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# PMC COMMERCIAL TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES <br> CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS <br> (In thousands, except share data) 

| September <br> 30, | December 31, |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 2005 |
|  | (Unaudited) |

## ASSETS

| Loans receivable, net | $\$ 144,301$ | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Retained interests in transferred assets | 57,715 | 62,574 |
| Real estate investments, net | 4,454 | 8,080 |
| Real estate investments held for sale, net |  | 15,470 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 3,555 | 3,967 |
| Restricted investments | 2,738 | 3,532 |
| Mortgage-backed security of affiliate | 670 | 833 |
| Rent and related receivables, net | 567 | 1,489 |
| Deferred tax asset, net | 237 | 349 |
| Other assets | 4,758 | 4,907 |
|  |  |  |
| Total assets | $\$ 218,995$ | $\$ 259,192$ |

## LIABILITIES AND BENEFICIARIES EQUITY

## Liabilities:

Junior subordinated notes \$ 27,070 \$ 27,070
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Notes and debentures payable } \quad 12,328 & 26,900\end{array}$
Credit facilities 24,205
Debt and accrued expenses real estate investments held for sale 6,273
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Redeemable preferred stock of subsidiary 3,575 } & 3,645\end{array}$
Dividends payable 3,288 3,293
Borrower advances $\quad$ 2,815 4,418
Accounts payable and accrued expenses 2,920
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Deferred gains on property sales } & 1,553\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Due to affiliates, net } & 133 & 856\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Other liabilities } & 969\end{array}$

Total liabilities $\quad$ 58,789 101,275

Commitments and contingencies
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Cumulative preferred stock of subsidiary } & 900 & 900\end{array}$

| Beneficiaries equity: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Common shares of beneficial interest; authorized $100,000,000$ shares of $\$ 0.01$ par value; $11,037,331$ and $11,028,271$ shares issued at September 30, 2006 and |  |  |
| December 31, 2005, respectively, 10,750,981 and 10,766,021 shares outstanding at |  |  |
| September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively | 110 | 110 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 152,158 | 152,047 |
| Net unrealized appreciation of retained interests in transferred assets | 4,007 | 4,519 |
| Cumulative net income | 134,967 | 122,300 |
| Cumulative dividends | $(128,705)$ | $(119,031)$ |
|  | 162,537 | 159,945 |
| Less: Treasury stock; at cost, 286,350 shares and 262,250 shares at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively | $(3,231)$ | $(2,928)$ |
| Total beneficiaries equity | 159,306 | 157,017 |
| Total liabilities and beneficiaries equity | \$ 218,995 | \$ 259,192 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
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# PMC COMMERCIAL TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES <br> CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME <br> (In thousands, except per share data) 

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ 11,588 | \$ 8,201 | \$ 3,977 | \$ | 2,889 |
| Income from retained interests in transferred assets | 7,319 | 6,953 | 2,384 |  | 2,527 |
| Hotel property revenues | 1,653 |  | 639 |  |  |
| Lease income | 58 | 769 |  |  | 180 |
| Other income | 3,170 | 2,597 | 1,324 |  | 741 |
| Total revenues | 23,788 | 18,520 | 8,324 |  | 6,337 |
| Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest | 4,197 | 3,383 | 1,303 |  | 1,190 |
| Salaries and related benefits | 3,437 | 3,356 | 1,164 |  | 1,127 |
| General and administrative | 1,976 | 2,200 | 746 |  | 919 |
| Hotel property expenses | 1,319 |  | 526 |  |  |
| Provision for loss on rent and related receivables | 925 | 1,083 | 500 |  | 1,083 |
| Permanent impairments on retained interests in transferred assets | 875 | 387 | 291 |  | 156 |
| Depreciation | 177 | 221 | 58 |  | 59 |
| Provision for loan losses, net | 90 | 394 | 37 |  | 125 |
| Impairment losses |  | 435 |  |  |  |
| Total expenses | 12,996 | 11,459 | 4,625 |  | 4,659 |
| Gain on early extinguishment of debt | 563 |  | 563 |  |  |
| Income before income tax provision, minority interest and discontinued operations | 11,355 | 7,061 | 4,262 |  | 1,678 |
| Income tax provision | (629) | (508) | (295) |  | (214) |
| Minority interest (preferred stock dividend of subsidiary) | (67) | (67) | (22) |  | (22) |
| Income from continuing operations | 10,659 | 6,486 | 3,945 |  | 1,442 |

## Discontinued operations:

| Net gains on sales of real estate | 2,024 |  | 2,152 | 5 |  | $\begin{gathered} 1,038 \\ (135) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impairment losses | (94) |  | $(1,554)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings (losses) | 78 |  | 1,269 |  | 26 |  | (341) |
|  | 2,008 |  | 1,867 |  | 31 |  | 562 |
| Net income | \$ 12,667 | \$ | 8,353 | \$ | 3,976 | \$ | 2,004 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | 10,747 |  | 10,886 |  | 10,751 |  | 10,894 |
| Diluted | 10,748 |  | 10,894 |  | 10,756 |  | 10,894 |
| Basic and diluted earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.99 | \$ | 0.59 | \$ | 0.37 | \$ | 0.14 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.19 |  | 0.17 |  |  |  | 0.05 |
| Net income | \$ 1.18 |  | 0.76 |  | 0.37 | \$ | 0.19 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
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# PMC COMMERCIAL TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES <br> CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME <br> (In thousands) 

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income | \$ 12,667 | \$8,353 | \$ 3,976 | \$ 2,004 |
| Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of retained interests in transferred assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) arising during period | (38) | (482) | 451 | (432) |
| Net realized gains included in net income | (474) | (450) | (140) | (169) |
|  | (512) | (932) | 311 | (601) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 12,155 | \$7,421 | \$ 4,287 | \$ 1,403 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

PMC COMMERCIAL TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF BENEFICIARIES EQUITY <br> (In thousands, except share and per share data)

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005
(Unaudited)
Net
Unrealized
$\left.\begin{array}{lccc} & \text { (Unaudited) } \\ \text { Net } \\ \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Appreciation } \\ \text { of }\end{array}\right]$

Balances, January 1, 2005
Net unrealized depreciation
Shares repurchased
Shares issued through
exercise of stock options
Issuance of share options and restricted shares
Dividends (\$0.95 per
share)
$10,876,961$ \$ 110 \$ 151,818 \$ 5,120 $\$ 111,003$ \$(105,462) $\$(1,285) \$ 161,304$

Net income
$(10,340)$
$(21,200)$
(932)

Balances,
September 30, $2005 \quad 10,874,221 \quad \$ 110 \quad \$ 152,032 \quad \$ 4,188 \quad \$ 119,356 \quad \$(115,802) \quad \$(1,570) \$ 158,314$

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006
(Unaudited)
Net
Unrealized
Common
Appreciation
of
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Shares of } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Retained } \\ \text { Interests }\end{array}\end{array}$

| Beneficial |  | Additional | in Cumulative |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest | Par | Paid-in Transferred | Net | Cumulative TreasuryBeneficiaries |  |  |  |
| Outstanding | Value | Capital | Assets | Income | Dividends | Stock | Equity |

$10,766,021 \quad \$ 110 \quad \$ 152,047 \quad \$ 4,519 \quad \$ 122,300 \quad \$(119,031) \quad \$(2,928) \$ 157,017$

## Balances,

January 1, 2006
Net unrealized depreciation (512)

Shares repurchased $(24,100)$
Issuance of share options and restricted shares
Dividends (\$0.90 per share)
$9,060 \quad 111$

Net income
Balances,
September 30, 2006 10,750,981 \$ 110 \$ 152,158 \$ 4,007 \$ 134,967 \$ (128,705) \$ (3,231) \$ 159,306 The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
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## PMC COMMERCIAL TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES <br> CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS <br> (In thousands)

|  | Nine Months EndedSeptember 30,$2006 \quad 2005$(Unaudited) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 12,667 | \$ 8,353 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |
| Depreciation | 186 | 1,166 |
| Permanent impairments on retained interests in transferred assets | 875 | 387 |
| Net gains on sales of real estate | $(2,024)$ | $(2,152)$ |
| Gain on early extinguishment of debt | (563) |  |
| Deferred income taxes | 112 | (32) |
| Provision for loan losses | 90 | 394 |
| Provision for losses on rent and related receivables | 925 | 1,083 |
| Impairment losses | 94 | 1,989 |
| Premium income adjustment | 116 | 70 |
| Amortization and accretion, net | (234) | (156) |
| Share-based compensation | 111 | 91 |
| Capitalized loan origination costs | (141) | (112) |
| Loans funded, held for sale | $(4,867)$ | $(6,350)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of guaranteed loans | 6,373 | 6,690 |
| Loan fees collected, net | 16 | 351 |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: |  |  |
| Due to/from affiliates, net | (723) | $(1,045)$ |
| Other assets | (10) | $(1,898)$ |
| Borrower advances | $(1,603)$ | 870 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | $(1,076)$ | (132) |
| Other liabilities | (276) | (554) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 10,048 | 9,013 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |
| Loans funded | $(12,165)$ | $(21,178)$ |
| Principal collected on loans receivable | 40,049 | 11,769 |
| Principal collected on notes receivable |  | 292 |
| Principal collected on retained interests in transferred assets | 4,052 | 5,139 |
| Proceeds from assets acquired in liquidation held for sale, net | 1,180 | 2,931 |
| Proceeds from sales of hotel properties, net | 3,128 | 7,485 |
| Proceeds from mortgage-backed security of affiliate | 187 | 154 |
| Investment in retained interests in transferred assets | (97) | $(1,830)$ |
| Distribution from unconsolidated subsidiary | 452 |  |
| Investment in PMC Preferred Capital Trust-A |  | (820) |
| Proceeds from (investment in) restricted investments, net | 794 | (206) |

Purchase of furniture, fixtures and equipment (100)
(351)
Net cash provided by investing activities ..... 37,480 ..... 3,385
Cash flows from financing activities:
Proceeds from issuance of common shares ..... 123
Purchase of treasury shares ..... (303) ..... (285)
Payment of principal on revolving credit facility, net ..... $(14,600)$
Proceeds from issuance of SBA debentures ..... 4,000
Proceeds from (payment of principal on) conduit facility, net ..... $(19,490)$ ..... 9,605
Proceeds from issuance of junior subordinated notes ..... 27,070
Payment of principal on notes and mortgages payable and debentures ..... $(18,468)$ ..... $(29,192)$
Payment of borrowing costs ..... $(1,466)$
Payment of dividends ..... $(9,679)$ ..... $(10,774)$
Net cash used in financing activities$(47,940)$$(15,519)$
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents ..... (412)$(3,121)$
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year ..... 3,967 ..... 9,065
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period \$ 3,555 ..... \$ 5,944
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
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## PMC COMMERCIAL TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> (Unaudited)

## Note 1. Interim Financial Statements:

The accompanying consolidated balance sheet of PMC Commercial Trust ( PMC Commercial or together with its wholly-owned subsidiaries, we, us or our ) as of September 30, 2006 and the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 and beneficiaries equity and cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, have not been audited by independent accountants. In the opinion of management, the financial statements reflect all adjustments necessary to fairly present our financial position at September 30, 2006 and our results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005. These adjustments are of a normal recurring nature.

Certain notes and other information have been omitted from the interim financial statements presented in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Therefore, these financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect (1) the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and (2) the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Our most sensitive estimates involve the valuation of our retained interests in transferred assets and determination of reserves on our receivables.

The results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of future financial results.

## Note 2. Business:

PMC Commercial is a real estate investment trust ( REIT ) that either directly or through its subsidiaries, primarily originates loans to small businesses collateralized by first liens on the real estate of the related business. The majority of these loans are to borrowers in the hospitality industry. We also originate loans on commercial real estate to borrowers in the service, retail, multi-family and manufacturing industries and loans guaranteed by the Small Business Administration (SBA ) collateralized by business assets and/or real estate. In addition, our investments include the ownership of commercial properties in the hospitality industry. Our common shares are traded on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol PCC.

## Note 3. Consolidation:

We consolidate entities that we control by ownership of a majority voting interest as well as variable interest entities for which we are considered to be the primary beneficiary. To the extent we do not have a majority voting interest, we use the equity method to account for investments for which we have the ability to exercise significant influence over operating and financial policies. Consolidated net income includes our share of the net earnings of any entity accounted for using the equity method. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of PMC Commercial, First Western SBLC, Inc. ( First Western ), PMC Investment Corporation ( PMCIC ), Western Financial Capital Corporation ( Western Financial ), PMC

Commercial Trust, Ltd. 1998-1 ( PMCT Trust ), PMC Funding Corp. ( PMC Funding ), PMC Asset Holding, LLC ( Asset Holding ), PMC Conduit, L.P. ( PMC Conduit ), PMC Properties, Inc. ( PMC Properties ) and separate subsidiaries created in conjunction with the purchase of hotel properties in 1999.

On September 29, 2006, we leased a hotel property owned by a separate subsidiary (PMCT Plainfield, L.P.) which was previously consolidated. The hotel property is the primary asset of the separate subsidiary. The lessee has the option, and is expected to exercise this option, to purchase the property for $\$ 1,825,000$ at termination of the lease in January 2011 or earlier if certain events occur. Our subsidiary received a substantial non-refundable up-front payment of $\$ 452,000$. Based on this new lease agreement including the fixed price purchase option, the subsidiary was determined to be a variable interest entity. Since we do not expect to absorb the majority of the entity s future expected losses or receive the entity s expected residual returns, PMC Commercial is not considered to be the primary beneficiary. Thus, the separate subsidiary is no longer
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## PMC COMMERCIAL TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)
consolidated in PMC Commercial Trust s financial statements and the equity method is used to account for our investment in the separate subsidiary effective September 29, 2006. The following table summarizes the assets and liabilities of PMCT Plainfield, L.P.:

|  | September <br> 29, <br> 2006 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In <br> thousands) |  |
|  | $\$$1,709 <br> Real estate investment, net <br> Other assets <br> Total assets <br>  <br> Mortgage payable <br> Other liabilities <br> Total liabilities | $\$$ |

First Western is licensed as a small business lending company that originates loans through the SBA 7(a) Guaranteed Loan Program. PMCIC and Western Financial are licensed specialized small business investment companies under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended ( SBIA ). PMCT Trust was formed in conjunction with our 1998 structured loan financing transaction. PMC Funding, Asset Holding and PMC Conduit hold assets on our behalf. PMC Properties is the operator, through third party managers, of our limited service hospitality properties.

In addition, we own subordinate financial interests in several non-consolidated special purpose entities (i.e., retained interests in transferred assets ( Retained Interests )). These are PMC Capital, L.P. 1998-1 (the 1998 Partnership ), PMC Capital, L.P. 1999-1 (the 1999 Partnership ), PMC Joint Venture, L.P. 2000 (the 2000 Joint Venture ), PMC Joint Venture, L.P. 2001 (the 2001 Joint Venture ), PMC Joint Venture, L.P. 2002-1 (the 2002 Joint Venture ) and PMC Joint Venture, L.P. 2003 (the 2003 Joint Venture, and together with the 2000 Joint Venture, the 2001 Joint Venture and the 2002 Joint Venture, the Joint Ventures, and the Joint Ventures together with the 1998 Partnership and the 1999 Partnership, the QSPEs ) created in connection with structured loan sale transactions.

We account for our Retained Interests in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishment of Liabilities ( SFAS No. 140 ) and Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 99-20, Recognition of Interest Income and Impairment on Purchased and Retained Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets. While we are the servicer of the assets held by these QSPEs, we are required under the transaction documents to comply with strict servicing standards and are subject to the approval of the trustees and/or noteholders regarding any significant issues associated with the assets. As a result, we believe we have relinquished control of the assets sold to the QSPEs. Accordingly, the assets, liabilities, partners capital and results of operations of the QSPEs are not included in our consolidated financial
statements.

## Note 4. Reclassifications:

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on previously reported net income or total beneficiaries equity.

## Note 5. Share-Based Compensation Plans:

At September 30, 2006, we have options outstanding under share-based compensation plans. We use the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123R, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to account for all awards granted, modified or settled.
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## PMC COMMERCIAL TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> (Unaudited)

We granted 33,250 option awards on June 10, 2006 at an exercise price of $\$ 12.72$ (the closing price on June 9, 2006) under our 2005 Equity Incentive Plan. Option awards vest immediately upon grant with five-year contractual terms. The fair value of this option award was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

| Assumption |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Expected Term (years) | 3.0 |
| Risk-Free Interest Rate | $4.96 \%$ |
| Expected Dividend Yield | $9.43 \%$ |
| Expected Volatility | $16.24 \%$ |
| Expected Forfeiture Rate | $5.0 \%$ |

The expected term of the options granted represents the period of time that the options are expected to be outstanding and was based on historical data. The risk-free rate was based on the three-year U.S. Treasury rate corresponding to the expected term of the options. We used historical information to determine our expected volatility, dividend yield and forfeiture rates. We recorded compensation expense of approximately $\$ 19,000$ related to this option grant during the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

In addition, we issued an aggregate of 9,060 restricted shares to executive officers and our Board of Trust Managers on June 10, 2006 at the then current market price of the shares of $\$ 12.72$. The restricted share awards vest based on two years of continuous service with one-third of the shares vesting immediately upon issuance of the shares and one-third vesting at the end of each of the next two years. Restricted share awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the plan). Compensation expense is being recognized over the vesting period. We recorded compensation expense of approximately $\$ 15,000$ and $\$ 58,000$ during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 for this restricted share issuance. At September 30, 2006, there was approximately $\$ 58,000$ of total unrecognized compensation expense related to these unvested restricted shares which will be recognized over the next two years.

We granted 36,700 option awards on June 11, 2005 at an exercise price of $\$ 14.54$. We recorded compensation expense of approximately $\$ 25,000$ related to this option grant during the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

We issued an aggregate of 9,060 restricted shares to executive officers and our Board of Trust Managers on June 11, 2005 at the then current market price of the shares of $\$ 14.54$. Compensation expense is being recognized over the vesting period. We recorded compensation expense of approximately $\$ 5,000$ and $\$ 34,000$ during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and approximately $\$ 17,000$ and $\$ 66,000$ during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, for this restricted share issuance. At September 30, 2006, there was approximately $\$ 15,000$ of total unrecognized compensation expense related to these unvested restricted shares which will be recognized over the next year.

## Note 6. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements:

In May 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued SFAS No. 154 ( SFAS No. 154 ), Accounting Changes and Error Corrections which replaces Accounting Principles Board (APB ) Opinion No. 20, Accounting

Changes and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements An Amendment of APB Opinion No. 28. SFAS No. 154 provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. It establishes retroactive application, or the latest practicable date, as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle and the reporting of a correction of an error. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

The FASB issued SFAS No. 155 ( SFAS No. 155 ), Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140 in February 2006. SFAS No. 155 (1) permits fair value remeasurement for hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative that would otherwise require bifurcation, (2) clarifies which
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## PMC COMMERCIAL TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> (Unaudited)

interest-only strip receivables are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133, (3) establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, (4) clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives and (5) amends SFAS No. 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a QSPE from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. The Statement is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. We are currently evaluating the impact of SFAS No. 155 on our consolidated financial statements; however, we do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

The FASB issued SFAS No. 156 ( SFAS No. 156 ), Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 in March 2006. SFAS No. 156 requires that all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. Subsequent to initial measurement, an entity may choose to use the amortization method or the fair value method for future measurements. SFAS No. 156 also requires additional disclosures related to servicing assets and servicing liabilities. SFAS No. 156 is effective for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. We are currently evaluating the impact of SFAS No. 156 on our consolidated financial statements; however, we do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 ( FIN 48 ), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, which clarifies the accounting and disclosure for uncertainty in income tax positions, as defined. FIN 48 imposes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken in a tax return and provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We are currently evaluating the impact of FIN 48 on our consolidated financial statements; however, we do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

The FASB issued SFAS No. 157 ( SFAS No. 157 ), Fair Value Measurements in September 2006. SFAS No. 157 clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing an asset or liability, establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the impact of SFAS No. 157 on our consolidated financial statements; however, we do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 ( SAB 108 ), Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements, was issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) during September 2006. SAB 108 expresses SEC staff views regarding the process by which misstatements in financial statements are evaluated for purposes of determining whether financial statement restatement is necessary. SAB 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006, and early application is encouraged. We are currently evaluating the impact of SAB 108 on our consolidated financial statements; however, we do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.
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## Note 7. Loans Receivable, net:

Loans receivable, net, consisted of the following:


Additional information on our loans receivable, net, was as follows:

September 30, 2006
December 31, 2005
Weighted Average Average
Loans Receivable
Amount Interest Rate

Loans Receivable Interest Amount \% Rate (Dollars in thousands)

| Variable-rate | LIBOR | $\$ 116,557$ | $80.8 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $\$ 120,645$ | $76.6 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Variable-rate | prime | 15,083 | $10.4 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | 18,278 | $11.6 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| Fixed-rate |  | 12,661 | $8.8 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | 18,651 | $11.8 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\$ 144,301$ | $100.0 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $\$ 157,574$ | $100.0 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ |

Our loans receivable were approximately $95 \%$ concentrated in the hospitality industry at September 30, 2006. Any economic factors that negatively impact the hospitality industry could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

The activity in our loan loss reserves was as follows:

|  | Nine Months |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ended |  |  |  |
| September 30, |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { 2006 }\end{array}$ |  | 2005 |
| (In thousands) |  |  |  |$]$
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Impaired loans are defined by generally accepted accounting principles as loans for which it is probable that the lender will be unable to collect all amounts due based on the original contractual terms of the loan. Information on those loans considered to be impaired loans was as follows:

(1) Loans acquired were recorded at their estimated fair value and as such are reflected at discounted amounts. Certain of these loans have no reserves and are thus shown in impaired loans expected to be fully recoverable with respect to our recorded investment in the loan; however, we do not expect to collect all amounts due based on the original contractual terms of the note.
(2) Recorded primarily on the cash basis.

Our impaired loans have decreased from December 31, 2005 to September 30, 2006 due primarily to the foreclosure of the underlying collateral of three limited service hospitality properties.

## Note 8. Real Estate Investments and Rent and Related Receivables:

Our real estate investments consisted of the following:

| September |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30, |  |  |
| 2006 | December 31, 2005 |  |
|  |  | Real |
| Real | Real | Estate |
| Estate | Estate | Investments |

Land
Buildings and improvements
Furniture, fixtures and equipment

| InvestmentSnvestments Held for Sale |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| $\$ 494$ | $\$ 1,044$ | $\$$ | 2,041 |
| 4,468 | 7,484 | 15,110 |  |
| 290 | 271 |  | 1,728 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 5,252 | 8,799 | 18,879 |  |
| $(798)$ | $(719)$ |  | $(3,409)$ |
| $\$ 4,454$ | $\$ 8,080$ | $\$$ | 15,470 |
| 2 | 4 |  | 9 |

Number of hotel properties
2
4
9

At September 30, 2006, two hotel properties that we own are included in our consolidated financial statements. The properties were originally part of a sale and leaseback transaction commencing in 1998 with Arlington Hospitality, Inc. ( AHI ) whereby we purchased 30 properties from AHI and then leased the properties to a wholly-owned subsidiary of AHI,
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Arlington Inns, Inc. ( AII and together with AHI, Arlington ). We concurrently entered into a Master Lease Agreement with AHI and AII covering all the properties and entered into a guaranty agreement with AHI whereby AHI guaranteed all obligations of AII under the individual property lease agreements.

During June 2005, AII filed a voluntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code ( Bankruptcy ). On August 31, 2005, AHI filed for Bankruptcy (the Arlington Bankruptcy ). The January through April 2005 lease payments due from AII were paid while the May and June 2005 lease payments due from AII were not paid. AII made its July 2005 to January 2006 rent payments which were recorded as income when earned.

On January 13, 2006, we received rejection notices on 12 individual property leases and as a result, we took possession and operated 13 hotel properties through third party management companies. During 2006, we sold ten hotel properties for approximately $\$ 20.5$ million and recognized net gains of approximately $\$ 1.9$ million and deferred gains of approximately $\$ 1.2$ million. We financed the sale of these properties through origination of loans aggregating approximately $\$ 17.1$ million with interest rates of LIBOR plus spreads ranging from $3.75 \%$ to $4.80 \%$ and maturity and amortization periods of 20 years.

At September 30, 2006, our remaining hotel properties had mortgages (which were assumed in the original purchase from AHI) with significant prepayment penalties. Therefore, we do not anticipate selling these properties until the properties market values increase or the prepayment penalties decrease. Until the properties are sold, we will either operate the properties through third party management companies or lease the properties.

On September 29, 2006, we leased a hotel property owned by a separate subsidiary which was previously consolidated. The lessee has the option, and is expected to exercise this option, to purchase the property for $\$ 1,825,000$ at termination of the lease in January 2011 or earlier if certain events occur. Our subsidiary received a substantial non-refundable up-front payment of $\$ 452,000$. The separate subsidiary which owns the hotel property is no longer consolidated in PMC Commercial Trust s financial statements effective September 29, 2006. The operations relating to this property were included in continuing operations in our consolidated statement of income through September 29, 2006.

We generated hotel property revenues of approximately $\$ 639,000$ and $\$ 1,653,000$ consisting primarily of room revenues and $\$ 526,000$ and $\$ 1,319,000$ in hotel property expenses consisting primarily of operating and general and administrative expenses related to our hotel properties during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. We performed a recoverability test to determine if the future undiscounted cash flows over our expected holding period for the hotel properties exceeded the carrying value of the hotel properties. Future cash flows are based on estimated future property operations or rent payments to be received on the hotel properties and expected proceeds from the sale. Based on this analysis, no impairment losses were recorded during the three or nine months ended September 30, 2006.

At September 30, 2006, our rent and related receivables consisted of unpaid rent, property taxes, legal fees incurred, termination damages, notes receivable and other charges (the Arlington Claims ) of approximately $\$ 2,747,000$ before reserves. As a result of the uncertainty and timing of collection, our claim in the Arlington Bankruptcy is well in excess of our recorded investment in the Arlington Claims.

We performed an analysis of our anticipated future proceeds related to the Arlington Bankruptcy to determine the collectibility of our investment in the rent and related receivables based on best available information provided to us through the bankruptcy proceedings. As a result, we established an allowance of approximately $\$ 1,255,000$ during 2005. We recorded additional allowances of $\$ 500,000$ and $\$ 925,000$ during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, primarily resulting from reductions in available cash due to unanticipated and unforecasted cash expenditures by Arlington. Accordingly, our net recorded investment was $\$ 567,000$ as of September 30, 2006. To the extent there is a reduction of the anticipated future proceeds, we would record an additional allowance against these receivables.

Note 9. Retained Interests:
In our structured loan sale transactions, we contributed loans receivable to a QSPE in exchange for cash and beneficial interests in that entity. The QSPE issued notes payable (the Structured Notes ) to unaffiliated parties ( Structured Noteholders ). The QSPE then distributed a portion of the proceeds from the Structured Notes to us. The Structured Notes
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are collateralized solely by the assets of the QSPE which means that should the financial assets in the QSPE be insufficient for the trustee to make payments on the Structured Notes, the Structured Noteholders have no recourse against us. Upon the completion of our structured loan sale transactions, we recorded the transfer of loans receivable as a sale in accordance with SFAS No. 140. As a result, the loans receivable contributed to the QSPE, the Structured Notes issued by the QSPE, and the operating results of the QSPE are not included in our consolidated financial statements. The difference between (1) the carrying value of the loans receivable sold and (2) the sum of (a) the cash received and (b) the relative fair value of our Retained Interests, constituted the gain or loss on sale. Retained Interests are carried at estimated fair value, with realized gains and permanent impairments recorded in net income and unrealized gains and losses recorded in beneficiaries equity.

We completed joint structured loan sale transactions with PMC Capital, Inc., our affiliate through common management. Our interests related to the loans receivable we contributed to these structured loan sale transactions are the Originated Structured Loan Sale Transactions. During 2004, we acquired PMC Capital, Inc. s Retained Interests in the Joint Ventures and 100\% of the 1998 Partnership and the 1999 Partnership (collectively, the Acquired Structured Loan Sale Transactions ).

Information pertaining to our Originated Structured Loan Sale Transactions as of September 30, 2006 was as follows:

(1) Variable interest rates are denoted by the spread over the 90-day LIBOR( L ).
(2) Discount rates utilized were (a) $7.8 \%$ to $8.2 \%$ for our required overcollateralization, (b) $9.5 \%$ to $9.6 \%$ for our reserve funds and (c) $12.5 \%$ to $12.6 \%$ for our interest-only strip receivables.
(3) The prepayment rate was based on the actual performance of the loan pools, adjusted for anticipated principal prepayments considering similar loans.
(4) The weighted average remaining life of loans was calculated by summing the product of (a) the sum of the principal collections expected in each future period multiplied by (b) the number of periods until collection, and then dividing that total by (c) the remaining principal balance.
(5)

## Edgar Filing: PMC COMMERCIAL TRUST /TX - Form 10-Q

Represents aggregate estimated future losses as a percentage of the principal outstanding based upon per annum losses ranging from $0.0 \%$ to $2.0 \%$. To the extent any loans are likely to be liquidated in the next twelve months, estimated losses were assumed to occur during that period. No losses are assumed in the twelve months ending September 30, 2007 for those structured loan sale transactions with no current potential impaired loans.
(6) Represents aggregate principal losses to date as a percentage of the principal outstanding at inception. For the 2000 Joint Venture, represents the loss on a loan receivable repurchased by PMC Commercial due to a loan modification and assumption.
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Information pertaining to our Acquired Structured Loan Sale Transactions as of September 30, 2006 was as follows:

(1) Variable interest rates are denoted by the spread over (under) the prime rate ( $P$ ) or the 90-day LIBOR ( L ).
(2) Discount rates utilized were (a) $7.8 \%$ to $9.3 \%$ for our required overcollateralization, (b) $9.5 \%$ to $9.6 \%$ for our reserve funds and (c) $12.5 \%$ to $12.6 \%$ for our interest-only strip receivables.
(3) The prepayment rate was based on the actual performance of the loan pools, adjusted for anticipated principal prepayments considering similar loans.
(4) The weighted average remaining life of loans was calculated by summing the product of (a) the sum of the principal collections expected in each future period multiplied by (b) the number of periods until collection, and then dividing that total by (c) the remaining principal balance.
(5) Represents aggregate estimated future losses as a percentage of the principal outstanding based upon per annum losses ranging from $0.0 \%$ to $1.5 \%$. To the extent any loans are likely to be liquidated in the next twelve months, estimated losses were assumed to occur during that period. No losses are assumed in the twelve months ending September 30, 2007 for those structured loan sale transactions with no current potential impaired loans.
(6) Represents aggregate principal losses to date as a percentage of the principal outstanding at inception. For the 2000 Joint Venture, represents historical losses incurred prior to our acquisition. For the 2001 Joint Venture and the 2002 Joint Venture, represents losses on delinquent loans receivable with a charged-off status
repurchased by PMC Commercial.
At September 30, 2006, none of the loans sold to the QSPEs were delinquent over 60 days as to principal and interest.
First Western has Retained Interests related to the sale of loans originated pursuant to the SBA 7(a) Guaranteed Loan Program. The SBA guaranteed portions of First Western s loans receivable are sold to either dealers in government guaranteed loans receivable or institutional investors ( Secondary Market Loan Sales ) as the loans are fully funded. On Secondary Market Loan Sales, we may retain an excess spread between the interest rate paid to us from our borrowers and the rate we pay to the purchaser of the guaranteed portion of the note and servicing costs ( Excess Spread ). At September 30, 2006, the aggregate principal balance of First Western s serviced loans receivable on which we had an Excess Spread was approximately $\$ 42.1$ million and the weighted average Excess Spread was approximately $0.7 \%$. In determining the fair value of our Retained Interests related to Secondary Market Loan Sales, our assumptions at September 30, 2006 included a prepayment speed of $18 \%$ per annum and a discount rate of $12.5 \%$.

The estimated fair value of our Retained Interests is based upon an estimate of the discounted future cash flows we will receive. In determining the present value of expected future cash flows, estimates are made in determining the amount and timing of those cash flows and the discount rates. The amount and timing of cash flows is generally determined based on estimates of loan losses and anticipated prepayment speeds relating to the loans receivable contributed to the QSPE. Actual loan losses and prepayments may vary significantly from assumptions. The discount rates that we utilize in computing the estimated fair value are based upon estimates of the inherent risks associated with each cash flow stream. Due to the limited
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number of entities that conduct transactions with similar assets, the relatively small size of our Retained Interests and the limited number of buyers for such assets, no readily ascertainable market exists. Therefore, our estimate of the fair value may or may not vary from what a willing buyer would pay for these assets.

The components of our Retained Interests are as follows:
(1) Our required overcollateralization (the OC Piece ). The OC Piece represents the excess of the loans receivable contributed to the QSPE over the principal amount of the Structured Notes Payable issued by the QSPE, which serves as additional collateral for the Structured Noteholders.
(2) The Reserve Fund and the interest earned thereon. The Reserve Fund represents cash that is required to be kept in a liquid cash account by the QSPE, pursuant to the terms of the transaction documents, as collateral for the Structured Noteholders, a portion of which was contributed by us to the QSPE upon formation and a portion of which is built up over time by the QSPE from the cash flows of the underlying loans receivable.
(3) The interest-only strip receivable (the IO Receivable ). The IO Receivable is comprised of the cash flows that are expected to be received by us in the future after payment by the QSPE of (a) all interest and principal due to the Structured Noteholders, (b) all principal and interest on the OC Piece, (c) any required funding of the Reserve Fund and (d) on-going costs of the transaction.
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Our Retained Interests consisted of the following:

|  | September 30, 2006 <br> Estimated Fair Value <br> Reserve |  |  |  |  |  | IO <br> Receivable | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Cost

December 31, 2005
Estimated Fair Value
Reserve IO
OC Piece Fund Receivable Total Cost (In thousands)

| First Western | $\$$ |  | $\$$ |  | $\$$ | 779 | $\$ 779$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1998 Partnership | 915 |  | 1,048 |  | 412 | 2,375 | 2,306 |
| 1999 Partnership | 3,885 |  | 955 |  | 499 | 5,339 | 5,240 |
| 2000 Joint Venture | 8,953 |  | 2,231 |  | 892 | 12,076 | 10,809 |
| 2001 Joint Venture | 7,227 |  | 2,619 |  | 2,440 | 12,286 | 11,023 |
| 2002 Joint Venture | 7,890 |  | 2,147 |  | 1,831 | 11,868 | 10,803 |
| 2003 Joint Venture | 10,878 |  | 4,304 |  | 3,086 | 18,268 | 17,550 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 39,748$ | $\$$ | 13,304 | $\$$ | 9,939 | $\$ 62,991$ | $\$ 58,472$ |

The difference between the estimated fair value and the cost of our Retained Interests is reflected in our consolidated balance sheets as net unrealized appreciation of Retained Interests.
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The following sensitivity analysis of our Retained Interests as of September 30, 2006 highlights the volatility that results when prepayments, losses and discount rates are different than our assumptions:

| Changed Assumption | Estimated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair | Asset |
|  | Value | Change (1) |
|  | (In thousands) |  |
| Losses increase by 50 basis points per annum (2) | \$ 55,810 | $(\$ 1,905)$ |
| Losses increase by 100 basis points per annum (2) | \$ 53,930 | (\$3,785) |
| Rate of prepayment increases by $5 \%$ per annum (3) | \$ 56,960 | (\$755) |
| Rate of prepayment increases by $10 \%$ per annum (3) | \$ 56,287 | $(\$ 1,428)$ |
| Discount rates increase by 100 basis points | \$ 55,856 | $(\$ 1,859)$ |
| Discount rates increase by 200 basis points | \$ 54,082 | $(\$ 3,633)$ |

(1) Any depreciation of our Retained Interests is either included in the accompanying statement of income as a permanent impairment (if there is a reduction in expected future cash flows) or on our balance sheet in beneficiaries equity as an unrealized loss.
(2) If we experience losses in excess of anticipated losses, the effect on our Retained Interests would first reduce the value of the IO Receivables. To the extent the IO Receivables could not fully absorb the losses, the effect would then be to reduce the value of our Reserve Funds and then the value of our OC Pieces.
(3) For example, a $16 \%$ assumed rate of prepayment would be increased to $21 \%$ or $26 \%$ based on increases of 5\% or $10 \%$ per annum, respectively.
These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. Values based on changes in these assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated since the relationship of the change in an assumption to the change in fair value is not linear. The effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of our Retained Interests is calculated without changing any other assumption. In reality, changes in one factor are not isolated from changes in another which might magnify or counteract the sensitivities.

We monitor the governing pooling and servicing agreements for each of our structured loan sale transactions and believe the servicing-related terms set forth therein are industry standard and consistent with QSPE criteria. However, views about permitted servicing activities involving QSPEs may not be consistent among organizations. As accounting standard setters continue to interpret QSPE criteria under SFAS No. 140, there may be a material resultant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In accordance with SFAS No. 140, our consolidated financial statements do not include the assets, liabilities, partners capital, revenues or expenses of the QSPEs. As a result, at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 our consolidated balance sheets do not include the $\$ 222.4$ million and $\$ 276.1$ million of assets, respectively, and $\$ 170.3$ million and $\$ 220.8$ million of liabilities, respectively, related to our structured loan sale transactions recorded by our QSPEs. At September 30, 2006, the partners capital of our QSPEs was approximately $\$ 52.1$ million compared to the value of the associated Retained Interests of approximately $\$ 56.9$ million.
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The following information summarizes the financial position of the QSPEs at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

Summary of Financial Position:

|  | 1998 Partnership |  |  |  | 1999 Partnership |  |  |  | 2000 Joint Venture |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eptember } \\ & 30, \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 31, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 30, \\ & 2006 \\ & \text { (In the } \end{aligned}$ | usa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 31, \\ & 2005 \\ & \text { ands) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 30, \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 31, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |
| Loans receivable, net | \$ | 12,526 | \$ | 15,969 | \$ | 15,271 | \$ | 20,203 | \$ | 38,042 | \$ | 42,263 |
| Total assets | \$ | 14,489 | \$ | 17,682 | \$ | 16,860 | \$ | 21,947 | \$ | 41,741 | \$ | 48,253 |
| Structured Notes | \$ | 12,230 | \$ | 15,240 | \$ | 11,830 | \$ | 16,795 | \$ | 30,603 | \$ | 36,697 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 12,305 | \$ | 15,314 | \$ | 11,896 | \$ | 16,889 | \$ | 30,696 | \$ | 36,809 |
| Partners capital | \$ | 2,184 | \$ | 2,368 | \$ | 4,964 | \$ | 5,058 | \$ | 11,045 | \$ | 11,444 |
|  | 2001 Joint Venture |  |  |  | 2002 Joint Venture |  |  |  | 2003 Joint Venture |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { eptember } \\ & 30, \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 31, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 30, \\ & 2006 \\ & \text { (In the } \end{aligned}$ | usa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 31, \\ & 2005 \\ & \text { ands) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 30, \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 31, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |
| Loans receivable, net | \$ | 32,271 | \$ | 49,175 | \$ | 35,391 | \$ | 42,843 | \$ | 68,702 | \$ | 75,566 |
| Total assets | \$ | 36,803 | \$ | 52,918 | \$ | 38,206 | \$ | 46,256 | \$ | 74,347 | \$ | 89,017 |
| Structured Notes | \$ | 27,761 | \$ | 42,731 | \$ | 28,370 | \$ | 35,844 | \$ | 58,956 | \$ | 72,782 |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 27,835 | \$ | 42,845 | \$ | 28,449 | \$ | 35,944 | \$ | 59,121 | \$ | 72,964 |

Partners capital $\begin{array}{lllllllllll} & \$ & 8,968 & \$ & 10,073 & \$ & 9,757 & \$ & 10,312 & \$ & 15,226\end{array} \$$

The following information summarizes the results of operations of the QSPEs.
Summary of Operations:

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1998 Partnership |  | 1999 Partnership |  | 2000 Joint Venture |  |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ 1,019 | \$ 996 | \$ 1,255 | \$ 1,525 | \$ 2,985 | \$3,582 |
| Total revenues | \$ 1,091 | \$ 1,027 | \$ 1,451 | \$ 1,699 | \$ 3,190 | \$4,024 |
| Reduction of losses | \$ (14) | \$ (4) | \$ | \$ | \$ (17) | \$ |
| Interest expense | \$ 708 | \$ 629 | \$ 712 | \$ 921 | \$ 1,801 | \$ 2,297 |
| Total expenses | \$ 737 | \$ 677 | \$ 762 | \$ 981 | \$ 1,886 | \$ 2,420 |
| Net income | \$ 354 | \$ 350 | \$ 689 | \$ 718 | \$ 1,304 | \$ 1,604 |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Nine | Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |
|  | 2001 Joint Venture |  | 2002 Joint Venture |  | 2003 Joint Venture |  |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$3,037 | \$ 3,946 | \$ 3,049 | \$ 3,601 | \$ 5,160 | \$4,700 |
| Total revenues | \$ 3,492 | \$4,599 | \$ 3,374 | \$ 3,960 | \$ 5,414 | \$4,723 |
| Provision for (reduction of) losses, net | \$ | \$ (415) | \$ | \$ 204 | \$ (6) | \$ |
| Interest expense | \$ 1,669 | \$ 2,260 | \$ 1,708 | \$ 2,052 | \$ 2,969 | \$ 2,486 |
| Total expenses | \$ 1,770 | \$ 1,977 | \$ 1,810 | \$ 2,375 | \$ 3,144 | \$ 2,698 |
| Net income | \$ 1,722 | \$ 2,622 | \$ 1,564 | \$ 1,585 | \$ 2,270 | \$ 2,025 |

The income from our Retained Interests represents the accretion (recognized using the effective interest method) on our Retained Interests which is determined based on estimates of future cash flows and includes any fees collected (i.e., late fees, prepayment fees, etc.) by the QSPEs in excess of anticipated fees. We update our cash flow assumptions on a quarterly basis and any changes to cash flow assumptions impact the yield on our Retained Interests. The annualized yield on our Retained Interests, which is comprised of the income earned less permanent impairments, was as follows:

|  | Nine Months Ended |  | Three Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September 30, |  | September 30, |  |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Annualized yield | $14.2 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ |

Servicing fee income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 for loans held by the QSPEs was approximately $\$ 164,000$ and $\$ 204,000$ and $\$ 525,000$ and $\$ 637,000$, respectively. We have not established a servicing asset or liability related to the loans held by our QSPEs as the servicing fees are considered adequate compensation.

We received approximately $\$ 11.4$ million and $\$ 12.1$ million in cash distributions from the QSPEs during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.
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Note 10. Other Assets:
Other assets consisted of the following:

Deferred borrowing costs, net
Assets acquired in liquidation
Investment in PMC Preferred Capital Trust-A

| September <br> 30, | December 31, |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 2005 |
| (In thousands) |  |
| $\$ 1,129$ | $\$$ |
| 975 | 1,340 |
| 838 | 1,014 |
| 724 | 820 |
| 535 | 698 |
| 557 | 653 |
|  | 382 |

Other assets
\$4,758 \$ 4,907
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Note 11. Debt:
Information on our debt was as follows:

|  | September 30, 2006 |  | December 31, 2005 |  | Current <br> Range of <br> Maturities | Current <br> Weighted <br> Average <br> Coupon <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Face Amount | Carrying Value (In thous | Face Amount sands) | Carrying <br> Value |  |  |
| Notes and debentures payable: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Debentures | \$ 8,190 | \$ 8,160 | \$ 15,500 | \$ 16,125 | 2013 to 2015 | 5.90\% |
| Mortgage notes (1) | 2,676 | 2,676 | 11,473 | 11,473 | 2011 to 2017 | 8.02\% |
| Structured notes (2) | 1,492 | 1,492 | 5,167 | 5,167 | 2006 | 6.37\% |
|  | 12,358 | 12,328 | 32,140 | 32,765 |  |  |
| Junior subordinated notes | 27,070 | 27,070 | 27,070 | 27,070 | 2035 | 8.75\% |
| Credit facilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conduit facility | 4,715 | 4,715 | 24,205 | 24,205 | 2008 | 6.34\% |
| Revolving credit facility |  |  |  |  | 2006 | N/A |
|  | 4,715 | 4,715 | 24,205 | 24,205 |  |  |
| Redeemable preferred stock of subsidiary | 4,000 | 3,645 | 4,000 | 3,575 | 2009 to 2010 | 4.00\% |
| Debt | \$ 48,143 | \$ 47,758 | \$87,415 | \$87,615 |  |  |

(1) We had mortgages on our hotel properties which were considered held-for-sale totaling approximately $\$ 5.9$ million at December 31, 2005, included in debt and accrued expenses real estate investments held for sale on our consolidated balance sheet which were repaid during 2006. Debt outstanding at September 30, 2006 does not include a mortgage note with a principal balance of approximately $\$ 1.3$ million and a fixed interest rate of $8.5 \%$ due January 1, 2011 of an unconsolidated subsidiary. This mortgage note is included in debt outstanding at December 31, 2005.
(2) Subsequent to September 30, 2006, we exercised our option and the structured notes will be repaid on December 1, 2006.
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Principal payments required on our consolidated debt at September 30, 2006 were as follows (face amount):

| Twelve Months <br> Ending September 30, | Total <br> (In |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | thousands) |  |
| 2007 | $\$$ | 1,631 |
| 2008 | 4,865 |  |
| 2009 | 2,163 |  |
| 2010 | 2,177 |  |
| 2011 | 1,060 |  |
| Thereafter | 36,247 |  |
|  | $\$ \quad 48,143$ |  |

## Debentures

Debentures represent amounts due to the SBA as a result of borrowings made pursuant to the SBIA, have a weighted average cost of funds of approximately $6 \%$ and semi-annual interest only payments. On September 1, 2006, we prepaid, without penalty, approximately $\$ 7.3$ million of fixed-rate SBA debentures. The unamortized premiums at the date of repayment of $\$ 563,000$ were recorded as gain on early extinguishment of debt.

## Mortgage Notes

As of September 30, 2006, we had mortgage notes, each collateralized by a hotel property. The mortgage notes are through our subsidiaries formed to assume the mortgage notes. During 2006, we sold one Hotel Property with a mortgage note of approximately $\$ 1.5$ million and the mortgage note was repaid. These mortgages are amortized over 20 years, mature from January 2011 to December 2017 and have restrictive provisions which provide for substantial prepayment penalties. At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, approximately $\$ 1.5$ million and $\$ 3.0$ million, respectively, of our mortgage notes were guaranteed by PMC Commercial.

## Structured Notes

In June 1998, PMC Commercial formed PMCT Trust, a bankruptcy remote partnership that completed a private placement of fixed-rate loan-backed notes (the Trust Structured Notes ). The Trust Structured Notes have a stated maturity in 2019; however, repayment of their principal is based on collections of principal on the underlying loans receivable. The Trust Structured Notes are collateralized by the loans receivable that we contributed to the partnership. At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the principal amount of the outstanding underlying loans receivable was approximately $\$ 6.7$ million and $\$ 10.8$ million, respectively. We have no obligation to pay the Trust Structured Notes, nor do the holders of the Trust Structured Notes have any recourse against our assets. Accordingly, if PMCT Trust fails to pay the Trust Structured Notes, the sole recourse of the holders of the Trust Structured Notes is against the assets of PMCT Trust.

## Junior Subordinated Notes

During 2005, PMC Commercial issued notes which are subordinated to PMC Commercial s existing debt. The junior
subordinated notes bear interest at a floating rate which resets on a quarterly basis at the 90 -day LIBOR plus $3.25 \%$ (computed on a 360-day year). The junior subordinated notes may be redeemed at our option, without penalty, beginning on March 30, 2010. Interest payments are due on a quarterly basis.

## Conduit Facility

During 2005, we entered into a three-year $\$ 100.0$ million conduit facility expiring February 6,2008 . Interest payments on the advances are payable by PMC Conduit on a monthly basis at a rate approximating $1 \%$ over LIBOR and PMC Conduit s principal repayment obligations are expected to be financed through future securitizations of the loans collateralizing advances under the conduit facility. In addition, we are charged an unused fee equal to 12.5 basis points computed based on the daily available balance. The conduit facility allows for advances based on the amount of eligible collateral sold and has minimum requirements. The conduit facility has covenants, the most restrictive of which are maximum delinquency ratios for our contributed loans and serviced portfolio, as defined in the transaction documents. In addition, the conduit facility is subject to cross default provisions with our revolving credit facility. At September 30, 2006, approximately $\$ 30.2$ million of
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our loans were owned by PMC Conduit. We had availability of approximately $\$ 16.2$ million under the conduit facility at September 30, 2006 without additional sales of loans to PMC Conduit. With respect to loans sold to PMC Conduit, after 24 months, such loans may no longer be eligible for borrowing without consent of the lender. Therefore, between February 2007 and 2008, the loans currently sold to PMC Conduit will become stale. At the end of each annual period, the lenders have the option to extend their respective commitments to make advances for an additional 364-day period. At September 30, 2006, we were in compliance with the covenants of this facility.

## Revolving Credit Facility

PMC Commercial has a revolving credit facility that matures in December 2006 and provides us with credit availability up to $\$ 20$ million. We are charged interest on the balance outstanding under the revolving credit facility at our election of either the prime rate of the lender less 75 basis points or 162.5 basis points over the 30,60 or 90 -day LIBOR. In addition, we are charged an unused fee equal to 37.5 basis points computed based on our daily available balance. The credit facility requires us to meet certain covenants, the most restrictive of which (1) provides for an asset coverage test based on our cash and cash equivalents, loans receivable, Retained Interests and real estate investments as a ratio to our senior debt and (2) limits our ability to pay out returns of capital as part of our dividends. At September 30, 2006, we were in compliance with the covenants of this facility. Subsequent to September 30, 2006, we extended the maturity date of the revolving credit facility to December 2007.

## Redeemable Preferred Stock of Subsidiary

PMCIC has outstanding 40,000 shares of $\$ 100$ par value, $4 \%$ cumulative preferred stock (the $4 \%$ Preferred Stock ) held by the SBA pursuant to the SBIA.

The 4\% Preferred Stock was issued during 1994 ( $\$ 2.0$ million) and 1995 ( $\$ 2.0$ million) and must be redeemed at par no later than 15 years from the date of issuance. In accordance with SFAS No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity, we have classified the $4 \%$ Preferred Stock as a liability on our consolidated balance sheet. Dividends of approximately $\$ 41,000$ and $\$ 120,000$ were recognized on the $4 \%$ Preferred Stock during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and are included in interest expense on our consolidated statements of income.

## Interest Paid

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, interest paid was approximately $\$ 4.5$ million and $\$ 4.3$ million, respectively.

## Note 12. Cumulative Preferred Stock of Subsidiary:

PMCIC has outstanding 30,000 shares of $\$ 100$ par value, $3 \%$ cumulative preferred stock (the $3 \%$ Preferred Stock ) held by the SBA pursuant to the SBIA.

PMCIC is entitled to redeem, in whole or part, the 3\% Preferred Stock by paying the par value ( $\$ 3.0$ million) of these securities plus dividends accumulated and unpaid on the date of redemption. While the 3\% Preferred Stock may be redeemed, redemption is not mandatory. Dividends of approximately $\$ 22,000$ and $\$ 67,000$ were recognized on the $3 \%$ Preferred Stock during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and are reflected in our consolidated statements of income as minority interest.

## Note 13. Earnings Per Share:

The computations of basic earnings per common share are based on our weighted average shares outstanding. The weighted average number of common shares outstanding was approximately $10,751,000$ and $10,894,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The weighted average number of common shares outstanding was approximately $10,747,000$ and $10,886,000$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. For purposes of calculating diluted earnings per share, the weighted average shares outstanding were increased by approximately 5,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2006 and by approximately 1,000 and 8,000 , respectively, during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 for the dilutive effect of options to purchase common shares. There was
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no dilutive effect on the weighted average shares outstanding during the three months ended September 30, 2005 as the options exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the shares.

Not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share were outstanding options to purchase approximately 61,000 and 167,000 common shares during the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and options to purchase approximately 132,000 and 74,000 common shares during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, because the options exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the shares.

## Note 14. Dividends Declared:

Dividends declared during 2006 were as follows:

|  |  | Amount |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Record Date | Date Paid | Per Share |  |
| March 31, 2006 | April 10, 2006 | $\$$ | 0.30 |
| June 30, 2006 July 10, 2006 |  | 0.30 |  |
| September 29, <br> 2006 | October 10, 2006 |  | 0.30 |
|  |  | $\$$ | 0.90 |

We have certain covenants within our debt facilities that limit our ability to pay out returns of capital as part of our dividends. These restrictions have not historically limited the amount of dividends we have paid and management does not believe that they will restrict future dividend payments.

## Note 15. Taxable Income:

PMC Commercial has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code ). To qualify as a REIT, PMC Commercial must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we distribute at least $90 \%$ of our taxable income to our shareholders. As a REIT, PMC Commercial generally will not be subject to corporate level Federal income tax on net income that is currently distributed to shareholders. We may, however, be subject to certain Federal excise taxes and state and local taxes on our income and property. If PMC Commercial fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to Federal income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and will not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years.

In order to meet our prior year taxable income distribution requirements, we may make an election under the Code to treat a portion of the distributions declared in the current year as distributions of the prior year s taxable income.

PMC Commercial has wholly-owned taxable REIT subsidiaries ( TRS s ) which are subject to Federal income taxes: PMCIC, First Western, PMC Funding and PMC Properties. The income generated from the TRS $s$ is taxed at normal
corporate rates. We account for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes which uses the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. The measurement of net deferred tax assets is adjusted by a valuation allowance, if, based on our ongoing assessment of future realization, it is more likely than not that they will not be realized.

We calculate our current and deferred tax provisions based on estimates and assumptions that could differ from the actual results reflected in income tax returns filed during the subsequent year. Adjustments based on the final tax returns are generally recorded in the period when the returns are filed.
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The following table reconciles our net income to REIT taxable income:

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands, except per share data) |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 12,667 | \$ 8,353 | \$ 3,976 | \$ 2,004 |
| Less: TRS net income, net of tax | $(1,236)$ | $(1,158)$ | (615) | (699) |
| Add: Book depreciation | 186 | 1,166 | 58 | 300 |
| Less: Tax depreciation | (429) | $(1,175)$ | (70) | (455) |
| Book/tax difference on Retained Interests, net | 1,499 | 1,616 | 550 | 67 |
| Book/tax difference on lease income |  | (11) |  | 1,083 |
| Book/tax difference on property sales | 561 | 37 | (4) | (254) |
| Impairment losses | 43 | 1,989 |  | 135 |
| Provision for loss on rent and related receivables | 925 |  | 500 |  |
| Book/tax difference on amortization and accretion | (586) | (164) | (497) | (55) |
| Asset valuation | (891) | 291 | (5) | 54 |
| Other book/tax differences, net | (217) | (42) | (55) | 49 |
| REIT taxable income | \$ 12,522 | \$ 10,902 | \$ 3,838 | \$ 2,229 |
| Common distributions declared | \$ 9,674 | \$ 10,340 | \$ 3,225 | \$ 3,264 |
| Dividends declared per share | \$ 0.90 | \$ 0.95 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.30 |

Income tax provision related to the TRS s consisted of the following:

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 006 |  | 2005 |  | 006 |  | 2005 |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current provision |  | 517 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 232 |
| Deferred provision (benefit) |  | 112 |  | (32) |  | 127 |  | (18) |
| Income tax provision |  | 629 |  | 508 |  | 295 |  | 214 |
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The provision for income taxes results in effective tax rates that differ from Federal statutory rates of $35 \%$. The reconciliation of TRS income tax attributable to net income computed at Federal statutory rates to income tax expense was as follows:

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 |  | 2005 |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Income before income taxes for TRS s | \$ 1,865 | \$ 1,666 | \$ 910 | \$ | 913 |
| Expected Federal income tax provision | \$ 652 | \$ 583 | \$ 318 | \$ | 320 |
| Preferred dividend of subsidiary recorded as minority interest | 23 | 23 | 7 |  | 7 |
| Change in valuation allowance |  | (112) |  |  | (112) |
| Other adjustments | (46) | 14 | (30) |  | (1) |
| Income tax provision | \$ 629 | \$ 508 | \$ 295 |  | 214 |

The components of the net deferred tax asset were as follows:

|  | September <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> 200 | December 31, <br>  <br>  <br> Deferred tax assets: <br> Retained Interests <br> (In thousands) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Loans receivable | $\$ 176$ | $\$$ |
| Servicing asset | 95 | 97 |
| Premiums on acquired debentures payable | 87 | 148 |
| Operating loss carryforwards |  | 132 |
| Other | 8 | 106 |
|  |  | 8 |
| Total gross deferred tax assets | 366 | 12 |
|  |  | 503 |

Deferred tax liabilities:

Discounts on acquired redeemable preferred stock of subsidiary and debentures payable

129 154

Total gross deferred tax liabilities
129
154

Deferred tax asset, net
\$ 237 \$ 349

Our operating loss carryforwards were generated by PMC Properties and are available to offset future taxable income of PMC Properties. Based on estimates of future pretax earnings for the properties, management believes that we will realize the full benefit of these net operating loss carryforwards. The net operating loss carryforwards expire from 2025 to 2026.

We paid $\$ 845,000$ and $\$ 467,500$ in income taxes during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.
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## Note 16. Other Income:

Other income consisted of the following:

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 |  | 2006 | 2005 |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Prepayment fees | \$ 1,516 | \$ 557 | \$ | 752 | \$ |
| Servicing income (1) | 803 | 928 |  | 258 | 297 |
| Premium income (1) | 499 | 526 |  | 174 | 238 |
| Other loan related income | 301 | 557 |  | 121 | 192 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiary (2) | 51 | 29 |  | 19 | 14 |
| Other income | \$3,170 | \$ 2,597 |  | 1,324 | \$ 741 |

(1) We earn fees for servicing all loans held by the QSPEs and First Western s loans sold into the secondary market. Premium income results from the sale of First Western s loans pursuant to Secondary Market Loan Sales.
(2) These earnings relate to PMC Preferred Capital Trust-A, a variable interest entity.
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## Note 17. Discontinued Operations:

Discontinued operations of our hotel properties ( 12 hotel properties and 16 hotel properties during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively) and assets acquired in liquidation (primarily three limited service hospitality properties during the nine months ended September 30, 2006) consisted of the following:

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 |  | 2005 |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel and Lease Operations: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lease income base and other | \$ 145 | \$ 2,447 | \$ | \$ | 522 |
| Straight-line rental income |  | 922 |  |  |  |
| Hotel operating revenues (1) | 1,115 | 493 | 1 |  | 382 |
| Total revenues | 1,260 | 3,862 | 1 |  | 904 |
| Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation | 9 | 945 |  |  | 241 |
| Property taxes |  | 763 |  |  | 515 |
| Interest expense (2) | 119 | 455 |  |  | 142 |
| Hotel operating expenses (1) | 1,034 | 441 | (13) |  | 358 |
| Total expenses | 1,162 | 2,604 | (13) |  | 1,256 |
| Net earnings (losses), hotel and lease operations | 98 | 1,258 | 14 |  | (352) |
| Assets Acquired in Liquidation Operations: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | 193 | 96 | 38 |  | 96 |
| Expenses | 213 | 85 | 26 |  | 85 |
| Net earnings (losses), assets acquired in liquidation operations | (20) | 11 | 12 |  | 11 |
| Total net earnings (losses) | 78 | 1,269 | 26 |  | (341) |
| Net gains on sales of real estate | 2,024 | 2,152 | 5 |  | 1,038 |
| Impairment losses | (94) | $(1,554)$ |  |  | (135) |

(1) Hotel operating revenues consist primarily of room revenues. Hotel operating expenses consist primarily of operating and general and administrative expenses.
(2) Represents interest expense on the mortgages payable related to hotel properties included in discontinued operations. As of September 30, 2006, the mortgages payable were either repaid as a result of the sales or as they matured. No additional interest expense was allocated to discontinued operations.
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Property sales included in discontinued operations consisted of the following:

|  |  | Nine Months Ended September 30, <br> 2006 (1) 2005 (1) |  |  |  | Three Months Ended September 30, | Ended <br> 30, <br> 2005 <br> les and |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Properties sold: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Assets acquired in liquidation |  | 8 |  | 4 | 1 |  | 2 |
|  |  | 18 |  | 9 | 1 |  | 4 |
| Hotel Properties: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales proceeds | \$ | 20,553 | \$ | 10,729 | \$ | \$ | 4,038 |
| Cost of sales |  | $(17,545)$ |  | $(9,451)$ |  |  | $(3,864)$ |
| Deferred gains, net |  | $(1,149)$ |  |  | 4 |  |  |
|  |  | 1,859 |  | 1,278 | 4 |  | 174 |
| Assets Acquired in Liquidation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales proceeds |  | 4,124 |  | 5,978 | 18 |  | 2,780 |
| Cost of sales |  | $(3,896)$ |  | $(4,759)$ | (17) |  | $(1,916)$ |
| Deferred gains, net |  | (63) |  | (345) |  |  |  |
|  |  | 165 |  | 874 | 1 |  | 864 |
| Net gains on sales of real estate | \$ | 2,024 | \$ | 2,152 | \$ 5 | \$ | 1,038 |

(1) We financed the sale of certain of these properties through origination of loans aggregating $\$ 19,844,000$ and $\$ 6,969,000$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. As the down payment received was not sufficient to qualify for full accrual gain treatment on certain of the sales, we recorded initial installment gains and deferred the remaining gains.
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## Note 18. Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:

Information regarding our non-cash activities was as follows:
Nine Months Ended
September 30,
$2006 \quad 2005$
(In thousands)

Non-cash investing activities:
Loans receivable originated in connection with sales of hotel properties
\$ 17,084 \$ 3,244

Loans receivable originated in connection with sales of asset acquired in liquidation
\$ 2,760 \$ 3,725

Reclassification from loans receivable to assets acquired in liquidation
\$ 3,894 \$ 5,495

Reduction of due to affiliate and Retained Interests

Loan receivable established through due to affiliate

Note receivable and deferred liability recorded upon sales of hotel properties, net

In addition, we deconsolidated the assets and liabilities of PMCT Plainfield, L.P. representing a non-cash transaction.

## Note 19. Commitments and Contingencies:

## Loan Commitments

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer provided the terms established in the contract are met. Our outstanding loan commitments and approvals to fund new loans were approximately $\$ 41.6$ million at September 30, 2006, of which approximately $\$ 4.5$ million were for prime-based loans to be originated by First Western, the government portion of which (approximately $75 \%$ of each individual loan) will be sold pursuant to Secondary Market Loan Sales.

At September 30, 2006, the majority of our commitments and approvals were for variable-rate loans based on the prime rate or the 90 -day LIBOR at spreads over the prime rate generally ranging from $2.00 \%$ to $2.75 \%$ and over LIBOR generally ranging from $3.00 \%$ to $4.25 \%$. The weighted average interest rate on our loan commitments and approvals at September 30, 2006 was approximately $9.1 \%$. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates and require payment of a fee to us. Since some commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.
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## Operating Lease

We lease office space in Dallas, Texas under a lease which expires in October 2011. Future minimum lease payments under this lease are as follows:

| Twelve Months <br> Ending <br> September 30, | Total <br> (In |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | thousands) |
| 2007 | $\$$ |
| 2008 | 176 |
| 2009 | 200 |
| 2010 | 211 |
| 2011 | 223 |
| 2012 | 19 |

\$ 1,017

Rent expense, which is being recorded on a straight-line basis, amounted to approximately $\$ 46,000$ and $\$ 43,000$ and $\$ 140,000$ and $\$ 123,000$, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

## Employment Agreements

We have employment agreements with certain of our officers expiring June 30, 2009. Future payments under these contracts are approximately $\$ 1,220,000, \$ 1,220,000$, and $\$ 915,000$ for the twelve-month periods ending September 30, 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively.

## Structured Loan Sale Transactions

The transaction documents of the QSPEs contain provisions (the Credit Enhancement Provisions ) that govern the assets and the inflow and outflow of funds of the QSPEs formed as part of the structured loan sale transactions. The Credit Enhancement Provisions include specified limits on the delinquency, default and loss rates on the loans receivable included in each QSPE. If, at any measurement date, the delinquency, default or loss rate with respect to any QSPE were to exceed the specified limits, the Credit Enhancement Provisions would automatically increase the level of credit enhancement requirements for that QSPE. During the period in which the specified delinquency, default or loss rate was exceeded, excess cash flow from the QSPE, if any, which would otherwise be distributable to us, would be used to fund the increased credit enhancement levels up to the principal amount of such loans and would delay or reduce our distribution. In general, there can be no assurance that amounts deferred under Credit Enhancement Provisions would be received in future periods or that future deferrals or losses will not occur.

## Environmental

PMC Funding has a recorded liability of approximately $\$ 300,000$ at September 30, 2006 related to a loan with
collateral that has environmental remediation obligations which are the primary responsibility of our borrower. Under purchase accounting, the liability was assumed and the loan was acquired by PMC Commercial in the merger with PMC Capital, Inc. The loan was originated in connection with the sale of the underlying collateral by PMC Funding to the borrower. The sale was financed by PMC Capital, Inc. through a loan with a current outstanding principal balance of approximately $\$ 460,000$ which is in default. As a result, we filed a lawsuit in the State of Georgia to appoint a receiver to operate the property and determine if current environmental remediation plans are being followed. The borrower has filed a counterclaim alleging, among other things, breach of contract and non-default under the loan documents. We do not believe there is any merit to the counterclaim and intend to vigorously pursue all remedies available to us under the law.

During 2005, we were informed by the Georgia Department of Natural Resources that the current remediation plan for the property has certain aspects that require revision. While our borrower has the primary responsibility for the environmental remediation, to the extent we elect to foreclose, we currently believe that the estimated fair value of the collateral underlying the loan exceeds the current outstanding principal balance on the loan. At the present time, we have been unable to quantify additional costs, if any, of the potential changes in remediation methods requested by the State of Georgia; however, these
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costs could be material and may exceed the value of the collateral net of the recorded liability and the current outstanding principal balance of the loan.

## Litigation

In the normal course of business, including our assets acquired in liquidation, we are periodically party to certain legal actions and proceedings involving matters that are generally incidental to our business (i.e., collection of loans receivable). In management s opinion, the resolution of these legal actions and proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

## Other

If the SBA establishes that a loss on an SBA guaranteed loan is attributable to significant technical deficiencies in the manner in which the loan was originated, funded or serviced by First Western, the SBA may seek recovery of funds from us. With respect to the guaranteed portion of SBA loans that have been sold, the SBA will first honor its guarantee and then seek compensation from us in the event that a loss is deemed to be attributable to technical deficiencies.

## Note 20. Business Segments:

Operating results and other financial data are presented for our principal business segments. These segments are categorized by line of business which also corresponds to how they are operated. The segments include (1) the Lending Division, which originates loans to small businesses primarily in the hospitality industry and (2) the Property Division which owns and operates our hotel properties. The operations of our lending division are reviewed by our chief operating decision makers in assessing its performance, to make business decisions and to allocate resources. We do not differentiate between subsidiaries or loan programs for this purpose.
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(Unaudited)
Business segment data for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was as follows:

|  | Total | For the Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lending |  | Total ands) | Lending | Property <br> Division |
|  |  | Division | Division <br> (In th |  | Division |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income loans and other income | \$ 5,301 | \$ 5,301 | \$ | \$ 3,630 | \$ 3,630 | \$ |
| Lease income and hotel property revenues | 639 |  | 639 | 180 |  | 180 |
| Income from Retained Interests | 2,384 | 2,384 |  | 2,527 | 2,527 |  |
| Total | 8,324 | 7,685 | 639 | 6,337 | 6,157 | 180 |

Expenses:

| Interest | 1,303 | 1,220 | 83 | 1,190 | 1,104 | 86 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Depreciation | 58 | 3 | 55 | 59 |  | 59 |
| Salaries and related benefits (1) | 1,164 | 1,106 | 58 | 1,127 | 1,014 | 113 |
| Hotel property expenses <br> General and administrative | 526 |  | 526 |  |  | 298 |
| Permanent impairments on Retained | 746 | 726 | 20 | 919 | 621 | 2 |
| Interests <br> Provision for loss on rent and related <br> receivables <br> Provision for loan losses, net | 291 | 291 |  | 156 | 156 |  |
| Total | 500 |  | 500 | 1,083 |  | 1,083 |

Gain on early extinguishment of debt 563563

Income (loss) before income tax provision, minority interest and discontinued operations

Income tax provision

| 4,262 | 4,865 | $(603)$ | 1,678 | 3,137 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(295)$ | $(284)$ | $(11)$ | $(214)$ | $(214)$ |
| $(22)$ | $(22)$ |  | $(22)$ | $(22)$ |

Minority interest (preferred stock dividend of subsidiary)
(22)
(22)
(22)
(22)
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Income (loss) from continuing operations } & 3,945 & 4,559 \quad \text { (614) } & 1,442 & 2,901\end{array}$

Discontinued operations:

| Net gains on sales of real estate | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1,038 | 864 | 174 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Impairment losses |  |  |  | $(135)$ |  | $(135)$ |
| Net earnings (losses) | 26 | 12 | 14 | $(341)$ | 11 | $(352)$ |
| Net income (loss) | $\$ 3,976$ | $\$ 4,572$ | $\$(596)$ | $\$ 2,004$ | $\$ 3,776$ | $\$(1,772)$ |

(1) Salaries and related benefits were allocated to the property division based on management s estimate of time spent for oversight.
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## (Unaudited)

Business segment data for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was as follows:

|  | Total | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lending | Property |  | Lending | Property <br> Division |
|  |  | Division | Division (In thous | Total sands) | Division |  |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income loans and other income | \$ 14,758 | \$ 14,758 | \$ | \$ 10,798 | \$ 10,798 | \$ |
| Lease income and hotel property revenues | 1,711 |  | 1,711 | 769 |  | 769 |
| Income from Retained Interests | 7,319 | 7,319 |  | 6,953 | 6,953 |  |
| Total | 23,788 | 22,077 | 1,711 | 18,520 | 17,751 | 769 |
| Expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest. | 4,197 | 3,947 | 250 | 3,383 | 3,123 | 260 |
| Depreciation | 177 | 7 | 170 | 221 | 2 | 219 |
| Salaries and related benefits (1) | 3,437 | 3,152 | 285 | 3,356 | 3,020 | 336 |
| Hotel property expenses | 1,319 |  | 1,319 |  |  |  |
| General and administrative | 1,976 | 1,787 | 189 | 2,200 | 1,749 | 451 |
| Permanent impairments on Retained |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interests | 875 | 875 |  | 387 | 387 |  |
| Impairment losses |  |  |  | 435 |  | 435 |
| Provision for losses on rent and related receivables | 925 |  | 925 | 1,083 |  | 1,083 |
| Provision for loan losses, net | 90 | 90 |  | 394 | 394 |  |
| Total | 12,996 | 9,858 | 3,138 | 11,459 | 8,675 | 2,784 |
| Gain on early extinguishment of debt | 563 | 563 |  |  |  |  |

Income (loss) before income tax provision, minority interest and discontinued operations

Income tax provision 11,355

Minority interest (preferred stock dividend of subsidiary)
$(1,427) \quad 7,061 \quad 9,076$

| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 10,659 | 12,086 | $(1,427)$ | 6,486 | 8,501 | $(2,015)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Discontinued operations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net gains on sales of real estate | 2,024 | 165 | 1,859 | 2,152 | 874 | 1,278 |  |
| Impairment losses | $(94)$ | $(51)$ | $(43)$ | $(1,554)$ |  | $(1,554)$ |  |
| Net earnings (losses) | 78 | $(20)$ | 98 | 1,269 | 11 | 1,258 |  |
| Net income (loss) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) Salaries and related benefits were allocated to the property division based on management s estimate of time spent for oversight.
Total assets at September 30, 2006 were allocated approximately $\$ 213.6$ million to the Lending Division and $\$ 5.4$ million to the Property Division.
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## (Unaudited)

Additions to furniture, fixtures and equipment were as follows:
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PART I<br>Financial Information

## ITEM 2.

## Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which are intended to be covered by the safe harbors created thereby. Such forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may, will, expect, intend, believe, anticipate, estimate, or continue, or the negative thereof or other variations or similar words or phrases. These statements include the plans and objectives of management for future operations, including, but not limited to, plans and objectives relating to future growth of the loan portfolio and availability of funds. The forward-looking statements included herein are based on current expectations and there can be no assurance that these expectations will be attained. For a description of certain factors that could cause our future results to differ materially from those expressed in any such forward-looking statement, see Current Operating Overview and Significant Economic Factors and Executive Summary. Assumptions relating to the foregoing involve judgments with respect to, among other things, future economic, competitive and market conditions and future business decisions, all of which are difficult or impossible to predict accurately and many of which are beyond our control. Although we believe that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements are reasonable, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate and, therefore, there can be no assurance that the forward-looking statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q will prove to be accurate. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included herein, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that our objectives and plans will be achieved. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. We do not undertake to update them to reflect changes that occur after the date they are made.

The following discussion of our financial condition at September 30, 2006 and results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

## BUSINESS

Our mission is to derive income primarily from the origination of collateralized loans and from ownership in income producing real estate. Through conservative underwriting and exceptional service, we strive to provide our shareholders with the highest dividend, consistent with the focus on preservation of investment capital.

PMC Commercial Trust ( PMC Commercial and, together with its wholly-owned subsidiaries, the Company, our, us or we ) is a real estate investment trust ( REIT ). Our common shares are traded on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol PCC. We are primarily a commercial lender that originates loans to small businesses that are principally collateralized by first liens on the real estate of the related business. Our loans are primarily to borrowers in the limited service hospitality industry. We also originate loans on commercial real estate to borrowers primarily in the service, retail, multi-family and manufacturing industries. We then sell certain of our loans receivable through privately-placed structured loan transactions. Historically, we have retained residual interests in all loans receivable sold through our subordinate financial interest in the related qualifying special purpose entities ( QSPEs ).

Our revenues have historically included the following:

Interest earned on loans receivable;
Income on our retained interests in transferred assets ( Retained Interests );
Lease and operating income on our hotel properties; and
Other loan related fees, including servicing fees, late fees, prepayment fees, assumption fees and construction monitoring fees.
Our ability to generate interest income, as well as other revenue sources, is dependent upon economic, regulatory and competitive factors that influence interest rates and loan originations, and our ability to secure financing for our investment
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activities. The amount of income earned will vary based on the volume of loans funded, the timing and amount of financings, the volume of loans receivable which prepay and the resultant applicable prepayment fees, if any, the mix of loans (construction vs. non-construction), the rate on loans originated as well as the general level of interest rates. For a more detailed description of the risks affecting our financial condition and results of operations, see Risk Factors in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

We seek to maximize shareholder value through long-term growth in dividends paid to our shareholders. As a REIT, we must annually distribute at least $90 \%$ of our REIT taxable income to our shareholders.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Lending

During the first nine months of 2006, our loan originations were approximately $\$ 36.9$ million, of which approximately $\$ 19.8$ million were originated in connection with sales of our Amerihost hotel properties and assets acquired in liquidation. Fundings for the first nine months of 2006 did not meet management $s$ expectations and our annual fundings may be less than our original estimates for the year. See Current Operating Overview and Significant Economic Factors Lending Division General.

The competitive marketing challenges that we are facing have impacted our financial position through our diminished outstanding portfolio and are expected to impact future operating results. These challenges include:

The loan products we offer do not include a competitive fixed-rate loan product and our volume of origination for variable-rate loans has diminished as many potential customers have sought a fixed-rate loan product;
The margins we currently receive between the interest rate we charge our borrowers and the interest rate we are charged by our lenders have compressed;
Prepayments have been at all time highs and have caused significant reductions in both our serviced and retained portfolios;
The differential between short-term interest rates (the prime rate is currently $8.25 \%$ ) and long-term interest rates (five-year treasuries are approximately $4.70 \%$ ) has resulted in fixed-rate loans being more attractive than higher priced variable-rate loans; and
An inverse/flat yield curve has eliminated the premium we historically achieved for providing a longer term (typically 20 years) fully amortizing loan.
As a result of these loan origination challenges and our goal to expand our portfolio, we are exploring additional investment opportunities. We expect to identify and invest in opportunities in the real estate market that are natural additions to supplement our core business. Currently, we are evaluating investments including, but not limited to, ownership of office buildings, retail centers, office warehouses and other real estate, joint venture ownership of hotels and acquisitions of real estate related businesses. We are also evaluating opportunities with banks (or internet banks) which may provide alternative and/or lower costs of funds that will allow us to lend against real estate collateral. In order to finance these investments, we anticipate utilization of our credit facilities. For a more detailed description of the risks associated with alternative investment opportunities and our liquidity, see Risk Factors Investment Risks General and Liquidity and Capital Resources Risks in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. While we are using resources to evaluate these opportunities, there can be no assurance that we will ultimately invest in any of these alternatives. In addition, some of these alternatives may initially generate negative cash flow and could impact our ability to maintain our dividend at its current level. However, we anticipate, that as a result of earnings from our core business and gains generated on our property sales, that we will maintain our current quarterly dividend of $\$ 0.30$ per share through the end of 2007.

We also anticipate expansion of our Small Business Administration s ( SBA ) 7(a) Guaranteed Loan Program ( SBA 7(a) Program ). Our wholly-owned subsidiary, First Western SBLC, Inc. ( First Western ), is licensed as a small business lending company that originates loans through the SBA 7(a) Program. First Western is a Preferred Lender, as designated by the SBA and effective in May 2006, is a Preferred Lender nationwide. This expanded Preferred Lender status should shorten the time period necessary to originate SBA 7(a) Program loans. While we anticipate that as a result of this change, our originations under the SBA 7(a) Program will increase, to date we have not realized a significant increase in origination volume or commitments since our marketing efforts generally take time to provide benefits. We recently expanded our marketing initiatives for origination of SBA 7(a) Program loans and anticipate increased volume as a result.
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Our third quarter and year-to-date earnings during 2006 have been positively impacted by increases in variable rates and comparable period increases in our weighted average portfolio outstanding when comparing the third quarter and nine months of 2006 to the corresponding periods in 2005. As a result of the decline in our outstanding loan portfolio ( $\$ 144.3$ million at September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 157.6$ million at December 31, 2005), we anticipate that these comparable period increases may not occur during 2007 and interest income may be negatively impacted.

## Competition

The number of lenders who compete with us for limited service hospitality loans has increased and many of these competitors have a lower cost of funds and are therefore able to offer rates below what we can offer. Until the yield curve combined with the level of competition changes, we expect this margin compression to continue.

Fixed-rate lending: As a result of the prolonged period in which the yield curve has been inverted or flat (i.e., compression of long-term and short-term interest rates) combined with increased competition from fixed-rate lenders, our margins for fixed-rate loans contracted to the point where they were not economically viable. In addition, the market has changed where borrowers are looking predominately for fixed-rate loans; however, our ability to offer fixed-rate loans is constrained by our cost of funds. Local banks offer a five-year maturity, 20-year amortization loan ( mini-perm loan ) at a more attractive rate than we can offer based on our current sources of funds. Consequently, we are currently predominately committing to loans which are variable rate.

If we reduce our rates in order to originate fixed-rate loans, it is likely that the spread on our next fixed-rate securitization would have a significantly lower spread than we have historically achieved on our fixed-rate securitizations. In addition, we have a risk that during the interim period between when we make loans with fixed interest rates and when we complete a fixed-rate structured loan transaction, if rates were to rise, we would be negatively affected by interest rate spread compression. We continue to actively pursue alternative sources of funds and evaluate interest rate hedges to reduce our cost of funds and/or reduce interest rate risk, which may allow us to originate fixed-rate loans at more competitive rates.

Variable-rate lending: Due to increased competition from variable-rate lenders, our margins for variable-rate loans have also contracted. Whereas historically we originated variable-rate loans at $3.5 \%$ to $4.5 \%$ over LIBOR, currently we are offering rates between $3.0 \%$ to $4.0 \%$ over LIBOR.

In addition, as a result of our weighted average spread over LIBOR being reduced on our variable-rate loan originations, we anticipate that the spread between our loan originations and costs of funds may be lower than the spread of $2.77 \%$ on our variable-rate securitization completed during 2003.

## Prepayments

During 2006, we experienced prepayment activity at increased levels. Prepayments of our retained portfolio were approximately $\$ 33.0$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to approximately $\$ 7.2$ million during the comparable period of 2005. In addition, during 2006 we had prepayments of approximately $\$ 36.4$ million in loans sold as part of securitizations compared to approximately $\$ 22.3$ million during the first nine months of 2005. This increased activity is primarily a result of competitors providing refinance opportunities for our borrowers combined with the effect of the reduction or expiration of our prepayment fees on certain of our loans due to the structure of the fees (i.e., expiration of lock out or yield maintenance provisions). We believe that we will continue to see high levels of prepayment activity during the remainder of 2006 and the first half of 2007. During October 2006, prepayments of our retained portfolio and our loans sold as part of securitizations were approximately $\$ 2.7$ million and $\$ 4.4$ million, respectively.

## Property Ownership

In June 2005, Arlington Inns, Inc. ( AII ) filed for bankruptcy protection. This was followed in August 2005 with the bankruptcy filing of Arlington Hospitality, Inc. ( AHI and together with AII, Arlington ), the parent of AII and the guarantor of all lease obligations. AII operated the properties under its bankruptcy plan and paid us an aggregate of approximately $\$ 1.5$ million in rent from July 2005 through January 2006.
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On January 13, 2006, AII rejected the leases and turned over property operations to us on those properties as well as two additional properties that were owned by Arlington and served as collateral on two of our loans receivable. We originally purchased a total of 30 properties, operated as Amerihost Inns, during 1998 and 1999. We commenced selling properties during 2000, and we began 2006 with 13 owned hotel properties and two assets acquired in liquidation for a total of 15 properties and sold 12 of these properties during 2006.

We leased one of our three remaining hotel properties during the third quarter of 2006 and entered into a non-binding letter of intent with a third party tenant for a long-term lease with a purchase option on one of the two remaining hotel properties subject to approval of the mortgage holder on this property. We anticipate final approval of the lease by the mortgage holder during the fourth quarter of 2006. We are currently marketing to lease the remaining property. As a REIT, we cannot directly operate hotel properties; therefore, until we finalize the leases, the properties are being operated by third party management companies.

We have significant outstanding claims against Arlington s bankruptcy estate. Based on information provided through the bankruptcy proceedings and an estimate of net cash proceeds available to the unsecured creditors, we recorded impairments on these claims during 2005 and 2006 and valued those claims at approximately $\$ 0.6$ million at September 30, 2006. There is no assurance that we will collect our claims from Arlington.

## CURRENT OPERATING OVERVIEW AND SIGNIFICANT ECONOMIC FACTORS

The following provides an update of our current operating overview and significant economic factors included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 that may have an impact on our financial condition and results of operations. The factors described below could impact the volume of loan originations, the income we earn on our assets, our ability to complete a securitization, the performance of our loans, the operations of our properties and/or the performance of the QSPEs.

## Lending Division

## General

Loans originated during the first nine months of 2006 were approximately $\$ 36.9$ million, which is greater than the $\$ 34.5$ million of loans originated during the same period of 2005. However, of our originations during 2006 and 2005, approximately $\$ 19.8$ million and $\$ 7.0$ million, respectively, were originated in connection with sales of our Amerihost Inn hotels and assets acquired in liquidation. As a result of slightly reduced interest rate spreads on new loan commitments, we anticipate our fourth quarter 2006 fundings to increase to between $\$ 15$ million and $\$ 25$ million. During 2007, we anticipate fundings will be between $\$ 55$ million and $\$ 70$ million. We believe that our fundings during 2007 will benefit from (1) recent reductions in the interest rates that we are quoting for new loan originations, (2) the hiring of additional marketing personnel and new marketing initiatives and (3) the increase in outstanding commitments to fund new loans. At September 30, 2006, June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, our outstanding commitments to fund new loans were approximately $\$ 41.6$ million, $\$ 33.1$ million and $\$ 50.5$ million, respectively. The majority of our current commitments are for variable-rate loans which provide an interest rate match with our present sources of funds.

Several key factors (as described both in Executive Summary and in more detail below) that affect our estimates of future loan originations are as follows:
our inability to originate fixed-rate loans with an adequate spread; borrowers looking to fix their cost of capital (borrow at fixed rates); uncertainty as to the cost of funds of future securitizations; and
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increased competition from local banks and other lenders, who are willing to lend at lower rates than us.
We believe that in this interest rate environment borrowers are looking predominately for fixed-rate loans; however, to the extent we originate fixed-rate loans, we have potential exposure to interest rate risk. Local bank competitors offer, among other things, five-year fixed-rate loans with rates that are below the long-term interest rates that we can presently offer. Historically, the rate for our fixed-rate product needed to be around $3.75 \%$ to $4.00 \%$ over the 10 -year treasury in order
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to provide us with what management believed was a reasonable spread. With the 10-year treasury at approximately $5.00 \%$, historically the rate we needed to obtain was approximately $8.75 \%$ to $9.00 \%$ for a quality loan with a 20 -year amortization and maturity. The local banks currently offer a five-year maturity, 20 -year amortization loan at approximately $7.25 \%$ to $8.00 \%$. Management believes that the difference between the bank sfixed rate and our variable-rate product is causing a greater percentage of borrowers to take on the refinancing risk that rates won $t$ rise significantly or be unavailable at the end of five years and they are therefore accepting the mini-perm bank loan. Many of our competitors are presently pricing fixed-rate loans based on a spread over the interest rate swap market. We are currently offering fixed-rate loans to borrowers at approximately $3.5 \%$ over 5 -year treasuries, but anticipate that the maximum amount of fixed-rate loans to be originated under this program would be approximately $\$ 30.0$ million. We are presently evaluating whether it is economically feasible to utilize the swap market to lock in a fixed cost of funds so that we can offer a more competitive fixed-rate product. At the present time, we are not sure whether this alternative source of pricing is viable for us since there is significant exposure of the underlying swaps in the event of default or prepayment. To the extent we are able to use the swap market to lock in a fixed cost of funds, we would no longer consider there to be a maximum amount to be originated under this program.

Our net interest margin for our leveraged portfolio is dependent upon the difference between the cost of our borrowed funds and the rate at which we invest these funds (the net interest spread. ) In general, a significant reduction in net interest spread may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and may cause us to re-evaluate our lending focus. See Executive Summary. Over the past few years the net interest spread has reduced causing a decrease in income from continuing operations from the leveraged portion of our portfolio and there is no assurance that this trend will change.

While we have been unable to effectively compete for fixed-rate loans, we believe that our LIBOR-based loan program (1) allows us to compete more effectively with the diminishing market share of variable-rate products, (2) provides us with a more attractive securitization product and (3) provides us with a net interest spread that is less susceptible to interest rate risk than fixed-rate loan programs. However, while we have been able to identify some investment opportunities, our volume of variable-rate loan originations has been below management s expectations for 2006.

## Portfolio Information

Our lending activities consist primarily of originating loans to borrowers who operate properties in the hospitality industry. Our net loans receivable were $\$ 144.3$ million and $\$ 157.6$ million at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 , respectively. The reduction in our net loans receivable is due to reduced loan origination activity combined with high prepayment activity.
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Loans originated and principal repayments on loans were as follows:

(1) Represents second mortgages obtained through the SBA 504 Program which are structured to be repaid by certified development companies.
At September 30, 2006, our retained portfolio does not include approximately $\$ 202.2$ million and $\$ 42.5$ million of aggregate principal balance remaining on loans we service that were sold in structured loan sale transactions and secondary market loan sales, respectively. Since we retain a residual interest in the cash flows from these sold loans, these loans impact our profitability and our cash available for dividend distributions. Therefore, information on both our loans receivable retained (the Retained Portfolio ) and combined with sold loans (the Aggregate Portfolio ) that we service is provided below. The weighted average contractual interest rate on our Aggregate Portfolio was $9.6 \%, 8.8 \%$ and $8.5 \%$ at September 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 and September 30, 2005, respectively.

Information on our Retained Portfolio was as follows:

|  | As of and for the Period Ended |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | September | December | September |
|  | 30, | 31, | 30, |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2005 |
| Weighted average contractual interest rate | $9.7 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Annualized average yield (1) | $10.9 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ |

(1) In addition to interest income, the yield includes all fees earned and is adjusted by the provision for loan losses, net.
The increase in our annualized average yield is primarily due to prepayment fees received and increased variable interest rates.

Our loans receivable were approximately $95 \%$ concentrated in the hospitality industry at September 30, 2006. Any economic factors that negatively impact the hospitality industry could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.
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At September 30, 2006, approximately $\$ 131.6$ million ( $91 \%$ ) of our loans receivable had variable interest rates (reset on a quarterly basis) with a weighted average interest rate of approximately $9.7 \%$ based primarily on the 90 -day LIBOR, or the prime rate (primarily related to our SBA 7(a) Program). The spread that we charge over LIBOR generally ranges from $3.50 \%$ to $4.50 \%$ and the spread we charge over the prime rate generally ranges from $1.50 \%$ to $2.75 \%$. The LIBOR and the prime rate used in determining interest rates to be charged to our borrowers during the fourth quarter of 2006 (set on October 1, 2006) is $5.37 \%$ and $8.25 \%$, respectively, while the LIBOR and prime rate charged during the third quarter of 2006 (set on July 1, 2006) was $5.51 \%$ and $8.25 \%$, respectively. Changes in LIBOR or the prime rate will have an impact on our interest income from our variable-rate loans receivable. In addition, at September 30, 2006, approximately $\$ 12.7$ million ( $9 \%$ ) of our loans receivable had a fixed interest rate with a weighted average interest rate of approximately $9.4 \%$.

## Prepayment Activity

Prepayment activity for our aggregate fixed-rate loans receivable has remained at high levels as a result of the continued low long-term interest rate environment combined with increased competition and the reduction or expiration of prepayment fees. In addition, prepayment activity for our aggregate variable-rate loans receivable has increased since borrowers with variable-rate loans are generally seeking fixed-rate loans due to currently marketed fixed-rate interest rates being lower than the current interest rate on their loan and/or concerns of possible rising interest rates. We believe that we will continue to see prepayment activity at these higher levels during the remainder of 2006 and the first half of 2007.

The timing and volume of our prepayment activity for both our variable and fixed-rate loans receivable fluctuate and are impacted by numerous factors including the following:

The competitive lending environment (i.e., availability of alternative financing);
The current and anticipated interest rate environment;
The market value of limited service hospitality properties; and
The amount of the prepayment fee and the length of the prepayment prohibition, if any.
When our loans receivable are repaid prior to their maturity, we generally receive prepayment fees. Prepayment fees result in one-time increases in our income and to the extent the proceeds received from the loan are reinvested in lower yielding assets will have a negative impact on our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, prepayments of sold loans could have a negative impact on our future financial condition and results of operations. Prepayments of sold loans with higher interest rates negatively impact the value of our Retained Interests to a greater extent than prepayments of sold loans with lower interest rates. Prepayments in excess of our assumptions will cause a decline in the value of our Retained Interests primarily relating to a reduction in the excess funds expected from our structured loan sale transactions. The spread that is lost may be offset in part or in whole by the prepayment fee collected. Many of the prepayment fees for fixed-rate loans receivable are based upon a yield maintenance premium which provides for greater prepayment fees as interest rates decrease. In addition, certain loans receivable have prepayment prohibitions of up to five years. Prepayment fees for variable-rate loans receivable and fixed-rate loans receivable whose prepayment prohibitions have expired are generally not significant. It is difficult for us to accurately predict the volume or timing of prepayments since the factors listed above are not all-inclusive and changes in one factor are not isolated from changes in another which might magnify or counteract the rate or volume of prepayment activity.

## Impaired Loans

Senior management closely monitors our impaired loans which are classified into two categories: Problem Loans and Special Mention Loans (together, Impaired Loans ). Our Problem Loans are loans which are not complying with their contractual terms, the collection of a portion of the balance of the principal is considered unlikely and on which
the fair value of the collateral is less than the remaining unamortized principal balance. Our Special Mention Loans are those loans that are either not complying or had previously not complied with their contractual terms but, in general, we expect a full recovery of the principal balance through either collection efforts or liquidation of collateral.
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Our Impaired Loans were as follows (balances represent our investment in the loans prior to loan loss reserves and deferred commitment fees):

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } \\ 30, \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } \\ 31, \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Problem Loans: <br> Loans receivable <br> Sold loans of QSPEs (1) | \$ | 545 | \$ | 1,587 |
|  | \$ | 545 | \$ | 1,587 |
| Special Mention Loans: <br> Loans receivable <br> Sold loans of QSPEs (1) | \$ | 32 | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,635 \\ & 5,558 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | \$ | 32 | \$ | 11,193 |
| Percentage Problem Loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans receivable |  | 0.4\% |  | 1.0\% |
| Sold loans of QSPEs (1) |  |  |  |  |
| Percentage Special Mention Loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans receivable |  |  |  | 3.6\% |
| Sold loans of QSPEs (1) |  |  |  | 2.3\% |

(1) Since the sold portion of our SBA 7(a) Program loans are secured by a government guarantee, we do not have exposure to loan loss. Accordingly, problem and special mention loan statistics for the sold portion of our SBA 7(a) Program loans have not been presented.
At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, we had reserves in the amount of $\$ 50,000$ and $\$ 427,000$, respectively, against loans receivable that we have determined to be Impaired Loans. Our provision for loan losses (excluding reductions of loan losses) as a percentage of our weighted average outstanding loans receivable was $0.09 \%$ and $0.32 \%$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. To the extent one or several of our loans experience significant operating difficulties and we are forced to liquidate the loans, future losses may be substantial. The decrease in our total Impaired Loans from December 31, 2005 to September 30, 2006 is due primarily to foreclosure of the underlying collateral of three limited service hospitality properties, repayment in full and assumption of certain loans and improved performance of a sold loan which is no longer considered to be an Impaired Loan.

## Retained Interests

At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the estimated fair value of our Retained Interests was $\$ 57.7$ million and $\$ 63.0$ million, respectively. As a result of our structured loan sale transactions, we have Retained Interests representing the subordinate interest in loans receivable that have been contributed to QSPEs and have been recorded as sold. When we securitize loans receivable, we are required to recognize Retained Interests, which
represents our right to receive net future cash flows, at their estimated fair value. Our Retained Interests consist of (1) the retention of a portion of each of the Sold Loans (the required overcollateralization ), (2) contractually required cash balances owned by the QSPE (the reserve fund ) and (3) future excess funds to be generated by the QSPE after payment of all obligations of the QSPE (the interest-only strip receivable ). Retained Interests are subject to credit, prepayment and interest rate risks.

The estimated fair value of our Retained Interests is based on estimates of the present value of future cash flows that we expect to receive from the QSPEs. Estimated future cash flows are based in part upon estimates of prepayment speeds and loan losses. Prepayment speeds and loan losses are estimated based on the current and anticipated interest rate and competitive environments and our historical experience with these and similar loans receivable. The discount rates utilized are determined for each of the components of Retained Interests as estimates of market rates based on interest rate levels
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considering the risks inherent in the transaction. Changes in any of our assumptions, or actual results which deviate from our assumptions, may materially affect the value of our Retained Interests.

As a result of the merger, we acquired PMC Capital, Inc. s subordinate interests in the joint ventures and $100 \%$ of the subordinate interests in the 1998 partnership and the 1999 partnership (collectively, the Acquired Structured Loan Sale Transactions ). We previously owned subordinate interests in the joint ventures (the Originated Structured Loan Sale Transactions ).

Constant prepayment rates and aggregate losses assumed were as follows for our Originated Structured Loan Sale Transactions ( Originated ) and Acquired Structured Loan Sale Transactions ( Acquired ):

|  | Constant Prepayment Rate <br> September 30, <br> December 31, |  | Aggregate Losses Assumed <br> September 30, <br> December 31, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Originated: | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| 2000 Joint Venture |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 Joint Venture | $16.0 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $1.65 \%$ | $2.74 \%$ |
| 2002 Joint Venture | $18.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $2.63 \%$ |
| 2003 Joint Venture | $17.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $1.82 \%$ | $3.72 \%$ |
|  | $13.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $1.87 \%$ | $2.51 \%$ |
| Acquired: |  |  |  |  |
| Secondary Market Sales (1) | $18.0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 1998 Partnership | $16.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |  |  |
| 1999 Partnership | $16.0 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $2.33 \%$ | $3.12 \%$ |
| 2000 Joint Venture | $16.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $2.34 \%$ | $2.43 \%$ |
| 2001 Joint Venture | $18.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $1.56 \%$ | $3.28 \%$ |
| 2002 Joint Venture | $17.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $2.07 \%$ | $2.06 \%$ |
| 2003 Joint Venture | $13.0 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $1.71 \%$ | $2.68 \%$ |
|  |  | $12.0 \%$ | $1.85 \%$ | $2.77 \%$ |

(1) There are no losses assumed on Secondary Market Sales as the SBA has guaranteed payment of principal on these loans.
Constant prepayment rates have generally increased from December 31, 2005 to September 30, 2006 primarily due to increased historical prepayments and the anticipated continuation of high levels of prepayments due to increased competition and the reduction or expiration of prepayment fees in a significant portion of the underlying portfolio.

Aggregate losses assumed have generally decreased from December 31, 2005 to September 30, 2006 primarily based on decreased portfolio outstanding, historical losses being significantly below original estimates and the continued positive performance of our securitized loans and the limited service hospitality industry.

Discount rates utilized from December 31, 2005 to September 30, 2006 have increased by approximately 20 to 30 basis points $(0.20 \%$ to $0.30 \%)$ due primarily to rising interest rates.
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The following is a sensitivity analysis of our Retained Interests as of September 30, 2006 to highlight the volatility that results when prepayments, loan losses and discount rates are different than our assumptions:

(1) Any depreciation of our Retained Interests is either included in the accompanying statement of income as a permanent impairment (if there is a reduction in expected future cash flows) or on our consolidated balance sheet in beneficiaries equity as an unrealized loss.
(2) If we experience significant losses (i.e., in excess of anticipated losses), the effect on our Retained Interests would first reduce the value of the interest-only strip receivables. To the extent the interest-only strip receivables could not fully absorb the losses, the effect would then be to reduce the value of our reserve funds and then the value of our required overcollateralization.
(3) For example, a $16 \%$ assumed rate of prepayment would be increased to $21 \%$ or $26 \%$ based on increases of 5\% or $10 \%$ per annum, respectively.
These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. Values based on changes in these assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated since the relationship of the change in assumptions to the change in value may not be linear. The effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the estimated fair value of our Retained Interests is calculated without changing any other assumption. In reality, changes in one factor are not isolated from changes in another which might magnify or counteract the sensitivities.

The net unrealized appreciation on our Retained Interests at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 was approximately $\$ 4.0$ million and $\$ 4.5$ million, respectively. Any appreciation of our Retained Interests is included on our consolidated balance sheets in beneficiaries equity. Any depreciation of our Retained Interests is either included in the consolidated statements of income as a permanent impairment (if there is a reduction in expected future cash flows) or on our consolidated balance sheet in beneficiaries equity as an unrealized loss. Reductions in expected future cash flows generally occur as a result of decreases in expected yields, increases in anticipated loan losses or increases in prepayment speed assumptions. Our acquired Retained Interests are more susceptible to permanent impairments. When acquired from PMC Capital, Inc., the estimated fair value for each of the components of Retained Interests was recorded as our cost. As a result, during any period that (1) the value of any of the components of our Retained Interests is below the cost and (2) the estimated cash flow from the particular component has been reduced, permanent impairments would result.

## Property Division

At September 30, 2006, two hotel properties that we own are included in our consolidated financial statements. As a REIT, PMC Commercial cannot directly operate these properties; therefore, we are dependent upon third party management companies to operate and manage our hotel properties. As these properties have mortgages (which were assumed in the original purchase from AHI) with significant prepayment penalties, we do not anticipate selling these properties until the properties market values increase or the prepayment penalties decrease. Until the properties are
sold, we will either operate the properties through third party management companies or lease the properties. We previously entered into a non-binding letter of intent with a third party tenant for a long-term lease with a purchase option on one of our two remaining hotel properties subject to approval of the mortgage holder on this property. We anticipate final approval of the lease by the mortgage holder during the fourth quarter of 2006. We are currently marketing to lease the remaining property.
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In addition, one hotel property is owned by our non-consolidated subsidiary. The property was leased effective September 29, 2006. The lessee has the option, and is expected to exercise this option, to purchase the property for $\$ 1,825,000$ at termination of the lease in January 2011 or earlier if certain events occur. Our subsidiary received a substantial non-refundable up-front payment of $\$ 452,000$ which will be applied to the purchase price pursuant to the lease agreement.

## Lodging Industry

Lodging demand in the United States appears to correlate to changes in U.S. GDP growth, with typically a two to three quarter lag. Therefore, given the relatively strong U.S. GDP growth over the past several years, continued improvement in 2007 lodging demand has been predicted by industry analysts. Such improvement will be dependent upon several factors including the strength of the economy, the correlation of hotel demand to new hotel supply and the impact of global or domestic events on travel and the hotel industry. Leading industry analysts, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, have published reports that predict the industry s results will continue their improvement in 2007. However, the economic recovery in the Midwestern United States, which is where our hotel properties are located, has lagged behind the general United States economic recovery. In fact, the lodging industry within certain Midwestern states has not shown any significant signs of recovery.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005

## Overview

Our income from continuing operations increased by $\$ 4,173,000$ to $\$ 10,659,000$ ( $\$ 0.99$ per share) during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from $\$ 6,486,000$ ( $\$ 0.59$ per share) during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. As described in more detail below, significant changes between these periods were:

An increase in interest income of $\$ 3,387,000$ due primarily to increases in variable interest rates;
An increase in other income of $\$ 573,000$ due primarily to increased prepayment fees;
A gain from early extinguishment of debt of $\$ 563,000$ resulting from the repayment of approximately $\$ 7.3$ million of debentures with unamortized premiums;
An increase in income from our Retained Interests of $\$ 366,000$ as a result of increased accretion rates; and A decrease in losses of $\$ 409,000$; partially offset by
An increase in interest expense of $\$ 814,000$ due primarily to an increase in variable interest rates, and A net decrease in hotel property income of $\$ 377,000$ as a result of the rejection in January 2006 of our hotel property leases by our tenant and the subsequent operation of the properties by third party management companies.
Our discontinued operations increased by $\$ 141,000$ to $\$ 2,008,000$ ( $\$ 0.19$ per share) during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from $\$ 1,867,000$ ( $\$ 0.17$ per share) during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. As described in more detail below, significant changes between these periods were:

Impairment losses decreased by $\$ 1,460,000$; partially offset by
Net gains on property sales decreased by $\$ 128,000$; and
Decreased net earnings from our hotel properties of $\$ 1,191,000$.
More detailed comparative information on the composition of and changes in our revenues and expenses is provided below.
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## Revenues

Interest income consisted of the following:

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  | Increase |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 |  |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| Interest income loans | \$ 11,063 | \$7,890 | \$ | 3,173 |
| Accretion of loan fees and discounts | 333 | 175 |  | 158 |
| Interest income idle funds | 192 | 136 |  | 56 |
|  | \$ 11,588 | \$8,201 | \$ | 3,387 |

The increase in interest income loans was primarily attributable to increases in (1) our weighted average interest rate from $8.0 \%$ at September 30, 2005 to $9.7 \%$ at September 30, 2006 and (2) our weighted average loans receivable outstanding of $\$ 23.0$ million ( $17.5 \%$ ) to $\$ 154.8$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from $\$ 131.8$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in our weighted average interest rate is primarily due to increases in LIBOR and the prime rate. As of September 30, 2006, approximately $91 \%$ of our loans receivable had variable interest rates.

As a result of (1) the decline in our outstanding loan portfolio ( $\$ 144.3$ million at September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 157.6$ million at December 31, 2005) and (2) a slight decline in variable rates (LIBOR decreased 14 basis points) for the interest rate charged for the fourth quarter of 2006, we anticipate that these period over period increases may not occur during 2007 and interest income may be negatively impacted.

Income from Retained Interests increased $\$ 366,000$ primarily due to an increase in accretion income which was partially offset by (1) a decrease in the weighted average balance of our Retained Interests outstanding of $\$ 6.9$ million to $\$ 59.1$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 66.0$ million during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 and (2) a decrease in unanticipated prepayment fees of $\$ 149,000$. Accretion income increased primarily due to an increase in anticipated future cash flows primarily resulting from a reduction in future anticipated losses. The income from our Retained Interests consists of the accretion on our Retained Interests which is determined based on estimates of future cash flows and includes any fees collected by the QSPEs in excess of anticipated fees. The yield on our Retained Interests, which is comprised of the income earned less permanent impairments, increased to $14.2 \%$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from $12.6 \%$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Other income consisted of the following:

Prepayment fees

| Nine Months |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ended September |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30, |  |  |  |  | ea |
|  | 2006 |  | 05 |  | ease) |
| (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$$ | 1,516 | \$ | 557 | \$ | 959 |

## Edgar Filing: PMC COMMERCIAL TRUST /TX - Form 10-Q

| Servicing income | 803 | 928 | $(125)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Premium income | 499 | 526 | $(27)$ |
| Other loan related income | 301 | 557 | $(256)$ |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated |  |  |  |
| subsidiary (1) | 51 | 29 | 22 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Other income | $\$ 3,170$ | $\$ 2,597$ | $\$$ |

(1) These earnings relate to PMC Preferred Capital Trust-A, a variable interest entity.

Prepayment activity has remained at high levels and we believe that we will continue to see prepayment activity at these higher levels during the remainder of 2006 and the first six months of 2007. Prepayment activity for our fixed-rate
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loans receivable has remained at high levels as a result of the continued low long-term interest rate environment combined with increased competition and the effect of the reduction or expiration of prepayment fees. In addition, prepayment activity for our variable-rate loans receivable has increased since borrowers with variable-rate loans are generally seeking fixed-rate loans due to currently marketed fixed interest rates being lower than the current interest rate on their loan and/or concerns of rising interest rates. To the extent that prepayments are for variable-rate loans, the prepayment fees will generally not be as great as the fees on our fixed-rate loans.

We earn fees for servicing all loans held by the QSPEs and loans sold into the secondary market by First Western. As these fees are based on the principal balances of sold loans outstanding, they will decrease over time as scheduled principal payments and prepayments occur.

Premium income results from the sale of First Western s loans into the secondary market. We sold 14 and 13 loans and collected cash premiums of $\$ 615,000$ and $\$ 596,000$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. We anticipate expansion of our SBA 7(a) Program. Effective in May 2006, First Western is a Preferred Lender nationwide. While we anticipate that as a result of this change, our originations under the SBA 7(a) Program will increase, to date we have not realized a significant increase in origination volume or commitments since our marketing efforts generally take time to provide benefits. We recently expanded our marketing initiatives for origination of SBA 7(a) Program loans and anticipate increased volume as a result. To the extent we were to increase our volume of loans originated by First Western, there should be a corresponding increase in premiums received.

Other loan related income includes late fees, assumption fees, forfeited commitment fees and other fees. These fees represent one-time increases in our other income when collected and/or earned. Other loan related income decreased from the nine months ended September 30, 2005 to the nine months ended September 30, 2006 primarily due to a decrease in assumption fees.

## Interest Expense

Interest expense consisted of the following:

| Junior subordinated notes | $\$ 1,715$ | $\$$ | 986 | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conduit facility | 1,053 |  | 486 |  |
| Debentures payable | 688 |  | 725 |  |
| Mortgages on hotel properties | 250 |  | 261 |  |
| Structured notes | 177 |  | 338 |  |
| Revolving credit facility | 95 |  | 169 | $(161)$ |
| Uncollateralized notes payable |  |  | 224 | $(224)$ |
| Other |  | 219 |  | 194 |
|  |  |  |  | 25 |
|  | $\$ 4,197$ | $\$ 3,383$ | $\$$ | 814 |

Total interest expense increased primarily as a result of an increase in interest rates. Since we have variable-rate debt, the cost of funds on that debt has increased due to increases in LIBOR and the prime rate. The weighted average cost of our funds at September 30, 2006 was $7.5 \%$ compared to $6.5 \%$ at September 30, 2005. In addition, during

March 2005 we prepaid $\$ 20$ million of uncollateralized notes with proceeds from our junior subordinated notes. The cost of funds on the junior subordinated notes is LIBOR plus 3.25\%. The cost of funds for the uncollateralized notes was $7.44 \%$ on $\$ 10$ million and LIBOR plus $1.3 \%$ on the other $\$ 10$ million. The cost of funds for our conduit facility (established in February 2005) approximates $1 \%$ over LIBOR compared to our revolving credit facility cost of funds at that time of LIBOR plus $1.875 \%$.

On September 1, 2006, we prepaid, without penalty, approximately $\$ 7.3$ million of fixed-rate SBA debentures at an interest rate of approximately $8.5 \%$.
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Interest expense on our structured notes decreases as the underlying loans pay down principal through scheduled principal payments, reach maturity or prepay which in turn pays down the structured notes outstanding. Subsequent to September 30, 2006, we exercised our option and the structured notes will be repaid on December 1, 2006.

As a result of pay downs on our credit facilities and debentures and the repayment of the structured notes, total interest expense is expected to decrease in the short-term until our loans funded are greater than our principal collected on loans included in our investing activities. See Cash Flow Analysis.

## Other Expenses

Our combined general and administrative expenses and salaries and related benefits expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 remained relatively constant at $\$ 5,413,000$ compared to $\$ 5,556,000$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The primary reason for the decrease was a reduction in professional fees.

Permanent impairments on Retained Interests were $\$ 875,000$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 resulting from reductions in expected future cash flows due primarily to increased prepayments. We had $\$ 387,000$ of permanent impairments on Retained Interests during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 primarily due to a reduction in expected future cash flows resulting from increased anticipated losses relating to a problem loan during that period of time.

Impairment losses were $\$ 435,000$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. There were no impairment losses during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. We performed a recoverability test to determine if the future undiscounted cash flows over our expected holding period for the hotel properties exceeded the carrying value of the hotel properties. Future cash flows were based on estimated future rent payments to be received on the hotel properties, proceeds from the sale and/or termination fees and property operations, if applicable.

Provision for losses on rent and related receivables was $\$ 925,000$ and $\$ 1,083,000$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Provision for losses during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 primarily resulted from reductions in available cash due to unanticipated and unforecasted cash expenditures by Arlington. Provision for losses during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 pertained to our initial evaluation of rent and related receivables subsequent to the bankruptcy of AHI. We performed analyses of our anticipated future distribution related to the bankruptcy of Arlington based on best available information provided to us through the bankruptcy proceedings to determine the collectibility of our investment in the rent and related receivables. Due to the uncertainties regarding the bankruptcy proceedings, there can be no assurance that we will be awarded, or ultimately receive, any proceeds from the Arlington bankruptcy proceedings. To the extent there is a reduction of the anticipated future proceeds, we would record an additional allowance against these receivables. The bankruptcy estates/debtors continue to litigate certain claims asserted by other creditors, including claims related to the initial financing provided to the debtors and other outstanding secured claims. These disputes have led to protracted and expensive litigation that has yet to be completed. Our anticipated recovery continues to be diminished and delayed as long as these disputes remain outstanding. It does not appear that the disputes will be resolved prior to December 31, 2006. The litigation between the debtors and the other creditors has been expensive and will continue to have an adverse effect on our potential recovery. As for our claims in the bankruptcy cases, we anticipate trying to negotiate a settlement of those claims with the debtors; however, there is no certainty that we will be able to settle with the debtors or that any settlement would be approved by the official unsecured creditor s committee or the Bankruptcy Court, among others. Further, our prospects for recovery from the estates continues to be impacted by the litigation with third parties.

Provision for loan losses, net, was \$90,000 during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and $\$ 394,000$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The provision for loan losses during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was primarily related to two retail establishments upon which we foreclosed the underlying
collateral during 2006. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we increased expected losses on three loans collateralized by limited service hospitality properties.

Income tax provision increased to $\$ 629,000$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from $\$ 508,000$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The primary reason for the increase during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was increased profitability of our lending subsidiaries mainly due to increased interest income and prepayment fees.
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## Hotel property revenues and expenses

Hotel property revenues and expenses were $\$ 1,653,000$ and $\$ 1,319,000$, respectively, during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 on three hotel properties included in continuing operations. During January 2006, we commenced operating these properties, through third party management companies, upon rejection of their leases by our former tenant. As these properties have mortgages (which were assumed in the original purchase from AHI) with significant prepayment penalties, we do not anticipate selling these properties until the properties market values increase or the prepayment penalties decrease. Until the properties are sold, we will either operate the properties through third party management companies or lease the properties. One of the properties was leased effective September 29, 2006 with annual base rent of $\$ 116,700$. The lessee has the option, and is expected to exercise this option, to purchase the property for $\$ 1,825,000$ at termination of the lease in January 2011 or earlier if certain events occur. We entered into a non-binding letter of intent with a third party tenant for a long-term lease with a purchase option on one of the two remaining Hotel Properties subject to approval of the mortgage holder on this property. We anticipate final approval of the lease by the mortgage holder during the fourth quarter of 2006. We are currently marketing to lease the remaining property.

## Gain on early extinguishment of debt

Gain on early extinguishment of debt represents a gain of $\$ 563,000$ resulting from the repayment of $\$ 7,310,000$ of SBA debentures owed by our SBICs which were prepaid, without penalty, on September 1, 2006. The debentures had a carrying value of $\$ 7,873,000$ when repaid. When acquired as a result of the merger, these debentures were recorded at fair value which was greater than face value. Management $s$ decision to repay the debentures was based upon excess cash at the subsidiary levels which was unavailable, due to SBIC requirements, to be used by the parent company. In addition, there were no significant loan commitments at the SBIC level.

## Discontinued operations

We had net realized gains on the sales of real estate of $\$ 2,024,000$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 resulting primarily from the sale of ten hotel properties for approximately $\$ 20.5$ million generating gains of $\$ 1.8$ million and eight assets acquired in liquidation for approximately $\$ 4.1$ million generating gains of $\$ 0.2$ million. As the down payments received were not sufficient to qualify for full accrual gain treatment on certain of the sales, we recorded initial installment gains and deferred the remaining gains. Our deferred gains total approximately $\$ 1.6$ million at September 30, 2006. We had net realized gains on sale of real estate of $\$ 2,152,000$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 which was primarily the result of the sale of five hotel properties for $\$ 10.7$ million and the sale of two assets acquired in liquidation (one of which was a limited service hospitality property and the other a retail establishment) for approximately $\$ 2.8$ million.

Impairment losses were $\$ 94,000$ for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. We performed a recoverability test to determine if the expected net sales proceeds for the hotel properties exceeded the carrying value of the hotel properties. Based on this analysis, we recorded impairment losses of $\$ 43,000$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. In addition, we recorded an impairment loss of $\$ 51,000$ related to one of our retail establishments included in assets acquired in liquidation due to a decline in the estimate of its value. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we recorded impairment losses of $\$ 1,554,000$ on our hotel properties included in discontinued operations primarily as a result of the anticipated rejection of our leases by our tenant due to their filing for bankruptcy in June 2005 and the resultant diminishment in value of our hotel properties.

Net earnings from discontinued operations decreased to $\$ 78,000$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from $\$ 1,269,000$ during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, our tenant was obligated for rent. Due to the tenant s bankruptcy filing, effective January 2006 the leases were
rejected and for any properties that had not been sold we commenced operations through third party management companies. Accordingly, the primary reason for the decrease in net earnings from discontinued operations was a reduction in rent income, including base rent and straight-line rent, of $\$ 3.2$ million when comparing the nine months ended September 30, 2006 to the nine months ended September 30, 2005. This reduction was partially offset by a decrease in depreciation expense of $\$ 0.9$ million due to the discontinuation of depreciation on our held for sale properties. Our net earnings from discontinued operations includes 12 and 16 hotel properties during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and assets acquired in liquidation (primarily three limited service hospitality properties) during the nine months ended September 30, 2006.
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## Three Months Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2005

## Overview

Our income from continuing operations increased by $\$ 2,503,000$ to $\$ 3,945,000$ ( $\$ 0.37$ per share) during the three months ended September 30, 2006 from $\$ 1,442,000$ ( $\$ 0.14$ per share) during the three months ended September 30, 2005. As described in more detail below, significant changes between these periods were:

An increase in interest income of $\$ 1,088,000$ due primarily to increases in variable interest rates;
An increase in other income of $\$ 583,000$ due primarily to increased prepayment fees;
A decrease in losses of $\$ 536,000$; and
A gain from early extinguishment of debt of $\$ 563,000$ resulting from the repayment of approximately
$\$ 7.3$ million of debentures with unamortized premiums; partially offset by
An increase in interest expense of $\$ 113,000$ due primarily to an increase in variable interest rates.
Our discontinued operations decreased by approximately $\$ 531,000$ to $\$ 31,000$ during the three months ended September 30, 2006 from $\$ 562,000$ ( $\$ 0.05$ per share) during the three months ended September 30, 2005. As described in more detail below, significant changes between these periods were:

Net gains on property sales decreased by $\$ 1,033,000$; partially offset by
Decreased impairment losses of $\$ 135,000$; and
Increased net earnings from our hotel properties of $\$ 367,000$.
More detailed comparative information on the composition of and changes in our revenues and expenses is provided below.

## Revenues

Interest income consisted of the following:

Interest income loans
Accretion of loan fees and discounts
Interest income idle funds

| Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 |  | 2005 <br> (In thousands) |  |  | Increase |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$ | 3,768 | \$ | 2,811 | \$ | 957 |
|  | 125 |  | 33 |  | 92 |
|  | 84 |  | 45 |  | 39 |
| \$ | 3,977 |  | 2,889 | \$ | 1,088 |

The increase in interest income loans was primarily attributable to increases in (1) our weighted average interest rate from $8.0 \%$ at September 30, 2005 to $9.7 \%$ at September 30, 2006 and (2) our weighted average loans receivable outstanding of $\$ 12.8$ million ( $9.4 \%$ ) to $\$ 148.3$ million during the three months ended September 30, 2006 from $\$ 135.5$ million during the three months ended September 30, 2005. The increase in our weighted average interest rate is primarily due to increases in LIBOR and the prime rate. As of September 30, 2006, approximately $91 \%$ of our loans receivable have variable interest rates.

As a result of (1) the decline in our outstanding loan portfolio ( $\$ 144.3$ million at September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 157.6$ million at December 31, 2005) and (2) a slight decline in variable rates (LIBOR decreased 14 basis points) for
the interest rate charged for the fourth quarter of 2006, we anticipate that these period over period increases may not occur during 2007 and interest income may be negatively impacted.

Income from Retained Interests decreased $\$ 143,000$ primarily due to (1) a decrease in the collection of unanticipated prepayment fees of approximately $\$ 156,000$ and (2) a decrease in the weighted average balance of our Retained Interests outstanding of $\$ 6.5$ million to $\$ 57.7$ million during the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to $\$ 64.2$ million during the three months ended September 30, 2005 partially offset by an increase in accretion income. Accretion income increased primarily due to an increase in anticipated future cash flows primarily resulting from a reduction in future anticipated losses. The income from our Retained Interests consists of the accretion on our Retained Interests which is
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determined based on estimates of future cash flows and includes any fees collected by the QSPEs in excess of anticipated fees. The yield on our Retained Interests, which is comprised of the income earned less permanent impairments, increased to $13.4 \%$ during the three months ended September 30, 2006 from $12.3 \%$ during the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Other income consisted of the following:


## (1) Relates to earnings on PMC Preferred Capital Trust-A, a variable interest entity.

Prepayment activity has remained at high levels and we believe that we will continue to see prepayment activity at these higher levels during the remainder of 2006 and the first half of 2007. The principal balance of loans which prepaid was approximately $\$ 15.0$ million and $\$ 0.7$ million during the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 , respectively. Prepayment activity for our fixed-rate loans receivable has remained at high levels as a result of the continued low long-term interest rate environment combined with increased competition and the effect of the reduction or expiration of prepayment fees. In addition, prepayment activity for our variable-rate loans receivable has increased since borrowers with variable-rate loans are generally seeking fixed-rate loans due to currently marketed fixed interest rates being lower than the current interest rate on their loan and/or concerns of rising interest rates. To the extent that prepayments are for variable-rate loans, the prepayment fees will generally not be as great as the fees on our fixed-rate loans.

We earn fees for servicing all loans held by the QSPEs and loans sold into the secondary market by First Western. As these fees are based on the principal balances of sold loans outstanding, they will decrease over time as scheduled principal payments and prepayments occur.

Premium income results from the sale of First Western s loans into the secondary market. We sold five and six loans and collected cash premiums of $\$ 215,000$ and $\$ 256,000$ during the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. We anticipate expansion of our SBA 7(a) Program. Effective in May 2006, First Western is a Preferred Lender nationwide. While we anticipate that as a result of this change, our originations under the SBA 7(a) Program will increase, to date we have not realized a significant increase in origination volume or commitments since our marketing efforts generally take time to provide benefits. We recently expanded our marketing initiatives for origination of SBA 7(a) Program loans and anticipate increased volume as a result. To the extent we were to increase our volume of loans originated by First Western, there should be a corresponding increase in premiums received.

Other loan related income includes late fees, assumption fees, forfeited commitment fees and other fees. These fees represent one-time increases in our other income when collected and/or earned. Other loan related income decreased from the three months ended September 30, 2005 to the three months ended September 30, 2006 primarily due to a decrease in assumption fees.
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## Interest Expense

Interest expense consisted of the following:

|  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junior subordinated notes | \$ | 613 | \$ | 473 | \$ | 140 |
| Conduit facility |  | 274 |  | 188 |  | 86 |
| Debentures payable |  | 206 |  | 247 |  | (41) |
| Mortgages on hotel properties |  | 83 |  | 86 |  | (3) |
| Structured notes |  | 40 |  | 110 |  | (70) |
| Revolving credit facility |  | 19 |  | 28 |  | (9) |
| Other |  | 68 |  | 58 |  | 10 |
|  | \$ | ,303 |  | 1,190 | \$ | 113 |

On September 1, 2006, we prepaid, without penalty, approximately $\$ 7.3$ million of fixed-rate SBA debentures at an interest rate of approximately $8.5 \%$.

Since we have variable-rate debt, the cost of funds on that debt has increased due to increases in LIBOR and the prime rate. The weighted average cost of our funds at September 30, 2006 was $7.5 \%$ compared to $6.5 \%$ at September 30, 2005.

Interest expense on our structured notes decreases as the underlying loans pay down principal through scheduled principal payments, reach maturity or prepay which in turn pays down the structured notes outstanding. Subsequent to September 30, 2006, we exercised our option and the structured notes will be repaid on December 31, 2006.

As a result of pay downs on our credit facilities and debentures and repayment of the structured notes, interest expense is expected to decrease in the short-term until our loans funded are greater than our principal collected on loans included in our investing activities. See Cash Flow Analysis.

## Other Expenses

Our combined general and administrative expenses and salaries and related benefits expense during the three months ended September 30, 2006 remained relatively constant at $\$ 1,910,000$ compared to $\$ 2,046,000$ during the three months ended September 30, 2005. The decrease is primarily due to a decrease in professional fees, primarily legal fees.

Permanent impairments on Retained Interests were $\$ 291,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2006 resulting from a reduction in expected future cash flows primarily due to increased prepayments on our originated Retained Interests. We had $\$ 156,000$ of permanent impairments on Retained Interests during the three months ended September 30, 2005 primarily due to a reduction in expected future cash flows resulting from increased anticipated losses on our acquired Retained Interests relating to a problem loan during that period of time.

Provision for losses on rent and related receivables was $\$ 500,000$ and $\$ 1,083,000$ during the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Provision for losses during the three months ended September 30, 2006 primarily resulted from reductions in available cash due to unanticipated and unforecasted cash expenditures by Arlington. Provision for losses during the three months ended September 30, 2005 pertained to our initial evaluation of rent and related receivables subsequent to the bankruptcy of AHI. We performed analyses of our anticipated future distribution related to the bankruptcy of Arlington based on best available information provided to us through the bankruptcy proceedings to determine the collectibility of our investment in the rent and related receivables. Due to the uncertainties regarding the bankruptcy proceedings, there can be no assurance that we will be awarded, or ultimately receive, any proceeds from the Arlington bankruptcy proceedings. To the extent there is a reduction of the anticipated future proceeds, we would record an additional allowance against these receivables. The bankruptcy estates/debtors continue to litigate certain claims asserted by other
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creditors, including claims related to the initial financing provided to the debtors and other outstanding secured claims. These disputes have led to protracted and expensive litigation that has yet to be completed. Our anticipated recovery continues to be diminished and delayed as long as these disputes remain outstanding. It does not appear that the disputes will be resolved prior to December 31, 2006. The litigation between the debtors and the other creditors has been expensive and will continue to have an adverse effect on our potential recovery. As for our claims in the bankruptcy cases, we anticipate trying to negotiate a settlement of those claims with the debtors; however, there is no certainty that we will be able to settle with the debtors or that any settlement would be approved by the official unsecured creditor s committee or the Bankruptcy Court, among others. Further, our prospects for recovery from the estates continues to be impacted by the litigation with third parties.

Provision for loan losses, net, was $\$ 37,000$ during the three months ended September 30, 2006 and $\$ 125,000$ during the three months ended September 30, 2005. During the three months ended September 30, 2006, we increased the expected losses on a loan collateralized by a retail establishment originated under our SBA 7(a) Program. During the three months ended September 30, 2005, we increased the expected loss on a loan collateralized by a limited service hospitality property and a recreational facility.

Income tax provision increased to $\$ 295,000$ during the three months ended September 30, 2006 from $\$ 214,000$ during the three months ended September 30, 2005. The primary reason for the increase during the three months ended September 30, 2006 was increased profitability of our lending subsidiaries mainly due to increased interest income and prepayment fees.

## Hotel property revenues and expenses

Hotel property revenues and expenses were $\$ 639,000$ and $\$ 526,000$, respectively, during the three months ended September 30, 2006 on three hotel properties included in continuing operations. During January 2006, we commenced operating these properties, through third party management companies, upon rejection of their leases by our former tenant. As these properties have mortgages (which were assumed in the original purchase from AHI) with significant prepayment penalties, we do not anticipate selling these properties until the properties market values increase or the prepayment penalties decrease. Until the properties are sold, we will either operate the properties through third party management companies or lease the properties. One of the properties was leased effective September 29, 2006 with annual base rent of $\$ 116,700$. The lessee has the option, and is expected to exercise this option, to purchase the property for $\$ 1,825,000$ at termination of the lease in January 2011 or earlier if certain events occur. We previously entered into a non-binding letter of intent with a third party tenant for a long-term lease with a purchase option on one of the two remaining hotel properties subject to approval of the mortgage holder on the property. We anticipate final approval of the lease by the mortgage holder during the fourth quarter of 2006. We are currently marketing to lease the remaining property.

## Gain on early extinguishment of debt

Gain on early extinguishment of debt represents a gain of $\$ 563,000$ resulting from the repayment of $\$ 7,310,000$ of SBA debentures owed by our SBICs which were prepaid, without penalty, on September 1, 2006. The debentures had a carrying value of $\$ 7,873,000$ when repaid. When acquired as a result of the merger, these debentures were recorded at fair value which was greater than face value. Management $s$ decision to repay the debentures was based upon excess cash at the subsidiary levels which was unavailable, due to SBIC requirements, to be used by the parent company. In addition, there were no significant loan commitments at the SBIC level.

## Discontinued operations

We had net realized gains on the sales of real estate of $\$ 5,000$ during the three months ended September 30, 2006 from the recognition of previously deferred gains. Our deferred gains total approximately $\$ 1.6$ million at September 30, 2006. Net gain on sale of real estate was $\$ 1,038,000$ during the three months ended September 30, 2005 which was primarily the result of the sale of two hotel properties for $\$ 4.0$ million and two assets acquired in liquidation (one of which was a limited service hospitality property and the other a retail establishment) for $\$ 2.8$ million.

During the three months ended September 30, 2005, we recorded impairment losses of $\$ 135,000$ on our hotel properties included in discontinued operations primarily as a result of the anticipated rejection of our leases by our tenant due to their filing for bankruptcy in June 2005 and the resultant diminishment in values of our hotel properties.
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Net earnings from discontinued operations increased to $\$ 26,000$ during the three months ended September 30, 2006 from a net loss of $\$ 341,000$ during the three months ended September 30, 2005. During the three months ended September 30, 2006, earnings from discontinued operations represents primarily our assets acquired in liquidation. We sold all of our Amerihost hotel properties included in discontinued operations prior to the beginning of the third quarter of 2006. During the comparable period of 2005, discontinued operations included our Amerihost hotel properties which were under lease to Arlington. As a result of property taxes and depreciation expense exceeding the rent earned, losses were incurred during the three months ended September 30, 2005.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

## Cash Flow Analysis

Information on our cash flow was as follows:

Cash provided by operating activities
Cash provided by investing activities
Cash used in financing activities

| Nine Months Ended September 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 2005 | Change |
| (in thousands) |  |  |
| 10,048 | 9,013 | \$ 1,035 |
| 37,480 | \$ 3,385 | \$ 34,095 |
| \$ $(47,940)$ | \$ $(15,519)$ | \$ (32,42 |

In general, we experienced significantly greater positive cash flow from investing activities during 2006 due to the heavy prepayments on our loans combined with low amounts of cash used for originating loans. These cash flows were used, in general, to repay outstanding debt. See Executive Summary.

## Operating Activities

Net cash flow from operating activities is primarily used to fund our dividends. The increase is primarily due to an increase in proceeds from the sale of guaranteed loans net of loans funded, held for sale during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005 of $\$ 1,166,000$ partially offset by a decrease in loans fees collected, net of $\$ 335,000$. Dividends paid during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, included in financing activities, were $\$ 9,679,000$ and $\$ 10,774,000$, respectively.

## Investing Activities

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the primary sources of funds were (1) net principal collected on loans receivable of $\$ 27,884,000$, (2) net proceeds from the sales of Hotel Properties and proceeds from assets acquired in liquidation of $\$ 4,308,000$ and (3) net principal collected on Retained Interests of $\$ 3,955,000$. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, the primary sources of funds were net proceeds from the sales of Hotel Properties and proceeds from assets acquired in liquidation of $\$ 10,416,000$ and net principal collected on Retained Interests of $\$ 3,309,000$. Funds used in investing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 were primarily net loans funded of $\$ 9,409,000$. The adverse change in net loans funded is due to reduced loan origination activity combined with high prepayment activity. This increased prepayment activity is primarily a result of competitors providing refinance opportunities to our borrowers combined with the effect of the reduction or expiration of our prepayment fees on certain of our loans due to the structure of the fees (i.e., expiration of lock out or yield maintenance provisions). Prepayment activity for our variable-rate loans receivable has increased since borrowers with variable-rate loans are generally seeking fixed-rate loans due to currently marketed fixed interest rates being
lower than the current interest rate on their loan and/or concerns of rising interest rates. We believe that we will continue to see prepayment activity at these higher levels during the remainder of 2006 and the first half of 2007.
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## Financing Activities

We used funds in financing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 primarily for (1) net repayment of the conduit facility of $\$ 19,490,000$, (2) payment of principal on mortgages payable and debentures of $\$ 18,468,000$ including the repayment of mortgages payable in conjunction with hotel property sales and the repayment of $\$ 7,310,000$ of debentures and (3) dividends of $\$ 9,679,000$. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005 , we used proceeds from debt issuances to repay other debt obligations. In addition, we incurred $\$ 1,466,000$ of borrowing costs during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 related to our debt issuances and paid dividends of $\$ 10,774,000$.

## Sources and Uses of Funds

## General

In general, our liquidity requirements include origination of new loans, debt principal payment requirements, payment of dividends and operating costs. We intend to utilize, as deemed appropriate by prevailing market conditions, a combination of the following sources to generate funds:

Operating revenues;
Principal collections on existing loans receivable and Retained Interests;
Structured loan financings or sales;
Advances under our conduit facility;
Borrowings under our short-term uncollateralized revolving credit facility (the Revolver );
Issuance of SBA debentures;
Issuance of junior subordinated notes; and/or
Common equity issuance.
We had approximately $\$ 3.6$ million of cash and cash equivalents at September 30, 2006. Our outstanding commitments to fund new loans were approximately $\$ 41.6$ million at September 30, 2006, of which $\$ 4.5$ million were for prime-rate loans to be originated by First Western, the government guaranteed portion of which (approximately $75 \%$ of each individual loan) will be sold into the secondary market. Commitments have fixed expiration dates and require payment of a fee to us. Since some commitments expire without the proposed loan closing, total committed amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

We expect that these sources of funds and cash on hand will be sufficient to meet our working capital needs. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to raise funds through these financing sources. A reduction in the availability of the above sources of funds could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. If these sources are not available, we may have to originate loans at reduced levels or sell assets, potentially on unfavorable terms. Historically, our primary long-term funding source has been the securitization and sale of loans receivable. Since October 2003, the completion of our last securitization, our working capital has been provided through our credit facilities and issuance of junior subordinated notes in March 2005.

During the first nine months of 2006, prepayments on our loan portfolio exceeded new loans funded. This excess cash was used to repay debt. Due to this debt reduction, our debt to equity ratio has decreased to 0.37 to 1.00 at September 30, 2006 from 0.64 to 1.00 at December 31, 2005. As a result, we believe our ability to incur additional leverage is currently greater now than at December 31, 2005.

As a REIT, we must distribute to our shareholders at least $90 \%$ of our REIT taxable income to maintain our tax status under the Internal Revenue Code, of 1986, as amended (the Code ). Accordingly, to the extent the sources above represent taxable income, such amounts have historically been distributed to our shareholders. In general, should we
receive less cash from our portfolio of investments, we can lower the dividend so as not to cause any material cash shortfall. During 2006, we anticipate that cash flows from operating activities will be utilized to fund our expected 2006 dividend distributions and generally will not be available to fund portfolio growth or for the repayment of principal due on debt.
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## Sources of Funds

Historically, our primary source of long-term funds has been structured loan sale transactions. We generated net proceeds of $\$ 39.9$ million, $\$ 24.0$ million, $\$ 29.5$ million and $\$ 49.2$ million from the completion of the 2003, 2002, 2001 and 2000 structured loan sale transactions, respectively. The proceeds from future structured loan transactions, if any, are expected to be greater as a result of the merger. However, the timing of future securitization transactions is dependent upon our portfolio and loan originations. Fundings for the first nine months of 2006 did not meet management $s$ expectations and our annual fundings may be less than our original estimates for the year. As a result, we do not anticipate completing our next structured loan transaction until the second or third quarter of 2007 at which time we expect to have a sufficient pool of primarily variable-rate loans to complete a securitization.

Since we have historically relied on structured loan transactions as our primary source of long-term capital to fund new loan originations, any adverse changes in our ability to complete this type of transaction, including any negative impact on the asset-backed securities market for the type of product we generate, could have a detrimental effect on our ability to sell loans receivable, thereby reducing our ability to originate loans. The timing of a structured loan transaction also has significant impact on our financial condition and results of operations. However, we currently have a significant amount of available capital as a result of a reduction in the amounts outstanding under our conduit facility and Revolver.

Our subsidiary, PMC Conduit, L.P. ( PMC Conduit ), has a three-year $\$ 100.0$ million conduit facility expiring February 6, 2008. At the end of each annual period, the lenders have the option to extend their respective commitments to make advances for an additional 364-day period. PMC Commercial has not guaranteed the repayment of the advances outstanding under the conduit facility. The conduit facility allows for advances based on the amount of eligible collateral sold and has minimum requirements. At September 30, 2006, approximately $\$ 30.2$ million of our loans were owned by PMC Conduit and we had outstanding advances of approximately $\$ 4.7$ million. We had availability of approximately $\$ 16.2$ million under the conduit facility at September 30, 2006 without additional sales of loans to PMC Conduit. Currently, PMC Commercial has available approximately $\$ 29.0$ million of loans which are eligible to be sold to PMC Conduit. The conduit facility has covenants, the most restrictive of which are maximum delinquency ratios for our contributed loans and serviced portfolio, as defined in the transaction documents. With respect to loans sold to our conduit facility, after 24 months, such loans may no longer be eligible for borrowing without the consent of the lender. Therefore, between February 2007 and 2008, the loans currently sold to the conduit facility will become stale. If loans become stale, we may have to provide additional collateral to PMC Conduit. In addition, the conduit facility is subject to cross default provisions with the Revolver. At September 30, 2006, we were in compliance with the covenants of this facility. As of November 3, 2006, we had outstanding advances of approximately $\$ 17.4$ million due primarily to the funding of new loans and payment of dividends.

At September 30, 2006, we had availability of $\$ 20.0$ million under our Revolver which matures December 31, 2006. Under our Revolver, we are charged interest on the balance outstanding at our election of either the prime rate of the lender less 75 basis points or 162.5 basis points over the 30,60 or 90 -day LIBOR. In addition, we are charged an unused fee equal to 37.5 basis points computed based on our daily available balance. The credit facility requires us to meet certain covenants, the most restrictive of which provides for an asset coverage test based on our cash and cash equivalents, loans receivable, Retained Interests and real estate investments as a ratio to our senior debt and limits our ability to pay out returns of capital as part of our dividends. The ratio must exceed 1.25 times. At September 30, 2006, we were in compliance with the covenants of this facility. Subsequent to September 30, 2006, we extended the maturity of the Revolver to December 31, 2007.

At September 30, 2006, one of our SBICs had $\$ 3.0$ million in available commitments from the SBA, expiring in September 2007, to issue future debentures. These debentures will have 10 -year maturities, will be charged interest at a spread over 10 -year treasuries and will have semi-annual interest-only payments. To the extent funds are needed to
originate loans by our SBICs, these pre-approved debentures can be issued subject to regulatory compliance.

## Uses of Funds

The primary use of our funds is to originate commercial mortgage loans to small businesses in the limited service hospitality industry. We currently anticipate that we will originate between $\$ 15$ million and $\$ 25$ million of loans during the fourth quarter of 2006 and between $\$ 55$ million and $\$ 70$ million during 2007. See Current Operating Overview and Significant Economic Factors for information on current market conditions. As a REIT, we also use funds for the payment
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of dividends to shareholders. We also use funds for payment of operating overhead including salaries and other general and administrative expenses and we have payment requirements of principal and interest on our borrowings.

We may use funds to repurchase loans from the QSPEs which (1) become charged-off as defined in the transaction documents either through delinquency or initiation of foreclosure or (2) reach maturity.

## Information on Consolidated Debt

Information on our consolidated debt was as follows as of September 30, 2006:

|  |  | Face | Required <br> Principal <br> Payments | Range of | Weighted Average Coupon | Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount <br> (In thous | (1) | Maturities | Rate | Type |
| Junior subordinated notes (2) | \$ | 27,070 | \$ | 2035 | 8.75\% | Variable |
| Conduit facility |  | 4,715 |  | 2008 | 6.34\% | Variable |
|  |  | 8,190 |  | 2013 to | 5.90\% | Fixed |
| Debentures |  |  |  | 2015 |  |  |
|  |  | 2,676 | 139 | 2011 to | 8.02\% | Fixed |
| Mortgage notes (3) |  |  |  | 2017 |  |  |
| Structured notes (4) |  | 1,492 | 1,492 | 2006 | 6.37\% | Fixed |
| Redeemable preferred stock of subsidiary |  | 4,000 |  | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \text { to } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | 4.00\% | Fixed |
|  | \$ | 48,143 | \$ 1,631 |  |  |  |

(1) Represents required principal payments for the twelve months ending September 30, 2007.
(2) The junior subordinated notes may be redeemed at our option, without penalty, beginning on March 30, 2010 and are subordinated to PMC Commercial s existing debt.
(3) Does not include a mortgage note with a principal balance of approximately $\$ 1.3$ million and a fixed interest rate of $8.5 \%$ due January 1, 2011 of an unconsolidated subsidiary.
(4) Subsequent to September 30, 2006, we exercised our option and the structured notes will be repaid on December 1, 2006.
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## Summarized Contractual Obligations, Commitments and Contingencies

Our contractual obligations at September 30, 2006 are summarized as follows:

|  | Payments Due by Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contractual Obligations | Total | Less than 1 year (In thous | 1 to 3 <br> years <br> $d s$, except | 4 to 5 <br> years <br> otnotes) | After 5 years |
| Consolidated Debt: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes and debentures (1) | \$ 39,428 | \$ 1,631 | \$ 313 | \$ 1,237 | \$ 36,247 |
| Revolver (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Redeemable preferred stock of subsidiary (3) | 4,000 |  | 2,000 | 2,000 |  |
| Conduit facility | 4,715 |  | 4,715 |  |  |
| Interest: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated debt (4) | 72,741 | 3,406 | 6,196 | 5,984 | 57,155 |
| Mortgage note of unconsolidated subsidiary | 440 | 119 | 201 | 120 |  |
| Other Contractual Obligations: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage note of unconsolidated subsidiary (5) | 1,315 | 63 | 144 | 1,108 |  |
| Operating lease (6) | 1,017 | 176 | 388 | 434 | 19 |
| Employment agreements (7) | 3,355 | 1,220 | 2,135 |  |  |
| Total contractual cash obligations | \$ 127,011 | \$ 6,615 | \$ 16,092 | \$ 10,883 | \$ 93,421 |

(1) Notes and debentures are presented at face value.
(2) We had availability of $\$ 20.0$ million under our Revolver at September 30, 2006 with a maturity date of December 31, 2006. Subsequent to September 30, 2006, we extended the maturity date on the Revolver to December 31, 2007.
(3) The $4 \%$ preferred stock of our subsidiary (presented at par value) is required to be repaid at par in September 2009 ( $\$ 2.0$ million) and May 2010 ( $\$ 2.0$ million). Dividends of approximately $\$ 160,000$ are due annually on the 4\% preferred stock of our subsidiary (recorded as interest expense).
(4) For the interest obligation, the variable rate in effect at September 30, 2006 was utilized and no change in variable interest rates was assumed.
(5) Represents a mortgage note with a fixed interest rate of $8.5 \%$ of an unconsolidated subsidiary.
(6) Represents future minimum lease payments under our operating lease for office space.
(7) We have employment agreements with certain of our executive officers.
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Our commitments and contingencies at September 30, 2006 are summarized as follows:

|  |  | Amount of Commitment Expiration Per Period |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commitments | Total <br> Amounts Committed | Less than <br> 1 year <br> (In thousa | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \text { to } 3 \\ \text { years } \\ d s, \text { excep } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \text { to } 5 \\ \text { years } \\ \text { otnotes) } \end{array}$ | After 5 years |
| Environmental (1) | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |
| Other commitments (2) | 41,614 | 41,614 |  |  |  |
| Total commitments | \$ 41,614 | \$ 41,614 | \$ | \$ | \$ |

(1) PMC Funding Corp. ( PMC Funding ) has a recorded liability of approximately $\$ 300,000$ at September 30, 2006 related to a loan with collateral that has environmental remediation obligations which are the primary responsibility of our borrower. Under purchase accounting, the liability was assumed and the loan was acquired by PMC Commercial in the merger with PMC Capital, Inc.. The loan was originated in connection with the sale of the underlying collateral by PMC Funding to the borrower. The sale was financed by PMC Capital, Inc. through a loan with a current outstanding principal balance of approximately $\$ 460,000$ which is in default. As a result, we filed a lawsuit in the State of Georgia to appoint a receiver to operate the property and determine if current environmental remediation plans are being followed. The borrower has filed a counterclaim alleging, among other things, breach of contract and non-default under the loan documents. We do not believe there is any merit to the counterclaim and intend to vigorously pursue all remedies available to us under the law. During 2005, we were informed by the Georgia Department of Natural Resources that the current remediation plan for the property has certain aspects that require revision. While our borrower has the primary responsibility for the environmental remediation, to the extent we elect to foreclose, we currently believe that the estimated fair value of the collateral underlying the loan exceeds the current outstanding principal balance on the loan. At the present time, we have been unable to quantify additional costs, if any, of the potential changes in remediation methods requested by the State of Georgia; however, these costs could be material and may exceed the value of the collateral net of the recorded liability and the current outstanding principal balance of the loan.
(2) Represents loan commitments and approvals outstanding.

If the SBA establishes that a loss on an SBA guaranteed loan is attributable to significant technical deficiencies in the manner in which the loan was originated, funded or serviced by our subsidiary, First Western, the SBA may seek recovery of funds from us. With respect to the guaranteed portion of SBA loans that have been sold, the SBA first will honor its guarantee and then seek compensation from us in the event that a loss is deemed to be attributable to technical deficiencies.

See Note 19 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements for a detailed discussion of commitments and contingencies.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Our off-balance sheet arrangements have typically been structured loan sale transactions which have been our primary method of obtaining funds for new loan originations. In a structured loan sale transaction, we contribute loans receivable to a QSPE that is not subject to consolidation in exchange for cash and beneficial interests in that entity. The QSPE issues notes payable (usually through a private placement) to unaffiliated parties and then distributes a
portion of the notes payable proceeds to us. The notes payable are collateralized solely by the assets of the QSPE. The terms of the notes payable issued by the QSPEs provide that the owners of these QSPEs are not liable for any payment on the notes. Accordingly, if the financial assets in the QSPE are insufficient for the trustee to pay the principal or interest due on the notes, the sole recourse of the holders of the notes is against the assets of the QSPE. We have no obligation to pay the notes, nor do the holders of the notes have any recourse against our assets. We account for structured loan sale transactions as sales of our loans receivable and the SPE meets the definition of a QSPE; as a result, neither the loans receivable contributed to the QSPE nor the notes payable issued by the QSPE are included in our consolidated financial statements.

On September 29, 2006, we entered into a lease agreement for one of our hotel properties. The property has a mortgage with a principal balance of approximately $\$ 1.3$ million with a significant prepayment penalty. Therefore, we structured the lease with the potential buyer of the property for a term equal to the term remaining on the mortgage (matures
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January 1, 2011) and then a purchase with a price of $\$ 1,825,000$. Annual base rent on the lease is $\$ 116,700$ and the lease is a triple net lease. The lessee provided us with a substantial non-refundable up-front payment of $\$ 452,000$. The hotel property is owned by a separate subsidiary and is the subsidiary s primary asset. Based on this new lease agreement, including the fixed price purchase option, the subsidiary was determined to be a variable interest entity. Since we do not expect to absorb the majority of the entity s future expected losses or receive the entity s expected residual returns, PMC Commercial Trust is not considered to be the primary beneficiary. Thus, the separate subsidiary is no longer consolidated in PMC Commercial Trust s financial statements and the equity method is used to account for our investment in the separate subsidiary effective September 29, 2006. The subsidiary is contractually responsible for the mortgage note and its repayment. The subsidiary will record the lease as an operating lease. Future revenues and expenses of the subsidiary will primarily be lease income, interest expense on the mortgage payable, depreciation expense on the real estate investment and amortization of deferred lease costs.

## IMPACT OF RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In May 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued SFAS No. 154 ( SFAS No. 154 ), Accounting Changes and Error Corrections which replaces Accounting Principles Board ( APB ) Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements An Amendment of APB Opinion No. 28. SFAS No. 154 provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. It establishes retroactive application, or the latest practicable date, as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle and the reporting of a correction of an error. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

The FASB issued SFAS No. 155 ( SFAS No. 155 ), Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140 in February 2006. SFAS No. 155 (1) permits fair value remeasurement for hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative that would otherwise require bifurcation, (2) clarifies which interest-only strip receivables are not subject to the requirements of SFAS No. 133, (3) establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, (4) clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives and (5) amends SFAS No. 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a QSPE from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. The Statement is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. We are currently evaluating the impact of SFAS No. 155 on our consolidated financial statements; however, we do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

The FASB issued SFAS No. 156 ( SFAS No. 156 ), Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 in March 2006. SFAS No. 156 requires that all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. Subsequent to initial measurement, an entity may choose to use the amortization method or the fair value method for future measurements. SFAS No. 156 also requires additional disclosures related to servicing assets and servicing liabilities. SFAS No. 156 is effective for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. We are currently evaluating the impact of SFAS No. 156 on our consolidated financial statements; however, we do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 ( FIN 48 ), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, which clarifies the accounting and disclosure for uncertainty in income tax positions, as defined. FIN 48 imposes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken in a tax return and provides guidance on derecognition,
classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We are currently evaluating the impact of FIN 48 on our consolidated financial statements; however, we do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

The FASB issued SFAS No. 157 ( SFAS No. 157 ), Fair Value Measurements in September 2006. SFAS No. 157 clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing an asset or liability, establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15,
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2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the impact of SFAS No. 157 on our consolidated financial statements; however, we do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 ( SAB 108 ), Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements, was issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) during September 2006. SAB 108 expresses SEC staff views regarding the process by which misstatements in financial statements are evaluated for purposes of determining whether financial statement restatement is necessary. SAB 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006, and early application is encouraged. We are currently evaluating the impact of SAB 108 on our consolidated financial statements; however, we do not expect the adoption to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

## SEASONALITY

Generally, our lending segment is not subject to seasonal trends. However, since we primarily lend to the limited service hospitality industry, loan delinquencies typically rise temporarily during the winter months due primarily to reductions in business travel and consumer vacations.

## DIVIDENDS

Dividends declared during 2006 were as follows:

|  | Amount |
| :--- | ---: |
| Record Date | Date Paid |

March 31, 2006 April 10, 2006 \$ 0.30
June 30, $2006 \quad$ July 10, $2006 \quad 0.30$
September 29, October 10, $2006 \quad 0.30$
2006
\$
0.90

Our shareholders are entitled to receive dividends when and as declared by our Board of Trust Managers (the Board ). Our Board considers many factors including, but not limited to, expectations for future earnings, REIT taxable income, the interest rate environment, competition, our ability to obtain leverage and our loan portfolio activity in determining dividend policy. The Board also uses REIT taxable income plus tax depreciation in determining the amount of dividends declared. In addition, as a REIT we are required to pay out $90 \%$ of REIT taxable income. Consequently, the dividend rate on a quarterly basis will not necessarily correlate directly to any single factor such as REIT taxable income or earnings expectations. We anticipate, as a result of earnings from our core business and gains generated on our property sales, that we will maintain our current quarterly dividend of $\$ 0.30$ per share through the end of 2007.

We have certain covenants within our debt facilities that limit our ability to pay out returns of capital as part of our dividends. These restrictions have not historically limited the amount of dividends we have paid and management does not believe that they will restrict future dividend payments.

## REIT TAXABLE INCOME

REIT taxable income is a financial measure that is presented quarterly in our consolidated financial statements to assist investors in analyzing our performance and is one of the factors utilized by our Board in determining the level of dividends to be paid to our shareholders.
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The following reconciles net income to REIT taxable income:

|  | Nine Months Ended September 30, |  | Three Months Ended September 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 |  | 2005 |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 12,667 | \$ 8,353 | \$ 3,976 | \$ | 2,004 |
| Less: taxable REIT subsidiaries net income, net of tax | $(1,236)$ | $(1,158)$ | (615) |  | (699) |
| Add: book depreciation | 186 | 1,166 | 58 |  | 300 |
| Less: tax depreciation | (429) | $(1,175)$ | (70) |  | (455) |
| Book/tax difference on Retained Interests, net | 1,499 | 1,616 | 550 |  | 67 |
| Book/tax difference on lease income |  | (11) |  |  | 1,083 |
| Book/tax difference on property sales | 561 | 37 | (4) |  | (254) |
| Impairment losses | 43 | 1,989 |  |  | 135 |
| Provision for loss on rent and related receivables | 925 |  | 500 |  |  |
| Book/tax difference on amortization and accretion | (586) | (164) | (497) |  | (55) |
| Asset valuation | (891) | 291 | (5) |  | 54 |
| Other book/tax differences, net | (217) | (42) | (55) |  | 49 |
| REIT taxable income | \$ 12,522 | \$ 10,902 | \$ 3,838 | \$ | 2,229 |
| Common distributions declared | \$ 9,674 | \$ 10,340 | \$ 3,225 | \$ | 3,264 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 10,747 | 10,886 | 10,751 |  | 10,894 |

As a REIT, PMC Commercial generally will not be subject to corporate level Federal income tax on net income that is currently distributed to shareholders provided the distribution exceeds $90 \%$ of REIT taxable income. We may make an election under the Code to treat distributions declared in the current year as distributions of the prior year s taxable income. Upon election, the Code provides that, in certain circumstances, a dividend declared subsequent to the close of an entity $s$ taxable year and prior to the extended due date of the entity $s$ tax return may be considered as having been made in the prior tax year in satisfaction of income distribution requirements.
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## ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Since our consolidated balance sheet consists of items subject to interest rate risk, we are subject to market risk associated with changes in interest rates as described below. Although management believes that the analysis below is indicative of our sensitivity to interest rate changes, it does not adjust for potential changes in credit quality, size and composition of our balance sheet and other business developments that could affect our financial position and net income. Accordingly, no assurances can be given that actual results would not differ materially from the potential outcome simulated by these estimates.

## LOANS RECEIVABLE

Our loans receivable are recorded at cost and adjusted by net loan origination fees and discounts (which are recognized as adjustments of yield over the life of the loan) and loan loss reserves. Our loans receivable are approximately $91 \%$ variable-rate at spreads over LIBOR or the prime rate consistent with the market. Increases or decreases in interest rates will generally not have a material impact on the fair value of our variable-rate loans. If we were required to sell our loans at a time we would not otherwise do so, our losses may be substantial.

Changes in interest rates on our fixed-rate loans receivable do not have an immediate impact on our interest income. Our interest rate risk on our fixed-rate loans receivable is primarily related to loan prepayments and maturities. The average maturity of our loan portfolio is less than their average contractual terms because of prepayments. The average life of mortgage loans tends to increase when the current mortgage rates are substantially higher than rates on existing mortgage loans and, conversely, decrease when the current mortgage rates are substantially lower than rates on existing mortgage loans (due to refinancings of fixed-rate loans).

We had $\$ 12.7$ million and $\$ 18.7$ million of fixed-rate loans receivable at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 , respectively. The estimated fair value of these fixed-rate loans receivable (approximately $\$ 13.2$ million and $\$ 19.0$ million at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively) is dependent upon several factors including changes in interest rates and the market for the types of loans that we have originated.

At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, we had $\$ 131.6$ million and $\$ 138.9$ million of variable-rate loans receivable, respectively, and $\$ 31.8$ million and $\$ 54.8$ million of variable-rate debt at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. On the differential between our variable-rate loans receivable outstanding and our variable-rate debt ( $\$ 99.8$ million and $\$ 84.1$ million at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively) we have interest rate risk. To the extent variable rates decrease, our interest income net of interest expense would decrease.

As a result of $\$ 17.9$ million and $\$ 21.7$ million at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, of our variable-rate loans receivable having interest rate floors (from $5.25 \%$ to $6.00 \%$ ), we are deemed to have derivative investments. However, we are not required to bifurcate these instruments; therefore, they are not accounted for as derivatives. To the extent that interest rates decline with respect to our loans that have floors, interest expense on our variable-rate debt will be reduced by a higher amount than our interest income. We do not use derivatives for speculative purposes.

The sensitivity of our variable-rate loans receivable and debt to changes in interest rates is regularly monitored and analyzed by measuring the characteristics of our assets and liabilities. We assess interest rate risk in terms of the potential effect on interest income net of interest expense in an effort to ensure that we are insulated from any significant adverse effects from changes in interest rates. Based on our analysis of the sensitivity of interest income and interest expense at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, if the consolidated balance sheet were to remain
constant and no actions were taken to alter the existing interest rate sensitivity, each hypothetical 100 basis point reduction in interest rates would reduce net income by approximately $\$ 998,000$ and $\$ 841,000$, respectively, on an annual basis.
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## NOTES AND DEBENTURES PAYABLE, JUNIOR SUBORDINATED NOTES, CREDIT FACILITIES AND REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK OF SUBSIDIARY ( DEBT )

As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, approximately $\$ 16.0$ million (33\%) and $\$ 32.8$ million (37\%) of our consolidated debt had fixed rates of interest and is therefore not affected by changes in interest rates. Any amount outstanding on our Revolver or the conduit facility is based on the prime rate and/or LIBOR (or approximates LIBOR) and thus subject to adverse changes in market interest rates. Assuming there were no increases or decreases in the balance outstanding under our variable-rate debt at September 30, 2006, each hypothetical 100 basis points increase in interest rates would increase interest expense and decrease net income by approximately $\$ 318,000$.

Since our fixed-rate debt is at effective rates that are currently lower (in general) than market rates, the fair value of these financial instruments is lower than their cost and carrying value, thus increasing our net worth. This debt is comprised of debentures and mortgages payable. Our debentures have current prepayment penalties up to $4 \%$ of the principal balance. All of our $\$ 2.7$ million of consolidated hotel property mortgages are at fixed rates of interest and have significant penalties for prepayment. In addition, the $\$ 1.3$ million hotel property mortgage of our unconsolidated subsidiary is at a fixed rate of interest and has a significant penalty for prepayment.

The following presents the principal amounts, weighted average interest rates and fair values by year of expected maturity to evaluate the expected cash flows and sensitivity to interest rate changes of our outstanding debt at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

Market risk disclosures related to our outstanding debt as of September 30, 2006 were as follows:

|  | Twelve Month Periods Ending September 30, |  |  |  |  | Thereafter |  | Carrying <br> Value | Fair |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |  |  | Value (1) |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed-rate debt (2) | \$ 1,631 | \$ 150 | \$ 2,001 | \$ 1,983 | \$ 1,060 | \$ | 9,148 |  | \$ 15,973 | \$ 15,837 |
| Variable-rate debt (LIBOR based) (3) |  | 4,715 |  |  |  |  | 27,070 | 31,785 | 31,785 |
| Totals | \$ 1,631 | \$ 4,865 | \$ 2,001 | \$ 1,983 | \$ 1,060 |  | 36,218 | \$47,758 | \$ 47,622 |

(1) The estimated fair value is based on a present value calculation based on prices of the same or similar instruments after considering risk, current interest rates and remaining maturities.
(2) The weighted average interest rate of our fixed-rate debt at September 30, 2006 was $6.6 \%$.
(3) The weighted average interest rate of our variable-rate debt at September 30, 2006 was $8.4 \%$. Market risk disclosures related to our outstanding debt as of December 31, 2005 were as follows:

|  |  | Years Ending December 31, |  |  |  |  | Carrying <br> Value | Fair |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | Thereafter |  | Value (1) |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed-rate debt (2) | \$ 6,816 | \$ 644 | \$ 704 | \$ 2,300 | \$4,077 | \$ 18,220 | \$32,761 | \$ 32,951 |
| Variable-rate debt (LIBOR and prime based) (3) | 143 | 154 | 24,371 | 1,282 | 1,245 | 27,659 | 54,854 | 54,854 |

Totals
(1) The estimated fair value is based on a present value calculation based on prices of the same or similar instruments after considering risk, current interest rates and remaining maturities.
(2) The weighted average interest rate of our fixed-rate debt at December 31, 2005 was $6.5 \%$.
(3) The weighted average interest rate of our variable-rate debt at December 31, 2005 was $6.4 \%$.
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## RETAINED INTERESTS

Our Retained Interests are valued based on various factors including estimates of appropriate discount rates. Changes in the discount rates used in determining the fair value of the Retained Interests will impact their carrying value. Any appreciation of our Retained Interests is included in the accompanying balance sheet in beneficiaries equity. Any depreciation of our Retained Interests is either included in the accompanying statement of income as a permanent impairment (if there is a reduction in expected future cash flows) or on our balance sheet in beneficiaries equity as an unrealized loss. Assuming all other factors (i.e., prepayments, losses, etc.) remained unchanged, if discount rates were 100 basis points and 200 basis points higher than rates estimated at September 30, 2006, the estimated fair value of our Retained Interests at September 30, 2006 would have decreased by approximately $\$ 1.9$ million and $\$ 3.6$ million, respectively. Assuming all other factors (i.e., prepayments, losses, etc.) remained unchanged, if discount rates were 100 basis points and 200 basis points higher than rates estimated at December 31, 2005, the estimated fair value of our Retained Interests at December 31, 2005 would have decreased by approximately $\$ 2.3$ million and $\$ 4.5$ million, respectively.
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## ITEM 4. <br> Controls and Procedures

## EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, management has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined under rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of September 30, 2006. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

## CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.
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# PART II <br> Other Information 

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings
In the normal course of business, including our assets acquired in liquidation, we are periodically party to certain legal actions and proceedings involving matters that are generally incidental to our business (i.e., collection of loans receivable). In management s opinion, the resolution of these legal actions and proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

## ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

There have no material changes to the factors disclosed in Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ for the year ended December 31, 2005.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
On August 31, 2005, our Board of Trust Managers authorized a share repurchase program for up to $\$ 10$ million for the purchase of outstanding common shares, which expired August 31, 2006. We did not purchase any shares during the third quarter of 2006.

ITEM 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities
None.

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders
None.

ITEM 5. Other Information
None.

ITEM 6. Exhibits
A. Exhibits
3.1 Declaration of Trust (incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed with the SEC on June 25, 1993, as amended (Registration No. 33-65910)).
3.1(a) Amendment No. 1 to Declaration of Trust (incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed with the SEC on June 25, 1993, as amended
(Registration No. 33-65910)).
3.1(b) Amendment No. 2 to Declaration of Trust (incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993).
3.1(c) Amendment No. 3 to Declaration of Trust (incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003).
3.2 Bylaws (incorporated by reference to the exhibits to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-11 filed with the SEC on June 25, 1993, as amended (Registration No. 33-65910)).
*10.1 Fifth Amendment to Credit Agreement between PMC Commercial Trust and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. dated November 7, 2006.
*31.1 Section 302 Officer Certification Chief Executive Officer
*31.2 Section 302 Officer Certification Chief Financial Officer
**32.1 Section 906 Officer Certification Chief Executive Officer
**32.2 Section 906 Officer Certification Chief Financial Officer

* Filed herewith.
** Submitted herewith.


## Table of Contents

## Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: $\xrightarrow{11 / 9 / 2006}$

Date: $\xrightarrow{11 / 9 / 2006}$

PMC Commercial Trust
/s/ Lance B. Rosemore
Lance B. Rosemore
President and Chief Executive Officer
/s/ Barry N. Berlin
Barry N. Berlin
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)

