INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORP Form 10-Q July 25, 2006

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

**WASHINGTON, DC 20549** 

## **FORM 10 - Q**

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

1-2360

(Commission file number)

## INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New York (State of incorporation)

13-0871985

(IRS employer identification number)

Armonk, New York
(Address of principal executive offices)

**10504** (Zip Code)

914-499-1900

(Registrant s telephone number)

	months (or for such shorter period th	uired to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities nat the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been secured to file such reports.
Indicate by check mark whether the roof filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12		an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition e):
Large accelerated filer x	Accelerated filer o	Non-accelerated filer o
Indicate by check mark whether the re	egistrant is a shell company (as defi	ned in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x
The registrant has 1,521,839,006 shar	res of common stock outstanding at	June 30, 2006.

## <u>Index</u>

Part I - Financial Information:	Pages
Item 1. Consolidated Financial Statements	
Consolidated Statement of Earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005	<u>1</u>
Consolidated Statement of Financial Position at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005	<u>3</u>
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005	<u>5</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>6</u>
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition	<u>24</u>
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	<u>68</u>
Part II - Other Information	<u>69</u>
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	<u>69</u>
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities, Use of Proceeds and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	<u>69</u>
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	<u>70</u>
Item 6. Exhibits	<u>72</u>

## Part I - Financial Information

ITEM 1. Consolidated Financial Statements

## INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EARNINGS (UNAUDITED)

	Three Months	Ended Ju	ne 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,					
(Dollars in millions except per share amounts)	2006		2005*		2006		2005*		
Revenue:									
Global Services	\$ 11,894	\$	12,001	\$	23,461	\$	23,710		
Hardware	5,148		5,562		9,722		12,315		
Software	4,241		4,056		8,147		7,871		
Global Financing	580		622		1,164		1,202		
Other	26		29		54		80		
Total revenue	21,890		22,270		42,549		45,178		
Cost:									
Global Services	8,604		8,868		17,092		17,734		
Hardware	3,299		3,676		6,449		8,574		
Software	668		634		1,285		1,252		
Global Financing	284		295		558		561		
Other	21		22		63		29		
Total cost	12,876		13,495		25,447		28,149		
Gross profit	9,014		8,775		17,102		17,029		
Expense and other income:									
Selling, general and									
administrative	4,916		6,497		9,518		11,430		
Research, development and									
engineering	1,522		1,477		2,977		2,936		
Intellectual property and custom									
development income	(188)		(288)		(418)		(507)		
Other (income) and expense	(196)		(1,711)		(442)		(1,689)		
Interest expense	72		67		138		116		
Total expense and other income	6,125		6,042		11,774		12,286		
Income from continuing									
operations before income taxes	2,889		2,733		5,328		4,743		
Provision for income taxes	867		882		1,598		1,485		
Income from continuing									
operations	2,022		1,851		3,730		3,258		

<sup>\*</sup> Reclassified to conform with 2006 presentation; see Note 1 on page 6 for additional information.

(Amounts may not add due to rounding.)								
The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.)								
1								

	Three Months E	nded		Six Months Ended Ju		
(Dollars in millions except per share amounts)	2006		2005	2006	2005	
Discontinued Operations						
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax			22		27	
Net income	\$ 2,022		1,829	\$ 3,730 \$	3,231	
Earnings/(loss) per share of common stock:						
Assuming dilution:						
Continuing operations	\$ 1.30	\$	1.14	\$ 2.37 \$	1.98	
Discontinued operations	(0.00)		(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.02)	
Total	\$ 1.30	\$	1.12	\$ 2.37 \$	1.96	
Basic:						
Continuing operations	\$ 1.31	\$	1.15	\$ 2.40 \$	2.02	
Discontinued operations	(0.00)		(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.02)	
Total	\$ 1.31	\$	1.14	\$ 2.40 \$	2.00	
Weighted-average number of common shares						
out-standing: (millions)						
Assuming dilution	1,560.1		1,627.9	1,573.6	1,644.2	
Basic	1,538.1		1,603.9	1,551.3	1,616.3	
Cash dividends per common share	\$ 0.30	\$	0.20	\$ 0.50 \$	0.38	

(Amounts may not add due to rounding.)

# INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION (UNAUDITED) ASSETS

(Dollars in millions)	At June 30, 2006	At December 31, 2005
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,100	\$ 12,568
Marketable securities	2,890	1,118
Notes and accounts receivable trade (net of allowances of \$241 in 2006		
and \$267 in 2005)	9,211	9,540
Short-term financing receivables (net of allowances of \$365 in 2006 and		
\$422 in 2005)	12,063	13,750
Other accounts receivable (net of allowances of \$8 in 2006 and \$7 in		
2005)	1,062	1,138
Inventories, at lower of average cost or market:		
Finished goods	873	902
Work in process and raw materials	2,238	1,939
Total inventories	3,111	2,841
Deferred taxes	1,640	1,765
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,514	2,941
Total current assets	39,591	45,661
Plant, rental machines and other property	35,237	34,261
Less: Accumulated depreciation	21,274	20,505
Plant, rental machines and other property net	13,963	13,756
Long-term financing receivables	9,504	9,628
Prepaid pension assets	23,293	20,625
Intangible assets net	1,657	1,663
Goodwill	10,324	9,441
Investments and sundry assets	5,046	4,974
Total assets	\$ 103,377	\$ 105,748

(Amounts may not add due to rounding.)

## INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION (UNAUDITED)

Liabilities and Stockholders Equity

(Dollars in millions except per share amounts)	At June 30, 2006	At December 31, 2005
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		2000
Current liabilities:		
Taxes	\$ 3,816	\$ 4,710
Short-term debt	7,907	7,216
Accounts payable and accruals	22,395	23,226
Total current liabilities	34,118	35,152
Long-term debt	13,872	15,425
Retirement and nonpension postretirement benefit obligations	13,049	13,779
Other liabilities	8,789	8,294
Total liabilities	69,828	72,650
Stockholders equity:		
Common stock - par value \$0.20 per share and additional		
paid-in capital	29,823	28,926
Shares authorized: 4,687,500,000		
Shares issued: 2006 - 1,991,255,639		
2005 - 1,981,259,104		
Retained earnings	47,647	44,734
Treasury stock - at cost	(43,567)	(38,546)
Shares: 2006 - 469,416,633	(10,007)	(50,510)
2005 - 407,279,343		
Accumulated gains and (losses) not affecting retained earnings	(354)	(2,016)
Total stockholders equity	33,549	22 000
Total stockholders equity	33,349	33,098
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 103, 377	\$ 105,748

(Amounts may not add due to rounding.)

# INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, (UNAUDITED)

(Dollars in millions)	2006	2005*
Cash flow from operating activities from continuing operations:		
Net Income	\$ 3,730	\$ 3,231
Loss from discontinued operations		\$ 27
Adjustments to reconcile income from continuing operations to cash provided from		
operating activities:		
Depreciation	1,924	2,111
Amortization of intangibles	531	509
Stock-based compensation	409	545
Net gain on asset sales and other	(45)	(1,164)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions/divestitures	(871)	(46)
Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations	5,677	5,213
Cash flow from investing activities from continuing operations:		
Payments for plant, rental machines and other property, net of proceeds from dispositions	(1,708)	(1,623)
Investment in software	(394)	( , ,
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired	(809)	
Divestiture of businesses, net of cash transferred	(007)	486
Purchases of marketable securities and other investments	(13,651)	
Proceeds from disposition of marketable securities and other investments	11,591	1,992
Net cash used in investing activities from continuing operations	(4,971)	(2,018)
Cash flow from financing activities from continuing operations:		
Proceeds from new debt	214	3,984
Payments to settle debt	(1,288)	(2,012)
Short-term borrowings/(repayments) less than 90 days net	105	(689)
Common stock transactions net	(4,501)	(4,599)
Cash dividends paid	(776)	(615)
Net cash used in financing activities from continuing operations	(6,246)	(3,931)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	79	(662)
Net cash used in discontinued operations - operating activities	(7)	( )
Net eash used in discontinued operations - operating activities	(1)	(1)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(5,468)	(1,405)
Cash and cash equivalents at January 1	12,568	10,053
Cash and cash equivalents at June 30	\$ 7,100	\$ 8,648

<sup>\*</sup> Reclassified to conform with 2006 presentation.

(Amounts may not add due to rounding.)

#### **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

1. In the opinion of the management of International Business Machines Corporation (the company), all adjustments, which are of a normal recurring nature, necessary to a fair statement of the results for the unaudited three- and six-month periods have been made.

In the first quarter of 2006, the company made changes to its management system. These changes impacted the company s reportable segments and resulted in the reclassification of certain revenue and cost within its Consolidated Statement of Earnings. These changes did not impact the company s total revenue, cost, expense, net income, earnings per share, Consolidated Statement of Financial Position or Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. See Note 10 for additional information regarding the changes in reportable segments. The periods presented in this Form 10-Q are reported on a comparable basis. The company filed a Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on June 13, 2006 to reclassify its historical financial statements and related footnotes to reflect these management system changes.

Within the financial tables in this Form 10-Q, some columns and rows may not add due to the use of rounded numbers for disclosure purposes. Percentages presented are calculated from the underlying whole-dollar amounts.

2. The following table summarizes Net income plus gains and losses not affecting retained earnings:

(Dollars in millions)	Three Months En	nded June	30, 2005	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 2005			
Net income	\$ 2,022	\$	1,829 \$	3,730	\$	3,231	
Gains and losses not affecting							
retained earnings (net of tax):							
Foreign currency translation							
adjustments	499		(553)	637		(1,025)	
Minimum pension liability							
adjustments	1,136		3	1,432		2	
Net unrealized gains/(losses) on							
marketable securities	4		(29)	(22)		(17)	
Net unrealized (losses)/gains on cash							
flow hedge derivatives	(235)		418	(386)		777	
Total gains and (losses) not affecting							
retained earnings	1,404		(161)	1,662		(263)	
Net income plus gains and losses not							
affecting retained earnings	\$ 3,425	\$	1,668 \$	5,392	\$	2,968	

<sup>3.</sup> Effective January 1, 2005, the company adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment. Accordingly, stock-based compensation cost is measured at grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the employee requisite service period. The following table shows total stock-based compensation expense included in the Consolidated Statement of Earnings:

	Three MonthsE	nded Ju	me 30,	Six Months En	e 30,	
(Dollars in millions)	2006		2005	2006		2005
Cost	\$ 52	\$	80 \$	108	\$	171
Selling, general and administrative*	136		153	258		318
Research, development and engineering	21		26	42		56
Other (income) and expense			(8)			(8)
Pre-tax stock-based compensation expense	209		251	409		537
Income tax benefits	(72)		(87)	(145)		(182)
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 137	\$	164 \$	264	\$	355

<sup>\*</sup> Includes \$4 million of credits in the three-and six-month periods ended June 30, 2005, as a result of awards forfeited in connection with the company s second-quarter 2005 workforce resource actions.

The reduction in pre-tax stock-based compensation expense for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006, as compared to the corresponding periods in the prior year, was principally the result of: (1) a reduction in the level and fair value of stock option grants (\$49 million and \$137 million, respectively), (2) changes to the terms of the company s employee stock purchase plan, which rendered it non-compensatory in the second quarter of 2005 in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 123(R) (no effect in three-month period and \$18 million decrease in six-month period), offset by (3) increased expense for performance-based stock units (\$7 million and \$27 million, respectively) resulting from changes in the probabilities of achieving performance metrics. The effects on pre-tax stock-based compensation expense of the 2005 sale of the Personal Computing business were recorded in Other (income) and expense above and in the Consolidated Statement of Earnings for the three and six- month periods ended June 30, 2005.

As of June 30, 2006, \$1,550 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested awards is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2 years.

There were no significant capitalized stock-based compensation costs at June 30, 2006 and 2005.

Under IBM s long-standing practices and policies, all stock option awards are approved prior to or on the date of grant. The exercise price of at-the-money stock options is the average of the high and low market price on the date of grant or, in the case of premium-priced stock options, 10 percent above such average. The options approval process specifies the individual receiving the grant, the number of options or the value of the award, the exercise price or formula for determining the exercise price, and the date of grant. All option awards for senior management are approved by the Executive Compensation and Management Resources Committee of the Board of Directors (the Committee ). All option awards for employees other than senior management are approved by senior management pursuant to a series of delegations that were approved by the Committee, and the grants made pursuant to these delegations are reviewed periodically with the Committee. Options that are awarded as part of annual total compensation for senior management and other employees are made on specific cycle dates scheduled in advance. With respect to option awards given in connection with promotions or new hires, IBM s policy requires approval of such awards prior to the grant date, which is typically the date

of the promotion or the date of hire. The exercise price of these options is the average of the high and low market price on the date of grant in the case of at-the-money stock options or, in the case of premium-priced stock options, 10 percent above such average. See IBM s 2005 Annual Report, note U, Stock-Based Compensation, for additional information on the company s stock-based incentive awards.

4. In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) released FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting and reporting for uncertainties in income tax law. This Interpretation prescribes a comprehensive model for the financial statement recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in income tax returns. The company will adopt this Interpretation in the first quarter of 2007. The cumulative effects, if any, of applying this Interpretation will be recorded as an adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption. The company has commenced the process of evaluating the expected effect of FIN 48 on its Consolidated Financial Statements and is currently not yet in a position to determine such effects.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140, that provides guidance on accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 156, separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities must be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. Subsequent to initial recognition, the company may use either the amortization method or the fair value measurement method to account for servicing assets and servicing liabilities within the scope of this Statement. The company will adopt SFAS No. 156 in fiscal year 2007. The adoption of this Statement is not expected to have a material effect on the company s Consolidated Financial Statements.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140, to permit fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. The company will adopt SFAS No. 155 in fiscal year 2007. The adoption of this Statement is not expected to have a material effect on the company s Consolidated Financial Statements.

In April 2006, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FIN 46(R)-6, Determining the Variability to Be Considered in Applying FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), that will become effective beginning third quarter of 2006. FSP FIN No. 46(R)-6 clarifies that the variability to be considered in applying FASB Interpretation 46(R) shall be based on an analysis of the design of the variable interest entity. The adoption of this FSP is not expected to have a material effect on the company s Consolidated Financial Statements.

5. In May 2005, the company implemented a series of restructuring actions designed to improve the company s efficiencies, strengthen its client-facing operations and capture opportunities in high-growth markets. The company s actions primarily included voluntary and involuntary workforce reductions, with the majority impacting the Global Services business, primarily in Europe, as well as the vacating of leased facilities. These actions were in addition to the company s ongoing workforce reduction and rebalancing activities that occur each quarter.

The total charges expected to be incurred in connection with all second-quarter 2005 initiatives are approximately \$1,776 million, of which \$1,756 million has been recorded cumulatively through June 30, 2006. The remaining expected charges represent accretion/interest expense on the long-term portion of the company s workforce and vacant space obligations. Approximately \$1,634 million of the total charges require cash payments, of which approximately \$1,308 million have been made as of June 30, 2006 and \$67 million are expected to be made over the next 12 months.

Total pre-tax restructuring activity was as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	Tax Charges corded in 2Q 2005	Asset Impairments	ability recorded n the 2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr. 2005	Payments	Other(2)	Liability as of 12/31/05
Workforce reductions	\$ 1,574	\$	\$ 1,574	\$ (1,013) \$	(107)	3 454
Vacant space	141		141	(53)	(5)	83
Asset impairments	95	95				
Total restructuring charges for 2Q 2005 actions	\$ 1,810(1	)\$ 95	\$ 1,715	\$ (1,066) \$	(112) \$	537(3)

- (1) \$1.6 billion recorded in Selling, general and administrative expense and \$0.2 billion recorded in Other (income) and expense in the Consolidated Statement of Earnings.
- (2) Consists of foreign currency translation adjustments (\$38 million), net reclassifications to other balance sheet categories (\$41 million) and reversals of previously recorded liabilities (\$34 million), offset by approximately \$1 million of accretion expense. The reversals were recorded primarily in SG&A expense, for changes in the estimated cost of employee terminations and vacant space.
- (3) \$391 million recorded as a current liability in Accounts payable and accruals and \$146 million as a non-current liability in Other liabilities in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position.

	Liability as of December 31, 2005 Payments						Liability as of June 30, 2006		
(Dollars in millions)									
Workforce reductions	\$	454	\$	(215)	\$	9	\$	248	
Vacant space		83		(28)		2		57	
Total restructuring charges for 2Q 2005 actions	\$	537(3)	\$	(242)	\$	11	\$	306(5)	

<sup>(4)</sup> Consists of foreign currency translation adjustments (\$30 million), net balance sheet reclassifications (\$1 million) and accretion expense (\$3 million), offset by reversals of previous recorded liabilities (\$23 million) for changes in the estimated cost of employee terminations and vacant space. These reversals were primarily recorded in SG&A.

Charges incurred for the workforce reductions consisted of severance/termination benefits for approximately 16,000 employees (14,500 of which were for the incremental second-quarter 2005 actions). All separations were substantially completed by March 31, 2006. The non-current portion of the liability associated with the workforce reductions relates to terminated employees who were granted annual payments to supplement their income in certain countries. Depending on individual country legal requirements, these required payments will continue until the former employee begins receiving pension benefits or is deceased. Cash payments made through June 30, 2006 associated with the workforce reductions were \$1,228 million.

The vacant space accruals are primarily for ongoing obligations to pay rent for vacant space, offset by estimated sublease income, over the respective lease term of the company s lease agreements. The length of these obligations varies by lease with the longest extending through 2019.

In connection with the company s restructuring activities initiated in the second quarter of 2005, the company recorded pre-tax impairment charges for certain real estate assets of approximately \$95 million during the year ended December 31, 2005. The principal component of such impairment charges resulted from the sale of a facility in Yasu-City, Japan, which closed during the third quarter of 2005. In connection with this sale, the company recorded an impairment charge to write the asset down to its fair value in the second quarter of 2005.

<sup>(5) \$67</sup> million recorded as a current liability in Accounts payable and accruals and \$239 million as a non-current liability in Other liabilities in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position.

These restructuring activities had the following effect on the company s reportable segments:

At June 30, 2006: (Dollars in millions)	Total Pre-Tax Charges Expected to be Incurred for 2Q 2005 Actions*	Cumulative Pre-Tax Charges Recorded for 2Q 2005 Actions*
Global Technology Services	\$ 730	\$ 721
Global Business Services	444	441
Systems & Technology Group	135	132
Software	98	97
Global Financing	16	16
Total reportable segments	1,423	1,407
Unallocated corporate amounts	354	349
Total	\$ 1,776	\$ 1,756

<sup>\*</sup> Amounts reclassified from previously reported amounts to reflect the new management system structure implemented in the first quarter of 2006.

6. The company offers defined benefit pension plans, defined contribution pension plans, as well as nonpension postretirement plans primarily consisting of retiree medical benefits. The following tables provide the total retirement-related benefit plans impact on income from continuing operations before income taxes.

For the three months ended June 30: (Dollars in millions)	2006		2005	Yr. to Yr. Percent Change
Retirement-related plans cost:				
Defined benefit and contribution pension plans cost	\$ 52	1 \$	491	6.1%
Nonpension postretirement benefits-cost	93	3	91	2.2
Total	\$ 614	4 \$	582	5.5%

For the six months ended June 30: (Dollars in millions)	2006	2005	Yr. to Yr. Percent Change
Retirement-related plans cost:			
Defined benefit and contribution pension plans cost	\$ 1,044	\$ 947	10.2%
Nonpension postretirement benefits cost	193	184	4.9
Total	\$ 1,237	\$ 1,131	9.4%

The following tables provides the components of the cost/(income) for the company s pension plans:

Cost/(Income) of Pension Plans

	U.S.	Plans		Non-U.S. Plan	ns
For the three months ended June 30: (Dollars in millions)	2006		2005	2006	2005
Service cost	\$ 202	\$	166	\$ 159 \$	173
Interest cost	611		617	391	413
Expected return on plan assets	(905)		(918)	(585)	(567)
Amortization of transition assets				(1)	(2)
Amortization of prior service cost	15		15	(25)	2
Recognized actuarial losses	190		140	217	145
Net periodic pension cost U.S. Plan and material non-U.S.					
Plans	113*		20*	156**	164**
Cost of other defined benefit plans	27		40	41	36
Cost of restructuring/divestiture actions			3		59
Total net periodic pension cost for all defined benefit plans	140		63	197	259
Cost of defined contribution plans	94		83	90	86
Total retirement plan cost recognized in the Consolidated					
Statement of Earnings	\$ 234	\$	146	\$ 287 \$	345

<sup>\*</sup> Represents the qualified portion of the IBM Personal Pension Plan.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Represents the qualified and non-qualified portion of material non-U.S. Plans.

		U.S. P	lans		Non-U.S.Plans				
For the six months ended June 30: (Dollars in millions)		2006		2005		2006		2005	
Service cost	\$	384	\$	341	\$	313	\$	353	
Interest cost		1,227		1,232		770		838	
Expected return on plan									
assets		(1,806)		(1,836)		(1,143)		(1,152)	
Amortization of									
transition assets						(2)		(3)	
Amortization of prior									
service cost		30		30		(28)		19	
Recognized actuarial									
losses		393		284		403		278	
Net periodic pension									
cost U.S. Plan and									
material non-U.S. Plans		228*		51*		313**		333**	
Cost of other defined									
benefit plans		55		72		78		71	
Cost of									
restructuring/divestiture									
actions				3				59	
Total net periodic									
pension cost for all		• • •				204		1.60	
defined benefit plans		283		126		391		463	
Cost of defined		102		106		150		150	
contribution plans		192		186		178		172	
Total retirement plan									
cost recognized in the									
Consolidated Statement	¢	175	¢.	212	ď	560	¢.	625	
of Earnings	\$	475	\$	312	\$	569	\$	635	

<sup>\*</sup> Represents the qualified portion of the IBM Personal Pension Plan.

In 2006, the company expects to contribute to its non-U.S. Defined Benefit Plans an amount of between \$1.6 - 2 billion. The legally mandated minimum contribution included in the amount above for the company s non-U.S. Plans is expected to be \$842 million. In the first six months of 2006, the company contributed \$1,550 million to its non-U.S. Plans.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Represents the qualified and non-qualified portion of material non-U.S. Plans.

The following table provides the components of the cost for the company s nonpension postretirement benefits:

Cost/(Income) of Nonpension Post-retirement Benefits

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2006 2005			Six Months Ended June 2006				
(Dollars in millions)	2000		2003		2000		2005	
Service cost	\$ 15	\$		11	\$ 31	\$		23
Interest cost	73			82	152			162
Amortization of prior								
service cost	(15)			(15)	(31)			(31)
Recognized actuarial losses	7			6	15			12
Net periodic post-retirement								
benefit cost U.S. Plan	80			84	167			166
Cost of non-U.S. Plans	13			7	26			18
Total nonpension								
postretirement plan cost								
recognized in the								
Consolidated Statement of								
Earnings	\$ 93	\$		91	\$ 193	\$		184

The Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 did not have a material impact on the company s Consolidated Financial Statements as of or for the periods ended June 30, 2006.

7. The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill, by reportable segment, for the six months ended June 30, 2006, are as follows:

Segment (Dollars in millions)	Balance 12/31/05*	Goodwill Additions	Purchase Price djustments	Divestitures	Cu Tra	oreign Irrency Inslation Ustments	Balance 6/30/06
Global Technology							
Services	\$ 1,530	\$ 5	\$ (87)	\$	\$	120	\$ 1,568
Global Business Services	3,588		(13)			88	3,663
Systems and Technology							
Group	254		5			1	260
Software	4,069	791	(33)			5	4,833
Global Financing							
Total	\$ 9,441	\$ 796	\$ (128)	\$	\$	214	\$ 10,324

<sup>\*</sup> Amounts reclassified from previously reported amounts reflect the new management system structure implemented in the first quarter of 2006.

There were no goodwill impairment losses recorded during the quarter.

The following schedule details the company s intangible asset balances by major asset class:

Intangible asset class (Dollars in millions)	Gross Carrying Amount	At June 30, 2006 Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Capitalized software	\$ 1,862	\$ (847)	\$ 1,015
Client-related	731	(350)	381
Completed technology	210	(81)	129
Strategic alliances	104	(78)	26
Patents/Trademarks	37	(21)	16
Other(a)	254	(164)	90
Total	\$ 3,198	\$ (1,542)	\$ 1,657

Intangible asset class (Dollars in millions)	Gross Carrying Amount	At December 31, 2005 Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Capitalized software	\$ 1,805	\$ (802)	\$ 1,003
Client-related	910	(490)	420
Completed technology	383	(270)	113
Strategic alliances	104	(68)	36
Patents/Trademarks	32	(17)	15
Other(a)	218	(142)	76
Total	\$ 3,452	\$ (1,789)	\$ 1,663

<sup>(</sup>a) Other intangibles are primarily acquired proprietary and non-proprietary business processes, methodologies and systems.

The net carrying amount of intangible assets decreased \$6 million during the first six months of 2006 due to amortization of existing intangible asset balances partially offset by acquisitions. The aggregate intangible asset amortization expense was \$266 million and \$531 million for the second quarter and first six months of 2006, respectively, versus \$259 million and \$509 million for the second quarter and first six months of 2005, respectively. In addition, in the first six months of 2006, the company retired \$782 million of fully amortized intangible assets, impacting both the gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization for this amount.

The amortization expense for each of the five succeeding years relating to intangible assets currently recorded in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position is estimated to be the following at June 30, 2006:

2006 (for Q3-Q4)	\$491 million
2007	\$695 million
2008	\$298 million
2009	\$112 million
2010	\$33 million

8. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, the company completed 7 acquisitions at an aggregate cost of \$969 million.

The Software segment completed six acquisitions in the first half: in the first quarter, Micromuse, a publicly traded company; Cims Lab, a privately held company; and Language Analysis Systems, Inc., a privately held company; and, in the second quarter, Buildforge, a privately held company; Unicorn Solutions, Inc., a privately held company; and Rembo Technology, a privately held company. Each acquisition further complemented and enhanced the company s portfolio of software product offerings.

Global Technology Services (GTS) completed one acquisition in the first quarter: Viacore, Inc., a privately held company. This acquisition augments GTS supply chain optimization practice within its Business Transformation Outsourcing offerings.

Purchase price consideration was paid all in cash. These acquisitions are reported in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows net of acquired cash and cash equivalents.

The table below reflects the purchase price related to these acquisitions and the resulting purchase price allocations as of June 30, 2006. The Micromuse acquisition is shown separately given its significant purchase price.

			N	Iicromuse		
(Dollars in millions)	Amortization Life (yrs.)	Original Amount Disclosed in First Qtr. 2006		Purchase djustments	Total Allocation	Other Acquisitions
Current assets		\$ 201	\$		\$ 201	\$ 14
Fixed						
assets/non-current		8			8	2
Intangible assets:						
Goodwill	N/A	694		6	700	96
Completed technology	3	46			46	3
Client relationships	3 - 5	46			46	14
Other identifiable						
assets		4			4	
In-process research and						
development		1			1	
Total assets acquired		1,000		6	1,006	129
Current liabilities		(89)		(6)	(95)	(13)
Non-current liabilities		(49)			(49)	(9)
Total liabilities						
assumed		(138)		(6)	(144)	(22)
Total purchase price		\$ 862	\$		\$ 862	\$ 107

The acquisitions were accounted for as purchase transactions, and accordingly, the assets and liabilities of the acquired entities were recorded at their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. The primary items that generated the goodwill are the value of the synergies between the acquired companies and IBM and the acquired assembled workforce, neither of which qualify as an amortizable intangible asset. The company is currently analyzing the amount of goodwill that is deductible for tax purposes. The overall weighted-average life of the identified amortizable intangible assets acquired is 4.2 years. With the exception of goodwill, these identified intangible assets will be amortized on a straight-line basis over their useful lives.

Goodwill of \$796 million has been assigned to the Software (\$791 million) and Global Technology Services (\$5 million) segments.

9. On April 30, 2005 ( closing date ), the company completed the divestiture of its Personal

Computing Division ( PCD ) business to Lenovo Group Limited ( Lenovo ), a publicly traded company on the Hong Kong Stock Exchange. For the year ended December 31, 2005, the company recorded a total pre-tax gain of \$1,108 million of which \$1,097 million was recorded in the second quarter of 2005.

As part of the total consideration received at the closing date, the company received equity in Lenovo. The equity is subject to specific lock-up provisions that restrict the company from divesting the securities. The restrictions, agreed to at the closing date, apply to specific equity tranches and expire over a three-year period from the closing date. In the second quarter of 2006, the company and Lenovo agreed to revise these restrictions such that the company can now fully divest its shares in Lenovo by November 1, 2007 versus the prior expiration date of May 1, 2008.

See IBM s 2005 Annual Report, note C, Acquisitions/Divestitures, for additional information.

10. The tables on pages 79 through 82 of this Form 10-Q reflect the results of the company s reportable segments consistent with the management system used by the company s chief operating decision maker. These results are not necessarily a depiction that is in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). For example, employee retirement plan costs are developed using actuarial assumptions on a country-by-country basis and allocated to the segments based on headcount. A different result could occur for any segment if actuarial assumptions unique to each segment were used. Performance measurement is based on income before income taxes (pre-tax income). These results are used, in part, by management, both in evaluating the performance of, and in allocating resources to, each of the segments.

As discussed in Note 1, in the first quarter of 2006, the company made changes to its management system that impacted the company s reportable segments. The Enterprise Investment segment was dissolved and the Product Lifecycle Management software business was transferred to the Software segment. Certain other investments and products previously managed as Enterprise Investments are now included in the Software, Systems and Technology Group and Global Services segments.

In addition, the company made changes in the management system of its Global Services business. These changes include the separation of the Global Services segment into two new reportable segments: Global Technology Services and Global Business Services.

The two new Global Services segments consist of the following:

The Global Technology Services (GTS) segment primarily reflects infrastructure services, delivering value through the company s global scale, standardization and automation. It includes outsourcing, both Strategic Outsourcing and Business Transformation Outsourcing, Integrated Technology Services and Maintenance.

The Global Business Services (GBS) segment primarily reflects professional services, delivering business value and innovation to clients through solutions which leverage industry and

business process expertise. It includes consulting, systems integration and application management services (AMS).

In the second quarter of 2005, the company divested its Personal Computing business which was previously a part of the Personal Systems Group. The two remaining units of the former Personal Systems Group, Retail Store Solutions and Printing Systems, were combined with the Systems and Technology Group. The Personal Computing business financial results are displayed as part of the segment disclosures, for applicable periods presented, in a manner consistent with the segment disclosures.

Previously reported segment information on pages 79 through 82 has been reclassified for all periods presented to reflect these changes in the company's reportable segments.

11. The following table provides a rollforward of the liability balances for actions taken in the following periods: (1) the second quarter of 2005, discussed in Note 5; (2) the second-quarter of 2002 associated with the Microelectronics Division and rebalancing of both the company s workforce and leased space resources; (3) the fourth-quarter 2002 actions associated with the acquisition of the PricewaterhouseCoopers consulting business; (4) the 2002 actions associated with the hard disk drive (HDD) business for reductions in workforce, manufacturing capacity and space; (5) the actions taken in 1999; and (6) actions that took place prior to 1994.

(Dollars in millions)	a	bility s of 1/2005	Payments	Other adjustments*	Liability as of 6/30/2006
Current:					
Workforce	\$	461	\$ (267)	\$ (67)	\$ 127
Space		62	(62)	61	61
Other		6			6
Total					
Current	\$	529	\$ (329)	\$ (6)	\$ 194
Non-current:					
Workforce	\$	497	\$	\$ 134	\$ 631
Space		236		(75)	161
Total Non-current	\$	733	\$	\$ 59	\$ 792

<sup>\*</sup> The other adjustments column in the table above principally includes the reclassification of non-current to current and foreign currency translation adjustments. In addition, during the six-month period ended June 30, 2006, net adjustments to decrease previously recorded liabilities for changes in the estimated cost of employee terminations and vacant space were recorded for the 2002 actions (\$17 million) and the 2Q 2005 actions (\$23 million), offset by increases for the actions taken prior to 1999 (\$5 million). Of the \$35 million of net reductions recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2006 in the Consolidated Statement of Earnings, \$14 million was included in Selling, General and Administrative expense and \$3 million was recorded Other (income) and expense. Additionally, adjustments of \$18 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006, were recorded to Goodwill for changes to estimated vacant space and workforce reserves associated with the 2002 actions.

<sup>12.</sup> The company is involved in a variety of claims, suits, investigations and proceedings that arise from time to time in the ordinary course of its business, including actions with respect to contracts, intellectual property (IP), product liability, employment, benefits, securities, and environmental matters. These actions may be commenced by a number of different constituents,

including competitors, partners, clients, current or former employees, government and regulatory agencies, stockholders, and representatives of the locations in which the company does business. The following is a discussion of some of the more significant legal matters involving the company.

On July 31, 2003, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Illinois, in Cooper et al. v. The IBM Personal Pension Plan (PPP) and IBM Corporation, held that the company s pension plan violated the age discrimination provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA). On September 29, 2004, the company announced that IBM and plaintiffs agreed in principle to resolve certain claims in the litigation. That agreement was finalized by the parties in May 2005, and received final approval from the District Court on August 16, 2005. Under the terms of the agreement, plaintiffs will receive an incremental pension benefit in exchange for the settlement of some claims and a stipulated remedy on remaining claims if plaintiffs prevail on appeal. This settlement, together with a previous settlement of a claim referred to as the partial plan termination claim resulted in the company taking a one-time charge of \$320 million in the third quarter of 2004.

This agreement ends the litigation on all claims except the two claims associated with IBM s cash balance formula. The company continues to believe that its pension plan formulas are fair and legal. The company has reached this agreement in the interest of the business and the company s shareholders, and to allow for a review of its cash balance formula by the Court of Appeals. The company continues to believe it is likely to be successful on appeal.

The agreement stipulates that if the company is not successful on appeal of the two remaining claims, the agreed remedy will be increased by up to \$1.4 billion \$780 million for the claim that the company s cash balance formula is age discriminatory, and \$620 million for the claim that the method used to establish opening account balances during the 1999 conversion discriminated on the basis of age (referred to as the always cash balance claim). The maximum additional liability the company could face in this case if it is not successful on appeal is therefore capped at \$1.4 billion.

On August 30, 2005, the company filed its Notice of Appeal of the liability rulings on the cash balance claims with the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals and the matter was subsequently fully briefed. On February 16, 2006 oral arguments on the appeal were heard by the Court of Appeals, and the company estimates that the appeals process should conclude in 2006.

The company is a defendant in an action filed on March 6, 2003 in state court in Salt Lake City, Utah by The SCO Group. The company removed the case to Federal Court in Utah. Plaintiff is an alleged successor in interest to some of AT&T s Unix IP rights, and alleges copyright infringement, unfair competition, interference with contract and breach of contract with regard to the company s distribution of AIX and Dynix and contribution of code to Linux. The company has asserted counterclaims, including breach of contract, violation of the Lanham Act, unfair competition, intentional torts, unfair and deceptive trade practices, breach of the General Public License that governs open source distributions, promissory estoppel and copyright infringement. In October 2005, the company withdrew its patent counterclaims in an effort to simplify and focus the issues in the case and to expedite their resolution. Trial is currently scheduled for February 2007.

In May 2005, the Louisiana Supreme Court denied the company s motion to review and reverse a Louisiana state court s certification of a nationwide class in a case filed against the company in 1995. The class consists of certain former employees who left the company in 1992, and their spouses. They claim damages based on the company s termination of an education assistance program. On April 4, 2006, the trial court denied the company s motion for summary judgment. On June 26, 2006, the Louisiana Court of Appeals denied IBM s writ seeking an interlocutory appeal of the trial court s decision to deny summary judgment. IBM intends to file a writ seeking a discretionary appeal with the Louisiana Supreme Court. No date has been set for trial.

On June 2, 2003, the company announced that it received notice of a formal, nonpublic investigation by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The SEC sought information relating to revenue recognition in 2000 and 2001 primarily concerning certain types of client transactions. The company believes that the investigation arises from a separate investigation by the SEC of Dollar General Corporation, a client of the company s Retail Stores Solutions unit, which markets and sells point-of-sale products.

On January 8, 2004, the company announced that it received a Wells Notice from the staff of the SEC in connection with the staff s investigation of Dollar General Corporation, which as noted above, is a client of the company s Retail Stores Solutions unit. It is the company s understanding that an employee in the company s Sales & Distribution unit also received a Wells Notice from the SEC in connection with this matter. The Wells Notice notifies the company that the SEC staff is considering recommending that the SEC bring a civil action against the company for possible violations of the U.S. securities laws relating to Dollar General s accounting for a specific transaction, by participating in and aiding and abetting Dollar General s misstatement of its 2000 results. In that transaction, the company paid Dollar General \$11 million for certain used equipment as part of a sale of IBM replacement equipment in Dollar General s 2000 fourth fiscal quarter. Under the SEC s procedures, the company responded to the SEC staff regarding whether any action should be brought against the company by the SEC. The separate SEC investigation noted above, relating to the recognition of revenue by the company in 2000 and 2001 primarily concerning certain types of client transactions, is not the subject of this Wells Notice.

On June 27, 2005, the company announced that it had received a request to voluntarily comply with an informal investigation by the staff of the SEC concerning the company s disclosures relating to the company s first quarter 2005 earnings and expensing of equity compensation. On January 12, 2006, the company announced that it received notice of a formal, nonpublic investigation by the SEC of this matter. The company has been cooperating with the SEC, and will continue to do so. The SEC has informed the company that the investigation should not be construed as an indication that any violations of law have occurred.

In July 2005, two lawsuits were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York related to the company s disclosures concerning first-quarter 2005 earnings and the expensing of equity compensation. One lawsuit named as defendants IBM and IBM s Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. The other lawsuit named as defendants IBM, IBM s Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, and IBM s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Both complaints alleged that defendants made certain misrepresentations in violation of Section 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5

promulgated thereunder. On September 6, 2005, counsel in one of these lawsuits filed a motion seeking to have the lawsuits consolidated, and for the appointment of lead plaintiff and lead counsel. Pursuant to an Order from the Court dated March 28, 2006, the two lawsuits were consolidated into a single action captioned In re International Business Machines Corp. Securities Litigation. Pursuant to a schedule set by the Court, Plaintiffs served on the company an Amended Consolidated Complaint on May 19, 2006. IBM filed a Motion to Dismiss the Amended Consolidated Complaint on June 23, 2006. Plaintiffs filed their response to IBM s Motion on July 21, 2006; and IBM has until August 2, 2006 to file a final brief in support of its Motion.

In January 2004, the Seoul District Prosecutors Office in South Korea announced it had brought criminal bid-rigging charges against several companies, including IBM Korea and LG IBM (a joint venture between IBM Korea and LG Electronics, which has since been dissolved, effective January, 2005) and had also charged employees of some of those entities with, among other things, bribery of certain officials of government-controlled entities in Korea, and bid rigging. IBM Korea and LG IBM cooperated fully with authorities in these matters. A number of individuals, including former IBM Korea and LG IBM employees, were subsequently found guilty and sentenced. IBM Korea and LG IBM were also required to pay fines. Debarment orders were imposed at different times, covering a period of no more than a year from the date of issuance, which barred IBM Korea from doing business directly with certain government controlled entities in Korea. All debarment orders have since expired and when they were in force did not prohibit IBM Korea from selling products and services to business partners who sold to government-controlled entities in Korea. In addition, the U.S. Department of Justice and the SEC have both contacted the company in connection with this matter.

On January 24, 2006, a putative class action lawsuit was filed against IBM in federal court in San Francisco on behalf of technical support workers whose primary responsibilities are or were to install and maintain computer software and hardware. The complaint was subsequently amended on March 13, 2006. The First Amended Complaint, among other things, adds four additional named plaintiffs and modifies the definition of the workers purportedly included in the class. The suit, Rosenburg, et. al., v. IBM, alleges the company failed to pay overtime wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act and state law, and asserts violations of various state wage requirements, including recordkeeping and meal-break provisions. The suit also asserts certain violations of ERISA. Relief sought includes back wages, corresponding 401K and pension plan credits, interest, and attorneys fees.

The company is party to, or otherwise involved in, proceedings brought by U.S. federal or state environmental agencies under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), known as Superfund, or laws similar to CERCLA. Such statutes require potentially responsible parties to participate in remediation activities regardless of fault or ownership of sites. The company is also conducting environmental investigations or remediations at or in the vicinity of several current or former operating sites pursuant to permits, administrative orders or agreements with state environmental agencies, and is involved in lawsuits and claims concerning certain current or former operating sites.

In accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, the company records a provision with respect to a claim, suit, investigation or proceeding when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Any

provisions are reviewed at least quarterly and are adjusted to reflect the impact and status of settlements, rulings, advice of counsel and other information pertinent to a particular matter. Any recorded liabilities for the above items, including any changes to such liabilities for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, were not material to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Based on its experience, the company believes that the damage amounts claimed in the matters referred to above are not a meaningful indicator of the potential liability. Claims, suits, investigations and proceedings are inherently uncertain and it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcome of the matters previously discussed. While the company will continue to defend itself vigorously in all such matters, it is possible that the company s business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows could be affected in any particular period by the resolution of one or more of these matters.

Whether any losses, damages or remedies finally determined in any such claim, suit, investigation or proceeding could reasonably have a material effect on the company s business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flow will depend on a number of variables, including the timing and amount of such losses or damages; the structure and type of any such remedies; the significance of the impact any such losses; damages or remedies may have on the company s Consolidated Financial Statements; and the unique facts and circumstances of the particular matter which may give rise to additional factors.

13. The company s extended lines of credit include unused amounts of \$2,990 million and \$3,019 million at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. A portion of these amounts was available to the company s business partners to support their working capital needs. In addition, the company committed to provide future financing to its customers in connection with customer purchase agreements for approximately \$2,157 million and \$2,155 million at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

The company has applied the disclosure provisions of FIN 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others, to its agreements that contain guarantee or indemnification clauses. These disclosure provisions expand those required by SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, by requiring a guarantor to disclose certain types of guarantees, even if the likelihood of requiring the guarantor's performance is remote. The following is a description of arrangements in which the company is the guarantor.

The company is a party to a variety of agreements pursuant to which it may be obligated to indemnify the other party with respect to certain matters. Typically, these obligations arise in the context of contracts entered into by the company, under which the company customarily agrees to hold the party harmless against losses arising from a breach of representations and covenants related to such matters as title to the assets sold, certain IP rights, specified environmental matters, and certain income taxes. In each of these circumstances, payment by the company is conditioned on the other party making an adverse claim pursuant to the procedures specified in the particular contract, which procedures typically allow the company to challenge the other party s claims. Further, the company s obligations under these agreements may be limited in terms of time and/or amount, and in some instances, the company may have recourse against third parties for certain payments made by the company.

It is not possible to predict the maximum potential amount of future payments under these or similar agreements due to the conditional nature of the company s obligations and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement. Historically, payments made by the company under these agreements did not have a material effect on the company s business, financial condition or results of operations. The company believes that if it were to incur a loss in any of these matters, such loss would not have a material effect on the company s business, financial condition or results of operations.

In addition, the company guarantees certain loans and financial commitments. The maximum potential future payment under these financial guarantees was \$32 million and \$39 million at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

Changes in the company s warranty liability balance are presented in the following table:

(Dollars in millions)	2	006	2005
Balance at January 1	\$	754	\$ 944
Current period accruals		220	376
Accrual adjustments to reflect actual experience		56	(27)
Charges incurred		(386)	(409)
Balance at June 30	\$	645	\$ 884

The decrease in the warranty liability balance was primarily driven by the divestiture of the company s Personal Computing business in April 2005.

14. Subsequent Event On July 25, 2006, the company announced that the Board of Directors approved a quarterly dividend of \$0.30 per common share. The dividend is payable September 9, 2006 to shareholders of record on August 10, 2006.

#### ITEM 2.

#### MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006\*

#### **Snapshot**

(Dollars in millions except per share amounts)  Three months ended June 30:		2006	2005	Yr. To Yr. Percent/ Margin
	Ф			Change
Revenue	\$	21,890	\$ 22,270	(1.7)%**
Gross profit margin		41.2%	39.4%	1.8pts.
Total expense and other income	\$	6,125	\$ 6,042	1.4%
Total expense and other income to revenue ratio		28.0%	27.1%	0.9pts.
Provision for income taxes	\$	867	\$ 882	(1.7)%
Income from continuing operations	\$	2,022	\$ 1,851	9.2%
Earnings per share from continuing operations:				
Assuming dilution	\$	1.30	\$ 1.14	14.0%
Basic	\$	1.31	\$ 1.15	13.9%
Weighted average shares outstanding:				
Assuming dilution		1,560.1	1,627.9	(4.2)%
Basic		1,538.1	1,603.9	(4.1)%

<sup>\*</sup> The following Results of Continuing Operations discussion does not include the Hard Disk Drive (HDD) business that the company sold to Hitachi, Ltd. on December 31, 2002. The HDD business was accounted for as a discontinued operation under generally accepted accounting principles. There was no loss from Discontinued Operations in the second quarter and first six months of 2006, respectively, versus \$22 million and \$27 million in the second quarter and first six months of 2005. The 2005 charges were for additional costs associated with parts warranty as agreed upon by the company and Hitachi Ltd., under the terms of the agreement for the sale of the HDD business to Hitachi Ltd.

The selected reference to adjusted for currency in the Management Discussion is made so that the financial results can be viewed without the impacts of changing foreign currency exchange rates and therefore, facilitates a comparative view of business growth.

<sup>\*\* (1.6)</sup> percent adjusted for currency

(Dollars in millions except per share amounts) Six months ended June 30:		2006	2005	Yr. To Yr. Percent/ Margin Change
Revenue	\$	42,549 \$	45,178	(5.8)%**
Gross profit margin	Ψ	40.2%	37.7%	2.5pts.
Total expense and other income	\$	11,774 \$	12,286	(4.2)%
Total expense and other income to revenue ratio		27.7%	27.2%	0.5pts.
Provision for income taxes	\$	1,598 \$	1,485	7.7%
Income from continuing operations	\$	3,730 \$	3,258	14.5%
Earnings per share from continuing operations:				
Assuming dilution	\$	2.37 \$	1.98	19.7%
Basic	\$	2.40 \$	2.02	18.8%
Weighted average shares outstanding:				
Assuming dilution		1,573.6	1,644.2	(4.3)%
Basic		1,551.3	1,616.3	(4.0)%

				Yr. To Yr.
	6/30/06		12/31/05	Percent Change
Assets	\$	103,377 \$	105,748	(2.2)%
Liabilities	\$	69,828 \$	72,650	(3.9)%
Equity	\$	33,549 \$	33,098	1.4%

<sup>\*\* (4.1)</sup> percent adjusted for currency

The company s second-quarter 2006 diluted earnings per common share from continuing operations of \$1.30 increased 14 percent versus \$1.14 in the second quarter of 2005. Continuing operations for the second quarter of 2005 included a \$0.72 per share charge for incremental restructuring, a \$0.45 per share gain on the sale of the Personal Computing business and \$0.29 per share of other income due to a legal settlement with Microsoft.

Second quarter and first six months of 2006 income from continuing operations was \$2,022 million and \$3,730 million versus \$1,851 million and \$3,258 million for the comparable periods in 2005, respectively. The 2005 results included the pretax charge for the incremental restructuring of \$1,740 million, offset by a \$1,097 million gain on the sale of the Personal Computing business and a \$775 million legal settlement received from Microsoft.

Total revenue decreased 1.7 percent and 5.8 percent (1.6 percent and 4.1 percent adjusted for currency) for the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the same periods in 2005, primarily due to the divestiture of the Personal Computing business on April 30, 2005. The second quarter 2005 results include one month of Personal Computing revenue versus no activity in 2006 and the six months of 2005 results have four months of Personal Computing revenue versus no activity in 2006. Excluding revenue from the divested Personal Computing business, second quarter and first six months revenue of 2006 increased 0.8 percent and 0.6 percent, respectively.

In the second quarter, the company experienced longer sales and contracting cycles during June 2006. In that environment, the company delivered double-digit earnings per share growth through solid performance in certain areas of its broad portfolio of businesses. Software leveraged its Services Oriented Architecture (SOA) capabilities and the strength of its product portfolio to post solid growth in revenue and pre-tax profit. Microelectronics revenue continued to benefit from good demand for game processors and Systems z revenue returned to growth. Strategic Outsourcing revenue growth improved reflecting the growth in signings in 2005 and the first quarter of 2006, and productivity initiatives continued to drive improved profit margins. Offsetting this performance were execution issues in the company s supply chain for servers, as some customer orders were left unfilled, and the company continued to work through product transitions in midrange servers. In Global Services, short-term businesses were weak. The Integrated Technology Services business has been in transition and this transition is taking longer to deliver results than anticipated. In Global Business Services, the company s business grew in the Americas, but under-performed in some key countries in Europe and Asia. Overall, the company s performance once again reflects the ability to leverage its portfolio and its productivity initiatives to generate solid earnings per share and cash growth.

The gross profit margin was 41.2 percent and 40.2 percent in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus 39.4 percent and 37.7 percent in the second quarter and first six months of 2005. The increases in the gross profit margins were primarily driven by the divestiture of the Personal Computing business (1.2 points and 2.0 points, respectively) and benefits from the productivity initiatives implemented in the second quarter of 2005.

In the second quarter of 2006, total expense and other income increased over the year-earlier period, primarily due to lower Intellectual Property income and increased expense associated with retirement-related plans. In the first six months of 2006, total expense and other income declined versus the same period in 2005, primarily due to the divestiture of the Personal Computing business and benefits from the productivity initiatives initiated in the second quarter of 2005. The ratio of Total expense to revenue increased 0.9 points and 0.5 points to 28.0 percent and 27.7 percent, for the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the comparable periods of 2005, respectively.

The effective tax rates for the second quarter of 2006 and 2005 were 30.0 percent and 32.3 percent, respectively. The corresponding effective tax rates for the first six months of 2006 and 2005 were 30.0 percent and 31.3 percent, respectively. The decrease in the 2006 quarterly and six months tax rate was attributable to the absence of the tax effects related to the restructuring actions, Personal Computing divestiture and the Microsoft settlement in the second quarter of 2005.

Assets declined approximately \$2.4 billion from December 31, 2005 to June 30, 2006 primarily due to lower cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities of \$3.7 billion due to pension funding (\$1.5 billion), acquisitions (\$0.8 billion), tax payments (\$1.3 billion), restructuring payments (\$0.3 billion) and share repurchases (\$5.1 billion) in the first half of 2006. In addition, financing receivables declined by \$1.8 billion during the first six months of 2006. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in pension assets of \$2.7 billion and goodwill of approximately \$0.9 billion.

Total Global Services signings were \$9.6 billion in the second quarter of 2006 as compared to \$14.6 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2005. The estimated total Global Services backlog was \$109 billion at June 30, 2006.

## Second Quarter and First Six Months in Review

Results of Continuing Operations

Revenue					Yr. to Yr. Percent Adjusted
(Dollars in millions)	2006	20	005*	Yr. to Yr. Percent	for Change
For the three months ended June 30:	2006	20	JU5**	Change	Currency
Statement of Earnings Revenue Presentation:					
Global Services	\$ 11,894	\$	12,001	(0.9)%	(0.5)%
Hardware	5,148		5,562	(7.4)	(7.8)
Software	4,241		4,056	4.5	4.9
Global Financing	580		622	(6.8)	(7.0)
Other	26		29	(11.0)	(9.8)
Total	\$ 21,890	\$	22,270	(1.7)%	(1.6)%

(Dollars in millions)  For the six months ended June 30:	2006	2005*	Yr. to Yr. Percent Change	Yr. toYr. Percent Change Adjusted for Currency
Statement of Earnings Revenue Presentation:				
Global Services	\$ 23,461 \$	23,710	(1.0)%	1.2%
Hardware	9,722	12,315	(21.1)	(20.3)
Software	8,147	7,871	3.5	5.4
Global Financing	1,164	1,202	(3.2)	(1.9)
Other	54	80	(31.8)	(31.4)
Total	\$ 42,549 \$	45,178	(5.8)%	(4.1)%

<sup>\*</sup> Reclassified to conform with current presentation.

27

(Dollars in millions)  For the three months ended June 30:	-	2006	2005*	Yr. to Yr. Percent Change	Yr. to Yr. Percent Change Adjusted for
		2000	2005*	Change	Currency
Industry Sector**:					
Financial Services	\$	5,928 \$	5,869	1.0%	1.3%
Public		3,195	3,533	(9.5)	(9.8)
Industrial		2,855	2,912	(2.0)	(1.5)
Distribution		2,243	2,239	0.1	0.1
		2,071			
Communications		2,029	2,123	(4.4)	(4.4)
Small & Medium		4,086	4,220	(3.2)	(2.9)
OEM		939	702	33.7	33.7
Other		615	673	(8.6)	(8.3)
Total	\$	21.890 \$	22,270	(1.7)%	(1.6)%

(Dollars in millions)  For the six months ended June 30:	2006	200	)5*	Yr. ToYr. Percent Change	Yr. to Yr. Percent Change Adjusted for Currency
Industry Sector**:					
Financial Services	\$ 11,476	\$	11,624	(1.3)%	1.0%
Public	6,241		6,907	(9.6)	(8.6)
Industrial	5,531		5,918	(6.5)	(4.4)
Distribution	4,274		4,448	(3.9)	(2.5)
Communications	4,044		4,216	(4.1)	(2.7)
Small & Medium	7,880		9,245	(14.8)	(13.0)
OEM	1,812		1,393	30.1	30.1
Other	1,291		1,426	(9.4)	(8.2)
Total	\$ 42,549	\$	45,178	(5.8)%	(4.1)%

<sup>\*</sup> Reclassified to conform with current presentation.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The majority of the company s enterprise business, which excludes the company s original equipment manufacturer (OEM) technology business, occurs in industries that are broadly grouped into six sectors around which the company s sales and distribution activities, as well as an increasing number of its services and products businesses are organized. The majority of the businesses in the Small & Medium category have fewer than 1,000 employees.

(Dollars in millions)  For the three months ended June 30:	2	006	2005	Yr.to Yr. Percent Change	Yr. To Yr. Percent Change Adjusted for Currency
Geographies:					
Americas	\$	9,513	\$ 9,435	0.8%	(0.6)%
Europe/Middle East/Africa		7,234	7,498	(3.5)	(3.2)
Asia Pacific		4,203	4,635	(9.3)	(6.1)
OEM		939	702	33.7	33.7
Total	\$	21.890	\$ 22,270	(1.7)%	(1.6)%

(Dollars in millions)  For the six months ended June 30:	2006	2005	Yr.to Yr. Percent Change	Percent Change Adjusted for Currency
Geographies:			&.	, and a second
Americas	\$ 18,542	\$ 18,732	(1.0)%	(2.4)%
Europe/Middle East/Africa	13,916	15,232	(8.6)	(4.8)
Asia Pacific	8,280	9,821	(15.7)	(11.1)
OEM	1,812	1,393	30.1	30.1
Total	\$ 42,549	\$ 45,178	(5.8)%	(4.1)%

On April 30, 2005, the company sold its Personal Computing business. Accordingly, the company s reported revenue results for the second quarter and first six months of 2005 include one month and four months of revenue, respectively, for the Personal Computing business versus no revenue reported in the second quarter and first six months of 2006. The company has presented a discussion on changes in reported revenues along with a discussion of revenue results excluding the divested Personal Computing business. The company believes that a more appropriate discussion is one that excludes the revenue results from the Personal Computing business from the second quarter and first six months of 2005 because it presents the results on a comparable basis and provides a more meaningful discussion which focuses on the company s ongoing operational performance. Such discussion is presented starting on page 32.

As-reported revenue from all Industry Sectors, except Financial Services and Distribution, as well as Small and Medium Business declined in the second quarter of 2006 when compared to the same three months of 2005. The Financial Services Sector revenue increase was driven by Banking (5.9 percent), partially offset by declines in Insurance (6.2 percent) and Financial Markets (5.0 percent). The Public Sector experienced declines across Government (8.7 percent), Education (28.4 percent), Life Sciences (7.8 percent) and Health (3.0 percent). The decrease in Industrial Sector revenue was driven by Automotive (5.1 percent) and Industrial Products (10.0 percent), partially offset by increased revenue in Chemical and Petroleum (7.4 percent). The Distribution Sector, while essentially flat, had revenue increases in Consumer Products (12.6

Vr. To Vr

percent) that were largely offset by declining revenue in Travel and Transportation (7.1 percent). The decline in Communications Sector revenue was driven by Telecommunications (6.9 percent). Revenue from Small and Medium Business experienced declines across all geographic markets, except the Americas.

As- reported revenue for the first six months of 2006 declined in all Industry Sectors, as well as Small and Medium Business, primarily due to the divestiture of the Personal Computing business. The Financial Services Sector revenue decline was driven by Financial Markets (11.7 percent) and Insurance (4.5 percent), partially offset by increased revenue in Banking (3.4 percent). The decrease in Public Sector revenue was driven by Government (8.9 percent) and Education (33.6 percent). The Industrial Sector revenue decline was driven by Automotive (10.0 percent), Industrial Products (13.1 percent) and Electronics (4.0 percent). The decline in Distribution Sector revenue was driven by Travel and Transportation (9.3 percent) and Retail (4.7 percent), partially offset by increased Consumer Products (5.4 percent) revenue. The Communications Sector revenue decline was driven by Telecommunications (4.1 percent) and Media and Entertainment (9.2 percent). Small and Medium Business experienced revenue declines in all geographic markets.

Revenue by geography in both the second quarter and first six months of 2006, when compared to the same periods of 2005, was negatively impacted by the divestiture of the Personal Computing business.

Americas revenue increased 0.8 percent (down 0.6 percent adjusted for currency) versus the second quarter of 2005. Growth of 7.5 percent (down 3.1 percent adjusted for currency) in Canada and 14.1 percent (0.4 percent adjusted for currency) in Brazil was partially offset by the U.S., where revenue decreased 0.5 percent.

Europe/Middle East/Africa (EMEA) revenue declined in the second quarter 3.5 percent (3.2 percent adjusted for currency) versus the same period in 2005. Revenue declined in Germany 5.9 percent, (5.5 percent adjusted for currency), Italy 8.1 percent, (8.4 percent adjusted for currency) and the UK 5.7 percent, (4.4 percent adjusted for currency), while revenue grew in France 6.4 percent, (6.0 percent adjusted for currency) and Spain 3.3 percent (3.3 percent adjusted for currency) versus the second quarter of 2005.

Asia Pacific revenue decreased 9.3 percent (6.1 percent adjusted for currency) versus the second quarter of 2005. Representing over half of the Asia Pacific revenue base, Japan revenue declined 13.0 percent (7.5 percent adjusted for currency). China was also down this quarter 13.1 percent, (14.6 percent adjusted for currency) when compared to a strong second quarter of 2005. Partially offsetting these declines were revenue increases in India 24.3 percent, (29.7 percent adjusted for currency) and Korea 16.5 percent, (9.8 percent adjusted for currency).

Revenue in the key emerging countries of China, India, Brazil and Russia together grew 2.7 percent (decreased 2.0 percent adjusted for currency) versus the same period of 2005. India had the strongest growth at 24.3 percent (29.7 percent adjusted for currency), Brazil grew 14.1 percent (0.4 percent adjusted for currency) and Russia increased 11.6 percent (11.6 percent adjusted for currency). China revenue declined 13.1 percent (14.6 percent adjusted for currency) off a difficult compare versus 2005 that included large System z banking transactions.

OEM revenue increased 33.7 percent (33.7 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter of 2006 versus	s the
same period of 2005, driven by strong game chip performance in the company s Microelectronics business.	

Americas first half revenue decreased 1.0 percent (2.4 percent adjusted for currency) versus the first six month period of 2005. Growth of 1.3 percent (down 6.6 percent adjusted for currency) in Canada and 12.5 percent (down 4.3 percent adjusted for currency) in Brazil was offset by the U.S., where revenue decreased 1.4 percent.

EMEA revenue declined 8.6 percent (4.8 percent adjusted for currency) in the first six months of 2006 versus the same period in 2005. Revenue declined in all major countries as Germany declined 11.6 percent (7.7 percent adjusted for currency), Italy 9.3 percent (5.4 percent adjusted for currency), the UK 9.8 percent (5.6 percent adjusted for currency), Spain 3.9 percent (up 0.2 percent adjusted for currency) and France 2.1 percent (up 1.7 percent adjusted for currency) versus the six month period of 2005.

Asia Pacific revenue decreased 15.7 percent (11.1 percent adjusted for currency) in the first six months of 2006 versus the same period in 2005. Japan revenue declined 18.1 percent (10.6 percent adjusted for currency) and China was also down 20.5 percent (21.8 percent adjusted for currency). Partially offsetting these declines were revenue increases in India 14.0 percent (17.4 percent adjusted for currency) and Korea 9.6 percent (4.1 percent adjusted for currency).

Revenue in the key emerging countries of China, India, Brazil and Russia together declined 2.7 percent (8.4 percent adjusted for currency) versus the same period of 2005. Growth in India 14.0 percent (17.4 percent adjusted for currency), Brazil 12.5 percent (down 4.3 percent adjusted for currency) and Russia 12.9 percent (12.9 percent adjusted for currency) was offset by lower revenue in China 20.5 percent (21.8 percent adjusted for currency).

OEM revenue increased 30.1 percent (30.1 percent adjusted for currency) in the first six months of 2006 versus the same period in 2005, driven by strong game chip performance in the company s Microelectronics business.

# Revenue Excluding Personal Computing Business

(Dollars in millions)	2006	2005*		Yr. to Yr. Percent Change	Yr. to Yr. Percent Change Adjusted for Currency
For the three months ended June 30:	2000	2002		Change	currency
Statement of Earnings Revenue					
Presentation:					
Global Services	\$ 11,894	\$	12,001	(0.9)%	(0.5)%
Hardware	5,148		5,005	2.9	2.4
Software	4,241		4,056	4.5	4.9
Global Financing	580		622	(6.8)	(7.0)
Other	26		29	(11.0)	(9.8)
Total	\$ 21,890	\$	21,713	0.8%	1.0%

(Dollars in millions)	2006	2005*	Yr. to Yr. Percent Change	Yr.toYr. Percent Change Adjusted for Currency
For the six months ended June 30:				
Statement of Earnings Revenue				
Presentation:				
Global Services	\$ 23,461	\$ 23,710	(1.0)%	1.2%
Hardware	9,722	9,440	3.0	3.9
Software	8,147	7,871	3.5	5.4
Global Financing	1,164	1,202	(3.2)	(1.9)
Other	54	80	(31.8)	(31.4)
Total	\$ 42,549	\$ 42,302	0.6%	2.4%

<sup>\*</sup> Reclassified to conform with 2006 presentation.

## Revenue Excluding Personal Computing Business

	2007	2007*		Yr. to Yr. Percent	Yr. to Yr. Percent Change Adjusted for
(Dollars in millions)	2006	2005*		Change	Currency
For the three months ended June 30:					
Industry Sector**:					
Financial Services	\$ 5,928	\$	5,825	1.8%	2.1%
Public	3,195		3,405	(6.2)	(6.4)
Industrial	2,855		2,882	(0.9)	(0.5)
Distribution	2,243		2,211	1.4	1.3
Communications	2,029		2,093	(3.1)	(3.0)
Small & Medium	4,086		3,944	3.6	3.9
OEM	939		702	33.7	33.7
Other	615		651	(5.6)	(5.3)
Total	\$ 21,890	\$	21,713	0.8%	1.0%

(Dollars in millions)	2006	2005*	Yr. To Yr. Percent Change	Yr. to Yr. Percent Change Adjusted for Currency
For the six months ended June 30:			Ü	·
Industry Sector**:				
Financial Services	\$ 11,476	\$ 11,353	1.1%	3.5%
Public	6,241	6,441	(3.1)	(1.9)
Industrial	5,531	5,690	(2.8)	(0.6)
Distribution	4,274	4,325	(1.2)	0.3
Communications	4,044	4,083	(0.9)	0.5
Small & Medium	7,880	7,670	2.7	4.9
OEM	1,812	1,393	30.1	30.1
Other	1,291	1,347	(4.1)	(2.8)
Total	\$ 42,549	\$ 42,302	0.6%	2.4%

Reclassified to conform with current presentation.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The majority of the company s enterprise business, which excludes the company s original equipment manufacturer (OEM) technology business, occurs in industries that are broadly grouped into six sectors around which the company s sales and distribution activities, as well as an increasing number of its services and products businesses are organized. The majority of the businesses in the Small & Medium category have fewer than 1,000 employees.

#### Revenue Excluding Personal Computing Business

(Dollars in millions)	2006	2005		Yr. to Yr. Percent Change	Yr. To Yr. Percent Change Adjusted for Currency
For the three months ended June 30:				S	,
Geographies:					
Americas	\$ 9,513	\$	9,228	3.1%	1.6%
Europe/Middle East/Africa	7,234		7,308	(1.0)	(0.7)
Asia Pacific	4,203		4,475	(6.1)	(2.7)
OEM	939		702	33.7	33.7
Total	\$ 21,890	\$	21,713	0.8%	1.0%

(Dollars in millions)	2006	2005	Yr.to Yr. Percent Change	Yr. To Yr. Percent Change Adjusted for Currency
· /	2000	2003	Change	Currency
For the six months ended June 30:				
Geographies:				
Americas	\$ 18,542	\$ 17,639	5.1%	3.7%
Europe/Middle East/Africa	13,916	14,353	(3.0)	1.0
Asia Pacific	8,280	8,917	(7.1)	(2.1)
OEM	1,812	1,393	30.1	30.1
Total	\$ 42,549	\$ 42,302	0.6%	2.4%

The discussion below on sector revenue refers to the previous tables excluding the Personal Computing business external revenue, in order to show the company s results on a comparable basis year-to-year, and best present the company s ongoing operational performance.

For the second quarter of 2006, revenue by Industry Sector experienced mixed performance when compared to the same three month period in 2005. Revenue from the Financial Services Sector increased driven by Banking (6.7 percent) and was partially offset by revenue declines in Insurance (5.3 percent) and Financial Markets (4.5 percent). The decrease in Public Sector revenue was driven by declines in Government (6.1 percent) and Education (20.1 percent). The Industrial Sector revenue decline was driven by Automotive (4.3 percent) and Industrial Products (11.0 percent), partially offset by increased revenue in Chemical and Petroleum (12.6 percent). Distribution Sector revenue increased driven by Consumer Products (15.4 percent) partially offset by declines in Travel and Transportation (5.8 percent). The Communications Sector revenue decline was driven by Telecommunications (5.6 percent). Small and Medium Business revenue increased in all geographic markets, except Asia Pacific.

For the first six months of 2006, revenue increases in Small and Medium Business and the Financial Services Sector were partially offset by declines in the other Industry Sectors when compared to the same period in 2005. The Financial Services Sector revenue increase was driven by Banking (6.1 percent) and was partially offset by declines in Financial Markets (10.3 percent) and Insurance (2.0 percent). The decrease in Public Sector revenue was driven by declines in Government (4.3 percent) and Education (14.8 percent), partially offset by increased revenue in Health (7.2 percent). The Industrial Sector revenue decline was driven by Automotive (7.7 percent) and Industrial Products (9.5 percent), partially offset by increases in Chemical and Petroleum (9.2 percent). Distribution Sector revenue decreased driven by Travel and Transportation (6.9 percent) and Retail (3.1 percent), partially offset by increased revenue in Consumer Products (11.3 percent). The Communications Sector revenue decline was driven by Media and Entertainment (4.8 percent) and Telecommunications (1.6 percent). Revenue increases in Small and Medium Business were driven by performance in the Americas market with declines in all other geographies.

The discussion below on geographic revenue refers to the previous tables, excluding the Personal Computing business external revenue, in order to show the company s results on a comparable basis year-to-year, and best present the company s ongoing operational performance.

Americas revenue grew 3.1 percent (1.6 percent adjusted for currency) versus the second quarter of 2005, led by software and partially offset by declines in mid-range servers and storage. Growth was led by the U.S. which increased 1.4 percent, Canada was up 11.5 percent (0.5 percent adjusted for currency) and Brazil increased 18.8 percent (4.5 percent adjusted for currency).

EMEA revenue declined in the second quarter 1.0 percent (0.7 percent adjusted for currency) versus the second quarter in 2005 with mixed results by country. France and Spain again showed solid growth, 8.3 percent (7.9 percent adjusted for currency) and 4.5 percent (4.6 percent adjusted for currency), respectively, versus the prior year period. Revenue declined in Germany 3.7 percent (3.3 percent adjusted for currency), Italy 6.2 percent (6.4 percent adjusted for currency) and the UK 3.7 percent (2.4 percent adjusted for currency) versus the second quarter of 2005.

Asia Pacific revenue decreased 6.1 percent (2.7 percent adjusted for currency) versus the second quarter of 2005. Representing over half of the Asia Pacific revenue base, Japan revenue declined 11.5 percent (6.0 percent adjusted for currency) as the company continues to work through operating issues in this region. China was also down this quarter 1.1 percent (2.9 percent adjusted for currency) when compared to a strong second quarter of 2005 that included large System z banking transactions. Partially offsetting these declines were revenue increases in India 39.0 percent (45.1 percent adjusted for currency) and Korea 17.6 percent (10.8 percent adjusted for currency).

Revenue in the key emerging countries of China, India, Brazil and Russia together grew 12.6 percent (7.4 percent adjusted for currency) versus the same period of 2005, as the company continues to expand its capabilities in these countries. India again had the strongest growth 39.0 percent (45.1 percent adjusted for currency), Brazil grew 18.8 percent (4.5 percent adjusted for currency) and Russia increased 19.2 percent (19.2 adjusted for currency). China revenue declined 1.1 percent (2.9 percent adjusted for currency) off a difficult compare versus 2005.

OEM revenue increased 33.7 percent (33.7 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter of 2006 versus the same period of 2005, driven by strong game chip performance in the company s Microelectronics business.

Americas revenue grew 5.1 percent (3.7 percent adjusted for currency) versus the first six months of 2005 with growth in all regions. Growth was led by the U.S. which increased 3.9 percent, Canada up 9.9 percent (1.3 percent adjusted for currency) and Brazil increased 21.0 percent (2.9 percent adjusted for currency).

EMEA revenue declined 3.0 percent (up 1.0 percent adjusted for currency) in the first six months of 2006 versus 2005 with mixed results by country. France and Spain again showed growth, 2.7 percent (6.8 percent adjusted for currency) and 0.1 percent (4.4 percent adjusted for currency), respectively, versus the prior year period. Revenue declined in Germany 6.9 percent (2.8 percent adjusted for currency), Italy 5.8 percent (1.7 percent adjusted for currency) and the UK 5.3 percent (0.9 percent adjusted for currency) versus the first six months of 2005.

Asia Pacific revenue decreased 7.1 percent (2.1 percent adjusted for currency) versus the first half of 2005. Japan revenue declined 13.5 percent (5.7 percent adjusted for currency). Partially offsetting these declines were revenue increases in China 6.8 percent (5.0 percent adjusted for currency), India 48.0 percent (52.4 percent adjusted for currency) and Korea 12.9 (7.2 percent adjusted for currency).

Revenue in the key emerging countries of China, India, Brazil and Russia together grew 19.1 percent (12.1 percent adjusted for currency) versus the same period of 2005, as the company continues to expand its capabilities in these countries. India again had the strongest growth 48.0 percent (52.4 percent adjusted for currency), Brazil grew 21.0 percent (2.9 percent adjusted for currency), Russia increased 31.4 percent (31.4 percent adjusted for currency) and China grew 6.8 percent (5.0 percent adjusted for currency).

OEM revenue increased 30.1 percent (30.1 percent adjusted for currency) in the first six months of 2006 versus the same period of 2005, driven by strong game chip performance in the company s Microelectronics business.

Global Services revenue decreased 0.9 percent and 1.0 percent (0.5 percent and up 1.2 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006, respectively. These decreases were driven primarily by the company s short-term businesses, while Business Transformation Outsourcing increased year-over-year.

Hardware revenue increased 2.9 percent and 3.0 percent (2.4 percent and 3.9 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2005. Hardware revenue was mixed by brand, with good performance in System z and Microelectronics, offset by declines in mid-range servers.

Software revenue increased 4.5 percent and 3.5 percent (4.9 percent and 5.4 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006, respectively, versus the same periods last year. The revenue increase was driven by growth of 9.0 percent and 9.7 percent,

respectively, in the company s key middleware brands, partially offset by decreases in Operating Systems products of 5.8 percent and 8.9 percent, respectively.

Global Financing revenue declined 6.8 percent and 3.2 percent (7.0 percent and 1.9 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter and first six months of 2005, respectively, versus the same periods in 2005. The declines were primarily driven by the decrease in remarketing equipment sales. See pages 61 to 68 for additional information regarding Global Financing results.

The following table presents each segment s revenue as a percentage of the company s total:

	Three Months En June 30,	ded	Six Months E June 30,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Global Services	54.3%	53.9%	55.1%	52.5%
Hardware	23.5	25.0	22.8	27.3
Software	19.4	18.2	19.1	17.4
Global Financing	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.7
Other	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

## Gross Profit

	2006	2005	Yr. To Yr. Change
For the three months ended June 30:			
Gross Profit Margin:			
Global Services	27.7%	26.1%	1.6pts.
Hardware	35.9	33.9	2.0
Software	84.2	84.4	(0.1)
Global Financing	51.1	52.6	(1.5)
Other	19.8	25.4	(5.6)
Total	41.2%	39.4%	1.8pts.

	2006	2005	Yr. To Yr. Change
For the six months ended June 30:			
Gross Profit Margin:			
Global Services	27.2%	25.2%	1.9pts.
Hardware	33.7	30.4	3.3
Software	84.2	84.1	0.1
Global Financing	52.0	53.4	(1.4)
Other	(15.4)	63.8	(79.2)
Total	40.2%	37.7%	2.5pts.

The increase in the Global Services gross profit margin was due primarily to the benefits from the company s productivity initiatives. The increase in Hardware gross profit margin was due to the sale of the Personal Computing business (which had a

lower gross profit

margin than the other hardware products) in the second quarter of 2005. The Hardware margin declined without the benefits received from the sale of the Personal Computing business. (See page 50 for additional information). The decrease in Global Financing gross profit margin was driven by declining financing margins primarily due to the changing short-term interest rate environment.

#### **Expense**

The Total expense and other income expense-to-revenue ratio increased 0.9 points and 0.5 points to 28.0 percent and 27.7 percent in the second quarter and first half of 2006, respectively, versus the same periods of 2005. The increase in the expense-to-revenue ratio in the second quarter was due to the 1.7 percent decrease in revenue and 1.4 percent increase in expense. The increase in expense-to-revenue ratio in the first six months was driven by the 5.8 percent decrease in revenue, partially offset by a 4.2 percent decrease in expense. For additional information regarding Total expense and other income, see the following analyses by category.

Selling, general and administrative expense

			Yr. To Yr. Percent
(Dollars in millions)	2006	2005	Change
For the three months ended June 30:			
Selling, general and administrative expense:			
Selling, general and administrative - base	\$ 4,225 \$	4,266	(1.0)%
Advertising and promotional expense	300	303	(0.8)
Workforce reductions - ongoing	107	85	25.9
Restructuring	(14)	1,504	nm
Bad debt expense	13	5	160.4
Retirement-related expense	149	181	(17.9)
Stock-based compensation expense	136	153	(11.1)
Total	\$ 4,916 \$	6,497	(24.3)%

nm - not meaningful

(Dollars in millions)	2006	2005	Yr. To Yr. Percent Change
For the six months ended June 30:			
Selling, general and administrative expense:			
Selling, general and administrative - base	\$ 8,239 \$	8,494	(3.0)%
Advertising and promotional expense	581	628	(7.4)
Workforce reductions - ongoing	148	195	(24.1)
Restructuring	(19)	1,504	nm
Bad debt expense	10	(29)	nm
Retirement-related expense	300	320	(6.3)
Stock-based compensation expense	258	318	(18.7)
Total	\$ 9,518 \$	11,430	(16.7)%

nm - not meaningful

Total Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expense decreased 24.3 percent (24 percent adjusted for currency) and 16.7 percent (16 percent adjusted for currency), respectively, in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the same periods in 2005. The decrease in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 was primarily due to the restructuring charges recorded in the second quarter of 2005 and lower operational expense as a result of the restructuring actions executed and the divestiture of the Personal Computing business. (See Note 5 on pages 9 to 11 for additional information). In addition, stock-based compensation expense and retirement-related expense declined in 2006 versus the comparable periods of 2005. (See Note 3 on pages 6 to 8 for additional information regarding stock-based compensation expense and Note 6 on pages 11 to 14 for additional information regarding retirement-related expense).

Other (income) and expense

(Dollars in millions)	2006	2005*	Yr. to Yr. Percent Change
For the three months ended June 30:	2000	2005	Change
Other (income) and expense:			
Foreign currency transaction (gains)/losses	\$ (59) \$	54	nm%
Losses on derivative instruments	63	49	29.2
Interest income	(146)	(72)	103.5
Net gains from securities and investment assets	(10)	(50)	(79.5)
Net realized losses from certain real estate activities	6		nm
Restructuring		236	nm
Other	(50)	(1,928)	(97.4)
Total	\$ (196) \$	(1,711)	(88.6)%

<sup>\*</sup> Reclassified to conform with 2006 presentation.

nm - not meaningful

			Yr. to Yr. Percent
(Dollars in millions)	2006	2005*	Change
For the six months ended June 30:			
Other (income) and expense:			
Foreign currency transaction (gains)/losses	\$ (79) \$	117	nm%
Losses on derivative instruments	32	80	(60.8)
Interest income	(297)	(129)	130.3
Net gains from securities and investment assets	(12)	(53)	(78.0)
Net realized losses from certain real estate activities	6	1	nm
Restructuring	(4)	236	nm
Other	(88)	(1,941)	(95.5)
Total	\$ (442) \$	(1,689)	(73.8)%

<sup>\*</sup> Reclassified to conform with 2006 presentation.

nm - not meaningful

Other (income) and expense was income of \$196 million in the second quarter 2006 versus income of \$1,711 million in the second quarter of 2005. The decrease year-to-year was primarily driven by the gain associated with the sale of the Personal Computing business to Lenovo on April 30, 2005. The pretax gain recorded in the second-quarter 2005 associated with this transaction was \$1,097 million. (See Note 9 on page 17 for additional information). In addition, on June 30, 2005, the company settled certain antitrust issues with the Microsoft Corporation and the gain from this settlement was \$775 million. The company also recorded real estate-related restructuring charges of \$236 million in the second quarter of 2005 (see Note 5 on pages 9 to 11 for additional information). Interest income increased \$74 million in the second quarter of 2006 versus the same period in 2005 reflecting the company strong cash balance and increasing interest rates. Impacts related to foreign currency- transaction gains and losses on derivative instruments- improved \$99 million versus the second quarter of 2005.

The decline in Other (income) and expense for the first six months of 2006 versus the first six months of 2005 was primarily driven by the same factors affecting the second quarter of 2006.

Research, Development and Engineering

(Dollars in millions)  For the three months ended June 30:	2006		2005	Yr. to Yr. Percent Change
Research, development and engineering	\$	1,522	\$ 1,477	3.1%
(Dollars in millions)  For the six months ended June 30:	2006		2005	Yr. to Yr. Percent Change
Research, development and engineering	\$	2,977	\$ 2,936	1.4%

The increase for the second quarter and first six months of 2006 was primarily due to increased spending in the Systems and Technology Group (approximately \$47 million and \$40 million), as well as increased spending in Software (approximately \$41 million and \$40 million), partially offset by lower spending in the Personal Computing Division (approximately \$18 million and \$52 million) as a result of the divestiture of that unit. In addition, retirement-related expense increased \$9 million and \$16 million in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the same periods of 2005, respectively, and stock-based compensation expense declined \$5 million and \$14 million in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the comparable periods in 2005.

Intellectual Property and Custom Development Income

(Dollars in millions)				Yr. to Yr. Percent
For the three months ended June 30:	2006	2005		Change
Intellectual Property and Custom Development Income:				
Sales and other transfers of intellectual property	\$ 27	\$	121	(77.5)%
Licensing/royalty-based fees	69		76	(7.5)
Custom development income	92		91	0.6
Total	\$ 188	\$	288	(34.5)%

(Dollars in millions)				Yr. to Yr. Percent
For the six months ended June 30:	2006	2005		Change
Intellectual Property and Custom Development Income:				
Sales and other transfers of intellectual property	\$ 83	\$	155	(46.3)%
Licensing/royalty-based fees	147		182	(18.7)
Custom development income	187		170	10.0
Total	\$ 418	\$	507	(17.6)%

The timing and amount of Sales and other transfers of IP may vary significantly from period to period depending upon the timing of divestitures, economic conditions, industry consolidation and the timing of new patents and know-how development. There were no significant IP transactions in the second quarter or first six months of 2006 and 2005.

Interest Expense

(Dollars in millions)  For the three months ended June 30:	200	6	2005		Yr. to Yr. Percent Change
Interest expense	\$	72	\$	67	6.4%
(Dollars in millions)					Yr. to Yr. Percent
For the six months ended June 30:	2000	ó	2005		Change
Interest expense	\$	138	\$	116	19.0%

The increase in Interest expense was primarily due to higher effective interest rates in the second quarter and first six months of 2006, compared with the same periods of 2005.

Interest expense is presented as part of Cost of Global Financing in the Consolidated Statement of Earnings only if the related external borrowings are to support the Global Financing external business. See page 66 and 67 for additional information regarding Global Financing debt and interest expense.

#### **Retirement-Related Benefits**

The following table provides the total pre-tax cost for all retirement-related plans. Cost amounts are included as an addition to the company s cost and expense amounts in the Consolidated Statement of Earnings within the caption (e.g., Cost, SG&A, RD&E) relating to the job function of the individuals participating in the plans.

(Dollars in millions)	2006	2005	Yr. to Yr. Percent Change
For the three months ended June 30:			
Retirement-related plans - cost:			
Defined benefit and contribution pension plans - cost	\$ 521	\$ 491	6.1%
Nonpension postretirement benefits-cost	93	91	2.2
Total	\$ 614	\$ 582	5.5%

(Dollars in millions)			Yr. to Yr. Percent
For the six months ended June 30:	2006	2005	Change
Retirement-related plans - cost:			
Defined benefit and contribution pension plans - cost	\$ 1,044	\$ 947	10.2%
Nonpension postretirement benefits-cost	193	184	4.9
Total	\$ 1,237	\$ 1,131	9.4%

Included in the amounts above, the company had costs of approximately \$337 million and \$322 million associated with its defined benefit pension plans for the second quarter of 2006 and 2005, respectively. The comparable amount for the first six months of 2006 and 2005 was costs of approximately \$674 million and \$589 million, respectively. These increases were primarily driven by the recognition of previously deferred pension costs in accordance with SFAS 87, Employers Accounting for Pensions . The second quarter 2006 year-to-year increase impacted gross profit by approximately \$69 million and RD&E expense by approximately \$9 million, while SG&A expense decreased by approximately \$12 million and RD&E expense by approximately \$14 million. The six months of 2006 year-to-year increase impacted gross profit by approximately \$124 million and RD&E expense by approximately \$16 million, while SG&A expense decreased by approximately \$20 million and Other (income) and expense declined by approximately \$14 million.

#### **Provision for Income Taxes**

The effective tax rates for the second quarter of 2006 and 2005 were 30.0 percent and 32.3 percent, respectively. The corresponding effective tax rates for the first six months of 2006 and 2005 were 30.0 percent and 31.3 percent, respectively. The decreases in the 2006 quarterly and six months tax rates were attributable to the absence of the tax effects of the restructuring actions, Personal Computing business divestiture gain and the Microsoft antitrust settlement recorded during the second quarter of 2005.

With limited exception, the company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state and local or non-U.S. income tax audits by taxing authorities for years before 1999. The years subsequent to 1998 contain matters that could be subject to differing interpretations of applicable tax laws and regulations as it relates to the amount and/or timing of income, deductions and tax credits. Although the outcome of tax audits is always uncertain, the company believes that adequate amounts of tax and interest have been provided for any adjustments that are expected to result for these years.

The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) commenced its audit of the company s U.S. tax returns for 2001 through 2003 in the first quarter of 2005. As of June 30, 2006, the IRS has not proposed any significant adjustments. The company anticipates that this audit will be completed by the end of 2006. While it is not possible to predict the impact of this audit on income tax expense, the company does not anticipate having to make a significant cash tax payment.

#### Weighted-Average Common Shares

For the three months ended June 30: Earnings per share from continuing operations:	2006	2005	Yr. To Yr. Percent Change
Assuming dilution	\$ 1.30	\$ 1.14	14.0%
Basic	\$ 1.31	\$ 1.15	13.9
Weighted-average shares outstanding: (in millions)			
Assuming dilution	1,560.1	1,627.9	(4.2)%
Basic	1,538.1	1,603.9	(4.1)

For the six months ended June 30: Earnings per share from continuing operations:	2006	2005	Yr. To Yr. Percent Change
Assuming dilution	\$ 2.37	\$ 1.98	19.7%
Basic	\$ 2.40	\$ 2.02	18.8
Weighted-average shares outstanding: (in millions)			
Assuming dilution	1,573.6	1,644.2	(4.3)%
Basic	1,551.3	1,616.3	(4.0)

The amount of shares actually outstanding at June 30, 2006 was 1,521.8 million.

The weighted average number of common shares outstanding assuming dilution during the second quarter and first six months of 2006 was 67.8 million and 70.6 million lower than the same periods in 2005 primarily as a result of the company s common share repurchase program.

#### **Segment Details**

The following is an analysis of the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus second quarter and first six months of 2005, respectively, reportable segment results.

#### **Global Services**

(Dollars in millions)				Yr. to Yr. Percent
For the three months ended June 30:	2006	200	5	Change
Global Services Revenue:	\$ 11,894	\$	12,001	(0.9)%
Global Technology Services	\$ 7,955	\$	7,872	1.1%
Strategic Outsourcing	4,240		4,105	3.3
Integrated Technology Services	1,796		1,899	(5.4)
Maintenance	1,471		1,478	(0.5)
Business Transformation Outsourcing	447		390	15.0
Global Business Services	\$ 3,939	\$	4,129	(4.6)%

(Dollars in millions)			Yr. to Yr. Percent
For the six months ended June 30:	2006	2005	Change
Global Services Revenue:	\$ 23,461	\$ 23,710	(1.0)%
Global Technology Services	\$ 15,674	\$ 15,694	(0.1)%
Strategic Outsourcing	8,338	8,344	(0.1)
Integrated Technology Services	3,485	3,700	(5.8)
Maintenance	2,915	2,927	(0.5)
Business Transformation Outsourcing	937	723	29.6
Global Business Services	\$ 7,787	\$ 8,016	(2.8)%

Global Services revenue decreased 0.9 percent (1 percent adjusted for currency) and 1.0 percent (up 1 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006, respectively, versus the same periods in 2005. The revenue decline was primarily experienced in the short-term businesses, while Business Transformation Outsourcing (BTO) increased year-to-year.

Global Technology Services (GTS) revenue increased 1.1 percent (1 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter and decreased 0.1 percent (up 2 percent adjusted for currency) in the first six months versus the same periods of 2005, respectively. In the second quarter, GTS longer-term signings were down 52 percent year-to-year and shorter-term signings were down 10 percent.

Strategic Outsourcing revenue was up 3.3 percent as reported in the second quarter (3 percent adjusted for currency) and decreased 0.1 percent (up 2 percent adjusted for currency) in the first six months versus the comparable prior year periods. The improved revenue growth rate for Strategic Outsourcing is attributable to growth in signings in 2005 and the first quarter of

2006. Strategic Outsourcing signings were down 46 percent this quarter, compared to a very strong second quarter of 2005.

Integrated Technology Services (ITS) revenue decreased 5.4 percent (5 percent adjusted for currency) and 5.8 percent (4 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the same periods of 2005. The company has taken steps to improve this short term business which is undergoing transition. This includes investing in increased business development and sales skills, and streamlining offerings to address higher growth and higher value areas. While it is taking longer to get traction in the business results, the company continues to believe it has implemented the right actions and expects improved results over the longer term. ITS signings were down 10 percent in the second quarter.

Business Transformation Outsourcing (BTO) revenue was up 15.0 percent as reported (16 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter and 29.6 percent as reported (32 percent adjusted for currency) in the first six months versus the same periods of 2005. BTO revenue continued to grow in all geographies. Growth this quarter was negatively impacted by a contract renegotiation which will continue to impact BTO revenue through the remainder of the year. BTO signings were down 73 percent year-to-year in the second quarter.

The GTS segment pre-tax profit margin for the second quarter was 9.4 percent, an increase of 9.6 points year-to-year. The majority (8.4 points) of this change was driven by incremental restructuring charges recorded in the second quarter of 2005, with the balance of this improvement coming from last year s productivity initiatives.

Global Business Services (GBS) revenue decreased 4.6 percent (4 percent adjusted for currency) and 2.8 percent (unchanged adjusted for currency) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006, respectively, versus the same periods in 2005. Revenue performance in the second quarter was mixed. Growth in the Americas, which represents roughly 40 percent of the portfolio, was more than offset by declines in Japan, Germany and Italy, which combined represent over a quarter of the business. GBS was also negatively impacted by a decline in the Federal Business as the company adjusts to changing dynamics in U.S. Government spending. For the segment, shorter-term signings were down 5 percent and longer-term signings were down 42 percent in the second quarter.

The company continues to drive actions to improve performance within Global Business Services. A Global Business Solution Center was recently established in India that will enable the company to provide clients with a range of high-value solutions through its global delivery centers. The company is creating and enhancing a portfolio of replicable industry solutions to leverage the strengths of GBS, and the company s Research and Software units. This is intended to enhance the company s competitive position across all offerings within both Consulting and Application Management Services.

The company also recently launched Global Delivery Direct, where clients will be able to contract directly with the Global Resource centers. A full range of services have been implemented which leverage these resources ranging from staff augmentation to full scale application outsourcing. This increases the breadth of the company s offerings to meet a broader range of client resource needs.

GBS also continues to invest in key industry skills and solution specialists in Japan and across Europe.

The GBS second-quarter 2006 pre-tax profit margin was 9.5 percent, an improvement of 11.0 points year-to-year, driven primarily as a result of the incremental restructuring charges recorded in 2005 (10.2 points of the improvement). In addition, the second quarter of 2006 benefited from the company s productivity initiatives, partially offset by revenue weakness in the shorter-term businesses.

(Dollars in millions)				Yr. To Yr. Percent/ Margin	
For the three months ended June 30:	2006	2005		Change	
Global Services:					
Gross profit	\$ 3,291	\$	3,133		5.0%
Gross profit margin	27.7%		26.1%		1.6pts.

(Dollars in millions)				Yr. To Yr. Percent/ Margin
For the six months ended June 30:	2	2006	2005	Change
Global Services:				
Gross profit	\$	6,367 \$	5,976	6.5%
Gross profit margin		27.1%	25.2%	1.9pts.

Overall, Global Services gross profit dollars continued to increase primarily due to the benefits from productivity initiatives and cost efficiencies. Gross profit margins improved in both the second quarter and first six months across all Global Services categories, except for BTO, which decreased year-to-year in the second quarter. In addition, GBS margins in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 have been negatively impacted by increased delivery costs in Japan on a number of contracts accounted for on a percentage-of-completion basis.

Global Services Signings

Total signings for Global Services were \$9.6 billion for the second quarter of 2006. Shorter-term signings were down 7 percent versus the second quarter of 2005, and longer-term signings were down 50 percent versus the second quarter of 2005. In the second quarter of 2006, the company signed 11 deals larger than \$100 million and the company s total Global Services backlog was estimated at \$109 billion versus the December 31, 2005 and March 31, 2006 estimated backlog levels of \$111 billion.

Global Technology Services Signings

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
(Dollars in millions)		2006		2005	2006			2005
Longer-term*	\$	3,497	\$	7,288 \$		8,913	\$	11,155
Shorter-term*		1,934		2,157		3,763		3,925

Total	\$ 5,431	\$ 9,446 \$	12,676	\$ 15,080

#### Global Business Services Signings

	Three Months Ended June 30,					-	nths Ended ne 30,		
(Dollars in millions)		2006		2005		2006		2005	
Longer-term*	\$	1,124	\$	1,939	\$	2,280	\$		3,527
Shorter-term*		3,035		3,210		5,987			6,014
Total	\$	4,159	\$	5,149	\$	8,268	\$		9,541

<sup>\*</sup> Longer-term contracts are generally 7 to 10 years in length and represent SO and longer-term BTO contracts as well as GBS contracts with the U.S. Federal government and its agencies and AMS for custom and legacy applications. Shorter-term are contracts generally 3 to 9 months in length and represent the remaining GBS portfolio of Consulting and Systems Integration, AMS for packaged applications and ITS contracts. These amounts have been adjusted to exclude the impact of year-to-year currency changes.

Global Services signings are management s initial estimate of the value of a client s commitment under a Global Services contract. Signings are used by management to assess period performance of Global Services management. There are no third-party standards or requirements governing the calculation of signings. The calculation used by management is an approximation of constant currency, and involves estimates and judgments to gauge the extent of a client s commitment, including the type and duration of the agreement, and the presence of termination charges or wind-down costs. For example, for longer-term contracts that require significant up-front investment by the company, the portions of these contracts that are counted as a signing are those periods in which there is a significant economic impact on the client if the commitment is not achieved, usually through a termination charge or the client incurring significant wind-down costs as a result of the termination. For shorter-term contracts that do not require significant up-front investments, a signing is usually equal to the full contract value.

Signings include SO, BTO, ITS and GBS contracts. Contract extensions and increases in scope are treated as signings only to the extent of the incremental new value. Maintenance is not included in signings as maintenance contracts tend to be more steady-state, where revenues equal renewals, and therefore, the company does not think they are as useful a predictor of future performance.

Backlog includes SO, BTO, ITS, GBS, and Maintenance. Backlog is intended to be a statement of overall work under contract and therefore does include Maintenance. Backlog estimates are subject to change and are affected by several factors, including terminations, changes in the scope of contracts, periodic revalidations, adjustments for revenue not materialized and currency assumptions used to approximate constant currency.

Contract portfolios purchased in an acquisition are treated as positive backlog adjustments provided those contracts meet the company s requirements for initial signings. A new signing will be recognized if a new services agreement is signed incidental or coincident to an acquisition.

#### **Hardware**

(Dollars in millions)			Yr. to Yr.
For the three months ended June 30:	2006	2005	Percent Change
Hardware Revenue:	\$ 5,014	\$ 5,4	112 (7.3)%
Systems and Technology Group	\$ 5,014	\$ 4,8	3.3%
System z			7.2
System i			(7.3)
System p			(10.4)
System x			(1.6)
System Storage			(2.1)
Microelectronics			45.4
Engineering and Technology Services			(8.1)
Retail Store Solutions			23.9
Printer Systems			(7.2)
Personal Computing Division		4	557 nm

nm - not meaningful

(Dollars in millions)			Yr. to Yr. Percent
For the six months ended June 30:	2006	2005	Change
Hardware Revenue:	\$9,433	\$12,020	(21.5)%
Systems and Technology Group	\$9,433	\$9,144	3.2%
System z			1.5
System i			(14.4)
System p			(9.6)
System x			3.0
System Storage			1.5
Microelectronics			40.9
Engineering and Technology Services			(11.6)
Retail Store Solutions			20.9
Printer Systems			(7.6)
Personal Computing Division		2,876	nm

nm - not meaningful

Systems and Technology Group revenue increased 3.3 percent (3 percent adjusted for currency) and 3.2 percent (4 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006, respectively, versus the same periods in 2005. In the second quarter of 2006, the company was unable to ship to all of the customer demand which impacted its server brands, most notably Systems i and x. The company s high level of unfilled orders was due to end-to-end supply chain complexities which were primarily driven by parts and product transitions to support the RoHS (Removal of Hazardous Materials) requirement in Europe. This limited the company s manufacturing and sourcing flexibility at the end of the second quarter.

System z revenue increased 7.2 percent and 1.5 percent (6 percent and 2 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the second quarter and first six months of 2005, respectively. MIPS (millions of instructions per second) shipments increased 7 percent in the second quarter versus the second quarter of 2005 and reflects continued customer acceptance of both specialty engines and traditional mainframe workloads. The announcement of the company s new mid-range z9 was also well received by the marketplace and accounted for approximately 15 percent of the MIPS shipped this quarter.

System i revenue decreased 7.3 percent and 14.4 percent (8 percent and 13 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the same periods in 2005, respectively. Although revenue declined in the second quarter of 2006, it improved from the first quarter of 2006, but not to the level the company expected. The company was unable to fulfill all the demand for System i as previously discussed. In addition, high-end volumes improved, as the transition to the company s new products announced in the first quarter of 2006 continued, with strong year-to-year growth in the company s 595 model.

System p revenue decreased 10.4 percent and 9.6 percent (11 percent and 9 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the second quarter and first six months of 2005. While volumes increased year to year, a mix shift toward lower end offerings ahead of the expected third quarter product refresh contributed to the revenue declines. The second quarter 2006 revenue decline also reflects a difficult compare to last year s growth of 36 percent. In the third quarter the company will complete the transition to Power 5+ and expand the implementation of Power Quadcore technology to all entry level System p products. These upcoming announcements are expected to sustain the price/performance and virtualization leadership position that has made the company the number one UNIX vendor worldwide.

System x revenue decreased 1.6 percent and increased 3.0 percent (decreased 2 percent and increased 4 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter of 2006 and first six months of 2006 versus the second quarter and first six months of 2005. As previously discussed, the company was not able to fulfill all of the demand for System x servers in the second quarter. Despite the shipment limitations System x servers had double digit volume growth year-to-year in the second quarter. Blades growth remained strong with both volumes and revenue growth of over 35 percent over last year s levels in the second quarter and first six months of 2006.

System Storage revenue decreased 2.1 percent and increased 1.5 percent (decreased 3 percent and increased 3 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the comparable periods in 2005. Total disk revenue declined 1 percent and increased 2 percent, respectively, for the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the same periods in 2005. Although revenue decreased in the second quarter of 2006, midrange disk revenue increased over 15 percent versus the second quarter of 2005 and the current generation high-end disk offering, the DS8000 family of products, increased revenue over 10 percent versus the second quarter of 2005. Tape products revenue decreased 4 percent and flat, respectively, for the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the same periods of 2005.

Microelectronics revenue increased 45.4 percent and 40.9 percent, respectively, for the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the comparable periods of 2005. The increase in revenue was driven by continued strong demand in the games processor business.

Retail Stores Solutions revenue increased primarily due to a number of large transactions that were recorded in the second quarter of 2006. Printer Systems revenue decreased due primarily to lower maintenance revenue as a result of a declining install base.

Personal Computing Division revenue decreased as a result of the company divesting its Personal Computing business to Lenovo on April 30, 2005. The second quarter and first six months of 2006 results do not have any reported revenue versus 2005, which includes one month of revenue in the second quarter and four months of revenue for the first six months. (See Note 9 on page 17 for additional information).

(Dollars in millions)			Percent/ Margin
For the three months ended June 30:	2006	2005	Change
Hardware:			
Gross profit	\$ 1,831	\$ 1,957	(6.4)%
Gross profit margin	36.5%	36.2%	0.4pts.
(Dollars in millions)  For the six months ended June 30:	2006	2005	Yr. to Yr. Percent/ Margin Change
Hardware:			

3.244

34.4%

\$

3.918

32.6%

The decreases in gross profit dollars for the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus 2005 were primarily due to the sale of the Personal Computing business on April 30, 2005. The increases in gross profit margin were also due to the sale of the Personal Computing business driving 5.2 points improvement in the second quarter of 2006 and 7.6 points improvement for the first six months of 2006. The Personal Computing business had a lower gross profit margin than the other hardware products.

\$

Gross profit

Gross profit margin

Systems and Technology Group gross profit margins declined for the second quarter and first six months of 2006 (36.5 percent and 34.4 percent) versus the same periods of 2005 (41.3 percent and 40.2 percent), respectively. The second quarter gross profit margin improved sequentially versus the first quarter, but declined year-to-year. Hardware revenue mix driven by strong growth in the Microelectronics business, product mix in the System z mainframes and pricing pressures in the mid-range and low-end servers drove the gross profit margin declines.

Differences between the hardware segment gross margin and profit amounts above and the amounts reported on page 37 (and derived from page one) primarily relate to the impact of

Yr. to Yr.

(17.2)%

1.8pts.

certain hedging transactions (see Anticipated Royalties and Cost Transactions on page 72 of the IBM 2005 Annual Report). The recorded amounts for such impact are considered unallocated corporate amounts for purposes of measuring segment management s gross margin performance and therefore are not included in the segment results above.

#### Software

(Dollars in millions)					Yr. to Yr. Percent
For the three months ended June 30:	2006		2005		Change
Software Revenue:	\$	4,241	\$ 4	4,056	4.5%
Middleware	\$	3,157	\$ 3	3,025	4.4
WebSphere Family					17.2
Information Management					6.1
Lotus					6.0
Tivoli					11.9
Rational					7.7
Key Branded Middleware					9.0
Other Middleware					(3.3)
Operating Systems		558		592	(5.8)
Product Lifecycle Management		320		235	36.1
Other		205		204	0.6

(Dollars in millions)				Yr. to Yr. Percent
For the six months ended June 30:	200	6	2005	Change
Software Revenue:	\$	8,147	\$ 7,871	3.5%
Middleware	\$	6,112	\$ 5,819	5.0
WebSphere Family				21.0
Information Management				6.3
Lotus				3.2
Tivoli				17.5
Rational				0.0
Key Branded Middleware				9.7
Other Middleware				(2.4)
Operating Systems		1,078	1,183	(8.9)
Product Lifecycle Management		560	499	12.3
Other		397	370	7.3

Software revenue increased 4.5 percent (5 percent adjusted for currency) and increased 3.5 percent (5 percent adjusted for currency) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006, respectively, versus the same periods of 2005. This growth was driven by the company s key branded middleware portfolio and strong performance in Product Lifecycle Management software. Operating Systems software and other middleware declined.

The company s Key Branded Middleware products continue to benefit from investments in development and sales and marketing, enabling the company to capture the emerging trends in the marketplace. The Software revenue growth reflects the increased demand for the company s products deploying SOA and the value of the company s systems management, security, and storage offerings. The company s strategic acquisitions have also added to the breadth of the software portfolio, while contributing profitable growth and positive cash performance. As a result, the company is well positioned in these fast growing technologies and the first six months results point to the progress the company has made.

Key Branded Middleware is made up of five key brands which provide an integrated suite for the company s customers.

The WebSphere family of software revenue increased (17.2 percent and 21.0 percent) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the second quarter and first six months of 2005, respectively. The company continues to be the market leader in SOA products. SOA-related products are experiencing strong demand as evidenced by double-digit growth in WebSphere business integration (25.4 percent and 24.5 percent) and application server (21.7 percent and 22.1 percent) software.

Information Management software revenue increased (6.1 percent and 6.3 percent) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the same periods in 2005, respectively. The Information on Demand product set continues to be well accepted in the marketplace and revenue nearly doubled to over \$100 million dollars in the second quarter.

Lotus software revenue increased (6.0 percent and 3.2 percent) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the second quarter and first six months of 2005, respectively, driven by the company s Domino products (6.9 percent and 1.7 percent).

Tivoli software revenue increased (11.9 percent and 17.5 percent) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the same periods in 2005, respectively. The combination of internal development investments and strategic acquisitions has resulted in improved performance, particularly in systems management software which increased 12.3 percent in the second quarter and 16.5 percent in the first six months of 2006 versus the second quarter and first six months of 2005, respectively. The Micromuse acquisition, completed in February 2006, is a good example of the company s ability to quickly integrate and globally leverage acquired capabilities into the business.

Rational software revenue increased 7.7 percent in the second quarter of 2006 when compared to the second quarter of 2005 and was flat for the first six months of 2006 versus the same period in 2005. In the second quarter, all geographies delivered growth as customers continue to demand software development tools based on open standards.

Revenue from Other Middleware products decreased (3.3 percent and 2.4 percent) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 when compared to the same periods in 2005, respectively. Other Middleware includes more mature products which provide a relatively stable flow of revenue and profit.

Operating Systems software revenue declined (5.8 percent and 8.9 percent) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the same periods in 2005. Operating Systems are closely tied to the company server products and provide a sound, cost-effective platform for middleware and business solutions.

Product Lifecycle Management (PLM) software revenue increased (36.1 percent and 12.3 percent) in the second quarter and first six months of 2006 versus the second quarter and first six months of 2005. PLM software helps companies improve their product development processes and their ability to use product-related information across their business. In the second quarter, the company closed a number of large deals which drove double-digit revenue growth in all geographies.

				Yr. to Yr. Percent/
(Dollars in millions)				Margin
For the three months ended June 30:	20	06	2005	Change
Software:				
Gross Profit	\$	3,573 \$	3,422	4.4%
Gross Profit Margin		84.2%	84.4%	(0.1) pts.

					Yr. to Yr. Percent/
(Dollars in millions)				_	Margin
For the six months ended June 30:	200	)6	200:	5	Change
Software:					
Gross Profit	\$	6,862	\$	6,618	3.7%
Gross Profit Margin		84.2%		84.1%	0.1pts.

Software gross profit dollars increased for the second quarter and first six months ended June 30, 2006 versus the same periods of 2005 driven primarily by revenue growth. Gross profit margins in both the second quarter and first six months were relatively flat when compared to the same periods in 2005.

Overall, Software revenue growth drove increased profit performance, as the Software segment pre-tax margins have improved versus the comparable periods of 2005, and the second- quarter 2006 pre-tax margin of 24.2 percent increased 1.1 points sequentially versus the first quarter of 2006.

#### **Global Financing**

See pages 61 and 62 for a discussion of Global Financing s revenue and gross profit.

#### **Results of Discontinued Operations**

There was no loss from Discontinued Operations in the second quarter and first six months of 2006, respectively, versus \$22 million and \$25 million in the second quarter and first six months of 2005. The 2005 charges were for additional costs associated with parts warranty as agreed upon by the company and Hitachi Ltd., under the terms of the agreement for the sale of the hard disk drive business to Hitachi Ltd. on December 31, 2002.

Financial Position

#### **Dynamics**

The assets and debt associated with the company s Global Financing business are a significant part of BM s financial position. Accordingly, although the financial position amounts appearing on pages 3 and 4 are the company s consolidated amounts including Global Financing, to the extent the Global Financing business is a major driver of the Consolidated Financial Position, reference in the narrative section will be made to a separate Global Financing section in this Management Discussion on pages 61 to 68. The amounts appearing in the separate Global Financing section are supplementary data presented to facilitate an understanding of the company s Global Financing business.

#### **Working Capital**

	At	June 30,	At December 31,	
(Dollars in millions)		2006	2005	
Current assets	\$	39,591	\$	45,661
Current liabilities		34,118		35,152
Working capital	\$	5,473	\$	10,509
Current ratio		1.16:1		1.30:1

Current assets decreased \$6,070 million due to declines of: \$3,696 million in Cash and cash equivalents and Marketable securities due to pension funding, share repurchase, dividend payments, tax payments, and capital investments (see Cash Flow analysis on page 55), and \$2,092 million (\$2,946 million excluding the positive currency translation effect of \$853 million) in short-term receivables mainly due to collection of seasonally high year-end balances.

Current liabilities decreased \$1,034 million due to declines of: \$831 million in Accounts payable and accruals; and \$895 million in Taxes payable both resulting from declines in these balances from typically higher year-end levels. These declines were partially offset by an increase of \$691 million in short-term debt primarily due to a \$1,500 million reclass from long-term debt, and \$300 million in new commercial paper issuances, partially offset by approximately \$800 million in payments for medium-term debt maturities.

#### Cash Flow

The company s cash flow from operating, investing and financing activities, as reflected in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows on page 5, is summarized in the table below. These amounts include the cash flows associated with the company s Global Financing business. See pages 61 to 68.

#### Cash Flow

		Six Mont June			
(Dollars in millions)	2006	<i>y</i>	, ,	2005	
Net cash provided by/(used in) continuing					
operations:					
Operating activities	\$	5,677	\$		5,213
Investing activities		(4,971)			(2,018)
Financing activities		(6,246)			(3,931)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and					
cash equivalents		79			(662)
Net cash used in discontinued operations		(7)			(7)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	\$	(5,468)	\$		(1,405)

The increase in net cash provided by operating activities for the first six months of 2006 as compared to the first six months of 2005 was primarily driven by lower pension funding of approximately \$526 million (\$1,550 million funding of non-U.S. plans in 2006 versus \$2,076 million funding in 2005 [U.S. PPP, \$1,700 million and non-U.S. plans \$376 million] ) growth in net income and continued focus on working capital and supply chain management, offset by increased tax payments of \$391 million.

The increase in net cash flows used in investing activities was attributable to net purchases of marketable securities and other investments in 2006 of approximately \$2.1 billion, compared to net proceeds of \$0.6 billion in 2005, primarily due to increased investment in short-term marketable securities, and the \$0.5 billion of net cash received in 2005 as a result of the divestiture of the Personal Computing business.

The increase in net cash flows used in financing activities in the first six months of 2006 compared with the same period of 2005 was primarily driven by lower proceeds from new debt of approximately \$3.8 billion which was attributable to a decrease in new debt issuances, partially offset by a decrease of \$0.7 billion in payments to settle debt and short-term borrowings in 2006 of \$0.1 billion versus net repayments in 2005 of \$0.7 billion.

#### Non-Current Assets and Liabilities

(Dollars in millions)	At June 30, 2006		At December 31, 2005	
Non-current assets	\$	63,786	\$	60,087
Long-term debt		13,872		15,425
Non-current liabilities (excluding debt)		21,838		22,073

The increase in Non-current assets was primarily due to an increase of \$2,668 million (\$586 million due to the effects of currency) in Prepaid pension assets, and a \$883 million (\$210 million due to effects of currency) increase in goodwill which was primarily driven by the Micromuse acquisition in the first quarter of 2006.

Long-term debt decreased \$1,553 million primarily due to a transfer to short-term debt as these items approach maturity. The company continually monitors interest rates and manages its short-term and long-term debt portfolios accordingly.

Non-current liabilities (excluding debt) decreased \$235 million primarily due to a decrease of \$730 million in Retirement and nonpension postretirement obligations driven by the funding of the U.K. pension fund, offset by a \$495 million increase in Other liabilities primarily driven by the effects of currency.

#### Debt

The company s funding requirements are continually monitored and strategies are executed to manage the company s overall asset and liability profile. Additionally, the company maintains sufficient flexibility to access global funding sources as needed.

	At June 30,	At December 31,	
(Dollars in millions)	2006	2005	
Total company debt	\$ 21,779	\$	22,641
Non-global financing debt*	\$ 468	\$	2,142
Non-global financing debt/capitalization	1.5%		6.7%

<sup>\*</sup> Non-global financing debt is the company s total external debt less the Global Financing debt described in the Global Financing balance sheet on page 62.

Non-global financing debt decreased \$1,674 million and the debt-to-capitalization ratio of 1.5 percent was within the company s target range. Non-global financing debt decreased as the company paid down debt, including debt raised in 2005 to facilitate the company s repatriation actions under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004.

#### **Equity**

Stockholders equity increased \$451 million from December 31, 2005, primarily due to an increase in the company s retained earnings driven by net income and a reduction of approximately \$1.4 billion in the minimum pension liability due to amendments made in certain non-U.S. plans that resulted in remeasurements; partially offset by the company s ongoing stock repurchase program (\$5,060 million) and higher dividend payments of \$161 million in the first half of 2006 versus the first half of 2005.

#### **Looking Forward**

The following key drivers impacting IBM s business are described in more detail in the 2005 IBM Annual Report on page 21.

Economic environment and corporate spending budgets

Internal business transformation and global integration initiatives

Innovation initiatives

Open standards

Investing in growth opportunities

The company s balanced portfolio of businesses - hardware, software and services - is designed to deliver the company s longer-term financial objective of double-digit earnings per share growth. This portfolio generates a profit profile that is also relatively balanced between the three major businesses. The business mix will vary by quarter, based on skew and other factors. The strength of the company s business model is not in any single component - it is in the company s ability to integrate across its portfolio to create solutions for its clients. In addition, a key element of the company s business model is its ability to deliver its objective of earnings per share growth through a combination of revenue growth, productivity and the effective use of cash.

In the second quarter, the company s Strategic Outsourcing business increased its revenue growth rate sequentially driven by improved signings the prior five quarters and growth within its existing client accounts. Demand for the company s Business Transformation Outsourcing offerings remained strong. The transition in the Integrated Technology Services business is taking longer than anticipated - the company will continue to focus on these actions to improve the business. Within Global Business Services, the company has implemented several actions to improve the business including: establishing a Global Business Solutions Center in India; launching the new Global Delivery Direct offering; investing in key industry skills and solution specialists in Japan and Europe; and, continuing to focus on a disciplined approach to bidding on new contracts ensuring that the deal fundamentals and value proposition make sense for the client and the company.

Within its Systems and Technology business, the company expects improved performance from its server brands as product transitions are completed in System z and System i and new Power5+ products are announced in System p expanding the company s Power Quadcore technology to all entry level System p products.

Within Software, the company expects its Key Branded Middleware products to continue to benefit from its internal development investments, targeted acquisition strategy and continued market opportunity for the company s product offerings, including its focus on key emerging trends such as Services Oriented Architectures.

The amount of IP and custom development income has been declining in recent years and decreased in the first half of 2006. The overall declining trend may continue as the company does not expect IP and custom development income to be a contributor to growth in earnings.

Total Retirement-related benefits expense increased in the first half of 2006, as compared with the first half of 2005, by \$106 million as discussed on page 42. For the full year, the company estimates pre-tax retirement-related plan expense to be in the range of flat to a decrease of \$100 million when compared to 2005. This is less then the previously estimated range of a \$100 - \$200 million increase discussed on page 37 of the company s 2005 Annual Report. The change in projected expense is due to reductions in the company s non-U.S. pension plans. Excluding effects of one-time charges incurred in 2005 for pension curtailment (\$267 million) and charges related to the second quarter 2005 restructuring actions (\$65 million), the expected year-to-year impact on retirement-related plan expense is an increase of approximately \$200 - \$300 million.

In the normal course of business, the company expects that its effective tax rate will approximate 30 percent. The rate will change year-to-year based on nonrecurring events (such as the third-quarter 2005 tax charge associated with the repatriation of \$9.5 billion under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004) as well as recurring factors including the geographic mix of income before taxes, the timing and amount of foreign dividends, state and local taxes, and the interaction of various global tax strategies.

In 2005, the company s cash tax rate declined slightly to 16 percent from 17 percent in 2004. The company s cash tax rate represents the amount of income taxes paid during the year over Income from continuing operations before income taxes. The company anticipates that its cash tax rate will approximate the upper end of this range in the near term. However, once the company fully utilizes its alternative minimum tax credits or loss carryforwards, the possibility exists that the cash tax rate could increase.

#### **Currency Rate Fluctuations**

Changes in the relative values of non-U.S. currencies to the U.S. dollar affect the company s results. At June 30, 2006, currency changes resulted in assets and liabilities denominated in local currencies being translated into more U.S. dollars than at year-end 2005. The company uses a variety of financial hedging instruments to limit specific currency risks related to financing transactions and other foreign currency-based transactions. Further discussion of currency and hedging appears in the 2005 IBM Annual Report in note L, Derivatives and Hedging Transactions, on pages 71 to 74.

The company earned over 60 percent of its net income in currencies other than the U.S. dollar in the first half of 2006. In general, these currencies were weaker against the U.S. dollar during the first half of 2006 compared to the first half of 2005, so 2006 foreign currency earnings translated into fewer dollars than they would have in the first half of 2005. The company also maintains hedging programs to limit the volatility of currency impacts on the company s financial results. These hedging programs limit the impact of currency changes on the company s financial results but does not eliminate them. In addition to the translation of earnings and the company s hedging programs, the impact of currency may also affect the company s pricing and sourcing actions, although the impact of these actions on net income is difficult to track and quantify. For these reasons, the company believes that extended periods of dollar weakness are positive for net income and extended periods of dollar strength are negative, although the precise impact is difficult to assess.

For non-U.S. subsidiaries and branches that operate in U.S. dollars or whose economic environment is highly inflationary, translation adjustments are reflected in results of operations, as required by SFAS No. 52, Foreign Currency Translation. Generally, the company manages currency risk in these entities by linking prices and contracts to U.S. dollars and by entering into foreign currency hedge contracts.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

On pages 38 and 39 of the company s 2005 IBM Annual Report, there is a discussion of the company s liquidity including two tables that present five years of data. One table, on page 38, includes each of the past five years of the company s Net cash from operating activities, Cash and marketable securities, the size of the company s global credit facilities and committed trade receivables securitization facility. For the six months ended or as of, as applicable, June 30, 2006, those amounts are \$5.7 billion, \$10.0 billion and \$0.5 billion, respectively.

On June 28, 2006, the company entered into a new 5-year \$10,000,000,000 Credit Agreement (the Credit Agreement ). The Credit Agreement replaces the company s existing 5-year \$10,000,000,000 Credit Agreement (the Existing Credit Agreement ) dated May 27, 2004. The Existing Credit Agreement was not otherwise due to expire until May 27, 2009.

The Credit Agreement permits the company and its Subsidiary Borrowers to borrow up to \$10 billion on a revolving basis. Borrowings of the Subsidiary Borrowers will be unconditionally guaranteed by the company. The company may also, upon the agreement of either the then existing Lenders, or of additional banks not currently party to the Credit agreement, increase the commitments under the Credit Agreement up to an additional \$2.0 billion. Subject to certain conditions stated in the Credit Agreement, the company and Subsidiary Borrowers may borrow, prepay and reborrow amounts under the Credit Agreement at any time during the term of the Credit Agreement. Funds borrowed may be used for the general corporate purposes of the company and its subsidiaries, including the support of outstanding commercial paper issuances of the company and its subsidiaries. The Credit Agreement terminates on June 28, 2011. Interest rates on borrowings under the Credit Agreement will be based on prevailing market interest rates, as further described in the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement contains customary representations and warranties, covenants, events of default, and indemnification provisions.

The major rating agencies ratings on the company s debt securities at June 30, 2006 appear in the table below and remain unchanged from December 31, 2005. The company has no contractual arrangements that, in the event of a change in credit rating, would result in a material adverse effect on its financial position or liquidity.

	STANDARD	MOODY S	
	AND	INVESTORS	FITCH
	POOR S	SERVICE	RATINGS
Senior long-term debt	A+	A1	AA-
Commercial paper	A-1	Prime-1	F1+

The second table, appearing on page 39 of the 2005 IBM Annual Report, presents the way in which management reviews its cash flows for each of the past five years and is accompanied by a description of the way cash flow is managed, measured and reviewed. While the company prepares its Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows in accordance with SFAS No. 95, Statement of Cash Flows, on page 5 of this Form 10-Q and discusses causes and events underlying sources and uses of cash in that format on page 55 of this Form 10-Q, the following is the management view of cash flows for the first six months of 2006 and 2005 prepared in a manner consistent with the table on page 39 of the 2005 IBM Annual Report:

#### (Dollars in millions)

For the six months ended June 30:	2006	2005
Net cash from operating activities (Continuing Operations):	\$ 5,677 \$	5,213
Less: Global Financing accounts receivable	2,812	3,751
Net cash from operating activities (Continuing Operations), excluding		
Global Financing accounts receivables	2,864	1,461
Investing Activities:		
Capital expenditures, net	(2,102)	(2,026)
Global Financing accounts receivable	2,812	3,751
Global Financing debt	181	(463)
Net Global Financing debt to accounts receivable	2,993	3,288
Acquisitions	(809)	(1,122)
Divestitures		486
Return to shareholders:		
Share repurchase	(5,060)	(5,152)
Dividends	(776)	(615)
Change in non-Global Financing debt	(1,150)	1,746
Other	350	29
Discontinued operations	(7)	(7)
Change in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities	\$ (3,696) \$	(1,911)

Events that could temporarily change the historical cash flow dynamics discussed above include significant changes in operating results, material changes in geographic sources of cash, unexpected adverse impacts from litigation or future pension funding requirements during periods of severe and prolonged downturns in the capital markets. Whether any litigation has such an adverse impact will depend on a number of variables, which are more completely described in Note 12 on pages 18 to 22 of this Form 10-Q. With respect to pension funding, the company is not quantifying any impact from pension funding because it is not possible to predict future movements in the capital markets.

However, for 2006, if the full year actual return on plan assets for the PPP was less than 2.3 percent, the PPP s accumulated benefit obligation would be greater than its plan assets (assuming no other assumption changes). As discussed on pages 87 of IBM s 2005 Annual Report, such a situation may result in a voluntary contribution of cash or stock to the PPP or a charge to stockholders equity. Actual return on the PPP plan assets for the first six months of 2006 was 3.65 percent.

#### **Global Financing**

Global Financing is a business segment within IBM that is measured as if it were a standalone entity. Accordingly, the information presented in this section is consistent with this separate company view.

#### Results of Operations

	Three Mont	 d			onths Ended une 30,	
(Dollars in millions)	2006	2005		2006		2005
External revenue	\$ 576	\$ 620	\$	1,158	\$	1,199
Internal revenue	338	275		702		724
Total revenue	914	895		1,860		1,923
Total cost	422	385		803		873
Gross profit	\$ 492	\$ 510	\$	1,057	\$	1,050
Gross profit margin	53.8%	57.0%	$\dot{o}$	56.89	%	54.6%
Pre-tax income	\$ 319	\$ 338	\$	733	\$	729
After-tax income	\$ 198	\$ 212	\$	457	\$	459
Return on equity*	25.8%	27.0%	6	29.89	%	29.1%

<sup>\*</sup> See page 67 for the details of the After-tax income and the Return on equity calculation.

Global Financing revenue increased 2.1 percent in the second quarter of 2006 versus the same period in 2005. External revenue decreased 7.0 percent (7.3 percent adjusted for currency) driven by external used equipment sales of \$150 million in 2006 versus \$178 million in 2005, and financing revenue of \$426 million in 2006 versus \$442 million in 2005 due primarily to a decrease in the average asset balance. Internal revenue increased 22.8 percent primarily driven by internal used equipment sales of \$195 million versus \$146 million in the second quarter of 2005, an increase of 33.7 percent due primarily to higher sales to the Hardware segment.

Global Financing revenue decreased 3.3 percent in the first six months of 2006 versus the same period in 2005. External revenue decreased 3.4 percent (2.2 percent adjusted for currency) driven by external used equipment sales of \$303 million in 2006 versus \$328 million in 2005, and financing revenue of \$855 million in 2006 versus \$871 million in 2005, a decrease of 1.8 percent due to a decrease in the average asset balance, partially offset by an increase in asset yields. Internal revenue decreased 3.0 percent driven by internal used equipment sales of \$418 million in the first six months of 2006 versus \$437 million in the same period in 2005, a decrease of 4.4 percent.

Global Financing gross profit dollars decreased \$18 million or 3.6 percent for the second quarter and increased \$7 million or 0.7 percent in the first six months of 2006 when compared to the same periods last year. For the second quarter of 2006, the decrease in gross profit dollars was primarily driven by higher borrowing costs, partially offset by higher equipment sales gross profit. The decrease in gross profit margin was driven by lower financing margins due to higher borrowing costs, partially offset by an improvement in equipment sales margins. For the first six months of 2006, the increase in gross profit dollars was driven by higher equipment sales gross profit of \$292 million in 2006 versus \$209 million in 2005, an increase of 39.8 percent, partially

offset by the decrease in external financing revenue discussed above and higher borrowing costs. The increase in gross profit margin is driven by an improvement in equipment sales margins, partially offset by lower financing margins due to higher borrowing costs.

Global Financing pre-tax income decreased 5.7 percent for the second quarter and increased 0.5 percent in the first six months of 2006 when compared to the same periods last year. The decrease in the second quarter was driven by the decrease in gross profit of \$19 million discussed on page 61. The increase in the first six months of 2006 is primarily due to the increase in gross profit of \$7 million also discussed on page 61.

The decrease in return-on-equity in the second quarter of 2006 versus the second quarter of 2005 was primarily due to lower earnings. The increase in return-on-equity for the first six months of 2006 compared to the first six months of 2005 was primarily due to lower average equity balance.

#### Financial Condition

## Balance Sheet

(Dollars in millions)		At June 30, 2006		At December 31, 2005
Cash	\$	840	\$	1,292
Net investment in sales-type and direct	Ψ	010	Ψ	1,272
financing leases		9,352		9,876
Equipment under operating leases:		,		,
External clients		1,990		1,847
Internal clients(a)(b)		1,847		1,788
Client loans		8,361		8,486
Total client financing assets		21,550		21,997
Commercial financing receivables		3,897		5,070
Intercompany financing receivables(a)(b)		2,249		1,968
Other receivables		137		127
Other assets		942		711
Total financing assets	\$	29,615	\$	31,165
Intercompany payables(a)	\$	3,359	\$	5,262
Debt(c)		21,311		20,499
Other liabilities		1,874		2,348
Total financing liabilities		26,544		28,109
Total financing equity		3,071		3,056
Total financing liabilities and equity	\$	29,615	\$	31,165

<sup>(</sup>a) Amounts eliminated for purposes of IBM s consolidated results and therefore do not appear on pages 3 and 4.

<sup>(</sup>b) These assets, along with all other financing assets in this table, are leveraged using Global Financing debt.

(c) Global Financing debt includes debt of the company and of the Global Financing units that support the Global Financing business.

Sources and Uses of Funds

The primary use of funds in Global Financing is to originate client and commercial financing assets. Client financing assets for end users consist primarily of IBM hardware, software and services, but also include non-IBM equipment, software and services to meet IBM clients—total solutions requirements. Client financing assets are primarily sales-type, direct financing and operating leases for equipment as well as loans for hardware, software and services with terms generally for two to seven years. Global Financing—s client loans are primarily for software and services and are unsecured. These loans are subjected to additional credit analysis in order to mitigate the associated risk. Client financing also includes internal activity as described on page 43 of the 2005 IBM Annual Report.

Commercial financing receivables arise primarily from inventory and accounts receivable financing for dealers and remarketers of IBM and non-IBM products. Payment terms for inventory financing generally range from 30 to 75 days. Payment terms for accounts receivable financing generally range from 30 to 90 days.

#### Originations

The following are total external and internal financing originations.

		onths End ine 30,	ed	Six Mont June	l
(Dollars in millions)	2006		2005	2006	2005
Client financing:					
External	\$ 2,703	\$	2,763	\$ 5,193	\$ 5,035
Internal	279		242	542	475
Commercial financing	6,418		6,118	12,412	12,286
Total	\$ 9,400	\$	9,123	\$ 18,147	\$ 17,796

Total new originations exceeded cash collections of client and commercial financing assets in the second quarter of 2006, which resulted in a net increase in financing assets in this period. The increase in originations was mainly due to improving volumes in commercial financing. Cash collections of both client and commercial financing assets exceeded new financing originations in the first six months months of 2006, which resulted in a net decline in financing assets from December 31, 2005. The increase in originations was due to improving volumes in both client and commercial financing.

Cash generated by Global Financing was primarily deployed to pay the intercompany payables and dividends to IBM.

Financing Assets by Sector

The following table presents the percentage of external financing assets by industry sector.

	At June 30, 2006	At December 31, 2005
Financial Services	28%	33%
Industrial	27	20
Business Partners*	15	19
Distribution	15	8
Public	8	10
Communications	6	6
Other	1	4
Total	100%	100%

<sup>\*</sup> Business Partners financing assets represent a portion of commercial financing inventory and accounts receivable financing for terms generally less than 90 days.

Financing Receivables and Allowances

The following table presents financing receivables, excluding residual values, and the allowance for doubtful accounts.

(Dollars in millions)	At June 30, 2006	At December 31, 2005
Gross financing receivables	\$ 21,324	\$ 23,197
Specific allowance for doubtful accounts	376	421
Unallocated allowance for doubtful accounts	69	84
Total allowance for doubtful accounts	445	505
Net financing receivables	\$ 20,879	\$ 22,692
Allowance for doubtful account coverage	2.1%	2.2%

Roll-Forward of Financing Receivables Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

(Dollars in millions)

				Additions/ (Reductions)				
Dec. 31, 2005		Allowance Used		Bad Debt Expense	Other**		June 30, 2006	
\$	505	\$ Oscu	(80)	\$ (9)	\$ Other	29	\$ 445	

\* Represents reserved receivables, net of recoveries, that were disposed of during the period.

\*\* Primarily represents translation adjustments.

The percentage of financing receivables reserved decreased from 2.2 percent at December 31, 2005 to 2.1 percent at June 30, 2006 due to the disposition of previously reserved receivables combined with lower requirements for additional reserves. Unallocated reserves decreased 17.9 percent from \$84 million at December 31, 2005 to \$69 million at June 30, 2006. The decrease in unallocated reserves is due to improved economic conditions and improved credit quality of the portfolio, as well as the decline in gross financing receivables. Specific reserves decreased 10.7 percent from \$421 million at December 31, 2005 to \$376 million June 30, 2006. The decrease in specific reserves was due to the disposition of reserved receivables during the period combined with lower requirements for additional specific reserves.

Global Financing s bad debt expense was a reduction of \$9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared with a reduction of \$36 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005. The continued reduction in bad debt expense was primarily attributed to the overall decline in the financing asset portfolio, as well as the improvement in economic conditions and the improved credit quality of the portfolio in the first six months of 2006.

Residual Value

Residual value is a risk unique to the financing business and management of this risk is dependent upon the ability to accurately project future equipment values at lease inception. Global Financing has insight into product plans and cycles for the IBM products under lease. Based upon this product information, Global Financing continually monitors projections of future equipment values and compares them to the residual values reflected in the portfolio.

Global Financing optimizes the recovery of residual values by selling assets sourced from end of lease, leasing used equipment to new clients, or extending lease arrangements with current clients. Sales of equipment, which are primarily sourced from equipment returned at end of lease, represented 37.8 percent and 38.8 percent of Global Financing s revenue in the second quarter and first six months, respectively, of 2006 and 36.2 percent and 39.8 percent in the second quarter and first six months, respectively, of 2005. The increase in the second quarter of 2006 was driven primarily by higher used equipment sales and the decrease for the first six months of 2006 was driven by a decline in used equipment sales and a change in mix away from equipment sales towards financing. The gross margins on these sales were 33.8 percent and 30.3 percent in the second quarter of 2006 and 2005, respectively, and the gross margins were 40.5 percent and 27.3 percent for the first six months of 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increases are driven primarily by a mix towards higher margin internal equipment sales in the second quarter, as well as large prior year early terminations of internal leases and the subsequent sale of equipment to Global Services, which carried a lower gross profit margin in the first quarter of 2005. In addition to selling assets sourced from end of lease, Global Financing optimizes the recovery of residual values by leasing used equipment to new clients or extending leasing arrangements with current clients. The following table presents the recorded amount of unguaranteed residual value for sales-type and operating leases at December 31, 2005 and June 30, 2006 for consolidated IBM. In addition, the table presents the residual value as a percentage of the original amount financed, and a run out of the unguaranteed residual value over the remaining lives of these leases as of June 30, 2006. In addition to the unguaranteed residual value below, on a limited basis, Global Financing will obtain guarantees of the future value of the equipment scheduled to be returned at end of lease. These third-party guarantees are included in lease payments as provided for by accounting standards in the determination of lease

classifications for the covered equipment and provide protection against risk of loss arising from declines in equipment values for these assets.

The residual value guarantee increases the minimum lease payments that are utilized in determining the classification of a lease as a sales-type lease or operating lease. The aggregate asset values associated with the guarantees were \$148 million and \$133 million for the financing transactions originated during the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2005, respectively, and \$243 million and \$237 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2005, respectively. The associated aggregate guaranteed future values at the scheduled end of lease were \$5 million and \$4 million for the financing transactions originated during the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2005, respectively, and \$9 million each for the financing transactions originated during the six months ended June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2005, respectively. The cost of guarantees was \$0.8 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 and \$1.3 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2005, and \$1.9 million and \$2.5 million for each of the six months ended June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2005, respectively.

#### Residual Value

						Amortiz June 30, 20		
(Dollars in millions)	Dec. 31, 2005*		June 30, 2006		2006	2007	2008	2009 and beyond
Sales-type leases	\$ 792	\$	801	\$	115	\$ 245	\$ 252	\$ 189
Operating leases	258		291		67	96	84	44
Total unguaranteed residual value	\$ 1,050	\$	1,092	\$	182	\$ 341	\$ 336	\$ 233
Related original amount								
financed	\$ 23,397	\$	23,778					
Percentage	4.5%	,	4.6%	ó				

<sup>\*</sup> Restated to conform with 2006 presentation.

Debt

	At June 30, 2006	At December 31, 2005
Debt to equity ratio	6.9x	6.7x

Global Financing funds its operations primarily through borrowings using a debt-to-equity ratio target of approximately 7 to 1. The debt is used to fund Global Financing assets. The debt is composed of intercompany loans and external debt. The terms of the intercompany loans are set by the company to substantially match the term and currency underlying the receivables. The inter-company loans are based on arm s-length pricing. Both assets and debt are presented in the Global Financing balance sheet on page 62.

The company s Global Financing business provides funding predominantly for the company s external clients but also provides intercompany financing for the company (internal). As previously stated, the company manages and measures Global Financing as if it were a standalone entity and accordingly, interest expense relating to debt supporting Global Financing s external client and internal business is

included in the Global Financing Results of Operations on page 61 and in Segment Information on pages 80 through 83.

In the company s Consolidated Statement of Earnings on page one, however, the interest expense supporting Global Financing s internal financing to the company is reclassified from Cost of Financing to Interest Expense.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Global Financing is a segment of the company and as such is supported by the company s liquidity position and access to capital markets. Cash generated from operations was deployed to reduce debt and pay dividends to the company in order to maintain an appropriate debt to equity ratio.

#### Return on Equity

	Three Mor June	nths End	led		Six Mon Jur	d		
(Dollars in millions)	2006		2005		2006		2005	
Numerator:								
Global Financing after tax income*	\$ 198	\$	212	\$	457	\$	4.	159
Annualized after tax income (A)	\$ 792	\$	850	\$	914	\$	9	18
Denominator:								
Average Global Financing equity (B)**	\$ 3,074	\$	3,150	\$	3,068	\$	3,1	56
Global Financing Return on								
Equity(A)/(B)	25.8%		27.0%	,	29.8%		29	9.1%

<sup>\*</sup> Calculated based upon an estimated tax rate principally based on Global Financing s geographic mix of earnings alBM s provision for income taxes is determined on a consolidated basis.

Looking Forward

Given Global Financing s mission of supporting IBM s hardware, software, and services businesses, originations for both client and commercial finance businesses will be dependent upon the overall demand for IT hardware, software, and services, as well as client participation rates.

As a result of the company divesting its Personal Computing business to Lenovo in the second quarter of 2005, Global Financing will support Lenovo s PC business through an exclusive, five year agreement covering all Global Financing lines of business effective May 1, 2005. These financings with Lenovo will be external revenue to Global Financing.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Average of the ending equity for Global Financing for the last 2 quarters and 3 quarters, for the three months ended June 30, and for the six months ended June 30, respectively.

Interest rates and the overall economy (including currency fluctuations) will have an effect on both revenue and gross profit. The company s interest rate risk management policy, however, combined with the Global Financing funding strategy should mitigate gross margin erosion due to changes in interest rates. The company s policy of matching asset and liability positions in foreign currencies will limit the impacts of currency fluctuations.

The economy could impact the credit quality of the Global Financing receivable portfolio and therefore the level of
provision for bad debt. Global Financing will continue to apply rigorous credit policies in both the origination of new business and the
evaluation of the existing portfolio.

As discussed above, Global Financing has historically been able to manage residual value risk through both insight into the product cycles as well as through its remarketing business.

Global Financing has policies in place to manage each of the key risks involved in financing. These policies, combined with product and client knowledge, should allow for the prudent management of the business going forward, even during periods of economic uncertainty.

#### Forward Looking and Cautionary Statements

Except for the historical information and discussions contained herein, statements contained in this Form 10-Q may constitute forward looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements involve a number of risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially, including the company s failure to continue to develop and market new and innovative products and services and to keep pace with technological change; competitive pressures; failure to obtain or protect intellectual property rights; quarterly fluctuations in revenues and volatility of stock prices; the company s ability to attract and retain key personnel; currency fluctuations and customer financing risks; dependence on certain suppliers; changes in the financial or business condition of the company s distributors or resellers; the company s ability to successfully manage acquisitions and alliances; legal, political and economic changes and other risks, uncertainties and factors discussed elsewhere in this Form 10-Q, in the company s other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission or in materials incorporated therein by reference.

## **ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures**

The company s management evaluated, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the company s disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. There has been no change in the company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the company s internal control over financial reporting.

#### Part II - Other Information

#### ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

Refer to Note 12 on pages 18 to 22 of this Form 10-Q.

#### ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds and Issuer Repurchases of Equity Securities

The following table provides information relating to the company s repurchase of common stock for the second quarter of 2006.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program(1)
April 1, 2006 - April 30, 2006	7,510,000	\$ 82.91	7,510,000	\$ 5,859,655,549
May 1, 2006 - May 31, 2006	10,805,000	\$ 81.49	10,805,000	\$ 4,979,189,721
June 1, 2006 - June 30, 2006	13,346,100	\$ 78.02	13,346,100	\$ 3,937,970,516
Total	31,661,100	\$ 80.36	31,661,100	

<sup>(1)</sup> On October 25, 2005, the IBM Board of Directors authorized up to \$4.0 billion in funds for use in the company s common stock repurchase program. This authorization was fully utilized by June 2006. On April 25, 2006, the Board of Directors authorized the company to repurchase up to an additional \$4.0 billion in funds for use in such programs. IBM has announced that under its repurchase program, it will repurchase shares on the open market or in private transactions from time to time, depending on market conditions. The repurchase program does not have an expiration date. This table does not include shares tendered to satisfy the exercise price in connection with cashless exercises of employee stock options or shares tendered to satisfy tax witholding obligations in connection with employee equity awards.

## ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

International Business Machines Corporation held its Annual Meeting of Stockholders on April 25, 2006. For more information on the following proposals, see the company s proxy statement dated March 9, 2006, the relevant portions of which are incorporated herein by reference.

(1) The stockholders elected each of the thirteen nominees to the Board of Directors for a one-year term:

DIRECTOR	FOR	WITHHELD
C. Black	1,201,867,502	59,874,007
K. I. Chenault	1,230,931,078	30,810,431
J. Dormann	1,228,019,784	33,721,725
M. L. Eskew	919,646,824	342,094,685
S. A. Jackson	1,227,264,803	34,476,706
M. Makihara	1,235,861,069	25,880,440
L. A. Noto	1,219,855,617	41,885,892
J. W. Owens	1,231,996,863	29,744,646
S. J. Palmisano	1,225,600,765	36,140,744
J. E. Spero	1,236,934,595	24,806,914
S. Taurel	1,237,573,952	24,167,557
C. M. Vest	1,224,486,642	37,254,867
L.H. Zambrano	976,732,666	285,008,843

(2) The stockholders ratified the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the company:

For	1,198,218,075
Against	55,455,712
Abstain	8,067,722
Total	1,261,741,509

(3) The stockholders voted on a shareholder proposal on Cumulative Voting:

For	380,654,136
Against	570,057,429
Abstain	23,395,874
Broker No Vote	287,634,070
Total	1.261.741.509

(4) The stockholders voted on a shareholder proposal on Pension and Retirement Medical:

Edgar Filing: INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORP - Form 10-Q

For	122,185,687
Against	768,369,258
Abstain	83,552,494
Broker No Vote	287,634,070
Total	1.261.741.509

(5) The stockholders voted on a shareholder proposal on Executive Compensation:

For	418,354,558
Against	545,339,860
Abstain	10,413,021
Broker No Vote	287,634,070
Total	1.261.741.509

(6) The stockholders voted on a shareholder proposal on Disclosure of Executive Compensation:

For	401,002,472
Against	560,836,660
Abstain	12,268,307
Broker No Vote	287,634,070
Total	1,261,741,509

(7) The stockholders voted on a shareholder proposal on Offshoring:

For	71,239,013
Against	866,214,249
Abstain	36,654,177
Broker No Vote	287,634,070
Total	1,261,741,509

(8) The stockholders voted on a shareholder proposal on China Business Principles:

For	83,643,237
Against	777,896,230
Abstain	112,567,972
Broker No Vote	287,634,070
Total	1.261.741.509

(9) The stockholders voted on a shareholder proposal on Political Contributions:

For	85,583,925
Against	795,586,632
Abstain	92,936,882
Broker No Vote	287,634,070
Total	1,261,741,509

(10) The stockholders voted on a shareholder proposal on Majority Voting for Directors:

For	384,244,419
Against	574,069,709
Abstain	15,793,311
Broker No Vote	287,634,070
Total	1,261,741,509

(11) The stockholders voted on a shareholder proposal on Simple Majority Vote:

For	592,240,786
Against	369,935,409
Abstain	11,931,244
Broker No Vote	287,634,070
Total	1,261,741,509

#### ITEM 6 (a). Exhibits

#### Exhibit Number

- 11 Statement re: computation of per share earnings.
- 12 Statement re: computation of ratios.
- 31.1 Certification by CEO pursuant to Rule 13A-14(a) or 15D-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification by CFO pursuant to Rule 13A-14(a) or 15D-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification by CEO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification by CFO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

## **SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

International Business Machines Corporation (Registrant)

Date: July 25, 2006

By: /s/ Timothy S. Shaughnessy
Timothy S. Shaughnessy

Vice President and Controller