AMERICAN STATES WATER CO Form 10-K March 12, 2012 Table of Contents

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

FOR ANNUAL AND TRANSITION REPORTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

(Mark One)

- x Annual Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 or
- o Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the transition period from

Commission File Number 001-14431 Registrant, State of Incorporation Address, Zip Code and Telephone Number American States Water Company IRS Employer Identification No. 95-4676679 to

(Incorporated in California)

630 E. Foothill Boulevard, San Dimas, CA 91773-1212

(909) 394-3600

Golden State Water Company

95-1243678

(Incorporated in California)

630 E. Foothill Boulevard, San Dimas, CA 91773-1212

(909) 394-3600

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class American States Water Company Common Shares

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

American States Water Company Yes o No x
Golden State Water Company Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

American States Water Company Yes o No x
Golden State Water Company Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

American States Water Company

Yes x No o

Golden State Water Company

Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files).

American States Water Company

Golden State Water Company

Yes x No o
Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

American States Water Company			
Large accelerated filer o	Accelerated filer x	Non-accelerated filer o	Smaller reporting company o
Golden State Water Company			
Large accelerated filer o	Accelerated filer o	Non-accelerated filer x	Smaller reporting company o
Indicate by check mark whether the Registran	t is a shell company (as defined	in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)	
	ates Water Company Water Company	Yes o No x Yes o No x	
The aggregate market value of the total voting \$699,832,000 on June 30, 2011 and March 8, <i>Journal website</i> , was \$37.13. As of March 8, same date, American States Water Company ovoting stock held by non-affiliates of Golden	2012, respectively. The closing 2012, the number of Common S owned all 146 outstanding Comr State Water Company was zero of	price per Common Share on March 8, 201 chares of American States Water Company mon Shares of Golden State Water Compar on June 30, 2011 and March 8, 2012.	2, as quoted in the <i>The Wall Street</i> outstanding was 18,848,158. As of that my. The aggregate market value of the total
Golden State Water Company meets the cond reduced disclosure format for Golden State W		ction I(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-K and is the	nerefore filing this Form, in part, with the
Documents Incorporated by Reference:			
Portions of the Proxy Statement of American Nos. 10, 11, 13 and 14 and portions of Item 12			exchange Commission as to Part III, Item

Table of Contents

AMERICAN STATES WATER COMPANY and

GOLDEN STATE WATER COMPANY

FORM 10-K

INDEX

<u>Part I</u>		
Item 1: Item 1A: Item 1B:	Business Risk Factors Unresolved Staff Comments	3 7 15
Item 2:	Properties	16
Item 3:	Legal Proceedings	18
Item 4:	Mine Safety Disclosure	19
<u>Part II</u>		
Item 5:	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	20
Item 6:	Selected Financial Data	23
<u>Item 7:</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation	24
Item 7A: Item 8:	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	69 70
Item 9:	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	126
Item 9A:	Controls and Procedures	126
Item 9B:	Other Information	126
<u>Part III</u>		
<u>Item 10:</u>	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	127
<u>Item 11:</u>	Executive Compensation	127
<u>Item 12:</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	127
<u>Item 13:</u>	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	127
<u>Item 14:</u>	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	127
Part IV		
<u>Item 15:</u>	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	128
	Schedule I Condensed Financial Information of Parent and Notes	134

7D 1	1			_			
Tal	าเ	e.	Ot	()	Ωn	ter	1fs

PART I

Item 1. Business

This annual report on Form 10-K is a combined report being filed by two separate Registrants: American States Water Company (hereinafter AWR), and Golden State Water Company (hereinafter GSWC). References in this report to Registrant are to AWR and GSWC, collectively, unless otherwise specified. GSWC makes no representations as to the information contained in this report relating to AWR and its subsidiaries, other than GSWC.

AWR makes its periodic reports, Form 10-Q and Form 10-K, and current reports, Form 8-K, available free of charge through its website, www.aswater.com, as soon as material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Such reports are also available on the SEC s internet website at http://www.sec.gov. AWR also makes available free of charge its code of business conduct and ethics, its corporate governance guidelines and the charters of its Board of Directors, Nominating and Governance Committee, Compensation Committee, and Audit and Finance Committee through its website or by calling (800) 999-4033. AWR and GSWC have filed the certification of officers required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 to its Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

General

AWR is the parent company of GSWC and American States Utility Services, Inc. (ASUS) and its subsidiaries (Fort Bliss Water Services Company (FBWS), Terrapin Utility Services, Inc. (TUS), Old Dominion Utility Services, Inc. (ODUS), Palmetto State Utility Services, Inc. (PSUS) and Old North Utility Services, Inc. (ONUS)). AWR was also the parent company of Chaparral City Water Company (CCWC) until the completion of the sale of CCWC on May 31, 2011. AWR was incorporated as a California corporation in 1998 as a holding company. AWR has three reportable segments: water, electric and contracted services. Within the segments, AWR has three principal business units: water and electric service utility operations conducted through GSWC, and contracted services conducted through ASUS and its subsidiaries. FBWS, TUS, ODUS, PSUS and ONUS may be referred to herein collectively as the Military Utility Privatization Subsidiaries.

GSWC is a California public utility company engaged principally in the purchase, production and distribution of water in 75 communities in 10 counties in the State of California. GSWC is regulated by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). It was incorporated as a California corporation on December 31, 1929. GSWC also provides electric service to the City of Big Bear Lake and surrounding areas in San Bernardino County through its Bear Valley Electric Service (BVES) division.

GSWC served 255,935 water customers and 23,508 electric customers at December 31, 2011, or a total of 279,443 customers, compared with 255,562 water customers and 23,257 electric customers at December 31, 2010, or a total of 278,819 customers. GSWC s utility operations exhibit seasonal trends. Although GSWC s water utility operations have a diversified customer base, residential and commercial customers account for the majority of GSWC s water sales and revenues. Revenues derived from commercial and residential water customers accounted for approximately 90% of total water revenues for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009.

ASUS, through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, has contracted with the U.S. government to provide water and/or wastewater services at various military installations, including the operation, maintenance, renewal and replacement of the water and/or wastewater systems, pursuant to 50-year firm, fixed-price contracts. Each of the contracts with the U.S. government may be subject to termination, in whole or in part, prior to the end of the 50-year term for convenience of the U.S. government or as a result of default or nonperformance by the subsidiary performing the contract. In either event, each Military Utility Privatization Subsidiary so impacted should be entitled to recover the remaining amount of its unrecovered capital investment pursuant to the terms of a termination settlement with the U.S. government at the time of termination as provided in the contract. The contract price for each of these contracts is subject to redetermination two years after commencement of operations and every three years thereafter under the terms of the contract. Prices are also subject to equitable adjustment based upon changes in circumstances, changes in laws and/or regulations and changes in wages and fringe benefits to the extent provided in the contract. AWR guarantees performance of ASUS military privatization contracts.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the terms of these contracts, the Military	Utility Privatization Subsidiaries operate	, as of the effective date of their respective
contracts, the following water and wastewater system	ms:	

- FBWS water and wastewater systems at Fort Bliss located near El Paso, Texas and extending into southeastern New Mexico;
- TUS water and wastewater systems at Andrews Air Force Base in Maryland;
- ODUS wastewater system at Fort Lee in Virginia and the water and wastewater systems at Fort Eustis and Fort Story in Virginia (TRADOC); as contemplated by the terms of the contract award, ODUS ceased providing water and wastewater services at Fort Monroe on September 2, 2011 pursuant to its closure under the Base Realignment and Closure Act;
- PSUS water and wastewater systems at Fort Jackson in South Carolina; and
- ONUS water and wastewater systems at Fort Bragg, Pope Air Force Base and Camp MacKall, North Carolina.

Certain financial information for each of AWR s business segments - water distribution, electric distribution, and contracted services - is set forth in Note 16 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of American States Water Company and its subsidiaries. AWR s water and electric distribution segments are not dependent upon a single or only a few customers. Other than a contract with a municipality to provide billing and meter reading services, which terminated on April 1, 2011, the U.S. government is the only primary customer for ASUS contracted services. ASUS may, from time to time, perform work for other prime contractors of the U.S. government.

The revenue from most of AWR s business segments is seasonal. The impact of seasonality on AWR s businesses is discussed in more detail in Item 1A Risk Factors.

Environmental matters and compliance with such laws and regulations are discussed in detail in Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under the section titled Environmental Matters.

Competition

The businesses of GSWC are substantially free from direct and indirect competition with other public utilities, municipalities and other public agencies within their existing service territories. However, GSWC may be subject to eminent domain proceedings in which government

agencies, under state law, may acquire GSWC s water systems if doing so is necessary and in the public s interest. GSWC competes with governmental agencies and other investor-owned utilities in connection with offering service to new real estate developments on the basis of financial terms, availability of water and ability to commence providing service on a timely basis. AWR s other subsidiary, ASUS, actively competes for business with other investor-owned utilities, other third party providers of water and/or wastewater services, and governmental entities primarily on the basis of price and quality of service.

AWR Workforce

AWR and its subsidiaries had a total of 732 employees as of December 31, 2011 as compared to 719 employees as of December 31, 2010. GSWC had 599 employees as of December 31, 2011 as compared to 592 employees as of December 31, 2010. Nineteen positions in GSWC s Bear Valley Electric customer service area are covered by a collective bargaining agreement with the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, which expires in 2013. Sixty-seven employees in GSWC s Region II are covered by a collective bargaining agreement with the Utility Workers Union of America, which expired in 2011. The collective bargaining agreement has been extended month-to-month while GSWC and the union negotiate on a new agreement. GSWC has no other unionized employees.

ASUS had 133 employees as of December 31, 2011. Eleven of the employees of a subsidiary of ASUS are covered by a collective bargaining agreement with the International Union of Operating Engineers which expires in 2013.

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Information

This Form 10-K and the documents incorporated herein contain forward-looking statements intended to qualify for the safe harbor from liability established by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are based on current estimates, expectations and projections about future events and assumptions regarding these events and include statements regarding management s goals, beliefs, plans or current expectations, taking into account the information currently available to management. Forward-looking statements are not statements of historical facts. For example, when we use words such as anticipate, believe, plan, estimate, expect, intend, may and other words the uncertainty of future events or outcomes, we are making forward-looking statements. We are not able to predict all the factors that may affect future results. We caution you that any forward-looking statements made by us are not guarantees of future performance and those actual results may differ materially from those in our forward-looking statements. Some of the factors that could cause future results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements, or from historical results, include, but are not limited to:

- The outcome of pending and future regulatory, legislative or other proceedings, investigations or audits, including decisions in our general rate cases and the results of independent audits of our construction contracting procurement practices
- Changes in the policies and procedures of the CPUC
- Timeliness of CPUC action on rates
- Our ability to efficiently manage capital expenditures and operating and maintenance expenses within CPUC authorized levels and timely recover our costs through rates
- Our ability to forecast the costs of maintaining our aging water infrastructure
- Changes in accounting valuations and estimates, including changes resulting from changes in our assessment of anticipated recovery of regulatory assets, liabilities and revenues subject to refund or regulatory disallowances
- Changes in environmental laws and water quality and wastewater requirements and increases in costs associated with complying with these laws and requirements
- Availability of water supplies, which may be adversely affected by changes in weather patterns, contamination and court decisions or other governmental actions restricting use of water from the Colorado River, transportation of water to our service areas through the State Water Project or pumping groundwater

• contamina	Our ability to recover the costs associated with the contamination of our groundwater supplies from parties responsible for the tion or through the ratemaking process and the time and expense incurred by us in obtaining recovery of such costs
• prices	Adequacy of our power supplies and the extent to which we can manage and respond to the volatility of electric and natural gas
•	Our ability to comply with the CPUC s renewable energy procurement requirements
• and finance	Changes in customer demand due to unanticipated population growth or decline, changes in climate conditions, general economic ial market conditions, cost increases and conservation
•	Changes in accounting treatment for regulated utilities
• activities a	Changes in estimates used in our revenue recognition under the percentage of completion method of accounting for our construction at our contracted services business
• the U.S. go	Termination, in whole or in part, of our contracts to provide water and/or wastewater services at military bases for the convenience of overnment
• wastewate	Delays in obtaining redetermination of prices or equitable adjustments to our prices on our contracts to provide water and/or r services at military bases
	5

Table of Contents

• or investig	Disallowance of costs on our contracts to provide water and/or wastewater services at military bases as a result of audits, cost review gations by contracting agencies
•	Inaccurate assumptions used in preparing bids in our contracted services business
• spilling in	Failure of the collection or sewage systems that we operate on military bases resulting in untreated wastewater or contaminants to nearby properties, streams or rivers
•	Failure to comply with the terms of our military privatization contracts
•	Failure of any of our subcontractors to perform services for us in accordance with the terms of our military privatization contracts
•	Implementation of new information technology systems
•	General economic conditions which may impact our ability to recover revenue from customers
	Explosions, fires, accidents, mechanical breakdowns, the disruption of information technology and telecommunication systems, for and similar events that may occur while operating and maintaining a water and electric system in California or operating and may be under a water and wastewater systems on military bases under varying geographic conditions
	The impact of storms, earthquakes, floods, mudslides, drought, wildfires, disease and similar natural disasters, or acts of terrorism, a or cyber attacks, that affect customer demand or that damage or disrupt facilities, operations or information technology systems us, our customers or third parties on whom we rely
• financing	Restrictive covenants in our debt instruments or changes to our credit ratings on current or future debt that may increase our costs or affect our ability to borrow or make payments on our debt
•	Our ability to access capital markets and other sources of credit in a timely manner on acceptable terms

Please consider our forward-looking statements in light of those risks as you read this Form 10-K. We qualify all of our forward-looking statement by these cautionary statements.

Table of Contents

Item 1A Risk Factors

You should carefully read the risks described below and other information in this Form 10-K in order to understand certain of the risks of our business.

Our business is heavily regulated and, as a result, decisions by regulatory agencies and changes in laws and regulations can significantly affect our business

Our revenues depend substantially on the rates and fees we charge our customers and the ability to recover our costs on a timely basis, including the ability to recover the costs of purchased water, groundwater assessments, electric power, natural gas, chemicals, water treatment, security at water facilities and preventative maintenance and emergency repairs. Any delays by the CPUC in granting rate relief to cover increased operating and capital costs at our public utilities or delays in obtaining approval of our requests for equitable adjustments or price redeterminations for contracted services from the U.S. government may adversely affect our financial performance. We may file for interim rates in California in situations where there may be delays in granting final rate relief during a general rate case proceeding. If the CPUC approves lower rates, the CPUC will require us to refund to customers the difference between the interim rates and the rates approved by the CPUC. Similarly, if the CPUC approves rates that are higher than the interim rates, the CPUC may authorize us to recover the difference between the interim rates and the final rates.

Regulatory decisions may also impact prospective revenues and earnings, affect the timing of the recognition of revenues and expenses, may overturn past decisions used in determining our revenues and expenses and could result in impairment of goodwill if the decision affects a subsidiary. Management continually evaluates the anticipated recovery of regulatory assets, liabilities and revenues subject to refund and provides for allowances and/or reserves as deemed necessary. In the event that our assessment of the probability of recovery through the ratemaking process is incorrect, we will adjust the associated regulatory asset or liability to reflect the change in our assessment or any regulatory disallowances. A change in our evaluation of the probability of recovery of regulatory assets or a regulatory disallowance of all or a portion of our costs could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

We are also, in some cases, required to estimate future expenses and in others, we are required to incur the expense before recovering costs. As a result, our revenues and earnings may fluctuate depending on the accuracy of our estimates, the timing of our investments or expenses or other factors. If expenses increase significantly over a short period of time, we may experience delays in recovery of these expenses, the inability to recover carrying costs for these expenses and increased risks of regulatory disallowances or write-offs.

Regulatory agencies may also change their rules and policies which may adversely affect our profitability and cash flows. Changes in policies of the U.S. government may also adversely affect our military base contract operations. In certain circumstances, the U.S. government may be unwilling or unable to appropriate funds to pay costs mandated by changes in rules and policies of federal or state regulatory agencies. The U.S. government may disagree with the increases that we request and may delay approval of requests for equitable adjustment or redetermination of prices which could adversely affect our anticipated rates of return.

We may also be subject to fines or penalties if a regulatory agency determines that we have failed to comply with laws, regulations or orders applicable to our businesses, unless we appeal this determination, or our appeal of an adverse determination is denied. Regulatory agencies may

also disallow certain costs if audit findings determine that we have failed to comply with our policies and procedures for procurement or other practices.

Our costs involved in maintaining water quality and complying with environmental regulation have increased and are expected to continue to increase

Our capital and operating costs have increased substantially as a result of increases in environmental regulation arising from increases in the cost of disposing of residuals from our water treatment plants, upgrading and building new water treatment plants, monitoring compliance activities and securing alternative supplies when necessary. GSWC may be able to recover these costs through the ratemaking process. We may also be able to recover these costs under settlement and contractual arrangements. In certain circumstances, costs may be recoverable from parties responsible or potentially responsible for contamination, either voluntarily or through specific court action.

We may also incur significant costs in connection with seeking to recover costs due to contamination of water supplies. Our ability to recover these types of costs also depends upon a variety of factors, including approval of rate

Table of Contents

increases, the willingness of potentially responsible parties to settle litigation and otherwise address the contamination and the extent and magnitude of the contamination. We can give no assurance regarding the adequacy of any such recovery to offset the costs associated with the contamination or the cost of recovery of any legal costs.

We may sustain losses that exceed or are excluded from our insurance coverage or for which we are not insured

We are, from time to time, parties to legal or regulatory proceedings. These proceedings may pertain to regulatory investigations, employment matters or other disputes. Management periodically reviews its assessment of the probable outcome of these proceedings, the costs and expenses reasonably expected to be incurred, and the availability and extent of insurance coverage. On the basis of this review, management establishes reserves for such matters. We may, however, from time to time be required to pay fines, penalties or damages that exceed our insurance coverage and/or reserves if our estimate of the probable outcome of such proceedings proves to be inaccurate.

We maintain insurance coverage as part of our overall legal and risk management strategy to minimize our potential liabilities. However, our insurance policies contain exclusions and other limitations that may not cover our potential liabilities. Generally, our insurance policies cover property, worker s compensation, employer s liability, general liability and automobile liability. Each policy includes deductibles or self-insured retentions and policy limits for covered claims. As a result, we may sustain losses that exceed or that are excluded from our insurance coverage or for which we are not insured.

Additional Risks Associated with our Public Utility Operations

Our operating costs have increased and are expected to continue to increase as a result of groundwater contamination

Our operations are impacted by groundwater contamination in certain service territories. We have taken a number of steps to address contamination, including the removal of wells from service, decreasing the amount of groundwater pumped from wells in order to slow the movement of plumes of contaminated water, constructing water treatment facilities and securing alternative sources of supply from other areas not affected by the contamination. In emergency situations, we have supplied our customers with bottled water until the emergency situation has been resolved.

In some cases, potentially responsible parties have reimbursed us for some or all of our costs. In other cases, we have taken legal action against parties believed to be potentially responsible for the contamination. To date, the CPUC has permitted us to establish memorandum accounts for potential recovery of these types of costs. We can give no assurance regarding the outcome of litigation arising out of contamination or our ability to recover the costs of litigation in the future.

Persons who are potentially responsible for causing the contamination of groundwater supplies have asserted claims against water distributors on a variety of theories and have thus far brought the water distributors (including GSWC) within the class of potentially responsible parties in federal court actions pending in Los Angeles County. This increases the costs and risks of seeking recovery of these costs. Management believes that rate recovery, proper insurance coverage and reserves are in place to appropriately manage these types of claims. However, such

claims, if ultimately resolved unfavorably to us, could, in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

The adequacy of our water supplies depends upon a v	variety of	f uncontrollable :	factors
---	------------	--------------------	---------

The adequacy of our water supplies varies from year to year depending upon a variety of factors, including:

- Rainfall, runoff, flood control and availability of reservoir storage;
- Availability of Colorado River water and imported water from northern California;
- The amount of useable water stored in reservoirs and groundwater basins;
- The amount of water used by our customers and others;
- Water quality;
- Legal limitations on production, diversion, storage, conveyance and use; and
- Climate change.

Population growth and increases in the amount of water used in California have caused increased stress on surface water supplies and groundwater basins. In addition, court-ordered pumping restrictions on water obtained from the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta may decrease the amount of water Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, or

Table of Contents

MWD, is able to import from northern California. We have implemented tiered rates and other practices in order to encourage water conservation. We are also acting to secure additional supplies from desalination and water transfers. However, we cannot predict to what extent these efforts to reduce stress on our water supplies will be successful or sustainable.

Water shortages at GSWC may:

- adversely affect our supply mix, for instance, causing increased reliance upon more expensive water sources;
- adversely affect our operating costs, for instance, by increasing the cost of producing water from more highly contaminated aquifers;
- result in an increase in our capital expenditures, for example by requiring the construction of pipelines to connect to alternative sources of supply, new wells to replace those that are no longer in service or are otherwise inadequate to meet the needs of our customers, and reservoirs and other facilities to conserve or reclaim water; and
- adversely affect the volume of water sold as a result of mandatory or voluntary conservation efforts by customers.

We may be able to recover increased operating and capital costs through the ratemaking process. GSWC has implemented a modified supply cost balancing account to track and recover costs from supply mix changes and rate changes by wholesale suppliers, as authorized by the CPUC. We may also recover costs from certain third parties that may be responsible, or potentially responsible, for groundwater contamination.

Our liquidity may be adversely affected by changes in water supply costs

We obtain our water supplies for GSWC from a variety of sources. For example, water is pumped from aquifers within our service areas to meet a portion of the demands of our customers. When water produced from wells in those areas is insufficient to meet customer demand or when such production is interrupted, we have purchased water from other suppliers. As a result, our cost of providing, distributing and treating water for our customers—use can vary significantly. Furthermore, imported water wholesalers, such as MWD may not always have an adequate supply of water to sell to us.

Our liquidity and earnings may be adversely affected by increases in maintenance costs due to our aging infrastructure

Some of our systems in California are more than 50 years old. We have experienced leaks and water quality and mechanical problems in some of these older systems. In addition, well and pump maintenance expenses are affected by labor and material costs and more stringent water discharge requirements. Although our maintenance costs for 2011 decreased as compared to 2010, these costs can incr