CIMAREX ENERGY CO
Form 10-Q
August 04, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x **Quarterly Report Pursuant To Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

o **Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

For the Quarterly Period ended June 30, 2011

Commission File No. 001-31446

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

1700 Lincoln Street, Suite 1800

Denver, Colorado 80203-4518

(303) 295-3995

Incorporated in the State of Delaware	**Employer Identification No. 45-0466694**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x	Accelerated filer o
Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x.

The number of shares of Cimarex Energy Co. common stock outstanding as of June 30, 2011 was 85,568,583.

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Table of Contents

		Page
PART I		
Item 1	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated balance sheets (unaudited) as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010	4
	Consolidated statements of operations (unaudited) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010	5
	Consolidated statements of cash flows (unaudited) for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010	6
	Notes to consolidated financial statements (unaudited)	7
Item 2	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
Item 3	Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures about Market Risk	40
Item 4	Controls and Procedures	42
PART II		
Item 6	Exhibits	43
Signatures		44

Table of Contents

GLOSSARY

Bbl/d Barrels (of oil or natural gas liquids) per day

Bbls Barrels (of oil or natural gas liquids)

Bcf Billion cubic feet

Bcfe Billion cubic feet equivalent

Btu British thermal unit

MBbls Thousand barrels

Mcf Thousand cubic feet (of natural gas)

Mcfe Thousand cubic feet equivalent

MMBbls Million barrels

MMBtu Million British Thermal Units

MMcf Million cubic feet

MMcf/d Million cubic feet per day

MMcfe Million cubic feet equivalent

MMcfe/d Million cubic feet equivalent per day

Net Acres Gross acreage multiplied by Cimarex s working interest percentage

Net Production Gross production multiplied by Cimarex s net revenue interest

NGL Natural gas liquids

Tcf Trillion cubic feet

Tcfe Trillion cubic feet equivalent

WTI West Texas Intermediate

One barrel of oil or NGL is the energy equivalent of six Mcf of natural gas

CAUTIONARY INFORMATION ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Throughout this Form 10-Q, we make statements that may be deemed forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements include, among others, statements concerning our outlook with regard to timing and amount of future production of oil and gas, price realizations, amounts, nature and timing of capital expenditures for exploration and development, plans for funding operations and capital expenditures, drilling of wells, operating costs and other expenses, marketing of oil and gas and other statements of expectations, beliefs, future plans and strategies, anticipated events or trends, and similar expressions concerning matters that are not historical facts. The forward-looking statements in this report are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in or implied by the statements.

These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, fluctuations in the price we receive for our oil and gas production, reductions in the quantity of oil and gas sold due to decreased industry-wide demand and/or curtailments in production from specific properties due to mechanical, marketing or other problems, operating and capital expenditures that are either significantly higher or lower than anticipated because the actual cost of identified projects varied from original estimates and/or from the number of exploration and development opportunities being greater or fewer than currently anticipated, and increased financing costs due to a significant increase in interest rates. In addition, exploration and development opportunities that we pursue may not result in productive oil and gas properties. There are also numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of proved reserves, projecting future rates of production and the timing of development expenditures. These and other risks and uncertainties affecting us are discussed in greater detail in this report and in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1 - Financial Statements

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

	June 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010
	(In thousands, except share data)	
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 13,150	$ 114,126
Receivables, net	293,419	310,968
Oil and gas well equipment and supplies	78,584	81,871
Deferred income taxes	4,293	4,293
Derivative instruments	2,826	5,731
Assets held for sale	112,758	
Prepaid expenses		
Other current assets	48,692	44,778
Total current assets	553,722	561,767
Oil and gas properties at cost, using the full cost method of accounting:		
Proved properties	9,074,629	8,421,768
Unproved properties and properties under development, not being amortized	655,924	547,609
	9,730,553	8,969,377
Less accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	(6,210,882)	(6,047,019)
Net oil and gas properties	3,519,671	2,922,358
Fixed assets, net	86,539	156,579
Goodwill	691,432	691,432
Other assets, net	32,764	26,111
	$ 4,884,128	$ 4,358,247
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	$ 47,506	$ 47,242
Accrued liabilities	398,941	320,989
Liabilities associated with assets held for sale	8,112	
Derivative instruments	4,519	9,587
Revenue payable	139,261	134,495
Total current liabilities	598,339	512,313
Long-term debt	350,000	350,000
Deferred income taxes	787,192	619,040
Other liabilities	260,996	267,062
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock, $0.01 par value, 15,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued		
Common stock, $0.01 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 85,568,583 and 85,234,721 shares issued, respectively	856	852
Paid-in capital	1,892,898	1,883,065

Retained earnings		993,415		725,651
Accumulated other comprehensive income		432		264
		2,887,601		2,609,832
	$	4,884,128	$	4,358,247

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010
	(In thousands, except per share data)			
Revenues:				
Gas sales	$ 140,377	$ 151,375	$ 271,700	$ 377,012
Oil sales	242,812	180,664	463,311	372,224
NGL sales	69,069	32,851	131,259	48,060
Gas gathering, processing and other	14,544	13,602	27,061	29,452
Gas marketing, net	411	9	478	323
	467,213	378,501	893,809	827,071
Costs and expenses:				
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	89,847	73,146	174,873	142,856
Asset retirement obligation	2,707	1,641	4,645	4,285
Production	60,745	45,356	119,225	87,339
Transportation	16,387	10,825	29,833	21,992
Gas gathering and processing	4,630	6,100	9,181	12,605
Taxes other than income	34,495	28,410	68,092	60,768
General and administrative	10,617	11,817	25,344	24,862
Stock compensation, net	4,617	2,993	9,367	5,771
Gain on derivative instruments, net	(22,477)	(3,289)	(4,233)	(55,886)
Other operating, net	2,342	1,876	5,716	30
	203,910	178,875	442,043	304,622
Operating income	263,303	199,626	451,766	522,449
Other (income) and expense:				
Interest expense	9,340	9,101	18,320	18,563
Capitalized interest	(7,352)	(7,285)	(14,577)	(14,709)
Other, net	(3,018)	1,851	(3,622)	(79)
Income before income tax	264,333	195,959	451,645	518,674
Income tax expense	97,584	71,339	166,734	189,693
Net income	$ 166,749	$ 124,620	$ 284,911	$ 328,981
Earnings per share to common stockholders:				
Basic				
Distributed	$ 0.10	$ 0.08	$ 0.20	$ 0.16
Undistributed	1.85	1.39	3.13	3.72
	$ 1.95	$ 1.47	$ 3.33	$ 3.88
Diluted				
Distributed	$ 0.10	$ 0.08	$ 0.20	$ 0.16
Undistributed	1.84	1.38	3.11	3.68
	$ 1.94	$ 1.46	$ 3.31	$ 3.84

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	2010
	(In thousands)	
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	$ 284,911	$ 328,981
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	174,873	142,856
Asset retirement obligation	4,645	4,285
Deferred income taxes	168,056	125,303
Stock compensation, net	9,367	5,771
Derivative instruments, net	(2,163)	(38,775)
Changes in non-current assets and liabilities	4,559	5,614
Other, net	3,735	(934)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Decrease in receivables, net	17,549	10,049
(Increase) decrease in other current assets	(9,694)	16,587
Decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(16,747)	(27,477)
Net cash provided by operating activities	639,091	572,260
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Oil and gas expenditures	(699,301)	(426,941)
Sales of oil and gas and other assets	20,646	28,905
Other expenditures	(52,889)	(14,808)
Net cash used by investing activities	(731,544)	(412,844)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net decrease in bank debt		(25,000)
Financing costs incurred	(100)	(100)
Dividends paid	(15,415)	(11,835)
Issuance of common stock and other	6,992	17,032
Net cash used by financing activities	(8,523)	(19,903)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(100,976)	139,513
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	114,126	2,544
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	$ 13,150	$ 142,057

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY GO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited financial statements have been prepared by Cimarex Energy Co. pursuant to rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Accordingly, certain disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and normally included in annual reports on Form 10-K have been omitted. Although management believes that our disclosures in these interim financial statements are adequate, they should be read in conjunction with the financial statements, summary of significant accounting policies, and footnotes included in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying financial statements reflect all adjustments necessary to present fairly our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for the periods shown. We have evaluated subsequent events through the date of this filing.

Full Cost Accounting Method and Ceiling Limitation

We use the full cost method of accounting for our oil and gas operations. All costs associated with property acquisition, exploration, and development activities are capitalized. Exploration and development costs include dry hole costs, geological and geophysical costs, direct overhead related to exploration and development activities, and other costs incurred for the purpose of finding oil and gas reserves. Salaries and benefits paid to employees directly involved in the exploration and development of properties, as well as other internal costs that can be directly identified with acquisition, exploration, and development activities, are also capitalized. Under the full cost method of accounting, no gain or loss is recognized upon the disposition of oil and gas properties unless such disposition would significantly alter the relationship between capitalized costs and proved reserves.

Companies that follow the full cost accounting method are required to make quarterly ceiling test calculations. This test ensures that total capitalized costs for oil and gas properties (net of accumulated DD&A and deferred income taxes) do not exceed the sum of the present value discounted at 10% of estimated future net cash flows from proved reserves, the cost of properties not being amortized, the lower of cost or estimated fair value of unproven properties included in the costs being amortized, and all related tax effects. We currently do not have any unproven properties that are being amortized. Revenue calculations in the reserves are based on the unweighted average first-day-of-the-month prices for the prior twelve months. Changes in proved reserve estimates (whether based upon quantity revisions or commodity prices) will cause corresponding changes to the full cost ceiling limitation. If net capitalized costs subject to amortization exceed this limit, the excess would be charged to expense. Any recorded impairment of oil and gas properties is not reversible at a later date.

Our quarterly and annual ceiling tests are primarily impacted by commodity prices, reserve quantities added and produced, overall exploration and development costs and depletion expense. Holding all factors constant other than commodity prices, a 10% decline in prices as of June 30, 2011 would not have resulted in a ceiling test impairment. Decreases in commodity prices can also impact our goodwill impairment analyses.

Depletion of proved oil and gas properties is computed on the units-of-production method, whereby capitalized costs, as adjusted for future development costs and asset retirement obligations, are amortized over the total estimated proved reserves. The capitalized costs of unproved properties, including wells in progress, are excluded from the costs being amortized. On a quarterly basis, we evaluate excluded costs for inclusion in the costs to be amortized resulting from the determination of proved reserves or impairments. To the extent that the evaluation indicates these properties are impaired,

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

the amount of the impairment is added to the capitalized costs to be amortized. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to production expense in the period incurred.

Goodwill

At June 30, 2011, we had $691.4 million of goodwill recorded in conjunction with past business combinations. Goodwill is subject to annual reviews for impairment, but we continuously monitor the economic environment throughout the year to determine if additional impairment assessments are necessary. These assessments are based on a two-step accounting test. The first step is to compare the estimated fair value of the Company with the recorded net book value (including goodwill), after giving effect to any period impairment of oil and gas properties resulting from the ceiling limitation calculation. If the recorded net book value is greater than zero and the estimated fair value is higher than the recorded net book value, no impairment is deemed to exist and no further testing is done.

Disruptions continue in the credit markets and global economic activity which impact stock markets and commodity prices. Management must apply judgment in determining the estimated fair value of the Company for purposes of assessing goodwill impairment. As of June 30, 2011, the market price per share of our common stock was greater than the book value by $56 per share. Due to volatility in the stock markets, management does not consider the market value of our shares to be an accurate reflection of the fair value of our net assets for goodwill impairment purposes.

To estimate the fair value of the Company, we use all available information, including the present values of expected future cash flows using discount rates commensurate with the risks involved in the assets. This estimated fair value differs significantly from the valuation used in the ceiling limitation calculation which requires that prices and costs be held constant over the life of the wells and are discounted at 10%. The ceiling calculation is not intended to be indicative of fair value. Should lower prices or quantities result in the future, or higher discount rates are necessary, the carrying value of our net assets may exceed the estimated fair value, resulting in an impairment of goodwill.

Use of Estimates

We make certain estimates and assumptions to prepare our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Those estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses during the reporting period and in disclosures of commitments and contingencies. We analyze our estimates, including those related to oil and gas revenues, reserves and properties, as well as goodwill and contingencies, and base our estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

The more significant areas requiring the use of management s estimates and judgments relate to the estimation of proved oil and gas reserves, the use of these oil and gas reserves in calculating depletion, depreciation, and amortization, the use of the estimates of future net revenues in computing ceiling test limitations and estimates of future abandonment obligations used in recording asset retirement obligations, and the assessment of goodwill. Estimates and judgments are also required in determining reserves for bad debt, impairments of undeveloped properties and other assets, purchase price allocation, valuation of deferred tax assets, fair value measurements and commitments and contingencies.

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

Accounting Changes

Certain amounts in prior years financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2011 financial statement presentation.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

No significant accounting standards applicable to Cimarex have been issued during the quarter ended June 30, 2011.

2. Assets Held for Sale

On August 1, 2011, we completed the previously announced sale of our entire interest in the Cimarex operated Riley Ridge Federal Unit, located in Sublette County, Wyoming. The assets sold principally consisted of gas processing facilities and 210 Bcf of proved undeveloped gas reserves.

Sales proceeds received on August 1, 2011 totaled $176 million. The sales contract also provides for a $15 million contingent payment to be paid by the buyer at the time the gas processing facility is operational and certain other performance standards are met.

Cimarex management regularly considers which of its areas of operations are strategic to the Company s ongoing growth and profitability. As a result, assets that are no longer considered core operations are often sold. When the Riley Ridge sale was announced on June 28, 2011, it was the only Cimarex operated property in the entire Rocky Mountain region and was our only project involving carbon dioxide sequestration and helium separation.

An asset is classified as held for sale when among other requirements, management commits to a plan to sell the asset, the asset is being actively marketed at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value, and completion of the sale is probable and expected to occur within one year. An asset held for sale is to be measured at the lower of its carrying amount or fair value.

We have determined that the carrying amount of the gas processing plant under construction and related assets and liabilities were less than or equal to their fair value. Therefore, at June 30, 2011 we have reflected assets held for sale of $112.8 million in our current assets and $8.1 million of liabilities held for sale in our current liabilities. Because the effective date of the sale was April 1, 2011, the values attributable to the plant for this transaction will be different than the values at June 30, 2011. Expenditures for the plant subsequent to April 1, 2011 will be treated as purchase price adjustments.

The assets and liabilities held for sale at June 30, 2011 were comprised of the following (in thousands):

Fixed assets, net	$	104,390
Other current assets		8,368
Assets held for sale	$	112,758
Accrued current liabilities held for sale	$	8,112

As the gas plant is still under construction, we have not recognized any income or expense related to the plant in our statements of operations.

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

Under the full cost method of accounting, sales of oil and gas properties are accounted for as adjustments of capitalized costs, and are not separately identified as assets held for sale. No gain or loss is recognized on a sale unless the sale would significantly alter the relationship between capitalized costs and proved reserves. The sale of our Wyoming gas properties will not significantly alter this relationship, so no gain or loss will be recognized.

3. Derivative Instruments/Hedging

We periodically enter into derivative instruments to mitigate a portion of our potential exposure to a decline in commodity prices and the corresponding negative impact on cash flow available for reinvestment. While the use of these instruments limits the downside risk of adverse price changes, their use may also limit future revenues from favorable price changes.

At June 30, 2011, we had the following outstanding contracts relative to our future production. We have elected not to account for these derivatives as cash flow hedges.

Natural Gas Contracts

Period	Type	Volume/Day		Index(1)	Weighted Average Price Swap	Fair Value (000 s)
Jul 11 - Dec 11	Swap	20,000	MMBtu	PEPL	$ 5.05	$ 2,826

Oil Contracts

Period	Type	Volume/Day		Index(1)	Weighted Average Price Floor	Weighted Average Price Ceiling	Fair Value (000 s)
Jul 11 - Dec 11	Collar	12,000	Bbls	WTI	$ 65.00	$ 105.44	$ (4,519)

(1) PEPL refers to Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company price as quoted in Platt s Inside FERC on the first business day of each month. WTI refers to West Texas Intermediate price as quoted on the New York Mercantile Exchange.

Oil contracts that expire in 2011 represent approximately 40-45% of our anticipated oil production for 2011. Our gas swap contracts presently in place represent approximately 5-6% of expected gas sales volumes.

For 2011, management has been authorized to hedge up to 50% of our anticipated equivalent oil and gas production. Depending on changes in oil and gas futures markets and management s view of underlying supply and demand trends, we may increase or decrease our current hedging positions.

For a swap contract, the counterparty is required to make a payment to us if the settlement price for any settlement period is less than the swap price. We are required to make a payment to the counterparty if the settlement price for the settlement period is greater than the swap price. Under a collar agreement, we receive the difference between the published index price and a floor price if the index price is below the floor. We pay the difference between the ceiling price and the index price only if the index price is above the contracted ceiling price. No amounts are paid or received if the index price is between the floor and ceiling prices.

Our derivative contracts are carried at their fair value on our balance sheet. We estimate the fair value using internal risk adjusted discounted cash flow calculations. Cash flows are based on published forward commodity price curves for the underlying commodity as of the date of the estimate. For collars, we estimate the option value of the contract floors and ceilings using an option pricing model which takes into account market volatility, market prices and contract terms. The fair value of our derivative

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

instruments in an asset position includes a measure of counterparty credit risk, and the fair value of instruments in a liability position includes a measure of our own nonperformance risk. These credit risks are based on current published credit default swap rates. Due to the volatility of commodity prices, the estimated fair value of our derivative instruments are subject to fluctuation from period to period, which could result in significant differences between the current estimated fair value and the ultimate settlement price. The following tables present the estimated fair value of our derivative assets and liabilities as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

June 30, 2011:	**Balance Sheet Location**			**Asset**		**Liability**
				(In thousands)		
Natural gas contracts	Current assets	Derivative instruments	$	2,826	$	
Oil contracts	Current liabilities	Derivative instruments				4,519
			$	2,826	$	4,519

December 31, 2010:	**Balance Sheet Location**			**Asset**		**Liability**
				(In thousands)		
Natural gas contracts	Current assets	Derivative instruments	$	5,731	$	
Oil contracts	Current liabilities	Derivative instruments				9,587
			$	5,731	$	9,587

Because we have elected not to account for our current derivative contracts as cash flow hedges, we recognize all realized and unrealized changes in fair value in earnings. Cash settlements of our derivative contracts are included in cash flows from operating activities in our statements of cash flows.

The following table summarizes the realized and unrealized gains and losses from settlements and changes in fair value of our derivative contracts as presented in our accompanying financial statements.

	Three Months Ended June 30,				**Six Months Ended June 30,**			
	2011		**2010**		**2011**		**2010**	
	(In thousands)							
Settlements gains (losses):								
Natural gas contracts	$	1,693	$	17,016	$	3,727	$	17,998
Oil contracts		(1,657)		(446)		(1,657)		(887)
Total settlements gains (losses)		36		16,570		2,070		17,111
Unrealized gains (losses) on fair value change:								

Natural gas contracts	(1,149)	(25,898)	(2,905)	24,670
Oil contracts	23,590	12,617	5,068	14,105
Total unrealized gains (losses) on fair value change	22,441	(13,281)	2,163	38,775
Gain (loss) on derivative instruments, net	$ 22,477	$ 3,289	$ 4,233	$ 55,886

We are exposed to financial risks associated with these contracts from nonperformance by our counterparties. Counterparty risk is also a component of our estimated fair value calculations. We have mitigated our exposure to any single counterparty by contracting with a number of financial institutions, each of which has a high credit rating and is a member of our bank credit facility. Our member banks have a secured interest in our oil and gas properties, and therefore do not require us to post collateral for our hedge liability positions.

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

4. Fair Value Measurements

The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) has established a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. This hierarchy consists of three broad levels. Level 1 inputs are the highest priority and consist of unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for an asset or liability.

The following tables provide fair value measurement information for certain assets and liabilities as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

June 30, 2011:		**Carrying Amount**		**Fair Value**
		(In thousands)		
Financial Assets (Liabilities):				
7.125% Notes due 2017	$	(350,000)	$	(365,750)
Derivative instruments assets	$	2,826	$	2,826
Derivative instruments liabilities	$	(4,519)	$	(4,519)

December 31, 2010:		**Carrying Amount**		**Fair Value**
		(In thousands)		
Financial Assets (Liabilities):				
7.125% Notes due 2017	$	(350,000)	$	(358,750)
Derivative instruments assets	$	5,731	$	5,731
Derivative instruments liabilities	$	(9,587)	$	(9,587)

Assessing the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, considering factors specific to the asset or liability. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of the assets and liabilities in the table above.

Debt

The fair value for our 7.125% fixed rate notes were based on their last traded value before period end.

Derivative Instruments (Level 2)

The fair value of our derivative instruments were estimated using internal discounted cash flow calculations. Cash flows are based on the stated contract prices and current and published forward commodity price curves, adjusted for volatility. The cash flows are risk adjusted relative to nonperformance for both our counterparties and our liability positions. Please see Note 3 for further information on the fair value of our derivative instruments.

Other Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of our cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and accrued liabilities approximate fair value because of the short-term maturities and/or liquid

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

nature of these assets and liabilities. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the aggregate allowance for doubtful accounts for trade, oil and gas sales, and gas gathering, processing, and marketing receivables was $6.4 million and $6.8 million, respectively.

Most of our accounts receivable balances are uncollateralized and result from transactions with other companies in the oil and gas industry. Concentration of customers may impact our overall credit risk because our customers may be similarly affected by changes in economic or other conditions within the industry.

5. Capital Stock

A summary of our common stock activity for the six months ended June 30, 2011 follows:

Issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2010	85,235
Restricted shares issued under compensation plans, net of cancellations	281
Option exercises, net of cancellations	53
Issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2011	85,569

Stock-based Compensation

In May 2011, our 2011 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2011 Plan) was approved by stockholders. The 2011 Plan replaces the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2002 Plan) which was set to expire on September 30, 2012. No new grants will be made under the 2002 Plan.

The 2011 Plan provides for the grant of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, performance stock and performance stock units to officers, other eligible employees and nonemployee directors. The 2011 Plan is modeled after the 2002 Plan, with two major changes: we have reduced the maximum term of any option granted under the 2011 Plan from ten years to seven years, and dividends will be accrued on all shares subject to performance awards and will only be paid at the time of vesting of the award, and then only with respect to shares that are issued upon attainment of the performance goals. A total of 5.3 million shares of common stock may be issued under the 2011 Plan.

Restricted Stock and Units

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, we issued a total of 430,711 restricted shares to officers, other employees, and nonemployee directors. Included in that amount are 363,758 shares issued to certain executives that are subject to market condition-based vesting determined by our stock price performance relative to a defined peer group s stock price performance. After three years of continued service, an executive will be entitled to vest in 50% to 100% of the award. The material terms of performance goals applicable to these awards were approved by stockholders in May 2006 and May 2010. The other shares granted in 2011 have service-based vesting schedules of three to five years.

The following table presents restricted stock activity as of June 30, 2011 and changes during the year:

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

Outstanding as of January 1, 2011	1,899,511
Vested	(378,770)
Granted	430,711
Canceled	(18,250)
Outstanding as of June 30, 2011	1,933,202

The following table presents restricted unit activity as of June 30, 2011 and changes during the year:

Outstanding as of January 1, 2011	94,807
Converted to Stock	(8,337)
Granted	
Canceled	
Outstanding as of June 30, 2011	86,470
Vested included in outstanding	86,470

A restricted unit represents a right to an unrestricted share of common stock upon satisfaction of defined vesting and holding conditions. The restricted units have a five-year vesting schedule and an additional three-year holding period following vesting prior to payment in common stock. The outstanding restricted stock and stock units are entitled to receive dividends on unvested shares.

Compensation cost for service-based vesting restricted shares or units is based upon amortization of the grant-date market value of the award. The fair value of the market condition-based restricted stock awards is based on the grant-date market value of the award utilizing a Monte Carlo simulation model. Compensation cost related to the restricted stock and unit awards is recognized ratably over the applicable vesting period. Compensation costs (including capitalized amounts) for the quarters ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were $6.7 million and $4.4 million, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, compensation costs (including capitalized amounts) were $13.2 million and $8.2 million, respectively.

Unamortized compensation cost related to unvested restricted shares and units at June 30, 2011 and 2010 was $59.6 million and $37.2 million, respectively.

Stock Options

Options granted under our 2002 and 2011 plans expire seven to ten years from the grant date and have service-based vesting schedules of three to five years. The plans provide that all grants have an exercise price of the average of the high and low prices of our common stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant.

There were no stock options granted to employees during the six months ended June 30, 2011. There were 21,500 stock options granted to employees during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Information about outstanding stock options is summarized below:

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

	Options		Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Term		Aggregate Intrinsic Value (000 s)
Outstanding as of January 1, 2011	1,026,527	$	32.60			
Exercised	(52,727)	$	37.82			
Granted		$				
Canceled		$				
Forfeited	(10,336)	$	57.81			
Outstanding as of June 30, 2011	963,464	$	32.04	4.4 Years	$	55,583
Exercisable as of June 30, 2011	675,425	$	23.65	3.0 Years	$	44,632

There were 52,727 and 362,629 stock options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010, respectively. Cash received from option exercises during the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 was $2.0 million and $8.5 million, respectively. The related tax benefits realized from option exercises totaled $1.2 million and $5.1 million, respectively, and were recorded to paid-in capital. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 was $996 thousand and $3.2 million, respectively. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was $12.8 million and $16.1 million, respectively.

We estimate the fair value of options as of the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of our common stock. We also use historical data to estimate the probability of option exercise, expected years until exercise and potential forfeitures. The risk-free interest rate we use is the five-year U.S. Treasury bond in effect at the date of the grant.

The following summary reflects the status of non-vested stock options as of June 30, 2011 and changes during the year:

	Options		Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value		Weighted Average Exercise Price
Non-vested as of January 1, 2011	375,322	$	18.25	$	47.80
Vested	(76,947)	$	12.68	$	31.81
Granted		$		$	
Forfeited	(10,336)	$	22.18	$	57.81
Non-vested as of June 30, 2011	288,039	$	19.60	$	51.71

We recognize compensation cost related to stock options ratably over the vesting period. Historical amounts may not be representative of future amounts as additional options may be granted. Compensation costs (including capitalized amounts) for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were $1.1 million and $967 thousand, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, compensation cost (including capitalized amounts) totaled $2.2 million and $1.8 million, respectively.

As of June 30, 2011, there was $2.8 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options granted under our stock incentive plan. We expect to recognize that cost pro rata over a weighted-average period of 1 year.

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

Stockholder Rights Plan

We have a stockholder rights plan. The plan is designed to improve the ability of our board to protect the interests of our stockholders in the event of an unsolicited takeover attempt. For every outstanding share of Cimarex common stock, there exists one purchase right (the Right). Each Right represents a right to purchase one one-hundredth of a share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock at a purchase price of $60.00 per share subject to adjustment in certain cases to prevent dilution. The Rights will become exercisable only in the event a person or group acquires beneficial ownership of 15% or more of our common stock, or a person or group commences a tender offer or exchange offer that, if successfully consummated, would result in such person or group beneficially owning 15% or more of our common stock. In general, in either of these events, each holder of a right, other than the person or group initiating the acquisition or tender offer, will have the rights to receive Cimarex common stock with a value equal to two times the exercise price of the rights.

We generally will be entitled to redeem the Rights under certain circumstances at $0.01 per Right at any time before the close of business on the tenth business day after there has been a public announcement of the acquisition of beneficial ownership by any person or group of 15% or more of our common stock. The Rights may not be exercised until our Board s right to redeem the stock has expired. Unless redeemed earlier, the Rights expire on February 23, 2012.

Dividends and Stock Repurchases

In May 2011, the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of $0.10 per share on our common stock. The dividend is payable on September 1, 2011 to stockholders of record on August 15, 2011. Future dividend payments will depend on the Company s level of earnings, financial requirements, and other factors considered relevant by the Board of Directors.

In December 2005, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to four million shares of our common stock. The authorization is currently set to expire on December 31, 2011. Purchases may be made in both the open market and through negotiated transactions, and purchases may be increased, decreased or discontinued at any time without prior notice. Through December 31, 2007, we repurchased and cancelled a total of 1,364,300 shares at an overall average price of $39.05. There were no shares repurchased in the second quarter of 2011, or since the quarter ended September 30, 2007.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities for the Quarter Ended June 30, 2011

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of shares that may yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
April 2011	None	NA	None	2,635,700
May 2011	None	NA	None	2,635,700
June 2011	None	NA	None	2,635,700

6. Asset Retirement Obligations

We recognize the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation in the period in which it is incurred, if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made, and the associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. Oil and gas producing companies incur this liability which includes costs related to the plugging of wells, the removal of facilities and

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

equipment, and site restorations, upon acquiring or drilling a successful well. Subsequent to initial measurement, the asset retirement liability is required to be accreted each period. If the fair value of a recorded asset retirement obligation changes, a revision is recorded to both the asset retirement obligation and the asset retirement capitalized cost. Capitalized costs are depleted as a component of the full cost pool.

The following table reflects the components of the change in the carrying amount of the asset retirement obligation for the six months ended June 30, 2011 (in thousands):

Asset retirement obligation at January 1, 2011	$	138,769
Liabilities incurred		2,217
Liability settlements and disposals		(11,907)
Accretion expense		3,705
Revisions of estimated liabilities		4,159
Asset retirement obligation at June 30, 2011		136,943
Less current obligation		(33,467)
Long-term asset retirement obligation	$	103,476

7. Long-Term Debt

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 our only outstanding debt was our $350 million 7.125% senior unsecured notes.

Bank Debt

In July 2011, we entered into a five-year senior unsecured revolving credit facility (Credit Facility). The Credit Facility will replace our current three-year senior secured revolving credit facility (Previous Credit Facility). The Credit Facility has total bank commitments of $800 million, with an initial borrowing base of $2 billion. The Credit Facility is provided by a syndicate of banks led by JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and matures on July 14, 2016.

The Credit Facility also contains similar covenants and restrictive provisions as were contained in the Previous Credit Facility. The Credit Facility has financial covenants that include the maintenance of current assets (including unused bank commitments) to current liabilities of greater than 1.0 to 1.0. We also must maintain a leverage ratio of total debt to earnings before interest expense, income taxes and noncash items (such as depreciation, depletion and amortization expense, unrealized gains and losses on commodity derivatives, ceiling test write-downs, and goodwill impairments) of not more than 3.5 to 1.0. Other covenants could limit our ability to: incur additional indebtedness, pay dividends, repurchase our common stock, or sell assets.

The borrowing base under the Credit Facility is determined at the discretion of lenders, based on the value of our proved reserves subject to potential special and regular- annual redeterminations. The next regular-annual redetermination date is on April 1, 2012. Total debt must be less than the borrowing base.

At Cimarex s option, borrowings under the Credit Facility may bear interest at either (a) LIBOR plus 1.75-2.5%, based on our leverage ratio, or (b) the higher of (i) a prime rate, (ii) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.50%, or (iii) adjusted one-month LIBOR plus 1.0% plus, in each case, an additional 0.75-1.5%, based on our leverage ratio.

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

Our Previous Credit Facility had a borrowing base of $1.0 billion. At June 30, 2011, there were no outstanding borrowings under the Previous Credit Facility. We had letters of credit outstanding of $7.5 million leaving an unused borrowing availability of $792.5 million. As of June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with all of the financial and nonfinancial covenants.

7.125% Notes due 2017

In May, 2007, we issued $350 million of 7.125% senior unsecured notes that mature May 1, 2017 at par. Interest on the notes is payable May 1 and November 1 of each year. The notes are governed by an indenture containing covenants that could limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness; pay dividends or repurchase our common stock; make investments and other restricted payments; incur liens; enter into sale/leaseback transactions; engage in transactions with affiliates; sell assets; and consolidate, merge or transfer assets.

The notes are redeemable at our option, in whole or in part, at any time on and after May 1, 2012 at the following redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount) plus accrued interest, if any, thereon to the date of redemption.

Year	**Percentage**
2012	103.6%
2013	102.4%
2014	101.2%
2015 and thereafter	100.0%

At any time prior to May 1, 2012, we may redeem all, but not part, of the notes at a price of 100% of the principal amount of the notes plus accrued and unpaid interest plus a make-whole premium.

If a specified change of control occurs, subject to certain conditions, we must make an offer to purchase the notes at a purchase price of 101% of the principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of the purchase.

Floating rate convertible notes due 2023

In July 2010, all remaining holders of our floating rate convertible notes converted their notes for cash and shares. The effective interest rate for both the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2010 was 1.2%.

8. *Income Taxes*

The components of our provision for income taxes are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
Current provision (benefits)	$ (774)	$ 31,026	$ (1,322)	$ 64,390
Deferred taxes	98,358	40,313	168,056	125,303
	$ 97,584	$ 71,339	$ 166,734	$ 189,693

We account for uncertainty in our income tax provisions in accordance with rules promulgated by the FASB. At June 30, 2011 we have no unrecognized tax benefits that would impact our effective rate and we have made no provisions for interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions. The tax years

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

2005 2009 remain open to examination by the Internal Revenue Service of the United States. We file tax returns with various state taxing authorities which remain open for tax years 2005 2009 for examination.

Our provision for income taxes differed from the U.S. statutory rate of 35% primarily due to state income taxes, nondeductible expenses, and special deductions. The effective income tax rates for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 was 36.9% and 36.6%, respectively.

9. Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
Cash paid during the period for:				
Interest expense (including capitalized amounts)	$ 13,746	$ 13,702	$ 14,808	$ 15,073
Interest capitalized	$ 10,929	$ 10,868	$ 11,783	$ 11,944
Income taxes	$ 1,500	$ 61,912	$ 1,671	$ 84,857
Cash received for income taxes	$	$ 809	$ 25,004	$ 2,675

10. Earnings per Share and Comprehensive Income

Earnings per Share

We calculate earnings per share based on FASB guidance which holds that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are participating securities and therefore should be included in computing earnings per share using the two-class earnings allocation method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for each class of common stock and participating security according to dividends declared (or accumulated) and participation rights in undistributed earnings. Under this guidance, our unvested share- based payment awards, consisting of restricted stock and restricted stock units, qualify as participating securities.

19

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

The calculations of basic and diluted net earnings per common share under the two-class method are presented below (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	Three Months Ended June 30, 2010	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010
Net income	$ 166,749	$ 124,620	$ 284,911	$ 328,981
Less distributed earnings (dividends declared during the period)	(8,567)	(6,774)	(17,128)	(13,533)
Undistributed earnings for the period	$ 158,182	$ 117,846	$ 267,783	$ 315,448
Allocation of undistributed earnings:				
Basic allocation to unrestricted common stockholders	$ 154,452	$ 114,523	$ 261,469	$ 306,553
Basic allocation to participating securities	$ 3,730	$ 3,323	$ 6,314	$ 8,895
Diluted allocation to unrestricted common stockholders	$ 154,471	$ 114,558	$ 261,501	$ 306,645
Diluted allocation to participating securities	$ 3,711	$ 3,288	$ 6,282	$ 8,803
Basic Shares Outstanding				
Unrestricted outstanding common shares	83,635	82,352	83,635	82,352
Add participating securities:				
Restricted stock outstanding	1,933	1,742	1,933	1,742
Restricted stock units outstanding	87	648	87	648
Total participating securities	2,020	2,390	2,020	2,390
Total Basic Shares Outstanding	85,655	84,742	85,655	84,742
Fully Diluted Shares				
Unrestricted outstanding common shares	83,635	82,352	83,635	82,352
Incremental shares from assumed exercise of stock options	428	490	433	481
Incremental shares from assumed conversion of the convertible senior notes		409		409
Fully diluted common stock	84,063	83,251	84,068	83,242
Participating securities	2,020	2,390	2,020	2,390
Total Fully Diluted Shares	86,083	85,641	86,088	85,632
Basic earnings (loss) per share				
Unrestricted common stockholders:				
Distributed earnings	$ 0.10	$ 0.08	$ 0.20	$ 0.16
Undistributed earnings	1.85	1.39	3.13	3.72
	$ 1.95	$ 1.47	$ 3.33	$ 3.88
Participating securities:				
Distributed earnings	$ 0.10	$ 0.08	$ 0.20	$ 0.16

Undistributed earnings		1.85		1.39		3.13		3.72
	$	1.95	$	1.47	$	3.33	$	3.88
Fully diluted earnings (loss) per share								
Unrestricted common stockholders:								
Distributed earnings	$	0.10	$	0.08	$	0.20	$	0.16
Undistributed earnings		1.84		1.38		3.11		3.68
	$	1.94	$	1.46	$	3.31	$	3.84
Participating securities:								
Distributed earnings	$	0.10	$	0.08	$	0.20	$	0.16
Undistributed earnings		1.84		1.38		3.11		3.68
	$	1.94	$	1.46	$	3.31	$	3.84

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

The following table presents the amounts of outstanding stock options, restricted stock and units as follows:

	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Stock options	963,464	1,208,482
Restricted stock	1,933,202	1,742,111
Restricted units	86,470	647,507

Certain stock options considered to be anti-dilutive for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were 2,832 and 31,562, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, certain stock options considered to be anti-dilutive were 12,895 and 46,196, respectively.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is a term used to refer to net income plus other comprehensive income. Other comprehensive income is comprised of revenues, expenses, gains and losses that under generally accepted accounting principles are reported as separate components of stockholders equity instead of net income.

The components of comprehensive income are as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net income	$ 166,749	$ 124,620	$ 284,911	$ 328,981
Other comprehensive income:				
Change in fair value of investments, net of tax	9	(248)	168	(149)
Total comprehensive income	$ 166,758	$ 124,372	$ 285,079	$ 328,832

11. Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation

In January 2009, the Tulsa County District Court issued a judgment totaling $119.6 million in the H.B. Krug, et al versus Helmerich & Payne, Inc. (H&P) case. This lawsuit was originally filed in 1998 and addressed H&P s conduct pertaining to a 1989 take-or-pay settlement, along with potential drainage issues and other related matters. Pursuant to the 2002 spin-off transaction to shareholders of H&P by which Cimarex became a publicly-traded entity, Cimarex assumed the assets and liabilities of H&P s exploration and production business. In 2008 we had accrued litigation expense of $119.6 million for this lawsuit. During 2009 and 2010, we have accrued an additional $9.4 million and $8.9 million, respectively, for associated post-judgment interest and fees that have accrued during the appeal of the District Court s judgments. We have accrued an additional $4.3 million for post-judgment interest and fees during the first half of 2011. Cimarex cannot determine when the appeal process will be completed, and post-judgment interest and fees will continue to accrue until the appeal process is finalized or a settlement is reached. Should the appellate courts affirm the District Court s judgment in its entirety, the original judgment of $119.6 million, plus all subsequent post-judgment interest and fee amounts accrued will become payable.

In the normal course of business, we have other various litigation related matters. We assess the probability of estimable amounts related to litigation matters in accordance with guidance established by the FASB and adjust our accruals accordingly. Though some of the related claims may be significant, the

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

resolution of them we believe, individually or in the aggregate, would not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Other

At June 30, 2011 our assets and liabilities associated with construction of gas processing facilities in the Riley Ridge Federal Unit in Sublette County, Wyoming were reflected as held for sale on our balance sheet. We had commitments of $78.7 million to complete construction of the facilities, of which $60 million was subject to construction contracts. The total cost of the project, including development of proved undeveloped gas reserves, is expected to approximate $369 million. Our partner in the project is responsible for 42.5% of the costs. The plant is subject to a 20 year delivery commitment, commencing December, 2011. If no deliveries are made, the maximum amount that would be payable under the agreement would be approximately $43 million. Subsequent to quarter end, we sold our entire interest in the Riley Ridge Federal Unit. Please see Note 2 for further information on the sale of these assets.

We have drilling commitments of approximately $299.6 million consisting of obligations to complete drilling wells in progress at June 30, 2011. We also have various commitments for drilling rigs as well as certain service contracts. The total minimum expenditure commitments under these agreements are $21.8 million to secure the use of drilling rigs and $39.9 million to secure certain dedicated services associated with drilling activities.

We have projects in Oklahoma and New Mexico where we are constructing gathering facilities and pipelines. At June 30, 2011, we had commitments of $14.3 million relating to this construction.

We have noncancelable operating leases for office and parking space in Denver, Tulsa, Dallas, Midland, and for small district and field offices. During the first quarter of 2011, we entered into a new 12-year lease agreement for additional office space. The expected commencement date is December 1, 2012. Our aggregate minimum lease payments increased to $79.1 million at June 30, 2011 versus $15.5 million at December 31, 2010.

At June 30, 2011, we have a purchase commitment of $10.3 million for construction of an aircraft. The total cost of the aircraft is $11.5 million with an option to trade in our existing aircraft. The completion of the aircraft is expected to be by the end of this year.

At June 30, 2011, we had firm sales contracts to deliver approximately 10.7 Bcf of natural gas over the next nine months. If this gas is not delivered, our financial commitment would be approximately $44.3 million. This commitment will fluctuate due to price volatility and actual volumes delivered. However, we believe no financial commitment will be due based on our current reserves and production levels.

In connection with gas gathering and processing agreements, we have commitments to deliver a minimum of 32.6 Bcf of gas over the next 2 to 3 years. The production from certain wells is counted toward those commitments; these wells also have individual commitments for gas deliveries. If no gas is delivered, the maximum amount that would be payable under these commitments would be approximately $23.1 million, some of which would be reimbursed by working interest owners who are selling with us under our marketing agreements. We do not expect to make significant payments relative to these commitments.

We have various other transportation and delivery commitments in the normal course of business, which are individually and in aggregate not material.

Table of Contents

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

June 30, 2011

(Unaudited)

All of the noted commitments were routine and were made in the normal course of our business.

12. Property Sales and Acquisitions

In order to acquire and sell oil and gas properties in a tax efficient manner, we periodically enter into like-kind exchange tax-deferred transactions. In these transactions, we utilize an exchange accommodation titleholder, a type of variable interest entity, for which we are the primary beneficiary. Accordingly, as of the acquisition date, we consolidate the oil and gas assets and reserves, as well as production, revenues and expenses attributable to properties in these like-kind exchange transactions.

Certain property acquisitions in the fourth quarter of 2010 were structured to qualify as the first step of a reverse like-kind exchange. During the first quarter of 2011, we sold various interests in oil and gas properties for approximately $11.8 million, a portion of which was included in the second step of the reverse like-kind exchange. We sold various interests in oil and gas properties for $8.5 million during the second quarter of 2011, some of which are included as part of our like-kind exchanges. During the first half of 2010, we had $28.8 million of property sales.

Subsequent to June 30, 2011, we sold all of our interests in assets located in Sublette County, Wyoming. Please see Note 2 for further information on this sale.

During the first half of 2011, we had property acquisitions of approximately $21.2 million of which $18 million was in our western Oklahoma Cana-Woodford shale play and $3 million was in the Permian Basin. During the first half of 2010, property acquisitions totaled $33.9 million. Subsequent to June 30, 2011, we purchased additional interests in our western Oklahoma Cana-Woodford shale play for approximately $4.8 million.

At June 30, 2011 our noncurrent Other assets, net included $7.2 million of cash held in trust to be used in completing future like-kind exchanges.

We intend to continue to actively evaluate acquisitions and dispositions relative to our property holdings, particularly in our Cana-Woodford shale play and in the Permian Basin.

23

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

We are an independent oil and gas exploration and production company with operations entirely located in the United States. We have determined that our business is comprised of only one segment because our gathering, processing and marketing activities are ancillary to our production operations and are not separately managed.

Our operating strategy is to achieve profitable growth in proved reserves and production primarily through exploration and development. To supplement our growth and to provide for new drilling opportunities, we also consider mergers and property acquisitions. Our growth is generally funded with cash flow provided by our operating activities. In order to achieve a consistent rate of growth and mitigate risk we have historically maintained a blended portfolio of low, moderate, and higher risk exploration and development projects. To further mitigate risk, we have chosen to seek geologic and geographic diversification by operating in multiple basins. Our operations are mainly conducted in Texas, Oklahoma and New Mexico. We also have projects in Kansas and Wyoming.

Our revenue, profitability and future growth are highly dependent on the commodity prices we receive. Continued volatility in commodity prices, and a recurrence of turmoil in the global financial system may have adverse effects on our business and financial position. Our ability to access the capital markets may be restricted, which could have an impact on our flexibility to react to changing economic and business conditions. Further, the global economic situation could have an impact on our lenders, business partners and customers, potentially causing them to fail to meet their obligations to us.

Our ability to find, develop and/or acquire proved oil and gas reserves will also impact our financial results. A cornerstone to our approach is a detailed evaluation of each drilling decision based on its risk-adjusted discounted cash flow rate of return on investment. Our analysis includes estimates and assessments of potential reserve size, geologic and mechanical risks, expected costs, future production profiles and future oil and gas prices.

Based on current market prices and service costs, we expect that 2011 Exploration and Development (E&D) expenditures may range from $1.5 to $1.6 billion, up from $999 million in 2010. We anticipate approximately 47% of our E&D costs to be directed toward the Permian Basin, 46% to the Mid-Continent and 7% to the Gulf Coast and other. At June 30, 2011 we had 27 operated rigs running. At June 30, 2010 we had 19 operated rigs running.

Second quarter 2011 summary financial and operating results:

- Second quarter production volumes averaged 585.7 MMcfe/d, down from 594.4 MMcfe/d for second quarter 2010.

- Second quarter sales of oil, gas and NGLs increased 24% to $452.3 million from $364.9 million in the previous year.

- The average realized oil price increased 33% to $100.12 per barrel compared to $75.26 per barrel in 2010.

- The average realized gas price increased 6% to $4.75 per Mcf versus $4.48 per Mcf in 2010.

- The average realized NGL price increased 35% to $45.06 per barrel compared to $33.45 per barrel in 2010.

Table of Contents

- Cash flow from operating activities was $373.8 million, up from $273.2 million a year earlier.

- Net income of $166.7 million ($1.94 per diluted share) increased from net income of $124.6 million ($1.46 per diluted share) in 2010.

- Total debt of $350 million at June 30, 2011 did not change from year-end 2010.

- Second quarter 2011 drilling included 95 gross (55.5 net) wells with 91 gross (53.6 net) completed as producers compared to 52 gross (32.4 net) wells with 50 gross (31.1 net) completed as producers for second quarter 2010.

Commodity Prices

While our revenues are a function of both production and prices, wide swings in commodity prices have had the greatest impact on our results of operations. Oil prices have improved during the first half of 2011 as the US and global economic situation have continued to improve. However, there is still significant volatility for oil prices as a result of concerns about sustained economic growth and geopolitical instability. Prices for natural gas have remained low primarily as a result of an oversupply.

The following table presents our average realized commodity prices for the second quarter and first six months of 2011 versus the same periods of 2010. The realized prices do not include settlements of our commodity contracts.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
Gas Prices:				
Average Henry Hub price ($/Mcf)	$ 4.32	$ 4.09	$ 4.21	$ 4.70
Average realized sales price ($/Mcf)	$ 4.75	$ 4.48	$ 4.60	$ 5.47
Oil Prices:				
Average WTI Cushing price ($/Bbl)	$ 102.56	$ 78.04	$ 98.36	$ 78.38
Average realized sales price ($/Bbl)	$ 100.12	$ 75.26	$ 95.80	$ 75.69
NGL Prices:				
Average realized sales price ($/Bbl)	$ 45.06	$ 33.45	$ 42.92	$ 35.07

On an energy equivalent basis, 55% of our aggregate 2011 production was natural gas. A $0.10 per Mcf change in our average realized gas sales price would have resulted in approximately a $5.9 million change in our gas revenues. Similarly, 45% of our production was crude oil and NGLs. A $1.00 per barrel change in our average realized sales price would have resulted in approximately a $7.9 million change in our combined oil and NGL revenues.

Hedging

In addition to supply and demand, oil and gas prices are affected by seasonal, economic and geo-political factors that we can neither control nor predict. From time to time we attempt to mitigate a portion of our price risk through the use of hedging transactions.

During 2010 we entered into oil and gas contracts relative to our 2011 production. Management has been authorized to hedge up to 50% of our anticipated 2011 equivalent production. Oil contracts that expire in 2011 represent approximately 40-45% of our anticipated remaining oil production for 2011. Our gas swap contracts presently in place represent 5-6% of expected remaining 2011 gas sales volumes.

Table of Contents

We had the following outstanding contracts as of June 30, 2011:

Natural Gas Contracts

Period	Type	Volume/Day	Index(1)	Weighted Average Price Swap
Jul 11 - Dec 11	Swap	20,000 MMBtu	PEPL	$ 5.05

Oil Contracts

Period	Type	Volume/Day	Index(1)	Weighted Average Price Floor	Weighted Average Price Ceiling
Jul 11 - Dec 11	Collar	12,000 Bbls	WTI	$ 65.00	$ 105.44

(1) PEPL refers to Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company price as quoted in Platt s Inside FERC on the first business day of each month. WTI refers to West Texas Intermediate price as quoted on the New York Mercantile Exchange.

Depending on changes in oil and gas futures markets and management s view of underlying supply and demand trends, we may increase or decrease our current hedging positions.

We have chosen not to apply hedge accounting treatment to the derivative contracts we entered into in 2010. Therefore, settlements on these contracts do not impact our realized commodity prices during the periods they cover. Instead, any settlements on the contracts are shown as a component of operating costs and expenses as either a net gain or loss on derivative instruments. See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and Item 3 of this report for additional information regarding our derivative instruments.

Production and other operating expenses

Costs associated with finding and producing oil and gas are substantial. Some of these costs vary with commodity prices, some trend with the type and volume of production and some are a function of the number of wells we own. At the end of 2010, we owned interests in 12,425 gross wells.

Production expense generally consists of the cost of power and fuel, direct labor, third-party field services, compression, water disposal, and certain maintenance activity (workovers) necessary to produce oil and gas from existing wells.

Transportation expense is comprised of costs paid to move oil and gas from the wellhead to a specified sales point. In some cases we receive a payment from purchasers which is net of transportation costs, and in other instances we separately pay for transportation. If costs are netted in the proceeds received, both the gross revenues and gross costs are shown in sales and expenses, respectively.

Depreciation, depletion and amortization (DD&A) of our producing properties is computed using the units-of-production method. Because the economic life of each producing well depends upon the assumed price for future sales of production, fluctuations in commodity prices may impact the level of proved reserves used in the calculation. Higher prices generally have the effect of increasing reserves, which reduces depletion expense. Lower prices generally have the effect of decreasing reserves, which increases depletion expense. In addition, changes in estimates of reserve quantities and estimates of future development costs, reclassifications from unproved properties to proved properties and E&D expenditures will impact depletion expense.

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and related benefits, office rent, legal fees, consultants, systems costs and other administrative costs incurred in our offices and not directly associated with exploration, development or production activities. While we expect these costs to

Table of Contents

increase with our growth, we also expect such increases to be proportionately smaller than our production growth.

Production taxes are assessed by state and local taxing authorities pertaining to production, revenues or the value of properties. These typically include production severance, ad valorem and excise taxes.

Significant expenses that generally do not trend with production

Stock compensation expense consists of noncash charges resulting from the issuance of restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock options. In accordance with our stock incentive plan, such grants are periodically made to nonemployee directors, officers and other eligible employees.

The net gain or loss on derivative instruments is the net realized and unrealized gain or loss on derivative contracts, to which we did not apply hedge accounting treatment. That amount will fluctuate based on changes in the fair value of the underlying commodities.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three months and six months ended June 30, 2011 vs. June 30, 2010

Net income for the second quarter of 2011 was $166.7 million, or $1.94 per diluted share. This compares to $124.6 million, or $1.46 per diluted share, for the same period in 2010. The increase in net income is mainly due to the improvement of realized commodity prices in the second quarter of 2011 compared to 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 net income was $284.9 million, or $3.31 per diluted share. In 2010 we recognized a net income of $329.0 million, or $3.84 per diluted share, for the first six months of the year. The decrease in net income results primarily from a decrease in the net gain on derivative contracts during the first half of 2011 compared to 2010. These changes are discussed further in the analysis that follows.

Commodity Sales (In thousands or as indicated)	**2011**	**2010**	**Percent Change Between 2011/2010**	**Price/Volume Analysis Price**	**Volume**	**Variance**
For the Three Months Ended June 30,						
Gas sales	$ 140,377	$ 151,375	-7%	$ 7,979	$ (18,977)	$ (10,998)
Oil sales	242,812	180,664	34%	60,286	1,862	62,148
NGL Sales	69,069	32,851	110%	17,798	18,420	36,218
	$ 452,258	$ 364,890		$ 86,063	$ 1,305	$ 87,368
For the Six Months Ended June 30,						
Gas sales	$ 271,700	$ 377,012	-28%	$ (51,363)	$ (53,949)	$ (105,312)

Oil sales	463,311	372,224	24%	97,252	(6,165)	91,087
NGL Sales	131,259	48,060	173%	24,005	59,194	83,199
	$ 866,270	$ 797,296		$ 69,894	$ (920)	$ 68,974

Table of Contents

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011		Percent Change Between 2011/2010	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011		Percent Change Between 2011/2010
	2011	2010		2011	2010	
Total gas volume MMcf	29,551	33,793	-13%	59,038	68,968	-14%
Gas volume - MMcf per day	324.7	371.4		326.2	381.0	
Average gas price - per Mcf	$ 4.75	$ 4.48	6%	$ 4.60	$ 5.47	-16%
Total oil volume - thousand barrels	2,425	2,401	1%	4,836	4,918	-2%
Oil volume - barrels per day	26,650	26,381		26,719	27,170	
Average oil price - per barrel	$ 100.12	$ 75.26	33%	$ 95.80	$ 75.69	27%
Total NGL volume thousand barrels	1,533	982	56%	3,058	1,370	123%
NGL volume barrels per day	16,844	10,792		16,895	7,570	
Average NGL price per barrel	$ 45.06	$ 33.45	35%	$ 42.92	$ 35.07	22%

Commodity sales for the second quarter of 2011 totaled $452.3 million, compared to $364.9 million in 2010. The increase of $87.4 million between the two periods resulted from higher commodity prices, which had a positive impact of $86.1 million. Higher production volumes during the current quarter contributed an increase of $1.3 million, compared to the prior year.

For the first six months of 2011 commodity sales totaled $866.3 million. For the same period in 2010, commodity sales were $797.3 million. The $69.0 million increase was attributable to higher commodity prices in 2011.

In the second quarter of 2011 our gas production averaged 324.7 MMcf per day, compared to 371.4 MMcf per day in 2010. This 13% decrease resulted in $19.0 million of lower revenues for the 2011 quarter. During the first six months of 2011 our daily gas production averaged 326.2 MMcf per day, or a 14% decrease from the 2010 average of 381.0 MMcf per day. This decrease resulted in $53.9 million of lower revenue in the first six months of 2011.

Our oil production during the second quarter of 2011 averaged 26.7 thousand barrels per day. For the same period of 2010 our average daily oil production was 26.4 thousand barrels per day. The 1% increase in oil production for the quarter contributed an additional $1.9 million of sales revenue. During the first six months of 2011 we averaged 26.7 thousand barrels per day, down from 27.2 thousand barrels per day in 2010, a 2% decrease, which resulted in lower revenues of $6.2 million in 2011.

Our second quarter 2011 NGL volumes increased to 16.8 thousand barrels per day compared to 10.8 thousand barrels per day in 2010. This increase contributed $18.4 million of revenue. NGL production for the first six months of 2011 averaged 16.9 thousand barrels a day, compared to 7.6 thousand barrels a day in 2010. The 2011 increase provided $59.2 million of revenue.

During the first quarter of 2010 we began separately reporting NGL sales and production volumes. The determination to record and separately disclose NGL volumes is based on the location at which both title contractually transfers from Cimarex to a buyer and the associated volumes can be physically quantified. For those NGL volumes that we have recorded and disclosed separately, contractual title of the volumes has passed from Cimarex to a buyer at a point where the NGL volumes have been physically separated from the production stream. Should title contractually transfer before NGL volumes can be physically separated and quantified (typically at the wellhead), we do not report separate NGL volumes, and the value of the NGLs are included in the reported value of the disclosed gas volumes.

Second quarter 2011 aggregate production volumes were 585.7 MMcfe per day, down 1% from 594.4 MMcfe per day for the same period in 2010. Aggregate production volumes for the first six months of 2011 were 587.9 MMcfe per day, down slightly from 589.5 MMcfe per day for the 2010 period. Although new production is coming online, it is being offset by steep declines from wells in the Gulf

Table of Contents

Coast region. In addition, production for 2011 was adversely affected by severe weather, particularly in our Permian Basin region, which resulted in production curtailments.

In the second quarter of 2011 we realized an average gas price of $4.75 per Mcf, or an increase of 6% compared to the average price received of $4.48 per Mcf for the second quarter of 2010. Our average realized gas price for the first six months of 2011 of $4.60 per Mcf was 16% lower than the 2010 average realized price of $5.47. These price changes resulted in increased gas sales revenues of $8.0 million for the second quarter of 2011 and decreased sales revenues of $51.4 million for the first six months of 2011.

We realized an average oil price of $100.12 per barrel for the second quarter of 2011 versus $75.26 for the same period of 2010. This 33% increase resulted in additional oil sales revenue of $60.3 million. For the first six months of 2011 we realized an average oil price of $95.80 per barrel, which was 27% higher than the average price of $75.69 we received for the same period in 2010. This increase contributed an additional $97.3 million of oil sales revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Our average realized price for NGL s in the first quarter of 2011 was $45.06 per barrel. This price was 35% higher than the $33.45 average price received in the first quarter of 2010, and accounted for additional NGL revenue of $17.8 million. In the first six months of 2011 the average NGL price we received was $42.92, up from $35.07 for the same period of 2010. The 22% price increase for 2011 raised NGL sales by $24.0 million for the first six months of 2011.

Changes in realized commodity prices were the result of overall market conditions.

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010
Gas Gathering, Processing, Marketing and Other				
(In thousands):				
Gas gathering, processing and other revenues	$ 14,544	$ 13,602	$ 27,061	$ 29,452
Gas gathering and processing costs	(4,630)	(6,100)	(9,181)	(12,605)
Gas gathering, processing and other margin	$ 9,914	$ 7,502	$ 17,880	$ 16,847
Gas marketing revenues, net of related costs	$ 411	$ 9	$ 478	$ 323

We sometimes transport, process and market third-party gas that is associated with our gas. In the second quarter of 2011, third-party gas gathering, processing and other contributed $9.9 million of pre-tax cash operating margin (revenues less direct cash expenses) versus $7.5 million in 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, such revenues less direct cash expenses totaled $17.9 million and $16.8 million, respectively. Our gas marketing margin (revenues less purchases) was $411 thousand for the second quarter of 2011, compared to $9 thousand in 2010. For the first six months of 2011 our gas marketing margin increased to $478 thousand from $323 thousand in the 2010 period. Changes in net margins from gas gathering, processing, marketing and other activities are the direct result of changes in volumes and overall market conditions.

Table of Contents

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010	Variance Between 2011/2010	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010	Variance Between 2011/2010
Operating costs and expenses						
(In thousands):						
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	$ 89,847	$ 73,146	$ 16,701	$ 174,873	$ 142,856	$ 32,017
Asset retirement obligation	2,707	1,641	1,066	4,645	4,285	360
Production	60,745	45,356	15,389	119,225	87,339	31,886
Transportation	16,387	10,825	5,562	29,833	21,992	7,841
Taxes other than income	34,495	28,410	6,085	68,092	60,768	7,324
General and administrative	10,617	11,817	(1,200)	25,344	24,862	482
Stock compensation	4,617	2,993	1,624	9,367	5,771	3,596
(Gain) loss on derivative instruments, net	(22,477)	(3,289)	(19,188)	(4,233)	(55,886)	51,653
Other operating, net	2,342	1,876	466	5,716	30	5,686
	$ 199,280	$ 172,775	$ 26,505	$ 432,862	$ 292,017	$ 140,845

Total operating costs and expenses (not including gas gathering, marketing and processing costs, or income tax expense) increased 15% to $199.3 million in the second quarter of 2011 compared to $172.8 million for the second quarter of 2010. For the first six months of 2011 operating costs were $432.9 million, or an increase of 48% over the same period of 2010. Analyses of the year over year differences are discussed below.

DD&A increased from $73.1 million in the second quarter of 2010 to $89.8 million in the same period of 2011. The $16.7 million increase in 2011 accounts for 63% of the total second quarter increase in total operating costs and expenses. On a unit of production basis, DD&A was $1.69 per Mcfe for the 2011 second quarter compared to $1.35 in the 2010 quarter. For the first six months of 2011 DD&A was $174.9 million, compared to $142.9 million in 2010. The $32 million increase in expense is 23% of the total 2011 increase in operating costs and expenses. On a unit of production basis, the six month rate for 2011 was $1.64 per Mcfe, up from $1.34 per Mcfe for the 2010 period. The increase in DD&A for the 2011 periods is a result of increasing the cost of reserves added at a greater rate than the increase in future production.

In the second quarter of 2011 our production costs rose $15.4 million up from $45.4 million ($0.84 per Mcfe) in the second quarter of 2010 to $60.7 million ($1.14 per Mcfe). The $15.4 million increase in 2011 accounted for 58% of the aggregate increase for the second quarter. Production costs for the first six months of 2011 were $119.2 million ($1.12 per Mcfe), up from $87.3 million ($0.82 per Mcfe) for the same period of 2010. The $31.9 million increase for the first six months of 2011 was 23% of the total increase in operating costs and expenses.

Our production costs consist of lease operating expense and workover expense. Increases in our 2011 lease operating expenses accounted for approximately 73% of the period over period variances. The increases resulted in part from higher water disposal costs associated with wells coming on line from our successful drilling program. Costs for equipment maintenance, rentals and fuel have also contributed to the increase in lease operating expense for the 2011 periods. The remainder of the 2011 increases relate to increased workover activity in 2011.

Transportation costs rose to $16.4 million ($0.31 per Mcfe) in the second quarter of 2011 from $10.8 million ($0.20 per Mcfe) in 2010. For the first six months of 2011 transportation costs were $29.8 million ($0.28 per Mcfe) versus $22.0 million ($0.21 per Mcfe) for 2010. Transportation costs will fluctuate based on increases or decreases in sales volumes and fluctuation in the price of the fuel cost component. Well connection reimbursement costs resulting from a failure to meet minimum volume delivery commitments entered into in prior years will also fluctuate from period to period. Also, in the latter part of 2010 and continuing in 2011, our Mid-Continent and Permian Basin wells have experienced increases in transportation rates due to higher contractual rates associated with new wells coming online and contracts for existing wells being renewed.

Table of Contents

Taxes other than income in the second quarter of 2011 were $34.5 million, or 21% higher than the $28.4 million in the second quarter of 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, taxes other than income were $68.1 million, up 12% compared to $60.8 million for the 2010 period. The increased taxes between periods resulted primarily from increases in higher realized commodity prices in the 2011 periods.

For the second quarter of 2011 our general and administrative (G&A) expense was $10.6 million, down $1.2 million compared to G&A expense of $11.8 million for the same period of 2010. In the second quarter of 2011 costs associated with higher employee headcount were offset by lower charitable contributions. For the first half of 2011 our G&A expense of $25.3 million was relatively flat compared to G&A of $24.9 million in 2010.

Stock compensation expense consists of noncash charges resulting from the issuance of restricted stock, restricted stock units and stock option awards. Stock compensation expense in the second quarter of 2011 was $4.6 million, up from $3.0 million in the second quarter of 2010. For the first six months of 2011, stock compensation expense of $9.4 million was 62% higher than expense of $5.8 million for the same period of 2010. Expense associated with stock compensation will fluctuate based on the grant-date market value of the award and the number of awards granted. (See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a detailed discussion regarding our stock-based compensation).

Our net (gain) or loss on derivative instruments includes both realized gains and losses on settlements of our derivative contracts and unrealized gains and losses stemming from changes in the fair value of our outstanding derivative instruments. We estimate the fair value of these instruments based on published forward commodity price curves for the underlying commodity as of the date of the estimate. For collars, we estimate the option value of the contract floors and ceilings using an option pricing model which takes into account market volatility, market prices and contract terms. The fair value of our derivative instruments in an asset position includes a measure of counterparty credit risk. The fair value of instruments in a liability position includes a measure of our own nonperformance risk. These credit risks are based on current published credit default swap rates.

We did not elect to use hedge accounting treatment when we entered into our outstanding derivative contracts. (See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a complete discussion of our derivative instruments). The following table reflects the net realized and unrealized (gains) and losses on our derivative instruments:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	(In thousands)			
Realized (gain) loss on settlement of derivative instruments	$ (36)	$ (16,570)	$ (2,070)	$ (17,111)
Unrealized (gain) loss from changes to the fair value of the derivative instruments	(22,441)	13,281	(2,163)	(38,775)
(Gain) loss on derivative instruments, net	$ (22,477)	$ (3,289)	$ (4,233)	$ (55,886)

Other operating, net expense consists of costs related to various legal matters most of which pertain to litigation and contract settlements and title and royalty issues. For the second quarter of 2011 these costs were $2.3 million compared to $1.9 million for 2010. Other operating, net increased from $30 thousand for the first six months of 2010 to $5.7 million for the same period of 2011. Expenses for the first six months of 2010 were significantly lower than the same period of 2011 due to the favorable resolution of items in the 2010 period that had been accrued for in prior years.

Table of Contents

Other income and expense

Interest expense for the second quarter of 2011 was $9.3 million compared to $9.1 million for 2010. For the first six months of 2011 our interest expense was $18.3 million versus $18.6 million for the same period of 2011. Our interest expense includes interest on outstanding borrowings, amortization of financing costs and miscellaneous interest expense.

Components of other, net consist of miscellaneous income and expense items that will vary from period to period, including gain or loss on the sale or value of oil and gas well equipment, interest income and income and expenses associated with other non-operating activities. For the second quarter of 2011 other, net was $3.0 million of income, compared to $1.9 million of expense in the second quarter of 2010. Other, net was $3.6 million of income in the first six months of 2011, up from $79 thousand of income for the same period of 2010. The changes are primarily due to increases in net proceeds from sales of oil and gas well equipment and supplies.

Income tax expense

In the second quarter of 2011 we recognized $97.6 million of income tax expense, which included $0.8 million of current tax benefit. This compares with second quarter 2010 income tax expense of $71.3 million, of which $31 million was current tax expense. The combined Federal and state effective income tax rates were 36.9% and 36.4% for the second quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the first six months of 2011 we recognized net income tax expense of $166.7 million, of which $1.3 million is a current tax benefit. For the same period of 2010 we recognized net income tax expense of $189.7 million, which included $64.4 million of current tax expense. The combined Federal and state effective income tax rates for the first six months of 2011 was 36.9% compared to 36.6% for the 2010 period. Our effective tax rates differ from the statutory rate of 35% primarily due to state income taxes, nondeductible expenses and special deductions.

Table of Contents

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Overview

Our liquidity is highly dependent on the commodity prices we receive. Oil and gas markets are very volatile and we cannot predict future commodity prices. The prices we receive for our production heavily influence our revenue, profitability, access to capital and future rate of growth. In 2010 and the first half of 2011 the United States and global economies have shown improvement. However, concerns about a recurrence of turmoil in the global financial system and geopolitical instability have continued to impact commodity prices, particularly the price of oil. Prices for natural gas have continued to be depressed, primarily as a result of an oversupply of natural gas coupled with lower demand. Volatility in commodity prices may reduce the amount of oil and gas that we can economically produce and affect the amount of cash flow available for capital expenditures. Disruptions in economic conditions may impact third parties with whom we do business, causing them to fail to meet their obligations to us.

We intend to deal with volatility in the current economic environment by maintaining a blended portfolio of low, moderate and higher risk exploration and development projects. Our drilling activities are currently being conducted in three main areas: the Permian Basin, Mid-Continent and Gulf Coast. Our Permian activity is directed primarily to the Delaware Basin of southeast New Mexico and West Texas. A majority of our Mid-Continent drilling is in the western Oklahoma Cana-Woodford shale and Texas Panhandle Granite Wash. Our Gulf Coast operations are currently focused in southeast Texas, near Beaumont.

Historically our exploration and development expenditures have generally been funded by cash flow provided by operating activities (operating cash flow). In 2011 we intend to continue to fund our exploration and development expenditures primarily with operating cash flow. We also intend to continue to use debt sparingly and we may hedge a portion of our production to protect our operating cash flow for reinvestment.

From time to time we consider attractive acquisition opportunities. However, the timing and size of acquisitions are unpredictable. To stay prepared for potential acquisitions and possible declines in commodity prices, we have a revolving credit facility which provides for bank commitments of $800 million. Our credit facility is described in more detail under ***Financing*** , below.

At June 30, 2011, our total debt outstanding was $350 million, which was comprised of our 7.125% Notes due in 2017. Our debt to total capitalization ratio was 11%. The reconciliation of debt to total capitalization, which is a non-GAAP measure, is: long-term debt of $350 million divided by long-term debt of $350 million plus stockholders equity of $2.887 billion. Management believes that this non-GAAP measure is useful information for investors because it is a common statistic referred to by the investment community, used to identify the amount of our leverage and to help analyze our risk exposure relative to other companies in the oil and gas exploration and production industry.

We believe that our operating cash flow and other capital resources will be adequate to continue to meet our needs for our planned capital expenditures, working capital, debt servicing and dividend payments for 2011 and beyond.

Analysis of Cash Flow Changes

Cash flow provided by operating activities for the first six months of 2011 was $639.1 million, compared to $572.3 million for the same period of 2010. The $66.8 million increase in 2011 resulted primarily from higher revenues attributable to higher commodity prices in 2011.

Table of Contents

Cash flow used in investing activities for the first six months of 2011 was $731.5 million, compared to $412.8 million for 2010. Changes in the cash flow used in investing activities are generally the result of changes in our exploration and development programs, acquisitions and property sales. The $318.7 million increase from the first six months 2010 to 2011 was due mainly to increased cash expenditures related to exploration and development activity in 2011. See the discussion below for further information regarding our capital expenditures.

Net cash flow used for financing activities in the first six months of 2011 was $8.5 million, or a decrease of $11.4 million compared to $19.9 million for the same period of 2010. In the 2010 period we had $25 million of net payments on our credit facility, versus a net of zero payments in 2011. The $25 million was partially offset by a decrease in 2011 from issuance of common stock and other.

Reconciliation of Cash Flow from Operations

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010
	(In thousands)			
Net cash provided by operating activities	$ 373,814	$ 273,153	$ 639,091	$ 572,260
Change in operating assets and liabilities	(30,451)	(13,259)	8,892	841
Cash flow from operations	$ 343,363	$ 259,894	$ 647,983	$ 573,101

Management believes that the non-GAAP measure of cash flow from operations is useful information for investors because it is used internally and is accepted by the investment community as a means of measuring the company s ability to fund its capital program. It is also used by professional research analysts in providing investment recommendations pertaining to companies in the oil and gas exploration and production industry.

Capital Expenditures

The following table sets forth certain historical information regarding our capitalized expenditures for oil and gas acquisition, exploration, and development activities (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2010	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2010
Acquisitions:				
Proved	$ 9,165	$ 6,630	$ 9,165	$ 13,786
Unproved	11,606	4,022	12,047	20,066
	20,771	10,652	21,212	33,852
Exploration and development:				

Land and seismic	52,499	38,258	84,925	63,161
Exploration and development	367,486	199,200	672,061	366,886
	419,985	237,458	756,986	430,047
Sales proceeds:				
Proved	(7,129)	(24,861)	(18,483)	(24,861)
Unproved	(1,327)	(3,917)	(1,821)	(3,917)
	(8,456)	(28,778)	(20,304)	(28,778)
	$ 432,300	$ 219,332	$ 757,894	$ 435,121

Capital expenditures in the table above are presented on an accrual basis. Additions to property and equipment in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows reflect capital expenditures on a cash basis, when payments are made.

Table of Contents

Our exploration and development expenditures increased 76% in the first half of 2011 compared to the same period of 2010. At June 30, 2011 we had 27 operated rigs running. At June 30, 2010 we had 19 operated rigs running.

In the first half of 2011 we drilled and completed 160 gross (90.2 net) wells, with 154 gross (86.3 net) completed as producers. At June 30, 2011 we also had 32 gross (16 net) wells that were in the process of being completed or were awaiting completion. During the same period of 2010 we drilled and completed 89 gross (55.5 net) wells, completing 94% as producers. At June 30, 2010 we had 33 gross (16.5 net) wells that were in the process of being completed or were awaiting completion.

Our planned exploration and development program for 2011 is expected to be principally funded from cash flow, including non-core property sales. Based on current market prices and service costs, our 2011 capital expenditures are expected to range from $1.5 to $1.6 billion. Although our capital budget is set at a level that we believe corresponds with our anticipated 2011 cash flows, the timing of capital expenditures and the receipt of cash flows do not necessarily match. For example, our planned capital expenditures are front-end loaded and we may outspend cash flows for a period of time. Therefore, we may borrow and repay funds under our credit facility throughout the year. Should we start to see a significant change in commodity prices from our current forecasts, we have the operational flexibility to increase or decrease our capital expenditures for changes in our expected cash flows from operations.

During the first half of 2011, we had property acquisitions of approximately $21.2 million of which $18 million was in our western Oklahoma Cana-Woodford shale play and $3 million was in the Permian Basin. During the first six months of 2010 we had property acquisitions of $33.9 million, most of which was additional interests in our western Oklahoma Cana-Woodford shale play. In the first six months of 2011 we sold various non-core property interests for $20.3 million. For the same period in 2010 we had $28.8 million of non-core property sales. We continue to actively evaluate acquisitions and dispositions relative to our property holdings, particularly in our core areas of operation.

On August 1, 2011, we completed the previously announced sale of our entire interest in the Cimarex operated Riley Ridge Federal Unit, located in Sublette County, Wyoming for sales proceeds of $176 million. The sale was effective April 1, 2011 and consisted of gas processing facilities and 210 Bcf of proved undeveloped gas reserves. Our expenditures subsequent to April 1, 2011 will be treated as purchase price adjustments. At June 30, 2011 the assets and liabilities associated with the gas processing facilities were reflected as assets and liabilities held for sale on our balance sheet. Under the full cost method of accounting, sales of oil and gas properties are accounted for as adjustments of capitalized costs, and are not separately identified as assets held for sale. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements of this report for additional information regarding this sale.

We have made, and will continue to make, expenditures to comply with environmental and safety regulations and requirements. These costs are considered a normal recurring cost of our ongoing operations and not an extraordinary cost of compliance. We do not anticipate that we will be required to expend amounts that will have a material adverse effect on our financial position or operations, nor are we aware of any pending regulatory changes that would have a material impact.

Financial Condition

Future cash flows and the availability of financing will be subject to a number of variables, such as our success in locating and producing new reserves, the level of production from existing wells and realized commodity prices. To meet our capital and liquidity requirements, we rely on certain resources, including cash flows from operating activities, access to capital markets, and bank borrowings. While we attempt to operate within forecasted cash flows from operations, we do periodically access our credit facility to finance our working capital needs and growth.

Table of Contents

During the first half of 2011 our total assets increased by $525.9 million to $4.9 billion, up from $4.4 billion at December 31, 2010. The change is primarily made up of increases in our net oil and gas assets and fixed assets of $640.0 million partially offset by a decrease of $101.0 million in our cash and cash equivalents.

At June 30, 2011, our total liabilities had increased to $2.0 billion, up $248.1 million from $1.8 billion at December 31, 2010. The increase resulted primarily from a net increase in current liabilities of $86.0 million, mostly related to increased accrued E&D expenditures, and a $168.2 million increase in noncurrent deferred income taxes. Stockholders equity rose $277.8 million to $2.9 billion at the end of the second quarter of 2011 compared to $2.6 billion at December 31, 2010. The increase is mainly due to our net income of $284.9 million for the first half of 2011.

Dividends

On February 24, 2011 the Board of Directors increased our regular cash dividend on our common stock from $0.08 to $0.10 per common share. Future dividend payments will depend on the Company s level of earnings, financial requirements, and other factors considered relevant by our Board of Directors.

Common Stock Repurchase Program

In December 2005, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to four million shares of common stock. During 2007 we repurchased a total of 1,114,200 shares at an average purchase price of $37.93. Cumulative purchases through December 31, 2007 total 1,364,300 shares at an average price of $39.05. There were no shares repurchased in the first half of 2011, or since the quarter ended September 30, 2007.

Working Capital Analysis

Our working capital balance fluctuates primarily as a result of our exploration and development activities, our realized commodity prices and our production operating activities. Working capital is also impacted by our current tax provisions, accrued G&A and changes in the fair value of our outstanding derivative instruments. At June 30, 2011 working capital also included assets and associated liabilities held for sale.

Our working capital decreased $94.1 million from $49.5 million at year-end 2010 to a deficit of $44.6 million at June 30, 2011. Although we anticipate that our 2011 capital spending (excluding possible acquisitions) will correspond with our anticipated 2011 operating cash flow, we may borrow and repay funds under our credit facility throughout the year because the timing of expenditures and the receipt of cash flows from operations do not necessarily match.

Working capital decreased primarily because of the following:

- Cash and cash equivalents decreased by $101.0 million as cash was used primarily to fund our E&D activity.
- Accrued liabilities related to our E&D expenditures increased by $70.9 million
- We received $25 million related to a tax refund that was outstanding at December 31, 2010, which was used to fund E&D activities.
- Our operations related accounts payable and accrued liabilities increased by $12.1 million.

These working capital decreases were partially offset by the following:

- Net assets and associated liabilities held for sale increased by $104.6 million.

Table of Contents

- Our operations related accounts receivable increased by $7.5 million.

- Our prepaid expenses increased by $3.7 million.

Our receivables are a major component of our working capital and are made up of a diverse group of companies including major energy companies, pipeline companies, local distribution companies and end-users in various industries. The collection of receivables during the period presented has been timely. Historically, losses associated with uncollectible receivables have not been significant.

Financing

At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 our only outstanding debt was our $350 million 7.125% senior unsecured notes.

Bank Debt

In July 2011, we entered into a five-year senior unsecured revolving credit facility (Credit Facility   ). The Credit Facility will replace our current three-year senior secured revolving credit facility (Previous Credit Facility   ). The Credit Facility has total bank commitments of $800 million, with an initial borrowing base of $2 billion. The Credit Facility is provided by a syndicate of banks led by JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and matures on July 14, 2016.

The Credit Facility also contains similar covenants and restrictive provisions as were contained in the Previous Credit Facility. The Credit Facility has financial covenants that include the maintenance of current assets (including unused bank commitments) to current liabilities of greater than 1.0 to 1.0. We also must maintain a leverage ratio of total debt to earnings before interest expense, income taxes and noncash items (such as depreciation, depletion and amortization expense, unrealized gains and losses on commodity derivatives, ceiling test write-downs, and goodwill impairments) of not more than 3.5 to 1.0. Other covenants could limit our ability to: incur additional indebtedness, pay dividends, repurchase our common stock, or sell assets.

The borrowing base under the Credit Facility is determined at the discretion of lenders, based on the value of our proved reserves subject to potential special and regular- annual redeterminations. The next regular-annual redetermination date is on April 1, 2012. Total debt must be less than the borrowing base.

At Cimarex   s option, borrowings under the Credit Facility may bear interest at either (a) LIBOR plus 1.75-2.5%, based on our leverage ratio, or (b) the higher of (i) a prime rate, (ii) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.50%, or (iii) adjusted one-month LIBOR plus 1.0% plus, in each case, an additional 0.75-1.5%, based on our leverage ratio.

At June 30, 2011, there were no outstanding borrowings under the Previous Credit Facility. We had letters of credit outstanding of $7.5 million leaving an unused borrowing availability of $792.5 million. During the first six months of 2011 we had an average daily bank debt outstanding of $6.0 million, compared to $9.0 million for the same period of 2010. Our largest amount of bank borrowings outstanding during the first half of 2011 was $63.0 million in mid June. During the first half of 2010 our largest amount of outstanding bank borrowings was $69.0 million in mid January.

Our Previous Credit Facility had a borrowing base of $1.0 billion and at June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with all of the financial and nonfinancial covenants.

Table of Contents

7.125% Notes due 2017

In May, 2007, we issued $350 million of 7.125% senior unsecured notes that mature May 1, 2017 at par. Interest on the notes is payable May 1 and November 1 of each year. The notes are governed by an indenture containing covenants that could limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness; pay dividends or repurchase our common stock; make investments and other restricted payments; incur liens; enter into sale/leaseback transactions; engage in transactions with affiliates; sell assets; and consolidate, merge or transfer assets.

The notes are redeemable at our option, in whole or in part, at any time on and after May 1, 2012 at the following redemption prices (expressed as percentages of the principal amount) plus accrued interest, if any, thereon to the date of redemption.

Year	Percentage
2012	103.6%
2013	102.4%
2014	101.2%
2015 and thereafter	100.0%

At any time prior to May 1, 2012, we may redeem all, but not part, of the notes at a price of 100% of the principal amount of the notes plus accrued and unpaid interest plus a make-whole premium.

If a specified change of control occurs, subject to certain conditions, we must make an offer to purchase the notes at a purchase price of 101% of the principal amount of the notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of the purchase.

Contractual Obligations and Material Commitments

At June 30, 2011, we had contractual obligations and material commitments as follows:

	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	More than 5 Years
			(In thousands)		
Contractual obligations:					
Long-term debt(1)	$ 350,000	$	$	$	$ 350,000
Fixed-Rate interest payments(1)	149,625	24,938	49,875	49,875	24,937
Operating leases(2)	79,121	5,798	16,262	11,876	45,185
Drilling commitments(3)	361,299	341,258	20,041		
Purchase commitments(4)	10,305	10,305			
Gas processing facilities(5)	59,966	35,270	24,696		
	14,268	14,268			

Gathering facilities and pipelines(6)					
Asset retirement obligation(7)	136,943	33,467	(7)	(7)	(7)
Derivative instruments	4,519	4,519			
Other liabilities(8)	43,721	10,642	20,659	17	12,403

---

(1) See item 3: Interest Rate Risk for more information regarding fixed and variable rate debt.

(2) In the first quarter of 2011 we entered into a new 12-year lease agreement for additional office space, which increased our aggregate minimum lease payments by approximately $64 million.

(3) We have drilling commitments of approximately $299.6 million consisting of obligations to complete drilling wells in progress at June 30, 2011. We also have various commitments for drilling rigs as well as certain service contracts. The total minimum expenditure commitments under these agreements are $21.8 million to secure the use of drilling rigs and $39.9 million to secure certain dedicated services associated with drilling activities.

Table of Contents

(4) At June 30, 2011, we have a purchase commitment of $10.3 million for construction of an aircraft. The total cost of the aircraft is $11.5 million with an option to trade in our existing aircraft. Construction of the aircraft is expected to be completed by the end of 2011.

(5) At June 30, 2011 our assets and liabilities associated with construction of gas processing facilities in the Riley Ridge Federal Unit in Sublette County, Wyoming were reflected as held for sale on our balance sheet. We had commitments of $78.7 million to complete construction of the facilities, of which $60 million was subject to construction contracts. The total cost of the project, including development of proved undeveloped gas reserves, is expected to approximate $369 million. Our partner in the project is responsible for 42.5% of the costs. The plant is subject to a 20 year delivery commitment, commencing December, 2011. If no deliveries are made, the maximum amount that would be payable under the agreement would be approximately $43 million. Subsequent to quarter end, we sold our entire interest in the Riley Ridge Federal Unit. See Note 2 to Consolidated Financial Statement of this report for additional information regarding this sale.

(6) We have projects in Oklahoma and New Mexico where we are constructing gathering facilities and pipelines. At June 30, 2011, we had commitments of $14.3 million relating to this construction.

(7) We have not included the long term asset retirement obligations because we are not able to precisely predict the timing of these amounts.

(8) Other liabilities include the fair value of our liabilities associated with our benefit obligations and other miscellaneous commitments.

At June 30, 2011, we had firm sales contracts to deliver approximately 10.7 Bcf of natural gas over the next nine months. If this gas is not delivered, our financial commitment would be approximately $44.3 million. This commitment will fluctuate due to price volatility and actual volumes delivered. However, we believe no financial commitment will be due based on our current reserves and production levels.

In connection with gas gathering and processing agreements, we have commitments to deliver a minimum of 32.6 Bcf of gas over the next 2-3 years. The production from certain wells is counted toward those commitments; these wells also have individual commitments for gas deliveries. If no gas is delivered, the maximum amount that would be payable under these commitments would be approximately $23.1 million, some of which would be reimbursed by working interest owners who are selling with us under our marketing agreements. We do not expect to make significant payments relative to these commitments.

We have various other transportation and delivery commitments in the normal course of business, which are individually and in aggregate not material.

All of the noted commitments were routine and were made in the normal course of our business.

Based on current commodity prices and anticipated levels of production, we believe that the estimated net cash generated from operations, coupled with the cash on hand and amounts available under our existing bank credit facility will be adequate to meet future liquidity needs, including satisfying our financial obligations and funding our operations and planned exploration and development activities.

2011 Outlook

We expect our 2011 E&D capital expenditures to be principally funded from cash flow, including non-core property sales. Based on current market prices and service costs, we expect 2011 E&D expenditures to range from $1.5 to $1.6 billion. We remain focused on profitable growth and maximizing our return on investment. We currently have a large inventory of drilling opportunities and limited lease expirations.

As has been our historical practice, we regularly review our capital expenditures throughout the year and will adjust our investments based on changes in commodity prices, service cost and drilling success. Operationally we have the flexibility to adjust our capital expenditures based upon market conditions. Our future growth will continue to depend upon our ability to economically add reserves in excess of production.

Table of Contents

Though there are a variety of factors that could curtail, delay or even cancel some of our planned operations, we believe our projected program is likely to occur. The majority of projects are in hand, drilling rigs are being scheduled, and the historical results of our drilling efforts warrant pursuit of the projects.

Production for 2011 is projected to be in the range of 595 to 610 MMcfe per day, or relatively flat compared to 2010. Revenues from production will be dependent not only on the level of oil and gas actually produced, but also the prices that will be realized. During 2010, our realized prices averaged $4.92 per Mcf of gas, $76.76 per barrel of oil, and $34.91 per barrel of NGL. For the first six months of 2011 our realized prices averaged $4.60 per Mcf of gas, $95.80 per barrel of oil, and $42.92 per barrel of NGL. Commodity prices can be very volatile and the possibility of full year realized 2011 prices varying from prices received in the first six months of 2011 is high.

Certain expenses for 2011 on a per Mcfe basis are currently estimated as follows:

	2011
Production expense	$ 1.02 - $1.22
Transportation expense	0.30 - 0.35
DD&A and asset retirement obligation	1.75 - 1.90
General and administrative	0.22 - 0.28
Production taxes (% of oil and gas revenue)	7.5% - 8.5%

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

We consider accounting policies related to oil and gas reserves, full cost accounting, goodwill, derivatives, contingencies and asset retirement obligations to be critical policies and estimates. These critical policies and estimates are summarized in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our annual report on Form 10-K.

Recent Accounting Developments

No significant accounting standards applicable to Cimarex have been issued during the quarter ended June 30, 2011.

ITEM 3. QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The term market risk refers to the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in commodity prices and interest rates. The disclosures are not meant to be precise indicators of expected future losses, but rather indicators of reasonably possible losses.

Price Fluctuations

Our major market risk is pricing applicable to our oil and gas production. The prices we receive for our production are based on prevailing market conditions and are influenced by many factors that are beyond our control. Pricing for oil and gas production has been volatile and unpredictable.

We periodically hedge a portion of our price risk associated with our future oil and gas production.

The following table details the contracts we have in place as of June 30, 2011:

Table of Contents

Natural Gas Contracts

Period	Type	Volume/Day	Index(1)		Weighted Average Price Swap		Fair Value (000 s)
Jul 11 - Dec 11	Swap	20,000 MMBtu	PEPL	$	5.05	$	2,826

Oil Contracts

Period	Type	Volume/Day	Index(1)		Weighted Average Price Floor		Weighted Average Price Ceiling		Fair Value (000 s)
Jul 11 - Dec 11	Collar	12,000 Bbls	WTI	$	65.00	$	105.44	$	(4,519)

(1) PEPL refers to Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company price as quoted in Platt s Inside FERC on the first business day of each month. WTI refers to West Texas Intermediate price as quoted on the New York Mercantile Exchange.

While these contracts limit the downside risk of adverse price movements, they may also limit future revenues from favorable price movements. For the 2011 gas contracts listed above, a hypothetical $0.10 change in the price below or above the contracted price applied to the notional amounts would cause a change in our gain (loss) on mark-to-market derivatives in 2011 of $368 thousand. For the 2011 oil contracts listed above, a hypothetical $1.00 change in the price below or above the contracted price applied to the notional amounts would cause a change in our gain (loss) on mark-to-market derivatives in 2011 of $2.2 million.

In spite of the recent turmoil in the financial markets, counterparty credit risk did not have a significant effect on our cash flow calculations and commodity derivative valuations. This is primarily the result of two factors. First, we have mitigated our exposure to any single counterparty by contracting with numerous counterparties. Second, our derivative contracts are held with investment grade counterparties that are a part of our credit facility. See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements of this report for additional information regarding our derivative instruments.

Interest Rate Risk

At June 30, 2011 our debt was our senior unsecured notes that bear interest at a fixed rate of 7.125% and will mature on May 1, 2017.

At June 30, 2011, we consider our interest rate exposure to be minimal because all of our long-term debt obligations were at fixed rates. This assessment excludes accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities because of the short-term maturity of such instruments. See Note 4 and Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this report for additional information regarding debt.

41

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e)) as of June 30, 2011 and concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective in providing reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed in reports filed with the SEC is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. The disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to provide reasonable assurance that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow such persons to make timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Our management does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures will prevent all errors and all fraud. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Based on the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple errors or mistakes. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is also based upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events. Therefore, a control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide such reasonable assurances of achieving our desired control objectives, and our CEO and CFO have concluded, as of June 30, 2011, that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in achieving that level of reasonable assurance.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting or in other factors that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2011, that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 6 EXHIBITS

10.1 Cimarex Energy Co. 2011 Equity Incentive Plan as approved by stockholders on May 18, 2011, is incorporated by reference from the Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on March 23, 2011 (File No. 001-31446).

10.2 Form of Performance Award Agreement for performance awards granted under the Cimarex Energy Co. 2011 Equity Incentive Plan

10.3 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for restricted stock granted under the Cimarex Energy Co. 2011 Equity Incentive Plan

10.4 Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement for nonqualified stock options granted under the Cimarex Energy Co. 2011 Equity Incentive Plan

31.1 Certification of F. H. Merelli, Chief Executive Officer of Cimarex Energy Co. pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2 Certification of Paul Korus, Chief Financial Officer of Cimarex Energy Co. pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1 Certification of F. H. Merelli, Chief Executive Officer of Cimarex Energy Co. pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

32.2 Certification of Paul Korus, Chief Financial Officer of Cimarex Energy Co. pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

101.INS XBRL Instance Document*

101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document*
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document*
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document*
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document*
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document*

* Users of this data are advised pursuant to Rule 401 of Regulation S-T that the financial information contained in the XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language) -Related Documents is unaudited. Furthermore, users of this data are advised in accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission that this Interactive Data File is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

August 4, 2011

CIMAREX ENERGY CO.

/s/ Paul Korus
Paul Korus
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ James H. Shonsey
James H. Shonsey
Vice President, Chief Accounting Officer and Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)