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## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## SAFE HARBOR STATEMENT UNDER THE PRIVATE

## SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that may cause the Company s actual results to differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. These factors include those set forth in Item 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, under the caption Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended May 31, 2012.
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## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## CHS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

## (Unaudited)

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { May 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | Ilar | ugust 31, <br> 2011 <br> in thousan |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { May 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 689,281 | \$ | 937,685 | \$ | 289,741 |
| Receivables |  | 3,348,808 |  | 2,980,105 |  | 3,242,200 |
| Inventories |  | 2,634,734 |  | 2,768,424 |  | 3,045,737 |
| Derivative assets |  | 359,102 |  | 635,646 |  | 756,018 |
| Margin deposits |  | 331,104 |  | 1,081,243 |  | 1,099,140 |
| Other current assets |  | 294,463 |  | 334,232 |  | 429,419 |
| Total current assets |  | 7,657,492 |  | 8,737,335 |  | 8,862,255 |
| Investments |  | 632,215 |  | 595,979 |  | 569,922 |
| Property, plant and equipment |  | 2,706,674 |  | 2,420,214 |  | 2,388,531 |
| Other assets |  | 476,973 |  | 463,482 |  | 428,205 |
| Total assets |  | 11,473,354 |  | 2,217,010 |  | 2,248,913 |


| LIABILITIES AND EQUITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes payable | \$ | 621,921 | \$ | 716,268 | \$ | 1,355,791 |
| Current portion of long-term debt |  | 89,158 |  | 90,804 |  | 90,768 |
| Current portion of mandatorily redeemable noncontrolling interests |  | 65,622 |  |  |  |  |
| Customer margin deposits and credit balances |  | 282,944 |  | 751,393 |  | 827,546 |
| Customer advance payments |  | 514,867 |  | 601,685 |  | 776,232 |
| Checks and drafts outstanding |  | 163,583 |  | 197,283 |  | 126,299 |
| Accounts payable |  | 1,876,851 |  | 2,315,311 |  | 2,275,630 |
| Derivative liabilities |  | 297,043 |  | 482,613 |  | 479,090 |
| Accrued expenses |  | 529,510 |  | 405,270 |  | 440,954 |
| Dividends and equities payable |  | 361,391 |  | 400,216 |  | 306,869 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 4,802,890 |  | 5,960,843 |  | 6,679,179 |
| Long-term debt |  | 1,392,218 |  | 1,411,193 |  | 949,082 |
| Mandatorily redeemable noncontrolling interests |  | 267,070 |  |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities |  | 633,850 |  | 579,654 |  | 502,267 |
| Commitments and contingencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity certificates |  | 2,602,898 |  | 2,695,626 |  | 2,318,651 |
| Preferred stock |  | 319,368 |  | 319,368 |  | 319,368 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss |  | $(196,934)$ |  | $(174,876)$ |  | $(196,596)$ |
| Capital reserves |  | 1,635,819 |  | 1,075,474 |  | 1,343,417 |
| Total CHS Inc. equities |  | 4,361,151 |  | 3,915,592 |  | 3,784,840 |
| Noncontrolling interests |  | 16,175 |  | 349,728 |  | 333,545 |


| Total equities | $4,377,326$ | $4,265,320$ | $4,118,385$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total liabilities and equities | $\$ 11,473,354$ | $\$ 12,217,010$ | $\$ 12,248,913$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements (unaudited).
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CHS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

## (Unaudited)

|  | For the Three Months Ended May 31, |  | For the Nine Months Ended May 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \text { (dollars } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012 \\ \text { tousands) } \end{gathered}$ | 2011 |
| Revenues | \$ 11,022,955 | \$ 10,471,672 | \$ 29,600,926 | \$ 26,312,895 |
| Cost of goods sold | 10,406,699 | 10,032,184 | 28,113,086 | 25,271,408 |
| Gross profit | 616,256 | 439,488 | 1,487,840 | 1,041,487 |
| Marketing, general and administrative | 129,224 | 103,596 | 368,300 | 304,382 |
| Operating earnings | 487,032 | 335,892 | 1,119,540 | 737,105 |
| (Gain) loss on investments |  | $(128,722)$ | 446 | $(128,788)$ |
| Interest, net | 71,118 | 19,527 | 126,556 | 54,986 |
| Equity income from investments | $(24,804)$ | $(20,679)$ | $(68,885)$ | $(100,245)$ |
| Income before income taxes | 440,718 | 465,766 | 1,061,423 | 911,152 |
| Income taxes | 34,000 | 59,849 | 86,588 | 87,081 |
| Net income | 406,718 | 405,917 | 974,835 | 824,071 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 1,656 | 47,433 | 75,095 | 69,264 |
| Net income attributable to CHS Inc. | \$ 405,062 | \$ 358,484 | \$ 899,740 | \$ 754,807 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements (unaudited).
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## CHS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (Unaudited)



## Edgar Filing: CHS INC - Form 10-Q

| Other financing activities, net | (118) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | $(783,953)$ |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | $(9,443)$ |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | 912,267 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | $(248,404)$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | 937,685 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements (unaudited).
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## CHS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)

## Note 1. Accounting Policies

## Basis of Presentation and Reclassifications

The unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets as of May 31, 2012 and 2011, the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, and the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, reflect in the opinion of our management, all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair statement of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented. The results of operations and cash flows for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for a full fiscal year because of, among other things, the seasonal nature of our businesses. Our Consolidated Balance Sheet data as of August 31, 2011, has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The consolidated financial statements include our accounts and the accounts of all of our wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries and limited liability companies, which is primarily National Cooperative Refinery Association (NCRA), included in our Energy segment. The effects of all significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

These statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended August 31, 2011, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

As of September 1, 2011, we changed the expected useful lives of certain fixed assets in our Energy segment. We increased the expected useful lives of refining and asphalt assets from 16 years to 20 years, which we estimate will reduce depreciation expense by approximately $\$ 27.0$ million in fiscal 2012.

## Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Our derivative instruments primarily consist of commodity and freight futures and forward contracts and, to a minor degree, may include foreign currency and interest rate swap contracts. These contracts are economic hedges of price risk, but are not designated or accounted for as hedging instruments for accounting purposes, with the exception of immaterial amounts of energy derivative instruments and interest rate swap contracts which were accounted for as cash flow hedges in fiscal 2011. Derivative instruments are recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value as discussed in Note 11, Fair Value Measurements.

We have netting arrangements for our exchange-traded futures and options contracts and certain over-the-counter (OTC) contracts, which are recorded on a net basis in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Although accounting standards permit a party to a master netting arrangement to offset fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments against the right to reclaim cash collateral or the obligation to return cash collateral under the same master netting arrangement, we have not elected to net our margin deposits.
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As of May 31, 2012, August 31, 2011 and May 31, 2011, we had the following outstanding purchase and sales contracts accounted for as derivatives:

|  | May 31, 2012 |  | August 31, 2011 |  | May 31, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Purchase <br> Contracts | Sales Contracts | Purchase Contracts (units in | Sales Contracts ousands) | Purchase <br> Contracts | Sales Contracts |
| Grain and oilseed bushels | 590,021 | 843,934 | 667,409 | 796,332 | 673,994 | 916,446 |
| Energy products barrels | 9,271 | 14,012 | 9,915 | 14,020 | 12,729 | 12,997 |
| Crop nutrients tons | 699 | 894 | 1,177 | 1,420 | 881 | 1,251 |
| Ocean and barge freight metric tons | 1,215 | 234 | 983 | 93 | 1,613 | 266 |

As of May 31, 2012, August 31, 2011 and May 31, 2011, the gross fair values of our derivative assets and liabilities not designated as hedging instruments were as follows:

|  | May 31, <br> 2012 | $\begin{gathered} \text { August 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Derivative Assets: |  |  |  |
| Commodity and freight derivatives | \$ 686,042 | \$ 882,445 | \$ 1,112,946 |
| Foreign exchange derivatives | 3,843 | 1,508 | 1,798 |
|  | \$ 689,885 | \$ 883,953 | \$ 1,114,744 |
| Derivative Liabilities: |  |  |  |
| Commodity and freight derivatives | \$ 623,911 | \$ 730,170 | \$ 838,274 |
| Foreign exchange derivatives | 3,379 |  | 769 |
| Interest rate derivatives | 535 | 750 | 641 |
| Accrued liability for contingent crack spread payment related to purchase of noncontrolling interests | 98,447 |  |  |
|  | \$ 726,272 | \$ 730,920 | \$ 839,684 |

As of May 31, 2011, the gross fair values of our derivative assets and liabilities designated as cash flow hedging instruments were as follows:

|  | May 31, <br> Derivative Assets: |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2011 |  |
| Commodity and freight derivatives | $\$ 1,868$ |
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For the three and nine-month periods ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, the pre-tax gain (loss) recognized in our Consolidated Statements of Operations for derivatives not accounted for as hedging instruments were as follows:

|  | Location of <br> Gain (Loss) | Amount of Gain (Loss) <br> For the Three Months Ended May 31, <br> 2012 <br> 2011 |  | Amount of Gain (Loss) <br> For the Nine Months Ended May 31, 2012 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commodity and freight derivatives | Cost of goods sold | \$ 149,464 | \$ $(3,142)$ | \$ $(125,437)$ | \$ 308,663 |
| Foreign exchange derivatives | Cost of goods sold | 950 | 4,039 | $(3,434)$ | 3,441 |
| Interest rate derivatives | Interest, net | 36 | 218 | 36 | 283 |
| Accrued liability for contingent crack spread payment related to purchase of noncontrolling interests | Cost of goods sold | 2,556 |  | 6,741 |  |
|  |  | \$ 153,006 | \$ 1,115 | \$ $(122,094)$ | \$ 312,387 |

Losses of $\$ 1.4$ million ( $\$ 0.8$ million, net of tax) and $\$ 3.6$ million ( $\$ 2.2$ million, net of tax) were recorded in our Consolidated Statement of Operations for derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments during the three and nine months ended May 31, 2011, respectively, related to settlements. All contracts were entered into during our third quarter of fiscal 2010, and expired in fiscal 2011. As of May 31, 2011, the unrealized gains deferred to accumulated other comprehensive loss were as follows:

|  | May 31, <br> 2011 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Gains included in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax expense of $\$ 0.7$ million | $\$ 1,141$ |
| Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets |  |

Goodwill was $\$ 66.8$ million, $\$ 26.4$ million and $\$ 23.8$ million on May 31, 2012, August 31, 2011 and May 31, 2011, respectively, and is included in other assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Goodwill acquired during the first nine months of fiscal 2012 totaled $\$ 40.8$ million, primarily related to our acquisition of Solbar Industries Ltd., an Israeli company (Solbar). See Note 13, Acquisitions for additional information.

Intangible assets subject to amortization primarily include customer lists, trademarks and agreements not to compete, and are amortized over their respective useful lives (ranging from 2 to 30 years). Excluding goodwill, the gross carrying amount of our intangible assets was $\$ 99.5$ million with total accumulated amortization of $\$ 51.6$ million as of May 31, 2012. Intangible assets of $\$ 24.1$ million were acquired during the nine-months ended May 31, 2012, primarily related to the acquisition of Solbar. See Note 13, Acquisitions for additional information. Intangible assets of $\$ 0.1$ million were acquired during the nine-months ended May 31, 2011. Total amortization expense for intangible assets during the nine-month periods ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, was $\$ 9.2$ million and $\$ 8.4$ million, respectively. The estimated annual amortization expense related to intangible assets subject to amortization for the next five years is as follows:

| Year 1 | $\$ 10,563$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Year 2 | 8,384 |
| Year 3 | 6,417 |
| Year 4 | 6,182 |
| Year 5 | 5,407 |
| Thereafter | 10,893 |
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In our Energy segment, major maintenance activities (turnarounds) at our two refineries are accounted for under the deferral method. Turnarounds are the scheduled and required shutdowns of refinery processing units. The costs related to the significant overhaul and refurbishment activities include materials and direct labor costs. The costs of turnarounds are deferred when incurred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the period of time estimated to lapse until the next turnaround occurs, which is generally 2-4 years. The amortization expense related to turnaround costs are included in cost of goods sold in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. The selection of the deferral method, as opposed to expensing the turnaround costs when incurred, results in deferring recognition of the turnaround expenditures. The deferral method also results in the classification of the related cash flows as investing activities in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, whereas expensing these costs as incurred, would result in classifying the cash outflows as operating activities.

For the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, major repairs turnaround expenditures were $\$ 20.9$ million and $\$ 88.0$ million, respectively. During the nine months ended May 31, 2012, our Laurel, Montana refinery completed a turnaround. During the nine months ended May 31, 2011, both our Laurel, Montana and NCRA s McPherson, Kansas refineries completed turnarounds.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU No. 2011-04 provides a consistent definition of fair value to ensure that the fair value measurement and disclosure requirements are similar between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. Some of the key amendments to the fair value measurement guidance include the highest and best use and valuation premise for nonfinancial assets, application to financial assets and financial liabilities with offsetting positions in market risks or counterparty credit risk, premiums or discounts in fair value measurement and fair value of an instrument classified in a reporting entity s equity. Additional disclosures for fair value measurements categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy include a quantitative disclosure of the unobservable inputs and assumptions used in the measurement, a description of the valuation processes in place, a narrative description of the sensitivity of the fair value to changes in unobservable inputs and interrelationships between those inputs. ASU 2011-04 became effective for us during our third fiscal quarter, and the required disclosures are included in Note 11, Fair Value Measurements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU No. 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of stockholders equity. It requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in ASU 2011-05, to defer the effective date of the specific requirement to present items that are reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income to net income alongside their respective components of net income and other comprehensive income. All other provisions of this update, which are to be applied retrospectively, are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. As ASU No. 2011-05 is only disclosure related, it will not have an impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) Testing Goodwill for Impairment. ASU No. 2011-08 allows entities to use a qualitative approach to test goodwill for impairment. It permits an entity to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. If it is concluded that this is the case, it is necessary to perform the currently prescribed two-step goodwill impairment test. Otherwise, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. This
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guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, and early adoption is permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-09, Compensation Retirement Benefits Multiemployer Plans (Subtopic 715-80). ASU No. 2011-09 requires that employers provide additional separate disclosures for multiemployer pension plans and multiemployer other postretirement benefit plans. The additional quantitative and qualitative disclosures will provide users with more detailed information about an employer s involvement in multiemployer pension plans. This guidance is effective for annual periods for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2011, and early adoption is permitted. As ASU No. 2011-09 is only disclosure related, it will not have an impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. ASU No. 2011-11 creates new disclosure requirements about the nature of an entity s rights of setoff and related arrangements associated with its financial instruments and derivative instruments. The disclosure requirements in this update are effective for annual reporting periods, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after January 1, 2013. We are currently evaluating the impact that the adoption will have on our consolidated financial statements in fiscal 2014.

## Note 2. Receivables

|  | May 31, <br> 2012 | August 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | May 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trade accounts receivable | $\$ 2,597,635$ | $\$ 2,248,665$ | $\$ 2,280,755$ |
| CHS Capital notes receivable | 525,674 | 604,268 | 842,212 |
| Other | 338,273 | 246,198 | 213,771 |
|  | $3,461,582$ | $3,099,131$ | $3,336,738$ |
| Less allowances and reserves | 112,774 | 119,026 | 94,538 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 3,348,808$ | $\$ 2,980,105$ | $\$ 3,242,200$ |

Trade accounts receivable are initially recorded at a selling price, which approximates fair value, upon the sale of goods or services to customers.

CHS Capital, LLC (CHS Capital), our wholly-owned subsidiary, has notes receivable from commercial and producer borrowers. The short-term notes receivable generally have terms of 12-14 months and are reported at their outstanding principle balances as CHS Capital has the ability and intent to hold these notes to maturity. The notes receivable from commercial borrowers are collateralized by various combinations of mortgages, personal property, accounts and notes receivable, inventories and assignments of certain regional cooperative scapital stock. These loans are primarily originated in the states of Minnesota, Wisconsin and North Dakota. CHS Capital also has loans receivable from producer borrowers which are collateralized by various combinations of growing crops, livestock, inventories, accounts receivable, personal property and supplemental mortgages. In addition to the short-term amounts included in the table above, CHS Capital had long-term notes receivable with durations of not more than ten years of $\$ 117.6$ million, $\$ 151.1$ million, and $\$ 119.7$ million as of May 31, 2012, August 31, 2011 and May 31, 2011, respectively, which are included in other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. As of May 31, 2012, August 31, 2011 and May 31, 2011, the commercial notes represented $75 \%, 84 \%$ and $89 \%$, respectively, and the producer notes represented $25 \%, 16 \%$ and $11 \%$, respectively, of the total CHS Capital notes receivable.

CHS Capital evaluates the collectability of both commercial and producer notes on a specific identification basis, based on the amount and quality of the collateral obtained, and records specific loan loss reserves when appropriate. A general reserve is also maintained based on historical loss experience and various qualitative factors.
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In total, our specific and general loan loss reserves related to CHS Capital are not material to our consolidated financial statements, nor are the historical write-offs. The accrual of interest income is discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days past due unless the credit is well-collateralized and in process of collection. The amount of CHS Capital notes that were past due was not significant at any reporting date presented.

CHS Capital has commitments to extend credit to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. As of May 31, 2012, CHS Capital s customers have additional available credit of $\$ 917.7$ million.

In connection with the preparation of the fiscal 2011 audited financial statements, we determined that certain loan transfers under various participation agreements did not meet the definition of a participating interest , as defined in Accounting Standard Update No. 2009-16,
Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and, therefore, should have been accounted for as secured borrowings rather than sales transactions during fiscal 2011. As a result of the error described above, both receivables and notes payable reported in our previously issued unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheet included in the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q were understated by $\$ 255.8$ million as of May 31, 2011. In addition, in our previously issued unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows included in the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, net cash used in investing activities and net cash provided by financing activities were each understated by $\$ 255.8$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2011. The May 31, 2011 previously reported unaudited interim Consolidated Balance Sheets and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows have been revised to correct these errors. This correction had no impact on our previously reported net income or equity. In addition, it had no impact upon our compliance with any covenants under our credit facilities.

## Note 3. Inventories

|  | May 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | August 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | May 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Grain and oilseed | $\$ 1,249,067$ | $\$ 1,232,818$ | $\$ 1,508,130$ |
| Energy | 691,424 | 732,609 | 688,387 |
| Crop nutrients | 202,194 | 389,741 | 325,226 |
| Feed and farm supplies | 405,781 | 346,572 | 440,499 |
| Processed grain and oilseed | 75,299 | 55,231 | 72,437 |
| Other | 10,969 | 11,453 | 11,058 |
|  |  |  |  |

At May 31, 2012, we valued approximately $14 \%$ of inventories, primarily related to energy, using the lower of cost, determined on the last in first out (LIFO) method, or market ( $12 \%$ and $11 \%$ as of August 31, 2011 and May 31, 2011, respectively). If the first in first out (FIFO) method of accounting had been used, inventories would have been higher than the reported amount by $\$ 530.9$ million, $\$ 551.0$ million and $\$ 605.3$ million at May 31, 2012, August 31, 2011 and May 31, 2011, respectively.

## Note 4. Investments

Agriliance LLC (Agriliance) is owned and governed by us (50\%) and Land O Lakes, Inc. (50\%). We account for our Agriliance investment using the equity method of accounting within Corporate and Other. Agriliance is currently winding down its business activities and primarily holds long-term liabilities. During the nine months ended May 31, 2011, we received $\$ 28.0$ million of cash distributions from Agriliance as returns of capital for proceeds from the sale of Agriliance retail facilities and the collection of receivables.

We have a $50 \%$ interest in Ventura Foods, LLC, (Ventura Foods), a joint venture which produces and distributes primarily vegetable oil-based products, and is included in Corporate and Other. We account for
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Ventura Foods as an equity method investment, and as of May 31, 2012, our carrying value of Ventura Foods of $\$ 283.4$ million exceeded our share of their equity by $\$ 12.9$ million, which represents equity method goodwill. The following provides summarized unaudited financial information for the Ventura Foods balance sheets as of May 31, 2012, August 31, 2011, and May 31, 2011 and the statements of operations for the three and nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011:

|  | For the Three Months <br> Ended May 31, |  | For the Nine Months <br> Ended May 31, |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| Net sales | $\$ 644,379$ | $\$ 628,588$ | $\$ 1,909,292$ | $\$ 1,710,508$ |
| Gross profit | 57,582 | 61,279 | 173,333 | 190,655 |
| Net income | 24,995 | 26,978 | 62,307 | 79,274 |
| Net income attributable to CHS Inc. | 12,498 | 13,489 | 31,154 | 39,637 |


|  | May 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | August 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | May 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Current assets | $\$ 591,536$ | $\$ 585,760$ | $\$ 548,230$ |
| Non-current assets | 458,969 | 464,621 | 465,525 |
| Current liabilities | 223,666 | 227,199 | 194,731 |
| Non-current liabilities | 285,868 | 292,368 | 300,140 |

In the third quarter of fiscal 2011, we recognized a $\$ 119.7$ million gain on the sale of our ownership in Multigrain, S.A (Multigrain). In anticipation of this transaction, during our second quarter of fiscal 2011, we reduced a valuation allowance by $\$ 24.6$ million related to the carryforward of certain capital losses that we believe will be utilized.

TEMCO, LLC (TEMCO) is owned and governed by Cargill, Incorporated (Cargill) ( $50 \%$ ) and us ( $50 \%$ ). In February 2012, we entered into an amended and restated agreement to expand the scope of the original agreement between Cargill and us. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, we each agreed to commit to sell all of our feedgrains, wheat, oilseeds and by-product origination that are tributary to the Pacific Northwest, United States (Pacific Northwest) to TEMCO and to use TEMCO as our exclusive export-marketing vehicle for such grains exported through the Pacific Northwest for a term of 25 years. Cargill s Tacoma, Washington facility will continue to be subleased to TEMCO and, additionally, we agreed to sublease our Kalama, Washington facility to TEMCO and Cargill agreed to lease their Irving facility in Portland, Oregon to TEMCO to provide TEMCO with more capacity to conduct this business.

## Note 5. Notes Payable

|  | May 31, <br> 2012 | August 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | May 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Notes payable | $\$ 201,613$ | $\$ 130,719$ | $\$$ |
| 638,887 |  |  |  |
| CHS Capital notes payable | 420,308 | 585,549 | 716,904 |
|  |  |  |  |

As of May 31, 2012, we had two primary committed lines of credit. In September 2011, we established a three-year revolving facility and a five-year revolving facility, each with committed amounts of $\$ 1.25$ billion, for a total of $\$ 2.5$ billion. We had no amounts outstanding as of May 31, 2012 and August 31, 2011. On May 31, 2011, we had $\$ 530.0$ million outstanding, related to the primary credit facilities in place on that date.

## Table of Contents

## Note 6. Interest, net

|  | For the Three Months <br> Ended May 31, |  | For the Nine Months <br> Ended May 31, |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| Interest expense | $\$ 22,859$ | $\$ 21,451$ | $\$ 68,353$ | $\$ 60,605$ |  |
| Interest purchase of NCRA noncontrolling interests | 51,601 |  | 67,457 |  |  |
| Capitalized interest | $(2,402)$ | $(1,412)$ | $(6,111)$ | $(4,104)$ |  |
| Interest income | $(940)$ | $(512)$ | $(3,143)$ | $(1,515)$ |  |
| Interest, net |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 71,118$ | $\$ 19,527$ | $\$ 126,556$ | $\$ 54,986$ |  |

## Note 7. Equities

Changes in equity for the nine-month periods ended May 31, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

|  | Fiscal 2012 | Fiscal 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHS Inc. balances, September 1, 2011 and 2010 | \$ 3,915,592 | \$ 3,335,664 |
| Net income attributable to CHS Inc. | 899,740 | 754,807 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income | $(7,477)$ | 8,671 |
| Patronage distribution | $(676,143)$ | $(402,363)$ |
| Patronage accrued | 674,678 | 396,500 |
| Equities retired | $(138,421)$ | $(56,588)$ |
| Equity retirements accrued | 136,000 | 56,588 |
| Equities issued in business combinations | 29,156 |  |
| Preferred stock dividends | $(18,408)$ | $(18,408)$ |
| Preferred stock dividends accrued | 4,091 | 4,091 |
| Accrued dividends and equities payable | $(361,391)$ | $(295,891)$ |
| Purchase of noncontrolling interests | $(96,720)$ |  |
| Other, net | 454 | 1,769 |
| CHS Inc. balances, May 31, 2012 and 2011 | \$ 4,361,151 | \$ 3,784,840 |
| Noncontrolling interests balances, September 1, 2011 and 2010 | \$ 349,728 | \$ 268,787 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | 75,095 | 69,264 |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | $(77,519)$ | $(8,123)$ |
| Distributions accrued | 5,544 | 2,757 |
| Purchase of noncontrolling interests | $(337,145)$ |  |
| Other | 472 | 860 |
| Noncontrolling interests balances, May 31, 2012 and 2011 | \$ 16,175 | \$ 333,545 |

The purchase of noncontrolling interests above relates to our firm commitment to purchase the remaining NCRA noncontrolling interests. See Note 13, Acquisitions for additional information.
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The following table presents the effect of changes in our NCRA ownership interest on CHS Inc. equities:

|  | For the Nine Months <br> Ended May 31, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  |
| Net income attributable to CHS Inc. | $\$ 899,740$ | $\$ 754,807$ |
| Transfers to noncontrolling interests: |  |  |
| Decrease in CHS Inc. capital reserves for purchase of noncontrolling interests | $(82,138)$ |  |
| Changes from net income attributable to CHS Inc. and transfers to noncontrolling interests | $\$ 817,602$ | $\$ 754,807$ |

## Note 8. Comprehensive Income

Total comprehensive income was $\$ 401.9$ million and $\$ 409.9$ million for the three months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, which included amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests of $\$ 1.7$ million and $\$ 47.5$ million, respectively. Total comprehensive income was $\$ 967.4$ million and $\$ 832.7$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, which included amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests of $\$ 75.1$ million and $\$ 69.3$ million, respectively. Total comprehensive income primarily consisted of net income attributable to CHS Inc. during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011. On May 31, 2012, August 31, 2011 and May 31, 2011, accumulated other comprehensive loss primarily consisted of pension liability adjustments.

## Note 9. Employee Benefit Plans

Employee benefits information for the three and nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

|  | Qualified <br> Pension Benefits |  |  |  | Non-Qualified Pension Benefits |  |  |  | Other Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  | 2012 |  | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Components of net periodic benefit costs for the three months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service cost | \$ | 6,505 | \$ | 5,990 | \$ | 68 | \$ | 296 | \$ 896 | \$ 488 |
| Interest cost |  | 5,942 |  | 5,682 |  | 346 |  | 489 | 672 | 595 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | $(10,004)$ |  | $(10,379)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior service cost (credit) amortization |  | 458 |  | 581 |  | 57 |  | 35 | (26) | (30) |
| Actuarial loss amortization |  | 3,809 |  | 4,138 |  | 109 |  | 246 | 243 | 182 |
| Transition amount amortization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 234 | 233 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ | 6,710 | \$ | 6,012 | \$ |  |  | 1,066 | \$ 2,019 | \$ 1,468 |
| Components of net periodic benefit costs for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service cost | \$ | 19,508 | \$ | 18,924 | \$ | 209 | \$ | 935 | \$ 1,917 | \$ 1,329 |
| Interest cost |  | 17,786 |  | 16,692 |  | 1,041 |  | 1,482 | 1,945 | 1,613 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | $(30,013)$ |  | $(31,334)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior service cost (credit) amortization |  | 1,374 |  | 1,745 |  | 171 |  | 105 | (78) | (92) |
| Actuarial loss amortization |  | 11,285 |  | 12,062 |  | 334 |  | 755 | 656 | 356 |
| Transition amount amortization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 702 | 702 |
| Net periodic benefit cost |  | 19,940 | \$ | 18,089 |  | 1,755 |  | 3,277 | \$ 5,142 | \$ 3,908 |
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## Employer Contributions:

Total contributions to be made during fiscal 2012, including the NCRA plan, will depend primarily on market returns on the pension plan assets and minimum funding level requirements. During the nine months ended May 31, 2012, CHS and NCRA made no contributions to the pension plans. At this time, we do not anticipate having to make a contribution for our benefit plans in fiscal 2012.

## Note 10. Segment Reporting

We have aligned our segments based on an assessment of how our businesses operate and the products and services they sell.

Our Energy segment produces and provides primarily for the wholesale distribution of petroleum products and transportation of those products. Our Ag Business segment purchases and further processes or resells grains and oilseeds originated by our members or third parties, and also serves as a wholesaler and retailer of crop inputs. Corporate and Other primarily represents the non-consolidated wheat milling and packaged food joint ventures, as well as our business solutions operations, which consists of commodities hedging, insurance and financial services related to crop production.

Corporate administrative expenses are allocated to each business segment, and Corporate and Other, based on direct usage for services that can be tracked, such as information technology and legal, and other factors or considerations relevant to the costs incurred.

Many of our business activities are highly seasonal and operating results will vary throughout the year. Historically, our income is generally lowest during the second fiscal quarter and highest during the third fiscal quarter. Our business segments are subject to varying seasonal fluctuations. For example, in our Ag Business segment, agronomy and country operations businesses experience higher volumes and income during the spring planting season and in the fall, which corresponds to harvest. Also in our Ag Business segment, our grain marketing operations are subject to fluctuations in volumes and earnings based on producer harvests, world grain prices and demand. Our Energy segment generally experiences higher volumes and profitability in certain operating areas, such as refined products, in the summer and early fall when gasoline and diesel fuel usage is highest and is subject to global supply and demand forces. Other energy products, such as propane, may experience higher volumes and profitability during the winter heating and crop drying seasons.

Our revenues, assets and cash flows are affected by global market prices for commodities such as petroleum products, natural gas, grains, oilseeds, crop nutrients and flour. Changes in market prices for commodities that we purchase without a corresponding change in the selling prices of those products can affect revenues and operating earnings. Commodity prices are affected by a wide range of factors beyond our control, including the weather, crop damage due to disease or insects, drought, the availability and adequacy of supply, government regulations and policies, world events, and general political and economic conditions.

While our revenues and operating results are derived from businesses and operations which are wholly-owned and majority-owned, a portion of our business operations are conducted through companies in which we hold ownership interests of $50 \%$ or less and do not control the operations. We account for these investments primarily using the equity method of accounting, wherein we record our proportionate share of income or loss reported by the entity as equity income from investments, without consolidating the revenues and expenses of the entity in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. In our Ag Business segment, this principally includes our $50 \%$ ownership in TEMCO. In Corporate and Other, these investments principally include our $50 \%$ ownership in Ventura Foods and our $24 \%$ ownership in Horizon Milling LLC (Horizon Milling) and Horizon Milling G.P.

Reconciling Amounts represent the elimination of revenues between segments. Such transactions are executed at market prices to more accurately evaluate the profitability of the individual business segments.
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Segment information for the three and nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

|  | Energy |  | Ag <br> Business | Corporate and Other |  | Reconciling Amounts | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For the Three Months Ended May 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | \$ 3,117,272 | \$ | 7,999,120 | \$ | 17,643 | \$ (111,080) | \$ 11,022,955 |
| Cost of goods sold | 2,729,383 |  | 7,789,055 |  | (659) | $(111,080)$ | 10,406,699 |
| Gross profit | 387,889 |  | 210,065 |  | 18,302 |  | 616,256 |
| Marketing, general and administrative | 41,439 |  | 70,208 |  | 17,577 |  | 129,224 |
| Operating earnings | 346,450 |  | 139,857 |  | 725 |  | 487,032 |
| Interest, net | 53,450 |  | 14,385 |  | 3,283 |  | 71,118 |
| Equity income from investments | $(1,715)$ |  | $(6,469)$ |  | $(16,620)$ |  | $(24,804)$ |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 294,715 | \$ | 131,941 | \$ | 14,062 | \$ | \$ 440,718 |
| Intersegment revenues | \$ $(111,080)$ |  |  |  |  | \$ 111,080 | \$ |


| For the Three Months Ended May 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues | \$ 3,038,831 | \$ | 7,507,069 | \$ | 16,615 | \$ | $(90,843)$ | \$ 10,471,672 |
| Cost of goods sold | 2,772,132 |  | 7,351,495 |  | (600) |  | $(90,843)$ | 10,032,184 |
| Gross profit | 266,699 |  | 155,574 |  | 17,215 |  |  | 439,488 |
| Marketing, general and administrative | 36,448 |  | 50,737 |  | 16,411 |  |  | 103,596 |
| Operating earnings | 230,251 |  | 104,837 |  | 804 |  |  | 335,892 |
| Gain on investments |  |  | $(119,680)$ |  | $(9,042)$ |  |  | $(128,722)$ |
| Interest, net | 566 |  | 15,848 |  | 3,113 |  |  | 19,527 |
| Equity income from investments | $(1,711)$ |  | $(1,109)$ |  | $(17,859)$ |  |  | $(20,679)$ |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 231,396 | \$ | 209,778 | \$ | 24,592 | \$ |  | \$ 465,766 |
| Intersegment revenues | \$ (90,843) |  |  |  |  | \$ | 90,843 | \$ |


| For the Nine Months Ended May 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues | \$ 9,433,098 | \$ 20,457,343 | \$ | 50,793 | \$ $(340,308)$ | \$ 29,600,926 |
| Cost of goods sold | 8,516,284 | 19,939,254 |  | $(2,144)$ | $(340,308)$ | 28,113,086 |
| Gross profit | 916,814 | 518,089 |  | 52,937 |  | 1,487,840 |
| Marketing, general and administrative | 112,610 | 203,739 |  | 51,951 |  | 368,300 |
| Operating earnings | 804,204 | 314,350 |  | 986 |  | 1,119,540 |
| Loss on investments |  | 446 |  |  |  | 446 |
| Interest, net | 75,755 | 41,136 |  | 9,665 |  | 126,556 |
| Equity income from investments | $(5,658)$ | $(16,719)$ |  | $(46,508)$ |  | $(68,885)$ |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 734,107 | \$ 289,487 | \$ | 37,829 | \$ | \$ 1,061,423 |
| Intersegment revenues | \$ (340,308) |  |  |  | \$ 340,308 | \$ |
| Goodwill at May 31, 2012 | \$ 1,165 | \$ 58,758 | \$ | 6,898 |  | \$ 66,821 |


| Capital expenditures | $\$ 198,158$ | $\$$ | 113,493 | $\$$ | 3,964 | $\$ 15,615$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Depreciation and amortization | $\$ 180,622$ | $\$$ | 66,845 | $\$$ | 14,153 | $\$ 161,620$ |
| Total identifiable assets at May 31, 2012 | $\$ 3,944,347$ | $\$ 5,865,709$ | $\$ 1,663,298$ | $\$ 11,473,354$ |  |  |
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|  | Energy | Ag <br> Business | Corporate and Other |  | Reconciling Amounts | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For the Nine Months Ended May 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | \$ 7,989,988 | \$ 18,538,574 | \$ | 48,888 | \$ $(264,555)$ | \$ 26,312,895 |
| Cost of goods sold | 7,495,015 | 18,043,219 |  | $(2,271)$ | $(264,555)$ | 25,271,408 |
| Gross profit | 494,973 | 495,355 |  | 51,159 |  | 1,041,487 |
| Marketing, general and administrative | 100,461 | 155,328 |  | 48,593 |  | 304,382 |
| Operating earnings | 394,512 | 340,027 |  | 2,566 |  | 737,105 |
| Gain on investments |  | $(119,746)$ |  | $(9,042)$ |  | $(128,788)$ |
| Interest, net | 3,494 | 42,873 |  | 8,619 |  | 54,986 |
| Equity income from investments | $(4,844)$ | $(34,467)$ |  | $(60,934)$ |  | $(100,245)$ |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 395,862 | \$ 451,367 | \$ | 63,923 | \$ | \$ 911,152 |
| Intersegment revenues | \$ $(264,555)$ |  |  |  | \$ 264,555 | \$ |
| Goodwill at May 31, 2011 | \$ 1,165 | \$ 15,687 | \$ | 6,898 |  | \$ 23,750 |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 136,778 | \$ 74,778 | \$ | 2,582 |  | \$ 214,138 |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 91,399 | \$ 56,727 | \$ | 11,758 |  | \$ 159,884 |
| Total identifiable assets at May 31, 2011 | \$ 3,227,081 | \$ 6,133,469 |  | 888,363 |  | \$ 12,248,913 |

## Note 11. Fair Value Measurements

The following table presents assets and liabilities included in our Consolidated Balance Sheets that are recognized at fair value on a recurring basis, and indicates the fair value hierarchy utilized to determine such fair value. As required by accounting standards, assets and liabilities are classified, in their entirety, based on the lowest level of input that is a significant component of the fair value measurement. The lowest level of input is considered Level 3. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, and may affect the classification of fair value assets and liabilities within the fair value hierarchy levels. Fair value measurements at May 31, 2012, August 31, 2011 and May 31, 2011 were as follows:

|  | Fair Value Measurements at May 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) |  | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |  | Total |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Readily marketable inventories |  | \$ | 1,324,367 |  |  | \$ 1,324,367 |
| Commodity and freight derivatives | \$ 74,982 |  | 280,277 |  |  | 355,259 |
| Foreign currency derivatives | 3,843 |  |  |  |  | 3,843 |
| Other assets | 72,439 |  |  |  |  | 72,439 |
| Total Assets | \$ 151,264 | \$ | 1,604,644 |  |  | \$ 1,755,908 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commodity and freight derivatives | \$ 32,222 | \$ | 260,906 |  |  | \$ 293,128 |
| Interest rate swap derivatives |  |  | 535 |  |  | 535 |
| Foreign currency derivatives | 3,379 |  |  |  |  | 3,379 |
|  |  |  |  | \$ | 98,447 | 98,447 |

Accrued liability for contingent crack spread
payments related to purchase of noncontrolling interests
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { Total Liabilities } & \$ 35,601 & \$ & 261,441 & \$ & 98,447 & \$ & 395,489\end{array}$
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|  | Quoted Prices in <br> Active Markets for <br> Identical <br> Assets <br> (Level 1) | Fair Value Measurements at August 31, 2011 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Significant Other <br> Observable Inputs <br> (Level 2) | Significant <br> Unobservable <br> Inputs <br> (Level 3) | Total |


|  | Quoted Prices in <br> Active Markets for <br> Identical <br> Assets <br> (Level 1) | Fair Value Measurements at May 31, 2011 <br> Significant Other <br> Observable Inputs <br> (Level 2) | Significant <br> Unobservable <br> Inputs |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (Level 3) |  |  |  |

Readily marketable inventories Our readily marketable inventories primarily include our grain, oilseed, and minimally processed soy-based inventories that are stated at fair values. These commodities are readily marketable, have quoted market prices and may be sold without significant additional processing. We estimate the fair market values of these inventories included in Level 2 primarily based on exchange quoted prices, adjusted for differences in local markets. Changes in the fair market values of these inventories are recognized in our Consolidated Statements of Operations as a component of cost of goods sold.

Commodity, freight and foreign currency derivatives Exchange traded futures and options contracts are valued based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets and are classified within Level 1. Our forward commodity purchase and sales contracts, flat price or basis fixed derivative contracts, ocean freight contracts and other OTC derivatives are determined using inputs that are generally based on exchange traded prices and/or recent market bids and offers, adjusted for location specific inputs, and are classified within Level 2 . The location specific inputs are generally broker or dealer quotations, or market transactions in either the listed or OTC markets. Changes in the fair values of these contracts are recognized in our Consolidated Statements of Operations as a component of cost of goods sold.
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Other assets Our available-for-sale investments in common stock of other companies and our Rabbi Trust assets are valued based on unadjusted quoted prices on active exchanges and are classified within Level 1.
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Changes in the fair values of these other assets are primarily recognized in our Consolidated Statements of Operations as a component of marketing, general and administrative expenses.

Interest rate swap derivatives Fair values of our interest rate swap liabilities are determined utilizing valuation models that are widely accepted in the market to value such OTC derivative contracts. The specific terms of the contracts, as well as market observable inputs, such as interest rates and credit risk assumptions, are factored into the models. As all significant inputs are market observable, all interest rate swaps are classified within Level 2. Changes in the fair values of contracts not designated as hedging instruments for accounting purposes are recognized in our Consolidated Statements of Operations as a component of interest, net. Changes in the fair values of contracts designated as hedging instruments are deferred to accumulated other comprehensive loss in the equity section of our Consolidated Balance Sheets and are amortized into earnings within interest, net over the term of the agreements.

Accrued liability for contingent crack spread payment related to purchase of noncontrolling interests The fair value of the accrued liability was calculated utilizing an average price option model, an adjusted Black-Scholes pricing model commonly used in the energy industry to value options. The model uses market observable inputs and unobservable inputs. Due to significant unobservable inputs used in the pricing model, the liability is classified within Level 3.

Mandatorily redeemable noncontrolling interests The fair value was calculated at inception by discounting each future redemption payment to its present value as of the balance sheet date. Our long-term borrowing rates were used as the discount rates for the present value calculations. We believe the discount rates that are used are commensurate with the risk inherent in our cash flows. The inputs are significant unobservable inputs, and the liability is a nonrecurring fair value measurement classified within Level 3.

Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements
Valuation

| Item <br> Accrued liability for contingent crack spread payments related to purchase of noncontrolling interests | Fair Value <br> May 31, 2012 |  | Technique | Unobservable Input | Range <br> (Weighted Average) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | 98,447 | Adjusted Black Scholes | Forward crack spread margin on May 31 (a) | \$11.00-\$18.00 (13.06) |
|  |  |  | option pricing model |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Contractual target crack spread margin (b) | \$17.50 |
|  |  |  |  | Expected volatility (c) | 88.66\% |
|  |  |  |  | Risk-free interest rate (d) Expected life (years) (e) | $\begin{gathered} 0.18-0.67 \%(0.49 \%) \\ 1.25-5.25(3.55) \end{gathered}$ |
| Mandatorily redeemable noncontrolling interests | \$ | 332,692 | Discounted cash flows | Own credit risk (f) | 2.16-2.56\% (2.40\%) |

(a) Represents forward crack spread margin quotes and management estimates based on future settlement dates
(b) Represents the minimum contractual threshold that would require settlement with the counterparties
(c) Represents quarterly adjusted volatility estimates derived from daily historical market data
(d) Represents yield curves for U.S. Treasury securities
(e) Represents the range in the number of years remaining related to each contingent payment
(f) Represents the range of company-specific risk adjustments commensurate with typical long-term borrowing rates available to us at inception of the contract
Valuation Processes for level 3 measurements Management is responsible for determining the fair value of our level 3 financial instruments.
Depending on the instrument, option pricing methods or present value methods are utilized, as indicated above. Inputs used in the option pricing models are based on quotes obtained from third party vendors as well as management estimates for periods in which quotes cannot be obtained. Each reporting period, we review the unobservable inputs provided by third-party vendors for reasonableness utilizing relevant information available to us. Management also takes into consideration current and expected market trends and compares the liability s fair value to hypothetical payments using known historical market data to assess reasonableness of the resulting fair value.
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Sensitivity Analysis of level 3 measurements The significant unobservable inputs that are susceptible to periodic fluctuations used in the fair value measurement of the accrued liability for contingent crack spread payments related to the purchase of noncontrolling interests are the forward crack spread margin and the expected volatility. Significant increases
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(decreases) in either of these inputs in isolation would result in a significantly higher (lower) fair value measurement. Although changes in the expected volatility are driven by fluctuations in the underlying crack spread margin, changes in expected volatility are not necessarily accompanied by a directionally similar change in the forward crack spread margin. Directional changes in the expected volatility can be affected by a multitude of factors including the magnitude of daily fluctuations in the underlying market data, market trends, timing of fluctuations, and other factors.

The following table represents a reconciliation of liabilities measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three months ended May 31, 2012:

|  | Level 3 Liabilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accrued liability for contingent crack spread payments related to purchase of noncontrolling interests |  | edeemable <br> olling <br> ts |
| Balances, March 1, 2012 | \$ 101,003 | \$ | 330,689 |
| Total gains included in cost of goods sold | $(2,556)$ |  |  |
| Total losses included in interest, net |  |  | 2,003 |
| Balances, May 31, 2012 | \$ 98,447 | \$ | 332,692 |

The following table represents a reconciliation of liabilities measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the nine months ended May 31, 2012:

|  | Level 3 Liabilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Accrued liability for contingent crack spread payments related to purchase of noncontrolling interests | Mandatorily redeemable noncontrolling interests |  |
| Balances, September 1, 2011 | \$ | \$ |  |
| Purchases | 105,188 |  | 328,676 |
| Total gains included in cost of goods sold | $(6,741)$ |  |  |
| Total losses included in interest, net |  |  | 4,016 |
| Balances, May 31, 2012 | \$ 98,447 | \$ | 332,692 |

There were no significant transfers between Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3 assets and liabilities.

## Note 12. Commitments and Contingencies

## Commitments

In May 2012, we purchased 25 percent ownership of Terminal Corredor Norte S.A. (TCN), a recently-formed logistics company founded in São Paulo, Brazil by NovaAgri Infra-Estrutura De Armazenagem E Escoamento Agrícola S.A (NovaAgri). NovaAgri will own 75 percent of TCN shares.

## Edgar Filing: CHS INC - Form 10-Q

In October 2011, TCN was awarded the right to construct one of four export terminals in the Port of Itaquí, in the northeastern Brazilian state of Maranhão on the Atlantic Ocean. Construction is expected to begin in August 2012, with completion anticipated during the second half of the 2013 calendar year. To secure access to store and deliver grain through the port, we entered into an agreement with TCN requiring us to deliver a minimum volume of grain through the port facilities through the year 2037. The agreement with TCN is denominated in Brazilian Real.

The discounted, aggregate amount of the minimum required payments, based on current exchange rates at May 31,2012 , is $\$ 84.5$ million.

## Table of Contents

## Guarantees

We are a guarantor for lines of credit and performance obligations of related companies. As of May 31, 2012, our bank covenants allowed maximum guarantees of $\$ 500.0$ million, of which $\$ 15.7$ million was outstanding. We have collateral for a portion of these contingent obligations. We have not recorded a liability related to the contingent obligations as we do not expect to pay out any cash related to them, and the fair values are considered immaterial. All outstanding loans with respective creditors are current as of May 31, 2012.

## Note 13. Acquisitions

## NCRA:

On November 29, 2011, the Board of Directors approved a stock transfer agreement, dated as of November 17, 2011, between us and GROWMARK, Inc. (Growmark), and a stock transfer agreement, dated as of November 17, 2011, between us and MFA Oil Company (MFA). Pursuant to these agreements, we will acquire from Growmark and MFA shares of Class A common stock and Class B common stock of NCRA representing approximately $25.571 \%$ of NCRA s outstanding capital stock. We own the remaining approximately $74.429 \%$ of NCRA s outstanding capital stock and accordingly, upon completion of the acquisitions contemplated by these agreements, NCRA will be a wholly-owned subsidiary.

Pursuant to the agreement with Growmark, we will acquire stock representing approximately $18.616 \%$ of NCRA s outstanding capital stock in four separate closings to be held on September 1, 2012, September 1, 2013, September 1, 2014 and September 1, 2015, for an aggregate base purchase price of $\$ 255.5$ million (approximately $\$ 48.0$ million of which will be paid at each of the first three closings, and $\$ 111.4$ million of which will be paid at the final closing). In addition, Growmark is entitled to receive up to two contingent purchase price payments following each individual closing, calculated as set forth in the agreement with Growmark, if the average crack spread margin referred to therein over the fiscal year ending on August 31 of the calendar year in which the contingent payment date falls exceeds a specified target.

Pursuant to the agreement with MFA, we will acquire stock representing approximately $6.955 \%$ of NCRA s outstanding capital stock in four separate closings to be held on September 1, 2012, September 1, 2013, September 1, 2014 and September 1, 2015, for an aggregate base purchase price of $\$ 95.5$ million (approximately $\$ 18.0$ million of which will be paid at each of the first three closings, and $\$ 41.6$ million of which will be paid at the final closing). In addition, MFA is entitled to receive up to two contingent purchase price payments following each individual closing, calculated as set forth in the agreement with MFA, if the average crack spread margin referred to therein over the fiscal year ending on August 31 of the calendar year in which the contingent payment date falls exceeds a specified target.

As a result of this transaction, we are no longer including the noncontrolling interests related to NCRA as a component of equity. Instead, we recorded the present value of the future payments to be made to Growmark and MFA as a liability on our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of November 30, 2011. The liability as of May 31, 2012 was $\$ 332.7$ million, including interest accretion of $\$ 4.0$ million. Noncontrolling interests in the amount of $\$ 337.1$ million was reclassified and an additional adjustment to equity in the amount of $\$ 96.7$ million was recorded as a result of the transaction. The equity adjustment included the initial fair value of the crack spread contingent payments of $\$ 105.2$ million. The fair value of the liability associated with the crack spread contingent payments was calculated utilizing an average price option model, an adjusted Black-Scholes pricing model commonly used in the energy industry to value options. As of May 31, 2012, the fair value of the crack spread contingent payment was $\$ 98.4$ million and is included on our Consolidated Balance Sheet in other liabilities with changes of $\$ 2.6$ million and $\$ 6.7$ million included as a reduction in cost of goods sold in our Consolidated Statements of Operations during the three and nine months ended May 31, 2012, respectively. The portion of NCRA earnings attributable to Growmark and MFA for the first quarter of fiscal 2012, prior to the transaction date, have been included in net income attributable to noncontrolling interests. Beginning in our second quarter of fiscal 2012, earnings are no longer attributable to the noncontrolling interests and patronage earned by Growmark and MFA
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are included as interest, net in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the three and nine months ended May 31, 2012, $\$ 49.5$ million and $\$ 63.4$ million was included in interest for the patronage earned, respectively.

## Solbar:

On February 9, 2012, we completed the acquisition of Solbar Industries Ltd., an Israeli company (Solbar), included in our Ag Business segment. Effective upon the closing of the merger, each outstanding share of Solbar was converted into the right to receive $\$ 4.00$ in cash, without interest, and each outstanding Solbar stock option was terminated in exchange for a cash payment in an amount per share equal to the difference between the applicable exercise price per share and $\$ 4.00$, for total consideration paid of $\$ 128.7$ million, net of cash acquired of $\$ 6.6$ million. Solbar provides soy protein ingredients to manufacturers in the meat, vegetarian, beverage, bars and crisps, confectionary, bakery, and pharmaceutical manufacturing markets. This acquisition deepens our presence in the value-added soy protein market. The fair market value of net assets was determined by market valuation reports using Level 3 inputs. Allocation of purchase price for this transaction resulted in goodwill of $\$ 39.8$ million, which is nondeductible for tax purposes, and definite-lived intangible assets of $\$ 23.3$ million. As this acquisition is not material, proforma results of operations are not presented. Solbar and its subsidiaries operate primarily in the countries of Israel, China and the U.S. The acquisition will not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations. Purchase accounting has been finalized, with the exception of contingencies. Fair values assigned to the net assets acquired were as follows:

| (dollars in thousands) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Current assets | 74,240 |
| Investments | 961 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 71,324 |
| Goodwill | 39,794 |
| Definite-lived intangible assets | 23,306 |
| Current liabilities | $(63,417)$ |
| Long-term debt | $(15,849)$ |
| Other liabilities | $(1,694)$ |
| Total net assets acquired | $\$ 128,665$ |

## Creston:

In November 2011, we acquired an oilseed crushing facility in Creston, Iowa for $\$ 32.3$ million.
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## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## General

The following discussions of financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the unaudited interim financial statements and notes to such statements and the cautionary statement regarding forward-looking statements found at the beginning of Part I, Item 1, of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended August 31, 2011, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. This discussion contains forward-looking statements based on current expectations, assumptions, estimates and projections of management. Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, as more fully described in the cautionary statement and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

CHS Inc. (CHS, we or us) is a diversified company, which provides grain, foods and energy resources to businesses and consumers on a global basis. As a cooperative, we are owned by farmers, ranchers and their member cooperatives across the United States. We also have preferred stockholders that own shares of our $8 \%$ Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock.

We provide a full range of production agricultural inputs such as refined fuels, propane, farm supplies, animal nutrition and agronomy products, as well as services, which include hedging, financing and insurance services. We own and operate petroleum refineries and pipelines, and market and distribute refined fuels and other energy products, under the Cenex ${ }^{\circledR}$ brand, through a network of member cooperatives and independents. We purchase grains and oilseeds directly and indirectly from agricultural producers primarily in the midwestern and western United States. These grains and oilseeds are either sold to domestic and international customers or further processed into a variety of grain-based food products.

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of CHS and all of our wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries and limited liability companies, including NCRA in our Energy segment. The effects of all significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

We have aligned our segments based on an assessment of how our businesses operate and the products and services they sell. Our Energy segment produces and provides primarily for the wholesale distribution of petroleum products and transportation of those products. Our Ag Business segment purchases and further processes or resells grains and oilseeds originated by our members or third parties, and also serves as wholesaler and retailer of crop inputs. Corporate and Other primarily represents our non-consolidated wheat milling and packaged food joint ventures, as well as our business solutions operations, which consist of commodities hedging, insurance and financial services related to crop production.

Corporate administrative expenses are allocated to each business segment, and Corporate and Other, based on direct usage for services that can be tracked, such as information technology and legal, and other factors or considerations relevant to the costs incurred.

Many of our business activities are highly seasonal and operating results will vary throughout the year. Overall, our income is generally lowest during the second fiscal quarter and highest during the third fiscal quarter. Our business segments are subject to varying seasonal fluctuations. For example, in our Ag Business segment, our agronomy and country operations businesses generally experience higher volumes and income during the spring planting season and in the fall, which corresponds to harvest. Also in our Ag Business segment, our grain marketing operations are subject to fluctuations in volume and earnings based on producer harvests, world grain prices and demand. Our Energy segment generally experiences higher volumes and profitability in certain operating areas, such as refined products, in the summer and early fall when gasoline and diesel fuel usage is highest and is subject to global supply and demand forces. Other energy products, such as propane, may experience higher volumes and profitability during the winter heating and crop drying seasons.
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Our revenues, assets and cash flows can be significantly affected by global market prices for commodities such as petroleum products, natural gas, grains, oilseeds, crop nutrients and flour. Changes in market prices for commodities that we purchase without a corresponding change in the selling prices of those products can affect revenues and operating earnings. Commodity prices are affected by a wide range of factors beyond our control, including the weather, crop damage due to disease or insects, drought, the availability and adequacy of supply, government regulations and policies, world events, and general political and economic conditions.

While our revenues and operating results are derived from businesses and operations which are wholly-owned and majority-owned, a portion of our business operations are conducted through companies in which we hold ownership interests of $50 \%$ or less and do not control the operations. We account for these investments primarily using the equity method of accounting, wherein we record our proportionate share of income or loss reported by the entity as equity income from investments, without consolidating the revenues and expenses of the entity in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. In our Ag Business segment, this principally includes our 50\% ownership in TEMCO. In Corporate and Other, these investments principally include our $50 \%$ ownership in Ventura Foods and our $24 \%$ ownership in Horizon Milling and Horizon Milling G.P.

## Results of Operations

## Comparison of the three months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011

General. We recorded income before income taxes of $\$ 440.7$ million during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 465.8$ million during the three months ended May 31, 2011, a decrease of $\$ 25.1$ million (5\%). Operating results reflected decreased pretax earnings in our Ag Business segment and in Corporate and Other, partially offset by increased pretax earnings in our Energy segment.

Our Energy segment generated income before income taxes of $\$ 294.7$ million for the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 231.4$ million in the three months ended May 31, 2011. The increase in earnings of $\$ 63.3$ million is primarily from improved margins on refined fuels at both our Laurel, Montana refinery and our NCRA refinery in McPherson, Kansas. Earnings in our propane, transportation, and lubricants businesses improved, while our renewable fuels marketing business experienced lower earnings during the three months ended May 31, 2012 when compared to the same three-month period of the previous year. The reversal of the crude oil pipeline in the Cushing, OK area has not significantly impacted our refined fuels margins. The pipeline is not yet at full capacity and, as a result, it is possible that the reversal could still have a negative impact on our future refined fuels margins, the impact of which we are not able to estimate at this time.

Our Ag Business segment generated income before income taxes of $\$ 131.9$ million for the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 209.8$ million in the three months ended May 31, 2011, a decrease in earnings of $\$ 77.9$ million. Earnings from our wholesale crop nutrients business increased during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared with the same period in fiscal 2011, primarily due to increased volumes and product margins. Our country operations earnings increased during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily as a result of improved retail merchandise operating margins, partially offset by decreased grain margins. Our grain marketing earnings decreased during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared with the same period in the prior year, primarily as a result of a pre-tax gain on the sale of our investment in Multigrain of $\$ 119.7$ million during the three months ended May 31, 2011. In addition, we experienced decreased grain volumes during fiscal 2012, primarily due to large crops harvested in the Black Sea, South America and Australia, which reduced our U.S. grain exports and reduced our earnings. In addition, the fall harvest produced short crops in the U.S., which also negatively impacted our volumes. Our oilseed processing margins increased, but we experienced lower earnings due to increased expenses primarily related to Solbar, during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year.

Corporate and Other generated income before income taxes of $\$ 14.1$ million for the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 24.6$ million during the same period of the previous year, a decrease in earnings of $\$ 10.5$ million ( $43 \%$ ). Earnings from our business solutions operations were relatively flat for the three months
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ended May 31, 2012 compared with the same period in the prior year. Our share of earnings from Ventura Foods, our packaged foods joint venture, net of allocated expenses, also remained relatively flat for the three months ended May 31, 2012, compared to the same period of the previous year. Our share of earnings from our wheat milling joint ventures, net of allocated expenses, decreased by $\$ 2.3$ million during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period in the previous year. Our share of earnings from our Agriliance joint venture decreased by $\$ 8.5$ million during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period in the previous year, due to the winding down of its business activities.

Net Income attributable to CHS Inc. Consolidated net income attributable to CHS Inc. for the three months ended May 31, 2012 was $\$ 405.1$ million compared to $\$ 358.5$ million for the three months ended May 31, 2011, which represents a $\$ 46.6$ million increase ( $13 \%$ ).

Revenues. Consolidated revenues were $\$ 11.0$ billion for the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 10.5$ billion for the three months ended May 31, 2011, which represents a $\$ 551.3$ million increase (5\%).

Our Energy segment revenues of $\$ 3.0$ billion, after elimination of intersegment revenues, increased by $\$ 58.2$ million ( $2 \%$ ) during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the three months ended May 31, 2011. During the three months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, our Energy segment recorded revenues from sales to our Ag Business segment of $\$ 111.1$ million and $\$ 90.8$ million, respectively. The net increase in revenues of $\$ 58.2$ million is comprised of a net increase of $\$ 149.8$ million related to higher sales volume, partially offset by a decrease of $\$ 91.6$ million related to decreased prices. Refined fuels revenues increased $\$ 152.3$ million ( $7 \%$ ), of which $\$ 496.7$ million was related to a net increase in volumes, partially offset by a $\$ 344.4$ million net average decrease in selling price, compared to the same period in the previous year. Sales volumes increased by $22 \%$, and the sales price of refined fuels products decreased $\$ 0.41$ per gallon ( $13 \%$ ). Propane revenues decreased $\$ 16.9$ million ( $13 \%$ ), of which $\$ 12.3$ million was related to a decrease in the net average selling price and $\$ 4.6$ million was related to a decrease in volume, when compared to the same period in the previous year. The average selling price of propane decreased $\$ 0.14$ per gallon ( $10 \%$ ) and sales volume decreased $4 \%$ in comparison to the same period of the prior year. Renewable fuels marketing revenues decreased $\$ 87.7$ million ( $21 \%$ ), from a decrease in the average selling price of $\$ 0.42$ per gallon ( $16 \%$ ) and a $6 \%$ decrease in volume, when compared with the same three-month period in the previous year.

Our Ag Business segment revenues of $\$ 8.0$ billion increased $\$ 492.1$ million ( $7 \%$ ) during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the three months ended May 31, 2011.

Grain revenues in our Ag Business segment totaled $\$ 5.1$ billion and $\$ 5.5$ billion during the three months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Of the grain revenues decrease of $\$ 413.1$ million ( $8 \%$ ), $\$ 437.5$ million was related to a decrease in volume of $8 \%$, partially offset by a $\$ 24.4$ million increase in the average grain selling prices during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior fiscal year. The average sales price of all grain and oilseed commodities sold was relatively flat compared to the same three-month period in fiscal 2011. Soybeans had increased volumes, while corn and wheat had decreased volumes compared to the three months ended May 31, 2011.

Our oilseed processing revenues in our Ag Business segment of $\$ 413.3$ million increased $\$ 79.6$ million ( $24 \%$ ) during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the three months ended May 31, 2011. The net increase in revenues is comprised of $\$ 10.3$ million from an increase in the average selling price of our oilseed products and an increase of $\$ 69.3$ million related to increased volumes, as compared to the three months ended May 31, 2011. Typically, changes in average selling prices of oilseed products are primarily driven by the average market prices of soybeans. The increase in volumes is primarily related to our acquisitions of Solbar and an oilseed crushing facility in Creston, Iowa.

Wholesale crop nutrient revenues in our Ag Business segment totaled $\$ 1.1$ billion during the three months ended May 31, 2012, representing an increase of $\$ 336.9$ million ( $43 \%$ ) as compared to the same period of the
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previous fiscal year. Of the wholesale crop nutrient revenues increase, $\$ 144.3$ million was related to increased average fertilizer selling prices and $\$ 192.6$ million related to increased volumes, during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period last fiscal year. The average sales price of all fertilizers sold reflected an increase of $\$ 66.38$ per ton ( $15 \%$ ) over the same three-month period in fiscal 2011. Our wholesale crop nutrient volumes increased $25 \%$ during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared with the same period in the previous year.

Our Ag Business segment other product revenues, primarily feed and farm supplies, of $\$ 1.4$ billion increased by $\$ 479.4$ million ( $54 \%$ ) during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the three months ended May 31, 2011, primarily the result of increased country operations sales of crop nutrients, feed, crop protection and energy products. Other revenues within our Ag Business segment of $\$ 60.6$ million during the three months ended May 31, 2012 increased $\$ 14.4$ million ( $31 \%$ ) compared to the three months ended May 31, 2011 primarily due to increased service activities related to the spring planting season, including additional volumes generated from acquisitions.

Total revenues include other revenues generated primarily within our Ag Business segment and Corporate and Other. Our Ag Business segment s country operations elevators and agri-service centers derive other revenues from activities related to production agriculture, which include grain storage, grain cleaning, fertilizer spreading, crop protection spraying and other services of this nature, and our grain marketing operations receive other revenues at our export terminals from activities related to loading vessels. Corporate and Other derives revenues primarily from our financing, hedging and insurance operations.

Cost of Goods Sold. Consolidated cost of goods sold was $\$ 10.4$ billion for the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 10.0$ billion for the three months ended May 31, 2011, which represents a $\$ 374.5$ million ( $4 \%$ ) increase.

Our Energy segment cost of goods sold of $\$ 2.6$ billion, after elimination of intersegment costs, decreased by $\$ 63.0$ million ( $2 \%$ ) during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period of the prior year. The decrease in cost of goods sold is primarily due to decreases in the average cost in our refined fuels, propane and renewable fuels marketing businesses and decreased sales volume in our propane and renewable fuels marketing businesses, partially offset by an increase in sales volume in our refined fuels business. Refined fuels cost of goods sold increased $\$ 42.0$ million ( $2 \%$ ) which reflects an increase in volumes of $22 \%$, partially offset by a decrease in the average cost of refined fuels of $\$ 0.48$ per gallon ( $16 \%$ ). We process approximately 55,000 barrels of crude oil per day at our Laurel, Montana refinery and 85,000 barrels of crude oil per day at NCRA s McPherson, Kansas refinery. The refined fuels average cost decrease is primarily related to lower input costs at our two crude oil refineries and lower average prices on the refined products that we purchased for resale compared to the three months ended May 31, 2011. The aggregate average per unit cost of crude oil purchased for the two refineries decreased $17 \%$ compared to the three months ended May 31, 2011. Cost of goods sold decreased by $\$ 3.4$ million when compared to the third quarter of fiscal 2011 related to gains on contracts for which we previously applied hedge accounting. These amounts are reflected in the $\$ 0.48$ per gallon average cost of refined fuels. In addition, cost of goods sold decreased by $\$ 2.6$ million as a result of the change in the contingent crack spread liability related to our purchase of noncontrolling interests of NCRA. The cost of goods sold of propane decreased $\$ 19.3$ million ( $16 \%$ ) primarily from an average cost decrease of $\$ 0.17$ per gallon ( $12 \%$ ) and a $4 \%$ decrease in sales volumes when compared to the three months ended May 31, 2011. Renewable fuels marketing costs decreased $\$ 87.0$ million ( $21 \%$ ), primarily from a decrease in the average cost per gallon of $\$ 0.41(16 \%)$ and a $6 \%$ decrease in volumes, when compared with the same three-month period in the previous year.

Our Ag Business segment cost of goods sold, of $\$ 7.8$ billion, increased $\$ 437.6$ million ( $6 \%$ ) during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period of the prior year.
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The cost of grains procured through our Ag Business segment of $\$ 5.0$ billion decreased $\$ 378.4$ million ( $7 \%$ ) compared to the three months ended May 31, 2011. This is primarily the result of an $8 \%$ net decrease in bushels sold, partially offset by a $\$ 0.11(1 \%)$ increase in the average cost per bushel, as compared to the same period in the prior year.

Our oilseed processing cost of goods sold in our Ag Business segment of $\$ 414.0$ million increased $\$ 90.2$ million ( $28 \%$ ) during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the three months ended May 31, 2011, which was primarily due to increases in the cost of soybeans purchased as well as new activity associated with our acquisitions of Solbar and an oilseed crushing facility in Creston, Iowa.

Wholesale crop nutrients cost of goods sold in our Ag Business segment of $\$ 1.1$ billion increased $\$ 309.8$ million ( $42 \%$ ) during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period of the previous year. The net increase is comprised of an increase in the average cost per ton of fertilizer of $\$ 58(14 \%)$ and a net increase in tons sold of $25 \%$, when compared to the same three-month period in the prior year.

Our Ag Business segment other product cost of goods sold, primarily feed and farm supplies, increased $\$ 441.9$ million ( $57 \%$ ) during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the three months ended May 31, 2011, primarily due to increased volumes, including additional volumes generated from acquisitions.

Marketing, General and Administrative. Marketing, general and administrative expenses of $\$ 129.2$ million for the three months ended May 31, 2012 increased by $\$ 25.6$ million ( $25 \%$ ) compared to the three months ended May 31, 2011. This net increase is primarily due to the expansion of foreign operations and acquisitions in our Ag Business segment.

Interest, net. Net interest of $\$ 71.1$ million for the three months ended May 31,2012 increased $\$ 51.6$ million compared to the same period in the previous year. Interest expense for the three months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011 was $\$ 74.5$ million and $\$ 21.5$ million, respectively. The increase in interest expense of $\$ 53.0$ million is primarily due to interest accretion of $\$ 2.0$ million related to the purchase of the NCRA noncontrolling interests and $\$ 49.5$ million of patronage earned by the noncontrolling interests of NCRA. See Note 13, Acquisitions for more information. The increase in interest expense was also due to a private placement of $\$ 500.0$ million in June 2011 for long-term debt, partially offset by decreased short-term borrowings from decreased working capital needs during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period in the previous year. Compared to the same period in fiscal 2011, the average level of short-term borrowings decreased $\$ 1.1$ billion during the three months ended May 31, 2012, of which $\$ 272.7$ million related to CHS Capital activity. For the three months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, we capitalized interest of $\$ 2.4$ million and $\$ 1.4$ million, respectively, primarily related to construction projects at both refineries in our Energy segment. Interest income was $\$ 0.9$ million and $\$ 0.5$ million for the three months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Equity Income from Investments. Equity income from investments of $\$ 24.8$ million for the three months ended May 31, 2012 increased $\$ 4.1$ million ( $20 \%$ ) compared to the three months ended May 31, 2011. We record equity income or loss from the investments in which we have an ownership interest of $50 \%$ or less and have significant influence but not control, for our proportionate share of income or loss reported by the entity, without consolidating the revenues and expenses of the entity in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. The net increase in equity income from investments was attributable to improved earnings from investments in our Ag Business segment of $\$ 5.3$ million, partially offset by reduced equity investment earnings in Corporate and Other of $\$ 1.2$ million.

Our Ag Business segment equity investment earnings increased by $\$ 5.3$ million. We had a net increase of $\$ 1.2$ million from our share of equity investment earnings in our grain marketing joint ventures during the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period the previous year, which is primarily related to our sale of Multigrain, partially offset by the dissolution of United Harvest and decreased earnings related to a reduction in U.S. exports. Our country operations business reported an aggregate increase in equity investment earnings of $\$ 4.3$ million from several small equity investments.
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Corporate and Other equity investment earnings decreased by $\$ 1.3$ million, primarily from our Wheat Milling joint ventures, which decreased by $\$ 2.3$ million compared to the same three-month period in the previous year.

Income Taxes. Income tax expense was $\$ 34.0$ million for the three months ended May 31, 2012 compared with $\$ 59.8$ million for the three months ended May 31, 2011, resulting in effective tax rates of $7.7 \%$ and $12.8 \%$, respectively. The federal and state statutory rate applied to nonpatronage business activity was $38.9 \%$ for the three-month periods ended May 31, 2012 and 2011. The income taxes and effective tax rate vary each year based upon profitability and nonpatronage business activity during each of the comparable years.

Noncontrolling Interests. Income attributable to noncontrolling interests of $\$ 1.7$ million for the three months ended May 31, 2012 decreased by $\$ 45.8$ million compared to the three months ended May 31, 2011. This net decrease was primarily due to our purchase of the noncontrolling interests related to NCRA, as discussed in Note 13, Acquisitions. The portion of NCRA earnings attributable to the noncontrolling interests of NCRA for our first quarter of 2012, prior to the transaction date, have been included in net income attributable to noncontrolling interests. Beginning in the second quarter of fiscal 2012, earnings are no longer attributable to the noncontrolling interests, and patronage earned by the noncontrolling interests of NCRA after November 29, 2011 are included as interest, net in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

## Comparison of the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011

General. We recorded income before income taxes of $\$ 1.1$ billion during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 911.2$ million during the nine months ended May 31, 2011, an increase of $\$ 150.3$ million ( $16 \%$ ). Operating results reflected increased pretax earnings in our Energy segment, partially offset by decreased pretax earnings in our Ag Business segment and in Corporate and Other.

Our Energy segment generated income before income taxes of $\$ 734.1$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 395.9$ million in the nine months ended May 31, 2011. This increase in earnings of $\$ 338.2$ million ( $85 \%$ ) is primarily from improved margins on refined fuels at both our Laurel, Montana refinery and our NCRA refinery in McPherson, Kansas. Earnings in our propane and transportation businesses improved, while our renewable fuels marketing and lubricants businesses experienced lower earnings during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 when compared to the same nine-month period of the previous year. The reversal of the crude oil pipeline in the Cushing, OK area has not significantly impacted our refined fuels margins. The pipeline is not yet at full capacity and, as a result, it is possible that the reversal could still have a negative impact on our future refined fuels margins, the impact of which we are not able to estimate at this time.

Our Ag Business segment generated income before income taxes of $\$ 289.5$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 451.4$ million in the nine months ended May 31, 2011, a decrease in earnings of $\$ 161.9$ million. Earnings from our wholesale crop nutrients business increased during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared with the same period in fiscal 2011, primarily due to increased volumes and product margins. Earnings in our country operations business remained relatively flat during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year but reflected increased retail merchandise margins and decreased grain margins. Our grain marketing earnings decreased during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared with the same period in the prior year, primarily as a result of a pre-tax gain on the sale of our investment in Multigrain of $\$ 119.7$ million during the nine months ended May 31, 2011. In addition, we experienced decreased grain volumes during fiscal 2012, primarily due to large crops harvested in the Black Sea, South America and Australia, which reduced our U.S. grain exports and reduced our earnings. In addition, the fall harvest produced short crops in the U.S., which also negatively impacted our volumes. Our oilseed processing margins increased, but we experienced lower earnings due to increased expenses, which included acquisition costs of $\$ 5.6$ million related to our acquisition of Solbar, during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period in the prior year.
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Corporate and Other generated income before income taxes of $\$ 37.8$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 63.9$ million during the same period of the previous year, a decrease in earnings of $\$ 26.1$ million ( $41 \%$ ). Business solutions experienced a net decrease in earnings of $\$ 1.5$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared with the same period in the prior year, primarily due to decreased earnings from our financing business. Our share of earnings from Ventura Foods, our packaged foods joint venture, net of allocated expenses, decreased by $\$ 7.3$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2012, compared to the same period of the previous year, primarily from decreased margins. Our share of earnings from our wheat milling joint ventures, net of allocated expenses, decreased by $\$ 6.8$ million during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period in the previous year. Our share of earnings from our Agriliance joint venture decreased by $\$ 9.2$ million during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period in the previous year, due to the winding down of its business activities.

Net Income attributable to CHS Inc. Consolidated net income attributable to CHS Inc. for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 was $\$ 899.7$ million compared to $\$ 754.8$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2011, which represents a $\$ 144.9$ million increase ( $19 \%$ ).

Revenues. Consolidated revenues were $\$ 29.6$ billion for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 26.3$ billion for the nine months ended May 31, 2011, which represents a $\$ 3.3$ billion increase (13\%).

Our Energy segment revenues of $\$ 9.1$ billion, after elimination of intersegment revenues, increased by $\$ 1.4$ billion (18\%) during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011. During the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, our Energy segment recorded revenues from sales to our Ag Business segment of $\$ 340.3$ million and $\$ 264.6$ million, respectively. The net increase in revenues of $\$ 1.4$ billion is comprised of a net increase of $\$ 878.1$ million related to higher prices and $\$ 489.3$ million related to higher sales volume. Refined fuels revenues increased $\$ 1.3$ billion ( $23 \%$ ), of which $\$ 601.7$ million was related to a net average selling price increase, and $\$ 655.3$ million was related to a net increase in sales volumes, compared to the same period in the previous year. The sales price of refined fuels increased $\$ 0.27$ per gallon ( $10 \%$ ), and sales volumes increased by $12 \%$. Propane revenues increased $\$ 46.0$ million ( $7 \%$ ), of which $\$ 54.6$ million was related to an increase in the net average selling price, partially offset by a decrease of $\$ 8.6$ million attributable to volume, when compared to the same period in the previous year. The average selling price of propane increased $\$ 0.11$ per gallon ( $9 \%$ ) and sales volume decreased $1 \%$ in comparison to the same period of the prior year. Renewable fuels marketing revenues increased $\$ 46.0$ million ( $4 \%$ ) primarily related to a $4 \%$ increase in sales volumes, when compared with the same nine-month period in the previous year.

Our Ag Business segment revenues of $\$ 20.5$ billion increased $\$ 1.9$ billion ( $10 \%$ ) during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011.

Grain revenues in our Ag Business segment totaled $\$ 14.5$ billion and $\$ 14.1$ billion during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Of the grain revenues increase of $\$ 377.5$ million ( $3 \%$ ), $\$ 542.8$ million is due to increased average grain selling prices, partially offset by $\$ 165.3$ million attributable to a net decrease in volume of $1 \%$ during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period in the previous year. The average sales price of all grain and oilseed commodities sold reflected an increase of $\$ 0.34$ per bushel ( $4 \%$ ) over the same nine-month period in fiscal 2011. Corn had increased volumes, while soybeans and wheat had decreased volumes compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011.

Our oilseed processing revenues in our Ag Business segment of $\$ 1.1$ billion increased $\$ 163.8$ million ( $18 \%$ ) during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011. The net increase in revenues is comprised of $\$ 60.3$ million from an increase in the average selling price of our oilseed products and an increase of $\$ 103.5$ million related to increased volumes, as compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011. Typically, changes in average selling prices of oilseed products are primarily driven by the average market prices of soybeans. The increase in volumes is primarily related to our acquisitions of Solbar and an oilseed crushing facility in Creston, Iowa.

## Table of Contents

Wholesale crop nutrient revenues in our Ag Business segment totaled $\$ 2.3$ billion during the nine months ended May 31, 2012, representing an increase of $\$ 582.5$ million ( $34 \%$ ) as compared to the same period of the previous fiscal year. Of the wholesale crop nutrient revenues increase, $\$ 357.6$ million was related to increased average fertilizer selling prices and $\$ 224.9$ million related to increased volumes, during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period last fiscal year. The average sales price of all fertilizers sold reflected an increase of $\$ 78$ per ton ( $18 \%$ ) over the same nine-month period in fiscal 2011. Our wholesale crop nutrient volumes increased $13 \%$ during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared with the same period in the previous year.

Our Ag Business segment other product revenues, primarily feed and farm supplies, of $\$ 2.4$ billion increased by $\$ 757.8$ million ( $46 \%$ ) during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011, primarily the result of increased country operations sales of crop nutrients, feed, crop protection and energy products. Other revenues within our Ag Business segment of $\$ 160.3$ million during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 increased $\$ 26.1$ million ( $19 \%$ ) compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011 primarily due to increased service activities related to the spring planting season, including additional volumes generated from acquisitions.

Total revenues include other revenues generated primarily within our Ag Business segment and Corporate and Other. Our Ag Business segment s country operations elevators and agri-service centers derive other revenues from activities related to production agriculture, which include grain storage, grain cleaning, fertilizer spreading, crop protection spraying and other services of this nature, and our grain marketing operations receive other revenues at our export terminals from activities related to loading vessels. Corporate and Other derives revenues primarily from our financing, hedging and insurance operations.

Cost of Goods Sold. Consolidated cost of goods sold was $\$ 28.1$ billion for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 25.3$ billion for the nine months ended May 31, 2011, which represents a $\$ 2.8$ billion (11\%) increase.

Our Energy segment cost of goods sold of $\$ 8.2$ billion, after elimination of intersegment costs, increased by $\$ 945.5$ million (13\%) during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period of the prior year. The increase in cost of goods sold is primarily due to an increase in the average cost and increased sales volumes for refined fuels products. Specifically, refined fuels cost of goods sold increased $\$ 857.4$ million ( $17 \%$ ) which reflects an increase in the average cost of refined fuels of $\$ 0.11$ per gallon ( $4 \%$ ); while volumes increased by $12 \%$ compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011. We process approximately 55,000 barrels of crude oil per day at our Laurel, Montana refinery and 85,000 barrels of crude oil per day at NCRA s McPherson, Kansas refinery. The average cost increase is primarily related to higher input costs at our two crude oil refineries and higher average prices on the refined products that we purchased for resale compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011. The aggregate average per unit cost of crude oil purchased for the two refineries increased $4 \%$ compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011. Cost of goods sold increased by $\$ 59.3$ million when compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011 related to gains on contracts for which we previously applied hedge accounting and is reflected in the increase of $\$ 0.11$ per gallon average cost of refined fuels. In addition, cost of goods sold decreased by $\$ 6.7$ million as a result of the change in the contingent crack spread liability related to our purchase of noncontrolling interests of NCRA. The cost of goods sold of propane increased $\$ 45.4$ million ( $7 \%$ ) primarily from an average cost increase of $\$ 0.11$ per gallon ( $9 \%$ ), partially offset by a $1 \%$ decrease in sales volumes when compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011. Renewable fuels marketing costs increased $\$ 46.3$ million ( $4 \%$ ), primarily from a $4 \%$ increase in volumes, while the price per gallon remained relatively flat when compared with the same nine-month period in the previous year.

Our Ag Business segment cost of goods sold, of $\$ 19.9$ billion, increased $\$ 1.9$ billion ( $11 \%$ ) during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period of the prior year.
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The cost of grains procured through our Ag Business segment of $\$ 14.3$ billion increased $\$ 445.1$ million ( $3 \%$ ) compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011. This is primarily the result of a $\$ 0.38(4 \%)$ increase in the average cost per bushel, partially offset by a $1 \%$ net decrease in bushels sold as compared to the same period in the prior year.

Our oilseed processing cost of goods sold in our Ag Business segment of $\$ 1.1$ billion increased $\$ 169.1$ million ( $19 \%$ ) during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011, which was primarily due to increases in the cost of soybeans purchased as well as new activity associated with our acquisitions of Solbar and the oilseed crushing facility in Creston, Iowa.

Wholesale crop nutrients cost of goods sold in our Ag Business segment of $\$ 2.2$ billion increased $\$ 569.8$ million ( $34 \%$ ) during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period of the previous year. The net increase is comprised of an increase in the average cost per ton of fertilizer of $\$ 78(19 \%)$ and a net increase in tons sold of $13 \%$, when compared to the same nine-month period in the prior year.

Our Ag Business segment other product cost of goods sold, primarily feed and farm supplies, increased $\$ 701.2$ million (50\%) during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011, primarily due to increased volumes, including additional volumes generated from acquisitions.

Marketing, General and Administrative. Marketing, general and administrative expenses of $\$ 368.3$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 increased by $\$ 63.9$ million ( $21 \%$ ) compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011. This net increase is primarily due to the expansion of foreign operations and acquisitions in our Ag Business segment.

Interest, net. Net interest of $\$ 126.6$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 increased $\$ 71.6$ million compared to the same period in fiscal 2011. Interest expense for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011 was $\$ 135.8$ million and $\$ 60.6$ million, respectively. The increase in interest expense of $\$ 75.2$ million is primarily due to interest accretion of $\$ 4.0$ million related to the purchase of the NCRA noncontrolling interests and $\$ 63.4$ million of patronage earned by the noncontrolling interests of NCRA. See Note 13, Acquisitions for more information. The increase in interest expense was also due to a private placement of $\$ 500.0$ million in June 2011 for long-term debt, partially offset by decreased short-term borrowings from decreased working capital needs during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period in the previous year. Compared to the same period in fiscal 2011, the average level of short-term borrowings decreased $\$ 778.3$ million during the nine months ended May 31, 2012, of which $\$ 105.1$ million related to CHS Capital activity. For the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, we capitalized interest of $\$ 6.1$ million and $\$ 4.1$ million, respectively, primarily related to construction projects at both refineries in our Energy segment. Interest income was $\$ 3.1$ million and $\$ 1.5$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Equity Income from Investments. Equity income from investments of $\$ 68.9$ million for the nine months ended May 31,2012 decreased $\$ 31.4$ million ( $31 \%$ ) compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011. We record equity income or loss from the investments in which we have an ownership interest of $50 \%$ or less and have significant influence, but not control, for our proportionate share of income or loss reported by the entity, without consolidating the revenues and expenses of the entity in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. The net decrease in equity income from investments was attributable to reduced earnings from investments in our Ag Business and Corporate and Other segments of $\$ 17.7$ million and $\$ 14.4$ million, respectively, and was partially offset by increased equity investment earnings in our Energy segment of $\$ 0.7$ million.

Our Ag Business segment equity investment earnings decreased by $\$ 17.7$ million. We had a net decrease of $\$ 19.3$ million from our share of equity investment earnings in our grain marketing joint ventures during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to the same period the previous year, which is primarily related to the dissolution of United Harvest and decreased earnings related to a reduction in U.S. exports, partially offset by our sale of Multigrain. Our country operations business reported an aggregate decrease in equity investment earnings of $\$ 1.9$ million from several small equity investments.
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Corporate and Other equity investment earnings decreased by $\$ 14.4$ million, primarily from Ventura Foods, our vegetable oil-based products and packaged foods joint venture, which decreased $\$ 7.0$ million compared to the same nine-month period in the previous year. Our wheat milling joint venture earnings also decreased by $\$ 6.8$ million compared to the same nine-month period in the previous year.

Income Taxes. Income tax expense was $\$ 86.6$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared with $\$ 87.1$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2011, resulting in effective tax rates of $8.2 \%$ and $9.6 \%$, respectively. As a result of the Multigrain transaction previously discussed, during the nine months ended May 31, 2011, we reduced a valuation allowance related to the carryforward of certain capital losses that will expire on August 31, 2014, by $\$ 24.6$ million. The federal and state statutory rate applied to nonpatronage business activity was $38.9 \%$ for the nine-month periods ended May 31, 2012 and 2011. The income taxes and effective tax rate vary each year based upon profitability and nonpatronage business activity during each of the comparable years.

Noncontrolling Interests. Income attributable to noncontrolling interests of $\$ 75.1$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 increased by $\$ 5.8$ million compared to the nine months ended May 31, 2011. This net increase was primarily due to increased earnings in our Energy segment during our first quarter of fiscal 2012. As discussed in Note 13, Acquisitions, the portion of NCRA earnings attributable to the noncontrolling interests of NCRA for our first quarter of 2012, prior to the transaction date, have been included in net income attributable to noncontrolling interests. Beginning in the second quarter of fiscal 2012, earnings are no longer attributable to the noncontrolling interests, and patronage earned by the noncontrolling interests of NCRA after November 29, 2011 are included as interest, net in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

On May 31, 2012, we had working capital, defined as current assets less current liabilities, of $\$ 2,854.6$ million and a current ratio, defined as current assets divided by current liabilities, of 1.6 to 1.0 , compared to working capital of $\$ 2,776.5$ million and a current ratio of 1.5 to 1.0 on August 31, 2011. On May 31, 2011, we had working capital of $\$ 2,183.1$ million and a current ratio of 1.3 to 1.0 , compared to working capital of $\$ 1,604.0$ million and a current ratio of 1.4 to 1.0 on August 31, 2010.

On May 31, 2012, we had two primary committed lines of credit. We had a three-year revolving facility and a five-year revolving facility, each with committed amounts of $\$ 1.25$ billion, for a total of $\$ 2.5$ billion, which had no amounts outstanding as of May 31, 2012. As of August 31, 2011 we had two revolving lines of credit totaling $\$ 2.2$ billion, both of which were terminated and replaced by the existing facilities in September 2011. The major financial covenants for both revolving facilities require us to maintain a minimum consolidated net worth, adjusted as defined in the credit agreements, of $\$ 2.5$ billion and a consolidated funded debt to consolidated cash flow ratio of no greater than 3.00 to 1.00 . The term consolidated cash flow is principally our earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) with adjustments as defined in the credit agreements. A third financial ratio does not allow our adjusted consolidated funded debt to adjusted consolidated equity to exceed .80 to 1.00 at any time. Our credit facilities are established with a syndication of domestic and international banks, and our inventories and receivables financed with them are highly liquid. With our current cash balances and our available capacity on our committed lines of credit, we believe that we have adequate liquidity to cover any increase in net operating assets and liabilities and expected capital expenditures.

On May 31, 2011, we had two primary committed lines of credit. One of these lines of credit was a $\$ 900.0$ million committed five-year revolving facility that had an expiration date of June 2015, which had $\$ 190.0$ million outstanding on May 31, 2011, and interest rates ranging from $0.75 \%$ to $1.97 \%$. On November 24, 2010, we terminated our $\$ 700.0$ million revolving facility that had a May 2011 expiration date and entered into a new $\$ 1.3$ billion committed 364 -day revolving facility that had an expiration date in November 2011. There was $\$ 340.0$ million outstanding on the 364-day revolving facility on May 31, 2011, with interest rates ranging from $0.66 \%$ to $1.77 \%$.
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In addition, our wholly-owned subsidiary, CHS Capital, makes seasonal and term loans to member cooperatives, businesses and individual producers of agricultural products included in our cash flows from investing activities, and has its own financing explained in further detail below under Cash Flows from Financing Activities.

## Cash Flows from Operations

Cash flows from operations are generally affected by commodity prices and the seasonality of our businesses. These commodity prices are affected by a wide range of factors beyond our control, including weather, crop conditions, drought, the availability and the adequacy of supply and transportation, government regulations and policies, world events, and general political and economic conditions. These factors are described in the cautionary statements and may affect net operating assets and liabilities, and liquidity.

Our cash flows provided by operating activities were $\$ 995.3$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 compared to cash flows used in operating activities of $\$ 383.9$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2011. The fluctuation in cash flows when comparing the two periods is primarily from a slight increase in cash outflows for net changes in operating assets and liabilities during the nine months ended May 31, 2012, compared to a significant increase in net cash outflows during the nine months ended May 31,2011. Commodity prices increased significantly during the nine months ended May 31, 2011, and resulted in a large increase in working capital needs compared to August 31, 2010.

Our operating activities provided net cash of $\$ 995.3$ million during the nine months ended May 31, 2012. Net income including noncontrolling interests of $\$ 974.8$ million and net non-cash expenses and income from equity investments of $\$ 192.3$ million were partially offset by an increase in net operating assets and liabilities of $\$ 171.8$ million. The primary components of adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities included depreciation and amortization, and amortization of deferred major repair costs, of $\$ 187.9$ million, deferred tax of $\$ 19.8$ million, and income from equity investments, net of redemptions from those investments, was $\$ 2.8$ million. The slight increase in net operating assets and liabilities was caused primarily by an increase in receivables and a decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses. Partially offsetting this was a decrease in inventories, net margin deposits and net derivative assets which resulted from the decrease in commodity prices on May 31, 2012, when compared to August 31, 2011. On May 31, 2012, the per bushel market prices of our three primary grain commodities decreased as follows: corn $\$ 2.02(27 \%)$, soybeans $\$ 1.09(8 \%)$ and spring wheat $\$ 2.14(22 \%)$ when compared to market prices on August 31, 2011. In general, crude oil market prices decreased $\$ 2$ (3\%) per barrel on May 31, 2012 compared to August 31, 2011. On May 31, 2012, fertilizer commodity prices affecting our wholesale crop nutrients and country operations retail businesses generally decreased between $9 \%$ and $19 \%$, depending on the specific products, compared to prices on August 31, 2011, with the exception of Urea, which increased $30 \%$. Grain inventory quantities decreased in our Ag Business segment by 14.8 million bushels ( $12 \%$ ) when comparing inventories at May 31, 2012 to August 31, 2011.

Our operating activities used net cash of $\$ 383.9$ million during the nine months ended May 31, 2011. Net income including noncontrolling interests of $\$ 824.1$ million and net non-cash expenses and cash distributions from equity investments of $\$ 84.0$ million were exceeded by an increase in net operating assets and liabilities of $\$ 1,292.0$ million. The primary components of adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities included depreciation and amortization, with amortization of deferred major repair costs of $\$ 182.2$ million, redemptions from equity investments, net of income from those investments, of $\$ 28.2$ million, and deferred taxes of $\$ 12.3$ million, partially offset by gain on investments of $\$ 128.8$ million. Gain on investments was previously discussed in Results of Operations, and is primarily comprised of the pre-tax gain on the sale of our Multigrain investment in the amount of $\$ 119.7$ million. The increase in net operating assets and liabilities was caused primarily by an increase in commodity prices reflected in increased inventories, receivables, hedging deposits and derivative assets, partially offset by increases in accounts payable and accrued expenses, customer credit balances, customer advance payments, and derivative liabilities on May 31, 2011, when compared to August 31, 2010. On May 31, 2011, the per bushel market prices of our three primary grain commodities increased as follows: corn $\$ 3.23(76 \%)$, spring wheat $\$ 3.29(47 \%)$, and soybeans $\$ 3.68$ ( $37 \%$ ) when compared to
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market prices on August 31, 2010. In general, crude oil market prices increased $\$ 31$ (43\%) per barrel on May 31, 2011 compared to August 31, 2010. On May 31, 2011, fertilizer commodity prices affecting our wholesale crop nutrients and country operations retail businesses generally increased between $16 \%$ and $39 \%$, depending on the specific products, compared to prices on August 31, 2010.

Our cash usage in our operating activities has generally been the lowest during our fourth fiscal quarter. Historically by this time we have sold a large portion of our seasonal agronomy-related inventories in our Ag Business segment operations and continue to collect cash from the related receivables.

## Cash Flows from Investing Activities

For the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, the net cash flows used in our investing activities totaled $\$ 450.3$ million and $\$ 643.1$ million, respectively.

The acquisition of property, plant and equipment totaled $\$ 315.6$ million and $\$ 214.1$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Included in our acquisitions of property, plant and equipment during the nine months ended May 31, 2011, were capital expenditures for an Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) mandated regulation that required the reduction of the benzene level in gasoline to be less than $0.62 \%$ volume by January 1, 2011. As a result of this regulation, our refineries incurred capital expenditures to reduce the current gasoline benzene levels to meet the new regulated levels. Both refineries were producing gasoline within the regulated benzene levels as of January 1, 2011. Approximately $\$ 18.3$ million of expenditures for the project were incurred during the nine months ended May 31, 2011.

Expenditures for major repairs related to our refinery turnarounds during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, were $\$ 20.9$ million and $\$ 88.0$ million, respectively. Refineries have planned major maintenance to overhaul, repair, inspect and replace process materials and equipment which typically occur for a five-to-six-week period every 2-4 years. Our Laurel, Montana refinery completed a turnaround during the first quarter of fiscal 2012. Both our Laurel, Montana and NCRA s McPherson, Kansas refineries completed turnarounds during the first quarter of fiscal 2011.

For the year ending August 31, 2012, we expect total expenditures for the acquisition of property, plant and equipment and major repairs to be approximately $\$ 500$ million. Included in our expected capital expenditures for fiscal 2012, is $\$ 60.0$ million for a project to replace a coker at one of our refineries with an expected total cost of $\$ 555.0$ million and expected completion in fiscal 2015. During the first nine months of fiscal 2012, we spent $\$ 29.8$ million related to this project. We had previously included anticipated expenditures for upgraded infrastructure and additional capacity at our Kalama, Washington grain export facility. With the recent agreement to sublease this facility to TEMCO, we are no longer including these amounts in our expected capital expenditures as they will be incurred by TEMCO.

Investments made during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, totaled $\$ 29.6$ million and $\$ 5.3$ million, respectively. We expect to make significant future cash contributions to TEMCO beginning in fiscal 2013 to fund our half of the Kalama, Washington expansion.

Cash acquisitions of businesses, net of cash received, totaled $\$ 159.4$ million and $\$ 67.5$ million during the nine months ended May 31,2012 and 2011, respectively. In February 2012, we acquired Solbar for $\$ 128.7$ million, net of cash acquired, which is included in our Ag Business segment. This acquisition deepens our presence in the value-added soy protein market. Solbar and its subsidiaries operate in the countries of Israel, China and the U.S. See Note 13, Acquisitions for additional information. In fiscal 2012, we also purchased an oilseed crushing facility in Creston, Iowa for $\$ 32.3$ million, which is included in our Ag Business segment. In January 2011, we purchased Agri Point Ltd. (Agri Point), for $\$ 62.4$ million, net of cash acquired. The acquisition is included in our Ag Business segment, and was completed with the purpose of expanding our global grain origination.
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Changes in notes receivable during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 resulted in a net increase in cash flows of $\$ 65.9$ million compared to a net decrease of $\$ 532.9$ million during the nine months ended May 31, 2011. The primary cause of the increase in cash flows during fiscal 2012 was a decrease in CHS Capital notes receivable on May 31, 2012 compared to August 31, 2011 of $\$ 112.3$ million, partially offset by an increase in NCRA related party notes receivables. During fiscal 2011, the primary cause of the decrease in cash flows was additional CHS Capital notes receivable of $\$ 475.6$ million resulting from additional customer borrowings due to higher commodity prices.

Partially offsetting our cash outlays for investing activities for the nine months ended May 31, 2011, was cash received from the sale of our Multigrain investment of $\$ 225.0$ million, as previously discussed in Results of Operations. During the nine months ended May 31, 2011, we received cash from redemptions of investments totaling $\$ 32.6$ million which primarily related to returns of capital from Agriliance for proceeds the company received from the sale of its retail facilities and the collection of receivables. For the nine months ended May 31, 2012, we received $\$ 5.7$ million related to redemptions of investments. In addition, for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, we received proceeds from the disposition of property, plant and equipment of $\$ 3.7$ million and $\$ 7.0$ million, respectively.

## Cash Flows from Financing Activities

For the nine months ended May 31, 2012, the net cash flows used by our financing activities totaled $\$ 784.0$ million compared to the net cash flows provided by our financing activities of $\$ 912.3$ million for the nine months ended May 31, 2011.

## Working Capital Financing

We finance our working capital needs through short-term lines of credit with a syndication of domestic and international banks. On May 31, 2012, we had two primary committed lines of credit. We had a three-year revolving facility and a five-year revolving facility, each with committed amounts of $\$ 1.25$ billion, for a total of $\$ 2.5$ billion. On May 31,2011 , we had two primary committed lines of credit. One of these lines of credit was a $\$ 900.0$ million committed five-year revolving facility that had a June 2015 expiration date and the other was a $\$ 1.3$ billion committed 364-day revolving facility that had an expiration date in November 2011. Both of these lines of credit were replaced and terminated in connection with the issuance of our existing revolvers in September 2011. In addition to our primary revolving lines of credit, we have a committed revolving credit facility dedicated to NCRA, with a syndication of banks in the amount of $\$ 15.0$ million. In December 2011, the line of credit dedicated to NCRA was renewed and expires in December 2014. We also have a three-year, $\$ 40.0$ million committed revolving facility, with the right to increase the capacity to $\$ 120.0$ million that expires in November 2013. Our wholly-owned subsidiaries, CHS Europe S.A. and CHS do Brasil Ltda. have uncommitted lines of credit which are collateralized by $\$ 140.6$ million of inventories and receivables at May 31, 2012. In addition, Solbar has collateralized lines of credit with $\$ 16.1$ million outstanding at May 31, 2012. We had total short-term indebtedness outstanding on these various facilities and other miscellaneous short-term notes payable totaling $\$ 201.6$ million, $\$ 130.7$ million and $\$ 638.9$ million as of May 31, 2012, August 31, 2011 and May 31, 2011, respectively.

We have two commercial paper programs, totaling up to $\$ 125.0$ million, with two banks participating in our revolving credit facilities. Terms of our credit facilities allow a maximum usage of $\$ 200.0$ million to pay principal under any commercial paper facility. We had no commercial paper outstanding on May 31, 2012, August 31, 2011 or May 31, 2011.

## CHS Capital Financing

CHS Capital sells eligible commercial loans receivable it has originated to Cofina Funding, LLC (Cofina Funding), a wholly-owned subsidiary of CHS Capital, which are then pledged as collateral under the note
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purchase agreements. The notes payable issued by Cofina Funding bear interest at variable rates based on commercial paper with a weighted average rate of $1.21 \%$ as of May 31, 2012. Borrowings by Cofina Funding utilizing the issuance of commercial paper under the note purchase agreements totaled $\$ 85.5$ million as of May 31, 2012.

CHS Capital has available credit under master participation agreements with numerous counterparties. Borrowings under these agreements are accounted for as secured borrowings and bear interest at variable rates ranging from $2.01 \%$ to $3.16 \%$ as of May 31, 2012. As of May 31, 2012, the total funding commitment under these agreements was $\$ 247.4$ million, of which $\$ 74.4$ million was borrowed.

CHS Capital sells loan commitments it has originated to ProPartners Financial (ProPartners) on a recourse basis. The total capacity for commitments under the ProPartners program is $\$ 250.0$ million. The total outstanding commitments under the program totaled $\$ 224.0$ million as of May 31, 2012, of which $\$ 121.6$ million was borrowed under these commitments with an interest rate of $1.85 \%$.

CHS Capital borrows funds under short-term notes issued as part of a surplus funds program. Borrowings under this program are unsecured and bear interest at variable rates ranging from $0.80 \%$ to $1.10 \%$ as of May 31, 2012, and are due upon demand. Borrowings under these notes totaled $\$ 138.9$ million as of May 31, 2012.

## Long-term Debt Financing

We typically finance our long-term capital needs, primarily for the acquisition of property, plant and equipment, with long-term agreements with various insurance companies and banks.

On May 31, 2012, we had total long-term debt outstanding of $\$ 1,481.4$ million, of which $\$ 150.0$ million was bank financing, $\$ 1,259.6$ million was private placement debt and $\$ 71.8$ million was other notes and contracts payable. The aggregate amount of long-term debt payable presented in the Management s Discussion and Analysis in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended August 31, 2011, has not changed significantly during the nine months ended May 31, 2012. On August 31, 2011 and May 31, 2011, we had long-term debt outstanding of $\$ 1,502.0$ million and $\$ 1,039.9$ million, respectively. Our long-term debt is unsecured except for other notes and contracts in the amount of $\$ 37.2$ million; however, restrictive covenants under various agreements have requirements for maintenance of minimum consolidated net worth and other financial ratios as of May 31, 2012. We were in compliance with all debt covenants and restrictions as of May 31, 2012.

We had no long-term borrowings during the nine months ended May 31, 2012, compared to $\$ 131.9$ million of long-term borrowings during the nine months ended May 31, 2011. During the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, we repaid long-term debt of $\$ 55.7$ million and $\$ 77.1$ million, respectively.

## Other Financing

During the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, changes in checks and drafts outstanding resulted in a decrease in cash flows of $\$ 35.1$ million and $\$ 8.0$ million, respectively.

Distributions to noncontrolling interests for the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, were $\$ 77.5$ million and $\$ 8.1$ million, respectively, and were primarily related to NCRA.

In accordance with the bylaws and by action of the Board of Directors, annual net earnings from patronage sources are distributed to consenting patrons following the close of each fiscal year. Patronage refunds are calculated based on amounts using financial statement earnings. The cash portion of the patronage distribution is determined annually by the Board of Directors, with the balance issued in the form of capital equity certificates. Consenting patrons have agreed to take both the cash and the capital equity certificate portion allocated to them from our previous fiscal year s income into their taxable income, and as a result, we are allowed a deduction
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from our taxable income for both the cash distribution and the allocated capital equity certificates as long as the cash distribution is at least $20 \%$ of the total patronage distribution. Distributable patronage earnings from the fiscal year ended August 31, 2011, were distributed during the nine months ended May 31, 2012. The cash portion of this distribution, deemed by the Board of Directors to be $35 \%$ for individual members and $40 \%$ for nonindividual members, was $\$ 260.6$ million. During the nine months ended May 31, 2011, we distributed cash patronage of $\$ 141.5$ million.

Redemptions of capital equity certificates, approved by the Board of Directors, are divided into two pools, one for non-individuals (primarily member cooperatives) who may participate in an annual retirement program for equities held by them and another for individuals who are eligible for equity redemptions at age 70 or upon death. In accordance with authorization from the Board of Directors, $\$ 138.4$ million was redeemed in cash during the nine months ended May 31, 2012, compared to $\$ 56.6$ million distributed in cash during the nine months ended May 31, 2011.

Our 8\% Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (Preferred Stock) is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol CHSCP. On May 31, 2012, we had $12,272,003$ shares of Preferred Stock outstanding with a total redemption value of approximately $\$ 306.8$ million, excluding accumulated dividends. Our Preferred Stock accumulates dividends at a rate of $8 \%$ per year, which are payable quarterly, and is redeemable at our option. At this time, we have no current plan or intent to redeem any Preferred Stock. Dividends paid on our preferred stock during the nine months ended May 31, 2012 and 2011, were $\$ 18.4$ million and $\$ 18.4$ million, respectively.

## Off Balance Sheet Financing Arrangements

## Lease Commitments:

Our lease commitments presented in Management s Discussion and Analysis in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended August 31, 2011, have not materially changed during the nine months ended May 31, 2012.

## Guarantees:

We are a guarantor for lines of credit and performance obligations of related companies. As of May 31, 2012, our bank covenants allowed maximum guarantees of $\$ 500.0$ million, of which $\$ 15.7$ million was outstanding. We have collateral for a portion of these contingent obligations. We have not recorded a liability related to the contingent obligations as we do not expect to pay out any cash related to them, and the fair values are considered immaterial. The underlying loans to the counterparties, for which we provide guarantees, are current as of May 31, 2012.

Debt:

There is no material off balance sheet debt.

## Contractual Obligations

Our contractual obligations are presented in Management s Discussion and Analysis in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended August 31, 2011. In November 2011, we entered into an agreement to purchase the noncontrolling interests of NCRA, including additional contingent consideration. See Note 13, Acquisitions for additional information. In May 2012, we entered into an agreement with TCN requiring us to deliver a minimum volume of grain through their port facilities. See Note 12, Commitments and Contingencies for additional information.
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## Legal Proceedings

We are involved as a defendant in various lawsuits, claims and disputes, which are in the normal course of our business. The resolution of any such matters may affect consolidated net income for any fiscal period; however, our management believes any resulting liabilities, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows during any fiscal year.

In March 2012, NCRA reached agreement with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the State of Kansas Department of Health and Environment, regarding the terms of a settlement with respect to alleged violations of EPA regulations at NCRA s McPherson, Kansas refinery. The settlement takes the form of a consent decree filed with the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas and entered in May 2012. The consent decree details an investment which has been made by NCRA for approximately $\$ 0.7$ million to support local Supplemental Environmental Projects which benefits the community s emergency response personnel and the community, including the purchase of a new ambulance, emergency command trailer, and other emergency response equipment. The consent decree also requires NCRA to pay approximately $\$ 0.7$ million, plus associated interest, in civil cash penalties. This settlement will not have a material adverse affect on us or NCRA.

On November 21, 2009, a late-night fire destroyed a shop, a warehouse containing some feed, seed, and agronomy products, and part of the office at the Malta, Montana branch of Milk River Cooperative, a CHS-owned facility. Our local staff worked with local emergency officials to respond in a timely manner in keeping with accepted protocols and in what all parties believed was in the best interests of community health and safety and to eliminate any environmental impact. There were no injuries and the fire was extinguished in a short period of time. We promptly notified both the Montana Department of Environmental Quality and the Montana Department of Emergency Services. All remediation work was overseen by West Central Environmental Consultants and completed under the supervision of the Montana Department of Environmental Quality. Follow up review by the EPA regulators determined that while we had notified the required state agencies, notification was not made to the National Response Center which may or may not have been required depending on whether or not there was a release of a reportable quantity of certain listed chemicals.

On May 26, 2010, we received a letter from the EPA and the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) advising us that those agencies were conducting a criminal investigation as a result of the November 21, 2009 fire and that we were a target of that investigation. To date, no formal legal action has been commenced and we are cooperating with the EPA and the DOJ in exploring the possibility of a settlement in the form of a possible plea agreement for failure to notify the National Response Center, as required by The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act. While we anticipate that any such plea agreement would likely require us to pay a financial penalty, such a plea agreement would not have a material adverse effect on us.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Our critical accounting policies are presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended August 31, 2011. There have been no changes to these policies during the nine months ended May 31, 2012.

## Effect of Inflation and Foreign Currency Transactions

We believe that inflation and foreign currency fluctuations have not had a significant effect on our operations since we conduct a significant portion of our business in U.S. dollars.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU No. $2011-04$ provides a consistent definition of fair value to ensure that the
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fair value measurement and disclosure requirements are similar between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. Some of the key amendments to the fair value measurement guidance include the highest and best use and valuation premise for nonfinancial assets, application to financial assets and financial liabilities with offsetting positions in market risks or counterparty credit risk, premiums or discounts in fair value measurement and fair value of an instrument classified in a reporting entity s equity. Additional disclosures for fair value measurements categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy include a quantitative disclosure of the unobservable inputs and assumptions used in the measurement, a description of the valuation processes in place, a narrative description of the sensitivity of the fair value to changes in unobservable inputs and interrelationships between those inputs. ASU 2011-04 became effective for us during our third fiscal quarter, and the required disclosures are included in Note 11, Fair Value Measurements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. ASU No. 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of stockholders equity. It requires an entity to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in ASU 2011-05, to defer the effective date of the specific requirement to present items that are reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income to net income alongside their respective components of net income and other comprehensive income. All other provisions of this update, which are to be applied retrospectively, are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. As ASU No. 2011-05 is only disclosure related, it will not have an impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) Testing Goodwill for Impairment. ASU No. 2011-08 allows entities to use a qualitative approach to test goodwill for impairment. It permits an entity to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. If it is concluded that this is the case, it is necessary to perform the currently prescribed two-step goodwill impairment test. Otherwise, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. This guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, and early adoption is permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this guidance to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-09, Compensation Retirement Benefits Multiemployer Plans (Subtopic 715-80). ASU No. 2011-09 requires that employers provide additional separate disclosures for multiemployer pension plans and multiemployer other postretirement benefit plans. The additional quantitative and qualitative disclosures will provide users with more detailed information about an employer $s$ involvement in multiemployer pension plans. This guidance is effective for annual periods for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2011, and early adoption is permitted. As ASU No. 2011-09 is only disclosure related, it will not have an impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-11, Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. ASU No. 2011-11 creates new disclosure requirements about the nature of an entity s rights of setoff and related arrangements associated with its financial instruments and derivative instruments. The disclosure requirements in this update are effective for annual reporting periods, and interim periods within those years, beginning on or after January 1, 2013. We are currently evaluating the impact that the adoption will have on our consolidated financial statements in fiscal 2014.
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## CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS FOR PURPOSES OF THE SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS OF THE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT

Any statements contained in this report regarding the outlook for our businesses and their respective markets, such as projections of future performance, statements of our plans and objectives, forecasts of market trends and other matters, are forward-looking statements based on our assumptions and beliefs. Such statements may be identified by such words or phrases as will likely result, are expected to, will continue, outlook, will benefit, is anticipated, estimate, project, management believes or similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in such statements and no assurance can be given that the results in any forward-looking statement will be achieved. For these statements, we claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made, and we disclaim any obligation to subsequently revise any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after such date or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

Certain factors could cause our future results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in any forward-looking statements contained in this report. These factors include the factors discussed in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2011 under the caption Risk Factors, the factors discussed below and any other cautionary statements, written or oral, which may be made or referred to in connection with any such forward-looking statements. Since it is not possible to foresee all such factors, these factors should not be considered as complete or exhaustive.

Our revenues and operating results could be adversely affected by changes in commodity prices.

Our operating results could be adversely affected if our members were to do business with others rather than with us.

We participate in highly competitive business markets in which we may not be able to continue to compete successfully.

Changes in federal income tax laws or in our tax status could increase our tax liability and reduce our net income.

We incur significant costs in complying with applicable laws and regulations. Any failure to make the capital investments necessary to comply with these laws and regulations could expose us to financial liability.

Changing environmental and energy laws and regulation, including those related to climate change and Green House Gas ( GHG ) emissions, may result in increased operating costs and capital expenditures and may have an adverse effect on our business operations.

Government policies and regulation affecting the agricultural sector and related industries could adversely affect our operations and profitability.

Environmental liabilities could adversely affect our results and financial condition.

Actual or perceived quality, safety or health risks associated with our products could subject us to liability and damage our business and reputation.

## Edgar Filing: CHS INC - Form 10-Q

Our operations are subject to business interruptions and casualty losses; we do not insure against all potential losses and could be seriously harmed by unexpected liabilities.

Our cooperative structure limits our ability to access equity capital.

Consolidation among the producers of products we purchase and customers for products we sell could adversely affect our revenues and operating results.

If our customers choose alternatives to our refined petroleum products our revenues and profits may decline.
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Operating results from our agronomy business could be volatile and are dependent upon certain factors outside of our control.

Technological improvements in agriculture could decrease the demand for our agronomy and energy products.

We operate some of our business through joint ventures in which our rights to control business decisions are limited.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK
We did not experience any material changes in market risk exposures for the period ended May 31, 2012, that affect the quantitative and qualitative disclosures presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended August 31, 2011.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act )) as of May 31, 2012. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of that date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

During the third fiscal quarter ended May 31, 2012, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

We are involved as a defendant in various lawsuits, claims and disputes, which are in the normal course of our business. The resolution of any such matters may affect consolidated net income for any fiscal period; however, our management believes any resulting liabilities, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows during any fiscal year.

In March 2012, NCRA reached agreement with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the State of Kansas Department of Health and Environment, regarding the terms of a settlement with respect to alleged violations of EPA regulations at NCRA s McPherson, Kansas refinery. The settlement takes the form of a consent decree filed with the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas and entered in May 2012. The consent decree details an investment which has been made by NCRA for approximately $\$ 0.7$ million to support local Supplemental Environmental Projects which benefits the community s emergency response personnel and the community, including the purchase of a new ambulance, emergency command trailer, and other emergency response equipment. The consent decree also requires NCRA to pay approximately $\$ 0.7$ million, plus associated interest, in civil cash penalties. This settlement will not have a material adverse affect on us or NCRA.

On November 21, 2009, a late-night fire destroyed a shop, a warehouse containing some feed, seed, and agronomy products, and part of the office at the Malta, Montana branch of Milk River Cooperative, a CHS-owned facility. Our local staff worked with local emergency officials to respond in a timely manner in keeping with accepted protocols and in what all parties believed was in the best interests of community health and safety and to eliminate any environmental impact. There were no injuries and the fire was extinguished in a short period of time. We promptly notified both the Montana Department of Environmental Quality and the Montana Department of Emergency Services. All remediation work was overseen by West Central Environmental Consultants and completed under the supervision of the Montana Department of Environmental Quality. Follow up review by the EPA regulators determined that while we had notified the required state agencies, notification was not made to the National Response Center which may or may not have been required depending on whether or not there was a release of a reportable quantity of certain listed chemicals.

On May 26, 2010, we received a letter from the EPA and the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) advising us that those agencies were conducting a criminal investigation as a result of the November 21, 2009 fire and that we were a target of that investigation. To date, no formal legal action has been commenced and we are cooperating with the EPA and the DOJ in exploring the possibility of a settlement in the form of a possible plea agreement for failure to notify the National Response Center, as required by The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act. While we anticipate that any such plea agreement would likely require us to pay a financial penalty, such a plea agreement would not have a material adverse effect on us.

## ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

There were no material changes to our risk factors during the period covered by this report. See the discussion of risk factors in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2011.
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## ITEM 6. Exhibits

## Exhibit

## Description

31.1 Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2 Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

101 The following financial information from CHS Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended May 31, 2012 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (ii) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CHS Inc.
(Registrant)
July 12, 2012
/s/ David A. Kastelic
David A. Kastelic
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

