AES CORP Form 10-Q August 05, 2011 Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2011

or

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-12291

# THE AES CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of

54 1163725 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

incorporation or organization)

4300 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia

22203

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(703) 522-1315

Registrant s telephone number, including area code:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer , accelerated filer , and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

The number of shares outstanding of Registrant s Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, on July 29, 2011 was 782,403,030.

## THE AES CORPORATION

## FORM 10-Q

## FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I:	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	1
ITEM 1.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	1
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations	1
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity	4
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	5
ITEM 2.	MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	45
<i>ITEM 3</i> .	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	88
ITEM 4.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	90
PART II	: OTHER INFORMATION	91
ITEM 1.	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	91
ITEM		
<i>1A</i> .	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	100
ITEM 2.	UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS	100
ITEM 3.	<u>DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u>	100
ITEM 4.	<u>REMOVED AND RESERVED</u>	100
ITEM 5.	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	100
ITEM 6.	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	101

## PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## THE AES CORPORATION

## **Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations**

## (Unaudited)

	Three Mor	e <b>30</b> ,	Six Mont June	230,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
_	(in mi	llions, except	per share amo	ounts)
Revenue:				
Regulated	\$ 2,483	\$ 2,213	\$ 4,896	\$ 4,454
Non-Regulated	2,061	1,710	3,912	3,389
Total revenue	4,544	3,923	8,808	7,843
Cost of Sales:				
Regulated	(1,905)	(1,641)	(3,728)	(3,307)
Non-Regulated	(1,620)	(1,280)	(3,045)	(2,573)
Total cost of sales	(3,525)	(2,921)	(6,773)	(5,880)
Gross margin	1,019	1,002	2,035	1,963
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	,
	(07)	(101)	(102)	(101)
General and administrative expenses	(97)	(101)	(192)	(181)
Interest expense	97	(389)	(747) 192	(770) 209
Interest income Other purposes				
Other expense Other income	(38)	(48)	(55)	(60)
	1	68	50 7	77
Gain on sale of investments				
Asset impairment expense	(33)	(1)	(33)	(1)
Foreign currency transaction gains (losses) on net monetary position	38	(71)	71	(122)
Other non-operating expense	-	(5)	-	(5)
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE TAXES AND EQUITY IN EARNINGS OF AFFILIATES	625	556	1,328	1,110
Income tax expense	(178)	(261)	(396)	(447)
Net equity in earnings of affiliates	(3)	134	7	147
recequity in carmings of armates	(3)	134	/	147
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	444	429	939	810
Income (loss) from operations of discontinued businesses, net of income tax (benefit) expense of \$(7),				
\$(6), \$(13) and \$5, respectively	(17)	9	(29)	43
Gain from disposal of discontinued businesses, net of income tax (benefit) expense of \$0, \$0, \$0 and \$0,				
respectively	-	(9)	-	(22)
NET INCOME	427	429	910	831
Noncontrolling interests:				
Less: Income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	(253)	(277)	(512)	(488)
Less: Income from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	(8)	-	(12)
Total net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(253)	(285)	(512)	(500)

NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE AES CORPORATION	\$	174	\$	144	\$	398	\$	331
BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE:								
Income from continuing operations attributable to The AES Corporation common stockholders, net of								
tax	\$	0.24	\$	0.19	\$	0.55	\$	0.43
Discontinued operations attributable to The AES Corporation common stockholders, net of tax		(0.02)		(0.01)		(0.04)		0.01
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE AES CORPORATION								
COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	\$	0.22	\$	0.18	\$	0.51	\$	0.44
			•		·			
DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE:								
Income from continuing operations attributable to The AES Corporation common stockholders, net of								
tax	\$	0.24	\$	0.19	\$	0.54	\$	0.43
Discontinued operations attributable to The AES Corporation common stockholders, net of tax		(0.02)		(0.01)		(0.04)		0.01
•								
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE AES CORPORATION								
COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	\$	0.22	\$	0.18	\$	0.50	\$	0.44
AMOUNTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE AES CORPORATION								
COMMON STOCKHOLDERS:								
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	\$	191	\$	152	\$	427	\$	322
Discontinued operations, net of tax	-	(17)	-	(8)	-	(29)	-	9
··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		()		(3)		(=>)		-
Net income	\$	174	\$	144	\$	398	\$	331

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

## THE AES CORPORATION

## **Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets**

	June 30, 2011 (in mil share and (unaudited)	lions, ex	•
ASSETS	(umuuntu)		
CURRENT ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,624	\$	2,552
Restricted cash	530	•	502
Short-term investments	1,231		1,730
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$348 and \$307, respectively	2,614		2,316
Inventory	654		562
Receivable from affiliates	25		27
Deferred income taxes current	298		306
Prepaid expenses	180		225
Other current assets	855		1,056
Current assets of discontinued and held for sale businesses	162		170
Total current assets	10,173		9,446
NONCURRENT ASSETS			
Property, Plant and Equipment:			
Land	1,184		1,126
Electric generation, distribution assets and other	31,265		28,172
Accumulated depreciation	(9,727)		(9,145)
Construction in progress	2,825		4,459
Property, plant and equipment, net	25,547		24,612
Other Assets:			
Investments in and advances to affiliates	1,542		1,320
Debt service reserves and other deposits	797		653
Goodwill	1,267		1,271
Other intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$172 and \$157, respectively	527		511
Deferred income taxes noncurrent	661		646
Other	2,057		1,964
Noncurrent assets of discontinued and held for sale businesses	64		88
Total other assets	6,915		6,453
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 42,635	\$	40,511
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY			
CURRENT LIABILITIES			
Accounts payable	\$ 1,892	\$	2,053
Accrued interest	286		257
Accrued and other liabilities	2,452		2,662
Non-recourse debt current, including \$1,203 and \$1,150, respectively, related to variable interest entities	2,320		2,567
Recourse debt current	11		463
Current liabilities of discontinued and held for sale businesses	232		63
Total current liabilities	7,193		8,065

LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

Non-recourse debt noncurrent, including \$2,245 and \$2,199, respectively, related to variable interest entities	12,922	12,372
Recourse debt noncurrent	6,182	4,149
Deferred income taxes noncurrent	891	895
Pension and other post-retirement liabilities	1,549	1,512
Other long-term liabilities	2,938	2,814
Long-term liabilities of discontinued and held for sale businesses	63	231
Total long-term liabilities	24,545	21,973
Contingencies and Commitments (see Note 9)		
Cumulative preferred stock of subsidiary	60	60
EQUITY		
THE AES CORPORATION STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Common stock (\$0.01 par value, 1,200,000,000 shares authorized; 806,836,014 issued and 782,273,322 outstanding at		
June 30, 2011 and 804,894,313 issued and 787,607,240 outstanding at December 31, 2010	8	8
Additional paid-in capital	8,471	8,444
Retained earnings	1,018	620
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2,278)	(2,383)
Treasury stock, at cost (24,562,692 shares at June 30, 2011 and 17,287,073 shares at December 31, 2010, respectively)	(308)	(216)
Total The AES Corporation stockholders equity	6,911	6,473
NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS	3,926	3,940
Total equity	10,837	10,413
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$ 42,635	\$ 40,511

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

## THE AES CORPORATION

## **Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

## (Unaudited)

OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	2011	Months Ended June 30, 2010 n millions)
Net income	\$ 91	0 \$ 831
Adjustments to net income:	φ 91	0 \$ 651
Depreciation and amortization	62	2 584
Loss from sale of investments and impairment expense		7 18
Loss on disposal and impairment write-down discontinued operations		- 18
Provision for deferred taxes	2	8 117
Contingencies		6 72
Loss on the extinguishment of debt		5 9
Undistributed gain from sale of equity method investment		- (115)
Other	(8	(9)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	(-	-, ( )
Increase in accounts receivable	(18	(69)
Increase in inventory	(8	(1)
Decrease in prepaid expenses and other current assets	15	
Increase in other assets	(4	(51)
Decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(25	
Decrease in income taxes and other income tax payables, net	(15	
Increase in other liabilities	17	
Net cash provided by operating activities  INVESTING ACTIVITIES:	1,18	0 1,415
	(1.01	0) (1.002)
Capital expenditures Acquisitions net of cash acquired	(1,01 (15	
Proceeds from the sale of businesses	,	8 198
Proceeds from the sale of assets		2 2
Sale of short-term investments	3,06	
Purchase of short-term investments	(2,49	
Increase in restricted cash		6) (74)
Increase in debt service reserves and other assets		$(2) \qquad (9)$
Affiliate advances and equity investments	,	(2) $(3)$ $(60)$ $(27)$
Proceeds from loan repayments	(0	- 132
Other investing	(1	5) 41
Net cash used in investing activities	(75	(955)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		1.5(0)
Issuance of common stock	10	- 1,569
Borrowings under the revolving credit facilities, net	12	
Issuance of recourse debt	2,05	
Issuance of non-recourse debt	57	
Repayments of recourse debt	(47	
Repayments of non-recourse debt	(76	
Payments for financing fees	(7	(29)

Edgar Filing: AES CORP - Form 10-Q

Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(714)	(542)
Financed capital expenditures	(6)	(17)
Purchase of treasury stock	(98)	-
Other financing	2	(17)
Net cash provided by financing activities	620	692
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	29	(44)
Total increase in cash and cash equivalents	1,072	1,108
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning	2,552	1,780
Cash and cash equivalents, ending	\$ 3,624	\$ 2,888
	. ,	. ,
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES:		
Cash payments for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 734	\$ 764
Cash payments for income taxes, net of refunds	\$ 506	\$ 429

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

## THE AES CORPORATION

## **Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity**

## (Unaudited)

#### THE AES CORPORATION STOCKHOLDERS

			Ad	ditional	Accumulated tional Other					Co	nsolidated
	nmon ock	easury Stock		aid-In Capital		tained rnings (in mil	•	prehensive Loss	Noncontrolling Interests		nprehensive Income
Balance at January 1, 2011	\$ 8	\$ (216)	\$	8,444	\$	620	\$	(2,383)	\$ 3,940		
Net income	-	-		-		398		-	512	\$	910
Change in fair value of available-for-sale											
securities, net of income tax	-	-		-		-		(2)	-		(2)
Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of income tax								118	144		262
Change in unfunded pensions obligation, net of								110	144		202
income tax	_	_		_		_		2	5		7
Change in derivative fair value, including a								_	3		,
reclassification to earnings, net of income tax	-	-		-		-		(13)	3		(10)
Other comprehensive income											257
Total comprehensive income										\$	1,167
Capital contributions from noncontrolling											
interests	_	_		_		_		_	3		
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	-	-		-		-		-	(679)		
Disposition of businesses	-	-		-		-		-	(2)		
Acquisition of treasury stock	-	(98)		-		-		-	-		
Issuance of common stock under benefit plans											
and exercise of stock options and warrants, net											
of income tax	-	6		13		-		-	-		
Stock compensation	-	-		14		-		-	-		
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$ 8	\$ (308)	\$	8,471	\$	1,018	\$	(2,278)	\$ 3,926		

## THE AES CORPORATION STOCKHOLDERS

						Ac	cumulated			
			A	dditional			Other		Co	nsolidated
	 nmon ock	reasury Stock		Paid-In Capital	tained rnings (in mil		nprehensive Loss	controlling nterests		nprehensive Income
Balance at January 1, 2010	\$ 7	\$ (126)	\$	6,868	\$ 650	\$	(2,724)	\$ 4,205		
Net income	-	-		-	331		-	500	\$	831
Change in fair value of available-for-sale securities, net of income tax	_	_		_	-		(6)	-		(6)
Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of										
income tax	-	-		-	-		302	(68)		234
Change in unfunded pensions obligation, net of										
income tax	-	-		-	-		2	3		5
Change in derivative fair value, including a reclassification to earnings, net of income tax	-	_		-	-		(138)	(31)		(169)

Edgar Filing: AES CORP - Form 10-Q

Other comprehensive income							64
Total comprehensive income							\$ 895
Cumulative effect of consolidation of entities under variable interest entity accounting guidance	_	_	_	(47)	(38)	15	
Cumulative effect of deconsolidation of entities under variable interest entity accounting					, ,		
guidance Capital contributions from noncontrolling	-	-	-	1	-	-	
interests	_	-	-	_	_	3	
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	-	-	-	-	-	(646)	
Disposition of businesses	-	-	-	-	_	(14)	
Issuance of common stock	1	-	1,566	-	_	-	
Issuance of common stock under benefit plans							
and exercise of stock options and warrants, net							
of income tax	-	8	9	-	-	-	
Stock compensation	-	-	14	-	-	-	
Changes in the carrying amount of redeemable stock of subsidiaries	-	-	-	7	-	-	
Balance at June 30, 2010	\$ 8	\$ (118)	\$ 8,457	\$ 942	\$ (2,602)	\$ 3,967	

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

#### THE AES CORPORATION

#### **Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**

For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

#### 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION

The prior period condensed consolidated financial statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (Form 10-Q) have been reclassified to reflect the businesses held for sale and discontinued operations as discussed in Note 15 Discontinued Operations and Held for Sale Businesses.

On June 1, 2011, The AES Corporation filed a Current Report on Form 8-K ( June 2011 Form 8-K ) to recast previously filed financial statements included in the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 ( 2010 Form 10-K ) to reclassify certain businesses held for sale as discussed in Note 15 *Discontinued Operations and Held for Sale Businesses*. The revisions to the 2010 Form 10-K were limited to the Company s Business Overview, Selected Financial Data, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes contained in Items 1, 6, 7 and 8, respectively. All other information in the 2010 Form 10-K remains unchanged.

#### Consolidation

In this Quarterly Report the terms AES , the Company , us or we refer to the consolidated entity including its subsidiaries and affiliates. The term The AES Corporation , the Parent or the Parent Company refer only to the publicly-held holding company, The AES Corporation, excluding its subsidiaries and affiliates. Furthermore, variable interest entities (VIEs) in which the Company has a variable interest have been consolidated where the Company is the primary beneficiary. Investments in which the Company has the ability to exercise significant influence, but not control, are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

AES Thames, LLC (Thames), a 208 MW coal fired plant in Connecticut, filed petitions for bankruptcy protection under Chapter 11 in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court on February 1, 2011. Effective that date, the Company lost control of the business and is no longer able to exercise significant influence over its operating and financial policies. In accordance with the accounting guidance on consolidations, Thames was deconsolidated in February 2011 and is now accounted for as a cost method investment. Thames had total assets and total liabilities of \$158 million and \$170 million, respectively, on February 1, 2011. The deconsolidation resulted in a gain of \$12 million, which was deferred pending the completion of the bankruptcy proceedings.

#### **Interim Financial Presentation**

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and footnotes have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP), as contained in the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification, for interim financial information and Article 10 of Regulation S-X issued by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for annual fiscal reporting periods. In the opinion of management, the interim financial information includes all adjustments of a normal recurring nature necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations, financial position, changes in equity and cash flows. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011. The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited and should be read in conjunction with the 2010 audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, which are included in the June 2011 Form 8-K.

#### **Change in Estimate**

On January 1, 2011, the Company changed its estimates related to depreciation on property, plant and equipment at its Brazilian concessionary utility and generation businesses. Based on recent information received from regulators, the depreciation rates and salvage values for its concession assets were adjusted on a prospective basis to reflect a remuneration basis, which equates to the reimbursement expected by the Company at the end of the respective concession periods. For the three months ended June 30, 2011, the impact to the condensed consolidated statement of operations was an increase in depreciation expense of \$18 million and a decrease in net income attributable to The AES Corporation of \$5 million, or \$0.01 per share. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the impact to the condensed consolidated statement of operations was an increase in depreciation expense of \$35 million and a decrease in net income attributable to The AES Corporation of \$9 million, or \$0.01 per share.

#### **New Accounting Policies Adopted**

Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) No. 2009-13, Revenue Recognition (Topic 605), Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-13, which amended the accounting guidance related to revenue recognition. The amended guidance provides primarily two changes to the prior guidance for multiple-element revenue arrangements. The first eliminated the requirement that there be objective and reliable evidence of fair value for any undelivered items in order for a delivered item to be treated as a separate unit of accounting. The second required that the consideration from multiple-element revenue arrangements be allocated to all the deliverables based on their relative selling price at the inception of the arrangement. AES adopted the standard on January 1, 2011. AES elected prospective adoption and applied the revised guidance to all revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified after the date of adoption. The adoption of ASU No. 2009-13 did not have a material impact on the financial position and results of operations of AES and is not expected to have a material impact in future periods.

ASU No. 2010-28, Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350), When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-28, which amended the accounting guidance related to goodwill. The amendment modified Step One of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step Two of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, eliminating an entity s ability to assert that a reporting unit is not required to perform Step Two because the carrying amount of the reporting unit is zero or negative, despite the existence of qualitative factors that indicate the goodwill is more likely than not impaired. In determining whether it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist. The Company adopted ASU No. 2010-28 on January 1, 2011. The adoption did not have any impact on the Company as none of its reporting units with goodwill has a zero or negative carrying amount.

#### Accounting Pronouncements Issued But Not Yet Effective

As of June 30, 2011, the following accounting standards have been issued, but are not yet effective for, and have not been adopted by AES.

ASU No. 2011-2, Receivables (Topic 310), A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-2, which provides additional guidance and clarification to help creditors determine whether a creditor has granted a concession and whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties for purposes of determining whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring.

6

ASU No. 2011-2 is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, or July 1, 2011 for AES. The adoption is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

ASU No. 2011-4, Fair Value Measurements (Topic 820), Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-4, which among other requirements, prohibits the use of the block discount factor for all fair value level hierarchies; permits an entity to measure the fair value of its financial instruments on a net basis when the related market risks are managed on a net basis; states the highest and best use concept is no longer relevant in the measurement of financial assets and liabilities; clarifies that a reporting entity should disclose quantitative information about the unobservable inputs used in Level 3 measurements and that the application of premiums and discounts is related to the unit of account for the asset or liability being measured at fair value; and requires expanded disclosures to describe the valuation process used for Level 3 measurements and the sensitivity of Level 3 measurements to changes in unobservable inputs. In addition, entities are required to disclose the hierarchy level for items which are not measured at fair value in the statement of financial position, but for which fair value is required to be disclosed. ASU No. 2011-4 is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after December 15, 2011, or January 1, 2012 for AES. The adoption is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

#### 2. INVENTORY

The following table summarizes the Company s inventory balances as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	ne 30, 2011		mber 31, 2010
	(in n	nillions)	
Coal, fuel oil and other raw materials	\$ 351	\$	276
Spare parts and supplies	303		286
Total	\$ 654	\$	562

#### 3. FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

The fair value of current financial assets and liabilities, debt service reserves and other deposits approximate their reported carrying amounts. The fair value of non-recourse debt is estimated based upon the type of loan. For variable rate loans, carrying value approximates fair value. For fixed rate loans, the fair value is estimated using quoted market prices or discounted cash flow analyses. See Note 8 *Debt* for additional information on the fair value and carrying value of debt. The fair value of interest rate swap, cap and floor agreements, foreign currency forwards, swaps and options and energy derivatives is the estimated net amount that the Company would receive or pay to sell or transfer the agreements as of the balance sheet date.

The estimated fair values of the Company s assets and liabilities have been determined using available market information. By virtue of these amounts being estimates and based on hypothetical transactions to sell assets or transfer liabilities, the use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

The following table summarizes the carrying amount and fair value of certain of the Company s financial assets and liabilities as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	June 3	0, 2011	Decembe	r 31, 2010
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value (in mi	Carrying Amount illions)	Fair Value
Assets				
Marketable securities	\$ 1,271	\$ 1,271	\$ 1,772	\$ 1,772
Derivatives	155	155	124	124
Total assets	\$ 1,426	\$ 1,426	\$ 1,896	\$ 1,896
Liabilities				
Debt	\$ 21,435	\$ 22,179	\$ 19,551	\$ 20,137
Derivatives	440	440	423	423
Total liabilities	\$ 21,875	\$ 22,619	\$ 19,974	\$ 20,560

#### Valuation Techniques:

The fair value measurement accounting guidance describes three main approaches to measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities: (1) market approach; (2) income approach and (3) cost approach. The market approach uses prices and other relevant information generated from market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities. The income approach uses valuation techniques to convert future amounts to a single present value amount. The measurement is based on current market expectations of the return on those future amounts. The cost approach is based on the amount that would currently be required to replace an asset. The Company measures its investments and derivatives at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, in connection with annual or event-driven impairment evaluations, certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. These include long-lived tangible assets (i.e., property, plant and equipment), goodwill and intangible assets (e.g., sales concessions, land use rights and emissions allowances etc). In general, the Company determines the fair value of investments and derivatives using the market approach and the income approach, respectively. In the nonrecurring measurements of nonfinancial assets and liabilities, all three approaches are considered; however, fair value generated by the income approach is often selected.

#### Investments

The Company s investments measured at fair value generally consist of marketable debt and equity securities. Equity securities are measured at fair value using quoted market prices. Debt securities primarily consist of unsecured debentures, certificates of deposit and government debt securities held by our Brazilian subsidiaries. Returns and pricing on these instruments are generally indexed to the CDI (Brazilian equivalent to London Inter-Bank Offered Rate, or LIBOR, a benchmark interest rate widely used by banks in the interbank lending market) or Selic (overnight borrowing rate) rates in Brazil. Fair value is determined from comparisons to market data obtained for similar assets and are considered Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. For more detail regarding the fair value of investments see Note 4 *Investments in Marketable Securities*.

#### Derivatives

When deemed appropriate, the Company manages its risk from interest and foreign currency exchange rate and commodity price fluctuations through the use of over-the-counter financial and physical derivative instruments. The derivatives are primarily interest rate swaps to hedge non-recourse debt to establish a fixed rate on variable rate debt, foreign exchange instruments to hedge against currency fluctuations, commodity derivatives to hedge against commodity price fluctuations and embedded derivatives associated with commodity contracts. The Company s subsidiaries are counterparties to various over-the-counter derivatives, which include

8

interest rate swaps and options, foreign currency options and forwards and commodity swaps. In addition, the Company s subsidiaries are counterparties to certain power purchase agreements ( PPAs ) and fuel supply agreements that are derivatives or include embedded derivatives.

For the derivatives where there is a standard industry valuation model, the Company uses that model to estimate the fair value. For the derivatives (such PPAs and fuel supply agreements that are derivatives or include embedded derivatives) where there is not a standard industry valuation model, the Company has created internal valuation models to estimate the fair value, using observable data to the extent available. For all derivatives, the income approach is used, which consists of forecasting future cash flows based on contractual notional amounts and applicable and available market data as of the valuation date. The following are among the most common market data inputs used in the income approach: volatilities, spot and forward benchmark interest rates (such as LIBOR and Euro Inter Bank Offered Rate (EURIBOR)), foreign exchange rates and commodity prices. Forward rates and prices are generally obtained from published information provided by pricing services for an instrument with the same duration as the derivative instrument being valued. In situations where significant inputs are not observable, the Company uses relevant techniques to best estimate the inputs, such as regression analysis, Monte Carlo simulation or prices for similarly traded instruments available in the market.

For each derivative, the income approach is used to estimate the cash flows over the remaining term of the contract. Those cash flows are then discounted using the relevant spot benchmark interest rate (such as LIBOR or EURIBOR) plus a spread that reflects the credit or nonperformance risk. This risk is estimated by the Company using credit spreads and risk premiums that are observable in the market, whenever possible, or estimated borrowing costs based on bank quotes, industry publications and/or information on financing closed on similar projects. To the extent that management can estimate the fair value of these assets or liabilities without the use of significant unobservable inputs, these derivatives are classified as Level 2.

In certain instances, the published forward rates or prices may not extend through the remaining term of the contract and management must make assumptions to extrapolate the curve, which necessitates the use of unobservable inputs, such as proxy commodity prices or historical settlements to forecast forward prices. In addition, in certain instances, there may not be third party data readily available which requires the use of unobservable inputs. Similarly, in certain instances, the spread that reflects the credit or nonperformance risk is unobservable. The fair value hierarchy of an asset or a liability is based on the level of significance of the input assumptions. An input assumption is considered significant if it affects the fair value by at least 10%. Assets and liabilities are transferred to Level 3 when the use of unobservable inputs becomes significant. Similarly, when the use of unobservable input becomes insignificant for Level 3 assets and liabilities, they are transferred to Level 2.

Transfers in and out of Level 3 are determined as of the end of the reporting period and are from and to Level 2. The Company has not had any Level 1 derivatives so there have not been any transfers between Levels 1 and 2.

Nonfinancial Assets and Liabilities

For nonrecurring measurements derived using the income approach, fair value is determined using valuation models based on the principles of discounted cash flows ( DCF ). The income approach is most often used in the impairment evaluation of long-lived tangible assets, goodwill and intangible assets. The Company has developed internal valuation models for such valuations; however, an independent valuation firm may be engaged in certain situations. In such situations, the independent valuation firm largely uses DCF valuation models as the primary measure of fair value though other valuation approaches are also considered. A few examples of input assumptions to such valuations include macroeconomic factors such as growth rates, industry demand, inflation, exchange rates and power and commodity prices. Whenever possible, the Company attempts to obtain market observable data to develop input assumptions. Where the use of market observable data is limited or not possible for certain input assumptions, the Company develops its own estimates using a variety of techniques such as regression analysis and extrapolations.

9

For nonrecurring measurements derived using the market approach, recent market transactions involving the sale of identical or similar assets are considered. The use of this approach is limited because it is often difficult to find sale transactions of identical or similar assets. This approach is used in the impairment evaluations of certain intangible assets. Otherwise, it is used to corroborate the fair value determined under the income approach.

For nonrecurring measurements derived using the cost approach, fair value is typically determined using the replacement cost approach. Under this approach, the depreciated replacement cost of assets is determined by first determining the current replacement cost of assets and then applying the remaining useful life percentages to such cost. Further adjustments for economic and functional obsolescence are made to the depreciated replacement cost. This approach involves a considerable amount of judgment which is why its use is limited to the measurement of a few long-lived tangible assets. Like the market approach, this approach is also used to corroborate the fair value determined under the income approach. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company did not measure any nonfinancial assets under the cost approach.

#### Fair Value Considerations:

In determining fair value, the Company considers the source of observable market data inputs, liquidity of the instrument, the credit risk of the counterparty and the risk of the Company s or its counterparty s nonperformance. The conditions and criteria used to assess these factors are:

Sources of market assumptions

The Company derives most of its market assumptions from market efficient data sources (e.g., Bloomberg and Platt s). To determine fair value, where market data is not readily available, management uses comparable market sources and empirical evidence to develop its own estimates of market assumptions.

#### Market liquidity

The Company evaluates market liquidity based on whether the financial or physical instrument, or the underlying asset, is traded in an active or inactive market. An active market exists if the prices are fully transparent to market participants, can be measured by market bid and ask quotes, the market has a relatively large proportion of trading volume as compared to the Company s current trading volume and the market has a significant number of market participants that will allow the market to rapidly absorb the quantity of the assets traded without significantly affecting the market price. Another factor the Company considers when determining whether a market is active or inactive is the presence of government or regulatory controls over pricing that could make it difficult to establish a market based price when entering into a transaction.

#### Nonperformance risk

Nonperformance risk refers to the risk that the obligation will not be fulfilled and affects the value at which a liability is transferred or an asset is sold. Nonperformance risk includes, but may not be limited to, the Company or counterparty s credit and settlement risk. Nonperformance risk adjustments are dependent on credit spreads, letters of credit, collateral, other arrangements available and the nature of master netting arrangements. The Company and its subsidiaries are parties to various interest rate swaps and options; foreign currency options and forwards; and derivatives and embedded derivatives which subject the Company to nonperformance risk. The financial and physical instruments held at the subsidiary level are generally non-recourse to the Parent Company.

Nonperformance risk on the investments held by the Company is incorporated in the fair value derived from quoted market data to mark the investments to fair value.

10

The Company adjusts for nonperformance or credit risk on its derivative instruments by deducting a credit valuation adjustment (CVA). The CVA is based on the margin or debt spread of the Company subsidiary or counterparty and the tenor of the respective derivative instrument. The counterparty for a derivative asset position is considered to be the bank or government sponsored banking entity or counterparty to the PPA or commodity contract. The CVA for asset positions is based on the counterparty scredit ratings and debt spreads or, in the absence of readily obtainable credit information, the respective country debt spreads are used as a proxy. The CVA for liability positions is based on the Parent Company s or the subsidiary scurrent debt spread, the margin on indicative financing arrangements, or in the absence of readily obtainable credit information, the respective country debt spreads are used as a proxy. All derivative instruments are analyzed individually and are subject to unique risk exposures.

#### **Recurring Measurements**

The following table sets forth, by level within the fair value hierarchy, the Company s financial assets and liabilities that were measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Financial assets and liabilities have been classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company s assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, and may affect the determination of the fair value of the assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

	Quoted M Prices in Marke Identical (Leve	Active et for Assets	Obs	nificant Other servable nputs evel 2) (in mil	Unob In (Le	ificant servable puts evel 3)	Ju	Total ine 30, 2011
Assets				Ì	ĺ			
Available-for-sale securities	\$	2	\$	1,217	\$	40	\$	1,259
Trading securities		12		-		-		12
Derivatives		-		72		83		155
Total assets	\$	14	\$	1,289	\$	123	\$	1,426
Liabilities								
Derivatives	\$	-	\$	367	\$	73	\$	440
Total liabilities	\$	-	\$	367	\$	73	\$	440
	Quoted M Prices in Marke Identical (Leve	Active et for Assets	Obs	nificant Other servable nputs evel 2) (in mil	Unobs In (Le	ificant servable puts evel 3)	Dece	Total ember 31, 2010
Assets	Prices in Marke Identical	Active et for Assets	Obs	Other servable nputs	Unobs In (Le	servable puts	Dece	ember 31,
Assets Available-for-sale securities	Prices in Marke Identical	Active et for Assets	Obs	Other servable nputs evel 2)	Unobs In (Le	servable puts	Dece	ember 31,
	Prices in Marke Identical (Leve	Active et for Assets el 1)	Obs Ii (L	Other servable nputs evel 2) (in mil	Unob In (Le llions)	servable puts evel 3)	Dece	ember 31, 2010
Available-for-sale securities	Prices in Marke Identical (Leve	Active et for Assets el 1)	Obs Ii (L	Other servable nputs evel 2) (in mil	Unob In (Le llions)	servable puts evel 3)	Dece	ember 31, 2010
Available-for-sale securities Trading securities	Prices in Marke Identical (Leve	Active et for   Assets el 1)	Obs Ii (L	Other servable nputs evel 2) (in mil	Unob In (Le llions)	servable sputs evel 3)	Dece	2010 1,762
Available-for-sale securities Trading securities Derivatives	Prices in Marke Identical (Leve	Active et for Assets et 1)  8 10	Obs Ii (L	Other servable inputs evel 2) (in mil	Unob: In (Le Ilions)	servable puts evel 3)  42  - 61	<b>Dece</b> \$	1,762 10 124
Available-for-sale securities Trading securities Derivatives  Total assets	Prices in Marke Identical (Leve	Active et for Assets et 1)  8 10	Obs Ii (L	Other servable inputs evel 2) (in mil	Unob: In (Le Ilions)	servable puts evel 3)  42  - 61	<b>Dece</b> \$	1,762 10 124

The following tables present a reconciliation of derivative assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (presented net by type of derivative):

		terest Rate	C	Three Mo ross rency	For Cur	Ended Jur reign rency nillions)	Com and	2011 modity Other	7	Cotal
Balance at April 1	\$	(7)	\$	5	\$	23	\$	24	\$	45
Total gains (losses) (realized and unrealized):										
Included in earnings (1)		-		(2)		18		(16)		-
Included in other comprehensive income		(12)		8		-		-		(4)
Included in regulatory assets		-		-		-		7		7
Settlements		1		4		(1)		-		4
Transfers of assets (liabilities) into Level 3 (2)		(58)		-		-		-		(58)
Transfers of (assets) liabilities out of Level 3 (2)		16		-		(2)		2		16
Balance at June 30	\$	(60)	\$	15	\$	38	\$	17	\$	10
Total gains/(losses) for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains/(losses) relating to assets and liabilities held at the end of the period	\$	-	\$	(2)	\$	15	\$	(7)	\$	6
	In	terest		Three Mo		Ended Jur		2010 modity		
	F	Rate	Cur	rency		rency nillions)	and	Other	1	otal
Balance at April 1	\$	(18)	\$	(7)	\$	(1)	\$	19	\$	(7)
Total gains (losses) (realized and unrealized):										
Included in earnings (1)		1		(1)		22		1		23
Included in other comprehensive income		(12)		(28)		-		-		(40)
Included in regulatory assets		(2)		-		-		4		2
Settlements		2		2		-		(5)		(1)
Transfers of assets (liabilities) into Level 3 (2)		(209)		-		(3)		-		(212)
Transfers of (assets) liabilities out of Level 3 (2)		12		-		-		-		12
Delener et I 20	ď	(226)	φ	(24)	¢	10	ď	10	¢	(222)
Balance at June 30	\$	(226)	\$	(34)	\$	18	\$	19	\$	(223)
Total gains/(losses) for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains/(losses) relating to assets and liabilities held at the end of the period	\$	(1)	\$	(1)	\$	20	\$	(6)	\$	12
		terest Rate	C	Six Mon ross rency	For Cur	nded June reign rency	Com	011 modity Other	1	otal
Delence at January 1	ø	(1)	¢	10		nillions)	¢	10	ø	40
Balance at January 1 Total gains (losses) (realized and unrealized):	\$	(1)	\$	10	\$	22	\$	18	\$	49
Included in earnings (1)		-		-		18		(7)		11
Included in other comprehensive income		(1)		-		-		-		(1)
Included in regulatory assets		-		-		-		6		6
Settlements		-		5		(2)		-		3
Transfers of assets (liabilities) into Level 3 (2)		(58)		-		-		-		(58)

Transfers of (assets) liabilities out of Level 3 (2)	-	-	-	-	-
Balance at June 30	\$ (60)	\$ 15	\$ 38	\$ 17	\$ 10
Total gains/(losses) for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains/(losses) relating to assets and liabilities held at the end of the period	\$ _	\$ _	\$ 15	\$ (1)	\$ 14

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010						10			
		terest Rate	_	ross rrency	Cur	reign rency iillions)		modity Other	Ί	Total
Balance at January 1	\$	(12)	\$	(12)	\$	-	\$	24	\$	-
Total gains (losses) (realized and unrealized):										
Included in earnings <sup>(1)</sup>		-		5		22		4		31
Included in other comprehensive income		(12)		(30)		-		-		(42)
Included in regulatory assets		(2)		-		-		3		1
Settlements		3		3		-		(12)		(6)
Transfers of assets (liabilities) into Level 3 (2)		(214)		-		(4)		-		(218)
Transfers of (assets) liabilities out of Level 3 (2)		11		-		-		-		11
Balance at June 30	\$	(226)	\$	(34)	\$	18	\$	19	\$	(223)
Total gains/(losses) for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains/(losses) relating to assets and liabilities held at the end of the period	\$	(1)	\$	5	\$	20	\$	(10)	\$	14

- The gains (losses) included in earnings for these Level 3 derivatives are classified as follows: interest rate and cross currency derivatives as interest expense, foreign currency derivatives as foreign currency transaction gains (losses) and commodity and other derivatives as either non-regulated revenue, non-regulated cost of sales, or other expense. See Note 5 *Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* for further information regarding the classification of gains and losses included in earnings in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.
- Transfers in and out of Level 3 are determined as of the end of the reporting period and are from and to Level 2, as the Company has no Level 1 derivative assets or liabilities. The (assets) liabilities transferred out of Level 3 are primarily the result of a decrease in the significance of unobservable inputs used to calculate the credit valuation adjustments of these derivative instruments. Similarly, the assets (liabilities) transferred into Level 3 are primarily the result of an increase in the significance of unobservable inputs used to calculate the credit valuation adjustments of these derivative instruments.

The following table presents a reconciliation of available-for-sale securities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	T	nree Mo Jun	nths En ie 30,	ded		-	nths End ne 30,	led
	2	011	20	010 (in r	2 nillions)	011	2	010
Balance at beginning of period <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	40	\$	42	\$	42	\$	42
Settlements		-		-		(2)		-
Balance at June 30	\$	40	\$	42	\$	40	\$	42
Total gains/(losses) for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains/losses relating to assets held at the end of the period	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

<sup>(1)</sup> Available-for-sale securities in Level 3 are auction rate securities and variable rate demand notes which have failed remarketing or are not actively trading and for which there are no longer adequate observable inputs available to measure the fair value.

Long-lived Assets Held and Used

The Company has continued to evaluate the recoverability of our long-lived assets at Kelanitissa, our diesel-fired plant in Sri Lanka. During the quarter, the Company determined the long-lived assets at Kelanitissa were impaired. The long-lived assets with a carrying amount of \$66 million were written down to their estimated fair value of \$33 million based on a discounted cash flow analysis. This resulted in the recognition of asset

impairment expense of \$33 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, see Note 14 Impairments for further information.

13

#### 4. INVESTMENTS IN MARKETABLE SECURITIES

The following table sets forth the Company s investments in marketable debt and equity securities as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 by security class and by level within the fair value hierarchy. The security classes are determined based on the nature and risk of a security and are consistent with how the Company manages, monitors and measures its marketable securities.

		June	30, 2011		<b>December 31, 2010</b>					
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total (in m	Level 1 illions)	Level 2	Level 3	Total		
AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE:(1)										
Debt securities:										
Unsecured debentures <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ -	\$ 566	\$ -	\$ 566	\$ -	\$ 727	\$ -	\$ 727		
Certificates of deposit <sup>(2)</sup>	-	537	-	537	-	877	-	877		
Government debt securities	-	46	-	46	-	47	-	47		
Other debt securities	-	-	40	40	-	-	42	42		
Subtotal	-	1,149	40	1,189	-	1,651	42	1,693		
Equity securities:										
Mutual funds	-	68	-	68	1	61	-	62		
Common stock	2	-	-	2	7	-	-	7		
Subtotal	2	68	-	70	8	61	-	69		
Total available-for-sale	2	1,217	40	1,259	8	1,712	42	\$ 1,762		
TRADING:										
Equity securities:										
Mutual funds	12	-	-	12	10	-	-	10		
Total trading	12	-	-	12	10	-	-	10		
TOTAL	\$ 14	\$ 1,217	\$ 40	\$ 1,271	\$ 18	\$ 1,712	\$ 42	\$ 1,772		

<sup>(1)</sup> Cost/amortized cost approximated fair value at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, with the exception of certain common stock investments with a cost basis and fair value of \$4 million and \$2 million, respectively, at June 30, 2011, and a cost basis and fair value of \$6 million and \$7 million, respectively, at December 31, 2010.

As of June 30, 2011, all available-for-sale debt securities had stated maturities within one year, with the exception of \$40 million of variable rate demand notes held by IPL. These securities, classified as other debt securities in the table above, had stated maturities of greater than ten years.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(2)</sup> Unsecured debentures are instruments similar to certificates of deposit that are held primarily by our subsidiaries in Brazil. The unsecured debentures and certificates of deposit included here do not qualify as cash equivalents, but meet the definition of a security under the relevant guidance and are therefore classified as available-for-sale securities.

The following table summarizes the pre-tax gains and losses related to available-for-sale and trading securities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. Gains and losses on the sale of investments are determined using the specific identification method. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, there were no realized losses on the sale of available-for-sale securities and no other-than-temporary impairment of marketable securities recognized in earnings or other comprehensive income.

		Three Mor		nded	Six Months Ended June 30,			ded
	2011 2010 (in millions)			-	2011		2010	
Gains included in earnings that relate to trading securities held at the reporting		(In mi	mons)			(in mi	.HONS)	
date	\$	-	\$	1	\$	1	\$	1
Unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities included in other comprehensive								
income	\$	(1)	\$	(3)	\$	(3)	\$	(10)
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities	\$	1,867	\$	2,247	\$	3,124	\$	3,210
Gross realized gains on sales	\$	3	\$	1	\$	4	\$	1

#### 5. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

#### Risk Management Objectives

The Company is exposed to market risks associated with its enterprise-wide business activities, namely the purchase and sale of fuel and electricity as well as foreign currency risk and interest rate risk. In order to manage the market risks associated with these business activities, we enter into contracts that incorporate derivatives and financial instruments, including forwards, futures, options, swaps or combinations thereof, as appropriate. The Company generally applies hedge accounting to contracts as long as they are eligible under the accounting standards for derivatives and hedging. While derivative transactions are not entered into for trading purposes, some contracts are not eligible for hedge accounting.

#### Interest Rate Risk

AES and its subsidiaries utilize variable rate debt financing for construction projects and operations, resulting in an exposure to interest rate risk. Interest rate swap, cap and floor agreements are entered into to manage interest rate risk by effectively fixing or limiting the interest rate exposure on the underlying financing. These interest rate contracts range in maturity through 2030, and are typically designated as cash flow hedges. The following table sets forth, by underlying type of interest rate index, the Company s current and maximum outstanding notional under its interest rate derivative instruments, the weighted average remaining term and the percentage of variable-rate debt hedged that is based on the related index as of June 30, 2011 regardless of whether the derivative instruments are in qualifying cash flow hedging relationships:

	Cu					
Interest Rate Derivatives	Derivative Notional	Derivative Notional Translated to USD	Derivative Notional	Derivative Notional Translated to USD	Weighted Average Remaining Term <sup>(1)</sup> (in	% of Debt Currently Hedged by Index <sup>(2)</sup>
		(in mil	lions)		years)	
Libor (U.S. Dollar)	3,282	\$ 3,282	3,609	\$ 3,609	9	72%
Euribor (Euro)	1,074	1,558	1,074	1,558	13	65%
Libor (British Pound Sterling)	28	45	42	68	17	47%
Securities Industry and Financial						
Markets Association Municipal						
Swap Index (U.S. Dollar)	40	40	40	40	12	N/A <sup>(3)</sup>

The Company s interest rate derivative instruments primarily include accreting and amortizing notionals. The maximum derivative notional represents the largest notional at any point between June 30, 2011 and the

15

- maturity of the derivative instrument, which includes forward starting derivative instruments. The weighted average remaining term represents the remaining tenor of our interest rate derivatives weighted by the corresponding maximum notional.
- (2) Excludes variable-rate debt tied to other indices where the Company has no interest rate derivatives.
- (3) The debt that was being hedged is no longer exposed to variable interest payments because it is now held on IPL s behalf and no longer bears interest.

Cross currency swaps are utilized in certain instances to manage the risk related to fluctuations in both interest rates and certain foreign currencies. These cross currency contracts range in maturity through 2028. The following table sets forth, by type of foreign currency denomination, the Company s outstanding notional amount under its cross currency derivative instruments as of June 30, 2011, which are all in qualifying cash flow hedge relationships. These swaps are amortizing and therefore the notional amount represents the maximum outstanding notional amount as of June 30, 2011:

			J	une 30, 2011	
Cross Currency Swaps	Notional	Notional Tra to USI (in millions)		Weighted Average Remaining Term <sup>(1)</sup> (in years)	% of Debt Currently Hedged by Index <sup>(2)</sup>
Chilean Unidad de Fomento (CLF)	6	\$	262	15	82%

- (1) Represents the remaining tenor of our cross currency swaps weighted by the corresponding notional.
- (2) Represents the proportion of foreign currency denominated debt hedged by the same foreign currency denominated notional of the cross currency swap.

Foreign Currency Risk

We are exposed to foreign currency risk as a result of our investments in foreign subsidiaries and affiliates. AES operates businesses in many foreign environments and such operations in foreign countries may be impacted by significant fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. Foreign currency options and forwards are utilized, where deemed appropriate, to manage the risk related to fluctuations in certain foreign currencies. These foreign currency contracts range in maturity through 2012. The following tables set forth, by type of foreign currency denomination, the Company s outstanding notional amounts over the remaining terms of its foreign currency derivative instruments as of June 30, 2011 regardless of whether the derivative instruments are in qualifying hedging relationships:

			June	30, 2011		
Foreign Currency Options	Notional	Notional to U (in millions)	U	usted onal <sup>(2)</sup>	Weighted Average Remaining Term <sup>(3)</sup> (in years)	
Brazilian Real (BRL)	268	\$	164	\$	52	<1
Euro (EUR)	40		57		27	<1

- (1) Represents contractual notionals at inception of trade.
- Represents the gross notional amounts times the probability of exercising the option, which is based on the relationship of changes in the option value with respect to changes in the price of the underlying currency.
- (3) Represents the remaining tenor of our foreign currency options weighted by the corresponding notional.

	June 30, 2011								
Foreign Currency Forwards	Notional	Notional To Us		Weighted Average Remaining Term <sup>(1)</sup>					
	(11	n millions)		(in years)					
Chilean Peso (CLP)	87,779	\$	181	<1					
Colombian Peso (COP)	137,110		75	<1					
British Pound (GBP)	18		31	1					

Argentine Peso (ARS)	90	20	1
Philippine Peso (PHP)	170	4	<1

(1) Represents the remaining tenor of our foreign currency forwards weighted by the corresponding notional.

16

In addition, certain of our subsidiaries have entered into contracts which contain embedded derivatives that require separate valuation and accounting due to the fact that the item being purchased or sold is denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of that subsidiary or the currency of the item. These contracts range in maturity through 2025. The following table sets forth, by type of foreign currency denomination, the Company soutstanding notional over the remaining terms of its foreign currency embedded derivative instruments as of June 30, 2011:

Embedded Foreign Currency Derivatives	Notional	June 30, 2011 Notional Translated Notional to USD (in millions)					
Philippine Peso (PHP)	18,048	\$	416	3			
Kazakhstani Tenge (KZT)	31,358		215	9			
Argentine Peso (ARS)	795		193	11			
Hungarian Forint (HUF)	17,819		97	1			
Euro (EUR)	22		32	2			
Brazilian Real (BRL)	8		5	1			
Cameroon Franc (XAF)	352		1	2			

(1) Represents the remaining tenor of our foreign currency embedded derivatives weighted by the corresponding notional. *Commodity Price Risk* 

We are exposed to the impact of market fluctuations in the price of electricity, fuel and environmental credits. Although we primarily consist of businesses with long-term contracts or retail sales concessions (which provide our distribution businesses with a franchise to serve a specific geographic region), a portion of our current and expected future revenues are derived from businesses without significant long-term purchase or sales contracts. These businesses subject our results of operations to the volatility of prices for electricity, fuel and environmental credits in competitive markets. We have used a hedging strategy, where appropriate, to hedge our financial performance against the effects of fluctuations in energy commodity prices. The implementation of this strategy can involve the use of PPAs, fuel supply agreements, commodity forward contracts, futures, swaps and options. Some of our businesses hedge certain aspects of their commodity risks using financial hedging instruments.

The PPAs and fuel supply agreements entered into by the Company are evaluated to determine if they meet the definition of a derivative or contain embedded derivatives, either of which requires separate valuation and accounting. To be a derivative under the accounting standards for derivatives and hedging, an agreement would need to have a notional and an underlying, require little or no initial net investment and could be net settled. Generally, these agreements do not meet the definition of a derivative, often due to the inability to be net settled. On a quarterly basis, we evaluate the markets for the commodities to be delivered under these agreements to determine if facts and circumstances have changed such that the agreements could then be net settled and meet the definition of a derivative.

Nonetheless, certain of the PPAs and fuel supply agreements entered into by certain of the Company subsidiaries are derivatives or contain embedded derivatives requiring separate valuation and accounting. These contracts range in maturity through 2024. The following table sets forth, by type of commodity, the Company substanding notionals for the remaining term of its commodity derivatives and embedded derivative instruments as of June 30, 2011:

	Ju	ne 30, 2011
		Weighted
		Average
Commodity Derivatives	Notional	Remaining Term <sup>(1)</sup>
	(in millions)	(in years)
Natural gas (MMBTU)	34	11
Petcoke (Metric tons)	13	13
Aluminum (MWh)	17 <sup>(2)</sup>	9

Inno 20 2011

- (1) Represents the remaining tenor of our commodity and embedded derivatives weighted by the corresponding volume.
- Our exposure is to fluctuations in the price of aluminum while the notional is based on the amount of power we sell under the PPA. *Accounting and Reporting*

The following table sets forth the Company s derivative instruments as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 by type of derivative and by level within the fair value hierarchy. Derivative assets and liabilities are recognized at their fair value. Derivative assets and liabilities are combined with other balances and included in the following captions in our condensed consolidated balance sheets: current derivative assets in other current assets, noncurrent derivative assets in other noncurrent assets, current derivative liabilities in accrued and other liabilities (except for one in non-recourse debt-current) and long-term derivative liabilities in other long-term liabilities.

	Level 1		June 30, 2011 Level 2 Level 3 (in millions)		vel 3	Total L		Lev			December 31, 20 Level 2 Lev (in millions)		evel 3		otal	
Assets																
Current assets:																
Interest rate derivatives	\$	-	\$	6	\$	-	\$	6	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Foreign currency derivatives		-		14		4		18		-		4		3		7
Commodity and other derivatives		-		4		9		13		-		2		3		5
Total current assets		-		24		13		37		-		6		6		12
Noncurrent assets:																
Interest rate derivatives		-		37		-		37		-		49		-		49
Foreign currency derivatives		-		5		41		46		-		4		27		31
Cross currency derivatives		-		-		20		20		-		-		12		12
Commodity and other derivatives		-		6		9		15		-		4		16		20
Total noncurrent assets		-		48		70		118		-		57		55		112
Total assets	\$	-	\$	72	\$	83	\$	155	\$	-	\$	63	\$	61	\$	124
Liabilities																
Current liabilities:																
Interest rate derivatives	\$	-	\$	135	\$	13	\$	148	\$	-	\$	137	\$	-	\$	137
Cross currency derivatives		-		-		5		5		-		-		2		2
Foreign currency derivatives		-		11		1		12		-		13		-		13
Commodity and other derivatives		-		4		-		4		-		-		-		-

Edgar Filing: AES CORP - Form 10-Q

Total current liabilities	-	150	19	169	-	150	2	152
Long-term liabilities:								
Interest rate derivatives	_	203	47	250	_	246	1	247
Foreign currency derivatives	_	12	6	18	_	15	8	23
Commodity and other derivatives	-	2	1	3	-	-	1	1
Total long-term liabilities	-	217	54	271	-	261	10	271
Total liabilities	\$ _	\$ 367	\$ 73	\$ 440	\$ _	\$ 411	\$ 12	\$ 423

The following table sets forth the fair value and balance sheet classification of derivative instruments as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	June 30, 2011					December 31, 2010							
	He	gnated as dging uments	Not Designated as Hedging Instruments Total (in millions)		Designated as Hedging Instruments		Not Designated as Hedging Instruments (in millions)		т	`otal			
Assets													
Current assets:													
Interest rate derivatives	\$	6	\$	-	\$	6	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Foreign currency derivatives		1		17		18		-		7		7	
Commodity and other derivatives		-		13		13		-		5		5	
Total current assets		7		30		37		-		12		12	
Noncurrent assets:													
Interest rate derivatives		37		-		37		49		-		49	
Foreign currency derivatives		-		46		46		-		31		31	
Cross currency derivatives		20		-		20		12		-		12	
Commodity and other derivatives		-		15		15		-		20		20	
Total noncurrent assets		57		61		118		61		51		112	
Total assets	\$	64	\$	91	\$	155	\$	61	\$	63	\$	124	
Liabilities													
Current liabilities:	Φ.		Φ.	-	Φ.	1.40	Φ.	106	Φ.		Φ.	105	
Interest rate derivatives	\$	141	\$	7	\$	148	\$	126	\$	11	\$	137	
Cross currency derivatives		5		-		5		2		-		2	
Foreign currency derivatives		7		5		12		8		5		13	
Commodity and other derivatives		-		4		4		-		-		-	
Total current liabilities		153		16		169		136		16		152	
Long-term liabilities:													
Interest rate derivatives		236		14		250		232		15		247	
Foreign currency derivatives		-		18		18		-		23		23	
Commodity and other derivatives		-		3		3		-		1		1	
Total long-term liabilities		236		35		271		232		39		271	
Total liabilities	\$	389	\$	51	\$	440	\$	368	\$	55	\$	423	

The Company has elected not to offset net derivative positions in the financial statements. Accordingly, the Company does not offset such derivative positions against the fair value of amounts (or amounts that approximate fair value) recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral (a receivable) or the obligation to return cash collateral (a payable) under master netting arrangements. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we held no cash collateral that we received from counterparties to our derivative positions. As we have not received collateral, our derivative assets are exposed to the credit risk of the respective counterparty and, due to this credit risk, the fair value of our derivative assets (as shown in the above two tables) have been reduced by a credit valuation adjustment. Also, at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had no cash collateral posted with (held by) counterparties to our derivative positions.

19

The table below sets forth the pre-tax accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) expected to be recognized as an increase (decrease) to income from continuing operations before income taxes over the next twelve months as of June 30, 2011 for the following types of derivatives:

	Ot	Accumulated her Comprehensive Income (Loss) (in millions)
Interest rate derivatives	\$	(108)
Cross currency derivatives	\$	(4)
Foreign currency derivatives	\$	(7)
Commodity and other derivatives	\$	(1)

The balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to derivative transactions will be reclassified into earnings as interest expense is recognized for interest rate hedges and cross currency swaps, as depreciation is recognized for interest rate hedges during construction, and as foreign currency gains and losses are recognized for hedges of foreign currency exposure. These balances are included in the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows as operating and/or investing activities based on the nature of the underlying transaction.

The following tables set forth the gains (losses) recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss ( AOCL ) and earnings related to the effective portion of derivative instruments in qualifying cash flow hedging relationships, as defined in the accounting standards for derivatives and hedging, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Gains (Losses) Recognized in AOCL Three Months Ended June 30,			OCL s	Classification in Condensed Consolidated	Gains (Losses) Reclassif from AOCL into Earnin Three Months Ended June 30,					
	2	011		2010	Statements of Operations	2011		2010			
		(in mi	lions)				(in mill	ions)			
Interest rate derivatives	\$	(144)	\$	(168)	Interest expense	\$	$(27)^{(2)}$	\$	$(29)^{(2)}$		
					Non-regulated cost of sales		(1)		-		
					Net equity in earnings of affiliates		(1)		(1)		
Cross currency derivatives		11		(26)	Interest expense		7		(1)		
·					Foreign currency transaction gains						
Foreign currency derivatives		(7)		7	(losses)		(2)		_		
Commodity and other derivatives		(1)		(12)	Non-regulated revenue		-		-		
Total	\$	(141)	\$	(199)		\$	(24)	\$	(31)		

	Recog in A Six Mont	(Losses) gnized OCL ths Ended e 30,	Classification in Condensed Consolidated	Gains (Losses) Reclassified from AOCL into Earnings <sup>(1)</sup> Six Months Ended June 30,						
	2011 (in mi	2010 Illions)	<b>Statements of Operations</b>		2011 (in millio		010			
Interest rate derivatives	\$ (92)	\$ (250)	Interest expense	\$	$(53)^{(2)}$	\$	$(57)^{(2)}$			
			Non-regulated cost of sales		(2)		-			
			Net equity in earnings of affiliates		(2)		(2)			
Cross currency derivatives	3	(29)	Interest expense		2		(2)			
Foreign currency derivatives	(2)	7			(4)		-			

			Foreign currency transaction gains (losses)		
Total	\$ (91)	\$ (272)		\$ (59)	\$ (61)

20

- (1) Excludes \$0 million and \$8 million related to discontinued operations for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$0 million and \$10 million related to discontinued operations for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (2) Includes amounts that were reclassified from AOCL related to derivative instruments that previously, but no longer, qualify for cash flow hedge accounting.

The following table sets forth the pre-tax gains (losses) recognized in earnings related to the ineffective portion of derivative instruments in qualifying cash flow hedging relationships, as defined in the accounting standards for derivatives and hedging, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Classification in Condensed		Gains ( cognized nree Mor June	in Earr	ings	Gains (Losses) Recognized in Earnings Six Months Ended June 30,					
	<b>Consolidated Statements of Operations</b>	20	)11	20	010	2	011	20	010		
		(in millions)				(in millions)					
Interest rate derivatives	Interest expense	\$	-	\$	<b>-</b> (1)	\$	(7)	\$	(8)		
	Net equity in earnings of affiliates		(1)		(1)		(1)		(1)		
Cross currency derivatives	Interest expense		(2)		(1)		(2)		4		
Foreign currency derivatives	Foreign currency transaction gains (losses)		_(1)		_(1)		_(1)		_(1)		
-											
Total		\$	(3)	\$	(2)	\$	(10)	\$	(5)		

## (1) De minimis amount.

The following table sets forth the gains (losses) recognized in earnings related to derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments under the accounting standards for derivatives and hedging, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Classification in Condensed	Recognized Three Mo	(Losses) I in Earnings onths Ended ne 30,	Gains (Losses) Recognized in Earni Six Months Ended June 30,				
	Consolidated Statements of Operations	2011	2010 illions)	2011	2010 nillions)			
Interest rate derivatives	Interest expense	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (1)	\$ (5)			
Foreign exchange derivatives	Foreign currency transaction gains (losses)	20	(27)	27	(25)			
	Net equity in earnings of		` ′		Ì			
	affiliates	-	1	-	2			
Commodity and other derivatives	Non-regulated revenue	(13)	4	(9)	4			
	Non-regulated cost of sales	(2)	1	(1)	5			
Total		\$ 4	\$ (22)	\$ 16	\$ (19)			

In addition, IPL has two derivative instruments for which the gains and losses are accounted for in accordance with accounting standards for regulated operations, as regulatory assets or liabilities. Gains and losses on these derivatives due to changes in the fair value of these derivatives are probable of recovery through future rates and are initially recognized as an adjustment to the regulatory asset or liability and recognized through earnings when the related costs are recovered through IPL s rates. Therefore, these gains and losses are excluded

21

from the above table. The following table sets forth the change in regulatory assets and liabilities resulting from the change in the fair value of these derivatives for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Th	Three Months Ended June 30.					Six Months End June 30,				
	20	2011 201			010 201		20	)10			
			(in millions)								
(Increase) decrease in regulatory assets	\$	(2)	\$	(2)	\$	(2)	\$	(1)			
Increase (decrease) in regulatory liabilities	\$	7	\$	5	\$	6	\$	6			
Credit Risk-Related Contingent Features											

Gener, our business in Chile, has cross currency swap agreements with counterparties to swap Chilean inflation indexed bonds issued in December 2007 into U.S. Dollars. The derivative agreements contain credit contingent provisions which would permit the counterparties with which Gener is in a net liability position to require collateral credit support when the fair value of the derivatives exceeds the unsecured thresholds established in the agreement. These thresholds vary based on Gener s credit rating. If Gener s credit rating were to fall below the minimum threshold established in the swap agreements, the counterparties can demand immediate collateralization of the entire mark-to-market value of the swaps (excluding credit valuation adjustments) if Gener is in a net liability position. The mark-to-market value of the swaps was in a net asset position at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, Gener had not posted collateral to support these swaps.

#### 6. INVESTMENTS IN AND ADVANCES TO AFFILIATES

In February 2011, the Company acquired a 49.6% interest in Entek Elektrik Uretim A.S. ( Entek ) for approximately \$136 million. Additional consideration of \$13 million was provided in May 2011 which resulted in a total purchase price of \$149 million as of June 30, 2011. Entek owns and operates two gas-fired generation facilities with an aggregate capacity of 312 MW in Turkey, and is also engaged in an energy trading business. The Company has significant influence, but not control of Entek and accordingly the investment has been accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

#### 7. FINANCING RECEIVABLES

Accounts and notes receivable are carried at amortized cost. The Company periodically assesses the collectability of accounts receivable considering factors such as specific evaluation of collectability, historical collection experience, the age of accounts receivable and other currently available evidence of the collectability, and records an allowance for doubtful accounts for the estimated uncollectable amount as appropriate. Certain of our businesses charge interest on accounts receivable either under contractual terms or where charging interest is a customary business practice. In such cases, interest income is recognized on an accrual basis. In situations where the collection of interest is uncertain, interest income is recognized as cash is received. Individual accounts and notes receivable are written off when they are no longer deemed collectable.

Included in Noncurrent other assets on the condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are long-term financing receivables of \$278 million and \$151 million, respectively, primarily with certain Latin American governmental bodies. These receivables have contractual maturities of greater than one year and are being collected in installments as scheduled. Of the total \$278 million as of June 30, 2011, amounts of \$213 million and \$52 million, respectively, relate to our businesses in Argentina and the Dominican Republic. The remaining amounts relate to our distribution businesses in Brazil.