

CATALYST LIGHTING GROUP INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 13, 2010

---

---

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2010

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from            to

Commission File Number 000-50385

Catalyst Lighting Group, Inc.  
(Exact name of registrant issuer as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation  
or  
organization)

84-1588927  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1328 West Balboa Boulevard Suite C, Newport Beach, CA 92661  
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant's phone number, including area code (949) 903-0468

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding twelve months (or shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

YES  NO

Edgar Filing: CATALYST LIGHTING GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer  Accelerated Filer  Non-accelerated Filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at August 13, 2010
Common Stock, \$.0001 par value	4,331,131

---

---

---

## INDEX

	Page No.	
PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
ITEM 1.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:	
	Condensed Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 (unaudited) and September 30, 2009	3
	Condensed Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the Three and Nine Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	4
	Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Nine Months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	5
	Notes to Condensed Financial Statements	6
ITEM 2.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	14
ITEM 3.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	19
ITEM 4T.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	19
PART II	OTHER INFORMATION	19
ITEM 1	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	20
ITEM 1A	RISK FACTORS	20
ITEM 2	UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS	20
ITEM 3	DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES	20
ITEM 4	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	20
ITEM 5	OTHER INFORMATION	20
ITEM 6	EXHIBITS	20



## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM I — FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
CATALYST LIGHTING GROUP, INC.  
CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

	June 30, 2010 (Unaudited)	September 30, 2009
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>CURRENT ASSETS</b>		
Cash	\$ 12,843	\$ 14,477
<b>TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS</b>	<b>12,843</b>	<b>14,477</b>
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 12,843</b>	<b>\$ 14,477</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 8,048	\$ -
Accrued expenses	870	9,300
Note payable, related party	60,000	-
<b>TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>	<b>68,918</b>	<b>9,300</b>
<b>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT):</b>		
Preferred stock, \$.0001 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, respectively	-	-
Common stock, \$.0001 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 4,331,131 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, respectively	433	433
Additional paid in capital	4,150,986	4,150,986
Accumulated deficit	(4,207,494)	(4,146,242)
<b>TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>	<b>(56,075 )</b>	<b>5,177</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>	<b>\$ 12,843</b>	<b>\$ 14,477</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

CATALYST LIGHTING GROUP, INC.  
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended June 30		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
REVENUES	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
COST OF SALES	-	-	-	-
GROSS PROFIT	-	-	-	-
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
General and administrative expenses	23,168	5,676	67,414	21,221
Total operating expenses	23,168	5,676	67,414	21,221
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(23,168 )	(5,676 )	(67,414 )	(21,221 )
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):				
Interest expense	(813 )	-	(870 )	-
Other income	-	-	7,032	-
Total other income (expense)	(813 )	-	6,162	-
LOSS BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	(23,981 )	(5,676 )	(61,252 )	(21,221 )
Provision for income taxes	-	-	-	-
NET LOSS APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	\$(23,981 )	\$(5,676 )	\$(61,252 )	\$(21,221 )
NET LOSS PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK — Basic and diluted	\$(0.00 )	\$(0.00 )	\$(0.01 )	\$(0.00 )
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING — Basic and diluted	4,331,131	4,331,131	4,331,131	4,331,131

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

CATALYST LIGHTING GROUP, INC.  
CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(UNAUDITED)

	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (61,252)	\$ (21,221)
<b>Changes in operating assets and liabilities;</b>		
Accounts payable	8,048	-
Accrued expenses	(8,430)	50
Net cash used in operating activities	(61,634)	(21,171)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net cash provided by investing activities	-	-
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Proceeds from issuance of note payable, related party	60,000	-
Net cash provided by financing activities	60,000	-
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH	(1,634)	(21,171)
CASH, Beginning of period	14,477	35,295
CASH, End of period	\$ 12,843	\$ 14,124
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:</b>		
Cash received/(paid) during the period for:		
Interest	\$ -	\$ -
Income taxes	\$ -	\$ -

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

CATALYST LIGHTING GROUP, INC.  
NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1 – BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND ORGANIZATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed financial statements of Catalyst Lighting Group, Inc. (the "Company") are presented in accordance with the requirements for Form 10-Q and Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (all of which were of a normal recurring nature) considered necessary to fairly present the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows of the Company on a consistent basis, have been made.

These results have been determined on the basis of generally accepted accounting principles and practices applied consistently with those used in the preparation of the Company's financial statements. Operating results for the nine months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending September 30, 2010.

The Company recommends that the accompanying condensed financial statements for the interim period be read in conjunction with the Company's financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed on or about November 13, 2009.

Organization and Business — The Company was incorporated in the State of Delaware on March 7, 2001. On August 27, 2003, the Company completed the reverse acquisition of Whitco Company, L.P. ("Whitco"). Whitco was a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company and was engaged in the manufacture and sale of area lighting poles to distributors throughout the United States of America.

On March 15, 2006, Whitco voluntarily filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. bankruptcy laws. On April 25, 2006, the bankruptcy court approved a sale of Whitco's assets (other than cash and accounts receivable) used in its area lighting pole business. The assets were sold free and clear of any liens and encumbrances to a third party purchaser pursuant to Section 363 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. The purchaser issued a common stock purchase warrant to acquire shares of the purchaser's common stock as consideration for the assets purchased ("Purchase Warrant").

On May 16, 2006, Whitco filed a motion to convert its bankruptcy case to a Chapter 7 liquidation proceeding. This motion was granted by the bankruptcy court on July 13, 2006. In connection with the liquidation, the Purchase Warrant and Whitco's cash and accounts receivable were assigned and distributed to Whitco's secured creditor (the "Entity"). As part of the Chapter 7 bankruptcy proceedings, no assets were available for distribution to unsecured creditors and, accordingly, these unsatisfied obligations were relieved as part of the liquidation in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 7 of U.S. bankruptcy laws.

Since Whitco's liquidation in bankruptcy, the Company has had nominal assets and nominal business operations and its business strategy has been to investigate and, if such investigation warrants, acquire a target company or business seeking the perceived advantages of being a publicly held corporation. In furtherance of this business strategy, on July 25, 2006, the Company voluntarily filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the U.S. bankruptcy laws. The Company subsequently determined to withdraw from bankruptcy court protection and, on motion made by the U.S. trustee, the bankruptcy court ordered the case dismissed on January 9, 2007. Since the dismissal of the Company's bankruptcy case, the Company has settled its outstanding liabilities with creditors and is now in a position to actively seek a target company. In addition, effective February 22, 2007, the Company experienced a change in control and its management



changed, pursuant to a Securities Purchase Agreement by and between the Company and KIG Investors I, LLC ("Investor").

On June 23, 2008, the Company entered into a letter of intent with Organic Bouquet, Inc. and Organic Style Limited., pursuant to which the Company intends to combine with Organic either through a merger between Organic and a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, or an exchange of shares of stock of Organic for shares of common stock of the Company. On August 18, 2008, the Company terminated the letter of intent since the parties had not executed definitive and final agreements by July 31, 2008.

---

On January 15, 2010, Keating Investments, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (“KI”), Mr. Kevin R. Keating (“Keating”), Lionsridge Capital, LLC, an Illinois limited liability company (“LC”), Laurus Master Fund, Ltd., a Cayman Island company (“Laurus”), Garisch Financial, Inc., an Illinois corporation (“GFI”) and Woodman Management Corporation, a California corporation (the “Purchaser”), entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement (the “Purchase Agreement”), pursuant to which (1) KI, Keating, LC, Laurus and GFI (collectively, the “Sellers”) would sell to the Purchaser, and the Purchaser would purchase from the Sellers, an aggregate of 3,861,721 shares of the Registrant’s common stock (the “Shares”), which Shares represent 89.1% of the issued and outstanding shares of the Registrant’s common stock, (2) the Sellers would assign to the Purchaser the Sellers’ registration rights under existing agreements with the Registrant, (3) each Seller and the Registrant would release each other from all existing claims (other than claims by Keating for statutory or other rights to indemnification as a result of his service as an officer and director of the Registrant) and (4) KI would indemnify the Purchaser and the Registrant from liabilities arising out of any breach of any representation, warranty, covenant or obligation of KI, Keating and LC, for a period of six months from the Closing, up to a maximum amount of \$50,000. The aggregate purchase price for the Shares was \$210,129.51, or approximately \$0.05441 per share. In connection with the Purchase Agreement, the Purchaser also agreed to assume, and to pay at the closing of the transactions under the Purchase Agreement (“Closing”), certain obligations of the Registrant in an aggregate amount of \$30,000 (including \$15,000 owed to KI as a consulting fee for services rendered to the Registrant in connection with the transactions contemplated under the Purchase Agreement) (“Assumed Obligations”). The Closing occurred on February 3, 2010. The Purchaser paid the aggregate purchase price for the Shares with personal funds. There are no arrangements or understandings among members of both the former and new control groups and their associates with respect to election of directors or other matters.

The Company's principal business objective for the next 12 months and beyond such time will be to achieve long-term growth potential through a combination with a business rather than immediate, short-term earnings. The Company will not restrict its potential candidate target companies to any specific business, industry or geographical location and, thus, may acquire any type of business.

Basis of Presentation - The accompanying financial statements include the accounts of the Company. The operations of Whitco, prior to the disposition of Whitco's assets, are excluded from continuing operations.

Going Concern — Since inception, the Company and its former subsidiary have a cumulative net loss of \$4,207,494. Since inception, the Company has also been dependent upon the receipt of capital investment or other financing to fund its operations. The Company currently has no source of operating revenue, and has only limited working capital with which to pursue its business plan, which contemplates the completion of a business combination with an operating company. The amount of capital required to sustain operations until the successful completion of a business combination is subject to future events and uncertainties. It may be necessary for the Company to secure additional working capital through loans or sales of common stock, and there can be no assurance that such funding will be available in the future. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

The accompanying financial statements have been presented on the basis of the continuation of the Company as a going concern and do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or the amounts and classifications of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

## NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Use of Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the

reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Income Taxes - The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification Topic 740, Income Taxes ("Topic 740"), which requires the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets at currently enacted tax rates for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. A valuation allowance is recognized to reduce the net deferred tax asset to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

---

Topic 740 provides guidance on the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company's financial statements. Topic 740 requires a company to determine whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination based upon the technical merits of the position. If the more-likely-than-not threshold is met, a company must measure the tax position to determine the amount to recognize in the financial statements.

The Company performed a review of its material tax positions. At the adoption date of October 1, 2007, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits as a result of tax positions taken in a prior period. During the fiscal quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, there were no increases or decreases in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of tax positions taken during those fiscal quarter, there were no decreases in unrecognized tax benefits relating to settlements with taxing authorities, and there were no reductions to unrecognized tax benefits as a result of a lapse of the applicable statute of limitations. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had no unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate. As of June 30, 2010, the Company has no tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease within 12 months of the reporting date. Generally, the Company's tax years ended September 30, 2007 and after remain subject to examination by major taxing jurisdictions.

The Company has elected to classify any interest or penalties recognized with respect to any unrecognized tax benefits as income taxes. During the fiscal quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company did not recognize any amounts for interest or penalties with respect to any unrecognized tax benefits. As of June 30, 2010, no amounts for interest or penalties with respect to any unrecognized tax benefits have been accrued.

Cash and Cash Equivalents - Cash and cash equivalents, if any, include all highly liquid instruments with an original maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments - On July 1, 2008, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Codification Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures ("Topic 820"). Topic 820 defines fair value, establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosures of fair value measurement and enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measures. The three levels are defined as follows:

- Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.
- Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.
  - Level 3 inputs to valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair measurement.

The fair value of the Company's cash and cash equivalents, accrued liabilities and accounts payable approximate carrying value because of the short-term nature of these items.

Revenue Recognition - The Company recognizes revenue in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification Section 605-10-S99, Revenue Recognition, Overall, SEC Materials ("Section 605-10-S99"). Section 605-10-S99 requires that four basic criteria must be met before revenue can be recognized: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) delivery has occurred or services rendered; (3) the fee is fixed and determinable; and (4) collectibility is reasonably assured. The Company had no operations and no revenue for the fiscal quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Net Loss Per Share - Basic loss per share (EPS) is calculated by dividing the loss available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. The Company currently has no dilutive securities and as such, basic and diluted loss per share are the same for all periods presented.

---

**Comprehensive Loss -** Comprehensive loss is defined as all changes in stockholders' equity, exclusive of transactions with owners, such as capital investments. Comprehensive loss includes net loss, changes in certain assets and liabilities that are reported directly in equity such as translation adjustments on investments in foreign subsidiaries and unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities. For the quarter ended June 30, 2010, the Company's comprehensive loss was the same as its net loss.

**Stock Compensation for Services Rendered -** The Company accounts for equity instruments issued to non-employees in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, Compensation - Stock Compensation ("Topic 718") and Accounting Standards Codification Section 505-50, Equity, Equity-Based Payments to Non-employees ("Section 505-50"). All transactions in which goods or services are the consideration received for the issuance of equity instruments are accounted for based on the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the equity instrument issued, whichever is more reliably measurable. The measurement date of the fair value of the equity instrument issued is the earlier of the date on which the counterparty's performance is complete or the date on which it is probable that performance will occur.

**Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements -** In June 2009, the FASB issued new rules related to accounting for transfers of financial assets. These new rules were incorporated into the Accounting Standards Codification in December 2009 as discussed in FASB Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-16, Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets. The new rules amend various provisions related to accounting for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishments of liabilities, by removing the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity and removes the exception from applying FASB rules related to variable interest entities that are qualifying special-purpose entities; limits the circumstances in which a transferor derecognizes a portion or component of a financial asset; defines a participating interest; requires a transferor to recognize and initially measure at fair value all assets obtained and liabilities incurred as a result of a transfer accounted for as a sale; and requires enhanced disclosure; among others. The new rules become effective for the Company on October 1, 2010, earlier application is prohibited. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued new rules to amend certain accounting for variable interest entities (VIE). These new rules were incorporated into the Accounting Standards Codification in December 2009 as discussed in FASB ASU No. 2009-17, Consolidation (Topic 810): Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities. The new rules require an enterprise to perform an analysis to determine whether the enterprise's variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a VIE; to require ongoing reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE; to eliminate the quantitative approach previously required for determining the primary beneficiary of a VIE; to add an additional reconsideration event for determining whether an entity is a VIE when any changes in facts and circumstances occur such that holders of the equity investment at risk, as a group, lose the power from voting rights or similar rights of those investments to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance; and to require enhanced disclosures that will provide users of financial statements with more transparent information about an enterprise's involvement in a VIE. The new rules become effective for the Company on October 1, 2010; earlier application is prohibited. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-14, Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements—a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force, that reduces the types of transactions that fall within the current scope of software revenue recognition guidance. Existing software revenue recognition guidance requires that its provisions be applied to an entire arrangement when the sale of any products or services containing or utilizing software when the software is considered more than incidental to the product or service. As a result of the amendments included in ASU No. 2009-14, many tangible products and services that rely on software will be

accounted for under the multiple-element arrangements revenue recognition guidance rather than under the software revenue recognition guidance. Under the ASU, the following components would be excluded from the scope of software revenue recognition guidance: the tangible element of the product, software products bundled with tangible products where the software components and non-software components function together to deliver the product's essential functionality, and undelivered components that relate to software that is essential to the tangible product's functionality. The ASU also provides guidance on how to allocate transaction consideration when an arrangement contains both deliverables within the scope of software revenue guidance (software deliverables) and deliverables not within the scope of that guidance (non-software deliverables). The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.

---

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-13, Revenue Recognition (Topic 605): Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements—a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (ASU 2009-13). ASU 2009-13 amends accounting for revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables, to eliminate the requirement that all undelivered elements have Vendor-Specific Objective Evidence (VSOE) or Third-Party Evidence (TPE) before an entity can recognize the portion of an overall arrangement fee that is attributable to items that already have been delivered. In the absence of VSOE or TPE of the standalone selling price for one or more delivered or undelivered elements in a multiple-element arrangement, entities will be required to estimate the selling prices of those elements. The overall arrangement fee will be allocated to each element (both delivered and undelivered items) based on their relative selling prices, regardless of whether those selling prices are evidenced by VSOE or TPE or are based on the entity's estimated selling price. Application of the "residual method" of allocating an overall arrangement fee between delivered and undelivered elements will no longer be permitted upon adoption of ASU 2009-13. Additionally, the new guidance will require entities to disclose more information about their multiple-element revenue arrangements. ASU 2009-13 is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. If a vendor elects early adoption and the period of adoption is not the beginning of the entity's fiscal year, the entity will be required to apply the amendments in this Update retrospectively from the beginning of the entity's fiscal year. Additionally, vendors electing early adoption will be required to disclose the following information at a minimum for all previously reported interim periods in the fiscal year of adoption: revenue, income before income taxes, net income, earnings per share and the effect of the change for the appropriate captions presented. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements.

In January 2010, FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements. The ASU requires new disclosures about transfers into and out of Levels 1 and 2 and separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements relating to Level 3 measurements. It also clarifies existing fair value disclosures about the level of disaggregation of disclosed assets and liabilities, and about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements that fall in either Level 2 or Level 3. The new disclosures and clarifications of existing disclosures were effective, and adopted, during the Company's second quarter ended March 31, 2010, however the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 measurements, will be effective for the Company's first quarter ending December 31, 2011. Other than requiring additional disclosures, the full adoption of this new guidance will not have an impact on our financial statements.

#### NOTE 3 – CHANGE OF CONTROL TRANSACTIONS; CREDITOR SETTLEMENTS

On August 22, 2007, the Company entered into a stock purchase agreement with the Investor pursuant to which the Investor purchased 1,572,770 shares of convertible preferred stock for a purchase price of \$157,277, or \$0.10 per share ("Preferred Stock Purchase").

On August 23, 2007, in accordance with the terms of the stock purchase agreement, the existing officers and two of the Company's directors resigned, and Kevin R. Keating, the sole remaining director, was appointed Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, President, Secretary and Treasurer.

Kevin R. Keating is the father of Timothy J. Keating, the principal member of Keating Investments, LLC. Prior to the liquidation and dissolution of the Investor, Keating Investments, LLC was the managing member of the Investor, and Timothy J. Keating was the manager of the Investor.



The Preferred Stock Purchase was completed on September 12, 2007. The preferred shares were automatically convertible into the Company's common stock at such time as the Company completed a 1-for-10 reverse stock split ("Reverse Split"). The Reverse Split was completed on September 25, 2007, and the Investor was issued 2,562,015 shares of common stock, on a post-split basis, upon cancellation of the preferred stock. The proceeds of the Preferred Stock Purchase were used to pay outstanding liabilities of the Company.

---

In connection with and as a condition of the closing of the Preferred Stock Purchase, the Company entered into agreements with a number of creditors for a cash settlement of amounts owed to them by the Company. Pursuant to these cash settlements, the Company paid an aggregate of \$30,277 in complete satisfaction of \$191,092 in accrued liabilities, resulting in income from the discharge of indebtedness of \$160,815 in the fourth quarter of the year ending September 30, 2007.

In connection with and as a condition of the closing of the Preferred Stock Purchase, the Company also entered into agreements with a number of creditors for the issuance of common stock in complete settlement of amounts owed to them for services rendered. Pursuant to these equity settlements, the Company issued an aggregate of 71,086 shares of common stock, on a post-split basis, valued at \$7,109 or approximately \$0.10 per share, in satisfaction of accrued liabilities totaling \$73,260, resulting in income from discharge of indebtedness of \$66,151 being recorded in the fourth quarter of the year ending September 30, 2007.

In connection with and as a condition of the closing of the Preferred Stock Purchase, the Company also entered into an agreement with the Entity for the issuance of common stock in complete settlement of amounts owed to it for certain loans and accrued interest. Pursuant to this equity settlement, the Company issued 1,083,172 shares of common stock, on a post-split basis, valued at \$108,317 or approximately \$0.10 per share, in satisfaction of principal under notes of \$820,024 and accrued Catalyst Lighting Group, Inc. Notes to Condensed Financial Statements December 31, 2009 interest of \$121,095, resulting in income from discharge of indebtedness of \$832,802 being recorded in the fourth quarter of the year ending September 30, 2007.

In consideration of the above equity settlements, each creditor was granted piggy back registration rights for the shares of common stock received in the settlement.

Further, as part of the cash and equity settlements, any creditor holding warrants to purchase shares of the Company's common stock agreed to the cancellation of such warrants. Accordingly, warrants to purchase 82,367 shares of common stock, on a post-split basis, were cancelled.

#### NOTE 4 – STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Common Stock - Pursuant to certain settlement agreements, on September 14, 2007, the Company issued an aggregate of 71,086 shares of common stock, on a post-split basis, valued at \$7,109 or approximately \$0.10 per share, in satisfaction of accrued liabilities owed to certain service providers totaling \$73,260, resulting in income from discharge of indebtedness of \$66,151 being recorded.

Pursuant to a settlement agreement with the Entity, on September 14, 2007, the Company issued 1,083,172 shares of common stock, on a post-split basis, valued at \$108,317 or approximately \$0.10 per share, in satisfaction of principal under notes of \$820,024 and accrued interest of \$121,095, resulting in income from discharge of indebtedness of \$832,802 being recorded.

On September 14, 2007, the Company issued 86,654 shares of its common stock, on a post-split basis, to Kevin R. Keating, the sole officer and director of the Company, for services rendered to the Company valued at \$8,665, or \$0.10 per share.

On September 14, 2007, the Company issued 86,654 shares of its common stock, on a post-split basis, to Garisch Financial, Inc. for consulting services rendered to the Company valued at \$8,665, or \$0.10 per share.

Edgar Filing: CATALYST LIGHTING GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

On September 14, 2007, the Company issued 20,000 shares of its common stock, on a post-split basis, to a former officer and director of the Company, for consulting services rendered to the Company valued at \$2,000, or \$0.10 per share.

On September 25, 2007, following the completion of the Reverse Split, the Company automatically converted its outstanding Preferred Stock and issued the Investor 2,562,015 shares of common stock, on a post-split basis. On January 9, 2009, the shares of the Company's common stock held by the Investor were distributed to the Investor's members (including Keating Investments, LLC) pro rata based on their respective ownership interests in the Investor and as a part of the liquidation and dissolution of the Investor.

---

All of the foregoing shares of common stock issued by the Company were issued under an exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended ("Securities Act"). As such, the shares of common stock so issued are restricted shares, and the holder thereof may not sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of such shares without registration under the Securities Act or an exemption there from. The Company has granted piggyback registration rights to each of the recipients of the foregoing stock issuances with respect to the above shares. In addition, demand registration rights have been granted to the Investor and its transferees and the Entity.

**Preferred Stock** - On August 27, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors designated 1,600,000 shares of preferred stock as Series A Convertible Preferred Stock ("Preferred Stock"). Each share of Preferred Stock was automatically convertible into 16.28982 shares of fully paid and non-assessable common stock upon the Company's completion of a reverse stock split. The holders of Preferred Stock were entitled to vote the number of shares of common stock they were entitled to upon conversion on all matters presented to a vote of the common stockholders.

On August 22, 2007, the Company entered into a stock purchase agreement with the Investor pursuant to which the Investor purchased 1,572,770 shares of Preferred Stock for a purchase price of \$157,277 ("Preferred Stock Purchase"). The Preferred Stock Purchase was completed on September 12, 2007. The shares of Preferred Stock were automatically convertible into the Company's common stock at such time as the Company completed a 1-for-10 reverse stock split ("Reverse Split"). The Reverse Split was completed on September 25, 2007, and the Investor was issued 2,562,015 shares of common stock, on a post-split basis, upon cancellation of the Preferred Stock.

**Reverse Stock-Split** - On September 25, 2007, the Company completed a 1-for-10 reverse stock split of its outstanding common stock. The Reverse Split provided for the round up of fractional shares and the special treatment of certain shareholders as follows:

- a) shareholders holding less than 100 shares of common stock as of the record date will not be affected by the Reverse Split and will hold the same number of shares both before and after the Reverse Split;
- b) shareholders holding 1,000 or fewer shares of common stock, but at least 100 shares of common stock as of the record date will hold 100 shares of common stock following the Reverse Split; and
- c) all fractional shares as a result of the Reverse Split will be rounded up.

In connection with the Reverse Split, effective September 25, 2007, the Company also amended its certificate of incorporation to reduce the par value of its common stock and preferred stock from \$0.01 to \$0.0001 per share and to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock from 40,000,000 to 200,000,000 shares.

As of June 30, 2010, after giving effect to the Reverse Split, there were 4,331,131 shares of common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, issued and outstanding. Except as otherwise noted, all references to shares of the Company's common stock shall refer to the shares of common stock after giving effect to the Reverse Split and the reduction of the par value per share.

**Option Plans** - As of October 1, 2005, there were issued and outstanding options to purchase 9,828 shares of the Company's common stock, on a post-split basis, and there were 140,172 options available for issuance under the 2003 Stock Option Plan. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, the options to purchase 9,828 shares of common stock under the 2003 Stock Option Plan were cancelled. On September 13, 2007, following the closing of the

Preferred Stock Purchase, the 2003 Stock Option Plan was terminated by the Company's Board of Directors.

Stock Purchase Warrants - As of October 1, 2005, there were issued and outstanding warrants to purchase 86,410 shares of the Company's common stock, on a post-split basis. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2007, the Company entered into settlement agreements with certain creditors who held warrants to purchase 82,366 shares of common stock. As part of these settlement agreements, these warrants were cancelled.

On March 26, 2008, warrants to purchase 710 shares, on a post split basis, of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$31.25 expired without being exercised.

On December 10, 2009, warrants to purchase 3,334 shares, on a post split basis, of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$30.00 expired without being exercised.

---

#### NOTE 5 – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

On September 14, 2007, the Company issued 86,654 shares of its common stock, on a post-split basis, to Kevin R. Keating, the sole officer and director of the Company, for services rendered to the Company valued at \$8,665, or \$0.10 per share.

On September 14, 2007, the Company issued 20,000 shares of its common stock, on a post-split basis, to a former officer and director of the Company, for consulting services rendered to the Company valued at \$2,000, or \$0.10 per share.

On August 22, 2007, the Company entered into a revolving loan agreement with Keating Investments, LLC ("Lender"). Pursuant to this agreement, the Lender agreed to make advances to the Company from time to time at the request of the Company. The advances outstanding were not to exceed \$30,000. The Company was required to repay the outstanding advances in full on or before October 22, 2007. The advances bear interest commencing September 22, 2007 at a rate of 6% per annum. The Lender made advances of \$25,000 and \$5,000 on August 27, 2007 and September 5, 2007, respectively. The advances were used for working capital purposes and to pay certain accrued liabilities and service providers. On September 19, 2007, these advances were repaid in full from the proceeds of the Preferred Stock Purchase. Keating Investments, LLC was formerly the managing member of the Investor.

On March 5, 2010, the Company and Woodman Management Corporation, ("Woodman") entered into a revolving promissory note agreement. Under the revolving note agreement, the Company can borrow up to a maximum principal amount of \$250,000. Interest shall accrue from the date of any advances on any principal amount withdrawn, and on accrued and unpaid interest thereon, at the rate of 8% per annum, compounded annually. All unpaid principal and interest must be paid by March 5, 2011. As of June 30, 2010, the Company was advanced \$60,000 under this agreement. Accrued interest under this revolving promissory note agreement was \$870 as of June 30, 2010.

Management Agreement - On October 1, 2007, the Company and Vero entered into an agreement whereby Vero will provide to the Company a broad range of managerial and administrative services for a fixed fee of \$1,000 per month, for an initial period of twelve months. At the end of the initial twelve month term, the agreement will continue to remain in effect until terminated in writing by either party. For the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded \$3,000 and \$3,000, respectively, of managerial and administrative expenses associated with this agreement which are included as a component of general and administrative expenses in the accompanying condensed statements of operations. As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company had accrued and unpaid management fees owed to Vero of \$0 and \$3,000, respectively.

On February 3, 2010, the Company and Venor Inc ("Venor") entered into an agreement in which Venor will provide financial services as well as services in the capacity of Interim President and Interim Secretary. These services will be provided for a fixed fee of \$4,000 per month for six months. As of June 30, 2010, the Company has no unpaid management and other fees under this agreement.

#### NOTE 6 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has evaluated subsequent events for the period from June 30, 2010, the date of these financial statements, through August 13, 2010, which represents the date the Company intends to file these financial statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Pursuant to the requirements of Accounting Standards Codification Section 855-10, there were no events or transactions occurring during this subsequent event reporting period that require recognition or disclosure in these financial statements. With respect to this disclosure, the Company has not

evaluated subsequent events occurring after August 13, 2010.

---

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The information contained in this Form 10-Q is intended to update the information contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2009 and presumes that readers have access to, and will have read, the "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and other information contained in such Form 10-K. The following discussion and analysis also should be read together with our financial statements and the notes to the financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q.

The following discussion contains certain statements that may be deemed "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements appear in a number of places in this Report, including, without limitation, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks, uncertainties and requirements that are difficult to predict or are beyond our control. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this quarterly report. You should not put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. We strongly encourage investors to carefully read the factors described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2009 in the section entitled "Risk Factors" for a description of certain risks that could, among other things, cause actual results to differ from these forward-looking statements. We assume no responsibility to update the forward-looking statements contained in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. The following should also be read in conjunction with the unaudited Financial Statements and notes thereto that appear elsewhere in this report.

### Reorganization and Change of Control

The Company completed its reorganization in September 2007 ("Reorganization") and settled all of its outstanding liabilities with creditors outside the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy courts. As part of the Reorganization, on August 22, 2007, the Company entered into a stock purchase agreement with KIG Investors I, LLC ("KIG Investors") pursuant to which KIG Investors purchased 1,572,770 shares of convertible preferred stock for a purchase price of \$157,277, or \$0.10 per share ("Preferred Stock Purchase"). On August 23, 2007, in accordance with the terms of the stock purchase agreement, the existing officers and two of the Company's directors resigned, and Kevin R. Keating, the sole remaining director, was appointed Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, President, Secretary and Treasurer of the Company. Kevin R. Keating is the father of Timothy J. Keating, the principal member of Keating Investments, LLC. Prior to the liquidation and dissolution of KIG Investors, Keating Investments, LLC was the managing member of KIG Investors, and Timothy J. Keating was the manager of KIG Investors.

The Preferred Stock Purchase was completed on September 12, 2007. The preferred shares were automatically convertible into the Company's common stock at such time as the Company completed a 1-for-10 reverse stock split ("Reverse Split"). The Reverse Split was completed on September 25, 2007, and KIG Investors was issued 2,562,015 shares of common stock, on a post-reverse split basis, upon cancellation of the preferred stock. The proceeds of the Preferred Stock Purchase were used to pay outstanding liabilities of the Company.

On January 9, 2009, the shares of the Company's common stock held by KIG Investors were distributed to KIG Investors' members (including Keating Investments, LLC) pro rata based on their respective ownership interests in KIG Investors and as a part of the liquidation and dissolution of KIG Investors.

As part of the Reorganization, the Company entered into agreements with a number of creditors for a cash settlement of amounts owed to them by the Company. Pursuant to these cash settlements, the Company paid an aggregate of \$30,277 in complete satisfaction of \$191,092 in accrued liabilities, resulting in income from the discharge of



indebtedness of \$160,815 being recorded in the quarter ended September 30, 2007.

As part of the Reorganization, the Company also entered into settlement agreements with certain service providers for the issuance of common stock in complete settlement of amounts owed to them for services rendered. Pursuant to these settlement agreements, the Company issued an aggregate of 71,086 shares of common stock, on a post-reverse split basis, valued at \$7,109 or approximately \$0.10 per share, in satisfaction of accrued liabilities totaling \$73,260, resulting in income from discharge of indebtedness of \$66,151 being recorded in the quarter ended September 30, 2007.

---

As part of the Reorganization, the Company also entered into a settlement agreement with Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. ("Laurus"), the Company's secured creditor, for the issuance of common stock in complete settlement of amounts owed to it for certain loans and accrued interest. Pursuant to this settlement agreement, the Company issued 1,083,172 shares of common stock, on a post-split basis, to Laurus valued at \$108,317 or approximately \$0.10 per share, in satisfaction of principal under notes of \$820,024 and accrued interest of \$121,095, resulting in income from discharge of indebtedness of \$832,802 being recorded in the quarter ended September 30, 2007.

On January 15, 2010, KI, Keating, LC, Laurus, Garisch Financial, Inc., an Illinois corporation ("GFI") and Purchaser, entered into a Purchase Agreement, pursuant to which (1) the Sellers would sell to the Purchaser, and the Purchaser would purchase from the Sellers, an aggregate of 3,861,721 Shares, which Shares represent 89.1% of the issued and outstanding shares of the Registrant's common stock, (2) the Sellers would assign to the Purchaser the Sellers' registration rights under existing agreements with the Registrant, (3) each Seller and the Registrant would release each other from all existing claims (other than claims by Keating for statutory or other rights to indemnification as a result of his service as an officer and director of the Registrant) and (4) KI would indemnify the Purchaser and the Registrant from liabilities arising out of any breach of any representation, warranty, covenant or obligation of KI, Keating and LC, for a period of six months from the Closing, up to a maximum amount of \$50,000. The aggregate purchase price for the Shares was \$210,129.51, or approximately \$0.05441 per share. In connection with the Purchase Agreement, the Purchaser also agreed to assume, and to pay at the Closing Assumed Obligations. The Closing occurred on February 3, 2010. The Purchaser paid the aggregate purchase price for the Shares with personal funds. There are no arrangements or understandings among members of both the former and new control groups and their associates with respect to election of directors or other matters.

#### Current Business of Issuer

Since completion of its Reorganization, the Company's business strategy has been to investigate and, if such investigation warrants, acquire a target operating company or business seeking the perceived advantages of being a publicly held corporation. The Company's principal business objective for the next 12 months and beyond such time will be to achieve long-term growth potential through a combination with an operating business rather than immediate, short-term earnings. The Company will not restrict its potential candidate target companies to any specific business, industry or geographical location and, thus, may acquire any type of business.

Under SEC Rule 12b-2 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), the Company qualifies as a "shell company," because it has no or nominal assets (other than cash) and no or nominal operations. Management does not intend to undertake any efforts to cause a market to develop in our securities, either debt or equity, until we have successfully concluded a business combination. The Company intends to comply with the periodic reporting requirements of the Exchange Act for so long as it is subject to those requirements.

The analysis of new business opportunities will be undertaken by or under the supervision of Kevin R. Keating, the sole officer and director of the Company. As of this date, the Company has not entered into any definitive agreement with any party, nor have there been any specific discussions with any potential business combination candidate regarding business opportunities for the Company. The Company has unrestricted flexibility in seeking, analyzing and participating in potential business opportunities. In its efforts to analyze potential acquisition targets, the Company will consider the following kinds of factors:

- (i) Potential for growth, indicated by new technology, anticipated market expansion or new products;

(ii) Competitive position as compared to other firms of similar size and experience within the industry segment as well as within the industry as a whole;

(iii) Strength and diversity of management, either in place or scheduled for recruitment;

(iv) Capital requirements and anticipated availability of required funds, to be provided by the Company or from operations, through the sale of additional securities, through joint ventures or similar arrangements or from other sources;

(v) The cost of participation by the Company as compared to the perceived tangible and intangible values and potentials;

(vi) The extent to which the business opportunity can be advanced;

---

(vii) The accessibility of required management expertise, personnel, raw materials, services, professional assistance and other required items; and

(viii) Other relevant factors.

In applying the foregoing criteria, no one of which will be controlling, management will attempt to analyze all factors and circumstances and make a determination based upon reasonable investigative measures and available data. Potentially available business opportunities may occur in many different industries, and at various stages of development, all of which will make the task of comparative investigation and analysis of such business opportunities extremely difficult and complex. Due to the Company's limited capital available for investigation, the Company may not discover or adequately evaluate adverse facts about the opportunity to be acquired.

The Company's principal place of business is located at 1328 W. Balboa Blvd. Suite C, Newport Beach, CA 92661. Our telephone number is (949) 903-0468.

#### Plan of Operations

The Company's current business strategy and plan of operation has been to investigate and, if such investigation warrants, acquire a target operating company or business seeking the perceived advantages of being a publicly held corporation. The Company does not currently engage in any business activities that provide cash flow. The costs of investigating and analyzing business combinations for the next 12 months and beyond such time will be paid with money in the Company's treasury or with additional amounts, as necessary, to be loaned to or invested in the Company by its stockholders, management or other investors.

During the next 12 months the Company anticipates incurring costs related to the filing of Exchange Act reports, and consummating a business combination. The Company believes it will be able to meet these costs through use of funds in its treasury and additional amounts to be loaned by or invested in the Company by its stockholders, management or other investors. Currently, however, the Company's ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to generate future profitable operations and/or to obtain the necessary financing to meet its obligations and repay its liabilities arising from normal business operations when they come due. The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is also dependent on its ability to find a suitable target operating company and enter into a possible business combination with such operating company. Management's plan includes obtaining additional funds by equity financing prior to or in connection with a business combination and/or related party advances; however, there is no assurance of additional funding being available.

The Company may consider an operating business which has recently commenced operations, is a developing company in need of additional funds for expansion into new products or markets, is seeking to develop a new product or service, or is an established business which may be experiencing financial or operating difficulties and is in need of additional capital. In the alternative, a business combination may involve the acquisition of, or merger with, a company which does not need substantial additional capital, but which desires to establish a public trading market for its shares, while avoiding, among other things, the time delays, significant expense, and loss of voting control which may occur in a public offering.

Any target business that is selected may be a financially unstable company or an entity in its early stages of development or growth, including entities without established records of sales or earnings. In that event, the Company will be subject to numerous risks inherent in the business and operations of financially unstable and early stage or potential emerging growth companies. In addition, the Company may effect a business combination with an entity in

an industry characterized by a high level of risk, and, although the Company's management will endeavor to evaluate the risks inherent in a particular target business, there can be no assurance that the Company will properly ascertain or assess all significant risks.

The Company's management anticipates that it will likely be able to effect only one business combination, due primarily to its limited financing and the dilution of interest for present and prospective stockholders, which is likely to occur as a result of the Company's plan to offer a controlling interest to a target business in order to achieve a tax-free reorganization. This lack of diversification should be considered a substantial risk in investing in the Company, because it will not permit us to offset potential losses from one venture against gains from another.

---

The Company anticipates that the selection of a business combination will be complex and extremely risky. Because of general economic conditions, rapid technological advances being made in some industries and shortages of available capital, the Company's management believes that there are numerous firms seeking even the limited additional capital which we will have and/or the perceived benefits of becoming a publicly traded corporation. Such perceived benefits of becoming a publicly traded corporation include, among other things, facilitating or improving the terms on which additional equity financing may be obtained, providing liquidity for the principals of and investors in a business, creating a means for providing incentive stock options or similar benefits to key employees, and offering greater flexibility in structuring acquisitions, joint ventures and the like through the issuance of stock. Potentially available business combinations may occur in many different industries and at various stages of development, all of which will make the task of comparative investigation and analysis of such business opportunities extremely difficult and complex.

We may retain any entity to act as a "finder" or a consultant to identify and/or analyze the merits of potential target businesses.

#### Results of Operation

For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company had no revenues from continuing operations. It is unlikely the Company will have any revenues unless it is able to effect an acquisition or merger with an operating company, of which there can be no assurance. It is management's assertion that these circumstances may hinder the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Company had a net loss of \$23,981, as compared with a net loss of \$5,676 for the corresponding period in 2009. For the three months ending June 30, 2010, the Company incurred \$23,168 of operating expenses, comprised of (a) accounting and audit fees of \$13,778, (b) legal fees of \$8,032 (c) transfer agent fees of \$1,181 and (d) other miscellaneous expenses of \$177. For the three months ending June 30, 2009, the Company incurred \$5,676 of operating expenses, comprised of (a) accounting and audit fees of \$1,000 (b) management fees of \$3,000 incurred in relation to a broad range of managerial and administrative services provided by Vero, (c) transfer agent fees of \$750, and (d) Edgar filing fees of \$606.

For the nine months ended June 30, 2010, the Company had a net loss of \$61,252, as compared with a net loss of \$21,221 for the corresponding period in 2009. For the nine months ending June 30, 2010, the Company incurred \$67,414 of operating expenses, comprised of (a) accounting and audit fees of \$43,540, (b) legal fees of \$13,555 (c) transfer agent fees of \$3,289 (d) Edgar filing fees of \$2,083, (e) other miscellaneous expenses of \$1,947 and (f) management fees of \$3,000 incurred in relation to a broad range of managerial and administrative services provided by Vero management. For the nine months ending June 30, 2009, the Company incurred \$21,221 of operating expenses, comprised of (a) accounting and audit fees of \$6,609, (b) management fees of \$9,000 incurred in relation to a broad range of managerial and administrative services provided by Vero, (c) transfer agent fees of \$3,658, and (d) Edgar filing fees of \$1,954.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had assets equal to \$12,843, comprising exclusively of cash. The Company's current liabilities as of June 30, 2010 were \$68,918 comprising of accounts payable, accrued expenses and a note payable to a related party.

Edgar Filing: CATALYST LIGHTING GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

The following is a summary of the Company's cash flows provided by (used in) operating, investing, and financing activities for the nine months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

Nine months ended June 30,

	2010	2009
Operating Activities	\$(61,634 )	\$(21,171 )
Investing Activities	-	-
Financing Activities	60,000	-
Net Effect on Cash	\$(1,634 )	\$(21,171 )

---

The Company currently has nominal assets, no active business operations and no sources of revenues. The Company is dependent upon the receipt of capital investment or other financing to fund its ongoing operations and to execute its business plan of seeking a combination with a private operating company. In addition, the Company is dependent upon certain related parties to provide continued funding and capital resources. If continued funding and capital resources are unavailable at reasonable terms, the Company may not be able to implement its plan of operations. Our financial statements indicate that without additional capital, there is substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern.

#### Going Concern

We currently have no source of operating revenue, and have only limited working capital with which to pursue our business plan, which contemplates the completion of a business combination with an operating company. The amount of capital required to sustain operations until the successful completion of a business combination is subject to future events and uncertainties. It may be necessary for us to secure additional working capital through loans or sales of common stock, and there can be no assurance that such funding will be available in the future. These conditions raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our auditor has issued a "going concern" qualification as part of his opinion in the Audit Report for the year ended September 30, 2009, and our unaudited financial statements for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 include a "going concern" footnote.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities in the financial statements and accompanying notes. The SEC has defined a company's critical accounting policies as the ones that are most important to the portrayal of the company's financial condition and results of operations, and which require the company to make its most difficult and subjective judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates of matters that are inherently uncertain. We believe that our estimates and assumptions are reasonable under the circumstances; however, actual results may vary from these estimates and assumptions. We have identified in Note 2 - "Summary of Accounting Policies" to the Financial Statements contained in this Quarterly Report certain critical accounting policies that affect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the financial statements.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources and would be considered material to investors.

#### Contractual Obligations

As a "smaller reporting company" as defined by Item 10 of Regulation S-K, the Company is not required to provide this information.





Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

As a "smaller reporting company" as defined by Item 10 of Regulation S-K, the Company is not required to provide information required by this Item

Item 4T Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed pursuant to the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules, regulations and related forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of June 30, 2010, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

Changes in Internal Controls

There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal controls.

---

PART II -- OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

To the best knowledge of our sole officer and director, the Company is not a party to any legal proceeding or litigation.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

As a "smaller reporting company" as defined by Item 10 of Regulation S-K, the Company is not required to provide information required by this Item. See the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 13, 2009 which identifies and discloses certain risks and uncertainties including, without limitation, those "Risk Factors" included in Item 1A of the Annual Report.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

ITEM 6. Exhibits

31	Certification of President pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14 and 15d-14 as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
----	--

32	Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
----	--

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CATALYST LIGHTING GROUP, INC.

Date: August 13, 2010

/s/ ERIC STOPPENHAGEN

Name: Eric Stoppenhagen

Title: President, Secretary and Director

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	Description
31	Certification of President pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14 and 15d-14 as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32	Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

---

