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## BB\&T CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

## (Unaudited) <br> (Dollars in millions, except per share data, shares in thousands)

|  | June 30, $2012$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | 1,409 | \$ 1,562 |
| Interest-bearing deposits with banks | 2,246 | 2,646 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements or similar arrangements | 212 | 136 |
| Segregated cash due from banks | 4 | 20 |
| Trading securities at fair value | 533 | 534 |
| Securities available for sale at fair value (\$1,578 and \$1,577 covered by FDIC loss share at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively) | 25,067 | 22,313 |
| Securities held to maturity (fair value of $\$ 12,744$ and $\$ 14,098$ at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively) | 12,576 | 14,094 |
| Loans held for sale at fair value | 2,736 | 3,736 |
| Loans and leases (\$3,955 and \$4,867 covered by FDIC loss share at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively) | 111,075 | 107,469 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | $(2,126)$ | $(2,256)$ |
| Loans and leases, net of allowance for loan and lease losses | 108,949 | 105,213 |
| FDIC loss share receivable | 831 | 1,100 |
| Premises and equipment | 1,816 | 1,855 |
| Goodwill | 6,428 | 6,078 |
| Core deposit and other intangible assets | 683 | 444 |
| Residential mortgage servicing rights at fair value | 578 | 563 |
| Other assets ( $\$ 349$ and $\$ 415$ of foreclosed property and other assets covered by FDIC loss share at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively) | 14,461 | 14,285 |

Liabilities and Shareholders Equity

| Deposits: | $\mathbf{2 8 , 6 6 4}$ | $\$$ | 25,684 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | 97,395 | 99,255 |  |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 126,059 | 124,939 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total deposits | 3,196 | 3,566 |  |
|  | 22,561 | 21,803 |  |
| Federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and short-term borrowed funds | 7,787 | 6,791 |  |
| Long-term debt | 159,603 | 157,099 |  |

Commitments and contingencies (Note 13)
Shareholders equity:
Preferred stock, liquidation preference of $\$ 25,000$ per share
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| Common stock, \$5 par | 3,494 |  | 3,486 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Additional paid-in capital |  | 5,914 |  | 5,873 |
| Retained earnings |  | 9,433 |  | 8,772 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of deferred income taxes |  | (541) |  | (713) |
| Noncontrolling interests |  | 67 |  | 62 |
| Total shareholders equity |  | 18,926 |  | 17,480 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ | 178,529 | \$ | 174,579 |
| Common shares outstanding |  | 698,795 |  | 697,143 |
| Common shares authorized |  | 2,000,000 |  | 2,000,000 |
| Preferred shares outstanding |  | 23 |  |  |
| Preferred shares authorized |  | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |
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## BB\&T CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

## (Unaudited)

(Dollars in millions, except per share data, shares in thousands)

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  |
| Interest Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans and leases | \$ | 1,492 | \$ | 1,523 | \$ | 2,994 | \$ | 3,043 |
| Interest and dividends on securities |  | 230 |  | 163 |  | 464 |  | 313 |
| Interest on other earning assets |  | 6 |  | 4 |  | 13 |  | 10 |
| Total interest income |  | 1,728 |  | 1,690 |  | 3,471 |  | 3,366 |
| Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on deposits |  | 107 |  | 152 |  | 228 |  | 323 |
| Interest on federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and short-term borrowed funds |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 7 |
| Interest on long-term debt |  | 157 |  | 181 |  | 342 |  | 397 |
| Total interest expense |  | 266 |  | 336 |  | 573 |  | 727 |
| Net Interest Income |  | 1,462 |  | 1,354 |  | 2,898 |  | 2,639 |
| Provision for credit losses |  | 273 |  | 328 |  | 561 |  | 668 |
| Net Interest Income After Provision for Credit Losses |  | 1,189 |  | 1,026 |  | 2,337 |  | 1,971 |
| Noninterest Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insurance income |  | 393 |  | 299 |  | 664 |  | 549 |
| Service charges on deposits |  | 138 |  | 145 |  | 275 |  | 280 |
| Mortgage banking income |  | 182 |  | 83 |  | 398 |  | 178 |
| Investment banking and brokerage fees and commissions |  | 88 |  | 90 |  | 177 |  | 177 |
| Checkcard fees |  | 45 |  | 79 |  | 88 |  | 151 |
| Bankcard fees and merchant discounts |  | 59 |  | 52 |  | 113 |  | 98 |
| Trust and investment advisory revenues |  | 46 |  | 45 |  | 91 |  | 88 |
| Income from bank-owned life insurance |  | 27 |  | 29 |  | 57 |  | 59 |
| FDIC loss share income, net |  | (74) |  | (81) |  | (131) |  | (139) |
| Other income |  | 64 |  | 48 |  | 116 |  | 62 |
| Securities gains (losses), net |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Realized gains (losses), net |  |  |  | 16 |  | (4) |  | 37 |
| Other-than-temporary impairments |  | (2) |  | (10) |  | (5) |  | (11) |
| Non-credit portion recognized in other comprehensive income |  |  |  | (8) |  | (2) |  | (28) |
| Total securities gains (losses), net |  | (2) |  | (2) |  | (11) |  | (2) |
| Total noninterest income |  | 966 |  | 787 |  | 1,837 |  | 1,501 |
| Noninterest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personnel expense |  | 775 |  | 683 |  | 1,505 |  | 1,377 |
| Foreclosed property expense |  | 72 |  | 145 |  | 164 |  | 288 |
| Occupancy and equipment expense |  | 159 |  | 152 |  | 312 |  | 306 |
| Loan processing expenses |  | 62 |  | 57 |  | 125 |  | 113 |
| Regulatory charges |  | 43 |  | 59 |  | 84 |  | 120 |
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| Professional services | 39 |  |  | 38 |  | 74 |  | 6955 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Software expense | 32 |  |  | 29 |  | 64 |  |  |
| Amortization of intangibles | 29 |  |  | 25 |  | 51 |  | 51 |
| Merger-related and restructuring charges, net | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 14 |  | - |
| Other expenses | 213 |  |  | 205 |  | 418 |  | 388 |
| Total noninterest expense |  | 1,426 |  | 1,395 |  | 2,811 |  | 2,767 |
| Earnings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income before income taxes | 729 |  |  | 418 |  | 1,363 |  | 705 |
| Provision for income taxes | 191 |  |  | 91 |  | 380 |  | 144 |
| Net income | 538 |  |  | 327 |  | 983 |  | 561 |
| Noncontrolling interests | 20 |  |  | 20 |  | 34 |  | 29 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 8 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ | 510 | \$ | 307 | \$ | 941 | \$ | 532 |
| Earnings Per Common Share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.73 | \$ | 0.44 | \$ | 1.35 | \$ | 0.76 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.72 | \$ | 0.44 | \$ | 1.33 | \$ | 0.76 |
| Cash dividends declared | \$ | 0.20 | \$ | 0.16 | \$ | 0.40 | \$ | 0.33 |
| Weighted Average Shares Outstanding |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic |  | 698,579 |  | 696,625 |  | 698,132 |  | 695,971 |
| Diluted |  | 708,454 |  | 704,969 |  | 707,990 |  | 704,583 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
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## BB\&T CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

## (Unaudited)

## (Dollars in millions)

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  |
| Net Income | \$ | 538 | \$ | 327 | \$ | 983 | \$ | 561 |
| Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized net holding gains (losses) arising during the period on securities available for sale |  | 66 |  | 174 |  | 185 |  | 257 |
| Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses on securities available for sale included in net income |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 7 |  | 1 |
| Change in amounts attributable to the FDIC under the loss share agreements |  | 14 |  | 4 |  | (28) |  | (53) |
| Change in unrecognized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges |  | (16) |  | (51) |  | (15) |  | (42) |
| Change in pension and postretirement liability |  | 11 |  | 4 |  | 22 |  | 9 |
| Other, net |  | (1) |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Total other comprehensive income |  | 75 |  | 132 |  | 172 |  | 173 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ | 613 | \$ | 459 | \$ | 1,155 | \$ | 734 |
| Income Tax Effect of Items Included in Other Comprehensive Income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized net holding gains (losses) arising during the period on securities available for sale | \$ | 38 | \$ | 103 | \$ | 112 | \$ | 152 |
| Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses on securities available for sale included in net income |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 4 |  | 1 |
| Change in amounts attributable to the FDIC under the loss share agreements |  | 8 |  | 3 |  | (18) |  | (31) |
| Change in unrecognized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges |  | (10) |  | (31) |  | (10) |  | (25) |
| Change in pension and postretirement liability |  | 7 |  | 3 |  | 14 |  | 6 |
| Other, net |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | (1) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
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## BB\&T CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

## (Unaudited) <br> Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

(Dollars in millions, shares in thousands)

|  |  |  |  | Accumulated <br> Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
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## BB\&T CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (Unaudited)

## (Dollars in millions)



| Net cash from financing activities | 1,987 |  |  | 1,487 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents |  | (477) |  | 401 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period |  | 4,344 |  | 2,385 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period | \$ | 3,867 | \$ | 2,786 |
| Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash paid (received) during the period for: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest | \$ | 579 | \$ | 719 |
| Income taxes |  | 317 |  | (236) |
| Noncash investing and financing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer of securities available for sale to securities held to maturity |  | 1 |  | 8,341 |
| Transfers of loans to foreclosed property |  | 269 |  | 641 |

## Table of Contents

BB\&T Corporation and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

## NOTE 1. Basis of Presentation

BB\&T Corporation and subsidiaries ( BB\&T, the Corporation or the Company ) is a financial holding company organized under the laws of North Carolina.

## General

These consolidated financial statements and notes are presented in accordance with the instructions for Form 10-Q and, therefore, do not include all information and notes necessary for a complete presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flow activity required in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP ). In the opinion of management, all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the consolidated financial position and consolidated results of operations have been made. The information contained in the financial statements and footnotes included in BB\&T s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 should be referred to in connection with these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements.

## Reclassifications

In certain instances, amounts reported in prior periods consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation. Such reclassifications had no effect on previously reported cash flows, shareholders equity or net income.

## Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change include the determination of the allowance for credit losses, determination of fair value for financial instruments, valuation of goodwill, intangible assets and other purchase accounting related adjustments, benefit plan obligations and expenses, and tax assets, liabilities and expense.

## Changes in Accounting Principles and Effects of New Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued new guidance impacting Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. The new guidance creates a uniform framework for applying fair value measurement principles for companies around the world. It eliminates differences between GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards issued by the International Accounting Standards Board. New disclosures required by the guidance include: quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used for Level 3 measurements; a qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of recurring Level 3 measurements to changes in the unobservable inputs disclosed, including the interrelationship between inputs; and a description of the company s valuation processes. The adoption of this guidance, which occurred effective January 1, 2012, had no impact on BB\&T s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. The new disclosures required by this guidance are included in Note 14 to these consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued new guidance impacting Comprehensive Income. The new guidance amends disclosure requirements for the presentation of comprehensive income. The amended guidance eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income ( OCI ) as part of the statement of changes in shareholders equity. All changes in OCI must be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive financial statements. The guidance does not change the items that must be reported in OCI. BB\&T adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2012, and has elected to present two separate but consecutive financial statements.
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In December 2011, the FASB issued new guidance impacting the presentation of certain items on the Balance Sheet. The new guidance requires an entity to disclose both gross and net information about both instruments and transactions that are eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and instruments and transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. This guidance is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and interim periods within those annual periods. The adoption of this guidance will not impact BB\&T s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows, but may result in certain additional disclosures.

## NOTE 2. Securities

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and approximate fair values of securities available for sale and held to maturity were as follows:

| June 30, 2012 | Amortized |  | Gross Unrealized |  |  |  | Fair <br> Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cost | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Gains } & \text { Losses } \\ \text { (Dollars in millions) }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities ( GSE ) | \$ | 348 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 348 |
| Mortgage-backed securities issued by GSE |  | 20,484 |  | 380 |  | 8 |  | 20,856 |
| States and political subdivisions |  | 1,982 |  | 120 |  | 120 |  | 1,982 |
| Non-agency mortgage-backed securities |  | 333 |  | 2 |  | 33 |  | 302 |
| Other securities |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Covered securities |  | 1,190 |  | 388 |  |  |  | 1,578 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$ | 24,338 | \$ | 890 | \$ | 161 | \$ | 25,067 |
| Securities held to maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSE securities | \$ | 500 | \$ | 2 | \$ |  | \$ | 502 |
| Mortgage-backed securities issued by GSE |  | 11,326 |  | 172 |  | 1 |  | 11,497 |
| States and political subdivisions |  | 34 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 35 |
| Other securities |  | 716 |  | 1 |  | 7 |  | 710 |
| Total securities held to maturity | \$ | 12,576 | \$ | 176 | \$ | 8 | \$ | 12,744 |


| December 31, 2011 | Amortized <br> Cost |  | Gross Unrealized |  |  |  | Fair <br> Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in millions) |  |  | ns | mi |  |  |  |
| Securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSE securities | \$ | 305 | \$ | 1 | \$ |  | \$ | 306 |
| Mortgage-backed securities issued by GSE |  | 17,940 |  | 199 |  | 7 |  | 18,132 |
| States and political subdivisions |  | 1,977 |  | 91 |  | 145 |  | 1,923 |
| Non-agency mortgage-backed securities |  | 423 |  |  |  | 55 |  | 368 |
| Other securities |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Covered securities |  | 1,240 |  | 343 |  | 6 |  | 1,577 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$ | 21,892 | \$ | 634 | \$ | 213 | \$ | 22,313 |
| Securities held to maturity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSE securities | \$ | 500 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 500 |
| Mortgage-backed securities issued by GSE |  | 13,028 |  | 32 |  | 23 |  | 13,037 |
| States and political subdivisions |  | 35 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 33 |
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| Other securities |  | 531 | 1 |  | 4 |  | 528 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total securities held to maturity | $\$$ | 14,094 | $\$$ | 33 | $\$$ | 29 | $\$$ | 14,098 |
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As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the fair value of covered securities included $\$ 1.3$ billion of non-agency mortgage-backed securities and $\$ 326$ million of municipal securities. All covered securities are subject to loss sharing agreements with the FDIC.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, securities with carrying values of approximately $\$ 13.3$ billion and $\$ 15.5$ billion, respectively, were pledged to secure municipal deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, other borrowings, and for other purposes as required or permitted by law.

BB\&T had certain investments in marketable debt securities and mortgage-backed securities issued by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac that exceeded ten percent of shareholders equity at June 30, 2012. The Fannie Mae investments had total amortized cost and fair value of $\$ 10.4$ billion and $\$ 10.6$ billion, respectively, at June 30, 2012. The Freddie Mac investments had total amortized cost and fair value of $\$ 8.6$ billion and $\$ 8.7$ billion, respectively.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, non-agency mortgage-backed securities consisted of residential mortgage-backed securities.

The gross realized gains and losses are reflected in the following table:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  | 1 | n n | ions) |  | 11 |
| Gross gains | \$ | \$ | 17 | \$ |  | \$ | 38 |
| Gross losses |  |  | (1) |  | (4) |  | (1) |
| Net realized gains (losses) | \$ | \$ | 16 | \$ | (4) | \$ | 37 |

The following table reflects activity during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 related to credit losses on other-than-temporarily impaired non-agency mortgage-backed securities where a portion of the unrealized loss was recognized in other comprehensive income. There was $\$ 4$ million of other-than-temporary impairment ( OTTI ) related to covered securities during the six months ended June 30, 2012 that is not reflected in this table.

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ | 114 | \$ | 51 | \$ | 129 | \$ | 30 |
| Credit losses on securities for which OTTI was previously recognized |  | 2 |  | 18 |  | 3 |  | 39 |
| Reductions for securities sold/settled during the period |  | (4) |  | (6) |  | (20) |  | (6) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ | 112 | \$ | 63 | \$ | 112 | \$ | 63 |

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of the debt securities portfolio at June 30, 2012, by contractual maturity, are shown in the accompanying table. The expected life of mortgage-backed securities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to prepay the underlying mortgage loans with or without prepayment penalties. For purposes of the maturity table, mortgage-backed securities, which are not due at a single maturity date, have been included in maturity groupings based on the contractual maturity.

| Available for Sale |  | Held to Maturity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amortized | Fair | Amortized | Fair |
| Cost | Value | Cost | Value |
|  | (Dollars in millions) |  |  |
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| Due in one year or less | $\$$ | 185 | $\$$ | 185 | $\$$ | 1 | $\$$ | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Due after one year through five years | 195 | 199 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Due after five years through ten years | 635 | 668 | 501 | 502 |  |  |  |  |
| Due after ten years | 23,323 | 24,015 | 12,074 | 12,241 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total debt securities | $\$$ | 24,338 | $\$$ | 25,067 | $\$$ | 12,576 | $\$$ | 12,744 |
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The following tables reflect the gross unrealized losses and fair values of BB\&T s investments, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position:

|  | Less than 12 months |  |  |  | 12 months or more |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2012 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fair } \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value (Dollars |  | Unrealized Losses in millions) |  | Fair <br> Value |  | Unrealized Losses |  |
| Securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSE securities | \$ | 215 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 215 | \$ |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities issued by GSE |  | 2,453 |  | 8 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2,454 |  | 8 |
| States and political subdivisions |  | 69 |  | 3 |  | 536 |  | 117 |  | 605 |  | 120 |
| Non-agency mortgage-backed securities |  |  |  |  |  | 244 |  | 33 |  | 244 |  | 33 |
| Covered securities |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 2,750 | \$ | 11 |  | 781 |  | 150 | \$ | 3,531 |  | 161 |

Securities held to maturity:

| Mortgage-backed securities issued by GSE | \$ | 1,048 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 254 | \$ | \$ | 1,302 | \$ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| States and political subdivisions |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Other securities |  | 557 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  | 557 |  | 7 |
| Total | \$ | 1,606 | \$ | 8 | \$ | 256 | \$ | \$ | 1,862 | \$ | 8 |


|  | Less than 12 months |  |  |  | 12 months or more |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| December 31, 2011 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fair } \\ & \text { alue } \end{aligned}$ | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value (Dollars |  | Unrealized <br> Losses millions) |  | Fair Value |  | Unrealized Losses |  |
| Securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSE securities | \$ | 24 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 24 | \$ |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities issued by GSE |  | 3,098 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | ,098 |  | 7 |
| States and political subdivisions |  | 16 |  | 3 |  | 702 |  | 142 |  | 718 |  | 145 |
| Non-agency mortgage-backed securities |  |  |  |  |  | 368 |  | 55 |  | 368 |  | 55 |
| Covered securities |  | 29 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  | 6 |
| Total | \$ | 3,167 | \$ | 16 | \$ | 1,070 | \$ | 197 | \$ | 237 |  | 213 |

Securities held to maturity:

|  | $\$ 250$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$ 250$ | $\$$ | 23 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| GSE securities | 7,770 | 23 |  |  | 7,770 | 23 |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities issued by GSE | 33 | 2 |  |  | 33 | 2 |  |
| States and political subdivisions | 207 | 4 |  | 207 | 4 |  |  |
| Other securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 8,260$ | $\$$ | 29 | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$ 8,260$ | $\$$ |
| Total | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

BB\&T conducts periodic reviews to identify and evaluate each investment that has an unrealized loss for other-than-temporary impairment. An unrealized loss exists when the current fair value of an individual security is less than its amortized cost basis. Unrealized losses that are determined to be temporary in nature are recorded, net of tax, in accumulated other comprehensive income for available-for-sale securities.

Factors considered in determining whether a loss is temporary include:

The financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, including any specific events that may influence the operations of the issuer;

BB\&T s intent to sell and whether it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell these debt securities before the anticipated recovery of the amortized cost basis;
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The length of time and the extent to which the market value has been less than cost;

Whether the decline in fair value is attributable to specific conditions, such as conditions in an industry or in a geographic area;

Whether a debt security has been downgraded by a rating agency;

Whether the financial condition of the issuer has deteriorated;

The seniority of the security;

Whether dividends have been reduced or eliminated, or scheduled interest payments on debt securities have not been made; and

Any other relevant available information.
If an unrealized loss is considered other-than-temporary, the credit component of the unrealized loss is recognized in earnings and the non-credit component is recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, to the extent that BB\&T does not intend to sell the security and it is more likely than not that $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ will not be required to sell the security prior to recovery.

BB\&T evaluates credit impairment related to non-agency mortgage-backed securities through the use of cash flow modeling. These models give consideration to long-term macroeconomic factors applied to current security default rates, prepayment rates and recovery rates and security-level performance.

At June 30, 2012, BB\&T held certain securities which were non-investment grade and had continuous unrealized loss positions for more than 12 months. These securities consisted of one municipal bond and six non-agency mortgage-backed securities which had a total adjusted amortized cost of $\$ 27$ million and $\$ 277$ million, respectively. The unrealized loss on these securities was $\$ 2$ million and $\$ 33$ million, respectively. All of these non-investment grade securities were initially investment grade and have been downgraded since purchase.

The following table presents non-investment grade securities with significant unrealized losses that are not covered by a loss sharing arrangement and the credit loss component of OTTI recognized to date:


BB\&T s evaluation of the other debt securities with continuous unrealized losses indicated that there were no credit losses evident. Furthermore, as of the date of the evaluation, $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ did not intend to sell, and it was more likely than not that the Company would not be required to sell, these debt securities before the anticipated recovery of the amortized cost basis. In making this determination, BB\&T considers its expected liquidity and capital needs, including its asset/liability management needs, forecasts, strategies and other relevant information.
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## NOTE 3. Loans and Leases

The following table provides a breakdown of BB\&T s loan portfolio:

|  | June 30, December 31,2012 2011(Dollars in millions) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 36,938 | \$ | 36,415 |
| Commercial real estate - other |  | 10,457 |  | 10,689 |
| Commercial real estate - residential ADC (1) |  | 1,590 |  | 2,061 |
| Direct retail lending |  | 15,155 |  | 14,467 |
| Sales finance |  | 7,794 |  | 7,401 |
| Revolving credit |  | 2,206 |  | 2,212 |
| Residential mortgage |  | 23,117 |  | 20,581 |
| Other lending subsidiaries |  | 9,835 |  | 8,737 |
| Other acquired |  | 28 |  | 39 |
| Total loans and leases held for investment (excluding covered loans) |  | 107,120 |  | 102,602 |
| Covered |  | 3,955 |  | 4,867 |
| Total loans and leases held for investment |  | 111,075 |  | 107,469 |
| Loans held for sale |  | 2,736 |  | 3,736 |
| Total loans and leases | \$ | 113,811 | \$ | 111,205 |

(1) Commercial real estate - residential ADC represents residential acquisition, development and construction loans.

Covered loans represent loans acquired from the FDIC subject to one of the loss sharing agreements. Other acquired loans represent consumer loans acquired from the FDIC that are not subject to one of the loss sharing agreements.

The following table reflects the carrying amount of all purchased impaired and nonimpaired loans and the related allowance:

|  | Purchased Impaired Loans |  | June 30, 2012 <br> Purchased <br> Nonimpaired <br> Loans |  |  Purchased <br> Impaired <br> Total Loans <br> (Dollars in millions)  |  |  |  | December 31, 201 <br> Purchased <br> Nonimpaired Loans |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential mortgage | \$ | 611 | \$ | 548 | \$ | 1,159 | \$ | 647 | \$ | 617 | \$ | 1,264 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 1,079 |  | 1,264 |  | 2,343 |  | 1,407 |  | 1,597 |  | 3,004 |
| Commercial |  | 56 |  | 397 |  | 453 |  | 68 |  | 531 |  | 599 |
| Total covered |  | 1,746 |  | 2,209 |  | 3,955 |  | 2,122 |  | 2,745 |  | 4,867 |
| Other acquired |  | 1 |  | 27 |  | 28 |  | 2 |  | 37 |  | 39 |
| Total |  | 1,747 |  | 2,236 |  | 3,983 |  | 2,124 |  | 2,782 |  | 4,906 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | (87) |  | (52) |  | (139) |  | (113) |  | (36) |  | (149) |
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Changes in the carrying amount and accretable yield for purchased impaired and nonimpaired loans were as follows:

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 <br> Purchased <br> Impaired Purchased Nonimpaired |  |  |  | Year Ended December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accretable Yield | Carrying <br> Amount of Loans | Accretable Yield | Carrying  <br> Amount <br> of Loans Accretable <br> (Dield <br> (Dors in millions)  |  | Carrying <br> Amount of Loans | Purchased Nonimpaired  <br>  Carrying <br> Accretable Amount <br> Yield of Loans |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 521 | \$ 2,124 | \$ 1,239 | \$ 2,782 | \$ 835 | \$ 2,858 | \$ 1,611 | \$ | 3,394 |
| Accretion | (131) | 131 | (297) | 297 | (359) | 359 | (706) |  | 706 |
| Payments received, net |  | (508) |  | (843) |  | $(1,093)$ |  |  | $(1,318)$ |
| Other, net | (92) |  | (44) |  | 45 |  | 334 |  |  |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 298 | \$ 1,747 | \$ 898 | \$ 2,236 | \$ 521 | \$ 2,124 | \$ 1,239 | \$ | 2,782 |

The outstanding unpaid principal balance for all purchased impaired loans as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was $\$ 2.6$ billion and $\$ 3.3$ billion, respectively. The outstanding unpaid principal balance for all purchased nonimpaired loans as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was $\$ 3.1$ billion and $\$ 3.9$ billion, respectively.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, none of the purchased loans were classified as nonperforming assets. Therefore, interest income, through accretion of the difference between the carrying amount of the loans and the expected cash flows, is being recognized on all purchased loans. The allowance for loan losses related to the purchased loans results from decreased expectations of future cash flows due to increased credit losses for certain acquired loan pools.

The following table provides a summary of BB\&T s nonperforming assets and loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing:

|  | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { June 30, } & \text { December 31, } \\ \text { 2012 } & 2011 \\ \text { (Dollars in millions) } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonaccrual loans and leases held for investment | \$ | 1,647 | \$ | 1,872 |
| Foreclosed real estate (1) |  | 221 |  | 536 |
| Other foreclosed property |  | 29 |  | 42 |
| Total nonperforming assets (excluding covered assets) (1) | \$ | 1,897 | \$ | 2,450 |
| Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing (excluding covered loans) (2)(3)(4) | \$ | 147 | \$ | 202 |

(1) Excludes foreclosed real estate totaling $\$ 310$ million and $\$ 378$ million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, that is covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements.
(2) Excludes mortgage loans guaranteed by GNMA that BB\&T does not have the obligation to repurchase totaling $\$ 453$ million and $\$ 426$ million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
(3) Excludes loans 90 days or more past due that are covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements totaling $\$ 613$ million and $\$ 736$ million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
(4) Excludes mortgage loans 90 days or more past due that are government guaranteed totaling $\$ 217$ million and $\$ 206$ million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
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The following table provides a summary of loans that continue to accrue interest under restructured terms ( performing restructurings ) and restructured loans that have been placed in nonaccrual status ( nonperforming restructurings ):

|  | June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> (Dollars in millions) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Performing restructurings: | December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  |
| Commercial: | $\$$ | 62 |
| Commercial and industrial | 78 | 74 |
| Commercial real estate - other | 28 | 117 |
| Commercial real estate - residential ADC | 114 | 44 |
| Direct retail lending | 7 | 146 |
| Sales finance | 58 | 8 |
| Revolving credit | 636 | 62 |
| Residential mortgage (1)(2) | 69 | 508 |
| Other lending subsidiaries | 1,052 | 1,109 |
| Total performing restructurings $(1)(2)$ | 219 | 280 |
| Nonperforming restructurings $(3)$ | $\$$ | 1,271 |

(1) Excludes restructured mortgage loans held for investment that are government guaranteed totaling $\$ 264$ million and $\$ 232$ million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
(2) Excludes restructured mortgage loans held for sale that are government guaranteed totaling $\$ 2$ million and $\$ 4$ million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
(3) Nonperforming restructurings are included in nonaccrual loan disclosures.
(4) All restructurings are considered impaired. The allowance for loan and lease losses attributable to these restructured loans totaled \$220 million and $\$ 266$ million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
Commitments to lend additional funds to clients with loans whose terms have been modified in restructurings was immaterial at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.
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## NOTE 4. Allowance for Credit Losses

An analysis of the allowance for credit losses is presented in the following tables:
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrrr}\text { Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Beginning } \\ \text { Balance }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Charge- } \\ \text { Offs } \\ \text { (Dollars in millions) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Recoveries }\end{array} \\ \text { Provision }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Ending } \\ \text { Balance }\end{array}\right]$
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrrr}\text { Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Beginning } \\ \text { Balance }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Charge- } \\ \text { Offs } \\ \text { (Dollars in millions) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Recoveries }\end{array} \\ \text { Provision }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Ending } \\ \text { Balance }\end{array}\right]$
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$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrrr}\text { Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Beginning } \\ \text { Balance }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Charge- } \\ \text { Offs } \\ \text { (Dollars in millions) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Recoveries }\end{array} \\ \text { Provision }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Ending } \\ \text { Balance }\end{array}\right]$

| Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | Beginning Balance |  | ChargeOffs |  | Recoveries |  | Provision ons) |  | Ending <br> Balance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 621 | \$ | (140) | \$ | 13 | \$ | (20) | \$ | 474 |
| Commercial real estate - other |  | 446 |  | (149) |  | 9 |  | 156 |  | 462 |
| Commercial real estate - residential ADC |  | 469 |  | (149) |  | 11 |  | 51 |  | 382 |
| Other lending subsidiaries |  | 21 |  | (4) |  | 2 |  | (6) |  | 13 |
| Retail: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct retail lending |  | 246 |  | (144) |  | 17 |  | 114 |  | 233 |
| Revolving credit |  | 109 |  | (51) |  | 10 |  | 35 |  | 103 |
| Residential mortgage |  | 298 |  | (183) |  | 2 |  | 230 |  | 347 |
| Sales finance |  | 47 |  | (17) |  | 5 |  | 7 |  | 42 |
| Other lending subsidiaries |  | 177 |  | (91) |  | 11 |  | 74 |  | 171 |
| Covered and other acquired |  | 144 |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 159 |
| Unallocated |  | 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 130 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses |  | 2,708 |  | (928) |  | 80 |  | 656 |  | 2,516 |
| Reserve for unfunded lending commitments |  | 47 |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  | 59 |
| Allowance for credit losses | \$ | 2,755 | \$ | (928) | \$ | 80 | \$ | 668 |  | 2,575 |
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The following tables provide a breakdown of the allowance for loan and lease losses and the recorded investment in loans based on the method for determining the allowance:

|  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses } \\ \text { Loans }\end{array}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acquired |  |  |  |
| With |  |  |  |$)$
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\left.|  |  | Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans |  |  |  |
| Acquired |  |  |  |
| With |  |  |  |$\right]$



BB\&T monitors the credit quality of its commercial portfolio segment using internal risk ratings. These risk ratings are based on established regulatory guidance. Loans with a Pass rating represent those not considered as a problem credit. Special mention loans are those that have a potential weakness deserving management s close attention. Substandard loans are those for which a well-defined weakness has been identified that may put full collection of contractual cash flows at risk. Substandard loans are placed in nonaccrual status when BB\&T believes it is no longer probable it will collect all contractual cash flows.
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BB\&T assigns an internal risk rating at loan origination and reviews the relationship again on an annual basis or at any point management becomes aware of information affecting the borrower s ability to fulfill their obligations.

BB\&T monitors the credit quality of its retail portfolio segment based primarily on delinquency status, which is the primary factor considered in determining whether a retail loan should be classified as nonaccrual.

The following tables illustrate the credit quality indicators associated with loans and leases held for investment. Covered and other acquired loans are excluded from this analysis because their related allowance is determined by loan pool performance due to the application of the accretion method.
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrrr} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Commercial } \\ \text { Real Estate - } \\ \text { Other }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Commercial } \\ \text { Real Estate - } \\ \text { Residential } \\ \text { ADC }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Commercial } \\ \text { Other } \\ \text { Lending } \\ \text { Subsidiaries }\end{array} \\ \text { June 30, 2012 } & & & & & \\ \text { (Dollars in millions) }\end{array}\right]$

|  | Direct Retail <br> Lending | Revolving <br> Credit | Residential <br> Mortgage <br> (Dollars in millions) | Sales Finance | Other Lending <br> Subsidiaries |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retail: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performing | $\$ 15,022$ | $\$$ | 2,206 | $\$ 22,854$ | $\$$ | 7,781 | $\$$ | 5,615 |
| Nonperforming | 133 |  | 263 | 13 | 69 |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 15,155$ | $\$$ | 2,206 | $\$ 23,117$ | $\$$ | 7,794 | $\$$ | 5,684 |


| December 31, 2011 | Commercial \& Industrial |  | Commercial <br> Real Estate Other (Dollars |  | Commercial Real Estate Residential ADC millions) |  | Other <br> Lending Subsidiaries |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | \$ | 33,497 | \$ | 8,568 | \$ | 1,085 | \$ | 3,578 |
| Special mention |  | 488 |  | 234 |  | 60 |  | 5 |
| Substandard - performing |  | 1,848 |  | 1,493 |  | 540 |  | 35 |
| Nonperforming |  | 582 |  | 394 |  | 376 |  | 8 |
| Total | \$ | 36,415 | \$ | 10,689 | \$ | 2,061 | \$ | 3,626 |


|  | Direct Retail Revolving <br> Lending <br> Credit | Residential <br> Mortgage <br> (Dollars in millions) | Sales <br> Finance | Other Lending <br> Subsidiaries |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retail: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performing | $\$ 14,325$ | $\$ 2,212$ | $\$ 20,273$ | $\$$ | 7,394 | $\$$ | 5,056 |
| Nonperforming | 142 |  | 308 | 7 | 5 |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 14,467$ | $\$ 2,212$ | $\$ 20,581$ | $\$$ | 7,401 | $\$$ | 5,111 |
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The following tables represent aging analyses of BB\&T s past due loans and leases held for investment. Covered loans have been excluded from this aging analysis because they are covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements, and their related allowance is determined by loan pool performance due to the application of the accretion method.

|  | Accruing Loans and Leases |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nonaccrual | Total Loans And Leases, Excluding |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2012 | Current |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30-89 Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ |  | 90 Days Or More Past Due |  | Loans <br> And <br> Leases <br> lions) |  | Covered <br> Loans |  |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 36,263 | \$ | 53 | \$ | 2 | \$ | 620 | \$ | 36,938 |
| Commercial real estate - other |  | 10,140 |  | 16 |  |  |  | 301 |  | 10,457 |
| Commercial real estate - residential ADC |  | 1,340 |  | 9 |  |  |  | 241 |  | 1,590 |
| Other lending subsidiaries |  | 4,126 |  | 14 |  | 4 |  | 7 |  | 4,151 |
| Retail: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct retail lending |  | 14,865 |  | 119 |  | 38 |  | 133 |  | 15,155 |
| Revolving credit |  | 2,173 |  | 20 |  | 13 |  |  |  | 2,206 |
| Residential mortgage (1) |  | 21,609 |  | 495 |  | 292 |  | 263 |  | 22,659 |
| Sales finance |  | 7,721 |  | 49 |  | 11 |  | 13 |  | 7,794 |
| Other lending subsidiaries |  | 5,411 |  | 204 |  |  |  | 69 |  | 5,684 |
| Other acquired |  | 27 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 28 |
| Total (1) | \$ | 103,675 | \$ | 979 | \$ | 361 | \$ | 1,647 | \$ | 106,662 |


(1) Residential mortgage loans include $\$ 84$ million and $\$ 81$ million in government guaranteed loans 30-89 days past due, and $\$ 214$ million and $\$ 203$ million in government guaranteed loans 90 days or more past due as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
Residential mortgage loans exclude $\$ 5$ million and $\$ 453$ million in loans guaranteed by GNMA that BB\&T has the option, but not the
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The following tables set forth certain information regarding BB\&T s impaired loans, excluding acquired impaired loans and loans held for sale, that were evaluated for specific reserves.

| As Of / For The Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Recorded Investment | Unpaid <br> Principal <br> Balance <br> (Do | Related <br> Allowance <br> llars in milli | Average <br> Recorded <br> Investment <br> ons) | Interest Income Recognized |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With No Related Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 129 | \$ 236 | \$ | \$ 122 | \$ |
| Commercial real estate - other | 78 | 122 |  | 67 |  |
| Commercial real estate - residential ADC | 107 | 227 |  | 125 |  |
| Retail: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct retail lending | 18 | 75 |  | 20 |  |
| Residential mortgage (1) | 82 | 138 |  | 101 | 1 |
| Sales finance | 2 | 2 |  | 1 |  |
| Other lending subsidiaries | 2 | 4 |  | 4 |  |
| With An Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | 562 | 571 | 83 | 395 | 1 |
| Commercial real estate - other | 335 | 357 | 47 | 240 | 2 |
| Commercial real estate - residential ADC | 165 | 172 | 28 | 121 |  |
| Other lending subsidiaries | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Retail: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct retail lending | 136 | 145 | 28 | 130 | 4 |
| Revolving credit | 58 | 58 | 25 | 60 | 1 |
| Residential mortgage (1) | 652 | 672 | 114 | 616 | 13 |
| Sales finance | 15 | 17 | 3 | 9 |  |
| Other lending subsidiaries | 70 | 72 | 27 | 51 | 1 |
| Total (1) | \$ 2,419 | \$ 2,876 | \$ 357 | \$ 2,064 | \$ 24 |
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As Of / For The Year Ended December 31, 2011
$\left.\begin{array}{cccccrl}\begin{array}{c}\text { Recorded } \\ \text { Investment }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Unpaid } \\ \text { Principal } \\ \text { Balance } \\ \text { (Dollars in millions) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Related } \\ \text { Allowance }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Average } \\ \text { Recorded } \\ \text { Investment }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Interest } \\ \text { Income } \\ \text { Recognized }\end{array} \\ \hline & & & & & \\ \hline & 114 & \$ & 196 & \$ & \$ & 102\end{array}\right) \$$

| Retail. | 19 | 74 | 23 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Direct retail lending | 46 | 85 | 55 | 2 |
| Residential mortgage (1) | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Sales finance | 2 | 4 | 3 |  |


| With An Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | 542 | 552 | 77 | 300 | 1 |
| Commercial real estate - other | 409 | 433 | 69 | 278 | 5 |
| Commercial real estate - residential ADC | 267 | 298 | 50 | 164 | 1 |
| Other lending subsidiaries | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 |  |


| Retail: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Direct retail lending | 146 | 153 | 35 | 128 | 8 |  |
| Revolving credit | 62 | 61 | 27 | 61 | 3 |  |
| Residential mortgage (1) | 653 | 674 | 125 | 562 | 26 |  |
| Sales finance | 9 | 10 | 1 | 6 | 2 |  |
| Other lending subsidiaries | 47 | 50 | 20 | 31 | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (1) | $\$$ | 2,577 | $\$$ | 3,048 | $\$$ | 405 |

(1) Residential mortgage loans exclude $\$ 264$ million and $\$ 232$ million in government guaranteed loans and related allowance of $\$ 13$ million and $\$ 27$ million as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.
The following tables provide a summary of the primary reason loan modifications were classified as restructurings and their estimated impact on the allowance for loan and lease losses:

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Types of Modifications (1) |  |  | Impact <br> To | Types of Modifications (1) |  |  | Impact <br> To <br> Allowance |  |
|  | Rate (2) | Structure |  | Allowance (Dollars in | Rate (2) millions) | Structure |  |  |  |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | 9 | \$ | 11 | \$ | \$ 9 | \$ | 14 | \$ | 1 |
| Commercial real estate - other | 26 |  | 5 | (1) | 7 |  | 10 |  | 2 |
| Commercial real estate - residential ADC | 22 |  | 8 | (2) | 4 |  | 14 |  | 4 |
| Retail: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct retail lending | 10 |  | 4 | 2 | 16 |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Revolving credit | 7 |  |  | 1 | 10 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Residential mortgage | 27 |  | 37 | 6 | 22 |  |  |  | 3 |
| Sales finance | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Other lending subsidiaries | 21 |  |  | 4 | 10 |  | 2 |  | 4 |
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|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Types of Modifications (1) |  | Impact To | Types of Modifications (1) |  |  | Impact <br> To <br> Allowance |  |
|  | Rate (2) | Structure | Allowance (Dollars in | Rate (2) millions) |  | ture |  |  |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 14 | \$ 39 | \$ | \$ 21 | \$ | 27 | \$ | 2 |
| Commercial real estate - other | 30 | 14 |  | 26 |  | 23 |  | 3 |
| Commercial real estate - residential ADC | 22 | 21 | (2) | 16 |  | 23 |  | 7 |
| Retail: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct retail lending | 16 | 6 | 3 | 32 |  | 3 |  | 5 |
| Revolving credit | 15 |  | 3 | 21 |  |  |  | 4 |
| Residential mortgage | 82 | 46 | 9 | 54 |  | 5 |  | 8 |
| Sales finance | 3 |  |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 1 |
| Other lending subsidiaries | 29 | 2 | 8 | 22 |  | 3 |  | 8 |

(1) Includes modifications made to existing restructurings, as well as new modifications that are considered restructurings. Balances represent the recorded investment as of the end of the period in which the modification was made.
(2) Includes restructurings made with a below market interest rate that also includes a modification of loan structure.

Charge-offs recorded at the modification date were $\$ 5$ million and $\$ 7$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively. The forgiveness of principal or interest for restructurings recorded during the three months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011 was immaterial.

Charge-offs recorded at the modification date were $\$ 9$ million and $\$ 12$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively. The forgiveness of principal or interest for restructurings recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011 was immaterial.

The following table summarizes the pre-default balance for modifications that experienced a payment default that had been classified as restructurings during the previous 12 months. $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ defines payment default as movement of the restructuring to nonaccrual status, foreclosure or charge-off, whichever occurs first.

|  | $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Three Months Ended June 30, } & \text { Six Months } \\ 2012 & 2011 & 2012 \\ & \text { (Dollars in millions) } & 2011\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 2 | \$ | 20 | \$ | 4 | \$ | 33 |
| Commercial real estate - other |  | 4 |  | 45 |  | 5 |  | 75 |
| Commercial real estate - residential ADC |  | 4 |  | 21 |  | 12 |  | 62 |
| Retail: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Direct retail lending |  | 2 |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 13 |
| Revolving credit |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 6 |  | 8 |
| Residential mortgage |  | 7 |  | 5 |  | 24 |  | 18 |
| Sales finance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Other lending subsidiaries |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 2 |
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## NOTE 5. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

The changes in the carrying amounts of goodwill attributable to each of BB\&T s operating segments is reflected in the table below. To date, there have been no goodwill impairments recorded by BB\&T.

|  | ResidentialCommunity MortgageBanking Banking |  |  | Dealer <br> Financial Services |  | Specialized Insurance Lending Services Dollars in millions) |  |  |  | Financial Services |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, January 1, 2012 | \$ 4,542 | \$ | 7 | \$ | 111 | \$ | 94 | \$ | 1,132 | \$ | 192 | \$ | 6,078 |
| Acquisitions | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 346 |  |  |  | 349 |
| Contingent consideration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Other adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1) |  |  |  | (1) |
| Balance, June 30, 2012 | \$ 4,545 | \$ | 7 | \$ | 111 | \$ | 94 | \$ | 1,479 | \$ | 192 | \$ | 6,428 |

The following table presents the gross carrying amounts and accumulated amortization for BB\&T s identifiable intangible assets subject to amortization:

|  | June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross Carrying Amount |  | Accumulated Amortization |  | Net <br> Carrying Amount (Dollars in |  | Gross <br> Carrying <br> Amount millions) |  | Accumulated Amortization |  | Net Carrying Amount |  |
| Identifiable intangible assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Core deposit intangibles | \$ | 626 | \$ | (502) | \$ | 124 | \$ | 626 | \$ | (484) | \$ | 142 |
| Other (1) |  | 1,077 |  | (518) |  | 559 |  | 787 |  | (485) |  | 302 |
| Totals | \$ | 1,703 | \$ | $(1,020)$ | \$ | 683 | \$ | 1,413 | \$ | (969) | \$ | 444 |

(1) Other identifiable intangibles are primarily customer relationship intangibles.

During the second quarter of 2012, BB\&T acquired the life and property and casualty insurance divisions of Crump Group Inc. The change in goodwill and other identifiable intangibles was primarily the result of this acquisition, although the final purchase accounting has not been completed.

## NOTE 6. Loan Servicing

## Residential Mortgage Banking Activities

The following tables summarize residential mortgage banking activities for the periods presented:

|  | June 30, December 31, $2012 \quad 2011$ (Dollars in millions) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortgage loans managed or securitized (1) | \$ | 28,029 | \$ | 26,559 |
| Less: Loans securitized and transferred to securities available for sale |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| Loans held for sale |  | 2,600 |  | 3,394 |
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| Covered mortgage loans | 1,159 | 1,264 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Mortgage loans sold with recourse | 1,149 | 1,316 |
|  |  |  |
| Mortgage loans held for investment | 23,117 | $\$$ |
|  | 20,581 |  |
| Mortgage loans on nonaccrual status | 263 | $\$$ |
| Mortgage loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest (2) | 78 | 308 |
| Mortgage loans net charge-offs (3) | 70 | 104 |
| Unpaid principal balance of residential mortgage loans servicing portfolio | 97,560 | 91,640 |
| Unpaid principal balance of residential mortgage loans serviced for others | 71,389 | 67,066 |
| Maximum recourse exposure from mortgage loans sold with recourse liability | 484 | 522 |
| Recorded reserves related to recourse exposure | 12 | 6 |
| Repurchase reserves for mortgage loan sales to GSEs | 43 | 29 |
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(1) Balances exclude loans serviced for others with no other continuing involvement.
(2) Includes amounts related to residential mortgage loans held for sale and excludes amounts related to government guaranteed loans and covered mortgage loans. Refer to Loans and Leases Note for additional disclosures related to past due government guaranteed loans.
(3) Net charge-offs for June 30, 2012 reflect six months.

|  | As of / For theSix Months Ended June 30,2012 2011(Dollars in millions) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unpaid principal balance of residential mortgage loans sold from the held for sale portfolio | \$ | 12,675 | \$ | 8,554 |
| Pre-tax gains recognized on mortgage loans sold |  | 236 |  | 61 |
| Servicing fees recognized from mortgage loans serviced for others |  | 121 |  | 118 |
| Approximate weighted average servicing fee of the outstanding balance of residential mortgage loans serviced for others |  | 0.33 \% |  | 0.34 \% |
| Weighted average coupon interest rate on mortgage loans serviced for others |  | 4.81 |  | 5.14 |

The unpaid principal balances of $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ s total residential mortgage loans serviced for others consist primarily of agency conforming fixed-rate mortgage loans. Mortgage loans serviced for others are not included in loans and leases on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, BB\&T sold residential mortgage loans from the held for sale portfolio and recognized pre-tax gains including the impact of interest rate lock commitments. These gains are recorded in noninterest income as a component of mortgage banking income. $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ retained the related mortgage servicing rights and receives servicing fees.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, BB\&T had residential mortgage loans sold with recourse liability. In the event of nonperformance by the borrower, BB\&T has recourse exposure for these loans. At both June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, BB\&T has recorded reserves related to these recourse exposures. Payments made to date have been immaterial.
$\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ also issues standard representations and warranties related to mortgage loan sales to government-sponsored entities. Although these agreements often do not specify limitations, $B B \& T$ does not believe that any payments related to these warranties would materially change the financial condition or results of operations of $B B \& T$.

Residential mortgage servicing rights are recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value with changes in fair value recorded as a component of mortgage banking income in the Consolidated Statements of Income for each period. BB\&T uses various derivative instruments to mitigate the income statement effect of changes in fair value due to changes in valuation inputs and assumptions of its residential mortgage servicing rights. The following is an analysis of the activity in $B B \& T \mathrm{~s}$ residential mortgage servicing rights:

|  | Residential Mortgage Servicing Rights <br> Six Months Ended June 30, <br> 2012 <br> 2011 <br> (Dollars in millions) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carrying value, January 1, | \$ | 563 | \$ | 830 |
| Additions |  | 134 |  | 126 |
| Increase (decrease) in fair value: |  |  |  |  |
| Due to changes in valuation inputs or assumptions |  | (38) |  | (20) |
| Other changes (1) |  | (81) |  | (57) |
| Carrying value, June 30, | \$ | 578 | \$ | 879 |
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(1) Represents the realization of expected net servicing cash flows, expected borrower payments and the passage of time.
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During the six months ended June 30, 2012, management revised its servicing costs assumptions in the valuation of residential mortgage servicing rights due to the expectation of higher costs that continue to impact the industry. The impact of these changes resulted in a $\$ 22$ million reduction in the value of the residential mortgage servicing rights. The remainder of the net decrease is primarily due to the impact of a slight decrease in estimated prepayment speeds and increase in discount rates. The decrease in estimated prepayment speeds was primarily driven by lower prepayment speeds experienced in the portfolio. The increase in estimated discount rates is reflective of the current mortgage servicing rights market.

Refer to Note 14 for additional disclosures related to the assumptions and estimates used in determining the fair value of residential mortgage servicing rights. The sensitivity of the current fair value of the residential mortgage servicing rights to immediate $10 \%$ and $20 \%$ adverse changes in key economic assumptions is included in the accompanying table:
$\left.\begin{array}{lcc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Residential } \\ \text { Mortgage Servicing Rights } \\ \text { June 30, 2012 }\end{array} \\ \text { (Dollars in millions) }\end{array}\right\}$

The sensitivity calculations above are hypothetical and should not be considered to be predictive of future performance. As indicated, changes in fair value based on adverse changes in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, in this table, the effect of an adverse variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the mortgage servicing rights is calculated without changing any other assumption; while in reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another (for example, increases in market interest rates may result in lower prepayments), which may magnify or counteract the effect of the change.
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## Commercial Mortgage Banking Activities

BB\&T also arranges and services commercial real estate mortgages through Grandbridge Real Estate Capital, LLC ( Grandbridge ) the commercial mortgage banking subsidiary of Branch Bank. The majority of these commercial mortgages were arranged for third party investors. Commercial real estate mortgage loans serviced for others are not included in loans and leases on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. The following table summarizes commercial mortgage banking activities for the periods presented:

|  | June 30 <br> 2012 <br> (Dollars in millions) | December 31 <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unpaid principal balance of commercial real estate mortgages serviced for others | $\$$ | 25,741 | $\$$ |
| Commercial real estate mortgages serviced for others covered by recourse provisions | 4,762 | 4,520 |  |
| Maximum recourse exposure from commercial real estate mortgages sold with recourse liability | 1,297 | 1,226 |  |
| Recorded reserves related to recourse exposure | 14 | 15 |  |
| Originated commercial real estate mortgages during the period (1) | 2,275 | 4,803 |  |

(1) Originated commercial real estate mortgages for June 30, 2012 reflect six months.

## NOTE 7. Deposits

A summary of BB\&T s deposits is presented in the accompanying table:
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{l|ccc|} & \begin{array}{c}\text { June 30, } \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 2} \\ \text { (Dollars in millions) }\end{array} \\ \text { 2011 }\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{c}\text { December 31, }\end{array}\right]$
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## NOTE 8. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt comprised the following:
$\left.\begin{array}{l|r|r} & \begin{array}{c}\text { June 30, } \\ \text { 2012 } \\ \text { (Dollars in millions) }\end{array} \\ \text { (2011 }\end{array}\right)$
(1) These floating-rate senior notes are based on LIBOR and had an effective rate of $1.17 \%$ at June 30, 2012.
(2) Subordinated notes that qualify under the risk-based capital guidelines as Tier 2 supplementary capital, subject to certain limitations.
(3) These floating-rate securities are based on LIBOR, but the majority of the cash flows have been swapped to a fixed rate. The effective rate paid on these securities including the effect of the swapped portion was $3.26 \%$ at June 30, 2012.
(4) Certain of these advances have been swapped to floating rates from fixed rates and from fixed rates to floating rates. At June 30, 2012, the weighted average rate paid on these advances including the effect of the swapped portion was $3.59 \%$, and the weighted average maturity was 7.4 years.
(5) Securities that qualify under the risk-based capital guidelines as Tier 1 capital, subject to certain limitations.

During the second quarter of 2012, BB\&T provided redemption notices to the holders of all its trust preferred securities to exercise certain early redemption provisions based on the terms of the respective trusts. BB\&T revised the estimated life it was using to amortize the remaining debt issuance costs and related discounts or premiums, including fair value hedge adjustments, to end on the redemption date for each of the impacted debt securities. The redemptions, and the related retirement of the junior subordinated debt to unconsolidated trusts, was partially completed by the end of June 2012.
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## NOTE 9. Shareholders Equity

## Preferred Stock

On May 1, 2012, BB\&T issued $\$ 575$ million of Series D Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock for net proceeds of $\$ 559$ million. BB\&T issued depositary shares, each of which represents a fractional ownership interest in a share of the 23,000 shares of the Company spreferred stock. The preferred stock has no stated maturity and redemption is solely at the option of the Company in whole, but not in part, upon the occurrence of a regulatory capital treatment event, as defined. In addition, the preferred stock may be redeemed in whole or in part, on any dividend payment date after April 30, 2017. Under current rules, any redemption of the preferred stock is subject to prior approval of the Federal Reserve Board. The preferred stock is not subject to any sinking fund or other obligations of the Corporation. Dividends, if declared, accrue and are payable quarterly, in arrears, at a rate of $5.85 \%$ per annum.

## Equity-Based Plans

At June 30, 2012, BB\&T has options, restricted shares and restricted share units outstanding from the following equity-based compensation plans: the 2012 Incentive Plan ( 2012 Plan ), 2004 Stock Incentive Plan ( 2004 Plan ), the 1995 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan ( Omnibus Plan ), the Non-Employee Directors Stock Option Plan ( Directors Plan ), and a plan assumed from an acquired entity. BB\&T s shareholders have approved all equity-based compensation plans with the exception of the plan assumed from an acquired entity. As of June 30, 2012, the 2012 Plan is the only plan that has shares available for future grants. All of BB\&T s equity-based compensation plans allow for accelerated vesting of awards for holders who retire and have met all retirement eligibility requirements and in connection with certain other events. Refer to BB\&T s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for further disclosures related to equity-based awards issued by BB\&T.

BB\&T measures the fair value of each option award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The following table presents the weighted average assumptions used:

|  | Six Months Ended June 30,2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assumptions: |  |  |  |  |
| Risk-free interest rate |  | 1.5 \% |  | 1.7 \% |
| Dividend yield |  | 4.4 |  | 3.5 |
| Volatility factor |  | 33.0 |  | 37.2 |
| Expected life |  | 7.0 yrs |  | 7.4 yrs |
| Fair value of options per share | \$ | 6.07 | \$ | 7.45 |

BB\&T determines the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes option pricing model as follows: the risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant; the dividend yield is based on the historical dividend yield of BB\&T s stock, adjusted to reflect the expected dividend yield over the expected life of the option; the volatility factor is based on the historical volatility of BB\&T s stock, adjusted to reflect the ways in which current information indicates that the future is reasonably expected to differ from the past; and the weighted-average expected life is based on the historical behavior of employees related to exercises, forfeitures and cancellations.

BB\&T measures the fair value of restricted shares based on the price of BB\&T s common stock on the grant date and the fair value of restricted share units based on the price of $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ s common stock on the grant date less the present value of expected dividends that are foregone during the vesting period.
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The following table details the activity related to stock options awarded by BB\&T:

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
Wtd. Avg.

|  | Options | Exercise Price |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at beginning of period | 45,384,554 | \$ | 34.42 |
| Granted | 4,683,073 |  | 30.09 |
| Exercised | $(535,124)$ |  | 23.58 |
| Forfeited or expired | $(3,117,095)$ |  | 36.63 |


| Outstanding at end of period | $46,415,408$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| Exercisable at end of period | $34,992,955$ |
| :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Exercisable and expected to vest at end of period } & 44,470,125 \quad \$ 33\end{array}$

The following table details the activity related to restricted shares and restricted share units awarded by BB\&T:

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
Wtd. Avg.

|  | Shares/Units | Grant Date <br> Fair Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonvested at beginning of period | 13,462,630 | \$ | 19.47 |
| Granted | 2,580,306 |  | 25.81 |
| Vested | $(1,547,360)$ |  | 32.30 |
| Forfeited | $(213,814)$ |  | 18.95 |
| Nonvested at end of period | 14,281,762 | \$ | 19.23 |

## NOTE 10. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The balances in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are shown in the following table:

|  | Pre-Tax <br> Amount |  | June 30, 2012 <br> Deferred Tax Expense (Benefit) |  | After-Tax Amount (Dollars |  |  December 31, 2011 <br> Deferred  <br> Pre-Tax Tax Expense <br> Amount (Benefit) |  |  |  | After-Tax <br> Amount |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unrecognized net pension and postretirement costs | \$ | (929) | \$ | (348) | \$ | (581) | \$ | (965) | \$ | (362) |  | (603) |
| Unrealized net gains (losses) on cash flow hedges |  | (279) |  | (105) |  | (174) |  | (254) |  | (95) |  | (159) |
| Unrealized net gains (losses) on securities available for sale |  | 729 |  | 274 |  | 455 |  | 421 |  | 158 |  | 263 |
| FDIC s share of unrealized (gains) losses on securities available for sale under loss share agreements |  | (357) |  | (134) |  | (223) |  | (311) |  | (116) |  | (195) |
| Other, net |  | (35) |  | (17) |  | (18) |  | (37) |  | (18) |  | (19) |
| Total | \$ | (871) | \$ | (330) | \$ | (541) | \$ | $(1,146)$ | \$ | (433) |  | (713) |

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, unrealized net losses on securities available for sale, excluding covered securities, included $\$ 33$ million and $\$ 55$ million, respectively, of pre-tax losses related to other-than-temporarily impaired non-agency mortgage-backed securities where a portion of the loss was recognized in net income.
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## NOTE 11. Income Taxes

The effective tax rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 were higher than the corresponding periods of 2011 primarily due to higher levels of pre-tax income, which is subject to the marginal tax rate.

In February 2010, BB\&T received an IRS statutory notice of deficiency for tax years 2002-2007 asserting a liability for taxes, penalties and interest of approximately $\$ 892$ million related to the disallowance for foreign tax credits and other deductions claimed by a subsidiary in connection with a financing transaction. Management has consulted with outside counsel and continues to believe that BB\&T streatment of this transaction was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. However, as a procedural matter and in order to limit its exposure to incremental penalties and interest associated with this matter, BB\&T paid the disputed tax, penalties and interest in March 2010, and filed a lawsuit seeking a refund in the U.S. Court of Federal Claims. The Court has scheduled the trial to take place in March 2013. BB\&T recorded a receivable in other assets for the amount of this payment, less the reserve considered necessary in accordance with applicable income tax accounting guidance. Based on an assessment of the applicable tax law and the relevant facts and circumstances related to this matter, management has concluded that the amount of this reserve is adequate, although litigation is still ongoing. Due to potential developments in $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ s litigation or in similar cases, there could be a material change in the reserve amount within the next twelve months.

## NOTE 12. Benefit Plans

The following tables summarize the components of net periodic benefit cost recognized for BB\&T s pension plans for the periods presented:

|  | Qualified Plan <br> Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  | Nonqualified Plans <br> Three Months Ended June 30, <br> 2012 <br> 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service cost | \$ | 29 | \$ | 27 | \$ | 2 | \$ | 1 |
| Interest cost |  | 24 |  | 23 |  | 2 |  | 3 |
| Estimated return on plan assets |  | (49) |  | (49) |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization and other |  | 17 |  | 6 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ | 21 | \$ | 7 | \$ | 5 | \$ | 5 |



BB\&T makes contributions to the qualified pension plan in amounts between the minimum required for funding standard accounts and the maximum amount deductible for federal income tax purposes. Management is not required to make a contribution to the qualified pension plan in 2012; however, such a contribution may be made later in 2012, if deemed appropriate.

## NOTE 13. Commitments and Contingencies

BB\&T utilizes a variety of financial instruments to meet the financing needs of clients and to reduce exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, letters of credit and financial guarantees and derivatives. BB\&T also has
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commitments to fund certain affordable housing investments and contingent liabilities related to certain sold loans.
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Commitments to extend, originate or purchase credit are primarily lines of credit to businesses and consumers and have specified rates and maturity dates. Many of these commitments also have adverse change clauses, which allow BB\&T to cancel the commitment due to deterioration in the borrowers creditworthiness.

Letters of credit and financial guarantees written are unconditional commitments issued by BB\&T to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper issuance, bond financing and similar transactions, the majority of which are to tax exempt entities. The credit risk involved in the issuance of these guarantees is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to clients and as such, the instruments are collateralized when necessary. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, BB\&T had issued letters of credit totaling $\$ 5.8$ billion and $\$ 6.1$ billion, respectively. The carrying amount of the liability for such guarantees was $\$ 33$ million and $\$ 27$ million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

A derivative is a financial instrument that derives its cash flows, and therefore its value, by reference to an underlying instrument, index or interest rate. For additional disclosures related to BB\&T s derivatives refer to Note 15.

In the ordinary course of business, BB\&T indemnifies its officers and directors to the fullest extent permitted by law against liabilities arising from pending litigation. $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ also issues standard representation and warranties in underwriting agreements, merger and acquisition agreements, loan sales, brokerage activities and other similar arrangements. Counterparties in many of these indemnification arrangements provide similar indemnifications to BB\&T. Although these agreements often do not specify limitations, BB\&T does not believe that any payments related to these guarantees would materially change the financial position or results of operations of BB\&T.

Merger and acquisition agreements of businesses other than financial institutions occasionally include additional incentives to the acquired entities to offset the loss of future cash flows previously received through ownership positions. Typically, these incentives are based on the acquired entity $s$ contribution to $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ s earnings compared to agreed-upon amounts. When offered, these incentives are typically issued for terms of three to five years. As certain provisions of these agreements do not specify dollar limitations, it is not possible to quantify the maximum exposure resulting from these agreements. However, based on recent payouts and current projections, any payments made in relation to these agreements are not expected to be material to $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ s results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

In connection with the Colonial acquisition, Branch Bank entered into loss sharing agreements with the FDIC related to certain assets acquired. Pursuant to the terms of these loss sharing agreements, the FDIC s obligation to reimburse Branch Bank for losses with respect to certain loans, other real estate owned ( OREO ), certain investment securities and other assets (collectively, covered assets ), begins with the first dollar of loss incurred.

BB\&T invests in certain affordable housing and historic building rehabilitation projects throughout its market area as a means of supporting local communities, and receives tax credits related to these investments. BB\&T typically acts as a limited partner in these investments and does not exert control over the operating or financial policies of the partnerships. Branch Bank typically provides financing during the construction and development of the properties; however, permanent financing is generally obtained from independent third parties upon completion of a project. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, BB\&T had investments of $\$ 1.1$ billion and $\$ 1.2$ billion, respectively, related to these projects, which are included as other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. BB\&T s outstanding commitments to fund affordable housing investments totaled $\$ 355$ million and $\$ 394$ million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, which are included as other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, BB\&T had outstanding loan commitments to these funds of $\$ 191$ million and $\$ 178$ million, respectively. Of these amounts, $\$ 70$ million and $\$ 76$ million had been funded at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, and were included in loans and leases on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. BB\&T s maximum risk exposure related to these investments is limited to its total investment and outstanding loan commitments.
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BB\&T has sold certain mortgage-related loans that contain recourse provisions. These provisions generally require BB\&T to reimburse the investor for a share of any loss that is incurred after the disposal of the property. $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ also issues standard representations and warranties related to mortgage loan sales to government-sponsored entities. Refer to Note 6 for additional disclosures related to these exposures.

BB\&T has investments and future funding commitments to certain private equity and similar investments. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, BB\&T had investments of $\$ 301$ million and $\$ 261$ million related to these funds, respectively. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, BB\&T had future funding commitments of $\$ 114$ million and $\$ 129$ million, respectively. BB\&T s risk exposure relating to such commitments is generally limited to the amount of investments and future funding commitments made.

## Legal Proceedings

The nature of the business of BB\&T s banking and other subsidiaries ordinarily results in a certain amount of claims, litigation, investigations and legal and administrative cases and proceedings, all of which are considered incidental to the normal conduct of business. BB\&T believes it has meritorious defenses to the claims asserted against it in its currently outstanding legal proceedings and, with respect to such legal proceedings, intends to continue to defend itself vigorously, litigating or settling cases according to management $s$ judgment as to what is in the best interests of BB\&T and its shareholders.

The Company is a defendant in three separate cases primarily challenging the Company s daily ordering of debit transactions posted to customer checking accounts for the period from 2003 to 2010. The plaintiffs have requested class action treatment; however, no class has been certified. The court initially denied motions by the Company to dismiss these cases and compel them to be submitted to individual arbitration. The Company then filed appeals in all three matters. There have been numerous subsequent procedural developments. These include an appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court in one matter which resulted in a November 2011 decision that benefited the Company and two decisions in July 2012 in two other matters by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit ordering arbitration. Nevertheless, at present the issues raised by these motions and/or appeals have not been finally decided. If the motions or appeals are ultimately granted, they would preclude class action treatment. Even if those appeals are denied, the Company believes it has meritorious defenses against these matters, including class certification. In addition, no damages have been specified by the plaintiffs. Because of these circumstances, no specific loss or range of loss can currently be determined.

On at least a quarterly basis, $B B \& T$ assesses its liabilities and contingencies in connection with outstanding legal proceedings utilizing the latest information available. For those matters where it is probable that BB\&T will incur a loss and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated, BB\&T records a liability in its consolidated financial statements. These legal reserves may be increased or decreased to reflect any relevant developments on at least a quarterly basis. For other matters, where a loss is not probable or the amount of the loss is not estimable, BB\&T has not accrued legal reserves. While the outcome of legal proceedings is inherently uncertain, based on information currently available, advice of counsel and available insurance coverage, $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ s management believes that its established legal reserves are adequate and the liabilities arising from BB\&T s legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, consolidated results of operations or consolidated cash flows of BB\&T. However, in the event of unexpected future developments, it is possible that the ultimate resolution of these matters, if unfavorable, may be material to BB\&T s consolidated financial position, consolidated results of operations or consolidated cash flows.

## NOTE 14. Fair Value Disclosures

BB\&T carries various assets and liabilities at fair value based on applicable accounting standards. In addition, BB\&T has elected to account for prime residential mortgage and commercial mortgage loans originated as loans held for sale at fair value in accordance with applicable accounting standards (the Fair Value Option ). Accounting standards define fair value as the exchange price that would be received on the measurement date to sell an asset or the price paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market available to the entity in an orderly transaction between market participants. These standards also established a three level fair
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value hierarchy that describes the inputs that are used to measure assets and liabilities. Level 1 asset and liability fair values are based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities. Level 2 asset and liability fair values are based on observable inputs that include: quoted market prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted market prices that are not in an active market; or other inputs that are observable in the market and can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities are financial instruments whose value is calculated by the use of pricing models and/or discounted cash flow methodologies, as well as financial instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. These methodologies may result in a significant portion of the fair value being derived from unobservable data.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, including financial instruments for which BB\&T has elected the Fair Value Option are summarized below:
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrr} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Fair Value Measurements for Assets and } \\ \text { Liabilities Measured } \\ \text { on a Recurring Basis } \\ \text { Level 2 }\end{array} \\ \text { Level 3 }\end{array}\right\}$
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|  | 12/31/2011 | Fair Value Measurements for Assets and Liabilities <br> Measured on a Recurring Basis Level 1 <br> Level 2 <br> Level 3 <br> (Dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trading securities | \$ 534 | \$ 298 | \$ | 235 | \$ | 1 |
| Securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSE securities | 306 |  |  | 306 |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities issued by GSE | 18,132 |  |  | 18,132 |  |  |
| States and political subdivisions | 1,923 |  |  | 1,923 |  |  |
| Non-agency mortgage-backed securities | 368 |  |  | 368 |  |  |
| Other securities | 7 | 6 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Covered securities | 1,577 |  |  | 593 |  | 984 |
| Loans held for sale | 3,736 |  |  | 3,736 |  |  |
| Residential mortgage servicing rights | 563 |  |  |  |  | 563 |
| Derivative assets: (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate contracts | 1,518 | 1 |  | 1,457 |  | 60 |
| Foreign exchange contracts | 7 |  |  | 7 |  |  |
| Private equity and similar investments (1)(2) | 261 |  |  |  |  | 261 |
| Total assets | \$ 28,932 | \$ 305 | \$ | 26,758 | \$ | ,869 |


| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Derivative liabilities: (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ | 1,498 | \$ | \$ | 1,497 | \$ | 1 |
| Foreign exchange contracts |  | 8 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Short-term borrowed funds (3) |  | 118 |  |  | 118 |  |  |
| Total liabilities | \$ | 1,624 | \$ | \$ | 1,623 | \$ | 1 |

(1) These amounts are reflected in other assets and other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.
(2) Based on an analysis of the nature and risks of these investments, $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ has determined that presenting these investments as a single class is appropriate.
(3) Short-term borrowed funds reflect securities sold short positions.

The following discussion focuses on the valuation techniques and significant inputs used by BB\&T in determining the Level 2 and Level 3 fair values of each significant class of assets and liabilities.

BB\&T generally utilizes a third-party pricing service in determining the fair value of its securities portfolio. Fair value measurements are derived from market-based pricing matrices that were developed using observable inputs that include benchmark yields, benchmark securities, reported trades, offers, bids, issuer spreads and broker quotes. As described by security type below, additional inputs may be used, or some inputs may not be applicable. In the event that market observable data was not available, which would generally occur due to the lack of an active market for a given security, the valuation of the security would be subjective and may involve substantial judgment by management.

Specific valuation techniques and inputs used in determining the fair value of each significant class of assets and liabilities follows:

Trading securities: Trading securities are composed of all types of debt and equity securities, but the majority consists of debt securities issued by the U.S. Treasury, U.S. government-sponsored entities, or states and political subdivisions. The valuation techniques used for these investments are more fully discussed below.

GSE securities and Mortgage-backed securities issued by GSE: These are debt securities issued by U.S. government sponsored entities. GSE pass-through securities are valued using market-based pricing matrices that are based on observable inputs including benchmark TBA security pricing and yield curves that were estimated
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based on U.S. Treasury yields and certain floating rate indices. The pricing matrices for these securities may also give consideration to pool-specific data supplied directly by the GSE. GSE collateralized mortgage obligations ( CMOs ) are valued using market-based pricing matrices that are based on observable inputs including offers, bids, reported trades, dealer quotes and market research reports, the characteristics of a specific tranche, market convention prepayment speeds and benchmark yield curves as described above.

States and political subdivisions: These securities are valued using market-based pricing matrices that are based on observable inputs including MSRB reported trades, issuer spreads, material event notices and benchmark yield curves.

Non-agency mortgage-backed securities: Pricing matrices for these securities are based on observable inputs including offers, bids, reported trades, dealer quotes and market research reports, the characteristics of a specific tranche, market convention prepayment speeds and benchmark yield curves as described above.

Other securities: These securities consist primarily of equities, mutual funds and corporate bonds. These securities are valued based on a review of quoted market prices for identical and similar assets as well as through the various other inputs discussed previously.

Covered securities: Covered securities are covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements and consist of re-remic non-agency mortgage-backed securities, municipal securities and non-agency mortgage-backed securities. The covered state and political subdivision securities and certain non-agency mortgage-backed securities are valued in a manner similar to the approach described above for these asset classes. The re-remic non-agency mortgage-backed securities, which are categorized as Level 3, were valued based on broker dealer quotes that reflected certain unobservable market inputs. Sensitivity to changes in the fair value of covered securities is significantly offset by changes in BB\&T s indemnification asset from the FDIC. The terms of the loss sharing agreement associated with these re-remic non-agency mortgage-backed securities provide that Branch Bank will be reimbursed by the FDIC for $95 \%$ of any and all losses.

Loans held for sale: BB\&T originates certain mortgage loans to be sold to investors. These loans are carried at fair value based on BB\&T s election of the Fair Value Option. The fair value is primarily based on quoted market prices for securities backed by similar types of loans. The changes in fair value of these assets are largely driven by changes in interest rates subsequent to loan funding and changes in the fair value of servicing associated with the mortgage loan held for sale.

Residential mortgage servicing rights: BB\&T estimates the fair value of residential mortgage servicing rights ( MSRs ) using an option adjusted spread ( OAS ) valuation model to project MSR cash flows over multiple interest rate scenarios, which are then discounted at risk-adjusted rates. The OAS model considers portfolio characteristics, contractually specified servicing fees, prepayment assumptions, delinquency rates, late charges, other ancillary revenue, costs to service and other economic factors. When available, fair value estimates and assumptions are compared to observable market data and to recent market activity and actual portfolio experience.

Derivative assets and liabilities: BB\&T uses derivatives to manage various financial risks. The fair values of derivative financial instruments are determined based on quoted market prices, dealer quotes and internal pricing models that are primarily sensitive to market observable data. The fair value of interest rate lock commitments, which are related to mortgage loan commitments and are categorized as Level 3 , is based on quoted market prices adjusted for commitments that $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ does not expect to fund and includes the value attributable to the net servicing fee.

Private equity and similar investments: BB\&T has private equity and similar investments that are measured at fair value based on the investment s net asset value. In many cases there are no observable market values for these investments and therefore management must estimate the fair value based on a comparison of the operating performance of the company to multiples in the marketplace for similar entities. This analysis requires significant judgment and actual values in a sale could differ materially from those estimated.
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Short-term borrowed funds: Short-term borrowed funds represent debt securities sold short. These are entered into through BB\&T s brokerage subsidiary Scott \& Stringfellow, LLC. These trades are executed as a hedging strategy for the purposes of supporting institutional and retail client trading activities.

The tables below present reconciliations for Level 3 assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

|  | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private |  |
| Equity |  |
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| Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs <br> Private <br> Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trading | Covered <br> Securities |  | Residential Mortgage Servicing Rights |  | Net Derivatives |  | and Similar Investments |  |
|  | (Dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at January 1, 2012 | \$ 1 | \$ | 984 | \$ | 563 | \$ | 59 | \$ | 261 |
| Total realized and unrealized gains or losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Included in earnings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage banking income |  |  |  |  | (119) |  | 185 |  |  |
| Other noninterest income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Included in unrealized net holding gains (losses) in other comprehensive income (loss) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52 |
| Issuances |  |  |  |  | 134 |  | 138 |  |  |
| Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (18) |
| Settlements |  |  | (60) |  |  |  | 314) |  | 2 |
| Transfers into Level 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers out of Level 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at June 30, 2012 | \$ 1 | \$ | 982 | \$ | 578 | \$ | 68 | \$ | 301 |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings for the period, attributable to assets and liabilities still held at June 30, 2012 | \$ | \$ | 18 | \$ | (38) | \$ | 68 | \$ | 7 |

Six Months Ended June 30, 2011
Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs
Private
Equity


|  | (Dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at January 1, 2011 | \$ 11 | \$ | 119 | \$ | 7 | \$ | 954 | \$ | 830 | \$ | (25) | \$ | 266 |
| Total realized and unrealized gains or losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Included in earnings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage banking income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (77) |  | 26 |  |  |
| Other noninterest income | (3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| Included in unrealized net holding gains (losses) in other comprehensive income (loss) |  |  | (9) |  | (1) |  | 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |
| Issuances |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 126 |  | 21 |  |  |
| Sales | (9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (36) |
| Settlements |  |  | (53) |  | (1) |  |  |  |  |  | (19) |  | (7) |
| Transfers into Level 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Transfers out of Level 3 |  |  | (57) |  | (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (4) |
| Balance at June 30, 2011 | \$ 1 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 1,063 | \$ | 879 | \$ | 3 | \$ | 247 |
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\$ \$ \$ \$ 26 \$ (20) 3 \$ 12
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BB\&T s policy is to recognize transfers in and transfers out of Levels 1,2 and 3 as of the end of a reporting period. During the first six months of 2012, BB\&T did not have any material transfer of securities between levels in the fair value hierarchy. During the first six months of 2011, transfers from Level 3 to Level 2 were the result of increased observable market activity for these securities. There were no gains or losses recognized as a result of the transfers of securities during the six months ended 2011.

The net realized and unrealized gains (losses) reported for mortgage servicing rights assets includes adjustments decreasing the value $\$ 130$ million and the realization of expected residential mortgage servicing rights cash flows by $\$ 38$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. For the quarter ended June 30, 2011, the net realized and unrealized gains (losses) reported for mortgage servicing rights assets includes an adjustment decreasing the value $\$ 60$ million and the realization of expected residential mortgage servicing rights cash flows by $\$ 29$ million. BB\&T uses various derivative financial instruments to mitigate the income statement effect of changes in fair value. During the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the derivative instruments produced gains of $\$ 152$ million and $\$ 59$ million, respectively, which offset the valuation adjustments recorded.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the net realized and unrealized gains (losses) reported for mortgage servicing rights assets includes adjustments decreasing the value $\$ 38$ million and $\$ 20$ million, respectively, and decreasing the value for the realization of expected residential mortgage servicing rights cash flows by $\$ 81$ million and $\$ 57$ million, respectively. The various derivative financial instruments used to mitigate the income statement effect of changes in fair value produced gains of $\$ 99$ million and $\$ 20$ million for the six months ended June 30 , 2012 and 2011, respectively, which offset the valuation adjustments recorded. Refer to Note 6 for a sensitivity analysis of the fair values of these servicing rights to an immediate $10 \%$ and $20 \%$ adverse change in key economic assumptions.

The majority of BB\&T s private equity and similar investments are in Small Business Investment Company ( SBIC ) qualified funds. The significant investment strategies for these funds primarily focus on equity and subordinated debt investments in privately-held middle market companies. The majority of these investments are not redeemable and distributions are received as the underlying assets of the funds liquidate. The timing of distributions, which are expected to occur on various dates through 2021 is uncertain and dependent on various events such as recapitalizations, refinance transactions and ownership changes among others. Excluding the investment of future funds, BB\&T estimates these investments have a weighted average remaining life of approximately two years; however, the timing and amount of distributions may vary significantly. As of June 30, 2012, restrictions on the ability to sell the investments include, but are not limited to, consent of a majority member or general partner approval for transfer of ownership. BB\&T s investments are spread over numerous privately-held middle market companies, and thus the sensitivity to a change in fair value for any single investment is limited. The significant unobservable inputs for these investments are EBITDA multiples that ranged from 4x to 10x at June 30, 2012.

The following table details the fair value and unpaid principal balance of loans held for sale that were elected to be carried at fair value.

(1) The change in fair value is reflected in mortgage banking income. Excluding government guaranteed loans, there were no nonaccrual loans or loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest.
BB\&T may be required, from time to time, to measure certain other financial assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis for the periods ended June 30, 2012 and
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December 31, 2011 that were still held on the balance sheet at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 totaled $\$ 430$ million and $\$ 925$ million, respectively. The June 30, 2012 amount consists of $\$ 209$ million of impaired loans, excluding covered loans, and $\$ 221$ million of foreclosed real estate, excluding covered foreclosed real estate, that were classified as Level 3 assets. The December 31, 2011 amount consists of $\$ 389$ million of impaired loans, excluding covered loans, and $\$ 536$ million of foreclosed real estate, excluding covered foreclosed real estate, that were classified as Level 3 assets. During the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, BB\&T recorded $\$ 25$ million and $\$ 119$ million, respectively, in negative valuation adjustments of impaired loans and $\$ 68$ million and $\$ 85$ million, respectively, in negative valuation adjustments of foreclosed real estate. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, BB\&T recorded $\$ 55$ million and $\$ 222$ million, respectively, in negative valuation adjustments of impaired loans and $\$ 136$ million and $\$ 171$ million, respectively, in negative valuation adjustments of foreclosed real estate. The fair value of impaired loans and foreclosed real estate are generally based on appraised value of collateral. Appraisals incorporate measures such as recent sales prices for comparable properties and cost of construction. In addition, the periodic valuations may include additional liquidity discounts based upon the expected retention period. The valuations are impacted by the market price of the class of real estate and the expected retention period. A shorter retention period would result in an additional liquidity discount.

Additionally, accounting standards require the disclosure of the estimated fair value of financial instruments that are not recorded at fair value. A financial instrument is defined as cash, evidence of an ownership interest in an entity or a contract that creates a contractual obligation or right to deliver or receive cash or another financial instrument from a second entity. For the financial instruments that BB\&T does not record at fair value, estimates of fair value are made at a point in time, based on relevant market data and information about the financial instrument. Fair values are calculated based on the value of one trading unit without regard to any premium or discount that may result from concentrations of ownership of a financial instrument, possible tax ramifications, estimated transaction costs that may result from bulk sales or the relationship between various financial instruments. No readily available market exists for a significant portion of BB\&T s financial instruments. Fair value estimates for these instruments are based on current economic conditions, currency and interest rate risk characteristics, loss experience and other factors. Many of these estimates involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and cannot be determined with precision. Therefore, the calculated fair value estimates in many instances cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, may not be realizable in a current sale of the instrument. In addition, changes in assumptions could significantly affect these fair value estimates. The following methods and assumptions were used by BB\&T in estimating the fair value of these financial instruments.

Cash and cash equivalents and segregated cash due from banks: For these short-term instruments, the carrying amounts are a reasonable estimate of fair values.

Securities held to maturity: The fair values of securities held to maturity are based on a market approach using observable inputs such as benchmark yields and securities, TBA prices, reported trades, issuer spreads, current bids and offers, monthly payment information and collateral performance.

Loans receivable: The fair values for loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, applying interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms and credit quality, which are deemed to be indicative of orderly transactions in the current market. For commercial loans and leases, internal risk grades are used to adjust discount rates for risk migration and expected losses. For residential mortgage and other consumer loans, internal prepayment risk models are used to adjust contractual cash flows. Loans are aggregated into pools of similar terms and credit quality and discounted using a LIBOR based rate. The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate fair values.

FDIC loss share receivable: The fair value of the FDIC loss share receivable was estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, applying a risk free interest rate that is adjusted for the uncertainty in the timing and amount of these cash flows. The expected cash flows to/from the FDIC related to loans were estimated using the same assumptions that were used in determining the accounting values for the related loans. The expected cash flows to/from the FDIC related to securities are based upon the fair value of the related securities and the payment that would be required if the securities were sold for that amount. The FDIC loss share agreements are not transferrable and, accordingly, there is no market for this receivable.
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Deposit liabilities: The fair values for demand deposits, interest-checking accounts, savings accounts and certain money market accounts are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. Fair values for certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies current interest rates to aggregate expected maturities. In addition, nonfinancial instruments such as core deposit intangibles are not recorded at fair value. BB\&T has developed long-term relationships with its customers through its deposit base and in the opinion of management, these items add significant value to BB\&T.

Federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and short-term borrowed funds: The carrying amounts of Federal funds purchased, borrowings under repurchase agreements and short-term borrowed funds approximate their fair values.

Long-term debt: The fair values of long-term debt are estimated based on quoted market prices for the instrument if available, or for similar instruments if not available, or by using discounted cash flow analyses, based on BB\&T s current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of instruments.

Contractual commitments: The fair values of commitments are estimated using the fees charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed-rate loan commitments, fair values also consider the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. The fair values of guarantees and letters of credit are estimated based on the counterparties creditworthiness and average default rates for loan products with similar risks. These respective fair value measurements would be categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

The following is a summary of the carrying amounts and fair values of those financial assets and liabilities that BB\&T has not recorded at fair value:

| June 30, 2012 | Carrying <br> Amount | Total Fair <br> Value <br> (Dollars in millions) | Level 2 <br> Level 3 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Financial assets: | $\$ 12,576$ | $\$ 12,744$ | $\$$ | 12,705 | $\$$ |
| Securities held to maturity (1) | 105,133 | 105,429 | 39 |  |  |
| Loans and leases, excluding covered loans (2) | 3,816 | 4,653 | 105,429 |  |  |
| Covered loans (2) | 831 | 454 | 4,653 |  |  |
| FDIC loss share receivable |  |  | 454 |  |  |

Financial liabilities:

| Deposits | 126,059 | 126,369 | 126,369 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Long-term debt | 22,561 | 24,030 | 24,030 |


| December 31, 2011 | Carrying <br> Amount <br> (Dollars in millions) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Financial assets: | $\$ 14,094$ | $\$$ | 14,098 |
| Securities held to maturity (1) | 100,495 | 100,036 |  |
| Loans and leases, excluding covered loans (2) | 4,718 | 5,706 |  |
| Covered loans (2) | 1,100 | 910 |  |
| FDIC loss share receivable |  |  |  |
| Financial liabilities: | 124,939 | 125,317 |  |
| Deposits | 21,803 | 23,001 |  |

(1) The carrying value excludes amounts deferred in other comprehensive income resulting from the transfer of securities available for sale to securities held to maturity.
(2) The carrying value is net of the allowance for loan and lease losses.
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The following is a summary of the notional or contractual amounts and fair values of BB\&T s off-balance sheet financial instruments as of the periods indicated:

June 30, 2012

|  | June <br> Notional/ Contract Amount |  | Fair Valu (Dolla |  | Decem <br> Notional/ <br> Contract <br> Amount millions) |  | Fair Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contractual commitments: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commitments to extend, originate or purchase credit | \$ | 44,170 | \$ | 81 | \$ | 40,249 | \$ | 71 |
| Residential mortgage loans sold with recourse |  | 1,149 |  | 12 |  | 1,316 |  | 6 |
| Other loans sold with recourse |  | 4,762 |  | 14 |  | 4,520 |  | 15 |
| Letters of credit and financial guarantees written |  | 5,784 |  | 33 |  | 6,095 |  | 27 |
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## NOTE 15. Derivative Financial Instruments

The following tables set forth certain information concerning BB\&T s derivative financial instruments and related hedged items as of the periods indicated:

## Derivative Classifications and Hedging Relationships

|  | Hedged Item or Transaction | June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Notional Amount |  | Fair Value |  |  | Notional Amount millions) |  | Fair Value |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Gain (1) |  | (1) ollars |  |  |  | (1) |  | (1) |
| Cash Flow Hedges: (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate contracts: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pay fixed swaps | 3 month LIBOR funding | \$ | 6,035 | \$ | \$ | (313) | \$ | 5,750 | \$ |  | \$ | (307) |
| Total |  |  | 6,035 |  |  | (313) |  | 5,750 |  |  |  | (307) |
| Net Investment Hedges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign exchange contracts |  |  | 73 | 3 |  |  |  | 73 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 73 | 3 |  |  |  | 73 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Fair Value Hedges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate contracts: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receive fixed swaps and option trades | Long-term debt |  | 800 | 195 |  |  |  | 2,556 |  | 254 |  |  |
| Pay fixed swaps | Commercial loans |  | 185 |  |  | (7) |  | 98 |  |  |  | (5) |
| Pay fixed swaps | Municipal securities |  | 355 |  |  | (166) |  | 355 |  |  |  | (158) |
| Total |  |  | 1,340 | 195 |  | (173) |  | 3,009 |  | 254 |  | (163) |
| Not Designated as Hedges: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Client-related and other risk management: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate contracts: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receive fixed swaps |  |  | 9,225 | 717 |  |  |  | 9,176 |  | 703 |  |  |
| Pay fixed swaps |  |  | 9,440 |  |  | (745) |  | 9,255 |  |  |  | (730) |
| Other swaps |  |  | 2,326 | 1 |  | (5) |  | 2,450 |  |  |  | (6) |
| Option trades |  |  | 1,059 | 40 |  | (44) |  | 1,004 |  | 38 |  | (40) |
| Futures contracts |  |  | 328 |  |  |  |  | 240 |  |  |  |  |
| Risk participations |  |  | 160 |  |  |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign exchange contracts |  |  | 906 | 7 |  | (6) |  | 575 |  | 6 |  | (8) |
| Total |  |  | 23,444 | 765 |  | (800) |  | 22,850 |  | 747 |  | (784) |
| Mortgage Banking: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate contracts: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Receive fixed swaps |  |  | 52 | 1 |  |  |  | 50 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Pay fixed swaps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate lock commitments |  |  | 5,217 | 68 |  |  |  | 4,977 |  | 60 |  | (1) |
| When issued securities, forward rate ag commitments | ents and forward |  | 6,853 | 7 |  | (59) |  | 7,125 |  | 10 |  | (88) |
| Option trades |  |  | 370 | 6 |  |  |  | 70 |  | 5 |  |  |
| Futures contracts |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  | 65 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 12,511 | 82 |  | (59) |  | 12,303 |  | 77 |  | (89) |

Mortgage Servicing Rights:
Interest rate contracts:
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| Receive fixed swaps |  | 5,659 | 166 |  | (2) |  | 5,616 |  | 154 |  | (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pay fixed swaps |  | 4,778 | 1 |  | (136) |  | 4,651 |  | 1 |  | (111) |
| Option trades |  | 19,465 | 361 |  | (145) |  | 9,640 |  | 273 |  | (51) |
| Futures contracts |  | 300 |  |  |  |  | 38 |  |  |  |  |
| When issued securities, forward rate agreements and forward commitments |  | 3,384 | 3 |  |  |  | 3,651 |  | 18 |  |  |
| Total |  | 33,586 | 531 |  | (283) |  | 23,596 |  | 446 |  | (163) |
| Total nonhedging derivatives |  | 69,541 | 1,378 |  | $(1,142)$ |  | 58,749 |  | 1,270 |  | $(1,036)$ |
| Total Derivatives | \$ | 76,989 | \$ 1,576 | \$ | $(1,628)$ | \$ | 67,581 | \$ | 1,525 | \$ | $(1,506)$ |

(1) Derivatives in a gain position are recorded as Other assets and derivatives in a loss position are recorded as Other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.
(2) Cash flow hedges are hedging the first unhedged forecasted settlements associated with the listed hedged item descriptions.
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The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Income

Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

|  | Pre-tax Gain or (Loss) Recognized in AOCI |  |  |  | Effective Portion <br> Location of Amounts <br> Reclassified from AOCI <br> into Income <br> (Dollars in millions) | Pre-tax (Gain) or Loss Reclassified from AOCI into Income 2012 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 012 |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash Flow Hedges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ | (36) | \$ | (90) | Total interest income | \$ | (4) | \$ | (6) |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total interest expense |  | 14 |  | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 10 | \$ | 8 |
| Net Investment Hedges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foreign exchange contracts | \$ | 1 | \$ | (1) |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Effective Portion |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Location of Amounts <br> Recognized in Income (Dollars in millions) |  | Pre-tax Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fair Value Hedges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate contracts |  |  |  |  | Total interest expense | \$ | 103 | \$ | 92 |
| Interest rate contracts |  |  |  |  | Total interest income |  | (5) |  | (5) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 98 | \$ | 87 |
| Not Designated as Hedges |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Client-related and other risk management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate contracts |  |  |  |  | Other noninterest income | \$ | 11 | \$ | 1 |
| Foreign exchange contracts |  |  |  |  | Other noninterest income |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Mortgage Banking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate contracts |  |  |  |  | Mortgage banking income |  | (18) |  | (2) |
| Mortgage Servicing Rights |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest rate contracts |  |  |  |  | Mortgage banking income |  | 152 |  | 59 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 147 | \$ | 60 |
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## The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Income

## Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011



BB\&T uses a variety of derivative instruments to manage interest rate and foreign exchange risks. These instruments consist of interest-rate swaps, swaptions, caps, floors, collars, financial forward and futures contracts, when-issued securities, foreign exchange contracts and options written and purchased. A derivative is a financial instrument that derives its cash flows, and therefore its value, by reference to an underlying instrument, index or referenced interest rate. There are five areas of risk management: balance sheet management, mortgage banking operations, mortgage servicing rights, net investment in a foreign subsidiary and client-related and other risk management activities. No portion of the change in fair value of the derivative has been excluded from effectiveness testing. The ineffective portion was immaterial for all periods presented.

## Cash Flow Hedges

BB\&T s floating rate business loans, overnight funding, FHLB advances, medium-term bank notes and long-term debt expose it to variability in cash flows for interest payments. The risk management objective for these floating rate assets and liabilities is to hedge the variability in the

## Edgar Filing: BB\&T CORP - Form 10-Q

interest payments and receipts on future cash flows for forecasted transactions. All of BB\&T s current cash flow hedges are hedging exposure to variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions related to the payment of variable interest on then existing financial instruments.
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For a qualifying cash flow hedge, the portion of changes in the fair value of the derivatives that has been highly effective is recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) until the related cash flows from the hedged item are recognized in earnings. If a derivative designated as a cash flow hedge is terminated or ceases to be highly effective, the gain or loss in other comprehensive income (loss) is amortized to earnings over the period the forecasted hedged transactions impact earnings. If a hedged forecasted transaction is no longer probable of occurring during the forecast period or within a short period thereafter, hedge accounting is ceased and any gain or loss included in other comprehensive income (loss) is reported in earnings immediately. At June 30, 2012, BB\&T had $\$ 279$ million of unrecognized pre-tax losses on derivatives classified as cash flow hedges recorded in other comprehensive income (loss), compared to $\$ 254$ million of unrecognized pre-tax losses at December 31, 2011.

The estimated amount to be reclassified from other comprehensive income (loss) into earnings during the next 12 months is a loss totaling approximately $\$ 52$ million. This includes active hedges and gains and losses related to hedges that were terminated early for which the forecasted transactions are still probable. The proceeds from these terminations were included in cash flows from financing activities.

All cash flow hedges were highly effective for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, and the change in fair value attributed to hedge ineffectiveness was not material.

## Fair Value Hedges

BB\&T s fixed rate long-term debt, certificates of deposit, FHLB advances, loan and state and political subdivision security assets produce exposure to losses in value as interest rates change. The risk management objective for hedging fixed rate assets and liabilities is to convert the fixed rate paid or received to a floating rate. BB\&T accomplishes its risk management objective by hedging exposure to changes in fair value of fixed rate financial instruments primarily through the use of swaps. For a qualifying fair value hedge, changes in the value of the derivatives that have been highly effective as hedges are recognized in current period earnings along with the corresponding changes in the fair value of the designated hedged item attributable to the risk being hedged.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, BB\&T terminated certain fair value hedges primarily related to its long-term debt and received proceeds of $\$ 90$ million and $\$ 11$ million, respectively. When hedged debt/other financial instruments are retired or redeemed, the amounts associated with the hedge are included as a component of the gain or loss on termination. When a hedge is terminated but the hedged item remains outstanding, the proceeds from the termination of these hedges have been reflected as part of the carrying value of the underlying debt/other financial instrument and are being amortized to earnings over its estimated remaining life. The proceeds from these terminations were included in cash flows from financing activities. During the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, BB\&T recognized pre-tax benefits of $\$ 164$ million and $\$ 92$ million respectively through reductions of interest expense from previously unwound fair value hedges.

## Derivatives Not Designated As Hedges

Derivatives not designated as a hedge include those that are entered into as either balance sheet risk management instruments or to facilitate client needs. Balance sheet risk management hedges are those hedges that do not qualify to be treated as a cash flow hedge, a fair value hedge or a foreign currency hedge for accounting purposes, but are necessary to economically manage the risk associated with an asset or liability.

This category of hedges includes derivatives that hedge mortgage banking operations and MSRs. For mortgage loans originated for sale, BB\&T is exposed to changes in market rates and conditions subsequent to the interest rate lock and funding date. BB\&T s risk management strategy related to its interest rate lock commitment derivatives and loans held for sale includes using mortgage-based derivatives such as forward commitments and options in order to mitigate market risk. For MSRs, BB\&T uses various derivative instruments to mitigate the income statement effect of changes in the fair value of its MSRs. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, BB\&T recorded a gain totaling $\$ 99$ million related to these derivatives which was offset by a decrease in the carrying
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value of mortgage servicing assets totaling $\$ 38$ million. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, BB\&T recognized a $\$ 20$ million gain on these derivatives, which was offset by a negative $\$ 20$ million valuation adjustment related to the mortgage servicing asset.

BB\&T also held, as risk management instruments, other derivatives not designated as hedges primarily to facilitate transactions on behalf of its clients, as well as activities related to balance sheet management.

## Net Investment Hedges

In connection with a long-term investment in a foreign subsidiary, $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ is exposed to changes in the carrying value of its investment as a result of changes in the related foreign exchange rate. For net investment hedges, changes in value of qualifying hedges are deferred in other comprehensive income (loss) when the terms of the derivative match the notional and currency risk being hedged. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) reflected unrecognized after-tax losses totaling $\$ 11$ million for both periods related to cumulative changes in the fair value of BB\&T s net investment hedge.

## Derivatives Credit Risk Dealer Counterparties

Credit risk related to derivatives arises when amounts receivable from a counterparty exceed those payable to the same counterparty. BB\&T addresses the risk of loss by subjecting dealer counterparties to credit reviews and approvals similar to those used in making loans or other extensions of credit and by requiring collateral. Dealer counterparties operate under agreements to provide cash and/or liquid collateral when unsecured loss positions exceed negotiated limits.

As of June 30, 2012, BB\&T had received cash collateral from dealer counterparties totaling $\$ 53$ million related to derivatives in a gain position of $\$ 41$ million and had posted $\$ 756$ million in cash collateral to dealer counterparties to secure derivatives in a loss position of $\$ 746$ million. In the event that BB\&T s credit ratings had been downgraded below investment grade, the amount of collateral posted to these counterparties would have increased by $\$ 8$ million. As of December 31, 2011, BB\&T had received cash collateral from dealer counterparties totaling $\$ 82$ million related to derivatives in a gain position of $\$ 79$ million and had posted $\$ 639$ million in cash collateral to dealer counterparties to secure derivatives in a loss position of $\$ 669$ million. In the event that BB\&T s credit ratings had been downgraded below investment grade, the amount of collateral posted to these counterparties would have increased by $\$ 30$ million.

After collateral postings are considered, BB\&T had no unsecured positions in a gain with dealer counterparties at June 30, 2012, compared to $\$ 3$ million at December 31, 2011. All of BB\&T s derivative contracts with dealer counterparties settle on a monthly, quarterly or semiannual basis, with daily movement of collateral between counterparties required within established netting agreements. BB\&T only transacts with dealer counterparties that are national market makers with strong credit ratings.

## Derivatives Credit Risk Central Clearing Parties

BB\&T also clears certain derivatives through central clearing parties that require initial margin collateral, as well as additional collateral for trades in a net loss position. Initial margin collateral requirements are established by central clearing parties on varying bases, with such amounts generally designed to offset the risk of non-payment. Initial margin is generally calculated by applying the maximum loss experienced in value over a specified time horizon to the portfolio of existing trades. As of June 30,2012 , BB\&T had posted $\$ 152$ million in cash collateral, including initial margin, related to the clearing of derivatives in a $\$ 46$ million net loss position. As of December 31, 2011, BB\&T had posted $\$ 145$ million in cash collateral, including initial margin, related to the clearing of derivatives in a $\$ 60$ million net loss position. BB\&T had no unsecured positions in a gain with central clearing parties at June 30, 2012.
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## NOTE 16. Computation of Earnings Per Share

BB\&T s basic and diluted earnings per share amounts for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were calculated as follows:


For the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the number of anti-dilutive awards was 33.7 million and 41.0 million shares, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the number of anti-dilutive awards was 33.8 million and 41.1 million shares, respectively.

## NOTE 17. Operating Segments

BB\&T s operations are divided into six reportable business segments: Community Banking, Residential Mortgage Banking, Dealer Financial Services, Specialized Lending, Insurance Services and Financial Services. These operating segments have been identified based on BB\&T s organizational structure. The segments require unique technology and marketing strategies and offer different products and services. While BB\&T is managed as an integrated organization, individual executive managers are held accountable for the operations of these business segments.

BB\&T emphasizes revenue growth by focusing on client service, sales effectiveness and relationship management. The segment results contained herein are presented based on internal management accounting policies that were designed to support these strategies. Unlike financial accounting, there is no comprehensive authoritative body of guidance for management accounting equivalent to GAAP. The performance of the segments is not comparable with BB\&T s consolidated results or with similar information presented by any other financial institution. Additionally, because of the interrelationships of the various segments, the information presented is not indicative of how the segments would perform if they operated as independent entities.

Allocation methodologies are subject to periodic adjustment as the internal management accounting system is revised and business or product lines within the segments change. Also, because the development and application of these methodologies is a dynamic process, the financial results presented may be periodically revised.
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The following tables disclose selected financial information with respect to BB\&T s reportable business segments for the periods indicated:

## BB\&T Corporation

## Reportable Segments

Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

|  | Community Banking |  |  |  | Residential Mortgage Banking |  |  |  | Dealer <br> Financial Services |  |  |  | Specialized Lending |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ | 517 | \$ | 483 | \$ | 285 | \$ | 244 | \$ | 210 | \$ | 212 | \$ | 175 | \$ | 155 |
| Net intersegment interest income (expense) |  | 339 |  | 419 |  | (193) |  | (182) |  | (54) |  | (69) |  | (40) |  | (41) |
| Segment net interest income |  | 856 |  | 902 |  | 92 |  | 62 |  | 156 |  | 143 |  | 135 |  | 114 |
| Allocated provision for loan and lease losses |  | 190 |  | 125 |  | 38 |  | 146 |  | 27 |  | 26 |  | 24 |  | 18 |
| Noninterest income |  | 280 |  | 298 |  | 162 |  | 63 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 52 |  | 50 |
| Intersegment net referral fees (expense) |  | 43 |  | 28 |  |  |  | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest expense |  | 447 |  | 526 |  | 92 |  | 69 |  | 25 |  | 23 |  | 58 |  | 59 |
| Amortization of intangibles |  | 10 |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| Allocated corporate expenses |  | 255 |  | 224 |  | 13 |  | 12 |  | 10 |  | 10 |  | 19 |  | 17 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes |  | 277 |  | 341 |  | 111 |  | (103) |  | 96 |  | 85 |  | 84 |  | 68 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes |  | 100 |  | 125 |  | 42 |  | (39) |  | 36 |  | 32 |  | 19 |  | 13 |
| Segment net income (loss) | \$ | 177 | \$ | 216 | \$ | 69 |  | \$(64) | \$ | 60 | \$ | 53 | \$ | 65 | \$ | 55 |
| Identifiable segment assets (period end) | \$ | 61,081 | \$ | 61,410 | \$ | 27,318 | \$ | 21,625 | \$ | 10,303 | \$ | 9,681 | \$ | 18,140 | \$ | 15,168 |


|  | Insurance Services |  |  |  | Financial Services |  |  |  | Other, Treasury and Corporate (1) |  |  |  | Total BB\&T Corporation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | (Dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 30 | \$ | 28 | \$ | 245 | \$ | 232 | \$ | 1,462 | \$ | 1,354 |
| Net intersegment interest income (expense) |  |  |  | 1 |  | 82 |  | 60 |  | (134) |  | (188) |  |  |  |  |
| Segment net interest income |  |  |  | 1 |  | 112 |  | 88 |  | 111 |  | 44 |  | 1,462 |  | 1,354 |
| Allocated provision for loan and lease losses |  |  |  |  |  | (8) |  | (2) |  | 2 |  | 15 |  | 273 |  | 328 |
| Noninterest income |  | 393 |  | 297 |  | 169 |  | 173 |  | (92) |  | (96) |  | 966 |  | 787 |
| Intersegment net referral fees (expense) |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 3 |  | (49) |  | (30) |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest expense |  | 260 |  | 206 |  | 173 |  | 145 |  | 342 |  | 342 |  | 1,397 |  | 1,370 |
| Amortization of intangibles |  | 17 |  | 11 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | (1) |  | (2) |  | 29 |  | 25 |
| Allocated corporate expenses |  | 19 |  | 17 |  | 22 |  | 18 |  | (338) |  | (298) |  |  |  |  |
| Income (loss) before income taxes |  | 97 |  | 64 |  | 99 |  | 102 |  | (35) |  | (139) |  | 729 |  | 418 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes |  | 31 |  | 18 |  | 36 |  | 39 |  | (73) |  | (97) |  | 191 |  | 91 |
| Segment net income (loss) | \$ | 66 | \$ | 46 | \$ | 63 | \$ | 63 | \$ | 38 | \$ | (42) | \$ | 538 | \$ | 327 |
| Identifiable segment assets (period end) | \$ | ,299 | \$ | ,341 | \$ | 216 | \$ | 233 | \$ | 0,172 | \$ | 2,852 | \$ | 78,529 | \$ | 159,310 |
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(1) Includes financial data from subsidiaries below the quantitative and qualitative thresholds requiring disclosure.
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## BB\&T Corporation

## Reportable Segments

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

|  | Community Banking |  |  |  | Residential <br> Mortgage Banking |  |  |  | Dealer <br> Financial Services |  |  |  | Specialized <br> Lending |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | $2011$ <br> (Dollars |  | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ \text { millions) } \end{gathered}$ |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ | 1,023 | \$ | 952 | \$ | 564 | \$ | 498 | \$ | 420 | \$ | 422 | \$ | 341 | \$ | 305 |
| Net intersegment interest income (expense) |  | 697 |  | 849 |  | (384) |  | (365) |  | (113) |  | (141) |  | (81) |  | (83) |
| Segment net interest income |  | 1,720 |  | 1,801 |  | 180 |  | 133 |  | 307 |  | 281 |  | 260 |  | 222 |
| Allocated provision for loan and lease losses |  | 445 |  | 329 |  | 16 |  | 225 |  | 54 |  | 59 |  | 51 |  | 22 |
| Noninterest income |  | 548 |  | 491 |  | 357 |  | 138 |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 105 |  | 101 |
| Intersegment net referral fees (expense) |  | 82 |  | 60 |  | (1) |  | (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest expense |  | 935 |  | 1,064 |  | 177 |  | 132 |  | 50 |  | 45 |  | 121 |  | 114 |
| Amortization of intangibles |  | 19 |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| Allocated corporate expenses |  | 512 |  | 450 |  | 27 |  | 24 |  | 19 |  | 19 |  | 38 |  | 36 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes |  | 439 |  | 484 |  | 316 |  | (111) |  | 188 |  | 161 |  | 152 |  | 148 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes |  | 157 |  | 176 |  | 119 |  | (42) |  | 71 |  | 61 |  | 32 |  | 31 |
| Segment net income (loss) | \$ | 282 | \$ | 308 | \$ | 197 | \$ | (69) | \$ | 117 | \$ | 100 | \$ | 120 | \$ | 117 |
| Identifiable segment assets (period end) | \$ | 61,081 | \$ | 61,410 | \$ | 27,318 | \$ | 21,625 | \$ | 10,303 | \$ | 9,681 | \$ | ,140 | \$ | ,168 |


|  | Insurance Services |  |  |  | Financial Services |  |  |  | Other, Treasury and Corporate (1) |  |  |  | Total BB\&T Corporation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  |
|  |  |  | (Dollars in millions) |  |  |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ | 1 |  |  | \$ | 1 | \$ | 57 | \$ | 51 | \$ | 492 | \$ | 410 | \$ | 2,898 | \$ | 2,639 |
| Net intersegment interest income (expense) |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 162 |  | 116 |  | (282) |  | (378) |  |  |  |  |
| Segment net interest income |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 219 |  | 167 |  | 210 |  | 32 |  | 2,898 |  | 2,639 |
| Allocated provision for loan and lease losses |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | (11) |  | (11) |  | 44 |  | 561 |  | 668 |
| Noninterest income |  | 663 |  | 546 |  | 347 |  | 339 |  | (187) |  | (118) |  | 1,837 |  | 1,501 |
| Intersegment net referral fees (expense) |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  | 8 |  | (93) |  | (67) |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest expense |  | 472 |  | 401 |  | 326 |  | 288 |  | 679 |  | 672 |  | 2,760 |  | 2,716 |
| Amortization of intangibles |  | 27 |  | 21 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  | (1) |  | 51 |  | 51 |
| Allocated corporate expenses |  | 39 |  | 34 |  | 45 |  | 36 |  | (680) |  | (599) |  |  |  |  |
| Income (loss) before income taxes |  | 127 |  | 93 |  | 199 |  | 199 |  | (58) |  | (269) |  | 1,363 |  | 705 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes |  | 38 |  | 27 |  | 74 |  | 75 |  | (111) |  | (184) |  | 380 |  | 144 |
| Segment net income (loss) | \$ | 89 | \$ | 66 | \$ | 125 | \$ | 124 | \$ | 53 | \$ | (85) | \$ | 983 | \$ | 561 |
| Identifiable segment assets (period end) | \$ | 3,299 | \$ | 2,341 | \$ | 8,216 | \$ | 6,233 | \$ | 50,172 | \$ | 42,852 | \$ | 178,529 | \$ | 159,310 |

(1) Includes financial data from subsidiaries below the quantitative and qualitative thresholds requiring disclosure.

NOTE 18. Subsequent Events
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During the second quarter of 2012, BB\&T provided redemption notices to the holders of all its trust preferred securities to exercise certain early redemption provisions based on the terms of the respective trusts. The redemptions of the trusts still outstanding at June 30, 2012, and the related retirement of the junior subordinated debt to unconsolidated trusts with a carrying amount of $\$ 3.1$ billion, were completed in July 2012.

On July 31, 2012, BB\&T issued $\$ 1.2$ billion in depositary shares, with each depositary share representing fractional ownership interest in a share of the Company s Series E Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock. Dividends on the Series E Preferred Stock, if declared, accrue and are payable quarterly, in arrears, at a rate of $5.625 \%$ per annum.

On July 31, 2012, BB\&T completed the acquisition of Fort Lauderdale, Florida-based BankAtlantic, a wholly-owned subsidiary of BankAtlantic Bancorp. Based upon BankAtlantic s June 30, 2012 unaudited balance sheet, BB\&T acquired approximately $\$ 1.8$ billion in loans and assumed approximately $\$ 3.5$ billion in deposits. BB\&T also assumed BankAtlantic Bancorp s obligations with respect to outstanding trust preferred securities, with an aggregate principal balance of $\$ 285$ million. In exchange for the assumption of these liabilities, BB\&T received a $95 \%$ preferred interest in a newly established LLC, which will hold a pool of loans and other net assets. BankAtlantic Bancorp also provided BB\&T with an incremental $\$ 35$ million guarantee to further assure BB\&T s
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recovery of the $\$ 285$ million. The LLC s assets will be monetized over time and once BB\&T has recovered $\$ 285$ million in preference amount from the LLC plus a defined return, BB\&T s interest in the LLC will terminate. The net purchase price received, excluding cash held by BankAtlantic, was $\$ 45$ million, which consisted of net liabilities assumed less a deposit premium of $\$ 316$ million. The net purchase price was based upon a preliminary balance sheet and is subject to future adjustment.
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ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

BB\&T Corporation ( $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$, the Corporation, the Parent Company or the Company ) is a financial holding company organized under the laws o North Carolina. BB\&T conducts operations through its principal bank subsidiary, Branch Banking and Trust Company ( Branch Bank ), BB\&T Financial FSB ( BB\&T FSB ) , a federally chartered thrift institution, and its nonbank subsidiaries.

## Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, regarding the financial condition, results of operations, business plans and the future performance of BB\&T that are based on the beliefs and assumptions of the management of $B B \& T$ and the information available to management at the time that these disclosures were prepared. Words such as anticipates, believes, estimates, expects, forecasts, intends, plans, projects, may, will, should, could, and are intended to identify these forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from anticipated results. Such factors include, but are not limited to, the following:
general economic or business conditions, either nationally or regionally, may be less favorable than expected, resulting in, among other things, a deterioration in credit quality and/or a reduced demand for credit or other services;
disruptions to the credit and financial markets, either nationally or globally, including the impact of a downgrade of U.S. government obligations by one of the credit ratings agencies and the adverse effects of the ongoing sovereign debt crisis in Europe;
changes in the interest rate environment may reduce net interest margins and/or the volumes and values of loans made or held as well as the value of other financial assets held;
competitive pressures among depository and other financial institutions may increase significantly;
legislative, regulatory or accounting changes, including changes resulting from the adoption and implementation of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act ), may adversely affect the businesses in which BB\&T is engaged;
local, state or federal taxing authorities may take tax positions that are adverse to BB\&T;
reduction in $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ s credit ratings;
adverse changes may occur in the securities markets;
competitors of BB\&T may have greater financial resources and develop products that enable them to compete more successfully than $B B \& T$ and may be subject to different regulatory standards than BB\&T;
unpredictable natural or other disasters could have an adverse effect on BB\&T in that such events could materially disrupt BB\&T s operations or the ability or willingness of BB\&T s customers to access the financial services BB\&T offers;
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costs or difficulties related to the integration of the businesses of BB\&T and its merger partners may be greater than expected;
expected cost savings or revenue growth associated with completed mergers and acquisitions may not be fully realized or realized within the expected time frames; and
deposit attrition, customer loss and/or revenue loss following completed mergers and acquisitions may be greater than expected.
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These and other risk factors are more fully described in BB\&T s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 under the section entitled Item 1A. Risk Factors and from time to time, in other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ). Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed in or implied by any forward-looking statements. Except to the extent required by applicable law or regulation, $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ undertakes no obligation to revise or update publicly any forward-looking statements for any reason.

## Regulatory Considerations

BB\&T and its subsidiaries and affiliates are subject to numerous examinations by federal and state banking regulators, as well as the SEC, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, and various state insurance and securities regulators. BB\&T and its subsidiaries have from time to time received requests for information from regulatory authorities in various states, including state insurance commissions and state attorneys general, securities regulators and other regulatory authorities, concerning their business practices. Such requests are considered incidental to the normal conduct of business. Refer to BB\&T s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for additional disclosures with respect to laws and regulations affecting the Company s businesses.

## Critical Accounting Policies

The accounting and reporting policies of BB\&T Corporation and its subsidiaries are in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ) and conform to the accounting and reporting guidelines prescribed by bank regulatory authorities. BB\&T s financial position and results of operations are affected by management s application of accounting policies, including estimates, assumptions and judgments made to arrive at the carrying value of assets and liabilities and amounts reported for revenues and expenses. Different assumptions in the application of these policies could result in material changes in BB\&T s consolidated financial position and/or consolidated results of operations and related disclosures. The more critical accounting and reporting policies include BB\&T s accounting for the allowance for credit losses, determining fair value of financial instruments, intangible assets and other purchase accounting related adjustments associated with mergers and acquisitions, costs and benefit obligations associated with BB\&T s pension and postretirement benefit plans, and income taxes. Understanding BB\&T s accounting policies is fundamental to understanding BB\&T s consolidated financial position and consolidated results of operations. Accordingly, BB\&T s critical accounting policies are discussed in detail in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in BB\&T s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. BB\&T s significant accounting policies and changes in accounting principles and effects of new accounting pronouncements are discussed in detail in Note 1 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in BB\&T s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. There have been no changes to $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ s significant accounting policies during 2012. Additional disclosures regarding the effects of new accounting pronouncements are included in Note 1 Basis of Presentation included herein.

## Executive Summary

Consolidated net income available to common shareholders for the second quarter of 2012 of $\$ 510$ million was up $66.1 \%$ compared to $\$ 307$ million earned during the same period in 2011. On a diluted per common share basis, earnings for the second quarter of 2012 were $\$ 0.72$, up $63.6 \%$ compared to $\$ 0.44$ for the same period in 2011. BB\&T s results of operations for the second quarter of 2012 produced an annualized return on average assets of $1.22 \%$ and an annualized return on average common shareholders equity of $11.21 \%$ compared to prior year ratios of $0.83 \%$ and $7.25 \%$, respectively.

Total revenues were $\$ 2.5$ billion for the second quarter of 2012, up $\$ 289$ million compared to the second quarter of 2011. The increase in total revenues included $\$ 110$ million of higher taxable-equivalent net interest income, primarily driven by an increase in earning assets and lower funding costs. The decline in funding costs included a $\$ 29$ million benefit from accelerated amortization of deferred hedge gains and issuance costs due to a change in the expected life resulting from the announced redemption of the Company s trust preferred securities. The net
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interest margin was $3.95 \%$, down 20 basis points compared to the second quarter of 2011. Noninterest income increased $\$ 179$ million. The increase in noninterest income was largely attributable to $\$ 99$ million of higher revenues from mortgage banking activities and a $\$ 94$ million increase in insurance income. The increase in insurance income included approximately $\$ 77$ million as a result of the acquisition of the life and property and casualty insurance operating divisions of Crump Group Inc. ( Crump Insurance ) on April 2, 2012, as well as firming market conditions for insurance premiums. In addition, other income was up $\$ 16$ million due primarily to $\$ 27$ million of losses and write-downs on commercial loans held for sale in the second quarter of 2011. The increases were partially offset by a decline of $\$ 34$ million from checkcard fees primarily due to the implementation of the Durbin amendment.

The provision for credit losses, excluding covered loans, for the second quarter of 2012 declined $\$ 54$ million, or $17.3 \%$, compared to the second quarter of 2011, as improving credit quality resulted in lower provision expense. Net charge-offs, excluding covered loans, for the second quarter of 2012 were $\$ 119$ million lower than the second quarter of 2011.

Noninterest expenses were $\$ 1.4$ billion for the second quarter of 2012 , up slightly compared to the second quarter of 2011. The increase in noninterest expenses was primarily due to higher personnel costs, which were up $\$ 92$ million compared to the second quarter of 2011. The increase in personnel costs was due to salaries and wages, as well as pension expense and included approximately $\$ 50$ million related to the acquisition of Crump Insurance. Foreclosed property expenses decreased $\$ 73$ million due to fewer losses and lower carrying costs as a result of reduced inventory. Regulatory charges declined $\$ 16$ million as a result of lower deposit insurance expense due to improved credit quality.

The provision for income taxes was $\$ 191$ million for the second quarter of 2012 compared to $\$ 91$ million for the second quarter of 2011. This resulted in an effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2012 of $26.2 \%$ compared to $21.8 \%$ for the prior year s second quarter. The increase in the effective tax rate was primarily due to higher levels of pre-tax earnings relative to permanent tax differences in 2012 compared to 2011. The current quarter also included a $\$ 12$ million tax benefit due to the termination of the last leveraged leases.

Asset quality improved significantly during the second quarter of 2012. Total nonperforming assets, excluding covered assets, were $\$ 1.9$ billion at June 30, 2012, a decrease of $\$ 359$ million, or $15.9 \%$, compared to March 31, 2012. The decline this quarter is the ninth consecutive quarterly decline in nonperforming assets.

BB\&T s total assets at June 30, 2012 were $\$ 178.5$ billion, up $4.6 \%$ annualized compared to December 31, 2011. Average loans held for investment grew $6.3 \%$ compared to the second quarter of 2011. The growth in average loans held for investment was broad based across all major portfolios. Average deposits increased $17.7 \%$ compared to the second quarter of 2011. In addition, the mix of the portfolio continues to improve with average noninterest-bearing deposits representing $22.1 \%$ of average deposits in the second quarter of 2012, compared to $20.8 \%$ in the same period of the prior year. The cost of interest-bearing deposits continued to decline and was $0.44 \%$ for the second quarter of 2012, compared to $0.72 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011.

Total shareholders equity increased $\$ 1.4$ billion, or $8.3 \%$, compared to December 31, 2011. The increase was driven by earnings and net proceeds of $\$ 559$ million from the issuance of Tier 1 qualifying non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock in the second quarter. The Tier 1 common ratio was $9.7 \%$ and $10.0 \%$ at June 30, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively. In addition, the Tier 1 risk-based capital and total risk-based capital ratios were $10.2 \%$ and $13.5 \%$ at June 30 , 2012, respectively, compared to $12.8 \%$ and $16.2 \%$, respectively, at March 31, 2012. The decline in the regulatory risk-based capital ratios was primarily due to the announced redemption of BB\&T s trust preferred securities following the release of the proposed Basel III capital standards. Under the proposed standards, these types of securities will no longer receive Tier 1 capital treatment. BB\&T s risk-based capital ratios remain well above regulatory standards for well-capitalized banks. As of June 30, 2012, measures of tangible capital were not required by the regulators and, therefore, were considered non-GAAP measures. Refer to the section titled Capital Adequacy and Resources herein for a discussion of how BB\&T calculates and uses these measures in the evaluation of the Company.
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On April 2, 2012, BB\&T closed the acquisition of Crump Insurance. The acquisition creates the largest independent wholesale distributor of life insurance and one of the largest providers of wholesale commercial insurance brokerage and specialty programs in the U.S.

On July 31, 2012, BB\&T announced that it completed the acquisition of Fort Lauderdale, Florida-based BankAtlantic, a wholly-owned subsidiary of BankAtlantic Bancorp expanding BB\&T s presence in the attractive Southeast Florida market.

Refer to BB\&T s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, for additional information with respect to BB\&T s recent accomplishments and significant challenges. The factors causing the fluctuations in the major balance sheet and income statement categories for the second quarter of 2012 compared to the corresponding period of 2011 are further discussed in the following sections.

## Analysis Of Results Of Operations

Consolidated net income available to common shareholders totaled $\$ 510$ million, which generated diluted earnings per common share of $\$ 0.72$ in the second quarter of 2012. Net income available to common shareholders for the same period of 2011 totaled $\$ 307$ million, which generated diluted earnings per common share of $\$ 0.44$. The increase in earnings was driven by higher revenues and lower credit-related costs. BB\&T s results of operations for the second quarter of 2012 produced an annualized return on average assets of $1.22 \%$ and an annualized return on average common shareholders equity of $11.21 \%$, compared to prior year returns of $0.83 \%$ and $7.25 \%$, respectively.

Consolidated net income available to common shareholders totaled $\$ 941$ million, which generated diluted earnings per common share of $\$ 1.33$ in the first six months of 2012. Net income available to common shareholders for the same period of 2011 totaled $\$ 532$ million, which generated diluted earnings per common share of $\$ 0.76$. The increase in earnings was driven by higher revenues and lower credit-related costs. BB\&T s results of operations for the first six months of 2012 produced an annualized return on average assets of $1.13 \%$ and an annualized return on average common shareholders equity of $10.49 \%$, compared to prior year returns of $0.72 \%$ and $6.38 \%$, respectively.

The following table sets forth selected financial ratios for the last five calendar quarters.

## Table 1

## Annualized Profitability Measures

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 2 / 3 1 / 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 / 3 0 / 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 / 3 0 / 1 1}$ |  |  |  |
| Rate of return on: | $\mathbf{6 / 3 0 / 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 / 3 1 / 1 2}$ |  |  |  |
| Average assets | $1.22 \%$ | $1.03 \%$ | $0.93 \%$ | $0.89 \%$ | $0.83 \%$ |
| Average common shareholders equity | 11.21 | 9.75 | 8.76 | 8.30 | 7.25 |
| Net interest margin (taxable equivalent) | 3.95 | 3.9 | 4.02 | 4.09 | 4.15 |

Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin

## Second Quarter 2012 compared to Second Quarter 2011

Net interest income on a fully taxable-equivalent ( FTE ) basis was $\$ 1.5$ billion for the second quarter of 2012, an increase of $7.9 \%$ compared to the same period in 2011. The higher net interest income was driven by an increase in earning assets and lower funding costs. The decline in funding costs included a $\$ 29$ million benefit from the accelerated amortization of deferred hedge gains and issuance costs due to a change in the expected life, resulting from the announced redemption of the Company s trust preferred securities. For the quarter ended June 30, 2012, average earning assets increased $\$ 18.2$ billion, or $13.5 \%$, compared to the same period of 2011 , while average interest-bearing liabilities increased $\$ 10.7$ billion, or $9.5 \%$. The net interest margin was $3.95 \%$ for the second quarter of 2012 compared to $4.15 \%$ for the same period of 2011. The 20 basis point decline in the net interest margin was due to runoff of covered assets, lower yields on new loans and growth in the securities portfolio, which has been partially offset by lower funding costs.
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The FTE yield on the average securities portfolio for the second quarter of 2012 was $2.62 \%$, which was equal to the annualized yield earned during the second quarter of 2011.

The annualized FTE yield for the total loan portfolio for the second quarter of 2012 was $5.45 \%$ compared to $5.94 \%$ in the second quarter of 2011. The decrease in the FTE yield on the total loan portfolio was primarily due to runoff of covered loans from the Colonial acquisition and lower yields on new loans due to the low interest-rate environment.

The average rate for interest-bearing deposits for the second quarter of 2012 was $0.44 \%$ compared to $0.72 \%$ for the same period in the prior year, reflecting a decrease in relatively higher-rate certificates of deposit and management s ability to lower rates on other deposit products. Management expects interest-bearing deposit costs to fall to approximately 30 basis points over the next several quarters.

For the second quarter of 2012, the average annualized FTE rate paid on short-term borrowings was $0.31 \%$ compared to $0.22 \%$ during the second quarter of 2011. The average annualized rate paid on long-term debt for the second quarter of 2012 was $2.79 \%$ compared to $3.14 \%$ for the same period in 2011. The decline in the average rate paid on long-term debt reflects the positive impact of accelerated amortization from certain derivatives that were unwound in a gain position. The current quarter includes an additional $\$ 29$ million benefit of accelerated amortization and issuance costs resulting from the announced redemption of the Company s trust preferred securities.

The net interest margin is expected to be in the $3.90 \%$ to $3.95 \%$ range in the second half of 2012.

Six Months of 2012 compared to Six Months of 2011
Net interest income on a fully taxable-equivalent ( FTE ) basis was $\$ 3.0$ billion for the six months ended June 30, 2012, an increase of $9.7 \%$ compared to the same period in 2011. The higher net interest income was driven by an increase in earning assets and lower funding costs. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, average earning assets increased $\$ 17.7$ billion, or $13.2 \%$, compared to the same period of 2011, while average interest-bearing liabilities increased $\$ 10.3$ billion, or $9.1 \%$. The net interest margin was $3.94 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to $4.08 \%$ for the same period of 2011 . The 14 basis point decline in the net interest margin was due to runoff of covered assets, lower yields on new loans and growth in the securities portfolio, which has been partially offset by lower funding costs.

The FTE yield on the average securities portfolio for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was $2.66 \%$, which represents an increase of 6 basis points compared to the annualized yield earned during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

The annualized FTE yield for the total loan portfolio for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was $5.50 \%$ compared to $5.94 \%$ in the corresponding period of 2011. The decrease in the FTE yield on the total loan portfolio was primarily due to runoff of covered loans from the Colonial acquisition and lower yields on new loans due to the low interest-rate environment.

The average rate for interest-bearing deposits for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was $0.47 \%$ compared to $0.77 \%$ for the same period in the prior year, reflecting a decrease in relatively higher-rate certificates of deposit and management s ability to lower rates on other deposit products.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the average annualized FTE rate paid on short-term borrowings was $0.27 \%$, equal to the rate paid for the same period of 2011. The average annualized rate paid on long-term debt for the six months of 2012 was $3.10 \%$ compared to $3.55 \%$ for the same period in 2011. The decline in the average rate paid on long-term debt reflects the positive impact of accelerated amortization from certain derivatives that were unwound in a gain position.

The following tables set forth the major components of net interest income and the related annualized yields and rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same periods in 2011, as well as the variances between the periods caused by changes in interest rates versus changes in volumes. Changes attributable to the mix of assets and liabilities have been allocated proportionally between the changes due to rate and the changes due to volume.
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Table 2-1

FTE Net Interest Income and Rate / Volume Analysis

Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011

|  | Average Balances (6) $2012$ <br> 2011 |  |  |  | Annualized Yield/Rate |  | Income/Expense |  |  |  | Increase <br> (Decrease) |  |  | Change due to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (Dollars | n mi | illions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total securities, at amortized cost (1)(2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government-sponsored entities (GSE) | \$ | 848 | \$ | 106 | 1.49 \% | 1.91 \% | \$ | 3 | \$ |  | \$ | 3 | \$ |  | \$ | 3 |
| Mortgage-backed securities issued by GSE |  | 32,176 |  | 22,516 | 1.98 | 1.69 |  | 160 |  | 95 |  | 65 |  | 18 |  | 47 |
| States and political subdivisions |  | 1,857 |  | 1,889 | 5.85 | 5.74 |  | 27 |  | 28 |  | (1) |  | (1) |  |  |
| Non-agency mortgage-backed securities |  | 338 |  | 547 | 5.76 | 6.44 |  | 5 |  | 8 |  | (3) |  | (1) |  | (2) |
| Other securities |  | 704 |  | 745 | 1.58 | 1.49 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covered securities |  | 1,191 |  | 1,257 | 15.62 | 13.66 |  | 46 |  | 43 |  | 3 |  | 5 |  | (2) |
| Total securities |  | 37,114 |  | 27,060 | 2.62 | 2.62 |  | 244 |  | 177 |  | 67 |  | 21 |  | 46 |
| Other earning assets (3) |  | 3,511 |  | 2,834 | 0.69 | 0.62 |  | 6 |  | 4 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income (1)(4)(5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial |  | 36,293 |  | 33,647 | 4.06 | 4.25 |  | 366 |  | 356 |  | 10 |  | (16) |  | 26 |
| Commercial real estate-other |  | 10,578 |  | 11,287 | 3.79 | 3.79 |  | 100 |  | 107 |  | (7) |  |  |  | (7) |
| Commercial real estate-residential ADC |  | 1,744 |  | 2,933 | 3.67 | 3.56 |  | 16 |  | 26 |  | (10) |  | 1 |  | (11) |
| Direct retail lending |  | 15,042 |  | 13,629 | 4.82 | 5.15 |  | 181 |  | 175 |  | 6 |  | (12) |  | 18 |
| Sales finance |  | 7,690 |  | 7,184 | 4.03 | 4.99 |  | 77 |  | 90 |  | (13) |  | (19) |  | 6 |
| Revolving credit |  | 2,178 |  | 2,070 | 8.35 | 8.75 |  | 45 |  | 45 |  |  |  | (2) |  | 2 |
| Residential mortgage |  | 22,114 |  | 18,311 | 4.47 | 4.80 |  | 247 |  | 219 |  | 28 |  | (16) |  | 44 |
| Other lending subsidiaries |  | 9,370 |  | 8,029 | 11.17 | 11.68 |  | 260 |  | 233 |  | 27 |  | (11) |  | 38 |
| Other acquired |  | 29 |  | 53 | 46.05 | 34.52 |  | 3 |  | 5 |  | (2) |  | 1 |  | (3) |
| Total loans and leases held for investment (excluding covered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covered |  | 4,211 |  | 5,625 | 19.01 | 19.47 |  | 200 |  | 274 |  | (74) |  | (6) |  | (68) |
| Total loans and leases held for |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans held for sale |  | 2,511 |  | 1,573 | 3.51 | 4.01 |  | 22 |  | 16 |  | 6 |  | (2) |  | 8 |
| Total loans and leases |  | 111,760 |  | 104,341 | 5.45 | 5.94 |  | 1,517 |  | 1,546 |  | (29) |  | (82) |  | 53 |
| Total earning assets |  | 152,385 |  | 134,235 | 4.65 | 5.16 |  | 1,767 |  | 1,727 |  | 40 |  | (60) |  | 100 |
| Nonearning assets |  | 24,485 |  | 23,495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 176,870 | \$ | 157,730 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Liabilities and Shareholders

## Equity

| Interest-bearing deposits | $\$$ | 19,911 | $\$$ | 18,337 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 6 | 8 | $(2)$ | $(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest-checking |  | 46,557 | 39,388 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 22 | 35 | $(13)$ | $(18)$ |  |
| Money market and savings |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |


| Certificates and other time deposits | 31,205 |  | 25,977 | 1.02 | 1.72 |  | 79 |  | 111 |  | (32) |  | (51) |  | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foreign deposits -interest-bearing | 32 |  | 613 | 0.06 | (0.97) |  |  |  | (2) |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 97,705 |  | 84,315 | 0.44 | 0.72 |  | 107 |  | 152 |  | (45) |  | (71) |  | 26 |
| Federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and short-term borrowed funds (1) | 3,362 |  | 5,486 | 0.31 | 0.22 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | (1) |  | 1 |  | (2) |
| Long-term debt | 22,544 |  | 23,114 | 2.79 | 3.14 |  | 157 |  | 181 |  | (24) |  | (20) |  | (4) |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 123,611 |  | 112,915 | 0.87 | 1.19 |  | 267 |  | 337 |  | (70) |  | (90) |  | 20 |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | 27,643 |  | 22,151 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 6,879 |  | 5,592 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shareholders equity | 18,737 |  | 17,072 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | 176,870 | \$ | 157,730 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average interest rate spread |  |  |  | 3.78 \% | 3.97 \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest margin/ net interest income |  |  |  | 3.95 \% | 4.15 \% | \$ | 1,500 | \$ | 1,390 | \$ | 110 | \$ | 30 | \$ | 80 |
| Taxable equivalent adjustment |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 38 | \$ | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) Yields are stated on a taxable equivalent basis assuming tax rates in effect for the periods presented.
(2) Total securities include securities available for sale and securities held to maturity.
(3) Includes Federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements or similar arrangements, interest-bearing deposits with banks, trading securities, FHLB stock and other earning assets.
(4) Loan fees, which are not material for any of the periods shown, have been included for rate calculation purposes.
(5) Nonaccrual loans have been included in the average balances.
(6) Excludes basis adjustments for fair value hedges.
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Table 2-2

FTE Net Interest Income and Rate / Volume Analysis

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011


Liabilities and Shareholders

## Equity

| Interest-bearing deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest-checking | \$ | 19,812 | \$ | 17,980 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 12 | 15 | (3) | (4) | 1 |
| Money market and savings |  | 46,112 |  | 39,060 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 44 | 75 | (31) | (43) | 12 |


| Certificates and other time deposits |  | 32,073 |  | 26,393 | 1.08 | 1.80 |  | 172 |  | 235 |  | (63) |  | (107) |  | 44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foreign deposits -interest-bearing |  | 72 |  | 1,035 | 0.04 | (0.47) |  |  |  | (2) |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits |  | 98,069 |  | 84,468 | 0.47 | 0.77 |  | 228 |  | 323 |  | (95) |  | (153) |  | 58 |
| Federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and short-term borrowed funds (1) |  | 3,407 |  | 6,381 | 0.27 | 0.27 |  | 4 |  | 9 |  | (5) |  |  |  | (5) |
| Long-term debt |  | 22,132 |  | 22,500 | 3.10 | 3.55 |  | 342 |  | 397 |  | (55) |  | (49) |  | (6) |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities |  | 123,608 |  | 113,349 | 0.93 | 1.29 |  | 574 |  | 729 |  | (155) |  | (202) |  | 47 |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits |  | 26,908 |  | 21,574 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities |  | 6,621 |  | 5,535 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shareholders equity |  | 18,283 |  | 16,874 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ | 175,420 | \$ | 157,332 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average interest rate spread |  |  |  |  | 3.77 | 3.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest margin/ net interest income |  |  |  |  | 3.94 \% | 4.08 \% | \$ | 2,973 | \$ | 2,711 | \$ | 262 | \$ | 118 | \$ | 144 |
| Taxable equivalent adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 75 | \$ | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) Yields are stated on a taxable equivalent basis assuming tax rates in effect for the periods presented.
(2) Total securities include securities available for sale and securities held to maturity.
(3) Includes Federal funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements or similar arrangements, interest-bearing deposits with banks, trading securities, FHLB stock and other earning assets.
(4) Loan fees, which are not material for any of the periods shown, have been included for rate calculation purposes.
(5) Nonaccrual loans have been included in the average balances.
(6) Excludes basis adjustments for fair value hedges.
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## Revenue, Net of Provision Impact from Acquired Assets

The following table provides information related to covered and acquired loans, covered securities and the FDIC loss sharing asset recognized in the Colonial acquisition. The table excludes all amounts related to other assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the acquisition.

Table 3

## Revenue, Net of Provision Impact from Acquired Assets

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Three Month } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  | Ended June 30, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Six Months } \\ & 2012 \\ & \text { nillions) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ed June 30, } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income-loans | \$ | 203 | \$ | 279 | \$ | 431 | \$ | 545 |
| Interest income-securities |  | 46 |  | 43 |  | 80 |  | 80 |
| Total interest income |  | 249 |  | 322 |  | 511 |  | 625 |
| Provision for covered loans |  | (14) |  | (15) |  | (17) |  | (15) |
| Other-than-temporary-impairment for covered securities |  |  |  |  |  | (4) |  |  |
| FDIC loss share income, net |  | (74) |  | (81) |  | (131) |  | (139) |
| Net revenue after provision for covered loans | \$ | 161 | \$ | 226 | \$ | 359 | \$ | 471 |
| FDIC loss share income, net |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset to provision for covered loans | \$ | 11 | \$ | 12 | \$ | 14 | \$ | 12 |
| Accretion due to credit loss improvement |  | (67) |  | (80) |  | (124) |  | (130) |
| Offset to OTTI for covered securities |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| Accretion for securities |  | (18) |  | (13) |  | (24) |  | (21) |
|  | \$ | (74) | \$ | (81) | \$ | (131) | \$ | (139) |

## Second Quarter 2012 compared to Second Quarter 2011

Interest income for the second quarter of 2012 on loans and securities acquired in the Colonial acquisition decreased $\$ 73$ million compared to the second quarter of 2011. Interest income on acquired loans decreased $\$ 76$ million reflecting lower average loan balances. The yield on covered and other acquired loans for the second quarter of 2012 was $19.20 \%$ compared to $19.61 \%$ in 2011.

The provision for covered loans was $\$ 14$ million in the current quarter compared to $\$ 15$ million in the second quarter of 2011. The provision for covered loans based on the second quarter of 2012 reassessment was primarily the result of slight deterioration in one loan pool.

FDIC loss share income, net was a negative $\$ 74$ million for the second quarter of 2012, which was due to negative accretion attributable to the offset for the cumulative impact of cash flow reassessments for covered loans and negative accretion for covered securities. This was partially offset by the loss sharing on the provision for covered loans. The negative accretion related to the improvement in credit losses is recognized on a level yield basis over the life of the related FDIC loss share asset, which has a shorter weighted average life than the corresponding loans.

## Six Months of 2012 compared to Six Months of 2011

Interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 on loans and securities acquired in the Colonial acquisition decreased $\$ 114$ million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to lower average loan balances. The yield on covered and other acquired loans for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was $19.35 \%$ compared to $18.83 \%$ in the corresponding period of 2011.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the change in the accretable yield from the reassessment of purchased nonimpaired loans and purchased impaired loans was a decrease of $\$ 44$ million and $\$ 92$ million, respectively, due primarily to changes in the expected lives of loans. At June 30, 2012, the accretable yield
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balance on these loans was $\$ 1.2$ billion. Accretable yield represents the excess of future cash flows above the current net carrying amount of loans and will be recognized into income over the remaining life of the covered and acquired loans.

The provision for covered loans was $\$ 17$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to $\$ 15$ million for the same period of the prior year.

FDIC loss share income, net was a negative $\$ 131$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to a negative $\$ 139$ million for the corresponding period of the prior year.

## Provision for Credit Losses

## Second Quarter 2012 compared to Second Quarter 2011

The provision for credit losses totaled $\$ 273$ million (including $\$ 14$ million for covered loans) for the second quarter of 2012 compared to $\$ 328$ million (including $\$ 15$ million for covered loans) for the second quarter of 2011 . Overall, the provision for credit losses declined due to improving credit trends, as net charge-offs were down $24.1 \%$ (or $26.8 \%$ excluding covered loan charge-offs) compared to the second quarter of 2011. The decrease in the overall provision for credit losses primarily relates to real estate portfolios, which had higher provisions in 2011. The provision for credit losses related to commercial and industrial loans was higher than 2011, primarily due to updates to loss factor estimates. In addition, management reduced the unallocated reserve by $\$ 15$ million in the second quarter of 2012 due to improving credit trends.

Net charge-offs were $1.21 \%$ of average loans and leases on an annualized basis (or $1.22 \%$ excluding covered loans) for the second quarter of 2012 compared to $1.71 \%$ of average loans and leases (or $1.80 \%$ excluding covered loans) for the same period in 2011. Net charge-offs for the second quarter of 2011 included $\$ 87$ million related to the sale of problem mortgage loans. The net charge-off ratio, excluding the sale, would have been $1.46 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011.

## Six Months of 2012 compared to Six Months of 2011

The provision for credit losses totaled $\$ 561$ million (including $\$ 17$ million for covered loans) for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to $\$ 668$ million (including $\$ 15$ million for covered loans) for the same period of 2011. Overall, the provision for credit losses declined due to improving credit trends, as net charge-offs were down $18.8 \%$ (or $21.9 \%$ excluding covered loan charge-offs) compared to the same period of 2011.

Net charge-offs were $1.25 \%$ of average loans and leases on an annualized basis (or $1.25 \%$ excluding covered loans) for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to $1.63 \%$ of average loans and leases (or $1.73 \%$ excluding covered loans) for the same period in 2011.

## Noninterest Income

## Second Quarter 2012 compared to Second Quarter 2011

Noninterest income for the three months ended June 30, 2012 totaled $\$ 966$ million, compared to $\$ 787$ million for the same period in 2011, an increase of $\$ 179$ million, or $22.7 \%$. The increase in noninterest income was driven by insurance income and mortgage banking income, which was partially offset by lower checkcard fees.

Insurance income, which is BB\&T s largest source of noninterest income, totaled $\$ 393$ million for the second quarter of 2012, which was up $31.4 \%$ compared to the same three-month period of 2011. The increase in insurance income reflects the acquisition of Crump Insurance on April 2, 2012, which added $\$ 77$ million in revenue for the quarter, and firming market conditions for insurance premiums. Management anticipates future organic insurance revenue growth, but the third quarter of 2012 will be lower than the second quarter of 2012 due to normal seasonal declines.

Service charges on deposit accounts totaled $\$ 138$ million in the second quarter of 2012, a decrease of $\$ 7$ million, compared to the same quarter of 2011, primarily due to lower overdraft fees, partially offset by pricing changes for routine services related to retail and commercial transaction deposit products, such as monthly maintenance fees and check enclosure fees.
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Mortgage banking income totaled $\$ 182$ million in the second quarter of 2012, an increase of $\$ 99$ million compared to $\$ 83$ million earned in the second quarter of 2011. This increase is primarily due to $\$ 82$ million in higher gains on residential mortgage loan production due to wider margins and increased sales volumes. Included in mortgage banking income during the second quarter of 2012 was a gain of $\$ 20$ million from the net valuation of residential mortgage servicing rights. This compares to a net loss of $\$ 2$ million in the second quarter of 2011. Included in the second quarter 2012 net valuation adjustment is a $\$ 132$ million decrease in the fair value of mortgage servicing rights, primarily due to a decrease in interest rates for new mortgages, which was more than offset by $\$ 152$ million of gains from derivative financial instruments used to manage the economic risk of the mortgage servicing rights. Mortgage banking income for the third quarter of 2012 is expected to be at a similar level to the second quarter of 2012.

Other categories of noninterest income, including investment banking and brokerage fees and commissions, checkcard fees, bankcard fees and merchant discounts, trust and investment advisory revenues, income from bank-owned life insurance, FDIC loss share income, securities gains (losses) and other income totaled $\$ 253$ million during the second quarter of 2012, compared with $\$ 260$ million for the same period of 2011. This decline included a $\$ 34$ million decrease in checkcard fees primarily due to the implementation of the Durbin amendment on October 1, 2011. Other income increased $\$ 16$ million due to $\$ 27$ million of losses and write-downs recorded on commercial loans held for sale in the second quarter of 2011, partially offset by a decrease of $\$ 11$ million related to assets for certain post-employment benefits, which also result in lower personnel costs.

Six Months of 2012 compared to Six Months of 2011
Noninterest income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 totaled $\$ 1.8$ billion, compared to $\$ 1.5$ billion for the same period in 2011, an increase of $\$ 336$ million, or $22.4 \%$.

Insurance income, which is BB\&T s largest source of noninterest income, totaled $\$ 664$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, which was up $20.9 \%$ compared to the corresponding period of 2011 . The increase in insurance income reflects the impact of acquisitions and firming market conditions for insurance premiums.

Service charges on deposit accounts totaled $\$ 275$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, a decrease of $\$ 5$ million, compared to the same period of 2011, primarily due to lower overdraft fees, partially offset by pricing changes for routine services related to retail and commercial transaction deposit products, such as monthly maintenance fees and check enclosure fees.

Mortgage banking income totaled $\$ 398$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, an increase of $\$ 220$ million compared to the amount earned in the corresponding period of 2011 . This increase is primarily due to $\$ 175$ million in higher gains on residential mortgage loan production due to wider margins and increased sales volumes. Also included in mortgage banking income during the first six months of 2012 was a gain of $\$ 60$ million from the net valuation of residential mortgage servicing rights.

Other categories of noninterest income, including investment banking and brokerage fees and commissions, checkcard fees, bankcard fees and merchant discounts, trust and investment advisory revenues, income from bank-owned life insurance, FDIC loss share income, securities gains (losses) and other income totaled $\$ 500$ million during the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared with $\$ 494$ million for the same period of 2011. Other income increased $\$ 54$ million due to $\$ 101$ million of losses and write-downs recorded on commercial loans held for sale in the 2011 period, partially offset by a write-down related to affordable housing investments due to revised estimates and processes used to value these investments of $\$ 42$ million recorded in the first quarter of 2012. Checkcard fees were lower $\$ 63$ million in the 2012 period compared to 2011, primarily due to the implementation of the Durbin amendment on October 1, 2011.

## Noninterest Expense

Second Quarter 2012 compared to Second Quarter 2011
Noninterest expenses totaled $\$ 1.4$ billion for the second quarter of 2012, an increase of $\$ 31$ million, or $2.2 \%$, over the same period a year ago. The acquisition of Crump Insurance added $\$ 64$ million of expenses and $\$ 7$ million of
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amortization of intangibles for the second quarter of 2012. Excluding Crump Insurance, noninterest expenses were down $\$ 40$ million compared to the second quarter of 2011.

Personnel expense, the largest component of noninterest expense, was $\$ 775$ million for the current quarter compared to $\$ 683$ million for the same period in 2011, an increase of $\$ 92$ million, or $13.5 \%$. This primarily resulted from increases of $\$ 42$ million in salaries and $\$ 34$ million in incentive compensation. These increases include the impact of the acquisition of Crump Insurance, annual raises and higher incentives due to improved operating performance and increases for production related lines of business. In addition, pension expense was $\$ 15$ million higher primarily due to increased amortization of deferred actuarial losses. Other benefits expense, including health care expense, payroll taxes and 401 K matching contributions were higher $\$ 18$ million, partially offset by an $\$ 11$ million reduction for post-employment benefits. The acquisition of Crump Insurance added approximately $\$ 50$ million in personnel costs for the second quarter of 2012.

Foreclosed property expenses include the gain or loss on sale of foreclosed property, valuation adjustments resulting from updated appraisals, and the ongoing expense of maintaining foreclosed properties. Foreclosed property expense for the three months ended June 30, 2012 totaled $\$ 72$ million compared to $\$ 145$ million for the second quarter of 2011 . Foreclosed property expenses were lower due to fewer losses and write-downs and lower maintenance costs due to a reduction in inventory compared to the prior year. Management expects foreclosed property expenses to continue to decline throughout 2012, as properties are liquidated.

Occupancy and equipment expense for the three months ended June 30, 2012 totaled $\$ 159$ million, an increase of $\$ 7$ million compared to $\$ 152$ million for the second quarter of 2011.

Other categories of noninterest expenses, including loan processing expenses, regulatory charges, professional services, software expense, amortization of intangibles, merger-related and restructuring charges, and other expenses totaled $\$ 420$ million for the current quarter compared to $\$ 415$ million for the same period of 2011 . The slight increase was due to normal operating increases and additional expenses for the Crump Insurance acquisition. These increases were partially offset by a $\$ 16$ million decrease for regulatory charges, as a result of improved credit quality that has led to lower deposit insurance premiums.

## Six Months of 2012 compared to Six Months of 2011

Noninterest expenses totaled $\$ 2.8$ billion for the six months ended June 30,2012 , an increase of $\$ 44$ million, or $1.6 \%$, over the same period a year ago.

Personnel expense was $\$ 1.5$ billion for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 1.4$ billion for the same period in 2011, an increase of $\$ 128$ million, or $9.3 \%$. This primarily resulted from increases of $\$ 62$ million in salaries and $\$ 40$ million in incentive compensation. These increases include the impact of the acquisition of Crump Insurance, annual raises and higher incentives due to improved operating performance and increases for production related lines of business. In addition, pension expense was $\$ 30$ million higher primarily due to increased amortization of deferred actuarial losses.

Foreclosed property expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 totaled $\$ 164$ million compared to $\$ 288$ million for the six months of 2011. Foreclosed property expenses were lower due to fewer losses and write-downs and lower maintenance costs due to a reduction in inventory compared to the prior year.

Occupancy and equipment expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 totaled $\$ 312$ million, a slight increase compared to $\$ 306$ million for the corresponding period of 2011.

Other categories of noninterest expenses, including loan processing expenses, regulatory charges, professional services, software expense, amortization of intangibles, merger-related and restructuring charges, and other expenses totaled $\$ 830$ million for the six months ended June 30 , 2012 compared to $\$ 796$ million for the same period of 2011 . The increase was due to normal operating increases, additional expenses for the Crump Insurance acquisition, a write-down related to the termination of the last leveraged lease and merger-related charges related to the acquisitions of Crump Insurance and BankAtlantic. These increases were partially offset by a $\$ 36$ million decrease for regulatory charges, as a result of improved credit quality that has led to lower deposit insurance premiums.
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## Provision for Income Taxes

Second Quarter 2012 compared to Second Quarter 2011
The provision for income taxes was $\$ 191$ million for the second quarter of 2012, an increase of $\$ 100$ million compared to the same period of 2011, primarily due to higher pre-tax income. BB\&T s effective income tax rates for the second quarters of 2012 and 2011 were $26.2 \%$ and $21.8 \%$, respectively. The higher effective tax rate in the current year is primarily the result of higher pre-tax income relative to permanent tax differences. In addition, the current quarter also includes a $\$ 12$ million tax benefit due to the termination of the last leveraged leases. The effective tax rate is expected to be up slightly in the third quarter of 2012 compared to the second quarter of 2012.

BB\&T has extended credit to, and invested in, the obligations of states and municipalities and their agencies, and has made other investments and loans that produce tax-exempt income. The income generated from these investments, together with certain other transactions that have favorable tax treatment, have reduced BB\&T s overall effective tax rate from the statutory rate in 2012 and 2011.

Six Months of 2012 compared to Six Months of 2011
The provision for income taxes was $\$ 380$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, an increase of $\$ 236$ million compared to the same period of 2011, primarily due to higher pre-tax income. BB\&T s effective income tax rates for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were $27.9 \%$ and $20.4 \%$, respectively. The higher effective tax rate in the current year is primarily the result of higher pre-tax income relative to permanent tax differences.

Refer to Note 11 Income Taxes in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of uncertain tax positions and other tax matters.

## Segment Results

BB\&T s operations are divided into six reportable business segments: Community Banking, Residential Mortgage Banking, Dealer Financial Services, Specialized Lending, Insurance Services, and Financial Services. These operating segments have been identified based on BB\&T s organizational structure. See Note 17 Operating Segments in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained herein and BB\&T s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, for additional disclosures related to BB\&T s reportable business segments. Fluctuations in noninterest income and noninterest expense incurred directly by the operating segments are more fully discussed in the Noninterest Income and Noninterest Expense sections above. The following table reflects the net income (loss) for each of BB\&T s operating segments:

Table 4

## BB\&T Corporation

## Net Income by Reportable Segments

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, Six Months  <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br>  Ended June 30,  <br> (Dollars in millions)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community Banking | \$ | 177 | \$ | 216 | \$ | 282 | \$ | 308 |
| Residential Mortgage Banking |  | 69 |  | (64) |  | 197 |  | (69) |
| Dealer Financial Services |  | 60 |  | 53 |  | 117 |  | 100 |
| Specialized Lending |  | 65 |  | 55 |  | 120 |  | 117 |
| Insurance Services |  | 66 |  | 46 |  | 89 |  | 66 |
| Financial Services |  | 63 |  | 63 |  | 125 |  | 124 |
| Other, Treasury and Corporate |  | 38 |  | (42) |  | 53 |  | (85) |
| BB\&T Corporation | \$ | 538 | \$ | 327 | \$ | 983 | \$ | 561 |
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## Second Quarter 2012 compared to Second Quarter 2011

Community Banking reported net income of $\$ 177$ million compared to $\$ 216$ million in the prior year. The decrease was primarily due to a $\$ 65$ million increase in the allocated provision for loan and lease losses and a $\$ 46$ million decrease in segment net interest income. The higher provision expense was driven by growth in the direct retail loan portfolio and reserve adjustments as compared to the prior quarter. The decrease in segment net interest income was primarily due to lower funds transfer pricing ( FTP ) credits earned on deposits related to the decline in FTP funding credits from the prior year, partially offset by a corresponding decrease in FTP charges on loans. The decrease in net funds transfer pricing was further offset by improvements in the deposit mix as a result of checking balance growth and a managed reduction in client certificates of deposits. Higher provision expense and lower segment net interest income were offset by a $\$ 79$ million decrease in noninterest expense, primarily due to lower foreclosed property expenses and lower FDIC insurance charges allocated to Community Banking.

Residential Mortgage Banking reported net income of $\$ 69$ million compared to a $\$ 64$ million net loss in the prior year. The increase was primarily due to a $\$ 108$ million decrease in the allocated provision for loan and lease losses and a $\$ 99$ million increase in noninterest income. The decrease in provision expense was due to a sale of nonperforming loans and related losses incurred in the second quarter of 2011 and improving credit trends in the residential mortgage loan portfolio. The increase in noninterest income was primarily due to higher mortgage loan sales and margins and an increase in the MSR fair value as a result of improvement in the net hedge carry of the underlying derivatives. Offsetting higher noninterest income and lower provision expenses was a $\$ 23$ million increase in noninterest expenses associated with increased loan originations, as well as an $\$ 81$ million increase in the provision for income taxes.

Dealer Financial Services reported net income of $\$ 60$ million compared to $\$ 53$ million in the prior year. The increase in net income was primarily due to a $\$ 13$ million increase in segment net interest income, as Regional Acceptance Corporation generated higher margins on new originations in its loan portfolio due to lower FTP cost of funding as interest rates have remained low and relatively stable, coupled with growth in the loan portfolio. Dealer Financial Services grew average loans by $6.4 \%$ compared to the second quarter of 2011.

Specialized Lending reported net income of $\$ 65$ million compared to $\$ 55$ million in the prior year. The increase was primarily due to a $\$ 21$ million increase in segment net interest income, offset by a $\$ 6$ million increase in the allocated provision for loan and lease losses. Segment net interest income growth was driven by Sheffield Financial s loan growth that has resulted through its expanded dealer financing relationships, as well as Mortgage Warehouse Lending s loan growth as a result of market penetration, higher commitment levels, and higher line usage. Sheffield s average loan balances have grown $46.8 \%$ since the end of the second quarter in 2011, while Mortgage Warehouse Lending generated average loan growth of $110.5 \%$ over the same time period as it benefited from the overall strong mortgage refinance market.

Insurance Services reported net income of $\$ 66$ million compared to $\$ 46$ million in the prior year. Noninterest income growth of $\$ 96$ million was primarily driven by the acquisition of Crump Insurance on April 2, 2012, which contributed $\$ 77$ million of insurance income in the second quarter of 2012. In addition, Insurance Services benefited from higher commissions on property \& casualty insurance, life insurance, and employee benefits as insurance pricing continues to firm. Employee benefit commission growth was driven by revenues from two California-based companies acquired in the fourth quarter of 2011: Precept, a full-service employee benefits consulting and administrative solutions firm, and Liberty Benefit Insurance Services, a full-service employee benefits broker. Higher noninterest income growth was offset by a $\$ 54$ million increase in noninterest expense, primarily as a result of acquisition-related personnel costs.

Financial Services reported net income of $\$ 63$ million in the second quarter of 2012, flat compared to the prior year. Net income results were driven by a $\$ 24$ million increase in segment net interest income and a $\$ 6$ million decrease in the allocated provision for loan and lease losses, offset by a $\$ 28$ million increase in noninterest expense. The increase in segment net interest income was primarily due to strong loan and deposit growth generated by Corporate Banking and BB\&T Wealth. Corporate Banking s loan growth of $53.9 \%$ and transaction
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deposit growth of $142.1 \%$ compared to the second quarter of 2011 was generated through both geographic expansion and the addition of industry sector expertise. BB\&T Wealth s loan growth of $37.8 \%$ and transaction deposit growth of $44.4 \%$ as compared to the second quarter of 2011 was generated through client acquisition and cross-sell initiatives. BB\&T Wealth has expanded its loan delivery platform to provide a tailored origination and servicing experience to meet the unique needs of the wealth client, making its lending products more competitive in the market and enabling BB\&T Wealth to better serve current clients and compete for new clients. Captured within the segment net interest income for Financial Services is the net interest margin and funds transfer pricing for the loans and deposits assigned to the Wealth Division that are housed in the Community Bank.

Net income in Other, Treasury \& Corporate can vary due to changing needs of the Corporation, including the size of the investment portfolio, the need for wholesale funding, and income received from derivatives used to hedge the balance sheet. In the second quarter of 2012, Other, Treasury \& Corporate generated net income of $\$ 38$ million, compared to a net loss of $\$ 42$ million in same period of 2011. The increase in net income was primarily driven by a $\$ 67$ million increase in segment net interest income, as a result of the increase in BB\&T s investment portfolio, and a $\$ 40$ million decrease in allocated corporate expenses.

Six Months of 2012 compared to Six Months of 2011

Community Banking reported net income of $\$ 282$ million compared to $\$ 308$ million in the prior year. The decrease in net income was primarily due to a $\$ 116$ million increase in the allocated provision for loan and lease losses and an $\$ 81$ million decrease in segment net interest income. The higher provision expense was driven by growth in the direct retail loan portfolio and reserve adjustments as compared to the prior year. The decrease in segment net interest income was primarily due to lower funds transfer pricing ( FTP ) credits earned on deposits related to the decline in FTP funding rates from the prior year, partially offset by a corresponding decrease in FTP charges on loans. The decrease in net funds transfer pricing was further offset by improvements in the deposit mix as a result of transaction accounts balance growth and a managed reduction in client certificates of deposits. Higher provision expense and lower segment net interest income were offset by a $\$ 129$ million decrease in noninterest expense, primarily due to lower foreclosed property expenses and lower FDIC insurance charges allocated to Community Banking.

Residential Mortgage Banking reported net income of $\$ 197$ million compared to a $\$ 69$ million net loss in the prior year. The increase in net income was primarily due to a $\$ 219$ million increase in noninterest income and a $\$ 209$ million decrease in the allocated provision for loan and lease losses, offset by a $\$ 161$ million increase in the provision for income taxes. The increase in noninterest income was driven by higher mortgage loan sales and margins and an increase in the MSR fair value as a result of improvement in the net hedge carry of the underlying derivatives. The decrease in the allocated provision for loan and lease losses was primarily attributable to improving credit trends in the residential mortgage loan portfolio, reserve adjustments as compared to the prior year, and a sale of nonperforming loans and related losses incurred in the second quarter of 2011.

Dealer Financial Services reported net income of $\$ 117$ million compared to $\$ 100$ million in the prior year. The increase in net income was primarily due to a $\$ 26$ million increase in segment net interest income, as Regional Acceptance Corporation generated loan portfolio growth and higher margins on new originations in its loan portfolio, offsetting lower margins on originations in Dealer Finance s loan portfolio associated with increased competition for loan originations. Regional Acceptance Corporation s higher margin on loans was driven by a lower FTP cost of funding as interest rates have remained low and relatively stable. Dealer Financial Services grew average loans by $6.4 \%$ over the prior year. Competition remains intense in the indirect automobile financing business. Dealer Financial Services continues to focus on maintaining strong dealer relationships, while opening new origination production channels in high-growth markets. Additional focus includes expanding floor plan financing with auto dealers within the BB\&T footprint.

Specialized Lending reported net income of $\$ 120$ million compared to $\$ 117$ million in the prior year. The increase in net income was primarily due to a $\$ 38$ million increase in segment net interest income, offset by a $\$ 29$ million increase in the allocated provision for loan and lease losses. Segment net interest income growth was
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driven by strong results across the Specialized Lending lines of business as average loans grew $17.4 \%$, while the net interest margin improved slightly. Sheffield Financial generated average loan growth of $43.4 \%$ as a result of expanded dealer financing relationships, while Mortgage Warehouse Lending s average loans grew by $119.6 \%$ over the same time period as it benefited from the overall strong mortgage refinance market. Lendmark generated average loan growth of $4.7 \%$, while improving its net interest margin as a result of lower FTP funding costs and changes in its portfolio mix. The increase in the allocated provision for loan and lease losses was driven by current-year loan growth and reserve releases in 2011 related to improvements in the credit quality of the underlying portfolio.

Insurance Services reported net income of $\$ 89$ million compared to $\$ 66$ million in the prior year. Noninterest income growth of $\$ 117$ million was primarily driven by the acquisition of Crump Insurance on April 2, 2012, which contributed $\$ 77$ million of insurance income in the second quarter of 2012. In addition, Insurance Services benefited from higher commissions on property \& casualty insurance, life insurance, and employee benefits as insurance pricing continues to firm. Employee benefit commission growth was driven by revenues from two California-based companies acquired in the fourth quarter of 2011: Precept, a full-service employee benefits consulting and administrative solutions firm, and Liberty Benefit Insurance Services, a full-service employee benefits broker. Higher noninterest income growth was offset by a $\$ 71$ million increase in noninterest expense, primarily as a result of acquisition-related personnel costs.

Financial Services reported net income of $\$ 125$ million compared to $\$ 124$ million in the prior year. Net income results were driven by a $\$ 52$ million increase in segment net interest income and an $\$ 8$ million increase in noninterest income, offset by a $\$ 38$ million increase in noninterest expenses and a $\$ 17$ million increase in the allocated provision for loan and lease losses. The increase in segment net interest income was primarily due to strong loan and deposit growth generated by Corporate Banking and BB\&T Wealth. Corporate Banking s loan growth of $56.1 \%$ and transaction deposit growth of $110.5 \%$ compared to the prior year was generated through both geographic expansion and the addition of industry sector expertise. BB\&T Wealth s loan growth of $35.5 \%$ and transaction deposit growth of $41.5 \%$ as compared to the prior year was generated through client acquisition and cross-sell initiatives. BB\&T Wealth has expanded its loan delivery platform to provide a tailored origination and servicing experience to meet the unique needs of the wealth client, making its lending products more competitive in the market and enabling BB\&T Wealth to better serve current clients and compete for new clients. Captured within the segment net interest income for Financial Services is the net interest margin and funds transfer pricing for the loans and deposits assigned to the Wealth Division that are housed in the Community Bank.

Net income in Other, Treasury \& Corporate can vary due to changing needs of the Corporation, including the size of the investment portfolio, the need for wholesale funding, and income received from derivatives used to hedge the balance sheet. Other, Treasury \& Corporate generated net income of $\$ 53$ million, compared to a net loss of $\$ 85$ million in the prior year. The increase in net income was primarily driven by a $\$ 178$ million increase in segment net interest income, as a result of an increase in BB\&T s investment portfolio, a decrease in FTP funding credits on deposits allocated to the Community Banking segment, and an $\$ 81$ million decrease in allocated corporate expenses applied to the business lines, offset by a $\$ 69$ million decrease in noninterest income and a $\$ 26$ million increase in intersegment net referral expense.

## Analysis Of Financial Condition

## Investment Activities

The total securities portfolio was $\$ 37.6$ billion at June 30, 2012, an increase of $\$ 1.2$ billion compared with December 31, 2011. As of June 30, 2012, the securities portfolio includes $\$ 25.1$ billion of available-for-sale securities and $\$ 12.6$ billion of securities held to maturity. Management holds a portion of BB\&T s securities portfolio as held to maturity to mitigate possible negative impacts on its regulatory capital under the proposed Basel III capital guidelines. The effective duration of the securities portfolio was 2.8 years at June 30, 2012 compared to 3.3 years at December 31, 2011. The duration of the securities portfolio excludes equity securities, auction rate securities and certain non-agency mortgage-backed securities that were acquired in the Colonial acquisition.
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Average securities for the second quarter of 2012 were $\$ 37.1$ billion, an increase of $\$ 10.1$ billion, or $37.2 \%$, compared with the average balance during the second quarter of 2011. Average securities for the six months of June 30, 2012 were $\$ 36.9$ billion, an increase of $\$ 10.8$ billion, or $41.4 \%$, compared with the average balance during the same period of 2011 . The increases in the average securities portfolio primarily reflect the purchase of additional GNMA securities in the latter half of 2011 as part of management s strategy to comply with the proposed Basel III liquidity guidelines.

Earning assets are expected to grow more slowly than loans as securities trend lower due to the decision to be more selective in the reinvestment of cash flows generated by the securities portfolio.

See Note 2 Securities in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements herein for additional disclosures related to BB\&T s evaluation of securities for other-than-temporary impairment.

## Lending Activities

For the second quarter of 2012, average total loans were $\$ 111.8$ billion, an increase of $\$ 7.4$ billion, or $7.1 \%$, compared to the same period in 2011. Average loans held for investment were $\$ 109.2$ billion for the second quarter of 2012, a $6.3 \%$ increase compared to $\$ 102.8$ billion for the corresponding period of the prior year. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, average total loans were $\$ 111.1$ billion, an increase of $\$ 6.3$ billion, or $6.0 \%$, compared to the same period in 2011. Average loans held for investment were $\$ 108.4$ billion for the six months ended June 30 , 2012, a $5.5 \%$ increase compared to $\$ 102.7$ billion for the same period of the prior year. The growth in average loans held for investment was broad-based and across all of the major lending portfolios. Loan growth for third quarter of 2012 is expected to be in the range of $5 \%$ to $7 \%$ on an annualized basis compared to the second quarter of 2012. This excludes the impact of the BankAtlantic acquisition.

The following table presents the composition of average loans and leases:
Table 5

## Composition of Average Loans and Leases

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  |  | 2011 |  |  |
|  |  | Balance | \% of total (Dollars | mill | Balance ons) | \% of total |
| Commercial loans and leases: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 36,293 | 32.4 \% | \$ | 33,647 | 32.2 \% |
| Commercial real estate - other |  | 10,578 | 9.5 |  | 11,287 | 10.8 |
| Commercial real estate - residential ADC (1) |  | 1,744 | 1.6 |  | 2,933 | 2.8 |
| Direct retail lending |  | 15,042 | 13.5 |  | 13,629 | 13.1 |
| Sales finance |  | 7,690 | 6.9 |  | 7,184 | 6.9 |
| Revolving credit |  | 2,178 | 1.9 |  | 2,070 | 2.0 |
| Residential mortgage |  | 22,114 | 19.8 |  | 18,311 | 17.5 |
| Other lending subsidiaries |  | 9,370 | 8.4 |  | 8,029 | 7.7 |
| Other acquired |  | 29 |  |  | 53 | 0.1 |
| Total average loans and leases held for investment (excluding covered loans) |  | 105,038 | 94.0 |  | 97,143 | 93.1 |
| Covered |  | 4,211 | 3.8 |  | 5,625 | 5.4 |
| Total average loans and leases held for investment |  | 109,249 | 97.8 |  | 102,768 | 98.5 |
| Loans held for sale |  | 2,511 | 2.2 |  | 1,573 | 1.5 |
| Total average loans and leases | \$ | 111,760 | 100.0\% | \$ | 104,341 | 100.0\% |
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|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial loans and leases: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 36,157 | 32.6 \% | \$ | 33,540 | 32.0 \% |
| Commercial real estate other |  | 10,628 | 9.6 |  | 11,328 | 10.8 |
| Commercial real estate residential ADC (1) |  | 1,867 | 1.7 |  | 3,105 | 3.0 |
| Direct retail lending |  | 14,858 | 13.4 |  | 13,650 | 13.0 |
| Sales finance |  | 7,603 | 6.8 |  | 7,132 | 6.8 |
| Revolving credit |  | 2,176 | 2.0 |  | 2,077 | 2.0 |
| Residential mortgage |  | 21,585 | 19.4 |  | 18,120 | 17.3 |
| Other lending subsidiaries |  | 9,019 | 8.1 |  | 7,914 | 7.5 |
| Other acquired |  | 34 |  |  | 55 | 0.1 |
| Total average loans and leases held for investment (excluding covered loans) |  | 103,927 | 93.6 |  | 96,921 | 92.5 |
| Covered |  | 4,442 | 4.0 |  | 5,775 | 5.5 |
| Total average loans and leases held for investment |  | 108,369 | 97.6 |  | 102,696 | 98.0 |
| Loans held for sale |  | 2,713 | 2.4 |  | 2,119 | 2.0 |
| Total average loans and leases | \$ | 111,082 | 100.0 \% | \$ | 104,815 | 100.0 \% |

(1) Commercial real estate - residential ADC represents residential acquisition, development and construction loans.

Average commercial and industrial loans were up $7.9 \%$ for the second quarter of 2012 compared to the corresponding period of 2011, and $7.8 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period of 2011. The increases in the commercial and industrial portfolio are largely a result of successful efforts to expand the geographic and industry sector expertise in the middle-market corporate lending arena. Average commercial real estate - residential, acquisition and development loans ( ADC ) declined $\$ 1.2$ billion for both the second quarter of 2012 and the first six months of 2012 compared to the same periods of 2011 . The declines in this portfolio reflect management s decision to lower exposures to higher-risk real estate lending and continued runoff due to weakness in residential real estate development. The balance of the ADC portfolio was $\$ 1.6$ billion as of June 30, 2012. Average commercial real estate - other loans for the second quarter of 2012 declined $6.3 \%$ compared to the second quarter of 2011, and $6.2 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period of 2011. The declines in this portfolio are primarily due to runoff of certain segments of the portfolio.

Average direct retail loans grew $\$ 1.4$ billion, or $10.4 \%$, for the second quarter of 2012 compared to the second quarter of 2011 . For the six months ended June 30, 2012, average loans in this portfolio grew $8.8 \%$, compared to the average for the corresponding period of 2011. This portfolio is primarily home equity loans and lines to individuals. Period end balances in this portfolio have increased for each of the last five quarters and have been driven by demand for home equity loans and non-real estate loans originated through the wealth and small business lending channels.

Average residential mortgage loans held for investment increased $\$ 3.8$ billion, or $20.8 \%$, for the second quarter of 2012 compared to the corresponding period of 2011, as management s strategy was to retain a higher portion of residential mortgage production in the held for investment portfolio. Management revised its strategy late in the second quarter of 2012 and will begin directing the majority of its future mortgage production to the held for sale portfolio. Average loans in this portfolio for the six months ended June 30, 2012, were up $\$ 3.5$ billion, or $19.1 \%$, compared to the same period of 2011 .

Average sales finance loans increased $7.0 \%$ for the second quarter of 2012 compared to the corresponding period in 2011 , and $6.6 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period of 2011. The increases in sales finance loans were due to strong growth in prime automobile loans.
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Average loans held within BB\&T s other lending subsidiaries increased $16.7 \%$ for the second quarter of 2012 compared to the corresponding period of 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, average loans in this portfolio grew $14.0 \%$, compared to the average for the corresponding period of 2011. All of these specialized lending businesses experienced growth during these periods. The largest contributors to growth in this portfolio were equipment finance lending and small ticket consumer finance.

Average loans held for sale increased $\$ 938$ million, or $59.6 \%$, for the second quarter of 2012 compared to the same period in 2011 due to growth of $\$ 1.2$ billion in average residential mortgage loans held for sale as a result of the historic low-rate environment. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, average loans held for sale were up $\$ 594$ million, or $28.0 \%$, compared to the same period of 2011 . This growth includes an increase of $\$ 903$ million in average residential mortgage loans held for sale, partially offset by a decline of $\$ 335$ million in average commercial loans held for sale that were still held in 2011, as part of management s nonperforming loan disposition efforts. All of these commercial loans held for sale were disposed of prior to the end of 2011.

## Asset Quality

BB\&T s asset quality improved significantly during the second quarter of 2012. Nonperforming assets, which includes foreclosed real estate, repossessions, nonaccrual loans and certain restructured loans, totaled $\$ 2.2$ billion (or $\$ 1.9$ billion excluding covered foreclosed property) at June 30, 2012, compared to $\$ 2.8$ billion (or $\$ 2.5$ billion excluding covered foreclosed property) at December 31, 2011. The 22.6\% decrease in nonperforming assets, excluding covered foreclosed property, was primarily due to a decline of $\$ 315$ million in foreclosed real estate and $\$ 225$ million in nonaccrual loans. Nonperforming assets have decreased for nine consecutive quarters and are at their lowest level since September 30, 2008. Refer to Table 8 for an analysis of the changes in nonperforming assets during the six months ended June 30, 2012. As a percentage of loans and leases plus foreclosed property, nonperforming assets were $1.93 \%$ at June 30, 2012 (or $1.72 \%$ excluding covered assets) compared with $2.52 \%$ (or $2.29 \%$ excluding covered assets) at December 31, 2011. Management expects a reduction of $5 \%$ to $10 \%$ in nonperforming assets for the third quarter of 2012 assuming no significant deterioration in the economy.

The current inventory of foreclosed real estate, excluding amounts covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements, totaled $\$ 221$ million as of June 30, 2012. This includes land and lots, which totaled $\$ 117$ million and had been held for approximately 17 months on average. The remaining foreclosed real estate of $\$ 104$ million, which is primarily single family residential and commercial real estate, had an average holding period of 11 months.

Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest, excluding government guaranteed loans and loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, totaled $\$ 147$ million at June 30 , 2012, compared with $\$ 202$ million at year-end 2011, a decline of $27.2 \%$. Loans 30-89 days past due, excluding government guaranteed loans and loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, totaled $\$ 907$ million at June 30, 2012, which was a decline of $\$ 225$ million, or $19.9 \%$, compared with $\$ 1.1$ billion at year-end 2011.

Substantially all of the loans acquired in the Colonial acquisition are covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, whereby the FDIC reimburses BB\&T for the majority of the losses incurred. Given the significant amount of covered loans that are past due but still accruing, BB\&T believes the inclusion of these loans in certain asset quality ratios including Loans 30-89 days past due and still accruing as a percentage of total loans and leases, Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing as a percentage of total loans and leases, Nonperforming loans and leases as a percentage of total loans and leases and certain other asset quality ratios that reflect nonperforming assets in the numerator or denominator (or both) results in significant distortion to these ratios. In addition, because loan level charge-offs related to the acquired loans are not recognized in the financial statements until the cumulative amounts exceed the original loss projections on a pool basis, the net charge-off ratio for the acquired loans is not consistent with the net charge-off ratio for other loan portfolios. The inclusion of these loans in the asset quality ratios described above could result in a lack of comparability across quarters or years, and could negatively impact comparability with other portfolios that were not impacted by acquisition accounting. BB\&T believes that the presentation of asset quality measures excluding covered loans and related amounts from both the numerator and denominator provides better perspective into underlying trends related to the quality of its loan portfolio. Accordingly, the asset quality measures in Table 7 present asset quality information both on a consolidated basis as well as excluding the covered assets and related amounts. In addition,
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BB\&T has excluded mortgage loans that are guaranteed by the government, primarily FHA/VA loans, from its asset quality metrics as these loans are recoverable through various government guarantees. Finally, BB\&T has recorded on the balance sheet certain amounts related to delinquent GNMA loans serviced for others that BB\&T has the option, but not the obligation, to repurchase and has effectively regained control. These amounts are also excluded from asset quality metrics as reimbursement of insured amounts is proceeding in accordance with investor guidelines. The amount of government guaranteed mortgage loans and GNMA loans serviced for others that have been excluded are noted in the footnotes to Table 6.

BB\&T s potential problem loans include loans on nonaccrual status or past due as disclosed in Table 6. In addition, for its commercial portfolio segment, loans that are rated special mention or substandard performing are closely monitored by management as potential problem loans. Refer to Note 4 Allowance for Credit Losses in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements herein for additional disclosures related to these potential problem loans.
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The following tables summarize asset quality information for the past five quarters.

## Table 6

## Asset Quality

|  | 6/30/2012 | 3/31/2012 |  | Three Months Ended 12/31/2011 <br> (Dollars in millions) |  | 9/30/2011 |  | 6/30/2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonperforming assets (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nonaccrual loans and leases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 620 | \$ | 685 | \$ | 582 | \$ | 579 | \$ | 611 |
| Commercial real estate - other | 301 |  | 312 |  | 394 |  | 438 |  | 467 |
| Commercial real estate - residential ADC | 241 |  | 312 |  | 376 |  | 428 |  | 460 |
| Direct retail lending | 133 |  | 139 |  | 142 |  | 151 |  | 172 |
| Sales finance | 13 |  | 15 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | 7 |
| Residential mortgage | 263 |  | 320 |  | 308 |  | 298 |  | 292 |
| Other lending subsidiaries | 76 |  | 60 |  | 63 |  | 56 |  | 52 |
| Total nonaccrual loans and leases held for investment | 1,647 |  | 1,843 |  | 1,872 |  | 1,957 |  | 2,061 |
| Loans held for sale |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  | 116 |
| Total nonaccrual loans and leases | 1,647 |  | 1,843 |  | 1,872 |  | 1,983 |  | 2,177 |
| Foreclosed real estate (2) | 221 |  | 378 |  | 536 |  | 950 |  | 1,147 |
| Other foreclosed property | 29 |  | 35 |  | 42 |  | 36 |  | 29 |

Total nonperforming assets (excluding covered

| assets $)(1)(2)$ | $\$ 1,897$ | $\$$ | 2,256 | $\$$ | 2,450 | $\$$ | 2,969 | $\$$ | 3,353 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Performing troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) (3)
Commercial:

| Commercial and industrial | $\$ 62$ | $\$$ | 76 | $\$$ | 74 | $\$$ | 64 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial real estate - other | 78 | 82 | 117 | 124 | 100 |  |  |
| Commercial real estate - residential ADC | 28 | 30 | 44 | 55 | 105 |  |  |
| Direct retail lending | 114 | 117 | 146 | 141 | 143 |  |  |
| Sales finance | 7 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 6 |  |  |
| Revolving credit | 58 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 62 |  |  |
| Residential mortgage (6) | 636 | 589 | 608 | 568 | 570 |  |  |
| Other lending subsidiaries | 69 | 53 | 50 | 46 | 39 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total performing TDRs (3)(6) | $\$ 1,052$ | $\$ 1,015$ | $\$$ | 1,109 | $\$$ | 1,067 | $\$$ |


| Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 2 | \$ | 2 | \$ | 2 | \$ | 1 | \$ | 4 |
| Commercial real estate - other |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 4 |
| Direct retail lending |  | 38 |  | 48 |  | 55 |  | 52 |  | 59 |
| Sales finance |  | 11 |  | 13 |  | 18 |  | 19 |  | 21 |
| Revolving credit |  | 13 |  | 14 |  | 17 |  | 15 |  | 16 |
| Residential mortgage (7)(9) |  | 78 |  | 72 |  | 104 |  | 91 |  | 90 |
| Other lending subsidiaries |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 5 |  | 5 |  | 7 |
| Other acquired |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 2 |

Total loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing (excluding covered loans) (4)(7)(9)

| $\$$ | 147 | $\$$ | 157 | $\$$ | 202 | $\$$ | 187 | $\$$ | 203 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Loans 30-89 days past due
Commercial:

|  | $\$$ | 53 | $\$$ | 62 | $\$$ | 85 | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial and industrial | 16 |  | 26 | 22 | $\$ 6$ | 72 |  |
| Commercial real estate - other | 9 | 8 | 27 | 35 |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate - residential ADC | 119 | 135 | 14 | 27 | 25 |  |  |
| Direct retail lending | 49 | 50 | 75 | 148 | 154 |  |  |
| Sales finance | 20 | 20 | 22 | 67 | 68 |  |  |
| Revolving credit | 423 | 397 | 479 | 44 | 22 |  |  |
| Residential mortgage $(8)(10)$ | 218 | 172 | 273 | 426 |  |  |  |
| Other lending subsidiaries |  |  | 1 | 243 | 198 |  |  |
| Other acquired |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |

Total loans 30-89 days past due (excluding covered loans) (5)(8)(10)

| $\$$ | 907 | $\$ 70$ | $\$ 1,132$ | $\$$ | 1,057 | $\$ 1,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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(1) Covered and other acquired loans are considered to be performing due to the application of the accretion method. Covered loans that are contractually past due are noted in the footnotes below.
(2) Excludes foreclosed real estate totaling $\$ 310$ million, $\$ 364$ million, $\$ 378$ million, $\$ 355$ million and $\$ 348$ million at June 30, 2012, March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, September 30, 2011, and June 30, 2011, respectively, that are covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements.
(3) Excludes TDRs that are nonperforming totaling $\$ 219$ million, $\$ 263$ million, $\$ 280$ million, $\$ 319$ million and $\$ 381$ million at June 30, 2012, March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, September 30, 2011, and June 30, 2011, respectively. These amounts are included in total nonperforming assets.
(4) Excludes loans 90 days or more past due that are covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements totaling $\$ 613$ million, $\$ 677$ million, $\$ 736$ million, $\$ 872$ million and $\$ 935$ million at June 30, 2012, March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, September 30, 2011, and June 30, 2011, respectively.
(5) Excludes loans past due 30-89 days that are covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements totaling $\$ 199$ million, $\$ 258$ million, $\$ 222$ million, $\$ 211$ million and $\$ 308$ million at June 30, 2012, March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, September 30, 2011, and June 30, 2011, respectively.
(6) Excludes restructured mortgage loans that are government guaranteed totaling $\$ 266$ million, $\$ 242$ million, $\$ 236$ million, $\$ 214$ million and $\$ 184$ million at June 30, 2012, March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, September 30, 2011, and June 30, 2011, respectively. Includes restructured mortgage loans held for sale.
(7) Excludes mortgage loans 90 days or more past due that are government guaranteed totaling $\$ 217$ million, $\$ 218$ million, $\$ 206$ million, $\$ 185$ million and $\$ 162$ million at June 30, 2012, March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, September 30, 2011, and June 30, 2011, respectively. Includes past due mortgage loans held for sale.
(8) Excludes mortgage loans past due 30-89 days that are government guaranteed totaling $\$ 94$ million, $\$ 82$ million, $\$ 91$ million, $\$ 82$ million and $\$ 78$ million at June 30, 2012, March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, September 30, 2011, and June 30, 2011, respectively. Includes past due mortgage loans held for sale.
(9) Excludes mortgage loans guaranteed by GNMA that BB\&T does not have the obligation to repurchase that are 90 days or more past due totaling $\$ 453$ million, $\$ 439$ million, $\$ 426$ million, $\$ 389$ million and $\$ 389$ million at June 30, 2012, March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, September 30, 2011, and June 30, 2011, respectively.
(10) Excludes mortgage loans guaranteed by GNMA that BB\&T does not have the obligation to repurchase that are past due 30-89 days totaling $\$ 5$ million, $\$ 5$ million, $\$ 7$ million, $\$ 7$ million and $\$ 7$ million at June 30, 2012, March 31, 2012, December 31, 2011, September 30, 2011, and June 30, 2011, respectively.
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Table 7

## Asset Quality Ratios

|  | As of / For the Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6/30/2012 | 3/31/2012 | 12/31/2011 | 9/30/2011 | 6/30/2011 |
| Asset Quality Ratios (including covered assets) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans 30-89 days past due and still accruing as a percentage of total loans and leases (1)(2) | 0.97 \% | 1.02 \% | 1.22 \% | 1.18 \% | 1.24 \% |
| Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing as a percentage of total loans and leases (1)(2) | 0.67 | 0.75 | 0.84 | 0.99 | 1.08 |
| Nonperforming loans and leases as a percentage of total loans and leases | 1.45 | 1.67 | 1.68 | 1.85 | 2.07 |
| Nonperforming assets as a percentage of: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | 1.24 | 1.50 | 1.62 | 1.98 | 2.32 |
| Loans and leases plus foreclosed property | 1.93 | 2.35 | 2.52 | 3.05 | 3.46 |
| Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans and leases | 1.21 | 1.28 | 1.44 | 1.57 | 1.71 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of loans and leases held for investment | 1.91 | 2.02 | 2.10 | 2.25 | 2.43 |
| Ratio of allowance for loan and lease losses to: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net charge-offs | 1.57 x | 1.54 x | 1.45 x | 1.42 x | 1.41 x |
| Nonperforming loans and leases held for investment | 1.29 | 1.18 | 1.21 | 1.20 | 1.22 |
| Asset Quality Ratios (excluding covered assets) (3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans 30-89 days past due and still accruing as a percentage of total loans and leases (1)(2) | 0.83 \% | 0.82 \% | 1.06 \% | 1.03 \% | 1.00 \% |
| Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing as a percentage of total loans and leases (1)(2) | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.20 |
| Nonperforming loans and leases as a percentage of total loans and leases | 1.50 | 1.74 | 1.76 | 1.94 | 2.18 |
| Nonperforming assets as a percentage of: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets | 1.09 | 1.33 | 1.45 | 1.83 | 2.18 |
| Loans and leases plus foreclosed property | 1.72 | 2.12 | 2.29 | 2.88 | 3.32 |
| Net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans and leases (4) | 1.22 | 1.28 | 1.46 | 1.44 | 1.80 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of loans and leases held for investment | 1.86 | 1.97 | 2.05 | 2.25 | 2.41 |
| Ratio of allowance for loan and lease losses to: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net charge-offs | 1.52 x | 1.51 x | 1.40 x | 1.55 x | 1.32 x |
| Nonperforming loans and leases held for investment | 1.21 | 1.11 | 1.13 | 1.15 | 1.14 |

$\left.\begin{array}{lll} & \begin{array}{c}\text { As of/For the } \\ \text { Six Months Ended } \\ \text { June 30, }\end{array} \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 1}\end{array}\right]$
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Applicable ratios are annualized.
(1) Excludes mortgage loans guaranteed by GNMA that BB\&T does not have the obligation to repurchase. Refer to the footnotes of Table 6 for amounts related to these loans.
(2) Excludes mortgage loans guaranteed by the government. Refer to the footnotes of Table 6 for amounts related to these loans.
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(3) These asset quality ratios have been adjusted to remove the impact of covered loans and covered foreclosed property. Appropriate adjustments to the numerator and denominator have been reflected in the calculation of these ratios. Management believes the inclusion of covered loans in certain asset quality ratios that include nonperforming assets, past due loans or net charge-offs in the numerator or denominator results in distortion of these ratios and they may not be comparable to other periods presented or to other portfolios that were not impacted by purchase accounting.
(4) Excluding the impact of losses and balances associated with BB\&T s NPA disposition strategy, the adjusted net charge-offs ratio would have been $1.46 \%$ for the second quarter of 2011 , and $1.56 \%$ for the six months ended June $30,2011$.
Certain of BB\&T s residential mortgage loans have an initial period where the borrower is only required to pay the periodic interest. After the interest period, the loan will require both the payment of interest and principal over the remaining term. As of June 30, 2012, approximately $9 \%$ of the outstanding balance of residential mortgage loans is in the interest-only phase, compared to $11 \%$ at December 31, 2011. Approximately $25 \%$ of the interest only balances at June 30, 2012, will begin amortizing within the next three years. As of June $30,2012,4.1 \%$ of these interest-only loans are 30 days or more past due and still accruing and $2.2 \%$ are on nonaccrual status, compared to $4.3 \%$ and $2.8 \%$, respectively, at December 31, 2011.
$\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ s home equity lines, which are a component of the direct retail portfolio, generally require the payment of interest only during the first 15 years after origination. After this initial period, the outstanding balance begins amortizing and requires the payment of both interest and principal. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, approximately $66 \%$ of the outstanding balance of home equity lines is currently in the interest-only phase and less than $5 \%$ of these balances will begin amortizing within the next three years. The delinquency rate of interest-only lines is similar to amortizing lines.

The following table presents the changes in nonperforming assets, excluding covered foreclosed property, during the six months ended 2012 and 2011.

## Table 8

## Rollforward of Nonperforming Assets

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Six Months Ended June 30, } \\ & 2012 \quad 2011 \\ & \text { (Dollars in millions) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at January 1, | \$ | 2,450 | \$ | 3,971 |
| New nonperforming assets |  | 1,331 |  | 1,821 |
| Advances and principal increases |  | 83 |  | 39 |
| Disposals of foreclosed assets |  | (452) |  | (492) |
| Disposals of nonperforming loans (1) |  | (416) |  | (679) |
| Charge-offs and losses |  | (559) |  | (829) |
| Payments |  | (319) |  | (339) |
| Transfers to performing status |  | (222) |  | (141) |
| Other, net |  | 1 |  | 2 |
| Balance at June 30, | \$ | 1,897 | \$ | 3,353 |

(1) Includes charge-offs and losses recorded upon sale of $\$ 117$ million and $\$ 108$ million for the six months ended June 30 , 2012 and 2011 , respectively.
Troubled debt restructurings ( restructurings ) generally occur when a borrower is experiencing, or is expected to experience, financial difficulties in the near-term. As a result, BB\&T will work with the borrower to prevent further difficulties, and ultimately to improve the likelihood of recovery on the loan. To facilitate this process, a concessionary modification that would not otherwise be considered may be granted resulting in classification of the loan as a restructuring. Refer to Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for additional policy information regarding restructurings.
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BB\&T s performing restructured loans, excluding government guaranteed mortgage loans, totaled $\$ 1.1$ billion at June 30, 2012, a decrease of $\$ 57$ million, or $5.1 \%$, compared with December 31, 2011. The decline was primarily related to commercial performing restructurings and direct retail restructurings. The decline in direct retail restructurings was largely due to the removal of restructurings due to performance under the modified terms. Residential mortgage loans represent $60.5 \%$ of performing restructurings at June 30, 2012. The increase during the second quarter of 2012 in residential mortgage performing restructurings was primarily related to nonperforming restructurings that were returned to accrual status due to meeting the performance criteria for the required time period. The following table provides a summary of commercial performing restructuring activity during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

## Table 9

## Rollforward of Commercial Performing Restructured Loans

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, <br> 2012 <br> (Dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at January 1, | $\$ 235$ | $\$$ | 657 |  |  |  |  |
| Inflows | 86 | 73 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payments and payoffs | $(20)$ | $(106)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfers to nonperforming restructurings, net | $(52)$ | $(138)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Removal due to the passage of time | $(52)$ | $(74)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-concessionary re-modifications | $(29)$ | $(54)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance at June 30, | $\$$ | 168 | $\$$ |  |  |  |  |

Payments and payoffs represent cash received from borrowers in connection with scheduled principal payments, prepayments and payoffs of amounts outstanding at the maturity date of the loan. Transfers to nonperforming restructurings represent loans that no longer meet the requirements necessary to reflect the loan in accruing status and as a result are subsequently classified as a nonperforming restructuring.

Restructurings may be removed due to the passage of time if they: (1) did not include a forgiveness of principal or interest, (2) have performed in accordance with the modified terms (generally a minimum of six months), (3) were reported as a restructuring over a year end reporting period, and (4) reflected an interest rate on the modified loan that was a market rate at the date of modification. These loans were previously considered restructurings as a result of structural concessions such as extended interest-only terms or an amortization period that did not otherwise conform to normal underwriting guidelines.

In addition, certain transactions may be removed from classification as a restructuring as a result of a subsequent non-concessionary re-modification. Non-concessionary re-modifications represent restructurings that did not contain concessionary terms at the date of a subsequent renewal/modification and there was a reasonable expectation that the borrower would continue to comply with the terms of the loan subsequent to the date of the re-modification. A re-modification may be considered for such a re-classification if the loan has not had a forgiveness of principal or interest and the modified terms qualify as more than minor such that the re-modified loan is considered a new loan. Alternatively, such loans may be considered for reclassification in years subsequent to the date of the re-modification based on the passage of time as described in the preceding paragraph.

In connection with consumer loan restructurings, a nonperforming loan will be returned to accruing status when current as to principal and interest and upon a sustained historical repayment performance (generally a minimum of six months).
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The following table provides further details regarding the payment status of TDRs outstanding at June 30, 2012.

## Table 10

## Troubled Debt Restructurings


(1) Past due performing restructurings are included in past due disclosures.
(2) Excludes restructured mortgage loans that are government guaranteed totaling $\$ 266$ million.
(3) Nonperforming restructurings are included in nonaccrual loan disclosures.

Allowance for Credit Losses
The allowance for credit losses, which consists of the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments, totaled $\$ 2.2$ billion and $\$ 2.3$ billion at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The allowance for loan and lease losses amounted to $1.91 \%$ of loans and leases held for investment at June 30, 2012 (or $1.86 \%$ excluding covered loans), compared to $2.10 \%$ (or $2.05 \%$ excluding covered loans) at year-end 2011. The decline in the allowance for loan and lease losses, including the unallocated portion, reflects continued improvement in the credit quality of the loan portfolio. The decrease in the overall allowance reflects increases for commercial and industrial loans and direct retail loans, which were more than offset by reductions in commercial real estate, residential mortgage and revolving credit due to updates to loss estimate factors. The allowance for impaired loans decreased from $15.4 \%$ at December 31, 2011 to $13.8 \%$ at June 30, 2012, primarily due to declines for residential mortgage and commercial real estate ADC loans. The ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses to nonperforming loans held for investment, excluding covered loans, was 1.21 x at June 30, 2012 compared to 1.13 x at December 31, 2011.

BB\&T monitors the performance of its home equity loans and lines secured by second liens similar to other consumer loans and utilizes assumptions specific to these loans in determining the necessary allowance. BB\&T also receives notification when the first lien holder, whether $B B \& T$ or another financial institution, has initiated foreclosure proceedings against the borrower. When notified that the first lien holder is in the process of foreclosure, $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ obtains valuations to determine if any additional charge-offs or reserves are warranted. These valuations are updated at least annually thereafter.
$\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ has limited ability to monitor the delinquency status of the first lien unless the first lien is held or serviced by BB\&T. As a result, using migration assumptions that are based on historical experience adjusted for current trends, BB\&T estimates the volume of second lien positions where the first lien is delinquent and appropriately
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adjusts the allowance to reflect the increased risk of loss on these credits. Finally, BB\&T also provides additional reserves to second lien positions when the estimated combined current loan to value ratio exceeds $100 \%$. As of June 30, 2012, BB\&T held or serviced the first lien on $39 \%$ of its second lien positions.

BB\&T s net charge-offs totaled $\$ 337$ million for the second quarter of 2012 and amounted to $1.21 \%$ of average loans and leases (or $1.22 \%$ excluding covered loans), compared to $\$ 444$ million, or $1.71 \%$ of average loans and leases (or $1.80 \%$ excluding covered loans), in the second quarter of 2011. The second quarter of 2011 charges included $\$ 87$ million related to the sale of problem residential mortgage loans. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, net charge-offs were $\$ 689$ million and amounted to $1.25 \%$ of average loans and leases (or $1.25 \%$ excluding covered loans), compared to $\$ 848$ million, or $1.63 \%$ of average loans and leases (or $1.73 \%$ excluding covered loans), in the same period of 2011. Management estimates total net charge-offs, excluding covered assets, to be in the $1.15 \%$ to $1.20 \%$ range in the third quarter of 2012 and trend lower thereafter as nonperforming asset levels continue to decline.

Charge-offs related to covered loans represent realized losses in certain acquired loan pools that exceed the amounts originally estimated at the acquisition date. This impairment, which is subject to the loss sharing agreements, was provided for in prior quarters and therefore the charge-off had no income statement impact.

Refer to Note 4 Allowance for Credit Losses in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional disclosures.

The following table presents an estimated allocation of the allowance for loan and lease losses at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. This allocation of the allowance for loan and lease losses is calculated on an approximate basis and is not necessarily indicative of future losses or allocations. The entire amount of the allowance is available to absorb losses occurring in any category of loans and leases.

## Table 11

## Allocation of Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses by Category

$\left.\begin{array}{l|rrrr} & \text { June 30, 2012 } \\ \text { \% Loans } \\ \text { in each } \\ \text { category } \\ \text { (Dollars in millions) }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { December 31, 2011 } \\ \text { \% Loans } \\ \text { in each } \\ \text { category }\end{array}\right]$
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Information relevant to BB\&T s allowance for loan and lease losses for the last five quarters is presented in the following table.

## Table 12

## Analysis of Allowance for Credit Losses

|  | 6/30/2012 |  | 3/31/2012 |  | Three Months Ended 12/31/2011 <br> (Dollars in millions) |  | 9/30/2011 |  | 6/30/2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance For Credit Losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 2,221 | \$ | 2,285 | \$ | 2,406 | \$ | 2,575 | \$ | 2,691 |
| Provision for credit losses (excluding covered loans) |  | 259 |  | 285 |  | 223 |  | 243 |  | 313 |
| Provision for covered loans |  | 14 |  | 3 |  | 49 |  | 7 |  | 15 |
| Charge-offs: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial loans and leases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial |  | (92) |  | (63) |  | (81) |  | (102) |  | (62) |
| Commercial real estate - other |  | (51) |  | (73) |  | (60) |  | (64) |  | (81) |
| Commercial real estate - residential ADC |  | (74) |  | (54) |  | (92) |  | (61) |  | (78) |
| Direct retail lending |  | (56) |  | (57) |  | (58) |  | (74) |  | (66) |
| Sales finance |  | (7) |  | (7) |  | (8) |  | (7) |  | (7) |
| Revolving credit |  | (20) |  | (22) |  | (21) |  | (23) |  | (24) |
| Residential mortgage (1) |  | (30) |  | (42) |  | (45) |  | (41) |  | (129) |
| Other lending subsidiaries |  | (47) |  | (60) |  | (53) |  | (42) |  | (43) |
| Covered loans |  | (12) |  | (15) |  | (13) |  | (53) |  |  |
| Total charge-offs (1) |  | (389) |  | (393) |  | (431) |  | (467) |  | (490) |

## Recoveries:

| Commercial loans and leases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial and industrial |  | 4 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  | 9 |  | 9 |
| Commercial real estate - other |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 3 |  | 6 |  | 6 |
| Commercial real estate - residential ADC |  | 23 |  | 8 |  | 5 |  | 9 |  | 7 |
| Direct retail lending |  | 8 |  | 10 |  | 10 |  | 10 |  | 8 |
| Sales finance |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 3 |
| Revolving credit |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 5 |  | 4 |  | 5 |
| Residential mortgage |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Other lending subsidiaries |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | 5 |  | 7 |  | 7 |
| Total recoveries |  | 52 |  | 41 |  | 38 |  | 48 |  | 46 |
| Net charge-offs (1) |  | (337) |  | (352) |  | (393) |  | (419) |  | (444) |
| Ending balance | \$ | 2,157 | \$ | 2,221 | \$ | 2,285 | \$ | 2,406 | \$ | 2,575 |


| Allowance For Credit Losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (excluding covered loans) | \$ | 1,987 | \$ | 2,044 | \$ | 2,107 | \$ | 2,242 | \$ | 2,357 |
| Allowance for covered loans |  | 139 |  | 137 |  | 149 |  | 113 |  | 159 |
| Reserve for unfunded lending commitments |  | 31 |  | 40 |  | 29 |  | 51 |  | 59 |
| Total allowance for credit losses | \$ | 2,157 | \$ | 2,221 | \$ | 2,285 | \$ | 2,406 | \$ | 2,575 |
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(1) Includes net charge-offs $\$ 87$ million in mortgage loans during the second quarter of 2011 in connection with BB\&T s NPA disposition strategy.
Deposits
The following table presents the composition of average deposits for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

## Table 13

## Composition of Average Deposits

Three Months Ended June 30,
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|  | 2012 |  |  | 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Dollars in millions) |  |  |  | \% of total |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | \$ | 27,643 | 22.1 \% | S | 22,151 | 20.8\% |
| Interest checking |  | 19,911 | 15.9 |  | 18,337 | 17.2 |
| Money market and savings |  | 46,557 | 37.1 |  | 39,388 | 37.0 |
| Certificates and other time deposits |  | 31,205 | 24.9 |  | 25,977 | 24.4 |
| Foreign office deposits - interest-bearing |  | 32 |  |  | 613 | 0.6 |
| Total average deposits | \$ | 125,348 | 100.0 \% | \$ | 106,466 | 100.0\% |
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|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  |  | 2011 |  |  |
|  | (Dollars in millions) |  |  |  |  | \% of total |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | \$ | 26,908 | 21.5 \% | \$ | 21,574 | 20.3 \% |
| Interest checking |  | 19,812 | 15.9 |  | 17,980 | 17.0 |
| Money market and savings |  | 46,112 | 36.8 |  | 39,060 | 36.8 |
| Certificates and other time deposits |  | 32,073 | 25.7 |  | 26,393 | 24.9 |
| Foreign office deposits - interest-bearing |  | 72 | 0.1 |  | 1,035 | 1.0 |
| Total average deposits | \$ | 124,977 | 100.0 \% | \$ | 106,042 | 100.0 \% |

Average deposits for the second quarter of 2012 increased $\$ 18.9$ billion, or $17.7 \%$, compared to the same period in 2011. The mix of the portfolio has continued to improve with growth of $\$ 5.5$ billion in noninterest-bearing and $\$ 8.7$ billion in lower-cost interest-bearing deposits. Certificates and other time deposits also increased $\$ 5.2$ billion, while the cost for these products declined 70 basis points. The growth in certificates and other time deposits was primarily due to the strategy executed in the latter half of 2011 to attract high-quality corporate clients in connection with meeting the proposed Basel III liquidity guidelines. Partially offsetting the growth in these categories was a decline of $\$ 581$ million in foreign-office deposits as the strong deposit growth reduced the need for these types of funding sources. Growth in noninterest-bearing deposits was led by commercial accounts, which contributed $\$ 3.6$ billion of the growth in this category. In addition, noninterest-bearing deposits for retail accounts and public funds grew $\$ 1.0$ billion and $\$ 829$ million, respectively. Growth in interest-bearing domestic deposits was also led by commercial accounts, which grew $\$ 14.6$ billion. The cost of interest-bearing deposits was $0.44 \%$ for the second quarter of 2012, a decrease of 28 basis points compared to the same period of 2011.

Average deposits for the six months ended 2012 increased $\$ 18.9$ billion, or $17.9 \%$, compared to the same period in 2011. The mix of the portfolio has continued to improve with growth of $\$ 5.3$ billion in noninterest-bearing and $\$ 8.9$ billion in lower-cost interest-bearing deposits. Certificates and other time deposits also increased $\$ 5.7$ billion, while the cost for these products declined 72 basis points. Partially offsetting the growth in these categories was a decline of $\$ 963$ million in foreign-office deposits as the strong deposit growth reduced the need for these types of funding sources.

Management expects similar growth in deposits in the third quarter of 2012 compared to that achieved in the second quarter of 2012. This excludes the impact of the BankAtlantic acquisition.

## Borrowings

At June 30, 2012, short-term borrowings totaled $\$ 3.2$ billion, a decrease of $\$ 370$ million, or $10.4 \%$, compared to December 31, 2011. Long-term debt totaled $\$ 22.6$ billion at June 30, 2012, an increase of $\$ 758$ million, or $3.5 \%$, from the balance at December 31, 2011. The increase in long-term debt reflects the issuance of $\$ 750$ million of senior notes in March 2012, with an interest rate of $2.15 \%$ due March 2017, and $\$ 300$ million in subordinated notes in March 2012, with an interest rate of $3.95 \%$ due March 2022. The proceeds from these issuances are being used for general corporate funding purposes. These issuances were partially offset by a reduction of $\$ 181$ million in junior subordinated debt. During July 2012, BB\&T redeemed the remaining $\$ 3.1$ billion of junior subordinated debt outstanding at June 30, 2012. The redemption of these issuances was initiated based on the early redemption provisions of the related trust preferred securities, due to the fact that they will no longer qualify for Tier 1 capital treatment.

## Shareholders Equity

Total shareholders equity at June 30, 2012 was $\$ 18.9$ billion, an increase of $8.3 \%$ compared to December 31, 2011. The increase was driven by earnings and net proceeds of $\$ 559$ million of Tier 1 qualifying non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock. BB\&T s book value per common share at June 30, 2012 was $\$ 26.19$, compared to $\$ 24.98$ at December 31, 2011.

Shareholders equity increased $\$ 661$ million due to earnings available to common shareholders in excess of dividends declared. In addition, accumulated other comprehensive income increased $\$ 172$ million, primarily as a result of an increase in the fair value of the available-for-sale securities portfolio.
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BB\&T s Tier 1 common equity was $\$ 11.8$ billion at June 30, 2012, a slight increase compared to December 31, 2011. Growth resulting from earnings during the first six months of 2012 was partially offset by an increase in intangible assets added in the Crump Insurance acquisition. BB\&T s tangible book value per common share at June 30 , 2012 was $\$ 16.92$ compared to $\$ 16.73$ at December 31, 2011. As of June 30, 2012, measures of tangible capital were not required by the regulators and, therefore, were considered non-GAAP measures. Refer to the section titled Capital Adequacy and Resources herein for a discussion of how BB\&T calculates and uses these measures in the evaluation of the Company.

## Merger-Related and Restructuring Activities

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there were $\$ 16$ million and $\$ 20$ million, respectively, of merger-related and restructuring accruals. Merger and restructuring accruals are re-evaluated periodically and adjusted as necessary. The remaining accruals at June 30, 2012 are expected to be utilized within one year, unless they relate to specific contracts that expire later. Management estimates approximately $\$ 50$ million of merger-related and restructuring charges in the third quarter of 2012, primarily related to the BankAtlantic acquisition.

## Risk Management

In the normal course of business BB\&T encounters inherent risk in its business activities. Risk is managed on a decentralized basis with risk decisions made as closely as possible to where the risk occurs. Centrally, risk oversight is managed at the corporate level through oversight, policies and reporting. The principal types of inherent risk include regulatory, credit, liquidity, market, operational, reputation and strategic risks. Refer to BB\&T s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for disclosures related to each of these risks under the section titled Risk Management.

## Market Risk Management

The effective management of market risk is essential to achieving BB\&T s strategic financial objectives. As a financial institution, BB\&T s most significant market risk exposure is interest rate risk in its balance sheet; however, market risk also includes product liquidity risk, price risk and volatility risk in BB\&T s lines of business. The primary objectives of market risk management are to minimize any adverse effect that changes in market risk factors may have on net interest income, and to offset the risk of price changes for certain assets recorded at fair value.

## Interest Rate Market Risk (Other than Trading)

BB\&T actively manages market risk associated with asset and liability portfolios with a focus on the strategic pricing of asset and liability accounts and management of appropriate maturity mixes of assets and liabilities. The goal of these activities is the development of appropriate maturity and repricing opportunities in BB\&T s portfolios of assets and liabilities that will produce consistent net interest income during periods of changing interest rates. These portfolios are analyzed for proper fixed-rate and variable-rate mixes under various interest rate scenarios.

The asset/liability management process is designed to achieve relatively stable net interest margins and assure liquidity by coordinating the volumes, maturities or repricing opportunities of earning assets, deposits and borrowed funds. Among other things, this process gives consideration to prepayment trends related to securities, loans and leases and certain deposits that have no stated maturity. Prepayment assumptions are developed using market data for residential mortgage-related loans and securities, and internal historical prepayment experience for client deposits with no stated maturity and loans that are not residential mortgage related. These assumptions are subject to monthly back-testing, and are adjusted as deemed necessary to reflect changes in interest rates relative to the reference rate of the underlying assets or liabilities. On a monthly basis, $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ evaluates the accuracy of its interest rate forecast simulation model, which includes an evaluation of its prepayment assumptions, to ensure that all significant assumptions inherent in the model appropriately reflect changes in the interest rate environment and related trends in prepayment activity. It is the responsibility of the Market Risk, Liquidity and Capital Committee to determine and achieve the most appropriate volume and mix of earning
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assets and interest-bearing liabilities, as well as to ensure an adequate level of liquidity and capital, within the context of corporate performance goals. The Market Risk, Liquidity and Capital Committee also sets policy guidelines and establishes long-term strategies with respect to interest rate risk exposure and liquidity. The Market Risk, Liquidity and Capital Committee meets regularly to review BB\&T s interest rate risk and liquidity positions in relation to present and prospective market and business conditions, and adopts funding and balance sheet management strategies that are intended to ensure that the potential impact on earnings and liquidity as a result of fluctuations in interest rates is within acceptable standards.

BB\&T uses derivatives primarily to manage economic risk related to securities, commercial loans, mortgage servicing rights, mortgage banking operations, long-term debt and other funding sources. BB\&T also uses derivatives to facilitate transactions on behalf of its clients. As of June 30 , 2012, BB\&T had derivative financial instruments outstanding with notional amounts totaling $\$ 77.0$ billion. The estimated net fair value of open contracts was a loss of $\$ 52$ million at June 30, 2012. See Note 15 Derivative Financial Instruments in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements herein for additional disclosures.

The majority of BB\&T s assets and liabilities are monetary in nature and, therefore, differ greatly from most commercial and industrial companies that have significant investments in fixed assets or inventories. Fluctuations in interest rates and actions of the Federal Reserve Board to regulate the availability and cost of credit have a greater effect on a financial institution sprofitability than do the effects of higher costs for goods and services. Through its balance sheet management function, which is monitored by the Market Risk, Liquidity and Capital Committee, management believes that $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ is positioned to respond to changing needs for liquidity, changes in interest rates and inflationary trends.

Management uses Interest Sensitivity Simulation Analysis (Simulation ) to measure the sensitivity of projected earnings to changes in interest rates. The Simulation model projects net interest income and interest rate risk for a rolling two-year period of time. Simulation takes into account the current contractual agreements that BB\&T has made with its customers on deposits, borrowings, loans, investments and commitments to enter into those transactions. Furthermore, the Simulation considers the impact of expected customer behavior. Management monitors BB\&T s interest sensitivity by means of a model that incorporates the current volumes, average rates earned and paid, and scheduled maturities and payments of asset and liability portfolios, together with multiple scenarios of projected prepayments, repricing opportunities and anticipated volume growth. Using this information, the model projects earnings based on projected portfolio balances under multiple interest rate scenarios. This level of detail is needed to simulate the effect that changes in interest rates and portfolio balances may have on the earnings of BB\&T. This method is subject to the accuracy of the assumptions that underlie the process, but management believes that it provides a better illustration of the sensitivity of earnings to changes in interest rates than other analyses such as static or dynamic gap. In addition to Simulation analysis, BB\&T uses Economic Value of Equity ( EVE ) analysis to focus on changes in capital given potential changes in interest rates. This measure also allows BB\&T to analyze interest rate risk that falls outside the analysis window contained in the Simulation model. The EVE model is a discounted cash flow of the entire portfolio of BB\&T s assets, liabilities, and derivatives instruments. The difference in the present value of assets minus the present value of liabilities is defined as the economic value of $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ s equity.

The asset/liability management process requires a number of key assumptions. Management determines the most likely outlook for the economy and interest rates by analyzing external factors, including published economic projections and data, the effects of likely monetary and fiscal policies, as well as any enacted or prospective regulatory changes. BB\&T s current and prospective liquidity position, current balance sheet volumes and projected growth, accessibility of funds for short-term needs and capital maintenance are also considered. This data is combined with various interest rate scenarios to provide management with the information necessary to analyze interest sensitivity and to aid in the development of strategies to reach performance goals.

The following table shows the effect that the indicated changes in interest rates would have on net interest income as projected for the next twelve months assuming a gradual change in interest rates as described below. Key assumptions in the preparation of the table include prepayment speeds of mortgage-related and other assets,
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cash flows and maturities of derivative financial instruments, loan volumes and pricing, deposit sensitivity, customer preferences and capital plans. The resulting change in interest sensitive income reflects the level of sensitivity that interest sensitive income has in relation to changing interest rates.

Table 14

## Interest Sensitivity Simulation Analysis

| Linear <br> Change in <br> Prime Rate | enario$\begin{gathered}\text { Prime Rate } \\ \text { June 30, }\end{gathered}$ |  | Annualized Hypothetical Percentage Change in Net Interest Income June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| 2.00 \% | 5.25 \% | 5.25 \% | 3.42 \% | 2.69 \% |
| 1.00 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 1.97 | 1.14 |
| No Change | 3.25 | 3.25 |  |  |
| (0.25) | 3.00 | 3.00 | (0.24) | 0.43 |

The Market Risk, Liquidity and Capital Committee has established parameters measuring interest sensitivity that prescribe a maximum negative impact on net interest income of $2 \%$ for the next 12 months for a linear change of 100 basis points over four months followed by a flat interest rate scenario for the remaining eight month period, and a maximum negative impact of $4 \%$ for a linear change of 200 basis points over eight months followed by a flat interest rate scenario for the remaining four month period. Regardless of the proportional limit, the negative risk exposure limit will be the greater of $1 \%$ or the proportional limit. In the event that the results of the Simulation model fall outside the established parameters, management will make recommendations to the Market Risk, Liquidity and Capital Committee on the most appropriate response given the current economic forecast. Management currently only modeled a negative 25 basis point decline because larger declines would have resulted in a Federal funds rate of less than zero.

Management must also consider how the balance sheet and interest rate risk position could be impacted by changes in balance sheet mix. Liquidity in the banking industry has been very strong during the current economic downturn. Much of this liquidity increase has been due to a significant increase in noninterest-bearing demand deposits. Consistent with the industry, Branch Bank has seen a significant increase in this funding source. The behavior of these deposits is one of the most important assumptions used in determining the interest rate risk position of BB\&T. A loss of these deposits in the future would reduce the asset sensitivity of BB\&T s balance sheet as the company increases interest-bearing funds to offset the loss of this advantaged funding source.

BB\&T applies an average beta of approximately $80 \%$ to its managed rate deposits for determining its interest rate sensitivity. Managed rate deposits are high beta, premium money market and interest checking accounts, which attract significant client funds when needed to support balance sheet growth. BB\&T regularly conducts sensitivity on other key variables to determine the impact they could have on the interest rate risk position. This discipline informs management judgment and allows BB\&T to evaluate the likely impact on its balance sheet management strategies due to a more extreme variation in a key assumption than expected.

The following table shows the effect that the loss of demand deposits and an associated increase in managed rate deposits would have on BB\&T s interest-rate sensitivity position. For purposes of this analysis, BB\&T modeled the beta at $100 \%$.

Table 15

## Deposit Mix Sensitivity Analysis

|  |  | Results Assuming a Decrease in <br> Noninterest Bearing Demand <br> Deposits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Increase in | Base Scenario | \$ | \$5 |
| Rates | at June 30, 2012 (1) | Billion | Billion |
| $2.00 \%$ | $3.42 \%$ | $3.17 \%$ | $2.20 \%$ |

(1) The base scenario is equal to the annualized hypothetical percentage change in net interest income at June 30, 2012 as presented in Table 14.
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The following table shows the effect that the indicated changes in interest rates would have on EVE. Key assumptions in the preparation of the table include prepayment speeds of mortgage-related and other assets, cash flows and maturities of derivative financial instruments, loan volumes and pricing, and deposit sensitivity. The resulting change in the economic value of equity reflects the level of sensitivity that EVE has in relation to changing interest rates.

Table 16

## Economic Value of Equity ( EVE) Simulation Analysis

| Change in | EVE/Assets <br> June 30, |  |  | Hypothetical Percentage <br> Change in EVE <br> June 30, |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rates |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| 2.00\% | $6.7 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |  |
| 1.00 | 6.4 | 7.3 | 13.3 | 5.1 |  |
| No Change | 5.6 | 7.0 | $(4.4)$ | $(2.1)$ |  |
| Trading Activities |  | 5.4 | 6.8 |  |  |

## Market Risk from Trading Activities

BB\&T also manages market risk from trading activities which consists of acting as a financial intermediary to provide its customers access to derivatives, foreign exchange and securities markets. Trading market risk is managed through the use of statistical and non-statistical risk measures and limits, with overall established limits. BB\&T utilizes a historical value-at-risk ( VaR ) methodology to measure and aggregate risks across its covered trading lines of business. This methodology uses one year of historical data to estimate economic outcomes for a one-day time horizon at a $99 \%$ confidence level.

The average VaR for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was approximately $\$ 330$ thousand. Maximum daily VaR was approximately $\$ 3.3$ million, and the low daily VaR was approximately $\$ 180$ thousand during this same period, respectively.

## Contractual Obligations, Commitments, Contingent Liabilities, Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Related Party Transactions

Refer to BB\&T s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for discussion with respect to BB\&T s quantitative and qualitative disclosures about its fixed and determinable contractual obligations. Additional disclosures about BB\&T s contractual obligations, commitments and derivative financial instruments are included in Note 13 Commitments and Contingencies and Note 14 Fair Value Disclosures in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Liquidity

Liquidity represents BB\&T s continuing ability to meet funding needs, including deposit withdrawals, timely repayment of borrowings and other liabilities, and funding of loan commitments. In addition to the level of liquid assets, such as cash, cash equivalents and securities available for sale, many other factors affect BB\&T s ability to meet liquidity needs, including access to a variety of funding sources, maintaining borrowing capacity in national money markets, growing core deposits, the repayment of loans and the ability to securitize or package loans for sale. The ability to raise funding at competitive prices is affected by the rating agencies views of BB\&T $s$ and Branch Bank scredit quality, liquidity, capital and earnings. Management meets with the rating agencies on a routine basis to discuss the current outlook for BB\&T and Branch Bank. Refer to BB\&T s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for disclosures related to BB\&T s and Branch Bank scredit ratings and liquidity.

BB\&T monitors key liquidity metrics at both the Parent Company and Branch Bank. Liquidity at the Parent Company is more susceptible to market disruptions. BB\&T prudently manages cash levels at the Parent
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Company to cover a minimum of one year of projected contractual cash outflows which includes unfunded external commitments, debt service, preferred dividends and scheduled debt maturities without the benefit of any new cash infusions. Generally, BB\&T maintains a significant buffer above the projected one year of contractual cash outflows. In determining the buffer, BB\&T considers cash for common dividends, unfunded commitments to affiliates, being a source of strength to its banking subsidiaries, and being able to withstand sustained market disruptions which may limit access to the credit markets. As of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, the Parent Company had 21 months and 23 months, respectively, of cash on hand to satisfy projected contractual cash outflows as described above.

BB\&T also monitors the ability to meet customer demand for funds under both normal and stressed market conditions. In considering its liquidity position, management evaluates BB\&T s funding mix based on client core funding, client rate-sensitive funding and non-client rate-sensitive funding. In addition, management also evaluates exposure to rate-sensitive funding sources that mature in one year or less. Management also measures liquidity needs against 30 days of stressed cash outflows for Branch Bank. To ensure a strong liquidity position, management maintains a liquid asset buffer of cash on hand and highly liquid unpledged securities. The Company has established a policy that the liquid asset buffer would be a minimum of $5 \%$ of total assets, but intends to maintain the ratio well in excess of this level. As of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, BB\&T s liquid asset buffer was $14.1 \%$ and $13.5 \%$, respectively, of total assets.

BB\&T, Branch Bank and BB\&T FSB have Contingency Funding Plans ( CFPs ) designed to ensure that liquidity sources are sufficient to meet their ongoing obligations and commitments, particularly in the event of a liquidity contraction. The CFPs are designed to simulate extreme liquidity demands under stressed market conditions and provide a framework for management to meet those demands using all available options. The CFPs address authority for activation and decision making, liquidity options and the responsibilities of key departments in the event of a liquidity contraction. The liquidity options available to management could include seeking secured funding, asset sales, and under the most extreme scenarios, curtailing new loan originations.

BB\&T has strong liquidity reserves including access to the Federal Reserve Discount Window, the Federal Home Loan Bank, and unpledged securities held on the balance sheet. Additionally, BB\&T s strong profitability, credit ratings, and positive reputation in the credit markets provide $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ with access to unsecured national market funding.

## Capital Adequacy and Resources

The maintenance of appropriate levels of capital is a management priority and is monitored on a regular basis. BB\&T s principal goals related to the maintenance of capital are to provide adequate capital to support BB\&T s comprehensive risk profile, preserve a sufficient capital base from which to support future growth, provide a competitive return to shareholders, comply with regulatory standards and achieve optimal credit ratings for $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ and its subsidiaries Refer to the section titled Capital in BB\&T s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for additional information with regard to BB\&T s capital requirements.

Management regularly monitors the capital position of BB\&T on a consolidated basis. In this regard, management s overriding policy is to maintain capital at levels that will result in BB\&T being classified as well-capitalized for regulatory purposes and to maintain sufficient capital relative to the Corporation s level of risk. Secondarily, it is management $s$ intent to maintain consolidated capital levels that result in regulatory risk-based capital ratios that are generally comparable with $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ s peers of similar size, complexity and risk profile. Management particularly monitors and intends to maintain the following minimum capital ratios:

Table 17

## BB\&T s Internal Capital Guidelines

| Tier 1 Capital Ratio | $8.50 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total Capital Ratio | 11.50 |
| Tier 1 Leverage Capital Ratio | 6.50 |
| Tangible Capital Ratio | 5.50 |
| Tier 1 Common Equity Ratio | 7.00 |
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While nonrecurring events or management decisions may result in the Corporation temporarily falling below its minimum guidelines for one or more of these ratios, it is management s intent through capital planning to return to these targeted minimums within a reasonable period of time. Such temporary decreases below these minimums are not considered an infringement of BB\&T s overall capital policy provided the Corporation and Branch Bank remain well-capitalized.

BB\&T s regulatory and tangible capital ratios for the last five calendar quarters are set forth in Table 18.

## Table 18

## Capital Ratios (1)

|  | As of / For the Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6/30/12 |  |  | 3/31/12 <br> (Dollars | illi | 12/31/11 <br> ns, shares in | usa | 9/30/11 inds) | 6/30/11 |  |
| Risk-based: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 |  | 10.2 \% |  | 12.8 \% |  | 12.5 \% |  | 12.6 \% |  | 12.4 \% |
| Total |  | 13.5 |  | 16.2 |  | 15.7 |  | 16.1 |  | 16.1 |
| Leverage capital |  | 7.3 |  | 9.1 |  | 9.0 |  | 9.2 |  | 9.5 |
| Non-GAAP capital measures (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tangible common equity as a percentage of tangible assets |  | 6.9 |  | 7.1 |  | 6.9 |  | 7.1 |  | 7.2 |
| Tier 1 common equity as a percentage of risk-weighted assets |  | 9.7 |  | 10.0 |  | 9.7 |  | 9.8 |  | 9.6 |
| Calculations of Tier 1 common equity and tangible assets and related measures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tier 1 equity | \$ | 12,382 | \$ | 15,207 | \$ | 14,913 | \$ | 14,696 | \$ | 14,363 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock |  | 559 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Qualifying restricted core capital elements |  |  |  | 3,250 |  | 3,250 |  | 3,249 |  | 3,249 |
| Tier 1 common equity | \$ | 11,823 | \$ | 11,957 | \$ | 11,663 | \$ | 11,447 | \$ | 11,114 |
| Total assets | \$ | 178,529 | \$ | 174,752 | \$ | 174,579 | \$ | 167,677 | \$ | 159,310 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intangible assets, net of deferred taxes |  | 6,950 |  | 6,402 |  | 6,406 |  | 6,330 |  | 6,353 |
| Plus: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regulatory adjustments, net of deferred taxes |  | 239 |  | 327 |  | 421 |  | 99 |  | 389 |
| Tangible assets | \$ | 171,818 | \$ | 168,677 | \$ | 168,594 | \$ | 161,446 | \$ | 153,346 |
| Total risk-weighted assets (3) | \$ | 121,916 | \$ | 119,042 | \$ | 119,725 | , | 117,020 | \$ | 116,041 |
| Tangible common equity as a percentage of tangible assets |  | 6.9 \% |  | 7.1 \% |  | 6.9 \% |  | 7.1 \% |  | 7.2 \% |
| Tier 1 common equity as a percentage of riskweighted assets |  | 9.7 |  | 10.0 |  | 9.7 |  | 9.8 |  | 9.6 |
| Tier 1 common equity | \$ | 11,823 | \$ | 11,957 | \$ | 11,663 | \$ | 11,447 | \$ | 11,114 |
| Outstanding shares at end of period |  | 698,795 |  | 698,454 |  | 697,143 |  | 697,101 |  | 696,894 |
| Tangible book value per common share | \$ | 16.92 | \$ | 17.12 | \$ | 16.73 | \$ | 16.42 | \$ | 15.95 |

(1) Current quarter regulatory capital information is preliminary.
(2)

Tangible common equity and Tier 1 common equity ratios are non-GAAP measures. BB\&T uses the Tier 1 common equity definition used in the SCAP assessment to calculate these ratios. BB\&T s management uses
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these measures to assess the quality of capital and believes that investors may find them useful in their analysis of the Corporation. These capital measures are not necessarily comparable to similar capital measures that may be presented by other companies.
(3) Risk-weighted assets are determined based on regulatory capital requirements. Under the regulatory framework for determining risk-weighted assets each asset class is assigned a risk-weighting of $0 \%, 20 \%, 50 \%$ or $100 \%$ based on the underlying risk of the specific asset class. In addition, off-balance sheet exposures are first converted to a balance sheet equivalent amount and subsequently assigned to one of the four risk-weightings.

Table 19

## Estimated Basel III Capital Ratios

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2012 \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ <br> (Dollars | D | ember 31, <br> 011 (2) <br> ions) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 1 common equity under Basel I definition | \$ 11,823 | \$ | 11,663 |
| Adjustments: |  |  |  |
| Other comprehensive income related to AFS securities, defined benefit pension and other postretirement employee benefit plans | (365) |  | (553) |
| Deduction for net defined benefit pension asset |  |  | (423) |
| Other adjustments | (12) |  | 57 |
| Estimated Tier 1 common equity under Basel III definition | \$ 11,446 | \$ | 10,744 |
| Estimated risk-weighted assets under Basel III definition | \$ 139,301 | \$ | 122,600 |
| Estimated Tier 1 common equity as a percentage of risk-weighted assets under Basel III definition | 8.2 \% |  | 8.8 \% |

(1) The Basel III calculations are non-GAAP measures and reflect adjustments for the related elements as proposed by regulatory authorities, which are subject to change. BB\&T management uses these measures to assess the quality of capital and believes that investors may find them useful in their analysis of the Corporation. These capital measures are not necessarily comparable to similar capital measures that may be presented by other companies.
(2) Tier 1 common equity ratio using Basel III proposals published prior to June 2012.

The Tier 1 common equity ratio was $9.7 \%$ at June 30, 2012. The decrease in this measure compared to the first quarter of 2012 was primarily due to the Crump Insurance acquisition, which increased intangible assets. As of June 30, 2012, management currently estimates the Tier 1 common ratio under the currently proposed Basel III standards to be $8.2 \%$ compared to $8.8 \%$ as of December 31,2011 . This decline is primarily due to changes in the risk-weighted asset calculation and does not include any mitigation strategies to improve capital levels, which management believes will have a significant positive impact on this measure. Under the latest proposal, the risk-weighting of loans secured by residential properties will be based on the loan-to-value ratio and the credit conversion factor for unfunded lending commitments was increased. Refer to Table 19 for a reconciliation of how BB\&T calculates the Tier 1 common equity ratio under the proposed Basel III capital guidelines.

The decline in BB\&T s regulatory risk-based capital ratios compared to the first quarter of 2012 was primarily due to the announced redemption of trust preferred securities following the proposed Basel III capital standards. Under the proposed standards, and consistent with the Dodd-Frank Act, these types of securities will no longer qualify for Tier 1 capital. This decline was partially offset by the May 1, 2012 issuance of depositary shares representing fractional ownership interests in BB\&T s Series D Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, which increased Tier 1 regulatory capital by $\$ 559$ million.

On July 31, 2012, BB\&T issued $\$ 1.2$ billion in depositary shares, with each depositary share representing fractional ownership interest in a share of the Company s Series E Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock. The preferred stock has no stated maturity and redemption is solely at the option of the Company. Under current
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rules, any redemption of the preferred stock is subject to prior approval of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Dividends, if declared, accrue and are payable quarterly, in arrears, at a rate of $5.625 \%$ per annum.

## Share Repurchase Activity

BB\&T has periodically repurchased shares of its own common stock. In accordance with North Carolina law, repurchased shares cannot be held as treasury stock, but revert to the status of authorized and unissued shares upon repurchase.

On June 27, 2006, BB\&T s Board of Directors granted authority under a plan (the 2006 Plan ) for the repurchase of up to 50 million shares of BB\&T s common stock as needed for general corporate purposes. The 2006 Plan also authorizes the repurchase of the remaining shares from the previous authorization. The 2006 Plan remains in effect until all the authorized shares are repurchased unless modified by the Board of Directors. No shares were repurchased in connection with the 2006 Plan during 2012.

Table 20

## Share Repurchase Activity

|  | Total <br> Shares |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Repurchased |  |
| (1) |  |$\quad$| Average |
| :---: |
| Price Paid |
| Per Share (2) |$\quad$| Total Shares Purchased |
| :---: |
| Pursuant to |
| Publicly-Announced Plan |
| (Shares in thousands) |$\quad$| Maximum Remaining |
| :---: |
| Number of Shares |
| Available for Repurchase |
| Pursuant to |
| Publicly-Announced Plan |

(1) Repurchases reflect shares exchanged or surrendered in connection with the exercise of equity-based awards under BB\&T s equity-based compensation plans.
(2) Excludes commissions.
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## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Refer to Market Risk Management in the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section herein.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

## Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the management of the Company, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ). Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

## Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Refer to the Commitments and Contingencies footnote in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements .

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in BB\&T s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to BB\&T or that management has deemed to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect $\mathrm{BB} \& \mathrm{~T}$ s business, financial condition, and/or operating results.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(c) Refer to Share Repurchase Activity in the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section herein.

## Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

| 3(i) | Articles of incorporation of the Registrant, as Restated February 25, 2009, and amended May 10, 2010, April 27, 2012 and July 24, 2012. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10.1 | Form of Employee Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement for the BB\&T Corporation 2012 Incentive Plan. |
| 10.2 | Form of Employee Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for the BB\&T Corporation 2012 Incentive Plan. |
| 11 | Statement re: Computation of Earnings Per Share. |
| 12 | Statement re: Computation of Ratios. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document. |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema. |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase. |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase. |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase. |
| 101.DEF <br> SIGNATURES | XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase. |

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## BB\&T CORPORATION

| Date: August 7, 2012 | By: | /s/ Daryl N. Bible |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Daryl N. Bible, Senior Executive Vice President and Chief |  |  |
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## EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. 3(i) | Description <br> Articles of incorporation of the Registrant, as Restated February 25, 2009, and amended May 10, 2010, April 27, 2012 and July 24, 2012. | Location Filed herewith. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.1 | Form of Employee Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement for the BB\&T Corporation 2012 Incentive Plan. | Filed herewith. |
| 10.2 | Form of Employee Restricted Stock Unit Agreement for the BB\&T Corporation 2012 Incentive Plan. | Filed herewith. |
| 11 | Statement re: Computation of Earnings Per Share. | Filed herewith as Note 16. |
| 12 | Statement re: Computation of Ratios. | Filed herewith. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | Filed herewith. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | Filed herewith. |
| 32 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. | Filed herewith. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document. | Filed herewith. |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema. | Filed herewith. |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase. | Filed herewith. |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase. | Filed herewith. |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase. | Filed herewith. |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase. | Filed herewith. |

Exhibit filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and available upon request.

