Whitestone REIT Form 8-K July 26, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT

Pursuant to Section 13 OR 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported) July 26, 2010

Whitestone REIT

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

000-50256 (Commission File Number) 76-0594970

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

2600 South Gessner, Suite 500, Houston, Texas (Address of principal executive offices)

77063

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (713) 827-9595

Not Applicable (Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

- Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425) []
- [] Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- [] Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
- [] Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Item 2.02. Results of Operations and Financial Condition.

On July 26, 2010 the Registrant issued a press release, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 99.1 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits.

Exhibit 99.1. Press release dated July 26, 2010

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

	(Registrant)
July 26, 2010	/s/ DAVID K. HOLEMAN
(Date)	David K. Holeman
	Chief Financial Officer

tyle="font-size:10.0pt;">\$ (0.2) \$ 199.8 \$ (0.2) \$

7.500% Senior unsecured notes due 2035

199.6

	1,000
)	(14.2
	985.8
)	(9.3
	976.5
5.375% Senior unsecured notes due 2020	
	400
	(1.2
	398.8
	(1.3
	397.5
6.750% Senior unsecured notes due 2040	
	1,100
	(7.8

) 1,092.2 (6.2) 1,086.0 3.500% Senior unsecured notes due 2022 300 (0.8) 299.2 (1.2) 298.0 5.250% Senior unsecured notes due 2042 1,200 (20.9) 1,179.1 (6.9

Edgar Filing: Whitestone REIT - Form 8-K) 1,172.2 9.250% Yankee Bonds due 2028 125 51.1 51.1 Total \$ 4,325 \$ (45.1) 4,206.0 \$ (25.1

\$

3,980.9

NOTE 3 SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS:

Short-term investments were as follows (\$ in millions):

	At June 30, 2015	At December 31, 2014
Trading securities	\$ 569.0	333.7
Weighted average interest rate	0.52%	0.78%
Available for sale	\$ 4.3	4.9
Weighted average interest rate	0.49%	0.44%
Total	\$ 573.3	338.6

Trading securities consist of bonds issued by public companies and are publicly traded. Each financial instrument is independent of the others. The Company has the intention to sell these bonds in the short-term.

Available-for-sale investments consist of securities issued by public companies. Each security is independent of the others and at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, included corporate bonds and asset and mortgage backed obligations. As of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, gross unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities were not material.

Related to these investments the Company earned interest, which was recorded as interest income in the condensed consolidated statement of earnings. Also the Company redeemed some of these securities and recognized gains (losses) due to changes in fair value, which were recorded as other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statement of earnings.

The following table summarizes the activity of these investments by category (in millions):

	Three mont June	ed			Six mont Jun	il	
	2015	2014		2015		2014	
Trading securities:							
Interest earned	\$ 0.2	\$	1.1	\$	0.5	\$	2.5
Unrealized gain (loss) at the end of the							
period	\$ (0.2)	\$	1.7	\$	(0.2)	\$	1.7
Available for sale:							
Interest earned	(*)		(*)		(*)		(*)
Investment redeemed	\$ 0.4	\$	0.2	\$	0.6	\$	0.2

^(*) Less than \$0.1 million

Table of Contents

NOTE 4 - INVENTORIES:

Inventories were as follows:

	At June 30,		ecember 31,
(in millions)	2015		2014
Inventory, current:			
Metals at average cost:			
Finished goods	\$ 101.7	\$	84.6
Work-in-process	427.2		450.5
Supplies at average cost	297.6		301.4
Total current inventory	\$ 826.5	\$	836.5
Inventory, long-term			
Leach stockpiles	\$ 630.7	\$	512.7

During the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 total leaching costs capitalized as long-term inventory of leachable material amounted to \$232.4 million and \$181.2 million, respectively. Leachable material inventories recognized in cost of sales amounted to \$114.0 million and \$79.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

NOTE 5 INCOME TAXES:

The income tax provision and the effective income tax rate for the first six months of 2015 and 2014 were as follows (\$ in millions):

	20	015	2014
Income tax provision	\$	286.2 \$	429.9
Effective income tax rate		33.3%	39.8%

These provisions include income taxes for Peru, Mexico and the United States. Some of the principal reasons for the change in the effective tax rate in the two six-month periods, include the Mexican royalty tax instituted beginning in 2014, and which increased the 2014 rate by approximately 4.6%, the impact on the 2015 rate was 2.6%. In addition, a reduction in dividends from the Company s Mexican operations decreased the 2015 effective rate by 1.3%. Also, the Peru special mining tax had a positive variance in the 2015 period of 0.5%.

Components of the income tax provision for the six month periods of 2015 and 2014 include the following (\$ in millions):

Edgar Filing: Whitestone REIT - Form 8-K

	2015	2014
Statutory income tax provision	\$ 249.8	\$ 361.6
Peruvian royalty	2.9	2.1
Mexican royalty	22.3	46.6
Peruvian special mining tax	11.2	19.6
Total income tax provision	\$ 286.2	\$ 429.9

Peruvian royalty and special mining tax: In 2011, the Peruvian congress approved an amendment to the mining royalty charge. The new mining royalty charge is based on operating income margins with graduated rates ranging from 1% to 12% of operating profits, with a minimum royalty charge assessed at 1% of net sales. If the operating income margin is 10% or less, the royalty charge is 1% and for each 5% increment in the operating income margin, the royalty charge rate increases by 0.75%, up to a maximum of 12%. The minimum royalty charge assessed at 1% of net sales is recorded as cost of sales and those amounts assessed against operating income are included in the income tax provision. The Company has accrued \$13.7 million and \$14.4 million of royalty charge in the first six months of 2015 and 2014, respectively, of which \$2.9 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, were included in income taxes.

Also in 2011, the Peruvian government enacted a special mining tax. This tax is based on operating income and its rate ranges from 2% to 8.4%. It begins at 2% for operating income margin up to 10% and increases by 0.4% of operating income for each additional 5% of operating income until 85% of operating income is reached. The Company has accrued \$11.2 million and \$19.6 million of special mining tax as part of the income tax provision for the first six months of 2015 and 2014, respectively.

<u>Mexican mining royalty</u>: In December 2013, the Mexican government enacted a new law which, among other things, established a mining royalty charge of 7.5% on earnings before taxes as defined by Mexican tax regulations and an additional royalty charge

Table of Contents

of 0.5% over gross income from sales of gold, silver and platinum. The Company has accrued \$22.3 million and \$46.6 million of royalty taxes as part of the income tax provision for the first six months of 2015 and 2014, respectively.

<u>Income tax rate:</u> In 2014, the Peruvian government enacted tax law changes to both the income tax and dividend tax rates that became effective on January 1, 2015. The rate in effect for 2014 was 30%, with a 4.1% dividend tax rate. The new rates are as follows:

Year	Income Tax Rate	Dividend Tax Rate
2015- 2016	28%	6.8%
2017- 2018	27%	8.0%
2019 and later	26%	9.3%

Accounting for uncertainty in income taxes: In the second quarter and first six months of 2015, there were no changes in the Company suncertain tax positions.

NOTE 6 PROVISIONALLY PRICED SALES:

At June 30, 2015, the Company has recorded provisionally priced sales of copper at average forward prices per pound, and molybdenum at the June 30, 2015 market price per pound. These sales are subject to final pricing based on the average monthly copper prices on the London Metal Exchange (LME) or New York Commodities Exchange (COMEX) and Dealer Oxide molybdenum prices in the future month of settlement.

Following are the provisionally priced copper and molybdenum sales outstanding at June 30, 2015:

	Sales volume	Priced at	
	(million lbs.)	(per pound)	Month of settlement
Copper	123.3	\$ 2.62	From July 2015 to October 2015
Molybdenum	17.1	\$ 6.25	From July 2015 to October 2015

During the month of July 2015, the market price of copper and molybdenum decreased. The effect of these changes on sales for the six months of 2015 settling in July 2015 was a reduction of \$11.7 million in sales. Additionally, forward prices for copper as of July 24, 2015 also decreased, the effect of this decrease on the six months of 2015 open sales settling after July 2015 would be a further reduction of \$10.9 million in sales.

NOTE 7 LONG-TERM DEBT:

On April 20, 2015, the Company issued \$2.0 billion of fixed-rate senior unsecured notes. This debt was issued in two tranches, \$500 million due 2025 at an annual interest rate of 3.875% and \$1.5 billion due 2045 at an annual interest rate of 5.875%. These notes will be general unsecured obligations of the Company and will rank equally with all of its existing and future unsecured and unsubordinated debt. Net proceeds will be used for general corporate purposes, including the financing of the Company's capital investment program. The notes were issued with an underwriters discount of \$20.2 million. Additionally, issuance costs of \$9.6 million associated with these notes were paid and deferred. The unamortized balance of the discount and the costs are presented net of the carrying value of the debt issued and are amortized as interest expense over the life of the loan.

NOTE 8 - ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION:

The Company maintains an estimated asset retirement obligation for its mining properties in Peru, as required by the Peruvian Mine Closure Law. In accordance with the requirements of this law the Company's closure plans were approved by the Peruvian Ministry of Energy and Mines (MINEM). As part of the closure plans, the Company is required to provide annual guarantees over the estimated life of the mines, based on a present value approach, and to furnish the funds for the asset retirement obligation. This law requires a review of closing plans every five years. Currently and for the near-term future, the Company has pledged the value of its Lima office complex as support for this obligation. The accepted value of the Lima office building, for this purpose, is \$27.8 million. Through June 2015, the Company has provided guarantees of \$17.9 million. The closure cost recognized for this liability includes the cost, as outlined in its closure plans, of dismantling the Toquepala and Cuajone concentrators, the smelter and refinery in Ilo, and the shops and auxiliary facilities at the three units.

10

Table of Contents

In 2010, the Company announced to the Mexican federal environmental authorities its closure plans for the copper smelter plant at San Luis Potosi. The Company initiated a program for plant demolition and soil remediation with a budget of \$62.4 million, of which the Company has spent \$58.1 million through June 30, 2015. Plant demolition and construction of a confinement area at the south of the property were completed in 2012 and the Company expects to complete soil remediation and the construction of a second confinement area during the third quarter of 2015. The Company expects that once the site is remediated, a decision will be made on whether sell or develop the property.

The overall cost recognized for mining closure in Mexico includes the estimated costs of dismantling concentrators, smelter and refinery plants, shops and other facilities.

The following table summarizes the asset retirement obligation activity for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (in millions):

	2	015	2014
Balance as of January 1	\$	116.1 \$	124.8
Changes in estimates			26.7
Payments		(11.2)	(3.6)
Accretion expense		8.2	3.6
Balance as of June 30,	\$	113.1 \$	151.5

NOTE 9 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS:

The Company has entered into certain transactions in the ordinary course of business with parties that are controlling shareholders or their affiliates. These transactions include the lease of office space, air transportation and construction services and products and services related to mining and refining. The Company lends and borrows funds among affiliates for acquisitions and other corporate purposes. These financial transactions bear interest and are subject to review and approval by senior management, as are all related party transactions. It is the Company s policy that the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors shall review all related party transactions. The Company is prohibited from entering or continuing a material related party transaction that has not been reviewed and approved or ratified by the Audit Committee.

Receivable and payable balances with related parties are shown below (in millions):

	At June 30, 2015	At December 31, 2014
Related parties receivable current:		
Compania Perforadora Mexico S.A.P.I. de C.V. and affiliates	\$ 0.4	\$ 0.2
Grupo Mexico	0.8	0.7
Mexico Generadora de Energia S. de R.L. (MGE)	28.2	31.9
Mexico Proyectos y Desarrollos, S.A. de C.V. and affiliates	0.3	
	\$ 29.7	\$ 32.8
Related parties receivable non-current:		
MGE	\$ 161.2	\$ 161.2

Edgar Filing: Whitestone REIT - Form 8-K

Related parties payable:		
Asarco LLC	\$ 8.3 \$	13.8
Breaker S.A. de C.V. and affiliates (Breaker)	0.1	0.7
Eolica El Retiro, S.A.P.I. de C.V.	0.1	1.6
Ferrocarril Mexicano S.A. de C.V.	0.2	1.8
Grupo Mexico	7.4	2.8
Higher Technology S.A.C.	0.3	0.2
Servicios y Fabricaciones Mecanicas S.A.C.	0.1	
Sempertrans and affiliates	0.2	
MGE	15.2	45.2
Mexico Proyectos y Desarrollos, S.A. de C.V. and affiliates		1.7
Mexico Transportes Aereos S.A. de C.V. (Mextransport)		1.3
	\$ 31.9 \$	69.1

m	. 1		c			
Tal	hl	e	ot	on	itei	nts

Purchase and sale activities:

Grupo Mexico and affiliates:

The following table summarizes the purchase and sale activities with Grupo Mexico and its affiliates in the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (in millions):

	2	015	2014
Purchase activity			
Asarco LLC	\$	14.7	\$ 24.6
Compania Perforadora Mexico S.A.P.I. de C.V and affiliates		0.3	1.9
Eolica El Retiro, S.A.P.I. de C.V.		4.8	
Ferrocarril Mexicano S.A de C.V.		8.2	11.6
Grupo Mexico		6.9	6.9
MGE		62.9	91.7
Mexico Proyectos y Desarrollos, S.A. de C.V. and affiliates		18.6	55.9
Total purchases	\$	116.4	\$ 192.6
Sales activity			
Asarco LLC	\$	71.1	\$ 21.7
Compania Perforadora Mexico S.A.P.I. de C.V and affiliates		0.2	0.3
MGE		39.0	48.8
Mexico Proyectos y Desarrollos, S.A. de C.V. and affiliates		0.4	0.4
Total sales	\$	110.7	\$ 71.2

Grupo Mexico, the parent and the majority indirect stockholder of the Company, and its affiliates provide various services to the Company. These services are primarily related to accounting, legal, tax, financial, treasury, human resources, price risk assessment and hedging, purchasing, procurement and logistics, sales and administrative and other support services. The Company pays Grupo Mexico for these services and expects to continue requiring these services in the future.

The Company s Mexican operations paid fees for freight services provided by Ferrocarril Mexicano S.A de C.V., for construction services provided by Mexico Proyectos y Desarrollo S.A. de C.V. and its affiliates, and for drilling services provided by Compania Perforadora Mexico S.A.P.I. de C.V. All of these companies are subsidiaries of Grupo Mexico.

The Company s Mexican operations purchased scrap and other residual copper mineral from Asarco, and power from MGE. Both companies are subsidiaries of Grupo Mexico.

In 2005, the Company organized MGE, as a subsidiary of Minera Mexico, for the construction of two power plants to supply power to the Company s Mexican operations. In May 2010, the Company s Mexican operations granted a \$350 million line of credit to MGE for the construction of the power plants. That line of credit was due on December 31, 2012 and carried an interest rate of 4.4%. In the first quarter of 2012, Controladora de Infraestructura Energetica Mexico, S. A. de C. V., an indirect subsidiary of Grupo Mexico, acquired 99.999% of MGE

through a capital subscription of 1,928.6 million of Mexican pesos (approximately \$150 million), reducing Minera Mexico s participation to less than 0.001%. As consequence of this change in control, MGE became an indirect subsidiary of Grupo Mexico. Additionally, at the same time, MGE paid \$150 million to the Company s Mexican operations partially reducing the total debt. At December 31, 2012, the outstanding balance of \$184.0 million was restructured as subordinated debt of MGE with an interest rate of 5.75%. MGE will repay its debt to the Company using a percentage of its profits until such time as the debt is satisfied. At June 30, 2015 the remaining balance of the debt was \$161.2 million and was recorded as non-current related party receivable on the condensed consolidated balance sheet. Related to this loan the Company recorded interest income of \$4.7 million in the first six months of 2015 and 2014.

In 2012, the Company signed a power purchase agreement with MGE, whereby MGE will supply some of the Company s Mexican operations with power through 2032. MGE completed construction of its first power plant in June 2013 and the second plant, in the second quarter of 2014. MGE has the authorization for interconnection with the Mexican electrical system to start operations in the second plant. MGE began supplying power to the Company in December 2013. MGE is supplying a portion of its power output to third-party energy users. See also Note 11 Commitments and Contingencies Other commitments .

On August 4, 2014, Mexico Generadora de Energia Eolica S. de R.L. de C.V, an indirect subsidiary of Grupo Mexico, located in Oaxaca, Mexico; acquired Eolica el Retiro. Eolica el Retiro is a windfarm that has 37 wind turbines. This company started

12

Table of Contents

operations in January 2014 and started to sell power to IMMSA and other subsidiaries of Grupo Mexico in the third quarter of 2014.

The Company sold copper cathodes, rod and anodes, as well as sulfuric acid, silver, gold and lime to Asarco. In addition, the Company received fees for building rental and maintenance services provided to Mexico Proyectos y Desarrollos, S.A. de C.V. and its affiliates and to Perforadora Mexico S.A.P.I de C.V., and for natural gas and services provided to MGE, all subsidiaries of Grupo Mexico.

Companies with relationships to the controlling group:

The following tables summarize the purchase and sales activities with other Larrea family companies in the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (in millions):

Mextransport:	201:	5	201	4
Purchase activity	\$	0.1	\$	1.1
Sales activity	\$	0.1	\$	0.1

The Larrea family controls a majority of the capital stock of Grupo Mexico, and has extensive interests in other businesses, including aviation and real estate. The Company engages in certain transactions in the ordinary course of business with other entities controlled by the Larrea family relating to the lease of office space and air transportation.

Companies with relationships to SCC executive officers:

The following table summarizes the purchase activities with companies with relationships to SCC executive officers in the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (in millions):

	201	5	2014
Breaker	\$	0.5 \$	3.6
Higher Technology S.A.C.		0.8	0.8
Pigoba S.A. de C.V.			0.1
Sempertrans and affiliates		0.3	0.8
Servicios y Fabricaciones Mecanicas S.A.C.		0.4	0.7
Total purchases	\$	2.0 \$	6.0

The Company purchased industrial materials from Higher Technology S.A.C and paid fees for maintenance services provided by Servicios y Fabricaciones Mecanicas S.A.C. Mr. Carlos Gonzalez, the son of SCC s Chief Executive Officer, has a proprietary interest in these companies.

The Company purchased industrial material from Sempertrans and its affiliates, which employs Mr. Alejandro Gonzalez as a sales representative. Also, the Company purchased industrial material from Pigoba, S.A. de C.V., a company in which Mr. Alejandro Gonzalez has a proprietary interest. Mr. Alejandro Gonzalez is the son of SCC s Chief Executive Officer.

The Company purchased industrial material and services from Breaker, S.A. de C.V., a company in which Mr. Jorge Gonzalez, son-in-law of SCC s Chief Executive Officer, has a proprietary interest; and from Breaker Peru S.A.C., a company in which Mr. Jorge Gonzalez, son-in-law and Mr. Carlos Gonzalez, son of SCC s Chief Executive Officer have a proprietary interest.

Equity Investment in Affiliate: The Company has a 44.2% participation in Compania Minera Coimolache S.A. (Coimolache), which it accounts for on the equity method. Coimolache owns Tantahuatay, a gold mine located in the northern part of Peru. To support the cost of the development of Tantahuatay, the Company loaned \$56.6 million to Coimolache. The repayment of this loan was completed in August 2014.

It is anticipated that in the future the Company will enter into similar transactions with these same parties.

NOTE 10- BENEFIT PLANS:

Post retirement defined benefit plan:

The Company has two noncontributory defined benefit pension plans covering former salaried employees in the United States and certain former expatriate employees in Peru. Effective October 31, 2000, the Board of Directors amended the qualified pension plan to suspend the accrual of benefits.

13

Table of Contents

In October 2014, the Society of Actuaries (SOA) issued new mortality tables based on a comprehensive study of private retirement plans. Effective December 31, 2014, the Company elected to update the mortality assumption to the new SOA tables.

In addition, the Company s Mexican subsidiaries have a defined contribution pension plan for salaried employees and a non-contributory defined benefit pension plan for union employees.

The components of the net periodic benefit costs for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 are as follows (in millions):

	2015	2014
Service cost	\$ 0.5 \$	0.6
Interest cost	0.5	0.7
Expected return on plan assets	(1.6)	(1.8)
Amortization of transition obligation	(0.2)	(0.2)
Amortization of net loss/(gain)	0.2	(*)
Net periodic benefit costs	\$ (0.6) \$	(0.7)

^(*) amount is lower than \$0.1 million

Post-retirement Health care plan:

Peru: The Company adopted a post-retirement health care plan for retired salaried employees eligible for Medicare in 1996. The Company manages the plan and is currently providing health benefits to retirees. The plan is accounted for in accordance with ASC 715 Compensation retirement benefits .

Mexico: Through 2007, the Buenavista unit provided health care services free of charge to employees and retired unionized employees and their families through its own hospital at the Buenavista unit. In 2011, the Company signed an agreement with the Secretary of Health of the State of Sonora to provide these services to its retired workers and their families. The new workers of Buenavista del Cobre will receive health services from the Mexican Institute of Social Security as is the case for all Mexican workers.

The components of the net periodic benefit cost for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 are as follows (in millions):

	20	015	2014
Interest cost	\$	0.6 \$	0.7
Amortization of net loss (gain)		(0.1)	(0.2)
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)		(*)	(*)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	0.5 \$	0.5

(*) amount is lower than \$0.1 million

NOTE 11 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES:

Environmental matters:

The Company has instituted extensive environmental conservation programs at its mining facilities in Peru and Mexico. The Company s environmental programs include, among others, water recovery systems to conserve water and minimize impact on nearby streams, reforestation programs to stabilize the surface of the tailings dams and the implementation of scrubbing technology in the mines to reduce dust emissions.

Environmental capital expenditures in the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 were as follows (in millions):

	20	15	2014
Peruvian operations	\$	33.1 \$	53.9
Mexican operations		6.9	7.5
	\$	40.0 \$	61.4

14

Table of Contents

Peruvian operations: The Company s operations are subject to applicable Peruvian environmental laws and regulations. The Peruvian government, through the Ministry of Environment (MINAM) conducts annual audits of the Company s Peruvian mining and metallurgical operations. Through these environmental audits, matters related to environmental obligation, compliance with legal requirements, atmospheric emissions, effluent monitoring and waste management are reviewed. The Company believes that it is in material compliance with applicable Peruvian environmental laws and regulations.

Peruvian law requires that companies in the mining industry provide assurances for future closure and reclamation. In accordance with the requirements of this law, the Company s closure plans were approved by MINEM. As part of the closure plans, the Company is providing guarantees to ensure that sufficient funds will be available for the asset retirement obligation. See Note 8 Asset retirement obligation, for further discussion of this matter. In accordance with the requirements of the law, the Company is preparing the closure plan for the Tia Maria project, which will be submitted to MINEM in August 2015.

In 2008, the Peruvian government enacted environmental regulations establishing more stringent air quality standards (AQS) for daily sulfur dioxide (SO2) emissions for the Peruvian territory. These regulations, as amended in 2013, recognize distinct zones/areas, as atmospheric basins. Those areas with a mean 24-hour SO2 concentration equal or less than 20 micrograms per cubic meter (ug/m3) are required to develop programs to maintain this level of compliance. Those areas or cities exceeding the mean 24-hour SO2 concentration of 20 ug/m3 will be required to establish an action plan to address this problem and are required to achieve the 20 ug/m3 AQS in the future. Meanwhile they are required to achieve mean 24-hour AQS equal to 80 ug/m3 of SO2. MINAM has established three atmospheric basins that require further attention to comply with 80ug/m3 of SO2. The Ilo basin is one of these three areas and the Company s smelter and refinery are part of the area. A supreme decree issued on April 8, 2014, indicates that the Company should review its compliance with these regulations and develop a modification plan to reach compliance. The Company continues working with an environmental technical study group, established by a MINAM resolution in order to identify activities, goals, deadlines, timetables and mechanisms for the reduction of sulfur dioxide concentrations in the city of Ilo and develop an action plan to comply with the new air quality standard.

In 2013, the Peruvian government enacted new soil environmental quality standards (SQS) applicable to any existing facility or project that generates or could generate risk of soil contamination in its area of operation or influence. In March 2014, MINAM issued a supreme decree which establishes additional provisions for the gradual implementation of SQS. Under this rule the Company has twelve months to identify contaminated sites in and around its facilities and present a report of identified contaminated sites. If such sites exist, the Company must submit a decontamination plan for approval within 24 months from the date it is notified by the authority. This decontamination plan shall include remediation actions, a schedule and compliance deadlines. Also, under this rule, if deemed necessary, the Company may request a one year extension, given sound justification. Soil confirmation tests must be carried out after completion of decontamination actions (within the approved schedule) and results must be presented to the authorities within 30 days after receiving such results. Non-compliance with this obligation or with decontamination goals will carry penalties, although no specific sanctions have yet been established. During compliance schedule, companies cannot be penalized for non-compliance with the SQS. In the fourth quarter of 2014, the Company selected the consultant to carry out soil samplings, studies and other requirements of the rules. These studies were completed in the first quarter of 2015 and submitted to MINEM. As of June 30, 2015, the Company is awaiting response from MINEM.

Mexican operations: The Company s operations are subject to applicable Mexican federal, state and municipal environmental laws, to Mexican official standards, and to regulations for the protection of the environment, including regulations relating to water supply, water quality, air quality, noise levels and hazardous and solid waste.

The principal legislation applicable to the Company s Mexican operations is the Federal General Law of Ecological Balance and Environmental Protection (the General Law), which is enforced by the Federal Bureau of Environmental Protection (PROFEPA). PROFEPA monitors compliance with environmental legislation and enforces Mexican environmental laws, regulations and official standards. PROFEPA may initiate administrative proceedings against companies that violate environmental laws, which in the most extreme cases may result in the temporary or permanent closing of non-complying facilities, the revocation of operating licenses and/or other sanctions or fines. Also, according to the federal criminal code, PROFEPA must inform corresponding authorities regarding environmental non-compliance.

In 2011, the General Law was amended, giving an individual or entity the ability to contest administrative acts, including environmental authorizations, permits or concessions granted, without the need to demonstrate the actual existence of harm to the environment because it will be sufficient to argue that the harm may be caused. In addition, in 2011, amendments to the Civil Federal Procedures Code (CFPC) were enacted. These amendments establish three categories of collective actions by means of which 30 or more people claiming injury derived from environmental, consumer protection, financial services and economic competition issues will be considered to be sufficient in order to have a legitimate interest to seek through a civil procedure restitution or economic compensation or suspension of the activities from which the alleged injury derived. The amendments to

Table of Contents

the CFPC may result in more litigation, with plaintiffs seeking remedies, including suspension of the activities alleged to cause harm.

In 2013, the Environmental Liability Federal Law was enacted. The law establishes general guidelines in order to determine which environmental actions will be considered to cause environmental harm that will give rise to administrative responsibilities (remediation or compensations), criminal responsibilities as well as monetary fines.

On August 6, 2014, an accidental spill of approximately 40,000 cubic meters of copper sulfate solution occurred at a leaching pond that was under construction ten kilometers away from the mine of Buenavista del Cobre, S.A. de C.V. (BVC) a subsidiary of the Company. The accident was caused by a rock collapse that affected the system s pumping station and by a construction defect in the seal of a pipe in the leaching system containment dam, a part of the new SX-EW III plant. This solution reached the Bacanuchi River and the Sonora River. All the immediate actions were properly taken in order to contain the spill, and to comply with all the legal requirements.

The National Water Commission (Comision Nacional del Agua CONAGUA), the Federal Commission for the Protection against Sanitary Risks (Comisión Federal para la Protección de Riesgos Sanitarios COFEPRIS) and PROFEPA initiated administrative proceedings regarding the spill to determine possible environmental and health damages.

On August 19, 2014, PROFEPA, as part of the administrative proceeding initiated after the spill, announced the filing of a criminal complaint against BVC in order to determine, in such a case, the responsibility for the environmental damages. The criminal complaint filed by PROFEPA against BVC is in the procedural stages. The Company is vigorously defending against it.

On September 15, 2014, BVC executed an administrative agreement with PROFEPA, providing for the presentation to the Mexican Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources (Secretaria de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales SEMARNAT) of a remedial action plan, the remediation program was submitted to SEMARNAT on November 27, 2014 and approved on January 6, 2015. This program will be developed in five zones along the rivers. As of June 30, 2015, work on zone one of the remediation program was completed; studies of zones two through four have been completed and a program for each particular zone was submitted to SEMARNAT for approval.

The Company also created a trust with Nacional Financiera S.N.C., a Mexican development bank, acting as a Trustee to serve as a vehicle to support environmental remedial actions in connection with the spill, to comply with the remedial action plan and to compensate for damages caused to persons adversely affected by the spill. The Company committed up to two billion Mexican pesos (approximately \$150 million) of which approximately one billion Mexican pesos have already been contributed. A technical committee of the trust was created with representatives from the federal government, the Company and specialists assisted by a team of environmental experts to ensure the proper use of the funds. Along with the administrative agreement executed with PROFEPA, the trust serves as an alternative mechanism for dispute resolution to mitigate public and private litigation risks.

Independently of the execution of the administrative agreement with PROFEPA and the creation of the above mentioned trust, since the first day of the copper solution spill, the Company has taken actions to clean the sites. On August 29, 2014, the Company hired contractors to clean the river utilizing more than 1,200 of their workers and environmental specialists.

In addition, the Company developed a service program for the residents of the Sonora River Region, considering (i) water distribution provision, and infrastructure development within the affected region, (ii) the expansion of the current Community Development program to communities further downstream that were affected and previously not within the scope of the Company's program, (iii) attention to local farmers and producers in coordination with the Federal Agriculture, Livestock, Rural Development, Fisheries, and Alimentations Ministry in order to revamp and promote the activities of local farmers and producers, (iv) the implementation of sustainable productive projects at each affected site, as well as (v) the establishment of service desks to attend specific cases.

On March 2, 2015 as a result of four administrative proceedings, PROFEPA imposed administrative fines to BVC for an aggregate amount of 23.5 million Mexican pesos (approximately \$1.7 million). Other authorities may impose additional sanctions or fines, including the cost of clean-up and remediation of the sites, as well as economic compensation to people who provide evidence that they suffered direct damages from the spill.

The Company has also been served with six collective action lawsuits and seven civil actions seeking damages for injuries related to the spill. The Company considers that those lawsuits are without merit and is vigorously defending against them.

During the last half of 2014 and the first half of 2015, six collective action lawsuits were filed in federal courts in Mexico City and Sonora against two subsidiaries of the Company seeking damages for alleged injuries and the repair of environmental impact caused by the spill. The plaintiffs who filed the lawsuits are: Acciones Colectivas de Sinaloa, A.C. (2); Filiberto Navarro Soto et al; Defensa Colectiva A.C.; Ismael Navarro Babuca et al; Ana Luisa Salazar Medina et al. Similarly, during the first half of 2015, four civil action lawsuits were filed against BVC in the state courts of Sonora seeking damages for alleged injuries and for moral damages as a consequence of the spill. The plaintiffs for the state court lawsuits are: José Vicente Arriola Nuñez et al; Santana Ruiz Molina et al; Andres Nogales Romero et al; Teodoro Javier Robles et al. For a description of collective actions in Mexico we refer to the 2011 amendments to the CFPC described above. It is currently not possible to determine the extent of the damages sought in these state and federal lawsuits but the Company considers that these lawsuits are without merit and the Company and its subsidiaries are vigorously defending against them.

The Company reasonably considers that none of the legal proceedings resulting from the spill, individually or in the aggregate, would have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

As of June 30, 2015, BVC estimated the contingent liability at \$97.3 million, of which \$16.4 million was paid prior to the establishment of the trust, and approximately one billion Mexican pesos (approximately \$74.9 million) was deposited in the trust.

m	. 1		c			
Tal	hl	e	ot	on	itei	nts

These funds have been available and have been used to compensate claims as they have arisen. This deposit was classified as restricted cash and was recorded as an operating expense in the Company s results.

The Company does not expect that legal proceedings resulting from the spill, individually or in the aggregate, would have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

The Company believes that all of its facilities in Peru and Mexico are in material compliance with applicable environmental, mining and other laws and regulations.

The Company also believes that continued compliance with environmental laws of Mexico and Peru will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, properties, result of operations, financial condition or prospects and will not result in material capital investments.

Litigation matters:

Garcia Ataucuri and Others against SCC s Peruvian Branch:

In April 1996, the Branch was served with a complaint filed in Peru by Mr. Garcia Ataucuri and approximately 900 former employees seeking the delivery of a substantial number of labor shares (acciones laborales) plus dividends on such shares, to be issued to each former employee in proportion to their time of employment with SCC s Peruvian Branch, pursuant to a former Peruvian mandated profit sharing law.

The labor share litigation is based on claims of former employees for ownership of labor shares that the plaintiffs state that the Branch did not issue during the 1970s until 1979 under such former Peruvian mandated profit sharing law. In 1971, the Peruvian government enacted legislation providing that mining workers would have a 10% participation in the pre-tax profits of their employing enterprises. This participation was distributed 40% in cash and 60% in an equity interest of the enterprise. In 1978, the equity portion, which was originally delivered to a mining industry workers organization, was set at 5.5% of pre-tax profits and was delivered, mainly in the form of labor shares to individual workers. The cash portion was set at 4.0% of pre-tax earnings and was delivered to individual employees also in proportion to their time of employment with the Branch. In 1992, the workers participation was set at 8%, with 100% payable in cash and the equity participation was eliminated from the law.

In relation to the issuance of labor shares by the Branch in Peru, the Branch is a defendant in the following lawsuits:

Mr. Garcia Ataucuri seeks delivery, to himself and each of the approximately 900 former employees of the Peruvian Branch, of the 3,876,380,679.65 old soles or 38,763,806.80 labor shares (acciones laborales), as required by Decree Law 22333 (a former profit sharing law), to be issued proportionally to each former employee in accordance

with the time of employment of such employee with SCC s Branch in Peru, plus dividends on such shares. The 38,763,806.80 labor shares sought in the complaint, with a face value of 100.00 old soles each, represent 100% of the labor shares issued by the Branch during the 1970s until 1979 for all of its employees during that period. The plaintiffs do not represent 100% of the Branch s eligible employees during that period.

It should be noted that the lawsuit refers to a prior Peruvian currency called sol de oro or old soles, which was later changed to the inti , and then into today s nuevo sol . Due to a past period of high inflation between 1985 and 1990, one billion of old soles is equivalent to today s one nuevo sol.

After lengthy proceedings before the civil courts in Peru on September 19, 2001, on appeal by the Branch, the Peruvian Supreme Court annulled the proceedings noting that the civil courts lacked jurisdiction and that the matter had to be decided by a labor court (the 2000 appeal).

In October 2007, in a separate proceeding initiated by the plaintiffs, the Peruvian Constitutional Court nullified the September 19, 2001 Peruvian Supreme Court decision and ordered the Supreme Court to decide again on the merits of the case accepting or denying the 2000 appeal.

In May 2009, the Supreme Court rejected the 2000 appeal of the Branch affirming the adverse decision of the appellate civil court and lower civil court. While the Supreme Court has ordered SCC s Peruvian Branch to deliver the labor shares and dividends, it has clearly stated that SCC s Peruvian Branch may prove, by all legal means, its assertion that the labor shares and dividends were distributed to the former employees in accordance with the profit sharing law then in effect, an assertion which SCC s Peruvian Branch continues to make. None of the court decisions state the manner by which the Branch must comply with the delivery of such labor shares or make a liquidation of the amount to be paid for past dividends and interest, if any.

Table of Contents

On June 9, 2009, SCC s Peruvian Branch filed a proceeding of relief before a civil court in Peru seeking the nullity of the 2009 Supreme Court decision and, in a separate proceeding, a request for a precautionary measure. The civil court rendered a favorable decision on the nullity and the precautionary measure, suspending the enforcement of the Supreme Court decision, for the reasons indicated above and other reasons. In February 2012, the Branch was notified that the civil court had reversed its prior decisions. On appeal by the Peruvian Branch the Superior Court affirmed the lower court s decisions regarding the nullity of the 2009 Supreme Court decision and the precautionary measure. As a result, the nullity of the precautionary measure became final and is not appealable. However, the nullity of the 2009 Supreme Court decision was appealed by the Branch before the Constitutional Court. On April 10, 2014, the Constitutional Court denied the Company s appeal and affirmed the lower court s decision. In view of this, SCC s Peruvian Branch continues to analyze the manner in which the competent lower court will enforce the Supreme Court s decision and its financial impact.

In addition, there are filed against SCC s Branch the following lawsuits, involving approximately 800 plaintiffs, which seek the same number of labor shares as in the Garcia Ataucuri case, plus interest, labor shares resulting from capital increases and dividends: (1) Armando Cornejo Flores and others v. SCC s Peruvian Branch (filed May 10, 2006); (2) Alejandro Zapata Mamani and others v. SCC s Peruvian Branch (filed June 27, 2008); (3) Edgardo Garcia Ataucuri, in representation of 216 of SCC s Peruvian Branch former workers, v. SCC s Peruvian Branch (filed May 2011); (4) Juan Guillermo Oporto Carpio v. SCC s Peruvian Branch (filed August 2011); (5) Rene Mercado Caballero v. SCC s Peruvian Branch (filed November 2011); (6) Enrique Salazar Alvarez and others v. SCC s Peruvian Branch (filed December 2011; (7) Armando Cornejo Flores, in representation of 37 of SCC s Peruvian Branch former workers v. SCC s Peruvian Branch (filed March 2012), (8) Porfirio Ochochoque Mamani and others v. SCC s Peruvian Branch (filed July 2012); (9) Alfonso Claudio Flores Jimenez and others v. SCC s Peruvian Branch (filed July 2013); (10) Edgardo García Ataucuri in representation of 104 of SCC's Peruvian Branch former workers (filed March 2015); (11) Nicolas Aurelio Sueros Benavente v. SCC s Peruvian Branch (filed May 2015) and (12) Victor Raul Marquez Cano v. SCC s Peruvian Branch (filed June 2015). SCC s Peruvian Branch has answered the complaints and denied the validity of the claims.

SCC s Peruvian Branch asserts that the labor shares were distributed to the former employees in accordance with the profit sharing law then in effect. The Peruvian Branch has not made a provision for these lawsuits because it believes that it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted in the complaints. Additionally, the amount of this contingency cannot be reasonably estimated by management at this time.

The Virgen Maria Mining Concessions of the Tia Maria Mining Project

The Tia Maria project includes various mining concessions, totaling 32,989.64 hectares. One of the concessions is the Virgen Maria mining concession totaling 943.72 hectares or 2.9% of the total mining concessions.

Related to the Virgen Maria mining concessions, the Company is party to the following lawsuits:

a) Exploraciones de Concesiones Metalicas S.A.C. (Excomet): In August 2009, a lawsuit was filed against SCC s Branch by the former stockholders of Excomet. The plaintiffs allege that the acquisition of Excomet s shares by the

Branch is null and void because the \$2 million purchase price paid by the Branch for the shares of Excomet was not fairly negotiated by the plaintiffs and the Branch. In 2005, the Branch acquired the shares of Excomet after lengthy negotiations with the plaintiffs, and after the plaintiffs, which were all the stockholders of Excomet, approved the transaction in a general stockholders meeting. Excomet was at the time owner of the Virgen Maria mining concession. In October 2011, the civil court dismissed the case on the grounds that the claim had been barred by the statute of limitations. On appeal by the plaintiffs, the superior court reversed the lower court s decision and remanded it to the lower court for further proceedings. As of June 30, 2015, the case remains pending resolution without further developments.

b) Sociedad Minera de Responsabilidad Limitada Virgen Maria de Arequipa (SMRL Virgen Maria): In August 2010, a lawsuit was filed against SCC s Branch and others by SMRL Virgen Maria, a company which until July 2003 owned the mining concession Virgen Maria. SMRL Virgen Maria sold this mining concession in July 2003 to Excomet (see a) above). The plaintiff alleges that the sale of the mining concession Virgen Maria to Excomet is null and void because the persons who attended the shareholders meeting of SMRL Virgen Maria, at which the purchase was agreed upon, were not the real owners of the shares. The plaintiff is also pursuing the nullity of all the subsequent acts regarding the mining property (acquisition of the shares of Excomet by SCC s Branch, noted above, and the sale of this concession to SCC s Branch by Excomet). In October 2011, the civil court dismissed the case on the grounds that the claim had been barred by the statute of limitations. Upon appeal by the plaintiffs, the superior court remanded the proceedings to the lower court, ordering the issuance of a new decision. On June 25, 2013, the lower court dismissed the case due to procedural defects. Upon appeal by the plaintiff, on December 2, 2013 the Superior Court reversed the lower court s decision due to procedural defects and

Table of Contents

ordered the issuance of a new resolution. In July 2014, once again the lower court dismissed the case on the grounds that the claim had barred by the statute of limitations. The plaintiff appealed this resolution before the Superior Court. On December 30, 2014, the Superior Court affirmed the lower court s decision. The plaintiff filed an extraordinary appeal before the Supreme Court. As of June 30, 2015, the case remains pending resolution without further developments.

c) Omar Nunez Melgar: In May 2011, Mr. Omar Nunez Melgar commenced a lawsuit against the Peruvian Mining and Metallurgical Institute and MINEM challenging the denial of his request of a new mining concession that conflicted with SCC s Branch s Virgen Maria mining concession. SCC s Branch has been made a party to the proceedings as the owner of the Virgen Maria concession. SCC s Branch has answered the complaint and denied the validity of the claim. In March 2015, the Civil Court dismissed the case. The plaintiff did not appeal the Court's decision. The case concluded in favor of the Company.

The Company asserts that the lawsuits are without merit and is vigorously defending against these lawsuits.

Special Regional Pasto Grande Project (Pasto Grande Project)

In the last quarter of 2012, the Pasto Grande Project, an entity of the Regional Government of Moquegua, filed a lawsuit against SCC s Peruvian Branch alleging property rights over a certain area used by the Peruvian Branch and seeking the demolition of the tailings dam where SCC s Peruvian Branch has deposited its tailings from the Toquepala and Cuajone operations since 1995. The Peruvian Branch has had title to use the area in question since 1960 and has constructed and operated the tailing dams also with proper governmental authorization, since 1995. SCC s Peruvian Branch asserts that the lawsuit is without merit and is vigorously defending against the lawsuit. Upon a motion filed by the Peruvian Branch, the lower court has included the MINEM as a defendant in this lawsuit. MINEM has answered the complaint and denied the validity of the claim. As of June 30, 2015, the case remains pending resolution without further developments.

Labor matters:

<u>Peruvian operations</u>: Approximately 70% of the Company s 4,603 Peruvian workers were unionized at June 30, 2015. Currently, there are five separate unions, one main union and four smaller unions. In the second quarter of 2015, two of the main unions, which formerly represented the Ilo and Cuajone workers, and one of the minor union, which formerly represented some Toquepala workers, merged into one new main union. The other four smaller unions represent the balance of workers. The Company s collective bargaining agreements with all of these unions will expire in the second half of 2015. The Company expects to begin negotiations for new agreements in the third quarter of 2015.

<u>Mexican operations</u>: In recent years, the Mexican operations have experienced a positive improvement of their labor environment, as its workers, opted to change their affiliation from the Sindicato Nacional de Trabajadores Mineros,

Metalurgicos y Similares de la Republica Mexicana (the National Mining Union) led by Napoleon Gomez Urrutia to other less politicized unions.

However, the workers of the San Martin and Taxco mines, who are still under the National Mining Union, have been on strike since July 2007. On December 10, 2009, a federal court confirmed the legality of the San Martin strike. In order to recover the control of the San Martin mine and resume operations, the Company filed a court petition on January 27, 2011 requesting that the court, among other things, define the termination payment for each unionized worker. The court denied the petition alleging that, according to federal labor law, the union was the only legitimate party to file such petition. On appeal by the Company, on May 13, 2011, the Mexican federal tribunal accepted the petition. In July 2011, the National Mining Union appealed the favorable court decision before the Supreme Court. On November 7, 2012, the Supreme Court affirmed the decision of the federal tribunal. The Company filed a new proceeding before the labor court on the basis of the Supreme Court decision, which recognized the right of the labor court to define responsibility for the strike and the termination payment for each unionized worker. A favorable decision of the labor court in this new proceeding would have the effect of terminating the protracted strike at San Martin. As of June 30, 2015, the case remains pending resolution without further developments.

In the case of the Taxco mine, following the workers refusal to allow exploration of new reserves, the Company commenced litigation seeking to terminate the labor relationship with workers of the Taxco mine (including the related collective bargaining agreement). On September 1, 2010, the federal labor court issued a ruling approving the termination of the collective bargaining agreement and all the individual labor contracts of the workers affiliated with the Mexican mining union at the Taxco mine. The mining union appealed the labor court ruling before a federal court. In September 2011, the federal court accepted the union s

Table of Contents

appeal and requested that the federal labor court review the procedure. After several legal proceedings on January 25, 2013, the Company filed a new proceeding before the labor court. On June 16, 2014, the labor court denied the petition of the Company. The resolution issued by the labor court was challenged by the Company before a federal court. Considering the above decision of the Supreme Court, there could be grounds for a favorable decision to end the protracted strike at the Taxco Unit. As of June 30, 2015, the case remains pending resolution without further developments.

It is expected that operations at these mines will remain suspended until these labor issues are resolved.

In view of these lengthy strikes, the Company has reviewed the carrying value of the San Martin and Taxco mines to ascertain whether impairment exists. The Company concluded that there is a non-material impairment of the assets located at these mines.

Other legal matters:

The Company is involved in various other legal proceedings incidental to its operations, but the Company does not believe that decisions adverse to it in any such proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, would have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

Other commitments:

Peruvian Operations

Tia Maria:

On August 1, 2014, the Company received the final approval of Tia Maria's Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA). However, the issuance of the project's construction permit has been delayed pending the resolution of certain differences with community groups. The Peruvian government has recommended the establishment of a development dialogue roundtable for the resolution of these differences. In response to the communities concerns, the government has taken actions to restore calm in the area and the Company has suspended activities in the Tambo valley to allow for a cooling-off period. In addition, the Company has launched a multi-media public relations campaign in order to explain the project and its significant benefits to nearby communities and other stakeholders. The Company believes that these efforts are bringing positive results. The project budget is \$1.4 billion, of which \$359.4 million has been expended through June 30, 2015. When completed, Tia Maria is expected to produce 120,000 tons of copper cathodes per year.

In view of the delay in this project, the Company has reviewed the carrying value of this asset to ascertain whether impairment exists. Should the Tia Maria project not be restarted, the Company is confident that most of the project equipment will continue to be used productively, through

reassignment to other mine locations operated by the Company. The Company believes that an impairment loss, if any, will not be material.
In connection with the Tia Maria project, in 2014 the Company established an S/.100 million fund (approximately \$33 million) for the benefit of social and infrastructure improvements in the neighboring communities to Tia Maria.
Toquepala Concentrator Expansion:
In April 2015, the construction permit for the Toquepala expansion project was approved by the MINEM. The project budget is \$1.2 billion, of which \$354.6 million has been expended through June 30, 2015. When completed, this expansion project is expected to increase annual production capacity by 100,000 tons of copper and 3,100 tons of molybdenum.
In connection with this project, the Company has committed to fund various social and infrastructure improvement projects in Toquepala s neighboring communities. The total amount committed for these purposes is S/.445.0 million (approximately \$143 million).
Power purchase agreements
• Enersur: In 1997, SCC signed a power purchase agreement with an independent power company, Enersur S.A. under which SCC agreed to purchase all of its power needs for its current Peruvian operations from Enersur for twenty years, through April 2017.
• Electroperu S.A.: In June 2014, the Company signed a power purchase agreement for 120 megawatt (MW) with the state power company Electroperu S.A., under which Electroperu S.A. will supply energy for the Peruvian

20

operations for twenty years starting on April 17, 2017 and ending on April 30, 2037.

)

Table of Contents

• <i>Kallpa Generacion S.A.</i> (<i>Kallpa</i>): In July 2014, the Company signed a power purchase agreement for 120MW with Kallpa, an independent Israeli owned power company, under which Kallpa will supply energy for the Peruvian operations for ten years starting on April 17, 2017 and ending on April 30, 2027.
Mexican operations
Power purchase agreement - MGE
MGE, a subsidiary of Grupo Mexico, has completed the construction of the two power plants in Mexico designed to supply power to some of the Company's Mexican operations. It is expected that MGE will supply approximately 12% of its power output to third-party energy users. These plants are natural gas-fired combined cycle power generating units, with a net total capacity of 516.2 megawatts. In 2012, the Company signed a power purchase agreement with MGE through 2032. The first plant was completed in June 2013 and the second, in the second quarter of 2014. MGE has the authorization for the interconnection with the Mexican electrical system to start operations at the second plant. The first plant began to supply power to the Company in December 2013, and the second plant began to supply power in June 2015.
Commitment for Capital projects
As of June 30, 2015, the Company has committed approximately \$260.8 million for the development of its capital investment projects at its Mexican operations.
Tax contingency matters:
Tax contingencies are provided for under ASC 740-10-50-15 Uncertain tax position (see Note 5 Income taxes).
NOTE 12 SEGMENT AND RELATED INFORMATION:
Company management views Southern Copper as having three reportable segments and manages it on the basis of these segments. The reportable segments identified by the Company are: the Peruvian operations, the Mexican open-pit operations and the Mexican underground mining operations segment identified as the IMMSA unit.

The three reportable segments identified are groups of mines, each of which constitute an operating segment, with similar economic characteristics, type of products, processes and support facilities, similar regulatory environments, similar employee bargaining contracts and similar currency risks. In addition, each mine within the individual group earns revenues from similar type of customers for their products and services and each group incurs expenses independently, including commercial transactions between groups.

Financial information is regularly prepared for each of the three segments and the results of the Company s operations are regularly reported to Senior Management on the segment basis. Senior Management of the Company focus on operating income and on total assets as measures of performance to evaluate different segments and to make decisions to allocate resources to the reported segments. These are common measures in the mining industry.

Table of Contents

Financial information relating to Southern Copper s segments is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2015 (in millions)									
		Mexican Open-pit	IN	Mexican MMSA Unit		Peruvian Operations		Corporate, other and eliminations	C	onsolidated
Net sales outside of segments	\$	733.6	\$	87.0	\$	562.3			\$	1,382.9
Intersegment sales				15.5			\$	(15.5)		
Cost of sales (exclusive of										
depreciation, amortization and										
depletion)		299.6		77.6		339.6		(9.9)		706.9
Selling, general and administrative		11.6		1.5		10.0		1.9		25.0
Depreciation, amortization and										
depletion		60.4		7.9		57.4		(0.4)		125.3
Exploration		1.0		2.9		3.6		4.6		12.1
Environmental remediation		10.5								10.5
Operating income	\$	350.5	\$	12.6	\$	151.7	\$	(11.7)		503.1
Less:										
Interest, net										(51.1)
Other income (expense)										(1.5)
Income taxes										(157.1)
Equity earnings of affiliate										2.6
Non-controlling interest										(1.3)
Net income attributable to SCC									\$	294.7
Capital expenditure	\$	202.2	\$	8.8	\$	67.3	\$	6.6	\$	284.9
Property, net	\$	4,662.5	\$	392.1	\$	2,569.1	\$	68.0	\$	7,691.7
Total assets	\$	7,066.2	\$	837.1	\$	3,646.6	\$	1,798.7	\$	13,348.6

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014 (in millions)									
		Mexican Open-pit		Mexican IMSA Unit	(Peruvian Operations		orporate, other ad eliminations	Co	onsolidated
Net sales outside of segments	\$	772.1	\$	87.7	\$	627.6			\$	1,487.4
Intersegment sales				22.5			\$	(22.5)		
Cost of sales (exclusive of										
depreciation, amortization and										
depletion)		312.1		83.0		347.1		(15.6)		726.6
Selling, general and administrative		9.1		3.9		11.2		1.2		25.4
Depreciation, amortization and										
depletion		54.9		7.8		48.4		5.0		116.1
Exploration		0.8		7.4		5.8		8.1		22.1
Operating income	\$	395.2	\$	8.1	\$	215.1	\$	(21.2)		597.2
Less:										
Interest, net										(33.2)
Other income (expense)										(5.7)
Income taxes										(225.8)
Equity earnings of affiliate										5.9
Non-controlling interest										(1.1)
Net income attributable to SCC									\$	337.3
Capital expenditure	\$	252.3	\$	9.2	\$	97.6	\$	1.0	\$	360.1
Property, net	\$	3,918.8	\$	379.8	\$	2,503.8	\$	131.3	\$	6,933.7

Total assets \$ 5,976.8 \$ 896.6 \$ 3,431.3 \$ 1,203.2 \$ 11,507.9

22

Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 (in millions)

	Mexican Open-pit	Mexican IMMSA Unit	•	Peruvian Operations	_	orate, other liminations	Co	onsolidated
Net sales outside of segments	\$ 1,397.8	\$ 177.4	\$	1,082.5			\$	2,657.7
Intersegment sales	34.4	\$ (34.4)						
Cost of sales (exclusive of								
depreciation, amortization and								
depletion)	596.4	167.5		642.6		(19.8)		1,386.7
Selling, general and administrative	20.9	3.4		20.3		5.2		49.8
Depreciation, amortization and								
depletion	114.5	15.6		110.3		1.8		242.2
Exploration	1.8	5.2		6.3		9.1		22.4
Environmental remediation	16.5							16.5
Operating income	\$ 647.7	\$ 20.1	\$	303.0	\$	(30.7)		940.1
Less:								
Interest, net								(74.2)
Other income (expense)								(5.4)
Income taxes								(286.2)
Equity earnings of affiliate								5.4
Non-controlling interest								(2.6)
Net income attributable to SCC							\$	577.1
Capital expenditure	\$ 363.9	\$ 15.4	\$	120.5	\$	7.9	\$	507.7
Property, net	\$ 4,662.5	\$ 392.1	\$	2,569.1	\$	68.0	\$	7,691.7
Total assets	\$ 7,066.2	\$ 837.1	\$	3,646.6	\$	1,798.7	\$	13,348.6

Six Months Ended June 30, 2014 (in millions)

		M	(III IIIIIIIIIII)			
	Mexican Open-pit	Mexican IMMSA Unit	Peruvian Operations	orporate, other nd eliminations	Co	onsolidated
Net sales outside of segments	\$ 1,420.3	\$ 183.6	\$ 1,237.9		\$	2,841.8
Intersegment sales		46.9		\$ (46.9)		
Cost of sales (exclusive of						
depreciation, amortization and						
depletion)	541.6	167.4	670.2	(10.7)		1,368.5
Selling, general and administrative	17.8	7.6	22.4	2.1		49.9
Depreciation, amortization and						
depletion	109.5	15.6	95.6	5.8		226.5
Exploration	1.7	12.4	7.7	14.9		36.7
Operating income	\$ 749.7	\$ 27.5	\$ 442.0	\$ (59.0)		1,160.2
Less:						
Interest, net						(69.3)
Other income (expense)						(9.9)
Income taxes						(429.9)
Equity earnings of affiliate						11.8
Non-controlling interest						(2.3)
Net income attributable to SCC					\$	660.6
Capital expenditure	\$ 520.0	\$ 18.2	\$ 155.4	\$ 3.4	\$	697.0
Property, net	\$ 3,918.8	\$ 379.8	\$ 2,503.8	\$ 131.3	\$	6,933.7
Total assets	\$ 5,976.8	\$ 896.6	\$ 3,431.3	\$ 1,203.2	\$	11,507.9

NOTE 13 STOCKHOLDERS'EQUITY:

Treasury Stock:

Activity in treasury stock in the six-month period ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 is as follows (in millions):

	2015		2014
Southern Copper common shares			
Balance as of January 1,	\$	1,693.5 \$	1,011.0
Purchase of shares		414.6	65.5
Used for corporate purposes		(0.4)	(0.3)
Balance as of June 30,		2,107.7	1,076.2
Parent Company (Grupo Mexico) common shares			
Balance as of January 1,		207.2	205.6
Other activity, including dividend, interest and currency translation effect		(2.5)	8.8
Balance as of June 30,		204.7	214.4
Treasury stock balance as of June 30,	\$	2,312.4 \$	1,290.6

The following table summarizes share distributions in the first six months of 2015 and 2014:

	2015	2014
Southern Copper common shares		
Directors Stock Award Plan	13,200	12,000
Parent Company (Grupo Mexico) common shares		
Employee stock purchase plan (shares in millions)	0.2	0.7

Southern Copper Common Shares:

At June 30, 2015 and 2014, there were in treasury 86,556,843 and 51,591,147 SCC s common shares, respectively.

SCC share repurchase program:

In 2008, the Company s Board of Directors (BOD) authorized a \$500 million share repurchase program that has since been increased by the BOD and is currently authorized to \$3 billion. Pursuant to this program, the Company purchased common stock as shown in the table below.

These shares are available for general corporate purposes. The Company may purchase additional shares of its common stock from time to time, based on market conditions and other factors. This repurchase program has no expiration date and may be modified or discontinued at any time.

From	Period To	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan @ \$29.41 (*)	tal Cost
2008	2012	46,914,486	\$ 18.72	46,914,486		\$ 878.1
2013		10,245,000	27.47	57,159,486		281.4
2014		22,711,428	30.06	79,870,914		682.8
2015						
01/01/15	01/31/15	5,927,154	27.12	85,798,068		160.7
02/01/15	02/28/15	2,590,076	29.45	88,388,144		76.3
03/01/15	03/31/15	4,563,649	29.16	92,951,793		133.1
Total first quarter		13,080,879	29.29			370.1
04/01/15	04/30/15	1,511,200	29.42	94,462,993		44.5
Total second						
quarter		1,511,200	29.42			44.5
					25,269,210	
Total purchased		94,462,993	\$ 23.89			\$ 2,256.9

^(*) NYSE closing price of SCC common shares at June 30, 2015.

Table of Contents

As a result of the repurchase of shares of SCC s common stock, Grupo Mexico s direct and indirect ownership was 86.1% as of June 30, 2015.

Directors Stock Award Plan:

The Company established a stock award compensation plan for certain directors who are not compensated as employees of the Company. Under this plan, participants will receive 1,200 shares of common stock upon election and 1,200 additional shares following each annual meeting of stockholders thereafter. 600,000 shares of Southern Copper common stock have been reserved for this plan. The fair value of the award is measured each year at the date of the grant.

The activity of the plan in the six-month period ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 was as follows:

	2015	2014
Total SCC shares reserved for the plan	600,000	600,000
•		
Total shares granted at January 1,	(309,600)	(297,600)
Granted in the period	(13,200)	(12,000)
Total shares granted at June 30,	(322,800)	(309,600)
Remaining shares reserved	277,200	290,400

Parent Company common shares:

At June 30, 2015 and 2014 there were in treasury 93,837,013 and 72,078,019 of Grupo Mexico s common shares, respectively.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan:

2007 Plan: In January 2007, the Company offered to eligible employees a stock purchase plan (the Employee Stock Purchase Plan) through a trust that acquires shares of Grupo Mexico stock for sale to its employees, employees of subsidiaries, and certain affiliated companies. The purchase price was established at the approximate fair market value on the grant date. Every two years employees were able to acquire title to 50% of the shares paid in the previous two years. The employees paid for shares purchased through monthly payroll deductions over the eight year period of the plan. At the end of the eight year period, the Company granted the participant a bonus of one share for every ten shares purchased by the employee.

The participants were entitled to receive dividends in cash for dividends paid by Grupo Mexico for all shares that were fully purchased and paid by the employee as of the date that the dividend is paid. If the participant had only partially paid for shares, the entitled dividends were used to reduce the remaining liability owed for purchased shares.

In the case of voluntary or involuntary resignation/termination of the employee, the Company paid to the employee the fair market sales price at the date of resignation/termination of the fully paid shares, net of costs and taxes. When the fair market sales value of the shares was higher than the purchase price, the Company applied a deduction over the amount to be paid to the employee based on a decreasing schedule specified in the plan.

In case of retirement or death of the employee, the Company rendered the buyer or his legal beneficiary, the fair market sales value as of the date of retirement or death of the shares effectively paid, net of costs and taxes.

The stock based compensation expense for the first six months of 2014 was \$1.1 million and the unrecognized compensation expense as of June 30, 2014 under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan was \$1.0 million, which was recognized in the third and fourth quarter of 2014. This plan ended in January 2015

The following table presents the stock award activity of the Employee Stock Purchase Plan at the close of the plan and for the six months ended June 30, 2014:

	Shares	Unit Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding shares at January 1, 2015	4,298,612	\$ 1.16
Granted		
Exercised	(4,128,970)	1.16
Forfeited		
Outstanding shares at June 30, 2015	169,642	\$ 1.16
Outstanding shares at January 1, 2014	4,449,599	\$ 1.16
Granted		
Exercised	(58,663)	1.16
Forfeited		
Outstanding shares at June 30, 2014	4,390,936	\$ 1.16

2010 Plan: During 2010, the Company offered to eligible employees a stock purchase plan through a trust that acquires series B shares of Grupo Mexico stock for sale to its employees, employees of subsidiaries, and certain affiliated companies. The purchase price was established at 26.51 Mexican pesos (approximately \$2.05) for the initial subscription. The terms of this plan are similar to the terms of the prior Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

The stock based compensation expense for the first six months of 2015 and 2014 and the unrecognized compensation expense under this plan were as follows (in millions):

	2015		2014	
Stock based compensation expense	\$	0.2	\$	0.1
Unrecognized compensation expense	\$	1.9	\$	2.4

The unrecognized compensation expense under this plan is expected to be recognized over the remaining three year and six month period.

The following table presents the stock award activity of this plan for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Shares	Unit Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding shares at January 1, 2015	2,287,891	\$ 2.05
Granted		
Exercised	(20,273)	\$ 2.05
Forfeited		
Outstanding shares at June 30, 2015	2,267,618	\$ 2.05
Outstanding shares at January 1, 2014	3,012,464	\$ 2.05
Granted		
Exercised	(669,157)	2.05
Forfeited		
Outstanding shares at June 30, 2014	2,343,307	\$ 2.05

<u>2015 Plan</u>: In January 2015, the Company offered to eligible employees a new stock purchase plan (the New Employee Stock Purchase Plan) through a trust that acquires series B of shares of Grupo Mexico stock for sale to its employees, and employees of subsidiaries, and certain affiliated companies.

The purchase price was established at 38.44 Mexican pesos (approximately \$2.63) for the initial subscription, which expires on January 2023. Every two years employees will be able to acquire title to 50% of the shares paid in the previous two years. The employees will pay for shares purchased through monthly payroll deductions over the eight year period of the plan. At the end of the eight year period, the Company will grant the participant a bonus of 1 share for every 10 shares purchased by the employee. Any future subscription will be at the average market price at

the date of acquisition or the grant date.

If Grupo Mexico pays dividends on shares during the eight year period, the participants will be entitled to receive the dividend in cash for all shares that have been fully purchased and paid as of the date that the dividend is paid. If the participant has only partially paid for shares, the entitled dividends will be used to reduce the remaining liability owed for purchased shares.

In the case of voluntary resignation of the employee, the Company will pay to the employee the fair market sales price at the date of resignation of the fully paid shares, net of costs and taxes. When the fair market sales value of the shares is higher than the purchase price, the Company will apply a deduction over the amount to be paid to the employee based on the following schedule.

If the resignation occurs during:	% Deducted
1st year after the grant date	90%
2nd year after the grant date	80%
3rd year after the grant date	70%
4th year after the grant date	60%
5th year after the grant date	50%
6th year after the grant date	40%
7th year after the grant date	20%

In the case of involuntary termination of the employee, the Company will pay to the employee the fair market sales price at the date of termination of employment of the fully paid shares, net of costs and taxes. When the fair market sales value of the shares is higher than the purchase price, the Company will apply a deduction over the amount to be paid to the employee based on the following schedule.

If the termination occurs during:	% Deducted
1st year after the grant date	100%
2nd year after the grant date	95%
3rd year after the grant date	90%
4th year after the grant date	80%
5th year after the grant date	70%
6th year after the grant date	60%
7th year after the grant date	50%

In case of retirement or death of the employee, the Company will render the buyer or his legal beneficiary, the fair market sales value as of the date of retirement or death of the shares effectively paid, net of costs and taxes.

The stock based compensation expense for the first six months of 2015 and the unrecognized compensation expense under this plan were as follows (in millions):

	2015
Stock based compensation expense	\$
Unrecognized compensation expense	\$ 4.8

The following table presents the stock award activity of this plan for the six months ended June 30, 2015:

	Shares	Unit Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding shares at January 1, 2015		
Granted	2,652,886	\$ 2.63
Exercised		
Forfeited		
Outstanding shares at June 30, 2015	2,652,886	\$ 2.63

NOTE 14 NON-CONTROLLING INTEREST:

The following table presents the non-controlling interest activity for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (in millions):

	2015	2014
Balance as of January 1,	\$ 32.1 \$	28.2
Net earnings	2.6	2.3
Dividend paid	(0.4)	(0.5)
Balance as of June 30,	\$ 34.3 \$	30.0

27

Table of Contents

NOTE 15 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS:

Subtopic 820-10 of ASC Fair value measurement and disclosures Overall establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under Subtopic 820-10 are described below:

Level 1 - Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly, but do not qualify as Level 1 inputs. (i.e., quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities).

Level 3 - Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported by little or no market activity).

The carrying amounts of certain financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable (other than accounts receivable associated with provisionally priced sales) and accounts payable approximate fair value due to their short maturities. Consequently, such financial instruments are not included in the following table that provides information about the carrying amounts and estimated fair values of other financial instruments that are not measured at fair value in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 (in millions):

		As of June	5	As of December 31, 2014				
	Carr	ying Value	F	air Value	Car	rying Value	Fair Value	
Liabilities:								
Long-term debt	\$	6,152.2	\$	6,198.7	\$	4,180.9	\$	4,369.6

Long-term debt is carried at amortized cost and its estimated fair value is based on quoted market prices classified as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy except for the case of the Yankee bonds and the 6.375% senior unsecured notes due date July 2015 which qualify as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy as they are based on quoted priced in market that are not active.

Fair values of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis were calculated as follows as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

Fair Value at Measurement Date Using:

Description
Fair Value
Quoted prices in Significant Significant
as of active markets other unobservable

Edgar Filing: Whitestone REIT - Form 8-K

	J	une 30, 2015	fe	or identical assets (Level 1)	observable inputs (Level 2)	inputs (Level 3)
Assets:						
Short-term investment:						
- Trading securities	\$	569.0	\$	569.0		\$
- Available-for-sale debt securities:						
Corporate bonds		0.2			\$ 0.2	
Mortgage backed securities		4.1			4.1	
Accounts receivable:						
- Embedded derivatives - Not classified as						
hedges:						
Provisionally priced sales:						
Copper		322.6		322.6		
Molybdenum		107.0		107.0		
Total	\$	1,002.9	\$	998.6	\$ 4.3	\$

	Fair Value at Measurement Date Using: Quoted prices in								
D		Fair Value as of December 31, 2014		active markets for identical assets		nificant other observable inputs	Significant unobservable inputs		
Description Assets:		31, 2014		(Level 1)		(Level 2)	(Level 3)		
Short-term investment:									
- Trading securities	\$	333.7	\$	333.7			\$		
- Available-for-sale debt securities:	-		_				7		
Corporate bonds		0.3			\$	0.3			
Mortgage backed securities		4.6				4.6			
Accounts receivable:									
- Embedded derivatives - Not classified as									
hedges:									
Provisionally priced sales:									
Copper		202.2		202.2					
Molybdenum		105.5		105.5					
Total	\$	646.3	\$	641.4	\$	4.9	\$		

The Company s short-term trading securities investments are classified as Level 1 because they are valued using quoted prices of the same securities as they consist of bonds issued by public companies and publicly traded. The Company s short-term available-for-sale investments are classified as Level 2 because they are valued using quoted prices for similar investments.

The Company s accounts receivables associated with provisionally priced copper sales are valued using quoted market prices based on the forward price on the LME or on the COMEX. Such value is classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. Molybdenum prices are established by reference to the publication Platt s Metals Week and are considered Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy.

NOTE 16 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS:

Dividends:

On July 23, 2015 the Board of Directors authorized a quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per share payable on August 27, 2015 to SCC shareholders of record at the close of business on August 13, 2015.

Acquisition of El Pilar copper project:

On July 6, 2015, Southern Copper successfully closed the acquisition of El Pilar from Stingray Copper for a total consideration of \$100 million in cash. El Pilar is a fully permitted, low capital intensity copper development project strategically located in Sonora, Mexico, approximately 45 kilometers from the Company's Buenavista mine and 15 kilometers from the U.S. border. Its copper oxide mineralization contains estimated

proven and probable reserves of 259 million tons of ore with an average copper grade of 0.30%. El Pilar will operate as a conventional open-pit mine and copper cathodes will be produced using the highly cost efficient and environmentally friendly SX-EW technology. The project currently contemplates average annual production of 35,000 tons of copper cathodes over an initial 13-year mine life, with start of commercial operations forecasted by 2018. On a preliminary basis, the Company estimates a required development investment of approximately \$300 million and an average life-of-mine cash-costs of approximately \$1.60 per pound. In the second half of 2015, the Company will commence a confirmatory exploration program together with a detailed review of potential synergies with its existing operations.

m	. 1		c			
Tal	hl	e	ot	on	itei	nts

Part I

Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion provides information that management believes is relevant to an assessment and understanding of the condensed consolidated financial condition and results of operations of Southern Copper Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, SCC the Company our and we). This item should be read in conjunction with our interim unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto included in this quarterly report. Additionally, the following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part II of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

<u>Business</u>: Our business is primarily the production and sale of copper. In the process of producing copper, a number of valuable metallurgical by-products are recovered, which we also produce and sell. Market forces outside of our control largely determine the sale prices for our products. Our management, therefore, focuses on value creation through copper production, cost control, production enhancement and maintaining a prudent capital structure to remain profitable. We endeavor to achieve these goals through capital spending programs, exploration efforts and cost reduction programs. Our aim is to remain profitable during periods of low copper prices and to maximize financial performance in periods of high copper prices.

We are one of the world s largest copper mining companies in terms of production and sales with our principal operations in Peru and Mexico. We also have active ongoing exploration programs in Chile, Argentina and Ecuador. In addition to copper, we produce significant amounts of other metals, either as a by-product of the copper process or in a number of dedicated mining facilities in Mexico.

Outlook: Various key factors will affect our outcome. These include, but are not limited to, some of the following:

• Changes in copper, molybdenum, silver and zinc prices: In the first six months of 2015, the average LME and COMEX copper prices were \$2.69 per pound and \$2.72 per pound, about 14.3% and 14.2% lower than in the first quarter of 2014, respectively. During the first six months of 2015 per pound LME spot copper prices ranged from \$2.45 to \$2.92. Average molybdenum and silver prices in the first six months of 2015 decreased 32.2% and 17.5%, respectively, while average zinc prices increased 4.3% when compared to the average prices in the first six months of 2014.

•	Sales structure: In the first half of 2015 approximately 79% of our revenue came from the sale of copper, 6% from
m	olybdenum, 4% from silver, 4% from zinc and 7% from various other products, including gold, sulfuric acid and
ot	her materials.

•	<u>Copper</u> : Regarding the copper market, we maintain our long term confidence in the positive fundamentals of this
ma	arket. Looking at demand, we are expecting worldwide copper demand growth of at about 3% for the year,
ap	proximately 700,000 tons of new demand. The main drivers are: a 4% demand growth in China, a continuation of
de	emand recovery from export oriented European industries and a stable U.S. copper demand in line with the American
GI	DP increment.

For the second and third quarters of 2015, demand has been affected by some macroeconomic headwinds that have created a bearish view on the speculative segment of demand for basic metals. However, we believe this effect has peaked and we should see a market recovery shortly.

On the supply side, as we have expressed in the past, we believe that several structural factors will continue to affect supply from new projects and existing operations, as well as scrap production. Among these factors we want to emphasize delays in project startups, technical problems, labor unrest, excess government taxation and other difficulties.

Current long term estimates by the most prestigious market analyst indicate a moderated surplus for this and next year and after that, a copper market deficit. However, as we approach 2016, we note a consistent reduction in expected market surpluses for these years due to supply underperformance. We believe the market will anticipate this deficit as we approach 2017.

We want to emphasize that copper prices at current levels are not sufficient to promote the necessary future supply growth, thereby improving the strong long term fundamentals of our industry.

Table of Contents

• <u>Molybdenum:</u> Our most significant by-product, represented 4.6% of the Company sales in the second quarter of 2015. In this quarter we saw molybdenum price deterioration consistent with our outlook for a 10% worldwide supply growth in 2015 coming from our Buenavista operation as well as Sierra Gorda, Toromocho and Caserones, among other projects. Demand for molybdenum will be affected in 2015 by lower demand for special alloys coming from the oil drilling industry. This will add to the noted difficulties.

Even though the current scenario for molybdenum prices is not positive, it is important to note that about 50% of this metal supply comes from primary or dedicated molybdenum mines, which have a cash cost in the range of \$9 to \$12 dollars per pound. This creates a natural barrier for the molybdenum market to adjust production volume and thereby protect low cost secondary molybdenum producers such as SCC.

Molybdenum is mainly used for the production of special alloys of stainless steel that require significant hardness, corrosion and heat resistance. A new use for this metal is in lubricants and sulfur filtering of heavy oils and shale gas production.

- Zinc: Zinc has very good long term fundamentals due to its significant industrial consumption and expected mine production shutdowns. In the last 12 months zinc inventories have consistently decreased, improving this market s fundamentals. We are expecting an increasing price scenario for zinc in the next few years. Zinc represented 4.2% of our sales in the second quarter of 2015 and currently is our third most significant by-product.
- <u>Silver:</u> Regarding this metal, we believe that prices will have support due to its industrial uses as well as being perceived as a value shelter in times of economic uncertainty. Silver represented 3.9% of our sales in the second quarter of 2015 and currently is our fourth most significant by-product. Silver prices averaged \$16.38 per ounce in the second quarter of 2015.
- <u>Production</u>: For 2015, improvements in operational practices, exchange rate depreciation where we operate, lower fuel costs and capital investments will reduce costs and increase our copper and molybdenum production. Our current estimate for 2015 copper production is 771,500 tons, which is approximately 90,000 tons higher than last year s production of 682,600 tons.

We expect to produce 23,200 tons of molybdenum in 2015, 0.3% higher than our 2014 production which represents an increase of our initial guidance of 21,500 tons. This variance will be a result of higher grades and recoveries at our Peruvian operations and the restore of production at our Buenavista mine.

In 2015, we also expect to produce 12.8 million ounces of silver, in line with 2014 production. Regarding zinc production, as we are solving the flooding problems at our IMMSA unit, for 2015 we expect to increase our production to 74,400 tons of zinc from our mines, which represents an increase of almost 7,800 tons when compared to 2014.

• Cost: Our operating costs and expenses for the first half 2015 and 2014 were as follows (\$ in millions):

			Variance				
	2015	2014		Value	%		
Operating costs and							
expenses	\$ 1,717.6	\$ 1,681.6	\$	36.0	2.1%		

The increase was mainly due to higher cost of sales at our Mexican operations principally due to the increased volume of copper sales; higher depreciation, amortization and depletion; and \$16.5 million for an environmental remediation provision at Buenavista.

- <u>Capital Investments</u>: In the first six months of 2015, we invested \$507.7 million on capital investments, 27.1% lower than in the first six months of 2014, and represented 88.0% of net income. We continue moving forward with our investment program to increase copper production capacity by approximately 90% from our 2013 production level of 617,000 tons to 1,165,000 tons by 2018.
- Acquisition of El Pilar copper project: On July 6, 2015, we successfully completed the acquisition of El Pilar for a total consideration of \$100 million in cash. El Pilar is a fully permitted, low capital intensity copper development project strategically located in Sonora, Mexico, approximately 45 kilometers from our Buenavista mine and 15 kilometers from the U.S. border. Its copper oxide mineralization contains estimated proven and probable reserves of 259 million tons of ore with an average copper grade of 0.30%. El Pilar will operate as a conventional open-pit mine and copper cathodes will be produced using the highly cost efficient and environmentally friendly SX-EW technology. The project currently contemplates average annual production of 35,000 tons of copper cathodes over an initial 13-year mine life, with start of commercial operations forecasted by 2018. On a preliminary basis, we estimate a required development investment of approximately \$300 million and an average life-of-mine cash-cost of approximately \$1.60 per pound. In the second half of 2015, we will commence a confirmatory exploration program together with a detailed review of potential synergies with our existing operations. We believe there are significant optimization opportunities that could positively impact both capital investments and operational costs. El Pilar is an opportunistic acquisition that further reinforces our disciplined growth strategy and focus on copper.

KEY MATTERS:

We discuss below several matters that we believe are important to understand our results of operations and financial condition. These matters include, (i) our earnings, (ii) our production, (iii) our operating cash costs as a measure of our performance, (iv) metal prices, (v) business segments, (vi) the effect of inflation and other local currency issues, and (vii) our capital investment and exploration program.

Earnings: The table below highlights key financial and operational data of our Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (in millions, except per share amounts):

	Thre	e mon	ths ended Jur	ie 30,		Six months ended June 30,				
	2015		2014		Variance	2015	2014		Variance	
Net sales	\$ 1,382.9	\$	1,487.4	\$	(104.5) \$	2,657.7	\$	2,841.8	\$	(184.1)
Net income attributable to										
SCC	\$ 294.7	\$	337.3	\$	(42.6) \$	577.1	\$	660.6	\$	(83.5)
Earnings per share	\$ 0.37	\$	0.40	\$	(0.03) \$	0.72	\$	0.79	\$	(0.07)
Dividends per share	\$ 0.10	\$	0.10	\$	\$	0.20	\$	0.22	\$	(0.02)
Pounds of copper sold	407.5		353.6		53.9	779.2		683.6		95.6

Net sales and net income in the first six months of 2015 were lower than the first six months of 2014 by \$184.1 million and \$83.5 million, respectively. These decreases were mainly the result of lower metal prices and lower silver sales volume, partially offset by higher sales volume of copper (+14.0%), molybdenum (+1.6%) and zinc (+6.5%), and higher zinc prices.

Production: The table below highlights mine production data for our Company for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	T	hree months	ended June 30,	Six months ended June 30,					
			Varia	ıce			Variance		
	2015	2014	Volume	%	2015	2014	Volume	%	
Copper (in million pounds)	394.8	364.5	30.3	8.3%	786.4	724.3	62.1	8.6%	
Molybdenum (in million									
pounds)	12.7	12.8	(0.1)	(1.1)%	25.6	25.1	0.5	2.0%	
Zinc (in million pounds)	30.8	36.4	(5.6)	(15.3)%	64.3	82.3	(18.0)	(21.8)%	
Silver (in million ounces)	3.2	3.2		0%	6.4	6.6	(0.2)	(3.3)%	

The table below highlights copper production data at each of our mines for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Three Months	Ended June 30,		Six Months I	Ended June 30,		
		Varia			Varia	nce	
2015	2014	Volume	%	2015	2014	Volume	%

Edgar Filing: Whitestone REIT - Form 8-K

COPPER (in million pounds)								
Toquepala	79.5	76.0	3.5	4.5%	161.2	151.1	10.1	6.7%
Cuajone	101.0	98.7	2.3	2.3%	193.5	198.1	(4.6)	(2.3)%
La Caridad	71.6	70.7	0.9	1.2%	142.8	139.5	3.3	2.3%
Buenavista	139.5	116.5	23.0	19.8%	282.9	230.0	52.9	23.0%
IMMSA	3.2	2.6	0.6	24.1%	6.0	5.6	0.4	7.6%
Total mined copper	394.8	364.5	30.3	8.3%	786.4	724.3	62.1	8.6%

<u>Second quarter</u>: Copper mine production in the second quarter of 2015 increased 8.3% to 394.8 million pounds compared with 364.5 million pounds in the second quarter of 2014. This increase was due to higher production principally at Buenavista mine from the new SW-EW III plant and substantially all of our mines due to higher throughput at the concentrators, better ores grades and recoveries.

Molybdenum production decreased 1.1% in the second quarter of 2015 to 12.7 million pounds, compared to 12.8 million pounds in the second quarter of 2014. Molybdenum production decreased in our Mexican mines especially at our Buenavista mine due to water issues caused by illegal closures to our water wells by a group of people linked to previous miner's union. This was partially offset by higher production in Peruvian mines principally due to better recovery in Toquepala and grades in both mines (Toquepala and Cuajone).

Silver mine production in the second quarter of 2015 remained similar to production in the same quarter of 2014.

Table of Contents

Zinc production decreased 15.3% in the second quarter of 2015, as a result of lower production at Santa Eulalia mine where a flooding problem has disrupted operations. We expect to have production restored by the 4Q15.

<u>Six months</u>: Copper mine production in the first six months of 2015 increased 8.6% to 786.4 million pounds compared with 724.3 million pounds in the same period of 2014. This increase was due to:

- Higher production at the Buenavista mine principally due to production from the new SX-EW III plant which came on line late in the second quarter of 2014.
- Higher production at the Toquepala mine due to higher ore grades.
- Higher production at the La Caridad mine due to higher throughput and ore grades, partially offset by
- Lower production at the Cuajone mine due to lower ore grades and recoveries.

Molybdenum production had a slight increase of 2.0% in the first six months of 2015 compared to the same period of 2014, principally at our Peruvian operations due to higher grades. At our Mexican operations, molybdenum production decreased due to lower grades at La Caridad mine and water issues at our Buenavista mine.

Silver mine production decreased 3.3% in the first six months of 2015 as result of lower production at our Cuajone, Buenavista and IMMSA mines; this was partially offset by higher production at Toquepala and La Caridad.

Zinc production decreased 21.8% in the first six months of 2015 due to lower grades at all our IMMSA mines and lower production at Santa Eulalia mine.

<u>Metal Prices</u>: The profitability of our operations is dependent on, and our financial performance is significantly affected by, the international market prices for the products we produce, especially for copper, molybdenum, zinc and silver.

We are subject to market risks arising from the volatility of copper and other metal prices. For the remaining six months of 2015, assuming that expected metal production and sales are achieved, that tax rates are unchanged, giving no effect to potential hedging programs, metal price sensitivity factors would indicate the following change in estimated net income attributable to SCC resulting from metal price changes:

Copper	Molybdeni	ım	Zinc	Silver
\$ 0.10	\$	1.00	\$ 0.10	\$ 1.00

Change in metal prices (per pound, except silver				
per ounce)				
Annual change in net income attributable to				
SCC (in millions)	\$ 54.0 \$	14.5 \$	6.2 \$	4.0

Operating Cash Costs: An overall benchmark used by us and a common industry metric to measure performance is operating cash cost per pound of copper produced. Operating cash cost is a non-GAAP measure that does not have a standardized meaning and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures provided by other companies. This non-GAAP information should not be considered in isolation or as substitute for measures of performance determined in accordance with GAAP. A reconciliation of our operating cash cost per pound of copper produced to the cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation, amortization and depletion) as presented in the condensed consolidated statement of earnings is presented under the subheading Non-GAAP Information Reconciliation on page 47. We disclose operating cash cost per pound of copper produced, both without and with the inclusion of by-product revenues.

We define *operating cash cost per pound of copper produced <u>without</u> by-product revenues* as cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation, amortization and depletion), plus selling, general and administrative charges, treatment and refining charges net of sales premiums; less the cost of purchased concentrates, workers participation and other miscellaneous charges, including royalty charges and the change in inventory levels; divided by total pounds of copper produced by our own mines.

We define *operating cash cost per pound of copper produced with by-product revenues* as operating cash cost per pound of copper produced, as defined in the previous paragraph, less by-product revenues and net revenue (loss) on sale of metal purchased from third parties.

In our calculation of operating cash cost per pound of copper produced, with by-product revenues, we credit against our costs the revenues from the sale of all our by-products, including, molybdenum, zinc, silver, gold, etc. and the net revenue (loss) on sale of metals purchased from third parties. We disclose this measure including the by-product revenues in this way because we consider our principal business to be the production and sale of copper. As part of our copper production process, much of our by-products are recovered. These by-products and the process of copper purchased from third parties are a marginal part of our production process and their sales value contribute to cover part of our fixed costs incurred. We believe that our Company is viewed by the investment community as a copper company, and is valued, in large part, by the investment community s view of the copper market and our ability to produce copper at a reasonable cost.

Table of Contents

We believe that both of these measures are useful tools for our management and our stakeholders. Our cash costs, without by-product revenues allow us to monitor our cost structure and address operating management areas of concern. The measure operating cash cost per pound of copper produced with by-product revenues is a common measure used in the copper industry and it is a useful management tool that allows us to track our performance and better allocate our resources. This measure is also used in our investment project evaluation process to determine a project s potential contribution to our operations, its competitiveness and its relative strength in different price scenarios. The expected contribution of by-products is generally a significant factor used by the copper industry in determining whether to move forward with the development of a new mining project. As the price of our by-product commodities can have significant fluctuations from period to period, the value of its contribution to our costs can be volatile.

Our operating cash cost per pound of copper produced, with and without by-product revenues, is presented in the table below for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014.

Operating cash cost per pound of copper produced (1)

(in millions, except cost per pound and percentages)

		T	hree Montl June 3	nded			Six Months I June 30	ed	
				Varianc	e			Variance	
	2015		2014	Value	%	2015	2014	Value	%
Total operating cash cost without by-product revenues	\$ 645.5	\$	699.9	\$ (54.4)	(7.8)% \$	1,278.6	\$ 1,341.4	\$ (62.8)	(4.7)%
Total by-products revenues Total operating cash cost	\$ (211.5)	\$	(347.9)	\$ 136.4	(39.2)% \$	(470.5)	\$ (642.1)	\$ 171.6	(26.7)%
with by-products revenues	\$ 434.0	\$	352.0	\$ 82.0	23.3% \$	808.1	\$ 699.3	\$ 108.8	15.6%
Total pounds of copper produced	386.3		352.9	33.4	9.5%	767.6	702.7	64.9	9.2%
Operating cash cost per pound without by-product revenues	\$ 1.67	\$	1.98	\$ (0.31)	(15.7)% \$	1.67	\$ 1.91	\$ (0.24)	(12.6)%
Operating cash cost per pound with by-products revenues	\$ 1.12	\$	1.00	\$ 0.12	12.0% \$	1.05	\$ 1.00	\$ 0.05	5.0%

⁽¹⁾ This is a non-GAAP measure. Please see page 47 for reconciliation to GAAP measure.

As seen on the table above, our cash cost without by-products revenues in the second quarter and the first six months of 2015 were 31cents and 24 cents lower than in the same periods of 2014. These important cash cost reductions resulted from lower production cost, principally from fuel, power and labor costs and the diluting effect of higher production at all our open pit mines from the new SX-EW III plant at Buenavista.

Our per pound cash cost for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, when calculated with by-products revenues was 12 cents and 5 cents higher than in the same periods of 2014, respectively. This was largely attributable to lower by-product credits due to the decrease in metal prices of all our by-products and lower sales volumes of silver offset by the higher molybdenum sales due to the higher production from the Buenavista molybdenum plant.

Business Segments: We view our Company as having three reportable segments and manage it on the basis of these segments. These segments are (1) our Peruvian operations, (2) our Mexican open-pit operations and (3) our Mexican underground operations, known as our IMMSA unit. Our Peruvian operations include the Toquepala and Cuajone mine complexes and the smelting and refining plants, industrial railroad and port facilities that service both mines. The Peruvian operations produce copper, with significant by-product production of molybdenum, silver and other material. Our Mexican open-pit operations include La Caridad and Buenavista mine complexes, the smelting and refining plants and support facilities, which service both mines. The Mexican open pit operations produce copper, with significant by-product production of molybdenum, silver and other material. Our IMMSA unit includes five underground mines that produce zinc, lead, copper, silver and gold, a coal mine which produces coal and coke, and several industrial processing facilities for zinc, copper and silver.

Segment information is included in our review of Results of Operations in this item and also in Note 12 Segment and Related Information of our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Inflation and Exchange Rate Effect of the Peruvian Nuevo Sol and the Mexican Peso: Our functional currency is the U.S. dollar and our revenues are primarily denominated in U.S. dollars. Significant portions of our operating costs are denominated in Peruvian Nuevo sol and Mexican pesos. Accordingly, when inflation and currency devaluation/appreciation of the Peruvian currency and Mexican currency occur, our operating results can be affected. In recent years, we do believe such changes have not had a material effect on our results and financial position. Please see Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk for more detailed information.

<u>Capital Investment Programs</u>: We made capital investments of \$507.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2015, compared with \$697.0 million in the same period of 2014. In general, the capital investments and investment projects described below are intended to increase production, decrease costs or address social and environmental commitments.

Set forth below are descriptions of some of our current expected capital investment programs. We expect to meet the cash requirements for these projects from cash on hand, internally generated funds and from additional external financing, including funding received in April 2015. All capital spending plans will continue to be reviewed and adjusted to respond to changes in the economy or market conditions.

Projects in Mexico:

<u>Buenavista Projects</u>: We continue developing our \$3.4 billion investment program at this unit which is expected to increase its copper production capacity by approximately 180%, and molybdenum production by 42%.

The *new copper-molybdenum concentrator* has an annual production capacity of 188,000 tons of copper and 2,600 tons of molybdenum. The project will additionally produce 2.3 million ounces of silver and 21,000 ounces of gold per year. The total capital budget of the project is \$1,383.6 million and through June 30, 2015, the project has a 98% progress with an investment of \$1,060.1 million. The project is expected to be completed in the third quarter of 2015. All major equipment is on site and has been installed.

Regarding *the mine equipment acquisition* for the Buenavista expansion, through June 30, 2015 we have invested \$510.9 million and have received sixty-one 400-ton capacity trucks, seven shovels and eight drills required for the mine expansion. All of this equipment is currently in operation.

Regarding the *SX-EW III* plant, on July 2015, the Mexican authorities approved the initiation of activities in the Tinajas 2 leaching pad. This will allow us to achieve the designed annual production capacity of 120,000 tons of copper cathodes by the first quarter of 2016. As of June 30, 2015, we have invested \$524.4 million in this project, including infrastructure, out of the approved capital budget of \$524.5 million.

The *crushing, conveying and spreading system for leachable ore project (Quebalix IV)* will increase production by improving SX-EW copper recovery, reducing processing time and mining and hauling costs. It has a crushing and conveying capacity of 80 million tons per year and is expected to be completed by the second quarter of 2016. The project has a 69% progress with an investment of \$167.5 million out of the approved capital budget of \$340 million.

The remaining projects to complete the \$3.4 billion budgeted program include investments in infrastructure, including power lines and substations, water supply, tailings dam, mine equipment shops, internal roads and others; with a global progress of 65% at June 30, 2015.

<u>Angangueo</u>: With an estimated investment of \$174.7 million, Angangueo includes a concentrator plant which will have an estimated average annual metal content production of 10,400 tons of copper and 7,000 tons of zinc in the first seven years. Over the life of the mine, average annual concentrate production is expected to contain 2.4 million ounces of silver and 1,500 ounces of gold. Through June 30, 2015, we have spent \$27.3 million on the project. The project is on hold waiting for the environmental permits.

Projects in Peru:

Toquepala Projects: Through June 30, 2015, we have invested \$354.6 million in Toquepala projects. On April 14, 2015 the construction permit for the Toquepala expansion project was approved, allowing us to continue its development. Once in operation, the Toquepala expansion will increase annual production capacity by 100,000 tons of copper, from 135,000 tons in 2015 to 235,000 tons in 2017, and will also increase molybdenum production by 3,100 tons at an estimated capital cost of \$1.2 billion. It is estimated that the project will generate 2,200 jobs during the construction phase and 300 additional jobs once finished, which will add to current 1,500 permanent employees at Toquepala. The project is expected to be completed by fourth quarter of 2017.

Table of Contents

The project to improve the crushing process at Toquepala with the installation of a *High Pressure Grinding Roll (HPGR)* system, which will act as a quaternary crusher, has as its main objective, to ensure that the concentrator will operate at its maximum capacity of 60,000 tons per day even with an increase of the ore material hardness index. Additionally, recoveries will be improved with a better ore crushing. We are finalizing commercial discussions with the selected vendor in order to initiate the project engineering and procurement. Once the engineering is sufficiently advanced we will start construction and plant assembly. The budget approved for this project is \$40 million and we expect that it will be completed by the first quarter of 2017.

<u>Cuajone Projects</u>: The project to *improve slope stability at the south area of the Cuajone mine*, will remove approximately 148 million tons of waste material, in order to improve mine design without reducing current production level. The mine equipment acquired includes one shovel, five 400-ton capacity trucks, one drill and auxiliary equipment which will be reallocated to our mine operations once the project is finished. Besides preparing the mine for the future, this investment will avoid a reduction in average ore grade. As of June 30, 2015, 29.5 million tons of waste material have been removed and activities will continue for 3.5 additional years. At June 30, 2015, we have invested \$67.7 million in this project.

The *In-Pit Crushing and Conveyor (IPCC) Project* consists of installing a primary crusher at the mine pit with a conveyor system for moving the ore to the concentrator. The purpose of this project is to optimize the hauling process by replacing rail haulage, thereby reducing operating and maintenance costs as well as the environmental impact of the Cuajone mine. The crusher will have a processing capacity of 43.8 million tons per year. We are completing the basic engineering and starting the detailed engineering. The main components including the crusher and the overland belt have been already acquired and we have started their installation. As of June 30, 2015, we have invested \$58.1 million in this project out of the approved capital budget of \$165.5 million. The project is expected to be completed by second quarter of 2017.

The project to *replace tailing thickeners* will replace two of the three existing thickeners with a new hi-rate thickener. The purpose is to streamline the concentrator flotation process and improve water recovery efficiency, increasing the tailings solids content from 54% to 61%, thereby reducing fresh water consumption by replacing it with recovered water. As of June 30, 2015, we have invested \$0.1 million in this project out of the approved capital budget of \$30 million and we expect it to be completed by the third quarter of 2016. We are in the bidding process that will allow us to pursue an engineering and procurement contract.

<u>Tia Maria project</u>: While we have received approval of Tia Maria's Environmental Impact Assessment, the issuance of the project's construction permit has been delayed pending the resolution of certain differences with community groups. The Peruvian government has recommended the establishment of a development dialogue roundtable for the resolution of these differences. As has always been done, we will invest responsibly and work hand-in-hand with the Peruvian communities. We have always acted with strict ethics and in absolute adherence of the law, with the utmost respect and confidence that Peru s institutions will uphold the rule of law.

Tia Maria, when completed, will represent an investment of approximately \$1.4 billion to produce 120,000 tons of copper cathodes per year. This project will use state of the art technology with the highest international environmental standards. We amended our Environmental Impact Assessment to use only seawater, transporting this more than 25 kilometers (15.5 miles) and at 1,000 meters (3,300 feet) above sea level, constructing a desalinization plant representing an additional investment of US\$95 million for the productive process. In this manner, we guarantee that the Tambo Valley water resources will be used solely for farming and human consumption.

We expect the project to generate 3,500 jobs during the construction phase. When in operation, Tia Maria will directly employ 600 workers and indirectly another 2,000. Through its expected twenty-year life, the project related services will create significant business opportunities in the Arequipa region. In addition, we intend to implement social responsibility programs in the Arequipa region similar to those established in the communities near its other Peruvian operations.

In addition, we intend to implement social responsibility programs in the Arequipa region similar to those established in the communities near our other Peruvian operations.

<u>Tailings disposal at Quebrada Honda</u>: This project increases the height of the existing Quebrada Honda dam to impound future tailings from the Toquepala and Cuajone mills and will extend the expected life of this tailings facility by 25 years. The first stage and construction of the drainage system for the lateral dam is finished. We are preparing bidding documents for the second stage that includes engineering and procurement to improve and increase the dam s embankment. The project has a total budgeted cost of \$66.0 million with \$52.9 million invested through June 30, 2015.

Potential projects

We have a number of other projects that we may develop in the future. We evaluate new projects on the basis of our long-term corporate objectives, expected return on investment, environmental concerns, required investment and estimated production, among other considerations. All capital spending plans will continue to be reviewed and adjusted to respond to changes in the economy or market conditions.

El Arco: This is a world class copper deposit located in the central part of the Baja California peninsula, with ore reserves over 1.5 billion tons with an ore grade of 0.416% and 0.14 grams of gold per ton. In 2010, we concluded the feasibility study and an investment of \$56.4 million was approved for land acquisition required for the project. This project, when developed, is expected to produce 190,000 tons of copper and 105,000 ounces of gold annually. Through June 30, 2015 we have invested \$41.3 million on studies, exploration and land acquisition for the project. In 2015, we expect to continue investing in land acquisition and exploration. In addition, we will begin an engineering study to determine the best way to optimize the investment in the project.

The above information is based on estimates only. We cannot make any assurances that we will undertake any of these projects or that the information noted is accurate.

ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Our discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, as well as quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risks, are based upon our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements requires our management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We make our best estimate of the ultimate outcome for these items based on historical trends and other information available when the financial statements are prepared. Changes in estimates are recognized in accordance with the accounting rules for the estimate, which is typically in the period when new information becomes available to management. Areas where the nature of the estimate makes it reasonably possible that actual results could materially differ from amounts estimated include: ore reserves, revenue recognition, leachable material and related amortization, estimated impairment of assets, asset retirement obligations, litigation and contingencies, valuation allowances for deferred tax assets, tax positions and fair value of financial instruments. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following highlights our financial results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (in millions):

	Three Mon June	nded		Six Mont June	hs Ene e 30,	ded	
	2015	2014	Variance	2015		2014	Variance
Net sales	\$ 1,382.9	\$ 1,487.4	\$ (104.5) \$	2,657.7	\$	2,841.8	\$ (184.1)
Operating costs and expenses	(879.8)	(890.2)	10.4	(1,717.6)		(1,681.6)	(36.0)
Operating income	503.1	597.2	(94.1)	940.1		1,160.2	(220.1)
Non-operating income (expense)	(52.6)	(38.9)	(13.7)	(79.6)		(79.2)	(0.4)
Income before income taxes	450.5	558.3	(107.8)	860.5		1,081.0	(220.5)
Income taxes	(157.1)	(225.8)	68.7	(286.2)		(429.9)	143.7
Equity earnings of affiliate	2.6	5.9	(3.3)	5.4		11.8	(6.4)

Net income attributable to						
non-controlling interest	(1.3)	(1.1)	(0.2)	(2.6)	(2.3)	(0.3)
Net income attributable to SCC	\$ 294.7	\$ 337.3 \$	(42.6) \$	577.1	\$ 660.6	\$ (83.5)

NET SALES

Net sales for the second quarter 2015 decreased by \$104.5 million, compared with the second quarter of 2014. The 7.0% decrease was principally the result of lower metal prices as shown below partially offset by higher sales volume of copper, zinc and gold.

Net sales in the first six months of 2015 decreased by \$184.1 million, compared with the first six months of 2014. The 6.5% decrease was the same result of lower metal prices partially offset by higher sales volume of copper, molybdenum, zinc and gold.

The table below outlines the average published market metals prices for our metals for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

Table of Contents

		Three I	hs Ended June	30,	Six Months Ended June 30,					
		2015		2014	% Change	2015		2014	% Change	
Copper (\$ per pound	LME)	\$ 2.75	\$	3.08	(10.7)%\$	2.69	\$	3.14	(14.3)%	
Copper (\$ per pound										
COMEX)		\$ 2.77	\$	3.10	(10.6)%\$	2.72	\$	3.17	(14.2)%	
Molybdenum (\$ per	pound)									
(1)		\$ 7.45	\$	13.45	(44.6)%\$	7.93	\$	11.69	(32.2)%	
Zinc (\$ per pound	LME)	\$ 1.00	\$	0.94	6.4% \$	0.97	\$	0.93	4.3%	
Silver (\$ per ounce										
COMEX)		\$ 16.38	\$	19.62	(16.5)%\$	16.54	\$	20.04	(17.5)%	

⁽¹⁾ Platt s Metals Week Dealer Oxide

The table below provides our metal sales as a percentage of our total net sales:

	Three Months June 30		Six Months June 3	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Copper	80.9%	75.2%	79.0%	76.4%
Molybdenum	4.6%	11.9%	5.8%	10.4%
Silver	3.9%	4.8%	4.1%	4.7%
Zinc	4.2%	3.5%	4.4%	3.7%
Other by-products	6.4%	4.6%	6.7%	4.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

The table below provides our copper sales by type of product:

	Th	ree Months Ende June 30,	ed		Six Months Ended June 30,	
Copper Sales (million pounds)	2015	2014	Variance	2015	2014	Variance
Refined (including SX-EW)	280.3	265.2	15.1	570.6	493.2	77.4
Rod	74.2	73.8	0.4	150.9	142.6	8.3
Concentrates and other	52.9	14.6	38.3	57.7	47.8	9.9
Total	407.4	353.6	53.8	779.2	683.6	95.6

OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES

The table below summarizes the production cost structure by major components for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 as a percentage of total production cost:

Three months ended June 30,

Six months ended June 30,

Edgar Filing: Whitestone REIT - Form 8-K

	2015	2014	2015	2014
Power	15.8%	18.0%	16.8%	19.0%
Labor	13.9%	14.1%	14.1%	14.2%
Fuel	14.6%	16.4%	14.6%	16.5%
Maintenance	18.5%	15.8%	18.1%	15.1%
Operating material	20.3%	18.6%	19.8%	18.7%
Other	16.9%	17.1%	16.6%	16.5%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

<u>Second quarter</u>: Operating costs and expenses were \$879.8 million in the second quarter of 2015, compared with \$890.2 million in the comparable period of 2014. The decrease of \$10.4 million was primarily due to:

Table of Contents

Operating cost and expenses for the sec	cond quarter 2014	\$ 890.2
Less:		
•	Lower cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation, amortization and depletion), mainly due to lower fuel and power costs, labor costs, mining royalties, worker s participation, lower currency translation, inventory consumption, and sales	
	expenses, partially off-set by higher cost of metals purchased from third parties.	(19.7)
•	Lower exploration expenses mainly at Ecuador, Argentina, Chile and Peruvian	
	operations.	(10.0)
•	Lower selling, general and administrative expenses.	(0.4)
Plus:		
•	Higher depreciation, amortization and depletion mainly at our Peruvian operations	
	as a result of acquisition of mine equipment.	9.2
•	Higher environmental remediation expense at Buenavista.	10.5
Operating cost and expenses for the sec	cond quarter 2015	\$ 879.8

<u>Six months</u>: Operating costs and expenses were \$1,717.6 million in the first six months of 2015, compared with \$1,681.6 million in the comparable period of 2014. The increase of \$36.0 million was primarily due to:

Operating cost and expenses for the six	months 2014	\$	1,681.6
Plus:			
•	Higher cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation, amortization and depletion) mainly due to higher cost of metals purchased from third parties, higher inventory consumption and other production costs, partially off-set by lower fuel and power costs, labor costs, mining royalties, worker s participation and currency	7	
	translation.		18.2
•	Higher environmental remediation expense at Buenavista.		16.5
•	Higher depreciation, amortization and depletion mainly at our Peruvian operations due to acquisition of equipment and other assets.		15.7
Less:			
•	Lower exploration expenses both our Mexican and Peruvian operations.		(14.3)
•	Lower selling, general and administrative.		(0.1)
Operating cost and expenses for the six	months 2015	\$	1,717.6

NON-OPERATING INCOME (EXPENSES)

Non-operating income and expense were a net expense of \$52.6 million and \$79.6 million in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2015, respectively, compared to a net expense of \$38.9 million and \$79.2 million in the comparable periods of 2014.

Second quarter: The \$13.7 million increase in non-operating expense in the second quarter of 2015 is principally due to:

• \$20.6 million of higher interest expenses due to April's 2015 \$2 billion bond issue.

- \$ 1.0 million of lower interest income due to lower interest rates, partially offset by
- \$(3.9) million of higher capitalized interests.
- \$(4.2) million of lower other miscellaneous expenses.

Six months: The increase of \$0.4 million in non-operating expense in the first six months of 2015 is principally due to:

- \$20.7 million of higher interest expenses due to April's 2015 \$2 billion bond issue.
- \$ 2.7 million of lower interest income, partially offset by
- \$(18.1) million of higher capitalized interest due to higher capital investments related to our Mexican investment programs.
- \$ (4.6) million of lower miscellaneous expenses.

INCOME TAXES

	Six months ended June 30,					
		2015		2014		
Provision for income tax (in millions)	\$	286.2	\$	429.9		
Effective income tax rate		33.3%		39.8%		

These provisions include income taxes for Peru, Mexico and the United States. Some of the principal reasons for the change in the effective tax rate in the two six-month periods, include the Mexican royalty tax instituted beginning in 2014, and which increased the 2014 rate by approximately 4.6%, the impact on the 2015 rate was 2.6%. In addition, a reduction in dividends from our Mexican operations decreased the 2015 effective rate by 1.3%. Also, the Peru special mining tax had a positive variance in the 2015 period of 0.5%.

SEGMENT RESULT ANALYSIS

We have three segments: the Peruvian operations, the Mexican open-pit operations and the Mexican underground mining operations.

The table below presents information regarding the volume of our copper sales by segment for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Three Mon June		Varia	nce		hs Ended e 30,	Variance	
Copper Sales (million pounds):	2015	2014	Value	%	2015	2014	Value	%
Peruvian operations	182.3	167.4	14.9	8.9%	349.9	334.5	15.4	4.6%
Mexican open-pit	225.2	186.2	39.0	20.9%	429.3	349.1	80.2	23.0%
Mexican IMMSA unit	4.1	4.4	(0.3)	(6.8)%	8.1	8.5	(0.4)	(4.7)%
Other and intersegment elimination	(4.1)	(4.4)	0.3	(6.8)%	(8.1)	(8.5)	0.4	(4.7)%
Total	407.5	353.6	53.9	15.2%	779.2	683.6	95.6	14.0%

The table below presents information regarding the volume of sales by segment of our significant by-products for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

By-product Sales (in million pounds	Three Montl		Varian	ıce	Six Mont June		Variance	
except silver in million ounces)	2015	2014	Value	%	2015	2014	Value	%
Peruvian operations								
Molybdenum contained in								
concentrates	6.7	4.7	2.0	42.6%	13.6	9.5	4.1	43.2%
Silver	0.8	0.9	(0.1)	(11.1)%	1.5	1.6	(0.1)	(6.3)%
Mexican open-pit								
Molybdenum contained in								
concentrates	5.8	8.1	(2.3)	(28.4)%	11.8	15.5	(3.7)	(23.9)%
Silver	1.9	2.0	(0.1)	(5.0)%	3.9	3.6	0.3	8.3%
Mexican IMMSA unit								
Zinc refined and in concentrate	53.6	51.9	1.7	3.3%	112.5	105.6	6.9	6.5%
Silver	1.0	1.2	(0.2)	(16.7)%	2.1	2.6	(0.5)	(19.2)%
Other and intersegment elimination								
Silver	(0.4)	(0.5)	0.1	(20.0)%	(0.9)	(1.0)	0.1	(10.0)%
Total by-product sales								
Molybdenum contained in								
concentrates	12.5	12.8	(0.3)	(2.3)%	25.4	25.0	0.4	1.6%
Zinc refined and in concentrate	53.6	51.9	1.7	3.3%	112.5	105.6	6.9	6.5%

Silver	3.3	3.6	(0.3)	(8.3)%	6.6	6.8	(0.2)	(2.9)%
								(2.7)/0

Sales value per segment (in millions):

Three Months Ended June 30, 2015

			(iı	n millions)				
	lexican pen-pit	Iexican MSA Unit		Peruvian perations	Corporate & Elimination		Consolidated	
Copper	\$ 619.7	\$ 8.0	\$	498.8	\$	(8.0)	\$	1,118.5
Molybdenum	31.3			31.8				63.1
Zinc		58.7						58.7
Silver	30.3	15.8		13.4		(5.5)		54.0
Other	52.3	20.0		18.3		(2.0)		88.6
Total	\$ 733.6	\$ 102.5	\$	562.3	\$	(15.5)	\$	1,382.9

Three Months Ended June 30, 2014

	Mexican)pen-pit	Iexican MSA Unit	`]	n millions) Peruvian Operations	porate &	Co	nsolidated
Copper	\$ 588.9	\$ 10.9	\$	529.2	\$ (10.9)	\$	1,118.1
Molybdenum	114.6			62.9			177.5
Zinc		51.9					51.9
Silver	39.7	23.1		17.9	(9.1)		71.6
Other	28.9	24.3		17.6	(2.5)		68.3
Total	\$ 772.1	\$ 110.2	\$	627.6	\$ (22.5)	\$	1,487.4

Six Months Ended June 30, 2015

	Mexican Open-pit	Mexican MSA Unit	Ì	n millions) Peruvian operations	porate & nination	Co	nsolidated
Copper	\$ 1,158.5	\$ 16.9	\$	941.0	\$ (16.9)	\$	2,099.5
Molybdenum	74.4			79.0			153.4
Zinc		117.4					117.4
Silver	63.0	34.6		24.8	(12.5)		109.9
Other	101.9	42.9		37.7	(5.0)		177.5
Total	\$ 1,397.8	\$ 211.8	\$	1,082.5	\$ (34.4)	\$	2.657.7

Six Months Ended June 30, 2014

			(1	in millions)			
	Mexican Open-pit	Mexican IMSA Unit		Peruvian Operations	rporate & imination	C	onsolidated
Copper	\$ 1,107.1	\$ 22.7	\$	1,063.6	\$ (22.7)	\$	2,170.7
Molybdenum	187.4			108.1			295.5
Zinc		104.8					104.8
Silver	70.7	49.9		32.1	(18.0)		134.7
Other	55.1	53.1		34.1	(6.2)		136.1
Total	\$ 1,420.3	\$ 230.5	\$	1,237.9	\$ (46.9)	\$	2,841.8

The geographic breakdown of the Company s sales is as follows (in millions):

	Three Mon June	 nded		ded		
	2015	2014		2015		2014
Mexico	\$ 417.0	\$ 379.1	\$	891.4	\$	718.0
United States	236.6	304.1		436.7		580.9
Asia	346.9	233.8		573.6		494.4
Europe	163.3	215.3		311.5		401.6
Chile	27.7	136.4		63.8		228.8
Brazil	80.6	100.9		164.2		200.7
Peru	88.9	75.8		173.3		146.8
Other countries	21.9	42.0		43.2		70.6
Total	\$ 1,382.9	\$ 1,487.4	\$	2,657.7	\$	2,841.8

Peruvian Operations:

The following table sets forth net sales, operating cost and expenses and operating income for our Peruvian operations segment, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (in millions):

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					Variance	Six Months Ended Variance June 30,						Variance		
		2015		2014		\$	%	2015		2014		\$	%		
Net sales	\$	562.3	\$	627.6	\$	(65.3)	(10.4)% \$	1,082.5	\$	1,237.9	\$	(155.4)	(12.6)%		
Operating costs															
and expenses		(410.6)		(412.5)		1.9	(0.5)%	(779.5)		(795.9)		16.4	(2.1)%		
Operating income	\$	151.7	\$	215.1	\$	(63.4)	(29.5)% \$	303.0	\$	442.0	\$	(139.0)	(31.4)%		

<u>Second quarter</u>: Net sales in the second quarter of 2015 were \$562.3 million, compared with \$627.6 million in the second quarter of 2014. The decrease of \$65.3 million was primarily the result of lower copper, molybdenum and silver prices partially offset by higher copper and molybdenum sales volume. Copper sales volume increased by 14.9 million pounds or 8.9% and molybdenum, by 2.0 million pounds or 42.6%.

Operating costs and expenses in the second quarter of 2015 decreased by \$1.9 million to \$410.6 million from \$412.5 million in the second quarter of 2014, primarily due to:

Operating cost and expenses for the s	econd quarter 2014	\$ 412.5
Less:		
•	Lower cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation, amortization and depletion) mainly due to lower production cost and lower inventory consumption, partially offset by higher worker's participation and higher metals purchased	
	from third parties.	(7.5)
•	Lower selling, general and administrative expense, and	(1.2)
•	lower exploration expenses.	(2.2)
Plus:		
•	Higher depreciation, amortization and depletion mainly due to the acquisition of mine equipment.	9.0
Operating cost and expenses for the s	\$ 410.6	

<u>Six months</u>: Net sales in the first six months of 2015 were \$1,082.5 million, compared with \$1,237.9 million in the first six months of 2014. The decrease of \$155.4 million was mainly the result of lower market prices, partially offset by higher sales volume of copper and molybdenum. Copper sales volume increased by 15.4 million pounds or 4.6% and molybdenum, by 4.1 million pounds or 43.2%.

Operating costs and expenses in the first six months of 2015 decreased by \$16.4 million to \$779.5 million from \$795.9 million in the first six months of 2014, primarily due to:

Operating cost and expenses for the	ne six months 2014	\$	795.9				
Less:							
•	Lower cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation, amortization and depletion)						
	mainly due to lower production cost and lower inventory consumption						
	partially offset by higher metals purchased from third parties.		(27.6)				
•	Lower selling, general and administrative expenses.		(2.1)				
•	Lower exploration expenses		(1.4)				
Plus:							
•	Higher depreciation, amortization and depletion due to the acquisition of mine						
	equipment and other assets.		14.7				
Operating cost and expenses for the six months 2015 \$							

Mexican Open-pit Operations:

The following table sets forth net sales, operating cost and expenses and operating income for our Mexican open-pit operations segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (in millions):

		Three Mor	ths l	Ended				Six Mont	hs E	nded			
	June 30, Varianc				Variance	June 30,					Variance		
		2015		2014		\$	%	2015		2014	\$		%
Net sales	\$	733.6	\$	772.1	\$	(38.5)	(5.0)% \$	1,397.8	\$	1,420.3	\$ ((22.5)	(1.6)%
Operating costs													
and expenses		(383.1)		(376.9)		(6.2)	1.6%	(750.1)		(670.6)	((79.5)	11.9%
Operating income	\$	350.5	\$	395.2	\$	(44.7)	(11.3)% \$	647.7	\$	749.7	\$ (1	02.0)	(13.6)%

Table of Contents

<u>Second quarter</u>: Net sales in the second quarter of 2015 were \$733.6 million, compared to \$772.1 million in the second quarter of 2014. The decrease of \$38.5 million was due to lower metal prices partially offset by higher copper sales volume. Copper sales volume increased by 39.0 million pounds or 20.9%.

Operating costs and expenses in the second quarter of 2015 increased by \$6.2 million from the comparable 2014 period, primarily due to:

Operating cost and expenses for the sec	cond quarter 2014	\$ 376.9
Plus:		
•	Environmental remediation cost, Rio Sonora.	10.5
•	Higher selling, general and administrative expenses.	2.5
•		
	molybdenum and SX-EW plants at the Buenavista mine and other equipment.	5.5
•	Higher exploration expenses	0.2
Less:		
•	Lower cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation, amortization and depletion) mainly	
	due to lower cost of material purchased from third parties and lower inventory	
	consumption, partially offset by, higher production cost.	(12.5)
Operating cost and expenses for the sec	\$ 383.1	

<u>Six months</u>: Net sales in the first six months of 2015 were \$1,397.8 million, compared to \$1,420.3 million in the first six months of 2014. The decrease of \$22.5 million was due to lower copper, molybdenum and silver prices and slower molybdenum sales volume, partially offset by higher copper sales volume. Copper sales volume increased 80.2 million pounds or 23.0%.

Operating costs and expenses in the first six months of 2015 increased by \$81.9 million from the comparable 2014 period, primarily due to:

Operating cost and expenses for the six months 2014		\$	670.6				
Plus:							
due to higher consumption	of sales (exclusive of depreciation, amortization and depletion) mainly cost of material purchased from third parties, higher inventory and other production costs; partially offset by lower workers lower production costs, lower labor costs and currency translation						
effect.			54.8				
Higher sellin	g, general, administrative and exploration expenses.		3.1				
• Environment	al remediation cost, Rio Sonora.		16.5				
Higher depre	ciation, amortization and depletion due to the start-up of the						
molybdenum	and SX-EW plant at Buenavista and other equipment.		5.0				
Higher explo	ration expenses		0.1				
Operating cost and expenses for the six months 2015							

Mexican Underground Operations (IMMSA):

The following table sets forth net sales, operating cost and expenses and operating income for our IMMSA segment, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (in millions):

	Three Months Ended June 30, Variance						Six Months Ended ce June 30, Variance						
		•	50,			v ai iaiice		_	50,			variance	
		2015		2014		\$	%	2015		2014		\$	%
Net sales	\$	102.5	\$	110.2	\$	(7.7)	(7.0)% \$	211.8	\$	230.5	\$	(18.7)	(8.1)%
Operating costs													
and expenses	\$	(89.9)	\$	(102.1)	\$	12.2	(11.9)% \$	(191.7)	\$	(203.0)	\$	11.3	(5.6)%
Operating income	\$	12.6	\$	8.1	\$	4.5	55.6% \$	20.1	\$	27.5	\$	(7.4)	(26.9)%

<u>Second quarter</u>: Net sales decreased by \$7.7 million to \$102.5 million in the second quarter of 2015 from \$110.2 million in the second quarter of 2014. The decrease of 7.0% was primarily due to lower copper, zinc and silver sales price partially offset by higher zinc and copper sales volume.

Operating costs and expenses in the second quarter of 2015 decreased by \$12.2 million from the comparable 2014 period. This decrease was primarily due to:

Table of Contents

Operati	ng cost and expenses for the second quarter 2014	\$ 102.1
Less:		
•	Lower cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation, amortization and	
deplet	ion).	(5.4)
•	Lower general and administrative expenses.	(2.4)
•	Lower exploration expenses.	(4.5)
Operati	\$ 89.9	

<u>Six months</u>: Net sales in the first six months of 2015 were \$211.8 million, compared to \$230.5 million in the same period of 2014. This decrease of \$18.7 million in net sales was primarily due to lower zinc and copper sales prices partially offset by higher zinc sales volume (+6.5%).

Operating costs and expenses in the first six months of 2015 decreased by \$11.3 million from the comparable 2014 period. This increase was primarily due to:

Operating	cost and expenses for the six months 2014	\$ 203.0
Less:		
•	Lower selling, general and administrative expenses and	(4.2)
•	Lower exploration expenses	(7.2)
Operating	cost and expenses for the six months 2015	\$ 191.7

Intersegment Eliminations and Adjustments:

The addition of the segments net sales, operating costs and expenses and operating income discussed above will not be directly equal to amounts in our condensed consolidated statement of earnings because the adjustments of intersegment operating revenues and expenses must be taken into account. Please see Note 12 Segments and related information of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash Flow:

The following table shows the cash flow for the first six months 2015 and 2014 (in millions):

	2015	2014	Variance
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 533.2	\$ 681.8	\$ (148.6)

Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	\$ (739.5) \$	(773.8)	\$ 34.3
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	\$ 1.395.1 \$	(249.1)	\$ 1.644.2

Net cash provided by operating activities:

Significant items were added to (deducted from) net income to arrive at operating cash flow in the first six months. These items include depreciation, amortization and depletion, deferred income taxes and other adjustments, as follows (in millions):

	2015	2014	Variance
Net income	\$ 579.7 \$	663.0	\$ (83.3)
Depreciation, amortization and depletion	242.3	226.5	15.8
Provision (benefit) for deferred income			
taxes	(64.9)	(56.8)	(8.1)
Other adjustments to net income	(20.1)	(12.3)	(7.8)
Change in operating assets and liabilities	(203.8)	(138.6)	(65.2)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 533.2 \$	681.8	\$ (148.6)

<u>Six months ended June 30, 2015:</u> Net income was \$579.7 million, approximately 108.7% of the net operating cash flow. An increase in operating assets and liabilities reduced operating cash flow by \$203.8 million and included:

- \$59.1 million decrease in accounts receivable.
- \$(108.0) million increase in inventory, mainly due to \$(118.0) million of higher long-term leachable material inventory, mainly at our Buenavista mine.

Table of Contents

• \$(149.3) million decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and was mainly due to a \$(36.1) million lower income tax provision, \$(77.0) million of lower workers participation due to the decrease in earnings, and \$(36.2) million less of other liabilities.

<u>Six months ended June 30, 2014</u>: net income was \$663.0 million, approximately 97.2% of the net operating cash flow. An increase in operating assets and liabilities decreased operating cash flow by \$138.6 million and included:

- \$(49.9) million increase in accounts receivable.
- \$(160.7) million increase in inventory, mainly due to \$(60.7) million of higher short-term inventory and \$(100.1) million of higher long-term leachable material inventory, mainly at our Buenavista mine, partially offset by
- An increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities mainly due to higher income tax and interest provision and higher others liabilities.

Net cash used in investing activities:

<u>Six months ended June 30, 2015:</u> Net cash used for investing activities included \$507.7 million for capital investments. The capital investments included:

- \$387.2 million of investments at our Mexican operations:
- \$83.2 million for the new Buenavista concentrator
- \$ 9.0 million for the SX-EW III project,
- \$42.2 million for new projects infrastructure,
- \$27.8 million for the new tailing disposal deposit at the Buenavista mine,
- \$48.6 million for the Quebalix IV project,
- \$24.8 million for the tailings discharge line to deposit and reclaimed water system at the Buenavista mine,
- \$ 15.4 million at our IMMSA unit, and
- \$136.2 million for various other replacement expenditures.

- \$120.5 million of investments at our Peruvian operations:
- \$ 8.6 million for the Toquepala projects,
- \$ 25.0 million for the In-Pit Crushing and Conveyor (IPCC) Project at Cuajone
- \$ 5.8 million for the Tia Maria project
- \$ 1.5 million for licenses and other related costs for the new business planning system
- \$ 5.4 million for the Cuajone projects, and
- \$74.2 million for various other replacement expenditures.

The six months ended June 30, 2015 investment activities include \$234.8 million of net purchases of short-term investments.

<u>Six months ended June 30, 2014</u>: Net cash used for investing activities in the first six months of 2014 included \$697.0 million for capital expenditures. The capital expenditures included:

- \$541.6 million of investments at our Mexican operations:
- \$227.3 million for the new Buenavista concentrator,
- \$ 69.1 million for the SX-EW III project,
- \$ 30.7 million for new projects infrastructure,
- \$ 16.3 million for the Quebalix IV project,
- \$ 18.3 million at our IMMSA unit, and
- \$179.9 million for various other replacement expenditures.
- \$155.4 million of investments at our Peruvian operations:
- \$ 21.3 million for the Toquepala projects,
- \$ 6.7 million for the Cuajone projects, and
- \$127.4 million for various other replacement expenditures.

The first six months of 2014 investment activities included a net purchase of short-term investments of \$81.6 million.

Table of Contents
Net cash provided from (used for) financing activities:
Six months ended June 30, 2015: Net cash provided from financing activities was \$1,395.1 million and included:
• \$ 2.0 billion from the issuance of unsecured notes in April 2015 net of underwriting discount and debt cost issuance,
Net of
• \$160.3 million of dividend distribution and
• \$414.6 million used for the repurchase of 14.6 million of our common shares
In addition, the 2015 period includes both the draw-down of a short-term loan of \$66 million and the repayment of the loan.
Six months ended June 30, 2014: Net cash used for financing activities was \$249.1 million and included:
• \$183.4 million of dividend distribution and
• \$ 65.5 million used for the repurchase of 2.3 million of our common shares
Dividends:
On May 27, 2015, we paid a quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per share, totaling \$79.8 million. On July 23, 2015, our Board of Directors authorized a quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per share, expected to total \$79.7 million, to be paid on August 27, 2015 to SCC shareholders of record at the close of business on August 13, 2015.
Capital Investment and Exploration Programs:
A discussion of our capital investment programs is an important part of understanding our liquidity and capital resources. We expect to meet the cash requirements for these capital investments from cash on hand, internally generated funds and from additional external financing if required. For information regarding our capital investment programs, please see the discussion under the caption Capital Investment Programs under this

Item 2 and for our exploration activities, see Item 2 Properties Explorations Activities on our 2014 Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Contractual Obligations:

As a result of the new debt issued in April 2015, the following information updates our significant contractual obligations disclosed in Part II, Item 7 of our Annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 as filed with the SEC on March 2, 2015 (in millions):

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

	Total	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 and Thereafter
Total as reported in 2014							
Form 10-K	\$ 20,710.0	\$ 1,711.3	\$ 855.7	\$ 744.3	\$ 706.5	\$ 724.3	\$ 15,648.6
Revision to long-term							
debt payments	2,000.0						2,000.0
Revision to interest on							
debt	3,126.7	32.3	108.2	108.2	108.2	108.2	2,661.6
Revised total contractual							
obligations	\$ 25,836.7	\$ 1,743.6	\$ 963.9	\$ 852.5	\$ 814.7	\$ 832.5	\$ 20,310.2

Other than the new debt, adjusted as indicated above, there have been no material changes in our other contractual obligations since year-end 2014.

NON-GAAP INFORMATION RECONCILIATION

Operating cash cost: Following is a reconciliation of Operating Cash Cost (see page 33) to cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation, amortization and depletion) as reported in our condensed consolidated statement of earnings, in millions of dollars and **dollars per pound of copper** in the table below.

		hree Mon June 30), 201	.5		Three Months Ended June 30, 2014			Six Months Ended June 30, 2015					Six Months Ended June 30, 2014			
Cost of sales	\$ m	nillion	\$ p	er pound	1	million	\$ p	er pound	3	million	\$ pe	er pound	3	million	\$ pe	r pound	
(exclusive of																	
depreciation,																	
amortization and																	
depletion)																	
Add:	\$	706.9	\$	1.83	\$	726.6	\$	2.06	\$	1,386.7	\$	1.81	\$	1,368.5	\$	1.95	
Selling, general and																	
administrative		25.0		0.07		25.4		0.07		49.8		0.07		49.9		0.07	
Sales premiums, net of																	
treatment and refining		(5.0)		(0.01)		(15.5)		(0.04)		(21.4)		(0, 02)		(26.4)		(0.04)	
charges		(5.2)		(0.01)		(15.5)		(0.04)		(21.4)		(0.03)		(26.4)		(0.04)	
Less:		(29.4)		(0.10)		(50.7)		(0.14)		(72.4)		(0.09)		(103.8)		(0.15)	
Workers participation Cost of metal		(38.4)		(0.10)		(30.7)		(0.14)		(72.4)		(0.09)		(103.8)		(0.13)	
purchased from third																	
parties		(92.7)		(0.24)		(28.5)		(0.08)		(143.6)		(0.19)		(70.4)		(0.10)	
Royalty charge and		()2.1)		(0.21)		(20.3)		(0.00)		(113.0)		(0.17)		(70.1)		(0.10)	
other, net		(8.9)		(0.03)		(14.3)		(0.05)		(12.3)		(0.02)		(32.3)		(0.04)	
Inventory change		58.8		0.15		56.9		0.16		91.8		0.12		155.9		0.22	
Operating cash cost																	
without by-products																	
revenues	\$	645.5	\$	1.67	\$	699.9	\$	1.98	\$	1,278.6	\$	1.67	\$	1,341.4	\$	1.91	
Add:																	
By-product revenues																	
(1)		(197.9)		(0.51)		(336.2)		(0.95)		(446.1)		(0.58)		(624.5)		(0.89)	
Net revenue on sale of																	
metal purchased from																	
third parties		(13.6)		(0.04)		(11.7)		(0.03)		(24.4)		(0.04)		(17.6)		(0.02)	
Total by-product																	
revenues		(211.5)		(0.55)		(347.9)		(0.98)		(470.5)		(0.62)		(642.1)		(0.91)	
0 4 1 4																	
Operating cash cost,																	
with by-product	\$	434.0	\$	1.12	ф	352.0	\$	1.00	Φ	808.1	\$	1.05	Φ	699.3	\$	1.00	
revenues	Ф	434.0	Ф	1.12	Ф	332.0	Ф	1.00	Ф	000.1	Ф	1.05	Ф	099.3	Ф	1.00	
Total pounds of copper																	
produced (in millions)		386.3				352.9				767.6				702.7			
r-searce (m minoris)		200.0				202.7								. 52.7			

⁽¹⁾ By-product revenues included in our presentation of operating cash cost contain the following:

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2015			Three Months Ended June 30, 2014					Six Montl June 30			Six Months Ended June 30, 2014				
	\$ 1	million	\$ pe	er pound	\$	million	\$ pe	er pound	9	million	\$ p	er pound	\$	million	\$ pe	r pound
Molybdenum	\$	(63.0)	\$	(0.16)	\$	(177.5)	\$	(0.50)	\$	(153.4)	\$	(0.20)	\$	(295.5)	\$	(0.42)
Silver		(48.2)		(0.13)		(65.1)		(0.18)		(97.0)		(0.13)		(117.3)		(0.17)
Zinc		(31.9)		(0.08)		(27.4)		(0.08)		(78.2)		(0.10)		(80.3)		(0.11)
Sulfuric Acid		(31.4)		(0.08)		(32.6)		(0.09)		(65.0)		(0.09)		(64.0)		(0.09)
Gold and others		(23.4)		(0.06)		(33.6)		(0.10)		(52.5)		(0.06)		(67.4)		(0.10)
Total	\$	(197.9)	\$	(0.51)	\$	(336.2)	\$	(0.95)	\$	(446.1)	\$	(0.58)	\$	(624.5)	\$	(0.89)

IMPACT OF NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In addition to that disclosed during the first quarter, during the second quarter of 2015, the FASB issued, among others, the following five new accounting updates to the Codification.

ASU 2015-03: On April 7, 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03 Interest Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30). The objective is to simplify the presentation of debt issuance costs such that they are to be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt liability.

The Company has decided to early adopt this ASU and retrospectively reclassify debt issuance costs. Please see Note 2 Change in Accounting Principle for further information.

Table of Contents

ASU 2015-05: On April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-05 Intangibles Goodwill and Other Internal-Use Software. The objective is to provide guidance to customers about whether a cloud computing arrangement includes a software license. If it does, then the customer should account for the software license element of the arrangement consistent with the acquisition of other software licenses. If it does not, then the customer should account for the arrangement as a service contract.

This Update is effective for public business entities for years beginning after December 15, 2015 and interim periods within those years.

ASU 2015-07: On May 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-07 Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820). The objective is to amend disclosure requirements related to investments in entities for which fair value is measured at net asset value using the practical expedient in Topic 820.

The amendments in this Update are effective for public business entities for years beginning after December 15, 2015 and interim periods within those years.

ASU 2015-08: On May 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-08 Business Combination (Topic 805). The objective is to amend various SEC paragraphs pursuant to the issuance of Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 115 related to push-down accounting.

The amendments in this Update are effective from the date of publication.

<u>ASU 2015-10</u>: On June 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-10 Technical Corrections and Improvements . These amendments represent changes to clarify the Codification, correct unintended application of guidance, or make improvements that are not expect to have a significant effect on current accounting practice.

The amendments requiring transition guidance are effective for all entities for years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015. All other amendments are effective upon the issuance of this Update.

None of the aforementioned updates are expected to materially impact the Company s consolidated financial information.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

Commodity price risk:

We are subject to market risks arising from the volatility of copper and other metal prices. For the remaining six months of 2015, assuming that expected metal production and sales are achieved, that tax rates are unchanged, and giving no effects to potential hedging programs, metal price sensitivity factors would indicate the following change in estimated net income attributable to SCC resulting from metal price changes:

	Co	pper	N	Iolybdenum	Zinc	Silver
Change in metal prices (per pound, except silver per ounce)	\$	0.10	\$	1.00	\$ 0.10	\$ 1.00
Annual change in net income attributable to SCC (in millions)	\$	54.0	\$	14.5	\$ 6.2	\$ 4.0

Foreign currency exchange rate risk:

Our functional currency is the U.S. dollar. Portions of our operating costs are denominated in Peruvian nuevos soles and Mexican pesos. Since our revenues are primarily denominated in U.S. dollars, when inflation or deflation in our Mexican or Peruvian operations is not offset by a change in the exchange rate of the nuevo sol or the peso to the dollar, our financial position, results of operations and cash flows could be affected by local cost conversion when expressed in U.S. dollars. In addition, the dollar value of our net monetary assets denominated in nuevos soles or pesos can be affected by exchange rate variances of the nuevo sol or the peso, resulting in a re-measurement gain or loss in our financial statements. Recent inflation and exchange rate variances are provided in the table below for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Three Months June 30		Six Months June 30	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Peru:				
Peruvian inflation rate	1.3%	0.8%	2.6%	2.2%
Initial exchange rate	3.097	2.809	2.989	2.796
Closing exchange rate	3.179	2.796	3.179	2.796
Appreciation/(devaluation)	(2.6)%	0.5%	(6.4)%	
`				
Mexico:				
Mexican inflation rate	(0.6)%	(0.3)%	(0.1)%	1.1%
	, ,	, ,	, ,	
Initial exchange rate	15.154	13.084	14.718	13.077
Closing exchange rate	15.568	13.032	15.568	13.032
Appreciation/(devaluation)	(2.7)%	0.4%	(5.8)%	0.3%

Change in monetary position:

Assuming an exchange rate variance of 10% at June 30, 2015 we estimate our net monetary position in Peruvian nuevo sol and Mexican peso would increase (decrease) our net earnings as follows:

	Effect on net earnings (\$ in millions)
ф	

Appreciation of 10% in U.S. dollar vs. nuevo sol	\$ 8.3
Devaluation of 10% in U.S. dollar vs. nuevo sol	\$ (10.1)
Appreciation of 10% in U.S. dollar vs. Mexican peso	\$ 2.7
Devaluation of 10% in U.S. dollar vs. Mexican peso	\$ (3.3)

Open sales risk:

Our provisional copper and molybdenum sales contain an embedded derivative that is required to be separate from the host contract for accounting purposes. The host contract is the receivable from the sale of copper and molybdenum concentrates at prevailing market prices at the time of the sale. The embedded derivative, which does not qualify for hedge accounting, is marked to market through earnings each period prior to settlement.

At June 30, 2015, we have recorded provisionally priced sales of 123.3 million pounds of copper, at an average forward price of \$2.62 per pound. Also we have recorded provisionally priced sales of 17.1 million pounds of molybdenum at the June 30, 2015 market price of \$6.25 per pound. These sales are subject to final pricing based on the average monthly LME or COMEX copper prices and Dealer Oxide molybdenum prices in the future month of settlement.

Table of Contents

During the month of July 2015, the market price of copper and molybdenum decreased. The effect of these changes on sales for the first six months of 2015 settling in July 2015 was a reduction of \$11.7 million in sales. Additionally, forward prices for copper as of July 24, 2015 also decreased, the effect of this decrease on open sales during the first six months of 2015 settling after July 2015 would be a further reduction of \$10.9 million in sales. See Note 6 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further information.

Provisional sales price adjustments included in accounts receivable and net sales at June 30, 2015 represented negative effects of \$6.7 million for copper and \$15.7 for molybdenum.

Short-term Investment:

Short-term investments were as follows (\$ in millions):

	At June 30, 2015	At December 31, 2014
Trading securities	\$ 569.0	\$ 333.7
Weighted average interest rate	0.52%	0.78%
Available for sale	\$ 4.3	\$ 4.9
Weighted average interest rate	0.49%	0.44%
Total	\$ 573.3	\$ 338.6

Trading securities consist of bonds issued by public companies and are publicly traded. Each financial instrument is independent of the others. We have the intention to sell these bonds in the short-term.

Available-for-sale investments consist of securities issued by public companies. Each security is independent of the others and at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, included corporate bonds and asset and mortgage backed obligations. As of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, gross unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities were not material.

Related to these investments we earned interest, which was recorded as interest income in the condensed consolidated statement of earnings. Also we redeemed some of these securities and recognized gains (losses) due to changes in fair value, which were recorded as other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statement of earnings.

The following table summarizes the activity of these investments (in millions):

Three months ended June 30,

Six months ended June 30,

Edgar Filing: Whitestone REIT - Form 8-K

	2015	2014		2015	2014	
Trading securities:						
Interest earned	\$ 0.2	\$ 1.	.1	\$ 0.5	\$	2.5
Unrealized gain (loss) at the end of the						
period	\$ (0.2)	\$ 1.	.7	\$ (0.2)	\$	1.7
Available for sale:						
Interest earned	(*)	((*)	(*)		(*)
Investment redeemed	\$ 0.4	\$ 0.	.2	\$ 0.6	\$	0.2

^(*) Less than \$0.1 million

Cautionary Statement:

Forward-looking statements in this report and in other Company statements include statements regarding expected commencement dates of mining or metal production operations, projected quantities of future metal production, anticipated production rates, operating efficiencies, costs and expenditures as well as projected demand or supply for the Company's products. Actual results could differ materially depending upon factors including the risks and uncertainties relating to general U.S. and international economic and political conditions, the cyclical and volatile prices of copper, other commodities and supplies, including fuel and electricity, availability of materials, insurance coverage, equipment, required permits or approvals and financing, the occurrence of unusual weather or operating conditions, lower than expected ore grades, water and geological problems, the failure of equipment or processes to operate in accordance with specifications, failure to obtain financial assurance to meet closure and remediation obligations, labor relations, litigation and environmental risks as well as political and economic risk associated with foreign operations. Results of operations are directly affected by metal prices on commodity exchanges that can be volatile.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures
EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES
As of June 30, 2015, the Company conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness and the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)). Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of June 30, 2015.
The Company reported a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, as the Company did not establish an effective design of processes and procedures to restrict access to its business planning system (ERP) in existence during 2014, known as Ellipse, at its Mexican operations. As such, the Company failed to ensure that access to key financial systems was limited to appropriate users in order to maintain segregation of duties. Accordingly, information generated from those systems may have been impacted.
In response to the material weakness described above, the Company is executing a remediation plan, with oversight from the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors:
• As part of the remediation plan, SAP, the Company s new business planning system, was implemented at the

- As part of the remediation plan, SAP, the Company s new business planning system, was implemented at the Company s Mexican operations beginning February 5, 2015. During the second quarter of 2015, the Company has implemented the security tools that SAP provides and which have allowed and will continue to allow the Company to identify and remediate conflicts in terms of segregation of duties. Those controls will assist the Company in assuring that access control authorizations are functioning and transactions and data entered into the financial and related systems are properly authorized, based on the Company s organizational structure, positions and assigned responsibilities.
- The Company s monitoring activity, including the testing of related controls, will continue during the second half of 2015. The Company has put in place processes and procedures, with strict management supervision and monitoring by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, to ensure that the remediation plan will be complete in 2015, once the controls have been operational for a sufficient period of time to allow management to conclude that the controls are operating effectively.
- Additionally, the Company is also implementing SAP at its operations in Peru, which will improve the processes that consist of the Company s internal control over financial reporting and will, as part of its process, require testing for effectiveness.

Notwithstanding the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting, described above, the Company s management has concluded that the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q present fairly, in all material respects, the Company s financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods disclosed in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Except as discussed in the Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures above, there were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2015 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal controls over financial reporting.

Table of Contents
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Southern Copper Corporation:
We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Southern Copper Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) of June 30, 2015, and the related condensed consolidated statements of earnings and comprehensive income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 and cash flows for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2015 and 2014. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management.
We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.
Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Southern Copper Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income, changes in equity, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated February 27, 2015, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2014 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.
Galaz, Yamazaki, Ruiz Urquiza S.C.
Member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited
C.P.C. Miguel Angel Andrade Leven
Mexico City, Mexico
July 30, 2015

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings:

The information provided in Note 11 Commitments and Contingencies to the condensed consolidated financial statements contained in Part I of this Form 10-Q, is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors:

There have been no material changes to our risk factors during the first six months ended June 30, 2015. For additional information on risk factors, refer to Risk Factors included in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 as filed with the SEC on March 2, 2015.

Item 2. Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds:

SCC share repurchase program:

In 2008, the Company's BOD authorized a \$500 million share repurchase program that has since been increased by the BOD and is currently authorized to \$3 billion. Pursuant to this program, the Company purchased common stock as shown in the table below. These shares are available for general corporate purposes. The Company may purchase additional shares of its common stock from time to time, based on market conditions and other factors. This repurchase program has no expiration date and may be modified or discontinued at any time.

From	Period	To	Total Number of Shares Purchased		Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan @ \$29.41 (*)		al Cost millions)
2008		2012	46.914.486	Ф	18.72	46,914,486	@ \$29.41 (*)	\$	878.1
		2012	-)- ,	Ф				Ф	
2013			10,245,000		27.47	57,159,486			281.4
2014			22,711,428		30.06	79,870,914			682.8
2015									
01/01/15		01/31/15	5,927,154		27.12	85,798,068			160.7
02/01/15		02/28/15	2,590,076		29.45	88,388,144			76.3
03/01/15		03/31/15	4,563,649		29.16	92,951,793			133.1
Total first quarter			13,080,879		29.29				370.1

04/01/15	04/30/15	1,511,200	29.42	94,462,993		44.5
Total second quarter		1,511,200	29.42			44.5
					25,269,210	
Total purchased		94,462,993 \$	23.89		\$	2,256.9

^(*) NYSE closing price of SCC common shares at June 30, 2015.

As a result of the repurchase of shares of SCC s common stock, Grupo Mexico s direct and indirect ownership was 86.1% as of June 30, 2015.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures:

Not applicable.

Item 6. Exhibits

4.11

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit
3.1	(a) Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, filed on October 11, 2005. (Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the third quarter of 2005 and incorporated herein by reference). (b) Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated May 2, 2006. (Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-4, File No. 333-135170) filed on June 20, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference). (c) Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated May 28, 2008. (Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the second quarter of 2008 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.2	By-Laws, as last amended on January 27, 2011. (Filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company s 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference).
4.1	Indenture governing \$200 million 6.375% Notes due 2015, by and among Southern Copper Corporation, The Bank of New York and the Bank of New York (Luxembourg) S.A. (Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 1, 2005 and incorporated by reference).
4.2	(a) Indenture governing \$600 million 7.500% Notes due 2035, by and among Southern Copper Corporation, the Bank of New York and The Bank of New York (Luxembourg) S.A. (Filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 1, 2005) and incorporated herein by reference). (b) Indenture governing \$400 million 7.500% Notes due 2035, by and among Southern Copper Corporation, The Bank of New York, The Bank of New York (Luxembourg) S.A.(Filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 1, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.3	Form of 6.375% Note (included in Exhibit 4.1).
4.4	Form of New 7.500% Note (included in Exhibit 4.2(a)).
4.5	Form of New 7.500% Note (included in Exhibit 4.2(b)).
4.6	Indenture, dated as of April 16, 2010, between Southern Copper Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, pursuant to which \$400 million of 5.375% Notes due 2020 and \$1.1 billion of 6.750% Notes due 2040 were issued (Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.7	First Supplemental Indenture dated as of April 16, 2010, between Southern Copper Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, pursuant to which the 5.375% Notes due 2020 were issued (Filed as an Exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.8	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 16, 2010, between Southern Copper Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, pursuant to which the 6.750% Notes due 2040 were issued. (Filed as an Exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.9	Form of 5.375% Notes due 2020 (Filed as an Exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.10	Form of 6.750% Notes due 2040 (Filed as an Exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).

Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 8, 2012, between Southern Copper Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank,

National Association, as trustee, pursuant to which the 3.500% Notes due 2022 were issued.

- 4.12 Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 8, 2012, between Southern Copper Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, pursuant to which the 5.250% Notes due 2042 were issued.
 4.13 Form of 3.500% Notes due 2022.
- 4.14 Form of 5.250% Notes due 2042.
- 4.15 Fifth Supplemental Indenture dated as of April 23, 2015, between Southern Copper Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, pursuant to which the 3.875% Notes due 2025 were issued.
- 4.16 Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 23, 2015, between Southern Copper Corporation and Wells

Table of Contents

	Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, pursuant to which the 5.875% Notes due 2045 were issued.
4.17	Form of 3.875% Notes due 2025.
4.18	Form of 5.875% Notes due 2045.
10.1	Form of Directors Stock Award Plan of the Company (Filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K/A incorporated herein by reference).
10.2	Service Agreement entered into by the Company with a subsidiary of Grupo Mexico S.A.B. de C. V., assigned upon the same terms and conditions to Grupo Mexico S.A.B. de C.V. in February 2004 (Filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company s 2002 Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference).
10.3	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of October 21, 2004, by and among Southern Copper Corporation, SCC Merger Sub., Inc., Americas Sales Company, Inc., Americas Mining Corporation and Minera Mexico S.A. de C.V., (Filed as an Exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 22, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
14.0	Code of Business Conduct and Ethics adopted by the Board of Directors on May 8, 2003 and amended on April 23, 2015. (Filed as Exhibit 14 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 29, 2015 and incorporated herein by reference).
15.0	Consent of Registered Public Accounting Firm (Galaz, Yamazaki, Ruiz Urquiza, S.C Member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, Limited) (filed herewith).
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
32.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C., Section 1350. This document is being furnished in accordance with SEC Release No. 33-8238.
32.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C., Section 1350. This document is being furnished in accordance with SEC Release No. 33-8238.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document (submitted electronically with this report).
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document (submitted electronically with this report).
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document (submitted electronically with this report).
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document (submitted electronically with this report).
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document (submitted electronically with this report).
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document (submitted electronically with this report).

Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following documents formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014; (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014; (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014; (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014; and (v) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements tagged in detail. Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that this interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

7D 1	1		-	_			
Tal	าเ	e.	Ot	()	Ωn	ter	1fs

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SOUTHERN COPPER CORPORATION (Registrant)

/s/ Oscar Gonzalez Rocha Oscar Gonzalez Rocha President and Chief Executive Officer

July 30, 2015

/s/ Raul Jacob Raul Jacob Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer

July 30, 2015

SOUTHERN COPPER CORPORATION

List of Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit
3.1	(a) Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, filed on October 11, 2005. (Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the third quarter of 2005 and incorporated herein by reference). (b) Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated May 2, 2006. (Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-4, File No. 333-135170) filed on June 20, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference). (c) Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated May 28, 2008. (Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the second quarter of 2008 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.2	By-Laws, as last amended on January 27, 2011. (Filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company s 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K incorporated herein by reference).
4.1	Indenture governing \$200 million 6.375% Notes due 2015, by and among Southern Copper Corporation, The Bank of New York and the Bank of New York (Luxembourg) S.A. (Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 1, 2005 and incorporated by reference.
4.2	(a) Indenture governing \$600 million 7.500% Notes due 2035, by and among Southern Copper Corporation, the Bank of New York and The Bank of New York (Luxembourg) S.A. (Filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 1, 2005) and incorporated herein by reference). (b) Indenture governing \$400 million 7.500% Notes due 2035, by and between Southern Copper Corporation, The Bank of New York, The Bank of New York (Luxembourg) S.A.(Filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 1, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.3	Form of 6.375% Note (included in Exhibit 4.1).
4.4	Form of New 7.500% Note (included in Exhibit 4.2(a)).
4.5	Form of New 7.500% Note (included in Exhibit 4.2(b)).
4.6	Indenture, dated as of April 16, 2010, between Southern Copper Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, pursuant to which \$400 million of 5.375% Notes due 2020 and \$1.1 billion of 6.750% Notes due 2040 were issued (Filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.7	First Supplemental Indenture dated as of April 16, 2010, between Southern Copper Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, pursuant to which the 5.375% Notes due 2020 were issued (Filed as an Exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.8	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 16, 2010, between Southern Copper Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, pursuant to which the 6.750% Notes due 2040 were issued. (Filed as an Exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.9	Form of 5.375% Notes due 2020 (Filed as an Exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.10	Form of 6.750% Notes due 2040 (Filed as an Exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2010

and incorporated herein by reference).

- 4.11 Third Supplemental Indenture dated as of November 8, 2012, between Southern Copper Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, pursuant to which the 3.500% Notes due 2022 were issued (Filed as an Exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 9, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.12 Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of November 8, 2012, between Southern Copper Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, pursuant to which the 5.250% Notes due 2042 were issued. (Filed as an Exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 9, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.13 Form of 3.500% Notes due 2022. (Filed as an Exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 9, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.14 Form of 5.250% Notes due 2042. (Filed as an Exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on

Table of Contents

	November 9, 2012 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.15	Fifth Supplemental Indenture dated as of April 23, 2015, between Southern Copper Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, pursuant to which the 3.875% Notes due 2025 were issued. (Filed as an Exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 24, 2015 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.16	Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 23, 2015, between Southern Copper Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee, pursuant to which the 5.875% Notes due 2045 were issued. (Filed as an Exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 24, 2015 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.17	Form of 3.875% Notes due 2025. (Filed as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 24, 2015 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.18	Form of 5.875% Notes due 2045. (Filed as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 24, 2015 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.1	Form of Directors Stock Award Plan of the Company (Filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K/A incorporated herein by reference).
10.2	Service Agreement entered into by the Company with a subsidiary of Grupo Mexico S.A.B. de C. V., assigned upon the same terms and conditions to Grupo Mexico S.A.B. de C.V. in February 2004 (Filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company s 2002 Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference).
10.3	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of October 21, 2004, by and among Southern Copper Corporation, SCC Merger Sub., Inc., Americas Sales Company, Inc., Americas Mining Corporation and Minera Mexico S.A. de C.V., (Filed as an Exhibit to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 22, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference).
14.0	Code of Business Conduct and Ethics adopted by the Board of Directors on May 8, 2003 and amended on April 23, 2015. (Filed as Exhibit 14 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 29, 2015 and incorporated herein by reference).
15.0	Consent of Registered Public Accounting Firm (Galaz, Yamazaki, Ruiz Urquiza, S.C Member of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, Limited) (filed herewith).
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
32.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C., Section 1350. This document is being furnished in accordance with SEC Release No. 33-8238.
32.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C., Section 1350. This document is being furnished in accordance with SEC Release No. 33-8238.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document (submitted electronically with this report).
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document (submitted electronically with this report).
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document (submitted electronically with this report).
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document (submitted electronically with this report).
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document (submitted electronically with this report).
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document (submitted electronically with this report).

Attached as Exhibit 101 to this report are the following documents formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014; (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014; (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014; (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014; and (v) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements tagged in detail. Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T that this interactive data file is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.