

FAIR ISAAC CORP
Form 10-Q
August 06, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-Q**

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
[NO FEE REQUIRED]**

**For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 1-11689
Fair Isaac Corporation**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

94-1499887
*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

**901 Marquette Avenue, Suite 3200
Minneapolis, Minnesota**
(Address of principal executive offices)

55402-3232
(Zip Code)

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code:
612-758-5200**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-Accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of common stock outstanding on July 31, 2010 was 41,710,784 (excluding 47,145,999 shares held by the Company as treasury stock).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Financial Statements</u>	1
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	17
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	34
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	35

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	36
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	36
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	46
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	46
<u>Item 4.</u>	[Reserved]	46
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	46
<u>Signatures</u>		47
<u>EX-10.1</u>		
<u>EX-31.1</u>		
<u>EX-31.2</u>		
<u>EX-32.1</u>		
<u>EX-32.2</u>		
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>		
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>		
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>		
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>		
<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>		
<u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>		

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

FAIR ISAAC CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
	(In thousands, except par value data)	
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 112,612	\$ 178,157
Marketable securities available for sale, current portion	129,173	139,673
Accounts receivable, net	107,804	101,742
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	22,223	22,986
Total current assets	371,812	442,558
Marketable securities available for sale, less current portion	19,015	61,371
Other investments	11,074	11,074
Property and equipment, net	31,421	34,340
Goodwill	660,804	667,640
Intangible assets, net	29,050	38,255
Deferred income taxes	30,867	38,100
Other assets	8,354	10,550
Total assets	\$ 1,162,397	\$ 1,303,888
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 8,520	\$ 8,593
Accrued compensation and employee benefits	31,421	28,139
Other accrued liabilities	35,459	38,183
Deferred revenue	45,234	39,673
Current maturities on long-term debt	8,000	
Total current liabilities	128,634	114,588
Revolving line of credit	245,000	295,000
Senior notes	267,000	275,000
Other liabilities	14,647	19,031
Total liabilities	655,281	703,619

Commitments and contingencies

Stockholders' equity:

Preferred stock (\$0.01 par value; 1,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding)		
Common stock (\$0.01 par value; 200,000 shares authorized, 88,857 shares issued, 42,271 and 48,156 shares outstanding at June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, respectively)	423	482
Paid-in-capital	1,103,784	1,106,292
Treasury stock, at cost (46,586 and 40,701 shares at June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, respectively)	(1,501,111)	(1,375,400)
Retained earnings	932,168	886,324
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(28,148)	(17,429)
Total stockholders' equity	507,116	600,269
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,162,397	\$ 1,303,888

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

FAIR ISAAC CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(Unaudited)

	Quarter Ended June		Nine Months Ended June	
	30,	30,	30,	30,
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(in thousands, except per share data)			
Revenues:				
Transactional and maintenance	\$ 115,902	\$ 119,534	\$ 344,709	\$ 362,646
Professional services	25,541	28,400	75,704	87,792
License	13,886	8,084	30,132	28,375
Total revenues	155,329	156,018	450,545	478,813
Operating expenses:				
Cost of revenues (1)	45,316	48,160	132,476	160,655
Research and development	19,176	18,364	57,403	55,409
Selling, general and administrative (1)	57,077	50,290	165,977	157,519
Amortization of intangible assets (1)	2,683	3,219	8,918	9,622
Restructuring		(237)		8,711
Loss on sale of product line assets		2,993		2,993
Total operating expenses	124,252	122,789	364,774	394,909
Operating income	31,077	33,229	85,771	83,904
Interest income	393	936	1,439	3,836
Interest expense	(5,462)	(6,086)	(16,293)	(19,771)
Other income, net	701	503	1,347	1,651
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	26,709	28,582	72,264	69,620
Provision for income taxes	8,771	10,443	23,648	21,263
Income from continuing operations	17,938	18,139	48,616	48,357
Loss from discontinued operations				(363)
Net income	\$ 17,938	\$ 18,139	\$ 48,616	\$ 47,994
Basic earnings per share:				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.37	\$ 1.05	\$ 0.99
Discontinued operations				
Total	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.37	\$ 1.05	\$ 0.99
Diluted earnings (loss) per share:				

Edgar Filing: FAIR ISAAC CORP - Form 10-Q

Continuing operations	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.37	\$ 1.04	\$ 0.99
Discontinued operations				(0.01)
Total	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.37	\$ 1.04	\$ 0.98
Shares used in computing earnings per share:				
Basic	44,446	48,835	46,171	48,707
Diluted	44,885	48,986	46,561	48,777

(1) Cost of revenues and selling, general and administrative expenses exclude the amortization of intangible assets. See Note 2 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

FAIR ISAAC CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(Unaudited)

	Common Stock		Paid-in-Capital	Treasury Stock	Accumulated			
	Shares	Par Value			Retained Earnings	Other Comprehensive Loss	Total Stockholders' Equity	Comprehensive Income
Balance at September 30, 2009	48,156	\$ 482	\$ 1,106,292	\$ (1,375,400)	\$ 886,324	\$ (17,429)	\$ 600,269	
Share-based compensation			13,235				13,235	
Exercise of stock options	266	3	(5,208)	8,810			3,605	
Tax effect from share-based payment arrangements			(3,966)				(3,966)	
Repurchases of common stock	(6,298)	(63)		(139,465)			(139,528)	
Issuance of ESPP shares from treasury	1		(15)	46			31	
Issuance of restricted stock to employees from treasury	146	1	(6,554)	4,898			(1,655)	
Dividends paid					(2,772)		(2,772)	
Net income					48,616		48,616	\$ 48,616
Unrealized loss on investments						(326)	(326)	(326)
Cumulative translation adjustments						(10,393)	(10,393)	(10,393)
Balance at June 30, 2010	42,271	\$ 423	\$ 1,103,784	\$ (1,501,111)	\$ 932,168	\$ (28,148)	\$ 507,116	\$ 37,897

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

FAIR ISAAC CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
	(In thousands)	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 48,616	\$ 47,994
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	23,762	29,052
Share-based compensation	13,235	15,342
Deferred income taxes	689	3,660
Tax effect from share-based payment arrangements	(3,966)	(7,297)
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements	(1,052)	(121)
Net amortization of premium on marketable securities	1,668	601
Provision for doubtful accounts, net	(234)	499
Loss on sale of product line assets		2,993
Net loss on sales of property and equipment	658	103
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of disposition effects:		
Accounts receivable	(7,852)	35,251
Prepaid expenses and other assets	815	925
Accounts payable	24	(864)
Accrued compensation and employee benefits	3,453	(624)
Other liabilities	693	(10,390)
Deferred revenue	3,516	7,222
Net cash provided by operating activities	84,025	124,346
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(12,746)	(11,283)
Cash proceeds from sale of property and equipment	50	
Cash proceeds from sale of product line assets	2,182	1,000
Purchases of marketable securities	(71,749)	(110,723)
Proceeds from maturities of marketable securities	122,573	107,495
Distribution from cost method investees		1,300
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	40,310	(12,211)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Payments on revolving line of credit	(50,000)	
Proceeds from issuances of common stock under employee stock option and purchase plans	1,981	2,822
Dividends paid	(2,772)	(2,923)
Repurchases of common stock	(137,497)	
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements	1,052	121

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(187,236)	20
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(2,644)	(1,487)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(65,545)	110,668
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	178,157	129,678
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 112,612	\$ 240,346
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash paid for income taxes, net of refunds	\$ 13,374	\$ 20,910
Cash paid for interest	\$ 20,519	\$ 24,861

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**1. Nature of Business*****Fair Isaac Corporation***

Incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware, Fair Isaac Corporation (FICO) is a provider of analytic, software and data management products and services that enable businesses to automate, improve and connect decisions. FICO provides a range of analytical solutions, credit scoring and credit account management products and services to banks, credit reporting agencies, credit card processing agencies, insurers, retailers and healthcare organizations.

In these condensed consolidated financial statements, FICO is referred to as we, us, our, or FICO .

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

We have prepared the accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and the applicable accounting guidance. Consequently, we have not necessarily included in this Form 10-Q all information and footnotes required for audited financial statements. In our opinion, the accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements in this Form 10-Q reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, except as otherwise indicated) necessary for a fair presentation of our financial position and results of operations. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2009. The interim financial information contained in this report is not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any other interim period or for the entire fiscal year.

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of FICO and its subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. These estimates and assumptions include, but are not limited to, assessing the following: the recoverability of accounts receivable, goodwill and other intangible assets, software development costs and deferred tax assets; the benefits related to uncertain tax positions, the determination of the fair value of share-based compensation, the ability to estimate hours in connection with fixed-fee service contracts, the ability to estimate transactional-based revenues for which actual transaction volumes have not yet been received and the determination of whether fees are fixed or determinable and collection is probable or reasonably assured.

Adoption of Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance to amend the disclosure requirements related to recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. The guidance requires new disclosures on the transfers of assets and liabilities between Level 1 (quoted prices in an active market for identical assets or liabilities) and Level 2 (significant other observable inputs) of the fair value measurement hierarchy, including the reasons and the timing of the transfers. Additionally, the guidance requires a roll forward of activities on purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements of the assets and liabilities measured using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 fair value measurements). We adopted this guidance on January 1, 2010, except for the disclosure on the roll forward activities for Level 3 fair value measurements, which will become effective for us with the reporting period beginning October 1, 2011. Other than requiring additional disclosures, adoption of this new guidance does not have a material impact on our financial statements.

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued two new accounting standards that removed certain tangible products from the scope of software revenue recognition guidance and altered the accounting for revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables. The new guidance narrows the definition of products subject to software accounting rules to exclude certain tangible products that contain software and non-software elements that function together to deliver the combined product s essential functionality. As such, certain products that were previously accounted for under the scope of software revenue recognition guidance will no longer be accounted for as

software. In addition, the guidance amended the accounting standards for multiple deliverable

Table of Contents

revenue arrangements to: (i) provide updated guidance on whether multiple deliverables exist, how the deliverables in an arrangement should be separated, and how the consideration should be allocated; (ii) require an entity to allocate revenue in an arrangement using estimated selling prices (ESP) of deliverables if a vendor does not have vendor-specific objective evidence of selling price (VSOE) or third-party evidence of selling price (TPE); and (iii) eliminate the use of the residual method and require an entity to allocate revenue using the relative selling price method.

We elected to early adopt this accounting guidance and we have applied these standards to all applicable revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified beginning October 1, 2009. The adoption of these standards had an immaterial effect on our revenues, pre-tax income, net income and earnings per share during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2010.

When a sales arrangement contains multiple deliverables we allocate revenue to each deliverable based on a selling price hierarchy. The selling price for a deliverable is based on its VSOE if available, TPE if VSOE is not available, or ESP if neither VSOE nor TPE is available. VSOE is generally limited to the price charged when the same or similar product is sold separately. If a product or service is seldom sold separately, it is unlikely that we can determine VSOE for the product or service. We define VSOE as a median price of recent standalone transactions that are priced within a narrow range, as defined by us. TPE is determined based on the prices charged by our competitors for a similar deliverable when sold separately. It may be difficult for us to obtain sufficient information on competitor pricing to substantiate TPE and therefore we may not always be able to use TPE.

When we are unable to establish selling price using VSOE or TPE, we use ESP in its allocation of arrangement consideration. The objective of ESP is to determine the price at which we would transact if the product or service were sold by us on a standalone basis. Our determination of ESP involves weighting several factors based on the specific facts and circumstances of each arrangement. The factors include, but are not limited to, geographies, market conditions, gross margin objectives, pricing practices and controls and customer segment pricing strategies and the product lifecycle. We analyze selling prices used in our allocation of arrangement consideration on an annual basis, or more frequently if necessary. Selling prices will be analyzed more frequently if a significant change in our business necessitates a more timely analysis or if we experience significant variances in our selling prices.

Each deliverable within a multiple-deliverable revenue arrangement is accounted for as a separate unit of accounting under the guidance if both of the following criteria are met: (i) the delivered item or items have value to the customer on a standalone basis and (ii) for an arrangement that includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item(s), delivery or performance of the undelivered item(s) is considered probable and substantially in our control. We consider a deliverable to have standalone value if we sell this item separately or if the item is sold by another vendor or could be resold by the customer. Further, our revenue arrangements generally do not include a general right of return relative to delivered products. Revenue from multiple element arrangements is allocated to the software and non-software deliverables based on the relative selling prices of all of the deliverables in the arrangement using the hierarchy in the new revenue accounting guidance. In circumstances where we cannot determine VSOE or TPE of the selling price for all of the deliverables in the arrangement, including the software deliverable, ESP is used for the purposes of performing this allocation.

We do not expect the adoption of this guidance will result in a change in our units of accounting or in how we allocate arrangement consideration to our units of accounting. In addition, we do not anticipate material changes in the pattern and timing of revenue recognition nor do we expect a material effect on our condensed financial statements in periods subsequent to adoption. However, the new guidance may facilitate our efforts to optimize our offerings due to better alignment between the economics of an arrangement and the accounting. This may lead to engaging in new go-to-market practices in the future. In particular, we expect that the new accounting standards will enable us to better integrate products and services without VSOE into existing offerings and solutions. As these go-to-market strategies evolve, we may modify pricing practices in the future which could result in changes in selling prices, including both VSOE and ESP.

On October 1, 2009 we adopted new guidance on the accounting for business combinations. The guidance states that business combinations will result in all assets and liabilities of an acquired business being recorded at their fair values including contingent assets and liabilities. It also requires the capitalization of in-process research and

development at fair value and requires the expensing of acquisition-related costs as incurred. This guidance has been applied to all acquisitions contemplated subsequent to October 1, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued new accounting guidance on non-controlling interests in consolidated financial statements. The guidance clarifies that a non-controlling or minority interest in a subsidiary is considered an ownership interest and, accordingly, requires all entities to report such interests in subsidiaries as equity in the consolidated financial statements. We adopted this guidance on October 1, 2009. The adoption of this guidance had an immaterial effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

On October 1, 2009, we adopted the authoritative guidance on fair value measurement for nonfinancial assets and liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). Adoption of the new guidance did not impact our consolidated financial statements.

On October 1, 2009, we adopted new accounting guidance for measuring liabilities at fair value. This guidance clarifies that the quoted price for an identical liability is a Level 1 measurement when no adjustments to the quoted price are necessary. If quoted prices for identical liabilities are not available, the guidance provides valuation techniques to be used in determining the fair value of the liability. The adoption of this standard did not impact our consolidated financial statements during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2010.

In May 2008, the FASB issued new guidance on the accounting for convertible instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion. The guidance requires that proceeds from the issuance of convertible debt instruments be allocated between debt (at a discount) and an equity component. The debt discount is amortized over the period the convertible debt is expected to be outstanding as additional non-cash interest expense. We adopted this guidance on October 1, 2009. The guidance changed the accounting treatment for our Senior Convertible Notes, which were issued in August 2003; however, the only retrospective adjustment to our financial statements is a reclassification between equity accounts. The guidance does not require retrospective adoption if the instruments were not outstanding during any of the periods presented in the annual financial statements for the period of adoption, or if restatement would only lead to a reclassification between its opening equity accounts for periods presented in the annual financial statements. As a result, the adoption of this guidance did not impact our consolidated financial statements.

On October 1, 2009, we adopted new guidance to be used in determining the useful life of intangible assets. The guidance amended the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset. This new guidance is intended to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset. The adoption of this guidance did not affect our consolidated financial statements.

2. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

As discussed in Note 7, effective October 1, 2009, we completed an entity-wide reorganization, which resulted in a change in our segments. Goodwill of \$12.9 million previously attributable to our former Professional Services segment was reassigned to our remaining segments based on the relative fair values of those segments. In addition, goodwill of \$54.9 million was reclassified from the Applications segment to our Scores segment based on the relative fair value of our business-to-consumer business. We completed an assessment of any potential goodwill impairment for reporting units impacted by this new structure and determined that no impairment existed. The following table summarizes changes to goodwill during fiscal 2010, both in total and as allocated to our operating segments.

	Applications (previously Strategy Machines)	Scores (previously Scoring)	Tools (Previously Analytical Software Tools) (In thousands)	Professional Services	Total
Balance at September 30, 2009	\$ 501,855	\$ 87,108	\$ 65,812	\$ 12,865	\$ 667,640
Segment reorganization (see note 7)	(48,215)	59,540	1,540	(12,865)	
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(5,637)		(1,199)		(6,836)
Balance at June 30, 2010	\$ 448,003	\$ 146,648	\$ 66,153	\$	\$ 660,804

Amortization expense associated with our intangible assets, which has been reflected as a separate operating expense caption within the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income, consisted of the following:

Table of Contents

	Quarter Ended		Nine Months Ended June	
	June 30,		30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(In thousands)			
Cost of revenues	\$ 1,337	\$ 1,704	\$ 4,787	\$ 5,090
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1,346	1,515	4,131	4,532
	\$ 2,683	\$ 3,219	\$ 8,918	\$ 9,622

Cost of revenues reflects our amortization of completed technology and selling, general and administrative expenses reflects our amortization of other intangible assets. Intangible assets (excluding goodwill) were \$29.1 million and \$38.3 million, net of accumulated amortization of \$105.5 million and \$107.7 million, as of June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, respectively.

Estimated future intangible asset amortization expense associated with intangible assets existing at June 30, 2010, was as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal year

Remainder of fiscal 2010	\$ 1,973
2011	7,658
2012	6,081
2013	4,094
2014	2,407
Thereafter	6,837
	\$ 29,050

3. Restructuring Expenses

The following table summarizes our restructuring accruals for certain FICO facility closures. The current portion and non-current portion is recorded in other accrued current liabilities and other long-term liabilities, respectively, within the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. These balances are expected to be paid by fiscal 2018.

	Accrual	Expense	Cash	Expense	Accrual at
	at				
	September	Additions	Payments	Reversals	June 30,
	30,				2010
	2009		(In thousands)		
Facilities charges	\$ 3,771	\$	\$ (1,631)	\$	\$ 2,140
Less: current portion	(1,361)				(744)
Non-current	\$ 2,410				\$ 1,396

There were no restructuring expenses incurred during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2010.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2009, in connection with our reengineering initiative, we incurred net charges totaling \$8.1 million consisting mainly of \$5.9 million for severance costs associated with the reduction of 255 positions throughout the Company and \$2.6 million associated with vacating excess leased space. In addition, we reversed \$0.4 million of accrued expenses as a result of a favorable lease termination agreement that we entered into

for office space that was previously vacated. During the quarter ended March 31, 2009, we recognized a \$1.2 million charge due to unfavorable sublease arrangements we entered into for office space previously vacated. The charge was offset by a \$0.4 million reduction in other restructuring liabilities. During the quarter ended June 30, 2009 we reversed \$0.2 million of accrued expenses as a result of a favorable lease termination agreement. Cash payments for the severance costs were paid during fiscal 2009.

4. Sale of Product Line Assets

In June 2009, we sold the assets associated with our LiquidCredit[®] for Telecom (LCT) and RoamEx[®] product lines. LCT and RoamEx solutions were included primarily in our Applications segment. The LCT sale, which was for \$3.5 million, included a \$0.5

Table of Contents

million receivable for post-closing working capital adjustments. The RoamEx sale, which was for \$2.7 million, included a \$1.4 million escrow balance and a \$0.3 million receivable for post-closing working capital adjustments. All amounts included in escrow and applicable post-closing working capital adjustments were received in fiscal 2010. Revenues attributable to the LCT and RoamEx product lines were \$5.0 million and \$15.7 million during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2009.

5. Composition of Certain Financial Statement Captions

	June 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
	(In thousands)	
Property and equipment	\$ 214,896	\$ 206,068
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(183,475)	(171,728)
	\$ 31,421	\$ 34,340

6. Earnings Per Share

The following reconciles the numerators and denominators of basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS):

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June	
	2010	2009	30,	2009
	(In thousands, except		(In thousands, except per	
	per		share data)	
	share data)		share data)	
Numerator for diluted and basic earnings per share income from continuing operations:	\$ 17,938	\$ 18,139	\$ 48,616	\$ 48,357
Denominator shares:				
Basic weighted-average shares	44,446	48,835	46,171	48,707
Effect of dilutive securities	439	151	390	70
Diluted weighted-average shares	44,885	48,986	46,561	48,777
Earnings per share from continuing operations:				
Basic	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.37	\$ 1.05	\$ 0.99
Diluted	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.37	\$ 1.04	\$ 0.99

The computation of diluted EPS for the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, excludes options to purchase approximately 4,731,000 and 6,579,000 shares of common stock, respectively, and for the nine months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, excludes options to purchase approximately 5,001,000 and 7,597,000 shares of common stock, respectively, because the options exercise prices exceeded the average market price of our common stock in these periods and their inclusion would be antidilutive.

7. Segment Information

Effective October 1, 2009, we implemented an organizational restructuring resulting in a consolidation of our current operating segment structure from four segments to three. In addition, we changed our segment operating income reporting measure to exclude certain corporate general and administrative expenses. Previously, corporate

expenses, which mainly include finance, legal and human resource related expenses, were allocated to the segments. In addition, amortization expense is no longer allocated to the individual segments. All periods presented have been restated to reflect these changes. The new segments are as follows:

Applications. This segment includes the former Strategy Machine Solutions™ segment, excluding our myFICO® solutions for consumers, and associated professional services. Our Applications products are pre-configured Decision Management applications designed for a specific type of business problem or process, such as marketing, account origination, customer management, fraud and insurance claims management.

Table of Contents

Scores. This segment includes our business-to-business scoring solutions, our myFICO® solutions for consumers (previously included in the Strategy Machine™ Solutions segment) and associated professional services. Our scoring solutions give our clients access to analytics that can be easily integrated into their transaction streams and decision-making processes. Our scoring solutions are distributed through major credit reporting agencies, as well as services through which we provide our scores to clients directly.

Tools. This segment includes the former Analytic Software Tools segment and associated professional services. The Tools segment is composed of software tools that clients can use to create their own custom Decision Management applications.

The former Professional Services segment, which represents delivery and integration services, has been included within the applicable segment to which the services relate and is no longer its own segment.

Our Chief Executive Officer evaluates segment financial performance based on segment revenues and segment operating income. Segment operating expenses consist of direct and indirect costs principally related to personnel, facilities, consulting, travel and depreciation. Indirect costs are allocated to the segments generally based on relative segment revenues, fixed rates established by management based upon estimated expense contribution levels and other assumptions that management considers reasonable. We do not allocate share-based compensation expense, restructuring expense, amortization expense, various corporate charges and certain other income and expense measures to our segments. These income and expense items are not allocated because they are not considered in evaluating the segment's operating performance. Our Chief Executive Officer does not evaluate the financial performance of each segment based on its respective assets or capital expenditures; rather, depreciation amounts are allocated to the segments from their internal cost centers as described above.

The following tables summarize segment information for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2010				
	Applications	Scores	Tools	Unallocated Corporate Expenses	Total
	(In thousands)				
Segment revenues:					
Transactional and maintenance	\$ 62,939	\$ 46,153	\$ 6,810	\$	\$ 115,902
Professional services	21,500	352	3,689		25,541
License	6,991		6,895		13,886
Total segment revenues	91,430	46,505	17,394		155,329
Segment operating expense	(68,003)	(15,907)	(15,409)	(18,397)	(117,716)
Segment operating income	\$ 23,427	\$ 30,598	\$ 1,985	\$ (18,397)	37,613
Unallocated share-based compensation expense					(3,853)
Unallocated amortization expense					(2,683)
Operating income					31,077
Unallocated interest income					393
Unallocated interest expense					(5,462)
Unallocated other income, net					701
Income before income taxes					\$ 26,709

Edgar Filing: FAIR ISAAC CORP - Form 10-Q

Depreciation expense	\$ 3,753	\$ 333	\$ 540	\$ 325	\$ 4,951
----------------------	----------	--------	--------	--------	----------

10

Table of Contents**Quarter ended June 30, 2009**

	Applications	Scores	Tools	Unallocated Corporate Expenses	Total
	(In thousands)				
Segment revenues:					
Transactional and maintenance	\$ 67,991	\$ 44,832	\$ 6,711	\$	\$ 119,534
Professional services	24,077	472	3,851		28,400
License	2,491		5,593		8,084
Total segment revenues	94,559	45,304	16,155		156,018
Segment operating expense	(65,298)	(12,914)	(14,294)	(19,614)	(112,120)
Segment operating income	\$ 29,261	\$ 32,390	\$ 1,861	\$ (19,614)	43,898
Unallocated share-based compensation expense					(4,694)
Unallocated amortization expense					(3,219)
Unallocated restructuring expense					237
Unallocated loss on sale of product line assets					(2,993)
Operating income					33,229
Unallocated interest income					936
Unallocated interest expense					(6,086)
Unallocated other income, net					503
Income before income taxes					\$ 28,582
Depreciation expense	\$ 4,946	\$ 484	\$ 533	\$ 473	\$ 6,436

Nine Months Ended June 30, 2010

	Applications	Scores	Tools	Unallocated Corporate Expenses	Total
	(In thousands)				
Segment revenues:					
Transactional and maintenance	\$ 194,376	\$ 129,181	\$ 21,152	\$	\$ 344,709
Professional services	62,583	1,411	11,710		75,704
License	14,239		15,893		30,132
Total segment revenues	271,198	130,592	48,755		450,545
Segment operating expense	(201,525)	(45,386)	(43,298)	(52,412)	(342,621)
Segment operating income	\$ 69,673	\$ 85,206	\$ 5,457	\$ (52,412)	107,924
					(13,235)

Unallocated share-based compensation expense						
Unallocated amortization expense						(8,918)
Operating income						85,771
Unallocated interest income						1,439
Unallocated interest expense						(16,293)
Unallocated other income, net						1,347
Income before income taxes						\$ 72,264
Depreciation expense	\$ 11,257	\$ 1,013	\$ 1,583	\$ 991	\$ 14,844	

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2009				
	Applications	Scores	Tools	Unallocated Corporate Expenses	Total
	(In thousands)				
Segment revenues:					
Transactional and maintenance	\$ 206,683	\$ 136,262	\$ 19,701	\$	\$ 362,646
Professional services	70,697	1,229	15,866		87,792
License	11,164		17,211		28,375
Total segment revenues	288,544	137,491	52,778		478,813
Segment operating expense	(205,596)	(44,176)	(45,965)	(62,504)	(358,241)
Segment operating income	\$ 82,948	\$ 93,315	\$ 6,813	\$ (62,504)	120,572
Unallocated share-based compensation expense					(15,342)
Unallocated amortization expense					(9,622)
Unallocated restructuring expense					(8,711)
Unallocated loss on sale of product line assets					(2,993)
Operating income					83,904
Unallocated interest income					3,836
Unallocated interest expense					(19,771)
Unallocated other income, net					1,651
Income before income taxes					\$ 69,620
Depreciation expense	\$ 14,946	\$ 1,368	\$ 1,650	\$ 1,466	\$ 19,430

8. Fair Value Measurements

In fiscal 2009, we adopted guidance for financial assets and liabilities and for non-financial assets and liabilities that we recognize or disclose at fair value on a recurring basis (at least annually). These include cash equivalents, available-for-sale marketable securities and our derivative financial instruments. We adopted the remaining aspects of the fair value measurement standard relative to nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value, but are recognized and disclosed at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, prospectively effective October 1, 2009.

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The accounting guidance establishes a three-level hierarchy for disclosure that is based on the extent and level of judgment used to estimate the fair value of assets and liabilities.

Level 1 uses unadjusted quoted prices that are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Our Level 1 securities are comprised of money market funds and certain equity securities.

Level 2 uses inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable through correlation with market data. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; and inputs to valuation models or other pricing methodologies that do not require significant judgment because the inputs

used in the model, such as interest rates and volatility, can be corroborated by readily observable market data. Our Level 2 securities are comprised of U.S. government, municipal and corporate debt obligations that are generally held to maturity.

Level 3 uses one or more significant inputs that are unobservable and supported by little or no market activity, and that reflect the use of significant management judgment. Level 3 assets and liabilities include those whose fair value measurements are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar valuation techniques, and significant management judgment or estimation. We do not have any assets or liabilities that are valued using inputs identified under a Level 3 hierarchy.

Table of Contents*Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis*

The following table represents financial assets that we measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2010 and September 31, 2009:

	Active Markets for Identical Instruments (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Fair Value as of June 30, 2010
Assets:			
Cash equivalents (1)	\$ 55,499	\$	\$ 55,499
U.S. corporate debt (2)		20,544	20,544
Non U.S. corporate debt (2)		37,476	37,476
U.S. government obligations (2)		64,783	64,783
Municipal obligations (2)		21,453	21,453
Marketable securities (3)	3,932		3,932
Total	\$ 59,431	\$ 144,256	\$ 203,687

	Active Markets for Identical Instruments (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Fair Value as of September 30, 2009
Assets:			
Cash equivalents (1)	\$ 113,468	\$	\$ 113,468
U.S. corporate debt (2)		11,697	11,697
Non U.S. corporate debt (2)		38,977	38,977
U.S. government obligations (2)		119,031	119,031
Municipal obligations (2)		27,579	27,579
Marketable securities (3)	3,760		3,760
Total	\$ 117,228	\$ 197,284	\$ 314,512

(1) Included in cash and cash equivalents on our balance sheet at June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009. Not included in this table are cash deposits of \$57.1 million and

\$64.7 million at
June 30, 2010
and
September 30,
2009,
respectively.

(2) Included in
marketable
securities
(short-term and
long-term) on
our balance
sheet at June 30,
2010 and
September 30,
2009,
respectively.

(3) Represents
securities held
under a
supplemental
retirement and
savings plan for
certain officers
and senior
management
employees,
which are
distributed upon
termination or
retirement of the
employees.
Included in
long-term
marketable
securities on our
balance sheet at
June 30, 2010
and
September 30,
2009.

Where applicable, we use quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities to determine fair value. This pricing applies to our Level 1 investments. To the extent quoted prices in active markets for assets or liabilities are not available, the valuation techniques used to measure the fair values of our financial assets incorporate market inputs, which include reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, benchmark yields, issuer spreads, benchmark securities and other inputs derived from or corroborated by observable market data. This methodology applies to our Level 2 investments. The Company has not changed its valuation techniques in measuring the fair value of any financial assets and liabilities during the period. During the three and nine months ended June 30, 2010 there were no transfers of financial instruments between classification levels.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

As previously discussed, we adopted the provisions of the fair value measurement accounting and disclosure guidance related to non-financial assets and liabilities recognized or disclosed at fair value on a nonrecurring basis on October 1, 2009. Assets and liabilities subject to this new guidance primarily include goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets measured at fair value for impairment

Table of Contents

assessments, long-lived assets measured at fair value for impairment assessments and non-financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value in business combinations. The adoption of this new guidance did not affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows for the periods presented.

9. Derivative Financial Instruments

We use derivative instruments to manage risks caused by fluctuations in foreign exchange rates. The primary objective of our derivative instruments is to protect the value of foreign currency denominated accounts receivable and cash balances from the effects of volatility in foreign exchange rates that might occur prior to conversion to their functional currency. We principally utilize foreign currency forward contracts, which enable us to buy and sell foreign currencies in the future at fixed exchange rates and economically offset changes in foreign currency exchange rates. We routinely enter into contracts to offset exposures denominated in the British pound, Euro and Canadian dollar.

Foreign currency denominated accounts receivable and cash balances are re-measured at foreign currency rates in effect on the balance sheet date with the effects of changes in foreign currency rates reported in other income, net. The forward contracts are not designated as hedges and are marked to market through other income, net. Fair value changes in the forward contracts help mitigate the changes in the value of the re-measured accounts receivable and cash balances attributable to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The forward contracts are short-term in nature and typically have average maturities at inception of less than three months.

The following table summarizes the fair value of our derivative instruments and their location in the consolidated balance sheet:

June 30, 2010**(In thousands)**

	Assets		Liabilities	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	Balance Sheet Location	Amount	Balance Sheet Location	Amount
Foreign currency forward contracts	Other current assets	\$	Other current liabilities	\$

The following table summarizes our outstanding forward foreign currency contracts, by currency at June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009:

	June 30, 2010		
	Contract Amount		Fair Value
	Foreign Currency	US\$	US\$
	(In thousands)		
Sell foreign currency:			
Canadian dollar (CAD)	CAD 1,125	\$1,065	\$
Euro (EUR)	EUR 5,680	6,967	
Buy foreign currency:			
British pound (GBP)	GBP 3,473	5,200	
	September 30, 2009		
	Contract Amount		Fair Value
	Foreign Currency	US\$	US\$
	(In thousands)		
Sell foreign currency:			
Canadian dollar (CAD)	CAD 1,100	\$1,022	\$
Euro (EUR)	EUR 6,100	8,908	
Japanese yen (JPY)	JPY 61,000	679	

Table of Contents

The forward foreign currency contracts were all entered into on June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, respectively; therefore, the fair value was \$0 on that date.

Gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments are recorded in our consolidated statements of income as a component of other income, net. These amounts are shown for the quarter and nine months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 in the table below:

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2010	Quarter Ended June 30, 2009
	(In thousands)	
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$644	\$ 1,638
	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2010	Nine Months Ended June 30, 2009
	(In thousands)	
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$520	\$ (1,254)

10. Income Taxes*Effective Tax Rate*

Our effective tax rate was 32.8% and 36.5% during the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and 32.7% and 30.5% during the nine months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The provision for income taxes during interim quarterly reporting periods is based on our estimates of the effective tax rates for the respective full fiscal year.

The effective tax rate in any quarter can be affected positively or negatively by adjustments that are required to be reported in the specific quarter of resolution. The increase in our effective tax rate year over year was due to changes in the foreign and domestic earnings mix and the expiration of the Federal Research and Development credit.

The total unrecognized tax benefit for uncertain tax positions at June 30, 2010 is estimated to be approximately \$12.1 million compared to \$18.6 million as of September 30, 2009. We recognize interest expense related to unrecognized tax benefits and penalties as part of the provision for income taxes in our consolidated statements of income. As of June 30, 2010, we have accrued interest of \$1.1 million related to the unrecognized tax benefits.

11. Revolving Line of Credit

We have a \$600 million unsecured revolving line of credit with a syndicate of banks that expires on October 20, 2011. Proceeds from the revolving line of credit can be used for working capital and general corporate purposes and may also be used for the refinancing of existing debt, acquisitions, and the repurchase of the Company's common stock. Interest on amounts borrowed under the revolving line of credit is based on (i) a base rate, which is the greater of (a) the prime rate and (b) the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50% or (ii) LIBOR plus an applicable margin. The margin on LIBOR borrowings ranges from 0.30% to 0.55% and is determined based on our consolidated leverage ratio. In addition, we must pay utilization fees if borrowings and commitments under the revolving line of credit exceed 50% of the total commitment, as well as facility fees. The revolving line of credit contains certain restrictive covenants, including maintenance of consolidated leverage and fixed charge coverage ratios. The revolving line of credit also contains covenants typical of unsecured facilities. As of June 30, 2010, we were in compliance with all covenants under this revolving line of credit and we had \$245.0 million of borrowings outstanding at an interest rate of 0.8%. On July 14, 2010, we repaid all outstanding obligations under the revolving line of credit using proceeds from the issuance of \$245 million of Senior Notes in a private placement to a group of institutional investors.

12. Senior Notes

In May 2008, we issued \$275 million of Senior Notes in a private placement to a group of institutional investors. The Senior Notes were issued in four series with maturities ranging from 5 to 10 years. The Senior Notes weighted average interest rate is 6.8% and the weighted average maturity is 7.9 years. The Senior Notes are subject to certain restrictive covenants that are substantially similar to those in the credit agreement for the revolving line of credit including maintenance of consolidated leverage and fixed charge

Table of Contents

coverage ratios. The purchase agreement for the Senior Notes also includes covenants typical of unsecured facilities. As of June 30, 2010, we were in compliance with all covenants related to our Senior Notes.

13. Contingencies

We are in disputes with certain customers regarding amounts owed in connection with the sale of certain of our products and services. We also have had claims asserted by former employees relating to compensation and other employment matters. We are also involved in various other claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. We believe that none of these aforementioned claims or actions will result in a material adverse impact to our consolidated results of operations, liquidity or financial condition. However, the amount or range of any potential liabilities associated with these claims and actions, if any, cannot be determined with certainty. Set forth below are additional details concerning certain ongoing litigation.

Braun Consulting, Inc.

Braun (which we acquired in November 2004) was a defendant in a lawsuit filed on November 26, 2001, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (Case No. 01 CV 10629) that alleges violations of federal securities laws in connection with Braun's initial public offering in August 1999. This lawsuit is among approximately 300 coordinated putative class actions against certain issuers, their officers and directors, and underwriters with respect to such issuers' initial public offerings. As successor-in-interest to Braun, we entered into a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement along with most of the other defendant issuers in this coordinated litigation, where such issuers and their officers and directors would be dismissed with prejudice, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, including approval of the Court. Under the terms of this Agreement, we would not pay any amount of the settlement. However, since December 2006, certain procedural matters concerning the class status have been decided in the district and appellate courts of the Second Circuit, ultimately determining that no class status exists for the plaintiffs. Since there is no class status, there could be no agreement, thus the District Court entered an order formally denying the motion for final approval of the settlement agreement.

On April 2, 2009, a stipulation and agreement of settlement between the plaintiffs, issuer defendants and underwriter defendants was submitted to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for preliminary approval. This settlement requires no financial contribution from us. The Court granted the plaintiffs' motion for preliminary approval and preliminarily certified the settlement classes on June 10, 2009. The settlement fairness hearing was held on September 10, 2009. The Court granted the plaintiffs' motion for final approval of the settlement and certified the settlement classes on October 5, 2009. The Court determined that the settlement is fair to the class members, approved the settlement and dismissed, with prejudice, the case against the Company and its individual defendants. Notices of appeal of the opinion granting final approval have been filed. Due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation and because the settlement remains subject to appeal, the ultimate outcome of the matter is uncertain.

14. New Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

In June 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to the consolidation of variable interest entities. The guidance requires revised evaluations of whether entities represent variable interest entities, ongoing assessments of control over such entities, and additional disclosures for variable interests. We are in the process of determining what effect, if any, the adoption of this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.

15. Subsequent Events

For the three and nine months ended June 30, 2010, we have evaluated subsequent events for potential recognition and disclosure through the date of this filing.

On July 14, 2010, we issued \$245 million of Senior Notes in a private placement to a group of institutional investors. The Senior Notes include a weighted average interest rate of 5.20% and a weighted average maturity of 8 years. Proceeds from the Senior Notes were used to repay the entire balance outstanding on our revolving line of credit. The Senior Notes were issued in four series as follows:

Series	Amount	Interest Rate	Maturity Date
E	\$60 million	4.72%	July 14, 2016
F	\$72 million	5.04%	July 14, 2017

Edgar Filing: FAIR ISAAC CORP - Form 10-Q

G	\$28 million	5.42%	July 14, 2019
H	\$85 million	5.59%	July 14, 2020

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations****FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS**

Statements contained in this Report that are not statements of historical fact should be considered forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the Act). In addition, certain statements in our future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), in press releases, and in oral and written statements made by us or with our approval that are not statements of historical fact constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Act. Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to: (i) projections of revenue, income or loss, earnings or loss per share, the payment or nonpayment of dividends, capital structure and other statements concerning future financial performance; (ii) statements of our plans and objectives by our management or Board of Directors, including those relating to products or services; (iii) statements of assumptions underlying such statements; (iv) statements regarding business relationships with vendors, customers or collaborators; and (v) statements regarding products, their characteristics, performance, sales potential or effect in the hands of customers. Words such as believes, anticipates, expects, intends, targeted, shall, potential, goals, strategy, and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements. Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from those in such statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from those discussed in the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, those described in Part II, Item 1A Risk Factors, below. The performance of our business and our securities may be adversely affected by these factors and by other factors common to other businesses and investments, or to the general economy. Forward-looking statements are qualified by some or all of these risk factors. Therefore, you should consider these risk factors with caution and form your own critical and independent conclusions about the likely effect of these risk factors on our future performance. Such forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which statements are made, and we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events or circumstances. Readers should carefully review the disclosures and the risk factors described in this and other documents we file from time to time with the SEC, including our reports on Forms 10-Q and 8-K to be filed by the Company in fiscal 2010.

OVERVIEW

We are a leader in Decision Management (DM) solutions that enable businesses to automate, improve and connect decisions to enhance business performance. Our predictive analytics, which include the industry standard FICO® score, and our Decision Management systems power billions of customer decisions each year. We help companies acquire customers more efficiently, increase customer value, reduce fraud and credit losses, lower operating expenses and enter new markets more profitably. Most leading banks and credit card issuers rely on our solutions, as do many insurers, retailers, healthcare organizations, pharmaceutical companies and government agencies. We also serve consumers through online services that enable people to purchase and understand their FICO® scores, the standard measure in the United States of credit risk, empowering them to manage their financial health.

Most of our revenues are derived from the sale of products and services within the banking (including consumer credit) and insurance industries, and during the quarter ended June 30, 2010, 75% of our revenues were derived from within these industries. A significant portion of our remaining revenues is derived from the healthcare and retail industries. Our clients utilize our products and services to facilitate a variety of business processes, including customer marketing and acquisition, account origination, credit and underwriting risk management, fraud loss prevention and control, and client account and policyholder management. A significant portion of our revenues is derived from transactional or unit-based software license fees, annual license fees under long-term software license arrangements, transactional fees derived under scoring, network service or internal hosted software arrangements, and annual software maintenance fees. The recurrence of these revenues is, to a significant degree, dependent upon our clients continued usage of our products and services in their business activities. The more significant activities underlying the use of our products in these areas include: credit and debit card usage or active account levels; lending acquisition, origination and customer management activity; and customer acquisition, cross selling and retention programs. Approximately 75% and 77% of our revenues during the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and

77% and 76% of our revenues for the nine months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were derived from maintenance or arrangements with transactional or unit-based pricing. We also derive revenues from other sources which generally do not recur and include, but are not limited to, perpetual or time-based licenses with upfront payment terms and non-recurring professional service arrangements.

Our revenues derived from clients outside the United States have generally grown, and may in the future grow, more rapidly than our revenues from domestic clients. International revenues totaled \$54.2 million and \$47.0 million during the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, representing 35% and 30% of total consolidated revenues in each of these periods. International revenues totaled

Table of Contents

\$152.6 million and \$149.4 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, representing 34% and 31% of total consolidated revenues in each of these periods. We expect that the percentage of our revenues derived from international clients will increase in the future, subject to the impact of foreign currency fluctuations.

One measure used by management as an indicator of our business performance is the volume of bookings achieved. We define a booking as estimated contractual revenues, including agreements with perpetual, multi-year and annual terms. Bookings values may include: (i) estimates of variable fee components such as hours to be incurred under new professional services arrangements and customer account or transaction activity for agreements with transactional-based fee arrangements; (ii) additional or expanded business from renewals of contracts; and (iii) to a lesser extent, previous customers that have attrited and been resold only as a result of a significant sales effort.

Bookings for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

	Bookings (in millions)	Bookings Yield*	Number of Bookings Over \$1 million	Weighted Average Term (months)
Quarter ended June, 30, 2010	\$ 63.5	29.1%	12	28
Quarter ended June, 30, 2009	\$ 49.0	22.2%	7	24

* Bookings yield represents the percent of revenue recorded in the quarter the booking is achieved.

During the nine months ended June 30, 2010, we achieved bookings of \$177.7 million, including 35 deals with booking values of \$1.0 million or more. In comparison, bookings in the nine months ended June 30, 2009 were \$148.2 million, including 27 deals with booking values of \$1.0 million or more.

The weighted-average term of bookings achieved measures the average term over which the bookings are expected to be recognized as revenue. As the weighted-average term increases, the average amount of revenues expected to be realized in a quarter decreases, however, the revenues are expected to be recognized over a longer period of time. As the weighted-average term decreases, the average amount of revenues expected to be realized in a quarter increases, however, the revenues are expected to be recognized over a shorter period of time.

Management regards the volume of bookings achieved, among other factors, as an important indicator of future revenues, but they are not comparable to, nor should they be substituted for, an analysis of our revenues, and they are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including those described in Item 1A Risk Factors, concerning timing and contingencies affecting product delivery and performance. Although many of our contracts have fixed noncancelable terms, some of our contracts are terminable by the client on short notice. Accordingly, we do not believe it is appropriate to characterize all of our bookings as backlog that will generate future revenue.

Reengineering Initiative

In January 2009, we completed additional actions under our reengineering initiative. These actions were aimed at reducing costs through headcount reductions and facility consolidations. With respect to the headcount reductions, we identified and eliminated 255 positions throughout the company with an estimated annual cost savings of \$30 million.

Current Business Environment

Throughout fiscal 2009 financial markets experienced significant volatility and general economic conditions were unstable. These conditions had a substantial impact on our customers, especially financial institutions. This included consolidations among our customers, a significant decline in new account acquisition activities and extension of credit by financial institutions and a general slowing of software purchases and related implementation services by our customers. During fiscal 2010 certain aspects of our business, including revenue associated with our Scores segment, have exhibited signs of stabilization. However, we continue to experience a long sales cycle for our products, which has negatively impacted our license and services revenue. These conditions are expected to continue to affect us through fiscal 2010.

Table of Contents

As a result of this difficult business environment, we will continue to aggressively manage our expenses in an effort to maintain solid earnings and cash flows. We also plan to continue to invest in our Decision Management solutions as well as our core business operations.

Segment Information

Effective October 1, 2009, we implemented an organizational restructuring resulting in a consolidation of our current reporting segment structure from four segments to three. The former Professional Services segment, which represents delivery and integration services, is now included within the applicable segment to which the services relate. Our current segment structure is as follows:

Applications. This segment includes the Decision Management applications formerly included within the Strategy Machine Solutions™ segment, excluding our myFICO® solutions for consumers, and associated professional services.

Scores. This segment includes our business-to-business Scoring Solutions, our myFICO® solutions for consumers (previously included in the Strategy Machine™ Solutions segment) and associated professional services.

Tools. This segment includes the Decision Management tools formerly included within the Analytic Software Tools segment and associated professional services.

Although we sell solutions and services into a large number of end user product and industry markets, our reportable business segments reflect the primary method in which management organizes and evaluates internal financial information to make operating decisions and assess performance. Comparative segment revenues, operating income, and related financial information for the three and nine months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are set forth in Note 7 to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. All periods presented have been restated to reflect the aforementioned changes.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**Revenues**

The following table sets forth certain summary information on a segment basis related to our revenues for the fiscal periods indicated:

Segment	Quarter Ended June 30,		Percentage of Revenues		Period-to-Period	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	Period-to-Period Change (In thousands)	Percentage Change
	(In thousands)					
Applications	\$ 91,430	\$ 94,559	59%	61%	\$ (3,129)	(3)%
Scores	46,505	45,304	30%	29%	1,201	3%
Tools	17,394	16,155	11%	10%	1,239	8%
Total revenue	\$ 155,329	\$ 156,018	100%	100%	(689)	%

Segment	Nine Months Ended June 30,		Percentage of Revenues		Period-to-Period	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	Period-to-Period Change	Percentage Change
	(In thousands)					

Edgar Filing: FAIR ISAAC CORP - Form 10-Q

					(In thousands)	
Applications	\$ 271,198	\$ 288,544	60%	60%	\$ (17,346)	(6)%
Scores	130,592	137,491	29%	29%	(6,899)	(5)%
Tools	48,755	52,778	11%	11%	(4,023)	(8)%
Total revenue	\$ 450,545	\$ 478,813	100%	100%	(28,268)	(6)%

Table of Contents**Quarter Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Quarter Ended June 30, 2009 Revenues
Applications**

Applications	Quarter Ended June 30,		Period-to-Period Change (In thousands)	Period-to-Period Percentage Change
	2010	2009		
	(In thousands)			
Transactional and maintenance	\$ 62,939	\$ 67,991	\$ (5,052)	(7)%
Professional services	21,500	24,077	(2,577)	(11)%
License	6,991	2,491	4,500	181%
Total	\$ 91,430	\$ 94,559	(3,129)	(3)%

Applications segment revenues decreased \$3.1 million due to a \$3.0 million decrease in revenues from our *customer management solutions*, a \$2.9 million decrease in revenues from our *originations solutions* and a \$0.5 million decrease in other application solutions. The revenue decline was partially offset by a \$2.2 million increase in our *fraud solutions* and a \$1.1 increase in our *marketing solutions*.

The decrease in *customer management solutions* was attributable to a decrease in volumes associated with transactional-based agreements and a decline in professional services revenue from software implementation services. The decrease in *originations solutions* was attributable to our June 2009 divestiture of our Liquid Credit Service for Telecom product line, which accounted for \$2.7 million of revenue in the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The increase in our *fraud solutions* revenues was attributable to an increase in volumes and new sales of our FICO[®] Falco[®] Fraud Manager and to sales of a new product, FICO[®] Insurance Fraud Manager. The increase in our *marketing solutions* revenues was attributable to sales of a new product, FICO[®] Retail Action Manager.

Scores

Scores	Quarter Ended June 30,		Period-to-Period Change (In thousands)	Period-to-Period Percentage Change
	2010	2009		
	(In thousands)			
Transactional and maintenance	\$ 46,153	\$ 44,832	\$ 1,321	3%
Professional services	352	472	(120)	(25)%
Total	\$ 46,505	\$ 45,304	1,201	3%

Scores segment revenues increased \$1.2 million primarily due to an increase in our myFICO[®] business-to-consumer services revenues and an increase in our business-to-business scores revenues. The increase in our business-to-consumer services was primarily attributable to increased transactional volumes with myFICO.com. The increase in business-to-business scores revenue was due to a true-up of royalty fees with one of the reporting agencies partially offset by a decrease in prescreen revenues due to a decline in volumes of prescreening initiatives by our customers. Excluding the true-up of royalty fees, scores segment revenues would have decreased for the quarter ended June 30, 2010. We expect weakness in the U.S. financial credit market will continue to adversely affect Scores segment revenues in fiscal 2010.

During the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, revenues generated from our agreements with Equifax, TransUnion and Experian collectively accounted for approximately 22% and 20%, respectively, of our total revenues, including revenues from these customers that are recorded in our other segments.

Table of Contents*Tools*

Tools	Quarter Ended June 30,		Period-to-Period Change (In thousands)	Period-to-Period Percentage Change
	2010	2009		
	(In thousands)			
Transactional and maintenance	\$ 6,810	\$ 6,711	\$ 99	1%
Professional services	3,689	3,851	(162)	(4)%
License	6,895	5,593	1,302	23%
Total	\$ 17,394	\$ 16,155	1,239	8%

Tools segment revenues increased \$1.2 million primarily due to an increase in license sales related to our *optimization solutions*.

Nine Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Nine Months Ended June 30, 2009 Revenues*Applications*

Applications	Nine Months Ended June 30,		Period-to-Period Change (In thousands)	Period-to-Period Percentage Change
	2010	2009		
	(In thousands)			
Transactional and maintenance	\$ 194,376	\$ 206,683	\$ (12,307)	(6)%
Professional services	62,583	70,697	(8,114)	(11)%
License	14,239	11,164	3,075	28%
Total	\$ 271,198	\$ 288,544	(17,346)	(6)%

Applications segment revenues decreased \$17.3 million due to a \$13.7 million decrease in revenues from our *originations solutions*, an \$8.4 million decrease in our *customer management solutions*, a \$2.4 million decrease in our *fraud solutions*, and a \$2.4 million decrease from our *collection and recovery solutions*. These decreases were partially offset by a \$9.6 million increase in revenues from our *marketing solutions*.

The decrease in *originations solutions* was attributable to a decrease in professional services and the June 2009 divestiture of our Liquid Credit Service for Telecom product line, which accounted for \$9.1 million of revenue during the nine months ended June 30, 2009. Professional services were impacted because we established VSOE for certain analytic model consulting services during the quarter ended March 31, 2009. With all other revenue recognition criteria met, professional services amounts previously recorded as deferred revenue were recognized during the quarter ended March 31, 2009. The decrease in *customer management solutions* was attributable to a decrease in volumes associated with transactional-based agreements and a decline in implementation services. The decrease in *fraud solutions* revenues was attributable to the June 2009 divestiture of our RoamEx product line, which accounted for \$6.6 million of revenue during the nine months ended June 30, 2009. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in volumes and new sales of our FICO® Falco® Fraud Manager and sales of a new product, FICO® Insurance Fraud Manager. The decrease in *collections and recovery solutions* was attributable to a decline in license sales. The increase in our *marketing solutions* revenues was attributable to sales of a new product, FICO® Retail Action

Manager.

Table of Contents**Scores**

Scores	Nine Months Ended June 30,		Period-to-Period Change (In thousands)	Period-to-Period Percentage Change
	2010	2009		
	(In thousands)			
Transactional and maintenance	\$ 129,181	\$ 136,262	\$ (7,081)	(5)%
Professional services	1,411	1,229	182	15%
Total	\$ 130,592	\$ 137,491	(6,899)	(5)%

Scores segment revenues decreased \$6.9 million due to a \$5.6 million decrease in our myFICO[®] business-to-consumer services revenues and a \$1.3 million decrease in our business-to-business scores revenues. The decline in our business-to-consumer services was primarily attributable to Experian terminating its relationship with myFICO.com in February 2009. Business-to-business scores revenue was impacted by a \$4.3 million reduction in prescreen revenues, partially offset by a true-up of royalty fees with one of the reporting agencies. The decrease in prescreen revenues was due to a decline in volumes of prescreening initiatives by our customers. We expect that competitive pricing pressures as well as reduced volumes due to weakness in the U.S. financial credit market will continue to adversely affect Scores segment revenues in fiscal 2010.

During the nine months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, revenues generated from our agreements with Equifax, TransUnion and Experian, collectively accounted for approximately 21% and 19%, respectively, of our total revenues, including revenues from these customers that are recorded in our other segments.

Tools

Tools	Nine Months Ended June 30,		Period-to-Period Change (In thousands)	Period-to-Period Percentage Change
	2010	2009		
	(In thousands)			
Transactional and maintenance	\$ 21,152	\$ 19,701	\$ 1,451	7%
Professional services	11,710	15,866	(4,156)	(26)%
License	15,893	17,211	(1,318)	(8)%
Total	\$ 48,755	\$ 52,778	(4,023)	(8)%

Tools segment revenues decreased \$4.0 million primarily due to a decrease of license and professional services sales related to our FICO[™] Blaze Advisor[®] product, which was negatively impacted by the current business environment. Professional services revenue declined due to the completion of several large installations in prior periods and fewer implementation services due to decreased license sales. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in revenues from our FICO[™] Model Builder product.

Table of Contents**Operating Expenses and Other Income (Expense)**

The following table sets forth certain summary information related to our statements of income for the fiscal periods indicated:

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Percentage of Revenues		Period-to- Period Change (In thousands, except employees)	Period-to- Period Percentage Change
	2010	2009	2010	2009		
	(In thousands, except employees)					
Revenues	\$ 155,329	\$ 156,018	100%	100%	\$ (689)	%
Operating expenses:						
Cost of revenues	45,316	48,160	29%	31%	(2,844)	(6)%
Research and development	19,176	18,364	12%	12%	812	4%
Selling, general and administrative	57,077	50,290	37%	32%	6,787	13%
Amortization of intangible assets	2,683	3,219	2%	2%	(536)	(17)%
Restructuring		(237)	%	%	237	(100)%
Loss on sale of product line assets		2,993	%	2%	(2,993)	(100)%
Total operating expenses	124,252	122,789	80%	79%	1,463	1%
Operating income	31,077	33,229	20%	21%	(2,152)	(6)%
Interest income	393	936	%	1%	(543)	(58)%
Interest expense	(5,462)	(6,086)	(3)%	(4)%	624	(10)%
Other income, net	701	503	%	%	198	39%
Income before income taxes	26,709	28,582	17%	18%	(1,873)	(7)%
Provision for income taxes	8,771	10,443	5%	6%	(1,672)	(16)%
Net income	\$ 17,938	\$ 18,139	12%	12%	(201)	(1)%
Number of employees at quarter end	2,153	2,143			10	%

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended June 30,		Percentage of Revenues		Period-to-Period Change (In thousands, except employees)	Period-to-Period Percentage Change
	2010	2009	2010	2009		
	(In thousands, except employees)					
Revenues	\$ 450,545	\$ 478,813	100%	100%	\$ (28,268)	(6)%
Operating expenses:						
Cost of revenues	132,476	160,655	29%	33%	(28,179)	(18)%
Research and development	57,403	55,409	13%	11%	1,994	4%
Selling, general and administrative	165,977	157,519	37%	33%	8,458	5%
Amortization of intangible assets	8,918	9,622	2%	2%	(704)	(7)%
Restructuring		8,711	%	2%	(8,711)	(100)%
Loss on sale of product line assets		2,993	%	1%	(2,993)	(100)%
Total operating expenses	364,774	394,909	81%	82%	(30,135)	(8)%
Operating income	85,771	83,904	19%	18%	1,867	2%
Interest income	1,439	3,836	%	1%	(2,397)	(62)%
Interest expense	(16,293)	(19,771)	(3)%	(4)%	3,478	(18)%
Other income, net	1,347	1,651	%	%	(304)	(18)%
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	72,264	69,620	16%	15%	2,644	4%
Provision for income taxes	23,648	21,263	5%	5%	2,385	11%
Income from continuing operations	48,616	48,357	11%	10%	259	1%
Loss from discontinued operations		(363)	%	%	363	(100)%
Net income	\$ 48,616	\$ 47,994	11%	10%	622	1%

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues consists primarily of employee salaries and benefits for personnel directly involved in developing, installing and supporting revenue products; travel costs; overhead costs; costs of computer service bureaus; internal

network hosting costs; amounts payable to credit reporting agencies for scores; software costs; and expenses related to our business-to-consumer services.

The quarter over quarter decrease of \$2.8 million in cost of revenues resulted from a \$2.3 million decrease in personnel and other labor-related costs, a \$1.3 million decrease in facilities and infrastructure costs and a \$0.2 million decrease in other costs, partially offset by a \$1.0 million increase in direct material costs. The decrease in personnel and other labor-related costs was attributable primarily to a decline in salary and related benefit costs resulting from staff reductions and from the decline in professional services activities. The decrease in facilities and infrastructure costs was attributable primarily to a decline in allocated costs resulting from overhead reductions and exiting certain facilities. The increase in direct material costs is attributable to increased sales in our myFICO® business-to-consumer services that required data acquisition.

The year-to-date period over period decrease of \$28.2 million in cost of revenues resulted from a \$15.0 million decrease in personnel and other labor-related costs, a \$10.1 million decrease in facilities and infrastructure costs, a \$2.4 million decrease in third party software and data costs and a \$0.7 million decrease in other costs. The decrease in personnel and other labor-related costs was attributable primarily to a decline in salary and related benefit costs resulting from staff reductions and from the decline in professional services activities. The decrease in facilities and infrastructure costs was attributable primarily to a decline in allocated costs resulting from overhead reductions and exiting certain facilities. The decrease in third party software and data costs was due to decreased sales in our myFICO® business-to-consumer services that required data acquisition.

Over the next several quarters, we expect that cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues will be consistent when compared to those incurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents***Research and Development***

Research and development expenses include the personnel and related overhead costs incurred in the development of new products and services, including the research of mathematical and statistical models and the development of new versions of Applications and Tools products.

Research and development expenditures for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 were consistent with expenditures in the quarter ended June 30, 2009.

The year-to-date period over period increase of \$2.0 million in research and development expenditures was attributable primarily to an increase in personnel and related costs due to increased salaries for the period ended June 30, 2010.

Over the next several quarters, we expect that research and development expenditures will be consistent with those incurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2010.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist principally of employee salaries and benefits, travel, overhead, advertising and other promotional expenses, corporate facilities expenses, legal expenses, business development expenses, and the cost of operating computer systems.

The quarter over quarter increase of \$6.8 million in selling, general and administrative expenses was attributable to a \$5.5 million increase in personnel and related costs, a \$1.3 million increase in marketing expenses, a \$1.1 million increase in travel expenses and a \$0.9 million increase in other expenses, partially offset by a \$2.0 million decrease in professional fees. The quarter over quarter increase in personnel and related costs was primarily due to increased salaries and benefits and commissions for the period ended June 30, 2010. The increase in marketing expense was attributable to the timing of our marketing events. The increase in travel expenses was due to increased travel to support sales efforts. The decline in professional fees was primarily due to decreased legal fees.

The year-to-date period over period increase of \$8.5 million in selling, general and administrative expenses was attributable to a \$11.1 million increase in personnel and related costs, a \$2.3 million increase in travel expenses and a \$1.9 million increase in marketing expenses, partially offset by a \$4.0 million decrease in professional fees and a \$2.8 million decrease in other costs, which includes bad debt expense, taxes and licenses and other miscellaneous expenses. The increase in personnel and related costs was primarily due to increased salaries and benefits and commissions for the nine months ended June 30, 2010. The increase in travel expenses was due to increased travel to support sales efforts. The increase in marketing expense was attributable to the timing of marketing campaigns and related activities. The decline in professional fees was primarily due to decreased legal fees.

Over the next several quarters, we expect that selling, general and administrative expenses will increase slightly when compared to those incurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2010.

Amortization of Intangible Assets

Amortization of intangible assets consists of amortization expense related to intangible assets recorded in connection with acquisitions accounted for by the purchase method of accounting. Our definite-lived intangible assets, consisting primarily of completed technology and customer contracts and relationships, are being amortized using the straight-line method or based on forecasted cash flows associated with the assets over periods ranging from two to fifteen years.

Over the next several quarters, we expect that amortization expense will be slightly lower than the amortization expense we recorded during the quarter ended June 30, 2010.

Restructuring

During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, we recorded a \$0.2 million expense reversal related to a lease termination.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2009, we recognized a \$1.2 million charge due to unfavorable sublease arrangements we entered into for office space previously vacated. The charge was offset by a \$0.4 million reduction in other restructuring liabilities.

Table of Contents

During the quarter ended December 31, 2008, in connection with our reengineering initiative, we incurred net charges totaling \$8.1 million. The charges included \$5.9 million for severance costs associated with the reduction of 255 positions throughout the company. Cash payments for all severance costs were paid during fiscal 2009. We also recognized charges of \$2.6 million associated with vacating excess leased space. The charge represents future cash lease payments, net of estimated sublease income, which will be paid by fiscal 2018. In addition, we reversed \$0.4 million of accrued expenses as a result of a favorable lease termination agreement that we entered into for office space that was previously vacated.

We did not incur any restructuring charges during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2010.

Interest Income

Interest income is derived primarily from the investment of funds in excess of our immediate operating requirements. The quarter over quarter decrease in interest income of \$0.5 million was attributable to a decline in interest rates and investment income yields due to market conditions and a decrease in total investment balances outstanding.

The year-to-date period over period decrease in interest income of \$2.4 million was attributable to a decline in interest rates and investment yields due to market conditions and a decrease in total investment balances outstanding.

Interest Expense

Interest expense recorded during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 included interest on our Senior Notes and interest associated with borrowings under our revolving line of credit. The decrease in interest expense of \$0.6 million in such quarter was the result of lower average interest rates on our revolving line of credit.

The year-to-date period over period decrease in interest expense of \$3.5 million was attributable to lower average interest rates on our revolving line of credit in fiscal 2010.

On July 14, 2010 we issued \$245 million of Senior Notes in a private placement to a group of institutional investors. The proceeds from these Senior Notes were used to repay the amounts outstanding on our revolving line of credit. Interest expense will increase when compared to that incurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 because the weighted-average interest rate on our new Senior Notes is 5.2% compared to 0.8% on our revolving line of credit. As a result, our quarterly interest expense will increase approximately \$2.7 million and our earnings will decrease approximately \$0.04 per share.

Other Income, Net

Other income, net consists primarily of realized investment gains/losses, exchange rate gains/losses resulting from re-measurement of foreign-denominated receivable and cash balances into the U.S. dollar functional currency at period-end market rates, net of the impact of offsetting forward exchange contracts, and other non-operating items.

Other income, net in the quarter and nine months ended June 30, 2010 was consistent with other income, net in the quarter and nine months ended June 30, 2009, respectively.

Provision for Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate was 32.8% and 36.5% during the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively and 32.7% and 30.5% during the nine months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The provision for income taxes during interim quarterly reporting periods is based on our estimates of the effective tax rates for the respective full fiscal year. The tax rate in any quarter can be affected positively or negatively by adjustments that are required to be reported in the specific quarter of resolution. Our effective tax rate for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, was negatively affected by the delay in the extension of the U.S. federal research and development tax credit. We were unable to recognize this tax credit during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 as legislation providing for reinstatement of this credit has not yet been enacted. The decrease in our effective tax rate in the third quarter of 2010 was due to a higher tax rate in the quarter ended June 30, 2009 from the sale of our RoamEx and LCT product lines. These product line sales included a write-off of goodwill that was not deductible for income tax purposes.

Table of Contents**Operating Income**

The following table sets forth certain summary information on a segment basis related to our operating income for the fiscal periods indicated:

Segment	Quarter Ended June 30,		Period-to-Period Change (In thousands)	Period-to- Period Percentage Change
	2010	2009		
	(In thousands)			
Applications	\$ 23,427	\$ 29,261	\$ (5,834)	(20)%
Scores	30,598	32,390	(1,792)	(6)%
Tools	1,985	1,861	124	7%
Unallocated corporate expenses	(18,397)	(19,614)	1,217	(6)%
Total segment operating income	37,613	43,898	(6,285)	(14)%
Unallocated share-based compensation	(3,853)	(4,694)	841	(18)%
Unallocated amortization expense	(2,683)	(3,219)	536	(17)%
Unallocated restructuring		237	(237)	(100)%
Loss on sale of product line assets		(2,993)	2,993	(100)%
Operating income	\$ 31,077	\$ 33,229	(2,152)	(6)%

Segment	Nine Months Ended June 30,		Period-to-Period Change (In thousands)	Period-to- Period Percentage Change
	2010	2009		
	(In thousands)			
Applications	\$ 69,673	\$ 82,948	\$ (13,275)	(16)%
Scores	85,206	93,315	(8,109)	(9)%
Tools	5,457	6,813	(1,356)	(20)%
Unallocated corporate expenses	(52,412)	(62,504)	10,092	(16)%
Total segment operating income	107,924	120,572	(12,648)	(10)%
Unallocated share-based compensation	(13,235)	(15,342)	2,107	(14)%
Unallocated amortization expense	(8,918)	(9,622)	704	(7)%
Unallocated restructuring		(8,711)	8,711	(100)%
Loss on sale of product line assets		(2,993)	2,993	(100)%
Operating income	\$ 85,771	\$ 83,904	1,867	2%

The quarter over quarter decrease of \$2.2 million in operating income was attributable to a decline in segment revenues partially offset by a decrease in corporate operating expenses, a decrease in share-based compensation

expenses, a decrease in amortization expense and a decrease in loss on sale of product lines assets. At the segment level, the decrease in segment operating income was driven by a decrease of \$5.8 million in segment operating income in our Applications segment and a decrease of \$1.8 million in our Scores segment.

The decrease in our Applications segment was attributable to a decrease in revenue as well as an increase in segment operating expenses, primarily due to increasing salary and benefit expenses and commission expenses.

The decrease in our Scores segment operating income was attributable primarily to an increase in segment operating expenses. Operating expense increased primarily due to data acquisition costs from increasing myFICO business-to-consumer revenues and salary and benefit expenses.

Table of Contents

The decrease in corporate expenses was due to staff reductions and facility consolidations, driven by our reengineering initiative, and a reduction in legal fees.

The year-to-date period over period increase of \$1.8 million in operating income was attributable to a reduction in segment and corporate operating expenses, which was driven by our reengineering initiative, a decrease in restructuring expenses, a decrease in share-based compensation expense and a decrease in loss on sale of product line assets, partially offset by a decline in segment revenues. Under the reengineering initiative, we have reduced operating costs through staff reductions, facility consolidations and restriction of discretionary expenditures. At the segment level, our segment operating income was negatively impacted by a \$13.3 million decrease in our Applications segment, an \$8.1 million decrease in our Scores segment and a \$1.4 million decrease in our Tools segment.

The decrease in our Applications segment operating income was attributable to a decrease in revenue, partially offset by a decrease in operating expenses, which was driven by our reengineering initiative.

The decrease in our Scores segment operating income was attributable primarily to a decline in prescreen revenues and revenues derived from business-to-consumer services.

In our Tools segment, the decrease in segment operating income was primarily attributed to a decrease in Blaze Advisor revenues, partially offset by an increase in FICO Model Builder revenues and lower operating expenses, which was driven by our reengineering initiative.

The decrease in corporate expenses was due to staff reductions and facility consolidations, driven by our reengineering initiative, and a decrease in legal fees.

Discontinued Operations

In March 2009, we recorded a charge of \$0.4 million, net of tax, resulting from the resolution of a final working capital adjustment in favor of the purchaser.

Capital Resources and Liquidity***Cash Flows from Operating Activities***

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$84.0 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2010, compared to \$124.3 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2009. Operating cash flows were impacted by a \$7.9 million increase in accounts receivable, a \$3.5 million increase in accrued compensation and employee benefits, a \$3.5 million increase in deferred revenue and a \$0.6 million increase in other liabilities for the nine months ended June 30, 2010 compared to a \$35.3 million decrease in accounts receivable, a \$0.6 million decrease in accrued compensation and employee benefits, a \$10.4 million decrease in other liabilities and a \$7.2 million increase in deferred revenue for the nine months ended June 30, 2009.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Net cash provided by investing activities totaled \$40.3 million during the nine months ended June 30, 2010, compared to cash used of \$12.2 million in the nine months ended June 30, 2009. The increase in cash flows from investing activities was primarily attributable to \$50.8 million in proceeds from maturities of marketable securities, net of purchases, during the nine months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$3.2 million that was used for purchases of marketable securities, net of proceeds from maturities, during the nine months ended June 30, 2009.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities totaled \$187.2 million in the nine months ended June 30, 2010, compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$20,000 during the nine months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease in cash flows from financing activities was primarily due to the \$137.5 million of cash paid to repurchase of common stock during the nine months ended June 30, 2010 and \$50.0 million in cash paid on our revolving line of credit.

Repurchases of Common Stock

In June 2010, our Board of Directors approved a common stock repurchase program that allows us to purchase shares of our

Table of Contents

common stock up to an aggregate cost of \$250.0 million, which replaced a similar program approved in November 2007. From time to time, we repurchase our common stock in the open market pursuant to this program. During the three and nine months ended June 30, 2010, we repurchased 3.6 million shares of our common stock for \$82.0 million and 6.2 million shares of common stock for \$139.5 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2010, we had \$233.2 million remaining under this authorization.

Dividends

During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, we paid a quarterly dividend of two cents per common share, which is representative of the eight cents per year dividend we have paid in recent years. Our dividend rate is set by the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis taking into account a variety of factors, including among others, our operating results and cash flows, general economic and industry conditions, our obligations, changes in applicable tax laws and other factors deemed relevant by the Board. Although we expect to continue to pay dividends at the current rate, our dividend rate is subject to change from time to time based on the Board's business judgment with respect to these and other relevant factors.

Revolving Line of Credit

We have a \$600 million unsecured revolving line of credit with a syndicate of banks that expires in October 2011. Proceeds from the revolving line of credit can be used for working capital and general corporate purposes and may also be used for the refinancing of existing debt, acquisitions, and the repurchase of the Company's common stock. Interest on amounts borrowed under the revolving line of credit is based on (i) a base rate, which is the greater of (a) the prime rate and (b) the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50% or (ii) LIBOR plus an applicable margin. The margin on LIBOR borrowings ranges from 0.30% to 0.55% and is determined based on our consolidated leverage ratio. In addition, we must pay utilization fees if borrowings and commitments under the revolving line of credit exceed 50% of the total commitment, as well as facility fees. The revolving line of credit contains certain restrictive covenants, including maintenance of consolidated leverage and fixed charge coverage ratios. The revolving line of credit also contains covenants typical of unsecured facilities. As of June 30, 2010, we were in compliance with all covenants under the revolving line of credit and we had \$245.0 million of borrowings outstanding at an interest rate of 0.8%. On July 14, 2010, we repaid all outstanding obligations under the revolving line of credit using proceeds from the issuance of \$245 million of Senior Notes in a private placement to a group of institutional investors. These Senior Notes have a weighted-average interest rate of 5.2% and a weighted-average maturity of 8 years.

Senior Notes

In May 2008, we issued \$275 million of Senior Notes in a private placement to a group of institutional investors. The Senior Notes were issued in four series with maturities ranging from 5 to 10 years. The Senior Notes weighted average interest rate is 6.8% and the weighted average maturity is 7.9 years. The Senior Notes are subject to certain restrictive covenants that are substantially similar to those in the credit agreement for the revolving line of credit including maintenance of consolidated leverage and fixed charge coverage ratios. The purchase agreement for the Senior Notes also includes covenants typical of unsecured facilities. As of June 30, 2010 we were in compliance with all covenants related to the Senior Notes.

Capital Resources and Liquidity Outlook

As of June 30, 2010, we had \$260.8 million in cash, cash equivalents and marketable security investments. We believe that these balances, as well as available borrowings from our \$600 million revolving line of credit and anticipated cash flows from operating activities, will be sufficient to fund our working and other capital requirements and any scheduled repayments of existing debt over the course of the next twelve months. Under our current financing arrangements we have no significant debt obligations maturing until May 2013. In the normal course of business, we evaluate the merits of acquiring technology or businesses, or establishing strategic relationships with or investing in these businesses. We may elect to use available cash and cash equivalents and marketable security investments to fund such activities in the future. In the event additional needs for cash arise we may raise additional funds from a combination of sources, including the potential issuance of debt or equity securities. Additional financing might not be available on terms favorable to us, or at all. If adequate funds were not available or were not available on acceptable terms, our ability to take advantage of unanticipated opportunities or respond to competitive pressures could be limited.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources.

Table of Contents**Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. These accounting principles require management to make certain judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We periodically evaluate our estimates including those relating to revenue recognition, the allowance for doubtful accounts, goodwill and other intangible assets resulting from business acquisitions, income taxes and contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable based on the specific circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

We believe the following critical accounting policies involve the most significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition***Software Licenses***

Software license fee revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery of the product has occurred at our customer's location, the fee is fixed or determinable and collection is probable. The determination of whether fees are fixed or determinable and collection is probable involves the use of assumptions. If at the outset of an arrangement we determine that the arrangement fee is not fixed or determinable, revenue is deferred until the arrangement fee becomes fixed or determinable, assuming all other revenue recognition criteria have been met. If at the outset of an arrangement we determine that collectability is not probable, revenue is deferred until the earlier of when collectability becomes probable or the receipt of payment. If there is uncertainty as to the customer's acceptance of our deliverables, revenue is not recognized until the earlier of receipt of customer acceptance, expiration of the acceptance period, or when we can demonstrate we meet the acceptance criteria. We evaluate contract terms and customer information to ensure that these criteria are met prior to our recognition of license fee revenue.

We use the residual method to recognize revenue when an arrangement includes one or more elements to be delivered at a future date and vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) of the fair value of all undelivered elements exists. VSOE of fair value is based on the normal pricing practices for those products and services when sold separately by us and customer renewal rates for post-contract customer support services. Under the residual method, the fair value of the undelivered elements is deferred and the remaining portion of the arrangement fee is recognized as revenue. If evidence of the fair value of one or more undelivered elements does not exist, the revenue is deferred and recognized when delivery of those elements occurs or when fair value can be established. Changes to the elements in a software arrangement, the ability to identify VSOE for those elements, the fair value of the respective elements, and change to a product's estimated life cycle could materially impact the amount of earned and unearned revenue.

When software licenses are sold together with implementation or consulting services, license fees are recognized upon delivery provided that the above criteria are met, payment of the license fees is not dependent upon the performance of the services, and the services do not provide significant customization or modification of the software products and are not essential to the functionality of the software that was delivered. For arrangements with services that are essential to the functionality of the software, the license and related service revenues are recognized using contract accounting as described below.

Revenues from post-contract customer support services, such as software maintenance, are recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the support period. The majority of our software maintenance agreements provide technical support as well as unspecified software product upgrades and releases when and if made available by us during the term of the support period.

Transactional-based Revenues

Transactional-based revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, fees are fixed or determinable, and collection is reasonably assured. Revenues from our credit scoring, data processing, data management and internet delivery services are recognized as these services are performed. Revenues from transactional or unit-based license fees under software license arrangements, network service and internally-hosted

software agreements are recognized based on minimum contractual amounts or on system usage that exceeds minimum contractual amounts. Certain of our transactional-based revenues are based on transaction or active account volumes as reported by our clients. In instances where volumes are reported to us in arrears, we estimate volumes based on preliminary customer transaction information or average actual reported volumes for an immediate trailing period. Differences between our estimates and actual final volumes reported are recorded in the period in which actual volumes are reported. We have not experienced significant variances between our estimates and actual reported volumes in the past and anticipate that we will be able to continue to make reasonable estimates in the future. If for some reason we were unable to reasonably estimate

Table of Contents

transaction volumes in the future, revenue may be deferred until actual customer data is received, and this could have a material impact on our results of operations during the period of time that we changed accounting methods.

Consulting Services

We provide consulting, training, model development and software integration services under both hourly-based time and materials and fixed-priced contracts. Revenues from these services are generally recognized as the services are performed. For fixed-price service contracts, we apply the percentage-of-completion method of contract accounting to determine progress towards completion, which requires the use of estimates. In such instances, management is required to estimate the input measures, generally based on hours incurred to date compared to total estimated hours of the project, with consideration also given to output measures, such as contract milestones, when applicable. Adjustments to estimates are made in the period in which the facts requiring such revisions become known and, accordingly, recognized revenues and profits are subject to revisions as the contract progresses to completion. Estimated losses, if any, are recorded in the period in which current estimates of total contract revenue and contract costs indicate a loss. If substantive uncertainty related to customer acceptance of services exists, we apply the completed contract method of accounting and defer the associated revenue until the contract is completed. If we are unable to accurately estimate the input measures used for percentage-of-completion accounting, revenue would be deferred until the contract is complete, and this could have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations.

Hosting Services

We sell hosting services (ASP) where a customer may access the software that resides on our servers. The ASP model typically includes an up-front fee and a monthly commitment from the customer that commences upon completion of the implementation through the remainder of the contractual term. The up-front fee is the initial setup fee, or the implementation fee. The monthly commitment includes, but is not limited to, a fixed monthly fee or a transactional fee based on system usage that exceeds monthly minimums. Revenue is recognized from ASP when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the service has been provided to the customer, the amount of fees is fixed or determinable and the collection of the Company's fees is probable. We do not view the activities of signing the contract or providing initial setup services as discrete earnings events. Revenue is deferred until the date the customer commences use of our services at which point the up-front fees are recognized ratably over the contractual term of the customer arrangement. ASP transactional fees are recorded monthly as earned.

Non-Software Multiple-Deliverable Arrangements

Each deliverable within a multiple-deliverable revenue arrangement is accounted for as a separate unit of accounting if the following criteria are met: (i) the delivered item or items have value to the customer on a standalone basis and (ii) for an arrangement that includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item(s), delivery or performance of the undelivered item(s) is considered probable and substantially in our control. We consider a deliverable to have standalone value if we sell this item separately or if the item is sold by another vendor or could be resold by the customer. Further, our revenue arrangements generally do not include a general right of return relative to delivered products. Revenue for multiple element arrangements is allocated to the software and non-software deliverables based on a relative selling price. We use VSOE in our allocation of arrangement consideration when it is available. We define VSOE as a median price of recent standalone transactions that are priced within a narrow range, as defined by us. If a product or service is seldom sold separately, it is unlikely that we can determine VSOE. In circumstances when VSOE does not exist, we then assess whether we can obtain third-party evidence (TPE) of the selling price. It may be difficult for us to obtain sufficient information on competitor pricing to substantiate TPE and therefore we may not always be able to use TPE. When we are unable to establish selling price using VSOE or TPE, we use estimated selling price (ESP) in its allocation of arrangement consideration. The objective of ESP is to determine the price at which we would transact if the product or service were sold by us on a standalone basis. Our determination of ESP involves weighting several factors based on the specific facts and circumstances of each arrangement. The factors include, but are not limited to, geographies, market conditions, gross margin objectives, pricing practices and controls and customer segment pricing strategies and the product lifecycle. We analyze selling prices used in our allocation of arrangement consideration on an annual basis, or more frequently if necessary. Selling prices will be analyzed more frequently if a significant change in our business necessitates a more timely analysis or if we experience significant variances in our selling prices.

Gross vs. Net Revenue Reporting

We apply accounting guidance to determine whether we report revenue for certain transactions based upon the gross amount billed to the customer, or the net amount retained by us. In accordance with the guidance we record revenue on a gross basis for sales in which we have acted as the principal and on a net basis for those sales in which we have in substance acted as an agent or broker in the transaction.

Table of Contents***Allowance for Doubtful Accounts***

We make estimates regarding the collectability of our accounts receivable. When we evaluate the adequacy of our allowance for doubtful accounts, we analyze specific accounts receivable balances, historical bad debts, customer creditworthiness, current economic trends and changes in our customer payment cycles. Material differences may result in the amount and timing of expense for any period if we were to make different judgments or utilize different estimates. If the financial condition of our customers deteriorates resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances might be required.

Business Acquisitions; Valuation of Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Our business acquisitions typically result in the recognition of goodwill and other intangible assets, which affect the amount of current and future period charges and amortization expense. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired, including identified intangible assets, in connection with our business combinations accounted for by the purchase method of accounting. We amortize our definite-lived intangible assets based on forecasted cash flows associated with the assets over the estimated useful lives. Goodwill is not amortized, but is assessed at least annually for impairment.

The determination of the value of these components of a business combination, as well as associated asset useful lives, requires management to make various estimates and assumptions. Critical estimates in valuing certain of the intangible assets include but are not limited to: future expected cash flows from product sales and services, maintenance agreements, consulting contracts, customer contracts, and acquired developed technologies and patents or trademarks; the acquired company's brand awareness and market position, as well as assumptions about the period of time the acquired products and services will continue to be used in our product portfolio; and discount rates. Management's estimates of fair value and useful lives are based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable, but which are inherently uncertain and unpredictable. Unanticipated events and circumstances may occur and assumptions may change. Estimates using different assumptions could also produce significantly different results.

We continually review the events and circumstances related to our financial performance and economic environment for factors that would provide evidence of the impairment of our intangible assets. When impairment indicators are identified with respect to our previously recorded intangible assets with finite useful lives, we test for impairment using undiscounted cash flows. If such tests indicate impairment, then we measure the impairment as the difference between the carrying value of the asset and the fair value of the asset, which is measured using discounted cash flows. Indefinite-lived intangible assets are assessed annually for impairment by comparing the fair value of such intangible assets, measured using discounted cash flows, to the respective fair value. To the extent the fair value is less than the associated carrying value, impairment is recorded. Significant management judgment is required in forecasting of future operating results, which are used in the preparation of the projected discounted cash flows and should different conditions prevail, material write downs of net intangible assets and other long-lived assets could occur. We periodically review the estimated remaining useful lives of our acquired intangible assets. A reduction in our estimate of remaining useful lives, if any, could result in increased amortization expense in future periods.

We test goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level at least annually during the fourth quarter of each fiscal year and more frequently if impairment indicators are identified. We have determined that our reporting units are the same as our reportable segments. The first step of the goodwill impairment test is a comparison of the fair value of a reporting unit to its carrying value. We estimate the fair values of our reporting units using discounted cash flow valuation models and by comparing our reporting units to guideline publicly-traded companies. These methods require estimates of our future revenues, profits, capital expenditures, working capital, and other relevant factors, as well as selecting appropriate guideline publicly-traded companies for each reporting unit. We estimate these amounts by evaluating historical trends, current budgets, operating plans, industry data, and other relevant factors. The estimated fair value of each of our reporting units exceeded its respective carrying value as of our last testing date on July 1, 2009, indicating the underlying goodwill of each reporting unit was not impaired. Accordingly, we were not required to complete the second step of the goodwill impairment test. The timing and frequency of our goodwill impairment test is based on an ongoing assessment of events and circumstances that would more than likely reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value. There are various assumptions and estimates underlying the determination of an impairment loss, and estimates using different, but each reasonable, assumptions could produce significantly

different results and materially affect the determination of fair value and/or goodwill impairment for each reporting unit. We believe that the assumptions and estimates utilized were appropriate based on the information available to management. The timing and recognition of impairment losses by us in the future, if any, may be highly dependent upon our estimates and assumptions.

Due to ongoing uncertainty in economic conditions and weakness in financial credit markets, which have adversely affected the fair value of our reporting units, we will continue to carefully monitor and evaluate the carrying value of goodwill. We had \$660.8 million of goodwill recorded on our consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2010. As of the most recent testing date (July 1, 2009),

Table of Contents

the fair value of our reporting units (as configured at that time) exceeded their respective carrying values by between \$20 million and \$329 million. However, if difficult market and economic conditions continue over a sustained period, we may experience a further decline in the fair value of one or more of our reporting units as compared to fiscal 2009 year-end levels. Such further declines in fair value may require us to record an impairment charge related to goodwill.

Share-Based Compensation

We account for share-based compensation using the fair value recognition provisions as required in the accounting literature. We estimate the fair value of options granted using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. We estimate the volatility of our common stock at the date of grant based on a combination of the implied volatility of publicly traded options on our common stock and our historical volatility rate. Our decision to use implied volatility was based upon the availability of actively traded options on our common stock and our assessment that implied volatility is more representative of future stock price trends than historical volatility. We estimate the expected term of options granted based on historical exercise patterns. The dividend yield assumption is based on historical dividend payouts. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based on observed interest rates appropriate for the term of our employee options. We use historical data to estimate pre-vesting option forfeitures and record share-based compensation expense only for those awards that are expected to vest. For options granted, we amortize the fair value on a straight-line basis. All options are amortized over the requisite service periods of the awards, which are generally the vesting periods. If factors change we may decide to use different assumptions under the Black-Scholes option valuation model in the future, which could materially affect our share-based compensation expense, net income and earnings per share.

Income Taxes

We use the asset and liability approach to account for income taxes. This methodology recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax base of assets and liabilities and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. We then record a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to an amount that more likely than not will be realized. We consider future taxable income and ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in assessing the need for the valuation allowance, which requires the use of estimates. If we determine during any period that we could realize a larger net deferred tax asset than the recorded amount, we would adjust the deferred tax asset to increase income for the period or reduce goodwill if such deferred tax asset relates to an acquisition. Conversely, if we determine that we would be unable to realize a portion of our recorded deferred tax asset, we would adjust the deferred tax asset to record a charge to income. To the extent an adjustment in our deferred tax assets relates to a business combination the adjustment is recorded either in income from continuing operations in the period of the combination or directly in contributed capital, depending on the circumstances. Although we believe that our estimates are reasonable, there is no assurance that our valuation allowance will not need to be increased to cover additional deferred tax assets that may not be realizable, and such an increase could have a material adverse impact on our income tax provision and results of operations in the period in which such determination is made. In addition, the calculation of tax liabilities also involves significant judgment in estimating the impact of uncertainties in the application of complex tax laws. Resolution of these uncertainties in a manner inconsistent with management's expectations could also have a material impact on our income tax provision and results of operations in the period in which such determination is made.

We adopted accounting guidance related to the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes on October 1, 2007. The cumulative effect of the change did not result in an adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings. Following implementation, the ongoing recognition of changes in measurement of uncertain tax positions will be reflected as a component of income tax expense.

Contingencies and Litigation

We are subject to various proceedings, lawsuits and claims relating to products and services, technology, labor, shareholder and other matters. We are required to assess the likelihood of any adverse outcomes and the potential range of probable losses in these matters. If the potential loss is considered probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated, we accrue a liability for the estimated loss. If the potential loss is considered less than probable or the amount cannot be reasonably estimated, disclosure of the matter is considered. The amount of loss accrual or

disclosure, if any, is determined after analysis of each matter, and is subject to adjustment if warranted by new developments or revised strategies. Due to uncertainties related to these matters, accruals or disclosures are based on the best information available at the time. Significant judgment is required in both the assessment of likelihood and in the determination of a range of potential losses. Revisions in the estimates of the potential liabilities could have a material impact on our consolidated financial position or consolidated results of operations.

Table of Contents**New Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted**

In June 2009, the FASB issued new accounting guidance related to the consolidation of variable interest entities. The guidance requires revised evaluations of whether entities represent variable interest entities, ongoing assessments of control over such entities, and additional disclosures for variable interests. We are in the process of determining what effect, if any, the adoption of this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**Market Risk Disclosures**

We are exposed to market risk related to changes in interest rates, equity market prices, and foreign currency exchange rates. We do not use derivative financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes.

Interest Rate Risk

We maintain an investment portfolio consisting mainly of income securities with an average maturity of three years or less. These available-for-sale securities are subject to interest rate risk and will fall in value if market interest rates increase. We have the ability to hold our fixed income investments until maturity, and therefore we would not expect our operating results or cash flows to be affected to any significant degree by the effect of a sudden change in market interest rates on our securities portfolio. The following table presents the principal amounts and related weighted-average yields for our investments with interest rate risk at June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009:

	June 30, 2010			September 30, 2009		
	Cost Basis	Carrying Amount	Average Yield	Cost Basis	Carrying Amount	Average Yield
(Dollars in thousands)						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 112,612	\$ 112,612	0.08%	\$ 178,157	\$ 178,157	0.12%
Short-term investments	129,079	129,173	0.98%	139,149	139,673	1.26%
Long-term investments	15,015	15,084	1.13%	57,437	57,611	1.44%
	\$ 256,706	\$ 256,869	0.59%	\$ 374,743	\$ 375,441	0.75%

In May 2008, we issued \$275 million of Senior Notes to a group of institutional investors in a private placement. The fair value of our Senior Notes may increase or decrease due to various factors, including fluctuations in market interest rates and fluctuations in general economic conditions. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Capital Resources and Liquidity, above, for additional information on the Senior Notes. The following table presents the principal amounts, carrying amounts, and fair values for our Senior Notes at June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009:

	June 30, 2010			September 30, 2009		
	Principal	Carrying Amounts	Fair Value	Principal	Carrying Amounts	Fair Value
(In thousands)						
Senior Notes	\$275,000	\$275,000	\$313,325	\$275,000	\$275,000	\$301,295

We have interest rate risk with respect to our five-year \$600 million unsecured revolving line of credit. Interest on amounts borrowed under the revolving line of credit is based on (i) a base rate, which is the greater of (a) the prime rate and (b) the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50% or (ii) LIBOR plus an applicable margin. The margin on LIBOR borrowings ranges from 0.30% to 0.55% and is determined based on our consolidated leverage ratio. A change in interest rates on this variable rate debt impacts the interest incurred and cash flows, but does not impact the fair value of the instrument. We had \$245 million of borrowings outstanding on this facility as of June 30, 2010 and \$295 million of borrowings outstanding on September 30, 2009. On July 14, 2010 we issued \$245 million of Senior Notes in a private placement to a group of institutional investors. The Notes include a weighted average interest rate of 5.2% and a weighted average maturity of 8 years. Proceeds from the Notes were used to repay the entire balance

outstanding on our revolving line of credit.

Table of Contents**Forward Foreign Currency Contracts**

We maintain a program to manage our foreign currency exchange rate risk on existing foreign currency receivable and bank balances by entering into forward contracts to sell or buy foreign currency. At period end, foreign-denominated receivables and cash balances are remeasured into the U.S. dollar functional currency at current market rates. The change in value from this remeasurement is then reported as a foreign exchange gain or loss for that period in our accompanying consolidated statements of income and the resulting gain or loss on the forward contract mitigates the exchange rate risk of the associated assets. All of our forward foreign currency contracts have maturity periods of less than three months. Such derivative financial instruments are subject to market risk.

The following table summarizes our outstanding forward foreign currency contracts, by currency at June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009:

	June 30, 2010		Fair Value
	Contract Amount		
	Foreign Currency	US\$	US\$
	(In thousands)		
Sell foreign currency:			
Canadian dollar (CAD)	CAD 1,125	\$ 1,065	\$
Euro (EUR)	EUR 5,680	6,967	
Buy foreign currency:			
British pound (GBP)	GBP 3,473	5,200	

	September 30, 2009		Fair Value
	Contract Amount		
	Foreign Currency	US\$	US\$
	(In thousands)		
Sell foreign currency:			
Canadian dollar (CAD)	CAD 1,100	\$ 1,022	\$
Euro (EUR)	EUR 6,100	8,908	
Japanese yen (JPY)	JPY 61,000	679	
Buy foreign currency:			
British pound (GBP)	GBP 2,866	4,600	

The forward foreign currency contracts were all entered into on June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, respectively; therefore, the fair value was \$0 on that date.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures***Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures***

An evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of FICO's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of FICO's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that FICO's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by FICO in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer to

allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Table of Contents***Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting***

No change in FICO's internal control over financial reporting was identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 13a-15(d) of the Exchange Act that occurred during the period covered by this quarterly report and that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

On October 11, 2006, we filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota captioned Fair Isaac Corporation and myFICO Consumer Services Inc. v. Equifax Inc., Equifax Information Services LLC, Experian Information Solutions, Inc., TransUnion LLC, VantageScore Solutions LLC, and Does I through X. The lawsuit related in part to the development, marketing, and distribution of VantageScore, a credit score product developed by VantageScore Solutions LLC, which is jointly owned by the three national credit reporting companies. We alleged in the lawsuit violations of antitrust laws, unfair competitive practices and false advertising, trademark infringement, and breach of contract. We sought injunctive relief and compensatory damages. On June 6, 2008, we entered into a settlement agreement with Equifax Inc. and Equifax Information Services LLC, and on June 13, 2008, Equifax Inc. and Equifax Information Services LLC were formally dismissed from this lawsuit. On February 9, 2009, the Court granted our motions to strike counterclaims the remaining defendants had attempted to bring against us in the case, allowing them to assert only a counterclaim for trademark cancellation. On July 24, 2009, the Court issued a summary judgment order, which limited the claims to be tried. The Court dismissed our antitrust, contract, and certain false advertising claims. The Court allowed our trademark infringement, unfair competition, and passing off claims to proceed to trial. After a three-week trial on these claims, the jury ruled in the defendants' favor on November 20, 2009. We filed post-trial motions to address issues in the trial, and the defendants filed post-trial motions seeking payment of certain attorneys' fees and costs. On May 10, 2010, the Court issued a ruling denying our post-trial motions and substantially denying defendants' motions for attorneys' fees and costs (other than an award to TransUnion LLC for certain fees associated with our contract claims). On May 17, 2010, we entered into a settlement agreement with TransUnion LLC pursuant to which, among many other terms, TransUnion LLC released all claims to the fee award and was dismissed from the lawsuit. On June 4, 2010, we filed a notice of appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit setting forth the issues on which we are appealing the results from the district court, including the dismissal of our antitrust claims and certain rulings fundamental to our trademark and false advertising claims. On June 16, 2010, the remaining defendants, Experian Information Solutions, Inc. and VantageScore Solutions LLC, filed a notice of appeal regarding the denial of their motions for attorneys' fees. Briefing on the appeals is expected to be complete in December 2010, and rulings are expected in mid-2011.

Item 1A. Risk Factors***Risks Related to Our Business***

We have expanded the pursuit of our Decision Management strategy, and we may not be successful, which could cause our growth prospects and results of operations to suffer.

We have expanded the pursuit of our business objective to become a leader in helping businesses automate and improve decisions across their enterprises, an approach that we commonly refer to as Decision Management, or DM. Our DM strategy is designed to enable us to increase our business by selling multiple products to clients, as well as to enable the development of custom client solutions that may lead to opportunities to develop new proprietary scores or other new proprietary products. The market may be unreceptive to this general DM business approach, including being unreceptive to purchasing multiple products from us or unreceptive to our customized solutions. If our DM strategy is not successful, we may not be able to grow our business, growth may occur more slowly than we anticipate or our revenues and profits may decline.

We derive a substantial portion of our revenues from a small number of products and services, and if the market does not continue to accept these products and services, our revenues will decline.

As we implement our DM strategy, we expect that revenues derived from our scoring solutions, account management solutions, fraud solutions, originations and collections and recovery solutions will continue to account for a substantial portion of our total revenues for the foreseeable future. Our revenues will decline if the market does not continue to accept these products and services. Factors that might affect the market acceptance of these products

and services include the following:

changes in the business analytics industry;

36

Table of Contents

changes in technology;

our inability to obtain or use key data for our products;

saturation or contraction of market demand;

loss of key customers;

industry consolidation;

failure to execute our selling approach; and

inability to successfully sell our products in new vertical markets.

If we are unable to access new markets or develop new distribution channels, our business and growth prospects could suffer.

We expect that part of the growth that we seek to achieve through our DM strategy will be derived from the sale of DM products and service solutions in industries and markets we do not currently serve. We also expect to grow our business by delivering our DM solutions through additional distribution channels. If we fail to penetrate these industries and markets to the degree we anticipate utilizing our DM strategy, or if we fail to develop additional distribution channels, we may not be able to grow our business, growth may occur more slowly than we anticipate or our revenues and profits may decline.

If we are unable to develop successful new products or if we experience defects, failures and delays associated with the introduction of new products, our business could suffer serious harm.

Our growth and the success of our DM strategy depend upon our ability to develop and sell new products or suites of products. If we are unable to develop new products, or if we are not successful in introducing new products, we may not be able to grow our business, or growth may occur more slowly than we anticipate. In addition, significant undetected errors or delays in new products or new versions of products may affect market acceptance of our products and could harm our business, financial condition or results of operations. In the past, we have experienced delays while developing and introducing new products and product enhancements, primarily due to difficulties developing models, acquiring data and adapting to particular operating environments. We have also experienced errors or bugs in our software products, despite testing prior to release of the products. Software errors in our products could affect the ability of our products to work with other hardware or software products, could delay the development or release of new products or new versions of products and could adversely affect market acceptance of our products. Errors or defects in our products that are significant, or are perceived to be significant, could result in rejection of our products, damage to our reputation, loss of revenues, diversion of development resources, an increase in product liability claims, and increases in service and support costs and warranty claims.

We rely on relatively few customers, as well as our contracts with the three major credit reporting agencies, for a significant portion of our revenues and profits. Certain of our large customers have been negatively impacted by the recent financial crisis. If these customers continue to be negatively impacted, or if the terms of these relationships otherwise change, our revenues and operating results could decline.

Most of our customers are relatively large enterprises, such as banks, credit card processors, insurance companies, healthcare firms and retailers. As a result, many of our customers and potential customers are significantly larger than we are and may have sufficient bargaining power to demand reduced prices and favorable nonstandard terms.

In addition, since mid-2007, global financial markets have suffered substantial stress, volatility, illiquidity and disruption. These forces reached unprecedented levels in the fall of 2008, resulting in the bankruptcy or acquisition of, or government assistance to, several major domestic and international financial institutions which are customers of our company. The potential for increased and continuing economic disruption presents considerable risks to our business, including potential bankruptcies or credit deterioration of financial institutions with which we have substantial relationships. Further deterioration or a continuation of the market conditions experienced since the fall of 2008 is

likely to lead to a continued decline in the volume of transactions that we execute for our customers.

We also derive a substantial portion of our revenues and operating income from our contracts with the three major credit reporting agencies, TransUnion, Equifax and Experian, and other parties that distribute our products to certain markets. We are also currently involved in litigation with Experian arising from their development and marketing of credit scoring products competitive with our products. We have asserted various claims, including unfair competition, antitrust, and breach of contract against this credit reporting agency and its joint venture entity, VantageScore, LLC. This litigation could have a material adverse effect on our relationship with one or more of the major credit reporting agencies, or with major customers.

Table of Contents

The loss of or a significant change in a relationship with a major customer, the loss of or a significant change in a relationship with one of the major credit reporting agencies with respect to their distribution of our products or with respect to our myFICO® offerings, the loss of or a significant change in a relationship with a significant third-party distributor or the delay of significant revenues from these sources, could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and results of operations.

We rely on relationships with third parties for marketing, distribution and certain services. If we experience difficulties in these relationships, our future revenues may be adversely affected.

Most of our products rely on distributors, and we intend to continue to market and distribute our products through existing and future distributor relationships. Our Scores segment relies on, among others, TransUnion, Equifax and Experian. Failure of our existing and future distributors to generate significant revenues, demands by such distributors to change the terms on which they offer our products or our failure to establish additional distribution or sales and marketing alliances could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. In addition, certain of our distributors presently compete with us and may compete with us in the future either by developing competitive products themselves or by distributing competitive offerings. For example, TransUnion, Equifax and Experian have developed a credit scoring product to compete directly with our products and are collectively attempting to sell the product. Competition from distributors or other sales and marketing partners could significantly harm sales of our products and services.

If we do not engage in acquisition activity to the extent we have in the past, we may be unable to increase our revenues at historical growth rates.

Our historical revenue growth has been augmented by numerous acquisitions, and we anticipate that acquisitions may continue to be an important part of our revenue growth. Our future revenue growth rate may decline if we do not make acquisitions of similar size and at a comparable rate as in the past.

If we engage in acquisitions, significant investments in new businesses, or divestitures of existing businesses, we will incur a variety of risks, any of which may adversely affect our business.

We have made in the past, and may make in the future, acquisitions of, or significant investments in, businesses that offer complementary products, services and technologies. Any acquisitions or investments will be accompanied by the risks commonly encountered in acquisitions of businesses, which may include:

failure to achieve the financial and strategic goals for the acquired and combined business;

overpayment for the acquired companies or assets;

difficulty assimilating the operations and personnel of the acquired businesses;

product liability and other exposure associated with acquired businesses or the sale of their products;

disruption of our ongoing business;

dilution of our existing stockholders and earnings per share;

unanticipated liabilities, legal risks and costs;

retention of key personnel;

distraction of management from our ongoing business; and

impairment of relationships with employees and customers as a result of integration of new management personnel.

We have also divested ourselves of businesses in the past and may do so again in the future. Any divestitures will be accompanied by the risks commonly encountered in the sale of businesses, which may include:

disruption of our ongoing business;

reductions of our revenues or earnings per share;

unanticipated liabilities, legal risks and costs;

the potential loss of key personnel;

distraction of management from our ongoing business; and

impairment of relationships with employees and customers as a result of migrating a business to new owners.

These risks could harm our business, financial condition or results of operations, particularly if they occur in the context of a significant acquisition. Acquisitions of businesses having a significant presence outside the U.S. will increase our exposure to the risks of conducting operations in international markets.

Table of Contents

Our reengineering initiative may not be successful which could cause our growth prospects and profitability to suffer.

As part of our management approach, we implemented a reengineering initiative designed to grow revenues through strategic resource allocation and improve profitability through cost reductions. Periodically, implementation of our reengineering initiative may reduce our revenues as a result of our exit from non-strategic product lines. Our reengineering initiative may not be successful as a result of our failure to reduce expenses at the anticipated level, our inability to exit all non-strategic product lines included in the initiative, or a lower, or no, positive impact on revenues from strategic resource allocation. If our reengineering initiative is not successful, our revenues, results of operations and business may suffer.

The occurrence of certain negative events may cause fluctuations in our stock price.

The market price of our common stock may be volatile and could be subject to wide fluctuations due to a number of factors, including variations in our revenues and operating results. We believe that you should not rely on period-to-period comparisons of financial results as an indication of future performance. Because many of our operating expenses are fixed and will not be affected by short-term fluctuations in revenues, short-term fluctuations in revenues may significantly impact operating results. Additional factors that may cause our stock price to fluctuate include the following:

variability in demand from our existing customers;

failure to meet the expectations of market analysts;

changes in recommendations by market analysts;

the lengthy and variable sales cycle of many products, combined with the relatively large size of orders for our products, increases the likelihood of short-term fluctuation in revenues;

consumer dissatisfaction with, or problems caused by, the performance of our products;

the timing of new product announcements and introductions in comparison with our competitors;

the level of our operating expenses;

changes in competitive and other conditions in the consumer credit, banking and insurance industries;

fluctuations in domestic and international economic conditions, including a continuation of the substantial disruption currently being experienced by the global financial markets;

our ability to complete large installations on schedule and within budget;

acquisition-related expenses and charges; and

timing of orders for and deliveries of software systems.

In addition, the financial markets have experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have particularly affected the stock prices of many technology companies and financial services companies, and these fluctuations sometimes have been unrelated to the operating performance of these companies. Broad market fluctuations, as well as industry-specific and general economic conditions may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Due to ongoing uncertainty in economic conditions and weakness in financial credit markets, the fair value of our businesses has declined. If difficult market and economic conditions continue over a sustained period, we may experience a further decline in the fair value of one or more of our businesses from fiscal 2009 year-end levels. Such further declines in fair value may require us to record an impairment charge related to goodwill, which could

adversely affect our results of operations, stock price and business.

Our products have long and variable sales cycles. If we do not accurately predict these cycles, we may not forecast our financial results accurately, and our stock price could be adversely affected.

We experience difficulty in forecasting our revenues accurately because the length of our sales cycles makes it difficult for us to predict the quarter in which sales will occur. In addition, our selling approach is complex because it emphasizes the sale of complete DM solutions involving multiple products or services across our customers organizations. This makes forecasting of revenues in any given period more difficult. As a result of our sales approach and lengthening sales cycles, revenues and operating results may vary significantly from period to period. For example, the sales cycle for licensing our products typically ranges from 60 days to 18 months. Customers are often cautious in making decisions to acquire our products, because purchasing our products typically involves a significant commitment of capital, and may involve shifts by the customer to a new software and/or hardware platform or changes in the customer's operational procedures. Since our DM strategy contemplates the sale of multiple decision solutions to a customer, expenditures by any given customer are expected to be larger than with our prior sales approach. This may cause customers, particularly those experiencing financial stress, to make purchasing decisions more cautiously. Delays in completing sales can arise while customers complete their internal procedures to approve large capital expenditures and test and accept our applications.

Table of Contents

Consequently, we face difficulty predicting the quarter in which sales to expected customers will occur and experience fluctuations in our revenues and operating results. If we are unable to accurately forecast our revenues, our stock price could be adversely affected.

We typically have revenue-generating transactions concentrated in the final weeks of a quarter, which may prevent accurate forecasting of our financial results and cause our stock price to decline.

Large portions of our software license agreements are consummated in the weeks immediately preceding quarter end. Before these agreements are consummated, we create and rely on forecasted revenues for planning, modeling and earnings guidance. Forecasts, however, are only estimates and actual results may vary for a particular quarter or longer periods of time. Consequently, significant discrepancies between actual and forecasted results could limit our ability to plan, budget or provide accurate guidance, which could adversely affect our stock price. Any publicly-stated revenue or earnings projections are subject to this risk.

The failure to recruit and retain additional qualified personnel could hinder our ability to successfully manage our business.

Our DM strategy and our future success will depend in large part on our ability to attract and retain experienced sales, consulting, research and development, marketing, technical support and management personnel. The complexity of our products requires highly trained customer service and technical support personnel to assist customers with product installation and deployment. The labor market for these individuals is very competitive due to the limited number of people available with the necessary technical skills and understanding and may become more competitive with general market and economic improvement. We cannot be certain that our compensation strategies will be perceived as competitive by current or prospective employees. This could impair our ability to recruit and retain personnel. We have experienced difficulty in recruiting qualified personnel, especially technical, sales and consulting personnel, and we may need additional staff to support new customers and/or increased customer needs. We may also recruit skilled technical professionals from other countries to work in the United States. Limitations imposed by immigration laws in the United States and abroad and the availability of visas in the countries where we do business could hinder our ability to attract necessary qualified personnel and harm our business and future operating results. There is a risk that even if we invest significant resources in attempting to attract, train and retain qualified personnel, we will not succeed in our efforts, and our business could be harmed. The failure of the value of our stock to appreciate may adversely affect our ability to use equity and equity based incentive plans to attract and retain personnel, and may require us to use alternative and more expensive forms of compensation for this purpose.

The failure to obtain certain forms of model construction data from our customers or others could harm our business.

We must develop or obtain a reliable source of sufficient amounts of current and statistically relevant data to analyze transactions and update our products. In most cases, these data must be periodically updated and refreshed to enable our products to continue to work effectively in a changing environment. We do not own or control much of the data that we require, most of which is collected privately and maintained in proprietary databases. Customers and key business alliances provide us with the data we require to analyze transactions, report results and build new models. Our DM strategy depends in part upon our ability to access new forms of data to develop custom and proprietary analytic tools. If we fail to maintain sufficient data sourcing relationships with our customers and business alliances, or if they decline to provide such data due to legal privacy concerns, competition concerns, prohibitions or a lack of permission from their customers, we could lose access to required data and our products, and the development of new products might become less effective. Third parties have asserted copyright interests in these data, and these assertions, if successful, could prevent us from using these data. Any interruption of our supply of data could seriously harm our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We will continue to rely upon proprietary technology rights, and if we are unable to protect them, our business could be harmed.

Our success depends, in part, upon our proprietary technology and other intellectual property rights. To date, we have relied primarily on a combination of copyright, patent, trade secret, and trademark laws, and nondisclosure and other contractual restrictions on copying and distribution to protect our proprietary technology. This protection of our proprietary technology is limited, and our proprietary technology could be used by others without our consent. In

addition, patents may not be issued with respect to our pending or future patent applications, and our patents may not be upheld as valid or may not prevent the development of competitive products. Any disclosure, loss, invalidity of, or failure to protect our intellectual property could negatively impact our competitive position, and ultimately, our business. There can be no assurance that our protection of our intellectual property rights in the United States or abroad will be adequate or that others, including our competitors, will not use our proprietary technology without our consent. Furthermore, litigation may be necessary to enforce our intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets, or to determine the validity and scope of the proprietary rights of others. Such litigation could result in substantial costs and diversion of resources and could harm our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Some of our technologies were developed under research projects conducted under agreements with various U.S. government agencies or subcontractors. Although we have commercial rights to these technologies, the U.S. government typically retains ownership of intellectual property rights and licenses in the technologies developed by us under these contracts, and in some cases can terminate our rights in these technologies if we fail to commercialize them on a timely basis. Under these contracts with the U.S. government, the results of research may be made public by the government, limiting our competitive advantage with respect to future products based on our research.

If we are subject to infringement claims, it could harm our business.

We expect that products in the industry segments in which we compete, including software products, will increasingly be subject to claims of patent and other intellectual property infringement as the number of products and competitors in our industry segments grow. We may need to defend claims that our products infringe intellectual property rights, and as a result we may:

incur significant defense costs or substantial damages;

be required to cease the use or sale of infringing products;

expend significant resources to develop or license a substitute non-infringing technology;

discontinue the use of some technology; or

be required to obtain a license under the intellectual property rights of the third party claiming infringement, which license may not be available or might require substantial royalties or license fees that would reduce our margins.

Breaches of security, or the perception that e-commerce is not secure, could harm our business.

Our business requires the appropriate and secure utilization of consumer and other sensitive information. Internet-based electronic commerce requires the secure transmission of confidential information over public networks, and several of our products are accessed through the Internet, including our consumer services accessible through the www.myfico.com website. Security breaches in connection with the delivery of our products and services, including products and services utilizing the Internet, or well-publicized security breaches, and the trend toward broad consumer and general public notification of such incidents, could significantly harm our business, financial condition or results of operations. We cannot be certain that advances in criminal capabilities, discovery of new vulnerabilities, attempts to exploit vulnerabilities in our systems, data thefts, physical system or network break-ins or inappropriate access, or other developments will not compromise or breach the technology protecting the networks that access our net-sourced products, consumer services and proprietary database information.

Protection from system interruptions is important to our business. If we experience a sustained interruption of our telecommunication systems, it could harm our business.

Systems or network interruptions could delay and disrupt our ability to develop, deliver or maintain our products and services, causing harm to our business and reputation and resulting in loss of customers or revenue. These interruptions can include fires, floods, earthquakes, power losses, equipment failures and other events beyond our control.

Risks Related to Our Industry

Our ability to increase our revenues will depend to some extent upon introducing new products and services. If the marketplace does not accept these new products and services, our revenues may decline.

We have a significant share of the available market in portions of our Scores segment and for certain services in our Applications segment, specifically, the markets for account management services at credit card processors and credit card fraud detection software. To increase our revenues, we must enhance and improve existing products and continue to introduce new products and new versions of existing products that keep pace with technological developments, satisfy increasingly sophisticated customer requirements and achieve market acceptance. We believe much of the future growth of our business and the success of our DM strategy will rest on our ability to continue to expand into newer markets for our products and services. Such areas are relatively new to our product development

and sales and marketing personnel. Products that we plan to market in the future are in various stages of development. We cannot assure you that the marketplace will accept these products. If our current or potential customers are not willing to switch to or adopt our new products and services, either as a result of the quality of these products and services or due to other factors, such as economic conditions, our revenues will decrease.

Table of Contents

If we fail to keep up with rapidly changing technologies, our products could become less competitive or obsolete.

In our markets, technology changes rapidly, and there are continuous improvements in computer hardware, network operating systems, programming tools, programming languages, operating systems, database technology and the use of the Internet. If we fail to enhance our current products and develop new products in response to changes in technology or industry standards, or if we fail to bring product enhancements or new product developments to market quickly enough, our products could rapidly become less competitive or obsolete. For example, the rapid growth of the Internet environment creates new opportunities, risks and uncertainties for businesses, such as ours, which develop software that must also be designed to operate in Internet, intranet and other online environments. Our future success will depend, in part, upon our ability to:

innovate by internally developing new and competitive technologies;

use leading third-party technologies effectively;

continue to develop our technical expertise;

anticipate and effectively respond to changing customer needs;

initiate new product introductions in a way that minimizes the impact of customers delaying purchases of existing products in anticipation of new product releases; and

influence and respond to emerging industry standards and other technological changes.

If our competitors introduce new products and pricing strategies, it could decrease our product sales and market share, or could pressure us to reduce our product prices in a manner that reduces our margins.

We may not be able to compete successfully against our competitors, and this inability could impair our capacity to sell our products. The market for business analytics is new, rapidly evolving and highly competitive, and we expect competition in this market to persist and intensify. Our regional and global competitors vary in size and in the scope of the products and services they offer, and include:

in-house analytic and systems developers;

scoring model builders;

enterprise resource planning (ERP) and customer relationship management (CRM) packaged solutions providers;

business intelligence solutions providers;

credit report and credit score providers;

business process management solution providers;

process modeling tools providers;

automated application processing services providers;

data vendors;

neural network developers and artificial intelligence system builders;

third-party professional services and consulting organizations;

account/workflow management software providers; and

software tools companies supplying modeling, rules, or analytic development tools.

We expect to experience additional competition from other established and emerging companies, as well as from other technologies. For example, certain of our fraud solutions products compete against other methods of preventing credit card fraud, such as credit cards that contain the cardholder's photograph, smart cards, cardholder verification and authentication solutions and other card authorization techniques. Many of our anticipated competitors have greater financial, technical, marketing, professional services and other resources than we do, and industry consolidation is creating even larger competitors in many of our markets. As a result, our competitors may be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements. They may also be able to devote greater resources than we can to develop, promote and sell their products. Many of these companies have extensive customer relationships, including relationships with many of our current and potential customers. Furthermore, new competitors or alliances among competitors may emerge and rapidly gain significant market share. For example, TransUnion, Equifax and Experian have formed an alliance that has developed a credit scoring product competitive with our products. If we are unable to respond as quickly or effectively to changes in customer requirements as our competition, our ability to expand our business and sell our products will be negatively affected. Our competitors may be able to sell products competitive to ours at lower prices individually or as part of integrated suites of several related products. This ability may cause our customers to purchase products that directly compete with our products from our competitors. Price reductions by our competitors could negatively impact our margins, and could also harm our ability to obtain new long-term contracts and renewals of existing long-term contracts on favorable terms.

Table of Contents

Legislation that is enacted by the U.S. Congress, the states, Canadian provinces, and other countries, and government regulations that apply to us or to our customers may expose us to liability, affect our ability to compete in certain markets, limit the profitability of or demand for our products, or render our products obsolete. If these laws and regulations require us to change our current products and services, it could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Legislation and governmental regulation affect how our business is conducted and, in some cases, subject us to the possibility of future lawsuits arising from our products and services. Globally, legislation and governmental regulation also influence our current and prospective customers' activities, as well as their expectations and needs in relation to our products and services. Both our core businesses and our newer initiatives are affected globally by federal, regional, provincial, state and other jurisdictional regulations, including those in the following significant regulatory areas:

Use of data by creditors and consumer reporting agencies. Examples in the U.S. include the Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA), the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act (FACTA), which amends FCRA, and certain proposed regulations and studies mandated by FACTA, under consideration;

Laws and regulations that limit the use of credit scoring models such as state mortgage trigger laws, state inquiries laws, state insurance restrictions on the use of credit based insurance scores, and the Consumer Credit Directive in the European Union.

Fair lending laws, such as the Truth In Lending Act (TILA) and Regulation Z, and the Equal Credit Opportunity Act (ECOA) and Regulation B.

Privacy and security laws and regulations that limit the use and disclosure of personally identifiable information or require security procedures, including but not limited to the provisions of the Financial Services Modernization Act of 1999, also known as the Gramm Leach Bliley Act (GLBA); FACTA; the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA); the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (USA Patriot Act); identity theft, file freezing, security breach notification and similar state privacy laws;

Extension of credit to consumers through the Electronic Fund Transfers Act, as well as nongovernmental VISA and MasterCard electronic payment standards;

Regulations applicable to secondary market participants such as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac that could have an impact on our products;

Insurance laws and regulations applicable to our insurance clients and their use of our insurance products and services;

The application or extension of consumer protection laws, including, laws governing the use of the Internet and telemarketing, advertising, endorsements and testimonials and credit repair;

Laws and regulations applicable to operations in other countries, for example, the European Union's Privacy Directive and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act;

Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX) requirements to maintain and verify internal process controls, including controls for material event awareness and notification;

The implementation of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 by federal regulators to manage the financial crisis in the United States;

Financial regulatory reform stemming from the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act;

Laws and regulations regarding export controls as they apply to FICO products delivered in non-US countries.

In making credit evaluations of consumers, or in performing fraud screening or user authentication, our customers are subject to requirements of multiple jurisdictions, which may impose onerous and contradictory requirements. Privacy legislation such as GLBA or the European Union's Privacy Directive may also affect the nature and extent of the products or services that we can provide to customers, as well as our ability to collect, monitor and disseminate information subject to privacy protection. In addition to existing regulation, changes in legislative, judicial, regulatory or consumer environments could harm our business, financial condition or results of operations. These regulations and amendments to them could affect the demand for or profitability of some of our products, including scoring and consumer products. New regulations pertaining to financial institutions could cause them to pursue new strategies, reducing the demand for our products.

In response to recent market disruptions, legislators and financial regulators implemented a number of mechanisms designed to add stability to the financial markets, including the provision of direct and indirect assistance to distressed financial institutions, assistance by the banking authorities in arranging acquisitions of weakened banks and broker-dealers, and implementation of programs by the Federal Reserve to provide liquidity to the commercial paper markets. The overall effects of these and other legislative and regulatory efforts on the financial markets are uncertain, and they may not have the intended stabilization effects. Should these or other legislative or regulatory initiatives fail to stabilize and add liquidity to the financial markets, our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects could be materially and adversely affected. Whether or not legislative or regulatory initiatives or other efforts designed to address recent economic conditions successfully stabilize and add liquidity to the financial markets, we may need

Table of Contents

to modify our strategies, businesses or operations, and we may incur additional costs in order to compete in a changed business environment.

Our revenues depend, to a great extent, upon conditions in the banking and insurance industries. If our clients industries continue to experience a downturn, it will likely harm our business, financial condition or results of operations.

During fiscal 2009, 76% of our revenues were derived from sales of products and services to the banking and insurance industries. Since mid-2007, global credit and other financial markets have suffered substantial stress, volatility, illiquidity and disruption. These forces reached unprecedented levels in the fall of 2008, resulting in the bankruptcy or acquisition of, or government assistance to, several major domestic and international financial institutions. The recent market developments and the potential for increased and continuing disruptions present considerable risks to our businesses and operations. These risks include potential bankruptcies or credit deterioration of financial institutions, many of which are our customers. Further deterioration or a continuation of recent market conditions is likely to lead to a continued decline in the revenue we receive from financial and other institutions.

While the rate of account growth in the U.S. bankcard industry has been slowing and many of our large institutional customers have consolidated in recent years, we have generated most of our revenue growth from our bankcard-related scoring and account management businesses by selling and cross-selling our products and services to large banks and other credit issuers. As the banking industry continues to experience contraction in the number of participating institutions, we may have fewer opportunities for revenue growth due to reduced or changing demand for our products and services that support customer acquisition programs of our customers. In addition, industry contraction could affect the base of recurring revenues derived from contracts in which we are paid on a per-transaction basis as formerly separate customers combine their operations under one contract. There can be no assurance that we will be able to prevent future revenue contraction or effectively promote future revenue growth in our businesses.

While we are attempting to expand our sales of consumer credit, banking and insurance products and services into international markets, the risks are greater as these markets are also experiencing substantial disruption and we are less well-known in them.

Risk Related to External Conditions

Continuing material adverse developments in global economic conditions, or the occurrence of certain other world events, could affect demand for our products and services and harm our business.

Purchases of technology products and services and decisioning solutions are subject to adverse economic conditions. When an economy is struggling, companies in many industries delay or reduce technology purchases, and we experience softened demand for our decisioning solutions and other products and services. Since mid-2007, global credit and other financial markets have suffered substantial stress, volatility, illiquidity and disruption. These forces reached unprecedented levels in the fall of 2008, resulting in the bankruptcy or acquisition of, or government assistance to, several major domestic and international financial institutions. The widespread economic downturn has also negatively affected the businesses and purchasing decisions of companies in the other industries we serve. These recent market developments and the potential for increased and continuing disruptions present considerable risks to our businesses and operations. If global economic conditions continue to experience stress and negative volatility, or if there is an escalation in regional or global conflicts or terrorism, we will likely experience reductions in the number of available customers and in capital expenditures by our remaining customers, longer sales cycles, deferral or delay of purchase commitments for our products and increased price competition, which may adversely affect our business, results of operations and liquidity.

Whether or not legislative or regulatory initiatives or other efforts successfully stabilize and add liquidity to the financial markets, we may need to modify our strategies, businesses or operations, and we may incur additional costs in order to compete in a changed business environment. Given the volatile nature of the current economic downturn and the uncertainties underlying efforts to mitigate or reverse the downturn, we may not timely anticipate or manage existing, new or additional risks, as well as contingencies or developments, which may include regulatory developments and trends in new products and services. Our failure to do so could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

In operations outside the United States, we are subject to unique risks that may harm our business, financial condition or results of operations.

A growing portion of our revenues is derived from international sales. During fiscal 2009, 32% of our revenues were derived from business outside the United States. As part of our growth strategy, we plan to continue to pursue opportunities outside the United States, including opportunities in countries with economic systems that are in early stages of development and that may not mature

Table of Contents

sufficiently to result in growth for our business. Accordingly, our future operating results could be negatively affected by a variety of factors arising out of international commerce, some of which are beyond our control. These factors include:

general economic and political conditions in countries where we sell our products and services;

difficulty in staffing and efficiently managing our operations in multiple geographic locations and in various countries;

effects of a variety of foreign laws and regulations, including restrictions on access to personal information;

import and export licensing requirements;

longer payment cycles;

reduced protection for intellectual property rights;

currency fluctuations;

changes in tariffs and other trade barriers; and

difficulties and delays in translating products and related documentation into foreign languages.

There can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully address each of these challenges in the near term. Additionally, some of our business will be conducted in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are not currently material to our cash flows, financial position or results of operations. However, an increase in our foreign revenues could subject us to increased foreign currency transaction risks in the future.

In addition to the risk of depending on international sales, we have risks incurred in having research and development personnel located in various international locations. We currently have a substantial portion of our product development staff in international locations, some of which have political and developmental risks. If such risks materialize, our business could be damaged.

Our anti-takeover defenses could make it difficult for another company to acquire control of FICO, thereby limiting the demand for our securities by certain types of purchasers or the price investors are willing to pay for our stock.

Certain provisions of our Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, could make a merger, tender offer or proxy contest involving us difficult, even if such events would be beneficial to the interests of our stockholders. These provisions include adopting a Shareholder Rights Agreement, commonly known as a poison pill, and giving our board the ability to issue preferred stock and determine the rights and designations of the preferred stock at any time without stockholder approval. The rights of the holders of our common stock will be subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of the holders of any preferred stock that may be issued in the future. The issuance of preferred stock, while providing flexibility in connection with possible acquisitions and other corporate purposes, could have the effect of making it more difficult for a third party to acquire, or discouraging a third party from acquiring, a majority of our outstanding voting stock. These factors and certain provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law may have the effect of deterring hostile takeovers or otherwise delaying or preventing changes in control or changes in our management, including transactions in which our stockholders might otherwise receive a premium over the fair market value of our common stock.

If we experience changes in tax laws or adverse outcomes resulting from examination of our income tax returns, it could adversely affect our results of operations.

We are subject to federal and state income taxes in the United States and in certain foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining our worldwide provision for income taxes. Our future effective tax

rates could be adversely affected by changes in tax laws, by our ability to generate taxable income in foreign jurisdictions in order to utilize foreign tax losses, and by the valuation of our deferred tax assets. In addition, we are subject to the examination of our income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service and other tax authorities. We regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from such examinations to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes. There can be no assurance that the outcomes from such examinations will not have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**
Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities (1)

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (2)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
April 1, 2010 through April 30, 2010	215,367	\$25.73	211,024	\$ 66,687,917
May 1, 2010 through May 31, 2010	1,692,200	\$22.44	1,692,200	\$ 28,718,275
June 1, 2010 through June 30, 2010	1,703,551	\$22.69	1,700,800	\$233,183,234
	3,611,118	\$22.75	3,604,024	\$233,183,234

(1) In June 2010, our Board of Directors approved a common stock repurchase program that allows us to purchase shares of our common stock up to an aggregate cost of \$250.0 million in the open market or through negotiated transactions. The June 2010 program does not have a fixed expiration date. This program replaced a similar plan approved in November 2007.

(2) Includes 7,094 shares delivered in satisfaction of

the tax
withholding
obligations
resulting from
the vesting of
restricted stock
units held by
employees
during the
quarter ended
June 30, 2010.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

Not applicable.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Bylaws of Fair Isaac Corporation. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on February 8, 2010.)
3.2	Composite Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Fair Isaac Corporation. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q filed on February 8, 2010.)
10.1	Fair Isaac Corporation 1992 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended effective May 4, 2010.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications of CEO.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications of CFO.
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of CEO.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of CFO.
101	The following materials from Fair Isaac Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, formatted in Extensive Business Reporting Language (XBRL), (i) condensed consolidated balance sheets, (ii) condensed consolidated statements of income, (iii) condensed consolidated statement of stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, (iv) condensed consolidated statements of cash flows, and (v) the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

FAIR ISAAC CORPORATION

DATE: August 6, 2010

By /s/ THOMAS A. BRADLEY
Thomas A. Bradley
*Executive Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer*
*(for Registrant as duly authorized officer and
as Principal Financial Officer)*

DATE: August 6, 2010

By /s/ MICHAEL J. PUNG
Michael J. Pung
Vice President, Finance
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX
To Fair Isaac Corporation Report On Form 10-Q
For The Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2010

Exhibit Number	Description	
3.1	Bylaws of Fair Isaac Corporation	Incorporated by Reference
3.2	Composite Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Fair Isaac Corporation	Incorporated by Reference
10.1	Fair Isaac Corporation 1992 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended effective May 4, 2010.	Filed Electronically
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications of CEO.	Filed Electronically
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications of CFO.	Filed Electronically
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of CEO.	Filed Electronically
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of CFO.	Filed Electronically
101	The following materials from Fair Isaac Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, formatted in Extensive Business Reporting Language (XBRL), (i) condensed consolidated balance sheets, (ii) condensed consolidated statements of income, (iii) condensed consolidated statement of stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, (iv) condensed consolidated statements of cash flows, and (v) the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.	Filed Electronically