SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from ______ to _____

Commission File No. 0-20292

Ampex Corporation

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State of incorporation) 13-3667696 (I.R.S. employer identification number)

1228 Douglas Avenue

Redwood City, California 94063-3199

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(650) 367-2011

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Edgar Filing: AMPEX CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Title of each Class

Class A Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

Name of exchange on which registered The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark if Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer "Accelerated filer "Non-accelerated filer x Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of June 30, 2006 was approximately \$38,938,068.62, based on a price of \$11.66 per share, which was the closing price of the Registrant s Class A Common Stock on the Nasdaq National Market on that date. The Class A Common Stock is the only class of common stock outstanding.

As of February 28, 2007 there were 3,839,723 outstanding shares of Class A Common Stock and no outstanding shares of Class C Common Stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The Registrant s Proxy Statement for its 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is incorporated by reference into Part III (Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14) of this Form 10-K.

AMPEX CORPORATION

FORM 10-K

Year Ended December 31, 2006

INDEX

Page

	PART I	
ITEM 1.	BUSINESS	1
ITEM 1A.	RISK FACTORS	15
ITEM 1B.	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	23
ITEM 2.	PROPERTIES	23
ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	23
ITEM 4.	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	25
	OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	25
	PART II	
ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR COMPANY_S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	27
ITEM 6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	29
ITEM 7.	MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	29
ITEM 7A.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	47
ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	48
ITEM 9.	<u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL</u> <u>DISCLOSURE</u>	48
ITEM 9A.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	48
ITEM 9B.	OTHER INFORMATION	49
	PART III	
ITEM 10.	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	50
ITEM 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	50
ITEM 12.	<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED</u> <u>STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	50
ITEM 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	50
ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES	50
	PART IV	
ITEM 15.	EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	51

SIGNATURES AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS Introduction

Ampex Corporation (Ampex or the Company) is a leading innovator and licensor of visual information technology. During our 62-year history, we have developed substantial proprietary technology relating to the electronic storage, processing and retrieval of data, particularly images. We currently hold approximately 450 patents and patent applications covering digital image processing, digital image compression and recording technologies. We leverage our investment in technology through our corporate licensing division that licenses our patents to manufacturers of consumer electronics products. Through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Ampex Data Systems Corporation (Data Systems), we also incorporate this technology in the design and manufacture of very high performance data storage products, principally used in defense applications to gather digital images and other data from aircraft, satellites and submarines. These products are also used in flight and sensor test applications.

We have two business segments, which we refer to as our Recorders segment and our Licensing segment. Our Recorders segment primarily includes the sale and service of data acquisition and instrumentation recorders (which record data and images rather than computer information), and to a lesser extent mass data storage products. All of our products are made by our manufacturing subsidiary, Data Systems. Our Licensing segment involves the licensing of our intellectual property to manufacturers of consumer digital video products through our corporate licensing division. Our products and licensing activities are described below under Licensing Segment, Recorders Segment and Patents, Licenses and Trademarks. For information regarding revenues, income or loss, assets and other financial data for each business segment for each of our last three fiscal years, see Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and Business Segments under Item 7 below. For financial information relating to our operations in various geographic areas, see Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

We incorporated in Delaware in January 1992 as the successor to a business originally organized in 1944. References to Ampex include subsidiaries and predecessors of Ampex Corporation, unless the context indicates otherwise. Our principal executive offices are located at 1228 Douglas Avenue, Redwood City, California 94063, and our telephone number is (650) 367-2011. Our Class A Common Stock is quoted on the Nasdaq Capital Market under the symbol AMPX. We also maintain a website on the Internet at www.ampex.com.

Our trademarks used in this report include Ampex, DCT, DST, DCRsi, DIS, DDRs and DSRs, which are trademarks of Ampex Corporter trademarks and service marks used in this report are the property of Ampex or their respective owners.

Where You Can Find More Information

You are advised to read this Form 10-K in conjunction with other reports and documents that we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). In particular, please read our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, any Current Reports on Form 8-K that we may file from time to time and our proxy statements. You may obtain copies of these reports directly from us or from the SEC at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549, and you may obtain information about obtaining access to the Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information for electronic filers (including us) at its website www.sec.gov. We make available free of charge on or through our Internet website located at www.ampex.com our SEC filings on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K and any amendments to those filings as soon as reasonably practicable after electronic filing with the SEC, our Code of Ethics, and certain other documents.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-K contains predictions, projections and other statements about the future that are intended to be forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements, or industry results, to differ materially from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such risks, uncertainties and other important factors include, among others, those described under Risk Factors, below. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this Report. We disclaim any obligation or undertaking to disseminate updates or revisions of any expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based. IN ASSESSING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS CONTAINED IN THIS FORM 10-K, READERS ARE URGED TO READ CAREFULLY ALL SUCH CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS.

Licensing Segment

Overview

Ampex has a significant portfolio of patents that results from investments in research, development and engineering that we have made to design and improve the products made by our manufacturing subsidiary, Data Systems. In general, our products have been designed for demanding and specialized professional television or intelligence gathering use and have, therefore, been too expensive for sale to individual consumers. However, we have found that, in many instances, patented innovations in performance or features developed for the professional markets have later been adopted in consumer products, typically 7-10 years later.

In order to generate revenues from the consumer markets that we do not sell in directly, we license our patents to manufacturers of consumer electronics in return for royalties based on the value of their sales. In 1968, we licensed our first manufacturer of consumer videocassette recorders (VCRs) and subsequently we licensed essentially every significant manufacturer of VCRs in the world. As our earlier patents expired, we developed new patented inventions and licensees continued to pay royalties to be able to incorporate these new patents in their VCRs. In the period 1990 to 2000, our licensing income fluctuated significantly but averaged \$16 million per year. VCRs were based on analog video technology. We ceased to develop analog technology many years ago. Most of the relevant patents expired by 2001 and our licensees no longer pay significant royalties on analog products. However, Ampex's research and development in the field of digital video recording resulted in patents which have found application in digital camcorders, digital still cameras and we believe other products that record still or motion video images. Today, substantially all of our licensing revenue comes from manufacturers of digital camcorders that have licensed several patents that do not expire until after 2012. We continue to investigate use of our digital video patents in other consumer products and are in active negotiations with several of our licensees and other manufacturers of digital still cameras and camera-equipped cellular phones but we are not presently receiving licensing revenues on these products. In 2007, we intend to explore additional ways, including possible arrangements with independent patent research and evaluation companies and other third parties, to monetize our intellectual property. There can be no assurance that these expanded efforts will be successful.

Technology

In the 1980 s Ampex was a leader in the development of digital video technology for use in such products as digital special effects, digital graphics, digital time base correctors and many others. We received limited royalty income from licensing these patents for use in broadcast television products, but the markets were small and image-based consumer products did not employ digital technology at that time for various reasons, including cost.

As part of our digital video technology development, Ampex made developments in digital image compression, and in the mid 1990 s we introduced the first professional videotape recorder to successfully use digital image compression. These devices were part of a family of products marketed by Ampex under the DCT trademark. The patents that we received as a result of designing these products are now, we believe, among the most potentially valuable to our licensing program with the manufacturers of digital video imaging consumer products.

Digital image compression, which was developed by Ampex and by several other companies, has been a key factor making it possible to produce new generations of digital consumer imaging products at affordable prices. Products that store images without compression have remained too inefficient and expensive. Compression lowers component costs and also reduces size, power consumption and data storage requirements, which are crucial to making devices that are portable.

The adoption of digital technology in consumer markets also resulted in a technical convergence among consumer products. For example, in the analog era, still video images were captured on chemical film while motion video was captured on magnetic videotape. Today s digital products use common technologies so that digital still cameras can record motion video as well as still video images, digital video recorders can record still video images as well as motion video, and some digital cellular telephones can record and transmit both types of video images. This convergence has been important to Ampex because it has enabled us to broaden the markets that our licensing program can address. During the period that we licensed analog technology, substantially all of our royalties came from VCRs. Most of our analog VCR patents expired in 2001 and we no longer receive significant licensing revenues on these patents. In 2003 most of our royalties were from digital video camcorders. In 2004, 2005 and 2006, we continued to generate significant royalties from digital video camcorders but the majority of our licensing revenues came from digital still cameras, and we also generated royalties from DVD recorders. In April 2006, our Rapid Image Retrieval patent (121 patent) used in digital still cameras and camera-equipped cellular phones expired. While we continue to believe that certain other patents are being used in digital still cameras and camera-equipped cellular phones which do not expire until after 2012, all of these licensees have discontinued royalty payments to us subsequent to the expiration of the 121 patent.

Patents

Our Rapid Image Retrieval patent had been responsible for the majority of the license income that we earned in the first half of 2006, and in years 2005 and 2004, principally from manufacturers of digital still cameras and, to a lesser extent, manufacturers of camera- equipped cellular phones. The patent expired on April 11, 2006. We own additional patents that relate to digital imaging, which we believe are generally relevant to many digital consumer imaging products, and we are currently receiving royalties on these patents from several manufacturers of digital camcorders and one manufacturer of DVD recorders. It is our policy to license a portfolio of our patents in order to provide our licensees with the maximum amount of design freedom. Whether our licensees use one or several of our patents, the royalty rate is unaffected. We do not receive information from our licensees as to which specific patents they actually use at any point in time. Certain of our digital imaging patents are discussed below.

Image data shuffling patents are used to reduce the amount of data required to transmit or record an image. These patents expire at various dates through 2013 and have been issued in the USA, France, Great Britain, Germany and Taiwan. We believe that these patents are necessary for the production of digital video camcorders because they are included in applicable technical standards. We believe it is possible that they also may be used in DVD recorders and set top cable boxes that are equipped to record video (cable boxes). The technology is useful in compressing either still or motion video images and we believe it is possible these patents may be used or useful in digital still cameras and possibly in camera-equipped cellular telephones.

Feed forward quantization patents are also useful in reducing the amount of data required to transmit or record images, principally video images. These patents expire at various dates through 2012 and have been

issued in the USA, France, Great Britain, Germany and Taiwan. We believe that these patents are used in the production of digital video camcorders complying with applicable technical standards. We believe that these patents may be used in DVD recorders and cable boxes. We also believe that they may be used or useful now or in the future in digital still cameras and camera-equipped cellular telephones that have the capability to record still and motion video.

High-speed data decoding patents may be useful in any digital device that displays video. The patents expire at various dates through 2014 and are issued in the USA, Austria, France, Great Britain and Germany, and an application is pending in Japan. We are investigating the extent to which these patents may be used in many consumer digital devices but we have not yet reached a conclusion as to which products, if any, may currently infringe these patents.

Markets and Customers

The major product categories from which we receive royalty income at present are:

Digital video camcorders. In 2006 and 2005, we received recurring royalties from manufacturers of these products totaling approximately \$7.7 million and \$5.1 million, respectively. Additionally, under an agreement concluded in July 2005, Samsung Electronics, Co., Ltd. prepaid \$2.75 million to us for the right to use our patents in digital video camcorders, through 2008. Commencing in the third quarter of 2006, Sony Corporation (Sony) started paying recurring royalties based on the value of its sales in countries where our patents are in force and infringed by their products. Accordingly, we expect that our recurring royalties from digital video camcorders should increase from current levels, assuming sales levels remain the same.

Digital still cameras. In 2006 and 2005, we received approximately \$2.1 million and \$20.4 million, respectively, of royalties for use of our patents in digital still cameras. Of this amount in 2005, approximately \$15.9 million represents negotiated settlements covering past use of Ampex s patents and, in some cases, a prepayment of royalty obligations through April 11, 2006. April 11, 2006 coincides with the U.S. expiration of our rapid image retrieval patent (121), which is used in digital still cameras and in certain camera-equipped cellular phones. While our digital still camera license agreements permit our licensees to use our portfolio of digital imaging patents, by agreement, we received royalties only on the 121 patent through its expiration date. We have analyzed several digital still cameras and believe that many utilize our feed forward quantization patent. We have provided claim charts to ten licensees that allege infringement of this patent and we expect to issue additional claim charts to other licensees or manufacturers if further testing indicates that their products infringe our patents. We have conducted numerous technical and business meetings to discuss our claim charts but we have not been able to conclude an acceptable arrangement with some of our licensees to cause them to resume payment of royalties on digital still cameras or camera-equipped cellular phones sold after April 11, 2006. Beginning in the third quarter of 2006, we expanded our research activities with the goal of assessing whether other patents, including image data shuffling and high speed image decoding, are being used in these products. If these expanded research activities successfully demonstrate use of one or more of our patents, current licensees would be obligated to resume payments under the existing terms of our licensee agreements, which we believe are favorable to the manufacturers. We would not expect to be able to assess the effectiveness of these efforts until later in 2007. If these negotiations are not successful we may seek to cancel our license agreements and pursue our other options, including possible litigation.

While we believe that other Ampex patents may be used in various consumer digital imaging products now or in the future, we cannot assure you that this belief is correct.

Camera equipped cellular telephones. Based on current trends, which are for cellular telephones to record higher resolution still images or significant amounts of video, we believe that these products will be required to employ high levels of image compression which may involve the use of our patents. We have notified many major manufacturers of camera-equipped cellular telephones that we believe may be infringing certain of our

patents. We cannot assure you that these products infringe our patents now or will do so in the future, or that we will be able to negotiate any licenses for these products. Our discussions are in an early stage and are likely to require substantial exchanges of technical and business information before we can be certain that our patents have been infringed. As a result, we believe it is not likely that we will generate significant income from these products in 2007. If our negotiations are unsuccessful we may have to initiate litigation to enforce our patents at some time in the future.

DVD recorders and cable boxes. In 2004, we were approached by a consumer electronic manufacturer that planned to introduce a line of DVD recorders. We concluded a license with this company that also covers use of our patents in video recorders utilizing hard disks (PVR s) or DVD storage. In 2006 and 2005, less than 9% of our licensing revenue was generated by this licensee and future royalties will be dependent on the value of sales achieved by this licensee. We believe that other manufacturers of DVD recorders and PVRs may be using one or more of our patents. We are currently in discussion with another major manufacturer of DVD recorders concerning a license of our technology. At present we cannot assure you that we will be able to conclude any additional licenses for our DVD recorder and PVR related patents.

We are continuing to review other categories of products such as digital television receivers for potential licensing opportunities but have not yet concluded that any of our patents are being used.

Many of our patents that are relevant to consumer products are the result of design work on professional and broadcast television products. These are markets that we do not presently pursue actively. Therefore, as our patents that are useful in consumer products expire, we may not be able to replace them, with the result that we might cease to receive patent royalties in the future. We will give consideration to funding new research and development projects in the digital imaging field or to the acquisition of patents from others. No decisions in this regard have been taken and there can be no assurance that any new licensable patents will be developed or acquired.

Recorders Segment

Products

All of our products are manufactured by Data Systems and are comprised of very high performance instrumentation products, which represent our principal area of focus, and, to a lesser extent, mass data storage products. High performance instrumentation recorders reproduce data at higher speeds and store larger volumes of data than in general purpose recording devices. Instrumentation recorders capture digital data that is usually generated by a sensor or other devices. Examples include satellite telemetry information and flight test data. Our mass data storage products consist of our 19-millimeter scanning recorders and robotic library systems and related tape and after-market parts. Data Systems also continues to offer spare parts to repair professional video recorders and other products that it previously manufactured and marketed to the television production and post-production industries. However, sales of spare parts of legacy television products accounted for less than 10% of total Recorders segment revenue in all periods reported herein. For information concerning revenue for each product group comprising in excess of 15% of consolidated revenue and other information relating to our operating segments, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Data Acquisition/Instrumentation Products. We have been well established for more than 50 years as a supplier of instrumentation recorders. We have supplied these recorders primarily to government agencies for use in airborne and ground based data collection, satellite surveillance and other defense-related applications, as well as to defense contractors and aerospace and other industrial users primarily for test and measurement purposes. Our instrumentation recorders have been used on almost every advanced commercial and U.S. military aircraft, as well as on foreign aircraft. We believe they are well suited to these demanding aeronautical applications and

other applications involving comparable data-gathering challenges in extreme environments because of their performance and reliability. Substantially all of our new product development is focused on data acquisition and instrumentation products.

Our principal data acquisition/instrumentation products currently are the DDRs 400, DSRs 440 and DSRs 400B. These are disk- and solid state memory-based recorders, which are plug-compatible replacements for our tape-based DCRsi instrumentation recorders for ease of data transfer, analysis and archival storage. The removable, hermetically sealed disk cartridge-based DDRs 400 instrumentation disk recorder offers a sustained data rate of 400 megabits per second (Mb/s) and storage capacity of up to 960 gigabytes (GB). The DDRs 400 is used in airborne image recording, telemetry data and acoustic sonar data acquisition and in flight test and radar development applications. The DSRs 440 and DSRs 400B solid state recording systems include removable, flash memory cartridges and are specifically designed for airborne applications requiring rapid data rates and large storage capacities in a small, rugged and lightweight package. The DSRs 440 offers a sustained data rate of 600 Mb/s and an expandable storage capacity of up to two terabytes (TB). The DSRs 400B offers a sustained data rate of 600 Mb/s and storage capacity of up to one TB. The Amux 600 Multiplexer can be internally or externally configured with the DDRs and DSRs product line to enable simultaneous capture of multiple channels of data input in mission critical applications. In 2006, we began shipment of the HRR 700 High Definition Video Recorder. This recorder is a compact, solid state-based recorder that provides up to 224 GB of removable storage and sustained data rates of up to 600 Mb/s. It is fully compatible with our Amux 600 I/O modules as well as other standard industry data input interfaces. As our customers data storage requirements increase, solid state memory becomes cost prohibitive. Accordingly, we are designing ruggedized disk-based recorders that offer the economics of disk storage without sacrificing the superior functionality of solid state. Our WDS 2000 FC is a wide band, compact disk array that has been ruggedized for airborne data acquisition and storage and is capable of recording multiple data streams of uncompressed high definition video with RAID 3 reliability in high vibration environments. The WDS 2000 is scheduled for initial delivery in 2007.

The Company continues to offer its tape-based DCRsi 240, DCRsi 120 and DCRsi 75 digital instrumentation recorders. Tapes from these recorders are fully interchangeable. The DCRsi recorders are rugged, highly reliable and compact recorders that permit uninterrupted data capture from fractions of a megabit per second up to 240 megabits per second over very long periods of time, such as during test flights of new aircraft. The DCRsi 240 instrumentation recorder has the capability of storing 48GB of data at a record/reproduce rate of up to 240 Mb/s. The DCRsi 120 instrumentation recorder has a similar storage capacity and a record/reproduce rate of 120 Mb/s. The DCRsi 75 recorder is our lowest cost DCRsi model with a record-reproduce rate of 75 Mb/s. These products are designed for data interchange between locations and agencies. In ground-based applications, which generally are less harsh environments that do not require the ruggedness of a DCRsi recorder, our 19-millimeter DST and DIS mass data storage products can also perform the storage and analysis functions of DCRsi products.

We have shipped our disk- and solid state memory-based products to various U.S. and foreign governmental agencies. Orders for these products have generated backlog of \$5.9 million, \$9.1 million and \$3.7 million at December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. In addition, we have received orders from The Boeing Corporation (Boeing) and the U.S. Navy, which are not included in backlog at December 31, 2006. We are to provide Boeing up to \$2.9 million of solid state-based data instrumentation recorders with an option for a further \$1.1 million subject to Boeing s authorization. This product is for use in a government defense related program. We have shipped \$0.8 million against this order. Shipments for the remainder are scheduled to be made over the next three years but are not included in our backlog since the contract contains provisions whereby Boeing may terminate portions of the order up to 30 days prior to scheduled delivery upon payment of penalties depending on the termination date. We were also approved by the U.S. Navy to provide up to \$5.0 million of these newly introduced products, which is not included in backlog. We have shipped \$0.4 million against this order. Future deliveries under this approval will be subject to receipt of purchase orders over the next three years for specific quantities to be determined by the U.S. Navy from time to time. Government programs, which utilize these products, have lead times of several years before significant revenues are generated.



Significant portions of data acquisition and instrumentation recorder sales reflect purchases by prime contractors to the federal government, which can be subject to significant fluctuations. See Markets. In addition, other factors relating to the markets for our instrumentation products and to competition in these markets may affect future sales of these products. See Distribution and Customers, Competition, and New Product Development and Industry Conditions.

19-millimeter Products. Our 19-millimeter tape-based products include our DST and DIS tape drives and library systems and use core technology developed by us for our digital video recorders when we were active in the professional television market until 1992. Our DST tape drives are used to store digital data in formats such as SCSI and fibre channel that are typically utilized in the computer industry. Our DIS tape drives use specialized instrumentation formats that are primarily used in intelligence gathering. The drives use high-density metal particle tape cartridges, which are available in a range of sizes providing storage capacities from 100 to 660GB per cartridge in quad-density format. DST automated library systems incorporate multiple tape cartridges and tape drives and provide from 1.2 to 12.8 TB of storage capacity. Expansion modules can expand the DST library storage capacities up to approximately 100 TB. DST and DIS tape drives offer rapid access times to vast amounts of data that is maintained near online" to allow it to be retrieved or archived quickly from or to very large data bases. We manufacture our 19-millimeter products to customer order. Since our Recorders segment is primarily focused on our data acquisition and instrumentation products, we do not currently intend to invest additional development resources to extend the life of our 19-millimeter products beyond the quad density format. However, we will conduct sustaining engineering to support our current customers requirements. See Markets, Distribution and Customers, Competition, and New Product Development and Industry Conditions.

Other Products. Data Systems other products are primarily television after-market products (including spare parts) relating to television products that we manufactured in prior periods and continue to support.

Markets

Data Acquisition/Instrumentation Recorders. Data Systems DDRs, DSRs and DCRsi recording drives are designed to acquire large volumes of data in stressful physical environments and under severe vibration and shock conditions. These recorders are used extensively in airborne and naval intelligence acquisition and for the collection of test data during the design and qualification of aircraft. These products are used by U.S. and foreign military and intelligence agencies (including those of China, Germany, India, Japan, South America and the United Kingdom), as well as by manufacturers of commercial airplanes, such as Boeing, and other foreign airframe manufacturers. A significant portion of DCRsi products are also sold in versions that are intended for use in ground facilities for the long-term storage or analysis of data previously collected in mobile environments. Our DSRs and DDRs products have been developed to replace over several years a large installed base of DCRsi tape-based recorders.

U.S and foreign government agencies continue to be the primary market for our data acquisition and instrumentation recorders. Sales to government agencies are subject to fluctuation as a result of changes in government spending programs (including defense programs) and could be adversely affected by pressure on government agencies to reduce spending. Any material decline in the current level of government purchases of our products could have a material adverse effect on us.

19-Millimeter Products. Our 19-millimeter mass storage tape drives and library systems are optimized for applications that must handle large amounts of data, such as those that process and store images, digital video and streaming data. Government intelligence data gathering and archival storage are our principal markets.

Our products are used in a small number of specialized applications. Accordingly, we believe that our share of the overall data storage market is very small.

Distribution and Customers

Our data acquisition and instrumentation recorders (including our DIS 19-millimeter tape drives) are sold primarily to prime contractors who in turn sell to government agencies involved in data collection, satellite surveillance and defense-related activities, as well as to defense contractors and other industrial users for testing and measurement purposes. Sales of instrumentation recorders are made through our internal domestic and international sales forces, as well as through independent sales organizations in foreign markets. The government programs that involve our products are typically long-term in duration. Government procurement practices typically limit our customers purchase commitments with our recorders segment to one-year or less. Also, we typically operate with low levels of backlog and ship products ordered within a particular quarter in that quarter or the succeeding quarter.

We currently distribute our 19-millimeter products (including DST and DIS recorders) directly through our internal sales force, as well as through independent value-added resellers. With respect to our 19-millimeter recorders, we are not actively pursuing new government programs but continue to offer products to customers who desire to support or expand an existing program.

We currently operate a total of six sales and service offices, including four in the U.S., one in Japan and one in the United Kingdom.

Our sales to U.S. government agencies (either directly or indirectly through government contractors) represented approximately 46% of the Recorders segment revenue in fiscal 2006 compared to 59% in fiscal 2005 and 70% in fiscal 2004. Sales to government customers are subject to customary contractual provisions permitting termination at the government s election. See Markets.

In 2006, in the Recorders segment, The Boeing Corporation and Lockheed Martin Corporation accounted for 14.9% and 10.0% of total revenue, respectively. In 2005 and 2004, no single Recorders segment customer accounted for more than 10% of total revenue.

Research, Development and Engineering

We have been developing high performance recording systems for the intelligence community for over 50 years. Until 1992, we also developed recording systems and special effects products for the professional television industry. In recent years, substantially all of our research and development activities have focused on our data acquisition and instrumentation recorders. Over the years, we have developed extensive expertise in a wide area of technical disciplines and have developed fundamental innovations in digital image processing, magnetic recording technology and communication channel electronics. In 2006, we spent approximately \$4.4 million (17.6% of total Recorders segment revenue) for research and development programs and engineering costs, compared to \$4.2 million (17.3% of total Recorders segment revenue) in 2005 and \$3.9 million (13.8% of Recorders segment revenue) in 2004. Future research, development and engineering spending may need to be reduced if Data Systems were to experience further declines in product revenues. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

In prior years, we designed and manufactured a wide range of professional television products. We patented many of our innovations, many of which remain in force. These technologies form the foundation of our digital imaging patent portfolio that we are seeking to exploit with new licensing agreements covering digital video camcorders, digital still cameras, camera equipped cellular phones, DVD recorders, and hard disk recorders.

In recent years, our Recorders segment has elected to focus on its data acquisition and instrumentation products. As a result, it has transitioned much of its research and development budget away from the 19-millimeter digital recording technology, to the recently developed DSRs and DDRs solid state- and disk-based recorders, which incorporate data interfaces, previously utilized in our DCRsi recorders. These products have

largely been designed in response to unique and varied requirements of various U.S. and foreign government agencies and are being evaluated for inclusion in future intelligence gathering programs under consideration. As a result, our new products have been manufactured in limited quantities. New government programs typically undergo several years of evaluation before product specifications are established, prime and subcontractors selected, funding appropriated and contracts let. See Recorder Segment Products Data Acquisition/Instrumentation Products above. We do not plan to develop our 19-millimeter product lines beyond the quad density format. However, we will conduct sustaining engineering to support our current customers requirements.

All of our research, development and engineering efforts are conducted in-house. While we do not outsource our product engineering, in line with industry trends, we endeavor to utilize off the shelf components rather than to design our own components. Research and development is subject to certain risks and uncertainties described below under New Product Development and Industry Conditions, and there can be no assurance that any of these efforts will be technologically or commercially successful.

Patents, Licenses and Trademarks

As a result of our ongoing research and development expenditures, we have developed substantial proprietary technology, certain of which we have elected to patent or to seek to patent. As of December 31, 2006, we held approximately 450 patents and patent applications, including approximately 170 patents in the U.S. and approximately 280 corresponding patents in other countries. Also, there are approximately 25 U.S. and foreign patent applications pending. The majority of these patents and pending patents relate to our recording technology. We continually review our patent portfolio and allow non-strategic patents to lapse, thereby minimizing substantial renewal fees.

U.S. patents are, at present, in force for a period of 20 years from the date of application and patents granted by foreign jurisdictions are generally in force for between 14 years to 20 years from the date of application. We have obtained our present patents over the course of the past 20 years and, accordingly, have patents in force that will expire from time to time over the next 20 years. Patents are important to our current overall business, both as a source of protection of the proprietary technology used in our current products, and as a source of royalty revenue. While results of operations would be adversely affected by the loss or expiration of patents that generate significant royalty revenue, Management believes that none of our Recorder Segment product lines is materially dependent upon a single patent or license or group of related patents or licenses, and that timely introduction of products incorporating new technologies or particularly suited to meet the needs of a specific market or customer group is a more important determinant of the success of our current business.

In 2006, one licensee, Sony Corporation, accounted for 10.2% of total revenue. In 2005, one licensee, Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. Ltd., accounted for 11.9% of total revenue. In 2004, two licensees, Sony and Canon, accounted for 39.4% and 19.2% of total revenue, respectively.

It is not possible to predict the amount of royalty revenue that will be received in the future. Royalty revenue has historically fluctuated widely due to a number of factors that we cannot predict, such as the extent of use of our patented technology by third parties, the extent to which we must pursue litigation in order to enforce our patents, and the ultimate success of our licensing and litigation activities. We also expect to spend additional costs in future years in our investigation and analysis of how our digital video imaging and data compression technologies are being utilized by manufacturers of consumer digital video products. There can be no assurance that we will continue to develop or otherwise acquire patentable technology that will generate significant patent royalties in future years. We will continue to evaluate additional products and potential licensing opportunities to the extent that our technical and financial resources permit. In 2007, we intend to explore additional ways, including possible arrangements with independent patent research and evaluation companies and other third parties, to monetize our intellectual property. There can be no assurance that these expanded efforts will be successful.

We regard our trademark Ampex and our logo as valuable to our businesses. We have registered our trademark and logo in the U.S. and a number of foreign countries. U.S. trademark registrations are generally valid for an initial term of 10 years and renewable for subsequent 10-year periods. We have not granted any material rights to use our name or logo to any other third party.

Our trademarks used in this report include Ampex, DCT, DST, DIS, DCRsi, DSRs and DDRs, all of which are trademarks of Ampex Corporation. All other trademarks and service marks used in this report are the property of Ampex or their respective owners.

Manufacturing

Our Recorders segment s products are manufactured at facilities in Redwood City, California and Colorado Springs, Colorado. Products are designed and engineered primarily in Redwood City.

We maintain insurance, including business interruption insurance, which Management considers adequate and customary under the circumstances. However, there is no assurance that we will not incur losses beyond the limits of, or outside the coverage of, our current insurance policies.

Sources of Supply

We use a broad variety of raw materials and components in our manufacturing operations. While most materials are readily available from numerous sources, we purchase certain components, such as customized integrated circuits, memory chips and flexible magnetic media, from a single domestic or foreign manufacturer. Significant delays in deliveries of, or defects in the supply of, such components could adversely affect our manufacturing operations pending qualification of an alternative supplier. In addition, we produce highly engineered products in relatively small quantities. As a result, our ability to cause suppliers to continue production of certain products on which we may depend may be limited. Manufacturers have required us in the past and may require us in the future to purchase lifetime quantities of certain products or components in advance of their discontinuing the product, requiring us to maintain inventory levels in excess of current period revenue forecasts. We do not generally enter into long-term raw material supply contracts. In addition, many of the components of our products are designed, developed and manufactured by us, and thus are not readily available from alternative sources.

Fluctuations in Operating Results; Seasonality and Backlog of Recorders Segment

Our revenue and results of operations are generally subject to quarterly and annual fluctuations. Factors affecting operating results include: customer ordering patterns; availability and market acceptance of new products; government funding of certain programs which incorporate our recorders; timing of significant orders and new product announcements; order cancellations; receipt of lump sum royalty settlements for prior period shipments and/or prepayments of running royalties otherwise due in future periods and numerous other factors. Our revenues are typically dependent upon receipt of a limited number of customer orders involving relatively large dollar volumes in any given fiscal period, increasing the potential volatility of our revenues from quarter to quarter. In addition, sales to government customers (primarily sales of instrumentation products) are subject to fluctuations as a result of changes in government spending programs, which can materially affect our gross margin as well as our revenues.

A substantial portion of our backlog at a given time is normally shipped within one or two quarters thereafter. Therefore, revenues in any quarter are heavily dependent on orders received in that quarter and the immediately preceding quarter. Our backlog of firm orders at December 31, 2006 was \$5.9 million, compared to \$9.1 million at December 31, 2005 and \$3.7 million at December 31, 2004. We were awarded a multi-year contract of approximately \$6.9 million from Boeing for our new disk- and solid state-based data instrumentation

recorders to be used in the development of the 787 airplane. The undelivered value of \$3.2 million and \$5.8 million of this contract has been included in reported backlog as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. We are scheduled to deliver the balance of this order during 2007. In July 2006, we received an additional order from Boeing to provide up to \$2.9 million of solid state-based data instrumentation recorders with an option for a further \$1.1 million subject to Boeing s authorization. This product is for use in a government defense-related program. In 2006, we shipped \$0.8 million against this order. Shipments for the remainder of the order are expected to be made over the next three years. This order up to 30 days prior to scheduled delivery upon payment of penalties depending on the termination date. In the fourth quarter of 2005, we were also approved by the U.S. Navy to provide up to \$5.0 million of data acquisition recorders, which is not included in backlog. Future deliveries under this approval will be subject to receipt of purchase orders over the next three years for specific quantities to be determined by the U.S. Navy from time to time. To date we have received purchase orders and made shipments for a total of \$0.4 million as of December 31, 2006. We do not generally include foreign orders in backlog until we have obtained requisite export licenses and other documentation. Orders may be subject to cancellation in the event shipments are delayed. For all of the foregoing reasons, results of a given quarter are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for a fiscal year.

Competition

We encounter significant competition in our Recorders segment product markets. Although our competitors vary from product to product, many are significantly larger companies with greater financial resources, broader product lines and other competitive advantages. Our primary market focus is currently directed toward the data acquisition and instrumentation markets, principally involving intelligence gathering for classified programs where our products historically have been widely deployed. Our involvement in the mass data storage market is directed at data acquisition and archival storage applications for intelligence gathering. We do not attempt to compete in the commercial mass data storage applications.

In the instrumentation market, we compete primarily with companies that depend on government contracts for a major portion of their sales in this market, including Heim Data Systems, L-3 Communications Corporation, Calculex and Sypris Solutions, Inc. The principal competitive factors in this market are cost, product reliability, product performance and the ability to satisfy applicable government procurement requirements.

New Product Development and Industry Conditions

The instrumentation and data storage industries are characterized by continual technological change and the need to introduce new products and product upgrades. This requires a high level of expenditure for research and development. Obsolescence of existing product lines, or the inability to develop and introduce new products, could have a material adverse effect on revenues and results of operations. We have no current plans to develop our 19-millimeter mass data storage product lines beyond the quad density format, but we will invest in sustaining engineering activities to support the needs of our existing customer base. To date, shipments of our DSRs and DDRs solid state memory-based and disk-based instrumentation recorders have been made to a number of U.S. and foreign government agencies primarily for new projects and programs. If successful, these products could be selected for new intelligence gathering programs that would ultimately seek to replace over time our older tape-based DCRsi recorders. There can be no assurance that such orders will actually be received. No assurance can be given that existing products will not become obsolete, that any new products will win commercial acceptance or that our new products or technology will be competitive. See Competition. Furthermore, the introduction of new products or technologies can be hampered by technical problems in design, manufacturing and test procedures or the occurrence of other unforeseen events.

Sales of our instrumentation products can be significantly affected by changes in government spending levels. See Markets and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

International Operations

Substantially all of our licensing revenue is derived from foreign manufacturers of consumer digital imaging products. Sales of data acquisition and instrumentation recorders and related service to foreign customers accounted for approximately 24.6% (of which 6.1% relates to export sales made directly to foreign customers) of product and service revenue in fiscal 2006, compared to 27.1% (of which 6.0% relates to export sales made directly to foreign customers) in fiscal 2005 and 19.5% (of which 3.4% relates to export sales made directly to foreign customers) in fiscal 2005 and 19.5% (of which 3.4% relates to export sales made directly to foreign customers) in fiscal 2006 and 19.5% (of which 3.4% relates to export sales made directly to foreign customers) and sales organization. See Distribution and Customers.

Foreign operations are subject to the usual risk attendant with investments in foreign countries, including limitations on repatriation of earnings, restrictive actions by local governments, fluctuation in foreign currency exchange rates and nationalization. Additionally, export sales are subject to export regulations and restrictions imposed by the U.S. Department of State and the U.S. Department of Commerce.

The decline in the value of the U.S. dollar in relation to certain foreign currencies favorably affects our international operations whereas the strength of the dollar relative to such currencies adversely affects our international operations. Fluctuations in the value of international currencies can be expected to continue to affect our operations in the future, although the impact will be less significant than it was in periods when a higher proportion of sales were made in foreign currencies. We currently do not hedge our assets that are denominated in foreign currencies. U.S. export revenues and our licensing agreements are principally denominated in U.S. dollars.

See Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information concerning our foreign operations.

Environmental Regulation and Proceedings

Our facilities are subject to numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations designed to protect the environment from waste emissions and hazardous substances. We are also subject to the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act and other laws and regulations affecting the safety and health of employees in its facilities. Management believes that we are generally in compliance in all material respects with all applicable environmental and occupational safety laws and regulations or have plans to bring operations into compliance. Management does not anticipate that capital expenditures for pollution control equipment for fiscal 2007 will be material.

Owners and occupiers of sites containing hazardous substances, as well as generators and transporters of hazardous substances, are subject to broad liability under various federal and state environmental laws and regulations, including liability for investigative and cleanup costs and damages arising out of past disposal activities. We have four environmental investigations, remediation and/or monitoring activities outstanding at December 31, 2006. Three sites are associated with the operations of our former Media subsidiaries (Media) while the fourth relates directly to a disposal activity of the Company. Some of these activities involve the participation of state and local government agencies. Although we sold Media in November 1995, we may have continuing liability with respect to environmental contamination at these sites if Media fails to discharge its responsibilities with respect to such sites. On January 10, 2005, Media filed under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. During 2006 and 2005, we spent a total of approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, in connection with environmental investigation, remediation and monitoring activities. We expect to spend \$0.9 million in the next twelve months for such activities, largely pertaining to Media s prior activities.

Because of the inherent uncertainty as to various aspects of environmental matters, including the extent of environmental damage, the most desirable remediation techniques and the time period during which cleanup

costs may be incurred, it is not possible for us to estimate with certainty the ultimate costs to be incurred with respect to the currently pending environmental matters referred to above. At December 31, 2006, we had an accrued liability of \$0.1 million for pending environmental liabilities associated with activities by us and \$2.2 million of net liabilities for discontinued operations for the estimated liabilities we may incur with respect to former Media sites discussed above. Although we do not currently possess sufficient information to estimate with certainty the ultimate costs to be incurred upon future completion of studies, litigation or settlements, and neither the timing nor the amount of the ultimate costs associated with environmental matters can be determined, they could be material to our consolidated results of operations or operating cash flows in the periods recognized or paid. However, considering our past experience and existing reserves, we do not expect that these environmental matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position. These liabilities have not been discounted.

While we believe that we are generally in compliance with all applicable environmental laws and regulations or have plans to bring operations into compliance, it is possible that we will be named as a potentially responsible party in the future with respect to additional Superfund or other sites. Furthermore, because we conduct our business in foreign countries as well as in the U.S., it is not possible to predict the effect that future domestic or foreign regulation could have on our business, operating results or cash flow. There can be no assurance that we will not ultimately incur liability in excess of amounts currently accrued for pending environmental matters or that additional liabilities with respect to environmental matters will not be asserted. In addition, changes in environmental regulations could impose the need for additional capital equipment or other requirements. Such liabilities or regulations could have a material adverse effect on us in the future.

Employees

As of December 31, 2006, in our continuing operations we employed 121 people worldwide, compared to 127 at December 31, 2005 and 127 at December 31, 2004. Approximately 11% of our current worldwide workforce is employed in our international operations, compared to 12% at December 31, 2005 and 9% at December 31, 2004. No employees are covered by any collective bargaining agreement. We are dependent on the performance of certain key members of Management and key technical personnel as more fully described below in Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance. We have not entered into employment agreements with any such individuals other than Mr. Strickland. On February 16, 2007, the Board of Directors appointed D. Gordon Strickland as our CEO, President and director. He replaces Edward J. Bramson who resigned as an officer and a director of the Company. Certain of our key members of management have salary continuation agreements in place that provide up to one year s salary in the event that a change of control results in a termination by us for reasons other than cause.

Pension Plan Matters

We are the Plan Sponsor of the Ampex pension plan and of the Media pension plan. We amended these plans in early 1994 to terminate benefit service and compensation accruals as of February 1, 1994 in order to reduce payments that would otherwise be required. These pension plans remain underfunded and actuaries have forecasted that substantial pension contributions will be required through 2012 in order to fully fund benefits payable to plan participants.

We account for our obligations under these pension plans in accordance with of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 87, Employers Accounting for Pensions, and SFAS No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans. Under this accounting principle, we recognize a liability on our Consolidated Balance Sheet for unfunded accumulated benefit obligations earned by Ampex s and Media s U.S. employees and retirees through the 1994 plan termination date, which at December 31, 2006 totaled \$54.2 million and \$14.7 million, respectively.

The 1995 sale agreement for Media required the buyer, Quantegy Corporation, to pay directly or to reimburse Ampex for required contributions to the Media pension plan. This agreement was intended to make us

whole from any expense or cash outlay as it pertained to the Media pension plan. However, we remained the Plan Sponsor of the Media pension plan and remained obligated to make pension contributions to that plan. During 2004 Media paid directly or reimbursed Ampex for pension contributions made on Media s behalf of \$0.8 million. During 2006 and 2005, Media did not pay directly or reimburse us for pension contributions made by us on Media s behalf. Reimbursement payments reduce actuarially determined Media pension costs and are reflected in the Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) when such payments are assured of collection.

On January 10, 2005, Media filed under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Accordingly, we do not expect to receive any additional payments or to be reimbursed for future pension contributions that we will be required to make under the Media pension plan as its Plan Sponsor.

The following schedule lists the annual estimated contributions as computed by the plans actuary for the Ampex pension plan and Media pension plan through 2012. The following amounts are substantially less than the unfunded accumulated benefit obligation recognized by us as liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheets due to differing actuarial assumptions prescribed by ERISA in each instance.

	Estimated C Ampex	d Contributions Media Pension Plan thousands)	
	Pension Plan		
2007	\$18,548		,153
2008	6,934		,071
2009	7,151	2,	,135
2010	6,406	1,	,817
2011	6,279	1,	,765
2012	6,290	1,	,771
Total	\$ 51,608	\$ 13,	,712

In 1994, the Company, the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (the PBGC) and certain affiliates, including Hillside Capital Incorporated (Hillside), who were members of a group under common control for purposes of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), entered into a Joint Settlement Agreement (Agreement) in connection with the 1994 reorganization of our former parent, NH Holding Incorporated (NHI), relating to our pension plan (the Ampex pension plan) and of our former Media subsidiaries (the Media pension plan), which are substantially underfunded. Under the terms of the Agreement, the Company and Hillside are held jointly and severally liable to the PBGC to fund the required contributions under the Ampex and Media pension plans. Pursuant to this Agreement, Hillside is obligated to advance pension contributions for the Ampex and Media pension plans in the event we are unable to make the required contributions necessary in order to satisfy the minimum funding standard. Failure by Hillside to advance funds as may be required would enable the PBGC to terminate the plans and seek recovery of termination benefits from Hillside.

Through December 31, 2006, Hillside made pension contributions totaling \$30.1 million pertaining to the Ampex pension plan and the Media pension plan, of which \$9.5 million was paid in 2006 and \$5.9 million was paid in 2005. We have issued notes to Hillside (Hillside Notes) in the amount of the pension contributions and amounts advanced in prior years. We have requested Hillside to fund contributions due in 2007, which are estimated to total \$22.7 million, pursuant to terms of the Agreement, and we may do likewise in future years based on our liquidity.

When Hillside is required to make all or portions of the Ampex and/or Media pension contributions, pursuant to the Agreement, we issue additional Hillside Notes. Under the terms of the Hillside Notes, \$150,000 of principal is due on the first anniversary of the notes with the remaining principal due on the fourth anniversary

of the notes. Pursuant to amendments to our senior debt agreements, all principal payments on the Hillside Notes were deferred until various dates occurring after December 31, 2006. See Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Contractual Obligations. The Hillside Notes provide for interest paid quarterly at 1 percent plus 175% of the applicable mid-term federal rate (effective rate of 9.11% at December 31, 2006). We granted to Hillside a security interest in Data Systems inventory as collateral for advances. The agreement contains certain restrictive covenants which, among other things, restrict our ability to declare dividends, sell all or substantially all of our assets or commence liquidation, or engage in specified transactions with certain related parties, breach of which could result in acceleration of our potential termination liabilities.

Hillside is legally obligated to comply with the terms of the Agreement, and has represented that it has sufficient assets to fund pension contributions that are scheduled in future years. We have no direct or indirect financial ownership interest in Hillside and, accordingly, have no ability to control Hillside or to mandate its compliance with the terms of the Agreement. Accordingly, except for the provisions of the Agreement, our ability to borrow pension contributions from Hillside is beyond our control.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Risk Related to our Business

Our operating results and income have fluctuated significantly in the past and will continue to fluctuate, and we may not be profitable in the future.

Our revenues and results of operations are generally subject to quarterly and annual fluctuations. Various factors affect our operating results, some of which are not within our control, including:

receipt of lump sum, prepaid and ongoing licensing royalties;

the expiration of a patent in April 2006 that had accounted for the majority of licensing revenues in recent years;

product sales by licensees;

new licenses with licensees;

litigation expenses;

debt repayments and interest expense;

the amount and timing of pension contributions and related funding obligations;

customer ordering and government spending patterns;

availability and market acceptance of new products and services;

Edgar Filing: AMPEX CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

timing of significant orders and new product announcements; and

order cancellations.

For example, our licensing revenue has historically fluctuated widely due to a number of factors that we cannot predict, such as the timing and negotiated terms of patent settlement agreements in any particular period, the extent to which third parties acknowledge use of our patented technology, the extent to which we must pursue litigation in order to enforce our patents to protect our business, and the ultimate success of our licensing and litigation activities. Licensing revenue also depends in part on fluctuating sales volumes and prices of licensees products that incorporate our technology. For 2006, we had licensing revenue of \$10.8 million and a net loss of \$3.9 million, based solely on running royalties on license agreements negotiated in prior years. For 2005, we reported licensing revenue of \$28.9 million and net income of \$6.7 million, and in 2004, we reported licensing revenues of \$72.9 million and net income of \$46.4 million. In 2005 and 2004, we recognized significant royalty revenue resulting from licensing settlements of past and in some cases future use of our patents. Our Recorders segment revenues may also fluctuate significantly in future periods. While this segment reported operating

1	E
1	Э

income for 2006, 2005 and 2004, its business is dependent on the funding of government defense programs, which may come under increased pressure in the future, leading to a decline in sales. In addition, a substantial portion of our Recorders segment backlog at a given time is normally shipped within one or two quarters thereafter, and revenues in any quarter are heavily dependent on orders received in that quarter and the immediately preceding quarter.

Accordingly, results of a given quarter or year are not likely to be indicative of results to be expected for future periods, given the highly volatile nature of licensing revenue and other factors discussed above. In addition, fluctuations in operating results may negatively affect our debt service coverage, or our ability to issue debt or equity securities should we wish to do so, in any given fiscal period. In addition, material fluctuations in our operating results in future periods could have a material adverse effect on the price of our common stock.

Our licensing revenue has been materially and adversely affected due to the expiration of one of our patents in April 2006. Furthermore, if we are unable to protect our intellectual property adequately, our revenues will be reduced and we may not be able to compete effectively.

In April 2006, our Rapid Image Retrieval patent (121 patent) used in digital still cameras and camera equipped cellular phones expired. While we continue to believe that certain other patents are being used in digital still cameras and camera-equipped cellular phones which do not expire until after 2012, our licensees of these products have discontinued royalty payments to us subsequent to the expiration of the 121 patent. Although the potential royalties from digital still camera manufacturers could be substantial unless we can demonstrate to our licensees and other manufacturers that they infringe other digital imaging patents we will not receive any royalties from shipments of digital still cameras after April 11, 2006.

The success of our Licensing and Recorders segments depends, in part, upon our ability to establish and maintain the proprietary nature of our technology through the patent process. There can be no assurance that one or more of our patents will not be successfully challenged, invalidated or circumvented or that we will otherwise be able to rely on such patents for any reason. In addition, our competitors, many of whom have substantial resources and have made substantial investments in competing technologies, may seek to apply for and obtain patents that restrict our ability to make, use and sell our products either in the United States or in foreign markets. Monitoring unauthorized use of our technology is difficult, and we cannot be certain that the steps we have taken will prevent unauthorized use of our patents are successfully challenged, invalidated or circumvented or our right or ability to manufacture our products becomes restricted, our ability to continue to manufacture and market our products could be adversely affected, which would likely have a material adverse effect upon our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Unless we are able to develop or acquire new patents in the digital imaging field or other fields, our licensing revenues will expire in 2014. Moreover, if other companies develop patented proprietary technology similar to ours or competing technologies, our competitive position will be weakened and we may experience reduced license revenues.

Our revenues and operations may be adversely affected if we are not successful in our current and future legal actions or proceedings.

The success of our Licensing segment depends upon the validity of our digital imaging patents, which are used by manufacturers of consumer digital imaging products. We have initiated litigation to enforce our patents in the past and we may decide to initiate additional litigation to enforce our patents, to protect trade secrets or know-how owned by us or to determine the enforceability, scope and validity of the proprietary rights of others. Any litigation or interference proceedings brought against, initiated by, or otherwise involving us, may require us to incur substantial legal and other fees and expenses and may require some of our employees to devote all or a

substantial portion of their time to the prosecution or defense of such litigation or proceedings. We also face the risk that a court could rule that our patents are invalid or not infringed, causing existing licensees to discontinue payments to us, and the risk of possible assertions of counterclaims.

As a result, we have incurred significant litigation expenses and may incur additional costs in future periods if we bring new lawsuits or if existing litigation is not resolved through negotiations. Additionally, if we do not prevail in our patent litigation, our patent royalties could be negatively impacted, which would have an adverse impact on our business, revenues and operations.

Our business depends materially on U.S. government spending, and a decrease in that spending may adversely affect our revenues and earnings.

Our Recorders segment depends materially on continued expenditures by the U.S. government on intelligence and defense programs. Significant portions of data acquisition and instrumentation recorder sales reflect purchases by prime contractors to the federal government, which are subject to significant fluctuations. The large U.S. budget deficit is expected to result in the cancellation of various defense programs. We do not know whether programs in which we participate will be affected by such cuts, program delays or deferred funding. The loss or significant decline in spending on various imaging and intelligence gathering programs, in which we are subcontractors to prime government contractors, or the lack of acceptance of our new products could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and earnings.

In addition, other factors relating to the markets for our instrumentation products and to competition in these markets may affect future sales of these products. Our DDRs disk-based data acquisition recorder and our DSRs solid state memory-based data acquisition recorder have been designed to replace a large installed base of DCRsi tape-based data acquisition recorders over several years. However, there can be no assurance that these products will achieve the same level of market acceptance. Also, as our DST and DCRsi tape-based products are replaced, we expect that revenues from the sale of spare parts, service and tape will decline.

We have substantial unfunded pension liabilities, which may adversely affect our liquidity and our ability to maintain or grow our operations.

We are the Plan Sponsor for the Ampex and Media defined benefit pension plans, which were frozen in 1994 but remain substantially underfunded. At December 31, 2006, unfunded accumulated plan benefit obligations under these pension plans totaled \$54.2 million and \$14.7 million, respectively, and have been recognized as liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet.

During 2004 and prior years, Media reimbursed us for some of the pension contributions we made on behalf of the Media pension plan based on a contractual obligation entered into at the time Media was sold. On January 10, 2005, Media filed under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Based on our assessment of Media s financial condition, we do not anticipate any additional reimbursement of amounts paid to date or payable in the future on behalf of the Media pension plan. Future pension contributions payable under these pension plans will fluctuate based on actual investment performance, life expectancy of the participants and other factors versus such assumptions used to project future pension contributions.

Under a Joint Settlement Agreement between us, Hillside and the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, Hillside is required to advance pension contributions for our and Media s pension plan in the event that we cannot make them. At our request, through December 31, 2006, Hillside made pension contributions totaling \$30.1 million pertaining to the Ampex and the Media pension plans, which included pension contributions of \$9.5 million in 2006 and \$5.9 million in 2005. When Hillside advances pension contributions, we become indebted to Hillside, and we issue an equivalent amount of Hillside Notes. We have requested Hillside to advance pension contributions scheduled for 2007 that are presently estimated to total \$22.7 million pursuant to the Joint Settlement Agreement. In future years, we may request Hillside to fund additional pension contributions under

the Ampex and/or Media pension plans depending on our liquidity. We believe that Hillside has sufficient assets to fund future pension contributions under the Joint Settlement Agreement, but we do not own or control Hillside and, except for the provisions of the Joint Settlement Agreement, our ability to borrow pension contributions from Hillside is beyond our control.

Our substantial indebtedness could materially adversely affect our operations and financial results and prevent us from obtaining additional financing, if necessary.

As of December 31, 2006, we had outstanding approximately \$35.9 million of total borrowings, which includes approximately \$6.6 million under our 12% Senior Notes due 2008 and \$29.3 million of Hillside Notes that at December 31, 2006 bear interest at 9.11% per annum. The Hillside Notes were incurred in connection with pension contributions advanced by Hillside in 2006 and prior years, and we expect to incur approximately \$22.7 million of additional indebtedness to Hillside during 2007, and significant amounts in future years, in connection with our ongoing obligations. The 12% Senior Notes are secured by liens on our future royalty receipts. We may incur additional indebtedness from time to time in the future, subject to certain restrictions imposed by our debt agreements, which could increase our interest expense in future periods. If we default in our obligations under the relevant loan agreements, the Noteholders would have the right to accelerate the indebtedness and foreclose on their liens, which would materially and adversely affect our financial condition.

The degree to which we are leveraged could have other important consequences to investors, including the following:

a substantial portion of our cash flow from licensing operations must be dedicated to the payment of principal of and interest on our outstanding indebtedness until it is repaid in full, and until then will not be available for other purposes;

our ability to obtain additional financing in the future for working capital needs, capital expenditures, acquisitions and general corporate purposes may be materially limited or impaired by the terms of our existing debt agreements, and even if existing lenders consent to the issuance of new debt, such financing may not be available on terms favorable to us;

we may be more highly leveraged than our competitors, which may place us at a competitive disadvantage;

our leverage may make us more vulnerable to a downturn in our business or the economy in general; and

the financial covenants and other restrictions contained in our indentures and other agreements relating to our indebtedness also restrict our ability to make new investments, dispose of assets or to pay dividends on or repurchase common stock. The Company is currently highly leveraged and, as stated above, we will incur additional debt in order to finance significant pension contributions which we are required to make in 2007 and future years. If we cannot service our indebtedness, we will be forced to adopt alternative strategies. These strategies may include reducing or delaying capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring or refinancing our indebtedness, or seeking additional equity capital. We cannot give any assurance that any of these strategies will be successful or that they will be permitted under our debt indentures.

Our substantial debt service may reduce our cash flow and our ability to operate our business.

We have limited liquidity with which to conduct our operations. While we had cash and marketable securities of \$11.7 million at December 31, 2006, substantially all cash generated by our Licensing segment in excess of related operating expenses and certain other expenses, including patent litigation costs, is first required to be applied to reduce debt, which totaled \$35.9 million at December 31, 2006. Principal payments on the outstanding debt are estimated to be \$1.7 million, \$10.5 million and \$1.1 million for each of the next three years,

respectively. While our management believes that our liquidity, coupled with anticipated patent licensing revenue and our ability to borrow pension contributions from Hillside, should be sufficient to satisfy our projected cash obligations, including debt service, through at least December 2007, there can be no assurance that we will be able to satisfy these obligations in future years. Furthermore, our limited liquidity may harm our ability to operate or grow our business.

If we acquire companies in the future, we may experience integration costs and the acquired businesses may not perform as we expect.

We have made, and may under certain limited circumstances in the future make, acquisitions of, and/or investments in, other businesses. These entities may be involved in new businesses in which we have not historically been involved. We may not be able to identify or acquire suitable acquisition candidates in the future, or complete any acquisitions or investments on satisfactory terms. While we are not currently seeking to make any acquisitions of a controlling interest in new businesses and our principal debt instruments substantially restrict our ability to make acquisitions or investments in new businesses, future acquisitions and investments involve numerous additional risks. These risks include difficulties in the management of operations, services and personnel of the acquired companies, and of integrating acquired companies with us and/or each other s operations. We may also encounter problems in entering markets and businesses in which we have limited or no experience. Acquisitions can also divert our attention from other business concerns. We have made and may make additional investments in companies in which we own less than a 100% interest. Such investments involve additional risks, including the risk that we may not be in a position to control the management or policies of such entities, and the risk of potential conflicts with other investors. For example, we have written off all of our acquisitions of Internet companies during 2000 and 2001. It is possible that we could lose all or a substantial portion of any future investments.

If we are unable to respond to rapid technological change or to develop new products, our revenues and results of operations will be adversely affected.

All the industries and markets from which we derive or expect to derive revenues, directly or through our licensing program, are characterized by continual technological change and the need to introduce new products, product upgrades and patentable technology. This has required, and will continue to require, that we spend substantial amounts of capital on the research, development and engineering of new products and advances to existing products, as well as for assessing infringement of our patents by manufacturers of consumer digital video products. We cannot assure you that our existing products, technologies and services will not become obsolete or that any new products, technologies or services will win commercial acceptance. Obsolescence of existing product lines, or inability to develop and introduce new products and services, could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and results of operations in the future. The development and introduction of new technologies, products and services are subject to inherent technical and market risks, and there can be no assurance that we will be successful in this regard. In addition, reductions in our research and development programs could adversely affect our ability to remain competitive.

Because our Recorders segment is primarily focused on our data acquisition and instrumentation products, we have devoted the majority of our research and development to producing our new solid state- and disk-based instrumentation recorders. We do not currently intend to invest additional development resources to extend the life of our 19-millimeter mass storage products beyond the quad density format other than as required to support the needs of our customers. If our Recorders segment were to experience further declines in product revenues, we may be required to reduce future research, development and engineering costs.

We have numerous significant competitors in our Recorders segment, some of which have greater financial resources than we do.

Our Recorders segment encounters significant competition in all the markets for its products and services. Many of our competitors in this industry have greater resources and access to capital than us. In the

instrumentation market, which currently is our Recorders segment s major area of focus, we compete primarily with companies that depend on government contracts for a major portion of their revenues, including L-3 Communications Corporation, Calculex and Sypris Solutions, Inc. While the number of our competitors in this industry has decreased in recent years as government spending in many areas has declined, many of these competitors have greater financial resources than we do.

We rely on a limited number of key suppliers and vendors to operate our business. If these suppliers or vendors experience problems or favor our competitors, we could fail to obtain sufficient quantities of products and services we require to operate our business successfully.

Our Recorders segment purchases certain components such as customized integrated circuits, memory chips and magnetic media products from a single or a small number of domestic or foreign manufacturers. Significant delays in deliveries or defects in such components may, from time to time, adversely affect our manufacturing operations, pending qualification of an alternative supplier. In addition, we produce highly engineered products in relatively small quantities. As a result, our ability to cause suppliers to continue production of certain products on which we depend or to deliver those products to us on a timely basis, may be limited. These suppliers may require us to purchase lifetime quantities of their products or components, which may cause our inventories to increase beyond levels required to support current revenues. We do not generally enter into long-term raw materials or components supply contracts. Accordingly, if we experience problems with these manufacturers, we could fail to obtain sufficient resources to operate our business successfully.

Our international operations subject us to social, political and economic risks of doing business in foreign countries.

Although we significantly curtailed our Recorders segment s international operations in prior years, sales to foreign customers (including U.S. export sales) continue to be significant to our results of operations. However, international operations are subject to a number of special risks, including limitations on repatriation of earnings, restrictive actions by local governments, and nationalization. Additionally, export sales are subject to export regulation and restrictions imposed by U.S. government agencies. Although fluctuations in the value of foreign currencies can affect our results of operations, we do not normally seek to mitigate our exposure to exchange rate fluctuations by hedging our foreign currency positions. Accordingly, our revenues from international operations are subject to numerous risks, any of which may have an adverse impact on our results of operations.

We are dependent on certain key personnel and the loss of one or more these individuals could disrupt our operations and adversely affect our financial results.

We are highly dependent upon the availability and performance of our executive officers and directors, including our senior executives of our corporate licensing division and Data Systems subsidiary. We have not entered into employment agreements with any of our key employees other than D. Gordon Strickland, our Chief Executive Officer and President since February 16, 2007 (whose employment agreement would not necessarily protect the Company from the loss of his services), and we do not maintain key man life insurance on any of these individuals. Accordingly, if we lose the services of any of our executive officers, our business, financial condition and operating results could be materially adversely affected.

We are subject to environmental regulation and could incur substantial costs as a result of violations of or liabilities under environmental laws.

Our facilities are subject to numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations designed to protect the environment from waste emissions and hazardous substances. Owners and occupiers of sites containing hazardous substances, as well as generators and transporters of hazardous substances, are subject to broad liability under various federal and state environmental laws and regulations, including liability for investigative and cleanup costs and damages arising out of past disposal activities. We are currently engaged in various environmental investigations, remediation and/or monitoring activities at several sites located off our facilities. There can be no assurance we will not ultimately incur liability in excess of amounts currently reserved for

pending environmental matters, or that additional liabilities with respect to environmental matters will not be asserted. In addition, changes in environmental regulations could impose the need for additional capital equipment or other requirements. Such liabilities or regulations could have a material adverse effect on us in the future.

Although we sold Media in November 1995, we have continuing liability with respect to environmental contamination at manufacturing sites and disposal sites used by Media when it was our subsidiary. On January 10, 2005, Media filed under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. At December 31, 2006, we have included an estimate of future clean up costs of Media sites of \$2.2 million, which is included in net liabilities of discontinued operations. We expect to pay \$0.9 million during the next 12 months with respect to these liabilities.

Complying with new regulatory and accounting requirements will be challenging and may adversely affect our business and operations, and the trading price of our securities.

Financial scandals in recent years have led to new laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance and public disclosure, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, new SEC regulations and Nasdaq market rules. Under these provisions, we are required to maintain adequate internal control over financial reporting, which has involved improving existing internal controls, implementing additional internal controls, and documenting and testing our internal controls. As a result, we have incurred significant costs to engage outside legal, accounting and advisory services. Compliance has also occupied substantial management time and attention, which might otherwise have been devoted to revenue-generating activities. Changes in accounting rules, including requirements to account for employee stock options as a compensation expense, will materially increase the expense that we report under generally accepted accounting principles should we issue stock options in 2007 and beyond.

Risks Related to the Ownership of our Common Stock

The future price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly.

The trading price of our common stock has been and can be expected to be subject to significant volatility, reflecting a variety of factors, including:

fluctuations in patent licensing revenues, developments in our patent licensing program and the success or failure of litigation that we initiate to defend our patents;

announcements relating to developments in our Recorders segment;

quarterly fluctuations in operating results;

modifications to our senior debt agreements and other events that affect our liquidity;

announcements of the introduction of new products, technologies or services by us or our competitors;

announcements of acquisitions of, or investments in, new businesses or other events;

sales of shares of our common stock by insiders pursuant to registration statements, Rule 144, Rule 10b5-1 plans or otherwise;

reports and predictions concerning us by analysts and other members of the media;

Edgar Filing: AMPEX CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

the status of our common stock as a security listed on the Nasdaq Capital Market or any other stock exchange or quotation system; and

general economic or market conditions.

In addition, the stock market in general, and technology companies in particular, have experienced a high degree of price volatility, which has had a substantial effect on the market prices of many such companies for

reasons that often are unrelated or disproportionate to operating performance. Thus, these broad market and industry fluctuations may adversely affect the price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance. Fluctuations in the price of our common stock for any of the foregoing reasons, or any other unforeseen reasons, could also affect our qualification for listing in Nasdaq or any other stock exchange or quotation system.

Future sales or the possibility of future sales of substantial amounts of our common stock by our officers, directors and significant stockholders may cause the price of our common stock to decline.

The resale of shares of our common stock by insiders or others pursuant to a registration statement or prospectus could cause the market price of our common stock to decline. Even the prospect of such resales could depress the market price for our common stock. In addition, certain stockholders hold significant numbers of shares of our common stock that are not covered by a registration statement. Some of those shares are freely tradable without restriction under the federal securities laws, and those that are not may be sold in the future pursuant to newly filed effective registration statements, in compliance with the requirements of Rule 144 under the Securities Act or pursuant to other exemptions which may be available. Sales in the public market of substantial amounts of our common stock, whether by our officers, directors, employees or others, or the perception that such sales could occur, could materially adversely affect prevailing market prices for our common stock and our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of equity securities.

Anti-takeover provisions in our certificate of incorporation and by-laws may reduce the likelihood of any potential change of control or unsolicited acquisition proposal that you might consider favorable.

Our certificate of incorporation provides for a classified board of directors, with members of each class elected for a three-year term. It also provides for nullification of voting rights of certain foreign stockholders in certain circumstances involving possible violations of security regulations of the United States Department of Defense. Similarly, the indenture governing our outstanding Senior Notes requires us to offer to repurchase the Senior Notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the outstanding principal amount thereof together with accrued and unpaid interest in the event of a change of control, which includes the purchase by a person or group of 50% or more of our outstanding voting stock or the transfer of substantially all of our assets to any such person or group, other than to certain of our subsidiaries and affiliates. These provisions could deter, delay or prevent a third-party from acquiring us, even if doing so would benefit our stockholders.

Our board of directors may issue preferred stock without stockholder approval.

Our charter authorizes our board of directors to authorize the issuance of up to 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock. Preferred stock may be issued in one or more series, the terms of which may be determined without further action by shareholders. These terms may include preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, and limitations as to dividends or other distributions, qualifications or terms or conditions of redemption. The issuance of any preferred stock, however, could materially adversely affect the rights of holders of our class A common stock, and therefore could reduce its value. In addition, specific rights granted to future holders of preferred stock could be used to restrict our ability to merge with, or sell assets to, a third party. The power of the board of directors to issue preferred stock could make it more difficult, delay, discourage, prevent or make it more costly to acquire or effect a change in control, thereby preserving the current shareholders control.

Certain of our executive officers, directors and their affiliates will continue to have substantial control over the Company, which may prevent you or other stockholders from influencing significant corporate decisions.

As of February 28, 2007, certain of our executive officers, directors and their affiliates beneficially owned or controlled, in the aggregate, approximately 15.9% of our outstanding common stock. As a result, they may be able to influence matters requiring stockholder approval. These matters include the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions, such as a merger, consolidation, takeover or other business

combination involving us. Our existing principal stockholders, executive officers and directors may have interests that differ from yours and may vote in a way with which you disagree, and which may be adverse to your interests. This concentration of ownership could also adversely affect the market price of our common stock or reduce any premium over market price that an acquirer might otherwise pay.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not Applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

As of December 31, 2006, our principal properties were as follows:

Location	Activities Conducted	Approximate Square Footage of Facility
Redwood City, California	RD&E, manufacturing, sales and licensing (1)	91,760
New York City, NY	Executive offices (2)	19,000
Colorado Springs, Colorado	Manufacturing (3)	22,200
Chineham, Basingstoke, England	Sales and service (4)	3,283
Tokyo, Japan	Sales and service (5)	3,886

(1) The lease term extends to September 2008. The Recorders segment utilizes approximately 67% of these facilities with the remainder utilized by the Licensing segment.

(2) This facility lease term extends to April 2008. We have sublet 12,800 square feet of this property to a third party for a term that extends to April 2008. The facilities are utilized for general corporate purposes, unallocated to a specific segment. In addition, in November 2006, we entered into an Office Sharing Agreement with Sherborne Investors pursuant to which we will be entitled to reimbursement of a portion of the lease costs for this facility through the remainder of the lease term.

(3) The facilities are leased under a five-year lease. The lease term extends to July 2009. The facilities are utilized by the Recorders segment.

(4) The lease term extends to December 2007. The facilities are utilized by the Recorders segment.

(5) The facilities are leased under leases that expire during July 2007. The current plan is to renew the leases on a year-to-year basis. The facilities are utilized by the Recorders segment.

In addition to the properties and leased facilities listed above, we lease office space and warehouse facilities from time to time at various domestic and foreign locations.

We believe that our current facilities, including machinery and equipment, are generally in good condition, well-maintained and suitable for their intended uses, and that our facilities have, and will continue to have, adequate capacity to accommodate our present needs and business growth for our present products in the foreseeable future.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are a party to routine litigation incidental to our business. In the opinion of Management, no such current or pending lawsuits, either individually or in the aggregate, is likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In October 2004, we initiated litigation against Eastman Kodak Company (Kodak) for its infringement of one of our patents, the 121 patent, in the ITC and also, at the same time, in the District Court. In the ITC proceeding, the remedies available would have been to bar Kodak from the importation or sale of digital still

cameras or in certain circumstances to require Kodak to post a forfeitable bond on digital still cameras imported into the United States, in each case for the life of the 121 patent which expired in April 2006. In the separate District Court case, we sought monetary damages for infringement of the patent from August 2001 (the date on which we gave notice of infringement) through April 11, 2006, the date the patent expired. The ITC case was withdrawn to enable the District Court case to proceed. On November 21, 2006, the District Court granted final judgment in favor of Kodak. We have appealed this decision to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. We have been advised that oral argument could occur around June 2007 and a final decision should be expected prior to the end of this year. Our strategy is to negotiate commercially reasonable licenses of our patents where possible, but we are prepared to initiate additional litigation when negotiations are not successful. We are evaluating the use of our patents other than the 121 patent, including feed forward quantization and other patents, in digital cameras and other digital consumer products and may decide to initiate litigation to enforce these patents in future periods.

Our facilities are subject to numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations designed to protect the environment from waste emissions and hazardous substances. We are also subject to the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act and other laws and regulations affecting the safety and health of employees in its facilities. Management believes that we are generally in compliance in all material respects with all applicable environmental and occupational safety laws and regulations or have plans to bring operations into compliance. Management does not anticipate that capital expenditures for pollution control equipment for fiscal 2007 will be material.

Owners and occupiers of sites containing hazardous substances, as well as generators and transporters of hazardous substances, are subject to broad liability under various federal and state environmental laws and regulations, including liability for investigative and cleanup costs and damages arising out of past disposal activities. We have four environmental investigations, remediation and/or monitoring activities outstanding at December 31, 2006. Three sites are associated with the operations of Media while the fourth relates directly to a disposal activity of the Company. Some of these activities involve the participation of state and local government agencies. Although we sold Media in November 1995, we may have continuing liability with respect to environmental contamination at these sites if Media fails to discharge its responsibilities with respect to such sites. On January 10, 2005, Media filed under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. During 2006 and 2005, we spent a total of approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, in connection with environmental investigation, remediation and monitoring activities. We expect to spend \$0.9 million in the next twelve months for such activities, largely pertaining to Media s prior activities.

Because of the inherent uncertainty as to various aspects of environmental matters, including the extent of environmental damage, the most desirable remediation techniques and the time period during which cleanup costs may be incurred, it is not possible for us to estimate with certainty the ultimate costs to be incurred with respect to the currently pending environmental matters referred to above. At December 31, 2006, we had an accrued liability of \$0.1 million for pending environmental liabilities associated with activities by us and \$2.2 million of net liabilities for discontinued operations for the estimated liabilities we may incur with respect to former Media sites discussed above. Although we do not currently possess sufficient information to estimate with certainty the ultimate costs to be incurred upon future completion of studies, litigation or settlements, and neither the timing nor the amount of the ultimate costs associated with environmental matters can be determined, they could be material to our consolidated results of operations or operating cash flows in the periods recognized or paid. However, considering our past experience and existing reserves, we do not expect that these environmental matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position. These liabilities have not been discounted.

While we believe that we are generally in compliance with all applicable environmental laws and regulations or have plans to bring operations into compliance, it is possible that we will be named as a potentially responsible party in the future with respect to additional Superfund or other sites. Furthermore, because we conduct our business in foreign countries as well as in the U.S., it is not possible to predict the effect that future

domestic or foreign regulation could have on our business, operating results or cash flow. There can be no assurance that we will not ultimately incur liability in excess of amounts currently accrued for pending environmental matters or that additional liabilities with respect to environmental matters will not be asserted. In addition, changes in environmental regulations could impose the need for additional capital equipment or other requirements. Such liabilities or regulations could have a material adverse effect on us in the future.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Not applicable.

Our Executive Officers

Our executive officers and their ages as of February 28, 2007 are as follows:

Name	Age	Position
D. Gordon Strickland	60	President and Chief Executive Officer
Craig L. McKibben	56	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
Robert L. Atchison	69	Vice President, President of Ampex Data Systems Corporation
Joel D. Talcott	65	Vice President and Secretary
Sharon M. Genberg	64	Vice President
Ramon C. Venema	53	Vice President

Each of our executive officers serves in such capacity at the discretion of the Board.

D. Gordon Strickland was elected as our Chief Executive Officer, President and director on February 16, 2007. He also serves as non-executive Chairman of Medical Resources, an operator of diagnostic imaging centers, a position he has held since December 2006. Prior to joining Ampex, Mr. Strickland served as Chairman of Medical Resources from 1997 to December 2006, and as its Chief Executive Officer from May 2004 to December 2006. From 2003 to 2004, he served as Chief Executive Officer and President of MCSi, Inc., an integrator of audio/visual and broadcast systems. Mr. Strickland s responsibilities at MCSi included overseeing the reorganization of MCSi prior to and during its filing under federal bankruptcy laws in 2003. Mr. Strickland also served as Chief Executive Officer and President of Capitol Wire, Inc., an internet based news and information service, from 1999 to 2002. He currently serves as Senior Advisor to Hancock Capital Management, an affiliate of John Hancock Life Insurance Company, and as a director of Outlook Group, a privately held specialty printing company.

Craig L. McKibben is Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and a director of Ampex. Mr. McKibben has been an officer and a director of Ampex and our predecessors since 1989. He also serves in the following capacities with our subsidiaries: as vice president and treasurer of Ampex Data Systems Corporation, as director, vice president and treasurer of Ampex Holdings Corporation, and as a director, president and chief financial officer of Ampex Finance Corporation. Mr. McKibben is an officer and director of Sherborne Holdings Incorporated and Sherborne & Company Incorporated. These entities, which are private investment holding companies, may be deemed to be our affiliates. He is also a member of Sherborne Investors GP, LLC and Sherborne Investors Management GP, LLC (collectively, Sherborne Investors), each of which is engaged primarily in the business of serving as general partner of the general partner or managing member, and investment manager, respectively, of certain securities investment funds. From 1983 to 1989, he was a partner at the firm of Coopers & Lybrand L.L.P., a predecessor of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, independent public accountants.

Robert L. Atchison is Vice President of the Company. Since January 1994 he has been responsible for all the operating activities of our Recorders segment, and in 1996 was appointed the President and a director of

Ampex Data Systems Corporation. From April 1991 to January 1994, he was responsible for our engineering and operations. Mr. Atchison also serves as President and a director of Ampex International Sales Corporation and as President of Ampex Data International Corporation, wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company. He has served as an executive officer of the Company and various subsidiaries since 1987.

Joel D. Talcott is Vice President and Secretary of the Company, positions he has held since 1987. He has served as General Counsel since January 1996, a position he also held from 1987 to January 1994. He is also responsible for our patent licensing activities (having served as Patent Counsel from 1981 to 1987). Mr. Talcott also serves as Chairman of Ampex Data Systems Corporation, as Vice President, Secretary and a director of Ampex Data Systems Corporation, Ampex Data International Corporation, Ampex Finance Corporation and Ampex International Sales Corporation, as Vice President and Secretary of Ampex Holdings Corporation, and as Secretary of AFC Holdings Corporation, wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company. Mr. Talcott currently serves as a director of Command Audio Corp., a licensor of intellectual property to consumer electronics, media and technology companies.

Sharon M. Genberg is Vice President of the Company, a position she was appointed to in June 2002. She held the position of Director, Human Resources for 10 years prior to her appointment. She is responsible for all employment activity, employee benefit programs, compensation, training and development, facilities, maintenance, telecommunications, safety and security. Ms. Genberg also serves as Vice President and Assistant Secretary of Ampex Data Systems Corporation.

Ramon C. Venema is Vice President, Assistant Treasurer and Assistant Secretary of the Company, a position he was appointed to in July 2003. He previously served as Assistant Treasurer of the Company from 1995 to 2001, also serving as a Vice President of the Company during 2001. Mr. Venema also serves as Vice President, Assistant Treasurer and Assistant Secretary of Ampex Data International Corporation, Vice President and Treasurer of Ampex Finance Corporation, Assistant Treasurer and Assistant Secretary of Ampex Holdings Corporation, and Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer of Ampex International Sales Corporation, wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company. He is responsible for certain of our financial reporting and accounting operations.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMPANY S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

We have been listed on the Nasdaq Capital Market (formerly the Nasdaq SmallCap Market) since September 1, 2006 under the symbol AMPX, and from June 24, 2005 to August 31, 2006, our Common Stock was listed on the Nasdaq Global Market (formerly the Nasdaq National Market). From November 21, 2003 to June 23, 2005, our Class A Common Stock was listed for quotation on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol AEXCA.

The following table sets forth the high and low prices for the Class A Common Stock for each quarter during fiscal 2006 and 2005. All prices through the second quarter of 2005 represent bid information as quoted on the OTC Bulletin Board. The OTC Bulletin Board prices reflect interdealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commissions, and may not necessarily represent actual transactions. All prices for 2006 and the third and fourth quarters of 2005 represent quotations on Nasdaq.

Fiscal Year	High	Low
2006		
First Quarter	\$ 23.07	\$18.19
Second Quarter	20.60	10.10
Third Quarter	18.00	9.90
Fourth Quarter	25.90	9.40
2005		
First Quarter	\$ 56.75	\$ 33.00
Second Quarter	42.00	27.35
Third Quarter	39.75	26.97
Fourth Quarter	31.00	18.43

As of February 28, 2007, there were 404 holders of record of our Class A Common Stock.

We have not declared any dividends on our Common Stock since our incorporation in 1992 and have no present intention of paying dividends on our Common Stock. We are also restricted by the terms of the indentures for the Senior Notes and certain other agreements as to the declaration of dividends. Under current circumstances, we may not pay any cash dividends on our Common Stock. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources and Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The table below provides information relating to our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2006.

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average	Number of Shares	
	Issuable Upon Exercise	Exercise Price of	Remaining Available	
	of Outstanding Options	Outstanding Options	for Future Issuance	
Approved by Shareholders	106,366	\$ 12.49	539,659 (1)	

(1) This number includes 122,000 shares issuable as stock bonuses or direct stock purchase rights under our 2000 Stock Bonus Plan. We have two equity compensation plans our 1992 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, and our 2000 Stock Bonus Plan, as amended. Both of these plans were approved by our common stockholders, and provide for the issuance of shares of our Class A Common Stock. These plans do not provide for the issuance of any other class of our equity securities. We have no other equity compensation plans or individual compensation arrangements under which additional equity securities may be issued.

Edgar Filing: AMPEX CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

We did not sell any equity securities during our 2006 fiscal year that were not registered under the Securities Act and have not previously been described in a Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or a Current Report on Form 8-K.

During the fourth quarter of our 2006 fiscal year, there were no purchases of our common stock made by or on behalf of Ampex or any of our affiliated purchasers (as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)).

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph compares the performance of our Class A Stock to the Standard & Poor s Stock Index and the Standard & Poor s 500 Information Technology Index over the five-year period ending at the end of our 2006 fiscal year. The graph assumes that \$100 was invested on December 31, 2001 in our Class A Stock, the S&P 500 Index and the S&P IT Index, and that all dividends were reinvested. We did not pay any dividends during this five-year period.

Comparison of Cumulative Total Returns Through 2006

Ampex Corporation, S&P 500 Index and S&P Information Technology Index

Ampex	\$ 100.00	\$ 57.14	\$ 24.29	\$ 1,410.71	\$ 728.21	\$ 744.29
S&P 500	100.00	77.90	100.25	111.15	116.61	135.03
S&P IT	100.00	62.59	92.14	94.50	95.44	103.47

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table summarizes certain selected financial data, which have been derived from and should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements, and the Notes thereto, and with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, both of which are included elsewhere herein. There have been no cash dividends declared for the periods presented.

Statement of Operations Data:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
		(in thousar	ds, except per	share data)	
Total revenue	\$ 35,921	\$ 53,154	\$ 101,451	\$ 43,359	\$ 36,989
Total costs and operating expenses	39,578	43,954	40,607	37,425	37,235
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(3,753)	5,812	48,510	(1,764)	(2,872)
Net income (loss)	(3,948)	6,727	46,362	(1,764)	(2,872)
Diluted undistributed income (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$ (0.98)	\$ 1.51	\$ 12.73	\$ (0.53)	\$ (0.92)
Diluted undistributed income (loss) per share applicable to common					
shareholders	\$ (1.03)	\$ 1.75	\$ 12.17	\$ 6.30	\$ 0.00
Balance Sheet Data:					

		Year Ended December 31,				
	2006	2005 2004				
Working capital	\$ 9,247	\$ 10,377	(in thousands) \$ 11,849	\$ 368	\$ 8,532	
Total assets	26,917	26,702	32.619	35.197	29,339	
Long-term debt	34.227	25,725	30.275	74.022	68,218	
Redeemable preferred stock	51,227	25,725	50,275	71,022	25,754	
Total stockholders deficit	(104,403)	(114,346)	(99,429)	(147,784)	(163,114)	

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements includes a summary of the significant accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements. The following is a brief discussion of the more significant accounting policies and methods used by us and includes a discussion of, among other things, liquidity, off-balance sheet arrangements, contractual obligations and commercial commitments.

General

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our most significant estimates and assumptions, including those related to revenue recognition, bad debts, warranty obligations, inventories, pension costs and unfunded accumulated benefit obligations, litigation expense and environmental obligations. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other factors that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ significantly from these estimates.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue in accordance with applicable accounting standards including Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, Revenue Recognition and American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (the AICPA) Statement of Position (SOP) 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition, as amended. Revenue is recognized when (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (2) delivery and acceptance has occurred or services have been rendered, (3) the fee is fixed or determinable, and (4) collection is reasonably assured. We derive our revenues from two principal sources: license fees (including royalties) through our Licensing segment, and product and parts sales and service contracts through our Recorders segment.

Determination of criteria (3) and (4) are based on Management s judgments regarding the fixed nature of the fee charged for services rendered and products delivered and the collectibility of those fees. Should changes in conditions cause Management to determine these criteria are not met for certain future transactions, revenue recognized for any reporting period could be adversely affected.

Our revenue recognition policy with respect to royalty income is as follows: when we enter into an agreement with a new licensee for use of our patents, we may receive settlement of past due royalties. This is a negotiated amount and is typically paid by the licensee within 30 days of signing the license agreement. Past due royalties cover the licensee s product shipments from the period when they were first notified of infringement up through the effective date of the license. We may also negotiate a prepayment of royalties that would otherwise be due up to a specific future date. The amounts due under our negotiated agreements for both past due royalties and prepayment of royalties are non-refundable and non-forfeitable. We recognize both past due and prepayment amounts as revenue in the period when the agreement has been executed by both parties, which is when there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, fees become fixed or determinable and collection becomes probable, as we have no future obligations with respect to these agreements and delivery has occurred. Alternatively, our licensing agreement has been entered into and until the patent has expired or when the patent is no longer contractually available to the licensee, if shorter. Our running royalties are computed as a percentage of the selling price of the licensee s products and are paid quarterly in arrears and recognized as revenue at the time the amount of the quarterly royalty payment becomes determinable, generally upon receipt of the licensee s also report upon which royalties are determined, and collection is reasonably assured.

Revenue on product sales and services is recorded when all of the following have occurred: an agreement of sale exists, product delivery (principally FOB Ampex Factory) and, where applicable, acceptance has occurred or services have been rendered, pricing is fixed or determinable, and collection is reasonably assured. Service revenue is recognized ratably over the life of the service contract.

Accounts Receivable

We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers and adjust credit limits based upon payment history and the customer s current credit worthiness, as determined by our review of their current credit information. We continuously monitor collections and payments from our customers and maintain a provision for estimated credit losses based upon our historical experience and any specific customer collection issues that we have identified. While such credit losses have historically been within our expectations and the provisions established, we cannot guarantee that we will continue to experience the same credit loss rates that we have in the past. Since our accounts receivable are concentrated in a relatively few number of customers, a significant change in the liquidity or financial position of any one of these customers could have a material adverse impact on the collectibility of our accounts receivable and our future operating results.

Inventories

We value our inventory at the lower of the actual cost to purchase and/or manufacture the inventory or the current estimated market value of the inventory. Abnormal amounts of facility expense, freight, handling costs and scrap material are excluded from inventory cost and expensed during the period in which they are incurred. We regularly review inventory quantities on hand and record a provision for excess and obsolete inventory based primarily on our estimated forecast of product demand and production requirements for the next eighteen months. A significant increase in the demand for our products could result in a short-term increase in the cost of inventory purchases while a significant decrease in demand could result in an increase in the amount of excess inventory quantities on hand. We also maintain an inventory of spare parts to service our customers products after the date of sale. We amortize spare parts inventories over the expected number of years we expect to support such products but not in excess of 30 months. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by Management, additional inventory write-downs may be required. Additionally, our estimates of future product demand may prove to be inaccurate, in which case we may have understated or overstated the provision required for excess and obsolete inventory. If our inventory were determined to be overvalued, we would be required to recognize such costs in our cost of goods sold at the time of such determination. We make every effort to ensure the accuracy of our forecasts of future product demand, however, any significant unanticipated change in demand or technological development could have a significant impact on the value of our inventory and our reported operating results.

Deferred Taxes

We must make certain estimates and judgments in determining income tax expense for financial statement purposes. These estimates and judgments occur in the calculation of certain deferred tax assets and liabilities, which arise from differences in the timing of recognition of revenue and expense for tax and financial statement purposes.

We must assess the likelihood that we will be able to recover our deferred tax assets and net operating loss carryforwards. We must increase our provision for taxes by recording a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets and net operating loss carryforwards that we estimate will more likely than not ultimately not be recoverable. Although we reported net income in 2004 and 2005, we have reported losses in recent years and during 2006. Accordingly, we cannot determine that it is more likely than not that we will recover our deferred tax assets and net operating loss carryforwards, and therefore have established a valuation allowance equal to such assets. If we recognize and/or realize deferred tax assets or net operating loss carryforwards in subsequent years, through absorption of taxable income or reversal of deferred tax asset reserves, our tax provision in that period will be less than the statutory tax rate.

In addition, the calculation of our tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax regulations. We recognize liabilities for anticipated tax audit issues in the U.S. and other tax jurisdictions based on our estimate of whether, and the extent to which, additional taxes will be due. If we ultimately determine that payment of these amounts is unnecessary, we reverse the liability and recognize a tax benefit during the period in which we determine that the liability is no longer necessary. We record an additional charge in our provision for taxes in the period in which we determine that the recorded tax liability is less than we expect the ultimate assessment to be.

Warranty

Products sold are generally covered by a warranty for periods ranging from 90 days to one year. We accrue a warranty reserve at the time of sale for estimated costs to provide warranty services. Our estimate of costs to service our warranty obligations is based on historical experience and expectation of future conditions. To the extent we experience increased warranty claim activity or increased costs associated with servicing those claims, our warranty accrual will increase, resulting in decreased gross profit.

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits/ Obligations

We adopted SFAS No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R), as of December 31, 2006. Under SFAS No. 158, the funded status of each pension and other postretirement benefit plan is required to be reported as an asset (for overfunded plans) or a liability (for underfunded plans) at December 31, 2006. The implementation of SFAS No. 158 had no impact on our Consolidated Balance Sheets or Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss). The determination of our obligation and expense for pension and other postretirement benefits payable to Ampex s and Media s employees and retirees is dependent on our selection of certain assumptions used by actuaries in calculating such amounts. Those assumptions include, among others, the discount rate, expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and mortality assumptions for the plan participants. In accordance with SFAS No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, actual results that differ from our assumptions are accumulated and amortized over future periods and, therefore, generally affect our recognized expense and recorded obligation in such future periods.

While we believe that our assumptions are appropriate, significant differences in our actual experience or significant changes in our assumptions that may be required under new legislation or otherwise may materially affect our pension and other postretirement obligations and our future expense as well as amounts that may ultimately be required to be paid to fund the Media pension plan.

Valuation of Long-Lived Assets and Investments

We periodically review the carrying value of our long-lived assets and investments for continued appropriateness. This review is based upon our projections of anticipated future cash flows or other methods used to determine fair value. While we believe that our estimates of fair value are reasonable, different assumptions could materially affect our evaluations. We record an impairment provision to reduce the carrying value of minority equity investments carried on the cost method if our estimate of their fair value is below their original cost and the impairment is other than temporary.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004) (SFAS No. 123R), Share-Based Payment. Under the provisions of SFAS No. 123R, stock-based compensation cost is estimated at the grant date based on the award's fair-value as calculated by the Black-Scholes-Merton (BSM) option-pricing model and is recognized as expense ratably over the requisite service period. The BSM model requires various highly judgmental assumptions including volatility, forfeiture rates, and expected option life. If any of the assumptions used in the BSM model change significantly, stock-based compensation expense may differ materially in the future from that recorded in the current period. Prior to 2006, we accounted for stock-based compensation under the intrinsic method prescribed by Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, which historically did not result in a charge to operations.

Contingencies

We account for contingencies in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. SFAS No. 5 requires that we record an estimated loss from a loss contingency when information available prior to issuance of our financial statements indicates that it is probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred at the date of the financial statements and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Accounting for contingencies such as our obligations to fund Media s environmental remediation costs, as well as obligations involving legal, income tax and other matters, requires us to use our judgment. While we believe that our accruals for these matters are adequate, if the actual loss from a loss contingency is significantly different than the estimated loss, our results of operations may be over- or understated.

Environmental Liabilities

Our facilities and business practices are subject to numerous federal, state and local laws and regulations designed to protect the environment from waste emissions and hazardous substances. Also, we may have continuing liability with respect to environmental contamination related to the facilities and disposal activities of our former Media subsidiary. We are engaged in a number of environmental investigations, remediation and/or monitoring activities, some of which involve the participation of state and local government agencies. We recognize a liability for any contingency that is probable of occurrence and reasonably estimable in accordance with AICPA Statement of Position No. 96-1: Environmental Remediation Liabilities. We continually assess these contingencies based on a careful analysis of each matter with the assistance of outside legal counsel and, if applicable, other experts. Such analysis includes making judgments concerning matters such as the extent of environmental damage and our pro rata participation, if applicable, the most desirable remediation techniques and the time period during which the cleanup costs may be incurred. Because most contingencies are resolved over long periods of time, liabilities may change in the future due to new developments or other changes. For a discussion of our contingencies related to environmental matters, including management s judgment applied in the recognition and measurement of specific liabilities, see Note 12 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Overview

Our continuing operations include the results of our Licensing and Recorders segments. Our Licensing segment generates revenue from licenses granted to companies that manufacture consumer imaging products (digital video camcorders, digital still cameras, camera-equipped cellular phones, and DVD recorders). By the end of 2005, we had successfully negotiated license agreements with most of the major manufacturers of digital video camcorders and digital still cameras. While some of these agreements provide for running royalties based on the sales price of products sold by licensees in the respective periods, the majority of our digital still camera licensees had in prior periods prepaid for the use of our patents through April 11, 2006, which corresponds with the U.S. expiration of our rapid image retrieval (121) patent. In addition, in December 2004, Sony prepaid for the use of our patents in any products that they manufacture and ship through April 11, 2006. In the third quarter of 2006 Sony began paying us running royalties on digital video camcorders shipped after April 11, 2006, which offset in part the decline in running royalties resulting from the expiration of the 121 patent used in digital still cameras and cellular phones. Under U.S. GAAP, prior period and prepaid royalty payments are generally recognized in revenue when received as opposed to when licensees sell their products. Due to royalty settlements covering prior and future periods, as well as the expiration of certain patents, licensing revenues are not comparable between the periods presented and are not indicative of licensing revenues to be received in future periods. In 2007, we intend to explore additional ways, including possible arrangements with independent patent research and evaluation companies and other third parties, to monetize our intellectual property. There can be no assurance that these expanded efforts will be successful.

We are no longer receiving any royalties from manufacturers of digital still cameras or camera equipped cellular phones after the expiration of the 121 patent. However, we are in active discussions with certain of our digital still camera licensees concerning the use of our other digital imaging patents which have expiration dates from 2011 to 2014. We have issued claim charts to ten licensees that allege infringement of our feed forward quantization patent and have held various technical meetings to review our claims and the validity of these patents. We expect that several additional meetings will be required before we can definitively conclude whether or not our feed forward quantization patent is being infringed by these manufacturers. During the third quarter of 2006, we broadened the scope of our patent research program to study how we might demonstrate use of our image decoding , and other patents in digital still cameras and other products. This assessment is targeted to be completed by mid-2007. If the assessment is successful, we would then plan to modify our licensing discussions with the digital still camera manufacturers to include these patents. The world-wide value of the digital still camera market and the camera-equipped cellular telephone market in 2006 has been estimated by International Data Corporation (IDC), an independent market research firm, at approximately \$30 billion and \$50 billion, respectively. Accordingly, if we are able to demonstrate infringement of our patents as well as their validity to

these manufacturers, we could realize a substantial increase in licensing revenues in future periods, but we cannot assure you that this will occur.

Over the past few years, we have incurred significant external litigation costs to enforce our patents, primarily in regards to litigation we initiated against Kodak for infringement of our Rapid Image Retrieval patent (121 patent) in their digital still cameras. On November 21, 2006, the District Court granted final judgment in favor of Kodak. We have appealed this decision to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. We have been advised that oral argument could occur around June 2007 and a final decision should be expected prior to the end of this year. Since the 121 patent expired in April 2006, the Court s decision will not affect past or future licensing revenues from existing licensees. Also, it may be necessary to initiate additional litigation at some future date with other licensees or third parties to enforce our patents if our ongoing licensing negotiations are not resolved in a satisfactory way.

In recent years, we have focused product development activities of our Recorders segment on data acquisition and instrumentation recorders which are used in defense applications and in airframe and sensor test applications. Our DDRs and DSRs solid state- and disk-based data acquisition recorders are intended to replace over several years the large installed base of tape-based data recorders and, if successful, should generate increased sales and profits for the Recorders segment. In addition, we have recently introduced several new multiplexer modules, devices that permit various signals to be received simultaneously from different sources, for use with our instrumentation recorders, a new miniature recorder for use in applications where space is especially constrained, and the new high definition video recorder. We were able to ship pre-production models of these new products in limited quantities in the fourth quarter of 2006.

We are obligated to make significant debt service payments based on Available Cash Flow as defined by our debt agreements. A significant amount of our debt results from borrowings from Hillside Capital Incorporated (Hillside), a former affiliated company, which is obligated to make pension contributions on our behalf under a Joint Settlement Agreement with the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC). We are also obligated to make significant additional pension contributions over the next several years to the Ampex pension plan and the Media pension plan, which we expect to fund by additional borrowings from Hillside, depending on our liquidity.

We are assessed foreign withholding taxes on royalty income generated in certain Far East locations. In 2005 and 2004 our effective tax rate was lower than the statutory rate due to the utilization of federal and state net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards. At December 31, 2006, the amount of unused net operating loss carryforwards available to offset future federal taxable income totaled approximately \$189.6 million. In recent years, we have provided a 100% valuation reserve against our NOL carryforwards and net deferred tax assets. We will adopt FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48), effective January 1, 2007.

In September 2006, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements When Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements, which provides interpretive guidance on how registrants should quantify financial statement misstatements. Under SAB No. 108 registrants are required to consider both a rollover method which focuses primarily on the income statement impact of misstatements and the iron curtain method which focuses primarily on the balance sheet impact of misstatements. The transition provisions of SAB No. 108 permit a registrant to adjust retained earnings for the cumulative effect of immaterial errors relating to prior years. SAB No. 108 is effective for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. We were required to adopt SAB No. 108 in our current fiscal year. Our predecessor was acquired in 1987 in a transaction accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. At the date of acquisition, we had recorded various liabilities for tax assessments or other potential contingencies related to income and other taxes of various foreign subsidiaries. During ensuing years, we substantially restructured our operations, exited many lines of business and dissolved operations in many foreign jurisdictions. Our accounting policy in prior years had been to reverse tax reserves when it was determined that the tax assessment had been favorably resolved, the statute of limitations had lapsed or when the tax courts confirmed that the liability was no

longer being contested. At December 31, 2005, the remaining liability for unresolved tax assessments related to income and other taxes of foreign subsidiaries, all of which had been inactive for several years, totaled \$1.2 million. In advance of implementing FASB Interpretation No 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, the Company received advice from its foreign legal or other advisors that there was a remote likelihood that the tax authorities would pursue these tax matters against dormant companies that had no substantial assets or that the parent company could not be held liable for any of these tax assessments. Accordingly, we applied SAB No. 108 as of January 1, 2006 and recorded a cumulative effect adjustment in the amount of \$1.2 million to reverse these liabilities with a corresponding decrease to accumulated deficit to correct the misstatement that has resulted from failing to adjust tax reserves in the period when the liability was no longer deemed probable of assertion.

Periodically, we are required to adjust reserves established in prior years for restructured activities due to subsequent favorable or unfavorable developments. In 2004, we recognized a restructuring credit of \$1.4 million when we decided to sell our Colorado Springs manufacturing facility and reutilize, in part, the Redwood City leased facilities that had been charged to restructuring in prior periods.

We are also required periodically to adjust reserves established in prior years for discontinued operations for subsequent favorable or unfavorable developments that are directly related to the operations of the discontinued businesses. In 2004, we increased provisions by \$2.5 million for amounts we expected to have to pay for environmental remediation at various disposal sites used by Media when it was a subsidiary of the Company. This was, in part, offset in 2004 with the favorable resolution of operating lease obligations that expired. In 2006 and 2005, we recognized income (loss) on discontinued operations of (\$0.2) million and \$0.9 million, respectively, related to the sublease of facilities formerly used by our Internet video operations.

While not a component of Net Income (Loss), included in the determination of Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax is a credit of \$12.3 million in 2006, a charge of \$21.8 million in 2005 and a credit of \$1.8 million in 2004 to minimum pension adjustment, to reflect an actuarially computed increase or decrease in projected benefit obligations over pension plan assets of the Ampex, Media and foreign pension plans. The changes are due primarily to the effects of changes to the mortality assumption and discount rate.

At December 31, 2006, we had cash and short-term investments totaling \$11.7 million, down from \$13.1 million at December 31, 2005. The decrease in cash from December 31, 2005 is due to declining licensing revenues realized on digital still cameras, the absence of any one-time royalty settlements for prior periods and continued expenditures for patent litigation, offset in part by non-operating income earned. We believe that our cash balances, together with the projected results of our manufacturing subsidiary, Ampex Data Systems Corporation (Data Systems), royalties from license agreements presently in effect and our ability to borrow pension contributions from Hillside Capital Incorporated (Hillside), a former affiliate, should be sufficient to satisfy all projected cash obligations through at least the next twelve months. The results of our operations and the liquidity of our business are more fully discussed below.

The following discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of the Company and its subsidiaries should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto, included elsewhere in this Report.

Business Segments

We have two business segments, which we refer to as our Recorders segment and our Licensing segment. Our Recorders segment involves the sale and service of instrumentation recorders and mass data storage systems, all of which are made by Data Systems. Our Licensing segment involves the licensing of our intellectual property to manufacturers of consumer digital imaging products through our corporate licensing division. For information regarding revenues, income or loss, assets and other financial data for each business segment, see Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our Recorders segment includes Data Systems three principal product groups and its service revenue, which are described more fully below. Data Systems also conducts an aftermarket operation consisting primarily of the supply of spare parts for certain products.

Instrumentation recorders, including Data Systems data acquisition and instrumentation products (including disk-based DDRs instrumentation recorders, solid state memory-based DSRs instrumentation recorders and tape-based DCRsi instrumentation recorders) and related tape and aftermarket parts;

Mass data storage systems, including Data Systems 19-millimeter scanning recorders and library systems (DST and DIS products) and related tape and aftermarket parts;

Professional video products, consisting principally of television aftermarket products that Data Systems continues to support but no longer manufactures; and

Service revenue, consisting principally of maintenance contracts on Data Systems products. DCT, DST, DIS, DCRsi, DDRs and DSRs are trademarks of Ampex Corporation.

Our Licensing segment generates revenue from licenses granted to companies that manufacture consumer imaging products (digital video camcorders, digital still cameras, camera-equipped cellular phones, and DVD recorders). We also license our patents to certain manufacturers of professional videotape recorders and image processing devices such as digital special effects processors.

The following table shows (i) licensing revenue generated by our Licensing segment, (ii) revenue generated by our Recorders segment through sales of Data Systems products by product group and (iii) service revenue generated by our Recorders segment for the past three years.

	2006	2005 (in millions)	2004
Licensing Segment			
Licensing revenue	\$ 10.8	\$ 28.9	\$ 72.9
Recorders Segment			
Mass data storage tape drives and library systems	\$ 4.2	\$ 4.7	\$ 6.9
Data acquisition and instrumentation recorders	10.8	8.2	10.1
Service revenue	8.3	8.9	8.7
Other (including professional video products)	1.8	2.4	2.9
Total net product and service revenue	\$ 25.1	\$ 24.2	\$ 28.6

Results of Operations for the Three Years Ended December 31, 2006

Licensing Revenue. Licensing revenue was \$10.8 million in 2006, \$28.9 million in 2005 and \$72.9 million in 2004. Licensing revenue is derived from royalties that we receive from licensing our patents.

Licensing revenue in 2006 totaled \$10.8 million. We earned running royalties of \$7.7 million from manufacturers of digital camcorders, \$2.1 million from manufacturers of digital still cameras and \$1.0 million from manufacturers of other products, largely DVD recorders. Licensing revenue in 2005 totaled \$28.9 million, of which \$18.7 million related to negotiated settlements covering past use and in some cases a prepayment of royalty obligations through April 2006, the expiration date of the 121 patent used in digital still cameras. In 2005, we earned running royalties of \$5.1 million from manufacturers of digital camcorders, \$4.5 million from manufacturers of digital still cameras and \$0.6 million from other products. Due to the expiration of the 121 patent, the terms and periods covered by these agreements, license revenues are not

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: AMPEX CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

comparable between periods nor indicative of amounts that may be realized in future years.

Licensing revenue recognized in 2005 and 2004 included one-time royalty settlements totaling \$18.7 million and \$65.5 million, respectively, that pertained to settlement of royalties due on products sold in periods prior to the execution of the license, and in some cases included prepayment of licenses obligations covering future periods. There were no one-time royalty settlements in 2006. The balance of licensing revenue recognized of \$10.8 million in 2006, \$10.2 million in 2005 and \$7.4 million in 2004, represented running royalties on product shipments calculated as a percentage of current period sales reported to us within 35 days after the end of the respective quarter. Due to one-time royalty settlements covering prior and future periods, licensing revenues are not comparable between the periods presented and are not indicative of licensing revenues to be received in future periods.

In 2005, one-time royalty settlements from agreements entered into at that time with certain manufacturers of digital still cameras provided for payments totaling \$15.9 million and pertained to settlement of royalties for the use of our 121 patent through April 11, 2006. We also completed a license agreement with Samsung Electronics (Samsung) and received a one-time royalty settlement of \$2.8 million, less foreign withholding taxes of \$0.5 million, which covers Samsung s liability for past use and a non-refundable, non-forfeitable prepayment of royalties due through 2008 on several of our U.S. and foreign patents in the manufacture and sale of digital tape recorders, including MiniDV camcorders. It also permits Samsung to use certain of our patents in other camcorders utilizing hard disk drive, optical or solid state memories.

In 2004, we entered into separate licensing agreements with Canon Inc. (Canon), Sanyo Electric Co. Ltd. (Sanyo) and Sony Corporation (Sony). The agreements with Canon and Sanyo permit their use of various U.S. and foreign patents owned by us in the manufacture and sale of digital still cameras. The Sanyo agreement also permits use of our patents in camera equipped cellular telephones. These two manufacturers made payments in 2004 totaling \$25.5 million. That amount included a negotiated prepayment of \$13.5 million, which is non-refundable and non-forfeitable, from one of the licensees that covers royalties due on sales through April 11, 2006. The Sony agreement permits use of our patents in many products that they manufacture, including digital video camcorders, digital still cameras, camera equipped cellular telephones and other similar products. We received the Sony settlement payment in 2004, which totaled \$40 million and covered liability for past use and prepayment of royalties due on all product shipments through April 11, 2006. The Sony agreement does not specify how the lump sum payment was allocated among its various products or license periods covered.

Many of our licensees prepaid for the use of our patents through April 11, 2006, which coincides with the U.S. expiration of the 121 patent. While our digital still camera license agreements permit licensees to use several of our digital imaging patents, by agreement, we only received royalties on the 121 patent through its expiration date.

After April 11, 2006, our digital still camera licensees are required to pay royalties only to the extent that their products incorporate any of our other digital imaging patents. These patents have expiration dates from 2011 through 2014. We have conducted numerous technical and business meetings to discuss our feed forward quantization patent that we believe is being used, but we have not been able to conclude an acceptable arrangement with our licensees to cause them to resume payment of royalties on digital still cameras or camera-equipped cellular phones sold after April 11, 2006. In the third quarter of 2006 we expanded our research activities with the goal of assessing whether other patents, including image data shuffling and high speed image decoding, are being used in these products. If these expanded research activities successfully demonstrate use of one or more of our patents, current licensees would be obligated to resume payments under the existing terms of our license agreements, which we believe are favorable to the manufacturers. We do not expect to be able to assess the effectiveness of these efforts until later in 2007. If these negotiations are not successful and our licensees do not agree to resume such payments for our patents that we successfully demonstrate are used in their products, then we would be entitled to seek to cancel our license agreements with them and pursue our other options, including possible litigation.

The worldwide value of the digital still camera market in 2006 has been estimated by IDC, an independent market research firm, to total approximately \$30 billion. Because our other digital imaging patents are registered

in the U.S. as well as key international markets, if our digital still camera licensees acknowledge their use of our other digital imaging patents, we could realize a material increase in licensing revenues in future periods compared with the amount of royalties received from our 121 patent, but we cannot assure you that this will occur.

In prior years, we formally notified major manufacturers of camera equipped cellular telephones and other products that are equipped to record still and/or motion video that they may be infringing our patents. During 2005, we have held technical meetings and have issued claim charts alleging infringement of our feed forward quantization patent to two manufacturers of camera equipped cellular telephones. The worldwide retail sales value of the camera equipped cellular telephone market in 2006 has been estimated by IDC to total approximately \$50 billion. We seek to charge these manufacturers what we believe are commercially reasonable licensing fees computed as a percentage of the licensee s selling price of products shipped. Due to the size of this market, we could realize a material increase in royalty income in future years if we are successful in concluding licensing agreements with manufacturers of camera equipped cellular telephones. However, to date, none of the manufacturers of camera equipped cellular telephones are paying royalties to us for use of our feed forward quantization patent. Licensing negotiations have historically taken several years to conclude. There can be no assurance that we will successfully conclude camera equipped cellular telephone licensing agreements in the near term, if at all.

We have six license agreements covering all of the major manufacturers of digital video camcorders. Certain of these manufacturers have in prior years prepaid their royalty obligation through various future dates. In December 2004, Sony made a \$40 million royalty prepayment covering numerous digital imaging products. Their prepayment expired on April 11, 2006, and they have begun paying us running royalties on digital camcorder shipments subsequent to April 12, 2006. Running royalties are subject to seasonal factors, with royalty payments received in the fourth and first quarters typically greater than in the second and third quarters.

We are receiving running royalties from one manufacturer of DVD recorders, which are not significant to total licensing revenues. We are in discussions with additional manufacturers who we believe may license our patents for use in DVD and hard disk recorders. If successful, these discussions might lead to further increases in royalties from these products, although we cannot assure you that any such increases will occur.

Our relevant digital imaging patents were developed when we manufactured still stores, video special effects products and digital videotape recorders, which we sold into the professional broadcast and postproduction markets in prior years. As discussed above, these patents have expiration dates from 2006 through 2014. After they expire, our future licensing revenues are expected to decline materially.

Product Revenue. Product revenue generated by our Recorders segment increased to \$16.8 million in 2006 from \$15.4 million in 2005 compared to \$19.8 million in 2004. Government agencies and defense contractors are currently our principal market for the Recorders segment. Prior to 2006, sales declines had resulted from our decision not to seek new government program involvement with our mass data storage products and to focus our product development and marketing efforts on data acquisition products. Government agencies and defense contractors have historically experienced significant pressure to reduce spending and we expect them to experience such pressure in the future, which may lead to further sales declines. In 2004, we introduced our DDRs and DSRs instrumentation recorders, which are disk-based and solid state memory-based data acquisition recorders used in intelligence gathering activities. These products are intended to replace, over several years, a large installed base of our DCRsi tape-based data acquisition recorders. If successful, these new products could lead to increased product revenues over current levels. While we have recently been awarded significant multi-year contracts for our disk- and solid state-based instrumentation recorders, there can be no assurance that these new products will attain the same level of market penetration that our earlier products achieved. Revenues in 2006, 2005 and 2004 from such products totaled \$7.7 million, \$5.7 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

Our backlog of firm orders was \$5.9 million at December 31, 2006 compared to \$9.1 million at December 31, 2005 and \$3.7 million at December 31, 2004. We were awarded a contract of approximately \$6.9

million from Boeing for our new disk- and solid state-based data instrumentation recorders to be used in the development of the 787 airplane. We have made initial deliveries of our recorders in the amount of \$3.6 million against this contract and the remainder is scheduled to be delivered in 2007. The undelivered value of the contract has been included in reported backlog as of December 31, 2006. In addition, we received orders from Boeing and the U.S. Navy, which are not included in backlog at December 31, 2006. We are to provide Boeing up to \$2.9 million of solid state-based data instrumentation recorders with an option for a further \$1.1 million subject to Boeing s authorization. This product is for use in a government defense related program. We have shipped \$0.8 million against this order. Shipments for the remainder will be made over the next three years but are not included in our backlog since the contract contains provisions whereby Boeing may terminate portions of the order up to 30 days prior to scheduled delivery upon payment of penalties depending on the termination against this order. Future deliveries under this approval will be subject to receipt of purchase orders over the next three years for specific quantities to be determined by the U.S. Navy from time to time. We typically operate with low levels of backlog, requiring us to obtain the majority of each period s orders in the same period that they must be shipped to the customer. Historically, a small number of large orders have significantly impacted sales levels and often orders are received late in the quarter, making it difficult to predict revenue levels in future periods. See Fluctuations in Operating Results; Seasonality and Backlog of Recorders Segment.

Service Revenue. Total service revenue generated by our Recorders segment in the year ended December 31, 2006 was \$8.3 million compared to \$8.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 and \$8.7 million for December 31, 2004. We expect that service revenue will decline over time as older tape-based products are replaced with newer disk- or solid state memory-based instrumentation recorders.

Intellectual Property Costs. Intellectual property costs include external legal costs as well as certain internal costs, described below, pertaining to the enforcement of our patents. Intellectual property costs also include external accounting costs incurred in investigating the validity and enforceability of our patents and auditing royalty reports. Intellectual property costs fluctuate widely between periods based primarily on whether or not we are pursuing patent litigation. During the years ended 2006, 2005 and 2004, we incurred significant external legal costs in preparing for patent enforcement suits in the ITC and in the District Court that totaled \$8.6 million, \$9.5 million and \$4.9 million, respectively. The ITC case was withdrawn to enable the District Court case to proceed. Because our suit against Kodak is on appeal, our external litigation costs are expected to significantly decrease during 2007. If the appeal is successful and the case is remanded for trial, we could then have significant legal expenses if we elect to continue litigation. While our strategy is to negotiate reasonable royalty agreements, we may seek to enforce our patents by instituting additional litigation against other manufacturers of digital still cameras and other products where our technology is being used, if licensing agreements are not completed on satisfactory terms. There is no direct cost of goods sold associated with licensing revenue. We have an internal staff of lawyers, engineers and employ outside contractors that are principally involved in negotiating and monitoring our licensing agreements. Their compensation, travel expenditures and other direct costs are included as intellectual property costs. We do not allocate any general corporate overhead to our Licensing segment. Compensation includes incentive payments under long-term incentive plans earned by our employees based on amounts collected from our licensees. We also expect to incur additional costs in future years investigating and analyzing whether manufacturers of consumer digital imaging products are utilizing our other digital imaging and data compression technologies. We may seek to engage independent patent research and evaluation companies and other third parties, to help monetize our intellectual property. We may also seek to acquire patent portfolios that we believe offer commercial value to our licensing program. However, we cannot assure you that any of these strategies will be implemented or, if implemented, will be successful.

Cost of Product Revenue. Cost of product sales includes the cost of materials, labor and overhead incurred in the manufacture of our products. Cost of product sales as a percentage of product revenue was 50.5% in the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to 61.4% in the year ended December 31, 2005 and 70.6% in the year

ended December 31, 2004. Our cost of product sales percentage fluctuates based on a number of factors, including the volume and mix of product shipped in the period. The higher cost of product sales percentage in 2004 when compared to 2006 and 2005 was due, in part, to additional inventory provisions of \$1.1 million for excess and no requirements inventory to lower the carrying value of older products and spare parts based on sales projections for these products. In 2005 and 2006, we had improved gross margins due to the effect of selling lower cost remanufactured DST and DCRsi recorders.

Cost of Service Revenue. Cost of service revenue includes materials and labor used in maintaining and repairing our customers systems that we provide under service contracts. Cost of service revenue as a percentage of service revenue was 27.2% in 2006 compared to 31.2% in 2005, and 31.3% in 2004. The cost of service revenue fluctuates based largely on the level of services we provide to repair or replace equipment in a particular period and the cost of material used to repair or replace such equipment.

Research, Development and Engineering Expenses. All of our research, development and engineering expenses relate to our Recorders segment. The increase in research, development and engineering expenditures during 2006, 2005 and 2004 is due primarily to an increase in engineering personnel coupled with costs incurred to produce peripheral products to enhance the DDRs ruggedized disk- and DSRs solid state memory-based data acquisition recorders. Such costs are expected to continue as we develop new and enhanced products. We do not currently plan to invest additional resources to develop new formats for our 19-millimeter mass storage products beyond the quad density format. However, we will incur sustaining engineering to support our customers requirements.

Selling and Administrative Expenses. Selling and administrative expenses decreased to \$13.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$15.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2005 and \$13.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2004. Selling and administrative expenses for the Recorders segment and the unallocated corporate administrative expenses (no administrative expenses are allocated to the Licensing segment) are shown in the following table:

	2006 (i	2005 in millions	2004 s)
Recorders segment	\$ 6.5	\$ 6.0	\$ 6.4
Corporate	7.3	9.9	7.4
Total	\$13.8	\$ 15.9	\$13.8

The Recorders segment s selling and administrative costs included payments under a management incentive plan which included established income and cash flow targets for the segment. Such payments totaled \$0.6 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.7 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The principal components of corporate selling and administrative expense are listed below:

	2006	2005 (in millions)	2004
U.S. and foreign pension expense	\$ 3.8	\$ 3.5	\$ 3.0
Legal and accounting fees	1.3	2.0	1.2
Corporate salaries and benefits	0.8	1.0	0.9
Business development expenses, net	(1.2)	1.0	

In 2005, accounting costs included \$0.5 million related to documenting, assessing and auditing internal controls required by the Sarbanes Oxley Act (we were an accelerated filer as of December 31, 2005) and \$0.2 related to the restatement of prior years financial statements to correct the accounting for the Media pension plan. Beginning in 2006, we began to expense the fair value of stock options and restricted stock awards over the period such options and awards vest. We expensed \$0.4 million during 2006. In prior years, the issuance of stock options did not affect our operating results.

Corporate selling and administrative expenses also included business development expenses to identify new investment and other income-generating opportunities. Business development expenses, including consulting fees and office rent paid to a British investment advisory company, totaled \$0.3 million, \$1.0 million and \$0.6 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. We discontinued payments to the investment advisory company on April 1, 2006. In 2006 and 2004, we received reimbursement of \$1.5 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, of business development expenses incurred from 2003 to 2006. See Note 13 Related Party Transactions of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

In 2005, we also incurred additional nonrecurring fees related to our Nasdaq National Market listing of \$0.1 million and other legal settlement costs of \$0.2 million.

Restructuring Charges (Credits). We vacated certain administrative offices in Redwood City, CA in 2001 and 2002 to consolidate operations to lower continuing operating expenses and recorded a net restructuring charge of \$4.2 million. In 2003, we established an additional reserve of \$3.1 million to reflect the inability to sublease the premises due to the continued depressed real estate market. In 2004, we decided to seek a buyer for our Colorado Springs manufacturing facility and reutilize, in part, the Redwood City leased facilities that had been charged to restructuring in prior periods. As a result, we recognized a restructuring credit of \$1.4 million. We remeasured the restructuring accrual pursuant to SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities. Lease costs associated with the manufacturing activities in Redwood City are charged as incurred to the Cost of product sales of Data Systems. During 2006, 2005 and 2004, we paid and charged the restructuring accrual \$0.6 million, \$0.6 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, related to costs associated with the vacated portion of the facilities. We have paid and charged the restructuring accrual \$4.9 million since the inception of the 2002 restructuring program. The unamortized balance in accrued restructuring totaled \$1.0 million at December 31, 2006. This obligation has been discounted to present value. We expect to make payments related to the remaining balance of accrued restructuring through 2008. We evaluate the amount of accrued restructuring costs, including projected sublet income, on a quarterly basis, and we may make additional adjustments in future periods if we determine that our actual obligations will differ significantly from remaining amounts accrued.

Operating Income (Loss). We reported an operating loss of \$3.7 million in 2006 compared to operating income of \$9.2 million in 2005 and operating income of \$60.8 million in 2004. The operating income (loss) for the Licensing segment, Recorders segment, corporate administrative expenses and restructuring charges (credits) is shown in the following table:

	2006	2005 (in millions)	2004
Licensing segment	\$ 0.2	\$17.3	\$65.3
Recorders segment	3.4	1.8	1.5
Unallocated corporate	(7.3)	(9.9)	(7.4)
Restructuring (charges), credits			1.4
Operating income (loss)	\$ (3.7)	\$ 9.2	\$ 60.8

See corporate selling and administrative expenses discussed above under Selling and Administrative Expenses for a discussion of the major components of Unallocated corporate loss.

Media Pension Costs. We remain the plan sponsor of the pension plan of Media, a former subsidiary that was sold to Quantegy Corporation (Quantegy) in 1995, and we remain obligated to make pension contributions to that plan. Pension costs (credits) are recognized under SFAS No. 87, Employers Accounting for Pensions. Payments made by Quantegy in prior years either as direct pension contributions or as reimbursement of amounts paid by Ampex on behalf of Media are recognized as an offset to actuarially computed pension costs.

Contributions and reimbursements paid by Quantegy totaled \$0.8 million in 2004. There were no contributions or reimbursements paid by Quantegy in 2006 and 2005 and no additional reimbursements are expected as Quantegy filed for bankruptcy protection in January 2005.

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased to \$3.0 million in 2006 compared to interest expense of \$2.5 million in 2005, due to the issuance of additional Hillside Notes in the amount of \$15.4 million after September 15, 2005. Interest expense levels in 2006 and 2005 were lower than interest expense of \$9.7 million in 2004 due to repayments on senior debt of \$62.4 million in the fourth quarter of 2004 and \$10.4 million in the second quarter of 2005. We made cash payments of interest totaling \$2.1 million, \$2.9 million and \$3.3 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Interest not paid in cash was capitalized and added to the principal amount of the related debt obligation.

Amortization of Debt Financing Costs. Financing costs associated with the original issuance of the 12% Senior Notes are being charged to expense through the maturity date in 2008. We included an additional amortization charge of \$0.2 million in 2005 based on the significant redemption payments made against the 12% Senior Notes.

Interest Income. Interest income is earned on cash balances and short and long-term investments.

Other (Income) Expense, Net. In 2006, we realized \$3.2 million of incentive fees, which were assigned to us by the managing member of an investment limited liability company (LLC) upon the sale of the LLC s investment in Elementis. We credited these incentive fees against other (income) expense, net. See Note 13 Related Party Transactions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. We do not expect to receive any additional incentive fees from these entities in 2007, which could negatively impact our results of operations. In addition, we realized a non-recurring gain of \$0.3 million from the sale of other securities.

On April 15, 2005, Data Systems sold its former manufacturing facility and received net proceeds on the sale of approximately \$3.1 million, resulting in a gain of \$0.5 million which is included in other (income) expense, net.

Otherwise, other income (expense), net consists primarily of foreign currency translation gains and losses resulting from our foreign operations, which were not significant.

Provision for Income Taxes. The provision for income taxes in the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 included foreign and state income taxes and withholding taxes on royalty revenue. In 2005 and 2004, we were able to lower our tax provision by utilizing NOL carryforwards. At December 31, 2006, we had federal NOLs for income tax purposes of approximately \$189.6 million, expiring in the years 2007 through 2024. In addition, we have federal capital loss carryforwards totaling \$8.8 million at December 31, 2006, which may be utilized to offset capital gains, if any, generated in future periods. Accordingly, we have the ability to shelter a substantial amount of future federal taxable income, including future licensing revenue, if any is ultimately realized. The remaining provision for income taxes in 2006 and 2005 consisted primarily of foreign withholding taxes on royalty revenue generated in certain Far East locations.

Equity in Income of Limited Partnership, Including Sale of Investment. We made an investment in an investment limited partnership in 2003, which we accounted for under the equity method of accounting. During the year ended December 31, 2004, the partnership sold or distributed to its partners all of its remaining investments in a publicly held British promotional products company and we recognized our pro rata share of the gain on the sale of \$1.7 million. We received total distributions from the general partner of \$3.3 million on our \$1 million investment, which included a reimbursement of business development expenses of \$0.6 million credited against corporate selling and administrative expenses. See Selling and Administrative Expenses above and Note 13 Related Party Transactions of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. No further investment activities are envisioned by this partnership, which has wound up its affairs.

Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations. For the year ended December 31, 2006, we recognized a loss from Discontinued Operations of \$0.2 million compared to income from Discontinued Operations of \$0.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 and a loss from Discontinued Operations of \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2004.

We disposed of our Media subsidiary in 1995. However, we have a continuing liability with respect to environmental matters pertaining to Media s sites and activities. The measurement of our obligation and recognition of expense for environmental matters directly related to Media s operations is accounted for under SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. On January 10, 2005, Media filed under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Based on our assessment of Media s financial condition and understanding of its environmental remediation obligations, we recorded an estimate of amounts probable of incurrence by us for future clean up costs of \$2.5 million, all of which was provided in the fourth quarter of 2004.

In 2004, lease obligations that we guaranteed, of a former subsidiary that manufactured disk drives, expired. We recognized a gain on these discontinued operations of \$0.3 million as a result of the favorable disposition of the lease.

In 2005, we recognized a gain on discontinued operations of \$0.9 million on subletting facilities formerly occupied by our Internet video operations. In 2006, we increased the reserve by \$0.2 million for the amount of net liabilities for discontinued operations to include additional expected costs associated with the sublet facility lease related to our former Internet video operations.

Net Income (Loss). We reported a net loss of \$3.9 million in 2006 compared to net income of \$6.7 million in 2005 and net income of \$46.4 million in 2004, primarily as a result of the factors discussed above.

Other Comprehensive Income (Loss). In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 87, Employers Accounting for Pensions, we have recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) non-cash charges (credits) of (\$12.3) million in 2006, \$21.8 million in 2005 and (\$1.8) million in 2004, respectively, to adjust the additional minimum pension liability, which totaled \$100.5 million, \$112.8 million and \$91.1 million at December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, representing the excess of projected benefit obligations over the fair value of plan assets. We terminated benefit service and compensation credit accruals under the pension plan in 1994 and since that date pension expense consisted of amortization of unrecognized pension losses over the expected remaining lives of the plans retirees. Our net pension obligation (liability) reflected in our Consolidated Balance Sheets under SFAS No. 87 was equal to the unfunded projected benefit obligation determined under SFAS No. 158; accordingly, the adoption of SFAS No. 158 had no impact on our Consolidated Balance Sheets or Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) also includes foreign currency transaction adjustments resulting from our foreign operations.

Inflation and Changing Prices. We do not believe that inflation or changing prices have had any material impact on our product and service revenue, licensing revenue or income from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General. Cash and marketable securities totaled \$11.7 million at December 31, 2006 compared to \$13.1 million at December 31, 2005. These funds are available for general corporate purposes. Substantially all cash generated by our Licensing segment in excess of related operating expenses, including patent litigation costs and certain other expenses, is first required to be applied to reduce debt, which at December 31, 2006 totaled \$35.9 million, and is expected to increase to approximately \$58.6 million in 2007, as discussed below under Pension Contributions and Pension-Related Funding Obligations. If our cash flow does not improve over current levels (see Cash Flow, below), we may be required to adopt alternative strategies, such as reducing or delaying

capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring or refinancing our indebtedness or seeking additional equity capital. We cannot assure you that any of these strategies will be successful or that they will be permitted under our debt indentures.

In 2004, after several years of negotiations, we instituted litigation in the ITC and in the District Court against certain manufacturers of digital still cameras and camera equipped cellular phones for unauthorized use of our intellectual property. We believe that the possible threat of an unfavorable ruling by these courts was instrumental in causing certain companies to enter into new agreements with us. We spent \$4.9 million during the twelve months ended December 31, 2004, \$9.5 million during the twelve months ended December 31, 2006 in connection with these suits. Although two of these suits were settled out of court by entering into license agreements with Sanyo and Sony in 2004, we did not reach a settlement with Kodak. On November 21, 2006, the District Court granted final judgment in favor of Kodak. We have appealed this decision to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. If our appeal is successful we may incur significant additional costs if we elect to continue litigation. Also, we may decide to enforce our other patents by instituting litigation against other manufacturers of digital still cameras and/or other products where we believe our technology is being used if licensing agreements are not completed on acceptable terms. Any such additional litigation could cause our litigation costs to increase, and any such increases could be material. See Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

Cash Flow. We used cash from continuing operating activities totaling \$13.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to \$2.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2005 and generated cash from continuing operations of \$57.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2004. Our royalty income included lump sum payments of \$18.7 million and \$65.5 million for 2005 and 2004, respectively, for royalties due on products sold in periods prior to the effective date of the license agreements and in some cases prepayments of running royalties otherwise due on sales of products through April 11, 2006. We did not have any royalty lump sum payments in 2006. In 2006 and 2005, licensing revenue was offset by additional patent litigation costs, interest expense and the excess of pension contributions over pension costs. Cash used by discontinued operations totaled \$0.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2006, \$0.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2005 and \$0.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2004.

Management believes that our liquidity, together with Data Systems projected results, licensing agreements presently in effect and our ability to borrow pension contributions from Hillside, a former affiliate, should be sufficient to satisfy all projected cash obligations through at least December 2007, as discussed more fully below. We have substantial principal repayments scheduled on our long-term debt beginning in 2008, which we may need to restructure unless cash flow from operations improves over current levels.

Senior Debt. As of December 31, 2006 we had outstanding approximately \$35.9 million of total borrowings, which includes approximately \$6.6 million under our 12% Senior Notes due 2008 and \$29.3 million of notes from Hillside (Hillside Notes). Our debt levels have been significantly reduced from levels in prior years, but they are projected to increase as we borrow funds to pay pension contributions.

The indenture under which the Senior Notes were issued contains customary affirmative and negative restrictive covenants that limit the payment of dividends, the incurrence of additional indebtedness or liens, certain sales of assets and other actions by our restricted subsidiaries and us. In the event of a default, the holders of the Senior Notes would be entitled to enforce the liens granted by us on our future patent royalty stream and to apply amounts collected to repayment of the Senior Notes.

Pension Contributions and Pension-Related Funding Obligations. We are the Plan Sponsor of the Ampex pension plan and the pension plan of Media. We amended these plans in early 1994 to terminate benefit service and compensation accruals as of February 1, 1994 in order to reduce payments that would otherwise be required. These pension plans remain underfunded and actuaries have forecasted that substantial pension contributions will

be required through 2012. The following amounts are substantially less than the unfunded projected benefit obligation recognized by us as liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheets due to differing actuarial assumptions prescribed by ERISA in each instance.

		nated butions
	Ampex Pension Plan	Media Pension Plan
2007	(in tho \$ 18,548	usands) \$ 4,153
2008	6,934	2,071
2009	7,151	2,135
2010	6,406	1,817
2011	6,279	1,765
2012	6,290	1,771
Total	\$ 51,608	\$ 13,712

Pension contributions are payable quarterly in April, July and October of the current year, and January and September following the plan year. Pension contributions payable in 2007 are estimated as follows:

	Contrik Ampex Pension Plan	Pension Pension		
January 2007	\$ 1,410	\$ 741		
April 2007	3,274	1,137		
July 2007	3,274	1,138		
September 2007	7,316			
October 2007	3,274	1,137		
	\$ 18,548	\$ 4,153		

Hillside made the January 2007 contributions to the Ampex and Media pension plan and we have requested Hillside to make the remaining scheduled payments due in 2007.

In connection with the sale of Media, the buyer assumed the obligation to reimburse us for pension contributions that we may be required to make in future years as Plan Sponsor of the Media pension plan. This agreement was intended to make us whole from any expense or cash outlay as it pertains to the Media pension plan. However, on January 10, 2005, Media filed under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Accordingly, we do not expect any additional reimbursement of amounts paid to date or payable by us in the future to the Media pension plan.

In 1994, the Company, the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (the PBGC) and certain affiliates, including Hillside Capital Incorporated (Hillside), who were members of a group under common control" for purposes of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), entered into a Joint Settlement Agreement (Agreement) in connection with the 1994 reorganization of our former parent, NH Holding Incorporated (NHI). The Agreement relates to our pension plan (the Ampex pension plan) and the pension plan of our former Media subsidiaries (the Media pension plan), which are substantially underfunded. Under the terms of the Agreement, Ampex and Hillside are held jointly and severally liable to the PBGC to fund the required contributions under the Ampex and Media pension plans. Pursuant to this Agreement, Hillside is

Edgar Filing: AMPEX CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

obligated to advance pension contributions for the Ampex and Media pension plans in the event we are unable to make the required contributions necessary in order to satisfy the minimum funding standard. Failure by Hillside to advance funds as may be required would enable the PBGC to terminate the plans and seek recovery of termination benefits from Hillside.

During the period 2001 through 2006, Hillside made pension contributions totaling \$30.1 million pertaining to the Ampex pension plan and the Media pension plan, of which \$9.5 million was paid in 2006 and \$5.9 million was paid in 2005. We issued notes to Hillside (Hillside Notes), as discussed below, in the amount of the pension contributions and amounts advanced by Hillside in prior years. We have requested Hillside to fund contributions due in 2007, which are estimated to total \$22.7 million, and we may do likewise in future years based on our liquidity.

When Hillside makes all or any portion of a pension contribution under the Ampex and/or Media pension contributions, we issue additional Hillside Notes. Under the terms of the Hillside Notes, \$150,000 of principal is due on the first anniversary of each of the notes with the remaining principal due on the fourth anniversary of the notes. Pursuant to amendments to the senior debt agreements, all principal payments on the Hillside Notes were deferred until various dates after December 31, 2006. The Hillside Notes provide for interest paid quarterly at 1 percent plus 175% of the applicable mid-term federal rate (effective rate of 9.11% at December 31, 2006). We granted to Hillside a security interest in Data Systems inventory as collateral for the Hillside Notes. This agreement contains certain restrictive covenants which, among other things, restrict our ability to declare dividends, sell all or substantially all of our assets or commence liquidation, or engage in specified transactions with certain related parties, breach of which could result in acceleration of our potential termination liabilities.

Hillside is legally obligated to comply with the terms of the Agreement, and has represented that it has sufficient assets to fund pension contributions that are scheduled in future years. We have no direct or indirect financial ownership interest in Hillside and, accordingly, have no ability to control Hillside or to mandate its compliance with the terms of the Agreement. Accordingly, our ability to borrow pension contributions from Hillside is beyond our control.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. During the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that our Management believes is material to investors.

Contractual Obligations. An aggregate listing of our contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of December 31, 2006, excluding interest, is as follows:

		Payments Due by Period			
Contractual Obligations	Total	Less than 1 Year	1 - 3 Years (in thousands)	4 - 5 Years	After 5 Years
Senior debt (a)	\$ 6,569	\$	\$ 6,569	\$	\$
Other debt (b)	29,347	1,689	13,490	14,168	
Operating leases (c)	5,327	3,288	2,039		
Pension and other retirement plans (d)	78,923	23,589	20,194	18,275	16,865

(a) The maturity date of the Senior Notes is August 2008. Pursuant to the agreement, substantially all Available Cash Flow is to be applied to the Senior Notes in full repayment of principal and accrued interest. Accordingly, payments due on these obligations could vary from the amounts shown in the table. See Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(b) Other debt includes the Hillside Notes. See Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. These amounts may be subject to significant increases attributable to additional Hillside Notes that we may issue in 2007 and future years in connection with our pension obligations. See footnote (d) below.

(c)