

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

AFLAC INC
Form DEF 14A
March 21, 2013

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant
]

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12
- Confidential, For Use of the
Commission Only (as permitted
by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials

Aflac Incorporated
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
 - 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
 - 5) Total fee paid:
- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.
 - 1) Amount previously paid:
 - 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:



March 21, 2013

Dear Fellow Shareholder:

Thank you for putting your faith, confidence and resources in Aflac Incorporated. Enhancing the value of your investment remains a priority.

I hope you will attend the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders that will be held on Monday, May 6, 2013, at 10 a.m. at the Columbus Museum in Columbus, Georgia. I will be reporting on our business and strategy for the future.

Whether or not you are able to attend the meeting, your opinion matters to us and gives us input on important topics that relate to our business and, ultimately, to your investment. I encourage you to review the Proxy Statement, Proxy, 2012 Year in Review, and Annual Report on Form 10-K to learn more about your company and then vote your shares over the Internet or by telephone in accordance with the instructions. Or, you may opt to complete, sign, date and promptly return your proxy as soon as possible so that your shares will be represented at the meeting.

We are driven each day to deliver on the promise we make to our policyholders to be there when they need us most. We are also driven to be good stewards of your investment and of the interests of all of our stakeholders. Thank you for the support that has helped establish a strong foundation for our company.

Sincerely,

Daniel P. Amos

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders	1
Proxy Summary	2
Solicitation and Revocation of Proxy	6
Proposal 1 Election of Directors	9
Director Compensation	16
Security Ownership of Management	18
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	18
Corporate Governance	19
Board and Committees	23
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	27
Compensation Committee Report	40
2012 Summary Compensation Table	41
2012 Grants of Plan-Based Awards	44
2012 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End	45
2012 Option Exercises and Stock Vested	47
Pension Benefits	48
Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	50
Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control	51
Equity Compensation Plan Information	55
Related Person Transactions	56
Audit Committee Report	57
Proposal 2 Advisory Vote on Executive Pay-for-Performance Compensation	58
Proposal 3 Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	58
Other Matters	59

NOTICE OF 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Aflac Incorporated (the Company) will be held on Monday, May 6, 2013, at 10:00 a.m. at the Columbus Museum (in the Patrick Theatre), 1251 Wynnton Road, Columbus, Georgia, for the following purposes, all of which are described in the accompanying Proxy Statement:

1. to elect 14 Directors of the Company to serve until the next Annual Meeting and until their successors are duly elected and qualified;

2. to consider the following non-binding advisory proposal:

"Resolved, that the shareholders approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, executive compensation tables and accompanying narrative discussion in the Proxy Statement;"

3. to consider and act upon the ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the year ending December 31, 2013; and

4. to transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting and at any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

The accompanying proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors of the Company. The Proxy Statement and the Company's 2012 Year in Review and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (together, the Annual Report) are enclosed.

The record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to vote at the meeting is February 27, 2013, and only shareholders of record at the close of business on that date will be entitled to vote at this meeting and any adjournment thereof.

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT! WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO BE PRESENT AT THE MEETING, PLEASE VOTE AS PROMPTLY AS POSSIBLE SO THAT WE MAY BE ASSURED OF A QUORUM TO TRANSACT BUSINESS. YOU MAY VOTE BY USING THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, OR BY SIGNING, DATING AND RETURNING THE PROXY MAILED TO THOSE WHO RECEIVE PAPER COPIES OF THIS PROXY STATEMENT. IF YOU ATTEND THE MEETING, YOU MAY REVOKE YOUR PROXY AND VOTE IN PERSON.

Columbus, Georgia
March 21, 2013

By order of the Board of Directors,
J. Matthew Loudermilk
Secretary

***Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to be held on May 6, 2013. This Proxy Statement and the Annual Report are available at proxyvote.com.**

PROXY SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement. This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider and you should read the entire proxy statement before voting. For more complete information regarding the Company's 2012 performance, please review the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K. In this Proxy Statement, the terms Company, we, or our refer to Aflac Incorporated, and the term Aflac refers to the Company's subsidiary, American Family Life Assurance Company of Columbus, which operates in the United States (Aflac U.S.) and as a branch in Japan (Aflac Japan).

2013 Annual Meeting of Shareowners

- **Date and Time:**
Monday, May 6, 2013, at 10:00 a.m.
- **Place:**
Columbus Museum (the Patrick Theatre), 1251 Wynnton Road, Columbus, Georgia
- **Record Date:**
February 27, 2013

Voting Matters and Board Recommendations

	Our Board's Recommendation
Proposal 1: Election of Directors (page 9)	FOR each Director Nominee
Proposal 2: Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation (page 58)	FOR
Proposal 3: Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (page 58)	FOR

2012 Business Highlights

In 2012, the Company delivered strong operating results. Business highlights included:

- We met our operating earnings per diluted share objective for the 23rd consecutive year.
- Our net earnings rose 48.0% over 2011 to \$2.9 billion.
- Through strong surplus growth, an improved portfolio risk profile, and a weaker yen, our capital ratios improved significantly:
 - ◆ Risk-based Capital (RBC) ratio was 630%, as compared with 493% in 2011.
 - ◆ Solvency margin ratio, the principal capital adequacy measure in Japan, was 669%, which was above our targeted range of 500% to 600%.
- Combined, we generated \$4.1 billion in total new annualized premium sales in the United States and Japan.
- Our total revenues rose 14.4% to \$25.4 billion, reflecting solid growth in our premium income and net investment income as well as lower realized investment losses.
- We repurchased approximately \$100 million (1.9 million) of the Company's shares.
- Increased our dividend by 6.1% (with an objective to grow the dividend at a rate that is generally in line with operating earnings per share growth before the impact of the yen).

In 2012, we increased our dividend for the 30th consecutive year. Including reinvested cash dividends, our total return to shareholders was 26.4% for the one-year period (12/31/11 – 12/31/12). This compares with a one-year total shareholder return of 16.0% for the S&P 500 Index and a 14.6% return for the S&P Life & Health Insurance Index (Aflac Incorporated, Lincoln National, MetLife, Principal Financial Group, Prudential Financial, Torchmark, Unum Group), respectively.

COMPARISON OF ONE-YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*

Among Aflac Incorporated, the S&P 500 Index, and the S&P Life & Health Insurance Index

*\$100 invested on
12/31/11 in stock or
index, including
reinvestment of
dividends.
Fiscal year ending
December 31.

Copyright© 2013 S&P, a
division of The McGraw
-Hill Companies Inc. All
rights reserved.

Including reinvested cash dividends, our total return to shareholders was 24.2% for the three-year period (12/31/09 – 12/31/12). This compares with a three-year total shareholder return of 36.3% for the S&P 500 Index and 13.8% return for the S&P Life & Health Insurance Index, respectively.

COMPARISON OF THREE-YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*

Among Aflac Incorporated, the S&P 500 Index, and the S&P Life & Health Insurance Index

*\$100 invested on
12/31/09 in stock or
index, including
reinvestment of
dividends.
Fiscal year ending
December 31.

Copyright© 2013 S&P, a
division of The McGraw
-Hill Companies Inc. All
rights reserved.

Director Nominees (page 9)

Each Director stands for election annually. The following table provides summary information about each Director nominee.

Name	Age	Year First Elected	Primary Occupation	Independent
Daniel P. Amos	61	1983	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Aflac Incorporated and Aflac	
John Shelby Amos II	60	1983	Alabama/West-Florida state sales coordinator for Aflac U.S.	
Paul S. Amos II	37	2007	President of Aflac and Chief Operating Officer of Aflac U.S.	
W. Paul Bowers	56	#	Chief Executive Officer and President of Georgia Power Co. and Executive Vice President of Southern Company	ü
Kriss Cloninger III	65	2001	President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Aflac Incorporated	
Elizabeth J. Hudson	63	1990	Executive Vice President of Communications for the National Geographic Society	ü
Douglas W. Johnson [^]	69	2004	Certified Public Accountant and retired Ernst & Young LLP audit partner	ü
Robert B. Johnson	68	2002	Senior Advisor, Porter Novelli PR	ü
Charles B. Knapp	66	1990	President Emeritus of the University of Georgia	ü
E. Stephen Purdom, M.D.	65	1987	Former Executive Vice President of insurance operations for Aflac U.S.	ü
Barbara K. Rimer, DrPH	64	1995	Dean and Alumni Distinguished Professor, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill	ü
Melvin T. Stith	66	2012	Dean of the Martin J. Whitman School of Management at Syracuse University	ü
David Gary Thompson	66	2005	Former Chief Executive Officer, Georgia Banking, Wachovia Bank, N.A. and Executive Vice President, Wachovia Corporation	ü
Takuro Yoshida	60	2010	President of Nippon Tochi-Tatemono Co., Ltd.	ü

First Year Nominated

[^] Lead Non-Management Director

Executive Compensation Highlights (page 27)

Our compensation philosophy, which extends to every employee level at the Company, is to provide pay-for-performance that is directly linked to the Company's results. We believe this is the most effective method for creating shareholder value, and that it has played a significant role in making the Company an industry leader.

The Company's executive compensation programs reflect our corporate governance best practices principles:

- ii Independent Compensation Committee oversees the program;
- ii Independent compensation consultant hired by and reporting to the Compensation Committee;
- ii Rigorous pay-for-performance formulaic structure for CEO compensation, in place for 15 years, which is regularly evaluated by the Compensation Committee;
- ii For the past 15 years, 100% of the CEO's long-term incentive-based compensation has been determined based on the Company's performance versus peers;
- ii Annual report by the Consultant to the full Board on CEO pay and performance alignment;
- ii First public company in the U.S. to provide shareholders with a Say-on-Pay vote (voluntary action, for five years starting in 2008);
- ii Stock ownership guidelines for executive officers and Directors in place since 1998; Pledged shares do not count toward the stock ownership guidelines;
- ii Clawback policy in place since 2007;
- ii No tax gross-ups, including no change-in-control excise tax gross-ups; and
- ii Entering into a 10b5-1 plan (unless approved by the Compensation Committee), hedging, and future pledging of the Company's stock by executive officers and Directors is prohibited.

Nowhere is the link between pay and performance better illustrated than with our CEO's compensation. The following chart illustrates the directional relationship between Company performance, based on our key performance metrics, and the total direct compensation (TDC) of our Chairman and CEO from 2008 to 2012.

* TDC equals sum of base salary, annual bonus paid, and grant-date fair value of long-term incentives (contingent February grant plus August true up grant based on relative performance for prior year)

** Based on weighted relative performance ranking (vs. 17-company peer group) across nine metrics. See CEO Compensation and Pay-For-Performance beginning on page 34 for additional details

**AFLAC INCORPORATED
PROXY STATEMENT
FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS
TO BE HELD MONDAY, MAY 6, 2013
SOLICITATION AND REVOCATION OF PROXY**

This Proxy Statement is furnished to shareholders in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of the Company for use at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on Monday, May 6, 2013, and any adjournment thereof, for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and described in detail herein. The meeting will be held at 10 a.m. at the Columbus Museum (in the Patrick Theatre), 1251 Wynnton Road, Columbus, Georgia, directions to which may be obtained by calling (800) 227-4756.

The mailing address of our principal executive offices is Aflac Incorporated, 1932 Wynnton Road, Columbus, Georgia 31999.

All properly executed proxies returned to the Company will be voted in accordance with the instructions contained thereon. With respect to proxies returned by shareholders of record to the Company with no voting instructions indicated, the proxies will be voted FOR the

election of all Director nominees named in this Proxy Statement, FOR approval of Proposals 2 and 3, and according to the discretion of the proxy holders on any other matters that may properly come before the meeting or any postponement or adjournment thereof. Shareholders of record may also submit their proxies via the Internet or by telephone in accordance with the procedures set forth in the enclosed proxy, or vote in person at the Annual Meeting. Any proxy may be revoked by the shareholder at any time before it is exercised by giving written notice to that effect to the Secretary of the Company or by submission of a later-dated proxy or subsequent Internet or telephonic proxy. Shareholders who attend the meeting may revoke any proxy previously granted and vote in person orally or by written ballot.

This Proxy Statement and the accompanying proxy are being delivered to shareholders on or about March 21, 2013.

Solicitation of Proxies

The Company will pay the cost of soliciting proxies. The Company will make arrangements with brokerage firms, custodians, and other fiduciaries to send proxy materials to their principals by mail and by electronic transmission, and the Company will reimburse these entities for mailing and related expenses incurred. In addition to solicitation by mail and electronic transmission, certain officers and other employees of

the Company may solicit proxies by telephone and by personal contacts. However, they will not receive additional compensation (outside of their regular compensation) for doing so. In addition, the Company has retained Georgeson Inc. to assist in the solicitation of proxies for a fee of \$9,500, plus reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket expenses.

Proxy Materials and Annual Report

As permitted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules, we are making these proxy materials available to our shareholders via the Internet. Accordingly, we have mailed to most of our shareholders a notice about the Internet availability of this Proxy Statement and the Company s 2012 Year in Review and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (together, the Annual Report) instead of a paper copy of those documents. The notice contains instructions on how to access those documents over the Internet, how to vote online at proxyvote.com, and how to request and receive a paper

copy of our proxy materials, including this Proxy Statement and our Annual Report. Shareholders who select the online access option to the Proxy Statement, Annual Report, and other account mailings through **aflinc**[®], the Company s secure online account management system, will receive electronic notice of availability of these proxy materials. All shareholders who do not receive a notice and did not already elect online access will receive a paper copy of the proxy materials by mail. We believe this process will

conserve natural resources and reduce the costs of printing and distributing our proxy materials.

Multiple Shareholders Sharing the Same Address

The Company is sending only one Annual Report and one Proxy Statement or notice of availability of these materials to shareholders who consented and who share a single address. This is known as householding. However, if a registered shareholder residing at such an address wishes to receive a separate Annual Report or Proxy Statement, he or she may contact Shareholder Services by phone at 800.227.4756, by e-mail at shareholder@aflac.com, or by mail at the following address: Shareholder Services,

1932 Wynnton Road, Columbus, Georgia 31999. Registered shareholders who receive multiple copies of the Company's Annual Report or Proxy Statement or notice of availability of these materials may request householding by contacting Shareholder Services using the preceding options. Shareholders who own the Company's shares through a bank, broker, or other holder of record may request householding by contacting the holder of record.

Description of Voting Rights

In accordance with the Company's Articles of Incorporation, shares of the Company's Common Stock, par value \$.10 per share (the Common Stock), are entitled to one vote per share until they have been held by the same beneficial owner for a continuous period of greater than 48 months prior to the record date of the meeting, at which time they become entitled to 10 votes per share. Where a share is transferred to a transferee by gift, devise, or bequest, or otherwise through the laws of inheritance, descent, or distribution from the estate of the transferor, or by distribution to a beneficiary of shares held in trust for such beneficiary, the transferee is deemed to be the same beneficial owner as the transferor for purposes of determining the number of votes per share. Shares acquired as a direct result of a stock split, stock dividend, or other distribution with respect to existing shares (dividend

shares) are deemed to have been acquired and held continuously from the date on which the shares with regard to which the issued dividend shares were acquired. Shares of Common Stock acquired pursuant to the exercise of a stock option are deemed to have been acquired on the date the option was granted.

Shares of Common Stock held in street or nominee name are presumed to have been held for less than 48 months and are entitled to one vote per share unless this presumption is rebutted by providing evidence to the contrary to the Board of Directors of the Company. Shareholders desiring to rebut this presumption should complete and execute the affidavit appearing on the reverse side of their proxy. The Board of Directors reserves the right to require evidence to support the affidavit.

Quorum and Vote Requirements

Holders of record of Common Stock at the close of business on February 27, 2013, will be entitled to vote at the meeting. At that date, the number of outstanding shares of Common Stock entitled to vote was 467,389,725. According to the Company's records, this represents the following voting rights:

430,569,958	Shares	@	1 Vote Per Share	=	430,569,958	Votes
36,819,767	Shares	@	10 Votes Per Share	=	368,197,670	Votes
467,389,725	Shares		Total		798,767,628	Votes

Shareholders shown above with one vote per share can rebut the presumption that they are entitled to only one vote as outlined in Description of Voting Rights above. If all of the outstanding shares were entitled to 10 votes per share, the total votes available would be 4,673,897,250. However, for the purposes of this Proxy Statement, it is assumed that the total votes available to be cast at the meeting will be 798,767,628.

The holders of a majority of the voting rights entitled to vote at the meeting, present in person or represented by proxy, shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of such business that comes before the meeting. Abstentions are counted as shares

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

present at the meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists. A broker non-vote occurs when a nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because the nominee does not have

discretionary voting power with respect to that item and has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner. Broker non-votes are also counted as shares present at the meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists.

Pursuant to the Company's Bylaws, in an uncontested election of Directors, a Director shall be elected if the votes cast for such nominee's election exceed the votes cast against such nominee's election, provided a quorum is present. An abstention with respect to the election of one or more nominees will not be counted as a vote cast and will have no effect on the election of such nominee or nominees. If a nominee who is already serving as a Director is not re-elected at the annual meeting in an uncontested election, under Georgia law the Director would continue to serve on our Board of Directors as a holdover director. However, under our

Director Resignation Policy any holdover director who stood for election but the votes cast for such Director did not exceed the votes cast against such Director, must offer to tender his or her resignation to our Chairman of the Board. The Corporate Governance Committee will consider such resignation and recommend to the Board whether to accept or reject it. In considering whether to accept or reject the tendered resignation, the Corporate Governance Committee will consider all factors deemed relevant by its members, including the stated reasons why shareholders voted against such Director, the qualifications of the Director and whether the resignation would be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. The Board will formally act on the Corporate Governance Committee's recommendation no later than 90 days following the date of the shareholders meeting at which the election occurred. The Company will, within four business days after such decision is made, publicly disclose in a Form 8-K filed with the SEC, the Board's decision, together with a full explanation of the process

by which the decision was made and, if applicable, the reasons for rejecting the tendered resignation. If a nominee who was not already serving as a Director is not elected at the annual meeting, that nominee would not become a Director and would not serve on our Board of Directors as a holdover director. In a contested election at an annual meeting of shareholders (a situation in which the number of nominees exceeds the number of Directors to be elected), the standard for election of Directors would be a plurality of the shares represented in person or by proxy at any such meeting and entitled to vote on the election of Directors.

Pursuant to the Company's Bylaws, approval of Proposals 2 and 3 and any other matters to be considered at the meeting will be decided by the majority of votes cast at the meeting by the holders of shares entitled to vote on such matters. Abstentions will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the outcome of the votes on Proposals 1, 2, and 3.

Effect of Not Casting a Vote

It is critical that all shareholders who hold shares in street name vote their shares if they want their votes to count in the election of Directors (Proposal 1) and the advisory vote on executive compensation (Proposal 2). If a shareholder holds shares in street name and does not instruct its bank or broker how to vote in the election of Directors or on the advisory vote on executive compensation, no votes will be cast on behalf of such shareholder with respect to such matter. Such broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of Proposals 1 or 2. The bank or broker does, however, have discretion to vote any uninstructed shares on the ratification of the appointment of the Company's

independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal 3).

If a shareholder of record does not return the proxy card, no votes will be cast on its behalf on any of the items of business at the Annual Meeting. If a shareholder of record returns the proxy card but does not indicate any voting instructions, such proxy will be voted FOR the election of all Director nominees named in this Proxy Statement, FOR approval of Proposals 2 and 3 and according to the discretion of the proxy holders on any other matters that may properly come before the meeting or any postponement or adjournment thereof.

Principal Shareholders

No person, as of February 27, 2013, was the owner of record or, to the knowledge of the Company, beneficially owned 5% or more of the outstanding shares of Common Stock or of the available votes of the Company other than as shown below:

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Title of Class Common Stock	Amount of Beneficial Ownership		Percent of Class	Percent of Available Votes
		Shares	Votes		
The Vanguard Group * 100 Vanguard Boulevard Malvern, PA 19355	1 Vote Per Share	24,565,187	24,565,187	5.3	3.1
Daniel P. Amos** 1932 Wynnton Road	10 Votes Per Share 1 Vote Per Share	6,936,492 1,302,276	69,364,920 1,302,276	1.8	8.6

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

Columbus, GA 31999

8,238,768

70,667,196

* This information is derived from the Schedule 13G, dated February 22, 2013, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by The Vanguard Group. According to the Schedule 13G, The Vanguard Group has sole and dispositive power with respect to these shares.

** See footnote (1) on page 14.

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS (Proposal 1)

The Company proposes that the following 14 individuals be elected to the Board of Directors of the Company. The persons named below have been nominated by the Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors for election as Directors and, if elected, are willing to serve as such until the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders and until their successors have been elected and qualified. It is intended that the persons named in the accompanying proxy, or their substitutes, will vote for the election of these nominees (unless specifically instructed to the contrary). However, if any nominee at the time of the election is unable or unwilling to serve or is otherwise unavailable for election, and as a result another nominee is designated, the persons named in the proxy, or their substitutes, will have discretionary authority to vote or refrain from voting in accordance with their judgment on such other nominees. The Board of Directors has no reason to believe that any of the persons nominated for election as Director will be unable or unwilling to serve.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE FOLLOWING NOMINEES AS DIRECTORS.

Daniel P. Amos, 61
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Aflac and Aflac Incorporated

Director since 1983

Mr. Amos has been chief executive officer of the Company and Aflac since 1990 and chairman since 2001. Mr. Amos holds a bachelor's degree in risk management from the University of Georgia and has spent 37 years in various positions at Aflac. Mr. Amos served as a director of Synovus Financial Corp. from 2001 to 2011 and also served as a director of Southern Company from 2000 to 2006. *Institutional Investor* magazine has named him one of America's Best CEOs in the life insurance category five times. Mr. Amos previously served as a member of the Consumer Affairs Advisory Committee of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Under Mr. Amos' leadership, the Company became the first public company in the United States to give shareholders the opportunity to have an advisory Say-on-Pay vote on the compensation practices of the top five named executive officers. Not only did 2012 mark Mr. Amos' 23rd year as CEO, but it also marked the 23rd consecutive year the Company has met or exceeded our operating earnings per diluted share objective.

Mr. Amos' experience and approach deliver insightful expertise and guidance to the Company's Board of Directors on topics relating to corporate governance, people management and risk management.

John Shelby Amos II, 60
Alabama/West-Florida State Sales Coordinator for Aflac U.S.

Director since 1983

Mr. Amos has been Alabama/West Florida state sales coordinator for Aflac's U.S. operations since 1988. Mr. Amos joined Aflac's intensive and comprehensive management training program in 1970. This training program strategically rotated Mr. Amos throughout key operational departments, giving him exposure to, and experience with, a wide variety of operational challenges and a broad base of knowledge that would prepare him to successfully serve Aflac's corporate headquarters and field operations.

Mr. Amos' breadth of experience in both corporate and field operations give him a unique insight that balances the interests of the independent sales force and corporate initiatives.

Paul S. Amos II, 37
President of Aflac and Chief Operating Officer of Aflac U.S.

Director since 2007

Mr. Amos has been president of Aflac since January 2007 and chief operating officer of Aflac U.S. since February 2006. In 2012, Mr. Amos was recognized as one of the top chief operating officers in America as he was ranked number six on *ExecRanks* Top COO rankings. Prior to his current position, he held the role of executive vice president, U.S. Operations from January 2005 until January 2007. In his current role, he is responsible for centralizing the recruiting and training functions for Aflac's more than 76,400 U.S. sales associates, developing a new national broker channel that focuses on the larger-case employer market, broadening the Company's marketing plan, and improving operating efficiencies. Since January 2008, Mr. Amos has also been involved with Aflac Japan sales and marketing efforts. Previously, Mr. Amos served as state sales coordinator for the Georgia-North sales territory. Under his leadership as state sales coordinator, the Georgia-North territory grew to become the Company's number one state operation in terms of sales. Mr. Amos holds a bachelor's degree in economics from Duke University and a master's degree in business administration from Emory University. He also holds a juris doctor degree from Tulane University.

Mr. Amos brings to the Board a deep knowledge of insurance sales, which forms the core of our business, as well as 10 years of experience at our Company, serving in various leadership roles.

W. Paul Bowers, 56
Chief Executive Officer and President of Georgia Power Co.
Executive Vice President of Southern Company

First Year Nominated

Mr. Bowers has been the chief executive officer and president of Georgia Power Co., since January 1, 2011. Mr. Bowers has been an executive vice president of Southern Company since May 2007 and previously served as its chief financial officer from January 2008 to August 2010. He served as the president of Southern Company Generation until January 2008 and as an executive vice president of Southern Company Services Inc. (SCS) from May 2001 to January 2008. Previously, Mr. Bowers served as the president and chief executive officer of Southern Power Company from May 2001 to March 2005; senior vice president of SCS and chief marketing officer of Southern Company from March 2000 to May 2001; president and chief executive officer of South Western Electricity LLC, which later became Western Power Distribution, a subsidiary of Southern Company located in Bristol, England, from 1998 to 2000; and senior vice president of Retail Marketing for Georgia Power from 1995 to 1998. He joined Gulf Power in 1979. Mr. Bowers has been a member of the boards of directors of Southern Power Company since May 2001 and Georgia Power Co., since December 2010. He also currently serves on the board of Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited and is a member of the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta's energy policy council. Mr. Bowers has previously been a director of Southern Nuclear Operating Company, SCS, Southern Holdings Company and Southern Railroads. He is a 1978 graduate of the University of West Florida and also holds a master's degree in management from Troy University. In 1993, he completed the Advanced Management Program at Harvard Business School.

We believe that the Board would gain a valuable and unique perspective from Mr. Bowers' considerable financial knowledge as a former chief financial officer and national and international business experience in a highly regulated industry.

Kriss Cloninger III, 65
President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Aflac Incorporated

Director since 2001

Mr. Cloninger has been president since 2001, chief financial officer since 1992, and treasurer of the Company and executive vice president of Aflac since 1993. Since joining the Company in 1992, he has had primary responsibility for overseeing the financial management of all company operations, including Aflac U.S. and Aflac Japan. Prior to joining the Company, he was a principal in KPMG's insurance actuarial practice and served as a consultant to Aflac from 1977 until he joined the Company in 1992. Mr. Cloninger has been named Best CFO in the insurance/life category in America by *Institutional Investor* magazine three times. He is a member of the boards of directors of Total System Services, Inc. (TSYS), and the Tupperware Brands Corporation, where he serves as chair of its audit committee. Mr. Cloninger holds both a bachelor's and master's degree in business administration from the University of Texas at Austin and is a Fellow of the Society of Actuaries.

Mr. Cloninger's financial acumen and expertise in the Company's operations and corporate strategy bring a unique economic perspective to our Board of Directors.

Elizabeth Hudson, 63
Executive Vice President of Communications for the National Geographic Society

Director since 1990

Ms. Hudson has served as executive vice president, communications, of the National Geographic Society since 2000. She is responsible for all communications and public affairs initiatives undertaken by the National Geographic Society and its subsidiaries, including media and public relations, brand development, employee communications, and related marketing-communications activities. Ms. Hudson earned a bachelor's degree in advertising and public relations from the University of Georgia and received an honorary doctorate in commercial science from St. John's University. She has more than 35 years of experience serving on the executive management teams of several national and international organizations, including publicly traded entities and one of the world's largest scientific and research organizations. She brings extensive experience in every aspect of strategic corporate communications, including financial and crisis communications management.

Ms. Hudson's knowledge of, exposure to and expertise in, developing and articulating sustainability programs is relevant to her role as a member of the Company's Board of Directors.

Douglas W. Johnson, 69
Certified Public Accountant
and retired Ernst & Young LLP audit partner

Director since 2004

Mr. Johnson is a certified public accountant and a retired Ernst & Young LLP audit partner since 2003. He began auditing insurance companies in 1972 and spent the majority of his career focusing on companies in the life, health and property/casualty segments of the insurance industry. During Mr. Johnson's 30-year tenure with Ernst & Young and its predecessor firms, he was coordinating partner of several large multinational insurance companies and for the firm's largest American insurance client. His work experience includes extensive coordination with the audit committees of publicly held companies. Mr. Johnson holds a Bachelor of Science degree from Georgia Institute of Technology. He is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and holds an MBA from the Harvard Business School.

Mr. Johnson's finance experience and leadership skills enable him to make valuable contributions to our Audit Committee, where he serves as its financial expert.

Robert B. Johnson, 68
Senior Advisor, Porter Novelli PR

Director since 2002

Mr. Johnson has been senior advisor of Porter Novelli PR since 2003. Until 2008, he served as chairman and CEO of the One America Foundation, an organization that promotes dialogue and solidarity among Americans of all races and provides education, grants and technical equipment to disadvantaged youth of all races. Prior to this, he served in President Clinton's White House as an assistant to the President and director of the President's initiative for One America. In 2003, the Democratic National Committee (DNC) named him Deputy Chairman, where he advised the DNC Chairman in many key areas, including political and media strategic planning and community involvement. He served two years in the Carter administration and was one of the 30 staff members to serve the entire eight years in the Clinton White House, achieving the distinction of being one of the longest-serving African-Americans in White House history. Following his service in the Carter White House, Mr. Johnson was the Business Regulations Administrator for Washington, DC.

Promotion of diversity is important to the Company, an area that Mr. Johnson provides extensive experience to the Board. Additionally, Mr. Johnson's significant public relations experience provides the Board with valuable expertise in conducting the Company's public relations.

Charles B. Knapp, 66
President Emeritus of the University of Georgia

Director since 1990

Dr. Knapp is the President Emeritus of the University of Georgia. During his tenure from 1987 to 1997 the academic reputation of the University of Georgia rose dramatically, over \$400 million in new construction was completed, there was an increased emphasis on minority recruitment, and a major fund raising campaign was successfully concluded. Dr. Knapp was president of the Aspen Institute from 1997 to 1999, and from 2000 to 2004 was a Partner with the executive search firm Heidrick and Struggles. From 2004 to 2011 he was Director of Educational Development for the CF Foundation, and from 2006 to 2011 was Chairman of the East Lake Foundation, the organization responsible for leading the revitalization of the East Lake community in Atlanta. Earlier in his career, Dr. Knapp served as the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Tulane University and as U.S. Deputy Assistant Secretary of Labor in the Carter Administration. He holds a Ph.D. in economics from the University of Wisconsin-Madison.

Dr. Knapp's experience and knowledge provide the Board with valuable insight into the areas of finance, investments, and management.

E. Stephen Purdom, M.D., 65
Former Executive Vice President of Insurance Operations for Aflac U.S.

Director since 1987

Dr. Purdom retired from his position as executive vice president, Insurance Operations at Aflac in 2000. From 1988 through 1994, he served as Aflac's senior vice president and medical director. Dr. Purdom graduated from Emory University Medical School. He founded and served as medical director of the Columbus Clinic, a 20-physician multi-specialty medical group in Columbus, Georgia. He was chief of staff at Doctors' Hospital and developed the Columbus Diagnostic Center, a full-service radiology/imaging center. Additionally, Dr. Purdom was manager and general partner of the Columbus Diagnostic Center, and he developed the Columbus Medical Park. He is a retired director of the Trust Company Bank, Columbus, Georgia. Dr. Purdom actively facilitated the development of new products for Aflac U.S. and Aflac Japan, with specific expertise in the areas of claims and underwriting. His proficiency in this regard was particularly instrumental in broadening Aflac's medical product line in Japan.

Dr. Purdom's extensive practical medical experience offers the Board of Directors the medical proficiency that is very relevant to the technical, product, and business side of Aflac's operations.

Barbara K. Rimer, DrPH, 64
Alumni Distinguished Professor and Dean of the
University of North Carolina Gillings School of Global Public Health

Director since 1995

Dr. Rimer has been Dean of the University of North Carolina Gillings School of Global Public Health, Chapel Hill, NC since June 2005 and Alumni Distinguished Professor Gillings School of Global Public Health since 2003. Previously, she was director of the Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences at the National Cancer Institute. She is a former director of Cancer Control Research and Professor of Community and Family Medicine at the Duke University School of Medicine and was elected to the Institute of Medicine in 2008. In 2012, Dr. Rimer was appointed Chairman of the President's Cancer Panel. She earned both her Bachelor of Arts in English and Masters of Public Health from the University of Michigan, and her doctorate of public health (DrPH) from the Johns Hopkins School of Hygiene and Public Health. The mission of the Gillings School of Public Health is to improve public health, promote individual well-being, and eliminate health disparities across North Carolina and around the world.

Dr. Rimer's insight and leadership are extremely relevant to the Company's business and operations in light of her particular health care experience and knowledge.

Melvin T. Stith, 66
Dean of the Martin J. Whitman School of Management at
Syracuse University

Director since 2012

Dr. Stith is the Dean of the Martin J. Whitman School of Management at Syracuse University. Prior to taking this position in 2005, Dr. Stith was the Dean and Jim Moran Professor of Business Administration at Florida State University for thirteen years. He has been a professor of marketing and business since 1977 after having served in the U.S. Army Military Intelligence Command and achieving the rank of Captain. He holds a bachelor's degree from Norfolk State College and a master's degree in business administration and a Ph.D. in marketing from Syracuse University. Dr. Stith currently serves on the boards of Synovus Financial Corp., where he serves on the compensation committee; and Flowers Foods, Inc., a publicly held baked foods company, where he serves on the compensation and governance committees. He has also served on the boards of Correctional Services Corporation, JM Family Enterprises Youth Automotive Training Center, the Keebler Company and Rexall Sundown. He is a current director of Beta Gamma Sigma, the national honorary society for business schools, the Jim Moran Foundation and the Graduate Management Admissions Council.

Dr. Stith's leadership skills in consensus-building, risk management and executive management, and his financial acumen add an important dimension to our Board's composition.

David Gary Thompson, 66
Retired Executive Vice President of Wachovia Corporation and
Retired Chief Executive Officer of Georgia Banking, Wachovia Bank, N.A.

Director since 2005

Mr. Thompson retired from his position as chief executive officer of Georgia Banking, Wachovia Bank, N.A., and retired as executive vice president of Wachovia Corporation in 2004. He serves on the board of directors of Georgia Power Company, a subsidiary of Southern Company. Mr. Thompson earned a Bachelor of Arts in economics from Guilford College and completed the executive program at University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill. He began his career with Wachovia while completing his final year of college and had several positions of leadership during his tenure.

Mr. Thompson gained managerial and financial experience and training through 36 years of banking, including understanding and managing credit risks across a variety of businesses and industries, provides a foundation from which he provides the Board with valuable observations, insight, and experience.

Takuro Yoshida, 60
President of Nippon Tochi-Tatemono Co., Ltd.

Director since 2010

Mr. Yoshida has been the president of Nippon Tochi-Tatemono Co., Ltd., a residential and commercial real estate development company in Japan, since January 2010 and served as that company's executive vice president and operating officer from May 2009 through December 2009. From 2005 through April of 2009, Mr. Yoshida held various positions which include executive director, senior operating officer, and central branch manager and operating officer of Mizuho Bank, Ltd., part of Mizuho Financial Group, Inc., which was formed in a merger between his former employer, Dai-ichi Kangyo Bank, Ltd., and two other banks. He held various positions at Dai-ichi Kangyo Bank, Ltd., which he joined in 1976. Mr. Yoshida graduated from the Faculty of Law, Tokyo University. Mr. Yoshida is also a representative of one of the Company's largest shareholders in Japan.

Mr. Yoshida's extensive Japan financial and managerial experience provides the Board with valuable insight and expertise relevant to the Company's Japanese business.

Daniel P. Amos and John Shelby Amos II are cousins. Daniel P. Amos is the father of Paul S. Amos II. No other family relationships exist among any other executive officers or Directors.

The following information is provided with respect to each nominee:

Name	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned on February 27, 2013⁽¹⁾	Percent of Outstanding Shares	Voting Rights on February 27, 2013	Percent of Available Votes
Daniel P. Amos	8,238,768	1.8	70,667,196	8.6
John Shelby Amos II	811,232	.2	8,041,372	1.0
Paul S. Amos II	3,553,878	.8	34,579,644	4.3
W. Paul Bowers	500	*	500	*
Kriss Cloninger III	1,158,666	.2	7,815,839	1.0
Elizabeth J. Hudson	78,476	*	693,635	.1
Douglas W. Johnson	48,241	*	384,202	*
Robert B. Johnson	29,402	*	176,792	*
Charles B. Knapp	76,189	*	690,934	.1
E. Stephen Purdom, M.D.	242,247	.1	2,351,514	.3
Barbara K. Rimer, DrPH	36,424	*	293,284	*
Melvin T. Stith	3,772	*	3,772	*
David Gary Thompson	50,242	*	257,242	*
Takuro Yoshida	3,537,423	.8	30,537,423	3.8

* Percentage not listed if less than .1%.

- (1) Includes options to purchase shares, which are exercisable within 60 days for: Daniel P. Amos, 3,110,606; John Shelby Amos II, 28,884; Paul S. Amos II, 207,000; Kriss Cloninger III, 612,700; Elizabeth J. Hudson, 28,884; Douglas W. Johnson, 38,884; Robert B. Johnson, 19,506; Charles B. Knapp, 28,884; E. Stephen Purdom, M.D., 28,884; Barbara K. Rimer, DrPH, 28,884; David Gary Thompson, 29,506; and Takuro Yoshida, 9,134. Also includes shares of restricted stock awarded under the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan in 2011, 2012 and 2013 for: Daniel P. Amos, 209,633; Paul S. Amos II, 59,352; and Kriss Cloninger III, 138,478, for which they have the right to vote, but may not transfer until the shares have vested three years from the date of grant if certain Company performance goals have been met. Also includes shares of restricted stock awarded under the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan in 2011 and 2012 for: Robert B. Johnson, 4,736; and David

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

Gary Thompson, 4,736; and in 2012 for: Elizabeth J. Hudson, 2,211; Douglas W. Johnson, 2,211; and Melvin T. Stith, 3,549 which they have the right to vote, but may not transfer until the shares have vested four years from the date of grant. Includes 13,357; 475,007; and 24,956 shares pledged by John Shelby Amos II, Paul S. Amos II, and Kriss Cloninger III, respectively. For information on the Company's pledging policy, please see Stock Ownership Guidelines; Hedging and Pledging Restrictions beginning on page 38.

Also includes the following shares:

Daniel P. Amos: 30,248 shares owned by his spouse; 3,157,309 shares owned by partnerships of which he is a partner; 570,096 shares owned by trusts of which he is trustee; 732,843 shares owned by the Daniel P. Amos Family Foundation, Inc.; 27,324 shares owned by a trust with his spouse as trustee; 24,192 shares owned by his spouse's children; and 92,744 shares owned by the Paul S. Amos Family Foundation, Inc.

John Shelby Amos II: 67,801 shares owned by his children with Mr. Amos as trustee; and 1,493 shares owned by a corporation of which he is a controlling shareholder.

Paul S. Amos II: 10,165 shares owned by his spouse; 25,490 shares owned by his children; 166,370 shares owned by trusts with his spouse as trustee; 425,611 shares owned by trusts of which he or his children are beneficiaries; 15,000 shares owned by a partnership of which he is a partner; 34,539 shares owned by the Paul & Courtney Amos Foundation; 23,000 shares owned by the Dan Amos Dynasty Trust; 1,584,106 shares owned by The Amos Family Limited Partnership; 732,843 shares owned by the Daniel P. Amos Family Foundation, Inc.; and 92,744 shares owned by the Paul S. Amos Family Foundation, Inc.

Kriss Cloninger III: 30,311 shares owned by his spouse; 52 shares owned by his spouse's children; 107,920 shares owned by partnerships of which Mr. Cloninger is a partner; and 13,651 shares owned by trusts with Mr. Cloninger as trustee.

Elizabeth J. Hudson: 1,600 shares owned by her children.

Charles B. Knapp: 21,000 shares owned by his spouse.

Takuro Yoshida: 3,528,289 shares owned by The Mizuho Trust & Banking Co., Ltd. Mr. Yoshida shares the power to vote these shares.

Directors Not Standing for Re-Election

Under the Company's Bylaws, Mr. Marvin R. Schuster, who is 75 years old, is not eligible to be nominated for re-election. Mr. Schuster has served on our Board since 2000.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Directors who also serve as officers of the Company or its subsidiaries are not entitled to compensation as Board members. For 2012, all other directors (Non-employee Directors) received \$100,000 annually for service as such. Each Non-employee Director also received \$3,000 for attendance at each meeting of the Board of Directors. A Non-employee Director serving on the Audit Committee received an additional \$10,000 annually for that service. In addition, the chairmen of the Compensation Committee, Audit Committee, Corporate Governance Committee and Investment and Investment Risk Committee (formerly, Finance & Investment Committee) received additional annual fees of \$10,000, \$15,000, \$10,000 and \$10,000, respectively. From time to time the Board may create a Special Purpose Committee made up of Non-employee Directors; remuneration for service on these committees is determined by the Compensation Committee.

When a Non-employee Director first joins the Board of Directors, he or she is granted an award of nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, or a combination thereof, with a value as determined by the Board of Directors not in excess of the value of a nonqualified stock option covering an aggregate of 10,000 shares of Common Stock. In the following calendar year, and for each year thereafter, each Non-employee Director may, at the discretion of the Board, receive nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, or a combination thereof with a dollar value to approximate \$100,000 based upon the most current Black-Scholes-Merton three year period valuation price of option shares as determined by Mercer LLC, the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant (the Consultant). If the Board grants stock options, it may permit Non-employee Directors to elect to receive restricted stock in lieu thereof. In 2012, 6 of 11 Non-employee Directors received nonqualified stock options covering 5,513 shares of Common Stock, and the remaining five elected to receive all restricted stock. The exercise price for the stock options is the closing market price of the Common Stock on the date of grant. Options granted to each Non-employee Director become exercisable under the terms and conditions as determined by the Board of Directors at the date of grant. Grants of options made to Non-employee Directors in 2012 become exercisable in equal installments on each of the next four anniversaries of the date of the option, and restricted stock awards issued in 2012 become vested on the fourth anniversary of the date of the award, in each case if the Non-employee Director continues to be a Director through such respective date. However, upon cessation of service by reason of retirement, a Non-employee Director becomes immediately vested in all

outstanding stock options and awards that have not yet expired, as long as the Non-employee Director has completed at least one full year of vesting. A Non-employee Director becomes 100% vested in options and stock awards upon cessation of service by reason of death, disability or change in control.

Non-employee Directors, with the exception of those who are or within one year will become retirement eligible, may elect to have all or a portion of their Board annual retainer and/or meeting fees paid in the form of immediately vested nonqualified stock options, restricted stock that vests upon four years of continued service, or a combination thereof as determined by the Board of Directors. In 2012, none of the Non-employee Directors made such an election.

The Company maintains a retirement plan for Non-employee Directors who have attained age 55 and completed at least five years of service as a Non-employee Director. Effective 2002, newly elected Non-employee Directors are not eligible for participation in this plan. The dollar value and length of payment of the annual retirement benefits were frozen effective May 3, 2010. The Non-employee Directors do not participate in any nonqualified deferred compensation plans.

In February 2013, the Compensation Committee, with the assistance of the Consultant, conducted a review of the cash and equity components of the Board of Directors' compensation. As a result of this review, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board, and the Board approved, the following changes to the Non-employee Directors' compensation structure, effective for 2013:

- The annual retainer of \$100,000 was increased to \$115,000 and the fees for meeting attendance were eliminated;
- The annual equity grant was changed from a dollar value to approximate \$100,000 to a dollar value to approximate \$125,000 based upon the most current Black-Scholes-Merton three year period valuation price of option shares as determined by the Consultant; and
- The annual fees for serving as a chair of a committee were adjusted to \$20,000 for the Compensation Committee, \$25,000 for the Audit Committee, \$15,000 for the Corporate Governance Committee, \$20,000 for the Investment and Investment Risk Committee, and \$15,000 for the Sustainability Committee.

A Non-employee Director serving on the Audit Committee will continue to receive an additional \$10,000 annually for that service.

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

The following table identifies each item of the compensation paid to Non-employee Directors for 2012.

2012 DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Name ⁽¹⁾	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Option Awards ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)	All Other Compensation ⁽⁵⁾ (\$)	Total (\$)
John Shelby Amos II	118,000		88,483	26,306	2,866,616	3,099,405
Michael H. Armacost*	47,667				20,781	68,448
Elizabeth J. Hudson	118,000	100,004		11,920	1,081	231,004
Douglas W. Johnson	143,000	100,004			1,081	244,085
Robert B. Johnson	128,000	100,004			1,081	229,085
Charles B. Knapp	138,000		88,483	10,653	1,081	238,217
E. Stephen Purdom, M.D.	118,000		88,483	5,871	438	212,792
Barbara K. Rimer, DrPH	118,000		88,483	10,545	1,081	218,109
Marvin R. Schuster	138,000		88,483	3,088	1,081	230,652
Melvin T. Stith	78,667	155,233				233,900
David Gary Thompson	118,000	100,004			1,081	219,085
Robert L. Wright*	51,833				21,081	72,914
Takuro Yoshida	118,000		88,483			206,483

* Due to the Company's mandatory retirement age for Directors, Ambassador Armacost and Dr. Wright did not stand for re-election in 2012.

- (1) Daniel P. Amos, Chairman and CEO; Paul S. Amos II, President, Aflac and COO, Aflac U.S.; and Kriss Cloninger III, President, CFO, and Treasurer, are not included in the table, as they are employees of the Company and thus do not receive compensation for their services as Directors. The compensation received by Messrs. Daniel P. Amos, Paul S. Amos II, and Cloninger as employees of the Company is shown in the Summary Compensation Table below.
- (2) This column represents the dollar amount recognized in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 (ASC 718) for financial statement purposes with respect to the 2012 fiscal year for the fair value of restricted stock granted in 2012. The fair values of the awards granted in 2012 were calculated using the closing stock price on the date of grant of \$45.23. Each Non-employee Director may elect, in the year prior to the grant, to convert all or a portion of any annual stock option grant to restricted stock based upon a conversion formula approved by the Board of Directors. As of December 31, 2012, each Non-employee Director held the following number of restricted stock awards: Elizabeth Hudson, 2,211; Douglas W. Johnson, 2,211; Robert B. Johnson, 4,736; David Gary Thompson, 4,736; and Melvin T. Stith, 3,549.
- (3) In accordance with SEC's reporting requirements, this column represents the dollar amount recognized in accordance with ASC 718 for financial statement purposes with respect to the 2012 stock option grants. The Company's valuation assumptions are described in Note 11 Share-Based Compensation in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Form 10-K filed with the SEC for the year ended December 31, 2012. To determine the number of options granted to a Non-employee Director, \$100,000 was divided by \$18.14, a Black-Scholes-Merton stock option value as determined by the Consultant for the three-year period 2010-2012 (rounding to the nearest share). Stock options granted to Non-employee Directors vest 25% per year over a four-year vesting period. As of December 31, 2012, each non-employee Director held stock options covering the following number of shares of Common Stock: John Shelby Amos, 42,539; Elizabeth J. Hudson, 37,026; Douglas W. Johnson, 47,026; Robert B. Johnson, 23,514; Charles B. Knapp, 42,539; E. Stephen Purdom, 42,539; Barbara K. Rimer, 42,539; Marvin R. Schuster, 42,539; David Gary Thompson, 33,514; and Takuro Yoshida, 26,539.
- (4) Represents change in pension value. The aggregate change in the actuarial present value of the accumulated benefit obligation for Ambassador Armacost and Dr. Wright was a decrease of \$2,359, and \$81,035 respectively. Douglas W. Johnson, Robert B. Johnson, Melvin T. Stith, David Gary Thompson and Takuro Yoshida do not participate in the Director retirement plan since they first became Directors after the plan was closed to new participants in 2002.
- (5) Included in All Other Compensation for John Shelby Amos II, who presently serves as the State Sales Coordinator Alabama/West Florida, is \$2,859,127 in renewal and first-year sales commissions before expenses. The compensation arrangement with John Shelby Amos II was no more favorable when contracted than those of other State Sales Coordinators. Included for Ambassador Armacost and Dr. Wright, both of whom retired during 2012, are benefits paid from the Director retirement plan, totaling \$19,700 and \$20,000 respectively.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth, as of February 27, 2013, the number of shares and percentage of outstanding shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by: (i) our named executive officers, comprising our CEO, CFO, COO of Aflac U.S., and the two other most highly

compensated executive officers as listed in the 2012 Summary Compensation Table (collectively, the NEOs) whose information was not provided under the heading Election of Directors, and (ii) all Directors and executive officers as a group.

Common Stock Beneficially Owned and Approximate Percentage of Class as of February 27, 2013

Name and Principal Occupation for five years	Shares ⁽¹⁾	Percent of		Percent of Votes
		Shares	Votes	
Joey M. Loudermilk Executive Vice President, General Counsel, since 1983; Corporate Secretary until November 2012	476,835	.1	3,969,075	.5
Tohru Tonoike President and Chief Operating Officer, Aflac Japan, since July 2007; Deputy President, Aflac Japan, from February 2007 until July 2007; President, Dai-ichi Kangyo Asset Management Co., Ltd., from April 2005 until January 2007	270,423	.1	1,526,571	.2
All Director nominees and executive officers as a group (29 persons)	19,714,892	4.2	168,374,566	20.2

- (1) Includes options to purchase shares, which are exercisable within 60 days for: Joey M. Loudermilk, 214,191; and Tohru Tonoike, 148,000 and all Directors and executive officers as a group, 4,931,593. Also includes shares of restricted stock awarded under the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan, in 2011, 2012, and 2013 for: Joey M. Loudermilk, 31,633; Tohru Tonoike, 59,352; and all Directors and executive officers as a group 759,858 which they have the right to vote, but they may not transfer until the shares have vested three years from the date of grant if certain Company performance goals have been met. Includes 583,958 shares pledged for all Director nominees and executive officers as a group. For information on the Company's pledging policy, please see Stock Ownership Guidelines; Hedging and Pledging Restrictions beginning on page 38.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), executive officers, Directors, and holders of more than 10% of the Common Stock are required to file reports of their trading in Company equity securities with the SEC.

Based solely on its review of the copies of such reports received by the Company, or written representations from certain reporting persons, the Company believes that its reporting persons complied with all applicable Section 16 filing requirements during the last fiscal year.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Company has a long history of engaging shareholders to gain an understanding about the issues and concerns that are important to them. We believe that open communications can have a positive influence on our corporate governance practices such as the

Company's decision to become the first publicly traded company in the United States to voluntarily allow shareholders a say-on-pay. Additionally, as part of this governance philosophy, we communicate with our shareholders on a regular basis.

Director Independence

The Board of Directors annually assesses the independence of each Director nominee. The Board has determined that with respect to W. Paul Bowers, Elizabeth J. Hudson, Douglas W. Johnson, Robert B. Johnson, Charles B. Knapp, E. Stephen Purdom, M.D., Barbara K. Rimer, DrPH, Melvin T. Stith, David Gary Thompson, and Takuro Yoshida, (i) none of such individuals is precluded from being an independent director under the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) listing standards and (ii) none of such individuals has a

material relationship with the Company (either directly or as a partner, shareholder, or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company), and that accordingly, each such individual is considered an independent director for purposes of the NYSE listing standards. The Board made its determination based on information furnished by all Directors regarding their relationships with the Company and research conducted by management.

Board Leadership Structure

Daniel P. Amos has served as our Chairman of the Board since 2001 and as our CEO since 1990. The Board believes that the most effective Board leadership structure for the Company at the present time is for the CEO to continue to serve as Chairman of the Board in conjunction with the appointment of a Lead Non-Management Director as described below. This structure has served the Company well for many years. Combining the positions of Chairman and CEO provides the Company with decisive and effective leadership. The Board believes that Mr. Amos' in-depth long-term knowledge of the Company's operations and vision for its development make him the best qualified person to serve as both Chairman and CEO. Because the CEO is ultimately responsible for the day-to-day operation of the Company and for executing the Company's strategy, and because the performance of the Company is an integral part of Board deliberations, the Board believes that Mr. Amos is the Director most qualified to act as Chairman of the Board. However, the Board retains the authority to modify this structure to best advance the interests of all shareholders, if circumstances warrant such a change.

The Board also believes that its existing corporate governance practices achieve independent oversight and management accountability. These governance practices are reflected in the Company's Guidelines on Significant Corporate Governance Issues and the Committee Charters and include the following:

- The substantial majority of the Board are independent Directors;
- The Audit, Compensation and Corporate Governance Committees all comprise independent Directors;
- The Company has a Lead Non-Management Director with the responsibilities described below; and
- The Non-employee Directors meet at each regularly scheduled Board meeting in executive session without management present.

Lead Non-Management Director

The position of Lead Non-Management Director rotates among the Chairs of the Audit, Compensation and Corporate Governance Committees. Douglas W. Johnson is currently the Lead Non-Management Director. The responsibilities of the Lead Non-Management Director include the following:

- consulting with the Chairman and Secretary in establishing the agenda for each Board meeting;
- setting the agenda for, and leading, all executive sessions of the Non-employee Directors;
- when appropriate, discussing with the Chairman matters addressed at such executive sessions;
- facilitating discussions, between Board meetings, among the Non-employee Directors as appropriate;

- serving as a liaison between the Non-employee Directors and the Chairman of the Board;
- serving as a liaison between management and the Board; and
- chairing the meeting of the Board when it is conducting its annual Board self-evaluation.

Furthermore, the Lead Non-Management Director has the ability to call meetings of the independent Directors.

Communications with Directors

Shareholders and interested parties may contact members of the Board by mail. To communicate with the Board of Directors, any individual Director or any group or committee of Directors (including Non-employee Directors as a group), correspondence should be addressed to the Board of Directors or any such individual Director or group or committee of Directors by either name or title. All such correspondence should be sent to the Corporate Secretary of Aflac Incorporated at the following address: 1932 Wynnton Road, Columbus, Georgia 31999.

All communications received as set forth in the preceding paragraph will be opened by the Corporate

Secretary for the sole purpose of determining whether the contents represent a message to the Directors. Any contents that are not in the nature of advertising, promotions of a product or service, or patently offensive material will be forwarded promptly to the addressee. In the case of communications to the Board of Directors or any group or committee of Directors, the Secretary's office will make sufficient copies of the contents to send to each Director who is a member of the group or committee to which the envelope is addressed.

It is Company policy that each of the Directors attends the Annual Meeting. All of the Directors were in attendance at the 2012 Annual Meeting.

Director Nominating Process

The Corporate Governance Committee will consider Director candidates recommended by shareholders. In considering candidates submitted by shareholders, the Corporate Governance Committee will take into consideration the needs of the Board and the qualifications of the candidate. The Corporate Governance Committee may also take into consideration the number of shares held by the recommending shareholder and the length of time that such shares have been held. To have a candidate considered by the Corporate Governance Committee, a shareholder must submit the recommendation in writing and must include: (i) the name of the shareholder and evidence of the person's ownership of Common Stock, including the number of shares owned and the length of time of ownership; and (ii) the name of the candidate, the candidate's resume or a listing of his or her qualifications to be a Director of the Company and the person's consent to be named as a Director if selected by the Corporate Governance Committee and nominated by the Board. No person 20 years of age or younger or 75 years of age or older is eligible for election or appointment as a member of the Board of Directors.

The shareholder recommendation and information described above must be sent to the Corporate Secretary at Aflac Incorporated, 1932 Wynnton Road, Columbus, Georgia 31999, and must be received by the Corporate Secretary not less than 90 nor more than 120 days prior to the anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual meeting of shareholders; provided, however, that in the event that the annual meeting is

called for a date that is not within 25 days before or after such anniversary date, notice by the shareholder, to be timely, must be so received not later than the close of business on the tenth day following the day on which such notice of the date of the annual meeting was mailed or such public disclosure was made, whichever occurs first.

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

The Corporate Governance Committee believes that the minimum qualifications for serving as a Director of the Company are that a nominee demonstrate, by significant accomplishment in his or her field, an ability to make a meaningful contribution to the Board's oversight of the business and affairs of the Company and have an impeccable record and reputation for honest and ethical conduct in both his or her professional and personal activities. In addition, the Corporate Governance Committee examines a candidate's specific experiences and skills, time availability in light of other commitments, potential conflicts of interest and independence from management and the Company. The Corporate Governance Committee also seeks to create a Board that is strong in its collective knowledge and has a diversity of backgrounds, skills and experience with respect to accounting and finance, management and leadership, vision and strategy, business operations, business judgment, industry knowledge, corporate governance and global markets. The Company's Guidelines on Significant Corporate Governance Issues provide that diversity is a factor the Corporate Governance Committee should consider in nominating Directors. The diversity of Board and Committee

members (which would include gender, ethnicity, race, color, and national origin) is one of the specified criteria considered by the Board as part of its annual self-evaluation.

The Corporate Governance Committee identifies potential nominees by asking current Directors and executive officers to notify the Corporate Governance Committee if they become aware of persons that meet the criteria described above and who have had a change in circumstances that might make them available to serve on the Board (for example, if an individual has retired as chief executive officer or chief financial officer of a public company or exited government or military service). The Corporate Governance Committee may also, from time to time, engage firms that specialize in identifying Director candidates. As described above, the Corporate Governance Committee will also consider candidates recommended by shareholders.

Once the Corporate Governance Committee identifies a person as a potential candidate, the Corporate Governance Committee may collect and review publicly available information regarding the potential candidate to assess whether that person should receive further consideration. If the Corporate Governance Committee determines that the candidate warrants further consideration, the Chairman or another member of the

Corporate Governance Committee will contact the person. Generally, if the person expresses a willingness to be considered and to serve on the Board, the Corporate Governance Committee requests information from the candidate, reviews the person's accomplishments and qualifications, including in light of any other candidates that the Corporate Governance Committee might be considering, and conducts one or more interviews with the candidate. In certain instances, Corporate Governance Committee members may contact one or more references provided by the candidate or may contact other members of the business community or other persons that may have greater firsthand knowledge of the candidate's accomplishments. The Corporate Governance Committee's evaluation process does not vary based on whether or not a candidate is recommended by a shareholder, although, as stated above, the Board may take into consideration the number of shares held by the recommending shareholder and the length of time that such shares have been held.

A new nominee to the Board, W. Paul Bowers, was recommended by the Chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee and the Chief Executive Officer of the Company to the Corporate Governance Committee for consideration.

Enterprise-Wide Risk Oversight

Our Board of Directors oversees an enterprise-wide approach to risk management, designed to support the achievement of organizational objectives, including strategic objectives, to improve long-term organizational performance and enhance shareholder value. A fundamental part of risk management is not only understanding the risks a company faces and what steps management is taking to manage those risks, but also understanding what level of risk is appropriate for the company. The involvement of the full Board of Directors in setting the Company's business strategy is a key part of its assessment of management's appetite for risk and also a determination of what constitutes an appropriate level of risk for the Company.

While the Board of Directors has the ultimate oversight responsibility for the risk management process, various committees of the Board also have responsibility for risk management. The Audit Committee Charter provides that one of the Audit Committee's responsibilities and duties is compliance oversight. The Audit Committee Charter provides that the Audit Committee shall discuss guidelines and policies governing the process by which senior management of the Company and the relevant departments of the Company assess and manage the Company's exposure to risk, as well as the Company's major financial risk exposures and the steps

management has taken to monitor and control such exposures.

The Investment and Investment Risk Committee assists the Board of Directors by providing oversight of the investment process and investment risk management of the Company and its subsidiaries by reviewing and approving the investment policies, strategies, transactions and performances. The investment process is the process by which all investable cash flows of the Company and its subsidiaries are invested, or investments are managed in a way that emphasizes safety, liquidity, returns, tax considerations, applicable laws and regulations, and conformity to the needs of each Company. The investment risk includes, but is not limited to liquidity risk, market risk, and credit risk. Liquidity risk is risk stemming from the lack of marketability of an investment that cannot be bought or sold quickly enough to prevent or minimize a loss. Market risk is the risk that as a result of market movements, a firm may be exposed to fluctuations in the value of its assets, the amount of its liabilities, or the income from its assets. Credit risk is the risk of loss a firm is exposed to if a counterparty fails to perform its contractual obligations (including failure

to perform them in a timely manner).

In addition, in setting compensation, the Compensation Committee strives to create incentives that encourage a level of risk-taking behavior consistent with the Company's business strategy. As more fully discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) section of this Proxy Statement, incentive compensation performance objectives of the Company's management are determined and established which are realistically obtainable so as not to encourage excessive risk taking.

The Company has a global Disclosure Committee comprising senior levels of management across the Company to ensure that disclosure controls and

procedures are effective and provide, to the highest degree of certainty possible, that the information required to be disclosed to the investing public is accumulated and communicated to the Disclosure Committee to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

In its annual self-evaluation, the Board discusses its performance and oversight responsibility. In this discussion, the Board evaluates the quality of the information provided to Directors by the Audit Committee about the Company's risk management and corporate compliance programs.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

The Company has a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which is applicable to all Directors and employees, including executive officers, of the Company and its subsidiaries. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics includes a Code of Ethics for Chief Executive and Senior Financial Officers that sets forth standards applicable to all officers, Directors, and employees but has provisions specifically applicable to

the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and the Chief Accounting Officer. The Company intends to satisfy any disclosure requirements regarding amendments to, or waivers from, any provision of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics by posting such information on the Company's website aflac.com, under Investors then Corporate Governance.

Chief Executive Officer and Executive Management Succession Planning

The Board of Directors, in coordination with the Corporate Governance Committee, is responsible for Chief Executive Officer continuity succession planning and succession planning for key executives to ensure continuity in senior management. The Board of Directors, in coordination with the Corporate Governance Committee, also ensures that the Company has appropriate steps in place to address emergency Chief Executive Officer succession planning in the event of extraordinary circumstances.

As part of the Company's Chief Executive Officer continuity succession planning, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, in coordination with the Company's General Counsel and the Executive Vice President of Corporate Services, periodically provides

recommendations and evaluations of potential successors to the Chief Executive Officer position, along with a review of any development plans recommended for such individuals, to the Corporate Governance Committee. As part of the Company's succession planning for key executives, the Corporate Governance Committee, in coordination with the Chief Executive Officer and executive management, identifies potential successors to executive management positions.

The Chief Executive Officer reviews executive succession planning and management development at an annual executive session of non-management Directors.

BOARD AND COMMITTEES

During 2012, the Board of Directors met five times, and all Directors attended 100% of the Board of Directors meetings and at least 75% of the meetings of the Board Committees on which they served.

The current principal seven Board of Directors committees are Acquisition, Audit, Compensation, Corporate Governance, Executive, Investment and Investment Risk (formerly, Finance & Investment Committee), and Sustainability. The Audit Committee Charter, the Compensation Committee Charter, and the

Corporate Governance Committee Charter, as well as the Company's Guidelines on Significant Corporate Governance Issues and the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, can all be found at the Company's website, aflac.com, under Investors then Corporate Governance. These documents are also available in print to shareholders upon request. Shareholders may submit their request to Aflac Incorporated, Corporate Secretary, 1932 Wynnton Road, Columbus, Georgia 31999.

The Acquisition Committee

The Acquisition Committee of the Board of Directors reviews acquisition strategies with the Company's management, investigates acquisition candidates on behalf of the Company, and recommends acquisition strategies and candidates to the Company's Board, as

appropriate. The Acquisition Committee, which is composed of Charles B. Knapp (Chairman), John Shelby Amos II, Elizabeth J. Hudson, and Takuro Yoshida, did not meet in 2012.

The Audit Committee

The Audit Committee has the following primary duties and responsibilities: (i) to oversee that management has maintained the reliability and integrity of the financial reporting process and systems of internal controls of the Company and its subsidiaries regarding finance, accounting, and legal matters; (ii) to issue annually the Audit Committee Report set forth below; (iii) to monitor the independence and performance of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and the performance of the Company's internal auditing department; (iv) to assist Board oversight of the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; (v) to provide an open avenue of communication among the independent registered public accounting firm, management, the internal auditing department, and the Board; and (vi) to review and monitor the adequacy of enterprise risk management activities of the Company. In addition, the Audit Committee's charter provides that the Audit Committee shall discuss guidelines and policies governing the process by which senior management of the Company and the relevant departments of the Company assess and manage the Company's exposure to risk, as well as the Company's major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures.

The Audit Committee also pre-approves audit and non-audit services provided by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and pre-approves or ratifies all related person transactions that are required to be disclosed in the Company's annual proxy

statement. In addition, it is the responsibility of the Audit Committee to select, oversee, evaluate, determine funding for, and, where appropriate, replace or terminate the independent registered public accounting firm. At least annually, the Audit Committee reviews the services performed and the fees charged by the independent registered public accounting firm.

The independent registered public accounting firm has direct access to the Audit Committee and may discuss any matters that arise in connection with its audits, the maintenance of internal controls, and any other matters relating to the Company's financial affairs. The Audit Committee may authorize the independent registered public accounting firm to investigate any matters that the Audit Committee deems appropriate and may present its recommendations and conclusions to the Board.

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

The Audit Committee is composed of Douglas W. Johnson (Chairman and financial expert), Charles B. Knapp, and Marvin R. Schuster, and all qualify as outside Directors as defined by Section 162(m) (Section 162(m)) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the IRC), Non-employee Directors within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act, and independent Directors under the NYSE listing standards. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors. The Audit Committee met 13 times during 2012.

The Corporate Governance Committee

The Corporate Governance Committee has the following primary duties and responsibilities: (i) selecting individuals qualified to serve as Directors of the Company to be nominated to stand for election to the Board of Directors; (ii) recommending to the Board, Directors to serve on committees of the Board; (iii) advising the Board with respect to matters of Board composition and procedures; (iv) developing and recommending to the Board a set of corporate governance principles applicable to the Company; and (v) overseeing the evaluation of the Board and the Company's management. The Corporate Governance

Committee of the Board of Directors is composed of Marvin R. Schuster (Chairman), Barbara K. Rimer, DrPH, and David Gary Thompson, and all qualify as outside Directors as defined by Section 162(m), Non-employee Directors within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act, and independent Directors under the NYSE listing standards. The Corporate Governance Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors. The Corporate Governance Committee met four times during 2012.

The Compensation Committee

The responsibilities of the Compensation Committee include the following: (i) to review, at least annually, the goals and objectives of the Company's executive compensation plans; (ii) to evaluate annually the performance of the CEO with respect to such goals and objectives; (iii) to determine the CEO's compensation level based on this evaluation; (iv) to evaluate annually the performance of the other executive officers of the Company in light of such goals and objectives, and set their compensation levels based on this evaluation and the recommendation of the CEO; (v) to review the Company's incentive compensation to determine whether they encourage excessive risk taking, and evaluate compensation policies and practices that could mitigate any such risk; and (vi) review the Company's general compensation and benefit plans with respect to the goals and objectives of these plans. The Compensation Committee also reviews and approves compensation levels, equity-linked incentive compensation, and annual incentive awards, sometimes referred to as non-equity incentives, under the Company's Management Incentive Plan (MIP) for all executive officers including those who are members of the Board.

With respect to Non-employee Director compensation, the Compensation Committee recommends to the Board a policy regarding Non-employee Director compensation and has recommended to the Board Non-employee Director compensation consistent with such policy. The Board makes final determinations regarding Non-employee Director compensation.

The Compensation Committee retains a nationally recognized compensation consultant, Mercer LLC (the Consultant), to assist and advise the Compensation Committee in its deliberations regarding executive compensation. The Consultant works with the Compensation Committee in the review of executive compensation practices, including the competitiveness

of pay levels, design issues, market trends, and other technical considerations.

The Consultant typically provides assistance in the following areas:

- Provides comparative company performance to determine CEO pay;
- Provides an evaluation of the competitiveness of the Company's executive compensation and benefit programs;
- Reviews plan design issues and recommends potential improvement opportunities;
- Apprises the Compensation Committee of trends and developments in the marketplace;
- Provides assistance in assessing the relationship between executive pay and performance;
- Provides assistance with assessing proposed performance goals and ranges for incentive plans;
- Provides comparative company data to determine NEO compensation;
- Conducts compensation training sessions for the Compensation Committee; and

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

- Provides assistance in determining the compensation of Non-employee Directors.

Fees paid to the Consultant for executive compensation consulting services totaled \$351,708 in 2012. Management retained affiliated companies of the Consultant to provide additional services during 2012 and approved the payment of \$1,785,231 for those services, principally for broker channel sales and marketing organization design. The Compensation Committee has assessed the independence of the Consultant pursuant to SEC rules and concluded that no conflict of interest exists that would prevent the

Consultant from independently representing the Compensation Committee.

Additional information regarding the Company's processes and procedures for the consideration and determination of executive compensation can be found in the CD&A below.

The current members of the Compensation Committee are Robert B. Johnson (Chairman), David Gary

Thompson, and Douglas W. Johnson. All members of the Compensation Committee are outside Directors as defined by Section 162(m), Non-employee Directors within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act, and independent Directors under the applicable NYSE listing standards. The Compensation Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee met eight times in 2012.

The Executive Committee

Under the Company's Bylaws, the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors must consist of at least five Directors, including the Chief Executive Officer, the Chairman of the Board of Directors, the President, and such number of other Directors as the Board of Directors may from time to time determine. The Chief Executive Officer (or another member of the Executive Committee chosen by him) is the Chairman of the Executive Committee. The Executive Committee has and may exercise, during the intervals between meetings of the Board of Directors, all of the powers of the Board of Directors which may be delegated under Georgia law.

The membership of the Executive Committee also includes the chairpersons of the Audit, Compensation, and Corporate Governance Committees, and, therefore, includes the Company's Lead Non-Management Director.

The Executive Committee, which is composed of Daniel P. Amos (Chairman), Paul S. Amos II, Kriss Cloninger, Douglas W. Johnson, Robert B. Johnson, E. Steven Purdom, and Marvin S. Schuster, met 10 times during 2012.

The Investment and Investment Risk Committee

The Investment and Investment Risk Committee (formerly, Finance & Investment Committee) assists the Board of Directors by providing oversight of the investment process and investment risk management of the Company and its subsidiaries by reviewing and approving the Company's investment policies, strategies, transactions and performances. The investment process is the process by which all investable cash flows of the Company and its subsidiaries are invested, or investments are managed in a way that emphasizes safety, liquidity, returns, tax considerations, applicable laws and regulations, and conformity to the needs of each Company. The investment risk includes, but is not limited to liquidity risk, market risk, and credit risk.

Under its charter, the Investment and Investment Risk Committee has the following primary duties and responsibilities: (i) oversee the investment process and investment risk management related policies, strategies, and programs of the Company and its subsidiaries; (ii) review and reassess, periodically, the

adequacy of Global Investment Policy of the Company and its subsidiaries and approve any changes, additions, or deletions; (iii) review and approve investment transactions made on behalf of each Company and its subsidiaries; (iv) review the performance of the investment portfolios of the Company and its subsidiaries; and (v) report regularly to the Board with respect to such other matters as are relevant to the Investment and Investment Risk Committee's discharge of its responsibilities and with respect to such recommendations as the Investment and Investment Risk Committee may deem appropriate.

The Investment and Investment Risk Committee of the Company's Board of Directors is composed of Charles B. Knapp, (Chairman), Paul S. Amos II, Elizabeth J. Hudson, E. Stephen Purdom, and David Gary Thompson. The Investment and Investment Risk Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors. The Investment and Investment Risk Committee met 10 times during 2012.

The Sustainability Committee

The Sustainability Committee of the Board of Directors has the following primary duties and responsibilities: (i) providing assistance to the Board in fulfilling its responsibility to the shareholders in regards to the policies and practices that relate to the sustainable growth of the U.S. operation of the Company and its subsidiaries; (ii) overseeing the Company's sustainability plans and practices, including its internal policies and procedures as well as its public-facing corporate policy; and (iii) reviewing and discussing with management the Company's environmental activities and impacts. The Sustainability Committee assists management in setting strategy, establishing goals and integrating sustainability into the daily business activities of the Company's U.S. operation, including the formulation and implementation of policies, procedures and practices that permit the Company to respond to evolving public sentiment and government regulation in the areas of environmental stewardship, energy use,

recycling and carbon emissions, that foster the sustainable growth of the Company's U.S. operation. "Sustainable growth" means the ability to meet the needs of our shareholders and customers while taking into account the needs of future generations. "Sustainable growth" also equates to the long-term preservation and enhancement of the Company's financial, environmental and social capital.

The Sustainability Committee is composed of Elizabeth J. Hudson (Chair), Barbara K. Rimer, and E. Steven Purdom. All members of the Sustainability Committee are outside Directors as defined by Section 162(m), Non-employee Directors within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act, and independent Directors under the applicable NYSE listing standards. The Sustainability Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors. The Sustainability Committee met three times during 2012.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During 2012, the members of the Company's Compensation Committee were Robert B. Johnson (Chairman), David Gary Thompson, Douglas W. Johnson, and Robert L. Wright. None of such persons is a current or former employee or officer of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. No member of the Compensation Committee serving during 2012 had any relationship requiring disclosure under the section titled "Related Persons Transactions" in this Proxy

Statement. During 2012, no member of the Compensation Committee was an executive officer of another entity on whose compensation committee or board of directors any executive officer of the Company served. During 2012, no Director was an executive officer of another entity on whose compensation committee any executive officer of the Company served.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Overview and Executive Summary

The Company's compensation philosophy is to provide pay-for-performance that is directly linked to the Company's results. We believe this is the most effective method for creating shareholder value, and that it has played a significant role in making the Company an industry leader. Importantly, the performance-based elements of our compensation programs apply to all levels of Company management, not just our executive officers. In fact, pay-for-performance components permeate every employee level at the Company. The result is that we are able to attract, retain, motivate and reward talented individuals who have the necessary skills to manage our growing global business on a day-to-day basis, as well as for the future.

Our executive compensation program is designed to drive shareholder value via the following guiding principles:

- A pay-for-performance philosophy that directly links an executive's potential wealth accumulation to the achievement of our annual long-term strategic and operational goals;
- Compensation elements that help us attract and retain high-caliber talent to lead the Company in its execution of its business plan; and
- Best practices with respect to corporate governance policies, such as stock ownership guidelines, clawback provisions, and no tax gross-ups (including no change-in-control excise tax gross-ups).

Summary of 2012 Results

The Company's performance in 2012 was very strong. Notable achievements that contributed to shareholder value creation included:

- Growing total revenues by 14.4% to a record \$25.4 billion;
- Meeting our operating earnings objective for the 23rd consecutive year as operating earnings per diluted share rose 5.1% (excluding impact from foreign currency);
- Generating \$4.1 billion in total combined new annualized premium sales in the United States and Japan;
- Premium income rose 8.8% to \$22.1 billion;
- Increasing the quarterly dividend by 6.1% to \$.35 per quarter. This marked the 30th consecutive year in which the dividend has been increased;
- While we have been actively and successfully reducing the risk in our investment portfolio, we have grown our shareholders' equity from \$8.8 billion at year-end 2007 to \$16.0 billion at December 31, 2012;
- Through strong surplus growth, an improved portfolio risk profile, and a weaker yen, improving our capital ratios significantly:
- Risk-based Capital (RBC) ratio was 630% at year end, compared with 493% in 2011;
- Solvency margin ratio, the principal capital adequacy measure in Japan, was 669%, which was above our targeted range of 500% to 600%; and
- Due to the strength of our capital ratios and liquidity position, we repurchased \$100 million (1.9 million) of the Company's shares.

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

As a result of our strong performance, our total shareholder return (TSR), including reinvested cash dividends, for the one-year and three-year periods ending December 31, 2012 were 26.4% and 24.2%, respectively. This compares with a one-year and three-year total shareholder return of 14.6% and 13.8% for the S&P Life & Health Insurance Index, 16.0% and 36.3% for the S&P 500 Index, and 10.2% and 36.3% for the Dow Jones Industrial Average, respectively.

Strong Corporate Governance Policies and Leader in Best Practices

The Company has been a leader in corporate governance best practices and the Company's executive compensation programs reflect the following strong, longstanding governance principles:

- Independent Compensation Committee oversees the program;
- Independent compensation consultant hired by and reporting to the Compensation Committee;
- Rigorous pay-for-performance formulaic structure for CEO compensation, in place for 15 years, which is regularly evaluated by the Compensation Committee;
- For the past 15 years, 100% of the CEO's long-term incentive-based compensation has been determined based on performance;
- The size of the CEO's long-term incentive (LTI) award is tied exclusively to the Company's performance versus peers;
- Annual report by the Consultant to the full Board on CEO pay and performance alignment;
- First public company in the U.S. to provide shareholders with a say-on-pay vote (voluntary action, for five years starting in 2008) averaging better than 96% FOR vote since implementing;
- Stock ownership guidelines for executive officers and Directors in place since 1998;
- Clawback policy in place since 2007; and
- No tax gross-ups, including no change-in-control (CIC) excise tax gross-ups.

The Company has recently enhanced its corporate governance practices by further strengthening its insider trading policy to prohibit our officers and other covered persons from (i) entering into a 10b5-1 plan (unless approved by the Compensation Committee); and (ii) hedging. Also, future pledging of the Company's stock by executive officers and Board members is prohibited and shares pledged as collateral for a margin account or other loans do not count toward the stock ownership guidelines.

In addition to these formal policies, our CEO has voluntarily relinquished his rights to the following compensation to further ensure the alignment between the Company's performance and shareholder value:

- Eliminated his rights to any golden parachute payments following a change in control;
- Declined 100% of his earned bonus for 2008 given that the Company's stock price underperformed during 2008; and
- Declined 17% of his earned bonus for 2011. Mr. Daniel P. Amos made this recommendation in light of the impact on the Company's net income and other financial metrics of net realized investment losses associated with the worldwide financial crisis and the Company's pro-active investment de-risking program that occurred during 2011.

A further summary of the CEO compensation program and its emphasis on pay-for-performance can be found in the section entitled "CEO Compensation and Pay-for-performance."

Response to Say-on-Pay Vote

The Company has a history and a well-earned reputation with its shareholders as a very transparent organization. That commitment to transparency on all levels was certainly a driving force in our decision in 2008 to allow shareholders a say-on-pay advisory vote, far ahead of the requirement later imposed on companies by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. The reception of this annual vote has been overwhelming, with endorsement rates from our shareholders that have averaged more than 96% since its institution.

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

This overwhelming approval rate has given us confidence that our compensation policies have been fair and representative of our performance and as such, the policies utilized in 2012 remain very similar to those

used in prior years. We constantly analyze our practices to ensure that we remain current in our approaches, a leader in executive compensation best practices, and cognizant of shareholder concerns. For 2012, we made changes to our executive compensation programs to further emphasize our pay-for-performance philosophy and enhance the programs' alignment with shareholder value creation. For example, for the relative performance assessment of our CEO's compensation program, we added 1-year TSR as a metric and removed both absolute premium income dollars and absolute net income dollars as metrics. These changes are further described below. As a company, we pride ourselves on incorporating ethics and transparency into everything we do, including compensation disclosure.

Summary of Executive Compensation Programs

As a leader in our industry segment, we recognize that a sound management compensation program is a part of what makes a company an employer of choice. Our compensation philosophy is to provide pay that is directly linked to the Company's performance results. By doing so, we are able to provide the following: (i) reasonable salaries that reflect each executive's responsibility level, qualifications and contribution over time; (ii) benefits that adequately meet the needs of our employees and their families at a reasonable shared cost; (iii) meaningful, performance-based annual non-

equity incentives; and (iv) long-term equity incentives that reflect the creation of shareholder value.

Of these four pay elements, we consider the annual long-term incentive forms of compensation to be the most important because they enable us to attract, retain, motivate and reward talented individuals who have the necessary skills to manage our growing global enterprise on a day-to-day basis, as well as for the future.

Key Elements of Our Executive Compensation Programs

Element	Objective	Purpose
Base Salary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Talent attraction and retention Alignment with shareholder value creation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide annual cash income that is both market competitive and commensurate with an individual's talents and level in the organization Motivate and retain key talent
Annual Incentive Plan (Management Incentive Plan)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pay-for-performance Alignment with shareholder value creation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Motivate executives and reward achievement for performance on key annual operational and strategic goals Focus on key short-term value drivers for our business Retention of key talent
Long-term Incentives (LTI)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pay-for-performance Alignment with shareholder value creation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Motivate executives and reward achievement for performance on key long-term operational and strategic goals Focus on key long-term value drivers for our business Retention of key talent
Retirement & Benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Talent retention Tax effective pay Security 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide market competitive retirement benefits (pension, 401(k), etc.) to aid in talent retention Satisfy employee health and welfare needs

PEER GROUP

Each year, the Compensation Committee reviews the composition of the peer group against which the Company's executive compensation programs and financial performance are benchmarked. Key factors the Compensation Committee considers during its annual review of companies in the peer group are the following: operating characteristics, revenue size, asset

Aetna Inc.
 The Allstate Corporation
 Assurant, Inc.
 The Chubb Corporation
 CIGNA Corporation
 CNO Financial Group, Inc.
 Genworth Financial, Inc.

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc.
Humana Inc.

size, profitability, market value, and total number of employees, among others. Based on the annual review, the following 17 companies were selected to comprise the 2012 peer group – these companies are engaged in businesses similar to that of the Company, are of size similar to that of the Company, and compete against the Company for talent:

Lincoln National Corporation
Manulife Financial Corporation
MetLife, Inc.
Principal Financial Group, Inc.
The Progressive Corporation
Prudential Financial, Inc.
The Travelers Companies, Inc.
Unum Group

Relative to the peer group used in 2011, Aon was removed due to size and operational characteristics, and Humana, MetLife, and Principal Financial were added to the group given that they have operating characteristics similar to those of the Company and are of a size similar to that of the Company. Overall, the

Company's revenues and total assets were slightly larger than the median revenues of the peer group, while our market value was significantly higher than the peer group median. The data shown below reflect those metrics relevant at the time of the peer group review:

(\$millions)

	Revenue	Total Assets	Market Value
Aflac Incorporated	\$22,136	\$112,000	\$19,631
Peer Median	\$21,859	\$104,602	\$12,842

ELEMENTS OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PROGRAM

Base Salary

The primary purpose of the base salary component of our executive compensation program is to provide the recipient with a steady stream of income consistent with his or her level of responsibility, qualifications and contribution over time. The Consultant annually gathers comparative market data on salaries for the Compensation Committee to use in reviewing and determining the CEO's salary and the CEO's recommendations for the salaries of the CFO and all other executive officers.

In the aggregate, the total base salaries of all of the Company's executive officers are near the 50th percentile of the survey results for these same positions at peer group companies. Virtually all executive officers, including our NEOs, receive a salary that is within a plus or minus range of 25% from the survey median for their position. Only Mr. Cloninger's salary is slightly

above this range, which we consider appropriate since his role as both CFO and President is more expansive than many of the second highest paid executives at our peer companies. In general, executive officers who are new to their role are likely to be below the median and executive officers who have been in their jobs for extended periods are more likely to be above the median.

In 2012, Messrs. Daniel P. Amos, Cloninger, and Loudermilk each received a 3.0% base salary increase. Mr. Tonoike's annual base salary in yen terms increased approximately 2% in 2012. Mr. Paul S. Amos received a base salary increase of 12.9% to position his base salary closer to the median base salary of comparable positions in the market.

Annual Incentive Plan (Management Incentive Plan)

All of the NEOs are eligible to participate in a non-equity incentive plan sponsored by the Company, referred to as the Management Incentive Plan (or MIP), which was submitted to and approved by shareholders in 2012 (the Amended and Restated 2013 Management Incentive Plan).

The Company's MIP uses specific performance objectives at both the Corporate and the Business Segment level (both of which are described below) to provide potential annual non-equity incentive awards for the NEOs and all other non-sales officers. Performance targets are set annually for the plan, and cash payouts are made to executives based on actual performance.

We believe the segment measures described below, along with our corporate-level objectives of operating earnings per diluted share growth and risk-based capital ratio, are among the key incentive factors for our

business in terms of creating shareholder value and aligning management's interests and rewards with those of our shareholders.

Target bonuses for 2012 for the NEOs were as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Target Bonus (as % of base salary)
Daniel P. Amos (Chairman & CEO)	200%
Kriss Cloninger III (President, CFO & Treasurer)	150%
Joey M. Loudermilk (EVP & General Counsel)	80%
Tohru Tonoike (President & COO Aflac Japan)	100%
Paul S. Amos II (President, Aflac & COO, Aflac U.S.)	120%

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

The CEO and CFO recommend to the Compensation Committee the specific Company performance objectives and their ranges. In recommending the incentive performance objectives to the Compensation Committee, the CEO and CFO take into consideration past performance results and scenario tests of the Company's financial outlook as projected by a complex financial model. The model projects the impact on various financial measures using different levels of total new annualized premium sales, investment returns, budgeted expenses, morbidity, and persistency. This enables the Company to set ranges around most performance objectives.

The Compensation Committee may consider the probability of attainment of each of the various measures. Generally, it is expected that target performance will be attained 50% to 60% of the time, minimum performance attained at least 75% of the time, and maximum performance attained not more than 25% of the time. At its annual February meeting, the Compensation Committee reviews and approves or, if deemed appropriate, modifies the annual incentive goals for that year.

For each of the performance measures, a target performance level is established. In addition, a minimum and maximum level is established. The payout for a minimum result is one-half that of the target result, while the payout for a maximum result is two times that of the target result. Typically, the target result is equidistant between the minimum result and the maximum result. Interpolation is used to calculate incentive payouts for results between minimum and target or target and maximum.

The two primary financial objectives of the Company are included in the MIP targets as corporate level performance targets for each of the NEOs for 2012:

Corporate Metric	Minimum Goal	Target Goal	Maximum Goal	2012 Actual
Growth of operating earnings per diluted share on a consolidated basis for the Company (excluding currency effects)	3% over 2011 (or \$6.46)	3% over 2011 (or \$6.46)	6% over 2011 (or \$6.65)	5.1% (or \$6.59)
Statutory risk-based capital ratio	400%	450%	500%	600%*

* As a result of our adoption of Statement of Statutory Accounting Principles No. 101, Income Taxes, the actual statutory RBC was 630%; however, the positive impact from the adoption was not factored in to the setting of the goal. Therefore, the impact was not considered by the Compensation Committee when evaluating the 2012 results.

In addition to these corporate level performance targets, we are adding operating return on shareholders' equity (excluding currency effects) as a third performance metric for senior officers in 2013.

Additional performance targets are specific to the Company's two principal business segments: Aflac U.S. and Aflac Japan. These measures are considered to be the most significant to the performance of each segment, are communicated to and understood by those eligible for the non-equity incentive awards, and are under the collective influence of the segment officers.

Aflac U.S. business segment: For 2012, the following performance incentive measures were used:

- Percentage increase in new annualized premium sales;
- Percentage increase in premium income;
- Percentage increase over the previous year of premium income, minus the percentage increase in controllable expenses (metric not included for Messrs. Daniel P. Amos and Cloninger); and
- Percentage increase in pretax operating earnings over the previous year.

Aflac Japan business segment: For 2012, the following performance incentive measures were used:

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

- Percentage increase in new annualized premium sales;
- Percentage increase in premium income;
- Actual operating expenses compared to budget (metric not included for Messrs. Daniel P. Amos and Cloninger); and
- Percentage increase in pretax operating earnings over the previous year, before expenses allocated from the U.S. Operations, eliminating any currency effect.

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

The 2012 business segment performance measures, the targets and ranges for each incentive performance measure, and 2012 actual results were as follows:

Aflac Segment	Segment Metric	Minimum Goal	Target Goal	Maximum Goal	2012 Actual
U.S. Business	Increase in new annualized premiums	3.00%	5.00%	8.00%	.8%
	Increase in premium income	3.25%	4.00%	4.75%	5.4%
	Increase in premium income less increase in controllable expenses	-2.00%	0%	2.00%	-.9%
Japan Business	Increase in pretax operating earnings	2.50%	5.00%	7.50%	10.3%
	Increase in new annualized premiums	-5.00%	-3.00%	0%	30.8%
	Increase in premium income	6.50%	7.25%	8.00%	9.89%
	Actual operating expenses compared to budget	Budget plus 1%	Budget	Budget minus 1%	Budget minus 2.6%
	Increase in pretax operating earnings before allocated expenses and currency effects	.50%	1.50%	2.50%	2.02%

The performance measures are weighted for the NEOs and all other officer levels of the Company. The intent is to weight them according to how each position can

and should influence their outcome. The following table details these relative weightings for each of the NEOs for 2012:

NEO	Weightings of Annual Incentive Metrics as a Percent of Target Award			
	Corporate	U.S. Segment	Japan Segment	Total
Daniel P. Amos	50%	15%	35%	100%
Kriss Cloninger III	50%	17%	33%	100%
Joey M. Loudermilk	50%	25%	25%	100%
Tohru Tonoike	25%		75%	100%
Paul S. Amos II	25%	54%	21%	100%

Actual performance relative to MIP targets was determined after the end of the year and presented to the Compensation Committee for discussion and approval at its February 2013 meetings. The actual non-equity incentive plan payments to the NEOs are reflected in the 2012 Summary Compensation Table below in the column labeled Non-Equity Plan Compensation.

The incentive measures described above include statistical and non-GAAP financial measures as more fully described in this and the following paragraphs.

Our corporate performance measure is based on operating earnings per diluted share, excluding the impact of foreign currency effects, and the achievement of risk-based capital ratios as determined on a statutory accounting basis. We define operating earnings per diluted share to be the profit derived from operations before realized investment gains and losses from securities transactions, impairments, and derivative and hedging activities, as well as nonrecurring items, divided by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding for the period plus a number of weighted-average shares to compensate for the dilutive effect of

share-based awards. Because foreign exchange rates are outside of management's control, operating earnings per diluted share growth is computed using the average yen/dollar exchange rate for the prior year, which eliminates fluctuations from currency rates that can magnify or suppress reported results in dollar terms. The RBC ratio quantifies insurance risk, business risk, asset risk and interest rate risk by weighing the types and mixtures of risks inherent in the Company's operations. Aflac's RBC ratio remains high at 630% and reflects a strong capital and surplus position.

Aflac U.S. and Aflac Japan segment incentive measures also include statistical and non-GAAP financial measures. For both the U.S. and Japanese segment, we use an industry measure referred to as the increase in total new annualized premiums on policies sold and incremental annual premiums on policies converted during the reporting period. For Aflac U.S., we use the percentage increase in premium income minus the percentage increase in controllable expenses. Controllable expenses are a component of total acquisition and operating expenses for the U.S. business segment. For Aflac Japan, we compare actual expenses against the budgeted operating expenses as a performance measure for the reporting period. For both segments we use the percentage increase in pretax operating earnings. We define pretax operating earnings on a segment basis to be the operating profit derived from operations before realized investment gains and losses from securities transactions, impairments, and derivative and hedging activities as well as nonrecurring items. The percentage increase in pretax operating earnings for

the Japan segment is also measured before expenses allocated from the U.S. and currency effects.

The Compensation Committee has the discretion to adjust the MIP results related to segment performance measures if it deems that a class of MIP participants would be unduly penalized or rewarded due to the incomparability of the result to the performance measure as determined by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee did not adjust the MIP results for 2012.

The following table reflects target, earned and paid percentages of salary for the non-equity incentive measures based on 2012 performance results for the NEOs:

NEO	Stated as a % of Base Salary		
	Target	Earned	Paid
Daniel P. Amos	200%	352%	352%
Kriss Cloninger III	150%	262%	262%
Joey M. Loudermilk	80%	135%	135%
Tohru Tonoike	100%	191%*	91%
Paul S. Amos II	120%	176%	176%

* Includes amounts accrued for a deferred retirement benefit for Mr. Tonoike as more fully described in the 2012 Summary Compensation Table and in the 2012 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table.

For additional information about the MIP, please refer to the 2012 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table below, which shows the threshold, target, and maximum award amounts payable under the MIP for 2012, and the 2012 Summary Compensation Table, which shows the actual amount of non-equity incentive plan compensation paid to our NEOs for 2012.

Long-term Equity Incentives

In 2012, LTI awards were provided in the form of options (for all officers, except the CEO), performance-based restricted stock (PBRS) (for executive officers, including all NEOs), and time-based restricted stock

(TBRS) (for officers other than executive officers). For 2012, the CEO's long-term incentive award was made entirely in PBRS.

LTI targets as a percent of base salary for the NEOs were as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Target LTI (as % of base salary)
-------------------------	--

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

Daniel P. Amos (Chairman & CEO)	Performance-based
Kriss Cloninger III (President, CFO & Treasurer)	350%
Joey M. Loudermilk (EVP & General Counsel)	200%
Tohru Tonoike (President & COO Aflac Japan)	250%
Paul S. Amos II (President, Aflac & COO, Aflac U.S.)	250%

Targeted LTI mix for 2012 by officer group is as follows:

For PBRS awards that were granted in 2012, the performance period is January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2014. The sole performance measure for determining vesting is based on the achievement of specified risk-based capital ratios as determined on a statutory accounting basis at each calendar year-end. This performance measure was selected because of the Company's belief that capital adequacy is a significant concern for the financial markets and shareholder confidence.

	Minimum Goal	Target Goal	Maximum Goal
Risk-Based Capital Ratio	375%	425%	500%
Annual Credit	50%	100%	150%

For each calendar year, participants will earn a PBRS credit based on the RBC ratio achieved relative to the stated goals above. For performance between the minimum and target goal and between the target goal and maximum goal, a pro-rata calculation will be used to determine the percentage of credit achieved. The final three-year PBRS award percentage will be the arithmetic average of the PBRS credits earned in each of the individual three years comprising the performance period, provided however, that the PBRS award credit will not exceed 100%.

CEO COMPENSATION AND PAY-FOR-PERFORMANCE

The Compensation Committee is responsible for the review and determination of the CEO's pay. Since 1997, the Compensation Committee has utilized a rigorous pay-for-performance approach that is directly linked to the Company's comparative performance results to determine CEO compensation. To achieve this linkage, the Consultant annually calculates the Company's percentile composite performance rank among the peer group of 17 major insurance companies previously identified in this CD&A (as it may

be modified from time to time). Given that this performance assessment cannot be completed during the year in question (i.e., 2012) due to the timing of financial information becoming available, the Company's relative performance and percentile ranking for the prior year (i.e., 2011) is used to set the CEO's compensation. Thus, given this nuance, there is a one-year timing lag as current period compensation is based on prior year performance.

The following describes in greater detail the process for determining our CEO's compensation:

Timing and Key Features of the Program

Element	Key Decision Points/Evaluations	Timing of Payment/Grant
Base Salary*	Salary for year set in the preceding November	Paid during year
MIP	Parameters set in February of the performance year	Paid in cash in February of the following year after Committee's review of performance
Long-term Incentives	Two phases: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contingent PBRS grant made in February (equal to 60% of prior year's total LTI grant date award value) Final true up PBRS award (additional PBRS grant or reduction of contingent February PBRS grant) made after final prior year's performance versus peers is approved 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contingent PBRS grant: February following year of relevant peer group performance Final true up PBRS grant (additional PBRS grant or reduction of contingent February PBRS grant): August following year of relevant peer group performance

* Details regarding the base salary determination are included in the section titled *Base Salary* of this CD&A.

Annual Incentive Award (MIP)

The CEO's annual cash incentive award is based on the following metrics and weightings. Details regarding the metrics can be found in the section titled *Annual Incentive Plan (Management Incentive Plan)* of this CD&A.

NEO	Weightings of Annual Incentive Metrics as a Percent of Target Award			Total
	Corporate	U.S. Segment	Japan Segment	
Daniel P. Amos	50%	15%	35%	100%

As is the case with the other NEOs, parameters for each of the goals are established in February of each year and are prospective in nature (i.e., goals are set in February 2012 for 2012 performance). The MIP opportunity for the CEO is capped at 200% of his target opportunity.

Long-term Equity Incentive Awards

Contingent PBRS Grant: A contingent number of PBRS was awarded in February 2012 in an amount equal to 60% of the prior year's total LTI award grant date fair value.

True Up PBRS Grant or Reduction: The performance assessment to determine the true up award (additional PBRS grant or reduction of contingent February PBRS grant) for 2012 compared the Company's relative

performance for 2011 to that of each of its 17 peers on the nine metrics shown below. Each metric is assigned a weighting, and the weighted composite performance score of each company is used to determine the final relative performance ranking.

Performance Metric	Weighting	Performance Metric	Weighting
Revenue Growth	1	Return on Average Equity	2
Net Income Growth	1	Return on Average Assets	2
Premium Income Growth	1	1-year Total Shareholder Return (2010 - 2011)	4
EPS Growth	1	3-year Total Shareholder Return (2008 - 2011)	4
Return on Revenues	2		

As noted previously, given the performance-based approach to the true up grant or reduction, there is a one-year timing disconnect with respect to the year in which LTI awards are paid (2012) and the relative

performance data (2011) due to the timing of financial information becoming available. Based on the 2012 assessment of relative performance, the Company ranked 11 out of 18 companies (17 peers plus the

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

Company); the lower the total weighted composite score, the higher a company's overall ranking (rankings on each metric are out of 18 companies):

Financial Performance Ranking Matrix

Company	Revenue 1-Year Growth	Net Income 1-Year Growth	Premium Income 1-Year Growth	EPS 1-Year Growth	Return on Revenues 2011	Return on Average Equity 2011	Return on Average Assets 2011	1Yr Indexed TSR (12/31/10- 12/31/11)	3Yr Indexed TSR (12/31/08- 12/31/11)	Composite Score	Performance Rank
Aflac Incorporated Relative Ranking (out of 18 companies) Weightings	5 1	13 1	3 1	14 1	5 2	5 2	7 2	12 4	15 4	177	11

Determination of CEO Compensation

In conjunction with the relative performance assessment, CEO total compensation relative to the peer group is evaluated with the help of the Consultant. The highest and lowest paid peer group CEOs are removed from the data set to mitigate the effect of the outliers. Then, the Company's relative performance percentile ranking (11 out of 18, or 4th percentile ranking) is applied to the remaining peer CEO compensation data to derive an implied total compensation amount for the Company's CEO. This implied compensation level is used in determining the

true up grant or reduction to the February contingent LTI grant.

The following table illustrates the process for determining CEO total direct compensation (TDC) over the prior two years. TDC equals the sum of base salary, annual bonus paid, and grant-date fair value of LTI awards (contingent February grant plus the August award adjustment based on the Company's relative performance against its peers for the prior year):

Element	Performance for FY2010		Performance for FY2011	
	Amounts	Comments	Amounts	Comments
Base Salary	\$ 1,378,400	Paid in 2010	\$ 1,399,100	Paid in 2011
MIP Award	\$ 4,048,361	Paid in February 2011 for 2010 performance	\$ 4,385,479 *	Payable in February 2012 for 2011 performance
LTI				
Option	\$ 2,770,924	Contingent grant in February 2011 at 60% of 2010 grant value	\$ 0	Contingent grant in February 2012 at 60% of 2011 grant value
PBRS Contingent Grant Adjustment for performance	\$ 2,770,924 2,971,269	Option grant in August 2011 after 2010 performance was validated in August 2011	\$ 5,107,870 2,025,711	Reduction in contingent grant from February 2012 after 2011 performance was validated in August 2012
Total	\$ 13,939,878		\$ 8,866,738	
Reduction in total compensation			-36.4%	

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

* *CEO voluntarily declined \$745,530 of this earned amount*

Overall, the CEO's compensation program methodology described previously emphasizes the Company's pay-for-performance philosophy. However, due to this rigorous pay-for-performance approach and timing

issues related to publicly-available information for the peers, the amounts earned for each year are disclosed over two years.

A similar methodology will be used to determine pay for 2013:

Element	Performance for FY2012	
	Amounts	Comments
Base Salary	\$1,441,100	Paid in 2012
MIP Award	\$5,072,672	Paid in February 2013 for 2012 performance
LTI		
PBRS Contingent Grant	\$1,849,295	Contingent grant in February 2013 at 60% of 2012 grant value
Adjustment for performance	TBD	Adjustment to contingent grant from February 2013 after 2012 performance will be validated in August 2013
Total	TBD	

Although the assessment of relative performance will not be evaluated until August of this year, we expect improvement in the Company's performance ranking for 2012.

RETIREMENT, DEFERRAL, AND SAVINGS PLANS

The retirement, deferral and savings plans described below were established in order to provide competitive post-termination benefits for officers and employees of the Company, including the NEOs, in recognition of their long-term service and contributions to the Company.

Defined Benefit Pension Plans

As described further in Pension Benefits below, the Company maintains tax-qualified, noncontributory defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all U.S. and Japanese employees, including the NEOs,

who satisfy the eligibility requirements. The Company also maintains nonqualified supplemental retirement plans covering the NEOs.

Executive Deferred Compensation Plan

The U.S.-based NEOs, in addition to other U.S.-based eligible executives, are entitled to participate in the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (EDCP). Mr. Daniel P. Amos is the only U.S.-based NEO currently participating in this plan. As more fully described under Nonqualified Deferred Compensation, Mr. Tonoike

does not participate in the U.S.-based plan, but per his employment agreement defers a portion of his bonus until his retirement. The EDCP is discussed in more detail below under Nonqualified Deferred Compensation.

401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

The Company maintains a tax qualified 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan (the 401(k) Plan) in which all U.S.-based employees, including the U.S.-based NEOs, are eligible to participate under the same terms. The Company will match 50% of the first 6% of eligible compensation that is contributed to the 401(k) Plan.

Employee contributions made to the 401(k) Plan are 100% vested. Employees vest in employer contributions at the rate of 20% for each year of service the employee completes. After five years of service, employees are fully vested in all employer contributions.

Other Benefits

The Company maintains medical and dental insurance, group life insurance, accidental death insurance, cancer insurance, and disability insurance programs for all of its employees, as well as paid time off, leave of absence, and other similar policies. The NEOs and other officers are eligible to participate in these programs along with, and on the same basis as, the Company's other salaried employees. The NEOs, other officers, and senior managers are also eligible to participate in an executive restoration long-term disability insurance program providing income replacement based on salary and target bonus, which is

not available to the Company's other salaried employees.

In addition, the NEOs are eligible to receive reimbursement for medical examination expenses. For security and time management reasons, certain of the Company's officers occasionally travel on corporate aircraft for business and personal purposes. Personal travel on corporate aircraft and security services are provided where considered by the Board of Directors to be in the best interest of the Company and its business objectives.

ADDITIONAL EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES

Equity Granting Policies

The February meeting of the Compensation Committee is held approximately one to two weeks after the Company's fiscal year results are released to the public. As a general practice, the Company makes the majority of its equity grants on the date the Board of Directors meets in February, and has done so since 2002. The Company has never engaged in backdating of options. Based on recommendations developed by the CEO and CFO with input from the Consultant, stock options, PBRs and TBRs awards are submitted to the Compensation Committee for approval at its February meeting. Option grants are awarded on the date of the meeting, and have a per share exercise price set at the closing price on the date of grant.

The Company may periodically make additional equity grants during the course of the year. However, it is the Company's policy not to make any equity grants in advance of material news releases. As detailed previously in the section labeled CEO Compensation and Pay-for-Performance, it has also been the Company's practice to adjust the amount of equity compensation granted to the CEO in August based on the Company's performance relative to peers in the prior year. This grant, if any, is issued on the date of the relevant Committee meeting.

Stock Ownership Guidelines; Hedging and Pledging Restrictions

The Company believes that the executive officers and Board members should have a significant equity interest in the Corporation. The Board first established stock ownership guidelines for officers and Board members in 1998. In November 2012, the Board amended the stock ownership guidelines, which define stock ownership value as a multiple of base salary and set the levels as follows:

Officer Level	Guideline (Multiple of Base Salary)
Chairman, CEO, & President	5.0x
President of Aflac	5.0x
Executive Vice President	3.0x
All other Executive Officers	3.0x

Officers have four years from date of hire or promotion to satisfy their respective stock ownership guidelines. Non-employee Directors must own four times the annual retainer and have five years from the date first elected to the Board to satisfy these

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

guidelines. Ownership includes all shares held by the officer or Board member and their spouse as well as tenure-based, unvested restricted shares. Shares pledged as collateral for a margin account or other loan, performance-based restricted shares, and stock options (vested or unvested) do not count toward these stock ownership guidelines.

Each of the Company's NEOs has stock ownership that exceeds ownership guidelines or is working toward meeting respective ownership guidelines within the allowed four-year time frame. Progress toward meeting the guidelines is reviewed regularly and reported to the Board.

The Company's insider trading policy prohibits our officers and other covered persons from selling our Common Stock short, engaging in option trading (puts or calls or other derivative securities) relating to our Common Stock, entering into a 10b5-1 plan (unless approved by the Compensation Committee) or hedging. In addition, at its February 2013 meeting, the Board adopted a policy prohibiting future pledging of the

Company's stock by executive officers and Board members. All other covered persons under the Company's insider trading policy must pre-clear with the policy's compliance officer before pledging Company stock as collateral for a margin account or other loan.

Employment Agreements

The Company has employment agreements with the NEOs and certain other executives in key roles. The agreements generally address: role and responsibility; rights to compensation and benefits during active employment; termination in the event of death, disability or retirement, and termination for cause or without cause; and resignation by the employee. The agreements also contain termination and related pay provisions in the event of a change in control. In all cases, for the change-in-control provisions in the employment agreements to apply, there must be both (i) a change in control, as well as (ii) a termination by the Company without cause or a resignation by the executive for good reason. This is commonly referenced as a "double trigger" requirement. Further, the contracts stipulate that the executive may not compete with the Company for prescribed periods

following termination of employment or disclose confidential information.

In the case of Mr. Tonoike's employment agreement, the Company has a unique retirement obligation. For the years 2007 through 2014, the Company is obligated to provide for a special retirement benefit equal to 110% of all amounts actually paid to Mr. Tonoike as performance bonus compensation under the Company's MIP. This amount is payable upon termination as a lump sum retirement benefit and the annual accrual for this obligation has been included in the non-equity incentive plan compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table and in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table.

Change-in-Control (CIC) Policy and Severance Agreements

The Company has no formal change in control or severance policy. However, as noted above, individual employment agreements generally have provisions

related to both CIC and severance and these agreements have no excise tax gross-ups.

Compensation Recovery Policy

The Compensation Committee has a policy that allows it to review any adjustment or restatement of performance measures and make a determination if adjustments or recoveries of non-equity incentives are necessary. If it is deemed that adjustments or

recoveries of non-equity incentives are appropriate, the Compensation Committee is charged with determining the amount of recovery and the proper officer group subject to any potential adjustments or recovery.

Certain Tax Implications of Executive Compensation (IRC Section 162(m))

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

In connection with making decisions on executive compensation, the Compensation Committee takes into consideration the provisions of IRC Section 162(m), which limits the deductibility by the Company for federal income tax purposes of certain categories of compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to certain executive officers. It is the Company's policy to maximize the effectiveness of our compensation programs while also taking into consideration the requirements of Section 162(m). In that regard, the

Company intends to maintain the flexibility to take actions that it deems to be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. Accordingly, although the Company intends to preserve the deductibility of annual compensation to the extent consistent with the intent and spirit of our overall compensation policy, it reserves the authority to award non-deductible compensation as it deems appropriate.

Accounting and Other Tax Implications of Executive Compensation

The Company has considered the accounting and other tax implications of all aspects of the compensation program for its employees, including the NEOs and other officers. While accounting and other tax considerations do not dictate compensation decisions,

the compensation program is designed to achieve the most favorable accounting and other tax treatment consistent with the intent and spirit of the compensation plan design.

Long-term Incentive Fair Value Determinations

A challenging issue for publicly traded companies is how to value long-term incentive awards for grant purposes. Like many companies, we target and express such awards as a percent of salary. We also seek to balance the value of stock options with those of PBRS awarded to executive officers and to balance the value of stock options with those of TBRS awarded to other award recipients. Of particular concern to the Company is how to calculate the value of a stock option.

The predominant valuation model used to value stock options is the Black-Scholes-Merton valuation model. This model considers various assumptions for duration prior to exercise, risk-free interest rate, stock volatility and termination rates. We segregate groups of option holders within the model by exercise patterns to better estimate the value of an option. For example, NEOs and executive officers typically hold their options much longer before exercising them than do non-officer employees.

However, this value changes each year in direct relation to fluctuations in the current market value of the Company's Common Stock and changes in pricing assumptions. Therefore, when the share price goes up, so do option grants' fair value and their strike price, and the number of awarded shares equal to a designated dollar value would decrease. Conversely, if the share

price goes down, both the option's fair value and its strike price go down, and the number of awarded shares would increase. This result seems counterintuitive from a pay-for-performance perspective in that a lower stock price would lead to more options being granted at a lower price and a higher stock price would lead to fewer options being granted at a higher price.

Our solution for grant purposes only is to stabilize the deemed present value of a stock option for a three-year period. We think the use of such a value is more in line with creating long-term shareholder value and pay-for-performance, and allows us to better manage our burn rate (number of shares granted each year divided by the number of Common Stock outstanding) and budget the number of awarded shares over the life of the share authorization approved by shareholders.

For grants made in the three-year period of 2010 to 2012, our deemed fair value of a stock option was \$18.14. However, the actual per share exercise price under each option in any event is the closing price of a common share on the day it is granted. Consistent with our historical practices, a new deemed value of \$13.73 has been established for the period of 2013 to 2015.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the preceding CD&A with management and, based on that review and discussion, has recommended to the Board of Directors to include the CD&A in this Proxy Statement.

Compensation Committee

Robert B. Johnson, Chairman
Douglas W. Johnson

2012 SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table provides information concerning total compensation earned or paid to our CEO, CFO and the three other most highly compensated executive officers who were serving as executive officers at the end of 2012. These five officers are referred to as our NEOs in this Proxy Statement.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Option Awards ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings ⁽⁵⁾ (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)
Daniel P. Amos Chairman and CEO	2012	1,441,100		4,458,439		5,072,672	2,593,387	2
	2011	1,399,100		3,755,510	5,180,415	3,639,949	432,122	2
	2010	1,378,400		3,418,156	6,814,237	4,048,361	82,911	2
Kriss Cloninger III President, CFO, and Treasurer	2012	923,800		2,495,984	877,116	2,424,052	5,746,619	
	2011	896,800		1,870,170	1,579,712	1,736,407	1,613,096	1
	2010	883,500		1,788,280	1,701,773	1,912,601	2,287,480	1
Joey M. Loudermilk Executive Vice President, General Counsel	2012	542,300		563,296	197,557	732,648	2,299,168	
	2011	526,500		442,935	332,571	683,134	498,062	
	2010	518,700		423,540	358,268	602,211	573,420	
Tohru Tonoike ⁽¹⁾ President and COO, Aflac Japan	2012	786,869		1,097,456	376,120	1,500,401		2
	2011	771,969	20,000	738,225	533,006	1,250,300		1
	2010	688,554		705,900	575,342	1,239,914		1
Paul S. Amos II President, Aflac and COO, Aflac U.S.	2012	583,953		1,097,456	376,120	1,026,590	625,768	1
	2011	522,800		738,225	533,006	1,034,100	743,755	
	2010	515,000		705,900	575,342	718,425	345,060	140,932

* This additional column has been included to show total compensation minus the change in pension value. In order to show the effect that the year-over-year change in pension value had on total compensation, as determined under applicable SEC rules. Total Without Change in Pension Value represents total compensation, as determined under applicable SEC rules, minus the change in pension value reported in the Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings column. The amounts reported in the Total Without Change in Pension Value column differ from the amounts reported in the Total column required under SEC rules and are not a substitute for total compensation. As discussed in note (5) below, the change in pension value is subject to many external variables that are not related to the Company's performance.

- (1) Includes payments made to Mr. Tonoike in yen for salary, non-equity incentive plan compensation and some perquisites and converted to dollars by dividing the actual yen denominated payments by the 2012 weighted average exchange rate of 79.81 yen to the dollar.
- (2) Includes \$441,100 deferred for Mr. Daniel P. Amos. This amount has been included in the 2012 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table below.

- (3) In accordance with the SEC's reporting requirements, we report all equity awards at their full grant date fair value in accordance with ASC 718. The Company's valuation assumptions are described in Note 11 Share-Based Compensation in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Form 10-K filed with the SEC for the year ended December 31, 2012. The grant date fair market value for the Company's stock included in the Option Award columns was \$47.06, \$50.89, \$57.90, \$39.61 and \$48.56 for the grant dates February 9, 2010, August 10, 2010, February 8, 2011, August 9, 2011, and February 14, 2012, respectively. See page 44 for a more detailed discussion of our outstanding equity grants compared to current fair market value.

As discussed in the Determination of CEO Compensation section of the CD&A, the Compensation Committee reduced the contingent February 2012 PBRs grant for Daniel P. Amos by 60,343 shares in August of 2012 after the 2011 the Company's performance was validated. The remaining 91,813 shares had a grant date fair market value of \$4,458,439.

- (4) As noted in last year's proxy statement, our CEO and CFO elected to forgo \$745,530 and \$355,649, respectively, of the amounts otherwise payable to them for 2011. The amount reported in this column for Mr. Tonoike has two components. Mr. Tonoike's earned bonus is paid one half in cash and the other half is increased by 10% and deferred until his retirement date. The total amount has been included in the Summary Compensation Table and the deferred amount, including the 10% addition, has been included in the 2012 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table below.
- (5) No amount in this column is attributable to above market earnings on deferred compensation. The change in pension value reflects significant increases in 2012 due to changes in the actuarial assumptions required by the applicable financial accounting standards (primarily the discount rate), benefit accruals (including higher benefit formula multipliers for both Mr. Cloninger and Mr. Loudermilk due to each achieving a higher age-based tier under the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan), and final average earnings increases. See the Pension Benefits section and the accompanying table below beginning on page 48 for a more detailed discussion of the retirement plans.
- (6) Additional information regarding all other compensation is provided in the All Other Compensation or Perquisites tables detailed below.
- (7) Base salary is typically the smallest component of total compensation for the NEOs as the majority of their total compensation is based on performance awards on a cash and equity basis. Base salaries (including deferrals) as a percent of total compensation for Messrs. Daniel P. Amos, Cloninger, Loudermilk, Tonoike, and Paul S. Amos II for 2012 were approximately 10%, 7%, 12%, 20%, and 15%, respectively.

The following table identifies the amount of each item included for 2012 in the All Other Compensation column in the Summary Compensation Table above.

2012 ALL OTHER COMPENSATION

Name	Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Insurance Premiums ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Company Contribution to 401(k) Plan (\$)	Renewal Commissions from Previous Job ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Total (\$)
Daniel P. Amos	240,308	4,957	7,500		252,764
Kriss Cloninger III	77,661	5,477	7,500		90,638
Joey M. Loudermilk		8,635	7,500		16,135
Tohru Tonoike	223,455	1,441			224,896
Paul S. Amos II	100,203	4,431	7,500	24,161	136,295

(1) Perquisites are more fully described in the Perquisites table below.

(2) Executive restoration long-term disability insurance program premiums.

(3) Amounts are for earned renewal sales commissions before expenses on Aflac U.S. products sold before the NEO became an Aflac employee.

The following table identifies the incremental cost to the Company of each perquisite included for 2012 in the All Other Compensation table above.

2012 PERQUISITES

Name	Personal Use of Company Aircraft ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Security Services ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Other ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Total Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits ⁽⁴⁾ (\$)
Daniel P. Amos	27,950	212,358		240,308
Kriss Cloninger III	66,900	9,724	1,037	77,661
Joey M. Loudermilk				
Tohru Tonoike ⁽⁵⁾		1,844	221,611	223,455
Paul S. Amos II	61,348	35,655	3,200	100,203

(1) Incremental cost for the personal use of corporate aircraft includes the following: direct fuel costs and an allocation for maintenance charges, landing fees, handling and catering, and when necessary, any additional crew expenses such as transportation, lodging and meals. The personal use of corporate aircraft has been authorized by the Company's Board of Directors for security reasons and to maximize the effectiveness of the executives' time. Included in the amount reported for Mr. Cloninger is \$15,410 for attending outside Board of Directors meetings for a board on which he serves.

(2) Incremental costs for security services include the salaries and benefits of security officers and the actual costs of any security equipment, monitoring and maintenance fees.

(3) Amounts included in the Other column for Messrs. Cloninger and Paul S. Amos II are charges for the use of Company automobile transportation. The amount included in the other column for Mr. Tonoike includes the cash cost to the Company for the cost of a leased car, driver compensation and related expenses.

(4) The Company did not gross up for tax purposes any of the perquisites described in this table.

(5) The amounts reported for Mr. Tonoike for Security Services and Other were paid in yen and converted to dollars by dividing the yen payment by the average 2012 exchange rate of 79.81 yen to the dollar.

2012 GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

The following table provides certain information with respect to the 2012 grants of plan-based awards for the NEOs.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾			All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Threshold (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)			
Daniel P. Amos ⁽³⁾	2/14/2012				76,078	152,156	152,156			7,388,6
	2/14/2012	1,441,100	2,882,200	5,764,400						
Kriss Cloninger III	2/14/2012				25,700	51,400	51,400	47,950	48.56	877,1
	N/A	692,850	1,385,700	2,771,400						2,495,9
Joey M. Loudermilk	2/14/2012				5,800	11,600	11,600	10,800	48.56	197,5
	2/14/2012	210,600	421,200	842,400						563,2
	N/A							21,100	48.56	376,1
Tohru Tonoike	2/14/2012				11,300	22,600	22,600			1,097,4
	N/A	393,435	786,869	1,573,738						
Paul S. Amos II	2/14/2012				11,300	22,600	22,600	21,100	48.56	376,1
	2/14/2012									1,097,4
	N/A	350,372	700,743	1,401,486						

- (1) The amounts shown in Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards reflect the payout levels for the NEOs under the Company's MIP, based on the achievement of certain performance goals approved by the Compensation Committee. With respect to each Company performance goal, a minimum, target and maximum performance level is specified, the attainment of which determines the amount paid for each performance goal (generally 50%, 100%, and 200% of base salary, respectively). Base salary is typically the smallest component of total compensation for the NEOs, as the majority of their total compensation is based on performance awards on a cash and equity basis.
- (2) The amounts shown under Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards reflect the number of PBRS, which incorporate restrictions that will lapse upon the attainment of performance goals as set by the Compensation Committee. Awards vest on the third anniversary of the award, based on the attainment of the three-year cumulative target performance goal for risk-based capital ratios of Aflac. Each year a credit will be earned with a minimum of 50% and maximum of 150% as measured at each year-end. The final award will be the arithmetic average of the credit earned each year with a maximum payout not to exceed 100%. All NEOs possess the same rights as all other holders of Common Stock in respect of the shares underlying the PBRS, including all incidents of ownership with respect to the shares (exclusive of the right to transfer the shares while they remain subject to forfeiture) and the right to vote such shares. The dividends accrued on the award shares will be reinvested in the Common Stock at the same dividend rate as other holders of Common Stock and held as additional restricted shares in the book entry account subject to the same terms and conditions attributable to the original grant, until such time as all restrictions have lapsed on the shares of Common Stock with respect to which the dividend was accrued.
- (3) As previously discussed in Determination of CEO Compensation section of the CD&A, the Compensation Committee reduced the February PBRS grant to Daniel P. Amos by 60,343 PBRS in August of 2012 based on the Company's performance in 2011. The remaining 91,813 shares had a grant date fair market value of \$4,458,439.

2012 OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END

The following table provides certain information with respect to the equity awards outstanding at the 2012 fiscal year-end for the NEOs.

Name	Option Awards					Stock Awards		
	Option Grant Date	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Stock Award Grant Date	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested ⁽¹⁾ (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested ⁽²⁾ (\$)
Daniel P. Amos	02/10/04	221,349		40.425	02/10/14			
	08/10/04	255,882		38.320	08/10/14			
	02/08/05	143,169		38.750	02/08/15			
	08/09/05	289,405		43.665	08/09/15			
	02/14/06	172,723		47.250	02/14/16			
	08/08/06	209,527		43.070	08/08/16			
	02/13/07	160,387		47.840	02/13/17			
	08/14/07	107,707		52.590	08/14/17			
	02/12/08	128,541		61.810	02/12/18			
	08/12/08	261,952		55.720	08/12/18			
	02/10/09	155,712		22.130	02/10/19			
	08/11/09	324,915		40.230	08/11/19			
	02/09/10	146,386		47.060	02/09/20			
	08/10/10	216,402		50.890	08/10/20	02/09/10	78,637	4,177,197
02/08/11	152,752		57.900	02/08/21				
08/09/11	163,797		39.610	08/09/21	02/08/11	68,605	3,644,298	
08/09/05	60,000		43.665	08/09/15	02/14/12	94,517	5,020,743	
02/14/06	80,000		47.250	02/14/16				
08/08/06	50,000		43.070	08/08/16				
02/13/07	95,000		47.840	02/13/17				
02/12/08	104,000		61.810	02/12/18				
02/09/10	95,000		47.060	02/09/20				
Kriss Cloninger III	02/09/10					02/09/10	41,141	2,185,410
	02/08/11	80,750		57.900	02/08/21			
	02/14/12		47,950	48.560	02/14/22	02/08/11	34,164	1,814,792
					02/14/12	52,914	2,810,792	

Name	Option Awards					Stock Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested ⁽²⁾
	Option Grant Date	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Stock Award Grant Date	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested ⁽¹⁾ (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested ⁽²⁾ (\$)
Joey M. Loudermilk	08/10/04	37,391		38.320	08/10/14			
	02/08/05	25,000		38.750	02/08/15			
	02/14/06	25,000		47.250	02/14/16			
	02/13/07	25,000		47.840	02/13/17			
	02/12/08	26,000		61.810	02/12/18			
	02/10/09	28,000		22.130	02/10/19			
	02/09/10	20,000		47.060	02/09/20			
	02/08/11	17,000		57.900	02/08/21	02/09/10	9,744	517,601
	02/14/12		10,800	48.560	02/14/22	02/08/11	8,091	429,794
	02/13/07	25,000		47.840	02/13/17	02/14/12	11,942	634,359
Tohru Tonoike	02/12/08	40,000		61.810	02/12/18			
	02/10/09	50,000		22.130	02/10/19			
	02/09/10		33,000	47.060	02/09/20	02/09/10	16,240	862,669
	02/08/11		28,050	57.900	02/08/21	02/08/11	13,486	716,376
	02/14/12		21,100	48.560	02/14/22	02/14/12	23,266	1,235,890
	02/08/05	40,000		38.750	02/08/15			
	02/14/06	25,000		47.250	02/14/16			
	02/13/07	25,000		47.840	02/13/17			
	02/12/08	38,000		61.810	02/12/18			
	02/10/09	46,000		22.130	02/10/19			
Paul S. Amos II	02/09/10		33,000	47.060	02/09/20	02/09/10	16,240	862,669
	02/08/11		28,050	57.900	02/08/21	02/08/11	13,486	716,376
	02/14/12		21,100	48.560	02/14/22	02/14/12	23,266	1,235,890

(1) Includes dividend shares accumulated as of December 31, 2012 for PBRS awards granted on February 9, 2010, February 8, 2011, and February 14, 2012, respectively, of 6,003, 3,743, and 2,704 for Daniel P. Amos; 3,141, 1,864, and 1,514 for Mr. Cloninger; 744, 441, and 342 for Mr. Loudermilk; 1,240, 736, and 666 for Mr. Tonoike; 1,240, 736, and 666 for Paul S. Amos II.

(2) Based on the per share closing price of our Common Stock of \$53.12 as of December 31, 2012.

Grant Date	Options Vesting Schedule
02/09/10	100% vesting on the first anniversary of the option for Messrs. Daniel P. Amos, Cloninger, and Loudermilk 100% vesting on the third anniversary of the option for Messrs. Tonoike and Paul S. Amos II
08/10/10	100% vesting on the first anniversary of the option for Mr. Daniel P. Amos
02/08/11	100% vesting on the first anniversary of the option for Messrs. Daniel P. Amos, Cloninger, and Loudermilk 100% vesting on the third anniversary of the option for Messrs. Tonoike and Paul S. Amos II
08/09/11	100% vesting on the first anniversary of the option for Mr. Daniel P. Amos
02/14/12	100% vesting on the first anniversary of the option for Messrs. Cloninger and Loudermilk 100% vesting on the third anniversary of the option for Messrs. Tonoike and Paul S. Amos II

Stock Award

Grant Date	Stock Award Vesting Schedule
02/09/10, 02/08/11 and 02/14/12	Graded vesting on the third anniversary of the award based on the attainment of the cumulative target performance goal for risk-based capital ratios of Aflac for three consecutive calendar years beginning with the year of grant. Each year a credit will be earned with a minimum of 50% and a maximum of 150% as measured at each year-end. The final award will be the arithmetic average of the credit earned each year, but with a maximum payout of 100%.

2012 OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED

The following table provides information with respect to options exercised and stock awards vested during 2012 for each of the NEOs.

Name	Option Awards Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Stock Awards Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Daniel P. Amos	988,692	16,975,377	82,504	4,006,403
Kriss Cloninger III	280,000	3,469,500	56,516	2,744,438
Joey M. Loudermilk	86,161	1,251,535	14,197	689,424
Tohru Tonoike			24,572	1,193,234
Paul S. Amos II			24,026	1,166,718

PENSION BENEFITS

The Company maintains tax-qualified, noncontributory defined benefit pension plans that cover the NEOs other than Mr. Tonoike, and it also maintains nonqualified supplemental retirement plans covering the NEOs other than Mr. Tonoike, as described below. Mr. Tonoike participates in a defined benefit plan maintained in Japan specific to the terms of his employment agreement. The Company does not credit extra years of service under any of its retirement plans, unless required by employment agreements upon

certain termination events, such as termination following a change in control or termination without cause. Messrs. Daniel P. Amos, Cloninger, and Loudermilk are eligible to receive immediate retirement benefits. For Mr. Daniel P. Amos, retirement benefits fall under the provisions of the U.S. tax-qualified plan and the Retirement Plan for Senior Officers, and for Messrs. Cloninger, Paul S. Amos II and Loudermilk, retirement benefits fall under the U.S. tax-qualified plan and the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan.

Qualified Defined Benefit Pension Plan

The Aflac Incorporated Defined Benefit Pension Plan (Plan) is a funded tax-qualified retirement program that covers all eligible U.S.-based employees. Benefits under the Plan are calculated in accordance with the following formula: 1% of average final monthly compensation multiplied by years of credited service (not in excess of 25 years), plus .5% of average final monthly compensation multiplied by the number of years of credited service in excess of 25 years. For purposes of the Plan, final average monthly compensation is deemed to be the participant's highest average compensation during any five consecutive years of service within the 10 consecutive plan years of service immediately preceding retirement. Compensation means salary and non-equity incentive plan compensation. Participants are eligible to receive full retirement benefits upon attaining a retirement age of 65. A participant also becomes eligible for full retirement benefits when the participant's years of credited service plus attained age equals or exceeds 80. Participants with at least 15 years of credited

service are eligible to receive reduced retirement benefits upon reaching an early retirement age of 55.

The benefits payable under the Plan are not subject to adjustment for Social Security benefits or other offsets. The benefits are paid monthly over the life of the participant (with joint and survivor options available at actuarially reduced rates). The maximum annual retirement benefit was limited, in accordance with IRC Section 415, to \$200,000 for 2012. The maximum annual compensation that may be taken into account in the calculation of retirement benefits was limited, in accordance with IRC Section 401(a)(17), to \$250,000 for 2012. These limitation amounts for future years will be indexed for cost-of-living adjustments.

Benefits under the Japanese retirement plan are based on a point system. Eligible employees accumulate points over their respective service periods based on job grades. At retirement, the total points accumulated are multiplied by a unit price per point of 8,500 yen and then adjusted for years of service with the Company.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

The Company's Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) is an unfunded and unsecured obligation of the Company and is not a tax-qualified plan. The SERP provides retirement benefits to certain officers of the Company in addition to those provided by the qualified Plan. Mr. Cloninger, Mr. Paul S. Amos II, and Mr. Loudermilk participate in the Company's SERP. Participation in the SERP is limited to certain key employees of the Company as periodically designated by the Compensation Committee. To be eligible for benefits under the SERP, participants generally must be employed with the Company or a subsidiary at age 55. To be eligible to receive benefits under the SERP, participants who began participating in the SERP after August 11, 1992, also must complete at least 15 years

of employment with the Company or a subsidiary and participate in the SERP for at least five years.

The SERP includes a four-tiered benefit formula that provides for a benefit based on average final compensation. The benefit is 40% upon retirement between the ages of 55 and 59, a 50% benefit upon retirement between the ages of 60 and 64, and a 60% benefit upon retirement for ages 65 and over. A reduced 30% benefit is available to participants with at least 15 years of service

who terminate employment prior to age 55. In February 2012, the Compensation Committee amended the SERP to change the final compensation definition to represent final compensation earned (base salary and non-equity incentive plan

compensation earned for a calendar year rather than the amount paid) to be consistent with the Retirement Plan for Senior Officers.

Benefits are generally payable in the form of an annuity for the life of the participant. The participant may elect to receive reduced benefits during his or her lifetime. In that case, after his or her death, the surviving spouse will receive a benefit equal to 50% of the amount paid to the participant. The benefit formula computes benefits

using the average annual compensation for the three consecutive calendar years out of the final 10 consecutive calendar years of employment that yield the highest average. Average final compensation is calculated using Annual Compensation, which as noted above, is defined to include both base salary and non-equity incentive plan compensation earned for a calendar year. Benefits under the SERP are subject to offset for amounts paid under the qualified Plan.

Retirement Plan for Senior Officers

The CEO participates in the Retirement Plan for Senior Officers (RPSO). Participants in the RPSO receive full compensation for the first 12 months after retirement. Thereafter, a participant may elect to receive annual lifetime retirement benefits equal to 60% of final compensation, or 54% of such compensation with 50% of such amount to be paid to a surviving spouse for a specified period after death of the participant. Final compensation is deemed to be the higher of either the compensation paid during the last 12 months of active employment with the Company or the highest compensation received in any calendar year of the last three years preceding the date of retirement. Compensation under this plan is defined to be base salary plus non-equity incentive award earned.

Generally, no benefits are payable until the participant accumulates 10 years of credited service at age 60, or 20 years of credited service. Reduced benefits may be

paid to a participant who retires (other than for disability) before age 65 with less than 20 years credited service. The CEO is currently the only active employee participating in the RPSO, and he has 38 years of credited service, meaning he is fully vested for retirement benefits. The RPSO was frozen for participation purposes on January 1, 2009, such that no new participants will be added to the RPSO.

All benefits under the RPSO are subject to annual cost-of-living increases as approved by the Compensation Committee. Retired participants and their spouses are also entitled to receive full medical expense benefits for their lifetimes. The benefits payable under the RPSO are not subject to Social Security or qualified Plan offsets.

The following table provides certain information the Company's pension benefits at December 31, 2012 and for the year then ended.

PENSION BENEFITS

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Change from Prior Year (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Daniel P. Amos	Retirement Plan for Senior Officers	39	53,018,271	2,593,387	
	Aflac Incorporated Defined Benefit Pension Plan	39	1,094,549	68,349	
Kris Cloninger III	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	21	20,916,870	5,692,480	
	Aflac Incorporated Defined Benefit Pension Plan	21	635,226	54,139	
Joey M. Loudermilk	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	29	7,404,708	2,235,768	
	Aflac Incorporated Defined Benefit Pension Plan	29	941,526	63,400	
Tohru Tonoike	Aflac Japan Defined Benefit Pension Plan	6			
Paul S. Amos II	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	8	2,490,276	580,280	
	Aflac Incorporated Defined Benefit Pension Plan	8	147,073	45,488	

- (1) Assumed retirement age for all calculations was the earliest retirement age for unreduced benefits. The change in pension value reflects significant increases in 2012 due to changes in the actuarial assumptions required by the applicable financial accounting standards (primarily the discount rate), benefit accruals (including higher benefit formula multipliers for Mr. Cloninger and Mr. Loudermilk under the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan), and final average earnings increases. Assumptions used to calculate pension benefits are more fully described in Note 13, Benefit Plans, in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC for the year ended December 31, 2012.

NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION

The following 2012 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table shows, for Mr. Daniel P. Amos, Company contributions to and earnings and account balances under the Aflac Incorporated Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (EDCP), an unfunded, unsecured deferred compensation plan. The table also includes the amount contributed and the year-end

accrued balance in dollars for a deferred retirement obligation for Mr. Tonoike. Mr. Tonoike does not participate in the EDCP but the Company is obligated to accrue a deferred retirement benefit under the terms of his employment agreement.

2012 NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION

Name	Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	Aggregate Earnings (Loss) in Last Fiscal Year ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year-End (\$)
Daniel P. Amos		441,100	358,483		3,097,547
Kriss Cloninger III					
Joey M. Loudermilk					
Tohru Tonoike		785,925			3,548,321
Paul S. Amos II					

(1) The \$441,100 deferred for Daniel P. Amos has been included in the Summary Compensation Table for the current year. Additionally, deferrals from previous years included in the Aggregate Balance column were reported as compensation in prior periods. The amount reported for Mr. Tonoike has been included in the non-equity incentive plan column in the Summary Compensation Table; this amount is based on 110% of the amount earned under the Company's MIP each year and is payable as a lump sum upon retirement.

(2) The Company does not pay or credit above market earnings on amounts deferred by executives.

The EDCP allows certain U.S.-based officers, including the NEOs other than Mr. Tonoike (the Participants), to defer up to 75% of their base salaries and up to 100% of their annual non-equity incentive awards. The Company may make discretionary matching or other discretionary contributions in such amounts, if any, that the Compensation Committee may determine from year to year.

The EDCP is subject to the requirements of Section 409A of the IRC. The Company amended the EDCP document to conform to Section 409A's requirements in December 2009. Deferred amounts earned and vested prior to 2005 (grandfathered amounts) under the EDCP are not subject to Section 409A's requirements and continue to be governed generally under the terms of the EDCP and the tax laws in effect before January 1, 2005, as applicable.

In addition to amounts that Mr. Daniel P. Amos elected to defer and amounts of discretionary contributions the Company credited to his account, the amounts in the

Aggregate Balance column include investment earnings (and losses) determined under the phantom investments described below. Account balances may be invested in phantom investments selected by Participants from an array of investment options that substantially mirror the funds available under the Company's 401(k) Plan, except for Common Stock. The array of available investment options changes from time to time. Since December 31, 2011, Participants could choose from among several different investment options, including domestic and international equity, income, short-term investment and blended funds. Participants can change their investment selections daily (unless prohibited by the fund or trading restrictions on Common Stock) by contacting the EDCP's third-party recordkeeper in the same manner that applies to participants in the 401(k) Plan.

Each year, when Participants elect whether to defer compensation under the EDCP for the following year, they also elect the timing and form of their future distributions attributable to those deferrals, with a

separate election permitted for each type of deferral (i.e., salary, non-equity incentive award, stock option, or restricted stock award deferral). Under this process, each Participant may elect for distributions attributable to deferrals either to be made or begin in a specific year (whether or not employment has then ended) or at a time that begins six months after the Participant's termination of employment. Each Participant may elect for any distribution to be made in a lump sum or in up to 10 annual installments. Distributions attributable to discretionary contributions are made in the form and at the time specified by the Company.

A Participant may delay the timing and form of his or her distributions attributable to his or her deferrals as

long as the change is made at least 12 months before the initial distribution date. With respect to non-grandfathered amounts, new elections also must satisfy the additional requirements of Section 409A. In general, Section 409A requires that distributions may not be accelerated (other than for hardships) and any delayed distribution may not begin earlier than five years after the original distribution date.

Deferral amounts for which no distribution elections have been made are distributed in a lump sum six months after a Participant separates from service.

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

The Company has employment agreements with each of the NEOs. The agreements are substantially similar in nature and contain provisions relating to termination, disability, death and a change in control of the Company. Mr. Daniel P. Amos, in the fourth quarter of 2008, decided to voluntarily forgo certain "golden parachute" and other severance components in his employment agreement (the provisions providing for special payments in connection with a change in control of the Company or other termination of employment). The elimination of these potential payments to Mr. Daniel P. Amos has been reflected in the following 2012 Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control table. For the remaining NEOs, the Company remains obligated to continue compensation and benefits to the NEO for the scheduled term of the agreement if the employment of the NEO is terminated by the Company without "good cause." If the NEO's employment is terminated by the Company for "good cause," or by the NEO without "good reason," the Company is generally obligated to pay compensation and benefits only to the date of termination (except that the NEO is entitled to benefits under the RPSO or SERP if the termination is not for "good cause"). "Good cause" generally means (i) the willful failure by the NEO to substantially perform his management duties for more than 60 days, (ii) intentional conduct by the NEO causing substantial injury to the Company, or (iii) the conviction of or plea of guilty by the NEO to a felony crime involving moral turpitude. "Good reason" is defined to include a breach of the agreement, a diminution or change in the NEO's title, duties, or authority, or a relocation of the Company's principal offices. Upon voluntary termination without "good reason" or termination by the Company for "good cause," the NEO is prohibited for a two-year period from directly or indirectly competing with the Company.

The agreements provide that compensation and benefits continue for certain specified periods in the event that the NEO becomes totally disabled. Upon the death of the NEO, his estate is to be paid an amount, payable over a three-year period, equal to the NEO's base salary and any non-equity incentive award actually paid during the last three years of his life.

Upon a "change in control" of the Company, the employment agreements are extended for an additional three-year period. If, following a change in control, the NEO's (with the exception of Mr. Daniel P. Amos) employment with the Company is terminated by the Company without "good cause" or by the NEO for "good reason," the Company must pay to the NEO, among other payments but in lieu of any further salary payments subsequent to the date of termination, a lump-sum severance payment equal to three times the sum of the NEO's base salary and non-equity incentive award under the MIP (as paid during periods specified in the agreement).

A "change in control" is generally deemed to occur when (i) a person or group acquires beneficial ownership of 30% or more of the Company's Common Stock; (ii) during any period of two consecutive years, individuals who constitute the Board at the beginning of such period cease for any reason to constitute a majority of the Board; or (iii) the shareholders approve a liquidation or sale of substantially all of the assets of the Company, or certain merger and consolidation transactions.

Each of Messrs. Cloninger, Paul S. Amos II, and Loudermilk is a participant in the SERP. Under the SERP, in the event that a participant's employment with the Company is terminated within two years after a "change in control" of the Company other than for death, disability or cause, or a participant terminates his

employment during such period for good reason, the participant becomes 100% vested in his retirement benefits and is entitled to receive a lump-sum amount equal to the actuarial equivalent of the annual retirement benefit to which he would have been entitled had he remained in the employ of the Company until (i) age 55 (in the case of a participant who is not yet 55); (ii) age 60 (in the case of a participant who is at least 55, but not yet 60); or (iii) age 65 (in the case of a participant who is at least 60, but not yet 65), as the case may be. A change in control shall generally occur under the same circumstances described in the paragraph above.

Cause for this purpose generally means (i) the participant's willful failure to substantially perform his duties with the Company (other than that resulting from illness or after a participant gives notice of termination of employment for good reason) after a written demand for substantial performance is delivered to the participant by the Board or (ii) the willful engaging by the participant in conduct materially injurious to the Company. Good reason is defined for this purpose to include various adverse changes in employment status, duties, and/or compensation and benefits following a change in control. Benefits may be reduced to the

extent that they are not deductible by the Company for income tax purposes.

The following table reflects the amount of compensation payable to each of the NEOs in the event of termination of such executive's employment. The amounts shown assume in all cases that the termination was effective on December 31, 2012, and therefore include amounts earned through such time and estimates of the amounts which would be paid to the NEOs upon their termination. Due to the number of factors that affect the nature and amount of any benefits under the various termination scenarios, actual amounts paid or distributed may be different. Messrs. Daniel P. Amos, Cloninger, and Loudermilk are the only NEOs who are eligible to receive immediate retirement benefits. See Pension Benefits and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation above for more information about these benefits.

As noted in the table that follows, the benefits provided and requirements imposed vary with the circumstances under which the termination occurs.

2012 POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

Name	Benefit	Before Change in Control				Death (\$) ⁽⁵⁾	Disability (\$) ⁽⁶⁾	Change in Control Termination without Good Cause or for Good Reason (\$) ⁽⁷⁾
		Company Termination without Good Cause or by employee for Good Reason (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Company Termination for Good Cause (\$) ⁽²⁾	Voluntary Termination without Good Reason and no competition (\$) ⁽³⁾	Voluntary Termination with competition (\$) ⁽⁴⁾			
Daniel P. Amos	Salary					4,218,600	2,161,650	
	Non-equity Incentive Award ⁽⁸⁾					12,760,982	12,681,680	
	Severance Retirement ⁽⁹⁾					32,783,144		
	EDCP	(12)	(12)	(12)	(12)			
	Health & Welfare Benefits ⁽¹⁰⁾	282,436	282,436	282,436	282,436	141,218	282,436	282,436
	Stock Options & Awards ⁽¹¹⁾	12,842,238		12,842,238		12,842,238	12,842,238	12,842,238
	Totals ⁽¹⁴⁾	69,240,492	56,398,254	69,240,492	56,398,254	65,843,729	84,083,822	69,240,492
Kris Cloninger III	Salary	192,458				2,704,100	1,385,700	
	Non-equity Incentive Award ⁽⁸⁾	2,929,063	2,424,052	2,424,052		6,073,060	6,060,130	2,424,052
	Severance Retirement ⁽⁹⁾			20,916,870	20,916,870	12,304,754	20,916,870	10,043,550
	Health & Welfare Benefits ⁽¹⁰⁾	342,776	342,776	342,776	342,776	342,776	342,776	342,776
	Stock Options & Awards ⁽¹¹⁾	7,029,645				7,029,645	7,029,645	7,029,645
	Totals ⁽¹⁴⁾	31,410,812	2,766,828	23,683,698	21,259,646	28,454,335	35,735,121	40,756,890
	Salary	361,533				1,587,500	813,450	
Joey M. Loudermilk	Non-equity Incentive Award ⁽⁸⁾	1,221,080	732,648	732,648		2,017,993	1,831,620	732,648
	Severance Retirement ⁽⁹⁾				7,404,708	3,600,940		3,824,840
	Health & Welfare Benefits ⁽¹⁰⁾	53,331		53,331		26,666	53,331	53,331
	Stock Options & Awards ⁽¹¹⁾	1,631,002		1,631,002		1,631,002	1,631,002	1,631,002
	Totals ⁽¹⁴⁾	10,671,654	732,648	9,821,689	7,404,708	8,864,101	11,734,111	13,646,530

Name	Benefit	Before Change in Control					Change in Control Termination without Good Cause or for Good Reason (\$) ⁽⁷⁾	
		Company Termination without Good Cause or by employee for Good Reason (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Company Termination for Good Cause (\$) ⁽²⁾	Voluntary Termination without Good Reason and no competition (\$) ⁽³⁾	Voluntary Termination with competition (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Death (\$) ⁽⁵⁾		Disability (\$) ⁽⁶⁾
Tohru Tonoike	Salary	1,573,540				2,247,292	1,180,304	
	Non-equity Incentive Award ⁽⁶⁾	4,501,203	1,500,401	1,500,401		3,990,615	3,751,003	1,500,401
	Severance Retirement ⁽⁹⁾							6,861,810
	EDCP	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Health & Welfare Benefits ⁽¹⁰⁾	(13)	(13)	(13)	(13)	(13)	(13)	(13)
	Stock Options & Awards ⁽¹¹⁾	3,111,131				3,111,131	3,111,131	3,111,131
	Totals ⁽¹⁴⁾	12,734,393	5,048,722	5,048,722	3,548,321	12,897,459	11,590,759	15,014,663
	Salary	583,953				1,621,753	875,929	
	Non-equity Incentive Award ⁽⁶⁾	2,053,180	1,026,590	1,026,590		2,779,115	2,566,475	1,026,590
	Severance Retirement ⁽⁹⁾							4,831,628
Health & Welfare Benefits ⁽¹⁰⁾	47,150					47,150	47,150	
Stock Options & Awards ⁽¹¹⁾	3,111,131				3,111,131	3,111,131	3,111,131	
Totals ⁽¹⁴⁾	5,795,414	1,026,590	1,026,590		7,511,999	6,600,685	11,506,775	

- (1) Salary and non-equity incentive award would be paid semi-monthly for the contract term, with the exception of Mr. Daniel P. Amos, who voluntarily gave up his right to such salary and non-equity incentive payments. All health and welfare benefits would continue for the remainder of the contract term.
- (2) Termination for good cause eliminates the salary and non-equity incentive award obligation for the remainder of the contract period and the executive forfeits his participation in any supplemental retirement plan.
- (3) Voluntary termination by the executive without good reason eliminates the salary and non-equity incentive award obligations for the remainder of the contract term.
- (4) If the executive competes with the Company upon termination, he will forfeit the right to any further salary and non-equity incentive award payments from the Company.
- (5) Upon death, the executive's estate is entitled to receive terminal pay (paid in equal installments over 36 months) equal to the amount of the executive's base pay and non-equity incentive award for the previous 36 months of his life. Additionally, retirement benefits in this column reflect the present value of the accumulated benefit obligation for a surviving spouse annuity. The NEOs and other officers are eligible for life insurance coverage along with, and on the same basis as, the Company's other salaried employees.
- (6) Any actual Company paid disability benefits would be offset by the maximum annual amount allowed (\$96,000) under the Company sponsored disability income plan (which applies to all NEOs other than Mr. Tonoike).
- (7)

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

Termination after a change in control entitles the executive (other than Daniel P. Amos) to a lump-sum severance payment of three times the sum of: (i) the executive's annual base salary in effect immediately prior to the change in control, and (ii) the higher of the non-equity incentive award paid in the year preceding the termination date or the year preceding the change in control.

- (8) The non-equity incentive award amounts on this line include in all instances, except for termination with competition, the 2012 non-equity incentive award that was paid to the NEOs in February 2013.
- (9) Retirement benefits expressed in dollars and disclosed in certain columns of this table relate to termination events where the executive would receive a benefit different from that disclosed in the Pension Benefits table. Generally, the termination events resulting in a payment in lieu of the amount disclosed in the Pension Benefits table are termination for good cause and death, except for Mr. Paul S. Amos II, who has less than the required years of credited service to qualify for certain pension benefits.
- (10) Represents the estimated lump sum present value of all premiums that would be paid for applicable health and welfare plan benefits. The value for Mr. Cloninger's health coverage in the event of a separation from service, for all reasons other than for cause, is actuarially calculated to represent the obligation to provide such coverage pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement. The value represented here for health coverage in the event of a separation from service of Mr. Paul S. Amos II for all reasons other than for cause, is the cost of COBRA coverage for the health plan times the number of months pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement.
- (11) Represents the estimated value of accelerated vesting of stock options and awards. The value for stock options and awards was determined as follows: for stock options, the excess of the closing price on the NYSE on the last business day of the year over the option exercise price multiplied by the number of unvested option shares; for stock awards, the number of unvested stock awards multiplied by the same closing price used for options.
- (12) See the Pension Benefits section in this Proxy Statement including the table that details the accumulated benefit obligation for the executives.
- (13) See the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation section in this Proxy Statement, including the table that details deferred compensation balances for the executives.
- (14) Totals were calculated to present a full walk-away value and include salary, non-equity incentive award, severance where applicable, the present value of the NEO's accumulated benefit under all retirement plans as presented above in the Pension Benefits table or as a surviving spouse benefit in the death column, the value of nonqualified deferred compensation as presented in the 2012 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table, the present value of any health and welfare benefits, and the value of long-term equity incentives that would accelerate upon death.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table provides information with respect to compensation plans under which our equity securities are authorized for issuance to our employees or Non-employee Directors, as of December 31, 2012.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a) (c)
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Shareholders	12,736,753	\$45.00	13,669,751*
Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Shareholders			
Total	12,736,753	\$45.00	13,669,751

* Of the shares listed in column (c), 8,783,827 shares are available for grant other than in the form of options, warrants, or rights (i.e., in the form of restricted stock or restricted stock units).

RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

The Company recognizes that transactions between the Company and any of its Directors or executives can present potential or actual conflicts of interest and create the appearance that Company decisions are based on considerations other than the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. Accordingly, consistent with the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, as a general matter, it is the Company's preference to avoid such transactions. Nevertheless, the Company recognizes that there are situations where such transactions may be in, or may not be inconsistent with, the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. Therefore, the Company has adopted a formal policy which requires the Company's Audit Committee to review and, if appropriate, to approve or ratify any such transactions. Pursuant to the policy, the Audit Committee will review any transaction in which the Company is or will be a participant and the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, and in which any of the Company's Directors or executives had, has or will have a direct or indirect material interest. After its review the Audit Committee will only approve or ratify those transactions that are in, or are not inconsistent with, the best interests of the Company and its shareholders, as the Audit Committee determines in good faith.

Each of the following ongoing transactions has been reviewed and ratified by the Audit Committee:

Joey M. Loudermilk is Executive Vice President, General Counsel of the Company. His son, J. Matthew Loudermilk, and his brother, Gregg S. Loudermilk, have been employed by Aflac since 2001 and 2006, respectively. J. Matthew Loudermilk is Vice President and Corporate Secretary of the Company, and in 2012 his total compensation, including salary, bonuses, equity awards and other benefits was \$244,171. Gregg S. Loudermilk is a National Accounts Manager for Aflac, and in 2012 his total compensation, including salary, bonuses, equity awards and other benefits was \$173,674. The compensation for both J. Matthew Loudermilk and Gregg S. Loudermilk is commensurate with that of their peers.

Thomas R. Giddens is a Senior Advisor U.S. Sales for Aflac. His brother-in-law, Marshall Darrah is a Sales Associate for Aflac. In 2012, Aflac paid Marshall Darrah \$135,249, which was earned as renewal and first-year commissions before expenses. Sales Associates of Aflac are not salaried employees, but are independent contractors compensated on a commission basis and are required to pay their own expenses. The compensation arrangement with Marshall Darrah is no more favorable than with other Sales Associates.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors is composed of three Directors, each of whom, the Board has determined, is independent as defined by the NYSE listing standards and SEC rules, and is financially literate. The Board has determined that at least one member of the Audit Committee is an audit committee financial expert as defined by the SEC rules. Mr. Douglas W. Johnson, with 30 years as an auditor with Ernst & Young, 20 of those years as a partner, working primarily with the insurance industry segment, is the audit committee financial expert. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors.

Management has the primary responsibility for the Company's financial statements and the reporting process, including the system of internal controls. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements in conformity with the auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (the PCAOB) and issuing a report thereon. The Audit Committee has general oversight responsibility to monitor and oversee these processes on behalf of the Board of Directors.

In connection with these responsibilities, the Audit Committee has met with management and the independent registered public accounting firm to review and discuss the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012. The Audit Committee has also discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by applicable generally accepted auditing standards regarding communication with Audit Committees and by the NYSE. The Audit Committee has also received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm

required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent registered accounting firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm its independence. The Audit Committee has reviewed this report and such firm's work throughout the year in order to evaluate the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications, performance, and independence.

Additionally, the Audit Committee has monitored the Company's compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 regarding the reporting related to internal control over financial reporting. This monitoring process has included regular reports and representations by financial management of the Company, the internal auditors, and by KPMG LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee has also reviewed the certifications of Company executive officers contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC, as well as reports issued by KPMG LLP, included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K related to its audit of (i) the consolidated financial statements and (ii) the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

Based upon the Audit Committee's discussions with management and the independent registered public accounting firm, as set forth above, and the Audit Committee's review of the representations of management and the independent registered public accounting firm, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, for filing with the SEC.

Audit Committee

Douglas W. Johnson, Chairman (financial expert)
Charles B. Knapp
Marvin R. Schuster

ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION (Proposal 2)

We believe that our compensation policies and procedures are centered on a pay-for-performance culture and are strongly aligned with the long-term interests of our shareholders. Since 2008, we have voluntarily provided our shareholders an annual advisory vote, commonly known as Say-on-Pay. Beginning with 2011, Section 14A of the Exchange Act (as enacted by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act) requires us to provide our shareholders a Say-on-Pay vote. This vote gives you as a shareholder the opportunity to endorse or not endorse the compensation of our named executive officers through the following resolution:

Resolved, that the shareholders approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, executive compensation tables and accompanying narrative discussion in the Proxy Statement.

Because your vote is advisory, it will not be binding upon the Board. However, the Compensation Committee will take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation arrangements. Consistent with our past practice, we believe that our shareholders should be allowed a Say-on-Pay vote every year so that shareholders may annually express their views on our executive pay program and policies.

We are committed to achieving a high level of total return for our shareholders. From August 1990, when Daniel P. Amos was appointed as the CEO through December 31, 2012, the Company's total return to shareholders, including reinvested cash dividends, has exceeded 3,745%, compared with 765% for the Dow Jones Industrial Average and 605% for the S&P 500 Index.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR APPROVAL OF THE ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM (Proposal 3)

In February 2013, the Audit Committee voted to appoint KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, to perform the annual audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year 2013, subject to ratification by the shareholders.

Representatives of KPMG LLP are expected to be present at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders with the opportunity to make a statement if they so desire. Such representatives are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The aggregate fees for professional services rendered to the Company by KPMG LLP for the years ended December 31, were as follows:

	2012	2011
Audit fees – Audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31 *	\$ 5,434,678	\$ 5,391,694
Audit-related fees **	1,035,976	761,689
Tax fees	45,801	1,801
Total fees:	\$ 6,516,455	\$ 6,155,184

* Includes \$581,682 and \$606,316 respectively, for the 2012 and 2011 audits of the Japan branch regulatory financial statements.

** Includes fees relating to audits of the Company's benefit plans and SSAE 16 attestation reports (\$163,992 and \$161,334 in 2012 and 2011, respectively), along with audit work around the Company's global implementation of SAP (\$676,444 and \$552,674 in 2012 and 2011, respectively).

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has considered whether the provision of the non-audit professional services is compatible with maintaining KPMG LLP's independence and has concluded that it is. The Audit Committee pre-approves all audit

and non-audit services provided by KPMG LLP.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR
RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF KPMG LLP
AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM.**

OTHER MATTERS

The Board is not aware of any matters that are expected to come before the 2013 Annual Meeting other than those referred to in this Proxy Statement. If any other matter should come before the Annual Meeting, the Proxy Committee intends to vote the proxies in accordance with its best judgment.

Shareholder Proposals

For a shareholder's proposal to be included in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, the shareholder must follow the procedures of Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act, and the proposal must be received by the Secretary of the Company by November 21, 2013. To be timely, shareholder proposals submitted outside the processes of Rule 14a-8 must be received by the Secretary of the Company during the period from January 6, 2014 through February 5, 2014.

Annual Report

The Company has delivered a copy of its 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K to each shareholder entitled to vote at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. For a copy write to:

Robin Y. Wilkey
Senior Vice President, Investor and Rating Agency Relations
Aflac Incorporated
Worldwide Headquarters
1932 Wynnton Road
Columbus, Georgia 31999

Exercise Your Right To Vote

The Company encourages all shareholders to exercise their right to vote. Please vote by Internet or telephone, or sign, date and return your proxy or voting instruction form in the prepaid envelope you received if you requested paper copies of our proxy materials. We encourage you to attend our 2013 Annual Meeting on May 6, 2013. To assure that attendance is limited to shareholders and their proxies or qualified representatives, if you are not a registered shareholder, please bring with you proof of Common Stock ownership, such as a current brokerage statement, and a form of identification bearing your photograph. If you are attending the meeting as a proxy or qualified representative of a shareholder, please bring a form of identification bearing your photograph and written evidence of your authority to act on behalf of the shareholder, bearing the shareholder's signature. No cameras, cellular telephones, or other electronic or recording devices will be allowed to be used in the meeting room.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

J. Matthew Loudermilk
Secretary

March 21, 2013

THIS PROXY WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED WILL BE VOTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INSTRUCTIONS OF THE UNDERSIGNED SHAREHOLDER. IN THE ABSENCE OF SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED "FOR" PROPOSALS 1, 2 AND 3.

**AFLAC INCORPORATED
ANNUAL MEETING FOR HOLDERS AS OF 2/27/13
TO BE HELD ON 5/6/13**

Your vote is important. Thank you for voting.

Read the Proxy Statement and have the voting instruction form below at hand. Please note that the telephone and Internet voting turns off at 11:59 p.m. ET the night before the meeting or cutoff date.

To vote by Internet

- 1) Go to website www.proxyvote.com.
- 2) Follow the instructions provided on the website.

To vote by Telephone

- 1) Call 1-800-454-8683.
- 2) Follow the instructions.

To vote by Mail

- 1) Check the appropriate boxes on the voting instruction form below.
- 2) Sign and date the voting instruction form.
- 3) Return the voting instruction form in the envelope provided.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

M53598-P33182

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting. The following material is available at www.proxyvote.com:
Notice and Proxy Statement, Annual Report on Form 10-K and 2012 Year in Review

Edgar Filing: AFLAC INC - Form DEF 14A

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE "FOR" ALL DIRECTOR NOMINEES IN PROPOSAL 1 AND "FOR" PROPOSALS 2 and 3.

The following proposals are being submitted to Shareholders:

1. to elect 14 Directors of the Company to serve until the next Annual Meeting and until their successors are duly elected and qualified

		For	Against	Abstain
Nominees:				
1a.	Daniel P. Amos	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
1b.	John Shelby Amos II	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
1c.	Paul S. Amos II	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
1d.	W. Paul Bowers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
1e.	Kriss Cloninger III	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
1f.	Elizabeth J. Hudson	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
1g.	Douglas W. Johnson	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
1h.	Robert B. Johnson	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
1i.	Charles B. Knapp	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
1j.	E. Stephen Purdom, M.D.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
1k.	Barbara K. Rimer, DrPH	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

PLEASE "X" HERE ONLY IF YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING AND VOTE THESE SHARES IN PERSON

For Against Abstain

- | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1l. | Melvin T. Stith | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 1m. | David Gary Thompson | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 1n. | Takuro Yoshida | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| 2. | to consider the following non-binding advisory proposal: | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| "Resolved, that the shareholders | | | | |

approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, executive compensation tables and accompanying narrative discussion in the Proxy Statement"

3. to consider and act upon the ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP as independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the year ending December 31, 2013 o o o

NOTE: Such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

DESCRIPTION OF VOTING RIGHTS

In accordance with the Company's Articles of Incorporation, shares of the Company's Common Stock, par value \$.10 per share (the "Common Stock") are entitled to one vote per share until they have been held by the same beneficial owner for a continuous period of greater than 48 months prior to the record date of the meeting, at which time they become entitled to 10 votes per share. Where a share is transferred to a transferee by gift, devise, or bequest, or otherwise through the laws of inheritance, descent, or distribution from the estate of the transferor, or by distribution to a beneficiary of shares held in trust for such beneficiary, the transferee is deemed to be the same beneficial owner as the transferor for purposes of determining the number of votes per share. Shares acquired as a direct result of a stock split, stock dividend, or other distribution with respect to existing shares ("dividend shares") are deemed to have been acquired and held continuously from the date on which the shares with regard to which the issued dividend shares were acquired. Shares of Common Stock acquired pursuant to the exercise of a stock option are deemed to have been acquired on the date the option was granted.

Shares of Common Stock held in "street" or "nominee" name are presumed to have been held for less than 48 months and are entitled to one vote per share unless this presumption is rebutted by providing evidence to the contrary to the Board of Directors of the Company. **Shareholders desiring to rebut this presumption should complete and execute the affidavit. The Board of Directors reserves the right to require evidence to support the affidavit.**

Affidavit

Under the penalties of perjury, I do solemnly swear that I am entitled to the number of votes set forth below because

I agree to provide evidence to support this statement at the request of the Company.

Sign here X
X

	Shares @	1 Vote/Share	=		Votes
	Shares @	10 Votes/Share	=		Votes
	Date	2013	Total	=	Votes