reasons for the Merger	13
Recommendation of Newkirk s Board of Directors and Newkirk s	
Reasons for the Merger	13
VOTING AGREEMENTS	15
REGISTRATION RIGHTS AGREEMENT	15
EXCLUSIVITY AGREEMENT	16
AMENDMENTS TO LEXINGTON'S GOVERNING DOCUMENTS IN THE MERGER	16
OPINIONS OF FINANCIAL ADVISORS	17
Opinion of Lexington s Financial Advisor	17
Opinion of Newkirk s Financial Advisor	17
THE MERGER AGREEMENT	17
OTHER INFORMATION	19
Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data For Lexington	21
Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data For Newkirk	21
SELECTED UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OTHER DATA	22
COMPARATIVE PER SHARE DATA	23
MARKET PRICES AND DIVIDEND INFORMATION	24
DIVIDEND POLICIES	25
RISK FACTORS	27
RISKS RELATING TO THE MERGER	27
RISKS RELATED TO THE COMBINED COMPANY	30
THE LEXINGTON SPECIAL MEETING	38
DATE, TIME, PLACE AND PURPOSE OF THE LEXINGTON SPECIAL MEETING	38
WHO CAN VOTE	38

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

Page

VOTING BY PROXY HOLDERS	38
QUORUM AND REQUIRED VOTE	38
ABSTENTIONS AND BROKER NON-VOTES	39
VOTING ON OTHER MATTERS	39
HOW YOU MAY REVOKE YOUR PROXY INSTRUCTIONS	39
COST OF THIS PROXY SOLICITATION	39
THE NEWKIRK SPECIAL MEETING	41
DATE, TIME, PLACE AND PURPOSE OF THE NEWKIRK SPECIAL MEETING	41
WHO CAN VOTE	41
VOTING BY PROXY HOLDERS	41
QUORUM AND REQUIRED VOTE	41
ABSTENTIONS AND BROKER NON-VOTES	42
VOTING ON OTHER MATTERS	42
HOW YOU MAY REVOKE YOUR PROXY INSTRUCTIONS	42
COST OF THIS PROXY SOLICITATION	42
THE MERGER	43
BACKGROUND OF THE MERGER	43
RECOMMENDATION OF LEXINGTON'S BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND	
LEXINGTON'S REASONS FOR THE MERGER	52
RECOMMENDATION OF NEWKIRK'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND	
NEWKIRK'S REASONS FOR THE MERGER	55
OPINION OF LEXINGTON'S FINANCIAL ADVISOR, WACHOVIA SECURITIES	58
OPINION OF NEWKIRK'S FINANCIAL ADVISOR, BEAR STEARNS	67
INTERESTS OF LEXINGTON'S EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, TRUSTEES AND	
CERTAIN SECURITY HOLDERS IN THE MERGER	73
INTERESTS OF NEWKIRK'S EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, DIRECTORS AND	
CERTAIN SECURITY HOLDERS IN THE MERGER	73
NO DISSENTERS' RIGHTS OF APPRAISAL	75
REGULATORY MATTERS	75
STOCK EXCHANGE LISTING AND RELATED MATTERS	75
ACCOUNTING TREATMENT	75
MERGER FEES, COSTS AND EXPENSES	76
RESTRICTIONS ON RESALE OF LEXINGTON COMMON SHARES ISSUED IN THE MERGER	76
TRUSTEES AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMBINED COMPANY	77
THE MERGER AGREEMENT	78
STRUCTURE OF THE MERGER	78
MERGER CONSIDERATION	78

-ii-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

Page

CHANGE OF NAME	78
CLOSING AND EFFECTIVE TIME OF THE MERGER	78
EXCHANGE OF SECURITIES; NO FRACTIONAL SHARES; LOST, STOLEN OR DESTROYED CERTIFICATES;	
WITHHOLDING RIGHTS	78
REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES	79
CONDUCT OF BUSINESS PENDING THE MERGER	81
OTHER COVENANTS	83
CONDITIONS TO THE MERGER	84
Conditions to Each Party s Obligations to Effect the Merger	84
Conditions to the Obligations of Lexington to Effect the Merger	84
Conditions to Newkirk s Obligations to Effect the Merger	85
DEFINITION OF MATERIAL ADVERSE EFFECT	85
NO SOLICITATION	86
TERMINATION OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT	87
EFFECT OF TERMINATION	88
TERMINATION FEE AND EXPENSES	88
AMENDMENT OF THE MERGER AGREEMENT	89
ANCILLARY AGREEMENTS	89
Voting Agreements	89
Exclusivity Agreement	90
Registration Rights Agreements	92
Michael L. Ashner Employment Agreement	93
MATERIAL FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE MERGER	97
DESCRIPTION OF LEXINGTON'S SHARES OF BENEFICIAL INTEREST	100
CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF MARYLAND LAW AND OF LEXINGTON'S AMENDED AND RESTATED	
DECLARATION OF TRUST AND BY-LAWS	103
COMPARISON OF THE RIGHTS OF LEXINGTON COMMON SHAREHOLDERS AND NEWKIRK COMMON	
STOCKHOLDERS	107
DEADLINE FOR FUTURE SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS	114
LEGAL MATTERS	114
EXPERTS	114
OTHER MATTERS	115
WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION	115
WARNING ABOUT FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS	116
WHAT INFORMATION YOU SHOULD RELY ON	117
LEXINGTON CORPORATE PROPERTIES TRUST INDEX TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA	F-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

Page

ANNEXES
ANNEX A: AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER (INCLUDING AMENDMENT NO. 1)
ANNEX B: AMENDED AND RESTATED DECLARATION OF TRUST (Marked to show changes from Declaration currently in effect)
ANNEX C: AMENDED AND RESTATED BY-LAWS (Marked to show changes from prior By-Laws)
ANNEX D: WACHOVIA SECURITIES FAIRNESS OPINION
ANNEX E: BEAR STEARNS FAIRNESS OPINION
ANNEX F: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT NEWKIRK AND FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS FOR NEWKIRK

-iv-

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE MERGER AND THE SPECIAL MEETINGS

About the Merger

Q: Why am I receiving this document?

A: Lexington s board of trustees and Newkirk s board of directors have each approved an agreement and plan of merger (which we refer to as the merger agreement) between Lexington Corporate Properties Trust (which we refer to as Lexington) and Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc. (which we refer to as Newkirk). The merger agreement provides for the merger of Newkirk with and into Lexington (which we refer to as the merger).

The Lexington common shares to be issued in the merger cannot be issued without the approval of the Lexington common shareholders, and the merger cannot be completed without the approval of the Lexington common shareholders and the Newkirk voting stockholders. Lexington and Newkirk will hold separate special meetings of their respective common shareholders and voting stockholders to obtain these approvals. This document is the joint proxy statement for Lexington and Newkirk to solicit proxies for their respective special meetings. It is also the prospectus of Lexington regarding the Lexington common shares of beneficial interest, par value \$0.0001 per share (which we refer to as Lexington common shares), to be issued under and as contemplated by the merger agreement.

This joint proxy statement/prospectus contains important information about the proposed merger and the special meetings of Lexington and Newkirk, and you should read it carefully.

Q: Why are Lexington and Newkirk proposing the merger?

A: The board of trustees of Lexington and the board of directors of Newkirk believe that the merger represents a strategic combination that will be in the best interests of their respective shareholders and will achieve key elements of both companies strategic business plans. The combined company will own more than 350 properties located across 44 states with a presence in the nation s premier growth markets and a high quality and diversified tenant base. The boards expect that the combined company will have significantly increased equity market capitalization and a conservative balance sheet, which the boards expect will provide greater financial

flexibility and liquidity. Both boards believe that this financial flexibility coupled with a highly experienced management team will enable the combined company to exploit a wide range of investment opportunities and pursue both traditional and opportunistic single tenant related lines of business. The boards of both companies believe that the combined resources of our companies will create additional and more significant opportunities for long-term growth and value-creation than either company could achieve independently. To review each of our reasons for the merger in greater detail, please see The Merger Recommendation of Lexington s Board of Trustees and Lexington s Reasons for the Merger and The Merger Recommendation of Newkirk s Board of Directors and Newkirk s Reasons for the Merger.

Q: What will Newkirk common stockholders receive in the merger?

- A: Newkirk common stockholders will receive 0.80 of a Lexington common share for each outstanding share of Newkirk common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (which we refer to as Newkirk common stock), they own immediately prior to the consummation of the merger. Cash will be paid instead of issuing fractional shares. In this joint proxy statement/prospectus, we refer sometimes to the Lexington common shares to be issued in the merger as the merger consideration.
- Q: Will Newkirk Master Limited Partnership units currently redeemable for Newkirk common stock be redeemable for Lexington common shares?

1

A: Yes. After the merger, the Newkirk Master Limited Partnership (which we refer to as the MLP) will become a subsidiary of Lexington and renamed the Lexington Master Limited Partnership and the MLP units will be redeemable for Lexington common shares. In order to give effect to the exchange ratio in the merger, there will be a 0.80 for 1 reverse split of MLP units upon consummation of the merger. Thereafter each MLP unit may be redeemed at the holder s option for cash, based on the value of one Lexington common share, or, at Lexington s option, for one Lexington common share.

Q: What will Lexington common shareholders receive in the merger?

A: Lexington common shareholders will not receive any additional shares in connection with the merger. Each Lexington common share held by Lexington common shareholders will continue to represent one Lexington common share after the consummation of the merger. If the merger is completed, Lexington intends, at the sole discretion of Lexington s board of trustees, to make a one-time special dividend/distribution of \$0.17 per Lexington common share/operating partnership unit to the holders thereof on a record date on or prior to the completion of the merger, whether or not any such shareholders voted to approve the merger. In addition, in the event Newkirk pays a dividend to maintain its REIT status or avoid imposition of entity-level income or excise taxes under the Code, in an amount in excess of its regular quarterly dividend, Lexington may make a corresponding dividend/distribution equal to 125% of the excess.

Q: If the merger is completed, when can Newkirk stockholders expect to receive the merger consideration for their shares of Newkirk common stock?

- A: Promptly after the merger is completed, holders of Newkirk common stock at the time the merger is completed will receive detailed instructions regarding the surrender of their stock certificates. Such holders should not send their stock certificates to Lexington or anyone else until they receive these instructions. The exchange agent will arrange for the payment of the merger consideration to be sent to holders of Newkirk common stock as promptly as practicable following receipt of their stock certificates and other required documents.
- Q: What happens if the market price of Lexington common shares or Newkirk common stock changes before the closing of the merger?
- A: No change will be made to the 0.80 exchange ratio for the exchange of Newkirk common stock for Lexington common shares in the merger. Because the exchange ratio is fixed, the value of the consideration to be received by Newkirk common stockholders in the merger will depend upon the market price of Lexington common shares at the time of the merger.
- Q: Who will own Lexington common shares after the closing of the merger?
- A: Based on the number of Lexington common shares and operating partnership units and shares of Newkirk common stock and operating partnership units outstanding as of , 2006, the record date for the special meetings, immediately after the closing of the merger, current Newkirk common stockholders and unitholders in The Newkirk Master Limited Partnership (who we refer to as MLP unitholders) will beneficially own approximately % and current Lexington common shareholders and unitholders in Lexington s operating partnerships will beneficially own approximately %, of the then-outstanding Lexington common shares (assuming redemption of operating partnership units for Lexington common stock but not conversion of Lexington s 6.50% Series C Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock).
- *Q:* Is the percentage of voting shares that Newkirk stockholders and MLP unitholders will hold following the merger the same as their ownership percentage?
- A: No. As part of the merger, Newkirk s special voting preferred stock will be converted into a share of special voting preferred stock of Lexington initially entitled to 36,000,000 votes on each matter submitted to Lexington shareholders. This voting share will be

beneficially owned by the holders of MLP units that

were outstanding as of November 7, 2005 (which we refer to as voting MLP units) and will entitle such MLP unitholders to direct the voting of this share. Unitholders in Lexington s operating partnerships do not have such a voting right. Accordingly, based on Lexington common shares and Newkirk common stock and voting MLP units outstanding as of the record date, Newkirk stockholders and MLP unitholders will be entitled to cast and/or direct approximately % of Lexington s voting stock.

Q: On what am I being asked to vote and what is the Board s recommendation?

A: *Lexington common shareholders.* You are being asked to approve the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the issuance of Lexington common shares under and as contemplated by the merger agreement.

Lexington s board of trustees has approved the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust (a copy of which is attached hereto as Annex B) and the Amended and Restated By-laws (a copy of which is attached as Annex C) and declared that the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions are advisable and fair to, and in the best interests of, Lexington and its shareholders. Lexington s board of trustees recommends that Lexington common shareholders vote FOR approval of the merger and the related transactions, including the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the issuance of Lexington common shares under and as contemplated by the merger agreement.

Newkirk voting stockholders. You are being asked to vote to approve the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions.

The merger agreement also provides for the amendment and restatement of the Agreement of Limited Partnership of The Newkirk Master Limited Partnership (which we refer to as the MLP partnership agreement) to provide for, among other things, the substitution of a Lexington subsidiary as the general partner of the MLP, a 0.80 for 1 reverse split of MLP units and for the redemption of each MLP unit, either in cash or Lexington common shares, based on the value of one Lexington common share. You are not being asked to vote on the amendment and restatement of the MLP partnership agreement, which will be voted on separately by the MLP unitholders. Holders of more than a majority of the outstanding MLP units have either entered into agreements to vote in favor of the amendment or disclosed their intention to vote in favor of the amendment.

Newkirk s board of directors has approved the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions and declared that the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions are advisable and fair to, and in the best interests of, Newkirk and its stockholders. Newkirk s board of directors recommends that Newkirk voting stockholders vote FOR the approval of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions. Beneficial owners of approximately 46.0% of Newkirk s voting stock have agreed to vote in favor of the merger.

Q: How soon after the special meetings will the merger occur?

A: We are working to complete the merger as soon as possible. A number of conditions must be satisfied before we can do so, including approval of the Lexington common shareholders and the Newkirk voting stockholders. Although we cannot be sure when all of the conditions to the merger will be satisfied, we hope to complete the merger as soon as practicable after the special meetings.

Q: Who will manage Lexington after the merger?

A: Lexington s board of trustees will be increased from nine to 11 members at the effective time of the merger and will include seven current Lexington trustees, Michael L. Ashner, who is currently the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Newkirk, Clifford Broser and Richard Frary, who are currently members of Newkirk s board of directors, and William J. Borruso, who is currently serving as a director of Lexington Strategic Asset Corp., a subsidiary of Lexington. Current Lexington trustees Seth M. Zachary and Stanley R. Perla will resign from Lexington s board of trustees as of the effective time of the merger. Lexington s

3

existing management team will be joined by Michael L. Ashner and Lara Johnson, Newkirk s Executive Vice President, who collectively will manage the operations of Lexington after the merger.

Q: What will my dividends be before and after the merger?

A: Newkirk s regular quarterly dividend on Newkirk common stock is currently \$0.40 per share. Following the merger it is expected that Lexington, at the sole discretion of Lexington s board of trustees, will pay quarterly dividends of \$0.375 per share, which, from a Newkirk common stockholder s perspective, would be equivalent to a quarterly distribution of \$0.30 per share based on the exchange ratio of 0.80 Lexington shares for each Newkirk share. Until the merger is completed, Newkirk common stockholders will continue to receive regular quarterly dividends as authorized by Newkirk s board of directors and declared by Newkirk. The merger agreement permits Newkirk to pay a regular quarterly cash dividend in an amount not to exceed \$0.40 per share of Newkirk common stock. Newkirk currently intends to continue to pay regular quarterly dividends for any quarterly period that ends before the closing of the merger. Also, Newkirk may declare and pay, if necessary, a dividend equal to the amount necessary to maintain the REIT status of Newkirk and avoid any imposition of entity-level income or excise taxes under the Internal Revenue Code (which we refer to as the Code). Furthermore, in the event Lexington makes a dividend to maintain its REIT status or avoid taxes, in an amount in excess of its regularly quarterly dividend (other than the Lexington special dividend discussed below), Newkirk may make a corresponding dividend equal to 80% of the excess.

Lexington s regular quarterly dividend on Lexington common shares is currently \$0.365 per share. Lexington common shareholders will continue to receive regular dividends as authorized by Lexington s board of trustees and declared by Lexington. The merger agreement permits Lexington to pay regular quarterly cash dividends in an amount not to exceed \$0.365 per Lexington common share. Lexington currently intends to continue to pay regular quarterly dividends. Also, Lexington may declare and pay, if necessary, a dividend equal to the amount necessary to maintain the REIT status of Lexington and avoid imposition of entity-level income or excise taxes under the Code. Furthermore, in the event Newkirk makes a dividend to maintain its REIT status or avoid taxes, in an amount in excess of its regularly quarterly dividend, Lexington may make a corresponding dividend equal to 125% of the excess. In addition, Lexington intends, at the sole discretion of Lexington s board of trustees, to make a one-time special dividend/distribution of \$0.17 per Lexington common share/operating partnership unit to the holders thereof on a record date on or prior to the completion of the merger. We refer to this one-time special dividend as the Lexington special dividend.

The merger agreement provides that Lexington and Newkirk will coordinate the declaration, record and payment dates of any dividends in respect of their respective common shares (other than the Lexington special dividend described above). This coordination reflects the intention of Lexington and Newkirk that the holders of Lexington common shares and shares of Newkirk common stock not receive more than one dividend, or fail to receive one dividend, for any single calendar quarter with respect to the shares they currently own and any Lexington common shares received in the merger.

Prior to the closing of the merger, each of Newkirk and Lexington will declare and set a record date prior to the closing for a pro rata dividend based on the number of days that have elapsed during the current quarter and the amount of their regular quarterly dividend.

After the closing of the merger, former holders of Newkirk common stock that receive Lexington common shares in the merger will receive the dividends payable to all holders of Lexington common shares with a record date after the closing, for the period from the closing date through the end of the quarter, provided they continue to own their shares through the record date. Upon closing, at the sole discretion of Lexington common share its annual dividend to \$1.50 per Lexington common share, or \$0.375 per Lexington common share per quarter.

Upon the closing of the merger, former holders of Newkirk common stock will cease receiving any distributions or dividends on all shares of Newkirk common stock held before the merger, other than any unpaid distributions or dividends declared by Newkirk before the closing of the merger.

4

For additional discussion of dividends, please see The Merger Agreement Coordination of Dividends.

- Q: Do Lexington common shareholders and Newkirk common stockholders have appraisal rights in connection with the merger?
- A: No. Lexington and Newkirk are both formed under Maryland law. Under Maryland law, because Lexington s common shares and Newkirk s common stock are each listed on a national securities exchange, Lexington common shareholders and Newkirk common stockholders do not have dissenters rights of appraisal in connection with the merger.
- Q: What will be the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger to the Newkirk stockholders?
- A: The merger is intended to qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code so that, assuming the merger does qualify, you will not recognize any gain or loss upon the exchange of your Newkirk common stock for Lexington common shares in the merger, but you will recognize gain (or loss) for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a result of the merger to the extent of any cash received in lieu of fractional shares.

Tax matters are complicated and the tax consequences of the merger to Newkirk stockholders may vary depending on a Newkirk stockholder s particular circumstances. Newkirk stockholders should consult their own tax advisors regarding the tax consequences of the merger to them. For further information concerning the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger, please see Material Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger.

About the Special Meetings

Q: Where and when are the special meetings?

A: Lexington common shareholders. The Lexington special meeting will take place at the New York offices of Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP (which we refer to as Paul Hastings), located at 75 East 55th Street, New York, New York 10022, on , 2006, at 10:00 a.m. local time.

Newkirk voting stockholders. The Newkirk special meeting will take place at the New York offices of Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP (which we refer to as Katten Muchin), located at 575 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022 on , 2006, at 10:00 a.m. local time.

Q: Who is entitled to vote?

A: Holders of record of Lexington common shares and Newkirk voting stock, as applicable, at the close of business on , 2006, the record date for the Lexington and Newkirk special meetings, are entitled to vote at their respective special meetings. On that date, there were Lexington common shares outstanding and entitled to vote and 19,375,000 shares of Newkirk common stock outstanding and entitled to vote as well as 45,000,000 votes entitled to be cast by the Newkirk special voting preferred stock.

Q: How do I cast my vote?

A: If you are a Lexington common shareholder or a Newkirk voting stockholder of record, you may vote in person at your special meeting or submit a proxy for your special meeting. You can submit your proxy by completing, signing, dating and returning the enclosed proxy card in the accompanying pre-addressed postage-paid envelope. You may also instruct the proxy holders how to vote by telephone or through the internet by following the instructions on your proxy card.

Q: What vote is required?

A: *Lexington common shareholders.* Approval of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the issuance of Lexington common shares under and as contemplated by the merger agreement, requires the affirmative vote of at least a majority of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of Lexington common shares at the Lexington special meeting. The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of the Lexington common shares voting either in person or by proxy at the Lexington special meeting is required to approve, if necessary, the extension of the solicitation period and the adjournment of the Lexington special meeting.

Newkirk voting stockholders. The affirmative vote in person or by proxy of at least a majority of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of shares of Newkirk voting stock at the Newkirk special meeting is required to approve the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions. The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of the Newkirk voting stock voting either in person or by proxy at the Newkirk special meeting is required to approve, if necessary, the extension of the solicitation period and the adjournment of the Newkirk special meeting. Beneficial owners of approximately 46% of Newkirk s voting stock have agreed to vote in favor of the merger.

Q: Can I change my vote after I have granted my proxy?

- A: Yes. You may revoke your proxy and change your vote at any time before your proxy is voted at your special meeting by following the procedures set forth under the applicable section of this joint proxy statement/prospectus entitled The Lexington Special Meeting How You May Revoke Your Proxy Instructions and The Newkirk Special Meeting How You May Revoke Your Proxy Instructions.
- Q: What happens if I am a Lexington common shareholder and I do not indicate how I want to vote, do not vote or abstain from voting on the Lexington proposals?
- A: If you are a Lexington common shareholder and you sign and send in your proxy but do not indicate how you want to vote on the proposals, your proxy will be voted in favor of all of the proposals on which a vote will take place at the special meeting. **If you do not submit your proxy and do not attend the Lexington special meeting, your shares will not count towards a quorum, and if a quorum is present, your shares will have the effect of a vote against the merger proposal.** Abstentions and broker non-votes will count towards a quorum but will not be counted as votes cast and will have the effect of a vote against the merger proposal. Abstentions and broker-non votes will have no effect on the proposal for the extension of the solicitation period and the adjournment of the Lexington special meeting.
- Q: What happens if I am a Newkirk voting stockholder and I do not indicate how I want to vote, do not vote or abstain from voting on the merger?
- A: If you are a Newkirk voting stockholder and you sign and send in your proxy but do not indicate how you want to vote on the merger, your proxy will be voted in favor of the proposal to approve the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions. **If you do not submit your proxy and do not attend the Newkirk special meeting, your shares will not count towards a quorum, and if a quorum is present, your shares will have effect of a vote against the merger proposal.** Abstentions and broker non-votes will count towards a quorum but will not be counted as votes cast and will have the effect of a vote against the merger proposal. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the proposal for the extension of the solicitation period and the adjournment of the Newkirk special meeting.
- Q: If my shares are held in street name by my broker, will my broker vote my shares for me?
- A: It depends. Your broker will NOT vote your Lexington common shares or Newkirk voting stock with respect to the merger proposal unless you tell the broker how to vote. To do so, you should follow the directions that your broker provides you. Your broker MAY vote your Lexington common shares or shares of Newkirk common stock with respect to the extension of the solicitation period and the adjournment of the special meeting.

6

Q: Should I send in my Newkirk stock certificates now?

A: No. If you hold any Newkirk stock certificates evidencing Newkirk common stock, you will receive written instructions for exchanging those Newkirk stock certificates for the merger consideration. You may not have received any stock certificates because your Newkirk securities were not directly registered. The written instructions you will receive will also advise you what to do if your securities were directly registered.

How to Get More Information

Q: Who can answer my questions?

A: *Lexington common shareholders*. Lexington common shareholders who have questions about the merger or want additional copies of this joint proxy statement/prospectus or additional proxy cards should contact: Investor Relations, Lexington Corporate Properties Trust, One Penn Plaza, Suite 4015, New York, New York 10119-4015, Telephone (212) 692-7200.

Newkirk voting stockholders. Newkirk voting stockholders who have questions about the merger or want additional copies of this joint proxy statement/prospectus or additional proxy cards should contact: Investor Relations, Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc., 7 Bulfinch Place, Suite 500, Boston, Massachusetts 02114, telephone (617) 570-4680.

Lexington common shareholders and Newkirk voting stockholders can also contact our proxy solicitation agent:

MacKenzie Partners, Inc.

105 Madison Avenue

New York, New York 10016

Call Toll-Free: (800) 322-2885

Call Collect: (212) 929-5500

Email: proxy@mackenziepartners.com

7

SUMMARY

This summary highlights selected information from this joint proxy statement/prospectus. It does not contain all of the information that may be important to you. You should carefully read this entire joint proxy statement/prospectus and the other documents to which this joint proxy statement/prospectus and the other documents to which this joint proxy statement/prospectus refers, including the information relating to Newkirk set forth in Annex F, for a more complete understanding of the matters being considered at the special meeting. In addition, we incorporate by reference important business and financial information about Lexington into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Unless we have otherwise stated, all references in this joint proxy statement/prospectus to Lexington Corporate Properties Trust, all references to Newkirk are to Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc., and all references to the MLP are to The Newkirk Master Limited Partnership. For more information about Lexington and Newkirk, including where you can find the incorporated information free of charge, see the section of this joint proxy statement/prospectus entitled Where You Can Find More Information.

The Companies

Lexington Corporate Properties Trust

One Penn Plaza, Suite 4015

New York, New York 10119-4015

(212) 692-7200

www.lxp.com

Lexington is a self-managed and self-administered real estate investment trust, commonly referred to as a REIT, formed under the laws of the State of Maryland. Lexington s common shares, and beneficial interests classified as preferred stock (which we refer to as Lexington s preferred shares) of which Lexington has two classes outstanding, 8.05% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, or Series B Preferred Shares, and 6.50% Series C Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, or Series C Preferred Shares, are traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbols LXP, LXP_pb and LXP_pc , respectively. Lexington s primary business is the acquisition, ownership and management of a geographically diverse portfolio of net leased office, industrial and retail properties. Most of Lexington s properties are subject to triple net leases, which are generally characterized as leases in which the tenant bears all or substantially all of the costs and cost increases for real estate taxes, utilities, insurance and ordinary repairs and maintenance. As of June 30, 2006, Lexington had ownership interests in 191 properties, located in 39 states and the Netherlands which contained an aggregate of approximately 40.2 million net rentable square feet of space. 70 of these properties, which contained approximately 15.7 million net rentable square feet of space, were held through non-consolidated joint ventures with third parties. Approximately 97.8% of the 40.2 million net rentable square feet of space was subject to a lease.

Lexington elected to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Code commencing with its taxable year ended December 31, 1993. If Lexington qualifies for taxation as a REIT, it generally will not be subject to federal corporate income taxes on its net income that is currently distributed to its shareholders.

Lexington grows its portfolio primarily by acquiring properties from: (i) corporations and other entities in sale-leaseback transactions; (ii) developers of newly-constructed properties built to suit the needs of a corporate tenant; and (iii) sellers of properties subject to an existing lease. Lexington has diversified its portfolio by geographical location, tenant industry segment, lease term expiration and property type with the intention of providing steady rental revenue growth with low volatility. Lexington believes that this diversification should help insulate it from regional recession, industry specific downturns and price fluctuations by property type. As part of its ongoing efforts, Lexington expects to continue to effect portfolio and individual property acquisitions and dispositions, expand existing properties, attract investment grade and other quality tenants, extend lease maturities in advance of expiration and refinance outstanding indebtedness when advisable. Additionally, Lexington enters into joint ventures with third-party investors as a means of creating additional growth and expanding the revenue realized from advisory and asset management activities.

Through a wholly-owned taxable REIT subsidiary, Lexington acts as the external advisor to Lexington Strategic Asset Corp., or LSAC, a specialty investment company of which Lexington owns approximately 32% of

8

the fully diluted outstanding common stock. LSAC seeks to make investments in: (i) general purpose real estate net leased to unrated or below investment grade credit tenants; (ii) net leased special purpose real estate located in the United States, such as medical buildings, theaters, hotels and auto dealerships; (iii) net leased properties located in the Americas outside of the United States with rent payments denominated in United States dollars, with such properties typically leased to U.S. companies; (iv) specialized facilities in the United States supported by net leases or other contracts where a significant portion of the facility s value is in equipment or other improvements, such as power generation assets and cell phone towers; and (v) net leased equipment and major capital assets that are integral to the operations of LSAC s tenants and LSAC s real estate investments.

If you want to find more information about Lexington, please see the section entitled Where You Can Find More Information.

Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc.

7 Bulfinch Place, Suite 500

Boston, Massachusetts 02114

Attention: Investor Relations

Telephone: (617) 570-4680

www.newkirkreit.com

Newkirk is a Maryland corporation which has elected to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Code. Newkirk was formed in July 2005 and began operations in November 2005 when it acquired, upon consummation of its initial public offering, the general partner interest and a 30.1% ownership interest in the MLP. All of Newkirk s operations are conducted and all assets are held through the MLP. Newkirk s shares of common stock are traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol NKT.

Newkirk s primary business is the acquisition, ownership, management and strategic disposition of single-tenant and net-leased assets. Newkirk currently has significant liquidity and actively seeks to acquire both conventional and opportunistic single tenant and net lease properties and related assets, including debt secured by these types of real estate assets. As of July 15, 2006, Newkirk s primary assets were its interests in 166 real properties, almost all of which were net leased to a single tenant and were located in 32 states and contained an aggregate of 16,816,667 square feet. Newkirk also held: (i) a 50% interest in 111 Debt Holdings LLC, a joint venture formed to acquire and originate loans secured, directly and indirectly, by real estate assets; (ii) subordinated interests in a securitized pool of notes evidencing first mortgage indebtedness secured by certain of its properties as well as other properties; (iii) limited partnership interests in various partnerships that own commercial net leased properties; (iv) an interest in a management company that provides services to other real estate partnerships; (v) ground leases, remainder interests or the right to acquire remainder interests in various properties; and (vi) miscellaneous other assets.

Newkirk s affairs are currently managed by NKT Advisors, LLC (which we refer to as NKT Advisors), its external advisor, under the supervision of its executive officers and board of directors. For providing advisory services to Newkirk, NKT Advisors is entitled to a base management fee which is paid quarterly and is based on the outstanding equity of Newkirk and the MLP, with a minimum annual fee of \$4,800,000, as well as an incentive management fee based on the results of operations of the MLP. In turn, NKT Advisors subcontracts for certain services with Winthrop Realty Partners, L.P. The Chief Executive Officer of both NKT Advisors and Winthrop Realty Partners is Michael L. Ashner, who is also Newkirk s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. The advisory agreement with NKT Advisors will be terminated on the closing of the merger.

Since its initial public offering, Newkirk s primary long-term business objectives have been to increase funds from operations, cash flow available for distribution to its stockholders and net asset value per share. At the time of its initial public offering, most of Newkirk s properties had contractual primary term rental rates that were significantly above market and renewal rates significantly below the primary term rental rates. In addition, leases on approximately 14,000,000 square feet were scheduled to expire over the period extending through 2009. As a result, Newkirk anticipated that over this period its funds from operations and cash flow attributable to existing properties would decline. For the short-term, Newkirk has sought to actively manage its lease rollover and reduce the impact of the built in step down in cash flow and funds from operations with new rents derived from portfolio growth through acquisitions.

9

From the closing of Newkirk s initial public offering in November 2005 through July 31, 2006, Newkirk has renewed and/or leased an aggregate of 2,480,000 square feet of space. Although it is not actively seeking to sell properties, during this period Newkirk has sold or agreed to sell approximately 3,436,000 square feet of space. Accordingly, a total of 5,916,000 square feet of space with leases scheduled to expire by the end of 2009 was relet or sold during this period. In the same period, Newkirk acquired 879,000 square feet of industrial space for approximately \$31,000,000, office properties containing 994,000 square feet for approximately \$108,000,000 and acquired or committed to acquire in its 111 Debt Holdings LLC joint venture \$150,600,000 of debt assets. Together these investments represent portfolio acquisitions of over \$289,000,000 since its initial public offering.

If you want to find more information about Newkirk, please see the section entitled Where You Can Find More Information.

The Special Meetings

Lexington Special Meeting; Quorum and Required Vote (see page 37)

The Lexington special meeting will be held at the New York offices of Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP, located at 75 East 55th Street, New York, New York 10022, at 10:00 a.m. local time on , 2006. At the Lexington special meeting, holders of Lexington common shares will consider and vote on the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the issuance of Lexington common shares under and as contemplated by the merger agreement.

Approval of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the issuance of the Lexington common shares, requires the affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the Lexington common shares entitled to vote on the proposal.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of Lexington common shares present in person or by proxy at the special meeting is necessary to adjourn the meeting and to extend the period for solicitation of shareholder votes.

The holders of a majority of the outstanding common shares entitled to vote at the Lexington special meeting must be present in person or by proxy to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Lexington special meeting. All Lexington common shares represented at the Lexington special meeting, including abstentions and broker non-votes, will be treated as shares that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum.

Abstentions by holders of Lexington common shares will not be counted as votes cast. Thus, such abstentions will have the effect of a vote against the merger proposal but will have no effect on the proposal for extension of the solicitation process or the adjournment of the special meeting.

Under the listing requirements of the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, brokers who hold Lexington common shares in street name for a beneficial owner of those shares typically have the authority to vote in their discretion on routine proposals when they have not received instructions from beneficial owners. However, brokers are not allowed to exercise their voting discretion with respect to the approval of matters that the NYSE determines to be non-routine, such as approval of the merger and the issuance of Lexington common shares under and as contemplated by the merger agreement, without specific instructions from the beneficial owner. These non-voted shares are referred to as broker non-votes. If your broker holds your Lexington common shares in street name, your broker will vote your shares only if you provide instructions on how to vote by filling out the voter instruction form sent to you by your broker with this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast at the Lexington special meeting and will have the effect of a vote against the merger proposal but will have no effect on the proposal to adjourn the meeting.

10

Proxy Solicitation Costs

Lexington will pay the cost of soliciting proxies for the Lexington special meeting. In addition to solicitation by mail, certain trustees, officers and regular employees of Lexington and its affiliates may solicit the return of proxies by telephone, personal interview or otherwise. Lexington may also reimburse brokerage firms and other persons representing the beneficial owners of its stock for their reasonable expenses in forwarding proxy solicitation materials to such beneficial owners. MacKenzie Partners, Inc., a proxy-soliciting firm, has been retained to assist Lexington in the solicitation of proxies, for which MacKenzie Partners, Inc. will be paid \$10,500.

Newkirk Special Meeting; Quorum and Required Vote (see page 40)

The Newkirk special meeting will be held at the New York offices of Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP, located at 575 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022 at 10:00 a.m. local time on , 2006. At the Newkirk special meeting, holders of Newkirk voting stock will consider and vote on a proposal to approve the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions.

Approval of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions requires the affirmative vote in person or by proxy of at least a majority of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of the shares of Newkirk voting stock at the Newkirk special meeting.

The holders of shares of Newkirk voting stock entitled to cast at least a majority of the votes at the Newkirk special meeting must be present in person or by proxy to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Newkirk special meeting. All shares of Newkirk voting stock represented at the Newkirk special meeting, including abstentions and broker non-votes, will be treated as shares that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum.

Abstentions by holders of Newkirk voting stock will not be counted as votes cast. Thus, such abstentions will have the effect of a vote against the merger proposal but will have no effect on the proposal for extension of the solicitation process or the adjournment of the special meeting.

The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of Newkirk voting stock voting in person or by proxy at the special meeting is necessary to adjourn the meeting and to extend the period for solicitation of stockholder votes.

Broker non-votes, as discussed above, will not be counted as votes cast at the Newkirk special meeting and will have the effect of a vote against the merger proposal but will have no effect on the proposal to adjourn the meeting.

The Merger

The merger contemplates that Newkirk will merge with and into Lexington, with Lexington as the surviving company. The surviving company will change its name to Lexington Realty Trust.

Treatment of Newkirk Common Stock (see page 77)

In the merger, each share of Newkirk common stock shall be converted into the right to receive 0.80 of a Lexington common share.

No change will be made to the 0.80 exchange ratio for the exchange of Newkirk common stock for Lexington common shares in the merger. Because the exchange ratio is fixed, the value of the consideration to be received by Newkirk common stockholders in the merger will depend upon the market price of Lexington common shares at the time of the merger.

11

Newkirk common stockholders will not receive any fractional Lexington common shares in the merger. After taking into account all shares of Newkirk common stock delivered by them, Lexington will pay Newkirk common stockholders cash in lieu of any fraction of a Lexington common share in an amount equal to such fraction multiplied by the average closing prices of Lexington common shares quoted on the NYSE for the five trading day period ending on the trading date immediately prior to the closing date of the merger.

Conversion of Newkirk Special Voting Preferred Stock (See page 90)

NKT Advisors is the holder of the outstanding share of Newkirk special voting preferred stock, which entitles NKT Advisors to vote on all matters for which holders of Newkirk common stock are entitled to vote. The number of votes that NKT Advisors is entitled to cast in respect of the Newkirk special voting preferred stock is currently 45,000,000. As voting MLP units are redeemed by Newkirk at the option of an MLP unitholder, the number of votes that NKT Advisors is entitled to cast in respect of its Newkirk special voting preferred stock is decreased by an equivalent number. NKT Advisors has agreed to cast its votes in respect of the Newkirk special voting preferred stock in proportion to the direction it receives from holders of voting MLP units, subject to certain limitations.

In the merger, the outstanding share of Newkirk special voting preferred stock will be converted into one share of Lexington special voting preferred stock, which is not entitled to dividends, but does entitle NKT Advisors to vote on all matters for which holders of Lexington common shares are entitled to vote. The number of votes that NKT Advisors will be entitled to vote in respect of the Lexington special voting preferred stock will be adjusted to reflect the 0.80 exchange ratio to initially equal 36,000,000. As voting MLP units are redeemed by Lexington at the option of an MLP unitholder, the number of Lexington votes that NKT Advisors will be entitled to cast will be decreased by an equivalent number. Following the merger, NKT Advisors will continue to be obligated to cast its votes in respect of the Lexington special voting preferred stock in proportion to the votes it receives from holders of voting MLP units, subject to certain limitations. However, at any time that Vornado Realty Trust, Inc. (which we refer to as Vornado) and its affiliates are prohibited from directing the vote of their MLP units, NKT Advisors will

be able to cast the votes with respect to the Lexington special voting preferred stock relating to such MLP units in its sole discretion.

Payment of Dividends

Between the signing of the merger agreement and the closing of the merger, Lexington and Newkirk will align their record and payment dates in connection with the payment of their regular quarterly dividends.

Newkirk s regular quarterly dividend on its common stock is currently \$0.40 per share. Following the merger, it is expected that Lexington, at the sole discretion of Lexington s board of trustees, will pay quarterly dividends of \$0.375 per share, which, from a Newkirk common shareholder s perspective, would be equivalent to a quarterly distribution of \$0.30 per share based on the exchange ratio of 0.80 Lexington shares for each Newkirk share. Until the merger is completed, Newkirk common stockholders will continue to receive regular quarterly dividends as authorized by Newkirk s board of directors and declared by Newkirk. The merger agreement permits Newkirk to pay a regular quarterly cash dividend in an amount not to exceed \$0.40 per share of Newkirk common stock. Newkirk currently intends to continue to pay regular quarterly dividends for any quarterly period that ends before the closing of the merger. Also, Newkirk may declare and pay, if necessary, a dividend in the amount necessary to maintain the REIT status of Newkirk and avoid any imposition of entity-level income or excise taxes under the Code. Furthermore, in the event Lexington makes a dividend to maintain its REIT status or avoid taxes, in an amount in excess of its regular quarterly dividend (other than the Lexington special dividend discussed below), Newkirk may make a corresponding dividend equal to 80% of the excess.

Lexington s regular quarterly dividend on its common shares is \$0.365 per share. Lexington common shareholders will continue to receive regular dividends as authorized by Lexington s board of trustees and declared by Lexington. The merger agreement permits Lexington to pay regular quarterly cash dividends in an amount not to exceed \$0.365 per Lexington common shares. Lexington currently intends to continue to pay regular quarterly

12

dividends. Also, Lexington may declare and pay, if necessary, a dividend in the amount necessary to maintain the REIT status of Lexington and avoid imposition of entity-level or excise taxes under the Code. Furthermore, in the event Newkirk makes a dividend to maintain its REIT status or avoid taxes, in an amount in excess of its regular quarterly dividend, Lexington may make a corresponding dividend equal to 125% of the excess. In addition, Lexington intends, at the sole discretion of Lexington s board of trustees, to make the one-time Lexington special dividend/distribution of \$0.17 per common share/operating partnership unit to the holders thereof on a record date prior to the completion of the completion of the merger.

Each of Newkirk and Lexington will declare and set a record date prior to the closing for a pro rata dividend based on the number of days that have elapsed during the current quarter and the amount of their regular quarterly dividend.

After the closing of the merger, former holders of Newkirk common stock that receive Lexington common shares in the merger will receive the dividend payable to all holders of Lexington common shares with a record date after the closing, for the period from the closing date through the end of the quarter, provided they continue to own their shares through the record date. Upon closing, at the sole discretion of Lexington s board of trustees, Lexington is expected to increase its annual dividend to \$1.50 per Lexington common share, or \$0.375 per Lexington common share per quarter.

Upon the closing of the merger, former holders of Newkirk common stock will cease receiving any distributions or dividends on all shares of Newkirk common stock held before the merger, other than any unpaid distributions or dividends declared by Newkirk before the closing of the merger.

Recommendation of Lexington s Board of Trustees and Lexington s Reasons for the Merger (see page 51)

Lexington s board of trustees has approved the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions and declared that the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the amendment and restatement of the Declaration of Trust are advisable and fair to, and in the best interests of, Lexington and its shareholders, and have approved the amendment and restatement of the By-laws.

Lexington s board of trustees recommends that Lexington common shareholders vote FOR approval of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the issuance of Lexington common shares under and as contemplated by the merger agreement.

You should refer to the factors considered by Lexington s board of trustees in making its decision to approve the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions and to recommend to Lexington s shareholders the approval of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the issuance of Lexington common shares under and as contemplated by the merger agreement.

On the record date for the Lexington special meeting, a total of , or approximately %, of the outstanding Lexington common shares entitled to vote at the Lexington special meeting were held by Lexington trustees, executive officers and their respective affiliates, all of whom Lexington expects will vote their shares for the approval of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the issuance of Lexington common shares under and as contemplated by the merger agreement.

Recommendation of Newkirk s Board of Directors and Newkirk s Reasons for the Merger (see page 54)

Newkirk s board of directors has approved the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions and declared that the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions are advisable and fair to, and in the best interests of, Newkirk and its stockholders.

13

Newkirk s board of directors recommends that Newkirk voting stockholders vote FOR the approval of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions.

You should refer to the factors considered by Newkirk s board of directors in making its decision to approve the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions and to recommend to the Newkirk voting stockholders the approval of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions.

On the record date for the Newkirk special meeting, a total of or approximately %, of the votes entitled to be cast at the Newkirk special meeting were held by Newkirk directors, executive officers and their respective affiliates.

As of September 1, 2006, Michael L. Ashner, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Newkirk, Apollo Real Estate Investment Fund III, L.P. (which we refer to as Apollo), AP-Newkirk Holdings LLC, WEM-Brynmawr Associates LLC, and WRT Realty L.P., held a total of 29,831,908 or approximately 46.0%, of the outstanding Newkirk voting shares and have agreed to vote all Newkirk voting shares held by them in favor of the merger. Newkirk expects that all of its other directors, executive officers and their respective affiliates will also vote their shares in favor of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions. WRT Realty L.P. is the operating partnership of Winthrop Realty Trust, a New York Stock Exchange listed real estate investment trust (which we refer to as Winthrop), of which Michael L. Ashner is chief executive officer.

Interests of Lexington s Executive Officers, Trustees and Certain Security Holders in the Merger (see page 72)

The executive officers of Lexington may have been entitled to payments in connection with the consummation of the merger under the terms of their employment agreements with Lexington. Each of these executive officers has waived his rights, on a one-time basis in connection with the merger, with respect to such payments.

We believe these waivers align the interests of the executive officers of Lexington with the interests of the other Lexington common shareholders with respect to the merger.

Interests of Newkirk s Executive Officers, Directors and Certain Security Holders in the Merger (see page 72)

In considering the recommendation of Newkirk s board of directors with respect to the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, you should be aware that some of the Newkirk executive officers, directors and security holders have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, the interests of other Newkirk common stockholders. These interests include:

the appointment of Mr. Ashner, the current Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Newkirk, as Executive Chairman and Director of Strategic Transactions of Lexington pursuant to an employment agreement to be signed upon completion of the merger;

the receipt by NKT Advisors of \$12.5 million for terminating its advisory agreement with Newkirk and the MLP. Vornado, an affiliate of Newkirk board member and Lexington board designee Clifford Broser, as well as a significant security holder of Newkirk, owns a 20% interest in NKT Advisors and will be entitled to receive up to \$2.5 million of the termination fee being paid to NKT Advisors. Winthrop will receive \$4.4 million of the \$12.5 million payment for termination of Newkirk s advisory agreement with NKT Advisors. Mr. Ashner is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of NKT Advisors and Chief Executive Officer of Winthrop and he and other executive officers of Newkirk own a 28% minority economic interest in NKT Advisors and a 7.3% interest in Winthrop;

14

the early termination of a lock up agreement restricting the sale of 4,375,000 shares of Newkirk common stock owned by Winthrop. As of September 1, 2006, 468,750 of the shares owned by Winthrop were subject to forfeiture during a period expiring on November 7, 2008 and, upon closing of the merger, the forfeiture provisions will terminate. If the merger does not occur, these shares will be released from the forfeiture restrictions at the rate of 17,361 shares per month; the continuation for one year of existing property management agreements between Winthrop Management LP, an affiliate of Mr. Ashner, and the MLP and the retention of Winthrop Management LP as a property manager on all properties acquired by Lexington during that period where a property manager is retained;

the granting by Lexington of exemptions from its 9.8% ownership limitation to two significant security holders in Newkirk, Apollo and its affiliates and Vornado Realty L.P., an affiliate of Vornado. Apollo and Vornado were each previously granted ownership waivers by Newkirk in connection with Newkirk s initial public offering. Clifford Broser, a Newkirk director and a Lexington trustee designee, is affiliated with Vornado;

the early termination of lock up agreements with respect to shares of Newkirk common stock issuable to certain officers and directors of Newkirk with respect to approximately 747,542 post-reverse split MLP units. The lock up agreement restricting the sale of common shares by Mr. Ashner will continue in full effect;

the continued indemnification of current directors and officers of Newkirk and NKT Advisors under the merger agreement and the provision of directors and officers liability insurance to these individuals and this entity; and the entry into the voting agreements described below.

Newkirk s board of directors was aware of these interests and considered them, among other matters, in approving the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions and in making their recommendation.

Voting Agreements (see page 88)

Apollo, AP-Newkirk Holdings LLC, WEM-Brynmawr Associates LLC, WRT Realty L.P. and Michael L. Ashner have each entered into a voting agreement with Lexington which requires each of them to vote all Newkirk voting shares and MLP units beneficially owned by each of them as of the record date for the Newkirk special meeting in favor of the merger proposal (and against competing proposals). These voting agreements terminate on the earlier to occur of: (i) the date of the consummation of the merger; (ii) the date of the termination of the merger agreement in accordance with its terms; (iii) the date upon which Newkirk s board of directors publicly withdraws its recommendation of the merger; and (iv) the date upon which Newkirk s board of directors publicly recommends or approves any alternative acquisition transaction.

As of September 1, 2006, Apollo, AP-Newkirk Holdings LLC, WEM-Brynmawr Associates LLC, WRT Realty L.P. and Michael L. Ashner and his affiliates collectively held a total of 29,831,908 or approximately 46%, of the outstanding Newkirk voting shares.

Registration Rights Agreement (see page 91)

Lexington has agreed to assume identified registration rights obligations of Newkirk after consummation of the merger, including the registration rights of MLP unitholders. Lexington has agreed that holders of registration rights under such agreements will have substantially the

same rights after the merger with respect to the registration of the Lexington common shares that such holders may receive in the merger or upon conversion of their MLP units into Lexington common shares.

15

Exclusivity Agreement (see page 89)

Newkirk will assign its rights to Lexington under an exclusivity agreement pursuant to which Michael L. Ashner is obligated to offer exclusively to Newkirk each net lease business opportunity offered to or generated by Mr. Ashner during the exclusivity period. The termination of Mr. Ashner s obligations to offer such business opportunities to Lexington will be triggered if Mr. Ashner s employment with Lexington is terminated other than for cause (as defined in the employment agreement between Lexington and Mr. Ashner) or if Mr. Ashner terminates his employment with Lexington for Good Reason (as defined in such employment agreement), or on the six month anniversary of the later of (i) the date on which Mr. Ashner ceases to be an officer of Lexington and (ii) the date on which Mr. Ashner ceases to be a trustee of Lexington.

Net lease business opportunity is defined as any investment in real property or assets related thereto, other than certain specified excluded investments, which relate solely to:

a property that is either (a) triple net leased or (b) one in which a single tenant leases at least 85% of the rentable square footage of the property and, in addition to base rent, the tenant is required to pay some or all of the operating expenses for the property, and, in both (a) and (b) the lease has a remaining term, exclusive of all unexercised renewal terms, of more than 18 months;

management agreements and master leases with terms of greater than three years where a manager or master lessee bears all operating expenses of the property and pays the owner a fixed return;

securities of companies including, without limitation, corporations, partnerships and limited liability companies, whether or not publicly traded, that are primarily invested in assets that meet the two requirements listed above; and

all re-tenanting and redevelopment associated with such properties, agreements and leases, and all activities incidental thereto.

Amendments to Lexington s Governing Documents in the Merger (see page 102)

If the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the issuance of Lexington common shares under and as contemplated by the merger agreement, are approved by Lexington's shareholders at the Lexington special meeting, Lexington's declaration of trust will be amended and restated in the merger as marked in the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust (a copy of which is attached as Annex B). The Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust will be the declaration of trust of Lexington from the effective time of the merger until the same is amended or supplemented in accordance with its terms and Maryland law. In connection with the merger, Lexington's board of trustees amended Lexington's By-laws as shown in the annotated Amended and Restated By-laws (a copy of which is attached as Annex C). The Amended and Restated By-laws will be the By-laws of Lexington until it is amended in accordance with its terms and Maryland law. We encourage you to read the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the Amended and Restated By-laws because they are the legal documents that govern your rights as Lexington shareholders after the merger.

Opinions of Financial Advisors

Opinion of Lexington s Financial Advisor (see page 57)

Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC (which we refer to as Wachovia Securities), has provided its opinion to Lexington s board of trustees dated as of July 23, 2006, that, as of that date, and subject to and based on the qualifications and assumptions set forth in its opinion, the exchange ratio of 0.80 Lexington common shares for every one share of Newkirk common stock is fair to Lexington from a financial point of view.

16

Opinion of Newkirk s Financial Advisor (see page 66)

Newkirk s board of directors received an opinion from Bear, Stearns & Co Inc. (which we refer to as Bear Stearns), its financial advisor, dated as of July 23, 2006, that, as of that date, and subject to and based on the various assumptions and qualifications set forth in such opinion, the ratio of 0.80 Lexington common shares for every one share of Newkirk common stock pursuant to the merger agreement and the receipt of cash in lieu of fractional Lexington common shares is fair to the public holders of Newkirk common stock who are not entering into voting or other types of agreements with Newkirk or Lexington in connection with the merger from a financial point of view.

The Merger Agreement

The merger agreement is attached to this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Annex A. We encourage you to read the merger agreement because it is the legal document that governs the merger. The merger agreement has been included in this joint proxy statement/ prospectus to provide you with information regarding its terms. It is not intended to provide you with any factual information about Lexington or Newkirk.

What We Need to Do to Complete the Merger (see page 83)

Lexington and Newkirk will complete the merger only if the conditions set forth in the merger agreement are satisfied or, in some cases, waived. These conditions include:

the approval by Newkirk voting stockholders of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions;

the approval by the Lexington common shareholders of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the issuance of Lexington common shares under and as contemplated by the merger agreement;

the approval for listing on the New York Stock Exchange of the Lexington common shares to be issued under and as contemplated by the merger agreement (which approval was obtained on , 2006); the absence of legal prohibitions to the merger;

the continued effectiveness of the registration statement of which this joint proxy statement/prospectus is a part;

the accuracy of each company s representations and warranties;

the performance by each company of its obligations under the merger agreement;

the absence of any material adverse effect on Lexington or Newkirk between July 23, 2006 and the date on which the merger is completed;

the receipt of legal opinions from counsel to each company as to the qualification of the merger as a reorganization under the Internal Revenue Code and as to each company s qualification as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code; and approval of the amended and restated MLP partnership agreement.

Lexington and Newkirk Prohibited from Soliciting Other Offers (see page 85)

Each of Lexington and Newkirk has agreed not to solicit, initiate, encourage or knowingly take any other action to facilitate any inquiries or other action by a third party that could reasonably be expected to lead to an alternative acquisition, including:

any merger or business combination (other than the merger discussed in this joint proxy statement/prospectus) involving either Lexington or Newkirk;

any sale of 25% or more of the assets of either Lexington or Newkirk; or

any tender offer or exchange offer for 25% or more of the voting power of outstanding equity securities of either Lexington or Newkirk.

Termination of the Merger Agreement; Fees and Expenses (see page 86)

Lexington and Newkirk can agree to terminate the merger agreement at any time, even after shareholder and stockholder approvals have been obtained. In addition, either Lexington or Newkirk can terminate the merger agreement if any of the following occurs:

the merger is not completed on or before March 31, 2007, other than due to a breach of the merger agreement by the party seeking to terminate the merger agreement;

a legal prohibition to the merger has become final and non-appealable;

a breach by the other party of any of its representations, warranties or agreements under the merger agreement such that a condition to completing the merger cannot be satisfied by the earlier of March 31, 2007 or 60 days after delivery of notice of such breach; or

the necessary approval of the other party s shareholders or stockholders is not obtained at the other party s special meeting.

The merger agreement provides that Lexington or Newkirk may be required to reimburse up to \$5.0 million of the other party s expenses if the merger agreement is terminated under specified circumstances. The merger agreement also provides that under specified circumstances, if the merger agreement is terminated and a party to the merger agreement enters into a definitive agreement with respect to an alternative acquisition transaction with a third party within six months of termination, that party may be required to pay the other party a termination fee of \$25 million. The termination fee will be reduced by the amount of any prior expense reimbursement fee paid by the party that is required to pay the termination fee.

Other Information

MLP Unit Reverse Split and Amendment and Restatement of the MLP Agreement (see page 94)

Concurrently with the merger, the MLP partnership agreement will be amended and restated to substitute a subsidiary of Lexington as the general partner and provide that, among other things, MLP units, which are currently redeemable at the option of the holder for cash based on the value of a share of Newkirk common stock or, at Newkirk s option, in Newkirk common stock, will be redeemable at the option of the holder for cash based on the value of a common share of Lexington, or, if Lexington elects, on a one-for-one basis for common shares of Lexington, in each case after giving effect to a 0.80 for 1 reverse split of outstanding units.

8
o

Material Federal Income Tax Consequences (see page 96)

The merger is intended to qualify as a reorganization under the Code, so that, assuming the merger does qualify, Newkirk stockholders will not recognize any gain or loss upon the exchange of their Newkirk common stock for shares of Lexington stock in the merger, but they will recognize gain (or loss) for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a result of the merger to the extent of any cash received in lieu of fractional shares. No gain or loss will be recognized by Lexington or its shareholders.

For further information concerning the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger, please see Material Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger. Because the tax consequences of the merger are complex and may vary depending on the particular circumstances of a Newkirk stockholder, each Newkirk stockholder is urged to consult its own tax advisors for a full understanding of the tax consequences of the merger.

Dissenters Rights of Appraisal (see page 74)

Neither Lexington common shareholders nor Newkirk common stockholders have dissenters rights of appraisal in connection with the merger.

Regulatory Matters (see page 74)

Neither Lexington nor Newkirk is aware of any material federal or state regulatory requirements that must be complied with or approvals that must be obtained by Lexington or Newkirk in connection with the merger.

Stock Exchange Listing and Related Matters (see page 74)

Lexington will list the Lexington common shares to be issued to holders of shares of Newkirk common stock in connection with the merger on the NYSE. After the closing of the merger, there will be no further trading in Newkirk common stock and Newkirk will delist its common stock from the NYSE and will file a Form 15 to deregister its common stock for purposes of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Accounting Treatment (see page 74)

The merger will be treated as a purchase for financial accounting reporting purposes. This means that Lexington will record all assets acquired and all liabilities assumed at their estimated fair values at the time the merger is completed.

Newkirk is a Variable Interest Entity (VIE), due to the MLP unitholders having a voting interest. As a result of being a VIE, 100% of the assets and liabilities are recorded at their estimated fair values including the related impact to minority interest.

In particular, the fair value of the real estate acquired is allocated to land, building and improvements, above-market and below-market leases and other value of in-place leases, based in each case on their fair values.

The fair value of land, building and improvements and fixtures and equipment is determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant, and the as-if-vacant value is then allocated to land, building and improvements based on management s determination of relative fair values of these assets. Factors considered by management in performing these analyses include an estimate of carrying costs during the expected lease-up periods, considering current market conditions and costs to execute similar leases. In estimating carrying costs, management includes real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses and estimates of lost rental revenue during the expected lease-up periods based on current market demand. Management also estimates costs to execute similar leases including leasing commissions.

17

In allocating the purchase price to the fair value of the above-market and below-market in-place leases, the values are based on the difference between the current in-place lease rent and a management estimate of current market rents. Below-market lease values are recorded as part of the deferred revenue and amortized into rental revenue over the non-cancelable periods of the respective leases including any periods covered by a bargain lease renewal. Above-market leases are recorded as part of real estate and amortized as a direct charge against rental revenue over the non-cancelable primary portion of the respective leases.

The aggregate value of acquired in-place lease intangibles, is measured by the excess (i) the purchase price paid for a property over (ii) the estimated fair value of the property as if vacant, determined as set forth above. The value of the in-place lease intangibles is amortized to expense over the remaining non-cancelable periods of the respective leases.

Differences in Rights of Lexington Common Shareholders and Newkirk Common Stockholders (see page 105)

The rights of Newkirk common stockholders are currently governed by the Maryland General Corporation Law and Newkirk s Charter and By-laws. Following the merger, the rights of former Newkirk common stockholders who receive Lexington common shares will be governed by the Maryland REIT Law and Lexington s Declaration of Trust and By-laws, both as amended and restated as annexed to this joint proxy statement/prospectus. There are important differences in the rights of Newkirk common stockholders and Lexington common shareholders with respect to voting requirements and various other matters.

Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data

The following information is provided to assist you in your analysis of the financial aspects of the merger. This information has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2001 through 2005 of each of Lexington and Newkirk (or its predecessor, the MLP) and from the unaudited consolidated financial statements for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2006 of each of Lexington and Newkirk (or its predecessor, the MLP).

This information is only a summary. You should read it along with, as applicable, Lexington's or Newkirk's historical financial statements and related notes and the section titled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" contained in Lexington's annual reports on Form 10-K or 10-K/A and the consolidated financial statements of Newkirk contained in Annex F, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, Newkirk's registration statement on Form S-11 and other information on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission and, in the case of Lexington, are incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Please see "Where You Can Find More Information". Operating results for the six months ended June 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of results for the year ending December 31, 2006. For a discussion of certain factors that may materially affect the comparability of the selected historical financial information or cause the data reflected herein not be indicative of Lexington's and Newkirk's future financial condition or results of operations, please see "Risk Factors."

For Lexington

		Years Ended December 31,			Six Months Ended June 30.		
	<u>2005</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
		(in thou	sands, except p	er share data)		(in thousands,	, except per share data)
Total gross revenues	\$197,132	\$143,364	\$105,974	\$85,093	\$74,602	\$105,657	\$85,325
Expenses applicable to revenue	s (94,400)	(49,684)	(33,696)	(25,760)	(21,594)	(55,863)	(36,295)
Interest and amortization							
expense	(65,065)	(44,857)	(34,168)	(32,354)	(29,416)	(35,446)	(27,782)
Income from continuing							
operations	18,192	35,293	24,411	22,409	15,180	10,263	18,172
Total discontinued operations	14,503	9,514	9,238	8,186	2,882	21,335	7,303

20

Net income	32,695	44,807	33,649	30,595	18,062	31,598	25,475
Net income allocable to							
common shareholders	16,260	37,862	30,257	29,902	15,353	23,380	17,257
Income from continuing							
operations per common share							
basic	0.04	0.61	0.62	0.80	0.64	0.04	0.20
Income from continuing							
operations per common share							
diluted	0.04	0.59	0.61	0.79	0.63	0.04	0.20
Income from discontinued							
operations basic	0.29	0.20	0.27	0.31	0.15	0.41	0.15
Income from discontinued							
operations diluted	0.29	0.21	0.27	0.30	0.14	0.41	0.13
Net income per common share							
basic	0.33	0.81	0.89	1.11	0.79	0.45	0.35
Net income per common share							
diluted	0.33	0.80	0.88	1.09	0.77	0.45	0.33
Cash dividends declared per							
common share	1.445	1.410	1.355	1.325	1.290	0.73	0.72
Net cash provided by operating							
activities	112,559	90,860	71,815	57,732	41,277	60,252	53,203

Net cash used in investing							
activities	(650,879)	(202,549)	(298,553)	(107,064)	(64,321)	(28,560)	(625,304)
Net cash provided by financing							
activities	444,878	242,723	228,986	47,566	32,115	(30,889)	467,524
Ratio of earnings to combined							
fixed charges and preferred							
dividends	1.15	1.57	1.59	1.82	1.47	1.27	1.50
Real estate assets, net	1,641,927	1,227,262	1,001,772	779,150	714,047	1,619,398	1,699,403
Investments in non-consolidated	d						
entities	191,146	132,738	69,225	54,261	48,764	186,391	159,387
Total assets	2,160,232	1,697,086	1,207,411	902,471	822,153	2,140,997	2,184,249
Mortgages, notes payable and							
credit facility, including							
discontinued operations	1,170,560	765,909	551,385	491,517	455,771	1,156,975	1,235,004
Shareholders equity	891,310	847,290	579,848	332,976	266,713	887,334	860,170
Preferred share liquidation							
preference	234,000	214,000	79,000		25,000	234,000	234,000
For Newkirk							

The following financial data are derived from Newkirk s audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2005 and for the period from November 7, 2005 to December 31, 2005 and from the Newkirk Master Limited Partnership s (the Predecessor) audited consolidated financial statements for the period from January 1, 2005 to November 6, 2005 and as of and for the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 and from the combined consolidated financial statements of Newkirk RE Holdings, LLC and Newkirk NL Holdings, LLC (Previous Predecessor Entity) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2001.

	The Company(1)		The Predec	cessor (2)		The Previous Predecessor		
	Period November 7, 2005 to December 31, 2005	Period January 1, 2005 to November 6, 2005	Year Ended December 31, 200 (in t	2003	Year Ended December 31 2002 pt per share data	2001 (3)	, Six Months	Six Months),Ended June 30, 2005
Total revenues Income from continuing operations before minority	\$31,739	\$177,452	\$211,679	\$225,942	\$216,688	\$258,975	\$116,121	\$104,670
interest	1,626	40,753	86,456	91,054	88,425	102,049	43,815	34,136

Income from continuing								
operations	144	24,815	67,972	72,757	77,740	46,387	12,176	24,824
Income from discontinued								
operations	1,205	18,356	69,836	72,407	45,122	3,224	2,450	1,035
Net income	1,349	43,171	137,808	145,164	122,862	49,611	14,626	25,859
Net income per common share	0.07	-	-	-	-	-	0.63	-
Weighted average common								
shares outstanding	19,375	-	-	-	-	-	19,375	-
Distribution declared per share	0.27	-	-	-	-	-	0.80	-
	29,445	113,018	154,372	160,802	146,070	-	81,744	74,967

Net cash provided by operating activities	5							
Net cash provided by (used in)								
investing activities	(40,066)	60,788	92,103	61,392	11,080	-	(180,145)	(69)
Net cash provided by (used in)								
financing activities	125,872	(135,558)	(257,861)	(214,834)	(123,698)	-	(27,810)	(82,144)
Real estate investments, net of								
accumulated depreciation	943,992	-	1,032,797	1,129,237	1,203,890	1,001,321	1,012,917	974,139
Total assets	1,345,084	-	1,237,129	1,384,094	1,476,623	1,476,922	1,428,054	1,178,120
Total debt	770,786	-	907,339	1,104,231	1,238,494	1,024,539	801,600	844,522
Partners equity	-	-	203,785	98,864	(6,104)	257,518	-	209,116
Stockholders equity	176,041	-	-	-	-	-	177,154	-

(1) Represents historical financial data of Newkirk as adjusted for material discontinued operations.

Represents historical financial data for the Newkirk Master Limited Partnership and its subsidiaries as adjusted for material discontinued operations.
 The combined consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2001 and the combined consolidated operating results for the year ended December 31, 2001 are not comparable to the consolidated balance sheet data at December 31, 2002 and the consolidated operating data results for the year ended December 31, 2002. The Previous Predecessor Entity amounts include assets that were not transferred to the Newkirk Master Limited Partnership and certain discontinued operations, and the Newkirk Master Limited Partnership amounts include assets that were contributed to the Newkirk Master Limited Partnership by partners other than the Previous Predecessor Entity.

Selected Unaudited Pro Forma Consolidated Financial and Other Data

The following table shows information about Lexington s financial condition and results of operations, including per share data, after giving effect to the consummation of the merger. The table sets forth the information as if the merger had become effective on June 30, 2006, with respect to the balance sheet information, and as of January 1, 2005, with respect to the income statement information. The pro forma financial data presented are based on the purchase method of accounting.

The information is based on, and should be read together with, the historical financial statements, including the notes thereto, of Lexington that have been presented in prior filings with the SEC, the consolidated financial statements of Newkirk included in Annex F and the more detailed unaudited pro forma financial information, including the notes thereto, appearing elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See Where You Can Find More Information and Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

We anticipate the merger to provide the combined company with financial benefits that include cost savings and additional revenue opportunities. The unaudited pro forma information, while helpful in illustrating the financial characteristics of the combined company under one set of assumptions, does not reflect benefits of expected cost savings or opportunities to earn additional revenue and, accordingly, does not attempt to predict or suggest future results. It also does not necessarily reflect what the historical results of the combined company would have been had our companies been combined during these periods.

22

		Lexington/Newkirk Pro Forma Combined		
		(Unaudited, dollars in thousands)		
		Year ended	Six months ended	
		December 31, 2005	June 30, 2006	
Total gross revenues		\$332,892	\$183,722	
Expenses applicable to revenues		(172,028)	(97,304)	
Interest and amortization expense		(125,722)	(60,695)	
Income from continuing operations		9,224	13,293	
Income (loss) from continuing operations per common share	basic	(0.11)	0.08	
Income (loss) from continuing operations per common share	diluted	(0.24)	0.08	
Real estate assets, net			3,037,065	
Investments in non-consolidated entities			224,637	
Total assets			4,366,769	
Mortgages, notes payable and credit facility payable			1,952,686	
Shareholders equity			1,194,904	

Comparative Per Share Data

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, selected historical per share data for Lexington common shares and Newkirk common stock, as well as unaudited pro forma per share amounts for the Lexington common shares and unaudited pro forma per share equivalent amounts for the Newkirk common stock, assuming the issuance of 15,500,000 Lexington common shares in the merger. The pro forma amounts included in the table below are presented as if the merger had been effective for the periods presented, and are based on the purchase method of accounting.

You should read this information in conjunction with, and the information is qualified in its entirety by, the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes of Lexington and Newkirk, incorporated into this joint proxy statement/prospectus by reference (in the case of Lexington), and the unaudited pro forma combined financial information and accompanying discussions and notes beginning on page F-1. Please see Where You Can Find More Information . The pro forma amounts in the table below are presented for informational purposes only. You should not rely on the pro forma amounts as being indicative of the financial position or results of operations of the combined company that would have actually occurred had the merger been effective during the periods presented or of the future financial position or future results of operations of the combined company. The combined financial information as of or for the periods presented may have been different had the companies actually been combined as of or during those periods.

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006	Year Ended December 31, 2005
Lexington - Historical		
Income from continuing operations per common share - basic	\$0.04	\$0.04
Income from continuing operations per common share - diluted	0.04	0.04
Book value per share at period end	12.32	12.60
Newkirk - Historical		
Income per basic share from continuing operations	\$0.63	\$0.39
Income per diluted share from continuing operations	0.63	0.39
Book value per share at period end	9.14	9.09
Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Income (loss) from continuing operations per common share - basic	\$0.08	\$(0.11)
Income (loss) from continuing operations per common share - diluted	0.08	(0.24)
Book value per share at June 30, 2006	14.02	N/A
Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Newkirk Equivalents (A)		
Income (loss) from continuing operations per common share - basic	\$0.06	\$(0.09)
Income (loss) from continuing operations per common share - diluted	0.06	(0.19)
Book value per share at June 30, 2006	11.22	N/A
F F		

23

(A) Represents unaudited pro forma combined amounts multiplied by the exchange ratio of 0.80 of a Lexington common share for each outstanding share of Newkirk common stock.

Market Prices and Dividend Information

Lexington common shares are traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol LXP. Newkirk common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol NKT. The following table shows, for the periods indicated: (i) the high and low sales prices per Lexington common share and per share of Newkirk common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange and (ii) the cash dividends paid per Lexington common share and per share of Newkirk common stock.

	Lexington Common Shares			Newkirk Common Stock (1)		
	High	Low	Dividends	High	Low	Dividends
2005						
First Quarter	\$23.90	\$20.17	\$0.36	-	-	-
Second Quarter	\$24.47	\$21.68	\$0.36	-	-	-
Third Quarter	\$25.26	\$21.50	\$0.36	-	-	-
Fourth Quarter	\$23.78	\$20.26	\$0.36	\$16.14	\$15.00	\$0.27
2006						
First Quarter	\$22.90	\$19.64	\$0.365	\$19.22	\$15.47	\$0.40
Second Quarter	\$22.15	\$19.87	\$0.365	\$19.00	\$16.60	\$0.40
Third Quarter (through the date of this joint proxy	\$21.90	\$19.53		\$18.40	\$15.66	
statement/prospectus)						
Fourth Quarter			(2)			(2)

(1) Newkirk closed its initial public offering on November 7, 2005. Prior to that date, Newkirk s common stock was not publicly traded.

(2) On , 2006, Lexington and Newkirk each declared its quarter 2006 dividend to be paid on , 2006 to shareholders of record as of , 2006. Please see Questions and Answers About the Mergers for a description of the dividends anticipated to be paid by Lexington and Newkirk to holders of Lexington common shares and Newkirk common stock, respectively, for periods prior to the effective date of the merger.

The following table sets forth the closing prices per Lexington common share and per share of Newkirk common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on July 21, 2006, the last full trading day prior to the announcement of the merger agreement, and on , 2006, the most recent practicable date prior to the mailing of this joint proxy statement/prospectus to the Lexington common shareholders and Newkirk common stockholders. This table also sets forth the pro forma equivalent price per share of Newkirk common stock on July 21, 2006, and on 2006.

, 2006. The pro forma equivalent price per share is equal to the closing price of a Lexington common share on each such date multiplied by 0.80 (the exchange ratio for the merger consideration).

These prices will fluctuate prior to the special meetings and the consummation of the merger, and shareholders and stockholders are urged to obtain current market quotations prior to making any decision with respect to the merger.

	Lexington	Newkirk	Newkirk
	Common	Common	Pro Forma
	Shares	Stock	Equivalent
At July 21, 2006	\$20.97	\$16.95	\$16.78
At , 2006			

Dividend Policies

Lexington s board of trustees determines the time and amount of dividends to shareholders. Future Lexington dividends will be authorized at the discretion of Lexington s board of trustees and will depend on

Lexington s actual cash flow, its financial condition, its capital requirements, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and such other factors as Lexington s board of trustees may deem relevant.

Between the signing of the merger agreement and the closing of the merger, Lexington and Newkirk will align their record and payment dates in connection with the payment of their regular quarterly dividends.

Until the merger is completed, Newkirk common stockholders will continue to receive regular quarterly dividends as authorized by Newkirk s board of directors and declared by Newkirk. The merger agreement permits Newkirk to pay a regular quarterly cash dividend in an amount not to exceed \$0.40 per share of Newkirk common stock. Newkirk currently intends to continue to pay regular quarterly dividends for any quarterly period that ends before the closing of the merger. Also, Newkirk may declare and pay, if necessary, a dividend in the amount necessary to maintain the REIT status of Newkirk and avoid any imposition of corporate level tax or excise tax under the Code. In the event Lexington makes a dividend to maintain its REIT status or avoid taxes in excess its regular quarterly dividend (other than the Lexington special dividend discussed below), Newkirk may make a corresponding dividend equal to 80% of the excess.

Lexington common shareholders will continue to receive regular dividends as authorized by Lexington s board of trustees and declared by Lexington. The merger agreement permits Lexington to pay regular quarterly cash dividends in an amount not to exceed \$0.365 per Lexington common shares. Lexington currently intends to continue to pay regular quarterly dividends. Also, Lexington may declare and pay, if necessary, a dividend in the amount necessary to maintain the REIT status of Lexington and avoid imposition of corporate level tax or excise tax under the Code. In the event Newkirk makes a dividend to maintain its REIT status or avoid taxes, in an amount in excess its regular quarterly dividend, Lexington intends to make a corresponding dividend equal to 125% of the excess. In addition, Lexington intends, at the sole discretion of Lexington s board of trustees, to make the one-time special dividend/distribution of \$0.17 per Lexington common share and operating unit to the holders thereof on a record date on or prior to the completion of the merger.

Prior to the closing of the merger, each of Newkirk and Lexington will declare and set a record date prior to the closing for a pro rata dividend based on the number of days that have elapsed during the current quarter and the amount of their regular quarterly dividend.

After the closing of the merger, former holders of Newkirk common stock that receive Lexington common shares in the merger will receive the dividends payable to all holders of Lexington common shares with a record date after the closing provided they continue to own their shares through the record date. Upon closing, at the sole discretion of Lexington s board of trustees, Lexington is expected to increase its annual dividend to \$1.50 per Lexington common share, or \$0.375 per Lexington common share per quarter.

Upon the closing of the merger, former holders of Newkirk common stock will cease receiving any distributions or dividends on all shares of Newkirk common stock held before the merger, other than any unpaid distributions or dividends declared by Newkirk before the closing of the merger.

25

RISK FACTORS

The merger involves certain risks and other adverse factors. You are urged to read this joint proxy statement/prospectus carefully in its entirety, including all annexes and supplements hereto and including the matters addressed in Warning About Forward-Looking Statements, and should carefully consider the following risk factors in evaluating the merger.

The risks below relate primarily to the merger and the combined company resulting from the merger. This section does not review risks relating to the existing businesses of Lexington and Newkirk, which risks will also affect the combined entity, and which, with respect to Lexington, are incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus from other filings of Lexington with the SEC and which, with respect to Newkirk, can be found in the latest annual report on Form 10-K filed by Newkirk with the SEC, and the other information included in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Risks Relating to the Merger

The operations of Lexington and Newkirk may not be integrated successfully, and the intended benefits of the merger may not be realized.

The merger will present challenges to management, including the integration of the operations and properties of Lexington and Newkirk. The merger will also pose other risks commonly associated with similar transactions, including unanticipated liabilities, unexpected costs and the diversion of management s attention to the integration of the operations of Lexington and Newkirk. Any difficulties that the combined company encounters in the transition and integration processes, and any level of integration that is not successfully achieved, could have an adverse effect on the revenue, level of expenses and operating results of the combined company. The combined company may also experience operational interruptions or the loss of key employees, tenants and customers. As a result, notwithstanding our expectations, the combined company may not realize any of the anticipated benefits or cost savings of the merger.

The market value of the Lexington common shares that Newkirk common stockholders will receive depends on what the market price of Lexington common shares will be at the effective time of the merger and will decrease if the market value of Lexington common shares decreases.

The market value of the Lexington common shares that Newkirk common stockholders will receive as part of the merger consideration depends on what the trading price of Lexington common shares will be at the effective time of the merger. The 0.80 exchange ratio that determines the number of Lexington common shares that Newkirk common stockholders are entitled to receive in the merger is fixed. This means that there is no price protection mechanism in the merger agreement that would adjust the number of Lexington common shares that Newkirk common stockholders may receive in the merger as a result of increases or decreases in the trading price of Lexington common shares. If Lexington s common share price decreases, then the market value of the merger consideration payable to Newkirk common stockholders will also decrease. For historical and current market prices of Lexington common shares and shares of Newkirk common stock, please see Market Prices and Dividend Information.

Lexington and Newkirk expect to incur significant costs and expenses in connection with the merger, which could result in the combined company not realizing some or all of the anticipated benefits of the merger.

Lexington and Newkirk are expected to incur one-time, pre-tax closing costs of approximately \$35.5 million in connection with the merger. These costs include a \$12.5 million termination payment to NKT Advisors, the external advisor of Newkirk, investment banking expenses, legal and accounting fees, debt assumption fees, printing expenses and other related charges incurred and expected to be incurred by Lexington and Newkirk. Completion of the merger could trigger a mandatory prepayment (including a penalty in some cases) of Lexington and Newkirk debt unless appropriate lender consents or waivers are received. If those consents and waivers cannot be obtained prior to completion of the merger, the existing Lexington and Newkirk debt might need to be prepaid

26

and/or refinanced. Lexington also expects to incur one-time cash and non-cash costs related to the integration of Lexington and Newkirk, which cannot be estimated at this time. There can be no assurance that the costs incurred by Lexington and Newkirk in connection with the merger will not be higher than expected or that the combined company will not incur additional unanticipated costs and expenses in connection with the merger.

Directors and officers of Newkirk and certain security holders have interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Newkirk common stockholders generally.

Directors and officers of Newkirk and certain security holders have interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Newkirk common stockholders generally. Newkirk s board of directors was aware of these interests and considered them, among other matters, in approving the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions and making their recommendations. These interests include:

the appointment of Mr. Ashner, the current Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Newkirk, as Executive Chairman and Director of Strategic Transactions of Lexington upon completion of the merger pursuant to an employment agreement; the receipt of a termination payment of \$12.5 million by NKT Advisors, of which Mr. Ashner is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Vornado, an affiliate of Newkirk board member and Lexington board designee Clifford Broser, as well

as a significant security holder of Newkirk, owns a 20% interest in NKT Advisors and will be entitled to receive up to \$2.5 million of the termination fee being paid to NKT Advisors. Winthrop will also receive \$4.4 million of the \$12.5 million payment for termination of Newkirk s advisory agreement with NKT Advisors. Mr. Ashner is Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of NKT Advisors and Chief Executive Officer of Winthrop and he and other executive officers own a 28% minority interest in NKT Advisors and a 7.3% interest in Winthrop;

Winthrop owns 4,375,000 shares of Newkirk common stock which are currently subject to a lock up agreement that is scheduled to expire on November 7, 2008. As of September 1, 2006, 468,750 of the foregoing shares were subject to forfeiture during a period expiring on November 7, 2008. Upon closing of the merger, the lock up and the forfeiture provisions will terminate. If the merger does not occur, these shares will be released from the forfeiture restrictions at the rate of 17,361 shares per month;

For a period of one year following the merger, all existing management agreements between Newkirk and Winthrop Management L.P., an affiliate of Mr. Ashner, will not be terminated except in accordance with their terms and Winthrop Management L.P. or its affiliate will be retained as the property manager for all of the MLP s properties and all properties acquired by Lexington during that time, in all cases where a property manager is retained. After one year all such agreements may be terminated by Lexington without cause;

Lexington has agreed to grant exemption from its 9.8% ownership limitation to two significant security holders in Newkirk, Apollo and its affiliates and Vornado Realty L.P., an affiliate of Vornado. Apollo and Vornado were each previously granted ownership waivers by Newkirk in connection with Newkirk s initial public offering;

the early termination of lock up agreements with certain officers and directors of Newkirk with respect to approximately 747,502 post-reverse split MLP units; a lock up agreement restricting the sale of common shares by Mr. Ashner will continue in full effect;

the continued indemnification of current directors and officers of Newkirk and NKT Advisors under the merger agreement and the provision of directors and officers liability insurance to these individuals and this entity; and

27

the entry into the voting agreements with Michael L. Ashner and his affiliates, and with Winthrop and with affiliates of Apollo.

For the above reasons, the directors and officers of Newkirk are more likely to vote to approve the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions than if they did not have these interests. Newkirk common stockholders should consider whether these interests may have influenced these directors and officers to support or recommend approval of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions. See

The Merger Interests of Newkirk Executive Officers and Directors in the Merger.

Failure to complete the merger could negatively impact the price of Lexington common shares and/or Newkirk common stock and future business and operations.

It is possible that the merger may not be completed. The parties obligations to complete the merger are subject to the satisfaction or waiver of specified conditions, some of which are beyond the control of Lexington and Newkirk. For example, the merger is conditioned on the receipt of the required approvals of Lexington shareholders and Newkirk stockholders. If these approvals are not received, the merger cannot be completed even if all of the other conditions to the merger are satisfied or waived. If the merger is not completed for any reason, Lexington and/or Newkirk may be subject to a number of material risks, including the following:

either company may be required under certain circumstances to reimburse the other party for up to \$5 million of expenses and, depending upon the circumstances, may be required to pay a termination fee of \$25 million (inclusive of any prior expense reimbursement paid by such party);

the price of Lexington common shares and/or Newkirk common stock may decline to the extent that the current market prices of Lexington common shares and Newkirk common stock reflects a market assumption that the merger will be completed; and

each company will have incurred substantial costs related to the merger, such as legal, accounting and financial advisor fees, which must be paid even if the merger is not completed.

Further, if the merger is terminated and either Lexington s board of trustees or Newkirk s board of directors determines to seek another merger or business combination, there can be no assurance that it will be able to find a party willing to pay an equivalent or more attractive price than the price to be paid in the merger. In addition, while the merger agreement is in effect and subject to specified exceptions, each of Lexington and Newkirk is prohibited from soliciting, initiating or encouraging or entering into any alternative acquisition transactions, such as a merger, sale of

assets or other business combination, with any party other than Lexington or Newkirk, as the case may be. See The Merger Agreement Conduct of Business Pending the Merger No Solicitation.

Lexington or Newkirk may incur substantial expenses and payments if the merger does not occur, which could discourage other potential parties to business combinations with Lexington or Newkirk which might otherwise be desirable to the shareholders of Lexington or the stockholders of Newkirk.

Lexington and Newkirk already have incurred substantial expenses in connection with the merger. Neither Lexington nor Newkirk can assure you that the merger will be consummated. The merger agreement provides for Lexington or Newkirk to pay expenses of the other party of up to \$5 million to the other party if the merger agreement is terminated by Lexington or Newkirk under specified circumstances. The merger agreement also provides for Newkirk or Lexington to pay a termination fee of \$25 million to the other party if the merger agreement is terminated by Newkirk or Lexington under specified circumstances in which either party enters into an alternative business combination with a third party within six months of termination of the merger agreement. The termination fee will be reduced by any prior expense payments by the paying party.

These termination payments may discourage some third party proposals to enter into business combinations that Lexington shareholders or Newkirk stockholders may otherwise find desirable to the extent that a potential acquiror would not be willing to assume the \$25 million termination fee. See The Merger Agreement Termination of the Merger Agreement .

28

After the merger is completed, Newkirk common stockholders will become shareholders of Lexington and will have different rights that may be less advantageous than their current rights.

After the closing of the merger, Newkirk common stockholders will become Lexington common shareholders. Lexington is a Maryland real estate investment trust and Newkirk is a Maryland corporation. Differences in Lexington s Declaration of Trust and By-laws and Newkirk s Charter and By-laws will result in changes to the rights of Newkirk common stockholders when they become Lexington common shareholders. A Newkirk common stockholder may conclude that its current rights under Newkirk s Charter and By-laws are more advantageous than the rights they may have under Lexington s Declaration of Trust and By-laws. See Comparison of the Rights of Lexington Common Shareholders and Newkirk Common Stockholders.

The merger will result in a reduction in per share distributions for Newkirk common stockholders after the merger.

Assuming Lexington makes quarterly cash dividends at the rate of \$0.375 per common share after the merger, this dividend, from a Newkirk common stockholder s perspective, would be equivalent to a quarterly distribution payment of \$0.30 per share of Newkirk common stock based on the exchange ratio of 0.80, which is 25% less than Newkirk s current quarterly dividend of \$0.40 per share of Newkirk common stock.

Risks Related to the Combined Company

Primary term rents on many Newkirk properties are substantially higher than contractual renewal rates.

Leases on approximately 8,640,728 square feet of Newkirk s properties representing approximately \$172,580,489 of annual rental income are scheduled to expire by the end of 2009. Upon expiration of their initial term, substantially all leases can be renewed at the option of the tenants for one or more renewal terms. For Newkirk leases scheduled to expire through 2009, the weighted average current rent per square foot is \$19.97 while the contractual renewal rent per square foot for those properties is \$8.84. These numbers do not include 566,836 square feet of vacant space and 707,000 square feet of space subject to a contract of sale.

Uncertainties relating to lease renewals and re-letting of space; 72% of Newkirk s leases will expire over the next three years which could unfavorably affect the combined company s financial performance.

Upon the expiration of current leases for space located in the combined company s properties, it may not be able to re-let all or a portion of that space, or the terms of re-letting (including the cost of concessions to tenants) may be less favorable to the combined company than current lease terms. If the combined company is unable to re-let promptly all or a substantial portion of the space located in its properties or if the rental rates it receives upon re-letting are significantly lower than current rates, the combined company s net income and ability to make expected distributions to its shareholders will be adversely affected due to the resulting reduction in rent receipts and increase in its property operating costs. There can be no assurance that the combined company will be able to retain tenants in any of its properties upon the expiration of their leases.

This risk is increased in the case of Newkirk s properties because the current term of many of the leases for its properties will expire over the next three years and the renewal rates are substantially lower than the current rates, as noted above. Based upon current annualized rent, the weighted average remaining lease term for Newkirk s properties is approximately 3.7 years and 72% of its current leases expire by the end of 2009. These amounts are based on Newkirk s consolidated rental income which includes rent attributable to properties partially owned by unaffiliated third parties. If the combined company is unable to promptly relet or renew leases for all or a substantial portion of the space subject to expiring leases or if its reserves for these purposes prove inadequate, the combined company s revenue, net income, available cash and ability to make expected distributions to shareholders could be adversely affected. In addition, if it becomes necessary for the combined company to make capital expenditures for tenant improvements, leasing commissions and tenant inducements in order to re-lease space, the combined company s revenue, net income and cash available for future investment could be adversely affected.

29

Investment grade tenants will represent a smaller portion of annualized base rent of the combined company than of the annualized base rent of Newkirk.

Following the merger and based on June 30, 2006 annualized rents, investment grade tenants, in the aggregate, will represent approximately 56% of annualized base rent of the combined company, as compared with 74% of Newkirk s annualized base rents and 40% of Lexington s base rents prior to the merger.

Inability to carry out our growth strategy.

The combined company s growth strategy will be based on the acquisition and development of additional properties and related assets, including acquisitions through co-investment programs such as joint ventures. In the context of the combined company s business plan, development generally means an expansion or renovation of an existing property or the acquisition of a newly constructed property. The combined company may provide a developer with a commitment to acquire a property upon completion of construction of a property and commencement of rent from the tenant. The combined company s plan to grow through the acquisition and development of new properties could be adversely affected by trends in the real estate and financing businesses. The consummation of any future acquisitions will be subject to satisfactory completion of an extensive valuation analysis and due diligence review and to the negotiation of definitive documentation. The combined company s ability to implement its strategy may be impeded because it may have difficulty finding new properties and investments at attractive prices that meet its investment criteria, negotiating with new or existing tenants or securing acceptable financing. If the combined company is unable to carry out its strategy, its financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Acquisitions of additional properties entail the risk that investments will fail to perform in accordance with expectations, including operating and leasing expectations. Redevelopment and new project development are subject to numerous risks, including risks of construction delays, cost overruns or force majeure events that may increase project costs, new project commencement risks such as the receipt of zoning, occupancy and other required governmental approvals and permits, and the incurrence of development costs in connection with projects that are not pursued to completion.

Some of the combined company s acquisitions and developments may be financed using the proceeds of periodic equity or debt offerings, lines of credit or other forms of secured or unsecured financing that may result in a risk that permanent financing for newly acquired projects might not be available or would be available only on disadvantageous terms. If permanent debt or equity financing is not available on acceptable terms to refinance acquisitions undertaken without permanent financing, further acquisitions may be curtailed or cash available for distribution to shareholders may be adversely affected.

Concentration of ownership by certain investors, including joint venture partners; voting rights of MLP unitholders.

After the consummation of the merger, (i) Michael L. Ashner, and Winthrop will collectively own 3,583,000 Lexington common shares and (ii) Michael L. Ashner, other executives and employees of NKT Advisors, Vornado and Apollo will collectively own 28,431,920 voting MLP units which are redeemable for, at the election of Lexington, cash or Lexington common shares. Accordingly, on a fully-diluted basis, Michael L. Ashner, other executive officers and employees of NKT Advisors, Apollo, Vornado and Winthrop will collectively hold a 29.1% ownership interest in Lexington. As holders of voting MLP units, Mr. Ashner, other executives and employees of NKT Advisors, Vornado and Apollo, as well as other holders of voting MLP units, will have the right to direct the voting of Lexington s special voting preferred stock. Holders of Lexington s operating partnership interests do not have voting rights.

After the consummation of the merger, Robert Roskind will own 834,911 Lexington common shares and 1,565,282 units of limited partnership interest which are redeemable for, at the election of Lexington, cash or Lexington common shares. On a fully diluted basis, Mr. Roskind will hold a 2.2% ownership interest in Lexington.

30

The joint ventures described below each have a provision in their respective joint venture agreements permitting the joint venture partner to sell its equity position to Lexington. In the event that any of the joint venture partners exercises its right to sell its equity position to Lexington, and Lexington elects to fund the acquisition of such equity position with Lexington common shares, such venture partner could acquire a large concentration of Lexington common shares.

In 1999, Lexington entered into a joint venture agreement with The Comptroller of the State of New York as trustee of The Common Retirement Fund, or CRF, to acquire properties. This joint venture and a separate partnership established by the partners has made investments in 13 (one of which was sold in 2005) properties for an aggregated capitalized cost of \$409.1 million and no additional investments will be made unless they are made pursuant to a tax-free exchange. Lexington has a 331/3% equity interest in this joint venture. In December 2001, Lexington formed a second joint venture with CRF to acquire additional properties in an aggregate amount of up to approximately \$560.0 million. Lexington has a 25% equity interest in this joint venture. As of June 30, 2006, this second joint venture has invested in 13 properties for an aggregate capitalized cost of \$421.6 million.

Under these joint venture agreements, CRF has the right to sell its equity position in the joint ventures to Lexington and, after the closing of the merger, to the combined company. In the event CRF exercises its right to sell its equity interest in either joint venture to Lexington, Lexington may, at its option, either issue common shares to CRF for the fair market value of CRF s equity position, based upon a formula contained in the respective joint venture agreement, or pay cash to CRF equal to 110% of the fair market value of CRF s equity position. Lexington has the right not to accept any property in the joint ventures (thereby reducing the fair market value of CRF s equity position) that does not meet certain underwriting criteria. In addition, the joint venture agreements contain a mutual buy-sell provision in which either CRF or Lexington can force the sale of any property.

In October 2003, Lexington entered into a joint venture agreement with CLPF-LXP/Lion Venture GP, LLC, or Clarion, which has made investments in 17 properties for an aggregate capitalized cost of \$486.9 million. No additional investments will be made unless they are made pursuant to a tax-free exchange or upon the mutual agreement of Clarion and Lexington. Lexington has a 30% equity interest in this joint venture. Under the joint venture agreement, Clarion has the right to sell its equity position in the joint venture to Lexington and, after the closing of the merger, the combined company. In the event Clarion exercises its right to sell its equity interest in the joint venture to Lexington, Lexington may, at its option, either issue common shares to Clarion for the fair market value of Clarion s equity position. Lexington has the right not to accept any property in the joint venture (thereby reducing the fair market value of Clarion s equity position) that does not meet certain underwriting criteria. In addition, the joint venture agreement contains a mutual buy-sell provision in which either Clarion or Lexington can force the sale of any property.

In June 2004, Lexington entered in a joint venture agreement with the Utah State Retirement Investment Fund, or Utah, which was expanded in December 2004, to acquire properties in an aggregate amount of up to approximately \$345.0 million. As of June 30, 2006, this joint venture has made investments in 15 properties for an aggregate capitalized cost of \$241.7 million. Lexington has a 30% equity interest in this joint venture. Under the joint venture agreement, Utah has the right to sell its equity position in the joint venture to Lexington. This right becomes effective

upon the occurrence of certain conditions. In the event Utah exercises its right to sell its equity interest in the joint venture to Lexington, Lexington may, at its option, either issue common shares to Utah for the fair market value of Utah s equity position, based upon a formula contained in the joint venture agreement, or pay cash to Utah equal to 100% of the fair market value of Utah s equity position. Lexington has the right not to accept any property in the joint venture (thereby reducing the fair market value of Utah s equity position) that does not meet certain underwriting criteria. In addition, the joint venture agreement contains a mutual buy-sell provision in which either Utah or Lexington can force the sale of any property.

Dilution of common shares.

The combined company s future growth will depend in part on its ability to raise additional capital. If the combined company raises additional capital through the issuance of equity securities, the interests of holders of the combined company s common shares could be diluted. Likewise, the combined company s board of trustees will be authorized to cause the combined company to issue preferred shares in one or more series, the holders of which

31

would be entitled to dividends and voting and other rights as the combined company s board of trustees determines, and which could be senior to or convertible into the combined company s common shares. Accordingly, an issuance by the combined company of preferred shares could be dilutive to or otherwise adversely affect the interests of holders of the combined company s common shares.

The combined company s Series C Preferred Shares will be capable of being converted by the holder, at its option, into the combined company s common shares at an initial conversion rate of 1.87966 common shares per \$50.00 liquidation preference (after the assumed payment of the special dividend and assuming no other dividend is paid), which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$26.60 per common share (subject to adjustment in certain events). Depending upon the number of Series C Preferred Shares being converted at one time, a conversion of Series C Preferred Shares could be dilutive to or otherwise adversely affect the interests of holders of the combined company s common shares.

Under Lexington s joint venture agreements, Lexington s joint venture partners have the right to sell their equity position in the applicable joint venture to Lexington. In the event one of Lexington s joint venture partners exercises its right to sell its equity interest in the applicable joint venture to Lexington, Lexington may, at its option, either issue Lexington common shares to the exercising joint venture partner for the fair market value of the exercising joint venture partner s equity position, based upon a formula contained in the applicable joint venture partner s equity position with respect to Lexington s joint ventures with CRF, or (ii) 100% of the fair market value of the exercising joint venture partner s equity position with respect to Lion and Utah. An exercise by one or more of Lexington s joint venture partners and, after the merger, the combined company s election to satisfy an exercise with its common shares could be dilutive to or otherwise adversely affect the interests of holders of the combined company s common shares.

Following the closing of the merger, an aggregate of approximately 41,673,386 common shares will be issuable upon: (i) the exchange of all outstanding units of limited partnership interests in Lexington s operating partnership subsidiaries (5,622,694 common shares); (ii) the redemption of all outstanding units of limited partnership interests in the MLP (36,032,192 common shares); and (iii) the exercise of outstanding options under Lexington s equity-based award plans (18,500 common shares). Depending upon the number of such securities exchanged or exercised at one time, an exchange or exercise of such securities could be dilutive to or otherwise adversely affect the interests of holders of the combined company s common shares.

Securities eligible for future sale may have adverse effects on our share price.

As described in the preceding risk factor, following the closing of the merger, an aggregate of up to approximately 36,032,192 common shares are issuable on the redemption for common shares of outstanding MLP units. Lexington has agreed to file a registration statement that would allow up to 36,000,000 of these Lexington common shares to be sold. Lexington has also agreed to file a registration statement that would allow the sale of 3,500,000 Lexington common shares that will be owned by Winthrop following the merger, which shares were previously subject to a lock up agreement that will terminate on closing of the merger. The sale of these shares could result in a decrease in the market price of Lexington common shares.

Limited control over joint venture investments.

Lexington s joint venture investments will constitute a significant portion of the combined company s assets and will constitute a significant component of Lexington s growth strategy. Lexington s joint venture investments may involve risks not otherwise present for investments made solely by Lexington, including the possibility that Lexington s joint venture partner might, at any time, become bankrupt, have different interests or goals than the combined company does, or take action contrary to the combined company s instructions, requests, policies or objectives, including the combined company s policy with respect to maintaining its qualification as a REIT. Other risks of joint venture investments include impasse on decisions, such as a sale, because neither the combined company nor a joint venture partner have full control over the joint venture. Also, there will be no limitation under the combined company s organizational documents as to the amount of funds that may be invested in joint ventures.

One of the joint ventures, 111 Debt Holdings LLC, is owned equally by the MLP and WRT Realty L.P., a subsidiary of Winthrop. This joint venture is managed by an investment committee which consists of five members, two members appointed by each of the MLP and Winthrop and the fifth member appointed by FUR Holdings LLC, the primary owner of the current external advisors of both Newkirk and Winthrop. Each investment in excess of \$20.0 million to be made by this joint venture, as well as additional material matters, requires the consent of three members of the investment committee appointed by the MLP and Winthrop. Accordingly, the joint venture may not take certain actions or invest in certain assets even if the MLP believes it to be in its best interest.

Joint venture investments may conflict with our ability to make attractive investments.

Under the terms of Lexington s active joint venture with CRF, the combined company will be required to first offer to the joint venture 50% of the combined company s opportunities to acquire office and industrial properties requiring a minimum investment of \$15.0 million which are net leased primarily to investment grade tenants for a minimum term of 10 years, are available for immediate delivery and satisfy other specified investment criteria.

Similarly, under the terms of Lexington s joint venture with Utah, unless 75% of Utah s capital commitment is funded, the combined company will be required to first offer to the joint venture all of the combined company s opportunities to acquire certain office, bulk warehouse and distribution properties requiring an investment of \$8.0 million to \$30.0 million which are net leased primarily to non-investment grade tenants for a minimum term of at least nine years and satisfy other specified investment criteria, subject also to the combined company s obligation to first offer such opportunities to Lexington s joint venture with CRF.

Lexington s board of trustees adopted a conflicts policy with respect to Lexington and LSAC, a real estate investment company externally advised by Lexington. Under the conflicts policy the combined company will be required to first offer to LSAC, subject to the first offer rights of CRF and Utah, all of the combined company s opportunities to acquire: (i) general purpose real estate net leased to unrated or below investment grade credit tenants; (ii) net leased special purpose real estate located in the United States, such as medical buildings, theaters, hotels and auto dealerships; (iii) net leased properties located in the Americas outside of the United States with rent payments denominated in United States dollars with such properties typically leased to U.S. companies; (iv) specialized facilities in the United States supported by net leases or other contracts where a significant portion of the facility s value is in equipment or other improvements, such as power generation assets and cell phone towers; and (v) net leased equipment and major capital assets that are integral to the operations of LSAC s tenants and LSAC s real estate investments. To the extent that a specific investment opportunity, which is not otherwise subject to a first offer obligation to Lexington s joint ventures with CRF or Utah, is determined to be suitable to the combined company and LSAC, the investment opportunity will be allocated to LSAC. If full allocation to LSAC is not reasonably practicable (for example, if LSAC does not have sufficient capital), the combined company may allocate a portion of the investment to itself after determining in good faith that such allocation is fair and reasonable. The combined company will apply the foregoing allocation procedures between LSAC and any investment funds or programs, companies or vehicles or other entities that the combined company controls which have overlapping investment objectives with LSAC.

Only if a joint venture partner elects not to approve the applicable joint venture s pursuit of an acquisition opportunity or the applicable exclusivity conditions have expired may the combined company pursue the opportunity directly. As a result of the foregoing rights of first offer, the combined company may not be able to make attractive acquisitions directly and may only receive a minority interest in such acquisitions through the combined company s minority interest in these joint ventures.

Conflicts of interest with respect to sales and refinancings.

E. Robert Roskind and Richard J. Rouse, the combined company s Co-Vice Chairman, and Co-Vice Chairman and Chief Investment Officer, respectively, will continue to own limited partnership interests in certain operating partnerships of the combined company after the merger, and as a result, may face different and more adverse tax consequences than the combined company s other shareholders will if the combined company sells certain properties or reduces mortgage indebtedness on certain properties. Those individuals may, therefore, have

33

different objectives than the combined company s other shareholders regarding the appropriate pricing and timing of any sale of such properties or reduction of mortgage debt.

Accordingly, there may be instances in which the combined company may not sell a property or pay down the debt on a property even though doing so would be advantageous to the combined company s other shareholders. In the event of an appearance of a conflict of interest, the conflicted trustee or officer must recuse himself or herself from any decision making or seek a waiver of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

The combined company will be dependent upon its key personnel and the terms of Mr. Ashner s employment agreement affect Lexington s ability to make certain investments.

The combined company will be dependent upon key personnel whose continued service is not guaranteed. The combined company will be dependent on its executive officers for strategic business direction and real estate experience. Lexington previously entered into employment agreements with E. Robert Roskind, Lexington s Chairman, Richard J. Rouse, Lexington Vice Chairman and Chief Investment Officer, T. Wilson Eglin, Lexington s Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer, Patrick Carroll, Lexington s Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and John B. Vander Zwaag, Lexington s Executive Vice President. Upon the closing of the merger, the combined company will enter into an employment agreement with Michael L. Ashner, Newkirk s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Pursuant to Mr. Ashner s employment agreement, Mr. Ashner may voluntarily terminate his employment with the combined company and become entitled to receive a substantial severance payment if the combined company acquires or makes an investment in a non-net lease business opportunity during the term of Mr. Ashner s employment. This provision in Mr. Ashner s agreement may cause the combined company not to avail itself of those other business opportunities due to the potential consequences of acquiring such non-net lease business opportunities. (See The Merger Agreement Ancillary Agreements Michael L. Ashner Employment Agreement.) Upon consummation of the merger, the following executive officers have agreed to assume the following positions at the combined company:

NAME	TITLE
Michael L. Ashner	Executive Chairman and Director of Strategic Acquisitions
E. Robert Roskind	Co-Vice Chairman
Richard J. Rouse	Co-Vice Chairman and Chief Investment Officer
T. Wilson Eglin	Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer
Patrick Carroll	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
John B. Vander Zwaag	Executive Vice President
Lara Johnson	Executive Vice President

The combined company s inability to retain the services of any of these executives or the combined company s loss of any of their services after the merger could adversely impact the operations of the combined company. The combined company will not have key man life insurance coverage on its executive officers upon completion of the merger.

Certain limitations will exist with respect to a third party s ability to acquire the combined company or effectuate a change in control.

Limitations imposed to protect the combined company s REIT status. In order to protect the combined company against the loss of its REIT status, its Declaration of Trust (attached as Annex B) will limit any shareholder from owning more than 9.8% in value of the combined company s outstanding shares, subject to certain exceptions. The ownership limit may have the effect of precluding acquisition of control of the combined company.

Severance Payments under Employment Agreements. Substantial termination payments may be required to be paid under the provisions of employment agreements with certain executives of the combined company upon a change of control. Accordingly, these payments may discourage a third party from acquiring the combined company.

Limitation due to the combined company s ability to issue preferred shares. The combined company s Declaration of Trust will authorize the board of trustees to issue preferred shares, without limitation as to amount. The board of trustees will be able to establish the preferences and rights of any preferred shares issued which could have the effect of delaying or preventing someone from taking control of the combined company, even if a change in control were in its shareholders best interests.

Limitation imposed by the Maryland Business Combination Act. The Maryland General Corporation Law, as applicable to Maryland REITs, establishes special restrictions against business combinations between a Maryland REIT and interested shareholders or their affiliates unless an exemption is applicable. An interested shareholder includes a person who beneficially owns, and an affiliate or associate of the trust who, at any time within the two-year period prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner of, 10% or more of the voting power of Lexington s then-outstanding voting shares, but a person is not an interested shareholder if the board of trustees approved in advance the transaction by which he otherwise would have been an interested shareholder. Among other things, Maryland law prohibits (for a period of five years) a merger and certain other transactions between a Maryland REIT and an interested shareholder. The five-year period runs from the most recent date on which the interested shareholder became an interested shareholder. Thereafter, any such business combination must be recommended by the board of trustees and approved by two super-majority shareholder votes unless, among other conditions, the common shareholders receive a minimum price for their shares and the consideration is received in cash or in the same form as previously paid by the interested shareholder for its shares. The statute permits various exemptions from its provisions, including business combinations that are exempted by the board of trustees prior to the time that the interested shareholder becomes an interested shareholder. The business combination statute could have the effect of discouraging offers to acquire the combined company and of increasing the difficulty of consummating any such offers, even if the combined company s acquisition would be in its shareholders best interests. In connection with the merger, certain holders of Newkirk voting stock have been granted a limited exemption from the definition of interest

Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act. Maryland law provides that control shares of a REIT acquired in a control share acquisition shall have no voting rights except to the extent approved by a vote of two-thirds of the vote eligible to be cast on the matter under the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act. Control Shares means shares that, if aggregated with all other shares previously acquired by the acquirer or in respect of which the acquirer is able to exercise or direct the exercise of voting power (except solely by virtue of a revocable proxy), would entitle the acquirer to exercise voting power in electing trustees within one of the following ranges of voting power: one-tenth or more but less than one-third, one-third or more but less than a majority or a majority or more of all voting power. Control shares do not include shares the acquiring person is then entitled to vote as a result of having previously obtained shareholder approval. A control share acquisition means the acquisition of control shares, subject to certain exceptions. If voting rights of control shares acquired in a control share acquisition are not approved at a shareholders meeting, then subject to certain conditions and limitations the issuer may redeem any or all of the control shares for fair value. If voting rights of such control shares are approved at a shareholders meeting and the acquirer becomes entitled to vote a majority of the shares entitled to vote, all other shareholders may exercise appraisal rights. Any control share acquisition Act. Lexington s By-laws contain a provision exempting from the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act any and all acquisitions by any person of its shares. Lexington cannot assure you that this provision will not be amended or eliminated at any time in the future.

Many factors can have an adverse effect on the market value of the combined company s securities.

A number of factors might adversely affect the price of the combined company s securities, many of which are beyond its control. These factors include:

increases in market interest rates, relative to the dividend yield on the combined company s shares. If market interest rates go up, prospective purchasers of the combined company s securities may require a higher yield. Higher market interest rates would not, however, result in more funds for the combined company to distribute and, to the contrary, would likely increase its borrowing costs and potentially decrease funds available for distribution. Thus, higher market interest rates could cause the market price of the combined company s common shares to go down;

anticipated benefit of an investment in the combined company s securities as compared to investment in securities of companies in other industries (including benefits associated with tax treatment of dividends and distributions); perception by market professionals of REITs generally and REITs comparable to the combined company in particular;

level of institutional investor interest in the combined company s securities;

relatively low trading volumes in securities of REITs;

the combined company s results of operations and financial condition; and

investor confidence in the stock market generally.

The market value of Lexington s common shares is based primarily upon the market s perception of the combined company s growth potential and its current and potential future earnings and cash distributions. Consequently, the combined company s common shares may trade at prices that are higher or lower than its net asset value per common share. If the combined company s future earnings or cash distributions are less than expected, it is likely that the market price of the combined company s common shares will diminish.

3	

THE LEXINGTON SPECIAL MEETING

Date, Time, Place and Purpose of the Lexington Special Meeting

The Lexington special meeting will be held at the New York offices of Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP, located at 75 East 55th Street, New York, New York 10022, at 10:00 a.m. local time on , 2006. The special meeting may be adjourned or postponed to another date and/or place for proper purposes. At the Lexington special meeting, holders of Lexington common shares will consider and vote on the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the issuance of Lexington common shares under and as contemplated by the merger agreement. The Lexington common shareholders are also being asked to vote on a proposal to adjourn the Lexington special meeting for the purpose of allowing additional time for the solicitation of additional votes to approve the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the issuance of Lexington common shares under and as contemplated by the merger agreement.

Who Can Vote

You are entitled to vote your Lexington common shares if Lexington s shareholder records showed that you held your Lexington common shares as of the close of business on 2006. At the close of business on that date, a total of Lexington common shares were outstanding and entitled to vote. Each Lexington common share has one vote. The enclosed proxy card shows the number of Lexington common shares that you are entitled to vote.

Voting by Proxy Holders

If you hold your Lexington common shares in your name as a holder of record, you may instruct the proxy holders how to vote your Lexington common shares by signing, dating and mailing the proxy card in the postage-paid envelope that we have provided to you. The proxy holders will vote your Lexington common shares as provided by those instructions. If you give Lexington a signed proxy without giving specific voting instructions, your Lexington common shares will be voted by the proxy holders in favor of all the proposals being voted on at the special

meeting. If your Lexington common shares are held by a broker, bank or other nominee, you will receive instructions from your broker, bank or nominee that you must follow to have your Lexington common shares voted.

Quorum and Required Vote

A quorum of common shareholders is required to hold a valid meeting. The holders of a majority of the outstanding common shares entitled to vote at the Lexington special meeting must be present in person or by proxy to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Lexington special meeting. All Lexington common shares represented at the Lexington special meeting, including abstentions and broker non-votes, will be treated as shares that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum.

As of the record date for the Lexington special meeting, Lexington trustees, executive officers and their affiliates beneficially owned Lexington common shares (excluding share options and operating partnership units held by them), representing approximately % of the outstanding Lexington s common shares entitled to vote at the Lexington special meeting.

Approval of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the issuance of the Lexington common shares, requires the affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the Lexington common shares entitled to vote on the proposal. The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of the Lexington common shares present either in person

37

or by proxy at the Lexington special meeting is required to approve, if necessary, the extension of the solicitation period and the adjournment of the Lexington special meeting.

Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes

Abstentions by holders of Lexington common shares not be counted as votes cast. Thus, such abstentions will have the effect of a vote against the merger proposal and will have no effect on the proposal for extension of the solicitation period and on the adjournment of the Lexington special meeting.

Under the listing requirements of the NYSE, brokers who hold Lexington common shares in street name for a beneficial owner of those shares typically have the authority to vote in their discretion on routine proposals when they have not received instructions from beneficial owners. However, brokers are not allowed to exercise their voting discretion with respect to the approval of matters that the NYSE determines to be non-routine, such as approval of the issuance of Lexington common shares under and as contemplated by the merger agreement, and the approval of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions without specific instructions from the beneficial owner. These non-voted shares are referred to as broker non-votes. If your broker holds your Lexington common shares in street name, your broker will vote your shares only if you provide instructions on how to vote by filling out the voter instruction form sent to you by your broker with this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast at the Lexington special meeting. Thus, such non-votes will have the effect of a vote against the merger proposal and will have no effect on the proposal for extension of the solicitation period and the adjournment of the Lexington special meeting. The proposal to adjourn the meeting or to extend the solicitation may be deemed a routine proposal and, if so, your broker may vote your Lexington common shares with respect to such proposal.

Voting on Other Matters

We are not now aware of any matters to be presented at the Lexington special meeting except for those described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. If any other matter not described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus is properly presented at the meeting, the proxy holders will use their discretion to determine how to vote your Lexington common shares. If the meeting is adjourned or postponed, your Lexington common shares may be voted by the proxy holders on the new meeting date as well, unless you have revoked your proxy instructions before that date.

How You May Revoke Your Proxy Instructions

To revoke your proxy instructions, you must: (i) so advise Lexington s Secretary, Paul R. Wood, c/o Lexington Corporate Properties Trust, One Penn Plaza, Suite 4015, New York, New York 10119-4015 in writing before your Lexington common shares have been voted by the proxy holders at the meeting; (ii) execute and deliver a subsequently dated proxy; or (iii) attend the meeting and vote your Lexington common shares in person. If you hold shares in street name and you would like to revoke earlier proxy instructions, please check with your broker and follow the voting procedures your broker provides.

Cost of this Proxy Solicitation

The accompanying proxy is being solicited on behalf of Lexington s board of trustees. Each of Lexington and Newkirk will pay one-half of the expense of preparing, printing and mailing the proxy and materials used in the solicitation. MacKenzie Partners, Inc. has been retained by Lexington and Newkirk to aid in the solicitation of proxies from their respective shareholders for an aggregate fee of \$15,500 and the reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses. Proxies may also be solicited from Lexington shareholders by personal interview, telephone and telegram by Lexington trustees, officers and employees, who will not receive additional compensation for performing that service. Arrangements also will be made with brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for the forwarding of proxy materials to the beneficial owners of Lexington shares held by those persons, and Lexington will reimburse them for any reasonable expenses that they incur.

38

THE NEWKIRK SPECIAL MEETING

Date, Time, Place and Purpose of the Newkirk Special Meeting

The Newkirk special meeting will be held at at 10:00 a.m. local time on , 2006. The special meeting may be adjourned or postponed to another date and/or place for proper purposes. At the Newkirk special meeting, holders of Newkirk voting stock will consider and vote on a proposal to approve the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions. The Newkirk voting stockholders are also being asked to vote on a proposal to adjourn the Newkirk special meeting for the purpose of allowing additional time for the solicitation of additional votes to approve the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions.

Who Can Vote

You are entitled to vote your shares of Newkirk voting stock if the Newkirk voting stockholder records showed that you held your shares of Newkirk voting stock as of the close of business on , 2006. At the close of business on that date, there were 19,375,000 shares of Newkirk common stock outstanding and entitled to vote, and there were 45,000,000 votes entitled to be cast by the Newkirk special voting preferred stock. The enclosed proxy card shows the number of shares of Newkirk voting stock that you are entitled to vote.

Voting by Proxy Holders

If you hold your shares of Newkirk voting stock in your name as a holder of record, you may instruct the proxy holders how to vote your shares of Newkirk voting stock by signing, dating and mailing the proxy card in the postage-paid envelope that we have provided to you. The proxy holders will vote your shares of Newkirk voting stock as provided by those instructions. If you give Newkirk a signed proxy without giving specific voting instructions, your shares of Newkirk voting stock will be voted by the proxy holders in favor of all the proposals being voted on at the special meeting. If your shares of Newkirk voting stock are held by a broker, bank or other nominee, you will receive instructions from your nominee that you must follow to have your shares of Newkirk voting stock voted.

Quorum and Required Vote

A quorum of voting stockholders is required to hold a valid meeting. The holders of shares of Newkirk voting stock entitled to cast at least a majority of the votes at the Newkirk special meeting must be present in person or by proxy to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Newkirk special meeting. All shares of Newkirk voting stock represented at the Newkirk special meeting, including abstentions and broker non-votes, will be treated as shares that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum.

Approval of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions requires the affirmative vote of at least a majority of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of shares of Newkirk voting stock at the Newkirk special meeting. The affirmative vote of a majority of votes cast by the holders of a majority of the Newkirk common stock, voting either in person or by proxy at the Newkirk special meeting, is required to approve,

if necessary, the extension of the solicitation period and the adjournment of the Newkirk special meeting.

As of the record date for the Newkirk special meeting, Newkirk trustees, executive officers and their affiliates beneficially owned, including MLP units held by them, shares of Newkirk voting stock, representing approximately % of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of the shares of Newkirk voting stock at the Newkirk special meeting. Beneficial owners of approximately 46.0% of Newkirk s voting shares have agreed to vote in favor of the merger.

39

Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes

Abstentions by holders of shares of Newkirk voting stock will not be counted as votes cast. Thus, such non-votes will have the effect of a vote against the merger proposal and will have no effect on the proposal for extension of the solicitation period and the adjournment of the Newkirk special meeting.

Under the listing requirements of the NYSE, brokers who hold shares of Newkirk common stock in street name for a beneficial owner of those shares typically have the authority to vote in their discretion on routine proposals when they have not received instructions from beneficial owners. However, brokers are not allowed to exercise their voting discretion with respect to the approval of matter that the NYSE determines to be non-routine, such as approval of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, without specific instructions from the beneficial owner. These non-voted shares are referred to as broker non-votes. If your broker holds your shares of Newkirk common stock in street name, your broker will vote your shares only if you provide instructions on how to vote by filling out the voter instruction form sent to you by your broker with this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast at the Newkirk special meeting. Thus, such non-votes will have the effect of a vote against the merger proposal and will have no effect on the proposal for extension of the solicitation period and the adjournment of the Newkirk special meeting. The proposal to adjourn the meeting or to extend the solicitation may be deemed a routine proposal and, if so, your broker may vote your Newkirk common stock with respect to such proposal.

Voting on Other Matters

We are not now aware of any matters to be presented at the Newkirk special meeting except for those described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. If any other matter not described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus is properly presented at the meeting, the proxy holders will use their discretion to determine how to vote your shares of Newkirk voting stock. If the meeting is adjourned or postponed, your shares of Newkirk voting stock may be voted by the proxy holders on the new meeting date as well, unless you have revoked your proxy instructions before that date.

How You May Revoke Your Proxy Instructions

To revoke your proxy instructions, you must: (i) so advise the Secretary of Newkirk, Carolyn B. Tiffany, c/o Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc., 7 Bulfinch Place, Suite 500, Boston, MA 02114, in writing or by facsimile before your shares of Newkirk voting stock have been voted by the proxy holders at the meeting; (ii) execute and deliver a subsequently dated proxy; or (iii) attend the meeting and vote your shares of Newkirk voting stock in person. If you hold shares in street name and you would like to revoke earlier proxy instructions, please check with your broker and follow the voting procedures your broker provides.

Cost of this Proxy Solicitation

The accompanying proxy is being solicited on behalf of Newkirk s board of directors. Each of Lexington and Newkirk will pay one-half of the expense of preparing, printing and mailing the proxy and materials used in the solicitation. MacKenzie Partners, Inc. has been retained by Lexington and Newkirk to aid in the solicitation of proxies from their respective shareholders and stockholders for an aggregate fee of \$15,500 and the reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses. Proxies may also be solicited from Newkirk stockholders by personal interview, telephone and telegram by Newkirk directors, officers and employees, who will not receive additional compensation for performing that service. Arrangements also will be made with brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for the forwarding of proxy materials to the beneficial owners of Newkirk shares held by those persons, and Newkirk will reimburse them for any reasonable expenses that they incur.

THE MERGER

Background of the Merger

In pursuing its strategy for enhancing shareholder value, Lexington regularly considered opportunities for acquisitions, joint ventures and other significant transactions.

Lexington s senior management believed that its business plan was sound and offered opportunities for future growth, but it believed that it would be difficult to replicate the significant growth Lexington experienced in 2004 and 2005. Lexington s common shares reached an all-time high closing price of \$25.19 on July 12, 2005.

Between July 12, 2005 and December 31, 2005, the per share price of Lexington s common shares declined approximately 15% to \$21.30, at which point Lexington did not believe that either the implied value of its assets based on private market transactions, or the unique value of its large, high-quality portfolio of single-tenant net lease properties, had been appropriately reflected in its stock price.

Lexington s senior management believed that the influx of foreign and institutional investors seeking alternatives to stock and bond investments had created highly favorable market conditions for the disposition of real estate investments. Senior management had been capitalizing on this market opportunity by accelerating disposition activity and recycling capital. At the same time, due to historically low capitalization rates, Lexington faced increased reinvestment risk with proceeds from asset sales and believed it would be difficult to achieve rates of return commensurate with its past performance. Furthermore, the concern over rising interest rates was causing public investors to view the net lease sector less favorably.

During the fourth quarter of 2005, Lexington met with several investment banks to discuss alternatives to enhance shareholder value. In November 2005, representatives of Wachovia Securities met with E. Robert Roskind, Lexington s Chairman, and T. Wilson Eglin, Lexington s Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer, to discuss potential opportunities for a strategic transaction involving Lexington.

By the end of 2005, Lexington senior management believed that market conditions existed that could potentially lead to a strategic transaction that enhanced shareholder value relative to what Lexington could achieve going forward in the then current competitive acquisition environment and limited growth opportunities. Accordingly, Lexington s senior management determined to actively investigate the feasibility and potential value of a sale or other strategic transaction involving Lexington.

At a regularly scheduled meeting of Lexington s board of trustees on January 16, 2006, Mr. Roskind and Mr. Eglin informed Lexington s board of trustees that Lexington s senior management had discussions regarding potential strategic alternatives for Lexington with Wachovia Securities. At that meeting, Lexington s board of trustees authorized management to continue such discussions with its financial advisor, Wachovia Securities.

On February 17, 2006, Mr. Roskind received a call from a large institutional real estate investor (which we refer to as Bidder A). Bidder A indicated that it would be interested in evaluating a strategic transaction with Lexington, including a sale of Lexington, and estimated that it valued Lexington at approximately \$24.00 per share. Mr. Roskind informed Bidder A that Lexington would consider Bidder A indication of interest and would contact Bidder A if Lexington were willing to allow Bidder A to formally evaluate Lexington.

A special meeting of Lexington s board of trustees was held on February 24, 2006, at which meeting Wachovia Securities was to discuss with Lexington s board of trustees certain potential strategic transactions involving Lexington. At the meeting, Mr. Eglin suggested that Lexington explore an additional potential strategic transaction involving a joint venture or series of joint ventures with respect to all or substantially all of Lexington s wholly-owned assets and a related share repurchase and/or special dividend. Lexington s board of trustees asked Wachovia Securities to delay its presentation until the next meeting of Lexington s board of trustees and tabled a vote on whether to retain Wachovia Securities. Until the March 14, 2006 regularly scheduled meeting of Lexington s board of trustees, senior management worked with Wachovia Securities and Paul Hastings

to determine the range of potential strategic transactions available to Lexington, including the feasibility of the joint venture alternative.

On March 13, 2006, Lexington executed a confidentiality agreement with Bidder A. Lexington informed Bidder A that it expected to engage a financial advisor and that Bidder A would be contacted by such advisor.

At the March 14, 2006 meeting, Lexington s senior management proposed that Lexington s board of trustees retain Wachovia Securities to act as Lexington s financial advisor with respect to potential strategic transactions that would enhance value for Lexington s shareholders. Representatives of Wachovia Securities discussed the current REIT market environment and the market s perspective of Lexington. Wachovia Securities also discussed a process by which Lexington could explore certain strategic alternatives without initially making a public announcement.

Lexington s board of trustees discussed various alternatives to enhance shareholder value, including continuing to operate Lexington under management s current business plan, an outright sale of Lexington for cash or an alternative strategic transaction. Lexington s board of trustees discussed the risks and benefits of each of these alternatives and strategies, including the challenges that the size of Lexington s portfolio could present with respect to a sale of Lexington. Lexington s board also discussed additional strategies that it might pursue to enhance shareholder value, including the potential sale of a large number of non-core assets followed by a special dividend to shareholders.

Lexington s board of trustees discussed a variety of potential transaction parties which Lexington and Wachovia Securities collectively believed had sufficient assets, or access to sufficient capital, to consummate an acquisition of Lexington. Wachovia Securities next discussed the process and timing of a potential strategic transaction, including the benefits of a non-public auction process in which the universe of potential parties to a transaction could be narrowed following receipt of indications of interest. Wachovia Securities explained that a non-public auction process could maximize shareholder value while reducing disruption to Lexington and its management and reducing business and market risk that could affect consummation of a transaction.

At the close of the March 14, 2006 meeting, Lexington s board of trustees unanimously determined that Lexington should undertake a formal process to explore strategic alternatives, potentially including a sale or other strategic transaction. Lexington s board of trustees authorized senior management to engage Wachovia Securities as Lexington s strategic financial advisor subject to the negotiation and execution of mutually satisfactory engagement letters. Lexington and Wachovia Securities entered into an engagement letter on April 19, 2006.

During the weeks prior to the March 14 board meeting and through the remainder of March and most of April 2006, Lexington s senior management worked with Wachovia Securities and considered approximately 50 potential qualified transaction parties. These entities included Bidder A, a broad cross-section of public REITs, other public companies, pension funds, pension fund advisors, closed-end investment funds, open-end fund sponsors, commingled/closed-end funds and buyout funds. Lexington s senior management and Wachovia Securities approached 33 entities that Lexington and Wachovia Securities collectively believed were qualified to complete a strategic transaction with Lexington. Concurrently, Lexington s general counsel and Paul Hastings prepared a form of confidentiality agreement that Wachovia Securities distributed to 28 of these entities. Lexington negotiated and executed confidentiality agreements with 19 of these entities, 18 of which ultimately conducted at least some due diligence and discussed with Wachovia Securities the possibility of a strategic transaction.

During this period, an online data room was prepared to facilitate access to due diligence materials by those potential transaction parties that had executed confidentiality agreements and had elected to move forward in the process. A bid-procedures letter was distributed to the 33 interested transaction parties. The letter set a deadline of April 21, 2006 for transaction parties to submit nonbinding preliminary indications of interest to enter into a negotiated strategic transaction with Lexington.

Newkirk was not initially identified as a potential qualified transaction party, but at the suggestion of a potential qualified transaction party, who turned down the invitation to participate in the bid process, Wachovia Securities subsequently approached Newkirk to participate in Lexington s confidential process. On March 17, 2006, Newkirk signed a confidentiality agreement with Lexington.

Between March 17, 2006 and May 8, 2006, management of Newkirk periodically advised members of Newkirk s board of directors on an informal basis as to Newkirk s interest in pursuing a strategic transaction with Lexington and the status of discussions with Lexington.

From March 28, 2006 through July 21, 2006, members of Newkirk s management and representatives of Bear Stearns, Katten Muchin and Post Heymann & Koffler LLP (which we refer to as Post Heymann) conducted a due diligence review of, among other things, Lexington s business and operations, financial condition and material contracts.

On April 21, 2006, Lexington received three written non-binding, preliminary indications of interest to engage in a strategic transaction with Lexington. Two bidders, including Bidder A, indicated interest in acquiring all of Lexington s outstanding common shares for cash at a per share valuation of \$23.00 and \$24.50. The third bidder, Newkirk, indicated interest in a merger with Lexington with an exchange ratio of 0.81 Lexington common shares for each share of Newkirk common stock. Based on the closing price of Lexington common shares and Newkirk common stock on April 21, 2006, Newkirk s offer valued Lexington s common shares at \$22.44 per share.

Bidder A indicated that it was interested in acquiring all of Lexington s outstanding common shares and operating partnership units for a cash purchase price of \$24.50 for each share and each unit. Bidder A further indicated that it was willing to structure the transaction in a manner that would be tax-efficient for holders of Lexington s operating partnership units by offering them the opportunity to exchange their operating partnership units for senior preferred partnership units. Bidder A did not provide extensive detail regarding such tax structuring, stating only that these units would earn a fixed rate of return to be agreed upon with Lexington.

The second bidder (which we refer to as Bidder B) indicated that it was interested in acquiring Lexington for a cash purchase price of 23.00 for each share and operating partnership unit. Bidder B indicated that it would review additional information regarding the tax position of the holders of Lexington s operating partnership units and would make a proposal for them as part of its final bid.

Lexington s board of trustees met on April 24, 2006 to discuss and evaluate the various bidders and the relative merits of their respective proposals. At the request of Mr. Roskind, the Chairman of Lexington s board of trustees, Stanley R. Perla, an independent trustee of Lexington, did not participate in the meeting because of his position as Director of Internal Audit of Vornado, a significant equity holder of Newkirk.

At the request of Lexington s board of trustees, Wachovia Securities and Paul Hastings also participated in the April 24 meeting. Messrs. Roskind and Eglin and representatives from Wachovia Securities summarized the three indications of interest. Wachovia Securities also presented an overview of Newkirk. Lexington s board of trustees discussed the relative strengths and weaknesses of the various bids. Bidder A and Bidder B had indicated that they were willing to acquire Lexington for cash; however, the per share price indicated by Bidder B was significantly lower than that of Bidder A. Wachovia Securities informed Lexington s board of trustees that it believed that both Bidder A and Bidder B had access to sufficient capital to close a transaction, and it believed Bidder A had conducted significantly more due diligence than Bidder B.

Lexington s board of trustees then discussed with Wachovia Securities whether Bidder B might be willing to increase its bid to a more competitive level if allowed to enter into the next round of the process. Mr. Roskind explained that, in recent conversations, Bidder B had specifically indicated that it would have difficulty increasing the valuation set forth in its initial bid letter and that it was, therefore, unlikely to increase its offer price. Based on Lexington s board of trustees evaluation of the three proposals and the discussions with Lexington s senior management and Wachovia Securities, Lexington s board of trustees determined to proceed with a subsequent round of negotiations with Bidder A and Newkirk.

Members of Lexington s senior management who were participating in the meeting were then excused from the meeting so that the independent trustees (except for Mr. Perla who did not attend the meeting) could discuss the strategic transaction process and their fiduciary duties with Paul Hastings. The independent trustees determined that because of potential perceived conflicts of interest between Lexington s shareholders and Lexington s executive officers (who may have been entitled to a severance payment in the event of a change of control of Lexington) it was appropriate to form a special committee of Lexington s board of trustees consisting of

Geoffrey Dohrmann, James Grosfeld, Kevin Lynch (later appointed chairman) and Seth M. Zachary (which we refer to as the Lexington special committee). Carl D. Glickman recused himself from participating on the Lexington special committee because of his relationship with Bear Stearns. The Lexington special committee was authorized to analyze the proposed terms and conditions of potential strategic transactions involving Lexington, to retain outside experts, advisors and consultants at its discretion for the purpose of analyzing strategic alternative transactions and to make a recommendation to Lexington s board of trustees whether it was in the best interests of Lexington to enter into any particular strategic transaction.

Lexington s special committee determined that Lexington s senior management should continue leading Lexington s investigation of a strategic transaction under Lexington s special committee s supervision and that the Lexington special committee should meet regularly during the course of the strategic transaction process to carry out its oversight of the process. The Lexington special committee discussed, but never definitively determined, committee fees (in lieu of the per meeting fees otherwise previously approved by Lexington s board of trustees for committee meetings generally) equal to \$35,000 per member and an additional \$15,000 to the chairman. On September 8, 2006, Lexington s board of trustees, upon a recommendation from Lexington s special committee, approved the fees as discussed by Lexington s special committee.

Following the April 24, 2006 meeting, Wachovia Securities delivered a bid-procedures letter to Bidder A and Newkirk, indicating that best and final bids would be due on Friday, May 19, 2006. From April 24 through May 19, Lexington s senior management and other key employees met separately with each of the two final-round bidders in a series of bidder-specific due diligence meetings.

On May 3, 2006, Lexington posted a draft cash merger agreement on its online data room.

On May 4, 2006, Lexington s special committee met to receive an update from senior management and Wachovia Securities on the status of the strategic transaction process. Paul Hastings advised Lexington s special committee that Bidder A was a client of Paul Hastings and as a result of Mr. Zachary s position as chairman of Paul Hastings, Mr. Zachary would participate in the evaluation of the proposal by Bidder A, but would not participate in any vote on Bidder A s proposal.

At the May 4, 2006 meeting, Wachovia Securities updated Lexington s special committee on the strategic transaction process and the status of the two bidders.

On May 5, 2006, Lexington distributed a draft stock merger agreement to Newkirk.

On May 7, 2006, Messrs. Roskind and Eglin had dinner with representatives of Bidder A.

On May 8, 2006, Lexington, Wachovia Securities and Paul Hastings met with Newkirk, Bear Stearns and Katten Muchin, counsel to Newkirk, to discuss Newkirk s comments to the draft stock merger agreement.

Also, on May 8, 2006, at a meeting of Newkirk s board of directors, Peter Braverman, the President of Newkirk confirmed with each board member that they had received Lexington s Annual Report on Form 10-K and materials prepared by Wachovia Securities for distribution to potential purchasers of Lexington. At the meeting Mr. Braverman further updated Newkirk s board of directors with respect to the discussions to date between Newkirk s management and Lexington s management with respect to the possible acquisition of, or business combination with, Lexington. In particular, Mr. Braverman advised Newkirk s board of directors that Lexington had retained Wachovia Securities to seek strategic transactions and that, in connection therewith, Newkirk had entered into a confidentiality agreement with Lexington pursuant to which Newkirk received certain information regarding Lexington. Mr. Braverman further advised the board that in connection with management s review of Lexington, management believed that the leverage required to acquire Lexington in a cash transaction would not be advantageous to Newkirk and discussions had begun with Lexington s management about business combinations. In this regard, Mr. Braverman advised that a proposed merger with Lexington was being discussed with Lexington as the surviving entity. At the May 8, 2006 meeting, Newkirk s board of directors was advised that Lexington had established a timetable under which final offers were to be made by May 19, 2006. The board established a special committee consisting of Newkirk s independent directors to review the transaction. The special committee

authorized Newkirk management to continue discussions with Lexington and to update the committee on a regular basis. Also at the May 8, 2006 meeting Newkirk s board of directors agreed to formally retain Bear Stearns as its investment advisor in connection with the proposed transaction with Lexington.

Newkirk s special committee met on each of May 10, 12 and 15, 2006 and were provided with updates from Newkirk s management as to the status of negotiations with Lexington at each meeting.

On May 10, 2006, counsel for Bidder A delivered to Paul Hastings a brief memo outlining certain points of Bidder A s bid and a revised draft of the merger agreement.

At the May 10, 2006 meeting, the members of Newkirk s special committee elected Richard Frary as chairman of the special committee.

On May 10, 2006, members of the board of Newkirk were provided with a legal memorandum outlining their fiduciary duties with respect to the proposed merger.

On May 11, 2006, Lexington s special committee met to receive an update from senior management and Wachovia Securities on the status of the strategic transaction process. The special committee discussed issues associated with comparing the potential two final bids since one involved cash and the other involved stock.

On May 12, 2006, counsel for Newkirk delivered to Paul Hastings a revised draft of the merger agreement based on the discussions at the May 8, 2006 meeting.

On May 14, 2006, Newkirk informed Messrs. Roskind and Eglin and Wachovia Securities that it would consider revising its initial indication of interest to include alternative strategic transactions providing Lexington shareholders with a combination of cash and stock in the combined company.

On May 15, 2006, Bidder A informed Wachovia Securities that it would not be able to support a valuation at the price indicated in its bid letter, and that, as a result, it was withdrawing from the strategic transaction process. Bidder B was therefore approached to re-enter the process.

At the May 15, 2006 meeting of Newkirk s special committee, management advised the special committee of the possibility of considering alternative proposals providing Lexington shareholders with a combination of cash and stock in the combined company. The special committee authorized management to explore these alternative proposals with Lexington.

Also on May 15, 2006, Newkirk s attorneys delivered to Paul Hastings draft merger agreements contemplating the range of proposals then being considered.

On May 16, 2006, Newkirk indicated that it was interested only in a stock for stock merger.

On May 16, 2006, the members of Newkirk s board were provided with financial analyses prepared by management and Bear Stearns reviewing the transaction and various valuation analyses of Newkirk, Lexington and the combined company.

On May 17, 2006, the full Newkirk board met and received a presentation first from management and then from Bear Stearns reviewing the transaction and various valuation analyses of Newkirk, Lexington and the combined company. A representative of Post Heymann advised the board as to the basic terms of the proposed merger agreement including closing conditions, non-solicitation and fiduciary out provisions, as well as termination rights and termination fees and answered questions from Newkirk s directors regarding various aspects of the merger agreement. Following the presentations of management and Bear Stearns, the Newkirk directors who were not on the special committee left the meeting. Thereafter, the special committee discussed with Bear Stearns, among other things, the information presented by management and Bear Stearns and the matters described below under Recommendation of Newkirk s Board of Directors and Newkirk s Reasons for the Merger. The special committee, by unanimous vote, then recommended that Newkirk s board of directors approve the submission by

Newkirk of a proposal to Lexington to effect the merger of Newkirk with and into Lexington, subject to the issuance by Bear Stearns of a fairness opinion and the entry into of a definitive merger agreement providing for an exchange ratio of 0.80 to 1, the appointment of Michael L. Ashner as Chairman of the surviving entity and representation on the board of the surviving entity of designees of Newkirk.

Immediately following the special committee meeting on May 17, 2006, the board of directors of Newkirk, by unanimous vote, authorized the submission by management of a merger proposal with Lexington on the terms recommended by the special committee.

From May 17, 2006 through June 28, 2006 Newkirk management promptly updated the special committee members as to developments relating to the proposed transaction with Lexington.

On May 18, 2006, Newkirk delivered to Paul Hastings a revised draft merger agreement for its original proposal which included, among other revisions, a provision allowing Lexington to pay up to \$32,500,000 in change of control payments to its executives in connection with the proposed merger and up to \$6,000,000 in excise taxes incurred by the executives in connection with such payments, and to vest all of the restricted stock issued by Lexington, in exchange for a waiver by each executive of any rights triggered by the proposed merger under their employment agreements with Lexington.

On May 19, 2006, Bear Stearns delivered Newkirk s final bid in accordance with Wachovia Securities bid instructions, together with another draft of the stock merger agreement. Newkirk proposed a stock for stock merger whereby each share of Newkirk common stock would be exchanged for 0.80 Lexington common shares.

Later on May 19, 2006, Lexington s board of trustees held a special meeting to discuss Newkirk s final bid. Mr. Perla did not attend this meeting, but Mr. Glickman did attend. The board of trustees requested an update on the possibility of inviting Bidder B back into the strategic transaction process. Mr. Roskind informed Lexington s board of trustees that Bidder B indicated that it was currently bidding in another strategic transaction process. Bidder B informed Mr. Roskind that if it was not successful in the other strategic transaction process, it would be in a position to conduct further due diligence on Lexington to determine whether it could revise its preliminary indication of interest. Lexington s board of trustees, including the members of Lexington s special committee, authorized Lexington s management and Wachovia Securities to invite Bidder B back into the strategic transaction process.

Also, at the May 19, 2006 special meeting, Lexington s senior management and Lexington s board of trustees discussed Newkirk s requirement that, after giving effect to payments and vesting provisions contained in Newkirk s draft merger agreement, Lexington s executive officers waive any rights triggered by the proposed merger under the executive s employment agreements with Lexington.

Between May 19, 2006 and May 23, 2006, Lexington s senior management and Wachovia Securities met with representatives of Newkirk and Bear Stearns to discuss the proposed merger. In addition, during this period, Paul Hastings and Katten Muchin continued to negotiate the terms of the draft merger agreement.

From May 19, 2006 through July 21, 2006, members of Lexington s management and Newkirk s management met on numerous occasions both in person and telephonically, independently and together with their respective counsel and/or financial advisors, to negotiate the terms of the merger agreement.

On May 23, 2006, at a regularly scheduled meeting of Lexington s board of trustees, which included Messrs. Glickman and Perla, Lexington s senior management and Wachovia Securities updated the board of trustees on the status of the strategic transaction process, including the Newkirk bid.

At the meeting, Mr. Roskind informed Lexington s board of trustees that Bidder B requested at least a week to conduct further due diligence in order to determine whether it could revise its preliminary indication of interest. During this period, Lexington management and Paul Hastings conducted additional in-depth due diligence on Newkirk.

On May 30, 2006, Messrs. Roskind, Eglin and Glickman met with Mr. Ashner. Later on May 30, 2006, Messrs. Roskind, Eglin, Grosfeld and Lynch met with Mr. Ashner.

Between May 30, 2006 and June 9, 2006, Lexington s senior management, together with Wachovia Securities and Paul Hastings, met on several occasions with Newkirk s senior management, as well as Bear Stearns and Katten Muchin Rosenman, to negotiate the terms of the merger agreement and ancillary documents. During this time period, Bidder B informed Lexington that it would not be in a position to revise its preliminary indication of interest.

On June 9, 2006, Mr. Lynch, as chairman of the compensation committee of Lexington s board of trustees, requested that Lexington s general counsel contact FPL Partners, an independent compensation consultant, to arrange for a call between a representative of FPL Partners and Mr. Lynch regarding the payment and waiver under Lexington s employment agreements proposed by Newkirk.

On June 13, 2006, Lexington s full board of trustees held a special meeting to discuss Newkirk s proposal. Messrs. Perla and Glickman recused themselves from the meeting. Lexington s senior management provided Lexington s board of trustees with a status update of the negotiations with Newkirk. Lexington s senior management reported that it was working through the accounting treatment of the proposed merger with Lexington s independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP.

At this meeting, Wachovia Securities discussed Newkirk and the proposed merger with Lexington s board of trustees. The board of trustees then discussed in detail the impact of the accounting treatment of the proposed merger.

On June 14, 2006, Mr. Roskind cancelled a special meeting of Lexington s board of trustees scheduled for June 15, 2006 to allow Lexington s senior management and KPMG LLP sufficient time to complete a review of the accounting treatment of the proposed merger.

On June 20, 2006, Mr. Lynch conducted a telephone interview with FPL Partners and authorized Lexington s general counsel to continue to provide FPL Partners with documentation of the employment arrangements of Lexington s executive officers.

At a June 22, 2006 meeting of the Lexington special committee, Paul Hastings reviewed with the committee the terms of the then current draft of the merger agreement in detail. Lexington s senior management then left the meeting and the special committee met in executive session. The Lexington special committee then reviewed the activity of the Lexington special committee to date and the existing employment arrangements with Lexington s executive officers and discussed the possible role of FPL Partners in assisting the Lexington special committee in reviewing the employment arrangements.

On June 27, 2006, Lexington s board of trustees held a special meeting. Messrs. Perla and Glickman were not present at the special meeting. Lexington s senior management and Wachovia Securities updated the board of trustees with respect to the progress of the merger negotiations. Following the special meeting, Lexington s special committee met outside of the presence of Lexington s senior management to discuss the potential merger with Wachovia Securities and Paul Hastings.

Later on June 27, 2006, Mr. Lynch formally engaged FPL Partners to review the employment arrangements with Lexington s executive officers and to assist Lexington s special committee in determining whether any payments should be made to Lexington s executive officers in connection with the proposed merger.

On June 28, 2006, Newkirk s board of directors was provided with further analyses from Bear Stearns incorporating updated financial information prepared by Newkirk management with respect to the valuation of Newkirk, Lexington and the combined company. The updated analyses were substantially identical to those previously provided except they were updated to provide for potential change in control compensation payments to

Lexington s management as well as details on transactions consummated by Lexington and Newkirk from May 17, 2006 to June 28, 2006.

On June 29, 2006, at a special meeting of both Newkirk s special committee and Newkirk s board of directors, representatives of management and Bear Stearns made a further presentation to the full board of directors similar to the presentation made at the May 17, 2006 meeting. The presentation provided updated financial information to provide for potential change in control compensation payments to Lexington s management as well details on transactions consummated by Lexington and Newkirk from May 17, 2006 to June 29, 2006. The board again had the opportunity to discuss the merger with management and Bear Stearns.

On June 29, 2006, Lexington s board of trustees held a special meeting. Mr. Perla was not present at the special meeting, but Mr. Glickman was in attendance for part of the meeting. Paul Hastings and Mr. Glickman reminded Lexington s board of Trustees that Mr. Glickman would participate in parts of the meeting, but would recuse himself in the event of a vote on any transaction involving Newkirk. Wachovia Securities discussed financial analyses of Lexington, Newkirk and the combined company resulting from the proposed merger. Lexington s board of trustees engaged in an extended discussion regarding Wachovia Securities presentation. Paul Hastings then reviewed the status of Lexington s and Paul Hastings due diligence of Newkirk and the terms of the merger agreement. Paul Hastings noted that due to Mr. Ashner s exclusivity agreement with Winthrop, Newkirk was requiring that, in the event Mr. Ashner terminated his employment with Lexington to preserve such exclusivity agreement, Mr. Ashner would be entitled to a full severance payment. Lexington s board of trustees requested that Lexington s senior management negotiate the point with Newkirk so that Mr. Ashner would only be entitled to receive a portion of his severance payment. Mr. Glickman, members of Lexington s management and Wachovia Securities left the meeting so the Lexington special committee could meet with Paul Hastings.

At the conclusion of the June 29th meeting, the Lexington special committee met with senior management and Wachovia Securities. The Lexington special committee observed that while the transaction structure appeared to be beneficial to Lexington and accomplished many of the goals originally expressed when the board determined to explore strategic alternatives, the financial modeling used in the presentation was based on the assumption that Lexington s investments would remain static. The special committee requested a review of the impact of the merger assuming that Lexington was able to implement senior management s expected growth strategy.

Between June 29, 2006 and July 5, 2006, Paul Hastings had several conversations with Katten Muchin to negotiate issues in the draft merger agreement, including, in each case, among others, the scope of the representations and warranties being made by each company and the covenants of each company prior to closing.

On July 5, 2006, Lexington s pecial committee met with Paul Hastings and FPL Partners. FPL Partners presented the results of its review of the employment arrangements with Lexington s executive officers. Lexington s special committee determined that, in light of such review, no additional compensation should be payable to Lexington s executive officers in connection with the proposed merger.

On July 12, 2006, Lexington s special committee held another meeting. At the meeting, Lexington s senior management provided a supplemental presentation to the special committee regarding the positive, negative and neutral impacts of the potential merger on certain of Lexington s corporate objectives.

On July 13, 2006, representatives of Newkirk and Lexington s management, along with representatives of Wachovia Securities and Bear Stearns, met. At the meeting, Lexington provided Newkirk with a copy of material provided to Lexington s special committee at its July 12, 2006 meeting.

On the evening of July 13, 2006, Messrs. Roskind and Eglin had dinner with Mr. Ashner to discuss the terms of the proposed merger.

On July 14, 2006, Bidder A contacted Mr. Roskind about the possibility of reentering the confidential process.

48

On July 18, 2006, Lexington and Bidder A amended the confidentiality agreement between them to allow Bidder A additional time to complete its review of Lexington.

Prior to a July 19, 2006 meeting of Lexington s special committee, Lexington s executive officers informed Mr. Lynch that they would waive any rights triggered by the proposed merger under the employment agreements.

At the July 19, 2006 meeting, Lexington s special committee met with Paul Hastings and FPL Partners to discuss the terms of waiver agreements with Lexington s executive officers and the proposed employment agreement with Mr. Ashner.

On July 20, 2006, Newkirk s board of directors was provided with further analyses incorporating the final merger terms prepared by Newkirk management and Bear Stearns with respect to the valuation of Newkirk, Lexington and the combined company. The updated analyses were substantially similar to those previously provided except they included revised models utilizing updated information provided by Lexington s management as well as materials provided by Lexington to its special committee on July 12th, eliminated the \$32,500,000 in change of control payments to Lexington executives and up to \$6,000,000 in excise taxes incurred by the executives in connection with such payments, and took into account a special \$0.17 per share dividend to Lexington shareholders.

On July 21, 2006, Lexington s board of trustees held a meeting to consider the proposed merger. Messrs. Glickman and Perla were not in attendance because of the potential conflicts of interest discussed above. Mr. Roskind informed Lexington s board of trustees that he did not believe Bidder A would make an additional bid for Lexington. Mr. Roskind provided an update on the status of the negotiations regarding the merger agreement, including that, in exchange for a waiver by the executive officers of any rights under their employment agreements, Newkirk agreed that Lexington could pay a special dividend of \$0.17 per common share prior to the closing. After presentations from Lexington s senior management, Wachovia Securities and Paul Hastings, each member of Lexington s special committee recommended the proposed merger, as described by Lexington s senior management, Wachovia Securities and Paul Hastings and Paul Hastings, to Lexington s board of trustees. Lexington s board of trustees agreed to meet on July 23, 2006 to allow time for Lexington s senior management and Paul Hastings to finalize the merger agreement and ancillary documents and Wachovia Securities to prepare for the meeting.

On July 21, 2006, at special meetings of each of Newkirk s board of directors and the special committee, representatives of Newkirk management and Bear Stearns made a presentation describing the July 20th analyses. The board was advised that the updated analyses were substantially similar to those previously provided except they included revised models utilizing updated information provided by Lexington s management as well as materials provided by Lexington to its special committee on July 12th, eliminated the \$32,500,000 in change of control payments to Lexington executives and up to \$6,000,000 in excise taxes incurred by the executives in connection with such payments, and took into account a special \$.17 per share dividend to Lexington shareholders. The board again had the opportunity to discuss the merger with management and Bear Stearns. Also at the July 21, 2006 meeting, Mr. Ashner recommended that, in light of the substantial time commitments required of the special committee, the board approve the payment of a fee of \$50,000 for each special committee member and \$100,000 for the chairman of the special committee. The board approved these payments.

Between July 21, 2006 and July 23, 2006, Lexington, Newkirk and their respective counsel negotiated the outstanding terms of the merger agreement and the ancillary documents, finalized the schedules and resolved certain diligence issues.

On July 23, 2006, Lexington's board of trustees held a meeting to consider the proposed merger. Mr. Perla attended the meeting, but Mr. Glickman did not attend the meeting. Representatives of Wachovia Securities and Paul Hastings also participated in the meeting. In advance of the meeting, each member of Lexington's board received a copy of the merger agreement and related documents and an updated presentation to be made by Wachovia Securities. At the meeting, Mr. Roskind informed Lexington's board of trustees that Bidder A would not make a formal proposal or bid. Wachovia Securities then reviewed the terms of the proposed merger agreement and Paul Hastings again reviewed Lexington's trustees standard of conduct and the duties of trustees relating to the proposed merger under Maryland law. During the meeting, Wachovia Securities rendered an oral opinion to Lexington's board of trustees, subsequently confirmed in a written opinion, to the effect that, as of July 23, 2006,

49

subject to and based on the assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the opinion and the review undertaken, as set forth in the opinion, the 0.80 exchange ratio pursuant to the merger agreement was fair, from a financial point of view, to Lexington. After asking several questions regarding the accounting treatment of the proposed merger, Mr. Perla recused himself from the meeting.

Also on July 23, 2006, a special meeting of the board of directors of Newkirk was held. Participating at the meetings were representatives of Bear Stearns, Katten Muchin and Post Heymann. In advance of the meeting, each member of Newkirk s board received a copy of the merger agreement and related documents. Representatives of Katten Muchin advised the board that the terms of the merger agreement were consistent with those outlined at the May 17, 2006 meeting and customary for transactions of the nature of the merger. At the meeting, Bear Stearns provided its oral opinion (which was subsequently confirmed in writing) to the effect that, as of that date and subject to and based on the assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations of the review undertaken as set forth in its opinion, the consideration to be received by public holders of shares of Newkirk common stock pursuant to the merger agreement who were not entering into voting or other agreements in connection with the Merger, was fair, from a financial point of view, to such holders. The special committee of Newkirk s board of directors, by unanimous vote, recommended that the board of directors of Newkirk approve the merger agreement and, following such recommendation, the board of directors, by unanimous vote, approved the merger agreement and the merger. Newkirk s board of directors also resolved to recommend that Newkirk stockholders adopt the merger agreement and authorized Newkirk management to execute and deliver the merger agreement and the agreements contemplated thereby.

Lexington s board of trustees then discussed at length the terms of the proposed merger and a variety of positive and negative considerations concerning the transaction and the overall strategic alternatives available to Lexington. These factors are described in more detail below under the heading Factors Considered by Lexington s Board of Trustees and Reasons for the Merger.

At the conclusion of the July 23, 2006 meeting, the members of Lexington s board of trustees still present at the meeting unanimously approved, among other things, the merger agreement and the merger and directed that the merger agreement and the merger be submitted for consideration by Lexington s common shareholders at a special meeting of such shareholders.

Late in the evening of July 23, 2006, Lexington and Newkirk executed the merger agreement and issued a joint press release announcing the transaction.

On September 11, 2006, Newkirk and Lexington entered into Amendment No.1 to the merger agreement which extended the outside closing date from January 31, 2007 to March 31, 2007 and also clarified the respective obligations of the parties with respect to the registration statement that would be filed on behalf of the MLP unitholders.

Recommendation of Lexington s Board of Trustees and Lexington s Reasons for the Merger

Recommendation of Lexington s Board of Trustees.

Lexington s board of trustees has approved the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions and declared that the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, are advisable and fair to, and in the best interests of, Lexington and its shareholders. Lexington s board of trustees recommends that Lexington common shareholders vote FOR approval of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the issuance of Lexington common shares under and as contemplated by the merger agreement.

50

Lexington s Reasons for the Merger.

In determining whether to approve the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, Lexington s board of trustees considered a variety of factors that might impact the short-term and long-term interests of Lexington and its shareholders. As part of its deliberations, Lexington s board of trustees took into consideration the support of the merger by Lexington s senior management and considered the historical, recent and prospective financial condition, results of operations, property holdings, share price, capitalization, and operating, strategic and financial risks of Lexington and Newkirk, considered separately for each entity and on a combined basis for the combined company.

Benefits of the Merger.

In connection with its determination, Lexington s board of trustees consulted with Lexington s senior management, as well as its financial and legal advisors, and considered a number of factors, including, among others, the following positive factors (the order does not reflect the relative significance):

Larger Size. With a total enterprise value in excess of \$4.6 billion at the time the merger agreement was signed, the combined company would be the largest net lease REIT, and among the largest capitalized diversified REITs in the United States. As a result of the larger size, the combined company:

- o is expected to have greater operating and financial flexibility and better access to capital markets;
- o should have a broader and deeper investment pipeline; and
- o should be able to consider future transactions that would not otherwise be possible;

Efficiency of Portfolio Acquisition. The opportunity to acquire through a single transaction a portfolio of high quality properties, together with an experienced management team, that could not be easily replicated through acquisitions of individual assets;

Diversification of Assets. The combined company will have a pool of assets that is far more diversified than Lexington s stand-alone portfolio in terms of tenant credit, property type, location, tenant industry and lease characteristics, thereby lessening the impact of exposure to particular industry sectors, markets and tenants;

Stronger Balance Sheet. The combined company will have a stronger balance sheet because of Newkirk s lower debt to equity ratio, substantial cash balances and unleveraged assets;

Management Synergy. Lexington s board of trustees believes that the combined company will benefit from the complementary skill sets of Lexington s and Newkirk s management teams, as well as the combined deal flow of the companies, thus further broadening the growth opportunities for the combined company;

Ownership Diversity. The combined company will have a broader shareholder base than Lexington. Lexington s board of trustees believes that this broader shareholder base will enhance shareholder liquidity;

Tenant Quality Improvement. The combined company will have a majority of investment grade tenants due to the credit quality of Newkirk s tenants;

Continued Representation in Management and on the Combined Company s Board. Five of the seven executive officers of the combined company will be current executive officers of Lexington serving in similar capacities and (ii) eight of the eleven members of Lexington s board of trustees will be appointed by Lexington as members of the board of trustees of the combined company;

51

Enhanced Investment Opportunities. Newkirk contributes a debt investment platform to the combined company. The merger allows Lexington to circumvent the start-up investment and opportunity costs of developing its own debt platform; and

Opinion of Financial Advisor. Lexington s board of trustees also considered the financial presentation of Wachovia Securities, including its opinion, dated July 23, 2006, as to the fairness, from a financial point of view as of the date of the opinion, to Lexington of the exchange ratio, as more fully described elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Risks of the Merger.

Lexington s board of trustees recognized that there are risks associated with the merger and the merger agreement, including the following risks (the order does not reflect the relative significance):

Integration Risks. The operations, technologies and personnel of the two companies may not be successfully integrated. The merger will include risks commonly associated with similar transactions, including unanticipated liabilities, unanticipated costs and diversion of management s attention. The combined company may also experience operational interruptions or the loss of key employees or customers;

Strategic Benefits may not be Realized. The anticipated strategic and financial benefits of the merger may not be realized;

Significant Dilution. The merger is expected to be dilutive to per share funds from operations in the future. Future events that could increase such dilution include adverse changes in:

- o the expected costs of the merger and the expected costs of integrating Newkirk s business with Lexington s business;
- o the combined company s ability to achieve anticipated cost savings from the merger; and
- o general economic conditions and their effect on the REIT industry, including the combined company;

Expenses of the Merger. Lexington and Newkirk are expected to incur one-time, pre-tax closing costs of approximately \$35.5 million in connection with the merger inclusive of one-time pre-tax expenses of approximately \$12.5 million related to the termination of Newkirk s advisory agreement with NKT Advisors in connection with the merger. Lexington also expects to incur one-time, pre-tax cash and non-cash costs related to the integration of Lexington and Newkirk, which cannot be estimated at this time. The combined company may incur additional unanticipated costs and expenses in connection with the merger;

Possible Repayment/Refinancing of Debt. Consummation of the merger could trigger a mandatory prepayment (including a penalty in some cases) of Lexington s or Newkirk s debt unless appropriate lender consents or waivers are received. If those consents and waivers cannot be obtained prior to consummation of the merger, the existing debt of Lexington and Newkirk might need to be repaid and/or refinanced. This may result in higher than-anticipated transaction expenses to Lexington;

Fixed Merger Consideration. The exchange ratio is fixed and will not fluctuate as a result of changes in the price of Lexington common shares or Newkirk common stock. If the ratio of Newkirk s stock price to Lexington s share price upon the consummation of the merger were to be less than 0.80 to 1, then Lexington may be viewed as having paid more for Newkirk stock than it might otherwise have to pay if the exchange ratio had not been fixed;

Termination Fee. Each company agreed to pay the other party a termination fee of \$25.0 million in specified circumstances, including where a third party acquires or seeks to acquire the terminating party within a specified time period after termination. The terminating party must also reimburse the other party for up to

52

\$5.0 million of expenses in specified circumstances, which would be credited against any subsequent termination fee, if payable. See The Merger Agreement Termination ; and

Other Negative Factors. Lexington s board of trustees also considered the other risks of the merger described in Risk Factors Risks Relating to the Merger.

The above discussion of the factors considered by Lexington s board of trustees is not intended to be exhaustive, but does set forth the principal positive and negative factors considered by Lexington s board of trustees. Lexington s board of trustees approved the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions and recommended approval by Lexington s shareholders of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the Amended and Restated By-laws and the issuance of Lexington common shares under and as contemplated by the merger agreement in light of the various factors described above and other factors that each member of Lexington s board of trustees believed to be appropriate.

In view of the wide variety of factors considered by Lexington s board of trustees with its evaluation of the merger and the complexity of these matters, Lexington s board of trustees did not consider it practical and did not attempt to quantify, rank or otherwise assign relative weights to the specific factors it considered in reaching its decision. Rather, Lexington s board of trustees made its recommendation based on the totality of information presented to and the investigation conducted by it. In considering the factors discussed above, individual trustees may have given different weights to different factors.

Recommendation of Newkirk s Board of Directors and Newkirk s Reasons for the Merger

Recommendation of Newkirk s Board of Directors.

Newkirk s board of directors, upon the recommendation of Newkirk s special committee, has unanimously approved the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions and declared that the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions are advisable and fair to, and in the best interests of, Newkirk and its stockholders. Newkirk s board of directors recommends that holders of Newkirk s voting securities vote FOR approval of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions.

Newkirk s Reasons for the Merger.

In determining whether to approve the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, Newkirk s board of directors considered a variety of factors that might impact the long-term as well as short-term interests of Newkirk and its stockholders. In its deliberations, Newkirk s board of directors considered the support of the merger by Newkirk s senior management, the historical, recent and prospective financial condition, results of operations, property holdings, share price, capitalization, and operating, strategic and financial risks of Lexington and Newkirk, considered separately for each entity and on a combined basis for the combined company.

Benefits of the Merger.

In making the determination described above, Newkirk s board of directors consulted with its legal advisors, accountants and financial advisors. Newkirk s board of directors considered a number of factors, including the following principal positive factors (the order does not reflect the relative significance):

Larger Size. With a total enterprise value in excess of \$4.6 billion at the time the merger agreement was signed, the combined company would be the largest net lease REIT, and among the largest capitalized diversified REITs in the United States. As a result of the larger size, the combined company:

- o is expected to have greater operating and financial flexibility and better access to capital markets;
- o should have a broader and deeper investment pipeline;
- o should be better positioned for future growth through development and enhanced cash flow; and
- o should be able to consider future transactions that would not otherwise be possible;

Diversification of Assets. The combined company will have a pool of assets that is far more diversified than Newkirk s stand-alone portfolio in terms of tenant credit, property type, location, tenant industry and lease characteristics, thereby lessening the impact of exposure to

⁵³

particular industry sectors, markets and tenants;

Elimination of External Advisory Structure. The combined company will be self-managed thereby eliminating the external advisory structure under which Newkirk presently operates. The board believes that internally managed REITs are typically viewed more favorably by the capital markets;

Average Lease Term Extension. The combined company would have leases with a substantially longer weighted average lease term compared to Newkirk, which will ease the significant rent-roll down challenge Newkirk faces in the future;

Management Synergy. Newkirk s board of directors believes that the combined company will benefit from the complementary skill sets of Lexington s and Newkirk s management teams, as well as the combined deal flow of the companies, thus further broadening the growth opportunities for the combined company;

Ownership Diversity. The combined company will have a broader stockholder base than Newkirk. Newkirk s board of directors believes that this broad stockholder base will enhance stockholder liquidity;

Continued Representation in Management and Lexington s Board. Michael L. Ashner, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Newkirk, and Lara Johnson, the Executive Vice President of Newkirk, are to be retained by Lexington in similar capacities. In addition, three members of Newkirk s board of directors, including Michael L. Ashner, will be appointed to the board of trustees of Lexington;

Exchange Ratio. Newkirk s board of directors believes that the 0.80 exchange ratio for the merger consideration that will be paid in Lexington common shares represents a fair valuation of Lexington from Newkirk s perspective. Newkirk s board of directors also believes it is beneficial that the exchange ratio is fixed and that it will not fluctuate as a result of changes in the price of Newkirk s common stock or Lexington common shares;

Due Diligence Review. The results of the due diligence review of, among other things, Lexington s business and operations, financial condition and management practices and procedures, conducted on behalf of Newkirk by Newkirk s management, financial advisors and legal counsel; and

Opinion of Financial Advisor. Newkirk s board of directors also considered the financial presentation of Bear Stearns, including its opinion, dated July 23, 2006, as to the fairness, from a financial point of view and as of the date of the opinion, to Newkirk of the merger consideration to be paid by Lexington pursuant to the merger agreement, as more fully described elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Risks of the Merger.

Newkirk s board of directors recognized that there are risks associated with the merger and the merger agreement, including the following risks (the order does not reflect the relative significance):

Strategic Benefits may not be Realized. The anticipated strategic and financial benefits of the merger may not be realized;

Integration Risks. The operations, technologies and personnel of the two companies may not be successfully integrated. The merger will include risks commonly associated with similar transactions, including unanticipated liabilities, unanticipated costs and diversion of management s attention. The combined company may also experience operational interruptions or the loss of key employees or customers;

Reduction in Dividends for Newkirk Shareholders. It is anticipated that the combined company will pay a per share dividend that is 25% less than the current dividend paid by Newkirk after giving effect to the exchange ratio;

Expenses of the Merger. Lexington and Newkirk are expected to incur one-time, pre-tax closing costs of approximately \$35.5 million in connection with the merger inclusive of one-time pre-tax expenses of approximately \$12.5 million related to the termination of Newkirk s advisory agreement in connection with the merger. Lexington also expects to incur one-time, pre-tax cash and non-cash costs related to the integration of Lexington and Newkirk, which cannot be estimated at this time. The combined company may incur additional unanticipated costs and expenses in connection with the merger;

Possible Repayment/Refinancing of Debt. Consummation of the merger could trigger a mandatory prepayment (including a penalty in some cases) of Lexington s or Newkirk s debt unless appropriate lender consents or waivers are received. If those consents and waivers cannot be obtained prior to consummation of the merger, the existing debt of Lexington and Newkirk might need to be repaid and/or refinanced. This may result in higher than-anticipated transaction expenses to Lexington;

Fixed Merger Consideration. The exchange ratio is fixed and will not fluctuate as a result of changes in the price of Lexington common shares or Newkirk common stock. If the ratio of Newkirk s stock price to Lexington s share price upon the consummation of the merger were to be more than 0.80 to 1, then Lexington may be viewed as having paid less for Newkirk stock than it might otherwise have to pay if the exchange ratio had not been fixed;

Termination Fee. Each company agreed to pay the other party a termination fee of \$25.0 million in specified circumstances, including where a third party acquires or seeks to acquire the terminating party within a specified time period following termination. The terminating party may also be required to reimburse the other party for up to \$5.0 million of expenses, inclusive of the termination fee. See The Merger Agreement Termination ; and

Other Negative Factors. Newkirk s board of directors also considered the other risks of the merger described in Risk Factors Risks Relating to the Merger.

The above discussion of the factors considered by Newkirk s board of directors is not intended to be exhaustive, but does set forth the principal positive and negative factors considered by Newkirk s board of directors. Newkirk s board of directors approved the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions and recommended approval by Newkirk s stockholders of the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions in light of the various factors described above and other factors that each member of Newkirk s board of directors believed to be appropriate.

In view of the wide variety of factors considered by Newkirk s board of directors with its evaluation of the merger and the complexity of these matters, Newkirk s board of directors did not consider it practical and did not attempt to quantify, rank or otherwise assign relative weights to the specific factors it considered in reaching its decision. Rather, Newkirk s board of directors made its recommendation based on the totality of information presented to and the investigation conducted by it. In considering the factors discussed above, individual trustees may have given different weights to different factors.

55

Opinion of Lexington s Financial Advisor, Wachovia Securities

Overview

Pursuant to an engagement letter dated April 17, 2006, Lexington s board of trustees retained Wachovia Securities to act as its financial advisor to assist Lexington in exploring strategic alternatives to enhance shareholder value. In selecting Wachovia Securities, Lexington s board of trustees considered, among other things, the fact that Wachovia Securities is an internationally recognized investment banking firm with

substantial experience advising companies in the real estate industry as well as substantial experience providing strategic advisory services. Wachovia Securities, as part of its investment banking business, is continuously engaged in the evaluation of businesses and their debt and equity securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions; underwritings, private placements and other securities offerings; senior credit financings; valuations; and general corporate advisory services.

At the July 23, 2006 meeting of Lexington s board of trustees, Wachovia Securities rendered its opinion to Lexington s board of trustees, that as of July 23, 2006, and subject to and based on the assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken, in its opinion, the 0.80 to 1 exchange ratio pursuant to the merger agreement was fair, from a financial point of view to Lexington.

The full text of Wachovia Securities opinion, dated July 23, 2006, which sets forth, among other things, the assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken by Wachovia Securities, is attached as Annex D to this joint proxy statement/prospectus. We urge you to read the opinion carefully and in its entirety. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the opinion.

Wachovia Securities opinion does not address the merits of the underlying decision by Lexington to enter into the merger agreement and does not and shall not constitute a recommendation to any holders of Lexington common shares as to how they should vote in connection with the merger.

Although Wachovia Securities evaluated the fairness of the exchange ratio, from a financial point of view, to Lexington, the exchange ratio itself was determined by Lexington and Newkirk through arm s-length negotiations. Lexington did not provide specific instructions to, or place any limitations on, Wachovia Securities with respect to the procedures to be followed or factors to be considered by it in performing its analyses or providing its opinion.

In arriving at its opinion, Wachovia Securities, among other things:

Reviewed the merger agreement, including the financial terms of the merger agreement;

Reviewed Annual Reports to Shareholders and Annual Reports on Form 10-K for Lexington for the five years ended December 31, 2005;

Reviewed the Annual Report to Shareholders and Annual Report on Form 10-K for Newkirk for the year ended December 31, 2005;

Reviewed certain interim reports to shareholders and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for Lexington and Newkirk;

Reviewed certain business, financial, and other information regarding each of Lexington and Newkirk that was publicly available;

Reviewed certain business, financial, and other information regarding Lexington (and the combined company following the merger) and its prospects, including financial forecasts, which were furnished to Wachovia Securities by Lexington s management, and discussed the business

56

and prospects of Lexington (and the combined company following the merger) with Lexington s management;

Reviewed certain business, financial, and other information regarding Newkirk and its prospects, including financial forecasts, which were furnished to it by the managements of Lexington and Newkirk, and discussed the business and prospects of Newkirk and the combined company following the merger with the managements of Lexington and Newkirk; Participated in discussions and negotiations among representatives of Lexington and Newkirk and their financial and legal advisors;

Reviewed the reported prices and trading activity of each of Lexington common shares and Newkirk common stock;

Compared certain publicly available business, financial, and other information regarding each of Lexington and Newkirk with similar information regarding certain other publicly traded companies that it deemed relevant; Compared the proposed financial terms of the merger agreement with the financial terms of certain other business combinations and transactions that it deemed relevant;

Reviewed the potential pro forma impact of the merger on Lexington s financial statements; and

Considered other information such as financial studies, analyses, and investigations as well as financial and economic and market criteria that it deemed relevant.

In connection with its review, Wachovia Securities relied upon the accuracy and completeness of the foregoing financial and other information, and did not assume any responsibility for any independent verification of such information. Wachovia Securities also assumed the accuracy and completeness of the financial forecasts provided by Lexington and Newkirk for purposes of its opinion. With respect to the financial forecasts, Wachovia Securities assumed that the estimates and judgments expressed by management of each of Newkirk and Lexington in such forecasts were reasonably formulated and that they were the best currently available estimates and judgments of the respective managements of each of Lexington and Newkirk regarding the anticipated future financial performance, in the case of Lexington, Lexington, in the case of Newkirk, Lexington and Newkirk and, in the case of the combined company following the merger, Lexington, and that such combined company forecasts will be realized in the amount and timeframes contemplated thereby. Wachovia Securities assumes no responsibility for and expressed no view as to any such forecasts or the assumptions upon which they are based. In arriving at its opinion, Wachovia Securities did not prepare or obtain any independent evaluations or appraisals of the assets or liabilities of either Lexington or Newkirk nor was Wachovia Securities provided with any such evaluations or appraisals.

In rendering its opinion, Wachovia Securities assumed that the merger will be consummated on the terms described in the merger agreement, without waiver of any material terms or conditions, and that in the course of obtaining any necessary legal, regulatory or third-party consents or approvals, no restrictions will be imposed that will have an adverse effect on the merger, Lexington or other actions contemplated by the merger agreement in any respect meaningful to Wachovia Securities opinion. Wachovia Securities opinion was necessarily based on economic, market, financial and other conditions and the information made available to Wachovia Securities as of July 23, 2006. Wachovia Securities opinion did not address any of the provisions of the Second Amended and Restated Newkirk Partnership Agreement.

Wachovia Securities opinion did not address the merits of the underlying decision by Lexington to enter into the merger agreement and does not and shall not constitute a recommendation to any holders of Lexington common shares as to how they should vote in connection with the merger. In addition, Wachovia Securities did not express any opinion with respect to the prices at which Lexington common shares will trade at any time.

57

The following summaries of Wachovia Securities financial analyses present some information in tabular format. In order to fully understand the financial analyses used by Wachovia Securities, the tables must be read together with the text of each summary. The tables alone do not constitute a complete description of the financial analyses. Accordingly, the analyses listed in the tables and described below must be considered as a whole. Considering any portion of such analyses and the factors considered, without considering all analyses and factors, could create a misleading or incomplete view of the process underlying Wachovia Securities opinion.

Lexington Analysis

Historical Stock Trading Analysis. Wachovia Securities reviewed the closing trading prices of Lexington common shares for the 12-month period ended July 21, 2006, the last trading day prior to the announcement of the merger. Wachovia Securities compared the high and low closing prices over such 12-month period to Lexington s common share closing price of \$20.97 on July 21, 2006. The following table summarizes this review.

Lexington Common Share Closing Price			
Time Period / Trading Days	Price per Share	Time Period / Trading Days	Price per Share
July 21, 2006	\$ 20.97	180-Day Average	\$ 21.19
10-Day Average	\$ 21.13	52-Week High (8/2/05)	\$ 24.32
30-Day Average	\$ 20.80	Last 12 Months Average	\$ 21.66
60-Day Average	\$ 20.83	Last 12 Months Median	\$ 21.49
90-Day Average	\$ 20.89	52-Week Low (6/14/06)	\$ 19.89

Analyst Consensus Net Asset Value Analysis. Wachovia Securities reviewed equity research analyst estimates of net asset value per share for Lexington. Wachovia Securities compared the high and low of the analyst estimates to Lexington s common share closing price of \$20.97 on July 21, 2006, the last trading day prior to the announcement of the merger. The following table summarizes this review.

 Implied Lexington

 Common Share Price

 High:
 \$ 25.00

 Low:
 \$ 18.46

 Comparable Companies Analysis. Using publicly available information, including estimated funds from operations (FFO) per share for 2006

 published by First Call Corporation, a division of Thomson Financial Services (First Call), Wachovia Securities analyzed certain trading

 multiples of selected publicly traded net lease REITs that it believed were reasonably comparable to Lexington. These companies included the following:

American Financial Realty Trust; National Retail Properties, Inc.; Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc.; Realty Income Corporation; Spirit Finance Corporation

For each of the comparable companies, Wachovia Securities calculated the multiple of equity market price to the consensus estimate of its 2006 FFO per share, as reported by First Call, based on the closing share prices as of July 21, 2006. Wachovia Securities calculated the high and low trading multiples for the comparable companies and applied these multiples to the consensus First Call 2006 FFO per share estimate for Lexington of \$1.91 which resulted in the following range of implied share prices for each Lexington common share:

58

	2006 FFO	Implied Lexington
	Multiple	Common Share Price
High:	16.8x	\$ 32.10
Low:	7.1x	\$ 13.55

None of the companies utilized in the above analyses for comparative purposes is identical to Lexington. Accordingly, a complete analysis of the results of the foregoing calculations cannot be limited to a quantitative review of such results and involves complex considerations and judgments concerning the differences in the financial and operating characteristics of the comparable companies and other factors that could affect the public trading value of the comparable companies as well as the potential trading value of Lexington.

Precedent Transactions Analysis. Using publicly available information, including FFO estimates published by First Call, Wachovia Securities examined selected transactions involving publicly traded real estate companies announced since January 2001. The selected precedent transactions included the following:

Target

DRA Advisors LLC Eaton Vance / ProLogis Commercial Net Lease Realty Inc. General Electric Capital Capital Automotive REIT Keystone Property Trust Captec Net Lease Realty Franchise Finance Corp. of America

For each of the selected precedent transactions, Wachovia Securities calculated the multiple of the equity transaction price to the target company s forward 12-month FFO per share based upon First Call consensus estimates. Wachovia Securities determined the high and low multiples for the precedent transactions and applied these figures to the consensus First Call 2006 FFO estimate for Lexington of \$1.91 per share to arrive at the following range of implied share prices for each Lexington common share:

	Transaction	Implied Lexington
	FFO Multiple	Common Share Price
High:	14.5x	\$ 27.70
Low:	8.1x	\$ 15.47

Premiums Paid Analysis. Wachovia Securities reviewed the same transactions used in the precedent transactions analysis to determine the premium or discount paid by the acquiror relative to the closing market price of the target company s common shares for the day prior and relative to the average of the closing market prices of the target company s common shares for the 10 trading days prior to the public announcement of the respective transaction.

Using publicly available information, Wachovia Securities calculated, among other things, the high and low premium paid in these selected transactions and applied these figures to the closing price of Lexington common shares on July 21, 2006 and to the average closing price of Lexington common shares for the 10 trading days ended July 21, 2006. This analysis resulted in the following range of implied share prices for each Lexington common share:

	Implied Lexington	
	Common Share Price	
High:	\$ 24.53	
Low:	\$ 20.55	
Dividend Discount Analysis. Wachovia Securities performed a dividend discount analysis of Lexington	s common shares using First Call	
		-

consensus FFO per share estimates through 2008, grown at 3.0% per year thereafter, and Lexington management s projected dividends per share for 2006 through 2010. Wachovia Securities calculated the implied present values of projected cash dividends for Lexington for 2006 through 2010 using discount rates ranging from 9.0% to 11.0%. Wachovia Securities then calculated implied terminal values in 2010 based on

59

multiples ranging from 9.5x to 11.5x 2011 FFO per share. These implied terminal values were then discounted at discount rates ranging from 9.0% to 11.0% to arrive at implied present values. Wachovia Securities derived a range of implied per share prices for Lexington common shares based on the sum of the respective implied present value of Lexington s projected cash dividends and the implied present value of Lexington s terminal value in 2010. Discount rates utilized in this analysis were derived from historic REIT equity returns and FFO multiples were derived based upon current and historic trading levels of Lexington common shares. This analysis resulted in the following range of implied share prices for each Lexington common share:

Implied Lexington Common Share Price \$ 23.50 \$ 18.95

High: Low: Newkirk Analysis

Historical Stock Trading Analysis. Wachovia Securities reviewed the closing trading prices of Newkirk common stock from November 1, 2005, the pricing date of Newkirk s IPO, through July 21, 2006, the last trading day prior to the announcement of the merger. Wachovia Securities compared the high and low closing prices over such period to the Newkirk common stock s closing price of \$16.95 on July 21, 2006. The following table summarizes this review.

Newkirk Common Share Closing Price			
Time Period / Trading Days	Price per Share	Time Period / Trading Days	Price per Share
July 21, 2006	\$ 16.95	150-Day Average	\$ 17.04
10-Day Average	\$ 17.24	52-Week High (3/15/06)	\$ 18.87
30-Day Average	\$ 17.15	Last 12 Months Average	\$ 16.76
60-Day Average	\$ 17.31	Last 12 Months Median	\$ 16.99
90-Day Average	\$ 17.58	52-Week Low (11/2/05)	\$ 15.05
		IPO Price (11/1/05)	\$ 16.00

Analyst Consensus Net Asset Value Analysis. Wachovia Securities reviewed equity research analyst consensus estimates of net asset value per share for Newkirk. Wachovia Securities compared the high and low of the analyst consensus estimates to the Newkirk common stock s closing price of \$16.95 on July 21, 2006, the last trading day prior to the announcement of the merger. The following table summarizes this review.

 Implied Newkirk

 Common Share Price

 High:
 \$ 18.00

 Low:
 \$ 17.50

 Comparable Companies Analysis. Using publicly available information, including estimated FFO per share for 2006 published by First Call,

 Wachovia Securities analyzed certain trading multiples of selected publicly traded net lease REITs that it believed were reasonably comparable

American Financial Realty Trust; Lexington Corporate Properties Trust; National Retail Properties, Inc.; Realty Income Corporation; Spirit Finance Corporation

to Newkirk. These companies included the following:

60

For each of the comparable companies, Wachovia Securities calculated the multiple of equity market price to the consensus estimate of its 2006 FFO per share, as reported by First Call, based on the closing share prices as of July 21, 2006. Wachovia Securities calculated the high and low trading multiples for the comparable companies and applied these multiples to the adjusted consensus First Call 2006 FFO per share estimate for Newkirk of \$2.39. The consensus FFO estimate for Newkirk was adjusted to exclude the estimate of Friedman Billings Ramsey & Co. since it was not updated since March 17, 2006 and to include Credit Suisse s FFO estimate as of June 19, 2006, the date on which it announced it dropped equity research coverage on Newkirk. This analysis resulted in the following range of implied share prices for each Newkirk common share:

2006 FFO Multiple Implied Newkirk Common Share Price

 High:
 16.8x
 \$ 40.17

 Low:
 10.5x
 \$ 25.12

 None of the companies utilized in the above analyses for comparative purposes is identical to Newkirk. Accordingly, a complete analysis of the results of the foregoing calculations cannot be limited to a quantitative review of such results and involves complex considerations and judgments concerning the differences in the financial and operating characteristics of the comparable companies and other factors that could affect the public trading price of the comparable companies as well as the potential trading price of Newkirk.

Precedent Transactions Analysis. Using publicly available information, including FFO estimates published by First Call, Wachovia Securities examined selected transactions involving publicly traded real estate companies announced since January 2001. The selected precedent transactions included the following:

Acquiror	Target
Brandywine Realty Trust	Prentiss Properties Trust
DRA Advisors LLC	Capital Automotive REIT
Eaton Vance / ProLogis	Keystone Property Trust
Commercial Net Lease Realty Inc.	Captec Net Lease Realty
General Electric Capital	Franchise Finance Corp. of America
For each of the selected precedent transactions, Wachovia Securities c	alculated the multiple of the equity transaction price to the target

company s forward 12-month FFO per share based upon First Call consensus estimates. Wachovia Securities determined the high and low multiples for the precedent transactions and applied these figures to the adjusted consensus First Call 2006 FFO estimate for Newkirk of \$2.39 per share to arrive at the following range of implied share prices for each share of Newkirk common stock:

	Transaction	Implied Newkirk	
	FFO Multiple	Common Share Price	
High:	14.5x	\$ 34.66	
Low:	8.1x	\$ 19.36	
Pramiums Paid Analysis Washavia Securi	ties reviewed the same transactions used in the precedent to	ransactions analysis to determine the	

Premiums Paid Analysis. Wachovia Securities reviewed the same transactions used in the precedent transactions analysis to determine the premium or discount paid by the acquiror relative to the closing market price of the target company s common shares for the day prior and relative to the average of the closing market prices of the target company s common shares for the 10 trading days prior to the public announcement of the respective transaction.

Using publicly available information, Wachovia Securities calculated, among other things, the high and low premium paid in these selected transactions and applied these figures to the closing price of Newkirk common stock on July 21, 2006 and to the average closing price of Newkirk common stock for the 10 trading days ended July 21, 2006. This analysis resulted in the following range of implied share prices for each share of Newkirk common stock:

61

Implied Newkirk Common Share Price \$ 19.83 \$ 16.61

High: Low:

Dividend Discount Analysis. Wachovia Securities performed a dividend discount analysis of Newkirk s common stock using First Call adjusted consensus FFO per share estimates and Newkirk management s projected dividends per share for 2006 through 2008. Wachovia Securities calculated the implied present values of projected cash dividends for Newkirk for 2006 through 2008 using discount rates ranging from 9.0% to 11.0%. Wachovia Securities then calculated implied terminal values in 2008 based on multiples ranging from 7.0x to 11.0x 2009 FFO per share. These implied terminal values were then discounted at discount rates ranging from 9.0% to 11.0% to arrive at implied present values. Wachovia Securities derived a range of implied per share prices for the Newkirk common stock based on the sum of the respective implied present value of Newkirk s projected cash dividends and the implied present value of Newkirk s terminal value in 2008. Discount rates utilized in this analysis were derived from historic REIT equity returns and FFO multiples were derived based upon current and historic trading levels of the Newkirk common stock. This analysis resulted in the following range of implied share prices for each share of Newkirk common stock:

	Implied Newkirk
	Common Share Price
High:	\$ 19.30
Low:	\$ 13.18
Combined Company Analyses	

Historical Stock Price Ratio Analyses. Wachovia Securities compared the exchange ratio of the merger to the historical ratio of the closing price of the Newkirk common stock to that of Lexington common shares on July 21, 2006 and average closing prices of the Newkirk common stock to Lexington common shares over various periods since November 1, 2005, the pricing date of Newkirk s IPO. The following table presents the results of this analysis:

	Premium /
	(Discount)
	(1.0%)
July 21, 2006	
10 Trading Days	(1.9%)
30 Trading Days	(3.0%)
60 Trading Days	(3.7%)
90 Trading Days	(4.9%)
January 1, 2006 to July 21, 2006	(1.2%)
Since November 1, 2005	1.1%

Contribution Analysis. Wachovia Securities reviewed and analyzed First Call consensus estimates for Lexington and adjusted First Call consensus estimates for Newkirk and compared the relative contributions to the combined company s amounts. Wachovia Securities also compared the relative contributions of each company based upon the market equity value (including units of limited partnership interest on an as if converted basis) of each of Lexington and Newkirk as of July 21, 2006. The following table presents the results of this analysis:

Contribution Analysis

Contribution %

FFO Estimates Based on Consensus Analysts Reported by First Call*	Forecasts as	
2006		
Lexington		44.4%
Newkirk		55.6%

2007	
Lexington	48.9%
Newkirk	51.1%
2008	
Lexington	51.0%
Newkirk	49.0%
Market Common Equity Value	
Lexington	52.9%
Newkirk	47.1%
Economic Ownership of Lexington Realty Trust	
Lexington	53.2%
Newkirk	46.8%

* Adjusted estimates for Newkirk.

Relative Valuation Analysis. Wachovia Securities calculated the implied exchange ratios, based upon the individual valuation analyses of Lexington and Newkirk, derived from the respective historical stock trading analyses, analyst consensus net asset value analyses, comparable companies analyses, precedent transactions analyses, premiums paid analyses and the dividend discount analyses. The following table is a summary of the ranges of exchange ratios implied by comparing the applicable Lexington analysis to the applicable Newkirk analysis.

	Low	High
Historical Stock Trading	0.6188x	0.9487x
Analyst Consensus Net Asset Value	0.7000x	0.9751x
Comparable Companies	0.7825x	2.9652x
Precedent Transactions	0.6990x	2.2400x
Premiums Paid	0.6770x	0.9650x
Dividend Discount	0.5606x	1.0187x

Pro Forma Merger Analysis. Using First Call consensus estimates for Lexington and adjusted First Call consensus estimates for Newkirk, Wachovia Securities analyzed certain pro forma effects of the merger, including, among other things, the impact of the merger on FFO per share estimates for Lexington for 2007 and 2008. The pro forma merger analysis implied that the merger would be dilutive to Lexington s 2007 and 2008 FFO per share after taking into account transaction costs, purchase accounting adjustments required by U.S. GAAP and other transaction adjustments.

Additionally, Wachovia Securities analyzed certain pro forma effects of the merger, including the impact of the merger on Lexington management s estimates of FFO per share and adjusted funds from operations (AFFO) per share, for 2007 through 2009 based on several scenarios. The results of the pro forma merger analysis implied that the merger would be dilutive to Lexington s 2007 FFO per share and accretive to Lexington s 2008 and 2009 FFO per share after taking into account the effects of growth that would not exist without the merger and operating synergies, transaction costs, purchase accounting adjustments as required by U.S. GAAP and other transaction adjustments. The results of the analysis also implied that the merger would be accretive to Lexington s AFFO per share in each year from 2007 through 2009.

Additional Matters

The summary above does not purport to be a complete description of the analyses performed by Wachovia Securities, but describes, in summary form, the material elements of the analyses underlying its opinion dated July 23, 2006. The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex process and is not necessarily susceptible to a partial analysis or summary description. In arriving at its opinion, Wachovia Securities considered the results of all of its analyses as a whole and did not attribute any particular weight to any analysis or factor considered by it. Wachovia Securities believes that the summary provided and the analyses described above must be considered as a

whole and that selecting portions of these analyses, without considering all of them, would create an incomplete view of the process underlying its analyses and opinion.

In performing its analyses, Wachovia Securities made numerous assumptions with respect to industry performance, general business and economic conditions and other matters, many of which are beyond Lexington s and Newkirk s control. No company, transaction or business used in the analyses described above is identical to Lexington, Newkirk or the merger. Any estimates contained in Wachovia Securities analysis are not necessarily indicative of future results or actual values, which may be significantly more or less favorable than those suggested by these estimates. The analyses performed were prepared solely as a part of Wachovia Securities analysis of the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration taken in the aggregate to be received by holders of Lexington common shares pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement and were conducted in connection with the delivery by Wachovia Securities of its opinion dated July 23, 2006, to Lexington s board of trustees. The merger consideration was determined through negotiations between Lexington, Newkirk, members of their respective senior management teams and respective advisors, and was approved by Lexington s board of trustees. Wachovia Securities did not recommend any specific consideration to Lexington or that any given consideration constituted the only appropriate consideration for the merger.

Wachovia Securities opinion was one of the many factors taken into consideration by Lexington s board of trustees in making its determination to approve the merger. Wachovia Securities analyses summarized above should not be viewed as determinative of the opinion of Lexington s board of trustees with respect to Lexington s value or of whether Lexington s board of trustees would have been willing to agree to a different form of consideration.

Wachovia Securities is a nationally recognized investment banking and advisory firm and a subsidiary of Wachovia Corporation. Wachovia Securities and its affiliates provide a full range of financial advisory, securities and lender services for which it receives customary fees. Wachovia Securities and its affiliates (including Wachovia Corporation and its affiliates) have, in the past, had or currently have other relationships with each of Newkirk or Lexington. In connection with unrelated matters, Wachovia Securities and its affiliates in the past have provided financing services to Lexington. Wachovia Securities acted as placement agent for Lexington for secured mortgage indebtedness of \$10.1 million in aggregate principal amount in 2005, \$51.5 million in aggregate principal amount in 2004 and \$13.4 million in aggregate principal amount in 2003. Additionally, Wachovia Securities acted as lender to Lexington for \$7.7 million of secured mortgage indebtedness in 2004. In addition, Wachovia Securities acted as sole book-running manager in public offerings of Lexington common shares for \$61.7 million in July 2005, \$127.2 million in February 2004, \$102.6 million in October 2003, \$77.0 million in April 2003 and \$42.6 million in September 2002. Furthermore, Wachovia Securities acted as lead arranger for Lexington s \$200.0 million credit facility in June 2005, pursuant to which Wachovia Securities committed \$45.0 million. Wachovia Securities also acted as placement agent for Lexington in a private placement of Lexington common shares of \$35.0 million in June 2004. Wachovia Securities also maintains active equity research on Lexington. In addition, Wachovia Securities currently, and in the future may, provide similar or other banking and financial services to, and maintain its relationship with, Lexington and Newkirk. Additionally, in the ordinary course of its business, Wachovia Securities may trade in the securities of Lexington and Newkirk and their respective affiliates for its own account and for the accounts of its customers and accordingly, may at any time hold a long or short position in such securities. An affiliate of Wachovia Securities held, as of July 23, 2006, approximately 616,000 Lexington common shares.

Pursuant to a letter agreement dated April 19, 2006, Lexington engaged Wachovia Securities as its exclusive financial advisor with respect to a possible strategic transaction. Wachovia Securities and Lexington amended the engagement on June 29, 2006. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, as amended, Lexington has agreed to pay Wachovia Securities fees consisting of:

An opinion fee of \$1.1 million payable upon delivery of an opinion; and

A transaction fee of \$9.5 million against which such opinion fee will be credited.

Lexington has also agreed to reimburse Wachovia Securities for its expenses incurred in performing its services, including the fees and expenses of Wachovia Securities counsel, subject to a maximum total

reimbursement of \$125,000, and to indemnify Wachovia Securities and its affiliates, their respective directors, officers, agents and employees and each person, if any, controlling Wachovia Securities or any of its affiliates against certain liabilities and expenses, including certain liabilities under federal securities laws, related to or arising out of Wachovia Securities engagement and any related transactions.

Opinion of Newkirk s Financial Advisor, Bear Stearns

Pursuant to an engagement letter dated June 13, 2006, Newkirk s board of directors retained Bear Stearns to act as its financial advisor with respect to a possible transaction with Lexington. In selecting Bear Stearns, Newkirk s board of directors considered, among other things, the fact that Bear Stearns is an internationally recognized investment banking firm with substantial experience advising companies in the real estate industry as well as substantial experience providing strategic advisory services. Bear Stearns, as part of its investment banking business, is continuously engaged in the evaluation of businesses and their debt and equity securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions; underwritings, private placements and other securities offerings; senior credit financings; valuations; and general corporate advisory services.

At the July 23, 2006 meeting of Newkirk s board of directors, Bear Stearns delivered its oral opinion, which was subsequently confirmed in writing, that, as of July 23, 2006, and based upon and subject to the assumptions, qualifications and limitations set forth in the written opinion, the exchange ratio of 0.80, as defined in the Bear Stearns written opinion, was fair, from a financial point of view, to the public stockholders of Newkirk who did not enter into voting or other types of agreements in connection with the merger.

The full text of Bear Stearns written opinion is attached as Annex E to this joint proxy statement/prospectus and you should read the opinion carefully and in its entirety. The opinion sets forth the assumptions made, some of the matters considered and qualifications to and limitations of the review undertaken by Bear Stearns. The Bear Stearns opinion is subject to the assumptions and conditions contained therein and is necessarily based on economic, market and other conditions and the information made available to Bear Stearns as of the date of the Bear Stearns opinion.

In reading the discussion of the fairness opinion set forth below, you should be aware that Bear Stearns opinion:

was provided to Newkirk s board of directors for its benefit and use;

did not constitute a recommendation to the board of directors of Newkirk or to the stockholders of Newkirk as to how to vote in connection with the merger or otherwise; and

did not address Newkirk s underlying business decision to pursue the merger, the relative merits of the merger as compared to any alternative business strategies that might exist for Newkirk, the financing of the merger or the effects of any other transaction in which Newkirk might engage.

Although Bear Stearns evaluated the fairness of the exchange ratio, from a financial point of view, to Newkirk public stockholders, the exchange ratio itself was determined by Newkirk and Lexington through arm s-length negotiations. Newkirk did not provide specific instructions to, or place any limitations on, Bear Stearns with respect to the procedures to be followed or factors to be considered by it in performing its analyses or providing its opinion.

In connection with rendering its opinion, Bear Stearns:

reviewed a draft dated July 23, 2006 of the Agreement and Plan of Merger in substantially final form;

reviewed Newkirk s Annual Reports to Shareholders and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the years ended December 31, 2005, its Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the period ended

March 31, 2006, its preliminary results for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 and its Current Reports on Form 8-K filed since December 31, 2005;

reviewed certain operating and financial information relating to Newkirk s businesses and prospects, including projections and projected acquisitions for the five years ended December 31, 2011 all as prepared and provided to Bear Stearns by Newkirk s management (which we refer to as the Newkirk projections);

reviewed certain estimates of revenue enhancements, cost savings and other combination benefits expected to result from the merger, all as prepared and provided to Bear Stearns by Newkirk s and Lexington s managements, which Bear Stearns

refers to as the synergies;

met with certain members of Newkirk s senior management to discuss Newkirk s and Lexington s businesses, operations, historical and projected financial results and future prospects;

reviewed Lexington s Annual Reports to Shareholders and Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the years ended December 31, 2003, 2004 and 2005, its Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2006, its preliminary results for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 and its Current Reports on Form 8-K filed since December 31, 2005;

reviewed certain operating and financial information relating to Lexington s business and prospects, including projections and projected acquisitions for the 10 years ended December 31, 2016, (i) as prepared and provided to Bear Stearns by Lexington s management in a Confidential Information Memorandum dated March 24, 2006 and adjusted by Newkirk s management and (ii) as prepared by Lexington s management and provided to Bear Stearns on July 13, 2006 and as adjusted by Newkirk s management (which we refer to collectively as the Lexington projections and together with the Newkirk projections);

met with certain members of Lexington s senior management to discuss Lexington s business, operations, historical and projected financial results and future prospects;

reviewed the historical prices, trading multiples and trading volumes of the Newkirk common stock and Lexington common shares;

reviewed publicly available financial data, stock market performance data and trading multiples of companies which Bear Stearns deemed generally comparable to Newkirk and Lexington;

reviewed the terms of recent mergers and acquisitions involving companies which Bear Stearns deemed generally comparable to Newkirk;

performed discounted cash flow analyses based on various of the Newkirk projections and Lexington projections;

reviewed the relative contributions of Newkirk and Lexington to the combined company on a pro forma basis;

reviewed the pro forma financial results, financial condition and capitalization of Newkirk and the combined company giving effect to the merger; and

conducted such other studies, analyses, inquiries and investigations as Bear Stearns deemed appropriate.

Bear Stearns relied upon and assumed, without independent verification, the accuracy and completeness of the financial and other information provided to or discussed with it by Newkirk and Lexington, including, without

66

limitation, the projections and the synergies, or obtained by Bear Stearns from public sources. With respect to the projections and the synergies, Bear Stearns relied on representations that they were reasonably prepared on bases reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of the senior management of each of Newkirk and Lexington, respectively, as to the expected future performance of Newkirk, Lexington and the combined company following the merger. Bear Stearns did not assume any responsibility for the independent verification of any such information, including, without limitation, the projections and the synergies, and Bear Stearns further relied upon the assurances of the senior management of each of Newkirk and Lexington that they are unaware of any facts that would make the information, the projections and the synergies incomplete or misleading.

In arriving at its opinion, Bear Stearns did not perform or obtain any independent appraisal of the assets or liabilities, contingent or otherwise, of Newkirk or Lexington, nor was Bear Stearns furnished with any such appraisals. In rendering its opinion, Bear Stearns analyzed the merger as a strategic business combination, and did not solicit, nor was it asked to solicit, third party acquisition interest in Newkirk. Bear Stearns has assumed that the merger will qualify as a tax-free reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. Bear Stearns assumed that the merger will be consummated in a timely manner and in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement without any limitations, restrictions, conditions, amendments or modifications, regulatory or otherwise, that collectively would have a material effect on Newkirk, Lexington or the combined company.

Bear Stearns did not express any opinion as to the price or range of prices at which the Newkirk common stock or the Lexington common shares may trade subsequent to the announcement or consummation of the merger.

The following is a brief summary of the material financial analyses performed by Bear Stearns and presented to Newkirk s board of directors in connection with rendering its fairness opinion.

Some of the financial analyses summarized below include summary data and information presented in tabular format. In order to understand fully the financial analyses, the summary data and tables must be read together with the full text of the analyses. Considering the summary data and tables alone could create a misleading or incomplete view of Bear Stearns financial analyses.

Comparable Company Analysis. Bear Stearns analyzed selected historical and 2006 and 2007 estimated operating information for Newkirk provided by management of Newkirk and compared this data to that of five publicly traded triple-net lease REITs, deemed by Bear Stearns to be generally comparable to Newkirk. No company or transaction used in the analysis described below is directly comparable to Newkirk or the contemplated merger. The analyses performed by Bear Stearns are not necessarily indicative of actual values or future results, which may be significantly more or less favorable than suggested by these analyses. Bear Stearns used the earnings forecasts for these companies from publicly available data, First Call and selected Wall Street equity research reports. In conducting its analysis, Bear Stearns analyzed the multiples of the following comparable companies:

Realty Income Corporation

National Retail Properties, Inc.

Entertainment Properties Trust

Spirit Finance Corporation

Getty Realty Corp.

Bear Stearns reviewed, among other things, the comparable companies multiples of price to fiscal year 2006 and 2007 estimated (2006E and 2007E) funds from operations, FFO, and adjusted funds from operations, AFFO. The multiples are based on closing stock prices of the companies on July 21, 2006. The following table summarizes the analysis:

PRICE / FFO and PRICE / AFFO

	Price / FFO		Price / AFFO		
Realty Income Corporation	<u>2006E</u> 13.4x	<u>2007E</u> 12.7x	<u>2006E</u> 13.3x	<u>2007E</u> 12.7x	
National Retail Properties, Inc.	13.0	12.4	12.9	12.3	
	67				
	07				
Entertainment Properties Trust	11.9	11.2	12.6	11.6	
Spirit Finance Corporation	10.7	9.2	10.9	9.8	
Getty Realty Corp.	14.3	13.9	15.4	15.0	
Lexington Corporate Properties Trust	11.4	10.8	12.1	11.4	
Newkirk Realty, Inc.	7.0	7.9	7.7	9.1	
Newkirk Realty, Inc. at July 19, 2006	6.9	7.8	7.6	9.0	
Comparable Precedent Transaction Analysis Bear Stearns an	alvzed publicly av	vailable financial i	nformation relatin	g to four merger and	

Comparable Precedent Transaction Analysis. Bear Stearns analyzed publicly available financial information relating to four merger and acquisition transactions involving companies in the triple-net lease REIT industry which Bear Stearns deemed generally comparable to the transaction contemplated by the merger agreement. No company or transaction used in the analyses described below is directly comparable to Newkirk or the contemplated merger. The analyses performed by Bear Stearns are not necessarily indicative of actual values or future results, which may be significantly more or less favorable than suggested by these analyses.

The precedent transactions in the Bear Stearns analysis were (Target/Acquiror):

Capital Automotive REIT / DRA Advisors, LLC

US Restaurant Properties, Inc. / CNL Restaurant Properties, Inc.

Captec Net Lease Realty, Inc. / Commercial Net Lease Realty, Inc.

TriNet Corporate Realty Trust, Inc. / Starwood Financial Trust

Bear Stearns reviewed, among other things, the ratio of the price to one year forward FFO implied in the respective precedent transactions. Bear Stearns observed that these precedent transactions were both (i) not directly comparable to the contemplated merger and (ii) occurred over an extended time period. Bear Stearns further observed that the valuation of triple net lease REITS has varied over the past 8 years (the period when these precedent transactions occurred).

Discounted Cash Flow Analysis. Bear Stearns performed illustrative relative discounted cash flow analyses, DCF, on Newkirk and Lexington based on projections for the six years from 2006 through 2011 from Newkirk management and projections provided by Lexington in a Confidential Information Memorandum dated March 24, 2006 as adjusted by Newkirk management as well as projections provided by Lexington on July 13, 2006 as adjusted by Newkirk management.

Bear Stearns calculated illustrative relative net present value ranges of the common stocks of Newkirk and Lexington and the implied exchange ratio by using the projections from both companies existing portfolios, consolidated joint ventures and unconsolidated joint ventures. Bear Stearns used a range of weighted average cost of capital, or WACC, of 9.0% to 10.5% for Newkirk and 8.0% to 9.5% for Lexington, both assuming an equity risk premium of 5.0%. Bear Stearns used a range of exit capitalization rates of 7.00% to 8.00% in 2011. The following table summarizes the DCF analysis using the projections provided by Newkirk and Lexington on July 13, 2006 and, in the case of Lexington, as adjusted by Newkirk management:

WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL NEWKIRK/LEXINGTON

		9.0%/8.0%	9.5%/8.5%	10.0%/9.0%	10.5%/9.5%
	7.0%	0.779x	0.791x	0.804x	0.817x
Exit	7.25	0.794	0.806	0.820	0.834
Сар	7.50	0.808	0.822	0.837	0.852
Rate	7.75	0.823	0.838	0.854	0.871
	8.00	0.839	0.855	0.872	0.890

Relative Contribution Analysis. Bear Stearns performed illustrative relative contribution analyses for the combined company using projected FFO, AFFO and revenues. For each metric, Bear Stearns analyzed three scenarios: existing rent in-place with no deployment of capital, deployment of existing capital and incremental leverage, and deployment of existing capital plus leveraging the incremental scale of the combined company. The

68

following chart illustrates the relative contribution that each standalone company would bring to the new combined company for FFO:

	Existing Rent In-place No Deployment of Capital FFO						
	2005A	2006PF	2007P	2008P	2009P	2010P	2011P
Newkirk Existing Portfolio Lexington Existing Portfolio	54.5% 45.5%	54.3% 45.7%	48.6% 51.4%	47.3% 52.7%	40.4% 59.6%	36.7% 63.3%	37.6% 62.4%

	2005A	2006PF	2007P	2008P	2009P	2010P	2011P
Newkirk Existing Portfolio	54.5%	53.6%	44.9%	40.8%	33.5%	29.3%	29.5%
Newkirk Base Acquisitions	0.0%	1.4%	6.8%	12.2%	14.7%	16.4%	17.3%
Lexington Acquisitions	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	1.6%	2.5%	3.7%	4.6%
Lexington Existing Portfolio	45.5%	45.0%	47.5%	45.4%	49.3%	50.6%	48.8%
	2005A	2006PF	2007P	2008P	2009P	2010P	2011P
	5 4 5 0	70 (01		10.00	22.19		
Newkirk Existing Portfolio	54.5%	53.6%	44.9%	40.2%	32.1%	27.5%	27.0%
Newkirk Base Acquisitions	0.0%	1.4%	6.8%	12.1%	14.1%	15.4%	16.0%
Newkirk Acquisitions Leveraging Platform	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	4.0%	6.1%	7.8%
Lexington Acquisitions	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	1.5%	2.4%	3.5%	4.2%
Lexington Existing Portfolio	45.5%	45.0%	47.5%	44.8%	47.3%	47.5%	45.0%

Including Deployment of Existing Capital and Incremental Leverage - - - FFO

The following chart illustrates the relative contribution that each standalone company would bring to the new combined company for AFFO:

Existing Rent In-place No Deployment of Capital - - - AFFO

	2005A	2006PF	2007P	2008P	2009P	2010P	2011P
Newkirk Existing Portfolio	55.5%	58.6%	52.3%	46.3%	38.6%	38.0%	40.2%
Lexington Existing Portfolio	44.5%	41.4%	47.7%	53.7%	61.4%	62.0%	59.8%

Including Deployment of Existing Capital and Incremental Leverage - - - AFFO

	2005A	2006PF	2007P	2008P	2009P	2010P	2011P
Newkirk Existing Portfolio	55.5%	57.8%	48.9%	40.5%	32.1%	30.5%	31.9%
Newkirk Base Acquisitions	0.0%	1.3%	6.0%	11.2%	14.6%	16.4%	16.6%
Lexington Acquisitions	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	1.4%	2.3%	3.5%	4.1%
Lexington Existing Portfolio	44.5%	40.9%	44.5%	47.0%	51.0%	49.7%	47.4%

Including Deployment of Existing Capital Plus Leveraging Incremental Scale of Combined Company - - - AFFO

	2005A	2006PF	2007P	2008P	2009P	2010P	2011P
Newkirk Existing Portfolio	55.5%	57.8%	48.9%	40.0%	30.9%	28.7%	29.6%
Newkirk Base Acquisitions	0.0%	1.3%	6.0%	11.1%	14.1%	15.4%	15.5%
Newkirk Acquisitions Leveraging Platform	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	3.7%	5.7%	7.0%
Lexington Acquisitions	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	1.4%	2.3%	3.3%	3.8%
Lexington Existing Portfolio	44.5%	40.9%	44.5%	46.5%	49.1%	46.8%	44.0%

Has / Gets Analysis. Bear Stearns performed illustrative Has / Gets analyses for the combined company using projected dividends, FFO per share, and AFFO per share. For projected dividends, Bear Stearns analyzed the existing dividends per share for the standalone company against three scenarios: existing rent in-place with no deployment of capital, deployment of existing capital and incremental leverage, and deployment of existing capital plus leveraging the incremental scale of the combined company. For each of these scenarios the dilution ranged from 18.8% to 25.0%. For projected FFO per share and AFFO per share, Bear Stearns analyzed both the existing per share amount and the existing per share amount with deployment of existing capital and incremental

leverage against three scenarios: deployment of existing capital and excess cash flow, deployment of existing capital plus leveraging the incremental scale of the combined company, and Lexington s analysis with acquisitions. The following chart illustrates the accretion / dilution range for the Has / Gets analyses for FFO:

Accretion / Dilution versus Existing Rent In-Place FFO

Deployment of Existing Capital Deployment with Leverage Lexington Board Presentation dated July 12

2006PF	-15.9%	-15.9%	NA
2007P	-13.4%	-13.4%	-17.4%
2008P	3.9%	5.3%	-0.7%
2009P	38.8%	44.8%	37.1%
2010P	67.7%	78.8%	68.7%

Year

Year

	Accretion / Dilution vers	us Deployment of Existing Capital a	nd Incremental Leverage FFO
Year	Deployment of Existing Capital	Deployment with Leverage	Lexington Board Presentation dated July 12
2006PF	-17.8%	-17.8%	NI A
			NA
2007P	-25.1%	-25.1%	-28.6%
2008P	-21.4%	-20.45	-24.9%
2009P	-4.7%	-0.6%	-5.9%
2010P	9.2%	16.4%	9.9%
The following	chart illustrates the accretion / dilution	range for the Has / Gets analyse	es for AFFO:

Accretion / Dilution versus Existing Rent In-Place AFFO Danlaumant with La

	Activition / Dilution versus Existing Kent In-1 lact AFFO					
Year	Deployment of Existing Capital	Deployment with Leverage	Lexington Board Presentation dated July 12			
2006PF	-21.6%	-21.6%	NA			
2007P	-3.2%	-3.2%	-8.1%			
2008P	19.3%	20.7%	16.6%			
2009P	54.5%	60.4%	52.5%			
2010P	65.6%	75.0%	63.5%			

Accretion / Dilution versus Deployment of Existing Capital and Incremental Leverage AFFO

Deployment of Existing Capital Deployment with Leverage Lexington Board Presentation dated July 12

2006PF	-23.0%	-23.0%	NA
2007P	-14.4%	-14.4%	-18.7%
2008P	-8.0%	-6.9%	-10.1%
2009P	5.4%	9.5%	4.1%
2010P	8.9%	15.1%	7.5%

The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex process and involves various judgments and determinations as to the most appropriate and relevant assumptions and financial analyses and the application of those methods to the particular circumstances involved. Such an opinion is therefore not readily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description, and taking portions of the analyses set out above, without considering the analysis as a whole, would in the view of Bear Stearns, create an incomplete and misleading picture of the processes underlying the analyses considered in rendering the Bear Stearns opinion. Bear Stearns based its analysis on assumptions that it deemed reasonable, including assumptions concerning general business and economic conditions and industry-specific factors. Bear Stearns did not form an opinion as to whether any individual analysis or factor, whether positive or negative, considered in isolation, supported or failed to support the Bear Stearns opinion. In arriving at its opinion, Bear Stearns considered the results of all its analyses and did not attribute any particular weight to any one analysis or factor. Bear Stearns arrived at its ultimate opinion based on the results of all analyses undertaken by it and assessed as a whole and believes that the totality of the factors considered and analyses performed by Bear Stearns in connection with its opinion operated collectively to support its determination as to the fairness of the exchange ratio to be received by the shareholders of Newkirk. The analyses performed by Bear Stearns, particularly those based on estimates and projections, are not necessarily indicative of actual values or actual future results, which may be significantly more or less favorable than suggested by such analyses. None of the public companies used in the comparable company analysis described above are identical to Newkirk or Lexington, and none of the precedent transactions used in the precedent transactions analysis described above are identical to the merger. Accordingly, an analysis of publicly traded comparable companies and comparable precedent transactions is not mathematical; rather it involves complex considerations and judgments concerning the

70

differences in financial and operating characteristics of the companies and precedent transactions and other factors that could affect the value of Newkirk and the public trading values of the companies and precedent transactions to which they were compared. The analyses do not purport to be appraisals or to reflect the prices at which any securities may trade at the present time or at any time in the future.

The Bear Stearns opinion was just one of the many factors taken into consideration by Newkirk s board of directors. Consequently, Bear Stearns analysis should not be viewed as determinative of the decision of Newkirk s board of directors with respect to the fairness of the aggregate consideration to be received, from a financial point of view, by the public shareholders of Newkirk.

Pursuant to the terms of Bear Stearns engagement letter, Newkirk has agreed to pay Bear Stearns a customary transaction fee, a substantial portion of which is payable upon consummation of the transaction contemplated by the merger agreement. In addition, Newkirk has agreed to reimburse Bear Stearns for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred by Bear Stearns in connection with its engagement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including reasonable fees and disbursements of its legal counsel. Newkirk has agreed to indemnify Bear Stearns against certain liabilities arising out of or in connection with Bear Stearns engagement.

Bear Stearns has been previously engaged by Newkirk to provide certain investment banking and other services for which it received customary fees. Mr. Carl Glickman is a trustee of Lexington and also serves on the board of directors of The Bear Stearns Companies Inc. In addition, Bear Stearns in the past has been engaged by Lexington or its affiliates to provide certain investment banking and other services in matters unrelated to the merger, for which it has received customary fees. Furthermore, Bear Stearns in the past has been engaged by Winthrop Realty Partners, Winthrop, Vornado and Apollo Management LP or its affiliates, each of which is a stockholder or unit holder or affiliate of Newkirk or an affiliate of a Newkirk stockholder or unit holder, to provide certain investment banking and other services in matters unrelated to the merger, for which it has received customary fees.

In the ordinary course of business, Bear Stearns and its affiliates may actively trade the equity and debt securities and/or bank debt of Newkirk and/or Lexington and their respective affiliates for its own account and for the accounts of its customers and, accordingly, may at any time hold a long or short position in such securities or bank debt.

Interests of Lexington s Executive Officers, Trustees and Certain Security Holders in the Merger

The executive officers of Lexington may have been entitled to payments in connection with the consummation of the merger under the terms of their employment agreements with Lexington. Each of these executive officers has waived his rights, on a one-time basis in connection with the merger, with respect to such payments.

We believe these waivers align the interests of the executive officers of Lexington with the interests of the other Lexington common shareholders with respect to the merger.

Interests of Newkirk s Executive Officers, Directors and Certain Security Holders in the Merger

Directors and officers of Newkirk and certain security holders have interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Newkirk common stockholders generally. Newkirk s board of directors was aware of these interests and considered them, among other matters, in approving the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions and making their recommendations. These interests include:

Michael L. Ashner Employment Agreement. Michael L. Ashner, who is the current Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Newkirk, will be appointed as Executive Chairman and Director of Strategic Transactions of Lexington upon completion of the merger pursuant to an employment agreement. Under the terms of the

employment agreement, Mr. Ashner will be entitled to an initial base salary of \$450,000, subject to annual review as well as bonuses, incentive compensation and other standard benefits. In addition, if Mr. Ashner terminates his employment for good reason or if Lexington terminates Mr. Ashner s employment without cause or, if Mr. Ashner s employment agreement is terminated prior to but in connection with a change in control of Lexington, Mr. Ashner will be entitled to receive significant severance payments as well as certain other benefits. See The Merger Agreement Ancillary Documents Michael L. Ashner Employment Agreement.

Advisory Agreement Termination Fee. Effective upon consummation of the merger, Newkirk will terminate its advisory agreement with NKT Advisors for an aggregate payment by Newkirk of \$12.5 million, \$7.0 million attributable to the base management fee under the agreement and \$5.5 million attributable to the incentive management fee. NKT Advisors is 80% owned by FUR Holdings LLC and 20% owned by an affiliate of Vornado. Vornado will be entitled to receive up to \$2.5 million of the advisory agreement termination fee in respect of its interest in NKT Advisors. Vornado is a significant shareholder in Newkirk and its designee, Clifford Broser, is a member of Newkirk s board and will serve as a trustee of the combined company. FUR Holdings LLC is controlled by Michael Ashner, and is 25.0%, 2.46%, 1.2% and 1.6% owned by Michael Ashner, Peter Braverman, Thomas Staples and Carolyn Tiffany, respectively, the executive officers of NKT Advisors. FUR Holdings LLC also currently holds 24.1% of the common shares of Winthrop and is the sole owner of Winthrop s external advisor. \$4.4 million of the termination payment will inure to the benefit of Winthrop through a reduction in the advisory fee payable to Winthrop s external advisor.

Certain Benefits to Winthrop. Michael L. Ashner, who is the current Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Newkirk, is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Winthrop. Winthrop currently holds 1.25 million shares of Newkirk common stock which it received from Newkirk for the assignment of Newkirk s exclusivity arrangement with Michael L. Ashner with respect to business opportunities related to net leased properties that are offered to or generated by Mr. Ashner. As of September 1, 2006, 468,750 of those shares were subject to forfeiture by Winthrop upon the occurrence of certain events during the period expiring November 7, 2008. Those events include the termination of Newkirk advisory agreement with NKT Advisors for cause or the resignation of Michael L. Ashner as an officer and director of both Newkirk and NKT Advisors. If the merger does not occur, these shares will be released from the forfeiture restrictions at the rate of 17,361 shares per month. In addition, Winthrop also owns 3,125,000 shares of Newkirk common stock that it acquired from Newkirk at the time of Newkirk s public offering. All of the 4,375,000 shares of Newkirk common stock owned by Winthrop are subject to lock up and all forfeiture restrictions will lapse.

Property Management Agreements. For a period of one year following the merger, all existing management agreements between Newkirk and Winthrop Management L.P., an affiliate of Mr. Ashner, will not be terminated except in accordance with their terms and Winthrop Management L.P. or its affiliate will be retained as the property manager for all of the MLP s properties and all properties acquired by Lexington during that time, in all cases where a property manager is retained. After one year all such agreements may be terminated by Lexington without cause.

Exemption from Ownership Limitation. Lexington has agreed to grant exemptions from its 9.8% ownership limitation to two significant security holders in Newkirk, Apollo and certain of its affiliates and Vornado Realty L.P., an affiliate of Vornado. Apollo will be granted a waiver to the extent it beneficially owns up to 18,687,236 Lexington common shares and Vornado will be granted a waiver to the extent it owns up to 8,149,593 Lexington common shares plus up to 3,500,000 Lexington common shares owned by Winthrop (which may be deemed to be constructively owned by Vornado by virtue of Vornado s ownership of Winthrop common shares). Apollo and Vornado were each previously granted ownership waivers by Newkirk in connection with Newkirk s initial public offering.

Early Termination of Lock Up Agreements. Lock up agreements with certain officers and directors of Newkirk with respect to an aggregate of 747,502 post-reverse split MLP units will be terminated as of the effective date of the merger. Lock up agreements restricting the sale of common shares by Mr. Ashner will continue in full effect until 2009.

72

Indemnification and Insurance. The merger agreement provides that any exculpation and indemnification provided by Newkirk, the MLP or NKT Advisors to each current or former director, officer, employee or other fiduciary of Newkirk, the MLP or NKT Advisors will be assumed by Lexington and continue in full force and effect in accordance with their terms. In addition, Lexington will indemnify and hold harmless the current directors, officers or fiduciaries of Newkirk, the MLP, NKT Advisors or any of their respective subsidiaries to the fullest extent

permitted by law in connection with any claim, judgments, fines, penalties and settlements arising out of such person s service as an officer, director or fiduciary of Newkirk, the MLP, NKT Advisors or their respective subsidiaries. Further, Lexington has agreed to purchase or maintain directors and officers liability insurance coverage for the benefit of those individuals currently covered by Newkirk or the MLP s insurance for a period of six years following the merger, with respect to claims arising from facts or events that occurred on or prior to the effective time of the merger.

Voting Agreements. Apollo, AP-Newkirk Holdings LLC, WEM-Brynmawr Associates LLC, WRT Realty L.P. and Michael L. Ashner have each entered into voting agreements with Lexington which require each of them to vote all Newkirk voting shares and MLP units beneficially owned by each of them as of the record date for the Newkirk special meeting in favor of the merger proposal (and against competing proposals).

No Dissenters Rights of Appraisal

Maryland law provides that in some mergers shareholders who do not vote in favor of a merger and who comply with a series of statutory requirements have the right to receive, instead of the merger consideration, the fair value of their shares as appraised by appraisers appointed by a Maryland court or, in certain circumstances, by the court itself, payable in cash. However, this right to appraisal is not available under the Maryland law to holders of Newkirk common stock or Lexington common shares in connection with the merger, because the Newkirk common stock and Lexington common shares are listed for trading on the NYSE.

Regulatory Matters

Neither Lexington nor Newkirk is aware of any material federal or state regulatory requirements that must be complied with or approvals that must be obtained by Lexington or Newkirk in connection with the merger.

Stock Exchange Listing and Related Matters

Lexington has agreed to use its reasonable best efforts to cause the Lexington common shares to be issued in the merger to be approved for listing, upon official notice of issuance, on the New York Stock Exchange. Lexington filed a supplemental listing application with the New York Stock Exchange on September 11, 2006 and received confirmation on , 2006 that the New York Stock Exchange has authorized, upon official notice of issuance, the listing of these shares.

If the merger is completed, Newkirk common stock will be delisted from the New York Stock Exchange and Newkirk will file a Form 15 to deregister its common shares under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Accounting Treatment

The merger will be treated as a purchase for financial accounting reporting purposes. This means that Lexington will record all assets acquired and all liabilities assumed at their estimated fair values at the time the merger is completed.



Newkirk is a Variable Interest Entity (VIE), due to the MLP unitholders having a voting interest. As a result of being a VIE, 100% of the assets and liabilities are recorded at their estimated fair values including the related impact to minority interest.

In particular, the fair value of the real estate acquired is allocated to land, building and improvements, above-market and below-market leases and other value of in-place leases, based in each case on their fair values.

The fair value of land, building and improvements and fixtures and equipment is determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant, and the as-if-vacant value is then allocated to land, building and improvements based on management s determination of relative fair values of these assets. Factors considered by management in performing these analyses include an estimate of carrying costs during the expected lease-up periods, considering current market conditions and costs to execute similar leases. In estimating carrying costs, management includes real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses and estimates of lost rental revenue during the expected lease-up periods based on current market

demand. Management also estimates costs to execute similar leases including leasing commissions.

In allocating the purchase price to the fair value of the above-market and below-market in-place leases, the values are based on the difference between the current in-place lease rent and a management estimate of current market rents. Below-market lease values are recorded as part of the deferred revenue and amortized into rental revenue over the non-cancelable periods of the respective leases including any periods covered by a bargain lease renewal. Above-market leases are recorded as part of real estate and amortized as a direct charge against rental revenue over the non-cancelable primary portion of the respective leases.

The aggregate value of acquired in-place lease intangibles, is measured by the excess (i) the purchase price paid for a property over (ii) the estimated fair value of the property as if vacant, determined as set forth above. The value of the in-place lease intangibles are amortized to expense over the remaining non-cancelable periods of the respective leases.

Merger Fees, Costs and Expenses

All expenses incurred in connection with the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions will be paid by the party incurring those expenses, except that Lexington and Newkirk have agreed to share equally the fees, costs and expenses related to filing, printing and mailing Lexington s registration statement on Form S-4 and this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Lexington and Newkirk have agreed to pay certain of the other party s fees in specified circumstances if the merger agreement is terminated. See The Merger Agreement Termination Fees; Other Expenses.

Restrictions on Resale of Lexington Common Shares Issued in the Merger

Lexington common shares issued to Newkirk common stockholders in the merger will be freely transferable under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, referred to herein as the Securities Act, except for shares issued to any person who may be deemed to be an affiliate of Newkirk within the meaning of Rule 145 under the Securities Act or who will become an affiliate of Lexington within the meaning of Rule 144 under the Securities Act after the merger. Lexington common shares received by persons who are deemed to be Newkirk affiliates or who will become Lexington affiliates may be resold by these persons only in transactions permitted by the limited resale provisions of Rule 145 or as otherwise permitted under the Securities Act. Persons who may be deemed to be affiliates of Newkirk generally include individuals or entities that, directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, control, are controlled by or are under common control with Newkirk and may include officers, trustees and principal shareholders of Newkirk.

As described under **The Merger Agreement Ancillary Agreements**, Lexington has agreed to assume, with respect to Lexington common shares received by certain holders of Newkirk common stock and MLP units in

74

exchange for Newkirk common stock and MLP units, Newkirk s obligations under a number of registration rights agreements relating to the resale of such Lexington common shares.

Upon the closing of the merger, 83,200 Lexington common shares issuable in connection with the merger and 847,542 post-reverse split MLP units owned by Michael L. Ashner will be subject to transfer restrictions until the earlier of November 1, 2009 or the termination of Mr. Ashner s employment with the combined company. Until such date, Mr. Ashner has agreed to not transfer or otherwise dispose of such common shares or common shares issued on redemption of such MLP units.

Trustees and Executive Officers of the Combined Company

Board of Trustees

Lexington s board of trustees will be increased from nine to 11 trustees as of the effective time of the merger. Stanley R. Perla and Seth M. Zachary will resign from Lexington s board of trustees. Michael L. Ashner, Clifford Broser and Richard Frary, each of whom is currently a member of Newkirk s board of directors, and William J. Borruso, a director of LSAC (an affiliate of Lexington), will be joining Lexington s

board of trustees.

Executive Officers

Lexington s current executive officers are generally expected to continue to hold office after the effective time of the merger in their current capacities, until their successors are duly elected and qualified or until their earlier resignations or removals, with the exception of E. Robert Roskind. Mr. Roskind will step down as Chairman of Lexington and will be appointed a Co-Vice Chairman of the combined company. The combined company will appoint Michael L. Ashner as Executive Chairman and Director of Strategic Transactions and Lara Johnson as Executive Vice President of Strategic Transactions.

75

THE MERGER AGREEMENT

The following is a summary of the material terms of the merger agreement but does not describe each of the provisions of the merger agreement. The merger agreement has been included as Annex A in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated herein by reference to provide you with information regarding its terms. It is not intended to provide any other factual information about Lexington and Newkirk. That information can be found elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and in the other public filings each of Lexington and Newkirk makes with the Securities and Exchange Commission. See Where You Can Find More Information . You should read the merger agreement because it, and not this joint proxy statement/prospectus, is the legal document that governs the terms of the merger.

Structure of the Merger

The merger agreement provides for the merger of Newkirk with and into Lexington, with Lexington as the surviving company.

Merger Consideration

At the effective time of the merger, each issued and outstanding share of Newkirk common stock shall be converted into the right to receive 0.80 of a Lexington common share.

Change of Name

At the effective time of the merger, the name of the surviving entity will be changed to Lexington Realty Trust.

Closing and Effective Time of the Merger

Unless Lexington and Newkirk agree otherwise, the closing of the merger will occur on the second business day following the satisfaction or waiver of the closing conditions. See Conditions to Completion of the Merger. The merger will become effective at such time as the articles of merger are accepted for record by the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland, or at such later time as Lexington and Newkirk shall agree and specify in the articles of merger.

Exchange of Securities; No Fractional Shares; Lost, Stolen or Destroyed Certificates; Withholding Rights

Exchange of Securities

Lexington will deposit with Mellon Investor Services, LLC or another bank or trust company, cash and certificates evidencing Lexington common shares to be paid or issued to the holders of Newkirk common stock under and as contemplated by the merger agreement. Promptly after the merger, each record holder of a certificate evidencing a share of common stock of Newkirk will be sent a letter of transmittal and instructions on how to surrender such certificate. Thereafter, each holder of Newkirk common stock who returns a duly executed transmittal letter and such other documents as are reasonably required by the exchange agent and surrenders any certificates evidencing such holder s shares of Newkirk common stock will receive a certificate or certificates evidencing the number of full Lexington common shares into which the

aggregate number of shares of Newkirk common stock owned by such holder have been converted pursuant to the merger agreement, plus any cash that such holder is entitled to in lieu of fractional Lexington common shares and in respect of any dividends or other distributions to which such holder is entitled.

76

Holders of unexchanged shares of Newkirk common stock will not be entitled to receive any dividends or other distributions payable by Lexington with respect to those Lexington common shares into which such shares of Newkirk common stock are to be converted pursuant to the merger agreement or cash in lieu of fractional Lexington common shares (to the extent applicable) until the applicable Newkirk certificate is surrendered. Upon surrender or transfer, those holders will receive, without interest, any accumulated dividends and distributions together with any cash in lieu of fractional shares.

No Fractional Shares

Each holder of shares of Newkirk common stock exchanged in the merger who would otherwise have been entitled to receive a fraction of a Lexington common share will receive, in lieu thereof, cash in an amount equal to the product of (i) such fractional part of a Lexington common share multiplied by (ii) the average closing prices of Lexington common shares quoted on the New York Stock Exchange for the five trading day period immediately preceding the third trading day immediately prior to the closing date of the merger. As promptly as practicable after the determination of the amount of cash, if any, to be paid to holders of fractional interests, the exchange agent will so notify Lexington, and Lexington will cause the exchange agent to forward payments to such holders of fractional interests.

Lost, Stolen or Destroyed Certificates

Upon the making of an affidavit that a certificate evidencing shares of Newkirk common stock has been lost, stolen or destroyed, and at Lexington s option upon the delivery of an indemnity bond, the exchange agent will issue the Lexington common shares, any cash in lieu of fractional Lexington common shares (to the extent applicable) and any unpaid dividends or other distributions in respect of the Lexington common shares represented by the lost, stolen or destroyed certificate to which the holder is entitled.

Withholding Rights

Lexington will be entitled to deduct and withhold from the consideration otherwise payable pursuant to the merger agreement to any holder of shares of Newkirk common stock such amounts as they are required to deduct and withhold with respect to the making of such payment under the Internal Revenue Code and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, or any provision of state, local or foreign tax law.

Representations and Warranties

The merger agreement contains representations and warranties made by Lexington to Newkirk and Newkirk to Lexington. These representations and warranties relate to, among other things:

existence, good standing, authority and compliance with law;

subsidiaries;

capitalization;

authority to enter into the merger agreement and related agreements and to consummate the merger;

no conflicts, required filings and consents;

neither the merger agreement nor the consummation of the merger will breach organizational documents or material agreements;

neither the merger agreement nor the consummation of the merger requires any governmental consents;

77

permits;
compliance;
compliance with SEC reporting requirements;
financial statements prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP);
no material undisclosed liabilities;
the absence of certain changes since April 1, 2006;
the absence of material legal proceedings;
benefits, labor and employee matters;
accuracy and compliance of this joint proxy statement/prospectus;
real property and leases;
pending transactions regarding personal property;
property title and title insurance;
compliance with requirements of governmental authorities;
condemnation or rezoning proceedings;
third-party purchase options;
site work and reimbursements due from third parties;
property management agreements;
participation agreements;
required material repairs or alterations;
renovations or restorations in progress;
intellectual property;
tax matters, including qualification as a REIT and tax protection agreements;
environmental matters;
material contracts, debt instruments and hedging transactions;
brokers, finders or investment bankers fees;
receipt of opinions of financial advisors;

78

insurance;

disclosure of all related party transactions;

exemption of the merger from anti-takeover statutes;

inapplicability of the Investment Company Act of 1940; and

compliance with the Patriot Act.

Certain of these representations and warranties are qualified as to materiality or material adverse effect. For purposes of the merger agreement, material adverse effect is defined as described below under *Definition of Material Adverse Effect*.

The representations and warranties in the merger agreement do not survive the effective time of the merger and, except as described below under Termination Fees; Other Expenses if the agreement is validly terminated, neither party will have any liability or obligation for its representations and warranties, or otherwise under the merger agreement, unless the party has willfully breached any representation, warranty or covenant contained therein.

Conduct of Business Pending the Merger

Until the completion of the merger, each of Lexington and Newkirk have agreed that, without the other party s prior written consent or except as contemplated by the merger agreement, Lexington and Newkirk will, and will cause its respective subsidiaries to, among other things:

conduct its business only in the ordinary course of business and in a manner that is consistent with past practice;

use commercially reasonable efforts to keep available the services of its officers and key employees and to preserve its relationships with tenants, customers, suppliers and others with whom it does business; and

use commercially reasonable efforts to maintain its assets and properties in their current condition between signing and closing;

In addition, pending the merger, each of Lexington and Newkirk have agreed that, without the other party s prior written consent or except as contemplated by the merger agreement, Lexington and Newkirk will not, and will cause its respective subsidiaries not to, among other things:

amend its charter/declaration of trust or by-laws or the organizational or governance documents of its operating partnership(s);

issue, sell, repurchase or redeem any shares of its capital stock or equity equivalents, other than:

 with respect to Lexington: (i) the issuance of Lexington common shares (A) under outstanding options, Lexington s Series C Preferred Shares and Lexington s joint venture investment programs, (B) in exchange for operating partnership units, (C) in connection with Lexington s dividend reinvestment plan, employee stock purchase plan or director stock plan; (ii) the issuance of shares by Lexington Strategic Asset Corp. in connection with its initial public offering; (iii) the issuance of operating partnership units in connection with property acquisitions; and (iv) the repurchase of up to 2.0 million Lexington common shares; and

79

with respect to Newkirk: (i) the issuance of shares of Newkirk common stock in exchange for MLP units; (ii) the
issuance of MLP units in connection with property acquisitions; and (iii) the redemption of MLP units pursuant to the
MLP partnership agreement.

split, combine or reclassify any of its or its subsidiaries shares or partnership interests;

declare, set aside or pay any dividends or distributions on any of its subsidiaries equity securities, other than

with respect to Lexington: (i) regular quarterly dividends on Lexington common shares and corresponding regular quarterly distributions payable to operating partnership unitholders (not in excess of \$0.365 per share or unit);
 (ii) distributions required under Lexington s joint venture agreements; (iii) the Lexington special distribution;
 (iv) regular cash dividends on Lexington s preferred shares; (v) dividends or distributions paid by any of Lexington s subsidiaries to Lexington directly or indirectly; (vi) dividends or distributions required for Lexington to maintain its status as a REIT or avoid paying income or excise tax otherwise payable; and (vii) in the event Newkirk makes a distribution to maintain its status as a REIT or avoid income or excise tax, a corresponding distribution equal to 125% of the excess of Newkirk s distribution over its regular quarterly dividend; and

with respect to Newkirk: (i) regular quarterly dividends on shares of Newkirk common stock and corresponding regular quarterly distributions payable to operating partnership unit holders (not in excess of \$0.40 per share or unit); (ii) distributions by 111 Debt Acquisition Holdings LLC; (iii) dividends or distributions paid by any of its subsidiaries to it directly or indirectly; (iv) dividends or distributions required for it to maintain its status as a REIT or avoid paying income or excise tax otherwise payable; and (v) in the event Lexington makes a distribution to maintain its status as a REIT or to avoid income or excise tax, a corresponding distribution equal to 80% of the excess of Lexington s distribution over its regular quarterly dividend;

except for identified assets or within certain thresholds and for obligations in effect on July 23, 2006, purchase or acquire any assets;

subject to certain exceptions, incur debt in excess of normal working capital borrowings;

except as may be required by any benefit plan in effect on July 23, 2006, increase the compensation or benefits payable, or grant any severance rights, to directors, trustees, officers or employees;

subject to certain exceptions pay, prepay or satisfy any debt where a prepayment penalty or similar charge will apply;

except as contemplated by the merger agreement, amend or waive any performance or vesting criteria or accelerate vesting, exercisability or funding under any benefit plan;

change, in any material respect, any of Lexington s accounting principles or practices except as required by U.S. GAAP or changes in law;

except pursuant to agreed-upon criteria and for obligations in effect on December 21, 2005, enter into, renew, terminate or materially modify any lease;

make capital expenditures or undertake development activities, in each case other than in accordance with agreed-upon budgets;

except for identified assets or within certain thresholds and for obligations in effect on July 23, 2006, dispose of or sell any assets;

settle or compromise any material litigation;

make any material tax election or settle or compromise any material tax liability;

enter into any tax protection agreements;

with respect to Newkirk, amend the advisory agreement with NKT Advisors;

subject to certain exceptions, enter into agreements with affiliates; and

agree to take any of the foregoing actions.

Notwithstanding the covenants in the merger agreement, nothing prohibits either company from taking any action to maintain its qualification as a REIT or discharge its duties (fiduciary or otherwise) to certain of its subsidiaries.

The covenants in the merger agreement relating to the conduct of Lexington s business and Newkirk s business are very detailed and the above description is only a summary. You are urged to read carefully and in its entirety the section of the merger agreement entitled Conduct of Business Pending the Closing in Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Other Covenants

Each of Lexington and Newkirk have agreed to certain other covenants regarding general matters, including but not limited to:

preparing and filing with the SEC this joint proxy statement/prospectus and holding a shareholders or stockholders meeting to vote on the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions; subject to certain limitations, Lexington s board of trustees recommending that Lexington common shareholders and Newkirk s board of directors recommending that Newkirk voting stockholders approve the merger agreement, the merger

and the related transactions and use reasonable efforts to obtain the necessary shareholder or stockholder approval; providing the other party and its representatives and designees access to its and its subsidiaries properties, books, records, contracts and other information;

with respect solely to Newkirk, preparing and filing with the SEC a proxy statement and holding a meeting of the MLP unitholders to vote on the amended MLP partnership agreement;

subject to certain exceptions, refraining from soliciting proposals for alternative acquisition transactions;

entering into agreements with Apollo and Vornado exempting their ownership interests in Lexington Realty Trust from the ownership limits under Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust; and taking certain steps to consummate and make effective the merger.

Conditions to the Merger

Conditions to Each Party s Obligations to Effect the Merger

The obligations of Lexington and Newkirk to complete the merger are subject to the fulfillment or, where permissible, waiver of the following conditions:

approval of the merger by the requisite number of shareholders of Lexington and holders of Newkirk voting stock;

absence of any governmental order prohibiting, restricting or preventing the merger;

execution by the MLP, Lexington and certain operating partnerships of Lexington of a funding agreement described under **Ancillary Agreements**;

effectiveness of this registration statement and proxy on Form S-4;

no action or investigation by the SEC to suspend the effectiveness of this registration statement shall have been initiated and be continuing; and

receipt of all necessary approvals under state securities laws, the Securities Act or the Exchange Act relating to the issuance or trading of Lexington common shares.

Conditions to the Obligations of Lexington to Effect the Merger

The obligations of Lexington to complete the merger are subject to the satisfaction or, where permissible, waiver of the following additional conditions:

the representations and warranties of Newkirk in the merger agreement that (i) are not made as of a specific date shall be true and correct in all material respects (without giving effect to any limitation as to materiality set forth therein) as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the closing of the merger, as though made on and as of the closing; and (ii) are made as of a specific date shall be true and correct (without giving effect to any limitation as to materiality set forth therein) as of such date, in each case except where the failure of such representations or warranties to be true and correct (without giving effect to any limitation as to materiality or Material Adverse Effect set forth therein) would not have a Material Adverse Effect;

Newkirk shall have performed, in all material respects, all obligations and complied with, in all material respects,

all agreements and covenants to be performed and complied with by it on or prior to the Closing;

each of the ancillary agreements to which Newkirk or certain of its subsidiaries is a party shall have been duly executed and delivered by Newkirk;

the Amended MLP partnership agreement shall have been approved and adopted by the requisite vote of the holders of the MLP units;

Michael L. Ashner shall have executed his employment agreement with Lexington;

Lexington shall have received the opinion of Paul Hastings as to the qualification of the merger as a reorganization under the Code and Newkirk shall have received the opinion of Katten Muchin as to certain tax matters relating to Newkirk s status as a REIT;

82

Newkirk shall have received certain specified permits, authorizations, consents and approvals; and

there shall not have occurred any event, circumstance, change or effect that individually or in the aggregate has had or is reasonably likely to have a Material Adverse Effect with respect to Newkirk.

Conditions to Newkirk s Obligations to Effect the Merger

Newkirk s obligations to complete the merger are subject to the satisfaction or, where permissible, waiver of the following additional conditions:

the representations and warranties of Lexington in the merger agreement that (i) are not made as of a specific date shall be true and correct in all material respects (without giving effect to any limitation as to materiality set forth therein) as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the closing of the merger, as though made on and as of the closing; and (ii) are made as of a specific date shall be true and correct (without giving effect to any limitation as to materiality set forth therein) as of such date, in each case except where the failure of such representations or warranties to be true and correct (without giving effect to any limitation as to materiality or Material Adverse Effect set forth therein) would not have a Material Adverse Effect;

Lexington shall have performed, in all material respects, all obligations and complied with, in all material respects, all agreements and covenants to be performed and complied with by it on or prior to the Closing;

each of the ancillary agreements to which Lexington is a party shall have been duly executed and delivered by Lexington;

Lexington shall have taken all action necessary to reconstitute its board of trustees as set forth in the merger agreement, effective as of the closing of the merger;

Lexington shall have validly authorized its common shares to be issued in connection with the merger and such shares shall have been approved for listing on the New York Stock Exchange;

Newkirk shall have received the opinion of Katten Muchin as to the qualification of the merger as a reorganization under the Code and Lexington shall have received the opinion of Paul Hastings as to certain tax matters relating to Lexington s status as a REIT;

Lexington shall have received certain specified permits, authorizations, consents and approvals; and

there shall not have occurred any event, circumstance, change or effect that individually or in the aggregate has had or is reasonably likely to have a Material Adverse Effect with respect to Lexington.

Definition of Material Adverse Effect

Under the merger agreement, a material adverse effect means any event, circumstance, change or effect that is materially adverse to the financial condition or results of operations of Lexington and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, or Newkirk and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, as applicable. Any effects, however, resulting from the following will not be considered a material adverse effect:

any decrease in the market price or trading volume of Lexington common shares or Newkirk common stock, as applicable;

any events, circumstances, changes or effects that affect the real estate ownership and leasing business generally;

any changes in the United States or global economy or capital, financial or securities markets generally, including changes in interest or exchange rates;

the commencement or escalation of a war or armed hostilities or the occurrence of acts of terrorism or sabotage;

any changes in the general economic, legal, regulatory or political conditions in the geographic regions in which Lexington and its subsidiaries operate or Newkirk and its subsidiaries operate, as applicable;

any events, circumstances, changes or effects arising from the consummation or anticipation of the merger or the announcement of the execution of the merger agreement;

any events, circumstances, changes or effects arising from the compliance with the terms of, or the taking of any action required by, the merger agreement;

earthquakes, hurricanes or other natural disasters;

changes in law or U.S. GAAP; or

damage or destruction of any Lexington property or Newkirk property, as applicable, caused by casualty and not covered by insurance.

No Solicitation

Subject to certain exceptions, the merger agreement precludes both Lexington and Newkirk, and their respective subsidiaries, whether directly or indirectly through any officer, director, trustee, agent or otherwise, from:

soliciting, or initiating the submission of, any acquisition proposal; or

participating in any negotiations regarding, or furnishing any information with respect to, or otherwise cooperating with respect to any acquisition proposal.

However, Lexington or Newkirk, as the case may be, may do the foregoing with respect to an acquisition proposal provided:

such acquisition proposal was unsolicited and the board of Lexington or Newkirk, as the case may be, determines in good faith that such acquisition proposal constitutes or is reasonably likely to result in a superior proposal; and the board of Lexington or Newkirk, as the case may be, determines in good faith that failure to participate in such negotiations, furnish information or otherwise cooperate would be reasonably likely to be inconsistent with such board s duties under applicable law.

Acquisition proposal, as defined in the merger agreement, means with respect to Lexington, subject to certain limited exceptions, any proposal or offer for any:

0	Λ
0	4

merger, consolidation or similar transaction involving Lexington, certain Lexington partnerships or any Significant Subsidiary of Lexington (as defined in Rule 1-02 of Regulation S-X, but substituting 25% for the references to 10% therein);

sale, lease or other disposition, directly or indirectly, by merger, consolidation, share exchange or otherwise, of any assets of Lexington or its subsidiaries representing 25% or more of the consolidated assets of Lexington or its subsidiaries; issue, sale or other disposition of (including by way of merger, consolidation, share exchange or any similar transaction) securities (or options, rights or warrants to purchase, or securities convertible into, such securities) representing 25% or more of the votes associated with the outstanding securities of Lexington;

tender offer or exchange offer in which any person, entity or group (as such term is defined under the Exchange Act) shall acquire beneficial ownership (as such term is defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), or the right to acquire beneficial ownership, of 25% or more of the outstanding shares of Lexington common shares or outstanding equity interest of certain Lexington partnerships;

recapitalization, restructuring, liquidation, dissolution, or other similar type of transaction with respect to Lexington or the Lexington operating partnerships; or

transaction which is similar in form, substance or purpose to any of the foregoing transactions.

Superior proposal, as defined in the merger agreement, with respect to Lexington, means any acquisition proposal on terms which Lexington s board determines in good faith (taking into account such factors as the board deems appropriate, which factors may include any legal, financial and regulatory aspects of the proposal and the person or entity making the proposal) to be more favorable to Lexington s shareholders than the merger, taken as a whole.

There are parallel definitions of acquisition proposal and superior proposal in the merger agreement with respect to Newkirk and certain of its subsidiaries.

Termination of the Merger Agreement

The merger agreement may be terminated at any time prior to the effective time of the merger in writing:

by the mutual written consent of Newkirk and Lexington;

by either Lexington or Newkirk by written notice to the other party if there exists any order, decree or ruling or any other action, in each case permanently restraining, enjoining or otherwise prohibiting the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement;

by either Lexington or Newkirk by written notice to the other party if Newkirk, on the one hand, or Lexington, on the other hand, has materially breached any of its representations, warranties, covenants or agreements set forth in the merger agreement, such that such party s applicable closing condition is not satisfied and such breach is either not curable or has not been cured by the earlier of 60 days and March 31, 2007 (except that this right to terminate the merger agreement will not be available to any party if such party is then in material breach of any of its representations, warranties, covenants or agreements set forth in the merger agreement such that its applicable closing condition would not be satisfied or if such party s action or failure to act has been a principal cause of or resulting in the failure of the merger to be consummated on or prior to such date);

85

by either Lexington or Newkirk if Lexington shareholders do not approve the merger agreement and the merger at the Lexington special meeting duly convened or at any adjournment of that meeting;

by either Lexington or Newkirk if Newkirk stockholders do not approve the merger agreement and the merger at the Newkirk special meeting duly convened or at any adjournment of that meeting;

by Newkirk if Lexington s board of trustees (i) publicly withdraws or modifies in a manner adverse to Newkirk its approval or recommendation of the merger agreement or the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger; or (ii) publicly recommends or approves any acquisition proposal other than that contemplated by the merger agreement;

by Lexington if Newkirk s board of directors (i) publicly withdraws or modifies in a manner adverse to Lexington its approval or recommendation of the merger agreement or the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the merger; or (ii) publicly recommends or approves any acquisition proposal other than that contemplated by the merger agreement;

by Lexington if, prior to obtaining the approval of the Lexington shareholders at the Lexington special meeting, Lexington s board of trustees determines in good faith (i) to accept a superior proposal in accordance with the terms and subject to the conditions described in No Solicitation, and (ii) that failure to terminate the merger agreement would reasonably be likely to be inconsistent with the duties of Lexington s board of trustees under applicable law;

by Newkirk if, prior to obtaining the approval of the Newkirk stockholders at the Newkirk special meeting, Newkirk s board of directors determines in good faith (i) to accept a superior proposal in accordance with the terms and subject to the conditions described in No Solicitation, and (ii) that failure to terminate the merger agreement would reasonably be likely to be inconsistent with the duties of Newkirk s board of directors under applicable law; or

by either Lexington or Newkirk if the merger has not been completed by March 31, 2007, except that this right to terminate

the merger agreement will not be available to any party if such party is in material breach of the merger agreement.

Effect of Termination

If the merger agreement is terminated as described in Termination of the Merger Agreement, the merger agreement will be void and have no effect, and there will be no liability or obligation of Lexington or Newkirk, or their respective officers, directors, trustees, subsidiaries or partners, as applicable, except for willful breaches of the merger agreement, and as to confidentiality and the termination and other fees described in the following section.

Termination Fee and Expenses

Expenses

The merger agreement provides that each party will pay its own costs and expenses incurred in connection with the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, whether or not the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement are consummated.

Termination Fee

For Failure to Obtain Shareholder Approval. If the merger agreement is terminated by Lexington or Newkirk on account of a failure by the other party to obtain shareholder approval, then the party that failed to get

8	6

shareholder approval will promptly pay to the other party the lesser of (i) such party s transaction expenses and (ii) \$5.0 million (such amount referred to as the Non-Approval Expense Fee). If after such termination the party that failed to obtain shareholder approval enters into a definitive agreement with respect to an alternative acquisition proposal within six months, then upon signing of such definitive agreement, such party will pay the other party an amount equal to the difference between \$25.0 million (such amount referred to as the Break Up Fee) and the amount of the Non-Approval Expense Fee previously paid.

For Breach of Representations, Warranties, Covenants or Agreements. If the merger agreement is terminated by Lexington or Newkirk on account of an uncured breach by the other party, then the breaching party will promptly pay to the other party the lesser of (i) the terminating party s actual transaction expenses and (ii) \$5,000,000 (such amount referred to as the Expense Fee), and if within six months following such termination, the breaching party enters into a definitive agreement with respect to an alternative acquisition proposal, then, upon signing of such definitive agreement, the breaching party will pay to the other party an amount equal to the difference between the Break Up Fee and the Expense Fee previously paid.

For Withdrawal of Recommendation. If the merger agreement is terminated by Lexington or Newkirk on account of it s board either withdrawing its recommendation of the merger or recommending an alternative acquisition proposal, then the party withdrawing its recommendation or recommending an alternative acquisition proposal will promptly pay to the other party the Expense Fee, and if within six months following such termination, such party withdrawing its recommendation or recommending an alternative acquisition proposal, then upon signing of such definitive agreement, such party will pay to the other party an amount equal to the difference between the Break Up Fee and the Expense Fee previously paid.

For Good Faith Acceptance of Superior Proposal. If the merger agreement is terminated by Lexington or Newkirk on account of the other party accepting a superior proposal, then the party accepting such superior proposal will, upon termination of the merger agreement, pay to the other party the Break Up Fee.

Amendment of the Merger Agreement

Any provision of the merger agreement may be amended before the effective time of the merger if, but only if, the amendment is in writing and signed by each party to the merger agreement. However, after the holders of Lexington common shares have approved the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, no amendment may be made that requires the approval of the holders of Lexington common shares unless such approval is obtained.

Ancillary Agreements

The ancillary agreements to the merger agreement include, but are not limited to, the following:

Voting Agreements

Apollo, AP-Newkirk Holdings LLC, WEM-Brynmawr Associates LLC, WRT Realty L.P. and Michael L. Ashner have each entered into a voting agreement with Lexington which require each of them:

to vote all Newkirk voting shares beneficially owned by each of them as of the record date at the Newkirk special meeting in favor of the merger proposal and, if applicable, amending the MLP Agreement (and against competing proposals); and not to transfer Newkirk voting shares beneficially owned by each of them until after the termination date.

The obligations of Apollo, AP-Newkirk Holdings LLC, WEM-Brynmawr Associates LLC, WRT Realty L.P. and Michael L. Ashner under the voting agreements terminate upon the termination date, which is the earlier to occur of the date:

of the closing of the merger;

of the termination of the merger agreement pursuant to its terms;

if any, upon which Newkirk s board of directors withdraws its recommendation of the merger; and

if any, upon which Newkirk s board of directors recommends any acquisition proposal other than the merger.

Exclusivity Agreement

On the closing date of the merger, Michael L. Ashner and Lexington (as successor to Newkirk) will enter into an amended and restated exclusivity agreement on substantially the same terms as those contained in the exclusivity agreement between Mr. Ashner and Newkirk. Under this agreement, during the exclusivity period, Mr. Ashner is obligated to offer exclusively to Lexington each net lease business opportunity offered to or generated by Mr. Ashner.

Exclusivity period is defined in the amended and restated exclusivity agreement as the period beginning on the closing date of the merger and ending on:

if Lexington terminates the employment of Mr. Ashner other than for cause (as defined in the employment agreement between Lexington and Mr. Ashner) or if Mr. Ashner terminates his employment with Lexington for good reason (as defined in such employment agreement), the later of such date or the date on which Mr. Ashner ceases to be a trustee of Lexington; or

other than as set forth above, the six month anniversary of the later of (i) the date on which Mr. Ashner ceases to be an officer of Lexington and (ii) the date on which Mr. Ashner ceases to be a trustee of Lexington.

Net lease business opportunity is defined as any investment in real property or assets related thereto, other than certain specified excluded investments, which relate solely to:

a property that is either (a) triple net leased or (b) where a single tenant leases at least 85% of the rentable square footage of the property and, in addition to base rent, the tenant is required to pay some or all of the operating expenses for the property, and, in both (a) and (b) the lease has a remaining term, exclusive of all unexercised renewal terms, of more than 18 months; management agreements and master leases with terms of greater than three years where a manager or master lessee bears all operating expenses of the property and pays the owner a fixed return;

securities of companies including, without limitation, corporations, partnerships and limited liability companies, whether or not publicly traded, that are primarily invested in assets that meet the two requirements listed above; and

all re-tenanting and redevelopment associated with such properties, agreements and leases, and all activities incidental thereto.

Special Voting Preferred Stock

As part of the merger, Newkirk s special voting preferred stock will be converted into a share of beneficial interest, classified as special voting preferred stock of Lexington, which is not entitled to dividends. The Lexington special voting preferred stock will enable holders of the voting MLP units to retain the same voting rights with respect to Lexington that they presently have with respect to Newkirk. The number of votes will initially be 36,000,000, subject to reduction by the number of voting MLP units that are subsequently redeemed by Lexington. Pursuant to a voting trustee agreement to be entered into at the time of the merger, NKT Advisors will hold the Lexington special voting preferred stock and will cast the votes attached to the special voting preferred stock in proportion to the votes it receives from holders of voting MLP units, other than the general partner of the MLP (i.e. a Lexington affiliate), subject to the following limitations. First, Vornado will not have the right to vote for board members at any time when an affiliate of Vornado is serving or standing for election as a board member. In addition, at all other times, Vornado s right to vote in the election of trustees will be limited to the number of voting MLP units that it owns not to exceed 9.9% of Lexington s common shares outstanding on a fully diluted basis. NKT Advisors (through its managing member) will be entitled to vote in its sole discretion to the extent the voting rights of Vornado s affiliates are so limited. Unitholders in Lexington s operating partnerships do not have such a voting right. Accordingly, based on Lexington common shares and Newkirk common stock and MLP units outstanding as of the record date, Newkirk stockholders and MLP unitholders will be entitled to cast and/or direct the voting of approximately % of Lexington s votes.

Amendments to Lexington s Governing Documents in the Merger

The following is a summary of the material terms of the proposed amendments to Lexington s declaration of trust and the amendments to Lexington s By-laws. You should read the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and the Amended and Restated By-laws, copies of which have been attached as Annex B and Annex C, respectively, and are incorporated herein by reference, because they, and not this joint proxy statement/prospectus, are the legal documents that will govern your rights as Lexington shareholders after the merger.

If the merger agreement, the merger and the related transactions, including the adoption of the Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, are approved by the Lexington shareholders at the special meeting, Lexington s declaration of trust will be amended and restated in the merger. Lexington s declaration of trust will be restated to:

> incorporate the terms of Lexington s outstanding preferred shares, the Series B Preferred Shares and the Series C Preferred Shares, and all previous amendments to the declaration of trust made from time to time;

remove the terms of Lexington s Class A Senior Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, which is no longer outstanding or authorized to be issued; and

reflect the current information with respect to the registered agent and principal office of Lexington in the State of Maryland and identify the individuals that will serve as trustees until their successors are elected and qualified, as required by Maryland law in a restatement.

Lexington s declaration of trust will be amended in the merger to:

change Lexington s name to Lexington Realty Trust;

increase the total number of shares of beneficial interest of all classes which Lexington has authority to issue from 340,000,000 to 1,000,000,000 shares of beneficial interest (par value \$.0001 per share), of which 400,000,000 shares (formerly 160,000,000) shares are classified as "Common Stock," 500,000,000 shares (formerly 170,000,000 shares) are classified as "Excess Stock" and 100,000,000 shares (formerly 10,000,000 shares) are classified as "Preferred Stock." classify and set the terms of one share of beneficial interest in Lexington, designated as special voting preferred stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, which share will be issued in the merger to the holder of the special voting preferred stock of Newkirk (as described above under the heading Special Voting Preferred Stock);

remove as unnecessary a provision relating to the rights of successor trustees to the trust property;

eliminate a requirement to hold the annual meeting of shareholders within 15 days of the delivery of the annual report and six months after the end of the fiscal year, which is no longer required by Maryland law, and clarify that the annual meeting shall be called in accordance with the By-laws;

eliminate the requirement that conformed the delivery requirements and content of the annual report to a Maryland statute that has been repealed;

clarify that after termination of Lexington, it is a majority of the trustees that must execute and file with the records of Lexington and the State of Maryland evidence of such termination;

clarify that the Maryland General Corporation Law shall apply to Lexington to the extent not inconsistent with Lexington s declaration or By-laws or the Maryland REIT Law; and

conform defined terms and paragraph and section references throughout.

In connection with its approval of the merger, Lexington s board of trustees amended Lexington s By-laws to:

provide that the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act does not apply to any acquisition of Lexington shares;

eliminate the provision requiring at least 3 and no more than 9 trustees;

provide that the trustees may consent to actions without a meeting by electronic consent;

require the approval of a majority of the independent trustees of Lexington for any operating partnership of which Lexington or any of its affiliates is the general partner to make a distribution per unit that is larger than any corresponding distribution to be made by any other such operating partnership;

clarify that Lexington s board of trustees shall have a nominating and corporate governance committee consisting solely of independent trustees;

clarify the vote required to adjourn a shareholder meeting;

clarify the positions and duties of the officers of Lexington; and

provide that Lexington s board of trustees shall determine who shall be authorized to sign checks, notes, drafts, etc. and the manner in which they shall be signed.

Approval of the amendments to Lexington s By-laws does not require the vote of Lexington s shareholders and such vote is not being requested as part of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Registration Rights Agreements

Simultaneously with the consummation of the merger, Lexington will assume Newkirk s obligations under (i) registration rights agreements between Newkirk and each of Vornado Realty L.P., and Apollo covering the resale of an aggregate of 26,836,836 Lexington common shares issuable upon redemption of MLP units and (ii) a registration rights agreement with Winthrop covering the resale of 3,500,000 Lexington common shares. In addition, Lexington has agreed to enter into registration rights agreements with Michael L. Ashner and WEM-Brynmawr LLC with respect to 847,542 Lexington common shares issuable upon redemption of MLP units. As described below, Lexington common shares owned by Michael Ashner are subject to a lock up agreement.

90

Michael L. Ashner Employment Agreement

At the closing, Michael L. Ashner will enter into an employment agreement with Lexington, pursuant to which Mr. Ashner will serve as Executive Chairman and Director of Strategic Acquisitions. The employment agreement contains, without limitation, the following terms:

Mr. Ashner will devote such business time, energy, experience and talents to the business of Lexington and its affiliates as is reasonably required to perform his duties hereunder; provided, however, that Mr. Ashner will be able to engage in certain permitted activities including without limitation, serving as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of each of Winthrop, First Winthrop Corporation and Winthrop Realty Partners, L.P. and their respective affiliates, and serving as principal of FUR Advisors LLC, provided that FUR Advisors LLC engages in no business other than acting as advisor for Winthrop; The initial term of the agreement is three years, and is thereafter automatically renewable for additional periods of one year;

Mr. Ashner s initial base salary will be \$450,000, subject to annual review. Mr. Ashner is also entitled to bonuses and incentive compensation, and will receive medical, dental, pension, disability, life insurance, vacation, sick leave, and reimbursement of reasonable expenses;

Mr. Ashner s employment may be terminated at any time by Lexington with or without cause or by Mr. Ashner with or without good reason. If Mr. Ashner is terminated by Lexington prior to but in connection with a merger, such termination will be deemed to be without cause.

- o Cause includes (A) Mr. Ashner s conviction of, plea of nolo contendere to, or written admission of the commission of, a felony (but not a traffic infraction or similar offense), (B) Mr. Ashner s breach of any material provision of the employment agreement; (C) any act by Mr. Ashner involving moral turpitude, fraud or misrepresentation with respect to his duties for Lexington or its affiliates; or (D) gross negligence or willful misconduct on the part of Mr. Ashner in the performance of his duties as an employee, officer or member of Lexington or its affiliates (that in only the case of gross negligence results in a material economic harm to Lexington); provided, however, that Lexington may not terminate Mr. Ashner s employment under clauses (B), (C) or (D) unless Mr. Ashner fails to cure after receiving notice from Lexington.
- Good Reason includes the occurrence of one or more of the following events without Mr. Ashner s written consent or, in the case of clause (E) below, without prior written notice to, and the participation or consent of Winthrop, provided that Mr. Ashner first gives Lexington written notice of his intention to terminate and of the grounds for such termination within 90 days of such event, and, with respect to clauses (A) (D), Lexington has not cured such good reason within thirty (30) days of the Executive giving Lexington written notice thereof: (A) a material reduction of Mr. Ashner s authority, duties and responsibilities, (B) a reduction in Mr. Ashner s base salary; (C) a material breach by Lexington of the employment agreement; (D) Lexington s requiring Mr. Ashner to be based at any office or location located more than fifty (50) miles from the New York metropolitan area, or (E) Lexington acquires or makes an investment in real property other than a net lease business opportunity.

If Mr. Ashner terminates his employment for Good Reason, if Lexington terminates Mr. Ashner s employment without Cause, or if Mr. Ashner s employment is terminated prior to but in connection with a change of control of Lexington, Mr. Ashner will be entitled to receive:

- o any earned and unpaid base salary and unpaid bonuses for periods ending prior to the termination date;
- o rights to which he is entitled under any employee benefit plan, fringe benefit or incentive plan;

91

- 2.99 times the sum of his base salary at the time of termination plus his regular target bonus (assuming all targets have been achieved); provided that Mr. Ashner will only receive 50% of such amount if he terminates his employment on account of clause (E) of the definition of Good Reason set forth above; and
- o a pro rata annual bonus, with all targets deemed to have been achieved, based on the number of days worked by Mr. Ashner during the current fiscal year.

In addition, (i) all non-vested or unearned bonus and long-term incentive awards previously granted shall vest, become fully earned and nonforfeitable and (ii) Mr. Ashner will continue to receive medical, dental, disability, life insurance and other welfare benefits for a period of three years after termination.

If Mr. Ashner s employment is terminated on account of death or disability, Mr. Ashner will be entitled to receive:

- o any earned and unpaid base salary and unpaid bonuses for periods ending prior to the termination date;
- o rights to which he is entitled under any employee benefit plan, fringe benefit or incentive plan;
- o one times his base salary at the time of termination; and
- o a pro rata portion of the annual bonuses he would have received plus a pro rata portion of any long-term incentive awards he would have received, based on the number of days worked by Mr. Ashner during the current fiscal year.

In addition, (i) all non-vested or unearned bonus and long-term incentive awards previously granted shall vest, become fully earned and nonforfeitable and (ii) Mr. Ashner will continue to receive medical, dental, disability, life insurance and other welfare benefits for a period of two years after termination.

In the event that any payments due to Mr. Ashner become subject to excise tax under Section 4999 of the internal revenue code, Lexington will make Mr. Ashner whole with respect to any such excise taxes.

Mr. Ashner is obligated not to compete with Lexington, solicit employees, or solicit customers, subject to certain exceptions and limitations. These obligations terminate upon the termination of the exclusivity period under the amended and restated exclusivity agreement.

Upon the closing of the merger, 83,200 Lexington common shares issuable in connection with the merger and 847,542 MLP units owned by Michael L. Ashner will be subject to transfer restrictions until the earlier of November 1, 2009 and the termination of Mr. Ashner s employment with Lexington. Until such date, Mr. Ashner has agreed to not transfer or otherwise dispose of such common shares or common shares issued on redemption of such MLP units.

Contribution

Lexington has agreed to use commercially reasonable efforts to contribute to the MLP, simultaneously with the consummation of the merger or as soon thereafter as practicable, all of its economic interests in its three operating partnerships in exchange for, at Lexington s option, general or limited partnership interests in the MLP. We refer to this event as the contribution. The amended and restated MLP partnership agreement provides that the contribution will be valued based on the number of shares of Lexington common stock that would be issued if the contributed interests were redeemed for common shares of Lexington under the partnership agreements of the three operating partnerships.

Funding Agreement

Simultaneously with the consummation of the merger, the MLP, Lexington and Lexington s three operating partnerships will enter into a funding agreement that will be effective as of January 1, 2007 if the consolidation

92

described below has not taken place by then. The parties to the funding agreement will agree, jointly and severally, that if any of the four partnerships does not have sufficient cash available to make a quarterly distribution to its limited partners in an amount equal to whichever is applicable of (i) a specified distribution set forth in its partnership agreement or (ii) the cash dividend payable with respect to a whole or fractional common share of Lexington into which such partnership s common units would be converted if they were redeemed for common shares of Lexington in accordance with its partnership agreement, Lexington and the other partnerships will fund their pro rata share of the shortfall. The pro rata share of each partnership and Lexington, respectively, will be determined based on the number of units in each funding partnership and, for Lexington, by the amount by which its total outstanding common shares exceeds the number of units in each funding partnership not owned by Lexington, with appropriate adjustments being made if units are not redeemable on a one-for-one basis. Payments under the agreement will be made in the form of loans to the partnership experiencing a shortfall and will bear interest at prevailing rates as determined by Lexington in its discretion but no less than the applicable federal rate. The MLP s right to receive these loans will expire after Lexington has contributed to the MLP all of its economic interests in its three operating partnerships, seven existing joint ventures and all of its other subsidiaries that are partnerships, joint ventures or limited liability companies (which we refer to as the consolidation). However, thereafter the MLP will remain obligated to continue to make these loans until there are no remaining units outstanding in the three operating partnerships and all loans have been repaid.

Transition Services Agreement

On the closing of the merger, First Winthrop Corp. will enter into a transition services agreement with Lexington. First Winthrop Corp. presently provides accounting, tax, financial reporting, investor relations and information technology support services for the MLP. Under the agreement, First Winthrop Corp. will continue to provide those services, at its cost, for 90 days following the closing of the merger. In addition, for a one year period following the closing of the merger, First Winthrop Corp. will provide office space at its Boston office for use of employees of First Winthrop Corp. who are hired by Lexington. During that one year period First Winthrop Corp. will also provide Lexington s employees with technology support and use of telephones, fax machines, mailroom facilities and duplicating machines and other equipment at its Boston office. The agreement also provides that Lexington shall have the right to hire certain employees of First Winthrop Corp. listed on Schedule 1 to the agreement.

Second Amended and Restated MLP Agreement

Concurrently with the merger, the MLP partnership agreement will be amended and restated generally to conform it, with certain exceptions, to the terms of Lexington s existing partnership agreements with its existing operating partnerships. In particular, the amended and restated MLP Agreement will reflect the substitution of a subsidiary of Lexington as the general partner and provide that MLP units will be redeemable at the option of the holder for cash based on the value of a common share of Lexington, or, if Lexington elects, on a one-for-one basis for common shares of Lexington, in each case after giving effect to a 0.80 for 1 reverse split of outstanding units. In addition, without consent of holders of a majority of the outstanding MLP units, exclusive of units owned by Lexington, the new general partner will not be permitted to use any assets of the MLP except to: (i) reimburse Lexington and the new general partner for expenses, including overhead expenses, incurred in connection with the MLP s business; (ii) to make distributions to partners; and (iii) to acquire assets or make loans for the exclusive benefit of the MLP. Loans to affiliates of Lexington may be made on such terms as the new general partner determines in its sole discretion if neither Lexington nor any of its affiliates, other than the MLP, holds an interest in the borrower. All other loans to affiliates must be made on terms no more favorable than those that could be obtained from a third party. However, the new general partner has the discretion to determine the terms of any loan made to an affiliate after Lexington has contributed to the MLP its economic interest in its three operating partnerships. This contribution is discussed above under Contribution. In any event, the interest rate on any loan that is made after the contribution is effected may not be less than the applicable federal rate.

The amended MLP partnership agreement also provides for Lexington to file a registration statement with the SEC registering up to 36,000,000 Lexington common shares issuable upon redemption of voting MLP units unless such registration statement has previously been filed by Newkirk prior to the closing of the merger.

93

Advisory Agreement

On closing of the merger, the advisory agreement between NKT Advisors, Newkirk and MLP will be terminated. By its terms, the advisory agreement is not terminable by Newkirk without cause until November 7, 2008, the end of its initial term. However, in order to facilitate the merger and to eliminate Newkirk s external management feature and enable Newkirk to be self-managed and self-administered in the same manner as Lexington, NKT Advisors has consented to an early termination of the advisory agreement in exchange for a \$12.5 million payment. Under the terms of the advisory agreement, NKT Advisors would be entitled to a minimum base management fee of \$4.8 million per year for the remainder of the initial term as well as a termination payment of not less than \$9.6 million. Accordingly, NKT Advisors has agreed to a payment of \$12.5 million in lieu, and in complete satisfaction, of a total minimum payment of \$19.2 million due under the terms of the advisory agreement, assuming the merger occurred on November 7, 2006. The termination fee shall not increase, regardless of when the merger actually occurs.

94

MATERIAL FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES OF THE MERGER

The following is a summary of the material anticipated United States federal income tax consequences generally applicable to a U.S. holder of Newkirk common stock with respect to the exchange of Newkirk common stock for Lexington common shares pursuant to the merger. This discussion assumes that U.S. holders of Newkirk common stock hold their Newkirk common stock as capital assets within the meaning of Section 1221 of the Code. This summary is based on the Code, administrative pronouncements, judicial decisions and Treasury Regulations, each as in effect as of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus. All of the foregoing are subject to change at any time, possibly with retroactive effect, and all are subject to differing interpretation. No advance ruling has been sought or obtained from the Internal Revenue Service, regarding the United States federal income tax consequences of the merger. As a result, no assurance can be given that the IRS would

not assert, or that a court would not sustain, a position contrary to any of the tax consequences set forth below.

This summary does not address any tax consequences arising under United States federal tax laws other than United States federal income tax laws, nor does it address the laws of any state, local, foreign or other taxing jurisdiction. In addition, this summary does not address all aspects of United States federal income taxation that may apply to holders of Newkirk common stock in light of their particular circumstances or holders that are subject to special rules under the Code, including, without limitation, holders of Newkirk common stock that are non-U.S. holders, partnerships or other pass-through entities (and persons holding their Newkirk common stock through a partnership or other pass-through entity), persons who acquired shares of Newkirk common stock as a result of the exercise of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation or through a tax-qualified retirement plan, persons subject to the alternative minimum tax, tax-exempt organizations, financial institutions, broker-dealers, insurance companies, persons having a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar, persons holding their Newkirk common stock as part of a straddle, hedging, constructive sale or conversion transaction and persons who have ceased to be U.S. citizens or resident aliens.

For purposes of this summary, a U.S. holder is a beneficial owner of Newkirk common stock that is for United States federal income tax purposes:

a United States citizen or resident alien;

a corporation, or other entity taxable as a corporation for United States federal income tax purposes, created or organized under the laws of the United States or any state therein or the District of Columbia; an estate, the income of which is subject to United States federal income taxation regardless of its source; and

a trust if (i) it is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the United States and one or more United States persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust or (ii) it was in existence on August 20, 1996 and has a valid election in effect under applicable Treasury Regulations to be treated as a United States person.

If a partnership (including any other entity treated as a partnership for United States federal income tax purposes) holds Newkirk common stock, the tax treatment of a partner will generally depend on the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. Such a partner should consult its tax advisor.

This discussion is not intended to be, and should not be construed as, tax advice to any holder of Newkirk common stock. Holders are urged to consult and rely on their own tax advisors regarding the tax consequences of the merger to them, including the effects of United States federal, state and local, foreign and other tax laws and of changes in those laws.

The merger is intended to qualify as a reorganization under Section 368(a) of the Code. Katten Muchin, as counsel to Newkirk, and Paul Hastings, as counsel to Lexington, will each provide an opinion that the merger will be treated for federal income tax purposes as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code.

95

These opinions will be based on representation letters provided by Newkirk and Lexington and on customary factual assumptions. If any of the factual representations or assumptions upon which the opinions are based are inconsistent with the actual facts, the tax consequences of the merger could be adversely affected, the opinions and this summary may not accurately describe the United States federal income tax treatment of the merger, and the tax consequences of the merger to holders of Newkirk common stock may be materially different from those described in this summary. The determination by tax counsel as to whether the proposed merger will be treated for federal income tax purposes as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code will depend upon the facts and law existing at the effective time of the proposed merger. The statements in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, and the opinion of counsel, are not binding on the IRS or a court and do not preclude the IRS from asserting, or a court from sustaining, a contrary result.

Assuming the merger qualifies as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code, Newkirk and Lexington will not recognize any gain or loss for United States federal income tax purposes as a result of the merger. Assuming the merger qualifies as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code, the United States federal income tax consequences of the merger to U.S. holders of Newkirk common stock are, in general, as follows:

Exchange of Newkirk Common Stock for Lexington Common Shares (plus any cash in lieu of a fractional share)

A Newkirk stockholder that receives Lexington common shares in exchange for its shares of Newkirk common stock in the merger will not recognize gain or loss on the exchange, except to the extent that the shareholder receives cash in lieu of a fractional share interest in Lexington common shares. A Newkirk stockholder that receives cash in lieu of a fractional share generally will recognize capital gain or loss based on the difference between the amount of cash in lieu of a fractional share received by the shareholder and the shareholder s tax basis in the fractional share (which the shareholder will be deemed to have received and then sold for cash). Such capital gain or loss will be long term capital gain or loss if the Newkirk common stock exchanged was held for more than one year.

The aggregate tax basis of Lexington common shares received by a Newkirk stockholder (including any fractional shares for which cash is received) in exchange for Lexington common shares in the merger will equal the aggregate tax basis of the shareholder s shares of Newkirk common stock. The holding period of the Lexington common shares received by a Newkirk stockholder in the merger will include the holding period of the shareholder s Newkirk common stock surrendered in exchange for Lexington common shares. If a Newkirk stockholder acquired any of its shares of Newkirk common stock at different prices, recently finalized Treasury Regulations provide guidance on how taxpayers may allocate their basis in these circumstances. Newkirk stockholders that hold multiple blocks of Newkirk common stock should consult their tax advisors regarding the proper allocation of their tax basis among Lexington common shares received (including fractional shares), and the potential impact of the final Treasury Regulations on their tax consequences from the merger.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

Backup withholding at the applicable rate (currently 28%) may apply with respect to cash payments in lieu of fractional shares in Lexington, unless a Newkirk stockholder (i) is a corporation or comes within certain other exempt categories and, when required, demonstrates this fact, or (ii) provides a correct taxpayer identification number, certifies as to no loss of exemption from backup withholding and otherwise complies with applicable requirements of the backup withholding rules. A Newkirk stockholder who does not provide its correct taxpayer identification number may be subject to penalties imposed by the IRS. Any amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules may be allowed as a refund or a credit against the shareholder s U.S. federal income tax liability, provided the stockholder timely furnishes the required information to the IRS.

96

A Newkirk stockholder that receives Lexington common shares as a result of the merger will be required to retain records pertaining to the merger and will be required to file with its United States federal income tax return for the year in which the merger takes place a statement setting forth certain facts relating to the merger.

Pre Merger Dividend

Newkirk may, prior to the merger, pay a special dividend to the holders of Newkirk common stock in order to satisfy its REIT distribution requirement and avoid entity-level income and excise taxes for its final taxable year ending with the merger. This dividend, like Newkirk s quarterly dividends, will be includible in the holder s taxable income in accordance with the normal rules applicable to dividends received from REITs.

The following paragraphs summarize provisions of Lexington s shares of beneficial interest. This summary does not completely describe Lexington s shares of beneficial interest. For a complete description of Lexington s shares of beneficial interest, we refer you to Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and Amended and Restated By-laws, which are attached as Annexes B and C, respectively, and which are incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See Where You Can Find More Information on page 114.

General

Lexington's Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust provides that Lexington may issue up to 1,000,000,000 shares of beneficial interest, consisting of 400,000,000 shares of common stock, 500,000,000 shares of excess stock and 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock, of which 3,160,000 shares are classified as Series B Preferred Shares and 3,100,000 shares are classified as Series C Preferred Shares, and one share will be classified as special voting preferred stock and will be issued in the merger upon conversion of Newkirk's special voting preferred stock.

Lexington had, as of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, 53,159,034 Lexington common shares, 3,160,000 Series B Preferred Shares, 3,100,000 Series C Preferred Shares and no special voting preferred stock outstanding. Under Maryland law, shareholders are not personally liable for the obligations of a real estate investment trust solely as a result of their status as shareholders.

Lexington Common Shares

All Lexington common shares issued in the merger will be duly authorized, fully paid and nonassessable. Holders of Lexington common shares are entitled to receive dividends when authorized by Lexington s board of trustees out of assets legally available for the payment of dividends. They are also entitled to share ratably in Lexington s assets legally available for distribution to Lexington s shareholders in the event of Lexington s liquidation, dissolution or winding up, after payment of or adequate provision for all of Lexington s known debts and liabilities. These rights are subject to the preferential rights of any other class or series of Lexington shares and to the provisions of Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust regarding restrictions on transfer of Lexington s shares.

Subject to the restrictions on transfer of shares contained in Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, each outstanding Lexington common share entitles the holder to one vote on all matters submitted to a vote of shareholders, including the election of trustees. Except as provided with respect to any other class or series of shares, including Lexington s special voting preferred stock, which votes together with the Lexington common shares on all matters submitted to a vote of shareholders, the holders of Lexington common shares will possess the exclusive voting power. There is no cumulative voting in the election of trustees, which means that the holders of outstanding Lexington common shares and special voting preferred stock entitled to cast a majority of the votes in the election of trustees will be able to elect all of the trustees then standing for election, and the holders of the remaining shares will not be able to elect any trustees.

Holders of Lexington common shares generally have no preference, conversion, exchange, sinking fund, redemption or appraisal rights and have no preemptive rights to subscribe for any of Lexington s securities. Subject to the restrictions on transfer of shares contained in Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, all Lexington common shares will have equal dividend, liquidation and other rights.

Power to Reclassify and Issue Additional Shares

Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust empowers its board of trustees to authorize the issuance from time to time of its shares of any class, whether now or hereafter authorized, or securities convertible into shares of its shares of any class or classes, whether now or hereafter authorized, for such consideration as may

n	O
9	ð
	~

be deemed advisable by Lexington s board of trustees, without any action by the shareholders. Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust also authorizes Lexington s board of trustees to classify and reclassify any of Lexington s unissued shares of beneficial interest into other classes or series of shares. Prior to issuance of shares of each class or series, the Board is required by Maryland law and by Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust to set, subject to the restrictions on transfer of shares contained in Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, the terms, preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends or other distributions, qualifications and terms or conditions of redemption for each class or series.

Lexington believes that the power to issue additional Lexington common shares or preferred shares and to classify or reclassify unissued Lexington common shares or preferred shares and thereafter to issue the classified or reclassified shares provides Lexington with increased flexibility in structuring possible future financings and acquisitions and in meeting other needs which might arise. These actions can be taken without shareholder approval, unless shareholder approval is required by applicable law or the rules of any stock exchange or automated quotation system on which Lexington s securities may be listed or traded. Although Lexington has no present intention of doing so, Lexington could issue a class or series of shares that could delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change in control of Lexington that might involve a premium price for holders of Lexington common shares or otherwise be in their best interest.

Restrictions on Ownership and Transfer

For Lexington to qualify as a REIT under the Code, its shares must be beneficially owned by 100 or more persons during at least 335 days of a taxable year of twelve months or during a proportionate part of a shorter taxable year. Also, not more than 50% of the value of Lexington s outstanding shares may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Code to include certain entities such as qualified pension plans) during the last half of a taxable year.

Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, subject to certain exceptions, provides that no holder may own, or be deemed to own by virtue of the attribution provisions of the Code, more than 9.8% of the value of its equity shares, defined as Lexington common shares or preferred shares; this restriction is referred to as the Ownership Limit. Lexington s board of trustees may waive the Ownership Limit if evidence satisfactory to Lexington s board of trustees is presented that the changes in ownership will not then or in the future jeopardize Lexington s status as a REIT. Upon the closing, waivers will be granted to affiliates of Apollo and Vornado. Any transfer of equity shares or any security convertible into equity shares that would create a direct or indirect ownership of equity shares in excess of the Ownership Limit or that would result in Lexington s disqualification as a REIT, including any transfer that results in the equity shares being owned by fewer than 100 persons or results in Lexington being closely held within the meaning of Section 856(h) of the Code, will be null and void, and the intended transferee will acquire no rights to such equity shares. The foregoing restrictions on transferability and ownership will not apply if Lexington s board of trustees determines that it is no longer in Lexington s best interests to attempt to qualify, or to continue to qualify, as a REIT.

Equity shares owned, or deemed to be owned, or transferred to a shareholder in excess of the Ownership Limit or that would result in Lexington being closely held (within the meaning of Section 856(h) of the Code), will automatically be converted into shares of beneficial interest classified as excess stock (referred to as excess shares), that will be transferred, by operation of law, to Lexington as trustee of a charitable trust for the exclusive benefit of the transferees to whom such capital shares may be ultimately transferred without violating the Ownership Limit. The excess shares are not entitled to be voted, be considered for purposes of any shareholder vote or the determination of a quorum for such vote and, except upon liquidation, entitled to participate in dividends or other distributions. Any dividend or distribution paid to a proposed transferee of excess shares prior to Lexington s discovery that equity shares have been converted into excess shares will be repaid to Lexington upon demand. The excess shares are not treasury shares, but rather constitute a separate class of Lexington s issued and outstanding shares. The original transferee-shareholder may, at any time the excess shares are held by Lexington in trust, transfer the interest in the trust representing the excess shares to any individual whose ownership of the equity shares exchanged into such excess shares would be permitted under Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, at a price not in excess of the price paid by the original transferee-shareholder for the equity shares that

99

were exchanged into excess shares or, if the transferee-shareholder did not give value for such shares, a price not in excess of the market price (as determined in the manner set forth in Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust) on the date of the purported transfer. Immediately upon the transfer to the permitted transferee, the excess shares will automatically be exchanged for equity shares of the class from which they were converted. If the foregoing transfer restrictions are determined to be void or invalid by virtue of any legal decision, statute, rule or regulation, then the intended transferee of any excess shares may be deemed, at Lexington s option, to have acted as an agent on Lexington s behalf in acquiring the excess shares and to hold the excess shares on Lexington s behalf.

In addition to the foregoing transfer restrictions, Lexington will have the right, for a period of 90 days after the later of the day Lexington receives written notice of a transfer or other event, or Lexington s board of trustees determines in good faith that a transfer or other event has occurred, resulting in excess shares, to purchase all or any portion of the excess shares from the original transferee-shareholder for the lesser of the price paid for the equity shares by the original transferee-shareholder or the market price (as determined in the manner set forth in Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust) of the equity shares on the date Lexington exercises its option to purchase.

Each Lexington shareholder will be required, upon demand, to disclose to Lexington in writing any information with respect to the direct, indirect and constructive ownership of Lexington capital shares as Lexington s board of trustees deems necessary to comply with the provisions of the Code applicable to REITs, to comply with the requirements of any taxing authority or governmental agency or to determine any such compliance.

This Ownership Limit may have the effect of precluding an acquisition of control unless Lexington s board of trustees determines that maintenance of REIT status is no longer in Lexington s best interest.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The transfer agent and registrar for the Lexington common shares is Mellon Investor Services, LLC.

100

CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF MARYLAND LAW AND

OF LEXINGTON'S AMENDED AND RESTATED DECLARATION OF TRUST AND BY-LAWS

The following description of certain provisions of Maryland law and of Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and Lexington s By-laws is only a summary. Because the description is a summary, it does not contain all of the information about the Maryland REIT Law, or MRL, Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and Lexington s By-laws that may be important to you. In particular, you should refer to, and this summary is qualified in its entirety by, the full text of Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and Lexington s By-laws, which are attached as Annex B and C, respectively, and are hereby incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See Where You Can Find More Information on page 115.

Board of Trustees

Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, and Lexington s By-laws, provide that the number of trustees may be increased or decreased by vote of at least a majority of its entire board of trustees, provided that the number thereof shall never be less than the minimum number required by the MRL, which is one. Lexington s board of trustees will be increased from nine to 11 members at the effective time of the merger. Vacancies on the board of trustees resulting from an increase in the authorized number of trustees, or death, resignation or retirement or other cause may be filled by a vote of the shareholders or a majority of trustees then in office. A vacancy on Lexington s board of trustees resulting from removal of a trustee by the shareholders may be filled by a vote of the shareholders.

Holders of Lexington common shares will have no right to cumulative voting in the election of trustees. Consequently, at each annual meeting of shareholders, the holders of Lexington common shares and special voting preferred stock entitled to cast of a majority of votes in the election of trustees will be able to elect all of the trustees then standing for election, and the holders of the remaining shares will not be able to elect any trustees.

Removal of Trustees

Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust provides that a trustee may be removed only for cause and only by the affirmative vote of at least 80% of the votes entitled to be cast in the election of trustees.

Business Combinations

Under Maryland law, business combinations between a Maryland real estate investment trust and an interested shareholder or an affiliate of an interested shareholder are prohibited for five years after the most recent date on which the interested shareholder becomes an interested shareholder. These business combinations include a merger, consolidation, share exchange, or, in circumstances specified in the statute, an asset transfer or issuance or reclassification of equity securities. An interested shareholder is defined as:

any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of the trust s shares; or

an affiliate or associate of the trust who, at any time within the two-year period prior to the date in question, was the beneficial owner of 10% or more of the voting power of the then outstanding voting shares of the trust.

A person is not an interested shareholder under the statute if the board of trustees approved in advance the transaction by which he otherwise would have become an interested shareholder. However, in approving a transaction, the board of trustees may provide that its approval is subject to compliance, at or after the time of approval, with any terms and conditions determined by the board.

101

After the five-year prohibition, any business combination between the Maryland trust and an interested shareholder generally must be recommended by the board of directors of the trust and approved by the affirmative vote of at least:

80% of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding voting shares of the trust; and

two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of voting shares of the trust other than shares held by the interested shareholder with whom or with whose affiliate the business combination is to be effected or held by an affiliate or associate of the interested shareholder.

These super-majority vote requirements do not apply if the trust s common shareholders receive a minimum price, as defined under Maryland law, for their shares in the form of cash or other consideration in the same form as previously paid by the interested shareholder for its shares.

The statute permits various exemptions from its provisions, including business combinations that are exempted by the board of trustees before the time that the interested shareholder becomes an interested shareholder. In connection with its approval of the merger, Lexington s board of trustees have exempted, to a limited extent, certain holders of Newkirk common stock or MLP partnership units (Apollo and certain of its affiliates and Vornado Realty L.P. and certain of its affiliates) who will be receiving Lexington common shares in the merger. Consequently, the five-year prohibition and the super-majority vote requirements will not apply to business combinations between Lexington and any of them, unless they exceed the ownership limit set by Lexington's board of trustees (for Apollo and certain of its affiliates, up to a maximum of 18,687,236 Lexington common shares, and for Vornado Realty L.P. and certain of its affiliates, up to a maximum of 8,149,593 Lexington common shares plus additional Lexington common shares owned by Winthrop (which may be deemed to be beneficially owned by Vornado)).

The business combination statute may discourage others from trying to acquire control of Lexington and increase the difficulty of consummating any offer.

Control Share Acquisitions

Maryland law provides that control shares of a Maryland real estate investment trust acquired in a control share acquisition have no voting rights except to the extent approved by a vote of two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter. Shares owned by the acquiror, by officers or by trustees who are employees of the trust are excluded from shares entitled to vote on the matter. Control Shares are voting shares which, if aggregated with all other shares owned by the acquiror or in respect of which the acquiror is able to exercise or direct the exercise of voting power (except solely by virtue of a revocable proxy), would entitle the acquiror to exercise voting power in electing trustees within one of the following ranges of voting power:

- one-tenth or more but less than one-third;
- one-third or more but less than a majority; or
- a majority or more of all voting power.

Control shares do not include shares the acquiring person is then entitled to vote as a result of having previously obtained shareholder approval. A control share acquisition means the acquisition of control shares, subject to certain exceptions.

A person who has made or proposes to make a control share acquisition may compel the board of trustees of the trust to call a special meeting of shareholders to be held within 50 days of demand to consider the voting rights of the shares. The right to compel the calling of a special meeting is subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, including an undertaking to pay the expenses of the meeting. If no request for a meeting is

made, the trust may itself present the question at any shareholders meeting.

1	02
ı	02

If voting rights are not approved at the meeting or if the acquiring person does not deliver an acquiring person statement as required by the statute, then the trust may redeem for fair value any or all of the control shares, except those for which voting rights have previously been approved. The right of the trust to redeem control shares is subject to certain conditions and limitations. Fair value is determined, without regard to the absence of voting rights for the control shares, as of the date of the last control share acquisition by the acquiror or of any meeting of shareholders at which the voting rights of the shares are considered and not approved. If voting rights for control shares are approved at a shareholders meeting and the acquiror becomes entitled to vote a majority of the shares entitled to vote, all other shareholders may exercise appraisal rights. The fair value of the shares as determined for purposes of appraisal rights may not be less than the highest price per share paid by the acquiror in the control share acquisition.

The control share acquisition statute does not apply (a) to shares acquired in a merger, consolidation or share exchange if the trust is a party to the transaction, or (b) to acquisitions approved or exempted by the declaration of trust or By-laws of the trust.

Lexington s By-laws contain a provision exempting from the control share acquisition statute any and all acquisitions by any person of Lexington s shares. There can be no assurance that this provision will not be amended or eliminated at any time in the future.

Merger; Amendment to the Declaration of Trust

Under Maryland law, a Maryland real estate investment trust generally cannot amend its declaration of trust or merge with another entity, unless advised by the board of trustees and approved by the affirmative vote of shareholders holding at least two-thirds of the shares entitled to vote on the matter. However, a Maryland real estate investment trust may provide in its declaration of trust for approval of these matters by a lesser percentage, but not less than a majority of all of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter. Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust generally provides for approval of these matters by the affirmative vote of not less than a majority of all of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, except certain amendments to the declaration of trust require approval by a higher percentage.

Under Maryland law, the declaration of trust of a Maryland real estate investment trust may permit the trustees, by a two-thirds vote, to amend the declaration of trust from time to time to qualify as a REIT under the Code or the MRL, without the affirmative vote or written consent of the shareholders. Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust permits such action by Lexington s board of trustees.

Termination of Lexington

Subject to the provisions of any class or series of outstanding shares of Lexington, Lexington may be terminated by the affirmative vote of the holders of not less than two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter.

Advance Notice of Trustee Nominations and New Business

Lexington s By-laws provide that for any shareholder proposal to be presented in connection with an annual meeting of shareholders of Lexington, including any proposal relating to the nomination of a trustee, the shareholders must have given timely notice thereof in writing to the secretary of Lexington in accordance with the provisions of Lexington s By-laws.

Unsolicited Takeovers

Subtitle 8 of Title 3 of the Maryland General Corporate Law, or MGCL, permits a Maryland real estate investment trust with a class of equity securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and at least three independent trustees to elect to be subject, by provision in its declaration of trust or by-laws or a resolution of its board of trustees and notwithstanding any contrary provision in the declaration of trust or by-laws, to any or all of five provisions:

- a classified board;
- a two-thirds vote requirement for removing a trustee;
- a requirement that the number of trustees by fixed only by vote of the trustees;

a requirement that a vacancy on the board be filled only by the remaining trustees and for the remainder of the full term of the class of trustees in which the vacancy occurred; and

a majority requirement for the calling of a special meeting of shareholders.

Through provisions in Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and Lexington s By-laws unrelated to Subtitle 8, Lexington already (a) requires an 80% vote for the removal of any trustee from the board, (b) vests in the board the exclusive power to fix the number of directorships, and (c) and provides that special meetings may only be called by the chairman of the board of trustees or the president or by a majority of the board of trustees and as may be required by law. Lexington has not elected to be governed by the other provisions described above, but can elect to be governed by any or all of the provisions of Maryland law at any time in the future.

Anti-takeover Effect of Certain Provisions of Maryland Law and of Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and Lexington s By-laws

The business combination provisions and, if the applicable provision in Lexington s By-laws is rescinded, the control share acquisition provisions of Maryland law, the provisions of Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust on removal of trustees and the advance notice provisions of Lexington s By-laws could delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change in control of Lexington that might involve a premium price for holders of Lexington common shares or otherwise be in their best interest.

104

COMPARISON OF THE RIGHTS OF LEXINGTON COMMON

SHAREHOLDERS AND NEWKIRK COMMON STOCKHOLDERS

The rights of the holders of Newkirk common stock are presently governed by the Maryland General Corporation Law, or MGCL, Newkirk s charter and Newkirk s By-laws. The rights of holders of Lexington common shares are currently governed by the Maryland REIT Law, or MRL, Lexington s Declaration of Trust and Lexington s By-laws. Upon completion of the merger, Newkirk stockholders will receive Lexington common shares in exchange for their Newkirk common stock and Lexington s Declaration of Trust will be amended and restated, and, as a result, the rights of former holders of Newkirk common stock will be governed by the MRL, Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and Lexington s By-laws, copies of which are attached as Annex B and C, respectively.

The following is a summary of what we deem to be the material differences between the current rights of holders of Newkirk common stock and the rights of holders of Lexington common shares upon the occurrence of the merger. While we believe that this summary covers the material differences between the two, this summary may not contain all of the information that is important to you. This summary is not intended to be a complete discussion of the respective rights of holders of Newkirk common stock and holders of Lexington common shares and it is qualified in its entirety by reference to the MGCL, the MRL and the various documents of Newkirk and Lexington to which we refer in this summary. We urge you to carefully read this entire joint proxy statement/prospectus, the relevant provisions of the MGCL, the MRL and the other documents to which we refer in this joint proxy statement/prospectus for a more complete understanding of the differences between being a Newkirk stockholder and being a Lexington shareholder.

Authorized and Issued Shares

Newkirk

Newkirk may issue up to 500,000,000 shares of stock, consisting of Lexington may issue up to 1,000,000,000 shares of beneficial 400,000,000 shares of common stock and 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock, including one share of preferred stock classified as 500,000,000 shares of excess stock and 100,000,000 shares of special voting preferred stock.

As permitted by the MGCL, Newkirk s charter provides that Newkirk s board of directors, without any action by Newkirk s stockholders, may amend the charter to increase or decrease the aggregate number of shares of stock or the number of shares of stock beneficial interest of any class or series that Newkirk has authority to issue.

Newkirk had, as of September 1, 2006, 19,375,000 shares of common stock and one share of special voting preferred stock outstanding.

Lexington

interest, consisting of 400,000,000 shares of common stock, preferred stock, of which 3,160,000 shares are classified as 8.05% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, or Series B Preferred Shares, and 3,100,000 shares are classified as 6,50% Series C Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, or Series C Preferred Shares, and one share will be classified as special voting preferred stock.

Lexington had, as of August 28, 2006, 53,159,034 common shares, 3,160,000 Series B Preferred Shares, 3,100,000 Series C Preferred Shares and no special voting preferred stock outstanding.

105

Number and Independence of Directors or Trustees

Newkirk

Newkirk s charter provides that the number of directors may be increased or decreased pursuant to its By-laws. Newkirk s By-laws number of trustees may be increased or decreased by vote of at provide that a majority of its entire board of directors may establish, increase or decrease the number of directors, provided that the number thereof shall never be less than the minimum number required by the MGCL, which is one, or greater than 11. Newkirk s board of directors currently consists of eleven directors. the merger.

Except in the case of a vacancy, a majority of Newkirk's board of Lexington's Declaration of Trust and By-laws do not have a directors must be made up at all time of directors who meet the definition of an independent director under the rules of the NYSEalthough Lexington is subject to a comparable requirement under or other exchange on which the Newkirk common stock is listed.

Lexington

Lexington s Declaration of Trust and By-laws provide that the least a majority of its entire board of trustees, provided that the number thereof shall never be less than the minimum number required by the MRL, which is one. Lexington s board of trustees will be increased from nine to 11 members at the effective time of

comparable requirement with respect to independent trustees,

the listing standards of the NYSE and, pursuant to Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and Lexington s By-laws, certain matters relating to Lexington s special voting preferred stock and excess distributions by Lexington s operating partnerships require the approval of trustees who are independent under the rules of the NYSE or other exchange on which the Lexington common shares are listed.

Removal of Directors or Trustees

Newkirk

Newkirk s charter provides that any director, or the entire board of Lexington s Declaration of Trust provides that any trustee, or the directors, may be removed from office at any time, but only for cause and only by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the votes of the stock entitled to be cast in the election of directors.

Vacancies on the Board of Directors or the Board of Trustees

Newkirk

Newkirk s By-laws provide that vacancies on the board of directorsLexington s Declaration of Trust provides that vacancies on the may be filled by the affirmative vote of the remaining directors except that a vacancy resulting from an increase in the number of directors must be filled by a majority of the entire board of directors and a vacancy resulting from the removal of a board member may also be filled by a vote of the stockholders.

Lexington

entire board of trustees, may be removed from office at any time, but only for cause and only by the affirmative vote of at least 80%of the votes entitled to be cast generally in the election of the trustees

Lexington

board of trustees resulting from an increase in the authorized number of trustees, or death, resignation or retirement or other cause shall be filled by a vote of the shareholders or a majority of trustees then in office. A vacancy on the board of trustees resulting from removal of a trustee by the shareholders shall be filled by a vote of the shareholders.

106

Amendment of Charter/ Declaration of Trust

Newkirk

With the exception of the amendment of the provisions of the charter relating to removal of directors, which requires the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all of the votes entitled to termination of Lexington, which requires the affirmative vote of amended only if declared advisable by Newkirk s board of directorshe matter, and certain provisions relating trustees (including and approved by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least a the matter.

Lexington

Subject to the terms of Lexington s preferred stock, and with the exception of the amendment of certain provisions relating to the be cast by the stockholders on the matter, Newkirk s charter may beholders of at least two-thirds of all of the votes entitled to be cast on removal) and the power of the board of trustees to amend majority of all of the votes entitled to be cast by the stockholders on Lexington s By-laws, each of which requires the affirmative vote of holders of at least 80% of all of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, Lexington s Declaration of Trust may be amended only if declared advisable by its board of trustees and approved by Lexington shareholders by the affirmative vote of not less than a majority of all of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter. Two-thirds of the trustees may, after 15 days written notice to the shareholders, also amend the Declaration of Trust without the vote or consent of shareholders if in good faith they deem it necessary to conform the declaration to the requirements of the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code.

Amendments of By-Law

Newkirk

Lexington

Newkirk s By-laws provide that Newkirk s board of directors has the xington s Declaration of Trust provides that its board of trustees exclusive power to adopt, alter or repeal the By-laws or make new is expressly authorized to make, alter or repeal the By-laws of by-laws. Subject to certain exceptions requiring the approval of a Lexington, provided that any such alteration or repeal shall require

majority or all of the Independent Directors. Newkirk s By-lawsthe vote of a majority of Lexington s board of trustees. may be altered, amended or repealed, and new by-laws adopted, by Lexington s By-laws provide that they may be repealed, altered, the vote of a majority of the directors present at a meeting at which amended or rescinded (a) by the shareholders of Lexington by a vote of not less than 80% of the outstanding shares of beneficial a quorum is present.

Business Combination Act

Newkirk

Newkirk s board of directors adopted a resolution excluding Newkirk from the business combination provisions of the MGCL and, consequently, the five-year prohibition and the super-majority five-year prohibition and the super-majority voting requirements vote requirements will not apply to business combinations between with respect to business combinations involving Lexington; Newkirk and any interested stockholder. Such resolution may repealed or modified in the future if such repeal or modification is trustees may elect to opt out of these provisions in the future. In

Lexington

interest entitled to vote generally in the election of trustees or (b) by

vote of two-thirds of Lexington s board of trustees.

Lexington s board of trustees has not opted out of the business combination provisions of Maryland law and is subject to the however, as permitted under Maryland law, Lexington s board of approved by a unanimous vote of Newkirk s independent directors.connection with the merger, Lexington s board of trustees adopted a resolution excluding certain holders of Newkirk common stock who will receive

107

For additional information with respect to the Business Lexington common shares in the merger from the provisions of this Combination Act, see Certain Provisions of Maryland Law and of statute, subject to certain ownership limitations. Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust By-laws **Business Combinations.**, **Control Share Acquisition Act**

Newkirk

Newkirk s By-laws contain a provision exempting from the control In connection with its approval of the merger agreement, share acquisition statute any and all acquisitions by any person of Lexington s board of trustees amended the Lexington By-laws to Newkirk s common stock and, consequently, the provisions of the include a provision exempting any and all acquisitions by any control share acquisition statute will not apply to holders of person of Lexington shares from the control share acquisition Newkirk shares unless Newkirk s By-laws are amended, with the statute. This provision of the Lexington By-laws may be amended approval of Newkirk s independent directors, to modify or eliminater eliminated in the future in accordance with the terms of the this provision. By-laws.

For additional information with respect to the Maryland Control

Share Acquisition Act, see Certain Provisions of Maryland Law and of Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and

By-laws Control Share Acquisitions. .

Unsolicited Takeovers Act

Lexington

Newkirk

Newkirk s charter provides that the Maryland unsolicited takeover The Maryland unsolicited takeover statute, as applicable to a statute does not apply to Newkirk. It will remain inapplicable Maryland real estate investment trust that has a class of equity unless Newkirk s charter is amended, with stockholder approval, to securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, modify or eliminate this provision. permits the board of trustees, without shareholder approval and For additional information with respect to these unsolicited regardless of what is currently provided in the Declaration of Trust takeover provisions, see Certain Provisions of Maryland Law and or By-laws, to implement takeover defenses, some of which (for of Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust and example, a classified board) Lexington does not yet have. By-laws Unsolicited Takeovers.

108

Vote on Certain Fundamental Issues

Newkirk

dissolve, amend its charter, merge, consolidate, transfer all or substantially all of its assets, engage in a statutory share exchange or engage in similar transactions outside the ordinary course of business unless it is declared advisable by its board of directors and vote of shareholders entitled to cast at least a majority of all of the approved by the affirmative vote of stockholders holding at least a votes entitled to be cast on the matter. Lexington s Amended and majority of all of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter.

Transactions with Stockholders

Newkirk

Newkirk s By-laws provide that its board of directors may authorize exington s Declaration of Trust and By-laws do not contain a any agreement or other transaction with any beneficial owner of comparable provision with respect to greater than 4.9% holders. more than 4.9% of Newkirk s stock entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, or an officer, director, member, partner or greater than 4.9% stockholder of any such owner, or certain other specified holders, if and only if: (i) the existence is disclosed or known to the board of directors, and the contract or transaction is authorized, approved or ratified by the affirmative vote of not less than a majority of the disinterested directors, even if they constitute less than a quorum of the Board of Directors; or (ii) the existence is disclosed to the stockholders entitled to vote, and the agreement or transaction is authorized, approved or ratified by a majority of the votes cast by the disinterested stockholders.

Lexington

Under the MGCL and Newkirk s charter, Newkirk generally cannot Under the MRL and Lexington s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, Lexington generally cannot amend its Declaration of Trust or engage in a merger, unless it is declared advisable by its board of trustees and approved by the affirmative Restated Declaration of Trust also provides that Lexington s termination must be approved by Lexington s shareholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast on the matter.

Lexington

Special Meeting of Shareholders

Newkirk

Newkirk s By-laws provide that special meetings of stockholders Lexington s By-laws provide that a special meeting of the may only be called by Newkirk s chairman of the board, chief written request of stockholders entitled to cast not less than a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast at such a meeting pursuant to the procedures set forth in Newkirk s By-laws for making such a request.

Notice of Meetings and Shareholder Proposals

Newkirk

of stockholders, nominations of individuals for election to the boardpresented in connection with an annual meeting of shareholders, of directors and the proposal of business to be considered by who is entitled to vote at the meeting and who has complied with the advance notice procedures of the By-laws. With respect to special meetings of stockholders, only the business specified in To be timely, subject to certain exceptions when the date of the forth the information required by Newkirk s By-laws and shall be Lexington s By-laws do not contain a comparable provision with delivered to the secretary at the principal executive offices of Newkirk not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the date of mailing of the notice of the prior year s annual meeting

Nominations of individuals for election to the board of directors at a special meeting may be made only (i) pursuant to Newkirk s notice of the meeting; (ii) by the board of directors; or (iii) provided that the board of directors has determined that directors will be elected at the meeting, by a stockholder who is entitled to vote at the meeting and who has complied with the advance notice provisions of the By-laws.

To be timely, a stockholder s nomination of a director shall set forth the information required by Newkirk s By-laws and shall be delivered to the secretary at the principal executive offices of Newkirk not earlier than the 120th day prior to such special meeting and not later than the close of business on the 90th day prior to such special meeting or the tenth day following the day on which public announcement is first made of the date of

Lexington

shareholders may be called by the chairman of the board of trustees executive officer or board of directors or by the secretary upon the or the president or by a majority of the board of trustees by vote at a meeting or in writing (addressed to the secretary of Lexington) with or without a meeting and as may be required by law.

Lexington

Newkirk s By-laws provide that with respect to an annual meeting Lexington s By-laws provide that for any shareholder proposal to be including any proposal relating to the nomination of a trustee, the stockholders may be made only: (i) pursuant to Newkirk s notice of shareholders must have given timely notice thereof in writing to the the meeting; (ii) by the board of directors; or (iii) by a stockholder secretary of Lexington. To be timely, subject to certain exceptions when the date of the meeting is advanced or delayed, a shareholder s proposal shall be delivered to the secretary at the principal executive offices of Lexington not less than 120 days in advance of Newkirk s notice of the meeting may be brought before the meeting he release date of Lexington s proxy statement to shareholders in connection with the preceding year s annual meeting. Such notice meeting is advanced or delayed, a stockholder s proposal shall set must also contain the information required by Lexington s By-laws. respect to special meetings.

the special meeting and the nominees proposed by the board of directors to be elected at such meeting. **Appraisal Rights**

Newkirk

Under the MGCL, a stockholder has the right to demand and receive payment of fair value of his stock from the successor if: (i) the corporation consolidates or merges with another corporation; to exercise the same rights as an objecting stockholder of a (ii) the stockholder s stock is to be acquired in a share exchange; (iii) the corporation transfers all or substantially all of its assets; (iv) the corporation alters its charter in a way which alters the contract rights as set forth in the charter of any outstanding stock and substantially adversely affects the stockholder s rights, unless the right to do so is reserved in the charter; or (v) the transaction is one governed by the Maryland business combination act or exempted pursuant to the minimum price provisions. However, this right to demand and receive payment of fair value is not available, except for transactions subject pursuant to the Maryland business combination act, if: (i) the stock is listed on a national securities exchange; (ii) the stock is that of a successor merger, unless the merger alters the contract rights of the stock and the charter does not reserve the right to do so or the stock is changed into something other than stock in the successor or cash, scrip or other rights or interest arising out of the treatment of fractional shares; (iii) the stock is not entitled to be voted on the transaction; (iv) the charter provides that the holders of the stock are not entitled to exercise the rights of an objecting stockholder; or (v) the stock is that of an open-end investment company

As permitted by the MGCL, Newkirk s charter provides that holders of shares of stock shall not be entitled to exercise any rights of an objecting stockholder unless Newkirk s board of directors, upon the affirmative vote of a majority of the board of directors, shall determine that such rights apply, with respect to all or any classes or series of stock, to one or more transactions occurring after the date of such determination in connection with which holders of such shares would otherwise be entitled to exercise such rights.

Lexington

Under the MRL, each shareholder of a Maryland real estate investment trust objecting to the merger of such trust has the right Maryland corporation. Such rights also apply to a Maryland real estate investment trust in the context of the Maryland business combination act. Lexington s Declaration of Trust does not contain a comparable provision prohibiting its shareholders from exercising the rights of an objecting shareholder.

111

DEADLINE FOR FUTURE SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

Lexington

In order to be eligible for inclusion in Lexington s proxy materials for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, any shareholder proposal to take action at such meeting must be received at Lexington s principal executive office located at One Penn Plaza, Suite 4015, New York, New York 10119-4015, Attention: Paul R. Wood, Secretary, no later than December 11, 2006. Any such proposals shall be subject to the requirements of the proxy rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Lexington s Board of Trustees will review any shareholder proposals that are timely submitted and will determine whether such proposals meet the criteria for inclusion in the proxy solicitation materials or for consideration at the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. In addition, the persons named in the proxies retain the discretion to vote proxies on matters of which Lexington is not properly notified at Lexington s principal executive offices on or before 45 days prior to the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, and also retain such authority under certain other circumstances.

Newkirk

Any stockholder proposals intended to be presented at the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Newkirk, which will only take place if the merger is not consummated, must be received by Newkirk for inclusion in Newkirk s proxy statement and form of proxy relating to that meeting on or before February 15, 2007. In addition, under Newkirk s By-laws, stockholders must comply with specified procedures to nominate persons for election as directors or introduce an item of business at an annual meeting. Director nominations or an item of business to be introduced at an annual meeting must be submitted in writing and received by Newkirk not less than 90 days or more than 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the date of mailing of a notice of the prior year s annual meeting which, for the 2007 Annual Meeting, means that such submissions must be made between December 12, 2006 and January 11, 2007. To be in proper written form, a stockholder s notice must contain the specific information required by Newkirk s By-laws. A copy of Newkirk s By-laws, which specify the advance notice procedures, can be obtained from Newkirk by request to the Secretary of Newkirk. Any Shareholder who wishes to submit a stockholder proposal, should send it to the Secretary, Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc., 7 Bulfinch Place, Suite 500, Boston, Massachusetts 02114.

LEGAL MATTERS

The validity of the Lexington common shares to be issued in the merger will be opined upon for Lexington by Venable LLP. Paul Hastings will deliver its opinion to Lexington as to certain federal income tax matters and Katten Muchin will deliver its opinion to Newkirk as to certain federal income tax matters.

Seth M. Zachary, a partner of Paul Hastings, is presently serving on Lexington s board of trustees and will continue to do so at least until the consummation of the merger at which time he has agreed to resign as a trustee. As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, Mr. Zachary beneficially owns 54,996 common shares.

EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements and schedule of Lexington Corporate Properties Trust and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and for each of the years in the three-year period ended

112

December 31, 2005, and management s assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 have been incorporated by reference herein and in the registration statement in reliance upon the reports of KPMG LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, incorporated by reference herein, and upon the authority of said firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

The consolidated financial statements of Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc. as of December 31, 2005 and for the period from November 7, 2005 (commencement of operations) to December 31, 2005 and the consolidated financial statements of The Newkirk Master Limited Partnership as

of December 31, 2004 and for the period from January 1, 2005 to November 6, 2005 and for the year ended December 31, 2004 included in this joint proxy statement/prospectus have been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their reports appearing herein, which reports express an unqualified opinion on the financial statements, and have been so included in reliance upon the reports of such firm given upon their authority as experts in accounting and auditing.

The consolidated statements of operations, partners equity and cash flows of The Newkirk Master Limited Partnership for the year ended December 31, 2003 have been audited by Imowitz, Koenig & Co., LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as set forth in their report appearing elsewhere herein, and are included in reliance upon the authority of said firm as experts in accounting and auditing.

OTHER MATTERS

As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, neither Lexington s board of trustees nor Newkirk s board of director knows of any matters that will be presented for consideration at either special meeting other than those described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. If any other matters properly come before either of the special meetings or any adjournments or postponements of either of the special meetings, and are voted upon, the enclosed proxies will confer discretionary authority on the individuals named as proxies to vote the shares represented by those proxies as to any other matters. Those individuals named in the Lexington proxies intend to vote or not vote consistent with the recommendation of the management of Lexington. Those individuals named as proxies in the Newkirk proxies intend to vote or not vote consistent with the recommendation of the management of Newkirk.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

Lexington has filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a registration statement under the Securities Act that registers the Lexington common shares to be issued under and as contemplated by the merger agreement. That registration statement, including the attached exhibits and schedules, contains additional relevant information about Lexington and Lexington common shares. The rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission allow Lexington to omit some of the information included in the registration statement from this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

In addition, Lexington and Newkirk file reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. You may read and copy that information at the Securities and Exchange Commission s public reference room at the following location:

Public Reference Room

100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580

Washington, D.C. 20549

1-800-732-0330

You may also obtain copies of this information by mail from the Public Reference Section of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549, at prescribed rates. Please call the Securities and Exchange Commission at 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room.

113

The Securities and Exchange Commission also maintains an Internet world wide website that contains reports, proxy statements and other information about issuers, including Lexington and Newkirk, which file electronically with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The address of that site is *http://www.sec.gov*.

The Securities and Exchange Commission allows Lexington to incorporate by reference information into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. This means that Lexington can disclose important information by referring you to another document filed separately with the Securities and

Exchange Commission. The information incorporated by reference is considered to be part of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, except for any information that is superseded by information that is included directly in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

This joint proxy statement/prospectus incorporates by reference the documents listed below that Lexington has previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (other than those furnished pursuant to Item 2.02 or Item 7.01 on Current Report on Form 8-K). These filings contain important information about Lexington and its financial condition.

Lexington Filings (File No. 001-12386)

Annual Report on Form 10-K

Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q

Current Reports on Form 8-K

Year ended December 31, 2005, as amended by Amendment No. 1 thereto filed on Form 10-K/A on March 16, 2006 Quarter ended March 31, 2006 Quarter ended June 30, 2006

Period

Filed on: January 5, 2006 January 6, 2006 February 6, 2006 February 16, 2006 March 20, 2006 March 27, 2006 April 27, 2006 May 5, 2006 June 2, 2006 July 24, 2006 August 1, 2006 August 15, 2006 September 13, 2006

Lexington also incorporates by reference additional documents that it may file with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 13(a), 13(c), 14 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 between the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus and the date of the Lexington special meeting (excluding any information furnished pursuant to any Current Report on Form 8-K). Those documents include periodic reports such as Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K, as well as proxy statements.

You may obtain any of the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus through Lexington, or from the Securities and Exchange Commission s website at http://www.sec.gov. Documents incorporated by reference are available from Lexington without charge, excluding any exhibits to those documents unless the exhibit is specifically incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. You may also obtain documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus by requesting them in writing or by telephone from Lexington as follows:

Lexington Corporate Properties Trust

One Penn Plaza, Suite 4015

New York, New York 10119-4015

Attention: Investor Relations

Telephone: (212) 692-7200

If you would like to request documents incorporated by reference, please do so by , 2006, to receive them before the special meeting. Please be sure to include your complete name and address in your request. If you request any documents, we will mail them to you by first class mail, or another equally prompt means, within one business day after we receive your request.

WARNING ABOUT FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Lexington and Newkirk have made forward-looking statements in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and in the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus, which are subject to risks and uncertainties. These statements are based on the beliefs and assumptions of Lexington and Newkirk, as the case may be, and on the information currently available to them.

114

When used or referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus, these forward-looking statements may be preceded by, followed by or otherwise include the words believes, expects, anticipates, intends, plans, estimates, projects or similar expressions, or statements that certain events or conditions will or may occur. Forward-looking statements in this joint proxy statement/prospectus also include:

statements relating to the cost savings that Lexington anticipates will result from the merger;

- statements relating to the accretion/dilution to funds from operations per share that Lexington expects from the merger;
- statements regarding other perceived benefits expected to result from the merger;
- statements with respect to various actions to be taken or requirements to be met in connection with completing the merger or integrating Lexington and Newkirk; and
- statements relating to revenue, income and operations of the combined company after the merger is completed.

These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of factors and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements. The following factors, among others, including those discussed in the section of this joint proxy statement/prospectus entitled Risk Factors, could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements:

cost savings expected from the merger may not be fully realized;

revenue of the combined company following the merger may be lower than expected;

costs or difficulties related to the integration of the businesses of Lexington and Newkirk following the merger may be greater than expected;

general economic conditions, either internationally or nationally or in the jurisdictions in which Lexington or Newkirk is doing business, may be less favorable than expected;

legislative or regulatory changes, including changes in environmental regulation, may adversely affect the businesses in which Lexington and Newkirk are engaged;

there may be environmental risks and liability under federal, state and foreign environmental laws and regulations; and

changes may occur in the securities or capital markets.

Except for its ongoing obligations to disclose material information as required by the federal securities laws, neither Lexington nor Newkirk has any intention or obligation to update these forward-looking statements after it distributes this joint proxy statement/ prospectus.

WHAT INFORMATION YOU SHOULD RELY ON

No person has been authorized to give any information or to make any representation that differs from, or adds to, the information discussed in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or in the annexes attached hereto which are specifically incorporated by reference. Therefore, if anyone gives you different or additional information, you should not rely on it.

This joint proxy statement/prospectus is dated , 2006. The information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus speaks only as of its date unless the information specifically indicates that another date applies. This joint proxy statement/prospectus does not constitute an offer to exchange or sell, or a solicitation of an offer to exchange or purchase, Lexington common shares or Newkirk common stock or to ask for proxies, to or from any person to whom it is unlawful to direct these activities.

116

LEXINGTON CORPORATE PROPERTIES TRUST

INDEX TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Page

Introduction	F-2
Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2006	F-3
Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2006	F-5
Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income for the year ended December 31, 2005	F-8
Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income for the year ended December 31, 2005	F-10
Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income for the six months ended June 30, 2006	F-13
Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income for the six months ended June 30, 2006	F-16

F-1

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements were prepared to reflect the proposed merger. The merger will be accounted for using the purchase method. The allocation of the purchase price reflected in the pro forma condensed consolidated balance sheet, and accordingly the pro forma adjustments as reflected in the pro forma condensed consolidated statements of income related to Lexington s new basis for assets acquired and liabilities assumed, is preliminary and is subject to change. We can give no assurance that when the final allocation of purchase price is completed the financial information will not change or that any change will not be material.

The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2006 has been prepared to reflect the merger as if the merger occurred on June 30, 2006. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of income for the year ended December 31, 2005 and the six months ended June 30, 2006 have been prepared assuming the merger occurred on January 1, 2005. The adjustments made in the pro forma condensed consolidated balance sheet have been made to reflect the merger and the allocation of the purchase price and other costs of the merger to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The adjustments made to the pro forma condensed consolidated statements of income have been made to reflect the effect of the merger and reclassifications of certain items in Newkirk s historical financial statements in order to conform to Lexington s presentation. The following unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of income do not purport to represent what Lexington s results of operations would actually have been if the merger had in fact occurred as of January 1, 2005 or to project Lexington s results of operations for any future date or period.

The unaudited pro forma balance sheet does not reflect the potential dividend/distribution that (i) Lexington is entitled to make pursuant to the merger agreement equal to 125% of any dividend/distribution made by Newkirk to maintain its REIT status and avoid imposition of entity-level income or excise taxes or (ii) Newkirk is entitled to make pursuant to the merger agreement equal to 80% of any dividend/distribution made by Lexington to maintain its REIT status and avoid imposition of entity-level income or excise taxes.

The pro forma adjustments are based on available information and on certain assumptions as set forth in the notes to the pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements that we believe are reasonable in the circumstances. The pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes should be read in conjunction with the historical financial statements and related notes of Lexington, which are incorporated into this joint proxy statement/prospectus by reference, the historical financial statements and related notes of Newkirk, which are included herein under *AnneF: Additional Information about Newkirk and Financial Statements for Newkirk*, and other documents filed by Lexington and Newkirk with the SEC from time to time. See *Where You Can Find More Information*.

As a result of the merger, Lexington believes that there will be certain cost efficiencies due to the economies of scale of having a larger number of facilities in certain markets after the merger is consummated. Lexington is evaluating the potential cost savings; however, it is not able to quantify the amount of such savings at this time. Accordingly, no adjustments have been made to the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of income to reflect expected cost savings

In the opinion of Lexington and Newkirk management, all significant adjustments necessary to reflect the effects of the merger that can be factually supported within the Securities and Exchange Commission regulations covering the preparation of unaudited pro forma financial statements have been made. The pro forma adjustments and the purchase price allocation as presented are based on estimates and certain information that is currently available to management. Such pro forma adjustments and the purchase price allocation could change as additional information becomes available, as estimates are refined or as additional events occur including any change in Lexington s closing share price on the date the merger is consummated. Management does not anticipate that there will be any significant changes in the total purchase price as presented in these unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements, other than those caused by changes in Lexington s share price, which cannot be predicted.

F-2

Lexington Corporate Properties Trust

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet

June 30, 2006

(dollars in thousands)

						Pro Forma		
		Lexington		Newkirk ^(P)		Merger		Pro Forma
Assets		<u>(historical</u>)		(historical)		<u>Adjustments</u>		Adjusted
Real estate at cost, net	\$	1,619,398	\$	1,012,917	\$	404,750 ^(C)	\$	3,037,065
Properties held for sale								
discontinued operations	7,9	56	146	5,108	62	,911 ^(D)	21	6,975
Intangible assets, net	13	3,046	19,	895	38	2,743 ^(F)	53	5,684
Cash and cash equivalents	54	,318	48,	605	(31	l,468) ^(B)	71	,455
Investment in non-consolidated								
entities	18	6,391	42,	588	(4,	342) ^(E)	22	4,637
Deferred expenses, net	14	,440	10,	552	(9,	138) ^(G)	15	,854
Notes receivable, including								
accrued interest	33.	,757	14,	974	18	,396 ^(H)	67	,127
Investments in marketable equity								
securities	4,2	21	10,	045	-		14	,266

Rent receivable current	6,052	51,577	-	57,629
Rent receivable deferred	26,551	22,463	(22,463) ^(I)	26,551
Other assets	54,867	48,330	(3,671) ^(J)	99,526
Total assets	\$ 2,140,997	\$ 1,428,054	\$ 797,718	\$ 4,366,769
(continued)				

F-3

Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	Lexington (historical)	Newkirk ^(P) (<u>historical)</u>	Pro Forma Merger <u>Adjustments</u>	Pro Forma <u>Adjusted</u>
Borrowings on credit facility	\$	\$557,065	\$	\$557,065
Mortgages and notes payable	1,152,805	244,535	(1,719) ^(K)	1,395,621
Liabilities - discontinued operations	4,180	58,424	(259) ^(L)	62,345
Accounts payable and other liabilities	24,305	12,672	14,059 ^(M)	51,036
Accrued interest payable	5,885	5,518		11,403
Deferred revenue	6,141	13,434	131,085 ^(N)	150,660
Total liabilities	1,193,316	891,648	143,166	2,228,130
Minority interests	60,347	359,252	524,136 ^(O)	943,735
Shareholders equity	887,334	177,154	130,416 ^(A)	1,194,904
Total liabilities and				
shareholders equity	\$2,140,997	\$1,428,054	\$797,718	\$4,366,769
See accompanying notes to unaudited pro forma	condensed consolidat	ed balance sheet.		

e accompanying notes to unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated balance sheet.

F-4

Lexington Corporate Properties Trust

Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet

June 30, 2006

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

In the proposed merger, each Newkirk stockholder will receive 0.80 common shares of Lexington for each common share of Newkirk that the stockholder owns immediately prior to the effective date of the merger. Lexington will exchange cash in lieu of any partial shares resulting from the merger.

For purposes of the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated balance sheet the total purchase price is based on the number of outstanding Newkirk common shares at June 30, 2006 and the average closing share price of Lexington common shares for the three (3) business days beginning one (1) business day prior to July 24, 2006 (the date the merger was announced), and ending one (1) business day subsequent to July 24, 2006. This average closing share price for these three (3) business days is approximately \$20.42 per share (rounded). It is assumed that

no cash is paid for fractional shares.

(A)	Shareholders equity	
	Newkirk common stock outstanding at June 30, 2006	19,375,000
	Exchange ratio	0.80
	Shares of Lexington to be issued	15,500,000
	Average share price (rounded)	\$20.42
	Fair value of equity to be issued	316,583
	Special dividend of \$0.17 per share assumed to be paid by Lexington prior to or on the	
	effective date of the merger	(9,013)
	Newkirk historical equity	(177,154)
	Pro forma equity adjustment	\$ 130,416
(B)	Cash and cash equivalents	
	Reduction in cash for items assumed to be paid by Newkirk prior to or on the effective	
	date of the merger, for:	
	Termination of advisory fee agreement pursuant to merger agreement	\$ (12,500)
	Merger investment advisory fees	(6,000)
	Merger legal and accounting fees	(3,000)
	Reduction in cash for special dividend/distribution of \$0.17 per share/unit assumed to be	
	paid by Lexington prior to or on the effective date of the merger	(9,968)
		\$ (31,468)
(C)	Real estate at cost, net	
(-)	Adjustment to real estate at cost, net, calculated as follows:	
	Estimated fair value of Newkirk real estate	\$ 1,417,667
	Newkirk historical basis	(1,012,917)
		\$ 404,750
		÷ .01,700

F-5

(D)	Properties held for sale discontinued operations		
	Adjustment to historical basis of Newkirk s real estate and other assets held for sale to equal net sale contract prices or estimate of fair value of the assets	\$	62,911
(E)	Investment in non-consolidated entities		
	Adjustment to reduce Newkirk s historical cost basis of partnership interests to estimated fair value based on most recent tender offers made by Newkirk and accepted by the		
	investors in the partnerships	\$	(4,342)
(F)	Intangible assets, net Allocation of estimated fair market value of the acquired assets to the following:		
	Estimated above-market leases	\$	170,856
	Estimated lease in place costs	23	1,782

	Reversal of Newkirk historical unamortized basis	(19	9,895)
		\$	382,743
(G)	Deferred expenses		
	Write-off of Newkirk historical unamortized deferred financing costs and deferred leasing		
	costs	\$	(10,552)
	Estimated costs to be incurred relating to assuming Newkirk debt	1,4	14
		\$	(9,138)
(H)	Notes receivable, including accrued interest		
	Adjustment to bring Newkirk s historical basis of loans and accrued interest receivable to		
	fair value	\$	18,396
(I)	Rent receivable deferred		
	Write-off of historical Newkirk straight-line rent receivable	\$	(22,463)

F-6

Other assets

(J)

Adjustment to other assets is comprised of the following:

	Write-off of Newkirk historical deferred costs related to exclusivity agreement and lease	¢ (11.750)
	options	\$ (11,752) 2,900
	Valuing transition services and asset management contracts at estimated fair value Increasing basis in investment in REMIC certificates to estimated fair value	,
	Other	5,697 (516)
	Ottiel	\$ (3,671)
		\$ (3,071)
(K)	Mortgage and notes payable	
	Adjustment of mortgage debt to estimated fair value	\$ (1,719)
(L)	Liabilities discontinued operations	
	Adjustment of below market lease intangibles to fair value	\$ (259)
(M)	Accounts payable and other liabilities	
	Estimated merger costs to be incurred by Lexington	\$ 12,645
	Estimated costs to be incurred relating to assuming Newkirk debt	1,414
		\$ 14,059
(N)	Deferred revenue	
	Record estimated value of below market leases relating to real estate assets acquired	\$ 144,519
	Reversal of Newkirk historical basis	(13,434)
		\$ 131,085
(0)	Minority Interests	
(0)	Record estimated fair value of minority partners' equity in revalued assets and liabilities	
	relating to the merger	\$ 884,343
	Special distribution of \$0.17 per unit assumed to be paid by Lexington prior to or on the	
	effective date of the merger	(955)
	Reversal of Newkirk historical basis	(359,252)
		\$ 524,136
(P)	Newkirk (historical)	

Certain balance sheet categories have been reclassified to conform with the Lexington presentation.

Lexington Corporate Properties Trust

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income

Year ended December 31, 2005

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Lexington (<u>historical</u>)	Newkirk (historical for November 7, 2005 to December 31, 2005)	Predecessor (B) (historical for January 1, 2005 to November 6, 2005)	Reclassif- ications (A)	Pro Forma Merger <u>Adjustments</u>	Pro Forma <u>Adjusted</u>
Rental	\$180,871	\$30,333	\$174,371	\$ (27)	\$(69,231) (C)	\$316,317
Advisory fee	5,365	38	249	-	-	5,652
Tenant reimbursements	10,896	_	-	27	-	10,923
Interest income		1,366	2,832	(4,198)	-	
Gain from disposal or real estate securities held for sale	of	2		(2)		
		=	-	()	-	
Total gross revenue	es197,132	31,739	177,452	(4,200)	(69,231)	332,892

(Continued)

F-8

		Newkirk	Predecessor (B)			
	Lexington historical	(historical for November 7, 2005 to December 31, 2005)	(historical for January 1, 2005 to November 6, 2005	Reclassifica- tions (A)	Pro Forma Merger <u>Adjustments</u>	Pro Forma <u>Adjusted</u>
Depreciation and						
amortization	\$(70,906)	\$(6,715)	\$(31,986)	\$ -	\$(35,879) ^(E)	\$(145,486)
Property operating	(23,494)	(244)	(534)	(2,270)	-	(26,542)
General and						
administrative	(17,612)	(1,268)	(3,812)	(10,500)	10,300 ^(H)	(22,892)
Impairment charges	(12,050)	-	(16,954)	-	16,954 ^(I)	(12,050)
Non-operating income	1,519	-	-	4,200	(573) ^(D)	5,146
Interest and amortization	n (65,065)	(8,466)	(55,218)	-	3,027 ^(F)	(125,722)
Debt satisfaction gains						
(charges), net	4,409	-	(27,521)	-	-	(23,112)
Compensation expense						
for exclusivity rights	-	(10,500)	-	10,500	-	-
Ground rent	-	(379)	(1,891)	2,270	-	-
Income (loss) before						
benefit (provision) for						
income taxes, minority						
interests and equity in						
earnings of						
non-consolidated entities	s 13,933	4,167	39,536	-	(75,402)	(17,766)
Benefit (provision) for						
income taxes	150	(182)	(1,415)			(1,447)
Minority interest expens	e					
of partially owned						
entities	-	(2,855)		(15,938)	19,060 ^(J)	267
Minority interest	(2,111)	(1,482)	(15,938)	15,938	22,306 ^(K)	18,713
Equity in earnings of nor						
consolidated entities	6,220	496	2,632		109 ^(G)	9,457
Income from continuing						
operations	\$18,192	\$144	\$24,815		\$ (33,927)	\$ 9,224
Income (loss) from						
continuing operations pe	er					
common share						
basic	0.04					(0.11)
	0.04					(0.24)

Income (loss) from continuing operations pe	er		
common share			
diluted			
Weighted average share	\$		
outstanding			
basic	49,836	15,500 ^(L)	65,336
Weighted average share	S		
outstanding			
diluted	49,903	56,899 ^(M)	106,802
See accompanying no	otes to the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statement of income.		

F-9)

Lexington Corporate Properties Trust

Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income

Year ended December 31, 2005

(dollars and shares in thousands)

(A)	Reclassifications	
	Certain balances contained in the Newkirk historical Statement of Income have been reclassified to conform with Lexington s presentation.	
(B)	Other Adjustments Represents historical financial data for the Newkirk Master Limited Partnership and its subsidiaries.	
(C)	Rental Income	
	Adjustment to Newkirk s historical rental income, calculated as follows:	
	Reversal of historical straight-line rent adjustment	\$ 5,684
	New straight-line rent adjustment	(20,018)
	New amortization, net of above and below market leases over the applicable remaining lease periods	
		(54,897)
		\$(69,231)
(D)	Non-operating Income Adjustment to Newkirk s non-operating income, calculated as follows:	
	Reversal of historical interest income related to contract rights receivable	\$ (19)
	New interest income based on fair market value of contract rights receivable at acquisition	
	Reversal of historical interest income from REMIC certificates	(1,583)
	New interest income on the investment in REMIC certificates based on fair value	792
	Now increase module on the investment in relative continents bused on fun variation	\$ (573)
		Ψ (0,0)
(E)	Depreciation & Amortization	
	Adjustment to Newkirk s historical real estate depreciation & amortization, calculated as follows:	
	Reversal of historical depreciation expense	\$35,819

New depreciation expense based on real estate fair value at acquisition over estimated			
useful life of 40 years	(28,898)		
Reversal of historical amortization expense	2,884		
New amortization of fair value of intangible assets from leases in place over remaining			
noncancellable lease term.	(45,684)		
	\$(35,879)		

F-10

(F)	Interest and Amortization	
	Adjustment to Newkirk s historical interest and amortization calculated as follows:	
	Reversal of historical deferred financing costs	\$3,260
	Record amortization of costs expected to be incurred related to loan assumption	(233)
		\$3,027
(G)	Equity in Earnings of Non-Consolidated Entities	
(8)	Record reduction to depreciation from a reduction in basis to fair value	\$ 109
		+ -**
(H)	General and Administrative	
	Assumes an increase in directors and officers insurance	\$ (200)
	Reversal of historical compensation expense from exclusivity agreement upon	
	consummation of the merger	10,500
		\$10,300
(I)	Impairment Loss	
	Elimination of impairment charges relating to revaluing assets to estimated fair value as o	of
	January 1, 2005	\$16,954
(J)	Minority Interest Expense of Partially Owned Entities	
	Adjustment to Newkirk minority interest expense, calculated as follows:	
	Minority interest share of estimated straight-line rent adjustment	\$ 2,338
	Minority interest share of estimated amortization on above/below market lease intangible	s 8,321
	Reversal of minority interest in partially owned entities share of historical depreciation	
	and amortization	(2,156)
	Minority interest share of estimated depreciation on fair value basis	3,650
	Minority interest share of estimated amortization on fair value basis	6,907
		\$19,060

F-11

Minority Interest

(K)

Adjustment for the 69.9% minority interest partner s share of pro forma loss of the Newkirk Operating Partnership for the year ended December 31, 2005.

\$22,306

Weighted Average Shares Outstanding - Basic

(L)		
	Newkirk historical common shares outstanding	19,375
	Exchange ratio	.80
		15,500

Weighted Average Shares Outstanding - Diluted

(M)		
	Newkirk historical common shares outstanding	19,375
	Newkirk historical operating parternship units outstanding	45,040
		64,415
	Exchange ratio	.80
		51,532
	Lexington historical operating partnership units - dilutive	5,434
	Lexington historical common shares options - anti-dilutive	(67)
		56,899

F-12

Lexington Corporate Properties Trust

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income

Six months ended June 30, 2006

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Gross revenues	Lexington <u>historical</u>	Newkirk <u>(historical)</u>	Reclassifications ^(A)	Pro Forma <u>Adjustments</u>	Pro Forma <u>Adjusted</u>
Rental	\$94,936	\$108,926	\$ (393)	\$ (30,985) ^(B)	\$172,484
Advisory fee	2,401	124			2,525
Tenant reimbursements	8,320		393		8,713
Interest income		7,071	(7,071)		
Total gross					
revenues	105,657	116,121	(7,071)	(30,985)	183,722
(Continued)					

F-13

	Lexington	Newkirk		Pro Forma	Pro Forma
	<u>historical</u>	<u>(historical)</u>	<u>Reclassifications</u> (A)	Adjustments	Adjusted
Depreciation & amortization	\$ (40,592)	\$ (25,376)	\$	\$ (11,915) ^(D)	\$(77,883)
Property operating	(15,271)	(2,984)	(1,166)		(19,421)
General and administrative	(10,479)	(5,018)	(1,667)	1,567 ^(H)	(15,597)
Impairment charges	(1,121)				(1,121)
Non-operating income	6,706		7,159	(1,816) ^(C)	12,049

Interest and amortization	(35,446)	(26,019)		770 ^(E)	(60,695)
Debt satisfaction gain, net	294				294
Compensation expense for					
exclusivity rights		(1,667)	1,667		
Ground rent		(1,166)	1,166		
Income (loss) before benefit					
(provision) for income taxes	,				
minority interests, and equit	у				
in earnings of					
non-consolidated entities	9,748	53,891	88	(42,379)	21,348
Benefit (provision) for					
income taxes	155	(1,181)			(1,026)
Minority interest expense of					
partially owned entities		(10,692)		8,575 ^(F)	(2,117)
Minority interest	(1,710)	(31,639)		24,603 ^(I)	(8,746)
Equity in earnings of					
non-consolidated entities	2,070	1,709		55 ^(G)	3,834
Gain on sale of securities		88	(88)		
Income (loss) from					
continuing operations	\$10,263	\$ 12,176	\$0	\$ (9,146)	\$13,293
Income from continuing					
operations per common shar	re				
basic	0.04				0.08
Income from continuing					
operations per common shar	re				
diluted	0.04				0.08

F-14

Weighted average shares			
outstanding			
basic	51,981	15,500(J)	67,481
Weighted average shares			
outstanding			
diluted	52,007	15,500(J)	67,507
See accompanying notes to	unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statement of income.		

F-15

Lexington Corporate Properties Trust

Notes to Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Income

Six months ended June 30, 2006

(dollars and shares in thousands)

(A)	<u>Reclassifications</u> Certain balances contained in the Newkirk historical Statement of Income have been reclassified to conform with Lexington s presentation.	
(B)	Rental Income	
	Adjustment to Newkirk s historical rental income, calculated as follows:	
	Reversal of historical straight-line rent adjustment	\$3,031
	New straight-line rent adjustment	(6,599)
	New amortization, net of above and below market leases over the applicable remaining lease periods	(27,417)
		\$(30,985)
(C)	Non-operating income Adjustment to Newkirk non-operating income, calculated as follows:	
	Reversal of historical interest income related to contract rights receivable	\$(2,178)
	New interest income based on fair market value of contract rights receivable at acquisition	
	Reversal of historical interest income from investment in REMIC certificates	(823)
	New interest income from investment in REMIC certificates based on fair market value	408
		\$(1,816)
(D)	Depreciation & Amortization Adjustment to Newkirk s historical real estate depreciation & amortization, calculated as follows:	
	Reversal of historical depreciation expense	\$22,572
	New depreciation expense based on real estate fair value at acquisition over estimated useful life of 40 years	(14,449)
	Reversal of historical amortization expense	2,804
	New amortization of fair value of intangible assets from leases in place over remaining noncancellable lease term	(22,842)
		\$(11,915)

F-16

(E)	Interest and Amortization	
	Adjustment to Newkirk s historical interest and amortization, calculated as follows:	
	Reversal of historical deferred financing costs	\$1,137
	Record amortization of costs expected to be incurred related to loan assumption	(117)
	Reversal of certain historical mortgage interest expense that is being marked to market	465
	Record interest expense on certain mortgage debt based on fair market value	(715)
		\$ 770

(F) <u>Minority Interest Expense of Partially Owned Entities</u> Adjustment to Newkirk minority interest expense, calculated as follows:

	Minority interest share of estimated FAS 13 straight-line rent adjustment	\$ 359
	Minority interest share of estimated amortization on above/below market rents lease intangible	4.073
	Reversal of minority interest in partially owned entities share of depreciation and	(1.12()
	amortization	(1,136)
	Minority interest share of estimated depreciation on fair market value basis	1,825
	Minority interest share of estimated amortization on fair market value basis	3,454
		\$8,575
(G)	Equity in Earnings of Non Consolidated Entities	
	Record reduction to depreciation from a reduction in basis to fair value	\$ 55
(H)	General and Administrative	
	Assumes an increase in directors and officers insurance	\$ (100)
	Reversal of historical compensation expense from exclusivity agreement upon	
	consummation of the merger	1,667
		\$1,567
		· / ·
(I)	Minority Interest	
	Adjustment for the 69.9% minority interest partner s share of the Newkirk Operating	
	Partnership	\$24,603
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<i>q</i> 2 1,000
(J)	Weighted Average Shares Outstanding-Basic and Diluted	
	Newkirk historical common shares outstanding	19,375
	Exchange ratio	0.80
		15,500
		15,500

F-17

<u>ANNEX A</u>

AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER (INCLUDING AMENDMENT NO. 1)

Annex A-1

Exhibit 2.1

AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER

by and among

LEXINGTON CORPORATE PROPERTIES TRUST

and

NEWKIRK REALTY TRUST, INC.

Dated as of July 23, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

ARTICLE I DEFINITIONS	2
SECTION 1.01. Definitions	2
ARTICLE II THE MERGER	12
SECTION 2.01. REIT Merger	12
SECTION 2.02. Declaration of Trust	12
SECTION 2.03. By-laws	12
SECTION 2.04. Effective Time	13
SECTION 2.05. Closing	13
SECTION 2.06. Trustees and Officers of the Surviving Entity	13
ARTICLE III EFFECT OF THE MERGER	14
SECTION 3.01. Conversion of NRT Common Stock	14
SECTION 3.02. Surrender and Payment	15
SECTION 3.03. NRT Preferred Stock	16
SECTION 3.04. No Fractional Shares	16
SECTION 3.05. Withholding Rights	16
SECTION 3.06. Appraisal Rights	17
ARTICLE IV REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF THE COMPANY	18
SECTION 4.01. Existence; Good Standing; Authority; Compliance With Law	18
SECTION 4.02. Capitalization	20
SECTION 4.03. Authority Relative to This Agreement and the Ancillary Agreements	22
SECTION 4.04. No Conflict; Required Filings and Consents	23
SECTION 4.05. Permits; Compliance	24
SECTION 4.06. SEC Filings; Financial Statements	24
SECTION 4.07. Absence of Certain Changes or Events	25
SECTION 4.08. Absence of Litigation	25
SECTION 4.09. Employee Benefit Matters	25
SECTION 4.10. Labor Matters	28
SECTION 4.11. Information Supplied	29

SECTION 4.12. Property and Leases

30

Annex A-i

SECTION 4.13. Intellectual Property	33
SECTION 4.14. Taxes	33
SECTION 4.15. Environmental Matters	35
SECTION 4.16. Material Contracts	36
SECTION 4.17. No Payments to Employees, Officers or Directors	38
SECTION 4.18. Brokers	38
SECTION 4.19. Opinion of Financial Advisor	38
SECTION 4.20. Insurance.	39
SECTION 4.21. Related Party Transactions	39
SECTION 4.22. Takeover Statutes	39
SECTION 4.23. Investment Company Act	39
SECTION 4.24. Patriot Act.	40
SECTION 4.25. Compliance with Laws.	40
SECTION 4.26. No Other Representations or Warranties.	40
ARTICLE V REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF NRT	41
SECTION 5.01. Existence; Good Standing; Authority; Compliance With Law	41
SECTION 5.02. Capitalization.	42
SECTION 5.03. Authority Relative to This Agreement and the Ancillary Agreements	42
SECTION 5.04. No Conflict; Required Filings and Consents	45
SECTION 5.05. Permits; Compliance.	46
SECTION 5.06. SEC Filings; Financial Statements	46
SECTION 5.07. Absence of Certain Changes or Events	47
SECTION 5.08. Absence of Litigation	47
SECTION 5.09. Employee Benefit Matters	47
SECTION 5.10. Labor Matters	50
SECTION 5.11. Proxy Statement	51
SECTION 5.12. Property and Leases	52
SECTION 5.13. Intellectual Property	55
SECTION 5.14. Taxes	55
SECTION 5.15. Environmental Matters	57
SECTION 5.16. Material Contracts	57
SECTION 5.17. No Payments to Employees, Officers or Directors	59
SECTION 5.18. Brokers	60
SECTION 5.19. Opinion of Financial Advisor	60

Annex A-ii

SECTION 5.20. Insurance.	60
SECTION 5.21. Related Party Transactions	60
SECTION 5.22. Takeover Statutes	61
SECTION 5.23. Investment Company Act	61
SECTION 5.24. Patriot Act	61
SECTION 5.25. Compliance with Laws	61
SECTION 5.26. Tender Offers	61
SECTION 5.27. No Other Representations or Warranties	62
ARTICLE VI CONDUCT OF BUSINESS PENDING THE CLOSING	62
SECTION 6.01. Conduct of Business by the Company	62
SECTION 6.02. Conduct of Business by NRT	67
SECTION 6.03. Like-kind Exchanges	72
ARTICLE VII ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS	72
SECTION 7.01. Shareholders' Meetings; Nrt Op Unitholder's Meeting	72
SECTION 7.02. Registration Statement; Reit Merger Proxy Statement	72
SECTION 7.03. NRT OP Proxy Statement	74
SECTION 7.04. NRT Advisor Voting	75
SECTION 7.05. Access to Information; Confidentiality	75
SECTION 7.06. No Solicitation of Transactions	76
SECTION 7.07. Further Action; Reasonable Best Efforts	77
SECTION 7.08. Public Announcements	78
SECTION 7.09. Indemnification	78
SECTION 7.10. Employee Benefit Matters	81
SECTION 7.11. Transfer Taxes	83
SECTION 7.12. Compliance with Agreements	83
SECTION 7.13. Advisory Agreement Termination	83
SECTION 7.14. Voting Trustee Agreement	83
SECTION 7.15. Lock-Up Agreements	83
SECTION 7.16. Amended and Restated Exclusivity Arrangement; Amendment to Acquisition Agreement	84
SECTION 7.17. Employment Agreement	84
SECTION 7.18. Registration Rights	84
SECTION 7.19. Contribution, Funding Agreement and MLP Guarantee	84
SECTION 7.20. Listing Of Shares	85

Annex A-iii

SECTION 7.21. Reorganization	85
SECTION 7.22. Amended Nrt Op Limited Partnership Agreement	85
SECTION 7.23. Tax Returns	85
SECTION 7.24. Transition Services Agreement	86
SECTION 7.25. Property Management Agreements	86
SECTION 7.26. Ownership Waiver	86
SECTION 7.27. REIT Merger Voting Agreements	86
ARTICLE VIII CONDITIONS	86
SECTION 8.01. Conditions to the Obligations of Each Party	86
SECTION 8.02. Conditions to the Obligations of NRT	87
SECTION 8.03. Conditions to the Obligations of the Company	88
ARTICLE IX TERMINATION, AMENDMENT AND WAIVER	90
SECTION 9.01. Termination	90
SECTION 9.02. Effect of Termination	91

SECTION 9.03. Fees and Expenses	91
ARTICLE X GENERAL PROVISIONS	93
SECTION 10.01. NON-SURVIVAL OF REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES	93
SECTION 10.02. Notices	93
SECTION 10.03. Severability	94
SECTION 10.04. Amendment	94
SECTION 10.05. Entire Agreement; Assignment	94
SECTION 10.06. Parties in Interest	95
SECTION 10.07. Specific Performance	95
SECTION 10.08. Governing Law	95
SECTION 10.09. Waiver of Jury Trial	95
SECTION 10.10. Headings	96
SECTION 10.11. Counterparts	96
SECTION 10.12. Mutual Drafting	96
-	

Annex A-iv

EXHIBITS

- Amended and Restated Declaration Exhibit A-1 Surviving By-laws Exhibit A-2 Exhibit B-1 Surviving Entity Officers Exhibit B-2 Initial Members of the Standing Committees of the Board of Trustees Exhibit C Amended NRT OP Limited Partnership Agreement <u>Exhibit D</u> Voting Trustee Agreement Exhibit E-1 Amended and Restated Exclusivity Agreement Exhibit E-2 Acquisition Agreement Amendment, Assignment and Assumption <u>Exhibit F</u> NRT Executive Employment Agreement
- Exhibit G Transition Services Agreement

- Exhibit H Form of Waiver Agreement
- Exhibit I Form of Funding Agreement
- Exhibit J Change of Control Waiver

Exhibit K MLP Guaranty Agreement

NOTE: These exhibits have been omitted from this Agreement and Plan of Merger. These exhibits were previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by Lexington in its Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 24, 2006.

Annex A-v

AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER

THIS AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER (this <u>Agreement</u>), dated as of July 23, 2006, is made by and among Lexington Corporate Properties Trust, a Maryland real estate investment trust (the <u>Company</u>), and Newkirk Realty Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation (<u>NRT</u>).

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the parties wish to effect a business combination through a merger of NRT with and into the Company (the <u>REIT Merger</u>) on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in this Agreement and in accordance with Title 3 of the Corporations and Associations Articles of the Annotated Code of Maryland, as amended (the <u>MGCL</u>) and Title 8 of the Corporations and Associations Articles of the Annotated Code of Maryland, as amended (the <u>MGCL</u>) and Title 8 of the Corporations and Associations Articles of the Annotated Code of Maryland, as amended (the <u>Maryland REIT Law</u>);

WHEREAS, each of the board of trustees of the Company (the <u>Company Board</u>) and the Board of Directors of NRT (the <u>NRT B</u>oard) has approved the REIT Merger on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in this Agreement;

WHEREAS, the Company Board has determined that this Agreement, the REIT Merger and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement are fair to, advisable and in the best interests of the Company and the holders of the Company Common Shares, and has unanimously voted to approve this Agreement, and recommend acceptance and approval by the holders of the Company Common Shares of, this Agreement, the REIT Merger and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement;

WHEREAS, a special committee of the NRT Board has determined that this Agreement, the REIT Merger and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement are fair to, advisable and in the best interests of NRT and its stockholders, and has unanimously voted to approve this Agreement, and recommend acceptance and approval by the NRT Board of, this Agreement, the REIT Merger and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement;

WHEREAS, the NRT Board has determined that this Agreement, the REIT Merger and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement are fair to, advisable and in the best interests of NRT and its stockholders, and has unanimously voted to approve this Agreement, and recommend acceptance and approval by NRT s stockholders of, this Agreement, the REIT Merger and the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement;

WHEREAS, for federal income tax purposes, it is intended that the REIT Merger shall qualify as a reorganization under Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the <u>Code</u>), and that this Agreement shall constitute a plan of reorganization under Section 368(a) of the Code;

WHEREAS, the parties hereto desire to make certain representations, warranties, covenants and agreements in connection with the REIT Merger, and also to prescribe various conditions to such transactions;

WHEREAS, the Company owns 100% of the beneficial interests of Lex GP-1 Trust, a Delaware statutory trust (<u>Lesh G</u>P);

WHEREAS, Lesh GP is the sole general partner of each of Lepercq Corporate Income Fund L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the <u>OP-1</u> <u>Partnership</u>), Lepercq Corporate Income Fund II L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the <u>OP-2 Partnership</u>), and Net 3 Acquisition L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the <u>OP-3 Partnership</u>) and, together with the OP-1 Partnership and the OP-2 Partnership, the <u>Company</u> <u>Partnerships</u>);

WHEREAS, NRT is the sole general partner of The Newkirk Master Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership (<u>NRT OP</u>); and

WHEREAS, concurrently with the execution and delivery of this Agreement, certain stockholders of NRT and certain holders of the NRT OP Units (as defined herein) have each entered into a voting agreement for the benefit of the Company (each, a <u>NRT Voting Agreement</u>), pursuant to which such holders have agreed, among other things, to vote, or cause their shares of NRT Common Stock and NRT OP Units, as applicable, to be voted, to approve this Agreement, the REIT Merger and any other matter which requires their vote in connection with the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and the mutual representations, warranties, covenants and agreements contained herein, the parties hereto hereby agree as follows:

ARTICLE I

DEFINITIONS

SECTION 1.01. Definitions.

(a) For purposes of this Agreement:

<u>Action</u> means any claim, action, suit, proceeding, arbitration, mediation or other investigation as to which written notice has been provided to the applicable party.

<u>Affiliate</u> of a specified Person means a Person who, directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, Controls, is Controlled by or is under common Control with such specified Person.

<u>Ancillary Agreements</u> means the NRT Advisor Termination, the Voting Trustee Agreement, the Amended and Restated Exclusivity Agreement, the Acquisition Agreement Amendment, Assignment and Assumption, the documents evidencing the

Annex A-2

assignment and assumption of the Existing Registration Rights Agreements provided for under <u>Section 7.18</u>, the WEM Registration Rights Agreement, the Property Management Agreement, the NRT Executive Employment Agreement, the Amended NRT OP Limited Partnership Agreement, the Waiver Agreement, the Transition Services Agreement, the Funding Agreement, the MLP Guaranty, the NRT Voting Agreement, and the REIT Merger Voting Agreements (each as defined herein).

<u>Business Day</u> means any day on which the principal offices of the SEC in Washington, D.C. are open to accept filings, or, in the case of determining a date when any payment is due, any day on which banks are not required or authorized to close in New York.

Certificate means any certificate evidencing the Company Common Shares.

<u>Company Acquisition Propos</u>al shall mean any proposal or offer for any (a) merger, consolidation or similar transaction involving the Company, the Company Partnerships or any Significant Subsidiary of the Company (as defined in Rule 1-02 of Regulation S-X, but substituting 25% for the references to 10% therein), (b) sale, lease or other disposition, directly or indirectly, by merger, consolidation, share exchange or otherwise, of any assets of the Company or its Subsidiaries representing 25% or more of the consolidated assets of the Company and its Subsidiaries, (c) issue, sale or other disposition of (including by way of merger, consolidation, share exchange or any similar transaction) securities (or options, rights or warrants to purchase, or securities convertible into, such securities) representing 25% or more of the votes associated with the outstanding securities of the Company, (d) tender offer or exchange offer in which any Person or group (as such term is defined under the Exchange Act) shall acquire beneficial ownership (as such term is defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), or the right to acquire beneficial ownership, of 25% or more of the outstanding shares of the Company Common Shares or outstanding equity interest of the Company Partnerships, (e) recapitalization, restructuring, liquidation, dissolution, or other similar type of transaction with respect to the Company or the Company Partnerships or (f) transaction which is similar in form, substance or purpose to any of the foregoing transactions; provided, however, that the term Company Acquisition Proposal shall not include (i) the REIT Merger or the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement and (ii) any joint venture between the Company and ING.

<u>Company Common Shares</u> means the common shares of beneficial interest, par value \$0.0001 per share, of the Company, including, without restriction, the Company Restricted Shares.

Company Executives shall mean E. Robert Roskind, Richard J. Rouse, T. Wilson Eglin, Patrick Carroll and John B. Vander Zwaag.

<u>Company Permitted Liens</u> means (i) Liens for Taxes not yet delinquent and Liens for Taxes being contested in good faith and for which there are adequate reserves on the financial statements of the Company (if such reserves are required pursuant to

Annex A-3

GAAP); (ii) inchoate mechanics and materialmen s Liens for construction in progress; (iii) inchoate workmen s, repairmen s, warehousemen s and carriers Liens arising in the ordinary course of business of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries; (iv) zoning restrictions, survey exceptions, utility easements, rights of way and similar Liens that are imposed by any Governmental Authority having jurisdiction thereon or otherwise are typical for the applicable property type and locality; (v) with respect to real property, any title exception disclosed in any Company Title Insurance Policy provided or made available to NRT (whether material or immaterial), Liens and obligations arising under the Material Contracts of the Company (including but not limited to any Lien securing mortgage debt disclosed in <u>Section 4.02(b)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule), the Company Leases and any other Lien that does not interfere materially with the current use of such property (assuming its continued use in the manner in which it is currently used) or materially adversely affect the value or marketability of such property; (vi) easement agreements and all other matters disclosed on any Company Title Insurance Policy provided or made available to NRT; (vii) matters that would be disclosed on current title reports or surveys that arise or have arisen in the ordinary course of business, and/or (viii) other Liens being contested in good faith in the ordinary course of business.

<u>Company Restricted Shares</u> means restricted Company Common Shares issued pursuant to any Company Incentive Plan.

<u>Company Shareholder Meeting</u> means the meeting of the holders of the Company Common Shares at which such holders vote to determine whether Company Shareholder Approval is granted.

<u>Company Subsidiaries</u> means the Subsidiaries of the Company.

<u>Company Superior Propos</u>al means any Company Acquisition Proposal on terms which the Company Board determines in good faith (taking into account such factors as the Company Board deems appropriate, which factors may include any legal, financial and regulatory aspects of the proposal and the Person making the proposal) to be more favorable to the Company s shareholders than the REIT Merger, taken as a whole.

<u>Control</u> (including the terms <u>Controlled</u> by <u>and under common Control</u> with) means the possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a Person, whether through the ownership of voting securities, as trustee or executor, by contract or otherwise.

<u>Environmental Laws</u> means any applicable United States federal, state or local Laws in existence on the date hereof relating to Hazardous Materials or pollution or protection of the environment.

<u>Hazardous Materials</u> means (i) those substances defined in or regulated under the following federal statutes and their state counterparts, as each may be amended from time to time, and all regulations thereunder: the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, the

Annex A-4

Toxics Substances Control Act, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, the Safe Drinking Water Act, the Oil Pollution Act, the Hazardous Material Transportation Act, the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act, the Atomic Energy Act and the Clean Air Act; (ii) petroleum and petroleum products, including crude oil and any fractions thereof; (iii) polychlorinated biphenyls, friable asbestos, mold, radon and infectious waste; (iv) any explosive or radioactive materials; and (v) any substance, material, or waste regulated by any Governmental Authority pursuant to any Environmental Law.

<u>Indebtedness</u> means, without duplication, any amount owed for (i) borrowed money, (ii) capitalized lease obligations or (iii) obligations under interest rate agreements and currency agreements; <u>provided</u>, <u>however</u>, that notwithstanding the foregoing, Indebtedness shall not be deemed to include any intercompany indebtedness between the Company and any of its Subsidiaries, between any of the Company Subsidiaries, between NRT and any of its Subsidiaries, or any accounts payable incurred in the ordinary course of business.

<u>Intellectual Property</u> means (i) United States, international, and foreign patents, patent applications and statutory invention registrations, (ii) trademarks, service marks, trade dress, logos, trade names, corporate names and other source identifiers, and registrations and applications for registration thereof, (iii) copyrightable works, copyrights, and registrations and applications for registration thereof, and (iv) confidential and proprietary information, including trade secrets and know-how.

<u>Joint Venture</u> means any of (i) Lexington Acquiport Company, LLC, (ii) Lexington Acquiport Company II, LLC, (iii) Lexington/Lion Venture L.P., (iv) Triple Net Investment Company LLC, (v) Lexington Columbia L.L.C., (vi) that certain tenancy in common referred to as Oklahoma City in the Company s most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K included in the SEC Reports, (vii) LXP Olympe Investments S.àr.l. and (viii) Lexington Strategic Asset Corp.

<u>Joint Venture Agreements</u> shall mean the operating and/or partnership agreements, as applicable, which govern the operations of the Joint Ventures.

Joint Venture Interests shall mean the equity interests held by each of the partners in each of the Joint Ventures.

Knowledge of the Company or Company s Knowledge means the actual knowledge of the Company Executives.

<u>Knowledge of NRT</u> or <u>NRT</u> s Knowledge means the actual knowledge of Michael Ashner, Peter Braverman, Lara Sweeney Johnson, Carolyn Tiffany and Thomas Staples.

<u>Liens</u> means with respect to any asset (including any security), any mortgage, claim, lien, pledge, charge, security interest or encumbrance of any kind in respect of such asset.

Annex A-5

<u>Material Adverse Effect</u> means any event, circumstance, change or effect that is materially adverse to the financial condition or results of operations of the Company and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole, or NRT and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole, as applicable; <u>provided</u>, <u>however</u>, that Material Adverse Effect shall not include any event, circumstance, change or effect arising out of or attributable to (i) any decrease in the market price, or change in the trading volume, of the Company Common Shares or NRT Common Stock, as applicable, or any failure to meet publicly announced revenue or earnings projections, (ii) any events, circumstances, changes or effects that affect the real estate ownership and leasing business generally, (iii) any changes in the United States or global economy or capital, financial or securities markets generally, including changes in interest or exchange rates, (iv) the commencement or escalation of a war or armed hostilities or the occurrence of acts of terrorism or sabotage, (v) any changes in general economic, legal, regulatory or political conditions in the geographic regions in which the Company and its Subsidiaries operate or in which NRT and its subsidiaries operate, as applicable, (vi) any events, circumstances, changes or

effects arising from the consummation or anticipation of the REIT Merger or the announcement of the execution of this Agreement, (vii) any events, circumstances, changes or effects arising from the compliance with the terms of, or the taking of any action required by, this Agreement, (viii) earthquakes, hurricanes or other natural disasters, (ix) changes in Law or GAAP or (x) damage or destruction of any Company Property or NRT Property, as applicable, caused by casualty and not covered by insurance.

<u>MLP Guaranty</u> shall mean the Guaranty Agreement, dated as of the Closing Date, by the Company, <u>asarantor</u>, to and for the benefit of NRT OP, substantially in the form set forth on Exhibit K attached hereto.

<u>NRT Acquisition Propos</u>al shall mean any proposal or offer for any (a) merger, consolidation or similar transaction involving NRT, NRT OP or any Significant Subsidiary of NRT (as defined in Rule 1-02 of Regulation S-X, but substituting 25% for the references to 10% therein), (b) sale, lease or other disposition, directly or indirectly, by merger, consolidation, share exchange or otherwise, of any assets of NRT or its Subsidiaries representing 25% or more of the consolidated assets of NRT and its Subsidiaries, (c) issue, sale or other disposition of (including by way of merger, consolidation, share exchange or any similar transaction) securities (or options, rights or warrants to purchase, or securities convertible into, such securities) representing 25% or more of the votes associated with the outstanding securities of NRT, (d) tender offer or exchange offer in which any Person or group (as such term is defined under the Exchange Act) shall acquire beneficial ownership (as such term is defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), or the right to acquire beneficial ownership, of 25% or more of the outstanding shares of NRT COmmon Stock or outstanding equity interest of NRT OP, (e) recapitalization, restructuring, liquidation, dissolution, or other similar type of transaction with respect to NRT or NRT OP or (f) transaction which is similar in form, substance or purpose to any of the foregoing transactions; <u>provided</u>, however, that the term NRT Acquisition Proposal shall not include the REIT Merger or the other transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

Annex A-6

<u>NRT OP Proxy Statement</u> means the proxy statement relating to the Amended NRT OP Limited Partnership Agreement filed by NRT and the Company with the SEC under the Exchange Act and to be sent to the holders of NRT OP Units by NRT, as amended or supplemented from time to time.

<u>NRT Permitted Liens</u> means (i) Liens for Taxes not yet delinquent and Liens for Taxes being contested in good faith and for which there are adequate reserves on the financial statements of NRT (if such reserves are required pursuant to GAAP); (ii) inchoate mechanics and materialmen s Liens for construction in progress; (iii) inchoate workmen s, repairmen s, warehousemen s and carriers Liens arising in the ordinary course of business of NRT or any of its Subsidiaries; (iv) zoning restrictions, survey exceptions, utility easements, rights of way and similar Liens that are imposed by any Governmental Authority having jurisdiction thereon or otherwise are typical for the applicable property type and locality; (v) with respect to real property, any title exception disclosed in any NRT Title Insurance Policy provided or made available to the Company (whether material or immaterial), Liens and obligations arising under the NRT Material Contracts (including but not limited to any Lien securing mortgage debt disclosed in <u>Section 5.02(b)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule), the NRT Leases and any other Lien that does not interfere materially with the current use of such property (assuming its continued use in the manner in which it is currently used) or materially adversely affect the value or marketability of such property; (vi) easement agreements and all other matters disclosed on any NRT Title Insurance Policy provided or made available to the Company; (vii) matters that would be disclosed on current title reports or surveys that arise or have arisen in the ordinary course of business, and/or (viii) other Liens being contested in good faith in the ordinary course of business.

NRT Subsidiaries means the Subsidiaries of NRT.

<u>NRT Superior Proposal</u> means any NRT Acquisition Proposal on terms which the NRT Board determines in good faith (taking into account such factors as the NRT Board deems appropriate, which factors may include any legal, financial and regulatory aspects of the proposal and the Person making the proposal) to be more favorable to NRT s stockholders than the REIT Merger, taken as a whole.

<u>NRT Stockholder Meeting</u> means the meeting of the holders of the NRT Common Stock and the NRT Preferred Stock at which such holders vote to determine whether the NRT Stockholder Approval is granted.

<u>Person</u> means an individual, corporation, partnership, limited partnership, limited liability company, syndicate, person (including, without limitation, a person as defined in Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act), trust, association or entity or government, political subdivision, agency

or instrumentality of a government.

<u>Subsidiary</u> means, with respect to a Person, any corporation more than 25% of whose outstanding voting securities, or any partnership, limited liability company, joint venture, trust or other entity more than 25% of whose total equity interest, is directly or indirectly owned by such Person, <u>provided</u>, <u>however</u>, without limiting the foregoing, the

Annex A-7

term Subsidiary as it relates to NRT, shall also include NRT OP and the Subsidiaries thereof, and the term Subsidiary as it relates to the Company, shall include Lexington Strategic Asset Corp. and the Joint Ventures.

<u>Taxes</u> means any and all taxes, fees, levies, duties, tariffs, imposts and other charges of any kind (together with any and all interest, penalties, additions to tax and additional amounts imposed with respect thereto) imposed by any Governmental Authority or taxing authority, including, without limitation: taxes or other charges on or with respect to income, franchise, windfall or other profits, gross receipts, property, sales, use, capital stock, payroll, employment, social security, workers compensation, unemployment compensation or net worth; taxes or other charges in the nature of excise, withholding, ad valorem, stamp, transfer, value-added or gains taxes; license, registration and documentation fees; and customers duties, tariffs and similar charges.

<u>Tax Protection Agreement</u> means an agreement, oral or written, (A) that has as one of its purposes to permit a Person to take the position that such Person could defer federal taxable income that otherwise might have been recognized upon a transfer of property to the Company or any Company Subsidiary, or to NRT or any NRT Subsidiary, as applicable, that is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, and that (i) prohibits or restricts in any manner the disposition of any assets of the Company or any Company Subsidiary, or of NRT or any NRT Subsidiary, as applicable, (ii) requires that the Company or any Company Subsidiary, or NRT or any NRT Subsidiary, as applicable, maintain, put in place, or replace, indebtedness, whether or not secured by one or more of the Company Properties, or NRT Properties, as applicable, or (iii) requires that the Company Subsidiary, or NRT or any NRT Subsidiary, as applicable, or guarantee or otherwise assume, directly or indirectly (including, without limitation, through a deficit restoration obligation, guarantee (including, without limitation, a bottom guarantee), indemnification agreement or other similar arrangement), the risk of loss for federal income tax purposes for indebtedness or other liabilities of the Company or any Company Subsidiary, or NRT or any NRT Subsidiary, as applicable, (B) that specifies or relates to a method of taking into account book-tax disparities under Section 704(c) of the Code with respect to one or more assets of the Company or any Company Subsidiary, or NRT or any NRT Subsidiary, as applicable, or (C) that requires a particular method for allocating one or more liabilities of the Company or any Company Subsidiary, as applicable, or (C) that requires a particular method for allocating one or more liabilities of the Company or any Company Subsidiary, or NRT or any NRT subsidiary, as applicable, under Section 752 of the Code.

<u>Tax Return</u> means any return, declaration, report, claim for refund, or information return or statementating to Taxes, including any schedule or attachment thereto, and including any amendment thereof.

(b) The following terms have the meaning set forth in the Sections set forth below:

Defined Term Acquisition Agreement Location of Definition § 7.16

Annex A-8

Acquisition Agreement Amendment and Assumption Advisory Agreement Agreement Amended and Restated Exclusivity Agreement Amended NRT OP Limited Partnership	§ 7.16 § 7.13 Preamble § 7.16
Agreement Articles of Merger Amended and Restated Declaration Blue Sky Laws Break Up Fee Claim Closing Closing Date Code	<pre>§ 5.03(d) § 2.04(a) § 2.02 § 4.04(b) § 9.03(b) § 7.09(a) § 2.05 § 2.05 Recitals</pre>
Common Conversion Consideration Company Company Benefit Plans Company Board Company By-laws Company Cure Period Company Declaration of Trust	 § 3.01(a) Preamble § 7.10(a) Recitals § 4.01(f) § 9.01(c) § 4.01(a)
Company Disclosure Schedule Company Excess Shares Company Expense Fee Company Ground Lease Company Incentive Plans Company Intellectual Property Company Leases	Article IV § 4.02(a) § 9.03(b) § 4.12(g) § 4.02(a) § 4.13 § 4.12(f) § 4.12(f)
Company Material Contract Company OP Units Company Participation Agreements Company Participation Interest Company Participation Party Company Partnerships Company Plans Company Preferred Shares	 § 4.16(a) § 4.02(f) § 4.12(j) § 4.12(j) § 4.12(j) Recitals § 4.09(a) § 4.02(a)
Company Preferred Units Company Property Company Share Options Company Share Rights Company Shareholder Approval Company Third Party Company Title Insurance Policy	\$ 4.02(a) \$ 4.02(f) \$ 4.12(a) \$ 4.02(a) \$ 4.02(c) \$ 4.03(b) \$ 4.12(h) \$ 4.12(c)

Annex A-9

Confidentiality Agreement	§ 7.05(c)
Contribution	§ 7.19
Contribution Date	§ 7.19
de minimis Shares	§ 3.01(a)
Drop Dead Date	§ 9.01(j)
Effective Time	§ 2.04(a)
Environmental Mitigation	§ 4.12(e)
ERISA	§ 4.09(a)
Exchange Act	§ 4.04(b)
Exchange Agent	§ 3.02(a)
Exchange Instructions	§ 3.02(b)
Exchange Ratio	§ 3.01(a)
Exclusivity Agreement	§ 7.16
Existing Registration Rights Agreements	§ 7.18
Existing Units	§ 4.02(c)
Expenses	§ 7.09(a)
Funding Agreement	§ 7.19
GAAP	§ 4.06(b)
Governmental Authority	§ 4.04(b)
HMO	§ 4.09(g)
Interim Period	§ 6.01(a)
IRS	\$ 4.09(a)
Joint Venture Formation Documents	§ 4.01(j)
Law	§ 4.04(a)
Lesh GP	Recitals
Lock-Up Agreement	§ 7.15
Maryland REIT Law	Recitals
MGCL	Recitals
Non-Approval Expense Fee	§ 9.03(b)
NQDC Plan	§ 4.09(k)
NRT	Preamble
NRT Advisor	§ 5.11(c)
NRT Advisor Termination	§ 5.11(c) § 7.13
NRT Articles	
	§ 5.01(a) Recitals
NRT Board	
NRT By-laws NRT Common Stock	§ 5.01(f)
	§ 3.01(a)
NRT Cure Period	§ 9.01(d)
NRT Disclosure Schedule	Article V
NRT Employees	§ 7.10(a)
NRT Executive Employment Agreement	§ 7.17(a)
NRT Expense Fee	§ 9.03(b)
NRT Ground Lease	§ 5.12(g)
NRT Indemnified Parties	§ 7.09(a)
NRT Insiders	§ 7.10(d)

NRT Intellectual Property	§ 5.13
NRT Leases	§ 5.12(f)
NRT Material Contract	§ 5.16(a)
NRT OP	Recitals
NRT OP Approval	§ 5.03(c)
NRT OP Direction Votes	§ 7.04
NRT OP Limited Partnership Agreement	§ 5.02(f)
NRT OP Unitholder s Meeting	§ 7.01(c)
NRT OP Units	§ 5.02(f)
NRT Participation Agreements	§ 5.12(j)
NRT Participation Party	§ 5.12(j)
NRT Participation Interest	§ 5.12(j)
NRT Permits	§ 5.05
NRT Plans	§ 5.09(a)
NRT Preferred Stock	§ 3.03
NRT Property	§ 5.12(a)
NRT Stock Certificate	§ 3.01(a)
NRT Stockholder Approval	§ 5.03(b)
NRT Third Party	§ 5.12(h)
NRT Title Insurance Policy	§ 5.12(c)
NRT Voting Agreement	Recitals
NYSE	§ 4.04(b)
OP-1 Partnership	Recitals
OP-1 Partnership Agreement	§ 4.01(g)
OP-2 Partnership	Recitals
OP-2 Partnership Agreement	§ 4.01(h)
OP-3 Partnership	Recitals
OP-3 Partnership Agreement	§ 4.01(i)
Partnership Agreements	§ 4.01(i)
Partnership Merger	§ 7.19
Permits	§ 4.05
Property Management Agreement	§ 7.25
Property Restrictions	§ 4.12(a)
Registration Statement	§ 4.11
REIT Merger	Recitals
REIT Merger Consideration	§ 3.01(a)
REIT Merger Proxy Statement	§ 7.02(a)
REIT Merger Voting Agreement	§ 7.27
SDAT	§ 2.04(a)
SEC	§ 4.04(b)
SEC Reports	§ 4.06(a)
Section 16	§ 7.10(d)
Securities Act	§ 4.04(b)
Series B Preferred Shares	§ 4.02(a)
Series B Preferred Units	§ 4.02(f)
	/

Annex A-11

Series C Preferred Units	§ 4.02(f)
Surviving By-laws	§ 2.03
Surviving Entity	§ 2.01
Surviving Declaration of Trust	§ 2.02
Surviving Partnership	§ 7.19
Surviving Special Preferred Stock	§ 3.03
Termination Date	§ 9.01
Terminating Company Breach	§ 9.01(c)
Transferred NRT Employee	§7.10(c)
Transfer Taxes	§ 7.11
Transition Services Agreement	§ 7.24
TRS	§ 4.14(f)
Voting Trustee Agreement	§ 7.14
Waiver Agreement	§ 7.26
Waiver Party	§ 7.26
WARN Act	§ 4.10(b)
WEM	§ 7.18
WEM Registration Rights Agreement	§ 7.18
Winthrop	§ 6.02(b)(xiv)
-	

ARTICLE II

THE MERGER

SECTION 2.01. REIT Merger.

Subject to the terms and conditions of this Agreement, and in accordance with the MGCL and Maryland REIT Law, at the Effective Time, NRT and the Company shall consummate the REIT Merger pursuant to which (i) NRT shall be merged with and into the Company and the separate existence of NRT shall thereupon cease and (ii) the Company shall be the surviving entity in the REIT Merger (the <u>Surviving Entity</u>). The REIT Merger shall have the effects specified in the MGCL and Maryland REIT Law.

SECTION 2.02. Declaration of Trust.

The Amended and Restated Company Declaration of Trust, including the terms of the Surviving Special Preferred Stock set forth on <u>Exhibit A-1</u> (the <u>Amended and Restated Declaration</u>), shall be the declaration of trust of the Surviving Entity as of the Effective Time, until thereafter amended or supplemented as provided therein or by Law (the <u>Surviving Declaration of Trust</u>).

SECTION 2.03. By-laws.

Annex A-12

The by-laws of the Surviving Entity shall be in the form attached as <u>Exhibit A-2</u> hereto, until thereafter amended as provided therein or by Law (the <u>Surviving By-laws</u>).

SECTION 2.04. Effective Time.

(a) At the Closing, NRT and the Company shall duly execute and file articles of merger (the <u>Articles of Merger</u>) with the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland (the <u>SDA</u>T) in accordance with the MGCL and Maryland REIT Law. The Articles of Merger shall include, among other things, any amendments to the declaration of trust of the Company to be effected as part of the REIT Merger and the change of Company name from Lexington Corporate Properties Trust to Lexington Realty Trust. The REIT Merger shall become effective at such time as the Articles of Merger have been accepted for record by the SDAT, or such later time which the parties hereto shall have agreed upon and designated in the Articles of Merger in accordance with the MGCL and Maryland REIT Law as the effective time of the REIT Merger, but not to exceed thirty (30) days after the Articles of Merger are accepted for record by the SDAT (the <u>Effective Time</u>).

SECTION 2.05. Closing.

The closing of the REIT Merger (the <u>Closing</u>) shall occur as promptly as practicable (but in no event later than the second Business Day) after all of the conditions set forth in Article VIII (other than conditions which by their terms are required to be satisfied or waived at the Closing) shall have been satisfied or waived by the party entitled to the benefit of the same, and, subject to the foregoing, shall take place at such time and on a date to be specified by the parties (the <u>Closing Date</u>). The Closing shall take place at the offices of Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP, 75 East 55th Street, New York, or at such other place as agreed to by the parties hereto.

SECTION 2.06. Trustees and Officers of the Surviving Entity.

The board of trustees of the Surviving Entity shall consist of eleven trustees, initially the trustees of the Company immediately prior to the Effective Time. The Company shall, at the Effective Time, deliver to NRT resolutions of the board of trustees of the Company, duly certified by an officer of the Company, increasing the size of the board of trustees to eleven trustees, causing the resignation of trustees Stanley R. Perla and Seth M. Zachary to be effective at the Effective Time, and filling the vacancies with the following individuals: Michael L. Ashner, Richard Frary, Clifford Broser and William J. Borruso. In the event such designees are unwilling or unable to serve at the Effective Time, NRT shall appoint alternate designees, subject to the reasonable satisfaction of the Company. The initial officers of the Surviving Entity at the Effective Time shall be as set forth in Exhibit B-1. The initial committee members of the Company standing trustee committees shall be as set forth on Exhibit B-2.

Annex A-13

ARTICLE III

EFFECT OF THE MERGER

SECTION 3.01. Conversion of NRT Common Stock.

As of the Effective Time, by virtue of the REIT Merger and without any action on the part of the holders of any capital stock described below:

(a) Subject to <u>Section 3.04</u>, each issued and outstanding share of common stock of NRT, par value \$.01 per share (<u>NRT Common Stock</u>), shall be converted into the right to receive .80 Company Common Shares (the <u>Exchange Ratio</u>, and the Company Common Shares to be issued for shares of NRT Common Stock being herein referred to as the <u>Common Conversion Consideration</u>). All such shares of NRT Common Stock, when so converted, shall be retired, shall cease to be outstanding and shall automatically be cancelled, and the holder of a certificate (<u>NRT Stock Certificate</u>) that, immediately prior to the Effective Time represented such shares of NRT Common Stock shall cease to have any rights with respect thereto, except the right to receive, upon the surrender of such NRT Stock Certificate in accordance with <u>Section 3.02</u>: (A) the Common Conversion Consideration and (B) cash in lieu of fractional Company Common Shares under <u>Section 3.04</u> (the <u>de minimis Shares</u>), in each case

without interest (collectively, the <u>REITMerger Consideration</u>). Notwithstanding the foregoing, if between the date hereof and the Effective Time the Company Common Shares or shares of NRT Common Stock are changed into a different number of shares or a different class, because of any stock dividend, subdivision, reclassification, recapitalization, split, combination or exchange of shares, the Exchange Ratio shall be correspondingly adjusted to reflect such stock dividend, subdivision, reclassification, recapitalization, split, combination or exchange of shares.

(b) The REIT Merger shall not affect any of the Company Common Shares or any other share of beneficial interest of the Company issued and outstanding immediately prior to the Effective Time. All such beneficial interests shall remain issued and outstanding with no change thereto.

(c) No dividends or other distributions declared or made by the Surviving Entity after the Effective Time with a record date after the Effective Time shall be paid to the holder of any un-surrendered NRT Stock Certificate with respect to the applicable REIT Merger Consideration represented thereby until the holder of record of such NRT Stock Certificate has surrendered such NRT Stock Certificate in accordance with Section 3.02. Subject to the effect of applicable laws (including escheat and abandoned property laws), following surrender of any such NRT Stock Certificate, the record holder of the certificate or certificates representing the REIT Merger Consideration issued in exchange therefor shall be paid without interest, (i) the amount of dividends or other distributions with a record date after the Effective Time therefore payable with respect to the REIT Merger Consideration, and (ii) if the payment date for any dividend or distribution payable with respect to the REIT Merger Consideration has not occurred prior to the surrender of such NRT Stock Certificate, at the appropriate payment date therefor, the amount of dividends or other distributions with a record date after the Effective Time but prior to the surrender of such NRT Stock Certificate, at the appropriate payment date therefor, the amount of dividends or other distributions with a record date after the Effective Time but prior to the surrender of such NRT Stock Certificate.

Annex A-14

(d) All REIT Merger Consideration issued upon the surrender of NRT Stock Certificates in accordance with the terms hereof shall be deemed to have been issued in full satisfaction of all rights pertaining to such NRT Stock Certificates and the shares of NRT Common Stock formerly represented thereby, and from and after the Effective Time there shall be no further registration of transfers effected on the stock transfer books of the Surviving Entity of shares of NRT Common Stock which were outstanding immediately prior to the Effective Time. If, after the Effective Time, NRT Stock Certificates are presented to the Surviving Entity for any reason, they shall be canceled and exchanged as provided in this Article III.

SECTION 3.02. Surrender and Payment.

(a) The Company shall authorize one or more transfer agent(s) reasonably acceptable to NRT to act as Exchange Agent hereunder (the Exchange Agent) with respect to the REIT Merger. At or prior to the Effective Time, the Company shall deposit with the Exchange Agent for the benefit of the holders of shares of NRT Common Stock, for exchange in accordance with this Section 3.02 through the Exchange Agent, certificates representing the Common Conversion Consideration issuable pursuant to Section 3.01. The Company agrees to make available directly or indirectly to the Exchange Agent, from time to time as needed, cash sufficient to pay cash in lieu of any fractional shares pursuant to Section 3.01. The Exchange Agent shall, pursuant to irrevocable instructions, deliver the applicable REIT Merger Consideration in exchange for surrendered NRT Stock Certificates pursuant to Section 3.01. Except as contemplated by Section 3.02(c), the REIT Merger Consideration shall not be used for any other purpose.

(b) Promptly after the Effective Time, the Company shall cause the Exchange Agent to send to each holder of record of NRT Stock Certificates a letter of transmittal for use in such exchange (which shall specify that the delivery shall be effected, and risk of loss and title with respect to the NRT Stock Certificates shall pass, only upon proper delivery of the NRT Stock Certificates to the Exchange Agent, and which shall be in a form reasonably acceptable to NRT), and instructions for use in effecting the surrender of NRT Stock Certificates for payment therefor in accordance herewith (together, the <u>Exchange Instructions</u>).

(c) If any portion of the REIT Merger Consideration is to be paid to a Person other than the registered holder of shares of NRT Common Stock represented by the NRT Stock Certificate(s) surrendered in exchange therefor, no such issuance or payment shall be made unless (i) the NRT Stock Certificate(s) so surrendered have been properly endorsed or otherwise are in proper form for transfer and (ii) the Person requesting such issuance has paid to the Exchange Agent any transfer or other taxes required as a result of such issuance to a Person other than the

registered holder or established to the Exchange Agent s satisfaction that such tax has been paid or is not applicable.

(d) Any portion of the REIT Merger Consideration that remains unclaimed by the holders of shares of NRT Common Stock one year after the Effective Time shall be returned to the Surviving Entity, upon demand, and any such holder who has not exchanged such holder s NRT Stock Certificates in accordance with this <u>Section 3.02</u> prior to that time shall thereafter look only to the Surviving Entity, as a general creditor thereof, to exchange such NRT Stock Certificates or to pay amounts to which such holder is entitled pursuant to <u>Section 3.01</u>. If

Annex A-15

outstanding NRT Stock Certificates are not surrendered prior to six years after the Effective Time (or, in any particular case, prior to such earlier date on which any REIT Merger Consideration issuable or payable upon the surrender of such NRT Stock Certificates would otherwise escheat to or become the property of any governmental unit or agency), the REIT Merger Consideration issuable or payable upon the surrender of such NRT Stock Certificates shall, to the extent permitted by applicable law, become the property of the Surviving Entity, free and clear of all claims or interest of any Person previously entitled thereto. Notwithstanding the foregoing, none of the Company, NRT or the Surviving Entity shall be liable to any holder of NRT Stock Certificates for any amount paid, or the REIT Merger Consideration delivered, to a public official pursuant to applicable abandoned property, escheat or similar laws.

(e) If any NRT Stock Certificate is lost, stolen or destroyed, upon the making of an affidavit of that fact by the Person claiming such NRT Stock Certificate is lost, stolen or destroyed and, if required by the Surviving Entity, the posting by such Person of a bond in such reasonable amount as the Surviving Entity may direct as indemnity against any claim that may be made against it with respect to such NRT Stock Certificate, the Exchange Agent shall issue in exchange for such lost, stolen or destroyed NRT Stock Certificate the REIT Merger Consideration in respect thereof pursuant to this Agreement.

SECTION 3.03. NRT Preferred Stock.

Each share of NRT s special voting preferred stock (<u>NRT Preferred Stock</u>), issued and outstanding immediately prior to the Effective Time shall automatically be converted into, and be cancelled in exchange for, one share of the special voting preferred stock of the Surviving Entity (the <u>Surviving Special Preferred Stock</u>). Each certificate evidencing any NRT Preferred Stock immediately prior to the Effective Time shall, as of the Effective Time, automatically evidence an equivalent number of shares of Surviving Special Preferred Stock. The Surviving Special Preferred Stock shall have terms that are materially the same as the NRT Preferred Stock outstanding on the date hereof and as set forth in the Amended and Restated Declaration with respect to the Surviving Special Preferred Stock which will be filed on or before the Effective Time.

SECTION 3.04. No Fractional Shares.

No *de minimis* Shares shall be issued in the REIT Merger and fractional share interests shall not entitle the owner thereof to vote or to any rights of a stockholder of the Company. All holders of *de minimis* Shares shall be entitled to receive, in lieu thereof, an amount in cash equal to such fraction times the fair market value of the Common Conversion Consideration. For the purposes of this <u>Section 3.04</u>, fair market value means the average of the closing prices of a Company Common Share on the New York Stock Exchange, as reported in The Wall Street Journal, for the five consecutive trading days immediately preceding the third trading day before the Closing.

SECTION 3.05. Withholding Rights.

The Surviving Entity or the Exchange Agent, as applicable, shall be entitled to deduct and withhold from the consideration otherwise payable pursuant to this Agreement to any

holder of shares of NRT Common Stock or NRT Preferred Stock such amounts as it is required to deduct and withhold with respect to the making of such payment under the Code, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, or any provision of state, local or foreign tax law. To the extent that amounts are so withheld by the Surviving Entity or the Exchange Agent, as applicable, such withheld amounts shall be treated for all purposes of this Agreement as having been paid to the holder of the NRT Common Stock or NRT Preferred Stock in respect of which such deduction and withholding was made by the Surviving Entity or the Exchange Agent, as applicable.

SECTION 3.06. Appraisal Rights.

No objectors or appraisal rights shall be available with respect to the REIT Merger or the other transactions contemplated hereby.

ARTICLE IV

Annex A-17

REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF THE

COMPANY

Concurrently with the execution and delivery of this Agreement, the Company is delivering to NRT a disclosure schedule with numbered sections corresponding to the relevant sections in this Agreement (the <u>Company Disclosure Schedule</u>). Any exception, qualification, limitation, document or other item described in any provision, subprovision, section or subsection of any Section of the Company Disclosure Schedule with respect to a particular representation or warranty contained in this <u>Article IV</u> shall be deemed to be listed or fully disclosed with respect to all other sections or subsections of the Company Disclosure Schedule as, and to the extent, it is reasonably clear that such item applies to such other section or subsection. Nothing in the Company Disclosure Schedule is intended to broaden the scope of any representation or warranty contained in this <u>Article IV</u>.

Subject to the exceptions and qualifications set forth in the Company Disclosure Schedule, the Company hereby represents and warrants to NRT as follows:

SECTION 4.01. Existence; Good Standing; Authority; Compliance with Law.

(a) The Company is a real estate investment trust duly formed, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the State of Maryland. The declaration of trust of the Company (the <u>Company Declaration of Trust</u>) is in effect and no dissolution, revocation or forfeiture proceedings regarding the Company have been commenced. The Company is duly qualified or licensed to do business as a foreign entity and is in good standing under the Laws of any other jurisdiction in which the character of the properties owned, leased or operated by it therein or in which the transaction of its business makes such qualification or licensing necessary, other than in such jurisdictions where the failure to be so

qualified or licensed would not have a Material Adverse Effect. The Company has all requisite trust power and authority to own, lease and operate its properties and to carry on its businesses as now conducted and proposed by the Company to be conducted.

(b) The Company Partnerships are limited partnerships duly formed, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the State of Delaware. The certificates of limited partnership of the Company Partnerships are in effect. The Company Partnerships are duly qualified or licensed to do business as foreign limited partnerships and are in good standing under the laws of any other jurisdiction in which the character of the properties owned, leased or operated by them therein or in which the transaction of their businesses makes such qualification or licensing necessary, other than in such jurisdictions where the failure to be so qualified or licensed would not have a Material Adverse Effect. The Company Partnerships have all requisite partnership power and authority to own, operate, lease and encumber their properties and carry on their businesses as now conducted.

(c) <u>Section 4.01(c)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule sets forth: (i) each Company Subsidiary; (ii) the legal form of each Company Subsidiary, including the state of formation; and (iii) the identity and ownership interest of each Subsidiary that is held by the

Annex A-18

Company or its Subsidiaries. Except as listed in <u>Section 4.01(c)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, the Company does not own, directly or indirectly, beneficially or of record, any shares of stock or other security of any other entity or any other investment in any other entity that would be deemed a Subsidiary or joint venture of the Company.

(d) Each Company Subsidiary is duly qualified or licensed to do business and in good standing under the laws of each jurisdiction in which the character of the properties owned, leased or operated by it therein or in which the transaction of its business makes such qualification or licensing necessary, other than in such jurisdictions where the failure to be so qualified or licensed would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

(e) Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.01(e)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, all of the outstanding equity or voting securities or other interests of each of the Company Subsidiaries have been validly issued and are (i) fully paid and nonassessable, (ii) owned by the Company or by one of its Subsidiaries, and (iii) owned, directly or indirectly, free and clear of any Lien (including any restriction on the right to vote or sell the same, except as may be provided as a matter of Law), and all equity or voting interests in each of the Company Subsidiaries or by the Company and one of the Company Subsidiaries are owned free and clear of any Lien (including any restriction on the right to vote or sell the same, except as may be provided as a matter of Law).

(f) The Company has previously made available to NRT true and complete copies of the Company Declaration of Trust and the By-laws of the Company (the <u>Company By-laws</u>), each as amended through the date hereof.

(g) The Company has previously made available to NRT true and complete copies of the OP-1 Partnership s Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Limited Partnership and Fifth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership (the <u>OP-1 Partnership</u> Agreement), each as amended through the date hereof (except as contemplated by this Agreement).

(h) The Company has previously made available to NRT true and complete copies of the OP-2 Partnership s Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Limited Partnership and Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership (the <u>OP-2 Partnership</u> Agreement), each as amended through the date hereof (except as contemplated by this Agreement).

(i) The Company has previously made available to NRT true and complete copies of the OP-3 Partnership s Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Limited Partnership and Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership (the <u>OP-3 Partnership Agreement</u> and, together with the OP-1 Partnership Agreement and the OP-2 Partnership Agreement, the <u>Partnership Agreement</u>), each as amended through the date hereof (except as contemplated by this Agreement).

(j) The Company has previously made available to NRT true and complete copies of each Joint Venture s formation documents (the Joint Venture Formation

Annex A-19

Documents), each as amended through the date hereof (except as contemplated by this Agreement).

(k) <u>Section 4.01(m)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule sets forth the jurisdictions in which the Company, its Subsidiaries and the Company Partnerships are qualified to do business as foreign entities.

SECTION 4.02. Capitalization.

The authorized shares of beneficial interest, par value \$0.0001 per share, of the Company consist of 160,000,000 Company Common (a) Shares, 170,000,000 shares of Excess Stock (<u>Company Excess Shares</u>), and 10,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, of which 3,160,000 shares are classified as Series B Preferred Shares (as defined below) and 3,100,000 shares are classified as Series C Preferred Shares (as defined below). As of June 30, 2006, (i) 53,015485 shares of Company Common Shares were issued and outstanding, (ii) 3,160,000 shares of the Company s 8.05% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share (the <u>Series B Preferred Shares</u>) were issued and outstanding, (iii) 3,100,000 shares of the Company s 6.50% Series C Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share (the Series C Preferred Shares and, together with the Series B Preferred Shares, the Company Preferred Shares) were issued and outstanding and (iv) no other Company Preferred Shares and no shares of Company Excess Shares were issued and outstanding. As of June 30, 2006, (A) 845,877 Company Common Shares have been reserved for issuance pursuant to the 1998 Share Option Plan, as amended, and the Amended and Restated 2002 Equity-Based Award Plan of Lesh (the <u>Company Incentive Plans</u>) as listed <u>Spection 4.02(a)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, subject to adjustment on the terms set forth in such plans and/or agreements, (B) 5,033,610 Company Common Shares have been reserved for issuance, at the Company s election, upon the conversion of the Series C Preferred Shares, (C) 184,717 Company Common Shares have been reserved for issuance under the Company s dividend reinvestment plan, (D) 1,033,113 Company Common Shares have been reserved for issuance under the Company s 1994 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, (E) 94,121 Company Common Shares have been reserved for issuance under the Company s 1994 Outside Director Plan, (F) 5,064,830 Company Common Shares have been reserved issuance upon redemption of the Existing Units, (G) 7,500,000 Company Common Shares have been reserved for issuance upon conversion of the equity interests in one of the Joint Ventures, and (H) 18,500 qualified or nonqualified options to purchase Company Common Shares (<u>Company Share Options</u>) were outstanding. As of the date of this Agreement, the Company had no Company Common Shares reserved for issuance or required to be reserved for issuance other than as described above. All such issued and outstanding shares of the Company are, and all shares subject to issuance as specified above, upon issuance on the terms and conditions specified in the instruments pursuant to which they are issuable will be, when issued, duly authorized, validly issued, fully paid, nonassessable and free of preemptive rights under any provisions of Maryland REIT Law, the Company Declaration of Trust or the Company By-laws.

(b) The Company and its Subsidiaries have issued and outstanding the secured and unsecured debt instruments listed in <u>Section 4.02(b)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule. <u>Section 4.02(b)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule sets forth a list of all such instruments (other than intercompany indebtedness between the Company and any of its Subsidiaries or

Annex A-20

between any of the Subsidiaries of the Company or any accounts payable incurred in the ordinary course of business), their outstanding principal amounts as of June 30, 2006, interest rates and maturity dates. The Company and its Subsidiaries have no outstanding bonds, debentures, notes or other obligations the holders of which have the right to vote (or which are convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for securities having the right to vote) with the shareholders or holders of other equity or voting interests of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries on any

matter.

(c) Except for the Series C Preferred Shares, Joint Venture Interests, Company Share Options, Company Restricted Shares and any dividend equivalent rights thereon (collectively, the <u>Company Share Rights</u>), and the limited partnership interests in the Partnerships (the <u>Existing Units</u>), there are no existing options, warrants, calls, subscription rights, convertible securities or other rights, agreements or commitments (contingent or otherwise) that obligate the Company to issue, transfer or sell any Company Common Shares or any investment that is convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for any such shares. <u>Section 4.02(c)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule sets forth a list of the Company Share Rights, the number of Company Common Shares subject to each Company Share Right, the type of Company Share Right, the per share exercise price or purchase price for each Company Share Right that is a Company Share Option, whether the Company Share Option is qualified, in each case, as of the date of this Agreement. Except for the Company Share Rights, the Company has not issued any share appreciation rights, dividend equivalent rights, performance awards, restricted stock unit awards or phantom shares. True and complete copies of all instruments (or the forms of such instruments) referred to in this <u>Section 4.02(c)</u> have been made available to NRT.

(d) Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.02(d)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, there are no agreements or understandings to which the Company is a party with respect to the voting of any common shares of the Company, and to the Company s Knowledge, as of the date of this Agreement, there are no third party agreements or understandings with respect to the voting of any such shares.

(e) Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.02(e)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, the Company is under no obligation, contingent or otherwise, by reason of any agreement to register the offer and sale or resale of any of its securities under the Securities Act.

(f) Lesh GP is the sole general partner of each of the Company Partnerships and, as of the date hereof, owns (i) approximately 0.718% of the Existing Units of OP-1 Partnership, 0.591% of the Existing Units of OP-2 Partnership and 0.831% of the Existing Units of OP-3 Partnership and (ii) 100% of the Company Partnerships Series B Preferred Units (the <u>Series B Preferred Units</u>) and 100% of the Company Partnerships Series C Preferred Units (the <u>Series C Preferred Units</u>) and, together with the Series B Preferred Units, the <u>Company Preferred Units</u>) issued and outstanding as of the Effective Time. <u>Section 4.02(f)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule sets forth a list of all holders of the Existing Units and the Company Preferred Units (together, the <u>Company OP Units</u>) and the number and type (e.g., general, limited, etc.) of Company OP Units held as of June 30, 2006. Except for the Series C Preferred Units, there are no existing options, warrants, calls, subscriptions, convertible securities, or other rights, agreements or commitments that obligate the Company Partnerships to

Annex A-21

issue, transfer or sell any partnership interests of such Company Partnerships. Except as set forth in the Partnership Agreements or <u>Section 4.02(f)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, there are no outstanding contractual obligations of the Company Partnerships to issue, repurchase, redeem or otherwise acquire any partnership interests of the Company Partnerships. Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.02(f)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, the partnership interests of the Company Partnerships. Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.02(f)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, the partnership interests owned by Lesh GP are subject only to the restrictions on transfer set forth in the Partnership Agreements, and those imposed by applicable securities laws.

SECTION 4.03. Authority Relative to this Agreement and the Ancillary Agreements.

(a) The Company has all necessary trust power and authority to execute and deliver this Agreement and the Ancillary Agreements to which it is a party and to consummate the transactions contemplated hereby and thereby. No other trust or partnership proceedings on the part of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries are necessary to authorize this Agreement or the Ancillary Agreements or to consummate the transactions contemplated hereby and thereby (other than, with respect to the REIT Merger and this Agreement, to the extent required by Law, the Company Shareholder Approval and the acceptance for record by the SDAT of the Articles of Merger and the Amended and Restated Declaration). This Agreement has been, and the Ancillary Agreements to which the Company or any Company Subsidiary is a party will have been (when executed in accordance with the terms of this Agreement), duly and validly executed and delivered by the Company, or any such Company Subsidiary as the case may be, and, assuming due authorization, execution and delivery hereof by NRT, each constitutes (or, in the case of the Ancillary Agreements to which the Company or any Subsidiary is a party, will constitute when executed in accordance with the terms of this Agreement of the Company or any such Company Subsidiary as the case may be, and binding agreement of the Company or any such Company Subsidiary as the case may be,

enforceable against the Company, or any such Company Subsidiary as the case may be, in accordance with and subject to its terms and conditions, except as enforceability may be limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium, fraudulent transfer and similar Laws of general applicability relating to or affecting creditors rights or by general equity principles.

(b) The Company Board has duly and validly authorized the execution and delivery of this Agreement and each Ancillary Agreement to which the Company is a party, declared the Merger to be advisable substantially upon the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement, and approved the consummation of the REIT Merger and the other transactions contemplated hereby and thereby and taken all real estate investment trust actions required to be taken by the Company Board for the consummation of the REIT Merger and the other transactions contemplated hereby. The Company Board has directed that the REIT Merger pursuant to the terms of this Agreement be submitted to the holders of the Company Common Shares for their approval to the extent required by Law and the Company Declaration of Trust and, subject to the provisions of <u>Section 7.01(a)</u> hereof, will recommend to such holders that they vote in favor of the REIT Merger. The affirmative approval of this Agreement and the REIT Merger by the holders of Company Common Shares voting together as a single class, representing at least a majority of all votes entitled to be cast by the holders of all outstanding Company Common Shares as of the record date for the Company Shareholder Meeting (the <u>Company Shareholder Approval</u>), is the only vote of the holders of any class or series of

Annex A-22

shares of the Company necessary to adopt this Agreement and approve the REIT Merger and no other proceedings on the part of the Company are necessary to authorize this Agreement or to consummate the REIT Merger and the other transactions contemplated hereby and thereby.

(c) The Company Partnerships have all necessary partnership power and authority to consummate such Contributions as are entered into pursuant to the terms of Section 7.19 hereof, to execute and deliver the Funding Agreement and to consummate the transactions contemplated hereby and thereby. No other partnership proceedings on the part of the Company Partnerships are necessary to authorize such Contributions and the Funding Agreement or to consummate the REIT Merger and the other transactions contemplated hereby and thereby. When executed and delivered in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, the Funding Agreement will have been duly and validly executed and delivered by the Company Partnerships and, assuming due authorization, execution and delivery by NRT, will constitute a valid, legal and binding agreement of the Company Partnerships, enforceable against the Company Partnerships in accordance with and subject to its terms and conditions, except as enforceability may be limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium, fraudulent transfer and similar Laws of general applicability relating to or affecting creditors rights or by general equity principles.

(d) Subject to obtaining the Company Shareholder Approval, the Company has taken all necessary action to permit it to issue the number of shares of Company Common Shares required to be issued by it pursuant to this Agreement. Company Common Shares issued pursuant to this Agreement will, when issued, be validly issued, fully paid and nonassessable and no Person will have any preemptive right of subscription or purchase in respect thereof. Company Common Shares will, when issued, be registered under the Securities Act and the Exchange Act and registered or exempt from registration under any applicable state securities laws and will, when issued, be listed on the NYSE, subject to official notice of issuance.

SECTION 4.04. No Conflict; Required Filings and Consents.

(a) Except as set forth in Section 4.04 of the Company Disclosure Schedule, the execution and delivery by the Company of this Agreement and the Ancillary Agreements to which it is a party do not, and the performance of its obligations hereunder and thereunder will not, (i) conflict with or violate the organizational documents of the Company, the Company Partnerships or any other Company Subsidiary, (ii) assuming that all consents, approvals, authorizations and other actions described in subsection (b) have been obtained and all filings and obligations described in subsection (b) have been made, conflict with or violate any foreign or domestic statute, law, ordinance, regulation, rule, code, executive order, injunction, judgment, decree or other order (<u>Law</u>) applicable to the Company, the Company Subsidiary is bound or affected, or (iii) result in any breach of, or constitute a default (or an event which, with notice or lapse of time or both, would become a default) under, or give to others any rights of termination, amendment, acceleration or cancellation of, or result in the creation of a Lien or other encumbrance on any property or asset of the Company Partnerships or any other Company Subsidiary, or result in any increase in any cost or obligation of the Company, the Company Partnerships or any other Company Subsidiary, or result in any increase in any cost or obligation of the Company, the Company Partnerships or any other Company Subsidiary or the loss of any benefit of the Company

or any Company Subsidiary,

Annex A-23

pursuant to, any note, bond, mortgage, indenture, contract, agreement, lease, license, permit, franchise or other instrument or obligation to which the Company is a party or by which the Company or any of its properties or assets is bound or affected, except, with respect to clauses (ii) and (iii), for any such conflicts, violations, breaches, defaults or other occurrences that would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

(b) The execution and delivery by the Company of this Agreement and the Ancillary Agreements to which it is a party do not, and the performance of its obligations hereunder and thereunder will not, require any consent, approval, authorization or permit of, or filing with or notification to, any United States federal, state, county or local or any foreign government, governmental, regulatory or administrative authority, agency, instrumentality or commission or any court, tribunal, or judicial or arbitral body (a <u>Governmental Authority</u>), except (i) for (A) applicable requirements, if any, of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the <u>Securities Act</u>), the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the <u>Exchange Act</u>), state securities or blue sky <u>laws (Blue Sky Laws</u>) and state takeover Laws, (B) the filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the <u>SEC</u>) of the REIT Merger Proxy Statement, (C) any filings required under the rules and regulations of the New York Stock Exchange (the <u>NYSE</u>), and (D) as to the REIT Merger, the filing of the Articles of Merger with, and the acceptance for record thereof by, the SDAT, and (ii) where the failure to obtain such consents, approvals, authorizations or permits, or to make such filings or notifications, would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

SECTION 4.05. Permits; Compliance.

Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.05</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, each of the Company, the Company Partnerships and the other Company Subsidiaries is in possession of all franchises, grants, authorizations, licenses, permits, consents, certificates, approvals and orders of any Governmental Authority necessary for each of the Company, the Company Partnerships or the Company Subsidiaries to own, lease and operate its properties or to carry on its business as it is now being conducted (the <u>Permits</u>), except where the failure to have, or the suspension or cancellation of, any of the Permits would not have a Material Adverse Effect. As of the date hereof, no suspension or cancellation of, any of the Permits would not have a Material Adverse Effect. As of the date hereof, no suspension or cancellation of, any of the Permits would not have a Material Adverse Effect. Neither the Company, the Company Partnerships nor any Company Subsidiary is in conflict with, or in default, breach or violation of, (i) any Law applicable to the Company, the Company Partnerships or any other Company Subsidiary or by which any of their properties or assets is bound or affected, or (ii) any note, bond, mortgage, indenture, contract, agreement, lease, license, Permit, franchise or other instrument or obligation to which the Company Subsidiary or any of their properties or assets is bound, except for any such conflicts, defaults, breaches or violations that would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

SECTION 4.06. SEC Filings; Financial Statements.

Annex A-24

(a) The Company has filed all forms, reports and documents (including all exhibits) required to be filed by it with the SEC since January 1, 2004 (the <u>SEC Reports</u>). The SEC Reports filed by the Company, each as amended prior to the date hereof, (i) have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, as the case may be, and the rules and regulations promulgated

thereunder, except where the failure to comply with such requirements would not have a Material Adverse Effect, and (ii) did not, when filed as amended prior to the date hereof, contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary in order to make the statements made therein, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading. Neither the Company Subsidiaries nor the Company Partnerships is required to file any form, report or other document with the SEC.

(b) Each of the consolidated financial statements (including, in each case, any notes thereto) contained in the SEC Reports was prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (<u>GAAP</u>) applied on a consistent basis throughout the periods indicated (except as may be indicated in the notes thereto) and each fairly presented, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company and its consolidated Subsidiaries and the Company Partnerships, as at the respective dates thereof and for the respective periods indicated therein except as otherwise noted therein (subject, in the case of unaudited statements, to normal and recurring year-end adjustments).

SECTION 4.07. Absence of Certain Changes or Events.

Except as set forth in the SEC Reports or as set forth in <u>Section 4.07</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, since April 1, 2006, each of the Company, the Company Partnerships and the other Company Subsidiaries has conducted its business in the ordinary course and there has not occurred any changes, effects or circumstances constituting a Material Adverse Effect.

SECTION 4.08. Absence of Litigation.

As of the date hereof, except (i) as listed in <u>Section 4.08</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, (ii) as set forth in the SEC Reports filed by the Company prior to the date of this Agreement, or (iii) for suits, claims, Actions, proceedings or investigations arising from the ordinary course of operations of the Company and its Subsidiaries involving (A) collection matters or (B) personal injury or other tort litigation which are covered by adequate insurance (subject to customary deductibles), there is no Action pending or, to the Company s Knowledge, threatened against the Company or any of its Subsidiaries or any of its or their respective properties or assets that (1) involves amounts in excess of \$5,000,000 individually or in excess of \$15,000,000 in the aggregate, (2) questions the validity of this Agreement or the Ancillary Agreements or any action to be taken by the Company or the Company nor its Subsidiaries is subject to any order, judgment, writ, injunction or decree, except as would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

SECTION 4.09. Employee Benefit Matters.

Annex A-25

Section 4.09(a) of the Company Disclosure Schedule lists (i) all employee benefit plans (as defined in Section 3(3) of the Employee (a) Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (_ERISA_), whether or not subject to ERISA) and all bonus, stock option, stock purchase, restricted stock or other equity, incentive, deferred compensation, retiree medical or life insurance or other welfare, supplemental executive retirement plans, severance or other benefit plans, programs, trusts or arrangements, and all material employment, termination, severance, compensation or other contracts or agreements, to which the Company or any Company Subsidiary is a party, or which are sponsored by the Company or any Company Subsidiary for the benefit of any current or former employee, officer, trustee, director or consultant of the Company or any Company Subsidiary, or under which the Company or any Company Subsidiary has any liability or obligation, and (ii) any material contracts, arrangements or understandings between the Company or any of its Affiliates and any current or former employee, officer, trustee, director or consultant of the Company or of any Company Subsidiary, including, without limitation, any contracts, arrangements or understandings or change in control arrangements relating to a sale of the Company (collectively, the <u>Company Plans</u>). Such Company Plans are in writing and the Company has made available to NRT a true and correct copy of (i) the plan documents, agreements or contracts (including, if applicable, any trust agreements or insurance contracts) and, in each case any amendment thereto (whether currently effective or to become effective at a later date) embodying such Company Plans, (ii) the three most recent annual reports (Form 5500) filed with the Internal Revenue Service (the <u>IRS</u>)) and financial statements, if any, (iii) the most recent summary plan description and any summary of material modifications for each Company Plan for which a summary plan description or summary of material modifications is required by applicable Law, (iv) the three most recent actuarial reports or valuations, if any, relating to a Company Plan and (v) the most recent determination or opinion letter, if any,

issued by the IRS with respect to any Company Plan that is intended to qualify under Section 401(a) of the Code (or if no such letter has been issued for an Company Plan, the pending application, if any, to the IRS requesting such letter).

(b) The Company and each Company Subsidiary has performed and complied in all material respects with all of its obligations under or with respect to the Company Plans and each Company Plan has been operated in all material respects in accordance with its terms and the requirements of all applicable Laws, including, without limitation, ERISA and the Code. No Action, claim or proceeding is pending or, to the Knowledge of the Company, threatened with respect to any Company Plan (other than claims for benefits in the ordinary course in accordance with such Company Plan s claims procedures and that have not resulted in any pending or threatened litigation) and, to the Knowledge of the Company, no fact or event exists that would give rise to any such Action, claim or proceeding. There are no audits, inquiries or examinations pending or, to the Knowledge of the Company, threatened by the IRS, Department of Labor, or any other Governmental Authority with respect to any Company Plan.

(c) Each Company Plan that is intended to be qualified under Section 401(a) of the Code has timely received a favorable determination letter from the IRS as to its tax-qualified status and each trust established in connection with any Company Plan which is intended to be exempt from federal income taxation under Section 501(a) of the Code has received a determination letter from the IRS that it is so exempt, and to the Knowledge of the Company no fact or event has occurred since the date of such determination letter or letters from

Annex A-26

the IRS that could reasonably be expected to adversely affect the qualified status of any such Company Plan or the exempt status of any such trust.

(d) All contributions and premium payments (including all employer contributions and employee salary reduction contributions) that are due with respect to any Company Plan have been made within the time periods prescribed by ERISA and the Code to the respective Company Plan or, if earlier, within the time periods prescribed under the terms of the applicable Company Plan, and all contributions and premium payments for any period ending on or before the Closing Date which are an obligation of the Company or any Company Subsidiary and not yet due have either been timely made to each such Company Plan, or have been accrued on the financial statements of the Company and the Company Subsidiaries.

(e) No Company Plan is, and neither the Company, any Company Subsidiary, nor any entity aggregated with the Company or any Company Subsidiary under Section 414(b) or (c) of the Code or Section 4001 of ERISA maintains, contributes to, has any liability or obligation under, or has at any time maintained, contributed to, or had any liability or obligation under, any benefit plan, program or arrangement that is, (i) a multiemployer plan (within the meaning of Section 3(37) of ERISA), (ii) a multiple employer plan (within the meaning of Section 413(c) of the Code), (iii) any single employer plan or other pension plan that is subject to Title IV or Section 302 of ERISA or Section 412 of the Code, or (iii) a multiple employer welfare arrangement (as defined in Section 3(40)(A) of ERISA).

(f) Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.09(f)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, none of the execution and delivery of this Agreement or the Change of Control Waivers, nor the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby, either alone or in combination with another event (whether contingent or otherwise) will (i) entitle any current or former employee, officer, trustee, consultant or director of the Company or any Company Subsidiary to any cancellation of indebtedness or any payment or be subject to the obtaining of any consent or waiver from any such employee, officer, trustee, consultant or director; (ii) change the amount of compensation or benefits due to any such current or former employee, trustee, consultant or director; (iii) accelerate the vesting, funding or time of payment of any compensation, equity award or other benefit; (iv) result in any non-deductible payment under Section 162(m) of the Code; or (v) result in any parachute payment within the meaning of Section 280G of the Code (whether or not such payment is considered to be reasonable compensation for services rendered) or in any excise tax under Section 4999 of the Code. Each of the Company Executives and Paul Wood has signed a waiver in the form attached hereto as Exhibit J (each a Change of Control Waiver).

(g) With respect to each Company Plan that is an employee welfare benefit plan (within the meaning of Section 3(1) of ERISA), all claims incurred by the Company and the Company Subsidiaries are (i) insured pursuant to a contract of insurance whereby the insurance company bears any risk of loss with respect to such claims, (ii) covered under a contract with a health maintenance organization (an <u>HMO</u>) pursuant to which the HMO bears the liability for claims or (iii) reflected as a liability or accrued for on the financial statements of the Company and the Company

Subsidiaries.

Annex A-27

(h) Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.09(h)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, none of the Company Plans provides for continuing post-employment health, life insurance coverage or other welfare benefits (whether or not insured) for any current or former employee, officer, trustee, director or consultant of the Company or any Company Subsidiary, or any dependent or beneficiary thereof, in each case except as may be required under any Law.

(i) With respect to each Company Plan, to the Knowledge of the Company, (A) there has not occurred, and no Person is contractually bound to enter into, any prohibited transaction within the meaning of Section 4975(c) of the Code or Section 406 of ERISA, which transaction is not exempt under Section 4975(d) of the Code or Section 408 of ERISA and which could subject the Company, the Surviving Entity, NRT or any NRT Subsidiary to material liability and (B) no fiduciary (within the meaning of Section 3(21) of ERISA) of any Company Plan subject to Part 4 of Title I of ERISA has committed a breach of fiduciary duty that could subject the Company, the Surviving Entity, NRT or any NRT Subsidiary to material liability. Neither the Company nor any Company Subsidiary has incurred any excise taxes or penalties under Chapter 43 of the Code and nothing has occurred with respect to any Company Plan that could subject the Company, the Surviving Entity, NRT or any NRT Subsidiary to any such taxes.

(j) Except as set forth on <u>Section 4.09(j)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedules, neither the Company nor any Company Subsidiary has announced or entered into any plan or binding commitment to create any additional Company Plan or amend any Company Plan.

(k) Section 4.09(k) of the Company Disclosure Schedule identifies each Company Plan that is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan within the meaning of Section 409A of the Code and associated Treasury Department guidance, including IRS Notice 2005-1 and Proposed Treasury Regulations at 70 Fed. Reg. 57930 (October 4, 2005) (each a <u>NODC Plan</u>). With respect to each NQDC Plan, it either (A) has been operated in full compliance with Code Section 409A (including IRS Notice 2005-1 and Proposed Treasury Regulations at 70 Fed. Reg. 57930 (October 4, 2005) since January 1, 2005, or (B) does not provide for the payment of any benefits that have or will be deferred or vested after December 31, 2004 and since October 3, 2004, has not been materially modified within the meaning of Section 409A of the Code and associated Treasury Department guidance, including IRS Notice 2005-1, Q&A 18 and Proposed Treasury Regulations at 70 Fed. Reg. 57930 (October 4, 2005).

(1) Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.09(1)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedules, none of the payments made by the Company or any Company Subsidiary for 2005 are subject to Code Section 162(m).

SECTION 4.10. Labor Matters.

(a) Except as disclosed on <u>Section 4.10(a)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, (i) neither the Company nor any Company Subsidiary is a party to any collective bargaining agreement or other labor union contract applicable to Persons employed by the Company or any Company Subsidiary; (ii) neither the Company nor any Company Subsidiary has breached or otherwise failed to comply with any provision of any such agreement or contract, and there are no grievances outstanding against the Company or any Company

Annex A-28

Subsidiary under such agreement or contract; (iii) no employee of the Company or any Company Subsidiary is a member of a collective bargaining agreement; (iv) no labor organization been elected as the collective bargaining agent of any such employees, and neither the Company nor any Company Subsidiary has recognized any labor organization for any of their employees; (v) no union organization activity involving any employees of the Company or the Company Subsidiaries is pending or, to the Knowledge of the Company, threatened, nor has there been union representation involving any such employee within the last five years; and (vi) there is no strike, slowdown, work stoppage or lockout by or with respect to any employees of the Company or any Company Subsidiary.

(b) The Company and the Company Subsidiaries are in compliance with all Laws relating to the employment of labor, including all such laws, regulations and orders relating to wages, hours, employment classification, the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act (<u>WARN Act</u>) and similar state laws relating to plant closure or mass layoff, collective bargaining, discrimination, civil rights, safety and health, workers compensation and the collection and payment of withholding and/or social security taxes and any similar tax. There are no audits, inquiries or examinations pending or, to the Knowledge of the Company, threatened or reasonably anticipated relating to any labor, safety or employment matters involving the Company or any Company Subsidiary. The Company has delivered to NRT accurate and complete copies of all employee manuals and handbooks, disclosure materials, policy statements and other materials relating to the employment of the current and former employees of the Company and the Company Subsidiaries.

(c) All independent contractors presently retained by the Company and the Company Subsidiaries to provide any and all services are correctly classified as such. No independent contractor of the Company or any of the Company Subsidiaries is eligible to participate in any Company Plan.

SECTION 4.11. Information Supplied.

None of the information supplied by the Company for inclusion or incorporation by reference in the registration statement on Form S-4 or any amendment or supplement thereto pursuant to which Company Common Shares issuable in the Merger will be registered with the SEC (the <u>Registration Statement</u>) shall (i) when filed with the SEC or other regulatory agency, (ii) when it is declared effective by the SEC, and (iii) at the Effective Time, contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state any material fact required to be stated therein or necessary in order to make the statements therein, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading. None of the information to be supplied by the Company for inclusion or incorporation by reference in the REIT Merger Proxy Statement shall (i) when filed with the SEC or other regulatory agency, (ii) at the times when it (or any amendment thereof or supplement thereto) is mailed to the holders of Company Common Shares and NRT Common Stock, (iii) at the times of each of the Company Shareholder Meeting and the NRT Stockholder Meeting, and (iv) at the Effective Time, contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state any material fact required to be stated therein or necessary in order to make the statements therein, in light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading. If at any time prior to the Effective Time, any event with respect to the Company, or with respect to information supplied by the Company specifically for inclusion in the REIT Merger Proxy.

Annex A-29

Statement or Registration Statement, shall occur which is required to be described in an amendment of, or supplement to, the REIT Merger Proxy Statement or Registration Statement, such event shall be so described by the Company and promptly provided to NRT. All documents that the Company is responsible for filing with the SEC in connection with the transactions contemplated herein, to the extent relating to the Company or other information supplied by the Company for inclusion therein, will comply as to form, in all material respects, with the provisions of the Exchange Act, and each such document required to be filed with any Governmental Authority (other than the SEC) will comply in all material respects with the provisions of any Law as to the information required to be contained therein. Notwithstanding the foregoing the Company makes no representation or warranty with respect to the information supplied or to be supplied by NRT or its Affiliates for inclusion or incorporation by reference in the REIT Merger Proxy Statement or the Registration Statement.

SECTION 4.12. Property and Leases.

(a) Section 4.12(a) of the Company Disclosure Schedule sets forth a correct and complete list and address of all real property owned or leased by the Company and the Company Subsidiaries as of the date of this Agreement and a list of expected construction completion dates of all buildings, structures and other improvements being funded by or on behalf of the Company and the Company Subsidiaries (all such real property, together with all buildings, structures and other improvements and fixtures located on or under such real property and all easements, rights and other appurtenances to such real property, are individually referred to herein as the <u>Company Property</u> and collectively referred to herein as the <u>Company Property</u> or the Company Subsidiaries, as indicated in <u>Section 4.12(a)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule. As of the date hereof, the Company or the Company Subsidiaries own or, if so indicated in <u>Section 4.12(a)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, lease each of the Company Properties, in each case, free and clear of any encumbrances or Liens, title defects, covenants or reservations of interests in title (collectively, <u>Property Restrictions</u>), except for (i) Company Permitted Liens, (ii) Property Restrictions imposed or promulgated by Law or by any Governmental Authority which are customary and typical for similar properties and (iii) Property Restrictions which do not interfere materially with the current use of such property, except in the case of clauses (i), (ii) and (iii) above as would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

(b) <u>Section 4.12(b)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule sets forth a correct and complete list, as of the date hereof, of all agreements for the pending acquisition, sale, option to sell, right of first refusal, right of first offer or any other contractual right to sell, dispose of, or lease (by merger, purchase or sale of assets or stock or otherwise) any personal property valued at \$1,000,000 or more. The Company and the Company Subsidiaries have good and sufficient title to all the material personal and non-real properties and assets reflected in their books and records as being owned by them, free and clear of all Liens, except for Company Permitted Liens and other matters that do not interfere materially with the current use of such property.

(c) Except as provided for in <u>Section 4.12(c)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, policies of title insurance (each a <u>Company Title</u> <u>Insurance Policy</u>) have been issued insuring, as of the effective date of each such Company Title Insurance Policy, the Company s, the Joint Ventures, the Company Partnerships or the applicable Subsidiary s (or the applicable

Annex A-30

predecessor s or acquiror s) title to or leasehold interest in the Company Properties, subject to the matters disclosed on the Company Title Insurance Policies and the Company Permitted Liens. A correct and complete copy of each final Company Title Insurance Policy, or an executed pro forma version thereof if the final policy has not yet been delivered by the applicable title company, has been previously made available to NRT.

(d) Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.12(d)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, as of the date hereof, the Company has no Knowledge (i) of written notice that any certificate, permit or license from any Governmental Authority having jurisdiction over any of the Company Properties or any agreement, easement or other right of an unlimited duration which is necessary to permit the lawful use and operation of the buildings and improvements on any of the Company Properties or which is necessary to permit the lawful use and operation of all utilities, parking areas, detention ponds, driveways, roads and other means of egress and ingress to and from any of the Company Properties is not in full force and effect, except for such failures to have in full force and effect that would not have a Material Adverse Effect, or of any pending written threat of modification or cancellation of any of same, that would have a Material Adverse Effect; (ii) of any written notice of any uncured violation of any Laws affecting any of the Company Properties or operations which have a Material Adverse Effect; (iii) of any structural defects relating to any of the Company Properties which would reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect; (iv) of any Company Property whose building systems are not in working order so as to have a Material Adverse Effect; or (v) of any physical damage to any Company Property which would reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect; is not insurance in effect covering the cost of the restoration and the loss of revenue (subject to a reasonable deduction or retention limit).

(e) Except as provided for in <u>Section 4.12(e)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, to the Company s Knowledge, as of the date hereof, neither the Company, the Joint Ventures, the Company Partnerships nor any of their respective Subsidiaries has received any written notice to the effect that (i) any condemnation or rezoning proceedings are pending with respect to any of the Company Properties that would have a Material Adverse Effect or (ii) any zoning, building or similar law, code, ordinance, order or regulation is or will be violated by the continued maintenance, operation or use of any buildings or other improvements on any of the Company Properties or by the continued maintenance, operation or use of the parking areas which would reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect. Except as set forth in

Section 4.12(e) of Company Disclosure Schedule, (i) all work required to be performed, payments required to be made and actions required to be taken prior to the date hereof pursuant to any agreement entered into with a governmental body or authority in connection with a site approval, zoning reclassification or other similar action relating to any of the Company Properties (*e.g.*, Local Improvement District, Road Improvement District, Environmental Mitigation (as defined herein)) have been performed, paid or taken, as the case may be, and (ii) the Company has no Knowledge of any planned or proposed work, payments or actions that may be required after the date hereof pursuant to such agreements, in each of cases (i) and (ii) except as set forth in development or operating budgets for such Company Properties delivered to NRT prior to the date hereof and other than those which would not reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect. As used in this Agreement, Environmental Mitigation means investigation, clean-up, removal action, remedial action, restoration, repair, response action, corrective action, monitoring, sampling and analysis, installation, reclamation,

Annex A-31

closure or post-closure in response to any actual or suspected environmental condition or Hazardous Materials.

(f) The Company has delivered to NRT correct and complete (in all material respects) copies of each lease, sublease, ground lease or other right of occupancy that the Company, the Joint Ventures, the Company Partnerships or their respective Subsidiaries are party to as landlord with respect to each of the applicable Company Properties including all amendments, modifications, supplements, renewals, extensions and guarantees related thereto in effect as of the date hereof (except for discrepancies or omissions that would not have a Material Adverse Effect) (the <u>Company Leases</u>). Section 4.12(f) of the Company Disclosure Schedule contains the rent roll for each Company Lease, which information is correct and complete in all respects as of the date hereof (except for discrepancies that would not have a Material Adverse Effect). Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.12(f)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, neither the Company, the Joint Ventures, the Company Partnerships nor any Subsidiary of the foregoing has received written notice that it is in default under any Company Lease, except for violations or defaults that have been cured or are disclosed in the rent rolls or that would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

(g) <u>Section 4.12(g)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule sets forth a correct and complete list as of the date of this Agreement of each ground lease pursuant to which the Company, a Joint Venture, any of the Company Partnerships or any Subsidiary of the foregoing is a lessee (individually, a <u>Company Ground Lease</u> and collectively. <u>Company Ground Lease</u>). Except as list<u>Section 4.12(g)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule or that would not have a Material Adverse Effect, each Company Ground Lease is in full force and effect and neither the Company, the Joint Ventures, the Company Partnerships nor any Subsidiary of the foregoing has received a written notice that it is in default under any Company Ground Lease which remains uncured. The Company has made available to NRT a correct and complete copy of each Company Ground Lease and all amendments thereto.

(h) Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.12(h)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, as of the date hereof, neither the Company, the Joint Ventures, the Company Partnerships nor any Subsidiary of the foregoing has granted any unexpired option agreements or rights of first refusal with respect to the purchase of a Company Property or any portion thereof or any other unexpired rights in favor of any party other than the Company, any Company Subsidiary, or any of their respective Affiliates (a <u>Company Third Party</u>) to purchase or otherwise acquire a Company Property or any portion thereof or entered into any contract for sale, ground lease or letter of intent to sell or ground lease any Company Property or any portion thereof or provided a Company Third Party with any right to terminate a Company Lease prior to the expiration of its scheduled lease term.

(i) Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.12(i)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, neither the Company nor any of its Subsidiaries is a party to any agreement pursuant to which the Company or any Company Subsidiary manages any real property for any Company Third Party.

(j) Except for those contracts or agreements set forth in <u>Section 4.12(j)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, neither the Company, the Joint Ventures nor any Subsidiary of

the foregoing has entered into any contract or agreement (collectively, the <u>Company Participation Agreements</u>) with any Company Third Party or any employee, consultant, Affiliate or other Person (the <u>Company Participation Party</u>) that provides for a right of such Company Participation Party to participate, invest, join, partner, have any interest in whatsoever (whether characterized as a contingent fee, profits interest, equity interest or otherwise) or have the right to any of the foregoing in any proposed or anticipated investment opportunity, joint venture, partnership or any other current or future transaction or property in which the Company or any Company Subsidiary has or will have an interest, including but not limited to those transactions or properties identified, sourced, produced or developed by such Company Participation Party (a <u>Company Participation Interest</u>).

(k) Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.12(k)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, the Company is not responsible for the payment of any operating expenses under the Company Leases.

SECTION 4.13. Intellectual Property.

Except as would not have a Material Adverse Effect, (a) the conduct of the business of the Company and its Subsidiaries as currently conducted does not infringe the Intellectual Property rights of any Company Third Party and (b) with respect to Intellectual Property owned by or licensed to the Company or any Company Subsidiary and material to the business of the Company and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole (<u>Company</u> <u>Intellectual Property</u>), the Company or such Company Subsidiary has the right to use such Company Intellectual Property in the continued operation of its business as currently conducted.

SECTION 4.14. Taxes.

(a) The Company and its Subsidiaries (1) have filed all federal, state, local and foreign Tax Returns required to be filed by them (after giving effect to any filing extensions properly obtained) and all such Tax Returns are correct and complete in all material respects, (2) have paid and discharged all Taxes shown as due on such Tax Returns, or otherwise required to be paid, and (3) have complied in all material respects with all applicable Tax laws requiring the withholding or collection of Taxes, other than in each case, (i) such payments as are being contested in good faith by appropriate proceedings and (ii) such filings, payments or other occurrences that would not have a Material Adverse Effect. There are no currently effective or otherwise outstanding waivers or extensions of any applicable statute of limitations to assess any Taxes.

(b) Commencing with its taxable year ended December 31, 1993, the Company has been organized and has operated in conformity with the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT under the Code, and its proposed method of operation will enable the Company to continue to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT under the Code. To the Company's Knowledge, no challenge to the Company's status as a REIT is pending or is or has been threatened.

(c) The Company has incurred no liability for any material Taxes under Sections 857(b), 860(c), or 4981 of the Code, Treasury Regulation Section 1.337(d)-7 (or any

Annex A-33

applicable predecessor guidance or regulation), including any material Tax arising from a prohibited transaction described in Section 857(b)(6) of the Code, and neither the Company nor its Subsidiaries has incurred any material liability for Taxes outside of the ordinary course of business other than transfer or similar Taxes arising in connection with the sales of property. Neither the Company nor its Subsidiaries (other than a taxable REIT subsidiary within the meaning of Section 856(1) of the Code) has engaged at any time in any prohibited transaction within the meaning of Section 857(b)(6) of the Code. Neither the Company nor its Subsidiaries has engaged in any transaction that would give rise to

redetermined rents, redetermined deductions and excess interest described in Section 857(b)(7) of the Code. Except as set forth <u>Section</u> <u>4.14(c)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, no asset or portion thereof held directly or indirectly by the Company is subject to the rules of Section 1374 pursuant to Treasury Regulation Section 1.337(d)-7 (or any predecessor guidance or regulation). No event has occurred, and no condition or circumstance exists, which presents a risk that any material Tax described in the preceding sentences will be imposed on the Company or its Subsidiaries.

(d) Except as set forth on <u>Section 4.14(d)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, there is no pending or ongoing federal audit, examination, investigation or proceeding of the Company or its Subsidiaries and there is no pending or ongoing material state, local or foreign audit, examination, investigation or proceeding of the Company or its Subsidiaries. There are no material claims or assessments pending against the Company or its Subsidiaries which if upheld would reasonably be expected to result, individually or in the aggregate, in a material cost or liability for the Company or its Subsidiaries. There are no pledges, claims, liens, charges, encumbrances or security interests of any kind or nature whatsoever for any Taxes upon the assets of the Company or its Subsidiaries except for liens for current Taxes not yet due.

(e) Neither the Company nor its Subsidiaries has received or is subject to any written ruling of a taxing authority related to Taxes or has entered into any written and legally binding agreement with a taxing authority relating to any material Taxes. No issues have been raised in any examination by any taxing authority with respect to the Company or its Subsidiaries which, by application of similar principles, reasonably could be expected to result in a material proposed deficiency or material increase in Tax for any other period not so examined.

(f) The Company does not have any earnings and profits attributable to any other corporation in any non-REIT year within the meaning of Section 857 of the Code. For all taxable years for which the Internal Revenue Service either could assert a Tax liability in respect of the Company s status as a REIT or could assert that the Company failed to qualify as a REIT, the Company has not held, directly or indirectly, any asset (including any security) that has violated or does violate Section 856(c)(4)(B)(iii) of the Code, other than a violation which has been cured pursuant to any applicable provisions of Section 856 of the Code so as not to cause the Company to fail to qualify as a REIT for any such year and which has not and reasonably could not be expected to result in any material Tax liability. The Company has, together with only those entities set forth in Section 4.14(f) of the Company Disclosure Schedule, made a proper election to treat each such entity as a taxable REIT subsidiary (TRS) within the meaning of Section 856(l) of the Code..

Annex A-34

(g) Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.14(g)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule neither the Company nor its Subsidiaries (a) is a party to or is otherwise subject to any Tax allocation or sharing agreement, or (b) has any liability for Taxes of another person under law, by contract or otherwise except for withholding Taxes incurred in the ordinary course of business that have been properly withheld but are not yet required to be deposited with a Tax authority.

(h) To the Knowledge of the Company, neither the Company nor its Subsidiaries is or has been a party to any reportable transaction within the meaning of Treasury Regulations Section 1.6011-4(b).

(i) To the Knowledge of the Company, the Company is a domestically controlled REIT within the meaning of Section 897(h) of the Code and the Company is not a pension held REIT within the meaning of Section 856(h) of the Code.

(j) Each mezzanine loan, participation or other similar debt instrument that the Company treats as a qualifying real estate asset for purposes of Section 856(c)(4)(A) of the Code satisfies the requirements of the safe harbor set forth in Revenue Procedure 2003-65.

(k) Each hedging transaction, the income from which the Company excludes in determining its gross income for purposes of Section 856(c)(2) of the Code, is clearly identified pursuant to Section 1221(a)(7) and is treated as a hedging transaction (1) as defined in Section 1221(b)(2)(A)(ii) or (iii) and (2) within the meaning of Section 856(c)(5)(G) only to the extent the hedging transaction hedges any debt incurred or to be incurred by the Company to acquire or carry real estate assets.

SECTION 4.15. Environmental Matters.

Except as set forth in the SEC Reports or as would not have a Material Adverse Effect:

(a) There has been no material storage, generation, transportation, handling, treatment, disposal, discharge, emission or other release of any kind of Hazardous Materials by, due to, or caused by the Company or any the Company Subsidiary or, to the Company s Knowledge, by any other entity for whose acts or omissions the Company or any the Company Subsidiary is or may be liable, upon any property now or previously owned or leased by the Company or any the Company Subsidiary, or upon any other property, which would be a violation of and give rise to liability against the Company or any the Company Subsidiary under any Environmental Law.

(b) To the Company s Knowledge, there has been no disposal, discharge, emission or other release of any kind onto the properties referred in paragraph (a) or into the environment surrounding such properties of any Hazardous Materials.

(c) Neither the Company nor any the Company Subsidiary has agreed to assume, undertake or provide indemnification for any liability of any other persons under any Environmental Law, except for customary environmental indemnity agreements in connection with mortgage loans on Company Properties.

Annex A-35

(d) There is no pending or, to the Company s Knowledge, any threatened administrative, regulatory or judicial action, claim or notice of noncompliance or violation, investigation or proceedings relating to any Environmental Law against the Company or any Company Subsidiary.

The Company has previously delivered or made available to NRT complete copies of all material information, documents and reports, including, without limitation, environmental investigations and testing or analysis that are in the possession or control of any of the Company or the Company Subsidiaries and which relate to compliance with Environmental Laws by any of them or to the past or current environmental condition of the Company Properties.

SECTION 4.16. Material Contracts.

(a) Except as filed as exhibits to the SEC Reports filed prior to the date of this Agreement, or as disclosed in <u>Section 4.16(a)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, none of the Company or any Company Subsidiary is a party to or bound by any contract that, as of the date hereof:

(i) is a material contract (as such term is defined in Item 601(b)(10) of Regulation S-K of the SEC);

involves aggregate expenditures in excess of \$2,500,000;

(iii) involves annual expenditures in excess of \$500,000 and is not cancelable within one year;

(ii)

(iv) contains any non-compete or material exclusivity provisions with respect to any line of business or geographic area with respect to the Company or any Company Subsidiary, or that restricts the conduct of any line of business by the Company or any Company Subsidiary or any geographic area in which the Company or any Company Subsidiary may conduct business, in each case in any material respect;

(v) would prohibit or materially delay the consummation of the REIT Merger or any of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement or any Ancillary Agreement;

(vi) is a contract or agreement pursuant to which the Company or any of the Company Subsidiaries agrees to indemnify or hold harmless any director, trustee or executive officer of the Company or any of the Company Subsidiaries (other than the organizational documents for the Company or the Company Subsidiaries); or

(vii) is a loan agreement, letter of credit, indenture, note, bond, debenture, mortgage or any other document, agreement or instrument evidencing a capitalized leased obligation or other indebtedness of, for the benefit of, or payable to the Company or any of the Company Subsidiaries or any guaranty thereof, in each case, having a value in excess of \$5,000,000 individually.

Annex A-36

Each contract of the type described in this <u>Section 4.16</u>, whether or not set forth in <u>Section 4.16(a)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, is referred to herein as a <u>Company Material Contract</u>.

(b) Except as set forth in Section 4.16(b) of the Company Disclosure Schedule, each Company Material Contract is valid and binding on the Company, each Company Subsidiary party thereto, and, to the Knowledge of the Company, each Company Third Party thereto, and neither the Company nor any Company Subsidiary has received a written notice that it is in violation of or in default under (nor to the Knowledge of the Company does there exist any condition which upon the passage of time or the giving of notice or both would cause such a violation of or default under) any Company Material Contract, except as would not (i) prevent or materially delay consummation of the REIT Merger, or (ii) result in a Material Adverse Effect.

(c) To the extent not set forth in response to the requirements of <u>Section 4.16(a)</u>, <u>Section 4.16(c)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule sets forth each interest rate cap, interest rate collar, interest rate swap, currency hedging transaction, and any other agreement relating to a similar transaction to which the Company or any Company Subsidiary is a party or an obligor with respect thereto.

(d) Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.16(d)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, none of the Company or any Company Subsidiary is a party to any agreement which would restrict any of them from prepaying any of their Indebtedness without penalty or premium at any time or which requires any of them to maintain any amount of Indebtedness with respect to any of the Company Properties.

(e) Except as set forth on <u>Section 4.16(e)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, none of the Company or any Company Subsidiary is a party to any agreement relating to the management of any Company Property by any Person other than the Company or any Company Subsidiary.

(f) None of the Company or any Company Subsidiary is a party to any agreement pursuant to which the Company or any Company Subsidiary manages or provides services with respect to any real properties other than Company Properties, except for the agreements listed in Section 4.16(f) of the Company Disclosure Schedule.

(g) <u>Section 4.16(g)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule lists all material agreements entered into by the Company or any Company Subsidiary relating to the development or construction of, or additions or expansions to, any Company real properties (or any properties with respect to which the Company has executed as of the date of this Agreement a purchase agreement or other similar agreement) which are currently in effect and under which the Company or any Company Subsidiary currently has, or expects to incur, an obligation in excess of \$250,000 in the aggregate in the future. True, correct and complete copies of such agreements have previously been delivered or made available to NRT.

(h) <u>Section 4.16(h)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule lists all agreements entered into by the Company or any Company Subsidiary providing for the sale of, or option to sell, any Company Properties or the purchase of, or option to purchase, by the Company or any

Annex A-37

Company Subsidiary, on the one hand, or the other party thereto, on the other hand, any real estate not yet consummated as of the date hereof.

(i) Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.16(i)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, none of the Company or any Company Subsidiary has any continuing obligation, that could reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect (A) for indemnification or otherwise under any agreement relating to the sale of real estate previously owned, whether directly or indirectly, by the Company or any Company Subsidiary or (B) to pay any additional purchase price for any of the Company Properties.

(j) Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.16(j)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, none of the Company or any Company Subsidiary has entered into or is subject, directly or indirectly, to any Tax Protection Agreements. None of the Company or any Company Subsidiary is in violation of or in default under any Tax Protection Agreement.

SECTION 4.17. No Payments to Employees, Officers or Directors.

Section 4.17 of the Company Disclosure Schedule contains a true and complete list of all arrangements, agreements or plans pursuant to which cash and non-cash payments which will become payable to each employee, officer or director of the Company or any Company Subsidiary as a result of the REIT Merger or a termination of service subsequent to the consummation of the REIT Merger. Except as described in <u>Section 4.17</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, or as otherwise provided for in this Agreement, there is no employment or severance contract, or other agreement requiring payments, cancellation of indebtedness or other obligation to be made on a change of control or otherwise as a result of the consummation of any of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, with respect to any employee, officer or director of the Company or any of the Company Subsidiaries. Except as described in <u>Section 4.17</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, there is no agreement or arrangement with any employee, officer or other service provider under which the Company or any Company Subsidiary has agreed to pay any tax that might be owed under Section 4999 of the Code with respect to payments to such individuals.

SECTION 4.18. Brokers.

No broker, finder or investment banker (other than Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC as set forth in its engagement letter with the Company, a true and complete copy of which was previously supplied by the Company to NRT,) is entitled to any brokerage, finder s or other fee or commission in connection with the transactions contemplated hereby based upon arrangements made by or on behalf of the Company or any Company Subsidiary.

SECTION 4.19. Opinion of Financial Advisor.

The Company has received an opinion of Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC to the effect that the exchange ratio of 0.8 Company Common Shares for every one share of NRT Common Stock is fair to the Company from a financial point of view. A copy of such opinion shall be delivered to NRT promptly after the date hereof.

Annex A-38

SECTION 4.20. Insurance.

<u>Section 4.20</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule sets forth a correct and complete list of the insurance policies held by, or for the benefit of, the Company, the Joint Ventures or any of their respective Subsidiaries, including the underwriter of such policies and the amount of coverage thereunder. The Company, the Joint Ventures and each of their respective Subsidiaries have paid, or caused to be paid, all premiums due under

such policies and have not received written notice that they are in default with respect to any obligations under such policies other than as would not have a Material Adverse Effect. Neither the Company, the Joint Ventures nor any of their respective Subsidiaries has received any written notice of cancellation or termination with respect to any existing insurance policy set forth in <u>Section 4.20</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule that is held by, or for the benefit of, any of the Company, the Joint Ventures or any of their respective Subsidiaries, other than as would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

SECTION 4.21. Related Party Transactions.

Except as set forth in <u>Section 4.16(a)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule or as disclosed in the SEC Reports and except for ordinary course advances to employees, set forth in <u>Section 4.21</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule is a list of all material agreements, agreements and contracts entered into by the Company or any of its Subsidiaries under which continuing obligations exist with any Person who is an officer, director, trustee or Affiliate of the Company or any of its Subsidiaries, any member of the immediate family (as such term is defined in Item 404 of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Securities Act) of any of the foregoing or any entity of which any of the foregoing is an Affiliate.

SECTION 4.22. Takeover Statutes.

The Company has taken all action required to be taken by it in order to exempt this Agreement and the REIT Merger from, and this Agreement and the REIT Merger are exempt from, the requirements of any moratorium, control share, fair price, affiliate transaction, business combination or other applicable state and federal takeover Laws and regulations and any takeover provision in the Company's declaration of trust of by-laws or other organizational document to which the Company is a party. Except for the ownership restrictions for which waivers are to be granted pursuant to <u>Section 7.26</u> hereof, the execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement do not, and will not violate the restrictions on transfer and ownership of shares of beneficial interest set forth in the Company's Declaration of Trust, the Company's By-Laws, the Partnership Agreement, neither the Company nor any Affiliate or Subsidiary of the Company has ever been deemed to be an interested stockholder or an affiliate of an interested stockholder of NRT for purposes of the Maryland Business Combination Act.

SECTION 4.23. Investment Company Act.

Neither the Company nor any Company Subsidiary is, nor immediately after consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby will be, required to be registered under

Annex A-39

the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, and the rules and regulations of the SEC thereunder.

SECTION 4.24. Patriot Act.

The Company and its Subsidiaries have complied in all material respects with the International Money Laundering Abatement and Anti-Terrorist Financing Act of 2001 (as in effect on the date hereof), which comprises Title III of the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 and the regulations promulgated to date thereunder, and the rules and regulations administered to date by the U.S. Treasury Department s Office of Foreign Assets Control, to the extent such Laws are applicable to them.

SECTION 4.25. Compliance with Laws.

None of the Company, the Joint Ventures or any of the Company Subsidiaries has violated or failed to comply with any statute, law, ordinance, regulation, rule, judgment, decree or order of any Governmental Entity applicable to its business, properties or operations, except in each case to the extent that such violation or failure would not reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect.

SECTION 4.26. No Other Representations or Warranties.

(a) Except for the representations and warranties contained in this Article IV of this Agreement, NRT acknowledges that neither the Company nor any other Person on behalf of the Company has made, and NRT has not relied upon any representation or warranty, whether express or implied, with respect to the Company, the Joint Ventures, or any of their respective Subsidiaries or their respective businesses, affairs, assets, liabilities, financial condition, results of operations or prospects or with respect to the accuracy or completeness of any other information provided or made available to NRT by or on behalf of the Company. Neither the Company, the Joint Ventures, nor any other Person will have or be subject to any liability or indemnification obligation to NRT or any other Person resulting from the distribution in written or verbal communications to NRT, or use by NRT of any such information, including any information, documents, projections, forecasts or other material made available to NRT in online data rooms, confidential information memoranda or management interviews and presentations in expectation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

(b) In connection with any investigation by NRT of the Company and any of the Company s Subsidiaries, NRT has received or may receive from the Company and the Company s Subsidiaries and/or other Persons on behalf of the Company certain projections, forward-looking statements and other forecasts and certain business plan information in written or verbal communications. NRT acknowledges that there are uncertainties inherent in attempting to make such estimates, projections and other forecasts and plans, that NRT is familiar with such uncertainties, that NRT is taking full responsibility for making its own evaluation of the adequacy and accuracy of all estimates, projections and other forecasts and plans so furnished to NRT (including the reasonableness of the assumptions underlying such estimates, projections, forecasts or plans), and that NRT shall have no claim against any Person

Annex A-40

with respect thereto. Accordingly, NRT acknowledges that neither the Company nor any other Person on behalf of either the Company or any of the Company s Subsidiaries makes any representation or warranty with respect to such estimates, projections, forecasts or plans (including the reasonableness of the assumptions underlying such estimates, projections, forecasts or plans).

ARTICLE V

REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF NRT

Concurrently with the execution and delivery of this Agreement, NRT is delivering to the Company a disclosure schedule with numbered sections corresponding to the relevant sections in this Agreement (the <u>NRT Disclosure Schedule</u>). Any exception, qualification, limitation, document or other item described in any provision, subprovision, section or subsection of any Section of the NRT Disclosure Schedule with respect to a particular representation or warranty contained in this <u>Article V</u> shall be deemed to be listed or fully disclosed with respect to all other sections or subsections of the NRT Disclosure Schedule as, and to the extent, it is reasonably clear that such item applies to such other section or subsection. Nothing in the NRT Disclosure Schedule is intended to broaden the scope of any representation or warranty contained in this <u>Article V</u>.

Subject to the exceptions and qualifications set forth in the NRT Disclosure Schedule, NRT hereby represents and warrants to the Company as follows:

SECTION 5.01. Existence; Good Standing; Authority; Compliance with Law.

(a) NRT is a corporation duly organized, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the State of Maryland. The charter of NRT (the <u>NRT Articles</u>) is in effect and no dissolution, revocation or forfeiture proceedings regarding NRT have been commenced. NRT is duly

qualified or licensed to do business as a foreign entity and is in good standing under the Laws of any other jurisdiction in which the character of the properties owned, leased or operated by it therein or in which the transaction of its business makes such qualification or licensing necessary, other than in such jurisdictions where the failure to be so qualified or licensed would not have a Material Adverse Effect. NRT has all requisite corporate power and authority to own, lease and operate its properties and to carry on its businesses as now conducted and proposed by NRT to be conducted.

(b) NRT OP is a limited partnership duly formed, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the State of Delaware. The certificate of limited partnership of NRT OP is in effect. NRT OP is duly qualified or licensed to do business as a foreign limited partnership and is in good standing under the laws of any other jurisdiction in which the character of the properties owned, leased or operated by it therein or in which the transaction of its business makes such qualification or licensing necessary, other than in such jurisdictions where the failure to be so qualified or licensed would not have a Material Adverse Effect. NRT OP has all requisite partnership power and authority to own, operate, lease and encumber its properties and carry on its business as now conducted.

Annex A-41

(c) <u>Section 5.01(c)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule sets forth: (i) each NRT Subsidiary; (ii) the legal form of each NRT Subsidiary, including the state of formation; and (iii) the identity and ownership interest of each NRT Subsidiary that is held by NRT, NRT OP or any of NRT s Subsidiaries. Except as listed if <u>Section 5.01(c)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, NRT does not own, directly or indirectly, beneficially or of record, any shares of stock or other security of any other entity or any other investment in any other entity that would be deemed a Subsidiary of NRT.

(d) Each NRT Subsidiary is duly qualified or licensed to do business and in good standing under the laws of each jurisdiction in which the character of the properties owned, leased or operated by it therein or in which the transaction of its business makes such qualification or licensing necessary, other than in such jurisdictions where the failure to be so qualified or licensed would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

(e) Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.01(e)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, all of the outstanding equity or voting securities or other interests of each of the NRT Subsidiaries have been validly issued and are (i) fully paid and nonassessable, (ii) owned by NRT or by one of its Subsidiaries, and (iii) owned, directly or indirectly, free and clear of any Lien (including any restriction on the right to vote or sell the same, except as may be provided as a matter of Law), and all equity or voting interests in each of the NRT Subsidiaries that is a partnership, joint venture, limited liability company or trust which are owned by NRT, by one of the NRT Subsidiaries or by NRT and one of the NRT Subsidiaries are owned free and clear of any Lien (including any restriction on the right to vote or sell the same, except as may be provided as a matter of Law).

(f) NRT has previously made available to the Company true and complete copies of the Amended and Restated By-laws (the <u>NRT</u> <u>By-laws</u>) and the NRT Articles, each as amended through the date hereof.

(g) <u>Section 5.01(g)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule sets forth the jurisdictions in which NRT and its Subsidiaries are qualified to do business as foreign entities.

SECTION 5.02. Capitalization.

(a) The authorized shares of capital stock, par value \$.01 per share, of NRT consists of 400,000,000 shares of NRT Common Stock and 100,000,000 shares of NRT Preferred Stock, of which one share has been classified as Special Voting Preferred Stock. As of the date of this Agreement, 19,375,000 shares of NRT Common Stock were issued and outstanding, and (ii) one share of NRT Preferred Stock was issued and outstanding. As of the date of this Agreement, 4,000,000 shares of NRT Common Stock had been reserved for issuance upon exercise of options which may be granted under NRT s stock incentive plan and no options had been granted under this plan. As of the date of this Agreement, 45,040,240 shares of NRT Common Stock had been reserved for issuance upon redemption of NRT OP Units (as defined herein). As of the date of this Agreement, NRT had no shares of NRT Common Stock reserved for issuance or required to be reserved for issuance other than as described above. All such issued and outstanding shares of NRT are, and all shares subject to issuance as specified above, upon issuance on the terms and conditions specified in the instruments pursuant to which they

Annex A-42

are issuable will be, when issued, duly authorized, validly issued, fully paid, nonassessable and free of preemptive rights under any provisions of the MGCL, the NRT Articles or the NRT By-laws.

(b) NRT and the NRT Subsidiaries have issued and outstanding the secured and unsecured debt instruments listed in <u>Section 5.02(b)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule. <u>Section 5.02(b)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule sets forth a list of all such instruments (other than intercompany indebtedness between NRT and any of its Subsidiaries or between any of the NRT Subsidiaries or any accounts payable incurred in the ordinary course of business), their outstanding principal amounts as of the applicable dates set forth in <u>Section 5.02(b)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, interest rates and maturity dates. NRT and the NRT Subsidiaries have no outstanding bonds, debentures, notes or other obligations the holders of which have the right to vote (or which are convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for securities having the right to vote) with the stockholders or holders of other equity or voting interests of NRT or any NRT Subsidiary, or the partners in NRT OP on any matter.

(c) There are no existing options, warrants, calls, subscription rights, convertible securities or other rights, agreements or commitments (contingent or otherwise) that obligate NRT to issue, transfer or sell any common shares of NRT or any investment that is convertible into or exercisable or exchangeable for any such shares. NRT has not issued any share appreciation rights, dividend equivalent rights, performance awards, restricted stock unit awards or phantom shares. True and complete copies of all instruments (or the forms of such instruments) referred to in this <u>Section 5.02(c)</u> have been made available to the Company.

(d) Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.02(d)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule or is otherwise contemplated by this Agreement, there are no agreements or understandings to which NRT is a party with respect to the voting of any common shares of NRT or the NRT Preferred Stock, and to NRT s Knowledge, as of the date of this Agreement, there are no third party agreements or understandings with respect to the voting of any such common shares or NRT Preferred Stock.

(e) Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.02(e)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, NRT is under no obligation, contingent or otherwise, by reason of any agreement to register the offer and sale or resale of any of its securities under the Securities Act.

(f) NRT is the sole general partner of NRT OP and, as of the date hereof, owns approximately 30.1% of the partnership units of NRT OP (the <u>NRT OP Units</u>) and, aside from the foregoing, owns directly no other assets, interests, securities or other property. The list of all holders of the NRT OP Units and the number and type (e.g., general, limited, etc.) of NRT OP Units held as of June 11, 2006, previously delivered by NRT to the Company, is true, complete and accurate in all material respects. There are no existing options, warrants, calls, subscriptions, convertible securities, or other rights, agreements or commitments that obligate NRT OP to issue, transfer or sell any partnership interests of NRT OP. Except as set forth in the Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of NRT OP (the <u>NRT OP Limited Partnership Agreement</u>) or <u>Section 5.02(f)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, there are no outstanding contractual obligations of NRT OP to issue, repurchase, redeem or otherwise acquire any partnership interests of NRT OP. Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.02(f)</u> of the NRT

Annex A-43

Disclosure Schedule, the partnership interests owned by NRT are subject only to the restrictions on transfer set forth in the NRT OP Limited Partnership Agreement, and those imposed by applicable securities laws.

SECTION 5.03. Authority Relative to this Agreement and the Ancillary Agreements.

(a) NRT has all necessary corporate power and authority to execute and deliver this Agreement and the Ancillary Agreements to which it is a party and to consummate the transactions contemplated hereby and thereby. No other corporate or partnership proceedings on the part of NRT or any of its Subsidiaries, are necessary to authorize this Agreement or the Ancillary Agreements or to consummate the transactions contemplated hereby and thereby and thereby (other than with respect to the REIT Merger and this Agreement, to the extent required by Law, the NRT Stockholder Approval, the NRT OP Approval and the acceptance for record to the SDAT of the Articles of Merger). This Agreement has been, and the Ancillary Agreements to which NRT or any NRT Subsidiary is a party will have been (when executed in accordance with the terms of this Agreement), duly and validly executed and delivered by NRT or any such NRT Subsidiary as the case may be and, assuming due authorization, execution and delivery hereof by Company or any such NRT Subsidiary as the case may be, each constitutes (or, in the case of the Ancillary Agreement) a valid, legal and binding agreement of the NRT or any such NRT Subsidiary as the case may be, enforceable against NRT or any such NRT Subsidiary as the case may be, enforceable against NRT or any such NRT Subsidiary as the case may be, enforceable against NRT or any such NRT Subsidiary as the case may be, enforceable against NRT or any such NRT Subsidiary as the case may be, enforceable against NRT or any such NRT Subsidiary as the case may be, enforceable against NRT or any such NRT Subsidiary as the case may be, enforceable against NRT or any such NRT Subsidiary as the case may be, inforceable against NRT or any such NRT Subsidiary as the case may be, enforceable against NRT or any such NRT Subsidiary as the case may be, enforceable against or any such NRT Subsidiary as the case may be, enforceable against NRT or any such NRT Subsidiary as the case may be in accordance with and subject to its terms and co

(b) The NRT Board has duly and validly authorized the execution and delivery of this Agreement and each Ancillary Agreement to which it is a party, declared the Merger to be advisable substantially upon the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement, and approved the consummation of the REIT Merger and the other transactions contemplated hereby, and taken all corporate actions required to be taken by the NRT Board for the consummation of the REIT Merger and the other transactions contemplated hereby. The NRT Board has directed that the REIT Merger pursuant to the terms of this Agreement be submitted to the holders of the NRT Common Stock and the NRT Preferred Stock for their approval to the extent required by Law and the NRT Articles and, subject to the provisions of <u>Section 7.01(b)</u> hereof, will recommend to such holders that they vote in favor of the REIT Merger. The affirmative approval of this Agreement and the REIT Merger by the holders of the NRT Common Stock and the NRT Preferred Stock voting together as a single class, representing at least a majority of all votes entitled to be cast by the holders of all outstanding NRT Common Stock and NRT Preferred Stock as of the record date for the NRT Stockholder Meeting (the <u>NRT Stockholder Approval</u>), is the only vote of the holders of any class or series of shares of NRT necessary to adopt this Agreement and approve the REIT Merger and no other proceedings on the part of NRT are necessary to authorize this Agreement or to consummate the REIT Merger and the other transactions contemplated hereby.

Annex A-44

(c) NRT OP has all necessary partnership power and authority to consummate such Contributions as are entered into pursuant to the terms of Section 7.19 hereof, to execute and deliver the Funding Agreement and to consummate the transactions contemplated hereby and thereby. No other partnership proceedings on the part of NRT OP are necessary to authorize such Contributions or the Funding Agreement or to consummate the REIT Merger and the other transactions contemplated hereby and thereby. When executed and delivered in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, the Funding Agreement will have been duly and validly executed and delivered by NRT OP and, assuming due authorization, execution and delivery by the Company, will constitute a valid, legal and binding agreement of NRT OP, enforceable against NRT OP in accordance with and subject to its terms and conditions, except as enforceability may be limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium, fraudulent transfer and similar Laws of general applicability relating to or affecting creditors rights or by general equity principles.

(d) Subject to obtaining the consent of the NRT OP Units, the NRT Board has duly and validly authorized the execution and delivery of the Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of NRT OP in substantially the form attached as <u>Exhibit C</u> hereto (the <u>Amended NRT OP Limited Partnership Agreement</u>). The NRT Board has taken all corporate actions required to be taken by the NRT Board for such amendment and restatement. NRT, as sole general partner of NRT OP, acting through the NRT Board, has directed that the Amended NRT OP Limited Partnership Agreement be submitted to the holders of the NRT OP Units for their approval to the extent required by the NRT OP Limited Partnership Agreement and, subject to the provisions of <u>Section 7.02</u> hereof, will recommend to such holders that they vote in favor of amending and restating such agreement. The consent to the Amended NRT OP Limited Partnership Agreement by the holders of NRT OP Units representing more than 50% of all NRT OP Units held by limited partners of NRT OP, is the only vote of the holders of any class or series of shares of NRT OP necessary to adopt the Amended NRT OP Limited Partnership Agreement (the <u>NRT OP Approval</u>).

SECTION 5.04. No Conflict; Required Filings and Consents.

(a) Except as set forth in Section 5.04(a) of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, the execution and delivery of this Agreement and the Ancillary Agreements to which it is a party by NRT do not, and the performance of NRT s obligations hereunder and thereunder will not, (i) conflict with or violate the NRT Articles and NRT By-laws or the organizational documents of any other NRT Subsidiary, (ii) assuming that all consents, approvals, authorizations and other actions described in subsection (b) have been obtained and all filings and obligations described in subsection (b) have been made, conflict with or violate any Law applicable to NRT, NRT OP or any NRT Subsidiary or by which any of its or their properties or assets is bound or affected, or (iii) result in any breach of, or constitute a default (or an event which, with notice or lapse of time or both, would become a default) under, or give to others any rights of termination, amendment, acceleration or cancellation of, or result in the creation of a Lien or other encumbrance on any of its properties or assets of NRT, NRT OP or any other NRT Subsidiary, or result in any increase in any cost or obligation of NRT, NRT OP or any NRT Subsidiary or the loss of any benefit of NRT, NRT OP or any NRT Subsidiary pursuant to, any note, bond, mortgage, indenture, contract, agreement, lease, license, permit, franchise or other instrument or obligation to which it is a party or by which it or any of its properties or assets is bound or

Annex A-45

affected, except, with respect to clauses (ii) and (iii), for any such conflicts, violations, breaches, defaults or other occurrences that would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

(b) The execution and delivery of this Agreement and the Ancillary Agreements to which it is a party by NRT do not, and the performance of NRT s obligations hereunder and thereunder will not, require any consent, approval, authorization or permit of, or filing with, or notification to, any Governmental Authority, except (i) for (A) applicable requirements, if any, of the Securities Act, Exchange Act, Blue Sky Laws and state takeover Laws, (B) the filing with the SEC of the REIT Merger Proxy Statement and the NRT OP Proxy Statement, (C) any filings required under the rules and regulations of the NYSE, and (D) as to the REIT Merger, the filing of the Articles of Merger with, and the acceptance for record thereof by, the SDAT and (ii) where the failure to obtain such consents, approvals, authorizations or permits, or to make such filings or notifications, would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

(c) All of the rights and obligations of NRT and NRT OP in that certain Letter Agreement, dated August 3, 2005, among NRT, Apollo Real Estate Investment Fund III, L.P., NRT OP, NRT Advisor, Vornado Realty Trust, Vornado Realty L.P., VNK Corp., Vornado Newkirk LLC, Vornado MLP GP LLC and WEM-Brynmawr Associates LLC (the <u>August Letter Agreement</u>) other than the provisions of Section 14 thereof, have been satisfied or superseded by a subsequent agreement between the relevant parties contemplated in the August Letter Agreement or modified by an agreement to be entered into in connection with the transactions contemplated herein. NRT, NRT OP and the MLP Entities (as defined in the August Letter Agreement) have been and continue to be in compliance with the requirements of Section 14 (and Schedule 3) of the August Letter Agreement in all material respects.

SECTION 5.05. Permits; Compliance.

Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.05</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, each of NRT and the Subsidiaries of NRT is in possession of all franchises, grants, authorizations, licenses, permits, consents, certificates, approvals and orders of any Governmental Authority necessary for each of NRT or the NRT Subsidiaries to own, lease and operate its properties or to carry on its business as it is now being conducted (the <u>NRT Permits</u>), except where the failure to have, or the suspension or cancellation of, any of the NRT Permits would not have a Material Adverse Effect. As of the date hereof, no suspension or cancellation of, any of the NRT Permits would not have a Material Adverse Effect. As of the date hereof, no suspension or cancellation of, any of the NRT Permits would not have a Material Adverse Effect. Neither NRT nor any NRT Subsidiary is in conflict with, or in default, breach or violation of, (i) any Law applicable to NRT or any NRT Subsidiary or by which any of their properties or assets is bound or affected, or (ii) any note, bond, mortgage, indenture, contract, agreement, lease, license, Permit, franchise or other instrument or obligation to which NRT or any NRT Subsidiary is a party or by which NRT or any NRT Subsidiary or any of their properties or assets is bound, except for any such conflicts, defaults, breaches or violations that would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

SECTION 5.06. SEC Filings; Financial Statements.

Annex A-46

(a) NRT and NRT OP have filed all SEC Reports required to be filed by them with the SEC since January 1, 2004. The SEC Reports filed by NRT, each as amended prior to the date hereof, (i) have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, as the case may be, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, except where the failure to comply with such requirements would not have a Material Adverse Effect, and (ii) did not, when filed as amended prior to the date hereof, contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact required to be stated therein or necessary in order to make the statements made therein, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading. No NRT Subsidiary (other than NRT OP) is required to file any form, report or other document with the SEC.

(b) Each of the consolidated financial statements (including, in each case, any notes thereto) contained in the SEC Reports filed by NRT and NRT OP was prepared in accordance with United States GAAP applied on a consistent basis throughout the periods indicated (except as may be indicated in the notes thereto) and each fairly presented, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows of NRT and its consolidated Subsidiaries, or NRT OP and its consolidated subsidiaries, as the case may be, as at the respective dates thereof and for the respective periods indicated therein except as otherwise noted therein (subject, in the case of unaudited statements, to normal and recurring year-end adjustments).

SECTION 5.07. Absence of Certain Changes or Events.

Except as set forth in the SEC Reports or as set forth in <u>Section 5.07</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, since April 1, 2006, each of NRT and the NRT Subsidiaries has conducted its business in the ordinary course and there has not occurred any changes, effects or circumstances constituting a Material Adverse Effect.

SECTION 5.08. Absence of Litigation.

As of the date hereof, except (i) as listed in <u>Section 5.08</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, (ii) as set forth in the SEC Reports filed by NRT prior to the date of this Agreement, or (iii) for suits, claims, Actions, proceedings or investigations arising from the ordinary course of operations of NRT and its Subsidiaries involving (A) collection matters or (B) personal injury or other tort litigation which are covered by adequate insurance (subject to customary deductibles), there is no Action pending or, to NRT s Knowledge, threatened against NRT or any of its Subsidiaries or any of its or their respective properties or assets that (1) involves amounts in excess of \$5,000,000 individually or in excess of \$15,000,000 in the aggregate, (2) questions the validity of this Agreement or the Ancillary Agreements or any action to be taken by NRT or NRT OP in connection with the consummation of the REIT Merger or (3) would have a Material Adverse Effect. None of NRT nor its Subsidiaries is subject to any order, judgment, writ, injunction or decree, except as would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

SECTION 5.09. Employee Benefit Matters.

(a) <u>Section 5.09(a)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule lists (i) all employee benefit plans (as defined in Section 3(3) of ERISA, whether or not subject to ERISA) and all

Annex A-47

bonus, stock option, stock purchase, restricted stock or other equity, incentive, deferred compensation, retiree medical or life insurance or other welfare, supplemental executive retirement plans, severance or other benefit plans, programs, trusts or arrangements, and all material employment, termination, severance, compensation or other contracts or agreements, to which NRT or any NRT Subsidiary is a party, or which are sponsored by NRT or any NRT Subsidiary for the benefit of any current or former employee, officer, trustee, director or consultant of NRT or any NRT Subsidiary, or under which NRT or any NRT Subsidiary has any liability or obligation, and (ii) any material contracts, arrangements or understandings between NRT or any of its Affiliates and any current or former employee, officer, trustee, director or consultant of NRT or of any NRT Subsidiary, including, without limitation, any contracts, arrangements or understandings or change in control arrangements relating to a sale of NRT (collectively, the <u>NRT Plans</u>). Such NRT Plans are in writing and NRT has made available to the Company a true and correct copy of (i) the plan documents, agreements or contracts (including, if applicable, any trust agreements or insurance contracts) and, in each case any amendment thereto (whether currently effective or to become effective at a later date), embodying such NRT Plans, (ii) the three most recent annual reports (Form 5500) filed with the IRS) and financial statements, if any, (iii) the most recent summary plan description and any summary of material modifications for each NRT Plan for which a summary plan description or summary of material modifications is required by applicable Law, (iv) the three most recent actuarial reports or valuations, if any, relating to a NRT Plan and (v) the most recent determination or opinion letter, if any, issued by the IRS with respect to any NRT Plan that is intended to qualify under Section 401(a) of the Code (or if no such letter has been issued for an NRT Plan, the pendin

(b) NRT and each NRT Subsidiary has performed and complied in all material respects with all of its obligations under or with respect to the NRT Plans and each NRT Plan has been operated in all material respects in accordance with its terms and the requirements of all applicable Laws, including, without limitation, ERISA and the Code. No Action, claim or proceeding is pending or, to the Knowledge of NRT, threatened with respect to any NRT Plan (other than claims for benefits in the ordinary course in accordance with such NRT Plan s claims procedures and that have not resulted in any pending or threatened litigation) and, to the Knowledge of NRT, no fact or event exists that would give rise to any such Action, claim or proceeding. There are no audits, inquiries or examinations pending or, to the Knowledge of NRT, threatened by the IRS, Department of Labor, or any other Governmental Authority with respect to any NRT Plan.

(c) Each NRT Plan that is intended to be qualified under Section 401(a) of the Code has timely received a favorable determination letter from the IRS as to its tax-qualified status and each trust established in connection with any NRT Plan which is intended to be exempt from federal income taxation under Section 501(a) of the Code has received a determination letter from the IRS that it is so exempt, and to the Knowledge of NRT no fact or event has occurred since the date of such determination letter or letters from the IRS that could reasonably be expected to adversely affect the qualified status of any such NRT Plan or the exempt status of any such trust.

(d) All contributions and premium payments (including all employer contributions and employee salary reduction contributions) that are due with respect to any NRT

Annex A-48

Plan have been made within the time periods prescribed by ERISA and the Code to the respective NRT Plan or, if earlier, within the time periods prescribed under the terms of the applicable NRT Plan, and all contributions and premium payments for any period ending on or before the Closing Date which are an obligation of NRT or any NRT Subsidiary and not yet due have either been timely made to each such NRT Plan, or have been accrued on the financial statements of NRT and the NRT Subsidiaries.

(e) No NRT Plan is, and neither NRT, any NRT Subsidiary, nor any entity aggregated with NRT or any NRT Subsidiary under Section 414(b) or (c) of the Code or Section 4001 of ERISA maintains, contributes to, has any liability or obligation under, or has at any time maintained, contributed to, or had any liability or obligation under, any benefit plan, program or arrangement that is, (i) a multiemployer plan (within the meaning of Section 3(37) of ERISA), (ii) a multiple employer plan (within the meaning of Section 413(c) of the Code), (iii) any single employer plan or other pension plan that is subject to Title IV or Section 302 of ERISA or Section 412 of the Code, or (iii) a multiple employer welfare arrangement (as defined in Section 3(40)(A) of ERISA).

(f) Except as set forth in Section 5.09(f) of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, neither the execution and delivery of this Agreement, nor the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby, either alone or in combination with another event (whether contingent or otherwise) will
 (i) entitle any current or former employee, officer, trustee, consultant or director of NRT or any NRT Subsidiary to any cancellation of indebtedness or any payment (other than any payment provided for in Article III) or be subject to the obtaining of any consent or waiver from

any such current or former employee, officer, trustee, consultant or director; (ii) increase the amount of compensation or benefits due to any such current or former employee, officer, trustee, consultant or director; (iii) accelerate the vesting, funding or time of payment of any compensation, equity award or other benefit; (iv) result in any non-deductible payment under Section 162(m) of the Code; or (v) result in any parachute payment within the meaning of Section 280G of the Code (whether or not such payment is considered to be reasonable compensation for services rendered) or in any excise tax under Section 4999 of the Code. None of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, either alone or in combination with another event (whether contingent or otherwise), would result in any parachute payments .

(g) With respect to each NRT Plan that is an employee welfare benefit plan (within the meaning of Section 3(1) of ERISA), all claims incurred by NRT and the NRT Subsidiaries are (i) insured pursuant to a contract of insurance whereby the insurance company bears any risk of loss with respect to such claims, (ii) covered under a contract with an HMO pursuant to which the HMO bears the liability for claims or (iii) reflected as a liability or accrued for on the financial statements of NRT and the NRT Subsidiaries.

(h) Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.09(h)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, none of the NRT Plans provides for continuing post-employment health, life insurance coverage or other welfare benefits (whether or not insured) for any current or former employee, officer, trustee, director or consultant of NRT or any NRT Subsidiary, or any dependent or beneficiary thereof, in each case, except as may be required under any Law.

Annex A-49

(i) With respect to each NRT Plan, to the Knowledge of NRT, (A) there has not occurred, and no Person is contractually bound to enter into, any prohibited transaction within the meaning of Section 4975(c) of the Code or Section 406 of ERISA, which transaction is not exempt under Section 4975(d) of the Code or Section 408 of ERISA and which could subject the Company, the Surviving Entity, NRT or any NRT Subsidiary to material liability and (B) no fiduciary (within the meaning of Section 3(21) of ERISA) of any NRT Plan subject to Part 4 of Title I of ERISA has committed a breach of fiduciary duty that could subject the Company, the Surviving Entity, NRT or any NRT Subsidiary to material liability. Neither NRT nor any NRT Subsidiary has incurred any excise taxes or penalties under Chapter 43 of the Code and nothing has occurred with respect to any NRT Plan that could subject the Company, the Surviving Entity, NRT or any Subsidiary to any such taxes.

(j) Neither NRT nor any NRT Subsidiary has announced or entered into any plan or binding commitment to create any additional NRT Plan or amend any NRT Plan.

(k) Section 5.09(k) of the NRT Disclosure Schedule identifies each NRT Plan that is a NQDC Plan. With respect to each NQDC Plan, it either (A) has been operated in full compliance with Code Section 409A (and associated Treasury Department Guidance, including IRS Notice 2005-1 and Proposed Treasury Regulations at 70 Fed. Reg. 57930 (October 4, 2005)) since January 1, 2005, or (B) does not provide for the payment of any benefits that have or will be deferred or vested after December 31, 2004 and since October 3, 2004, has not been materially modified within the meaning of Section 409A of the Code and associated Treasury Department guidance, including IRS Notice 2005-1, Q&A 18 and Proposed Treasury Regulations at 70 Fed. Reg. 57930 (October 4, 2005).

(1) None of the payments made by NRT or any NRT Subsidiary are subject to Code Section 162(m).

SECTION 5.10. Labor Matters.

(a) Except as disclosed on <u>Section 5.10(a)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, (i) neither NRT nor any NRT Subsidiary is a party to any collective bargaining agreement or other labor union contract applicable to Persons employed by NRT or any NRT Subsidiary; (ii) neither NRT nor any NRT Subsidiary has breached or otherwise failed to comply with any provision of any such agreement or contract, and there are no grievances outstanding against NRT or any NRT Subsidiary under such agreement or contract; (iii) no employee of NRT or any NRT Subsidiary is a member of a collective bargaining unit or is covered by a collective bargaining agreement; (iv) no labor organization been elected as the collective bargaining agent of any such employees, and neither NRT nor any NRT Subsidiary has recognized any labor organization for any of their employees; (v) no union organization activity involving any employees of NRT or the NRT Subsidiaries is pending or, to the Knowledge of NRT, threatened, nor has there been union representation involving any such employee within the last five years; and (vi) there is no strike, slowdown, work stoppage or lockout by or with respect to any employees of NRT or any NRT Subsidiary.

(b) NRT and the NRT Subsidiaries are in compliance with all Laws relating to the employment of labor, including all such laws, regulations and orders relating to wages, hours,

Annex A-50

employment classification, the WARN Act and similar state laws relating to plant closure or mass layoff, collective bargaining, discrimination, civil rights, safety and health, workers compensation and the collection and payment of withholding and/or social security taxes and any similar tax. There are no audits, inquiries or examinations pending or, to the Knowledge of NRT, threatened or reasonably anticipated relating to any labor, safety or employment matters involving NRT or any NRT Subsidiary. NRT has delivered to the Company accurate and complete copies of all employee manuals and handbooks, disclosure materials, policy statements and other materials relating to the employment of the current and former employees of NRT and the NRT Subsidiaries.

(c) All independent contractors presently retained by NRT and the NRT Subsidiaries to provide any and all services are correctly classified as such. No independent contractor of NRT or any of the NRT Subsidiaries is eligible to participate in any NRT Plan.

SECTION 5.11. Proxy Statement.

Information Supplied. None of the information supplied by NRT for inclusion or incorporation by reference in the Registration (a) Statement shall (i) when filed with the SEC or other regulatory agency, (ii) when it is declared effective by the SEC, and (iii) at the Effective Time, contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state any material fact required to be stated therein or necessary in order to make the statements therein, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading. None of the information to be supplied by NRT for inclusion or incorporation by reference in the REIT Merger Proxy Statement shall (i) when filed with the SEC or other regulatory agency, (ii) at the times when it (or any amendment thereof or supplement thereto) is mailed to the holders of Company Common Shares or NRT Common Stock, (iii) at the times of each of the Company Shareholder Meeting and the NRT Stockholder Meeting, and (iv) at the Effective Time, contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state any material fact required to be stated therein or necessary in order to make the statements therein, in light of the circumstances under which they are made, not misleading. If at any time prior to the Effective Time, any event with respect to NRT, or with respect to information supplied by NRT specifically for inclusion in the REIT Merger Proxy Statement or Registration Statement, shall occur which is required to be described in an amendment of, or supplement to, the REIT Merger Proxy Statement or Registration Statement, such event shall be so described by NRT and promptly provided to the Company. All documents that NRT is responsible for filing with the SEC in connection with the transactions contemplated herein, to the extent relating to NRT or other information supplied by NRT for inclusion therein, will comply as to form, in all material respects, with the provisions of the Exchange Act, and each such document required to be filed with any Governmental Authority (other than the SEC) will comply in all material respects with the provisions of any Law as to the information required to be contained therein. Notwithstanding the foregoing NRT makes no representation or warranty with respect to the information supplied or to be supplied by the Company or its Affiliates for inclusion or incorporation by reference in the REIT Merger Proxy Statement or the Registration Statement.

(b) <u>NRT OP Proxy Statement</u>. The information relating to NRT and its Subsidiaries to be contained in the NRT OP Proxy Statement and other documents to be filed with the SEC in connection herewith will not, on the date the NRT OP Proxy Statement is first

Annex A-51

mailed to holders of NRT OP Units or at the time of the NRT OP Unitholder s Meeting (as defined herein), contain any untrue statement of material fact or omit to state any material fact required to be stated therein or necessary in order to make statements therein not false or misleading at the time and in light of the circumstances under which such statement is made, except that no representation is made by NRT with respect to the information supplied by the Company. All documents that NRT is responsible for filing with the SEC in connection with the Amended NRT OP Limited Partnership Agreement will comply as to form and substance in all material respects with the applicable requirements of the Securities Act and the rules and regulations thereunder and the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations thereunder.

(c) <u>NRT Advisor s Obligations</u>. NKT Advisors LLC (the <u>NRT Advisor</u>) shall comply with the requirements set forth under Article IV of the Advisory Agreement (as defined herein) with respect to notifying holders of NRT OP Units as to the NRT Stockholders Meeting, providing such holders with copies of the REIT Merger Proxy Statement and providing such holders with the means to indicate their LP Direction Vote (as defined in the Advisory Agreement).

SECTION 5.12. Property and Leases.

(a) <u>Section 5.12(a)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule sets forth a correct and complete list and address of all real property owned or leased by NRT and the NRT Subsidiaries as of the date of this Agreement and a list of expected construction completion dates of all buildings, structures and other improvements being funded by or on behalf of NRT and the NRT Subsidiaries (all such real property, together with all buildings, structures and other improvements and fixtures located on or under such real property and all easements, rights and other appurtenances to such real property, are individually referred to herein as <u>NRT Property</u> and collectively referred to herein as the <u>NRT Properties</u>). As of the date hereof, each of the NRT Properties is owned or leased by NRT or the NRT Subsidiaries, as indicated in

<u>Section 5.12(a)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule. As of the date hereof, NRT or the NRT Subsidiaries own or, if so indicated in <u>Section 5.12(a)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, lease each of the NRT Properties, in each case, free and clear of any Property Restrictions, except for (i) NRT Permitted Liens, (ii) Property Restrictions imposed or promulgated by Law or by any Governmental Authority which are customary and typical for similar properties and (iii) Property Restrictions which do not interfere materially with the current use of such property, except in the case of clauses (i), (ii) and (iii) above as would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

(b) <u>Section 5.12(b)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule sets forth a correct and complete list, as of the date hereof, of all agreements for the pending acquisition, sale, option to sell, right of first refusal, right of first offer or any other contractual right to sell, dispose of, or lease (by merger, purchase or sale of assets or stock or otherwise) any personal property valued at \$1,000,000 or more. NRT and the NRT Subsidiaries have good and sufficient title to all the material personal and non-real properties and assets reflected in their books and records as being owned by them, free and clear of all Liens, except for NRT Permitted Liens and other matters that do not interfere materially with the current use of such property.

(c) Except as provided for in <u>Section 5.12(c)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, policies of title insurance (each a <u>NRT Title Insurance</u> <u>Policy</u>) have been issued insuring, as of

Annex A-52

the effective date of each such NRT Title Insurance Policy, NRT s or the applicable Subsidiary s (or the applicable predecessor s or acquiror s) title to or leasehold interest in NRT Properties, subject to the matters disclosed on the NRT Title Insurance Policies and NRT Permitted Liens. A correct and complete copy of each final NRT Title Insurance Policy, or an executed pro forma version thereof if the final policy has not yet been delivered by the applicable title company, has been previously made available to the Company.

(d) Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.12(d)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, as of the date hereof, NRT has no Knowledge (i) of written notice that any certificate, permit or license from any Governmental Authority having jurisdiction over any of the NRT Properties or any agreement, easement or other right of an unlimited duration which is necessary to permit the lawful use and operation of the buildings and improvements on any of the NRT Properties or which is necessary to permit the lawful use and operation of all utilities, parking areas, detention ponds, driveways, roads and other means of egress and ingress to and from any of the NRT Properties is not in full force and effect, except for such failures to have in full force and effect that would not have a Material Adverse Effect, or of any pending written threat of modification or cancellation of any of same, that would have a Material Adverse Effect; (ii) of any written notice of any uncured violation of any Laws affecting

any of the NRT Properties or operations which have a Material Adverse Effect; (iii) of any structural defects relating to any of the NRT Properties which would reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect; (iv) of any NRT Property whose building systems are not in working order so as to have a Material Adverse Effect; or (v) of any physical damage to any NRT Property which would reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect for which there is not insurance in effect covering the cost of the restoration and the loss of revenue (subject to a reasonable deduction or retention limit).

(e) Except as provided for in <u>Section 5.12(e)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, to NRT s Knowledge, as of the date hereof, neither NRT nor any of the NRT Subsidiaries has received any written notice to the effect that (i) any condemnation or rezoning proceedings are pending with respect to any of the NRT Properties that would have a Material Adverse Effect or (ii) any zoning, building or similar law, code, ordinance, order or regulation is or will be violated by the continued maintenance, operation or use of any buildings or other improvements on any of the NRT Properties or by the continued maintenance, operation or use of the parking areas which would reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect. Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.12(e)</u> of NRT Disclosure Schedule, (i) all work required to be performed, payments required to be made and actions required to be taken prior to the date hereof pursuant to any agreement entered into with a governmental body or authority in connection with a site approval, zoning reclassification or other similar action relating to any of the NRT Properties (*e.g.*, Local Improvement District, Environmental Mitigation have been performed, paid or taken, as the case may be, and (ii) NRT has no Knowledge of any planned or proposed work, payments or actions that may be required after the date hereof pursuant to such agreements, in each of case (i) and (ii) except as set forth in development or operating budgets for such NRT Properties delivered to the Company prior to the date hereof and other than those which would not reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect.

(f) NRT has delivered to the Company correct and complete (in all material respects) copies of each lease, sublease, ground lease or other right of occupancy that NRT or the NRT Subsidiaries are party to as landlord with respect to each of the applicable NRT Properties

Annex A-53

including all amendments, modifications, supplements, renewals, extensions and guarantees related thereto in effect on the date hereof (except for discrepancies or omissions that would not have a Material Adverse Effect) (the <u>NRT Leases</u>). Section 5.12(f) of the NRT Disclosure Schedule contains the rent roll for each NRT Lease, which information is correct and complete in all respects as of the date hereof (except for discrepancies that would not have a Material Adverse Effect). Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.12(f)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, neither NRT nor any NRT Subsidiary has received written notice that it is in default under any NRT Lease, except for violations or defaults that have been cured or are disclosed in the rent rolls or that would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

(g) <u>Section 5.12(g)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule sets forth a correct and complete list as of the date of this Agreement of each ground lease pursuant to which NRT or any NRT Subsidiary is a lessee (individually, a <u>NRT Ground Lease</u> and collectively. <u>NRT Ground Lease</u>). Except as listed in <u>Section 5.12(g)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule or that would not have a Material Adverse Effect, each NRT Ground Lease is in full force and effect and neither NRT nor any NRT Subsidiary has received a written notice that it is in default under any NRT Ground Lease which remains uncured. NRT has made available to the Company a correct and complete copy of each NRT Ground Lease and all amendments thereto.

(h) Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.12(h)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, as of the date hereof, neither NRT nor any NRT Subsidiary has granted any unexpired option agreements (excluding any rights of first refusal or rights of first offer) with respect to the purchase of a NRT Property or any portion thereof or any other unexpired rights in favor of any party other than NRT, any NRT Subsidiary, or any of their respective Affiliates (an <u>NRT Third Party</u>) to purchase or otherwise acquire a NRT Property or any portion thereof or entered into any contract for sale, ground lease or letter of intent to sell or ground lease any NRT Property or any portion thereof or provided an NRT Third Party with any right to terminate an NRT Lease prior to the expiration of its scheduled lease term.

(i) Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.12(i)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, neither NRT nor any of its Subsidiaries is a party to any agreement pursuant to which NRT or any NRT Subsidiary manages any real property for any NRT Third Party.

(j) Except for those contracts or agreements set forth in <u>Section 5.12(j)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, neither NRT nor any of the NRT Subsidiaries has entered into any contract or agreement (collectively, the <u>NRT Participation Agreements</u>) with any NRT Third Party or any employee, consultant, Affiliate or other Person (the <u>NRT Participation Party</u>) that provides for a right of such NRT Participation Party to participate, invest, join, partner, have any interest in whatsoever (whether characterized as a contingent fee, profits interest, equity interest or otherwise) or have the right to any of the foregoing in any proposed or anticipated investment opportunity, joint venture, partnership or any other current or future transaction or property in which NRT or any NRT Subsidiary has or will have an interest, including but not limited to those transactions or properties identified, sourced, produced or developed by such NRT Participation Party (a <u>NRT Participation Interest</u>).

(k) Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.12(k)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, NRT is not responsible for the payment of any operating expenses under the NRT Leases.

Annex A-54

(1) As of the date listed therein, each of the master lessees set forth in <u>Section 5.12(1)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule has been duly dissolved and liquidated under the laws of the states of its respective incorporation.

SECTION 5.13. Intellectual Property.

Except as would not have a Material Adverse Effect, (a) the conduct of the business of NRT and its Subsidiaries as currently conducted does not infringe the Intellectual Property rights of any NRT Third Party and (b) with respect to Intellectual Property owned by or licensed to NRT or any NRT Subsidiary and material to the business of NRT and its Subsidiaries, taken as a whole (<u>NRT Intellectual Property</u>), NRT or such NRT Subsidiary has the right to use such NRT Intellectual Property in the continued operation of its business as currently conducted.

SECTION 5.14. Taxes.

(a) NRT and its Subsidiaries (1) have filed all federal, state, local and foreign Tax Returns required to be filed by them (after giving effect to any filing extensions properly obtained) and all such Tax Returns are correct and complete in all material respects, (2) have paid and discharged all Taxes shown as due on such Tax Returns or otherwise required to be paid, and (3) have complied in all material respects with all applicable Tax laws requiring the withholding or collection of Taxes, other than in each case, (i) such payments as are being contested in good faith by appropriate proceedings and (ii) such filings, payments or other occurrences that would not have a Material Adverse Effect. There are no currently effective or otherwise outstanding waivers or extensions of any applicable statute of limitations to assess any Taxes.

(b) Commencing with its initial taxable year ended December 31, 2005, NRT has been organized and has operated in conformity with the requirements for qualification and taxation as a <u>REIT</u> under the Code, and its proposed method of operation will enable NRT to continue to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a <u>REIT</u> under the Code. To NRT s Knowledge, no challenge to NRT s status as a <u>REIT</u> is pending, or is or has been threatened.

(c) NRT has incurred no liability for any material Taxes under Sections 857(b), 860(c), or 4981 of the Code, Treasury Regulation Section 1.337(d)-7 (or any applicable predecessor guidance or regulation), including any material Tax arising from a prohibited transaction described in Section 857(b)(6) of the Code, and neither NRT nor its Subsidiaries has incurred any material liability for Taxes outside of the ordinary course of business other than transfer or similar Taxes arising in connection with the sales of property. Neither NRT nor its Subsidiaries (other than a TRS within the meaning of Section 856(l) of the Code) has engaged at any time in any prohibited transaction within the meaning of Section 857(b)(6) of the Code. Neither NRT nor its Subsidiaries has engaged in any transaction that would give rise to redetermined rents, redetermined deductions and excess interest described in Section 857(b)(7) of the Code. No asset or portion thereof held directly or indirectly by NRT is subject to the rules of Section 1374 pursuant to Treasury Regulation Section 1.337(d)-7 (or any applicable predecessor guidance or regulation). No event has Annex A-55

occurred, and no condition or circumstance exists, which presents a risk that any material Tax described in the preceding sentences will be imposed on NRT or its Subsidiaries.

(d) There is no pending or ongoing federal audit, examination, investigation or proceeding of NRT or its Subsidiaries and there is no pending or ongoing material state, local or foreign audit, examination, investigation or proceeding of NRT or its Subsidiaries. There are no material claims or assessments pending against NRT or its Subsidiaries for any alleged deficiency in any Tax, and, to NRT s Knowledge, there are no written or oral threatened Tax claims or assessments against NRT or its Subsidiaries which if upheld would reasonably be expected to result, individually or in the aggregate, in a material cost or liability for NRT or its Subsidiaries. There are no pledges, claims, liens, charges, encumbrances or security interests of any kind or nature whatsoever for any Taxes upon the assets of NRT or its Subsidiaries except for liens for current Taxes not yet due.

(e) Neither NRT nor its Subsidiaries has received or is subject to any written ruling of a taxing authority related to Taxes or has entered into any written and legally binding agreement with a taxing authority relating to any material Taxes. No issues have been raised in any examination by any taxing authority with respect to NRT or its Subsidiaries which, by application of similar principles, reasonably could be expected to result in a material proposed deficiency or material increase in Tax for any other period not so examined.

(f) NRT does not have any earnings and profits attributable to any other corporation in any non-REIT year within the meaning of Section 857 of the Code. For all taxable years for which the Internal Revenue Service either could assert a Tax liability in respect of NRT s status as a REIT or could assert that NRT failed to qualify as a REIT, NRT has not held, directly or indirectly, any asset (including any security) that has violated or does violate Section 856(c)(4)(B)(iii) of the Code, other than a violation which has been cured pursuant to any applicable provisions of Section 856 of the Code so as not to cause NRT to fail to qualify as a REIT for any such year and which has not and reasonably could not be expected to result in any material Tax liability. NRT has, together with only those entities set forth in <u>Section 5.14(f)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, made a proper election to treat each such entity as a TRS within the meaning of Section 856(l) of the Code.

(g) Except as set forth on Section 5.14(g) of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, neither NRT nor its Subsidiaries (a) is a party to or is otherwise subject to any Tax allocation or sharing agreement, or (b) has any liability for Taxes of another person under law, by contract or otherwise except for withholding Taxes incurred in the ordinary course of business that have been properly withheld but are not yet required to be deposited with a Tax authority.

(h) To the Knowledge of NRT, neither NRT nor its Subsidiaries is or has been a party to any reportable transaction within the meaning of Treasury Regulations Section 1.6011-4(b).

(i) To the Knowledge of NRT, NRT is a domestically controlled REIT within the meaning of Section 897(h) of the Code and NRT is not a pension held REIT within the meaning of Section 856(h) of the Code.

Annex A-56

(j) Each mezzanine loan, participation or other similar debt instrument that NRT treats as a qualifying real estate asset for purposes of Section 856(c)(4)(A) of the Code satisfies the requirements of the safe harbor set forth in Revenue Procedure 2003-65.

(k) Each hedging transaction, the income from which NRT excludes in determining its gross income for purposes of Section 856(c)(2) of the Code, is clearly identified pursuant to Section 1221(a)(7) and is treated as a hedging transaction (1) as defined in Section 1221(b)(2)(A)(ii) or (iii) and (2) within the meaning of Section 856(c)(5)(G) only to the extent the hedging transaction hedges any debt incurred or to be incurred by NRT to acquire or carry real estate assets.

SECTION 5.15. Environmental Matters.

Except as set forth in the SEC Reports or as would not have a Material Adverse Effect:

(a) There has been no material storage, generation, transportation, handling, treatment, disposal, discharge, emission or other release of any kind of Hazardous Materials by, due to, or caused by NRT or any NRT Subsidiary or, to NRT s Knowledge, by any other entity for whose acts or omissions NRT or any NRT Subsidiary is or may be liable, upon any property now or previously owned or leased by NRT or any NRT Subsidiary, or upon any other property, which would be a violation of and give rise to liability against NRT or any NRT Subsidiary under any Environmental Law.

(b) To NRT s Knowledge, there has been no disposal, discharge, emission or other release of any kind onto the properties referred in paragraph (a) or into the environment surrounding such properties of any Hazardous Materials.

(c) Neither NRT nor any NRT Subsidiary has agreed to assume, undertake or provide indemnification for any liability of any other persons under any Environmental Law, except for customary environmental indemnity agreements in connection with mortgage loans on the NRT Properties.

(d) There is no pending or, to NRT s Knowledge, any threatened administrative, regulatory or judicial action, claim or notice of noncompliance or violation, investigation or proceedings relating to any Environmental Law against NRT or any NRT Subsidiary.

NRT has previously delivered or made available to the Company complete copies of all material information, documents and reports, including, without limitation, environmental investigations and testing or analysis that are in the possession or control of any of NRT or the NRT Subsidiaries and which relate to compliance with Environmental Laws by any of them or to the past or current environmental condition of the NRT Properties.

SECTION 5.16. Material Contracts.

Annex A-57

(a) Except as filed as exhibits to the SEC Reports filed prior to the date of this Agreement, or as disclosed in <u>Section 5.16(a)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, none of NRT or any NRT Subsidiary is a party to or bound by any contract that, as of the date hereof:

(i) is a material contract (as such term is defined in Item 601(b)(10) of Regulation S-K of the SEC);

(ii) involves aggregate expenditures in excess of \$2,500,000;

(iii) involves annual expenditures in excess of \$500,000 and is not cancelable within one year;

(iv) contains any non-compete or material exclusivity provisions with respect to any line of business or geographic area with respect to NRT or any NRT Subsidiary, or that restricts the conduct of any line of business by NRT or any NRT Subsidiary or any geographic area in which NRT or any NRT Subsidiary may conduct business, in each case in any material respect;

(v) would prohibit or materially delay the consummation of the REIT Merger or any of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement or any Ancillary Agreement;

(vi) is a contract or agreement pursuant to which NRT or any of the NRT Subsidiaries agrees to indemnify or hold harmless any director, trustee or executive officer of NRT or any of the NRT Subsidiaries (other than the organizational documents for NRT or the NRT Subsidiaries); or

(vii) is a loan agreement, letter of credit, indenture, note, bond, debenture, mortgage or any other document, agreement or instrument evidencing a capitalized leased obligation or other indebtedness of, for the benefit of, or payable to NRT or any NRT Subsidiaries or any guaranty thereof, in each case, having a value in excess of \$5,000,000 individually.

Each contract of the type described in this <u>Section 5.16</u>, whether or not set forth in <u>Section 5.16(a)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, is referred to herein as a <u>NRT Material Contract</u>.

(b) Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.16(b)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, each NRT Material Contract is valid and binding on NRT, each NRT Subsidiary party thereto, and, to the Knowledge of NRT, each NRT Third Party thereto, and neither NRT nor any NRT Subsidiary has received a written notice that it is in violation of or in default under (nor to the Knowledge of NRT does there exist any condition which upon the passage of time or the giving of notice or both would cause such a violation of or default under) any NRT Material Contract, except as would not (i) prevent or materially delay consummation of the REIT Merger, or (ii) result in a Material Adverse Effect.

(c) To the extent not set forth in response to the requirements of <u>Section 5.16(a)</u>, <u>Section 5.16(c)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule sets forth each interest rate cap,

Annex A-58

interest rate collar, interest rate swap, currency hedging transaction, and any other agreement relating to a similar transaction to which NRT or any NRT Subsidiary is a party or an obligor with respect thereto.

(d) Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.16(d)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, none of NRT or any NRT Subsidiary is a party to any agreement which would restrict any of them from prepaying any of their Indebtedness without penalty or premium at any time or which requires any of them to maintain any amount of Indebtedness with respect to any of the NRT Properties.

(e) Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.16(e)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, none of NRT or any NRT Subsidiary is a party to any agreement relating to the management of any NRT Property by any Person other than NRT or any NRT Subsidiary.

(f) None of NRT or any NRT Subsidiary is a party to any agreement pursuant to which NRT or any NRT Subsidiary manages or provides services with respect to any real properties other than NRT Properties, except for the agreements listed in <u>Section 5.16(f)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule.

(g) <u>Section 5.16(g)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule lists all material agreements entered into by NRT or any NRT Subsidiary relating to the development or construction of, or additions or expansions to, any NRT real properties (or any properties with respect to which NRT has executed as of the date of this Agreement a purchase agreement or other similar agreement) which are currently in effect and under which NRT or any NRT Subsidiary currently has, or expects to incur, an obligation in excess of \$250,000 in the aggregate in the future. True, correct and complete copies of such agreements have previously been delivered or made available to the Company.

(h) <u>Section 5.16(h)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule lists all agreements entered into by NRT or any NRT Subsidiary providing for the sale of, or option to sell, any NRT Properties or the purchase of, or option to purchase, by NRT or any NRT Subsidiary, on the one hand, or the other party thereto, on the other hand, any real estate not yet consummated as of the date hereof.

(i) Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.16(i)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, none of NRT or any NRT Subsidiary has any continuing obligation that could reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect (A) for indemnification or otherwise under any agreement relating to the sale of real estate previously owned, whether directly or indirectly, by NRT or any NRT Subsidiary or (B) to pay any additional

purchase price for any of the NRT Properties.

(j) Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.16(j)</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, none of NRT or any NRT Subsidiary has entered into or is subject, directly or indirectly, to any Tax Protection Agreements. None of NRT or any NRT Subsidiary is in violation of or in default under any Tax Protection Agreement.

SECTION 5.17. No Payments to Employees, Officers or Directors.

Annex A-59

Section 5.17 of the NRT Disclosure Schedule contains a true and complete list of all arrangements, agreements or plans pursuant to which cash and non-cash payments which will become payable to each employee, officer or director of NRT or any NRT Subsidiary as a result of the REIT Merger or a termination of service subsequent to the consummation of the REIT Merger. Except as described in <u>Section 5.17</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, or as otherwise provided for in this Agreement, there is no employment or severance contract, or other agreement requiring payments, cancellation of indebtedness or other obligation to be made on a change of control or otherwise as a result of the consummation of any of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement or as a result of a termination of service subsequent to the consummation of any of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement, with respect to any employee, officer or director of NRT or any of the NRT Subsidiaries. Except as described in <u>Section 5.17</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, there is no agreement or arrangement with any employee, officer or other service provider under which NRT or any NRT Subsidiary has agreed to pay any tax that might be owed under Section 4999 of the Code with respect to payments to such individuals.

SECTION 5.18. Brokers.

No broker, finder or investment banker or other Person, other than Bear Stearns & Co., Inc. as set forth in its engagement letter with NRT, a true and complete copy of which NRT previously supplied to the Company, is entitled to any brokerage, finder s or other fee or commission in connection with the transactions contemplated hereby based upon arrangements made by or on behalf of NRT or any NRT subsidiary.

SECTION 5.19. Opinion of Financial Advisor.

NRT has received an opinion of Bear Stearns & Co., Inc. to the effect that the REIT Merger Consideration is fair to the holders of NRT Common Stock from a financial point of view. A copy of such opinion shall be delivered to the Company promptly after the date hereof.

SECTION 5.20. Insurance.

Section 5.20 of the NRT Disclosure Schedule sets forth a correct and complete list of the insurance policies held by, or for the benefit of, NRT or any of the NRT Subsidiaries, including the underwriter of such policies and the amount of coverage thereunder. NRT and each of the NRT Subsidiaries have paid, or caused to be paid, all premiums due under such policies and have not received written notice that they are in default with respect to any obligations under such policies other than as would not have a Material Adverse Effect. Neither NRT nor any NRT Subsidiary has received any written notice of cancellation or termination with respect to any existing insurance policy set forth in Section 5.20 of the NRT Disclosure Schedule that is held by, or for the benefit of, any of NRT or any of the NRT Subsidiaries, other than as would not have a Material Adverse Effect.

SECTION 5.21. Related Party Transactions.

Except as set forth in Section 5.16(a) of the NRT Disclosure Schedule or as disclosed in the SEC Reports and except for ordinary course advances to employees, set forth in

Annex A-60

<u>Section 5.21</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule is a list of all material agreements, agreements and contracts entered into by NRT or any of the NRT Subsidiaries under which continuing obligations exist with any Person who is an officer, director, trustee or Affiliate of NRT or any of its Subsidiaries, any member of the immediate family (as such term is defined in Item 404 of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Securities Act) of any of the foregoing or any entity of which any of the foregoing is an Affiliate.

SECTION 5.22. Takeover Statutes.

NRT has taken all action required to be taken by it in order to exempt this Agreement and the REIT Merger from, and this Agreement and the REIT Merger are exempt from, the requirements of any moratorium, control share, fair price, affiliate transaction, business combination or of applicable state and federal takeover Laws and regulations and any takeover provision in NRT s Articles, NRT s By-laws or other organizational documents to which NRT is a party. Except as set forth in <u>Section 5.22</u> of the NRT Disclosure Schedule, the execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement do not, and will not violate the restrictions on transfer and ownership of shares of capital stock set forth in NRT s Articles, NRT s By-laws, or other organizational document to which NRT is a party. As of the date of this Agreement, neither NRT nor any Affiliate or Subsidiary of NRT has ever been deemed to be an interested stockholder or an affiliate of an interested stockholder of the Company for purposes of the Maryland Business Combination Act.

SECTION 5.23. Investment Company Act.

Neither NRT nor any NRT Subsidiary is, nor immediately after consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby will be, required to be registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, and the rules and regulations of the SEC thereunder.

SECTION 5.24. Patriot Act.

NRT and its Subsidiaries have complied in all material respects with the International Money Laundering Abatement and Anti-Terrorist Financing Act of 2001 (as in effect on the date hereof), which comprises Title III of the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 and the regulations promulgated to date thereunder, and the rules and regulations administered to date by the U.S. Treasury Department s Office of Foreign Assets Control, to the extent such Laws are applicable to them.

SECTION 5.25. Compliance with Laws.

None of NRT or any of the NRT Subsidiaries has violated or failed to comply with any statute, law, ordinance, regulation, rule, judgment, decree or order of any Governmental Entity applicable to its business, properties or operations, except in each case to the extent that such violation or failure would not reasonably be expected to have a Material Adverse Effect.

SECTION 5.26. Tender Offers.

Annex A-61

All tender offers of NRT or any of the NRT Subsidiaries are in compliance with all applicable statutes, laws, ordinances, regulations, rule, judgments, decrees and orders of any Governmental Entity in all material respects.

SECTION 5.27. No Other Representations or Warranties.

(a) Except for the representations and warranties contained in this <u>Article V</u> of this Agreement, the Company acknowledges that neither NRT nor any other Person on behalf of NRT has made, and the Company has not relied upon any representation or warranty, whether express or implied, with respect to NRT or any of the NRT Subsidiaries or their respective businesses, affairs, assets, liabilities, financial condition, results of operations or prospects or with respect to the accuracy or completeness of any other information provided or made available to the Company by or on behalf of NRT. Neither NRT nor any other Person will have or be subject to any liability or indemnification obligation to the Company or any other Person resulting from the distribution in written or verbal communications to the Company, or use by the Company of any such information, including any information, documents, projections, forecasts or other material made available to the Company in online data rooms, confidential information memoranda or management interviews and presentations in expectation of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

(b) In connection with any investigation by the Company of NRT and the NRT Subsidiaries, the Company has received or may receive from NRT and its respective Subsidiaries and/or other Persons or entities on behalf of NRT certain projections, forward-looking statements and other forecasts and certain business plan information in written or verbal communications. The Company acknowledges that there are uncertainties inherent in attempting to make such estimates, projections and other forecasts and plans, that the Company is familiar with such uncertainties, that the Company is taking full responsibility for making its own evaluation of the adequacy and accuracy of all estimates, projections and other forecasts or plans, forecasts or plans), and that the Company shall have no claim against any Person with respect thereto. Accordingly, the Company acknowledges that neither NRT nor any other Person on behalf of either of them makes any representation or warranty with respect to such estimates, projections, forecasts or plans (including the reasonableness of the assumptions underlying such estimates, projections, forecasts or plans (including the reasonableness of the assumptions or warranty with respect to such estimates, projections, forecasts or plans (including the reasonableness of the assumptions underlying such estimates, projections, forecasts or plans (including the reasonableness of the assumptions underlying such estimates, projections, forecasts or plans (including the reasonableness of the assumptions underlying such estimates, projections, forecasts or plans).

ARTICLE VI

CONDUCT OF BUSINESS PENDING THE CLOSING

SECTION 6.01. Conduct of Business by the Company.

(a) Unless NRT shall otherwise consent in writing (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed), and except as otherwise contemplated by this Agreement, during the period commencing on the date hereof and terminating on the earlier to occur of the Effective Time and the termination of this Agreement pursuant to and in accordance with <u>Article</u>

Annex A-62

IX (the <u>Interim Period</u>), the Company shall, and shall cause each Company Subsidiary to, (i) conduct the Company s business in the ordinary course, (ii) use commercially reasonable efforts, subject to the limitations set forth in this Agreement, to keep available the services of the officers and key employees of the Company and its Subsidiaries, and (iii) use commercially reasonable efforts to maintain the assets and properties of the Company and its Subsidiaries in their current condition, normal wear and tear and damage caused by casualty or by any reason outside of the Company s control excepted.

(b) Except as otherwise contemplated by this Agreement or set forth in <u>Section 6.01(b)</u> of the Company Disclosure Schedule, during the Interim Period, the Company shall not, and shall cause its Subsidiaries not to, do or cause to be done any of the following without the prior written consent of NRT (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed):

(i) amend the Company Declaration of Trust or Company By-laws, certificates of limited partnership of the Company Partnerships, Partnership Agreements, or similar organizational or governance documents;

(ii) (A) authorize for issuance, issue or sell or agree or commit to issue or sell (whether through the issuance or granting of options, warrants, commitments, subscriptions, rights to purchase or otherwise) any common shares of beneficial interest (or similar interest) of any class or any other securities or equity equivalents (including, without limitation, share appreciation rights, phantom stock plans or stock equivalents), other than the (1) issuance of Company Common Shares under the Company Share Rights outstanding on the date of this Agreement, (2) issuance of Company Common Shares in exchange for Existing Units pursuant to the Partnership Agreements, (3) issuance of Company Common Shares in connection with the Company s dividend reinvestment plan, including an increase in the size of such plan, (4) issuance of Company Common Shares in connection with the Company s 1994 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, (5) issuance of Company Common Shares in connection with the Company s 1994 Director Stock Purchase Plan, (6) issuance of Company Common Shares upon the conversion of any Series C Preferred Shares under Section 6 of the Amended and Restated Declaration classifying the Series C Preferred Shares, (7) issuance of shares by LSAC in connection with its initial public offering, (8) issuance of equity interests by any of the Company Partnerships in consideration of property acquired by any such Company Partnership pursuant to the terms hereof, (9) issuance of equity interests by LSAC in consideration of property acquired by any LSAC pursuant to the terms hereof, or (7) issuance of partnership units of the Company Partnerships in connection with acquisitions permitted hereunder or (B) repurchase, redeem or otherwise acquire any securities or equity equivalents (including, without limitation, Company Share Rights of the Company or its Subsidiaries) except in connection with the exercise of Company Share Options, the lapse of restrictions on the Company Restricted Shares or the redemption of Existing Units under Section 8.4 of the Partnership Agreements;

Annex A-63

(iii) (A) split, combine or reclassify any common shares of beneficial interest or partnership interests, as the case may be, of the Company, any Joint Venture or the Company Partnerships or (B) declare, set aside or pay any dividend or other distribution (whether in cash, equity securities, shares, or property or any combination thereof and whether or not out of earnings and profits of the Company, any Joint Venture or the Company Partnerships) in respect of any equity securities, common shares of beneficial interest or partnership interests, as the case may be, of the Company, any Joint Venture or the Company Partnerships, except for (1) such distributions as are required pursuant to the Joint Venture Agreements, (2) a one-time distribution of \$0.17 per Company Common Share, and (3) the payment with respect to quarterly periods ending prior to the Closing Date, of (I) regular cash dividends at a rate not in excess of \$0.365 per Company Common Share, declared and paid quarterly, in each case with a record date for each quarterly payment on the last business day of the applicable calendar quarter, and a payment date on the fifteenth day of the next succeeding month (or, in the event such date is not a business day, the next business day occurring thereafter); (II) regular cash dividends at a rate of 8.05% per annum of the \$25 liquidation preference per Series B Preferred Share, payable quarterly in arrears on each February 15, May 15, August 15 and November 15 in respect of the quarterly distribution periods ending on December 31, March 31, June 30 and September 30, respectively, (III) regular cash dividends at a rate of 6.50% per annum of the \$50 liquidation preference per Series C Preferred Share, payable quarterly in arrears on each February 15, May 15, August 15 and November 15 in respect of the quarterly distribution periods ending on December 31, March 31, June 30 and September 30, respectively, (IV) corresponding regular quarterly distributions payable to holders of Company OP Units and to the Company or its Subsidiaries as holder of partnership units of the Company Partnerships, (V) dividends or distributions, declared, set aside or paid by any Company Subsidiary to the Company, and (VI) quarterly distributions in cash or Company Common Shares pursuant to dividend equivalent rights associated with outstanding Company Restricted Shares, in accordance with past practices, it being understood that, in the case of dividends and distributions referenced in (I), (II) and (III), the Company and the Company Partnerships intend to pay quarterly dividends and make distributions for each full fiscal quarter ending prior to the Effective Time and if a dividend or distribution is declared for the partial fiscal quarter, if any, ending on the Effective Time, shall set a record date for such dividends or distribution that is one day prior to the Effective Time payable on a pro rata basis with respect to the portion of such fiscal quarter that has elapsed as of the record date, based on a quarterly dividend of \$.365. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Company and the Company Partnerships (proportionately to all holders of Company OP Units) shall also be permitted to make distributions reasonably necessary for the Company to maintain its status as a REIT under the Code and avoid the imposition of corporate level Tax or excise Tax; provided that in the event NRT or NRT OP makes a distribution pursuant to the last sentence of Section 6.02(b)(iii) in an amount i