GREIF INC Form 10-K December 29, 2006 Table of Contents

#### **UNITED STATES**

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-K**

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006

or

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_

Commission file number: 001-00566

# Greif, Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

State of Delaware

31-4388903

(State or other jurisdiction of

(I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

425 Winter Road, Delaware, Ohio

43015

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code 740-549-6000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

**Title of Each Class** 

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Class A Common Stock Class B Common Stock New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant s knowledge, in the definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer "Non-accelerated filer "

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Yes "No x

The aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold as of the last business day of the Registrant s most recently completed second fiscal quarter was as follows:

Non-voting common equity (Class A Common Stock) - \$744,747,222

Voting common equity (Class B Common Stock) - \$307,926,076

The number of shares outstanding of each of the Registrant s classes of common stock, as of December 15, 2006 was as follows:

Class A Common Stock - 11,667,506

Class B Common Stock - 11,515,533

Listed hereunder are the documents, portions of which are incorporated by reference, and the parts of this Form 10-K into which such portions are incorporated:

1. The Registrant s Definitive Proxy Statement for use in connection with the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on February 26, 2007 (the 2007 Proxy Statement ), portions of which are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K. The 2007 Proxy Statement will be filed within 120 days of October 31, 2006.

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this Form 10-K of Greif, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company ) or incorporated herein, including, without limitation, statements regarding the Company s future financial position, business strategy, budgets, projected costs, goals and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ). Forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may, will, expect, intend, estimate, anticipate, project, believe, thereof or variations thereon or similar terminology. Forward-looking statements speak only as the date the statements were made. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in forward-looking statements have a reasonable basis, it can give no assurance that these expectations will prove to be correct. Forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those expressed in or implied by the statements. For a discussion of the most significant risks and uncertainties that could cause the Company s actual results to differ materially from those projected, see Risk Factors in Item 1A of this Form 10-K. The Company undertakes no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Index to Form 10-K Annual Report for the year ended October 31, 2006

### Form

10-K Item		Description	Page
Part I	1.	<u>Business</u>	1
		(a) General Development of Business	1
		(b) Financial Information about Segments	1
		(c) Narrative Description of Business	1
		(d) Financial Information about Geographic Areas	3
		(e) Available Information	3
		(f) Other Matters	3
	1A.	Risk Factors	4
	1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	6
	2.	<u>Properties</u>	6
	3.	Legal Proceedings	8
	4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	8
Part II	5.	Market for the Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	9
	6.	Selected Financial Data	10
	7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	10
	7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	24
	8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	28
		Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	64
	9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures	65
	9A.	Controls and Procedures	65
		Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	66
	9B.	Other Information	68
Part III	10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Company	69
	11.	Executive Compensation	69
	12.	Security Ownership and Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	69
	13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	69
	14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	69
Part IV	15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	70
		<u>Signatures</u>	71
Schedules		Schedule II	72
Exhibits		Exhibits and Certifications	73

PART I

**ITEM 1. BUSINESS** 

#### (a) General Development of Business

#### General

The Company is a leading global producer of industrial packaging products with manufacturing facilities located in over 40 countries. The Company offers a comprehensive line of industrial packaging products, such as steel, fibre and plastic drums, intermediate bulk containers, closure systems for industrial packaging products, and polycarbonate water bottles, which are complemented with a variety of value-added services, including blending, packaging, logistics and warehousing. The Company also produces containerboard and corrugated products for niche markets in North America. The Company sells timber to third parties from its timberland in the southeastern United States that it manages to maximize long-term value. The Company also sells, from time to time, timberland and special use land, which consists of surplus land, higher and better use (HBU) land, and development land. The Company also owns timberland in Canada that it does not actively manage. The Company is customers range from Fortune 500 companies to medium and small-sized companies in a cross section of industries.

The Company was founded in 1877 in Cleveland Ohio, as "Vanderwyst and Greif," a cooperage shop co-founded by one of four Greif brothers. One year after its founding, the other three Greif brothers were invited to join the business, renamed Greif Bros. Company, making wooden barrels, casks and kegs to transport post-Civil War goods nationally and internationally. The Company later purchased nearly 300,000 acres of timberland to provide raw materials for the cooperage plants. The Company still owns forests located in the southeastern United States and in Canada. In the latter half of the 1900s, the Company transitioned from its keg and barrel heading mills, stave mills and cooperage facilities to the manufacturing of fibre, steel, and plastic drums; corrugated containers; intermediate bulk containers; corrugated products for transit protection; multiwall shipping bags; and containerboard. In 1926, the Company incorporated as a Delaware corporation and made its public offering as The Greif Bros. Cooperage Corporation. In 1951, the Company moved its headquarters from Cleveland, Ohio to Delaware, Ohio, which is in the Columbus metro-area.

where its corporate headquarters are currently located. Following the Van Leer acquisition in 2001, the Company changed its name from Greif Bros. Corporation to Greif, Inc.

### (b) Financial Information about Segments

The Company operates in three business segments: Industrial Packaging & Services; Paper, Packaging & Services; and Timber. Information related to each of these segments is included in Note 15 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which Note is incorporated herein by reference.

#### (c) Narrative Description of Business

#### **Products and Services**

In the Industrial Packaging & Services segment, the Company offers a comprehensive line of industrial packaging products, such as steel, fibre and plastic drums, intermediate bulk containers, closure systems for industrial packaging products, and polycarbonate water bottles, which are complemented with a variety of value-added services, including blending, packaging services, logistics and warehousing. The Company sells its industrial packaging products to customers in over 40 countries in industries such as chemicals, paints and pigments, food and beverage, petroleum, industrial coatings, agricultural, pharmaceutical and mineral, among others. In addition, the Company provides a variety of blending and packaging services, logistics and warehousing to customers in many of these same industries in North America.

In the Paper, Packaging & Services segment, the Company sells containerboard, corrugated sheets and other corrugated products and multiwall bags to customers in North America in industries such as packaging, automotive, food and building products. The Company s corrugated container products are used to ship such diverse products as home appliances, small machinery, grocery products, building products, automotive components, books and furniture, as well as numerous other applications. The Company s industrial and consumer multiwall bag products are used to ship a wide range of industrial and consumer products, such as fertilizers, chemicals, concrete, flour, sugar, feed, seed, pet foods, popcorn, charcoal and salt, primarily for the agricultural, chemical, building products and food industries.

1

In the Timber segment, the Company is focused on the active harvesting and regeneration of its United States timber

properties to achieve sustainable long-term yields. While timber sales are subject to fluctuations, the Company seeks to maintain a consistent cutting schedule, within the limits of market and weather conditions. We also sell, from time to time, timberland and special use land, which consists of surplus land, HBU land, and developmental land.

As of October 31, 2006, the Company owned approximately 266,700 acres of timber properties in the southeastern United States and approximately 37,400 acres of timber properties in Canada.

#### Customers

Due to the variety of its products, the Company has many customers buying different types of its products and, due to the scope of the Company s sales, no one customer is considered principal in the total operation of the Company.

#### Backlog

The business of the Company is not seasonal to any significant extent. Because the Company supplies a cross section of industries, such as chemicals, food products, petroleum products, pharmaceuticals and metal products, and must make spot deliveries on a day-to-day basis as its products are required by its customers, the Company does not operate on a backlog to any significant extent and maintains only limited levels of finished goods. Many customers place their orders weekly for delivery during the week.

#### Competition

The markets in which the Company sells its products are highly competitive and comprised of many participants. Although no single company dominates, the Company faces significant competitors in each of its businesses. The Company s competitors include large vertically integrated companies as well as numerous smaller companies. The industries in which the Company competes are particularly sensitive to price fluctuations caused by shifts in industry capacity and other cyclical industry conditions. Other competitive factors include design, quality and service, with varying emphasis depending on product line.

In the industrial packaging industry, the Company competes by offering a comprehensive line of products on a global basis. In

the paper and paper packaging industry, the Company competes by concentrating on providing value-added, higher-margin corrugated products to niche markets. In addition, over the past several years the Company has closed higher cost facilities and otherwise restructured its operations, which it believes has significantly improved its cost competitiveness.

#### Environmental Matters; Governmental Regulations

The Company s operations are subject to extensive federal, state, local and international laws, regulations, rules and ordinances relating to pollution, the protection of the environment, the generation, storage, handling, transportation, treatment, disposal and remediation of hazardous substances and waste materials and numerous other environmental laws and regulations. In the ordinary course of business, the Company is subject to periodic environmental inspections and monitoring by governmental enforcement authorities. In addition, certain of the Company s production facilities require environmental permits that are subject to revocation, modification and renewal.

Based on current information, the Company believes that the probable costs of the remediation of company-owned property will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations. The Company believes that its liability for these matters was adequately reserved as of October 31, 2006.

The Company does not believe that compliance with federal, state, local and international provisions, which have been enacted or adopted regulating the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment, has had or will have a material effect upon the capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position of the Company. The Company does not anticipate any material capital expenditures related to environmental control in 2007.

See also Item 7 of this Form 10-K and Note 14 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K for additional information concerning environmental expenses and cash expenditures for 2006, 2005 and 2004, and the Company s reserves for environmental liabilities at October 31, 2006.

#### Raw Materials

Steel, resin and containerboard are the principal raw materials for the Industrial Packaging & Services segment, and pulpwood, old corrugated containers for recycling and containerboard are

2

the principal raw materials for the Paper, Packaging & Services segment. The Company satisfies most of its needs for these raw materials through purchases on the open market or under short-term supply agreements. All of these raw materials are purchased in highly competitive, price-sensitive markets, which have historically exhibited price and demand cyclicality. From time to time, some of these raw materials have been in short supply, but to date these shortages have not had a significant effect on the Company s operations.

#### Research and Development

While research and development projects are important to the Company s continued growth, the amount expended in any year is not material in relation to the results of operations of the Company.

The Company s business is not materially dependent upon patents, trademarks, licenses or franchises.

#### **Employees**

As of October 31, 2006, the Company had approximately 9,025 full time employees. Unions represent a significant number of the Company s full time employees. The Company believes that its employee relations are generally good.

#### (d) Financial Information about Geographic Areas

The Company s operations are located in North America, Europe and various other regions throughout the world. Information related to each of these areas is included in Note 15 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which Note is incorporated herein by reference. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, included in Item 7A of this Form 10-K, is incorporated herein by reference.

#### (e) Available Information

The Company maintains an Internet Web site at www.greif.com. The Company files reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ) and makes available, free of charge, on or through this Internet Web site, its annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy and information statements and amendments to these reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably possible after the Company electronically files such material with, or furnishes it to, the SEC.

Any of the materials the Company files with the SEC may also be read and/or copied at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549. Information on the operation of the SEC s Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet Web site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC at www.sec.gov.

#### (f) Other Matters

The Company s common equity securities are listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbols GEF and GEF.B. Michael J. Gasser, the Company s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, has timely certified to the NYSE that, at the date of the certification, he was unaware of any violation by the Company of the NYSE s corporate governance listing standards. In addition, Mr. Gasser and Donald S. Huml, the Company s Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, have provided certain certifications in this Form 10-K regarding the quality of the Company s public disclosures. See Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 to this Form 10-K.

#### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

Statements contained in this Form 10-K may be forward-looking within the meaning of Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Such forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause the Company s operating results to differ materially from those projected. The following factors, among others, in some cases have affected, and in the future could affect, the Company s actual financial performance. The terms Greif, our company, we, us and our as used in this discussion refer to Greif, Inc. and subsidiaries.

#### Our business is sensitive to changes in general economic or business conditions.

Our customers generally consist of other manufacturers and suppliers who purchase industrial packaging products and containerboard and related corrugated products for their own containment and shipping purposes. Because we supply a cross section of industries, such as chemicals, food products, petroleum products, pharmaceuticals and metal products, and have operations in many countries, demand for our industrial packaging products and containerboard and related corrugated products has historically corresponded to changes in general economic and business conditions of the industries and countries in which we operate. Accordingly, our financial performance is substantially dependent upon the general economic conditions existing in these industries and countries, and any prolonged or substantial economic downturn could have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Our foreign operations are subject to currency exchange and political risks that could adversely affect our results of operations.

We have operations in over 40 countries. As a result of our international operations, we are subject to certain risks that could disrupt our operations or force us to incur unanticipated costs.

Our operating performance is affected by devaluations and fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates by:

translations into United States dollars for financial reporting purposes of the assets and liabilities of our international operations conducted in local currencies; and

gains or losses from international operations conducted in currencies other than the operation s functional currency. We are subject to various other risks associated with operating in international countries, such as the following:

political, social and economic instability;

war, civil disturbance or acts of terrorism;

taking of property by nationalization or expropriation without fair compensation;

changes in government policies and regulations;

imposition of limitations on conversions of foreign currencies into United States dollars or remittance of dividends and other payments by international subsidiaries;

imposition or increase of withholding and other taxes on remittances and other payments by international subsidiaries;

hyperinflation in certain countries; and

impositions or increase of investment and other restrictions or requirements by non-United States governments. *We operate in highly competitive industries.* 

Each of our business segments operates in highly competitive industries. The most important competitive factors we face are price, quality and service. To the extent that one or more of our competitors become more successful with respect to any of these key competitive factors, we could lose customers and our sales could decline. In addition, due to the tendency of certain customers to diversify their suppliers, we could be unable to increase or maintain sales volumes with particular customers. Certain of our competitors are substantially larger and have significantly greater financial resources.

#### Our business is sensitive to changes in industry demands.

Industry demand for containerboard in the United States and certain of our industrial packaging products in our United States and international markets has varied in recent years causing competitive pricing pressures for those products. We compete in industries that are capital intensive, which generally leads to continued production as long as prices are sufficient to cover marginal costs. As a result, changes in industry demands, including industry over-capacity, may cause substantial price competition and, in turn, negatively impact our financial performance.

4

The continuing consolidation of our customer base for industrial packaging, containerboard and corrugated products may intensify pricing pressures and may negatively impact our financial performance.

Over the last few years, many of our large industrial packaging, containerboard and corrugated products customers have acquired, or been acquired by, companies with similar or complementary product lines. This consolidation has increased the concentration of our largest customers, and resulted in increased pricing pressures from our customers. The continuing consolidation of our customer base may negatively impact our financial performance.

Raw material and energy price fluctuations and shortages could adversely affect our ability to obtain the materials needed to manufacture our products and could adversely affect our manufacturing costs.

The principal raw materials used in the manufacture of our products are steel, resin, pulpwood, old corrugated containers for recycling, and containerboard, which we purchase in highly competitive, price sensitive markets. These raw materials have historically exhibited price and demand cyclicality. Some of these materials have been, and in the future may be, in short supply. However, we have not recently experienced any significant difficulty in obtaining our principal raw materials. We do not have long-term supply contracts or hedging arrangements in place for obtaining our principal raw materials.

The cost of producing our products is also sensitive to the price of energy. We have, from time to time, entered into short-term contracts to hedge certain of our energy costs. Energy prices, in particular oil and natural gas, have fluctuated in recent years, with a corresponding effect on our production costs.

Environmental and health and safety matters and product liability claims could negatively impact our operations and financial performance.

We must comply with extensive rules and regulations regarding federal, state, local and international environmental matters, such as air and water quality and waste disposal. We must also comply with extensive rules and regulations regarding safety and health matters. The failure to materially comply with such rules and regulations could adversely affect our operations and financial performance. Furthermore, litigation or claims against

us with respect to such matters could adversely affect our financial performance. We may also become subject to product liability claims, which could adversely affect our operations and financial performance.

Our business may be adversely impacted by work stoppages and other labor relations matters.

We are subject to risk of work stoppages and other labor relations matters because a significant number of our employees are represented by unions. We have experienced work stoppages and strikes in the past, and there may be work stoppages and strikes in the future. Any prolonged work stoppage or strike at any one of our principal manufacturing facilities could have a negative impact on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

#### We may encounter difficulties arising from acquisitions.

During recent years, we have invested a substantial amount of capital in acquisitions. Acquisitions involve numerous risks, including the failure to retain key customers, employees and contracts, the inability to integrate businesses without material disruption, unanticipated costs incurred in connection with integrating businesses and the incurrence of liabilities greater than anticipated or operating results that are less than anticipated. In addition, acquisitions and integration activities require time and attention of management and other key personnel, and other companies in our industries have similar acquisition strategies. There can be no assurance that any future acquisitions will be successfully integrated into our operations, that competition for acquisitions will not intensify or that we will be able to complete such acquisitions on acceptable terms and conditions. The costs of unsuccessful acquisition efforts may adversely affect our financial performance.

We may be subject to losses that might not be covered in whole or in part by existing insurance reserves or insurance coverage. These uninsured losses could adversely affect our financial performance.

We are self-insured for certain of the claims made under our employee medical and dental insurance programs and for certain of our workers compensation claims. We establish reserves for estimated costs related to pending claims, administrative fees and claims incurred but not reported.

5

Because establishing reserves is an inherently uncertain process involving estimates, currently established reserves may not be adequate to cover the actual liability for claims made under our employee medical and dental insurance programs and for certain of our workers—compensation claims. If we conclude that our estimates are incorrect and our reserves are inadequate for these claims, we will need to increase our reserves, which could adversely affect our financial performance.

We carry comprehensive liability, fire and extended coverage insurance on most of our facilities, with policy specifications and insured limits customarily carried for similar properties. However, there are certain types of losses, such as losses resulting from wars, acts of terrorism, or natural disasters, that generally are not insured because they are either uninsurable or not economically insurable. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could lose capital invested in that property, as well as the anticipated future revenues derived from the manufacturing activities conducted at that property, while remaining obligated for any mortgage indebtedness or other financial obligations related to the property. Any such loss would adversely impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We purchase insurance policies covering general liability and product liability with substantial policy limits. However, there can be no assurance that any liability claim would be adequately covered by our applicable insurance policies or it would not be excluded from coverage based on the terms and conditions of the policy. This could also apply to any applicable contractual indemnity.

#### The frequency and volume of our timber and timberland sales will impact our financial performance.

We have a significant inventory of standing timber and timberland and approximately 63,050 acres of special use properties in the United States and Canada. The frequency and volume of sales of timber, timberland and special use properties will have an effect on our financial performance. In addition, volatility in the real estate market and a reduction in demand for special use properties could negatively affect our results of operations.

#### ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The following are the Company s principal operating locations and the products manufactured at such facilities or the use of such facilities. The Company considers its operating properties to be in satisfactory condition and adequate to meet its present needs. However, the Company expects to make further additions, improvements and consolidations of its properties as the Company s business continues to expand.

Location	Products or Use	Owned	Leased
INDUSTRIAL PACKAGIN	G & SERVICES:		
Argentina	Steel and plastic drums, water bottles and distribution center	3	
Australia	Steel and plastic drums, closures, distribution centers and general office	4	1
Austria	Administrative office		1
Belgium	Steel and plastic drums and coordination center	1	1
Brazil	Steel and plastic drums, water bottles, closures and general office	5	1
Canada	Fibre, steel and plastic drums, wooden pallets, blending and packaging services and administrative office	9	1
Chile	Steel drums, water bottles and distribution center		1
China	Steel drums		4
Colombia	Steel and plastic drums and water bottles	1	1
Costa Rica	Steel drums		1
Czech Republic	Steel drums	1	
Denmark	Fibre drums	1	
Egypt	Steel drums	1	
France	Fibre, steel and plastic drums, intermediate bulk containers, closures and distribution center	2	
Germany	Fibre, steel and plastic drums and distribution center	3	2

6

# **Table of Contents**

Location	Products or Use	Owned	Leased
Greece	Steel drums and water bottles	1	1
Guatemala	Steel drums	1	
Hungary	Steel drums	1	
India	Plastic drums and closures	2	
Ireland	Warehouse		1
Italy	Steel and plastic drums and distribution center	1	2
Jamaica	Distribution center		1
Kenya	Steel and plastic drums		1
Malaysia	Steel and plastic drums	1	1
Mexico	Fibre, steel and plastic drums and distribution center	2	2
Morocco	Steel and plastic drums and plastic bottles	1	
Mozambique	Steel drums and plastic bottles		1
Netherlands	Fibre and steel drums, closures, research center and general office	3	2
New Zealand	Intermediate bulk containers		2
Nigeria	Steel and plastic drums		2
Philippines	Steel drums and water bottles		1
Poland	Steel drums and water bottles	1	
Portugal	Steel drums	1	
Russia	Steel drums, water bottles and intermediate bulk containers	6	5
Singapore	Steel drums and distribution center		2
South Africa	Steel and plastic drums and distribution center		6
Spain	Steel drums and distribution center	1	
Sweden	Fibre and steel drums and distribution center	2	1
Turkey	Steel drums and waterbottles	1	
Ukraine	Distribution center and waterbottles		2
United Kingdom	Steel and plastic drums, water bottles and distribution center	4	1
United States	Fibre, steel and plastic drums, intermediate bulk containers, closures, steel parts, water bottles	29	20
	and distribution centers and blending and packaging services		
Uruguay	Steel drums		1
Venezuela	Steel and plastic drums and water bottles	2	
Zimbabwe	Steel and plastic drums	1	
PAPER, PACKAGING			
United States	Corrugated sheets, containers and other products, containerboard, multiwall bags, investment	32	9
	property and distribution center		
TIMBER:			
United States	General offices	4	2
CORPORATE:			
United States	Principal and general office	2	

The Company also owns a substantial number of scattered timber tracts comprising approximately 266,700 acres in the states of Alabama, Arkansas, Louisiana and Mississippi and approximately 37,400 acres in the provinces of Ontario and Quebec in Canada as of October 31, 2006.

#### **ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The Company has no pending material legal proceedings.

From time to time, various legal proceedings arise at the country, state or local levels involving environmental sites to which the Company has shipped, directly or indirectly, small amounts of toxic waste, such as paint solvents, etc. The Company, to date, has been classified as a de minimis participant and, as such, has not been subject, in any instance, to sanctions of \$100,000 or more.

In addition, from time to time, but less frequently, the Company has been cited for violations of environmental regulations. None of these violations involve or are expected to involve sanctions of \$100,000 or more, except for a notice of violation received by the Company from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for alleged clean-air violations at its steel drum facility in Alsip, Illinois. The alleged violations relate to two industrial process cooling towers at the facility that have been monitored by a third party for many years and involve the chemicals supplied and used by that third party in connection with treating the cooling system. The sanctions for such alleged violations could exceed \$100,000, but are not expected to be material to the Company.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There were no matters submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K.

8

#### **PART II**

# ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Shares of the Company s Class A and Class B Common Stock are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbols GEF and GEF.B, respectively.

Financial information regarding the Company s two classes of common stock, as well as the number of holders of each class and the high, low and closing sales prices for each class for each quarterly period for the two most recent fiscal years, is included in Note 16 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which Note is incorporated herein by reference.

The Company pays quarterly dividends of varying amounts computed on the basis described in Note 9 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K, which Note is incorporated herein by reference. The annual dividends paid for the last two fiscal years are as follows:

2006 fiscal year dividends per share Class A \$1.20; Class B \$1.79

2005 fiscal year dividends per share Class A \$0.80; Class B \$1.19

The terms of both the Company s Credit Agreement and the Indenture for the Company s Senior Subordinated Notes limit the ability of the Company to make restricted payments, which include dividends and purchases, redemptions and acquisitions of equity interests of the Company. The payment of dividends and other restricted payments are subject to the condition that certain defaults not exist under the terms of those agreements and are limited in amount by a formula based on the consolidated net income of the Company. See Borrowing Arrangements in Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

Maximum Number (or Approximate

The following tables set forth the Company s purchases of its Class A and Class B Common Stock during 2006:

#### **Issuer Purchases of Class A Common Stock**

				Dollar Value)
				of Shares that
	Total Number	Average Price		May Yet Be
	of Shares	Paid Pe		Purchased under the Plans
Period	Purchased	Shar		or Programs(1)
November 2005			•	1,022,276
December 2005				1,022,276
January 2006	50,000	\$ 64.0	1 50,000	972,776
February 2006				972,776
March 2006	23,800	\$ 61.2	8 23,800	933,076
April 2006				931,576
May 2006	1,428	\$ 69.9	5 1,428	930,148
June 2006				930,148
July 2006				930,148
August 2006				930,148
September 2006				930,148

October 2006 924,436

Total 75,228 75,228

#### **Issuer Purchases of Class B Common Stock**

					Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value)
Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	A	verage Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(1)	of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased under the Plans or Programs(1)
November 2005	Fulcilaseu		Silaie	or Frograms(1)	1,022,776
December 2005					1,022,776
January 2006					972,776
February 2006					972,776
March 2006	15,900	\$	61.68	15,900	972,776
April 2006	1,500	\$	62.15	1,500	933,076
May 2006					931,576
June 2006					930,148
July 2006					930,148
August 2006					930,148
September 2006					930,148
October 2006	5,712	\$	73.50	5,712	924,436
Total	23,112			23,112	

<sup>(1)</sup> The Company s Board of Directors has authorized a stock repurchase program which permits the Company to purchase up to 2.0 million shares of the Company s Class A or Class B Common Stock, or any combination thereof. As of October 31, 2006, the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased is 924,436, which may be any combination of Class A or Class B Common Stock.

#### **ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The five-year selected financial data is as follows (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts):

As of and for the years ended October 31,		2006		2005		2004	2003		2002
Net sales	\$ 2,62	8,475	\$ 2	,424,297	\$ 2	,209,282	\$ 1,916,441	\$ 1	,632,767
Net income	\$ 14	2,119	\$	104,656	\$	47,769	\$ 9,496	\$	30,979
Total assets	\$ 2,18	8,001	\$ 1	,883,323	\$ 1	,813,238	\$ 1,816,259	\$ 1	,758,295
Long-term debt, including current portion of long-term debt	\$ 48	1,408	\$	430,400	\$	457,415	\$ 646,067	\$	632,982
Basic earnings per share:									
Class A Common Stock	\$	4.93	\$	3.64	\$	1.69	\$ 0.34	\$	1.10
Class B Common Stock	\$	7.38	\$	5.45	\$	2.53	\$ 0.50	\$	1.64
Diluted earnings per share:									
Class A Common Stock	\$	4.83	\$	3.56	\$	1.66	\$ 0.34	\$	1.10
Class B Common Stock	\$	7.38	\$	5.45	\$	2.53	\$ 0.50	\$	1.64
Dividends per share:									
Class A Common Stock	\$	1.20	\$	0.80	\$	0.60	\$ 0.56	\$	0.56
Class B Common Stock	\$	1.79	\$	1.19	\$	0.89	\$ 0.83	\$	0.83

CorrChoice, Inc., which had been a joint venture of the Company, redeemed all of the outstanding shares of its minority shareholders on September 30, 2003. As a result of this transaction, the results of CorrChoice, Inc. and its subsidiaries were fully consolidated, net of intercompany eliminations, in the Company s Consolidated Statements of Income for 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003. In 2003, the Company recorded a minority interest deduction through September 30, 2003. In 2002, the Company recorded a 63.24 percent equity interest in the net income of CorrChoice, Inc.

The results of operations include the effects of pretax restructuring charges of \$33.2 million, \$35.7 million, \$54.1 million, \$60.7 million and \$2.8 million for 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively, a \$2.8 million and \$10.3 million pretax debt extinguishment charge for 2005 and 2002, respectively, and large timberland gains of \$41.3 and \$56.3 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In 2003, the Company recorded income of \$4.8 million related to a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle resulting from the adjustment of its unamortized negative goodwill in accordance with the transition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, Business Combinations, upon the adoption of SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.

#### ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The purpose of this section is to discuss and analyze our consolidated financial condition, liquidity and capital resources and results of operations. This analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes, which appear elsewhere in this Form 10-K. The terms Greif, our company, we, us, and our as used in this discussion refer to Greif, Inc. and subsidiaries. Our fiscal year b on November 1 and ends on October 31 of the following year. Any references in this Form 10-K to the years 2006, 2005 or 2004, or to any quarter of those years, relate to the fiscal year ending in that year.

#### **Business Segments**

We operate in three business segments: Industrial Packaging & Services; Paper, Packaging & Services; and Timber.

We are a leading global provider of industrial packaging products such as steel, fibre and plastic drums, intermediate bulk containers, closure systems for industrial packaging products, and polycarbonate water bottles, which are complemented with a variety of value-added services, including blending, packaging, logistics and warehousing. We seek to provide complete packaging solutions to our customers by offering a comprehensive range of products and services on a global basis. We sell our products to customers

10

in industries such as chemicals, paint and pigments, food and beverage, petroleum, industrial coatings, agricultural, pharmaceutical and mineral, among others. In addition, the Company provides a variety of blending and packaging services, logistics and warehousing to customers in many of these same industries in North America.

We sell our containerboard, corrugated sheets and other corrugated products and multiwall bags to customers in North America in industries such as packaging, automotive, food and building products. Our corrugated container products are used to ship such diverse products as home appliances, small machinery, grocery products, building products, automotive components, books and furniture, as well as numerous other applications. Our full line of multiwall bag products is used to ship a wide range of industrial and consumer products, such as fertilizers, chemicals, concrete, flour, sugar, feed, seed, pet foods, popcorn, charcoal and salt, primarily for the agricultural, chemical, building products and food industries.

As of October 31, 2006, we owned approximately 266,700 acres of timber properties in the southeastern United States, which is actively managed, and approximately 37,400 acres of timber properties in Canada. Our timber management is focused on the active harvesting and regeneration of our timber properties to achieve sustainable long-term yields on our timberland. While timber sales are subject to fluctuations, we seek to maintain a consistent cutting schedule, within the limits of available merchantable acreage of timber, market and weather conditions. We also sell, from time to time, timberland and special use land, which consists of surplus land, higher and better use ( HBU ) land, and development land.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ( GAAP ). The preparation of these consolidated financial statements, in accordance with these principles, require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our consolidated financial statements.

A summary of our significant accounting policies is included in Note 1 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K. We believe that the consistent application of these policies enables us to provide readers of the consolidated financial statements with useful and reliable information about our results of operations and financial condition. The following are the accounting policies that we believe are most important to the portrayal of our results of operations and financial condition and require our most difficult, subjective or complex judgments.

Allowance for Accounts Receivable. We evaluate the collectibility of our accounts receivable based on a combination of factors. In circumstances where we are aware of a specific customer s inability to meet its financial obligations to us, we record a specific allowance for bad debts against amounts due to reduce the net recognized receivable to the amount we reasonably believe will be collected. In addition, we recognize allowances for bad debts based on the length of time receivables are past due with allowance percentages, based on our historical experiences, applied on a graduated scale relative to the age of the receivable amounts. If circumstances change (e.g., higher than expected bad debt experience or an unexpected material adverse change in a major customer s ability to meet its financial obligations to us), our estimates of the recoverability of amounts due to us could change by a material amount.

*Inventory Reserves.* Reserves for slow moving and obsolete inventories are provided based on historical experience and product demand. We continuously evaluate the adequacy of these reserves and make adjustments to these reserves as required.

Net Assets Held for Sale. Net assets held for sale represent land, buildings and land improvements less accumulated depreciation for locations that have been closed. We record net assets held for sale in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, at the lower of carrying value or fair value less cost to sell. Fair value is based on the estimated proceeds from the sale of the facility utilizing recent purchase offers, market comparables and/or data obtained from our commercial real estate broker. Our estimate as to fair value is regularly reviewed and subject to changes in the commercial real estate markets and our continuing evaluation as to the facility is acceptable sale price.

*Properties, Plants and Equipment.* Depreciation on properties, plants and equipment is provided on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of our assets.

11

We own timber properties in the southeastern United States and in Canada. With respect to our United States timber properties, which consisted of approximately 266,700 acres at October 31, 2006, depletion expense is computed on the basis of cost and the estimated recoverable timber acquired. Our land costs are maintained by tract. Merchantable timber costs are maintained by five product classes, pine sawtimber, pine chip-n-saw, pine pulpwood, hardwood sawtimber and hardwood pulpwood, within a depletion block, with each depletion block based upon a geographic district or subdistrict. Currently, we have 11 depletion blocks. These same depletion blocks are used for pre-merchantable timber costs. Each year, we estimate the volume of our merchantable timber for the five product classes by each depletion block. These estimates are based on the current state in the growth cycle and not on quantities to be available in future years. Our estimates do not include costs to be incurred in the future. We then project these volumes to the end of the year. Upon acquisition of a new timberland tract, we record separate amounts for land, merchantable timber and pre-merchantable timber allocated as a percentage of the values being purchased. These acquisition volumes and costs acquired during the year are added to the totals for each product class within the appropriate depletion block(s). The total of the beginning, one-year growth and acquisition volumes are divided by the total undepleted historical cost to arrive at a depletion rate, which is then used for the current year. As timber is sold, we multiply the volumes sold by the depletion rate for the current year to arrive at the depletion cost. Our Canadian timber properties, which consisted of approximately 37,400 acres at October 31, 2006, did not have any depletion expense since they are not actively managed at this time.

We believe that the lives and methods of determining depreciation and depletion are reasonable; however, using other lives and methods could provide materially different results.

Restructuring Reserves. Restructuring reserves are determined in accordance with appropriate accounting guidance, including SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities, and Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 100, Restructuring and Impairment Charges, depending upon the facts and circumstances surrounding the situation. Restructuring reserves are further discussed in Note 5 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Pension and Postretirement Benefits. Pension and postretirement benefit expenses are determined by our actuaries

using assumptions about the discount rate, expected return on plan assets, rate of compensation increase and health care cost trend rates. Further discussion of our pension and postretirement benefit plans and related assumptions is contained in Notes 12 and 13 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K. The results would be different using other assumptions.

Income Taxes. Our effective tax rate is based on income, statutory tax rates and tax planning opportunities available to us in the various jurisdictions in which we operate. Significant judgment is required in determining our effective tax rate and in evaluating our tax positions. We establish reserves when, despite our belief that our tax return positions are fully supportable, we believe that certain positions are likely to be challenged and that we may not succeed. We adjust these reserves in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the progress of a tax audit. Our effective tax rate includes the impact of reserve provisions and changes to reserves that we consider appropriate as well as related interest.

A number of years may elapse before a particular matter, for which we have established a reserve, is audited and finally resolved. The number of years with open tax audits varies depending on the tax jurisdiction. While it is often difficult to predict the final outcome or the timing of resolution of any particular tax matter, we believe that our reserves reflect the probable outcome of known tax contingencies. Unfavorable settlement of any particular issue would require use of our cash. Favorable resolution would be recognized as a reduction to our effective tax rate in the period of resolution.

Valuation allowances are established where expected future taxable income does not support the realization of the deferred tax assets.

*Environmental Cleanup Costs.* We expense environmental expenditures related to existing conditions caused by past or current operations and from which no current or future benefit is discernable. Expenditures that extend the life of the related property, or mitigate or prevent future environmental contamination, are capitalized.

Environmental expenses were \$1.6 million in 2006, insignificant in 2005, and \$0.6 million in 2004. Environmental cash expenditures were \$1.8 million, \$2.0 million, and \$0.7 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Our reserves for environmental liabilities at October 31, 2006 amounted to

12

\$14.9 million, which included a reserve of \$4.6 million related to our facility in Lier, Belgium, \$6.0 million related to our blending facility in Chicago, Illinois, acquired in September 2006, and \$4.3 million for asserted and unasserted environmental litigation, claims and/or assessments at several manufacturing sites and other locations where we believe the outcome of such matters will be unfavorable to us. The environmental exposures for those sites included in the \$4.3 million reserve were not individually significant. The reserve for the Lier, Belgium site is based on environmental studies that have been conducted at this location. The Lier, Belgium site is being monitored by the Public Flemish Waste Company ( PFWC ), which is the Belgian body for waste control. PFWC must approve all remediation efforts that are undertaken by us at this site. The reserve for the facility in Chicago, Illinois and other larger exposures are based on environmental studies and cost estimates provided by third parties, but the reserves for less significant exposures are principally based on management estimates.

We anticipate that cash expenditures in future periods for remediation costs at identified sites will be made over an extended period of time. Given the inherent uncertainties in evaluating environmental exposures, actual costs may vary from those estimated at October 31, 2006. Our exposure to adverse developments with respect to any individual site is not expected to be material. Although environmental remediation could have a material effect on results of operations if a series of adverse developments occur in a particular quarter or fiscal year, we believe that the chance of a series of adverse developments occurring in the same quarter or fiscal year is remote. Future information and developments will require us to continually reassess the expected impact of these environmental matters.

Self-Insurance. We are self-insured for certain of the claims made under our employee medical and dental insurance programs. We had recorded liabilities totaling \$2.7 million and \$4.0 million for estimated costs related to outstanding claims at October 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. These costs include an estimate for expected settlements on pending claims, administrative fees and an estimate for claims incurred but not reported. These estimates are based on our assessment of outstanding claims, historical analysis and current payment trends. We record an estimate for the claims incurred but not reported using an estimated lag period based upon historical information. This lag period assumption has been consistently applied for the periods presented. If the lag period was

hypothetically adjusted by a period equal to a half month, the impact on earnings would be approximately \$0.7 million. However, we believe the liabilities recorded are adequate based upon current facts and circumstances.

We have certain deductibles applied to various insurance policies including general liability, product, auto and workers compensation. Deductible liabilities are insured through our captive insurance subsidiary, which had recorded liabilities totaling \$19.7 million and \$18.2 million for anticipated costs related to general liability, product, auto and workers compensation at October 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. These costs include an estimate for expected settlements on pending claims, defense costs and an estimate for claims incurred but not reported. These estimates are based on our assessment of outstanding claims, historical analysis, actuarial information and current payment trends.

Contingencies. Various lawsuits, claims and proceedings have been or may be instituted or asserted against us, including those pertaining to environmental, product liability, and safety and health matters. We are continually consulting legal counsel and evaluating requirements to reserve for contingencies in accordance with SFAS No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies. While the amounts claimed may be substantial, the ultimate liability cannot currently be determined because of the considerable uncertainties that exist. Based on the facts currently available, we believe the disposition of matters that are pending will not have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Goodwill, Other Intangible Assets and Other Long-Lived Assets. Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets are no longer amortized, but instead are periodically reviewed for impairment as required by SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. The costs of acquired intangible assets determined to have definite lives are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated economic lives of two to 20 years. Our policy is to periodically review other intangible assets subject to amortization and other long-lived assets based upon the evaluation of such factors as the occurrence of a significant adverse event or change in the environment in which the business operates, or if the expected future net cash flows (undiscounted and without interest) would become less than the carrying amount of the asset. An impairment loss would be recorded in the period such determination is made based on the fair value of the related assets.

*Other Items.* Other items that could have a significant impact on the financial statements include the risks and uncertainties listed in Item 1A under Risk Factors. Actual results could differ materially using different estimates and assumptions, or if conditions are significantly different in the future.

#### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Historically, revenues and earnings may or may not be representative of future operating results due to various economic and other factors.

The following table sets forth the net sales and operating profit for each of our business segments for 2006, 2005 and 2004 (Dollars in thousands):

For the years ended October 31,	2006	2005	2004
Net Sales			
Industrial Packaging & Services	\$ 1,945,299	\$ 1,804,169	\$ 1,620,790
Paper, Packaging & Services	668,047	607,818	568,136
Timber	15,129	12,310	20,356
Total net sales	\$ 2,628,475	\$ 2,424,297	\$ 2,209,282
Operating Profit			
Operating profit, before the impact of restructuring charges and timberland gains:	ф 400.070	Ф 100 010	Ф. 444.040
Industrial Packaging & Services	\$ 163,072	\$ 122,818	\$ 111,949
Paper, Packaging & Services	64,401	40,611	29,473
Timber	10,626	7,972	13,888
Total operating profit before the impact of restructuring charges and timberland gains	238,099	171,401	155,310
Restructuring charges:			
Industrial Packaging & Services	24,034	31,375	44,975
Paper, Packaging & Services	9,189	4,271	8,936
Timber	15	90	207
Total restructuring charges	33,238	35,736	54,118
Timberland gains:			
Timber	41,302	56,268	7,514
Operating profit:			
Industrial Packaging & Services	139,038	91,443	66,974
Paper, Packaging & Services	55,212	36,340	20,537
Timber	51,913	64,150	21,195
Total operating profit	\$ 246,163	\$ 191,933	\$ 108,706

#### Fiscal Year 2006 Compared to Fiscal Year 2005

#### Overview

Net sales were \$2.6 billion in 2006 compared to \$2.4 billion in 2005 an increase of 8 percent excluding the impact of foreign currency translation. The \$204.2 million increase was almost entirely attributable to positive contributions from the Industrial Packaging & Services segment (\$141.1 million) and the Paper, Packaging & Services segment (\$60.2 million). This increase was primarily due to generally higher sales volumes and improved pricing across our product portfolio.

Operating profit was \$246.2 million in 2006 compared to \$191.9 million in 2005. Operating profit before the impact of restructuring charges and timberland gains was \$238.1 million in 2006 compared to \$171.4 million in 2005. The \$66.7 million increase was due to positive contributions from the Industrial Packaging & Services segment (\$40.3 million), the Paper, Packaging & Services segment (\$23.8 million) and the Timber segment (\$2.7 million). Included in these amounts are gains on asset disposals, including the sale of corporate surplus properties, special use properties, the sale of a closed facility and the disposal of a warehouse. There were \$33.2 million and \$35.7 million of restructuring charges and \$41.3 million and \$56.3 million of timberland gains during 2006 and 2005, respectively.

#### **Segment Review**

#### Industrial Packaging & Services

The Industrial Packaging & Services segment offers a comprehensive line of industrial packaging products and services, such as steel, fibre and plastic drums, intermediate bulk containers, closure systems for industrial packaging products, polycarbonate water bottles, blending and packaging services, logistics and warehousing. The key factors influencing profitability in the Industrial Packaging & Services segment are:

Selling prices and sales volumes; Raw material costs, primarily steel, resin and containerboard; Energy and transportation costs; Benefits from the Greif Business System; Restructuring charges; and Impact of foreign currency translation.

In this segment, net sales were \$1.9 billion in 2006 compared to \$1.8 billion in 2005. Net sales rose 8 percent, excluding the impact of foreign currency translation, for 2006 from last year. The improvement in net sales was primarily due to strong organic growth, which included higher sales volumes in emerging markets such as China and Russia. This segment also benefited from two fourth quarter 2005 tuck-in acquisitions and the acquisition of Delta Petroleum Company, Inc. and its subsidiaries in the fourth quarter of 2006. Sales volumes declined in the United Kingdom and France as a result of restructuring activities.

Operating profit was \$139.0 million in 2006 compared to \$91.4 million in 2005. Operating profit before the impact of restructuring charges rose to \$163.1 million in 2006 from \$122.8 million in 2005 primarily due to the improvement in net sales and gross profit margin. The Industrial Packaging & Services segment s gross profit margin improved to 18.5 percent in 2006 from 16.3 percent in 2005 due to higher sales volumes and the Greif Business System, particularly the impact of strategic sourcing (see Other Income Statement Changes Restructuring Charges below). Restructuring charges were \$24.0 million in 2006 compared with \$31.4 million last year.

#### Paper, Packaging & Services

The Paper, Packaging & Services segment sells containerboard, corrugated sheets and other corrugated products and multiwall bags in North America. The key factors influencing profitability in the Paper, Packaging & Services segment are:

Selling prices and sales volumes; Raw material costs, primarily old corrugated containers (OCC);

Energy and transportation costs; Benefits from the Greif Business System; and Restructuring charges.

In this segment, net sales were \$668.0 million in 2006 compared to \$607.8 million in 2005 primarily due to higher containerboard prices and higher containerboard, corrugated sheet and multiwall bag sales volumes compared to the prior year.

Operating profit was \$55.2 million in 2006 compared to \$36.3 million in 2005. Operating profit before the impact of restructuring charges was \$64.4 million in 2006 compared to \$40.6 million in 2005 primarily due to the improvement in net sales and gross profit margin. The Paper, Packaging & Services segment s gross profit margin improved to 17.5 percent in 2006

15

from 15.3 percent in 2005. This improvement over last year was primarily due to higher containerboard pricing levels and the Greif Business System partially offset by approximately \$14.7 million in higher energy and transportation costs. Restructuring charges were \$9.2 million in 2006 compared to \$4.3 million in 2005 (see Other Income Statement Changes Restructuring Charges below).

#### Timber

The Timber segment consists of approximately 266,700 acres of timber properties in southeastern United States, which are actively harvested and regenerated, and approximately 37,400 acres in Canada. The key factors influencing profitability in the Timber segment are:

Planned level of timber sales;

Gains on sale of timberland; and

Sale of special use properties (surplus, higher and better use, and development properties).

Net sales were \$15.1 million in 2006 compared to \$12.3 million in 2005. While timber sales are subject to fluctuations, we seek to maintain a consistent cutting schedule, within the limits of market and weather conditions. Current year timber sales were in line with our expectations.

Operating profit was \$51.9 million in 2006 compared to \$64.2 million in 2005. Operating profit before the impact of restructuring charges and timberland gains was \$10.6 million (including \$4.6 million of profits on special use property sales) in 2006 compared to \$8.0 million in 2005. Timberland gains were \$41.3 million in 2006 and \$56.3 million in 2005, and restructuring charges were insignificant in both years.

In order to maximize the value of our timber property, we have reviewed our current portfolio and have been exploring the development of certain of these properties in Canada and the United States. This process has led us to characterize our property as follows:

Surplus property, meaning land that cannot be efficiently or effectively managed by us, whether due to parcel size, lack of productivity, location, access limitations or for other reasons.

HBU property, meaning land that in its current state has a higher market value for uses other than growing and selling timber.

Development property, meaning HBU land that with additional investment may have a significantly higher market value than its HBU market value.

Timberland, meaning land that is best suited for growing and selling timber.

We report the sale of surplus and HBU property in our consolidated statement of income under gain on sale of assets and report the sale of development property under net sales and cost of products sold. All HBU and development property, together with surplus property will continue to be used by us to productively grow and sell timber until sold.

Whether timberland has a higher value for uses other than growing and selling timber is a determination based upon several variables, such as, proximity to population centers, anticipated population growth in the area, the topography of the land, aesthetic considerations, including access to lakes or rivers, the condition of the surrounding land, availability of utilities, markets for timber and economic considerations both nationally and locally. Given these considerations, the characterization of land is not a static process, but requires an ongoing review and re-characterization as circumstances change.

At October 31, 2006, we estimated that there were 63,050 acres in Canada and the United States of special use property, which will be available for sale in the next five to seven years.

#### **Other Income Statement Changes**

#### Cost of Products Sold

Cost of products sold, as a percentage of net sales, decreased to 81.8 percent in 2006 from 83.9 percent in 2005. Cost of products sold, as a percentage of net sales, primarily decreased as a result of the improvement in net sales and positive contributions from the Greif Business System (see Other Income Statement Changes Restructuring Charges below). These positive factors were partially offset by higher transportation and energy costs compared to 2005.

#### Selling, General and Administrative ( SG&A ) Expenses

SG&A expenses were \$259.1 million, or 9.9 percent of net sales, in 2006 compared to \$224.7 million, or 9.3 percent of net sales, in 2005. SG&A expenses, as a percentage of net sales, increased primarily due to higher accruals for performance-based incentive plans resulting from improvements in our results.

16

#### Restructuring Charges

During 2006, we recorded restructuring charges of \$33.2 million, consisting of \$16.8 million in employee separation costs, \$8.3 million in asset impairments, \$2.0 million in professional fees and \$6.1 million in other restructuring costs, primarily consisting of moving and lease terminations costs. Four company-owned plants have been closed. Three plants in the Paper, Packaging & Services segment and one in the Industrial Packaging & Services segment reduced the number plants in the United Kingdom from five to three; merged operations of businesses purchased in October 2005 into existing North American plants; and consolidated one plant in France. In addition, severance costs were incurred due to the elimination of certain operating and administrative positions throughout the world. The total employees severed in 2006 was 281.

Our transformation to the Greif Business System, which began in 2003, continues to generate productivity improvements and achieve permanent cost reductions via improved labor productivity, material yield, other manufacturing efficiencies, footprint rationalization, strategic sourcing and SG&A optimization. The transformation efforts began in 2003 with a focus on SG&A optimization, which has resulted in approximately \$60 million of annual cost savings.

In 2004 and 2005, we focused on becoming a leaner, more market-focused/performance-driven company. This final phase of the transformation to the Greif Business System has achieved additional annualized benefits of approximately \$65 million.

Based on the foregoing we have achieved cumulative annual benefits from the Greif Business System of approximately \$175 million through the end of 2006, including approximately \$50 million in 2006. Additional incremental contributions from the Greif Business System are expected to be approximately \$30 million in 2007. Any 2007 restructuring costs are expected to relate to acquisition integration in the Industrial Packaging & Services segment and further implementation of the Greif Business System in the Paper, Packaging & Services segment.

See Note 5 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K for additional disclosures regarding our restructuring activities.

#### Gain on Sale of Timberland

The gain on sale of timberland decreased \$15.0 million to \$41.3 million in 2006 as compared to \$56.3 million in 2005. These gains are the result of sales of timberland and are volatile from period to period.

In May 2005, we completed the first phase of the \$90 million sale of 56,000 acres of timberland, timber and associated assets. In this first phase, 35,000 acres of our timberland holdings in Florida, Georgia and Alabama were sold for \$51.0 million, resulting in a gain of \$42.1 million in the third quarter of 2005. In the second phase, 15,300 acres of our timberland holdings in Florida were sold for \$29.3 million, resulting in a gain of \$27.4 million in the first quarter of 2006. In the final phase, we sold 5,700 acres of timberland in the second quarter of 2006 for \$9.7 million, resulting in a gain of \$9.0 million.

#### Interest Expense, Net

Interest expense, net, was \$36.0 million and \$39.3 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively. The decrease was primarily due to interest received on higher cash and cash equivalents balances, partially offset by interest paid on higher long-term and short-term borrowings, during 2006 compared to 2005.

#### Debt Extinguishment Charge

During the second quarter of 2005, we entered into a new revolving credit facility to improve pricing and financial flexibility. As a result, we recorded a \$2.8 million debt extinguishment charge in 2005. There was no debt extinguishment charge in 2006.

### Other Income (Expense), Net

Other expense, net, was \$2.3 million in 2006 compared to other income, net, of \$2.4 million in 2005. The decrease was primarily due to the recording of \$0.4 million in net gains related to foreign currency translation in 2006 versus \$3.4 million in 2005 and other infrequent non-operating items recorded in 2005.

#### Income Tax Expense

During 2006, the effective tax rate was 30.7 percent as compared to 30.9 percent in 2005. In future years, the effective tax rate may fluctuate based on the mix of income inside and outside the United States and other factors.

17

#### Equity in Earnings of Affiliates and Minority Interests

Equity in earnings of affiliates and minority interests was \$1.9 million for 2006 as compared to \$0.5 million for 2005. We have majority holdings in various companies, and the minority interests of other persons in the respective net income of these companies have been recorded as an expense. These expenses were partially offset by equity in the earnings of Balmer-Lawrie Van Leer.

#### Net Income

Based on the foregoing, net income increased \$37.4 million to \$142.1 million for 2006 from \$104.7 million in 2005.

#### Fiscal Year 2005 Compared to Fiscal Year 2004

#### Overview

Net sales rose 10 percent (8 percent excluding the impact of foreign currency translation) to a record \$2.4 billion in 2005 from \$2.2 billion in 2004. The net sales improvement was attributable to the Industrial Packaging & Services segment (\$183.4 million increase) and the Paper, Packaging & Services segment (\$39.7 million increase), partially offset by \$8.1 million of lower planned sales in the Timber segment. Increased selling prices, primarily in response to higher year-over-year raw material costs, were partially offset by lower volumes for certain products, which reflected soft market conditions experienced by a number of our customers.

Operating profit was \$191.9 million in 2005 compared with operating profit of \$108.7 million in 2004. Restructuring charges were \$35.7 million and \$54.1 million and timberland gains were \$56.3 million and \$7.5 million during 2005 and 2004, respectively. Operating profit before the impact of restructuring charges and timberland gains increased 10 percent to \$171.4 million in 2005 compared with \$155.3 million in 2004. This increase was primarily attributable to the Industrial Packaging & Services segment (\$10.9 million increase) and the Paper, Packaging & Services segment (\$11.1 million increase), partially offset by a \$5.9 million decline in the Timber segment due to lower planned sales for the year.

#### **Segment Review**

#### **Industrial Packaging & Services**

The Industrial Packaging & Services segment offers a comprehensive line of industrial packaging products, such as

steel, fibre and plastic drums, intermediate bulk containers, closure systems for industrial packaging products and polycarbonate water bottles throughout the world. The key factors influencing improved profitability in 2005 compared to 2004 in the Industrial Packaging & Services segment were:

Higher selling prices; Lower sales volumes for certain products; Benefits from the Greif Business System; Higher raw material costs, especially steel and resin; Lower restructuring charges; and Impact of foreign currency translation.

In this segment, net sales rose 11 percent to \$1.8 billion in 2005 from \$1.6 billion in 2004. Net sales increased 9 percent excluding the impact of foreign currency translation. Net sales rose primarily as a result of higher selling prices in response to higher raw material costs during the year, especially steel and resin, compared to 2004. The improvement attributable to the higher selling prices was partially offset by slightly lower sales volumes for certain products, especially steel and fibre drums.

Operating profit was \$91.4 million in 2005 compared with \$67.0 million in 2004. Restructuring charges were \$31.4 million in 2005 compared with \$45.0 million a year ago. Operating profit before the impact of restructuring charges rose to \$122.8 million in 2005 from \$111.9 million in 2004. This increase was primarily due to improved net sales. However, the Industrial Packaging & Services segment s gross profit margin declined to 16.3 percent in 2005 from 17.4 percent in 2004. This decline was due to higher raw material costs, which were partially offset by improved net sales coupled with labor and other manufacturing efficiencies resulting from the Greif Business System (see Other Income Statement Changes Restructuring Charges below).

#### Paper, Packaging & Services

The Paper, Packaging & Services segment sells containerboard, corrugated sheets and other corrugated products and multiwall bags in North America. The key factors influencing improved profitability in 2005 compared to 2004 in the Paper, Packaging & Services segment were:

Higher selling prices; Lower sales volumes for certain products; Higher transportation and energy costs; and Lower restructuring charges.

18

In this segment, net sales rose 7 percent to \$607.8 million in 2005 from \$568.1 million last year due to improved selling prices for this segment s products, partially offset by lower sales volumes for certain products, especially corrugated sheets and containers.

Operating profit was \$36.3 million in 2005 compared to \$20.5 million in 2004. Restructuring charges were \$4.3 million in 2005 versus \$8.9 million a year ago. Operating profit before the impact of restructuring charges was \$40.6 million in 2005 compared to \$29.5 million in 2004. This increase was primarily due to improved net sales, partially offset by higher transportation and energy costs. The Paper, Packaging & Services segment s gross profit margin increased to 15.3 percent in 2005 from 14.8 percent in 2004 due to improved net sales and labor efficiencies resulting from the Greif Business System (see Other Income Statement Changes Restructuring Charges below).

#### Timber

As of October 31, 2005, the Timber segment owned approximately 250,000 acres of timber properties in southeastern United States, which are actively harvested and regenerated, and approximately 37,000 acres in Canada. The key factors influencing profitability in 2005 compared to 2004 in the Timber segment were:

Lower planned level of timber sales; and Higher gain on sale of timberland.

Timber net sales were \$12.3 million in 2005 compared to \$20.4 million in 2004. While timber sales are subject to fluctuations, we seek to maintain a consistent cutting schedule, within the limits of market and weather conditions.

Operating profit was \$64.2 million in 2005 compared to \$21.2 million in 2004. Restructuring charges were not significant for either year and timberland gains were \$56.3 million in 2005 and \$7.5 million in 2004. Operating profit before the impact of restructuring charges and timberland gains was \$8.0 million in 2005 compared to \$13.9 million in 2004. The decrease in operating profit, before the impact of restructuring charges and timberland gains, was primarily a result of lower timber sales.

#### Other Income Statement Changes

#### Cost of Products Sold

Cost of products sold, as a percentage of net sales, increased to 83.9 percent in 2005 from 83.1 percent in 2004. Cost of

products sold, as a percentage of net sales, primarily increased as a result of higher raw material costs, primarily steel and resin, that caused a 400 basis point increase over the prior year. Lower absorption of fixed costs and Timber segment sales, which have a lower cost than our other products, and higher energy costs also caused our cost of products sold, as a percentage of net sales, to increase. These negative factors to our cost of products sold were partially mitigated by improved selling prices and efficiencies in labor and other manufacturing costs related to the Greif Business System (see Restructuring Charges below).

#### Selling, General and Administrative ( SG&A ) Expenses

SG&A expenses were \$224.7 million, or 9.3 percent of net sales, in 2005 compared to \$218.8 million, or 9.9 percent of net sales, in 2004. SG&A expenses, as a percentage of net sales, declined primarily as a result of the Greif Business System and our continued focus on controllable costs. In 2005, professional fees related to compliance with \$404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 were approximately \$3.0 million over the 2004 fees.

#### Restructuring Charges

As part of the transformation to the Greif Business System, we closed four company-owned plants and a distribution center in the Industrial Packaging & Services segment during 2005. Two of the plants and a distribution center were located in North America and two were located in the United Kingdom. In addition, corporate and administrative staff reductions were made throughout the world. As a result of the transformation to the Greif Business System, during 2005, we recorded restructuring charges of \$31.8 million, consisting of \$15.7 million in employee separation costs, \$2.5 million in asset impairments, \$3.7 million in professional fees directly related to the transformation to the Greif Business System and \$9.9 million in other costs which primarily represented moving and lease termination costs. During 2005, we also recorded \$3.9 million of restructuring charges related to the impairment of two facilities that were closed during previous restructuring programs. The asset impairment charges that relate to the write-down to fair value of building and equipment were based on recent purchase offers, market

comparables and/or data obtained from our commercial real estate broker.

See Note 5 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K for additional disclosures regarding our restructuring activities.

19

#### Gain on Sale of Timberland

The gain on sale of timberland increased \$48.8 million to \$56.3 million in 2005 as compared to \$7.5 million in 2004. The increase in the gain on sale of timberland in 2005 compared to 2004 was primarily due to the significant May 2005 timberland transaction described below.

In May 2005, we completed the first phase of the \$90 million sale of 56,000 acres of timberland, timber and associated assets. In this first phase, 35,000 acres of our timberland holdings in Florida, Georgia and Alabama were sold for \$51.0 million, resulting in a gain of \$42.1 million in the third quarter of 2005.

#### Interest Expense, Net

Interest expense, net was \$39.3 million and \$45.3 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively. Lower average debt outstanding was partially offset by higher interest rates during 2005 compared to 2004.

# Debt Extinguishment Charge

During the second quarter of 2005, we entered into a new revolving credit facility to improve pricing and financial flexibility. As a result, we recorded a \$2.8 million debt extinguishment charge.

#### Other Income, Net

Other income, net, increased to \$2.4 million in 2005 as compared to \$0.3 million in 2004. The increase was primarily due to the recording of \$1.1 million in net gains related to foreign currency translation in 2005 as compared to net losses of \$1.5 million in 2004 and other infrequent non-operating items recorded in 2005.

#### Income Tax Expense

During 2005, the effective tax rate was 30.9 percent as compared to 24.5 percent in 2004 resulting primarily from a change in the mix of income inside and outside the United States.

# Equity in Earnings of Affiliates and Minority Interests

Equity in earnings of affiliates and minority interests was a negative \$0.5 million for 2005 as compared to \$0.4 million for 2004. We have majority holdings in various companies, and the minority interests of other persons in the respective net income of these companies have been recorded as an expense. These

expenses were partially offset by equity in earnings of Socer-Embalagens, Lda. (sold during the third quarter of 2004) and Balmer Lawrie Van Leer.

#### Net Income

Based on the foregoing, net income increased \$56.9 million to \$104.7 million for 2005 from \$47.8 million in 2004.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our primary sources of liquidity are operating cash flows, the proceeds from our trade accounts receivable credit facility, proceeds from the sale of our European accounts receivable and borrowings under our Credit Agreement, further discussed below. We have used these sources to fund our working capital needs, capital expenditures, cash dividends, common stock repurchases and acquisitions. We anticipate continuing to fund these items in a like manner. We currently expect that operating cash flows, the proceeds from our trade accounts receivable credit facility, proceeds from the sale of our European accounts receivable and borrowings under our Credit Agreement will be sufficient to fund our currently anticipated working capital, capital expenditures, debt repayment, potential acquisitions of businesses and other liquidity needs for the foreseeable future.

# **Capital Expenditures and Business Acquisitions**

During 2006, 2005 and 2004, we invested \$75.6 million, excluding \$62.1 million for timberland properties, \$67.8 million (excluding \$17.5 million for timberland properties), and \$50.2 million (excluding \$12.6 million for timberland properties), in capital expenditures, respectively. During the fourth quarter of 2006, we completed two acquisitions for an aggregate purchase price of \$102.0 million. These two acquisitions were Delta Petroleum Company, Inc. and its subsidiaries ( Delta ), a blender and packager of lubricants, chemicals, and glycol-based products in North America, and an industrial packaging company located in Russia. On November 30, 2006, we acquired the steel drum manufacturing and closures business of Blagden Packaging Group for 205.0 million. In addition, in November 2006, we acquired two small industrial packaging companies in the United States for an aggregate purchase price of \$33.7 million. See Note 2 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 of this Form 10-K for additional disclosures regarding our acquisitions.

We anticipate future capital expenditures excluding the potential purchase of timberland property of approximately

20

\$95 million through October 31, 2007. These expenditures are primarily to replace and improve equipment and to fund new plants in growth markets.

# **Balance Sheet Changes**

The \$64.7 million increase in cash and cash equivalents was primarily due to strong cash flows from operating activities, partially offset by acquisitions, capital expenditures, debt repayments and dividends paid.

The \$57.0 million increase in trade accounts receivable was due to the increase in sales on a fourth quarter of 2006 versus fourth quarter of 2005 comparison, as well as the Delta acquisition.

The \$34.5 million increase in inventories was primarily due to the Delta acquisition.

The \$5.0 million decrease in net assets held for sale was related to the close of two plants.

Net property increased by \$78.9 million, primarily due to the Delta acquisition and timberland purchases.

Goodwill increased \$22.9 million and indefinite-lived intangibles increased \$38.4 million. These increases are the result of industrial packaging acquisitions (see Note 2 to the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

The \$67.1 million increase in accounts payable was comprised of \$38.6 million due to the Delta acquisition and the timing of payments made to our suppliers.

The \$26.9 million decrease in the pension liability was due to a reduction of the additional minimum liability adjustment.

# **Borrowing Arrangements**

# Credit Agreement

We and certain of our international subsidiaries, as borrowers, in 2005 entered into a Credit Agreement (the Credit Agreement ) with a syndicate of financial institutions that provides for a \$350.0 million revolving multicurrency credit facility. On October 31, 2006, the Credit Agreement was amended to increase the revolving multi-currency credit facility available from \$350.0 million to \$450.0 million. The revolving multicurrency credit facility is available for ongoing working capital and general corporate purposes. Interest is based on a euro currency rate or an alternative base rate that resets

periodically plus a calculated margin amount. As of October 31, 2006, \$115.2 million was outstanding under the Credit Agreement.

The Credit Agreement contains certain covenants, which include financial covenants that require us to maintain a certain leverage ratio and a minimum coverage of interest expense. The leverage ratio generally requires that at the end of any fiscal quarter we will not permit the ratio of (a) our total consolidated indebtedness less cash and cash equivalents to (b) our consolidated net income plus depreciation, depletion and amortization, interest expense (including capitalized interest), income taxes, and minus certain extraordinary gains and non-recurring gains (or plus certain extraordinary losses and non-recurring losses) for the preceding twelve months (EBITDA) to be greater than 3.5 to 1. The interest coverage ratio generally requires that at the end of any fiscal quarter we will not permit the ratio of (a) our EBITDA to (b) our interest expense (including capitalized interest) for the preceding twelve months to be less than 3 to 1. On October 31, 2006, we were in compliance with these covenants. The terms of the Credit Agreement limit our ability to make restricted payments, which include dividends and purchases, redemptions and acquisitions of our equity interests. The repayment of this facility is secured by a pledge of the capital stock of substantially all of our United States subsidiaries and, in part, by the capital stock of the international borrowers.

# Senior Subordinated Notes

We have issued Senior Subordinated Notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$250.0 million, receiving net proceeds of approximately \$248.0 million before expenses. During 2005, we purchased \$2.0 million of the Senior Subordinated Notes. As of October 31, 2006, the outstanding balances, which included losses on fair value hedges we previously had in place to hedge interest rate risk, was \$242.6 million, under the Senior Subordinated Notes. Interest on the Senior Subordinated Notes is payable semi-annually at the annual rate of 8.875 percent. The Senior Subordinated Notes do not have required principal payments prior to maturity on August 1, 2012. The Indenture pursuant to which the Senior

Subordinated Notes were issued contains certain covenants. At October 31, 2006, we were in compliance with these covenants. The terms of the Senior Subordinated Notes also limit our ability to make restricted payments, which include dividends and purchases, redemptions and acquisitions of equity interests.

### Trade Accounts Receivable Credit Facility

We entered into a \$120.0 million credit facility with an affiliate of a bank in connection with the securitization of certain of our United States trade accounts receivable. The facility is secured by certain of our United States trade accounts receivable and bears interest at a variable rate based on the London InterBank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a margin or other agreed upon rate. We also pay a commitment fee. We can terminate this facility at any time upon 60 days prior written notice. In connection with this transaction, we established Greif Receivables Funding LLC (GRF), which is included in our consolidated financial statements. However, because GRF is a separate and distinct legal entity from us, the assets of GRF are not available to satisfy our liabilities and obligations and the liabilities of GRF are not our liabilities or obligations. This entity purchases and services our trade accounts receivable that are subject to this credit facility. There was a total of \$120.0 million outstanding under the trade accounts receivable credit facility at October 31, 2006.

The trade accounts receivable credit facility provides that in the event we breach any of our financial covenants under the Credit Agreement, and the majority of the lenders thereunder consent to a waiver thereof, but the provider of the trade accounts receivable credit facility does not consent to any such waiver, then we must within 90 days of providing notice of the breach, pay all amounts outstanding under the trade accounts receivable credit facility.

# Sale of European Accounts Receivable

Pursuant to the terms of a Receivable Purchase Agreement (the RPA) dated October 28, 2004 between Greif Coordination Center BVBA (the Seller), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Greif, Inc., and a major international bank (the Buyer), the Seller agreed to sell trade receivables meeting certain eligibility requirements that Seller had purchased from other indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries of Greif, Inc., including Greif Belgium BVBA, Greif Germany GmbH, Greif Nederland BV, Greif Spain SA and Greif UK Ltd, under discounted receivables purchase agreements and from Greif France SAS under a factoring agreement. The RPA was amended on October 28, 2005 to include receivables originated by Greif Portugal Lda, also an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Greif, Inc. In addition, on October 28, 2005, Greif Italia S.P.A., also an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Greif, Inc., entered into the Italian Receivables Purchase Agreement with the Italian branch of the major international bank (the Italian RPA) agreeing to

sell trade receivables that meet certain eligibility criteria to the Italian branch of the major international bank. The Italian RPA is similar in structure and terms as the RPA. The maximum amount of aggregate receivables that may be sold under the RPA and the Italian RPA is 90.0 million (\$114.5 million) at October 31, 2006.

The structure of the transaction provides for a legal true sale, on a revolving basis, of the receivables transferred from the various Greif, Inc. subsidiaries to Seller and from Seller to Buyer. The Buyer funds an initial purchase price of a certain percentage of eligible receivables based on a formula with the initial purchase price approximating 70 percent to 80 percent of eligible receivables. The remaining deferred purchase price is settled upon collection of the receivables. At the balance sheet reporting dates, we remove from accounts receivable the amount of proceeds received from the initial purchase price since they meet the applicable criteria of SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, and continues to recognize the deferred purchase price in its accounts receivable. The receivables are sold on a non-recourse basis with the total funds in the servicing collection accounts pledged to Buyer between the semi-monthly settlement dates. At October 31, 2006, 70.3 million (\$89.5 million) of accounts receivable had been sold under the RPA and Italian RPA.

At the time the receivables are initially sold, the difference between the carrying amount and the fair value of the assets sold are included as a loss on sale and classified as other expense in the consolidated statements of income. Expenses associated with the RPA and Italian RPA totaled 1.9 million (\$2.3 million) for the year ended October 31, 2006. Additionally, we perform collections and administrative functions on the receivables sold similar to the procedures it uses for collecting all of its receivables, including receivables that are not sold under the RPA and Italian RPA. The servicing liability for these receivables is not material to the consolidated financial statements.

# Significant Nonstrategic Timberland Transactions and Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities

On March 28, 2005, Soterra LLC (a wholly owned subsidiary) entered into two real estate purchase and sale agreements with Plum Creek Timberlands, L.P. ( Plum Creek ) to sell approximately 56,000 acres of timberland and related assets

located primarily in Florida for an aggregate sales price of approximately \$90 million, subject to closing adjustments. In connection with the closing of one of these agreements, Soterra LLC sold approximately 35,000 acres of timberland and associated assets in Florida, Georgia and Alabama for \$51.0 million, resulting in a pretax gain of \$42.1 million, on May 23, 2005. The purchase price was paid in the form of cash and a \$50.9 million purchase note payable by an indirect subsidiary of Plum Creek (the Purchase Note). Soterra LLC contributed the Purchase Note to STA Timber LLC (STA Timber), one of the Company s indirect wholly owned subsidiaries. The Purchase Note is secured by a Deed of Guarantee issued by Bank of America, N.A., London Branch, in an amount not to exceed \$52.3 million (the Deed of Guarantee), as a guarantee of the due and punctual payment of principal and interest on the Purchase Note. During 2006, the remaining 21,000 acres of timberland holdings in Florida were sold for \$39.0 million, resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$36.4 million.

On May 31, 2005, STA Timber issued in a private placement its 5.20 percent Senior Secured Notes due August 5, 2020 (the Monetization Notes) in the principal amount of \$43.3 million. In connection with the sale of the Monetization Notes, STA Timber entered into note purchase agreements with the purchasers of the Monetization Notes (the Note Purchase Agreements) and related documentation. The Monetization Notes are secured by a pledge of the Purchase Note and the Deed of Guarantee. The Monetization Notes may be accelerated in the event of a default in payment or a breach of the other obligations set forth therein or in the Note Purchase Agreements or related documents, subject in certain cases to any applicable cure periods, or upon the occurrence of certain insolvency or bankruptcy related events. The Monetization Notes are subject to a mechanism that may cause them, subject to certain conditions, to be extended to November 5, 2020. The proceeds

from the sale of the Monetization Notes were primarily used for the repayment of indebtedness.

We have consolidated the assets and liabilities of STA Timber as of October 31, 2006 and 2005, in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 46R, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities Interpretation. Because STA Timber is a separate and distinct legal entity from us, the assets of STA Timber are not available to satisfy our liabilities and obligations and the liabilities of STA Timber are not liabilities or obligations of ours. In addition, we have not extended any form of guaranty of the principal or interest on the Monetization Notes. Accordingly, we will not become directly or contingently liable for the payment of the Monetization Notes at any time.

As of October 31, 2006 and 2005, we also consolidated the assets and liabilities of the buyer-sponsored special purpose entity (the Buyer SPE) involved in these transactions as the result of an interpretation of Interpretation 46R. However, because the Buyer SPE is a separate and distinct legal entity from us, the assets of the Buyer SPE are not available to satisfy our liabilities and obligations and the liabilities of the Buyer SPE are not liabilities or obligations of ours.

Assets of the Buyer SPE at October 31, 2006, consist of restricted bank financial instruments of \$50.9 million. STA Timber had long-term debt of \$43.3 million as of October 31, 2006. STA Timber is exposed to credit-related losses in the event of nonperformance by the issuer of the Deed of Guarantee, but we do not expect that issuer to fail to meet its obligations. The accompanying consolidated statements of income includes interest expense on STA Timber debt of \$2.3 and \$1.0 million and interest income on Buyer SPE investments of \$2.4 and \$1.1 million, for 2006 and 2005, respectively. No comparable activity is included in interest income or interest expense in 2004.

# **Contractual Obligations**

As of October 31, 2006, we had the following contractual obligations (Dollars in millions):

			Payments Due By Period			
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 vears	3-5 years	After 5 years	
Long-term debt	\$ 650.1	\$ 28.8	\$ 201.2	\$ 158.2	\$ 261.9	
Short-term borrowings	29.3	29.3				
Non-cancelable operating leases	38.9	12.5	15.6	7.2	3.6	
Liabilities held by special purpose entities	43.3				43.3	
Interest on liabilities held by special purpose entities	2.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	1.6	

Total contractual cash obligations

\$763.7 \$70.7 \$217.0 \$165.6 \$310.4

23

#### Stock Repurchase Program and Other Share Acquisitions

Our Board of Directors has authorized us to purchase up to two million shares of Class A Common Stock or Class B Common Stock or any combination of the foregoing. During 2006, we repurchased 75,228 shares of Class A Common Stock and 23,112 shares of Class B Common Stock (see Item 5 to this Form 10-K for these repurchases). As of October 31, 2006, we had repurchased 1,075,564 shares, including 651,704 shares of Class A Common Stock and 423,860 shares of Class B Common Stock, under this program. The total cost of the shares repurchased from 1999, when this program commenced, through October 31, 2006 was \$40.9 million.

#### **Effects of Inflation**

The effects of inflation did not have a material impact on our operations during 2006, 2005 or 2004.

# **Recent Accounting Standards**

In May 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. SFAS No. 154 replaces APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements. It applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle and requires that they be reported via retrospective application. It is effective for all accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005 (2007 for us). We do not expect the adoption of this statement to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FIN No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FAS109, Accounting for Income Taxes, to create a single model to address accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. FIN No. 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company will adopt FIN 48 as of November 1, 2007 (2008 for us), as required. The cumulative effect of adopting FIN No. 48 will be recorded in retained earnings and other accounts as applicable. We have not determined the effect, if any, the adoption of FIN No. 48 will have on our financial position and results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements , which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No.157 is effective in fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 (2008 for us). Management is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of this statement will have on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Pension and Other Postretirement Plans. This Statement requires recognition of the funded status of a single-employer defined benefit postretirement plan as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position. Funded status is determined as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the benefit obligation. Changes in that funded status should be recognized in other comprehensive income. This recognition provision and the related disclosures are effective as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006 (2007 for us). The Statement also requires the measurement of plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the fiscal year-end statement of financial position. This measurement provision is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008 (2009 for us). Management is currently assessing the effect of this pronouncement on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

# ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

#### Interest Rate Risk

We are subject to interest rate risk related to our financial instruments that include borrowings under our Credit Agreement, proceeds from our Senior Subordinated Notes and trade accounts receivable credit facility, and interest rate swap agreements. We do not enter into financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. The interest rate swap agreements have been entered into to manage our exposure to variability in interest rates and changes in the fair value of fixed rate debt.

We had interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$130.0 million at October 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, with various maturities through 2012. The interest rate swap agreements are used to fix a portion of the interest on our variable rate debt. Under certain of these

agreements, we receive interest either monthly or quarterly from the counterparties equal to London InterBank Offered Rate (LIBOR) and pay interest at a fixed rate over the life of the contracts. As of October 31, 2005, we were also party to agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$150 million in which we received interest semi-annually from the counterparties equal to a fixed rate and paid interest based on LIBOR plus a margin; these agreements were terminated during the first quarter of 2006. In conjuction with this termination, we paid \$4.8 million to the counterparties, which will be amortized over the remaining term of the Senior Subordinated Notes. A liability for the loss on outstanding interest rate swap contracts, which represented their fair values, in the amount of \$1.0 million and \$6.6 million was recorded at October 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. We also had cross-currency interest rate swaps outstanding at October 31, 2006 as described in the Foreign Currency Risk section below.

The tables below provide information about our derivative financial instruments and other financial instruments that are

sensitive to changes in interest rates. For the Credit Agreement, Senior Subordinated Notes and trade accounts receivable credit facility, the tables present scheduled amortizations of principal and the weighted average interest rate by contractual maturity dates at October 31, 2006 and 2005. For interest rate swaps, the tables present annual amortizations of notional amounts and weighted average interest rates by contractual maturity dates. Under the cash flow swap agreements, we receive interest either monthly or quarterly from the counterparties and pay interest either monthly or quarterly to the counterparties. Under the fair value swap agreements, we received interest semi-annually from the counterparties and paid interest semi-annually to the counterparties.

The fair values of the Credit Agreement, Senior Subordinated Notes and trade accounts receivable credit facility are based on rates available to us for debt of the same remaining maturity at October 31, 2006 and 2005. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreements has been determined based upon the market settlement prices of comparable contracts at October 31, 2006 and 2005.

### FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

As of October 31, 2006

(Dollars in millions)

	Expected Maturity Date After							
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2011	Total	Value
Credit Agreement:								
Scheduled amortizations	\$	\$	\$	\$ 115	\$	\$	\$ 115	\$ 115
Average interest rate(1)	5.85%	5.85%	5.85%	5.85%			5.85%	
Senior Subordinated Notes:								
Scheduled amortizations	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 248	\$ 248	\$ 256
Average interest rate	8.88%	8.88%	8.88%	8.88%	8.88%	8.88%	8.88%	
Trade accounts receivable credit facility:								
Scheduled amortizations	\$	\$ 120	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 120	\$ 120
Average interest rate(1)	5.87%	5.87%					5.87%	
Interest rate swaps:								
Scheduled amortizations	\$	\$ 130	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 130	\$ (1)
Average pay rate(2)	5.56%	5.56%					5.56%	
Average receive rate(3)	5.39%	5.39%					5.39%	

<sup>(1)</sup> Variable rate specified is based on LIBOR or an alternative base rate plus a calculated margin at October 31, 2006. The rates presented are not intended to project our expectations for the future.

<sup>(2)</sup> The average pay rate is based upon the fixed rates we were scheduled to pay at October 31, 2006. The rates presented are not intended to project our expectations for the future.

<sup>(3)</sup> The average receive rate is based upon the LIBOR we were scheduled to receive at October 31, 2006. The rates presented are not intended to project our expectations for the future.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

As of October 31, 2005

(Dollars in millions)

	Expected Maturity Date								
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	After 2010	Total		Fair Ilue
Credit Agreement:	2000		2000	2000	20.0	20.0	. Otal		
Scheduled amortizations	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 86	\$	\$ 86	\$	86
Average interest rate(1)	4.83%	4.83%	4.83%	4.83%	4.83%		4.83%		
Senior Subordinated Notes:									
Scheduled amortizations	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 242	\$ 242	\$	260
Average interest rate	8.88%	8.88%	8.88%	8.88%	8.88%	8.88%	8.88%		
Trade accounts receivable credit facility:									
Scheduled amortizations	\$	\$	\$ 96	\$	\$	\$	\$ 96	\$	96
Average interest rate(1)	4.59%	4.59%	4.59%				4.59%		
Interest rate swaps:									
Scheduled amortizations	\$ 80	\$	\$ 50	\$	\$	\$ 150	\$ 280	\$	(7)
Average pay rate(2)	7.07%	7.62%	7.62%	8.11%	8.11%	8.11%	7.07%		
Average receive rate(3)	6.51%	7.58%	7.58%	8.88%	8.88%	8.88%	6.51%		

- (1) Variable rate specified is based on LIBOR or an alternative base rate plus a calculated margin at October 31, 2005. The rates presented are not intended to project our expectations for the future.
- (2) The average pay rate is based upon the fixed rates we were scheduled to pay at October 31, 2005, along with additional agreements where we pay LIBOR plus a margin. The rates presented are not intended to project our expectations for the future.
- (3) The average receive rate is based upon the LIBOR we were scheduled to receive at October 31, 2005, along with additional agreements where we receive a fixed rate of 8.875 percent. The rates presented are not intended to project our expectations for the future.

Based on a sensitivity analysis performed by the counterparties at October 31, 2006, a 100 basis point increase in interest rates would increase the fair value of the swap agreements by \$2.1 million resulting in an asset of \$1.1 million. Conversely, a 100 basis point decrease in interest rates would decrease the fair value of the swap agreements by \$2.1 million resulting in a liability of \$3.1 million.

# **Foreign Currency Risk**

As a result of our international operations, our operating results are subject to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. The geographic presence of our operations mitigates this exposure to some degree. Additionally, our transaction exposure is somewhat limited because we produce and sell a majority of our products within each country in which we operate.

At October 31, 2006, we had cross-currency swaps to hedge our net investment in our European subsidiaries. Under these agreements, we receive interest semi-annually from the counterparties equal to a fixed rate on \$248.0 million and pay interest at a fixed rate on 206.7 million. Upon maturity of these swaps on August 1, 2007, we will be required to pay

206.7 million to the counterparties and receive \$248.0 million from the counterparties. A liability for the loss on these agreements of \$14.2 million, representing their fair values, was recorded at October 31, 2006.

At October 31, 2006, we had outstanding foreign currency forward contracts in the notional amount of \$45.2 million (\$21.5 million at October 31, 2005). The purpose of these contracts is to hedge our exposure to foreign currency translation, foreign currency transactions and short-term intercompany loan balances with our international businesses. The fair value of these contracts resulted in a gain of \$2.1 million recorded in other comprehensive income and loss of \$0.1 million recorded in the consolidated statements of income at October 31, 2006. The fair value of similar contracts resulted in a loss of \$0.2 million recorded in the consolidated statements of income at October 31, 2005.

A sensitivity analysis to changes in the foreign currencies hedged indicates that if the foreign currencies uniformly strengthened by 10 percent, the fair value of these instruments would decrease by \$30.5 million to a net loss of \$42.7 million,

26

which would include \$41.3 million in other comprehensive loss on the balance sheet. Conversely, if the foreign currencies uniformly weakened by 10 percent, the fair value of these instruments would increase by \$31.7 million to a net gain of \$19.5 million, which would include \$13.5 million in other comprehensive income on the balance sheet.

# **Commodity Price Risk**

We purchase commodities such as steel, resin, containerboard, pulpwood, old corrugated containers and energy. We do not currently engage in material hedging of commodities, other than

natural gas, because there is usually a high correlation between the commodity cost and the ultimate selling price of our products. The fair value of our natural gas contracts resulted in a \$1.0 million loss recorded in other comprehensive income at October 31, 2006. A sensitivity analysis to changes in natural gas prices indicates that if natural gas prices decreased by 10 percent, the fair value of these instruments would decrease by \$0.6 million to a net loss of \$2.1 million. Conversely, if the natural gas prices increased by 10 percent, the fair value of these instruments would increase by \$0.8 million to a net loss of \$0.7 million.

27

# ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

# **GREIF, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES**

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)

For the years ended October 31,		2006		2005		2004
Net sales	\$ 2	2,628,475	\$ 2	2,424,297	\$2	,209,282
Cost of products sold	2	2,149,271	1	2,033,510	1	,836,432
Gross profit		479,204		390,787		372,850
Selling, general and administrative expenses		259,122		224,729		218,821
Restructuring charges		33,238		35,736		54,118
Gain on sale of timberland		41,302		56,268		7,514
Gain on disposal of properties, plants and equipment, net		18,017		5,343		1,281
Operating profit		246,163		191,933		108,706
Interest expense, net		35,993		39,255		45,264
Debt extinguishment charge				2,828		
Other income (expense), net		(2,299)		2,405		328
Income before income tax expense and equity in earnings of affiliates and minority interests		207,871		152,255		63,770
Income tax expense		63,816		47,055		15,624
Equity in earnings of affiliates and minority interests		(1,936)		(544)		(377)
Net income	\$	142,119	\$	104,656	\$	47,769
	·	,	·	ĺ	·	
Basic earnings per share:						
Class A Common Stock	\$	4.93	\$	3.64	\$	1.69
Class B Common Stock	\$	7.38	\$	5.45	\$	2.53
Diluted earnings per share:	Ψ	7.00	Ψ	0.40	Ψ	2.00
Class A Common Stock	\$	4.83	\$	3.56	\$	1.66
Class B Common Stock	\$	7.38	\$	5.45	\$	2.53
See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.	7		_		7	
1 7 0						

# **GREIF, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES**

# **CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(Dollars in thousands)

As of October 31, ASSETS Current assets		2006	2005
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	187,101	\$ 122,411
Trade accounts receivable, less allowance of \$8,575 in 2006 and \$8,475 in 2005		315,661	258,636
Inventories		205,004	170,533
Deferred tax asset		15,814	10,088
Net assets held for sale		3,374	8,410
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		66,083	55,874
		793,037	625,952
Long-term assets		,	,
Goodwill, net of amortization		286,552	263,703
Other intangible assets, net of amortization		63,587	25,015
Assets held by special purpose entities (Note 6)		50,891	50,891
Other long-term assets		52,985	55,706
		454,015	395,315
Properties, plants and equipment			
Timber properties, net of depletion		195,115	139,372
Land		81,768	75,464
Buildings		317,110	317,791
Machinery and equipment		930,924	852,926
Capital projects in progress		53,099	38,208
	1.	.578.016	1,423,761
Accumulated depreciation		(637,067)	(561,705)
		940,949	862,056
	\$ 2,	,188,001	\$ 1,883,323

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

# **GREIF, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES**

# **CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(Dollars in thousands)

As of October 31, LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY Current liabilities	2006	2005
Accounts payable	\$ 301,753	\$ 234,672
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	65,513	45,252
Restructuring reserves	8,391	10,402
Short-term borrowings	29,321	17,173
Other current liabilities	86,321	75,485
	491,299	382,984
Long-term liabilities		
Long-term debt	481,408	430,400
Deferred tax liability	179,329	133,837
Pension liability	18,639	45,544
Postretirement benefit liability	47,702	47,827
Liabilities held by special purpose entities (Note 6)	43,250	43,250
Other long-term liabilities	77,488	66,897
	847,816	767,755
Minority interest  Shareholders equity	4,875	1,696
Common stock, without par value	56,765	49,251
Treasury stock, at cost	(81,643)	(75,956)
Retained earnings	901,267	793,669
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):	001,207	700,000
foreign currency translation	1.525	9,117
interest rate derivatives	(1,861)	(2,738)
energy derivatives	(945)	(=,: 30)
minimum pension liability	(31,097)	(42,455)
	844,011	730,888

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

\$ 2,188,001

\$ 1,883,323

# **GREIF, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES**

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in thousands)

For the years ended October 31,	2006	2005	2004
Cash flows from operating activities:	\$ 142.119	\$ 104.656	ф 47.7co
Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	\$ 142,119	\$ 104,656	\$ 47,769
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	90,488	95,098	99,894
Asset impairments	8,326	6,408	4,828
Deferred income taxes	12,302	23,146	(12,106)
Gain on disposals of properties, plants and equipment, net	(18,017)	(5,343)	(1,281)
Gain on sales of timberland (Note 6)	(41,302)	(56,268)	(7,514)
Equity in earnings of affiliates, net of dividends received, and minority interests	1,936	544	377
Gain on insurance settlement	(1,542)	0	0
Trade accounts receivable	(28,782)	56.435	(1,401)
Inventories	(6,506)	20,715	(15,848)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(13,977)	(2,182)	3,156
Other long-term assets	(7,158)	(890)	(3,256)
Accounts payable	40,171	(42,835)	113,107
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	20,942	11,444	6,507
Restructuring reserves	(1,801)	(6,426)	1,311
Other current liabilities	(1,027)	(12,565)	(5,211)
Pension and postretirement benefit liability	(11,275)	545	22,421
Other, including long-term liabilities	44,211	(4,106)	(16,513)
Net cash provided by operating activities	229,108	188,376	236,240
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Acquisitions of companies, net of cash acquired	(107,775)	(51,782)	
Purchases of properties, plants and equipment	(75,630)	(67,842)	(50,163)
Purchases of timber properties	(62,110)	(17,522)	(12,628)
Proceeds from insurance settlement for properties, plants and equipment	2,562		
Proceeds on disposals of properties, plants and equipment	70,408	29,179	15,539
Net cash used in investing activities	(172,545)	(107,967)	(47,252)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	1,020,340	1,630,463	2,138,629
Payments on long-term debt	(978,786)	(1,666,331)	(2,330,120)
Proceeds from (payments of) short-term borrowings	10,839	5,198	(5,348)
Acquisitions of treasury stock	(6,252)	(12,024)	(2,193)
Exercise of stock options	4,541	23,086	14,192
Dividends paid	(34,521)	(22,906)	(16,893)
Proceeds from liabilities held by special purpose entities (Note 6)		43,250	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	16,161	736	(201,733)
Effects of exchange rates on cash	(8,034)	3,157	1,087
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	64,690	84,302	(11,658)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	122,411	38,109	49,767
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 187,101	\$ 122,411	\$ 38,109

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

31

# **GREIF, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES**

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

(Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share amounts)

	Сар	oital Stock	Treas	sury Stock	Comprehe		cumulated Other rehensive Income	Sha	reholders
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Earnings		(Loss)	Ona	Equity
As of October 31, 2003	22,235	\$ 12,207	16,186	\$ (64,228)	\$ 681,043	\$	(56,458)	\$	572,564
Net income	,	<b>4</b> 12,241		<del>+</del> (= 1,===)	47,769	•	(00,100)	-	47,769
Other comprehensive income (loss):					,				,
foreign currency translation							20,969		20,969
interest rate derivatives, net of income tax expense									
of \$3,145							5,841		5,841
minimum pension liability adjustment, net of income									
tax benefit of \$8,184							(15,199)		(15,199)
Comprehensive income									59,380
									,
Dividends paid (Note 10):									
Class A \$0.60					(6,514)				(6,514)
Class B \$0.89					(10,379)				(10,379)
Treasury shares acquired	(52)		52	(2,225)	(10,070)				(2,225)
Stock options exercised	502	13,103	(502)	1,088					14,191
Tax benefit of stock options		1,990	()	.,					1,990
Long-term incentive shares issued	2	82	(2)	5					87
3			( )						
As of October 31, 2004	22,687	\$ 27,382	15.734	\$ (65,360)	\$711,919	\$	(44,847)	\$	629,094
Net income	22,007	Ψ 27,002	10,704	ψ (05,500)	104,656	Ψ	(44,047)	Ψ	104,656
Other comprehensive income:					104,000				104,000
foreign currency translation							3,462		3,462
interest rate derivatives, net of income tax expense							-,		5,
of \$2,347							4,359		4,359
minimum pension liability adjustment, net of income									
tax expense of \$572							950		950
Comprehensive income									113,427
									-,
Dividends paid (Note 10):									
Class A \$0.80					(9,135)				(9,135)
Class B \$1.19					(13,771)				(13,771)
Treasury shares acquired	(213)		213	(12,024)	(10,771)				(12,024)
Stock options exercised	593	15,723	(593)	1,419					17,142
Tax benefit of stock options	000	5,944	(000)	1,110					5,944
Long-term incentive shares issued	4	202	(4)	9					211
			( - /						
As of October 31, 2005	23,071	\$ 49,251	15 250	\$ (75,956)	\$ 793,669	\$	(36,076)	\$	730,888
Net income	23,071	φ 49,231	15,550	ф (75,956)	142,119	Φ	(30,076)	φ	142,119
Other comprehensive income:					142,113				142,119
foreign currency translation							(7,592)		(7,592)
interest rate derivatives, net of income tax expense							(1,002)		(7,002)
of \$37							877		877
minimum pension liability adjustment, net of income							J.,		<b>U.</b> 7
tax expense of \$6,117							11,358		11,358
energy derivatives							(945)		(945)
							, -/		

Edgar Filing: GREIF INC - Form 10-K

Comprehensive income 145,817

B: : 1							
Dividends paid (Note 10):							
Class A \$1.20					(13,887)		(13,887)
Class B \$1.79					(20,634)		(20,634)
Treasury shares acquired	(98)		98	(6,252)			(6,252)
Stock options exercised	163	4,018	(163)	523			4,541
Stock options expense		930					930
Tax benefit of stock options		1,765					1,765
Long-term incentive shares issued	8	471	(8)	23			494
Directors shares issued	6	330	(6)	19			349
As of October 31, 2006	23,150	\$ 56,765	15,271	\$ (81,643)	\$ 901,267	\$ (32,378)	\$ 844,011

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### **GREIF, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES**

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# NOTE 1 DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### The Business

Greif, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Company ) principally manufacture industrial packaging products complemented with a variety of value-added services, including blending, packaging, logistics and warehousing, and containerboard and corrugated products that it sells to customers in many industries throughout the world. The Company has operations in over 40 countries. In addition, the Company owns timber properties in the southeastern United States, which are actively harvested and regenerated, and also owns timber properties in Canada.

Due to the variety of its products, the Company has many customers buying different products and, due to the scope of the Company s sales, no one customer is considered principal in the total operations of the Company.

Because the Company supplies a cross section of industries, such as chemicals, food products, petroleum products, pharmaceuticals and metal products, and must make spot deliveries on a day-to-day basis as its products are required by its customers, the Company does not operate on a backlog to any significant extent and maintains only limited levels of finished goods. Many customers place their orders weekly for delivery during the week.

The Company s raw materials are principally steel, resin, containerboard, old corrugated containers for recycling and pulpwood.

There are approximately 8,950 employees of the Company at October 31, 2006.

#### Fiscal Year

The Company s fiscal year begins on November 1 and ends on October 31 of the following year. Any references to the year 2006, 2005 or 2004, or to any quarter of those years, relates to the fiscal year ending in that year.

# Basis of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Greif, Inc. and its subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. The most significant estimates are related to the allowance for doubtful accounts, inventory reserves, expected useful lives assigned to properties, plants and equipment, goodwill and other intangible assets, incentive accruals, restructuring reserves, environmental liabilities, pension and postretirement benefits, income taxes, self-insurance reserves and contingencies. Actual amounts could differ from those estimates.

#### Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when title passes to customers or services have been rendered, with appropriate provision for returns and allowances. Revenue is recognized in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Revenue Recognition.

Timberland gains, Timber and special use property revenues are recognized when closings have occurred, required down payments have been received, title and possession have been transferred to the buyer, and all other criteria for sale and profit recognition have been satisfied.

# Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs

The Company includes shipping and handling fees and costs in cost of products sold.

# Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. In accordance with this Statement, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases, as measured by enacted tax rates that

33

are expected to be in effect in the periods when the deferred tax assets and liabilities are expected to be settled or realized. Valuation allowances are established where expected future taxable income does not support the realization of the deferred tax assets.

The Company s effective tax rate is based on income, statutory tax rates and tax planning opportunities available to the Company in the various jurisdictions in which the Company operates. Significant judgment is required in determining the Company s effective tax rate and in evaluating its tax positions. The Company establishes reserves when, despite its belief that its tax return positions are fully supportable, it believes that certain positions are likely to be challenged and that it may not succeed. The Company adjusts these reserves in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the progress of a tax audit. The Company s effective tax rate includes the impact of reserve provisions and changes to reserves that it considers appropriate as well as related interest.

A number of years may elapse before a particular matter, for which the Company has established a reserve, is audited and finally resolved. The number of years with open tax audits varies depending on the tax jurisdiction. While it is often difficult to predict the final outcome or the timing of resolution of any particular tax matter, the Company believes that its reserves reflect the probable outcome of known tax contingencies. Unfavorable settlement of any particular issue would require use of the Company s cash. Favorable resolution would be recognized as a reduction to the Company s effective tax rate in the period of resolution.

# Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash and cash equivalents.

#### Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of trade accounts receivable. Such credit risk is considered by management to be limited due to the Company s many customers, none of which are considered principal in the total operations of the Company and doing business in a variety of industries throughout the world.

# Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, utilizing the first-in, first-out basis for approximately 70 percent of consolidated inventories and the last-in, first-out ( LIFO ) basis for approximately 30 percent of consolidated inventories.

During 2006, increases in certain inventory quantities caused an increase in the LIFO inventory values, which resulted in expense of \$2.8 million, net of tax. Certain inventory quantity reductions caused a liquidation of LIFO inventory values and the liquidations increased income, net of tax, by \$4.0 million and \$4.2 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The inventories are comprised as follows at October 31 for the year indicated (Dollars in thousands):

	2006	2005
Finished goods	\$ 53,621	\$ 57,924
Raw materials and work-in-process	186,065	143,168
	239,686	201,092
LIFO reduction	(34,682)	(30,559)
	\$ 205,004	\$ 170,533

# Properties, Plants and Equipment

Properties, plants and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation on properties, plants and equipment is provided on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

	Years
Buildings	30-45
Machinery and equipment	3-19

Depreciation expense was \$82.8 million in 2006, \$90.1 million in 2005 and \$93.2 million in 2004. Expenditures for repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. When properties are retired or otherwise disposed of, the cost and accumulated depreciation are eliminated from the asset and related allowance accounts. Gains or losses are credited or charged to income as incurred.

The Company owns timber properties in the southeastern United States and in Canada. With respect to the Company s United States timber properties, which consisted of approximately 266,700 acres at October 31, 2006, depletion

expense on timber properties is computed on the basis of cost and the estimated recoverable timber acquired. Depletion expense was \$3.6 million, \$1.3 million and \$2.7 million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The Company s land costs are maintained by tract. The Company begins recording pre-merchantable timber costs at the time the site is prepared for planting. Costs capitalized during the establishment period include site preparation by aerial spray, costs of seedlings, planting costs, herbaceous weed control, woody release, labor and machinery use, refrigeration rental and trucking for the seedlings. The Company does not capitalize interest costs in the process. Property taxes are expensed as incurred. New road construction costs are capitalized as land improvements and depreciated over 20 years. Road repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. Costs after establishment of the seedlings, including management costs, pre-commercial thinning costs and fertilization costs, are expensed as incurred. Once the timber becomes merchantable, the cost is transferred from the pre-merchantable timber category to the merchantable timber category in the depletion block.

Merchantable timber costs are maintained by five product classes, pine sawtimber, pine chip-n-saw, pine pulpwood, hardwood sawtimber and hardwood pulpwood, within a depletion block, with each depletion block based upon a geographic district or subdistrict. Currently, the Company has twelve depletion blocks. These same depletion blocks are used for pre-merchantable timber costs. Each year, the Company estimates the volume of the Company s merchantable timber for the five product classes by each depletion block. These estimates are based on the current state in the growth cycle and not on quantities to be available in future years. The Company s estimates do not include costs to be incurred in the future. The Company then projects these volumes to the end of the year. Upon acquisition of a new timberland tract, the Company records separate amounts for land, merchantable timber and pre-merchantable timber allocated as a percentage of the values being purchased. These acquisition volumes and costs acquired during the year are added to the totals for each product class within the appropriate depletion block(s). The total of the beginning, one-year growth and acquisition volumes are divided by the total undepleted historical cost to arrive at a depletion rate, which is then used for the current year. As timber is sold, the Company multiplies the volumes sold by the depletion rate for the current year to arrive at the depletion cost.

The Company s Canadian timber properties, which consisted of approximately 37,400 acres at October 31, 2006, is not actively managed at this time, and therefore, no depletion expense is recorded.

## Net Assets Held for Sale

Net assets held for sale represent land, buildings and land improvements for locations that have been closed, primarily as a result of the Company's transformation to the Greif Business System (see Note 5). As of October 31, 2006, there were five locations held for sale (three in the Industrial Packaging & Services segment). In 2006, the Company recorded net sales of \$16.2 million and a loss before taxes of \$5.2 million primarily related to the Paper, Packaging & Services segment. As of October 31, 2005, there were seven locations held for sale (five in the Industrial Packaging & Services segment and two in the Paper, Packaging & Services segment. In 2005, the Company recorded net sales of \$7.8 million and a loss before taxes of \$1.9 million for these locations. The Paper, Packaging & Services segment recorded no net sales and a loss before taxes of \$0.6 million. The Industrial Packaging & Services segment recorded net sales of \$7.8 million and a loss before taxes of \$1.3 million. The effect of suspending depreciation on the facilities held for sale is immaterial to the results of operations. The net assets held for sale have been listed for sale and it is the Company s intention to complete these sales within the upcoming year.

# Internal Use Software

Internal use software is accounted for under Statement of Position 98-1, Accounting for the Costs of Computer Software Developed or Obtained for Internal Use. Internal use software is software that is acquired, internally developed or modified solely to meet the entity s needs and for which, during the software s development or modification, a plan does not exist to market the software externally. Costs incurred to develop the software during the application development stage and for upgrades and enhancements that provide additional functionality are capitalized.

#### **Derivative Financial Instruments**

In accordance with SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended by SFAS No. 137, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities Deferral of the Effective Date of FASB Statement

35

No. 133, and SFAS No. 138, Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities, the Company records all derivatives in the balance sheet as either assets or liabilities measured at fair value. Dependent on the designation of the derivative instrument, changes in fair value are recorded to earnings or shareholders—equity through other comprehensive income (loss).

The Company uses interest rate swap agreements for both cash flow hedging and fair value-hedging purposes. For derivative instruments that hedge the exposure of variability in interest rates, designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the net gain or loss on the derivative instrument is reported as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. For derivative instruments that hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of fixed rate debt, designated as fair value hedges, the net gain or loss on the derivative instrument, as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the fixed rate debt attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in current period earnings.

Interest rate swap agreements that hedge against variability in interest rates effectively convert a portion of floating rate debt to a fixed rate basis, thus reducing the impact of interest rate changes on future interest expense. The Company uses the variable cash flow method for assessing the effectiveness of these swaps. The effectiveness of these swaps is reviewed at least every quarter. Hedge ineffectiveness is not material. The Company also had interest rate swap agreements to convert a portion of fixed rate debt to a floating rate basis, thus hedging for changes in the fair value of the fixed rate debt being hedged. The Company had determined that these interest rate swap agreements, designated as fair value hedges, qualify for the short-cut method of measuring effectiveness. Under the provisions of SFAS No. 133, these hedges were determined to be perfectly effective, and there was no requirement to periodically evaluate effectiveness.

The Company enters into foreign currency forward contracts to hedge certain foreign currency transactions and short-term intercompany loan balances with its international businesses. In addition, the Company uses cross-currency swaps to hedge its net investment in its European subsidiaries. Such contracts limit the Company s exposure to both favorable and unfavorable currency fluctuations. These contracts are adjusted to reflect

market value as of each balance sheet date, with the resulting changes in fair value being recognized in other income, net.

The Company uses derivative instruments to hedge a portion of its natural gas purchases. These derivatives are designated as cash flow hedges. The effective portion of the net gain or loss is reported as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified into earnings in the same period during which the hedged transaction affects earnings.

Any derivative contract that is either not designated as a hedge, or is so designated but is ineffective, is adjusted to market value and recognized in earnings immediately. If a fair value or cash flow hedge ceases to qualify for hedge accounting or is terminated, the contract would continue to be carried on the balance sheet at fair value until settled and future adjustments to the contract s fair value would be recognized in earnings immediately. If a forecasted transaction were no longer probable to occur, amounts previously deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) would be recognized immediately in earnings.

# Foreign Currency Translation

In accordance with SFAS No. 52, Foreign Currency Translation, the assets and liabilities denominated in a foreign currency are translated into United States dollars at the rate of exchange existing at year-end, and revenues and expenses are translated at average exchange rates.

The cumulative translation adjustments, which represent the effects of translating assets and liabilities of the Company s international operations, are presented in the consolidated statements of changes in shareholders—equity in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). The transaction gains and losses are credited or charged to income. The functional currency for international operations in highly inflationary economies is the United States dollar, and any gains or losses are credited or charged to income. The amounts included in other income (expense), net, were \$2.0 million, \$1.1 million and \$(1.5) million in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

# Earnings Per Share

The Company has two classes of common stock and, as such, applies the two-class method of computing earnings per share as prescribed in SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share. In accordance with the Statement, earnings are allocated first to

36

Class A and Class B Common Stock to the extent that dividends are actually paid and the remainder allocated assuming all of the earnings for the period have been distributed in the form of dividends.

The following is a reconciliation of the shares used to calculate basic and diluted earnings per share:

For the years ended October 31,	2006	2005	2004
Class A Common Stock:			
Basic earnings per share	11,563,761	11,397,565	10,811,696
Assumed conversion of stock options	299,293	339,173	264,694
Diluted earnings per share	11,863,054	11,736,738	11,076,390
Class B Common Stock:			
Basic and diluted earnings per share	11,527,629	11,576,903	11,661,540

There were no Class A options that were antidilutive for 2006 (14,000 for 2005 and 12,000 for 2004).

# Stock-Based Compensation Expense

On November 1, 2005, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense, based on estimated fair values, for all share-based awards made to employees and directors, including stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and participation in the Company s employee stock purchase plan.

In adopting SFAS No. 123(R), the Company used the modified prospective application transition method, as of November 1, 2005, the first day of the Company s fiscal year 2006. The Company s consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year 2006 reflect the impact of SFAS No. 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective application transition method, the Company s consolidated financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS No. 123(R). Share-based compensation expense recognized under SFAS No. 123(R) for 2006 was \$0.9 million.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the Company accounted for share-based awards to employees and directors

using the intrinsic value method in accordance with Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, as interpreted by Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation (FIN) No. 44, Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation, an Interpretation of APB Opinion No. 25, as allowed under SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. Because the exercise price of the Company s stock options granted to employees and directors equaled the fair market value of the underlying stock at the grant date, under the intrinsic value method, no share-based compensation expense was otherwise recognized in the Company s consolidated statement of income for 2005. If compensation cost had been determined based on fair values at the date of grant under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, pro forma net income and earnings per share would have been as follows (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts):

	2005	2004
Net income as reported	\$ 104,656	\$ 47,769
Deduct total stock option expense determined under fair value method, net of tax	1,282	1,903
Pro forma net income	\$ 103,374	\$ 45,866
Earnings per share:		
Class A Common Stock:		
Basic as reported	\$ 3.64	\$ 1.69
Basic pro forma	\$ 3.60	\$ 1.62
Diluted as reported	\$ 3.56	\$ 1.66

Diluted pro forma	\$ 3.52	\$ 1.60
Class B Common Stock		
Basic and diluted as reported	\$ 5.45	\$ 2.53
Basic and diluted pro forma	\$ 5.39	\$ 2.43

SFAS No. 123(R) requires companies to estimate the fair value of share-based awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized as expense in the Company s consolidated statements of income over the requisite service periods. Share-based compensation expense recognized in the Company s consolidated statements of income for 2006 includes compensation expense for share-based awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of October 31, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123. No options have been granted in 2006. For any options granted subsequent to October 31, 2005, compensation expense will be based on the grant date fair value

estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R).

The Company used the straight-line single option method of expensing stock options for pro forma disclosure purposes prior to 2006 and has and will continue to utilize this method to recognize compensation expense in its consolidated statements of income for all share-based awards. Because share-based compensation expense is based on awards that are ultimately expected to vest, share-based compensation expense will be reduced to account for estimated forfeitures. SFAS No. 123(R) requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. In the Company s pro forma information required under SFAS No. 123 for periods prior to 2006, the Company accounted for forfeitures as they occurred.

To calculate option-based compensation under SFAS No. 123(R), the Company used the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, which it had previously used for valuation of option-based awards for its pro forma information required under SFAS No. 123 for periods prior to 2006. The Company s determination of the fair value of option-based awards on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model is affected by the Company s stock price as well as assumptions regarding a number of subjective variables. These variables include, but are not limited to, the Company s expected stock price volatility over the term of the awards and actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors.

#### Asset Retirement Obligations

The Company accounts for asset retirement obligations in accordance with SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations (SFAS 143) and FASB Interpretation No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations (FIN 47). A liability and an asset are recorded equal to the present value of the estimated costs associated with the retirement of long-lived assets where a legal or contractual obligation exists and the liability can be reasonably estimated. The liability is accreted over time and the asset is depreciated over the remaining life of the related asset. Upon settlement of the liability, we will recognize a gain or loss for any difference between the settlement amount and the liability recorded. Asset retirement obligations with indeterminate settlement dates are not recorded until such dates can be reasonably estimated.

# **Environmental Cleanup Costs**

The Company expenses environmental expenditures related to existing conditions resulting from past or current operations and from which no current or future benefit is discernable. Expenditures that extend the life of the related property or mitigate or prevent future environmental contamination are capitalized. The Company determines its liability on a site-by-site basis and records a liability at the time when it is probable and can be reasonably estimated. The Company s estimated liability is reduced to reflect the anticipated participation of other potentially responsible parties in those instances where it is probable that such parties are legally responsible and financially capable of paying their respective shares of the relevant costs.

# Self-Insurance

The Company is self-insured with respect to certain of its medical and dental claims and certain of its workers compensation claims. The Company has recorded an estimated liability for self-insured medical and dental claims incurred but not reported and workers compensation claims and claims incurred but not reported of \$2.7 million and \$19.7 million, respectively, at October 31, 2006 and \$4.0 million and \$18.2 million, respectively, at October 31, 2005.

# Other Income (Expense), Net

Other Income (Expense), net primarily represents rental income, foreign exchange gains (losses) and other infrequent non-operating items.

# Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2006 presentation.

# Recent Accounting Standards

In May 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. SFAS No. 154 replaces APB Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes, and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements. It applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle and requires that they be reported via retrospective application. It is effective for all

accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005 (2007 for the

38

Company). The Company does not expect the adoption of this statement to have a material impact on our financial statements.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FIN No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FAS109, Accounting for Income Taxes, to create a single model to address accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. FIN No. 48 clarifies the accounting for income taxes by prescribing a minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 (2008 for the Company). The Company will adopt FIN 48 as of November 1, 2007 (2008 for the Company), as required. The cumulative effect of adopting FIN No. 48 will be recorded in retained earnings and other accounts as applicable. The Company has not determined the effect, if any, the adoption of FIN No. 48 will have on our financial position and results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements , which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective in fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 (2009 for the Company). Management is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of this statement will have on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Pension and Other Postretirement Plans. This Statement requires recognition of the funded status of a single-employer defined benefit postretirement plan as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position. Funded status is determined as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the benefit obligation. Changes in that funded status should be recognized in other comprehensive income. This recognition provision and the related disclosures are effective as of the end of the fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006 (2007 for the Company). The Statement also requires the measurement of plan assets and benefit obligations as of the date of the fiscal year-end statement of financial position. This measurement provision is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008 (2009 for the Company). Management is currently assessing the effect of this

pronouncement on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

# NOTE 2 ACQUISITIONS AND OTHER SIGNIFICANT TRANSACTIONS

# Industrial Packaging & Services Acquisitions

During the fourth quarter of 2006, the Company completed two acquisitions for an aggregate purchase price of \$102.0 million. These two acquisitions were Delta Petroleum Company, Inc. and its subsidiaries ( Delta ), a blender and packager of lubricants, chemicals and glycol-based products in North America, and an industrial packaging company located in Russia.

These acquisitions, included in operating results from the acquisition dates, were accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, the purchase prices were allocated to the assets purchased and liabilities assumed based upon their estimated fair values at the dates of acquisition. The estimated fair values of the assets acquired were \$99.7 million (including \$25.7 million of inventory and \$28.0 million of accounts receivable) and the estimated liabilities assumed were \$46.9 million. Identifiable intangible assets, with a combined fair value of \$29.4 million, including trade-names, customer relationships, and certain non-compete agreements, have been recorded for one of these acquisitions. The excess of the purchase prices over the estimated fair values of the net tangible and intangible assets acquired of \$22.3 million was recorded as goodwill. The final allocation of the purchase prices may differ due to additional refinements in the fair values of the net assets acquired in accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations.

During 2005, the Company acquired three separate industrial packaging companies in North America for an aggregate purchase price of \$64.5 million. One of the acquisitions includes a payment of \$5.0 million in 2008 and a contingent payment of 1 percent of the United States fibre drum net sales for the twelve months preceding the third anniversary of the closing. The Company has recorded \$4.0 million, which is the net present value of the \$5.0 million future payment at the date of acquisition, as a liability.

These 2005 acquisitions, included in operating results from the acquisition dates (two in October 2005 and one in June of

2005), were accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, the purchase prices were allocated to the assets purchased and liabilities assumed based upon their estimated fair values at the dates of acquisition. The estimated fair values of the assets acquired were \$18.0 million (including \$5.7 million of inventory and \$6.3 million of accounts receivable) and the liabilities assumed were \$4.0 million. Identifiable intangible assets, with a combined fair value of \$14.3 million, including trademarks, patents and other proprietary information, and certain non-compete agreements, have been recorded for all three of these acquisitions. The excess of the purchase prices over the estimated fair values of the net tangible and intangible assets acquired of \$38.6 million was recorded as goodwill.

Had the transactions occurred on November 1, 2003, results of operations would not have differed materially from reported results.

Subsequent to the Company s 2006 year end, in November 2006, the Company acquired the steel drum manufacturing and closures business of Blagden Packaging Group, an industrial packaging company in Europe and Asia, for an aggregate purchase price of 205.0 million. This purchase price is subject to a post-closing adjustment, either upward or downward, based upon the working capital and net financial debt of the acquired businesses of the Blagden Packaging Group as of the closing date. In addition, in November 2006, the Company acquired two small industrial packaging companies in the United States for an aggregate purchase price of \$33.7 million. The effect of these acquisitions will be accounted for using the purchase method of accounting during the first quarter of 2007.

#### NOTE 3 SALE OF EUROPEAN ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Pursuant to the terms of a Receivable Purchase Agreement (the RPA) dated October 28, 2004 between Greif Coordination Center BVBA (the Seller), an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Greif, Inc., and a major international bank (the Buyer), the Seller agreed to sell trade receivables meeting certain eligibility requirements that Seller had purchased from other indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries of Greif, Inc., including Greif Belgium BVBA, Greif Germany GmbH, Greif Nederland BV, Greif Spain SA and Greif UK Ltd, under discounted receivables purchase agreements and from Greif France SAS under a factoring agreement. The RPA was amended on October 28, 2005 to include receivables originated by Greif Portugal Lda,

also an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Greif, Inc. In addition, on October 28, 2005, Greif Italia S.P.A., also an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Greif, Inc., entered into the Italian Receivables Purchase Agreement with the Italian branch of the major international bank (the Italian RPA) with Greif Italia S.P.A., agreeing to sell trade receivables that meet certain eligibility criteria to the Italian branch of the major international bank. The Italian RPA is similar in structure and terms as the RPA. The maximum amount of receivables that may be sold under the RPA and the Italian RPA is 90 million (\$114.6 million) at October 31, 2006.

The structure of the transaction provides for a legal true sale, on a revolving basis, of the receivables transferred from the various Greif, Inc. subsidiaries to Seller and from Seller to Buyer. The Buyer funds an initial purchase price of a certain percentage of eligible receivables based on a formula with the initial purchase price approximating 70 percent to 80 percent of eligible receivables. The remaining deferred purchase price is settled upon collection of the receivables. At the balance sheet reporting dates, the Company removes from accounts receivable the amount of proceeds received from the initial purchase price since they meet the applicable criteria of SFAS No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, and continues to recognize the deferred purchase price in its accounts receivable. The receivables are sold on a non-recourse basis with the total funds in the servicing collection accounts pledged to Buyer between the semi-monthly settlement dates. At October 31, 2006 and 2005, 70.3 million (\$89.5 million) and 56.9 million (\$68.3 million), respectively, of accounts receivable were sold under the RPA and Italian RPA.

At the time the receivables are initially sold, the difference between the carrying amount and the fair value of the assets sold are included as a loss on sale in the consolidated statements of income. Expenses, primarily related to the loss on sale of receivables, associated with the RPA and Italian RPA totaled 1.9 million (\$2.3 million) and 1.2 million (\$1.5 million) for the years ended October 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Additionally, the Company performs collections and administrative functions on the receivables sold similar to the procedures it uses for collecting all of its receivables, including receivables that are not sold under the RPA and Italian RPA. The servicing liability for these receivables is not material to the consolidated financial statements.

#### NOTE 4 GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The Company periodically reviews goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment as required by SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. The Company has concluded that no impairment exists at this time.

Changes to the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended October 31, 2006 and 2005 are as follows (Dollars in thousands):

	Industrial Packaging	Paper, Packaging	
	& Services	& Services	Total
Balance at October 31, 2004	\$ 204,975	\$ 32,828	\$ 237,803
Goodwill acquired	38,563		38,563
Goodwill adjustments	(12,040)		(12,040)
Currency translation	(623)		(623)
Balance at October 31, 2005	230,875	32,828	263,703
Goodwill acquired	38,396		38,396
Goodwill adjustments	(13,592)		(13,592)
Currency translation	(1,955)		(1,955)
Balance at October 31, 2006	\$ 253,724	\$ 32,828	\$ 286,552

The 2006 goodwill acquired of \$38.4 million is preliminary and primarily relates to acquisition of industrial packaging companies in North America and Russia as well as purchase price adjustments from 2005 industrial packaging company acquisitions (see Note 2). The 2006 goodwill adjustment primarily represents the net reduction in goodwill of \$9.5 million for the recognition of a deferred tax asset and the reversal of a tax contingency reserve related to the Van Leer Industrial Packaging acquisition closed in March 2001. The preceding adjustment to goodwill described above was made in accordance with SFAS 141, Business Combinations and applicable accounting pronouncements pertaining to tax matters existing at the business combination date. Besides the goodwill adjustment above, we reclassed \$13.4 million from goodwill to intangible assets and recorded additional goodwill of \$9.2 million primarily from purchase price adjustments related to the 2005 acquisitions.

The 2005 goodwill acquired of \$38.6 million relates to three separate acquisitions of industrial packaging companies in North America. The 2005 goodwill adjustment primarily represents the recognition of a \$2.2 million deferred tax asset as well as \$9.8 million reversal of a tax contingency reserve which was originally set up in purchase accounting to provide for

retrospective assessment of corporation tax for the years 1990 to

1999. The Company received a favorable ruling on the tax contingency matter from the Dutch courts in 2005. Both of these adjustments relate to the Van Leer Industrial Packaging acquisition closed in March 2001.

All intangible assets for the periods presented, excluding the goodwill items discussed above and except for \$3.4 million, net, related to the Tri-Sure Trademark, are subject to amortization and are being amortized using the straight-line method over periods that range from 2 to 20 years. The details of other intangible assets by class as of October 31, 2006 and October 31, 2005 are as follows (Dollars in thousands):

	Gross Intangible Accumulated Int Assets Amortization				Net tangible Assets	
October 31, 2006:						
Trademarks and patents	\$	17,290	\$	7,992	\$	9,298
Non-compete agreements		5,033		3,709		1,324
Customer relationships		43,115		2,343		40,772
Other		15,575		3,382		12,193

Edgar Filing: GREIF INC - Form 10-K

Total	\$ 81,013	\$ 17,426	\$ 63,587
October 31, 2005:			
Trademarks and patents	\$ 18,510	\$ 7,411	\$ 11,099
Non-compete agreements	9,625	8,978	647
Customer relationships	7,815	1,015	6,800
Other	9,229	2,760	6,469
Total	\$ 45,179	\$ 20,164	\$ 25,015

During 2006, other intangible assets increased by \$35.8 million primarily due to the 2006 acquisition of an industrial packaging company in North America which accounted for an increase of \$29.2 million, and reclassification of \$13.4 million from goodwill related to the 2005 acquisitions. Amortization expense was \$4.1 million, \$3.7 million and \$4.0 million for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Amortization expense for the next five years is expected to be \$5.6 million in 2007, \$5.5 million in 2008, \$5.5 million in 2009, \$5.4 million in 2010 and \$4.4 million in 2011.

### NOTE 5 RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

During 2006, the Company recorded restructuring charges of \$33.2 million, consisting of \$16.8 million in employee separation costs, \$8.3 million in asset impairments, \$2.0 million

in professional fees, and \$6.1 million in other restructuring costs, primarily consisting of moving and lease termination costs. Four company-owned plants closed during 2006. Three plants in the Paper, Packaging & Services segment, and one in the Industrial Packaging & Services segment were closed. The Industrial Packaging & Services segment reduced the number of plants in the United Kingdom from five to three; merged operations of businesses purchased in October 2005 into existing North American plants; and consolidated one plant in France. In addition, severance costs were incurred due to the elimination of certain operating and administrative positions throughout the world. The total employees severed in 2006 was 281.

For each business segment, costs incurred in 2006 are as follows (Dollars in thousands):

	Amounts Incurred in 2006	 Amounts repected to be Incurred
Industrial Packaging & Services:		
Employee separation costs	\$ 12,023	\$ 15,853
Asset impairments	6,177	6,187
Professional fees	225	500
Other costs	5,609	8,139
	24,034	30,679
Paper, Packaging & Services:		
Employee separation costs	4,799	4,930
Asset impairments	2,148	3,300
Professional fees	1,774	2,000
Other costs	472	550
	9,193	10,780
Timber:		
Employee separation costs	9	9
Asset impairments		
Professional fees	3	3
Other costs	(1)	(1)
	11	11
Total	\$ 33,238	\$ 41,470

Following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending restructuring reserve balances for the years ended October 31, 2006 and 2005 (Dollars in thousands):

		Cash Charges		Non-cash Charges
	Employee Separation Costs	Other Costs	Asset Impairments	Total
Balance at October 31, 2004	\$ 15,230	\$ 2,053	• \$	\$ 17,283
Costs incurred and charged to expense	15,699	13,629	6,408	35,736
Costs paid or otherwise settled	(20,712)	(15,497)	(6,408)	(42,617)
Balance at October 31, 2005	10,217	185		10,402
Costs incurred and charged to expense	16,831	8,082	8,325	33,238

## Edgar Filing: GREIF INC - Form 10-K

Costs paid or otherwise settled	(18,657)	(8,267)	(8,325)	(35,249)
Balance at October 31, 2006	\$ 8.391	\$	\$	\$ 8,391

During 2004, as part of the transformation to the Greif Business System, the Company closed five company-owned plants, four in the Industrial Packaging & Services segment and one in the Paper, Packaging & Services segment. The Company recorded restructuring charges of \$54.1 million, consisting of \$17.0 million in employee separation costs, \$4.8 million in asset impairments, \$19.7 million in professional fees directly related to transformation to the Greif Business System and \$12.6 million in other costs during 2004. The Company closed four company owned plants and a distribution center in the Industrial Packaging & Services segment during 2005. Two of the plants and a distribution center were located in North America and two were located in the United Kingdom. In addition, corporate and administrative staff reductions were made throughout the world. As a result of the transformation to the Greif Business System, during 2005, the Company recorded restructuring charges of \$31.8 million, consisting of

\$15.7 million in employee separation costs, \$2.5 million in asset impairments, \$3.7 million in professional fees directly related to the transformation to the Greif Business System and \$9.9 million in other costs which primarily represented moving and lease termination costs. During 2005, the Company also recorded \$3.9 million of restructuring charges related to the impairment of two facilities that were closed during previous restructuring programs. The asset impairment charges that relate to the write-down to fair value of building and equipment were based on recent purchase offers, market comparables and/or data obtained from the Company s commercial real estate broker.

A total of 1,574 employees have been terminated in connection with the transformation to the Greif Business System from 2003 to 2005.

### NOTE 6 SIGNIFICANT NONSTRATEGIC TIMBERLAND TRANSACTIONS AND CONSOLIDATION OF VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

On March 28, 2005, Soterra LLC (a wholly owned subsidiary) entered into two real estate purchase and sale agreements with Plum Creek Timberlands, L.P. (Plum Creek) to sell approximately 56,000 acres of timberland and related assets located primarily in Florida for an aggregate sales price of approximately \$90.0 million, subject to closing adjustments. In connection with the closing of one of these agreements, Soterra LLC sold approximately 35,000 acres of timberland and associated assets in Florida, Georgia and Alabama for \$51.0 million, resulting in a pretax gain of \$42.1 million, on May 23, 2005. The purchase price was paid in the form of cash and a \$50.9 million purchase note payable by an indirect subsidiary of Plum Creek (the Purchase Note). Soterra LLC contributed the Purchase Note to STA Timber LLC (STA Timber), one of the Company s indirect wholly owned subsidiaries. The Purchase Note is secured by a Deed of Guarantee issued by Bank of America, N.A., London Branch, in an amount not to exceed \$52.3 million (the Deed of Guarantee), as a guarantee of the due and punctual payment of principal and interest on the Purchase Note. During 2006, the Company sold 21,000 acres of timberland holdings in Florida for \$39.0 million in cash, resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$36.4 million.

On May 31, 2005, STA Timber issued in a private placement its 5.20 percent Senior Secured Notes due August 5, 2020 (the

Monetization Notes ) in the principal amount of \$43.3 million. In connection with the sale of the Monetization Notes, STA Timber entered into note purchase agreements with the purchasers of the Monetization Notes (the Note Purchase Agreements ) and related documentation. The Monetization Notes are secured by a pledge of the Purchase Note and the Deed of Guarantee. The Monetization Notes may be accelerated in the event of a default in payment or a breach of the other obligations set forth therein or in the Note Purchase Agreements or related documents, subject in certain cases to any applicable cure periods, or upon the occurrence of certain insolvency or bankruptcy related events. The Monetization Notes are subject to a mechanism that may cause them, subject to certain conditions, to be extended to November 5, 2020. The proceeds from the sale of the Monetization Notes were primarily used for the repayment of indebtedness.

The Company has consolidated the assets and liabilities of STA Timber as of October 31, 2006 and 2005, in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 46R, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities Interpretation. Because STA Timber is a separate and distinct legal entity from the Company, the assets of STA Timber are not available to satisfy the liabilities and obligations of the Company and the liabilities of STA Timber are not liabilities or obligations of the Company. In addition, the Company has not extended any form of guaranty of the principal or interest on the Monetization Notes. Accordingly, the Company will not become directly or contingently liable for the payment of the Monetization Notes at any time.

The Company has also consolidated the assets and liabilities of the buyer-sponsored special purpose entity (the Buyer SPE) involved in these transactions as the result of Interpretation 46R. However, because the Buyer SPE is a separate and distinct legal entity from the Company, the assets of the Buyer SPE are not available to satisfy the liabilities and obligations of the Company and the liabilities of the Buyer SPE are not liabilities or obligations of the Company.

Assets of the Buyer SPE at October 31, 2006 and 2005 consist of restricted bank financial instruments of \$50.9 million. STA Timber had long-term debt of \$43.3 million as of October 31, 2006 and 2005. STA Timber is exposed to credit-related losses in the event of nonperformance by the issuer of the Deed of Guarantee, but the Company does not expect that issuer to fail to meet its obligations. The accompanying income statement includes interest expense on STA Timber debt of \$2.3 million

and interest income on Buyer SPE investments of \$2.4 million as of October 31, 2006 compared to \$1.0 million and \$1.1 million, respectively as of October 31, 2005.

#### NOTE 7 LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt is summarized as follows (Dollars in thousands):

	C	October 31, 2006	0	ctober 31, 2005
Credit Agreement	\$	115,198	\$	85,655
Senior Subordinated Notes		242,560		241,889
Trade accounts receivable credit facility		120,000		95,711
Other long-term debt		3,650		7,145
		481,408		430,400
Less current portion				
	\$	481,408	\$	430,400

#### Credit Agreement

The Company and certain of its international subsidiaries, as borrowers, entered into a Credit Agreement (the Credit Agreement ) with a syndicate of financial institutions that provides for a \$450.0 million revolving multicurrency credit facility. On October 31, 2006, the Credit Agreement was amended to increase the principal amount available from \$350.0 million to \$450.0 million. The revolving multicurrency credit facility is available for acquisitions, ongoing working capital and general corporate purposes. Interest is based on a euro currency rate or an alternative base rate that resets periodically plus a calculated margin amount. As of October 31, 2006, \$115.2 million was outstanding under the Credit Agreement. The weighted average interest rate on the Credit Agreement was 5.18 percent and 4.10 percent for the years ended October 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The interest rate was 5.85 percent and 4.83 percent at October 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Credit Agreement contains certain covenants, which include financial covenants that require the Company to maintain a certain leverage ratio and a minimum coverage of interest expense. At October 31, 2006, the Company was in compliance with these covenants.

### Senior Subordinated Notes

On July 31, 2002, the Company issued Senior Subordinated Notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$250.0 million,

receiving net proceeds of approximately \$248.0 million before expenses. During the third quarter of 2005, the Company purchased \$2.0 million of the Senior Subordinated Notes at a premium (\$0.2 million), which was charged to interest expense. At October 31, 2006, the outstanding balance of \$242.6 million included deferred losses on fair value hedges the Company previously had in place to hedge interest rate risk. At October 31, 2005, the outstanding balance of \$241.9 million included losses on the mark-to-market of the fair value interest rate swap agreement the Company had in place to hedge interest rate risk. Interest on the Senior Subordinated Notes is payable semi-annually at the annual rate of 8.875 percent. The Senior Subordinated Notes do not have required principal payments prior to maturity on August 1, 2012. However, the Senior Subordinated Notes are redeemable at the option of the Company beginning August 1, 2007, at the redemption prices set forth below (expressed as percentages of principal amount), plus accrued interest, if any, to the redemption date:

	Redemption
Year	Price
2007	104.438%
2008	102.958%
2009	101.479%
2010 and thereafter	100.000%

In addition, prior to August 1, 2007, the Company may redeem the Senior Subordinated Notes by paying a specified make-whole premium.

# Edgar Filing: GREIF INC - Form 10-K

The fair value of the Senior Subordinated Notes was approximately \$256.0 million and \$260.0 million at October 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, based on quoted market prices. The Indenture pursuant to which the Senior Subordinated Notes were issued contains certain covenants. At October 31, 2006, the Company was in compliance with these covenants.

A description of the guarantees of the Senior Subordinated Notes by the Company s United States subsidiaries is included in Note 17.

### Trade Accounts Receivable Credit Facility

On October 31, 2003, the Company entered into a five-year, up to \$120.0 million, credit facility with an affiliate of a bank in connection with the securitization of certain of the Company s

44

trade accounts receivable in the United States. The credit facility is secured by certain of the Company s trade accounts receivable in the United States and bears interest at a variable rate based on LIBOR plus a margin or other agreed upon rate (5.87 percent and 4.59 percent interest rate as of October 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively). The Company can terminate this facility at any time upon 60 days prior written notice. In connection with this transaction, the Company established Greif Receivables Funding LLC (GRF), which is included in the Company s consolidated financial statements. However, because GRF is a separate and distinct legal entity from the Company, the assets of GRF are not available to satisfy the liabilities and obligations of the Company and the liabilities of GRF are not liabilities or obligations of the Company. This entity purchases and services the Company s trade accounts receivable that are subject to this credit facility. As of October 31, 2006, there was a total of \$120.0 million outstanding under the trade accounts receivable credit facility.

The trade accounts receivable credit facility provides that in the event the Company breaches any of its financial covenants under the Credit Agreement, and the majority of the lenders there under consent to a waiver thereof, but the provider of the trade accounts receivable credit facility does not consent to any such waiver, then the Company must within 90 days of providing notice of the breach, pay all amounts outstanding under the trade accounts receivable credit facility.

### Other

In addition to the amounts borrowed against the Credit Agreement and proceeds from the Senior Subordinated Notes and the trade accounts receivable credit facility, the Company had outstanding debt of \$33.0 million, comprised of \$3.7 million in long-term debt and \$29.3 million in short-term borrowings, at October 31, 2006 and outstanding debt of \$24.3 million, comprised of \$7.1 million in long-term debt and \$17.2 million in short-term borrowings, at October 31, 2005.

Annual maturities of the Company s long-term debt are \$122.2 million in 2008, \$115.2 million in 2010, and \$244.0 million thereafter.

At October 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company had deferred financing fees and debt issuance costs of \$8.0 million and \$8.9 million, respectively, which are included in other long-term assets.

During 2006, the Company paid \$44.9 million of interest (\$42.3 million in 2005 and \$43.6 million in 2004) related to its long-term obligations. Interest of \$0.1 million in 2006, \$0.1 million in 2005 and \$0.6 million in 2004 was capitalized.

### Non-Cancelable Operating Leases

The Company has entered into non-cancelable operating leases for buildings, trucks and computer equipment. The future minimum lease payments for the non-cancelable operating leases are \$12.5 million in 2007, \$8.9 million in 2008, \$6.6 million in 2009, \$4.4 million in 2010, \$2.8 million in 2011, and \$3.6 million thereafter. Rent expense was \$23.2 million in 2006, \$20.7 million in 2005, and \$20.3 million in 2004.

### NOTE 8 FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable, accounts payable, current liabilities and short-term borrowings at October 31, 2006 and 2005 approximate their fair values because of the short-term nature of these items.

The estimated fair values of the Company s long-term debt was \$499.2 million and \$447.8 million as compared to the carrying amounts of \$481.4 million and \$430.4 million at October 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The fair values of the Company s long-term obligations are estimated based on either the quoted market prices for the same or similar issues or the current interest rates offered for debt of the same remaining maturities.

The Company uses derivatives from time to time to partially mitigate the effect of exposure to interest rate movements, exposure to foreign currency fluctuations, and energy cost fluctuations. The Company records derivatives based on SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, and related amendments. This Statement requires that all derivatives be recognized as assets or liabilities in the balance sheet and measured at fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recognized in either net income or in other comprehensive income, depending on the designated purpose of the derivative.

The Company had interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$130.0 million and \$280.0 million at October 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, with various maturities through 2012. Under certain of these agreements, the Company receives interest either monthly or quarterly from the counterparties equal to LIBOR and pays interest at a fixed rate (5.56 percent at October 31, 2006) over the life of the

# Edgar Filing: GREIF INC - Form 10-K

contracts. The Company was also party to agreements in which it received interest semi-annually from the counterparties equal to a fixed rate of 8.875 percent and paid

45

interest based on LIBOR plus a margin that were terminated during the first quarter of 2006. In conjunction with this termination, the Company paid \$4.8 million to the counterparties, which will be amortized over the remaining term of the Senior Subordinated Notes. A liability for the loss on outstanding interest rate swap contracts, which represented their fair values, in the amount of \$1.0 million and \$6.6 million was recorded at October 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

At October 31, 2006, the Company also had cross-currency interest rate swaps to hedge its net investment in its European subsidiaries. Under these agreements, the Company receives interest semi-annually from the counterparties equal to a fixed rate of 8.875 percent on \$248.0 million and pays interest at a fixed rate of 6.80 percent on 206.7 million. Upon maturity of these swaps on August 1, 2007, the Company will be required to pay 206.7 million to the counterparties and receive \$248.0 million from the counterparties. A liability for the loss on these agreements of \$14.2 million, representing their fair values, was recorded at October 31, 2006, and a comprehensive loss of \$14.2 million was recorded for the year ended October 31, 2006.

At October 31, 2006, the Company had outstanding foreign currency forward contracts in the notional amount of \$45.2 million (\$21.5 million at October 31, 2005). The purpose of these contracts is to hedge the Company's exposure to foreign currency transactions and short-term intercompany loan balances with its international businesses. The fair value of these contracts resulted in a gain of \$2.1 million recorded in other comprehensive income and a loss of \$0.1 million recorded in the consolidated statements of income for the year ended October 31, 2006. The fair value of similar contracts resulted in a loss of \$0.2 million recorded in the consolidated statements of income for the year ended October 31, 2005.

During 2006, the Company has entered into certain cash flow hedges to mitigate its exposure to cost fluctuations in natural gas prices through October 31, 2007. The fair value of the energy hedges was in an unfavorable position of \$1.5 million (\$0.9 million net of tax) at October 31, 2006. As a result of the high correlation between the hedged instruments and the underlying transactions, ineffectiveness has not had a material impact on the Company s consolidated statements of income for the year ended October 31, 2006.

While the counterparties to its derivative financial instrument contracts may expose the Company to credit losses in the event of nonperformance, its counterparties are established banks and financial institutions with high credit ratings. The Company has no reason to believe that such counterparties will not be able to fully satisfy their obligations under these contracts.

The fair values of all derivative financial instruments are estimated based on current settlement prices of comparable contracts obtained from dealer quotes. The values represent the estimated amounts the Company would pay or receive to terminate the agreements at the reporting date.

During the next twelve months, the Company expects to reclassify into earnings a net gain from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) of approximately \$1.0 million after tax at the time the underlying hedge transactions are realized.

### NOTE 9 CAPITAL STOCK

Class A Common Stock is entitled to cumulative dividends of one cent a share per year after which Class B Common Stock is entitled to non-cumulative dividends up to a half-cent a share per year. Further distribution in any year must be made in proportion of one cent a share for Class A Common Stock to one and a half cents a share for Class B Common Stock. The Class A Common Stock has no voting rights unless four quarterly cumulative dividends upon the Class A Common Stock are in arrears. The Class B Common Stock has full voting rights. There is no cumulative voting for the election of directors.

The following table summarizes the Company s capital stock, without par value (Class A and Class B common shares), and treasury shares at the specified dates:

	Authorized Shares	Issued Shares	Outstanding Shares	Treasury Shares
October 31, 2006:				
Class A Common Stock	32,000,000	21,140,960	11,634,153	9,506,807
Class B Common Stock	17,280,000	17,280,000	11,515,533	5,764,467
October 31, 2005:				
Class A Common Stock	32,000,000	21,140,960	11,532,356	9,608,604

Class B Common Stock 17,280,000 17,280,000 11,538,645 5,741,355

46

#### NOTE 10 STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

In 2001, the Company adopted the 2001 Management Equity Incentive and Compensation Plan (the 2001 Plan ). The provisions of the 2001 Plan allow the awarding of incentive and nonqualified stock options and restricted and performance shares of Class A Common Stock to key employees. The maximum number of shares that may be issued each year is determined by a formula that takes into consideration the total number of shares outstanding and is also subject to certain limits. In addition, the maximum number of incentive stock options that will be issued under the 2001 Plan during its term is 2,500,000 shares.

Prior to 2001, the Company had adopted a Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan (the 2000 Plan ) that provides the discretionary granting of nonstatutory options to key employees, and an Incentive Stock Option Plan (the Option Plan ) that provides the discretionary granting of incentive stock options to key employees and nonstatutory options for non-employees. The aggregate number of the Company s Class A Common Stock options that may be granted under the 2000 Plan and Option Plan may not exceed 200,000 shares and 1,000,000 shares, respectively.

Under the terms of the 2001 Plan, the 2000 Plan and the Option Plan, stock options are granted at exercise prices equal to the market value of the common stock on the date options are granted and become fully vested two years after date of grant. Options expire 10 years after date of grant.

In 2005, the Company adopted the 2005 Outside Directors Equity Award Plan (the 2005 Directors Plan ), which provides the granting of stock options, restricted stock or stock appreciation rights to directors who are not employees of the Company. Prior to 2005, the Directors Stock Option Plan (the Directors Plan ) provided the granting of stock options to directors who are not employees of the Company. The aggregate number of the Company s Class A Common Stock options that may be granted may not exceed 100,000 shares under each of these plans. Under the terms of both plans, options are granted at exercise prices equal to the market value of the common stock on the date options are granted and become exercisable immediately. Options expire 10 years after date of grant.

No stock options were granted during 2006.

In 2005, 109,575 stock options were granted under the 2001 Plan with option prices of \$48.13 per share. Under the 2005 Directors Plan, in 2005 14,000 options were granted to outside directors with option prices of \$64.35 per share.

The fair value for each option is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, as allowed under SFAS No. 123, with the following assumptions:

	2005	2004
Dividend yield	1.14%	2.07%
Volatility rate	34.00%	33.40%
Risk-free interest rate	3.88%	3.31%
Expected option life	6 years	6 years

The fair values of shares granted 2005 and 2004 were \$17.63 and \$11.14, respectively, as of the grant date.

Stock option activity for the years ended October 31 was as follows (Shares in thousands):

	Shares	2006	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	2005	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Veighted Average Exercise Price
Beginning balance	979	\$	30.68	1,483	\$	28.24	2,021	\$ 28.17
Granted		\$		124	\$	49.97	12	\$ 36.99
Forfeited		\$		35	\$	26.75	48	\$ 27.76
Exercised	162	\$	27.88	593	\$	28.83	502	\$ 28.25

# Edgar Filing: GREIF INC - Form 10-K

Ending balance 817 \$ 31.24 979 \$ 30.68 1,483 \$ 28.24

Our results of operations for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2006 include \$0.6 million of share based compensation expense for stock options (net of approximately \$0.3 million of income taxes).

As of October 31, 2006, outstanding stock options had exercise prices and contractual lives as follows:

		Weighted- Average Remaining
	Number	Contractual
Range of Exercise Prices	Outstanding	Life
\$18-\$28	353,262	6 years
\$28-\$38	342,560	5 years
\$48-\$58	108,825	8 years
\$58-\$68	12.000	9 years

There are 707,822 options that were exercisable at October 31, 2006 (870,370 options at October 31, 2005 and 1,240,000 options at October 31, 2004).

### **NOTE 11 INCOME TAXES**

The provision for income taxes consists of the following (Dollars in thousands):

For the years ended October 31,	2006	2005	2004
Current:			
Federal	\$ 22,112	\$ 8,072	\$
State and local	754	884	165
International	30,142	19,506	18,612
	53,008	28,462	18,777
Deferred	10,808	18,593	(3,153)
	\$ 63,816	\$ 47,055	\$ 15,624

International income before income tax expense amounted to \$105.1 million in 2006 (\$76.4 million in 2005 and \$76.0 million in 2004).

The following is a reconciliation of the provision for income taxes based on the federal statutory rate to the Company s effective income tax rate:

For the years ended October 31,	2006	2005	2004
United States federal tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State and local taxes, net of federal tax (cost) benefit	3.5%	3.2%	(0.3)%
Other non-deductible expenses and international tax rates	(7.8)%	(7.3)%	(10.2)%
	30.7%	30.9%	24.5%

Significant components of the Company s deferred tax assets and liabilities at October 31 for the years indicated were as follows (Dollars in thousands):

	2006	2005
Vacation accrual	\$ 2,313	\$ 2,060
Bad debt reserves	1,710	1,145
Incentives	3,548	
Restructuring reserves	3,336	2,440
Other current assets	4,907	4,443
Current deferred tax asset	\$ 15,814	\$ 10,088
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 75,581	\$ 71,100
Derivatives instruments	857	710
Minimum pension liability	16,744	22,861
Deferred compensation	1,912	2,032
Environmental reserves	2,948	456
Foreign tax credits	1,758	1,267
Workers compensation	1,657	2,801
Post-Retirement	12,112	12,111

Edgar Filing: GREIF INC - Form 10-K

Captive insurance operations	8,265	5,129
Other	1,780	5,877
	\$ 123,614	\$ 124,344
Valuation allowance for long-term deferred tax asset	(57,773)	(45,314)
Long-term deferred tax asset	\$ 65,841	\$ 79,030
Current deferred tax liability	\$	\$
Current deferred tax liability  Properties, plants and equipment	\$ \$ 117,599	\$ \$ 123,539
,		
Properties, plants and equipment	\$ 117,599	\$ 123,539
Properties, plants and equipment Goodwill and other intangible assets	\$ 117,599 34,637	\$ 123,539 16,920

At October 31, 2006, the Company had tax benefits from international net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$68.1 million for international income tax purposes of which a significant portion begin expiring in 2011. At October 31, 2006, valuation allowances of approximately \$57.8 million have been provided against the tax benefits from international net operating loss carryforwards. Most of these valuation allowances are provided for international net operating loss carryforwards acquired in the Van Leer Industrial Packaging acquisition for which subsequently recognized tax benefits will be allocated to reduce goodwill.

At October 31, 2006 the Company had undistributed earnings from non-U.S. subsidiaries that are intended to be permanently reinvested in non-U.S. operations. Because these earnings are considered permanently reinvested, no U.S. tax provision has been accrued related to the repatriation of these earnings.

During 2006, the Company paid \$33.7 million in income taxes (\$20.6 million in 2005 and \$9.6 million in 2004).

#### NOTE 12 RETIREMENT PLANS

The Company has certain non-contributory defined benefit pension plans in the United States, Australia, Germany, Netherlands, South Africa and United Kingdom. The Company uses a measurement date of August 31 for its pension plans. The salaried plans benefits are based primarily on years of service and earnings. The hourly plans benefits are based primarily upon years of service. The Company contributes an amount that is not less than the minimum funding or more than the maximum tax-deductible amount to these plans. The plans assets consist of large cap, small cap and international equity securities, fixed income investments and the allowable number of shares of the Company s common stock, which were 123,752 Class A shares and 80,355 Class B shares at both October 31, 2006 and 2005.

The components of net periodic pension cost include the following (Dollars in thousands):

For the years ended October 31,	2006	2005	2004
Service cost	\$ 14,743	\$ 12,362	\$ 12,088
Interest cost	25,379	26,418	24,086
Expected return on plan assets	(30,229)	(30,121)	(27,285)
Amortization of prior service cost	1,047	1,065	720
Amortization of initial net asset	(791)	(791)	(1,018)
Recognized net actuarial loss (gain)	6,639	4,807	3,286
Curtailment and other	(484)		
	\$ 16,304	\$ 13,740	\$ 11,877

The weighted average assumptions used in determining benefit obligations and net periodic pension costs were as follows:

	2006	2005	2004
Discount rate	5.25%	5.50%	6.00%
Expected return on plan assets (1)	7.53%	7.50%	7.50%
Rate of compensation increase	3.64%	3.50%	3.50%

(1) To develop the expected long-term rate of return on assets assumption, the Company considered the historical returns and the future expectations for returns for each asset class, as well as the target asset allocation of the pension portfolio. This rate is gross of any investment or administrative expenses.

The following table sets forth the plans change in benefit obligation, change in plan assets and amounts recognized in the consolidated financial statements (Dollars in thousands):

	2006	2005
Change in benefit obligation:		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 500,994	\$ 450,619
Benefit obligation adjustments	(1,195)	1,519
Service cost	14,743	12,362
Interest cost	25,379	26,418
Plan participant contributions	734	883

Edgar Filing: GREIF INC - Form 10-K

Amendments	746	2,488
Actuarial loss/(gain)	(17,010)	46,838
Foreign currency effects	16,993	(12,806)
Benefits paid	(25,802)	(25,288)
Curtailment gain	(403)	(2,039)
Benefit obligation at end of year	\$ 515,179	\$ 500,994
Change in plan assets:		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$ 404,841	\$ 359,726
Fair value of plan assets adjustments		7,577
Actual return on plan assets	41,301	59,445
Expense paid	(1,195)	(896)
Plan participant contributions	734	883
Foreign currency effects	16,150	(11,793)
Employer contributions	18,930	14,208
Benefits paid	(24,799)	(24,309)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	\$ 455,962	\$ 404,841

	2006	2005
Front and advances	2006	2005
Funded status	\$ (59,217)	\$ (96,152)
Unrecognized net actuarial loss	87,153	119,625
Unrecognized prior service cost	6,287	6,651
Unrecognized initial net asset	(945)	(1,736)
Additional contributions (September 1 to October 31)	3,344	7,366
Net amount recognized	\$ 36,622	\$ 35,754
Amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets consist of:		
Prepaid benefit cost	\$ 46,659	\$ 39,450
Accrued benefit liability	(62,403)	(75,723)
Intangible asset	4,525	6,709
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	47,841	65,318
Net amount recognized	\$ 36,622	\$ 35,754

Aggregated accumulated benefit obligations for all plans were \$479.0 million and \$460.0 million at August 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets for the pension plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets were \$260.0 million, \$239.0 million and \$173.0 million, respectively, as of August 31, 2006. The projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets for the international pension plans were \$276.0 million, \$258.0 million and \$278.0 million, respectively, as of August 31, 2006.

Pension plan contributions totaled \$18.9 million and \$14.2 million during 2006 and 2005, respectively. Contributions during 2007 are expected to be approximately \$28.1 million.

The Company s weighted average asset allocations at the measurement date and the target asset allocations by category are as follows:

	2006	
Asset Category	Actual	Target
Equity securities	63%	63%
Debt securities	27%	29%
Other	10%	8%
Total	100%	100%

The investment policy reflects the long-term nature of the plans funding obligations. The assets are invested to provide the opportunity for both income and growth of principal. This objective is pursued as a long-term goal designed to provide required benefits for participants without undue risk. It is expected that this objective can be achieved through a well-diversified asset portfolio. All equity investments are made within the guidelines of quality, marketability and diversification mandated by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act and other relevant statutes. Investment managers are directed to maintain equity portfolios at a risk level approximately equivalent to that of the specific benchmark established for that portfolio.

Future benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, during the next five years, and in the aggregate for the five fiscal years thereafter, are as follows (Dollars in thousands):

	Expe	cted
	be	enefit
Year	paym	ents
2007	\$ 27	7,789
2008	\$ 26	6,837

## Edgar Filing: GREIF INC - Form 10-K

2009	\$ 27,870
2010	\$ 29,692
2011	\$ 29,723
2012-2016	\$ 168,497

The Company has several voluntary 401(k) savings plans that cover eligible employees. For certain plans, the Company matches a percentage of each employee s contribution up to a maximum percentage of base salary. Company contributions to the 401(k) plans were \$1.9 million in 2006, \$1.7 million in 2005 and \$1.1 million in 2004.

### NOTE 13 POSTRETIREMENT HEALTH CARE AND LIFE INSURANCE BENEFITS

The Company has certain postretirement health and life insurance benefit plans in the United States and South Africa. The Company uses a measurement date of August 31 for its postretirement benefit plans.

In conjunction with a prior acquisition of the industrial containers business from Sonoco Products Company (Sonoco) in 1998, the Company assumed an obligation to reimburse Sonoco for its actual costs incurred in providing postretirement health care benefits to certain employees. Contributions by the

50

Company are limited to an aggregate annual payment of \$1.4 million for eligible employees at the date of purchase. Further, the Company is responsible for the cost of certain union hourly employees who were not eligible at the date of closing. The Company intends to fund these benefits from its operations.

The components of net periodic cost for the postretirement benefits include the following (Dollars in thousands):

For the years ended October 31,	2006	2005	2004
Service cost	\$ 30	\$ 22	\$ 60
Interest cost	2,302	3,123	3,109
Amortization of prior service cost	(1,298)	(457)	(443)
Recognized net actuarial loss	695	241	93
	\$ 1,729	\$ 2,929	\$ 2,819

The following table sets forth the plans change in benefit obligation, change in plan assets and amounts recognized in the consolidated financial statements (Dollars in thousands):

	2006	2005
Change in benefit obligation:		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 42,263	\$ 49,119
Service cost	30	22
Interest cost	2,302	3,123
Plan participant contributions		372
Actuarial loss	(5,749)	5,047
Amendments		(12,208)
Foreign currency effects	(373)	(402)
Benefits paid	(3,091)	(2,810)
Benefit obligation at end of year	\$ 35,382	\$ 42,263
Change in plan assets:		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	\$	\$
Employer contributions		2,810
Benefits paid		(2,810)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	\$	\$
Funded status	\$ 35,382	\$ 42,263
Unrecognized net actuarial loss	(5,754)	(12,069)
Unrecognized prior service cost	16,396	18,063
Net amount recognized	\$ 46,024	\$ 48,257

The accumulated postretirement health and life insurance benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets for the international plans were \$2.6 million and \$0 respectively, as of August 31, 2006 compared to \$4.0 million and \$0, respectively as of August 31, 2005.

The measurements assume a discount rate of 6.0 percent in the United States and 9.25 percent in South Africa. The health care cost trend rates on gross eligible charges are as follows:

# Edgar Filing: GREIF INC - Form 10-K

	Medical
Current trend rate	8.9%
Ultimate trend rate	4.9%

A one-percentage point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects (Dollars in thousands):

	1-Pero	entage-Point Increase	1-Per	centage-Point Decrease
Effect on total of service and interest cost components	\$	101	\$	(89)
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	\$	1,094	\$	(965)

Future benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, during the next five years, and in the aggregate for the five fiscal years thereafter, are as follows (Dollars in thousands):

	E	Expected
		benefit
Year	pa	ayments
2007	\$	4,670
2008	\$	3,328
2009	\$	3,373
2010	\$	3,313
2011	\$	3,333
2012-2016	\$	13,874
NOTE 44 CONTINCENT LIABILITIES		

#### NOTE 14 CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Various lawsuits, claims and proceedings have been or may be instituted or asserted against the Company, including those pertaining to environmental, product liability and safety and health matters. While the amounts claimed may be substantial, the ultimate liability cannot now be determined because of considerable uncertainties that exist. Therefore, it is possible that results of operations or liquidity in a particular period could be materially affected by certain contingencies.

The most significant contingencies of the Company relate to environmental liabilities. Following is additional information with respect to these matters.

At October 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company had recorded liabilities of \$14.9 million and \$8.1 million, respectively, for

estimated environmental remediation costs. The liabilities were recorded on an undiscounted basis and included in other long-term liabilities.

The Company s environmental liabilities include a reserve of \$4.6 million and \$3.9 million at October 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, related to its facility in Lier, Belgium. The reserve was based on environmental studies that have been conducted at this site. The Lier, Belgium site is being monitored by the Public Flemish Waste Company ( PFWC ), which is the Belgian body for waste control. PFWC must approve all remediation efforts that are undertaken by the Company at this site.

The Company recorded an additional environmental liability reserve of \$6.0 million for the blending facility in Chicago, Illinois acquired in September 2006. The reserve was based upon a third party s estimate.

The Company also has recorded liabilities of \$4.3 million and \$4.2 million at October 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, for asserted and unasserted litigation, claims and/or assessments at some of its manufacturing sites and other locations where it believes the outcome of such matters will be unfavorable to the Company. These environmental liabilities were not individually significant. The Company only reserves for those unasserted claims that it believes are probable of being asserted at some time in the future. The liabilities recorded are based upon an evaluation of currently available facts with respect to each individual site, including the results of environmental studies and testing, and considering existing technology, presently enacted laws and regulations, and prior experience in remediation of contaminated sites. The Company initially provides for the estimated cost of environmental-related activities when costs can be reasonably estimated. If the best estimate of costs can only be identified as a range and no specific amount within that range can be determined more likely than any other amount within the range, the minimum of the range is accrued.

The estimated liabilities are reduced to reflect the anticipated participation of other potentially responsible parties in those instances where it is probable that such parties are legally responsible and financially capable of paying their respective shares of relevant costs. For sites that involve formal actions subject to joint and several liability, these actions have formal agreements in place to apportion the liability. The Company s

potential future obligations for environmental contingencies related to facilities acquired in the 2001 Van Leer Industrial Packaging acquisition may, under certain circumstances, be reduced by insurance coverage and seller cost sharing provisions. In connection with that acquisition, the Company was issued a 10-year term insurance policy, which insures the Company against environmental contingencies unidentified at the acquisition date, subject to a \$50.0 million aggregate self-insured retention. Liability for this first \$50.0 million of unidentified environmental contingencies is shared 70 percent by the seller and 30 percent by the Company if such contingency is identified within 10 years following the acquisition date. The Company is liable for identified environmental contingencies at the acquisition date up to an aggregate \$10.0 million, and thereafter the liability is shared 70 percent by the Company and 30 percent by the seller.

The Company anticipates that cash expenditures in future periods for remediation costs at identified sites will be made over an extended period of time. Given the inherent uncertainties in evaluating environmental exposures, actual costs may vary from those estimated at October 31, 2006. The Company s exposure to adverse developments with respect to any individual site is not expected to be material. Although environmental remediation could have a material effect on results of operations if a series of adverse developments occur in a particular quarter or fiscal year, the Company believes that the chance of a series of adverse developments occurring in the same quarter or fiscal year is remote. Future information and developments will require the Company to continually reassess the expected impact of these environmental matters.

### NOTE 15 BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company operates in three business segments: Industrial Packaging & Services; Paper, Packaging & Services; and Timber.

Operations in the Industrial Packaging & Services segment involve the production and sale of industrial packaging and related services. These products are manufactured and sold in over 40 countries throughout the world.

Operations in the Paper, Packaging & Services segment involve the production and sale of containerboard, both semi-chemical and recycled, corrugated sheets, corrugated containers and multiwall bags and related services. These products are manufactured and sold in North America.

Table of Contents 94

52

Operations in the Timber segment involve the management and sale of timber and special use properties from approximately 266,700 acres of timber properties in the southeastern United States. The Company also owns approximately 37,400 acres of timber properties in Canada, which are not actively managed at this time.

The Company s reportable segments are strategic business units that offer different products. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are substantially the same as those described in the Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

The following segment information is presented for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2006, except as to information relating to assets which is at October 31, 2006 and 2005 (Dollars in thousands):

	2006		2005		2004
Net sales:	2006		2005		2004
Industrial Packaging & Services	\$ 1,945,299	\$	1,804,169	\$	1,620,790
Paper, Packaging & Services	 668,047		607,818	<u> </u>	568,136
Timber	15,129		12,310		20,356
Total net sales	\$ 2,628,475	\$	2,424,297	\$	2,209,282
Onerating profits					
Operating profit: Operating profit before restructuring charges and timberland					
gains:					
Industrial Packaging & Services	\$ 163,072	\$	122,818	\$	111,949
Paper, Packaging & Services	64,401		40,611		29,473
Timber	10,626		7,972		13,888
Total operating profit before restructuring charges and					
timberland gains	238,099		171,401		155,310
J	·		•		•
	2006		2005		2004
Restructuring charges:					
Industrial Packaging & Services	24,034		31,375		44,975
Paper, Packaging & Services	9,193		4,271		8,936
Timber	11		90		207
Total restructuring charges	33,238		35,736		54,118
ŭ ŭ	ŕ		,		,
Timberland gains:					
Timber	41,302		56,268		7,514
Total operating profit	\$ 246,163	\$	191,933		\$ 108,706
Assets:					
Industrial Packaging & Services	\$ 1,340,553	\$	1,103,648		
Paper, Packaging & Services	248,364		278,869		
Timber	250,310		194,880		
			•		
Total segment	1,839,227		1,577,397		
Corporate and other	348,774		305,926		
Total assets	\$ 2,188,001	\$	1,883,323		
ו טוננו נוסטטוט	Ψ 2,100,001	φ	1,000,020		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense:					
Industrial Packaging & Services	\$ 57,177	\$	61,687		\$ 63,898
Paper, Packaging & Services	29,569	•	31,997		33,082
Timber	3,742		1,414		2,914

Edgar Filing: GREIF INC - Form 10-K

Total depreciation, depletion and amortization expense	\$ 90,488	\$ 95,098	\$ 99,894
Additions to long-lived assets:			
Industrial Packaging & Services	\$ 61,795	\$ 50,569	\$ 29,822
Paper, Packaging & Services	9,245	12,746	14,552
Timber	62,110	17,668	12,588
Total segment	133,150	80,983	56,962
Corporate and other	4,590	4,381	5,829
Total additions to long-lived assets	\$ 137,740	\$ 85,364	\$ 62,791

The following geographic information is presented for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2006, except as to asset information that is at October 31, 2006 and 2005 (Dollars in thousands):

	2005	2005	2004
Net sales:			
North America	\$ 1,546,381	\$ 1,323,204	\$ 1,252,062
Europe	711,641	740,806	646,839
Other	370,453	360,287	310,38
Total net sales	\$ 2,628,475	\$ 2,424,297	\$ 2,209,282

The following table presents total assets by geographic region (Dollars in thousands):

	2006	2005
Assets:		
North America	\$ 1,474,095	\$ 1,243,054
Europe	482,505	426,062
Other	231,401	214,207
Total assets	\$ 2,188,001	\$ 1,883,323

## NOTE 16 QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

The quarterly results of operations for 2006 and 2005 are shown below (Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts):

0000				A!! 00		locks 04		er ended	
2006		nuary 31	_	April 30	_	July 31		ctober 31	
Net sales	\$	582,316	\$	620,107	\$	690,475	\$	735,577	
Gross profit	\$	89,672	\$	109,443	\$	136,743		143,346	
Net income (1)	\$	33,352	\$	28,693	\$	38,336		41,738	
Earnings per share									
Basic:									
Class A Common Stock	\$	1.16	\$	0.99	\$	1.33	\$	1.44	
Class B Common Stock	\$	1.73	\$	1.49	\$	1.99	\$	2.17	
Diluted:									
Class A Common Stock	\$	1.13	\$	0.97	\$	1.30	\$	1.41	
Class B Common Stock	\$	1.73	\$	1.49	\$	1.99	\$	2.17	
Earnings per share were calculated using the following number of									
shares:									
Basic:									
Class A Common Stock	1	1,545,022	1	1,545,303	1	1,589,883	1	1,611,076	
Class B Common Stock	1	1,538,645	1	11,521,245		1,521,245	1	1,520,139	
Diluted:									
Class A Common Stock	1	1,868,331	1	11,858,020		11,871,131		11,948,518	
Class B Common Stock	1	1,538,645	1	1,530,487	1	1,521,245			
Market price (Class A Common Stock):									
High	\$	68.52	\$	69.96	\$	74.99	\$	97.68	
Low	\$	57.00	\$	56.75	\$	59.05	\$	63.10	
Close	\$	64.22	\$	67.73	\$	68.90	\$	93.71	
Market price (Class B Common Stock):					-				
High	\$	64.70	\$	64.00	\$	69.90	\$	88.49	
Low	\$	54.50	\$	55.00	\$	55.50	\$	60.00	
Close	\$	60.63	\$	59.13	\$	64.03	\$	85.41	
							•		

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes significant timberland gain in the first quarter of 2006. (See Note 6).

								ter ended
2005		nuary 31	April 30			July 31		ctober 31
Net sales	\$	582,564	\$	612,960	\$	609,046	\$	619,727
Gross profit	\$	88,726	\$	97,918	\$	93,471		110,672
Net income (1)	\$	15,136	\$	16,767	\$	50,712		22,041
Earnings per share:								
Basic:								
Class A Common Stock	\$	0.53	\$	0.58	\$	1.76	\$	0.76
Class B Common Stock	\$	0.79	\$	0.88	\$	2.63	\$	1.15
Diluted:								
Class A Common Stock	\$	0.52	\$	0.57	\$	1.71	\$	0.75
Class B Common Stock	\$	0.79	\$	0.88	\$	2.63	\$	1.15
Earnings per share were calculated using the following number of								
shares:								
Basic:								
Class A Common Stock	1	1,119,292	1	1,377,891	1	1,544,325	1	1,548,750
Class B Common Stock	11	1,640,759	1	11,561,189 11,558,440		1,558,440	1	1,547,222
Diluted:								
Class A Common Stock	11	1,527,874	11,813,749		,749 11,930,328		11,895,597	
Class B Common Stock	11	1,640,759	1	1,561,189	1	1,558,440	11,547,222	
Market price (Class A Common Stock):								
High	\$	57.95	\$	76.89	\$	75.21	\$	64.36
Low	\$	41.60	\$	57.40	\$	56.14	\$	53.61
Close	\$	57.94	\$	69.37	\$	63.00	\$	61.00
Market price (Class B Common Stock):								
High	\$	55.98	\$	71.00	\$	69.50	\$	61.19
Low	\$	41.00	\$	55.50	\$	53.25	\$	53.10
Close	\$	55.32	\$	64.25	\$	58.25	\$	57.50

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes a significant timberland gain in the third quarter of 2005 (see Note 6).

Shares of the Company s Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock are listed on the New York Stock Exchange where the symbols are GEF and GEF.B, respectively.

As of December 15, 2006, there were 428 stockholders of record of the Class A Common Stock and 115 stockholders of record of the Class B Common Stock.

### NOTE 17 SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On July 31, 2002, the Company issued \$250.0 million of Senior Subordinated Notes, which mature in August 2012 (see Note 7). The Senior Subordinated Notes are fully guaranteed, jointly and severally, by the Company s United States subsidiaries ( Guarantor Subsidiaries ). The Company s international subsidiaries are not guaranteeing the Senior Subordinated Notes ( Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries ).

Presented below are summarized condensed consolidating financial statements of Greif, Inc. (Parent), the Guarantor Subsidiaries and the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries at October 31, 2006 and 2005, and for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2006.

These summarized condensed consolidating financial statements are prepared on the equity method. Separate financial statements for the Guarantor Subsidiaries are not presented based on management s determination that they do not provide additional information that is material to investors. Therefore, the Guarantor Subsidiaries are combined in the presentation below.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

For the year ended October 31, 2006

			Guarantor	Non-Gu	arantor				
	Pare	nt S	Subsidiaries	Subsi	idiaries	Elim	ninations	Coi	nsolidated
Net sales	\$ 2,93	7 \$	1,451,238	\$ 1,2	271,174	\$	(96,874)	\$	2,628,475
Cost of products sold	3,45	8	1,208,021	1,0	34,666		(96,874)		2,149,271
Gross profit	(52	21)	243,217	2	236,508				479,204
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1,47	'5	140,345	1	117,302				259,122
Restructuring charges	(3	(6)	14,588		18,686				33,238
Gain on disposals of properties, plants and equipment, net			53,698		5,621				59,319
Operating profit	(1,96	60)	141,982	-	106,141				246,163
Interest expense, net	27,78	4	5,812		2,397				35,993
Other income (expense), net	(5	64)	(3,014)		769				(2,299)
Income before income tax expense and equity in earnings	(20.70	10)	122 156	_	104 F12				207 971
(losses) of affiliates and minority interests	(29,79	,	133,156		104,513				207,871
Income tax expense (income)	(9,74	,	40,879		32,680				63,816
Equity in earnings (losses) of affiliates and minority interests	162,17	<b>'</b> 4			(1,936)		(162,174)		(1,936)
Net income (loss)	\$ 142,11	9 \$	92,277	\$	69,897	\$	(162,174)	\$	142,119

## For the year ended October 31, 2005

		Parent		Guarantor bsidiaries	-	-Guarantor ubsidiaries	Fli	minations	Co	nsolidated
Net sales	\$	5,135	\$	1,361,988	\$	1,245,616	\$	(188,442)	\$	2,424,297
Cost of products sold	Ψ	3,722	•	1,171,704	•	1,046,526	Ţ	(188,442)	Ψ	2,033,510
Gross profit		1,413		190,284		199,090				390,787
•		•				•				·
Selling, general and administrative expenses		1,178		113,231		110,320				224,729
Restructuring charges		418		14,993		20,325				35,736
Gain on sale of timberland				·		-				·
Gain on disposals of properties, plants and equipment, net		1,226		59,348		1,037				61,611
Operating profit		1,043		121,408		69,482				191,933
Interest expense, net				34,294		6,596				40,890
Debt extinguishment charge				2,828						2,828
Other income (expense), net		15		(4,073)		8,098				4,040
Income before income toy eveness and equity in covering										
Income before income tax expense and equity in earnings (losses) of affiliates and minority interests		1,058		80,213		70,984				152,255
Income tax expense		327		24,790		21,938				47,055
Equity in earnings (losses) of affiliates and minority interests	1	03.925		24,790		(544)		(103,925)		(544)
Equity in earnings (iosses) or animates and minority interests	11	03,923				(344)		(103,925)		(344)
Net income (loss)	\$ 1	04,656	\$	55,423	\$	48,502	\$	(103,925)	\$	104,656

Edgar Filing: GREIF INC - Form 10-K

57

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

For the year ended October 31, 2004

		Guarantor	Non-Guarantor				
	Parent	Subsidiaries	Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated		
Net sales	\$ 695,219	\$ 622,042	\$ 1,150,908	\$ (258,887)	\$ 2,209,282		
Cost of products sold	592,067	533,935	969,317	(258,887)	1,836,432		
Gross profit	103,152	88,107	181,591		372,850		
Selling, general and administrative expenses	95,613	19,243	103,965		218,821		
Restructuring charges	7,924	35,441	10,753		54,118		
Gain on sale of timberland							
Gain on disposals of properties, plants and equipment, net		6,925	1,870		8,795		
Operating profit (loss)	(385)	40,348	68,743		108,706		
Interest expense, net	38,657	2,189	4,418		45,264		
Other income (expense), net	(41,110)	32,009	9,429		328		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , ,						
Income (loss) before income tax expense (benefit) and equity							
in earnings (losses) of affiliates and minority interests	(80,152)	70,168	73,754		63,770		
Income tax expense (benefit)	(19,637)	17,191	18,070		15,624		
Equity in earnings (losses) of affiliates and minority interests	108,284	,	(377)	(108,284)	(377)		
,			,	, , ,	,		
Net income (loss)	\$ 47,769	\$ 52,977	\$ 55,307	\$ (108,284)	\$ 47,769		

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS

As of October 31, 2006

	Parent	s	Guarantor ubsidiaries	_	n-Guarantor Subsidiaries	E	liminations	Co	nsolidated
ASSETS									
Current assets									
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$	1,507	\$	185,594	\$		\$	187,101
Trade accounts receivable	55,729		59,916		200,016				315,661
Inventories	301		81,388		123,315				205,004
Other current assets	279,062		28,978		62,282		(285,051)		85,271
	335,092		171,789		571,207		(285,051)		793,037
Long-term assets									
Goodwill and other intangible assets			253,576		96,563				350,139
Assets held by special purpose entities (Note 6)			50,891						50,891
Other long-term assets	1,043,898		824,398		265,462		(2,080,773)		52,985
	1,043,898		1,128,865		362,025		(2,080,773)		454,015
Proportion plants and equipment not	(616)		709,747		001 010				940.949
Properties, plants and equipment, net	(616)		709,747		231,818				940,949
	\$ 1,378,374	\$	2,010,401	\$	1,165,050	\$	(2,368,824)	\$	2,188,001
LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY									
Current liabilities									
Accounts payable	\$ 51,692	\$	66,438	\$	502,677	\$	(319,054)	\$	301,753
Short-term borrowings	Ψ 0.,002	Ψ	8,957	Ψ	23,618	Ψ	(3,254)	Ψ	29,321
Other current liabilities			401,968		34,410		(276,153)		160,225
Cirici current naominos			401,000		04,410		(270,100)		100,220
	51,692		477,363		560,705		(598,461)		491,299
Long-term liabilities									
Long-term debt	481,408								481,408
Liabilities held by special purpose entities (Note 6)	- ,		43,250						43,250
Other long-term liabilities	1,263		166,926		440,997		(286,028)		323,158
	482,671		210,176		440,997		(286,028)		847,816
Minority interest			265		4,610				4,875
Shareholders equity	844,011		1,322,597		158,738		(1,481,335)		844,011
	\$ 1,378,374	\$	2,010,401	\$	1,165,050	\$	(2,365,824)	\$	2,188,001

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS

As of October 31, 2005

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
ASSETS					
Current assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$ 29,513	\$ 92,898	\$	\$ 122,411
Trade accounts receivable	718	140,050	117,868	•	258,636
Inventories	284	54,803	115,446		170,533
Other current assets	1,381	24,748	48,243		74,372
	2,383	249,114	374,455		625,952
Long-term assets					
Goodwill and other intangible assets		178,782	109,936		288,718
Assets held by special purpose entities (Note 6)		50,891			50,891
Other long-term assets	1,146,989	618,851	9,399	(1,719,533)	55,706
	1,146,989	848,524	119,335	(1,719,533)	395,315
Properties, plants and equipment, net		586,813	275,243		862,056
	\$ 1,149,372	\$ 1,684,451	\$ 769,033	\$ (1,719,533)	\$ 1,883,323
LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY					
Current liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$ 127	\$ 101,755	\$ 132,790	\$	\$ 234,672
Short-term borrowings	Ψ 127	747	16,426	Ψ	17,173
Other current liabilities	1,620	37,694	91,825		131,139
Other durient habilities	1,020	07,004	01,020		101,100
	1,747	140,196	241,041		382,984
Long-term liabilities					
Long-term debt	416,409		13,991		430,400
Liabilities held by special purpose entities (Note 6)		43,250			43,250
Other long-term liabilities	328	250,981	42,796		294,105
	416,737	294,231	56,787		767,755
Minority interest			1,696		1,696
Shareholders equity	730,888	1,250,024	469,509	(1,719,533)	730,888
	\$ 1,149,372	\$ 1,684,451	\$ 769,033	\$ (1,719,533)	\$ 1,883,323

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

For the year ended October 31, 2006

	Parer		Guarantor Subsidiaries				Guarantor bsidiaries	Eliminations	Con	solidated
Cash flows from operating activities:										
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ (5,32	2) \$	122,686	\$	111,744	\$	\$	229,108		
Cash flows from investing activities:										
Acquisitions of companies, net of cash acquired			(107,775)					(107,775)		
Purchases of properties, plants and equipment			(40,156)		(35,474)			(75,630)		
Purchases of timber properties			(62,110)		, ,			(62,110)		
Proceeds on disposals of properties, plants and			, , ,					( , ,		
equipment			59,349		11,059			70,408		
Proceeds from insurance settlement			,		2,562			2,562		
					_,			_,		
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities			(150,692)		(21,853)			(172,545)		
Cash flows from financing activities:										
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	1,020,34	0						1,020,340		
Payments on long-term debt	(978,78	6)						(978,786)		
Proceeds from short-term borrowings					10,839			10,839		
Dividends paid	(34,52	1)						(34,521)		
Acquisition of treasury stock	(6,25	2)						(6,252)		
Exercise of stock options	4,54	1						4,541		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	5,32	2			10,839			16,161		
Effects of exchange rates on cash					(8,034)			(8,034)		
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents			(28,006)		92,696			64,690		
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year			29,513		92,898			122,411		
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	\$	1,507	\$	185,594	\$	\$	187,101		

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

For the year ended October 31, 2005

		Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries				Eliminations	Con	solidated
Cash flows from operating activities:									
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$	(4,055)	\$	59,024	\$	133,407	\$	\$	188,376
Cash flows from investing activities:									
Acquisitions of companies, net of cash acquired				(46,481)		(5,301)			(51,782)
Purchases of properties, plants and equipment				(16,231)		(69,133)			(85,364)
Proceeds on disposals of properties, plants and equipment		8,517		19,417		1,245			29,179
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		8,517		(43,295)		(73,189)			(107,967)
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt		709,000							709,000
Payments on long-term debt	(	744,868)							(744,868)
Proceeds from short-term borrowings						5,198			5,198
Dividends paid		(22,906)							(22,906)
Acquisition of treasury stock		(12,024)							(12,024)
Liabilities held by purpose entities (Note 6)		43,250							43,250
Exercise of stock options		(23,086)							23,086
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		(4,462)				5,198			736
Effects of exchange rates on cash						3,157			3,157
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents				15,729		68,573			84,302
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year				13,784		24,325			38,109
				ŕ		,			ĺ
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$		\$	29,513	\$	92,898	\$	\$	122,411

62

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

For the year ended October 31, 2004

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries				Eliminations	Con	solidated
Cash flows from operating activities:								
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 212,880	\$	(380)	\$	23,740	\$	\$	236,240
Cash flows from investing activities:								
Purchases of properties, plants and equipment	(19,120)		(21,880)		(21,791)			(62,791)
Proceeds on disposals of properties, plants and equipment	2,625		9,623		3,291			15,539
Net cash used in investing activities	(16,495)		(12,257)		(18,500)			(47,252)
Cash flows from financing activities:								
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	(191,491)							(191,491)
Payments on long-term debt								
Payments on short-term borrowings					(5,348)			(5,348)
Dividends paid	(16,893)							(16,893)
Other, net	11,999							11,999
Net cash used in financing activities	(196,385)				(5,348)			(201,733)
	, ,				, ,			,
Effects of exchange rates on cash					1,087			1,087
5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					,			,
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents			(12,637)		979			(11,658)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year			26,421		23,346			49,767
			•		•			
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	\$	13,784	\$	24,325	\$	\$	38,109

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and

Board of Directors of

Greif, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Greif, Inc. and subsidiaries as of October 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in shareholders—equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2006. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a)(2). These consolidated financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company—s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Greif, Inc. and subsidiaries at October 31, 2006 and 2005, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Greif, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated December 27, 2006, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Columbus, Ohio

December 27, 2006

64

#### ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

There has not been a change in the Company s principal independent accountants and there were no matters of disagreement on accounting and financial disclosure.

## **ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

#### **Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

With the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, Greif s management has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act )), as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report:

Information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission;

Information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure; and

Our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

## Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

#### Management s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management s annual report on internal control over financial reporting required by Item 308(a) of Regulation S-K follows. The attestation report of the independent registered public accounting firm required by Item 308(b) of Regulation S-K is

found under the caption Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm below.

The following report is provided by the Company s management on the Company s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act):

- 1. The Company s management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) for the Company.
- 2. The Company s management has used the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) framework to evaluate the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Management believes that the COSO framework is a suitable framework for its evaluation of the Company s internal control over financial reporting because it is free from bias, permits reasonably qualitative and quantitative measurements of the Company s internal controls, is sufficiently complete so that those relevant factors that would alter a conclusion about the effectiveness of the Company s internal controls are not omitted and is relevant to an evaluation of internal control over financial reporting.

## Edgar Filing: GREIF INC - Form 10-K

- 3. Management has assessed the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2006, and has concluded that such internal control over financial reporting is effective. There are no material weaknesses in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that have been identified by management.
- 4. This assessment excluded the internal control over financial reporting of Delta Petroleum Company, Inc. and its subsidiaries and an industrial packaging company in Russia, which were acquired by Greif on September 22, 2006 and August 28, 2006, respectively and whose financial statements reflect total assets and net sales constituting 7.3% and 1.0%, respectively for Delta Petroleum Company, Inc. and 0.1% and 0.0% respectively for an industrial packaging company in Russia, of the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended October 31, 2006.

Management s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2006, has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report, which follows below.

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and

Board of Directors of

Greif, Inc.:

We have audited management s annual assessment, included in the accompanying Management Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that Greif, Inc. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2006, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Greif, Inc. s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management s assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management s assessment that Greif, Inc. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the COSO criteria. Also, in our opinion, Greif, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2006, based on the COSO criteria.

As indicated in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, management s assessment of and conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting did not include the internal controls of Delta Petroleum Company, Inc. and its subsidiaries ( Delta ) and an industrial packaging company in Russia, which the Company acquired on September 22, 2006 and August 28, 2006, respectively and whose financial statements reflect total assets and net sales constituting 7.3% and 1.0%, respectively for Delta and 0.1% and 0.0% respectively for an industrial packaging company in Russia, of the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended October 31, 2006. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting of the Company also did not include an evaluation of the internal control over financial reporting of Delta and an industrial packaging company in Russia.

66

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the 2006 consolidated financial statements and schedule of Greif, Inc. and our report dated December 27, 2006, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Columbus, Ohio

December 27, 2006

67

## ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

68

#### **PART III**

#### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY

Information regarding directors of the Company required by Items 401(a) and (d)-(f) of Regulation S-K will be found under the caption Proposal Number 1 Election of Directors in the 2007 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by reference. Information regarding executive officers of the Company required by Items 401(b) and (d)-(f) of Regulation S-K will be contained under the caption Executive Officers of the Company in the 2007 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

The Company has a separately-designated standing Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act. As of the date of this filing, the members of the Audit Committee were Patrick J. Norton, Vicki L. Avril, Charles R. Chandler and Bruce A. Edwards. Mr. Norton is Chairman of the Audit Committee. The Company s Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Norton is an audit committee financial expert, as that term is defined in Item 401(h)(2) of Regulation S-K, and independent, as that term is defined in Rule 10A-3 of the Exchange Act.

Information regarding the filing of reports of ownership under Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act by the Company s officers and directors and persons owning more than 10% of a registered class of the Company s equity securities required by Item 405 of Regulation S-K will be found under the caption Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance in the 2006 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Information concerning the procedures by which stockholders may recommend nominees to the Company s Board of Directors will be found under the caption Corporate Governance Nomination of Directors in the 2007 Proxy Statement. There has been no material change to the nomination procedures previously disclosed by the Company in its proxy statement for its 2006 annual meeting of stockholders.

The Company s Board of Directors has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the Company s principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, controller, and persons performing similar functions. This code of ethics is posted on the Company s Internet Web site at www.greif.com under Investor Center Corporate Governance. Copies of this code of ethics are also available to any person, without charge, by making a written request to the Company. Requests should be

directed to Greif, Inc., Attention: Corporate Secretary, 425 Winter Road, Delaware, Ohio 43015. Any amendment (other than any technical, administrative or other non-substantive amendment) to, or waiver from, a provision of this code will be posted on the Company s Internet Web site described above within four business days following its occurrence.

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information regarding executive compensation required by Item 402 of Regulation S-K will be found under the captions Executive Compensation, Director Compensation Arrangements, Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation, Compensation Committee Report on Executive Compensation, and Performance Graph in the 2007 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information regarding security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management required by Item 403 of Regulation S-K will be found under the caption Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management in the 2007 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Information regarding equity compensation plan information required by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K will be found under the caption Equity Compensation Plan Information in the 2007 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Information regarding certain relationships and related transactions required by Item 404 of Regulation S-K will be found under the caption Certain Relationships and Related Transactions in the 2007 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

# Edgar Filing: GREIF INC - Form 10-K

Information regarding principal accounting fees and services required by Item 9(e) of Schedule 14A will be found under the caption 
Independent Auditor Fee Information 
in the 2007 Proxy Statement, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

69

## **PART IV**

## ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Form 10-K:

(1)	Consolidated Financial Statements of Greif, Inc.:	Page
	Consolidated Statements of Income for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2006	28
	Consolidated Balance Sheets at October 31, 2006 and 2005	29
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2006	31
	Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2006	32
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	33
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	66

The individual financial statements of the Company have been omitted since the Company is primarily an operating company and all subsidiaries included in the consolidated financial statements, in the aggregate, do not have minority equity interests and/or indebtedness to any person other than the Company or its consolidated subsidiaries in amounts which exceed 5 percent of total consolidated assets at October 31, 2006.

# (2) Financial Statement Schedule: Page Consolidated Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves (Schedule II) 72

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits See the Exhibit Index, which is incorporated herein by reference.

70

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Greif, Inc. (Registrant)

Date: December 29, 2006

By: /s/ MICHAEL J. GASSER

Michael J. Gasser

# Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Company and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Michael J. Gasser /s/ Donald S. Huml

Michael J. Gasser Donald S. Huml

Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer, Executive Vice President

and President and Chief Financial Officer

(principal executive officer) (principal financial officer)

/s/ Kenneth B. Andre III William B. Sparks, Jr. \*

Kenneth B. Andre III William B. Sparks, Jr.

Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Information Member of the Board of Directors
Officer

(principal accounting officer)

VICKI L. AVRIL \* CHARLES R. CHANDLER \*

Vicki L. Avril Charles R. Chandler

Member of the Board of Directors Member of the Board of Directors

MICHAEL H. DEMPSEY \* BRUCE A. EDWARDS \*

Michael H. Dempsey Bruce A. Edwards

Member of the Board of Directors

Member of the Board of Directors

DANIEL J. GUNSETT \* JUDITH D. HOOK \*

Daniel J. Gunsett Judith D. Hook

# Edgar Filing: GREIF INC - Form 10-K

## **Member of the Board of Directors**

**Member of the Board of Directors** 

PATRICK J. NORTON \*

Patrick J. Norton

## **Member of the Board of Directors**

\* The undersigned, Michael J. Gasser, by signing his name hereto, does hereby execute this Form 10-K on behalf of each of the above-named persons pursuant to powers of attorney duly executed by such persons and filed as an exhibit to this Form 10-K.

By: /s/ MICHAEL J. GASSER Michael J. Gasser

Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President

Each of the above signatures is affixed as of December 29, 2006.

**SCHEDULE II** 

## GREIF, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES

(Dollars in millions)

Description	 lance at nning of Period	ged to Costs and enses	ged to Other ounts	Dedu	ctions	nce at End of Period
Year ended October 31, 2004:						
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 11.2	\$ 0.3	\$	\$		\$ 11.5
Environmental reserves	\$ 8.8	\$ 0.6	\$ 0.8	\$	(0.7)	\$ 9.5
Year ended October 31, 2005: Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 11.5	\$ 1.0	\$ 0.5	\$	(4.5)	\$ 8.5
Environmental reserves	\$ 9.5	\$	\$ (0.5)	\$	(0.9)	\$ 8.1
Year ended October 31, 2006:						
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 8.5	\$ 3.4	\$ (0.2)	\$	(3.1)	\$ 8.6
Environmental reserves	\$ 8.1	\$ 2.2	\$ 6.4	\$	(1.8)	\$ 14.9

## **EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit No. 3(a)	Description of Exhibit  Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Greif, Inc.	If Incorporated by Reference, Document with which Exhibit was Previously Filed with SEC Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 1997, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit 3(a)
3(b)	Amendment to Amended and Restated Certificate of	therein).  Definitive Proxy Statement on Form 14A dated January
3(c)	Incorporation of Greif, Inc. Amended and Restated By-Laws of Greif, Inc.	27, 2003, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit A therein).  Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 1997, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit 3(b)
3(d)	Amendment to Amended and Restated By-Laws of Greif, Inc.	therein).  Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 1998, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit 3(c) therein).
3(e)	Amendments to Amended and Restated By-Laws of Greif, Inc.	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended April 30, 2004, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit 3.E therein).
3(f)	Amendment to Amended and Restated By-Laws of Greif, Inc.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 7, 2004, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit 99.3 therein).
4(a)	Indenture dated as of July 31, 2002, among Greif, Inc., as Issuer, the Subsidiary Guarantors named therein, and J.P. Morgan Trust Company, National Association, as Trustee.	Registration Statement on Form S-4, File No. 333-100121 (see Exhibit 4(a) therein).
4(b)	Form of Exchange Note.	Registration Statement on Form S-4, File No. 333-100121 (see Exhibit 4(b) therein).
10(a)*	Greif, Inc. Directors Stock Option Plan.	Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-26977 (see Exhibit 4(b) therein).
10(b)*	Greif, Inc. Incentive Stock Option Plan, as Amended and Restated.	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 1997, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit 10(b) therein).
10(c)*	Greif, Inc. Amended and Restated Directors Deferred Compensation Plan.	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended April 30, 2006, File No. 001-00566 (see Exhibit 10.2 therein).
10(d)*	Employment Agreement between Michael J. Gasser and Greif, Inc.	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 1998, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit 10(d) therein).
10(e)*	Employment Agreement between William B. Sparks, Jr. and Greif, Inc.	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 1998, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit 10(e) therein).
10(f)*	Supplemental Retirement Benefit Agreement.	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 1999, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit 10(i) therein).

73

If Incorporated by Reference,

# **Table of Contents**

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit	Document with which Exhibit was Previously Filed with SEC
10(g)	Share Purchase Agreement, dated October 27, 2000, as amended on January 5, 2001 and February 28, 2001, between Hühtamaki Van Leer Oyj, as the seller and Greif, Inc. as the buyer.	Current report on Form 8-K dated March 15, 2001, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit 2 therein).
10(h)*	Greif, Inc. Amended and Restated Long-Term Incentive Plan.	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended April 30, 2006, File No. 001-00566 (see Exhibit 10.1 therein).
10(i)*	Greif, Inc. Performance-Based Incentive Compensation Plan.	Definitive Proxy Statement on Form 14A dated January 25, 2002, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit B therein).
10(j)*	Greif, Inc. 2001 Management Equity Incentive and Compensation Plan.	Definitive Proxy Statement on Form DEF 14A dated January 26, 2001, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit A therein).
10(k)*	Greif, Inc. 2000 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan.	Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-61058 (see Exhibit 4(c) therein).
10(I)*	2005 Outside Directors Equity Award Plan	Definitive Proxy Statement on Form DEF 14A, File No. 001-00566, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 21, 2005 (see Exhibit A therein).
10(m)*	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement for the 2005 Outside Directors Equity Award Plan of Greif, Inc.	Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-123133 (see Exhibit 4(c) therein).
10(n)*	Form of Restricted Share Award Agreement for the 2005 Outside Directors Equity Award Plan of Greif, Inc.	Registration Statement on Form S-8, File No. 333-123133 (see Exhibit 4(d) therein).
10(o)	Credit Agreement dated as of March 2, 2005, among Greif, Inc., Greif Spain Holdings, S.L., Greif Bros. Canada Inc., Greif (UK) Ltd., Greif International Holdings B.V., and Greif Australia Pty. Ltd., as borrowers, various lending institutions, as lenders, Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch, as administrative agent, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., as joint lead arranger and sole book runner, KeyBank National Association, as joint lead arranger and syndication agent, and National City Bank, Fleet National Bank, and ING Capital LLC, as co-documentation agents.	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended January 31, 2005, File No. 001-00566 (see exhibit 10.1 therein).
10(p)	Real Estate Purchase and Sale Agreement (\$51,046,945) dated March 28, 2005 between Soterra LLC (seller) and Plum Creek Timberlands, L.P. (purchaser).	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended April 30, 2005, File No. 001-00566 (see Exhibit 10.1 therein).
10(q)	Real Estate Purchase and Sale Agreement (\$38,953,055) dated March 28, 2005 between Soterra LLC (seller) and Plum Creek Timberlands, L.P. (purchaser).	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended April 30, 2005, File No. 001-00566 (see Exhibit 10.1 therein).

74

Exhibit		If Incorporated by Reference, Document with which Exhibit was Previously Filed with
<b>No.</b> 10(r)	Description of Exhibit Receivables Purchase Agreement, dated October 31, 2003, by and among Greif Receivables Funding LLC (as seller), Greif, Inc. (as originator and servicer), Greif Containers Inc., (as originator), Scaldis Capital LLC (as purchaser) and Fortis Bank S.A./N.V. (as administrative agent).	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit 10(m) therein).
10(s)	Sale and Contribution Agreement, dates as of October 31, 2003, by and among Greif, Inc., Greif Containers Inc., Great Lakes Corrugated Corp. (collectively as sellers) and Greif Receivables Funding LLC (as purchaser).	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit 10(n) therein).
10(t)	Share and Assets Sale Agreement dated October 25, 2006, between Blagden Packaging Nederland B.V., Blagden Packaging Rumbeke NV, Blagden Packaging Michelin NV, Blagden Packaging Swollen B.V. and Vanloon Consulting Services B.V., as Vendors, and Greif Belgium BVBA, Greif Bros. Canada Inc., Greif France Holdings S.A.S, Greif International Holding B.V., Greif Nederland B.V. and Paauw Holdings, B.V., as Purchasers, relating to the acquisition of shares and assets constituting the new steel drum and other packaging business of the Blagden Group.	Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 1, 2006, File No. 001-00566 (see Exhibit 10.1 therein).
10(u)	First Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of October 16, 2006, among Greif, Inc., Greif Spain Holdings, S.L., as borrowers, various lending institutions, as lenders, and Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch, as administrative agent for the lenders.	Contained herein.
10(v)	Second Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of October 31, 2006, among Greif, Inc., Greif Spain Holdings, S.L., as borrowers, various lending institutions, as lenders, Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch, as administrative agent for the lenders, and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., as lead arranger for the revolver increase referenced therein.	Contained herein.
21	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.	Contained herein.
23	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.	Contained herein.
24(a)	Powers of Attorney for Michael J. Gasser, Charles R. Chandler, Michael H. Dempsey, Daniel J. Gunsett, David J. Olderman and William B. Sparks, Jr.	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 1997, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit 24(a) therein).
24(b)	Powers of Attorney for Judith D. Hook and Patrick J. Norton.	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2003, File No. 1-566 (see Exhibit 24(c) therein).
24(c)	Power of Attorney for Vicki L. Avril	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended October 31, 2004, File No. 001-00566 (see Exhibit 24(c) therein).

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit	If Incorporated by Reference, Document with which Exhibit was Previously Filed with SEC
24(d)	Power of Attorney for Bruce J. Edwards	Contained herein.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.	Contained herein.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.	Contained herein.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.	Contained herein.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.	Contained herein.

<sup>\*</sup> Executive compensation plans and arrangements required to be filed pursuant to Item 601(b)(10) of Regulation S-K.