

TOLL BROTHERS INC
Form 10-Q
August 31, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended July 31, 2012

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-9186

TOLL BROTHERS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

23-2416878

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

250 Gibraltar Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania

(Address of principal executive offices)

(215) 938-8000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T

(§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "an accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

At August 29, 2012, there were approximately 168,202,000 shares of Common Stock, \$.01 par value, outstanding.

TOLL BROTHERS, INC.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page No. |
|--|-----------|
| <u>Statement on Forward-Looking Information</u> | <u>1</u> |
| <u>PART I. Financial Information</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)</u> | <u>2</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>35</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>52</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>52</u> |
| <u>PART II. Other Information</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>53</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | <u>53</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>54</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | <u>55</u> |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | <u>56</u> |

STATEMENT ON FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

Certain information included in this report or in other materials we have filed or will file with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") (as well as information included in oral statements or other written statements made or to be made by us) contains or may contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. You can identify these statements by the fact that they do not relate to matters of strictly historical or factual nature and generally discuss or relate to estimates or other expectations regarding future events. They contain words such as "anticipate," "estimate," "expect," "project," "intend," "plan," "believe," "may," "can," "could," "might," "should" and other words of similar meaning in connection with any discussion of future operating or financial performance. Such statements may include, but are not limited to, information related to: anticipated operating results; home deliveries; financial resources and condition; changes in revenues; changes in profitability; changes in margins; changes in accounting treatment; cost of revenues; selling, general and administrative expenses; interest expense; inventory write-downs; unrecognized tax benefits; anticipated tax refunds; sales paces and prices; effects of home buyer cancellations; growth and expansion; joint ventures in which we are involved; anticipated results from our investments in unconsolidated entities; the ability to acquire land and pursue real estate opportunities; the ability to gain approvals and to open new communities; the ability to sell homes and properties; the ability to deliver homes from backlog; the ability to secure materials and subcontractors; the ability to produce the liquidity and capital necessary to expand and take advantage of opportunities; and legal proceedings and claims.

Any or all of the forward-looking statements included in this report and in any other reports or public statements made by us are not guarantees of future performance and may turn out to be inaccurate. Consequently, actual results may differ materially from those that might be anticipated from our forward looking statements. Therefore, we caution you not to place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements. The factors that could cause actual results to differ from those expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements include, among others: local, regional, national, and international economic conditions; fluctuating consumer demand and confidence; interest and unemployment rates; changes in sales conditions, including home prices, in the markets where we build homes; conditions in our newly entered markets and newly acquired operations; the competitive environment in which we operate; the availability and cost of land for future growth; conditions that could result in inventory write-downs or write-downs associated with investments in unconsolidated entities; the ability to recover our deferred tax assets; the availability of capital; uncertainties in the capital and securities markets; liquidity in the credit markets; changes in tax laws and their interpretation; effects of governmental legislation and regulation; the outcome of various legal proceedings; the availability of adequate insurance at reasonable cost; the impact of construction defect, product liability and home warranty claims, including the adequacy of self-insurance accruals and the applicability and sufficiency of our insurance coverage; the ability of home buyers to obtain financing for the purchase of homes; the ability of customers to sell their existing homes; the ability of the participants in various joint ventures to honor their commitments; the availability and cost of labor and building and construction materials; the cost of raw materials; construction delays; domestic and international political events; and weather conditions. This statement is provided as permitted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

For a more detailed discussion of these factors, see the information under the captions "Risk Factors" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our most recent annual report on Form 10-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

When this report uses the words "we," "us," "our," and the "Company," they refer to Toll Brothers, Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires. References herein to "fiscal 2012," and to "fiscal 2011," "fiscal 2010," "fiscal 2009," and "fiscal 2008" refer to our fiscal years ending October 31, 2012, October 31, 2011, October 31, 2010, October 31, 2009, and October 31, 2008, respectively.

PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

TOLL BROTHERS, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Amounts in thousands)

| | July 31, 2012 (unaudited) | October 31, 2011 |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$601,451 | \$906,340 |
| Marketable securities | 275,928 | 233,572 |
| Restricted cash | 47,008 | 19,760 |
| Inventory | 3,784,705 | 3,416,723 |
| Property, construction and office equipment, net | 101,216 | 99,712 |
| Receivables, prepaid expenses and other assets | 132,967 | 105,576 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | 72,544 | 63,175 |
| Customer deposits held in escrow | 30,731 | 14,859 |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities | 311,481 | 126,355 |
| Investments in non-performing loan portfolios and foreclosed real estate | 98,241 | 69,174 |
| | \$5,456,272 | \$5,055,246 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Loans payable | \$106,399 | \$106,556 |
| Senior notes | 1,792,453 | 1,490,972 |
| Mortgage company warehouse loan | 63,128 | 57,409 |
| Customer deposits | 141,523 | 83,824 |
| Accounts payable | 106,517 | 96,817 |
| Accrued expenses | 464,722 | 521,051 |
| Income taxes payable | 79,724 | 106,066 |
| Total liabilities | 2,754,466 | 2,462,695 |
| Equity | | |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock, none issued | | |
| Common stock, 168,689 and 168,675 shares issued at July 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011, respectively | 1,687 | 1,687 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 397,302 | 400,382 |
| Retained earnings | 2,309,980 | 2,234,251 |
| Treasury stock, at cost — 707 and 2,946 shares at July 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011, respectively | (10,211) | (47,065) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (3,137) | (2,902) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 2,695,621 | 2,586,353 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 6,185 | 6,198 |
| Total equity | 2,701,806 | 2,592,551 |
| | \$5,456,272 | \$5,055,246 |

See accompanying notes

TOLL BROTHERS, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)
(Unaudited)

| | Nine Months Ended July 31, | | Three Months Ended July 31, | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenues | \$1,249,955 | \$1,048,096 | \$554,319 | \$394,305 |
| Cost of revenues | 1,026,357 | 898,266 | 447,928 | 339,947 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 212,785 | 192,906 | 74,892 | 64,605 |
| Interest expense | — | 1,504 | — | — |
| | 1,239,142 | 1,092,676 | 522,820 | 404,552 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 10,813 | (44,580) |) 31,499 | (10,247) |
| Other: | | | | |
| Income (loss) from unconsolidated entities | 19,348 | (11,005) |) 5,672 | 11,340 |
| Other income - net | 22,032 | 14,356 | 5,781 | 6,209 |
| Expenses related to early retirement of debt | — | (3,414) |) — | (3,414) |
| Income (loss) before income tax benefit | 52,193 | (44,643) |) 42,952 | 3,888 |
| Income tax benefit | (23,536) |) (69,395) |) (18,691) |) (38,220) |
| Net income | \$75,729 | \$24,752 | \$61,643 | \$42,108 |
| Income per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.45 | \$0.15 | \$0.37 | \$0.25 |
| Diluted | \$0.45 | \$0.15 | \$0.36 | \$0.25 |
| Weighted average number of shares: | | | | |
| Basic | 166,990 | 167,221 | 167,664 | 168,075 |
| Diluted | 168,613 | 168,666 | 170,229 | 169,338 |
| See accompanying notes | | | | |

TOLL BROTHERS, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 (Amounts in thousands)
 (Unaudited)

| | Nine Months Ended July 31, | |
|---|----------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash flow (used in) provided by operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$75,729 | \$24,752 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 16,523 | 17,123 |
| Stock-based compensation | 12,227 | 10,147 |
| (Recovery) impairments of investments in unconsolidated entities | (1,621 |) 39,600 |
| Income from unconsolidated entities | (17,727 |) (28,595 |
| Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated entities | 4,028 | 7,315 |
| Income from non-performing loan portfolios and foreclosed real estate | (12,725 |) (1,187 |
| Deferred tax benefit | 1,477 | 4,329 |
| Deferred tax valuation allowances | (1,477 |) (4,329 |
| Inventory impairments and write-offs | 13,249 | 34,861 |
| Change in fair value of mortgage loans receivable and derivative instruments | (244 |) 628 |
| Gain on marketable securities | (40 |) |
| Expenses related to early retirement of debt | — | 3,414 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | | |
| Increase in inventory | (222,421 |) (208,204 |
| Origination of mortgage loans | (434,780 |) (457,383 |
| Sale of mortgage loans | 426,559 | 504,724 |
| (Increase) decrease in restricted cash | (27,248 |) 36,681 |
| Increase in receivables, prepaid expenses and other assets | (22,175 |) (2,955 |
| Increase in customer deposits | 41,777 | 18,090 |
| Decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses | (58,865 |) (37,773 |
| Decrease in income tax refund recoverable | | 141,590 |
| Decrease in income taxes payable | (26,342 |) (56,461 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (234,096 |) 46,367 |
| Cash flow used in investing activities: | | |
| Purchase of property and equipment — net | (7,318 |) (6,927 |
| Purchase of marketable securities | (317,569 |) (420,087 |
| Sale and redemption of marketable securities | 270,503 | 318,372 |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities | (195,813 |) |
| Return of investments in unconsolidated entities | 33,231 | 26,285 |
| Investments in non-performing loan portfolios and foreclosed real estate | (30,090 |) (42,141 |
| Return of investments in non-performing loan portfolios and foreclosed real estate | 14,412 | 101 |
| Acquisition of a business | (144,746 |) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (377,390 |) (124,397 |
| Cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities: | | |
| Net proceeds from issuance of senior notes | 296,227 | |
| Proceeds from loans payable | 675,481 | 666,659 |
| Principal payments of loans payable | (689,242 |) (715,131 |
| Redemption of senior notes | | (48,437 |
| Proceeds from stock-based benefit plans | 24,515 | 23,731 |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---|-----------|-------|------------|
| Receipts related to noncontrolling interest | | 2,678 | |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (384 |) | (463) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 306,597 | | (70,963) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (304,889 |) | (148,993) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 906,340 | | 1,039,060 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$601,451 | | \$890,067 |
| See accompanying notes | | | |

4

TOLL BROTHERS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Toll Brothers, Inc. (the “Company”), a Delaware corporation, and those majority-owned subsidiaries it controls. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Investments in 50% or less owned partnerships and affiliates are accounted for using the equity method unless it is determined that the Company has effective control of the entity, in which case the entity would be consolidated.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) for interim financial information. The October 31, 2011 balance sheet amounts and disclosures included herein have been derived from the Company’s October 31, 2011 audited financial statements. Since the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements do not include all the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) for complete financial statements, the Company suggests that they be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2011. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments, which are of a normal recurring nature, necessary to present fairly the Company’s financial position as of July 31, 2012, the results of its operations for the nine-month and three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, and its cash flows for the nine-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011. The results of operations for such interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Inventory

Inventory is stated at cost unless an impairment exists, in which case it is written down to fair value in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 360, “Property, Plant and Equipment” (“ASC 360”). In addition to direct land acquisition costs, land development costs and home construction costs, costs also include interest, real estate taxes and direct overhead related to development and construction, which are capitalized to inventory during the period beginning with the commencement of development and ending with the completion of construction. For those communities that have been temporarily closed, no additional capitalized interest is allocated to a community’s inventory until it re-opens and development commences. While the community remains closed, carrying costs such as real estate taxes are expensed as incurred.

The Company capitalizes certain interest costs to qualified inventory during the development and construction period of its communities in accordance with ASC 835-20, “Capitalization of Interest” (“ASC 835-20”). Capitalized interest is charged to cost of revenues when the related inventory is delivered. Interest incurred on homebuilding indebtedness in excess of qualified inventory, as defined in ASC 835-20, is charged directly to operations in the period incurred.

Once a parcel of land has been approved for development and the Company opens one of its typical communities, it may take four or more years to fully develop, sell and deliver all the homes in such community. Longer or shorter time periods are possible depending on the number of home sites in a community and the sales and delivery pace of the homes in a community. The Company’s master planned communities, consisting of several smaller communities, may take up to ten years or more to complete. Because the Company’s inventory is considered a long-lived asset under GAAP, the Company is required, under ASC 360, to regularly review the carrying value of each community and write down the value of those communities for which it believes the values have been impaired.

Current Communities: When the profitability of a current community deteriorates, the sales pace declines significantly, or some other factor indicates a possible impairment in the recoverability of the asset, the asset is reviewed for impairment by comparing the estimated future undiscounted cash flow for the community to its carrying value. If the estimated future undiscounted cash flow is less than the community’s carrying value, the carrying value is written down to its estimated fair value. Estimated fair value is primarily determined by discounting the estimated future cash flow of each community. The impairment is charged to cost of revenues in the period in which the impairment is determined. In estimating the future undiscounted cash flow of a community, the Company uses various

estimates such as: (a) the expected sales pace in a community, based upon general economic conditions that will have a short-term or long-term impact on the market in which the community is located and on competition within the market, including the number of home sites available and pricing and incentives being offered in other communities owned by the Company or by other builders; (b) the expected sales prices and

sales incentives to be offered in a community; (c) costs expended to date and expected to be incurred in the future, including, but not limited to, land and land development, home construction, interest and overhead costs; (d) alternative product offerings that may be offered in a community that will have an impact on sales pace, sales price, building cost or the number of homes that can be built on a particular site; and (e) alternative uses for the property such as the possibility of a sale of the entire community to another builder or the sale of individual home sites.

Future Communities: The Company evaluates all land held for future communities or future sections of current communities, whether owned or under contract, to determine whether or not it expects to proceed with the development of the land as originally contemplated. This evaluation encompasses the same types of estimates used for current communities described above, as well as an evaluation of the regulatory environment applicable to the land and the estimated probability of obtaining the necessary approvals, the estimated time and cost it will take to obtain the approvals and the possible concessions that will be required to be given in order to obtain them. Concessions may include cash payments to fund improvements to public places such as parks and streets, dedication of a portion of the property for use by the public or as open space or a reduction in the density or size of the homes to be built. Based upon this review, the Company decides (a) as to land under contract to be purchased, whether the contract will likely be terminated or renegotiated, and (b) as to land owned, whether the land will likely be developed as contemplated or in an alternative manner, or should be sold. The Company then further determines whether costs that have been capitalized to the community are recoverable or should be written off. The write-off is charged to cost of revenues in the period in which the need for the write-off is determined.

The estimates used in the determination of the estimated cash flows and fair value of both current and future communities are based on factors known to the Company at the time such estimates are made and its expectations of future operations and economic conditions. Should the estimates or expectations used in determining estimated cash flows and fair value deteriorate in the future, the Company may be required to recognize additional impairment charges and write-offs related to current and future communities.

Variable Interest Entities: The Company has a significant number of land purchase contracts and several investments in unconsolidated entities which it evaluates in accordance with ASC 810, "Consolidation" ("ASC 810"). The Company analyzes its land purchase contracts and the unconsolidated entities in which it has an investment to determine whether the land sellers and unconsolidated entities are variable interest entities ("VIEs") and, if so, whether the Company is the primary beneficiary. If the Company is determined to be the primary beneficiary of a VIE, it must consolidate the VIE. A VIE is an entity with insufficient equity investment or in which the equity investors lack some of the characteristics of a controlling financial interest. In determining whether it is the primary beneficiary, the Company considers, among other things, whether it has the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance, including, but not limited to, determining or limiting the scope or purpose of the VIE, selling or transferring property owned or controlled by the VIE, or arranging financing for the VIE. The Company also considers whether it has the obligation to absorb losses of or the right to receive benefits from the VIE.

Fair Value Disclosures

The Company uses ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" ("ASC 820"), to measure the fair value of certain assets and liabilities. ASC 820 provides a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with GAAP, establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value and requires certain disclosures about fair value measurements.

The fair value hierarchy is summarized below:

Level 1: Fair value determined based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Fair value determined using significant observable inputs, generally either quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities or quoted prices in markets that are not active.

Level 3:

Fair value determined using significant unobservable inputs, such as pricing models, discounted cash flows, or similar techniques.

2. Acquisition

In November 2011, the Company acquired substantially all of the assets of CamWest Development LLC (“CamWest”) for approximately \$144.7 million in cash. The assets acquired were primarily inventory. As part of the acquisition, the Company assumed contracts to deliver approximately 29 homes with an aggregate value of \$13.7 million. The average price of the homes in backlog was approximately \$471,000. The assets the Company acquired included approximately 1,245 home sites owned and 254 home sites controlled through land purchase agreements. The Company’s selling community count increased by 15 communities at the acquisition date. The acquisition of the assets of CamWest was not material to the Company’s results of operations or its financial condition.

3. Inventory

Inventory at July 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011 consisted of the following (amounts in thousands):

| | July 31, 2012 | October 31, 2011 |
|--|------------------|---------------------|
| Land controlled for future communities | \$52,662 | \$46,581 |
| Land owned for future communities | 1,096,396 | 979,145 |
| Operating communities | 2,635,647 | 2,390,997 |
| | \$3,784,705 | \$3,416,723 |

Operating communities include communities offering homes for sale, communities that have sold all available home sites but have not completed delivery of the homes, communities that were previously offering homes for sale but are temporarily closed due to business conditions or non-availability of improved home sites and that are expected to reopen within twelve months of the end of the fiscal period being reported on, and communities preparing to open for sale. The carrying value attributable to operating communities includes the cost of homes under construction, land and land development costs, the carrying cost of home sites in current and future phases of these communities and the carrying cost of model homes, less impairment charges recognized against the communities.

Communities that were previously offering homes for sale but are temporarily closed due to business conditions that do not have any remaining backlog and are not expected to reopen within twelve months of the end of the fiscal period being reported on have been classified as land owned for future communities.

Information regarding the classification, number and carrying value of these temporarily closed communities, as of the date indicated, is provided in the table below.

| | July 31, 2012 | October 31, 2011 |
|------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Land owned for future communities: | | |
| Number of communities | 35 | 43 |
| Carrying value (in thousands) | \$206,744 | \$256,468 |
| Operating communities: | | |
| Number of communities | 10 | 2 |
| Carrying value (in thousands) | \$52,135 | \$11,076 |

During the three-month period ended January 31, 2011, the Company reclassified \$20.0 million of inventory related to commercial retail space located in one of its high-rise projects to property, construction and office equipment. The \$20.0 million was reclassified due to the completion of construction of the facilities and the substantial completion of the high-rise project of which the facilities are a part.

The Company provided for inventory impairment charges and the expensing of costs that it believed not to be recoverable, for the periods indicated; these are shown in the table below (amounts in thousands).

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

| | Nine months ended July 31, | | Three months ended July 31, | |
|--|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Charge: | | | | |
| Land controlled for future communities | \$661 | \$2,486 | \$435 | \$637 |
| Land owned for future communities | 918 | 16,000 | — | 16,000 |
| Operating communities | 11,670 | 16,375 | 2,685 | 175 |
| | \$13,249 | \$34,861 | \$3,120 | \$16,812 |

The table below provides, for the periods indicated, the number of operating communities that the Company tested for potential impairment, the number of operating communities in which it recognized impairment charges, the amount of impairment charges recognized, and, as of the end of the period indicated, the fair value of those communities, net of impairment charges (\$ amounts in thousands).

| Three months ended: | Number of communities tested | Number of communities | Impaired operating communities | |
|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------|
| | | | Fair value of communities, net of impairment charges | Impairment charges |
| Fiscal 2012: | | | | |
| January 31 | 113 | 8 | \$49,758 | \$6,425 |
| April 30 | 115 | 2 | \$22,962 | 2,560 |
| July 31 | 115 | 4 | \$6,609 | 2,685 |
| | | | | \$11,670 |
| Fiscal 2011: | | | | |
| January 31 | 143 | 6 | \$56,105 | \$5,475 |
| April 30 | 142 | 9 | \$40,765 | 10,725 |
| July 31 | 129 | 2 | \$867 | 175 |
| October 31 | 114 | 3 | \$3,367 | 710 |
| | | | | \$17,085 |

At July 31, 2012, the Company evaluated its land purchase contracts to determine if any of the selling entities were VIEs and, if they were, whether the Company was the primary beneficiary of any of them. Under these land purchase contracts, the Company does not possess legal title to the land and its risk is generally limited to deposits paid to the sellers and the creditors of the sellers generally have no recourse against the Company. At July 31, 2012, the Company determined that 52 land purchase contracts, with an aggregate purchase price of \$437.9 million, on which it had made aggregate deposits totaling \$22.7 million, were VIEs, and that it was not the primary beneficiary of any VIE related to its land purchase contracts.

Interest incurred, capitalized and expensed, for the periods indicated, was as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | Nine months ended July 31, | | Three months ended July 31, | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest capitalized, beginning of period | \$298,757 | \$267,278 | \$322,516 | \$285,508 |
| Interest incurred | 93,027 | 86,820 | 32,560 | 28,387 |
| Interest expensed to cost of revenues | (59,823) | (56,327) | (25,834) | (20,946) |
| Interest directly expensed to operations | — | (1,504) | — | — |
| Write-off against other income | (1,664) | (861) | (82) | (543) |
| Interest reclassified to property, construction and office equipment | — | (3,000) | — | — |
| Interest capitalized on investments in unconsolidated entities | (2,260) | — | (1,123) | — |
| Interest capitalized, end of period | \$328,037 | \$292,406 | \$328,037 | \$292,406 |

Inventory impairment charges are recognized against all inventory costs of a community, such as land, land improvements, cost of home construction and capitalized interest. The amounts included in the table directly above reflect the gross amount of capitalized interest without allocation of any impairment charges recognized. The Company estimates that, had inventory impairment charges been allocated on a pro-rata basis to the individual components of inventory, capitalized interest at July 31, 2012 and 2011 would have been reduced by approximately \$50.6 million and \$55.3 million, respectively.

4. Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Entities

The Company has investments in and advances to various unconsolidated entities.

Development Joint Ventures

The Company has investments in and advances to a number of joint ventures with unrelated parties to develop land ("Development Joint Ventures"). Some of these Development Joint Ventures develop land for the sole use of the venture participants, including the Company, and others develop land for sale to the joint venture participants and to unrelated builders. The Company recognizes its share of earnings from the sale of home sites by the Development Joint Ventures to other builders. With regard to home sites the Company purchases from the Development Joint Ventures, the Company reduces its cost basis in those home sites by its share of the earnings on the home sites it purchases. At July 31, 2012, the Company had approximately \$117.3 million, net of impairment charges, invested in or advanced to the Development Joint Ventures. In addition, the Company has a funding commitment of \$3.5 million to one Development Joint Venture should an additional investment in that venture be required.

Some of the impairments related to Development Joint Ventures since 2008 were attributable to the Company's investment in South Edge LLC, and its successor entity, Inspirada Builders, LLC (collectively, "Inspirada"). The Company believes it has made adequate provision at July 31, 2012 for any remaining liabilities with respect to Inspirada. The Company's investment in Inspirada is carried at a nominal value.

The Company did not recognize any impairment charges in connection with the Development Joint Ventures in the nine-month and three-month periods ended July 31, 2012. In the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, the Company recovered \$1.6 million of costs it previously accrued.

In the third quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company acquired a 50% interest in an existing joint venture for approximately \$110.0 million. The joint venture intends to develop over 2,000 home sites in Orange County, California on land that it owns. The joint venture expects to borrow additional funds to complete the development of this project. The Company intends to acquire a substantial number of lots from the joint venture. The Company does not have any additional commitment to fund this joint venture.

Planned Community Joint Venture

The Company entered into a joint venture in October 2008 for the development and sale of homes in a master planned community. At July 31, 2012, the Company had an investment of \$31.0 million, net of \$15.2 million of impairments previously recognized, in this joint venture. At July 31, 2012, the participants agreed to contribute additional funds of up to \$8.3 million each, if required. If a participant fails to make a required capital contribution, the other participant may make the additional contribution and diminish the non-contributing participant's ownership interest.

Other Joint Ventures

At July 31, 2012, the Company had an aggregate of \$124.3 million of investments in and advances, net of \$63.9 million of impairment charges previously recognized, to various joint ventures with unrelated parties to develop luxury for-sale and rental residential units, commercial space and a hotel.

In December 2011, the Company entered into a joint venture to develop a high-rise luxury for-sale/rental project in the metro-New York market. The Company has invested \$84.0 million and is committed to make additional investments of \$37.5 million. Under the terms of the agreement, upon completion of the construction of the building, the Company will acquire ownership of the top eighteen floors of the building to sell, for its own account, luxury condominium units and its partner will receive ownership of the lower floors containing residential rental units and retail space.

In addition, in the third quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company invested \$3.9 million in a joint venture in which it has a 50% interest that will develop a high-rise luxury for-sale condominium/hotel project in the metro-New York market. The Company expects to make additional investments of approximately \$49.2 million for the development of this

property. The joint venture expects to borrow additional funds to complete the construction of this project. The Company has also guaranteed approximately \$9.8 million of payments related to the ground lease on this project.

9

The Company did not recognize any impairment charges in connection with these joint ventures in the nine-month and three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011.

Toll Brothers Realty Trust and Trust II

In fiscal 2005, the Company, together with the Pennsylvania State Employees Retirement System (“PASERS”), formed Toll Brothers Realty Trust II (“Trust II”) to be in a position to invest in commercial real estate opportunities. Trust II is owned 50% by the Company and 50% by an affiliate of PASERS. At July 31, 2012, the Company had an investment of \$3.0 million in Trust II. Prior to the formation of Trust II, the Company formed Toll Brothers Realty Trust (“Trust”) in 1998 to invest in commercial real estate opportunities. The Trust is effectively owned one-third by the Company; one-third by Robert I. Toll, Bruce E. Toll (and members of his family), Douglas C. Yearley, Jr. and former members of the Company’s senior management; and one-third by an affiliate of PASERS (collectively, the “Shareholders”). As of July 31, 2012, the Company had a net investment in the Trust of \$0.3 million. The Company provides development, finance and management services to the Trust and recognized fees under the terms of various agreements in the amounts of \$1.7 million and \$1.6 million in the nine-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively and \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million in the three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company believes that the transactions between itself and the Trust were on terms no less favorable than it would have agreed to with unrelated parties.

Structured Asset Joint Venture

In July 2010, the Company, through Gibraltar Capital and Asset Management LLC (“Gibraltar”), invested \$29.1 million in a joint venture in which it is a 20% participant with two unrelated parties to purchase a 40% interest in an entity that owns and controls a portfolio of loans and real estate (“Structured Asset Joint Venture”). At July 31, 2012, the Company had an investment of \$35.7 million in this Structured Asset Joint Venture. At July 31, 2012, the Company did not have any commitments to make additional contributions to the joint venture and has not guaranteed any of the joint venture’s liabilities. If the joint venture needs additional capital and a participant fails to make a requested capital contribution, the other participants may make a contribution in consideration for a preferred return or may make the additional capital contribution and diminish the non-contributing participant’s ownership interest.

General

At July 31, 2012, the Company had accrued \$2.1 million of aggregate exposure with respect to its estimated obligations to unconsolidated entities in which it has an investment. The Company’s investments in these entities are accounted for using the equity method. The Company recognized \$39.6 million of impairment charges related to its investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities in the nine-months ended July 31, 2011. The Company recorded a \$1.6 million recovery of previous impairment charges in the second quarter of fiscal 2012. The fiscal 2012 recovery and fiscal 2011 impairment charge recognized are included in “Income (loss) from unconsolidated entities” in the Company’s condensed consolidated statements of operations for the nine-month and three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011.

The condensed consolidated balance sheets, as of the dates indicated, and the condensed consolidated statements of operations, for the periods indicated, for the Company’s unconsolidated entities in which it has an investment, aggregated by type of business, are included below (in thousands). The column titled “Home Building Joint Ventures” includes the Planned Community and Other Joint Ventures described above.

Condensed Balance Sheets:

| | July 31, 2012 | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------|
| | Develop- ment Joint Ventures | Home Building Joint Ventures | Trust and Trust II | Structured Asset Joint Venture | Total |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$17,618 | \$23,018 | \$9,995 | \$40,832 | \$91,463 |
| Inventory | 253,984 | 274,175 | 5,621 | | 533,780 |
| Non-performing loan portfolio | | | | 240,723 | 240,723 |
| Rental properties | | | 174,982 | | 174,982 |
| Real estate owned ("REO") | | | | 270,215 | 270,215 |
| Other assets (1) | 16,697 | 70,789 | 9,625 | 189,785 | 286,896 |
| Total assets | \$288,299 | \$367,982 | \$200,223 | \$741,555 | \$1,598,059 |
| Debt (1) | \$96,862 | \$33,658 | \$196,266 | \$311,571 | \$638,357 |
| Other liabilities | 16,873 | 4,582 | 5,690 | 304 | 27,449 |
| Members' equity (deficit) | 174,564 | 329,742 | (1,733 |) 171,872 | 674,445 |
| Noncontrolling interest | | | | 257,808 | 257,808 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$288,299 | \$367,982 | \$200,223 | \$741,555 | \$1,598,059 |
| Company's net investment in unconsolidated entities (2) | \$117,305 | \$155,272 | \$3,230 | \$35,674 | \$311,481 |
| | October 31, 2011 | | | | |
| | Develop- ment Joint Ventures | Home Building Joint Ventures | Trust and Trust II | Structured Asset Joint Venture | Total |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$14,190 | \$10,663 | \$11,726 | \$48,780 | \$85,359 |
| Inventory | 218,339 | 170,239 | 5,501 | | 394,079 |
| Non-performing loan portfolio | | | | 295,044 | 295,044 |
| Rental properties | | | 178,339 | | 178,339 |
| Real estate owned ("REO") | | | 1,087 | 230,872 | 231,959 |
| Other assets (1) | 150,316 | 20,080 | 9,675 | 159,143 | 339,214 |
| Total assets | \$382,845 | \$200,982 | \$206,328 | \$733,839 | \$1,523,994 |
| Debt (1) | \$327,856 | \$50,515 | \$198,927 | \$310,847 | \$888,145 |
| Other liabilities | 5,352 | 9,745 | 3,427 | 382 | 18,906 |
| Members' equity | 49,637 | 140,722 | 3,974 | 172,944 | 367,277 |
| Noncontrolling interest | | | | 249,666 | 249,666 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$382,845 | \$200,982 | \$206,328 | \$733,839 | \$1,523,994 |
| Company's net investment in unconsolidated entities (2) | \$17,098 | \$72,734 | \$1,872 | \$34,651 | \$126,355 |

Included in other assets at July 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011 of the Structured Asset Joint Venture is \$189.3 (1) million and \$152.6 million, respectively, of restricted cash held in a defeasance account which will be used to repay debt of the Structured Asset Joint Venture.

Differences between the Company's net investment in unconsolidated entities and its underlying equity in the net assets of the entities is primarily a result of the acquisition price of an investment in an entity in fiscal 2012 which (2) was in excess of the Company's prorata share of the underlying equity, impairments related to the Company's investments in unconsolidated entities, a loan made to one of the entities by the Company, and distributions from entities in excess of the carrying amount of the Company's net investment.

Condensed Statements of Operations:

| | For the nine months ended July 31, 2012 | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------|
| | Develop- ment Joint Ventures | Home Building Joint Ventures | Trust and Trust II | Structured Asset Joint Venture | Total |
| Revenues | \$37,109 | \$76,325 | \$27,827 | \$20,858 | \$162,119 |
| Cost of revenues | 34,696 | 55,028 | 10,186 | 26,048 | 125,958 |
| Other expenses | 1,060 | 3,166 | 16,027 | 6,958 | 27,211 |
| Gain on disposition of loans and REO | | | | (24,691) | (24,691) |
| Total expenses—net | 35,756 | 58,194 | 26,213 | 8,315 | 128,478 |
| Income from operations | 1,353 | 18,131 | 1,614 | 12,543 | 33,641 |
| Other income | 2,663 | 118 | | 428 | 3,209 |
| Net income before noncontrolling interest | 4,016 | 18,249 | 1,614 | 12,971 | 36,850 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | | | | (7,784) | (7,784) |
| Net income | \$4,016 | \$18,249 | \$1,614 | \$5,187 | \$29,066 |
| Company's equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities (3) | \$3,451 | \$13,318 | \$1,556 | \$1,023 | \$19,348 |

| | For the three months ended July 31, 2012 | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------|
| | Develop- ment Joint Ventures | Home Building Joint Ventures | Trust and Trust II | Structured Asset Joint Venture | Total |
| Revenues | \$3,525 | \$28,859 | \$9,129 | \$8,496 | \$50,009 |
| Cost of revenues | 2,925 | 20,274 | 3,450 | 8,821 | 35,470 |
| Other expenses | 630 | 1,056 | 4,600 | 2,060 | 8,346 |
| Gain on disposition of loans and REO | | | | (1,865) | (1,865) |
| Total expenses—net | 3,555 | 21,330 | 8,050 | 9,016 | 41,951 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (30) | 7,529 | 1,079 | (520) | 8,058 |
| Other income | 10 | 39 | — | 153 | 202 |
| Net income (loss) before noncontrolling interest | (20) | 7,568 | 1,079 | (367) | 8,260 |
| Less: Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | | | | 220 | 220 |
| Net income (loss) | \$(20) | \$7,568 | \$1,079 | (147) | \$8,480 |
| Company's equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated entities (3) | \$(81) | \$5,308 | \$475 | \$(30) | \$5,672 |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

| | For the nine months ended July 31, 2011 | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|------------|
| | Develop- ment Joint Ventures | Home Building Joint Ventures | Trust and Trust II | Structured Asset Joint Venture | Total |
| Revenues | \$4,643 | \$207,645 | \$28,863 | \$37,624 | \$278,775 |
| Cost of revenues | 3,987 | 165,456 | 11,382 | 26,371 | 207,196 |
| Other expenses | 839 | 7,605 | 14,955 | 8,780 | 32,179 |
| Gain on disposition of loans and REO | | | | (55,727) | (55,727) |
| Total expenses—net | 4,826 | 173,061 | 26,337 | (20,576) | 183,648 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (183) | 34,584 | 2,526 | 58,200 | 95,127 |
| Other income (loss) | 7,479 | (1) | | 228 | 7,706 |
| Net income before noncontrolling interest | 7,296 | 34,583 | 2,526 | 58,428 | 102,833 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | | | | (35,059) | (35,059) |
| Net income | \$7,296 | \$34,583 | \$2,526 | 23,369 | \$67,774 |
| Company's equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated entities (3) | \$(29,695) | \$10,839 | \$3,167 | \$4,684 | \$(11,005) |

| | For the three months ended July 31, 2011 | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------|
| | Develop- ment Joint Ventures | Home Building Joint Ventures | Trust and Trust II | Structured Asset Joint Venture | Total |
| Revenues | \$3,524 | \$67,299 | \$8,997 | \$12,471 | \$92,291 |
| Cost of revenues | 2,829 | 53,964 | 3,399 | 9,714 | 69,906 |
| Other expenses | 283 | 2,164 | 4,404 | 2,529 | 9,380 |
| Gain on disposition of loans and REO | | | | (44,841) | (44,841) |
| Total expenses—net | 3,112 | 56,128 | 7,803 | (32,598) | 34,445 |
| Income from operations | 412 | 11,171 | 1,194 | 45,069 | 57,846 |
| Other income (loss) | 1,689 | (155) | | 71 | 1,605 |
| Net income before noncontrolling interest | 2,101 | 11,016 | 1,194 | 45,140 | 59,451 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | | | | (27,084) | (27,084) |
| Net income | \$2,101 | \$11,016 | \$1,194 | 18,056 | \$32,367 |
| Company's equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated entities (3) | \$(46) | \$7,407 | \$500 | \$3,479 | \$11,340 |

Differences between the Company's equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated entities and the underlying net income (loss) of the entities is primarily a result of impairments related to the Company's investment in (3) unconsolidated entities, distributions from entities in excess of the carrying amount of the Company's net investment, and the Company's share of the entities' profits related to home sites purchased by the Company which reduces the Company's cost basis of the home sites.

5. Investments in Non-Performing Loan Portfolios and Foreclosed Real Estate

Investments in Non-Performing Loan Portfolios

In fiscal 2012, Gibraltar acquired 12 non-performing loans with an unpaid principal balance of approximately \$56.6 million. The non-performing loans are secured primarily by commercial land and buildings in various stages of completion.

13

The following table summarizes for the loans acquired in fiscal 2012, the accretable yield and the non-accretable difference on our investment in the non-performing loans as of its acquisition date (amounts in thousands).

| | | |
|---|----------|---|
| Contractually required payments, including interest | \$58,234 | |
| Non-accretable difference | (8,235 |) |
| Cash flows expected to be collected | 49,999 | |
| Accretable difference | (20,514 |) |
| Non-performing loans carrying amount | \$29,485 | |

The Company's investment in non-performing loan portfolios consisted of the following as of the dates indicated (amounts in thousands):

| | July 31, 2012 | October 31, 2011 |
|----------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Unpaid principal balance | \$143,981 | \$171,559 |
| Discount on acquired loans | (83,732 |) (108,325 |
| Carrying value | \$60,249 | \$63,234 |

The activity in the accretable yield for the Company's investment in the non-performing loan portfolios for the nine-month and three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011 was as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | Nine months ended | | Three Months Ended | |
|--|-------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|
| | July 31, 2012 | 2011 | July 31, 2012 | 2011 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$42,326 | | \$49,256 | \$32,712 |
| Additions | 20,514 | \$33,212 | | |
| Accretion | (9,214 |) (2,229 |) (2,655 |) (1,729 |
| Reductions from foreclosures and other dispositions | (24,090 |) (451 |) (14,141 |) (451 |
| Transfer from non-accretable yield to accretable yield | 4,123 | | 1,297 | |
| Other | 98 | | | |
| Balance, end of period | \$33,757 | \$30,532 | \$33,757 | \$30,532 |

The additions to accretable yield and the accretion of interest income are based on various estimates regarding loan performance and the value of the underlying real estate securing the loans. As the Company continues to obtain updated information regarding the loans and the underlying collateral, the accretable yield may change. Therefore, the amount of accretable income recorded in the nine-month and three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011 is not necessarily indicative of expected future results.

Real Estate Owned (REO)

The following table presents the activity in REO for the nine-month and three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011 (amounts in thousands):

| | Nine months ended | | Three months ended | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|
| | July 31, 2012 | 2011 | July 31, 2012 | 2011 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$5,939 | | \$18,108 | |
| Additions | 33,663 | \$734 | 20,861 | \$734 |
| Sales | (1,346 |) | (731 |) |
| Impairments | (126 |) | (126 |) |
| Depreciation | (138 |) | (120 |) |
| Balance, end of period | \$37,992 | \$734 | \$37,992 | \$734 |

As of July 31, 2012, approximately \$1.8 million and \$36.2 million of REO was classified as held-for-sale and held-and-used, respectively. For the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, the Company recorded gains of \$1.7 million from acquisitions of REO through foreclosure. For the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, the Company recorded an insignificant loss from REO acquisitions through foreclosures.

General

The Company's earnings from Gibraltar's operations are included in Other Income - Net in its condensed consolidated statements of operations. In the nine-month and three-month periods ended July 31, 2012, the Company recognized \$6.5 million and \$0.6 million of earnings, respectively, from Gibraltar's operations. In the nine-month and three-month periods ended July 31, 2011, Gibraltar incurred a loss of \$0.5 million and earnings of \$0.6 million, respectively.

6. Senior Notes Payable and Mortgage Company Loan Facilities

Senior Notes Payable

On February 7, 2012, the Company, through Toll Brothers Finance Corp., issued \$300 million principal amount of 5.875% Senior Notes due 2022 (the "5.875% Senior Notes"). The Company received \$296.2 million of net proceeds from the issuance of the 5.875% Senior Notes.

On March 5, 2012, the Company, through Toll Brothers Finance Corp., issued an additional \$119.9 million principal amount of its 5.875% Senior Notes in exchange for \$80.7 million principal amount of its 6.875% Senior Notes due 2012 and \$36.9 million principal amount of its 5.95% Senior Notes due 2013. The Company recognized a charge of \$1.2 million in the nine-months ended July 31, 2012 representing the aggregate costs associated with the exchange of both series of notes; these expenses are included in selling, general and administrative expenses on the condensed consolidated statement of operations.

Mortgage Company Loan Facilities

In July 2012, TBI Mortgage Company ("TBI Mortgage"), the Company's wholly-owned mortgage subsidiary, amended its Master Repurchase Agreement (the "Repurchase Agreement") with Comerica Bank. The purpose of the Repurchase Agreement is to finance the origination of mortgage loans by TBI Mortgage and it is accounted for as a secured borrowing under ASC 860, "Transfers and Servicing". The Repurchase Agreement, as amended, provides for loan purchases up to \$50 million, subject to certain sublimits. In addition, the Repurchase Agreement provides for an accordion feature under which TBI Mortgage may request that the aggregate commitments under the Repurchase Agreement be increased to an amount up to \$75 million for a short period of time. The Repurchase Agreement, as amended, expires on July 23, 2013 and bears interest at LIBOR plus 2.00%, with a minimum rate of 3.00%.

7. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses at July 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011 consisted of the following (amounts in thousands):

| | July 31, 2012 | October 31, 2011 |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| Land, land development and construction | \$109,612 | \$109,574 |
| Compensation and employee benefit | 94,600 | 96,037 |
| Insurance and litigation | 114,542 | 130,714 |
| Commitments to unconsolidated entities | 2,101 | 60,205 |
| Warranty | 42,260 | 42,474 |
| Interest | 39,256 | 25,968 |
| Other | 62,351 | 56,079 |
| | \$464,722 | \$521,051 |

The Company accrues for expected warranty costs at the time each home is closed and title and possession are transferred to the home buyer. Warranty costs are accrued based upon historical experience. The table below provides, for the periods indicated, a reconciliation of the changes in the Company's warranty accrual (amounts in thousands):

| | Nine Months Ended July 31, | | Three Months Ended July 31, | |
|---|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$42,474 | \$45,835 | \$42,997 | \$46,321 |
| Additions – homes closed during the period | 6,994 | 6,147 | 3,050 | 2,248 |
| Addition – liabilities acquired | 731 | | | |
| Increase (decrease) in accruals for homes closed in prior periods | 1,236 | 18 | (529) | (629) |
| Charges incurred | (9,175) | (7,810) | (3,258) | (3,750) |
| Balance, end of period | \$42,260 | \$44,190 | \$42,260 | \$44,190 |

8. Income Taxes

The tables below provide, for the periods indicated, reconciliations of the Company's effective tax rate from the federal statutory tax rate (amounts in thousands).

| | Nine months ended July 31, | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--------|----------|---------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| | \$ | %* | \$ | %* |
| Federal tax provision (benefit) at statutory rate | 18,268 | 35.0 | (15,625) | (35.0) |
| State tax provision (benefit), net of federal provision (benefit) | 2,205 | 4.2 | (1,451) | (3.3) |
| Reversal of state tax provisions – finalization of audit | (1,782) | (3.4) | (19,273) | (43.2) |
| Reversal of accrual for uncertain tax positions | (18,073) | (34.6) | (30,827) | (69.0) |
| Valuation allowance – recognized | 1,400 | 2.7 | 18,791 | 42.1 |
| Valuation allowance – reversed | (31,164) | (59.7) | (23,123) | (51.8) |
| Accrued interest on anticipated tax assessments | 2,600 | 5.0 | 2,799 | 6.3 |
| Other | 3,010 | 5.7 | (686) | (1.5) |
| Tax benefit | (23,536) | (45.1) | (69,395) | (155.4) |

* Due to rounding, amounts may not add.

| | Three months ended July 31, | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--------|----------|---------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| | \$ | %* | \$ | %* |
| Federal tax provision at statutory rate | 15,034 | 35.0 | 1,361 | 35.0 |
| State tax, net of federal provision | 1,815 | 4.2 | 126 | 3.2 |
| Reversal of state tax provisions – finalization of audit | (1,782) | (4.2) | (16,933) | (435.5) |
| Decrease in unrecognized tax benefits | (277) | (0.6) | | |
| Reversal of accrual for uncertain tax positions | (12,794) | (29.8) | (12,873) | (331.1) |
| Valuation allowance – recognized | 3,500 | 8.1 | | |
| Valuation allowance – reversed | (27,847) | (64.8) | (10,846) | (279.0) |
| Accrued interest on anticipated tax assessments | 650 | 1.5 | 1,174 | 30.2 |
| Other | 3,010 | 7.1 | (229) | (5.9) |
| Tax benefit | (18,691) | (43.5) | (38,220) | (983.1) |

* Due to rounding, amounts may not add.

The Company currently operates in 20 states and is subject to various state tax jurisdictions. The Company estimates its state tax liability based upon the individual taxing authorities' regulations, estimates of income by taxing jurisdiction and the Company's ability to utilize certain tax-saving strategies. Based on the Company's estimate of the allocation of income or loss among the various taxing jurisdictions and changes in tax regulations and their impact on the Company's tax strategies, the Company estimated its rate for state income taxes at 6.5% and 5.0% for fiscal 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company recognizes in its tax benefit potential interest and penalties. Information as to the amounts recognized in its tax benefit, before reduction for applicable taxes and reversal of previously accrued interest and penalties, of potential interest and penalties in the nine-month periods and three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, is set forth in the table below (amounts in thousands).

Recognized in statements of operations:

| | |
|--|---------|
| Nine-month period ended July 31, 2012 | \$4,000 |
| Nine-month period ended July 31, 2011 | \$2,500 |
| Three-month period ended July 31, 2012 | \$1,000 |
| Three-month period ended July 31, 2011 | \$1,806 |

The amounts accrued for potential interest and penalties at July 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011 are set forth in the table below (amounts in thousands).

Accrued at:

| | |
|------------------|----------|
| July 31, 2012 | \$23,136 |
| October 31, 2011 | \$29,200 |

The table below provides, for the periods indicated, a reconciliation of the change in the Company's unrecognized tax benefits (amounts in thousands).

| | Nine months ended July 31, | | Three months ended July 31, | |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$104,669 | \$160,446 | \$99,824 | \$141,392 |
| Increase in benefit as a result of tax positions taken in prior years | 4,000 | 5,943 | 1,000 | 3,443 |
| (Decrease) increase in benefit as a result of resolution of uncertain tax positions | | (17,954) | 3,723 | |
| Decrease in benefit as a result of lapse of statute of limitation | (28,764) | (8,790) | (24,642) | (8,790) |
| Decrease in benefit as a result of completion of tax audits | (1,782) | (35,370) | (1,782) | (31,770) |
| Balance, end of period | \$78,123 | \$104,275 | \$78,123 | \$104,275 |

The Company's unrecognized tax benefits are included in "Income taxes payable" on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheets. If these unrecognized tax benefits reverse in the future, they would have a beneficial impact on the Company's effective tax rate at that time. During the next twelve months, it is reasonably possible that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will change. The anticipated changes will be principally due to expiration of tax statutes, settlements with taxing jurisdictions, increases due to new tax positions taken and the accrual of estimated interest and penalties.

The Company is allowed to carry forward tax losses for 20 years and apply such tax losses to future taxable income to realize federal deferred tax assets. In July 2012, the Company filed its 2011 federal income tax return and claimed \$94.5 million of tax loss carryforwards. In addition, the Company expects to be able to reverse previously recognized valuation allowances against future tax provisions during any future period for which it reports book income before income taxes. The Company will continue to review its deferred tax assets for recoverability in accordance with ASC 740, "Income Taxes".

At July 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011, the Company had recorded cumulative valuation allowances against its entire net deferred federal tax asset of \$354.8 million and \$353.3 million, respectively.

For state tax purposes, due to past and projected losses in certain jurisdictions where the Company does not have carryback potential and/or cannot sufficiently forecast future taxable income, the Company has recognized net cumulative valuation allowances against its state deferred tax assets of \$74.0 million as of July 31, 2012. In 2011, the Company took steps to merge a number of entities to better align financial and tax reporting and to reduce administrative complexity going forward. Some of these mergers occurred in higher state tax jurisdictions creating additional state tax deferred assets of \$28.9 million, offset

entirely by an increase in the state tax valuation allowance. Future valuation allowances in these jurisdictions may continue to be recognized if the Company believes it will not generate sufficient future taxable income to utilize any future state deferred tax assets.

9. Stock-Based Benefit Plans

The Company grants stock options, restricted stock and various types of restricted stock units to its employees and its non-employee directors. Beginning in fiscal 2012, the Company changed the mix of stock-based compensation to its employees by reducing the number of stock options it grants and, in their place, issued non-performance based restricted stock units as a form of compensation. The Company also has an employee stock purchase plan that allows employees to purchase Company stock at a discount.

Information regarding the amount of total stock-based compensation expense recognized by the Company, for the periods indicated, is as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|
| Nine months ended July 31, | \$12,227 | \$10,147 |
| Three months ended July 31, | \$3,367 | \$2,430 |

At July 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011, the aggregate unamortized value of outstanding stock-based compensation awards was approximately \$17.3 million and \$12.7 million, respectively.

Due to the losses recognized by the Company over the past several years and the uncertainty of the timing of future pre-tax profits, the Company has not recognized a tax benefit on its stock-based compensation expense in the fiscal 2012 and 2011 periods.

Information about the Company's more significant stock-based compensation programs is outlined below.

Stock Options:

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using a lattice-based option valuation model that uses assumptions noted in the following table. The lattice-based option valuation model incorporates ranges of assumptions for inputs, which are disclosed in the table below. Expected volatilities were based on implied volatilities from traded options on the Company's stock, historical volatility of the Company's stock and other factors. The expected lives of options granted were derived from the historical exercise patterns and anticipated future patterns and represent the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding; the range given below results from certain groups of employees exhibiting different behaviors. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The weighted-average assumptions and the fair value used for stock option grants in fiscal 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Expected volatility | 44.20% – 50.24% | 45.38% – 49.46% |
| Weighted-average volatility | 46.99% | 47.73% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.78% – 1.77% | 1.64% – 3.09% |
| Expected life (years) | 4.59 – 9.06 | 4.29 – 8.75 |
| Dividends | none | none |
| Weighted-average grant date fair value per share of options granted | \$8.70 | \$7.94 |

Stock compensation expense, related to stock options, for the periods indicated, was as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| Nine months ended July 31, | \$6,091 | \$7,307 |
| Three months ended July 31, | \$1,346 | \$1,402 |

Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units:

In December 2011, the Executive Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors approved awards of performance-based restricted stock units ("Performance-Based RSUs") relating to shares of the Company's common stock to certain of its senior management. The use of Performance-Based RSUs replaced the use of stock price-based restricted stock units awarded in prior years. The Performance-Based RSUs are based on the attainment of certain performance metrics of the Company in fiscal 2012. The number of shares underlying the Performance-Based RSUs that will be issued to the recipients may range from 90% to 110% of the base award depending on actual performance metrics as compared to the target performance metrics. The Performance-Based RSUs vest over a four-year period provided the recipients continue to be employed by the Company or serve on the board of directors of the Company (as applicable) as specified in the award document.

The value of the Performance-Based RSUs was determined to be equal to the estimated number of shares of the Company's common stock to be issued multiplied by the closing price of the Company's common stock on the NYSE on the date the Performance-Based RSUs were awarded. The Company evaluates the performance-based metrics quarterly and estimates the number of shares underlying the RSUs that are probable of being issued. Information regarding the issuance, valuation assumptions and amortization of the Company's Performance-Based RSUs issued in fiscal 2012 is provided below.

| | |
|--|---------|
| | 2012 |
| Estimated number of shares underlying RSUs to be issued | 366,000 |
| Closing price of the Company's common stock on date of issuance | \$20.50 |
| Estimated aggregate fair value of Performance-Based RSUs issued (in thousands) | \$7,503 |
| Performance-Based RSU expense recognized in the nine months ended July 31, 2012 (in thousands): | \$2,931 |
| Performance-Based RSU expense recognized in the three months ended July 31, 2012 (in thousands): | \$1,011 |
| Unamortized value of Performance-Based RSUs at July 31, 2012 (in thousands): | \$4,572 |

Stock Price-Based Restricted Stock Units:

In each of December 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Executive Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors approved awards to certain of its executives of market performance-based restricted stock units ("Stock Price-Based RSUs") relating to shares of the Company's common stock. The Stock Price-Based RSUs vest and the recipients are entitled to receive the underlying shares if the average closing price of the Company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"), measured over any 20 consecutive trading days ending on or prior to five years from date of issuance of the Stock Price-Based RSUs, increases 30% or more over the closing price of the Company's common stock on the NYSE on the date of issuance ("Target Price"), provided the recipients continue to be employed by the Company or serve on the board of directors of the Company (as applicable) as specified in the award document. The Company determined the aggregate value of the Stock Price-Based RSUs using a lattice-based option pricing model. In the three-month period ended April 30, 2012, the Target Price of the Stock Price-Based RSUs issued in December 2009 was met. In the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, the Target Price of the Stock Price-Based RSUs issued in December 2010 and 2008 were met. The Stock Price-Based RSUs issued in December 2008 were paid in the three-month period ended July 31, 2012. The recipient of this RSU elected to use a portion of the shares underlying the RSU to pay the required income withholding taxes on the payout. The gross value of the RSU payout was \$5,934,000 (200,000 shares), the income tax withholding was \$2,409,000 (81,200 shares) and the net value of the shares delivered was \$3,525,000 (118,800 shares).

Information regarding the amortization of the Company's Stock Price-Based RSUs, for the periods indicated, is provided below (amounts in thousands).

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Nine months ended July 31, | \$2,207 | \$2,718 |
| Three months ended July 31, | \$679 | \$983 |

Information regarding the aggregate number of outstanding Stock Price-Based RSUs and aggregate unamortized value of the outstanding Stock Price-Based RSUs, as of the date indicated, is provided below.

| | |
|----------|-------------|
| July 31, | October 31, |
| 2012 | 2011 |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|---------|---------|
| Aggregate outstanding Stock Price-Based RSUs | 506,000 | 706,000 |
| Cumulative unamortized value of Stock Price-Based RSUs (in thousands) | \$2,722 | \$4,929 |

19

Non-Performance Based Restricted Stock Units:

In December 2011 and 2010, the Company issued restricted stock units (“RSUs”) to various officers and employees. These RSUs generally vest in annual installments over a four-year period. The value of the RSUs was determined to be equal to the number of shares of the Company’s common stock to be issued pursuant to the RSUs, multiplied by the closing price of the Company’s common stock on the NYSE on the date the RSUs were awarded. Information regarding these RSUs is as follows:

| | | |
|---|---------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Number of RSUs issued | 106,970 | 15,497 |
| Closing price of the Company’s common stock on date of issuance | \$20.50 | \$19.32 |
| Aggregate fair value of RSUs issued (in thousands) | \$2,193 | \$299 |

Information regarding the amortization of the Company’s RSUs, for the periods indicated, is as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | | |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Nine months ended July 31, | \$933 | \$105 |
| Three months ended July 31, | \$308 | \$39 |

Information regarding the aggregate number of outstanding RSUs and aggregate unamortized value of the outstanding RSUs, as of the date indicated, is as follows:

| | | |
|---|------------------|---------------------|
| | July 31, 2012 | October 31, 2011 |
| Aggregate outstanding RSUs | 137,964 | 30,994 |
| Cumulative unamortized value of RSUs (in thousands) | \$1,621 | \$379 |

10. Employee Retirement Plans

The Company has two unfunded supplemental retirement plans (“SERPs”) for certain officers. The table below provides, for the periods indicated, costs recognized and payments made related to its SERPs (amounts in thousands):

| | Nine Months Ended July 31, | | Three Months Ended July 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Service cost | \$291 | \$229 | \$97 | \$76 |
| Interest cost | 909 | 968 | 303 | 323 |
| Amortization of prior service obligation | 553 | 520 | 184 | 173 |
| Amortization of unrecognized losses | 50 | | 17 | |
| Total costs | \$1,803 | \$1,717 | \$601 | \$572 |
| Benefits paid | \$535 | \$96 | \$310 | \$34 |

11. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss and Total Comprehensive Income

Accumulated other comprehensive loss at July 31, 2012 and 2011 was primarily related to employee retirement plans. The table below provides, for the periods indicated, the components of total comprehensive income (amounts in thousands):

| | Nine Months Ended July 31, | | Three Months Ended July 31, | |
|---|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income as reported | \$75,729 | \$24,752 | \$61,643 | \$42,108 |
| Changes in pension liability | 293 | 520 | 201 | 173 |
| Change in fair value of available-for-sale securities | 414 | (189) | 258 | (194) |
| Unrealized loss on derivative held by equity investee | (942) |) | (214) |) |
| Total comprehensive income | \$75,494 | \$25,083 | \$61,888 | \$42,087 |

12. Stock Repurchase Program

In March 2003, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 20 million shares of its common stock, par value \$0.01, from time to time, in open market transactions or otherwise, for the purpose of providing shares for its various employee benefit plans. The table below provides, for the periods indicated, information about the Company's share repurchase program.

| | Nine months ended | | Three months ended | |
|--|-------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
| | July 31, | | July 31, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Number of shares purchased | 16,000 | 23,000 | 3,000 | 4,000 |
| Average price per share | \$23.75 | \$20.18 | \$28.10 | \$20.44 |
| Remaining authorization at July 31 (in thousands): | 8,770 | 11,807 | 8,770 | 11,807 |

13. Income per Share Information

The table below provides, for the periods indicated, information pertaining to the calculation of income per share, common stock equivalents, weighted average number of anti-dilutive option and shares issued (amounts in thousands).

| | Nine months ended July | | Three months ended | |
|---|------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
| | 31, | | July 31, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Basic weighted-average shares | 166,990 | 167,221 | 167,664 | 168,075 |
| Common stock equivalents (a) | 1,623 | 1,445 | 2,565 | 1,263 |
| Diluted weighted-average shares | 168,613 | 168,666 | 170,229 | 169,338 |
| Weighted average number of anti-dilutive options (b) | 4,663 | 7,118 | 3,279 | 6,461 |
| Shares issued under stock incentive and employee stock purchase plans | 2,269 | 2,227 | 666 | 1,713 |

(a) Common stock equivalents represent the dilutive effect of outstanding in-the-money stock options and Stock Price (a)-Based RSUs whose Target Price criteria has been met.

(b) Based upon the average closing price of the Company's common stock on the NYSE for the period.

14. Fair Value Disclosures

The table below provides, as of the date indicated, a summary of assets (liabilities) related to the Company's financial instruments, measured at fair value on a recurring basis (amounts in thousands).

| Financial Instrument | Fair value hierarchy | Fair value | |
|---|----------------------|---------------|------------------|
| | | July 31, 2012 | October 31, 2011 |
| Corporate Securities | Level 2 | \$187,808 | \$233,572 |
| Certificates of Deposit | Level 1 | \$58,000 | |
| Short-Term Tax-Exempt Bond Fund | Level 1 | \$30,120 | |
| Residential Mortgage Loans Held for Sale | Level 2 | \$72,544 | \$63,175 |
| Forward Loan Commitments—Residential Mortgage Loans Held for Sale | Level 2 | \$(604) |) \$218 |
| Interest Rate Lock Commitments ("IRLCs") | Level 2 | \$358 | \$(147) |
| Forward Loan Commitments—IRLCs | Level 2 | \$(358) |) \$147 |

At July 31, 2012 and October 31, 2011, the carrying value of cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash approximated fair value.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company reevaluated the methodologies used by third party brokers in determining the estimated fair value of its investments in corporate securities. Based on this reevaluation, the Company concluded the estimated fair value of these investments was determined using Level 2 inputs. In prior

periods, corporate securities were classified as Level 1.

21

At the end of the reporting period, the Company determines the fair value of its mortgage loans held for sale and the forward loan commitments it has entered into as a hedge against the interest rate risk of its mortgage loans using the market approach to determine fair value. The evaluation is based on the current market pricing of mortgage loans with similar terms and values as of the reporting date and by applying such pricing to the mortgage loan portfolio. The Company recognizes the difference between the fair value and the unpaid principal balance of mortgage loans held for sale as a gain or loss. In addition, the Company recognizes the fair value of its forward loan commitments as a gain or loss. These gains and losses are included in other income - net. Interest income on mortgage loans held for sale is calculated based upon the stated interest rate of each loan and is included in other income - net.

The table below provides, as of the date indicated, the aggregate unpaid principal and fair value of mortgage loans held for sale as of the date indicated (amounts in thousands).

| | Aggregate unpaid principal balance | Fair value | Excess |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|------------|---------|
| At July 31, 2012 | \$70,986 | \$72,544 | \$1,558 |
| At October 31, 2011 | \$62,765 | \$63,175 | \$410 |

IRLCs represent individual borrower agreements that commit the Company to lend at a specified price for a specified period as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the commitment contract. These commitments have varying degrees of interest rate risk. The Company utilizes best-efforts forward loan commitments (“Forward Commitments”) to hedge the interest rate risk of the IRLCs and residential mortgage loans held for sale. Forward Commitments represent contracts with third-party investors for the future delivery of loans whereby the Company agrees to make delivery at a specified future date at a specified price. The IRLCs and Forward Commitments are considered derivative financial instruments under ASC 815, “Derivatives and Hedging”, which requires derivative financial instruments to be recorded at fair value. The Company estimates the fair value of such commitments based on the estimated fair value of the underlying mortgage loan and, in the case of IRLCs, the probability that the mortgage loan will fund within the terms of the IRLC. To manage the risk of non-performance of investors regarding the Forward Commitments, the Company assesses the credit worthiness of the investors on a periodic basis.

The table below provides, as of the date indicated, the amortized cost, gross unrealized holding gains, gross unrealized holding losses and fair value of marketable securities (amounts in thousands).

| | July 31, 2012 | October 31, 2011 |
|---------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Amortized cost | \$275,738 | \$233,852 |
| Gross unrealized holding gains | 229 | 28 |
| Gross unrealized holding losses | (39) | (308) |
| Fair value | \$275,928 | \$233,572 |

The remaining contractual maturities of marketable securities as of July 31, 2012 ranged from 1 month to 12 months. The Company recognizes inventory impairment charges based on the difference in the carrying value of the inventory and its fair value at the time of the evaluation. The fair value of the aforementioned inventory was determined using Level 3 criteria. See Note 1, “Significant Accounting Policies, Inventory” for additional information regarding the Company’s methodology on determining fair value. As further discussed in Note 1, determining the fair value of a community's inventory involves a number of variables, many of which are interrelated. If the Company used a different input for any of the various unobservable inputs used in its impairment analysis, the results of the analysis may have been different, absent any other changes. The table below summarizes, for the periods indicated, the ranges of certain quantitative unobservable inputs utilized in determining the fair value of impaired communities.

| | Selling price (in thousands) | Sales pace per year (in units) | Discount rate |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Three months ended July 31, 2012 | \$175 - \$571 | 4 - 12 | 14.0% - 17.5% |
| Three months ended April 30, 2012 | \$413 - \$472 | 6 - 17 | 17.5% |
| Three months ended January 31, 2012 | \$344 - \$2,287 | 1 - 25 | 13.0% - 18.8% |

The table below provides, for the periods indicated, the fair value of operating communities whose carrying value was adjusted and the amount of impairment charges recognized (amounts in thousands).

| | Fair value of inventory, net of impairment | Impairment charges recognized |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| Three months ended: | | |
| Fiscal 2012 | | |
| January 31 | \$49,758 | \$6,425 |
| April 30 | \$22,962 | 2,560 |
| July 31 | \$6,609 | 2,685 |
| | | \$11,670 |
| Fiscal 2011 | | |
| January 31 | \$56,105 | \$5,475 |
| April 30 | \$40,765 | 10,725 |
| July 31 | \$867 | 175 |
| | | \$16,375 |

Gibraltar's portfolio of non-performing loans was recorded at estimated fair value at inception based on the acquisition price as determined by Level 3 inputs and was based on the estimated discounted future cash flows to be generated by the loans discounted at the rates used to value the portfolios at the acquisition dates. The table below provides, as of the date indicated, the carrying amount and estimated fair value of the non-performing loan portfolios (amounts in thousands).

| | July 31, 2012 | October 31, 2011 |
|----------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Carrying amount | \$60,249 | \$63,234 |
| Estimated fair value | \$62,062 | \$64,539 |

The table below provides, as of the date indicated, the book value and estimated fair value of the Company's debt (amounts in thousands).

| | Fair value hierarchy | July 31, 2012 | | October 31, 2011 | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | | Book value | Estimated fair value | Book value | Estimated fair value |
| Loans payable (a) | Level 2 | \$106,399 | \$102,731 | \$106,556 | \$98,950 |
| Senior notes (b) | Level 1 | 1,801,688 | 1,992,835 | 1,499,371 | 1,614,010 |
| Mortgage company warehouse loan (c) | Level 2 | 63,128 | 63,128 | 57,409 | 57,409 |
| | | \$1,971,215 | \$2,158,694 | \$1,663,336 | \$1,770,369 |

The estimated fair value of loans payable was based upon their indicated market prices or the interest rates that the (a) Company believed were available to it for loans with similar terms and remaining maturities as of the applicable valuation date.

(b) The estimated fair value of the Company's senior notes is based upon their indicated market prices.

(c) The Company believes that the carrying value of its mortgage company loan borrowings approximates their fair value.

15. Other Income - Net

The table below provides, for the periods indicated, the components of other income - net (amounts in thousands):

| | Nine Months Ended July 31, | | Three Months Ended July 31, | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest income | \$3,301 | \$3,993 | \$1,117 | \$1,389 |
| Income from ancillary businesses | 10,397 | 2,236 | 1,805 | 1,724 |
| Management fee income | 2,270 | 3,043 | 794 | 1,276 |
| Retained customer deposits | 2,330 | 1,316 | 1,082 | 473 |
| Land sales, net | 1,257 | 1,868 | 11 | 664 |
| Other | 2,477 | 1,900 | 972 | 683 |
| Total other income - net | \$22,032 | \$14,356 | \$5,781 | \$6,209 |

Income from ancillary businesses includes the activity of the Company's non-core ancillary businesses which include its mortgage, title, landscaping, security monitoring, golf course and country club operations and Gibraltar. The table below provides, for the periods indicated, revenues and expenses for the Company's non-core ancillary businesses (amounts in thousands).

| | Nine Months Ended July 31, | | Three Months Ended July 31, | |
|---------|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenue | \$61,802 | \$45,555 | \$22,203 | \$17,542 |
| Expense | \$51,405 | \$43,319 | \$20,398 | \$15,818 |

16. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings

The Company is involved in various claims and litigation arising principally in the ordinary course of business. The Company believes that adequate provision for resolution of all current claims and pending litigation has been made for probable losses and the disposition of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and liquidity or on its financial condition.

Land Purchase Commitments

Generally, the Company's option and purchase agreements to acquire land parcels do not require the Company to purchase those land parcels, although the Company may, in some cases, forfeit any deposit balance outstanding if and when it terminates an option and purchase agreement. If market conditions are weak, approvals needed to develop the land are uncertain or other factors exist that make the purchase undesirable, the Company may not expect to acquire the land. Whether an option and purchase agreement is legally terminated or not, the Company reviews the amount recorded for the land parcel subject to the option and purchase agreement to determine if the amount is recoverable. While the Company may not formally terminate the option and purchase agreements for those land parcels that it does not expect to acquire, it writes off any non-refundable deposits and costs previously capitalized to such land parcels in the periods that it determines such costs are not recoverable.

Information regarding the Company's purchase commitments, as of the date indicated, is provided in the table below (amounts in thousands).

| | July 31, 2012 | October 31, 2011 |
|---|---------------|------------------|
| Aggregate purchase commitments: | | |
| Unrelated parties | \$540,670 | \$551,905 |
| Unconsolidated entities that the Company has investments in | 7,862 | 12,471 |
| Total | \$548,532 | \$564,376 |
| Deposits against aggregate purchase commitments | \$40,471 | \$37,987 |
| Additional cash required to acquire land | 508,061 | 526,389 |
| Total | \$548,532 | \$564,376 |

The Company has additional land parcels under option that have been excluded from the aforementioned aggregate purchase amounts since it does not believe that it will complete the purchase of these land parcels and no additional funds will be required from the Company to terminate these contracts.

Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Entities

See Note 4, "Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Entities," for more information regarding the Company's commitments to these entities.

Surety Bonds and Letters of Credit

At July 31, 2012, the Company had outstanding surety bonds amounting to \$365.4 million, primarily related to its obligations to various governmental entities to construct improvements in the Company's various communities. The Company estimates that \$221.4 million of work remains on these improvements. The Company has an additional \$55.4 million of surety bonds outstanding that guarantee other obligations of the Company. The Company does not believe it is probable that any outstanding bonds will be drawn upon.

At July 31, 2012, the Company had outstanding letters of credit of \$78.8 million, including \$65.8 million under its credit facility and \$13.0 million collateralized by restricted cash. These letters of credit were issued to secure various financial obligations of the Company including insurance policy deductibles and other claims, land deposits and security to complete improvements in communities which it is operating. The Company believes it is not probable that any outstanding letters of credit will be drawn upon.

Backlog

At July 31, 2012, the Company had agreements of sale outstanding to deliver 2,559 homes with an aggregate sales value of \$1.6 billion.

Mortgage Commitments

The Company's mortgage subsidiary provides mortgage financing for a portion of the Company's home closings. For those home buyers to whom the Company's mortgage subsidiary provides mortgages, it determines whether the home buyer qualifies for the mortgage he or she is seeking based upon information provided by the home buyer and other sources. For those home buyers that qualify, the Company's mortgage subsidiary provides the home buyer with a mortgage commitment that specifies the terms and conditions of a proposed mortgage loan based upon then-current market conditions. Prior to the actual closing of the home and funding of the mortgage, the home buyer will lock in an interest rate based upon the terms of the commitment. At the time of rate lock, the Company's mortgage subsidiary agrees to sell the proposed mortgage loan to one of several outside recognized mortgage financing institutions ("investors"), which is willing to honor the terms and conditions, including interest rate, committed to the home buyer. The Company believes that these investors have adequate financial resources to honor their commitments to its mortgage subsidiary.

Information regarding the Company's mortgage commitments, as of the date indicated, is provided in the table below (amounts in thousands).

| | July 31, 2012 | October 31, 2011 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Aggregate mortgage loan commitments: | | |
| IRLCs | \$ 130,708 | \$ 129,553 |
| Non-IRLCs | 481,885 | 306,722 |
| Total | \$ 612,593 | \$ 436,275 |
| Investor commitments to purchase: | | |
| IRLCs | \$ 130,708 | \$ 129,553 |
| Mortgage loans receivable | 65,951 | 60,680 |
| Total | \$ 196,659 | \$ 190,233 |

17. Geographic Segments

Revenue and income (loss) before income taxes for each of the Company's geographic segments, for the periods indicated, were as follows (amounts in thousands):

| | Nine Months Ended July 31, | | Three Months Ended July 31, | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| North | \$363,801 | \$273,545 | \$177,068 | \$106,425 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 360,007 | 351,112 | 155,602 | 147,722 |
| South | 255,910 | 197,306 | 97,076 | 68,945 |
| West | 270,237 | 226,133 | 124,573 | 71,213 |
| Total | \$1,249,955 | \$1,048,096 | \$554,319 | \$394,305 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes: | | | | |
| North | \$51,453 | \$28,737 | \$33,720 | \$14,269 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 37,046 | 33,701 | 18,256 | 18,605 |
| South | 9,200 | (27,107) |) 5,202 | (13,495) |
| West | 17,108 | (22,552) |) 8,940 | 4,588 |
| Corporate and other | (62,614) |) (57,422) |) (23,166) |) (20,079) |
| Total | \$52,193 | \$(44,643) |) \$42,952 | \$3,888 |

"Corporate and other" is comprised principally of general corporate expenses such as the offices of the Executive Officers of the Company, and the corporate finance, accounting, audit, tax, human resources, risk management, marketing and legal groups, directly expensed interest, interest income and income from the Company's ancillary businesses and income (loss) from a number of its unconsolidated entities.

Total assets for each of the Company's geographic segments, as of the date indicated, are shown in the table below (amounts in thousands).

| | July 31, | October 31, |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| North | \$1,200,946 | \$1,060,215 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 1,277,511 | 1,160,926 |
| South | 781,761 | 760,097 |
| West | 927,077 | 650,844 |
| Corporate and other | 1,268,977 | 1,423,164 |
| Total | \$5,456,272 | \$5,055,246 |

Corporate and other is comprised principally of cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, restricted cash, the assets of the Company's manufacturing facilities and mortgage subsidiary, and its Gibraltar investments.

Inventory impairment charges and the expensing of costs that the Company believed not to be recoverable, write-downs of its investments in unconsolidated entities (including its pro-rata share of impairment charges recognized by the unconsolidated entities in which it has an investment) and recoveries of prior charges for the periods indicated, are shown in the table below; the net carrying value of inventory and investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities for each of the Company's geographic segments, as of the dates indicated, are also shown in the table below (amounts in thousands).

| | Net Carrying Value | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|--|----------|
| | At July 31, 2012 | At October 31, 2011 | Nine months ended July 31, 2012 | 2011 | Three months ended July 31, 2012 | 2011 |
| Inventory: | | | | | | |
| Land controlled for future communities: | | | | | | |
| North | \$9,540 | \$19,390 | \$(949) |) \$804 | \$47 | \$405 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 33,867 | 21,592 | 722 | 254 | 41 | 168 |
| South | 1,991 | 3,812 | 799 | 312 | 231 | |
| West | 7,264 | 1,787 | 89 | 1,116 | 116 | 64 |
| | 52,662 | 46,581 | 661 | 2,486 | 435 | 637 |
| Land owned for future communities: | | | | | | |
| North | 287,799 | 231,085 | | | | |
| Mid-Atlantic | 441,495 | 455,818 | | | | |
| South | 132,888 | 125,461 | 918 | 16,000 | | 16,000 |
| West | 234,214 | 166,781 | | | | |
| | 1,096,396 | 979,145 | 918 | 16,000 | — | 16,000 |
| Operating communities: | | | | | | |
| North | 745,827 | 738,473 | 2,725 | 2,825 | | 100 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 746,263 | 659,081 | 4,100 | 3,700 | 2,000 | |
| South | 588,934 | 539,582 | 4,245 | 3,800 | 85 | |
| West | 554,623 | 453,861 | 600 | 6,050 | 600 | 75 |
| | 2,635,647 | 2,390,997 | 11,670 | 16,375 | 2,685 | 175 |
| Total | \$3,784,705 | \$3,416,723 | \$13,249 | \$34,861 | \$3,120 | \$16,812 |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities: | | | | | | |
| North | \$124,255 | \$40,734 | | | | |
| South | 31,017 | 32,000 | | \$10,000 | | |
| West | 117,305 | 17,098 | \$(1,621) |) 29,600 | | |
| Corporate | 38,904 | 36,523 | | | | |
| Total | \$311,481 | \$126,355 | \$(1,621) |) \$39,600 | \$— | \$— |

18. Supplemental Disclosure to Statements of Cash Flows

The following are supplemental disclosures to the statements of cash flows for the nine months ended July 31, 2012 and 2011 (amounts in thousands):

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Cash flow information: | | |
| Interest capitalized, net of amount paid | \$2,814 | |
| Interest paid, net of amount capitalized | | \$8,800 |
| Income tax payment | \$2,975 | |
| Income tax refunds | | \$154,524 |
| Non-cash activity: | | |
| Cost of inventory acquired through seller financing or municipal bonds, net | \$21,422 | \$26,773 |
| Increase in SERP benefits | \$310 | |
| Miscellaneous (decreases) increases to inventory | \$(286) |) \$1,781 |
| Reclassification of inventory to property, construction and office equipment | | \$20,005 |
| Reduction of investments in unconsolidated entities due to reduction in letters of credit or accrued liabilities | \$481 | \$9,506 |
| Transfer of inventory to investment in non-performing loan portfolios and foreclosed real estate | \$802 | |
| Transfer of inventory to investment in unconsolidated entities | \$5,793 | |
| Reclassification of deferred income from investment in unconsolidated entities to accrued liabilities | \$2,943 | |
| Unrealized loss on derivative held by equity investee | \$942 | |
| Miscellaneous decreases to investments in unconsolidated entities | \$(89) |) \$(640) |
| Acquisition of Business: | | |
| Fair value of assets purchased | \$149,959 | |
| Liabilities assumed | \$5,213 | |
| Cash paid | \$144,746 | |

19. Supplemental Guarantor Information

A 100% owned subsidiary of the Company, Toll Brothers Finance Corp. (the "Subsidiary Issuer"), has issued the following Senior Notes (amounts in thousand):

| | Original Amount Issued | Amount outstanding at July 31, 2012 |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 6.875% Senior Notes due 2012 | \$300,000 | \$59,067 |
| 5.95% Senior Notes due 2013 | \$250,000 | \$104,785 |
| 4.95% Senior Notes due 2014 | \$300,000 | \$267,960 |
| 5.15% Senior Notes due 2015 | \$300,000 | \$300,000 |
| 8.91% Senior Notes due 2017 | \$400,000 | \$400,000 |
| 6.75% Senior Notes due 2019 | \$250,000 | \$250,000 |
| 5.875% Senior Notes due 2022 | \$419,876 | \$419,876 |

The obligations of the Subsidiary Issuer to pay principal, premiums, if any, and interest is guaranteed jointly and severally on a senior basis by the Company and substantially all of the Company's 100% owned home building subsidiaries (the "Guarantor Subsidiaries"). The guarantees are full and unconditional. The Company's non-home building subsidiaries and several of its home building subsidiaries (the "Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries") do not guarantee the debt. Separate financial statements and other disclosures concerning the Guarantor Subsidiaries are not presented because management has determined that such disclosures would not be material to investors. Prior to the above described senior debt issuances, the Subsidiary Issuer did not have any operations.

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

Supplemental consolidating financial information of Toll Brothers, Inc., the Subsidiary Issuer, the Guarantor Subsidiaries, the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries and the eliminations to arrive at Toll Brothers, Inc. on a consolidated basis is presented below (\$ amounts in thousands).

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet at July 31, 2012:

| | Toll Brothers, Inc. | Subsidiary Issuer | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| ASSETS | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | — | — | 533,371 | 68,080 | — | 601,451 |
| Marketable securities | | | 215,809 | 60,119 | | 275,928 |
| Restricted cash | 28,188 | | 17,958 | 862 | | 47,008 |
| Inventory | | | 3,555,007 | 229,698 | | 3,784,705 |
| Property, construction and office equipment, net | | | 98,131 | 3,085 | | 101,216 |
| Receivables, prepaid expenses and other assets | 203 | 9,943 | 77,334 | 58,507 | (13,020) | 132,967 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | | | | 72,544 | | 72,544 |
| Customer deposits held in escrow | | | 28,501 | 2,230 | | 30,731 |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities | | | 72,781 | 238,702 | (2) | 311,481 |
| Investments in non-performing loan portfolios and foreclosed real estate | | | | 98,241 | | 98,241 |
| Investments in and advances to consolidated entities | 2,752,091 | 1,820,550 | (1,038,874) | (429,597) | (3,104,170) | — |
| | 2,780,482 | 1,830,493 | 3,560,018 | 402,471 | (3,117,192) | 5,456,272 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | | | | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Loans payable | | | 75,747 | 30,652 | | 106,399 |
| Senior notes | | 1,792,453 | | | | 1,792,453 |
| Mortgage company warehouse loan | | | | 63,128 | | 63,128 |
| Customer deposits | | | 135,481 | 6,042 | | 141,523 |
| Accounts payable | | | 106,543 | (26) | | 106,517 |
| Accrued expenses | | 38,040 | 304,522 | 133,549 | (11,389) | 464,722 |
| Income taxes payable | 81,724 | | | (2,000) | | 79,724 |
| Total liabilities | 81,724 | 1,830,493 | 622,293 | 231,345 | (11,389) | 2,754,466 |
| Equity: | | | | | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | | | | | |
| Common stock | 1,687 | | 48 | 3,006 | (3,054) | 1,687 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 397,302 | | | 1,734 | (1,734) | 397,302 |
| Retained earnings | 2,309,980 | | 2,940,796 | 160,219 | (3,101,015) | 2,309,980 |
| Treasury stock, at cost | (10,211) | | | | | (10,211) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | | | (3,119) | (18) | | (3,137) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 2,698,758 | — | 2,937,725 | 164,941 | (3,105,803) | 2,695,621 |
| Noncontrolling interest | | | | 6,185 | | 6,185 |
| Total equity | 2,698,758 | — | 2,937,725 | 171,126 | (3,105,803) | 2,701,806 |
| | 2,780,482 | 1,830,493 | 3,560,018 | 402,471 | (3,117,192) | 5,456,272 |

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet at October 31, 2011:

| | Toll Brothers, Inc. | Subsidiary Issuer | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| ASSETS | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | | 775,300 | 131,040 | | 906,340 |
| Marketable securities | | | 233,572 | | | 233,572 |
| Restricted cash | | | 19,084 | 676 | | 19,760 |
| Inventory | | | 2,911,211 | 505,512 | | 3,416,723 |
| Property, construction and office equipment, net | | | 77,001 | 22,711 | | 99,712 |
| Receivables, prepaid expenses and other assets | | 6,768 | 74,980 | 26,067 | (2,239) | 105,576 |
| Mortgage loans held for sale | | | | 63,175 | | 63,175 |
| Customer deposits held in escrow | | | 10,682 | 4,177 | | 14,859 |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities | | | 86,481 | 39,874 | | 126,355 |
| Investments in non-performing loan portfolios and foreclosed real estate | | | | 69,174 | | 69,174 |
| Investments in and advances to consolidated entities | 2,694,419 | 1,508,550 | (727,258) | (477,322) | (2,998,389) | — |
| | 2,694,419 | 1,515,318 | 3,461,053 | 385,084 | (3,000,628) | 5,055,246 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | | | | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | | | |
| Loans payable | | | 61,994 | 44,562 | | 106,556 |
| Senior notes | | 1,490,972 | | | | 1,490,972 |
| Mortgage company warehouse loan | | | | 57,409 | | 57,409 |
| Customer deposits | | | 71,388 | 12,436 | | 83,824 |
| Accounts payable | | | 96,645 | 172 | | 96,817 |
| Accrued expenses | | 24,346 | 320,021 | 178,965 | (2,281) | 521,051 |
| Income taxes payable | 108,066 | | | (2,000) | | 106,066 |
| Total liabilities | 108,066 | 1,515,318 | 550,048 | 291,544 | (2,281) | 2,462,695 |
| Equity: | | | | | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | | | | | |
| Common stock | 1,687 | | 3,054 | 2,003 | (5,057) | 1,687 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 400,382 | | 1,366 | 2,734 | (4,100) | 400,382 |
| Retained earnings | 2,234,251 | | 2,909,487 | 82,605 | (2,992,092) | 2,234,251 |
| Treasury stock, at cost | (47,065) | | | | | (47,065) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (2,902) | | (2,902) | | 2,902 | (2,902) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 2,586,353 | — | 2,911,005 | 87,342 | (2,998,347) | 2,586,353 |
| Noncontrolling interest | | | | 6,198 | | 6,198 |
| Total equity | 2,586,353 | — | 2,911,005 | 93,540 | (2,998,347) | 2,592,551 |
| | 2,694,419 | 1,515,318 | 3,461,053 | 385,084 | (3,000,628) | 5,055,246 |

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations for the nine months ended July 31, 2012 (\$ in thousands):

| | Toll Brothers, Inc. | Subsidiary Issuer | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Revenues | | | 1,273,494 | 42,581 | (66,120) | 1,249,955 |
| Cost of revenues | | | 1,033,992 | 1,774 | (9,409) | 1,026,357 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 54 | 2,355 | 232,338 | 29,762 | (51,724) | 212,785 |
| Interest expense | | 84,111 | | 318 | (84,429) | — |
| | 54 | 86,466 | 1,266,330 | 31,854 | (145,562) | 1,239,142 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (54) | (86,466) | 7,164 | 10,727 | 79,442 | 10,813 |
| Other: | | | | | | |
| Income from unconsolidated entities | | | 13,858 | 5,490 | | 19,348 |
| Other income - net | 38 | 86,466 | 15,166 | (197) | (79,441) | 22,032 |
| Income from subsidiaries | 52,209 | | 16,020 | | (68,229) | — |
| Income before income tax benefit | 52,193 | — | 52,208 | 16,020 | (68,228) | 52,193 |
| Income tax benefit | (23,536) | | (23,544) | (7,224) | 30,768 | (23,536) |
| Net income | 75,729 | — | 75,752 | 23,244 | (98,996) | 75,729 |

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations for the three months ended July 31, 2012 (\$ in thousands):

| | Toll Brothers, Inc. | Subsidiary Issuer | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Revenues | | | 562,143 | 16,959 | (24,783) | 554,319 |
| Cost of revenues | | | 452,672 | 489 | (5,233) | 447,928 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 27 | 573 | 83,570 | 10,128 | (19,406) | 74,892 |
| Interest expense | | 28,002 | | 318 | (28,320) | — |
| | 27 | 28,575 | 536,242 | 10,935 | (52,959) | 522,820 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (27) | (28,575) | 25,901 | 6,024 | 28,176 | 31,499 |
| Other: | | | | | | |
| Income from unconsolidated entities | | | 4,672 | 1,000 | | 5,672 |
| Other income - net | 18 | 28,575 | 7,586 | (2,223) | (28,175) | 5,781 |
| Income from subsidiaries | 42,961 | | 4,801 | | (47,762) | — |
| Income before income tax benefit | 42,952 | — | 42,960 | 4,801 | (47,761) | 42,952 |
| Income tax benefit | (18,691) | | (18,695) | (1,341) | 20,036 | (18,691) |
| Net income | 61,643 | — | 61,655 | 6,142 | (67,797) | 61,643 |

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations for the nine months ended July 31, 2011 (\$ in thousands):

| | Toll Brothers, Inc. | Subsidiary Issuer | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Revenues | | | 1,022,423 | 77,545 | (51,872) | 1,048,096 |
| Cost of revenues | | | 858,452 | 49,647 | (9,833) | 898,266 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 91 | 1,000 | 200,646 | 28,772 | (37,603) | 192,906 |
| Interest expense | | 78,273 | 1,504 | | (78,273) | 1,504 |
| | 91 | 79,273 | 1,060,602 | 78,419 | (125,709) | 1,092,676 |
| Loss from operations | (91) | (79,273) | (38,179) | (874) | 73,837 | (44,580) |
| Other: | | | | | | |
| Loss (income) from unconsolidated entities | | | 12,597 | (23,602) | | (11,005) |
| Other income - net | | 82,687 | 8,910 | (3,404) | (73,837) | 14,356 |
| Expenses related to retirement of debt | | (3,414) | | | | (3,414) |
| Loss from subsidiaries | (44,552) | | (27,880) | | 72,432 | — |
| Loss before income tax benefit | (44,643) | — | (44,552) | (27,880) | 72,432 | (44,643) |
| Income tax benefit | (69,395) | — | (69,253) | (43,338) | 112,591 | (69,395) |
| Net income | 24,752 | — | 24,701 | 15,458 | (40,159) | 24,752 |

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations for the three months ended July 31, 2011 (\$ in thousands):

| | Toll Brothers, Inc. | Subsidiary Issuer | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Revenues | | | 385,016 | 30,123 | (20,834) | 394,305 |
| Cost of revenues | | | 321,824 | 22,142 | (4,019) | 339,947 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 38 | 330 | 67,674 | 10,079 | (13,516) | 64,605 |
| Interest expense | | 25,790 | | | (25,790) | — |
| | 38 | 26,120 | 389,498 | 32,221 | (43,325) | 404,552 |
| Loss from operations | (38) | (26,120) | (4,482) | (2,098) | 22,491 | (10,247) |
| Other: | | | | | | |
| Income from unconsolidated entities | | | 8,892 | 2,448 | | 11,340 |
| Other income - net | | 29,534 | 1,120 | (1,954) | (22,491) | 6,209 |
| Expenses related to retirement of debt | | (3,414) | | | | (3,414) |
| Income (loss) from subsidiaries | 3,926 | | (1,604) | | (2,322) | — |
| Income (loss) before income tax benefit | 3,888 | — | 3,926 | (1,604) | (2,322) | 3,888 |
| Income tax benefit | (38,220) | | (37,469) | (26,472) | 63,941 | (38,220) |
| Net income | 42,108 | — | 41,395 | 24,868 | (66,263) | 42,108 |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows for the nine months ended July 31, 2012 (\$ in thousands):

| | Toll Brothers, Inc. | Subsidiary Issuer | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Cash flow from operating activities: | | | | | | |
| Net income | 75,729 | — | 75,752 | 23,244 | (98,996) | 75,729 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 15 | 2,666 | 13,640 | 202 | | 16,523 |
| Stock-based compensation | 12,227 | | | | | 12,227 |
| Recovery of investments in unconsolidated entities | | | | (1,621) | | (1,621) |
| Income from unconsolidated entities | | | (13,858) | (3,869) | | (17,727) |
| Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated entities | | | 4,028 | | | 4,028 |
| Income from non-performing loan portfolios and foreclosed real estate | | | | (12,725) | | (12,725) |
| Deferred tax benefit | 1,477 | | | | | 1,477 |
| Deferred tax valuation allowance | (1,477) | | | | | (1,477) |
| Inventory impairments and write-offs | | | 13,249 | | | 13,249 |
| Change in fair value of mortgage loans receivable and derivative instruments | | | | (244) | | (244) |
| Gain on marketable securities | | | (40) | | | (40) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | | | | | | |
| Increase in inventory | | | (141,871) | (80,550) | | (222,421) |
| Origination of mortgage loans | | | | (434,780) | | (434,780) |
| Sale of mortgage loans | | | | 426,559 | | 426,559 |
| Decrease (increase) in restricted cash | (28,188) | | 1,126 | (186) | | (27,248) |
| Decrease (increase) in receivables, prepaid expenses and other assets | (54,988) | (312,587) | 16,690 | 225,512 | 103,198 | (22,175) |
| Increase in customer deposits | | | 46,224 | (4,447) | | 41,777 |
| (Decrease) increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses | (2,584) | 13,694 | (19,259) | (46,514) | (4,202) | (58,865) |
| Decrease in income taxes payable | (26,342) | | | | | (26,342) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (24,131) | (296,227) | (4,319) | 90,581 | — | (234,096) |
| Cash flow from investing activities: | | | | | | |
| Purchase of property and equipment | | | (6,447) | (871) | | (7,318) |
| Purchase of marketable securities | | | (257,431) | (60,138) | | (317,569) |
| Sale and redemption of marketable securities | | | 270,503 | | | 270,503 |
| Investments in and advances to unconsolidated entities | | | (112,717) | (83,096) | | (195,813) |
| Return of investments from unconsolidated entities | | | 32,172 | 1,059 | | 33,231 |
| Investment in non-performing loan portfolios and foreclosed real estate | | | | (30,090) | | (30,090) |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|--------|---------|------------|------------|------------|
| Return of investments in non-performing loan portfolios and foreclosed real estate | | | 14,412 | | 14,412 |
| Acquisition of a business | | | (144,746) | | (144,746) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | — | — | (218,666) | (158,724) | (377,390) |
| Cash flow from financing activities: | | | | | |
| Net Proceeds from issuance of senior notes | | 296,227 | | | 296,227 |
| Proceeds from loans payable | | | 675,481 | | 675,481 |
| Principal payments of loans payable | | | (18,944) | (670,298) | (689,242) |
| Proceeds from stock-based benefit plans | 24,515 | | | | 24,515 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (384) | | | | (384) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | 24,131 | 296,227 | (18,944) | 5,183 | 306,597 |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | — | — | (241,929) | (62,960) | (304,889) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | | | 775,300 | 131,040 | 906,340 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | — | — | 533,371 | 68,080 | 601,451 |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows for the nine months ended July 31, 2011 (\$ in thousands):

| | Toll Brothers, Inc. | Subsidiary Issuer | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Cash flow from operating activities: | | | | | | |
| Net income | 24,752 | — | 24,701 | 15,458 | (40,159) | 24,752 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 2,416 | 14,055 | 652 | | 17,123 |
| Stock-based compensation | 10,147 | | | | | 10,147 |
| Impairments of investments in unconsolidated entities | | | 10,000 | 29,600 | | 39,600 |
| Income from unconsolidated entities | | | (16,725) | (11,870) | | (28,595) |
| Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated entities | | | 7,315 | | | 7,315 |
| Income from non-performing loan portfolios and foreclosed real estate | | | | (1,187) | | (1,187) |
| Deferred tax benefit | 4,329 | | | | | 4,329 |
| Deferred tax valuation allowance | (4,329) | | | | | (4,329) |
| Inventory impairments and write-offs | | | 34,861 | | | 34,861 |
| Change in fair value of mortgage loans receivable and derivative instruments | | | | 628 | | 628 |
| Expenses related to early retirement of debt | | 3,414 | | | | 3,414 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | | | | | | |
| Increase in inventory | | | (85,962) | (122,242) | | (208,204) |
| Origination of mortgage loans | | | | (457,383) | | (457,383) |
| Sale of mortgage loans | | | | 504,724 | | 504,724 |
| Decrease (increase) in restricted cash | | | 38,584 | (1,903) | | 36,681 |
| Decrease (increase) in receivables, prepaid expenses and other assets | (141,264) | 40,368 | (202,417) | 259,947 | 40,411 | (2,955) |
| Increase in customer deposits | | | 7,067 | 11,023 | | 18,090 |
| (Increase) decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses | (2,032) | 2,239 | 75,257 | (112,985) | (252) | (37,773) |
| Decrease in income tax refund recoverable | 141,590 | | | | | 141,590 |
| Decrease in income taxes payable | (56,461) | | | | | (56,461) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | (23,268) | 48,437 | (93,264) | 114,462 | — | 46,367 |
| Cash flow from investing activities: | | | | | | |
| Purchase of property and equipment — net | | | (3,756) | (3,171) | | (6,927) |
| Purchase of marketable securities | | | (420,087) | | | (420,087) |
| Sale and redemption of marketable securities | | | 318,372 | | | 318,372 |
| Return of investments in unconsolidated entities | | | 40,485 | (14,200) | | 26,285 |
| Investment in non-performing loan portfolios and foreclosed real estate | | | | (42,141) | | (42,141) |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|------------|------------|---|------------|
| Return of investments in non-performing loan portfolios and foreclosed real estate | | | | 101 | | 101 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | — | — | (64,986) | (59,411) | — | (124,397) |
| Cash flow from financing activities: | | | | | | |
| Proceeds from loans payable | | | | 666,659 | | 666,659 |
| Principal payments of loans payable | | | (11,377) | (703,754) | | (715,131) |
| Redemption of senior notes | | (48,437) | | | | (48,437) |
| Proceeds from stock-based benefit plans | 23,731 | | | | | 23,731 |
| Receipts related to noncontrolling interest | | | | 2,678 | | 2,678 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (463) | | | | | (463) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | 23,268 | (48,437) | (11,377) | (34,417) | — | (70,963) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | — | — | (169,627) | 20,634 | — | (148,993) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | — | | 930,387 | 108,673 | | 1,039,060 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | — | — | 760,760 | 129,307 | — | 890,067 |

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS ("MD&A")

This discussion and analysis is based on, should be read with, and is qualified in its entirety by, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes, as well as our consolidated financial statements, notes thereto, and the related Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2011. It also should be read in conjunction with the disclosure under "Statement on Forward-Looking Information" in this report. Unless otherwise stated, net contracts signed represents a number or value equal to the gross number or value of contracts signed during the relevant period, less the number or value of contracts canceled during the relevant period, which includes contracts that were signed during the relevant period and in prior periods.

OVERVIEW

Financial Highlights

In the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, we recognized \$1.25 billion of revenues and net income of \$75.7 million, as compared to \$1.05 billion of revenues and net income of \$24.8 million in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011. Fiscal 2012 nine-month income before income taxes included \$13.2 million of inventory impairments and write-offs and a recovery of \$1.6 million of previously accrued charges related to our investments in unconsolidated entities. Fiscal 2011 nine-month loss before income taxes included inventory impairments and write-offs of \$34.9 million, \$39.6 million of impairment charges related to our investments in unconsolidated entities and \$3.4 million of expenses related to the repurchase of our debt. During the fiscal 2012 nine-month period, we recognized an income tax benefit of \$23.5 million, as compared to an income tax benefit of \$69.4 million in the fiscal 2011 period.

In the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, we recognized \$554.3 million of revenues and net income of \$61.6 million, as compared to \$394.3 million of revenues and net income of \$42.1 million in the three-month period ended July 31, 2011. Fiscal 2012 third quarter income before income taxes included \$3.1 million of inventory impairments. Fiscal 2011 third quarter income before income taxes included \$16.8 million of inventory impairments and \$3.4 million of expenses related to the repurchase of our debt. During the fiscal 2012 third quarter, we recognized an income tax benefit of \$18.7 million, as compared to an income tax benefit of \$38.2 million in the fiscal 2011 third quarter.

Our Challenging Business Environment and Current Outlook

The downturn in the U.S. housing market, which began in the fourth quarter of our fiscal 2005, was the longest and most severe since the Great Depression. The value of our net contracts signed in fiscal 2011 was \$1.60 billion, a decline of 78% from the \$7.15 billion of net contracts signed in fiscal 2005. The downturn, which we believe started with a decline in consumer confidence, an overall softening of demand for new homes and an oversupply of homes available for sale, has been exacerbated by, among other things, a decline in the overall economy; increased unemployment, fear of job loss; a decline in home prices and the resulting reduction in home equity; the large number of homes that are vacant and homes that are or will be available due to foreclosures; the inability of some of our home buyers or some prospective buyers of their homes to sell their current home; and the direct and indirect impact of the turmoil in the mortgage loan market. In the early part of 2010, we saw many of our markets reach bottom, and until recently, demand for our product had been choppy.

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, during the period 1970 through 2007, total housing starts in the United States averaged approximately 1.26 million per year, while in the period 2008 through 2011, total housing starts averaged approximately 0.66 million per year. In addition, based on the trend of household formations in relation to population growth during the period 2000 through 2007, the number of households formations formed in the four year period of 2008 through 2011 was approximately 2.3 million less than would have been expected.

Recently, the housing market has moved into a new and stronger phase of recovery as we are experiencing broad-based improvement across most of our regions. Our fiscal 2012 selling season was the most robust and sustained since the downturn began. We believe the housing recovery is being driven by pent-up demand, low interest rates and attractively priced homes. Our brand name, our well-located communities and our demonstrated reliability and quality are enabling us to attract more buyers.

We believe our target customers generally have remained employed during this downturn. However, we believe many deferred their home buying decisions because of concerns over the direction of the economy and concerns over the direction of home

prices. We believe that, as consumer confidence continues to improve, pent-up demand will continue to be released. We believe that the key to a full recovery in our business depends on the above-mentioned factors as well as an improved economy and a sustained stabilization of financial markets.

We also believe that the medium and long-term future for us and the homebuilding industry are bright. A 2011 Harvard University study projects that under both low- and high-growth scenarios, housing demand in the 2010-2020 period should exceed that of the previous three decades. In many markets, the pipeline of approved and improved home sites has dwindled as builders and developers have lacked both the capital and the economic benefit for bringing sites through approvals. Therefore, we believe when demand picks up, builders and developers with approved land in well-located markets will be poised to benefit. We believe that this will be particularly true for us because our land portfolio is heavily weighted in the metro-Washington, DC to metro-Boston corridor where land is scarce, approvals are more difficult to obtain and overbuilding has been relatively less prevalent than in the Southeast and Western regions.

We continue to believe that many of our communities are in desirable locations that are difficult to replace and in markets where approvals have been increasingly difficult to achieve. We believe that many of these communities have substantial embedded value that may be realized in the future as the housing recovery strengthens.

Competitive Landscape

Based on our experience during prior downturns in the housing industry, we believe that attractive land acquisition opportunities arise in difficult times for those builders that have the financial strength to take advantage of them. In the current environment, we believe our strong balance sheet, liquidity, access to capital, broad geographic presence, diversified product line, experienced personnel and national brand name all position us well for such opportunities now and in the future.

We continue to see reduced competition from the small and mid-sized private builders that had been our primary competitors in the luxury market. We believe that many of these builders are no longer in business and that access to capital by the surviving private builders is already severely constrained. We envision that there will be fewer and more selective lenders serving our industry as the market rebounds and that those lenders likely will gravitate to the homebuilding companies that offer them the greatest security, the strongest balance sheets and the broadest array of potential business opportunities. While some builders may re-emerge with new capital, the scarcity of attractive land is a further impediment to their re-emergence. We believe that this reduced competition, combined with attractive long-term demographics, will reward those well-capitalized builders that can persevere through the current challenging environment.

As market conditions continue to improve, we believe that geographic and product diversification, access to lower-cost capital and strong demographics will benefit those builders, like us, who can control land and persevere through the increasingly difficult regulatory approval process. We believe that these factors favor a large publicly traded homebuilding company with the capital and expertise to control home sites and gain market share. We also believe that over the past five years, many builders and land developers reduced the number of home sites that were taken through the approval process. The process continues to be difficult and lengthy, and the political pressure from no-growth proponents continues to increase, but we believe our expertise in taking land through the approval process and our already-approved land positions will allow us to grow in the years to come as market conditions improve.

Land Acquisition and Development

Because of the length of time that it takes to obtain the necessary approvals on a property, complete the land improvements on it, and deliver a home after a home buyer signs an agreement of sale, we are subject to many risks. In certain cases, we attempt to reduce some of these risks by utilizing one or more of the following methods: controlling land for future development through options (also referred to herein as “land purchase contracts” or “option and purchase agreements”), thus allowing the necessary governmental approvals to be obtained before acquiring title to the land; generally commencing construction of a detached home only after executing an agreement of sale and receiving a substantial down payment from the buyer; and using subcontractors to perform home construction and land development work on a fixed-price basis.

In response to the decline in market conditions over the past several years, we re-evaluated and renegotiated or canceled many of our land purchase contracts. In addition, we sold, and may continue to sell, certain parcels of land

that we identified as non-strategic. As a result, we reduced our land position from a high of approximately 91,200 home sites at April 30, 2006 to a low of approximately 31,700 home sites at January 31, 2010. Based on our belief that the housing market has begun to recover, the increased attractiveness of land available for purchase and the revival of demand in certain areas, we have begun to increase our land positions. During fiscal 2011 and in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, we acquired control of approximately 5,300 home sites (net of options terminated) and 4,000 home sites (net of options terminated), respectively. Of the 4,000 home sites we acquired control of in the nine-month period of fiscal 2012, approximately 1,500 home sites were acquired in the

CamWest asset purchase. At July 31, 2012, we controlled approximately 39,200 home sites of which we owned approximately 31,500 of them. Of these 31,500 home sites, significant improvements were completed on approximately 12,300 of them. At July 31, 2012 and 2011, we were selling from 226 and 207 communities, respectively. At April 30, 2012 and 2011, we were selling from 230 and 203 communities, respectively. At October 31, 2011, we were selling from 215 communities, compared to 195 communities at October 31, 2010. Our November 2011 acquisition of CamWest assets increased our selling community count by 15.

We expect to be selling from 225 to 235 communities at October 31, 2012. At July 31, 2012, we had 45 communities that were temporarily closed due to market conditions and 50 communities that we had acquired the land for but have temporarily decided not to open.

Availability of Customer Mortgage Financing

We maintain relationships with a widely diversified group of mortgage financial institutions, many of which are among the largest and, we believe, most reliable in the industry. We believe that regional and community banks continue to recognize the long-term value in creating relationships with high-quality, affluent customers such as our home buyers, and these banks continue to provide such customers with financing.

We believe that our home buyers generally are, and should continue to be, better able to secure mortgages due to their typically lower loan-to-value ratios and attractive credit profiles as compared to the average home buyer.

Nevertheless, in recent years, tightened credit standards have shrunk the pool of potential home buyers and hindered accessibility of or eliminated certain loan products previously available to our home buyers. Our home buyers continue to face stricter mortgage underwriting guidelines, higher down payment requirements and narrower appraisal guidelines than in the past. In addition, some of our home buyers continue to find it more difficult to sell their existing homes as prospective buyers of their homes may face difficulties obtaining a mortgage. In addition, other potential buyers may have little or negative equity in their existing homes and may not be able to or willing to purchase a larger or more expensive home.

While the range of mortgage products available to a potential home buyer is not what it was in the period 2005 through 2007, we have seen improvements over the past year. Indications from industry participants, including commercial banks, mortgage banks, mortgage REITS and mortgage insurance companies are that availability, parameters and pricing of jumbo loans are all improving. We believe that improvement should not only enhance financing alternatives for existing jumbo buyers, but should help to offset the reduction in Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac-eligible loan amounts in some markets. Based on the mortgages provided by our mortgage subsidiary during the past 18 months, we do not expect the change in the Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac-eligible loan amounts to have a significant impact on our business.

There has been significant media attention given to mortgage put-backs, a practice by which a buyer of a mortgage loan tries to recoup losses from the loan originator. We do not believe this is a material issue for our mortgage subsidiary. Of the approximately 15,000 loans sold by our mortgage subsidiary since November 1, 2004, only 30 have been the subject of either actual indemnification payments or take-backs or contingent liability loss provisions related thereto. We believe that this is due to (i) our typical home buyer's financial position and sophistication, (ii) on average, our home buyers who use mortgage financing to purchase a home pay approximately 30% of the purchase price in cash, (iii) our general practice of not originating certain loan types such as option adjustable rate mortgages and down payment assistance products, and our origination of very few sub-prime, high loan-to-value and no documentation loans, (iv) our elimination of "early payment default" provisions from each of our agreements with our mortgage investors several years ago, and (v) the quality of our controls, processes and personnel in our mortgage subsidiary. The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act provides for a number of new requirements relating to residential mortgage lending practices, many of which are subject to further rule making. These include, among others, minimum standards for mortgages and related lender practices, the definitions and parameters of a Qualified Mortgage and a Qualified Residential Mortgage, future risk retention requirements, limitations on certain fees, prohibition of certain tying arrangements, and remedies for borrowers in foreclosure proceedings in the event that a lender violates fee limitations or minimum standards. The ultimate effect of such provisions on lending institutions, including our mortgage subsidiary, will depend on the rules that are ultimately promulgated.

Gibraltar

We continue to look for distressed real estate opportunities through Gibraltar. Gibraltar continues to selectively review a steady flow of new opportunities, including bank portfolios and other distressed real estate investments. In fiscal 2012, Gibraltar acquired 12 non-performing loans with an unpaid principal balance of approximately \$56.6 million. The portfolio included non-performing loans secured by commercial land and buildings in various stages of completion. The portfolios that Gibraltar previously acquired were primarily residential acquisition, development, and construction loans secured by properties in various stages of completion.

At July 31, 2012, Gibraltar had direct investments in loan portfolios, real estate owned and a participation in a loan portfolio of approximately \$98.2 million and an investment in a structured asset joint venture of \$35.7 million. At July 31, 2012, Gibraltar directly, through a loan participation and through a joint venture, controlled 315 loans and properties with a net unpaid principal of the loans or estimated fair value of the properties of approximately \$1.2 billion.

During the nine-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, we recognized income of \$7.5 million and \$5.1 million from the Gibraltar operations, respectively, including its equity in the earnings from its investment in a structured asset joint venture. For the three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, we recognized income of \$0.6 million and \$4.1 million, respectively.

CONTRACTS AND BACKLOG

The aggregate value of gross sales contracts signed increased 51.6% in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011. The value of gross sales contracts signed was \$1.95 billion (3,190 homes) and \$1.29 billion (2,266 homes) in the nine-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in the aggregate value of gross contracts signed in the nine-month period of fiscal 2012, as compared to the comparable period of fiscal 2011 was the result of a 40.8% increase in the number of gross contracts signed, and a 7.7% increase in the average value of each contract signed. The increase in the number of gross contracts signed was primarily due to an increase in demand for our homes and a 11.7% increase in the average number of selling communities in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. Approximately one-half of the increase in the average value of each contract signed was due to the sales contracts signed at one of our luxury high-rise developments in the metro-New York market in fiscal 2012 which averaged approximately \$4.6 million each; the remaining increase in the average price of the contracts signed was primarily attributable to a change in mix to more expensive product and/or areas, reduced incentives given on new contracts in the period and, in some of our communities, increased prices.

The aggregate value of gross sales contracts signed increased 60.9% in the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to the three-month period ended July 31, 2011. The value of gross sales contracts signed was \$706.5 million (1,173 homes) and \$439.2 million (770 homes) in the three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in the aggregate value of gross contracts signed in the three-month period of fiscal 2012, as compared to the comparable period of fiscal 2011, was the result of a 52.3% increase in the number of gross contracts signed, and a 5.6% increase in the average value of each contract signed. The increase in the number of gross contracts signed was primarily due to increased demand and an 11.2% increase in the average number of selling communities in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. The increase in the average price of the contracts signed was primarily attributable to a change in mix to more expensive product and/or areas, reduced incentives given on new contracts in the period and, in some of our communities, increased prices. Approximately one-third of the increase in the average value of each contract signed was due to one sales contract signed at one of our luxury high-rise developments in the metro-New York market in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 with a value of \$13.3 million.

The aggregate value of net contracts signed increased 54.2% in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011. The value of net contracts signed was \$1.87 billion (3,061 homes) in the fiscal 2012 period and \$1.21 billion (2,140 homes) in the fiscal 2011 period. The increase in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was the result of a 43.0% increase in the number of net contracts signed, and a 7.8% increase in the average value of each contract signed. The increase in the number of net contracts signed in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was the result of the higher number of gross

contracts signed in the fiscal 2012 period and the reduced rate of contract cancellations in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period.

The aggregate value of net contracts signed increased 65.8% in the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to the three-month period ended July 31, 2011. The value of net contracts signed was \$674.4 million (1,119 homes) in the fiscal 2012 period and \$406.7 million (713 homes) in the fiscal 2011 period. The increase in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was the result of a 56.9% increase in the number of net contracts signed, and a 5.7% increase in the average value of each contract signed. The increase in the number of net contracts signed in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was the result of the higher number of gross contracts signed in the fiscal 2012 period and the reduced rate

of contract cancellations in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period.

In the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, home buyers canceled \$75.9 million (129 homes) of signed contracts, representing 3.9% of the gross value of contracts signed and 4.0% of the gross number of contracts signed. In the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011, home buyers canceled \$71.4 million (126 homes) of signed contracts, representing 5.6% of both the gross value of contracts signed and the gross number of contracts signed. The average value of the contracts canceled in the nine-month period of fiscal 2012 increased approximately 3.9%, as compared to the nine-month period of fiscal 2011.

In the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, home buyers canceled \$32.1 million (54 homes) of signed contracts, representing 4.5% of the gross value of contracts signed and 4.6% of the gross number of contracts signed. In the three-month period ended July 31, 2011, home buyers canceled \$32.5 million (57 homes) of signed contracts, representing 7.4% of both the gross value of contracts signed and the gross number of contracts signed. The average value of the contracts canceled in the three-month period of fiscal 2012 increased approximately 4.3%, as compared to the three-month period of fiscal 2011.

Backlog consists of homes under contract but not yet delivered to our home buyers. The value of our backlog at July 31, 2012 of \$1.62 billion (2,559 homes) increased 58.9%, as compared to our backlog at July 31, 2011 of \$1.02 billion (1,780 homes). Our backlog at October 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$981.1 million (1,667 homes) and \$852.1 million (1,494 homes), respectively. The increase in the value of backlog at July 31, 2012, as compared to the backlog at July 31, 2011, was primarily attributable to the increase in the aggregate value of net contracts signed in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011, and the higher backlog at October 31, 2011, as compared to the backlog at October 31, 2010, offset, in part, by the increase in the aggregate value of our deliveries in the nine months of fiscal 2012, as compared to the aggregate value of deliveries in the nine months of fiscal 2011.

For more information regarding revenues, gross contracts signed, contract cancellations and net contracts signed by geographic segment, see "Geographic Segments" in this MD&A.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

As disclosed in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2011, our most critical accounting policies relate to inventory, income taxes - valuation allowances and revenue and cost recognition. Since October 31, 2011, there have been no significant changes to those critical accounting policies.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We have investments in and advances to various unconsolidated entities. At July 31, 2012, we had investments in and advances to these entities, net of impairment charges recognized, of \$311.5 million, and were committed to invest or advance \$98.5 million to these entities if they require additional funding. In addition, we have guaranteed approximately \$9.8 million of payments under a ground lease for one of the joint ventures. Our investments in these entities are accounted for using the equity method.

The trends, uncertainties or other factors that have negatively impacted our business and the industry in general have also impacted the unconsolidated entities in which we have investments. We review each of our investments on a quarterly basis for indicators of impairment. A series of operating losses of an investee, the inability to recover our invested capital, or other factors may indicate that a loss in value of our investment in the unconsolidated entity has occurred. If a loss exists, we further review to determine if the loss is other than temporary, in which case we write down the investment to its fair value. The evaluation of our investment in unconsolidated entities entails a detailed cash flow analysis using many estimates including but not limited to expected sales pace, expected sales prices, expected incentives, costs incurred and anticipated, sufficiency of financing and capital, competition, market conditions and anticipated cash receipts, in order to determine projected future distributions. Each of the unconsolidated entities evaluates its inventory in a similar manner as we do. See "Critical Accounting Policies - Inventory" contained in the MD&A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2011 for more detailed disclosure on our evaluation of inventory. If a valuation adjustment is recorded by an unconsolidated entity related to its assets, our proportionate share is reflected in income (loss) from unconsolidated entities with a corresponding decrease to our investment in unconsolidated entities. During the nine-month and three-month periods ended July 31, 2012, based upon our evaluation of the fair value of our investments in unconsolidated entities, we

determined that there were no impairments of our investments in these joint ventures. In the second quarter of fiscal 2012, we recognized a \$1.6 million recovery of previously accrued charges. During the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011, based upon our evaluation of the fair value of our investments in unconsolidated entities, we determined that there was an impairment to our investment in a development joint venture and recognized impairment charges of \$39.6 million.

On October 27, 2011, a bankruptcy court issued an order confirming a plan of reorganization for South Edge, LLC (“South Edge”), a Nevada land development joint venture which was the subject of an involuntary bankruptcy petition filed in December 2010. Pursuant to the plan of reorganization, South Edge settled litigation regarding a loan made by a syndicate of lenders to South Edge having a principal balance of \$327.9 million, for which we had executed certain completion guarantees and conditional repayment guarantees. In November 2011, we made a payment of \$57.6 million as our share of the settlement. We believe we have made adequate provision at July 31, 2012 for any remaining exposure to lenders which are not parties to the agreement. Our carrying value of our investment in Inspirada Builders, LLC is carried at nominal value.

In December 2011, we entered into a joint venture to develop a high-rise luxury for-sale/rental project in the metro-New York market. At July 31, 2012, we had \$84.0 million invested in this joint venture and were committed to make additional contributions of \$37.5 million to it. Under the terms of the agreement, upon completion of the construction of the building, we will acquire ownership of the top eighteen floors of the building to sell, for our own account, luxury condominium units and our partner will receive ownership of the lower floors containing residential, for lease units and retail space.

In the third quarter of fiscal 2012, we acquired a 50% interest in an existing land joint venture for approximately \$110 million. The joint venture intends to develop over 2,000 home sites in Orange County, California on land that it owns. The joint venture expects to borrow additional funds to complete the development of this project. It is our intention to acquire a substantial number of lots from the land joint venture. We do not have any additional commitment to fund this joint venture.

In addition, in the third quarter of fiscal 2012, we invested \$3.9 million in a joint venture in which we have a 50% interest that will develop a high-rise luxury for-sale condominium/hotel project in the metro-New York market. We expect to make additional investments of approximately \$49.2 million for the development of this property. The joint venture expects to borrow additional funds to complete the construction of this project. We have also guaranteed approximately \$9.8 million of payments under a ground lease on this project.

Pursuant to the Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-X, TMF Kent Partners, LLC (“TMF”) and KTL 303 LLC (“KTL”) were deemed significant joint ventures for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2011. We have a 50% ownership interest in TMF and KTL.

TMF was formed to acquire land and construct two luxury condominium buildings comprising a total of 450 residential units and a parking garage, located in Brooklyn, New York. Building 1, comprised of 180 units, was completed in fiscal 2008 and was substantially settled out as of October 31, 2010. TMF began construction of Building 2, comprised of 270 units, in fiscal 2008 and commenced settlement of units in October 2010. As of July 31, 2012, 256 units in Building 2 have been settled. TMF expects Building 2 to be substantially settled out by the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2013.

KTL was formed to develop, finance, construct and market a luxury condominium building comprising 128 residential units and approximately 14,500 square feet of commercial space, located in Manhattan, New York. KTL began construction of the building in fiscal 2008 and commenced settling units in fiscal 2010. As of July 31, 2012, KTL had settled its last unit.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth, for the nine-month and three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, a comparison of certain statement of operations items (\$ in millions):

| | Nine months ended July 31, | | | | Three months ended July 31, | | | |
|--|----------------------------|------|---------|-------|-----------------------------|------|---------|-------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| | \$ | %* | \$ | %* | \$ | %* | \$ | %* |
| Revenues | 1,250.0 | | 1,048.1 | | 554.3 | | 394.3 | |
| Cost of revenues | 1,026.4 | 82.1 | 898.3 | 85.7 | 447.9 | 80.8 | 339.9 | 86.2 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 212.8 | 17.0 | 192.9 | 18.4 | 74.9 | 13.5 | 64.6 | 16.4 |
| Interest expense | — | | 1.5 | 0.1 | — | | — | |
| | 1,239.1 | 99.1 | 1,092.7 | 104.3 | 522.8 | 94.3 | 404.6 | 102.6 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 10.8 | | (44.6) | | 31.5 | | (10.2) | |
| Other | | | | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from unconsolidated entities | 19.3 | | (11.0) | | 5.7 | | 11.3 | |
| Other income - net | 22.0 | | 14.4 | | 5.8 | | 6.2 | |
| Expenses related to early retirement of debt | | | (3.4) | | | | (3.4) | |
| Income (loss) before income tax benefit | 52.2 | | (44.6) | | 43.0 | | 3.9 | |
| Income tax benefit | (23.5) | | (69.4) | | (18.7) | | (38.2) | |
| Net income | 75.7 | | 24.8 | | 61.6 | | 42.1 | |

* Percent of revenues

Note: Due to rounding, amounts may not add.

REVENUES AND COST OF REVENUES

Revenues for the nine months ended July 31, 2012 were higher than those for the comparable period of fiscal 2011 by approximately \$201.9 million, or 19.3%. This increase was primarily attributable to an increase in the number of homes delivered. In the fiscal 2012 period we delivered 2,198 homes with a value of \$1.25 billion, as compared to 1,854 homes in the 2011 period with a value of \$1.05 billion. The increase in the number of homes delivered in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to the comparable period of fiscal 2011, was primarily due to the higher number of homes in backlog at the beginning of fiscal 2012, as compared to the beginning of fiscal 2011, and deliveries from our November 2011 acquisition of CamWest. In the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, we delivered 132 homes with a sales value of \$64.3 million from our CamWest operations.

Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues was 82.1% in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to 85.7% in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011. In the nine-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, we recognized inventory impairment charges and write-offs of \$13.2 million and \$34.9 million, respectively. Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues, excluding impairments, was 81.1% of revenues in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to 82.4% in the fiscal 2011 period. The decrease in cost of revenues, excluding inventory impairment charges, as a percentage of revenue in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the comparable period of fiscal 2011, was due primarily to lower interest costs in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, the impact of two of our high-rise buildings which had significantly higher margins than our normal product, and the reduction in costs realized from our centralized purchasing initiatives, offset, in part, by the impact on costs from the application of purchase accounting on the homes delivered from the acquisition of CamWest in November 2011. In the nine-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, interest cost as a percentage of revenues was 4.8% and 5.4%, respectively.

Revenues for the three months ended July 31, 2012 were higher than those for the comparable period of fiscal 2011 by approximately \$160.0 million, or 40.6%. This increase was attributable to a 39.0% increase in the number of homes delivered and a 1.2% increase in the average price of the homes delivered. In the fiscal 2012 period we delivered 963

homes with a value of \$554.3 million, as compared to 693 homes in the 2011 period with a value of \$394.3 million. The increase in the number of homes delivered in fiscal 2012, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was due primarily to the higher number of homes in backlog at the beginning of fiscal 2012, as compared to the beginning of fiscal 2011, the increased number of contracts signed

in the first quarter of fiscal 2012, as compared to the first quarter of fiscal 2011, and deliveries from our November 2011 acquisition of CamWest. In the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, we delivered 62 homes with a sales value of \$32.1 million from our CamWest operations.

Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues was 80.8% in the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to 86.2% in the three-month period ended July 31, 2011. In the three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, we recognized inventory impairment charges and write-offs of \$3.1 million and \$16.8 million, respectively. Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues, excluding impairments, was 80.2% of revenues in the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to 82.0% in the fiscal 2011 period. The decrease in cost of revenues, excluding inventory impairment charges, as a percentage of revenue in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the comparable period of fiscal 2011, was due primarily to lower interest costs in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, and the impact of two of our high-rise buildings which had significantly higher margins than our normal product. The reduction in costs was offset, in part, by the impact on costs from the application of purchase accounting on the homes delivered from the acquisition of CamWest in November 2011. In the three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, interest cost as a percentage of revenues was 4.7% and 5.3%, respectively.

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES ("SG&A")

SG&A increased by \$19.9 million in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011. As a percentage of revenues, SG&A was 17.0% in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to 18.4% in the fiscal 2011 period. The increase in SG&A was due primarily to increased compensation costs, increased sales and marketing costs and by a reduction in SG&A in the fiscal 2011 period from an insurance claim recovery and the reversal of previously accrued costs due to changes in estimates. The increased compensation costs and increased sales and marketing costs were due primarily to the increased number of communities we had open in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, and the increase in net sales contracts taken and the number of homes delivered in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. The decline in SG&A, as a percentage of revenues, was due to SG&A increasing by 10.3% while revenues increased 19.3%.

SG&A increased by \$10.3 million in the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to the three-month period ended July 31, 2011. As a percentage of revenues, SG&A was 13.5% in the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to 16.4% in the fiscal 2011 period. The increase in SG&A was due primarily to increased compensation costs, increased sales and marketing costs and by a reduction in SG&A in the fiscal 2011 period from an insurance claim recovery and the reversal of previously accrued costs due to changes in estimates. The increased compensation costs and increased sales and marketing costs were due primarily to the increased number of communities we had open in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, and the increase in net sales contracts taken and the number of homes delivered in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. The decline in SG&A, as a percentage of revenues, was due to SG&A increasing by 15.9% while revenues increased 40.6%.

INTEREST EXPENSE

Interest incurred on average homebuilding indebtedness in excess of average qualified assets is charged directly to the statement of operations in the period incurred. Interest expensed directly to the statement of operations in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011 was \$1.5 million. Due to the increase in qualified assets, we have not had any directly expensed interest since April 30, 2011.

INCOME (LOSS) FROM UNCONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

We are a participant in several joint ventures. We recognize our proportionate share of the earnings and losses from these entities. The trends, uncertainties or other factors that have negatively impacted our business and the industry in general and which are discussed in the "Overview" section of this MD&A have also impacted the unconsolidated entities in which we have investments. Most of our joint ventures are land development projects or high-rise/mid-rise construction projects and do not generate revenues and earnings for a number of years during the development of the property. Once development is complete, these joint ventures will generally, over a relatively short period of time, generate revenues and earnings until all the assets of the entity are sold. Because there is not a steady flow of revenues and earnings from these entities, the earnings recognized from these entities will vary significantly from

quarter-to-quarter and year-to-year.

In the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, we recognized \$19.3 million of income from unconsolidated entities, as compared to \$11.0 million of loss in the comparable period of fiscal 2011. The loss in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011 included \$39.6 million of impairment charges that we recognized on our investments in unconsolidated entities. No impairment charges were recognized in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012. In the nine-month period ended July 31,

42

2012, we recognized a \$1.6 million recovery of previously accrued charges. The \$10.9 million decrease in income in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, excluding the impairment charges recognized in the fiscal 2011 period and the recovery recognized in the fiscal 2012 period, was due principally to lower income generated from two of our condominium joint ventures, primarily due to the delivery of fewer units in the fiscal 2012 period than in the 2011 period, a distribution received in the fiscal 2011 period from the Trust in excess of our cost basis in the Trust, and lower income realized from our structured asset joint venture in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. The decrease in the number of units delivered in the fiscal 2012 period was due to fewer units being available for delivery due to the sellout or near sellout of units in the condominium joint ventures in the early part of fiscal 2012.

In the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, we recognized \$5.7 million of income from unconsolidated entities, as compared to \$11.3 million in the comparable period of fiscal 2011. The \$5.6 million decrease in income from unconsolidated entities in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was due principally to lower income generated from two of our condominium joint ventures, primarily due to the delivery of fewer units in the fiscal 2012 period than in the 2011 period. The decrease in the number of units delivered in the fiscal 2012 period was due to fewer units being available for delivery due to the sellout or near sellout of units in the condominium joint ventures in the early part of fiscal 2012.

OTHER INCOME - NET

Other income - net includes the gains and losses from our ancillary business, interest income, management fee income, retained customer deposits, income/losses on land sales and other miscellaneous items.

For the nine months ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, other income was \$22.0 million and \$14.4 million, respectively. The increase in other income in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily due to an increase in income from our Gibraltar operations and improved performance from our golf operations in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, and an increase in retained customer deposits in fiscal 2012, as compared to fiscal 2011, offset, in part, by lower management fee income, lower interest income and a decrease in gains on land sales in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period.

For the three months ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, other income was \$5.8 million and \$6.2 million, respectively. The decrease in other income in the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily due to lower interest income, lower management fee income and a decrease in gains on land sales in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, offset, in part, by improved performance from our golf operations in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, and higher retained customer deposits.

INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAX BENEFIT

For the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, we reported income before income tax benefit of \$52.2 million, as compared to a loss before income tax benefit of \$44.6 million in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011.

For the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, we reported income before income tax benefit of \$43.0 million, as compared to a loss before income tax benefit of \$3.9 million in the three-month period ended July 31, 2011.

INCOME TAX BENEFIT

We recognized a \$23.5 million tax benefit in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012. Based upon the federal statutory rate of 35%, our federal tax provision would have been \$18.3 million. The difference between the tax benefit recognized and the tax provision based on the federal statutory rate was due primarily to the reversal of \$18.1 million of previously accrued taxes on uncertain tax positions (net of federal tax provision), and the reversal of \$29.8 million of deferred tax valuation allowance, net of new valuation allowances recognized, offset, in part, by \$2.6 million of accrued interest and penalties (net of federal tax provision) and a \$2.2 million provision for state income taxes. The reversal of previously accrued taxes on uncertain tax positions is due primarily to the expiration of the statute of limitations on these items. The reversal of the deferred tax valuation allowance was due primarily to the earnings reported during the period and the recovery of valuation allowance related to the uncertain tax positions that were reversed.

We recognized a \$69.4 million tax benefit in the first nine months of fiscal 2011. Based upon the federal statutory rate of 35%, our federal tax benefit would have been \$15.6 million. The difference between the tax benefit recognized and

the tax benefit based on the federal statutory rate was due primarily to the reversal of \$30.8 million of previously accrued taxes on uncertain tax positions that were resolved during the period, the reversal of \$19.3 million of previously accrued taxes that are no longer needed due to the expiration of the statutes of limitations or the settlement of audits, a reversal of prior valuation allowances of \$23.1 million that were no longer needed, and a tax benefit for state income taxes, net of federal benefit of \$1.5 million, offset,

in part, by \$18.8 million of net new deferred tax valuation allowance and \$2.8 million of accrued interest and penalties.

We recognized a \$18.7 million tax benefit in the three-month period ended July 31, 2012. Based upon the federal statutory rate of 35%, our federal tax provision would have been \$15.0 million. The difference between the tax benefit recognized and the tax provision based on the federal statutory rate was due primarily to the reversal of \$24.3 million of deferred tax valuation allowance, net of new valuation allowances recognized, the reversal of \$12.8 million of previously accrued taxes on uncertain tax positions (net of federal tax provision), offset, in part, by a \$1.8 million provision for state income taxes and \$0.7 million of accrued interest and penalties. The reversal of the deferred tax valuation allowance was due primarily to the earnings reported during the period and the recovery of valuation allowance related to the uncertain tax positions that were reversed.

We recognized a \$38.2 million tax benefit in the three-month period ended July 31, 2011. Based upon the federal statutory rate of 35%, our federal tax provision would have been \$1.4 million. The difference between the tax benefit recognized and the tax provision based on the federal statutory rate was due primarily to the reversal of \$12.9 million of previously accrued taxes on uncertain tax positions that were resolved during the period, the reversal of \$16.9 million of previously accrued taxes that are no longer needed due to the expiration of the statutes of limitations or the settlement of audits, and the reversal of \$10.8 million of previously recognized deferred tax valuation allowances, offset, in part, by \$1.2 million of accrued interest and penalties.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

Funding for our business has been provided principally by cash flow from operating activities before inventory additions, unsecured bank borrowings and the public debt and equity markets. At July 31, 2012, we had \$601.5 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$275.9 million of marketable securities. At October 31, 2011, we had \$906.3 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$233.6 million of marketable securities. Cash used in operating activities during the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012 was \$234.1 million. Cash used in operating activities during the fiscal 2012 period was primarily used to fund the purchase of inventory, reduce accounts payable and accrued liabilities, including the payment of \$57.6 million to fund the litigation settlement related to South Edge, and to replace letters of credit with restricted cash deposits.

In the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, cash used in our investing activities was \$377.4 million, including \$144.7 million for the acquisition of the assets of CamWest, \$195.8 million to fund new joint venture projects, \$30.1 million for investments in a non-performing loan portfolio and \$7.3 million for the purchase of property and equipment. The cash used in investing activities was offset, in part, by \$47.1 million of net sales and redemptions of marketable securities and \$47.6 million of cash received as returns on our investments in unconsolidated entities and in non-performing loan portfolios and foreclosed real estate. We generated \$306.6 million of cash from financing activities in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, primarily from the issuance of \$300 million of 5.875% Senior Notes due 2022 in February 2012 and \$24.5 million from the proceeds of our stock-based benefit plans, offset, in part, by repayment of borrowings under our mortgage company warehouse facility, net of new borrowings under it.

At July 31, 2011, we had \$890.1 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$294.3 million of marketable securities. Cash provided by operating activities during the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011 was \$46.4 million. Cash provided by operating activities during the fiscal 2011 period was primarily from the receipt of a federal income tax refund for fiscal 2010, earnings before depreciation and amortization, inventory impairments and loss from unconsolidated entities, the sale of mortgage loans, net of mortgage originations, an increase in customer deposits, and a decrease in restricted cash, offset, in part, by the purchase of inventory and the decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities. We used \$124.4 million of cash in our investing activities in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011, primarily for investments in marketable securities, net of sales of marketable securities, and for our investment in a participation in a non-performing loan portfolio. We also used \$71.0 million of cash in financing activities in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011, primarily for the repayment of borrowings under our mortgage warehouse facility, net of new borrowings under it, and the repayment of other loans payable.

On February 7, 2012, we issued \$300 million principal amount of 5.875% Senior Notes due 2022 (the "5.875% Senior Notes") and received \$296.2 million of net proceeds from the issuance of the 5.875% Senior Notes.

On March 5, 2012, we issued an additional \$119.9 million principal of our 5.875% Senior Notes due 2022 in exchange for \$80.7 million principal amount of our 6.875% Senior Notes due 2012 and for \$36.9 million principal amount of our 5.95% Senior Notes due 2013. We recognized an expense of approximately \$1.2 million in the nine-months ending July 31, 2012 representing the aggregate costs associated with the exchange of both series of notes; these expenses are included in SG&A.

At July 31, 2012, the aggregate purchase price of land parcels under option and purchase agreements was approximately \$548.5 million. Of the \$548.5 million of land purchase commitments, we paid or deposited \$40.5 million, and, if we acquire all of these land parcels, we will be required to pay an additional \$508.1 million. The purchases of these land parcels are scheduled over the next several years. We have additional land parcels under option that have been excluded from the aforementioned

aggregate purchase amounts since we do not believe that we will complete the purchase of these land parcels and no additional funds will be required from us to terminate these contracts.

In general, our cash flow from operating activities assumes that, as each home is delivered, we will purchase a home site to replace it. Because we own approximately 31,500 home sites at July 31, 2012, we do not need to buy home sites immediately to replace those which we deliver. In addition, we generally do not begin construction of our single-family detached homes until we have a signed contract with the home buyer. Should our business decline from present levels, we believe that our inventory levels would decrease as we complete and deliver the homes under construction but do not commence construction of as many new homes, not incur additional costs to improve land we already own and as we sell and deliver the speculative homes that are currently in inventory, all of which should result in additional cash flow from operations. In addition, we might curtail our acquisition of additional land which would further reduce our inventory levels and cash needs. During the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, we acquired control of approximately 4,000 lots (net of lot options terminated). At July 31, 2012, we owned or controlled through options approximately 39,200 home sites, as compared to approximately 37,500 at October 31, 2011, 36,200 at July 31, 2011, and approximately 91,200 at April 30, 2006, our peak in terms of home sites owned or controlled through options. Of the 39,200 home sites owned or controlled through options at July 31, 2012, we owned approximately 31,500 of them; significant improvements were completed on approximately 12,300 of them.

At July 31, 2012, we had \$819.2 million available to us under our \$885.0 million revolving credit facility with 12 banks, which matures in October 2014. At July 31, 2012, we had no outstanding borrowings under the credit facility but had outstanding letters of credit of approximately \$65.8 million. Under the terms of the credit facility, we are not permitted to allow our maximum leverage ratio (as defined in the credit agreement) to exceed 1.75 to 1.00, and we are required to maintain a minimum tangible net worth (as defined in the credit agreement) of approximately \$1.92 billion at July 31, 2012. At July 31, 2012, our leverage ratio was approximately 0.38 to 1.00, and our tangible net worth was approximately \$2.65 billion. Based upon the minimum tangible net worth requirement at July 31, 2012, our ability to pay dividends was limited to an aggregate amount of approximately \$728.7 million and our ability to repurchase our common stock was limited to an aggregate amount of approximately \$1.03 billion at July 31, 2012. In addition, at July 31, 2012, we had \$13.0 million of letters of credit outstanding which were not part of our credit facility; these letters of credit were collateralized by \$13.3 million of cash deposits.

We believe that we will be able to continue to fund our current operations and meet our contractual obligations through a combination of existing cash resources and other sources of credit. Due to the tight credit markets and the uncertainties that exist in the economy and for home builders in general, we cannot be certain that we will be able to replace existing financing or find sources of additional financing in the future; moreover, if we are able to replace all or some of such facilities, we may be subjected to more restrictive borrowing terms and conditions.

GEOGRAPHIC SEGMENTS

We operate in four geographic segments around the United States: the North, consisting of Connecticut, Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Jersey and New York; the Mid-Atlantic, consisting of Delaware, Maryland, Pennsylvania, Virginia; the South, consisting of Florida, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas; and the West, consisting of Arizona, California, Colorado, Nevada and Washington.

The tables below summarize information related to revenues, gross contracts signed, contract cancellations and net contracts signed by geographic segment for the nine-month and three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, and information related to backlog by geographic segment at July 31, 2012 and 2011, and at October 31, 2011 and 2010 (\$ in millions).

Revenues:

| | Nine Months Ended July 31, | | | | Three Months Ended July 31, | | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 Units | 2011 Units | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 Units | 2011 Units | 2012 | 2011 |
| North | 617 | 513 | \$363.8 | \$273.6 | 280 | 197 | \$177.0 | \$106.4 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 659 | 625 | 360.0 | 351.1 | 290 | 262 | 155.6 | 147.7 |
| South | 444 | 363 | 255.9 | 197.3 | 166 | 124 | 97.1 | 69.0 |
| West | 478 | 353 | 270.3 | 226.1 | 227 | 110 | 124.6 | 71.2 |
| | 2,198 | 1,854 | \$1,250.0 | \$1,048.1 | 963 | 693 | \$554.3 | \$394.3 |

Gross Contracts Signed:

| | Nine Months Ended July 31, | | | | Three Months Ended July 31, | | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 Units | 2011 Units | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 Units | 2011 Units | 2012 | 2011 |
| North | 799 | 624 | \$542.2 | \$343.5 | 248 | 237 | \$160.9 | \$126.9 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 917 | 696 | 504.3 | 390.4 | 347 | 213 | 184.2 | 120.9 |
| South | 709 | 561 | 441.0 | 323.1 | 279 | 204 | 171.6 | 118.4 |
| West | 765 | 385 | 462.2 | 229.2 | 299 | 116 | 189.8 | 73.0 |
| | 3,190 | 2,266 | \$1,949.7 | \$1,286.2 | 1,173 | 770 | \$706.5 | \$439.2 |

Contract cancellations:

| | Nine Months Ended July 31, | | | | Three Months Ended July 31, | | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|
| | 2012 Units | 2011 Units | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 Units | 2011 Units | 2012 | 2011 |
| North | 45 | 53 | \$25.8 | \$29.3 | 21 | 22 | \$12.8 | \$11.8 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 24 | 22 | 13.8 | 11.1 | 10 | 10 | 4.4 | 4.9 |
| South | 35 | 26 | 23.1 | 16.5 | 15 | 14 | 11.5 | 9.4 |
| West | 25 | 25 | 13.2 | 14.5 | 8 | 11 | 3.4 | 6.4 |
| | 129 | 126 | \$75.9 | \$71.4 | 54 | 57 | \$32.1 | \$32.5 |

Net Contracts Signed:

| | Nine Months Ended July 31, | | | | Three Months Ended July 31, | | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 Units | 2011 Units | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 Units | 2011 Units | 2012 | 2011 |
| North | 754 | 571 | \$516.4 | \$314.2 | 227 | 215 | \$148.1 | \$115.1 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 893 | 674 | 490.5 | 379.3 | 337 | 203 | 179.8 | 116.0 |
| South | 674 | 535 | 417.9 | 306.6 | 264 | 190 | 160.1 | 109.0 |
| West | 740 | 360 | 449.0 | 214.7 | 291 | 105 | 186.4 | 66.6 |
| | 3,061 | 2,140 | \$1,873.8 | \$1,214.8 | 1,119 | 713 | \$674.4 | \$406.7 |

Contract cancellations as a percentage of gross contracts:

| | Nine Months Ended July 31, | | | | Three Months Ended July 31, | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|-----------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|---|
| | 2012 Units | 2011 Units | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 Units | 2011 Units | 2012 | 2011 | |
| North | 5.6 | % 8.5 | % 4.8 | % 8.5 | % 8.5 | % 9.3 | % 8.0 | % 9.3 | % |
| Mid-Atlantic | 2.6 | % 3.2 | % 2.7 | % 2.8 | % 2.9 | % 4.7 | % 2.4 | % 4.1 | % |
| South | 4.9 | % 4.6 | % 5.2 | % 5.1 | % 5.4 | % 6.9 | % 6.7 | % 7.9 | % |
| West | 3.3 | % 6.5 | % 2.9 | % 6.3 | % 2.7 | % 9.5 | % 1.8 | % 8.8 | % |
| Total | 4.0 | % 5.6 | % 3.9 | % 5.6 | % 4.6 | % 7.4 | % 4.5 | % 7.4 | % |

Backlog:

| | At July 31, | | | | At October 31, | | | |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|---------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 Units | 2011 Units | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 Units | 2010 units | 2011 | 2010 |
| North | 690 | 579 | \$459.9 | \$300.0 | 553 | 521 | \$307.4 | \$259.3 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 721 | 524 | 419.5 | 312.6 | 487 | 475 | 288.9 | 284.4 |
| South | 672 | 468 | 425.2 | 269.0 | 442 | 296 | 263.2 | 159.7 |
| West | 476 | 209 | 314.0 | 137.3 | 185 | 202 | 121.6 | 148.7 |
| | 2,559 | 1,780 | \$1,618.6 | \$1,018.9 | 1,667 | 1,494 | \$981.1 | \$852.1 |

Revenues and Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes:

The following table summarizes by geographic segments total revenues and income (loss) before income taxes for the nine-month and three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011 (amounts in millions):

| | Nine months ended July 31, | | Three months ended July 31, | |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| North | \$363.8 | \$273.6 | \$177.0 | \$106.4 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 360.0 | 351.1 | 155.6 | 147.7 |
| South | 255.9 | 197.3 | 97.1 | 69.0 |
| West | 270.3 | 226.1 | 124.6 | 71.2 |
| Total | \$1,250.0 | \$1,048.1 | \$554.3 | \$394.3 |

Edgar Filing: TOLL BROTHERS INC - Form 10-Q

| | Nine months ended July 31, | | Three months ended July 31, | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes: | | | | |
| North | \$51.5 | \$28.7 | \$33.7 | \$14.3 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 37.0 | 33.7 | 18.3 | 18.6 |
| South | 9.2 | (27.1) | 5.2 | (13.5) |
| West | 17.1 | (22.5) | 9.0 | 4.6 |
| Corporate and other (a) | (62.6) | (57.4) | (23.2) | (20.1) |
| Total | \$52.2 | \$(44.6) | \$43.0 | \$3.9 |

“Corporate and other” is comprised principally of general corporate expenses such as the offices of the Executive Officers of the Company, and the corporate finance, accounting, audit, tax, human resources, risk management, marketing and legal groups, directly expensed interest, interest income and income from the Company’s ancillary businesses and income (loss) from a number of its unconsolidated entities.

North

Revenues in the nine months ended July 31, 2012 were higher than those for the comparable period of fiscal 2011 by \$90.2 million, or 33.0%. The increase in revenues was primarily attributable to a 20.3% increase in the number of homes delivered and an increase of 10.6% in the average selling price of the homes delivered. The increase in the number of homes delivered in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily due to the commencement of settlements in the fiscal 2012 period at two of our high-rise buildings located in the New York and New Jersey urban markets and to a higher backlog at October 31, 2011, as compared to October 31, 2010. The increase in the average price of homes delivered in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily attributable to a shift in the number of homes delivered to more expensive areas and/or products.

The value of net contracts signed in the nine months ended July 31, 2012 was \$516.4 million, a 64.4% increase from the \$314.2 million of net contracts signed during the nine months ended July 31, 2011. This increase was primarily due to a 32.0% increase in the number of net contracts signed and a 24.5% increase in the average value of each net contract. The increase in the number of net contracts signed was primarily due to three high-rise buildings located in the New York and New Jersey urban markets that opened in the second half of fiscal 2011, a 13% increase in the number of selling communities, and an improvement in home buyer demand in the fiscal 2012 period as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. The increase in the average sales price of net contracts signed in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily attributable to sales at two of our high-rise buildings located in the New York urban market that opened in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011. In the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, we signed 68 contracts at these buildings with an average sales value of approximately \$1.8 million each. For the nine months ended July 31, 2012, we reported income before income taxes of \$51.5 million, as compared to \$28.7 million for the nine months ended July 31, 2011. The increase in income in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily attributable to higher earnings from the increased amount of revenues and lower cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues, in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, offset, in part, by a decrease in income from unconsolidated entities from \$22.7 million in the fiscal 2011 period to \$13.2 million in the fiscal 2012 period and by higher SG&A. The lower cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues was primarily due to the initial closings at two of our high-rise buildings located in the New York and New Jersey urban markets which had significantly higher margins than our normal product and lower inventory impairment charges in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. In the nine months ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, we recognized inventory impairment charges of \$1.8 million and \$3.6 million, respectively. The \$9.5 million decrease in income from unconsolidated entities in the fiscal July 31, 2012 period was due principally to a decrease in income generated from two of our high-rise joint ventures where unit availability has diminished since the fiscal 2011 period.

Revenues in the three months ended July 31, 2012 were higher than those for the comparable period of fiscal 2011 by \$70.6 million, or 66.4%. The increase in revenues was attributable to a 42.1% increase in the number of homes delivered and a 17.1% increase in the average selling price of the homes delivered, in the fiscal 2012 period, as

compared to the fiscal 2011 period. The increase in the number of homes delivered in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily due to the commencement of settlements in the second quarter of fiscal 2012 period at two of our high-rise buildings located in the New York and New Jersey urban markets. The increase in the average price of homes delivered in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily attributable to a shift in the number of homes delivered to more expensive areas and/or products.

The value of net contracts signed in the three months ended July 31, 2012 was \$148.1 million, a 28.7% increase from the \$115.1 million of net contracts signed during the three months ended July 31, 2011. This increase was primarily due to a 5.6% increase in the number of net contracts signed and to a 21.9% increase in the average value of each net contract. The increase in the number of net contracts signed in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily due to an improvement in home buyer demand in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. The increase in the average sales price of net contracts signed in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily attributable to a shift in the number of contracts signed to more expensive areas and/or products in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. Approximately one-half of the increase in the average value of each contract signed was due to one sales contract signed at one of our luxury high-rise developments in the metro-New York market in the fiscal 2012 period.

For the three months ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, we reported income before income taxes of \$33.7 million and \$14.3 million, respectively. The increase in income in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily attributable to higher earnings from the increased amount of revenues and lower cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, offset, in part, by a decrease of \$3.8 million in income from unconsolidated entities and higher SG&A. The lower cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues in the fiscal 2012 period was primarily due to the initial closings at two of our high-rise buildings located in the New York and New Jersey urban markets which had significantly higher margins than our normal product in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. The decrease in income from unconsolidated entities in the fiscal 2012 period was due principally to a decrease in income generated from two of our high-rise joint ventures where unit availability has diminished since the fiscal 2011 period.

Mid-Atlantic

For the nine months ended July 31, 2012, revenues were higher than those for the nine months ended July 31, 2011, by \$8.9 million, or 2.5%. The increase in revenues was primarily attributable to a 5.4% increase in the number of homes delivered, partially offset by a 2.8% decrease in the average selling price of the homes delivered. The increase in the number of homes delivered in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily due to a higher backlog at October 31, 2011, as compared to October 31, 2010. The decrease in the average price of homes delivered in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily attributable to a shift in the number of homes delivered to less expensive areas and/or products.

The value of net contracts signed during the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012 increased by \$111.2 million, or 29.3%, from the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011. The increase was due to a 32.5% increase in the number of net contracts signed partially offset by a 2.4% decrease in the average value of each net contract. The increase in the number of net contracts signed was primarily due to a 12% increase in the number of selling communities and an increase in home buyer demand in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011. The decrease in the average sales price of net contracts signed was primarily due to a shift in the number of contracts signed to less expensive areas and/or products in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period.

We reported income before income taxes for the nine-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, of \$37.0 million and \$33.7 million, respectively. The increase in the income before income taxes in the fiscal 2012 period was primarily due to lower cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. The decrease in the cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues in the fiscal 2012 period was primarily due to lower costs on the homes delivered in the fiscal 2012 period than those delivered in the fiscal 2011 period, offset, in part, by higher impairment charges in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the comparable period of fiscal 2011. We recognized inventory impairment charges of \$4.8 million and \$4.0 million for the nine months ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The lower costs were primarily due to the benefits from cost savings of our centralized purchasing initiatives.

For the three months ended July 31, 2012, revenues were higher than those for the three months ended July 31, 2011 by \$7.9 million, or 5.3%, primarily due to a 10.7% increase in the number of homes delivered, offset, in part, by a decrease of 4.8% in the average sales price of the homes delivered. The increase in the number of homes delivered in

the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily due to a higher backlog at October 31, 2011, as compared to October 31, 2010. The decrease in the average price of homes delivered in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily attributable to a shift in the number of homes delivered to less expensive products.

The value of net contracts signed during the three-month period ended July 31, 2012 increased by \$63.8 million, or 55.0%, from the three-month period ended July 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to an increase of 66.0% in the number of net contracts signed in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, offset, in part, by a 6.6% decrease in the average value of each net contract. The increase in the number of net contracts signed was primarily due to an increase in home

buyer demand and an increase in the number of selling communities in the fiscal 2012 period as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. The decrease in the average sales price of net contracts signed was primarily due to a shift in the number of contracts signed to less expensive areas and/or products in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period.

We reported income before income taxes for the three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011 of \$18.3 million and \$18.6 million, respectively. The decrease in the income before income taxes was primarily due to higher inventory impairment charges and SG&A in the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to the comparable period of fiscal 2011, partially offset by higher earnings from the increased amount of revenues in the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to the three-month period ended July 31, 2011. We recognized inventory impairment charges of \$2.0 million and \$0.2 million in the three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

South

Revenues in the nine months ended July 31, 2012 were higher than those in the comparable period of fiscal 2011 by \$58.6 million, or 29.7%. This increase was attributable to a 22.3% increase in the number of homes delivered and a 6.0% increase in the average price of the homes delivered. The increase in the number of homes delivered in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily due to a higher backlog at October 31, 2011, as compared to October 31, 2010, which was the result of an increase in the number of net contracts signed in fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010. The increase in the average price of the homes delivered in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011, was primarily attributable to a shift in the number of homes delivered to more expensive areas and/or products in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period.

For the nine months ended July 31, 2012, the value of net contracts signed increased by \$111.3 million, or 36.3%, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. The increase was attributable to increases of 26.0% and 8.2% in the number and average value of net contracts signed, respectively. The increase in the number of net contracts signed in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011, was primarily due to increased demand and to an increase in the number of selling communities in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. The increase in the average sales price of net contracts signed was primarily due to a shift in the number of contracts signed to more expensive areas and/or products in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period.

For the nine months ended July 31, 2012, we reported income before income taxes of \$9.2 million, as compared to a loss before income taxes of \$27.1 million for the nine months ended July 31, 2011. The increase in the income before income taxes was primarily due to lower impairment charges in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, a \$10.0 million impairment charge that we recognized on one of our investments in unconsolidated entities in the fiscal 2011 period which did not recur in the 2012 period, and higher earnings from the increased amount of revenues in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. In the nine months ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, we recognized inventory impairment charges of \$6.0 million and \$20.1 million, respectively.

Revenues in the three months ended July 31, 2012 were higher than those in the comparable period of fiscal 2011 by \$28.1 million, or 40.8%. This increase was attributable to a 33.9% increase in the number of homes delivered and a 5.2% increase in the average price of the homes delivered. The increase in the number of homes delivered in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily due to a higher backlog at October 31, 2011, as compared to October 31, 2010, which was the result of an increase in the number of selling communities in fiscal 2011, as compared to fiscal 2010. The increase in the average price of the homes delivered in the three-month period ended 2011, as compared to the three-month period ended July 31, 2011, was primarily attributable to a shift in the number of homes delivered to more expensive areas and/or products in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period.

For the three months ended July 31, 2012, the value of net contracts signed increased by \$51.1 million, or 46.9%, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. The increase was attributable to increases of 39.0% and 5.7% in the number and average value of net contracts signed, respectively. The increase in the number of net contracts signed in the three-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to the three-month period ended July 31, 2011, was primarily

due to an increase in the number of net contracts signed in Florida and Texas as the result of increased demand and an increase in the number of selling communities in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. The increase in the average sales price of net contracts signed was primarily due to a shift in the number of contracts signed to more expensive areas and/or products in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. For the three months ended July 31, 2012, we reported income before income taxes of \$5.2 million, as compared to a loss before income taxes of \$13.5 million for the three months ended July 31, 2011. The increase in income before income taxes was primarily due to lower inventory impairment charges in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period and higher earnings from the increased amount of revenues in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, offset, in part, by higher SG&A. In the three-month periods ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, we recognized inventory impairment

charges of \$0.3 million and \$16.0 million, respectively.

West

Revenues in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012 were higher than those in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011 by \$44.2 million, or 19.5%. The increase in revenues was attributable to a 35.4% increase in the number of homes delivered, offset, in part, by an 11.7% decrease in the average sales price of the homes delivered. The increase in the number of homes delivered was primarily attributable to home deliveries in Washington from CamWest, partially offset by a reduction in homes delivered in California. The decrease in the average price of the homes delivered was primarily due to a shift in the number of homes delivered to less expensive products and/or locations, primarily in Arizona and Washington, in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. The value of net contracts signed during the nine months ended July 31, 2012 increased \$234.3 million, or 109.1%, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. This increase was due to a 105.6% increase in the number of net contracts signed and a 1.7% increase in the average value of each net contract signed. The increase in the number of net contracts signed was due to the addition of communities in Washington from CamWest and an increase in the number of selling communities and demand in other states in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period.

For the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, we reported income before income taxes of \$17.1 million, as compared to a loss before income taxes of \$22.5 million for the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011. The increase in income before income taxes was primarily due to a \$29.6 million impairment charge that we recognized on our South Edge investment in the fiscal 2011 period which did not recur in the 2012 period, a \$6.5 million decrease in inventory impairment charges and write-offs and higher earnings from the increased amount of revenues in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, offset, in part, by higher cost of revenues, excluding inventory impairment charges and interest, as a percentage of revenues, in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. In the nine-month period ended July 31, 2011, we recognized inventory impairment charges and write-offs of \$7.2 million, as compared to \$0.7 million in the fiscal 2012 period. Cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues, excluding impairments and interest, was 79.2% of revenues in the nine-month period ended July 31, 2012, as compared to 75.5% in the fiscal 2011 period. The increase in cost of revenues, excluding inventory impairment charges and interest, as a percentage of revenue in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily due to the impact of purchase accounting on the homes delivered in the fiscal 2012 period from our acquisition of CamWest.

Revenues in the three-month period ended July 31, 2012 were higher than those in the three-month period ended July 31, 2011 by \$53.4 million, or 74.9%. The increase in revenues was attributable to a 106.4% increase in the number of homes delivered, offset, in part, by a 15.2% decrease in the average sales price of the homes delivered. The increase in the number of homes delivered in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to fiscal 2011, was primarily attributable to an increase in home deliveries in Washington from CamWest and in California. The decrease in the average price of the homes delivered was primarily due to a shift in the number of homes delivered to less expensive products and/or locations, primarily in Arizona and Washington, in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period.

The value of net contracts signed during the three months ended July 31, 2012 increased \$119.8 million, or 179.9%, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. This increase was primarily due to a 177.1% increase in the number of net contracts signed. The increase in the number of net contracts signed was due to the addition of communities in Washington from CamWest and an increase in the number of selling communities and demand in other states in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period.

We reported income before income taxes for the three-month period ended July 31, 2012 of \$9.0 million as compared \$4.6 million for the three-month period ended July 31, 2011. The increase in income before income taxes was primarily due to a \$9.6 million impairment charge that we recognized on our South Edge investment in the fiscal 2011 period, offset, in part, by higher cost of revenues as a percentage of revenues, in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. Cost of revenues, excluding inventory impairment charges and interest, as a percentage of revenues was 78.4% of revenues in the three months ended July 31, 2012, as compared to 75.3% in the fiscal 2011 period. The increase in cost of revenues as a percentage of revenue in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily due to the impact of purchase accounting on the homes delivered in the fiscal 2012 period

from our acquisition of CamWest.

Other

For the nine months ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, other loss before income taxes was \$62.6 million and \$57.4 million, respectively. The increase in the loss in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily due to higher unallocated SG&A in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, offset, in part, by an increase of income recognized from our Gibraltar operations in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period. The increase in unallocated SG&A in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period, was primarily due to a reduction in

SG&A in the fiscal 2011 period from an insurance claim recovery and the reversal of previously accrued costs due to changes in estimates.

For the three months ended July 31, 2012 and 2011, other loss before income taxes was \$23.2 million and \$20.1 million, respectively. The increase in the loss was primarily due to lower earnings from unconsolidated entities and higher SG&A, in the fiscal 2012 period, as compared to the fiscal 2011 period.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risk primarily due to fluctuations in interest rates. We utilize both fixed-rate and variable-rate debt. For fixed-rate debt, changes in interest rates generally affect the fair market value of the debt instrument, but not our earnings or cash flow. Conversely, for variable-rate debt, changes in interest rates generally do not impact the fair market value of the debt instrument, but do affect our earnings and cash flow. We do not have the obligation to prepay fixed-rate debt prior to maturity, and, as a result, interest rate risk and changes in fair market value should not have a significant impact on our fixed-rate debt until we are required or elect to refinance it. The table below sets forth, at July 31, 2012, our debt obligations, principal cash flows by scheduled maturity, weighted-average interest rates and estimated fair value (amounts in thousands):

| Fiscal year of maturity | Fixed-rate debt | | Variable-rate debt | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Amount | Weighted-average interest rate | Amount | Weighted-average interest rate |
| 2012 | \$19,249 | 3.50% | \$63,278 | 2.99% |
| 2013 | 177,913 | 6.09% | 150 | 0.55% |
| 2014 | 282,902 | 4.88% | 150 | 0.55% |
| 2015 | 307,349 | 5.12% | 150 | 0.55% |
| 2016 | 2,065 | 4.95% | 150 | 0.27% |
| Thereafter | 1,105,914 | 7.16% | 11,945 | 0.31% |
| Discount | (9,235) | | | |
| Total | \$1,886,157 | 6.35% | \$75,823 | 2.55% |
| Fair value at July 31, 2012 | \$2,082,871 | | \$75,823 | |

Based upon the amount of variable-rate debt outstanding at July 31, 2012, and holding the variable-rate debt balance constant, each 1% increase in interest rates would increase the interest incurred by us by approximately \$0.8 million per year.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Any controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected; however, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives.

Our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, with the assistance of management, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of the end of the period covered by this report (the "Evaluation Date"). Based on that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as

appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

52

There has not been any change in internal control over financial reporting during our quarter ended July 31, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved in various claims and litigation arising principally in the ordinary course of business.

In January 2006, we received a request for information pursuant to Section 308 of the Clean Water Act from Region 3 of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) concerning storm water discharge practices in connection with our homebuilding projects in the states that comprise EPA Region 3. Thereafter, the U.S. Department of Justice assumed responsibility for the oversight of this matter and alleged that we violated regulatory requirements applicable to storm water discharges. The parties have entered into a consent decree, which has been submitted for approval to the presiding judge in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. We believe the disposition of this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and liquidity or on our financial condition.

On November 4, 2008, a shareholder derivative action was filed in the Chancery Court of Delaware by Milton Pfeiffer against Robert I. Toll, Zvi Barzilay, Joel H. Rassman, Bruce E. Toll, Paul E. Shapiro, Robert S. Blank, Carl B. Marbach, and Richard J. Braemer. The plaintiff purports to bring his claims on behalf of Toll Brothers, Inc. and alleges that the director and officer defendants breached their fiduciary duties to us and our stockholders with respect to their sales of shares of our common stock during the period from December 9, 2004 to November 8, 2005. The plaintiff alleges that such stock sales were made while in possession of non-public, material information about us. The plaintiff seeks contribution and indemnification from the individual director and officer defendants for costs and expenses incurred by us in connection with defending a now-settled related class action. In addition, again purportedly on our behalf, the plaintiff seeks disgorgement of the defendants' profits from their stock sales.

On March 4, 2009, a second shareholder derivative action was brought by Oliverio Martinez in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The case was brought against the eleven then-current members of our board of directors and the Company's Chief Accounting Officer. This complaint alleges breaches of fiduciary duty, waste of corporate assets, and unjust enrichment during the period from February 2005 to November 2006. The complaint further alleges that certain of the defendants sold our stock during this period while in possession of allegedly non-public, material information and plaintiff seeks disgorgement of profits from these sales. The complaint also asserts a claim for equitable indemnity for costs and expenses incurred by us in connection with defending a now-settled related class action lawsuit.

On April 1, 2009, a third shareholder derivative action was filed by William Hall, also in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, against the eleven then-current members of our board of directors and the Company's Chief Accounting Officer. This complaint is identical to the previous shareholder complaint filed in Philadelphia and, on July 14, 2009, the two cases were consolidated. On April 30, 2010, the plaintiffs filed an amended consolidated complaint.

An agreement in principle has been reached by the parties to settle all three shareholder derivative actions. The terms of this agreement in principle are anticipated to be reflected in a written settlement agreement between the parties, following which notice of the proposed settlement will be provided to our shareholders and the settlement will be submitted for approval by the presiding courts in Delaware and Pennsylvania.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in our risk factors as previously disclosed in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2011.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

During the three months ended July 31, 2012, we repurchased the following shares of our common stock:

| Period | Total number of shares purchased (b) | Average price paid per share | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs (a) | Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs (a) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| | (in thousands) | | (in thousands) | (in thousands) |
| May 1, 2012 to May 31, 2012 | 4 | \$27.90 | 1 | 8,772 |
| June 1, 2012 to June 30, 2012 | | | | 8,772 |
| July 1, 2012 to July 31, 2012 | 2 | \$29.95 | 2 | 8,770 |
| | 6 | | 3 | |

(a) On March 20, 2003, we announced that our Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to 20 million shares of our common stock, par value \$.01, from time to time, in open market transactions or otherwise, for the purpose of providing shares for our various employee benefit plans. The Board of Directors did not fix an expiration date for the repurchase program.

(b) Our stock incentive plans permit participants to exercise non-qualified stock options using a “net exercise” method at the discretion of the Executive Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors. In a net exercise, we generally withhold from the total number of shares that otherwise would be issued to the participant upon exercise of the stock option that number of shares having a fair market value at the time of exercise equal to the option exercise price and applicable income tax withholdings, and remit the remaining shares to the participant. During the three months ended July 31, 2012, the net exercise method was employed to exercise options to acquire 53,500 shares of our common stock; we withheld 23,620 of the shares subject to the options to cover \$0.7 million of option exercise costs and income tax withholdings and issued the remaining 29,880 shares to the participants. The shares withheld in connection with the net exercise method are not included in the total number of shares purchased in the table above.

Our stock incentive plans permit us to withhold from the total number of shares that otherwise would be issued to a restricted stock unit (“RSU”) recipient upon distribution that number of shares having a fair value at the time of distribution equal to the applicable income tax withholdings due and remit the remaining shares to the RSU recipient. During the three months ended July 31, 2012, we withheld 81,200 of the shares subject to RSUs to cover \$2.4 million of income tax withholdings and we issued the remaining 118,800 shares to the recipient. The shares withheld in connection with the net exercise method are not included in the total number of shares purchased in the table above.

In addition, our stock incentive plans also permit participants in our stock option plans to use the fair market value of Company common stock they own to pay for the exercise of stock options (“stock swap method”). During the three months ended July 31, 2012, the Company received 2,384 shares with an average fair market value per share of \$28.69 in satisfaction of the exercise price for 6,500 options. The shares used under the stock swap method are included in the total number of shares purchased in the table above.

Except as set forth above, we have not repurchased any of our equity securities during the three-month period ended July 31, 2012.

The payment of dividends is within the discretion of our Board of Directors and any decision to pay dividends in the future will depend upon an evaluation of a number of factors, including our earnings, capital requirements, our operating and financial condition, and any contractual limitations then in effect. In addition, our credit facility requires us to maintain a minimum tangible net worth (as defined in the credit agreement), which restricts the amount of dividends we may pay. At July 31, 2012, under the most restrictive of these provisions, we could have paid up to

54

approximately \$728.7 million of cash dividends.

We have not paid any cash dividends on our common stock to date and expect that, for the foreseeable future, we will not do so. Rather, we will follow a policy of retaining earnings in order to finance future growth in our business and, from time to time, repurchase shares of our common stock.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 31.1* Certification of Douglas C. Yearley, Jr. pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 31.2* Certification of Martin P. Connor pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 32.1* Certification of Douglas C. Yearley, Jr. pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 32.2* Certification of Martin P. Connor pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 101.INS* XBRL Instance Document
 - 101.SCH* XBRL Schema Document
 - 101.CAL* XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document
 - 101.LAB* XBRL Labels Linkbase Document
 - 101.PRE* XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document
 - 101.DEF* XBRL Definition Linkbase Document
- * Filed electronically herewith.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TOLL BROTHERS, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: August 31, 2012

By: /s/ Martin P. Connor

Martin P. Connor
Senior Vice President, Treasurer and Chief
Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

Date: August 31, 2012

By: /s/ Joseph R. Sicree

Joseph R. Sicree
Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting
Officer (Principal Accounting Officer)