IMMUNOMEDICS INC Form 10-K August 26, 2010

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark one)

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
 For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010.

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
 For the transition period from ______ to _____.

Commission file number: 0-12104

IMMUNOMEDICS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State of incorporation) 61-1009366 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

300 American Road, Morris Plains, New Jersey (Address of principal executive offices) 07950 (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (973) 605-8200

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

 Title of each class
 Name of each exchange on which registered

 Common Stock, \$0.01 par value
 NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

 Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Series G Junior Participating Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No p

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirement for the past 90 days. Yes b No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No"

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§232.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer " Accelerated Filer b Non-Accelerated Filer " Smaller Reporting Company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes "No b

The aggregate market value of the registrant s common stock held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common stock was last sold as of December 31, 2009 was \$242,000,000. The number of shares of the registrant s common stock outstanding as of August 23, 2010 was 75,302,660.

Documents Incorporated by Reference:

Certain information required in Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K will be set forth in, and incorporated from the registrant s Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed by the registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the end of the registrant s fiscal year ended June 30, 2010.

PART I

Item 1. Business Introduction

Immunomedics is a New Jersey-based biopharmaceutical company primarily focused on the development of monoclonal, antibody-based products for the targeted treatment of cancer, autoimmune and other serious diseases. We have developed a number of advanced proprietary technologies that allow us to create humanized antibodies that can be used either alone in unlabeled or naked form, or conjugated with radioactive isotopes, chemotherapeutics, cytokines or toxins, in each case to create highly targeted agents. Using these technologies, we have built a pipeline of therapeutic product candidates that utilize several different mechanisms of action. We have also been one of the first companies to test antibody combinations as a possibly improved method of cancer therapy, and as a result have also embarked on the development of bispecific (bifunctional) monoclonal antibodies targeting two distinct antigens on the same cancer cells.

We have exclusively licensed our product candidate, epratuzumab, to UCB S.A., or UCB, for the treatment of all autoimmune disease indications worldwide. Epratuzumab s most advanced clinical testing is for the treatment of systemic lupus erythematosus, or SLE (lupus), and in non-Hodgkin s lymphoma, or NHL. At present, there is no cure for lupus and no lupus drug has been approved in the U.S. for approximately the last 50 years. We have retained rights to epratuzumab in oncology indications, subject to UCB s buy-in option, and are advancing trials in lymphoma and in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia, or ALL, in cooperation with National Cancer Institute Study Groups. In addition, we have exclusively licensed our product candidate veltuzumab, in the subcutaneous formulation, to Nycomed GmbH, or Nycomed, for the treatment of all non-cancer indications worldwide. We have retained the rights to develop, manufacture and commercialize veltuzumab in the field of oncology.

During the last fiscal year, we completed clinical trials with intravenous veltuzumab in patients with NHL, subcutaneous veltuzumab in patients with NHL, immune thrombocytopenia, or ITP, and chronic lymphocytic leukemia, or CLL, ⁹⁰Y-epratuzumab (yttrium-90 epratuzumab tetraxetan) for the therapy of patients with lymphoma, and we have initiated a dose-escalation study of our anti-CD74 antibody, milatuzumab, conjugated with the potent chemotherapeutic, doxorubicin, in patients with multiple myeloma. Milatuzumab-doxorubicin is the first product candidate from our antibody-drug conjugate, or ADC, program to enter human testing. In addition, milatuzumab, as a single unconjugated antibody, or in combination with veltuzumab, is being evaluated as a therapy for patients with multiple myeloma, or MM, CLL and NHL. We are also conducting a Phase Ib/II clinical trial of ⁹⁰Y-*h*PAM4 (yttrium-90 clivatuzumab tetraxetan) combined with gencitabine for treating patients with pancreatic cancer. In the first half of fiscal 2011, we plan to begin a new National Cancer Institute grant-supported study combining veltuzumab with Y-90 epratuzumab tetraxetan in patients with the aggressive form of NHL.

Our foremost clinical goals for fiscal year 2011 are the following:

- 1. Complete Phase Ib/II trial of clivatuzumab tetraxetan + gemcitabine in advanced, inoperable, untreated pancreatic cancer;
- 2. Continue Phase I trial of doxorubicin-milatuzumab in MM patients;
- 3. Complete design of registration trials and gain input from FDA/EMA for veltuzumab in NHL; and
- 4. Examine veltuzumab (subcutaneous) in relapsed CLL.

We also have a majority ownership in IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or IBC, which is developing a novel Dock-and-Lock methodology, or DNL, with us for making fusion proteins and multifunctional antibodies, as well as a new method of delivering imaging and therapeutic agents selectively to disease, especially different solid cancers (colorectal, lung, pancreas, breast, etc.), by proprietary, antibody-based, pretargeting methods. The

first DNL product to enter the clinic is TF2, which is in two early Phase I studies in patients with colorectal cancer. We believe that our portfolio of intellectual property, which includes approximately 150 patents issued in the United States and more than 375 other patents issued worldwide, protects our product candidates and technologies.

Therapeutic Product Candidates

We currently have antibody product candidates in clinical development targeting B-cell NHL, other B-cell mediated diseases, and various solid tumors. All of our therapeutic product candidates are humanized antibodies, which means that the portion of the antibody that is derived from mouse (murine) DNA sequences is generally less than 10%.

We believe that each of our antibodies has therapeutic potential either when administered as a naked antibody or when conjugated with therapeutic radioisotopes (radiolabeled), chemotherapeutics, cytokines or other toxins to create unique and potentially more effective treatment options. The attachment of various compounds to antibodies is intended to allow the delivery of these therapeutic agents to tumor sites with better specificity than conventional radiation therapy or chemotherapeutic approaches. This treatment method is designed to reduce the total exposure of the patient to the therapeutic agents, which ideally minimizes debilitating side effects. We are currently focusing our efforts on unlabeled, or naked antibodies and antibodies conjugated with drugs, cytokines, or toxins, and on the use of radioisotopes, such as Yttrium-90, sometimes referred to as Y-90, and Iodine-131, sometimes referred to as I-131.

We also have a number of other product candidates that target solid tumors and hematologic malignancies, as well as other diseases, in various stages of pre-clinical development, although it is too early to assess which of these, if any, will merit further evaluation in clinical trials. In an effort to permit an effective use of our resources, our clinical development focus has been reduced to five different antibodies in a limited number of indications.

CD22 Program: Epratuzumab

Our most advanced therapeutic product candidate, epratuzumab, is a humanized antibody which targets CD22, an antigen found on the surface of B-lymphocytes, a type of white blood cells. In contrast to some other B-cell antibodies, it appears that epratuzumab does not work by ablating all B cells, but instead by modulating them. Epratuzumab does not evoke substantial anti-epratuzumab antibodies in NHL patients, even after repeated dosing, making it a potentially good candidate for treating patients with a chronic, autoimmune disease. As noted above, we have licensed epratuzumab to UCB for the treatment of all autoimmune disease indications worldwide. We have retained the rights for oncology indications for which UCB has been granted a buy-in option.

In 2008, UCB initiated a Phase IIb clinical trial in SLE. This autoimmune disease is chronic and potentially fatal, with a variable and unpredictable course. It can affect any part of the body, but most often harms the heart, joints, skin, lungs, blood vessels, liver, kidneys and nervous system, and is characterized by periods of flares, or exacerbations, interspersed with periods of improvement or remission. Although the exact function of CD22 is not fully understood, it is known to be involved in B-cell development, function and survival. B cells are known to contribute to SLE symptoms by producing antibodies against the body s own tissues, causing the body s immune system to turn on itself, attacking cells and tissue, and resulting in inflammation and tissue damage.

The Phase IIb study was completed in fiscal year 2010 after enrolling 227 lupus patients, 30% of which had moderate disease activity and 70% had severe disease activity in multiple organ systems. These patients were randomized to receive 1 of 5 epratuzumab treatments or placebo. The primary objective was to assess the dose response and the dose frequency for epratuzumab.

Results from this study were presented in June 2010, at the Annual Congress of the European League Against Rheumatism and the 9th International Congress on Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. Overall, all epratuzumab treatment groups had higher responder rates than placebo. In particular, a cumulative dose of 2400 mg of epratuzumab demonstrated clinically meaningful improvements in disease activity in patients with moderately or severely active SLE at 12 weeks, with responder rates twice those of placebo. Moreover, difference in responder rates were observed as early as week 8 after treatment, with further improvement at week 12.

Detailed analysis revealed that epratuzumab at a weekly dose of 600 mg for 4 weeks was associated with greater BILAG improvement, ranging from A (very active), B (moderate activity), C (mild activity) or D (no activity but previously affected)) than placebo in all 6 affected body systems for which data were available. Within specific body systems, most patients had symptom reduction or absence of active disease after treatment. Efficacy was particularly prominent in cardiorespiratory and neuropsychiatric systems, in which symptom improvements are often difficult to achieve. Epratuzumab was well tolerated, with a similar incidence of severe adverse events and infusion reactions as placebo, and no new safety concerns were identified.

Also reported at these conferences was the observation that epratuzumab had no effect on the capacity to raise an antibody response to challenge antigens in animal models, despite the fact that it caused a mild reduction in B cells. This might indicate that the efficacy of epratuzumab in SLE patients is unlikely to be compromised by a reduced capacity to generate an adaptive immune response. Based on these results, UCB plans to initiate two Phase III studies of epratuzumab for the treatment of patients with moderate or severe SLE in the first half of fiscal year 2011. Epratuzumab has received Fast Track Product designation from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, for the treatment of patients with moderate or severe SLE.

CD20 Program: Veltuzumab

Similar to CD22, CD20 is an antigen that is expressed on B-lymphocytes. Constructed using the same donor frameworks as epratuzumab, veltuzumab is an anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody having 90-95% human antibody sequences. Current biological therapy with monoclonal antibodies for NHL includes rituximab (world-wide sales in 2009 of \$5.0 billion), a chimeric antibody comprised of one-third mouse and two-thirds human protein that binds to the CD20 antigen.

On July 11, 2008, we entered into a license and collaboration agreement with Nycomed (the Nycomed Agreement) providing Nycomed an exclusive worldwide license to develop, manufacture, and commercialize veltuzumab in the subcutaneous formulation, for the treatment of all non-cancer indications. Under the terms of the Nycomed Agreement, we retain the right to develop veltuzumab in the field of oncology and have the right to co-promote veltuzumab for the immune thrombocytopenia, or ITP, indication in the United States. Nycomed has disclosed that it is their intention to pursue rheumatoid arthritis, or RA, as the primary indication. Nycomed has announced its plans to initiate a Phase II study for RA during the second half of calendar year 2010.

We have completed a multicenter, open-label, Phase I/II study evaluating veltuzumab s efficacy in chronic ITP patients at low doses, which triggered the first milestone payment from Nycomed. A second payment from Nycomed was also received for reaching a clinical milestone related to development in the RA indication.

Results from the ITP study were presented at the 51st Annual Meeting of the American Society of Hematology, or ASH, in December 2009. At the time of reporting, 29 adult chronic-ITP patients with platelet counts below 30 x 10⁹/L who failed at least one standard therapy had been treated with two veltuzumab doses administered two weeks apart. Seven patients received the initial intravenous formulation at one of three dose levels given once every other week for two infusions: 80, 120 or 200 mg. One patient had an infusion reaction and discontinued treatment. Twenty-two patients received subcutaneous injections of veltuzumab at one of three dose levels given twice at weeks one and three: 80, 160 or 320 mg. The injections were well tolerated with no grade 3-4 adverse events reported.

All patients were evaluated over a 12-week period, with responding patients continuing in long-term follow-up. Patients with platelet levels higher than 150×10^9 /L measured on two separate occasions, at least one week apart, were classified as complete responders. Those with measurements between 50-150 x 10^9 /L were considered partial responders, and minor responses were between $30-50 \times 10^9$ /L.

The overall response rate (minor, partial and complete responses) in 26 evaluable patients was 69%, with 23% of patients having a complete response. Seven patients who had been diagnosed with ITP one year or less had the highest objective and complete response rates of 100% and 60%, respectively. Responses occurred across all doses tested, including the lowest dose of two times 80 mg, regardless of the route of administration. More importantly, 5 of 6 patients who have had complete responses to veltuzumab continue to maintain their increased platelet levels, with 3 patients continuing for over one year.

We have also completed an open-label, multi-center, Phase I/II trial using the subcutaneous formulation of veltuzumab in NHL and CLL. Results from this study were presented at the 2009 Annual Meeting of ASH. At the time of reporting, 17 NHL patients and 11 CLL patients had been enrolled to receive 4 injections of veltuzumab given 2 weeks apart at dose levels of 80, 160, or 320 mg. Efficacy was assessed at 4 and 12 weeks post treatment, with responding patients continuing follow-up.

For the 15 evaluable NHL patients reported at the conference, 53% had an objective response, and 27% had a complete response. In follicular lymphoma, 7 of 12 patients (58%) had objective responses, with 3 patients (25%) having complete responses. These findings were comparable to the Phase II results we had published using the intravenous formulation. Moreover, 7 of the 8 objective responders are currently continuing in remission, now up to 6 months after treatment. Thus, despite the small number of patients, it appears that the subcutaneous formulation of veltuzumab may be active against NHL.

For CLL, in the 9 patients with response assessments available, high levels of circulating leukemic cells substantially reduced the serum veltuzumab levels. As a result, more frequent and prolonged dosing is likely required and will be explored in patients with CLL. Overall, there were no objective responses, but 5 patients (56%) showed stable disease for up to 12 weeks.

Yttrium-90 Clivatuzumab Tetraxetan Program

Yttrium-90 clivatuzumab tetraxetan, or *h*PAM4 labeled with Y-90, is our therapeutic product candidate for patients with pancreatic cancer. It is a humanized monoclonal antibody highly specific for pancreatic cancer. Preclinical studies in mice with transplanted human pancreatic cancer have demonstrated that the antibody labeled with Y-90 has activity by itself, as well as in combination with gemcitabine, a radiosensitizing chemotherapeutic that is commonly used to treat patients with this disease. Yttrium-90 clivatuzumab tetraxetan has Orphan Drug status in both the US and the European Union, and fast-track status in the US for the treatment of pancreatic cancer.

Our current study is a Phase Ib, open-label, dose escalation of yttrium-90 clivatuzumab tetraxetan administered as fractionated, multi-doses, in combination with gencitabine as frontline therapy for patients with Stage III or Stage IV metastatic pancreatic cancer. We presented updated results from this study at the Annual Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology, or ASCO, in June 2010. Forty-one treatment-naïve patients, of which all but 1 had stage 4 or metastatic pancreatic cancer, were enrolled to receive 1 of 4 fractionated Y-90 doses: 6.5, 9.0, 12.0 and 15.0 mCi/m², given once-a-week for 3 weeks in combination with 200 mg/m² of gencitabine as a radiosensitizing agent.

In 37 evaluable patients, the disease control rate for all dose groups combined was 57%, including 6 patients (16%) with partial responses, or PR, by RECIST criteria (i.e., responses showing decreases in tumor size of more than 30% by CT and in the absence of new lesions), and 16 patients (41%) with stable disease, or SD. Most

promising efficacy was observed in the group of 16 patients that received 12 mCi/m² of Y-90 weekly for 3 weeks, with a 69% disease control rate (19% PR and 50% SD). In addition, anecdotal reports indicated patients have good performance and decreased pain medication after treatment.

The study also showed that responses increased with higher doses of Y-90, since there were 19% PR in patients given 12 mCi/m² weekly for 3 weeks compared with 8% at the 9 mCi/m² dose level. In spite of higher cumulative Y-90 doses, treatment was well tolerated with few non-hematologic side effects. Hematologic suppression was manageable without major infections or bleeding events, and reversible in most patients, even after 4 treatment cycles. We are continuing to enroll patients into the Phase Ib/II trial, which is designed to evaluate the fixed Y-90 dose of 12mCi/m² and increasing doses of gemcitabine.

CD74 Program: Milatuzumab

CD74 is a transmembrane protein that is highly expressed in multiple myeloma and other B-cell lymphomas and leukemias, and in certain solid tumors. It actively directs transport from the cell surface to an endosomal compartment and, as such, is a unique target for antibody-drug conjugate therapy. Also, recent evidence supports a role for CD74 as a signaling molecule in B-cell lymphoma survival. We have observed high expression of CD74 in human NHL, CLL and MM clinical specimens and cell lines, and have developed milatuzumab, a naked humanized antibody targeting the CD74 antigen, using the same constant regions of the heavy and light chains as epratuzumab, for the therapy of MM, NHL and CLL. Clinical trials are ongoing in CLL, evaluating milatuzumab as a single agent, and in NHL, where milatuzumab is administered in combination with veltuzumab. Both of these trials are investigator-sponsored studies.

Previously, milatuzumab was evaluated in Phase I/II multicenter clinical trials for its safety and tolerability in patients with MM and was found to produce disease stabilization for at least 12 weeks post-treatment in 5 of 24 patients who had been heavily pretreated (previously treated with other drugs), with one patient continuing for more than 8 months. More importantly, milatuzumab was well tolerated at doses up to 16.0 mg/kg.

These encouraging results were used to support the successful filing of an investigational new drug application, or IND, with the FDA to initiate a Phase I/II clinical trial of the doxorubicin-milatuzumab conjugate for the treatment of patients with MM. The open-label, multi-center Phase I/II study aims to evaluate the safety and tolerability of this drug-conjugated antibody in patients with recurrent or refractory MM, and to obtain preliminary information on efficacy, pharmacokinetics, and immunogenicity.

The antibody-drug conjugate will be administered intravenously on days 1, 4, 8, and 11 every 21 days for up to 8 treatment cycles. Four different dose levels of the doxorubicin conjugate of milatuzumab will be studied in groups of 3-6 patients. Once an optimal dose has been found, up to an additional 30 patients will be studied at that dose level. This clinical trial has been initiated and is currently enrolling eligible patients with MM.

Antibody-targeted selective delivery of anticancer drugs against antigens expressed on cancer cells can potentially improve the therapeutic index of anticancer drugs. This product candidate is the Company s first antibody-drug conjugate to enter human studies.

Yttrium-90 Epratuzumab Tetraxetan Program

Yttrium-90 epratuzumab tetraxetan is our radiolabeled CD22 antibody product candidate for patients with NHL. Radioimmunotherapy, or RAIT, combines the targeting power of monoclonal antibodies with the cell-damaging ability of localized radiation. When infused into a patient, these radiation-carrying antibodies circulate in the body until they locate and bind to the surface of specific cells, and then deliver their cytotoxic radiation more directly to the cells. This therapy, unlike chemotherapy, mainly selects cancer cells, has fewer side effects, and may be administered on an outpatient basis in the U.S.

Results from a Phase I/II European study evaluating fractionated dosing of Y-90 epratuzumab tetraxetan (two or three weekly infusions of Y-90 epratuzumab tetraxetan) in 64 adult patients with relapsed/refractory NHL, including 17 patients who underwent prior autologous stem-cell transplantation, or ASCT, were recently reported in the Journal of Clinical Oncology. The overall response rate, or OR, (partial and complete responses) and median progression-free survival, or PFS, in 61 evaluable patients was 62% and 9.5 months, respectively, with 48% of patients having a complete response, or CR. Patients without prior ASCT obtained high OR rates of 71%, with 55% of this subgroup of patients achieving a CR. More importantly, responses were seen across all different types of NHL and Y-90 doses. Patients with prior ASCT received lower doses, but achieved OR and CR rates of 41% and 29%, respectively.

For relapsed follicular lymphoma (FL) patients, treatment with fractionated Y-90 doses greater than 30 mCi/m² was particularly effective, with 100% of patients responding to the treatment and 92% reporting a complete response. For the 12 complete responders who had not undergone ASCT, the median PFS was 24.6 months. Furthermore, patients with FL refractory to prior anti-CD20 containing regimens, achieved OR and CR rates of 90% (9 of 10 patients) with a median PFS of 18.3 months. The highest cumulative Y-90 fractionated dose level reported in this study was 45 mCi/m², which is more than two-fold higher than the maximum allowable single dose of 32 mCi currently approved for ibritumomab tiuxetan.

Y-90 epratuzumab tetraxetan will be studied in a new Phase I/II clinical trial for the therapy of patients with aggressive NHL in combining with veltuzumab in the first half of fiscal 2011. This trial is supported by the National Cancer Institute s, or NCI, Small Business Innovation Research, or SBIR, grant program.

Diagnostic Imaging Products

We have transitioned our focus away from the development and commercialization of new diagnostic imaging products in order to accelerate the development of our therapeutic product candidates, although we continue to manufacture and commercialize LeukoScan[®] in territories where regulatory approvals have previously been granted. LeukoScan is indicated for diagnostic imaging to determine the location and extent of infection/inflammation in bone in patients with suspected osteomyelitis, including patients with diabetic foot ulcers.

Research and Development Programs

We have historically invested heavily in our research and development programs, spending approximately \$19.9 million for these programs during fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, \$21.5 million for fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 and \$22.2 million during fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. The expense reduction during the 2010 fiscal year resulted primarily from the increased level of expense reimbursement received from Nycomed and lower patent-related expenses, partially offset by increased purchases of materials and supplies, higher spending for clinical trials as well as increased salaries and employee benefits. The expense reduction during the 2009 fiscal year as compared to 2008 was primarily from expense reimbursements received from Nycomed, partially offset by additional employees and related salaries and employee benefits. The above discussion is a brief summary of our principal research and development programs as of August 23, 2010.

Other Antibody-Directed Therapy Approaches

Our majority-owned subsidiary, IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or IBC, has been working on the development of novel cancer therapeutics, including radioimmunotherapeutics using patented pretargeting technologies with proprietary, bispecific antibodies. They include tumor-targeting antibodies with multiple binding-arms and new carrier peptides that allow attachment of different kinds of therapeutic and diagnostic isotopes.

One of the new bispecific antibodies is TF2, an antibody constructed using our proprietary protein engineering platform technology, called Dock-and-Lock, or DNL. It specifically targets the carcinoembryonic

antigen, or CEA (specifically CEACAM5) expressed in many human cancers, including colorectal cancer. Unlike conventional antibodies which can only attach to the receptor, TF2 has been modified to contain an additional binding site that recognizes a radioisotope-carrying peptide. This allows the separate administration of TF2 before the delivery of radioisotope, a concept known as pretargeting.

TF2 is currently in two investigator-sponsored studies in the U.S. and Europe for pretargeted imaging and radioimmunotherapy of colorectal cancer. Our preclinical experience with TF2 pretargeted radiation therapy was encouraging. In animals bearing CEA-expressing human colonic tumors, pretargeted therapy with TF2 and a small peptide extended median survival from 13 days in untreated animals to 65 days in one model, representing a 5-fold increase in survival, and from 25 days to 48 days in another model, an almost 2-fold increase in survival. Bone marrow and kidney toxicities were temporary and mild, with body weight remaining greater than 93% of baseline in all animals.

The ultimate goal of IBC is to offer cancer patients a more individualized treatment by combining improved molecular imaging with targeted therapy. Demonstrated tumor localization in imaging studies may predict a more appropriate group of patients that would respond to the subsequent therapy.

Peptides

Since the pretargeting methods being developed with IBC are showing very high tumor/normal tissue ratios, we have been working on creating a new class of diagnostic imaging agents using both traditional gamma-emitting isotopes, such as technetium-99m (Tc-99m), and positron-emitting isotopes, such as fluorine-18 (F-18) and gallium-68 (Ga-68). In 2008, we developed a facile method for the radiolabeling of peptides with F-18, and published the results in the June 2009 issue of the Journal of Nuclear Medicine. This is the first method of binding F-18 to peptides via an aluminum conjugate.

The method has since been successfully applied to a bispecific antibody pretargeting study in animals injected with human colonic cancer. Moreover, F-18 labeled peptides were shown to be stable enough to produce exceptional PET images of receptor-expressing tumors in animals by labeling of specific peptides binding such receptors. Our goal is to improve the labeling process to the point where we will be capable of radiolabeling these peptides at clinical-scale using single-vial kits, then license the platform technology to companies on a product-by-product basis.

In two follow-on studies that were presented at the 2010 Annual Meeting of the Society of Nuclear Medicine, or SNM, the F-18 labeling efficiency was improved and the method was reduced to a simple kit. By using this F-18 kit, a pretargeting peptide was radiolabeled and purified within about 30 minutes with a high specific activity. The peptide was injected into nude mice bearing human colonic cancer pretargeted with TF2. Specific tumor uptake was observed at 1 and 3 hours post-injection, with high tumor/nontumor ratios (150±137, 89±75, 22±9, and 5.4±1.8 for blood, liver, scapula, and kidney, respectively) obtained at 1 hour.

The second study involved the peptide known as octreotide, which has an affinity for neuroendocrine and other tumors. F-18 labeled octreotide was prepared within 45 minutes with acceptable yields. Biodistribution studies in mice bearing human pancreatic cancer showed rapid tumor uptake of F-18 labeled octreotide at 2 hours, which could be blocked by an excess of unlabeled peptide, proving specific targeting. Moreover, PET/CT scans showed excellent tumor delineation, as well as some retention in the kidney cortex. The novel F-18 labeling method is now protected by 2 patents in the United States, and is under patent prosecution in many major international markets.

In related work, similar synthetic methods have also been used to prepare peptides that can be radiolabeled with Tc-99m, Ga-68, Indium-111, Lutetium-177, and Yttrium-90, which are being applied to the bispecific pretargeting technology that is being developed through IBC.

Dock-and-Lock Platform Technology

Together with IBC, we have developed a new platform technology, called the Dock-and-Lock technology, or DNL, which has the potential for making a considerable number of bioactive molecules of increasing complexity. DNL utilizes the natural interaction between two human proteins, cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase, or PKA, and A-kinase anchoring proteins, or AKAPs. The region that is involved in such interaction for PKA is called the dimerization and docking domain, or DDD, which always is produced in pairs. Its binding partner in AKAPs is the anchoring domain, or AD. When mixed together, DDD and AD will bind with each other spontaneously to form a binary complex, a process termed docking. Once docked, certain amino acid residues incorporated into DDD and AD will react with each other to lock them into a stably-tethered structure. The outcome of the DNL method is the exclusive generation of a stable complex, in a quantitative manner that retains the full biological activities of its individual components. Diverse drugs, chemical polymers, proteins, peptides, and nucleic acids are among suitable components that can be linked to either DDD or AD. Since DDD always appears in pairs, any component that is linked to DDD will have two copies present in the final products. A description of the DNL platform technology was published in the September 15, 2007, Supplement issue of *Clinical Cancer Research*.

The DNL method judiciously combines conjugation chemistry and genetic engineering to enable the creation of novel human therapeutics, and the potential construction of improved recombinant products over those currently on the market. To that end, we have created a number of novel agents that include DNL-PEGylated cytokines, such as alpha interferon linked to our proprietary humanized antibodies, as well as tetravalent and hexavalent mono- and bispecific antibodies.

Two new classes of novel agents created by DNL for targeted cancer therapy were presented at the 101st Annual Meeting of the American Association for Cancer Research in April 2010. The first group of protein constructs was ribonuclease (Rap)-based immunotoxins. In all, 4 antibody-Rap conjugates were presented at the conference, with each conjugate containing 4 copies of Rap linked to a specific site on one of our 4 humanized antibodies: epratuzumab (anti-CD22), veltuzumab (anti-CD20) IMMU-114 (anti-HLA-DR), and hRS7, an internalizing, humanized, anti-TROP-2 antibody. In preclinical studies using human tumor cell lines, all 4 conjugates were more potent than their respective parental antibodies, given either alone or in combination with Rap.

The second novel protein complex, designated as E1-L-thP1, was developed for targeted delivery of small interfering ribonucleic acids, or siRNAs, to diverse solid cancers. E1-L-thP1 is made up of hRS7 linked with 4 copies of human protamine, which are small proteins that bind to nucleic acids and, as such, are good candidates for delivering siRNAs to target cells.

RNA interference, or RNA*i*, is a natural process in cells in which short RNA molecules control which genes are active. In preclinical settings, RNA*i* has been shown to shut down the production of a number of cancer-related proteins. Despite the capability of RNA*i* to silence specific genes, the full therapeutic potential of RNA*i* remains to be realized due to a paucity of effective delivery system to target cells *in vivo*.

In preclinical studies, E1-L-thP1 was found to internalize in cancer cells that express the TROP-2 antigen, suggesting the binding ability of hRS7 remains intact in the fusion protein. More importantly, it effectively delivered siRNAs into TROP-2-expressing cancer cells, causing cell death. Further evaluation of the *in vitro* and *in vivo* efficacy of E1-L-thP1 for the delivery of CD74- and CEACAM6-specific siRNAs to treat TROP-2-expressing pancreatic cancer is ongoing.

As with all candidate therapeutic molecules developed by IBC or Immunomedics, the safety and potential efficacy cannot be predicted until sufficient trials in humans have been conducted.

Patents and Proprietary Rights

Our Patents

We have accumulated a sizeable portfolio of patents and patent applications in the course of our research, which we believe constitutes a very valuable business asset. The major patents relate primarily to our therapeutic product candidates as well as our technologies and other discoveries for which no product candidate has yet been identified. As of August 23, 2010, our portfolio included 150 issued U.S. patents. In addition, as of such date the portfolio included more than 300 issued foreign patents, with a number of U.S. and foreign patent applications pending.

The chart below highlights our material patents and product groups as of June 30, 2010 the major jurisdictions and relevant expiration periods.

Program & Product Group CD22 Program Epratuzumab	Description/Targeted Antigen Unlabeled Antibody CD22	Patent Expiration 2014 2020	Major Jurisdictions USA, Europe, Japan
CD20 Program Veltuzumab	Unlabeled Antibody CD20	2023	USA, Europe, Japan
PAM4 Program Yttrium Y 90 Clivatuzumab Tetraxetan	Y-90 Labeled Antibody PAM4	2023	USA, Europe, Japan
CD74 Program Milatuzumab	Unlabeled Antibody CD74	2024	USA, Europe, Japan
DNL Program TF2 <i>Our Licenses</i>	Carcinoembryonic Antigen (CEACAM5) Antibody	2026	USA, Europe, Japan

We have obtained licenses from various parties for rights to use, develop and commercialize proprietary technologies and compounds. Currently, we have the following licenses:

Medical Research Council, or MRC We entered into a license agreement with MRC in May 1994, whereby we have obtained a license for certain patent rights with respect to the genetic engineering on monoclonal antibodies. Our agreement does not require any milestone payments, nor have we made any payments to MRC to date. Our agreement with MRC, which expires at the expiration of the last of the licensed patents in 2020, provides for future royalty payments to be made based on a percentage of product sales.

Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology, or CMMI We have entered into a license agreement with CMMI in December 2004, whereby we have licensed certain rights with respect to patents and patent applications owned by CMMI. Dr. Goldenberg, our Chief Medical Officer, Chief Scientific Officer and Chairman of our Board of Directors, is the founder, President and member of the Board of Trustees of CMMI. No license or milestone payments are required under this agreement. Under the license agreement, which expires at the expiration of the last of the licensed patents in 2023, CMMI will receive future royalty payments in the low single digits based on a percentage of sales of products that are derived from the CMMI patents. Under the license agreement we are able to decide which patent related expenses we will support. For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we have made payments for CMMI legal expenses regarding patent-related matters of \$49,000, \$29,000 and \$95,000, respectively; however any inventions made independently of us by CMMI are the property of CMMI.

Alexis Biotech Limited, or Alexis We have entered into a collaboration and license agreement with Alexis in July 2009. Alexis has developed antibody-HLA technology for immunotherapy and immunodiagnosis of various diseases and we have developed a platform technology referred to as Dock-and-Lock method that allows the recombination of independent biological or synthetic materials. The companies have entered into a collaboration agreement for the development of a recombinant product combining Alexis antibody HLA technology with our proprietary Dock-and-Lock method.

Our agreement does not require any milestone payments, nor have we made or received any payments with Alexis to date. Both companies will share in the development costs and we will have first worldwide commercialization rights of products derived from the partnership. Our agreement with Alexis, which expires at the expiration of the last of the licensed patents and the expiration of the royalty term for any and all unilateral products developed under this agreement, provides for future royalty payments to be made based on a percentage of product sales.

GE Healthcare LTD, or GEHC We entered into a license and collaboration agreement with GEHC in August 2010. GEHC provides transformational medical technologies and services in medical imaging and information technologies, medical diagnostics, patient monitoring systems, drug discovery, biopharmaceutical manufacturing technologies, performance improvement and performance solutions services. The collaboration agreement is for the evaluation of labeling technology meets with GEHC s application needs. The collaboration agreement provides for an upfront payment to Immunomedics and expense reimbursement for the project, not to exceed \$100,000. This agreement will remain in force for a period of two years.

Our Trademarks

The mark IMMUNOMEDICS is registered in the U.S. and 19 foreign countries and a European Community Trademark has been granted. Our logo is also registered in the U.S. and in two foreign countries. The mark IMMUSTRIP is registered in the U.S. and Canada. The mark LEUKOSCAN is registered in the U.S. and nine foreign countries, and a European Community Trademark has been granted. In addition, we have applied for registration in the U.S. for several other trademarks for use on products now in development or testing, and for corresponding foreign and/or European Community Trademark for certain of those marks. The marks EPRATUCYN and VELTUCYN have been allowed in the U.S., and International Trademark Registrations and Canadian applications which claim priority to the respective U.S. applications have been filed for EPRATUCYN and VELTUCYN. The International Registrations request registration in China, Japan and the European Union. Applications have been filed in the U.S. for CLIVATUCYN and MILATUCYN.

Our Trade Secrets

We also rely upon unpatented trade secrets, and there is no assurance that others will not independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information and techniques or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets or disclose such technology, or that such rights can be meaningfully protected. We require our employees, consultants, outside scientific collaborators, sponsored researchers and other advisers to execute confidentiality agreements upon the commencement of employment or consulting relationships with us. These agreements provide that all confidential information developed or made known to the individual during the course of the individual s relationship with us is to be kept confidential and not disclosed to third parties except in specific circumstances. In the case of our employees, the agreement provides that all inventions conceived by such employees shall be our exclusive property. There can be no assurance, however, that these agreements will provide meaningful protection or adequate remedies for our trade secrets in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of such information.

Third Party Rights

Our success also depends in part on our ability to gain access to third party patent and proprietary rights and to operate our business without infringing on third party patent rights. We may be required to obtain licenses to patents or other proprietary rights from third parties to develop, manufacture and commercialize our product candidates. Licenses required under third-party patents or proprietary rights may not be available on terms acceptable to us, if at all. If we do not obtain the required licenses, we could encounter delays in product development while we attempt to redesign products or methods or we could be unable to develop, manufacture or sell products requiring these licenses at all.

Strategic Partnering and Relationships

Nycomed GmbH

During fiscal year 2010, under the terms of the Nycomed Agreement, we received two milestone payments of \$5.0 million each from Nycomed for completing a Phase I/II study in ITP and for developments related to the RA indication. The Nycomed Agreement also provides us with an option to co-promote veltuzumab for the treatment of ITP in the United States. We also received an initial cash payment of \$40.0 million in fiscal 2009.

Nycomed is a privately owned pharmaceutical company that provides medicines for hospitals, specialists and general practitioners, as well as over-the-counter medicines in selected markets. Nycomed stated that, as veltuzumab is the first anti-CD20 with a subcutaneous administration tested in clinical trials, it has the potential to contribute to an improved safety profile versus the currently intravenously administered anti-CD20s. The subcutaneous formulation of veltuzumab should avoid infusion-related side effects and increase patient and physician convenience. Nycomed believes that anti-CD20 s antibodies are considered to be one of the strongest growing segments within the RA market and offer additional market potential by extending into other autoimmune and inflammatory diseases.

UCB, S.A.

Under the terms of the UCB Agreement, UCB is solely responsible for the development, manufacturing and commercialization of epratuzumab for the treatment of all autoimmune indications and for the continuation of ongoing clinical trials in SLE. Under the terms of the agreement Immunomedics was responsible for supplying epratuzumab for the completion of clinical trials relating to SLE, the Sjogren s Phase II Clinical Trial and the SLE Open Label Study as defined in the UCB Agreement. In August 2009, UCB relieved us of our remaining obligation to supply UCB with any further supplies. Therefore, during fiscal 2010 we recorded as revenue the remainder of the \$31.1 million of deferred revenue from UCB.

Other Collaborations

On July 24, 2009, we entered into a partnership and cross-licensing agreement with Alexis Biotech Ltd., London, England, to jointly develop targeted vaccines against cancers that include melanoma and chronic lymphocytic leukemia, and infectious diseases, such as AIDS. The development will combine the DNL technology with the proprietary HLA-antibody targeting technology from Alexis Biotech. Under the terms of the agreement there were no payments exchanged between parties. Both companies will share in the development costs and we will have first worldwide commercialization rights to products derived from the partnership. There are no near term material cash commitments as a result of this agreement.

On August 12, 2010, we entered into a license and collaboration agreement with GE Healthcare LTD. The collaboration agreement is for the evaluation of labeling techniques based on our patented F-18 peptide labeling method, and is to determine whether our proprietary labeling technology meets with GE Healthcare s application needs. The collaboration agreement provides for an upfront payment to Immunomedics and expense reimbursement for the project, not to exceed \$100,000. This agreement will remain in force for a period of two years.

We conduct research on a number of our programs in collaboration with CMMI and its clinical unit, the Garden State Cancer Center. CMMI performs contracted pilot and pre-clinical trials in scientific areas of importance to us and also conducts basic research and pre-clinical evaluations in a number of areas of potential interest to us. Dr. David M. Goldenberg, our Chairman of the Board and Chief Scientific Officer and Chief Medical Officer, is the President and a Member of the Board of Trustees of CMMI.

The following table indicates the new research grant awards we received from the National Institute of Health (NIH) during the 2010 fiscal year.

Grant Project	Award Period		Total \$ Grant Award	
F-18 Labeled Peptides for Pretargeted PET Imaging of Pancreatic Cancer	9/24/09	7/31/11	\$	1,122,961
Combined radio-and immunotherapy of aggressive NHL	9/21/09	7/31/12		860,000*
Novel multivalent/multifunctional agents derived from a humanized anti-insulin-like growth factor				
receptor-I (IGF-IR) monoclonal antibody (mAb) for treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma	6/1/10	5/21/11		192,688
Development of an interferon-alpha veltuzumab conjugate	9/01/09	3/31/10		133,835
Total for NIH Grants approved in FY 2010			\$	2,309,484

* Additional funding is available if program milestones are met.

We also collaborate with numerous other academic and research centers. Our academic collaborators have included such institutions as the Erasme University Hospital, Brussels, Belgium; University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands; Institut national de la sante et de la recherche medicale, or INSERM, Nantes, France; University Medical Center Göttingen, Germany; St. Bartholomew s Hospital, London, England; New York Presbyterian Hospital Weill Cornell Medical College; University of Ohio Cancer Center; M.D. Anderson Cancer Center; and Roswell Park Cancer Institute. We believe such academic research collaboration may identify new and improved products and techniques for diagnosing and treating various cancers and infectious diseases.

Government Regulation

Regulatory Compliance

Our research and development activities, including testing in laboratory animals and in humans, our manufacture of antibodies, as well as the handling, labeling and storage of the product candidates that we are developing, are all subject to stringent regulation, primarily by the FDA in the U.S. and by comparable authorities in other countries. If for any reason we are unable to comply with applicable requirements there will likely occur various adverse consequences, including one or more delays in approval, or even the refusal to approve, product licenses or other applications, the suspension or termination of clinical investigations, the revocation of approvals previously granted, as well as fines, criminal prosecution, recall or seizure of products, injunctions against shipping products and total or partial suspension of production and/or refusal to allow us to enter into governmental supply contracts.

The process of obtaining requisite FDA approval is costly and time consuming even in the best of circumstances. For a new human drug or biological product to be marketed in the United States, current FDA requirements include: (i) the successful conclusion of pre-clinical tests to gain preliminary information on the product s safety; (ii) the filing with the FDA of an IND to conduct human clinical trials for drugs or biologics; (iii) the successful completion of human clinical investigations to establish the safety and efficacy of the product candidate for its intended indication; and (iv) the filing and then acceptance and approval by the FDA of a New Drug Application, or NDA, for a drug product, or a Biological License Application, or BLA, for a biological product, in either case to allow commercial distribution of the drug or biologic.

Orphan Drug Act

To date, we have successfully obtained Orphan Drug designation by the FDA under the Orphan Drug Act of 1983 for epratuzumab for non-Hodgkin s lymphoma, yttrium-90-labeled PAM4 for pancreatic cancer, labetuzumab for ovarian, pancreatic and small cell lung cancers, and milatuzumab for multiple myeloma and chronic lymphocytic leukemia. There can be no assurance, however, that our competitors will not receive approval of other different drugs or biologics for treatment of the diseases for which our products and product candidates are targeted.

Other Regulatory Considerations

We are also subject to regulation under the Occupational Safety and Health Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, The Clean Air Act, and other current and potential future federal, state, or local regulations. Our research and development activities involve the controlled use of hazardous materials, chemicals, biological materials and various radioactive compounds. We believe that our procedures comply with the standards prescribed by state and federal regulations; however, the risk of injury or accidental contamination cannot be completely eliminated.

We are subject to the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, which prohibits corporations and individuals from engaging in certain activities to obtain or retain business or to influence a person working in an official capacity. Under this act, it is illegal to pay, offer to pay, or authorize the payment of anything of value to any foreign government official, government staff member, political party or political candidate in an attempt to obtain or retain business or to otherwise influence a person working in an official capacity. Our present and future business has been and will continue to be subject to various other laws and regulations.

Pricing Controls

The levels of revenues and profitability of biopharmaceutical companies may be affected by the continuing efforts of government and third party payers to contain or reduce the costs of health care through various means. For example, in certain foreign markets, pricing reimbursement or profitability of therapeutic and other pharmaceutical products is subject to governmental control. In the U.S., there have been, and we expect that there will continue to be, a number of federal and state proposals to implement similar governmental pricing control. While we cannot predict whether any such legislative or regulatory proposals will be adopted, the adoption of such proposals could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and profitability.

Third Party Reimbursement

In addition, in the U.S. and elsewhere, sales of therapeutic and other pharmaceutical products are dependent in part on the availability of reimbursement to the consumer from third party payers, such as government and private insurance plans. Third party payers are increasingly challenging the prices charged for medical products and services. We cannot assure you that any of our products will be considered cost effective and that reimbursement to the consumer will be available or will be sufficient to allow us to sell our products on a competitive and profitable basis.

Competition

Competition in the biopharmaceutical industry is intense and based significantly on scientific and technological factors such as the availability of patent and other protection for technology and products, the ability to commercialize technological developments and the ability to obtain governmental approval for testing, manufacturing and marketing. We compete with specialized biopharmaceutical firms in the United States, Europe and elsewhere, as well as a growing number of large pharmaceutical companies. A number of companies, including Biogen Idec, Genentech/Hoffmann-LaRoche, Glaxo SmithKline, Human Genome Sciences, Seattle Genetics, Trubion Pharmaceuticals, Zymogenetics, Merck Serono, Genmab, Medarex, Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Bayer Schering Pharma, Pfizer, AstraZeneca, Sanofi Adventis and Eli Lilly are engaged in the development of therapeutic autoimmune and oncology products. Many of these companies have significantly greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do. Many major pharmaceutical companies. These companies, as well as academic institutions, governmental agencies and private research organizations, also compete with us in recruiting and retaining highly qualified scientific, technical and professional personnel and consultants. Our ability to compete successfully with other companies in the biopharmaceutical field will also depend to a considerable degree on the continuing availability of capital to us.

Marketing, Sales and Distribution

At present we have only limited marketing and sale capabilities as we focus our efforts on developing our therapeutic product candidates. We will continue to manufacture and market LeukoScan with our sales force and provide technical support directly to customers. We also have agreements with third parties to market LeukoScan[®] that provide customer support and distribution of the products.

Our European operations are headquartered in Darmstadt, Germany. We have also established sales representation in most major European markets. We service other markets through the appointment of local organizations that provide sales and marketing support as well as local product redistribution. We have a distribution agreement with Logosys Logistik GmbH, whereby Logosys packages and distributes LeukoScan[®] in the European Union.

Manufacturing

We operate a large-scale bioreactor facility at our Morris Plains, New Jersey, location. This facility is used for the production of all of our therapeutic product candidates for clinical trials, and potentially for commercial quantities as well.

We manufacture LeukoScan[®] for commercial sale at our facility in Morris Plains, New Jersey. The Committee on Proprietary Medicinal Products of the European Commission approved the manufacturing facility and product manufacturing processes for LeukoScan in May 1998. In April 2005, we entered into an agreement with BAG GmbH, Lich, Germany for the final formulation, fill and lyophilization of Leukoscan. We also perform antibody processing and purification of all our therapeutic product candidates at this facility. We have scaled-up our antibody purification and fragmentation manufacturing processes for our diagnostic imaging agents to permit us to produce commercial levels of product.

As part of the Nycomed Agreement we are responsible for the manufacture and sale to Nycomed for veltuzumab for a supply level indicated in the Nycomed Agreement at a price as defined in the Nycomed Agreement. As part of the UCB Agreement we were responsible for the manufacture of epratuzumab for the completion of the ongoing clinical trials relating to SLE, and if requested by UCB (and within our production capacity), to manufacture and supply the initial commercial launch of epratuzumab for the treatment of SLE and for certain future clinical trials for another autoimmune disease indication, if necessary. In August 2009, UCB relieved us of our obligations to supply UCB with epratuzumab.

Manufacturing Regulatory Considerations

In addition to regulating and auditing human clinical trials, the FDA regulates and inspects equipment, facilities and processes used in the manufacturing of such products prior to providing approval to market a product. If after receiving clearance from the FDA, a material change is made in manufacturing equipment, location, or process, additional regulatory review may be required. We must also adhere to current Good Manufacturing Practice and product-specific regulations enforced by the FDA through its facilities inspection program. The FDA also conducts regular, periodic visits to re-inspect equipment, facilities, and processes following the initial approval. If, as a result of these inspections, the FDA determines that our equipment, facilities or processes do not comply with applicable FDA regulations and conditions of product approval, the FDA may seek civil, criminal or administrative sanctions and/or remedies against us, including the suspension of our manufacturing operations.

LeukoScan[®] and certain of our other imaging agents are derived from the fluids produced in mice. Regulatory authorities, particularly in Europe, have expressed concerns about the use of these fluids for the production of monoclonal antibodies. These regulatory authorities may determine that our quality control procedures for these products are inadequate. In the event we have to discontinue the use of mouse fluids, we may not have the resources at the time to acquire the necessary manufacturing equipment and expertise that we will need to make the changes in our development programs.

Employees

As of August 23, 2010, we employed 122 persons on a full-time basis, of whom 22 were in research and development departments, 18 of whom were engaged in clinical research and regulatory affairs, 59 of whom were engaged in operations and manufacturing and quality control, and 21 of whom were engaged in finance, administration, sales and marketing. Of these employees, 53 hold M.D., Ph.D. or other advanced degrees. We believe that while we have been successful to date in attracting skilled and experienced scientific personnel, competition for such personnel continues to be intense and there can be no assurance that we will continue to be able to attract and retain the professionals we will need to grow our business. Our employees are not covered by a collective bargaining agreement, and we believe that our relationship with our employees is excellent.

Corporate Information

We were incorporated in Delaware in 1982. Our principal offices are located at 300 American Road, Morris Plains, New Jersey 07950. Our telephone number is (973) 605-8200. In addition to our majority-owned subsidiary, IBC, we also have two foreign subsidiaries, Immunomedics B.V. in The Netherlands and Immunomedics GmbH in Darmstadt, Germany, to assist us in managing sales and marketing efforts and coordinating clinical trials in Europe. Our web address is www.immunomedics.com. We have not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K the information on our website, and you should not consider it to be a part of this document.

Our reports that have been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, are available on our website free of charge, including our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, Forms 3, 4 and 5 filed on behalf of directors and executive officers and any amendments to such reports filed pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. Copies of this Annual Report on Form 10-K may also be obtained without charge electronically or by paper by contacting Investor Relations, Immunomedics, Inc., 300 American Road, Morris Plains, New Jersey 07950 or by calling (973) 605-8200.

In addition, we make available on our website (i) the charters for the committees of the Board of Directors, including the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Board Governance Committee, and (ii) the Company s Code of Business Conduct (the Code of Conduct) governing its directors, officers and employees. Within the time period required by the SEC, we will post on our website any modifications to the Code of Conduct, as required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

The public may also read and copy the materials we file with the SEC at its Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a web site at http://www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding companies that file electronically with the SEC.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Factors That May Affect Our Business and Results of Operations

Our business is subject to certain risks and uncertainties, each of which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

Risks Relating to Our Business, Operations and Product Development

We have a long history of operating losses and it is likely that our operating expenses will continue to exceed our revenues for the foreseeable future.

We have incurred significant operating losses since our formation in 1982. As of June 30, 2010, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$203.0 million, including net income of \$37.0 million and \$2.3 million for the years ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, respectively. We continue to spend our cash resources to fund our research and development programs and, subject to adequate funding, we expect these expenses to increase for the foreseeable future. Our only significant sources of revenue to date have been derived from our existing licensing agreements with UCB and Nycomed. The timing of when we are able to record licensing fee revenue from such agreements has varied historically and may result in quarterly or annual profits or losses that are not necessarily reflective of our business operations or related cash flows. For example, for the year ended June 30, 2010, we were profitable primarily because of the recognition during the period of all remaining \$31.1 million of deferred revenue resulting from our 2006 agreement with UCB. Whereas during the 2010 fiscal year, we used \$7.1 million in cash to fund operations. There can be no assurance that we will be profitable in future quarters or other periods. Additionally, the only product sales we have earned to date have come from the limited sales of our diagnostic imaging products. In addition, we have made the strategic decision to de-emphasize sales of our diagnostic products and focus on our therapeutic pipeline. We have never had product sales of any therapeutic product. We expect to experience significant operating losses as we invest further in our research and development activities while simultaneously attempting to develop and commercialize our other therapeutic product candidates. If we are unable to develop commercially viable therapeutic products or to license them to third parties, it is likely that we will never achieve significant revenues or become profitable, either of which would jeopardize our ability to continue as a going concern.

Negative conditions in the global credit markets has impaired and may continue to impair the liquidity of our investment in auction rate securities.

Our auction rate securities consist of AAA rated securities at a par value of \$11.0 million as of June 30, 2010. The continued negative conditions in the global credit markets have prevented some investors from liquidating their holdings of auction rate securities because the amount of securities submitted for sale has exceeded the amount of purchase orders for such securities. If the credit markets do not improve, auctions for our invested amounts may continue to fail. If an auction continues to fail for securities in which we have invested, we may be unable to liquidate some or all of our auction rate securities at par. In the event we need or desire to access these funds, we will not be able to do so until a future auction on these investments is successful or a buyer is found outside the auction process. If a buyer is found, such buyer may only be willing to purchase the investments at price below par. Further, rating downgrades of the security issuer or the third-parties insuring such investments may further impact our ability to auction or sell these securities.

We may not be able to sell some or all of our auction rate securities at an auction if the auction fails; that is, if there are more auction rate securities offered for sale than there are buyers for those auction rate securities. Additionally, the relative buying and selling interest of market participants in our auction rate securities and in the auction rate securities market as a whole will vary over time, and such variations may be affected by, among other things, news relating to the issuer, the attractiveness of alternative investments, the perceived risk of owning the security (whether related to credit, liquidity or any other risk), the accounting or tax treatment

accorded the instruments, reactions to regulatory actions or press reports, financial reporting cycles and market sentiment generally. Shifts of demand in response to any one or simultaneous particular events cannot be predicted and may be short-lived or exist for longer periods.

It is possible that the lack of liquidity in our auction rate security investments could adversely affect our liquidity and our ability to fund our operations. We cannot predict whether future auctions related to auction rate securities will be successful. We are currently seeking alternatives for reducing our exposure to the auction rate market, but may not be able to identify any such alternative. These alternatives could result in us receiving significantly less then par value for our investments. If we are not able to monetize some or all of our auction rate securities, we could suffer a loss and such loss could have a material adverse effect on our ability to finance our future ongoing operations.

Our most advanced therapeutic product candidates are still only in the clinical development stage, and will require us to raise capital in the future in order to fund further expensive and time-consuming studies before they can even be submitted for final regulatory approval.

Our most advanced therapeutic product candidates are still in the clinical development stage and will not be available for commercial sale any time soon, if ever. In order to complete the clinical development process for each of our product candidates, it will be necessary to invest significant financial resources, and devote a great deal of time and effort, just to reach the point where an application for final FDA or foreign regulatory approval can be submitted. In addition, we will need to raise additional capital to finance the costly process of obtaining approval for any of our current products should we get to that stage of product development. Given the current downturn in the economy, however, financing may not be available to us when we need it or on terms acceptable to us.

Clinical trials involve the administration of a product candidate to patients who are already extremely ill, making patient enrollment often difficult and expensive. Moreover, even in ideal circumstances where the patients can be enrolled and then followed for the several months or more required to complete the study, the trials can be suspended, terminated or otherwise fail for any number of reasons, including:

later-stage clinical trials may raise safety or efficacy concerns not readily apparent in earlier trials;

unforeseen difficulties in manufacturing the product candidate in compliance with all regulatory requirements and in the quantities needed to complete the trial may be cost-prohibitive;

while underway, the continuation of clinical trials may be delayed, suspended or terminated due to modifications to the clinical trial s protocols based on interim results obtained;

our collaboration partner may suspend or cease trials in their sole discretion;

during the long trial process, alternative therapies may become available which make further development of the product candidate impracticable; and

if we are unable to obtain the additional capital we need to fund all of the clinical trials we foresee, we may be forced to cancel or otherwise curtail some important trials.

Any failure or substantial delay in successfully completing clinical trials for our product candidates, particularly the ongoing trials for our most advanced product candidates, epratuzumab and veltuzumab, could severely harm our business and results of operation.

Should the clinical development process be successfully completed, our ability to derive revenues from the sale of therapeutics will depend upon our first obtaining FDA as well as foreign regulatory approvals, all of which are subject to a number of unique risks and uncertainties.

Even if we are able to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of our product candidates in clinical trials, if we fail to gain timely approval to commercialize our product candidates from the FDA and other foreign regulatory

authorities, we will be unable to generate the revenues we will need to build our business. These approvals may not be granted on a timely basis, if at all, and even if and when they are granted they may not cover all the indications for which we seek approval. For example, while we may develop a product candidate with the intention of addressing a large, unmet medical need, the FDA may only approve the use of the drug for indications affecting a relatively small number of patients, thus greatly reducing the market size and our potential revenues. The approvals may also contain significant limitations in the form of warnings, precautions or contraindications with respect to conditions of use, which could further narrow the size of the market. In certain countries, even if the health regulatory authorities approve a drug, it cannot be marketed until pricing for the drug is also approved. Finally, even after approval can be obtained, we may be required to recall or withdraw a product as a result of newly discovered safety or efficacy concerns, either of which would have a materially adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

In order to fund future operations, we will need to raise significant amounts of additional capital. Because it can be difficult for a small-cap company like ours to raise equity capital on acceptable terms and given the recent downturn in the economy, we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain the necessary capital when we need it, or on acceptable terms, if at all.

Even if our technologies and product candidates are superior, if we lack the capital needed to bring our future products to market, we will never be successful. We have obtained the capital necessary to fund our research and development programs to date primarily from the following sources:

\$40.0 million from Nycomed in fiscal 2009 to license the rights to develop, manufacture and commercialize veltuzumab for the treatment of all non-cancer indications, and \$10.0 million in milestone payments in fiscal 2010 under the terms of this agreement with Nycomed;

\$38.0 million from UCB in fiscal 2006 to license the rights to develop, manufacture and commercialize epratuzumab for the treatment of all autoimmune disease indications;

approximately \$259.0 million from the public and private sale of our debt and equity securities through June 30, 2010; and

limited product sales of CEA-Scan[®] and LeukoScan[®], licenses, grants and interest income from our investments. We believe we have adequate cash to fund our operations and research and development programs through the next twelve months. However, we are also advancing plans to initiate a Phase III registration trial of veltuzumab in non-Hodgkin s lymphoma. We will need to obtain additional funding in the event we decide to begin this trial. We intend to continue expending substantial capital on our research and development programs. We will need to raise additional capital in order to obtain the necessary regulatory approvals and then commercialize our therapeutic product candidates. Our capital requirements are dependent on numerous factors, including:

the rate at which we progress our research programs and the number of product candidates we have in pre-clinical and clinical development at any one time;

the cost of conducting clinical trials involving patients in the United States, Europe and possibly, elsewhere;

our need to establish the manufacturing capabilities necessary to produce the quantities of our product candidates we project we will need;

the time and costs involved in obtaining FDA and foreign regulatory approvals;

the cost of first obtaining, and then defending, our patent claims and other intellectual property rights;

Edgar Filing: IMMUNOMEDICS INC - Form 10-K

the success of Nycomed and UCB in meeting the clinical development and commercial milestones for veltuzumab and epratuzumab, respectively; and

our ability to enter into licensing and other collaborative agreements to help off-set some of these costs.

There may be additional cash requirements for many reasons, including, but not limited to, changes in our research and development plans, the need for unexpected capital expenditures or costs associated with any acquisitions of other businesses, assets or technologies that we may choose to undertake. If we deplete our existing capital resources, we will be required to either obtain additional capital quickly, or else significantly reduce our operating expenses and capital expenditures, either of which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Our ability to raise future capital on acceptable terms will depend not only upon our operating performance, but also on conditions in the public and private debt and equity markets, as well as the overall performance of other companies in the biopharmaceutical and biotechnology sectors. Because of the current downturn in the economy and adverse conditions in the public and private debt and equity markets, financing may not be available to us when we need it on terms we find acceptable, if at all. Furthermore, the terms of any such debt or equity financing may include covenants which limit our future ability to manage the business, contain preferences, privileges and rights superior to those enjoyed by holders of our common stock or cause substantial dilution to our existing stockholders.

If we cannot successfully and efficiently manufacture the compounds that make up our products and product candidates, our ability to sell products and conduct clinical trials will be impaired.

Our ability to conduct our pre-clinical and clinical research and development programs depends, in large part, upon our ability to manufacture our proprietary compounds in accordance with FDA and other regulatory requirements. While we have completed construction on the major expansion of our manufacturing facilities in New Jersey in anticipation of our current and future needs, we have no historical experience in manufacturing these compounds in significant quantities, and we may not be able to do so in the quantities and with the degree of purity that is required to commercialize these products. Any interruption in manufacturing at this site, whether by natural acts or otherwise, could significantly and adversely affect our operations, and delay our research and development programs.

We are dependent upon Nycomed for the final development and commercialization of veltuzumab for the treatment of all non- cancer indications worldwide and upon UCB for the final development and commercialization of epratuzumab for the treatment of autoimmune disease indications worldwide and they may not be successful.

We have licensed the exclusive worldwide rights of our most advanced therapeutic compounds, *veltuzumab* (to Nycomed) and *epratuzumab* (to UCB). As a result, Nycomed and UCB are solely responsible, and we are depending upon them, for completing the clinical development of these compounds, obtaining all necessary regulatory approvals, and then commercializing and manufacturing the compounds for sale. If they do not fully perform their responsibilities under our agreements, or if the clinical trials to be conducted are not initiated, successful or are terminated by them for any other reason, our ability to commercialize these product candidates in the future, as well as other product candidates we have in development which are closely related to them, would be severely jeopardized. In such event, it is likely we would never receive any additional milestone payments or royalties that we are eligible to receive under our agreements with Nycomed and UCB, and our ability to fund the development and testing of our other product candidates would be adversely affected.

We may not successfully establish and maintain collaborative and licensing arrangements, which could adversely affect our ability to develop and commercialize our product candidates. Our future collaboration partners may not adequately perform their responsibilities under our agreement, which could adversely affect our development and commercialization program.

A key element of our business strategy is to develop, market and commercialize our product candidates through collaborations with more established pharmaceutical companies. We may not be able to maintain or

expand these licenses and collaborations or establish additional licensing and collaboration arrangements necessary to develop and commercialize our product candidates. Even if we are able to maintain or establish licensing or collaboration arrangements, these arrangements may not be on favorable terms and may contain provisions that will restrict our ability to develop, test and market our product candidates. Any failure to maintain or establish licensing or collaboration arrangements on favorable terms could adversely affect our business prospects, financial condition or ability to develop and commercialize our product candidates.

We expect to rely at least in part on third party collaborators to perform a number of activities relating to the development and commercialization of our product candidates, including the manufacturing of product materials, the design and conduct of clinical trials for our product candidates, and potentially the obtaining of regulatory approvals and marketing and distribution of any successfully developed products. Our collaborative partners may also have or acquire rights to control aspects of our product development and clinical programs. As a result, we may not be able to conduct these programs in the manner or on the time schedule we currently contemplate. In addition, if any of these collaborative partners withdraw support for our programs or product candidates or otherwise impair their development our business could be negatively affected. To the extent we undertake any of these activities internally, our expenses may increase.

In addition, our success depends on the performance of our collaborators of their responsibilities under these arrangements. Some potential collaborators may not perform their obligations in a timely fashion or in a manner satisfactory to us. Because such agreements may be exclusive, we may not be able to enter into a collaboration agreement with any other company covering the same product field during the applicable collaborative period. In addition, our collaborators competitors may not wish to do business with us at all due to our relationship with our collaborators. If we are unable to enter into additional product discovery and development collaborations, our ability to sustain or expand our business will be significantly diminished.

Our future success will depend upon our ability to first obtain and then adequately protect our patent and other intellectual property rights, as well as avoiding the infringement of the rights of others.

Our future success will be highly dependent upon our ability to first obtain and then defend the patent and other intellectual property rights necessary for the commercialization of our product candidates. We have filed numerous patent applications on the technologies and processes that we use in the U.S. and certain foreign countries. Although we have obtained a number of issued U.S. patents to date, the patent applications owned or licensed by us may not result in additional patents being issued. Moreover, these patents may not afford us the protection we need against competitors with similar technologies or products.

The successful development of therapeutic products frequently requires the application of multiple technologies that may be subject to the patent or other intellectual property rights of third parties. Although we believe it is likely we will need to license technologies and processes from third parties in the ordinary course of our business, we are not currently aware of any material conflict involving our technologies and processes with any valid patents or other intellectual property rights owned or licensed by others. In the event that a third party was to claim such a conflict existed, they could sue us for damages as well as seek to prevent us from commercializing our product candidates. It is possible that a third party could successfully claim that our products infringe on their intellectual property rights. Uncertainties resulting from the litigation and continuation of patent litigation or other proceedings could have a material adverse effect on our ability to compete in the marketplace. Any patent litigation or other proceeding, even if resolved in our favor, would require significant financial resources and management time.

Some of our competitors may be able to sustain these costs more effectively than we can because of their substantially greater financial and managerial resources. If a patent litigation or other proceeding is resolved unfavorably to us, we may be enjoined from manufacturing or selling our products without a license from the other party, in addition to being held liable for significant damages. We may not be able to obtain any such license on commercially acceptable terms, if at all.

In addition to our reliance on patents, we attempt to protect our proprietary technologies and processes by relying on trade secret laws, nondisclosure and confidentiality agreements and licensing arrangements with our employees and other persons who have access to our proprietary information. These agreements and arrangements may not provide meaningful protection for our proprietary technologies and processes in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of such information. In addition, our competitors may independently develop substantially equivalent technologies and processes or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets or technology, either of which could materially and adversely affect our competitive position.

We face substantial competition in the biotechnology industry and may not be able to compete successfully against one or more of our competitors.

The biotechnology industry is highly competitive, particularly in the area of diagnostic and therapeutic oncology products. In recent years, there have been extensive technological innovations achieved in short periods of time, and it is possible that future technological changes and discoveries by others could result in our products and product candidates quickly becoming uncompetitive or obsolete. A number of companies, including Biogen Idec, Genentech, Glaxo SmithKline, Hoffmann-LaRoche, Human Genome Sciences, Seattle Genetics, Trubion Pharmaceuticals, Zymogenetics, Merck Serono, Genmab, Medarex, Amgen Inc., Bristol-Myers Squibb, Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Pfizer, AstraZeneca and Eli Lilly, are engaged in the development of therapeutic autoimmune and oncology products. For example, Human Genome Sciences and their corporate partner, Glaxo SmithKline recently reported that belimumab, their human monoclonal antibody against B-lymphocyte stimulator or BlyS, met the primary endpoint in the first of two pivotal Phase III trials in patients with serologically active SLE. Thus, belimumab is ahead of epratuzumab in its clinical development timeline for the therapy of patients with SLE. Many of these companies have significantly greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do. In addition, many of these companies have more established positions in the pharmaceutical industry and are therefore better equipped to develop, commercialize and market oncology products. Even some smaller competitors may obtain a significant competitive advantage over us if they are able to discover or otherwise acquire patentable inventions, form collaborative arrangements or merge with larger pharmaceutical companies.

We expect to face increasing competition from universities and other non-profit research organizations. These institutions carry out a significant amount of research and development in the field of antibody-based technologies, and they are increasingly aware of the commercial value of their findings. As a result, they are demanding greater patent and other proprietary rights, as well as licensing and future royalty revenues.

We may be liable for contamination or other harm caused by hazardous materials that we use in the operations of our business.

In addition to laws and regulations enforced by the FDA, we are also subject to regulation under various other foreign, federal, state and local laws and regulations. Our manufacturing and research and development programs involve the controlled use of viruses, hazardous materials, chemicals and various radioactive compounds. The risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials can never be completely eliminated, and if an accident occurs we could be held liable for any damages that result, which could exceed our available resources.

The nature of our business exposes us to significant liability claims, and our insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover any future claims.

The use of our compounds in clinical trials and any future sale exposes us to liability claims that could be substantial. These claims might be made directly by healthcare providers, medical personnel, patients, consumers, pharmaceutical companies and others selling or distributing our compounds. While we currently have product liability insurance that we consider adequate for our current needs, we may not be able to continue to obtain comparable insurance in the future at an acceptable cost, if at all. If for any reason we cannot maintain our



existing or comparable liability insurance, our ability to clinically test and market products could be significantly impaired. Moreover, the amount and scope of our insurance coverage, as well as the indemnification arrangements with third parties upon which we rely, may be inadequate to protect us in the event of a successful product liability claim. Any successful claim in excess of our insurance coverage could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and operating results.

The loss of any of our key employees could adversely affect our operations.

We are heavily dependent upon the talents of Dr. Goldenberg, our Chairman of the Board, Chief Scientific Officer and Chief Medical Officer, and Ms. Sullivan, our President and Chief Executive Officer, as well as certain other key personnel. If Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan or any of our other key personnel were to unexpectedly leave our Company, our business and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. In addition, as our business grows we will need to continue to attract additional management and scientific personnel. Competition for qualified personnel in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries is intense, and we may not be successful in our recruitment efforts. If we are unable to attract, motivate and retain qualified professionals, our operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Certain potential for conflicts of interest, both real and perceived, exist which could result in expensive and time-consuming litigation.

Certain members of our senior management and Board of Directors have relationships and agreements, both with us as well as among themselves and their respective affiliates, which create the potential for both real, as well as perceived, conflicts of interest. These include Dr. David M. Goldenberg, our Chairman, Chief Scientific Officer and Chief Medical Officer, Ms. Cynthia L. Sullivan, our President and Chief Executive Officer (who is also the wife of Dr. Goldenberg), and certain companies with which we do business, including the Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology and the Garden State Cancer Center (which operates as the clinical arm of CMMI to facilitate the translation of CMMI s research efforts in the treatment of patients), collectively defined as CMMI. For example, Dr. Goldenberg is the President and a Trustee of CMMI, a not-for-profit cancer research center that we use to conduct certain research activities. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, we have incurred \$0.4 million of research expenses for activities conducted by CMMI on our behalf. Further, Dr. Goldenberg s employment agreement with us permits him to devote more of his time working for CMMI than for us, and other key personnel of our company also have research collaborations with CMMI. Dr. Goldenberg is also a minority stockholder, director and officer of our majority-owned subsidiary, IBC. Dr, Goldenberg is the primary inventor of new intellectual property for Immunomedics and IBC and is largely responsible for allocating ownership between the two companies.

As a result of these and other relationships, the potential for both real and perceived conflicts of interest exists and disputes could arise over the allocation of funds, research projects and ownership of intellectual property rights. In addition, in the event that we become involved in stockholder litigation regarding these potential conflicts, we might be required to devote significant resources and management time defending the company from these claims, which could adversely affect our results of operations.

Given that autoimmune and cancer therapeutics such as the ones we are developing can cost upwards of \$20,000 per treatment, even if our product candidates become available for sale it is likely that federal and state governments, insurance companies and other payers of health care costs will try to first limit the use of these drugs to certain patients, and may be reluctant to provide a level of reimbursement that permits us to earn a significant profit on our investment, if any.

Our ability to successfully commercialize therapeutic products will depend, in significant part, on the extent to which hospitals and physicians can obtain appropriate reimbursement levels for the cost of our products and related treatment. Third-party payers are increasingly challenging the prices charged for diagnostic and therapeutic products and related services. In addition, legislative proposals to reform health care or reduce

government insurance programs may result in lower prices or the actual inability of prospective customers to purchase our products. Furthermore, even if reimbursement is available, it may not be available at price levels sufficient for us to realize a positive return on our investment.

Risks Related to Government Regulation of our Industry

Legislative or regulatory reform of the healthcare system may affect our ability to sell our products profitably.

In both the United States and certain foreign jurisdictions, there have been a number of legislative and regulatory proposals to change the healthcare system in ways that could impact our ability to sell our future products and profitability. On March 23, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA), which includes a number of health care reform provisions and requires most U.S. citizens to have health insurance. Effective January 1, 2010, the new law increases the minimum Medicaid drug rebates for pharmaceutical companies, expands the 340B drug discount program, and makes changes to affect the Medicare Part D coverage gap, or donut hole. The law also revises the definition of average manufacturer price for reporting purposes (effective October 1, 2011), which could increase the amount of the Company s Medicaid drug rebates to states, once the provision is effective. The new law also imposes a significant annual fee on companies that manufacture or import branded prescription drug products (beginning in 2010). Substantial new provisions affecting compliance also have been added, which may require modification of business practices with health care practitioners.

The reforms imposed by the new law will significantly impact the pharmaceutical industry; however, the full effects of PPACA cannot be known until these provisions are implemented and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services and other federal and state agencies issue applicable regulations or guidance. Moreover, in the coming years, additional change could be made to governmental healthcare programs that could significantly impact the success of our future products, and we could be adversely affected by current and future health care reforms.

Our industry and we are subject to intense regulation from the U.S. Government and such other governments and quasi-official regulatory bodies where our products are and product candidates may be sold.

These governmental and other regulatory risks include:

Clinical development is a long, expensive and uncertain process, delay and failure can occur at any stage of our clinical trials;

Our clinical trials are dependent on patient enrollment and regulatory approvals, we do not know whether our planned trials will begin on time, or at all, or will be completed on schedule or at all;

The FDA or other regulatory authorities do not approve a clinical trial protocol or place a clinical trial on hold;

If the clinical development process is completed successfully, our ability to derive revenues from the sale of therapeutics will depend on our first obtaining FDA or other comparable foreign regulatory approvals, each of which are subject to unique risks and uncertainties;

There is no assurance that we will receive FDA or corollary foreign approval for any of our product candidates for any indication; we are subject to government regulation for the commercialization of our product candidates;

We have not received regulatory approval in the United States or any foreign jurisdiction for the commercial sale of any of our product candidates; and

We may be liable for contamination or other harm caused by hazardous materials used in the operations of our business.

Risks Related to Our Securities

Our common stock may be delisted from the NASDAQ Global Market, or NASDAQ.

If the bid price of our common stock falls below \$1.00 for an extended period, or we are unable to continue to meet NASDAQ s listing maintenance standards for any other reason, our common stock could be delisted from the NASDAQ.

If our stock is not accepted for listing on the NASDAQ, we will make every possible effort to have it listed on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board, or the OTC Bulletin Board. If our common stock were to be traded on the OTC Bulletin Board, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and related Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, rules would impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers that sell our securities. These rules may adversely affect the ability of stockholders to sell our common stock and otherwise negatively affect the liquidity, trading market and price of our common stock.

If our common stock would not be able to be traded on the OTC Bulletin Board, we would make every effort to have it available for trading on the National Quotation Bureau s Pink Sheets, or the Pink Sheets. The Pink Sheets market consists of security firms who act as market makers in the stocks, usually, of very small companies. The bid and asked prices are not quoted electronically, but are quoted daily in hard copy which is delivered to firms that subscribe. Stocks that trade in the Pink Sheets are usually not as liquid as those that trade in electronic markets and, often time, the difference between the bid and the asked prices are substantial. As a result, if our common stock were traded on the Pink Sheets, there would likely be a further negative affect on the liquidity, trading market and price of our common stock even compared to that we might suffer if we were traded on the OTC Bulletin Board.

As a result of the above, we cannot assure you that our common stock will be listed on a national securities exchange, a national quotation service, the OTC Bulletin Board or the Pink Sheets or, if it is to be listed, whether or not there would be an interruption in the trading of our common stock. We believe that the listing of our stock on a recognized national trading market, such as the NASDAQ, is an important part of our business and strategy. Such a listing helps our stockholders by providing a readily available trading market with current quotations. Without that, stockholders may have a difficult time getting a quote for the sale or purchase of our stock, the sale or purchase of our stock would likely be made more difficult and the trading volume and liquidity of our stock would likely decline. The absence of such a listing may adversely affect the acceptance of our common stock as currency or the value accorded it by other parties. In that regard, listing on a recognized national trading market will also affect the company s ability to benefit from the use of its operations and expansion plans, including for use in licensing agreements, joint ventures, the development of strategic relationships and acquisitions, which are critical to our business and strategy and none of which is currently the subject of any agreement, arrangement or understanding, with respect to any future financing or strategic relationship it may undertake. The delisting from NASDAQ would result in negative publicity and would negatively impact our ability to raise capital in the future.

If we were delisted from the NASDAQ, we may become subject to the trading complications experienced by Penny Stocks in the over-the-counter market.

Delisting from the NASDAQ may depress the price of our common stock such that we may become a penny stock. The SEC generally defines a penny stock as an equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share or an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to specific exemptions. The market price of our common stock is currently less than \$5.00 per share. Penny Stock rules require, among other things, that any broker engaging in a purchase or sale of our securities provide its customers with: (i) a risk disclosure document, (ii) disclosure of market quotations, if any, (iii) disclosure of the compensation of the broker and its salespersons in the transaction and (iv) monthly account statements showing the market values of our securities held in the customer s accounts.

A broker would be required to provide the bid and offer quotations and compensation information before effecting the transaction. This information must be contained on the customer s confirmation. Generally, brokers are less willing to effect transactions in penny stocks due to these additional delivery requirements. These requirements may make it more difficult for stockholders to purchase or sell our common stock. Because the broker, not us, prepares this information, we would not be able to assure that such information is accurate, complete or current.

The market price of our common stock has fluctuated widely in the past, and is likely to continue to fluctuate widely based on a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control.

The market price of our common stock has been, and is likely to continue to be, highly volatile. Furthermore, the stock market generally and the market for stocks of relatively small biopharmaceutical companies like ours have from time to time experienced, and likely will again experience, significant price and volume fluctuations that are unrelated to actual operating performance.

From time to time, stock market analysts publish research reports or otherwise comment upon our business and future prospects. Due to a number of factors, we may fail to meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors and our stock price would likely decline as a result. These factors include:

announcements by us, our current collaboration partner, any future alliance partners or our competitors of pre-clinical studies and clinical trial results, regulatory developments, technological innovations or new therapeutic products, product sales, new products or product candidates and product development timelines;

the formation or termination of corporate alliances;

developments in patent or other proprietary rights by us or our respective competitors, including litigation;

developments or disputes concerning our patent or other proprietary rights, and the issuance of patents in our field of business to others;

government regulatory action;

period-to-period fluctuations in the results of our operations; and

developments and market conditions for emerging growth companies and biopharmaceutical companies, in general. In addition, Internet chat rooms have provided forums where investors make predictions about our business and prospects, oftentimes without any real basis in fact, that readers may trade on.

In the past, following periods of volatility in the market prices of the securities of companies in our industry, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against those companies. If we face such litigation in the future, it would result in substantial costs and a diversion of management s attention and resources, which could negatively impact our business.

At August 23, 2010, we had 75,302,660 shares of common stock outstanding, 6,939,788 additional shares reserved for the exercise of outstanding options and restricted stock units and 4,447,107 additional shares of common stock authorized for issuance and remaining to be granted under our stock option plans.

Our principal stockholder can significantly influence all matters requiring the approval by our stockholders.

As of June 30, 2010, Dr. Goldenberg, our Chairman and Chief Scientific Officer and Chief Medical Officer, together with certain members of his family, including Ms. Cynthia L. Sullivan, our President and Chief

Executive Officer, who is Dr. Goldenberg s wife, and other affiliates, controlled the right to vote approximately 11% of our fully diluted common stock. As a result of this voting power, Dr. Goldenberg has the ability to significantly influence the outcome of substantially all matters that may be put to a vote of our stockholders, including the election of our directors.

We have adopted anti-takeover provisions that may frustrate any unsolicited attempt to acquire our company or remove or replace our directors and executive officers.

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation, our by-laws and Delaware corporate law could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of our company in a transaction not approved by our Board of Directors. For example, we have adopted a stockholder rights plan that makes it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of our company without the support of our Board of Directors. In addition, our Board of Directors may issue up to ten million shares of preferred stock and determine the price, rights, preferences and privileges, including voting and conversion rights, of these shares without any further vote or action by our stockholders. The issuance of preferred stock could have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing an unsolicited change in control of our company, or could impose various procedural and other requirements that could make it more difficult for holders of our common stock to effect certain corporate actions, including the replacement of incumbent directors and the completion of transactions opposed by the incumbent Board of Directors. The rights of the holders of our common stock would be subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of the holders of any preferred stock that may be issued in the future.

We are also subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, or DGCL, which prohibits us from engaging in a business combination with any interested stockholder (as defined in Section 203 of the DGCL) for a period of three years from the date the person became an interested stockholder, unless certain conditions are met.

There are limitations on the liability of our directors, and we may have to indemnify our officers and directors in certain instances.

Our certificate of incorporation limits, to the maximum extent permitted under Delaware law, the personal liability of our directors for monetary damages for breach of their fiduciary duties as directors. Our bylaws provide that we will indemnify our officers and directors and may indemnify our employees and other agents to the fullest extent permitted by law. These provisions may be in some respects broader than the specific indemnification provisions under Delaware law. The indemnification provisions may require us, among other things, to indemnify such officers and directors against certain liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers (other than liabilities arising from willful misconduct of a culpable nature), to advance their expenses incurred as a result of any proceeding against them as to which they could be indemnified and to obtain directors and officers insurance. Section 145 of the DGCL provides that a corporation may indemnify a director, officer, employee or agent made or threatened to be made a party to an action by reason of the fact that he or she was a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation or was serving at the request of the corporation, against expenses actually and reasonably incurred in connection with such action if he or she acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, the best interests of the corporation, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct was unlawful. Delaware law does not permit a corporation to eliminate a director s duty of care and the provisions of our certificate of incorporation have no effect on the availability of equitable remedies, such as injunction or rescission, for a director s breach of the duty of care.

We believe that our limitation of officer and director liability assists us to attract and retain qualified employees and directors. However, in the event an officer, a director or the board of directors commits an act that may legally be indemnified under Delaware law, we will be responsible to pay for such officer(s) or director(s) legal defense and potentially any damages resulting therefrom. Furthermore, the limitation on director liability may reduce the likelihood of derivative litigation against directors, and may discourage or deter stockholders

from instituting litigation against directors for breach of their fiduciary duties, even though such an action, if successful, might benefit our stockholders and us. Given the difficult environment and potential for incurring liabilities currently facing directors of publicly-held corporations, we believe that director indemnification is in our and our stockholders best interests because it enhances our ability to attract and retain highly qualified directors and reduce a possible deterrent to entrepreneurial decision-making.

Nevertheless, limitations of director liability may be viewed as limiting the rights of stockholders, and the broad scope of the indemnification provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could result in increased expenses. Our board of directors believes, however, that these provisions will provide a better balancing of the legal obligations of, and protections for, directors and will contribute positively to the quality and stability of our corporate governance. Our board of directors has concluded that the benefit to stockholders of improved corporate governance outweighs any possible adverse effects on stockholders of reducing the exposure of directors to liability and broadened indemnification rights.

We are exposed to potential risks from legislation requiring companies to evaluate controls under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires that we maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. Among other things, we must perform system and process evaluation and testing of our internal controls over financial reporting to allow management to report on, and our independent registered public accounting firm to attest to, our internal controls over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Compliance with Section 404 requires substantial accounting expense and significant management efforts. Our testing, or the subsequent review by our independent registered public accounting firm, may reveal deficiencies in our internal controls that would require us to remediate in a timely manner so as to be able to comply with the requirements of Section 404 each year. If we are not able to comply with the requirements of Section 404 in a timely manner each year, we could be subject to sanctions or investigations by the SEC, the NASDAQ GMS or other regulatory authorities that would require additional financial and management resources and could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

We do not intend to pay dividends on our common stock. Until such time as we pay cash dividends our stockholders must rely on increases in our stock price for appreciation.

We have never declared or paid dividends on our common stock. We intend to retain future earnings to develop and commercialize our products and therefore we do not intend to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Until such time as we determine to pay cash dividends on our common stock, our stockholders must rely on increases in our common stock s market price for appreciation.

At August 23, 2010, we had 75,302,660 shares of common stock outstanding, 6,939,788 additional shares reserved for the exercise of outstanding options and restricted stock units and 4,447,107 additional shares of common stock authorized for issuance and remaining to be granted under our stock option plan.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments None.

Item 2. Properties

Our headquarters is located at 300 American Road, Morris Plains, New Jersey 07950, where we currently lease approximately 85,000 square feet of commercial office space. In June 2009, we amended the lease agreement to add an additional 11,000 square feet of commercial office space to lease the entire facility, which we anticipate will occur by December 31, 2010. The lease is for the same lease term, expiring in October 2021. After the facility expansion takes effect, the base annual rate will be at \$0.6 million, which rate is fixed through

October 2011 and increases thereafter every five years. Our manufacturing, regulatory, medical, research and development laboratories, and our finance, marketing and executive offices are currently located in this facility. Effective August 1, 2010, we subleased approximately 1,000 square feet to CMMI for their operations. We operate a 7,500 square-foot, commercial-scale manufacturing facility within our Morris Plains headquarters, which consists of four independent antibody manufacturing suites, several support areas, and a quality control laboratory. See Item 1 Business, Manufacturing. In addition, our European subsidiary, Immunomedics GmbH, leases executive office space in Darmstadt, Germany.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings* Former Investment Advisor/Broker Auction Rate Securities Matter

On April 15, 2009, we initiated arbitration before the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) against our former investment advisor/broker (Banc of America Investment Services, Inc. and Banc of America Securities, LLC). In the arbitration, we claim that the respondents violated the New Jersey Uniform Securities Law, the North Carolina Securities Act, New Jersey common law, and certain FINRA rules by, among other things, making false representations and/or material omissions concerning auction-rate securities, inappropriately advising investment in auction-rate securities, and failing to supervise their employees. We seek to rescind our purchase of the initial investment in auction-rate securities, of which \$9.9 million is currently outstanding as of August 23, 2010. We have also requested compensatory damages, consequential damages, punitive damages, and other relief. The arbitration hearing is scheduled to begin September 2010.

PART II

Item 5. Market For Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities Market Price and Dividend Information

Our common stock is quoted on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol IMMU. The following table sets forth, for the last two fiscal years, the high and low sales prices for our common stock, as reported by the NASDAQ Global Market:

Fiscal Quarter Ended	High	Low
September 30, 2008	\$ 2.85	\$ 1.45
December 31, 2008	2.04	1.00
March 31, 2009	1.79	0.84
June 30, 2009	2.77	0.90
September 30, 2009	\$ 7.16	\$ 2.33
December 31, 2009	5.49	3.02
March 31, 2010	4.94	2.86
June 30, 2010	4.08	2.99

As of August 23, 2010, the closing sales price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market was \$3.08. As of August 23, 2010, there were approximately 527 stockholders of record of our common stock and, according to our estimates, approximately 13,651 beneficial owners of our common stock. We have not paid dividends on our common stock since inception and do not plan to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. We currently intend to retain earnings, if any, to finance our growth.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table provides information with respect to our compensation plans under which equity compensation is authorized as of June 30, 2010.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon vesting of restricted shares and exercise of outstanding options and rights	exerc	ed-average ise price of ling options rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans
Equity compensation plans approved by	6 450 162	¢	5.59	4 027 107
security holders (1) Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	6,459,163	\$	5.59	4,937,107
Total	6,459,163	\$	5.59	4,937,107

(1) Includes the Company s 2002 Stock Option Plan and 2006 Stock Incentive Plan.

STOCK PERFORMANCE GRAPH

This graph is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference in any filing by our Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing. Information used on the graph was obtained from the Center for Research in Security Prices at the University of Chicago, a source believed to be reliable, but we are not responsible for any errors or omissions in such information.

	6/30/05	6/30/06	6/30/07	6/30/08	6/30/09	6/30/10
Immunomedics	100	154	243	125	149	181
NASDAQ Composite	100	106	127	111	71	89
NASDAQ Pharmaceutical	100	110	120	119	117	120
Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities; Use of Proceeds from Registered Securities	5.					

None.

Purchase of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers.

None.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth our consolidated financial data as of and for each of the five fiscal years ended June 30, 2010. The selected consolidated financial data as of and for each of the five fiscal years ended June 30, 2010, has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. The consolidated financial statements for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, are included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The information below should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements (and notes thereon) and Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

	2010	2009	al year ended J 2008 ds, except per s	fune 30, 2007 share amounts)	2006
Statements of Operations					
Revenues	\$ 60,930	\$ 30,021	\$ 3,651	\$ 8,506	\$ 4,353
Cost and expenses	26,997	27,538	26,689	24,207	28,699
(Loss) on change in fair value of warrants					(270)
Gain on sales and redemptions of marketable securities	915	69			
Impairment charge on marketable securities		(2,350)	(2,950)		
Interest income (expenses) and other income net	789	1,175	2,192	(1,492)	(4,507)
Minority interest			76	106	90
Foreign currency transaction gain (loss)	130	(3)	121	35	(17)
Income (loss) before income tax benefit Income tax benefit	35,767 1,229	1,374 900	(23,599) 690	(17,053) 397	(29,050) 490
Net income (loss)	\$ 36,996	\$ 2,274	\$ (22,909)	\$ (16,656)	\$ (28,560)
Net income (loss) per common share basic	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.03	\$ (0.31)	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.52)
Net income (loss) per common share diluted.	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.03	\$ (0.31)	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.52)
Weighted average shares outstanding basic	75,201	75,125	75,093	63,277	55,263
Weighted average shares outstanding diluted	75,994	76,083	75,093	63,277	55,263

	2010	2009	As of June 30 2008), 2007	2006
Balance Sheets					
Cash, cash equivalents and current portion of auction rate securities	\$ 30,490	\$27,391	\$ 26,182	\$ 46,233	\$ 41,827
Auction rate securities non-current (1)	8,222	17,458			
Restricted securities				1,275	2,550
Total assets	46,122	53,281	34,731	60,198	58,242
Long-term debt (2)					29,525
Stockholders equity (deficit) (3)	\$ 40,719	\$ 1,977	\$ (1,363)	\$ 20,330	\$ (17,428)

(1) Auction rate securities that are not currently liquid have been reclassified as non-current assets beginning in December 2008.

(2) All of the remaining 5% Senior Convertible Notes, due May 2008 were converted in shares of common stock during the 2007 fiscal year.
(3) We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock.

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The SEC encourages companies to disclose forward-looking information so that investors can better understand a company s future prospects and make informed investment decisions. This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains such forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements may be made directly in this Annual Report, and they may also be made a part of this Annual Report by reference to other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, which is known as incorporation by reference.

Words such as may, anticipate, estimate, expects, projects, intends, plans, believes and words and terms of similar substance used i with any discussion of future operating or financial performance, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements are management s present expectations of future events and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, among other things: our inability to further identify, develop and achieve commercial success for new products and technologies; the possibility of delays in the research and development necessary to select drug development candidates and delays in clinical trials; the risk that clinical trials may not result in marketable products; the risk that we may be unable to obtain additional capital through strategic collaborations, licensing, convertible debt securities or equity financing in order to continue our research and development programs as well as secure regulatory approval of and market our drug candidates; our dependence upon pharmaceutical and biotechnology collaborations; the levels and timing of payments under our collaborative agreements; uncertainties about our ability to obtain new corporate collaborations and acquire new technologies on satisfactory terms, if at all; the development of competing products; our ability to protect our proprietary technologies; patent-infringement claims; and risks of new, changing and competitive technologies and regulations in the United States and internationally. Please also see the discussion of risks and uncertainties under Item 1A. Risk Factors Factors That May Affect Our Business and Results of Operations in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In light of these assumptions, risks and uncertainties, the results and events discussed in the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report or Form 10-K or in any document incorporated by reference might not occur. Stockholders are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Annual Report or Form 10-K or the date of the document incorporated by reference in this Annual Report or Form 10-K as applicable. We are not under any obligation, and we expressly disclaim any obligation, to update or alter any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise except as may be required by applicable law. All subsequent forward-looking statements contained or referred to in this section.

Overview

We are a biopharmaceutical company primarily focused on the development of monoclonal, antibody-based products for the targeted treatment of cancer, autoimmune and other serious diseases. We have developed a number of advanced proprietary technologies that allow us to create humanized antibodies that can be used either alone in unlabeled, or naked, form, or conjugated with radioactive isotopes, chemotherapeutics, cytokines or toxins, in each case to create highly targeted agents. Using these technologies, we have built a broad pipeline of therapeutic product candidates that utilize several different mechanisms of action. We believe that our portfolio of intellectual property, which includes approximately 150 issued patents in the U.S. and more than 300 other issued patents worldwide, protects our product candidates and technologies.

We have continued to transition our focus away from the development and commercialization of diagnostic imaging products in order to accelerate the development of our therapeutic product candidates, although we manufacture and commercialize our LeukoScan[®] product in territories where regulatory approvals have previously been granted. LeukoScan is indicated for diagnostic imaging for determining the location and extent of infection/inflammation in bone in patients with suspected osteomyelitis, including patients with diabetic foot ulcers.

From inception in 1982 through June 30, 2010 we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$203.0 million. In the absence of increased revenues from the sale of current or future products and licensing activities (the amount, timing, nature or source of which cannot be predicted), our losses will continue as we conduct our research and development activities. These activities are budgeted to expand over time and will require further resources if we are to be successful. As a result, our operating losses are likely to be substantial over the next several years.

The development and commercialization of successful therapeutic products is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties including, without limitation, the following:

the type of therapeutic compound under investigation and nature of the disease in connection with which the compound is being studied;

our ability, as well as the ability of our partners, to conduct and complete clinical trials on a timely basis;

the time required for us to comply with all applicable federal, state and foreign legal requirements, including, without limitation, our receipt of the necessary approvals of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA;

the financial resources available to us during any particular period; and

many other factors associated with the commercial development of therapeutic products outside of our control. Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S., which require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. The following discussion highlights what we believe to be the critical accounting policies and judgments made in the preparation of these consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

We account for revenue arrangements that include multiple deliverables as a separate unit of accounting if: a) the delivered item has value to the customer on a standalone basis, b) there is objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered items and c) if the right of return exists, delivery of the undelivered items is considered probable and substantially in the control of the vendor. If these criteria are not met, the revenue elements must be considered a single unit of accounting for purposes of revenue recognition. We have concluded that the License and Collaboration Agreement dated July 11, 2008, or the Nycomed Agreement, with Nycomed GmbH, and the Development, Collaboration and License Agreement dated May 9, 2006 with UCB, S.A., or the UCB Agreement, should be accounted for as a single unit of accounting.

We amortized the \$40.0 million payment received as part of the Nycomed Agreement over the expected obligation period, which was originally estimated to be December 2009. During the 2010 fiscal year this amortization period was changed to March 2010, when all obligations under this agreement were completed.

We also concluded that the \$38.0 million payment received from UCB should be amortized over the expected obligation period of the UCB Agreement, which was initially estimated to end in November 2009. However, as previously disclosed, during the 2007 fiscal year, UCB decided to stop further new patient enrollment into the Systemic Lupus Erythematosus, or SLE, clinical trials designed and initiated by us. UCB ultimately decided to establish new protocols under which new clinical trials for the treatment of SLE would be conducted and subsequently terminated the then existing SLE clinical trials that had been designed and initiated by Immunomedics.

As a result of the UCB decision to terminate the two Phase III SLE trials, initiated by Immunomedics, we were no longer able to determine how these decisions would impact the obligation period for our remaining potential manufacturing responsibilities under the terms of the agreement with UCB. Accordingly, beginning in the third quarter of fiscal 2007, we ceased amortizing to revenue the deferred revenue recorded with the receipt of the up front payments from UCB at the inception of the license agreement. In August 2009, we were relieved by UCB of our remaining obligation to supply UCB with any further supplies. Therefore, as our last obligation under the agreement was legally terminated, we amortized the remainder of the upfront payment (\$31.1 million) received from UCB as revenue in the first quarter of the 2010 fiscal year.

Milestone payments are recognized as revenue upon the achievement of mutually agreed milestones, provided that (i) the milestone event is substantive and its achievement is not reasonably assured at the inception of the agreement, and (ii) there is no continuing performance obligations associated with the milestone payment. During the 2010 fiscal year, we recorded revenue of \$10.0 million for milestone payments under the terms of the Nycomed Agreement.

Contract revenue from collaborative research agreements is recorded when earned based on the performance requirements of the contract. Revenue from non-refundable upfront license fees and certain guaranteed payments where we continue involvement through collaborative development are deferred and recognized as revenue over the period of continuing involvement. We estimate the period of continuing involvement based on the best available evidential matter available to us at each reporting period. If our estimated time frame for continuing involvement changes, this change in estimate could impact the amount of revenue recognized in future periods.

Research and development costs that are reimbursable under collaboration agreements are recognized as a reduction of research and development expenses. We record these reimbursements as a reduction of research and development expenses as our partner in the collaboration agreement has the financial risks and responsibility for conducting these research and development activities.

Revenue from product sales is recorded when there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is fixed and determinable and collectability is reasonably assured. Allowances, if any, are established for uncollectible amounts based on historical trends, estimated product returns and discounts. Since allowances are recorded based on management s estimates, actual amounts may be different in the future.

Auction Rate Securities

We hold a number of interest bearing auction rate securities, or ARS, that represent investments in pools of assets. These ARS investments are intended to provide liquidity via an auction process that resets the applicable interest rate at predetermined calendar intervals, allowing investors to either roll over their holdings or gain immediate liquidity by selling such interests at par. ARS have long-term scheduled maturities, but have interest rates that are typically reset at pre-determined intervals (every 28 days for the securities purchased by us), at which time the securities can typically be purchased or sold, creating a liquid market. In an active market for such investments, the rate reset for each instrument is an opportunity to accept the reset rate or sell the instrument at its face value in order to seek an alternative investment. In the past, the auction process has allowed investors to roll over their holdings or obtain immediate liquidity by selling the securities at par. The auctions failed during fiscal 2008 and have not settled in an active market since that time. The uncertainties in the credit markets have affected our holdings in ARS investments as the auctions for these securities have failed to settle on their respective settlement dates.

The ARS held are primarily AAA rated collateralized by student loans, guaranteed by the U.S. Government under the Federal Family Education Loan Program and backed by insurance companies. To date we have collected all interest payable on all ARS when due and expect to continue to do so in the future.

As of June 30, 2010, we held three auction rate securities with a par value of \$11.0 million. These securities are classified as either current or long-term investments on our consolidated balance sheet, based on their

anticipated liquidity. Until February 2008, the auction rate securities market was highly liquid. During the year ended June 30, 2010, we sold three ARS for \$9.6 million (par value of \$11.0 million) to brokers in a secondary market. In addition, \$0.3 million of ARS were redeemed at par value during the year ended June 30, 2010. The carrying value of the ARS sold and redeemed was \$9.0 million, resulting in a gain of \$0.9 million realized in fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. In July 2010, we sold one ARS for \$1.0 million (par value of \$1.1 million) to a broker in a secondary market. The remaining two ARS are not currently liquid and we will not be able to access these funds until a future auction of these investments is successful or a buyer is found outside of the auction process, of which there is no assurance.

We reviewed our ARS to determine whether the classification of the impairment is temporary or other-than-temporary. A temporary impairment results in an unrealized loss being recorded in the other comprehensive income (loss) component of stockholders equity. This treatment is appropriate when a loss in an investment is determined to be temporary in nature and a company has the ability to hold the investment until a recovery in market value takes place. Such an unrealized loss does not affect net income for the applicable accounting period. The differentiating factors between temporary and other-than-temporary impairment are primarily the length of the time and the extent to which the market value has been less than cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer and the intent and our ability to retain our investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

As a result of our assessment of a number of factors, including without limitation, market conditions and the credit quality of these securities, we determined that the estimated fair value does not approximate par value, although we continue to earn interest on our ARS at the maximum contractual rate. Accordingly, beginning with the three-month period ended March 31, 2008, we recorded an other than temporary impairment charge to reduce the value of the ARS to their estimated fair value. Utilizing a discounted cash flow model we determined that the change in the estimated fair value of our remaining investments in ARS for the year ended June 30 2009 resulted in other than temporary impairment charge of \$2.4 million which was recorded as other expense in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. The Company recorded an unrealized gain on ARS of \$0.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2010 which was recorded as part of the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income. We used a discounted cash flow model to determine the estimated fair value of our investment in ARS. As of June 30, 2010, we estimated the fair value of these marketable securities to be \$9.2 million.

The significant assumptions used in preparing the discounted cash flow model as of June 30, 2010 include (i) estimates for the investment s contractual bond coupon rates (ranging from 1.35% 1.85%), (ii) the market yield interest rates (estimated at the U.S. Treasury Seven-Year Bond Rate of 2.42% plus a premium factor of 2.0%) and (iii) the effective maturity period of approximately seven years (which is the period the auctions are expected to resume its normal function). If our estimates regarding the fair value of these securities are inaccurate, a future other-than-temporary impairment charge may be required. Additionally, these estimated fair values could change significantly based on future market conditions and, as such, we may be required to record additional losses for impairment if we determine there are further declines in fair value. During the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, we reported \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, of amortization of the market value discount of the ARS.

Foreign Currency Risks

For subsidiaries outside of the United States that operate in a local currency environment, income and expense items are translated to United States dollars at the monthly average rates of exchange prevailing during the year, assets and liabilities are translated at the period-end exchange rates, and equity accounts are translated at historical exchange rates. Translation adjustments are accumulated in a separate component of stockholders equity and are included in the determination of comprehensive loss. Transaction gains and losses are included in the determination of net income (loss).

Stock Based Compensation

We currently have an Employee Share Option Plan, or the Plan, which permits the grant of share options and shares to our employees for up to 8 million shares of common stock. A summary of this plan is provided in Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements. We believe that such awards better align the interests of our employees with those of our shareholders. Option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of our stock at the date of grant; those option awards generally vest based on four years of continuous service and have seven year contractual terms. Certain options provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the Plan).

The fair value of each option granted during the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions in the following table:

		Years ended June 30,				
	2010	2009	2008			
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%			
Expected option term (years)	5.78	5.31	5.40			
Expected stock price volatility	92%	92%	93%			
Risk-free interest rate	2.77% 3.32%	1.92% 3.71%	2.88% 5.11%			

The weighted average fair value at the date of grant for options granted during the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$2.59, \$1.88 and \$2.93 per share, respectively. We used historical data to estimate forfeitures. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. Expected stock price volatility was calculated on ten-year daily stock trading history. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

We have a total of 1,675,117 shares underlying non-vested options and restricted stock grants outstanding as of June 30, 2010. As of June 30, 2010 and 2009 there was \$3.4 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plan. That cost is being recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.7 years. The weighted average remaining contractual terms of the exercisable shares is 3.62 years and 3.95 years as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Impairment of Assets

We review our long-lived assets for impairment, when events or changes in circumstances occur that indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable. The assessment of possible impairment is based upon our judgment of our ability to recover the asset from the expected future undiscounted cash flows of the related operations. Actual future cash flows may be greater or less than estimated.

Life Insurance Policies

The Company has various life insurance policies on Dr. Goldenberg; which are for the benefit of the Company. When the Company is the beneficiary of the policy, and there are no other contractual arrangements between the Company and Dr. Goldenberg, the Company recognizes the amount that could be realized under the insurance arrangement as an asset in the balance sheet.

Results of Operations

Fiscal Year 2010 compared to Fiscal Year 2009

Revenues for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 were \$60.9 million as compared to \$30.0 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, representing an increase of \$30.9 million or 103%. The increase for the year

ended June 30, 2010 is primarily the result of recording license fee revenue of \$31.1 million for the UCB Agreement. There was no corresponding revenue for UCB in 2009. In August 2009, we received notice from UCB relieving us of our responsibilities for the manufacturing of epratuzumab, the only remaining obligation under the UCB agreement, thus allowing us to record the full amount of the remaining deferred license fee revenue. Product sales for the year ended June 30, 2010 were \$3.1 million, as compared to \$3.5 million for the same period in 2009, representing a decrease of \$0.4 million or 11% due to lower sales volume of LeukoScan in Europe over the previous year. Research and development revenues for the year ended June 30, 2010 were \$2.1 million as compared to \$1.0 million for the same period of 2009, an increase of \$1.1 million or 110% due to the timing and size of the grant programs in the current year.

Total operating expenses for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 were \$27.0 million as compared to \$27.5 million in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, representing a decrease of \$0.5 million or 2%. Research and development expenses for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 decreased by \$1.6 million, or 7%, to \$19.9 million from \$21.5 million in fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 due primarily to \$4.2 million of increased expense reimbursement from Nycomed (which we do not expect to continue at the same level in fiscal 2011) and \$1.2 million of lower patent-related expenses, partially offset by \$2.0 million of higher levels of materials, supplies and testing for Nycomed related production, \$1.0 million of higher spending for clinical trials as well as \$0.5 million or 233% to \$1.0 million from \$0.3 million in fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, cost of goods sold for fiscal year-ended June 30, 2010, cost of goods sold increased \$0.6 million as a result of the inventory reserve on certain of our Leukoscan[®] work-in-process inventories which were deemed to be unsaleable due to a third-party manufacturer s process deviation that resulted in product that did not meet our quality control standards. Excluding the impact of the inventory reserve adjustment for work-in-process, the gross profit margins were 89% for the year-ended June 30, 2010 compared to 92% for the year ended June 30, 2009. The decline in the gross profit percentage in fiscal year 2010 was primarily due to lower sales volume and unfavorable currency impact.

Sales and marketing expenses for the 2010 and 2009 fiscal years were \$0.8 million. General and administrative expenses for fiscal year 2010 increased by \$0.4 million or 8% from \$5.0 million in fiscal year 2009 to \$5.4 million in fiscal year 2010. This increase is primarily attributable to an increase of \$0.4 million in additional incentive compensation due to Dr. David M. Goldenberg in accordance with his employment agreement.

A gain of \$0.9 million was reported for the year ended June 30, 2010 on the sales and redemptions of auction rate securities with a carrying value of \$9.0 million (par value of \$11.3 million), as compared to a \$2.4 million temporary impairment charge on marketable securities associated with our investments in auction rate securities for the year ended June 30, 2009, partially offset by a \$69,000 gain on the settlement of auction rate securities. See discussion in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements for more information on our investments in auction rate securities.

Interest and other income decreased by \$0.4 million from \$1.2 million in fiscal year 2009 to \$0.8 million in fiscal year 2010. This decline was primarily the result of lower rates of return on investments and lower cash balances during the year. This decline in fiscal year 2010 was partially offset by an increase of \$77,000 for the amortization of the discount for the auction rate securities over the previous year.

For fiscal years 2010 and 2009, we recorded a tax benefit of \$1.0 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, as a result of our sale of approximately \$12.8 million and \$17.2 million of New Jersey state net operating losses, respectively. For the fiscal year 2010, we recorded a Federal income tax provision of \$0.1 million, which was offset by a similar Federal tax refund received for the fiscal year 2007 alternative minimum tax paid, (as provided by the Federal Troubled Asset Relief Program). In addition, for the fiscal year 2010 we recorded a \$0.2 million reduction of tax obligations of our foreign subsidiaries. For the fiscal year 2009, we recorded a Federal income tax provision of \$0.2 million and our foreign subsidiaries recorded a foreign tax provision of \$0.3 million. The tax benefits for fiscal years 2010 and 2009 were also partially offset by New Jersey state income tax provisions of \$6,000 and \$4,000, respectively.

Net income allocable to common stockholders for fiscal year 2010 is \$37.0 million, or \$0.49 per share as compared to \$2.3 million, or \$0.03 per share, in fiscal year 2009.

Fiscal Year 2009 compared to Fiscal Year 2008

Revenues for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 were \$30.0 million as compared to \$3.7 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008, representing an increase of \$26.3 million, or 711%. License fee and other revenue for the 2009 fiscal year was \$25.5 million compared to no license fee and other revenue in the 2008 fiscal year. The 2009 fiscal year included \$25.5 million of amortization of deferred revenue as a result of the Nycomed Agreement executed in August 2008. The 2008 fiscal year did not include any amortization of deferred revenues from the UCB Agreement due to the decision by UCB in February 2007 to stop patient enrollment into the SLE clinical trials, as discussed in our Critical Accounting Policy. Product sales for the year ended June 30, 2009 were \$3.5 million, as compared to \$3.4 million for the same period in 2008, representing an increase of \$0.1 million or 3% due to increased sales of LeukoScan in Europe over the previous year, partially offset by the unfavorable currency impact of the Euro. Research and development revenues for the year ended June 30, 2009 were \$1.0 million as compared to \$0.3 million for the same period of 2008, an increase of \$0.7 million or 233%. This increase was the result of there being three Phase II grant programs in effect for most of fiscal year 2009 as compared to two smaller Phase I grant programs in effect available in the previous year.

Total operating expenses for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 were \$27.5 million as compared to \$26.7 million in the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 decreased by \$0.7 million, or 3%, to \$21.5 million from \$22.2 million in fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 due primarily to expense reimbursements of \$2.5 million received from Nycomed, partially offset by additional employees and related salaries and employee benefits. Cost of goods sold for fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 decreased by \$0.1 million or 25% to \$0.3 million from \$0.4 million in fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 decreased by \$0.1 million or 25% for the 2008 fiscal year. The improvement in the gross profit percentage in fiscal year 2009 was primarily due to our expensing of work-in-process inventory that failed our quality assurance testing in fiscal 2008.

Sales and marketing expenses for fiscal years 2009 and 2008 were \$0.8 million. General and administrative expenses for fiscal year 2009 increased by \$1.7 million or 52% from \$3.3 million in fiscal year 2008 to \$5.0 million. This increase is primarily attributed to the termination of certain severance payments and insurance benefits as part of our previous employment agreement with Dr. David M. Goldenberg (\$0.6 million) and the termination of the split dollar insurance life insurance agreement and related liabilities (\$1.2 million) which occurred in the previous year, not recurring in the current year. Exclusive of the insurance related items, general and administrative expenses decreased \$0.1 million or 2% as compared to the prior year.

A charge of \$2.4 million was reported for the year ended June 30, 2009 for an other than temporary impairment charge on marketable securities associated with our investments in auction rate securities as compared to a charge of \$3.0 million reported for the year ended June 30, 2008. See discussion in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements for more information on our investments in auction rate securities and this other than temporary impairment charge.

Interest and other income for fiscal year 2009 decreased by \$1.1 million from \$2.3 million in fiscal year 2008 to \$1.2 million in fiscal year 2009, primarily due to the sale in the prior year of four executive life insurance contracts which were no longer deemed to be necessary (resulting in \$0.5 million of other income) and lower levels of investments as well as lower rates of return on investments. This decrease was partially offset by \$0.4 million for the amortization of the discount for the auction rate securities.

For fiscal years 2009 and 2008, we recorded a tax benefit of \$1.4 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, as a result of our sale of approximately \$17.2 million and \$13.2 million of New Jersey state net operating losses, respectively. For the 2009 fiscal year, we recorded a Federal income tax provision of \$0.2 million and our foreign

subsidiaries recorded a foreign tax provision of \$0.3 million. For the 2008 fiscal year, we recorded a Federal income tax provision of \$26,000 and our foreign subsidiaries recorded a foreign tax provision of \$0.3 million. The tax benefits for 2009 and 2008 fiscal years were also partially offset by New Jersey state income tax provisions of \$4,000 and \$14,000, respectively.

Net income allocable to common stockholders for fiscal year 2009 is \$2.3 million, or \$0.03 per share as compared to a net loss of \$22.9 million, or \$0.31 per share, in fiscal year 2008.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses for our product candidates in development were \$19.9 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, \$21.5 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 and \$22.2 million for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. Research and development expenses decreased by \$1.6 million in fiscal year 2010, or 7%, as compared to 2009. Research and development expenses decreased by \$0.7 million in 2009, or 3%, as compared to 2008.

We do not track expenses on the basis of each individual compound under investigation or through clinical trials and therefore we do not provide a breakdown of such historical information in that format. We evaluate projects under development from an operational perspective, including such factors as results of individual compounds from laboratory/animal testing, patient results and enrollment statistics in clinical trials. It is important to note that multiple product candidates are often tested simultaneously. It is not possible to calculate each antibody s supply costs. There are many different development processes and test methods that examine multiple product candidates at the same time. We have, historically, tracked our costs in the categories discussed below, specifically research costs and product development costs and by the types of costs outlined below.

Our research costs consists of outside costs associated with animal studies and costs associated with research and testing of our product candidates prior to reaching the clinical stage. Such research costs primarily include personnel costs, facilities, including depreciation, lab supplies, funding of outside contracted research and license fees. Our product development costs consist of costs from preclinical development (including manufacturing), conducting and administering clinical trials and patent expenses.

The following table sets forth a breakdown of our research and development expenses by those associated with research and those associated with product development for the periods indicated.

	Y	Years Ended June 30,			
	2010	2009 (in Thousands)	2008		
Research Costs	\$ 6,653	\$ 6,067	\$ 5,197		
Product Development Costs	13,201	15,418	17,012		
Total	\$ 19,854	\$ 21,485	\$ 22,209		

Research Costs

Research costs in total increased by \$0.6 million or 10% for the year ended June 30, 2010. Research costs in total increased by \$0.9 million or 17% for the year ended June 30, 2009. The changes in research costs primarily relate to the following:

Personnel costs in 2010 were \$2.7 million, an increase of \$0.1 million or 3% as compared to 2009 due primarily to salary increases. Personnel costs in 2009 were \$2.6 million, an increase of \$0.4 million or 16% as compared to 2008, a result of increased employee staffing levels. This increase was a result of an increase to the employee headcount to offset the previous years attrition.

The use of outside research and testing services in 2010 were \$0.5 million, a decrease of \$0.1 million or 17% compared to 2009. This decrease resulted from the level of spending for outside services that was not required from Federal grant program activities that were necessary in the previous year. Outside research and testing services in 2009 were \$0.6 million, an increase of \$0.3 million or 100% compared to 2008. This increase resulted from research activities performed for the Company for increased Federal grant program activities and other necessary commercial research support which was not available in our existing facility.

Lab supplies and chemical reagent costs were \$0.7 million in 2010, an increase of \$0.1 million or 17% from 2009. This increase was a result of the increased level of activities from the previous year. Lab supplies and chemical reagent costs were \$0.6 million in 2009, an increase of \$0.1 million or 20% from 2008. This increase was a result of the replenishment of supplies from the previous year arising from the Company s cost savings efforts during the 2008 fiscal year.

Indirect administrative and support services that are allocated to research based on research spending levels increased by \$0.3 million or 27% to \$1.4 million in fiscal year 2010, primarily resulting from employee related costs. Indirect administrative and support services for fiscal year 2009 were \$1.1 million as compared to \$0.9 million for fiscal year 2008, an increase of \$0.2 million or 22%, primarily as a result of the increase to employee related costs.

Product Development Costs

Product development costs for the year ended June 30, 2010 in total decreased by \$2.2 million or 14% as compared to 2009. Product development costs for the year ended June 30, 2009 in total decreased by \$1.6 million or 9% as compared to 2008. The changes in product development costs primarily relate to the following:

In 2010, the Company benefited from cost efficiencies realized on labor and overhead as a result of continued efforts on the development of veltuzumab for Nycomed. The Company received \$6.8 million in reimbursed product manufacturing expenses in the fiscal year 2010 compared to \$2.6 million in the fiscal year 2009. The Nycomed Agreement was completed in August 2008, therefore this agreement had no impact to the fiscal year 2008 results. The Company does not expect the level of reimbursement from Nycomed to continue at the 2010 level in 2011.

Clinical trial expenses in fiscal year 2010 were \$1.9 million, an increase of \$1.0 million or 111% over 2009, a result of the increased patient enrollment in clinical trials in 2010, primarily for the clivatuzumab tetraxetan (*h*PAM4) trials. Clinical trial expenses in fiscal year 2009 were \$0.9 million, an increase of \$0.8 million or 800% over 2008. This increase was a result of increased patient enrollment in clinical trials in 2010.

Personnel costs in 2010 were \$5.8 million, an increase of \$0.4 million or 7% as compared to 2009, primarily due to salary increases and higher staffing levels. Personnel costs in 2009 were \$5.4 million, an increase of \$0.2 million or 4% as compared to 2008, due primarily to salary increases. This increase resulted from an increase in employee staffing levels over 2008.

Patent expenses for 2010 were \$1.5 million, a reduction of \$1.2 million or 44% from 2009. This reduction was primarily due to the completion of patent related expenses for legal actions during the 2010 fiscal year, resulting in lower professional fees. Patent expenses for 2009 were \$2.7 million, a reduction of \$0.1 million or 4% from 2008. This reduction resulted from an effort to control patent filings and support expenses, including bringing in a number of these services into the Company, partially offset by high professional fees for patent litigation defense.

Lab supplies and chemical reagent costs were \$2.5 million in 2010, an increase of \$0.7 million or 39% over 2009. The increase in 2010 was primarily due to manufacturing development requirements for veltuzumab product as part of the Nycomed Agreement and increased requirements to higher levels of clinical trial participation. Lab supplies and chemical reagent costs were \$1.8 million in 2009, a decrease of \$0.1 million or 5% over 2008. The reduction in 2009 was primarily due to the timing of material purchases.

Expenses for outside testing were \$1.1 million in 2010, an increase of \$0.6 million or 120% from 2009. This increase was the result of increased testing for process validations and product safety testing for Nycomed related manufacturing. Expenses for outside testing were \$0.5 million in 2009, a decrease of \$0.7 million or 58% from 2008. This decrease was primarily from the reduction of the number of tests performed for process validations and product safety in 2009.

Indirect administrative and support services that are allocated to development based on development spending levels increased by \$0.2 million or 9% to \$2.5 million in fiscal year 2010, primarily resulting from employee related costs. Indirect administrative and support services for fiscal year 2009 of \$2.3 million were comparable to the 2008 fiscal year.

Completion of clinical trials may take several years or more. The length of time varies according to the type, complexity and the disease indication of the product candidate. We estimate that clinical trials of the type we generally conduct are typically completed over the following periods:

	Estimated
	Completion
Clinical Phase	Period
Phase I	1-2 Years
Phase II	1-3 Years
Phase III	2-5 Years

The duration and cost of clinical trials through each of the clinical phases may vary significantly over the life of a particular project as a result of, among other things, the following factors:

the length of time required to recruit qualified patients for clinical trials;

the duration of patient follow-up in light of trials results;

the number of clinical sites required for trials; and

the number of patients that ultimately participate. *Liquidity and Capital Resources*

Since its inception in 1982, Immunomedics principal sources of funds have been the private and public sale of debt and equity securities and revenues from licensing. There can be no assurance that Immunomedics will be able to raise the additional capital it will need on commercially acceptable terms if at all. If the Company were unable to raise capital on acceptable terms, its ability to continue its business would be materially and adversely affected.

Discussion of Cash Flows

Cash flows from operations. Net cash used in operating activities for the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$7.1 million, compared to cash provided by operations of \$21.3 million for the year ended June 30, 2009. The decline in the current year s cash flow from operations is primarily the result of the \$40.0 million upfront payment from the completion of the Nycomed Agreement that occurred in fiscal year 2009. This decline in 2010 is partially offset by the receipt of \$10.0 million milestone payments earned from the Nycomed Agreement.

For fiscal year 2008, despite the loss from operations of \$22.9 million for the year, the net cash used in operations was \$7.2 million lower than the loss on operations due to the receipt of \$3.3 million for the cash surrender value from the termination of executive life insurance policies in fiscal 2008 and non-cash charges, primarily the \$3.0 million impairment charge on marketable securities and \$1.6 million of depreciation expense.

Cash flows from investing. Net cash provided by investing activities for the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$9.2 million compared to \$60,000 of net cash provided by investing activities for the year ended 2009. In fiscal

year 2010, \$9.6 million of proceeds was received from the sales of certain auction rate securities and \$0.3 million was received from the redemptions of certain auction rate securities, which were partially offset by \$0.7 million of capital expenditures. In fiscal year 2009, proceeds of \$0.7 million were received from the redemptions of certain auction rate securities, offset by \$0.6 million of capital expenditures.

Net cash provided by investing activities for the year ended June 30, 2008 was \$4.0 million, the net result of \$4.2 million in net proceeds of the sale of certain auction rate securities, partially offset by \$0.2 million of capital expenditures.

Cash flows from financing. Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended June 30, 2010 was \$26,000, which is comprised of \$0.2 million from the exercise of stock options, offset by the settlement of 47,000 employee stock options for \$0.1 million. Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended June 30, 2009 was \$0.1 million, the result of the settlement of 204,000 employee stock options by the Company. For the year ended June 30, 2008, the net cash used in financing activities of \$1.2 million was primarily for the payment of debt.

At June 30, 2010, we had a working capital of \$28.6 million, representing an increase of \$48.8 million from the \$20.2 million working capital deficit at June 30, 2009. This increase in working capital in fiscal 2010 is primarily a result of the recognition in fiscal year 2010 of \$45.7 million of deferred revenue from the UCB and Nycomed Agreements which were classified as current liabilities as of June 30, 2009 and the cash proceeds from the sales and redemptions of \$9.9 million of auction rate securities during the 2010 fiscal year. Partially offsetting this increase in working capital was our use of cash in operations of \$7.1 million during the year.

At June 30, 2009, we had a working capital deficit of \$20.2 million, representing a decline of \$44.4 million from \$24.2 million of working capital at June 30, 2008. The decrease in working capital for the 2009 fiscal year as compared to the 2008 fiscal year is primarily a result of the reclassification of our ARS to non-current assets at December 31, 2008 due to the existing market conditions and the failure of a market to develop for ARS and the reclassification of \$31.1 million of the UCB Agreement deferred revenue outstanding from long-term to current, as the deferred revenue was reported as revenue in the first quarter of the 2010 fiscal year. Partially offsetting these classification changes was the receipt of the \$40.0 million of upfront payment for the Nycomed Agreement in August 2008, a portion of which (\$14.5 million) is included as deferred revenue in current liabilities in the balance sheet and which was recognized in the 2010 fiscal year. The upfront proceeds from the Nycomed Agreement was utilized to fund the cash used in operations of \$18.7 million, (which represents net income excluding the \$25.5 million of license fee revenue that was recognized under the Nycomed Agreement).

Our cash and cash equivalents of \$29.5 million at June 30, 2010 represent an increase of \$2.1 million from \$27.4 million at June 30, 2009. Our cash and cash equivalents of \$27.4 million at June 30, 2009, represent an increase of \$21.3 million from \$6.1 million at June 30, 2008. The increase for fiscal year 2010 was primarily attributable to the sales and redemptions of \$9.9 million of certain auction rate securities, partially offset by our use of cash in operations. The increase for fiscal year 2009 was primarily attributable to our receipt of the \$40.0 million upfront payment from the Nycomed Agreement, partially offset by our use of cash in operations for the year ended June 30, 2009. Subsequent to June 30, 2010, we received \$1.0 million in cash for the sale of one of the ARS (with a par value of \$1.1 million).

Our remaining auction rate securities consist primarily of AAA rated securities that have an estimated fair value of \$9.2 million. Auctions for our invested amounts began failing in February 2008 and have not succeeded since then, and we have been unable to liquidate our auction rate securities at par. In the event we need or desire to access these funds, we will not be able to do so until a future auction on these investments is successful or a buyer is found outside the auction process. If a buyer is found, such buyer may only be willing to purchase the investments at a price below par. Further, rating downgrades of the security issuer or the third-parties insuring such investments may further impact our ability to auction or sell these securities.

It is possible that the potential lack of liquidity in our auction rate security investments could adversely affect our ability to fund our future operations. We cannot predict whether future auctions related to auction rate securities will be successful. We are currently seeking alternatives for reducing our exposure to the auction rate market, but may not be able to identify any such alternative.

With the \$29.5 million of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents at June 30, 2010, we believe we have sufficient funds to continue our operations and research and development programs for at least the next twelve months. During fiscal 2011, cash expenditures for our current research and development programs will be at a higher level than in fiscal year 2010 due to increased spending for research and development, lower reimbursement from Nycomed and increased clinical trial activities, while a number of new clinical studies are supported by the Company and our corporate partners. We are also advancing plans to initiate a Phase III registration trial of veltuzumab in non-Hodgkin s lymphoma, for which we are considering a number of funding alternatives in the event we decide to begin this trial.

We expect research and development activities to continue to expand over time and we do not believe we will have adequate cash to complete our research and development compounds in our development pipeline in line with our corporate strategy. As a result, we will continue to require additional financial resources in order to continue our research and development programs, clinical trials of product candidates and regulatory filings. Our ability to raise capital through public and private debt or equity financings may be negatively impacted by the recent downturn in the economy. There can be no assurances that financing will be available when we need it on terms acceptable to us, if at all.

We continue to evaluate various programs to raise additional capital and to seek additional revenues from the licensing of our proprietary technologies. There can be no assurance that we will be able to raise the additional capital we will need on commercially acceptable terms, if at all. If we are unable to raise capital on acceptable terms, our ability to continue our business would be materially and adversely affected. At the present time, we are unable to determine whether any of these future activities will be successful and, if so, the terms and timing of any definitive agreements.

Actual results could differ materially from our expectations as a result of a number of risks and uncertainties, including the risks described in Item 1A Risk Factors, Factors That May Affect Our Business and Results of Operations, and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our working capital and working capital requirements are affected by numerous factors and such factors may have a negative impact on our liquidity. Principal among these are the success of product commercialization and marketing products, the technological advantages and pricing of our products, the impact of the regulatory requirements applicable to us, and access to capital markets that can provide us with the resources when necessary to fund our strategic priorities.

Contractual Commitments

Our major contractual obligations relate to an operating lease for our facility and employment contracts in effect for our Chairman of the Board, Chief Medical Officer and Chief Scientific Officer and the President/Chief Executive Officer. We have identified and quantified the significant commitments in the following table for the fiscal years ending June 30:

	Payments Due by Period							
Contractual Obligation	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	There	after	Total
				(in thous	sands)			
Operating Lease (1)	\$ 636	\$ 758	\$819	\$819	\$819	\$ 5	5,865	\$ 9,716
Employment Contracts (2)	\$ 1,492	150	150	150				\$ 1,942
TOTAL	\$ 2,128	\$ 908	\$ 969	\$ 969	\$819	\$ 5	5,865	\$ 11,658

(1) In November 2001, we renewed our operating lease for our Morris Plains, New Jersey facility for an additional term of 20 years expiring in October 2021 at a base annual rate of \$545,000, which included an

additional 15,000 square feet. In June 2009, we amended the lease agreement to add an additional 11,000 square feet of commercial office space to lease the entire facility, which we anticipate will occur by December 31, 2010. The lease is for the same lease term, expiring in October 2021. After the facility expansion takes effect, the base annual rate will be at \$636,000, which rate is fixed through October 2011 and increases thereafter every five years.

(2) Included are employment contracts with both David M. Goldenberg, our Chief Medical Officer and Chief Scientific Officer, and Cynthia Sullivan, our President/Chief Executive Officer. The four-year employment contract with David M. Goldenberg was entered into effective July 1, 2007. This contract also included a minimum royalty agreement, a percentage of the consideration the Company receives from licensing agreements, sales of intellectual properties and disposition of undeveloped assets, as disclosed in the employment agreement. The amounts included above are only the minimum payments and do not include possible additional incentive compensation included in the employment contract.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued guidance on revenue recognition related to multiple-element arrangements. This new guidance requires companies to allocate revenue in multiple-element arrangements based on an element s estimated selling price if vendor-specific or other third party evidence of value is not available. This guidance is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted retrospectively from the beginning of an entity s fiscal year. We do not expect this will have a significant impact our financial statements. In June 2009, the FASB issued The FASB Accounting Standards Codification (the Codification), which became the source of U.S. generally accepted accounting principles to be applied to nongovernmental entities. The Codification superseded all existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards and was effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. Since it is not intended to change or alter existing U.S. GAAP, this pronouncement did not have any impact on our financial statements.

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance on Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. This new guidance requires companies to separately disclose information about the purchases, sales, issuances and settlements for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (level 3). The disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. We do not expect this will have a significant impact on our financial statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The following discussion about our exposure to market risk of financial instruments contains forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Actual results may differ materially from those described due to a number of factors, including uncertainties associated with general economic conditions and conditions impacting our industry.

During the early part of 2008, securities known as auction rate securities (ARS), which historically have had a liquid market and had their interest rates reset periodically (e.g., monthly) through dutch auctions, began to fail. These widespread failures have continued to date. Consequently, the investments are not currently liquid and the Company will not be able to access these funds until a future auction of these investments is successful, the issuer redeems the securities, or a buyer is found outside of the auction process, of which there is no assurance. As of June 30, 2010, the Company has \$11.0 million invested in ARS with long-term nominal maturities for which interest rates are reset through a dutch-auction each month. The Company s investments in ARS all currently have AAA/Aaa credit ratings and interest continues to be paid by the issuers of the securities. The ARS held are all AAA rated collateralized by student loans guaranteed by the U.S. government under the Federal Family Education Loan Program and backed by insurance companies.

The estimated fair market value at June 30, 2010, of the Company s ARS with continuing auction failures totaled approximately \$9.2 million. Subsequent to June 30, 2010 we sold one of the ARS with a par value of \$1.1 million in a secondary market at a discounted value of \$1.0 million. The other two auction rate securities are not currently liquid and the Company will not be able to access these funds until a future auction of these investments is successful or a buyer is found outside of the auction process, of which there is no assurance. The Company estimated the fair value of the remaining auction rate securities using a discounted cash flow model to determine the estimated fair value of its investment in ARS as of June 30, 2010. The Company reviews for impairment in order to determine the classification of the impairment as temporary or other-than-temporary. A temporary impairment charge results in an unrealized loss being recorded in the other comprehensive income (loss) component of stockholders equity. This treatment is appropriate when a loss in an investment is determined to be temporary in nature and the Company has the intent and ability to hold the investment until a recovery in market value takes place. Such an unrealized loss does not affect net income for the applicable accounting period. An other-than-temporary impairment charge is recorded as a realized loss in the consolidated statement of operations and reduces net income for the applicable accounting period.

The table below presents the amounts and related weighted average interest rates by fiscal year of maturity for our investment portfolio in marketable securities as of June 30, 2010:

		Expected Maturity Date							
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 (iı	the	16 and creafter ousands)	Total	Fair Value
Variable rate	\$ 1,100					\$	9,900	\$ 11,000	\$ 9,179
Average Interest rate	1.85%						1.62%	1.64%	

We may be exposed to fluctuations in foreign currencies in regards to certain agreements with service providers relating to certain clinical trials that are in process. Depending on the strengthening or weakening of the U.S. dollar, realized and unrealized currency fluctuations could be significant.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Immunomedics, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Immunomedics, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), stockholders equity (deficit) and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2010. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Immunomedics, Inc. and subsidiaries at June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related consolidated financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Immunomedics, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated August 26, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

MetroPark, New Jersey

August 26, 2010

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	June 30			
		2010		2009
ASSETS				
Current Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	29,533,230) \$	27,390,778
Auction rate securities current		957,000)	
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$52,000 and \$133,000 at June 30,				
2010 and 2009, respectively		428,574		702,021
Inventory		534,709)	232,920
Other receivables		766,441		1,128,835
Prepaid expenses		449,809		375,934
Other current assets		329,928	3	396,293
Total current assets		32,999,691	l	30,226,781
Property and equipment, net		4,327,801		5,079,354
Auction rate securities non-current		8,222,154		17,458,349
Value of life insurance policies		542,463	3	486,428
Other long-term assets		30,000)	30,000
	\$	46,122,109) \$	53,280,912
	Ŷ	,,,,,,	Ŷ	00,200,912
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Current Liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$	4,424,216	5 S	4,746,286
Deferred revenues current portion	φ	4,424,210	φ	45,685,385
Detened revenues current portion				45,085,585
		4 42 4 21 4	~	50 401 (71
Total current liabilities		4,424,216		50,431,671
Other liabilities		979,278	5	872,700
Commitments and Contingencies				
Stockholders equity:				
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 10,000,000 shares; no shares issued and outstanding at				
June 30, 2010 and 2009				
Common stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 110,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding		772 0 4 7		751 070
75,296,565 shares and 75,137,831 shares at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively		752,965		751,378
Capital contributed in excess of par		242,910,779		241,077,890
Treasury stock, at cost: 34,725 shares at June 30, 2010 and 2009	6	(458,370	/	(458,370)
Accumulated deficit	(202,827,973		(239,824,199)
Accumulated other comprehensive income		341,214		429,842
			_	
Total stockholders equity		40,718,615	5	1,976,541
	\$	46,122,109	\$	53,280,912

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

	2010	Years ended June 30 2009	, 2008
Revenues:			
Product sales	\$ 3,146,497	\$ 3,538,883	\$ 3,402,076
License fee and other revenues	55,685,385	25,509,000	
Research and development	2,098,460	972,883	248,619
Total revenues	60,930,342	30,020,766	3,650,695
Costs and Expenses:			
Costs of goods sold	960,222	283,612	443,601
Research and development	19,853,880	21,484,857	22,208,671
Sales and marketing	834,469	810,501	780,049
General and administrative	5,348,640	4,959,507	3,257,162
Total costs and expenses	26,997,211	27,538,477	26,689,483
Operating income (loss)	33,933,131	2,482,289	(23,038,788)
Gain on sales and redemptions of auction rate securities	915,611	69,174	(23,030,700)
Impairment charge on auction rate securities	713,011	(2,349,894)	(2,950,000)
Interest and other income	788,855	1,181,363	2,256,553
Interest expense	700,000	(6,500)	(64,716)
Minority interest		(0,500)	76,126
Foreign currency transaction gain (loss), net	129,744	(3,125)	121,425
Income (loss) before income tax benefit	35,767,341	1,373,307	(23,599,400)
Income tax benefit	1,228,885	900,386	690,326
Net income (loss)	\$ 36,996,226	\$ 2,273,693	\$ (22,909,074)
Earnings per common share basic	¢ 0.40	¢ 0.02	¢ (0.21)
Net income (loss)	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.03	\$ (0.31)
Earnings per common share diluted			
Net income (loss)	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.03	\$ (0.31)
Weighted average shares used to calculate earnings per common share: Basic	75,200,866	75,125,067	75,092,779
Diluted	75,994,190	76,082,782	75,092,779
Comprehensive income (loss):			
Net income (loss)	\$ 36,996,226	\$ 2,273,693	\$ (22,909,074)
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax:			
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(297,324)	(120,739)	127,104
Unrealized gain on securities available for sale	208,696	· · · ·	4,680
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(88,628)	(120,739)	131,784

Comprehensive income (loss)

\$ 36,907,598 \$ 2,152,954 **\$** (22,777,290)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)

	Preferr	ed Stock	Commo	n Stock	Capital Contributed in Excess of	Treasury	Accumulated	Accumulated Other Comprehensive	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Par	Stock	Deficit	Income	Total
Balance, at June 30, 2007			75,062,164	\$750,621	\$ 238,808,181	\$ (458,370)	\$ (219,188,818)	\$ 418,797	\$ 20,330,411
Exercise of options to purchase									
common stock			45,000	450	83,750				84,200
Stock based compensation					999,627				999,627
Other comprehensive income								131,784	131,784
Net (loss)							(22,909,074)		(22,909,074)
Balance, at June 30, 2008			75,107,164	751,071	239,891,558	(458,370)	(242,097,892)	550,581	(1,363,052)
Exercise/(settlement) of stock				,					
options			4,000	40	(144,080)				(144,040)
Stock based compensation			26,667	267	1,330,412				1,330,679
Other comprehensive loss								(120,739)	(120,739)
Net income							2,273,693		2,273,693
Balance, at June 30, 2009			75,137,831	751,378	241,077,890	(458,370)	(239,824,199)	429,842	1,976,541
Exercise/(settlement) of stock								,	
options, net			65,688	656	24,960				25,616
Stock based compensation			93,046	931	1,807,929				1,808,860
Other comprehensive loss								(88,628)	(88,628)
Net income							36,996,226		36,996,226
Balance, at June 30, 2010			75,296,565	\$ 752,965	\$ 242,910,779	\$ (458,370)	\$ (202,827,973)	\$ 341,214	\$ 40,718,615

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	2010	Years ended June 30 2009), 2008
Cash flows from operating activities:	2010	2009	2008
Net income (loss)	\$ 36,996,226	\$ 2,273,693	\$ (22,909,074)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating	+ - • , • , •	+ _,,	+ (,, ., ., ., .)
activities:			
Depreciation	1,436,017	1,483,800	1,558,546
Receipt of proceeds from Nycomed Agreement	1,100,011	40,000,000	1,000,010
Amortization of deferred revenue	(45,685,385)	(25,460,000)	
Sales of life insurance policies	(,,)	(,,,)	3,320,218
Impairment charge on marketable securities		2,349,894	2,950,000
Amortization of discounts of auction rate securities.	(466,498)	(389,069)	_,, _ ,, _ , _ , _ ,
Gain on sales/redemptions of auction rate securities	(915,611)	(69,174)	
Minority interest	() 10,011)	(0),111)	(76,126)
(Credit) provision for allowance for doubtful accounts	(81,242)	(58,751)	82,790
Inventory reserve.	600,000	(50,751)	02,770
Non-cash stock based compensation	1,808,860	1,330,679	999,627
Other	(297,324)	(120,739)	131,784
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	(1) (,514)	(120,757)	151,701
Accounts receivable	354,689	414,704	(432,552)
Inventories	(901,789)	237,044	(162,055)
Other receivables	362,394	(959,430)	(31,932)
Prepaid Expenses	(73,875)	58,371	15,404
Other current assets	66,365	(353,663)	86,210
Other long-term assets	00,505	(555,005)	1,264
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(322,070)	564,050	572,750
Other liabilities	106,578	106,577	106,577
Value of life insurance policies	(56,035)	(65,654)	(122,454)
Deferred compensation	(30,033)	(05,054)	(1,826,885)
		21.242.222	(15 535 000)
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(7,068,700)	21,342,332	(15,735,908)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchase of marketable and restricted securities			(334,000,000)
Proceeds from maturities and redemptions of marketable securities	9,870,000	700,000	338,145,320
Additions to property and equipment	(684,464)	(639,984)	(174,031)
radiations to property and equipment	(001,101)	(05),501)	(171,001)
Net cash provided by investing activities	9,185,536	60,016	3,971,289
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Payments of debt	A = ///	(1.1.1.0.10)	(1,275,200)
Exercise/(settlement) of stock options, net	25,616	(144,040)	84,200
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	25,616	(144,040)	(1,191,000)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	2,142,452	21,258,308	(12,955,619)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	27,390,778	6,132,470	19,088,089
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	41,370,110	0,132,470	17,000,009
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 29,533,230	\$ 27,390,778	\$ 6,132,470
Supplemental information for the statement of cash flows:			
Cash paid for interest	\$	\$ 6,500	\$ 64,716

Edgar Filing: IMMUNOMEDICS INC - Form 10-K

Cash paid for income taxes

	\$	658,609	\$ 391,200	\$ 189,743
See accompanying notes to consolidated fi	nancial	statements.		

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Business Overview

Immunomedics, Inc., a Delaware corporation (Immunomedics or the Company) is a biopharmaceutical company focused on the development of monoclonal antibody-based products for the targeted treatment of cancer, autoimmune and other serious diseases. The Company has continued to transition its focus away from the development and commercialization of diagnostic imaging products in order to accelerate the development of its therapeutic product candidates, although the Company manufactures and commercializes its LeukoScan[®] product in territories where regulatory approvals have previously been granted, in Europe, Canada and in certain other markets outside the U.S. LeukoScan is indicated for diagnostic imaging for determining the location and extent of infection/inflammation in bone in patients with suspected osteomyelitis, including patients with diabetic foot ulcers. The Company has two foreign subsidiaries, Immunomedics B.V. in the Netherlands and Immunomedics GmbH in Darmstadt, Germany, to assist the Company in managing sales efforts and coordinating clinical trials in Europe. In addition, included in the accompanying financial statements is the majority-owned U.S. subsidiary, IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (IBC), which has been working since 1999 on the development of novel cancer radiotherapeutics using patented pre-targeting technologies with proprietary, bispecific antibodies.

Immunomedics is subject to significant risks and uncertainties, including, without limitation, our inability to further identify, develop and achieve commercial success for new products and technologies; the possibility of delays in the research and development necessary to select drug development candidates and delays in clinical trials; the risk that clinical trials may not result in marketable products; the risk that the Company may be unable to successfully finance and secure regulatory approval of and market its drug candidates; its dependence upon pharmaceutical and biotechnology collaborations; the levels and timing of payments under its collaborative agreements; uncertainties about the Company s ability to obtain new corporate collaborations and acquire new technologies on satisfactory terms, if at all; the development of competing products; its ability to protect its proprietary technologies; patent-infringement claims; and risks of new, changing and competitive technologies and regulations in the United States and internationally. For more detail regarding such risks and uncertainties please refer to Risk Factors in Item 1A.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had cash and cash equivalents totaling \$29.5 million. As a result of receiving payments of \$10.0 million from the achievement of milestones under the July 11, 2008 License and Collaboration Agreement, (the Nycomed Agreement) with Nycomed GmbH (Nycomed) (see Note 11) and the receipt of \$9.9 million from the sales and redemptions of auction rate securities (ARS) held by the Immunomedics, the Company has sufficient funds to continue its operations and its research and development programs for at least the next twelve months. Cash expenditures in fiscal year 2011 are expected to be at a higher level than in fiscal year 2010 due to increased spending for current research and development activities and clinical trials for the therapeutic product candidates. The Company is also advancing plans to initiate a Phase III registration trial of veltuzumab in non-Hodgkin s lymphoma, for which its considering a number of funding alternatives in the event the Company decides to begin this trial. Research and development activities are expected to continue to expand over time and the Company does not believe it will have adequate cash to complete its research and development of compounds in its development pipeline in line with its corporate strategy. Immunomedics is actively considering financing alternatives to fund these projects as market conditions permit, potentially through debt or equity financings and through collaborative marketing and distribution agreements. The Company continues to evaluate various programs to raise additional capital and to seek additional revenues from the licensing of its proprietary technologies.

Since its inception in 1982, Immunomedics principal sources of funds have been the private and public sale of debt and equity securities and revenues from licensing. There can be no assurance that Immunomedics will be able to raise the additional capital it will need to complete its R&D programs on commercially acceptable terms if at all. If the Company were unable to raise capital on acceptable terms, its ability to continue its business would be materially and adversely affected.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation and Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Immunomedics and its majority-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Foreign Currencies

For subsidiaries outside of the United States that operate in a local currency environment, income and expense items are translated to United States dollars at the monthly average rates of exchange prevailing during the year, assets and liabilities are translated at year-end exchange rates and equity accounts are translated at historical exchange rates. Translation adjustments are accumulated in a separate component of stockholders equity in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and are included in the determination of comprehensive income (loss) in the Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity (Deficit). Transaction gains and losses are included in the determination of net income in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, the cumulative unrealized foreign currency translation gain included in other comprehensive income was approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

Accounts Receivable

Credit is extended to customers based upon an evaluation of the customer s financial condition. Accounts receivable are recorded at net realizable value. Past due balances are based on contractual terms.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company utilizes a specific identification accounts receivable reserve methodology based on a review of outstanding balances and previous activities to determine the allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company charges off uncollectible receivables at the time the Company determines the receivable is no longer collectible. The Company does not require collateral or other security to support financial instruments subject to credit risk. The impact on the operating profit (loss) for a one percentage point change in the allowance for doubtful accounts is less than \$1,000.

Concentration of Credit Risk

As of June 30, 2010, the Company has \$11.0 million of principal invested in auction rate securities (ARS), which represents interests in student loans and student loan revenue bonds. These securities have long-term nominal maturities for which interest rates are reset through a dutch-auction each month and these auctions had historically provided a liquid market for these securities. There have been no successful auctions subsequent to February 2008 for any of the ARS held by the Company. The estimated fair market value of these ARS at June 30, 2010, is approximately \$9.2 million, of which \$1.0 million has been classified as current assets and \$8.2 million as non-current assets on the consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2010. See the discussion below on Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments for a discussion of valuation assumptions utilized by the Company to estimate the fair value of its ARS.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company has categorized its financial assets, based on the priority of the inputs to the valuation technique, into a three-level fair value hierarchy as set forth below. The Company does not have any financial liabilities that are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. If the inputs used to measure the financial instruments fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the categorization is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument.

Financial assets recorded on the consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2010 are categorized based on the inputs to the valuation techniques as follows (in thousands):

Level 1 Financial assets whose values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market which the company has the ability to access at the measurement date (examples include active exchange-traded equity securities and most U.S. Government and agency securities).

Level 2 Financial assets whose value are based on quoted market prices in markets where trading occurs infrequently or whose values are based on quoted prices of instruments with similar attributes in active markets.

Level 3 Financial assets whose values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. These inputs reflect management s own assumptions about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing the asset.

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Money Market Funds	\$ 25,262	\$	\$	\$ 25,262
Auction Rate Securities		957	8,222	9,179
Total	\$ 25,262	\$ 957	\$ 8,222	\$ 34,441

The money market funds noted above are included in cash and cash equivalents in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company sold one holding of its ARS to a third party in July 2010 (see Note 15). Although the market is not active, these securities were valued based on the July 2010 transaction. The other two auction rate securities were estimated using a discounted cash flow model as of June 30, 2010. See Note 3 for a description of the assumptions and methods used to estimate the fair value of the ARS.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the financial assets categorized as Level 3 in the table above (in thousands):

	Using Unobse (I Auc	Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) Auction Rate Securities	
Beginning balance at June 30, 2009	\$	17,458	
Total gains or (losses) (realized or unrealized):			
Included in earnings		1,382	
Included in other comprehensive income		209	
Settlements		(9,870)	
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3		(957)	
Ending balance at June 30, 2010	\$	8,222	
Change in unrealized gain relating to assets still held at the reporting date	\$	209	

Reimbursement of Expenses

Research and development costs that are reimbursable under collaboration agreements are included as a reduction of research and development expenses. The Company records these reimbursements as a reduction of research and development expenses as the Company s partner in the collaboration agreement has the financial risks and responsibility for conducting these research and development activities.

Inventory

Inventory, which consists of the finished product and work in process of LeukoScan, is stated at the lower of average cost (which approximates first-in, first-out) or market, and includes materials, labor and manufacturing overhead. An inventory reserve is recorded for finished product that is not deemed to be saleable, if necessary. During fiscal 2010, the Company performed and completed its standard quality control testing procedures for certain batches of LeukoScan work-in-process inventory (total value of \$0.6 million). When the results of the quality control testing became available in April 2010, it was determined that due to a third-party manufacturer s process deviation the product did not meet the Company s quality control standards. The Company therefore established an inventory reserve for this specific work-in-process inventory which is on hand as of June 30, 2010.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost and are depreciated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives (5-10 years) of the respective assets. Leasehold improvements are capitalized and amortized over the lesser of the initial life of the lease or the estimated useful life of the asset. Immunomedics reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances occur that indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. Immunomedics assesses the recoverability of long-lived assets held and to be used based on undiscounted cash flows, and measures the impairment, if any, using discounted cash flows. To date the Company has not taken any impairment charges on property and equipment.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Revenue Recognition

The Company accounts for revenue arrangements that include multiple deliverables as a separate unit of accounting if: a) the delivered item has value to the customer on a standalone basis, b) there is objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered items and c) if the right of return exists, delivery of the undelivered items is considered probable and substantially in the control of the vendor. If these criteria are not met, the revenue elements must be considered a single unit of accounting for purposes of revenue recognition. The Company has concluded that the License and Collaboration Agreement dated July 11, 2008, or the Nycomed Agreement, with Nycomed GmbH, and the Development, Collaboration and License Agreement dated May 9, 2006 with UCB, S.A., or the UCB Agreement, should be accounted for as a single unit of accounting.

The Company amortized the \$40.0 million payment received as part of the Nycomed Agreement over the expected obligation period, which was originally estimated to be December 2009. During the 2010 fiscal year this amortization period was changed to March 2010, when all obligations under this agreement were completed.

The Company also concluded that the \$38.0 million payment received from UCB should be amortized over the expected obligation period of the UCB Agreement, which was initially estimated to end in November 2009. However, as previously disclosed, during the 2007 fiscal year, UCB decided to stop further new patient enrollment into the Systemic Lupus Erythematosus, or SLE, clinical trials designed and initiated by us. UCB ultimately decided to establish new protocols under which new clinical trials for the treatment of SLE would be conducted and subsequently terminated the then existing SLE clinical trials that had been designed and initiated by Immunomedics.

As a result of the UCB decision to terminate the two Phase III SLE trials, initiated by Immunomedics, the Company was no longer able to determine how these decisions would impact the obligation period for its remaining potential manufacturing responsibilities under the terms of the agreement with UCB. Accordingly, beginning in the third quarter of fiscal 2007, Immunomedics ceased amortizing to revenue the deferred revenue recorded with the receipt of the up front payments from UCB at the inception of the license agreement. In August 2009, UCB relieved Immunomedics of its remaining obligation to supply UCB with any further supplies. Therefore, as the Company s last obligation under the agreement was legally terminated, the Company amortized the remainder of the upfront payment (\$31.1 million) received from UCB as revenue in the first quarter of the 2010 fiscal year.

Payments received under contracts to fund certain research activities are recognized as revenue in the period in which the research activities are performed. Payments received in advance that are related to future performance are deferred and recognized as revenue when the research projects are performed. Upfront nonrefundable fees associated with license and development agreements where the Company has continuing involvement in the agreement are recorded as deferred revenue and recognized over the estimated service period. If the estimated service period is subsequently modified, the period over which the upfront fee is recognized is modified accordingly on a prospective basis.

Milestone payments are recognized as revenue upon the achievement of mutually agreed milestones, provided that (i) the milestone event is substantive and its achievement is not reasonably assured at the inception of the agreement, and (ii) there are no continuing performance obligations associated with the milestone payment. During the 2010 fiscal year, the Company recorded revenue of \$10.0 million for milestone payments under the terms of the Nycomed Agreement.

Revenue from the sale of diagnostic products is recorded when there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is fixed and determinable and collectability is reasonably assured. Allowances, if any, are established for uncollectible amounts, estimated product returns and discounts.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

Income Taxes

The Company uses the asset and liability method to account for income taxes, including the recognition of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities for the anticipated future tax consequences attributable to differences between financial statements amounts and their respective tax bases. The Company reviews its deferred tax assets for recovery. A valuation allowance is established when the Company believes that it is more likely than not that its deferred tax assets will not be realized. Changes in valuation allowances from period to period are included in the Company s tax provision in the period of change.

Benefits received resulting from the sale of the Company s State of New Jersey net operating losses (NOL) are recognized as a tax benefit when the NOL is approved for sale by the State of New Jersey.

The Company does not have an accrual for uncertain tax positions as of June 30, 2010 or 2009. The U.S. Federal statute of limitation remains open for the fiscal years 2005 onward. State income tax returns are generally subject to examination for a period of 3-5 years after filing of the respective return.

Net Income (Loss) Per Share Allocable to Common Stockholders

Basic net income (loss) per share is based upon the number of weighted average number of shares of common stock and vested shares outstanding. Diluted net income per share is based upon the weighted average number of shares of common stock and dilutive potential shares of common stock outstanding. Potential shares of common stock result from the assumed exercise of outstanding stock options, with exercise prices less than the average market price of the Company s common stock during the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, are calculated under the treasury stock method.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) consists of net income (loss), net unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale and foreign currency translation adjustments and is presented in the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss).

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company s 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the Plan) permits the grant of options and shares to its employees for up to 8 million shares of common stock. A summary of this plan is provided in Note 7. The Company believes that such awards better align the interests of its employees with those of its shareholders. Option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company s stock at the date of grant; those option awards generally vest based on four years of continuous service and have seven year contractual terms. Certain options provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the Plan).

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The fair value of each option granted during the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions in the following table:

	•	Years ended June 30,		
	2010	2009	2008	
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%	
Expected option term (years)	5.78	5.31	5.40	
Expected stock price volatility	92%	92%	93%	
Risk-free interest rate	2.77% 3.32%	1.92% 3.71%	2.88% 5.11%	

The weighted average fair value at the date of grant for options granted during the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$2.59, \$1.88 and \$2.93 per share, respectively. The Company uses historical data to estimate forfeitures. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. Expected stock price volatility was calculated on ten-year daily stock trading history. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The Company has 1,675,117 non-vested options and restricted stock shares outstanding. As of June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 there was \$3.4 million, \$4.3 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plan. That cost is being recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.7 years. The weighted average of remaining contractual terms of the exercisable shares is 3.62 years and 3.95 years as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, other current assets and current liabilities approximate fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments. The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. The fair value of the marketable securities was estimated by the Company using a discounted cash flow model, as discussed in Note 3.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance on revenue recognition related to multiple-element arrangements. This new guidance requires companies to allocate revenue in multiple-element arrangements based on an element s estimated selling price if vendor-specific or other third party evidence of value is not available. This guidance is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted retrospectively from the beginning of an entity s fiscal year. The Company does not expect this will have a significant impact on the financial statements of the Company.

In June 2009, the FASB issued The FASB Accounting Standards Codification (the Codification), which became the source of U.S. generally accepted accounting principles to be applied to nongovernmental entities. The Codification superseded all existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards and was effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. Since it is not intended to change or alter existing U.S. GAAP, this pronouncement did not have any impact on the Company s financial statements.

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued guidance on Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. This new guidance requires companies to separately disclose information about the purchases, sales, issuances and settlements for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (level 3). The disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and for interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect this will have a significant impact on the financial statements of the Company.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

3. Auction Rate Securities

The Company s securities, for which there is not the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as available-for-sale and are carried at fair value. Unrealized holding gains and losses, which are deemed to be temporary, on securities classified as available-for-sale are classified as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive loss. Immunomedics considers all of its auction rate securities to be available-for-sale at June 30, 2010 and 2009 as shown below (in thousands):

	Adjusted Cost Basis	Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized Loss	Estimated Fair Value
June 30, 2010				
Auction Rate Securities	\$ 8,970	\$ 209	\$	\$ 9,179
	\$ 8,970	\$ 209	\$	\$ 9,179
June 30, 2009				
Auction Rate Securities	\$ 17,458	\$	\$	\$ 17,458
	¢ 17 450	¢	¢	¢ 17450
	\$ 17,458	\$	\$	\$ 17,458

ARS are debt instruments that represent investments in pools of assets. These ARS investments are intended to provide liquidity via an auction process that resets the applicable interest rate at predetermined calendar intervals, allowing investors to either roll over their holdings or gain immediate liquidity by selling such interests at par. ARS have long-term scheduled maturities, ranging from 2032 to 2046, but have interest rates that were typically reset at pre-determined intervals, (every 28 days for the securities purchased by the Company), at which time the securities would typically be purchased or sold, creating a liquid market. When there was an active market for such investments, the reset rate for each instrument is an opportunity to accept the rates that reset or sell the instrument at its face value in order to seek an alternative investment. In the past, the auction process has allowed investors to roll over their holdings or obtain immediate liquidity by selling the securities at par. The auctions failed during fiscal 2008 and have not settled in an active market since that time. The continued uncertainties in the credit markets have affected the Company s holdings in ARS investments as the auctions for these securities have failed to settle on their respective settlement dates.

The ARS held are primarily AAA rated collateralized by student loans guaranteed by the U.S. government under the Federal Family Education Loan Program and backed by insurance companies. To date, the Company has collected all interest payable on all of the ARS when due and expects to continue to do so in the future.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company held three ARS with a par value of \$11.0 million. Subsequent to June 30, 2010, the Company sold one of the ARS with a par value of \$1.1 million in the secondary market at a discounted value of \$1.0 million (see Note 15). The remaining two ARS held by the Company are not currently liquid and the Company will not be able to access these funds until a future auction of these investments is successful or a buyer is found outside of the auction process, of which there is no assurance.

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company sold three ARS for \$9.6 million (par value of \$11.0 million), with an adjusted cost basis of \$8.7 million, in the secondary market, and \$0.3 million of ARS were redeemed at par value, resulting in gains of \$0.9 million. During the year ended June 30, 2009, the Company settled \$0.7 million of ARS at par value, resulting in gains of \$69,000. These gains were recorded as other income in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the year ended June 30, 2008, the Company settled \$6.0 million of ARS at par value resulting in no gain or loss.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

As a result of the Company s assessment of a number of factors, including without limitation, market conditions and the credit quality of these securities, the Company determined that the estimated fair value of the remaining ARS is less than par value, although the Company continues to earn interest on its ARS at the maximum contractual rate. The Company used a discounted cash flow model to determine the estimated fair value of its remaining non-current investment in ARS of \$11.0 million as of June 30, 2010. Utilizing this discounted cash flow model and the value of the ARS sold subsequent to June 30, 2010, the Company determined that the change in the estimated fair value of its investments in ARS for the year ended June 30, 2010 resulted in an unrealized gain of \$0.2 million which was reported in the Company determined that the estimated fair value of its investments in ARS decreased and recorded an additional other than temporary impairment charge of \$2.4 million to reduce the value of the ARS to their estimated fair value which was recorded as other expense in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.

The significant assumptions used in preparing the discounted cash flow model as of June 30, 2010 include (i) estimates for the investment s contractual bond coupon rates (ranging from 1.35% 1.85%), (ii) the market yield interest rates (estimated at the U.S. Treasury Seven-Year Bond Rate of 2.42% plus a premium factor of 2.0%) and (iii) the effective maturity period of seven years (which is the period the auctions are expected to resume their normal function). If the Company s estimates regarding the fair value of these securities are inaccurate, a future other-than-temporary impairment charge may be required. Additionally, these estimated fair values could change significantly based on future market conditions and, as such, the Company may be required to record additional losses for impairment if the Company determines there are further declines in fair value. During the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company reported \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, as interest income for the recognition of a portion of the market value discount of the ARS. No amortization of market value discount was reported in the 2008 fiscal year.

4. Inventory

Inventory consisted of the following at June 30 (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Work in process	\$ 1,112	\$
Finished goods	23	233
Reserve for obsolescence	(600)	
	\$ 535	\$ 233

5. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consisted of the following at June 30 (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Machinery and equipment	\$ 7,023	\$ 6,548
Leasehold improvements	17,477	17,497
Furniture and fixtures	844	816
Computer equipment	1,717	1,715
	27,061	26,576
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(22,733)	(21,497)
	\$ 4,328	\$ 5,079

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Depreciation expense for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$1.4 million, \$1.5 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

6. Other Balance Sheet Details

Accounts payable and accrued expenses consisted of the following at June 30 (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Trade accounts payable	\$ 917	\$ 1,182
Clinical trial accruals	1,309	1,181
Incentive compensation	732	141
Executive bonus	296	485
Income taxes payable	131	559
Deferred grant revenue	321	437
Miscellaneous other current liabilities	718	761
	\$ 4,424	\$ 4,746

7. Stockholders Equity

Preferred Stock

The Certificate of Incorporation of the Company authorizes 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$.01 par value per share. The preferred stock may be issued from time to time in one or more series, with such distinctive serial designations, rights and preferences as shall be determined by the Board of Directors.

Common Stock

During the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company settled 47,000 and 204,000 respectively, employee stock options at the market price per share at the time of the settlement, for a total settlement value of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. These settlements were from employees who were exercising their stock options which were available under the 2002 Employee Share Option Plan. Included in the employee group that settled their stock options during the 2009 fiscal year were the Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer of the Company who elected to settle and receive cash payments (net of taxes), in lieu of shares of the Company s common stock upon the exercise of their options to purchase 150,000 and 15,000 shares of common stock, respectively. These transactions resulted in net cash payments to the Chairman of the Board and to the Chief Executive Officer of \$74,000 and \$7,400, respectively.

Stockholders Rights Plan

In February 2002, the Company s Board of Directors declared a dividend of one new right per share pursuant to the 2002 Stockholder Rights Plan (the 2002 Rights Plan) adopted by the Board of Directors. The 2002 Rights Plan involved the distribution of one Right as a dividend on each outstanding share of the Company s common stock to each holder of record on March 15, 2002. The 2002 Rights Plan provides that if a third party acquires more than 15% of the Company s common stock without prior approval of the Board of Directors, all of the stockholders of the Company (other than the acquiring party) will be entitled to buy either shares of a special series of our Preferred Shares, or shares of the Company s common stock with a market value equal to double the Exercise Price for each Right they hold. Under these circumstances, the Board of Directors may instead allow each such Right (other than those held by the acquiring party) to be exchanged for one share

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

of the Company s common stock. The exercise or exchange of these Rights would have a substantial dilutive effect on the acquiring party. The Company s Board of Directors retains the right at all times to discontinue the 2002 Rights Plan through redemption of all rights or amend the 2002 Rights Plan in any respect. The Rights will expire on March 1, 2012 (unless extended or unless the Rights are earlier redeemed by the Company as described in the 2002 Rights Plan). No shareholder has exercised this right as of June 30, 2010.

Stock Incentive Plans

The Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (2006 Stock Incentive Plan) was created with the intention to promote the interests of the Company, by providing eligible persons with the opportunity to acquire a proprietary interest, or otherwise increase their proprietary interest, in the Company as an incentive to remain with the organization. Under the plan there are 12,000,000 shares of common stock authorized for issuance, which was comprised of 6,736,625 shares of common stock previously available under the 2002 Employee Share Option Plan (the 2002 Plan) and an additional 5,263,375 shares of common stock.

The 2006 Stock Incentive Plan is divided into three separate equity incentive programs. These incentive programs consist of:

Discretionary Grant Program under which eligible persons may be granted options to purchase shares of common stock or stock appreciation rights tied to the value of the common stock;

Stock Issuance Program under which eligible persons may be issued shares of common stock pursuant to restricted stock awards, restricted stock shares, performance shares or other stock-based awards which vest upon completion of a designated service period or the attainment of pre-established performance milestones, or such shares of common stock may be a fully-vested bonus for services rendered; and

Automatic Grant Program under which eligible non-employee Board members will automatically receive grants at designated intervals over their period of continued Board service.

The Company believes that such awards better align the interests of its employees with those of its shareholders. Option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company s stock at the date of grant; those option awards generally vest based on four years of continuous service and have seven year contractual terms. Certain options provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control (as defined in the Plan). At June 30, 2010, 4,937,107 stock options were still available for future grant and shares of common stock were reserved for possible future issuance upon exercise of stock options both currently outstanding and which may be issued in the future.

Each of the Company s outside Directors who had been a Director prior to July 1st of each year is granted, at the annual shareholder meeting of each year, an option to purchase shares of the Company s common stock at fair market value on the grant date, the number of options to be issued is at the discretion of the Company s Board of Directors. For fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008 stock options to purchase 104,167 (including 29,167 of restricted stock), 75,000 (including 25,000 of restricted stock) and 95,000 (including 26,667 of restricted stock), respectively, were granted to these Directors. When an outside Director is elected to the Board of Directors, they are awarded options for 20,000 shares of the Company s common stock.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Information concerning options for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 is summarized as follows:

	Number of Shares			Weighted Average F		e Price
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009	2008
Options outstanding, beginning of year	6,416,433	5,535,933	5,272,300	\$ 6.77	\$ 7.55	\$ 7.82
Options granted	430,000	1,444,000	437,833	\$ 3.44	\$ 2.54	\$ 3.88
Options exercised	(112,688)	(4,000)	(45,000)	\$ 2.36	\$ 1.75	\$ 1.87
Options cancelled or forfeited	(508,124)	(559,500)	(129,200)	\$ 16.74	\$ 3.62	\$ 8.03
Options outstanding, end of year	6,225,621	6,416,433	5,535,933	\$ 5.80	\$ 6.77	\$ 7.55
Options exercisable, end of year	4,784,046	4,531,028	4,630,746	\$ 6.66	\$ 8.40	\$ 8.34

The aggregate intrinsic value of the outstanding and exercisable stock options as of June 30, 2010 is \$1.8 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value is the sum of the amounts by which the quoted market price of the Company s common stock exceeded the exercise price of the options at June 30, 2010, for those options for which the quoted market price was in excess of the exercise price. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the 2010, 2009 and 2008 fiscal years was \$0.3 million, \$0.2 million and \$27,000, respectively.

The following table summarizes information concerning options outstanding under the Plans at June 30, 2010:

Range of	f exercise	price	Number outstanding at June 30, 2010	av ex	eighted verage xercise price	Weighted average remaining term (yrs.)	Number exercisable at June 30, 2010	av ex	ighted erage ercise orice
\$ 1.59	3.00		2,564,933	\$	2.41	5.49	1,620,608	\$	2.32
3.01	5.00		1,477,688		4.13	4.41	986,688		4.32
5.01	8.00		1,349,500		6.51	3.12	1,343,250		6.51
8.01	18.00		242,500		12.32	.92	242,500		12.32
\$18.01	24.56		591,000		20.47	.97	591,000		20.47
			6,225,621	\$	5.80	4.11	4,784,046	\$	6.66

As of June 30, 2010, there were 233,542 restricted stock outstanding which are not included in the stock option tables above. During the 2010 fiscal year, 29,167 shares of restricted stock were granted to outside directors at an average purchase price of \$3.37 per share at time of grant, which become vested within one year of grant. During the 2010 fiscal year, no shares of restricted stock were granted to employees.

A summary of the Company s non-vested restricted stock at June 30, 2010, and changes during the year ended June 30, 2010 is presented below:

Non-Vested Restricted Stock	Number of Awards
Non-vested at July 1, 2009	335,000
Granted	29,167
Vested/Exercised	(130,625)
Forfeited	
Non-vested at June 30, 2010	233,542

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

8. Earnings Per Share

Per share data is based on the weighted average outstanding number of shares of the Company s common stock during the relevant period. Basic earnings per share is calculated using the weighted average number of outstanding shares of common stock. Diluted earnings per share computations, as calculated under the treasury stock method, include the weighted average number of shares of additional outstanding common stock issuable for stock options and restricted stock whether or not currently exercisable. Diluted earnings per share for all the periods presented does not include securities if their effect was antidilutive (in thousands, except per share amounts).

	2010	2009	2008
Net income (loss)	\$ 36,996	\$ 2,274	\$ (22,909)
Basic earnings per share:			
Weighted average basic common shares outstanding	75,201	75,125	75,093
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.03	\$ (0.31)
Diluted earnings per share:			
Weighted average basic common shares outstanding	75,201	75,125	75,093
Dilutive effect of restricted stock	170	335	
Dilutive effect of stock options outstanding	623	623	
Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding	75,994	76,083	75,093
	* • • • •	• • • • •	• (0.04)
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.03	\$ (0.31)
Stock options excluded from the weighted average dilutive common shares			
outstanding because their inclusion would have been antidilutive	5,602	5,794	5,636
Restricted stock excluded from the weighted average dilutive common shares outstanding because their inclusion would have been antidilutive	64		26
e Taxes			_0

The (benefit) provision for income taxes is as follows (in thousands):

	2010	Year Ended June 30, 2009	2008
Federal			
Current	\$5	\$ 150	26
Deferred			
Total Federal	5	150	26
State			
Current	(1,028)	(1,381)	(1,049)
Deferred			
Total State	(1,028)	(1,381)	(1,049)
Foreign			
Current	(206)	331	333
Deferred			

Total Foreign	(206)	331	333
Total Benefit	\$ (1,229)	\$ (900)	\$ (690)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

A reconciliation of the statutory tax rates and the effective tax rates for each of the years ended June 30 is as follows:

	2010	2009	2008
Statutory rate	34.0%	34.0%	(34.0%)
State income taxes (net of Federal tax benefit)	(1.9%)	(56.7%)	(1.7%)
Foreign income tax	(0.1%)	2.4%	0.1%
Change in valuation allowance	(56.7%)	(292.9%)	21.0%
NOL expiration	15.3%	223.8%	6.8%
R&D tax credit expiration	1.3%	32.3%	
Other	4.7%	(8.5%)	4.9%
	(3.4%)	(65.6%)	(2.9%)

For fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Company recorded a state tax benefit of \$1.0 million, \$1.4 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, as a result of its sale of approximately \$12.8 million, \$17.2 million and \$13.2 million, of New Jersey state net operating losses, respectively.

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the Company s deferred tax assets as of June 30, 2010 and 2009 are presented below (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carry forwards	\$ 57,548	\$ 64,930
Research and development credits	10,790	10,160
Property and equipment	3,814	3,547
Deferred revenue		12,439
Other	6,516	7,898
Total	78,668	98,974
Valuation allowance	(78,668)	(98,974)
Net deferred taxes	\$	\$

A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The valuation allowances for fiscal years 2010 and 2009 have been applied to offset the deferred tax assets in recognition of the uncertainty that such tax benefits will be realized as the Company continues to incur losses. The differences between book income and tax income primarily relate to the recognition of income resulting from the UCB and Nycomed Agreements (see Note 11) and depreciation.

At June 30, 2010, the Company has available net operating loss carry forwards for federal income tax reporting purposes of approximately \$164.6 million and for state income tax reporting purposes of approximately \$26.9 million, which expire at various dates between fiscal 2011 and 2029. Pursuant to Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, the annual utilization of a company s net operating loss and research credit carry forwards may be limited if the Company experiences a change in ownership of more than 50 percentage points within a three-year period. As a result of certain financing arrangements, the Company may have experienced such ownership changes. Accordingly, the Company s net operating loss carry forwards available to offset future federal taxable income arising before such ownership changes may be limited. Similarly, the Company may be restricted in using its research credit carry forwards arising before such ownership changes to offset future federal income tax expense. Of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

related to the net operating loss carry forwards, approximately \$22.4 million relates to a tax deduction for non-qualified stock options. The net operating loss carry forwards for Federal income tax reporting purposes referred to above excludes certain losses from the Company s operations in The Netherlands and Germany, which may also be limited.

The Company has not taken any tax benefits related to this liability due to the recognition of a tax valuation allowance on its balance sheet. The Company will recognize potential interest and penalties related to income tax positions as a component of the provision for income taxes on the consolidated statements of income in any future periods in which the Company must record a liability. The Company is no longer subject to federal, state, or foreign income tax assessments for years prior to 2008.

10. Related Party Transactions

Certain of the Company s affiliates, including members of its senior management and Board of Directors, as well as their respective family members and other affiliates, have relationships and agreements among themselves as well as with the Company and its affiliates, that create the potential for both real, as well as perceived, conflicts of interest. These include Dr. David M. Goldenberg, the Company s Chairman, Chief Medical Officer and Chief Scientific Officer, Ms. Cynthia L. Sullivan, the President and Chief Executive Officer, who is the wife of Dr. David M. Goldenberg, and certain companies with which the Company does business, including the Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology (CMMI) and IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Dr. David M. Goldenberg

Dr. David M. Goldenberg was an original founder of Immunomedics in 1982 and continues to play a critical role in its business. He currently serves as Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Medical Officer and Chief Scientific Officer, and is married to our President and Chief Executive Officer, Cynthia L. Sullivan. Dr. Goldenberg is a party to a number of agreements with the Company involving not only his services, but intellectual property owned by him. In addition, Dr. Goldenberg performs services for The Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology, a not-for-profit specialized cancer research center.

License Agreement.

Pursuant to a License Agreement between Immunomedics and Dr. Goldenberg, certain patent applications owned by Dr. Goldenberg were licensed to Immunomedics at the time of Immunomedics formation in exchange for a royalty in the amount of 0.5% of the first \$20.0 million of annual net sales of all products covered by any of such patents and 0.25% of annual net sales of such products in excess of \$20.0 million. Five of the licensed U.S. patents have since expired. In November 1993 the ownership rights of Immunomedics were extended as part of Dr. Goldenberg s employment agreement, with Immunomedics agreeing to diligently pursue all ideas, discoveries, developments and products, into the entire medical field, which, at any time during his past or continuing employment by Immunomedics (but not when performing services for CMMI see below), Dr. Goldenberg has made or conceived or hereafter makes or conceives, or the making or conception of which he has materially contributed to or hereafter contributes to, all as defined in the Employment Agreement.

Employment Agreement.

On December 17, 2008, the Company entered into the Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement (effective beginning July 1, 2007 with the previous employment agreement) with Dr. Goldenberg for his service to the Company as the Chief Scientific Officer and Chief Medical Officer (the Goldenberg

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Agreement), which terminates June 30, 2011. This agreement covers aspects of his compensation as well as duties and responsibilities of his employment at Immunomedics. Dr. Goldenberg s annual base salary is a minimum of \$500,000, which shall be reviewed annually for appropriate increases by the Board of Directors of the Company. Dr. Goldenberg will also be eligible to participate in any Company s incentive compensation plan in place for its senior level executives and will be eligible to receive an annual discretionary bonus based upon certain performance standards to be determined by the Compensation Committee. Dr. Goldenberg s annual bonus target is 30% of his annual base salary, subject to achievement of performance goals, with a potential payout from 0 to 150% of the target amount. Dr. Goldenberg will also be eligible to receive equity compensation awards under the Company s 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, at the discretion of the Compensation Committee.

Dr. Goldenberg will also be eligible to receive certain additional incentive compensation during the agreement term. Beginning with the 2008 fiscal year, for any fiscal year in which the Company records an annual net loss, Dr. Goldenberg shall receive a sum equal to 0.75% of the consideration the Company receives from any licensing agreement, sale of intellectual property or similar transaction with any third party, with certain exceptions as defined in the Goldenberg Agreement. For any fiscal year in which the Company records net income, Dr. Goldenberg shall receive a sum equal to 1.50% of the Company s Annual Net Revenue as defined in the Goldenberg Agreement for each such fiscal year, and thereafter throughout the non-competition period, as described in the Agreement.

Dr. Goldenberg will also be eligible to receive royalty payments on royalties received by the Company. For each fiscal year the Company shall pay Dr. Goldenberg a sum equal to a percentage of the annual royalties the Company receives on each of the products for which Dr. Goldenberg is an Inventor, and all products using, related to or derived from products for which Dr. Goldenberg is an Inventor. The percentage of royalties that the Company will pay to Dr. Goldenberg on each patented product will be determined based on the percentage of royalties that the Company must pay to external third parties.

The Goldenberg Agreement requires that the Company make minimum payments of \$150,000 to Dr. Goldenberg during each of the fiscal years, payable in equal quarterly payments, as an advance against the amounts due as additional incentive compensation, royalty payments and dispositions of undeveloped assets. In the event the Company completes a disposition of the Company s undeveloped assets for which Dr. Goldenberg was an Inventor, the Company will pay Dr. Goldenberg a sum equal to at least twenty percent or more of the consideration the Company receives from each disposition. The Company s obligation to compensate Dr. Goldenberg upon dispositions of undeveloped assets applies to all dispositions completed within the contract term or within three years thereafter.

In accordance with the terms of the Goldenberg Agreement, additional compensation of \$0.9 million and \$0.4 million was earned by Dr. Goldenberg for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively as a result of the Company s profitability for those fiscal years. For the 2008 fiscal year the minimum payments received by Dr. Goldenberg under the previous employment agreements was \$150,000.

The Goldenberg Agreement provides that in the event the Company terminates Dr. Goldenberg at any time without Good Cause (as defined in the Agreement) or Dr. Goldenberg resigns for Good Reason (as defined in the Agreement), Dr. Goldenberg will be entitled to receive a lump-sum severance payment in an amount equal to two times his annual base salary in effect at that time, plus the target bonus established for the fiscal year in which the date of termination occurs. In addition, the Company shall pay monthly COBRA medical insurance costs, if Dr. Goldenberg continues medical coverage under COBRA, for a period of 24 months following such termination.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

This agreement also provides that in the event of a change of control, if Dr. Goldenberg terminates his employment upon ninety (90) days prior written notice to the Company or its successor, following the second anniversary of a change of control of the Company, Dr. Goldenberg will be entitled to receive a lump sum severance payment in an amount equal to three times his annual base salary in effect at that time, plus the target bonus established for the fiscal year in which the date of termination occurs. In addition, Dr. Goldenberg will receive, for a period of three years following such termination, all medical and dental coverage in effect on the date of termination or, at the Company s election, cash in lieu of such coverage in an amount equal to Dr. Goldenberg s after-tax cost of continuing comparable coverage. Dr. Goldenberg will also be entitled to receive any benefits accrued in accordance with the terms of any applicable benefit plan and program of the Company and an annual bonus, if any, payable for the fiscal year in which Dr. Goldenberg was terminated (prorated to reflect Dr. Goldenberg s actual period of service during such fiscal year). Additionally, the Goldenberg Agreement provides for a gross-up payment under certain circumstances to compensate Dr. Goldenberg for excise taxes that may be attributable to him as a result of the foregoing payments.

Finally, it is a condition to his employment agreement that Dr. Goldenberg be permitted to continue his involvement with CMMI, as discussed in greater detail below. Dr. Goldenberg also is compensated by IBC Pharmaceuticals as discussed in greater detail in these notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Life Insurance. Previously, the David M. Goldenberg Insurance Trust, (a trust created by Dr. Goldenberg), was the beneficiary to a \$10.0 million life insurance policy. The policy provided funds, which could have been used to assist Dr. Goldenberg s estate in settling estate tax obligations and thus potentially reducing the number of shares of the Common Stock the estate may be required to sell over a short period of time to raise funds to satisfy such tax obligations. During what was estimated to be a 15-year period, the Company was obligated to pay \$143,000 per year towards premiums in addition to amounts required to be paid by the David M. Goldenberg Insurance Trust. The Company had an interest in this policy equal to the lesser of the cumulative amount of premium payments made by it under the policy. In January 2008, the Company received \$2.7 million from the David M Goldenberg Insurance Trust for the cumulative premiums previously paid by the Company, with the remainder of the cash surrender value (\$0.2 million) paid to the David M. Goldenberg Insurance Trust.

Upon surrender of the insurance policy on December 26, 2007, the Company eliminated the deferred compensation liability previously recorded by the Company for the present value of the future benefits expected to be provided to the Chairman in exchange for the Chairman is service to his termination date (approximately \$1.2 million). In addition, the Company and Dr. Goldenberg agreed that Dr. Goldenberg would be reimbursed for personal income taxes related to the split-dollar life insurance agreement during the period the policy was in effect (approximately \$.2 million). These items were reported as a reduction to general and administrative expense. With the termination of the split-dollar agreement and the Company is entrance into Amendment No. 1 to the Goldenberg Agreement dated January 31, 2008, the Company is no longer obligated to maintain any life insurance policies to which Dr. David M. Goldenberg is the beneficiary. The Company currently maintains \$21.0 million of life insurance policies on Dr. Goldenberg for the benefit of the Company.

Under the terms of the Goldenberg Agreement, effective July 1, 2007, the Company was to continue to pay the premium cost of life insurance policies on the life of Dr. Goldenberg in effect under the previous employment agreement. On September 7, 2007, Dr. Goldenberg and the Company entered into agreements to terminate certain severance payments and assign certain insurance benefits included as part of Dr. Goldenberg s previous employment agreement. The termination of this arrangement reduced the Company s deferred compensation accrual and net loss by approximately \$0.6 million in the 2008 fiscal year.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Cynthia L. Sullivan

On December 31, 2006, the Company and Cynthia L. Sullivan entered into an Amended and Restated Employment Agreement pertaining to Ms. Sullivan s service as the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer. On December 17, 2008, the Company and Ms. Sullivan entered into the Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement (the Sullivan Agreement) in order to comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, which changed the income tax treatment of nonqualified deferred compensation and imposed new requirements on both the terms and operation of such compensation. On June 15, 2010, the Company and Ms. Sullivan entered into the Third Amended and Restated Employment Agreement).

The Amended Sullivan Agreement, shall terminate on December 31, 2010, and will automatically extend for successive one-year periods unless either the Company or Ms. Sullivan provides a written notice at least 90 days preceding the date of any such extension. Ms. Sullivan s annual base salary under the Amended Sullivan Agreement is \$532,000, and will be reviewed annually for appropriate increases by the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee of the Board. Ms. Sullivan will also be eligible to participate in the Company s incentive compensation plan in place for its senior level executives. In addition, Ms. Sullivan will be eligible to receive an annual discretionary bonus determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board based upon certain performance standards to be determined by the Compensation Committee is 30% of her annual base salary, subject to achievement of performance goals, with a potential payout from 0 to 150% of the target amount. Ms. Sullivan will also be eligible to receive equity compensation awards under the Company s 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, or any such successor equity compensation plan as may be in place from time to time.

The Sullivan Agreement also provides that in the event of a change of control the Company terminates Ms. Sullivan without Cause (as defined in the Sullivan Agreement) or Ms. Sullivan resigns for Good Reason (as defined in the Sullivan Agreement), Ms. Sullivan will be entitled to receive a lump sum severance payment in an amount equal to three times her annual base salary in effect at that time, plus the target bonus established for the fiscal year in which the date of termination occurs. In addition, Ms. Sullivan will receive, for a period of 36 months following such termination, all medical and dental coverage in effect on the date of termination or, at the Company s election, cash in lieu of such coverage in an amount equal to Ms. Sullivan s after-tax cost of continuing comparable coverage. Ms. Sullivan will also be entitled to receive any benefits accrued in accordance with the terms of any applicable benefit plan and program of the Company and an annual bonus, if any, payable for the fiscal year in which Ms. Sullivan was terminated.

Relationships with The Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology

The Company s product development has involved, to varying degrees, CMMI, for the performance of certain basic research and patient evaluations, the results of which are made available to the Company pursuant to a collaborative research and license agreement. CMMI, which is funded primarily by grants from the National Cancer Institute (NCI), was located in Belleville, New Jersey. Effective August 1, 2010 the Company subleased approximately 1,000 square feet of the Immunomedics Morris Plains facility to CMMI. Dr. Goldenberg is the founder, current President and a member of the Board of Trustees of CMMI. Dr. Goldenberg s employment agreement permits him to devote such time as is necessary to fulfill his duties to the CMMI and IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc, provided that such duties do not materially interfere with his ability to perform any of his obligations under the Goldenberg Agreement. Certain of the Company s consultants have employment relationships with CMMI, and Dr. Hans Hansen, the Company s emeritus executive officer, is an adjunct member of CMMI. Despite these relationships, the Company believes CMMI is independent of Immunomedics, and CMMI s management and fiscal operations are the responsibility of CMMI s Board of Trustees.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company has reimbursed CMMI for expenses incurred on behalf of the Company, including amounts incurred pursuant to research contracts, in the amount of approximately \$0.4 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million during the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In fiscal years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 the Company incurred \$49,000, \$29,000 and \$95,000, respectively, of legal expenses for patent related matters for patents licensed to Immunomedics from CMMI. The Company may decide whether or not to support them. However, any inventions made independently of the Company at CMMI are the property of CMMI.

IBC Pharmaceuticals

IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (IBC) is a majority owned subsidiary of Immunomedics, Inc.

As of June 30, 2010, the shares of IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc. were held as follows:

Stockholder	Holdings	Percentage of Total
Immunomedics, Inc.	5,599,705 shares of Series A	
	Preferred Stock	73.26%
Third Party Investors	643,701 shares of Series B	
	Preferred Stock	8.42%
David M. Goldenberg Millennium Trust	1,399,926 shares of Series C	
	Preferred Stock	18.32%

100.00%

In the event of a liquidation, dissolution or winding up of IBC, the Series A, B and C Preferred Stockholders would be entitled to \$0.6902, \$5.17 and \$0.325 per share (subject to adjustment), respectively. The Series A and B stockholders would be paid ratably until fully satisfied. The Series C stockholders would be paid only after the Series A and B stockholders have been fully repaid. These liquidation payments would be made only to the extent the assets of IBC are sufficient to make such payments.

In each of the fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, Dr. Goldenberg received \$55,000 in compensation for his services to IBC. At June 30, 2010, Dr. Goldenberg was a director of IBC, while Cynthia L. Sullivan, Gerard G. Gorman and Phyllis Parker served as the President, Treasurer and Secretary, respectively, of IBC.

11. License Agreements

Nycomed GmbH

On July 11, 2008, the Company entered into a License and Collaboration Agreement (the Nycomed Agreement) with Nycomed GmbH (Nycomed) providing Nycomed a worldwide license to develop, manufacture and commercialize veltuzumab, the Company s humanized anti-CD20 antibody, veltuzumab in the subcutaneous formulation, for the treatment of all non-cancer indications. The Company retains the rights to develop, manufacture and commercialize veltuzumab in the field of oncology.

Under the terms of the Nycomed Agreement, Immunomedics received a non-refundable initial cash payment of \$40.0 million on August 21, 2008. Immunomedics could also receive potential cash milestone payments of up to \$580.0 million. The Company will also receive an escalating double digit royalty based on annual net sales, if any, by Nycomed, its affiliates or sublicenses under the Nycomed Agreement during the royalty term. During the 2010 fiscal year, the Company received \$10.0 million of payments for achieving certain clinical milestones under the terms of the Nycomed Agreement. No other clinical milestones or royalty payments were achieved. There can be no assurance that the other clinical, regulatory or sales milestones will be achieved and therefore there can be no assurance that the Company will receive any future payments.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

As the Company had continuing obligations under the Nycomed Agreement, the Company initially recorded the \$40.0 million non-refundable payment as deferred revenue and the Company was amortizing to revenue this amount through December 2009, which was the Company s best estimate of the period of time required for the Company to fulfill its obligations under the Nycomed Agreement. In September 2009, it was determined that the Company s obligations would not be completed until the third quarter of fiscal 2010 and as such the period over which the Company recognized this deferred revenue was adjusted. The Company completed its obligations under the Nycomed Agreement in March 2010. Accordingly, the Company recognized \$14.5 million as License Fee Revenues for the year ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$25.5 million for the year ended June 30, 2009.

Nycomed is solely responsible for the development, manufacturing and commercialization of veltuzumab, for the subcutaneous formulation, for all non-cancer indications. The Company s major obligations were to complete the research and development activities as specified in the Nycomed Agreement and to manufacture and supply veltuzumab to Nycomed for the quantity of materials for the period of time specified in the Nycomed Agreement. The Company completed its manufacturing and supply obligations by December 31, 2009 and completed its responsibilities in the Phase I/II study in ITP during the three-month period ended March 31, 2010.

For the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company has received cost reimbursements for manufactured materials for Nycomed aggregating \$6.3 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, as outlined in the Nycomed Agreement. The Company does not expect the level of reimbursement from Nycomed to continue at the 2010 level in 2011. In addition, Immunomedics is to complete the research and development activities indicated in the Nycomed Agreement, for which the Company will be reimbursed by Nycomed for all direct costs, of which \$0.7 million and \$0.5 million has been incurred for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of June 30, 2010, \$0.4 million was outstanding from Nycomed for reimbursable expenses.

UCB, S.A.

On May 9, 2006, the Company entered into an agreement with UCB, S.A., the UCB Agreement, providing UCB an exclusive worldwide license to develop, manufacture, market and sell epratuzumab for the treatment of all autoimmune disease indications. Under the terms of the UCB Agreement, the Company received from UCB a non-refundable cash payment totaling \$38.0 million. The Company recorded the \$38.0 million non-refundable payment as deferred revenue and was to amortize the \$38.0 million payment received over the expected obligation period, originally estimated to end in November 2009.

In addition to the upfront payment, the Company is entitled to receive regulatory milestone payments, which could aggregate to a maximum of up to \$145.0 million in cash payments and \$20.0 million in equity investments. These milestone payments are dependent upon specific achievements in the regulatory approval process under the UCB Agreement. The Company will also receive product royalties based upon a percentage of aggregate annual net sales under the UCB Agreement during the product royalty term, which percentage is subject to reduction under certain circumstances. In addition, the Company will be entitled to receive sales bonuses of up to \$135.0 million upon annual net sales reaching certain target levels. No clinical milestones or royalty payments were achieved through June 30, 2009. There can be no assurance that these regulatory or sales achievements will be met and therefore there can be no assurance that the Company will receive such future payments.

During the 2007 fiscal year, UCB decided to stop further new patient enrollment into the Systemic Lupus Erythematosus, or SLE, clinical trials designed and initiated by the Company. During the 2008 fiscal year, UCB established new protocols under which new clinical trials for the treatment of SLE would be conducted and subsequently terminated the then existing SLE clinical trials. As a result of the UCB decision to terminate the two previous Phase III SLE trials, the Company ceased amortizing to revenue the deferred revenue recorded with the receipt of the up front payments from UCB at the inception of the license agreement until such time as the obligation period could be determinable.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

On August 4, 2009, Immunomedics received a letter dated July 30, 2009 from UCB stating that UCB has relieved the Company of its remaining obligation under the UCB Agreement, to supply UCB with additional epratuzumab if requested. As this was the only obligation remaining for Immunomedics under the terms of the UCB Agreement, the Company recorded the \$31.1 million deferred revenue under the UCB Agreement as licensing fee revenue during fiscal 2010. The Company did not recognize any License Fee Revenues under this agreement for the 2009 or the 2008 fiscal years.

12. Commitments and Contingencies

Employment Contracts

On December 17, 2008, the Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Dr. Goldenberg was signed for the period through June 30, 2011 (see Note 10). As part of this agreement a \$150,000 annual minimum payment beginning in fiscal year 2008 is required to be paid in the aggregate against all Revenue Incentive Compensation and Royalty Payments. For the 2010 and 2009 fiscal years, under the terms of the agreement Dr. Goldenberg earned \$0.9 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. For the 2008 fiscal year, the Company paid Dr. Goldenberg the minimum required payment of \$150,000.

On June 15, 2010, the Company and Cynthia L. Sullivan entered into the Third Amended and Restated Employment Agreement pertaining to Ms. Sullivan s service as the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer (see Note 10).

Operating Lease

Immunomedics is obligated under an operating lease for facilities used for research and development, manufacturing and office space. In November 2001, the Company renewed for an additional term of 20 years expiring in October 2021 at a base annual rate of \$545,000, which is fixed for the first five years and increases thereafter every five years. In June 2009, the Company amended the agreement which increased the leased space at the facility by 10,700 square feet. After the facility expansion takes effect in the first half of the 2011 fiscal year, the base annual rate will be at \$636,000, which rate is fixed through October 2011 and increases thereafter every five years. Effective August 1, 2010, the Company subleased approximately 1,000 square feet to CMMI for their operations. Rental expense related to this lease was approximately \$663,000 for each of the 2010, 2009 and 2008 fiscal years.

Including the extension of the facility lease as described above, the minimum lease commitments for facilities are as follows for fiscal years (in thousands):

2011	\$ 636
2012	\$ 758
2013	\$ 819
2014	\$ 819
2015	\$ 819
Thereafter	\$ 5,865

Legal Matters

Immunomedics is a party to various claims and litigation arising in the normal course of business, which includes some or all of certain of our patents. Management believes that the outcome of such claims and litigation will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated financial position and results of operations. The following is a summary of a particular claim that is outstanding:

Former Investment Advisor/Broker

On April 15, 2009, the Company initiated arbitration before the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) against its former investment advisor/broker (Banc of America Investment Services, Inc. and Banc of

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

America Securities, LLC). In the arbitration, the Company claims that the respondents violated the New Jersey Uniform Securities Law, the North Carolina Securities Act, New Jersey common law, and certain FINRA rules by, among other things, making false representations and/or material omissions concerning auction-rate securities, inappropriately advising investment in auction-rate securities, and failing to supervise their employees. The Company is seeking to rescind the purchase of the initial investment in auction-rate securities, of which \$11.0 million is outstanding as of June 30, 2010. The Company has also requested compensatory damages, consequential damages, punitive damages, and other relief. The arbitration hearing is scheduled to begin September 2010.

13. Geographic Segments

Immunomedics manages its operations as one line of business of researching, developing, manufacturing and marketing biopharmaceutical products, particularly antibody-based products for the targeted treatment of cancer, autoimmune and other serious diseases, and it currently reports as a single industry segment. Immunomedics markets and sells its products in the United States and throughout Europe.

The following table presents financial information based on the geographic location of the facilities of Immunomedics as of and for the years ended (in thousands):

		June 30, 2010			
	United States	Europe	Total		
Total assets	\$ 43,585	\$ 2,537	\$ 46,122		
Property and equipment, net	4,326	2	4,328		
Revenues	57,817	3,113	60,930		
Income (loss) before tax benefit	36,308	(541)	35,767		

	June 30, 2009		
	United States	Europe	Total
Total assets	\$ 49,302	\$ 3,979	\$ 53,281
Property and equipment, net	5,077	2	5,079
Revenues	26,527	3,494	30,021
Income before tax benefit	496	877	1,373

	June 30, 2008		
	United States	Europe	Total
Total assets	\$ 31,759	\$ 2,972	\$ 34,731
Property and equipment, net	5,920	3	5,923
Revenues	355	3,296	3,651
Income (loss) before tax benefit	(24,511)	912	(23,599)
4. Defined Contribution Plans			

U.S. employees are eligible to participate in the Company s 401(k) plan, while employees in international locations are eligible to participate in other defined contribution plans. Aggregate Company contributions to its benefit plans totaled approximately \$101,000, \$83,000 and \$46,000 for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

15. Subsequent Events

On July 13, 2010, the Company sold an ARS with a par value of \$1.1 million for an aggregate of \$1.0 million. The Company will record a gain of \$41,000 for the sale of this ARS for the three-month period ended September 30, 2010.

Subsequent events have been evaluated through the date in which the financial statements were issued.

16. Quarterly Results of Operations (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended														
	-	ine 30 2010	Μ	arch 31 2010		ec. 31 2009 In thousa	20	t. 30 109 xcept fo	-	ine 30 2009 er share :		arch 31 2009 ints)		ec. 31 2008	ept. 30 2008
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:								-							
Revenues	\$	6,114	\$	10,695	\$	5,096	\$ 39	,025	\$	8,297	\$	8,309	\$	8,513	\$ 4,902
Gross profit (1)		373		288		831		694		882		735		696	942
Net income (loss) (2)		744		3,470		770	32	,012		855		758		2,892	(2,231)
Net income (loss) per common share allocable to common stockholders basic Net income (loss) per common share allocable to common stockholders fully	\$	0.01	\$	0.05	\$	0.01	\$	0.42	\$	0.01	\$	0.01	\$	0.04	\$ (0.03)
diluted	\$	0.01	\$	0.05	\$	0.01	\$	0.42	\$	0.01	\$	0.01	\$	0.04	\$ (0.03)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding basic Weighted average number of common		5,237		75,226		75,202		,174		75,138		75,138		75,117	75,108
shares outstanding fully diluted	7	5,957		75,757	,	76,903	77	,107		76,096	,	75,313	,	75,117	75,108

(1) Gross profit is calculated as product sales less cost of goods sold.

(2) Includes impairment charges on auction rates securities held by the Company of \$1,700, \$324 and \$327 for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2009, March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 respectively.

Immunomedics, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Reserves

For the Years Ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Year ended:	Balance at Beginning of Period	Changes to Reserve	Credits to Expense	Other Charges	Balance at End of Period
June 30, 2008	\$ (109,222)	\$ (82,790)	\$	U	\$ (192,012)
June 30, 2009	\$ (192,012)	\$ 58,751	\$		\$ (133,261)
June 30, 2010	\$ (133,261)	\$ 81,242	\$		\$ (52,019)
Reserve for Inventory Obsolescence					

	Balance at				Balance at
	Beginning of	Changes to	Charges to	Other	End of
Year ended:	Period	Reserve	Expense	Charges	Period
June 30, 2008	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
June 30, 2009	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
June 30, 2010	\$	\$	\$ (600,000)	\$	\$ (600,000)

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures: We maintain controls and procedures designed to ensure that we are able to collect the information we are required to disclose in the reports we file with the SEC, and to record, process, summarize and disclose this information within the time periods specified in the rules promulgated by the SEC. Our Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers are responsible for establishing and maintaining these disclosure controls and procedures and as required by the rules of the SEC, to evaluate their effectiveness. Based on their evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers believe that these procedures are functioning effectively to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosures.

Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting: Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of Immunomedics; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and our directors; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2010. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on its assessment and those criteria, our management has concluded we maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2010.

Our independent registered public accounting firm has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of Immunomedics internal control over financial reporting.

Changes in internal controls: Such evaluation did not identify any changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the three month period ended June 30, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Immunomedics, Inc.

We have audited Immunomedics Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Immunomedics Inc. s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company is assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Immunomedics Inc. s maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Immunomedics, Inc. and subsidiaries as of June 30, 2010 and 2009 and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), shareholder s equity (deficit) and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2010 of Immunomedics, Inc. and our report dated August 26, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

MetroPark, New Jersey

August 26, 2010

Item 9B. *Other Information* None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance

Information about our executive officers is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference from the section entitled Compensation of Executive Officers contained in our definitive proxy statement for our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders scheduled to be held on December 1, 2010, which we intend to file within 120 days of the end of our fiscal year.

Information required to be disclosed by this Item about our board of directors is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference from the section entitled Nominees For Directors contained in our definitive proxy statement for our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders scheduled to be held on December 1, 2010, which we intend to file within 120 days of the end of our fiscal year.

Information required to be disclosed by this Item about the Section 16(a) compliance of our directors and executive officers is incorporated in this annual report on Form 10-K by reference from the section entitled Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance contained in our definitive proxy statement for our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders scheduled to be held on December 1, 2010, which we intend to file within 120 days of the end of our fiscal year.

Information required to be disclosed by this Item about our board of directors, the audit committee of our board of directors, our audit committee financial expert, our Code of Business Conduct, and other corporate governance matters is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference from the section entitled Our Corporate Governance contained in our definitive proxy statement related to our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders scheduled to be held on December 1, 2010, which we intend to file within 120 days of the end of our fiscal year.

The text of our Code of Business Conduct, which applies to our directors and employees (including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions) is posted in the Corporate Governance section of our website, <u>www.immunomedics.com</u>. A copy of the Code of Business Conduct can be obtained free of charge on our website. We intend to disclose on our website any amendments to, or waivers from, our Code of Business Conduct that are required to be disclosed pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission and The NASDAQ Stock Market.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information required to be disclosed by this Item is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference from the sections entitled Compensation for Executive Officers, Director Compensation, Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation and Compensation Committee Report contained in our definitive proxy statement for our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders scheduled to be held on December 1, 2010, which we intend to file within 120 days of the end of our fiscal year.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information required to be disclosed by this Item is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference from the sections entitled Ownership of Our Common Stock, Compensation for Executive Officers and Director Compensation, contained in our definitive proxy statement for our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders scheduled to be held on December 1, 2010, which we intend to file within 120 days of the end of our fiscal year.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

The information required to be disclosed by this Item is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference from the section(s) entitled Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Our Corporate Governance, Compensation for Executive Officers, Director Compensation, Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation and Compensation Committee Report contained in our definitive proxy statement for our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders scheduled to be held on December 1, 2010, which we intend to file within 120 days of the end of our fiscal year.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

This information required to be disclosed by this Item is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference from the section entitled Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm contained in our definitive proxy statement for our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders scheduled to be held on December 1, 2010, which we intend to file within 120 days of the end of our fiscal year.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) **Documents filed as part of this Report:**

1. Consolidated Financial Statements:

Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2010 and 2009

- Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008
- Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Ernst & Young LLP

2. Financial Statement Schedules:

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Reserves

3. List of Exhibits

Exhibit No.

Description

- 3.1(a) Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware on July 6, 1982. (b)
- 3.1(b) Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of the Company as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware on April 4, 1983. (b)
- 3.1(c) Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of the Company as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware on December 14, 1984. (b)
- 3.1(d) Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of the Company as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware on March 19, 1986. (b)
- 3.1(e) Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of the Company as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware on November 17, 1986. (b)
- 3.1(f) Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of the Company as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware on November 21, 1990. (c)
- 3.1(g) Certificate of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware on November 12, 1992. (e)
- 3.1(h) Certification of Amendment of the Certificate of Incorporation of the Company as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware on November 7, 1996. (g)
- 3.1(i) Amended Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights of Series F Convertible Preferred Stock of Immunomedics, Inc. (i)
- 3.1(j) Certificate of Designation of Series G Junior Participating Preferred Stock of the Company, as filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware on March 15, 2002. (n)
- 3.1(k) Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation of the Company as filed with the Secretary of the State of Delaware on August 25, 2005. (o)
- 3.2 Second Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company. (q)

Exhibit	
No. 4.1	Description Specimen Certificate for Common Stock. (n)
4.2	Rights Agreement, dated as of March 4, 2002, between the Company and American Stock Transfer and Trust Company, as rights agent, and form of Rights Certificate. (m)
10.1#	Immunomedics, Inc. 2002 Stock Option Plan, as amended. (n)
10.2	Amendment, dated March 11, 1995, to the Amended and Restated License Agreement among the Company, CMMI, and David M. Goldenberg, dated December 11, 1990. (f)
10.3	License Agreement, dated as of January 21, 1997, between the Company and Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology, Inc. (h)
10.4	License Agreement, dated March 5, 1999, by and between the Company and IBC Pharmaceuticals. (j)
10.5	Development and License Agreement, dated December 17, 2000, between the Company and Amgen, Inc., as amended on April 1, 2001 (Confidentiality treatment has been granted for certain portions of the Agreement). (k)
10.6	Agreement among the Company, David M. Goldenberg and the Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology, Inc., dated May, 1983. (a)
10.7	Lease Agreement with Baker Properties Limited Partnership, dated January 16, 1992. (d)
10.8	Contract for Services dated effective as of January 1, 2002 between the Company and Logosys Logistik GmbH. (1)
10.9	Contribution and Assignment Agreement, dated as of June 30, 2002, between IBC Pharmaceuticals, LLC and IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (n)
10.10	Development, Collaboration and License Agreement between UCB, S.A. and Immunomedics, Inc. dated May 9, 2006. (s)
10.11	Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (p)
10.12	Amendment 2007-1 to the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (p)
10.13	Form of Stock Option Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended. (s)
10.14	Form of Change of Control Addendum to the Stock Option Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended. (s)
10.15	Form of Notice of Grant of Stock Option under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended. (s)
10.16	Form of RSU Issuance Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended. (s)
10.17	Form of Change of Control Addendum to RSU Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended. (s)
10.18	Form of Initial Director RSU Issuance Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended. (s)

Exhibit	
No. 10.19	Description Form of Annual Director RSU Issuance Agreement under the Immunomedics, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended. (s)
10.20	First Addendum, dated May 5, 1993, of the Lease Agreement with Baker Properties Limited Partnership, dated January 16, 1992. (s)
10.21	Second Addendum, dated March 29, 1995, of the Lease Agreement with Baker Properties Limited Partnership, dated January 16, 1992. (s)
10.22	Letter Amendment, dated October 5, 1998, of the Lease Agreement with Baker Properties Limited Partnership, dated January 16, 1992. (s)
10.23	Fourth Amendment Expansion/Extension Agreement dated August 15, 2001, of the Lease Agreement with Baker Properties Limited Partnership, dated January 16, 1992. (s)
10.24	Termination Agreement of the Split-Dollar Insurance Agreement dated September 7, 2007 between Immunomedics, Inc. and Eva J. Goldenberg, Deborah S. Goldenberg, Denis C. Goldenberg and Neil A. Goldenberg, the Trustees of the David M. and Hildegard Goldenberg Irrevocable Insurance Trust dated January 21, 1992. (s)
10.25	Termination Agreement of the Executive Supplemental Benefits Agreement dated September 7, 2007 between Immunomedics, Inc. and David M. Goldenberg. (s)
10.26	Termination of Split-Dollar Agreement relating to that certain Split-Dollar Insurance Agreement dated September 19, 1994 by and between Immunomedics, Inc and the David M. Goldenberg Insurance Trust, dated December 26, 2007. (t)
10.27	Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Employment Agreement by and between the Company and David M. Goldenberg, dated January 31, 2008. (u)
10.28	Loan Agreement with Bank of America, N.A. providing for a \$9.0 million line of credit, dated June 6, 2008. (v)
10.29	License and Collaboration Agreement with Immunomedics, Inc and Nycomed GmbH, dated July 11, 2008. (v)
10.30	Letter Agreement, effective as of August 28, 2008, by and between Immunomedics, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A. (w)
10.31	Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated December 17, 2008, between Immunomedics, Inc. and Dr. David M. Goldenberg. (x)
10.32*	Third Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated June 17, 2010, between Immunomedics, Inc. and Cynthia L. Sullivan.
10.33	Amended and Restated Change of Control and Severance Agreement, dated December 17, 2008, between Immunomedics, Inc. and Mr. Gerard G. Gorman. (x)
10.34	Fifth Amendment Expansion Agreement dated June 18, 2009 of the Lease with WU/LH 300 American L.L.C. a successor-in-interest to Baker Properties Limited Partnership. (y)
21.1*	Subsidiaries of the Company.
23.1*	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Ernst & Young LLP
31.1*	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2* Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhi No	
32.1	* Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
(a)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1 effective October 6, 1983 (Commission File No. 2-84940).
(b)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1990.
(c)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 1990.
(d)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-2 effective January 30, 1992 (Commission File No. 33-44750).
(e)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1993.
(f)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1995.
(g)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 1996.
(h)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 1996.
(i)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated December 15, 1998.
(j)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 23, 1999.
(k)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (as amended) for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2001.
(l)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2001.
(m)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, dated March 8, 2002.
(n)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002.
(0)	Incorporated by reference from exhibits to the Company s Annual Report of Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005.
(p)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Commission File Number 333-143420), filed May 31, 2007.
(q)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Current Reports on Form 8-K as filed with the Commission on August 27, 2007.
(r)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006
(s)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.
(t)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on December 26, 2007.
(u)	Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on February 6, 2008.

(v) Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008.

- (w) Incorporated by reference from the Company s current report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on August 29, 2008.
- (x) Incorporated by reference from Exhibits to the Company s current report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Commission on December 22, 2008.
- (y) Incorporated by reference from the Exhibits to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009.
 * Filed herewith
- # Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-K pursuant to Item 14(c) of this report

Portions of this exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 26, 2010

IMMUNOMEDICS, INC.

By:

/s/ Cynthia L. Sullivan Cynthia L. Sullivan

President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ David M. Goldenberg	Chairman of the Board, Chief Scientific Officer and Chief Medical Officer	August 26, 2010
David M. Goldenberg		
/s/ Cynthia L. Sullivan	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	August 26, 2010
Cynthia L. Sullivan	(Principal Executive Officer)	
/s/ Morton Coleman	Director	August 26, 2010
Morton Coleman		
/s/ Mary Paetzold	Director	August 26, 2010
Mary Paetzold		
/s/ Brian A. Markison	Director	August 26, 2010
Brian A. Markison		
/s/ Don C. Stark	Director	August 26, 2010
Don C. Stark		
/s/ Kenneth J. Zuerblis	Director	August 26, 2010
Kenneth J. Zuerblis		
/s/ Gerard G. Gorman	Senior Vice President, Finance and Business Development, Chief Financial Officer (Principal	August 26, 2010
Gerard G. Gorman	Financial and Accounting Officer)	

EXHIBIT LIST

(excludes documents incorporated by reference)

- 10.32* Third Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated June 17, 2010, between Immunomedics, Inc. and Cynthia L. Sullivan.
- 21.1* Subsidiaries of the Company.
- 23.1* Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Ernst & Young LLP.
- 31.1* Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2* Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1* Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2* Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- * Filed herewith.
- # Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-K pursuant to Item 14(c) of this report.
 Bortions of this exhibit have been emitted and filed concretely with the Securities and Evaluate Commission numerical formation of the securities and Evaluate Commission numerical formation.

Portions of this exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment.

(Exhibits available upon request)