

FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP
Form 10-Q
August 08, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934.

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 000-26099

FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

94-3327828
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

111 W. Pine Street, Lodi, California
(Address of principal Executive offices)

95240
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (209) 367-2300

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Edgar Filing: FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller Reporting Company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Number of shares of common stock of the registrant: Par value \$0.01, authorized 7,500,000 shares; issued and outstanding 778,939 as of July 31, 2012.

FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP

FORM 10-Q
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. - FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
Item 1 - Financial Statements	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) as of June 30, 2012, December 31, 2011 and June 30, 2011.</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.</u>	7
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.</u>	8
<u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	9
Item 2 - <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	33
Item 3 - <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	54
Item 4 - <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	57
PART II. - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1 - <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	58
Item 1A - <u>Risk Factors</u>	58
Item 2 - <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	58
Item 3 - <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	58
Item 4 - <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	58
Item 5 - <u>Other Information</u>	58
Item 6 - <u>Exhibits</u>	59
<u>Signatures</u>	59

Table of Contents

<u>Index to Exhibits</u>	59
--------------------------	----

31(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31(b) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32 Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(in thousands)

	June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2011	June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)
Assets			
Cash and Cash Equivalents:			
Cash and Due From Banks	\$ 39,673	\$ 45,112	\$ 48,604
Interest Bearing Deposits with Banks	1,096	56,548	8,100
Total Cash and Cash Equivalents	40,769	101,660	56,704
Investment Securities:			
Available-for-Sale	501,892	479,820	423,915
Held-to-Maturity	70,195	63,092	63,584
Total Investment Securities	572,087	542,912	487,499
Loans			
Loans	1,203,994	1,163,078	1,191,801
Less: Allowance for Loan Losses	33,098	33,017	32,942
Loans, Net	1,170,896	1,130,061	1,158,859
Premises and Equipment, Net			
Premises and Equipment, Net	23,361	24,058	24,620
Bank Owned Life Insurance	48,330	47,418	46,494
Interest Receivable and Other Assets	71,844	73,575	72,528
Total Assets	\$ 1,927,287	\$ 1,919,684	\$ 1,846,704
Liabilities			
Deposits:			
Demand	\$ 360,290	\$ 389,639	\$ 324,371
Interest Bearing Transaction	223,343	220,736	196,946
Savings and Money Market	542,922	498,011	494,716
Time	505,670	517,811	548,094
Total Deposits	1,632,225	1,626,197	1,564,127
Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase	-	60,000	60,000
Federal Home Loan Bank Advances	50,998	530	561
Subordinated Debentures	10,310	10,310	10,310
Interest Payable and Other Liabilities	34,979	33,301	30,602
Total Liabilities	1,728,512	1,730,338	1,665,600
Shareholders' Equity			
Preferred Stock	-	-	-
Common Stock	8	8	8
Additional Paid-In Capital	75,410	75,590	75,590
Retained Earnings	115,838	109,081	101,907
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, Net	7,519	4,667	3,599
Total Shareholders' Equity	198,775	189,346	181,104

Edgar Filing: FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Total Liabilities & Shareholders' Equity	\$1,927,287	\$ 1,919,684	\$1,846,704
--	-------------	--------------	-------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP

Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)

(in thousands except per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Interest Income				
Interest and Fees on Loans	\$16,303	\$17,490	\$32,778	\$34,997
Interest on Deposits with Banks	15	5	68	39
Interest on Investment Securities:				
Taxable	2,835	2,399	5,643	4,741
Exempt from Federal Tax	660	641	1,290	1,289
Total Interest Income	19,813	20,535	39,779	41,066
Interest Expense				
Deposits	975	1,396	2,032	2,942
Borrowed Funds	493	545	1,036	1,083
Subordinated Debentures	87	82	175	163
Total Interest Expense	1,555	2,023	3,243	4,188
Net Interest Income	18,258	18,512	36,536	36,878
Provision for Loan Losses	280	3,925	500	4,450
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses	17,978	14,587	36,036	32,428
Non-Interest Income				
Service Charges on Deposit Accounts	1,201	1,365	2,414	2,740
Increase in Cash Surrender Value of Life Insurance	456	459	912	910
Debit Card and ATM Fees	742	708	1,465	1,374
Net (Loss) Gain on Deferred Compensation Investments	(312)	(15)	619	390
Other	724	478	1,324	915
Total Non-Interest Income	2,811	2,995	6,734	6,329
Non-Interest Expense				
Salaries and Employee Benefits	8,021	7,463	15,942	14,705
Net (Loss) Gain on Deferred Compensation Investments	(312)	(15)	619	390
Occupancy	628	613	1,269	1,246
Equipment	878	711	1,596	1,425
ORE Holding Costs	78	367	93	892
FDIC Insurance	243	516	485	990
Other	3,135	1,578	4,789	3,021
Total Non-Interest Expense	12,671	11,233	24,793	22,669
Income Before Income Taxes	8,118	6,349	17,977	16,088
Provision for Income Taxes	2,956	2,194	6,625	5,807
Net Income	\$5,162	\$4,155	\$11,352	\$10,281
Basic Earnings Per Common Share	\$6.63	\$5.33	\$14.57	\$13.19

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

(in thousands)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net Income	\$5,162	\$4,155	\$11,352	\$10,281
Other Comprehensive Income				
Increase in Net Unrealized Gains on Available-for-Sale Securities	3,538	4,663	4,921	3,427
Deferred Tax Expense	(1,488)	(1,961)	(2,069)	(1,441)
Change in Net Unrealized Gains on Available-for-Sale Securities, Net of Tax	2,050	2,702	2,852	1,986
Total Other Comprehensive Income	2,050	2,702	2,852	1,986
Comprehensive Income	\$7,212	\$6,857	\$14,204	\$12,267

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited)

(in thousands except share data)

	Common Shares Outstanding	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, Net	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance, January 1, 2011	779,424	\$8	\$75,590	\$96,030	\$ 1,613	\$ 173,241
Net Income		-	-	10,281	-	10,281
Cash Dividends Declared on Common Stock (\$5.65 per share)		-	-	(4,404)	-	(4,404)
Change in Net Unrealized Gain on Securities Available for Sale, Net of Tax	-	-	-	-	1,986	1,986
Balance, June 30, 2011	779,424	\$8	\$75,590	\$101,907	\$ 3,599	\$ 181,104
Balance, January 1, 2012	779,424	\$8	\$75,590	\$109,081	\$ 4,667	\$ 189,346
Net Income		-	-	11,352	-	11,352
Cash Dividends Declared on Common Stock (\$5.90 per share)		-	-	(4,595)	-	(4,595)
Repurchase of Stock	(485)	-	(180)	-	-	(180)
Change in Net Unrealized Gain on Securities Available for Sale, Net of Tax	-	-	-	-	2,852	2,852
Balance, June 30, 2012	778,939	\$8	\$75,410	\$115,838	\$ 7,519	\$ 198,775

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP
 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)
 (in thousands)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2011
Operating Activities:		
Net Income	\$ 11,352	\$ 10,281
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:		
Provision for Loan Losses	500	4,450
Depreciation and Amortization	876	896
Net Amortization of Investment Security Discounts & Premium	1,721	373
Net Gain on Sale of Property & Equipment	-	(5)
Net Change in Operating Assets & Liabilities:		
Net Increase in Interest Receivable and Other Assets	(1,221)	(341)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Interest Payable and Other Liabilities	1,678	(244)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	14,906	15,410
Investing Activities:		
Purchase of Investment Securities Available-for-Sale	(106,797)	(67,514)
Proceeds from Sold, Matured, or Called Securities Available-for-sale	87,911	74,485
Purchase of Investment Securities Held-to-Maturity	(10,359)	(720)
Proceeds from Matured or Called Securities Held-to-Maturity	3,241	2,058
Net Loans Paid, Originated or Acquired	(41,559)	(19,627)
Principal Collected on Loans Previously Charged Off	224	59
Additions to Premises and Equipment	(179)	(1,317)
Proceeds from Disposition of Property & Equipment	-	20
Net Cash Used by Investing Activities	(67,518)	(12,556)
Financing Activities:		
Net Increase (Decrease) in Deposits	6,028	(2,376)
Net Decrease in Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase	(60,000)	-
Net Change in Other Borrowings	50,468	(30)
Stock Repurchases	(180)	-
Cash Dividends	(4,595)	(4,404)
Net Cash Used in Financing Activities	(8,279)	(6,810)
Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(60,891)	(3,956)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	101,660	60,660
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 40,769	\$ 56,704

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

1. Significant Accounting Policies

Farmers & Merchants Bancorp (the “Company”) was organized March 10, 1999. Primary operations are related to traditional banking activities through its subsidiary Farmers & Merchants Bank of Central California (the “Bank”) which was established in 1916. The Bank’s wholly owned subsidiaries include Farmers & Merchants Investment Corporation and Farmers/Merchants Corp. Farmers & Merchants Investment Corporation has been dormant since 1991. Farmers/Merchants Corp. acts as trustee on deeds of trust originated by the Bank.

The Company’s other subsidiaries include F & M Bancorp, Inc. and FMCB Statutory Trust I. F & M Bancorp, Inc. was created in March 2002 to protect the name F & M Bank. During 2002 the Company completed a fictitious name filing in California to begin using the streamlined name “F & M Bank” as part of a larger effort to enhance the Company’s image and build brand name recognition. In December 2003 the Company formed a wholly owned subsidiary, FMCB Statutory Trust I. FMCB Statutory Trust I is a non-consolidated subsidiary per generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and was formed for the sole purpose of issuing Trust Preferred Securities.

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and prevailing practice within the banking industry. The following is a summary of the significant accounting and reporting policies used in preparing the consolidated financial statements.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes thereto have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for financial information.

These statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) for interim reporting on Form 10-Q. Accordingly, certain disclosures normally presented in the notes to the annual consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) have been omitted. The Company believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information not misleading. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. The results of operations for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 may not necessarily be indicative of future operating results.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and the Company’s wholly owned subsidiaries, F & M Bancorp, Inc. and the Bank, along with the Bank’s wholly owned subsidiaries, Farmers & Merchants Investment Corporation and Farmers/Merchants Corp. Significant inter-company transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Certain amounts in the prior years’ financial statements and related footnote disclosures have been reclassified to conform to the current-year presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on previously reported net income or total shareholders’ equity. In the opinion of management, the accompanying consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments), which are necessary for a fair presentation of

financial results for the periods presented.

Table of Contents

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, the Company has defined cash and cash equivalents as those amounts included in the balance sheet captions Cash and Due from Banks, Interest Bearing Deposits with Banks, Federal Funds Sold and Securities Purchased Under Agreements to Resell. Generally, these transactions are for one-day periods. For these instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

Investment Securities

Investment securities are classified at the time of purchase as held-to-maturity if it is management's intent and the Company has the ability to hold the securities until maturity. These securities are carried at cost, adjusted for amortization of premium and accretion of discount using a level yield of interest over the estimated remaining period until maturity. Losses, reflecting a decline in value judged by the Company to be other than temporary, are recognized in the period in which they occur.

Securities are classified as available-for-sale if it is management's intent, at the time of purchase, to hold the securities for an indefinite period of time and/or to use the securities as part of the Company's asset/liability management strategy. These securities are reported at fair value with aggregate unrealized gains or losses excluded from income and included as a separate component of shareholders' equity, net of related income taxes. Fair values are based on quoted market prices or broker/dealer price quotations on a specific identification basis. Gains or losses on the sale of these securities are computed using the specific identification method.

Trading securities, if any, are acquired for short-term appreciation and are recorded in a trading portfolio and are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses recorded in non-interest income.

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") on at least a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. For securities in an unrealized loss position, management considers the extent and duration of the unrealized loss, and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer. Management also assesses whether it intends to sell, or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell, a security in an unrealized loss position before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If either of the criteria regarding intent or requirement to sell is met, the entire difference between amortized cost and fair value is recognized as impairment through earnings. For debt securities that do not meet the aforementioned criteria, the amount of impairment is split into two components as follows: (1) OTTI related to credit loss, which must be recognized in the income statement; and (2) OTTI related to other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income. The credit loss is defined as the difference between the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected and the amortized cost basis. For equity securities, the entire amount of impairment is recognized through earnings.

In order to determine OTTI for purchased beneficial interests that, on the purchase date, were not highly rated, the Company compares the present value of the remaining cash flows as estimated at the preceding evaluation date to the current expected remaining cash flows. OTTI is deemed to have occurred if there has been an adverse change in the remaining expected future cash flows.

Loans

Loans are reported at the principal amount outstanding net of unearned discounts and deferred loan fees and costs. Interest income on loans is accrued daily on the outstanding balances using the simple interest method. Loan origination fees are deferred and recognized over the contractual life of the loan as an adjustment to the yield. Loans are placed on non-accrual status when the collection of principal or interest is in doubt or when they become past due for 90 days or more unless they are both well-secured and in the process of collection. For this purpose a loan is considered well-secured if it is collateralized by property having a net realizable value in excess of the amount of the loan or is guaranteed by a financially capable party. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, the accrued and

unpaid interest receivable is reversed and charged against current income; thereafter, interest income is recognized only as it is collected in cash. Additionally, cash would be applied to principal if all principal was not expected to be collected. Loans placed on non-accrual status are returned to accrual status when the loans are paid current as to principal and interest and future payments are expected to be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan.

Table of Contents

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the original agreement. Impaired loans are either: (1) non-accrual loans; or (2) restructured loans that are still accruing interest. Loans determined to be impaired are individually evaluated for impairment. When a loan is impaired, the Company measures impairment based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, except that as a practical expedient, it may measure impairment based on a loan's observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. A loan is collateral dependent if the repayment of the loan is expected to be provided solely by the underlying collateral.

A restructuring of a loan constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if the Company for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. Restructured loans typically present an elevated level of credit risk as the borrowers are not able to perform according to the original contractual terms. Loans that are reported as TDRs are considered impaired and measured for impairment as described above.

Generally, the Company will not restructure loans for customers unless: (1) the existing loan is brought current as to principal and interest payments; and (2) the restructured loan can be underwritten to reasonable underwriting standards. If these standards are not met other actions will be pursued (e.g., foreclosure) to collect outstanding loan amounts. After restructure a determination is made whether the loan will be kept on accrual status based upon the underwriting of the restructured credit.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is an estimate of probable incurred credit losses inherent in the Company's loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. The allowance is established through a provision for loan losses which is charged to expense. Additions to the allowance are expected to maintain the adequacy of the total allowance after credit losses and loan growth. Credit exposures determined to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance. Cash received on previously charged off amounts is recorded as a recovery to the allowance. The overall allowance consists of two primary components, specific reserves related to impaired loans and general reserves for inherent losses related to loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment.

The determination of the general reserve for loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment is based on estimates made by management, to include, but not limited to, consideration of historical losses by portfolio segment, internal asset classifications, and qualitative factors to include economic trends in the Company's service areas, industry experience and trends, geographic concentrations, estimated collateral values, the Company's underwriting policies, the character of the loan portfolio, and probable losses inherent in the portfolio taken as a whole.

The Company maintains a separate allowance for each portfolio segment (loan type). These portfolio segments include: (1) commercial real estate; (2) agricultural real estate; (3) real estate construction (including land and development loans); (4) residential 1st mortgages; (5) home equity lines and loans; (6) agricultural; (7) commercial; and (8) consumer and other. The allowance for loan losses attributable to each portfolio segment, which includes both impaired loans and loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment is combined to determine the Company's overall allowance, which is included on the consolidated balance sheet.

The Company assigns a risk rating to all loans and periodically performs detailed reviews of all such loans over a certain threshold to identify credit risks and to assess the overall collectability of the portfolio. A credit grade is established at inception for smaller balance loans, such as consumer and residential real estate, and then updated only when the loan becomes contractually delinquent or when the borrower requests a modification. During these internal reviews, management monitors and analyzes the financial condition of borrowers and guarantors, trends in the industries in which borrowers operate and the fair values of collateral securing these loans. These credit quality

indicators are used to assign a risk rating to each individual loan. These risk ratings are also subject to examination by independent specialists engaged by the Company. The risk ratings can be grouped into five major categories, defined as follows:

Table of Contents

Pass – A pass loan is a strong credit with no existing or known potential weaknesses deserving of management's close attention.

Special Mention – A special mention loan has potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or in the Company's credit position at some future date. Special Mention loans are not adversely classified and do not expose the Company to sufficient risk to warrant adverse classification.

Substandard – A substandard loan is not adequately protected by the current financial condition and paying capacity of the borrower or the value of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified as substandard have a well defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. Well defined weaknesses include a project's lack of marketability, inadequate cash flow or collateral support, failure to complete construction on time or the project's failure to fulfill economic expectations. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful – Loans classified doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently known facts, conditions and values, highly questionable or improbable.

Loss – Loans classified as loss are considered uncollectible. Once a loan becomes delinquent and repayment becomes questionable, the Company will address collateral shortfalls with the borrower and attempt to obtain additional collateral. If this is not forthcoming and payment in full is unlikely, the Company will estimate its probable loss and immediately charge-off some or all of the balance.

The general reserve component of the allowance for loan losses also consists of reserve factors that are based on management's assessment of the following for each portfolio segment: (1) inherent credit risk; (2) historical losses; and (3) other qualitative factors. These reserve factors are inherently subjective and are driven by the repayment risk associated with each portfolio segment described below:

Real Estate Construction – Real Estate Construction loans including land loans generally possess a higher inherent risk of loss than other real estate portfolio segments. A major risk arises from the necessity to complete projects within specified cost and time lines. Trends in the construction industry significantly impact the credit quality of these loans, as demand drives construction activity. In addition, trends in real estate values significantly impact the credit quality of these loans, as property values determine the economic viability of construction projects.

Commercial Real Estate – Commercial real estate mortgage loans generally possess a higher inherent risk of loss than other real estate portfolio segments, except land and construction loans. Adverse economic developments or an overbuilt market impact commercial real estate projects and may result in troubled loans. Trends in vacancy rates of commercial properties impact the credit quality of these loans. High vacancy rates reduce operating revenues and the ability for properties to produce sufficient cash flow to service debt obligations.

Commercial – Commercial loans generally possess a lower inherent risk of loss than real estate portfolio segments because these loans are generally underwritten to existing cash flows of operating businesses. Debt coverage is provided by business cash flows and economic trends influenced by unemployment rates and other key economic indicators are closely correlated to the credit quality of these loans.

Agricultural Real Estate and Agricultural – Loans secured by crop production, livestock and related real estate are vulnerable to two risk factors that are largely outside the control of Company and borrowers: commodity prices and weather conditions.

Residential 1st Mortgages and Home Equity Lines and Loans – The degree of risk in residential real estate lending depends primarily on the loan amount in relation to collateral value, the interest rate and the borrower's ability to repay in an orderly fashion. These loans generally possess a lower inherent risk of loss than other real estate portfolio segments, although this is not always true as evidenced over the past several years. Economic trends determined by unemployment rates and other key economic indicators are closely correlated to the credit quality of these loans. Weak economic trends indicate that the borrowers' capacity to repay their obligations may be deteriorating.

Table of Contents

Consumer & Other – A consumer installment loan portfolio is usually comprised of a large number of small loans scheduled to be amortized over a specific period. Most installment loans are made for consumer purchases. Economic trends determined by unemployment rates and other key economic indicators are closely correlated to the credit quality of these loans. Weak economic trends indicate that the borrowers' capacity to repay their obligations may be deteriorating.

At least quarterly, the Board of Directors and management review the adequacy of the allowance, including consideration of the relative risks in the portfolio, current economic conditions and other factors. If the Board of Directors and management determine that changes are warranted based on those reviews, the allowance is adjusted. In addition, the Company's and Bank's regulators, including the FRB, DFI and FDIC, as an integral part of their examination process, review the adequacy of the allowance. These regulatory agencies may require additions to the allowance based on their judgment about information available at the time of their examinations.

Allowance for Credit Losses on Off Balance Sheet Credit Exposures

The Company also maintains a separate allowance for off balance sheet commitments. Management estimates anticipated losses using historical data and utilization assumptions. The allowance for off balance sheet commitments is included in Interest Payable and Other Liabilities on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Premises and Equipment

Premises, equipment, and leasehold improvements are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is computed principally by the straight line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Estimated useful lives of buildings range from 30 to 40 years, and for furniture and equipment from 3 to 7 years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of the terms of the respective leases, or their useful lives, which are generally 5 to 10 years. Remodeling and capital improvements are capitalized while maintenance and repairs are charged directly to occupancy expense.

Other Real Estate

Other real estate, which is included in other assets, is expected to be sold and is comprised of properties no longer utilized for business operations and property acquired through foreclosure in satisfaction of indebtedness. These properties are recorded at fair value less estimated selling costs upon acquisition. Revised estimates to the fair value less cost to sell are reported as adjustments to the carrying amount of the asset, provided that such adjusted value is not in excess of the carrying amount at acquisition. Initial losses on properties acquired through full or partial satisfaction of debt are treated as credit losses and charged to the allowance for loan losses at the time of acquisition. Subsequent declines in value from the recorded amounts, routine holding costs, and gains or losses upon disposition, if any, are included in non-interest income or expense as incurred.

Income Taxes

The Company uses the liability method of accounting for income taxes. This method results in the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities that are reflected at currently enacted income tax rates applicable to the period in which the deferred tax assets or liabilities are expected to be realized or settled. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes. The deferred provision for income taxes is the result of the net change in the deferred tax asset and deferred tax liability balances during the year. This amount, combined with the current taxes payable or refundable, results in the income tax expense for the current year.

The Company follows the standards set forth in the "Income Taxes" topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC"), which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements. This standard prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement standard for the financial statement recognition and measurement of an income tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. It also

provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition.

Table of Contents

When tax returns are filed, it is highly certain that some positions taken would be sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities, while others are subject to uncertainty about the merits of the position taken or the amount of the position that would be ultimately sustained. The benefit of a tax position is recognized in the financial statements in the period during which, based on all available evidence, management believes it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including the resolution of appeals or litigation processes, if any. Tax positions taken are not offset or aggregated with other positions. Tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold are measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50 percent likely of being realized upon settlement with the applicable taxing authority. The portion of the benefits associated with tax positions taken that exceeds the amount measured as described above is reflected as a liability for unrecognized tax benefits in the accompanying balance sheet along with any associated interest and penalties that would be payable to the taxing authorities upon examination.

Interest expense and penalties associated with unrecognized tax benefits, if any, are included in the provision for income taxes in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Dividends and Basic Earnings Per Common Share

The Company's common stock is not traded on any exchange. The shares are primarily held by local residents and are not actively traded. Basic earnings per common share amounts are computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. There are no common stock equivalent shares. Therefore, there is no presentation of diluted basic earnings per common share. See Note 6.

Segment Reporting

The "Segment Reporting" topic of the FASB ASC requires that public companies report certain information about operating segments. It also requires that public companies report certain information about their products and services, the geographic areas in which they operate, and their major customers. The Company is a holding company for a community bank, which offers a wide array of products and services to its customers. Pursuant to its banking strategy, emphasis is placed on building relationships with its customers, as opposed to building specific lines of business. As a result, the Company is not organized around discernible lines of business and prefers to work as an integrated unit to customize solutions for its customers, with business line emphasis and product offerings changing over time as needs and demands change. Therefore, the Company only reports one segment.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The "Derivatives and Hedging" topic of the FASB ASC establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. All derivatives, whether designated in hedging relationships or not, are required to be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. Changes in the fair value of those derivatives are accounted for depending on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation under specified criteria. If the derivative is designated as a fair value hedge, the changes in the fair value of the derivative and of the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in earnings. If the derivative is designated as a cash flow hedge, designed to minimize interest rate risk, the effective portions of the change in the fair value of the derivative are recorded in other comprehensive income (loss), net of related income taxes. Ineffective portions of changes in the fair value of cash flow hedges are recognized in earnings.

From time to time, the Company utilizes derivative financial instruments such as interest rate caps, floors, swaps, and collars. These instruments are purchased and/or sold to reduce the Company's exposure to changing interest rates. The Company marks to market the value of its derivative financial instruments and reflects gain or loss in earnings in the period of change or in other comprehensive income (loss). The Company was not utilizing any derivative instruments as of or for the period ended June 30, 2012, December 31, 2011 or June 30, 2011.

Comprehensive Income

The “Comprehensive Income” topic of the FASB ASC establishes standards for the reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components in the financial statements. Other comprehensive income (loss) refers to revenues, expenses, gains, and losses that generally accepted accounting principles recognize as changes in value to an enterprise but are excluded from net income. For the Company, comprehensive income includes net income and changes in fair value of its available-for-sale investment securities.

Table of Contents

Loss Contingencies

Loss contingencies, including claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business, are recorded as liabilities when the likelihood of loss is probable and an amount or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. Management does not believe there now are such matters that will have a material effect on the financial statements.

2. Investment Securities

The amortized cost, fair values, and unrealized gains and losses of the securities available-for-sale are as follows (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Losses	Fair/Book Value
June 30, 2012				
Government Agency & Government-Sponsored Entities	\$56,764	\$314	\$-	\$57,078
Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions	5,724	-	-	\$5,724
Mortgage Backed Securities (1)	414,990	12,647	-	427,637
Corporate Bonds	8,983	29	17	8,995
Other	2,458	-	-	2,458
Total	\$488,919	\$12,990	\$17	\$501,892

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Losses	Fair/Book Value
December 31, 2011				
Government Agency & Government-Sponsored Entities	\$82,195	\$413	\$13	\$82,595
Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions	5,782	-	-	5,782
Mortgage Backed Securities (1)	383,380	7,792	139	391,033
Other	410	-	-	410
Total	\$471,767	\$8,205	\$152	\$479,820

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Losses	Fair/Book Value
June 30, 2011				
Securities of U.S. Government Agencies	\$217,724	\$496	\$384	\$217,836
Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions	5,620	-	-	5,620
Mortgage Backed Securities (1)	194,051	6,782	684	200,149
Other	310	-	-	310
Total	\$417,705	\$7,278	\$1,068	\$423,915

The book values, estimated fair values and unrealized gains and losses of investments classified as held-to-maturity are as follows (in thousands):

	Book Value	Gross Unrealized Gains	Losses	Fair Value
June 30, 2012				
Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions	\$67,159	\$2,498	\$51	\$69,606
Mortgage Backed Securities (1)	806	25	-	831
Other	2,230	-	-	2,230
Total	\$70,195	\$2,523	\$51	\$72,667

	Book Value	Gross Unrealized Gains	Losses	Fair Value
December 31, 2011				
Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions	\$59,640	\$2,736	\$-	\$62,376
Mortgage Backed Securities (1)	1,205	46	-	1,251

Edgar Filing: FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Other	2,247	-	-	2,247
Total	\$63,092	\$2,782	\$-	\$65,874

June 30, 2011	Book Value	Gross Unrealized Gains	Losses	Fair Value
Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions	\$59,648	\$1,964	\$7	\$61,605
Mortgage Backed Securities (1)	1,673	72	-	1,745
Other	2,263	-	-	2,263
Total	\$63,584	\$2,036	\$7	\$65,613

(1)All Mortgage Backed Securities consist of securities collateralized by residential real estate and were issued by an agency or government sponsored entity of the U.S. government.

Table of Contents

Fair values are based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes. If a quoted market price or dealer quote is not available, fair value is estimated using quoted market prices for similar securities.

The amortized carrying amount of investment securities at June 30, 2012 by contractual maturity are shown in the following tables (in thousands):

Securities Available-for-Sale June 30, 2012	Within 1 Year	After 1 but Within 5	After 5 but Within 10	Over 10 years	Total Fair Value
Government Agency & Government-Sponsored Entities	\$ 10,031	\$ 45,845	\$ 1,202	\$ -	\$ 57,078
Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions	-	-	221	5,503	5,724
Mortgage Backed Securities	-	-	128,831	298,806	427,637
Corporate Bonds	596	8,399	-	-	8,995
Other	2,458	-	-	-	2,458
Total	\$ 13,085	\$ 54,244	\$ 130,254	\$ 304,309	\$ 501,892

Securities Held-to-Maturity June 30, 2012	Within 1 Year	After 1 but Within 5	After 5 but Within 10	Over 10 years	Total Book Value
Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions	\$ 1,025	\$ 9,928	\$ 40,648	\$ 15,558	\$ 67,159
Mortgage Backed Securities	-	806	-	-	806
Other	-	5	2,225	-	2,230
Total	\$ 1,025	\$ 10,739	\$ 42,873	\$ 15,558	\$ 70,195

Expected maturities of mortgage-backed securities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

The following tables show those investments with gross unrealized losses and their market value aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at the dates indicated (in thousands):

June 30, 2012	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions	\$ 4,774	\$ 51	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,774	\$ 51
Corporate Bonds	3,405	17	-	-	3,405	17
Total	\$ 8,179	\$ 68	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 8,179	\$ 68

December 31, 2011	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
Government Agency & Government-Sponsored Entities	\$ 4,987	\$ 13	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,987	\$ 13
Mortgage Backed Securities	85,090	139	-	-	85,090	139
Total	\$ 90,077	\$ 152	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 90,077	\$ 152

Edgar Filing: FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP - Form 10-Q

June 30, 2011	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or More		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
Government Agency & Government-Sponsored Entities	\$86,806	\$384	\$-	\$-	\$86,806	\$384
Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions	1,494	7	-	-	1,494	7
Mortgage Backed Securities	49,976	684	-	-	49,976	684
Total	\$138,276	\$1,075	\$-	\$-	\$138,276	\$1,075

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2012, the Company held 329 investment securities of which 27 were in a loss position for less than twelve months. No securities were in a loss position for twelve months or more. Management periodically evaluates each investment security for other-than-temporary impairment relying primarily on industry analyst reports and observations of market conditions and interest rate fluctuations. Management believes it will be able to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the underlying investment securities.

Securities of U.S. Government Agency and Government Sponsored Entities

The unrealized losses on the Company's investments in securities of government agency and government sponsored entities were \$0, \$13,000, and \$384,000 at June 30, 2012, December 31, 2011, and June 30, 2011, respectively. Management believes that any unrealized losses were caused by interest rate fluctuations. Repayment of these investments is guaranteed by an agency or government sponsored entity of the U.S. government. Accordingly, it is expected that the securities would not be settled at a price less than the amortized cost of the Company's investment. Because the decline in market value is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and because the Company did not intend to sell the securities and it was more likely than not that the Company would not have to sell the securities before recovery of their cost basis, the Company did not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2011 and June 30, 2011.

Mortgage Backed Securities

The unrealized losses on the Company's investments in mortgage backed securities were \$0, \$139,000, and \$684,000 at June 30, 2012, December 31, 2011, and June 30, 2011, respectively. The unrealized losses on the Company's investment in mortgage backed securities were caused by interest rate fluctuations. The contractual cash flows of these investments are guaranteed by an agency or government sponsored entity of the U.S. government. Accordingly, it is expected that the securities would not be settled at a price less than the amortized cost of the Company's investment. Because the decline in market value is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and because the Company did not intend to sell the securities and it was more likely than not that the Company would not have to sell the securities before recovery of their cost basis, the Company did not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2011 and June 30, 2011.

Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions

The continuing financial problems being experienced by certain municipalities, along with the financial stresses exhibited by some of the large monoline bond insurers have increased the overall risk associated with bank-qualified municipal bonds. As of June 30, 2012, over ninety-three percent of the Company's bank-qualified municipal bond portfolio is rated at either the issue or issuer level, and all of these ratings are "investment grade." The Company monitors the status of the approximately seven percent of the portfolio that is not rated and at the current time does not believe any of them to be exhibiting financial problems that could result in a loss in any individual security.

The unrealized losses on the Company's investments in obligations of states and political subdivisions were \$51,000, \$0, and \$7,000 at June 30, 2012, December 31, 2011, and June 30, 2011, respectively. Management believed that any unrealized losses on the Company's investment in obligations of states and political subdivisions were caused by interest rate fluctuations. Because the Company did not intend to sell the securities and it was more likely than not that the Company would not have to sell the securities before recovery of their cost basis, the Company did not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011.

Corporate Bonds

The unrealized losses on the Company's investments in corporate bonds were \$17,000 at June 30, 2012. The unrealized losses on the Company's investment in corporate bonds were caused by interest rate fluctuations. Accordingly, it is expected that the securities would not be settled at a price less than the amortized cost of the Company's investment. Because the decline in market value is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and because the Company did not intend to sell the securities and it was more likely than not that the

Company would not have to sell the securities before recovery of their cost basis, the Company did not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Proceeds from calls of securities for the periods shown were as follows:

(in thousands)	Proceeds	Gains	Losses
June 30, 2012	\$ 26,530	\$ -	\$ -
December 31, 2011	201,135	94	-
June 30, 2011	55,000	-	-

Pledged Securities

As of June 30, 2012, securities carried at \$314.9 million were pledged to secure public deposits, FHLB borrowings, and other government agency deposits as required by law. This amount at December 31, 2011, was \$373.2 million.

Table of Contents

3. Allowance for Loan Losses

The following tables show the allocation of the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment and by impairment methodology at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	Commercial Real Estate	Agricultural Real Estate	Real Estate Construction	Residential 1st Mortgages	Home Equity Lines & Loans	Agricultural	Commercial	Consumer & Other	Unallocated	Total
Year-To-Date										
Allowance										
for Loan										
Losses:										
Beginning										
Balance-										
January 1,										
2012	\$5,823	\$2,583	\$1,933	\$1,251	\$3,746	\$8,127	\$8,733	\$207	\$614	\$33,017
Charge-Offs	-	-	-	(1)	(116)	(240)	(198)	(88)	-	(643)
Recoveries	-	89	-	-	10	61	32	32	-	224
Provision	(1,446)	(39)	(33)	201	(126)	(114)	971	(11)	1,097	500
Ending										
Balance-										
June 30, 2012	\$4,377	\$2,633	\$1,900	\$1,451	\$3,514	\$7,834	\$9,538	\$140	\$1,711	\$33,098
Second										
Quarter										
Allowance										
for Loan										
Losses:										
Beginning										
Balance-										
April 1, 2012	\$4,443	\$2,775	\$2,201	\$1,295	\$3,552	\$8,757	\$8,637	\$162	\$1,120	\$32,942
Charge-Offs	-	-	-	(1)	(47)	(240)	-	(24)	-	(312)
Recoveries	-	89	-	-	2	59	24	14	-	188
Provision	(66)	(231)	(301)	157	7	(742)	877	(12)	591	280
Ending										
Balance-										
June 30, 2012	\$4,377	\$2,633	\$1,900	\$1,451	\$3,514	\$7,834	\$9,538	\$140	\$1,711	\$33,098
Ending										
Balance										
Individually										
Evaluated for										
Impairment	-	-	-	51	60	586	197	21	-	915
Ending										
Balance										
Collectively										
Evaluated for										
Impairment	4,377	2,633	1,900	1,400	3,454	7,248	9,341	119	1,711	32,183

Edgar Filing: FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Loans:										
Ending Balance	\$324,795	\$285,630	\$36,033	\$123,053	\$46,284	\$210,780	\$171,621	\$5,798	\$-	\$1,203,994
Ending Balance Individually Evaluated for Impairment	299	1,512	-	495	826	874	363	21	-	4,390
Ending Balance Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	324,496	284,118	36,033	122,558	45,458	209,906	171,258	5,777	-	1,199,604
	Commercial Real Estate	Agricultural Real Estate	Real Estate Construction	Residential 1st Mortgages	Home Equity Lines & Loans	Agricultural	Commercial	Consumer & Other	Unallocated	Total
December 31, 2011	Real Estate	Real Estate	Real Estate Construction	Residential 1st Mortgages	Home Equity Lines & Loans	Agricultural	Commercial	Consumer & Other	Unallocated	Total
Year-To-Date Allowance for Loan Losses:										
Beginning Balance- January 1, 2011	\$7,631	\$1,539	\$2,160	\$1,164	\$3,724	\$6,733	\$9,084	\$216	\$10	\$32,261
Charge-Offs	(25)	(384)	-	(449)	(751)	(3,559)	(788)	(190)	-	(6,146)
Recoveries	-	18	-	4	13	10	21	61	-	127
Provision	(1,783)	1,410	(227)	532	760	4,943	416	120	604	6,775
Ending Balance- December 31, 2011	\$5,823	\$2,583	\$1,933	\$1,251	\$3,746	\$8,127	\$8,733	\$207	\$614	\$33,017
Ending Balance Individually Evaluated for Impairment	686	-	-	-	80	793	54	23	-	1,636
Ending Balance Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	5,137	2,583	1,933	1,251	3,666	7,334	8,679	184	614	31,381
Loans:										
Ending Balance	\$305,704	\$280,139	\$29,607	\$107,421	\$50,956	\$217,227	\$165,089	\$6,935	\$-	\$1,163,078
Ending Balance Individually	4,562	954	-	1,194	576	1,337	292	23	-	8,938

Edgar Filing: FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Evaluated for Impairment Ending Balance Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	301,142	279,185	29,607	106,227	50,380	215,890	164,797	6,912	-	1,154,140
	Commercial Real Estate	Agricultural Real Estate	Real Estate Construction	Residential 1st Mortgages	Home Equity Lines & Loans	Agricultural	Commercial	Consumer & Other	Unallocated	Total
June 30, 2011										
Year-To-Date Allowance for Loan Losses:										
Beginning Balance- January 1, 2011	\$7,631	\$1,539	\$2,160	\$1,164	\$3,724	\$6,733	\$9,084	\$216	\$10	\$32,261
Charge-Offs	(13)	-	-	(340)	(462)	(2,750)	(176)	(87)	-	(3,828)
Recoveries	-	-	-	4	7	15	9	24	-	59
Provision	(1,892)	1,274	202	370	181	2,286	1,877	99	53	4,450
Ending Balance- June 30, 2011	\$5,726	\$2,813	\$2,362	\$1,198	\$3,450	\$6,284	\$10,794	\$252	\$63	\$32,942
Second Quarter Allowance for Loan Losses:										
Beginning Balance- April 1, 2011	\$5,830	\$2,471	\$2,707	\$1,088	\$3,645	\$7,284	\$9,012	\$191	\$103	\$32,331
Charge-Offs	(13)	-	-	(36)	(363)	(2,750)	(140)	(52)	-	(3,354)
Recoveries	-	-	-	4	5	15	4	12	-	40
Provision	(91)	342	(345)	142	163	1,735	1,918	101	(40)	3,925
Ending Balance- June 30, 2011	\$5,726	\$2,813	\$2,362	\$1,198	\$3,450	\$6,284	\$10,794	\$252	\$63	\$32,942
Ending Balance Individually Evaluated for Impairment	500	400	-	-	40	350	65	34	-	1,389
Ending Balance Collectively	5,226	2,413	2,362	1,198	3,410	5,934	10,729	218	63	31,553

Edgar Filing: FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Evaluated for
Impairment

Loans:

Ending

Balance	\$ 316,914	\$ 275,581	\$ 28,071	\$ 104,647	\$ 54,531	\$ 225,870	\$ 178,729	\$ 7,458	\$ -	\$ 1,191,801
---------	------------	------------	-----------	------------	-----------	------------	------------	----------	------	--------------

Ending

Balance

Individually

Evaluated for

Impairment	3,289	3,321	-	441	320	4,077	332	37	-	11,817
------------	-------	-------	---	-----	-----	-------	-----	----	---	--------

Ending

Balance

Collectively

Evaluated for

Impairment	313,625	272,260	28,071	104,206	54,211	221,793	178,397	7,421	-	1,179,984
------------	---------	---------	--------	---------	--------	---------	---------	-------	---	-----------

Table of Contents

The following tables show the loan portfolio allocated by management's internal risk ratings at the dates indicated (in thousands):

June 30, 2012	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Total Loans
Loans:				
Commercial Real Estate	\$ 287,439	\$ 30,475	\$ 6,881	\$ 324,795
Agricultural Real Estate	261,347	20,362	3,921	285,630
Real Estate Construction	28,169	3,217	4,647	36,033
Residential 1st Mortgages	120,787	1,235	1,031	123,053
Home Equity Lines & Loans	43,895	-	2,389	46,284
Agricultural	202,469	5,515	2,796	210,780
Commercial	165,113	5,906	602	171,621
Consumer & Other	5,565	-	233	5,798
Total	\$ 1,114,784	\$ 66,710	\$ 22,500	\$ 1,203,994

December 31, 2011	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Total Loans
Loans:				
Commercial Real Estate	\$ 265,201	\$ 15,186	\$ 25,317	\$ 305,704
Agricultural Real Estate	254,181	21,657	4,301	280,139
Real Estate Construction	21,428	3,217	4,962	29,607
Residential 1st Mortgages	104,609	1,483	1,329	107,421
Home Equity Lines & Loans	49,631	-	1,325	50,956
Agricultural	209,555	4,083	3,589	217,227
Commercial	158,273	5,240	1,576	165,089
Consumer & Other	6,528	-	407	6,935
Total	\$ 1,069,406	\$ 50,866	\$ 42,806	\$ 1,163,078

June 30, 2011	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Total Loans
Loans:				
Commercial Real Estate	\$ 276,531	\$ 16,372	\$ 24,011	\$ 316,914
Agricultural Real Estate	248,063	20,188	7,330	275,581
Real Estate Construction	19,855	3,217	4,999	28,071
Residential 1st Mortgages	101,436	2,350	861	104,647
Home Equity Lines & Loans	53,928	-	603	54,531
Agricultural	198,109	20,271	7,490	225,870
Commercial	170,421	6,427	1,881	178,729
Consumer & Other	6,872	-	586	7,458
Total	\$ 1,075,215	\$ 68,825	\$ 47,761	\$ 1,191,801

See "Note 1. Significant Accounting Policies - Allowance for Loan Losses" for a description of the internal risk ratings used by the Company. There were no loans outstanding at June 30, 2012, December 31, 2011, and June 30, 2011 rated doubtful or loss.

Edgar Filing: FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following tables show an aging analysis of the loan portfolio by the time past due at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	30-89 Days	90 Days or More and Still Accruing	Nonaccrual	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans
June 30, 2012	Past Due					
Loans:						
Commercial Real Estate	\$105	\$ -	\$-	\$105	\$324,690	\$324,795
Agricultural Real Estate	-	-	1,512	1,512	284,118	285,630
Real Estate Construction	-	-	-	-	36,033	36,033
Residential 1st Mortgages	11	-	452	463	122,590	123,053
Home Equity Lines & Loans	132	-	346	478	45,806	46,284
Agricultural	2,384	-	587	2,971	207,809	210,780
Commercial	327	-	97	424	171,197	171,621
Consumer & Other	44	-	21	65	5,733	5,798
Total	\$3,003	\$ -	\$3,015	\$6,018	\$1,197,976	\$1,203,994

	30-89 Days	90 Days or More and Still Accruing	Nonaccrual	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans
December 31, 2011	Past Due					
Loans:						
Commercial Real Estate	\$-	\$ -	\$1,354	\$1,354	\$304,350	\$305,704
Agricultural Real Estate	-	-	954	954	279,185	280,139
Real Estate Construction	-	-	-	-	29,607	29,607
Residential 1st Mortgages	108	-	284	392	107,029	107,421
Home Equity Lines & Loans	566	-	194	760	50,196	50,956
Agricultural	284	-	1,202	1,486	215,741	217,227
Commercial	179	-	217	396	164,693	165,089
Consumer & Other	101	-	23	124	6,811	6,935
Total	\$1,238	\$ -	\$4,228	\$5,466	\$1,157,612	\$1,163,078

	30-89 Days	90 Days and Still Accruing	Nonaccrual	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans
June 30, 2011	Past Due					
Loans:						
Commercial Real Estate	\$163	\$ -	\$243	\$406	\$316,508	\$316,914
Agricultural Real Estate	435	-	3,321	3,756	271,825	275,581
Real Estate Construction	-	-	-	-	28,071	28,071
Residential 1st Mortgages	570	-	358	928	103,719	104,647
Home Equity Lines & Loans	358	-	246	604	53,927	54,531
Agricultural	299	-	3,437	3,736	222,134	225,870
Commercial	950	-	332	1,282	177,447	178,729
Consumer & Other	74	-	37	111	7,347	7,458
Total	\$2,849	\$ -	\$7,974	\$10,823	\$1,180,978	\$1,191,801

Table of Contents

The following tables show information related to impaired loans for the periods indicated (in thousands):

June 30, 2012	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012	Interest	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	Interest
				Average Recorded Investment	Income Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:							
Commercial							
Real Estate	\$298	\$299	\$-	\$ 720	\$ 6	\$ 1,035	\$ 9
Agricultural							
Real Estate	1,514	1,777	-	1,224	-	1,085	-
Residential 1st							
Mortgages	387	416	-	340	-	549	-
Home Equity							
Lines & Loans	750	821	-	762	7	704	11
Agricultural	288	288	-	299	6	293	10
Commercial	118	118	-	179	2	197	2
	\$3,355	\$3,719	\$-	\$ 3,524	\$ 21	\$ 3,863	\$ 32
With an allowance recorded:							
Commercial							
Real Estate	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 755	\$ -
Residential 1st							
Mortgages	108	109	51	108	-	81	-
Home Equity							
Lines & Loans	78	82	60	133	1	142	2
Agricultural	587	1,566	586	717	-	840	-
Commercial	245	252	197	173	-	138	-
Consumer &							
Other	21	22	21	22	-	23	-
	\$1,039	\$2,031	\$915	\$ 1,153	\$ 1	\$ 1,979	\$ 2
Total	\$4,394	\$5,750	\$915	\$ 4,677	\$ 22	\$ 5,842	\$ 34
December 31, 2011							
	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized		
With no related allowance recorded:							
Commercial							
Real Estate	\$ 1,555	\$ 1,547	\$ -	\$ 729	\$ -		
Agricultural							
Real Estate	955	974	-	1,341	-		

Edgar Filing: FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Residential					
1st Mortgages	1,219	1,272	-	936	13
Home Equity					
Lines &					
Loans	469	484	-	290	2
Agricultural	262	372	-	149	9
Commercial	188	264	-	195	1
Consumer &					
Other	-	-	-	5	-
	\$ 4,648	\$ 4,913	\$ -	\$ 3,645	\$ 25

With an allowance recorded:

Commercial					
Real Estate	\$ 3,017	\$ 3,015	\$ 686	\$ 2,281	\$ 89
Agricultural					
Real Estate	-	-	-	529	-
Home Equity					
Lines &					
Loans	113	119	80	117	2
Agricultural	1,076	1,791	793	1,818	25
Commercial	104	107	54	120	-
Consumer &					
Other	24	24	23	31	-
	\$ 4,334	\$ 5,056	\$ 1,636	\$ 4,896	\$ 116
Total	\$ 8,982	\$ 9,969	\$ 1,636	\$ 8,541	\$ 141

June 30, 2011	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	Interest	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	Interest
				Average Recorded Investment	Income Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:							
Commercial							
Real Estate	\$244	\$264	\$-	\$ 272	\$ -	\$ 465	\$ -
Agricultural							
Real Estate	2,499	2,499	-	1,737	-	1,356	-
Residential 1st							
Mortgages	443	579	-	624	1	897	1
Home Equity							
Lines & Loans	246	246	-	251	-	192	-
Agricultural	146	146	-	97	-	65	-
Commercial	190	191	-	203	-	214	-
Consumer &							
Other	-	-	-	11	-	13	-
	\$3,768	\$3,925	\$-	\$ 3,195	\$ 1	\$ 3,202	\$ 1

With an allowance recorded:

Edgar Filing: FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Commercial							
Real Estate	\$3,048	\$3,046	\$500	\$ 1,537	\$ 2	\$ 1,174	\$ 2
Agricultural							
Real Estate	826	822	400	826	-	826	-
Home Equity							
Lines & Loans	74	83	40	141	1	158	1
Agricultural	3,931	6,245	350	2,331	9	1,532	9
Commercial	141	141	65	104	-	86	-
Consumer &							
Other	38	63	34	38	-	32	-
	\$8,058	\$10,400	\$1,389	\$ 4,977	\$ 12	\$ 3,808	\$ 12
Total	\$11,826	\$14,325	\$1,389	\$ 8,172	\$ 13	\$ 7,010	\$ 13

Table of Contents

Total recorded investment shown in the prior table will not equal the total ending balance of loans individually evaluated for impairment on the allocation of allowance table. This is because the calculation of recorded investment for purposes of this table takes into account charge-offs, net deferred loans fees & costs, unamortized premium or discount, and accrued interest.

At June 30, 2012, the Company allocated \$190,000 of specific reserves to \$1.7 million of troubled debt restructured loans, of which \$1.4 million were performing. The Company had no commitments at June 30, 2012 to lend additional amounts to customers with outstanding loans that are classified as troubled debt restructurings.

During the three and six month periods ending June 30, 2012, the terms of certain loans were modified as troubled debt restructurings. The modification of the terms of such loans included one or a combination of the following: a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan; an extension of the maturity date at a stated rate of interest lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk; or a permanent reduction of the recorded investment in the loan.

Modifications involving a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan were for periods of 5 years. Modifications involving an extension of the maturity date were for periods ranging from 6 months to 15 years.

The following table presents loans by class modified as troubled debt restructured loans for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012			Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		
	Number of Loans	Outstanding Recorded Investment	Outstanding Recorded Investment	Number of Loans	Outstanding Recorded Investment	Outstanding Recorded Investment
Troubled Debt Restructurings						
Commercial Real Estate	-	\$ -	\$ -	1	\$ 116	\$ 116
Residential 1st Mortgages	1	30	29	4	146	139
Home Equity Lines & Loans	2	294	258	3	368	326
Agricultural	-	-	-	1	180	180
Commercial	1	147	147	3	273	273
Total	4	\$ 471	\$ 434	12	\$ 1,083	\$ 1,034

Prior to classifying these loans as TDRs, general loss reserves of \$124,000 were allocated to them under the Company's loan loss allowance methodology. At the time they were classified as TDRs, charge-offs of \$49,000 were recorded. Based upon individual evaluation of the loans \$147,000 of specific loss reserves were required at June 30, 2012. The Company had no commitments at June 30, 2012, December 31, 2011 or June 30, 2011 to lend additional amounts to customers with outstanding loans that are classified as troubled debt restructurings.

During the twelve months ended June 30, 2012, there were no loans modified as troubled debt restructurings for which there was a payment default within twelve months following the modification. The Company considers a loan to be in payment default once it is greater than 90 days contractually past due under the modified terms.

At December 31, 2011, the Company allocated \$759,000 of specific reserves to \$4.9 million of troubled debt restructured loans, of which \$4.7 million were performing. The Company had no commitments at December 31, 2011 to lend additional amounts to customers with outstanding loans that are classified as troubled debt restructurings.

During the period ending December 31, 2011, the terms of certain loans were modified as troubled debt restructurings. The modification of the terms of such loans included one or a combination of the following: a reduction of the stated

interest rate of the loan; an extension of the maturity date at a stated rate of interest lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk; or a permanent reduction of the recorded investment in the loan.

Modifications involving a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan were for periods ranging from 2 years to 8 years. Modifications involving an extension of the maturity date were for periods ranging from 3 years to 10 years.

Table of Contents

The following table presents loans by class modified as troubled debt restructured loans for period ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Number of Loans	December 31, 2011	
		Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
Troubled Debt Restructurings			
Commercial Real Estate	3	\$ 3,224	\$ 3,224
Residential 1st Mortgages	5	995	940
Home Equity Lines & Loans	7	381	362
Agricultural	1	140	140
Commercial	2	82	82
Consumer & Other	1	24	24
Total	19	\$ 4,846	\$ 4,772

Prior to classifying these loans as TDRs, general loss reserves of \$680,000 were allocated to them under the Company's loan loss allowance methodology. At the time they were classified as TDRs, charge-offs of \$74,000 were recorded. Based upon individual evaluation of the loans, specific loss reserves of \$759,000 were required at December 31, 2011.

The following table presents loans by class modified as troubled debt restructurings for which there was a payment default within twelve months following the modification during the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

Troubled Debt Restructurings That Subsequently Defaulted	December 31, 2011	
	Number of Loans	Recorded Investment
Commercial Real Estate	-	\$ -
Agricultural Real Estate	-	-
Real Estate Construction	-	-
Residential 1st Mortgages	-	-
Home Equity Lines & Loans	1	12
Agricultural	-	-
Commercial	-	-
Consumer & Other	-	-
Total	1	\$ 12

The troubled debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted did not increase the allowance for loan losses but did result in charge offs of \$12,000 during the twelve month period ending December 31, 2011.

4. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Generally accepted accounting principles require disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized on the balance sheet, for which it is practical to estimate that value. The estimated fair value amounts have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. The use of assumptions and various valuation techniques, as well as the absence of secondary markets for certain financial instruments, will likely reduce the comparability of fair value disclosures between financial institutions. In some cases, book value is a reasonable estimate of fair value due to the relatively short period of time

between origination of the instrument and its expected realization.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize the book value and estimated fair value of financial instruments for the periods indicated:

June 30, 2012 (in thousands)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value of Financial Instruments Using			Total Estimated Fair Value
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Assets:					
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$40,769	\$40,769	\$ -	\$ -	\$40,769
Investment Securities Available-for-Sale:					
Government Agency & Government-Sponsored Entities	57,078	10,724	46,354	-	57,078
Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions	5,724	-	-	5,724	5,724
Mortgage Backed Securities	427,637	-	427,637	-	427,637
Corporate Bonds	8,995	-	8,995	-	8,995
Other	2,458	2,148	310	-	2,458
Total Investment Securities Available-for-Sale	501,892	12,872	483,296	5,724	501,892
Investment Securities Held-to-Maturity:					
Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions	67,159	-	61,820	7,786	69,606
Mortgage Backed Securities	806	-	831	-	831
Other	2,230	-	2,230	-	2,230
Total Investment Securities Held-to-Maturity	70,195	-	64,881	7,786	72,667
FHLB Stock	7,368	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Loans, Net of Deferred Loan Fees & Allowance:					
Commercial Real Estate	320,418	-	-	330,783	330,783
Agricultural Real Estate	282,997	-	-	292,152	292,152
Real Estate Construction	34,133	-	-	34,423	34,423
Residential 1st Mortgages	121,602	-	-	125,110	125,110
Home Equity Lines and Loans	42,770	-	-	45,809	45,809
Agricultural	202,946	-	-	202,991	202,991
Commercial	162,083	-	-	161,242	161,242
Consumer & Other	5,658	-	-	5,731	5,731
Unallocated Allowance	(1,711)	-	-	(1,711)	(1,711)
Total Loans, Net of Deferred Loan Fees & Allowance	1,170,896	-	-	1,196,530	1,196,530
Accrued Interest Receivable	7,223	-	7,223	-	7,223

Liabilities:					
Deposits:					
Demand	360,290	360,290	-	-	360,290
Interest Bearing Transaction	223,343	223,343	-	-	223,343
Savings and Money Market	542,922	542,922	-	-	542,922
Time	505,670	-	506,638	-	506,638
Total Deposits	1,632,225	1,126,555	506,638	-	1,633,193
FHLB Advances & Securities Sold Under					
Agreement to Repurchase	50,998	-	51,065	-	51,065
Subordinated Debentures	10,310	-	5,841	-	5,841
Accrued Interest Payable	796	-	796	-	796

Table of Contents

December 31, 2011 (in thousands)	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
Assets:		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$101,660	\$101,660
Investment Securities Available-for-Sale	479,820	479,820
Investment Securities Held-to-Maturity	63,092	65,874
FHLB Stock	7,035	N/A
Loans, Net of Deferred Loan Fees & Allowance	1,130,061	1,162,261
Accrued Interest Receivable	6,368	6,368
Liabilities:		
Deposits:		
Demand	389,639	389,639
Interest-Bearing	1,236,558	1,237,849
FHLB Advances & Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase	60,530	63,000
Subordinated Debentures	10,310	5,953
Accrued Interest Payable	911	911
June 30, 2011 (in thousands)	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
Assets:		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$56,704	\$56,704
Investment Securities Available-for-Sale	423,915	423,915
Investment Securities Held-to-Maturity	63,584	65,613
FHLB Stock	7,035	N/A
Loans, Net of Deferred Loan Fees & Allowance	1,158,859	1,190,214
Accrued Interest Receivable	7,486	7,486
Liabilities:		
Deposits:		
Demand	324,371	324,371
Interest-Bearing	1,239,756	1,241,171
FHLB Advances & Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase	60,561	64,723
Subordinated Debentures	10,310	4,373
Accrued Interest Payable	1,387	1,387

Fair value estimates presented herein are based on pertinent information available to management as of June 30, 2012, December 31, 2011, and June 30, 2011. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purpose of these financial statements since that date, and; therefore, current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented above. The methods and assumptions used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument listed in the table above are explained below.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash and due from banks, interest bearing deposits with banks, federal funds sold, and securities purchased under agreements to resell are a reasonable estimate of fair value. All cash and cash equivalents are classified as Level 1.

Investment Securities: Fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes, where available. If quoted market prices or dealer quotes are not available, fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments. Based on the available market information the classification level could be 1, 2, or 3.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock: It is not practical to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability.

Table of Contents

Loans, Net of Deferred Loan Fees & Allowance: Fair values of loans are estimated as follows: For variable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values resulting in a Level 3 classification. Fair values for other loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality resulting in a Level 3 classification. Impaired loans are valued at the lower of cost or fair value as described previously. The methods utilized to estimate the fair value of loans do not necessarily represent an exit price.

Deposit Liabilities: The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest and non-interest checking, passbook savings, and certain types of money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amount) resulting in a Level 1 classification. Fair values for fixed-maturity certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flows calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits resulting in a Level 2 classification.

FHLB Advances & Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase: The fair value of federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings is approximated by the book value resulting in a Level 2 classification. The fair value for Federal Home Loan Bank advances is determined using discounted future cash flows resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Subordinated Debentures: The fair values of the Company's Subordinated Debentures are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements resulting in a Level 2 classification.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable: The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable and payable approximates their fair value resulting in a Level 2 classification.

5. Fair Value Measurements

The Company follows the "Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures" topic of the FASB ASC, which establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This standard applies whenever other standards require, or permit, assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value but does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. In this standard, the FASB clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. In support of this principle, this standard establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

Level 1 inputs – Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 inputs - Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These might include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

Level 3 inputs - Unobservable inputs for determining the fair values of assets or liabilities that reflect an entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.

Management monitors the availability of observable market data to assess the appropriate classification of financial instruments within the fair value hierarchy. Changes in economic conditions or model-based valuation techniques may

require the transfer of financial instruments from one fair value level to another. In such instances, the transfer is reported at the beginning of the reporting period.

Management evaluates the significance of transfers between levels based upon the nature of the financial instrument and size of the transfer relative to total assets, total liabilities or total earnings.

Table of Contents

Securities classified as available-for-sale are reported at fair value on a recurring basis utilizing Level 1, 2 and 3 inputs. For these securities, the Company obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond's terms and conditions, among other things.

The Company does not record all loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However, from time to time, a loan is considered impaired and an allowance for loan losses is established. Once a loan is identified as individually impaired, management measures impairment in accordance with the "Receivable" topic of the FASB ASC. The fair value of impaired loans is estimated using one of several methods, including collateral value when the loan is collateral dependent, market value of similar debt, enterprise value, and discounted cash flows. Those impaired loans not requiring an allowance represent loans for which the fair value of the expected repayments or collateral exceed the recorded investments in such loans. At June 30, 2012, substantially all impaired loans were evaluated based on the fair value of the collateral. Impaired loans where an allowance is established based on the fair value of collateral require classification in the fair value hierarchy. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value which uses observable data, the Company records the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 2. Otherwise, the Company records the impaired loan as nonrecurring Level 3.

Other Real Estate ("ORE") is reported at fair value on a non-recurring basis. When the fair value of the ORE is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value which uses observable data, the Company records the ORE as nonrecurring Level 2. Otherwise, the Company records the ORE as nonrecurring Level 3. Other real estate is reported in Interest Receivable and Other Assets on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Table of Contents

The following tables present information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and indicate the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value for the periods indicated.

(in thousands)	Fair Value Total	Fair Value Measurements At June 30, 2012, Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Available-for-Sale Securities:				
Government Agency & Government-Sponsored Entities	\$57,078	\$10,724	\$46,354	\$ -
Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions	5,724	-	-	5,724
Mortgage Backed Securities	427,637	-	427,637	-
Corporate Bonds	8,995	-	8,995	-
Other	2,458	2,148	310	-
Total Assets Measured at Fair Value On a Recurring Basis	\$501,892	\$12,872	\$483,296	\$ 5,724

(in thousands)	Fair Value Total	Fair Value Measurements At December 31, 2011, Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Available-for-Sale Securities:				
Government Agency & Government-Sponsored Entities	\$82,595	\$21,109	\$61,486	\$ -
Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions	5,782	-	-	5,782
Mortgage Backed Securities	391,033	-	391,033	-
Other	410	-	410	-
Total Assets Measured at Fair Value On a Recurring Basis	\$479,820	\$21,109	\$452,929	\$ 5,782

(in thousands)	Fair Value Total	Fair Value Measurements At June 30, 2011, Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Available-for-Sale Securities:				
Government Agency & Government-Sponsored Entities	\$82,595	\$21,109	\$61,486	\$ -
Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions	5,782	-	-	5,782
Mortgage Backed Securities	391,033	-	391,033	-
Other	410	-	410	-
Total Assets Measured at Fair Value On a Recurring Basis	\$479,820	\$21,109	\$452,929	\$ 5,782

Edgar Filing: FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Available-for-Sale Securities:

Government Agency & Government-Sponsored Entities	\$217,836	\$-	\$217,836	\$ -
Obligations of States and Political Subdivisions	5,620	-	5,620	-
Mortgage Backed Securities	200,149	-	200,149	-
Other	310	-	310	-
Total Assets Measured at Fair Value On a Recurring Basis	\$423,915	\$-	\$423,915	\$ -

Table of Contents

Fair values for Level 2 available-for-sale investment securities are based on quoted market prices for similar securities. During the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, there were no transfers in or out of level 1, 2, or 3.

The following table presents changes in level 3 assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Balance at Beginning of Period	\$5,753	\$ -	\$5,782	\$ -
Total Realized and Unrealized Gains/(Losses) Included in Income	-	-	-	-
Total Unrealized Gains/(Losses) Included in Other Comprehensive Income	-	-	-	-
Purchase of Securities	-	-	-	-
Sales, Maturities, and Calls of Securities	(29)	-	(58)	-
Net Transfers In/(Out) of Level 3	-	-	-	-
Balance at End of Period	\$5,724	\$ -	\$5,724	\$ -

Available for sale investments securities categorized as Level 3 assets primarily consist of obligations of states and political subdivisions. These bonds were issued by local housing authorities and have no active market. These bonds are carried at historical cost, which approximates fair value, unless economic conditions for the municipality changes to a degree requiring a valuation adjustment.

The following tables present information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and indicate the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value for the periods indicated. During the fourth quarter of 2011 management observed that the appraised values of impaired loans and ORE were increasingly relying upon discounted cash flow analysis, not observable comparable market sales. This resulted in the shift from Level 2 inputs to Level 3 inputs beginning with the December 31, 2011, reporting period.

(in thousands)	Fair Value Measurements At June 30, 2012, Using			
	Fair Value Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Impaired Loans				
Residential 1st Mortgage	\$86	\$-	\$-	\$ 86
Home Equity Lines and Loans	428	-	-	428
Commercial	48	-	-	48
Total Impaired Loans	562	-	-	562
Other Real Estate				
Real Estate Construction	2,553	-	-	2,553
Total Other Real Estate	2,553	-	-	2,553
	\$3,115	\$-	\$-	\$ 3,115

Total Assets Measured at Fair Value On a Non-Recurring
Basis

Impaired loans with a partial charge-off or where an allowance was established were \$562,000, net of an allowance for loan losses of \$915,000. Impaired loans are collateral dependent and have been adjusted to fair value based on the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, less estimated selling costs. If the Company determines that the value of an impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, the carrying value is adjusted through a charge-off recorded through the allowance for loan losses.

Table of Contents

ORE was \$2.6 million, net of a \$4.1 million valuation allowance. ORE has been adjusted to estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs. At the time of foreclosure, foreclosed assets are recorded at the lower of the carrying amount of the loan or the estimated fair value less estimated selling costs. Any write-downs based on the asset's fair value at the date of acquisition are charged to the allowance for loan losses. After foreclosure, management periodically obtains updated valuations of the foreclosed assets and, if additional impairments have occurred, the impairment is recorded in non-interest expense on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

(in thousands)	Fair Value Total	Fair Value Measurements At December 31, 2011, Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Impaired Loans				
Commercial Real Estate	\$2,328	\$-	\$-	\$ 2,328
Residential 1st Mortgage	89	-	-	89
Home Equity Lines and Loans	166	-	-	166
Agricultural	409	-	-	409
Commercial	50	-	-	50
Total Impaired Loans	3,042	-	-	3,042
Other Real Estate				-
Real Estate Construction	2,553	-	-	2,553
Residential 1st Mortgage	371	-	-	371
Total Other Real Estate	2,924	-	-	2,924
Total Assets Measured at Fair Value On a Non-Recurring Basis	\$5,966	\$-	\$-	\$ 5,966

Impaired loans with a partial charge-off or where an allowance was established were \$3.0 million, net of an allowance for loan losses of \$1.6 million. Impaired loans are collateral dependent and have been adjusted to fair value based on the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, less estimated selling costs. If the Company determines that the value of an impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, the carrying value is adjusted through a charge-off recorded through the allowance for loan losses.

ORE was \$2.9 million, net of a \$4.1 million valuation allowance. ORE has been adjusted to estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs. At the time of foreclosure, foreclosed assets are recorded at the estimated fair value less estimated selling costs. Any write-downs based on the asset's fair value at the date of acquisition are charged to the allowance for loan losses. After foreclosure, management periodically obtains updated valuations of the foreclosed assets and, if additional impairments have occurred, the impairment is recorded in non-interest expense on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Table of Contents

(in thousands)	Fair Value Measurements At June 30, 2011, Using			
	Fair Value Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Impaired Loans				
Commercial Real Estate	\$2,546	\$-	\$2,546	\$ -
Agricultural Real Estate	422	-	422	-
Home Equity Lines and Loans	33	-	33	-
Agricultural	3,581	-	3,581	-
Commercial	76	-	76	-
Consumer	4	-	4	-
Total Impaired Loans	6,662	-	6,662	-
Other Real Estate				-
Commercial Real Estate	137	-	137	-
Real Estate Construction	3,295	-	3,295	-
Residential 1st Mortgage	565	-	565	-
Total Other Real Estate	3,997	-	3,997	-
Total Assets Measured at Fair Value On a Non-Recurring Basis	\$10,659	\$-	\$10,659	\$ -

Impaired loans with a partial charge-off or where an allowance was established were \$6.7 million, net of an allowance for loan losses of \$1.4 million. Impaired loans are collateral dependent and have been adjusted to fair value based on the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, less estimated selling costs. If the Company determines that the value of an impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan, the carrying value is adjusted through a charge-off recorded through the allowance for loan losses.

ORE was \$4.0 million, net of a valuation allowance of \$3.4 million. ORE has been adjusted to estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs. At the time of foreclosure, foreclosed assets are recorded at the lower of the carrying amount of the loan or the estimated fair value less estimated selling costs. Any write-downs based on the asset's fair value at the date of acquisition are charged to the allowance for loan losses. After foreclosure, management periodically obtains updated valuations of the foreclosed assets and, if additional impairments have occurred, the impairment is recorded in non-interest expense on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Table of Contents

6. Dividends and Basic Earnings Per Common Share

Farmers & Merchants Bancorp common stock is not traded on any exchange. The shares are primarily held by local residents and are not actively traded. On May 21, 2012, the Board of Directors of Farmers & Merchants Bancorp announced a mid-year cash dividend of \$5.90 per share, a 4.4% increase over the \$5.65 per share paid on June 30, 2011. The cash dividend was paid on July 2, 2012, to shareholders of record on June 20, 2012.

Basic earnings per share amounts are computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. The following table calculates the basic earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

(net income in thousands)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net Income	\$5,162	\$4,155	\$11,352	\$10,281
Average Number of Common Shares Outstanding	778,939	779,424	779,118	779,424
Basic Earnings Per Common Share	\$6.63	\$5.33	\$14.57	\$13.19

Item 2. Management's Discussion And Analysis Of Financial Condition And Results Of Operations

The following is management's discussion and analysis of the major factors that influenced our financial performance for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. This analysis should be read in conjunction with our 2011 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K, and with the unaudited financial statements and notes as set forth in this report.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q contains various forward-looking statements, usually containing the words "estimate," "project," "expect," "objective," "goal," or similar expressions and includes assumptions concerning Farmers & Merchants Bancorp's (together with its subsidiaries, the "Company" or "we") operations, future results, and prospects. These forward-looking statements are based upon current expectations and are subject to risks and uncertainties. In connection with the "safe-harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Company provides the following cautionary statement identifying important factors which could cause the actual results of events to differ materially from those set forth in or implied by the forward-looking statements and related assumptions.

Such factors include the following: (1) the current economic downturn and turmoil in financial markets and the response of federal and state regulators thereto; (2) the effect of changing regional and national economic conditions including the housing market in the Central Valley of California; (3) significant changes in interest rates and prepayment speeds; (4) credit risks of lending and investment activities; (5) changes in federal and state banking laws or regulations; (6) competitive pressure in the banking industry; (7) changes in governmental fiscal or monetary policies; (8) uncertainty regarding the economic outlook resulting from the continuing war on terrorism, as well as actions taken or to be taken by the U.S. or other governments as a result of further acts or threats of terrorism; and (9) other factors discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors located in the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements which speak only as of the date hereof. The Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances arising after the date on which they are made.

Introduction

Edgar Filing: FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Farmers & Merchants Bancorp, or the Company, is a bank holding company formed March 10, 1999. Its subsidiary, Farmers & Merchants Bank of Central California, or the Bank, is a California state-chartered bank formed in 1916. The Bank serves the northern Central Valley of California through twenty-two banking offices and two stand-alone ATM's. The service area includes Sacramento, San Joaquin, Stanislaus and Merced Counties with branches in Sacramento, Elk Grove, Galt, Lodi, Stockton, Linden, Modesto, Turlock, Hilmar, and Merced. Substantially all of the Company's business activities are conducted within its market area.

Table of Contents

As a bank holding company, the Company is subject to regulation and examination by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (“FRB”). As a California, state-chartered, non-fed member bank, the Bank is subject to regulation and examination by the California Department of Financial Institutions (“DFI”) and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”).

Overview

The Company’s primary service area encompasses the mid Central Valley of California, a region that can be significantly impacted by the seasonal needs of the agricultural industry. Accordingly, discussion of the Company’s Financial Condition and Results of Operations is influenced by the seasonal banking needs of its agricultural customers (e.g., during the spring and summer customers draw down their deposit balances and increase loan borrowing to fund the purchase of equipment and planting of crops. Correspondingly, deposit balances are replenished and loans repaid in fall and winter as crops are harvested and sold).

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, Farmers & Merchants Bancorp reported net income of \$5,162,000 and \$11,352,000, earnings per share of \$6.63 and \$14.57 and return on average assets of 1.07% and 1.18%, respectively. Return on average shareholders’ equity was 10.46% and 11.62% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, Farmers & Merchants Bancorp reported net income of \$4,155,000 and \$10,281,000, earnings per share of \$5.33 and \$13.19 and return on average assets of 0.90% and 1.12%, respectively. Return on average shareholders’ equity was 9.14% and 11.53% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011.

The primary reasons for the Company’s improved earnings performance in the first six months of 2012 as compared to the same period last year were: (1) a \$3.9 million decrease in the loan loss provision; (2) a \$799,000 decrease in ORE holding costs; and (3) a \$505,000 decrease in FDIC insurance costs. These positive impacts were partially offset by: (1) a \$342,000 decrease in net interest income; (2) a \$1.2 million increase in salaries and employee benefits; and (3) a \$1.8 million increase in other non-interest expense, primarily comprised of a \$1.7 million termination fee upon early payoff of the Company’s securities sold under agreement to repurchase.

The following is a summary of the financial results for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 compared to June 30, 2011.

- Net income increased 10.4% to \$11.4 million from \$10.3 million.
- Earnings per share increased 10.5% to \$14.57 from \$13.19.
- Total assets increased 4.4% to \$1.9 billion.
- Total loans increased 1.0% to \$1.2 billion.
- Total deposits increased 4.4% to \$1.6 billion.

Results of Operations

Net Interest Income / Net Interest Margin

The tables on the following pages reflect the Company's average balance sheets and volume and rate analysis for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Table of Contents

The average yields on earning assets and average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities have been computed on an annualized basis for purposes of comparability with full year data. Average balance amounts for assets and liabilities are the computed average of daily balances.

Net interest income is the amount by which the interest and fees on loans and other interest earning assets exceed the interest paid on interest bearing sources of funds. For the purpose of analysis, the interest earned on tax-exempt investments and municipal loans is adjusted to an amount comparable to interest subject to normal income taxes. This adjustment is referred to as “taxable equivalent” and is noted wherever applicable.

The Volume and Rate Analysis of Net Interest Income summarizes the changes in interest income and interest expense based on changes in average asset and liability balances (volume) and changes in average rates (rate). For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided with respect to changes attributable to: (1) changes in volume (change in volume multiplied by initial rate); (2) changes in rate (change in rate multiplied by initial volume); and (3) changes in rate/volume (allocated in proportion to the respective volume and rate components).

The Company’s earning assets and rate sensitive liabilities are subject to repricing at different times, which exposes the Company to income fluctuations when interest rates change. In order to minimize income fluctuations, the Company attempts to match asset and liability maturities. However, some maturity mismatch is inherent in the asset and liability mix. See “Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk – Interest Rate Risk.”

2nd Quarter 2012 vs. 2nd Quarter 2011

Net interest income for the second quarter of 2012 decreased 1.4% or \$254,000 to \$18.3 million. On a fully taxable equivalent basis, net interest income decreased 1.3% and totaled \$18.6 million for the second quarter of 2012. As more fully discussed below, the decrease in net interest income was primarily due to a decrease in the net interest margin, offset somewhat by growth in average earning assets.

Net interest income on a taxable equivalent basis, expressed as a percentage of average total earning assets, is referred to as the net interest margin. For the quarter ended June 30, 2012, the Company’s net interest margin was 4.22% compared to 4.44% for the quarter ended June 30, 2011. This decrease in net interest margin was due primarily to: (1) a decline in the mix of loans as a percentage of average earning assets; combined with (2) a decline in loan yields that exceeded a corresponding drop in funding costs.

Average loans totaled \$1.2 billion for the quarter ended June 30, 2012; a decrease of \$9.7 million compared to the average balance for the quarter ended June 30, 2011. Loans decreased from 69.3% of average earning assets at June 30, 2011 to 65.9% at June 30, 2012. Additionally, as a result of the sustained low rate environment since late 2008, the annualized yield on the Company’s loan portfolio declined to 5.60% for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, compared to 5.95% for the quarter ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in average loan balances and yield resulted in interest revenue from loans decreasing 6.8% to \$16.3 million for quarter ended June 30, 2012. The Company has been experiencing aggressive competitor pricing for loans to which it may need to continue to respond in order to retain key customers. This could place even greater negative pressure on future loan yields and net interest margin.

The investment portfolio is the other main component of the Company’s earning assets. Since the risk factor for investments is typically lower than that of loans, the yield earned on investments is generally less than that of loans. Average investment securities totaled \$579.1 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012; an increase of \$65.1 million compared to the average balance for the quarter ended June 30, 2011. Interest income on securities increased \$466,000 to \$3.8 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, compared to \$3.4 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2011. The average investment portfolio yield, on a tax equivalent basis, was 2.65% for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, compared to 2.63% for the quarter ended June 30, 2011. This increase in yield was caused by a change in the mix of

the investment portfolio since the first quarter of 2011 due to the purchase of higher yielding mortgage-backed securities combined with a runoff in lower yielding U.S. agencies securities. See “Financial Condition – Investment Securities” for a discussion of the Company’s investment strategy in 2012. Net interest income on the Schedule of Year-to-Date Average Balances and Interest Rates is shown on a tax equivalent basis, which is higher than net interest income as reflected on the Consolidated Statement of Income because of adjustments that relate to income on securities that are exempt from federal income taxes.

Table of Contents

Interest bearing deposits with banks and overnight investments in Federal Funds Sold are additional earning assets available to the Company. The FRB currently pays interest on the deposits that banks maintain in their FRB accounts, whereas historically banks had to sell these Federal Funds to other banks in order to earn interest. Since balances at the FRB are effectively risk free, the Company elected to maintain its excess cash at the FRB during the second quarter of 2012. These balances earn interest at the Fed Funds rate which has been 0.25% since December, 2008. Average interest bearing deposits with banks for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, was \$25.4 million, an increase of \$16.1 million compared to the average balance for the quarter ended June 30, 2011.

Average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$21.1 million or 1.6% during the second quarter of 2012. Of that increase: (1) interest-bearing transaction deposits increased \$26.5 million; (2) savings and money market deposits increased \$44.6 million; (3) time deposits decreased \$44.6 million; (4) securities sold under agreement to repurchase decreased \$6.6 million (see “Financial Condition - Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase”); (5) Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) Advances increased \$1.2 million (see “Financial Condition – Federal Home Loan Bank Advances and Federal Reserve Bank Borrowings”); and (6) subordinated debt remained unchanged (see “Financial Condition – Subordinated Debentures”).

During the second quarter of 2012, the Company was able to grow average interest bearing deposits by \$26.5 million. See “Financial Condition – Deposits” for a discussion of trends in the Company’s deposit base.

Total interest expense on deposits was \$975,000 for the second quarter of 2012 as compared to \$1.4 million for the second quarter of 2011. The average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits was 0.31% for the second quarter of 2012 compared to 0.50% for the second quarter of 2011. The Company anticipates that future declines in deposit rates, if any, will be much more modest.

Six Months Ending June 30, 2012 vs. Six Months Ending June 30, 2011

During the first six months of 2012, net interest income decreased 0.9% to \$36.5 million, compared to \$36.9 million at June 30, 2011. On a fully taxable equivalent basis, net interest income decreased 0.9% and totaled \$37.2 million at June 30, 2012, compared to \$37.6 million at June 30, 2011. The decrease in net interest income was primarily due to a decrease in the net interest margin, offset somewhat by growth in average earning assets.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company’s net interest margin was 4.21% compared to 4.43% for the same period in 2011. This decrease in net interest margin was due primarily to: (1) a decline in the mix of loans as a percentage of average earning assets; combined with (2) a decline in loan yields that exceeded a corresponding drop in funding costs.

The average balance of loans decreased by \$10.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The yield on the loan portfolio decreased 35 basis points to 5.69% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 6.04% for the six months ended June 30, 2011. This decrease in yield, along with the decrease in average balances, resulted in interest income from loans decreasing 6.3% or \$2.2 million for the first six months of 2012.

Average investment securities were \$564.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$508.7 million for the same period in 2011. The average yield (TE) for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was 2.70% compared to 2.64% for the six months ended June 30, 2011. This increase in yield, along with an increase in balances, resulted in an increase in interest income of \$908,000 or 13.5%, for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Average interest bearing deposits with banks for the six months ended June 30, 2012, were \$54.7 million, an increase of \$23.0 million compared to the average balance for the six months ended June 30, 2011. These balances earn interest at the Fed Funds rate which has been 0.25% since December, 2008.

Table of Contents

Average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$12.8 million or 1.0% during the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. Of that increase: (1) interest-bearing deposits increased \$15.5 million; (2) securities sold under agreement to repurchase decreased \$3.3 million; (3) FHLB advances increased \$553,000; and (4) subordinated debentures remained unchanged.

The \$15.5 million increase in average interest-bearing deposits was primarily in interest bearing transaction and savings and money market deposits, which grew \$64.7 million since June 30, 2011, while time deposits decreased by \$49.2 million. See “Financial Condition – Deposits” for a discussion of trends in the Company’s deposit base. Total interest expense on deposits was \$2.0 million for the first six months of 2012 as compared to \$2.9 million for the first six months of 2011. The average rate paid on interest-bearing deposits was 0.32% in the first six months of 2012 and 0.48% in the first six months of 2011.

Table of Contents

Farmers & Merchants Bancorp
 Quarterly Average Balances and Interest Rates
 (Interest and Rates on a Taxable Equivalent Basis)
 (in thousands)

Assets	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012				Three Months Ended June 30, 2011			
	Balance	Interest	Rate		Balance	Interest	Rate	
Interest Bearing Deposits with Banks	\$25,434	\$15	0.24	%	\$9,307	\$5	0.22	%
Investment Securities								
Available-for-Sale:								
U.S. Agencies	64,392	164	1.02	%	241,580	699	1.16	%
Municipals - Non-Taxable	5,743	108	7.55	%	6,004	113	7.51	%
Mortgage Backed Securities	428,655	2,633	2.46	%	197,072	1,674	3.40	%
Other	10,440	24	0.92	%	5,063	3	0.24	%
Total Investment Securities Available-for-Sale	509,230	2,929	2.30	%	449,719	2,489	2.21	%
Investment Securities Held-to-Maturity:								
Municipals - Non-Taxable	66,691	900	5.40	%	60,184	864	5.74	%
Mortgage Backed Securities	913	8	3.50	%	1,826	18	3.94	%
Other	2,235	6	1.07	%	2,268	6	1.06	%
Total Investment Securities Held-to-Maturity	69,839	914	5.23	%	64,278	888	5.53	%
Loans:								
Real Estate	751,503	10,806	5.78	%	722,934	11,171	6.20	%
Home Equity Lines & Loans	47,640	691	5.83	%	56,407	819	5.82	%
Agricultural	201,039	2,614	5.23	%	218,883	3,028	5.55	%
Commercial	163,742	2,092	5.14	%	174,022	2,351	5.42	%
Consumer	6,046	96	6.39	%	7,417	117	6.33	%
Other	238	4	6.76	%	244	4	6.58	%
Total Loans	1,170,208	16,303	5.60	%	1,179,907	17,490	5.95	%
Total Earning Assets	1,774,711	\$20,161	4.57	%	1,703,211	\$20,872	4.92	%
Unrealized Gain on Securities Available-for-Sale	11,282				3,887			
Allowance for Loan Losses	(33,074)				(32,379)			
Cash and Due From Banks	33,580				29,655			
All Other Assets	139,306				133,435			
Total Assets	\$1,925,805				\$1,837,809			
Liabilities & Shareholders' Equity								
Interest Bearing Deposits:								
Interest Bearing Transaction	\$230,398	\$50	0.09	%	\$203,888	\$65	0.13	%
Savings and Money Market	523,714	304	0.23	%	479,135	366	0.31	%

Edgar Filing: FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Time	509,464	621	0.49	%	554,031	965	0.70	%
Total Interest Bearing Deposits	1,263,576	975	0.31	%	1,237,054	1,396	0.45	%
Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase	53,406	482	3.63	%	60,000	535	3.58	%
Federal Home Loan Bank Advances	6,795	11	0.65	%	5,599	10	0.72	%
Subordinated Debentures	10,310	87	3.39	%	10,310	82	3.19	%
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities	1,334,087	\$1,555	0.47	%	1,312,963	\$2,023	0.62	%
Interest Rate Spread			4.10	%			4.30	%
Demand Deposits (Non-Interest Bearing)	355,917				313,064			
All Other Liabilities	38,386				29,893			
Total Liabilities	1,728,390				1,655,920			
Shareholders' Equity	197,415				181,889			
Total Liabilities & Shareholders' Equity	\$1,925,805				\$1,837,809			
Impact of Non-Interest Bearing Deposits and Other Liabilities			0.12	%			0.14	%
Net Interest Income and Margin on Total Earning Assets		18,607	4.22	%		18,849	4.44	%
Tax Equivalent Adjustment		(349)				(337)		
Net Interest Income		\$18,258	4.14	%		\$18,512	4.36	%

Notes: Yields on municipal securities have been calculated on a fully taxable equivalent basis. Loan interest income includes fee income and unearned discount in the amount of \$586,000 and \$334,000 for the quarters ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Yields on securities available-for-sale are based on historical cost.

Table of Contents

Farmers & Merchants Bancorp
Year-to-Date Average Balances and Interest Rates
(Interest and Rates on a Taxable Equivalent Basis)
(in thousands)

Assets	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012			Six Months Ended June 30, 2011			
	Balance	Interest	Rate	Balance	Interest	Rate	
Interest Bearing Deposits with Banks	\$54,655	\$68	0.25	% \$31,656	\$39	0.25	%
Investment Securities							
Available-for-Sale:							
U.S. Agencies	69,940	366	1.05	% 242,292	1,392	1.15	%
Municipals - Non-Taxable	5,757	215	7.48	% 6,185	232	7.49	%
Mortgage Backed Securities	417,204	5,220	2.50	% 190,833	3,295	3.45	%
Other	5,646	27	0.96	% 4,728	5	0.21	%
Total Investment Securities Available-for-Sale	498,547	5,828	2.34	% 444,038	4,924	2.22	%
Investment Securities Held-to-Maturity:							
Municipals - Non-Taxable	63,149	1,755	5.56	% 60,473	1,733	5.73	%
Mortgage Backed Securities	1,014	19	3.75	% 1,962	38	3.87	%
Other	2,239	11	0.98	% 2,272	11	0.97	%
Total Investment Securities Held-to-Maturity	66,402	1,785	5.38	% 64,707	1,782	5.51	%
Loans:							
Real Estate	740,392	21,798	5.92	% 717,123	22,319	6.28	%
Home Equity Lines & Loans	48,794	1,398	5.76	% 57,239	1,653	5.82	%
Agricultural	201,037	5,228	5.23	% 214,347	6,073	5.71	%
Commercial	160,687	4,133	5.17	% 171,615	4,693	5.51	%
Consumer	6,347	214	6.78	% 7,697	252	6.60	%
Other	238	7	5.91	% 245	7	5.76	%
Total Loans	1,157,495	32,778	5.69	% 1,168,266	34,997	6.04	%
Total Earning Assets	1,777,099	\$40,459	4.58	% 1,708,667	\$41,742	4.93	%
Unrealized Gain on Securities Available-for-Sale	10,189			2,706			
Allowance for Loan Losses	(32,966)			(32,345)			
Cash and Due From Banks	33,156			29,787			
All Other Assets	138,704			134,940			
Total Assets	\$1,926,182			\$1,843,755			
Liabilities & Shareholders' Equity							
Interest Bearing Deposits:							
Interest Bearing Transaction	\$228,186	\$96	0.08	% \$206,149	\$138	0.13	%
Savings and Money Market	524,045	655	0.25	% 481,334	789	0.33	%

Edgar Filing: FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Time	510,722	1,281	0.50	%	559,942	2,015	0.73	%
Total Interest Bearing Deposits	1,262,953	2,032	0.32	%	1,247,425	2,942	0.48	%
Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase	56,703	1,018	3.61	%	60,000	1,065	3.58	%
Federal Home Loan Bank Advances	3,659	18	0.99	%	3,106	18	1.17	%
Subordinated Debentures	10,310	175	3.41	%	10,310	163	3.19	%
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities	1,333,625	\$3,243	0.49	%	1,320,841	\$4,188	0.64	%
Interest Rate Spread			4.09	%			4.29	%
Demand Deposits (Non-Interest Bearing)	362,102				314,073			
All Other Liabilities	35,037				30,555			
Total Liabilities	1,730,764				1,665,469			
Shareholders' Equity	195,418				178,286			
Total Liabilities & Shareholders' Equity	\$1,926,182				\$1,843,755			
Impact of Non-Interest Bearing Deposits and Other Liabilities			0.12	%			0.15	%
Net Interest Income and Margin on Total Earning Assets		37,216	4.21	%		37,554	4.43	%
Tax Equivalent Adjustment		(680)				(676)		
Net Interest Income		\$36,536	4.13	%		\$36,878	4.35	%

Notes: Yields on municipal securities have been calculated on a fully taxable equivalent basis. Loan interest income includes fee income and unearned discount in the amount of \$1.3 million and \$693,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Yields on securities available-for-sale are based on historical cost.

Table of Contents

Farmers & Merchants Bancorp
Volume and Rate Analysis of Net Interest Revenue
(Rates on a Taxable Equivalent Basis)

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 compared to June 30, 2011			Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 compared to June 30, 2011		
Interest Earning Assets	Volume	Rate	Net Chg.	Volume	Rate	Net Chg.
Interest Bearing Deposits with Banks	\$ 9	\$ 1	\$ 10	\$ 29	\$ -	\$ 29
Investment Securities Available for Sale						
U.S. Agencies	(460)	(75)	(535)	(912)	(114)	(1,026)
Municipals - Non-Taxable	(5)	1	(4)	(16)	0	(16)
Mortgage Backed Securities	1,526	(567)	959	3,035	(1,110)	1,925
Other	7	14	21	1	21	22
Total Investment Securities Available for Sale	1,068	(627)	441	2,108	(1,203)	905
Investment Securities Held to Maturity						
Municipals - Non-Taxable	89	(54)	35	76	(54)	22
Mortgage Backed Securities	(8)	(2)	(10)	(18)	(1)	(19)
Total Investment Securities Held to Maturity	81	(56)	25	58	(55)	3
Loans:						
Real Estate	421	(786)	(365)	739	(1,260)	(521)
Home Equity Lines & Loans	(129)	1	(128)	(238)	(17)	(255)
Agricultural	(242)	(172)	(414)	(357)	(488)	(845)
Commercial	(138)	(121)	(259)	(283)	(277)	(560)
Consumer	(22)	1	(21)	(45)	7	(38)
Total Loans	(110)	(1,077)	(1,187)	(184)	(2,035)	(2,219)
Total Earning Assets	1,048	(1,759)	(711)	2,011	(3,293)	(1,282)
Interest Bearing Liabilities						
Interest Bearing Deposits:						
Transaction	8	(23)	(15)	14	(56)	(42)
Savings and Money Market	31	(93)	(62)	67	(201)	(134)

Edgar Filing: FARMERS & MERCHANTS BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Time	(73)	(271)	(344)	(164)	(570)	(734)
Total Interest Bearing Deposits	(34)	(387)	(421)	(83)	(827)	(910)
Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase	(61)	8	(53)	(56)	9	(47)
Other Borrowed Funds Subordinated Debentures	2	(1)	1	3	(3)	-
	-	5	5	-	12	12
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities	(93)	(375)	(468)	(136)	(809)	(945)
Total Change on a Tax Equivalent Basis	\$ 1,141	\$ (1,384)	\$ (243)	\$ 2,147	\$ (2,484)	\$ (337)

Notes: Rate/volume variance is allocated based on the percentage relationship of changes in volume and changes in rate to the total "net change." The above figures have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

Table of Contents

Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses

As a financial institution that assumes lending and credit risks as a principal element of its business, credit losses will be experienced in the normal course of business. The Company has established credit management policies and procedures that govern both the approval of new loans and the monitoring of the existing portfolio. The Company manages and controls credit risk through comprehensive underwriting and approval standards, dollar limits on loans to one borrower, and by restricting loans made primarily to its principal market area where management believes it is best able to assess the applicable risk. Additionally, management has established guidelines to ensure the diversification of the Company's credit portfolio such that even within key portfolio sectors such as real estate or agriculture, the portfolio is diversified across factors such as location, building type, crop type, etc. See "Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk – Credit Risk" of the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Management reports regularly to the Board of Directors regarding trends and conditions in the loan portfolio and regularly conducts credit reviews of individual loans. Loans that are performing but have shown some signs of weakness are subjected to more stringent reporting and oversight.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is an estimate of probable incurred credit losses inherent in the Company's loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. The allowance is established through a provision for loan losses which is charged to expense. Additions to the allowance are expected to maintain the adequacy of the total allowance after credit losses and loan growth. Credit exposures determined to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance. Cash received on previously charged off amounts is recorded as a recovery to the allowance. The overall allowance consists of two primary components, specific reserves related to impaired loans and general reserves for inherent losses related to loans collectively evaluated for impairment.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the original agreement. Loans determined to be impaired are individually evaluated for impairment. When a loan is impaired, the Company measures impairment based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, except that as a practical expedient, it may measure impairment based on a loan's observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. A loan is collateral dependent if the repayment of the loan is expected to be provided solely by the underlying collateral.

A restructuring of a loan constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if the Company for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. Restructured loans typically present an elevated level of credit risk as the borrowers are not able to perform according to the original contractual terms. Loans that are reported as TDRs are considered impaired and measured for impairment as described above.

Generally, the Company will not restructure loans for customers unless: (1) the existing loan is brought current as to principal and interest payments; and (2) the restructured loan can be underwritten to reasonable underwriting standards. If these standards are not met other actions will be pursued (e.g., foreclosure) to collect outstanding loan amounts. After restructure a determination is made whether the loan will be kept on accrual status based upon the underwriting and historical performance of the restructured credit.

The determination of the general reserve for loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment is based on estimates made by management, to include, but not limited to, consideration of historical losses by portfolio segment, internal asset classifications, and qualitative factors to include economic trends in the Company's service areas, industry experience and trends, geographic concentrations, estimated collateral values, the Company's underwriting policies, the character of the loan portfolio, and probable losses inherent in the portfolio taken as a whole.

The Company maintains a separate allowance for each portfolio segment (loan type). These portfolio segments include: (1) commercial real estate; (2) agricultural real estate; (3) real estate construction (including land and development loans); (4) residential 1st mortgages; (5) home equity lines and loans; (6) agricultural; (7) commercial; and (8) consumer & other. See “Financial Condition – Loans” for examples of loans made by the Company. The allowance for loan losses attributable to each portfolio segment, which includes both impaired loans and loans that are not impaired, is combined to determine the Company's overall allowance, which is included on the consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents

The Company assigns a risk rating to all loans and periodically performs detailed reviews of all such loans over a certain threshold to identify credit risks and to assess the overall collectability of the portfolio. A credit grade is established at inception for smaller balance loans, such as consumer and residential real estate, and then updated only when the loan becomes contractually delinquent or when the borrower requests a modification. During these internal reviews, management monitors and analyzes the financial condition of borrowers and guarantors, trends in the industries in which borrowers operate and the fair values of collateral securing these loans. These credit quality indicators are used to assign a risk rating to each individual loan. These risk ratings are also subject to examination by independent specialists engaged by the Company. The risk ratings can be grouped into five major categories, defined as follows:

Pass – A pass loan is a strong credit with no existing or known potential weaknesses deserving of management's close attention.

Special Mention – A special mention loan has potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or in the Company's credit position at some future date. Special Mention loans are not adversely classified and do not expose the Company to sufficient risk to warrant adverse classification.

Substandard – A substandard loan is not adequately protected by the current financial condition and paying capacity of the borrower or the value of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified as substandard have a well defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. Well defined weaknesses include a project's lack of marketability, inadequate cash flow or collateral support, failure to complete construction on time or the project's failure to fulfill economic expectations. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful – Loans classified doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently known facts, conditions and values, highly questionable or improbable.

Loss – Loans classified as loss are considered uncollectible. Once a loan becomes delinquent and repayment becomes questionable, the Company will address collateral shortfalls with the borrower and attempt to obtain additional collateral. If this is not forthcoming and payment in full is unlikely, the Company will estimate its probable loss and immediately charge-off some or all of the balance.

The general reserve component of the allowance for loan losses also consists of reserve factors that are based on management's assessment of the following for each portfolio segment: (1) inherent credit risk; (2) historical losses; and (3) other qualitative factors. These reserve factors are inherently subjective and are driven by the repayment risk associated with each portfolio segment described below:

Commercial Real Estate – Commercial real estate mortgage loans generally possess a higher inherent risk of loss than other real estate portfolio segments, except land and construction loans. Adverse economic developments or an overbuilt market impact commercial real estate projects and may result in troubled loans. Trends in vacancy rates of commercial properties impact the credit quality of these loans. High vacancy rates reduce operating revenues and the ability for properties to produce sufficient cash flow to service debt obligations.

Agricultural Real Estate and Agricultural – Loans secured by crop production, livestock and related real estate are vulnerable to two risk factors that are largely outside the control of Company and borrowers: commodity prices and weather conditions.

Real Estate Construction – Real Estate Construction loans, including land loans, generally possess a higher inherent risk of loss than other real estate portfolio segments. A major risk arises from the necessity to complete projects within specified cost and time lines. Trends in the construction industry significantly impact the credit quality of these loans, as demand drives construction activity. In addition, trends in real estate values significantly impact the credit quality of these loans, as property values determine the economic viability of construction projects.

Table of Contents

Commercial – Commercial loans generally possess a lower inherent risk of loss than real estate portfolio segments because these loans are generally underwritten to existing cash flows of operating businesses. Debt coverage is provided by business cash flows and economic trends influenced by unemployment rates and other key economic indicators are closely correlated to the credit quality of these loans.

Residential 1st Mortgages and Home Equity Lines and Loans – The degree of risk in residential real estate lending depends primarily on the loan amount in relation to collateral value, the interest rate and the borrower's ability to repay in an orderly fashion. These loans generally possess a lower inherent risk of loss than other real estate portfolio segments, although this is not always true as evidenced over the past several years. Economic trends determined by unemployment rates and other key economic indicators are closely correlated to the credit quality of these loans. Weak economic trends indicate that the borrowers' capacity to repay their obligations may be deteriorating.

Consumer & Other – A consumer installment loan portfolio is usually comprised of a large number of small loans scheduled to be amortized over a specific period. Most installment loans are made for consumer purchases. Economic trends determined by unemployment rates and other key economic indicators are closely correlated to the credit quality of these loans. Weak economic trends indicate that the borrowers' capacity to repay their obligations may be deteriorating.

In addition, the Company's and Bank's regulators, including the FRB, DFI and FDIC, as an integral part of their examination process, review the adequacy of the allowance. These regulatory agencies may require additions to the allowance based on their judgment about information available at the time of their examinations.

Provision for Loan Losses

Changes in the provision for loan losses between years are the result of management's evaluation, based upon information currently available, of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses relative to factors such as the credit quality of the loan portfolio, loan growth, current loan losses, and the prevailing economic climate and its effect on borrowers' ability to repay loans in accordance with the terms of the notes.

The Central Valley of California has been one of the hardest hit areas in the country during this recession. Housing prices in many areas are down as much as 60% and the economic stress has spread from residential real estate to other industry segments such as autos and commercial real estate. Unemployment levels remain above 15% in some areas. Accordingly, management and the Board of Directors began significantly increasing the Company's loan loss allowance and as of June 30, 2012, the balance was \$33.1 million or 2.74% of total loans. As of June 30, 2011, the allowance for loan losses was \$32.9 million, which represented 2.76% of total loans. Although, in management's opinion, the Company's levels of net charge-offs and non-performing assets as of June 30, 2012, compare very favorably to our peers at the present time, no significant recovery has yet begun in our local markets and this has resulted in continuing borrower stress.

The provision for loan losses decreased \$4.0 million to \$500,000 for the first half of 2012 compared to \$4.5 million for the first half of 2011. Net charge-offs during the first half of 2012 were \$419,000 compared to \$3.8 million in the first half of 2011. Net charge-offs represented 0.04% of average loans at June 30, 2012, a level that, in management's opinion, compares very favorably to the Company's peers at the present time. See "Overview – Looking Forward: 2012 and Beyond", "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates – Allowance for Loan Losses" and "Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk-Credit Risk" located in the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

After reviewing all factors above, based upon information currently available, management concluded that the allowance for loan losses as of June 30, 2012, was adequate.

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2012	2011	June 30, 2012	2011
Balance at Beginning of Period	\$ 32,942	\$32,331	\$ 33,017	\$32,261
Loans Charged Off	(312)	(3,354)	(643)	(3,828)
Recoveries of Loans Previously Charged Off	188	40	224	59
Provision Charged to Expense	280	3,925	500	4,450
Balance at End of Period	\$ 33,098	\$32,942	\$ 33,098	\$32,942

The table below breaks out year-to-date and current quarter activity by portfolio segment (in thousands):

	Commercial Real Estate	Agricultural Real Estate	Real Estate Construction	Residential 1st Mortgages	Home Equity Lines & Agricultural	Commercial	Consumer & Other	Unallocated	Total	
Year-To-Date Allowance for Loan Losses:										
Beginning Balance- January 1, 2012	\$ 5,823	\$ 2,583	\$ 1,933	\$ 1,251	\$ 3,746	\$ 8,127	\$ 8,733	\$ 207	\$ 614	\$ 33,017
Charge-Offs	-	-	-	(1)	(116)	(240)	(198)	(88)	-	(643)
Recoveries	-	89	-	-	10	61	32	32	-	224
Provision	(1,446)	(39)	(33)	201	(126)	(114)	971	(11)	1,097	500
Ending Balance- June 30, 2012	\$ 4,377	\$ 2,633	\$ 1,900	\$ 1,451	\$ 3,514	\$ 7,834	\$ 9,538	\$ 140	\$ 1,711	\$ 33,098
Second Quarter Allowance for Loan Losses:										
Beginning Balance- April 1, 2012	\$ 4,443	\$ 2,775	\$ 2,201	\$ 1,295	\$ 3,552	\$ 8,757	\$ 8,637	\$ 162	\$ 1,120	\$ 32,942
Charge-Offs	-	-	-	(1)	(47)	(240)	-	(24)	-	(312)
Recoveries	-	89	-	-	2	59	24	14	-	188
Provision	(66)	(231)	(301)	157	7	(742)	877	(12)	591	280
Ending Balance- June 30, 2012	\$ 4,377	\$ 2,633	\$ 1,900	\$ 1,451	\$ 3,514	\$ 7,834	\$ 9,538	\$ 140	\$ 1,711	\$ 33,098

Overall, the Allowance for Loan Losses as of June 30, 2012 increased a modest \$81,000 from December 31, 2011. However, the allowance allocated to Commercial Real Estate balances declined \$1.4 million primarily as a result of an \$18.4 million decline in classified loans. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis - Financial Condition

– Classified Loans and Non-Performing Assets.”

See “Note 3. Allowance for Loan Losses” for additional details regarding the provision and allowance for loan losses.

Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income includes: (1) service charges and fees from deposit accounts; (2) net gains and losses from investment securities; (3) increases in the cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance; (4) debit card and ATM fees; (5) net gains and losses on non-qualified deferred compensation plans; and (6) fees from other miscellaneous business services.

2nd Quarter 2012 vs. 2nd Quarter 2011

Non-interest income decreased \$184,000 or 6.1% for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period of 2011. This decrease was primarily due a \$297,000 decrease in the net gain on deferred compensation investments and a \$164,000 decline in service charges on deposit accounts, primarily NSF/OD fees. These decreases were partially offset by a \$246,000 increase in other non-interest income primarily related to swap referral fee income.

Balances in non-qualified deferred compensation plans may be invested in financial instruments whose market value fluctuates based upon trends in interest rates and stock prices. Although Generally Accepted Accounting Principles require these investment gains/losses be recorded in non-interest income, an offsetting entry is also required to be made to non-interest expense resulting in no effect on the Company’s net income.

Table of Contents

Six Months Ending June 30, 2012 vs. Six Months Ending June 30, 2011

Non-interest income increased \$405,000 or 6.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period of 2011. This increase was comprised of: (1) a \$229,000 increase in the net gain on deferred compensation investments; and (2) a \$409,000 increase in other non-interest income primarily related to swap referral fee income. These increases were partially offset by a \$326,000 decline in service charges on deposit accounts, primarily NSF/OD fees.

Balances in non-qualified deferred compensation plans may be invested in financial instruments whose market value fluctuates based upon trends in interest rates and stock prices. Although Generally Accepted Accounting Principles require these investment gains/losses be recorded in non-interest income, an offsetting entry is also required to be made to non-interest expense resulting in no effect on the Company's net income.

Non-Interest Expense

Non-interest expense for the Company includes expenses for: (1) salaries and employee benefits; (2) net gains and losses on non-qualified deferred compensation plan investments; (3) occupancy; (4) equipment; (5) ORE holding costs; (6) deposit insurance; (7) supplies; (8) legal fees; (9) professional services; (10) data processing; (11) marketing; and (12) other miscellaneous expenses.

2nd Quarter 2012 vs. 2nd Quarter 2011

Overall, non-interest expense increased \$1.4 million or 12.8% for the three months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period in 2011. This increase was primarily comprised of: (1) a \$558,000 increase in salaries and employee benefits due to salary increases; and (2) a \$1.6 million increase in other non-interest expense primarily comprised of a one-time fee paid for early termination of the Company's Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase. See "Financial Condition – Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase." These increases were partially offset by: (1) a \$297,000 decrease in the net gain on deferred compensation investments; (2) a \$289,000 decrease in ORE holding cost, primarily due to a drop in required valuation adjustments; and (3) a \$273,000 decrease in FDIC insurance expense as a result of the FDIC's new assessment methodology adopted in the second quarter of 2011.

Balances in non-qualified deferred compensation plans may be invested in financial instruments whose market value fluctuates based upon trends in interest rates and stock prices. Although Generally Accepted Accounting Principles require these investment gains/losses be recorded in non-interest income, an offsetting entry is also required to be made to non-interest expense resulting in no effect on the Company's net income.

Six Months Ending June 30, 2012 vs. Six Months Ending June 30, 2011

Non-interest expense increased \$2.1 million or 9.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the same period of 2011. This increase was comprised of: (1) a \$1.2 million increase in salaries and employee benefits, primarily due to salary increases; (2) a \$229,000 increase in the net gain on deferred compensation investments; and (3) a \$1.8 million increase in other non-interest expense primarily consisting of a one-time fee paid to terminate Repurchase Agreements. These increases were partially offset by: (1) a \$799,000 decrease in ORE holding costs; and (2) a \$505,000 decrease in FDIC insurance premiums.

Balances in non-qualified deferred compensation plans may be invested in financial instruments whose market value fluctuates based upon trends in interest rates and stock prices. Although Generally Accepted Accounting Principles require these investment gains/losses be recorded in non-interest income, an offsetting entry is also required to be made to non-interest expense resulting in no effect on the Company's net income.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

The provision for income taxes increased 34.7% to \$3.0 million for the second quarter of 2012. The Company's effective tax rate was 36.4% compared to 36.9% for the second quarter of 2011.

The provision for income taxes increased 14.1% to \$6.6 million for the first six months of 2012. The Company's effective tax rate for the first six months of 2012 was 36.9% compared to 36.1% for the same period in 2011.

The Company's effective tax rate fluctuates from quarter to quarter due primarily to changes in the mix of taxable and tax-exempt earning sources. The effective rates were lower than the statutory rate of 42% due primarily to benefits regarding the cash surrender value of life insurance; California enterprise zone interest income exclusion; and tax-exempt interest income on municipal securities and loans.

Current tax law causes the Company's current taxes payable to approximate or exceed the current provision for taxes on the income statement. Three provisions have had a significant effect on the Company's current income tax liability: (1) the restrictions on the deductibility of loan losses; (2) deductibility of retirement and other long-term employee benefits only when paid; and (3) the statutory deferral of deductibility of California franchise taxes on the Company's federal return.

Financial Condition

This section discusses material changes in the Company's balance sheet at June 30, 2012, as compared to December 31, 2011 and to June 30, 2011. As previously discussed (see "Overview") the Company's financial condition can be influenced by the seasonal banking needs of its agricultural customers.

Investment Securities and Federal Funds Sold

The investment portfolio provides the Company with an income alternative to loans. The debt securities in the Company's investment portfolio have historically been comprised primarily of: (1) mortgage-backed securities issued by federal government-sponsored entities; (2) debt securities issued by government agencies and government-sponsored entities; and (3) investment grade bank-qualified municipal bonds. When market conditions are advantageous, the Company will supplement this primary mix with select investments in investment grade corporate bonds. Importantly, the Company never invested in the preferred stock or subordinated debt of Fannie Mae "FNMA" or Freddie Mac "FHLMC," classes of securities that resulted in losses for many banks in recent years.

The Company's investment portfolio at June 30, 2012 was \$572.1 million compared to \$542.9 million at the end of 2011, an increase of \$29.2 million or 5.4%. At June 30, 2011, the investment portfolio totaled \$487.5 million. The investment portfolio has increased over the past three years as deposit growth has exceeded loan growth. Additionally, the mix of the investment portfolio has changed over the past three years. To protect against future increases in market interest rates, while at the same time generating some reasonable level of current yields, the Company has invested most of its available funds over the past three years in shorter term government agency & government-sponsored entity securities and shorter term (10, 15, and 20 year) mortgage-backed securities.

The Company's total investment portfolio currently represents 29.7% of the Company's total assets as compared to 28.3% at December 31, 2011 and 26.4% at June 30, 2011.

As of June 30, 2012 the Company held \$72.9 million of municipal investments, of which \$59.3 million were bank-qualified municipal bonds, all classified as held-to-maturity. The continuing financial problems being experienced by certain municipalities, along with the financial stresses exhibited by some of the large monoline bond insurers, have increased the overall risk associated with bank-qualified municipal bonds. This situation caused the Company not to purchase any municipal bonds between late 2006 and year-end 2011. However, during the first

quarter of 2012 the Company began investing in bank-qualified municipals that were rated AA or better. As of June 30, 2012 ninety-three percent of the Company's bank-qualified municipal bond portfolio is rated at either the issue or issuer level, and all of these ratings are "investment grade." The Company monitors the status of the approximately seven percent of the portfolio that is not rated and at the current time does not believe any of them to be exhibiting financial problems that could result in a loss in any individual security.

Table of Contents

Not included in the investment portfolio are interest bearing deposits with banks and overnight investments in Federal Funds Sold. The FRB currently pays interest on the deposits that banks maintain in their FRB accounts, whereas historically banks had to sell these Federal Funds to other banks in order to earn interest. Since balances at the FRB are effectively risk free, the Company elected to maintain its excess cash at the FRB. Average interest bearing deposits with banks for the six months ended June 30, 2012, was \$54.6 million compared to \$46.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, and \$31.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. These balances earn interest at the Fed Funds rate, which has been 0.25% since December, 2008.

The Company classifies its investments as held-to-maturity, trading, or available-for-sale. Securities are classified as held-to-maturity and are carried at amortized cost when the Company has the intent and ability to hold the securities to maturity. Trading securities are securities acquired for short-term appreciation and are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses recorded in non-interest income. As of June 30, 2012, December 31, 2011 and June 30, 2011, there were no securities in the trading portfolio. Securities classified as available-for-sale include securities, which may be sold to effectively manage interest rate risk exposure, prepayment risk, satisfy liquidity demands and other factors. These securities are reported at fair value with aggregate, unrealized gains or losses excluded from income and included as a separate component of shareholders' equity, net of related income taxes.

Loans

Loans can be categorized by borrowing purpose and use of funds. Common examples of loans made by the Company include:

Commercial and Agricultural Real Estate - These are loans secured by farmland, commercial real estate, multifamily residential properties, and other non-farm, non-residential properties within our market area. Commercial mortgage term loans can be made if the property is either income producing or scheduled to become income producing based upon acceptable pre-leasing and the income will be the Bank's primary source of repayment for the loan. Loans are made both on owner occupied and investor properties; generally do not exceed 15 years (and may have pricing adjustments on a shorter timeframe); have debt service coverage ratios of 1.00 or better with a target of greater than 1.20; and fixed rates that are most often tied to treasury indices with an appropriate spread based on the amount of perceived risk in the loan.

Real Estate Construction - These are loans for development and construction (the Company generally requires the borrower to fund the land acquisition) and are secured by commercial or residential real estate. These loans are generally made only to experienced local developers with whom the Bank has a successful track record; for projects in our service area; with LTV's below 75%; and where the property can be developed and sold within 2 years. Commercial construction loans are made only when there is a written take-out commitment from the Bank or an acceptable financial institution or government agency. Most acquisition, development and construction loans are tied to the prime rate with an appropriate spread based on the amount of perceived risk in the loan.

Residential 1st Mortgages - These are loans primarily made on owner occupied residences; generally underwritten to income and LTV guidelines similar to those used by FNMA and FHLMC; however, we will make loans on rural residential properties up to 20 acres. Most residential loans have terms from ten to twenty years and carry fixed rates priced off of treasury rates. The Company has always underwritten mortgage loans based upon traditional underwriting criteria and does not make loans that are known in the industry as "subprime," "no or low doc," or "stated income."

Home Equity Lines and Loans - These are loans made to individuals for home improvements and other personal needs. Generally, amounts do not exceed \$250,000; CLTV's do not exceed 80%; FICO scores are at or above 670; Total Debt Ratios do not exceed 45%; and in some situations the Company is in a 1st lien position.

Agricultural - These are loans and lines of credit made to farmers to finance agricultural production. Lines of credit are extended to finance the seasonal needs of farmers during peak growing periods; are usually established for periods no longer than 12 to 24 months; are often secured by general filing liens on livestock, crops, crop proceeds and equipment; and are most often tied to the prime rate with an appropriate spread based on the amount of perceived risk in the loan. Term loans are primarily made for the financing of equipment, expansion or modernization of a processing plant, or orchard/vineyard development; have maturities from five to seven years; and fixed rates that are most often tied to treasury indices with an appropriate spread based on the amount of perceived risk in the loan.

Table of Contents

Commercial - These are loans and lines of credit to businesses that are sole proprietorships, partnerships, LLC's and corporations. Lines of credit are extended to finance the seasonal working capital needs of customers during peak business periods; are usually established for periods no longer than 12 to 24 months; are often secured by general filing liens on accounts receivable, inventory and equipment; and are most often tied to the prime rate with an appropriate spread based on the amount of perceived risk in the loan. Term loans are primarily made for the financing of equipment, expansion or modernization of a plant or purchase of a business; have maturities from five to seven years; and fixed rates that are most often tied to treasury indices with an appropriate spread based on the amount of perceived risk in the loan.

Consumer - These are loans to individuals for personal use, and primarily include loans to purchase automobiles or recreational vehicles, and unsecured lines of credit. The Company has a very minimal consumer loan portfolio, and loans are primarily made as an accommodation to deposit customers.

Each loan type involves risks specific to the: (1) borrower; (2) collateral; and (3) loan structure. See "Results of Operations - Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses" for a more detailed discussion of risks by loan type. The Company's current underwriting policies and standards are designed to mitigate the risks involved in each loan type. The Company's policies require that loans are approved only to those borrowers exhibiting a clear source of repayment and the ability to service existing and proposed debt. The Company's underwriting procedures for all loan types require careful consideration of the borrower, the borrower's financial condition, the borrower's management capability, the borrower's industry, and the economic environment affecting the loan.

Most loans made by the Company are secured, but collateral is the secondary or tertiary source of repayment; cash flow is our primary source of repayment. The quality and liquidity of collateral are important and must be confirmed before the loan is made.

In order to be responsive to borrower needs, the Company prices loans: (1) on both a fixed rate and adjustable rate basis; (2) over different terms; and (3) based upon different rate indices; as long as these structures are consistent with the Company's interest rate risk management policies and procedures (see Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk-Interest Rate Risk).

The Company's loan portfolio at June 30, 2012 totaled \$1.2 billion, an increase of \$12.2 million or 1.0% over June 30, 2011 and \$40.9 million or 3.5% over December 31, 2011. These increases have occurred despite what has been a difficult economic environment combined with a very competitive pricing environment, and are a result of the Company's intensified business development efforts directed toward credit-qualified borrowers. No assurances can be made that this growth in the loan portfolio will continue until the economy in the Central Valley of California improves.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the distribution of the loan portfolio by type and percent as of the periods indicated.

Loan Portfolio (in thousands)	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011		June 30, 2011	
	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%
Commercial Real Estate	\$326,996	27.1	\$307,670	26.4	\$319,017	26.7
Agricultural Real Estate	285,630	23.7	280,139	24.0	275,581	23.1
Real Estate Construction	36,033	3.0	29,607	2.5	28,071	2.4
Residential 1st Mortgages	123,053	10.2	107,421	9.2	104,647	8.8
Home Equity Lines & Loans	46,284	3.8	50,956	4.4	54,531	4.6
Agricultural	210,780	17.5	217,227	18.6	225,870	18.9
Commercial	171,621	14.2	165,089	14.2	178,729	15.0
Consumer & Other	5,798	0.5	6,935	0.6	7,458	0.6
Total Gross Loans	1,206,195	100.0	1,165,044	100.0	1,193,904	100.0
Less: Unearned Income	2,201		1,966		2,103	
Subtotal	1,203,994		1,163,078		1,191,801	
Less: Allowance for Loan Losses						
Losses	33,098		33,017		32,942	
Net Loans	\$1,170,896		\$1,130,061		\$1,158,859	

Classified Loans and Non-Performing Assets

All loans are assigned a credit risk grade using grading standards developed by bank regulatory agencies. See “Results of Operations - Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses” for more detail on risk grades. The Company utilizes the services of a third-party independent loan review firm to perform evaluations of individual loans and review the credit risk grades the Company places on loans. Loans that are judged to exhibit a higher risk profile are referred to as “classified loans,” and these loans receive increased management attention. As of June 30, 2012, classified loans totaled \$22.5 million compared to \$42.8 million at December 31, 2011 and \$47.8 million at June 30, 2011. This decline was primarily a result of \$18.4 million of CRE loans being upgraded from classified to special mention during the first half of 2012.

Classified loans with higher levels of credit risk can be further designated as “impaired” loans. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the original agreement. See “Results of Operations - Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses” for further details. Impaired loans consist of: (1) non-accrual loans; and/or (2) restructured loans that are still performing (i.e., accruing interest).

Non-Accrual Loans - Accrual of interest on loans is generally discontinued when a loan becomes contractually past due by 90 days or more with respect to interest or principal. When loans are 90 days past due, but in management's judgment are well secured and in the process of collection, they may not be classified as non-accrual. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all interest previously accrued but not collected is reversed. Income on such loans is then recognized only to the extent that cash is received and where the future collection of principal is probable. Non-accrual loans totaled \$3.0 million as of June 30, 2012. At December 31, 2011 and June 30, 2011, non-accrual loans totaled \$4.2 million and \$8.0 million, respectively.

Restructured Loans - A restructuring of a loan constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (“TDR”) if the Company for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. Restructured loans typically present an elevated level of credit risk as the borrowers are not able to perform according to the original contractual terms. If the restructured loan was current on all payments at the time of restructure and management reasonably expects the borrower will continue to perform after the restructure, management may keep the loan on accrual. As of June 30, 2012, restructured loans on accrual totaled \$1.4 million as

compared to \$4.7 million at December 31, 2011. This decline was primarily a result of two commercial real estate loans that totaled \$3.0 million as of December 31, 2011 no longer being classified as a TDR since they were restructured at a market rate in a prior calendar year and are currently in compliance with their modified terms. Restructured loans on accrual at June 30, 2011, were \$3.8 million.

Table of Contents

Other Real Estate - Loans where the collateral has been repossessed are classified as other real estate ("ORE") or, if the collateral is personal property, the property is classified as other assets on the Company's financial statements. The Company reported \$2.5 million of ORE at June 30, 2012, \$2.9 million at December 31, 2011, and \$4.0 million at June 30, 2011. The June 30, 2012 carrying value of \$2.5 million is net of a \$4.1 million reserve for ORE valuation allowance. The December 31, 2011, carrying value of \$2.9 million is net of a \$4.1 million reserve for ORE valuation allowance. The June 30, 2011 carrying value of \$4.0 million is net of a \$3.4 million reserve for ORE valuation allowance.

The following table sets forth the amount of the Company's non-performing loans (defined as non-accrual loans plus accruing loans past due 90 days or more) and ORE as of the dates indicated.

Non-Performing Assets

(in thousands)	June 30, 2012	Dec. 31, 2011	June 30, 2011
Non-Performing Loans	\$ 3,015	\$ 4,228	\$ 7,974
Other Real Estate	2,553	2,924	3,997
Total Non-Performing Assets	\$ 5,568	\$ 7,152	\$ 11,971
Non-Performing Loans as a % of Total Loans	0.25	% 0.36	% 0.67
Restructured Loans (Performing)	\$ 1,375	\$ 4,710	\$ 3,843

Although management believes that non-performing loans are generally well-secured and that potential losses are provided for in the Company's allowance for loan losses, there can be no assurance that future deterioration in economic conditions and/or collateral values will not result in future credit losses. Specific reserves of \$915,000, \$1.6 million, and \$1.4 million have been established for non-performing loans at June 30, 2012, December 31, 2011 and June 30, 2011, respectively.

Foregone interest income on non-accrual loans which would have been recognized during the period, if all such loans had been current in accordance with their original terms, totaled \$177,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2012, \$385,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011, and \$324,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Except for those classified and non-performing loans discussed above, the Company's management is not aware of any loans as of June 30, 2012, for which known financial problems of the borrower would cause serious doubts as to the ability of these borrowers to materially comply with their present loan repayment terms, or any known events that would result in the loan being designated as non-performing at some future date. However, the Central Valley of California continues to be one of the hardest hit areas in the country during this recession. Housing prices in many areas are down as much as 60% and the economic stress has spread from residential real estate to other industry segments such as autos and commercial real estate. Unemployment levels remain above 15% in many areas. As a result of this combination of: (1) significant declines in real estate values over the past several years; and (2) continuing uncertainty in general economic conditions leading to increased unemployment and business failures; borrowers who up until this time have been able to keep current in their payments may experience deterioration in their overall financial condition, increasing the potential of default. See "Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors" in the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Deposits

One of the key sources of funds to support earning assets (loans and investments) is the generation of deposits from the Company's customer base. The ability to grow the customer base and subsequently deposits is a significant element in the performance of the Company.

Table of Contents

The Company's deposit balances at June 30, 2012 have increased \$68.1 million or 4.4% compared to June 30, 2011. In addition to the Company's ongoing business development activities for deposits, the following factors positively impacted year-over-year deposit growth: (1) the Federal government's decision to permanently increase FDIC deposit insurance limits from \$100,000 to \$250,000 per depositor (unlimited for non-interest bearing transaction accounts); and (2) the Company's strong financial results and position which has built F&M Bank's reputation as one of the most safe and sound banks in its market territory. The Company expects that, at some point, deposit customers may begin to diversify how they invest their money (e.g., move funds back into the stock market or other investments) and this could impact future deposit growth.

Although total deposits have increased 4.4% since June 30, 2011, the Company's focus has been on increasing low cost transaction and savings accounts, which have grown at a much faster pace:

- Demand and interest-bearing transaction accounts have increased \$62.3 million or 12.0% since June 30, 2011.
- Savings and money market accounts have increased \$48.2 million or 9.7% since June 30, 2011.
- Time deposit accounts have decreased \$42.4 million or 7.7% since June 30, 2011. This decline was the continuing result of an explicit pricing strategy adopted by the Company beginning in 2009 based upon the recognition that market CD rates were greater than the yields that the Company could obtain reinvesting these funds in short-term government agency & government-sponsored entity securities or overnight Fed Funds. Beginning in 2009, management carefully reviewed time deposit customers and reduced our deposit rates to customers that did not also have transaction, money market, and/or savings balances with us (i.e., depositors who were not "relationship customers"). Given the Company's strong deposit growth in transaction, savings and money market accounts, this time deposit decline has not presented any liquidity issues and it has significantly enhanced the Company's net interest margin and earnings.

The Company's deposit balances at June 30, 2012 have increased \$6.0 million or 0.4% compared to December 31, 2011, due primarily to an increase in savings and money market deposits of 9.0% or \$44.9 million. This increase was partially offset by: (1) decreased demand and interest-bearing transaction accounts in the amount of \$26.7 million or 4.4%; and (2) decreased time deposit accounts in the amount of \$12.1 million or 2.3%.

Federal Home Loan Bank Advances and Federal Reserve Bank Borrowings

Lines of credit with the Federal Reserve Bank and the Federal Home Loan Bank are other key sources of funds to support earning assets See "Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk and Liquidity Risk." These sources of funds are also used to manage the Company's interest rate risk exposure, and as opportunities arise, to borrow and invest the proceeds at a positive spread through the investment portfolio.

FHLB Advances as of June 30, 2012 were \$51.0 million compared to \$530,000 at December 31, 2011 and \$561,000 at June 30, 2011. The large increase was due to the termination of the repurchase agreements. The \$60.0 million in repurchase agreements was replaced with FHLB advances. The average rate on FHLB advances during the first half of 2012 was 1.0% compared to 1.2% during the first half of 2011.

There were no amounts outstanding on the Company's line of credit with the FRB as of June 30, 2012.

As of June 30, 2012 the Company has additional borrowing capacity of \$189.7 million with the Federal Home Loan Bank and \$285.0 million with the Federal Reserve Bank. Any borrowings under these lines would be collateralized with loans that have been accepted for pledging at the FHLB and FRB.

Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase

Securities Sold Under Agreement to Repurchase are used as secured borrowing alternatives to FHLB Advances or FRB Borrowings. The Company had no securities sold under agreement to repurchase at June 30, 2012 and \$60 million at December 31, 2011, and June 30, 2011.

On March 13, 2008, the Bank entered into a \$40 million medium term repurchase agreement with Citigroup as part of the Bank's interest rate risk management strategy. The repurchase agreement pricing rate is 3.20% with an embedded 3-year cap tied to 3 month Libor with a strike price of 3.3675%. The repurchase agreement matures March 13, 2013, and is secured by investments in agency pass through securities.

On May 30, 2008, the Company entered into a second \$20 million medium term repurchase agreement with Citigroup. The repurchase agreement pricing rate is 4.19% with an embedded 3-year cap tied to 3 month Libor with a strike price of 3.17%. The repurchase agreement matures June 5, 2013, and is secured by investments in agency pass through securities.

Table of Contents

On June 21, 2012, the Company terminated both repurchase agreements with Citigroup resulting in an early termination fee totaling \$1.7 million. The Company determined that the time was appropriate to replace these relatively “high-cost” borrowings with short-term FHLB advances at substantially lower rates. This rate differential will positively impact the Company’s net interest margin over the next 9-12 months, the period during which the repurchase agreements were scheduled to mature.

Subordinated Debentures

On December 17, 2003, the Company raised \$10 million through an offering of trust-preferred securities. Although this amount is reflected as subordinated debt on the Company’s balance sheet, under applicable regulatory guidelines, trust preferred securities qualify as regulatory capital (see “Capital”). These securities accrue interest at a variable rate based upon 3-month Libor plus 2.85%. Interest rates reset quarterly and were 3.3% as of June 30, 2012, 3.4% at December 31, 2011 and 3.1% at June 30, 2011. The average rate paid for these securities for the first half of 2012 was 3.4% compared to 3.2% for the first half 2011. Additionally, if the Company decided to defer interest on the subordinated debentures, the Company would be prohibited from paying cash dividends on the Company’s common stock.

Capital

The Company relies primarily on capital generated through the retention of earnings to satisfy its capital requirements. The Company engages in an ongoing assessment of its capital needs in order to support business growth and to insure depositor protection. Shareholders’ Equity totaled \$198.8 million at June 30, 2012, \$189.3 million at December 31, 2011, and \$181.1 million at June 30, 2011.

The Company and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company’s and the Bank’s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company’s and the Bank’s assets, liabilities, and certain off balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company’s and the Bank’s capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios set forth in the table below of Total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets and of Tier 1 capital to average assets (all terms as defined in the regulations). Management believes, as of June 30, 2012, that the Company and the Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

Table of Contents

In its most recent notification from the FDIC the Bank was categorized as “well capitalized” under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as “well capitalized”, the Bank must maintain minimum Total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the following table. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the institution’s categories.

(in thousands) The Company: As of June 30, 2012	Actual		Regulatory Capital Requirements			To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
Total Capital to Risk Weighted Assets	\$219,852	14.93 %	\$117,843	8.0 %	N/A	N/A	
Tier 1 Capital to Risk Weighted Assets	\$201,256	13.66 %	\$58,921	4.0 %	N/A	N/A	
Tier 1 Capital to Average Assets	\$201,256	10.48 %	\$76,847	4.0 %	N/A	N/A	

(in thousands) The Bank: As of June 30, 2012	Actual		Regulatory Capital Requirements			To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions		
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio		
Total Capital to Risk Weighted Assets	\$219,482	14.90 %	\$117,818	8.0 %	\$147,273	10.0 %		
Tier 1 Capital to Risk Weighted Assets	\$200,890	13.64 %	\$58,909	4.0 %	\$88,364	6.0 %		
Tier 1 Capital to Average Assets	\$200,890	10.46 %	\$76,787	4.0 %	\$95,984	5.0 %		

As previously discussed (see “Subordinated Debentures”), in order to supplement its regulatory capital base, during December 2003 the Company issued \$10 million of trust preferred securities. On March 1, 2005, the Federal Reserve Board issued its final rule effective April 11, 2005, concerning the regulatory capital treatment of trust preferred securities (“TPS”) by bank holding companies (“BHCs”). Under the final rule BHCs may include TPS in Tier 1 capital in an amount equal to 25% of the sum of core capital net of goodwill. Any portion of trust-preferred securities not qualifying as Tier 1 capital would qualify as Tier 2 capital subject to certain limitations. The Company has received notification from the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco that all of the Company’s trust preferred securities currently qualify as Tier 1 capital.

The Company is not considered the primary beneficiary of this Trust (variable interest entity), therefore the trust is not consolidated in the Company’s financial statements, but rather the subordinated debentures are shown as a liability.

In 1998, the Board approved the Company’s first common stock repurchase program. This program was extended and expanded in both 2004 and 2006. Most recently, on November 12, 2008, the Board of Directors approved increasing the funds available for the Company’s common stock repurchase program. The Board’s resolution authorized up to \$20 million in repurchases over the four-year period ending October 31, 2012.

During the second quarters of 2012 and 2011, the Company did not repurchase any shares. The remaining dollar value of shares that may yet be purchased under the Company's Common Stock Repurchase Plan is approximately \$15.8 million.

On August 5, 2008, the Board of Directors approved a Share Purchase Rights Plan (the "Rights Plan"), pursuant to which the Company entered into a Rights Agreement dated August 5, 2008, with Registrar and Transfer Company, as Rights Agent, and the Company declared a dividend of a right to acquire one preferred share purchase right (a "Right") for each outstanding share of the Company's common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, to stockholders of record at the close of business on August 15, 2008. Generally, the Rights are only triggered and become exercisable if a person or group (the "Acquiring Person") acquires beneficial ownership of 10 percent or more of the Company's common stock or announces a tender offer for 10 percent or more of the Company's common stock.

Table of Contents

The Rights Plan is similar to plans adopted by many other publicly traded companies. The effect of the Rights Plan is to discourage any potential acquirer from triggering the Rights without first convincing Farmers & Merchants Bancorp's Board of Directors that the proposed acquisition is fair to, and in the best interest of, all of the shareholders of the Company. The provisions of the Plan will substantially dilute the equity and voting interest of any potential acquirer unless the Board of Directors approves of the proposed acquisition. Each Right, if and when exercisable, will entitle the registered holder to purchase from the Company one one-hundredth of a share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, no par value, at a purchase price of \$1,200 for each one one-hundredth of a share, subject to adjustment. Each holder of a Right (except for the Acquiring Person, whose Rights will be null and void upon such event) shall thereafter have the right to receive, upon exercise, that number of Common Shares of the Company having a market value of two times the exercise price of the Right. At any time before a person becomes an Acquiring Person, the Rights can be redeemed, in whole, but not in part, by Farmers and Merchants Bancorp's Board of Directors at a price of \$0.001 per Right. The Rights Plan will expire on August 5, 2018.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

This "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," is based upon the Company's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. In preparing the Company's financial statements management makes estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. These judgments govern areas such as the allowance for loan losses, the fair value of financial instruments and accounting for income taxes.

For a full discussion of the Company's critical accounting policies and estimates see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in the Company's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Off Balance Sheet Commitments

In the normal course of business the Company enters into financial instruments with off balance sheet risks in order to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments consist of commitments to extend credit, letters of credit and other types of financial guarantees. The Company had the following off balance sheet commitments as of the dates indicated.

(in thousands)	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	June 30, 2011
Commitments to Extend Credit	\$ 314,117	\$ 300,572	\$ 286,319
Letters of Credit	5,817	5,087	5,703
Performance Guarantees Under Interest Rate Swap Contracts Entered			
Into Between Our Borrowing Customers and Third Parties	1,549	416	-

These instruments involve varying degrees of credit risk, but the Company applies the same credit policies to these instruments as it does for loans included on the balance sheet. Additionally, the Company maintains an allowance for off balance sheet commitments which totaled \$142,000 at June 30, 2012, December 31, 2011, and June 30, 2011. We do not anticipate any material losses as a result of these transactions.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**Risk Management**

The Company has adopted risk management policies and procedures, which aim to ensure the proper control and management of all risk factors inherent in the operation of the Company, most importantly credit risk, interest rate risk and liquidity risk. These risk factors are not mutually exclusive. It is recognized that any product or service offered by

the Company may expose the Company to one or more of these risk factors.

Table of Contents

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from an obligor's failure to meet the terms of any contract or otherwise fail to perform as agreed. Credit risk is found in all activities where success depends on counterparty, issuer, or borrower performance.

Credit risk in the investment portfolio and correspondent bank accounts is addressed through defined limits in the Company's policy statements. In addition, certain securities carry insurance to enhance credit quality of the bond.

In order to control credit risk in the loan portfolio, the Company has established credit management policies and procedures that govern both the approval of new loans and the monitoring of the existing portfolio. The Company manages and controls credit risk through comprehensive underwriting and approval standards, dollar limits on loans to one borrower, and by restricting loans made primarily to its principal market area where management believes it is best able to assess the applicable risk. Additionally, management has established guidelines to ensure the diversification of the Company's credit portfolio such that even within key portfolio sectors such as real estate or agriculture, the portfolio is diversified across factors such as location, building type, crop type, etc. However, as a financial institution that assumes lending and credit risks as a principal element of its business, credit losses will be experienced in the normal course of business. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered by management to be adequate to provide for risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is increased by provisions charged to operating expense and reduced by net charge-offs.

The Company's methodology for assessing the appropriateness of the allowance is applied on a regular basis and considers all loans. The systematic methodology consists of two major parts.

Part 1: includes a detailed analysis of the loan portfolio in two phases. The first phase is conducted in accordance with the "Receivables" topic of the FASB ASC. Individual loans are reviewed to identify loans for impairment. A loan is impaired when principal and interest are deemed uncollectible in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loan. Impairment is measured as either the expected future cash flows discounted at each loan's effective interest rate, the fair value of the loan's collateral if the loan is collateral dependent, or an observable market price of the loan, if one exists. Upon measuring the impairment, the Company will ensure an appropriate level of allowance is present or established.

Central to the first phase of the analysis of the loan portfolio is the loan risk rating system. The originating credit officer assigns borrowers an initial risk rating, which is based primarily on a thorough analysis of each borrower's financial position in conjunction with industry and economic trends. Approvals are made based upon the amount of inherent credit risk specific to the transaction and are reviewed for appropriateness by senior credit administration personnel. Credits are monitored by credit administration personnel for deterioration in a borrower's financial condition, which would impact the ability of the borrower to perform under the contract. Risk ratings are adjusted as necessary. Risk ratings are reviewed by both the Company's independent third-party credit examiners and bank examiners from the DFI and FDIC.

Based on the risk rating system, specific allowances are established in cases where management has identified significant conditions or circumstances related to a credit that management believes indicates that the loan is impaired and there is a probability of loss. Management performs a detailed analysis of these loans, including, but not limited to, cash flows, appraisals of the collateral, conditions of the marketplace for liquidating the collateral, and assessment of the guarantors. Management then determines the inherent loss potential and allocates a portion of the allowance for losses as a specific allowance for each of these credits.

The second phase is conducted by segmenting the loan portfolio by risk rating and into groups of loans with similar characteristics in accordance with the "Contingency" topic of the FASB ASC. In this second phase, groups of loans with

similar characteristics are reviewed and the appropriate allowance factor is applied based on the historical average charge-off rate for each particular group of loans.

Part 2: considers qualitative internal and external factors that may affect a loan's collectability, is based upon management's evaluation of various conditions, the effects of which are not directly measured in the determination of the historical and specific allowances. The evaluation of the inherent loss with respect to these conditions is subject to a higher degree of uncertainty because they are not identified with specific problem credits or portfolio segments. The conditions evaluated in connection with the second element of the analysis of the allowance include, but are not limited to the following conditions that existed as of the balance sheet date:

Table of Contents

§	general economic and business conditions affecting the key lending areas of the Company;
§	credit quality trends (including trends in collateral values, delinquencies and non-performing loans);
§	loan volumes, growth rates and concentrations;
§	loan portfolio seasoning;
§	specific industry and crop conditions;
§	recent loss experience; and
§	duration of the current business cycle.

Management reviews these conditions in discussion with the Company's senior credit officers. To the extent that any of these conditions is evidenced by a specifically identifiable impaired credit or portfolio segment as of the evaluation date, management's estimate of the effect of such condition may be reflected as a specific allowance applicable to such credit or portfolio segment. Where any of these conditions is not evidenced by a specifically identifiable impaired credit or portfolio segment as of the evaluation date, management's evaluation of the inherent loss related to such condition is reflected in the second major element of the allowance.

Management believes that based upon the preceding methodology, and using information currently available, the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2012 was adequate. No assurances can be given that future events may not result in increases in delinquencies, non-performing loans, or net loan charge-offs that would require increases in the provision for loan losses and thereby adversely affect the results of operations.

Interest Rate Risk

The mismatch between maturities of interest sensitive assets and liabilities results in uncertainty in the Company's earnings and economic value and is referred to as interest rate risk. The Company does not attempt to predict interest rates and positions the balance sheet in a manner, which seeks to minimize, to the extent possible, the effects of changing interest rates.

The Company measures interest rate risk in terms of potential impact on both its economic value and earnings. The methods for governing the amount of interest rate risk include: (1) analysis of asset and liability mismatches (Gap analysis); (2) the utilization of a simulation model; and (3) limits on maturities of investment, loan, and deposit products, which reduces the market volatility of those instruments.

The Gap analysis measures, at specific time intervals, the divergence between earning assets and interest bearing liabilities for which repricing opportunities will occur. A positive difference, or Gap, indicates that earning assets will reprice faster than interest-bearing liabilities. This will generally produce a greater net interest margin during periods of rising interest rates and a lower net interest margin during periods of declining interest rates. Conversely, a negative Gap will generally produce a lower net interest margin during periods of rising interest rates and a greater net interest margin during periods of decreasing interest rates.

The interest rates paid on deposit accounts do not always move in unison with the rates charged on loans. In addition, the magnitude of changes in the rates charged on loans is not always proportionate to the magnitude of changes in the rate paid for deposits. Consequently, changes in interest rates do not necessarily result in an increase or decrease in the net interest margin solely as a result of the differences between repricing opportunities of earning assets or interest bearing liabilities.

The Company also utilizes the results of a dynamic simulation model to quantify the estimated exposure of net interest income to sustained interest rate changes. The sensitivity of the Company's net interest income is measured over a rolling one-year horizon.

Table of Contents

The simulation model estimates the impact of changing interest rates on interest income from all interest earning assets and the interest expense paid on all interest bearing liabilities reflected on the Company's balance sheet. This sensitivity analysis is compared to policy limits, which specify a maximum tolerance level for net interest income exposure over a one-year horizon assuming no balance sheet growth, given a 200 basis point upward and a 100 basis point downward shift in interest rates. A shift in rates over a 12-month period is assumed. Results that exceed policy limits, if any, are analyzed for risk tolerance and reported to the Board with appropriate recommendations. At June 30, 2012, the Company's estimated net interest income sensitivity to changes in interest rates, as a percent of net interest income was a decrease in net interest income of 1.79% if rates increase by 200 basis points and an increase in net interest income of 0.04% if rates decline 100 basis points. Comparatively, at December 31, 2011, the Company's estimated net interest income sensitivity to changes in interest rates, as a percent of net interest income was a decrease in net interest income of 0.44% if rates increase by 200 basis points and a decrease in net interest income of 0.17% if rates decline 100 basis points.

The estimated sensitivity does not necessarily represent a Company forecast and the results may not be indicative of actual changes to the Company's net interest income. These estimates are based upon a number of assumptions including: the nature and timing of interest rate levels including yield curve shape; prepayments on loans and securities; pricing strategies on loans and deposits; replacement of asset and liability cash flows; and other assumptions. While the assumptions used are based on current economic and local market conditions, there is no assurance as to the predictive nature of these conditions including how customer preferences or competitor influences might change.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk to earnings or capital resulting from the Company's inability to meet its obligations when they come due without incurring unacceptable losses. It includes the ability to manage unplanned decreases or changes in funding sources and to recognize or address changes in market conditions that affect the Company's ability to liquidate assets or acquire funds quickly and with minimum loss of value. The Company endeavors to maintain a cash flow adequate to fund operations, handle fluctuations in deposit levels, respond to the credit needs of borrowers, and to take advantage of investment opportunities as they arise.

The Company's principal operating sources of liquidity include (see "Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data – Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows") cash and cash equivalents, cash provided by operating activities, principal payments on loans, proceeds from the maturity or sale of investments, and growth in deposits. To supplement these operating sources of funds the Company maintains Federal Funds credit lines of \$66.0 million and repurchase lines of \$100.0 million with major banks. In addition, as of June 30, 2012 the Company has available borrowing capacity of \$435.0 million at the Federal Home Loan Bank and \$285.0 million at the Federal Reserve Bank.

At June 30, 2012, the Company had available sources of liquidity, which included cash and cash equivalents and unpledged investment securities of approximately \$275.1 million, which represents 14.2% of total assets.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information is recorded and reported in all filings of financial reports. Such information is reported to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer to allow timely and accurate disclosure based on the definition of "disclosure controls and procedures" in Rule 13a-15(e). In designing these controls and procedures, management recognizes that they can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. Management also evaluated the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of Company's disclosure controls and procedures under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and other senior management of the Company. The evaluation was based, in part, upon reports and affidavits provided by a number of executives. Based on the foregoing, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect the internal controls over financial reporting subsequent to the date the Company completed its evaluation.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

Certain lawsuits and claims arising in the ordinary course of business have been filed or are pending against the Company or its subsidiaries. Based upon information available to the Company, its review of such lawsuits and claims and consultation with its counsel, the Company believes the liability relating to these actions, if any, would not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial statements.

There are no material proceedings adverse to the Company to which any director, officer or affiliate of the Company is a party.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

See “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in the Company’s 2011 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K. In management’s opinion, there have been no material changes in risk factors since the filing of the 2011 Form 10-K.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table indicates the number of shares repurchased by the Company during the second quarter of 2012.

Period	Number of Shares	Average Price per Share	Number of Shares Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Plan or Program	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan or Program
April 2012	-	\$-	-	\$ 15,836,050
May 2012	-	-	-	15,836,050
June 2012	539	390	-	15,836,050
Total	539	\$390	-	\$ 15,836,050

The above shares were not repurchased under the Stock Repurchase Program. They were purchased on the open market for the purpose of investing contributions previously made to the Equity Component of the Executive Retirement Plan. See Note 16 located in “Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data” in the Company’s 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information on the Executive Retirement Plan.

The common stock of Farmers & Merchants Bancorp is not widely held nor listed on any exchange. However, trades may be reported on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol “FMCB.OB”. Additionally, management is aware that there are private transactions in the Company’s common stock.

ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable

ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable

ITEM 5. Other Information

None

58

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. Exhibits

See “Index to Exhibits”

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirement of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FARMERS & MERCHANTS
BANCORP

Date: August 6, 2012

/s/ Kent A. Steinwert

Kent A. Steinwert
Chairman, President
& Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 6, 2012

/s/ Stephen W. Haley

Stephen W. Haley
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial & Accounting
Officer)

Index to Exhibits

Exhibit No. Description

<u>31(a)</u>	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
<u>31(b)</u>	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
<u>32</u>	Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document