

Bank of Commerce Holdings
Form 10-Q
August 07, 2012
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 0-25135

Bank of Commerce Holdings

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

California
(State or jurisdiction of

94-2823865
(I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification Number)

1901 Churn Creek Road Redding, California
(Address of principal executive offices)

96002
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(530) 722-3955**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: NONE

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock, no par value

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "accelerated filer, large accelerated filer and smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One)

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes No

Outstanding shares of Common Stock, no par value, as of June 30, 2012: 16,265,125

Table of Contents

BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES

Index to Form 10-Q

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | | |
|---------|--|----|
| Item 1. | <u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | 3 |
| Item 2. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 41 |
| Item 3. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 69 |
| Item 4. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 70 |

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 71 |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 71 |
| Item 2. | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 71 |
| Item 3. | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 71 |
| Item 4. | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 71 |
| Item 5. | <u>Other Information</u> | 71 |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | 71 |

| | | |
|--|------------------------------|----|
| | <u>SIGNATURE PAGE</u> | 72 |
|--|------------------------------|----|

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Balance Sheets****June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011**

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2012 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2011 (Audited) |
|--|--|--|
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 41,221 | \$ 21,442 |
| Interest bearing due from banks | 24,035 | 26,676 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 65,256 | 48,118 |
| Securities available-for-sale, at fair value | 201,348 | 203,524 |
| Portfolio loans | 596,105 | 584,725 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | (12,497) | (10,622) |
| Net loans | 583,608 | 574,103 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale, at fair value | 23,238 | 16,092 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale, at lower of cost or market | 39,306 | 48,276 |
| Bank premises and equipment, net | 10,328 | 9,752 |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets, net | 3,808 | 3,833 |
| Other real estate owned | 2,647 | 3,731 |
| Other assets | 33,006 | 33,262 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 962,545 | \$ 940,691 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Demand noninterest bearing | \$ 117,649 | \$ 116,193 |
| Demand interest bearing | 207,307 | 179,597 |
| Savings accounts | 89,405 | 89,012 |
| Certificates of deposit | 268,102 | 282,471 |
| Total deposits | 682,463 | 667,273 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 14,378 | 13,779 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings | 100,000 | 109,000 |
| Mortgage warehouse lines of credit | 22,110 | 7,600 |
| Junior subordinated debentures | 15,465 | 15,465 |
| Other liabilities | 14,538 | 13,984 |
| Total Liabilities | 848,954 | 827,101 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (NOTE 11) | | |
| Stockholders Equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, no par value, 2,000,000 shares authorized: Series B (liquidation preference \$1,000 per share) issued and outstanding: 20,000 in 2012 and 2011 | 19,931 | 19,931 |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Common stock , no par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized; 16,991,495 shares issued; 16,265,125 outstanding on June 30, 2012 and 16,991,495 outstanding on December 31, 2011 | 40,168 | 43,115 |
| Retained earnings | 48,566 | 45,671 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax | 1,450 | 1,745 |
| Total Equity Bank of Commerce Holdings | 110,115 | 110,462 |
| Noncontrolling interest in subsidiary | 3,476 | 3,128 |
| Total Stockholders Equity | 113,591 | 113,590 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | \$ 962,545 | \$ 940,691 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)****Three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011**

| <i>(Amounts in thousands)</i> | For the three months ended June 30, | | For the six months ended June 30, | |
|--|--|---------------|--|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest income: | | | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 8,777 | \$ 8,958 | \$ 17,644 | \$ 17,991 |
| Interest on tax-exempt securities | 585 | 478 | 1,165 | 1,010 |
| Interest on U.S. government securities | 408 | 633 | 799 | 1,311 |
| Interest on other securities | 794 | 577 | 1,526 | 1,228 |
| Total interest income | 10,564 | 10,646 | 21,134 | 21,540 |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Interest on demand deposits | 153 | 204 | 310 | 430 |
| Interest on savings deposits | 105 | 229 | 221 | 475 |
| Interest on certificates of deposit | 1,005 | 1,272 | 2,070 | 2,585 |
| Interest on securities sold under repurchase agreements | 7 | 13 | 13 | 27 |
| Interest on Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings | (47) | 148 | 103 | 312 |
| Interest on other borrowings | 630 | 263 | 1,241 | 529 |
| Total interest expense | 1,853 | 2,129 | 3,958 | 4,358 |
| Net interest income | 8,711 | 8,517 | 17,176 | 17,182 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,650 | 2,580 | 2,950 | 4,980 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses | 7,061 | 5,937 | 14,226 | 12,202 |
| Noninterest income: | | | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 50 | 52 | 97 | 102 |
| Payroll and benefit processing fees | 118 | 102 | 273 | 230 |
| Earnings on cash surrender value Bank owned life insurance | 114 | 119 | 227 | 230 |
| Gain on investment securities, net | 542 | 655 | 1,187 | 913 |
| Merchant credit card service income, net | 38 | 33 | 73 | 303 |
| Mortgage banking revenue, net | 6,144 | 2,550 | 11,076 | 5,083 |
| Other income | 146 | 114 | 271 | 216 |
| Total noninterest income | 7,152 | 3,625 | 13,204 | 7,077 |
| Noninterest expense: | | | | |
| Salaries and related benefits | 6,478 | 4,017 | 12,460 | 8,231 |
| Occupancy and equipment expense | 825 | 800 | 1,687 | 1,528 |
| Write down of other real estate owned | 425 | 370 | 425 | 557 |
| Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance premium | 198 | 363 | 410 | 735 |
| Data processing fees | 115 | 91 | 185 | 190 |
| Professional service fees | 639 | 595 | 1,302 | 1,169 |
| Deferred compensation expense | 146 | 131 | 290 | 258 |
| Other expenses | 1,835 | 1,487 | 3,781 | 2,832 |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Total noninterest expense | 10,661 | 7,854 | 20,540 | 15,500 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 3,552 | 1,708 | 6,890 | 3,779 |
| Provision for income taxes | 1,128 | 216 | 2,230 | 647 |
| Net Income | \$ 2,424 | \$ 1,492 | \$ 4,660 | \$ 3,132 |
| Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest | 172 | 6 | 348 | (18) |
| Net income attributable to Bank of Commerce Holdings | \$ 2,252 | \$ 1,486 | \$ 4,312 | \$ 3,150 |
| Less: Preferred dividend and accretion on preferred stock | 248 | 235 | 434 | 470 |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ 2,004 | \$ 1,251 | \$ 3,878 | \$ 2,680 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.16 |
| Weighted average shares basic | 16,302 | 16,991 | 16,553 | 16,991 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.16 |
| Weighted average shares diluted | 16,302 | 16,991 | 16,553 | 16,991 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)****Three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011**

| | For the three months ended June 30, | | For the six months ended June 30, | |
|---|--|----------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 2,424 | \$ 1,492 | \$ 4,660 | \$ 3,132 |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | | | |
| Unrealized gains arising during the period | 1,269 | 2,786 | 2,459 | 4,793 |
| Reclassification adjustments for net gains realized in earnings, net of tax | (320) | (308) | (699) | (452) |
| Income tax expense related to unrealized gains | (522) | (1,142) | (1,012) | (1,968) |
| Net change in unrealized gains | 427 | 1,336 | 748 | 2,373 |
| Derivatives: | | | | |
| Unrealized (losses) gains arising during the period | (1,697) | 0 | (1,573) | 659 |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains realized in earnings, net of tax | (88) | 0 | (117) | 0 |
| Income tax benefit (expense) related to unrealized losses (gains) | 700 | 0 | 647 | (271) |
| Net change in unrealized (losses) gains | (1,085) | 0 | (1,043) | 388 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax | (658) | 1,336 | (295) | 2,761 |
| Comprehensive income | 1,766 | 2,828 | 4,365 | 5,893 |
| Less: Comprehensive income (loss) noncontrolling interest | 172 | 6 | 348 | (18) |
| Comprehensive income Bank of Commerce Holdings | \$ 1,594 | \$ 2,822 | \$ 4,017 | \$ 5,911 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity****Twelve months ended December 31, 2011 and six months ended June 30, 2012**

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Preferred Amount | Warrant | Common Shares | Common Stock Amount | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comp- Income (Loss), net of tax | Subtotal Bank of Commerce Holdings | Noncontrolling Interest Subsidiary | Total |
|--|---------------------|---------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---|---|--|------------|
| BALANCE AT JANUARY 1, 2011 | \$ 16,731 | \$ 449 | 16,991 | \$ 42,755 | \$ 41,722 | \$ (509) | \$ 101,148 | \$ 2,579 | \$ 103,727 |
| Net Income | | | | | 7,255 | | 7,255 | 549 | 7,804 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | | | | | | 2,254 | 2,254 | | 2,254 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | 9,509 | | 10,058 |
| Redemption of Series A preferred stock | (17,000) | | | | | | (17,000) | | (17,000) |
| Accretion on Series A preferred stock | 269 | | | | (269) | | 0 | | 0 |
| Issuance of Series B preferred stock, net | 19,931 | | | | | | 19,931 | | 19,931 |
| Preferred stock dividend | | | | | (998) | | (998) | | (998) |
| Common stock warrants repurchased and retired | | (449) | | 324 | | | (125) | | (125) |
| Common cash dividend (\$0.12 per share) | | | | | (2,039) | | (2,039) | | (2,039) |
| Compensation expense associated with stock options | | | | 36 | | | 36 | | 36 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ 19,931 | \$ 0 | 16,991 | \$ 43,115 | \$ 45,671 | \$ 1,745 | \$ 110,462 | \$ 3,128 | \$ 113,590 |

Unaudited

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Preferred Amount | Common Shares | Common Stock Amount | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comp- Income (Loss), net of tax | Subtotal Bank of Commerce Holdings | Noncontrolling Interest Subsidiary | Total |
|--|---------------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--|---|--|------------|
| BALANCE AT JANUARY 1, 2012 | \$ 19,931 | 16,991 | \$ 43,115 | \$ 45,671 | \$ 1,745 | \$ 110,462 | \$ 3,128 | \$ 113,590 |
| Net Income | | | | 4,312 | | 4,312 | 348 | 4,660 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | | | | | (295) | (295) | | (295) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | 4,017 | | 4,365 |
| Preferred stock dividend | | | | (434) | | (434) | | (434) |
| Repurchase of common stock | | (726) | (2,995) | | | (2,995) | | (2,995) |
| Common cash dividend (\$0.03 per share) | | | | (983) | | (983) | | (983) |
| Compensation expense associated with stock options | | | 48 | | | 48 | | 48 |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|----------|------------|
| Balance at June 30, 2012 | \$ 19,931 | 16,265 | \$ 40,168 | \$ 48,566 | \$ 1,450 | \$ 110,115 | \$ 3,476 | \$ 113,591 |
|--------------------------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|------------|----------|------------|

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)**

Six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2011 |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 4,660 | \$ 3,132 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 2,950 | 4,980 |
| Provision for off balance sheet reserve | 150 | 0 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 504 | 439 |
| Compensation expense associated with stock options | 48 | 18 |
| Reclassification of earnings from gains on derivatives | (200) | 0 |
| Gain on sale of mortgage loans | (16,101) | (6,198) |
| Gross proceeds from sales of loans held-for-sale, carried at cost | 64,142 | 275,961 |
| Gross proceeds from sales of loans held-for-sale, carried at fair value | 237,262 | 0 |
| Gross originations of loans held-for-sale, carried at cost | (73,284) | (252,835) |
| Gross originations of loans held-for-sale, carried at fair value | (210,195) | 0 |
| Gain on sale of securities available-for-sale | (1,187) | (913) |
| Amortization of investment premiums and accretion of discounts, net | 390 | 567 |
| Loss on sale of other real estate owned | 539 | 365 |
| Write down of other real estate owned | 425 | 557 |
| Deferred income tax expense | (1,258) | (547) |
| Increase in cash surrender value of bank owned life policies | (186) | (576) |
| Decrease in other assets | 1,935 | 2,602 |
| Increase in deferred compensation | 252 | 244 |
| Decrease in deferred loan fees | (123) | (39) |
| Decrease in other liabilities | (1,387) | (3,111) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 9,336 | 24,646 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from maturities and payments of available-for-sale securities | 9,804 | 15,061 |
| Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities | 53,811 | 76,883 |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (59,370) | (60,514) |
| Loan originations, net of principal repayments | (14,282) | (1,999) |
| Purchase of premises and equipment, net | (1,083) | (438) |
| Proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned | 2,070 | 2,078 |
| Proceeds from the termination of interest rate swaps | 0 | 3,000 |
| Net cash (used) provided by investing activities | (9,050) | 34,071 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Net increase (decrease) in demand deposits and savings accounts | 29,559 | (5,677) |
| Net decrease in certificates of deposit | (14,369) | (17,594) |
| Net increase in securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 599 | 1,805 |
| Advances on term debt | 377,043 | 239,253 |
| Repayment of term debt | (371,533) | (290,000) |
| Repurchase of common stock | (2,995) | 0 |
| Cash dividends paid on common stock | (1,005) | (1,019) |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Cash dividends paid on preferred stock | (447) | (425) |
| Net cash provided (used) in financing activities | 16,852 | (73,657) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 17,138 | (14,940) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 48,118 | 63,256 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 65,256 | \$ 48,316 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) (Continued)**

Six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2011 |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow activity: | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Income taxes | \$ 4,395 | \$ 2,018 |
| Interest | \$ 4,002 | \$ 4,364 |
| Supplemental disclosures of non cash investing activities: | | |
| Transfer of loans to other real estate owned | \$ 1,950 | \$ 2,506 |
| Changes in unrealized gain on investment securities available-for-sale | \$ 1,272 | \$ 4,033 |
| Changes in deferred tax asset related to changes in unrealized gain on investment securities | (524) | (1,660) |
| Changes in accumulated other comprehensive income due to changes in unrealized gain on investment securities | \$ 748 | \$ 2,373 |
| Changes in unrealized (loss) gain on derivatives | \$ (1,573) | \$ 659 |
| Changes in deferred tax asset related to changes in unrealized loss (gain) on derivatives | 647 | (271) |
| Changes in accumulated other comprehensive income due to changes in unrealized loss on derivatives | \$ (926) | \$ 388 |
| Reclassification of earnings from gains on derivatives | \$ (200) | \$ 0 |
| Changes in deferred tax asset related to reclassification of earnings from gains on derivatives | 83 | 0 |
| Changes in accumulated other comprehensive income due to reclassification of earnings from gain on derivatives | \$ (117) | \$ 0 |
| Accretion of preferred stock, Series A | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements****NOTE 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

Bank of Commerce Holdings (the Holding Company), is a bank holding company (BHC) with its principal offices in Redding, California. The Holding Company's wholly-owned subsidiaries are Redding Bank of CommerceTM and Roseville Bank of CommerceTM, a division of Redding Bank of Commerce (the Bank). The Holding Company's majority owned subsidiary is Bank of Commerce Mortgage (the Mortgage Company) (collectively the Company). The Company has an unconsolidated subsidiary in Bank of Commerce Holdings Trust and Bank of Commerce Holdings Trust II. The following balance sheet as of December 31, 2011, which has been derived from audited financial statements, and the unaudited interim financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to those rules and regulations, although the Company believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information not misleading.

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and with prevailing practices within the banking and securities industries. In preparing such financial statements, management is required to make certain estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the balance sheet and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for the reporting period. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change relate to the determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses (ALLL), the valuation of goodwill and other real estate owned (OREO), accounting for income taxes, and fair value measurements. Certain amounts for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current financial statement presentation. The results of reclassifications are not considered material and have no effect on previously reported net income and earnings per share (EPS).

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in Bank of Commerce Holdings 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The results of operations and cash flows for the 2012 interim periods shown in this report are not necessarily indicative of the results for any future interim period or the entire fiscal year.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Holding Company, the Bank, and Bank of Commerce Mortgage. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had two wholly-owned trusts (Trusts) that were formed to issue trust preferred securities and related common securities of the Trusts. The Company has not consolidated the accounts of the Trusts in its consolidated financial statements in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (FASB) ASC 810, *Consolidation* (ASC 810). As a result, the junior subordinated debentures issued by the Company to the Trusts are reflected on the Company's *Consolidated Balance Sheets* as junior subordinated debentures.

NOTE 2. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

FASB ASU No. 2011-12, *Comprehensive Income* (Topic 220) *Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05*. The amendments in this Update affect all public and nonpublic entities that report items of other comprehensive income in any period presented. In order to defer only those changes in Update 2011-05 that relate to the presentation of reclassification adjustments, the paragraphs in this Update supersede certain pending paragraphs in Update 2011-05. The amendments are being made to allow the Board time to redeliberate whether to present on the face of the financial statements the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the components of net income and other comprehensive income for all periods presented. While the Board is considering the operational concerns about the presentation requirements for reclassification adjustments and the needs of financial statement users for additional information about reclassification adjustments, entities should continue to report reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income consistent with the presentation requirements in effect before Update 2011-05. All other requirements in Update 2011-05 are not affected by this Update, including the requirement to report comprehensive income either in a single continuous financial statement or in two separate but consecutive financial statements. Public entities should apply these requirements for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The amendments in this Update are effective at the same time as the amendments in Update 2011-05 so that entities will not be required to comply with the presentation requirements in Update 2011-05 that this Update is deferring. Pursuant to the adoption of ASU 2011-05, the Company presents the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income, in two separate but consecutive statements. As this ASU is disclosure related only, the adoption of this ASU will not impact

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

consolidated reported financial position or results of operations, or provide additional reporting burden.

FASB ASU No. 2011-11, Balance sheet (Topic 210) Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities.

The amendments in this Update affect all entities that have financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either (1) offset in accordance with either Section 210-20-45 or Section 815-10-45 or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

agreement. The requirements amend the disclosure requirements on offsetting in Section 210-20-50. The amendments in this Update will enhance disclosures required by U.S. GAAP by requiring improved information about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either (1) offset in accordance with either Section 210-20-45 or Section 815-10-45 or (2) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, irrespective of whether they are offset in accordance with either Section 210-20-45 or Section 815-10-45. This information will enable users of an entity's financial statements to evaluate the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements on an entity's financial position, including the effect or potential effect of rights of setoff associated with certain financial instruments and derivative instruments in the scope of this Update. An entity is required to apply the amendments for annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods. An entity should provide the disclosures required by those amendments retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. As this ASU is disclosure related only, the adoption of this ASU will not impact consolidated reported financial position or results of operations.

NOTE 3. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic EPS excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that subsequently shared in the earnings of the entity. Net income available to common stockholders is based on the net income attributable to Bank of Commerce Holdings adjusted for dividend payments and accretion associated with preferred stock.

The following is a computation of basic and diluted EPS for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011:

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

| Earnings Per Share | For the three months ended June 30, | | For the six months ended June 30, | |
|---|--|----------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| NUMERATORS: | | | | |
| Net income attributable to Bank of Commerce Holdings | \$ 2,252 | \$ 1,486 | \$ 4,312 | \$ 3,150 |
| Less: | | | | |
| Preferred stock dividends | 248 | 212 | 434 | 425 |
| Accretion on preferred stock | 0 | 23 | 0 | 45 |
| Net earnings available to common shareholders | \$ 2,004 | \$ 1,251 | \$ 3,878 | \$ 2,680 |
| DENOMINATORS: | | | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding basic | 16,302 | 16,991 | 16,533 | 16,991 |
| Effect of potentially dilutive common shares (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding diluted | 16,302 | 16,991 | 16,533 | 16,991 |
| EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.16 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.23 | \$ 0.16 |
| Anti-dilutive options not included in earnings per share calculation | 410,455 | 256,580 | 410,455 | 256,580 |
| Anti-dilutive warrants not included in earnings per share calculation | 0 | 435,410 | 0 | 435,410 |

(1) Represents the effects of the assumed exercise of warrants, assumed exercise of stock options, vesting of non-participating restricted shares, and vesting of restricted stock units, based on the treasury stock method.

During October 2011, the Company repurchased and retired all of the common stock warrants issued to the holders of Series A, preferred stock pursuant to the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) Capital Purchase Program (CPP), for \$125 thousand. The transaction resulted in a net benefit of \$324 thousand which is reported in retained earnings to common shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2011.

On February 7, 2012, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized the purchase of up to 1,019,490 or 6% of its outstanding shares over a twelve-month period. The stock repurchase plan authorizes the Company to conduct open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions from time to time when, at management's discretion, it is determined that market conditions and other factors warrant such purchases. Purchased shares will be retired accordingly. There are no guarantees as to the exact number of shares to be purchased, and the stock repurchase plan may be modified, suspended, or terminated without prior notice. Pursuant to the stock repurchase plan, the Company repurchased 240,387 and 726,370 common shares during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

The following table presents the number of common shares purchased and average price paid per common share pursuant to the stock repurchase plan, segregated by period for the six months ended June 30, 2012:

| Period | Number of common shares purchased | Average price paid per common share |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| 1/1/2012 1/31/2012 | 0 | \$ 0 |
| 2/1/2012 2/29/2012 | 375,983 | \$ 3.99 |
| 3/1/2012 3/31/2012 | 110,000 | \$ 4.21 |
| 4/1/2012 4/30/2012 | 196,955 | \$ 4.35 |
| 5/1/2012 5/31/2012 | 37,787 | \$ 4.06 |
| 6/1/2012 6/30/2012 | 5,645 | \$ 3.92 |
| Total common shares purchased | 726,370 | \$ 4.12 |

NOTE 4. SECURITIES

Debt securities are classified as held-to-maturity if the Company has both the intent and ability to hold those securities to maturity regardless of changes in market conditions, liquidity needs or changes in general economic conditions. These securities are carried at cost adjusted for amortization of premium and accretion of discount, computed by the effective interest method over their contractual lives.

Securities available-for-sale are carried at fair value. Unrealized holding gains or losses are included in other comprehensive income (OCI) as a separate component of shareholders' equity, net of tax. Realized gains or losses, determined on the basis of the cost of specific securities sold, are included in earnings. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted over the life of the related investment security as an adjustment to yield using the effective interest method. Dividend and interest income are recognized when earned.

Transfers of securities from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity are accounted for at fair value as of the date of the transfer. The difference between the fair value and the par value at the date of transfer is considered a premium or discount and is accounted for accordingly. Any unrealized gain or loss at the date of the transfer is reported in OCI, and is amortized over the remaining life of the security as an adjustment of yield in a manner consistent with the amortization of any premium or discount, and will offset or mitigate the effect on interest income of the amortization of the premium or discount for that held-to-maturity security. The Company did not have any transfers in or out of the various securities classifications during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and year ended December 31, 2011, respectively. However, the Company will continue to evaluate potential transfers to held-to-maturity and the impact such transfers would have on the financial position of the Company.

The following table presents the amortized costs, unrealized gains, unrealized losses and approximate fair values of investment securities at June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)

As of June 30, 2012

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| | Amortized Costs | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
|--|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | \$ 72,799 | \$ 3,476 | \$ (96) | \$ 76,179 |
| Residential mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 52,477 | 731 | (366) | 52,842 |
| Corporate securities | 50,089 | 342 | (954) | 49,477 |
| Other asset backed securities | 23,167 | 152 | (469) | 22,850 |
| Total | \$ 198,532 | \$ 4,701 | \$ (1,885) | \$ 201,348 |

(Dollars in thousands)

| | As of December 31, 2011 | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Amortized Costs | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | \$ 74,444 | \$ 2,929 | \$ (47) | \$ 77,326 |
| Residential mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 60,160 | 669 | (219) | 60,610 |
| Corporate securities | 42,525 | 102 | (1,807) | 40,820 |
| Other asset backed securities | 24,850 | 65 | (147) | 24,768 |
| Total | \$ 201,979 | \$ 3,765 | \$ (2,220) | \$ 203,524 |

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of available-for-sale securities as of June 30, 2012, are shown below.

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Available-for-sale | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| AMOUNTS MATURING IN: | | |
| One year or less | \$ 3,254 | \$ 3,256 |
| One year through five years | 79,200 | 79,720 |
| Five years through ten years | 43,504 | 44,111 |
| After ten years | 72,574 | 74,261 |
| | \$ 198,532 | \$ 201,348 |

The amortized cost and fair value of collateralized mortgage obligations and mortgage backed securities are presented by their expected average life, rather than contractual maturity, in the preceding table. Expected maturities may differ from contractual.

The Company held \$49.9 million in securities with safekeeping institutions for pledging purposes. Of this amount, \$31.1 million were pledged as of June 30, 2012. The following table presents the fair market value of the securities pledged, segregated by purpose, as of June 30, 2012:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Amount |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Public funds collateral | \$ 12,625 |
| Collateralized repurchase agreements | 14,378 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings | 0 |
| Interest rate swap contracts | 4,059 |
| Total pledged securities | \$ 31,062 |

The following table presents the cash proceeds from sales of securities and their associated gross realized gains and gross realized losses that have been included in earnings for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | For the three months ended June 30, | | For the six months ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Proceeds from sales of securities | \$ 28,345 | \$ 53,835 | \$ 53,811 | \$ 76,883 |

Gross realized gains on sales of securities:

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$ 0 | \$ 2 | \$ 0 | \$ 2 |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 287 | 78 | 877 | 129 |
| Residential mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 170 | 532 | 183 | 662 |
| Corporate securities | 102 | 56 | 215 | 141 |
| Other asset backed securities | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| Total gross realized gains on sales of securities | \$ 571 | \$ 668 | \$ 1,287 | \$ 934 |
| Gross realized losses on sales of securities | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury and agencies | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ (5) |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | 0 | (1) | 0 | (4) |
| Residential mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | (10) | 0 | (81) | 0 |
| Corporate securities | (16) | (12) | (16) | (12) |
| Other asset backed securities | (3) | 0 | (3) | 0 |
| Total gross realized losses on sales of securities | \$ (29) | \$ (13) | \$ (100) | \$ (21) |

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

The following tables present the current fair value and associated unrealized losses on investments with unrealized losses at June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011. The tables also illustrate whether these securities have had unrealized losses for less than 12 months or for 12 months or longer.

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Less than 12 months | | As of June 30, 2012 | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Fair | | 12 months or more | | Fair | Unrealized |
| | Value | Unrealized Losses | Value | Unrealized Losses | Value | Losses |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 6,284 | \$ (96) | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 6,284 | \$ (96) |
| Residential mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 17,169 | (366) | 0 | 0 | 17,169 | (366) |
| Corporate securities | 24,727 | (665) | 6,131 | (289) | 30,858 | (954) |
| Other asset backed securities | 11,348 | (420) | 4,085 | (49) | 15,433 | (469) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 59,528 | \$ (1,547) | \$ 10,216 | \$ (338) | \$ 69,744 | \$ (1,885) |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Less than 12 months | | As of December 31, 2011 | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Fair | | 12 months or more | | Fair | Unrealized |
| | Value | Unrealized Losses | Value | Unrealized Losses | Value | Losses |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 5,456 | \$ (44) | \$ 362 | \$ (3) | \$ 5,818 | \$ (47) |
| Residential mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 19,106 | (216) | 1,252 | (3) | 20,358 | (219) |
| Corporate securities | 32,514 | (1,634) | 1,820 | (173) | 34,334 | (1,807) |
| Other asset backed securities | 16,240 | (139) | 2,304 | (8) | 18,544 | (147) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 73,316 | \$ (2,033) | \$ 5,738 | \$ (187) | \$ 79,054 | \$ (2,220) |

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, sixty-six and sixty-eight securities were in unrealized loss positions, respectively.

The unrealized losses on obligations of political subdivisions and corporate securities were caused by changes in market interest rates or the widening of market spreads subsequent to the initial purchase of these securities. Management monitors published credit ratings of these securities and there have been no adverse ratings changes below investment grade since the date of purchase. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates or widening market spreads and not credit quality, and because the Company does not intend to sell the securities in these classes, and it is not likely that the Company will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may include holding each security until maturity, the unrealized losses on these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

The majority of the available-for-sale residential mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations portfolio in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2012, are issued or guaranteed by governmental agencies. The unrealized losses on residential mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations were caused by changes in market interest rates or the widening of market spreads subsequent

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

to the initial purchase of these securities, and not concerns regarding the underlying credit of the issuers or the underlying collateral. It is expected that these securities will not be settled at a price less than the amortized cost of each investment. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates or widening market spreads and not credit quality, and because the Company does not intend to sell the securities in this class, and it is not likely that the Company will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis, which may include holding each security until contractual maturity, the unrealized losses on these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

In assessing a security for other-than-temporary impairment or permanent impairment the Company must consider whether it intends to sell a security or if it is more likely than not that they would be required to sell the security before recovery of the amortized cost basis of the investment, which may be maturity. For debt securities, if we intend to sell the security or it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before recovering its cost basis, the entire impairment loss would be recognized in earnings as an other than temporary impairment (OTTI). If we do not intend to sell the security and it is not likely that we will be required to sell the security but we do not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security, only the portion of the impairment loss representing credit losses would be recognized in earnings. The credit loss on a security is measured as the difference between the amortized cost basis and the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected. Projected cash flows are discounted by the original or current effective interest rate depending on the nature of the security being measured for potential OTTI. The remaining impairment related to all other factors, the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and fair value, is recognized as a charge to OCI. Impairment losses related to all other factors are presented as separate categories within OCI. For investment securities held-to-maturity, this amount is accreted over the remaining life of the debt security prospectively based on the amount and timing of future estimated cash flows. The accretion of the amount recorded in OCI increases the carrying value of the investment and does not affect earnings. If there is an indication of additional credit losses the security is re-evaluated according to the procedures described above. The Company did not recognize any impairment losses for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements****NOTE 5. LOANS**

Outstanding loan balances consist of the following at June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Commercial | \$ 151,834 | \$ 138,411 |
| Real estate construction loans | 29,048 | 26,064 |
| Real estate commercial (investor) | 214,004 | 219,864 |
| Real estate commercial (owner occupied) | 69,024 | 65,885 |
| Real estate ITIN loans | 62,189 | 64,833 |
| Real estate mortgage | 19,638 | 19,679 |
| Real estate equity lines | 45,761 | 44,445 |
| Consumer | 4,396 | 5,283 |
| Other | 51 | 224 |
| Gross portfolio loans | \$ 595,945 | \$ 584,688 |
| Less: | | |
| Deferred loan fees, net | (160) | (37) |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | 12,497 | 10,622 |
| Net portfolio loans | \$ 583,608 | \$ 574,103 |

Gross loan balances in the table above include net discounts of \$30 thousand and \$87 thousand as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Loans are reported as past due when any portion of principal and interest are not received on their respective contractual due date. The days past due will continue to increase for each day until full principal and interest are received (i.e. if payment is not received within thirty days of the due date, the loan will be considered thirty days past due; if payment is not received within sixty days of the due date, the loan will be considered sixty days past due, etc). Loans that become ninety days past due are generally placed in nonaccrual status.

Age analysis of past due loans, segregated by class of loans, as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

As of June 30, 2012

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | Greater Than 90 Days | Total Past Due | Current | Total | Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------|-------|---|
| | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Commercial | \$ 442 | \$ 42 | \$ 0 | \$ 484 | \$ 151,350 | \$ 151,834 | \$ 0 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | | |
| Construction | 0 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 29,022 | 29,048 | 0 |
| Other | 618 | 0 | 3,755 | 4,373 | 278,655 | 283,028 | 0 |
| Residential: | | | | | | | |
| 1-4 family | 1,786 | 1,117 | 5,159 | 8,062 | 73,765 | 81,827 | 0 |
| Home equities | 83 | 135 | 65 | 283 | 45,478 | 45,761 | 65 |
| Consumer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,447 | 4,447 | 0 |
| Total | \$ 2,929 | \$ 1,294 | \$ 9,005 | \$ 13,228 | \$ 582,717 | \$ 595,945 | \$ 65 |

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands)***As of December 31, 2011**

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | Greater Than 90 Days | Total Past Due | Current | Total | Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---|
| Commercial | \$ 1,522 | \$ 0 | \$ 49 | \$ 1,571 | \$ 136,840 | \$ 138,411 | \$ 0 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | | |
| Construction | 0 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 26,038 | 26,064 | 0 |
| Other | 4,165 | 0 | 3,688 | 7,853 | 277,896 | 285,749 | 0 |
| Residential: | | | | | | | |
| 1-4 family | 7,342 | 1,084 | 6,664 | 15,090 | 69,422 | 84,512 | 75 |
| Home equities | 281 | 68 | 373 | 722 | 43,723 | 44,445 | 20 |
| Consumer | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5,502 | 5,507 | 0 |
| Total | \$ 13,315 | \$ 1,152 | \$ 10,800 | \$ 25,267 | \$ 559,421 | \$ 584,688 | \$ 95 |

A loan is considered impaired when based on current information and events, the Company determines it is probable that it will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments. Generally, when the Company identifies a loan as impaired, it measures the loan for potential impairment using discounted cash flows, except when the sole remaining source of the repayment for the loan is the liquidation of the collateral. In these cases, the current fair value of collateral is used, less selling costs. The starting point for determining the fair value of collateral is through obtaining external appraisals. Generally, external appraisals are updated every six to twelve months. The Company obtains appraisals from a pre-approved list of independent, third party, local appraisal firms. Approval and addition to the list is based on experience, reputation, character, consistency and knowledge of the respective real estate market. At a minimum, it is ascertained that the appraiser is: (1) currently licensed in the state in which the property is located, (2) is experienced in the appraisal of properties similar to the property being appraised, (3) is actively engaged in the appraisal work, (4) has knowledge of current real estate market conditions and financing trends, (5) is reputable, and (6) is not on Freddie Mac's nor the Bank's Exclusionary List of appraisers and brokers. In certain cases appraisals will be reviewed by another independent third party to ensure the quality of the appraisal and the expertise and independence of the appraiser. Upon receipt and review, an external appraisal is utilized to measure a loan for potential impairment. The Company's impairment analysis documents the date of the appraisal used in the analysis, whether the officer preparing the report deems it current, and, if not, allows for internal valuation adjustments with justification. Typical justified adjustments might include discounts for continued market deterioration subsequent to appraisal date, adjustments for the release of collateral contemplated in the appraisal, or the value of other collateral or consideration not contemplated in the appraisal. An appraisal over one year old in most cases will be considered stale dated and an updated or new appraisal will be required. Any adjustments from appraised value to net realizable value are detailed and justified in the impairment analysis, which is reviewed and approved by senior credit quality officers and the Company's Credit Roundtable Committee. Although an external appraisal is the primary source to value collateral dependent loans, the Company may also utilize values obtained through purchase and sale agreements, negotiated short sales, broker price opinions, or the sales price of the note. These alternative sources of value are used only if deemed to be more representative of value based on updated information regarding collateral resolution. Impairment analyses are updated, reviewed and approved on a quarterly basis at or near the end of each reporting period. Based on these processes, the Company does not believe there are significant time lapses for the recognition of additional loan loss provisions or charge offs from the date they become known.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

The following table summarizes impaired loans by loan class as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | As of June 30, 2012 | | |
|---|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | Recorded | Unpaid | Related |
| | Investment | Principal | Allowance |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | |
| Commercial real estate: | | | |
| Construction | \$ 104 | \$ 151 | \$ 0 |
| Other | 2,790 | 5,281 | 0 |
| Residential: | | | |
| 1-4 family | 6,235 | 9,438 | 0 |
| Home equities | 298 | 500 | 0 |
| Total with no related allowance recorded | \$ 9,427 | \$ 15,370 | \$ 0 |
| With an allowance recorded: | | | |
| Commercial | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 56 | \$ 56 | \$ 5 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | |
| Other | 16,640 | 16,640 | 2,198 |
| Residential: | | | |
| 1-4 family | 10,459 | 11,979 | 1,409 |
| Home equities | 436 | 436 | 46 |
| Total with an allowance recorded | \$ 27,591 | \$ 29,111 | \$ 3,658 |
| Subtotal: | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 56 | \$ 56 | \$ 5 |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 19,534 | \$ 22,072 | \$ 2,198 |
| Residential | \$ 17,428 | \$ 22,353 | \$ 1,455 |
| Total impaired loans | \$ 37,018 | \$ 44,481 | \$ 3,658 |

(Dollars in thousands)

| | As of December 31, 2011 | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| | Recorded | Unpaid | Related |
| | Investment | Principal | Allowance |
| With no related allowance recorded: | | | |
| Commercial real estate: | | | |
| Construction | \$ 106 | \$ 151 | \$ 0 |
| Other | 4,488 | 7,500 | 0 |
| Residential: | | | |
| 1-4 family | 8,204 | 11,745 | 0 |
| Home equities | 353 | 548 | 0 |
| Total with no related allowance recorded | \$ 13,151 | \$ 19,944 | \$ 0 |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| With an allowance recorded: | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 49 | \$ 49 | \$ 7 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | |
| Other | 16,679 | 16,679 | 1,218 |
| Residential: | | | |
| 1-4 family | 9,471 | 10,106 | 1,119 |
| Home equities | 423 | 423 | 46 |
| | | | |
| Total with an allowance recorded | \$ 26,622 | \$ 27,257 | \$ 2,390 |
| Subtotal: | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 49 | \$ 49 | \$ 7 |
| Commercial real estate | \$ 21,273 | \$ 24,330 | \$ 1,218 |
| Residential | \$ 18,451 | \$ 22,822 | \$ 1,165 |
| | | | |
| Total impaired loans | \$ 39,773 | \$ 47,201 | \$ 2,390 |

The Company's practice is to place an asset on nonaccrual status when one of the following events occurs: (1) any installment of principal or interest is 90 days or more past due (unless in management's opinion the loan is well-secured and in the process of collection), (2) management determines the ultimate collection of principal or interest to be unlikely or, (3) the terms of the loan have been renegotiated due to a serious weakening of the borrower's financial condition. Nonperforming loans may be on nonaccrual, 90 days past due and still accruing, or have been restructured. Accruals are resumed on loans only when they are brought fully current with respect to interest and principal and when the loan is estimated to be fully collectible. Restructured loans are those loans on which concessions in terms have been granted because of the borrower's financial or legal difficulties. Interest is generally accrued on such loans in accordance with the new terms, after a period of sustained performance by the borrower.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

One exception to the 90 days past due policy for nonaccruals is the Bank's pool of home equity loans and lines purchased from a private equity firm. Regarding this specific home equity loan pool, the Bank will charge off any loans that go more than 90 days past due. Management believes that at the time these loans become 90 days past due, it is likely that the Company will not collect the remaining principal balance on the loan. In accordance with this policy, management does not expect to classify any of the loans from this pool as nonaccrual.

Had nonaccrual loans performed in accordance with their contractual terms, the Company would have recognized additional interest income, net of tax, of approximately \$206 thousand and \$188 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company would have recognized additional interest income, net of tax, of approximately \$413 thousand and \$376 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Nonaccrual loans, segregated by loan class, were as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Commercial | \$ 0 | \$ 49 |
| Commercial real estate: | | |
| Construction | 104 | 106 |
| Other | 6,160 | 6,104 |
| Residential: | | |
| 1-4 family | 13,943 | 14,806 |
| Home equities | 298 | 353 |
| Total | \$ 20,505 | \$ 21,418 |

The following table summarizes average recorded investment and interest income recognized on impaired loans by loan class for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | For the three months ended June 30, | | | |
|-------------------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized |
| Commercial | \$ 19 | \$ 0 | \$ 1,554 | \$ 0 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | |
| Construction | 104 | 0 | 898 | 1 |
| Other | 20,448 | 55 | 16,907 | 107 |
| Residential: | | | | |
| 1-4 family | 17,020 | 19 | 18,284 | 49 |
| Home equities | 750 | 4 | 1,151 | 18 |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

Total \$ 38,341 \$ 78 \$ 38,794 \$ 175

(Dollars in thousands)

| | For the six months ended June 30, | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized |
| Commercial | \$ 9 | \$ 0 | \$ 2,066 | \$ 1 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | |
| Construction | 105 | 0 | 654 | 2 |
| Other | 20,769 | 116 | 13,868 | 164 |
| Residential: | | | | |
| 1-4 family | 17,223 | 38 | 17,730 | 101 |
| Home equities | 776 | 7 | 1,297 | 43 |
| Total | \$ 38,882 | \$ 161 | \$ 35,615 | \$ 311 |

The impaired loans for which these interest income amounts were recognized primarily relate to accruing restructured loans.

Loans are reported as troubled debt restructurings (TDR) when the Bank grants a concession(s) to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties that it would not otherwise consider. Examples of such concessions include a reduction in the loan rate, forgiveness of principal or accrued interest, extending the maturity date(s) significantly, or providing a lower interest rate than would be normally available for a transaction of similar risk. As a result of these concessions, restructured loans are impaired as the Bank will not collect all amounts due, both principal and interest, in accordance with the terms of the original loan agreement.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

Impairment reserves on non-collateral dependent restructured loans are measured by comparing the present value of expected future cash flows of the restructured loans, discounted at the effective interest rate of the original loan agreement. These impairment reserves are recognized as a specific component to be provided for in the ALLL.

The types of modifications offered can generally be described in the following categories:

Rate Modification A modification in which the interest rate is modified.

Rate and Maturity Modification A modification in which the interest rate is modified and maturity date, timing of payments, or frequency of payments is changed.

Rate and Principal Reduction Modification A modification in which the interest rate is modified and the principal is reduced.

Rate and Payment Deferral Modification A modification in which the interest rate is modified and a portion of the principal is deferred.

Maturity Modification A modification in which the maturity date, timing of payments, or frequency of payments is changed.

The following tables present newly restructured loans that occurred during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively:

(Dollars in thousands)

For the three months ended June 30, 2012

| | Rate Modifications | Rate & Maturity Modifications | Rate & Principal Reduction Modifications | Rate & Payment Deferral Modifications | Maturity Modifications | Total Modifications |
|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Commercial | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 56 | \$ 56 |
| Residential: | | | | | | |
| 1-4 family | 288 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 403 |
| Home equities | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| Total | \$ 288 | \$ 78 | \$ 0 | \$ 115 | \$ 56 | \$ 537 |

(Dollars in thousands)

For the three months ended June 30, 2011

| | Rate Modifications | Rate & Maturity Modifications | Rate & Principal Reduction Modifications | Rate & Payment Deferral Modifications | Maturity Modifications | Total Modifications |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Commercial | \$ 5,328 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 5,328 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | |
| Other | 6,116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,116 |
| Residential: | | | | | | |
| 1-4 family | 1,311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,311 |
| Home equities | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|-------|-----------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| Total | \$ 12,873 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 12,873 |
|-------|-----------|------|------|------|------|-----------|

(Dollars in thousands)

For the six months ended June 30, 2012

| | Rate Modifications | Rate & Maturity Modifications | Rate & Principal Reduction Modifications | Rate & Payment Deferral Modifications | Maturity Modifications | Total Modifications |
|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Commercial | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 56 | \$ 56 |
| Residential: | | | | | | |
| 1-4 family | 854 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 969 |
| Home equities | 57 | 78 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 433 |
| Total | \$ 911 | \$ 78 | \$ 298 | \$ 115 | \$ 56 | \$ 1,458 |

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands)***For the six months ended June 30, 2011**

| | Rate Modifications | Rate & Maturity Modifications | Rate & Principal Reduction Modifications | Rate & Payment Deferral Modifications | Maturity Modifications | Total Modifications |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Commercial | \$ 5,328 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 5,328 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | |
| Other | 6,116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,116 |
| Residential: | | | | | | |
| 1-4 family | 2,733 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,733 |
| Home equities | 299 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 299 |
| Total | \$ 14,476 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 14,476 |

The tables below provide information regarding the number of loans where the contractual terms have been restructured in a manner which grants a concession to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

*(Dollars in thousands)***For the three months ended June 30,**

| | 2012 | | | 2011 | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|------------------------|---|--|
| | Number of Contracts | Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Number of Contracts | Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings | | | | | | |
| Commercial | 1 | \$ 56 | \$ 56 | 1 | \$ 5,341 | \$ 5,341 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6,130 | 6,130 |
| Residential: | | | | | | |
| 1-4 family | 3 | 361 | 362 | 10 | 1,319 | 1,322 |
| Home equities | 1 | 77 | 79 | 3 | 117 | 120 |
| Total | 5 | \$ 494 | \$ 497 | 16 | \$ 12,907 | \$ 12,913 |

*(Dollars in thousands)***For the six months ended June 30,**

| | 2012 | | | 2011 | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|------------------------|---|--|
| | Number of Contracts | Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Number of Contracts | Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post- Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings | | | | | | |
| Commercial | 1 | \$ 56 | \$ 56 | 1 | \$ 5,341 | \$ 5,341 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6,130 | 6,130 |
| Residential: | | | | | | |
| 1-4 family | 8 | 913 | 935 | 25 | 2,862 | 2,791 |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|---------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|
| Home equities | 3 | 487 | 440 | 5 | 299 | 303 |
| Total | 12 | \$ 1,456 | \$ 1,431 | 33 | \$ 14,632 | \$ 14,565 |

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, impaired loans of \$16.0 million and \$17.9 million were classified as performing restructured loans, respectively. The restructurings were granted in response to borrower financial difficulty, and generally provide for a temporary modification of loan repayment terms. The performing restructured loans on accrual status represent the majority of impaired loans accruing interest at each respective date.

In order for a restructured loan to be considered performing and on accrual status, the loan's collateral coverage generally will be greater than or equal to 100% of the loan balance, the loan is current on payments, and the borrower must either prefund an interest reserve or demonstrate the ability to make payments from a verified source of cash flow. The Company had no obligations to lend additional funds on the restructured loans as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had \$29.6 million in TDRs compared to \$31.3 million as of December 31, 2011. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had one hundred and four restructured loans that qualified as TDRs, of which seventy-six were performing according to their restructured terms. TDRs represented 4.97% of gross portfolio loans as of June 30, 2012, compared with 5.35% at December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

The following tables represent financing receivables modified as troubled debt restructurings within the previous 12 months for which there was a payment default during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | For the three months ended June 30, | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings That Subsequently Defaulted | Number of Contracts | Recorded Investment | Number of Contracts | Recorded Investment |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | |
| Construction | 0 | \$ 0 | 1 | \$ 2,219 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,698 |
| Residential: | | | | |
| 1-4 family | 0 | 0 | 3 | 353 |
| Total | 0 | \$ 0 | 5 | \$ 4,270 |

(Dollars in thousands)

| | For the six months ended June 30, | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings That Subsequently Defaulted | Number of Contracts | Recorded Investment | Number of Contracts | Recorded Investment |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | |
| Construction | 0 | \$ 0 | 1 | \$ 2,219 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1,698 |
| Residential: | | | | |
| 1-4 family | 2 | 394 | 3 | 353 |
| Total | 2 | \$ 394 | 5 | \$ 4,270 |

The foundation or primary factor in determining the appropriate credit quality indicators is the degree of a debtor's willingness and ability to perform as agreed. The Company defines a performing loan as a loan where any installment of principal or interest is not 90 days or more past due, and management believes the ultimate collection of principal and interest is likely. The Company defines a nonperforming loan as an impaired loan which may be on nonaccrual, 90 days past due and still accruing, or has been restructured and is not in compliance with its modified terms, and our ultimate collection of principal and interest is uncertain.

Performing and nonperforming loans, segregated by class of loans, are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, 2012 | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | Performing | Nonperforming | Total |
| Commercial | \$ 151,834 | \$ 0 | \$ 151,834 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Construction | 28,944 | 104 | 29,048 |
| Other | 276,868 | 6,160 | 283,028 |
| Residential: | | | |
| 1-4 family | 67,884 | 13,943 | 81,827 |
| Home equities | 45,398 | 363 | 45,761 |
| Consumer | 4,447 | 0 | 4,447 |
| Total | \$ 575,375 | \$ 20,570 | \$ 595,945 |

(Dollars in thousands)

| | December 31, 2011 | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Performing | Nonperforming | Total |
| Commercial | \$ 138,362 | \$ 49 | \$ 138,411 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | |
| Construction | 25,958 | 106 | 26,064 |
| Other | 279,645 | 6,104 | 285,749 |
| Residential: | | | |
| 1-4 family | 69,631 | 14,881 | 84,512 |
| Home equities | 44,072 | 373 | 44,445 |
| Consumer | 5,507 | 0 | 5,507 |
| Total | \$ 563,175 | \$ 21,513 | \$ 584,688 |

Table of Contents

BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

In conjunction with evaluating the performing versus nonperforming nature of the Company's loan portfolio, management evaluates the following credit risk and other relevant factors in determining the appropriate credit quality indicator (grade) for each loan class:

Pass Grade Borrowers classified as Pass Grades specifically demonstrate:

Strong Cash Flows borrower's cash flows must meet or exceed the Company's minimum debt service coverage ratio.

Collateral Margin generally, the borrower must have pledged an acceptable collateral class with an adequate collateral margin. Those borrowers who qualify for unsecured loans must fully demonstrate above average cash flows and strong secondary sources of repayment to mitigate the lack of unpledged collateral.

Qualitative Factors in addition to meeting the Company's minimum cash flow and collateral requirements, a number of other qualitative factors are also factored into assigning a pass grade including the borrower's level of leverage (debt to equity), prospects, history and experience in their industry, credit history, and any other relevant factors including a borrower's character.

Watch Grade Generally, borrowers classified as Watch exhibit some level of deterioration in one or more of the following:

Adequate Cash Flows borrowers in this category demonstrate adequate cash flows and debt service coverage ratios, but also exhibit one or more less than positive conditions such as declining trends in the level of cash flows, increasing or sole reliance on secondary sources of cash flows, and/or do not meet the Company's minimum debt service coverage ratio. However, cash flow remains at acceptable levels to meet debt service requirements.

Adequate Collateral Margin the collateral securing the debt remains adequate but also exhibits a declining trend in value or expected volatility due to macro or industry specific conditions. The current collateral value, less selling costs, remains adequate to cover the outstanding debt under a liquidation scenario.

Qualitative Factors while the borrower's cash flow and collateral margin generally remain adequate, one or more quantitative and qualitative factors may also factor into assigning a Watch Grade including the borrower's level of leverage (debt to equity), deterioration in prospects, limited experience in their industry, newly formed company, overall deterioration in the industry, negative trends or recent events in a borrower's credit history, deviation from core business, and any other relevant factors.

Special Mention Grade Generally, borrowers classified as Special Mention exhibit a greater level of deterioration than Watch graded loans and warrant management's close attention. If left uncorrected, the potential weaknesses could threaten repayment prospects in the future. Special Mention loans are not adversely classified and do not expose the Company to sufficient risk to warrant an adverse risk grade.

The following represents potential characteristics of a Special Mention Grade but do not necessarily generate automatic reclassification into this loan grade:

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

Adequate Cash Flows borrowers in this category demonstrate adequate cash flows and debt service coverage ratios, but also reflect adverse trends in operations or continuing financial deterioration that, if it does not stabilize and reverse in a reasonable timeframe, retirement of the debt may be jeopardized.

Adequate Collateral Margin the collateral securing the debt remains adequate but also exhibits a continuing declining trend in value or volatility due to macro or industry specific conditions. The current collateral value, less selling costs, remains adequate, but should the negative collateral trend continue, the full recovery of the outstanding debt under a liquidation scenario could be jeopardized.

Qualitative Factors while the borrower's cash flow and/or collateral margin continue to deteriorate but generally remain adequate, one or more quantitative and qualitative factors may also be factoring into assigning a Special Mention Grade including inadequate or incomplete loan documentation, perfection of collateral, inadequate credit structure, borrower unable or unwilling to produce current and adequate financial information, and any other relevant factors.

Substandard Grade A Substandard credit is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the borrower or of the collateral pledged, if any. Substandard credits have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation or timely collection of the debt. Substandard credits are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. However, a potential loss does not have to be recognizable in an individual credit for it to be considered a substandard credit. As such, substandard credits may or may not be classified as impaired.

Table of Contents

BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

The following represents, but is not limited to, the potential characteristics of a Substandard Grade and do not necessarily generate automatic reclassification into this loan grade:

Sustained or substantial deteriorating financial trends,

Unresolved management problems,

Collateral is insufficient to repay debt; collateral is not sufficiently supported by independent sources, such as asset-based financial audits, appraisals, or equipment evaluations,

Improper perfection of lien position, which is not readily correctable,

Unanticipated and severe decline in market values,

High reliance on secondary source of repayment,

Legal proceedings, such as bankruptcy or a divorce, which has substantially decreased the borrower's capacity to repay the debt,

Fraud committed by the borrower,

IRS liens that take precedence,

Forfeiture statutes for assets involved in criminal activities,

Protracted repayment terms outside of policy that are for longer than the same type of credit in the Company portfolio,

Any other relevant quantitative or qualitative factor that negatively affects the current net worth and paying capacity of the borrower or of the collateral pledged, if any.

Doubtful Grade A credit risk rated as Doubtful has all the weaknesses inherent in a credit classified Substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable. As such, all doubtful loans are considered impaired. The possibility of loss is extremely high, but because of certain pending factors that may work to the advantage and strengthening of the credit, its classification as an estimated loss is deferred until its more exact status may be determined. Pending factors may include, but are not limited to:

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

Proposed merger(s),

Acquisition or liquidation procedures,

Capital injection,

Perfecting liens on additional collateral,

Refinancing plans.

Generally, a Doubtful grade does not remain outstanding for a period greater than six months. After six months, the pending events should have either occurred or not occurred. The credit grade should have improved or the principal balance charged against the ALLL.

Credit grade definitions, including qualitative factors, for all credit grades are reviewed and approved annually by the Company's Loan Committee. The following table summarizes internal risk rating by loan class as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | As of June 30, 2012 | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | Pass | Watch | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Total |
| Commercial | \$ 128,269 | \$ 13,697 | \$ 4,003 | \$ 5,865 | \$ 0 | \$ 151,834 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | |
| Construction | 15,007 | 13,937 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 29,048 |
| Other | 226,888 | 19,691 | 7,764 | 28,685 | 0 | 283,028 |
| Residential: | | | | | | |
| 1-4 family | 63,946 | 1,188 | 0 | 16,693 | 0 | 81,827 |
| Home equities | 41,391 | 2,573 | 0 | 1,797 | 0 | 45,761 |
| Consumer | 4,280 | 102 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 4,447 |
| Total | \$ 479,781 | \$ 51,188 | \$ 11,767 | \$ 53,209 | \$ 0 | \$ 595,945 |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

(Dollars in thousands)

| | As of December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | Pass | Watch | Special Mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Total |
| Commercial | \$ 117,770 | \$ 10,186 | \$ 4,351 | \$ 6,104 | \$ 0 | \$ 138,411 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | |
| Construction | 16,450 | 9,508 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 26,064 |
| Other | 229,581 | 26,572 | 7,854 | 21,742 | 0 | 285,749 |
| Residential: | | | | | | |
| 1-4 family | 65,987 | 851 | 0 | 17,674 | 0 | 84,512 |
| Home equities | 39,764 | 2,923 | 0 | 1,758 | 0 | 44,445 |
| Consumer | 4,766 | 669 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 5,507 |
| Total | \$ 474,318 | \$ 50,709 | \$ 12,205 | \$ 47,456 | \$ 0 | \$ 584,688 |

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

The following tables below summarize the Allowance for Credit Losses and Recorded Investment in Financing Receivables as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | As of June 30, 2012 | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| | Commercial | Commercial Real Estate | Consumer | Residential | Unallocated | Total |
| Allowance for credit losses: | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 2,773 | \$ 3,796 | \$ 33 | \$ 3,690 | \$ 330 | \$ 10,622 |
| Charge offs | (49) | (480) | 0 | (1,139) | 0 | (1,668) |
| Recoveries | 110 | 9 | 1 | 473 | 0 | 593 |
| Provision | 319 | 1,515 | (8) | 868 | 256 | 2,950 |
| Ending balance | \$ 3,153 | \$ 4,840 | \$ 26 | \$ 3,892 | \$ 586 | \$ 12,497 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 5 | \$ 2,198 | \$ 0 | \$ 1,455 | \$ 0 | \$ 3,658 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 3,148 | \$ 2,642 | \$ 26 | \$ 2,437 | \$ 586 | \$ 8,839 |
| Financing receivables: | | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 151,834 | \$ 312,076 | \$ 4,447 | \$ 127,588 | \$ 0 | \$ 595,945 |
| Ending balance individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 56 | \$ 19,534 | \$ 0 | \$ 17,428 | \$ 0 | \$ 37,018 |
| Ending balance collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 151,778 | \$ 292,542 | \$ 4,447 | \$ 110,160 | \$ 0 | \$ 558,927 |

(Dollars in thousands)

| | As of December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| | Commercial | Commercial Real Estate | Consumer | Residential | Unallocated | Total |
| Allowance for credit losses: | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 4,185 | \$ 3,900 | \$ 46 | \$ 4,561 | \$ 149 | \$ 12,841 |
| Charge offs | (2,980) | (5,228) | (46) | (4,229) | 0 | (12,483) |
| Recoveries | 94 | 100 | 4 | 1,075 | 0 | 1,273 |
| Provision | 1,474 | 5,024 | 29 | 2,283 | 181 | 8,991 |
| Ending balance | \$ 2,773 | \$ 3,796 | \$ 33 | \$ 3,690 | \$ 330 | \$ 10,622 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 7 | \$ 1,218 | \$ 0 | \$ 1,165 | \$ 0 | \$ 2,390 |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 2,766 | \$ 2,578 | \$ 33 | \$ 2,525 | \$ 330 | \$ 8,232 |
| Financing receivables: | | | | | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 138,411 | \$ 311,813 | \$ 5,507 | \$ 128,957 | \$ 0 | \$ 584,688 |
| Ending balance individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 49 | \$ 21,273 | \$ 0 | \$ 18,451 | \$ 0 | \$ 39,773 |
| Ending balance collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 138,362 | \$ 290,540 | \$ 5,507 | \$ 110,506 | \$ 0 | \$ 544,915 |

The ALLL totaled \$12.5 million or 2.10% of total loans at June 30, 2012, compared to \$10.6 million or 1.82% at December 31, 2011. The related allowance allocation for the ITIN portfolio which is included in the residential classification was \$1.5 million and \$1.7 million at June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively. In addition, as of June 30, 2012, the Company had \$138.0 million in commitments to extend credit, and recorded a reserve for off balance sheet commitments of \$499 thousand in other liabilities in the *Consolidated Balance Sheets*.

The ALLL is based upon estimates of loan losses and is maintained at a level considered adequate to provide for probable losses inherent in the outstanding loan portfolio. The Company's ALLL methodology significantly incorporates management's current judgments, and reflects the

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

reserve amount that is necessary for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio in accordance with ASC Topic 450 *Contingencies* and ASC Topic 310 *Receivables*.

Table of Contents

BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

The allowance is increased by provisions charged to expense and reduced by net charge offs. In periodic evaluations of the adequacy of the allowance balance, management considers our past loan loss experience by type of credit, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral, current economic conditions and other factors. We formally assess the adequacy of the ALLL on a monthly basis. These assessments include the periodic re-grading of classified loans based on changes in their individual credit characteristics including delinquency, seasoning, recent financial performance of the borrower, economic factors, changes in the interest rate environment and other factors as warranted. Loans are initially graded when originated. They are reviewed as they are renewed, when there is a new loan to the same borrower and/or when identified facts demonstrate heightened risk of default. Confirmation of the quality of our grading process is obtained by independent reviews conducted by outside consultants specifically hired for this purpose and by periodic examination by various bank regulatory agencies.

Management monitors delinquent loans continuously and identifies problem loans to be evaluated individually for impairment testing. For loans that are determined impaired, formal impairment measurement is performed at least quarterly on a loan-by-loan basis.

Our method for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance includes specific allowances for identified problem loans, an allowance factor for categories of credits and allowances for changing environmental factors (e.g., portfolio trends, concentration of credit, growth, economic factors). Allowances for identified problem loans are based on specific analysis of individual credits. Loss estimation factors for loan categories are based on analysis of local economic factors applicable to each loan category. Allowances for changing environmental factors are management's best estimate of the probable impact these changes have had on the loan portfolio as a whole.

Management believes that the ALLL was adequately funded as of June 30, 2012. There is, however, no assurance that future loan losses will not exceed the levels provided for in the ALLL and could possibly result in additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses. In addition, bank regulatory authorities, as part of their periodic examination of the Bank, may require additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses.

Approximately 74% of our loan portfolio is secured by real estate, and a significant decline in real estate market values may require an increase in the ALLL. The U.S. recession, the housing market downturn, and declining real estate values in our markets have negatively impacted aspects of our residential development, commercial real estate, commercial construction and commercial loan portfolios. A continued deterioration in our markets may adversely affect our loan portfolio and may lead to additional charges to the provision for loan and lease losses.

All impaired loans are individually evaluated for impairment. If the measurement of each impaired loan's value is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we recognize this impairment and adjust the carrying value of the loan to fair value through the ALLL. This can be accomplished by charging-off the impaired portion of the loan or establishing a specific component within the ALLL. If in management's assessment the sources of repayment will not result in a reasonable probability that the carrying value of a loan can be recovered, the amount of a loan's specific impairment is charged off against the ALLL. Prior to the downturn in our local real estate markets, the Company established specific reserves within the ALLL for loan impairments and recognized the charge off of the impairment reserve when the loan was resolved, sold, or foreclosed and transferred to OREO. Due to declining real estate values in our markets and the deterioration of the U.S. economy in general, it became increasingly likely that impairment reserves on collateral dependent loans, particularly those relating to real estate, would not be recoverable and represented a confirmed loss. As a result, within the preceding three years, the Company began recognizing the charge off of impairment reserves on impaired loans in the period they arise for collateral dependent loans. This process has effectively accelerated the recognition of charge offs recognized since 2009. The change in our assessment of the possible recoverability of our collateral dependent impaired loans' carrying values has ultimately had no impact on our impairment valuation procedures or the amount of provision for loan and lease losses included within the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*. Impairment reserves on non-collateral dependent restructured loans are measured by comparing the present value of expected future cash flows on the restructured loans discounted at the interest rate of the original loan agreement to the loan's carrying value. These impairment reserves are recognized as a specific component to be provided for in the ALLL.

The unallocated portion of ALLL provides for coverage of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio but not captured in the credit loss factors that are utilized in the risk rating-based component, or in the specific impairment reserve component of the ALLL, and acknowledges the inherent imprecision of all loss prediction models. As of June 30, 2012, the unallocated allowance amount represented 5% of the ALLL, compared to 4% at December 31, 2011. The level in unallocated ALLL in the current year reflects management's evaluation of the existing general business and economic conditions, and declining credit quality and collateral values of real estate in our markets. The ALLL composition should not be interpreted as an indication of specific amounts or loan categories in which future charge offs may occur.

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

The Company has lending policies and procedures in place with the objective of optimizing loan income within an accepted risk tolerance level. Management reviews and approves these policies and procedures annually. Monitoring and reporting systems supplement the review process with regular frequency as related to loan production, loan quality, concentrations of credit, potential problem loans, loan delinquencies, and nonperforming loans.

Table of Contents

BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

The following is a brief summary, by loan type, of management's evaluation of the general risk characteristics and underwriting standards:

Commercial Loans Commercial loans are underwritten after evaluating the borrower's financial ability to maintain profitability including future expansion objectives. In addition, the borrower's qualitative qualities are evaluated, such as management skills and experience, ethical traits, and overall business acumen. Commercial loans are primarily extended based on the cash flows of the borrower and secondarily on the underlying collateral provided by the borrower. The borrower's cash flow may deviate from initial projections, and the value of collateral securing these loans may vary.

Most commercial loans are generally secured by the assets being financed and other business assets such as accounts receivable or inventory. Management may also incorporate a personal guarantee; however, some short term loans may be extended on an unsecured basis. Repayment of commercial loans secured by accounts receivable may be substantially dependent on the ability of the borrower to collect amounts due from its customers.

Commercial Real Estate (CRE) Loans CRE loans are subject to similar underwriting standards and processes as commercial loans. CRE loans are viewed predominantly as cash flow loans and secondarily as loans collateralized by real estate. Generally, CRE lending involves larger principal amounts with repayment largely dependent on the successful operation of the property securing the loan or the business conducted on the collateralized property. CRE loans tend to be more adversely affected by conditions in the real estate markets or by general economic conditions.

The properties securing the Company's CRE portfolio are diverse in terms of type and primary source of repayment. This diversity helps reduce the Company's exposure to adverse economic events that affect any single industry. Management monitors and evaluates CRE loans based on occupancy status (investor versus owner occupied), collateral, geography, and risk grade criteria.

Generally, CRE loans to developers and builders that are secured by non owner occupied properties require the borrower to have had an existing relationship with the Company and a proven record of success. Construction loans are underwritten utilizing feasibility studies, sensitivity analysis of absorption and lease rates, and financial analysis of the developers and property owners. Construction loans are generally based upon estimates of cost and value associated with the complete project (as-is value). These estimates may be inaccurate. Construction loans often involve the disbursement of substantial funds with repayment largely dependent on the success of the ultimate project. Sources of repayment for these types of loans may be pre-committed permanent loans from approved long term lenders, sales of developed property, or an interim loan commitment from the Company until permanent financing is secured. These loans are closely monitored by on-site inspections, and are considered to have higher inherent risks than other CRE loans due to their ultimate repayment sensitivity to interest rate changes, governmental regulation of real property, general economic conditions, and the availability of long term financing.

Consumer Loans The Company's consumer loan portfolio is generally limited to home equity loans with nominal originations in unsecured personal loans and credit cards. The Company is highly dependent on third party credit scoring analysis to supplement the internal underwriting process. To monitor and manage consumer loan risk, policies and procedures are developed and modified, as needed, jointly by management and staff personnel. This activity, coupled with relatively small loan amounts that are spread across many individual borrowers, minimizes risk. Additionally, trend and outlook reports are reviewed by management on a regular basis. Underwriting standards for home equity loans are heavily influenced by statutory requirements, which include, but are not limited to, a maximum loan-to-value percentage of 80%, collection remedies, the number of such loans a borrower can have at one time, and documentation requirements.

The Company maintains an independent loan review program that reviews and validates the credit risk program on a periodic basis. Results of these reviews are presented to the Board of Directors and Audit Committee. The loan review process complements and reinforces the risk identification and assessment decisions made by lenders and credit personnel, as well as the Company's policies and procedures.

Management's continuing evaluation of all known relevant quantitative and qualitative internal and external risk factors provide the foundation for the three major components of the Company's ALLL: (1) historical valuation allowances established in accordance with ASC 450 for groups of similarly situated loan pools; (2) general valuation allowances established in accordance with ASC 450 and based on qualitative credit risk factors; and (3) specific valuation allowances established in accordance with ASC 310 and based on estimated probable losses on specific impaired loans. All three components are aggregated and constitute the Company's ALLL; while portions of the allowance may be allocated to

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

specific credits, the allowance net of specific reserves is available for the remaining credits that management deems as loss.

It is the Company's policy to classify a credit as loss with a concurrent charge off when management considers the credit uncollectible and of such little value that its continuance as a bankable asset is not warranted. A loss classification does not mean that the loan has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but rather it is not practical or desirable to defer recognizing the likely credit loss of a valueless asset even though partial recovery may occur in the future.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

In accordance with ASC 450, historical valuation allowances are established for loan pools with similar risk characteristics common to each loan grouping. The Company's loan portfolio is evaluated by general loan class including commercial, commercial real estate (which includes construction and other real estate), residential real estate (which includes 1-4 family and home equity loans), consumer and other loans.

These loan pools are similarly risk-graded and each portfolio is evaluated by identifying all relevant risk characteristics that are common to these segmented groups of loans. These characteristics include a significant emphasis on historical losses within each loan group, inherent risks for each, and specific loan class characteristics such as trends related to nonaccrual loans, past due loans, criticized loans, net charge offs or recoveries, among other relevant credit risk factors. Management periodically reviews and updates its historical loss ratios based on net charge off experience for each loan class. Other credit risk factors are also reviewed periodically and adjusted as necessary to account for any changes in potential loss exposure.

General valuation allowances, as prescribed by ASC 450, are based on qualitative factors such as changes in asset quality trends, concentrations of credit or changes in concentrations of credit, changes in underwriting standards, changes in experience or depth of lending staff or management, the effectiveness of loan grading and the internal loan review function, and any other relevant factors. Management evaluates each qualitative component quarterly to determine the associated risks to the quality of the Company's loan portfolio.

NOTE 6. OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED

Other Real Estate Owned OREO represents real estate which the Bank has taken control of in partial or full satisfaction of loans. At the time of foreclosure, OREO is recorded at the lower of cost or fair value less costs to sell, which becomes the property's new basis. Any write-downs based on the asset's fair value at the date of acquisition are charged to the ALLL. After foreclosure, management periodically performs valuations such that the real estate is carried at the lower of its new cost basis or fair value, net of estimated costs to sell.

Subsequent valuation adjustments are recognized within net loss of OREO. Revenue and expenses from operations and subsequent adjustments to the carrying amount of the property are included in other noninterest expense in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*. In some instances, the Bank may make loans to facilitate the sales of OREO. Management reviews all sales for which it is the lending institution for compliance with sales treatment under provisions established within FASB ASC 360-20, *Real Estate Sales*. Any gains related to sales of OREO may be deferred until the buyer has a sufficient initial and continuing investment in the property.

At June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, the recorded investment in OREO was \$2.6 million and \$3.7 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company transferred foreclosed property from thirteen loans in the amount of \$2.4 million to OREO and adjusted the balances through charges to the ALLL in the amount of \$438 thousand relating to the transferred foreclosed property. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, further impairment was deemed necessary for an improved commercial land property in the amount of \$425 thousand. During this period, the Company sold fourteen properties with balances of \$2.6 million for a net loss of \$539 thousand. The June 30, 2012 OREO balance consists of thirteen properties, of which eleven are secured with 1-4 family residential real estate in the amount of \$697 thousand. The remaining two properties consist of improved commercial land in the amount of \$750 thousand, and a commercial building in the amount of \$1.2 million.

NOTE 7. ACCOUNTING FOR INCOME TAX AND UNCERTAINTIES

The Company's provision for income taxes includes both federal and state income taxes and reflects the application of federal and state statutory rates to the Company's income before taxes. The principal difference between statutory tax rates and the Company's effective tax rate is the benefit derived from investing in tax-exempt securities and preferential state tax treatment for qualified enterprise zone loans.

Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method. Under this method a deferred tax asset or liability is determined based on the enacted tax rates which will be in effect when the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax basis of existing assets and liabilities are expected to be reported in the Company's income tax returns. The effect on deferred taxes of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Valuation allowances are established to reduce the net carrying amount of deferred tax assets if it is determined to be more likely than not, that all or some portion of the potential deferred tax asset will not be realized.

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

The Company applies the provisions of FASB ASC 740, *Income Taxes*, relating to the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes. The Company periodically reviews its income tax positions based on tax laws and regulations and financial reporting considerations, and records adjustments as appropriate. This review takes into consideration the status of current taxing authorities' examinations of the Company's tax returns, recent positions taken by the taxing authorities on similar transactions, if any, and the overall tax environment.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

The Company's effective income tax rate was 32.37% for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared with 17.12% for the six months ended June 30, 2011. During the six months ended June 30, 2011 the Company recorded true-up adjustments resulting in reductions of accrued provision for income tax of \$393 thousand. As a result, the effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was inconsistent with our normal effective tax rates reported under normal operating conditions.

Noncontrolling interests are presented in the income statement such that the consolidated income statement includes income and income tax expense from both the Company and noncontrolling interests. The effective tax rate is calculated by dividing income tax expense by income before tax expense for the consolidated entity.

NOTE 8. FEDERAL FUNDS PURCHASED

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had no outstanding federal funds purchased balances. The Bank had available lines of credit with the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) totaling \$83.0 million at June 30, 2012. The Bank had available lines of credit with the Federal Reserve totaling \$30.2 million subject to certain collateral requirements, namely the amount of certain pledged loans. The Bank had uncommitted federal funds line of credit agreements with additional financial institutions totaling \$30.0 million at June 30, 2012. At June 30, 2012, the lines of credit had interest rates ranging from 0.28% to 1.08%. Availability of the lines is subject to federal funds balances available for loan, continued borrower eligibility and are reviewed and renewed periodically throughout the year. These lines are intended to support short-term liquidity needs, and the agreements may restrict consecutive day usage.

NOTE 9. TERM DEBT

The Bank had outstanding secured advances from the FHLB at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 of \$100.0 million and \$109.0 million, respectively.

Future contractual maturities of FHLB term advances at June 30, 2012 are as follows:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Year | Amount |
| 2012 | \$ 75,000 |
| 2013 | 15,000 |
| 2014 | 0 |
| 2015 | 10,000 |
| Thereafter | 0 |
| Total FHLB advances | \$ 100,000 |

The maximum amount outstanding from the FHLB under term advances at any month end during the six months ended June 30, 2012, and the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$120.0 million and \$141.0 million, respectively. The average balance outstanding on FHLB term advances during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and year ended December 31, 2011 was \$112.2 million and \$115.5 million, respectively. The weighted average interest rate on the borrowings at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was 0.48% and 0.39%, respectively.

The FHLB borrowings are secured by an investment in FHLB stock, certain real estate mortgage loans which have been specifically pledged to the FHLB pursuant to their collateral requirements, and securities held in the Bank's available-for-sale securities portfolio. As of June 30, 2012, based upon the level of FHLB advances, the Company was required to hold an investment in FHLB stock of \$6.0 million. Furthermore, the Company has pledged \$256.4 million of its commercial real estate and 1-4 family real estate mortgage loans, and has borrowed \$100.0 million

against the pledged loans. As of June 30, 2012, the Company held \$5.1 million in securities with the FHLB for pledging purposes. All of the securities pledged to the FHLB were unused as collateral as of June 30, 2012.

NOTE 10. MORTGAGE WAREHOUSE LINES OF CREDIT

During the second quarter 2012, Bank of Commerce Mortgage secured two additional warehouse lines of credit agreements with other financial institutions. These additional credit facilities provide Bank of Commerce Mortgage with additional funding capacity of \$28 million, based upon a percentage of mortgage loans, which are pledged as collateral against the advances received. Advances are due to be repaid upon the earlier of the sale of the mortgage loans that are pledged as collateral or a specified period of time from the date on which the advance is received. Interest is payable when the loans are repurchased and accrues at a rate that fluctuates with prime and the applicable margins. The agreement contains certain financial covenants concerning maximum debt to equity, minimum net worth, working capital requirements and profitability, all of which were met as of June 30, 2012. The outstanding warehouse line balances at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were \$22.1 million and \$7.6 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements****NOTE 11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

Lease Commitments The Company leases eight sites under non-cancelable operating leases. The leases contain various provisions for increases in rental rates, based either on changes in the published Consumer Price Index or a predetermined escalation schedule. Substantially all of the leases provide the Company with the option to extend the lease term one or more times following expiration of the initial term.

Rent expense for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$400 thousand and \$785 thousand, respectively, compared to \$296 thousand and \$575 thousand, respectively, in the comparable periods in 2011. Rent expense was offset by rent income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, of \$45 thousand and \$55 thousand, respectively, compared to \$3 thousand and \$7 thousand respectively, in the comparable periods in 2011.

The following table sets forth, as of June 30, 2012, the future minimum lease payments under non-cancelable operating leases:

(Dollars in thousands)

Amounts due in:

| | |
|--------------|-----------------|
| 2012 | \$ 385 |
| 2013 | 816 |
| 2014 | 824 |
| 2015 | 422 |
| 2016 | 433 |
| Thereafter | 1,840 |
| Total | \$ 4,720 |

Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk The Company's financial statements do not reflect various commitments and contingent liabilities that arise in the normal course of the Bank's business and involve elements of credit, liquidity, and interest rate risk.

The following table presents a summary of the Bank's commitments and contingent liabilities:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Commitments to extend credit | \$ 137,994 | \$ 132,051 |
| Standby letters of credit | 3,576 | 3,149 |
| Guaranteed commitments outstanding | 2,793 | 1,274 |
| Total commitments | \$ 144,363 | \$ 136,474 |

The Bank is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet credit risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and financial guarantees. Those instruments involve elements of credit and interest rate risk similar to the amounts recognized in the *Consolidated Balance Sheets*. The contract

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

or notional amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of the Bank's involvement in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Bank's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit, and financial guarantees written, is represented by the contractual notional amount of those instruments. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any covenant or condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

While most standby letters of credit are not utilized, a significant portion of such utilization is on an immediate payment basis. The Bank evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if it is deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty. Collateral varies but may include cash, accounts receivable, inventory, premises and equipment and income-producing commercial properties.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

Standby letters of credit and financial guarantees written are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including international trade finance, commercial paper, bond financing and similar transactions. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers.

The Bank holds cash, marketable securities, or real estate as collateral supporting those commitments for which collateral is deemed necessary. The Bank was not required to perform on any financial guarantees for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and the year ended December 31, 2011, respectively. However, the Bank recognized a loss in connection with a standby letter of credit during the six months ended June 30, 2012, which resulted in a \$73 thousand charge to the reserve for unfunded commitments. The Bank did not recognize any losses on standby letters of credits for the year ended December 31, 2011. At June 30, 2012, approximately \$2.7 million of standby letters of credit expire within one year, and \$910 thousand expire thereafter.

The reserve for unfunded commitments, which is included in other liabilities on the *Consolidated Balance Sheets*, was \$499 thousand and \$422 thousand at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The adequacy of the reserve for unfunded commitments is reviewed on a monthly basis, based upon changes in the amount of commitments, loss experience, and economic conditions. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company provided additional provisions of \$150 thousand to the reserve for unfunded commitments. The provision expense was recorded in other noninterest expense in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*.

The Company has mortgage loan purchase agreements with various mortgage bankers. The Company is obligated to perform certain procedures in accordance with these agreements. The agreements provide for conditions whereby the Company may be required to repurchase mortgage loans for various reasons, among which are (1) a mortgage loan is originated in violation of the mortgage banker's requirement, (2) the Company breaches any term of the agreement, and (3) an early payment default occurs from a mortgage originated by the Company. As of June 30, 2012, the Company has recorded \$499 thousand in other liabilities for estimated buy backs for early payment defaults, representations and warranties. The mortgage loan repurchase agreements are consistent with the standard representations and warranties of the loan sales agreements, and the Company considers the impact to the consolidated financial statements to be immaterial.

Legal Proceedings On April 19, 2012, the Company tentatively agreed to a legal settlement with Citibank; the settlement agreement is a resolution of a loan repurchase dispute between Bank of Commerce Mortgage and Citibank. The key components of the agreement would require Bank of Commerce Mortgage to pay Citibank up to a maximum of \$1.5 million over a thirty month period in exchange for four residential mortgage loans with an aggregate principal balance of \$1.6 million. The agreement is subject to a due diligence period in which Bank of Commerce Mortgage will thoroughly evaluate and determine the fair market value of the assets received. Given that the Company is currently lacking the critical details a proper due diligence will provide, it is not reasonably possible to estimate an amount of probable loss, if any, from this arrangement.

The Company is involved in various pending and threatened legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company maintains reserves for losses from legal actions, which are both probable and estimable. In the opinion of management, the disposition of claims, excluding Citibank, currently pending will not have a material adverse affect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Concentrations of Credit Risk The Company grants real estate mortgage loans to customers throughout California, Oregon, Washington, and Colorado. In addition, the Company grants real estate construction, commercial, and installment loans to customers throughout northern California. In management's judgment, a concentration exists in real estate-related loans, which represented approximately 74% and 75% of the Company's loan and lease portfolio at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Commercial real estate concentrations are managed to assure wide geographic and business diversity. Although management believes such concentrations have no more than the normal risk of collectability, a substantial decline in the economy in general, material increases in interest rates, changes in tax policies, tightening credit or refinancing markets, or a decline in real estate values in the Company's primary market areas in particular, as we witnessed with the deterioration in the residential development market since 2007, could have an adverse impact on the repayment of these loans. Personal and business incomes, proceeds from the sale of real property, or proceeds from refinancing, represent the primary sources of repayment for a majority of these loans.

The Bank recognizes the credit risks inherent in dealing with other depository institutions. Accordingly, to prevent excessive exposure to any single correspondent, the Bank has established general standards for selecting correspondent banks as well as internal limits for allowable

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

exposure to any single correspondent. In addition, the Bank has an investment policy that sets forth limitations that apply to all investments with respect to credit rating and concentrations with an issuer.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements****NOTE 12. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

The following table presents activity in accumulated other comprehensive income for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Unrealized Gains on Securities | Unrealized Gains on Derivatives | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Accumulated other comprehensive income as of December 31, 2011 | \$ 919 | \$ 826 | \$ 1,745 |
| Comprehensive income three months ended March 31, 2012 | 321 | 42 | 363 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) three months ended June 30, 2012 | 427 | (1,085) | (658) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income as of June 30, 2012 | \$ 1,667 | \$ (217) | \$ 1,450 |

Accumulated other comprehensive income in the table above is reported net of related tax effects. Detailed information on the tax effects of the individual components of comprehensive income are presented in the *Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income* included in this document.

NOTE 13. DERIVATIVES

In the normal course of business the Company is subject to risk from adverse fluctuations in interest rates. To mitigate interest rate risk and market risk, we enter into interest rate swaps and forwards. Derivative instruments are used to manage interest rate risk relating to specific groups of assets and liabilities, such as mortgage loan commitments, mortgage loans held-for-sale, and wholesale borrowings. The Company does not use derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes. The counterparties to the interest rate swaps and forwards are major financial institutions.

The Company's objective in managing exposure to market risk is to limit the impact on earnings and cash flow. The extent to which the Company uses such instruments is dependent on its access to these contracts in the financial markets.

Derivative financial instruments contain an element of credit risk if counterparties are unable to meet the terms of the agreements. Credit risk associated with derivative financial instruments is measured as the net replacement cost should the counterparties that owe us under the contract completely fail to perform under the terms of those contracts, assuming no recoveries of underlying collateral as measured by the market value of the derivative financial instrument.

FASB ASC 815-10 requires companies to recognize all derivative instruments as assets or liabilities at fair value in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*. In accordance with FASB ASC 815-10, the Company designates interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges of forecasted variable rate FHLB advances.

No components of the hedging instruments are excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness. All changes in fair value of outstanding derivatives in cash flow hedges, except any ineffective portion, are recorded in OCI until earnings are impacted by the hedged transaction. Classification of the gain or loss in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations* upon release from comprehensive income is the same as that of the underlying exposure.

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

When the Company discontinues hedge accounting because it is no longer probable that an anticipated transaction will occur in the originally expected period, or within an additional two-month period thereafter, changes to fair value accumulated in OCI are recognized immediately in earnings.

During August 2010, the Company entered into five forward starting interest rate swap contracts, to hedge interest rate risk associated with forecasted variable rate FHLB advances. The hedge strategy converts the LIBOR based floating rate of interest on certain forecasted FHLB advances to fixed interest rates, thereby protecting the Company from floating interest rate variability. Contracts outstanding at February 3, 2011, had effective dates and maturities ranging from March 1, 2012 through March 1, 2017.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

The following table summarizes the notional amount, effective dates and maturity dates of the forward starting interest rate contracts the Company had outstanding with counterparties as of February 3, 2011. Furthermore, the disclosure indicates as of February 3, 2011, the maximum length of time over which the Company hedged its exposure to variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions.

(Dollars in thousands)

| Description | Notional Amount | Effective Date | Maturity |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Forward starting interest rate swap | \$ 75,000 | March 1, 2012 | September 1, 2012 |
| Forward starting interest rate swap | \$ 75,000 | September 4, 2012 | September 1, 2013 |
| Forward starting interest rate swap | \$ 75,000 | September 3, 2013 | September 1, 2014 |
| Forward starting interest rate swap | \$ 75,000 | September 2, 2014 | September 1, 2015 |
| Forward starting interest rate swap | \$ 75,000 | September 1, 2015 | March 1, 2017 |

On February 4, 2011, the Company terminated the forward starting interest rate swap positions disclosed in the table above, and realized \$3.0 million in cash from the counterparty, equal to the carrying amount of the derivative at the date of termination. In addition, upon termination of the hedge contract, the Company received the full amount of the collateral posted pursuant to the hedge contract. Concurrent with the termination of the hedge contract, management removed the cash flow hedge designation.

The forward starting swaps were terminated due to continuing uncertainty regarding future economic conditions including the corresponding uncertainty on the timing and extent of future changes in the three month Libor rate index. The \$3.0 million in cash received from the counterparty reflects gains to be reclassified into earnings. Accordingly, the net gains will be reclassified from OCI to earnings as a credit to interest expense in the same periods during which the hedged forecasted transaction will affect earnings.

On March 1, 2012, the Company performed on the first leg of the forecasted transaction by executing a six month \$75 million floating rate FHLB advance, maturing on August 31, 2012. Accordingly, during March 2012 through June 30, 2012, net gains of \$118 thousand were reclassified out of accumulated OCI and netted with other borrowing interest expense, reported in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*. Management believes the remaining forecasted transactions to be probable.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company estimates that \$353 thousand of existing net gains reported in accumulated OCI will be reclassified into earnings within the next twelve months.

During August 2011, the Company entered into four forward starting interest rate swap contracts, to hedge interest rate risk associated with forecasted variable rate FHLB advances. The hedge strategy converts the LIBOR based floating rate of interest on certain forecasted FHLB advances to fixed interest rates, thereby protecting the Company from floating interest rate variability. Contracts outstanding at March 31, 2012, had effective dates and maturities ranging from August 1, 2013, through August 1, 2017.

The following table summarizes the notional amount, effective dates and maturity dates of the forward starting interest rate contracts the Company had outstanding with counterparties as of June 30, 2012. Furthermore, the disclosure indicates the maximum length of time over which the Company is hedging its exposure to variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions.

(Dollars in thousands)

| Description | Effective Date | Maturity |
|-------------|----------------|----------|
|-------------|----------------|----------|

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| | Notional Amount | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Forward starting interest rate swap | \$ 75,000 | August 1, 2013 | August 1, 2014 |
| Forward starting interest rate swap | \$ 75,000 | August 1, 2014 | August 3, 2015 |
| Forward starting interest rate swap | \$ 75,000 | August 3, 2015 | August 1, 2016 |
| Forward starting interest rate swap | \$ 75,000 | August 1, 2016 | August 1, 2017 |

The Company also has agreements with its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where if the Company fails to maintain its status as a well/adequately capitalized institution, then the counterparty could terminate the derivative positions and the Company would be required to settle its obligations under the agreements. Similarly, the Company could be required to settle its obligations under certain of its agreements if specific regulatory events occur, such as if the Company were issued a prompt corrective action directive or a cease and desist order, or if certain regulatory ratios fall below specified levels.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

The Company has minimum collateral posting thresholds with certain of its derivative counterparties, and has been required to post collateral against its obligations under these agreements of \$3.2 million as of June 30, 2012. Accordingly, the Company pledged two mortgage backed securities with an amortized cost of \$4.1 million and a fair market value of \$4.2 million. If the Company had breached any of these provisions at June 30, 2012, it could have been required to settle its obligations under the agreements at the termination value. The collateral posted by the Company exceeds the aggregate fair value of additional assets that would be required to be posted as collateral, if the credit-risk related contingent feature were triggered, or if the instrument were to be settled immediately.

The Company also monitors interest rate risk exposure associated with mortgage loan commitments and mortgage loans held-for-sale, and utilizes derivatives and hedging instruments to mitigate this exposure. Specifically, the Company is exposed to interest rate risk from the time an interest rate lock commitment (IRLC) is made until the time the mortgage loan is sold. Changes in interest rates impact the market price for our loans; as market interest rates decline, the value of existing IRLCs and loans held-for-sale go up and vice versa. Our primary objective in risk management activities related to IRLCs and mortgage loans held-for-sale is to eliminate or greatly reduce any interest rate risk associated with these items.

The primary derivative instrument we use to accomplish the risk management objective for mortgage loans and IRLCs is forward sales of mortgage backed securities (mandatory forward delivery contracts), primarily Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac to-be-announced securities. These instruments typically are entered into at the time the IRLC is made. The value of the forward sales contracts moves in the opposite direction of the value of our IRLCs and mortgage loans held-for-sale. We do not apply hedge accounting to this derivative portfolio.

The Company enters into mandatory forward delivery contracts to sell residential mortgage loans or mortgage backed securities to broker/dealers at specific prices and dates in order to hedge the interest rate risk in its portfolio of its mandatory residential mortgage loan commitments and mortgage loans held-for-sale. Credit risk associated with mandatory forward contracts is limited to the replacement cost of those forward contracts in a gain position. There were no counterparty default losses on mandatory forward contracts for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Market risk with respect to mandatory forward contracts arises principally from changes in the value of contractual positions due to changes in interest rates. The Company limits its exposure to market risk by monitoring differences between mandatory commitments to customers and mandatory forward contracts with broker/dealers.

In the event the Company has mandatory delivery contract commitments in excess of available mortgage loans, the Company completes the transaction by either paying or receiving a pair off fee to or from the broker/dealer equal to the increase or decrease in the market value of the mandatory forward contract. At June 30, 2012, the Bank had commitments to originate mandatory mortgage loans held-for-sale totaling \$117.9 million and mandatory forward sales commitments of \$86.0 million.

The Company's mortgage banking derivative instruments do not have specific credit risk-related contingent features. The forward sales commitments do have contingent features that may require transferring collateral to the broker/dealers upon their request. However, this amount would be limited to the net unsecured loss exposure at such point in time and would not materially affect the Company's liquidity or results of operations.

The following table summarizes the notional amount, effective dates and maturity dates of the mandatory residential loan commitments and mandatory forward sales contracts the Company had outstanding with counterparties as of June 30, 2012. Furthermore, the disclosure indicates the maximum length of time over which the Company is hedging its exposure to variability in changes to the fair value of the respective hedged items.

(Dollars in thousands)

| Description | Notional Amount | Effective Dates (1) | | Maturity (2) | |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| Mandatory residential loan commitments | \$ 117,945 | 4/4/2012 | 6/29/2012 | 7/2/2012 | 8/22/2012 |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Mandatory forward sales contracts | \$ 86,000 | 4/10/2012 | 6/29/2012 | 7/12/2012 | 9/20/2012 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|

- (1) Represents the effective date ranges of rate locks on unfunded originations, or origination dates of forward sales contracts.
- (2) Represents the date ranges of maturities on rate lock expirations, or the range of mandatory delivery dates on forward sales contracts.

The following table summarizes the types of derivatives, separately by assets and liabilities, their locations on the *Consolidated Balance Sheets*, and the fair values of such derivatives as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011. See Note 13 in these *Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements* for additional detail on the valuation of the Company's derivatives.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements***(Dollars in thousands)*

| Description | Balance Sheet Location | Asset Derivatives | | Liability Derivatives | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
| Interest rate lock commitments (1) | Other assets/Other liabilities | \$ 231 | \$ 179 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Forward sales commitments (1) | Other assets/Other liabilities | 0 | 0 | 348 | 251 |
| Forward starting interest rate swaps (2) | Other assets/Other liabilities | 0 | 0 | 3,169 | 1,596 |
| Total | | \$ 231 | \$ 179 | \$ 3,517 | \$ 1,847 |

(1) Derivative not designated as hedging instrument.

The following table summarizes the types of derivatives, their locations within the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*, and the gains (losses) recorded for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

| Description | Income Sheet Location | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|------------------------------|------|
| | | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest rate lock commitments (1) | Mortgage banking revenue, net | \$ 246 | \$ 0 | \$ 52 | \$ 0 |
| Forward sales commitments (1) | Mortgage banking revenue, net | (763) | 0 | (824) | 0 |
| Forward starting interest rate swaps (2) | Interest on FHLB borrowings | 150 | 0 | 200 | 0 |
| Total | | \$ (367) | \$ 0 | \$ (572) | \$ 0 |

(1) Derivative not designated as hedging instrument.

(2) Derivative designated as hedging instrument. Gains represent amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income pertaining to the terminated forward starting interest rate swap.

NOTE 14. FAIR VALUES

The following table presents estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, whether or not recognized or recorded at fair value in the *Consolidated Balance Sheets*.

Non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities defined by the FASB ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurement*, such as Bank premises and equipment, deferred taxes and other liabilities are excluded from the table. In addition, we have not disclosed the fair value of financial instruments specifically excluded from disclosure requirements of FASB ASC 825, *Financial Instruments*, such as Bank-owned life insurance policies.

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|------------|
| | Carrying Amounts | Fair Value | Carrying Amounts | Fair Value |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| Financial assets | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 65,256 | \$ 65,256 | \$ 48,118 | \$ 48,118 |
| Securities available-for-sale | 201,348 | 201,348 | 203,524 | 203,524 |
| Portfolio loans, net | 583,608 | 592,142 | 574,103 | 596,249 |
| Mortgages loans held-for-sale, at fair value | 23,238 | 23,238 | 16,092 | 16,092 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale, at lower of cost or fair value | 39,306 | 39,931 | 48,276 | 49,530 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Stock | 6,023 | 6,023 | 6,654 | 6,654 |
| Derivatives | 231 | 231 | 179 | 179 |
| Financial liabilities | | | | |
| Deposits | \$ 682,463 | \$ 616,292 | \$ 667,273 | \$ 605,306 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 14,378 | 14,364 | 13,779 | 13,902 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 100,000 | 100,223 | 109,000 | 109,200 |
| Subordinated debenture | 15,465 | 8,273 | 15,465 | 8,013 |
| Earn out payable | 200 | 200 | 600 | 600 |
| Derivatives | 3,517 | 3,517 | 1,847 | 1,847 |

| <i>Off balance sheet financial instruments:</i> | Contract Amount | Contract Amount |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| Commitments to extend credit | \$ 136,494 | \$ 132,051 |
| Standby letters of credit | \$ 3,576 | \$ 3,149 |
| Guaranteed commitments outstanding | \$ 2,793 | \$ 1,274 |

Fair Value Hierarchy

Level 1 valuations utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access.

Table of Contents

BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

Level 2 valuations utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 valuations include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

Level 3 valuations are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include the use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

The Company maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measurements. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument for which it is practical to estimate that value:

Cash and cash equivalents The carrying amounts reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets for cash and cash equivalents are a reasonable estimate of fair value. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the relatively short term between the origination of the instrument and its expected realization. Therefore, the Company believes the measurement of fair value of cash and cash equivalents is derived from Level 1 inputs.

Portfolio loans, net For variable rate loans that re-price frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. For fixed rate loans, projected cash flows are discounted back to their present value based on specific risk adjusted spreads to the U.S. Treasury Yield Curve, with the rate determined based on the timing of the cash flows. The ALLL is considered to be a reasonable estimate of loan discount for credit quality concerns. Given that there are commercial loans with specific terms that are not readily available, the Company believes the fair value of portfolio loans is derived from Level 3 inputs.

Mortgage loans held-for-sale Mortgage loans held-for-sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. The Company's mortgage loans held-for-sale are generally sold within seven to twenty days subsequent to funding. The fair value represents the aggregate dollar value in which the loans were sold at in the secondary market subsequent to June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, which approximates their fair values as of the end of the reporting periods, respectively. Therefore, the Company believes the measurement of fair value of portfolio loans is derived from Level 2 inputs.

FHLB stock The carrying value of FHLB stock approximates fair value as the shares can only be redeemed by the issuing institution at par. The Company measures the fair value of FHLB stock using Level 1 inputs.

Deposits The Company measures fair value of deposits using Level 2 and Level 3 inputs. The fair value of deposits were derived by discounting their expected future cash flows back to their present values based on the FHLB yield curve, and their expected decay rates for non maturing deposits. The Company is able to obtain FHLB yield curve rates as of the measurement date, and believes these inputs fall under Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Decay rates were developed through internal analysis, and are supported by the most recent six years of the Bank's transaction history. The inputs used by the Company to derive the decay rate assumptions are unobservable inputs, and therefore fall under Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase The fair value of securities sold under agreements to repurchase is estimated by discounting the expected contractual cash flows under outstanding borrowings at rates equal to the Company's current offering rate, which approximate general market rates. The Company measures the fair value of securities sold under agreements to repurchase using Level 3 inputs.

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

FHLB advances The fair value of the FHLB advances is derived by discounting the cash flows of the fixed rate borrowings by the current FHLB offering rates of borrowings of similar terms, as of the reporting date. For variable rate FHLB borrowings, the carrying value approximates fair value. The Company measures the fair value of FHLB advances using Level 2 inputs.

Subordinated debenture The fair value of the subordinated debenture is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using market rates at the reporting date, of which similar debentures would be issued with similar credit ratings as ours and similar remaining maturities. At June 30, 2012, future cash flows were discounted at 5.88%. The Company measures the fair value of subordinated debentures using Level 2 inputs.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

Commitments Loan commitments and standby letters of credit generate ongoing fees, which are recognized over the term of the commitment period. In situations where the borrower's credit quality has declined, we record a reserve for these off-balance sheet commitments. Given the uncertainty in the likelihood and timing of a commitment being drawn upon, a reasonable estimate of the fair value of these commitments is the carrying value of the related unamortized loan fees plus the reserve, which is not material. As such, no disclosures are made on the fair value of commitments.

The Company uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. Available-for-sale securities, derivatives, mortgage loans held-for-sale, and the earn out payable are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. From time to time, the Company may be required to record at fair value other assets on a nonrecurring basis, such as collateral dependent impaired loans and certain other assets including OREO and goodwill. These nonrecurring fair value adjustments involve the application of lower of cost or fair value accounting or write downs of individual assets.

The following table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively, and indicate the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value.

| (Dollars in thousands) Recurring basis | Fair Value at June 30, 2012 | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 76,179 | \$ 0 | \$ 76,179 | \$ 0 |
| Corporate securities | 49,477 | 0 | 49,477 | 0 |
| Other investment securities (1) | 75,692 | 0 | 75,692 | 0 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale, at fair value (2) | 23,238 | 0 | 23,238 | 0 |
| Derivatives - interest rate lock commitments | 231 | 0 | 0 | 231 |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ 224,817 | \$ 0 | \$ 224,586 | \$ 231 |
| | | | | |
| Derivatives - forward sales commitments | \$ 348 | \$ 348 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Derivatives - forward starting interest rate swap | 3,169 | 0 | 3,169 | 0 |
| Earn out payable | 200 | 0 | 0 | 200 |
| Total liabilities measured at fair value | \$ 3,717 | \$ 348 | \$ 3,169 | \$ 200 |

- (1) Principally represents residential mortgage backed securities issued or guaranteed by governmental agencies, and other asset backed securities.
- (2) Mortgage loans held-for-sale with amortized cost of \$22.6 million were adjusted to a fair value of \$23.2 million, with the amounts of gains from fair value changes included in mortgage banking revenue disclosed in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*.

| (Dollars in thousands) Recurring basis | Fair Value at December 31, 2011 | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Available-for-sale securities | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | \$ 77,326 | \$ 0 | \$ 77,326 | \$ 0 |
| Corporate securities | 40,820 | 0 | 40,820 | 0 |
| Other investment securities (1) | 85,378 | 0 | 85,378 | 0 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale, at fair value (2) | 16,092 | 0 | 16,092 | 0 |
| Derivatives interest rate lock commitments | 179 | 0 | 0 | 179 |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ 219,795 | \$ 0 | \$ 219,616 | \$ 179 |
| Derivatives forward sales commitments | \$ 251 | \$ 251 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Derivatives forward starting interest rate swap | 1,596 | 0 | 1,596 | 0 |
| Earn out payable | 600 | 0 | 0 | 600 |
| Total liabilities measured at fair value | \$ 2,447 | \$ 251 | \$ 1,596 | \$ 600 |

- (1) Principally represents residential mortgage backed securities issued or guaranteed by governmental agencies, and other asset backed securities.
- (2) Mortgage loans held-for-sale with amortized cost of \$15.6 million were adjusted to a fair value of \$16.1 million, with the amounts of gains from fair value changes included in mortgage banking revenue disclosed in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*.

Debt Securities

The available-for-sale securities amount in the recurring fair value table above represents securities that have been adjusted to their fair values. For these securities, the Company obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions among other things.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

The mortgage loans held-for-sale carried at fair value are disclosed separately on the Company's *Consolidated Balance Sheets*. As of June 30, 2012, mortgage loans with a cost basis of \$22.6 million were adjusted to their fair values of \$23.2 million. The gains and losses representing changes in their fair values are included in mortgage banking revenue, disclosed in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded \$117 thousand in gains on fair value of mortgage loans held-for-sale. The changes in fair value were attributed to changes in market interest rates, not instrument-specific credit risk.

Interest on loans for which the fair value option has been elected is calculated in accordance to Company accounting policy for all loans, regardless of a fair value election. Refer to the Company's Significant Accounting Policies disclosed in Note 2 of the December 31, 2011 Form 10-K, for further detail regarding calculation of loan interest income.

Interest rate lock commitments and forward sales commitments

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into certain types of commitments that represent off-balance sheet financial instruments, including commitments to sell loans, forward commitments to sell mortgage-backed securities, and commitments to extend credit at specified rates and accounts for these financial instruments as derivatives which are measured at fair value. The Company measures fair value of forward commitments to sell mortgage-backed securities using Level 1 inputs. For these commitments the Company obtains dealer quotes for identical financial instruments in an actively traded market. The Company measures fair value of commitments to sell loans and commitments to extend credit at specified rates using Level 2 and Level 3 inputs, respectively. For these commitments the Company is able to obtain pricing as of the measurement date from investors based on offering prices for similar loans in an actively traded secondary market. However, for commitments to extend credit at specified rates the pricing obtained from similar loans is adjusted to reflect an estimated pull-through rate, which is the rate of loans the Company believes is likely to close and fund, making this fair value measurement a Level 3 classification.

Mortgage loans held-for-sale

Mortgage loans held-for-sale are recorded (1) at the lower of aggregate cost or fair value or (2) at fair value using Level 2 inputs. Loans which are committed for sale under mandatory take-out commitments to a specific investor as of the measurement date or uncommitted are deemed Level 2 because the fair value of the loans as of the measurement date is based on what secondary market investors are offering for loans with similar characteristics, using pricing inputs that can be readily obtained from active market participants. Certain uncommitted loans held by the Company as of the measurement date represent loans for which no active secondary market currently exists. For these loans, Company obtains fair value measurements using Level 3 inputs in a discounted cash flow model. The model inputs consider observable data that includes loan type, spreads for other whole loans, prepayment speeds, servicing values, and discount rates. The Company makes certain adjustments to the data inputs that the Company believes other market participants would use in estimating fair value including delinquency, seasoning, loan to value ratios, FICO scores, and anticipated losses.

Forward starting interest rate swaps

The valuation of the Company's interest rate swaps were obtained from third party pricing services. The fair values of the interest rate swaps were determined by using a discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. The pricing analysis was based on observable inputs for the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity and interest rate curves. The Company has determined that the source of these derivatives fair values fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Earn out payable

The earn out payable amount in the recurring table above represents the fair value of the Company's earn out incentive agreement with noncontrolling Shareholders of the Bank of Commerce Mortgage subsidiary. The noncontrolling Shareholders of the mortgage subsidiary will earn certain cash payments from the Company, based on targeted results. The fair value of the earn out payable is estimated by using a discounted cash flow model whereby discounting the contractual cash flows expected to be paid out, under the assumption the mortgage subsidiary meets the target results. Presently, the Company expects to pay out the remaining incentives during the third quarter of 2012. As such, fair value approximates the carrying value of the liability. The Company has determined that the fair values fall within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

The following table provides a reconciliation of assets and liabilities measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) on a recurring basis for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011. The amount included in the Beginning balance column represents the beginning balance of an item in the period (interim quarter) for which it was designated as a Level 3 fair value measure.

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Beginning balance | Transfers into Level 3 | Change included in earnings | Purchases and issuances | Sales and settlements | Ending balance | Net change in unrealized gains or (losses) relating to items held at end of period |
|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---|
| Three months ended June 30, | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Derivatives interest rate lock commitments (1) | \$ (16) | 0 | 247 | 0 | 0 | \$ 231 | 0 |
| Earn out payable | \$ 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 200 | 0 |
| 2011 | | | | | | | |
| Earn out payable | \$ 596 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \$ 596 | 0 |

- (1) Gains on Derivatives interest rate lock commitments are disclosed in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations* within mortgage banking revenue, net.

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Beginning balance | Transfers into Level 3 | Change included in earnings | Purchases and issuances | Sales and settlements | Ending balance | Net change in unrealized gains or (losses) relating to items held at end of period |
|--|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|---|
| Six months ended June 30, | | | | | | | |
| 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Derivatives interest rate lock commitments (2) | \$ 179 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | \$ 231 | 0 |
| Earn out payable | \$ 600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (400) | \$ 200 | 0 |
| 2011 | | | | | | | |
| Earn out payable (3) | \$ 986 | 0 | (10) | 0 | (400) | \$ 596 | 0 |

- (2) Gains on Derivatives interest rate lock commitments are disclosed in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations* within mortgage banking revenue, net.

- (3) Losses on Earn out payable are disclosed in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations* within other expenses.

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The Company may be required, from time to time, to measure certain assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These adjustments to fair value generally result from the application of lower of cost or fair value accounting or write-downs of individual assets due to impairment. The following table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis for which a nonrecurring change in fair value has been recorded during the reporting period. The amounts disclosed below represent the fair values at the time the nonrecurring fair value measurements were made, and not necessarily the fair values as of the date reported upon.

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Fair Value at June 30, 2012 | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Nonrecurring basis | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Impaired loans | \$ 2,278 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 2,278 |
| Other real estate owned | 2,125 | 0 | 0 | 2,125 |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ 4,403 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 4,403 |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Fair Value at December 31, 2011 | | | |
|--|--|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| Nonrecurring basis | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Impaired loans | \$ 9,713 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 9,713 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale | 1,426 | 0 | 0 | 1,426 |
| Other real estate owned | 3,059 | 0 | 0 | 3,059 |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ 14,198 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 14,198 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents the losses resulting from nonrecurring fair value adjustments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

| (Dollars in thousands) | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Impaired loans | \$ 223 | \$ 2,243 | \$ 520 | \$ 3,145 |
| Other real estate owned | 819 | 370 | 819 | 557 |
| Total | \$ 1,042 | \$ 2,613 | \$ 1,339 | \$ 3,702 |

For the six months ended June 30, 2012:

Collateral dependent impaired loans with a carrying amount of \$2.8 million were written down to their fair value of \$2.3 million resulting in a \$520 thousand adjustment to the ALLL.

One OREO property with a carrying balance of \$1.2 million was written down to the fair value of \$750 thousand resulting in a \$425 thousand impairment charge to earnings. Four OREO properties transferred in with an aggregate carrying value of \$1.8 million and were written down to their fair value of \$1.4 million, resulting in a \$394 thousand adjustment to the ALLL.

The loan amounts above represent impaired, collateral dependent loans that have been adjusted to fair value during the respective reporting period. When we identify a collateral dependent loan as impaired, we measure the impairment using the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs. Depending on the characteristics of a loan, the fair value of collateral is generally estimated by obtaining external appraisals. If we determine that the value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we recognize this impairment and adjust the carrying value of the loan to fair value through the ALLL.

The loss represents charge offs or impairments on collateral dependent loans for fair value adjustments based on the fair value of collateral. The carrying value of loans fully charged off is zero. When the fair value of the collateral is based on a current appraised value, or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the Company records the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 3.

The OREO amount above represents impaired real estate that has been adjusted to fair value during the respective reporting period. The loss represents impairments on OREO for fair value adjustments based on the fair value of the real estate. The determination of fair value is based on recent appraisals of the foreclosed properties, which take into account recent sales prices adjusted for unobservable inputs, such as opinions provided by local real estate broker and other real estate experts. The Company records OREO as a nonrecurring Level 3.

In addition to the nonrecurring fair value adjustments of impaired loans and OREO, the Company could potentially incur nonrecurring fair value adjustments to goodwill. All recorded goodwill pertains to the Company's Mortgage Brokerage Services segment, and all fair value adjustments are recognized within noninterest expense within the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*. The fair value of goodwill is estimated using a market and income approach, and is provided to the Company by a third party independent valuation consultant. Based on the fair value of the mortgage subsidiary, the Company makes a determination of goodwill impairment. During 2012, the annual impairment review was performed in April, and no fair value adjustment was deemed necessary. The Company records goodwill as a nonrecurring Level 3 when impairment is recorded.

Limitations Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and other information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature, involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment, and

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Fair value estimates are based on current on and off-balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. Other significant assets and liabilities that are not considered financial assets or liabilities include deferred tax assets and liabilities, and property, plant and equipment. In addition, the tax ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in any of the estimates.

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements****NOTE 15. OPERATING SEGMENTS**

The Company operates two primary segments: Commercial Banking and Mortgage Brokerage Services. The Commercial Banking segment's principal business focus is the offering of loan and deposit products to business and retail customers in its primary market areas. The Commercial Banking segment operates four locations in northern California, and conducts business in the counties of El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, and Shasta, California.

The Mortgage Brokerage Services segment, which operates as Bank of Commerce Mortgage subsidiary, originates and sells residential mortgage loans. Bank of Commerce Mortgage offers residential real estate loans with twenty offices in three different states. Furthermore, the subsidiary is licensed in California, Oregon, Nevada, and Colorado. Mortgages that are originated are sold, servicing included, in the secondary market or directly to correspondent financial institutions.

The following tables represent a reconciliation of the Company's reportable segments income and expenses to the Company's consolidated net income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Three months ended June 30, 2012 | | | | |
|---|---|----------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| | Bank | Mortgage | Parent | Elimination | Consolidated |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ 8,814 | \$ (3) | \$ (100) | \$ 0 | \$ 8,711 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,650 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,650 |
| Total noninterest income | 1,232 | 6,144 | 0 | (224) | 7,152 |
| Total noninterest expense | 5,179 | 5,518 | 188 | (224) | 10,661 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 3,217 | 623 | (288) | 0 | 3,552 |
| Provision for income taxes | 857 | 271 | 0 | 0 | 1,128 |
| Net income | \$ 2,360 | \$ 352 | \$ (288) | \$ 0 | \$ 2,424 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 0 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 172 |
| Net income attributable to Bank of Commerce Holdings | \$ 2,360 | \$ 180 | \$ (288) | \$ 0 | \$ 2,252 |
| Less: Preferred dividend and accretion on preferred stock | 0 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 248 |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ 2,360 | \$ 180 | \$ (536) | \$ 0 | \$ 2,004 |

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Three months ended June 30, 2011 | | | | |
|--|---|----------|---------|-------------|--------------|
| | Bank | Mortgage | Parent | Elimination | Consolidated |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ 8,566 | \$ (3) | \$ (46) | \$ 0 | \$ 8,517 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,580 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,580 |
| Total noninterest income | 1,128 | 2,550 | 5 | (58) | 3,625 |
| Total noninterest expense | 5,056 | 2,665 | 191 | (58) | 7,854 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 2,058 | (118) | (232) | 0 | 1,708 |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 346 | (130) | 0 | 0 | 216 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 1,712 | \$ 12 | \$ (232) | \$ 0 | \$ 1,492 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Bank of Commerce Holdings | \$ 1,712 | \$ 6 | \$ (232) | \$ 0 | \$ 1,486 |
| Less: Preferred dividend and accretion on preferred stock | 0 | 0 | 235 | 0 | 235 |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ 1,712 | \$ 6 | \$ (467) | \$ 0 | \$ 1,251 |

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Six months ended June 30, 2012 | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | Bank | Mortgage | Parent | Elimination | Consolidated |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ 17,424 | \$ (63) | \$ (185) | \$ 0 | \$ 17,176 |
| Provision for loan losses | 2,950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,950 |
| Total noninterest income | 2,575 | 11,076 | 0 | (447) | 13,204 |
| Total noninterest expense | 10,915 | 9,732 | 340 | (447) | 20,540 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 6,134 | 1,281 | (525) | 0 | 6,890 |
| Provision for income taxes | 1,660 | 570 | 0 | 0 | 2,230 |
| Net income | \$ 4,474 | \$ 711 | \$ (525) | \$ 0 | \$ 4,660 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 0 | 348 | 0 | 0 | 348 |
| Net income attributable to Bank of Commerce Holdings | \$ 4,474 | \$ 363 | \$ (525) | \$ 0 | \$ 4,312 |
| Less: Preferred dividend and accretion on preferred stock | 0 | 0 | 434 | 0 | 434 |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ 4,474 | \$ 363 | \$ (959) | \$ 0 | \$ 3,878 |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Six months ended June 30, 2011 | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|--------------|
| | Bank | Mortgage | Parent | Elimination | Consolidated |
| Net interest income (expense) | \$ 17,324 | \$ (42) | \$ (100) | \$ 0 | \$ 17,182 |
| Provision for loan losses | 4,980 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,980 |
| Total noninterest income | 2,135 | 5,083 | 5 | (146) | 7,077 |
| Total noninterest expense | 9,832 | 5,465 | 349 | (146) | 15,500 |
| | | | | | |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 4,647 | (424) | (444) | 0 | 3,779 |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | 1,034 | (387) | 0 | 0 | 647 |
| | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 3,613 | \$ (37) | \$ (444) | \$ 0 | \$ 3,132 |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 0 | (18) | 0 | 0 | (18) |
| | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Bank of Commerce Holdings | \$ 3,613 | \$ (19) | \$ (444) | \$ 0 | \$ 3,150 |
| Less: Preferred dividend and accretion on preferred stock | 0 | 0 | 470 | 0 | 470 |
| | | | | | |
| Income available to common shareholders | \$ 3,613 | \$ (19) | \$ (914) | \$ 0 | \$ 2,680 |

Table of Contents**BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS & SUBSIDIARIES****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements**

The following tables present financial information about the Company's reportable operating segments as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, 2012 | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Bank | Mortgage | Parent | Elimination | Consolidated |
| Total assets | \$ 929,123 | \$ 33,632 | \$ 126,558 | \$ (126,768) | \$ 962,545 |
| Total portfolio loans, gross | \$ 595,945 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ 595,945 |
| Total deposits | \$ 685,286 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ (2,823) | \$ 682,463 |

(Dollars in thousands)

| | December 31, 2011 | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Bank | Mortgage | Parent | Elimination | Consolidated |
| Total assets | \$ 922,949 | \$ 28,830 | \$ 127,341 | \$ (138,429) | \$ 940,691 |
| Total portfolio loans, gross | \$ 594,372 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ (9,684) | \$ 584,688 |
| Total deposits | \$ 671,607 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$ (4,334) | \$ 667,273 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward Looking Statements and Risk Factors

This Report contains certain forward-looking statements, within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which are intended to be covered by the safe harbor for forward-looking statements provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements may include statements that expressly or implicitly predict future results, performance or events. Statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. You can find many of these statements by looking for words such as anticipates, expects, believes, estimates and intends and words or phrases of similar meaning. We make forward-looking statements regarding projected sources of funds, use of proceeds, availability of acquisition and growth opportunities, dividends, adequacy of our allowance for loan and lease losses (ALLL) and provision for loan and lease losses, our commercial real estate portfolio and subsequent charge offs. Forward-looking statements involve substantial risks and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict and are generally beyond our control. There are many factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by these forward-looking statements. Risks and uncertainties include those set forth in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and the following factors that might cause actual results to differ materially from those presented:

our ability to attract new deposits and loans;

demand for financial services in our market areas;

competitive market pricing factors;

deterioration of economic conditions that could result in increased loan losses;

risks associated with concentrations of real estate related loans;

market interest rate volatility;

stability of funding sources and continued availability of borrowing;

changes in legal or regulatory requirements or the results of regulatory examinations that could restrict growth;

our ability to recruit and maintain key management staff;

significant decline in market value of mortgage company that could result in an impairment of goodwill;

our ability to raise capital and incur debt on reasonable terms;

regulatory limits on the Bank's ability to pay dividends to the Company;

the impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act) and related rules and regulations on the Company s business operations and competitiveness, including the impact of executive compensation restrictions, which may affect the Company s ability to retain and recruit executives in competition with firms in other industries who do not operate under those restrictions; and

the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on the Company s interchange fee revenue, interest expense, FDIC deposit insurance assessments and regulatory compliance expenses, which includes the following adopted final rule:

Effective July 21, 2011, Regulation Q, which prohibited the payment of interest on demand deposit account, was repealed and we anticipate that this will result in increased interest expense.

There are many factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by these forward-looking statements. We do not intend to update these forward-looking statements. Readers should consider any forward-looking statements in light of this explanation, and we caution readers about relying on forward-looking statements.

For additional information concerning risks and uncertainties related to the Company and its operations please refer to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 under the heading Risk factors . The Company undertakes no obligation to revise or publicly release the results of any revision to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

The following sections discuss significant changes and trends in the financial condition, capital resources and liquidity of the Company from December 31, 2011 to June 30, 2012. Also discussed are significant trends and changes in the Company s results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same periods in 2011. The consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this report are unaudited. The following discussion and analysis is intended to provide greater detail of the Company s financial condition and results.

General

Bank of Commerce Holdings (Company, Holding Company, We, or Us) is a corporation organized under the laws of California and a bank holding company (BHC) registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (BHC Act). Our principal business is to serve as a holding company for Redding Bank of CommerceTM (Bank), which operates under two separate names (Redding Bank of CommerceTM and Roseville Bank of CommerceTM, a division of Redding Bank of Commerce) and for Bank of Commerce MortgageTM, our majority-owned mortgage brokerage subsidiary. We also have two unconsolidated subsidiaries, Bank of Commerce Holdings Trust and Bank of Commerce Holdings Trust II, which were organized in connection with our prior issuances of trust preferred securities. Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol BOCH.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANagements Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The Company commenced banking operations in 1982 and currently operates four full service facilities in two diverse markets in Northern California. We are proud of the Bank's reputation as one of Northern California's premier banks for business. During 2007, we re-branded the Bank as Bank of Commerce *Bank of Choice*SM reflecting a renewed commitment to making the Bank the choice for local businesses with a fresh focus on family and personal finances. We provide a wide range of financial services and products for business and consumer banking. The services offered by the Bank include those traditionally offered by banks of similar size in California, such as free checking, interest bearing checking and savings accounts, money market deposit accounts, sweep arrangements, commercial, construction and term loans, travelers checks, safe deposit boxes, collection services and electronic banking activities. The Bank offers wealth management services through a third party investment broker.

In order to enhance our noninterest income, in May 2009 we acquired 51.0% of the capital stock of Simonich Corporation, a successful state of the art mortgage broker of residential real estate loans headquartered in San Ramon, California, with twenty offices in three different states and licenses in California, Oregon, Nevada, and Colorado. The business was formed in 1993, and funds over \$1.0 billion of first mortgages annually. The acquisition allows us to penetrate into the mortgage brokerage services market at our current bank locations and to share in the income on mortgage transactions nationwide. On July 1, 2009 we changed the mortgage company's name to Bank of Commerce MortgageSM in order to enhance our name recognition throughout Northern California. The services offered by Bank of Commerce MortgageSM include brokerage mortgages for single and multi-family residential new financing, refinancing and equity lines of credit which are then sold, servicing included, on the secondary market or to correspondent relationships.

We continuously search for both organic and external expansion opportunities, through internal growth, strategic alliances, acquisitions, establishing a new office or the delivery of new products and services.

Systematically, we will reevaluate the short and long term profitability of all of our lines of business, and will not hesitate to reduce or eliminate unprofitable locations or lines of business. We remain a viable, independent bank committed to enhancing shareholder value. This commitment has been fostered by proactive management and dedication to our staff, customers, and the markets we serve.

Our vision is to embrace changes in the industry and develop profitable business strategies that allow us to maintain our customer relationships and build new ones. Our competitors are no longer just banks; we must compete with a myriad of other financial entities that compete for our core business. The flexibility provided by our status as a financial holding company has become increasingly important. We have developed strategic plans that evaluate additional financial services and products that can be delivered to our customers efficiently and profitably. Producing quality returns is, as always, a top priority.

Our governance structure enables us to manage all major aspects of our business effectively through an integrated process that includes financial, strategic, risk and leadership planning. Our management processes, structures and policies and procedures help to ensure compliance with laws and regulations and provide clear lines for decision-making and accountability. Results are important, but we are equally concerned with how we achieve those results. Our core values and commitment to high ethical standards is material to sustaining public trust and confidence in our Company.

Our primary business strategy is to provide comprehensive banking and related services to small and mid-sized businesses, not-for-profit organizations, and professional service providers as well as banking services for consumers, primarily business owners and their key employees. We emphasize the diversity of our product lines and high levels of personal service and, through our technology, offer convenient access typically associated with larger financial institutions, while maintaining the local decision-making authority and market knowledge, typical of a local community bank. Management intends to pursue our business strategy through the following initiatives:

Utilize the Strength of Our Management Team. The experience, depth and knowledge of our management team represent one of our greatest strengths and competitive advantages. Our Senior Leadership Committee establishes short and long term strategies, operating plans and performance measures and reviews our performance on a monthly basis. Our Credit Round Table Committee recommends corporate credit practices and limits, including industry concentration limits and approval requirements and exceptions. Our Information Technology Steering Committee establishes technological strategies, makes technology investment decisions, and manages the implementation process. ALCO establishes and monitors liquidity ranges, pricing, maturities, investment goals, and interest spread on balance sheet accounts. Our SOX 404 Compliance Team has established the master plan for full documentation of the Company's internal controls and compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

Leverage Our Existing Foundation for Additional Growth. Based on our management's depth of experience and certain infrastructure investments, we believe that we will be able to take advantage of certain economies of scale typically enjoyed by larger organizations to expand our operations both organically and through strategic cost-effective avenues. We believe that there will be significant opportunities to acquire failing institutions or their assets through loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, buy

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

branches from struggling banks in our market areas looking to raise capital, and acquire entire franchises for little to no premium. We also believe that the investments we have made in our data processing, staff and branch network will be able to support a much larger asset base. We are committed, however, to control any additional growth in a manner designed to minimize risk and to maintain strong capital ratios. We believe that the net proceeds raised in our capital offering will assist us in implementing our growth strategies by providing the capital necessary to support future asset growth, both organically and through strategic acquisitions.

Maintain Local Decision-Making and Accountability. We believe we have a competitive advantage over larger national and regional financial institutions by providing superior customer service with experienced, knowledgeable management, localized decision-making capabilities and prompt credit decisions. We believe that our customers want to deal directly with the people who make the ultimate credit decisions and have provided our Bank managers and loan officers with the authority commensurate with their experience and history which we believe strikes the right balance between local decision-making and sound banking practice.

Focus on Asset Quality and Strong Underwriting. We consider asset quality to be of primary importance and have taken measures to ensure that, despite the turbulent economy and growth in our loan portfolio, we consistently maintain strong asset quality relative to our peers. As part of our efforts, we utilize a third party loan review service to evaluate our loan portfolio on a quarterly basis and recommend action on certain loans if deemed appropriate. As of June 30, 2012, we had \$23.2 million in nonperforming assets, or 2.41% of total assets. We also seek to maintain a prudent ALLL, which at June 30, 2012 was \$12.5 million, representing 2.10% of our loan portfolio.

Build a Stable Core Deposit Base. We will continue to grow a stable core deposit base of business and retail customers. In the event that our asset growth outpaces these local core deposit funding sources, we will continue to utilize Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) borrowings and raise deposits in the national market using deposit intermediaries. We intend to continue our practice of developing a full deposit relationship with each of our loan customers, their business partners, and key employees. We will continue to use hot spot consumer depositories with state of the art technologies in highly convenient locations to enhance our core deposit base.

Our principal executive offices are located at 1901 Churn Creek Road, Redding, California and the telephone number is (530) 722-3939.

Executive Overview

Significant items for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were as follows:

Capital

Repurchased 726,370 common shares at a weighted average cost of \$4.12 per share, pursuant to the Company's publicly announced stock repurchase plan.

Paid preferred stock dividends of \$434 thousand compared to \$425 thousand during the same period in 2011.

Declared cash dividends of \$0.03 per share for 2nd quarter in 2012. In determining the amount of dividends to be paid, we consider capital preservation, expected asset growth, projected earnings and our overall dividend pay-out ratio.

Financial Performance

Net earnings per diluted common share were \$0.23, as compared to \$0.16 per diluted common share during the same period in 2011. The increase in net earnings per diluted common share was principally attributed to reduced provision for loan and lease losses, increased mortgage banking revenues, and decreased basic and dilutive weighted average shares.

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

Net interest margin, on a tax equivalent basis, was 3.94% compared to 3.97% at December 31, 2011, and 3.96% at June 30, 2011. Net interest margins have remained relatively consistent over the reporting period. Decreased yields in earning assets have primarily been mitigated by decreased interest expense associated with deposits and borrowings.

We recorded gains of \$1.2 million on the sale of investment securities during the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to gains of \$913 thousand during the same period a year ago.

Mortgage banking revenue was \$11.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$5.1 million during the same period a year ago. Closed mortgage volume was \$527.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Total consolidated assets were \$962.6 million as of June 30, 2012, compared to \$940.7 million as of December 31, 2011. Increases in consolidated assets were primarily driven by increases in noninterest bearing demand deposits, resulting in increased cash balances held at the Federal Reserve Bank (FRB).

Credit Quality

Nonperforming assets decreased to \$23.2 million, or 2.41% of total assets, as of June 30, 2012, compared to \$25.2 million, or 2.68% of total assets as of December 31, 2011. Nonperforming loans decreased \$943 thousand to \$20.6 million, or 3.45% of total loans, as of June 30, 2012, compared to \$21.5 million, or 3.68% of total loans as of December 31, 2011. Nonaccrual loans have been written-down to their estimated net realizable values.

Net charge offs were \$1.1 million during the six months ended June 30 2012, or 0.18% of average loans, as compared to net charge offs of \$4.5 million or 0.73% of average loans during the same period a year ago.

Provision for loan and lease losses during the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$3.0 million, a decrease of \$2.0 million compared to the same period a year ago. During the first six months of 2012, provision expense to net charge offs was 274% compared to 112% during the same period a year ago.

Our Company was established to make a profitable return while serving the financial needs of the business and professional communities which make up our markets. We are in the financial services business, and no line of financial services is beyond our charter so long as it serves the needs of our customers. Our mission is to provide our shareholders with a safe and profitable return on investment over the long term. Management will attempt to minimize risk to our shareholders by making prudent business decisions, maintaining adequate levels of capital and reserves, and communicating effectively with shareholders.

It is our vision of the Company to remain independent, expanding our presence through internal growth and the addition of strategically important full service and focused service locations. We will pursue attractive opportunities to enter related lines of business and to acquire financial institutions with complementary lines of business. We will distinguish ourselves from the competition by a commitment to efficient delivery of products and services in our target markets to businesses and professionals, while maintaining personal relationships with mutual loyalty.

Our long term success rests on the shoulders of the leadership team and its ability to effectively enhance the performance of the Company. As a financial services company, we are in the business of taking and managing risks. Whether we are successful depends largely upon whether we take the right risks and get paid appropriately for those risks. Our governance structure enables us to manage all major aspects of the Company's business effectively through an integrated process that includes financial, strategic, risk and leadership planning.

We define risks to include not only credit, market and liquidity risk, the traditional concerns for financial institutions, but also operational risks, including risks related to systems, processes or external events, as well as legal, regulatory and reputation risks. Our management processes, structures, and policies help to ensure compliance with laws and regulations and provide clear lines for decision-making and accountability. Results are important, but equally important is how we achieve those results. Our core values and commitment to high ethical standards is material to sustaining public trust and confidence in our Company.

Summary of Critical Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 2 of the *Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements* for the year ended December 31, 2011 included in the Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 9, 2012. Not all of these significant accounting policies require management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments or estimates. Management believes that the following policies would be considered critical under the SEC's definition.

Valuation of Investments and Impairment of Securities

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

At the time of purchase, the Company designates the security as held-to-maturity or available-for-sale, based on its investment objectives, operational needs and intent to hold. The Company does not engage in trading activity. Securities designated as held-to-maturity are carried at cost adjusted for the accretion of discounts and amortization of premiums. The Company has the ability and intent to hold these securities to maturity. Securities designated as available-for-sale may be sold to implement the Company's asset/liability management strategies and in response to changes in interest rates, prepayment rates and similar factors. Securities designated as available-for-sale are recorded at fair value and unrealized gains or losses, net of income taxes, are reported as part of accumulated other comprehensive income (OCI) (loss), a separate component of shareholders' equity. Gains or losses on sale of securities are based on the specific identification method. The market value and underlying rating of the security is monitored for quality. Securities may be adjusted to reflect changes in valuation as a result of other-than-temporary declines in value. Investments with fair values that are less than amortized cost are considered impaired. Impairment may result from either a decline in the financial condition of the issuing entity or, in the case of fixed rate investments, from changes in interest rates. At each financial statement date, management assesses each investment to determine if impaired investments are temporarily impaired or if the impairment is other-than-temporary based upon the positive and negative evidence available. Evidence evaluated includes, but is not limited to, industry analyst reports, credit market conditions, and interest rate trends.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

When an investment is other-than-temporarily impaired, the Company assesses whether it intends to sell the security, or it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current-period credit losses.

If the Company intends to sell the security or if it more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell security before recovery of the amortized cost basis, the entire amount of other than temporary impairment (OTTI) is recognized in earnings.

For debt securities that are considered other-than-temporarily impaired and that we do not intend to sell and will not be required to sell prior to recovery of our amortized cost basis, we separate the amount of the impairment into the amount that is credit related (credit loss component) and the amount due to all other factors. The credit loss component is recognized in earnings and is calculated as the difference between the investment's amortized cost basis and the present value of its expected future cash flows.

The remaining differences between the investment's fair value and the present value of future expected cash flows is deemed to be due to factors that are not credit related and is recognized in OCI. Significant judgment is required in the determination of whether OTTI has occurred for an investment. The Company follows a consistent and systematic process for determining OTTI loss. The Company has designated the ALCO Committee responsible for the other-than-temporary evaluation process.

The ALCO Committee's assessment of whether OTTI loss should be recognized incorporates both quantitative and qualitative information including, but not limited to: (1) the length of time and the extent of which the fair value has been less than amortized cost, (2) the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer, (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in value, (4) whether the debtor is current on interest and principal payments, and (5) general market conditions and industry or sector specific outlook.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

ALLL is based upon estimates of loan losses and is maintained at a level considered adequate to provide for probable losses inherent in the outstanding loan portfolio. The allowance is increased by provisions charged to expense and reduced by net charge offs. In periodic evaluations of the adequacy of the allowance balance, management considers our past loan loss experience by type of credit, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral, current economic conditions and other factors. We formally assess the adequacy of the ALLL on a monthly basis. These assessments include the periodic re-grading of classified loans based on changes in their individual credit characteristics including delinquency, seasoning, recent financial performance of the borrower, economic factors, changes in the interest rate environment and other factors as warranted. Loans are initially graded when originated. They are reviewed as they are renewed, when there is a new loan to the same borrower and/or when identified facts demonstrate heightened risk of default. Confirmation of the quality of our grading process is obtained by independent reviews conducted by outside consultants specifically hired for this purpose and by periodic examination by various bank regulatory agencies. Management monitors delinquent loans continuously and identifies problem loans to be evaluated individually for impairment testing. For loans that are determined impaired, formal impairment measurement is performed at least quarterly on a loan-by-loan basis.

Our method for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance includes specific allowances for identified problem loans, an allowance factor for categories of credits and allowances for changing environmental factors (e.g., portfolio trends, concentration of credit, growth, economic factors). Allowances for identified problem loans are based on specific analysis of individual credits. Loss estimation factors for loan categories are based on analysis of local economic factors applicable to each loan category. Allowances for changing environmental factors are management's best estimate of the probable impact these changes have had on the loan portfolio as a whole.

Income Taxes

Income taxes reported in the financial statements are computed based on an asset and liability approach. We recognize the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year, and deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences that have been recognized in the financial statements. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statements and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. We record net deferred tax assets to the extent it is more likely than not that they will be realized. In evaluating our ability to recover the deferred tax assets, management considers all available positive and negative evidence, including scheduled reversals of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies and recent financial operations.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

In projecting future taxable income, management develops assumptions including the amount of future state and federal pretax operating income, the reversal of temporary differences and the implementation of feasible and prudent tax planning strategies. These assumptions require significant judgment about the forecasts of future taxable income and are consistent with the plans and estimates being used to manage the underlying business. The Company files consolidated federal and combined state income tax returns.

We recognize the financial statement effect of a tax position when it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits, that the position will be sustained upon examination. For tax positions that meet the more likely than not threshold, we may recognize only the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement with the taxing authority.

Management believes that all of our tax positions taken meet the more likely than not recognition threshold. To the extent tax authorities disagree with these tax positions, our effective tax rates could be materially affected in the period of settlement with the taxing authorities.

Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities

In the normal course of business the Company is subject to risk from adverse fluctuations in interest rates. The Company manages these risks through a program that includes the use of derivative financial instruments, primarily swaps and forwards. Counterparties to these contracts are major financial institutions. The Company is exposed to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by these counterparties. The Company does not use derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

The Company's objective in managing exposure to market risk is to limit the impact on earnings and cash flow. The extent to which the Company uses such instruments is dependent on its access to these contracts in the financial markets and its success using other methods, such as netting exposures in the same currencies to mitigate foreign exchange risk and using sales agreements that permit the pass-through of commodity price and foreign exchange rate risk to customers.

All of the Company's outstanding derivative financial instruments are recognized in the balance sheet at their fair values. The effect on earnings from recognizing the fair values of these derivative financial instruments depends on their intended use, their hedge designation, and their effectiveness in offsetting changes in the fair values of the exposures they are hedging. Changes in the fair values of instruments designated to reduce or eliminate adverse fluctuations in the fair values of recognized assets and liabilities and unrecognized firm commitments are reported in earnings along with changes in the fair values of the hedged items. Changes in the effective portions of the fair values of instruments used to reduce or eliminate adverse fluctuations in cash flows of anticipated or forecasted transactions are reported in equity as a component of accumulated OCI. Amounts in accumulated OCI are reclassified to earnings when the related hedged items affect earnings or the anticipated transactions are no longer probable. Changes in the fair values of derivative instruments that are not designated as hedges or do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment are reported currently in earnings. Amounts reported in earnings are classified consistent with the item being hedged.

For derivative financial instruments accounted for as hedging instruments, the Company formally designates and documents, at inception, the financial instrument as a hedge of a specific underlying exposure, the risk management objective, and the manner in which effectiveness of the hedge will be assessed. The Company formally assesses both at inception and at each reporting period thereafter, whether the derivative financial instruments used in hedging transactions are effective in offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows of the related underlying exposures. Any ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the instruments is recognized immediately into earnings.

The Company discontinues the use of hedge accounting prospectively when (1) the derivative instrument is no longer effective in offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows of the underlying hedged item; (2) the derivative instrument expires, is sold, terminated, or exercised; or (3) designating the derivative instrument as a hedge is no longer appropriate.

Derivative Loan Commitments The Company, through its majority owned subsidiary, Bank of Commerce Mortgage, enters into forward delivery contracts to sell residential mortgage loans or mortgage backed securities to broker/dealers at specific prices and dates (MBS TBAs) in order to hedge the interest rate risk in its portfolio of mortgage loans held-for-sale and its mandatory residential mortgage loan commitments. These derivative instruments consist primarily of IRLC s executed with borrowers and mandatory forward purchase commitments with investor lenders. These derivative instruments have not been designated for hedge accounting treatment; accordingly

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

changes in the fair values are reflected in earnings as a component of mortgage banking revenue, net. At June 30, 2012, the Company maintained open forward sales positions of \$86.0 million, and mandatory loan commitment rate locks of \$117.9 million.

Interest Rate Swap Agreements As part of the Company's risk management strategy, the Company enters into interest rate swap agreements or other derivatives to mitigate the interest rate risk inherent in certain assets and liabilities. These derivative instruments are accounted for as cash flow hedges, with the changes in fair value reflected in OCI and subsequently reclassified to earnings when gains or losses are realized on the hedged item. At June 30, 2012, the Company maintained a notional amount of \$75 million in interest rate swap agreements which were in an aggregate unrealized loss position of \$3.2 million.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Fair Value Measurements

We use fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities, and to determine fair value disclosures. We base our fair values on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Securities available-for-sale, derivatives, and loans held-for-sale, if any, are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, we may be required to record certain assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, such as certain impaired loans held for investment, OREO, and goodwill. These nonrecurring fair value adjustments typically involve write-downs of individual assets due to application of lower of cost or market accounting.

We have established and documented a process for determining fair value. We maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measurements. Whenever there is no readily available market data, management uses its best estimate and assumptions in determining fair value, but these estimates involve inherent uncertainties and the application of management's judgment. As a result, if other assumptions had been used, our recorded earnings or disclosures could have been materially different from those reflected in these financial statements. Additional information on our use of fair value measurements and our related valuation methodologies is provided in Note 14 of the *Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements* incorporated in this document.

Sources of Income

We derive our income from two principal sources: (1) net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income we receive on interest earning assets and the interest expense we pay on interest bearing liabilities, and (2) fee income, which includes fees earned on deposit services, income from payroll processing, electronic-based cash management services, mortgage banking income, and merchant credit card processing services.

Our income depends to a great extent on net interest income, which correlates strongly with certain interest rate characteristics. These interest rate characteristics are highly sensitive to many factors, which are beyond our control, including general economic conditions, inflation, recession, and the policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies, the Federal Reserve Board in particular. Because of our predisposition to variable rate pricing on our assets and level of time deposits, we are frequently considered asset sensitive, and generally we are affected adversely by declining interest rates. However, in the current interest rate environment, many of our variable rate loans are priced at their floors. As a result, we would not experience an immediate benefit in a rising rate environment.

Net interest income reflects both our net interest margin, which is the difference between the yield we earn on our assets and the interest rate we pay for deposits and other sources of funding, and the amount of earning assets we hold. As a result, changes in either our net interest margin or the amount of earning assets we hold could affect our net interest income and earnings.

Increases or decreases in interest rates could adversely affect our net interest margin. Although the yield we earn on our assets and funding costs tend to move in the same direction in response to changes in interest rates, one can rise or fall faster than the other, and cause our net interest margin to expand or contract. Many of our assets are tied to prime rate, so they may adjust faster in response to changes in interest rates. As a result, when interest rates fall, the yield we earn on our assets may fall faster than our ability to reprice a large portion of our liabilities, causing our net interest margin to contract.

Changes in the slope of the yield curve, the spread between short term and long term interest rates, could also reduce our net interest margin. Normally, the yield curve is upward sloping, which means that short term rates are lower than long term rates. Because our liabilities tend to be shorter in duration than our assets, when the yield curve flattens or even inverts, we could experience pressure on our net interest margin as our cost of funds increases relative to the yield we can earn on our assets.

We assess our interest rate risk by estimating the effect on our earnings under various simulated scenarios that differ based on assumptions including the direction, magnitude and speed of interest rate changes, and the slope of the yield curve.

There is always the risk that changes in interest rates could reduce our net interest income and earnings in material amounts, especially if actual conditions turn out to be materially different than simulated scenarios. For example, if interest rates rise or fall faster than we assumed or the slope of the yield curve changes, we may incur significant losses on debt securities we hold as investments. To reduce our interest rate risk, we may rebalance our investment and loan portfolios, refinance our debt and take other strategic actions which may result in losses or expenses.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANagements Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Balance Sheet

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had total consolidated assets of \$962.5 million, total net portfolio loans of \$583.6 million, an ALLL of \$12.5 million, deposits outstanding of \$682.5 million, and stockholders' equity of \$113.6 million.

The Company continued to maintain a strong liquidity position during the reporting period. As of June 30, 2012, the Company maintained cash positions at the FRB and correspondent banks in the amount of \$41.2 million. The Company also held certificates of deposits with other financial institutions in the amount of \$24.0 million, which the Company considers highly liquid.

The Company's available-for-sale investment portfolio is primarily utilized as a source of liquidity to fund other higher yielding asset opportunities, such as commercial and mortgage loan originations when required. Investment securities totaled \$201.3 million at June 30, 2012, compared with \$203.5 million at December 31, 2011. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company continued to reposition the portfolio to shorten duration while maintaining marginal yields. Specifically, during the period, the Company focused on decreasing duration in the municipal portfolio, and to a lesser extent the residential mortgage backed portfolio. In addition, principal and interest cash flows received from mortgage backed securities were not only reinvested into additional mortgage backed securities, but also relatively short term high quality financial institution issued corporate bonds, and tax exempt municipal bonds. As such, decreases in the amortized cost basis of other asset backed securities, were more than offset by increases in the amortized cost basis of mortgage backed securities, corporate bonds, and tax exempt municipal bonds. During the period, the Company purchased sixty-two securities with a weighted average yield of 3.62%, and sold forty-eight securities with a weighted average yield of 2.63%. The Company will continue to seek opportunities to shorten portfolio duration in the foreseeable future in accordance to the Company's overall rate view. In order to monitor the financial performance of the municipal bond portfolio, the Company contracted with a third party to review the financial condition of each bond issuer. Pursuant to the sales activity, the Company recorded \$1.2 million in realized gains on the sales of securities.

At June 30, 2012, the Company's net unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities was \$2.8 million, compared with \$1.5 million net unrealized gains at December 31, 2011. The favorable change in net unrealized gains was primarily due to increases in the fair values of the Company's corporate and municipal bond portfolios, primarily driven by changes in market interest rates, and the contraction of market spreads subsequent to initial purchase of these bonds.

Overall, the net portfolio loan balance increased modestly during the first six months of 2012. The Company recorded net portfolio loans of \$583.6 million at June 30, 2012, compared with \$574.1 million at December 31, 2011, an increase of \$9.5 million, or 1.7%. The increase in net portfolio loans was primarily driven by \$13.4 million in net originations of commercial loans, partially offset by \$1.9 million net increase to the ALLL. Increases in the commercial loan originations were diversified in amounts and geographic location, and not due to any particularly large originations or concentrations in either of our markets.

The Company continued to conservatively monitor credit quality during the period, and adjust the ALLL accordingly. As such, the Company provided \$3.0 million in provisions for loan losses during the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared with \$5.0 million during the same period a year ago. The Company's ALLL as a percentage of gross portfolio loans was 2.10% and 1.82% as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Net charge offs were \$1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared with net charge offs of \$4.5 million for the same period a year ago. The current period charge offs were centered in commercial real estate, 1-4 family residential, and home equity loans. Overall, the loan portfolio is showing some signs of stabilization, however there are lingering weaknesses where the borrower's business revenue is tied to real estate. At June 30, 2012, the loan portfolio reflects modest decreases in total past due loans and impaired loans, compared to December 31, 2011. However, as of June 30, 2012, there was a net migration of loans into internal risk ratings of special mention and substandard, compared to reported amounts as of December 31, 2011. The commercial real estate loan portfolio and commercial loan portfolio will continue to be influenced by weakness in real estate values, the effects of high unemployment levels, and general overall weakness in economic conditions. As such, management will continue to aggressively identify and dispose of problematic assets which could lead to an elevated level of charge offs. Despite the current level of charge offs, management believes the Company's ALLL is adequately funded given the current level of credit risk.

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

Loans held-for-sale, consisting of residential mortgages to be sold in the secondary market, were \$62.5 million at June 30, 2012, compared to \$64.4 million at December 31, 2011. The mortgage subsidiary continues to realize increased originations given our current historically low rate environment. Closed loan volume during the first six months of 2012 was \$527.9 million compared to \$252.6 million during the same period a year ago.

The Company's other real estate owned (OREO) balance at June 30, 2012 was \$2.6 million compared to \$3.7 million at December 31, 2011. The net decrease was primarily driven by the sale of one large commercial real estate property with carrying value of \$1.7 million, and a \$425 thousand write down of the carrying value of an improved commercial lot. See Note 6 in the *Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements* incorporated in this document, for further details relating to the Company's OREO portfolio. The Company remains committed to working with customers who are experiencing financial difficulties to find potential solutions. However, the Company expects additional foreclosure activity for the foreseeable future, and additional write downs of existing OREO as warranted.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Total deposits as of June 30, 2012 were \$682.5 million compared to \$667.3 million at December 31, 2011, an increase of \$15.2 million or 2.3%. The modest increase in deposits was primarily driven by a \$16.0 million increase in money market accounts associated with business accounts and the Insured Cash Sweeps (ICS) deposit program, partially offset by decreased brokered certificates of deposits. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company realized net maturities of \$6.5 million, and \$6.5 million in brokered certificates of deposits, and online listing service certificates of deposits, respectively. Additionally, the Company not only reduced the exposure to brokered certificates of deposits during the first six months of 2012, but extended the duration of the overall brokered certificate of deposit portfolio. The increased cash flows from additional money market deposits were partially used to pay off FHLB borrowings as well.

During the second quarter 2012, Bank of Commerce Mortgage secured two additional warehouse lines of credit agreements with other financial institutions. These additional credit facilities provide Bank of Commerce Mortgage with additional funding capacity of \$28 million. Accordingly, outstanding warehouse borrowings as of June 30, 2012 increased to \$22.1 million compared to \$7.6 million as of December 31, 2011.

On February 7, 2012, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized the purchase of up to 1,019,490 or 6% of its outstanding shares over a twelve-month period. The stock repurchase plan authorizes the Company to conduct open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions from time to time when, at management's discretion, it is determined that market conditions and other factors warrant such purchases. Purchased shares will be retired accordingly. As of June 30, 2012, the Company repurchased 726,370 common shares at a weighted average cost of \$4.12 per share. As such, the weighted average number of basic and dilutive common shares outstanding as of June 30, 2012 decreased by 438,000 to 16,553,134 compared with 16,991,495 at December 31, 2011. The decrease in weighted average shares positively contributed to increases in earnings per common share, and return on common equity. There are no guarantees as to the exact number of shares to be purchased, and the stock repurchase plan may be modified, suspended, or terminated without prior notice.

Income Statement

Due to conservative underwriting, active servicing of problem credits, and maintenance of a relatively solid net interest margin, the Company has remained profitable over an extended period of weak economic conditions. Accordingly, the Company continues to be well positioned to take advantage of strategic growth opportunities.

Net income attributable to Bank of Commerce Holdings was \$4.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared with \$3.2 million for the same period in 2011. Net income available to common stockholders was \$3.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared with \$2.7 million for the same period in 2011. Diluted earnings per share (EPS) was \$0.23 for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared with \$0.16 for the same period in 2011. The increase in net earnings per diluted common share was principally attributed to reduced provision for loan and lease losses, increased mortgage banking revenues, and decreased basic and dilutive weighted average shares.

The Company continued to pay cash dividends of \$0.03 per share for second quarter in 2012. In determining the amount of dividends to be paid, consideration is given to capital preservation objectives, expected asset growth, projected earnings, and our overall dividend pay-out ratio.

Return on average assets (ROA) and return on average equity (ROE) for the six months ended June 30, 2012, was 0.91% and 7.77%, respectively, compared with 0.68% and 5.93%, respectively, for the same period a year ago. The increase in ROA and ROE for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared with the same period a year ago, was primarily driven by reduced provision for loan and lease losses, increased mortgage banking net income, and decreased basic and dilutive weighted average shares. The increases in the aforementioned items were partially offset by decreased yields realized from the loan portfolio. The Company continues to experience decreased yields in the loan portfolio due to the pay-off of higher yielding loans, originations and renewals at relatively lower rates, and the transfer of existing loans to nonaccrual status.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS***(Unaudited)*

| | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2011 |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| <u>Profitability</u> | | |
| Return on average assets | 0.91% | 0.68% |
| Return on average equity | 7.77% | 5.93% |
| Average earning assets to average assets | 95.16% | 96.47% |
| <u>Interest Margin</u> | | |
| Net interest margin on a tax equivalent basis | 3.94% | 3.96% |
| <u>Asset Quality</u> | | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans | 2.10% | 2.24% |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | 2.41% | 2.49% |
| Net charge offs to average loans | 0.18% | 0.73% |
| <u>Liquidity</u> | | |
| Loans to deposits | 94.68% | 93.12% |
| Liquidity ratio | 52.43% | 45.98% |
| <u>Capital</u> | | |
| Tier 1 risk based capital Bank | 13.37% | 15.76% |
| Total risk based capital Bank | 14.62% | 17.02% |
| Tier 1 risk based capital Company | 13.64% | 15.75% |
| Total risk based capital Company | 14.89% | 17.00% |
| <u>Efficiency</u> | | |
| Efficiency ratio | 67.61% | 63.89% |

Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin

Net interest income is the largest source of our operating income. Net interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$17.2 million compared to \$17.2 million during the same period a year ago.

Interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$21.1 million, a decrease of \$406 thousand or 1.88% compared to the same period a year ago. The decrease in interest income was primarily driven by decreased yields in the loan portfolio, partially offset by increased loan volume. The decrease in loan interest income was primarily driven by the re-pricing of variable rate 1-4 family ITIN mortgage loans, and net originations of commercial real estate loans at relatively lower rates. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the ITIN portfolio with an average balance of \$63.0 million, yielded 3.45% compared to a yield of 5.16% during the same period a year ago. As a result, interest income recognized from the ITIN portfolio decreased by \$681 thousand compared to the same period a year ago.

Despite a decrease in average balance, interest income recognized from the securities portfolio during the first six months of 2012 remained consistent with the same period a year ago. During the final six months of 2011, the entire pool of U.S Agencies were either called or sold, with the majority of the cash flows reinvested into higher yielding corporate bonds and other asset backed securities. Accordingly, the portfolio composition during the first six months of 2012 was weighted more heavily with higher yielding corporate bonds, and other higher yielding asset backed securities, compared to the same period a year ago. As a result, the tax equivalent yield of the securities portfolio increased by 10 basis points to 3.77% compared to 3.67% during the same period a year ago. The increase in portfolio yields more than offset the effects of lower portfolio volume on interest income.

Interest expense during the first six months of 2012 was \$4.0 million a decrease of \$400 thousand or 9% compared to the same period a year ago. The decrease in interest expense was primarily driven by decreased costs associated with certificates of deposits, savings deposits, and FHLB advances, partially offset by increased interest expense pertaining to the mortgage warehouse lines of credit. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company continued to benefit from the re-pricing of deposits to lower rates, and lower average FHLB advance volume. In addition, \$200 thousand in gains were re-classed from OCI and netted with FHLB interest expense, effectively reducing overall FHLB borrowing costs by 37 basis points. See Note 13 Derivatives in the *Notes to the Unaudited Financial Statements* in this document for further detail on the OCI re-class.

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

Increased mortgage loan volume led to a \$567 thousand increase in interest expense associated with the warehouse lines. Because these lines of credit carry relatively higher interest rates compared to the Company's FHLB advances, the Company's overall borrowing costs increased to 1.97% or 90 basis points compared to 1.07% during same period a year ago. The increased borrowing costs limited the benefit derived from the re-pricing of deposits, lower FHLB advance volume, and the OCI re-class. As a result, the Company realized only a modest decrease in overall funding costs of 9 basis points to 1.12% compared to 1.21% during the same period a year ago.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The net interest margin (net interest income as a percentage of average interest earning assets) on a fully tax-equivalent basis was 3.94% for the six months ended June 30, 2012, a decrease of 2 basis points as compared to the same period a year ago. The slight decrease in net interest margin compared to the same period a year ago primarily resulted from a 12 basis point decline in yield on average earning assets, partially offset by a 10 basis point decrease in interest expense to average earning assets. As mentioned in this caption, lower yields in the loan portfolio were mostly offset by increased yields in the securities portfolio and decreased funding costs.

Our net interest income is affected by changes in the amount and mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, as well as changes in the yields earned on interest earning assets and rates paid on deposits and borrowed funds. The following tables present condensed average balance sheet information, together with interest income and yields on average interest earning assets, and interest expense and rates paid on average interest bearing liabilities for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

Average Balances, Interest Income/Expense and Yields/Rates Paid**(unaudited)***(Dollars in thousands)*

| | Six months ended June 30, 2012 | | | Six months ended June 30, 2011 | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/Rate | Average Balance | Interest | Yield/Rate |
| Interest Earning Assets | | | | | | |
| Portfolio loans ¹ | \$ 649,998 | \$ 17,644 | 5.43% | \$ 626,685 | \$ 17,991 | 5.74% |
| Tax-exempt securities | 66,043 | 1,713 | 5.19% | 50,899 | 1,486 | 5.84% |
| US government securities | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 29,480 | 316 | 2.14% |
| Mortgage backed securities | 64,408 | 799 | 2.48% | 73,500 | 995 | 2.71% |
| Other securities | 67,664 | 1,223 | 3.61% | 42,256 | 802 | 3.80% |
| Interest bearing due from banks | 51,166 | 303 | 1.18% | 69,205 | 426 | 1.23% |
| Total average interest earning assets | 899,279 | 21,682 | 4.82% | 892,025 | 22,016 | 4.94% |
| Cash & due from banks | 9,467 | | | 1,985 | | |
| Bank premises | 9,465 | | | 9,576 | | |
| Other assets | 26,850 | | | 21,114 | | |
| Total average assets | \$ 945,061 | | | \$ 924,700 | | |
| Interest Bearing Liabilities | | | | | | |
| Interest bearing demand | \$ 183,078 | \$ 310 | 0.34% | \$ 148,473 | \$ 430 | 0.58% |
| Savings deposits | 88,879 | 221 | 0.50% | 90,714 | 475 | 1.05% |
| Certificates of deposit | 282,904 | 2,070 | 1.46% | 307,094 | 2,585 | 1.68% |
| Repurchase agreements | 13,685 | 13 | 0.19% | 14,224 | 27 | 0.38% |
| Other borrowings | 136,208 | 1,344 | 1.97% | 156,756 | 841 | 1.07% |
| Total average interest bearing liabilities | 704,754 | 3,958 | 1.12% | 717,261 | 4,358 | 1.21% |
| Noninterest bearing demand | 107,410 | | | 95,641 | | |
| Other liabilities | 21,944 | | | 5,617 | | |
| Shareholders' equity | 110,953 | | | 106,181 | | |
| Total average liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 945,061 | | | \$ 924,700 | | |
| Net Interest Income and Net Interest Margin² | | \$ 17,724 | 3.94% | | \$ 17,658 | 3.96% |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

Interest income on loans includes fee (expense) income of approximately \$(91) thousand and \$(95) thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

- ¹ Average nonaccrual loans of \$20.5 million and \$18.9 million and average loans held-for-sale of \$22.3 million and \$19.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are included, respectively.
- ² Tax-exempt income has been adjusted to a tax equivalent basis at a 32% tax rate. The amount of such adjustments was an addition to recorded income of approximately \$548 thousand and \$476 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following table sets forth a summary of the changes in tax equivalent net interest income due to changes in average asset and liability balances (volume) and changes in average rates (rate) for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011. Changes in tax equivalent interest income and expense, which are not attributable specifically to either volume or rate, are allocated proportionately between both variances.

Analysis of Changes in Net Interest Income*(Dollars in thousands)*

| | June 30, 2012 over June 30, 2011 | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| | Variance due to Average Volume | Variance due to Average Rate | Total |
| Increase (Decrease) | | | |
| <u>In Interest Income:</u> | | | |
| Portfolio loans | \$ 633 | \$ (980) | \$ (347) |
| Tax-exempt securities ¹ | 392 | (164) | 228 |
| US government securities | (316) | 0 | (316) |
| Mortgage backed securities | (113) | (83) | (196) |
| Other securities | 459 | (38) | 421 |
| Interest bearing due from banks | (107) | (17) | (124) |
| Total Increase (Decrease) | 948 | (1,282) | (334) |
| (Decrease) Increase | | | |
| <u>In Interest Expense:</u> | | | |
| Interest bearing demand | 59 | (179) | (120) |
| Savings accounts | (5) | (249) | (254) |
| Certificates of deposit | (177) | (338) | (515) |
| Repurchase agreements | (1) | (13) | (14) |
| Other borrowings | (203) | 706 | 503 |
| Total Increase (Decrease) | (327) | (73) | (400) |
| Net Increase (Decrease) | \$ 1,275 | \$ (1,209) | \$ 66 |

¹ Tax-exempt income has been adjusted to tax equivalent basis at a 32% tax rate.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Noninterest Income**

Noninterest income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$7.2 million, an increase of \$3.5 million, or 97%, compared to the same period a year ago. The following table presents the key components of noninterest income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Three months ended June 30, | | | | Six months ended June 30, | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | Change Amount | Change Percent | 2012 | 2011 | Change Amount | Change Percent |
| Noninterest income: | | | | | | | | |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ 50 | \$ 52 | \$ (2) | -4% | \$ 97 | \$ 102 | \$ (5) | -5% |
| Payroll and benefit processing fees | 118 | 102 | 16 | 16% | 273 | 230 | 43 | 19% |
| Earnings on cash surrender value Bank owned life insurance | 114 | 119 | (5) | -4% | 227 | 230 | (3) | -1% |
| Gain on investment securities, net | 542 | 655 | (113) | -17% | 1,187 | 913 | 274 | 30% |
| Merchant credit card service income, net | 38 | 33 | 5 | 15% | 73 | 303 | (230) | -76% |
| Mortgage banking revenue, net | 6,144 | 2,550 | 3,594 | 141% | 11,076 | 5,083 | 5,993 | 118% |
| Other income | 146 | 114 | 32 | 28% | 271 | 216 | 55 | 25% |
| Total noninterest income | \$ 7,152 | \$ 3,625 | \$ 3,527 | 97% | \$ 13,204 | \$ 7,077 | \$ 6,127 | 87% |

Payroll and benefit processing fees increased by \$16 thousand and \$43 thousand for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period a year ago, respectively. In September 2011, the Bank acquired eighty payroll processing customer relationships from a local payroll processing sole proprietorship. As a result of the transaction, the Company has recognized increased payroll and benefit processing fees during the current period.

Gains on the sale of investment securities decreased by \$113 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period a year ago. During the second quarter of 2012, the Company sold twenty-six securities compared to thirty-three during the same period a year ago. The sales activity during the second quarter of 2012 resulted in gross gains of \$571 thousand and gross losses of \$28 thousand. Gains on the sale of investment securities increased by \$274 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period a year ago. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company sold forty-eight securities compared to forty-nine during the same period a year ago. The sales activity during the first six months of 2012 resulted in gross gains of \$1.3 million, and gross losses of \$100 thousand. See Note 4 in the *Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements* in this document for further detail on gross realized gains and losses associated with the selling of securities.

We recorded merchant credit card service income of \$38 thousand and \$33 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. During the first quarter of 2011, approximately 50% of the merchant credit card portfolio was sold to an independent third party, resulting in additional revenues of \$225 thousand. Accordingly, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 merchant credit card income decreased by \$230 thousand or 76% from the same period a year ago.

Mortgage banking revenue is primarily derived from net origination fees on residential mortgage loans and net revenue derived from the sale of mortgage loans to financial institutions. Mortgage banking revenue includes gain on sale of loans, revenue from brokers, mortgage loan origination fees, and direct mortgage loan costs. Loan origination fees and broker revenue are recorded as income when the loans are sold. Mortgage banking revenue during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 increased \$3.6 million or 141% and \$6.0 million or 118%, respectively compared to the same periods a year ago. During first and second quarter of 2012 the Company benefited from increased closed loan volume as a result of the historically low interest rate environment. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, closed loan volume was \$283.5 million and \$527.9 million, compared to \$125.0 million and \$283.5 million for the same periods a year ago, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 the Company recorded \$8.5 million and \$16.1 million in gains on sale of mortgage loans compared to \$3.0 million and \$6.2 million during the same period a year ago, respectively. Gains on sale of mortgage loans are included under the caption mortgage banking revenue in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations* incorporated in this document.

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

The major components of other income are fees earned on ATM, online banking services, wire transfers, and FHLB dividends. The increases in other income were primarily driven by changes of the various components, and are a result of normal operating activities.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Noninterest Expense**

Noninterest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$10.7 million, an increase of \$2.8 million or 36% compared to the same period a year ago. The following table presents the key elements of noninterest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | For the three months ended June 30, | | | | For the six months ended June 30, | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | Change Amount | Change Percent | 2012 | 2011 | Change Amount | Change Percent |
| Noninterest expense: | | | | | | | | |
| Salaries & related benefits | \$ 6,478 | \$ 4,017 | \$ 2,461 | 61% | \$ 12,460 | \$ 8,231 | \$ 4,229 | 51% |
| Occupancy & equipment expense | 825 | 800 | 25 | 3% | 1,687 | 1,528 | 159 | 10% |
| Write down of other real estate owned | 425 | 370 | 55 | 15% | 425 | 557 | (132) | -24% |
| Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance premium | 198 | 363 | (165) | -45% | 410 | 735 | (325) | -44% |
| Data processing fees | 115 | 91 | 24 | 26% | 185 | 190 | (5) | -3% |
| Professional service fees | 639 | 595 | 44 | 7% | 1,302 | 1,169 | 133 | 11% |
| Deferred compensation expense | 146 | 131 | 15 | 11% | 290 | 258 | 32 | 12% |
| Other expenses | 1,835 | 1,487 | 348 | 23% | 3,781 | 2,832 | 949 | 34% |
| Total noninterest expense | \$ 10,661 | \$ 7,854 | \$ 2,807 | 36% | \$ 20,540 | \$ 15,500 | \$ 5,040 | 33% |

Salaries and related benefits expense for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was \$6.5 million, an increase of \$2.5 million or 61% compared to the same period a year ago. The increase in salary and related benefit expense during the second quarter compared to the same period a year ago was primarily driven by a \$2.0 million increase in salaries and bonuses paid out from our mortgage subsidiary, and a \$390 thousand increase in the employee cash incentive program accrued at the Bank and Holding Company. The increase in salaries and bonuses paid out by the mortgage subsidiary was driven by increased volume and the commensurate increase in full time equivalent. Salaries and related benefits expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$12.5 million, an increase of \$4.2 million or 51% compared to the same period a year ago. The increase in salary and related benefit expense during the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period year ago was primarily driven by a \$2.9 million increase in salaries and bonuses paid out from our mortgage subsidiary (volume related), \$226 thousand payout in early retirement benefits at the Bank, and a \$340 thousand increase in the employee cash incentive program accrued at the Bank and Holding Company.

Occupancy and equipment expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$1.7 million, an increase of \$159 thousand or 10% compared to the same period a year ago. The increase in this expense is primarily driven by increased rent expense associated with our mortgage subsidiary. During the last six months of 2011, and the first quarter of 2012, the mortgage subsidiary expanded their leased square footage pertaining to their existing headquarters, and added several new branches. As a result, mortgage subsidiary rent expense increased by \$176 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period a year ago.

Although there has been an easing of velocity of declining real estate values, depressed values continue to detrimentally affect our loan portfolio and have led to a continued elevated level of foreclosures on related properties and movement of the properties into OREO. Particularly impacted by the depressed real estate market are our ITIN loans, which consist of 1-4 family mortgages. At June 30, 2012, eleven 1-4 family residential properties consisting of an aggregate principal balance of \$697 thousand were held in OREO. These properties are generally sold within four months from foreclosure, and generally have not had further impairment subsequent to transferring into OREO. At June 30, 2012 two commercial real estate properties consisting of an aggregate principal balance of \$2.0 million were held in OREO. These properties carry significantly higher appraised values than 1-4 family residential properties, and have much longer disposition times. Accordingly, all of the subsequent impairment of the Company's OREO is related to the commercial properties. During the six months ended June 30, 2012 further impairment was deemed necessary for one commercial property in the amount of \$425 thousand. During the same period a year ago, impairment was deemed necessary for three commercial real estate properties in the amount of \$557 thousand.

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

The decrease in FDIC assessments during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same periods a year ago resulted from improvements in the Bank's overall deposit assessment risk profile. Additional discussion on FDIC insurance assessments is provided in our most recent 10-K filed on March 9, 2012, in Item 1 under the caption *Federal Deposit Insurance Premiums*.

Data processing fees for the three months ended June 30, 2012, increased by \$24 thousand or 26% compared to the same period a year ago. The increase in data processing fees was primarily driven by activity associated with the Bank's online banking platform. Subsequent to June 30, 2011, three new products were added to the platform, resulting in additional subscription fees paid to the third party provider. In addition, customer usage of the platform has increased during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same periods a year ago. The increase in transactions has led to additional fees paid to the third party provider.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANagements Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

Professional service fees encompass audit, legal and consulting fees. Professional service fees for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was \$639 thousand, an increase of \$44 thousand or 7% compared to the same period a year ago. The increase was primarily driven by \$40 thousand of expenses incurred by the Bank for the recruitment of certain banking professionals. Professional service fees for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$1.3 million, an increase of \$133 thousand or 11% compared to the same period a year ago. The increase in professional fees for the six months ended June 30, 2012, was primarily driven by increased legal and consulting fees relating to ongoing regulatory compliance requirements, certain litigation with a previous mortgage loan investor, and improvements to information technology infrastructure, all pertaining to our mortgage subsidiary.

Other expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2012 were \$1.8 million, an increase of \$348 thousand or 23% compared to the same period a year ago. The increase in other expenses was primarily driven by increased overhead and marketing expenses as a result of increased volume at the mortgage subsidiary. With the historically low interest rates driving refinancing and originations, the mortgage subsidiary has incurred increased variable costs such as utilities, telephones, office supplies, meals, travel, and marketing. Other expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were \$3.8 million, an increase of \$949 thousand or 34% compared to the same period a year ago. The increase in other expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period a year ago was primarily driven by increased variable costs associated with increased volume at the mortgage subsidiary. In addition, during the first six months of 2012, the Bank incurred an additional \$174 thousand in loss on sale of OREO, and \$150 thousand in additional provisions to the reserve for unfunded commitments. The Bank was required to perform on a stand by letter of credit. As such, subsequent to the transaction, the Bank provided additional provisions to the reserve for unfunded commitments. See Note 11 Commitments and Contingencies in the *Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements*, incorporated in this document for further information on the reserve for unfunded commitments.

Income Taxes

Our consolidated effective tax rate as a percentage of pre-tax income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 was 31.76% and 32.37% as compared to 12.65% and 17.12% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The effective tax rates differed from the federal statutory rate of 35% and the state rate of 8.84% (net of the federal tax benefit) principally because of non-taxable income arising from bank-owned life insurance, income on tax-exempt investment securities, tax credits arising from low income housing investments.

Income tax provisions for the three months ended June 30, 2012, increased by \$912 thousand or 422%, compared to the same period a year ago. The increase in our effective tax rate and provision during the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period a year ago was primarily driven by the realization of additional low income housing investment tax credits during the three months ended June 30, 2011. Absent of this benefit, we realized an increase in our effective tax rates. Income tax provisions for the six months ended June 30, 2012, increased by \$647 thousand or 245%, compared to the same period a year ago. During the first quarter of 2011, the Mortgage Company subsidiary recognized a reduction in provision for income tax previously accrued of \$393 thousand. Accordingly, this reduction in the mortgage subsidiary provision contributed substantially to reducing our consolidated effective tax rate during the six months ended June 30, 2011. We believe our effective tax rate reported in the current period reasonably represents expected effective rates under normal operating conditions, and approximates our expected effective tax rate at December 31, 2012.

FINANCIAL CONDITION**INVESTMENTS SECURITIES**

The composition of our investment securities portfolio reflects management's investment strategy of maintaining an appropriate level of liquidity while providing a relatively stable source of interest income. The investment securities portfolio also mitigates interest rate risk and a portion of credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio, while providing a vehicle for the investment of available funds, a source of liquidity (by pledging as collateral or through repurchase agreements) and collateral for certain public funds deposits.

The Company's available-for-sale investment portfolio is primarily utilized as a source of liquidity to fund other higher yielding asset opportunities, such as commercial and mortgage loan originations. Investment securities totaled \$201.3 million at June 30, 2012, compared with \$203.5 million at December 31, 2011. Purchases of \$59.4 million of investment securities available-for-sale and increase in fair value of investments available-for-sale of \$1.3 million were offset by maturities and calls of \$54.6 million, paydowns of \$7.8 million, and amortization of net purchase price premiums of \$390 thousand. During the first six months of 2012 the Company purchased sixty-two securities, sold forty-eight securities, and had one security called.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANagements Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following table presents the available-for-sale investment securities portfolio by major type as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | \$ 76,179 | \$ 77,326 |
| Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 52,842 | 60,610 |
| Corporate securities | 49,477 | 40,820 |
| Other asset backed securities | 22,850 | 24,768 |
| Total | \$ 201,348 | \$ 203,524 |

The following table presents information regarding the amortized cost and maturity structure of the investment portfolio at June 30, 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Within One Year | | Over One through Five Years | | Over Five through Ten Years | | Over Ten Years | | Total | |
|--|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield |
| Obligations of state and political subdivisions | \$ 228 | 2.02% | \$ 5,598 | 2.59% | \$ 29,458 | 2.90% | \$ 37,515 | 3.96% | \$ 72,799 | 3.42% |
| Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 1,019 | 4.62% | 36,687 | 2.90% | 6,246 | 2.64% | 8,525 | 2.59% | 52,477 | 2.85% |
| Corporate securities | 2,007 | 3.04% | 36,021 | 2.87% | 7,082 | 3.61% | 4,979 | 5.46% | 50,089 | 3.24% |
| Other asset backed securities | 0 | 0.00% | 894 | 2.40% | 718 | 0.36% | 21,555 | 3.80% | 23,167 | 3.64% |
| Total | \$ 3,254 | 3.46% | \$ 79,200 | 2.86% | \$ 43,504 | 2.94% | \$ 72,574 | 3.86% | \$ 198,532 | 3.25% |

The maturities for the collateralized mortgage obligations and mortgage backed securities are presented by expected average life, rather than contractual maturity. The yield on tax-exempt securities has not been adjusted to a tax-equivalent yield basis.

LOANS AND PORTFOLIO CONCENTRATIONS

We concentrate our portfolio lending activities primarily within El Dorado, Placer, Sacramento, and Shasta counties in California, and the location of the Bank's four full service branches, specifically identified as Northern California. We manage our credit risk through diversification of our loan portfolio and the application of underwriting policies and procedures and credit monitoring practices. Generally, the loans are secured by real estate or other assets located in California; repayment is expected from the borrower's business cash flows or cash flows from real estate investments.

Overall, the net portfolio loan balance increased modestly during the first six months of 2012. The Company recorded net portfolio loans of \$583.6 million at June 30, 2012, compared with \$574.1 million at December 31, 2011, an increase of \$9.5 million, or 2%. The increase in net portfolio loans was primarily driven by \$13.4 million in net originations of commercial loans, partially offset by \$1.9 million net increase to the ALLL. Increases in the commercial loan originations were diversified in amounts and geographic location, and not due to any particularly large originations or concentrations in either of our markets.

The following table presents the composition of the loan portfolio as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

(Dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, 2012 | % of gross portfolio loans | December 31, 2011 | % of gross portfolio loans |
|---|------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Commercial | \$ 151,834 | 25% | \$ 138,411 | 24% |
| Real estate construction loans | 29,048 | 5% | 26,064 | 4% |
| Real estate commercial (investor) | 214,004 | 36% | 219,864 | 38% |
| Real estate commercial (owner occupied) | 69,024 | 12% | 65,885 | 11% |
| Real estate ITIN loans | 62,189 | 10% | 64,833 | 11% |
| Real estate mortgage | 19,638 | 3% | 19,679 | 3% |
| Real estate equity lines | 45,761 | 8% | 44,445 | 8% |
| Consumer | 4,396 | 1% | 5,283 | 1% |
| Other | 51 | 0% | 224 | 0% |
| Gross portfolio loans | \$ 595,945 | 100% | \$ 584,688 | 100% |
| Less: | | | | |
| Deferred loan fees, net | (160) | | (37) | |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | 12,497 | | 10,622 | |
| Net portfolio loans | \$ 583,608 | | \$ 574,103 | |

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following table provides a breakdown of the Company's real estate construction portfolio as of June 30, 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)

| Loan Type | Balance | % of gross portfolio loans |
|--|------------------|----------------------------|
| Commercial lots and entitled commercial land | \$ 10,280 | 2% |
| Commercial real estate construction | 16,260 | 3% |
| 1-4 family subdivision loans | 1,548 | 0% |
| 1-4 family individual residential lots | 960 | 0% |
| Total real estate construction | \$ 29,048 | 5% |

The following table sets forth the maturity and re-pricing distribution of our loans outstanding as of June 30, 2012, which, based on remaining scheduled repayments of principal, were due within the periods indicated.

| (Dollars in thousands) | Within One Year | After One Through Five Years | After Five Years | Total |
|---|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Commercial | \$ 56,615 | \$ 60,950 | \$ 34,269 | \$ 151,834 |
| Real estate construction loans | 10,453 | 18,082 | 513 | 29,048 |
| Real estate commercial (investor) | 25,212 | 70,757 | 118,035 | 214,004 |
| Real estate commercial (owner occupied) | 3,037 | 11,170 | 54,817 | 69,024 |
| Real estate ITIN loans | 0 | 0 | 62,189 | 62,189 |
| Real estate mortgage | 687 | 5,803 | 13,148 | 19,638 |
| Real estate equity lines | 2,040 | 4,489 | 39,232 | 45,761 |
| Consumer | 1,425 | 2,060 | 911 | 4,396 |
| Other | 0 | 51 | 0 | 51 |
| Gross portfolio loans | \$ 99,469 | \$ 173,362 | \$ 323,114 | \$ 595,945 |
| Loans due after one year with: | | | | |
| Fixed rates | | \$ 64,605 | \$ 90,989 | \$ 155,594 |
| Variable rates | | 108,757 | 232,125 | 340,882 |
| Total | | \$ 173,362 | \$ 323,114 | \$ 496,476 |

Mortgages Held-For-Sale

Mortgages held-for-sale are not classified within the net portfolio loans in the table above. Mortgages held-for-sale are generated through two pipelines: (1) Bank of Commerce Mortgage and (2) the Bank's mortgage loan early purchase program with Bank of Commerce Mortgage. In both cases, our majority owned subsidiary Bank of Commerce Mortgage originates residential mortgage loans within Bank of Commerce's geographic market, as well as on a nationwide basis. In scenario (1) above, the loans are funded through a warehouse line of credit with other financial institutions, and are accounted for as loans held-for-sale at the Mortgage Subsidiary.

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

Under scenario (2) above, Bank of Commerce Mortgage has a mortgage loan early purchase program agreement with the Bank. In accordance with the agreement, Bank of Commerce Mortgage has agreed to sell the Bank undivided participation ownership interests in mortgage loans, without recourse. The Bank then sells the mortgage loans to other investors in the secondary mortgage market. The maximum amount of loans the Bank will own a participation interest in at any time may not exceed 80% of the Bank's total risk based capital. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, Bank of Commerce Mortgage had sold the Bank a participation interest in loans amounting to \$37.9 million and \$44.6 million, respectively; these loans were in pending sale status as of their respective reporting dates.

All mortgage loans originated through either pipeline represent loans collateralized by 1-4 family residential real estate and are made to borrowers in good credit standing. These loans, including their respective servicing rights, are typically sold to primary mortgage market aggregators (Fannie Mae (FNMA), Freddie Mac (FHLMC), and Ginnie Mae (GNMA)) and to third party investors. Accordingly, there are no separately recognized servicing assets or liabilities resulting from the sale of mortgage loans.

Mortgages held-for-sale are either carried at fair value or at the lower of cost or market. Mortgage loans carried at fair value represent certain loans that the Company has elected to utilize the fair value option. These loans represent mandatory loans that were not locked forward with an investor at the time of borrower lock commitment (mandatory rate locks). These loans are to be sold to investors subject to mandatory forward sales agreements. Fair value adjustments are made for the difference between the estimated fair value of the mandatory loans (committed price) and the cost basis of these loans, and are recorded in mortgage banking revenue under the caption noninterest income in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*. Direct loan origination costs and fees are deferred at origination of the loan and are recognized upon the sale of the loan in mortgage banking revenue, under the caption noninterest income in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had \$22.6 million in mortgage loans that were carried at fair value of \$23.2 million.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANagements Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

For mortgage loans carried at lower of cost or market, cost generally approximates fair value, given the short duration of these assets. Gains and losses on sales of these loans are recorded in mortgage banking revenue, under the caption of noninterest income in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*. Direct loan origination costs and fees are deferred at origination of the loan and are recognized upon the sale of the loan in mortgage banking revenue, under the caption of noninterest income in the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*. Servicing rights associated with the mortgage loans are generally sold. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had \$39.3 million in mortgages that were held-for-sale and carried at lower of cost or fair value.

ASSET QUALITY**Nonperforming Assets**

While the company's loan portfolio is well diversified, a significant portion of the borrowers' ability to repay the loans is dependent upon the professional services, commercial real estate market and the residential real estate development industry sectors. The loans are secured by real estate or other assets primarily located in California and are expected to be repaid from cash flows of the borrower or proceeds from the sale of collateral. As such, the Company's dependence on real estate increases the risk of loss in the loan portfolio of the Company. Furthermore, declining real estate values negatively impact holdings of OREO as well.

Continuing deterioration of the California real estate market has had an adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, and results of operations. The continued slowdown in residential development and construction markets has led to an elevated level of nonperforming loans resulting in elevated provisions to the ALLL. Management has taken cautious yet decisive steps to ensure the proper funding of loan reserves. Given our current business environment, management's top focus is on credit quality, expense control, and bottom line net income. All of these are affected either directly or indirectly by the Company's management of its asset quality.

We manage asset quality and control credit risk through diversification of the loan portfolio and the application of policies designed to promote sound underwriting and loan monitoring practices. The Bank's Credit Roundtable Committee is charged with monitoring asset quality, establishing credit policies and procedures and enforcing the consistent application of these policies and procedures across the Bank. The provision for loan and lease losses charged to earnings is based upon management's judgment of the amount necessary to maintain the allowance at a level adequate to absorb probable incurred losses. The amount of provision charge is dependent upon many factors, including loan growth, net charge offs, changes in the composition of the loan portfolio, delinquencies, management's assessment of loan portfolio quality, general economic conditions that can impact the value of collateral, and other trends. The evaluation of these factors is performed through an analysis of the adequacy of the ALLL. Reviews of nonperforming, past due loans and larger credits, designed to identify potential charges to the ALLL, and to determine the adequacy of the allowance, are conducted on a monthly basis. These reviews consider such factors as the financial strength of borrowers, the value of the applicable collateral, loan loss experience, estimated loan losses, growth in the loan portfolio, prevailing economic conditions and other factors.

Our loan portfolio continues to be impacted by the anemic economic recovery, and continued weakness in our local real estate markets. Nonperforming loans, which include nonaccrual loans and accruing loans past due over 90 days, totaled \$20.6 million or 3.45% of total portfolio loans as of June 30, 2012, as compared to \$21.5 million, or 3.68% of total loans, at December 31, 2011. Nonperforming assets, which include nonperforming loans and foreclosed real estate (OREO), totaled \$23.2 million, or 2.41% of total assets as of June 30, 2012 compared with \$25.2 million, or 2.68% of total assets as of December 31, 2011.

A loan is considered impaired when based on current information and events, we determine it is probable that we will not be able to collect all amounts due according to the loan contract, including scheduled interest payments. Generally, when we identify a loan as impaired, we measure the loan for potential impairment using discount cash flows, except when the sole remaining source of the repayment for the loan is the liquidation of the collateral. In these cases, we use the current fair value of collateral, less selling costs. The starting point for determining the fair value of collateral is through obtaining external appraisals. Generally, external appraisals are updated every six to twelve months. We obtain appraisals from a pre-approved list of independent, third party, local appraisal firms. Approval and addition to the list is based on experience, reputation, character, consistency and knowledge of the respective real estate market. At a minimum, it is ascertained that the appraiser is: (1) currently licensed in the state in which the property is located, (2) is experienced in the appraisal of properties similar to the property being appraised, (3) is actively engaged in the appraisal work, (4) has knowledge of current real estate market conditions and financing trends, (5) is reputable, and (6) is not on Freddie Mac's nor the Bank's Exclusionary List of appraisers and brokers. In certain cases appraisals will be reviewed by another independent third party to ensure the quality of the appraisal and the expertise and independence of the appraiser. Upon receipt and review, an external appraisal is utilized to measure a loan for potential impairment.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Our impairment analysis documents the date of the appraisal used in the analysis, whether the officer preparing the report deems it current, and, if not, allows for internal valuation adjustments with justification. Typical justified adjustments might include discounts for continued market deterioration subsequent to appraisal date, adjustments for the release of collateral contemplated in the appraisal, or the value of other collateral or consideration not contemplated in the appraisal. An appraisal over one year old in most cases will be considered stale dated and an updated or new appraisal will be required. Any adjustments from appraised value to net realizable value are detailed and justified in the impairment analysis, which is reviewed and approved by senior credit quality officers and the Company's Credit Roundtable Committee. Although an external appraisal is the primary source to value collateral dependent loans, we may also utilize values obtained through purchase and sale agreements, negotiated short sales, broker price opinions, or the sales price of the note. These alternative sources of value are used only if deemed to be more representative of value based on updated information regarding collateral resolution. Impairment analyses are updated, reviewed and approved on a quarterly basis at or near the end of each reporting period. Based on these processes, we do not believe there are significant time lapses for the recognition of additional loan loss provisions or charge offs from the date they become known.

Loans are classified as nonaccrual when collection of principal or interest is doubtful; generally these are loans that are past due as to maturity or payment of principal or interest by 90 days or more, unless such loans are well-secured and in the process of collection. Additionally, all loans that are impaired are considered for nonaccrual status. Loans placed on nonaccrual will typically remain on nonaccrual status until all principal and interest payments are brought current and the prospects for future payments in accordance with the loan agreement appear relatively certain.

The Company practices one exception to the 90 days past due policy for nonaccruals when assessing credit quality relating to the pool of home equity loans and lines purchased from a private equity firm, the Arrow loans. Loans in this pool are charged off when they become 90 days past due. These loans are considered unsecured, and management believes at the point of 90 days past due, they become uncollectable for all principal and interest.

Upon acquisition of real estate collateral, typically through the foreclosure process, we promptly begin to market the property for sale. If we do not begin to receive offers or indications of interest we will analyze the price and review market conditions to assess the pricing level that would enable us to sell the property. In addition, we obtain updated appraisals on OREO property every six to twelve months. Increases in valuation adjustments recorded in a period are primarily based on 1) updated appraisals received during the period, or 2) management's authorization to reduce the selling price of the property during the period. Unless a current appraisal is available, an appraisal will be ordered prior to a loan moving to OREO. Foreclosed properties held as OREO are recorded at the lower of the recorded investment in the loan or market value of the property less expected selling costs. OREO at June 30, 2012 totaled \$2.6 million and consisted of thirteen properties.

The following table summarizes our nonperforming assets as of the dates indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)

| Nonperforming assets | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Commercial | \$ 0 | \$ 49 |
| Residential real estate construction | 104 | 106 |
| Real estate mortgage | | |
| 1-4 family, closed end 1st lien | 4,114 | 4,474 |
| 1-4 family revolving | 298 | 353 |
| ITIN 1-4 family loan pool | 9,829 | 10,332 |
| Home equity loan pool | 0 | 0 |
| | | |
| Total real estate mortgage | 14,241 | 15,159 |
| Commercial real estate | 6,160 | 6,104 |
| | | |
| Total nonaccrual loans | 20,505 | 21,418 |
| 90 days past due and still accruing | 65 | 95 |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Total nonperforming loans | 20,570 | 21,513 |
| Other real estate owned | 2,647 | 3,731 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 23,217 | \$ 25,244 |
| Nonperforming loans to total loans | 3.45% | 3.68% |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | 2.41% | 2.68% |

As of June 30, 2012, nonperforming assets of \$23.2 million have been written down by 34%, or \$7.8 million, from their original balance of \$33.2 million.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The Company is continually performing extensive reviews of the commercial real estate portfolio, including stress testing. These reviews are being performed on both our non owner and owner occupied credits. These reviews are being completed to verify leasing status, to ensure the accuracy of risk ratings, and to develop proactive action plans with borrowers on projects. The stress testing has been performed to determine the effect of rising cap rates, interest rates, and vacancy rates on this portfolio. Based on our analysis, the Company believes our lending teams are effectively managing the risks in this portfolio. There can be no assurance that any further declines in economic conditions, such as potential increases in retail or office vacancy rates, will not exceed the projected assumptions utilized in stress testing resulting in additional nonperforming loans in the future.

On March 12, 2010, the Company completed a loan swap transaction which included the purchase of a pool of residential mortgage home equity loans with a par value of \$22.0 million. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had \$1.0 million in ALLL allocations against this pool or 7.72% of the outstanding principal balance. An accompanying \$1.5 million put reserve was also part of the loan swap transaction and represented a credit enhancement. The put reserve was depleted in 2011.

The put reserve was considered an irrevocable first loss guarantee from the seller that provided the Company the right to put back delinquent home equity loans to the seller that were 90 days or more delinquent, up to an aggregate amount of \$1.5 million. The guarantee was backed by a seller cash deposit with the Company that was restricted for this sole purpose. The seller's cash deposit was classified as a deposit liability in the Company's *Consolidated Balance Sheets*. At the end of the term of the loss guarantee, on March 11, 2013, the Company would have been required to return the unused cash deposit to the seller.

The ITIN loans represent a purchased pool of residential mortgage loans made to legal United States residents without a social security number, and are geographically dispersed throughout the United States. The ITIN loan portfolio is serviced through a third party. Worsening economic conditions in the United States may cause us to suffer higher default rates on our ITIN loans and reduce the value of the assets that we hold as collateral. In addition, if we are forced to foreclose and service these ITIN properties ourselves, we may realize additional monitoring, servicing and appraisal costs due to the geographic disbursement of the portfolio which will adversely affect our noninterest expense.

As part of the original ITIN loan transaction, we obtained an irrevocable first loss guarantee from the seller that provided us the right to put back delinquent ITIN loans to the seller that were 90 days or more delinquent up to an aggregate amount of \$3.5 million. This guarantee was backed by a seller cash deposit with the Company that was restricted for this sole purpose. The seller's cash deposit was classified as a deposit liability in the Company's *Consolidated Balance Sheets*. At the end of the term of this loss guarantee, the Company was required to return the cash deposit to the seller to the extent not used to fund losses in the ITIN portfolio.

The Company accounted for the loans returned to the seller under the loss guarantee by derecognizing the loan, debiting cash and derecognizing the deposit liability. During the period from March 2010 to August 2010, thirteen ITIN loans with an aggregate principal amount of \$1.4 million were returned to the seller under the loss guarantee, reducing the deposit liability to approximately \$2.1 million prior to reaching a settlement with the seller to eliminate the loss guarantee arrangement. At the date of settlement, August 2010, the Company received \$1.8 million in cash and returned \$300 thousand in cash to the seller from the deposit account. Accordingly, the Company recognized a gain upon settlement. As such, no portion of the remaining outstanding principal balance of the ITIN loan portfolio has an accompanying loss guarantee.

During 2010, in conjunction with settlement of the loss guarantee, \$1.8 million was expensed in provisions for loan losses, and specifically allocated in the ALLL against the ITIN portfolio. The gain on settlement and the increase in loan loss provisions were two separate and distinct events. However, the two events are linked because upon eliminating the irrevocable loss guarantee from the seller, an increase in the ALLL related to the ITIN loans was necessary. Immediately subsequent to the termination of the irrevocable loss guarantee, the following factors were considered in determining the specific ALLL allocation to the ITIN portfolio:

Increasing delinquencies 20% of the portfolio was delinquent 30 days or more as of December 31, 2010.

Servicer modification efforts were generally extending beyond a typical timeframe.

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

Mortgage insurance A small number of mortgage insurance claims were denied and management was not able to identify a trend regarding any potential future denials.

Sale of OREO An emerging trend in the lengthening disposition of ITIN OREO had developed, including the potential for decreased recoveries and consequently increased net charge offs.

Lack of loss guaranty due to settlement.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

As of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011, the specific ITIN ALLL allocation represented approximately 2.42% and 2.65% of the total outstanding principal, respectively. During the Company's most recent regulatory examination concluded in July 2011, bank examiners concurred with management's revised assessment regarding the required level of the general valuation allowance on the ITIN portfolio. Accordingly, with regulatory approval, management reduced the ITIN allowance allocation. A number of quantitative and qualitative factors were evaluated and considered in determining the current level of the general valuation allowances, including:

Net Losses Net charge offs are relatively low with a current historical run rate of 3%.

Mortgage Insurance Claims Mortgage insurance claims have generally been settled within a 100 day timeframe after submission. In addition, the Company has experienced a 5% rescission rate which compares favorably to the mortgage insurance company's published rate in excess of 20%.

Delinquency rate of 19% over the life of the portfolio.

Modified Mortgages The re-default rate on modified ITIN loans approximates 21%, which is well below the national re-default rate of 34%¹.

As of June 30, 2012, impaired loans totaled \$37.0 million, of which \$20.5 million were in nonaccrual status. Of the total impaired loans, \$12.6 million or one hundred and thirty-seven were ITIN loans with an average balance of approximately \$92 thousand. The remaining impaired loans consist of three construction loans, twelve commercial real estate loans, thirteen residential mortgages and seven home equity loans.

Loans are reported as troubled debt restructurings (TDR) when the Bank grants a concession(s) to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties that it would not otherwise consider. Examples of such concessions include a reduction in the loan rate, forgiveness of principal or accrued interest, extending the maturity date(s) significantly, or providing a lower interest rate than would be normally available for a transaction of similar risk. As a result of these concessions, restructured loans are impaired as the Bank will not collect all amounts due, both principal and interest, in accordance with the terms of the original loan agreement. Impairment reserves on non-collateral dependent restructured loans are measured by comparing the present value of expected future cash flows of the restructured loans, discounted at the effective interest rate of the original loan agreement. These impairment reserves are recognized as a specific component to be provided for in the ALLL.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, impaired loans of \$16.0 million and \$17.9 million were classified as performing restructured loans, respectively. The restructurings were granted in response to borrower financial difficulty, and generally provide for a temporary modification of loan repayment terms. The performing restructured loans on accrual status represent the majority of impaired loans accruing interest at each respective date. In order for a restructured loan to be considered performing and on accrual status, the loan's collateral coverage generally will be greater than or equal to 100% of the loan balance, the loan is current on payments, and the borrower must either prefund an interest reserve or demonstrate the ability to make payments from a verified source of cash flow. The Company had no obligations to lend additional funds on the restructured loans as of June 30, 2012. As of June 30, 2012, there were \$7.6 million of ITINs which were classified as TDRs, of which \$4.7 million were on nonaccrual status.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had \$29.6 million in TDRs compared to \$31.3 million as of December 31, 2011. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had one hundred and four restructured loans that qualified as TDRs, of which seventy-six were performing according to their restructured terms. TDRs represented 4.97% of gross portfolio loans as of June 30, 2012, compared with 5.35% at December 31, 2011.

The following table sets forth a summary of the Company's restructured loans that qualify as TDRs:

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

(Dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Troubled debt restructurings | | |
| Nonaccrual | \$ 13,607 | \$ 13,418 |
| Accruing | 16,040 | 17,883 |
| | | |
| Total troubled debt restructurings | \$ 29,647 | \$ 31,301 |
| Percentage of gross portfolio loans | 4.97% | 5.35% |

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Reserve for Unfunded Commitments

The ALLL totaled \$12.5 million and \$10.6 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The increase in the ALLL as of June 30, 2012 as compared to December 31, 2011 is principally attributable to provisions for loan and leases exceeding net charge offs for the current year. There were a number of factors that contributed to the decrease in net charge offs, including less impairment charges on both existing impaired loans and newly classified impaired loans, and overall stabilization of our existing loan portfolio.

¹ Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, March 2012

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following table summarizes the activity in the ALLL reserves for the periods indicated.

(Dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 | June 30, 2011 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Beginning balance | \$ 10,622 | \$ 12,841 | \$ 12,841 |
| Provision for loan loss charged to expense | 2,950 | 8,991 | 4,980 |
| Loans charged off | (1,668) | (12,483) | (5,132) |
| Loan loss recoveries | 593 | 1,273 | 674 |
| Ending balance | \$ 12,497 | \$ 10,622 | \$ 13,363 |
| Gross portfolio loans outstanding at period end | \$ 595,945 | \$ 584,688 | \$ 595,832 |
| Ratio of allowance for loan and lease losses to total loans | 2.10% | 1.82% | 2.24% |
| Nonaccrual loans at period end: | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 0 | \$ 49 | \$ 901 |
| Construction | 104 | 106 | 1,999 |
| Commercial real estate | 6,160 | 6,104 | 3,282 |
| Residential real estate | 13,943 | 14,806 | 12,741 |
| Home equity | 298 | 353 | 0 |
| Total nonaccrual loans | \$ 20,505 | \$ 21,418 | \$ 18,923 |
| Accruing troubled debt restructured loans | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 56 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 |
| Construction | 0 | 0 | 108 |
| Commercial real estate | 12,798 | 14,590 | 17,304 |
| Residential real estate | 2,750 | 2,870 | 6,569 |
| Home equity | 436 | 423 | 429 |
| Total accruing restructured loans | \$ 16,040 | \$ 17,883 | \$ 24,410 |
| All other accruing impaired loans | 472 | 472 | 539 |
| Total impaired loans | \$ 37,017 | \$ 39,773 | \$ 43,872 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to nonaccrual loans at period end | 60.95% | 49.59% | 70.62% |
| Nonaccrual loans to gross portfolio loans | 3.44% | 3.66% | 3.18% |

All impaired loans are individually evaluated for impairment. If the measurement of each impaired loan's value is less than the recorded investment in the loan, we recognize this impairment and adjust the carrying value of the loan to fair value through the ALLL. This can be accomplished by charging off the impaired portion of the loan or establishing a specific component within the ALLL. If in management's assessment the sources of repayment will not result in a reasonable probability that the carrying value of a loan can be recovered, the amount of a loan's specific impairment is charged off against the ALLL. Prior to the downturn in our local real estate markets, the Company established specific reserves within the ALLL for loan impairments and recognized the charge off of the impairment reserve when the loan was resolved, sold, or foreclosed and transferred to OREO. Due to declining real estate values in our markets and the deterioration of the U.S. economy in general, it became increasingly likely that impairment reserves on collateral dependent loans, particularly those relating to real estate, would not be recoverable and represented a confirmed loss. As a result, within the preceding three years, the Company began recognizing the charge off of impairment reserves on impaired loans in the period they arise for collateral dependent loans. This process has effectively accelerated the recognition of charge offs recognized since 2009. The change in our assessment of the possible recoverability of our collateral dependent

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

impaired loans carrying values has ultimately had no impact on our impairment valuation procedures or the amount of provision for loan and leases losses included within the *Consolidated Statements of Operations*. Impairment reserves on non-collateral dependent restructured loans are measured by comparing the present value of expected future cash flows on the restructured loans discounted at the interest rate of the original loan agreement to the loans carrying value. These impairment reserves are recognized as a specific component to be provided for in the ALLL.

At June 30, 2012, the recorded investment in loans classified as impaired totaled \$37.0 million, with a corresponding valuation allowance (included in the ALLL) of \$3.7 million. The valuation allowance on impaired loans represents the impairment reserves on performing restructured loans, other accruing loans, and nonaccrual loans. At December 31, 2011, the total recorded investment in impaired loans was \$39.8 million, with a corresponding valuation allowance (included in the ALLL) of \$2.4 million.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Net charge offs were \$1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared with net charge offs of \$4.5 million for the same period a year ago. The current period charge offs were centered in commercial real estate, 1-4 family residential, and home equity loans. Overall, the loan portfolio is showing some signs of stabilization, however there are lingering weaknesses where the borrower's business revenue is tied to real estate. At June 30, 2012, the loan portfolio reflects modest decreases in total past due loans and impaired loans, compared to December 31, 2011. However, there has been a net migration of loans into internal risk ratings of special mention and substandard, compared to December 31, 2011. The commercial real estate loan portfolio and commercial loan portfolio will continue to be influenced by weakness in real estate values, the effects of high unemployment levels, and general overall weakness in economic conditions. As such, management will continue to aggressively identify and dispose of problematic assets which could lead to an elevated level of charge offs. Despite the current level of charge offs, management believes the Company's ALLL is adequately funded given the current level of credit risk.

The following table sets forth the allocation of the ALLL and percent of loans in each category to total loans (excluding deferred loan fees) as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

(Dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|---|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | Amount | % Loan Category | Amount | % Loan Category |
| Balance at end of period applicable to: | | | | |
| Commercial | \$ 3,153 | 25% | \$ 2,773 | 26% |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | |
| Construction | 469 | 4% | 617 | 6% |
| Other | 4,371 | 35% | 3,179 | 30% |
| Residential: | | | | |
| 1-4 family | 2,400 | 19% | 2,040 | 19% |
| Home equities | 1,492 | 12% | 1,650 | 16% |
| Consumer | 26 | 0% | 33 | 0% |
| Unallocated | 586 | 5% | 330 | 3% |
| Total allowance for loan and lease losses | \$ 12,497 | 100% | \$ 10,622 | 100% |

DEPOSITS

Total deposits as of June 30, 2012 were \$682.5 million compared to \$667.3 million at December 31, 2011, an increase of \$15.2 million or 2.3%. The modest increase in deposits was primarily driven by a \$16.0 million increase in money market accounts associated with business accounts and the ICS deposit program, partially offset by decreased brokered certificates of deposits. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company realized net maturities of \$6.5 million, and \$6.5 million in brokered certificates of deposits, and online listing service certificates of deposits, respectively. Additionally, the Company not only reduced the exposure to brokered certificates of deposits during the first six months of 2012, but extended the duration of the overall brokered certificate of deposit portfolio. The increased cash flows from additional deposits were partially used to pay off FHLB borrowings.

Despite the increased competitive pressures to build deposits in light of the current recessionary economic climate, management attributes the ability to maintain our overall deposit base and grow certain lines of business to ongoing business development and marketing efforts in our service markets. Additional information regarding interest bearing deposits is included in our most recent 10-K filed on March 9, 2012, in Note 11 of the *Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements*.

The following table presents the deposit balances by major category as of June 30, 2012, and December 31, 2011:

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

(Dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|
| | Amount | Percentage | Amount | Percentage |
| Noninterest bearing | \$ 117,649 | 18% | \$ 116,193 | 17% |
| Interest bearing demand | 207,307 | 30% | 179,597 | 27% |
| Savings | 89,405 | 13% | 89,012 | 13% |
| Time, \$100,000 or greater | 207,706 | 30% | 214,284 | 32% |
| Time, less than \$100,000 | 60,396 | 9% | 68,187 | 11% |
| Total | \$ 682,463 | 100% | \$ 667,273 | 100% |

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following table sets forth the distribution of our average daily balances and their respective yields for the periods indicated.

(Dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|------------------------------|---------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| | Amount | Yield | Amount | Yield |
| NOW accounts | \$ 61,285 | 0.36% | \$ 39,882 | 0.46% |
| Savings | 88,879 | 0.50% | 91,876 | 0.86% |
| Money market accounts | 121,793 | 0.33% | 117,814 | 0.51% |
| Certificates of deposit | 282,904 | 1.46% | 296,034 | 1.66% |
| Interest bearing deposits | 554,861 | 0.94% | 545,606 | 1.19% |
| Noninterest bearing deposits | 107,410 | | 100,722 | |
| Average total deposits | \$ 662,271 | | \$ 646,328 | |
| Average other borrowings | \$ 149,893 | 1.82% | \$ 154,136 | 0.84% |

The following table sets forth the remaining maturities of certificates of deposit in amounts of \$100,000 or more as of June 30, 2012:

Deposit Maturity Schedule

(Dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, 2012 |
|---------------------------|---------------|
| Maturing in: | |
| Three months or less | \$ 53,107 |
| Three through six months | 29,897 |
| Six through twelve months | 42,274 |
| Over twelve months | 82,428 |
| Total | \$ 207,706 |

The Company has an agreement with Promontory Interfinancial Network LLC (Promontory) that makes it possible to provide FDIC deposit insurance to balances in excess of current FDIC deposit insurance limits. Promontory's Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARS) and ICS use a deposit-matching program to exchange Bank deposits in excess of the current deposit insurance limits for excess balances at other participating banks, on a dollar-for-dollar basis, that would be fully insured at the Bank. These products are designed to enhance our ability to attract and retain customers and increase deposits, by providing additional FDIC coverage to customers. CDARS deposits can be reciprocal or one-way, and ICS deposits can only be reciprocal. All of the Bank's CDARS and ICS deposits are reciprocal. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company's CDARS and ICS balances totaled \$28.9 million and \$27.7 million, respectively. Of these totals, at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respective balances of \$9.6 million and \$15.4 million represented time deposits equal to or greater than \$100,000 and were fully insured under current deposit insurance limits.

The Dodd-Frank Act provides for unlimited deposit insurance for noninterest bearing transactions accounts excluding NOW (interest bearing deposit accounts) and excluding all IOLTA (lawyers' trust accounts) beginning December 31, 2010 for a period of two years. Also, the Dodd-Frank Act permanently raises the current standard maximum federal deposit insurance amount from \$100,000 to \$250,000 per qualified account.

BORROWINGS

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

At June 30, 2012, the Bank had \$14.4 million in outstanding securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and no outstanding federal funds purchased balances. The Bank had outstanding term debt with a carrying value of \$100.0 million at June 30, 2012. Term debt outstanding as of June 30, 2012 decreased by \$9.0 million compared to December 31, 2011, as a result of net FHLB payoffs. Advances from the FHLB amounted to 100% of the total term debt and are secured by investment securities, commercial real estate loans, and residential mortgage loans. The FHLB advances have fixed and floating contractual interest rates ranging from 0.35% to 1.46% that mature in 2012, 2013 and 2015.

Junior Subordinate Debentures

During the first quarter 2003, Bank of Commerce Holdings formed a wholly-owned Delaware statutory business trust, Bank of Commerce Holdings Trust (the grantor trust), which issued \$5.0 million of guaranteed preferred beneficial interests in Bank of Commerce Holdings junior subordinated debentures (the trust notes) to the public and \$155,000 common securities to the Company. These debentures qualify as Tier 1 capital under Federal Reserve Board guidelines. The proceeds from the issuance of the trust notes were transferred from the grantor trust to the Holding Company and from the Holding Company to the Bank as surplus capital.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGERIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The trust notes accrue and pay distributions on a quarterly basis at three month London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 3.30%. The effective interest rate at June 30, 2012 was 3.77%. The rate increase is capped at 2.75% annually and the lifetime cap is 12.5%. The final maturity on the trust notes is March 18, 2033, and the debt allows for prepayment after five years on the quarterly payment date.

On July 29, 2005, Bank of Commerce Holdings participated in a private placement to an institutional investor of \$10 million of fixed rate trust preferred securities (the Trust Preferred Securities) through a newly formed Delaware trust affiliate, Bank of Commerce Holdings Trust II (the Trust). The Trust simultaneously issued \$310,000 common securities to the Company. The fixed rate terms expired in September 2010, and have transitioned to floating rate for the remainder of the term.

The proceeds from the sale of the Trust Preferred Securities were used by the Trust to purchase from the Company the aggregate principal amount of \$10,310,000 of the Company's floating rate junior subordinate notes (the Notes). The net proceeds to the Company from the sale of the Notes to the Trust were used by the Company for general corporate purposes, including funding the growth of the Company's various financial services. During September 2008, an additional \$1,200,000 in proceeds from the issuance of the trust notes was transferred from the Holding Company to the Bank as surplus capital.

The Trust Preferred Securities mature on September 15, 2035, and are redeemable at the Company's option on any March 15, June 15, September 15 or December 15 of any fiscal year until maturity. The Trust Preferred Securities require quarterly distributions by the Trust to the holder of the Trust Preferred Securities at a rate that resets quarterly to equal three month LIBOR plus 1.58%. The effective interest rate at June 30, 2012 was 2.05%. The interest payments by the Company will be used to pay the quarterly distributions payable by the Trust to the holder of the Trust Preferred Securities.

The Notes were issued pursuant to a Junior Subordinated Indenture (the Indenture), dated July 29, 2005, by and between the Company and J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, National Association, as trustee. Like the Trust Preferred Securities, the Notes bear interest at a floating rate which resets on a quarterly basis to three month LIBOR plus 1.58%. The interest payments by the Company will be used to pay the quarterly distributions payable by the Trust to the holder of the Trust Preferred Securities. However, so long as no event of default, as described below, has occurred under the Notes, the Company may, from time to time, defer interest payments on the Notes (in which case the Trust will be entitled to defer distributions otherwise due on the Trust Preferred Securities) for up to twenty (20) consecutive quarters. The Notes are subordinated to the prior payment of other indebtedness of the Company that, by its terms, is not similarly subordinated. The Notes mature on September 15, 2035, and may be redeemed at the Company's option at any time. The Company may redeem the Notes for their aggregate principal amount, plus accrued interest, if any.

Although the Notes will be recorded as a liability on the Company's *Consolidated Balance Sheets*, for regulatory purposes, the Notes are presently treated as Tier 1 under rulings of the Federal Reserve Board, the Company's primary federal regulatory agency.

LIQUIDITY AND CASH FLOW

The principal objective of our liquidity management program is to maintain the Bank's ability to meet the day-to-day cash flow requirements of our customers who either wish to withdraw funds or to draw upon credit facilities to meet their cash needs.

We monitor the sources and uses of funds on a daily basis to maintain an acceptable liquidity position. One source of funds includes public deposits. Individual state laws require banks to collateralize public deposits, typically as a percentage of their public deposit balance in excess of FDIC insurance. Public deposits represent 2.7% of total deposits at June 30, 2012 and 2.4% at December 31, 2011. The amount of collateral required varies by state and may also vary by institution within each state, depending on the individual state's risk assessment of depository institutions. Changes in the pledging requirements for uninsured public deposits may require pledging additional collateral to secure these deposits, drawing on other sources of funds to finance the purchase of assets that would be available to be pledged to satisfy a pledging requirement, or could lead to the withdrawal of certain public deposits from the Bank. In addition to liquidity from core deposits and the repayments and maturities of loans and investment securities, the Bank can utilize established uncommitted federal funds lines of credit, sell securities under agreements to repurchase, borrow on a secured basis from the FHLB or issue brokered certificates of deposit.

The Bank had available lines of credit with the FHLB totaling \$83.0 million as of June 30, 2012; credit availability is subject to certain collateral requirements, namely the amount of pledged loans and investment securities. The Bank had available lines of credit with the Federal Reserve totaling \$30.2 million subject to certain collateral requirements, namely the amount of certain pledged loans. The Bank had uncommitted federal

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

funds line of credit agreements with additional financial institutions totaling \$30.0 million at June 30, 2012. Availability of lines is subject to federal funds balances available for loan and continued borrower eligibility. These lines are intended to support short-term liquidity needs, and the agreements may restrict consecutive day usage.

The Holding Company is a separate entity from the Bank and must provide for its own liquidity. Substantially all of the Holding Company's revenues are obtained from dividends declared and paid by the Bank. The Bank paid \$4.9 million in dividends to the Holding Company during the six months ended June 30, 2012. There are statutory and regulatory provisions that could limit the ability of the Bank to pay dividends to the Holding Company. We believe that such restrictions will not have an adverse impact on the ability of the Holding Company to fund its quarterly cash dividend distributions to common shareholders and meet its ongoing cash obligations, which consist principally of debt service on the \$15.5 million (issued amount) of outstanding junior subordinated debentures. As of June 30, 2012, the Holding Company did not have any borrowing arrangements of its own.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

As disclosed in the *Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows*, net cash provided by operating activities was \$9.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012. The difference between cash provided by operating activities and net income consisted of non-cash items including a \$3.0 million provision for loan and lease losses, and net cash proceeds from the origination and sales of mortgage loans.

Net cash of \$9.1 million used by investing activities consisted principally of \$53.8 million proceeds from sale of investment securities available-for-sale, and \$9.8 million proceeds from payments of available-for-sale securities, partially offset by purchases of available-for-sale securities of \$59.4 million and net loan originations of \$14.3 million.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

We use capital to fund organic growth, pay dividends and repurchase our shares. The objective of effective capital management is to produce above market long term returns by using capital when returns are perceived to be high and issuing capital when costs are perceived to be low. Our potential sources of capital include retained earnings, common and preferred stock issuance, and issuance of subordinated debt and trust preferred securities.

Overall capital adequacy is monitored on a day-to-day basis by management and reported to our Board of Directors on a monthly basis. The regulators of the Bank measure capital adequacy by using a risk-based capital framework and by monitoring compliance with minimum leverage ratio guidelines. Under the risk-based capital standard, assets reported on our balance sheet and certain off-balance sheet items are assigned to risk categories, each of which is assigned a risk weight.

This standard characterizes an institution's capital as being Tier 1 capital (defined as principally comprising shareholders' equity) and Tier 2 capital (defined as principally comprising the qualifying portion of the ALLL). The minimum ratio of total risk-based capital to risk-adjusted assets, including certain off-balance sheet items, is 8%. At least one-half (4%) of the total risk-based capital is to be comprised of common equity; the remaining balance may consist of debt securities and a limited portion of the ALLL.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets and of Tier 1 capital to average assets. Management believes that the Company and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject to, as of June 30, 2012.

As of March 31, 2012, the most recent notification from the FDIC categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, an institution must maintain minimum Total Risk-Based, Tier 1 Risk-Based and Tier 1 Leverage ratios as set forth in the following table. There are no conditions or events since the notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

The Company and the Bank's capital amounts and ratios as of June 30, 2012, are presented in the table.

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Capital | Actual Ratio | Well Capitalized Requirement | Minimum Capital Requirement |
|-------------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| The Holding Company | | | | |
| Leverage | \$ 123,334 | 13.26% | n/a | 4.00% |
| Tier 1 Risk-Based | 123,334 | 13.64% | n/a | 4.00% |
| Total Risk-Based | 134,658 | 14.89% | n/a | 8.00% |
| The Bank | | | | |
| Leverage | \$ 116,495 | 12.72% | 5.00% | 4.00% |
| Tier 1 Risk-Based | 116,495 | 13.37% | 6.00% | 4.00% |
| Total Risk-Based | 127,412 | 14.62% | 10.00% | 8.00% |

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

Total shareholders' equity at June 30, 2012 was \$113.6 million, which was consistent with total shareholders' equity reported at December 31, 2011. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, decreases in shareholders' equity from common stock repurchases and unrealized losses on derivatives were substantially offset by unrealized gains in the available-for-sale investment portfolio and earnings.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANagements Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

On September 28, 2011, the Company entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with the Secretary of the Treasury, pursuant to which the Company issued and sold to the Treasury 20,000 shares of its Senior Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B (the "Series B Preferred Stock"), having a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share, for aggregate proceeds net of issuance costs of \$19.9 million. The issuance was pursuant to the Treasury's Small Business Lending Fund program (SBLF), a \$30 billion fund established under the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, which encourages lending to small businesses by providing capital to qualified community banks with assets of less than \$10 billion. Simultaneously with the SBLF funds, the Company redeemed the \$16.7 million of shares of the Series A Preferred Stock, issued to the Treasury in November 2008 under the U.S. Treasury's Capital Purchase Program (CPP), a part of the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP). The remainder of the net proceeds was invested by the Company in the Bank as Tier 1 Capital.

The Series B Preferred Stock is entitled to receive non-cumulative dividends payable quarterly on each January 1, April 1, July 1 and October 1, beginning October 1, 2011. The dividend rate, which is calculated on the aggregate Liquidation Amount, has been initially set at 5% per annum based upon the current level of Qualified Small Business Lending (QSBL) by the Bank. The dividend rate for future dividend periods will be set based upon the percentage change in qualified lending between each dividend period and the baseline QSBL level established as of the Agreement date. Such dividend rate may vary from 1% per annum to 5% per annum for the second through tenth dividend periods, and from 1% per annum to 7% per annum for the eleventh through the first half of the nineteenth dividend periods. If the Series B Preferred Stock remains outstanding for more than four-and-one-half years, the dividend rate will be fixed at 9%. Prior to that time, in general, the dividend rate decreases as the level of the Bank's QSBL increases. Such dividends are not cumulative, but the Company may only declare and pay dividends on its common stock (or any other equity securities junior to the Series B Preferred Stock) if it has declared and paid dividends for the current dividend period on the Series B Preferred Stock, and will be subject to other restrictions on its ability to repurchase or redeem other securities. In addition, if (1) the Company has not timely declared and paid dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock for six dividend periods or more, whether or not consecutive, and (2) shares of Series B Preferred Stock with an aggregate liquidation preference of at least \$20 million are still outstanding, the Treasury (or any successor holder of Series B Preferred Stock) may designate two additional directors to be elected to the Company's Board of Directors.

As more completely described in the Certificate of Designation, holders of the Series B Preferred Stock have the right to vote as a separate class on certain matters relating to the rights of holders of Series B Preferred Stock and on certain corporate transactions. Except with respect to such matters and, if applicable, the election of the additional directors described above, the Series B Preferred Stock does not have voting rights.

The Company may redeem the shares of Series B Preferred Stock, in whole or in part, at any time at a redemption price equal to the sum of the Liquidation Amount per share and the per-share amount of any unpaid dividends for the then-current period, subject to any required prior approval by the Company's primary federal banking regulator.

On October 21, 2011, the Company repurchased and retired the common stock warrant issued to the U.S. Treasury pursuant to the TARP CPP, for \$125 thousand. Together with the Company's redemption in September 2011 of the entire amount of Series A Preferred Stock issued to the U.S. Treasury, represents full repayment of all TARP obligations.

Although we are periodically engaged in discussions with potential acquisition candidates, we are not currently party to any purchase or merger agreement. With our strong capital position, we are constantly seeking new opportunities to increase franchise value through loan growth, investment portfolio purchases, and core deposits.

Periodically, the Board of Directors authorizes the Company to repurchase shares. Share repurchase announcements are published in press releases and SEC 8-K filings. Typically we do not give any public notice before repurchasing shares. Various factors determine the amount and timing of our share repurchases, including our capital requirements, market conditions and legal considerations. These factors can change at any time and there can be no assurance as to the number of shares repurchased or the timing of the repurchases.

Our policy has been to repurchase shares under the safe harbor conditions of Rule 10b-18 of the Exchange Act including a limitation on the daily volume of repurchases. The Company's potential sources of capital include retained earnings, common and preferred stock issuance and issuance of subordinated debt and trust notes.

On February 7, 2012, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized the purchase of up to 1,019,490 or 6% of its outstanding shares over a twelve-month period. The stock repurchase plan authorizes the Company to conduct open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions from time to time when, at management's discretion, it is determined that market conditions and other factors

Edgar Filing: Bank of Commerce Holdings - Form 10-Q

warrant such purchases. Purchased shares will be held in treasury. There is no guarantee as to the exact number of shares to be purchased, and the stock repurchase plan may be modified, suspended, or terminated without prior notice.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company repurchased 726,370 common shares pursuant to the Company's publicly announced corporate stock repurchase plan. See Note 3 Earnings Per Share in the *Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements*, and Part 2, Item 2, incorporated in this document for further detail regarding the stock repurchase plan.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.03 per common share per quarter. These dividends were made pursuant to our existing dividend policy and in consideration of, among other things, earnings, regulatory capital levels, capital preservation, expected growth, and the overall payout ratio. We expect that the dividend rate will be reassessed on a quarterly basis by the Board of Directors in accordance with the dividend policy.

There is no assurance that future cash dividends on common shares will be declared or increased. The following table presents cash dividends declared and dividend payout ratios (dividends declared per common share divided by basic earnings per common share) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011:

Cash Dividends and Payout Ratios per Common Share

| | Three months ended June 30, | | Six months ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.06 |
| Dividend payout ratio | 25% | 43% | 26% | 38% |

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Information regarding Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements is included in Note 11 of the *Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements* incorporated in this document.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Information regarding Concentration of Credit Risk is included in Note 11 of the *Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements* incorporated in this document.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our assessment of market risk as of June 30, 2012 indicates there are no material changes in the quantitative and qualitative disclosures from those in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its President and Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based on that evaluation, the President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and implemented, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving an entity's disclosure objectives. The likelihood of achieving such objectives is affected by limitations inherent in disclosure controls and procedures. These include the fact that human judgment in decision making can be faulty, and that breakdowns in internal controls can occur because of human failures such as simple errors, mistakes or intentional circumvention of the established processes.

Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, and implemented by the Company's Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America.

The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

On a quarterly basis, we carry out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer (whom is also our Principal Accounting Officer) of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. As of June 30, 2012, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information relating to us that is required to be included in our periodic SEC filings.

Although we change and improve our internal controls over financial reporting on an ongoing basis, we do not believe that any such changes occurred in the first quarter of 2012 that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

The Company is involved in various pending and threatened legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company maintains reserves for losses from legal actions, which are both probable and estimable. In the opinion of management, the disposition of claims, excluding Citibank, currently pending will not have a material adverse affect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

For further information on the pending litigation actions with Citibank, reference Part II, Item 1, in the Company's 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2012, filed with the SEC on May 7, 2012.

Item 1a. Risk Factors

There have been no significant changes in the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2011, filed with the SEC on March 9, 2012.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) Not Applicable

(b) Not Applicable

(c) The following table provides information about repurchases of common stock by the Company during the six months June 30, 2012

| Period | Total number of Common Shares Purchased (1) | Average Price Paid per Common Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan (2) | Maximum Number of Remaining Shares that May be Purchased at Period End under the Plan |
|-------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 4/1/12 4/30/12 | 196,955 | \$ 4.35 | 196,955 | 336,552 |
| 5/1/12 5/31/12 | 37,787 | \$ 4.06 | 37,787 | 298,765 |
| 6/1/12 6/30/12 | 5,645 | \$ 3.92 | 5,645 | 293,120 |
| Total for quarter | 240,387 | \$ 4.29 | 240,387 | |

(1) Common shares repurchased by the Company during the quarter consisted of 240,387 shares repurchased pursuant to the Company's publicly announced corporate stock repurchase plan described in (2) below.

(2) On February 7, 2012, the Company announced that its Board of Directors had authorized the purchase of up to 1,019,490 or 6% of its outstanding shares over a twelve-month period. The stock repurchase plan authorizes the Company to conduct open market purchases or privately negotiated transactions from time to time when, at management's discretion, it is determined that market conditions and other factors warrant such purchases.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable

Item 5. Other Information

Not Applicable

Item 6. Exhibits

| | |
|---------|---|
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.0 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Following the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BANK OF COMMERCE HOLDINGS

(Registrant)

Date: August 7, 2012

/s/ Samuel D. Jimenez
Samuel D. Jimenez
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer