XERIUM TECHNOLOGIES INC Form 10-Q November 05, 2010 Table of Contents

## **UNITED STATES**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

x Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2010

or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the Transition Period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 001-32498

# Xerium Technologies, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

•

**DELAWARE** (State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

8537 Six Forks Road

Suite 300

Raleigh, North Carolina (Address of principal executive offices) 42-1558674 (I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

27615 (Zip Code)

(919) 526-1400

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No"

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

 Large accelerated filer
 ...
 Accelerated filer
 ...

 Non-accelerated filer
 x (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)
 Smaller reporting company
 ...

 Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
 Yes ...
 No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Section 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes x No "

The number of shares of the registrant s common stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding as of November 2, 2010 was 14,970,050.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Part I. Financial Information	-
Item 1.	Financial Statements	3
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	27
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	45
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	46
Item 4T.	Controls and Procedures	46
	Part II. Other Information	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	47
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	47
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	47
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	47
Item 4.	(Removed and Reserved)	47
Item 5.	Other Information	47
Item 6.	Exhibits	47

## 2

Page

#### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Xerium Technologies, Inc.

#### Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Sep	otember 30, 2010	Dee	cember 31, 2009
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	32,487	\$	23,039
Restricted cash		15,648		
Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$5,051 at September 30, 2010 and				
\$7,370 at December 31, 2009)		91,503		83,602
Inventories		78,863		78,174
Prepaid expenses		6,624		5,571
Other current assets		11,924		25,828
Total current assets		237,049		216,414
Property and equipment, net		363,839		385,549
Goodwill		64,649		72,304
Intangible assets		13,758		12,091
Other assets		15,570		7,153
Total assets	\$	694,865	\$	693,511
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)				
Current liabilities:				
Notes payable	\$	419	\$	49,801
Accounts payable		31,991		32,124
Accrued expenses		54,912		46,264
Current maturities of long-term debt		12,937		1,826
Long-term debt classified as current				583,564
Total current liabilities		100,259		713,579
Long-term debt, net of current maturities and long-term debt classified as current		472,865		4,930
Deferred and long-term taxes		25,895		16,147
Pension, other postretirement and postemployment obligations		66,797		70,652
Other long-term liabilities		9,366		7,860
Commitments and contingencies				
Stockholders equity (deficit)				
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 1,000,000 shares authorized; no shares outstanding as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009				
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized; 14,970,050 and 2,446,786 shares				
outstanding as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively		15		2

Stock warrants	13,560
Paid-in capital	409,736 222,366
Accumulated deficit	(404,732) (330,908)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	1,104 (11,117)
Total stockholders equity (deficit)	19,683 (119,657)
Total liabilities and stockholders equity (deficit)	\$ 694,865 \$ 693,511

See accompanying notes.

#### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

#### Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

#### (dollars in thousands, except per share data)

		Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 2009		Nine Months E September 3 2010				
Net sales	\$	135,899	\$	130,308	\$		\$	367,654
Costs and expenses:		,		,		,		,
Cost of products sold		83,258		81,520		247,671		228,956
Selling		18,043		16,991		53,986		49,574
General and administrative		15,652		15,428		60,097		35,100
Restructuring and impairments		3,322		1,754		7,433		2,894
Research and development		2,887		2,708		8,707		8,168
		123,162		118,401		377,894		324,692
Income from operations		12,737		11,907		25,847		42,962
Interest expense		(12,330)		(16,651)		(45,366)		(48,899)
Interest income		310		226		837		947
Foreign exchange gain (loss)		744		561		736		(225)
Income (loss) before reorganization items and provision for income taxes		1,461		(3,957)		(17,946)		(5,215)
Reorganization items		799		(5,557)		44,374		(3,213)
Provision for income taxes		4,318		3,424		11,504		10,013
Net loss	\$	(3,656)	\$	(7,381)	\$	(73,824)	\$	(15,228)
Net loss per share:								
Basic and diluted	\$	(0.24)	\$	(3.02)	\$	(8.84)	\$	(6.23)
Shares used in computing net loss per share:								
Basic and diluted	1	4,970,050	,	2,444,149	:	8,350,635	,	2,444,913

See accompanying notes.

#### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

#### Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)

	Nine Months En September 30 2010 2		
Operating activities			
Net loss	\$ (73,824)	\$ (15,228)	
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Stock-based compensation	5,212	1,824	
Depreciation	29,026	29,021	
Amortization of intangibles	1,737	1,748	
Deferred financing cost amortization	5,721	3,895	
Unrealized foreign exchange gain on revaluation of debt	(725)	(1,719)	
Deferred taxes	4,305	2,835	
Asset impairments	2,871	1,667	
Loss (gain) on disposition of property and equipment	(62)	(2,024)	
Non-cash interest expense related to interest rate swaps	7,518	1,653	
Non-cash reorganization items	28,683		
Reorganization items accrued	1,315		
Provision for doubtful accounts	(1,088)	(4,823)	
Change in assets and liabilities which provided (used) cash:			
Accounts receivable	(7,556)	21,795	
Inventories	(1,634)	9,319	
Prepaid expenses	(850)	(771)	
Other current assets	(1,004)	3,057	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	5,671	(48,385)	
Deferred and other long-term liabilities	(1,851)	(75)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,465	3,789	
Investing activities			
Capital expenditures, gross	(14,405)	(13,970)	
Proceeds from disposals of property and equipment	411	4,211	
Restricted cash	(15,648)	.,	
Other	3	1,100	
Net cash used in investing activities	(29,639)	(8,659)	
Financing activities			
Net (decrease) increase in borrowings (maturities of 90 days or less)	(783)	28,000	
Proceeds from borrowings (maturities longer than 90 days)	60,398	.,	
Principal payments on debt	(15,910)	(35,872)	
Other	(8,171)	(1,442)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	35,534	(9,314)	
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash flows	88	1,267	
Net increase (decrease) in cash	9,448	(12,917)	

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	23,039	34,733
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 32,487	\$ 21,816
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information		
Issuance of common stock to extinguish debt	\$ 182,213	\$
Conversion of debtor-in-possession financing facility to term loan	\$ 60,000	\$
Cash reorganization items	\$ 14,376	\$

See accompanying notes.

#### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

#### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

#### (dollars in thousands, except per share data)

#### 1. Company History

Xerium Technologies, Inc. (the Company ) is a leading global manufacturer and supplier of two types of consumable products used primarily in the production of paper clothing and roll covers. Operations are strategically located in the major paper-making regions of the world, including North America, Europe, South America and Asia-Pacific.

#### Chapter 11 Filing, Emergence and Plan of Reorganization -

On March 30, 2010 (the Commencement Date ), the Company and certain of its subsidiaries (the Debtor Subsidiaries ) filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1532 (as amended, the Bankruptcy Code ), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the Bankruptcy Court ). On April 1, 2010, following approval by the Bankruptcy Court, the Company entered into a debtor-in-possession financing facility consisting of a \$20,000 revolving credit facility and \$60,000 term loan (the DIP Facility ). On May 12, 2010, the Bankruptcy Court held a hearing to consider confirmation of the Company s amended joint prepackaged plan of reorganization (the Plan ) and entered an order (the Confirmation Order ) confirming the Plan.

On May 25, 2010 (the Effective Date ), the Plan became effective and the Company and the Debtor Subsidiaries emerged from chapter 11. On the Effective Date, the provisions of the Plan became binding on the Company, the Debtor Subsidiaries, any entity issuing securities under the Plan, any entity acquiring property under the Plan, and any creditor or equity interest holder of the Company or the Debtor Subsidiaries. Also on the Effective Date, except as otherwise provided in the Plan, the Confirmation Order discharged the Company and the Debtor Subsidiaries from any and all debts, claims, and interests that arose before entry of the Confirmation Order and substituted for such debt, claims, and interests the obligations specified under the confirmed Plan. Pursuant to the Plan, all allowed general unsecured claims were unaffected by the chapter 11 case and were satisfied in full.

Pursuant to the Plan, on the Effective Date:

20 million shares of new common stock of the Company, par value \$0.001 (the New Common Stock ) were authorized, of which an aggregate of 14,970,050 shares were issued and outstanding, as described below. In addition, 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock of the Company, par value \$0.001, were authorized, of which 20,000 shares are designated as Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock;

All of the shares of the Company s common stock outstanding (the Old Common Stock ), par value \$0.01, were cancelled and replaced with 2,566,150 shares of New Common Stock, which was equivalent to a 20 to 1 reverse split of the Old Common Stock;

The Company s lenders under its pre-petition credit facility and the interest rate swap termination counterparties (collectively, the Lenders ) received, among other things, their ratable shares of (a) \$10,000 in cash, (b) \$410,000 in principal amount of term notes, to be issued pursuant to the Amended and Restated Credit Facility (defined below), and (c) 12,403,900 shares of New Common Stock; and

Holders of the Company s Old Common Stock also received warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,663,760 shares of New Common Stock (the Warrants ), representing approximately 0.0324108 Warrants for each share of Old Common Stock. The Warrants are exercisable for a term of four years from the issue date at an exercise price of \$19.55 per share of New Common Stock. The exercise

price was determined in accordance with a formula based on the final amount of allowed claims of the Lenders. In addition, pursuant to the Plan, the Company entered into a number of material agreements and engaged in a series of transactions on the Effective Date, which are described below.

#### Amended and Restated Credit Facility

Pursuant to the Plan, on the Effective Date, the Company s existing senior credit facility was amended and restated as the Second Amended and Restated Credit and Guaranty Agreement (the Amended and Restated Credit Facility ), dated as of May 25, 2010, by and among the Company, certain subsidiaries of the Company, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. as Lead Arranger and Bookrunner, and other agents and banks party thereto. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility provides for a term loan that has a principal amount of \$410,000, and a maturity date that is five years following May 25, 2010, the closing date of the Amended and Restated Credit Facility. The \$410,000 is denominated in U.S. Dollars, Euros and Canadian Dollars representing approximately 56%, 32% and 12% of this amount, respectively, at the Effective Date. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility is secured by second priority liens against, and security interests in, substantially all of the Company s assets and the assets of most of its subsidiaries, subject to legal and tax considerations and requirements. In addition, most of the Company s U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiaries guaranteed the obligations of the borrowers under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility, provided that non-U.S. guarantors will only be liable for obligations of non-U.S borrowers and non-U.S. guarantors.

#### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

#### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Borrowings under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility term loans bear interest as follows:

in the case of Xerium Canada Inc., at the BA (bank accepted) Rate plus (i) 6.25% if the leverage ratio equals or exceeds 2.75:1.00 or (ii) 5.75% if the leverage ratio is less than 2.75:1.00;

in the case of Xerium Technologies, Inc. the LIBOR Rate plus (i) 6.25% if the leverage ratio equals or exceeds 2.75:1.00 or (ii) 5.75% if the leverage ratio is less than 2.75:1.00; and

in the case of XTI LLC, Xerium Italia S.p.A., Huyck Wangner Austria GmbH and Xerium Germany Holding GmbH, at the Euribor Rate plus (i) 6.25% if the leverage ratio equals or exceeds 2.75:1.00 or (ii) 5.75% if the leverage ratio is less than 2.75:1.00. The terms BA Rate, LIBOR Rate, and Euribor Rate have the same meanings as set forth in the Company s pre-petition credit facility except that the BA Rate, the LIBOR Rate and the Euribor Rate shall not be less than 2.00% per annum. Interest periods will be 1, 2, 3 or 6 months. If any event of default occurs and is continuing, then interest on the unpaid balance of the outstanding term loans will accrue at a per annum rate of two percent greater than the rate of interest specified above.

The Amended and Restated Credit Facility requires the Company to make mandatory prepayments under the following circumstances, subject to intercreditor sharing arrangements with the lenders under the Exit Facility (as defined below) and on a pro rata basis with the Exit Facility:

(a) with 100% of the net cash proceeds received by the Company from any sale of any assets (50% of the net cash proceeds of the sale of assets belonging to our Australian and Vietnamese subsidiaries) for any transaction or series of transactions exceeding \$250 outside the ordinary course of business (subject to certain exceptions regarding discontinued manufacturing facilities and exempting the first \$3,000 if invested in other assets, except for the sale of assets belonging to the Company s Australian and Vietnamese subsidiaries);

(b) with 100% of insurance and condemnation award payments, subject to certain exemptions;

(c) with 100% of the cash proceeds from debt issuances, subject to certain exemptions; and

(d) with 50% of its excess cash after the end of each fiscal year, beginning with fiscal year 2011; that is, Adjusted EBITDA plus a working capital adjustment, minus consolidated interest expense, cash income tax expense, consolidated capital expenditures (subject to certain exceptions), consolidated restructuring costs, cash payments of withholding taxes from proceeds of the repurchase, redemption or retention of common stock and the aggregate amount of scheduled and voluntary payments made during the past fiscal year.

The Amended and Restated Credit Facility requires that the Company observe and perform numerous affirmative and negative covenants, including certain financial covenants. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility also prohibits the payment of dividends on the New Common Stock.

#### Exit Facility

On the Effective Date, the DIP Facility was converted into an exit facility consisting of a \$20,000 revolving credit facility and a \$60,000 term loan (collectively, the Exit Facility ) that was used to satisfy the Company s and Debtor Subsidiaries obligations under the Plan and for ongoing

## Table of Contents

working capital (including letters of credit) requirements. The revolving credit facility matures on a date that is three years following May 25, 2010, the closing date of the Exit Facility, and the term loan will mature on a date that is four and one-half years following May 25, 2010. The Exit Facility is secured by first priority liens against, and security interests in, substantially all of the Company s assets and the assets of most of its subsidiaries, subject to legal and tax considerations and requirements. All loans under the Exit Facility are senior to the amounts owing under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility. In addition, most of the Company s U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiaries guarantee the obligations of the borrowers under the Exit Facility, provided that non-U.S. guarantors will only be liable for obligations of non-U.S borrowers and non-U.S. guarantors. The Company deferred costs of \$3,645 related to the Exit Facility to be amortized over the life of the facility.

The loans under the Exit Facility are LIBOR Loans and bear interest at the annual rate equal to LIBOR plus the applicable margin, 4.5% per year, with a LIBOR floor of 2% per annum. Interest periods will be 1, 2, 3 or 6 months. If any event of default occurs and is continuing, then interest on the unpaid balance of the outstanding Exit Facility loans will accrue at a per annum rate of two percent greater than the rate of interest specified above. If any event of default occurs and is continuing under the Exit Facility, each LIBOR Loan will convert to an ABR Loan at the end of the interest period then in effect for such LIBOR Loan.

The Exit Facility requires the Company to make mandatory prepayments under the same circumstances as with respect to the Amended and Restated Credit Facility on a pro rata basis, which are described above. In addition, with limited exceptions, the Exit Facility requires that the Company observe and perform the same affirmative and negative covenants, including financial covenants, as required by the Amended and Restated Credit Facility.

#### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

#### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

#### **Rights Agreement**

Pursuant to the Plan, on the Effective Date, the Company issued a dividend of one preferred share purchase right (a Right ) for each share of New Common Stock outstanding. Each Right entitles the registered holder to purchase from the Company one one-thousandth of a share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Company, par value \$0.001 per share (the Preferred Shares ), at a price of \$60.00 per one one-thousandth of a Preferred Share, subject to adjustment.

Subject to limited exceptions, in the event a person (which includes affiliates and associates) acquires beneficial ownership of 15% or more of New Common Stock without prior approval of the Company s Board of Directors, holders of the New Common Stock, other than the acquirer, may exercise their Rights to purchase New Common Stock (or, in certain circumstances, Preferred Shares or other similar securities of the Company) having a value equal to two times the exercise price of the Right. Until such time as the Rights become exercisable, are redeemed, or expire, the Rights will be attached to shares of New Common Stock and may be transferred with and only with shares of New Common Stock.

#### Registration Rights Agreement

Pursuant to the Plan, the Company entered into a Registration Rights Agreement (the Registration Rights Agreement ) on the Effective Date with Apax WW Nominees Ltd, Apax-Xerium Apia L.P., AS Investors, LLC, Carl Marks Strategic Investments, L.P., and Carl Marks Strategic Opportunities Fund, L.P. (the RRA Parties ). Pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement, the Company agreed that commencing on the 90th day after the Effective Date, any of the RRA Parties or group of RRA Parties may request registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, of all or any portion of the New Common Stock held by such RRA Party on Form S-1 or Form S-3, as applicable. A RRA Party may not demand registration rights unless (A) the total offering price of the shares is reasonably expected to be at least \$50,000 (in the case of a Form S-1) or \$20,000 (in the case of a Form S-3) or (B) the shares to be registered represent at least 10% of the outstanding New Common Stock of the Company. In addition, the Registration Rights Agreement provides the RRA Parties with certain piggyback registration rights.

#### Director Nomination Agreements

Pursuant to the Plan, the Company entered into (i) a Director Nomination Agreement with AS Investors, LLC, and (ii) a Director Nomination Agreement with Carl Marks Strategic Investments, L.P. and Carl Marks Strategic Opportunities Fund, L.P. (both agreements together, the Director Nomination Agreements, and the lender parties thereto, the Nominating Lenders ) on the Effective Date. Each of the Director Nomination Agreements provides that as long as the applicable Nominating Lender(s) is the beneficial owner of a number of shares of New Common Stock that is 50% or more of the number of shares distributed to such Nominating Lender(s) pursuant to the Plan (in such capacity, a Continuing 50% Holder ), the Company will nominate for election to membership on its Board of Directors one individual designated by each such Continuing 50% Holder.

#### Reconstitution of Board of Directors

The Company reconstituted its Board of Directors (the Board ) on the Effective Date pursuant to the Plan, to be comprised of Stephen R. Light, Ambassador April H. Foley, Jay Gurandiano, John F. McGovern, Edward Paquette, Marc Saiontz and James F. Wilson.

#### 2010 Equity Incentive Plan

Pursuant to the Plan, the Company adopted the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan on the Effective Date. See Note 13 for further discussion.

#### Accounting for Reorganization

Subsequent to the Commencement Date and through the Effective Date, the Company s financial statements were prepared in accordance with the Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 852, *Reorganizations* (Topic 852). Topic 852 does not change the application of U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the preparation of the Company s financial statements. However, for periods including and subsequent to the filing of a chapter 11 petition, Topic 852 does require that the financial statements distinguish transactions and events that are directly associated with the reorganization from those that are associated with the ongoing operations of the business. Because the reorganization value of the Company s assets was greater than the sum of its post-petition liabilities and allowed claims, the Company did not adopt the fresh-start reporting principles of Topic 852.

In accordance with Topic 852, the Company (i) separated liabilities that are subject to compromise from liabilities that are not subject to compromise, during the period subsequent to the Commencement Date and prior to the Effective Date; and (ii) distinguished transactions and events that were directly associated with the reorganization from those that are associated with the ongoing operations of the business.

#### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

#### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

#### (dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Reorganization items are presented separately in the accompanying unaudited consolidated statements of operations and represent expenses that the Company identified as directly relating to the chapter 11 case. These items for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 are summarized as follows:

		e Months nded	Nine Months Ended	
	-	mber 30, 2010	•	ember 30, 2010
Legal and professional fees	\$	799	\$	15,691
Loss on extinguishment of debt				14,400
Write-off of deferred financing costs on pre-petition				
credit facility				14,283
	\$	799	\$	44,374

The accompanying balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 included a reclassification of \$583,564 to reflect as current the long-term debt under the Company s pre-petition senior credit facility that would otherwise be in default absent the waivers. Additionally, related deferred financing costs of \$15,187 were reclassified to other current assets from other assets as of December 31, 2009, the balance of which was \$14,283 at the Commencement Date when it was written off.

#### Restricted Cash

At September 30, 2010 the Company had \$15,648 of restricted cash, which was classified as a current asset. The restricted cash serves as collateral for letters of credit and no letter of credit has a maturity greater than one year, although certain of them can be renewed for another year. The cash is held in custody by the issuing bank, is restricted as to withdrawal or use and is currently invested in money market funds. Income from these funds is paid to the Company.

#### 2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements at September 30, 2010 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries and have been prepared in conformity with GAAP for interim financial reporting and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, such financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. GAAP requires the Company s management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The interim results presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year. In management s opinion, these unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements contain all adjustments of a normal recurring nature necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements for the interim periods presented. These unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 as reported on Form 10-K filed on March 26, 2010. Additionally, as discussed in Note 1, the Company had a 20 to 1 reverse split of its Old Common Stock; accordingly the effect of the split has been reflected retroactively for all periods presented.

#### **3. Accounting Policies**

#### Derivatives and Hedging

As required by ASC Topic 815, *Derivatives and Hedging* ( Topic 815 ), the Company records all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether the Company has elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Derivatives may also be designated as hedges of the foreign currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation. Hedge accounting generally provides for the matching of the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument with the recognition of the changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that are attributable to the hedged risk in a fair value hedge or the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transactions in a cash flow hedge.

The Company may enter into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge certain of its risks, even though hedge accounting does not apply or the Company elects not to apply hedge accounting under Topic 815.

#### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

#### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

#### Goodwill

The Company accounts for goodwill and other intangible assets in accordance with ASC Topic 350, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (Topic 350). Topic 350 requires that goodwill and intangible assets that have indefinite lives not be amortized but, instead, must be tested at least annually for impairment or whenever events or business conditions warrant. Goodwill impairment testing is a two-step process. Step 1 involves comparing the fair value of the Company is reporting unit to its carrying amount. If the fair value of the reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, there is no impairment. If the reporting unit carrying amount is greater than the fair value then the second step must be completed to measure the amount of impairment, if any. Step 2 calculates the implied fair value of goodwill by deducting the fair value of all tangible and intangible assets, excluding goodwill, of the reporting unit from the fair value of the reporting unit as determined in Step 1. The implied fair value of goodwill determined in this step is compared to the carrying value of goodwill. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the carrying value of goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized equal to the difference.

The Company performs an annual test for goodwill impairment as of December 31st at the reporting unit level. The Company has two reporting units: clothing and roll covers. For the purpose of performing the annual impairment test, the Company allocates all shared assets and liabilities to the reporting units based on the percentage of each reporting unit s revenue to total revenue. Shared operating expenses are allocated to the reporting units to the extent necessary to allow them to operate as independent businesses. To determine if impairment exists, the fair value of each reporting unit is compared to its carrying value. The fair value of the Company s reporting units was determined by using a weighted combination of both a market multiple approach and an income approach. The market multiple approach utilizes the Company s information which is used to value its reporting units. The income approach is a present value technique used to measure the fair value of future cash flows produced by each reporting units.

Applying the guidance of Topic 350, the Company determined that as of December 31, 2009, goodwill for the roll covers reporting unit was impaired primarily due to the adverse effect of the Company s credit issues and the effects of the current global economic environment. Step 1 of the process indicated that the fair value of the net assets of the roll covers reporting unit was \$3,700 less than their carrying value as of December 31, 2009. Based on the Step 1 result, the Company proceeded with Step 2. Based on the increase in fair value of tangible and intangible assets over book value of \$77,000 as determined in Step 2, an aggregate impairment of \$80,600 was recorded. To date, there have been no indicators of impairment or recorded goodwill impairment for the Company s clothing reporting unit.

During each of three quarters in 2010, the Company evaluated events and business conditions, including the Company s filing of voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on March 30, 2010, to determine if a test for an impairment of goodwill was warranted. The adverse effects of the Company s credit issues had been considered when determining the amount of the Company s impairment of goodwill recorded at December 31, 2009. Accordingly, no test was determined to be warranted at March 31, 2010, June 30, 2010 or September 30, 2010.

#### Net Loss Per Common Share

Net loss per common share has been computed and presented pursuant to the provisions of ASC Topic 260, *Earnings per Share* (Topic 260). Net loss per share is based on the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the period. As of September 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company had outstanding restricted stock units (RSUs) and as of September 30, 2010 also had outstanding stock options and warrants (see Note 13). For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company excluded the dilutive impact of potential future issuances of common stock underlying the Company's RSUs, stock options and warrants from the calculation of diluted average shares outstanding because their effect would have been anti-dilutive as the Company had net losses for those periods.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company excluded the dilutive impact of potential future issuances of common stock underlying the Company s RSUs from the calculation of diluted average shares outstanding because their effect would have been anti-dilutive as the Company had net losses for those periods.

## Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings weighted average shares. As discussed in Note 1, the Company had a 20 to 1 reverse split of its Old Common Stock; accordingly the effect of the split has been reflected retroactively for all periods presented:

	Three Months	Three Months	Nine Months	Nine Months
	Ended	Ended	Ended	Ended
	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Weighted-average common shares outstanding basic Dilutive effect of stock-based compensation awards outstanding	14,970,050	2,444,149	8,350,635	2,444,913
Weighted-average common shares outstanding diluted	14,970,050	2,444,149	8,350,635	2,444,913

#### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

#### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

#### Reclassifications

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

#### New Accounting Standards

In March 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2010-11, *Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Scope Exception Related to Embedded Credit Derivatives* (ASU No. 2010-11). ASU No. 2010-11 clarifies that the scope exception in Topic 815 paragraphs 15-15-8 through 15-9 only applies to the transfer of credit risk in the form of subordination of one financial instrument to another. ASU No. 2010-11 is effective for fiscal quarters beginning after June 15, 2010. The adoption of ASU No. 2010-11 had no impact on the Company's financial statements.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-13, *Compensation Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Effect of Denominating the Exercise Price of a Share-Based Payment Award in the Currency of the Market in Which the Underlying Equity Security Trades a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force (ASU No. 2010-13)*. ASU No. 2010-13 provides amendments to ASC Topic 718, *Compensation Stock Compensation* (Topic 718) to clarify that an employee share-based payment award with an exercise price denominated in the currency of a market in which a substantial portion of the entity s equity securities trades should not be considered to contain a condition that is not a market, performance or service condition. Therefore, an entity would not classify such an award as a liability if it otherwise qualifies as equity. ASU No. 2010-13 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The Company believes the adoption of ASU No. 2010-13 will have no impact on its financial statements.

#### 4. Derivatives and Hedging

#### **Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives**

The Company is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates or foreign exchange rates. Specifically, the Company had entered into interest rate swaps to hedge variable interest related to its senior debt and foreign exchange contracts to protect the U.S. dollar value of certain assets and obligations. On December 31, 2009, the Company terminated with the counterparties all of its outstanding interest rate swap liabilities of \$20,036 and converted them into notes payable to such counterparties. No new interest rate swaps have been entered into by the Company.

#### Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Company s objectives in using interest rate derivatives were to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the Company primarily used interest rate swaps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involved the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount.

As previously discussed, the Company terminated its outstanding interest rate swaps at December 31, 2009 and has not entered into any new interest rate swap agreements since that time. Consequently, the Company s financial statements are exposed to the effects of interest rate fluctuations which could have a material impact on its results of operations. However, under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility, the BA Rate, the LIBOR Rate and the Euribor Rate are subject to a minimum base rate of 2.00% per annum, which is currently higher than the respective base rates.

As disclosed in previous filings, the Company anticipated that it would not be in compliance with certain financial covenants under its senior credit facility for the period ending September 30, 2009 and thus, on September 29, 2009, the Company entered into Waiver and Amendment No. 1 (the Waiver Agreement ) to the Company s senior credit facility in effect at that time. As of September 30, 2009 (and as of December 31, 2009), the Company was not in compliance with those covenants. As it was uncertain that the Company would be able to complete any alternative, long-term solutions to its credit issues or to obtain a further waiver prior to expiration of the Waiver Agreement, the Company was no longer able to support that the variable-rate interest payments (hedged transactions) under its senior credit facility were probable of occurring. Therefore, effective September 1, 2009, the Company was required to discontinue cash flow hedge accounting prospectively for its interest rate swaps so that the mark to market changes in their fair value are charged or credited to interest expense. Prior to September 1, 2009, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualified as cash flow hedges were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and subsequently amortized into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affected earnings. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives was recognized directly in earnings. The balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of August 31, 2009 related to the interest rate swaps for which hedge accounting was to be subsequently amortized into the statement of operations (interest expense) over the remaining original term of the derivative as the hedged forecasted transactions are

#### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

#### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

#### (dollars in thousands, except per share data)

also recorded to interest expense, in accordance with Topic 815. In addition, as of March 31, 2010, the Company determined that it was probable that future interest payments on the debt that was in excess of the \$410,000 (discussed in Note 1) would not occur. As a result, in the first quarter of 2010, the Company amortized an additional \$735 from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense, which represented the balance in accumulated other comprehensive income relating to interest payments on the debt that was in excess of \$410,000. The remaining balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to interest rate swaps will be amortized to interest expense through December 31, 2010, the original term of the swaps. Accordingly, during the second and third quarter of 2010, the Company amortized \$4,307 from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense. As of September 30, 2010, the remaining balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to interest expense through December 31, 2010.

Although these interest rate swaps were subject to mark to market accounting through earnings effective September 1, 2009, prior to their termination with the counterparties as discussed above, they effectively fixed, from a cash flow hedge perspective through December 31, 2010, the interest rate at 10.75% on approximately 79% of the term loan portion of the Company s pre-petition credit facility. As a result of the termination of the interest rate swaps, the interest rate on the term loan portion of the credit facility was no longer effectively fixed through December 31, 2010, the original term of the swaps.

The tables below present the effect of the Company s derivative financial instruments on the consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2009. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had no outstanding interest rate derivatives as a result of the termination of interest rate swaps and their conversion to notes payable, as discussed above, and have not entered into any since that date.

#### Tabular Disclosure of the Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statements of

#### **Operations For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010**

	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective	Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion),	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from
	Portion), net	(Effective	net of	Effectiveness	Effectiveness
Derivatives in Topic 815 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships Interest Rate Swaps (1)	of tax \$	Portion)	tax \$	Testing)	Testing) \$
interest Rate Swaps (1)	φ	Interest expense	φ	Interest expense	φ

		Amount of Gain
		or (Loss) Recognized
	Location of Gain or (Loss)	in Income
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments Under Topic 815	Recognized in Income on Derivative	on Derivative
Interest Rate Swaps (1)	Interest Expense	\$ (2,160)
Foreign Currency Hedges	Foreign exchange loss	(142)
		\$ (2,302)

(1) The Company s interest rate swaps were considered designated hedging instruments through August 31, 2009. As discussed above, effective September 1, 2009, the interest rate swaps were no longer designated hedging instruments.

Xerium Technologies, Inc.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Tabular Disclosure of the Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations

for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009

			Amount of	Location of Gain	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized
	Amount		Gain	or (Loss)	in Income on
	of		or (Loss) Reclassified	Recognized in	Derivative
	Gain or		from	Income on	(Ineffective
	(Loss)	Location of Gain		Derivative	Portion
	Recognized	or (Loss)	Accumulated OCI into	(Ineffective	and
	in OCI on	<b>Reclassified from</b>	Income	Portion and	Amount
	Derivative	Accumulated	(Effective	Amount	Excluded
	(Effective	OCI into Income	Portion), net	Excluded from	from
	Portion), net	(Effective	of	Effectiveness	Effectiveness
Derivatives in Topic 815 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	of tax	Portion)	tax	Testing)	Testing)
Interest Rate Swaps (1)	\$ (1,634)	Interest expense	\$ (2,952)	Interest expense	\$ (266)

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments Under Topic 815 Interest Rate Swaps (1)	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative Interest Expense	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (592)
Foreign Currency Hedges	Foreign exchange gain	\$ 79
		\$ (513)

(1) The Company s interest rate swaps were considered designated hedging instruments through August 31, 2009. As discussed above, effective September 1, 2009, the interest rate swaps were no longer designated hedging instruments. Tabular Disclosure of the Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statements of

#### **Operations For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010**

					Amount
					of
					Gain or
					(Loss)
	Amount			Location of Gain	Recognized
	of		Amount	or (Loss)	in Income
	Gain		of Gain		on
	or		or (Loss)	Recognized in	Derivative
	(Loss)	T	Reclassified from	Income on	(Ineffective
	Recognized	Location of Gain		Derivative	Portion
	in OCI	or (Loss)	Accumulated OCI into	(Ineffective	and
	on	Reclassified from	Income	Portion and	Amount
	Derivative	Accumulated	(Effective	Amount	Excluded
	(Effective	OCI into Income	Portion),	Excluded from	from
	Portion), net	(Effective	net of	Effectiveness	Effectiveness
Derivatives in Topic 815 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	of tax	Portion)	tax	Testing)	Testing)
Interest Rate Swaps (1)	\$	Interest expense	\$	Interest expense	\$

	Location of Gain or (Loss)	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income
Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments Under Topic 815	Recognized in Income on Derivative	on Derivative
Interest Rate Swaps (1)	Interest Expense	\$ (7,518)
Foreign Currency Hedges	Foreign exchange loss	(119)
		\$ (7,637)

(1) The Company s interest rate swaps were considered designated hedging instruments through August 31, 2009. As discussed above, effective September 1, 2009, the interest rate swaps were no longer designated hedging instruments.

Xerium Technologies, Inc.

#### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Tabular Disclosure of the Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statements of

**Operations for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009** 

					Amount of Gain or
				Location of Gain	(Loss) Recognized
	Amount			or (Loss)	in Income on
	of		Amount of	Recognized in	Derivative
	Gain or		Gain	Income on	(Ineffective
	(Loss)	Location of Gain	or (Loss)	Derivative	Portion
	Recognized	or (Loss)	<b>Reclassified from</b>	(Ineffective	and
	in OCI on	Reclassified from	Accumulated	Portion and	Amount
	Derivative	Accumulated	<b>OCI into Income</b>	Amount	Excluded
	(Effective	OCI into Income	(Effective	Excluded from	from
	Portion), net	(Effective	Portion), net of	Effectiveness	Effectiveness
Derivatives in Topic 815 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	of tax	Portion)	tax	Testing)	Testing)
Interest Rate Swaps	\$ (8,001)	Interest expense	\$ (9,454)	Interest expense	\$ (1,061)

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments Under Topic 815	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative	or Reco In	nt of Gain (Loss) gnized in come on rivative
Interest Rate Swaps	Interest Expense	\$	(592)
Foreign Currency Hedges	Foreign exchange gain	\$	496
		\$	(96)

#### Non-designated Hedges of Foreign Exchange Risk

Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and are used to manage the Company s exposure to foreign exchange rates but do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements of Topic 815. Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated in hedging relationships are recorded directly to earnings.

The Company, from time to time, enters into foreign exchange forward contracts to fix currencies at specified rates based on expected future cash flows to protect against the fluctuations in cash flows resulting from sales denominated in foreign currency (cash flow hedges). Additionally, to manage its exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency on intercompany balances and certain purchase commitments, the Company uses foreign exchange forward contracts (fair value hedges).

As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had the following outstanding derivatives that were not designated as hedges in qualifying hedging relationships. The value of these contracts is recognized at fair value based on market exchange forward rates. The change in fair value of these contracts is included in foreign exchange gain/(loss) in the statement of operations.

Foreign Currency Derivative (as of September 30, 2010)	Notie	onal Sold	Notional	Purchased
Cash flow hedges	\$	(1,835)	\$	
Fair value hedges	\$	(7,120)	\$	4,112
Earlien Currency Derivative (as of December 21, 2000)	Noti	onal Sold	Notional	Purchased
Foreign Currency Derivative (as of December 31, 2009)	TAORIO	Jilai Dola	Tononai	1 ai ciidoca
Cash flow hedges	\$	Jildi Solu	\$	692
		(835)	\$ \$	

The Company had agreements with certain of its derivative counterparties that contained a provision where if the Company either defaults in payment obligations under its credit facility or if such obligations are accelerated by the lenders, then the Company could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations. Although the Company was not declared in default of such obligations, as discussed above, on December 31, 2009 the Company terminated with the counterparties all of its outstanding interest rate swap liabilities of \$20,036 and converted them into notes payable to such counterparties.

As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk, related to these agreements was \$138 and \$42, respectively. The Company has not posted any collateral related to these derivative agreements.

#### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

#### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

#### (dollars in thousands, except per share data)

The table below presents the fair value of the Company s derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

#### **Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments**

	Asset Derivatives As of September 30, 2010			Liability Derivatives As of September 30, 20		
	Balance			Balance		
	Sheet Location	Fair V	alue	Sheet Location	Fair	Value
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under Topic 815						
Interest Rate Swaps	Other current assets	\$		Accrued expenses	\$	
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments under Topic 815		\$			\$	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under Topic 815						
Interest Rate Swaps	Other current assets	\$		Accrued expenses	\$	
Foreign Currency Hedges	Other current assets		165	Accrued expenses		303
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under Topic 815		\$	165		\$	303

#### Tabular Disclosure of Fair Values of Derivative Instruments

	Asset Deriv As of Decembe		Liability Derivatives As of December 31, 200		
	Balance		Balance		
	Sheet Location	Fair Value	Sheet Location	Fair Value	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under Topic 815					
Interest Rate Swaps	Other current assets	\$	Accrued expenses	\$	
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments under Topic 815		\$		\$	

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under

Topic 815				
Interest Rate Swaps	Other current		Accrued	
	assets	\$	expenses	\$
Foreign Currency Hedges	Other current		Accrued	
	assets	5	expenses	47
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under				
Topic 815		\$ 5		\$ 47
1				

#### Fair Value of Derivatives Under ASC Topic 820

ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (Topic 820), emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, a fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity s own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy).

#### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

#### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability (other than quoted prices), such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability which are typically based on an entity s own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity. In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company s assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and the Company considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

To comply with Topic 820, the Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty s nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilized Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. However, as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, the Company assessed the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and has determined that the credit valuation adjustments were not significant to the overall valuation of its derivatives. As a result, the Company determined that its derivative valuations in their entirety were classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The Company does not have any fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) as of September 30, 2010 or December 31, 2009. The table below presents the Company sasets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy within which those measurements fall.

#### As of September 30, 2010

Assets	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Obse Ir	cant Other ervables pputs evel 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Derivatives	\$ 165	\$	\$	165	\$
Total	\$ 165	\$	\$	165	\$
Liabilities	<b>*</b> (202)	<b>*</b>	<b>.</b>	(202)	
Derivatives	\$ (303)	\$	\$	(303)	\$
Total	\$ (303)	\$	\$	(303)	\$

As of December 31, 2009

Assets	То	otal		Quoted P Active Ma Ident Asso (Leve	rkets for ical ets	Obser Inj	ant Other rvables puts vel 2)	Unobse Inp	ficant ervable outs vel 3)
Derivatives	\$	5		\$		\$	5	\$	
Total	\$	5		\$		\$	5	\$	
Liabilities									
Derivatives	\$ (	(47)	)	\$		\$	(47)	\$	
Total	\$	(47)	)	\$		\$	(47)	\$	

Additionally, as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the carrying value of the term debt under the Company s senior credit facility is \$479,887 and \$583,564, respectively, and exceeds its fair value of approximately \$458,000 and \$485,000, respectively. The Company determined the fair value of its debt utilizing quoted prices in active markets for its own debt (Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy).

#### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

#### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

#### 5. Inventories

The components of inventories are as follows at:

	Sep	tember 30, 2010	Dec	ember 31, 2009
Raw materials	\$	16,694	\$	17,466
Work in process		23,608		26,811
Finished units (includes consigned inventory of \$11,083 and				
\$10,701, respectively)		38,561		33,897
	\$	78,863	\$	78,174

#### 6. Debt

As discussed in Note 1, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on the Commencement Date, and the Company and the Debtor Subsidiaries emerged from chapter 11 on the Effective Date. On the Effective Date, the Company entered into an amendment and restatement of its senior credit facility pursuant to which, among other things, the total outstanding debt obligations under the senior credit facility, which at May 25, 2010 were \$603,590 (including waiver fees of \$1,380) were reduced to \$410,000. Also on the Effective Date, pursuant to the Plan, the DIP Facility was converted into an exit facility consisting of a \$20,000 revolving credit facility and a \$60,000 term loan used to fund the Company s emergence from chapter 11 and ongoing working capital requirements. As of September 30, 2010, no borrowings have been made under the revolving credit facility. See Note 1 for additional information related to the terms of the Amended and Restated Credit Facility and the Exit Facility.

In addition to scheduled quarterly principal payments, the Amended and Restated Credit Facility requires the Company to make mandatory prepayments under certain circumstances. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company made payments under its credit facility agreements as shown in the table below. Additionally, during the first quarter of 2009, the Company borrowed \$28,000 under its revolver.

	For the Thre	For the Three Months Ended			For the Nine Months End			
	September 30, September 2010 2009		,	September 30, 2010	Sep	tember 30, 2009		
Scheduled payments	\$ 2,235	\$	5,002	\$ 2,235	\$	14,488		
Mandatory prepayments, as defined			530			19,211		

#### 7. Income Taxes

The Company utilizes the asset and liability method for accounting for income taxes in accordance with ASC Topic 740, *Income Taxes* (Topic 740). Under Topic 740, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Company reduces the deferred tax assets by a valuation allowance if, based upon the weight of available evidence, it is

more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Information evaluated includes the Company s financial position and results of operations for the current and preceding years as well as an evaluation of currently available information about future years. Because of the Company s accumulated loss position and the uncertainty around the future profitability in certain tax jurisdictions, on September 30, 2010 the Company has valuation allowances for deferred tax assets primarily related to net operating loss carry forwards in the United States, the United Kingdom, Canada, Germany, Sweden and Australia.

For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the provision for income taxes was \$4,318 and \$3,424, respectively and for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the provision for income taxes was \$11,504 and \$10,013, respectively. There was no tax benefit reflected in any of these periods due to losses incurred in certain of our foreign subsidiaries and in the U.S. for which no benefit was recognized due to established valuation allowances. The Company s policy is to recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters as income tax expense, which were immaterial for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. The tax years 2000 through 2009 remain open to examination by the major taxing jurisdictions to which the Company and its subsidiaries are subject.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had a gross unrecognized tax benefit of \$5,023. The unrecognized tax benefit increased by approximately \$1,075 during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as a result of foreign currency effects, statute expirations and ongoing changes in currently reserved positions.

#### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

#### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

As discussed in Note 1, the Company emerged from chapter 11 bankruptcy protection on May 25, 2010. The Company s review of the potential impact of the overall plan of reorganization resulted in no material change in its tax position.

The Company s balance sheet as of September 30, 2010 reflects a deferred tax asset related to its German federal and trade loss carry-forwards of approximately \$3,900. As a general rule, federal and trade tax loss carry forwards are subject to forfeiture if more than 50% of the shares in the corporation are directly or indirectly transferred to a new shareholder. There is a restructuring exception to this general rule; however, this exception is currently suspended pending the outcome of an investigation by the European Union. The Company has reflected no impairment of this deferred tax asset as the Company has concluded that it is more likely than not that this asset will be realized in future periods.

#### 8. Pensions, Other Postretirement and Postemployment Benefits

The Company accounts for its pension, postretirement and postemployment benefit plans in accordance with ASC Topic 715, *Compensation Retirement Benefits* (Topic 715)

The Company has defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all of its U.S. and Canadian employees and employees of certain subsidiaries in other countries. Benefits are generally based on the employee s years of service and compensation. These plans are funded in conformity with the funding requirements of applicable government regulations.

The Company has postemployment plans in various countries which consist primarily of payments to be made to employees upon termination of employment, as defined, and are accrued according to local statutory laws in the respective countries.

The Company also sponsors various unfunded defined contribution plans that provide for retirement benefits to employees, some in accordance with local government requirements.

The Company also maintains a funded retirement savings plan for U.S. employees which is qualified under Section 401(k) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code. During 2008, the plan allowed eligible employees to contribute up to 15% of their compensation (plus catch-up contributions for participants over age 50), with the Company matching 100% of up to the first 4% of employee compensation. Effective January 1, 2009, the Company enhanced the match to 200% of the first 1% of employee compensation and 100% of the next 4% of employee compensation. The matching contribution was suspended in February 2009 but in 2010 the suspension was removed and the enhanced match was resumed. The matching contribution expense was \$401 for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and \$1,244 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

As required by Topic 715, the following tables summarize the components of net periodic benefit cost:

#### Defined Benefit Plans

	Three Mo	onths Ended	Nine Months Ended			
	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009		
Service cost	\$ 953	\$ 1,018	\$ 2,857	\$ 2,415		
Interest cost	1,641	1,731	5,026	4,710		
Expected return on plan assets	(1,001)	(982)	(3,009)	(2,528)		

Amortization of prior service cost	4	28	11	70
Amortization of net loss	196	284	559	804
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 1,793	\$ 2,079	\$ 5,444	\$ 5,471

## Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

	Three M	onths Ended	Nine Months Ended				
	September 30, 2010	September 30, September 30, 2009 2010		September 30, 2009			
Service cost	\$	\$	\$	\$			
Interest cost	7	10	20	29			
Amortization of prior service cost							
Amortization of net gain	(2)	(2)	(6)	(4)			
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 5	\$ 8	\$ 14	\$ 25			

#### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

#### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

#### 9. Comprehensive Income and Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income/(loss) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

	Three Mo	<b>Three Months Ended</b>				Nine Months Ended				
	September 30, 2010	, <b>1</b> ,		September 30, 2010	Sep	tember 30, 2009				
Net loss	\$ (3,656)	\$	(7,381)	\$ (73,824)	\$	(15,228)				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	11,521		7,697	4,333		17,378				
Pension liability changes under Topic 715	(757)		(6)	312		(910)				
Change in value of derivative instruments	1,936		1,817	7,576		1,828				
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 9,044	\$	2,127	\$ (61,603)	\$	3,068				

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows:

	Foreign	Р	Pension	Change			umulated Other
	Currency Translation Adjustment	Liability Changes Under Topic 715		Value of Derivative Instruments		Comprehensive Income (Loss)	
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 22,379	\$	(23,775)	\$	(9,721)	\$	(11,117)
Current period change, net of tax	4,333		312		7,576		12,221
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$ 26,712	\$	(23,463)	\$	(2,145)	\$	1,104

#### 10. Restructuring and Impairments Expense

Restructuring and impairments expense included in the Company s statements of operations are the result of its long-term strategy to reduce production costs and improve long-term competitiveness. Restructuring and impairments expense consists principally of severance costs related to reductions in work force and of facility costs and impairments of assets principally related to closing facilities and/or shifting production from one facility to another. Facility costs are principally comprised of costs to relocate assets to the Company s other facilities, operating lease termination costs and other associated costs.

During 2010, the Company continued its program of streamlining its operating structure and recorded restructuring and impairment expenses in connection therewith of \$1,567, \$2,544, and \$3,322 in the first, second and third quarter, respectively. The amount for the second quarter included approximately \$1,800 related to the Company s announcement, at the end of the second quarter of 2010, of its plan to cease production at its Stowe Woodward roll covers facility in North Bay, Ontario by August 20, 2010 and consisted of severance costs of \$1,100 and asset impairment charges of \$700. The amount for the third quarter of 2010 included \$2,123 of asset impairment charges, primarily related to the Vietnam facility, \$880 for facility and other costs and \$319 for severance costs.

## Table of Contents

The Company expects to incur restructuring expenses of approximately \$11,000 to \$12,000 in the aggregate during 2010, primarily related to the closure of North Bay, asset impairment charges in Vietnam and headcount reductions resulting from the integration of the regional management structure in North America and Europe.

The table below sets forth for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the significant components and activity under restructuring programs and asset impairments:

		lance at cember							lance at otember
	31, 2009 0		Charges			rrency fects	Cash Payments	30, 2010	
Severance	\$	536	\$ 3,244	\$	\$	(32)	\$ (1,939)	\$	1,809
Facility costs and other		1,478	1,318			(13)	(1,550)		1,233
Asset impairments			2,871	(2,871)					
Total	\$	2,014	\$ 7,433	\$ (2,871)	¢	(45)	\$ (3,489)	\$	3,042
Total	φ	2,014	\$ 7,435	\$ (2,071)	φ	(43)	\$ (3,469)	φ	5,042

### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

### (dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Restructuring and impairments expense by segment, which is not included in Segment Earnings (Loss) in Note 11, is as follows:

	For the Thre	For the Three Months Ended		For the Nine Months Ended		
	September	September	September	Se	eptember	
	30, 2010	30, 2009	30, 2010		30, 2009	
Clothing	\$ 2,498	\$ 785	\$ 2,760	\$	656	
Roll Covers	567	957	3,725		1,000	
Corporate	257	12	948		1,238	
Total	\$ 3,322	\$ 1,754	\$ 7,433	\$	2,894	

### **11. Business Segment Information**

The Company is a global manufacturer and supplier of consumable products used primarily in the production of paper and is organized into two reportable segments: Clothing and Roll Covers. The Clothing segment represents the manufacture and sale of synthetic textile belts used to transport paper along the length of papermaking machines. The Roll Covers segment primarily represents the manufacture and refurbishment of covers used on the steel rolls of papermaking machines. The Company manages each of these operating segments separately.

Management evaluates segment performance based on earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization and before allocation of corporate charges. Such measure is then adjusted to exclude items that are of an unusual nature and are not used in measuring segment performance or are not segment specific (Segment Earnings (Loss)). The accounting policies of these segments are the same as those for the Company as a whole. Inter-segment net sales and inter-segment eliminations are not material for any of the periods presented.

Summarized financial information for the Company s reportable segments is presented in the tables that follow for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

		Roll		
	Clothing	Covers	Corporate	Total
Three Months Ended September 30, 2010:				
Net sales	\$ 90,317	\$ 45,582	\$	\$ 135,899
Segment Earnings (Loss)	20,560	11,123	(2,590)	
Three Months Ended September 30, 2009:				
Net sales	\$ 86,033	\$ 44,275	\$	\$ 130,308
Segment Earnings (Loss)	20,186	11,010	(5,345)	
		Roll		
	Clothing	Covers	Corporate	Total
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010:	_		-	
Net sales	\$ 268,016	\$ 135,725	\$	\$ 403,741

Segment Earnings (Loss)	60,942	30,961	(11,704)	
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009:				
Net sales	\$ 243,881	\$ 123,773	\$	\$ 367,654
Segment Earnings (Loss)	63,017	27,329	(12,122)	

Provided below is a reconciliation of Segment Earnings (Loss) to income before provision for income taxes for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Segment Earnings (Loss):		
Clothing	\$ 20,560	\$ 20,186
Roll Covers	11,123	11,010
Corporate	(2,590)	(5,345)
Non-cash compensation and related expenses	(2,068)	(778)
Net interest expense	(12,020)	(16,425)
Depreciation and amortization	(10,222)	(10,851)
Operational restructuring and impairments expense	(3,322)	(1,754)
Income (loss) before reorganization items and provision for income taxes	\$ 1,461	\$ (3,957)

### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

### (dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Segment Earnings (Loss):		
Clothing	\$ 60,942	\$ 63,017
Roll Covers	30,961	27,329
Corporate	(11,704)	(12,122)
Non-cash compensation and related expenses	(5,498)	(1,824)
Net interest expense	(44,529)	(47,952)
Depreciation and amortization	(30,763)	(30,769)
Operational restructuring and impairments expense	(7,433)	(2,894)
Financial restructuring costs included in general and administrative expense	(9,922)	
Loss before reorganization items and provision for income taxes	\$ (17,946)	\$ (5,215)

### 12. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is involved in various legal matters, which have arisen in the ordinary course of business. The Company does not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a material adverse effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flow.

### **Environmental Matters**

During the third quarter of 2008, the Company, while evaluating its facility in Australia, discovered the possibility of contamination at the facility. Subsequently the Company had a preliminary evaluation performed, which confirmed the existence of contamination and estimated preliminary costs to remediate this facility. Based upon this evaluation, the Company accrued \$4,100 in 2008 as its best estimate of the remediation costs it expected to incur. A Phase II assessment of the groundwater contamination performed for the Company during the second quarter of 2009 indicated the costs to remediate the contamination would be significantly less than originally estimated and accordingly, the Company reduced the accrual by \$3,400 during the second quarter of 2009 based on this assessment. At the end of the second quarter of 2010, the Company entered into a contingent purchase and sale agreement with a third party whereby the third party could purchase the Australian facility after two years from the date of the purchase and sale agreement. Under the terms of the purchase and sale agreement, the environmental liability would transfer to the third party at the time of such purchase.

The Company believes that any additional liability in excess of amounts provided which may result from the resolution of environmental matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, liquidity or cash flow of the Company.

### 13. Stock-Based Compensation and Stockholders Equity (Deficit)

As discussed in Note 1, the Company had a 20 to 1 reverse split of its Old Common Stock; accordingly the effect of the split has been reflected retroactively for all periods presented.

The Company records stock-based compensation expense in accordance with Topic 718 and has used the straight-line attribution method to recognize expense for time-based RSUs granted after December 31, 2005. The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Nine	e Months Ende	d
	September	September	September	Septembe	er
	30, 2010	30, 2009	30, 2010	30, 2009	
RSU and Stock Option Awards (1)	\$ 568	\$ 678	\$ 3,173	\$ 1,4	54
Management Incentive/Performance Award Programs					
(2)	1,500	100	1,500	3	370
Stock Awards (3)			825		94
Total	\$ 2,068	\$ 778	\$ 5,498	\$ 1,9	918

<sup>(1)</sup> Related to restricted stock units awarded in and prior to 2010 and stock options awarded to certain non-employee directors on the Effective Date. See further discussion below.

<sup>(2)</sup> For 2010, amount represents the estimated value of RSU awards to be made under the 2010 Management Incentive Compensation Program (the 2010 MIC), which was approved by the Company's Board of Directors on September 22, 2010. See further discussion below. For 2009, amount represented an accrued estimated RSU payout expected under the 2009 Performance Award Program. The underlying targets for 2009 were not achieved and these amounts were subsequently reversed during the fourth quarter of 2009.

<sup>21</sup> 

### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

### (dollars in thousands, except per share data)

(3) For 2010, the amount represents a total of 39,764 shares of common stock that were sold to Mr. Stephen Light, the Company s Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer on January 5, 2010. See further discussion below. For 2009, the amount represents the value of 3,000 shares of the Company s common stock awarded to Mr. David Maffucci on June 8, 2009 in connection with his appointment as the Company s Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

The related tax impact on stock-based compensation was a tax benefit of \$16 and \$27 for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, respectively. The tax impact on stock based compensation was a tax provision of \$34 for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and a tax benefit of \$91 for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

### 2010 Equity Incentive Plan

Pursuant to the plan of reorganization as discussed in Note 1, the Company adopted the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2010 Plan ) on the Effective Date. The 2010 Plan provides for the grant of awards consisting of any or a combination of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, unrestricted stock or stock unit awards.

### Shares Reserved for Awards

The maximum number of shares that may be delivered under or in satisfaction of awards under the 2010 Plan is 463,525 shares of New Common Stock, provided, however, that to the extent that equity incentive awards granted prior to the Effective Date pursuant to the Company s 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended, or employment agreements with the Company s senior management do not vest on or after the Effective Date in accordance with their terms, the number of shares of New Common Stock reserved pursuant to the Plan with respect to such unvested awards shall be added to the number of shares of New Common Stock that may be delivered under the 2010 Plan. The number of shares deliverable under the 2010 Plan is subject to adjustment in the case of stock dividends and other transactions affecting the New Common Stock.

### 2005 Equity Incentive Plan

Effective May 19, 2005, the Company adopted the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2005 Plan ), under which the Board of Directors authorized 125,000 shares for grant (subsequently increased to 375,000 at the Company s Annual Meeting of Stockholders on August 6, 2008).

### Summary of Activity Under the 2010 Plan and the 2005 Plan

### Long-Term Incentive Program

On September 22, 2010, the Board approved the Company s 2010-2012 Long Term Incentive Plan (the LTIP) under the 2010 Plan. Awards under the LTIP are both time-based and performance-based. Awards will be paid in the form of restricted stock units or shares of common stock of the Company, as described below.

Time-based awards under the LTIP were approved in the form of 122,260 time-based restricted stock units granted on October 29, 2010 under the Company s 2010 Plan and will vest in equal installments on March 31, 2011, March 31, 2012, and March 10, 2013, and will be converted into shares of common stock as they vest.

Performance-based awards under the LTIP will vest (a) if the participant remains continuously employed with the Company through December 31, 2012 and (b) on a sliding scale ranging from 0% to 110% if the Company s results fall between 80.1% and 110% of the specified three-year cumulative Adjusted EBITDA target as adjusted to reflect currency exchange rate fluctuations relative to the U.S. Dollar. Vested stock units will convert into shares of the Company s common stock after the close of the three-year performance period.

2010 MIC

On September 22, 2010, the Board approved the 2010 MIC, which was an amendment and restatement of the Company's Performance Award Program for 2010. Under the 2010 MIC, payouts will be determined by the Company's performance against a specified Adjusted EBITDA metric for the 2010 fiscal year. Fifty percent (50%) of any 2010 MIC award earned will be paid in cash and 50% is expected to be paid in the form of restricted stock units under the Company's 2010 Plan based on an average per-share price within a collar. 2010 MIC awards will be paid out based on a sliding scale ranging from 35% if the metric is achieved at 95% of target up to 200% if the metric is achieved at 125% of target. The Adjusted EBITDA metric will be adjusted to reflect currency fluctuations relative to the U.S. Dollar. The awards will be fully vested at the grant date and payable 90 days later.

### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(dollars in thousands, except per share data)

### Directors Deferred Stock Unit Plan

On September 22, 2010, the Board approved a new compensation plan for non-management directors (the DSU Plan ). Under this plan, each director is to receive an annual retainer of \$95, to be paid on a quarterly basis in arrears beginning with the quarter ended September 30, 2010. Half of the annual retainer is payable in deferred stock units (DSUs), with the remaining half payable in cash. The non-management directors were awarded an aggregate 5,406 DSUs under the DSU Plan for service during the quarter ended September 30, 2010.

### Non-employee Director RSU Awards

Awards to non-employee directors vest immediately under the 2005 Plan and the underlying shares will be issued to the director upon termination of service as a member of the Board or a change in control, as defined in the 2005 Plan. Annually during 2005, 2006 and 2007, the non-employee directors were granted 625 RSUs in the aggregate. In July 2008, they also were granted 2,441 RSUs in the aggregate. On June 9, 2009 the non-employee directors were granted 11,236 RSUs in the aggregate; these RSUs were fully vested on the grant date; provided, however, that if a director ceases to serve as a member of the Board for any reason other than as a result of a change in control (as defined in the 2005 Plan) prior to the 2010 Annual Meeting of stockholders, the director will forfeit a pro rata portion of the award. This forfeiture provision was subsequently modified in connection with the Company s chapter 11 reorganization (see Note 1) so that the directors departing from the Board as a consequence of the chapter 11 reorganization would not forfeit restricted stock units as a result. On August 4, 2009, Board members who served as non-employee directors during the year prior to the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders were awarded 11,097 RSUs in the aggregate that vested immediately.

Additionally, as of the Effective Date, the two continuing non-employee members of the Board were granted an aggregate of 5,977 stock options with an exercise price of \$20.80. These stock options vested immediately and have a four-year term.

### Performance-based RSU Awards

On May 16, 2007, the Company granted 37,144 performance-based RSUs to certain officers and employees of the Company. Generally, to earn common stock under these performance-based RSUs, defined shareholder return targets must be met over the four years following the grant date and the grantee must be employed by the Company through May 16, 2011. On August 6, 2008, the Company granted 21,650 performance-based RSU awards (based on shareholder return targets) and at various dates during 2009, the Company granted 51,173 performance-based RSU awards to certain employees, specifically 47,348 and 1,875 RSU awards to its chief executive officer and chief financial officer, respectively. Generally, to earn common stock under the performance-based RSUs granted in 2008 and 2009, defined shareholder return targets must be met over the three years following January 3, 2008 and the grantee must be employed by the Company through January 3, 2011.

On December 24, 2009, the Compensation Committee of the Company s Board of Directors approved an amendment to the terms of all performance-based restricted stock units of the Company outstanding on December 24, 2009. The amendment provides that upon completion of a successful debt restructuring of the Company, as defined, which shall constitute a new performance criterion, such performance-based restricted stock units shall vest and settle in full. The Compensation Committee determined that this new performance criterion would be met and vesting would occur on the date the Plan is confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court (the Confirmation Date ). The Confirmation Date occurred on May 12, 2010 and 43,854 shares of common stock underlying 71,571 performance-based RSUs were issued on that date; the remaining 27,717 shares underlying such RSUs were withheld from issuance in connection with minimum tax withholding requirements related to the issuance of such shares to the recipients. This modification had no material impact on the Company s stock based compensation expense for 2009. In 2010, however, the Company recorded stock based compensation of approximately \$1,741 in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 related to this modification of which approximately \$957 was recorded during the first quarter of 2010 and \$784 in the second quarter of 2010.

On December 31, 2009, the Company entered into an amendment to the employment agreement with Mr. Light as the per-participant, per-year limitations under the Company s 2005 Plan prevented the Company from fulfilling its contractual obligation and granting to Mr. Light stock units under the Company s equity incentive plan with an aggregate value of \$1,250 on January 1, 2010. The amendment to Mr. Light s employment agreement provides that in lieu of granting him such restricted stock units, the Company instead would (i) grant to Mr. Light 25,000 performance-based restricted stock units on January 1, 2010, which are to vest annually over a three-year period if the price of the Company s common stock meets or exceeds certain price targets approved by the Compensation Committee of the Company s Board of Directors; and (ii) make a cash payment to Mr. Light of \$825 which Mr. Light was obligated to use the total amount of such cash payment, less the amount necessary to satisfy tax obligations with respect to the cash payment, to purchase shares of common stock from the Company at its agreed fair value, based on the average per share closing price on the New York Stock Exchange of the Company s shares of common stock for the 20 trading days prior to January 1, 2010. Accordingly, a total of 39,764 shares of common stock were sold to Mr. Light on January 5, 2010 for approximately \$531 in reliance upon the exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. On September 22, 2010, the Board approved an amendment to the terms of 25,000 restricted stock units previously granted to Mr. Light under the 2005 Equity

### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

### (dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Incentive Plan on January 1, 2010. The terms of the amended award align with those of the LTIP awards described above, including that 35% of such award is a time-based award (8,750 RSUs) and the remainder is a performance-based award with the exception that no scale for performance above 100% is provided.

### Time-based RSU Awards

On August 6, 2008, the Company s granted 21,650 time-based RSU awards to certain of the Company s officers under the 2005 Plan, The time-based restricted stock unit awards are scheduled to vest completely, in nearly equal installments on the first, second, and third anniversaries of January 3, 2008 provided that the named officer continues to be employed by the Company on such dates. Additionally, on various dates during 2008, the Company granted 11,384 time-based RSU awards to certain employees, specifically 5,625 RSUs to its chief executive officer. Generally, such awards vest in nearly equal installments on the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. The time-based restricted stock units may also vest, in whole or in part, in connection with a change of control (as defined in the awards) and/or termination of employment under the circumstances set forth in the restricted stock unit awards. Dividends, if any, on such time based restricted stock units will be paid at the same rate as dividends on the Company s common stock, but only in the form of additional restricted stock units.

At various dates in 2009, the Company granted 55,674 time-based RSU awards to certain employees, specifically 47,348 and 5,625 RSUs to its chief executive officer and chief financial officer, respectively. Such awards vest in nearly equal installments on certain dates as described in the table below. The time based restricted stock units may also vest, in whole or in part, in connection with a change of control (as defined in the awards) and/or termination of employment under the circumstances set forth in the restricted stock unit awards.

Certain time-based RSUs and all non-employee director RSUs automatically adjust to reflect awards of additional RSUs upon payment of dividends by the Company. Outstanding RSUs that were awarded in connection with the payment of dividends are included in the table below. No RSUs were awarded in connection with the payment of dividends after 2007 because the Company senior credit facility in effect at that time precluded the payment of dividends. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility continues to prohibit the payment of dividends.

During the first quarter of 2010, 18,707 shares of common stock underlying 28,808 time-based RSUs were issued; the remaining 10,101 shares underlying the RSUs were withheld from issuance in connection with minimum tax withholding requirements related to the issuance of such shares to the recipients.

In March 2010, the Board approved the contingent acceleration of all outstanding time-based awards and non-employee director awards (for departing directors) scheduled to vest on or prior to September 30, 2010 so that they will be vested and settled immediately prior to the record date under the Plan, which was the day before the Effective Date. Accordingly, on May 24, 2010, 16,880 shares of common stock underlying 18,732 RSUs were issued; the remaining 1,852 shares underlying the RSUs were withheld from issuance in connection with minimum tax withholding requirements related to the issuance of such shares to the recipients.

A summary of RSUs outstanding as of September 30, 2010 and their vesting dates is as follows. The table below excludes 122,260 time-based RSU awards granted on October 29, 2010 under the LTIP as previously discussed:

	Vesting Dates	Number of RSUs
Time-based RSUs granted during various	Various dates through November	
dates in 2008	2011.	5,808
Time-based RSUs granted during various	With respect to 24,649 RSUs January	
dates in 2009	2011; with respect to 500	
	RSUs annually in equal installments	
	on April 30, 2011 and 2012.	25,149

Time-based RSUs granted during 2010	Annually in equal installments on March 31, 2011, March 31, 2012 and March 10, 2013.	8,750
Non-employee directors RSUs	Immediately vested on grant dates with underlying shares to be awarded upon director s departure from the Board of Directors	14,651
Total RSUs outstanding		54,358

### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

### (dollars in thousands, except per share data)

RSU activity during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, is presented below.

	Number of RSUs	ange of Grant-Date Value Per RSU	A Gran	Veighted verage t-Date Fair e Price Per RSU
Outstanding, December 31, 2009	167,471	\$ 10.40 240.20	\$	50.20
Granted	39,156	12.60 13.18		12.80
Forfeited	(33,159)	12.60 163.00		29.40
Issued or withheld for tax withholding purposes	(119,110)	10.40 240.20		51.40
Outstanding, September 30, 2010	54,358	\$ 10.40 240.20	\$	33.40
Vested, September 30, 2010 (1)	14,651	\$ 13.18 240.20	\$	30.20

(1) Vested RSUs at September 30, 2010 consist entirely of non-employee director RSUs. The total grant-date fair value of such non-employee director RSUs that vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$211. Excluded from this table is an aggregate of 5,977 stock options with an exercise price of \$20.80 that were granted on the Effective Date to the two continuing members of the Board of Directors. The total grant date fair value of such non-employee director stock options that vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$41.

#### Assumptions

In accordance with Topic 718, the Company uses the following assumptions in determining compensation expense:

### Grant-Date Fair Value

The Company calculates the grant-date fair value of time-based RSUs and non-employee directors RSUs based on the closing price of the Company s common stock on the date of grant.

For performance-based RSUs granted on January 1, 2010, which were amended on September 22, 2010 as previously discussed, the Company calculated the grant-date fair value by using a Monte Carlo pricing model and the following assumptions:

Expected term (i)	3 years
Expected volatility (ii)	120%
Expected dividends (iii)	None
Risk-free interest rate (iv)	1.75%

- (i) Expected term. Performance-based RSUs expire three years after the grant date.
- (ii) Expected volatility. The Company is responsible for estimating the volatility of the price of its common stock and has considered a number of factors, including third party estimates, to determine its expected volatility. For these awards, after being a public company for more than four years, the Company determined to use its own historical volatility rather than a peer group analysis. The volatility for the 2010 award was 120%.
- (iii) *Expected dividends*. No dividends were declared by the Company after 2007 because the Company s senior credit facility at that time precluded the payment of dividends. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility continues to prohibit the payment of dividends.
- (iv) *Risk-free interest rate*. The yield on zero-coupon U.S. Treasury securities for the period that is commensurate with the expected term assumptions.

For stock options awarded to the two continuing non-employee members of the Board on the Effective Date, the Company calculated the grant-date fair value by using a Black-Scholes option pricing model and the following assumptions:

Expected term (i)	3 years
Expected volatility (ii)	75%
Expected dividends (iii)	None
Risk-free interest rate (iv)	1.19%

- (i) *Expected term*. The options are immediately vested at the date of grant but have a four-year contractual term. Therefore a three-year expected term was determined to be reasonable.
- (ii) Expected volatility. The Company is responsible for estimating the volatility of the price of its common stock and has considered a number of factors, including third party estimates, to determine its expected volatility. For these awards, after being a public company for five years, the Company determined to use a blend of its own five-year historical volatility and that of its peer group, which resulted in volatility of 75%.

### Xerium Technologies, Inc.

### Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

#### (dollars in thousands, except per share data)

- (iii) *Expected dividends*. No dividends were declared by the Company after 2007 because the Company s senior credit facility at that time precluded the payment of dividends. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility continues to prohibit the payment of dividends.
- (iv) *Risk-free interest rate*. The yield on zero-coupon U.S. Treasury securities for the period that is commensurate with the expected term assumptions.

For the Warrants issued on the Effective Date, the Company calculated the grant-date fair value by using a Black-Scholes option pricing model and the following assumptions. The Warrants are not considered stock-based compensation and their issuance has been recorded as a memorandum entry only.

Expected term (i)	4 years
Expected volatility (ii)	75%
Expected dividends (iii)	None
Risk-free interest rate (iv)	1.65%

- (i) *Expected term.* Warrants expire four years after the grant date.
- (ii) *Expected volatility*. The Company is responsible for estimating the volatility of the price of its common stock and has considered a number of factors, including third party estimates, to determine its expected volatility. For these awards, after being a public company for five years, the Company determined to use a blend of its own five-year historical volatility and that of its peer group, which resulted in volatility of 75%.
- (iii) *Expected dividends*. No dividends were declared by the Company after 2007 because the Company s senior credit facility at that time precluded the payment of dividends. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility continues to prohibit the payment of dividends.
- (iv) *Risk-free interest rate*. The yield on zero-coupon U.S. Treasury securities for the period that is commensurate with the expected term assumptions.

### Forfeitures

As the time-based and performance-based RSUs require continued employment up to the time of vesting, the amount of stock-based compensation recognized during a period is required to include an estimate of forfeitures. No estimate of forfeitures has been made for RSUs and stock options awarded to non-employee directors because they vest immediately upon grant. Topic 718 requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. The term forfeitures is related to employee attrition and based on a historical analysis of its employee turnover. This analysis is re-evaluated quarterly and the forfeiture rate will be adjusted as necessary. Ultimately, the actual expense recognized over the vesting period will be only for those shares that meet the requirements of continued employment up to the time of vesting. As of September 30, 2010 the following forfeiture rates are estimated:

Description of Award	<b>Forfeiture Rates</b>
Time-based RSUs granted on various dates in 2008 and 2009 (0% 5%, 13%, 52%,	
67% and 71% forfeiture rates with respect to 49,299, 2,950, 4,875, 25,400, 5,625 and	
558 original grants of RSUs, respectively)	0%-71%
Time-based RSUs granted in 2010 (5% and 10% forfeiture rates with respect to 8,750	
and 122,260 original grants of RSUs, respectively)	5%-10%
ith Tonic 718, the cumulative effect of applying the change in estimate retrospectively is re-	pognized in the period

In accordance with Topic 718, the cumulative effect of applying the change in estimate retrospectively is recognized in the period of change. The Company s changed its forfeiture rates during the third quarter of 2010 which resulted in a \$65 cumulative decrease in compensation expense for that period.

As of September 30, 2010, there was approximately \$1,995 of total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested share-based awards which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.0 years.

# ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS Forward-Looking Statements

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read together with our unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements and the related notes thereto contained elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The discussion included in this section, as well as other sections of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements. These statements relate to future events or to our future financial performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by the expect, intend, seek, anticipate, believe, use of words such as may, could, plan, estimate, predict, potential, or continue terms or other comparable terminology. Undue reliance should not be placed on forward-looking statements because they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that are, in some cases, beyond our control and that could materially affect actual results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements. Factors that could materially affect our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements include the following items:

our revenues and profitability could be adversely affected by fluctuations in interest rates and currency exchange rates;

our credit facilities contain restrictive covenants, such as the covenants requiring compliance with minimum interest coverage and maximum leverage ratios, which become more restrictive over time, that may require us to increasingly improve our performance over time to remain in compliance therewith;

we are subject to the risk of weaker economic conditions in the locations around the world where we conduct business, including without limitation the continued adverse conditions in the global paper markets and the impact of the current global economic recession on the paper industry and our customers;

our strategies and plans, including, but not limited to, those relating to developing and successfully marketing new products, enhancing our operational efficiencies and reducing costs may not result in the anticipated benefits;

variations in demand for our products, including our new products, could negatively affect our revenues and profitability;

our manufacturing facilities may be required to operate at or near capacity, which could negatively affect our production facilities, customer order lead time, product quality and labor relations;

we may not be successful in developing and marketing new technologies or in competing against new technologies developed by competitors;

we may have insufficient cash to fund growth and unexpected cash needs after satisfying our debt service obligations due to our high degree of leverage and significant debt service obligations;

we may be required to incur significant costs to reorganize our operations in response to market changes in the paper industry;

we are subject to the risk of terrorist attacks or an outbreak or escalation of any insurrection or armed conflict involving the United States or any other country in which we conduct business, or any other domestic or international calamity, including natural disasters;

we are subject to any future changes in government regulation;

we are subject to any changes in U.S. or foreign government policies, laws and practices regarding taxes and the repatriation of earnings; and

anti-takeover provisions could make it more difficult for a third-party to acquire us.

Many of these risks are discussed elsewhere in this Form 10-Q, including in the sections below: Chapter 11 Reorganization, Company Overview, Industry Trends and Outlook, Liquidity and Capital Resources and Credit Facilities. Other factors that could materially affect our actual results, levels of activity, performance, or achievements can be found in Part I, Item 1A in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 13, 2010. If any of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or if our underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, actual results may vary significantly from what we projected. Any forward-looking statement in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q reflects our current views with respect to future events and is subject to these and other risks, uncertainties, and assumptions relating to our operations, results of operations, growth strategy, and liquidity. We assume no obligation to publicly update or revise these forward-looking statements for any reason, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

All references in this Quarterly Report to Xerium, we, our, and us mean Xerium Technologies, Inc.

### **Company Overview**

We are a leading global manufacturer and supplier of two categories of consumable products used primarily in the production of paper clothing and roll covers. Our operations are strategically located in the major paper-producing regions of North America, Europe, South America and Asia-Pacific.

Our products play key roles in the formation and processing of paper along the length of a paper-making machine. Paper producers rely on our products and services to help improve the quality of their paper, differentiate their paper products, operate their paper-making machines more efficiently and reduce production costs. Our products and services typically represent only a small fraction of a paper producer s overall production costs, yet they can reduce costs by permitting the use of lower-cost raw materials and reducing energy consumption. Paper producers must replace clothing and refurbish or replace roll covers regularly as these products wear down during the paper production process. Our products are designed to withstand extreme temperature, chemical and pressure conditions, and are the result of a substantial investment in research and development and highly sophisticated manufacturing processes.

We operate in two principal business segments: clothing and roll covers. In our clothing segment, we manufacture and sell highly engineered synthetic textile belts that transport paper as it is processed on a paper-making machine. Clothing plays a significant role in the forming, pressing and drying stages of paper production. Because paper-making processes and machine specifications vary widely, the clothing size, form, material and function is selected to fit each individual paper-making machine and process. For the three months ended September 30, 2010, our clothing segment represented 66% of our net sales.

Our roll cover products provide a surface with the mechanical properties necessary to process the paper sheet in a cost-effective manner that delivers the sheet qualities desired by the paper producer. Roll covers are tailored to each individual paper-making machine and process, using different materials, treatments and finishings. In addition to manufacturing and selling new roll covers, we also provide refurbishment services for previously installed roll covers and manufacture spreader rolls. For the three months ended September 30, 2010, our roll covers segment represented 34% of our net sales.

### Industry Trends and Outlook

Historically, demand for our products has been driven primarily by the volume of paper produced on a worldwide basis. Generally, and over time, we expect growth in paper production will be greater in Asia, South America and Eastern Europe than in the more mature North American and Western European regions where demand may potentially decline.

The profitability of paper producers has historically been highly cyclical due to wide swings in the price of paper, driven to a high degree by the oversupply of paper during periods when paper producers have more aggregate capacity than the market requires. A sustained downturn in the paper industry, either globally or in a particular region, can cause paper manufacturers to reduce production or cease operations, which could adversely affect our revenues and profitability. In response to significant changes in the sector, paper producers have continually sought to structurally improve the balance between the supply of and demand for paper. As part of these efforts, they have permanently shut down many paper-making machines or entire manufacturing facilities. Should papermakers continue to experience low levels of profitability, we would expect that further consolidation among papermakers, reducing the number of paper producers, and shutdowns of paper-making machines or facilities could occur, until there is a better balance between supply and demand for paper and the profit levels of paper producers improve. This rebalancing accelerated during the most recent global economic recession. Over a number of years, consumption growth of paper, particularly in South America and Asia, is expected to drive an increase in the global production required to maintain balance between supply and demand.

Global paper production growth that does occur could be moderated by the level of industry consolidation and paper-machine shutdown activity that appears to be an underlying trend in North America and Western Europe. Order activity for our products, especially paper machine clothing, has continued on a positive trend across all geographic regions. However, we have continued to see the trend that paper producers are focusing on cost reduction strategies and, as a result, are extending the life of roll covers and clothing products through additional maintenance cycles before replacing them.

We anticipate that pricing pressure for our products will continue with the consolidation among paper producers and as the shift of paper production growth in Asia develops. In response to this pricing pressure, we expect to increase our expenditure levels on research and development expenses and continue to deploy our value added selling approach as part of our strategy to differentiate our products. We accelerated the introduction of new products at a rapid pace in 2010 in support of our value creation selling strategy of delivering tangible, documented continuous improvement savings to our client base across all geographic regions. We also remain acutely focused on cost reduction

and efficiency programs throughout the entire organization.

The negative paper industry trends described may continue. Should that be the case, the paper industry could experience further reduced demand, increased emphasis on cost reduction and/or sustained paper-machine shutdown activity than would have been the case in the absence of those potential negative trends. In addition, the availability of credit remains tight, which could make it more difficult for our customers to finance their business activities or pay their debts. Thus, the combined effects of these negative elements on the paper industry could adversely impact our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### Sales and Expenses

Sales in both our clothing and roll covers segments are primarily driven by the following factors:

The volume of worldwide paper production;

Advances in the technology of our products, which can provide value to our customers by improving the efficiency of paper-making machines;

Our ability to provide products and services which reduce paper-making machine downtime, while at the same time allowing the manufacture of high quality paper products; and

### The impact of inflation and currency fluctuations.

Sales in our roll covers segment include our mechanical services business. We have expanded this business in response to demand from paper producers that we perform work on the internal mechanisms of a roll while we refurbish or replace a roll cover. In our clothing segment, a small portion of our business has been conducted pursuant to consignment arrangements under which we do not recognize a sale of a product to a customer until the customer places the product into use, which typically occurs some period after the product is shipped to the customer or to a warehouse location near the customer s facility. We are striving to reduce the number of consignment arrangements and increase the use of standard terms of sale under which we recognize a sale upon product shipment. We expect this effort to be successful over several years.

Our operating costs are driven primarily by our total sales volume, the impact of inflation and currency fluctuations and the level and impact of cost reduction programs.

The level of our cost of products sold is primarily attributable to labor costs, raw material costs, product shipping costs, plant utilization and depreciation, with labor costs constituting the largest component. We invest in facilities and equipment that enable innovative product development and improve production efficiency and costs. Recent examples of capital spending for such purposes include faster weaving looms and seaming machines with accurate electronic controls, automated compound mixing equipment and computer-controlled lathes and mills.

The level of research and development spending is driven by market demand for technology enhancements, including both specific customer needs and general market requirements, as well as by our own analysis of applied technology opportunities. With the exception of purchases of equipment and similar capital items used in our research and development activities, all research and development is expensed as incurred. Research and development expenses were \$2.9 million and \$2.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

### Foreign Exchange

Our sales are global and are denominated in a number of different currencies. For the three months ended September 30, 2010, approximately 35% of our sales was in North America, 34% was in Europe, 17% was in Asia-Pacific, 11% was in South America and 3% was in the rest of the world.

Currency fluctuations, as they pertain to the Euro, generally have a greater effect on the level of our net sales than on the level of our income from operations due to the amount of business the Company conducts in Euros. An increase in the U.S. dollar against the Euro generally results in a decrease to net sales and net income. Increases in the U.S. dollar against other currencies, such as the Brazilian Real and the Canadian Dollar, would not impact consolidated net sales as much, as sales in those countries are significantly denominated in or indexed to U.S. dollars, but generally would decrease net income as local currency costs would be translated into more U.S. Dollar expenses for financial reporting purposes. We would expect a similar but opposite effect in a period in which the value of the U.S. Dollar decreases against these currencies. For the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared with the three months ended September 30, 2009, the change in the value of the U.S. Dollar against the currencies in which we conduct our business resulted in currency translation decreases in net sales and decreases to

income from operations of \$3.5 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, we conducted business in nine foreign currencies. The following table provides the average exchange rate for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, of the U.S. Dollar against each of the four foreign currencies in which we conduct the largest portion of our operations and indicates the percentage of our net sales for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 in those countries whose functional currency is the currency noted.

			Percentage of net sales for the three months
	Average exchange rate of the	Average exchange rate of the	ended September 30, 2010
	U.S. Dollar for the three	U.S. Dollar for the three months	in countries with such
Currency	months ended September 30, 2010	ended September 30, 2009	functional currency
Euro	\$1.29 = 1 Euro	\$1.43 = 1 Euro	40.8%
Brazilian Real	\$0.57 = 1 Brazilian Real	\$0.54 = 1 Brazilian Real	9.3%
Canadian Dollar	\$0.96 = 1 Canadian Dollar	\$0.91 = 1 Canadian Dollar	6.9%
Australian Dollar	\$0.91 = 1 Australian Dollar	0.83 = 1 Australian Dollar	5.7%
			Percentage of net sales
			for the nine months ended September
	Average exchange rate of the	Average exchange rate of the	30, 2010
	U.S. Dollar for the nine months	U.S. Dollar for the nine months	in countries with such functional
Currency	ended September 30, 2010	ended September 30, 2009	currency
Euro	\$1.32 = 1 Euro	\$1.37 = 1 Euro	41.8%
Brazilian Real	\$0.56 = 1 Brazilian Real	\$0.48 = 1 Brazilian Real	8.6%
Canadian Dollar	\$0.97= 1 Canadian Dollar	\$0.86 = 1 Canadian Dollar	6.7%
Australian Dollar	\$0.90 = 1 Australian Dollar	\$0.75 = 1 Australian Dollar	6.6%

To mitigate the risk of transactions in which a sale is made in one currency and associated costs are denominated in a different currency, we utilize forward currency contracts in certain circumstances to lock in exchange rates with the objective that the gain or loss on the forward contracts will approximate the loss or gain that results from the transaction or transactions being hedged. We determine whether to enter into hedging arrangements based upon the size of the underlying transaction or transactions, an assessment of the risk of adverse movements in the applicable currencies and the availability of a cost effective hedge strategy. To the extent we do not engage in hedging or such hedging is not effective, changes in the relative value of currencies can affect our profitability.

### Chapter 11 Filing, Emergence and Plan of Reorganization

We and certain of our subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1532 (as amended, the Bankruptcy Code ) on March 30, 2010 (the Commencement Date ) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the Bankruptcy Court ). On April 1, 2010, following approval by the Bankruptcy Court, we entered into a debtor-in-possession financing facility consisting of a \$20 million revolving credit facility and \$60 million term loan (the DIP Facility ). On May 12, 2010, the Bankruptcy Court held a hearing to consider confirmation of our amended joint prepackaged plan of reorganization (the Plan ) and entered an order (the Confirmation Order ) confirming the Plan.

On May 25, 2010, the Plan became effective (the Effective Date ), at which time we emerged from chapter 11. On the Effective Date, the provisions of the Plan became binding on us, certain of our subsidiaries, any entity issuing securities under the Plan, any entity acquiring property under the Plan, and any creditor or equity interest holder of us or certain of our subsidiaries. Also on the Effective Date, except as otherwise provided in the Plan, the Confirmation Order discharged us from any and all debts, claims, and interests that arose before entry of the Confirmation Order and substituted for such debt, claims, and interests the obligations specified under the confirmed Plan. Pursuant to the Plan, all allowed general unsecured claims were unaffected by the chapter 11 case and were satisfied in full.

On May 18, 2010, prior to completing the financial restructuring, we were notified by the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE) that we had regained compliance with NYSE s 30-trading-day \$1 minimum share price standard for continued listing of our common stock, and on June 29, 2010 we were notified that we had regained full compliance with all NYSE continued listing criteria. There can be no assurance that we will remain in compliance with the NYSE continued listing criteria in the future.

Additionally, as a result of the changes in ownership on the Effective Date, we are no longer considered a Controlled Company under Section 303A of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual.

Pursuant to the Plan, on the Effective Date:

20 million shares of our new common stock, par value \$0.001 (the New Common Stock ) were authorized, of which an aggregate of 14,970,050 shares were issued and outstanding, as described below. In addition, 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.001, were authorized, of which 20,000 shares were designated as Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock;

2	n
5	υ

All of the shares of our common stock then outstanding, par value \$0.01 (the Old Common Stock ), were cancelled and replaced with 2,566,150 shares of New Common Stock, which was equivalent to a 20 to 1 reverse split of our Old Common Stock;

the lenders under our pre-petition credit facility and the interest rate swap termination counterparties (collectively, the Lenders ) received, among other things, their ratable shares of (a) \$10 million in cash, (b) \$410 million in principal amount of term notes, issued pursuant to the Amended and Restated Credit Facility (defined below), and (c) 12,403,900 shares of New Common Stock; and

Holders of our Old Common Stock also received warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,663,760 shares of New Common Stock (the Warrants ), representing approximately 0.0324108 Warrants for each share of Old Common Stock. The Warrants are exercisable for a term of four years from the issue date at an exercise price of \$19.55 per share of New Common Stock. The exercise price was determined in accordance with a formula based on the final amount of allowed claims of the Lenders;

In addition, pursuant to the Plan, we entered into a number of material agreements and engaged in a series of transactions on the Effective Date, which are described below.

#### Amended and Restated Credit Facility and Exit Facility

Pursuant to the Plan, on the Effective Date, our existing senior credit facility was amended and restated as the Second Amended and Restated Credit and Guaranty Agreement (the Amended and Restated Credit Facility), dated as of May 25, 2010. Also on the Effective Date, the DIP Facility was converted into an exit facility consisting of a \$20 million revolving credit facility and a \$60 million term loan (collectively, the Exit Facility) that was used to satisfy our obligations under the Plan and for ongoing working capital (including letters of credit) requirements. As of September 30, 2010, costs of approximately \$3.4 million related to the Exit Facility have been deferred to be amortized over the life of the facility. See Credit Facilities for further discussion of these credit facilities.

#### **Rights Agreement**

Pursuant to the Plan, on the Effective Date, we issued a dividend of one preferred share purchase right (a Right ) for each share of New Common Stock outstanding. Each Right entitles the registered holder to purchase from us one one-thousandth of a share of our Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, par value \$0.001 per share (the Preferred Shares ), at a price of \$60.00 per one one-thousandth of a Preferred Share, subject to adjustment.

Subject to limited exceptions, in the event a person (which includes affiliates and associates) acquires beneficial ownership of 15% or more of New Common Stock without prior approval of our Board of Directors, holders of the New Common Stock, other than the acquirer, may exercise their Rights to purchase New Common Stock (or, in certain circumstances, Preferred Shares or other of our similar securities) having a value equal to two times the exercise price of the Right. Until such time as the Rights become exercisable, are redeemed, or expire, the Rights will be attached to shares of New Common Stock and may be transferred with and only with shares of New Common Stock.

### Registration Rights Agreement

Pursuant to the Plan, we entered into a Registration Rights Agreement (the Registration Rights Agreement ) on the Effective Date with Apax WW Nominees Ltd, Apax-Xerium Apia L.P., AS Investors, LLC, Carl Marks Strategic Investments, L.P., and Carl Marks Strategic Opportunities Fund, L.P. (the RRA Parties ). Pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement, we agreed that commencing on the 90th day after the Effective Date, any of the RRA Parties or group of RRA Parties may request registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act ), of all or any portion of the New Common Stock held by such RRA Party on Form S-1 or Form S-3, as applicable. A RRA Party may not demand registration rights unless (A) the total offering price of the shares is reasonably expected to be at least \$50 million (in the case of a Form S-1) or \$20 million (in the case of a Form S-3) or (B) the shares to be registered represent at least 10% of the our outstanding New Common Stock. In addition, the Registration Rights Agreement provides the RRA Parties with certain piggyback registration rights.

### Director Nomination Agreements

Pursuant to the Plan, we entered into (i) a Director Nomination Agreement with AS Investors, LLC, and (ii) a Director Nomination Agreement with Carl Marks Strategic Investments, L.P. and Carl Marks Strategic Opportunities Fund, L.P. (both agreements together, the Director

### Table of Contents

Nomination Agreements, and the lender parties thereto, the Nominating Lenders ) on the Effective Date. Each of the Director Nomination Agreements provides that as long as the applicable Nominating Lender(s) is the beneficial owner of a number of shares of New Common Stock that is 50% or more of the number of shares distributed to such Nominating Lender(s) pursuant to the Plan (in such capacity, a Continuing 50% Holder ), we will nominate for election to membership on our Board of Directors one individual designated by each such Continuing 50% Holder.

### Reconstitution of Board of Directors

We reconstituted our Board of Directors, on the Effective Date pursuant to the Plan, to be comprised of Stephen R. Light, Ambassador April H. Foley, Jay Gurandiano, John F. McGovern, Edward Paquette, Marc Saiontz and James F. Wilson.

### 2010 Equity Incentive Plan

Pursuant to the Plan, we adopted the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2010 Plan) on the Effective Date. The 2010 Plan provides for the grant of awards consisting of any or a combination of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, unrestricted stock or stock unit awards. The maximum number of shares that may be delivered under or in satisfaction of awards under the 2010 Plan is 463,525 shares of New Common Stock, provided, however, that to the extent that equity incentive awards granted prior to the Effective Date pursuant to our 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended, or employment agreements with our senior management do not vest on or after the Effective Date in accordance with their terms, the number of shares of New Common Stock reserved pursuant to the Plan with respect to such unvested awards shall be added to the number of shares of New Common Stock that may be delivered under the 2010 Plan. The number of shares deliverable under the 2010 Plan is subject to adjustment in the case of stock dividends and other transactions affecting our New Common Stock.

### **Cost Reduction Programs**

An important part of our strategy is to seek to reduce our overall costs and improve our competitiveness. As a part of this effort, we engage in cost reduction programs, which are designed to improve the cost structure of our global operations in response to changing market conditions. These cost reduction programs include headcount reductions throughout the world as well as plant closures that have rationalized production among our facilities to better enable us to meet customer demands.

During 2010, we continued our program of streamlining our operating structure and recorded restructuring and impairment expenses in connection therewith of approximately \$1.6 million, \$2.5 million and \$3.3 million, during the first, second and third quarters, respectively. The amount for the second quarter included approximately \$1.8 million of expenses related to our announcement, at the end of the second quarter of 2010, of our plan to cease production at our Stowe Woodward roll covers facility in North Bay, Ontario by August 20, 2010 and consisted of severance costs of \$1.1 million and asset impairment charges of \$0.7 million. The amount for the third quarter of 2010 included approximately \$2.1 million of asset impairment charges, primarily for the Vietnam facility, \$0.9 million of facility and other costs and \$0.3 million of severance costs.

We expect to incur restructuring expenses of approximately \$11 to \$12 million in the aggregate during 2010, primarily related to the North Bay closure, asset impairment charges in Vietnam and to headcount reductions resulting from the integration of the regional management structures in North America and Europe. We expect to continue to review our business to determine if additional actions can be taken to further improve our cost structure. In light of our assessment of the impact of current global economic conditions and the potential effect on our customers and our industry, and therefore, on our performance, additional operating structure improvements and related restructuring expenses are being analyzed.

### **Results of Operations**

The tables that follow set forth for the periods presented certain consolidated operating results and the percentage of net sales they represent:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
(in millions)	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net sales	\$ 135.9	\$ 130.3	\$403.7	\$ 367.7
Cost of products sold	83.3	81.5	247.7	229.0
Selling expenses	18.0	17.0	54.0	49.6
General and administrative expenses	15.7	15.4	60.1	35.1
Restructuring and impairments expenses	3.3	1.8	7.4	2.9
Research and development expenses	2.9	2.7	8.7	8.1
Income from operations	12.7	11.9	25.8	43.0
Interest expense, net	(12.0)	(16.4)	(44.5)	(48.0)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	0.7	0.5	0.7	(0.2)
Income (loss) before reorganization items and provision for income taxes	1.5	(4.0)	(17.9)	(5.2)
Reorganization items	0.8		44.4	
Provision for income taxes	4.3	3.4	11.5	10.0
Net loss	\$ (3.6)	\$ (7.4)	\$ (73.8)	\$ (15.2)

**Percentage of Sales** 

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net sales	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of products sold	61.3	62.5	61.4	62.3
Selling expenses	13.2	13.0	13.4	13.5
General and administrative expenses	11.6	11.8	14.9	9.5
Restructuring and impairments expenses	2.4	1.3	1.8	0.8
Research and development expenses	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2
Income from operations	9.3	9.1	6.4	11.7
Interest expense, net	(8.8)	(12.6)	(11.0)	(13.1)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	0.5	0.4	0.2	(0.1)
Income (loss) before reorganization items and provision for income				
taxes	1.1	3.1	(4.4)	(1.4)
Reorganization items	0.6		11.0	
Provision for income taxes	3.2	2.6	2.8	2.7
Net loss	(2.6)%	(5.7)%	(18.3)%	(4.1)%

Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009.

*Net Sales*. Net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2010 increased by \$5.6 million, or 4.3%, to \$135.9 million from \$130.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. For the three months ended September 30, 2010, 66% of our net sales were in our clothing segment and 34% were in our roll covers segment.

In our clothing segment, net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2010 increased by \$4.3 million, or 5.0%, to \$90.3 million from \$86.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to increased sales volume in most regions, partially offset by unfavorable currency effects of \$2.0 million related to the translation of sales made in foreign currencies to U.S. Dollars for financial reporting purposes. Overall pricing levels in our clothing segment improved slightly during the three months ended September 30, 2009.

In our roll covers segment, net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2010 increased by \$1.3 million or 2.9%, to \$45.6 million from \$44.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to (i) increased sales

volumes primarily in Europe and Asia, partially offset by unfavorable currency effects of \$1.5 million related to the translation of sales made in foreign currencies to U.S. Dollars for financial reporting purposes. Overall pricing levels in our roll covers segment improved slightly during the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared with the three months ended September 30, 2009.

*Cost of Products Sold.* Cost of products sold for the three months ended September 30, 2010 increased by \$1.8 million, or 2.2%, to \$83.3 million from \$81.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

In our clothing segment, cost of products sold increased by \$2.1 million, or 4.0%, to \$55.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 from \$53.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to higher sales volumes during the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared with the three months ended September 30, 2009. Partially offsetting the increase were (i) the \$0.9 million impact of a lower cost structure, resulting from our cost reduction programs and (ii) favorable currency effects of \$0.1 million.

In our roll covers segment, cost of products sold decreased by \$0.3 million, or 1.1%, to \$28.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 from \$28.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to (i) \$1.0 million of favorable currency effects related to the translation of expenses made in foreign currencies to U.S. Dollars for financial reporting purposes and (ii) the \$0.4 million impact of a lower cost structure, resulting from our cost reduction programs. These decreases were partially offset by higher sales volumes during the three months ended September 30, 2009.

*Selling Expenses.* For the three months ended September 30, 2010, selling expenses increased by \$1.0 million, or 5.9%, to \$18.0 million from \$17.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to (i) increased headcount and (ii) higher sales commissions as a result of higher sales volumes during the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared with the three months ended September 30, 2009. These increases were partially offset by favorable currency effects of \$0.4 million.

*General and Administrative Expenses.* For the three months ended September 30, 2010, general and administrative expenses increased by \$0.3 million, or 1.9%, to \$15.7 million from \$15.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily the result of (i) increased stock based compensation and management incentive compensation of \$1.5 million and (ii) a \$1.4 million increase in provisions for doubtful accounts, primarily due to the absence in the third quarter of 2010 of a \$1.6 million decrease recorded in the third quarter of 2009. These increases were partially offset by the absence in the third quarter of 2010 of \$2.7 million of bank and related fees that were incurred in the third quarter of 2009 relating to initiatives undertaken to resolve our credit issues.

*Restructuring and Impairments Expenses.* For the three months ended September 30, 2010, restructuring and impairments expenses increased by \$1.5 million, or 83.3%, to \$3.3 million from \$1.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due an asset impairment of \$2.1 million related to the Vietnam facility during the third quarter of 2010. For the most part, restructuring expenses result from our long-term strategy to reduce production costs and improve long-term competitiveness as described above under Cost Reduction Programs by closing and/or transferring production from certain of our manufacturing facilities and through headcount reductions. For the three months ended September 30, 2010, restructuring expenses include severance costs, facility costs and asset impairments of \$0.3 million, \$0.9 million and \$2.1 million, respectively.

*Research and Development Expenses.* For the three months ended September 30, 2010, research and development expenses increased by \$0.2 million, or 7.4%, to \$2.9 million from \$2.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to increased research and development efforts.

*Interest Expense, Net.* Net interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2010 decreased by \$4.4 million or 26.8%, to \$12.0 million from \$16.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily attributable to lower interest expense due to lower debt balances under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility in 2010 and \$1.0 million lower amortization of deferred financing costs in 2010, partially offset by the impact of interest rate swaps of \$1.3 million.

*Foreign Exchange Gain.* For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, we had a foreign exchange gain of \$0.7 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. Foreign exchange gains were primarily the result of hedging and intercompany activities.

*Reorganization Items.* Reorganization items are presented separately in our consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and represent expenses that we have identified as directly relating to our chapter 11 proceedings. Reorganization items of \$0.8 million consist of legal and professional fees.

*Provision for Income Taxes.* For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the provision for income taxes was \$4.3 million and \$3.4 million, respectively. Certain of our foreign and U.S. subsidiaries incurred losses during the third quarter of 2010 and 2009, respectively, for

which no benefit was recognized due to established valuation allowances on deferred tax assets.

### Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 Compared to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009.

*Net Sales*. Net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased by \$36.0 million, or 9.8%, to \$403.7 million from \$367.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, 66.4% of our net sales were in our clothing segment and 33.6% were in our roll covers segment.

In our clothing segment, net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased by \$24.1 million, or 9.9%, to \$268.0 million from \$243.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to (i) higher sales volumes in all regions and (ii) favorable currency effects of \$0.9 million. Overall pricing levels in our clothing segment remained relatively constant during the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

In our roll covers segment, net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased by \$11.9 million, or 9.6%, to \$135.7 million from \$123.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to higher sales volumes primarily in all regions partially offset by unfavorable currency effects of \$0.9 million. Overall pricing levels in our roll covers segment improved slightly during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

*Cost of Products Sold.* Cost of products sold for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased by \$18.7 million, or 8.2%, to \$247.7 million from \$229.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

In our clothing segment, cost of products sold increased by \$15.3 million, or 10.3%, to \$163.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from \$148.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to higher sales volumes during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and to unfavorable currency effects of \$5.7 million related to the translation of expenses made in foreign currencies to U.S. Dollars for financial reporting purposes. Partially offsetting these increases was the \$5.4 million impact of a lower cost structure, resulting from our cost reduction programs.

In our roll covers segment, cost of products sold increased by \$5.0 million, or 6.2%, to \$86.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 from \$81.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase in cost of products sold was primarily due to higher sales volumes during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Partially offsetting this increase was the \$0.9 million impact of a lower cost structure, resulting from our cost reduction programs and favorable currency effects of \$0.4 million.

*Selling Expenses.* For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, selling expenses increased by \$4.4 million, or 8.9%, to \$54.0 million from \$49.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to (i) increased headcount and salaries, (ii) higher sales commissions as a result of higher sales volumes during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and (iii) unfavorable currency effects of \$1.1 million related to the translation of expenses made in foreign currencies to U.S. Dollars for financial reporting purposes.

*General and Administrative Expenses.* For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, general and administrative expenses increased by \$25.0 million, or 71.2%, to \$60.1 million from \$35.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily the result of gains recorded in 2009 that were absent in 2010 such as (i) a \$3.4 million reversal that was recorded in 2009 for environmental expense related to remediation costs in Australia, (ii) a \$2.3 million decrease that was recorded in 2009 in litigation accruals for Brazilian labor matters and other legal matters, (iii) a \$3.7 million decrease that was recorded in 2009 in provisions for doubtful accounts based on collections and lower reserves required for certain North American customers who had filed for bankruptcy and (iv) \$0.8 million of gains on sale of fixed assets that were recorded in 2009. Additionally contributing to the increased expenses in 2010 as compared with 2009 were (i) increased financial restructuring costs of \$6.9 million relating to the amendment of our senior credit facility, (ii) increased stock based and management incentive compensation expenses of \$4.2 million primarily related to an amendment to the terms of all performance-based restricted stock units outstanding on December 24, 2009 to vest upon our successful debt restructuring, which occurred on the Confirmation Date, and to the management incentive program approved in the third quarter of 2010, (ii) increased payroll related costs of \$1.1 million due to our resumption of the employer match on our 401(k) plan effective the beginning of 2010 and (iii) unfavorable currency translation effects of \$0.2 million related to the translation of expenses made in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar to U.S. Dollars for financial reporting purposes.

*Restructuring Expenses.* For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, restructuring expenses increased by \$4.5 million, or 155.2%, to \$7.4 million from \$2.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to our announcement at the end of June 2010 to cease production at our Stowe Woodward roll covers facility in North Bay, Ontario by August 20, 2010 and to an asset impairment related to our

Vietnam facility. For the most part, restructuring expenses result from our long-term strategy to reduce costs and improve long-term competitiveness as described above under Cost Reduction Programs by closing and/or transferring production from certain of our manufacturing facilities and through headcount reductions. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, restructuring expenses consisted of severance costs, facility costs and asset impairments of \$3.2 million, \$1.3 million and \$2.9 million, respectively.

*Research and Development Expenses.* For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, research and development expenses increased by \$0.6 million, or 7.4%, to \$8.7 million from \$8.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to increased research and development efforts.

*Interest Expense, Net.* Net interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 decreased by \$3.5 million, or 7.3%, to \$44.5 million from \$48.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease is primarily attributable to the termination of all of our interest rate swaps in the amount of \$20.0 million on December 31, 2009, which carried fixed interest rates that were higher than variable interest rates and to lower interest expense due to lower debt balances under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility. Partially offsetting the decreases is (i) the amortization of \$7.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared with \$1.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense which represents the balance related to the interest rate swaps, for which hedge accounting was discontinued on September 1, 2009, to be amortized into interest expense over the remaining original term of the derivative as the hedged forecasted transactions are also recorded to interest expense, in accordance with ASC Topic 815 and (ii) \$1.8 million higher amortization of deferred financing costs.

*Foreign Exchange Gain/(Loss)*. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we had a foreign exchange gain of \$0.7 million compared to a \$0.2 million loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Foreign exchange gains and losses were primarily the result of hedging and intercompany activities.

*Reorganization Items.* Reorganization items are presented separately in our consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and represent expenses that we have identified as directly relating to our chapter 11 proceedings. Reorganization items of \$44.4 million consist of legal and professional fees of \$15.7 million, a loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$14.4 million and the write-off of deferred financing costs of \$14.3 million related to the pre-petition senior credit facility.

*Provision for Income Taxes.* For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the provision for income taxes was \$11.5 million and \$10.0 million, respectively. Certain of our foreign and U.S. subsidiaries incurred losses during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, for which no benefit was recognized due to established valuation allowances on deferred tax assets.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our principal liquidity requirements are for debt service, working capital and capital expenditures. We plan to use unrestricted cash on hand, cash generated by operations and, should it become necessary, access to our revolving credit facility, as our primary sources of liquidity. Our operations are highly dependent upon the paper production industry and the degree to which the paper industry is affected by global economic conditions and the availability of credit. Demand for our products could decline if paper manufacturers are unable to obtain required financing or if economic conditions cause additional mill closures. In addition, the impact of the most recent global economic recession and the ensuing lack of availability of credit may affect our customers ability to pay their debts.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$3.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and \$3.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The \$0.3 million decrease is due to \$14.4 million of cash used for reorganization items and an additional \$6.9 million of financial restructuring costs included in general and administrative expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase in the volume of business and a lower increase in working capital during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared with the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$29.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and \$8.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase of \$20.9 million was primarily due to (i) \$15.6 million of restricted cash that we are required to have as collateral for letters of credit under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility (ii) a \$3.8 million decrease in proceeds from the sale of fixed assets and (iii) a \$0.4 million increase in capital equipment expenditures during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared with the same period in 2009.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$35.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and net cash used in financing activities was \$9.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The fluctuation of \$44.8 million was primarily the result of (i) borrowings under our DIP Facility of \$60.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and (ii) lower debt payments of approximately \$20.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009, primarily due to a mandatory principal prepayment of \$19.2 million in 2009 based on the excess cash calculation as defined in the pre-petition credit agreement. No such payment was required in 2010. Partially offsetting the increase in cash provided by financing activities was borrowings of \$28.0 million

under our pre-petition revolver during 2009 and \$8.2 million of expenses related to initiatives undertaken to resolve our credit issues during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

As of September 30, 2010, there was a \$479.9 million balance of term loans outstanding under our senior credit facility, after making the first scheduled quarterly payment under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility of \$2.2 million in the third quarter of 2010. In addition, as of September 30, 2010, we

had no outstanding borrowings under our current revolving lines of credit, including the revolving credit facility under our senior credit facility and lines of credit in various foreign countries that are used to facilitate local short-term operating needs. An aggregate of \$26.5 million is available for additional borrowings under these revolving lines of credit. We had cash and cash equivalents of \$32.5 million at September 30, 2010 compared to \$23.0 million at December 31, 2009. At September 30, 2010 we also had \$15.6 million of restricted cash which is classified as a current asset. The restricted cash serves as collateral for letters of credit and no letter of credit has a maturity greater than one year, although certain of them can be renewed for another year. The cash collateral is held in custody by the issuing bank, is restricted as to withdrawal or use and is currently invested in money market funds. Income from these funds is paid to us.

### CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we had capital expenditures of \$14.4 million consisting of growth capital expenditures of \$4.0 million and maintenance capital expenditures of \$10.4 million. Growth capital expenditures consist of items that are intended to increase the manufacturing, production and/or distribution capacity or efficiencies of our operations in conjunction with the execution of our business strategies. Maintenance capital expenditures are designed to sustain the current capacity or efficiency of our operations and include items relating to the renovation of existing manufacturing or service facilities, the purchase of machinery and equipment for safety and environmental needs and information technology. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we had capital expenditures of \$14.0 million consisting of growth capital expenditures of \$7.0 million and maintenance capital expenditures of \$7.0 million.

We target capital expenditures for 2010 to be approximately \$30 million. We analyze our planned capital expenditures based on investment opportunities available to us and our financial and operating performance, and accordingly, actual capital expenditures may be more or less than this amount.

See Credit Facilities below for a description on limitations on capital expenditures imposed by our Amended and Restated Credit Facility and Exit Facility.

### **CREDIT FACILITIES**

### Amended and Restated Credit Facility

Pursuant to the Plan, on the Effective Date, we entered into the Amended and Restated Credit Facility, dated as of May 25, 2010 by and among us, certain subsidiaries of ours, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. as Lead Arranger and Bookrunner, and other agents and banks party thereto. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility provides for a term loan that has a principal amount of \$410 million, and a maturity date that is five years following May 25, 2010, the closing date of the Amended and Restated Credit Facility. The \$410 million is denominated in U.S. Dollars, Euros and Canadian Dollars representing approximately 56%, 32% and 12% of this amount, respectively, at the Effective Date. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility is secured by second priority liens against, and security interests in, substantially all of our assets and the assets of most of our subsidiaries, subject to legal and tax considerations and requirements. In addition, most of our U.S. and non-U.S. guarantors will only be liable for obligations of non-U.S borrowers and non-U.S. guarantors.

Borrowings under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility term loans bear interest as follows:

in the case of Xerium Canada Inc., at the BA (bank accepted) Rate plus (i) 6.25% if the leverage ratio equals or exceeds 2.75:1.00 or (ii) 5.75% if the leverage ratio is less than 2.75:1.00;

in the case of Xerium Technologies, Inc. the LIBOR Rate plus (i) 6.25% if the leverage ratio equals or exceeds 2.75:1.00 or (ii) 5.75% if the leverage ratio is less than 2.75:1.00; and

in the case of XTI LLC, Xerium Italia S.p.A., Huyck Wangner Austria GmbH and Xerium Germany Holding GmbH, at the Euribor Rate plus (i) 6.25% if the leverage ratio equals or exceeds 2.75:1.00 or (ii) 5.75% if the leverage ratio is less than 2.75:1.00. The terms BA Rate, LIBOR Rate, and Euribor Rate have the same meanings as set forth in the our pre-petition credit facility except that the BA Rate, the LIBOR Rate and the Euribor Rate shall not be less than 2.00% per annum. Interest periods will be 1, 2, 3 or 6 months. If any event of

default occurs and is continuing, then interest on the unpaid balance of the outstanding term loans will accrue at a per annum rate of two percent greater than the rate of interest specified above.

The Amended and Restated Credit Facility requires us to make mandatory prepayments under the following circumstances, subject to intercreditor sharing arrangements with the lenders under the Exit Facility:

(a) with 100% of the net cash proceeds we receive from any sale of any assets (50% of the net cash proceeds of the sale of assets belonging to our Australian and Vietnamese subsidiaries) for any transaction or series of transactions exceeding \$250,000 outside the ordinary course of business (subject to certain exceptions regarding discontinued manufacturing facilities and exempting the first \$3 million if invested in other assets, except for the sale of assets belonging to our Australian and Vietnamese subsidiaries);

(b) with 100% of insurance and condemnation award payments, subject to certain exemptions;

(c) with 100% of the cash proceeds from debt issuances, subject to certain exemptions; and

(d) with 50% of our excess cash after the end of each fiscal year, beginning with fiscal year 2011; that is, Adjusted EBITDA plus a working capital adjustment, minus consolidated interest expense, cash income tax expense, consolidated capital expenditures (subject to certain exceptions), consolidated restructuring costs, cash payments of withholding taxes from proceeds of the repurchase, redemption or retention of common stock and the aggregate amount of scheduled and voluntary payments made during the past fiscal year.

The Amended and Restated Credit Facility requires that we observe and perform numerous affirmative and negative covenants, including certain financial covenants. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility also prohibits the payment of dividends on our New Common Stock. The financial covenants per the Amended and Restated Credit Facility are now as follows:

Minimum Interest Coverage Ratio:	Four Fiscal Quarters Ending	Ratio
The ratio of four quarter Adjusted EBITDA to interest expense.	September 30, 2010 to March 31, 2011	1.75:1.00
	June 30, 2011 to December 31, 2011	2.00:1.00
	March 31, 2012 to September 30, 2013	2.25:1.00
	December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2015	2.50:1.00
Maximum Leverage Ratio:	Four Fiscal Quarters Ending	Ratio
The ratio of outstanding debt to four quarter Adjusted EBITDA.	September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2010	5.50:1.00
	March 31, 2011 and June 30, 2011	5.25:1.00
	September 30, 2011	5.00:1.00
	December 31, 2011 and March 31, 2012	4.75:1.00
	June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2012	4.50:1.00
	December 31, 2012 to June 30, 2013	4.25:1.00
	September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2013	4.00:1.00

For the four fiscal quarters ended September 30, 2010 our interest coverage ratio was 2.70:1 and our leverage ratio was 4.69:1. Each of these covenants is calculated at the end of each quarter, beginning with the quarter ended September 30, 2010, and is based on a rolling twelve month period. The interest coverage ratio is calculated by dividing Adjusted EBITDA by interest expense, net of mark-to-market movements on hedging instruments and amortization of deferred financing costs. The leverage ratio is calculated by dividing our total gross debt, at average currency exchange rates for the last twelve months, by Adjusted EBITDA. For purposes of calculating a twelve-month Adjusted EBITDA amount at September 30, 2010, the Amended and Restated Credit Facility has fixed Adjusted EBITDA for the fourth quarter of 2009 at \$24.6 million. See Non-GAAP Liquidity Measures below for a further description of Adjusted EBITDA.

March 31, 2014 to September 30, 2014

December 31, 2014 to December 31, 2015

The Amended and Restated Credit Facility defines consolidated capital expenditures for a particular fiscal year as all expenditures required under GAAP to be included in purchase of property and equipment or similar items included in our cash flow. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility limits our consolidated capital expenditures in a particular fiscal year to an amount not exceeding \$37.3 million, \$33.4 million and \$33.8 million for fiscal year 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively and \$33.1 million for each of fiscal years 2013, 2014 and 2015, exclusive of capital expenditures paid with net insurance and condemnation proceeds; provided that the maximum amount of consolidated capital expenditures permitted in each fiscal year shall be increased by 50% of the amount below the maximum not spent in the prior fiscal year (determined without reference to any carryover amount).

### Exit Facility

On the Effective Date, the DIP Facility was converted into the Exit Facility consisting of a \$20 million revolving credit facility and a \$60 million term loan that was used to satisfy our obligations under the Plan and for ongoing working capital (including letters of credit) requirements. The revolving credit facility matures on a date that is three years following May 25, 2010, the closing date of the Exit Facility, and the term loans will mature on a date that is four and one-half years following May 25, 2010. The Exit Facility is secured by first priority liens against, and security interests in, substantially all of our assets and the assets of most of our subsidiaries, subject to legal and tax considerations and

3.75:1.00

3.50:1.00

requirements. All loans under the Exit Facility are senior to the amounts owing under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility. In addition, most of our U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiaries guarantee the obligations of the borrowers under the Exit Facility, provided that non-U.S. guarantors will only be liable for obligations of non-U.S borrowers and non-U.S. guarantors.

The loans under the Exit Facility are LIBOR Loans and bear interest at the annual rate equal to LIBOR plus the applicable margin, 4.5% per year, with a LIBOR floor of 2% per annum. Interest periods will be 1, 2, 3 or 6 months. If any event of default occurs and is continuing, then interest on the unpaid balance of the outstanding Exit Facility loans will accrue at a per annum rate of two percent greater than the rate of interest specified above. If any event of default occurs and is continuing under the Exit Facility, each LIBOR Loan will convert to an ABR Loan at the end of the interest period then in effect for such LIBOR Loan.

The Exit Facility requires us to make mandatory prepayments under the same circumstances as with respect to the Amended and Restated Credit Facility, which are described above. In addition, with limited exceptions, the Exit Facility requires that we observe and perform the same affirmative and negative covenants, including financial covenants, as required by the Amended and Restated Credit Facility.

Beginning in the third quarter of 2010, the Exit Facility and the Amended and Restated Credit Facility provide for scheduled principal payments, to be made on a quarterly basis, in the aggregate amount of approximately \$40.4 million over the remaining term of the facilities, as of September 30, 2010, as outlined below. Additionally, balloon payments of approximately \$57.5 million and \$382.0 million are due at the maturity date of the term loans under the Exit Facility in November 2014 and at the maturity date of the term loans under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility in May 2015, respectively, assuming no prior voluntary or mandatory payments have been made. The aggregate scheduled quarterly principal payments over the term of the facilities are shown below:

	U.S. Dollar Denominated Debt (in USD)	Euro Denominated Debt (in Euro)	Canadian Dollar Denominated Debt (in CAD) (in millions)	Principa (exc ba pay Conve U.S. I Septeml Exc F	Total Scheduled Principal Payments (excluding balloon payments) Converted into U.S. Dollars at September 30, 2010 Exchange Rates (in USD)	
2010 (fourth quarter only)	1.3	0.5	0.3	\$	2.3	
2011	5.2	2.1	1.0	Ŧ	9.0	
2012	5.2	2.1	1.0		9.0	
2013	5.2	2.1	1.0		9.0	
2014	5.1	2.1	1.0		8.9	
2015 (first quarter only)	1.2	0.5	0.3		2.2	
				\$	40.4	

Additionally, the following table outlines the estimated interest payments, to be made on a quarterly basis, under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility and the Exit Facility over the term of the facilities:

	Total Estima	ted	
	Interest Paym	Interest Payments	
	Converted in	nto	
	U.S. Dollars	U.S. Dollars at	
	September 30,	2010	
	Exchange Ra	Exchange Rates	
	(in USD milli	ons)	
2010 (fourth quarter only)	\$	9.8	
2011		38.6	
2012		37.7	

2013 2014	35.9 32.2
2015 (first quarter only)	10.6
	\$ 164.8

#### CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS

As a result of our entering into the Amended and Restated Credit Facility and the Exit Facility agreements on the Effective Date, our long-term debt obligations and related interest expense have changed significantly since the disclosure in our Form 10-K for year ended December 31, 2009. See amended debt and interest obligation amounts and maturity dates in the Credit Facilities section above.

#### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates. We have formal accounting policies in place including those that address critical and complex accounting areas. Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report identifies the significant accounting policies used in preparation of the consolidated financial statements. The most significant areas involving management judgments and estimates are described below.

*Derivatives and Hedging.* Effective January 1, 2009, we adopted ASC Topic 815-10-65-1, *Transition and Effective Date Related to FASB Statement No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* (Topic 815-10-65-1) for disclosure related to derivatives and hedging. Topic 815-10-65-1 amends and expands the disclosure requirements to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of: (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. Topic 815-10-65-1 requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about the fair value of and gains and losses on derivative instruments and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative instruments.

As required by ASC Topic 815, we record all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether we have elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Derivatives may also be designated as hedges of the foreign currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation. Hedge accounting generally provides for the matching of the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument with the recognition of the changes in the fair value of the hedged risk in a fair value hedge or the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transactions in a cash flow hedge. We may enter into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge certain of our risks, even though hedge accounting does not apply or if we elect not to apply hedge accounting under Topic 815.

There are two types of hedges into which we enter: hedges of fair value exposure and hedges of cash flow exposure. Hedges of fair value exposure are entered into in order to hedge the fair value of a recognized asset or liability, or a firm commitment. Hedges of cash flow exposure are entered into in order to hedge a forecasted transaction or the variability of cash flows to be paid related to a recognized liability. Changes in derivative fair values are recognized in earnings as offsets to the changes in fair value of the related hedged assets and liabilities. Changes in the derivative fair values that are designated as cash flow hedges which meet the criteria for hedge accounting are recorded in other comprehensive income (loss).

Prior to September 1, 2009, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualified as cash flow hedges were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affected earnings. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives was recognized directly in earnings. The balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of August 31, 2009 related to the interest rate swaps for which hedge accounting was discontinued was to be subsequently amortized into the statement of operations (interest expense) over the remaining original term of the derivative as the hedged forecasted transactions are also recorded to interest expense, in accordance with Topic 815. In addition, as of March 31, 2010, we determined that it was probable that future interest payments on the debt that was in excess of the \$410 million (discussed in Note 1 of the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements) would not occur. As a result, we amortized an additional \$0.7 million from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense, which represents the balance in accumulated other comprehensive income relating to interest payments on the debt that is in excess of \$410 million. As of March 31, 2010, after this amortization, the remaining balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to interest rate swaps will be amortized to interest expense through

December 31, 2010, the original term of the swaps. Accordingly, during the second and third quarter of 2010, we amortized \$2.1 million and \$2.2 million from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense. The remaining balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to interest rate swaps was \$2.1 million and will be amortized to interest expense through December 31, 2010.

On December 31, 2009, we terminated with the counterparties all of our outstanding interest rate swap liabilities of \$20.0 million and converted them into notes payable to such counterparties. Although these interest rate swaps were subject to mark to market accounting through earnings effective September 1, 2009, prior to their termination with the counterparties, they effectively fixed, from a cash flow hedge perspective through December 31, 2010, the interest rate at 10.75% on approximately 79% of the term loan portion of our pre-petition credit facility. As a result of the termination of the interest rate swaps, the interest rate on the term loan portion of the credit facility was no longer effectively fixed through December 31, 2010, the original term of the swaps.

We have measured our derivative assets and liabilities under ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (Topic 820), and have classified our interest rate swaps in Level 2 of the Topic 820 fair value hierarchy, as the significant inputs to the overall valuations are based on market-observable data or information derived from or corroborated by market-observable data, including market-based inputs to models, model calibration to market-clearing transactions, broker or dealer quotations, or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. Where models are used, the selection of a particular model to value a derivative depends upon the contractual terms of, and specific risks inherent in, the instrument as well as the availability of pricing information in the market. We use similar models to value similar instruments. Valuation models require a variety of inputs, including contractual terms, market prices, yield curves, credit curves, measures of volatility, and correlations of such inputs. For our derivatives, all of which traded in liquid markets, model inputs can generally be verified and model selection does not involve significant management judgment.

To comply with the provisions of Topic 820, we incorporated credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both our own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty s nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements of our derivatives. The credit valuation adjustments are calculated by determining the total expected exposure of the derivatives (which incorporates both the current and potential future exposure) and then applying each counterparty s credit spread to the applicable exposure. For derivatives with two-way exposure, such as interest rate swaps, the counterparty s credit spread is applied to our exposure to the counterparty, and our own credit spread is applied to the counterparty s exposure to us, and the net credit valuation adjustment is reflected in our derivative valuations. The total expected exposure of a derivative is derived using market-observable inputs, such as yield curves and volatilities. The inputs utilized for our own credit spread are based on implied spreads from its publicly-traded debt. For counterparties with publicly available credit information, the credit spreads over LIBOR used in the calculations represent implied credit default swap spreads obtained from a third party credit data provider. In adjusting the fair value of our derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, we have considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts, and guarantees. Additionally, we actively monitor counterparty credit ratings for any significant changes.

Although we have determined that the majority of the inputs used to value our derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with our derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by us and our counterparties. As a result, we have determined that our derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. We do not have any fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) as of September 30, 2010.

However, as of September 30, 2010, the credit valuation adjustments have no impact on the overall valuation of our derivative positions as we terminated all of our outstanding interest rate swaps with the counterparties on December 31, 2009. Various factors which impact changes in the credit are not significant to the overall valuation adjustments over time, including changes in the credit spreads of the parties to the contracts, as well as changes in market rates and volatilities, which affect the total expected exposure of the derivative instruments.

*Goodwill*. We account for acquired goodwill and intangible assets in accordance with ASC Topic 805, *Business Combinations* (Topic 805). Purchase accounting required by Topic 805 involves judgment with respect to the valuation of the acquired assets and liabilities in order to determine the amount of goodwill. We have recorded our acquisitions in accordance with Topic 805.

*Impairment of Goodwill and Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets.* We account for acquired goodwill and goodwill impairment in accordance with ASC Topic 350, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (Topic 350), which requires considerable judgment in the valuation of acquired goodwill and the ongoing evaluation of goodwill impairment. Topic 350 requires that goodwill and intangible assets that have indefinite lives not be amortized but, instead, must be tested at least annually for impairment or whenever events or business conditions warrant.

We perform an annual test for goodwill impairment as of December 31st at the reporting unit level. We have two reporting units: clothing and roll covers. When our business was acquired in 1999, more than 80% of the goodwill was assigned to the roll covers reporting unit based on relative fair values at the date of acquisition.

Goodwill impairment testing is a two-step process. Step 1 involves comparing the fair value of our reporting unit to its carrying amount. If the fair value of the reporting unit is greater than its carrying amount, there is no impairment. If the reporting unit carrying amount is greater than the fair value then the second step must be completed to measure the amount of impairment, if any. Step 2 calculates the implied fair value of goodwill by deducting the fair value of all tangible and intangible assets, excluding goodwill, of

the reporting unit from the fair value of the reporting unit as determined in Step 1. The implied fair value of goodwill determined in this step is compared to the carrying value of goodwill. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the carrying value of goodwill, an impairment loss is recognized equal to the difference.

For the purpose of performing the annual impairment test, we allocate all shared assets and liabilities to the reporting units based upon the percentage of each reporting unit s revenue to total revenue. Shared expenses are allocated to each reporting unit to the extent necessary to allow them to operate as independent businesses. Fair value was determined by using a weighted combination of both a market multiple approach and an income approach. The market multiple approach utilizes our information to determine measures that are used to value our reporting units. The income approach is a present value technique used to measure the fair value of future cash flows produced by each reporting unit. Determining the fair value of a reporting unit or an indefinite-lived purchased intangible asset is judgmental in nature and requires the use of significant estimates and assumptions, including revenue growth rates and operating margins, discount rates and future market conditions, among others. We believe that the assumptions and rates used in our annual impairment test under Topic 350 are reasonable, but inherently uncertain.

As of December 31, 2009, we recorded a non-cash charge for goodwill impairment of \$80.6 million related to our roll covers reporting unit based on assessments performed as of that date. Applying the guidance of Topic 350, we determined that as of December 31, 2009, goodwill for the roll covers reporting unit was impaired primarily due to the adverse effect of our credit issues and the effects of the global economic environment at that time. Step 1 of the process indicated that the fair value of the net assets of the roll covers reporting unit was \$3.7 million less than their carrying value as of December 31, 2009. Based on the Step 1 result, we proceeded with Step 2. Based on the increase in fair value of tangible and intangible assets over book value of \$77 million as determined in Step 2, an aggregate impairment of \$80.6 million was recorded in the roll covers reporting unit. To date, there have been no indicators of impairment or recorded goodwill impairment for our clothing reporting unit. The excess of the fair value over the carrying value for our clothing reporting unit as of December 31, 2009 was approximately \$142 million. In order to evaluate the sensitivity of the analysis performed, we applied a hypothetical 5% decrease to the fair value of this reporting unit, which resulted in a fair value in excess of carrying value of approximately \$116 million for the clothing reporting unit.

During each of the three quarters of 2010, we evaluated business conditions, including our filing of voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code on March 30, 2010, to determine if a test for an impairment of goodwill was warranted. The adverse effects of our credit issues had been considered when determining the amount of our impairment of goodwill recorded at December 31, 2009. Accordingly, no test was determined to be warranted at March 31, 2010, June 30, 2010 or September 30, 2010.

*Contingencies.* We are subject to various claims and contingencies associated with lawsuits, insurance, tax, environmental and other issues arising out of the normal course of business. Our consolidated financial statements reflect the treatment of claims and contingencies based on management s view of the expected outcome. We consult with legal counsel on those issues related to litigation with respect to matters in the ordinary course of business. If the likelihood of an adverse outcome is probable and the amount is estimable, we accrue a liability in accordance with ASC Topic 450, *Contingencies.* While we believe that the current level of reserves is adequate, the adequacy of these reserves may change in the future due to new developments in particular matters. During the third quarter of 2008, while evaluating our facility in Geelong, Australia, we discovered the possibility of contamination at the facility. Subsequently we had a preliminary evaluation performed, which confirmed the existence of contamination and estimated preliminary costs to clean up the facility. Based upon this evaluation, we recorded \$4.1 million in 2008 as our best estimate of the remediation costs we expected to incur. A Phase II assessment of the ground water contamination performed for us during the second quarter of 2009 indicated the costs to remediate the contamination would be significantly less than originally estimated and accordingly, we reduced the accrual by \$3.4 million during the second quarter of 2009 based on this assessment. We believe that any additional liability in excess of amounts provided which may result from the resolution of environmental matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity or cash flow.

*Income Taxes.* We utilize the asset and liability method for accounting for income taxes in accordance with ASC Topic 740, *Income Taxes* (Topic 740). Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the enacted tax rates and statutes that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse.

We reduce our deferred tax assets by a valuation allowance if, based upon the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Relevant evidence, both positive and negative, is considered in determining the need for a valuation allowance. Information evaluated includes our financial position and results of operations for the current and preceding years as well as an evaluation of currently available information about future years. In light of our accumulated loss position in certain tax jurisdictions, and the uncertainty of taxability in future periods, we recorded valuation allowances for deferred tax assets primarily related to net operating loss carryforwards in Australia, Canada, Germany, Sweden, the United Kingdom and the United States.

In addition, we operate within multiple taxing jurisdictions and could be subject to audit in these jurisdictions. These audits can involve complex issues and rely on estimates and assumptions. These audits may require an extended period of time to resolve and

may cover multiple years. Although we believe that the estimates and assumptions are reasonable, the final determination of tax audits and any related litigation could be different than that which is reflected in historical income tax provisions and recorded assets and liabilities. There are currently no U.S. Federal or state income tax audits or examinations underway. In May 2009, we concluded an audit relating to our German subsidiaries for tax years 1999 through 2002. No further adjustments not previously recorded were required in the year ended December 31, 2009 as a result of this settlement. The German tax authorities have initiated an audit of our German entities for the tax years 2004 through 2007. For certain of our German entities, we have reached a preliminary agreement with the tax authorities with respect to identified issues, and have established a reserve at September 30, 2010 to resolve these issues. We do not believe this reserve is material to our results of operations, financial position or cash flows. The audit is still in the initial information gathering stages for the remaining German entities. The Canadian Revenue Authority contacted us in October of 2008 and has initiated an audit of our Canadian companies. The audit is still in the initial information gathering stages and no issues or assessments have been raised. In 2010, we successfully concluded an audit relating to our Finnish subsidiary for the tax years 2007 and 2008. All previously-recorded adjustments were reversed as a result of this settlement. The Italian tax authority is also in the process of conducting an examination for certain of our Italian entities. The audit is currently in the appeals process and we are currently working to address issues that have been raised. With respect to all other jurisdictions, we believe we have made adequate provision for all income tax uncertainties and that no unresolved issues or claims are likely to be material to our results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

ASC Topic 740-10-25 relates to uncertain tax positions and prescribes a two-step process to determine the amount of tax benefit to be recognized as it relates to uncertain tax positions. First, the tax position must be evaluated to determine the likelihood that it will be sustained upon external examination. If the tax position is deemed more-likely-than-not to be sustained, the tax position is then assessed to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. The amount of the benefit that may be recognized is the largest amount that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information.

As previously discussed, we emerged from chapter 11 bankruptcy protection on May 25, 2010. Our review of the potential impact of the overall plan of reorganization resulted in no material change in our tax position.

Our balance sheet as of September 30, 2010 reflects a deferred tax asset related to our German federal and trade loss carry-forwards of approximately \$3.9 million. As a general rule, federal and trade tax loss carry forwards are subject to forfeiture if more than 50% of the shares in the corporation are directly or indirectly transferred to a new shareholder. There is a restructuring exception to this general rule; however, this exception is currently suspended pending the outcome of an investigation by the European Union. We have reflected no impairment of this deferred tax asset as we have concluded that it is more likely than not that this asset will be realized in future periods.

#### NON-GAAP LIQUIDITY MEASURES

We use EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA as supplementary non-GAAP liquidity measures to assist us in evaluating our liquidity and financial performance, specifically our ability to service indebtedness and to fund ongoing capital expenditures. The Amended and Restated Credit Facility includes covenants based on Adjusted EBITDA. If our Adjusted EBITDA declines below certain levels, we will violate the covenants resulting in a default condition under the credit facility or be required to prepay the credit facility. Neither EBITDA nor Adjusted EBITDA should be considered in isolation or as a substitute for income (loss) from operations (as determined in accordance with GAAP).

EBITDA is defined as net income (loss) before interest expense, income tax provision (benefit) and depreciation (including non-cash impairment charges) and amortization.

Adjusted EBITDA is defined in the Amended and Restated Credit Facility as the total of (A) Consolidated Net Income, as defined below, plus (B), without duplication, to the extent that any of the following were deducted in computing consolidated net income: (i) provision for taxes based on income or profits, (ii) consolidated interest expense, (iii) consolidated depreciation and amortization expense, (iv) reserves for inventory in connection with plant closures, (v) consolidated operational restructuring costs, not to exceed \$15 million in fiscal year 2010, \$6 million in fiscal year 2011, and \$5 million in any of the 2012, 2013, 2014 or 2015 fiscal years, (vi) consolidated financial restructuring costs, not to exceed \$30 million for fiscal year 2010, (vii) non-cash charges or gains resulting from the application of purchase accounting, including push-down accounting, (viii) non-cash expenses resulting from the granting of common stock, stock options, restricted stock or restricted stock unit awards under equity compensation programs solely with respect to common stock, and cash expenses for compensation mandatorily applied to purchase, redemption or retention by the Company of common stock earned under equity compensation programs solely in order to make withholding tax payments, and (xi) amortization or write-offs of deferred financing costs, minus (C) without duplication, to the extent any of the following were included in computing consolidated net income for such period, (i) non-cash gains with respect to the items described in clauses (vii), (viii) and (ix) of clause (B) above and (ii) provisions for tax benefits based on income or profits. Adjusted EBITDA, as defined in the credit facility and calculated below, may not be comparable to similarly titled measurements used by other companies.

Consolidated Net Income is defined as net income (loss) determined on a consolidated basis in accordance with GAAP; provided, however, that the following, without duplication, shall be excluded in determining Consolidated Net Income: (i) any net after-tax extraordinary or non-recurring gains, losses or expenses (less all fees and expenses relating thereto), (ii) the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles, (iii) any fees and expenses incurred during such period in connection with the issuance or repayment of indebtedness, any refinancing transaction or amendment or modification of any debt instrument, in each case, as permitted under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility and (iv) any gains resulting from the returned surplus assets of any pension plan.

The following table provides a reconciliation from net income (loss), which is the most directly comparable GAAP financial measure, to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA. The three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 are presented based on the Adjusted EBITDA definitions in the Amended and Restated Credit Facility. The three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 are presented based on the Adjusted EBITDA definitions in effect under the credit agreement at that time. Had the definitions in the Amended and Restated Credit Facility been in place for 2009, Adjusted EBITDA for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 would have increased by \$2,820,000 and \$3,983,000 respectively, as per discussion in (A) through (F) below.

		Three Months Ended September 30,	
(in thousands)	2010	2009	
Net loss	\$ (3,656)	\$ (7,381)	
Income tax provision	4,318	3,424	
Interest expense, net	12,020	16,425	
Depreciation and amortization	10,222	10,851	
EBITDA	22,904	23,319	
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps (A)		(859)	
Operational restructuring expenses	1,199	87	
Inventory write-offs under restructuring programs		104	
Non-cash compensation and related expenses (B)	2,068	778	
Financial restructuring costs (D)	799		
Non-cash impairment charges (F)	2,123	1,667	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 29,093	\$ 25,096	

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
(in thousands)	2010	2009
Net loss	\$ (73,824)	\$ (15,228)
Income tax provision	11,504	10,013
Interest expense, net	44,529	47,952
Depreciation and amortization	30,763	30,769
-		
EBITDA	12,972	73,506
Change in fair value of interest rate swaps (A)		(1,654)
Operational restructuring expenses	4,562	1,227
Inventory write-offs under restructuring programs		349
Non-cash compensation and related expenses (B)	5,498	1,824
Non-cash change in accounting method (C)	(1,400)	
Financial restructuring costs (D)	25,613	
Non-cash impairment charges (F)	2,871	1,667
Write-off of deferred financing cost as reorganization item (E)	14,283	
Expenses incurred in connection with indebtedness or refinancing transaction	14,400	

Adi	usted	EBITDA	
-----	-------	--------	--

**\$ 78,799 \$ 76,919** 

- (A) The changes in the fair value of interest rate swaps is not an adjustment under the definition of Adjusted EBITDA in the Amended and Restated Credit Facility to arrive at Adjusted EBITDA for periods beginning after the quarter ended December 31, 2009. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, such items were added back to (deducted from) EBITDA based upon the terms of the pre-petition credit facility. Had the amended definition been in place for all periods presented, Adjusted EBITDA for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 would have increased by \$859 and \$1,654, respectively.
- (B) The nine months ended September 30, 2010 include an add-back of \$539 to EBITDA to arrive at Adjusted EBITDA related to shares of common stock that were sold to Mr. Light on January 5, 2010 for this amount in reliance upon the exemption from

registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Had the amended definition been in place for all periods presented, the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 each would have been impacted by an add back of \$0 and \$94, respectively.

- (C) Changes in non-cash items related to a change in or adoption of accounting policies as defined in the Amended and Restated Credit Facility are added back to (deducted from) EBITDA to arrive at Adjusted EBITDA for periods beginning after the quarter ended December 31, 2009. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, such items were not added back to EBITDA based upon the terms of the pre-petition credit facility. Had the amended definition been in place for all periods presented, there would have been no impact to Adjusted EBITDA for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 as there were no charges or credits recorded during those periods pertaining to changes in or adoption of accounting policies.
- (D) Financial restructuring costs that have been expensed to the statement of operations are added back to EBITDA to arrive at Adjusted EBITDA for periods beginning after the quarter ended December 31, 2009. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, such items were not added back to EBITDA based upon the terms of the pre-petition credit facility. Had the amended definition been in place for all periods presented, the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 would have been impacted by an add-back of \$1,961 and \$2,235, respectively.
- (E) The write-off of deferred financing costs included in reorganization items in the statement of operations is added back to EBITDA to arrive at Adjusted EBITDA for periods beginning after the quarter ended December 31, 2009. As of March 31, 2010, we were required to record such costs in reorganization items as per ASC Topic 852, *Reorganizations*, as a result of our filing voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 on March 30, 2010. Prior to March 31, 2010, any write-offs of deferred financing costs were charged to interest expense and accordingly added back in the interest expense, net line item of the Adjusted EBITDA reconciliation above. Accordingly the amended definition has no impact on total Adjusted EBITDA for the three or nine months ended September 30, 2009 because under both definitions the write-off of deferred financing costs was allowed as an add-back.
- (F) In accordance with the definition of Adjusted EBITDA in the Amended and Restated Credit Facility, non-cash impairment charges resulting from the application of Topic 350 and ASC Topic 360, *Property, Plant and Equipment*, have been added back to adjusted EBITDA.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

*Foreign Currency Hedging.* We have foreign currency cash flow and earnings exposure with respect to specific sale and intercompany debt transactions denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of the unit incurring the costs associated with such transactions. To mitigate the risks related to these exposures, we utilize forward currency contracts in certain circumstances, to lock in exchange rates with the objective that the gain or loss on the forward contracts will approximate the loss or gain on the transaction or transactions being hedged. We determine whether to enter into hedging arrangements based upon the size of the underlying transaction or transactions, an assessment of the risk of adverse movements in the applicable currencies and the availability of a cost-effective hedging strategy. In South America, substantially all of our sales are indexed to U.S. Dollars, but the associated costs are recorded in the local currencies of the operating units. Generally, we do not hedge this U.S. Dollar exposure as it would not be cost effective due to the relatively inefficient foreign exchange markets for local currencies in that region. To the extent we do not engage in hedging or such hedging is not effective, changes in the relative value of currencies can affect our profitability.

The value of these contracts is recognized at fair value based on market exchange forward rates and amounted to a net liability position of \$0.1 million at September 30, 2010.

As of September 30, 2010, we had open foreign currency exchange contracts maturing through May 2011 with total net notional amounts of approximately \$4.8 million. At September 30, 2010, we prepared an analysis to determine the sensitivity of our forward foreign exchange contracts to changes in exchange rates. A hypothetical adverse exchange rate movement of 10% against our forward foreign exchange contracts would have resulted in a potential net loss in fair value of these contracts of less than \$0.1 million. The calculation assumes that each exchange rate would change in the same direction relative to the U.S. Dollar. In addition to the direct effects of changes in exchange rates, such changes typically affect the volume of sales or the foreign currency sales price as competitors products become more or less attractive. Our sensitivity analysis of the effects of changes in foreign currency exchange rates does not factor in a potential change in sales levels or local currency selling prices.

For additional information about the risks associated with fluctuations in currency exchange rates, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Foreign Exchange.

*Interest Rate Hedging*. Our current and pre-petition credit facilities have variable interest rates. In connection with our pre-petition credit facility, we previously entered into interest rate swap arrangements pursuant to which we paid fixed rates on notional amounts while receiving the applicable floating LIBOR, Euribor or CDOR rates. On December 31, 2009, we terminated with the counterparties all of our outstanding interest rate swap liabilities of \$20.0 million and converted them into notes payable to such counterparties. Prior to their termination with the

counterparties, the interest rate swaps effectively fixed, from a cash flow hedge perspective, the interest rate on approximately 79% of the term loan portion of our pre-petition credit facility through December 31,

2010 at 10.75%. As a result of the termination of the interest rate swaps, the interest rate on the term loan portion of the pre-petition credit facility was no longer effectively fixed through December 31, 2010, the original term of the swaps. Under our Amended and Restated Credit Facility, the applicable margin for our LIBOR term loans, Euribor loans and CDOR loans is 6.25% for the \$410 million term loans and 4.50% for the \$60 million term loan and LIBOR revolving loans under the Exit Facility, both with a LIBOR floor of 2.0%.

Prior to September 1, 2009, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualified as cash flow hedges were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affected earnings. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives was recognized directly in earnings. The balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of August 31, 2009 related to the interest rate swaps for which hedge accounting was discontinued was to be subsequently amortized into the statement of operations (interest expense) over the remaining original term of the derivative as the hedged forecasted transactions are also recorded to interest expense, in accordance with Topic 815. In addition, as of March 31, 2010, we determined that it was probable that future interest payments on the debt that was in excess of the \$410 million (discussed in Note 1 the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements) would not occur. As a result, we amortized an additional \$0.7 million from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense, which represents the balance in accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense, which represents the balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to interest rate swaps will be amortized to interest expense through December 31, 2010, the original term of the swaps. Accordingly, during the second and third quarter of 2010, the Company amortized \$2.1 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense. The remaining balance in accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense. The remaining balance in accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense. The remaining balance in accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense. The remaining balance in accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense. The remaining balance in

As previously discussed, we terminated our outstanding interest rate swaps at December 31, 2009 and have not entered into any new interest rate swap agreements since that time. Consequently, our financial statements are exposed to the effects of interest rate fluctuations, which could have a material impact on our results of operations. However, under the Amended and Restated Credit Facility, the BA Rate, the LIBOR Rate and the Euribor Rate are subject to a minimum base rate of 2.00% per annum, which is currently higher than the respective base rates.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) *Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures*. We have carried out an evaluation, as of September 30, 2010 under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a 15(e) and 15d 15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Act ). Based upon that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms; and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. No evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives, and our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives, and our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives, and our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level as set forth above.

(b) *Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting*. No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**ITEM 4T. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES** Not Applicable.

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

There have been no material developments to the legal proceedings reported in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010.

#### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, as updated in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, have not materially changed.

#### UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS. ITEM 2.

Not Applicable.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES. Not Applicable.

#### ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED).

#### ITEM 5. **OTHER INFORMATION.**

Not Applicable.

#### EXHIBITS ITEM 6.

See the exhibit index following the signature page to this quarterly report.

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

#### XERIUM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (Registrant)

Date: November 5, 2010

By:

/s/ BRIAN J. FOX Brian J. Fox Interim Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

#### EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibits
10.1 (1)	Agreement dated July 15, 2010 between Xerium Technologies, Inc. and AlixPartners, LLP.
10.2 (2)	Management Incentive Compensation Program for 2010.
10.3 (2)	Long Term Incentive Plan.
10.4 (2)	Form of Time-Based Restricted Stock Units Agreement under the Long Term Incentive Plan.
10.5 (2)	Amended and Restated Time-Based Restricted Stock Units and Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units Agreement with Stephen R. Light.
10.6 (2)	Description of Compensation for Non-Management Directors.
10.7 (2)	Directors Deferred Stock Unit Plan.
31.1	Certification Statement of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification Statement of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification Statement of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley
32.2	Certification Statement of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 20, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.
 Filed herewith.