

PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP  
Form 10-Q  
May 06, 2011  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549

---

**FORM 10-Q**

**x Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011**

**or**

**o Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

**For the transition period from                      to**

**Commission File Number 001-11339**

**Protective Life Corporation**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

**Delaware**

**95-2492236**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(IRS Employer Identification Number)

**2801 Highway 280 South**

**Birmingham, Alabama 35223**

(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

**(205) 268-1000**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

Number of shares of Common Stock, \$0.50 Par Value, outstanding as of April 25, 2011: 85,705,659



Table of Contents

**PROTECTIVE LIFE CORPORATION**  
**QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q**  
**FOR QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>PART I</b>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	
Financial Statements (unaudited):	
<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	3
<u>Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Condensed Statement of Shareowners' Equity For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements</u>	7
<u>Item 2.</u>	
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	35
<u>Item 3.</u>	
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	87
<u>Item 4.</u>	
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	87
<b>PART II</b>	
<u>Item 1A.</u>	
<u>Risk Factors and Cautionary Factors that may Affect Future Results</u>	87
<u>Item 2.</u>	
<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	89
<u>Item 6.</u>	
<u>Exhibits</u>	90
<u>Signature</u>	91

Table of Contents**PROTECTIVE LIFE CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(Unaudited)

	<b>For The Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
	<b>(Dollars In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)</b>	
<b>Revenues</b>		
Premiums and policy fees	\$ 666,343	\$ 628,772
Reinsurance ceded	(331,808)	(305,829)
Net of reinsurance ceded	334,535	322,943
Net investment income	444,213	411,997
Realized investment gains (losses):		
Derivative financial instruments	(12,686)	(23,072)
All other investments	4,472	47,899
Other-than-temporary impairment losses	(16,021)	(21,856)
Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income (before taxes)	10,358	9,987
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	(5,663)	(11,869)
Other income	72,209	43,872
Total revenues	837,080	791,770
<b>Benefits and expenses</b>		
Benefits and settlement expenses, net of reinsurance ceded: (three months: 2011 - \$313,106; 2010 - \$302,701)	536,369	507,295
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and value of business acquired	74,363	81,289
Other operating expenses, net of reinsurance ceded: (three months: 2011 - \$45,260; 2010 - \$43,424)	122,253	101,910
Total benefits and expenses	732,985	690,494
<b>Income before income tax</b>	<b>104,095</b>	<b>101,276</b>
Income tax expense	36,629	31,570
<b>Net income</b>	<b>67,466</b>	<b>69,706</b>
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	(51)	(73)
<b>Net income available to PLC s common shareowners(1)</b>	<b>\$ 67,517</b>	<b>\$ 69,779</b>
Net income available to PLC s common shareowners - basic	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.81
Net income available to PLC s common shareowners - diluted	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.80
Cash dividends paid per share	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.12
Average shares outstanding - basic	86,603,228	86,500,199
Average shares outstanding - diluted	87,820,085	87,551,386

(1) Protective Life Corporation ( PLC )

See Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements



Table of Contents

**PROTECTIVE LIFE CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS**

(Unaudited)

	March 31, 2011	As of December 31, 2010
	(Dollars In Thousands)	
<b>Assets</b>		
Fixed maturities, at fair value (amortized cost: 2011 - \$23,958,988; 2010 - \$24,002,893)	\$ 24,676,049	\$ 24,676,939
Equity securities, at fair value (cost: 2011 - \$343,760; 2010 - \$349,605)	352,927	359,412
Mortgage loans (2011 and 2010 includes: \$903,122 and \$934,655 related to securitizations)	4,873,678	4,892,829
Investment real estate, net of accumulated depreciation (2011 - \$1,281; 2010 - \$1,200)	21,884	25,340
Policy loans	784,320	793,448
Other long-term investments	276,291	276,337
Short-term investments	406,676	352,824
Total investments	31,391,825	31,377,129
Cash	230,938	264,425
Accrued investment income	343,181	329,078
Accounts and premiums receivable, net of allowance for uncollectible amounts (2011 - \$4,023; 2010 - \$4,330)	63,547	58,580
Reinsurance receivables	5,659,191	5,608,029
Deferred policy acquisition costs and value of business acquired	3,885,016	3,851,743
Goodwill	113,983	114,758
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation (2011 - \$132,224; 2010 - \$130,576)	42,585	39,386
Other assets	176,778	169,664
Income tax receivable	29,422	45,582
Assets related to separate accounts		
Variable annuity	5,797,956	5,170,193
Variable universal life	561,044	534,219
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 48,295,466</b>	<b>\$ 47,562,786</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Policy liabilities and accruals	\$ 19,928,641	\$ 19,713,392
Stable value product account balances	2,664,139	3,076,233
Annuity account balances	10,781,341	10,591,605
Other policyholders funds	557,378	578,037
Other liabilities	975,974	926,201
Mortgage loan backed certificates	51,540	61,678
Deferred income taxes	1,054,984	1,022,130
Non-recourse funding obligations	496,700	532,400
Debt	1,484,852	1,501,852
Subordinated debt securities	524,743	524,743
Liabilities related to separate accounts		
Variable annuity	5,797,956	5,170,193
Variable universal life	561,044	534,219
Total liabilities	44,879,292	44,232,683
<b>Commitments and contingencies - Note 7</b>		
<b>Shareowners equity</b>		
Preferred Stock, \$1 par value, shares authorized: 4,000,000; Issued: None		
Common Stock, \$.50 par value, shares authorized: 2011 and 2010 - 160,000,000; shares issued: 2011 and 2010 - 88,776,960	44,388	44,388

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Additional paid-in-capital	590,783	586,592
Treasury stock, at cost (2011 - 3,071,301 shares; 2010 - 3,108,983 shares)	(25,763)	(26,072)
Retained earnings	2,488,447	2,432,925
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):		
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments, net of income tax: (2011 -\$209,949; 2010 - \$195,096)	389,906	362,321
Net unrealized (losses) gains relating to other-than-temporary impaired investments for which a portion has been recognized in earnings, net of income tax: (2011 - \$(8,831); 2010 - \$(5,223))	(16,400)	(9,700)
Accumulated loss - derivatives, net of income tax: (2011 - \$(3,096); 2010 - \$(6,355))	(5,749)	(11,802)
Postretirement benefits liability adjustment, net of income tax: (2011 -\$(26,063); 2010 - \$(25,612))	(48,403)	(47,565)
Total Protective Life Corporation s shareowners equity	3,417,209	3,331,087
Noncontrolling interest	(1,035)	(984)
Total equity	3,416,174	3,330,103
<b>Total liabilities and shareowners equity</b>	<b>\$ 48,295,466</b>	<b>\$ 47,562,786</b>

See Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements



Table of Contents

## PROTECTIVE LIFE CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF SHAREOWNERS EQUITY

(Unaudited)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In- Capital	Treasury Stock	Retained Earnings	Net Unrealized Gains / (Losses) on Investments	Accumulated Gain / (Loss) Derivatives	Other (Loss) Minimum Pension Liability Adjustments	Total Protective Life Corporation's equity	Non controlling Interest	Total Equity
Balance, December 31, 2010	\$ 44,388	\$ 586,592	\$ (26,072)	\$ 2,432,925	\$ 352,621	\$ (11,802)	\$ (47,565)	\$ 3,331,087	\$ (984)	\$ 3,330,103
Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2011				67,517				67,517	(51)	67,466
Change in net unrealized gains/losses on investments (net of income tax - \$17,907)					33,263			33,263		33,263
Reclassification adjustment for investment amounts included in net income (net of income tax - \$(3,054))					(5,678)			(5,678)		(5,678)
Change in net unrealized gains/losses relating to other-than-temporary impaired investments for which a portion has been recognized in earnings (net of income tax \$(3,608))					(6,700)			(6,700)		(6,700)
Change in accumulated gain (loss) derivatives (net of income tax - \$3,621)						6,724		6,724		6,724
Reclassification adjustment for derivative amounts included in net income (net of income tax - \$(361))						(671)		(671)		(671)
Change in minimum pension liability adjustment (net of income tax - \$(451))							(838)	(838)		(838)
Comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2011								93,617	(51)	93,566
Cash dividends (\$0.14 per share)				(11,995)				(11,995)		(11,995)
Stock-based compensation		4,191	309					4,500		4,500
Balance, March 31, 2011	\$ 44,388	\$ 590,783	\$ (25,763)	\$ 2,488,447	\$ 373,506	\$ (5,749)	\$ (48,403)	\$ 3,417,209	\$ (1,035)	\$ 3,416,174

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

See Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

Table of Contents

## PROTECTIVE LIFE CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(Dollars In Thousands)	
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>		
Net income	\$ 67,466	\$ 69,706
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Realized investment losses (gains)	13,877	(12,958)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and value of business acquired	74,363	81,289
Capitalization of deferred policy acquisition costs	(118,078)	(121,980)
Depreciation expense	1,650	1,949
Deferred income tax	23,314	(1,340)
Accrued income tax	16,160	101,222
Interest credited to universal life and investment products	237,391	246,524
Policy fees assessed on universal life and investment products	(165,564)	(150,168)
Change in reinsurance receivables	(51,162)	(111,708)
Change in accrued investment income and other receivables	(15,220)	(20,192)
Change in policy liabilities and other policyholders funds of traditional life and health products	(14,041)	61,857
Trading securities:		
Maturities and principal reductions of investments	105,170	89,700
Sale of investments	300,010	244,133
Cost of investments acquired	(275,880)	(272,249)
Other net change in trading securities	(36,908)	(26,432)
Change in other liabilities	(26,873)	20,464
Other income - surplus note repurchase	(10,095)	
Other, net	(15,288)	26,904
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>110,292</b>	<b>226,721</b>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities</b>		
Maturities and principal reductions of investments, available-for-sale	653,265	593,314
Sale of investments, available-for-sale	284,567	1,035,081
Cost of investments acquired, available-for sale	(998,309)	(2,408,262)
Mortgage loans:		
New borrowings	(128,640)	(30,531)
Repayments	136,969	70,515
Change in investment real estate, net	2,508	120
Change in policy loans, net	9,128	10,696
Change in other long-term investments, net	(35,051)	(17,531)
Change in short-term investments, net	(19,961)	412,723
Net unsettled security transactions	152,168	158,661
Purchase of property and equipment	(4,847)	(1,711)
Payments for business acquisitions	(20,418)	
<b>Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities</b>	<b>31,379</b>	<b>(176,925)</b>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities</b>		
Borrowings under line of credit arrangements and debt		15,000
Principal payments on line of credit arrangement and debt	(17,000)	(40,000)
Issuance (repayment) of non-recourse funding obligations	(35,700)	
Dividends to shareowners	(11,994)	(10,270)

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Issuance of common stock		
Investments product deposits and change in universal life deposits	996,367	785,155
Investment product withdrawals	(1,081,920)	(797,767)
Other financing activities, net	(24,911)	(4,305)
<b>Net cash used in financing activities</b>	<b>(175,158)</b>	<b>(52,187)</b>
<b>Change in cash</b>	<b>(33,487)</b>	<b>(2,391)</b>
<b>Cash at beginning of period</b>	<b>264,425</b>	<b>205,325</b>
<b>Cash at end of period</b>	<b>\$ 230,938</b>	<b>\$ 202,934</b>

See Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

Table of Contents

**PROTECTIVE LIFE CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Unaudited)

**1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

**Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements of Protective Life Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the accompanying financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring items) necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. Operating results for the three month period ended March 31, 2011, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011. The year-end consolidated condensed balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The operating results of companies in the insurance industry have historically been subject to significant fluctuations due to changing competition, economic conditions, interest rates, investment performance, insurance ratings, claims, persistency, and other factors.

**Reclassifications**

Certain reclassifications have been made in the previously reported financial statements and accompanying notes to make the prior year amounts comparable to those of the current year. Such reclassifications had no effect on previously reported net income or shareowners' equity.

**Entities Included**

The consolidated condensed financial statements include the accounts of Protective Life Corporation and subsidiaries and its affiliate companies in which the Company holds a majority voting or economic interest. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

**2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

**Accounting Pronouncements Recently Adopted**

**Accounting Standard Update ( ASU or Update ) No. 2010-06 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements.** In January of 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued ASU No. 2010-06 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. This Update provides amendments to Subtopic 820-10 that require the following new disclosures. 1) A reporting entity should disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers. 2) In the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), a reporting entity should present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements (that is, on a gross basis rather than as one net number).

This Update provides amendments to Subtopic 820-10 that clarify existing disclosures. 1) A reporting entity should provide fair value measurement disclosures for each class of assets and liabilities. 2) A reporting entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. Those disclosures are required for fair value measurements that fall in either Level 2 or Level 3. This Update also includes conforming amendments to the guidance on employers' disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets (Subtopic 715-20). The conforming amendments to Subtopic 715-20 change the terminology from *major categories* of assets to *classes* of assets and provide a cross reference to the guidance in Subtopic 820-10 on how to determine appropriate classes to present fair value disclosures. This Update is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, which the Company adopted for the period ending March 31, 2010, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales,

Table of Contents

issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures were adopted by the Company as of January 1, 2011. This Update did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated condensed results of operations or financial position.

**ASU No. 2010-15 Financial Services Insurance How Investments Held through Separate Accounts Affect an Insurer's Consolidation**

**Analysis of Those Investments.** The amendments in this Update clarify that an insurance entity should not consider any separate account interests held for the benefit of policy holders in an investment to be the insurer's interests. The entity should not combine general account and separate account interests in the same investment when assessing the investment for consolidation. Additionally, the amendments do not require an insurer to consolidate an investment in which a separate account holds a controlling financial interest if the investment is not or would not be consolidated in the standalone financial statements of the separate account. The amendments in this Update also provide guidance on how an insurer should consolidate an investment fund in situations in which the insurer concludes that consolidation is required. This Update is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. For the Company this Update became effective January 1, 2011. This Update did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated condensed results of operations or financial position.

**ASU No. 2010-28 Intangibles Goodwill and Other When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts.**

The amendments in this Update modify Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist. This Update is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. This Update was effective for the Company as of January 1, 2011. This Update did not have an impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

**Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted**

**ASU No. 2010-26 Financial Services Insurance - Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts.**

The objective of this Update is to address diversity in practice regarding the interpretation of which costs relating to the acquisition of new or renewal insurance contracts qualify for deferral. This Update prescribes that certain incremental direct costs of successful initial or renewal contract acquisitions may be deferred. It defines incremental direct costs as those costs that result directly from and are essential to the contract transaction and would not have been incurred by the insurance entity had the contract transaction not occurred. This Update also clarifies the definition of the types of incurred costs that may be capitalized and the accounting and recognition treatment of advertising, research, and other administrative costs related to the acquisition of insurance contracts. This Update is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and is to be applied prospectively. Early adoption and retrospective application are optional. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this Update will have on its results of operations or financial position.

**ASU No. 2011-02 Receivables A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring.**

The objective of this Update is to evaluate whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. In evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring, a creditor must separately conclude that both of the following exist: 1) the restructuring constitutes a concession and 2) the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. This Update also clarifies the guidance on a creditor's evaluation of whether it has granted a concession. The amendments in this Update are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and should be applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. For the Company, this Update will become effective on July 1, 2011. The Company is currently evaluating the impact this Update will have on its results of operations or financial position.

**ASU. No. 2011-03 Transfers and Servicing - Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements.** This Update amends the assessment of effective control for repurchase agreements to remove 1) the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee, and 2) the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to the criterion. The Boards determined that these criterion should not be a determining factor of effective control. This Update is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011, for the Company, the Update will be applied to all repurchase agreements beginning January 1, 2012. The Company is currently evaluating the possible impact of this Update.

### **Significant Accounting Policies**

For a full description of significant accounting policies, see Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. There were no significant changes to the Company's accounting policies during the three months ended March 31, 2011, except as noted above.



Table of Contents**3. INVESTMENT OPERATIONS**

Net realized investment gains (losses) for all other investments are summarized as follows:

	<b>For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 (Dollars In Thousands)</b>	
Fixed maturities	\$	5,295
Equity securities		9,100
Impairments on fixed maturity securities		(5,663)
Impairments on equity securities		
Modco trading portfolio		(5,649)
Other investments		(4,274)
Total realized gains (losses) - investments	\$	(1,191)

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, gross realized gains on investments available-for-sale (fixed maturities, equity securities, and short-term investments) were \$14.6 million and gross realized losses were \$5.8 million, including \$5.6 million of impairment losses. The \$5.6 million excludes \$0.1 million of impairment losses in the trading portfolio for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

The \$9.1 million of gains included in equity securities primarily relates to gains of \$6.9 million on securities that have recovered in value as the issuer exited bankruptcy and \$1.2 million that relates to gains recognized on the sale of Federal National Mortgage Association preferreds.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company sold securities in an unrealized gain position with a fair value (proceeds) of \$236.0 million. The gain realized on the sale of these securities was \$14.6 million.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company sold securities in an unrealized loss position with a fair value (proceeds) of \$20.0 million. The loss realized on the sale of these securities was \$0.2 million.

The amortized cost and fair value of the Company's investments classified as available-for-sale as of March 31, 2011, are as follows:

	<b>Amortized Cost</b>		<b>Gross Unrealized Gains</b>		<b>Gross Unrealized Losses</b>		<b>Fair Value</b>
			<b>(Dollars In Thousands)</b>				
<b>Fixed maturities:</b>							
<b>Bonds</b>							
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$	2,350,063	\$	49,118	\$	(101,952)	\$ 2,297,229
Commercial mortgage-backed securities		178,296		5,420		(1,106)	182,610

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Other asset-backed securities	889,737	1,236	(30,505)	860,468
U.S. government-related securities	1,205,592	27,064	(5,548)	1,227,108
Other government-related securities	164,395	5,231	(46)	169,580
States, municipals, and political subdivisions	979,587	11,812	(21,069)	970,330
Corporate bonds	15,341,954	932,526	(155,120)	16,119,360
	21,109,624	1,032,407	(315,346)	21,826,685
Equity securities	332,397	13,447	(4,280)	341,564
Short-term investments	251,233			251,233
	\$ 21,693,254	\$ 1,045,854	\$ (319,626)	\$ 22,419,482

As of March 31, 2011, the Company had an additional \$2.9 billion of fixed maturities, \$11.4 million of equity securities, and \$155.4 million of short-term investments classified as trading securities.

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

### Table of Contents

The amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale fixed maturities as of March 31, 2011, by expected maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities of securities without a single maturity date are allocated based on estimated rates of prepayment that may differ from actual rates of prepayment.

	<b>Amortized Cost</b>		<b>Fair Value</b>
	<b>(Dollars In Thousands)</b>		
Due in one year or less	\$ 397,337	\$	408,901
Due after one year through five years	4,731,422		4,891,990
Due after five years through ten years	5,357,058		5,607,355
Due after ten years	10,623,807		10,918,439
	\$ 21,109,624	\$	21,826,685

Each quarter the Company reviews investments with unrealized losses and tests for other-than-temporary impairments. The Company analyzes various factors to determine if any specific other-than-temporary asset impairments exist. These include, but are not limited to: 1) actions taken by rating agencies, 2) default by the issuer, 3) the significance of the decline, 4) an assessment of the Company's intent to sell the security (including a more likely than not assessment of whether the Company will be required to sell the security) before recovering the security's amortized cost, 5) the time period during which the decline has occurred, 6) an economic analysis of the issuer's industry, and 7) the financial strength, liquidity, and recoverability of the issuer. Management performs a security by security review each quarter in evaluating the need for any other-than-temporary impairments. Although no set formula is used in this process, the investment performance, collateral position, and continued viability of the issuer are significant measures considered, and in some cases, an analysis regarding the Company's expectations for recovery of the security's entire amortized cost basis through the receipt of future cash flows is performed. Once a determination has been made that a specific other-than-temporary impairment exists, the security's basis is adjusted and an other-than-temporary impairment is recognized. Equity securities that are other-than-temporarily impaired are written down to fair value with a realized loss recognized in earnings. Other-than-temporary impairments to debt securities that the Company does not intend to sell and does not expect to be required to sell before recovering the security's amortized cost are written down to discounted expected future cash flows (post impairment cost) and credit losses are recorded in earnings. The difference between the securities' discounted expected future cash flows and the fair value of the securities is recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) as a non-credit portion of the recognized other-than-temporary impairment. When calculating the post impairment cost for residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS), commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS), and other asset-backed securities, the Company considers all known market data related to cash flows to estimate future cash flows. When calculating the post impairment cost for corporate debt securities, the Company considers all contractual cash flows to estimate expected future cash flows. To calculate the post impairment cost, the expected future cash flows are discounted at the original purchase yield. Debt securities that the Company intends to sell or expects to be required to sell before recovery are written down to fair value with the change recognized in earnings.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company recorded other-than-temporary impairments on investments of \$16.0 million related to debt securities. Of the \$16.0 million of impairments for the three months ended March 31, 2011, \$5.7 million was recorded in earnings and \$10.3 million was recorded in other comprehensive income (loss). For the three months ended March 31, 2011, there were no other-than-temporary impairments related to equity securities. During this period, there were no other-than-temporary impairments related to debt securities or equity securities that the Company intends to sell or expects to be required to sell, except with respect to certain debt securities that were part of the Company's collateral in its securities lending program.

At the end of the period, the Company decided to discontinue this program. The Company believed that certain debt securities that were part of this program's collateral, and were in an unrealized loss position, will be sold prior to their respective maturities. Therefore, the Company recorded a \$1.3 million other-than-temporary impairment loss on these securities during the period.



Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following chart is a rollforward of credit losses on debt securities held by the Company for which a portion of an other-than-temporary impairment was recognized in other comprehensive income (loss):

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(Dollars In Thousands)	
Beginning balance	\$ 39,427	\$ 25,076
Additions for newly impaired securities	3,609	6,556
Additions for previously impaired securities	668	1,734
Reductions for previously impaired securities due to a change in expected cash flows		
Reductions for previously impaired securities that were sold in the current period	(3,089)	
Other		
Ending balance	\$ 40,615	\$ 33,366

The following table includes investments gross unrealized losses and fair value of the Company's investments that are not deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2011:

	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
	(Dollars In Thousands)					
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$ 274,481	\$ (21,813)	\$ 785,977	\$ (80,139)	\$ 1,060,458	\$ (101,952)
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	33,146	(1,106)			33,146	(1,106)
Other asset-backed securities	89,806	(2,269)	579,475	(28,236)	669,281	(30,505)
U.S. government-related securities	272,367	(5,548)			272,367	(5,548)
Other government-related securities	28,855	(40)	14,994	(6)	43,849	(46)
States, municipals, and political subdivisions	560,651	(21,069)			560,651	(21,069)
Corporate bonds	2,631,263	(82,462)	744,750	(72,658)	3,376,013	(155,120)
Equities	15,596	(2,296)	13,545	(1,984)	29,141	(4,280)
	\$ 3,906,165	\$ (136,603)	\$ 2,138,741	\$ (183,023)	\$ 6,044,906	\$ (319,626)

The RMBS have a gross unrealized loss greater than twelve months of \$80.1 million as of March 31, 2011. These losses relate to a widening in spreads and defaults as a result of continued weakness in the residential housing market which have reduced the fair value of the RMBS holdings. Factors such as the credit enhancement within the deal structure, the average life of the securities, and the performance of the underlying collateral support the recoverability of the investments.

The other asset-backed securities have a gross unrealized loss greater than twelve months of \$28.2 million as of March 31, 2011. This category predominately includes student-loan backed auction rate securities whose underlying collateral is at least 97% guaranteed by the Federal Family

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Education Loan Program ( FFELP ). These losses relate to the auction rate securities ( ARS ) market collapse during 2008. At this time, the Company has no reason to believe that the U.S. Department of Education would not honor the FFELP guarantee, if it were necessary. In addition, the Company has the ability and intent to hold these securities until their values recover or until maturity.

The corporate bonds category has gross unrealized losses greater than twelve months of \$72.7 million as of March 31, 2011. These losses relate primarily to fluctuations in credit spreads. The aggregate decline in market value of these securities was deemed temporary due to positive factors supporting the recoverability of the respective investments. Positive factors considered include credit ratings, the financial health of the issuer, the continued access of the issuer to capital markets, and other pertinent information including the Company's ability and intent to hold these securities to recovery.

The Company does not consider these unrealized loss positions to be other-than-temporary, based on the factors discussed and because the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until the fair values recover, and does not intend to sell or expect to be required to sell the securities before recovering the Company's amortized cost basis.

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2011, the Company had securities in its available-for-sale portfolio which were rated below investment grade of \$2.7 billion and had an amortized cost of \$2.8 billion. In addition, included in the Company's trading portfolio, the Company held \$290.5 million of securities which were rated below investment grade. Approximately \$568.2 million of the below investment grade securities were not publicly traded.

The change in unrealized gains (losses), net of income tax, on fixed maturity and equity securities, classified as available-for-sale is summarized as follows:

	<b>For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 (Dollars In Thousands)</b>	
Fixed maturities	\$	27,960
Equity securities		(416)

#### **4. MORTGAGE LOANS**

##### **Mortgage Loans**

The Company invests a portion of its investment portfolio in commercial mortgage loans. As of March 31, 2011, the Company's mortgage loan holdings were approximately \$4.9 billion.

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had an allowance for mortgage loan credit losses of \$4.3 million and \$11.7 million, respectively. Over the past ten years, the Company's commercial mortgage loan portfolio has experienced an average credit loss factor of approximately 0.2%. Due to such low historical losses, the Company believes that a collectively evaluated allowance would be inappropriate. The Company believes an allowance calculated through an analysis of specific loans that are believed to have a higher risk of credit impairment provides a more accurate presentation of expected losses in the portfolio and is consistent with the applicable guidance for loan impairments in Subtopic 310. Since the Company uses the specific identification method for calculating reserves, it is necessary to review the economic situation of each borrower to determine those that have higher risk of credit impairment. The Company has a team of professionals that monitor borrower conditions such as payment practices, borrower credit, operating performance, property conditions, as well as ensuring the timely payment of property taxes and insurance. Through this monitoring process, the Company assesses the risk of each borrower. When issues are identified, the severity of the issues are assessed and reviewed for possible credit impairment. If a loss is probable, an expected loss calculation is performed and an allowance is established for that borrower. A loan may be subsequently charged off at such point that the Company no longer expects to receive cash payments, the present value of future expected payments of the renegotiated loan is less than the current principal balance, or at such time that the Company is party to foreclosure or bankruptcy proceedings associated with the borrower and does not expect to recover the principal balance of the loan. A charge off is recorded by eliminating the allowance against the mortgage loan and recording the renegotiated loan or the collateral property related to the loan as investment real estate on the balance sheet, which is carried at the lower of the appraised fair value of the property or the unpaid principal balance of the loan, less estimated selling costs associated with the property.

As of March 31, 2011, delinquent mortgage loans, foreclosed properties, and restructured loans pursuant to a pooling and servicing agreement totaled \$30.2 million, and were less than 0.1% of invested assets. The Company does not expect these investments to adversely affect its

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

liquidity or ability to maintain proper matching of assets and liabilities. The Company's mortgage loan portfolio consists of two categories of loans: 1) those not subject to a pooling and servicing agreement and 2) those previously a part of variable interest entity securitizations and thus subject to a contractual pooling and servicing agreement. The loans subject to a pooling and servicing agreement have been included on our consolidated condensed balance sheet ( balance sheet ) beginning in the first quarter of 2010 in accordance with ASU 2009-17. For loans not subject to a pooling and servicing agreement, as of March 31, 2011, \$13.6 million, or 0.3%, of the mortgage loan portfolio was nonperforming. In addition, as of March 31, 2011, \$16.6 million, 0.3%, of the mortgage loan portfolio that is subject to a pooling and servicing agreement was either nonperforming or has been restructured under the terms and conditions of the pooling and service agreement.



Table of Contents

An analysis of the change in the allowance for mortgage loan credit losses is provided in the following chart:

	As of	
	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
	(Dollars In Thousands)	
Beginning balance	\$ 11,650	\$ 1,725
Charge offs	(8,008)	(1,146)
Recoveries	(2,000)	
Provision	2,643	11,071
Ending balance	\$ 4,285	\$ 11,650

It is the Company's policy to cease to carry accrued interest on loans that are over 90 days delinquent. For loans less than 90 days delinquent, interest is accrued unless it is determined that the accrued interest is not collectible. If a loan becomes over 90 days delinquent, it is the Company's general policy to initiate foreclosure proceedings unless a workout arrangement to bring the loan current is in place. For loans subject to a pooling and servicing agreement, there are certain additional restrictions and/or requirements related to workout proceedings, and as such, these loans may have different attributes and/or circumstances affecting the status of delinquency or categorization of those in nonperforming status. An analysis of the delinquent loans is shown in the following chart as of March 31, 2011:

	30-59 Days	60-89 Days	Greater	Total
	Delinquent	Delinquent	than 90 Days	Delinquent
	(Dollars In Thousands)			
Commercial mortgage loans	\$ 61,699	\$	\$ 12,455	\$ 74,154
Number of delinquent commercial mortgage loans	8		3	11

The Company's commercial mortgage loan portfolio consists of mortgage loans that are collateralized by real estate. Due to the collateralized nature of the loans, any assessment of impairment and ultimate loss given a default on the loans is based upon a consideration of the estimated fair value of the real estate. The Company limits accrued interest income on impaired loans to ninety days of interest. Once accrued interest on the impaired loan is received, interest income is recognized on a cash basis. For information regarding impaired loans, please refer to the following chart as of March 31, 2011:

	Recorded	Unpaid	Related	Average	Interest	Cash Basis
	Investment	Principal	Allowance	Recorded	Income	Interest
	(Dollars In Thousands)					
Commercial mortgage loans:						
With no related allowance recorded	\$ 3,740	\$ 3,740	\$	\$ 1,870	\$ 35	\$ 35
With an allowance recorded	22,066	22,066	4,285	4,413	119	119

## 5. GOODWILL

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company decreased its goodwill balance by approximately \$0.8 million. The decrease was due to adjustments in the Acquisitions segment related to tax benefits realized during 2011 on the portion of tax goodwill in excess of GAAP basis goodwill. As of March 31, 2011, the Company had an aggregate goodwill balance of \$114.0 million.

Accounting for goodwill requires an estimate of the future profitability of the associated lines of business to assess the recoverability of the capitalized acquisition goodwill. The Company evaluates the carrying value of goodwill at the segment (or reporting unit) level at least annually and between annual evaluations if events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying amount. Such circumstances could include, but are not limited to: 1) a significant adverse change in legal factors or in business climate, 2) unanticipated competition, or 3) an adverse action or assessment by a regulator. When evaluating whether goodwill is impaired, the Company compared its estimate of the fair value of the reporting unit to which the goodwill is assigned to the reporting unit's carrying amount, including goodwill. The Company utilizes a fair value measurement (which includes a discounted cash flows analysis) to assess the carrying value of the reporting units in consideration of the recoverability of the goodwill balance assigned to each reporting unit as of the

Table of Contents

measurement date. The Company's material goodwill balances are attributable to certain of its operating segments (which are each considered to be reporting units). The cash flows used to determine the fair value of the Company's reporting units are dependent on a number of significant assumptions. The Company's estimates, which consider a market participant view of fair value, are subject to change given the inherent uncertainty in predicting future results and cash flows, which are impacted by such things as policyholder behavior, competitor pricing, capital limitations, new product introductions, and specific industry and market conditions. Additionally, the discount rate used is based on the Company's judgment of the appropriate rate for each reporting unit based on the relative risk associated with the projected cash flows. As of December 31, 2010, the Company performed its annual evaluation of goodwill and determined that no adjustment to impair goodwill was necessary.

The Company also considers its market capitalization in assessing the reasonableness of the fair values estimated for its reporting units in connection with its goodwill impairment testing. In considering the Company's March 31, 2011 common equity price, which was lower than its book value per share, the Company noted there are several factors that would result in its market capitalization being lower than the fair value of its reporting units that are tested for goodwill impairment. Such factors that would not be reflected in the valuation of the Company's reporting units with goodwill include, but are not limited to: a potential concern about future earnings growth, negative market sentiment, different valuation methodologies that resulted in low valuation, and increased risk premium for holding investments in mortgage-backed securities and commercial mortgage loans. Deterioration of or adverse market conditions for certain businesses may have a significant impact on the fair value of the Company's reporting units. In the Company's view, market capitalization being below book value does not invalidate the Company's fair value assessment related to the recoverability of goodwill in its reporting units, and did not result in a triggering or impairment event.

## 6. DEBT AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS

Non-recourse funding obligations outstanding as of March 31, 2011, on a consolidated basis, are shown in the following table:

Issuer	Balance (Dollars In Thousands)	Maturity Year	Year-to-Date Weighted-Avg Interest Rate
Golden Gate II Captive Insurance Company	\$ 496,700	2052	1.42%

Golden Gate II Captive Insurance Company ( Golden Gate II ), a special purpose financial captive insurance company wholly owned by Protective Life Insurance Company ( PLICO ), had \$575 million of outstanding non-recourse funding obligations as of March 31, 2011. These outstanding non-recourse funding obligations were issued to special purpose trusts, which in turn issued securities to third parties. Certain of the Company's affiliates purchased a portion of these securities during 2010 and 2011. As a result of these purchases, as of March 31, 2011, securities related to \$496.7 million of the outstanding balance of the non-recourse funding obligations were held by external parties and securities related to \$78.3 million of the non-recourse funding obligations were held by affiliates.

Under a revolving line of credit arrangement, the Company has the ability to borrow on an unsecured basis up to an aggregate principal amount of \$500 million (the Credit Facility ). The Company has the right in certain circumstances to request that the commitment under the Credit Facility be increased up to a maximum principal amount of \$600 million. Balances outstanding under the Credit Facility accrue interest at a rate equal to (i) either the prime rate or the London Interbank Offered Rate ( LIBOR ), plus (ii) a spread based on the ratings of our senior unsecured long-term debt. The Credit Agreement provides that the Company is liable for the full amount of any obligations for borrowings or letters of credit, including those of PLICO, under the Credit Facility. The maturity date on the Credit Facility is April 16, 2013. There was an outstanding balance of \$125.0 million at an interest rate of LIBOR plus 0.40% under the Credit Facility as of March 31, 2011.



Table of Contents**7. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

The Company has entered into indemnity agreements with each of its current directors that provide, among other things and subject to certain limitations, a contractual right to indemnification to the fullest extent permissible under the law. The Company has agreements with certain of its officers providing up to \$10 million in indemnification. These obligations are in addition to the customary obligation to indemnify officers and directors contained in the Company's governance documents.

Under insurance guaranty fund laws, in most states insurance companies doing business therein can be assessed up to prescribed limits for policyholder losses incurred by insolvent companies. The Company does not believe such assessments will be materially different from amounts already provided for in the financial statements. Most of these laws do provide, however, that an assessment may be excused or deferred if it would threaten an insurer's own financial strength.

A number of civil jury verdicts have been returned against insurers, broker dealers and other providers of financial services involving sales, refund or claims practices, alleged agent misconduct, failure to properly supervise representatives, relationships with agents or persons with whom the insurer does business, and other matters. Often these lawsuits have resulted in the award of substantial judgments that are disproportionate to the actual damages, including material amounts of punitive and non-economic compensatory damages. In some states, juries, judges, and arbitrators have substantial discretion in awarding punitive non-economic compensatory damages which creates the potential for unpredictable material adverse judgments or awards in any given lawsuit or arbitration. Arbitration awards are subject to very limited appellate review. In addition, in some class action and other lawsuits, companies have made material settlement payments. The Company, in the ordinary course of business, is involved in such litigation and arbitration. The occurrence of such litigation and arbitration may become more frequent and/or severe when general economic conditions have deteriorated. Although the Company cannot predict the outcome of any such litigation or arbitration, the Company does not believe that any such outcome will have a material impact on its financial condition or results of the operations.

**8. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

The following table sets forth the Company's comprehensive income (loss) for the periods presented below:

	<b>For The Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
	<b>(Dollars In Thousands)</b>	
Net income	\$ 67,466	\$ 69,706
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on investments, net of income tax: (three months: 2011 - \$17,907; 2010 - \$142,481)	33,263	263,959
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) relating to other-than-temporary impaired investments for which a portion has been recognized in earnings, net of income tax: (three months: 2011 - \$(3,608); 2010 - \$(3,495))	(6,700)	(6,492)
Change in accumulated (loss) gain - derivatives, net of income tax: (three months: 2011 - \$3,621; 2010 - \$3,423)	6,724	5,718
	(838)	602

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Minimum pension liability adjustment, net of income tax: (three months: 2011 - \$(451); 2010 - \$324)			
Reclassification adjustment for investment amounts included in net income, net of income tax: (three months: 2011 - \$(3,054); 2010 - \$1,725)	(5,678)		3,418
Reclassification adjustment for derivative amounts included in net income, net of income tax: (three months: 2011 - \$(361); 2010 - \$(974))	(671)		(1,752)
Comprehensive income	93,566		335,159
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	51		73
Comprehensive income attributable to Protective Life Corporation	\$ 93,617	\$	335,232

Table of Contents**9. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION**

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, 191,000 performance shares with an estimated fair value of \$5.4 million were issued. The criteria for payment of the 2011 performance awards is based primarily on the Company's average operating return on average equity ( ROE ) over a three-year period. If the Company's ROE is below 9.0%, no award is earned. If the Company's ROE is at or above 10.0%, the award maximum is earned. Awards are paid in shares of the Company's common stock. No performance share awards were issued during the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Additionally, the Company issued 172,000 restricted stock units for the three months ended March 31, 2011. These awards had a total fair value at grant date of \$4.9 million. Approximately half of these restricted stock units vest in 2014, and the remainder vest in 2015.

Stock appreciation right ( SARs ) have been granted to certain officers of the Company to provide long-term incentive compensation based solely on the performance of the Company's common stock. The SARs are exercisable either five years after the date of grants or in three or four equal annual installments beginning one year after the date of grant (earlier upon the death, disability, or retirement of the officer, or in certain circumstances, of a change in control of the Company) and expire after ten years or upon termination of employment. The SARs activity as well as weighted-average base price is as follows:

	<b>Weighted-Average Base Price per share</b>	<b>No. of SARs</b>
Balance as of December 31, 2010	\$ 21.97	2,324,837
SARs granted		
SARs exercised / forfeited / expired	5.05	29,141
Balance as of March 31, 2011	\$ 22.18	2,295,696

There were no SARs issued for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The Company will pay an amount in stock equal to the difference between the specified base price of the Company's common stock and the market value at the exercise date for each SAR.

**10. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS**

Components of the net periodic benefit cost of the Company's defined benefit pension plan and unfunded excess benefit plan are as follows:

	<b>For The Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
	<b>(Dollars In Thousands)</b>	
Service cost - benefits earned during the period	\$ 2,194	\$ 2,068
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation	2,508	2,357

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Expected return on plan assets	(2,512)	(2,312)
Amortization of prior service cost	(98)	(98)
Amortization of actuarial losses	1,388	1,026
Total benefit cost	\$ 3,480	\$ 3,041

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company contributed \$2.1 million to its defined benefit pension plan for the 2010 plan year. In addition, during April of 2011, the Company contributed \$2.3 million to the defined benefit pension plan for the 2011 plan year. The Company will continue to make contributions in future periods as necessary to at least satisfy minimum funding requirements. The Company may also make additional contributions in future periods to maintain an adjusted funding target attainment percentage ( AFTAP ) of at least 80%.

In addition to pension benefits, the Company provides life insurance benefits to eligible retirees and limited healthcare benefits to eligible retirees who are not yet eligible for Medicare. For a closed group of retirees over age 65, the Company provides a prescription drug benefit. The cost of these plans for the three months ended March 31, 2011, was immaterial to the Company's financial statements.



Table of Contents**11. EARNINGS PER SHARE**

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to PLC's common shareowners by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period, including shares issuable under various deferred compensation plans. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to PLC's common shareowners by the weighted-average number of common shares and dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period, assuming the shares were not anti-dilutive, including shares issuable under various stock-based compensation plans and stock purchase contracts.

A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per share is presented below:

	<b>For The Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
	<b>(Dollars In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)</b>	
<b>Calculation of basic earnings per share:</b>		
Net income available to PLC's common shareowners	\$ 67,517	\$ 69,779
Average shares issued and outstanding	85,679,753	85,587,188
Issuable under various deferred compensation plans	923,475	913,011
Weighted shares outstanding - basic	86,603,228	86,500,199
Per share:		
Net income available to PLC's common shareowners - basic	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.81
<b>Calculation of diluted earnings per share:</b>		
Net income available to PLC's common shareowners	\$ 67,517	\$ 69,779
Weighted shares outstanding - basic	86,603,228	86,500,199
Stock appreciation rights (SARs)(1)	499,453	459,037
Issuable under various other stock-based compensation plans	140,937	155,118
Restricted stock units	576,467	437,032
Weighted shares outstanding - diluted	87,820,085	87,551,386
Per share:		
Net income available to PLC's common shareowners - diluted	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.80

(1) Excludes 1,452,030 and 1,475,645 as of March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, that are antidilutive. In the event the average market price exceeds the issue price of the SARs, such rights would be dilutive to the Company's earnings per share and will be included in the Company's calculation of the diluted average shares outstanding for applicable periods.

**12. INCOME TAXES**

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

There have been no material changes to the balance of unrecognized income tax benefits which impacted earnings for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Within the next twelve months, the Company does not expect to have any material adjustments to its unrecognized income tax benefits liability with regard to any of the tax jurisdictions in which it conducts its business operations.

The Company has computed its effective income tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, based upon its estimate of its annual 2011 and 2010 income. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was 35.2% and 31.2%, respectively. In the quarter ending March 31, 2010, the effective tax rate was favorably impacted by a release of \$2.8 million of unrecognized income tax benefits.

Based on the Company's current assessment of future taxable income, including available tax planning opportunities, the Company anticipates that it is more likely than not that it will generate sufficient taxable income to realize all of its material deferred tax assets. The Company did not record a valuation allowance against its material deferred tax assets as of March 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

**13. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

The Company determined the fair value of its financial instruments based on the fair value hierarchy established in FASB guidance referenced in the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The Company has adopted the provisions from the FASB guidance that is referenced in the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic for non-financial assets and liabilities (such as property and equipment, goodwill, and other intangible assets) that are required to be measured at fair value on a periodic basis. The effect on the Company's periodic fair value measurements for non-financial assets and liabilities was not material.

The Company has categorized its financial instruments, based on the priority of the inputs to the valuation technique, into a three level hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). If the inputs used to measure fair value fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the category level is based on the lowest priority level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument.

Financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on the consolidated condensed balance sheets are categorized as follows:

- **Level 1:** Unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market.
  
- **Level 2:** Quoted prices in markets that are not active or significant inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include the following:
  - a) Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets
  - b) Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in non-active markets
  - c) Inputs other than quoted market prices that are observable
  - d) Inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data through correlation or other means.
  
- **Level 3:** Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. They reflect management's own assumptions about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability.

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table presents the Company's hierarchy for its assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2011:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	(Dollars In Thousands)			
<b>Assets:</b>				
Fixed maturity securities - available-for-sale				
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$	\$ 2,297,210	\$ 19	\$ 2,297,229
Commercial mortgage-backed securities		182,610		182,610
Other asset-backed securities		221,061	639,407	860,468
U.S. government-related securities	1,102,449	109,575	15,084	1,227,108
States, municipals, and political subdivisions		970,252	78	970,330
Other government-related securities	14,994	154,586		169,580
Corporate bonds	98	16,054,355	64,907	16,119,360
Total fixed maturity securities - available-for-sale	1,117,541	19,989,649	719,495	21,826,685
Fixed maturity securities - trading				
Residential mortgage-backed securities		400,459		400,459
Commercial mortgage-backed securities		133,781		133,781
Other asset-backed securities		50,382	41,713	92,095
U.S. government-related securities	383,554	8,280	3,384	395,218
States, municipals, and political subdivisions		170,356		170,356
Other government-related securities		107,624		107,624
Corporate bonds		1,549,831		1,549,831
Total fixed maturity securities - trading	383,554	2,420,713	45,097	2,849,364
Total fixed maturity securities	1,501,095	22,410,362	764,592	24,676,049
Equity securities	262,333	11,050	79,544	352,927
Other long-term investments (1)	14,457	4,216	26,072	44,745
Short-term investments	399,135	7,541		406,676
Total investments	2,177,020	22,433,169	870,208	25,480,397
Cash	230,938			230,938
Other assets	7,152			7,152
Assets related to separate accounts				
Variable annuity	5,797,956			5,797,956
Variable universal life	561,044			561,044
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 8,774,110	\$ 22,433,169	\$ 870,208	\$ 32,077,487
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Annuity account balances (2)	\$	\$	\$ 143,020	\$ 143,020
Other liabilities (1)	2,078	17,086	178,386	197,550
Total liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 2,078	\$ 17,086	\$ 321,406	\$ 340,570

- 
- (1) Includes certain freestanding and embedded derivatives.
  - (2) Represents liabilities related to equity indexed annuities.

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table presents the Company's hierarchy for its assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2010:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
	(Dollars In Thousands)			
<b>Assets:</b>				
Fixed maturity securities - available-for-sale				
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$	\$ 2,547,730	\$ 20	\$ 2,547,750
Commercial mortgage-backed securities		155,125	19,901	175,026
Other asset-backed securities		207,638	641,129	848,767
U.S. government-related securities	1,054,375	104,419	15,109	1,173,903
States, municipals, and political subdivisions		963,225	78	963,303
Other government-related securities	14,993	186,214		201,207
Corporate bonds	100	15,725,900	65,032	15,791,032
Total fixed maturity securities - available-for-sale	1,069,468	19,890,251	741,269	21,700,988
Fixed maturity securities - trading				
Residential mortgage-backed securities		432,015		432,015
Commercial mortgage-backed securities		137,606		137,606
Other asset-backed securities		18,415	59,925	78,340
U.S. government-related securities	383,423	11,369	3,442	398,234
States, municipals, and political subdivisions		160,539		160,539
Other government-related securities		126,553		126,553
Corporate bonds		1,642,664		1,642,664
Total fixed maturity securities - trading	383,423	2,529,161	63,367	2,975,951
Total fixed maturity securities	1,452,891	22,419,412	804,636	24,676,939
Equity securities	271,483	10,831	77,098	359,412
Other long-term investments (1)	6,794	3,808	25,065	35,667
Short-term investments	344,796	8,028		352,824
Total investments	2,075,964	22,442,079	906,799	25,424,842
Cash	264,425			264,425
Other assets	6,222			6,222
Assets related to separate accounts				
Variable annuity	5,170,193			5,170,193
Variable universal life	534,219			534,219
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 8,051,023	\$ 22,442,079	\$ 906,799	\$ 31,399,901
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Annuity account balances (2)	\$	\$	\$ 143,264	\$ 143,264
Other liabilities (1)	23,995	28,987	190,529	243,511
Total liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 23,995	\$ 28,987	\$ 333,793	\$ 386,775

(1) Includes certain freestanding and embedded derivatives.

- (2) Represents liabilities related to equity indexed annuities.

**Determination of fair values**

The valuation methodologies used to determine the fair values of assets and liabilities reflect market participant assumptions and are based on the application of the fair value hierarchy that prioritizes observable market inputs over unobservable inputs. The Company determines the fair values of certain financial assets and financial liabilities based on quoted market prices, where available. The Company also determines certain fair values based on future cash flows discounted at the appropriate current market rate. Fair values reflect adjustments for counterparty credit quality, the Company's credit standing, liquidity, and where appropriate, risk margins on unobservable parameters. The following is a discussion of the methodologies used to determine fair values for the financial instruments as listed in the above table.

Table of Contents

The fair value of fixed maturity, short-term, and equity securities is determined by management after considering one of three primary sources of information: third party pricing services, non-binding independent broker quotations, or pricing matrices. Security pricing is applied using a waterfall approach whereby publicly available prices are first sought from third party pricing services, the remaining unpriced securities are submitted to independent brokers for non-binding prices, or lastly, securities are priced using a pricing matrix. Typical inputs used by these three pricing methods include, but are not limited to: benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers, and reference data including market research publications. Third party pricing services price over 90% of the Company's fixed maturity securities. Based on the typical trading volumes and the lack of quoted market prices for fixed maturities, third party pricing services derive the majority of security prices from observable market inputs such as recent reported trades for identical or similar securities making adjustments through the reporting date based upon available market observable information outlined above. If there are no recent reported trades, the third party pricing services and brokers may use matrix or model processes to develop a security price where future cash flow expectations are developed based upon collateral performance and discounted at an estimated market rate. Certain securities are priced via independent non-binding broker quotations, which are considered to have no significant unobservable inputs. When using non-binding independent broker quotations, the Company obtains one quote per security, typically from the broker from which we purchased the security. A pricing matrix is used to price securities for which the Company is unable to obtain or effectively rely on either a price from a third party pricing service or an independent broker quotation.

The pricing matrix used by the Company begins with current spread levels to determine the market price for the security. The credit spreads, assigned by brokers, incorporate the issuer's credit rating, liquidity discounts, weighted-average of contracted cash flows, risk premium, if warranted, due to the issuer's industry, and the security's time to maturity. The Company uses credit ratings provided by nationally recognized rating agencies.

For securities that are priced via non-binding independent broker quotations, the Company assesses whether prices received from independent brokers represent a reasonable estimate of fair value through an analysis using internal and external cash flow models developed based on spreads and, when available, market indices. The Company uses a market-based cash flow analysis to validate the reasonableness of prices received from independent brokers. These analytics, which are updated daily, incorporate various metrics (yield curves, credit spreads, prepayment rates, etc.) to determine the valuation of such holdings. As a result of this analysis, if the Company determines there is a more appropriate fair value based upon the analytics, the price received from the independent broker is adjusted accordingly. The Company did not adjust any quotes or prices received from brokers during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

The Company has analyzed the third party pricing services' valuation methodologies and related inputs and has also evaluated the various types of securities in its investment portfolio to determine an appropriate fair value hierarchy level based upon trading activity and the observability of market inputs that is in accordance with the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the ASC. Based on this evaluation and investment class analysis, each price was classified into Level 1, 2, or 3. Most prices provided by third party pricing services are classified into Level 2 because the significant inputs used in pricing the securities are market observable and the observable inputs are corroborated by the Company. Since the matrix pricing of certain debt securities includes significant non-observable inputs, they are classified as Level 3.

***Asset-Backed Securities***

This category mainly consists of residential mortgage-backed securities, commercial mortgage-backed securities, and other asset-backed securities (collectively referred to as asset-backed securities - ABS). As of March 31, 2011, the Company held \$3.3 billion of ABS classified as Level 2. These securities are priced from information provided by a third party pricing service and independent broker quotes. The third party pricing services and brokers mainly value securities using both a market and income approach to valuation. As part of this valuation process they consider the following characteristics of the item being measured to be relevant inputs: 1) weighted-average coupon rate, 2) weighted-average years to maturity, 3) types of underlying assets, 4) weighted-average coupon rate of the underlying assets, 5) weighted-average years to maturity



## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

of the underlying assets, 6) seniority level of the tranches owned, and 7) credit ratings of the securities.

After reviewing these characteristics of the ABS, the third party pricing service and brokers use certain inputs to determine the value of the security. For ABS classified as Level 2, the valuation would consist of predominantly market observable inputs such as, but not limited to: 1) monthly principal and interest payments on

Table of Contents

the underlying assets, 2) average life of the security, 3) prepayment speeds, 4) credit spreads, 5) treasury and swap yield curves, and 6) discount margin.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company held \$681.1 million of Level 3 ABS, which included \$41.7 million of other asset-backed securities classified as trading. These securities are predominantly ARS whose underlying collateral is at least 97% guaranteed by the FFELP. As a result of the ARS market collapse during 2008, the Company prices its ARS using an income approach valuation model. As part of the valuation process the Company reviews the following characteristics of the ARS in determining the relevant inputs: 1) weighted-average coupon rate, 2) weighted-average years to maturity, 3) types of underlying assets, 4) weighted-average coupon rate of the underlying assets, 5) weighted-average years to maturity of the underlying assets, 6) seniority level of the tranches owned, and 7) credit ratings of the securities.

The fair value calculation of available-for-sale ABSs classified as Level 3 had, but were not limited to, the following inputs:

Investment grade credit rating	100.0%
Weighted-average yield	1.6%
Amortized cost	\$652.8 million
Weighted-average life	7.5 years

***Corporate bonds, U.S. Government-related securities, and Other government related securities***

As of March 31, 2011, the Company classified approximately \$19.1 billion of corporate bonds, U.S. government-related securities, and other government-related securities as Level 2. The fair value of the Level 2 bonds and securities is predominantly priced by broker quotes and a third party pricing service. The Company has reviewed the valuation techniques of the brokers and third party pricing service and has determined that such techniques used Level 2 market observable inputs. The following characteristics of the bonds and securities are considered to be the primary relevant inputs to the valuation: 1) weighted-average coupon rate, 2) weighted-average years to maturity, 3) seniority, and 4) credit ratings.

The brokers and third party pricing service utilizes a valuation model that consists of a hybrid income and market approach to valuation. The pricing model utilizes the following inputs: 1) principal and interest payments, 2) treasury yield curve, 3) credit spreads from new issue and secondary trading markets, 4) dealer quotes with adjustments for issues with early redemption features, 5) liquidity premiums present on private placements, and 6) discount margins from dealers in the new issue market.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company classified approximately \$83.5 million of bonds and securities as Level 3 valuations. The fair value of the Level 3 bonds and securities are derived from an internal pricing model that utilizes a hybrid market/income approach to valuation. The Company reviews the following characteristics of the bonds and securities to determine the relevant inputs to use in the pricing model: 1) coupon rate, 2) years to maturity, 3) seniority, 4) embedded options, 5) trading volume, and 6) credit ratings.

Level 3 bonds and securities primarily represent investments in illiquid bonds for which no price is readily available. To determine a price, the Company uses a discounted cash flow model with both observable and unobservable inputs. These inputs are entered into an industry standard

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

pricing model to determine the final price of the security. These inputs include: 1) principal and interest payments, 2) coupon, 3) sector and issuer level spreads, 4) underlying collateral, 5) credit ratings, 6) maturity, 7) embedded options, 8) recent new issuance, 9) comparative bond analysis, and 10) an illiquidity premium.

The fair value calculation of bonds and securities classified as Level 3 had, but were not limited to, the following weighted-average inputs:

Investment grade credit rating	82.5%
Weighted-average yield	5.3%
Weighted-average coupon	7.3%
Amortized cost	\$80.4 million
Weighted-average stated maturity	6.5 years

Table of Contents

***Equities***

As of March 31, 2011, the Company held approximately \$90.6 million of equity securities classified as Level 2 and Level 3. Of this total, \$62.7 million represents Federal Home Loan Bank ( FHLB ) stock. The Company believes that the cost of the FHLB stock approximates fair value. The remainder of these equity securities is primarily made up of holdings we have obtained through bankruptcy proceedings or debt restructurings.

***Other long-term investments and Other liabilities***

Other long-term investments and other liabilities consist entirely of free standing and embedded derivative instruments. Refer to Note 14, *Derivative Financial Instruments* for additional information related to derivatives. Derivative instruments are valued using exchange prices, independent broker quotations, or pricing valuation models, which utilize market data inputs. Excluding embedded derivatives, as of March 31, 2011, 84.9% of derivatives based upon notional values were priced using exchange prices or independent broker quotations. The remaining derivatives were priced by pricing valuation models, which predominantly utilize observable market data inputs. Inputs used to value derivatives include, but are not limited to, interest swap rates, credit spreads, interest and equity volatility, equity index levels, and treasury rates. The Company performs monthly analysis on derivative valuations that includes both quantitative and qualitative analysis.

Derivative instruments classified as Level 1 include futures and certain options, which are traded on active exchange markets.

Derivative instruments classified as Level 2 primarily include interest rate, inflation, currency exchange, and credit default swaps. These derivative valuations are determined using independent broker quotations, which are corroborated with observable market inputs.

Derivative instruments classified as Level 3 were total return swaps and embedded derivatives and include at least one non-observable significant input. A derivative instrument containing Level 1 and Level 2 inputs will be classified as a Level 3 financial instrument in its entirety if it has at least one significant Level 3 input.

The Company utilizes derivative instruments to manage the risk associated with certain assets and liabilities. However, the derivative instruments may not be classified within the same fair value hierarchy level as the associated assets and liabilities. Therefore, the changes in fair value on derivatives reported in Level 3 may not reflect the offsetting impact of the changes in fair value of the associated assets and liabilities.

The GMWB embedded derivative is carried at fair value in other assets and other liabilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet. The changes in fair value are recorded in earnings as Realized investment gains (losses) derivative financial instruments; refer to Note 14, *Derivative Financial Instruments* for more information related to GMWB embedded derivative gains and losses. The fair value of the GMWB embedded derivative is derived through the income method of valuation using a valuation model that projects future cash flows using 1,000 risk neutral equity scenarios and policyholder behavior assumptions. The risk neutral scenarios are generated using the current swap curve and projected equity volatilities and correlations. The projected equity volatilities are based on a blend of historical volatility and near-term equity market implied volatilities. The equity correlations are based on historical price observations. For policyholder behavior assumptions, expected lapse and utilization assumptions are used and updated for actual experience, as necessary. The Company assumes mortality of 65% of the National

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Association of Insurance Commissioners 1994 Variable Annuity GMDB Mortality Table. The present value of the cash flows is found using the discount rate curve, which is London Interbank Offered Rate ( LIBOR ) plus a credit spread (to represent the Company's non-performance risk). As a result of using significant unobservable inputs, the GMWB embedded derivative is categorized as Level 3. These assumptions are reviewed on a quarterly basis.

The Company has ceded certain blocks of policies under modified coinsurance agreements in which the investment results of the underlying portfolios are passed directly to the reinsurers. As a result, these agreements are deemed to contain embedded derivatives that must be reported at fair value. Changes in fair value of the embedded derivatives are reported in earnings. The investments supporting these agreements are designated as trading securities ; therefore changes in fair value of such investments are reported in earnings. The fair value of the embedded derivatives represents the unrealized gain or loss on the block of business in relation to the unrealized gain or loss of the trading securities. As a result, changes in fair value of the embedded derivatives reported in earnings are largely offset by the changes in fair value of the investments.

Table of Contents*Annuity account balances*

The equity indexed annuity ( EIA ) model calculates the present value of future benefit cash flows less the projected future profits to quantify the net liability that is held as a reserve. This calculation is done on a stochastic basis using 1,000 risk neutral equity scenarios. The cash flows are discounted using LIBOR plus a credit spread. Best estimate assumptions are used for partial withdrawals, lapses, expenses and asset earned rate with a risk margin applied to each. These assumptions are reviewed annually as a part of the formal unlocking process.

Included in the chart below, are current key assumptions which include risk margins for the Company. These assumptions are reviewed for reasonableness on a quarterly basis.

Asset Earned Rate	5.90%
Admin Expense per Policy	\$91
Partial Withdrawal Rate (for ages less than 70)	2.20%
Partial Withdrawal Rate (for ages 70 and greater)	2.20%
Mortality	65% of 94 GMDB table
Lapse	2.2% to 55% depending on the surrender charge period
Return on Assets	1.5% to 1.85% depending on the guarantee period

The discount rate for the equity indexed annuities is based on an upward sloping rate curve which is updated each quarter. The discount rates for March 31, 2011, ranged from a one month rate of 0.32%, a 5 year rate of 3.58%, and a 30 year rate of 5.61%.

*Separate Accounts*

Separate account assets are invested in open-ended mutual funds and are included in Level 1.

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for fair value measurements for the three months ended March 31, 2011, for which the Company has used significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

	Total Realized and Unrealized Gains Included in Other		Total Realized and Unrealized Losses Included in Other		Transfers in/out of Level 3		Other	Ending Balance	Total Gains (losses) included in Earnings related to Instruments still held at the Reporting Date				
	Beginning Balance	Included Earnings	Comprehensive Income	Included Earnings	Comprehensive Income	Purchases	Sales	Issuances	Settlements	Level 3	Other	Ending Balance	Reporting Date
	(Dollars In Thousands)												
<b>Assets:</b>													
Fixed maturity securities available-for-sale													
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$ 20		\$ 12	\$ (4)							\$ (9)	\$ 19	
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	19,901		148				(103)			(19,946)			
Other asset-backed securities	641,129		407		(2,096)						(33)	639,407	
U.S. government-related securities	15,109				(28)						3	15,084	
States, municipals, and political subdivisions	78											78	
Other government-related securities													
Corporate bonds	65,032		13		(669)		(1,357)			1,888		64,907	
Total fixed maturity securities - available-for-sale	741,269		580	(4)	(2,793)		(1,460)			(18,067)	(30)	719,495	
Fixed maturity securities - trading													
Residential mortgage-backed securities													
Commercial mortgage-backed securities													
Other asset-backed securities	59,925	823		(855)			(18,893)				713	41,713	199
U.S. government-related securities	3,442			(56)							(2)	3,384	(56)

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

States, municipals and political subdivisions													
Other government-related securities													
Corporate bonds													
Total fixed maturity securities - trading	63,367	823		(911)			(18,893)		711	45,097		143	
Total fixed maturity securities	804,636	823	580	(915)	(2,793)		(20,353)		(18,067)	681	764,592	143	
Equity securities	77,098		446			2,000					79,544		
Other long-term investments (1)	25,065	1,007									26,072	1,007	
Short-term investments													
Total investments	906,799	1,830	1,026	(915)	(2,793)	2,000	(20,353)		(18,067)	681	870,208	1,150	
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 906,799	\$ 1,830	\$ 1,026	\$ (915)	\$ (2,793)	\$ 2,000	\$ (20,353)	\$	\$ (18,067)	\$ 681	\$ 870,208	\$ 1,150	
<b>Liabilities:</b>													
Annuity account													
balances (2)	\$ 143,264	\$	\$	\$ 2,132	\$	\$	\$	\$ 178	\$ 2,554	\$	\$	\$ 143,020	\$
Other liabilities (1)	190,529	12,143									178,386	12,143	
Total liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 333,793	\$ 12,143	\$	\$ 2,132	\$	\$	\$	\$ 178	\$ 2,554	\$	\$ 321,406	\$ 12,143	

(1) Represents certain freestanding and embedded derivatives.

(2) Represents liabilities related to equity indexed annuities.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, \$1.9 million of securities were transferred into Level 3. This amount was transferred almost entirely from Level 2. These transfers resulted from securities that were priced by independent pricing services or brokers in previous quarters, using no significant unobservable inputs, but were priced internally using significant unobservable inputs where market observable inputs were no longer available as of March 31, 2011.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, \$20.0 million of securities were transferred out of Level 3. This amount was transferred almost entirely to Level 2. These transfers resulted from securities that were previously valued using an internal model that utilized significant unobservable inputs but were valued internally or by independent pricing services or brokers, utilizing no significant unobservable inputs, as of March 31, 2011. All transfers are recognized as of the end of the reporting period.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, there were no transfers from Level 2 to Level 1.



Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for fair value measurements for the three months ended March 31, 2010, for which the Company has used significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

	Beginning Balance	Total Realized and Unrealized Gains (losses) Included in			Purchases, Issuances, and Settlements (net)	Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	Ending Balance	Total Gains (losses) included in Earnings related to Instruments still held at the Reporting Date
		Included in Earnings	Other Comprehensive Income					
	(Dollars In Thousands)							
<b>Assets:</b>								
Fixed maturity securities - available-for-sale								
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$ 23	\$ 4	\$	\$ (5)	\$	\$ 22	\$	
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	844,535		38,281	(882,816)	(3)			
Other asset-backed securities	693,930	5,868	(1,937)	(89,407)	(9,338)	599,116		
U.S. government-related securities	15,102		46	3		15,151		
States, municipals, and political subdivisions	86					86		
Other government-related securities								
Corporate bonds	86,328		5,781	3,108	150	95,367		
Total fixed maturity securities - available-for-sale	1,640,004	5,872	42,171	(969,117)	(9,188)	709,742		
Fixed maturity securities - trading								
Residential mortgage-backed securities	7,244	27		(320)	(3,388)	3,563	159	
Commercial mortgage-backed securities								
Other asset-backed securities	47,509	696		245		48,450	(858)	
U.S. government-related securities	3,310	2		(2)		3,310	2	
States, municipals and political subdivisions	4,994	77			(5,071)			
Other government-related securities	41,965	1,058		(47)	(42,976)			
Corporate bonds	67	(82)		26,986		26,971	(82)	
Total fixed maturity securities - trading	105,089	1,778		26,862	(51,435)	82,294	(779)	
Total fixed maturity securities	1,745,093	7,650	42,171	(942,255)	(60,623)	792,036	(779)	
Equity securities	70,708			689		71,397		
Other long-term investments (1)	16,525	437				16,962	437	
Short-term investments								

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Total investments	1,832,326	8,087	42,171	(941,566)	(60,623)	880,395	(342)
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 1,832,326	\$ 8,087	\$ 42,171	\$ (941,566)	\$ (60,623)	\$ 880,395	\$ (342)
<b>Liabilities:</b>							
Annuity account balances							
(2)	\$ 149,893	\$ (2,103)	\$	\$ 1,366	\$	\$ 150,630	\$
Other liabilities (1)	105,838	(22,397)				128,235	(22,397)
Total liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis	\$ 255,731	\$ (24,500)	\$	\$ 1,366	\$	\$ 278,865	\$ (22,397)

(1) Represents certain freestanding and embedded derivatives.

(2) Represents liabilities related to equity indexed annuities.

(3) Represents mortgage loan held by the trusts that have been consolidated upon the adoption of ASU No. 2009-17.

Total realized and unrealized gains (losses) on Level 3 assets and liabilities are primarily reported in either realized investment gains (losses) within the consolidated statements of income (loss) or other comprehensive income (loss) within shareowners' equity based on the appropriate accounting treatment for the item.

Purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements, net, represent the activity that occurred during the period that results in a change of the asset or liability but does not represent changes in fair value for the instruments held at the beginning of the period. Such activity primarily relates to purchases and sales of fixed maturity securities and issuances and settlements of equity indexed annuities.

The Company reviews the fair value hierarchy classifications each reporting period. Changes in the observability of the valuation attributes may result in a reclassification of certain financial assets or liabilities. Such reclassifications are reported as transfers in and out of Level 3 at the beginning fair value for the reporting period in which the changes occur. The asset transfers in the table(s) above primarily related to positions moved from Level 3 to Level 2 as the Company determined that certain inputs were observable.

The amount of total gains (losses) for assets and liabilities still held as of the reporting date primarily represents changes in fair value of trading securities and certain derivatives that exist as of the reporting date and the change in fair value of equity indexed annuities.

Table of Contents*Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments*

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments as of the periods shown below are as follows:

	March 31, 2011		As of December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Amounts	Fair Values (Dollars In Thousands)	Carrying Amounts	Fair Values
<b>Assets:</b>				
Mortgage loans on real estate	\$ 4,873,678	\$ 5,250,263	\$ 4,892,829	\$ 5,336,732
Policy loans	784,320	784,320	793,448	793,448
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Stable value product account balances	\$ 2,664,139	\$ 2,751,100	\$ 3,076,233	\$ 3,163,902
Annuity account balances	10,781,341	10,777,690	10,591,605	10,451,526
Mortgage loan backed certificates	51,540	52,593	61,678	63,127
<b>Debt and Other Obligations:</b>				
Bank borrowings	\$ 125,000	\$ 125,000	\$ 142,000	\$ 142,000
Senior and Medium-Term Notes	1,359,852	1,479,928	1,359,852	1,455,641
Subordinated debt securities	524,743	521,635	524,743	517,383
Non-recourse funding obligations	496,700	394,993	532,400	389,534

Except as noted below, fair values were estimated using quoted market prices.

**Fair Value Measurements***Mortgage loans on real estate*

The Company estimates the fair value of mortgage loans using an internally developed model. This model includes inputs derived by the Company based on assumed discount rates relative to the Company's current mortgage loan lending rate and an expected cash flow analysis based on a review of the mortgage loan terms. The model also contains the Company's determined representative risk adjustment assumptions related to nonperformance and liquidity risks.

*Policy loans*

The Company believes the fair value of policy loans approximates book value. Policy loans are funds provided to policy holders in return for a claim on the policy. The funds provided are limited to the cash surrender value of the underlying policy. The nature of policy loans is to have a

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

negligible default risk as the loans are fully collateralized by the value of the policy. Policy loans do not have a stated maturity and the balances and accrued interest are repaid either by the policyholder or with proceeds from the policy. Due to the collateralized nature of policy loans and unpredictable timing of repayments, the Company believes the fair value of policy loans approximates carrying value.

### *Stable value product and Annuity account balances*

The Company estimates the fair value of stable value product account balances and annuity account balances using models based on discounted expected cash flows. The discount rates used in the models were based on a current market rate for similar financial instruments.

### *Bank borrowings*

The Company believes the carrying value of its bank borrowings approximates fair value.

Table of Contents

*Non-recourse funding obligations*

As of March 31, 2011, the Company estimated the fair value of its non-recourse funding obligations using internal discounted cash flow models. The discount rates used in the model were based on a current market yield for similar financial instruments.

**14. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

The Company utilizes a risk management strategy that incorporates the use of derivative financial instruments to reduce exposure to interest rate risk, inflation risk, currency exchange risk, volatility risk, and equity market risk. These strategies are developed through the Company's analysis of data from financial simulation models and other internal and industry sources, and are then incorporated into the Company's risk management program.

Derivative instruments expose the Company to credit and market risk and could result in material changes from period to period. The Company minimizes its credit risk by entering into transactions with highly rated counterparties. The Company manages the market risk by establishing and monitoring limits as to the types and degrees of risk that may be undertaken. The Company monitors its use of derivatives in connection with its overall asset/liability management programs and risk management strategies. In addition, all derivative programs are monitored by our risk management department.

Derivative instruments that are used as part of the Company's interest rate risk management strategy include interest rate swaps, interest rate futures, interest rate options, and interest rate swaptions. The Company's inflation risk management strategy involves the use of swaps that requires the Company to pay a fixed rate and receive a floating rate that is based on changes in the Consumer Price Index (CPI). The Company also uses equity options and futures, interest rate futures, and variance swaps to mitigate its exposure to the value of equity indexed annuity contracts and guaranteed benefits related to variable annuity contracts.

The Company has sold credit default protection on liquid traded indices to enhance the return on its investment portfolio. These credit default swaps create credit exposure similar to an investment in publicly issued fixed maturity cash investments. Outstanding credit default swaps relate to the Investment Grade Series 9 Index and have terms to December 2017. Defaults within the Investment Grade Series 9 Index that exceeded the 10% attachment point would require the Company to perform under the credit default swaps, up to the 15% exhaustion point. The maximum potential amount of future payments (undiscounted) that the Company could be required to make under the credit derivatives is \$25.0 million. As of March 31, 2011, the fair value of the credit derivatives was a liability of \$1.4 million. As of March 31, 2011, the Company had collateral of \$1.5 million posted with the counterparties to credit default swaps. The collateral is counterparty specific and is not tied to any one contract. If the credit default swaps needed to be settled immediately, the Company would need to post no additional payments. As a result of the ongoing disruption in the credit markets, the fair value of these derivatives has fluctuated in response to changing market conditions. The Company believes that the unrealized loss recorded on the \$25.0 million notional of credit default swaps is not indicative of the economic value of the investment.

The Company records its derivative instruments in the consolidated balance sheet in other long-term investments and other liabilities in accordance with GAAP, which requires that all derivative instruments be recognized in the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in fair value of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship and

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

further, on the type of hedging relationship in accordance with GAAP. For those derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedging instruments, a company must designate the hedging instrument, based upon the exposure being hedged, as a fair value hedge, cash flow hedge, or a hedge related to foreign currency exposure. For derivatives that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss realized on the derivative instrument is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the same period during which the hedged transaction impacts earnings. The remaining gain or loss on these derivatives is recognized as ineffectiveness in current earnings during the period of the change. For derivatives that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges, the gain or loss on the derivative instrument as well as the offsetting loss or gain on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in current earnings during the period of change in fair values. Effectiveness of the Company's hedge relationships is assessed on a quarterly basis. The Company accounts for changes in fair values of derivatives that are not part of a qualifying hedge relationship through earnings in the period of change. Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are recognized in current earnings are reported in realized investment gains (losses) derivative financial instruments .

Table of Contents

**Cash-Flow Hedges**

- During 2004 and 2005, in connection with the issuance of inflation adjusted funding agreements, the Company entered into swaps to convert the floating CPI-linked interest rate on the contracts to a fixed rate. The Company paid a fixed rate on the swap and received a floating rate equal to the CPI change paid on the funding agreements.
- During 2006 and 2007, the Company entered into interest rate swaps to convert LIBOR based floating rate interest payments on funding agreements to fixed rate interest payments.

**Other Derivatives**

The Company also uses various other derivative instruments for risk management purposes that either do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment or have not currently been designated by the Company for hedge accounting treatment. Changes in the fair value of these derivatives are recognized in earnings during the period of change.

- The Company uses equity and interest rate futures to mitigate the interest rate risk related to certain guaranteed minimum benefits within our variable annuity products. In general, the cost of such benefits varies with the level of equity and interest rate markets and overall volatility. The equity futures resulted in net pre-tax losses of \$17.8 million and the interest rate futures resulted in a pre-tax loss of \$5.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Such positions were not held for the three months ended March 31, 2010.
- During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company began a program of transacting in equity options and volatility swaps to mitigate the risk related to certain guaranteed minimum benefits, including guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits, within our variable annuity products. In general, the cost of such benefits varies with the level of equity and interest rate markets and overall volatility. The equity options and volatility swaps resulted in net pre-tax losses of \$6.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Such positions were not held during the three months ended March 31, 2010.
- The Company uses certain interest rate swaps to mitigate interest rate risk related to floating rate exposures. The Company recognized pre-tax gains of \$0.5 million and pre-tax losses of \$2.4 million on interest rate swaps for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- The Company uses other types of derivatives to manage risk related to other exposures. The Company recognized pre-tax gains of \$0.6 million and \$0.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, for the change in fair value of these derivatives.

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

- The Company is involved in various modified coinsurance and funds withheld arrangements which contain embedded derivatives that must be reported at fair value. Changes in fair value are recorded in current period earnings. The investment portfolios that support the related modified coinsurance reserves and funds withheld arrangements had mark-to-market changes which substantially offset the gains or losses on these embedded derivatives.
- The Company markets certain variable annuity products with a GMWB rider. The GMWB component is considered an embedded derivative, not considered to be clearly and closely related to the host contract. The Company recognized pre-tax gains of \$8.2 million and \$9.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to these embedded derivatives.
- The Company entered into credit default swaps and various other derivative positions to enhance the return on its investment portfolio. The Company reported net pre-tax losses of \$0.2 million and pre-tax gains of \$0.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to credit default swaps from the change in swaps fair value and premium income.



Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The tables below present information about the nature and accounting treatment of the Company's primary derivative financial instruments and the location in and effect on the consolidated financial statements for the periods presented below:

	As of March 31, 2011		As of December 31, 2010	
	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Notional Amount	Fair Value
(Dollars In Thousands)				
<b>Other long-term investments</b>				
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Interest rate swaps	\$ 25,000	\$ 4,216	\$ 25,000	\$ 3,808
Embedded derivative - Modco reinsurance treaties	29,929	2,306	29,563	2,687
Embedded derivative - GMWB	1,437,247	23,759	1,094,395	22,346
Interest rate futures	563,640	5,211		
Other	417,395	9,253	100,507	6,826
	\$ 2,473,211	\$ 44,745	\$ 1,249,465	\$ 35,667
<b>Other liabilities</b>				
Cash flow hedges:				
Inflation	\$ 293,379	\$ 2,753	\$ 293,379	\$ 12,005
Interest rate	75,000	5,009	75,000	6,747
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:				
Credit default swaps	25,000	1,401	25,000	1,099
Interest rate swaps	110,000	7,924	110,000	9,137
Embedded derivative - Modco reinsurance treaties	2,851,937	137,884	2,842,862	146,105
Embedded derivative GMWB	1,681,454	35,165	1,493,745	41,948
Interest rate futures			598,357	16,764
Equity futures	98,454	2,078	327,321	7,231
Other	342,756	5,336	339,350	2,475
	\$ 5,477,980	\$ 197,550	\$ 6,105,014	\$ 243,511

**Gain (Loss) on Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationship**

	For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011		
	Realized investment gains (losses)	Benefits and settlement expenses	Other comprehensive income (loss)
(Dollars In Thousands)			
<b>Gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) (effective portion):</b>			
Interest rate	\$	\$	\$ (95)
Inflation			8,091
<b>Gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into income (effective portion):</b>			
Interest rate	\$	\$ (883)	\$
Inflation		(1,078)	

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

**Gain (loss) recognized in income** (ineffective portion):

Inflation	\$	645	\$	\$
-----------	----	-----	----	----

30

---

Table of Contents**Gain (Loss) on Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationship**

	For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2010		
	Realized investment gains (losses)	Benefits and settlement expenses (Dollars In Thousands)	Other comprehensive income (loss)
<b>Gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) (effective portion):</b>			
Interest rate	\$	\$	\$ (1,259)
Inflation			5,422
<b>Gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into income (effective portion):</b>			
Interest rate	\$	\$ (1,991)	\$
Inflation		(621)	
<b>Gain (loss) recognized in income (ineffective portion):</b>			
Inflation	\$	360	\$

Based on the expected cash flows of the underlying hedged items, the Company expects to reclassify \$0.4 million out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into earnings during the next twelve months.

**Realized investment gains (losses) - derivative financial instruments**

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
(Dollars In Thousands)		
<b>Interest rate risk:</b>		
Interest rate futures	\$ (5,670)	\$
Interest rate swaps	532	(2,392)
Credit risk	(223)	505
Embedded derivative - Modco reinsurance treaties	7,842	(31,094)
Embedded derivative - GMWB	8,195	9,124
Derivatives related to equity futures	(17,843)	
Derivatives related to equity options and volatility swaps	(6,094)	
Other	575	785
	\$ (12,686)	\$ (23,072)

**Realized investment gains (losses) - all other investments**

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

For The  
Three Months Ended  
March 31,  
2011                      2010  
(Dollars In Thousands)

---

Fixed income Modco trading portfolio(1)	\$	(5,649)	\$	44,093
---	----	---------	----	--------

---

(1) The Company elected to include the use of alternate disclosures for trading activities.

Table of Contents

**15. OPERATING SEGMENTS**

The Company has several operating segments each having a strategic focus. An operating segment is distinguished by products, channels of distribution, and/or other strategic distinctions. The Company periodically evaluates its operating segments, as prescribed in the ASC Segment Reporting Topic, and makes adjustments to its segment reporting as needed. A brief description of each segment follows.

- The Life Marketing segment markets universal life ( UL ), variable universal life, level premium term insurance ( traditional ), and bank-owned life insurance ( BOLI ) products on a national basis primarily through networks of independent insurance agents and brokers, stockbrokers, and independent marketing organizations.
- The Acquisitions segment focuses on acquiring, converting, and servicing policies acquired from other companies. The segment's primary focus is on life insurance policies and annuity products that were sold to individuals. In the ordinary course of business, the Acquisitions segment regularly considers acquisitions of blocks of policies or insurance companies. The level of the segment's acquisition activity is predicated upon many factors, including available capital, operating capacity, and market dynamics. Policies acquired through the Acquisitions segment are typically closed blocks of business (no new policies are being marketed). Therefore, in such instances, earnings and account values are expected to decline as the result of lapses, deaths, and other terminations of coverage unless new acquisitions are made.
- The Annuities segment markets fixed and variable annuity products. These products are primarily sold through broker-dealers, financial institutions, and independent agents and brokers.
- The Stable Value Products segment sells guaranteed funding agreements ( GFAs ) to special purpose entities that in turn issue notes or certificates in smaller, transferable denominations. The segment also markets fixed and floating rate funding agreements directly to the trustees of municipal bond proceeds, institutional investors, bank trust departments, and money market funds. In addition, the segment issues funding agreements to the FHLB. Additionally, the segment markets guaranteed investment contracts ( GICs ) to 401(k) and other qualified retirement savings plans.
- The Asset Protection segment markets extended service contracts and credit life and disability insurance to protect consumers investments in automobiles, watercraft, and recreational vehicles. In addition, the segment markets a guaranteed asset protection ( GAP ) product. GAP coverage covers the difference between the loan pay-off amount and an asset's actual cash value in the case of a total loss.
- The Corporate and Other segment primarily consists of net investment income (including the impact of carrying excess liquidity), expenses not attributable to the segments above (including interest on debt), and a trading portfolio that was previously part of a variable interest entity. This segment includes earnings from several non-strategic or runoff lines of business, various investment-related transactions, the operations of several small subsidiaries, and the repurchase of non-recourse funding obligations.

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

The Company uses the same accounting policies and procedures to measure segment operating income (loss) and assets as it uses to measure consolidated net income available to PLC's common shareowners and assets. Segment operating income (loss) is income before income tax excluding net realized investment gains and losses (net of the related amortization of deferred acquisition costs ( DAC ) and value of business acquired ( VOBA ) and participating income from real estate ventures), and the cumulative effect of change in accounting principle. Periodic settlements of derivatives associated with corporate debt and certain investments and annuity products are included in realized gains and losses but are considered part of operating income because the derivatives are used to mitigate risk in items affecting consolidated and segment operating income (loss). Segment operating income (loss) represents the basis on which the performance of the Company's business is internally assessed by management. Premiums and policy fees, other income, benefits and settlement expenses, and amortization of DAC/VOBA are attributed directly to each operating segment. Net investment income is allocated based on directly related assets required for transacting the business of that segment. Realized investment gains (losses) and other operating expenses are allocated to the segments in a manner that most appropriately reflects the operations of that segment. Investments and other assets are allocated based on statutory policy liabilities net of associated statutory policy assets, while DAC/VOBA and goodwill are shown in the segments to which they are attributable.

During the first quarter of 2010, the Company recorded a \$7.8 million decrease in reserves related to the final settlement in the runoff Lender's Indemnity line of business.

During the first quarter of 2011, the Company recorded \$8.5 million of pre-tax earnings in the Corporate and Other business segment relating to the settlement of a dispute with respect to certain investments.

Table of Contents

There were no significant intersegment transactions during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

The following tables summarize financial information for the Company's segments:

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(Dollars In Thousands)	
<b>Revenues</b>		
Life Marketing	\$ 330,337	\$ 309,004
Acquisitions	200,123	198,717
Annuities	130,132	140,580
Stable Value Products	44,715	47,956
Asset Protection	67,903	66,431
Corporate and Other	63,870	29,082
Total revenues	\$ 837,080	\$ 791,770
<b>Segment Operating Income (Loss)</b>		
Life Marketing	\$ 26,239	\$ 40,678
Acquisitions	32,391	31,369
Annuities	13,085	18,187
Stable Value Products	9,195	11,027
Asset Protection	6,542	13,067
Corporate and Other	10,021	(16,132)
Total segment operating income	97,473	98,196
Realized investment (losses) gains - investments(1)(3)	(2,124)	35,816
Realized investment (losses) gains - derivatives(2)	8,797	(32,663)
Income tax expense	(36,629)	(31,570)
Net income available to PLC's common shareowners	\$ 67,517	\$ 69,779
(1) Realized investment (losses) gains - investments	\$ (1,191)	\$ 36,030
Less: related amortization of DAC/VOBA	933	214
	\$ (2,124)	\$ 35,816
(2) Realized investment gains (losses) - derivatives	\$ (12,686)	\$ (23,072)
Less: settlements on certain interest rate swaps		42
Less: derivative activity related to certain annuities	(21,483)	9,549
	\$ 8,797	\$ (32,663)

(3) Includes other-than-temporary impairments of \$5.7 million and \$11.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

**Operating Segment Assets  
As of March 31, 2011  
(Dollars In Thousands)**

	Life Marketing	Acquisitions	Annuities	Stable Value Products
Investments and other assets	\$ 9,867,022	\$ 10,228,527	\$ 13,384,288	\$ 2,660,783
Deferred policy acquisition costs and value of business acquired	2,504,114	790,056	504,203	3,356
Goodwill	10,192	41,037		
Total assets	\$ 12,381,328	\$ 11,059,620	\$ 13,888,491	\$ 2,664,139

	Asset Protection	Corporate and Other	Adjustments	Total Consolidated
Investments and other assets	\$ 696,911	\$ 7,435,674	\$ 23,262	\$ 44,296,467
Deferred policy acquisition costs and value of business acquired	79,860	3,427		3,885,016
Goodwill	62,671	83		113,983
Total assets	\$ 839,442	\$ 7,439,184	\$ 23,262	\$ 48,295,466

**Operating Segment Assets  
As of December 31, 2010  
(Dollars In Thousands)**

	Life Marketing	Acquisitions	Annuities	Stable Value Products
Investments and other assets	\$ 9,623,991	\$ 10,270,540	\$ 12,603,533	\$ 3,069,330
Deferred policy acquisition costs and value of business acquired	2,475,621	810,681	471,163	6,903
Goodwill	10,192	41,812		
Total assets	\$ 12,109,804	\$ 11,123,033	\$ 13,074,696	\$ 3,076,233

	Asset Protection	Corporate and Other	Adjustments	Total Consolidated
Investments and other assets	\$ 691,973	\$ 7,313,232	\$ 23,686	\$ 43,596,285
Deferred policy acquisition costs and value of business acquired	83,878	3,497		3,851,743
Goodwill	62,671	83		114,758
Total assets	\$ 838,522	\$ 7,316,812	\$ 23,686	\$ 47,562,786

**16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The Company has evaluated the effects of events subsequent to March 31, 2011, and through the date we filed our consolidated condensed financial statements with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. All accounting and disclosure requirements related to subsequent events are included in our consolidated condensed financial statements.

Subsequent to March 31, 2011, the Company's principal operating subsidiary, PLICO, closed a previously announced transaction with Liberty Life Insurance Company (Liberty Life) under the terms of which PLICO reinsured substantially all of the life and health business of Liberty Life. The transaction closed in conjunction with Athene Holding Ltd's acquisition of Liberty Life from an affiliate of Royal Bank of Canada.



The capital invested by PLICO in the transaction at closing was \$313 million, including a \$222 million ceding commission paid to Liberty Life. The final amount of the ceding commission is subject to adjustment upon completion of the final Liberty Life closing statutory balance sheet. In conjunction with closing, PLICO invested \$40 million in a surplus note issued by Athene Life Re.

Table of Contents

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ( MD&A ) should be read in conjunction with our consolidated condensed financial statements included under Part I, Item 1, *Financial Statements (Unaudited)*, of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

For a more complete understanding of our business and current period results, please read the following MD&A in conjunction with our latest Annual Report on Form 10-K and other filings with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ).

Certain reclassifications have been made in the previously reported financial statements and accompanying notes to make the prior period amounts comparable to those of the current period. Such reclassifications had no effect on previously reported net income or shareowners' equity.

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS CAUTIONARY LANGUAGE**

This report reviews our financial condition and results of operations including our liquidity and capital resources. Historical information is presented and discussed, and where appropriate, factors that may affect future financial performance are also identified and discussed. Certain statements made in this report include forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements include any statement that may predict, forecast, indicate, or imply future results, performance, or achievements instead of historical facts and may contain words like believe, expect, estimate, project, budget, forecast, anticipate, plan, will, other words, phrases, or expressions with similar meaning. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, which may cause actual results to differ materially from the results contained in the forward-looking statements, and we cannot give assurances that such statements will prove to be correct. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise. For more information about the risks, uncertainties and other factors that could affect our future results, please see Part I, Item II, *Risks and Uncertainties* and Part II, Item 1A, *Risk Factors*, of this report, as well as Part I, Item 1A, *Risk Factors*, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

**OVERVIEW**

*Our business*

We are a holding company headquartered in Birmingham, Alabama, with subsidiaries that provide financial services through the production, distribution, and administration of insurance and investment products. Founded in 1907, Protective Life Insurance Company ( PLICO ) is our largest operating subsidiary. Unless the context otherwise requires, the Company, we, us, or our refers to the consolidated group of Protective Life Corporation and our subsidiaries.

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

We have several operating segments, each having a strategic focus. An operating segment is distinguished by products, channels of distribution, and/or other strategic distinctions. We periodically evaluate our operating segments as prescribed in the Accounting Standards Codification ( ASC ) Segment Reporting Topic, and make adjustments to our segment reporting as needed.

Our operating segments are Life Marketing, Acquisitions, Annuities, Stable Value Products, Asset Protection, and Corporate and Other.

- **Life Marketing** - We market universal life ( UL ), variable universal life, level premium term insurance ( traditional ), and bank-owned life insurance ( BOLI ) products on a national basis primarily through networks of independent insurance agents and brokers, stockbrokers, and independent marketing organizations.
- **Acquisitions** - We focus on acquiring, converting, and servicing policies acquired from other companies. The segment's primary focus is on life insurance policies and annuity products that were

Table of Contents

sold to individuals. The level of the segment's acquisition activity is predicated upon many factors, including available capital, operating capacity, and market dynamics. Policies acquired through the Acquisition segment are typically closed blocks of business (no new policies are being marketed). Therefore, in such instances, earnings and account values are expected to decline as the result of lapses, deaths, and other terminations of coverage unless new acquisitions are made.

- **Annuities** - We market fixed and variable annuity products. These products are primarily sold through broker-dealers, financial institutions, and independent agents and brokers.
- **Stable Value Products** - We sell guaranteed funding agreements (GFAs) to special purpose entities that in turn issue notes or certificates in smaller, transferable denominations. The segment also markets fixed and floating rate funding agreements directly to the trustees of municipal bond proceeds, institutional investors, bank trust departments, and money market funds. In addition, the segment issues funding agreements to the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB). Additionally, the segment markets guaranteed investment contracts (GICs) to 401(k) and other qualified retirement savings plans.
- **Asset Protection** - We market extended service contracts and credit life and disability insurance to protect consumers' investments in automobiles, watercraft, and recreational vehicles. In addition, the segment markets a guaranteed asset protection (GAP) product. GAP coverage covers the difference between the loan pay-off amount and an asset's actual cash value in the case of a total loss.
- **Corporate and Other** - This segment primarily consists of net investment income (including the impact of carrying excess liquidity), expenses not attributable to the segments above (including interest on debt), and a trading portfolio that was previously part of a variable interest entity. This segment includes earnings from several non-strategic or runoff lines of business, various investment-related transactions, the operations of several small subsidiaries, and the repurchase of non-recourse funding obligations.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Net income available to PLC's common shareowners for the first quarter of 2011 was \$67.5 million, or \$0.77 per average diluted share. Operating income, after tax, for the first quarter of 2011 was \$63.2 million, or \$0.72 per average diluted share.

We reported solid core performance across our business segments in the first quarter of 2011. Our operating earnings, adjusted for non-core items and the later-than-expected closing of the coinsurance agreement with Liberty Life Insurance Company, were essentially in line with our plan for the quarter. We were also encouraged by the fact that sales in our life insurance, annuity and asset protection segments exceeded our plans for the first quarter. Based on the fundamental underlying trends we experienced in the quarter, we believe we are off to a good start toward successfully executing our plan for the year.

Significant financial information related to each of our segments is included in Results of Operations .



Table of Contents

**RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES**

The factors which could affect our future results include, but are not limited to, general economic conditions and the following risks and uncertainties:

*General*

- exposure to the risks of natural and man-made catastrophes, pandemics, malicious acts, terrorist acts and climate change, which could adversely affect our operations and results;
- the occurrence of computer viruses, network security breaches, disasters, or other unanticipated events could affect our data processing systems or those of our business partners and could damage our business and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations;
- our results and financial condition may be negatively affected should actual experience differ from management's assumptions and estimates;
- we may not realize our anticipated financial results from our acquisitions strategy;
- we are dependent on the performance of others;
- our risk management policies, practices, and procedures could leave us exposed to unidentified or unanticipated risks, which could negatively affect our business or result in losses;
- our strategies for mitigating risks arising from our day-to-day operations may prove ineffective resulting in a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition;

*Financial environment*

- interest rate fluctuations or significant and sustained periods of low interest rates could negatively affect our interest earnings and spread income, or otherwise impact our business;
- our investments are subject to market, credit, legal, and regulatory risks, which could be heightened during periods of extreme volatility or disruption in the financial and credit markets;
- equity market volatility could negatively impact our business;
- our use of derivative financial instruments within our risk management strategy may not be effective or sufficient;

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

- credit market volatility or disruption could adversely impact our financial condition or results from operations;
- our ability to grow depends in large part upon the continued availability of capital;
- we could be adversely affected by a ratings downgrade or other negative action by a ratings organization;
- we could be forced to sell investments at a loss to cover policyholder withdrawals;
- disruption of the capital and credit markets could negatively affect our ability to meet our liquidity and financing needs;
- difficult conditions in the economy generally could adversely affect our business and results from operations;
- deterioration of general economic conditions could result in a severe and extended economic recession, which could materially adversely affect our business and results of operations;
- we may be required to establish a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets, which could materially adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition, and capital position;
- we could be adversely affected by an inability to access our credit facility;
- our financial condition or results of operations could be adversely impacted if our assumptions regarding the fair value and future performance of our investments differ from actual experience;
- the amount of statutory capital that we have and the amount of statutory capital that we must hold to maintain our financial strength and credit ratings and meet other requirements can vary significantly from time to time and is sensitive to a number of factors outside of our control;
- we operate as a holding company and depend on the ability of our subsidiaries to transfer funds to us to meet our obligations and pay dividends;

Table of Contents

*Industry*

- we are highly regulated and subject to numerous legal restrictions and regulations;
- changes to tax law or interpretations of existing tax law could adversely affect our ability to compete with non-insurance products or reduce the demand for certain insurance products;
- financial services companies are frequently the targets of litigation, including class action litigation, which could result in substantial judgments;
- publicly held companies in general and the financial services industry in particular are sometimes the target of law enforcement investigations and the focus of increased regulatory scrutiny;
- new accounting rules, changes to existing accounting rules, or the grant of permitted accounting practices to competitors could negatively impact us;
- use of reinsurance introduces variability in our statements of income;
- our reinsurers could fail to meet assumed obligations, increase rates, or be subject to adverse developments that could affect us;
- our policy claims fluctuate from period to period resulting in earnings volatility;

*Competition*

- we operate in a mature, highly competitive industry, which could limit our ability to gain or maintain our position in the industry and negatively affect profitability;
- our ability to maintain competitive unit costs is dependent upon the level of new sales and persistency of existing business; and
- we may not be able to protect our intellectual property and may be subject to infringement claims.

For more information about the risks, uncertainties, and other factors that could affect our future results, please see Part II, Item 1A of this report and our Annual Reports on Forms 10-K.

**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**



Our accounting policies inherently require the use of judgments relating to a variety of assumptions and estimates, in particular expectations of current and future mortality, morbidity, persistency, expenses, and interest rates. Because of the inherent uncertainty when using the assumptions and estimates, the effect of certain accounting policies under different conditions or assumptions could be materially different from those reported in the consolidated condensed financial statements. For a complete listing of our critical accounting policies, refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

In the following discussion, segment operating income (loss) is defined as income (loss) before income tax excluding net realized investment gains and losses (net of the related deferred acquisitions costs ( DAC ) and value of business acquired ( VOBA ) and participating income from real estate ventures), and the cumulative effect of change in accounting principle. Periodic settlements of derivatives associated with corporate debt and certain investments and annuity products are included in realized gains and losses but are considered part of segment operating income (loss) because the derivatives are used to mitigate risk in items affecting segment operating income (loss). Management believes that segment operating income (loss) provides relevant and useful information to investors, as it represents the basis on which the performance of our business is internally assessed. Although the items excluded from segment operating income (loss) may be significant components in understanding and assessing our overall financial performance, management believes that segment operating income (loss) enhances an investor's understanding of our results of operations by highlighting the operating income (loss) usually attributable to the normal, recurring operations of our business. However, segment operating income (loss) should not be viewed as a substitute for accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ) net income (loss) available to PLC's common shareholders. In addition, our segment operating income (loss) measures may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies.

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table presents a summary of results and reconciles segment operating income (loss) to consolidated net income available to PLC's common shareowners:

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2011	2010	Change
	(Dollars In Thousands)		
<b>Segment Operating Income (Loss)</b>			
Life Marketing	\$ 26,239	\$ 40,678	(35.5)%
Acquisitions	32,391	31,369	3.3
Annuities	13,085	18,187	(28.1)
Stable Value Products	9,195	11,027	(16.6)
Asset Protection	6,542	13,067	(49.9)
Corporate and Other	10,021	(16,132)	n/m
Total segment operating income	97,473	98,196	(0.7)
Realized investment gains (losses) - investments(1)(3)	(2,124)	35,816	
Realized investment gains (losses) - derivatives(2)	8,797	(32,663)	
Income tax expense	(36,629)	(31,570)	
Net income available to PLC's common shareowners	\$ 67,517	\$ 69,779	(3.2)
(1) Realized investment gains (losses) - investments(3)	\$ (1,191)	\$ 36,030	
Less: related amortization of DAC	933	214	
	\$ (2,124)	\$ 35,816	
(2) Realized investment gains (losses) - derivatives	\$ (12,686)	\$ (23,072)	
Less: settlements on certain interest rate swaps		42	
Less: derivative activity related to certain annuities	(21,483)	9,549	
	\$ 8,797	\$ (32,663)	

(3) Includes other-than-temporary impairments of \$5.7 million and \$11.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

***For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 as compared to The Three Months Ended March 31, 2010***

Net income available to PLC's common shareowners for the three months ended March 31, 2011, included a \$0.7 million, or 0.7%, decrease in segment operating income. The decrease was primarily related to a \$14.4 million decrease in the Life Marketing segment, a \$5.1 million decrease in the Annuities segment, a \$6.5 million decrease in the Asset Protection segment, and a \$1.8 million decrease in the Stable Value Products segment. These decreases were partially offset by a \$1.0 million increase in the Acquisitions segment and a \$26.2 million improvement in the Corporate and Other segment.

We experienced net realized losses of \$13.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to net realized gains of \$13.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The losses realized for the three months ended March 31, 2011, were primarily caused by a loss of \$23.5 million related to equity and interest rate futures that were entered into to mitigate risk related to certain guaranteed minimum variable annuity benefits, \$5.7 million of other-than-temporary impairment credit-related losses, and a loss of \$6.1 million related to equity options and volatility swaps. Offsetting these losses were \$2.2 million of gains related to the net activity related to the modified coinsurance

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

portfolio and derivative activity, a gain of \$8.2 million related to guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits ( GMWB ) embedded derivative valuation changes and derivative activity, and \$14.4 million of gains related to investment securities sale activity.

- Life Marketing segment operating income was \$26.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, representing a decrease of \$14.4 million, or 35.5%, from the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease was primarily due to less favorable mortality results and higher non-deferred insurance company operating expenses including interest expense associated with programs designed to fund traditional life statutory reserves.
- Acquisitions segment operating income was \$32.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, an increase of \$1.0 million, or 3.3%, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, which was primarily due to the United Investors acquisition which added \$7.7 million to operating income. This increase was partly offset

Table of Contents

by lower spreads and higher mortality and morbidity in some lines and the expected runoff in the other blocks of business.

- Annuities segment pre-tax operating income was \$13.1 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to \$18.2 million in the first quarter of 2010. The current quarter included an unfavorable \$10.5 million impact related to guaranteed benefits of certain variable annuity ( VA ) contracts. The impact included \$8.8 million related primarily to being over hedged regarding equity market exposure and \$1.7 million related to inforce changes and updated model assumptions to value guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits ( GMWB ). The first quarter of 2010 included a favorable \$4.6 million impact related to guaranteed benefits of certain VA contracts. Partially offsetting these items were higher VA fees, higher spreads and average account value growth in the single premium deferred annuities ( SPDA ) line and favorable single premium immediate annuities ( SPIA ) mortality compared to the prior year's quarter.
- Stable Value Products segment operating income was \$9.2 million and decreased \$1.8 million, or 16.6%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease in operating earnings resulted from a decline in average account values. We also called certain retail notes, which caused accelerated DAC amortization of \$3.1 million on those called contracts. The operating spread increased 8 basis points to 134 basis points for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to an operating spread of 126 basis points for the three months ended March 31, 2010.
- Asset Protection segment operating income was \$6.5 million, representing a decrease of \$6.5 million, or 49.9%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. Earnings from core product lines totaled \$6.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, an increase of \$1.0 million, or 17.4%, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. Credit insurance earnings increased \$0.7 million, 93.3%, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, primarily due to lower loss ratios and lower expenses. Service contract earnings decreased \$0.5 million, or 11.5%, as compared to the first quarter of 2010. The decrease primarily resulted from higher loss ratios in certain product lines partially offset by higher volume. Earnings from other products, including non-core lines, decreased \$6.7 million, or 72.4%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily due to a \$7.8 million excess reserve release related to the runoff Lender's Indemnity line of business in the first quarter of 2010. This decrease was partially offset by a \$0.8 million increase in earnings from the GAP product line, as compared to the same quarter last year. Higher volume and favorable loss experience contributed to the increase.
- Corporate and Other segment operating income was \$10.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to a loss of \$16.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The improvement was primarily due to the growth in net investment income due to deploying liquidity and yield improvements and a \$10.1 million pre-tax gain on the repurchase of non-recourse funding obligations. In addition, during the first quarter of 2011, we recorded \$8.5 million of pre-tax earnings in the segment relating to the settlement of a dispute with respect to certain investments. Partially offsetting the increase was a decrease of \$4.5 million related to a portfolio of securities designated for trading compared to the same period in the prior year.

Table of Contents**Life Marketing***Segment results of operations*

Segment results were as follows:

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2011	2010	Change
	(Dollars In Thousands)		
<b>REVENUES</b>			
Gross premiums and policy fees	\$ 393,665	\$ 373,390	5.4%
Reinsurance ceded	(198,086)	(176,752)	12.1
Net premiums and policy fees	195,579	196,638	(0.5)
Net investment income	106,627	91,144	17.0
Other income	28,131	21,222	32.6
Total operating revenues	330,337	309,004	6.9
<b>BENEFITS AND EXPENSES</b>			
Benefits and settlement expenses	245,162	220,556	11.2
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	35,569	34,078	4.4
Other operating expenses	23,367	13,692	70.7
Total benefits and expenses	304,098	268,326	13.3
<b>INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX</b>	<b>26,239</b>	<b>40,678</b>	<b>(35.5)</b>
<b>OPERATING INCOME</b>	<b>\$ 26,239</b>	<b>\$ 40,678</b>	<b>(35.5)</b>

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes key data for the Life Marketing segment:

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2011	2010	Change
	(Dollars In Thousands)		
<b>Sales By Product</b>			
Traditional	\$ 1,863	\$ 20,765	(91.0)%
Universal life	35,945	21,263	69.0
BOLI	4,661	940	n/m
	\$ 42,469	\$ 42,968	(1.2)
<b>Sales By Distribution Channel</b>			
Brokerage general agents	\$ 24,520	\$ 26,351	(6.9)
Independent agents	4,723	6,691	(29.4)
Stockbrokers / banks	8,343	8,971	(7.0)
BOLI / other	4,883	955	n/m
	\$ 42,469	\$ 42,968	(1.2)
<b>Average Life Insurance In-force(1)</b>			
Traditional	\$ 485,744,921	\$ 497,128,581	(2.9)
Universal life	62,689,692	53,604,563	16.9
	\$ 548,434,613	\$ 550,733,144	(1.0)
<b>Average Account Values</b>			
Universal life	\$ 5,844,583	\$ 5,418,442	7.9
Variable universal life	376,659	324,071	16.2
	\$ 6,221,242	\$ 5,742,513	8.3
<b>Traditional Life Mortality Experience(2)</b>			
	94%	77%	

(1) Amounts are not adjusted for reinsurance ceded.

(2) Represents the incurred claims as a percentage of pricing expected.

*Operating expenses detail*

Other operating expenses for the segment were as follows:

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2011	2010	Change
	(Dollars In Thousands)		
<b>Insurance Companies:</b>			
First year commissions	\$ 48,203	\$ 51,657	(6.7)%
Renewal commissions	8,842	8,614	2.6
First year ceding allowances	(2,168)	(2,088)	3.8

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Renewal ceding allowances	(40,467)	(45,870)	(11.8)
General & administrative	39,906	39,905	0.0
Taxes, licenses, and fees	9,275	7,983	16.2
Other operating expenses incurred	63,591	60,201	5.6
Less: commissions, allowances & expenses capitalized	(66,940)	(67,413)	(0.7)
Other insurance company operating expenses	(3,349)	(7,212)	(53.6)
<b>Marketing Companies:</b>			
Commissions	21,071	15,898	32.5
Other operating expenses	5,645	5,006	12.8
Other marketing company operating expenses	26,716	20,904	27.8
<b>Other operating expenses</b>	<b>\$ 23,367</b>	<b>\$ 13,692</b>	<b>70.7</b>

Table of Contents

***For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 as compared to The Three Months Ended March 31, 2010***

*Segment operating income*

Operating income was \$26.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, representing a decrease of \$14.4 million, or 35.5%, from the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease was primarily due to less favorable mortality results and higher non-deferred insurance company operating expenses including interest expense associated with programs designed to fund traditional life statutory reserves.

*Operating revenues*

Total revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2011, increased \$21.3 million, or 6.9%, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. This increase was the result of higher investment income due to increases in net in-force reserves and higher sales in the segment's marketing companies.

*Net premiums and policy fees*

Net premiums and policy fees decreased by \$1.1 million, or 0.5%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. First quarter 2010 showed higher than normal premiums due to the one-time impact of a large block of policies reaching the end of the level pay period. Lapses on this block of policies caused decreases in both direct and ceded premiums in the first quarter of 2010. However, the ceded premium decrease was lower than the direct premium decrease. The impact on net income was not material as the impact on premiums was largely offset by an impact on reserve changes included in benefits and settlement expenses. Due to an increase in retention levels on certain traditional life products and continued growth in universal life in-force business, net premiums should continue to grow in future periods. Our maximum retention level for newly issued traditional life and universal life products is \$2,000,000.

*Net investment income*

Net investment income in the segment increased \$15.5 million, or 17.0%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. Increased retained universal life reserves led to increased investment income of \$8.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. BOLI reserves and yields were generally steady as BOLI investment income increased \$0.5 million. In addition, traditional life investment income increased \$6.6 million between 2010 and 2011. Growth in retained reserves and more favorable yields on assets related to the Company's capital market blocks contributed most of the traditional life increase. The impact of our traditional and universal life capital markets programs on investment income allocated to the segment caused an increase of \$6.6 million in 2011, as compared to 2010.



*Other income*

Other income increased \$6.9 million, or 32.6%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase relates primarily to higher sales in our marketing companies and fees on variable universal life funds.

*Benefits and settlement expenses*

Benefits and settlement expenses increased by \$24.6 million, or 11.2%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, due to growth in retained universal life insurance in-force, increased retention levels on certain newly written traditional life products, and higher credited interest on UL products resulting from increases in account values and less favorable mortality. These items were partly offset by lower traditional reserve increases partly due to lower sales and partly due to certain blocks of policies reaching the end of the level pay period reducing reserves changes as it reduced premiums.

*Amortization of DAC*

DAC amortization increased \$1.5 million, or 4.4%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, primarily reflecting growth in the universal life block.

Table of Contents

*Other operating expenses*

Other operating expenses increased \$9.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. This increase reflects higher marketing company expenses associated with higher sales, a reduction in reinsurance allowances, and interest expense of \$4.8 million associated with letter of credit facilities designed to fund traditional life statutory reserves.

*Sales*

Sales for the segment decreased \$0.5 million, or 1.2%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. Traditional sales decreased \$18.9 million, or 91.0%, as we focused sales efforts on other product lines. A new universal life product was introduced in 2010 which has substantially replaced traditional life products for new sales. Universal life sales increased \$14.7 million, or 69.0%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, primarily due to our increased focus on the product line, including the introduction of new products. While BOLI sales can be somewhat volatile, our BOLI sales have generally increased over the past year due to more favorable market conditions.

*Reinsurance*

Currently, the Life Marketing segment reinsures significant amounts of its life insurance in-force. Pursuant to the underlying reinsurance contracts, reinsurers pay allowances to the segment as a percentage of both first year and renewal premiums. Reinsurance allowances represent the amount the reinsurer is willing to pay for reimbursement of acquisition costs incurred by the direct writer of the business. A portion of reinsurance allowances received is deferred as part of DAC and a portion is recognized immediately as a reduction of other operating expenses. As the non-deferred portion of allowances reduces operating expenses in the period received, these amounts represent a net increase to operating income during that period.

Reinsurance allowances do not affect the methodology used to amortize DAC or the period over which such DAC is amortized. However, they do affect the amounts recognized as DAC amortization. DAC on universal life-type, limited-payment long duration, and investment contracts business is amortized based on the estimated gross profits of the policies in-force. Reinsurance allowances are considered in the determination of estimated gross profits, and therefore, impact DAC amortization on these lines of business. Deferred reinsurance allowances on level term business as required by the ASC Financial Services-Insurance Topic are recorded as ceded DAC, which is amortized over estimated ceded premiums of the policies in-force. Thus, deferred reinsurance allowances on policies as required under the Financial Services-Insurance Topic may impact DAC amortization.

Table of Contents*Impact of reinsurance*

Reinsurance impacted the Life Marketing segment line items as shown in the following table:

**Life Marketing Segment****Line Item Impact of Reinsurance**

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(Dollars In Thousands)	
<b>REVENUES</b>		
Reinsurance ceded	\$ (198,086)	\$ (176,752)
<b>BENEFITS AND EXPENSES</b>		
Benefits and settlement expenses	(203,128)	(194,005)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	(12,336)	(7,864)
Other operating expenses (1)	(32,257)	(31,295)
Total benefits and expenses	(247,721)	(233,164)
<b>NET IMPACT OF REINSURANCE (2)</b>	<b>\$ 49,635</b>	<b>\$ 56,412</b>
Allowances received	\$ (42,635)	\$ (47,958)
Less: Amount deferred	10,378	16,663
Allowances recognized (ceded other operating expenses) (1)	\$ (32,257)	\$ (31,295)

(1) Other operating expenses ceded per the income statement are equal to reinsurance allowances recognized after capitalization.

(2) Assumes no investment income on reinsurance. Foregone investment income would substantially reduce the favorable impact of reinsurance. We estimate that the impact of foregone investment income would reduce the net impact of reinsurance by 90% to 160%.

The table above does not reflect the impact of reinsurance on our net investment income. By ceding business to the assuming companies, we forgo investment income on the reserves ceded. Conversely, the assuming companies will receive investment income on the reserves assumed, which will increase the assuming companies' profitability on the business we cede. The net investment income impact to us and the assuming companies has not been quantified. The impact of including foregone investment income would be to substantially reduce the favorable net impact of reinsurance reflected above. We estimate that the impact of foregone investment income would be to reduce the net impact of reinsurance presented in the table above by 90% to 160%. The Life Marketing segment's reinsurance programs do not materially impact the other income line of our income statement.

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

As shown above, reinsurance had a favorable impact on the Life Marketing segment's operating income for the periods presented above. The impact of reinsurance is largely due to our quota share coinsurance program in place prior to mid-2005. Under that program, generally 90% of the segment's traditional new business was ceded to reinsurers. Since mid-2005, a much smaller percentage of overall term business was ceded due to our change in reinsurance strategy on traditional business discussed previously. As a result of that change, the relative impact of reinsurance on the Life Marketing segment's overall results is expected to decrease over time. While the significance of reinsurance is expected to decline over time, the overall impact of reinsurance for a given period may fluctuate due to variations in mortality and unlocking of balances under the ASC Financial Services-Insurance Topic.

Table of Contents

*For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 as compared to The Three Months Ended March 31, 2010*

The increase in ceded premiums above for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, was caused primarily by higher ceded traditional life premiums and policy fees of \$18.6 million. First quarter 2010 showed lower than normal ceded premiums due to the one-time impact of a large block of policies reaching the end of the level pay period. Lapses on this block of policies caused decreases in ceded premiums in the first quarter of 2010. The impact on net income was not material as the impact on premiums was largely offset by an impact on reserve changes included in benefits and settlement expenses.

Ceded benefits and settlement expenses were higher for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, due to higher ceded claims partly offset by lower increases in ceded reserves. Traditional ceded benefits increased \$9.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, as a larger increase in ceded reserves more than offset lower ceded death benefits. Universal life ceded benefits decreased \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, due to higher ceded claims more than offsetting a lower change in ceded reserves. Ceded universal life claims were \$14.5 million higher for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Ceded amortization of deferred policy acquisitions costs increased for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, primarily due to the differences in unlocking between the two periods.

Total allowances recognized for the three months ended March 31, 2011, increased from the three months ended March 31, 2010, as the impact of growth in universal life sales more than offset the impact of the continued reduction in our traditional life reinsurance allowances.

Table of Contents**Acquisitions***Segment results of operations*

Segment results were as follows:

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2011	2010	Change
	(Dollars In Thousands)		
<b>REVENUES</b>			
Gross premiums and policy fees	\$ 180,494	\$ 160,721	12.3%
Reinsurance ceded	(101,794)	(93,134)	9.3
Net premiums and policy fees	78,700	67,587	16.4
Net investment income	117,938	115,401	2.2
Other income	1,279	1,273	0.5
Total operating revenues	197,917	184,261	7.4
Realized gains (losses) - investments	(5,274)	44,519	
Realized gains (losses) - derivatives	7,480	(30,063)	
Total revenues	200,123	198,717	
<b>BENEFITS AND EXPENSES</b>			
Benefits and settlement expenses	142,481	133,474	6.7
Amortization of value of business acquired	15,904	13,195	20.5
Other operating expenses	7,141	6,223	14.8
Operating benefits and expenses	165,526	152,892	8.3
Amortization of VOBA related to realized gains (losses) - investments	699	143	
Total benefits and expenses	166,225	153,035	8.6
<b>INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX</b>	33,898	45,682	(25.8)
Less: realized gains (losses)	2,206	14,456	
Less: related amortization of VOBA	(699)	(143)	
<b>OPERATING INCOME</b>	\$ 32,391	\$ 31,369	3.3

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes key data for the Acquisitions segment:

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2011	2010	Change
	(Dollars In Thousands)		
<b>Average Life Insurance In-Force(1)</b>			
Traditional	\$ 187,504,555	\$ 189,300,917	(0.9)%
Universal life	27,927,654	27,324,752	2.2
	\$ 215,432,209	\$ 216,625,669	(0.6)
<b>Average Account Values</b>			
Universal life	\$ 3,004,513	\$ 2,755,125	9.1
Fixed annuity(2)	3,385,490	3,425,604	(1.2)
Variable annuity	736,726	139,690	n/m
	\$ 7,126,729	\$ 6,320,419	12.8
<b>Interest Spread - UL &amp; Fixed Annuities</b>			
Net investment income yield(3)	5.71%	5.89%	
Interest credited to policyholders	4.14	4.28	
Interest spread	1.57%	1.61%	

(1) Amounts are not adjusted for reinsurance ceded.

(2) Includes general account balances held within variable annuity products and is net of coinsurance ceded.

(3) Includes available-for-sale and trading portfolios. Available-for-sale portfolio yields were 6.20% and 6.34%, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

***For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 as compared to The Three Months Ended March 31, 2010***

*Segment operating income*

Operating income was \$32.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, an increase of \$1.0 million, or 3.3%, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, which was primarily due to the United Investors acquisition which added \$7.7 million to operating income. This increase was partly offset by lower spreads and higher mortality and morbidity in some lines and the expected runoff in the other blocks of business.

*Operating revenues*

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Net premiums and policy fees increased \$11.1 million, or 16.4%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, which was primarily due to the United Investors acquisition which added \$16.6 million to net premiums and policy fees. This was partly offset by the expected runoff of other lines of business. Net investment income increased \$2.5 million, or 2.2%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. United Investors investment income of \$8.8 million was partly offset by runoff of the segment's in-force business and lower yields on certain investment portfolios.

### *Total benefits and expenses*

Total benefits and expenses increased \$13.2 million, or 8.6%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. The increase reflects \$18.0 million related to United Investors, partly offset by the expected runoff of the in-force business and fluctuations in mortality. The variance in the amortization of VOBA related to realized gains (losses) on investments is due to the size of the gains or losses relative to the gross profits used to amortize VOBA in a given year.



Table of Contents**Reinsurance**

The Acquisitions segment currently reinsures portions of both its life and annuity in-force. The cost of reinsurance to the segment is reflected in the chart shown below.

**Impact of reinsurance**

Reinsurance impacted the Acquisitions segment line items as shown in the following table:

**Acquisitions Segment****Line Item Impact of Reinsurance**

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
	(Dollars In Thousands)	
<b>REVENUES</b>		
Reinsurance ceded	\$ (101,794)	\$ (93,134)
<b>BENEFITS AND EXPENSES</b>		
Benefits and settlement expenses	(91,875)	(85,069)
Amortization of value of business acquired	(5,196)	(5,422)
Other operating expenses	(12,944)	(12,785)
Total benefits and expenses	(110,015)	(103,276)
<b>NET IMPACT OF REINSURANCE(1)</b>	<b>\$ 8,221</b>	<b>\$ 10,142</b>

(1) Assumes no investment income on reinsurance. Foregone investment income would substantially reduce the favorable impact of reinsurance.

The segment's reinsurance programs do not materially impact the other income line of the income statement. In addition, net investment income generally has no direct impact on reinsurance cost. However, by ceding business to the assuming companies, we forgo investment income on the reserves ceded to the assuming companies. Conversely, the assuming companies will receive investment income on the reserves assumed which will increase the assuming companies' profitability on business assumed from the Company. For business ceded under modified coinsurance arrangements, the amount of investment income attributable to the assuming company is included as part of the overall change in policy reserves and, as such, is reflected in benefit and settlement expenses. The net investment income impact to us and the assuming companies has not been quantified as it is not fully reflected in our consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

The net impact of reinsurance decreased \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, as increases in ceded premiums partly due to the United Investors acquisition more than offset increases in ceded benefits and expenses.

Table of Contents**Annuities****Segment results of operations**

Segment results were as follows:

	<b>For The Three Months Ended March 31,</b>		
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>Change</b>
	<b>(Dollars In Thousands)</b>		
<b>REVENUES</b>			
Gross premiums and policy fees	\$ 14,923	\$ 8,775	70.1%
Reinsurance ceded	(21)	(37)	(43.2)
Net premiums and policy fees	14,902	8,738	70.5
Net investment income	124,356	116,197	7.0
Realized gains (losses) - derivatives	(21,483)	9,549	n/m
Other income	11,358	5,994	89.5
Total operating revenues	129,133	140,478	(8.1)
Realized gains (losses) - investments	999	102	
Total revenues	130,132	140,580	(7.4)
<b>BENEFITS AND EXPENSES</b>			
Benefits and settlement expenses	96,242	94,241	2.1
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and value of business acquired	6,843	19,600	(65.1)
Other operating expenses	12,963	8,450	53.4
Operating benefits and expenses	116,048	122,291	(5.1)
Amortization related to benefit and settlement expense	5		
Amortization of DAC related to realized gains (losses) - investments	229	71	
Total benefits and expenses	116,282	122,362	(5.0)
<b>INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX</b>	<b>13,850</b>	<b>18,218</b>	<b>(24.0)</b>
Less: realized gains (losses)	999	102	
Less: amortization related to benefit and settlement expense	(5)		
Less: related amortization of DAC	(229)	(71)	
<b>OPERATING INCOME</b>	<b>\$ 13,085</b>	<b>\$ 18,187</b>	<b>(28.1)</b>

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes key data for the Annuities segment:

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,		Change
	2011	2010	
(Dollars In Thousands)			
<b>Sales</b>			
Fixed annuity	\$ 309,264	\$ 218,029	41.8%
Variable annuity	607,795	349,936	73.7
	\$ 917,059	\$ 567,965	61.5
<b>Average Account Values</b>			
Fixed annuity(1)	\$ 8,292,589	\$ 7,600,963	9.1
Variable annuity	4,755,225	2,909,757	63.4
	\$ 13,047,814	\$ 10,510,720	24.1
<b>Interest Spread - Fixed Annuities(2)</b>			
Net investment income yield	5.95%	6.12%	
Interest credited to policyholders	4.41	4.63	
Interest spread	1.54%	1.49%	

	As of		Change
	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	
<b>GMDB - Net amount at risk(3)</b>	\$ 178,647	\$ 221,907	(19.5)%
<b>GMDB Reserves</b>	5,923	6,107	(3.0)
<b>GMWB Reserves</b>	11,486	19,611	(41.4)
<b>Account value subject to GMWB rider</b>	3,248,092	2,686,125	20.9
<b>S&amp;P 500® Index</b>	1,326	1,258	5.4

(1) Includes general account balances held within variable annuity products.

(2) Interest spread on average general account values.

(3) Guaranteed death benefits in excess of contract holder account balance.

**For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 as compared to The Three Months Ended March 31, 2010**

*Segment operating income*

Segment pre-tax operating income was \$13.1 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to \$18.2 million in the first quarter of 2010. The current quarter included an unfavorable \$10.5 million impact related to guaranteed benefits of certain VA contracts. The impact included \$8.8 million related primarily to being over hedged regarding equity market exposure and \$1.7 million related to inforce changes and updated model assumptions to value GMWB. The first quarter of 2010 included a favorable \$4.6 million impact related to guaranteed benefits of certain VA contracts. Partially offsetting these items were higher VA fees, higher spreads and average account value growth in the SPDA line and favorable SPIA mortality compared to the prior year's quarter.

*Operating revenues*

Operating revenues decreased \$11.3 million, or 8.1%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, primarily due to an unfavorable impact from derivatives associated with the VA GMWB rider of \$15.1 million. These losses were partially offset by a \$0.4 million favorable fair value change associated with the equity indexed annuity ( EIA ) product along with increases in net investment income, policy fees, and other income. Average fixed account balances grew 9.1% and average variable account balances grew 63.4% for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, resulting in higher investment income, policy fees, and other income.

Table of Contents

*Benefits and settlement expenses*

Benefits and settlement expenses increased \$2.0 million, or 2.1%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. This increase was primarily the result of higher credited interest, an unfavorable change in unearned premium reserve amortization, and an unfavorable change related to EIA liability fair value adjustments. The unfavorable change in unearned premium amortization and bonus interest amortization associated with the VA GMWB rider and the unfavorable fair value change related to the EIA liability were \$2.4 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. Offsetting these increases was a favorable change of \$2.0 million in SPIA mortality results.

*Amortization of DAC*

The decrease in DAC amortization for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, was primarily due to changes related to the VA GMWB rider, which caused a decrease in amortization of \$16.3 million. Unfavorable DAC unlocking of \$0.4 million was recorded by the segment during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

*Sales*

Total sales increased \$349.1 million, or 61.5%, for the three month ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. Sales of variable annuities increased \$257.9 million, or 73.7%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, primarily due to product positioning and more focus on the VA line of business. Sales of fixed annuities increased \$91.2 million, or 41.8%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three month ended March 31, 2010. The increase in fixed annuity sales was driven by SPDA sales, reflecting higher demand for fixed products in the current interest rate environment, which increased by \$63.1 million, or 34.3%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**Stable Value Products***Segment results of operations*

Segment results were as follows:

	<b>For The Three Months Ended March 31,</b>		
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>Change</b>
	<b>(Dollars In Thousands)</b>		
<b>REVENUES</b>			
Net investment income	\$ 36,104	\$ 46,420	(22.2)%
Other income	(1)		n/m
Realized gains (losses)	8,612	1,536	n/m
Total revenues	44,715	47,956	(6.8)
<b>BENEFITS AND EXPENSES</b>			
Benefits and settlement expenses	22,790	33,731	(32.4)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	3,547	979	n/m
Other operating expenses	571	683	(16.4)
Total benefits and expenses	26,908	35,393	(24.0)
<b>INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX</b>	<b>17,807</b>	<b>12,563</b>	<b>41.7</b>
Less: realized gains (losses)	8,612	1,536	
<b>OPERATING INCOME</b>	<b>\$ 9,195</b>	<b>\$ 11,027</b>	<b>(16.6)</b>

The following table summarizes key data for the Stable Value Products segment:

	<b>For The Three Months Ended March 31,</b>		
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>Change</b>
	<b>(Dollars In Thousands)</b>		
<b>Sales</b>			
GIC	\$ 74,658	\$ 1,000	n/m%
GFA - Direct Institutional		150,000	n/m
	\$ 74,658	\$ 151,000	(50.6)
<b>Average Account Values</b>	<b>\$ 2,750,596</b>	<b>\$ 3,494,977</b>	<b>(21.3)</b>
<b>Ending Account Values</b>	<b>\$ 2,664,139</b>	<b>\$ 3,454,186</b>	<b>(22.9)</b>
<b>Operating Spread</b>			
Net investment income yield	5.25%	5.31%	
Interest credited	3.31	3.86	
Operating expenses	0.60	0.19	
Operating spread	1.34%	1.26%	





Table of Contents

*For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 as compared to The Three Months Ended March 31, 2010*

*Segment operating income*

Operating income was \$9.2 million and decreased \$1.8 million, or 16.6%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease in operating earnings resulted from a decline in average account values. We also called certain retail notes, which caused accelerated DAC amortization of \$3.1 million on those called contracts. The operating spread increased 8 basis points to 134 basis points for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to an operating spread of 126 basis points for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

*Sales*

Total sales were \$74.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to \$151.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**Asset Protection***Segment results of operations*

Segment results were as follows:

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2011	2010	Change
	(Dollars In Thousands)		
<b>REVENUES</b>			
Gross premiums and policy fees	\$ 71,606	\$ 79,515	(9.9)%
Reinsurance ceded	(31,813)	(35,906)	(11.4)
Net premiums and policy fees	39,793	43,609	(8.8)
Net investment income	6,984	7,497	(6.8)
Other income	21,126	15,325	37.9
Total operating revenues	67,903	66,431	2.2
<b>BENEFITS AND EXPENSES</b>			
Benefits and settlement expenses	23,866	18,756	27.2
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	11,214	12,775	(12.2)
Other operating expenses	26,332	21,907	20.2
Total benefits and expenses	61,412	53,438	14.9
<b>INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX</b>	<b>6,491</b>	<b>12,993</b>	<b>(50.0)</b>
Less: noncontrolling interests	(51)	(74)	(31.1)
<b>OPERATING INCOME</b>	<b>\$ 6,542</b>	<b>\$ 13,067</b>	<b>(49.9)</b>

The following table summarizes key data for the Asset Protection segment:

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2011	2010	Change
	(Dollars In Thousands)		
<b>Sales</b>			
Credit insurance	\$ 8,766	\$ 7,692	14.0%
Service contracts	64,178	52,539	22.2
Other products	17,244	11,459	50.5
	\$ 90,188	\$ 71,690	25.8
<b>Loss Ratios (1)</b>			
Credit insurance	35.4%	43.9%	
Service contracts	80.8	78.4	
Other products	22.8	(34.7)	

(1) Incurred claims as a percentage of earned premiums

*For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 as compared to The Three Months Ended March 31, 2010*

*Segment operating income*

Operating income was \$6.5 million, representing a decrease of \$6.5 million, or 49.9%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. Earnings from core product lines totaled \$6.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, an increase of \$1.0 million, or 17.4%, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. Credit insurance earnings increased \$0.7 million, or 93.3%, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, primarily due to lower loss ratios and lower expenses. Service contract earnings decreased \$0.5 million, or 11.5%, as compared to the first quarter of 2010. The decrease primarily resulted from higher loss ratios in certain product lines partially offset by higher volume. Earnings from other products, including non-core lines, decreased \$6.7 million, or 72.4%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily due to a \$7.8 million excess reserve release related to the runoff Lender's Indemnity line of business in the first

Table of Contents

quarter of 2010. This decrease was partially offset by a \$0.8 million increase in earnings from the GAP product line, as compared to the same quarter last year. Higher volume and favorable loss experience contributed to the increase.

*Net premiums and policy fees*

Net premiums and policy fees decreased \$3.8 million, or 8.8%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. Credit insurance premiums decreased \$0.4 million, or 8.2%, as compared to the quarter in 2010. Service contract premiums decreased \$1.7 million, or 6.5%, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. Within the other product lines, net premiums decreased \$1.7 million, or 13.9%, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease in all lines was mainly the result of decreasing sales in prior years and the related impact on earned premiums.

*Other income*

Other income increased \$5.8 million, or 37.9%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, primarily due to increased sales in 2011 as a result of improvement in U.S. auto sales.

*Benefits and settlement expenses*

Benefits and settlement expenses increased \$5.1 million, or 27.2%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. Credit insurance claims decreased \$0.6 million, or 25.9%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. Service contract claims decreased \$0.8 million, or 3.7%. Other products claims increased \$6.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. The first quarter of 2010 included a \$7.8 million excess reserve release related to the runoff Lender's Indemnity line of business. The increase was partially offset by a lower loss ratio in the GAP product line.

*Amortization of DAC and Other operating expenses*

Amortization of DAC was \$1.6 million, or 12.2%, lower for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, primarily due to lower earned premiums in the GAP product line. Other operating expenses increased \$4.4 million, or 20.2%, for the three ended March 31, 2011, mainly due to higher commission expense in certain service contract lines primarily resulting from an increase in sales.

*Sales*

Total segment sales increased \$18.5 million, or 25.8%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. Credit insurance sales increased \$1.1 million, or 14.0%, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. Service contract sales increased \$11.6 million, or 22.2%, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. Sales in other products increased \$5.8 million, or 50.5%, primarily in the GAP product line. Increases in all product lines are mainly attributable to the improvement in U.S. auto sales over the prior year.

***Reinsurance***

The majority of the Asset Protection segment's reinsurance activity relates to the cession of single premium credit life and credit accident and health insurance, vehicle service contracts, and guaranteed asset protection insurance to producer affiliated reinsurance companies ( PARCs ). These arrangements are coinsurance contracts ceding the business on a first dollar quota share basis at levels ranging from 50% to 100% to limit our exposure and allow the PARCs to share in the underwriting income of the product. Reinsurance contracts do not relieve us from our obligations to our policyholders.

Table of Contents

Reinsurance impacted the Asset Protection segment line items as shown in the following table:

**Asset Protection Segment**

**Line Item Impact of Reinsurance**

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
(Dollars In Thousands)		
<b>REVENUES</b>		
Reinsurance ceded	\$ (31,813)	\$ (35,906)
<b>BENEFITS AND EXPENSES</b>		
Benefits and settlement expenses	(15,535)	(19,275)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	(2,221)	(3,584)
Other operating expenses	(1,568)	(934)
Total benefits and expenses	(19,324)	(23,793)
<b>NET IMPACT OF REINSURANCE(1)</b>	<b>\$ (12,489)</b>	<b>\$ (12,113)</b>

(1) Assumes no investment income on reinsurance. Foregone investment income would substantially change the impact of reinsurance.

***For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 as compared to The Three Months Ended March 31, 2010***

Reinsurance premiums ceded decreased \$4.1 million, or 11.4%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease was primarily due to a decline in ceded service contract premiums and dealer credit insurance premiums due to lower sales in prior years.

Benefits and settlement expenses ceded decreased \$3.7 million, or 19.4%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010. The decrease was primarily due to lower losses in the service contract and dealer credit lines.

Amortization of DAC ceded decreased \$1.4 million, or 38.0%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, primarily as the result of decreases in ceded activity in the dealer credit and GAP product lines. Other operating expenses ceded increased \$0.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Net investment income has no direct impact on reinsurance cost. However, by ceding business to the assuming companies, we forgo investment income on the reserves ceded. Conversely, the assuming companies will receive investment income on the reserves assumed which will increase

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

the assuming companies profitability on business we cede. The net investment income impact to us and the assuming companies has not been quantified as it is not reflected in our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Corporate and Other***Segment results of operations*

Segment results were as follows:

	<b>For The Three Months Ended March 31,</b>		
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>Change</b>
	<b>(Dollars In Thousands)</b>		
<b>REVENUES</b>			
Gross premiums and policy fees	\$ 5,655	\$ 6,371	(11.2)%
Reinsurance ceded	(94)		n/m
Net premiums and policy fees	5,561	6,371	(12.7)
Net investment income	52,204	35,338	47.7
Realized gains (losses) - derivatives		42	
Other income	10,316	58	n/m
Total operating revenues	68,081	41,809	62.8
Realized gains (losses) - investments	(4,883)	(9,767)	
Realized gains (losses) - derivatives	672	(2,960)	
Total revenues	63,870	29,082	n/m
<b>BENEFITS AND EXPENSES</b>			
Benefits and settlement expenses	5,823	6,537	(10.9)
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	358	448	(20.1)
Other operating expenses	51,879	50,955	1.8
Total benefits and expenses	58,060	57,940	0.2
<b>INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAX</b>	<b>5,810</b>	<b>(28,858)</b>	<b>n/m</b>
Less: realized gains (losses) - investments	(4,883)	(9,767)	
Less: realized gains (losses) - derivatives	672	(2,960)	
Less: noncontrolling interests		1	
<b>OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)</b>	<b>\$ 10,021</b>	<b>\$ (16,132)</b>	<b>n/m</b>

*For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 as compared to The Three Months Ended March 31, 2010*

*Segment operating income (loss)*

Corporate and Other segment operating income was \$10.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to a loss of \$16.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010. The improvement was primarily due to the growth in net investment income due to deploying liquidity and yield improvements and a \$10.1 million pre-tax gain on the repurchase of non-recourse funding obligations. In addition, during the first quarter of 2011, we recorded \$8.5 million of pre-tax earnings in the segment relating to the settlement of a dispute with respect to certain investments. Partially offsetting the increase was a decrease of \$4.5 million related to a portfolio of securities designated for trading compared to the same period in the prior year.



*Operating revenues*

Net investment income for the segment increased \$16.9 million, or 47.7%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, and net premiums and policy fees decreased \$0.8 million, or 12.7%. The increase in net investment income was primarily the result of deploying liquidity, yield improvements and \$8.5 million of pre-tax earnings relating to the settlement of a dispute with respect to certain investments. These increases were partially offset by a decrease related to mark-to-market adjustments on a portfolio of securities designated for trading.

*Total benefits and expenses*

Total benefits and expenses increased \$0.1 million, or 0.2%, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010, primarily due to an increase of \$0.9 million in operating expenses, partially offset by a decrease in interest expense of \$0.4 million and a decrease of \$0.7 million in policy benefits.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED INVESTMENTS**

Certain reclassifications have been made in the previously reported financial statements and accompanying tables to make the prior year amounts comparable to those of the current year. Such reclassifications had no effect on previously reported net income, shareowners' equity, or the totals reflected in the accompanying tables.

**Portfolio Description**

As of March 31, 2011, our investment portfolio was approximately \$31.4 billion. The types of assets in which we may invest are influenced by various state laws which prescribe qualified investment assets. Within the parameters of these laws, we invest in assets giving consideration to such factors as liquidity and capital needs, investment quality, investment return, matching of assets and liabilities, and the overall composition of the investment portfolio by asset type and credit exposure.

The following table presents the reported values of our invested assets:

	March 31, 2011	As of (Dollars In Thousands)		December 31, 2010	
Publicly issued bonds (amortized cost: 2011 - \$19,459,934; 2010 - \$19,763,441)	\$ 20,057,774	63.9%	\$	20,343,813	64.8%
Privately issued bonds (amortized cost: 2011 - \$4,499,054; 2010 - \$4,239,452)	4,618,275	14.7		4,333,126	13.8
Fixed maturities	24,676,049	78.6		24,676,939	78.6
Equity securities (cost: 2011 - \$343,760; 2010 - \$349,605)	352,927	1.1		359,412	1.1
Mortgage loans	4,873,678	15.5		4,892,829	15.6
Investment real estate	21,884	0.1		25,340	0.1
Policy loans	784,320	2.5		793,448	2.5
Other long-term investments	276,291	0.9		276,337	0.9
Short-term investments	406,676	1.3		352,824	1.2
Total investments	\$ 31,391,825	100.0%	\$	31,377,129	100.0%

Included in the preceding table are \$2.9 billion and \$3.0 billion of fixed maturities and \$155.4 million and \$114.3 million of short-term investments classified as trading securities as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The trading portfolio includes invested assets of \$2.8 billion and \$2.9 billion as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, held pursuant to modified coinsurance ( Modco ) arrangements under which the economic risks and benefits of the investments are passed to third party reinsurers.

**Fixed Maturity Investments**

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

As of March 31, 2011, our fixed maturity investment holdings were approximately \$24.7 billion. The approximate percentage distribution of our fixed maturity investments by quality rating is as follows:

Rating	As of	
	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
AAA	16.8%	17.0%
AA	4.9	4.8
A	18.3	17.9
BBB	47.9	47.8
Below investment grade	12.1	12.5
	100.0%	100.0%

During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and for the year ended December 31, 2010, we did not actively purchase securities below the BBB level.

We do not have material exposure to financial guarantee insurance companies with respect to our investment portfolio. As of March 31, 2011, based upon amortized cost, \$42.5 million of our securities was guaranteed either directly or indirectly by third parties out of a total of \$23.8 billion fixed maturity securities held by us (0.18% of total fixed maturity securities).

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

### Table of Contents

Declines in fair value for our available-for-sale portfolio, net of related DAC and VOBA, are charged or credited directly to shareowners' equity. Declines in fair value that are other-than-temporary are recorded as realized losses in the consolidated condensed statements of income, net of any applicable non-credit component of the loss, which is recorded as an adjustment to other comprehensive income (loss).

The distribution of our fixed maturity investments by type is as follows:

Type	As of	
	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
	(Dollars In Millions)	
Residential mortgage-backed securities	\$ 2,697.7	\$ 2,979.8
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	316.4	312.6
Other asset-backed securities	952.5	927.1
U.S. government-related securities	1,622.3	1,572.1
Other government-related securities	277.2	327.8
States, municipals, and political subdivisions	1,140.7	1,123.8
Corporate bonds	17,669.2	17,433.7
Total fixed income portfolio	\$ 24,676.0	\$ 24,676.9

Within our fixed maturity investments, we maintain portfolios classified as available-for-sale and trading. We purchase our investments with the intent to hold to maturity by purchasing investments that match future cash flow needs. However, we may sell any of our investments to maintain proper matching of assets and liabilities. Accordingly, we classified \$21.8 billion, or 88.5%, of our fixed maturities as available-for-sale as of March 31, 2011. These securities are carried at fair value on our consolidated condensed balance sheets.

Trading securities are carried at fair value and changes in fair value are recorded on the income statement as they occur. Our trading portfolio accounts for \$2.9 billion, or 11.5%, of our fixed maturities as of March 31, 2011. Fixed maturities with a market value of \$2.8 billion and short-term investments with a market value of \$155.4 million in the trading portfolio, including gains and losses from sales, are passed to the reinsurers through the contractual terms of the reinsurance arrangements. Partially offsetting these amounts are corresponding changes in the fair value of the embedded derivative associated with the underlying reinsurance arrangement. The total Modco trading portfolio fixed maturities by rating is as follows:

Rating	As of	
	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
	(Dollars In Thousands)	
AAA	\$ 806,829	\$ 816,064
AA	207,900	177,419
A	557,881	584,408
BBB	964,454	1,008,943
Below investment grade	242,412	269,710
Total Modco trading fixed maturities	\$ 2,779,476	\$ 2,856,544

A portion of our bond portfolio is invested in residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS), commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS), and other asset-backed securities (collectively referred to as asset-backed securities ABS). ABS are securities that are backed by a pool of assets from the investee. These holdings as of March 31, 2011, were approximately \$4.0 billion. Mortgage-backed securities (MBS) are constructed from pools of mortgages and may have cash flow volatility as a result of changes in the rate at which prepayments of principal occur with respect to the underlying loans. Excluding limitations on access to lending and other extraordinary economic conditions, prepayments of

principal on the underlying loans can be expected to accelerate with decreases in market interest rates and diminish with increases in interest rates.

Table of Contents

**Residential mortgage-backed securities** - The tables below include a breakdown of our RMBS portfolio by type and rating as of March 31, 2011. As of March 31, 2011, these holdings were approximately \$2.7 billion. Sequential securities receive payments in order until each class is paid off. Planned amortization class securities ( PACs ) pay down according to a schedule. Pass through securities receive principal as principal of the underlying mortgages is received.

Type	Percentage of Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities
Sequential	58.7%
PAC	18.6
Pass Through	7.2
Other	15.5
	100.0%

Rating	Percentage of Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities
AAA	35.5%
AA	3.9
A	0.7
BBB	3.5
Below investment grade	56.4
	100.0%

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2011, we held securities with a fair value of \$379.8 million, or 1.2% of invested assets, supported by collateral classified as Alt-A. As of December 31, 2010, we held securities with a fair value of \$401.6 million supported by collateral classified as Alt-A.

The following table includes the percentage of our collateral classified as Alt-A, grouped by rating category, as of March 31, 2011:

Rating	Percentage of Alt-A Securities
AAA	1.5%
A	0.2
BBB	1.9
Below investment grade	96.4
	100.0%

The following tables categorize the estimated fair value and unrealized gain/(loss) of our mortgage-backed securities collateralized by Alt-A mortgage loans by rating as of March 31, 2011:

**Alt-A Collateralized Holdings**

Rating	Estimated Fair Value of Security by Year of Security Origination					Total
	2007 and Prior	2008	2009	2010	2011	
	(Dollars In Millions)					
AAA	\$ 4.1	\$	\$	\$ 1.6	\$	\$ 5.7
AA	0.1					0.1
A	0.7					0.7
BBB	7.2					7.2
Below investment grade	366.1					366.1
Total mortgage-backed securities collateralized by Alt-A mortgage loans	\$ 378.2	\$	\$	\$ 1.6	\$	\$ 379.8

Rating	Estimated Unrealized Gain (Loss) of Security by Year of Security Origination					Total
	2007 and Prior	2008	2009	2010	2011	
	(Dollars In Millions)					
AAA	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
AA						
A						
BBB	0.8					0.8
Below investment grade	(37.1)					(37.1)
Total mortgage-backed securities collateralized by Alt-A mortgage loans	\$ (36.3)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (36.3)





Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2011, we had RMBS with a total fair value of \$39.3 million, or 0.1% of total invested assets, that were supported by collateral classified as sub-prime. As of December 31, 2010, we held securities with a fair value of \$42.1 million that were supported by collateral classified as sub-prime.

The following table includes the percentage of our collateral classified as sub-prime, grouped by rating category, as of March 31, 2011:

Rating	Percentage of Sub-prime Securities
AAA	0.2%
AA	5.0
A	9.7
BBB	2.5
Below investment grade	82.6
	100.0%

The following tables categorize the estimated fair value and unrealized gain/(loss) of our mortgage-backed securities collateralized by sub-prime mortgage loans by rating as of March 31, 2011:

**Sub-prime Collateralized Holdings**

Rating	Estimated Fair Value of Security by Year of Security Origination					Total
	2007 and Prior	2008	2009	2010	2011	
	(Dollars In Millions)					
AAA	\$ 0.1	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 0.1
AA	1.9					1.9
A	3.8					3.8
BBB	1.0					1.0
Below investment grade	32.5					32.5
Total mortgage-backed securities collateralized by sub-prime mortgage loans	\$ 39.3	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 39.3

Rating	Estimated Unrealized Gain (Loss) of Security by Year of Security Origination					Total
	2007 and Prior	2008	2009	2010	2011	
	(Dollars In Millions)					
AAA	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
AA	(0.1)					(0.1)
A	(0.2)					(0.2)
BBB	(0.1)					(0.1)
Below investment grade	(22.6)					(22.6)
Total mortgage-backed securities collateralized by sub-prime mortgage	\$ (23.0)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (23.0)

loans

Table of Contents

As of March 31, 2011, we had RMBS collateralized by prime mortgage loans (including agency mortgages) with a total fair value of \$2.3 billion, or 7.3% of total invested assets. As of December 31, 2010, we held securities with a fair value of \$2.5 billion of RMBS collateralized by prime mortgage loans (including agency mortgages).

The following table includes the percentage of our collateral classified as prime, grouped by rating category, as of March 31, 2011:

Rating	Percentage of Prime Securities
AAA	41.8%
AA	4.5
A	0.6
BBB	3.8
Below investment grade	49.3
	100.0%

The following tables categorize the estimated fair value and unrealized gain/(loss) of our mortgage-backed securities collateralized by prime mortgage loans (including agency mortgages) by rating as of March 31, 2011:

**Prime Collateralized Holdings**

Rating	2007 and Prior	Estimated Fair Value of Security by Year of Security Origination				Total
		2008	2009	2010	2011	
(Dollars In Millions)						
AAA	\$ 802.2	\$	\$	\$ 141.6	\$ 8.9	\$ 952.7
AA	103.1					103.1
A	13.4					13.4
BBB	86.8					86.8
Below investment grade	1,122.6					1,122.6
Total mortgage-backed securities collateralized by prime mortgage loans	\$ 2,128.1	\$	\$	\$ 141.6	\$ 8.9	\$ 2,278.6

Rating	2007 and Prior	Estimated Unrealized Gain (Loss) of Security by Year of Security Origination				Total
		2008	2009	2010	2011	
(Dollars In Millions)						
AAA	\$ 47.4	\$	\$	\$ (1.7)	\$	\$ 45.7
AA	0.6					0.6
A	0.4					0.4
BBB	1.8					1.8
Below investment grade	(20.6)					(20.6)

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Total mortgage-backed  
securities collateralized by  
prime mortgage loans

\$ 29.6 \$ \$ (1.7) \$ 27.9

64

---

Table of Contents

**Commercial mortgage-backed securities** - Our CMBS portfolio consists of commercial mortgage-backed securities issued in securitization transactions. As of March 31, 2011, the CMBS holdings were approximately \$316.4 million. As of December 31, 2010, the CMBS holdings were approximately \$312.6 million.

The following table includes the percentages of our CMBS holdings, grouped by rating category, as of March 31, 2011:

Rating	Percentage of Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities
AAA	95.3%
AA	4.7
	100.0%

The following tables categorize the estimated fair value and unrealized gain/(loss) of our CMBS as of March 31, 2011:

### Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities

Rating	Estimated Fair Value of Security by Year of Security Origination						Total
	2007 and Prior	2008	2009	2010	2011	(Dollars In Millions)	
AAA	\$ 158.0	\$ 46.7	\$ 3.8	\$ 93.1	\$	\$	\$ 301.6
AA	2.7			3.0	9.1		14.8
Total commercial mortgage-backed securities	\$ 160.7	\$ 46.7	\$ 3.8	\$ 96.1	\$ 9.1	\$	\$ 316.4

Rating	Estimated Unrealized Gain (Loss) of Security by Year of Security Origination						Total
	2007 and Prior	2008	2009	2010	2011	(Dollars In Millions)	
AAA	\$ 4.5	\$ 2.5	\$ (0.1)	\$ (1.3)	\$	\$	\$ 5.6
AA	(0.1)						(0.1)
Total commercial mortgage-backed securities	\$ 4.4	\$ 2.5	\$ (0.1)	\$ (1.3)	\$	\$	\$ 5.5

Table of Contents

**Other asset-backed securities** Other asset-backed securities pay down based on cash flow received from the underlying pool of assets, such as receivables on auto loans, student loans, credit cards, etc. As of March 31, 2011, these holdings were approximately \$952.5 million. As of December 31, 2010, these holdings were approximately \$927.1 million.

The following table includes the percentages of our other asset-backed holdings, grouped by rating category, as of March 31, 2011:

Rating	Percentage of Other Asset-Backed Securities
AAA	94.7%
AA	2.8
A	0.6
BBB	0.6
Below investment grade	1.3
	100.0%

The following tables categorize the estimated fair value and unrealized gain/(loss) of our asset-backed securities as of March 31, 2011:

**Other Asset-Backed Securities**

Rating	2007 and Prior	Estimated Fair Value of Security by Year of Security Origination					Total
		2008	2009	2010	2011	(Dollars In Millions)	
AAA	\$ 809.3	\$	\$ 19.8	\$ 32.0	\$ 41.4	\$ 902.5	
AA	26.9					26.9	
A	6.0					6.0	
BBB	5.7					5.7	
Below investment grade	11.4					11.4	
Total other asset-backed securities	\$ 859.3	\$	\$ 19.8	\$ 32.0	\$ 41.4	\$ 952.5	

Rating	2007 and Prior	Estimated Unrealized Gain (Loss) of Security by Year of Security Origination					Total
		2008	2009	2010	2011	(Dollars In Millions)	
AAA	\$ (17.9)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (17.9)	
AA	2.8					2.8	
A	0.4					0.4	
BBB	(0.3)					(0.3)	
Below investment grade	(10.5)					(10.5)	
Total other asset-backed securities	\$ (25.5)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (25.5)	



## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

### Table of Contents

We obtained ratings of our fixed maturities from Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ( Moody's ), Standard & Poor's Corporation ( S&P ), and/or Fitch Ratings ( Fitch ). If a fixed maturity is not rated by Moody's, S&P, or Fitch, we use ratings from the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ( NAIC ), or we rate the fixed maturity based upon a comparison of the unrated issue to rated issues of the same issuer or rated issues of other issuers with similar risk characteristics. As of March 31, 2011, over 99.0% of our fixed maturities were rated by Moody's, S&P, Fitch, and/or the NAIC.

The industry segment composition of our fixed maturity securities is presented in the following table:

	As of March 31, 2011	% Fair Value (Dollars In Thousands)	As of December 31, 2010	% Fair Value
Banking	\$ 2,117,850	8.6%	\$ 2,046,515	8.3%
Other finance	229,622	0.9	162,157	0.7
Electric	3,131,479	12.7	3,148,333	12.8
Natural gas	2,192,426	8.9	2,159,897	8.8
Insurance	1,879,290	7.6	1,875,287	7.6
Energy	1,478,972	6.0	1,410,030	5.7
Communications	1,188,580	4.8	1,179,659	4.8
Basic industrial	1,126,750	4.6	1,114,077	4.5
Consumer noncyclical	1,112,271	4.5	1,146,512	4.6
Consumer cyclical	526,741	2.1	568,647	2.3
Finance companies	232,285	0.9	215,881	0.9
Capital goods	736,933	3.0	734,337	3.0
Transportation	547,587	2.2	551,724	2.2
Other industrial	150,918	0.6	149,623	0.6
Brokerage	509,490	2.1	484,168	2.0
Technology	416,306	1.7	405,187	1.6
Real estate	65,688	0.3	55,424	0.2
Other utility	26,003	0.1	26,238	0.1
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	316,391	1.3	312,631	1.3
Other asset-backed securities	952,564	3.9	927,108	3.8
Residential mortgage-backed non-agency securities	1,904,096	7.7	2,153,896	8.7
Residential mortgage-backed agency securities	793,592	3.2	825,869	3.3
U.S. government-related securities	1,622,326	6.6	1,572,137	6.4
Other government-related securities	277,204	1.1	327,760	1.3
States, municipals, and political divisions	1,140,685	4.6	1,123,842	4.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 24,676,049</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 24,676,939</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Our investments in debt and equity securities are reported at fair value and investments in mortgage loans are reported at amortized cost. As of March 31, 2011, our fixed maturity investments (bonds and redeemable preferred stocks) had a market value of \$24.7 billion, which was 3.8% above amortized cost of \$23.8 billion. These assets are invested for terms approximately corresponding to anticipated future benefit payments. Thus, market fluctuations are not expected to adversely affect liquidity.

Market values for private, non-traded securities are determined as follows: 1) we obtain estimates from independent pricing services and 2) we estimate market value based upon a comparison to quoted issues of the same issuer or issues of other issuers with similar terms and risk characteristics. We analyze the independent pricing services valuation methodologies and related inputs, including an assessment of the observability of market inputs. Upon obtaining this information related to market value, management makes a determination as to the appropriate valuation amount.





Table of Contents

**Mortgage Loans**

We invest a portion of our investment portfolio in commercial mortgage loans. As of March 31, 2011, our mortgage loan holdings were approximately \$4.9 billion. We have specialized in making loans on either credit-oriented commercial properties or credit-anchored strip shopping centers and apartments. Our underwriting procedures relative to our commercial loan portfolio are based, in our view, on a conservative and disciplined approach. We concentrate on a small number of commercial real estate asset types associated with the necessities of life (retail, multi-family, professional office buildings, and warehouses). We believe these asset types tend to weather economic downturns better than other commercial asset classes in which we have chosen not to participate. We believe this disciplined approach has helped to maintain a relatively low delinquency and foreclosure rate throughout our history.

We record mortgage loans net of an allowance for credit losses. This allowance is calculated through analysis of specific loans that have indicators of potential impairment based on current information and events. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, our allowance for mortgage loan credit losses was \$4.3 million and \$11.7 million, respectively. While our mortgage loans do not have quoted market values, as of March 31, 2011, we estimated the fair value of our mortgage loans to be \$5.3 billion (using discounted cash flows from the next call date), which was 7.5% greater than the amortized cost, less any related loan loss reserve.

At the time of origination, our mortgage lending criteria targets that the loan-to-value ratio on each mortgage is 75% or less. We target projected rental payments from credit anchors (i.e., excluding rental payments from smaller local tenants) of 70% of the property's projected operating expenses and debt service. We also offer a commercial loan product under which we will permit a loan-to-value ratio of up to 85% in exchange for a participating interest in the cash flows from the underlying real estate. As of March 31, 2011, approximately \$888.6 million of our mortgage loans had this participation feature. Exceptions to these loan-to-value measures may be made if we believe the mortgage has an acceptable risk profile.

Many of our mortgage loans have call options or interest rate reset option provisions between 3 and 10 years. However, if interest rates were to significantly increase, we may be unable to exercise the call options or increase the interest rates on our existing mortgage loans commensurate with the significantly increased market rates.

As of March 31, 2011, delinquent mortgage loans, foreclosed properties, and restructured loans pursuant to a pooling and servicing agreement totaled \$30.2 million, and were less than 0.1% of invested assets. We do not expect these investments to adversely affect our liquidity or ability to maintain proper matching of assets and liabilities. Our mortgage loan portfolio consists of two categories of loans: 1) those not subject to a pooling and servicing agreement and 2) those previously a part of variable interest entity securitizations and thus subject to a contractual pooling and servicing agreement. The loans subject to a pooling and servicing agreement have been included on our consolidated balance sheet ( balance sheet ) beginning in the first quarter of 2010 in accordance with ASU 2009-17. For loans not subject to a pooling and servicing agreement, as of March 31, 2011, \$13.6 million, or 0.3%, of the mortgage loan portfolio was nonperforming. In addition, as of March 31, 2011, \$16.6 million, 0.3%, of the mortgage loan portfolio that is subject to a pooling and servicing agreement was either nonperforming or has been restructured under the terms and conditions of the pooling and service agreement.

It is our policy to cease to carry accrued interest on loans that are over 90 days delinquent. For loans less than 90 days delinquent, interest is accrued unless it is determined that the accrued interest is not collectible. If a loan becomes over 90 days delinquent, it is our general policy to initiate foreclosure proceedings unless a workout arrangement to bring the loan current is in place. For loans subject to a pooling and servicing agreement, there are certain additional restrictions and/or requirements related to workout proceedings, and as such, these loans may have different attributes and/or circumstances affecting the status of delinquency or categorization of those in nonperforming status.

**Securities Lending**

We participate in securities lending, primarily as an investment yield enhancement, whereby securities that are held as investments are loaned to third parties for short periods of time. We require initial collateral of 102% of the market value of the loaned securities to be separately maintained. The loaned securities' market value is monitored on a daily basis. As of March 31, 2011, securities with a market value of \$96.4 million were loaned under this program. As collateral for the loaned securities, we receive short-term investments, which are recorded in short-term investments with a corresponding liability recorded in other liabilities to account for our obligation to

Table of Contents

return the collateral. As of March 31, 2011, the fair value of the collateral related to this program was \$97.7 million and we have an obligation to return \$99.0 million of collateral to the securities borrowers.

At the end of the period, we decided to discontinue this program. We believed that certain debt securities that were part of this program's collateral, and were in an unrealized loss position, will be sold prior to their respective maturities. Therefore, we recorded a \$1.3 million other-than-temporary impairment loss on these securities during the period.

**Risk Management and Impairment Review**

We monitor the overall credit quality of our portfolio within established guidelines. The following table includes our available-for-sale fixed maturities by credit rating as of March 31, 2011:

S&P or Equivalent Designation	Market Value (Dollars In Thousands)	Percent of Market Value
AAA	\$ 3,320,541	15.2%
AA	1,010,942	4.6
A	3,954,748	18.1
BBB	10,836,712	49.6
Investment grade	19,122,943	87.5
BB	1,215,564	5.6
B	565,515	2.6
CCC or lower	922,663	4.3
Below investment grade	2,703,742	12.5
Total	\$ 21,826,685	100.0%

Not included in the table above are \$2.6 billion of investment grade and \$290.5 million of below investment grade fixed maturities classified as trading securities.

Limiting bond exposure to any creditor group is another way we manage credit risk. The following table includes securities held in our Modco portfolio and summarizes our ten largest fixed maturity exposures to an individual creditor group as of March 31, 2011:

Creditor	Market Value (Dollars In Millions)
Verizon Communications Inc.	\$ 170.2
Bershire Hathaway Inc.	156.3
Firstenergy Corp.	135.9
Time Warner Cable	127.5
Rio Tinto	126.4
Enterprise Products Partners	124.3
Nextera Energy Inc.	120.3

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Bank of America Corporation	120.0
PNC Financial Services Group	119.7
American Electric Power	116.7

Determining whether a decline in the current fair value of invested assets is an other-than-temporary decline in value is both objective and subjective, and can involve a variety of assumptions and estimates, particularly for investments that are not actively traded in established markets. We review our positions on a monthly basis for possible credit concerns and review our current exposure, credit enhancement, and delinquency experience.

Management considers a number of factors when determining the impairment status of individual securities. These include the economic condition of various industry segments and geographic locations and other areas of identified risks. Since it is possible for the impairment of one investment to affect other investments, we engage in ongoing risk management to safeguard against and limit any further risk to our investment portfolio. Special attention is given to correlative risks within specific industries, related parties, and business markets.

Table of Contents

For certain securitized financial assets with contractual cash flows, including RMBS, CMBS, and other asset-backed securities (collectively referred to as asset-backed securities (ABS)), GAAP requires us to periodically update our best estimate of cash flows over the life of the security. If the fair value of a securitized financial asset is less than its cost or amortized cost and there has been a decrease in the present value of the expected cash flows since the last revised estimate, considering both timing and amount, an other-than-temporary impairment charge is recognized. Estimating future cash flows is a quantitative and qualitative process that incorporates information received from third party sources along with certain internal assumptions and judgments regarding the future performance of the underlying collateral. Projections of expected future cash flows may change based upon new information regarding the performance of the underlying collateral. In addition, we consider our intent and ability to retain a temporarily depressed security until recovery.

The FASB has issued guidance related to other-than-temporary impairments for debt securities. This guidance addresses the timing of impairment recognition and provides greater clarity to investors about the credit and noncredit components of impaired debt securities that are not expected to be sold. Impairments will continue to be measured at fair value with credit losses recognized in earnings and non-credit losses recognized in other comprehensive income. This guidance also requires disclosures regarding measurement techniques, credit losses, and an aging of securities with unrealized losses. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we recorded total other-than-temporary impairments of approximately \$16.0 million, with \$10.3 million of this amount recorded in other comprehensive income (loss).

Securities in an unrealized loss position are reviewed at least quarterly to determine if an other-than-temporary impairment is present based on certain quantitative and qualitative factors. We consider a number of factors in determining whether the impairment is other-than-temporary. These include, but are not limited to: 1) actions taken by rating agencies, 2) default by the issuer, 3) the significance of the decline, 4) an assessment of our intent to sell the security (including a more likely than not assessment of whether we will be required to sell the security) before recovering the security's amortized cost, 5) the time period during which the decline has occurred, 6) an economic analysis of the issuer's industry, and 7) the financial strength, liquidity, and recoverability of the issuer. Management performs a security-by-security review each quarter in evaluating the need for any other-than-temporary impairments. Although no set formula is used in this process, the investment performance, collateral position, and continued viability of the issuer are significant measures considered, along with an analysis regarding our expectations for recovery of the security's entire amortized cost basis through the receipt of future cash flows. Based on our analysis, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, we concluded that approximately \$5.7 million of investment securities in an unrealized loss position was other-than-temporarily impaired, due to credit-related factors, resulting in a charge to earnings. Additionally, we recognized \$10.3 million of non-credit losses in other comprehensive income (loss) for the securities where an other-than-temporary impairment was recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

There are certain risks and uncertainties associated with determining whether declines in market values are other-than-temporary. These include significant changes in general economic conditions and business markets, trends in certain industry segments, interest rate fluctuations, rating agency actions, changes in significant accounting estimates and assumptions, commission of fraud, and legislative actions. We continuously monitor these factors as they relate to the investment portfolio in determining the status of each investment.

We have deposits with certain financial institutions which exceed federally insured limits. We have reviewed the creditworthiness of these financial institutions and believe there is minimal risk of a material loss.

Table of Contents**Realized Gains and Losses**

The following table sets forth realized investment gains and losses for the periods shown:

	For The Three Months Ended March 31,			Change
	2011	2010		
	(Dollars In Thousands)			
Fixed maturity gains - sales	\$ 5,490	\$ 8,232	\$ (2,742)	
Fixed maturity losses - sales	(195)	(1,506)	1,311	
Equity gains - sales	9,100		9,100	
Equity losses - sales				
Impairments on fixed maturity securities	(5,663)	(11,869)	6,206	
Impairments on equity securities				
Modco trading portfolio	(5,649)	44,093	(49,742)	
Other	(4,274)	(2,920)	(1,354)	
Total realized gains (losses) - investments	\$ (1,191)	\$ 36,030	\$ (37,221)	
Derivatives related to interest rate futures	\$ (5,670)	\$	\$ (5,670)	
Derivatives related to equity futures	(17,843)		(17,843)	
Derivatives related to equity options and volatility swaps	(6,094)		(6,094)	
Embedded derivatives related to reinsurance	7,842	(31,094)	38,936	
Interest rate swaps	532	(2,392)	2,924	
Credit default swaps	(223)	505	(728)	
GMWB embedded derivatives	8,195	9,124	(929)	
Other derivatives	575	785	(210)	
Total realized gains (losses) - derivatives	\$ (12,686)	\$ (23,072)	\$ 10,386	

Realized gains and losses on investments reflect portfolio management activities designed to maintain proper matching of assets and liabilities and to enhance long-term investment portfolio performance. The change in net realized investment gains (losses), excluding impairments, Modco trading portfolio activity, and related embedded derivatives related to corporate debt, during the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily reflects the normal operation of our asset/liability program within the context of the changing interest rate and spread environment, as well as tax planning strategies designed to utilize capital loss carryforwards.

The \$9.1 million of gains included in equity securities primarily relates to gains of \$6.9 million on securities that have recovered in value as the issuer exited bankruptcy and \$1.2 million that relates to gains recognized on the sale of Federal National Mortgage Association preferreds.

Realized losses are comprised of both write-downs of other-than-temporary impairments and actual sales of investments. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we recognized pre-tax other-than-temporary impairments of \$5.7 million due to credit-related factors, resulting in a charge to earnings. Additionally, we recognized \$10.3 million of non-credit losses in other comprehensive income (loss) for the securities where an other-than-temporary impairment was recorded. For the three months ended March 31, 2010, we recognized pre-tax other-than-temporary impairments of \$11.9 million. These other-than-temporary impairments resulted from our analysis of circumstances and our belief that credit events, loss severity, changes in credit enhancement, and/or other adverse conditions of the respective issuers have caused, or will lead to, a deficiency in the contractual cash flows related to these investments. These other-than-temporary impairments, net of Modco recoveries, are

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

presented in the chart below:

	<b>For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 (Dollars In Millions)</b>	
Alt-A MBS	\$	4.0
Other MBS		0.5
Corporate bonds		
Sub-prime bonds		1.2
Total	\$	5.7



Table of Contents

As previously discussed, management considers several factors when determining other-than-temporary impairments. Although we purchase securities with the intent to hold securities until maturity, we may change our position as a result of a change in circumstances. Any such decision is consistent with our classification of all but a specific portion of our investment portfolio as available-for-sale. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we sold securities in an unrealized loss position with a fair value of \$20.0 million. For such securities, the proceeds, realized loss, and total time period that the security had been in an unrealized loss position are presented in the table below:

	Proceeds	% Proceeds	Realized Loss	% Realized Loss
	(Dollars In Thousands)			
<= 90 days	\$ 7,530	37.7%	\$ (81)	41.8%
>90 days but <= 180 days	2,416	12.1	(81)	41.6
>180 days but <= 270 days		0.0		0.0
>270 days but <= 1 year		0.0		0.0
>1 year	10,050	50.2	(32)	16.6
Total	\$ 19,996	100.0%	\$ (194)	100.0%

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we sold securities in an unrealized loss position with a fair value (proceeds) of \$20.0 million. The loss realized on the sale of these securities was \$0.2 million.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we sold securities in an unrealized gain position with a fair value of \$236.0 million. The gain realized on the sale of these securities was \$14.6 million.

The \$4.3 million of other realized losses recognized for the three months ended March 31, 2011, consists of a reduction in the mortgage loan loss reserve of \$7.4 million, mortgage loan losses of \$10.7 million, and real estate losses of \$1.0 million.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, net losses of \$5.6 million primarily related to mark-to-market changes on our Modco trading portfolios associated with the Chase Insurance Group acquisition were also included in realized gains and losses. Of this amount, approximately \$4.0 million of gains was realized through the sale of certain securities, which will be reimbursed to our reinsurance partners over time through the reinsurance settlement process for this block of business. Additional details on our investment performance and evaluation are provided in the sections below.

Realized investment gains and losses related to derivatives represent changes in the fair value of derivative financial instruments and gains/(losses) on derivative contracts closed during the period.

During the third quarter of 2010, we began a program of transacting in equity and interest rate futures to mitigate the risk related to certain guaranteed minimum benefits, including guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits, within our variable annuity products. In general, the cost of such benefits varies with the level of equity and interest rate markets and overall volatility. The equity futures resulted in a net pre-tax loss of \$17.8 million and interest rate futures resulted in a pre-tax loss of \$5.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, respectively. Such positions were not held during the three months ended March 31, 2010.

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we began a program of transacting in equity options and volatility swaps to mitigate the risk related to certain guaranteed minimum benefits, including guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits, within our variable annuity products. In general, the cost of such benefits varies with the level of equity and interest rate markets and overall volatility. The equity options and volatility swaps resulted in net pre-tax losses of \$6.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Such positions were not held during the three months ended March 31, 2010.

We also have in place various modified coinsurance and funds withheld arrangements that contain embedded derivatives. The \$7.8 million of pre-tax gains on these embedded derivatives for the three months ended March 31, 2011, was the result of increased treasury yields. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the investment portfolios that support the related modified coinsurance reserves and funds withheld arrangements had mark-to-market gains that substantially offset the losses on these embedded derivatives.

We use certain interest rate swaps to mitigate the price volatility of fixed maturities. These positions resulted in net pre-tax gains of \$0.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The net gains were primarily the result of \$1.6 million in unrealized gains during the period.

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

### Table of Contents

We reported net pre-tax losses of \$0.2 million related to credit default swaps for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

The GMWB rider embedded derivatives on certain variable deferred annuities had net unrealized gains of \$8.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

We also use various other types of derivatives to mitigate risk related to other exposures. These contracts generated net pre-tax gains of \$0.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

### **Unrealized Gains and Losses Available-for-Sale Securities**

The information presented below relates to investments at a certain point in time and is not necessarily indicative of the status of the portfolio at any time after March 31, 2011, the balance sheet date. Information about unrealized gains and losses is subject to rapidly changing conditions, including volatility of financial markets and changes in interest rates. Management considers a number of factors in determining if an unrealized loss is other-than-temporary, including the expected cash to be collected and the intent, likelihood, and/or ability to hold the security until recovery. Consistent with our long-standing practice, we do not utilize a bright line test to determine other-than-temporary impairments. On a quarterly basis, we perform an analysis on every security with an unrealized loss to determine if an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred. This analysis includes reviewing several metrics including collateral, expected cash flows, ratings, and liquidity. Furthermore, since the timing of recognizing realized gains and losses is largely based on management's decisions as to the timing and selection of investments to be sold, the tables and information provided below should be considered within the context of the overall unrealized gain/(loss) position of the portfolio. As of March 31, 2011, we had an overall net unrealized gain of \$726.2 million, prior to tax and DAC offsets, and a net unrealized gain of \$683.9 million as of December 31, 2010.

Credit and RMBS markets have experienced volatility across numerous asset classes over the past few years, primarily as a result of marketplace uncertainty arising from the failure or near failure of a number of large financial services companies resulting in intervention by the United States Federal Government, downgrades in ratings, interest rate changes, higher defaults in sub-prime and Alt-A residential mortgage loans, and a weakening of the overall economy. In connection with this uncertainty, we believe investors have departed from many investments in other asset-backed securities, including those associated with sub-prime and Alt-A residential mortgage loans, as well as types of debt investments with fewer lender protections or those with reduced transparency and/or complex features which may hinder investor understanding.

For fixed maturity and equity securities held that are in an unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2011, the fair value, amortized cost, unrealized loss, and total time period that the security has been in an unrealized loss position are presented in the table below:

	Fair Value	% Fair Value	Amortized Cost	% Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss	% Unrealized Loss
(Dollars In Thousands)						
<= 90 days	\$ 1,642,132	27.2%	\$ 1,667,488	26.2%	\$ (25,356)	7.9%
>90 days but <= 180 days	1,954,193	32.3	2,027,774	31.9	(73,581)	23.0
>180 days but <= 270 days	233,117	3.9	260,385	4.1	(27,268)	8.5

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

>270 days but <= 1 year	76,725	1.3	87,120	1.4	(10,395)	3.3
>1 year but <= 2 years	62,582	1.0	64,513	1.0	(1,931)	0.6
>2 years but <= 3 years	679,285	11.2	725,766	11.4	(46,481)	14.5
>3 years but <= 4 years	1,073,970	17.8	1,174,808	18.5	(100,838)	31.5
>4 years but <= 5 years	76,655	1.3	88,762	1.4	(12,107)	3.8
>5 years	246,248	4.0	267,917	4.1	(21,669)	6.9
Total	\$ 6,044,907	100.0%	\$ 6,364,533	100.0%	\$ (319,626)	100.0%

The majority of the unrealized loss as of March 31, 2011, for both investment grade and below investment grade securities, is attributable to a widening in credit and mortgage spreads for certain securities. The negative impact of spread levels for certain securities was partially offset by lower treasury yield levels and their associated positive effect on security prices. Spread levels have improved since December 31, 2010. However, certain types of securities, including tranches of RMBS and ABS, continue to be priced at a level which has caused the unrealized losses noted above. We believe spread levels on these RMBS and ABS are largely due to the continued effects of the economic recession and the economic and market uncertainties regarding future performance of the underlying

Table of Contents

mortgage loans and/or assets.

As of March 31, 2011, the Barclays Investment Grade Index was priced at 132 basis points ( bps ) versus a 10 year average of 159 bps. Similarly, the Barclays High Yield Index was priced at 465 bps versus a 10 year average of 608 bps. As of March 31, 2011, the five, ten, and thirty-year U.S. Treasury obligations were trading at levels of 2.28%, 3.47%, and 4.51%, as compared to 10 year averages of 3.38%, 4.08%, and 4.69%, respectively.

As of March 31, 2011, 45.3% of the unrealized loss was associated with securities that were rated investment grade. We have examined the performance of the underlying collateral and cash flows and expect that our investments will continue to perform in accordance with their contractual terms. Factors such as credit enhancements within the deal structures and the underlying collateral performance/characteristics support the recoverability of the investments. Based on the factors discussed, we do not consider these unrealized loss positions to be other-than-temporary. However, from time to time, we may sell securities in the ordinary course of managing our portfolio to meet diversification, credit quality, yield enhancement, asset/liability management, and liquidity requirements.

Expectations that investments in mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities will continue to perform in accordance with their contractual terms are based on assumptions a market participant would use in determining the current fair value. It is reasonably possible that the underlying collateral of these investments will perform worse than current market expectations and that such event may lead to adverse changes in the cash flows on our holdings of these types of securities. This could lead to potential future write-downs within our portfolio of mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities. Expectations that our investments in corporate securities and/or debt obligations will continue to perform in accordance with their contractual terms are based on evidence gathered through our normal credit surveillance process. Although we do not anticipate such events, it is reasonably possible that issuers of our investments in corporate securities will perform worse than current expectations. Such events may lead us to recognize potential future write-downs within our portfolio of corporate securities. It is also possible that such unanticipated events would lead us to dispose of those certain holdings and recognize the effects of any market movements in our financial statements.

As of March 31, 2011, there were estimated gross unrealized losses of \$43.7 million and \$22.2 million, related to our mortgage-backed securities collateralized by Alt-A mortgage loans and sub-prime mortgage loans, respectively. Gross unrealized losses in our securities collateralized by sub-prime and Alt-A residential mortgage loans as of March 31, 2011, were primarily the result of continued widening spreads, representing marketplace uncertainty arising from higher defaults in sub-prime and Alt-A residential mortgage loans and rating agency downgrades of securities collateralized by sub-prime and Alt-A residential mortgage loans.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we recorded \$5.7 million of pre-tax other-than-temporary impairments related to estimated credit losses. These other-than-temporary impairments resulted from our analysis of circumstances and our belief that credit events, loss severity, changes in credit enhancement, and/or other adverse conditions of the respective issuers have caused, or will lead to, a deficiency in the contractual cash flows related to these investments. Excluding the securities on which other-than-temporary impairments were recorded, we expect these investments to continue to perform in accordance with their original contractual terms. We have the ability and intent to hold these investments until maturity or until the fair values of the investments have recovered, which may be at maturity. Additionally, we do not expect these investments to adversely affect our liquidity or ability to maintain proper matching of assets and liabilities.

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

We have no material concentrations of issuers or guarantors of fixed maturity securities. The industry segment composition of all securities in an unrealized loss position held as of March 31, 2011, is presented in the following table:

	Fair Value	% Fair Value	Amortized Cost (Dollars In Thousands)	% Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss	% Unrealized Loss
Banking	\$ 666,746	11.0%	\$ 707,109	11.1%	\$ (40,363)	12.6%
Other finance	78,725	1.3	81,031	1.3	(2,306)	0.7
Electric	515,506	8.5	542,471	8.5	(26,965)	8.4
Natural gas	292,946	4.8	303,839	4.8	(10,893)	3.4
Insurance	380,301	6.3	402,163	6.3	(21,862)	6.8
Energy	171,759	2.8	175,950	2.8	(4,191)	1.3
Communications	184,581	3.1	192,065	3.0	(7,484)	2.3
Basic industrial	182,019	3.0	187,246	2.9	(5,227)	1.6
Consumer noncyclical	155,840	2.6	161,683	2.5	(5,843)	1.8
Consumer cyclical	183,884	3.0	191,457	3.0	(7,573)	2.4
Finance companies	91,039	1.5	95,134	1.5	(4,095)	1.3
Capital goods	137,173	2.3	144,063	2.3	(6,890)	2.2
Transportation	96,244	1.6	99,268	1.6	(3,024)	0.9
Other industrial	73,609	1.2	78,375	1.2	(4,766)	1.5
Brokerage	81,773	1.4	86,736	1.4	(4,963)	1.6
Technology	112,987	1.9	115,919	1.8	(2,932)	0.9
Real estate		0.0		0.0		0.0
Other utility	21	0.0	44	0.0	(23)	0.0
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	33,147	0.5	34,253	0.5	(1,106)	0.3
Other asset-backed securities	669,282	11.1	699,787	11.0	(30,505)	9.5
Residential mortgage-backed non-agency securities	940,779	15.6	1,041,774	16.4	(100,995)	31.6
Residential mortgage-backed agency securities	119,680	2.0	120,637	1.9	(957)	0.3
U.S. government-related securities	272,367	4.5	277,915	4.4	(5,548)	1.7
Other government-related securities	43,848	0.7	43,894	0.7	(46)	0.0
States, municipals, and political divisions	560,651	9.3	581,720	9.1	(21,069)	6.9
Total	\$ 6,044,907	100.0%	\$ 6,364,533	100.0%	\$ (319,626)	100.0%

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The percentage of our unrealized loss positions, segregated by industry segment, is presented in the following table:

	March 31, 2011	As of December 31, 2010
Banking	12.6%	14.2%
Other finance	0.7	0.4
Electric	8.4	7.5
Natural gas	3.4	3.2
Insurance	6.8	7.0
Energy	1.3	0.4
Communications	2.3	1.7
Basic industrial	1.6	1.3
Consumer noncyclical	1.8	1.1
Consumer cyclical	2.4	2.1
Finance companies	1.3	1.8
Capital goods	2.2	1.8
Transportation	0.9	0.7
Other industrial	1.5	1.0
Brokerage	1.6	2.3
Technology	0.9	1.2
Real estate	0.0	0.0
Other utility	0.0	0.0
Commercial mortgage-backed securities	0.3	0.2
Other asset-backed securities	9.5	7.8
Residential mortgage-backed non-agency securities	31.6	37.0
Residential mortgage-backed agency securities	0.3	0.5
U.S. government-related securities	1.7	0.8
Other government-related securities	0.0	0.0
States, municipals, and political divisions	6.9	6.0
Total	100.0%	100.0%

The range of maturity dates for securities in an unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2011, varies, with 15.1% maturing in less than 5 years, 21.5% maturing between 5 and 10 years, and 63.4% maturing after 10 years. The following table shows the credit rating of securities in an unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2011:

S&P or Equivalent Designation	Fair Value	% Fair Value	Amortized Cost (Dollars In Thousands)	% Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss	% Unrealized Loss
AAA/AA/A	\$ 2,388,664	39.5%	\$ 2,464,586	38.7%	\$ (75,922)	23.8%
BBB	2,086,969	34.5	2,155,844	33.9	(68,875)	21.5
Investment grade	4,475,633	74.0	4,620,430	72.6	(144,797)	45.3
BB	295,489	4.9	318,196	5.0	(22,707)	7.1
B	466,458	7.7	502,222	7.9	(35,764)	11.2
CCC or lower	807,327	13.4	923,685	14.5	(116,358)	36.4
Below investment grade	1,569,274	26.0	1,744,103	27.4	(174,829)	54.7
Total	\$ 6,044,907	100.0%	\$ 6,364,533	100.0%	\$ (319,626)	100.0%

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

As of March 31, 2011, we held 181 positions of below investment grade securities with a fair value of \$1.6 billion that were in an unrealized loss position. Total unrealized losses related to below investment grade securities were \$174.8 million, of which \$136.9 million had been in an unrealized loss position for more than twelve months. Below investment grade securities in an unrealized loss position were 5.0% of invested assets.

As of March 31, 2011, securities in an unrealized loss position that were rated as below investment grade represented 26.0% of the total market value and 54.7% of the total unrealized loss. We have the ability and intent to hold these securities to maturity. After a review of each security and its expected cash flows, we believe the decline in market value to be temporary. Total unrealized losses for all securities in an unrealized loss position for more than twelve months were \$183.0 million. A widening of credit spreads is estimated to account for unrealized losses of \$290.0 million, with changes in treasury rates offsetting this loss by an estimated \$107.0 million.



Table of Contents

In addition, market disruptions in the RMBS market negatively affected the market values of our non-agency RMBS securities. The majority of our RMBS holdings as of March 31, 2011, were super senior or senior bonds in the capital structure. Our total non-agency portfolio has a weighted-average life of 1.88 years. The following table categorizes the weighted-average life for our non-agency portfolio, by category, as of March 31, 2011:

Non-agency portfolio	Weighted-Average Life
Prime	1.25
Alt-A	3.69
Sub-prime	5.40

The following table includes the fair value, amortized cost, unrealized loss, and total time period that the security has been in an unrealized loss position for all below investment grade securities as of March 31, 2011:

	Fair Value	% Fair Value	Amortized Cost (Dollars In Thousands)	% Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss	% Unrealized Loss
<= 90 days	\$ 147,302	9.4%	\$ 152,674	8.8%	\$ (5,372)	3.1%
>90 days but <= 180 days	125,521	8.0	134,951	7.7	(9,430)	5.4
>180 days but <= 270 days	77,861	5.0	92,399	5.3	(14,538)	8.3
>270 days but <= 1 year	28,319	1.8	36,897	2.1	(8,578)	4.9
>1 year but <= 2 years	20,960	1.3	22,789	1.3	(1,829)	1.0
>2 years but <= 3 years	196,719	12.5	228,566	13.1	(31,847)	18.2
>3 years but <= 4 years	777,883	49.6	859,424	49.3	(81,541)	46.6
>4 years but <= 5 years	42,175	2.7	50,135	2.9	(7,960)	4.6
>5 years	152,534	9.7	166,268	9.5	(13,734)	7.9
Total	\$ 1,569,274	100.0%	\$ 1,744,103	100.0%	\$ (174,829)	100.0%

**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES****Liquidity**

Liquidity refers to a company's ability to generate adequate amounts of cash to meet its needs. We meet our liquidity requirements primarily through positive cash flows from our operating subsidiaries. Primary sources of cash from the operating subsidiaries are premiums, deposits for policyholder accounts, investment sales and maturities, and investment income. Primary uses of cash for the operating subsidiaries include benefit payments, withdrawals from policyholder accounts, investment purchases, policy acquisition costs, and other operating expenses. We believe that we have sufficient liquidity to fund our cash needs under normal operating scenarios.

In the event of significant unanticipated cash requirements beyond our normal liquidity requirements, we have additional sources of liquidity available depending on market conditions and the amount and timing of the liquidity need. These additional sources of liquidity include cash

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

flows from operations, the sale of liquid assets, accessing our credit facility, and other sources described herein.

Our decision to sell investment assets could be impacted by accounting rules, including rules relating to the likelihood of a requirement to sell securities before recovery of our cost basis. Under stressful market and economic conditions, liquidity may broadly deteriorate which could negatively impact our ability to sell investment assets. If we require on short notice significant amounts of cash in excess of normal requirements, we may have difficulty selling investment assets in a timely manner, be forced to sell them for less than we otherwise would have been able to realize, or both.

While we anticipate that the cash flows of our operating subsidiaries will be sufficient to meet our investment commitments and operating cash needs in a normal credit market environment, we recognize that investment commitments scheduled to be funded may, from time to time, exceed the funds then available. Therefore, we have established repurchase agreement programs for certain of our insurance subsidiaries to provide liquidity when needed. We expect that the rate received on our investments will equal or exceed our borrowing rate. As of March 31, 2011, we had no outstanding balance related to such borrowings. For the three months ended

Table of Contents

March 31, 2011, we had a maximum balance outstanding of \$245.5 million related to these programs. The average daily balance was \$104.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Additionally, we may, from time to time, sell short-duration stable value products to complement our cash management practices. Depending on market conditions, we may also use securitization transactions involving our commercial mortgage loans to increase liquidity for the operating subsidiaries.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, PLICO completed the acquisition of United Investors Life Insurance Company ( United Investors ). The transaction required a cash outlay by PLICO of approximately \$342.9 million, and an additional payment of \$20.4 million was made in the first quarter of 2011.

**Credit Facility**

Under a revolving line of credit arrangement, we have the ability to borrow on an unsecured basis up to an aggregate principal amount of \$500 million (the Credit Facility ). We have the right in certain circumstances to request that the commitment under the Credit Facility be increased up to a maximum principal amount of \$600 million. Balances outstanding under the Credit Facility accrue interest at a rate equal to (i) either the prime rate or the London Interbank Offered Rate ( LIBOR ), plus (ii) a spread based on the ratings of our senior unsecured long-term debt. The Credit Agreement provides that we are liable for the full amount of any obligations for borrowings or letters of credit, including those of PLICO, under the Credit Facility. The maturity date on the Credit Facility is April 16, 2013. There was an outstanding balance of \$125.0 million at an interest rate of LIBOR plus 0.40% under the Credit Facility as of March 31, 2011. We were not aware of any non-compliance with the financial debt covenants of the Credit Facility as of March 31, 2011.

**Sources and Use of Cash**

Our primary sources of funding are dividends from our operating subsidiaries; revenues from investment, data processing, legal, and management services rendered to subsidiaries; investment income; and external financing. These sources of cash support our general corporate needs including our common stock dividends and debt service. The states in which our insurance subsidiaries are domiciled impose certain restrictions on the insurance subsidiaries' ability to pay us dividends. These restrictions are based in part on the prior year's statutory income and surplus. Generally, these restrictions pose no short-term liquidity concerns. We plan to retain substantial portions of the earnings of our insurance subsidiaries in those companies primarily to support their future growth.

We are a member of the FHLB of Cincinnati. FHLB advances provide an attractive funding source for short-term borrowing and for the sale of funding agreements. Membership in the FHLB requires that we purchase FHLB capital stock based on a minimum requirement and a percentage of the dollar amount of advances outstanding. Our borrowing capacity is determined by the following factors: 1) total advance capacity is limited to the lower of 50% of total assets or 100% of mortgage-related assets of Protective Life Insurance Company, our largest insurance subsidiary, 2) ownership of appropriate capital and activity stock to support continued membership in the FHLB and current and future advances, and 3) the availability of adequate eligible mortgage or treasury/agency collateral to back current and future advances.

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

We held \$62.7 million of FHLB common stock as of March 31, 2011, which is included in equity securities. In addition, our obligations under the advances must be collateralized. We maintain control over any such pledged assets, including the right of substitution. As of March 31, 2011, we had \$976.0 million of funding agreement-related advances and accrued interest outstanding under the FHLB program.

As of March 31, 2011, we reported approximately \$639.4 million (fair value) of Auction Rate Securities ( ARS ) in non-Modco portfolios. All of these ARS were rated AAA. While the auction rate market has experienced liquidity constraints, we believe that based on our current liquidity position and our operating cash flows, any lack of liquidity in the ARS market will not have a material impact on our liquidity, financial condition, or cash flows.

All of the auction rate securities held in non-Modco portfolios as of March 31, 2011, were student loan-backed auction rate securities, for which the underlying collateral is at least 97% guaranteed by the Federal Family Education Loan Program ( FFELP ). As there is no current active market for these auction rate securities, we use a valuation model, which incorporates, among other inputs, the contractual terms of each indenture and current valuation information from actively-traded asset-backed securities with comparable underlying assets (i.e. FFELP-backed student loans) and vintage.

Table of Contents

We use an income approach valuation model to determine the fair value of our student loan-backed auction rate securities. Specifically, a discounted cash flow method is used. The expected yield on the auction rate securities is estimated for each coupon date, based on the contractual terms on each indenture. The estimated market yield is based on comparable securities with observable yields and an additional yield spread for illiquidity of auction rate securities in the current market.

The auction rate securities held in non-Modco portfolios are classified as a Level 3 valuation. An unrealized loss of \$18.0 million and \$16.7 million was recorded as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and we have not recorded any other-than-temporary impairment because the underlying collateral for each of the auction rate securities is at least 97% guaranteed by the FFELP and there are subordinate tranches within each of these auction rate security issuances that would support the senior tranches in the event of default. In the event of a complete and total default by all underlying student loans, the principal shortfall, in excess of the 97% FFELP guarantee, would be absorbed by the subordinate tranches. Our non-performance exposure is to the FFELP guarantee, not the underlying student loans. At this time, we have no reason to believe that the U.S. Department of Education would not honor the FFELP guarantee, if it were necessary. In addition, we have the ability and intent to hold these securities until their values recover or maturity. Therefore, we believe that no other-than-temporary impairment has been experienced.

The liquidity requirements of our regulated insurance subsidiaries primarily relate to the liabilities associated with their various insurance and investment products, operating expenses, and income taxes. Liabilities arising from insurance and investment products include the payment of policyholder benefits, as well as cash payments in connection with policy surrenders and withdrawals, policy loans, and obligations to redeem funding agreements.

Our insurance subsidiaries maintain investment strategies intended to provide adequate funds to pay benefits and expected surrenders, withdrawals, loans, and redemption obligations without forced sales of investments. In addition, our insurance subsidiaries hold highly liquid, high-quality short-term investment securities and other liquid investment grade fixed maturity securities to fund our expected operating expenses, surrenders, and withdrawals. As of March 31, 2011, our total cash, cash equivalents, and invested assets were \$31.6 billion. The life insurance subsidiaries were committed as of March 31, 2011, to fund mortgage loans in the amount of \$238.5 million.

Our positive cash flows from operations are used to fund an investment portfolio that provides for future benefit payments. We employ a formal asset/liability program to manage the cash flows of our investment portfolio relative to our long-term benefit obligations. Our subsidiaries held approximately \$605.3 million in cash and short-term investments as of March 31, 2011, and we held an immaterial amount in cash and short-term investments available for general corporate purposes.

The following chart includes the cash flows provided by or used in operating, investing, and financing activities for the following periods:

	<b>For The Three Months Ended March 31,</b>			
	<b>2011</b>		<b>2010</b>	
	<b>(Dollars In Thousands)</b>			
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	110,292	\$	226,721
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		31,379		(176,925)
Net cash used in financing activities		(175,158)		(52,187)

Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Total	\$	(33,487)	\$	(2,391)
-------	----	----------	----	---------

Table of Contents

*For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 as compared to The Three Months Ended March 31, 2010*

**Net cash provided by operating activities** - Cash flows from operating activities are affected by the timing of premiums received, fees received, investment income, and expenses paid. Principal sources of cash include sales of our products and services. As an insurance business, we typically generate positive cash flows from operating activities, as premiums and deposits collected from our insurance and investment products exceed benefits paid and redemptions, and we invest the excess. Accordingly, in analyzing our cash flows we focus on the change in the amount of cash available and used in investing activities.

**Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities** - Changes in cash from investing activities primarily related to the activity in our investment portfolio, a \$20.4 million payment in 2011 for the United Investors acquisition from 2010, and changes in other long-term and short-term investments.

**Net cash used in financing activities** - Changes in cash from financing activities primarily related to the repayment of \$17.0 million of borrowings during 2011, as compared to \$40.0 million of repayments in 2010, the repurchase of \$35.7 million of non-recourse funding obligations and investment product and universal life net activity, which reflected \$72.9 million more outflows in 2011, compared to the prior year.

**Capital Resources**

To give us flexibility in connection with future acquisitions and other funding needs, we have debt securities, preferred and common stock, and additional preferred securities of special purpose finance subsidiaries registered under the Securities Act of 1933 on a delayed (or shelf) basis.

As of March 31, 2011, our capital structure consisted of Medium-Term Notes, Senior Notes, Subordinated Debentures, and shareowners' equity. We also have a \$500 million revolving line of credit (the Credit Facility), under which we could borrow funds with balances due April 16, 2013. The line of credit arrangement contains, among other provisions, requirements for maintaining certain financial ratios and restrictions on the indebtedness that we and our subsidiaries can incur. Additionally, the line of credit arrangement precludes us, on a consolidated basis, from incurring debt in excess of 40% of our total capital. Pursuant to an amendment, this calculation excludes the \$800.0 million of senior notes we issued in 2009. As of March 31, 2011, there was a \$125.0 million outstanding balance under the Credit Facility at an interest rate of LIBOR plus 0.40%.

Golden Gate Captive Insurance Company (Golden Gate), a South Carolina special purpose financial captive insurance company and wholly owned subsidiary of PLICO, had three series of Surplus Notes with a total outstanding balance of \$800 million as of March 31, 2011. We hold the entire outstanding balance of Surplus Notes. The Series A1 Surplus Notes have a balance of \$400 million and accrue interest at 7.375%, the Series A2 Surplus Notes have a balance of \$100 million and accrue interest at 8%, and the Series A3 Surplus Notes have a balance of \$300 million and accrue interest at 8.45%.

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

Golden Gate II Captive Insurance Company ( Golden Gate II ), a special purpose financial captive insurance company wholly owned by PLICO, had \$575 million of outstanding non-recourse funding obligations as of March 31, 2011. These outstanding non-recourse funding obligations were issued to special purpose trusts, which in turn issued securities to third parties. Certain of our affiliates purchased a portion of these securities during 2010 and 2011. As a result of these purchases, as of March 31, 2011, securities related to \$496.7 million of the outstanding balance of the non-recourse funding obligations were held by external parties and securities related to \$78.3 million of the non-recourse funding obligations were held by our affiliates. These non-recourse funding obligations mature in 2052. \$275 million of this amount is currently accruing interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 30 basis points. We have experienced higher borrowing costs that were originally expected associated with \$300 million of our non-recourse funding obligations supporting the business reinsured to Golden Gate II. These higher costs are the result of higher spread component interest costs associated with the illiquidity of the current market for auction rate securities, as well as a rating downgrade of our guarantor by certain rating agencies. The current rate associated with these obligations is LIBOR plus 200 basis points, which is the maximum rate we can be required to pay under these obligations. We have contingent approval to issue an additional \$100 million of obligations. Under the terms of the surplus notes, the holders of the surplus notes cannot require repayment from us or any of our subsidiaries, other than Golden Gate II, the direct issuers of the surplus notes, although we have agreed to indemnify Golden Gate II for certain costs and obligations (which obligations do not include payment of principal and interest on the surplus notes). In addition, we have entered into certain support agreements with Golden Gate II obligating us to make capital contributions or provide support related to certain of Golden Gate II's expenses and in certain circumstances, to collateralize certain of our obligations to Golden Gate II.



Table of Contents

Golden Gate III Vermont Captive Insurance Company ( Golden Gate III ), a Vermont special purpose financial captive insurance company and wholly owned subsidiary of PLICO, has an outstanding Letter of Credit ( LOC ) issued under a Reimbursement Agreement with UBS AG, Stamford Branch ( UBS ), with a total outstanding balance of \$505 million as of March 31, 2011. The LOC was issued to a trust for the benefit of our indirect wholly owned subsidiary, West Coast Life Insurance Company ( WCL ). Subject to certain conditions, the amount of the LOC will be periodically increased up to a maximum of \$610 million in 2013. The term of the LOC is expected to be eight years, subject to certain conditions including capital contributions made to Golden Gate III by PLICO or one of its affiliates. The LOC was issued to support certain obligations of Golden Gate III to WCL under an indemnity reinsurance agreement effective April 1, 2010.

Golden Gate IV Vermont Captive Insurance Company ( Golden Gate IV ), a Vermont special purpose financial captive insurance company and wholly owned subsidiary of PLICO, has an outstanding twelve-year LOC issued under a Reimbursement Agreement with UBS, with a total outstanding balance of \$315.0 million as of March 31, 2011. The term of the LOC is 12 years. The LOC was issued to a trust for the benefit of WCL. Subject to certain conditions, the amount of the LOC will be periodically increased up to a maximum of \$790 million in 2016. The LOC was issued to support certain obligations of Golden Gate IV to WCL for a portion of reserves related to level premium term life insurance policies reinsured by Golden Gate IV from WCL under an indemnity reinsurance agreement effective October 1, 2010.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, PLICO completed the acquisition of United Investors Life Insurance Company. Additionally, during the fourth quarter of 2010, in an unrelated transaction, PLICO signed an agreement to reinsure a life insurance block from Liberty Life Insurance Company. This transaction was closed on April 29, 2011, in conjunction with Athene Holding Ltd 's acquisition of Liberty Life from an affiliate of Royal Bank of Canada. The capital invested by PLICO in the transaction at closing was \$313 million, including a \$222 million ceding commission paid to Liberty Life. The final amount of the ceding commission is subject to adjustment upon completion of the final Liberty Life closing statutory balance sheet. In conjunction with closing, PLICO invested \$40 million in a surplus note issued by Athene Life Re.

On May 10, 2010, our Board of Directors extended our previously authorized \$100 million share repurchase program. The current authorization extends through May 9, 2013. We did not repurchase any of our common stock under the share repurchase program during the three months ended March 31, 2011. Future activity will depend upon many factors, including capital levels, liquidity needs, rating agency expectations, and the relative attractiveness of alternative uses for capital.

A life insurance company 's statutory capital is computed according to rules prescribed by NAIC, as modified by state law. Generally speaking, other states in which a company does business defer to the interpretation of the domiciliary state with respect to NAIC rules, unless inconsistent with the other state 's regulations. Statutory accounting rules are different from GAAP and are intended to reflect a more conservative view, for example, requiring immediate expensing of policy acquisition costs. The NAIC 's risk-based capital requirements require insurance companies to calculate and report information under a risk-based capital formula. The achievement of long-term growth will require growth in the statutory capital of our insurance subsidiaries. The subsidiaries may secure additional statutory capital through various sources, such as retained statutory earnings or our equity contributions. In general, dividends up to specified levels are considered ordinary and may be paid thirty days after written notice to the insurance commissioner of the state of domicile unless such commissioner objects to the dividend prior to the expiration of such period. Dividends in larger amounts are considered extraordinary and are subject to affirmative prior approval by such commissioner. The maximum amount that would qualify as ordinary dividends to us from our insurance subsidiaries in 2011 is estimated to be \$344.7 million.

State insurance regulators and the NAIC have adopted risk-based capital ( RBC ) requirements for life insurance companies to evaluate the adequacy of statutory capital and surplus in relation to investment and insurance risks. The requirements provide a means of measuring the minimum amount of statutory surplus appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations based on its size and risk profile. A company 's risk-based statutory surplus is calculated by applying factors and performing calculations relating to various asset, premium, claim, expense, and reserve items. Regulators can then measure the adequacy of a company 's statutory surplus by comparing it to the RBC. Under RBC requirements, regulatory compliance is determined by the ratio of a company 's total adjusted capital, as defined by the

insurance regulators, to its company action level of RBC (known as the RBC ratio), also as defined by insurance regulators.

Table of Contents

We cede material amounts of insurance and transfer related assets to other insurance companies through reinsurance. However, notwithstanding the transfer of related assets, we remain liable with respect to ceded insurance should any reinsurer fail to meet the obligations that such reinsurer assumed. We evaluate the financial condition of our reinsurers and monitor the associated concentration of credit risk. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, we ceded premiums to third party reinsurers amounting to \$331.8 million. In addition, we had receivables from reinsurers amounting to \$5.7 billion as of March 31, 2011. We review reinsurance receivable amounts for collectability and establish bad debt reserves if deemed appropriate.

*Ratings*

Various Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations ( rating organizations ) review the financial performance and condition of insurers, including our insurance subsidiaries, and publish their financial strength ratings as indicators of an insurer s ability to meet policyholder and contract holder obligations. These ratings are important to maintaining public confidence in an insurer s products, its ability to market its products and its competitive position. The following table summarizes the financial strength ratings of our significant member companies from the major independent rating organizations as of March 31, 2011:

<b>Ratings</b>	<b>A.M. Best</b>	<b>Fitch</b>	<b>Standard &amp; Poor s</b>	<b>Moody s</b>
<b>Insurance company financial strength rating:</b>				
Protective Life Insurance Company	A+	A	AA-	A2
West Coast Life Insurance Company	A+	A	AA-	A2
Protective Life and Annuity Insurance Company	A+	A	AA-	
Lyndon Property Insurance Company	A-			

Our ratings are subject to review and change by the rating organizations at any time and without notice. A downgrade or other negative action by a ratings organization with respect to the financial strength ratings of our insurance subsidiaries could adversely affect sales, relationships with distributors, the level of policy surrenders and withdrawals, competitive position in the marketplace, and the cost or availability of reinsurance.

Rating organizations also publish credit ratings for the issuers of debt securities, including the Company. Credit ratings are indicators of a debt issuer s ability to meet the terms of debt obligations in a timely manner. These ratings are important in the debt issuer s overall ability to access credit markets and other types of liquidity. Ratings are not recommendations to buy our securities or products. A downgrade or other negative action by a ratings organization with respect to our credit rating could limit our access to capital markets, increase the cost of issuing debt, and a downgrade of sufficient magnitude, combined with other negative factors, could require us to post collateral.

**Liabilities**

Many of our products contain surrender charges and other features that are designed to reward persistency and penalize the early withdrawal of funds. Certain stable value and annuity contracts have market-value adjustments that protect us against investment losses if interest rates are higher at the time of surrender than at the time of issue.

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

As of March 31, 2011, we had policy liabilities and accruals of approximately \$19.9 billion. Our interest-sensitive life insurance policies have a weighted average minimum credited interest rate of approximately 3.65%.

### **Contractual Obligations**

The table below sets forth future maturities of debt, non-recourse funding obligations, subordinated debt securities, stable value products, operating lease obligations, other property lease obligations, mortgage loan commitments, and policyholder obligations.

We enter into various obligations to third parties in the ordinary course of our operations. However, we do not believe that our cash flow requirements can be assessed based upon an analysis of these obligations. The most significant factor affecting our future cash flows is our ability to earn and collect cash from our customers. Future cash outflows, whether they are contractual obligations or not, will also vary based upon our future needs. Although some outflows are fixed, others depend on future events. Examples of fixed obligations include our obligations to pay principal and interest on fixed-rate borrowings. Examples of obligations that will vary include obligations to pay

Table of Contents

interest on variable-rate borrowings and insurance liabilities that depend on future interest rates, market performance, or surrender provisions. Many of our obligations are linked to cash-generating contracts. In addition, our operations involve significant expenditures that are not based upon commitments. These include expenditures for income taxes and payroll.

As of March 31, 2011, we carried a \$10.5 million liability for uncertain tax positions, including interest on unrecognized tax benefits. These amounts are not included in the long-term contractual obligations table because of the difficulty in making reasonably reliable estimates of the occurrence or timing of cash settlements with the respective taxing authorities.

	Total	Less than 1 year	Payments due by period		
			1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
			(Dollars In Thousands)		
Debt(1)	\$ 2,681,244	\$ 101,434	\$ 547,478	\$ 298,556	\$ 1,733,776
Non-recourse funding obligations(2)	766,589	6,543	13,086	13,086	733,874
Subordinated debt securities(3)	1,814,565	37,147	74,294	74,294	1,628,830
Stable value products(4)	2,808,545	860,276	1,119,952	737,413	90,904
Operating leases(5)	16,222	4,087	6,469	4,599	1,067
Home office lease(6)	76,900	755	76,145		
Mortgage loan commitments	238,549	238,549			
Policyholder obligations(7)	24,306,350	2,641,928	2,910,866	2,633,284	16,120,272
<b>Total(8)</b>	<b>\$ 32,708,964</b>	<b>\$ 3,890,719</b>	<b>\$ 4,748,290</b>	<b>\$ 3,761,232</b>	<b>\$ 20,308,723</b>

- 
- (1) Debt includes all principal amounts owed on note agreements and expected interest payments due over the term of the notes.
  - (2) Non-recourse funding obligations include all principal amounts owed on note agreements and expected interest payments due over the term of the notes.
  - (3) Subordinated debt securities includes all principal amounts owed to our non-consolidated special purpose finance subsidiaries and interest payments due over the term of the obligations.
  - (4) Anticipated stable value products cash flows including interest.
  - (5) Includes all lease payments required under operating lease agreements.
  - (6) The lease payments shown assume we exercise our option to purchase the building at the end of the lease term. Additionally, the payments due by the periods above were computed based on the terms of the renegotiated lease agreement, which was entered in January 2007.
  - (7) Estimated contractual policyholder obligations are based on mortality, morbidity, and lapse assumptions comparable to our historical experience, modified for recent observed trends. These obligations are based on current balance sheet values and include expected interest crediting, but do not incorporate an expectation of future market growth, or future deposits. Due to the significance of the assumptions used, the amounts presented could materially differ from actual results. As variable separate account obligations are legally insulated from general account obligations, the variable separate account obligations will be fully funded by cash flows from variable separate account assets. We expect to fully fund the general account obligations from cash flows from general account investments.
  - (8) This total does not take into account estimated payments related to our qualified or unfunded excess benefit plans in future periods.

**FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

FASB guidance defines fair value for GAAP and establishes a framework for measuring fair value as well as a fair value hierarchy based on the quality of inputs used to measure fair value and enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. The term fair value in this document is defined in accordance with GAAP. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value. For more information, see Note 13, *Fair Value of Financial Instruments*.

Available-for-sale securities and trading account securities are recorded at fair value, which is primarily based on actively traded markets where prices are based on either direct market quotes or observed transactions. Liquidity is a significant factor in the determination of the fair value for these securities. Market price quotes may not be readily available for some positions or for some positions within a market sector where trading activity has slowed significantly or ceased. These situations are generally triggered by the market's perception of credit uncertainty regarding a single company or a specific market sector. In these instances, fair value is determined based on limited available market information and other factors, principally from reviewing the issuer's financial position, changes in credit ratings, and cash flows on the investments. As of March 31, 2011, \$844.1 million of available-for-sale and trading account assets, excluding other long-term investments, were classified as Level 3 fair value assets.

The fair values of derivative assets and liabilities include adjustments for market liquidity, counterparty credit quality, and other deal specific factors, where appropriate. The fair values of derivative assets and liabilities traded in the over-the-counter market are determined using quantitative models that require the use of multiple market inputs including interest rates, prices, and indices to generate continuous yield or pricing curves and

Table of Contents

volatility factors, which are used to value the position. The predominance of market inputs are actively quoted and can be validated through external sources. Estimation risk is greater for derivative asset and liability positions that are either option-based or have longer maturity dates where observable market inputs are less readily available or are unobservable, in which case quantitative based extrapolations of rate, price, or index scenarios are used in determining fair values. As of March 31, 2011, the Level 3 fair values of derivative assets and liabilities determined by these quantitative models were \$26.1 million and \$178.4 million, respectively.

The liabilities of certain of our annuity account balances are calculated at fair value using actuarial valuation models. These models use various observable and unobservable inputs including projected future cash flows, policyholder behavior, our credit rating, and other market conditions. As of March 31, 2011, the Level 3 fair value of these liabilities was \$143.0 million.

For securities that are priced via non-binding independent broker quotations, we assess whether prices received from independent brokers represent a reasonable estimate of fair value through an analysis using internal and external cash flow models developed based on spreads and, when available, market indices. We use a market-based cash flow analysis to validate the reasonableness prices received from independent brokers. These analytics, which are updated daily, incorporate various metrics (yield curves, credit spreads, prepayment rates, etc.) to determine the valuation of such holdings. As a result of this analysis, if we determine there is a more appropriate fair value based upon the analytics, the price received from the independent broker is adjusted accordingly.

Of our \$870.2 million of total assets (measured at fair value on a recurring basis) classified as Level 3 assets, \$681.1 million were ABS. Of this amount, \$649.7 million were student loan related ABS and \$31.4 million were non-student loan related ABS. The years of issuance of the ABS are as follows:

Year of Issuance	Amount (In Millions)	
2002	\$	304
2003		111
2004		122
2005		14
2006		27
2007		103
Total	\$	681

The ABS was rated as follows: \$652.4 million were AAA rated, \$22.7 million were AA rated, and \$6.0 million were A rated. We do not expect any downgrade in the ratings of the securities related to student loans since the underlying collateral of the student loan asset-backed securities is guaranteed by the U.S. Department of Education.

**MARKET RISK EXPOSURES AND OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

Our financial position and earnings are subject to various market risks including changes in interest rates, changes in the yield curve, changes in spreads between risk-adjusted and risk-free interest rates, changes in foreign currency rates, changes in used vehicle prices, and equity price risks and issuer defaults. We analyze and manage the risks arising from market exposures of financial instruments, as well as other risks, through

## Edgar Filing: PROTECTIVE LIFE CORP - Form 10-Q

an integrated asset/liability management process. Our asset/liability management programs and procedures involve the monitoring of asset and liability durations for various product lines; cash flow testing under various interest rate scenarios; and the continuous rebalancing of assets and liabilities with respect to yield, risk, and cash flow characteristics. These programs also incorporate the use of derivative financial instruments primarily to reduce our exposure to interest rate risk, inflation risk, currency exchange risk, volatility risk, and equity market risk.

The primary focus of our asset/liability program is the management of interest rate risk within the insurance operations. This includes monitoring the duration of both investments and insurance liabilities to maintain an appropriate balance between risk and profitability for each product category, and for us as a whole. It is our policy to maintain asset and liability durations within one-half year of one another, although, from time to time, a broader interval may be allowed.

At the beginning of the third quarter of 2010, we began a program of transacting in equity options, volatility swaps, and equity and interest rate futures to mitigate the risk related to certain guaranteed minimum



Table of Contents

benefits, including guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits, within our variable annuity products. In general, the cost of such benefits varies with the level of equity and interest rate markets and overall volatility.

We are exposed to credit risk within our investment portfolio and through derivative counterparties. Credit risk relates to the uncertainty of an obligor's continued ability to make timely payments in accordance with the contractual terms of the instrument or contract. We manage credit risk through established investment policies which attempt to address quality of obligors and counterparties, credit concentration limits, diversification requirements, and acceptable risk levels under expected and stressed scenarios. Derivative counterparty credit risk is measured as the amount owed to us based upon current market conditions and potential payment obligations between us and our counterparties. We minimize the credit risk in derivative instruments by entering into transactions with high quality counterparties, (A-rated or higher at the time we enter into the contract) and we typically maintain collateral support agreements with those counterparties.

Derivative instruments that are used as part of our interest rate risk management strategy include interest rate swaps, interest rate futures, interest rate options, and interest rate swaptions. Our inflation risk management strategy involves the use of swaps that require us to pay a fixed rate and receive a floating rate that is based on changes in the Consumer Price Index (CPI). We also use equity options and futures, interest rate futures, and variance swaps to mitigate our exposure to the value of equity indexed annuity contracts and guaranteed benefits related to variable annuity contracts.

We have sold credit default protection on liquid traded indices to enhance the return on our investment portfolio. These credit default swaps create credit exposure similar to an investment in publicly-issued fixed maturity cash investments. Outstanding credit default swaps related to the Investment Grade Series 9 Index and have terms to December 2017. Defaults within the Investment Grade Series 9 Index that exceeded the 10% attachment point would require us to perform under the credit default swaps, up to the 15% exhaustion point. The maximum potential amount of future payments (undiscounted) that we could be required to make under the credit derivatives is \$25.0 million. As of March 31, 2011, the fair value of the credit derivatives was a liability of \$1.4 million.

Derivative instruments expose us to credit and market risk and could result in material changes from quarter-to-quarter. We minimize our credit risk by entering into transactions with highly rated counterparties. We manage the market risk by establishing and monitoring limits as to the types and degrees of risk that may be undertaken. We monitor our use of derivatives in connection with our overall asset/liability management programs and procedures. In addition, all derivative programs are monitored by our risk management department.

We believe our asset/liability management programs and procedures and certain product features provide protection against the effects of changes in interest rates under various scenarios. Additionally, we believe our asset/liability management programs and procedures provide sufficient liquidity to enable us to fulfill our obligation to pay benefits under our various insurance and deposit contracts. However, our asset/liability management programs and procedures incorporate assumptions about the relationship between short-term and long-term interest rates (i.e., the slope of the yield curve), relationships between risk-adjusted and risk-free interest rates, market liquidity, spread movements, implied volatility, and other factors, and the effectiveness of our asset/liability management programs and procedures may be negatively affected whenever actual results differ from those assumptions.

In the ordinary course of our commercial mortgage lending operations, we will commit to provide a mortgage loan before the property to be mortgaged has been built or acquired. The mortgage loan commitment is a contractual obligation to fund a mortgage loan when called upon by the borrower. The commitment is not recognized in our financial statements until the commitment is actually funded. The mortgage loan commitment contains terms, including the rate of interest, which may be different than prevailing interest rates. As of March 31, 2011, we had outstanding mortgage loan commitments of \$238.5 million at an average rate of 5.84%.

**RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS**

See Note 2, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, to the consolidated condensed financial statements for information regarding recently issued accounting standards.

Table of Contents

**RECENT DEVELOPMENTS**

During the fourth quarter of 2010, PLICO completed the acquisition of United Investors Life Insurance Company. The transaction required a cash outlay by PLICO of approximately \$342.9 million, and an additional payment of \$20.4 million was made in the first quarter of 2011.

In addition, during the fourth quarter of 2010, PLICO entered into an agreement to reinsure a life insurance block from Liberty Life Insurance Company, subject to the acquisition of Liberty Life by Athene Holding. This transaction was closed on April 29, 2011, in conjunction with Athene Holding Ltd's acquisition of Liberty Life from an affiliate of Royal Bank of Canada. The capital invested by PLICO in the transaction at closing was \$313 million, including a \$222 million ceding commission paid to Liberty Life. The final amount of the ceding commission is subject to adjustment upon completion of the final Liberty Life closing statutory balance sheet. In conjunction with closing, PLICO invested \$40 million in a surplus note issued by Athene Life Re.

The NAIC approved regulatory changes in 2009 that impacted our insurance subsidiaries and their competitors in 2010 and will continue to do so in 2011. The NAIC approved changes to the measurements used to determine the amount of deferred tax assets ( DTAs ) an insurance company may claim as admitted assets on its statutory financial statements. These changes had the effect of increasing the amount of DTAs an insurance company was permitted to claim as an admitted asset for purposes of insurance company statutory financial statements filed for calendar years 2009, 2010, and 2011. The NAIC continues to study the measurements used to determine the amount of DTAs an insurance company may claim as admitted assets on its statutory financial statements and further changes are possible. In March 2011, the NAIC issued proposed Statement of Statutory Accounting Principles No. 101 - Income Taxes, which may become effective on January 1, 2012. When compared to the rules that have been in effect in 2009, 2010, and 2011, additional restrictions on the admittance of DTAs would apply, thereby reducing capital and surplus and risk-based capital. Also in 2010, the NAIC approved changes to the Model Holding Company System Regulatory Act that, if enacted by the legislatures of the states in which the Company's insurance subsidiaries are domiciled, will subject such subsidiaries to increased reporting requirements.

The NAIC is also considering various initiatives to change and modernize its financial and solvency regulations. It is considering changing to a principles-based reserving method for life insurance and annuity reserves, changes to the accounting and risk-based capital regulations, changes to the governance practices of insurers, and other items. Some of these proposed changes would require the approval of state legislatures. We cannot provide any estimate as to what impact these proposed changes, if they occur, will have on our reserve and capital requirements.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Federal Housing Finance Agency issued an Announced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ( ANPR ). The purpose of the ANPR is to seek comment on several possible changes to the requirements applicable to members of the FHLB. Any changes to such requirements that eliminate the Company's eligibility for continued FHLB membership or limit the Company's borrowing capacity pursuant to its FHLB membership could have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Company can give no assurance as to the outcome of the ANPR.

On April 15, 2011, Scottish Re Group Limited ( Scottish Re ) announced that it had entered into an agreement and plan of merger (the Merger Agreement ), pursuant to which an affiliate of certain investors will be merged into Scottish Re and Scottish Re will continue as the surviving entity. Scottish Re is a significant reinsurer of certain blocks of our business. For additional information on our reinsurance exposure to Scottish Re, refer to our Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2010.

**IMPACT OF INFLATION**

Inflation increases the need for life insurance. Many policyholders who once had adequate insurance programs may increase their life insurance coverage to provide the same relative financial benefit and protection. Higher interest rates may result in higher sales of certain of our investment products.

The higher interest rates that have traditionally accompanied inflation could also affect our operations. Policy loans increase as policy loan interest rates become relatively more attractive. As interest rates increase, disintermediation of stable value and annuity account balances and individual life policy cash values may increase. The market value of our fixed-rate, long-term investments may decrease, we may be unable to implement fully the interest rate reset and call provisions of our mortgage loans, and our ability to make attractive mortgage loans, including participating mortgage loans, may decrease. In addition, participating mortgage loan income may

Table of Contents

decrease. The difference between the interest rate earned on investments and the interest rate credited to life insurance and investment products may also be adversely affected by rising interest rates.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

See Part I, Item 2, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*, Executive Summary and Liquidity and Capital Resources, and Part II, Item 1A, *Risk Factors* of this Report for market risk disclosures in light of the current difficult conditions in the financial and credit markets, and the economy generally.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

**(a) Disclosure controls and procedures**

In order to ensure that the information the Company must disclose in its filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission is recorded, processed, summarized and reported on a timely basis, the Company's management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)). Based on their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective. It should be noted that any system of controls, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Further, the design of any control system is based in part upon certain judgments, including the costs and benefits of controls and the likelihood of future events. Because of these and other inherent limitations of control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues, if any, within the Company have been detected.

**(b) Changes in internal control over financial reporting**

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the period ended March 31, 2011, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal controls exist within a dynamic environment and the Company continually strives to improve its internal controls and procedures to enhance the quality of its financial reporting.

**PART II**

**Item 1A. Risk Factors and Cautionary Factors that may Affect Future Results**

The operating results of companies in the insurance industry have historically been subject to significant fluctuations. The factors which could affect the Company's future results include, but are not limited to, general economic conditions and known trends and uncertainties. In addition to other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A, *Risk Factors and Cautionary Factors that may Affect Future Results* in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, which could materially affect the Company's business, financial condition, or future results of operations.

*The Company is highly regulated and subject to numerous legal restrictions and regulations.*

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to government regulation in each of the states in which they conduct business. Such regulation is vested in state agencies having broad administrative and in some instances discretionary power dealing with many aspects of the Company's business, which may include, among other things, premium rates and increases thereto, underwriting practices, reserve requirements, marketing practices, advertising, privacy, policy forms, reinsurance reserve requirements, acquisitions, mergers, and capital adequacy, and is concerned primarily with the protection of policyholders and other customers rather than shareowners. In addition, some state insurance departments may enact rules or regulations with extra-territorial application, effectively extending their jurisdiction to areas such as permitted insurance company investments that are normally the province of an insurance company's domiciliary state regulator. At any given time, a number of financial and/or market conduct examinations of the Company's subsidiaries may be ongoing. From time to time, regulators raise issues during examinations or audits of the Company's subsidiaries that could, if determined adversely, have a material

Table of Contents

impact on the Company. The Company's insurance subsidiaries are required to obtain state regulatory approval for rate increases for certain health insurance products, and the Company's profits may be adversely affected if the requested rate increases are not approved in full by regulators in a timely fashion.

Under insurance guaranty fund laws in most states, insurance companies doing business therein can be assessed up to prescribed limits for policyholder losses incurred by insolvent companies. The Company cannot predict the amount or timing of any future assessments.

The purchase of life insurance products is limited by state insurable interest laws, which in most jurisdictions require that the purchaser of life insurance name a beneficiary that has some interest in the sustained life of the insured. To some extent, the insurable interest laws present a barrier to the life settlement, or "stranger-owned" industry, in which a financial entity acquires an interest in life insurance proceeds, and efforts have been made in some states to liberalize the insurable interest laws. To the extent these laws are relaxed, the Company's lapse assumptions may prove to be incorrect.

Although the Company and its subsidiaries are subject to state regulation, in many instances the state regulatory models emanate from the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC). State insurance regulators and the NAIC regularly re-examine existing laws and regulations applicable to insurance companies and their products. Changes in these laws and regulations, or in interpretations thereof, are often made for the benefit of the consumer and at the expense of the insurer and, thus, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. The NAIC may also be influenced by the initiatives and regulatory structures or schemes of international regulatory bodies, and those initiatives or regulatory structures or schemes may not translate readily into the regulatory structures or schemes or the legal system (including the interpretation or application of standards by juries) under which U.S. insurers must operate. Application of such initiatives or regulatory structures or schemes to the Company could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Company is also subject to the risk that compliance with any particular regulator's interpretation of a legal, accounting or actuarial issue may not result in compliance with another regulator's interpretation of the same issue, particularly when compliance is judged in hindsight. There is an additional risk that any particular regulator's interpretation of a legal, accounting or actuarial issue may change over time to the Company's detriment, or that changes to the overall legal or market environment may cause the Company to change its practices in ways that may, in some cases, limit its growth or profitability.

Some of the NAIC pronouncements, particularly as they affect accounting issues, take effect automatically in the various states without affirmative action by the states. Although some NAIC pronouncements may take effect automatically without affirmative action by the states, the NAIC is not a governmental entity and its processes and procedures do not comport with those to which governmental entities typically adhere. Therefore, it is possible that actions could be taken by the NAIC that are effective immediately without the procedural safeguards that would be present if governmental action was required. Statutes, regulations, and interpretations may be applied with retroactive impact, particularly in areas such as accounting and reserve requirements. Also, regulatory actions with prospective impact can potentially have a significant impact on currently sold products. The NAIC continues to work to reform state regulation in various areas, including comprehensive reforms relating to life insurance reserves.

At the federal level, bills are routinely introduced in both chambers of the United States Congress (Congress) which could affect life insurers. In the past, Congress has considered legislation that would impact insurance companies in numerous ways, such as providing for an optional federal charter. The Company cannot predict whether or in what form reforms will be enacted and, if so, whether the enacted reforms will positively or negatively affect the Company or whether any effects will be material.

On March 23, 2010, President Obama signed the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 (the Healthcare Act ) into law. The Healthcare Act makes significant changes to the regulation of health insurance, imposing various conditions and requirements on the Company. The Healthcare Act may affect the small blocks of business the Company has offered or acquired over the years that is, or is deemed to be health insurance. The Healthcare Act may also affect the benefit plans the Company sponsors for employees or retirees and their dependents, the Company's expense to provide such benefits, the tax liabilities of the Company in connection with the provision of such benefits, the deductibility of certain compensation, and the Company's ability to attract or retain employees. In addition, the Company may be subject to regulations, guidance or determinations emanating



Table of Contents

from the various regulatory authorities authorized under the Healthcare Act. The Company cannot predict the effect that the Healthcare Act, or any regulatory pronouncement made thereunder, will have on its results of operations or financial condition.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Reform Act) makes sweeping changes to the regulation of financial services entities, products and markets. Certain provisions of the Reform Act are or may become applicable to the Company, its competitors or those entities with which the Company does business, including but not limited to: the establishment of federal regulatory authority over derivatives, the establishment of consolidated federal regulation and resolution authority over systemically important financial services firms, the establishment of the Federal Insurance Office, changes to the regulation of broker dealers and investment advisors, changes to the regulation of reinsurance, changes to regulations affecting the rights of shareholders, the imposition of additional regulation over credit rating agencies, and the imposition of concentration limits on financial institutions that restrict the amount of credit that may be extended to a single person or entity. The Reform Act also creates the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), an independent division of the Department of Treasury with jurisdiction over credit, savings, payment, and other consumer financial products and services, other than investment products already regulated by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) or the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission. Certain of the Company's subsidiaries sell products that may be regulated by the CFPB. Numerous provisions of the Reform Act require the adoption of implementing rules and/or regulations. In addition, the Reform Act mandates multiple studies, which could result in additional legislation or regulation applicable to the insurance industry, the Company, its competitors or the entities with which the Company does business. Legislative or regulatory requirements imposed by or promulgated in connection with the Reform Act may impact the Company in many ways, including but not limited to: placing the Company at a competitive disadvantage relative to its competition or other financial services entities, changing the competitive landscape of the financial services sector and/or the insurance industry, making it more expensive for the Company to conduct its business, requiring the reallocation of significant company resources to government affairs, legal and compliance-related activities, or otherwise have a material adverse effect on the overall business climate as well as the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Company's subsidiaries may also be subject to regulation by the United States Department of Labor when providing a variety of products and services to employee benefit plans governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA). Severe penalties are imposed for breach of duties under ERISA.

The Company may be subject to regulation by governments of the countries in which it currently, or may in the future, do business, as well as regulation by the U.S. Government with respect to its operations in foreign countries, such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act.

Certain policies, contracts, and annuities offered by the Company's subsidiaries are subject to regulation under the federal securities laws administered by the SEC. The federal securities laws contain regulatory restrictions and criminal, administrative, and private remedial provisions.

Other types of regulation that could affect the Company and its subsidiaries include insurance company investment laws and regulations, state statutory accounting practices, anti-trust laws, minimum solvency requirements, state securities laws, federal privacy laws, insurable interest laws, federal anti-money laundering and anti-terrorism laws, and because the Company owns and operates real property, state, federal, and local environmental laws.

The Company cannot predict what form any future changes to laws and/or regulations affecting participants in the financial services sector and/or insurance industry, including the Company and its competitors or those entities with which it does business, may take, or what effect, if any, such changes may have.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

During the quarter ended March 31, 2011, the Company issued no securities in transactions which were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Act").

*Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities*

On May 10, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors extended the Company's previously authorized \$100 million share repurchase program. The current authorization extends through May 9, 2013. We did not

Table of Contents

repurchase any of our common stock under the share repurchase program during the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. Future activity will depend upon many factors, including capital levels, rating agency expectations, and the relative attractiveness of alternative uses for capital. There were no shares repurchased during the three months ended March 31, 2011. The remaining capacity, expressed in aggregate value of shares, which may be repurchased under the existing program, is approximately \$82.9 million.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

- Exhibit 31(a) - Certification Pursuant to §302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- Exhibit 31(b) - Certification Pursuant to §302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- Exhibit 32(a) - Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- Exhibit 32(b) - Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.
- Exhibit 101 - Financial statements from the quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Protective Life Corporation for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, filed on May 6, 2011, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income, (ii) the Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets, (iii) the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Shareowners Equity, (iv) the Consolidated Condensed Statement of Cash Flows, and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements tagged as blocks of text

Table of Contents

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PROTECTIVE LIFE CORPORATION

Date: May 6, 2011

By:

/s/ Steven G. Walker

Steven G. Walker  
Senior Vice President, Controller  
and Chief Accounting Officer