DST SYSTEMS INC Form DEF 14A March 28, 2014

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

		Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.				
Filed	l by the	e Registrant ý				
Filed	l by a F	Party other than the Registrant o				
Che	ck the a	ppropriate box:				
o	Prelin	minary Proxy Statement				
o	Conf	idential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))				
ý	Defin	nitive Proxy Statement				
o	Defin	itive Additional Materials				
o	Solic	iting Material under §240.14a-12				
	DST Systems, Inc.					
		(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)				
		(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)				
Payr	nent of	Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):				
ý	No fe	re required.				
o	Fee c	omputed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11. Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:				
	(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:				
	(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):				
	(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:				
	(5)	Total fee paid:				

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o

О	Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.				
	(1)	Amount Previously Paid:			
	(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:			
	(3)	Filing Party:			
	(4)	Date Filed:			

Table of Contents

333 West 11th Street Kansas City, MO 64105

DST SYSTEMS, INC. NOTICE AND PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Tuesday, May 13, 2014

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

You have received information on casting your vote. We began delivering Annual Meeting materials, or Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, on or about March 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

DST Systems, Inc. 333 West 11th Street Kansas City, Missouri 64105

Proxy Statement and NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

We cordially invite you to attend our Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Place: 333 W. 11th Street, 3rd Floor

Kansas City, Missouri 64105

Time: 8:00 a.m. Central Daylight Time

Date: Tuesday, May 13, 2014

Stockholders will consider and vote upon the following matters:

Election of the Company's nominees for Director, each to serve a three-year term expiring upon the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until a successor is duly elected and qualified

Ratification of the Audit Committee's Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Advisory Resolution to Approve Named Executive Officer Compensation

Certain stockholder proposals, if properly presented at the Annual Meeting.

The record date for determining which stockholders may vote at this meeting or any adjournment is March 20, 2014. We will provide the recordholder list during the Annual Meeting if any stockholder wishes to examine it for any purpose pertaining to the meeting. We will also make the list available during regular business hours at the above address for the ten-day period before the Annual Meeting.

WE URGE YOU TO USE THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD TO VOTE AS OUR BOARD RECOMMENDS:

"<u>FOR</u>" THE ELECTION OF BOARD NOMINEES LYNN DORSEY BLEIL AND JOHN W. CLARK IN PROPOSAL 1 AND "<u>FOR</u>" THE COMPANY'S PROPOSALS 2 AND 3.

"AGAINST" STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS 4 AND 5.

Please note that our Board of Directors makes no recommendation regarding Stockholder Proposal 6.

Whether or not you will be able to attend the Annual Meeting, it is very important that your shares be represented. We urge you to read the accompanying Proxy Statement carefully and to use the enclosed proxy card to vote for the Board's nominees, and in accordance with the Board's recommendations on the other proposals, as soon as possible. You may vote your shares by signing and dating the enclosed proxy card

and returning it in the postage-paid envelope provided, whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting. For

Table of Contents

your convenience, you may also vote your shares via the Internet or by a toll-free telephone number by following the instructions on the enclosed proxy card.

If you need assistance at the Annual Meeting because of a disability, please let us know by May 1, 2014, at (816) 435-8655.

Thank you for your continued support. If you have any questions or need assistance voting, please contact Innisfree M&A Incorporated, our proxy solicitor assisting us in connection with the Annual Meeting. Stockholders may call toll-free at (888) 750-5835. Banks and brokers may call collect at (212) 750-5833.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Randall D. Young

Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
The date of this Notice is March 28, 2014.

Table of Contents

OUR BOARD URGES YOU TO SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD AND VOTE FOR ALL OF OUR BOARD'S NOMINEES FOR DIRECTORS, AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH OUR BOARD'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE OTHER PROPOSALS.

&zwsp	v e i				
	PROPOSAL 1 PROPOSAL 2 PROPOSAL 3	Elect our Board Nominees Lynn Dorsey Bleil and John W. Clark to our Board of Directors Ratify the Audit Committee's Selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Advisory Resolution to Approve NEO Compensation	&zwsp		
&zwsp	The Board of Directors	recommends you vote "AGAINST" the following Proposals:	0		
	PROPOSAL 4	Stockholder Proposal Regarding the Separation of the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer Positions	&zwsp		
	PROPOSAL 5	Stockholder Proposal Regarding a Majority Vote Standard for Director Elections			
&zwsp	The Board of Directors	makes NO RECOMMENDATION regarding the following Proposal:	&zwsp		
	PROPOSAL 6	Stockholder Proposal Regarding the Repeal of the Company's Classified Board of Directors 4			

DST Systems, Inc. 333 West 11th Street Kansas City, Missouri 64105

PROXY STATEMENT

Contents

	Page
The Board of Directors	8
Board Committee Matters and Reports	<u>16</u>
Non-Employee Director Compensation	<u>24</u>
Proposal 1: Elect Directors	<u>26</u>
Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>27</u>
Beneficial Ownership	<u>28</u>
Insider Disclosures	<u>31</u>
Executive Officers	<u>32</u>
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	<u>34</u>
NEO Compensation	<u>44</u>
NEO Award/Account Values for Certain Events	<u>51</u>
Additional Proposals Requiring Your Vote	<u>58</u>
Proposal 2: Ratify the Audit Committee's Selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	<u>58</u>
Proposal 3: Advisory Resolution to Approve NEO Compensation	<u>59</u>
Proposal 4: Stockholder Proposal Regarding the Separation of the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer Positions	<u>61</u>
Proposal 5: Stockholder Proposal Regarding a Majority Vote Standard for Director Elections	<u>63</u>
Proposal 6: Stockholder Proposal Regarding the Repeal of the Company's Classified Board of Directors	<u>65</u>
Annual Meeting Matters	<u>67</u>

Table of Contents

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE 2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MAY 13, 2014: THE PROXY STATEMENT FOR SUCH MEETING AND THE ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013 ARE AVAILABLE AT www.eproxyaccess.com/dst2014.

PROXY STATEMENT

We are providing this Proxy Statement and the enclosed proxy card in connection with the solicitation by the Board of Directors of DST Systems, Inc. (which we refer to in these materials as "we," "us," the "Company" or "our company") of proxies to be voted at our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (including at any adjournment or postponement thereof), which will take place at 8:00 a.m. Central Daylight Time on Tuesday, May 13, 2014, at 333 W. 11th Street, 3rd Floor, Kansas City, Missouri 64105.

We began delivering Annual Meeting materials, or Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, on or about March 31, 2014.

Our Board asks that you vote "FOR" the Board nominees for director included in Proposal 1. Our Board also asks that, on a non-binding basis, you vote "FOR" ratification of the Audit Committee's selection of our independent registered public accounting firm set forth in Proposal 2, and vote "FOR" advising the Board that you approve the compensation of the officers named in the "Summary Compensation Table" ("Say-on-Pay") set forth in Proposal 3.

Our Board also asks that you vote "<u>AGAINST</u>" Stockholder Proposal 4 regarding the separation of the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer positions, and "<u>AGAINST</u>" Stockholder Proposal 5 regarding a majority vote standard for director elections.

The Board has determined to make no voting recommendation to stockholders with respect to Stockholder Proposal 6, which is a proposal seeking to repeal the classification of the Board of Directors.

OUR BOARD URGES YOU TO SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY CARD AND VOTE FOR ALL OF OUR BOARD'S NOMINEES FOR DIRECTORS, AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH OUR BOARD'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE OTHER PROPOSALS.

We do not know of any other matters on which you will vote at the Annual Meeting. Recordholders may appoint the Proxy Committee as their proxy. The Proxy Committee members are Stephen C. Hooley, Chief Executive Officer and President; Gregg Wm. Givens, Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; and Randall D. Young, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary. The Proxy Committee will vote your shares as you direct. If you do not specify how your shares are to be voted, your shares will be voted consistently with the Board's recommendations in this Proxy Statement.

This Proxy Statement contains a separate report by each of the Audit Committee and Compensation Committee of our Board. The two Board committee reports are "furnished," not "filed," for Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") purposes. Within Board committee reports, "we," "ours," "us" or similar terms mean the committee giving the report. Otherwise, such words or "the Company" mean DST Systems, Inc. ("DST") and its subsidiaries.

This Proxy Statement references the Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Business Ethics and Legal Compliance Policy, and the charters of the Board's Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Finance Committee, and Corporate Governance/Nominating Committee ("Governance Committee"). You can access

Table of Contents

each of these documents at our website, www.dstsystems.com. We will furnish you a copy of any of these documents without charge, if you request in writing to:

DST Corporate Secretary 333 W. 11th Street, 5th Floor Kansas City, MO 64105

INFORMATION ABOUT THE MEETING

Who Can Vote

Only holders of record of our common stock, par value \$.01 per share, at the close of business on March 20, 2014, the record date for voting at the Annual Meeting, are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Our common stock, our only class of voting securities ("DST stock"), is listed on the New York Stock Exchange.

How to Vote

Please follow the easy instructions on your proxy card to vote by telephone, by Internet, or by signing, dating and returning the proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided. For additional information about voting, please also see the information contained in the "Annual Meeting Matters" of this Proxy Statement.

If You Have Questions or Need Assistance Voting Your Shares

Thank you for your continued support. If you have any questions or need assistance voting, please contact Innisfree M&A Incorporated, our proxy solicitor assisting us in connection with the Annual Meeting. Stockholders may call toll-free at (888) 750-5835. Banks and brokers may call collect at (212) 750-5833.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

SERVICE AND QUALIFICATIONS

DST and Public Company Board Service. Stephen C. Hooley is a DST executive officer. We do not employ the other persons named in the below table.

DIRECTORS AND BOARD NOMINEES	Age	Dates of Service on DST Board	_	Current Service on Committees of DST Board	Registered Investment Company Directorships and Public Company Directorships Other Than the Company
A. Edward Allinson	79	September 1995 preser April 1977 December 1990	er	Audit Governance	
George L. Argyros Governance Committee Chairperson	77	February 2006 preser December 1998 November 200		Compensation Finance Governance	First American Financial Corp Pacific Mercantile Bancorp
Lynn Dorsey Bleil(*) Nominee for Election	50				
Lowell L. Bryan Compensation Committee Chairperson	68	May 2012 preser	nt 2015	Audit Compensation Finance Governance	
John W. Clark(*) Nominee for Election	69				Pacific Mercantile Bancorp
Lawrence M. Higby Lead Independent Director	68	May 2011 preser	nt 2014	Audit Compensation Governance	eHealth, Inc.
Stephen C. Hooley Chief Executive Officer and President	51	July 2012 preser	nt 2016		
Brent L. Law	48	January 2013 preser	nt 2016	Compensation Finance Governance	
Samuel G. Liss	57	May 2012 preser	nt 2015	Audit	Verisk Analytics, Inc.

Finance Committee Chairperson				Compensation Finance Governance
Travis E. Reed Audit Committee Chairperson	79	July 2002 present	2015	Audit Compensation Finance Governance

The Board Nominee's term will expire in 2017 if the Company's stockholders elect the Board Nominee at the 2014 Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

Principal Occupations and Qualifications. The Board has concluded that the Board Nominees and incumbent directors are qualified to serve on the Board due to the value of the experiences, qualifications, attributes and skills of each Board Nominee and incumbent director set forth below.

THE BOARD NOMINEES

LYNN DORSEY BLEIL

Ms. Bleil was the leader of McKinsey & Company's West Coast Healthcare Practice, and a leader of McKinsey's worldwide Healthcare Practice. She retired in November 2013 as a Senior Partner (Director) in the Southern California Office of McKinsey. During her 25-plus years with McKinsey, she worked exclusively within the healthcare sector, advising senior management and boards of leading companies on corporate and business unit strategy, mergers and acquisitions/integration, marketing & sales, public policy, and organization across all segments of the healthcare value chain. Much of Ms. Bleil's recent work has been with companies in the biotechnology/specialty pharma and medical device industries focused on developing corporate strategies, go-to-market/reimbursement strategies, and organizational capabilities to address the rapidly changing payor/provider landscape in the United States and globally.

Ms. Bleil's significant expertise in the healthcare industry, which the Company expects to be a key growth and value driver for the Company going forward, will be a valuable asset to our Board. Ms. Bleil's experience in the healthcare industry will foster the Company's continued development of the healthcare industry as a potential engine of growth for the Company and advance the Company's strategic business plan.

JOHN W. CLARK

Mr. Clark is currently the Managing Member of Westar Capital Associates II, LLC, a private investment firm where he has worked since 1995. Before joining Westar, Mr. Clark served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of Valentec International Corp. Earlier in his career, he was the Founder and Managing Partner of a CPA practice, Coyne and Clark, which grew substantially under his leadership and merged into a predecessor of Ernst & Young. Mr. Clark has also served on multiple boards of directors. He is currently a director on the board of Pacific Mercantile Bancorp, a bank, where he serves on the Audit Committee. From 1996 to 2007, Mr. Clark served on the board of directors of Amerigon, Inc., now renamed Gentherm.

Mr. Clark's decades of experience serving as both a manager and a director of many successful enterprises and his strong background in accounting makes him well qualified for membership in the Board. Mr. Clark's background allows him to keenly understand the dynamic between management and directors and make decisions to maximize shareholder welfare accordingly. Furthermore, Mr. Clark's prior service as a certified public accountant would make him a uniquely qualified and valuable member of the Board.

THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

A. EDWARD ALLINSON

Mr. Allinson was Executive Vice President of State Street Bank and Trust Company ("State Street Bank") and Executive Vice President of State Street Corporation ("State Street"), the parent company of State Street Bank, from March 1990 through December 1999. State Street is a financial services corporation that provides banking, trust, investment management, global custody, administration and securities processing services. From December 1999 through his retirement in October 2000, Mr. Allinson served as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of EquiServe Limited Partnership, a stock transfer agent for publicly listed corporations which became, for a time, our wholly-owned subsidiary.

Mr. Allinson's extensive background as an executive in the financial services industry, the computer and data processing industry and transfer agency operations is uniquely suited to our businesses. He was one of the founders of Boston Financial Data Services, our full service transfer agency joint venture with State Street. He therefore has a deep understanding of our core transfer agency operations and related service and technology offerings, as well as our customer base. He also brings to our Board skills related to our international businesses, which he developed through his experiences at both State Street Bank and another major national bank. He contributes to our Board his past experience as a director with Kansas City Southern, which owned all of our shares prior to our initial public offering in 1995. His long service as our director and as a director of our previous

Table of Contents

parent gives him invaluable insights into our history and growth and a unique perspective of the strategic direction of our businesses.

LOWELL L. BRYAN

Mr. Bryan is a former Senior Adviser at McKinsey & Company, a global company in the business of management consulting to companies in numerous industries. He retired in mid-January 2012 from his 27 year role as a Director (i.e., Senior Partner) at McKinsey, where he was a co-founder of the company's financial institutions and strategy practices. Upon retirement from his 36 years of full-time service at McKinsey, he founded L L Bryan Advisory, LLC and Bayberry Lane Advisory, Inc. each of which advise management and boards on corporate strategy and organizational issues. He has advised the boards of directors and top management of dozens of financial institution, health care, and industrial clients primarily on issues of strategy and organization. Mr. Bryan brings an independent perspective to our Board as a result of his knowledge of the operation of the global capital markets and the global economy, as well as strategic, organizational, and operational issues faced by our financial and health care and other businesses. He is the author of several books on banking, capital markets, strategy and organizational topics, and his knowledge contributes to his effectiveness as Chairperson of our Compensation Committee.

STEPHEN C. HOOLEY

Mr. Hooley became our Chief Executive Officer and President in September 2012, after joining the Board in July 2012 and the Company in July 2009 as our President and Chief Operating Officer. He was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Boston Financial, our transfer agency joint venture with State Street, from mid-2004 until joining DST.

The Board elected Mr. Hooley as a director in recognition of his distinctive service in all his roles since joining DST. It unanimously determined that Mr. Hooley was the right person to succeed our former Chief Executive Officer, who retired from that position in September 2012. Mr. Hooley's deep experience in the financial services industry; knowledge of DST and the interrelationship of our diversified business ventures, domestic and international; and well-established relationships with our customers, employees and partners are valuable assets to the Board as it works to enhance value for stockholders. Mr. Hooley has developed a productive relationship with the Board and encourages a collaborative approach among directors in dealing with the Company's most important strategic issues.

BRENT L. LAW

The Board elected Mr. Law as a director in January 2013. Mr. Law has served since October 2003 as Chief Investment Officer and Corporate Secretary of Arnel & Affiliates, a prominent West Coast diversified investment company that is owned by Mr. Argyros and his affiliates. He has also served since 2001 as that company's Director of Tax. He oversees the investment of more than \$1 billion in assets. Earlier in his career, Mr. Law served in a senior financial role at a start-up company and as a senior tax manager at a large public accounting firm. He has over 20 years of experience in investing, accounting and taxation and is a Certified Public Accountant. His experiences are helpful to Board evaluation of our diversification alternatives, and his accounting, tax and financial expertise is an asset to our Board in the evaluation of transactions and capital strategies.

SAMUEL G. LISS

Mr. Liss has been the managing principal since July 2010 of WhiteGate Partners LLC, an advisory firm focused on the financial services sector. He also serves as an Adjunct Professor at New York University Stern Graduate School of Business and Fordham University Graduate School of Business, focusing on global corporate governance. From April 2004 through June 2010, Mr. Liss was Executive Vice President, Travelers Companies, Inc., a provider of property and casualty insurance. He was responsible for corporate strategy, divestitures and acquisitions, and for a period had direct management responsibility for one of Travelers' three operating divisions Financial, Professional and International Insurance. From February 2003 through March 2004, Mr. Liss was Executive Vice President of The St. Paul Companies. From 1994 through 2001, he served as

Table of Contents

Managing Director Investment Banking, Financial Institutions Group and Managing Director Equity Research at Credit Suisse First Boston, Inc., and began his career at Salomon Brothers. Since 2005, Mr. Liss has been a member of the Board of Directors of Verisk Analytics, Inc., a company which delivers risk-assessment services and decision analytics, serving on its audit committee and as chairman of its finance committee. In 2014, Mr. Liss joined the Board of Ironshore Inc., a commercial insurance company, and serves on its audit and investment committees. His strong background in financial services, management and capital markets contribute to his Board service and effectiveness as the Chairperson of our Finance Committee.

TRAVIS E. REED

Mr. Reed is founder of Reed Investment Corporation, which acquires equity interests in various businesses. He has served as its President since 1977.

Mr. Reed's experiences over almost five decades in the financial industry as an investor qualify him to serve on our Board. As an entrepreneur, he brings a unique perspective to the challenge of balancing risk and rewards faced by our businesses and in acquisition transactions. He has gained experiences valuable to our Board by serving as a founder, director and/or officer of two publicly-held corporations and one privately-held corporation. His knowledge of complex financial arrangements, regulatory compliance, mergers and acquisitions, and markets and trading activities is helpful to the Board in evaluating the merits of strategic initiatives and acquisitions and addressing strategic challenges. His service at the U.S. Department of Commerce in a senior leadership role involving both domestic and international businesses brings to the Board an understanding of the impact of national governmental initiatives, policies and regulation on our businesses.

Mr. Reed has chaired the board audit committee of a major university and has provided our Audit Committee with valuable perspective in managing its relationship with our independent auditors and performance of its financial reporting oversight function. His experiences contribute to his effectiveness as Chairperson of our Audit Committee.

COMMITTEES AND MEETINGS

The Board appoints the members of the four Board committees, and they had the following number of meetings during the year:

Committee	Number of Meetings During 2013
Audit	4
Compensation	5
Finance	2
Governance	6

In 2013, the Board met eight times. Each director attended at least 75% of all regular and special Board meetings and all meetings of Board committees on which the director served. Seven directors who served during 2013 attended all such meetings.

Our directors shall, whenever reasonably practicable, attend annual meetings. All directors attended the 2013 Annual Meeting. Non-employee directors, all of whom are independent, meet regularly in private session without management. The Lead Independent Director presides over the private sessions of the independent directors.

LEADERSHIP, EXPECTATIONS, OVERSIGHT

Board Leadership Structure. Our Bylaws provide that the Board has the discretion but may choose not to appoint a Chairman of the Board. In the absence of such an appointment, the Chief Executive Officer chairs

Table of Contents

meetings of the Board. Our Board has not elected a Chairman of the Board with the result that our Chief Executive Officer, Stephen C. Hooley, chairs the Board meetings and discharges the other duties of Chairman.

Lead Independent Director. The Board has determined that the Board and the Company are presently best led by having a Lead Independent Director as well as having the Chief Executive Officer discharge the duties of a Chairman. Having the Chief Executive Officer perform the functions of a Chairman provides both accountability to the Board and clear and effective leadership for the Board and the Company, while avoiding any potential for confusion or duplication of efforts between the Chief Executive Officer and a separately appointed Chairman.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines, which are available on our website, www.dstsystems.com, provide for a strong lead independent director role and provide that the independent directors of the Board will designate a Lead Independent Director. In January 2013, Lawrence M. Higby was designated as Lead Independent Director. As Mr. Higby's current term is expiring at the end of the Annual Meeting and he is not standing for reelection, after the Annual Meeting, the independent directors will designate a new Lead Independent Director. The Lead Independent Director performs the following functions and such other functions as the Board may direct:

Presiding at all meetings of the Board at which the Chairman of the Board (if one is appointed) is not present, including executive sessions of the Board at which only non-management or independent directors are permitted to be present, along with other persons invited to attend such sessions by the Lead Independent Director or by consensus of a majority of the non-management or independent directors.

Serving as liaison between the non-management or independent directors and either the Chairman of the Board (if one is appointed) or the Chief Executive Officer.

Advising the Chairman of the Board (if one is appointed) or the Chief Executive Officer with respect to, and approving, Board agenda items (including items suggested by any non-management director), meeting schedules and information to be provided to the Board.

Serving as a point of contact for stockholders wishing to communicate with the Board other than through the Chairman of the Board (if one is appointed) or the Chief Executive Officer.

The independent directors of the Board regularly meet in executive session without management, and at such other times as the Lead Independent Director chooses. At the annual organizational meeting of the Board, and at such other times as the Lead Independent Director chooses, the independent directors may meet in executive session without management and without any non-independent directors.

Our governance processes, including the Board's involvement in developing and implementing strategy, active oversight of risk, regular review of business results and thorough evaluation of Chief Executive Officer performance and compensation, provide rigorous Board oversight of Mr. Hooley as he fulfills his various responsibilities, including discharging the duties of the Chairman.

Stock Ownership Expectations for Non-Employee Directors. The Board has adopted a guideline that its non-employee directors are expected to beneficially own an amount of DST stock with a fair market value equal to at least five times the annual minimum retainer for serving as a Board member. The guideline provides a grace period for achievement of such ownership level after joining the Board. The Board will consider personal circumstances, length of service on the Board, and the effect of market conditions in applying the guideline.

Board Risk Oversight. The Board, with the assistance of the Audit Committee, has oversight of the Company's risk assessment and risk management, with particular focus by the Board on material corporate governance and business strategy risks. The Audit Committee assists the Board with oversight of the Company's material financial risk exposures, including without limitation liquidity, credit, operational and investment risks, and the Company's material financial statement and financial reporting risks. The Compensation Committee assists the

Table of Contents

Board with oversight of whether the Company's compensation policies and practices for all employees, including non-executive officers, create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company, and whether the effect of incentive compensation structures for executive officers may cause inappropriate risk-taking. In each case, the Board or the Audit Committee oversees the steps Company management has taken to monitor and control such exposures.

The Chief Executive Officer, by leading Board meetings, facilitates reporting by the Audit and Compensation Committees to the Board of their respective activities in risk oversight assistance. The Lead Independent Director, who serves on both committees, suggests risk management topics to be discussed at Board meetings as he and other non-management directors deem appropriate. He may lead risk management discussions in executive sessions of non-management or independent directors. The Chief Executive Officer's collaboration with the Board allows him to gauge whether management is providing adequate information for the Board to understand the interrelationships of our various business risks. He is available to the Board to address any questions from directors regarding executive management's ability to identify and mitigate risks and weigh them against potential rewards.

INDEPENDENCE, ACCESSIBILITY, AND ACCESS TO ADVISORS

Non-Employee Director Independence. New York Stock Exchange standards, certain securities and tax laws, and our Corporate Governance Guidelines govern the independence of non-employee directors. A majority of our Board must be independent, and directors must be independent for purposes of Board committee service. Our Board has determined the independence for Board service and for service on their respective Board committees of each of Messrs. Allinson, Argyros, Bryan, Higby, Law, Liss and Reed. In addition, our Board has determined that Board Nominees Bleil and Clark would qualify as independent directors. In determining the independence of Ms. Bleil, the Board considered that, until her retirement in November 2013, Ms. Bleil was a Senior Partner at McKinsey & Company ("McKinsey") and was part of the McKinsey team that provided professional consulting services to the Company. The amount of fees paid to McKinsey in 2013 was approximately \$4.08 million. These fees were received directly by McKinsey as a firm, and Ms. Bleil's direct compensation as a Senior Partner of McKinsey was not a function of these fees.

As a group, the independent directors constitute a majority of the Board. Mr. Hooley, our Chief Executive Officer, is the only member of the Board who is also an employee, and is the only director who is not independent under applicable independence standards.

To determine independence for service on the Board and its committees, the Board has adopted categorical independence standards consistent with the New York Stock Exchange Standards and contained in our Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Board has applied these categorical independence standards in determining the independence of each non-employee director and the Board Nominees. It uses the standards to determine whether a non-employee director has a material relationship with us, either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with us.

Under the Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board presumes a non-employee director is independent if the director:

during the preceding three years:

has not been our employee and has no immediate family member (as defined in the Corporate Governance Guidelines) whom we have employed as an executive officer, and

has not received, and has no immediate family member who has received, more than \$120,000 in any 12-month period in direct compensation from us (other than in certain allowable circumstances including serving in his or her capacity as a member of the Board or of any Board committee);

Table of Contents

is not and has not been within the last three years, and has no immediate family member who is or has been within the last three years, employed as an executive officer by any company on whose compensation committee any one of our current executive officers concurrently serves or served;

is not a current employee, and has no immediate family member who is a current executive officer, of:

the Company,

a company that made payments to or received payments from us for property or services in any of the last three fiscal years in an amount which exceeds the greater of \$1 million or 2% of such other company's consolidated gross revenues, as reported in the last completed fiscal year of such company, or

a charitable organization to which we contributed in any of the last three fiscal years more than 2% of such charitable organization's consolidated gross revenues or \$1 million, whichever is greater;

has no immediate family member who is a current partner of a firm that is our internal or external auditor;

has no immediate family member who is a current employee of a firm that is our internal or external auditor and personally works on the Company's audit;

has no immediate family member who was, within the last three years, a partner or employee of such a firm and personally worked on our audit within that time; and

is not a current partner or employee of a firm that is our internal or external auditor, and who was not within the last three years a partner or employee of such a firm and personally worked on our audit within that time.

The Corporate Governance Guidelines are available on our website, www.dstsystems.com. They explain circumstances in which a director can be independent even though one or more of the above circumstances exist.

The Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that a non-employee director is independent for purposes of serving on the Audit Committee only if he has not received any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee other than for serving on the Board or a Board committee, and he is not considered an affiliated person of the Company under applicable securities regulations.

The Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the Board must consider whether, for purposes of serving on the Compensation Committee, a non-employee director has received any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee other than for serving on the Board or Board committees or is affiliated with the Company or one of its subsidiaries or affiliates.

Table of Contents

Interested Party and Stockholder Communication with Directors. Interested parties and stockholders may communicate in writing with the Board, the Lead Independent Director, any director, or any group of directors such as all non-employee directors or all members of a Board committee. A vendor unaffiliated with DST receives such communications and forwards them to directors. You may direct communications to the directors in care of our vendor:

Clarence M. Kelley and Associates, Inc. Attention: Rod Smith/regarding DST 7945 Flint Lenexa, Kansas 66214

BOARD COMMITTEE MATTERS AND REPORTS

AUDIT COMMITTEE

We identify Audit Committee members in a table under "The Board of Directors." Committee members serve staggered three-year terms corresponding with their terms as directors. As described in the Committee's charter, the Committee is responsible for:

appointing, approving the services and overseeing the work of, and receiving reports directly from, the independent registered public accounting firm;

reviewing audited financial statements and various other public disclosures;

assisting the Board in overseeing material financial risk exposures; and

assisting the Board in overseeing our internal audit function and legal and regulatory compliance, as well as the integrity of our financial statements and certain internal controls.

Our Board has determined that Messrs. Liss and Reed, who are independent under the applicable standards, are each an "audit committee financial expert" as defined in securities regulations. Other members of the Committee may also qualify as audit committee financial experts under the regulations. No Committee member serves on more than two other public company audit committees.

Audit Committee Report

We reviewed and discussed the Company's consolidated financial statements with management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, DST's independent registered public accounting firm. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP gave us its opinion, and management represented, that the Company prepared its consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America. We discussed with the Company's independent registered public accountants the matters that Auditing Standard No. 16 (Communications with Audit Committees), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB"), requires the Committee and the auditors to discuss.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP gave us and we reviewed the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with us concerning independence. We also discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP its independence from management.

Based on the above discussions, we recommended to the Board that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

THE AUDIT COMMITTEE Travis E. Reed, Chairperson A. Edward Allinson Lowell L. Bryan Lawrence M. Higby Samuel G. Liss

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Committee Structure. We identify Compensation Committee members in a table under "The Board of Directors." Committee members serve one-year terms. As described in the Committee's charter, the Committee is responsible for:

establishing policies and procedures for compensating executive officers and non-employee directors

retaining independent compensation consultants

determining the structure and objectives of each element of executive officer compensation, and the base salaries, incentive award opportunity levels, and all other components of such compensation

setting incentive compensation goals

approving awards under equity and incentive compensation programs, and exercising administrative authority under benefit plans

evaluating Chief Executive Officer performance and reviewing evaluations of the performance of other executive officers

recommending to the Board the structure of non-employee director compensation

assisting the Board in overseeing compensation risk including determinations regarding the risk of employee compensation practices and policies

approving certain compensation disclosures.

Executive Officer Compensation Practices. The processes and procedures for determining executive officer compensation are written and were approved by the Committee. The Committee is responsible for and has the authority under its Charter to determine the components of executive officer compensation.

In support of its pay-for-performance philosophy, the Committee ties a significant portion of executive officer compensation to the creation of stockholder value over the long-term, structures executive officer compensation so that a significant portion of total compensation is at-risk rather than fixed, and uses balanced performance goals that incent short- and long-term objectives.

The Committee periodically reviews executive officer compensation. For each review, the Committee may consider, and decide the weight it will give to, any combination of the following:

market competitive data

individual responsibilities

Company or business unit performance and objectives and other Company financial and strategic information

tax and accounting impact of proposed compensation

retention

effects of a potential severance, change in control or Company transaction.

The Committee may request our Chief Executive Officer or Vice President of Global Human Resources to recommend compensation package components. Such officers communicate executive hiring and retention

Table of Contents

concerns to the Committee. The Committee may ask the Chief Executive Officer, Vice President of Global Human Resources, Chief Financial Officer or General Counsel to provide the Committee with:

market analysis data

proposed benefit plan terms and conditions

financial, accounting and tax information

legal requirements for benefit plan and award structures

valuation information regarding outstanding awards and undistributed account balances and other historical Company compensation

Company performance data and individual performance evaluations.

The Committee develops the criteria for evaluating Chief Executive Officer performance and annually reviews his performance against such criteria. The Chief Executive Officer periodically discusses with the Committee his view of the performance of the other executive officers.

The 2005 Equity Incentive Plan permits the Committee to delegate certain administrative matters, and the Committee has made administrative delegations to the Chief Executive Officer, Vice President of Global Human Resources, and Chief Financial Officer.

The Committee and the Board rely on the Vice President of Global Human Resources to implement compensation decisions and adopt appropriate compensation procedure internal controls.

Non-Employee Director Compensation Practices. The processes and procedures for determining non-employee director compensation are written and were approved by the Committee. The Committee recommends components of non-employee director compensation to the Board. The Board is responsible for and has the authority to determine the components of non-employee director compensation.

Compensation Consultant Engagements. The Committee may retain, at Company expense, a compensation consultant to advise the Committee on executive compensation practices and trends and to assist the Committee with determinations it may make. Under its Charter, the Committee must take into consideration certain factors regarding the independence of the consultant. The consultant recommends to the Committee executive officer and non-employee director compensation alternatives based on market data, but does not determine such compensation. The Committee has engaged Deloitte Consulting LLP ("Deloitte") with respect to executive officer compensation and has determined Deloitte to be independent. The fees charged by Deloitte for compensation-related services during 2013 were \$267.357.

During 2013, Deloitte affiliates provided services that were not related to compensation consulting. Recipients were DST, DST subsidiaries, including ALPS Holdings, Inc. and its businesses, and investment company clients advised by (and not under the control of) the ALPS businesses. ALPS-related engagements reflect arrangements that were in place prior to our acquisition of ALPS, and thus those arrangements were not initially recommended by members of our management. Our management has determined to continue procuring these services from Deloitte affiliates.

Other Deloitte Services During 2013	Fees (\$)
Internal Control Attest Work for ALPS businesses (including amounts paid by or reflected in fees to clients)	221,500
Audit and audit-related services for ALPS clients	749,427
Tax-related services for ALPS clients	320,293
Tax Services for DST executives reimbursed by DST	7,450
Tax Services	19,186
Accounting Advisory Services	145,664

Table of Contents

The Committee has reviewed the various services provided by Deloitte and its affiliates and has approved the provision of all such services. The Committee does not believe that any of the non-compensation services impair Deloitte's ability to provide independent advice to the Committee or otherwise pose a conflict of interest.

Employee Compensation Risk. The Committee determines whether employee compensation policies and practices create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Committee obtains information from the Vice President of Global Human Resources regarding corporate, business unit, domestic, international, incentive, equity, sales commission and other programs and considers controls such as benchmarking, setting goals and award limits, and receiving assistance from independent compensation consultants. In February 2014, the Committee determined that our employee compensation practices and policies do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Compensation Committee Report

We reviewed and discussed with management the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this Proxy Statement. Based on such review and discussion, we recommended to the Board that this Proxy Statement include the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis."

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Lowell L. Bryan, Chairperson George L. Argyros Lawrence M. Higby Brent L. Law Samuel G. Liss Travis E. Reed

FINANCE COMMITTEE

We identify Finance Committee members in a table under "The Board of Directors." Committee members serve one-year terms. As described in the Committee's charter, the Committee is responsible for:

assisting the Board in its oversight responsibilities with respect to financial policies, strategies and capital structure

advising the Board and our management on matters of asset and liability management, financial aspects of strategic and operational plans, and other such financial matters as may come before the Committee.

GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

Committee Functions and Structure. We identify Governance Committee members in a table under "The Board of Directors." Committee members serve one-year terms. As described in the Committee's charter, the Committee is responsible for:

identifying and recommending to the Board persons to serve as directors and on Board committees

evaluating independence and other qualifications of Board and committee members

recommending corporate governance guidelines to and overseeing evaluations of the Board

Table of Contents

adopting and implementing written policies and procedures for reviewing, approving and ratifying transactions of \$120,000 or more in which the persons listed in the Beneficial Ownership section or their immediate families have a direct or indirect material interest

adopting and performing certain administrative duties with respect to our Business Ethics and Legal Compliance Policy.

Related Person Transaction Procedures. Written policies and procedures adopted by the Committee address Committee review of transactions of \$120,000 or more in which the Company participates and a "related person" has a direct or indirect material interest. A "related person" is a director, executive officer, 5% or more stockholder, or immediate family member of any such person. Our management informs the Committee Chairperson whenever it becomes aware that any related person has, or during the relevant period has had, a direct or indirect material interest in a related person transaction and reports any actual or proposed related person transaction to the Committee Chairperson. For each such reported transaction, the Committee considers whether the related person serves on a Board committee and, if so, whether such continued service is appropriate under securities regulations pertaining to such committee. The Committee determines whether to ratify the transaction considering:

the significance of the transaction to the Company

the best interests of our stockholders

our ethics policy requirements

the materiality of the transaction to the related person

whether the transaction is significantly likely to impair any judgments an executive officer or director would make on our behalf.

If the Committee does not approve or ratify a transaction, it discusses with management a strategy for terminating the transaction or modifying the structure of the transaction.

Director Nomination Matters. In recommending nominees to the Board, the Committee identifies candidates who meet the current challenges and needs of the Board. The Committee identifies and evaluates nominees through multiple sources including Board and management referrals. The Committee has not adopted a policy for considering whether to designate as a Board nominee a candidate proposed by a stockholder. It does not believe a policy is necessary because it could respond on an ad hoc basis. It will consider director nominees timely proposed by stockholders in a written notice and evaluate stockholder nominees for director in the same manner it evaluates other nominees, which includes considering and giving weight to input about a nominee from management or incumbent directors.

In recommending a director nominee (including an incumbent director), the Committee considers:

whether the nominee has the requisite or appropriate experience, qualifications and skills

the nominee's commitment to prepare for and regularly attend meetings of the Board and committees

whether, if applicable, the nominee meets the New York Stock Exchange standards for independence and has qualifications and attributes necessary under applicable listing standards and laws and regulations for service on Board committees.

Table of Contents

In considering these items, the Committee may contemplate the interplay of the nominee's attributes with those of the other Board members and appraise the extent to which a candidate would be a desirable addition to the Board and, as applicable, its committees. Although the Board does not have a specific policy for Board diversity, the Board may, as stated in the Corporate Governance Guidelines, consider whether the nominee's background would add to the diversity of experiences, qualifications, and skills the various directors may bring to their Board service. Additionally, the Committee considers in recommending an incumbent director for re-election the nominee's prior service on the Board, continued commitment to Board service, whether the nominee possesses the requisite financial and management experience and expertise appropriate for service on the Board and its respective committees, and any changes in employment or other status that are likely to affect such nominee's qualifications to serve.

Based on an amendment to the Corporate Governance Guidelines dated February 24, 2011 ("Restriction Commencement Date"), the Committee generally prohibits nominations of individuals who are age 75 or older at the date of nomination ("Age Restriction"). For purposes of assuring transition of productive relationships and necessary skills among directors and to facilitate an appropriate process of succession upon the adoption of the Age Restriction, the restricted age is 80 (rather than 75) for any incumbent director who was age 70 or older at the Restriction Commencement Date. The Board may approve an exception to the Age Restriction under extraordinary circumstances, on a case-by-case basis.

2014 Argyros Agreement. The Company entered into an agreement (the "2014 Argyros Agreement") with Julia A. Argyros, the Argyros Family Trust, GLA Financial Corporation and HBI Financial, Inc. (collectively, the "Argyros Group"), relating to the Company's 2014 Annual Meeting, certain governance matters of the Company and a process to help facilitate the disposition of a substantial portion of the Argyros Group's ownership of DST stock (the "Argyros Disposition").

Pursuant to the 2014 Argyros Agreement, the Company agreed to nominate John W. Clark (or his Replacement (as defined below)) and Lynn Dorsey Bleil (collectively, the "2014 Nominees") for election as directors at the 2014 Annual Meeting. In addition, each of the Company and the Argyros Group agreed to take all necessary actions to nominate the 2014 Nominees for election to the Board at the 2014 Annual Meeting, and the Argyros Group agreed to vote its shares of DST stock in favor of each of the 2014 Nominees. Information on the 2014 Nominees is included in this Proxy Statement under "The Board of Directors." In addition, the Argyros Group agreed to support the Company's recommendations with respect to voting for the stockholder proposals submitted to the Company for consideration at the 2014 Annual Meeting. As requested by the Company, the Argyros Group agreed to abstain from voting for Stockholder Proposal 6 regarding the repeal of the Company's classified Board of Directors. Information on the stockholder proposals is included in this Proxy Statement under "Additional Proposals Requiring Your Vote."

The 2014 Argyros Agreement also provides that, if Mr. Clark (or his Replacement) is unable to serve as a nominee for election as director at the 2014 Annual Meeting or if Mr. Clark (or his Replacement) is unable to serve as a director during the three-year term for which Mr. Clark is elected at the 2014 Annual Meeting (the "Term"), for any reason, the holder(s) of a majority of the shares held by the Argyros Group as of March 23, 2014 will have the right to submit the name of a replacement (the "Replacement") to the Company for its reasonable approval and who will serve as the nominee for election as director at the 2014 Annual Meeting or serve as director in such vacated seat during the remainder of the Term until the Company approves that such Replacement will serve as a nominee for election as director at the 2014 Annual Meeting or will serve as a director during the remainder of the Term.

In the 2014 Argyros Agreement, the Company and the Argyros Group agreed to negotiate in good faith definitive agreements (the "Definitive Agreements") to facilitate, among other things, certain transactions relating to the Argyros Disposition (the "Transactions"), described in the term sheet attached to the 2014 Argyros Agreement as Exhibit A (the "Term Sheet"). Subject to the negotiation and finalization of the terms and conditions to be set forth in, and the execution of, the Definitive Agreements, the Transactions contemplated would include a

Table of Contents

registered, secondary common stock offering by the Argyros Group of \$450 million (before any overallotment option) of DST stock beneficially owned by the Argyros Group (the "Offering"), and concurrent with the consummation of the Offering, the Company would repurchase \$200 million of DST stock beneficially owned by the Argyros Group and not sold in the Offering (the "Repurchase").

Subject to the negotiation and finalization of the terms and conditions to be set forth in, and the execution of, the Definitive Agreements, in the event that the Argyros Group does not consummate the Offering within nine months following the earlier of (i) the execution by the Company and the Argyros Group of Definitive Agreements and (ii) April 24, 2014 (such earlier date, the "Signing Date," and such nine-month period, the "Transaction Period"), so long as the Company has complied with its obligations, to be contained in such Definitive Agreements, to the Argyros Group, the Company would no longer have any obligation to help facilitate the Argyros Disposition.

Subject to the negotiation and finalization of the terms and conditions to be set forth in, and the execution of, the Definitive Agreements, in the event the Transactions are consummated or in certain other circumstances described in the Term Sheet, the Argyros Group and the Company would enter into a customary registration rights agreement providing for, depending on the particular circumstances, two or three demand registrations and setting forth the terms pursuant to which the Company would participate in an offering pursuant to a demand registration of at least \$50 million of DST stock beneficially owned by the Argyros Group per each registration (the 'Demand Registrations'), and the right of the Argyros Group to the Demand Registrations would expire on the fifth anniversary of the Signing Date.

In the 2014 Argyros Agreement, subject to the negotiation and finalization of the terms and conditions to be set forth in, and the execution of, the Definitive Agreements, the Argyros Group would enter into certain customary standstill provisions, including restrictions on acquisitions by the Argyros Group of shares of DST stock, in addition to certain ongoing restrictions on the rights of the Argyros Group to submit director nominees for election to the Board of Directors of the Company, in each case, to expire on the later of the 5th anniversary of consummation of the Transactions or the date on which the Argyros Group beneficially owns less than 5% of the shares outstanding of DST stock, and the Company and the Argyros Group would agree to the following relating to the terms of directors and arrangements for director nominees proposed by the Argyros Group and to be submitted to the Company's stockholders for election to the Board:

On the earlier of (x) consummation of the Transactions, (y) the date on which the Argyros Group owns less than 10% of shares of DST stock outstanding and (z) the expiration of Mr. Law's (or his Replacement's) current term as a director of the Board, Mr. Law (or his Replacement) will resign from the Board or agree not to stand for election at the 2016 Annual Meeting, as applicable.

So long as the Argyros Group owns 5% or more of shares of DST stock outstanding, the Argyros Group will have the right to nominate one director for election at the Company's annual meeting of stockholders unless the Argyros Group nominee already sits on the Board and is not up for election at such annual meeting, and the Argyros Group will have the right to select a Replacement for such nominee or director.

On the first date that the Argyros Group owns less than 5% of shares of DST stock outstanding, then each of Mr. Clark and Mr. Law (or their respective Replacements) will resign from the Board.

Subject to the negotiation and finalization of the terms and conditions to be set forth in, and the execution of, the Definitive Agreements, the Argyros Group would vote in favor of the Company's slate of director nominees and any other matters brought before the stockholders by the Company at any annual meeting of stockholders.

The Definitive Agreements, which are subject to the negotiation, finalization and execution by the Company and the Argyros Group, will be filed with the SEC. The terms and conditions described above with respect to the

Table of Contents

Transactions or other matters set forth in the Term Sheet will only come into effect upon execution of the Definitive Agreements by the Company and the Argyros Group.

In addition, pursuant to the 2014 Argyros Agreement, the Argyros Family Trust withdrew its notice of nomination of two director nominees for election to the Board of Directors and four proposals for consideration by stockholders at the 2014 Annual Meeting.

The foregoing is not a complete description of the 2014 Argyros Agreement and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the 2014 Argyros Agreement. For a copy of the 2014 Argyros Agreement, see Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K that was filed with the SEC on March 24, 2014.

2013 Argyros Agreement. The Company entered into an agreement (the "2013 Argyros Agreement") on January 22, 2013 with George L. Argyros, the Argyros Family Foundation, the Argyros Family Trust, GLA Financial Corporation, HBI Financial, Inc., the Lenore Trigonis Trust Established under the Leon and Olga Argyros 1986 Trust and the Selia Poulos Trust Established under the Leon and Olga Argyros 1986 Trust (collectively, the "2013 Argyros Group"). The 2013 Argyros Agreement provides, among other things, that the Company will maintain the size of the Board at no more than nine directors at least until the conclusion of the 2014 Annual Meeting. In addition, if Mr. Law, who was elected at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "2013 Annual Meeting") to serve as a director for a three-year term ending in 2016, or his replacement (the "Replacement"), is unable to serve as a director at any point during such three-year term, for any reason, the 2013 Argyros Agreement provides that the 2013 Argyros Group shall have the right to submit the name of a Replacement to the Company for its reasonable approval, and the Replacement shall serve as Director in the seat vacated by Mr. Law or his Replacement for the remaining portion of such three-year term. The 2013 Argyros Group shall have the right to continue submitting the name of a proposed Replacement to the Company for its reasonable approval until the Company approves such Replacement, whereupon such person shall be appointed as the Replacement.

Pursuant to the 2013 Argyros Agreement, the Company also agreed to take, and did, in fact, take, certain actions with respect to the 2013 Annual Meeting that are described in the Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting that was filed on March 19, 2013.

The foregoing is not a complete description of the 2013 Argyros Agreement and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the 2013 Argyros Agreement. For a copy of the 2013 Argyros Agreement, see Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K that was filed with the SEC on January 23, 2013.

NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Only non-employee directors participate in the director compensation program. The Board considered Board and committee members' duties and the Compensation Committee's recommendations in approving the program. The following table shows the compensation program for 2013:

Compensable Event/Position	Fee (\$)
Annual Cash Retainer	40,000
Retainer for Lead Independent Director	30,000
Committee Chairperson Retainer	20,000 Audit
•	15,000 Compensation
	15,000 Finance
	12,500 Governance
Board Meeting Fee	5,000 in-person
	1,000 by teleconference
Committee Meeting Fee	2,000 in-person
	500 by teleconference
Annual Equity Award*	130,000 (fair market value of DST stock)

Grants of DST stock are made pursuant to the 2005 Non-Employee Directors' Award Plan and are paid as soon as practicable following the date of the annual meeting if the non-employee director will continue to serve immediately following such meeting. The number of shares is based on the fair market value (as determined under rules of Compensation Committee) on the date of grant.

To address retirement and tax planning, the Board allows non-employee directors to defer their cash compensation. The DST Systems, Inc. Directors' Deferred Fee Plan, a nonqualified deferred compensation plan, governs the deferrals and allows non-employee directors to annually elect deferral of all or a part of any cash compensation earned during the next calendar year. We credit each participating non-employee director's account with the amount of compensation deferred. We adjust the account monthly by a rate of return on a hypothetical investment the director selects among a limited number of choices including long-term investments, both equity-based and income-oriented. If the non-employee director does not select hypothetical investments for all or a portion of the account, we adjust the account by an interest factor equal to a rate of return the Board selects. Non-employee directors are fully vested in their accounts.

We will distribute a non-employee director's plan account balance after Board service terminates. We pay balances in a lump sum but will pay them in installments not to exceed ten years if the Board allows and the director has timely elected installments pursuant to plan provisions and applicable tax laws and regulations.

We have established a grantor trust in connection with the current Directors' Deferred Fee Plan. We may fund the trust equal to the sum of the payout obligations under such plans. If on or after a change in control we fail to honor obligations under such plans to a plan participant, the trust, if funded, is to distribute the required amounts to the plan participants. The trust requires us to be solvent to distribute trust accounts. Trust assets are subject to the claims of our creditors in the event of our bankruptcy. The Compensation Committee may revoke the trust until we have a change in control. The trust uses the same definition of change in control as used in executive compensation award agreements, summarized under "NEO Award/Account Values for Certain Events."

We continue to hold fully-vested fees related to Mr. Allinson's prior service on the Board from 1977 to 1990. The fees are held in a directors' deferred fee plan that terminated effective August 31, 1995. On February 26, 2014, the Board determined to liquidate this terminated plan. A payout will be made to Mr. Allinson which we anticipate will occur in the second quarter of 2014. As of December 31, 2013, the balance was \$14.7 million.

Table of Contents

1

2

3

We purchase term life insurance for non-employee directors. The directors name the policy beneficiaries. We provide spousal travel to occasional off-site planning meetings and reimburse family entertainment at such meetings. If we do not incur an incremental cost for an additional passenger, the spouse or significant other of a director may accompany the director to the location at which meetings of the Board or its committees are occurring by traveling on aircraft in which we have an interest.

2013 NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

	A Fees	В	C	D
	Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards1	All Other Compensation2	Total
	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
A. Edward Allinson	85,000	130,000	23	215,023
George L. Argyros	91,000	130,000	23	221,023
Lowell L. Bryan	114,000	130,000	71	244,071
Lawrence M. Higby	133,500	130,000	71	263,571
Brent L. Law	95,333	173,333	71	268,737
Samuel G. Liss	114,000	130,000	71	244,071
Travis E. Reed	119,000	130,000	23	249,023
Robert T. Jackson, Former Director ³	1.500		71	1.571

Each non-employee director continuing service after the annual meeting received 1,868 shares of DST stock as of the date of the 2013 annual meeting. We determined the number of shares by dividing \$130,000 by \$69.595, the average of the highest and lowest reported sale price of DST stock on May 14, 2013, the date of the 2013 annual meeting. Mr. Law, who joined the Board in February 2013, received an additional 642 shares, reflecting a pro-rated amount for his partial year of service. We determined the number by dividing \$43,333 (one-third of the annual grant amount) by \$67.50, the average of the highest and lowest reported sale price of DST stock on the date of Mr. Law's appointment.

None of our non-employee directors had perquisites in an amount of at least \$10,000, so Column C does not include any amounts attributable to perquisites. Amounts in Column C are for term life insurance premiums.

Mr. Jackson completed his service on the Board in early 2013.

Directors may participate in our charitable match program. Under the program, the Company, through a donor-advised fund at a community charitable foundation, matches contributions by the director to qualified not-for-profit organizations in an annual amount equal to three times the contribution but not to exceed \$30,000. Matching amounts to the foundation were \$30,000 for each of the directors other than Mr. Higby, who did not participate. We have not included matching amounts in compensation. We do not believe the contribution directly or indirectly affects the director personally.

PROPOSAL 1 ELECT DIRECTORS

Our Bylaws divide our Board into three classes with class terms expiring each year in rotation. At each annual meeting, stockholders elect a class of directors for a full three-year term. Our Board asks you to elect Lynn Dorsey Bleil and John W. Clark (collectively, the "Board Nominees") for a three-year term expiring in 2017 or until their successors are duly elected and qualified. The Board Nominees are willing to serve as directors.

The Board applied the nominating processes described under "Board Committee Matters and Reports." It considered the Board Nominees' backgrounds and experiences described under "The Board of Directors."

If a Board Nominee should become unavailable for election, the Proxy Committee will vote for another nominee whom the Governance Committee will propose in accordance with the 2014 Argyros Agreement described under "Board Committee Matters and Reports."

OUR BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT
YOU VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION OF THE BOARD NOMINEES

1

2

3

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Engagement. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP served as our independent registered public accounting firm as of and for the year ended December 31, 2013. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP performed professional services in connection with the audit of our consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting and the review of reports we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. It also reviewed control procedures of our mutual fund processing services and provided us certain other accounting, auditing and tax services.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's fees for services related to 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

Type of Fees	2013(\$)	2012(\$)
Audit Fees	5,061,733	4,993,682
Audit-Related Fees ^{1,2}	2,845,298	2,630,352
Tax Fees ^{1,3}	3,085,689	3,815,902
All Other Fees ^{1,4}	10,048	27,080

The Audit Committee has determined that the provision of these services is compatible with maintaining the independence of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

\$2,668,200 of the 2013 amount and \$2,475,900 of the 2012 amount was for attest services relating to SSAE 16 reports and other controls reviews; \$69,500 of the 2013 amount and \$68,400 of the 2012 amount was for financial statement audits of employee benefit plans; and \$107,598 of the 2013 amount and \$113,132 of the 2012 amount was for projects related to agreed upon procedures, due diligence and a reasonable assurance.

\$880,922 of the 2013 amount and \$1,122,542 of the 2012 amount was for U.S. federal, state and local tax, and international compliance; and \$2,204,767 of the 2013 amount and \$2,693,360 of the 2012 amount was for other tax services.

Of the 2013 amount \$10,048 was for United Kingdom revenue recognition software training. Of the 2012 amount \$25,280 was for United Kingdom tax reporting assistance.

Engagement Procedures. Audit Committee procedures prohibit the Committee from engaging an independent registered public accounting firm to perform any service it may not perform under the securities laws. The Audit Committee must pre-approve the independent registered public accounting firm's annual audit of our consolidated financial statements. The procedures require the Committee or its Chairperson to pre-approve or reject any other audit or non-audit services the independent registered public accounting firm is to perform. The Committee has directed that its Chairperson, with the assistance of our Chief Financial Officer, present and describe at regularly scheduled Audit Committee meetings all pre-approved services. The Committee has required management to present services for pre-approval within a specified period in advance of the date the services are to commence. The Committee regularly examines whether the fees for audit services exceed estimates. Securities regulations waive pre-approval requirements for certain non-audit services if their aggregate amount does not exceed specified amounts we pay to the independent registered public accounting firm. The procedures require the Committee or its Chairperson to approve, prior to completion of the audit, any services subject to this waiver. The Committee has not applied the waiver to a non-audit service. The Audit Committee pre-approved all services PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP rendered to us and our subsidiaries for 2013.

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP

As of March 20, 2014, we had 42,110,644 shares of DST stock outstanding. The following table shows share ownership as of such date based upon available information.

	Shares of	
	our	Percent
	Common	of
	Stock1	Class1
Name and Address	(#)	(%)
Name and Address	(π)	(70)
Julia A. Argyros ²	9,203,301	21.9
Bank of Montreal and certain subsidiaries ³	2,568,387	6.1
BlackRock, Inc. ⁴	2,332,219	5.5
A. Edward Allinson ⁵	50,872	*
Director		
George L. Argyros, Director ^{2,5}	356,631	*
Jonathan J. Boehm ⁵	46,083	*
Executive Vice President and Head of Healthcare Businesses	,	
Lowell L. Bryan ⁵	11,241	*
Director		
Kenneth V. Hager ⁵	93,096	*
Retired Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer		
Lawrence M. Higby ⁵	8,027	*
Director		
Stephen C. Hooley ⁵	203,405	*
Chief Executive Officer and President		
Brent L. Law ⁵	2,510	*
Director		
Samuel G. Liss ⁵	4,310	*
Director		
Travis E. Reed ⁵	10,563	*
Director		
Steven J. Towle ⁵	55,181	*
Executive Vice President and Head of Financial Services		
Robert L. Tritt ⁵	34,181	*
Retired Executive Vice President		
Current Executive Officers and Directors as a Group (17 Persons) ⁵	913,258	2.2

Less than 1% of the aggregate as of March 20, 2014 of DST stock and the Beneficially Owned Equity Awards described in note (1).

The percentage for each person or group is based on the number of shares outstanding as of March 20, 2014 and includes shares for

which beneficial ownership is disclaimed, as described in the notes to this table. As provided by securities regulations, a person is deemed to be a beneficial owner of a security if that person has or shares voting power, which includes the power to vote or direct the voting of such security, or investment power, which includes the power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of such security. Except as otherwise stated in these notes, the holders have sole power to vote or direct the vote and dispose or direct the disposition of the shares. A person is also deemed to be a beneficial owner of any securities which that person has a right to acquire beneficial ownership of within sixty days (including exercisable options and also including time-vesting restricted stock units that a retirement-eligible person would acquire if he terminated employment, which we refer to, collectively, as "Beneficially Owned Equity

Awards"). Securities that can be so acquired are deemed to be outstanding for purposes of computing such person's percentage ownership, but not for purposes of computing any other person's percentage ownership.

33

Table of Contents

2

Mr. and Mrs. Argyros' address is c/o Arnel Development Company, 949 South Coast Drive, Suite 600, Costa Mesa, California 92626. We based information with respect to Mr. and Mrs. Argyros and their beneficial ownership on Amendment No. 9 dated March 23, 2014 to a Schedule 13D. The Schedule 13D reports that Mrs. Argyros is the beneficial owner of 9,203,301 shares, consisting of:

4,715,384 shares held by the Argyros Family Trust, of which Mrs. Argyros is the sole trustee

4,130,500 shares held by HBI Financial Corporation of which the Argyros Family Trust is the sole stockholder and Mrs. Argyros is the Chief Executive Officer

1,686 shares held by GLA Financial Corporation of which the Argyros Family Trust is the sole stockholder

355,731 shares held by the Argyros Family Foundation of which Mrs. Argyros is the President and Chief Executive Officer.

The Schedule 13D reports that Mr. Argyros is the beneficial owner of 356,631 shares, consisting of:

450 shares held by the Lenore Trigonis Trust of which Mr. Argyros is the trustee and which was established under the Leon and Olga Argyros 1986 Trust

450 shares held by the Selia Poulos Trust of which Mr. Argyros is Trustee and which was established under the Leon and Olga Argyros 1986 Trust

355,731 shares held by the Argyros Family Foundation of which Mr. Argyros is the Chairman.

Neither Mr. Argyros nor Mrs. Argyros has a pecuniary interest in shares held by the Argyros Family Foundation, a charitable foundation identified in the filing. Mr. Argyros disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares collectively held by the Leonore Trigonis Trust and the Selia Poulos Trust, and both Mr. and Mrs. Argyros disclaim beneficial ownership for the shares held by the Argyros Family Foundation.

3

As reported in a Schedule 13G dated February 14, 2014 filed by Bank of Montreal, 1 First Canadian Place, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5X 1A1. Bank of Montreal is the ultimate parent company of the following other reporting persons identified in the filing: BMO Harris Financial Advisors, Inc., 311 W. Monroe, 14th Floor, Chicago, IL 60603, BMO Asset Management Corp., 115 South La Salle Street, Floor 11 West, Chicago, IL 60603, and BMO Harris Bank, N.A., 111 West Monroe Street, Floor 6E, Chicago, IL 60690 (with Bank of Montreal, collectively the "Bank Holders"). BMO Financial Advisors, Inc., BMO Asset Management Corp., and BMO Harris Bank N.A. are fiduciaries for certain employee benefit plans, trusts and/or customer accounts. The Bank Holders report sole voting power over 380,593 shares of DST stock, shared voting power over 2,187,573 shares of DST stock, sole dispositive power over 376,309 shares of DST stock, and shared dispositive power over 700 shares of DST stock. They specifically disclaim beneficial ownership of 2,187,573 shares of DST stock. The Schedule 13G reports that (i) BMO Harris Financial Advisors, Inc. has shared dispositive power over 221 shares of DST stock, (ii) BMO Asset Management Corp. has sole voting power and sole dispositive power over 376,204 shares of DST stock and (iii) BMO Harris Bank N.A. has sole voting power over 4,389 shares of DST stock, shared voting power over 2,187,573 shares of DST stock, sole dispositive power over 105 shares of DST stock, and shared dispositive power over 2,187,573 shares of DST stock. BMO Harris Bank N.A. specifically disclaims beneficial ownership of 2,187,573 shares. A division of BMO Harris Bank N.A. provides the trust services for our 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan and Employee Stock Ownership Plan.

Table of Contents

4

As reported in Schedule 13G filed on February 28, 2014 by BlackRock, Inc. ("BlackRock"), 40 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10022, BlackRock is a parent holding company with the following subsidiaries who are also beneficial owners: BlackRock Advisors (UK) Limited; BlackRock Advisors, LLC; BlackRock Asset Management Canada Limited; BlackRock Capital Management; BlackRock Financial Management, Inc.; BlackRock Fund Advisors; BlackRock Fund Management Ireland Limited; BlackRock Fund Managers Ltd; BlackRock Institutional Trust Company, N.A.; BlackRock International Limited; BlackRock Investment Management (UK) Ltd; BlackRock Investment Management, LLC; and BlackRock Life Limited.

5

The total number of shares shown consists of the following:

	Directly Held Shares (#)	Miscellaneous Indirect Holdingsb (#)	Beneficially Owned Equity Awards (#)
A. Edward Allinson	50,872		
George L. Argyros		356,631	
Jonathan J. Boehm	45,888	195	
Lowell L. Bryan	11,241		
Kenneth V. Hager ^a	62,027	30,000	1,069
Lawrence M. Higby ^a	8,027		
Stephen C. Hooley	57,221		146,184
Brent L. Law	2,510		
Samuel G. Liss	4,310		
Travis E. Reed	5,563	5,000	
Steven J. Towle	47,250	844	7,087
Robert L. Tritt	4,206	29,886	89
Current Executive Officers and Non-Employee Directors			
as a Group	350,501	367,833	194,924

a

Messrs. Hager and Higby share voting and dispositive power with their spouses of 65,286 and 8,027, respectively.

b

The other indirectly held shares are held in individual retirement accounts, trusts, through spouses, or otherwise. The trustee of benefit plans holds the voting and dispositive power over Mr. Tritt's indirect shares, which are held in our Employee Stock Ownership Plan. Beneficial ownership is disclaimed by Mr. Argyros as described in note (2) and by Mr. Reed as to 5,000 shares held in a trust.

INSIDER DISCLOSURES

Certain Transactions with Related Persons. On July 31, 2012, our Board elected Stephen C. Hooley as a director. Mr. Hooley became Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company on September 13, 2012, and had served as the Company's President and Chief Operating Officer since mid-2009. Mr. Hooley served from 2004 through mid-2009 as President and Chief Executive Officer of Boston Financial Data Services, Inc. ("Boston Financial"), DST's joint venture with State Street Corporation ("State Street"). He served from mid-2009 through April 2013 as non-executive Chairman of Boston Financial. He is currently a member of the board of Boston Financial. Mr. Hooley served during 2013 as Chief Executive Officer of International Financial Data Services Limited Partnership ("IFDS, L.P"), as Chairman of International Financial Data Services Limited ("IFDS UK"), and on the boards of those entities, both of which are joint ventures with State Street. By April 2013, he no longer served in any of the IFDS positions. He had served IFDS since 2007.

Mr. Hooley's brother, Joseph L. Hooley, is the Chief Executive Officer of State Street. A Company subsidiary holds investments in State Street (at December 31, 2013, approximately 7.0 million shares with a market value of approximately \$515.5 million).

For 2013, the Company had equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, net of income taxes provided by the unconsolidated affiliates, of \$3.6 million from IFDS, L.P. and \$6.1 million from IFDS UK. The Company's subsidiary DST Output U.K. Limited (Output U.K.) entered into a related party promissory note with IFDS UK on February 7, 2011. The note provides for unsecured revolving borrowings by Output U.K. that were initially \$7.8 million, but that amount decreases by approximately \$1.6 million annually until the facility matures on December 31, 2015. There were no amounts outstanding under this credit agreement as of December 31, 2013.

For 2013, the Company had equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates, net of income taxes provided by the unconsolidated affiliates, of \$8.2 million from Boston Financial. Boston Financial uses our mutual fund shareowner accounting and recordkeeping system and services as a remote services client. Certain of our subsidiaries provide printing, mailing and other services and license software to Boston Financial and its subsidiaries. In 2013, we had consolidated operating revenues of \$135.6 million from Boston Financial and its subsidiaries.

In 2011, DST acquired certain customer relationship assets (full-service client processing contracts) from Boston Financial. We recorded an intangible asset of \$10.7 million, which is being amortized over an estimated life of approximately ten years, and a payable to Boston Financial, which has been classified as debt and which is being paid on an installment basis over five years. At December 31, 2013, the principal amount outstanding to Boston Financial for this acquisition was \$5.8 million.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance. The securities regulations require our non-employee directors, certain of our officers, and each person who owns more than 10% of DST stock to file ownership reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Based on our review of the reports, and our officers' and directors' written representations to us, we believe all required reports were timely filed during the relevant period, except as follows: (i) Mr. Allinson had a single transaction reported on a late Form 4; (ii) Mr. Boehm had a single exempt transaction under a Company benefit plan reported on a late Form 4; and (iii) Messrs. Hooley and Towle each had late filings in connection with their participation in a Boston Financial Deferred Compensation Plan relating to their Boston Financial service prior to becoming DST employees. Mr. Hooley had a late Form 4 filing that covered a single transaction and a late Form 5 filing that reported 6 transactions reportable in prior periods. Mr. Towle had a late Form 5 filing that covered 45 transactions 41 of which related to periods prior to 2013. Mr. Towle's transactions result in his acquisition of a total of 153 additional shares over a 7-year period.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

All executive officers are elected by and serve at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors. Certain of the executive officers have employment agreements with the Company. There are no arrangements or understandings between the executive officers and any other person pursuant to which he was or is to be selected as an officer, except with respect to the executive officers who have entered into employment agreements, which agreements designate the position or positions to be held by the executive officer. None of the executive officers are related to one another or to any of the members of the Board of Directors.

Stephen C. Hooley, age 51, is the Company's Chief Executive Officer and President. He became Chief Executive Officer on September 12, 2012. He joined the Company in mid-2009 as President and Chief Operating Officer. He served from 2004 through mid-2009 as President and Chief Executive Officer of Boston Financial Data Services ("BFDS"). He served from 2009 through April 2013 as non-executive Chairman of BFDS. From 2007 through March 2013, he served as Chief Executive Officer of IFDS, L.P.

Manoochehr Mike Abbaei, age 53, became in March 2014 the Company's Executive Vice President and Head of Customer Communications leading the Company's Customer Communications business in North America. He joined the Company in April 2011 as President of DST Brokerage Solutions, LLC, a Financial Services segment business serving broker-dealers. He had been, since July 2009, managing partner at Finix Business Strategies and CEO of Finix Converge LLC, each of which the Company acquired in April 2011. The Finix companies engage in financial services consulting and social networking for corporations. As of March 19, 2014, Mr. Abbaei is no longer responsible for DST Brokerage Solutions but continues to lead Finix.

Jonathan J. Boehm, age 53, is the Company's Executive Vice President and Head of Healthcare Businesses. He re-joined the Company in 1997 after prior service in the early 1980s. Prior to becoming an Executive Vice President managing our healthcare businesses in 2009, he served as Group Vice President Mutual Funds Full Service. He is responsible for our Healthcare Services Segment, including DST HealthCare Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries DST Health Solutions and Argus Health Systems.

Edmund J. Burke, age 53, is the Company's Executive Vice President and President ALPS. ALPS includes the Corporation's investment management and asset servicing businesses. ALPS Holdings, Inc. became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company during 2011. Mr. Burke joined ALPS in 1991 and has served as President since 2000. As part of his responsibilities for the ALPS group of companies, Mr. Burke is President and Trustee of Clough Global Allocation Fund, Clough Global Equity Fund and Clough Global Opportunities Fund; Trustee of Liberty All-Star Equity Fund; Director of Liberty All-Star Growth Fund, Inc., and Chairman of the Board and President of Financial Investors Trust. Each of these funds operates as a registered investment company pursuant to the Investment Company Act of 1940.

Simon N. Hudson-Lund, age 52, became in March 2014 the Company's Executive Vice President and CEO DST International Holdings. He joined the Company in February 2013 as Chief Executive Officer of DST International Holdings, which owns DST Global Solutions Limited, Bluedoor, DST Process Solutions Limited and DST Output Limited, and as the Global Executive Chairman of IFDS, U.K. and IFDS, L.P. He has served in numerous positions with IFDS U.K., including as Chief Executive Officer (February 2008 through January 2013), Head of European Transfer Agent Operations (January 2011 through January 2013), Chief Operating Officer (July 2005 through January 2008), and Managing Director Administration Services-Client Finance and Transfer Agent Operations (June 2003 through June 2005). In March 2013, he became Chief Executive Officer of IFDS, L.P.

Steven J. Towle, age 56, became in March 2014 the Company's Executive Vice President and Head of Financial Services. He had served since 2004 as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company's U.S.

Table of Contents

Customer Communications business. Prior to joining the Company, he was BFDS's President and Chief Operating Officer during 2000 through 2003 and its Senior Vice President during 1997 through September 2000.

Gregg Wm. Givens, age 53, is the Company's Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. He assumed the role of Chief Financial Officer at the beginning of 2014. He joined the Company in 1996 as an officer and served as Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer from 1999 through 2013.

Vercie Lark, age 51, is Executive Vice President and Chief Information Officer. He joined the Company as Vice President and Chief Information Officer in June 2010 and assumed his current title in March 2014. He is responsible for the Company's global information technology infrastructure and architecture functions, global information privacy and security, and global information technology sourcing. Mr. Lark previously served since July 2009 as Vice President and Chief Information Officer of CenturyLink, Inc., a provider of voice, broadband and video services. He had served since 2008 as the Chief Information Officer of Embarq Corporation, which was acquired in 2009 by CenturyLink.

M. Elizabeth Sweetman, age 50, is Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer. She joined the Company in June 2013 as a vice president and assumed her current title in March 2014. Prior to joining the Company, she had served since 2007 as Senior Vice President Human Resources for Furniture Brands International, a home furnishings designer and manufacturer that, following her departure from that company, filed for bankruptcy in September 2013.

Randall D. Young, age 57, became Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary in mid-2013. Since 2002, he had served as Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. He joined the Company as a Vice President in 1995.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This section is the Compensation Committee's discussion and analysis of the 2013 compensation for the officers named in the "Summary Compensation Table" under NEO Compensation (the "named executive officers" or "NEOs"). References to "we" and "our" are to the Compensation Committee.

Executive Summary

During 2013 we enhanced NEO compensation programs in a number of ways in order to further align pay with the strategic and financial success of the Company. We continue to promote the alignment of interests between our NEOs and stockholders by tying a large portion of total compensation to key short- and long-term Company goals, and delivering a significant portion of total compensation in the form of DST stock.

2013 Financial Highlights

During 2013, the Company:

Continued monetization of non-operating assets, resulting in \$494.6 million of pre-tax proceeds.

Increased non-GAAP diluted EPS by 14.8% as compared to 2012, through improved operating results.

Generated higher revenues and operating income in all three operating segments, resulting in an improved operating margin as compared to 2012.

Processed 96.9 million total shareowner accounts, an increase of 8.8 million or 10.0% as compared to December 31, 2012.

Gained new client commitments in all three of DST's operating segments.

Decreased debt by \$328.6 million or 32.5% as compared to December 31, 2012.

Pay for Performance Enhancements for 2013

The following summarizes 2013 compensation actions taken by the Committee to further enhance the link between executive compensation and overall Company performance:

We increased the portion of overall compensation that is at-risk.

We increased the portion of the NEOs' long-term incentives that is in the form of performance-vesting equity. Two-thirds of the grant date fair value of the equity is in the form of Performance Stock Units.

We increased our focus on long-term results.

We incorporated a three-year performance goal into the Performance Stock Units so that no vesting occurs prior to completion of the three-year performance period.

We rebalanced the Chief Executive Officer's compensation so that a greater portion of his Total Direct Compensation (defined in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis") is tied to long-term performance.

We broadened our use of financial performance goals for variable compensation plans.

We used performance goals that, in combination, provide an appropriate balance between the Company's revenue growth, operating improvements and profitability objectives.

Annual incentives payouts are determined based 60% on Adjusted Earnings Per Share and 40% on Adjusted Operating Revenue, while Performance Stock Units vest based on cumulative three-year Adjusted EBITDA.

Table of Contents

We introduced a 'performance curve' for the 2013 Performance Stock Unit grant.

The number of units vesting decreases or increases based on varying levels of goal achievement (target, threshold and maximum). A minimum level of vesting is allowed if we achieve threshold performance. Vesting is at 150% of target if we achieve maximum performance.

Named Executive Officers

For 2013, the following executive officers are our NEOs.

Stephen C. Hooley Chief Executive Officer and President

Kenneth V. Hager
Jonathan J. Boehm
Steven J. Towle

Retired Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
Executive Vice President and Head of Healthcare Businesses
Executive Vice President and Head of Financial Services

Previously Chief Officer of our Customer Communications Business in the U.S. and U.K.

Robert L. Tritt Retired Executive Vice President and Leader of U.S. Investment Recordkeeping Solutions

2013 Executive Compensation Philosophy

We design NEO compensation to attract, motivate, and retain the key executives who drive our success and industry leadership. We seek to:

Align NEO and stockholder interests

Attract and retain quality leadership through competitive total compensation opportunities

Build and support a performance-driven culture

Motivate executives to deliver strong business results in line with stockholder expectations

Discourage excessive risk taking.

We use benchmarking data as a factor in determining the total compensation opportunity for each NEO. We target:

Base salary to approximate the median of the benchmarking data

Total Cash Compensation (base salary plus the annual incentive) to the median of the benchmarking data, with the opportunity to earn above median Total Cash Compensation for above median performance

Total Direct Compensation (the combination of Total Cash Compensation and long-term incentives) to the median of the benchmarking data, with the opportunity to earn above median Total Direct Compensation for above median performance.

The benchmarking data is gathered and presented to the Compensation Committee by our independent compensation consultant, Deloitte Consulting LLP ("Deloitte"). Peer group data is used as explained below. Deloitte supplements peer group data with published survey data from general industry and computer and data processing companies of a similar financial size.

Table of Contents

Peer Group

We used an industry peer group to assess competitive market compensation levels for the NEOs. We considered compensation at companies generally comparable to the Company in terms of annual revenue, market capitalization, and industry. The following seventeen companies comprised the compensation benchmarking industry peer group for 2013:

Alliance Data Systems Corporation Convergys Corporation CSG Systems International, Inc. Fiserv, Inc. Global Payments Inc. Jack Henry & Associates, Inc. MoneyGram International, Inc. Teletech Holdings Inc. Verifone Systems, Inc. Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc.
Corelogic, Inc.
Euronet Worldwide, Inc.
Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.
Heartland Payment Systems, Inc.
Lender Processing Services, Inc.
NCR Corporation
Total Systems Services, Inc.

Advice to the Compensation Committee

Deloitte provided various data, analyses and advice to the Compensation Committee on executive compensation matters during 2013. Deloitte reports directly to us and attends our Committee meetings as requested.

We welcome the Chief Executive Officer's input as we design NEO compensation programs and set the compensation of the other NEOs. However, the Chief Executive Officer is not present during discussions at Committee meetings or between Deloitte and the Committee regarding his compensation.

The Compensation Committee alone makes decisions about the amounts and forms of NEO compensation, and our decisions may reflect factors and considerations other than information and advice from the Chief Executive Officer, Deloitte and members of management.

Flexibility in Determining Compensation

So that total compensation opportunity can be tailored to reflect individual factors, we consider market benchmark compensation data for individual positions and also obtain additional information from management when setting pay levels, including:

the responsibilities of each individual NEO position

the compensation levels we believe are necessary to incent and retain individual NEOs

the performance of individual NEOs, including but not limited to the ability to meet business challenges strategically, plan long-range, achieve financial results, lead the team for which the officer is responsible, prudently steward our resources, and promote legal and ethical compliance.

We do not follow precise formulas when determining NEO compensation levels. Rather, we consider whether the various components of our compensation programs justify the cost to the Company and provide value to our stockholders. We periodically review amounts realizable from prior awards when considering retention and other compensation strategies.

Table of Contents

Components of Compensation

Base Salaries

Base salaries are a fixed compensation component, and their primary purpose is to attract and retain quality leadership. Base salaries for the NEOs are reviewed annually for potential adjustments.

Annual Incentives

The annual incentive plan is an at-risk, performance-based compensation program designed to align the interests of executives and stockholders by providing compensation based on the achievement of pre-determined annual financial goals.

For 2013, 60% of annual incentive performance was based on Adjusted EPS performance, with the remaining 40% tied to Adjusted Operating Revenue. Threshold, target and maximum goals (provided in this "Compensation Discussion and Analysis") were established in early 2013, with payout opportunities ranging from 0% to 150% of target.

Half of the incentive amount earned in excess of the threshold opportunity is paid in the form of deferred cash that vests in the third year following the performance period. We established this provision in order to provide an additional retention incentive to NEOs.

Long-term Incentives

Equity grants are designed to align NEO and stockholder interests through the appreciation of stock price over the vesting period. The Company utilizes a combination of time-vesting Restricted Stock Units (Time RSUs) and Performance Stock Units (PSUs) in order to provide NEOs with a balanced retention and performance incentive opportunity.

We apportioned the aggregate grant date fair value to each NEO so that one-third is in the form of Time RSUs and two-thirds are in the form of PSUs. Time RSUs vest in 20%, 30% and 50% increments over three years, while PSUs vest based on performance against a pre-determined three-year cumulative Adjusted EBITDA goal. Threshold, target and maximum goals were established in early 2013, with payout opportunities ranging from 0% to 150% of target.

Compensation Mix

Short-term Versus Long-term Incentive Compensation

For our Chief Executive Officer and other NEOs, the ratio of incentive compensation that is short-term (annual incentive) versus long-term (Time RSUs, PSUs) further illustrates our commitment to long-term stockholder value creation. The majority of incentive compensation is tied to the Company's stock price over the long-term.

CEO Target Short- Vs. Long-term Incentive Compensation

Other NEO Target Short- Vs. Long-term Incentive Compensation

Table of Contents

At-risk Compensation

The majority of the Chief Executive Officer and other NEOs' compensation is considered at-risk, i.e., tied to Company performance over the short- and/or long-term. The at-risk elements of NEO compensation are the annual incentive and the PSUs. We set goals based on in-depth discussions with management regarding growth objectives, our mix of businesses, projections and competitive outlooks. We believe our emphasis on at-risk compensation supports our pay for performance philosophy by aligning Company and stockholder objectives.

We believe the additional emphasis on at-risk and long-term compensation for the Chief Executive Officer (when compared to the other NEOs) reflects his additional responsibility and overall contribution to Company performance.

CEO Target Fixed Vs. At Risk Compensation

Other NEO Target Fixed Vs. At Risk Compensation

2013 Compensation Details

Annual Base Salaries

Base salaries are intended to help attract and retain high caliber executive talent. NEO base salaries are targeted at the median of the industry peer group benchmark data, but also take into account each NEO's responsibilities, leadership, tenure, and retention risk.

The following table summarizes the 2013 base salary increases for the NEOs. Increases were based on consideration of retention and a general assessment of increases in the industries from which we draw talent.

	2012 Base		2013 Base
	Salary	% Increase	Salary
Stephen C. Hooley	\$800,000	0%	\$800,000
Kenneth V. Hager	\$319,302	1.5%	\$324,092
Jonathan J. Boehm	\$427,450	3.0%	\$440,274
Steven J. Towle	\$453,202	3.0%	\$466,798
Robert L. Tritt	\$427,450	3.0%	\$440,274

Annual Incentives

Chief Executive Officer

Other NEOs

The following table summarizes the 2013 annual incentive opportunity levels for the Chief Executive Officer and other NEOs as a percent of base salary.

2013 Target	
Bonus	
(% of Base	
Salary)	
150%	
100%	

Table of Contents

1

2

3

The following table summarizes the 2013 annual incentive payout 'curve' as a percentage of target goal achievement and states the corresponding weighted financial goals.

	Weighting	Threshold 50%	Target 100%	Maximum 150%
Adjusted EPS Goals ^{1,3}	60%	\$3.77	\$4.10	\$4.38
Adjusted Operating Revenue Goals ^{2,3}	40%	\$1.85 billion	\$1.95 billion	\$2.10 billion

Adjusted EPS is defined as diluted EPS for the reporting period as reflected in the audited financial statements, adjusted for the effect of shares repurchased and further adjusted as described in note (3).

Adjusted Operating Revenue is defined as consolidated operating revenue for the reporting period as reflected in the audited financial statements, adjusted as described in note (3).

Pre-determined adjustments are made to the level of goal achievement if a subsidiary or joint venture is sold, non-operating assets are sold or impaired, private equity fund distributions occur, enacted income tax rates change, or GAAP changes.

For the 2013 performance year, the annual incentive goal result was as follows:

		Performance				
	Actual Performance	as a % of Target	Weighting	Weighted Payout		
Adjusted EPS Goals ^{1,3} Adjusted Operating Revenue	\$4.72	150.0%	60%	90.0%		
Goals ^{2,3} Total	\$1.961 Billion	103.5%	40%	41.5% 131.5 %		

The following pre-determined adjustments affected the level of achievement:

Effect of repurchased shares

Sale or impairment of non-operating assets (real estate and securities)

Private equity fund distributions

The following pre-determined adjustments affected the level of achievement:

Sale of non-operating assets (real estate)

Information regarding the adjustments can be found in Item 6 (Selected Financial Data) and Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. The adjustments under Item 6 are listed in the non-GAAP reconciliation table under 'Net gains on securities and other investments,' 'Leased facility abandonment costs (gains),' and 'Net losses on real estate assets.'

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the actual annual incentives awarded for 2013 and the breakdown between cash, and deferred cash:

	2013 Actual Target Actual Bonus D Bonus Performance Total Cash				Actual Bonus			Deferred Cash	
Stephen C. Hooley	\$	1,200,000	131.5%	\$	1,578,000	\$	1,089,000	\$	489,000
Kenneth V. Hager	\$	324.092	131.5%	\$	426.181	\$	426,181		*
Jonathan J.	φ.	440.074	121.50	Φ.	570.060	φ.	200.540	Ф	170 411
Boehm Steven J. Towle	\$ \$	440,274 466,798	131.5% 131.5%	\$ \$	578,960 613,839	\$ \$	399,549 423,619	\$ \$	179,411 190,220
Robert L. Tritt	\$	440,274	131.5%	\$	578,960	\$	399,549	\$	179,411

All of Mr. Hager's incentive was paid in cash pursuant to his retirement agreement described in this discussion.

Long-term Incentives Time RSUs and PSUs

1

2

The Committee determined that one-third of the aggregate number of equity grants for 2013 should be time-vesting units (Time RSUs vesting in 20%, 30% and 50% increments over a three-year period), and two-thirds should be a target grant of performance-vesting units (PSUs vesting based on performance against pre-determined cumulative Adjusted EBITDA goals at the end of the three-year (2013-2015) period).

The following table shows the aggregate grant date fair value for each NEO, and the allocation of the value between time- and performance-vesting units.

	Aggregate Grant Date Fair Value1	Aggregate Number of Units2	Time RSUs (One-Third Aggregate Units) (#)	PSUs (at Target Number Granted) (#)
Stephen C. Hooley	\$ 3,913,043	43,424	14,330	29,094
Kenneth V. Hager	\$ 667,508	7,407	2,444	4,963
Jonathan J. Boehm	\$ 934,470	10,370	3,422	6,948
Steven J. Towle	\$ 934,470	10,370	3,422	6,948
Robert L. Tritt	\$ 934,470	10,370	3,422	6,948

Based on the accounting assumptions in note (13) to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

The grant date fair value was divided by the average of the highest and lowest reported sale price of DST stock on February 21, 2013, which was \$67.50. Fractional shares were rounded up to a whole share.

In order to further align payout levels with long-term financial performance, we introduced a performance curve for the 2013 PSU awards. Vesting of PSUs is based on performance against pre-determined Adjusted EBITDA goals at the end of the three-year (2013-2015) performance period. The following table summarizes the vesting as a percentage of the target number of units for all NEOs as well as the corresponding financial goals.

Threshold Target Maximum

PSU Vesting as a Percentage of Target 50% 100% 150%

EBITDA is defined as cumulative earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and equity, as reflected in the audited financial statements for the three-year performance period ending December 31, 2015. Pre-determined adjustments are to be made to the level of goal achievement if a subsidiary or joint venture is

40

Table of Contents

sold, non-operating assets are sold or impaired, private equity fund distributions occur, enacted income tax rates change, or GAAP changes.

Individual NEO Matters

Chief Executive Officer Employment Agreement

Mr. Hooley's legacy employment agreement is dated January 1, 2009 and does not provide for employment through a set date. Prior to approving the agreement, we reviewed leading market and industry practice regarding appropriate and common provisions for executives in senior leadership positions. The agreement:

provides for separation benefits (base salary, life/health premium reimbursements) in the event of a termination without cause based on a 24-month period and a prorated annual incentive award for the year of termination based on actual goal achievement, subject to Mr. Hooley's execution of a release of claims

protects Mr. Hooley for a three-year period following a change in control in the event of a termination without cause or resignation for good reason; these "double trigger events" (change in control followed by the qualifying termination) would cause payouts, based on the remainder of the three-year period, of base salary, life/health premium reimbursements and annual incentives, calculated as described under "NEO Award/Account Values for Certain Events"

prohibits Mr. Hooley from soliciting employees, soliciting customers for the benefit of a competitor, acquiring an interest in a competitor other than an insignificant interest in a public company, or becoming employed by or consulting on behalf of a competitor for three years following any type of termination of employment.

Although the agreement contains an excise tax gross-up provision, we have committed not to include golden parachute excise tax gross-up provisions in future executive employment agreements.

The agreement is further summarized under "NEO Compensation" and "NEO Award/Account Values for Certain Events."

Chief Executive Officer Stock Ownership Guidelines

To further align Chief Executive Officer and stockholder interests, we have guidelines that the Chief Executive Officer must maintain stock ownership of at least six times his base salary. Under the guidelines, Mr. Hooley has five years from his September 12, 2012 appointment as Chief Executive Officer to attain the requisite level of stock ownership. (The non-employee director stock ownership requirements are described under "The Board of Directors").

CFO Retirement Agreement

On May 31, 2013, the Company and Mr. Hager entered into a retirement agreement. Pursuant to the agreement, Mr. Hager retired from the CFO position on December 31, 2013. He served for an additional two weeks in a non-executive officer role in January 2014 to facilitate an orderly transition.

The agreement provided for a special retirement payment of \$750,000 in recognition of Mr. Hager's service and transition assistance and to fulfill certain requirements in his employment agreement. Under the agreement, the Company allocated a portion of its contribution to the Greater Kansas City Community Foundation in the amount of \$50,000 in the Company's name and in Mr. Hager's honor, to be donated to certain charities. The agreement provided for the continued applicability of Mr. Hager's confidentiality, non-competition and non-solicitation covenants contained in his employment and award agreements. It also contained a general release in favor of the Company and certain related parties. As provided in the agreement, Mr. Hager was entitled to receive all

Table of Contents

vested benefits and payments available under applicable plans and programs. The retirement triggered the full, partial or potential future vesting of certain awards under the terms and conditions of the governing award agreements, as described under "NEO Award/Account Values for Certain Events." In approving the retirement agreement, we considered and recognized Mr. Hager's 29 years of service to and accomplishments on behalf of the Company.

Miscellaneous Benefits

Perquisites

We provide NEOs with a modest level of perquisites to promote convenience in the performance of duties for the Company. In 2013, we allowed Mr. Hooley limited personal use of aircraft in which we own fractional interests. We monitor personal use through receipt of reports from our Chief Financial Officer at least four times per year. NEOs may also receive estate planning services, tax return services, paid parking, and personal use of a Company car or a car allowance. We reimburse spouse or guest travel to, and family entertainment at, offsite planning meetings at which NEOs and their spouses or guests interact with each other and with members of the Board and their spouses or guests. We do not gross-up NEO perquisites for tax liabilities.

Retirement Benefits

Each NEO participates in the 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan. Like other participants, NEOs receive discretionary profit sharing contributions and matching contributions with respect to their salary deferral contributions. Accounts generally vest based on years of service. The 401(k) portion of their account is credited with earnings, gains or losses based on the participant's direction from among various investment options available under the plan, including DST stock. The profit sharing portion of their account is credited with earnings, gains or losses based on Company-directed investments. Accounts are distributable upon separation from service for any reason, financial hardship, or reaching age 59½.

Accelerated Award Vesting Benefits

We allow full or partial accelerated vesting of deferred cash and equity awards upon death, disability, retirement and in other limited termination of employment circumstances as described under "NEO Award/Account Values for Certain Events." These benefits aid NEOs in the event of health crises, aid their families in the event of their deaths, help NEOs plan for retirement, and balance the Board's flexibility in making management changes or effecting transactions which could result in an NEO's involuntary termination of employment.

Insurance Benefits

NEOs participate in group health, vision and dental insurance plans on the same basis as other employees. We provide NEOs with individual variable life insurance policies in lieu of participation in our employee group life policy. The policies are portable and allow NEOs to accrue cash surrender value. We provide NEOs with a long-term disability policy to allow a similar income replacement percentage of salary as is available to employees in general. We also provide NEOs coverage under a group excess liability insurance policy. These benefits aid NEOs in health crises and aid their families in the event of their deaths.

Double Trigger Change in Control Protections

Our award agreements provide generally for full vesting of unvested deferred cash and equity awards upon a "double trigger" i.e., a change in control followed by a qualifying termination of employment. We believe these protections promote stability during a change in control by encouraging our executives to cooperate with and achieve a change in control approved by the Board without being distracted by the possibility of termination or demotion following the change in control.

Table of Contents

Say-on-Pay

At the 2013 Annual Meeting, the percentage of the votes cast in favor of the Say-on-Pay proposal was 91.7%. We believe this indicates shareholder confidence in our pay for performance philosophy. Despite this level of support, we made further enhancements to our compensation structures during 2013 to reinforce our commitment to pay for performance, as described in this discussion.

Clawback Policy

To mitigate the risk that incentives would be based on erroneous financial results, each NEO's incentive compensation is subject to our clawback policy, which requires Company recoupment of various award amounts in the event of certain accounting restatements. The accounting restatement would trigger the return (or clawback) of incentive compensation for 2013 performance resulting from the Company's material noncompliance with financial reporting requirements under the securities laws. The amount to be returned would equal the portion of an award based on the erroneous data; in other words, the amount in excess of what would have been paid if the results as stated in the restated financials had applied to the award determination. If a clawback is triggered, NEOs would be required to return the value of their awards, or a portion thereof, regardless of whether their individual conduct contributed to the financial restatement.

Tax Deductibility/Section 162(m)

The annual and long-term incentive plans are both governed by our 2005 Equity Incentive Plan. We obtained approval of the Plan by our stockholders, which facilitates the deductibility of performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. Our primary focus is applying our executive compensation philosophy in order to attract, retain and incentivize our NEOs, and we reserve the right to determine whether to utilize the performance-based compensation exemption.

If a non-recurring or unexpected event occurs during a performance period, we may consider adjustments to be made in calculating goal achievement that were not pre-determined when we set goals ("Non-Predetermined Adjustments"). We did not make Non-Predetermined Adjustments for NEO awards for 2013.

For maximum deductibility under Section 162(m), we determine NEO participation in the annual incentive program, make PSU grants to NEOs, and set performance goals for NEO awards within the first ninety days of a performance year. We also determine prior to the end of a performance year whether to make Non-Predetermined Adjustments, and we do not upwardly adjust the calculation of goal achievement for NEOs based on Non-Predetermined Adjustments.

Compensation for 2014

In February 2014, we adopted an executive change in control and severance plan so that NEOs without employment agreements will have certain protections in the event of their involuntary terminations of employment, including qualifying terminations following a change in control. Messrs. Boehm and Towle will participate in the plan.

1

2

3

NEO COMPENSATION

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE FOR 2013

		\mathbf{A}	В	C D		${f E}$	\mathbf{F}
					Non-Equity Incentive		
			Stock	Option	Plan	All Other	
Name and		Salary	Awards1	Awar (Iso	mpensationan	pensation3	Total
Principal Position	Year	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Stephen C. Hooley	2013	800,000	3,913,043		1,966,074	175,902	6,855,019
Chief Executive Officer and	2012	695,082			1,819,713	342,864	2,857,659
President	2011	650,000	1,383,103	439,754	1,215,298	199,921	3,888,076
Kenneth V. Hager	2013	324,092	667,508		788,879	824,584	2,605,063
Retired Chief Financial Officer	2012	319,300			574,766	103,986	998,052
and Treasurer	2011	310,000	545,236	173,102	281,457	83,582	1,393,377
Jonathan J. Boehm	2013	440,274	934,470		689,842	51,340	2,115,926
Executive Vice President	2012	427,450			642,921	55,477	1,125,848
	2011	415,000	763,521	242,411	325,841	48,727	1,795,500
Steven J. Towle	2013	466,798	934,470		619,284	46,509	2,067,061
Executive Vice President	2012	453,000			554,981	40,783	1,048,764
	2011	440,000	763,521	242,411	463,392	38,847	1,948,171
Robert L. Tritt	2013	440,274	934,470		695,170	51,589	2,121,503
Retired Executive Vice	2012	427,450			623,510	57,667	1,108,627
President	2011	415,000	763,521	242,411	384,022	52,296	1,857,250

The grant date fair values shown in Column B are based on the accounting assumptions in note (13) to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. The value was allocated between Time RSUs and PSUs as shown in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis." The vesting terms and conditions are described in the sections "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" and "NEO Award/Account Values for Certain Events."

Column D shows the aggregate annual incentive award for 2013, apportioned between a current cash award and a deferred cash award (as explained in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis"), as well as earnings during 2013 on mandatorily and voluntarily deferred cash balances of earlier annual incentive awards. The deferred cash vesting terms and conditions are described under "NEO Award/Account Values for Certain Events" and are subject to earnings and losses based on hypothetical investment choices as explained following the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table. Mr. Hager's entire annual incentive award for 2013 is in the form of current cash pursuant to his retirement agreement, described in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis."

Amounts in Column E are described below in "Elements of All Other Compensation."

Table of Contents

Elements of All Other Compensation. In the "Summary Compensation Table," Column E (All Other Compensation) includes amounts for various types of compensation, as shown below.

	Stephen C. Hooley	Kenneth V. Hager	Jonathan J. Boehm	Steven J. Towle	Robert L. Tritt
All Other Compensation	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Matching Contribution to 401(k)-2013 plan year Discretionary Profit Sharing Contribution 2013 plan	7,650	7,650	7,650	7,650	7,650
year	10,200	10,200	10,200	10,200	10,200
Life Insurance Premium Special Retirement Compensation	6,552	9,949 750,000	6,442	8,292	9,212
Perquisites and Personal Benefits (listed below)	151,500	46,785	27,048	20,367	24,527

The perquisites and personal benefits in the last row of the above table are comprised of the following:

Perquisite or Personal Benefit	Stephen C. Hooley	Kenneth V. Hager	Jonathan J. Boehm	Steven J. Towle	Robert L. Tritt
Paid Parking	X	X	X	X	X
Long-Term Disability Premiums	X	X	X	X	X
Excess Liability Insurance Premiums	X	X	X	X	X
Personal Use of Company Car or Car Allowance	X	X	X	X	X
Tax Return Preparation Services	X	X			
Non-Business Events at Offsite Planning Meetings	X	X	X	X	
Reimbursement of Legal Fees in Connection With					
Mr. Hager's Retirement Agreement		X			
Personal Use of Aircraft in which the Company					
has a Fractional Interest*	X				

Mr. Hooley was allowed personal use of aircraft, as explained in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis." The amount shown for his perquisites includes the incremental cost of aircraft personal use during 2013 of \$133,499 (calculated based on the hourly charge for the flight, the fuel charge for the flight, and the ground transportation charge). We did not include in the incremental cost any portion of our monthly aircraft management fee, which we would have paid regardless of the personal use, or depreciation on the plane, which does not vary based on use.

The NEOs also participated in 2013 in a program in which the Company, through a donor-advised fund at a community charitable foundation, matches contributions by the NEOs to qualified not-for-profit organizations in an amount equal to two times the contribution, with a \$30,000 maximum for the Chief Executive Officer under the director match program and a \$20,000 maximum for the other NEOs. Contributions were made on behalf of all DST employees who chose to participate, and we do not believe the contribution directly or indirectly benefited the NEO personally. As a result, we have not included matching amounts in the "Summary Compensation Table." Matching contributions were \$29,750 for Mr. Hooley, \$6,600 for Mr. Boehm, and \$20,000 for the other NEOs. As described in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," the Company made an additional charitable contribution in Mr. Hager's honor in connection with his retirement.

Additional Summary Compensation Table Information. In 2013 we had employment agreements with two of our executive officers, Mr. Hooley, our Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Hager, who then served as our Chief Financial Officer. Pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Hooley was entitled to a base salary of at least \$550,000, and was to receive an incentive program opportunity as determined by the Compensation Committee. Our employment agreement with Mr. Hooley is further described in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis." The Company entered into a retirement agreement with Mr. Hager in 2013, which agreement is described in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis." Pursuant to his retirement agreement, Mr. Hager received a payment of \$750,000 on his retirement date, which is included in the "Summary Compensation Table" in Column E, under "All Other Compensation."

Table of Contents

1

2

3

4

5

NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION FOR 2013

Deferral Activity and Balances. The following table provides information regarding NEOs' nonqualified deferred compensation accounts. We describe the various forms of nonqualified deferral programs following the table.

	\mathbf{A}	В	\mathbf{C}	D	${f E}$
				Aggregate	
			V	Vithdrawals/	Aggregate
	Executive	Registrant	Aggregatel	Distributions	Balance at
Named Executive	ContributionCo	_			ecember 31,
Officer	in 20131	in 20132	in 20133		20135,6
				,	,
and Type of Deferral	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Stephen C. Hooley					
Annual Incentive Deferred		489,000	388,074	787,344	1,017,019
Cash		402,000	366,074	767,544	1,017,019
Kenneth V. Hager					
Annual Incentive Deferred	220,890		362,698		1,730,204
Cash	220,000		202,070		1,750,201
Terminated Executive Plan			14,615		347,788
Supplemental Executive			35,894		863,620
Retirement Plan			,		
Jonathan J. Boehm					
Annual Incentive Deferred		179,411	110,882	198,597	318,524
Cash					
Steven J. Towle					
Annual Incentive Deferred		190,220	5,445	150,660	284,435
Cash					
Robert L. Tritt					
Annual Incentive Deferred		179,411	116,210	279,767	319,684
Cash					

Column A shows annual incentive deferred cash amounts that vested in 2013 for the 2010 performance year but were further deferred by NEO election pursuant to applicable tax rules and annual incentive procedures.

Column B shows contributions in 2014 for the 2013 plan year. No deferred cash amount is shown for Mr. Hager because under the terms of Mr. Hager's retirement agreement, his annual incentive award for 2013 was paid in cash with no deferred component.

Column C shows for each NEO the aggregate earnings during 2013. The range of 2013 earnings rates on available hypothetical investments for all of the nonqualified deferral accounts other than annual incentive deferred cash accounts was 4.3% to 4.659%. The range of 2013 earnings rates on available hypothetical investments for the deferred cash accounts was negative 8.3% to positive 41.7%.

Column D shows the distribution during 2013 of an annual incentive deferred cash award for the 2010 performance year.

The amounts in Column E were vested as of December 31, 2013 for Mr. Hager as a result of his retirement eligibility. For the other NEOs, the amounts shown are unvested. Accelerated vesting would occur as shown in "NEO Award/Account Values for Certain Events."

Table of Contents

The following is an aggregate of the Column E amounts reported in the Summary Compensation Tables in prior annual meeting proxy statements:

Amounts from Column E Reported

In

Previous Summary Compensation

Named Executive Officer

Tables(\$)

Stephen C. Hooley	1,017,019
Kenneth V. Hager	2,941,612
Jonathan J. Boehm	77,444
Steven J. Towle	122,610
Robert L. Tritt	319,684

6

Mr. Hager has elected to receive his account balances in installments over five years. The installments for the deferred cash begin in 2014, and the installments for the other plans begin in 2015.

Nonqualified Deferral Programs.

Arrangements for Incentive and Equity Awards. By making an election by June 30 of the performance year, NEOs can voluntarily defer the current cash awards they receive as annual incentives and can voluntarily extend the future payout of vested deferred cash awards and issuance of shares for vesting RSUs. The elected periods can be either a number of years or until separation from service. After electing an initial payout or share issuance date, participants can further extend the date for a minimum of five years if they do so at least one year prior to the initially selected date.

Retirement Plans. Mr. Hager has vested accounts under a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan and an Executive Plan, each of which is terminated. Under the plans, we made annual contributions to equalize the value of contributions we would have made to various qualified plans and of forfeiture amounts that we would have credited to qualified plan accounts if certain tax regulations had not limited contributions.

Earnings Arrangements. We make credits to or deductions from nonqualified deferral accounts based on hypothetical earnings. For the annual incentive awards in deferral, we base earnings on the participants' elections among a limited number of long-term investment choices, both equity-based and income-oriented. The number of choices is administratively manageable but allows participants to diversify their hypothetical earnings and control their level of risk. SERP and Executive Plan balances are adjusted based on a formula using ten-year U.S. Treasury bond rates. For all the plans, earnings and losses are credited or debited at least annually.

Table of Contents

1

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS FOR 2013

The following table and notes show annual incentive opportunity levels that existed at the beginning of 2013 for, and equity grants during 2013 to, each of the NEOs.

			A			В		C	D
		Estimate	ed Possible	Pavouts		nated Fu Payouts	ture	All Other	
			on-Equity	•		·	centive	Stock	Grant
			lan Awards			n Awards		wards;	Date
			(\$)			(#)		No. of	Fair
Named								Shares of	Value of Stock
Executive	GranFl	nreshold	Target N	/ Jaximuih	reshold	TargeMa	ximumS		Awards
Officer	Date	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(\$)
Stephen C. Hooley									
Annual Incentive	02/21/13	600,000	1,200,000	1,800,000					
PSUs Time RSUs	02/21/13 02/21/13				14,547	29,094	43,641	14,330	2,945,768 967,275
	02/21/13							14,550	701,213
Kenneth V. Hager									
Annual Incentive	02/21/13	162,046	324,092	486,138	2 402	4.072	7.11 5		502 520
PSUs Time RSUs	02/21/13 02/21/13				2,482	4,963	7,445	2,444	502,538 164,970
Jonathan J.									
Boehm									
Annual Incentive	02/21/13	220,137	440,274	660,411					
PSUs	02/21/13	,		,	3,474	6,948	10,422	2 422	703,485
Time RSUs	02/21/13							3,422	230,985
Steven J. Towle									
Annual Incentive	02/21/13	233,399	466,798	700,197					
PSUs Time RSUs	02/21/13 02/21/13				3,474	6,948	10,422	3,422	703,485 230,985
Robert L. Tritt								,	,
	02/21/12	220 127	440.274	660 411					
Annual Incentive PSUs	02/21/13 02/21/13	220,137	440,274	660,411	3,474	6,948	10,422		703,485
Time RSUs	02/21/13							3,422	230,985

The range of annual incentive awards that could have been earned for 2013 performance depended on the level of achievement of performance goals. The amounts shown represent percentages of base salary that were each NEO's threshold, target and maximum opportunity levels, as further described in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis."

EPS and business unit goal achievement for 2013 have already been determined and the amounts earned are set forth in Column D of the Summary Compensation Table. Mr. Hager's award is paid 100% in cash. The other NEOs' aggregate earned annual incentive

awards for 2013 and the allocations between cash and deferred cash are shown in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis." The deferred cash portion, shown in Column B of the "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation" table, is scheduled to vest on December 1, 2016, subject to accelerated vesting in limited circumstances, and can be forfeited due to termination of employment, as described under "NEO Award/Account Value for Certain Events." The deferred cash award is subject to account earnings and losses based on hypothetical investment choices.

2

Column B shows PSUs that have the potential to vest at a percentage of the target grant level based on the achievement of target, threshold and maximum Adjusted EBITDA goals, as further described in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis."

3

 $Column\ C\ shows\ Time\ RSUs\ that\ vest\ in\ 20\%,\ 30\%\ and\ 50\%\ increments,\ as\ further\ described\ in\ "Compensation\ Discussion\ and\ Analysis."$

48

Table of Contents

4

The allocation of the aggregate grant date fair value between the number of Time RSUs and the number of PSUs (at the target grant level) is explained in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis." Vesting terms and conditions for these awards are described under "NEO Award/Account Values for Certain Events." When the Company pays a dividend, equivalents accrue on unvested PSUs and Time RSUs in the form of additional unvested units. During 2013, additional units received as dividend equivalents were as follows:

Additional Dividend Equivalent Units Granted During 2013

	2 u mg 2 v 10						
Named Executive Officer	PSUsa	Time RSUs	Performance RSUsb				
Stephen C. Hooley	520	208	201				
Kenneth V. Hager	89	44	78				
Johnathan J. Boehm	124	61	136				
Steven J. Towle	124	61	184				
Robert L. Tritt	124	61	111				

a

The dividend equivalents shown are shown at maximum level as if 150% of the target grant amount would be vesting after the three-year performance period.

b

The Performance RSUs for Messrs. Boehm and Towle are described in note (2) to the "Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End" table.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED IN 2013

	Option A	Awards	Stock Awards*			
Named Executive Officer	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise(#)	Shares Realized cquired on on		Value Realized on Vesting(\$)		
Stephen C. Hooley	25,000	776,500	48,449	3,366,716		
Kenneth V. Hager	32,889	1,348,490	18,900	1,313,363		
Jonathan J. Boehm			24,769	1,721,201		
Steven J. Towle	55,341	1,926,238	20,674	1,436,639		
Robert L. Tritt	16,026	405,741	26,803	1,862,542		

*

These columns show the gross number of units vesting. Shares were withheld from this gross amount for satisfaction of the withholding obligations.

Table of Contents

1

2

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END (December 31, 2013)

	Option Awards1				Stock Awards2			
	\mathbf{A}	В	C	D	\mathbf{E}	F	G	H
								Equity
							Equity	Incentive
							Incentive	Plan
							Plan	Awards:
							Awards:	Market
							Number	or
					Number		of	Payout
					of	Ţ	Jnearned	Value
					Shares	Market	Shares,	of
					or	Value of		Unearned
	Number	Number			Units	Shares	or	Shares,
	of	of			of	or	Other	Units
	Securities	_			Stock	Units of	Rights	or Other
	Underlying				that	Stock	That	Rights
	Unexercise U		Option		Have	That	Have	That
Named	Options	Options	-	Option		Have Not	Not	Have Not
Executive	Exercisablee	-		Expiration		Vested	Vested	Vested
Officer	(#)	(#)	(\$)	Date		(\$)	(#)	(\$)
0111001	()	()	(4)	2000	()	(4)	()	(4)
Stephen C. Hooley	70,400		43.825	12/14/19				
	50,000		43.825	12/14/19				
	25,784	12,856	47.51	12/01/21				
					1,986 14,501	180,210 1,315,821	44,161	4,007,169
Kenneth V. Hager					785	71,231	7,534	683,635
Remieur V. Huger					2,474	224,491	7,551	003,033
Jonathan J. Boehm	38,900		43.825	12/14/19		,		
	14,213	7,087	47.51	12/01/21				
					1,099	99,723	2,068	187,650
Steven J. Towle	14,213	7,087	47.51	12/01/21	3,463	314,233	10,546	956,944
Steven 3. Towie	14,213	7,007	47.31	12/01/21	1,099	99,723	6,210	563,495
					3,463	314,233	10,546	956,944
Robert L. Tritt	14,213	7,087	47.51	12/01/21				
					1,099	99,723	10,546	956,944
					3,463	314,233		

Column A shows vested non-qualified stock options. Column B shows time-vesting non-qualified stock options ("Time Options") that were unvested at year-end. The options vest in one-third increments over the three anniversaries of the grant date (December 14, 2009 or December 1, 2011, as applicable). Only the third tranche of the awards granted in 2011 was unvested at year-end. The options in Column B are subject to forfeiture for termination of employment prior to vesting except for the special vesting events described under "NEO Award/Account Values for Certain Events."

Column E shows the Time RSUs described in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," including dividend equivalents to date. The first row of Column E shows Time RSUs granted on February 24, 2011 and the second row of Column E shows Time RSUs granted

on February 21, 2013. The Time RSUs vest in 20%, 30% and 50% increments in the month of March following the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. The Time RSUs are subject to forfeiture for termination of employment prior to vesting except for the special vesting events described in "NEO Award/Account Values for Certain Events."

The first row of Column G for Messrs. Boehm and Towle shows performance-vesting RSUs ("Performance RSUs") granted on February 23, 2010 as part of 2010 compensation with a 2010-2014 goal performance period. Full goal achievement has occurred for these units based on 2013 results. The Performance RSUs were subject to forfeiture for termination of employment prior to vesting except for the special vesting events described in "NEO Award/Account Values for Certain Events."

For all NEOs, Column G shows the PSUs granted on February 21, 2013 described in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis." They vest at a percentage of the target grant number depending on the level of achievement during 2013-2015 of Adjusted EBITDA goals. Solely for purposes of this table, we have assumed maximum vesting, and have shown 150% of the original target grant number and dividend equivalents to date. The PSUs are subject to forfeiture for termination of employment prior to vesting except for the special vesting events described in "NEO Award/Account Values for Certain Events."

NEO AWARD/ACCOUNT VALUES FOR CERTAIN EVENTS

The Compensation Committee has incorporated accelerated vesting terms and conditions into its awards for certain termination of employment events. In the following table we show the vesting and payout valuations for hypothetical terminations of employment as if they had occurred at December 31, 2013. Not shown in the table are the effects of voluntary termination of employment (other than a retirement), termination for cause, and change in control that is followed neither by a termination without cause nor by a resignation for good reason. These events would not have caused accelerated award vesting or separation benefits. Following the table, we give details regarding the valuations as well as the reasons for the limited termination of employment protections.

	Stephen C. Hooley (\$)	Kenneth V. Hager* (\$)	Jonathan J. Boehm (\$)	Steven J. Towle (\$)	Robert L. Tritt (\$)
	(p)	(\$)	(Þ)	(\$)	(\$)
December 31, 2013					
Hypothetical Event and Award or Other Benefit to be Valued					
Death or Disability ^a					
Time Options	555,765	0	306,371	306,371	306,371
Time RSUs	1,496,030	96,789	413,956	413,956	413,956
Performance RSUs	0	0	187,650	563,495	0
PSUs	890,522	170,863	212,695	212,695	212,695
Deferred Cash Accounts	1,017,019	245,892	318,524	284,435	319,684
Hager Retirement Agreement Payment		750,000			
Total	3,959,336	1,263,544	1,439,196	1,780,952	1,252,706
Retirement ^b					
Time RSUs	0	96,789	0	0	135,505
PSUs	0	170,863	0	0	239,281
Deferred Cash Accounts	0	245,892	0	0	0
Hager Retirement Agreement Payment		750,000			
Total	0	1,263,544	0	0	374,786
Termination without cause in connection with a reduction in					
$force^{c,f,g}$					
Time Options	385,948	0	212,758	212,758	212,758
Time RSUs	369,463	0	135,505	135,505	135,505
Performance RSUs	0	0	187,650	563,495	0
PSUs	1,001,770	0	239,281	239,281	239,281
Deferred Cash Accounts	1,017,019	0	318,524	284,435	319,684
Severance Base Salary	1,600,000	0	0	0	0
Life and Health Premiums	51,018	0	0	0	0
Premium Gross-Up	43,631	0	0	0	0
Total	4,468,849	0	1,093,718	1,435,474	907,228
Termination without cause in connection with a business unit	, ,		, ,	, ,	,
$Divestiture^{d,f,g}$					
Time Options	385,948	0	212,758	212,758	212,758
Time RSUs	369,463	0	135,505	135,505	135,505
Performance RSUs	0	0	150,120	450,796	0
PSUs	1,001,770	0	239,281	239,281	239,281
Deferred Cash Accounts	1,017,019	0	318,524	284,435	319,684
Severance Base Salary	1,600,000	0	0	0	0
Life and Health Premiums	51,018	0	0	0	0
Premium Gross-Up	43,631	0	0	0	0
Total	4,468,849	0	1,056,188	1,322,775	907,228
	51	Ů	_,,	-,,	,

Table of Contents

	Stephen C. Hooley (\$)	Kenneth V. Hager* (\$)	Jonathan J. Boehm (\$)	Steven J. Towle (\$)	Robert L. Tritt (\$)
Change in control followed by termination without cause or resignation for good reason ^{e,h}					
Time Options	555,765	0	306,371	306,371	306,371
Time RSUs	1,496,030	0	413,956	413,956	413,956
Performance RSUs	0	0	187,650	563,495	0
PSUs	4,007,169	0	956,944	956,944	956,944
Deferred Cash Accounts	1,017,019	0	318,524	284,435	319,684
Hooley Severance Base Salary	2,400,000	0	0	0	0
Hooley Benefit Continuation	131,127	0	0	0	0
Hooley Severance Incentive Award	3,600,000	0	0	0	0
Hooley Income or Excise Tax Gross-Up	5,383,363	0	0	0	0
Total	18,590,473	0	2,183,445	2,525,201	1,996,955
Other termination without cause ^{f,g}					
Hooley Severance Base Salary	1,600,000	0	0	0	0
Hooley Life and Health Premiums	51,018	0	0	0	0
Hooley Premium Gross-Up	43,631	0	0	0	0
Total	1,694,649	0	0	0	0

As described in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," the Company entered into a retirement agreement with Mr. Hager. As provided in the agreement, Mr. Hager was entitled to receive all vested benefits and payments, including his deferred cash balance and vested equity awards. Payout of certain unused vacation time is available to employees generally, and Mr. Hager's vacation payment was \$195,719. The retirement agreement noted that the retirement terms and conditions of his award agreements would apply, with the effect that his Time RSUs granted in 2011 and 2013 would partially vest and his PSUs granted in 2013 would vest pro rata in 2016 based the level of goal achievement and the number of service months (12) during the three-year performance period. From the date of the retirement agreement, Mr. Hager's employment could terminate only as contemplated by the agreement, or pursuant to his death or disability. Accordingly, the table reflects values only for death, disability and retirement. The Company agreed to provide a payment of \$750,000 to Mr. Hager, which is shown in the table.

NOTES REGARDING EFFECT OF VARIOUS EVENTS:

a Death or Disability:

Mr. Hager's deferred cash account, Time RSUs and PSUs would have vested as if he had retired, as described in note (b).

For all other NEOs, vesting of Time Options, deferred cash accounts and all types of Restricted Stock Units would have accelerated and PSUs would have vested pro rata based on the three-year performance period and at target grant levels.

Employment agreement benefits would not have been paid to Mr. Hooley.

Retirement:

b

Messrs. Hager and Tritt were retirement-eligible at year-end for purposes of the Time RSUs and the PSUs.

A pro rata portion of the Time RSUs scheduled to vest on March 14, 2014 would have vested, based on the number of months worked since the previous grant/vesting dates.

PSU vesting would occur in 2016, pro rata for one-third of the performance period and based on actual goal achievement for 2013-2015, which has been projected (actual results may differ).

Mr. Hager was retirement-eligible at year-end for purposes of his deferred cash accounts.

The other benefits shown for Mr. Hager were entitlements under his retirement agreement.

52

Table of Contents

c

Reduction in Force:

Time Options unvested at year-end would have vested pro rata based on the number of months since the grant date during the three-year vesting period.

Vesting of deferred cash accounts would have accelerated.

A pro rata portion of the Time RSUs scheduled to vest on March 14, 2014 would have vested, based on the number of months worked since the previous grant/vesting dates.

Performance RSUs would have vested as a result of full goal achievement in 2013, although vesting would have been delayed until March 14, 2014.

PSU vesting would occur in 2016, pro rata for one-third of the performance period and based on actual goal achievement for 2013-2015, which has been projected (actual results may differ).

Employment agreement benefits to Mr. Hooley would have been paid as described in note (f).

d

Business Unit Divestiture:

Time Options unvested at year-end would have vested pro rata based on the number of months since the grant date during the three-year vesting period.

Vesting of deferred cash accounts would have accelerated.

A pro rata portion of the Time RSUs scheduled to vest on March 14, 2014 would have vested, based on the number of months worked since the previous grant/vesting dates.

Performance RSUs would have vested based on the number of months that have elapsed in the 60-month performance period.

PSU vesting would occur in 2016, pro rata for one-third of the performance period and based on actual goal achievement for 2013-2015, which has been projected (actual results may differ).

Employment agreement benefits to Mr. Hooley would have been paid as described in note (f).

e

Change in Control Followed By a Termination Without Cause or Resignation for Good Reason:

Vesting of Time Options, deferred cash accounts and all types of Restricted Stock Units would have accelerated.

PSU vesting would have occurred as if the maximum performance level had been achieved.

Employment agreement benefits to Mr. Hooley would have been paid as described in note (f).

Change in Control Not Followed By a Termination Without Cause or Resignation for Good Reason:

Performance RSUs as well as PSUs (at maximum level) would have begun vesting in one-third increments over the anniversary dates of the change in control so long as the employment continued, and any unmet performance conditions would no longer have applied.

f

Employment Agreement Separation Provisions:

Mr. Hooley's employment agreement provides for separation benefits (base salary, life/health premium reimbursements) based on a 24-month period and a prorated annual incentive award for the year of termination based on actual goal achievement.

For life and health insurance premiums, we used 2014 rates.

Mr. Hooley's agreement entitles him to premium gross-ups as described in note (g).

53

Table of Contents

g

Health and Life Insurance Premium Gross-Ups:

The estimates for Mr. Hooley are based on our monthly cost of health and life insurance premiums as explained in note (f). To determine the aggregate value of the insurance coverage continuation, we multiplied the monthly health and life insurance premiums by the number of months of taxable insurance coverage continuation to which Mr. Hooley is entitled under his employment agreement. We then calculated the additional tax gross-up payment we would have been obligated to make in order to put Mr. Hooley in an after-tax position as if he had never received the taxable insurance coverage continuation.

h

Employment Agreement Post-Change in Control Separation Provisions; Parachute Taxes:

Under Mr. Hooley's employment agreement, certain benefits are provided upon a termination without cause or resignation for good reason ("Special Termination") that occurs within the three-year period following the change in control. The amounts payable (base salary and the benefit continuation and annual incentive amounts described below) are based on the length of time from the date of the Special Termination to the end of the three-year period. For purposes of this table, the Special Termination is treated as having occurred on the date of the change in control.

Benefit Continuation Period. Benefit continuation amounts consist of life and health insurance premiums and estimated 401(k) profit sharing contributions. Note (f) describes the determination of the monthly life and health insurance premium amounts. Profit sharing contribution amounts are based on contributions made for 2013.

<u>Severance Incentive Award</u>. The annual incentive award is based, as the employment agreement requires, on the assumption that we achieved target goals for the remainder of the three-year period.

Internal Revenue Code Section 4999 imposes a 20% excise tax on parachute payments ("parachute tax"). The Compensation Committee has formally determined not to include golden parachute excise tax gross-up provisions in future executive employment agreements. Mr. Hooley's legacy employment agreement provides that he is eligible for a gross-up payment relating to the parachute tax. Any gross-up payment is intended to put him in the same after-tax position as if he had not been subject to the parachute tax. The potential parachute payment is generally subject to a scaleback equal to the largest amount that can be paid without triggering the parachute tax. If the payment is scaled back, there would be no parachute tax and no gross-up payment. However, if Mr. Hooley would retain, after tax, more than 120% of the amount he would retain if the potential parachute payments were scaled back, the cap does not apply and he is entitled to a gross-up payment, not to exceed five times the parachute tax. In the event of a Special Termination on a December 31, 2013 change in control, Mr. Hooley would have been entitled to a gross-up payment, in the amount shown in the above table, and no scaleback would have applied.

54

Table of Contents

Valuation Methods for the Table.

Type of Incentive or Equity Award

Valuation Method

Time Options	The Time Options valued in the table are described in note (1)) to the	"Outstanding Equity Awards

at Fiscal Year-End" table, and the value is based on the spread (\$43.23) between the \$90.74 closing price on December 31, 2013 ("Closing Price") and the \$47.51 strike price, multiplied by the

number of units that would vest.

Time RSUs The Time RSUs valued in the table are described in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis." The

amount shown for Time RSUs is the number of units that would vest multiplied by the Closing

Price and includes dividend equivalents through 2013.

Performance RSUs The Performance RSUs valued in the table consist of performance-vesting units granted to

Messrs. Boehm and Towle as described in note (2) to the "Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal-Year End" table. Full goal achievement has occurred for these units based on 2013 results. The amount shown for Performance RSUs is the number of units that would vest multiplied by the

Closing Price and includes dividend equivalents through 2013.

PSUs The PSUs valued in the table have a three-year (2013-2015) performance period and are further

described in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis." For purposes of this section, we estimated goal achievement to be 112.5% of the target goal level, using the accounting assumptions set forth in note (13) to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. The amount shown for PSUs is the number of units that would vest based on the estimated achievement, multiplied by the Closing Price. We have included

in our calculations the PSUs granted as dividend equivalents through 2013. Actual goal

achievement for PSUs may differ.

Deferred Cash Accounts existing as of December 31, 2013 under the Annual

Incentive Program

The amounts for Deferred Cash Accounts in this table are unvested balances as of December 31, 2013. Annual incentives, of which deferred cash is a component, are described in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis." The annual incentives for the 2013 performance year are not included in the table because employment at year-end, rather than any special events shown in this section,

triggered the awards.

REASONS FOR TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT PROTECTIONS

The Compensation Committee believes limited termination of employment protections:

promote NEO retention by generally protecting NEOs against forfeiture of awards for termination of employment outside of their control

further the NEO's commitment to the Company by accelerating the vesting date of certain awards and accounts if the NEO terminates employment after eligibility for retirement

provide stability in the event of a possible change in control

reward long-term service by increasing retirement accumulations.

In consideration of these protections, NEOs accept award agreements in which they commit not to solicit Company employees and customers for one year after termination of employment.

Table of Contents

Specific Protections Other than Change in Control. For each type of award, the Compensation Committee selected the terms and conditions it believed at the time of the grant were appropriate, as shown below. Vesting terms and conditions have varied over time based on circumstances and market practices.

Death/Disability

The Compensation Committee selected accelerated vesting of deferred cash and equity awards in consideration of the potential needs of the grantee and the grantee's family.

Retirement

Retirement is defined as reaching age 59¹/₂ with 3 years of service. For 2013 equity grants, the Compensation Committee also considered employees who are at least age 55 with at least 20 years of service as retirement-eligible. The Committee selected retirement terms and conditions for various awards, as follows:

<u>Time Options</u>: The Compensation Committee believes it reasonable to allow a person whose termination constitutes a retirement to have the benefit of the full remaining term of a vested option to exercise it. Retirement does not trigger vesting.

<u>Time RSUs</u>: The Compensation Committee believes it reasonable to allow pro rata vesting of only one tranche of unvested units. Outstanding Time RSUs were granted in February of 2011 and 2013.

<u>Performance RSUs</u>: For these 2010 awards to Messrs. Boehm and Towle, the Compensation Committee selected pro rata vesting based on goal achievement for the year of retirement so that a retiree would not vest absent a contribution to such achievement.

<u>PSUs</u>: The Compensation Committee believes pro rata vesting should only occur after the performance period based on goal achievement for these performance awards granted as part of 2013 compensation.