NEWMONT MINING CORP /DE/ Form 8-K March 11, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): March 9, 2011

Newmont Mining Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 001-31240 84-1611629

(State or other Jurisdiction of (Commission File Number)

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

Incorporation)

6363 South Fiddlers Green Circle Greenwood Village, Colorado

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

80111

tive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (303) 863-7414

Not Applicable

(Former name or former address if changed since last report.)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

- o Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- o Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- o Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
- o Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

ITEM 8.01. OTHER EVENTS.

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Act) was enacted. Section 1503 of the Act contains new reporting requirements regarding mine safety, including disclosing on a Current Report on Form 8-K the receipt of an imminent danger order under section 107(a) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 (an Order) issued by the federal Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA).

On March 9, 2011, Boart Longyear Company, an independent contractor for Newmont USA Limited (the Company), a wholly owned subsidiary of Newmont Mining Corporation, received a verbal Order by MSHA at the Leeville mine alleging that sections of steel water well casing staged at a well site were not properly secured to prevent potential movement. The Company was not cited. The casing was secured and the Order was immediately terminated. No accident or injury occurred and no adverse impact on the Company s operations at the Leeville mine resulted from the condition alleged in the Order.

2

2

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

By: <u>/s/ Jeffrey K. Reeser</u> Name: Jeffrey K. Reeser

Title: Vice President and Secretary

Dated: March 11, 2011

3

3

style="text-align: left">

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (see General Instruction A.2 below):

Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Item 5.07. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

The 2013 annual meeting of the shareholders of Napco Security Technologies, Inc. (the "Company") was held on December 10, 2013. Matters voted on at the annual meeting and the results thereof were as follows:

Proposal 1: Election of directors. The following individuals were elected to the Company's Board of Directors to hold office until the Annual Meeting after the 2016 fiscal year.

	For	Withheld	Broker Non-Votes
Richard L. Soloway	12,013,738	144,773	6,475,728
Kevin S. Buchel	11,996,843	161,668	6,475,728

Proposal 2: Ratification of the selection of Baker Tilly Virchow Krause LLP as the Company's independent registered public accountants for fiscal 2014.

For Against Abstain 18,431,448 156,760 46,031

Proposal 3: Advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers.

For Against Abstain Broker Non-Votes 11,903,549 206,684 48,278 6,475,728

Proposal 4: Advisory vote to determine the frequency of the advisory vote to approve the compensation of the named executive officers.

Every Three Years	Every Two Years	Every Year	Abstain	Broker Non-Votes
8,530,270	1,269,176	2,303,646	55,419	6,475,728

In light of the shareholder vote on frequency of future votes on approval of named executive office compensation, the Company has decided that it will include a shareholder vote on approval of named executive officer compensation in its proxy materials every three years.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned there-under duly authorized.

NAPCO SECURITY TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: December 11, 2013 By: /s/ Kevin S. Buchel

Kevin S. Buchel

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer