CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST Form 10-K March 16, 2006

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Edgar Filing: CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ý ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (Fee required)

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005

or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (No fee required)

For the transition period from

to

Commission file number 1-12630

CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Maryland

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

36-3910279

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1808 Swift Drive, Oak Brook, Illinois (Address of principal executive offices)

60523 (Zip code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code:

(630) 586-8000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class None Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. o Yes \circ No
Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. \circ Yes o No
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. \circ Yes o No
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K ($\S 229.405$ of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. \acute{y}
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large Accelerated Filer o Accelerated Filer o Non-Accelerated Filer o
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). o Yes \circ No
As of June 30, 2005, the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$1,986,075,776 (based on 46,952,146 shares held by non-affiliates and computed by reference to the reported closing price). On March 8, 2006, the registrant was acquired by CalEast Solstice, LLC and the registrant s common equity ceased trading.
The registrant had 48,556,347 of its common shares, \$.001 par value per share, outstanding as of March 15, 2006. As of March 8, 2006, all of the registrant s common shares are held by a holding company.
DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE
None.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PART I	Page	
<u>Item 1.</u>	Business	<u>1</u>	
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	9	
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>13</u>	
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u>	<u>13</u>	
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	21	
<u>Item 4.</u>	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	22	
<u>PART II</u>			
<u>Item 5.</u>	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	23	
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	25	
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation	n 28	
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	40	
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	40	
<u>Item 9.</u>	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	40	
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	40	
Item 9B.	Other Information	41	
PART III			
Item 10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	42	
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	44	
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters	51	
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	52	
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	53	
PART IV			
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	54	

PART I

Item 1. Business.

The Company

CenterPoint Properties Trust (CenterPoint or the Company), was the first major real estate investment trust (REIT) to focus on the industrial sector and focuses on such property primarily within greater Chicago. CenterPoint seeks to create share value through customer-driven management, investment, development, and redevelopment of warehouse, distribution, light manufacturing, air freight and rail-related facilities. The Company also develops multi-facility industrial parks that are strategically located near highways, airports and railroads. Central to all of its activities is the Company s commitment to entrepreneurially serve customers changing space needs and to provide superior customer satisfaction.

CenterPoint, a Maryland business trust, began operations in 1984 as Capital and Regional Properties Corporation, the United States investment vehicle for Capital and Regional plc, a property company traded on the London Stock Exchange since 1986. CenterPoint completed its U.S. initial public offering (IPO) in December 1993 after consolidating its operations with, and acquiring the properties controlled by, FCLS Investors Group, a Chicago-based industrial development company with 30 years of local experience.

On March 8, 2006, the Company was acquired by CalEast Solstice, LLC (CalEast). CalEast is partnership between California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS) and LIC II Solstice Holdings, LLC, an affiliate of Jones Lang LaSalle Incorporated (Jones Lang LaSalle) (NYSE:JLL). CalEast and its sister company, CalEast Industrial Investors, LLC (CalEast Industrial), are leading investors in logistics warehouse and related real estate whose members include CalPERS and an affiliate of LaSalle Investment Management, Inc. (LaSalle), the investment management business of Jones Lang LaSalle. CalEast Industrial owns and operates over 22 million square feet of industrial real estate, including warehouses, light assembly, air cargo and distribution centers throughout the United States, Canada and Mexico. LaSalle serves as the managing member of CalEast and CalEast Industrial. Since 1999, CenterPoint and CalEast Industrial have partnered in a joint venture known as CenterPoint Venture LLC (CenterPoint Venture), which acquires, develops, manages and sells industrial property. Effective March 12, 2006, the Company converted from a REIT for federal income tax purposes to a partnership for federal income tax purposes (the Tax Conversion).

While the Company believes it is the largest owner and operator of industrial property in the 1.4-billion- square-foot Chicago region, its portfolio represented just 3% of the market (based on square footage) as of December 31, 2005. As a result, the Company believes substantial opportunities for future growth remain in this market.

Underpinning CenterPoint s value is the strength of its internal resources. Key among these is management experience. Enabled by strong ties to the real estate development community, an in-depth knowledge of the market sector and the ability to gauge and anticipate market trends, management can creatively and flexibly accommodate tenant requirements.

Business Objectives and Strategy for Growth

The Company s fundamental business objective is to maximize total return to shareholders. To maximize shareholder returns, the Company pursues four complementary strategies in *portfolio operations, investments, dispositions, and finance:*

1. Portfolio Operation Strategy.

The Company is a full service, self-managed real estate company. Five regions, each serving a particular segment of metropolitan Chicago, are operated by teams consisting of a regional manager, one or more property managers, administrative assistants, maintenance, and accounting

support personnel. Property management staff are required to visit each tenant, on site, at least once every 90 days, and more frequently if warranted by tenant needs.

1

The Company believes its market penetration, local expertise, tenant relationships and quality reputation within the Chicago region provide it with a competitive advantage. Another competitive advantage is the Company s integrated corporate, property management, accounting and control process and information systems, enabling the Company to monitor and project the financial performance of each asset. The Company believes this long-term platform effectively supports its operating and financial objectives and will help drive continued growth.

The Company seeks to grow its results of operations by increasing revenues through lease renewals or replacements and by leasing vacant space at maximum achievable rents. The Company seeks long-term retention of tenants, but will opportunistically engage in shorter leases when appropriate. The Company strives to tailor lease terms to maximize long-term total returns from each property investment.

To become the landlord of choice in the Chicago region, the Company strives to provide the highest possible service to its tenants by addressing their occupancy needs and evolving space requirements. Management believes tenant satisfaction, resulting from the Company s hands on management approach, fuels rental revenues by increasing tenant retention, minimizing re-letting expense and facilitating rental increases. Management also believes that tenant satisfaction creates profitable expansion and build-to-suit opportunities from its tenants as well as business referrals.

The Company views tenant service as a key factor in its business and has established tenant satisfaction as one of its primary corporate goals. To develop its tenant franchise, the Company provides a variety of tenant services: promptly and fairly attending to tenant building or billing concerns; obtaining the lowest possible utility, insurance and real estate tax charges; and responding rapidly to expansion or space reconfiguration requests.

The Company s tenants benefit from the size and concentration of the Company s real estate holdings in the Chicago region. As the largest owner of warehouse and other industrial properties in this geographic market, the Company believes it can bulk-purchase goods and services, lowering the occupancy costs of its tenants. Management believes that minimizing tenants occupancy costs builds tenant loyalty and provides the Company with a significant marketing advantage.

To motivate employees to provide the highest level of tenant service, the Company has established a pay-for-performance compensation plan under which the incentive pay of each participating employee depends in part on the results of an annual tenant satisfaction survey, independently administered by CEL & Associates and the Company s non-employee trustees. Employee incentive pay is also dependent on the results of an annual company-wide process audit (put into effect in 1997) pertaining to the implementation of internal processes and procedures, all of which the Company believes enhance tenant service. CenterPoint believes targeted per share cash flow growth, another key metric in the Company s incentive plan, is benefited by intensive tenant service.

In 2005, CenterPoint achieved a 94.2% tenant retention rate and outstanding tenant ratings in an independently administered tenant survey comparing the Company to other industrial property owners nationally.

2. Investment Strategy.

The Company seeks to invest primarily in warehouse and other industrial properties that satisfy its yield, growth and return objectives. These include properties with vacancy that can be leased at attractive rents, as well as properties offering expansion, development, redevelopment or

resale opportunities. CenterPoint believes each of its investments benefits from the Company s large Chicago area franchise. CenterPoint also believes its concentrated activity provides a deeper potential customer base, a wider range of opportunities and better market information than its competitors. As of December 31, 2005, the Company owned 201 warehouse and other industrial properties.

In addition to investments in individual buildings or development projects, the Company has undertaken business park development in locations within the greater Chicago region offering desirable amenities, including

2

proximity to rail, road and air transportation, at competitive rents and occupancy costs. The Company strictly monitors speculative investment, including the investment in land intended for development. As of December 31, 2005, the Company had accumulated control of a large land portfolio of approximately 3,009 acres upon which approximately 43 million square feet of warehouse and other industrial properties can be developed. The Company believes the land portfolio will provide a competitive advantage in securing profitable development opportunities.

The Company s largest industrial development is CenterPoint Intermodal Center (CIC) in Elwood, IL. This 2,050-acre project is one of the nation s largest public/private developments, anchored by a 621-acre Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) multi-modal rail facility. The project is expected to consist of approximately 12.2 million square feet of warehouse, distribution and light manufacturing space, including one million square feet of cross dock facilities and other ancillary commercial development. Located only 40 miles southwest of Chicago, CIC is strategically positioned to take advantage of Chicago s immense transportation infrastructure. As of December 31, 2005, approximately 66% of the park s area has been leased, developed, sold or is currently under development.

Various other major parks under development include: O Hare Express North, a 49-acre park inside the fence at O Hare International Airport; CenterPoint Intermodal Center Rochelle, a 362-acre park in Rochelle, IL located within one mile of the 1,230-acre Union Pacific Global III Intermodal Facility; McCook Business Center, a 243-acre park located adjacent to CenterPoint s first McCook industrial park, CenterPoint Business Center McCook; DuPage Technology Park, an 800-acre site located just south of the DuPage airport, 413 acres of which can be developed; CenterPoint Business Center Gurnee, a 134-acre business park located near Six Flags Great America Theme Park; and a 280-acre corporate campus occupied by Caterpillar Corporation in Joliet, IL, about half of which CenterPoint plans to redevelop. A list of all CenterPoint parks is listed on the corporate website, www.CenterPoint-Prop.com.

Focus on Industrial Real Estate. The Company focuses on warehouse and other industrial properties. Management believes this property type offers consistently attractive returns and stable cash flow for the following reasons:

Low Capital Requirements. The cost per square foot of developing warehouse and other industrial properties typically ranges between \$45-50 per square foot, which is lower than the cost of developing other types of property. Individual assets are typically \$3 million to \$6 million in value. From the Company s perspective, this results in lower capital commitments to any particular property, permitting greater diversification of the Company s investment, and lowering risk as compared to investments in large retail or office properties. In addition, relative to other property types, industrial space requires fewer tenant improvements, minimizing the level of recurring capital expenditures necessary to sustain rental income. The Company generally seeks to avoid investment in any tenant specific space improvements.

High Level of Tenant Investment. Unlike office, retail and multi-family buildings, most warehouse and other industrial buildings are occupied by a single tenant. Relocation tends to be costly for tenants of warehouse and other industrial properties because of high tenant investment in production set-up expenses, machinery and other site specific improvements (in many cases higher than the landlord s investment). Often, buildings are selected because their location is critical to the reduction of logistics-related expenses, making relocation unattractive. To avoid relocation expense, tenants typically lease space that exceeds their immediate needs or space in buildings that are readily expandable. Tenant retention and expansion therefore tend to be higher than for other property types.

Favorable Lease Terms. Warehouse and other industrial buildings are generally leased on a triple net basis, under which tenants are contractually obligated to pay directly or to reimburse the landlord for virtually all costs of occupancy, including property taxes, utilities, insurance and maintenance. In addition, the leases generally provide for rental growth through contractual rent increases, reducing exposure to inflation.

3

Supply Built on Demand. The comparatively short development period for industrial buildings (typically six to nine months) relative to other property types results in generally less speculative building and, therefore, a supply of industrial property that more closely corresponds to tenant demand than in other categories of real estate. The Company believes this reduces vacancy levels and improves rental rate stability.

Limited Competition. Because of the relatively low total investment in individual warehouse and other industrial properties, the Company s typical competitor for assets of this size is a sponsor of a single asset partnership with typically a higher cost of capital and less financial flexibility. While individuals and institutions may target stabilized smaller assets, few investors have the management depth or experience to acquire and manage the redevelopment projects of the Company.

Saleable Properties. Once stabilized, industrial real estate (both single properties and properties packaged as portfolios) is sought by a wide variety of institutional and other investors because of the relative stability of its returns and the nominal average value of the asset type. Consistent investment demand for industrial assets facilitates CenterPoint s recycling strategy of using disposition proceeds combined with retained cash flow to substantially reduce the need for external funding for new investment.

Focus on the Chicago Region. CenterPoint s target market, greater Chicago, is comprised of the market area within a 150-mile radius of the City of Chicago, including Milwaukee, Wisconsin and South Bend, Indiana. This region offers significant opportunities for investment in, and ownership of, warehouse and other industrial property. The Chicago region lies at the center of one of the nation sprincipal population and production regions. With over 1.4 billion square-feet of industrial/warehouse space (according to market data published by Colliers, Bennett and Kahnweiler and The Polacheck Company in December of 2005) and 24 diverse submarkets (according to a ranking of markets published by CB Richard Ellis in December of 2005), the Chicago region has become the largest and most diverse industrial market in the nation. Its regional advantages have led to significant business in Chicago making it second only to New York in the number of Fortune 500 companies. The Chicago region is the continent s premier transportation hub, possessing market advantages critical to industrial property investment.

Transportation Advantages. The Midwest s transportation network, a result of its central continental location, underpins its status as a manufacturing and distribution center. Extensive transportation infrastructure integrates the Chicago region with the rest of the Midwest, as well as other important business and distribution centers, including Los Angeles and northern New Jersey.

Chicago is the third largest container hub in the world after Singapore and Hong Kong. Because Chicago is a dominant continental rail, road, air and water hub, the region has experienced significant growth in freight demands due to burgeoning intermodal transportation (the movement of goods, usually containerized, by two or more modes of transportation). Nearly three-quarters of the nation s rail freight passes through Chicago, with intermodal traffic the fastest growing segment. Many railway yards have been converted to handle rapidly growing intermodal demand.

Business Diversity. Regional business diversity, resulting from Chicago s location, size and transportation advantages, provides opportunity to capitalize on different trends affecting real estate demand across all significant industry groups. An assorted tenant base also lessens the Company s cyclical risk, reducing its exposure to changes in the fortunes of any single type of business. A study issued June 9, 2003 by Moody s Investors Service validated Chicago as the most diverse metropolitan statistical area (MSA) in the country. The diversity of Chicago s economy nearly mirrored that of the nation as a whole. This diversity makes the region less susceptible to a slowdown in a particular industry.

Virtually all large national and international firms that distribute products in the United States are served by manufacturing or distribution facilities located in the greater Chicago area. As in other large industrial metro areas, Chicago s diversity has been increasing due to its transformation from a manufacturing to a service-based economy. The diversification of the regional economy into services

accelerated during the recent expansion as manufacturers continued to restructure operations to lower costs. The business services industry has been one of the main drivers of the Chicago economy during the past decade.

The Company believes other factors support the long term health of Chicago s industrial property market. These include a skilled labor force, plentiful water resources, and the competitiveness of regional manufacturing and distribution. In addition, management believes a favorable political climate exists for attracting and retaining business. The State of Illinois, the City of Chicago and other area municipalities have worked aggressively and creatively to promote area business development. Zoning initiatives have produced planned manufacturing districts where city-provided tax increment financing (TIF) subsidies are available. These initiatives bolster area industry, enhancing the Company s opportunities.

3. Disposition Strategy.

To maximize per share cash flow growth and the return on invested capital, the Company seeks to fund a substantial percentage of its new investment with capital recycled from the disposition of owned assets. Assets targeted for sale are those that offer the lowest prospective total cash returns relative to their market value. The volume of annual dispositions is determined by the volume of new higher yielding investments available at an acceptable risk-adjusted positive spread above the yields on the assets disposed to fund them. Buyers include users, investors (institutional, private and foreign), 1031 exchange buyers, single asset partnerships, governments and other developers.

Disposition activity is undertaken by the Company and its subsidiaries, including its taxable subsidiary, CenterPoint Realty Services, Inc. (CRS), and other affiliates, principally CenterPoint Venture (described in further detail below), as well as project-specific development partnerships. The Company and its affiliates earn fees or gains from the development or acquisition of assets for immediate sale to tenants, institutions and other buyers. These opportunities result from the size of the Company s existing portfolio and its market penetration.

Gains and related fees from the disposition activity of the Company and its affiliates have been, and are expected to be, a recurring source of revenue and cash flow, and a material contributor to the Company s return on invested capital.

4. Financial Strategy.

The Company actively seeks to minimize its capital costs to maximize share value. It believes it does so by primarily funding new investment with proceeds from the disposition of lower yielding assets. Capital from sales, plus significant retained cash flow, account for the bulk of annual required funds. This strategy enhances per share cash flow growth and returns by avoiding dilution. From time to time, the Company supplements internally generated funds with external capital that offers the potential to lower its overall capital costs. The Company maintains \$250 million in lines of credit and additional lines of credit available through its affiliates. The Company supplements internally supplied capital with proceeds from debt and equity issuances. The Company also seeks to utilize, where available, tax-exempt debt and TIF for its developments.

The Company periodically supplements its capital base through ventures with other investors or developers. These ventures are used to share capital requirements and risk and typically invest in assets held or developed for near term sale. The Company believes that its ventures have increased its investment and funding flexibility.

Investment in and Advances to Affiliates. Through CRS, the Company owns 25% of CenterPoint Venture which is engaged to position, package and sell stabilized industrial property investment opportunities. CalEast Industrial owns the remaining 75% of CenterPoint Venture. CalEast Industrial is an affiliate of CalEast, the Company s parent company. The more than \$400 million fund is capitalized with equity commitments of \$200 million by CalEast and \$67 million by CenterPoint and supported by a \$150 million credit facility. The Company receives a preferential 10% cumulative return on its equity capital, 50% of any excess distributable cash between a 10% and 12.5% return and 62.5% of any excess distributable cash above a 12.5% return. The

Company also receives development, administrative and property management fees. As of December 31, 2005, CenterPoint Venture owned 6 warehouse and other industrial properties, totaling 1.0 million square feet.

At the end of 2004, the Company announced two new development joint ventures. CenterPoint signed a joint venture agreement with UBS Real Estate to develop CenterPoint Intermodal Center—Rochelle. This joint venture, named Rochelle Development Joint Venture, will initially be capitalized with equity commitments of \$60 million by UBS Real Estate and \$15 million by CenterPoint, supported by a \$30 million subscription facility led by Wachovia Securities. Consistent with the Company—s capital recycling strategy, the venture expects to develop and sell completed leased buildings or to develop facilities to be owned by users. This venture will pay the Company development and other fees in addition to a promoted interest in profits, after invested equity achieves a hurdle return.

CenterPoint also formed CenterPoint WisPark Land Company LLC (CenterPoint WisPark Venture), a joint venture between CenterPoint Venture and WisPark LLC (the development subsidiary of Wisconsin Energy Corporation (NYSE: WEC)). CenterPoint WisPark Venture was created to consolidate the parties fully improved land holdings in the I-94 corridor north of Chicago, which will initially include 512 developable acres. When fully developed, the land in CenterPoint WisPark Venture could accommodate up to eight million square feet. The new venture also has exclusive options to acquire other land parcels.

At closing, CenterPoint Venture assumed a 65% interest in CenterPoint WisPark Venture, an all equity venture. CenterPoint Venture will provide construction capital for individual projects, expanding CenterPoint Venture s ownership interest in each development, which is expected to average 90%. CenterPoint and WisPark will jointly manage all development activity. CenterPoint WisPark Venture will have an initial capitalization of \$54 million.

The Company believes the venture with UBS represents an important new capital source for rail-related industrial park development. Also, both new ventures, in which the Company earns returns disproportionate to its investment, allow the Company to husband capital for the highest-yielding opportunities. The Company believes this maximizes shareholder value.

In addition to the ventures described above, the Company periodically forms project-specific ventures to acquire or develop assets for sale.

Management Controls and Systems

Defined processes, integrated with comprehensive information systems and financial controls, support the Company s business. To facilitate its entrepreneurial business and limit operating risk, the Company has implemented comprehensive business and allied information and control systems, which it continually improves. These include detailed operating, investment, and disposition processes, as well as integrated financial controls. These controls were reviewed, revised (as necessary) and republished by the Company in 2003. The Company believes that these systems provide significant benefits, including better tenant service, improved investment execution, and enhanced capital planning.

Transactions During 2005

During 2005, the Company accomplished the following:

2005 Acquisitions, Developments and Dispositions:

The Company acquired or completed development of 42 warehouse and other industrial properties and acquired six land parcels totaling 8.5 million square feet of buildings and approximately 292.0 acres of unimproved land, investing approximately \$358.9 million. In order to fund this and other investment activity, the Company disposed of 55 properties totaling 12.8 million square feet and seven land parcels of approximately 51.4 acres for approximately \$570.9 million from the owned portfolio.

CenterPoint Venture acquired two warehouse properties and acquired one land parcel totaling 0.4 million square feet of buildings and approximately 2.9 acres of unimproved land, investing approximately

6

\$12.1 million. CenterPoint Venture disposed of twelve properties totaling 1.1 million square feet and two land parcels of approximately 20.6 acres for approximately \$59.4 million.

2005 Developments in Progress:

At the end of 2005, the Company held five new warehouse and other industrial properties at various stages of development, totaling 4.0 million square feet, scheduled to be delivered in 2006. Including assets delivered, the Company invested approximately \$77.4 million in 2005 in owned developments.

2005 Financings:

On January 4, 2005, the Company marketed \$23.3 million in tax-exempt bonds, issued by the City of Chicago, Illinois. These adjustable rate revenue bonds are enhanced by a letter of credit. These bonds were acquired by the Company at the time of the portfolio acquisition from Prime Group Realty Trust, completed in October 2004. The bonds were not marketed by Prime Group Realty Trust prior to the acquisition. The bonds bear interest at a weekly adjustable interest rate as determined by the remarketing agent (3.56% as of December 31, 2005) and terminate on June 1, 2022.

The Company paid off its outstanding \$100.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes, which were bearing interest at a rate of 6.75%, on April 1, 2005, the maturity date of the notes.

In conjunction with a property sale on May 19, 2005, the Company paid off \$19.5 million of mortgage notes payable that was previously assumed in 2005 with a property acquisition.

On June 30, 2005, the Company paid off a mortgage note payable related to a property in Oak Creek, Wisconsin. The outstanding principal balance amounted to \$1.8 million and was set to mature on August 1, 2005.

On August 23, 2005, the Company reduced its available line of credit facility from \$350.0 million to \$250.0 million due to the near term excess liquidity and to save on facility costs.

The Company reduced its non-recourse tax increment financing by \$13.1 million upon the sale of three CIC properties.

Subsequent Transactions

As mentioned above, on March 8, 2006, the Company was acquired by CalEast. CalEast purchased all of the outstanding common shares of the Company following the approval of a merger agreement by vote of the shareholders.

In connection with the merger, the Company requested its common shares and Series B Convertible Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares (the Series B Preferred Shares) be delisted from the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). The Company has received confirmation from the NYSE that the Company s common shares and Series B Preferred Shares were delisted effective prior to the open of trading on March 9, 2006.

The Tax Conversion, which converted the Company from a REIT for federal income tax purposes to a partnership for federal income tax purposes, was effective on March 12, 2006. A summary of certain United States federal income tax consequences to U.S. holders of CenterPoint Series D Flexible Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares (the Series D Preferred Shares) resulting from the Tax Conversion is provided in the Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 13, 2006.

All of the Company s outstanding options and restricted shares were terminated in connection with the acquisition of the Company by CalEast for a cash payment equal to the difference between the \$50 per share merger consideration and the exercise price for options and \$50 per share for restricted shares. The total consideration paid amounted to approximately \$103.1 million.

7

Also, the Company was under contract to sell 9 properties to CenterPoint James Fielding, LLC (CNTJF) at December 31, 2005. On February 1, 2006, the Company closed on the sale of these properties to the venture for an aggregate sales price of \$81.0 million.

Employees

At December 31, 2005, the Company had 109 full-time employees. Of the full-time employees, 90 were involved with property management, development, operations, leasing and acquisition activities, and 19 were involved with general administration, financing activities, investor relations and human resources.

Environmental Matters

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, a current or previous owner, developer or operator of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances at, on, under or in its property. The costs of removal or remediation of such substances can be substantial. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release or presence of such hazardous substances. The presence of such substances may adversely affect the owner s ability to sell such real estate or to borrow using such real estate as collateral. The Company has not been notified by any governmental authority of any non-compliance, liability or other claim in connection with any of the properties owned or being acquired as of December 31, 2005, and the Company is not aware of any environmental condition with respect to any of its properties that it believes is likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. As part of due diligence during acquisition, the Company has subjected each of its properties to a Phase I environmental assessment (which does not involve invasive procedures such as soil sampling or ground water analysis) by independent consultants. Some of these assessments have led to further investigation and sampling. No assurance can be given, however, that these assessments and investigations reveal all potential environmental liabilities, or that no prior owner or operator created any material environmental condition not known to the Company or the independent consultants or that future uses or conditions (including, without limitation, customer actions or changes in applicable environmental laws and regulations) will not result in unreimbursed costs relating to environmental liabilities. In addition to the properties described below, the Company has other properties with minor environmental exposure which in the aggregate are not material. The Company maintains environmental insurance policies against environmental risks associated with its properties in amounts that vary by property between \$10.0 million and \$100.0 million.

- (1) <u>900 Knell Road, Montgomery, Illinois</u>. Soil and groundwater at this property were contaminated by the industrial operations of the former owner. Parties affiliated with the former owner are currently remediating the property, including entering the property into the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) Site Remediation Program (SRP) with the expectation of receiving one or more no further remediation letter(s) (NFR) within the next seven to ten years. This time period allows for several years of groundwater monitoring after the remediation is complete. The former owner and its affiliates are responsible for the contamination and its remediation, under both statute and contract. Furthermore, in the event that the former owner and its affiliates do not fully satisfy their contractual obligations, the Company has received confirmation from its environmental insurance carrier that it will indemnify the Company for the covered damages after the Company satisfies its \$0.1 million self-insured retention. Additionally, data from an environmental investigation conducted by the former owner has revealed the off-site presence of groundwater contamination comprised of similar constituents to the contamination on the property. To the extent that the off-site contamination is related to the on-site contamination, the Company has demanded that the former owner assume responsibility for the contamination and its remediation and notify the appropriate regulatory agencies of its presence. The former owner, qualified by a denial of liability, has agreed to resolve the off-site contamination through its efforts to obtain the NFR letter(s).
- (2) <u>CenterPoint Intermodal Center (former Joliet Army Ammunition Plant, a.k.a Joliet Arsenal)</u>. The Company is developing the CIC on a portion of the former Joliet Arsenal, which was listed as a federal Superfund Site in 1987. Soil and groundwater at this property were contaminated by the industrial operations of the former owner, the United States Army, but all identified contamination was

remediated before transfer to the Company. The Company has nonetheless discovered two areas of contamination in the course of its development, which will be paid for by the former owner or by insurance policies purchased by the Company. None of the estimated remediation costs of \$2.0 - \$5.0 million are expected to be borne by the Company.

- (3) <u>McCook Industrial Center II (former McCook Metals, a.k.a. Reynolds Metals)</u>. The Company is developing the McCook Industrial Center II on the former Reynolds Metals site in McCook, IL. The property has been entered into the Illinois SRP by a prior owner. The prior owner is, pursuant to a contractual obligation, taking the property through the SRP in order to obtain a NFR letter consistent with the Company s development of the property. The IEPA has approved the remedial action plan proposed by the former owner for the property. As the development proceeds, the construction of the building pads and related infrastructure (e.g. parking lots), will constitute the engineered barriers and allow for the issuance thereafter of one or more NFR letter(s) for the property. Environmental insurance has also been acquired as a contingency to any potential default on the part of the prior owner.
- (4) 13535 Torrence Avenue, Chicago, Illinois. The Company, through its subsidiary CenterPoint Chicago EnterPrise Center LLC, owns a property at 13535 Torrence Avenue, Chicago, Illinois. On November 28, 2005, the Company learned of a sheen of oil on the adjacent river near a stormwater discharge pipe leading from the property. As required by law, the Company notified the requisite local, state and federal emergency response authorities to the incident. To date, no government agency has inquired or pursued enforcement against the Company regarding this incident. Immediately after its discovery of the incident, the Company employed trained contractors to investigate and abate the conditions potentially related to the observed sheen on the river. The Company is currently developing additional measures to permanently modify the storm sewers to prevent stormwater from passing through a nearby area of petroleum contamination and otherwise contribute contamination to the river. The Company has entered this property into the Illinois SRP for the purpose of remediating the existing contamination and obtaining a NFR letter for the property.

Competition

Due to the size, strength and diversity of the Chicago market, CenterPoint faces competition for potential developments, acquisitions, dispositions and leases. Generally speaking, CenterPoint faces any of one to eight different types of competitors in the market when seeking new or continued business: investors (institutional, private and foreign), 1031 exchange buyers, single asset partnerships, governments, REITs and users. The degree and type of competition varies for individual transactions based on a variety of property specific factors that influence decisions. These factors include, but are not limited to, the age and quality of the building, occupancy, location, tenant credit quality and the outlook for market rent. Additionally, the political environment, local economy, tax laws, interest rates, access to capital and supply of new product can also determine the level of competition for transactions.

Website Access to Reports

The Company s website address is www.CenterPoint-Prop.com. The Company makes its periodic and current reports available on its website, free of charge, as soon as reasonably practical after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The Company is subject to risks due to the nature of its business. The business of owning and investing in real estate is highly competitive. Several factors may adversely affect the economic performance and value or our properties and the Company. These factors include, but are not limited to:

Adverse changes in general or local economic conditions affecting real estate values, rental rates, interest rates, real estate tax rates and other operating expenses;

9

Competitive overbuilding and competition from other warehouse and industrial properties;

Inability to keep high levels of occupancy;

Inability to control variable operating costs;

Tenant defaults;

Unfavorable changes in governmental rules and fiscal policies (including rent control legislation);

Ability to renew leases or relet space;

Uninsured losses or liabilities, including acts of terrorism, acts of war and earthquakes;

Ability to sell properties on terms as advantageous as past dispositions;

Ability to acquire properties that return yields consistent with past acquisitions;

Disruptions to our business and strain of management resources due to changes in our portfolio, operations in markets outside of our core market, or changes in management;

Geographic concentration in the greater Chicago industrial market and concentration on warehouse or other industrial properties;

Constraints on our business compelled by federal tax laws, which may, for example, cause us to forego otherwise attractive opportunities, liquidate otherwise attractive investments, or require us to make distributions that require borrowing;

Penalties if we are unable to comply with federal tax laws;

Ability to meet required payments of principal and interest, to refinance existing indebtness or to finance necessary capital expenditures for renovations and other improvements;

Restrictive covenants in our credit facility;

Risks specific to industrial property investments and development, including construction delays, cost overruns and receipt of governmental approvals;

Costs associated with projects that are not pursued to completion;

Changes or limitations in our ability to raise capital, which is dependent upon, among other things, our own financial structure and performance;

The costs of compliance with or liabilities under environmental laws; and

Dependence on key personnel, the loss of which could adversely affect our financial condition and cash flow and could be negatively perceived in the capital markets.

The most significant of these risks are described in greater detail below.

Real Estate Investment Risks

CenterPoint is subject to the general risks of investing in real estate. The business of owning and investing in real estate is highly competitive. Several factors may adversely affect the economic performance and value of our properties. These include:

adverse changes in general or local economic conditions affecting real estate values, rental rates, interest rates, real estate tax rates and other operating expenses;

competitive overbuilding;

our inability to keep high levels of occupancy in our properties;

our inability to control variable operating costs, including costs relating to maintenance and insurance with respect to our properties;

tenant defaults; and

potential liability under and unfavorable changes in governmental rules, regulations and fiscal policies (including rent control legislation, zoning, usage and tax laws and enforcement policies governing 1031 exchanges).

In addition, these same factors may affect our ability to sell our properties.

Acquiring, redeveloping and improving properties may involve unexpected costs. We acquire properties from time to time. The acquisition of properties involves risks, including the risk that the acquired property will not perform as anticipated, that the actual costs for rehabilitation, renovation and improvements identified in the

10

pre-acquisition due diligence process exceed estimates, that the properties may operate at a cash deficit during the redevelopment and/or lease-up period and that a contractor will be unable to control costs or conform to the original plans and timetables. The contractor s ability to control costs or conform to plans and timetables may be affected by strikes, weather, government regulations and other conditions beyond the contractor s control. As a result, we may not realize the projected benefits from the acquisition, redevelopment and improvement of properties.

We may be unable to renew leases or relet space. When our tenants decide not to renew their leases upon their expiration, we may not be able to relet the space. Even if our tenants do renew or we are able to relet the space, the terms of renewal or reletting (including the cost of renovations, if necessary) may be less favorable than expiring lease terms. If we are unable to promptly renew our leases or relet space, or if the rental rates upon such renewal or reletting are significantly lower than current rates, then our profitability will be adversely affected.

Tenant defaults may adversely affect our distributable cash flow. Our results of operations and distributable cash flow would be adversely affected if tenants are unable to meet their obligations to us. In the event of default by tenants, we may experience delays and incur substantial costs in enforcing our rights as landlord. Upon a default, we may not be able to relet the space or to relet the space on terms that are as favorable to us as the defaulted lease, which could adversely affect our profitability.

We are not diversified geographically or by property type. Substantially all of our properties are located in the greater Chicago area, and substantially all of our properties are warehouse or other industrial properties. While we believe that our focus on this geographical area and property type is an advantage, adverse economic developments in the greater Chicago area may adversely affect our properties and, therefore, our profitability.

We face competition in our markets. Our properties are located in areas that have other warehouse and industrial properties which may be more attractive to potential tenants. Competition from other warehouse or industrial properties may adversely affect our ability to lease our properties and to increase the rentals charged on our leases. We also expect competition in the acquisition of existing properties and the development of new properties. This competition could increase prices for properties of the type that we would like to pursue. As a result, we may not be able, or have the opportunity, to make suitable investments on favorable terms in the future. This could adversely affect our profitability.

Real estate investments are not as liquid as other types of assets. The illiquid nature of real estate investments may limit our ability to react promptly to changes in economic or other conditions. In addition, significant expenditures associated with real estate investments, such as mortgage payments, real estate taxes and maintenance costs, are generally not reduced when circumstances cause a reduction in income from the investments. Thus, the illiquid nature of our real estate investments could adversely affect our profitability under certain economic conditions.

We may incur uninsured losses or liabilities. We carry comprehensive liability, fire, flood (where appropriate), extended coverage and rental loss insurance on all of our properties. There are, however, certain types of losses that are generally not insured, such as losses relating to earthquakes, riots, acts of war, acts of terrorism, losses caused by the presence of mold or losses relating to contract or lease claims. If an uninsured loss occurred or if a loss was incurred in excess of our insurance coverage limits, it could have a material adverse effect on our profitability.

Financing and Capital Risks

We are subject to debt financing risks. We regularly borrow money to finance our business. As a result, our business is subject to the risks normally associated with debt financing, including the risks that:

we will be unable to meet required payments of principal and interest;

we will not be able to refinance our existing indebtedness or, if we are able to refinance our existing indebtedness, the terms of such refinancing will not be as favorable as the original terms of such indebtedness; and

11

we will not be able to finance necessary capital expenditures for renovations and other improvements or, if financed, we will not be able to finance such capital expenditures on favorable terms.

If we have mortgaged a property to secure payment of indebtedness and we are unable to meet the mortgage payments, the lender may foreclose on the property and we will lose the income and asset value of such property.

We would be adversely affected by rising interest rates. Advances under our credit facility bear interest at variable rates based on LIBOR or prime. Increases in interest rates would increase our interest expense which could adversely affect our profitability and our ability to service our debt. In addition, increases in costs of financing may lessen the appeal of some development or acquisition opportunities to us.

The financial covenants in our credit facility may limit our flexibility and dividend growth. The terms of our credit facility entered into on June 30, 2003 require us to comply with a number of customary financial and other covenants, such as maintaining debt service coverage and leverage ratios and maintaining insurance coverage. These covenants may limit our flexibility in our operations, and breaches of these covenants could result in defaults under the credit facility even if we have satisfied our payment obligations.

We may not be able to access financial markets to obtain capital. In order to have qualified as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we were required to distribute 90% of our taxable income to shareholders each year. As a result, we have relied on, and will continue to rely on, third party capital sources for many of our capital needs, including capital for acquisitions and development. The public debt and equity markets are among the sources on which we have relied and will continue to rely. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to access these markets, or any other source of capital. Our ability to access the public debt and equity markets depends on a variety of factors, including:

general economic conditions affecting these markets;

our own financial structure and performance; and

the view of real estate companies in the market generally.

Environmental Risks

Ownership of properties involves environmental risks. Federal, state and local laws and regulations to protect the environment may require a current or previous owner or operator of real estate to investigate and clean up hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum product releases at such property. The owner or operator may have to pay a governmental entity or third parties for property damage and for investigation and clean-up costs incurred by such parties in connection with the contamination. These laws typically impose clean-up responsibility and liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of or caused the presence of the contaminants. Even if more than one person may have been responsible for the contamination, each person covered by the environmental laws may be held responsible for all of the clean-up costs incurred. In addition, third parties may sue the owner or operator of a site for damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination arising from that site. Recently, indoor air quality issues, including mold, have been highlighted in the media and the industry is seeing mold claims from lessees rising. To date, we have not incurred any material costs or liabilities relating to claims of mold exposure or abating mold conditions. However, due to the recent increase in mold claims and given that the law relating to mold is unsettled and subject to change, we could incur losses from claims relating to the presence of, or exposure to, mold or other microbial organisms, particularly if we are unable to maintain adequate insurance to cover such losses. We may also incur unexpected expenses relating to the abatement of mold on our properties.

Environmental laws also govern the presence, maintenance and removal of asbestos. Such laws require that owners or operators of buildings containing asbestos (1) properly manage and maintain the asbestos, (2) notify and train those who may come into contact with asbestos and (3) undertake special precautions, including removal or other abatement, if asbestos would be disturbed during renovation or demolition of a building. Such laws may impose fines and penalties on building owners or operators who fail to comply with these requirements. These laws may allow third parties to seek recovery from owners or operators for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos fibers.

12

Other Risks

We are dependent on key personnel. Our executive and other senior officers have a significant role in our success. Our ability to retain our management group or to attract suitable replacements should any members of the management group leave is dependent on the competitive nature of the employment market. The loss of services from key members of the management group or a limitation in their availability could adversely affect our financial condition and cash flow. Further, such a loss could be negatively perceived in the capital markets.

Item '	1R	Unresolv	ved Staff	Comments.
пеш	11).	UniteSOL	veu siaii	Commens.

The Company has no unresolved staff comments.

Item 2. Properties.

The Company s Warehouse and Other Industrial Properties

At December 31, 2005, the Company s investment portfolio of operating warehouse and other industrial properties consisted of 201 properties, totaling approximately 31.9 million square feet, with a diverse base of approximately 301 tenants engaged in a wide variety of businesses.

The Company s current properties are well located, with convenient access to area interstate highway, rail, and air transportation. Most of the properties, both free standing and those located in CenterPoint business centers, are designed for warehousing and distribution. The Company s warehouse and other industrial buildings have an average project size of 158,715 square feet, and, on average, a tenant at warehouse and other industrial properties occupy 84,471 rentable square feet. Although a number of the industrial properties are single-tenant facilities, most are designed to be divisible and to be leased by multiple tenants. The Company seeks to own only properties that are well located and generic, i.e. suitable for use by firms in the wide range of industries operating in the area.

The leases for the warehouse and other industrial properties currently owned by the Company have terms between one and 13 years, with a weighted average remaining lease term, weighted on current rent, of approximately 4.5 years as of December 31, 2005. In addition, rent from no single tenant comprised more than 2.0% of the Company s total revenues as of December 31, 2005.

The Company s distribution properties are designed for bulk storage of materials and manufactured goods and generally have interior heights of 22 feet or more and dock facilities for trucks as well as grade level loading for lighter vehicles and vans. Many have direct access to rail. Typically, the distribution buildings contain a minimal amount of office space.

13

CenterPoint Porperties Trust

Warehouse and Other Industrial Property Summary

As of 12/31/2005

Year

	City	State	of Original Construction /Last Redevelopment and/or Expansion (1)	Annualized Base Rent Revenue (2)	Average Rent Per Sq Ft	GLA Sq Ft (3) (4)	Percent of Total GLA (5)	Percent of GLA Leased as of 12/31/05	No. of Tenants	Property Type(6)
2005 Investments										
Lake County										
30120 Skokie	North									
Highway	Chicago	IL	2003	607,676	1.54	395,064	1.24%	100%	1	ACQ
1100 Lakeside Drive	Gurnee	IL	1989/2003			40,000	0.13%	0%	0	ACQ
3600 Sunset Avenue	Waukegan	IL	1970/2000	412,891	2.07	199,098	0.62%	50%	1	ACQ
3733-3737 Hawthorn										
Ct.	Waukegan	IL	1974	83,232	1.15	72,600	0.23%	42%	1	ACQ
5650 CenterPoint										
Court	Gurnee	IL	2005	974,196	5.36	181,715	0.57%	100%	1	BTS
NEC. LC										
N.E. Cook County	T' 1 .	**	1050/1002	746.054	2.27	220.152	0.73~	(2~	•	1.00
7084 N. McCormick	Lincolnwood	IL	1958/1993	746,954		230,153	0.72%		2	ACQ
7500 North Linder	Skokie	IL	1960/1963	469,860	3.76	124,947	0.39%	100%	1	ACQ
Chicago O. Hono Ano										
Chicago O Hare Are 2671 United Lane	Elk Grove									
2071 Office Lanc	Village	IL	1971/1975	79,223	5.45	14,540	0.05%	100%	1	ACQ
1260 Lunt Avenue	Elk Grove	IL	19/1/19/3	19,223	3.43	14,540	0.05%	100%	1	ACQ
1200 Lunt Avenue	Village	IL	1978	326,080	6.51	50,117	0.16%	100%	2	ACQ
1949-51 Arthur	Elk Grove	IL	1770	320,000	0.51	30,117	0.1070	10070		ACQ
Avenue	Village	IL	1966/1977	446,250	4.29	104,000	0.33%	100%	1	ACQ
84-94 O Leary Drive	Bensenville	IL	1978/1993	998,980	6.38	156,523	0.49%		2	ACQ
85-89 1/2 O Leary	Deligenvine		1,70,1,,5	,,,,,	0.00	100,020	01.15 /6	10070		.100
Drive	Bensenville	IL	1976/1999	300,590	4.60	65,334	0.20%	100%	1	ACQ
91 O Leary Drive	Bensenville	IL	1975/1997	,		76,410	0.24%		0	ACQ
101-107 O Leary										
Drive	Bensenville	IL	1976/1997	138,996	3.86	36,000	0.11%	100%	2	ACQ
800-802 E. Irving										
Park Rd.	Bensenville	IL	1975/2001	239,728	6.40	37,470	0.12%	100%	1	ACQ
Near West Suburbs										
3708 North River										
Road	Franklin Park	IL	2002	833,019		325,045	1.02%		2	ACQ
2233 West Street	River Grove	IL	1955	685,000		430,000	1.35%		1	ACQ
4001-4045 Fleetwood	Franklin Park	IL	1972	164,610	4.14	39,726	0.12%		5	ACQ
4002-4046 Tugwell	Franklin Park	IL	1972	133,862	3.37	39,726	0.12%		3	ACQ
4041-4047 Tugwell	Franklin Park	IL	1972	20,050	0.98	20,512	0.06%	33%	1	ACQ
West Suburbs										
145 N. Swift Rd.	Addison	IL	1980	263,400	2.49	106,400	0.33%	100%	1	ACQ
143 IV. SWIII KU.	Audisoli	ıL	1900	203,400	2.48	100,400	0.33%	100%	1	ACQ
Central										
Kane/DuPage										
1645 Downs Drive	West Chicago	IL	1975/2005	191,250	1 48	129,390	0.41%	35%	2	ACQ
1733 Downs Drive	West Chicago	IL	1975	451,116	3.10	145,528	0.46%			ACQ
1005 Atlantic Drive	West Chicago	IL	1988/1994	389,000	3.89	100,000	0.31%		1	ACQ
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-, -, -, , ,	207,000	2.07	,000	3.5170	10070		

Edgar Filing: CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

1800 Averill	Geneva	IL	2000	913,500	3.76 243,000	0.76%	100%	1	ACQ
North DuPage									
County									
221 Covington Drive	Bloomingdale	IL	1991/1995	709,013	7.49 94,682	0.30%	100%	1	ACQ
468 Brighton Drive	Bloomingdale	IL	1991	281,769	2.33 120,822	0.38%	53%	1	ACQ
Far West Suburbs									
999 Bilter Road	Aurora	IL	2001	1,340,645	3.52 381,221	1.19%	100%	2	ACQ

14

	City	State	Year of Original Construction /Last Redevelopment and/or Expansion (1)	Annualized Base Rent Revenue (2)	Average Rent Per Sq Ft	GLA Sq Ft (3) (4)	Percent of Total GLA (5)	Percent of GLA Leased as of 12/31/05	No. of Tenants	Property Type(6)
Chicago South										
2638 126th Street 2420 South Halsted	Chicago Chicago	IL IL	1953/1980 1940/1950	69,032 246,905	0.68 1.68	102,107 147,188	0.32% 0.46%		2	ACQ ACQ
				.,		.,				
Chicago North 4201 Victoria	Chicago	IL	1961/1971	201,279	1.61	125,000	0.39%	29%	1	ACQ
4201 Victoria	Cincago	IL	1901/1971	201,277	1.01	123,000	0.3770	27/0	1	Acq
McHenry County										
1175 Alexander Court	Cary	IL	1998	212,800	4.75	44,800	0.14%	100%	1	ACQ
165 Chicago Street	Cary	IL	1984/1996	437,897	7.18	61,000	0.14%		1	ACQ
	,			,		. ,				
Southern Wisconsin										
11925 W. Carmen	Milwaukee	WI	1969/1998	450,000	4.50	100,000	0.31%	100%	1	ACQ
8201 109th Street	Pleasant			,		,				
	Prairie	WI	1999	1,119,946	4.25	263,671	0.83%	100%	4	ACQ
Subtotal 2005										
Investments				\$ 14,938,749		4,803,789	15.05%		50	
Average					\$ 3.11	137,251				
Previously Owned Properties										
Lake County										
620-630 Butterfield										
Road	Mundelein	IL	1990			24,237	0.08%	0%	0	BTS
28618 N. Ballard	Lake Forest	IL	1984	300,000	5.03	59,688	0.19%	100%	1	ACQ
1810-1850 Northwestern Dr	Gurnee	IL	1977	564,644	4.60	122,712	0.38%	100%	3	ACQ
3849-3865 Swanson	Guinee		15	20.,0		122,712	0.0070	10070		.100
Court	Gurnee	IL	1978	108,000	1.08	100,000	0.31%	31%	1	ACQ
2400 Commerce Drive	Libertyville	IL	1994			58,021	0.18%	0%	0	ACQ
3740 Hawthorne	Waukegan	IL	1977	141,270	3.59	39,309	0.13%		1	ACQ
1725 Delaney	Gurnee	IL	1960	21,981	0.69	31,684	0.10%	100%	1	ACQ
588 Lakeview Parkway	Vernon Hills	IL	1990	24,000	0.86	28,052	0.09%	50%	1	ACQ
1 Wildlife Way	Long Grove	IL	1978/1982	24,000	0.80	54,100	0.09%		0	ACQ
	S									
N.E. Cook County	Niles	IL	1960/1993	1,613,632	5.34	302,378	0.95%	100%	2	RDV
5990 Touhy Avenue 222 Hartrey	Evanston	IL IL	1960/1993	1,013,032	3.34	128,281	0.95%		0	ACQ
7500 Caldwell	Niles	IL	1971			84,954	0.27%	0%	0	ACQ
4000 Commercial	Northbrook	IL	1976/1988	1,005,000	3.42	293,937	0.92%		2	ACQ
3450 W. Touhy 1543 Abbott Drive	Skokie Wheeling	IL IL	1972 1989	922,797 166,203	6.77 3.78	136,392 43,930	0.43% 0.14%		4 2	ACQ ACQ
1545 AUUUII DIIVE	** Heeling	ıL	1707	100,203	3.10	₹3,730	0.1470	100%	2	ACQ
N.W. Cook County										
900 W. University Drive	Arlington Heights	IL	1974	500,277	5.80	86,254	0.27%	100%	1	ACQ
1605 Penny Lane	Schaumburg	IL IL	1974	185,871	6.70	27,742	0.27%		1	ACQ
1665 Penny Lane	Schaumburg	IL	1986	195,259	9.41	20,757	0.07%	100%	1	ACQ
1301 Tower Road	Schaumburg	IL	1960	660,881	13.11	50,400	0.16%		1	ACQ
		IL	1979	620,833	2.04	304,506	0.95%	81%	3	ACQ

425 Algonquin Avenue	Arlington Heights									
1471 Business										
Center Drive	Mt. Prospect	IL	1989	491,217	6.15	79,900	0.25%	73%	2	ACQ
				15						
				13						

	City	State	Year of Original Construction /Last Redevelopment and/or Expansion (1)	Annualized Base Rent Revenue (2)	Average Rent Per Sq Ft	GLA Sq Ft (3) (4)	Percent of Total GLA (5)	Percent of GLA Leased as of 12/31/05	No. of Tenants	Property Type(6)
N. Kane County										
1111 Bowes Road	Elgin	IL	1994	672,288	4.65	144,578	0.45%	100%	1	ACQ
Chicago O Hare Area	a									
1400 Busse Road	Elk Grove									
	Village	IL	1975	159,031	1.05	151,761	0.48%		8	ACQ
745 Birginal Road	Bensenville	IL	1974			113,266	0.36%	0%	0	ACQ
2600 Elmhurst Road	Elk Grove	***	1005	506 400	5.60	105 000	0.224	1000		DEC
850 Arthur Avenue	Village Elk Grove	IL	1995	596,400	5.68	105,000	0.33%	100%	1	BTS
630 Attilui Avenue	Village	IL	1971/1973	212,448	5.00	42,490	0.13%	100%	1	ACQ
1100 Chase Avenue	Elk Grove	IL.	17/1/17/5	212,440	5.00	72,770	0.1370	10070		neq
	Village	IL	1980/1996	91,359	2.09	43,728	0.14%	100%	1	ACQ
875 Fargo Avenue	Elk Grove									Ţ
	Village	IL	1980	405,965	4.93	82,368	0.26%	100%	1	ACQ
1501 Pratt Avenue	Elk Grove									
2001 2001 P	Village	IL	1973	599,700	3.95	151,900	0.48%	100%	2	ACQ
2801-2881 Busse Road	Elk Grove	IL	1997	1,284,012	5 11	251 076	0.700	100%	1	BTS
2525 Busse Road	Village Elk Grove	IL	1997	1,284,012	5.11	251,076	0.79%	100%	1	В15
2323 Busse Road	Village	IL	1975	4,090,854	4.61	887,465	2.78%	93%	11	ACQ
2701-2781 Busse	Elk Grove		19,10	.,0,0,00		007,102	21,70,70	70,70		
Road	Village	IL	1997	1,443,626	5.75	251,076	0.79%	100%	2	BTS
745 Dillon Drive	Wood Dale	IL	1986			47,928	0.15%	0%	0	ACQ
515 Express Center										
Dr.	Chicago	IL	1997	1,450,425	11.99	120,971	0.38%	100%	3	BTS
517 Express Center	Chiana	TT	1007	2 (62 802	15.50	171 (05	0.540	1000	1	DTC
Dr. 1100-40 W. Thorndale	Chicago	IL IL	1997 1984	2,663,802 234,240	15.52 4.88	171,685 48,000	0.54% 0.15%		1	BTS ACQ
737 Fargo Ave.	Elk Grove	IL	1904	234,240	4.00	40,000	0.13 /0	100 /0	1	ACQ
7571418011101	Village	IL	1975	379,582	4.93	77,015	0.24%	100%	1	ACQ
951 Fargo Ave.	Elk Grove			ĺ						
	Village	IL	1973	512,518	4.93	103,987	0.33%	100%	1	ACQ
516 Express Center										
Drive	Chicago	IL	1999	2,438,358	15.90	153,345	0.48%	100%	2	BTS
600 East Irving Park	D '11	***	1002	07.200	0.00	0.664	0.020	1000		4.00
Rd 514 Express Center Dr	Bensenville	IL IL	1982 2000	86,208 2,318,548	8.92 10.78	9,664 215,000	0.03% 0.67%	100% 100%	1	ACQ BTS
1311 Meacham	Cincago	IL	2000	2,310,340	10.76	213,000	0.07 /0	100 /0	1	ыз
Avenue	Itasca	IL	1980			113,785	0.36%	0%	0	ACQ
500 Country Club						,,,,,,,				
Drive	Bensenville	IL	1974	827,640	2.75	301,228	0.94%	100%	1	ACQ
10 East Golf Road	Des Plaines	IL	1978	504,376	8.86	56,937	0.18%	100%	1	ACQ
891 Upper Express	CI.		2002	1 221 21 -	10 6	105 100	0.20=	100-1		D.T.C
Drive	Chicago	IL	2003	1,331,915	10.64	125,180	0.39%	100%	1	BTS
801 Bryn Mawr Avenue	Itasca	IL	1978	431,511	2.16	200,064	0.63%	100%	1	ACQ
1600 W. Glenlake Rd	Itasca	IL IL	1976	163,000	2.18	74,800	0.03%			ACQ
1723-57 Marshall	imoon	112	1770	105,000	2.10	7-7,000	0.23 /0	100 /0	1	1100
Drive	Des Plaines	IL	1968	296,246	3.40	87,225	0.27%	100%	3	ACQ
2200 Busse Road	Elk Grove			., .						
	Village	IL	1972/1987	1,831,875	3.75	488,738	1.53%	100%	1	ACQ
80 Scott Street	Elk Grove									
	Village	IL	1959/1973			22,500	0.07%	0%	0	ACQ
160 Scott Street	Elk Grove	***	1060/1060			22.500	0.075	0.51	_	4.00
200 Spott Start	Village	IL	1960/1969			23,790	0.07%	0%	0	ACQ
200 Scott Street	Elk Grove Village	IL	1979			18,745	0.06%	0%	0	ACQ
	* mage	IL	17/7			10,743	0.00%	0%	U	ACQ

Edgar Filing: CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

230 Scott Street	Elk Grove	**	10/2/10//	100 707	0.10	24.425	0.000	1000		4.00
000 11	Village	IL	1962/1966	199,797	8.18	24,425	0.08%	100%	1	ACQ
899 Upper Express Drive	Chicago	IL	2004	759,960	24.00	31,665	0.10%	100%	1	BTS
Near West Suburbs										
3400 N Powell	Franklin Park	IL	1961/1980	435,991	3.79	115,097	0.36%	100%	1	ACQ
11440 W Addison	Franklin Park	IL	1961/1965	391,680	3.51	111,588	0.35%	100%	1	ACQ
3434 N. Powell	Franklin Park	IL	1960/1966	352,440	3.88	90,760	0.28%	100%	1	ACQ
1999 N Ruby	Melrose Park	IL	1952/1962	379,536	3.52	107,852	0.34%	100%	1	ACQ
11550 W. King	Franklin Park	IL	1963	247,873	3.61	68,663	0.22%	100%	1	ACQ
317 W. Lake Street	Northlake	IL	1972	1,328,334	4.37	303,935	0.95%	71%	2	ACQ
200 Champion Drive	Northlake	IL	1998	1,099,856	4.62	238,064	0.75%	100%	1	BTS
400 North Wolf Road	Northlake	IL	1956/1997	5,449,407	3.56	1,529,926	4.80%	99%	2	ACQ
100 W. Whitehall	Northlake	IL	1999	993,400	3.95	251,584	0.79%	100%	2	BTS
505 Railroad Avenue	Northlake	IL	1965/1988	906,400	3.19	284,165	0.89%	100%	1	ACQ
4211 Madison	Hillside	IL	1977	447,323	4.95	90,344	0.28%	100%	1	ACQ
4160 Madison	Hillside	IL	1949/1974	433,266	5.45	79,532	0.25%	100%	2	ACQ
11039 Gage Avenue	Franklin Park	IL	1964/1995	140,165	6.39	21,935	0.07%	100%	1	ACQ
11045 Gage Avenue	Franklin Park	IL	1969	614,700	4.50	136,600	0.43%	100%	1	ACQ
4300 Madison	Hillside	IL	1980	634,393	4.99	127,129	0.40%	100%	3	ACQ
10601 Seymour										
Avenue	Franklin Park	IL	1963/1970	3,603,039	5.14	700,899	2.20%	100%	2 /	ACQ/RDV
2553 North Edgington	Franklin Park	IL	1967/1995	829,477	3.02	274,303	0.86%	78%	3	ACQ

16

			Year of Original Construction /Last Redevelopment and/or	Annualized Base Rent	Average Rent Per	GLA Sq Ft	Percent of Total GLA	Percent of GLA Leased as of	No. of	Property
	City	State	Expansion (1)	Revenue (2)	Sq Ft	(3) (4)	(5)	12/31/05	Tenants	Type(6)
W4 Chh-										
West Suburbs 425 N. Villa Ave.	Villa Park	IL	1996	177,024	24.59	7,198	0.02%	100%	1	ACQ
1808 Swift Road	Oakbrook	IL	1998	667,200	4.43	150,569	0.02 %		1	ACQ
515 Factory Road	Addison	IL	1965	120,540	5.08	23,720	0.07%		1	ACQ
370 Carol Lane	Elmhurst	IL	1976-1978	306,124	5.08	60,290	0.19%		1	ACQ
200 South Mitchell	Addison	IL	1981	729,986	4.80	152,200	0.48%		2	ACQ
343 Carol Lane	Elmhurst	IL	1989	187,724	6.24	30,084	0.09%		1	ACQ
388 Carol Lane	Elmhurst	IL	1976-1978	258,509	6.38	40,502	0.13%	100%	2	ACQ
342-46 Carol Lane	Elmhurst	IL	1989	492,270	7.25	67,935	0.21%	100%	2	ACQ
Central Kane/DuPage 425 South 37th Avenue	St. Charles	IL	1975	422,735	4.10	103,106	0.32%	100%	1	ACQ
22 W 760 Poss St.	Glen Ellyn	IL	1964	144,240	12.04	11,976	0.04%		1	ACQ
1000 Swanson Dr.	Batavia	IL	1990	360,000	33.96	10,600	0.03%		1	ACQ
555 Kirk Road	St. Charles	IL	1990	268,320	4.30	62,400	0.20%	100%	1	ACQ
1250 Carolina Drive	West Chicago	IL	1988	483,786	3.23	150,000	0.47%	100%	1	BTS
North DuPage County										
350 Randy Road	Carol Stream	IL	1974	75,002	2.98	25,200	0.08%		3	ACQ
200 East Fullerton	Carol Stream	IL	1968	222 020	7.00	66,254	0.21%		0	ACQ
550 Kehoe	Carol Stream	IL	1996	322,029	7.22	44,575	0.14%	100%	1	ACQ
500 Wall St	Glendale Heights	IL	1989	610 174	2.90	221,104	0.69%	100%	2	ACO
440 Medinah Road	Roselle	IL	1985	619,174 341,280	1.12	305,621	0.09%		1	ACQ ACQ
450 Medinah Road	Roselle	IL	1985	643,218		161,000	0.50%		1	ACQ
150 Wedman Road	Rosene	ıL	1703	013,210	1.00	101,000	0.5070	10070	•	ricq
Far West Suburbs										
900 Knell Road	Montgomery	IL	1960	1,042,874	1.05	992,462	3.11%	54%	3	ACQ
1455 Sequoia	Aurora	IL	2000	209,760	0.81	257,600	0.81%	21%	1	ACQ
400 Fort Hill Drive	Naperville	IL	1970	72,000	11.12	6,475	0.02%		1	BTS
2301 North Route 30	Plainfield	IL	1972	813,472	2.99	272,217	0.85%	100%	2	ACQ
Southwest Suburbs										
6600 River Road	Hodgkins	IL	1968	1,663,800	2 64	630,410	1.98%	100%	1	ACQ
7447 South Central	Troughtino	IL.	1700	1,005,000	2.01	050,110	1.50%	10070		neq
Avenue	Bedford Park	IL	1975	310,800	2.63	118,218	0.37%	100%	1	ACQ
7525 South Sayre	Bedford Park	IL	1981	349,584		123,178	0.39%		3	ACQ
7633 S. Sayre	Bedford Park	IL	1968	109,800	7.82	14,039	0.04%	100%	1	ACQ
7201 S. Leamington	Bedford Park	IL	1958			106,800	0.33%	0%	0	ACQ
7330 Santa Fe	Hodgkins	IL	1979	266,996	1.13	235,560	0.74%	63%	3	ACQ
9901-9913 South 78th										
Avenue	Hickory Hills	IL	1981	461,988	5.56	83,096	0.26%	100%	5	ACQ
Chicago South										
4400 South Kolmar	Chicago	IL	1966	239,821	2.61	92,000	0.29%	51%	1	ACQ
13535 Torrence	Cincago	IL	1900	239,021	2.01	92,000	0.29 /0	J1 /0	1	ACQ
Avenue - A & A1	Chicago	IL	1916-1950	1,631,381	2.60	626,427	1.96%	71%	2	ACQ
13535 Torrence	J400		1,10 1,50	1,001,001	2.00	020, 127	1.70 //	7170		
Avenue - T	Chicago	IL	1920-1940	110,344	1.50	73,612	0.23%	67%	2	ACQ
13535 Torrence				220,071	1.00	,012	3.20 70	0.70		
Avenue - S	Chicago	IL	1930-1940			54,743	0.17%	0%	0	ACQ
13535 Torrence Avenue - P,Q,R	Chicago	IL	1916-1950/1989	791,388	4.28	184,794	0.58%		2	ACQ
13535 Torrence Avenue - C	Chicago	IL	1930-1940	335,497	3.38	99,333	0.31%	100%	2	ACQ

Edgar Filing: CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

1401 S. Jefferson Street	Chicago	IL	1967/1985	119,129	6.90 17,265	0.05%	100%	1	ACQ
	- U			,	,				
Chicago North									
10801 West Irving									
Park Drive	Chicago	IL	1999	898,608	4.85 185,280	0.58%	100%	1	BTS
South Suburbs									
21399 Torrence									
Avenue	Sauk Village	IL	1987	632,500	1.70 372,835	1.17%	55%	1	ACQ
16951 State Street	South Holland	IL	1983	126,348	6.19 20,409	0.06%	69%	2	ACQ
16750 S. Vincennes									
Ave	South Holland	IL	1970	369,000	1.82 202,510	0.63%	73%	1	ACQ
5619-25 West 115th									
Street	Alsip	IL	1974	1,116,000	2.79 399,511	1.25%	87%	3	RDV
11701 South Central									
Avenue	Alsip	IL	1970		297,207	0.93%	0%	0	ACQ
11601 South Central									
Avenue	Alsip	IL	1970	954,000	3.67 260,000	0.82%	100%	1	ACQ
11801 South Central									
Avenue	Alsip	IL	1985		284,386	0.89%	0%	0	ACQ

17

	City	State	Year of Original Construction /Last Redevelopment and/or Expansion (1)	Annualized Base Rent Revenue (2)	Average Rent Per Sq Ft	GLA Sq Ft (3) (4)	Percent of Total GLA (5)	Percent of GLA Leased as of 12/31/05	No. of Tenants	Property Type(6)
Far S.W. Suburbs										
1319 Marquette										
Drive	Romeoville	IL	1990	316,023	8.69	36,349	0.11%		1	BTS
250 W. 63rd St.	Westmont	IL	1967	196,692	19.21	10,240	0.03%	100%	1	ACQ
1265 Naperville			1007	00.060		50.005	0.222	250		
Dr.	Romeoville	IL	1996	99,060	1.35	73,385	0.23%	25%	1	ACQ
145 Tower Dr	Burr Ridge	IL	1968			63,687	0.20%	0%	0	ACQ
2200 Channahon Road	Joliet	IL	1950	952,115	2.91	326,685	1.02%	100%	1	ACO
2200 Channahon	Jonet	IL	1930	932,113	2.91	320,083	1.02%	100%	1	ACQ
Road	Joliet	IL	1950	1,798,073	3.07	586,100	1.84%	100%	1	ACQ
990 E. 107th	Jonet	IL	1930	1,790,073	3.07	360,100	1.04 /0	100 //	1	ACQ
Street	Woodridge	IL	1988	377,232	3.72	101,463	0.32%	100%	1	ACQ
625 Willowbrook	ccanage	112	1,00	311,232	3.72	101,103	3.3270	10070		
Centre	Willowbrook	IL	2001	649,510	15.61	41,600	0.13%	100%	1	BTS
				,		,				
McHenry County										
875 Diggins Rd.	Harvard	IL	1952	567,757	4.50	126,304	0.40%	100%	1	ACQ
										,
N.W. Indiana										
425 West 151st										
Street	East Chicago	IN	1913/1991	349,946	1.05	333,700	1.05%	74%	4	RDV
201 Mississippi										
Street	Gary	IN	1945/1988	2,930,183	2.76	1,060,136	3.32%	78%	9	RDV
1827 North										
Bendix Drive	South Bend	IN	1964/1990	582,314	2.92	199,730	0.63%	100%	1	ACQ
101 45th Street	Munster	IN	1991			350,133	1.10%	0%	0	ACQ
One North Bridge	C	TAT	2002			125,000	1 220	0.01	0	DDV
Street	Gary	IN	2003			425,000	1.33%	0%	0	RDV
4407 Railroad - Plant 4	East Chicago	IN	1930-1950	358,904	2.52	101 554	0.32%	100%	2	400
4407 Railroad -	East Chicago	11N	1930-1930	338,904	3.53	101,554	0.32%	100%	2	ACQ
Plant 2	East Chicago	IN	1930-1950	103,199	0.61	169,435	0.53%	17%	1	ACQ
4407 Railroad -	East Clifcago	1111	1930-1930	105,199	0.01	109,433	0.5570	1770	1	ACQ
Plant 3	East Chicago	IN	1930-1950	480,000	1.65	291,550	0.91%	53%	1	ACQ
4527 Columbia	Hammond	IN	1940 s	755,314	2.94	256,595	0.80%	99%	2	ACQ
4531 Columbia	Hammond	IN	1930-1950	477,373	1.79	266,967	0.84%	99%	4	
				,		,			·	
Southern										
Wisconsin										
7501 North 81st										
Street	Milwaukee	WI	1987	1,327,333	7.22	183,958	0.58%	100%	1	ACQ
2003-2201 S.										
114th Street	West Allis	WI	1965	793,468	3.26	243,350	0.76%	100%	2	ACQ
4700 Ironwood										
Drive	Franklin	WI	1998	473,341	3.84	123,200	0.39%		1	BTS
5611 Mill Road	Milwaukee	WI	1960	81,024	1.82	44,435	0.14%	62%	2	ACQ
11100 Silver	M:1 1	****	10/0	(02.07)	4.74	107.400	0.400	1000		4.00
Springs Rd.	Milwaukee	WI	1968	603,876	4.74	127,400	0.40%	100%	1	ACQ
3511 W. Green	M:1 1	33.71	1060/1071	202.002	1.70	172.000	0.540	600	2	4.00
Tree	Milwaukee	WI	1969/1971	292,002	1.70	172,000	0.54%		3	ACQ
301 E. Vienna 6600 N. Industrial	Milwaukee	WI	1999	693,474	5.96	116,354	0.36%	100%	1	ACQ
Rd	Milwaukee	WI	1973	358,800	3.25	110,400	0.35%	100%	1	ACQ
6333 West	wiiiwaukee	VV 1	17/3	330,000	3.43	110,400	0.33%	100%	1	ACQ
Douglas	Milwaukee	WI	1970	84,322	3.29	25,607	0.08%	81%	2	ACQ
Douglas	Oak Creek	WI	1970	461,100	3.29	150,192	0.08%		1	ACQ
	Jun Crock	***	1770	151,100	5.07	100,172	J. T//U	10070	1	.102

7620 South 10th											
Street											
7020 Parkland											
Court	Milwaukee	WI	1979		387,270	3.20	120,879	0.38%	100%	1	ACQ
7025 Parkland											
Court	Milwaukee	WI	1973		395,492	1.75	226,109	0.71%	27%	2	ACQ
315 Edgerton	Milwaukee	WI	1971		305,820	4.81	63,580	0.20%	80%	2	ACQ
4930 South 2nd											
Street	Milwaukee	WI	1972		220,672	4.27	51,721	0.16%	100%	3	ACQ
4950 South 2nd											
Street	Milwaukee	WI	1973		86,022	4.43	19,440	0.06%	100%	2	ACQ
4960 South 2nd											
Street	Milwaukee	WI	1971		48,527	2.52	19,278	0.06%	59%	2	ACQ
5140 South 3rd											
Street	Milwaukee	WI	1978		64,024	3.81	16,800	0.05%	100%	3	ACQ
5144 South 3rd											
Street	Milwaukee	WI	1972		59,328	3.09	19,200	0.06%	100%	2	ACQ
4903 South											
Howell	Milwaukee	WI	1977		104,248	4.34	24,000	0.08%	100%	3	ACQ
4965 South											
Howell	Milwaukee	WI	1976		172,651	5.38	32,115	0.10%	100%	2	ACQ
5050 South 2nd											
Street	Milwaukee	WI	1970		230,664	4.65	49,605	0.16%	100%	1	ACQ
300 West											
Edgerton	Milwaukee	WI	1970		194,950	4.87	40,000	0.13%	100%	3	ACQ
1901 Chicory											
Road	Mt. Pleasant	WI	1970		819,809	6.74	121,606	0.38%	84%	2	ACQ
1500 W. Zellman											
Court	Milwaukee	WI	1998		253,416	12.18	20,800	0.07%	100%	1	ACQ
8901 102nd Street	Pleasant										
	Prairie	WI	1990		401,250	3.80	105,637	0.33%	100%	1	ACQ
2900 South 160th											
Street	New Berlin	WI	1972/1974/1978		382,159	2.08	183,480	0.58%	100%	1	ACQ
N 53 W 24700											
Corporate Circle	Sussex	WI	1998		685,440	3.57	192,000	0.60%	100%	1	ACQ
6400 West											
Enterprise Drive-C	Mequon	WI	1990/1998		1,476,734	4.59	321,634	1.01%	100%	1	ACQ
6400 West											
Enterprise											
Drive-A	Mequon	WI	1990/1998		507,019	3.80	133,284	0.42%	100%	1	ACQ
6400 West											
Enterprise Drive-B	Mequon	WI	1990/1998		155,501	4.79	32,480	0.10%	100%	1	ACQ
Ohio											
2800 Henkle Drive	Lebanon	OH	1994/1995/1997		393,450	3.00	131,150	0.41%	100%	1	ACQ
Subtotal				_							
Prevously Owned				\$	94,545,960		27,098,003	84.97%			
Average					\$	3.49	163,241				
Grand total all warel	nouse and other indu	ustrial			00.404-00		24 004 ===	100.00=		2	
properties				\$ 1	09,484,709		31,901,792	100.00%		301	
Average					\$	3.43	158,715		79.7%		
Grand total all wareh	nouse and other indu	ustrial			00.404-00		20.245.555		06.00		
properties				\$ 1	09,484,709		29,345,609		86.9%		
excluding out of servi	ice at 12/31/2005					2	4 8 6				
Average					\$	3.73	153,642				
excluding out of servi	ice at 12/31/2005										

- (1) The first year is the year of original construction. The second date, where applicable, is the year of last redevelopment and/or expansion.
- Annualized base rent revenue is calculated as twelve months of the base rent in effect on December 31, 2005.
- (3) GLA means gross leasable area.
- (4) Determined by dividing annualized base rent revenue by GLA.
- (5) Determined as a percent of the total GLA for the warehouse and other industrial properties.
- (6) ACQ refers to an existing leased property acquired by the Company, BTS refers to a build-to-suit property and RDV refers to a redevelopment property.

As of December 31, 2005, the Company classified 18 operating properties, a land parcel in Naperville, Illinois, a land parcel in McCook, Illinois and two build-to-suits for sale at McCook Industrial Center as held for sale. All of these above mentioned properties were under contract for sale as of December 31, 2005. Of the 18 operating properties held for sale, 9 properties were scheduled to be sold to CNTJF in the first quarter of 2006.

Properties Under Development and Land Held for Development

The Company and its subsidiaries have investments in five uncompleted warehouses, totaling 4.0 million square feet as of December 31, 2005. The Company s developments include buildings under construction at CIC, McCook Industrial Center, McCook, Illinois, O Hare North and other developments which are leased and at various stages of completion. The Company and its subsidiaries also own or control several stand-alone land parcels and industrial parks under various stages of development, totaling 3,009 acres.

19

	Acres	Estimated Building Area
CenterPoint Intermodal Center, Elwood, IL	539	7,000,000
DuPage Tech Park, West Chicago, IL	446	5,200,000
I94/173 Property, Wadsworth, IL	226	2,747,000
Knell Road, Montgomery, IL	169	1,800,000
CenterPoint Business Center - McCook North, McCook, IL	146	2,700,000
CNT Business Center I-80	70	1,200,000
I88 & Randall Road, Aurora, IL	63	1,000,000
Gurler Road & 1st St., DeKalb, IL	36	627,000
O Hare Express North, Chicago, IL	24	484,000
California Avenue Business Center, Chicago, IL	20	476,000
Douglas Road & Kirk Road, Batavia, IL	19	310,000
Meacham & Medinah, Itasca, IL	18	50,000
Creekside Corporate Center, Oak Creek, WI	17	300,000
Mitchell Int. Business Park, Cudahy, WI	15	283,000
Diehl Rd., Naperville, IL	15	261,000
3400 W. Pratt, Lincolnwood, IL	13	226,000
Jefferson & Aurora Avenue, Naperville, IL	12	890,000
440-4635 Railroad, East Chicago, IN	9	156,000
CenterPoint Business Center, McCook, IL	8	139,000
3600 Sunset, Waukegan, IL	7	159,000
Harris & Commerce Drive, Libertyville, IL	5	87,000
Total owned or controlled by CenterPoint	1,877	26,095,000
Lakeview Corporate Park, Kenosha, WI	380	6,005,350
CenterPoint Intermodal Center - Rochelle, Rochelle, IL	338	4,150,000
Prairewood, Pleasant Prairie, WI	144	2,400,000
Badger, Pleasant Prairie, WI	89	1,480,000
Grandview Corporate Park, Racine, WI	74	1,460,000
Steinbrink (Lakeview), Kenosha, WI	54	600,000
CenterPoint Business Center, Gurnee, IL	39	787,000
Chicago Manufacturing Center, Chicago, IL	14	244,000
Total owned or controlled by Affiliates	1,132	17,126,350
Total owned or controlled by CenterPoint and Affiliates	3,009	43,221,350

Lease Expirations

The following table shows, as of December 31, 2005, scheduled lease expirations for the Company s warehouse and other industrial properties commencing January 1, 2006 and for the next ten years, assuming that no tenants exercise renewal options:

Year Ending December 31	No. of Leases Expiring	GLA of Expiring Leases (Sq. Ft.)	Annualized Base Rent Expiring Leases	Average Base Rent per Sq Ft Under Expiring Leases	% of Total Properties GLA Represented by Expiring Leases	% of 2005 Base Rent Represented by Expiring Leases
2006	84	4,627,547	\$ 17,780,060	\$ 3.84	14.5%	19.0%
2007	46	4,532,603	18,162,345	4.01	14.2%	19.5%
2008	41	3,556,311	14,530,465	4.09	11.1%	15.6%
2009	35	2,443,892	12,484,255	5.11	7.7%	13.4%
2010	28	1,800,858	8,730,574	4.85	5.6%	9.3%
2011	15	2,445,157	8,965,651	3.67	7.7%	9.6%
2012	7	1,033,675	3,706,497	3.59	3.2%	4.0%
2013	12	1,251,372	4,897,445	3.91	3.9%	5.2%
2014	9	986,790	4,719,046	4.78	3.1%	5.1%
2015	10	1,104,244	5,064,848	4.59	3.5%	5.4%

Options to Purchase Granted to Certain Tenants

At December 31, 2005, the Company has six properties subject to purchase options, as follows:

The property located at 343 Carol Lane, Elmhurst, Illinois is subject to a purchase option exercisable on February 1, 2008. The tenant has exercised this option and the purchase price is \$1.5 million.

The property located at 6600 Industrial Road, Milwaukee, Wisconsin is subject to a purchase option at fair market value, exercisable after July 18, 2006.

The property located at 230 Scott Street, Elk Grove Village, Illinois is subject to a purchase option for \$1.5 million, exercisable at any time prior to the end of the lease, which is June 30, 2010.

The property located at 27236 Baseline Road, Elwood, Illinois is subject to a purchase option for \$14.5 million, exercisable after August 1, 2007.

The property located at 11045 Gage Avenue, Franklin Park, Illinois is subject to a purchase option for \$7.4 million, exercisable until July 1, 2007.

The property located at 1319 Marquette Drive, Romeoville, Illinois is subject to a purchase option for \$4.2 million, exercisable after April 1, 2006.

For all of these options agreements, the option price exceeds the Company s current net book value for the property.

In addition to purchase options, the Company has granted to tenants of certain properties a right of first refusal (in the event the Company has received an unsolicited offer from a third party to purchase the property which the Company desires to accept) or a right of first offer (in the event the Company has not received an unsolicited third party offer for the property but desires to entertain an offer). As of December 31, 2005,

the Company had such clauses in 17 (or 5.6%) of its operating warehouse and other industrial leases.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

The Company is involved in various legal proceedings in the ordinary course of its business but is not subject to or involved in, nor is the Company aware of, any pending or threatened litigation which it believes could reasonably have a material negative effect on the financial position or results of operations of the Company. For a description of remediation activities currently underway at certain of the Company s properties, see Environmental Matters under Item 1 above.

21

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

None.

22

PART II

Item 5.	Market for Registrant	s Common I	Equity, Related	d Stockholder 1	Matters and	Issuer Puro	chases of
Equity Sec	curities.						

Market Information and Holders

There is no established public market for the common shares of the Company. As of March 8, 2006, following the effective time of the merger with an indirect subsidiary of CalEast, Solstice Holdings LLC (Solstice) owns 100% of the outstanding common shares of the Company. CalEast indirectly owns approximately 99% of the outstanding Class A Units of Solstice.

The future availability of funds for distribution will be restricted by certain covenants of the Company s unsecured credit facility; such as the covenant that restricts the total common dividends to 90% of the Company s operating cash flows.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

In connection with the acquisition of the Company by CalEast, all of the Company s existing equity compensation plans were terminated. As described in Item 11. Executive Compensation Incentive Plans, the Company s executive officers and key employees are eligible to participate in a Management Incentive Plan pursuant to which they are entitled to receive units in a separate limited liability company (the Management LLC). Solstice, the Company s parent company, has issued a special class of membership interests to the Management LLC. In addition, executive officers and key employees are eligible to participate in the Solstice s Retention and Incentive Equity Compensation Program (the RIECP). For further information, see Item 11.

Summary of Distributions and Dividends

In order to comply with the REIT requirements of the Code, CenterPoint was required to make common share distributions (other than capital gain distributions) to its shareholders in amounts at least equal to 90% of its REIT taxable income computed without regard to the dividends paid deduction. The Tax Conversion, which converted the Company from a REIT for federal income tax purposes to a partnership for federal income tax purposes, was effective on March 12, 2006. For information regarding the taxability of CenterPoint s 2005 dividends, see Note 15 to the Company Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and the Use of Proceeds

In 2005, the Company purchased common shares of the Company totaling \$26.1 million in open-market transactions, as described in the following table:

23

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share
January 1 to January 31	\$	
February 1 to February 28		
March 1 to March 31		
April 1 to April 30	401,400	40.75
May 1 to May 31	102,700	41.81
June 1 to June 30		
July 1 to July 31		
August 1 to August 31	136,500	40.19
September 1 to September 30		
October 1 to October 31		
November 1 to November 30		
December 1 to December 31		
Total	640,600 \$	40.74

24

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following tables set forth, on a historical basis, selected financial data for the Company. The following table should be read in conjunction with the historical financial statements of the Company and Item 7, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, both included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

The selected financial data for the Company is not necessarily indicative of the actual financial position of the Company or results of operations at any future date or for a future period. Also, the following share information has been adjusted to reflect the June 30, 2004 two-for-one split of the common shares of the Company.

25

CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

		2005	(Do	2004		anded December 3 2003 pt per share and p		2002 ty information)		2001
Operating Data:										
Total revenues	\$	194,856	\$	100,367	\$	103,175	\$	102,135	\$	102,574
Property expenses (1)		(61,574)		(51,641)		(45,062)		(37,865)		(35,533)
Depreciation and other amortization		(34,171)		(25,448)		(21,305)		(21,592)		(22,985)
General and administrative		(17,638)		(11,994)		(8,681)		(7,023)		(5,566)
Build-to-suit for sale construction										
costs		(52,475)								
Other income / (expense)										
Interest income		1,825		1,917		2,430		576		1,521
Interest expense		(29,050)		(33,032)		(25,735)		(27,456)		(32,299)
Amortization of deferred financing										
costs		(3,742)		(3,567)		(3,354)		(2,918)		(2,376)
Early extinguishment of debt										(1,616)
Impairment of asset $(2)(3)(4)(5)$		(1,153)		(937)				(1,228)		(37,994)
Provision for income tax (expense)										
benefit		2,592		993		(389)		(1,575)		748
Equity in net income (loss) of										
affiliate		881		5,703		2,281		1,994		3,309
Gain from sale of equity interest				6,469						
Income from continuing operations		351		(11,170)		3,360		5,048		(30,217)
Discontinued operations:										
Gain on sale of real estate, net of tax		111,176		57,412		36,308		29,899		
Income from operations of sold										
properties, net of tax		17,733		46,681		32,967		27,483		28,061
Gain on sale of real estate, net of tax		7,082		185		5,421		12,962		30,153
Cumulative effect of change in						ć 53 0				
accounting principle, net of tax (6)		106010		02.100		6,528		77.000		27.007
Net income		136,342		93,108		84,584		75,392		27,997
Preferred dividends		(6,328)		(2,621)		(9,599)		(10,090)		(10,090)
Net income available to common	d.	120.014	Ф	00.407	ф	74.005	ф	(5.202	¢.	17.007
shareholders	\$	130,014	\$	90,487	\$	74,985	\$	65,302	\$	17,907
D:- EDC.										
Basic EPS: Income available to common										
shareholders from continuing operations	\$	0.02	\$	(0.29)	\$	(0.02)	\$	0.17	\$	(0.23)
Discontinued operations	φ	2.66	φ	2.20	φ	1.51	φ	1.26	φ	0.63
Cumulative effect of change in		2.00		2.20		1.31		1.20		0.03
accounting principle (6)						0.14				
Net income available to common						0.14				
shareholders	\$	2.68	\$	1.91	\$	1.63	\$	1.43	\$	0.40
shareholders	Ψ	2.00	Ψ	1.91	Ψ	1.03	Ψ	1.43	Ψ	0.40
Diluted EPS:										
Income available to common										
shareholders from continuing										
operations	\$	0.02	\$	(0.29)	\$	(0.02)	\$	0.17	\$	(0.23)
Discontinued operations	~	2.58	Ψ	2.20	Ŷ	1.51	Ψ	1.23	Ψ	0.63
T. T. Waller		2.00		2.23		0.14		1.20		0.05

Cumulative effect of change in										
accounting principle (6)										
Net income available to common		• 60							_	0.40
shareholders	\$	2.60	\$	1.91	\$	1.63	\$	1.40	\$	0.40
Proforma results of operations										
assuming new method of accounting										
for certain developer notes was										
applied retroactively (6):										
Net income	\$	136,342	\$	93,108	\$	78,056	\$	81,920	\$	27,997
Net income	Ф	130,342	Ф	93,106	Ф	78,030	Ф	61,920	Ф	21,991
Basic EPS:										
Net income available to common										
shareholders	\$	2.68	\$	1.91	\$	1.49	\$	1.58	\$	0.40
shareholders	Ψ	2.00	Ψ	1.71	Ψ	1.47	Ψ	1.50	Ψ	0.40
Diluted EPS:										
Net income available to common										
shareholders	\$	2.60	\$	1.91	\$	1.49	\$	1.54	\$	0.40
	Ψ	2.00	Ψ	1.71	Ψ.	11.15	Ψ.	1.0 .		00
Balance Sheet Data (End of Period):										
Investment in real estate (before										
accumulated depreciation and										
amortization)	\$	1,295,714	\$	1,457,311	\$	1,342,374	\$	1,219,109	\$	1,197,900
Real estate held for sale, net of		, ,		, ,		, ,		, ,		, ,
depreciation		115,417		49,210		6,302		48,631		22,555
Net investment in real estate		1,251,369		1,335,165		1,179,289		1,124,153		1,100,232
Total assets		1,442,379		1,593,505		1,419,242		1,310,742		1,182,671
Total debt		682,509		873,509		834,865		697,101		586,527
Shareholders equity		635,434		606,964		482,501		526,959		513,795
Other Data:										
Net cash flow:										
Operating activities	\$	68,814	\$	82,773	\$	76,502	\$	59,366	\$	73,229
Investing activities		247,488		(115,855)		(137,285)		(112,882)		(76,502)
Financing activities		(317,661)		34,347		59,779		52,900		4,064
Preferred dividends		(6,358)		(2,367)		(6,311)		(10,090)		(10,090)
Common dividends		(83,587)		(74,421)		(56,492)		(53,083)		(47,423)
Common dividends per share		1.71		1.56		1.22		1.16		1.05
Return of capital portion of										
distribution				(23,815)				(52,876)		
Number of properties owned at the										
end of the year (7)		201		216		190		190		178
				26						

- (1) Property expenses include real estate taxes, and property operating and leasing (POL) expense.
- As of March 31, 2005, the Company had one property held for sale in which the carrying value of the property, 2601 Bond Street, University Park, Illinois, was greater than the expected net sale proceeds; therefore the Company recorded a \$0.7 million impairment. As of September 30, 2005, the Company had two land parcels in Naperville, Illinois held for sale in which the carrying value of the properties was greater than the expected net sale proceeds. Therefore, the Company recorded a \$0.5 million impairment.
- (3) At December 31, 2004, one of the Company s land parcels, located in Naperville, Illinois, was held for sale when it went under contract for sale. The expected proceeds upon sale after costs were lower than the carrying value of the property, so the Company recorded an impairment on this land in 2004.
- At December 31, 2002, the Company had its remaining interest in certain land leased to the BNSF at CIC and 64 acres of land at Jefferson and Aurora Avenue in Naperville, IL held for sale because the properties were under contract for sale. The Company recognized an impairment on the Naperville land because the expected proceeds upon sale after costs were lower than the carrying value of the property.
- At December 31, 2001, the Company had an office property held for sale. This property was the former headquarters of HALO and was located at 5800 Touhy Avenue in Niles, Illinois. The bankruptcy of HALO caused a reduction in the property value and on December 12, 2001 the Company announced its intention to sell the property. Accordingly, the Company recognized an impairment of this asset based on management s estimate of the fair value of the asset less costs to dispose in accordance with FAS No. 121.
- In 2003, CenterPoint changed its accounting policy when accounting for certain developer notes. See Note 7 to the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (7) The number of properties included in operating results reflects the following activity:

	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001
Number of properties in operating results,					
beginning of period	216	190	190	178	167
Properties acquired	36	55	13	28	14
Developments completed	6	5	2	3	5
Consolidation of CRS					10
Property dispositions	(55)	(34)	(15)	(19)	(18)
Property demolitions	(2)				

Number of properties in operating results,					
end of period	201	216	190	190	178
		27			

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation.

The following is a discussion of the historical operating results of the Company. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the historical financial statements of the Company and the information set forth under Item 6, Selected Financial Data, found in this Form 10-K.

Executive Summary

CenterPoint Strategy

On March 8, 2006, the Company was acquired by CalEast as mentioned in Part 1, Item 1. As a result, the Company s common shares and Series B Preferred Shares are no longer listed on the NYSE. Additionally, the Tax Conversion, which converted the Company from a REIT for federal income tax purposes to a partnership for federal income tax purposes, was effective on March 12, 2006. The Company believes this change in ownership and change in tax status will provide a platform for continued growth in ways that could not be accomplished as a public company. CenterPoint continues to be focused on maximizing total shareholder returns through customer-driven management, investment, development, and redevelopment of warehouse, distribution, light manufacturing, intermodal parks and air freight buildings. The Company seeks to serve the changing space needs of new and existing customers. The Company s operating results primarily include operating income from the Company s investment portfolio, gains from dispositions and fee income from developments.

A cornerstone of this strategy is the consistent redeployment of its capital. The Company seeks to fund new investment with disposition proceeds from the sale of stabilized assets, or those offering lower potential returns relative to their market value. Each year the Company expects to sell 10% to 20% of its assets (or more if attractive opportunities arise). These dispositions, together with retained cash flow, fund much of the Company s capital requirements. CenterPoint believes its capital recycling discipline lowers its cost of capital through increased funding flexibility.

2005 Investment Results

In 2005, the Company achieved earnings expectations. Year-over-year per share net income grew 40.3%. These results are largely due to a high level of new investments funded by the sale of stabilized assets, in tune with the Company s corporate strategy. Below is a summary of 2005 s activity (unaudited):

Investment Activity The Company acquired or completed development of 42 warehouse and other industrial properties and acquired six land parcels totaling 8.5 million square feet of buildings and approximately 292.0 acres of unimproved land, investing approximately \$359 million. Additionally, at the end of the year, the Company had five properties totaling 4.0 million square feet at various stages of development.

Leasing Ahead of Plan As of December 31, 2005, the Company had renewed, replaced or sold 58.6% of all of its 2005 expiring leases, ahead of budgeted expectations. Vacancy remained high compared to historical levels, but was lower than expected.

Disposition Activity In 2005, the Company completed \$570.9 million of dispositions, ahead of plan. As of December 31, 2005 the Company remains under contract to sell \$81.0 million of properties to CNTJF. This contracted sale is scheduled to close in the first quarter of 2006.

CenterPoint Venture

The Company owns 25% of CenterPoint Venture. The operating terms of CenterPoint Venture were renegotiated in 2004 and the venture was extended to June, 2012. The Company provides property management and administrative services for CenterPoint Venture, and also earns fees on the acquisitions and dispositions completed by CenterPoint Venture. During 2005, CenterPoint Venture acquired two warehouse properties and one land parcel totaling \$12.1 million and disposed of 12 properties and two land parcels totaling \$59.4 million. As of December 31, 2005,

CenterPoint	Venture owned 6	warehouse and	other industrial	properties	totaling	1.0 million sa	mare feet	which were 4	8 7% leased
Centerr onit	venture owned (waremouse and	oulei muusutai	properties,	, totannig .	1.0 million sq	uare reet,	WILLII WELE 4	ro. 1 /0 leaseu.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP, which requires the Company to make certain estimates and	
assumptions. A summary of the Company s significant accounting policies is provided in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements.	The
following section is a summary of certain aspects of those accounting policies that require management estimates and judgment.	

Acquisitions

When real estate properties are acquired, acquisition costs are allocated to components of the property, any in-place leases including above or below market leases and any potential tenant relationships using relative fair values based on historical experience and the Company s current judgment. These assumptions and estimates impact the amount of costs allocated between land, different categories of building and land improvements and certain deferred expense related the acquired property. The allocation assumptions ultimately affect the amount of costs assigned to individual properties in multiple property acquisitions, depreciation and amortization expense, and gains or losses recorded on sales of properties.

Development Accounting

For industrial park and multi-phased developments, costs are assigned to individual components of the project when those costs benefit certain sites rather than the whole project. Where specific identification is impractical or costs incurred benefit the project as a whole, capitalized costs are allocated as follows:

Site acquisition costs and all other common costs are allocated to each land parcel benefited based on the relative fair market value of each land parcel before construction.

Site improvement and construction costs are allocated to individual parcels based on relative sales value of each unit upon completion.

When allocation based on relative sales value is impractical, capitalized costs are allocated based on acreage.

In the event a parcel within a park development is sold prior to completion of the park, the sales basis of the sold parcel will reflect a pro rata allocation of future common costs.

Accounts Receivable

Receivables are reported net of an allowance for doubtful accounts and may be uncollectible in the future. The Company reviews its receivables regularly for potential collection problems in computing the allowance recorded against its receivables. The Company adds all specifically identified doubtful accounts as specific additions to the reserve and adds a percentage of other long outstanding items based on historical trends. This review process requires the Company to make certain judgments regarding collections that are inherently difficult to predict.

Tax Increment Financing

Tax Increment Financing (TIF) is a municipal financing and planning technique that is widely used to renovate declining areas or redevelop blighted areas while expanding a municipality s tax base. TIFs allow municipalities to make needed public and private improvements by promising to return all or a portion of the real estate tax increase generated by the improvements to the developer for a limited period of time. This contract to pay the tax increment to the developer is usually documented in a redevelopment agreement between the city and the developer and usually results in the creation of developer notes payable from the city to the developer.

29

The Company accounts for developer notes as either reimbursement of improvement costs incurred or as real estate tax abatement depending on the facts and circumstances of each redevelopment agreement. Effective January 1, 2003, the Company changed its accounting policy related to certain developer notes. The Company has described its accounting for each of its developer note arrangements and has described the financial impact of the change in accounting principle in Note 6 and Note 7, respectively, of the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
Asset Impairment
The Company recognizes an impairment loss on real estate assets under the following circumstances:
When management has designated the asset to be held for sale and the fair value of the asset less the cost of disposal is less than the asset s carrying value; or
When market conditions, a contract for sale or some other triggering event has made it certain that the carrying amount of an asset held for use might not be recoverable and the estimated undiscounted cash flows of the asset are insufficient to recover the carrying value of the asset.
In cases of impairment, the asset will be reduced to its fair value based on the property s estimated discounted future cash flows. The amount of the reduction is recorded as an operating expense, impairment of asset.
Results of Operations
Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2005 to Year Ended December 31, 2004

Total revenues increased \$94.5 million or 94.1% over the same period last year due mainly to the sale proceeds from four build-to-suits sold in 2005 with no comparable activity in 2004. In addition, there was an overall increase in earnings from minimum rents, straight-line rents, expense reimbursements and mortgage interest income (operating and investment revenue). Operating and investment revenues increased \$29.1 million due to a full period of earnings on 2004 investments and a partial period of earnings on 2005 investments. The increase was partially offset due to a decrease in the Company s occupancy rate on in-service properties, 86.9% in 2005 compared to 90.4% a year ago.

In the twelve months of 2005, 64.3% of total revenues were from operating and investment revenue, pursuant to the terms of tenant leases and mortgages for occupied space at the warehouse and other industrial properties. Adjusted for the build-to-suit for sale revenue this ratio was 90.8% in 2005. In 2004, operating and investment revenue as a percentage of total revenues was 95.9%. This decrease in the proportion of total

Revenues

revenues provided by operating and investment revenues was primarily caused by an increase in real estate fee income earned in 2005.

Real estate fee income increased \$8.5 million due to the recovery of \$14.5 million in connection with the bankruptcy proceedings relating to our lost revenue claim against HALO Industries Inc., which was higher than the Company s \$3.1 million receivable balance. This increase was partially offset by lease termination income earned in 2004.

As mentioned above, the results of operations for the twelve months of 2005 includes the sale of four build-to-suit properties, which are reflected in build-to-suit for sale revenue and build-to-suit construction costs.

Operating and Nonoperating Expenses

Real estate tax expense and property operating and leasing (POL) expense, combined, increased by \$9.9 million from year to year. Real estate taxes increased by \$5.1 million due mainly to a full period of taxes on 2004 investments and a partial period of taxes on 2005 investments. Real estate tax expense includes \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively, for real estate tax abatements recognized on CenterPoint s owned

30

properties at CIC, relating to the Company s accounting policy for real estate taxes on owned properties subject to certain TIF arrangements.

The following is a breakdown of the composition of the Company s POL costs.

	Year Ended December 31, 2005 2004			
	2003	•	2004	
Property operating	\$ 16,455	\$	11,391	
includes property repairs & maintenance, utilities, and other property, bad debt and tenant				
related costs				
Property management	4,965		6,772	
includes property management and portfolio construction department costs				
	12 402		10.026	
Asset management	12,492		10,936	
includes the cost of property management executives, accounting, acquisitions,				
dispositions, development and management information systems				
Total property operating and leasing	\$ 33,912	\$	29,099	

POL costs include operating costs from the properties, property management, investment and dispositions, accounting and information systems personnel, consistent with the Company s active portfolio management and investment focus. \$4.5 million of the increase in POL costs was due to a full period of operating costs on 2004 investments and a partial period of operating costs on 2005 investments. Also, there was an increase of \$0.6 million for the year to date due to higher POL expense recorded as a result of the early vesting of restricted stock grants in 2005 compared to 2004. This expense amounted to \$3.5 million in 2005 and \$2.9 million in 2004. In connection with development projects and non-operating property acquisitions, the Company capitalized expenses of \$4.4 million and \$3.3 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively that would normally be included in POL costs.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$5.6 million. \$1.1 million of the increase is due to legal costs related to the sale of the Company to CalEast. \$1.6 million of the increase is due to accelerated vesting of executive compensation. The remainder of the increase is due to increased legal, internal and external audit costs relating to compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, including internal control requirements, as well as increased payroll and related costs.

Depreciation and amortization increased \$8.7 million or 34.3% when comparing 2005 and 2004 due to a full period of depreciation and amortization on 2004 investments and a partial period of depreciation and amortization on 2005 investments.

In 2005, the Company recorded impairment expense of \$1.2 million. In the first quarter of 2005, a \$0.7 million impairment was recorded upon the execution of a contract to sell one operating property in University Park, Illinois. In the third quarter of 2005, a \$0.5 million impairment was recorded upon the execution of contracts to sell two land parcels in Naperville, Illinois. In both instances, the sales price was lower than the net book value of the properties. In 2004, the Company recorded an impairment expense for a 17.8 acre land parcel located in a retail and commercial district of Naperville, Illinois which went under contract for sale. Since the carrying value of this land was greater than the expected net sales proceeds, the Company recorded a \$0.9 million impairment of this asset. The decline in value is attributable to weakening market conditions for commercial and retail land in the area.

Interest income decreased by \$0.1 million due to the repayment of certain notes receivable in 2005 that were not replaced.

Interest expense decreased by \$4.0 million due to lower average debt balances in 2005 when compared to 2004 (\$774.9 million in 2005 compared to \$874.2 million in 2004), despite increasing interest rates on variable debt. In 2005, the Company s weighted average interest rate, including financing costs, was 5.4% compared to 5.1% in 2004. In connection with development projects under construction, the Company capitalized \$9.0 million and \$6.6 million of interest in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Amortization of deferred financing costs increased \$0.2 million when comparing periods due to the amortization of financing costs on the \$150.0 million senior unsecured notes issued in the third quarter of 2004 and the associated hedge settlement amortization.

The Company recorded a benefit of \$2.6 million and \$1.0 million from income taxes because of net losses recorded on its taxable REIT subsidiary in the twelve months of 2005 and 2004, respectively. The Company s taxable REIT subsidiary incurred losses in both periods due to incurring overhead costs higher than its revenues because of vacancies on operating properties and lower investment and disposition activity at the subsidiary level.

Equity in net income of affiliates decreased \$4.8 million when comparing periods, due to lower income in 2005 from non-consolidated ventures. Also, in 2004, non-consolidated venture activity included property dispositions at higher gains when compared to 2005.

In 2004, CenterPoint earned gains on the sale of equity interest of \$6.5 million due to the Company s sale of its equity interest in CMC. There was no comparable activity in 2005.

Gains on the sale of real estate from discontinued operations, net of tax, increased by \$53.8 million when comparing 2005 to 2004, due to the increased volume of real estate dispositions between periods. For 2005, discontinued operation gains were recorded on the sale of 52 operating properties compared to 35 operating properties in 2004.

The 2005 net income from sold properties, net of tax, decreased by \$28.9 million when compared to 2004 because the prior year s results included a partial period of operations from all operating properties sold in 2004 and a full period of operations from all properties sold in 2005. The 2005 results include only a partial period of operating activity.

Gains on the sale of real estate, net of tax, from non-operating properties, increased by \$6.9 million when comparing periods, due to higher margins earned on non-operating property dispositions in 2005 compared to 2004. For 2005, this category included gains on the sale of four non-operating land parcels and one completed development compared to five non-operating land parcels sold in 2004.

Preferred dividends increased \$3.7 million due to dividends on the \$100.0 million of Series D Preferred Shares issued in December 2004. This increase offsets the interest expense savings described earlier.

Net Income and Other Measures of Operations

Net income available to common shareholders increased \$39.5 million or 43.7% due mainly to increased build-to-suit for sale transactions, gains
associated with a higher volume of property dispositions and gains in 2005 and increased earnings on a full period of 2004 and partial period of
2005 investments (which increased operating revenues greater than property operating expenses).

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2004 to Year Ended December 31, 2003

Revenues

Total revenues decreased \$2.8 million or 2.7% over the same period last year due to lower fee income reported in 2004 compared to 2003, primarily due to lower third-party development activity.

32

In the twelve months of 2004, 95.9% of total revenues of the Company were derived primarily from operating and investment revenue. In 2003, operating and investment revenue as a percentage of total revenues was 88.4%. Operating and investment revenues increased \$5.0 million due to a partial period of revenues on 2004 investments. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in the Company s occupancy rate on in-service properties to 90.4% in 2004 from 93.8% in 2003.

Real estate fee income decreased \$7.9 million due to decreased third party development activity on projects earning development fees in 2004 compared to 2003. This was offset in part by fees of \$1.7 million earned in 2004 relating to an early lease termination.

Operating and Nonoperating Expenses

Real estate tax expense and POL expense, combined, increased by \$6.6 million from year to year. Real estate taxes increased by \$3.9 million due mainly to taxes on 2004 investments. The following is a breakdown of the composition of the Company s POL costs.

	Year Ended December 31, 2004 2003		
Property operating includes property repairs & maintenance, utilities, and other property, bad debt and tenant related costs	\$ 11,391	\$	9,972
Property management includes property management and portfolio construction department costs	6,772		5,472
Asset management includes the cost of property management executives, accounting, acquisitions, dispositions, development and management information systems	10,936		10,962
Total property operating and leasing	\$ 29,099	\$	26,406

\$1.4 million of the increase in POL costs was due to operating costs on the properties due to a full period of operating costs on 2003 investments and a partial period of operating costs on 2004 investments. \$1.3 million of the increase was due to increased payroll and related costs. In connection with development projects and non-operating property acquisitions, the Company capitalized expenses of \$3.3 million and \$2.1 million in 2004 and 2003, respectively that would normally be included in POL costs.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$3.3 million due to increased legal costs, accounting costs and payroll and related costs. The payroll and related costs increase on current employees was largely due to achieving high performance goals related to the Company s pay for performance plan. The legal costs are attributable to collection efforts related to certain bankruptcy claims and certain legal defense efforts. The Company s increased accounting costs relate to the introduction of a new internal audit function and increased external audit costs attributed to the Company s Sarbanes-Oxley Section 404 compliance effort. Expenses associated with corporate administration, finance and investor relations are included in the Company s general and administrative expense.

Depreciation and amortization increased \$4.1 million or 19.4% when comparing 2004 and 2003 due to depreciation and amortization on 2004 investments.

In 2004, the Company recorded an impairment expense for a 17.8 acre land parcel located in a retail and commercial district of Naperville, Illinois which went under contract for sale. Since the carrying value of this land was greater than the expected net sales proceeds, the Company recorded a \$0.9 million impairment of this asset. The

decline in value is attributable to weakening market conditions for retail land in the area. There was no similar impairment recorded in 2003.

Interest income decreased by \$0.5 million in 2004 due to the repayment of certain notes receivable in 2004 that were not replaced.

Interest expense increased by \$7.3 million due to increased average debt balances in 2004 when compared to 2003 (\$874.2 million in 2004 compared to \$774.1 million in 2003) and the delivery of certain large development projects in late 2003 and 2004, reducing capitalized interest expense. Additionally, the Company s weighted average rate including financing costs increased to 5.1% in 2004 compared to 4.6% in 2003. In connection with development projects under construction, the Company capitalized \$6.6 million and \$8.6 million of interest in 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Amortization of deferred financing costs increased \$0.2 million when comparing periods due mainly to a full period of amortization costs associated with new debt issued in 2003 and a partial period of amortization on the 2004 debt issuance. Both of these issuances included interest rate lock settlements of \$1.7 million and \$1.0 million for 2004 and 2003, respectively. These costs are amortized over the term of the debt.

The provision for income tax expense decreased by \$1.4 million when comparing periods due to increased vacancies and legal costs incurred by CRS, the Company s taxable REIT subsidiary, in 2004 compared to 2003.

Equity in net income of affiliates increased \$3.4 million when comparing periods, due to increased CenterPoint Venture sales activity in 2004 compared to 2003.

In 2004, CenterPoint earned gains on the sale of equity interest of \$6.5 million due to the Company s sale of its equity interest in CMC. There was no comparable activity in 2003.

Gains on the sale of real estate from discontinued operations, net of tax, increased by \$21.1 million when comparing 2004 to 2003, due to the increased volume of real estate dispositions between periods. For 2004, discontinued operation gains were recorded on the sale of 35 operating properties compared to 15 operating properties in 2003.

Net income from sold operating properties, net of tax, increased by \$13.7 million when comparing periods. \$6.5 million of the increase is due to termination income from 2004 being included in discontinued operations due to the property being classified as held for sale at December 31, 2005. The remaining difference was due to several properties with significant operations that started in 2004 due to lease-up, acquisitions or completion of development.

Gains on the sale of real estate, net of tax, from non-operating properties, decreased by \$5.2 million when comparing periods, due to the sale of larger land parcels in 2003 at greater gains than 2004 s sales. This category includes five properties sold in 2004 compared to five properties sold in 2003.

In 2003, the Company changed its accounting policy when accounting for the developer notes at properties where the sole source of tax increment is provided by Company-owned land parcels. This change in accounting affected the Company s accounting for sold CIC properties and CIC properties which had operations in 2002. Therefore, the Company recorded income from the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle representing the \$5.9 million increased gains on CIC properties sold prior to January 1, 2003 and \$0.6 million in real estate tax abatements relating to periods prior to January 1, 2003.

Preferred dividends decreased \$7.0 million due to the 2003 redemption of the Company s 8.48% Series A Redeemable Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest (the Series A Preferred Shares). In the second quarter of 2003, the Company recognized a \$3.1 million charge to earnings for the original offering costs associated with the Series A Preferred Shares. The remaining decrease is attributable to preferred dividends saved as a result of the 2003 redemption and the conversion of a portion of the Company s Series B Preferred Shares to common shares in 2004.

Net Income and Other Measures of Operations

Net income available to common shareholders increased \$15.5 million or 20.7% due to increased gains on the sale of real estate and net earnings from a partial period of 2004 investments and a full period of 2003 investments (which increased operating and investing revenue greater than property operating expenses).

Related Party Transactions

In 2005 the Company purchased a portfolio of 5 properties from CenterPoint Venture with a purchase price of \$19.0 million. As a result of the sale, CenterPoint Venture recorded a gain of \$1.6 million. Due to the Company s 25% interest in CenterPoint Venture, the Company deferred its share of the gain in its equity pick-up. This deferred gain amounted to \$0.4 million.

The Company earned fees from CenterPoint Venture totaling \$0.4 million, \$0.6 million and \$2.3 million for acquisitions, administrative services and for property management services for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company had \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, receivable for these fees, included in prepaid expenses and other assets on the Company s balance sheets.

In 2005, the Company closed on the sale of 31 properties to CNTJF, which occurred in three phases. As a result of the sales, the Company deferred \$13.3 million of its gain. \$3.4 million is related to the Company s 5% ownership of CNTJF and is included in investment in affiliate on the balance sheets. The remaining \$10.0 million of deferred gain relates to a short term yield guarantee and is included in accrued expenses on the balance sheets. As of December 31, 2005, the Company s remaining balance on the short term yield guarantee was \$5.3 million. Also, in conjunction with these sales, CNTJF signed a promissory note in the amount of \$3.8 million payable to the Company. The note appears in mortgage and other notes receivable on the Company s balance sheets.

As of December 31, 2005, an additional 9 properties are under contract to be sold to CNTJF in the first quarter of 2006. In addition to the Company s 5% ownership interest in CNTJF, the Company is managing the portfolio for fees. Fees earned for the year ended December 31, 2005 amount to \$0.2 million. At December 31, 2005, the Company had \$47 thousand receivable for these fees included in prepaid expenses and other assets on the Company s balance sheets.

In 2004, the Company and its affiliates sold a portfolio of 10 properties, totaling \$108.4 million to Benderson Harlem Associates LP. Legg Mason advised and brokered the transaction on behalf of the buyer. One of the Company s trustees, Thomas Robinson, is a current Managing Director in the Corporate Finance Real Estate Group of Legg Mason Wood Walker, Inc. Mr. Robinson abstained from the voting on this transaction. This transaction was approved by a unanimous vote of the remaining trustees. Mr. Robinson s independence under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange and the Securities and Exchange Commission was not impacted by this sale.

In 2004, the Company sold its interest in CMC to CalEast, the Company s joint venture partner in CenterPoint Venture. The Company sold its interest for \$42.8 million and recorded a gain related to the sale of \$6.5 million.

In December 2004, CenterPoint Venture entered into a joint venture with WisPark Land Company. Simultaneously with the closing, CenterPoint transferred its undeveloped land holdings located in Gurnee, Illinois to the new venture and recorded \$23 thousand of profit and deferred \$6 thousand due to the Company s ownership percentage.

In December 2004, the Company entered into a joint venture with UBS Real Estate to develop CenterPoint Intermodal Center Rochelle, a 362 acre planned industrial park located less than one mile from the Union Pacific Railroad s new intermodal facility. The Company sold land and a building to the Rochelle Venture and recorded no gain upon sale as the land and building were sold at cost.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Operating Cash Flow and Capital Recycling

Cash flow generated from Company operations has historically been utilized for working capital purposes and distributions, while proceeds from stabilized asset dispositions, supplemented by unsecured financings and periodic capital issuances, have been used to fund, on a long term basis, acquisitions and other capital costs. However, in the twelve months of 2005, cash flows from operations provided only \$68.8 million, which was less than the \$89.9 million in common and preferred distributions. Cash flow from operations excludes all gains on the sale of real estate and related assets, which are a large portion of the Company s earnings. The Company expects future operating cash flow and capital recycling activities to be sufficient to fund distributions and a portion of future investment activities.

In 2005, the Company s investment activities included acquisitions of \$208.2 million, advances for construction in progress of \$77.4 million, and improvements and additions to properties of \$22.0 million. These activities were funded with proceeds from the disposition of real estate of \$501.3 million and the repayment of mortgage and notes receivable of \$66.0 million. Turnover, or the annual volume of sales, is driven by the volume of available higher yielding new investments. Management believes the systematic redeployment of capital from lower into better yielding assets not only reduces the requirement for external capital, providing improved funding flexibility, but enhances cash flow. For a reconciliation of the proceeds used for acquisitions and proceeds received for dispositions, see Note 17 to the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Equity and Share Activity

During 2005, the Company paid distributions on common shares of \$83.6 million or \$1.71 per share. Also, the Company paid dividends of \$1.0 million on its Series B Preferred Shares or \$3.75 per share. Finally, the Company paid dividends of \$5.4 million on its Series D Preferred Shares, equivalent to \$53.77 per share. The following factors, among others, will affect the future availability of funds for distribution: (i) scheduled increases in base rents under existing leases, (ii) changes in minimum base rents attributable to replacement of existing leases with new or replacement leases, (iii) restrictions under certain covenants of the Company s unsecured line of credit (such as the requirement to distribute no more than 90% of the Company s funds from operations) and (iv) terms of future debt agreements.

During 2005, the Company purchased 640,600 common shares of the Company totaling \$26.1 million in open market transactions. These shares were purchased as part of the Company s \$100.0 million share repurchase program, announced May 19, 2004.

Debt Capacity

The Company seeks to maintain capacity substantially in excess of anticipated requirements, considering all available funding sources. At December 31, 2005, the Company s debt constituted approximately 21.2% of its total market capitalization of \$3.2 billion.

Liquidity

The Company believes it has adequate liquidity and capital resources available to meet its current needs. The Company maintains a \$250.0 million unsecured credit facility, and the Company also has access to capital through CenterPoint Venture which maintains a \$150.0 million line of credit subscription facility and through Rochelle Development Venture which maintains a \$30.0 million line of credit subscription facility. The interest rate on the Company s credit facility is LIBOR plus 80 basis points, and the facility expires on June 30, 2006. The participants in the credit facility include: Bank One Capital Markets, Inc., as sole Lead Arranger/Book Manager; Bank One NA, as Administrative Agent and Lender; Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent and Lender; Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Syndication Agent and Lender; Commerzbank AG, New York Branch, as Documentation Agent and Lender; Suntrust Bank, as Managing Agent and Lender, and several other lenders from time to time.

In addition to its line of credit, the Company supplements internally generated funds from disposition activities and retained cash flow with proceeds from long term financings. The following are transactions concluded in 2005 that contributed to the Company s liquidity:

On January 4, 2005, the Company marketed \$23.3 million in tax-exempt bonds, issued by the City of Chicago, Illinois. These adjustable rate revenue bonds are enhanced by a letter of credit. These bonds were acquired by the Company at the time of the portfolio acquisition from Prime Group Realty Trust, completed in October 2004. The bonds were not marketed by Prime Group Realty Trust prior to the acquisition. The bonds bear interest at a weekly adjustable interest rate as determined by the remarketing agent (3.56% as of December 31, 2005) and terminate on June 1, 2022.

On August 23, 2005, the Company reduced its available line of credit facility from \$350.0 million to \$250.0 million due to the near term excess liquidity and to save on facility costs.

Also, in the twelve months of 2005, the Company repaid the following debt balances:

The Company paid off its outstanding \$100.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes, which were bearing interest at a rate of 6.75%, on April 1, 2005, the maturity date of the notes.

In conjunction with a property sale on May 19, 2005, the Company paid off \$19.5 million of mortgage notes payable, which was assumed as part of an acquisition earlier in the year.

On June 30, 2005, the Company paid off a mortgage note payable related to a property in Oak Creek, Wisconsin. The outstanding principle balance amounted to \$1.8 million and was set to mature on August 1, 2005.

The Company reduced its non-recourse tax increment financing by \$13.1 million upon the sale of three CIC properties.

Risks, Uncertainties and Capital Opportunities

The Company has considered its short-term (one year or less) capital needs, in conjunction with its estimated future cash flows from operations and other expected sources. The Company believes that its ability to fund operating expenses, building improvements, debt service requirements and the minimum distribution required to maintain the Company s REIT qualification under the Internal Revenue Code will be met by recurring operating and investment revenue and other real estate income.

Long-term (greater than one year) capital needs for property acquisitions, scheduled debt maturities, major redevelopment projects, expansions and construction of build-to-suit properties will be supported initially by disposition proceeds and supplemented by draws on the Company s unsecured line of credit, followed by the issuance of long-term unsecured indebtedness and, if necessary, equity issuance.

The Company s ability to meet its short and long-term liquidity needs will depend on its future operating performance, which is subject to future economic conditions and to financial, business and other factors, many of which are beyond the Company s control. The most material of these factors are discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Inflation

Inflation has not had a significant impact on the Company because of the relatively low inflation rates in the Company s markets of operation during its relevant operating history. Most of the Company s leases require the tenants to pay their share of operating expenses, including common area maintenance, real estate taxes and insurance, thereby reducing the Company s exposure to increases in costs and operating expenses resulting from

37

inflation. In addition, many of the leases are for remaining terms less than five years which may enable the Company to replace existing leases with new leases at higher base rental rates if rents of existing leases are below the then-existing market rate.

Contractual Obligations

The following table discloses aggregate information about the Company s contractual obligations and the periods in which payments are due. The Company has excluded information on its purchase of maintenance services for its operating properties. The maintenance agreements are not long-term in nature.

		Payments Due by Period Less than					more than		
	Total		1 year		1-3 years ars in thousand	s)	3-5 years		5 years
Long-term debt obligations (1)	\$ 800,378	\$	56,737	\$	93,700	\$	344,896	\$	305,045
Operating and lease obligations									
Purchase obligations (2)	103,881		103,881						
Long-term liabilities									
Total	\$ 904,259	\$	160,618	\$	93,700	\$	344,896	\$	305,045

⁽¹⁾ The long-term debt obligations include both principal and interest amounts which are payable in the specified periods.

Off-Balance Sheet Financings

The Company has no material off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on the Company s financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Recent Pronouncements

In March 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FIN 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations (FIN 47), an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143, Asset Retirement Obligations (FAS 143). FIN 47 provides clarification of the term conditional asset retirement obligation as used in FAS 143, defined as a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and/or method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the company. Under this

⁽²⁾ The purchase obligations include property development construction contracts outstanding as of December 31, 2005

standard, a company must record a liability for a conditional asset retirement obligation if the fair value of the obligation can be reasonably estimated. FIN 47 became effective in the Company s fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2005. Certain properties of the Company contain asbestos. The Company s policy is to keep asbestos appropriately contained, in accordance with current environmental regulations. The Company does address asbestos in certain circumstances depending on the condition of the materials and depending on the investment needs of the property. The Company adopted FIN 47 and the initial application did not have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2005 the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued FAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections replacement of APB No. 20 (Accounting Changes) and FAS 3 (Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements). This statement requires companies to apply changes in accounting principle to all periods presented as if the accounting had been in place in those periods as long as the periods-specific effects of the cumulative effect can be determined. This guidance applies to voluntary changes in accounting principle and

38

changes required by new accounting pronouncements that do not include specific transition provisions. This statement also carries forward without change the guidance contained in Opinion 20 for reporting the correction of errors in previously issued financial statements and a change in accounting estimate. This statement shall be effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005.

In June 2005, the FASB ratified the consensus reached by the EITF regarding EITF No. 05-6, Determining the Amortization Period for Leasehold Improvements. The guidance requires that leasehold improvements acquired in a business combination, or purchased subsequent to the inception of a lease, be amortized over the lesser of the useful life of the assets or a term that includes renewals that are reasonable assured at the date of the business combination or purchase. The guidance is effective for periods beginning after June 29, 2005. EITF 05-6 does not impact the Company s results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

FASB Statement Number 123(R) (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (FAS 123R) was issued on December 16, 2004. FAS 123R requires companies to expense the fair value of employee stock options and other forms of stock based compensation. As revised by the February 14, 2005 meeting of the Financial Accounting Standards Board, this pronouncement is now effective for annual periods beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company plans to adopt the provisions of FAS 123R in 2006 using the modified prospective application method and expects that expenses related to stock options previously disclosed in the footnotes will be consistent with expenses recorded within the statement of operations on a prospective basis.

Forward Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The Company's actual results could differ materially from those set forth in the forward looking statements as a result of various factors, including, but not limited to, uncertainties affecting real estate businesses generally (such as entry into new leases, renewals of leases, inflation and dependence on tenants' business operations and the effects of the state of the economy on tenants and potential tenants), risks relating to acquisition, construction and development activities, including risks relating to 1031 tax-free exchange transaction, possible environmental liabilities, risks relating to leverage, debt service and obligations with respect to the payment of dividends (including availability of financing terms acceptable to the Company and sensitivity of the Company's operations to fluctuations in interest rates), dependence on the primary market in which the Company is properties are located, the potential adverse impact of the market interest rates on the cost of borrowings by the Company and the other factors discussed above in Item 1A. Risk Factors.

39

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

CenterPoint is exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates. The Company has used short term interest rate lock agreements prior to planned debt issuances in order to mitigate a portion of its risk.

The Company assesses its risk in relation to market conditions, and a discussion about the Company s exposure to possible changes in market conditions follows. This discussion involves the effect on earnings, cash flows and the value of the Company s financial instruments as a result of possible future market condition changes. The discussions below include forward looking statements regarding market risk, but management is not forecasting the occurrence of these market changes. The actual earnings and cash flows of the Company may differ materially from these projections discussed below.

At December 31, 2005, \$169.1 million or 24.8% of the Company s debt was variable rate debt and \$513.4 million or 75.2% of the debt was fixed rate debt. Based on the amount of variable debt outstanding as of December 31, 2005, a 10% increase or decrease in the Company s interest rate on the Company s variable rate debt would decrease or increase, respectively, future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$0.7 million per year. A similar change in interest rates on the Company s fixed rate debt would not increase or decrease the future earnings of the Company during the term of the debt, but would affect the fair value of the debt. An increase in interest rates would decrease the fair value of the Company s fixed rate debt.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

See the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements on Page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Company s consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosures Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that

our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of December 31, 2005 to ensure that information the Company is required to disclose in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission and were effective as of December 31, 2005 to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of the Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(d) or 15d-15(d) that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2005 that affected, or were reasonably likely to affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation

40

of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting using criteria established in <i>Internal Control</i>	Integrated Framework issued
by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation under the	e framework in Internal Control
Integrated Framework, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effect	ive as of December 31, 2005.

Our management s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears herein.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

41

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following is a summary of the name, age and principal occupation or employment for the past five years of each trustee and each executive officer of the Company, as of March 9, 2006:

Name	Age	Position
Michael M. Mullen	51	Chief Executive Officer and Trustee
Paul S. Fisher	50	President, Chief Financial Officer and Trustee
Rockford O. Kottka	55	Executive Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Accounting Officer
James N. Clewlow	43	Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer
Sean P. Maher	42	Executive Vice President, Portfolio Operations
Peter H. Schaff	47	Trustee
Russell L. Blackwell	48	Trustee
Daniel C. Witte	34	Trustee
Ernest Fiorante	36	Trustee
Kimball C. Woodrow	57	Trustee

Michael M. Mullen. Mr. Mullen was named Chief Executive Officer of the Company effective January 1, 2005. He served as President of the Company since December 2001 and has been a trustee of the Company since May 1999. He held the position of Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company from July 1997 to December 2001, and, from August 1993 to July 1997, was the Executive Vice President-Marketing and Acquisitions and Chief Investment and Development Officer of the Company. He was a co-founder of FCLS and served as its Vice President-Sales with responsibility for leasing, build-to-suit sales and acquisitions from 1987 to 1993. Mr. Mullen graduated from Loyola University in 1975 with a Bachelor s degree in Finance. He serves on the board of directors of Brauvin Trust, a privately held retail real estate investment trust.

Paul S. Fisher. Mr. Fisher was appointed President of the Company effective January 1, 2005. He has been Chief Financial Officer of the Company since 1991 and previously served as General Counsel and Secretary from 1991 to 2005. He has been a trustee of the Company since May 1999. He was appointed the President and Chief Executive Officer of all of the Company s subsidiaries in December 2001. Between 1988 and 1991, Mr. Fisher was Vice President-Finance and Acquisitions of Miglin-Beitler, Inc., a Chicago-based office developer. From 1986 to 1988, Mr. Fisher was Vice President-Corporate Finance, at The First National Bank of Chicago. From 1982 through 1985, he was Vice President-Partnership Finance, at VMS Realty, a Chicago-based real estate syndication company. Mr. Fisher graduated from The University of Notre Dame, summa cum laude, with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics in 1977 and was elected to Phi Beta Kappa. Mr. Fisher received his Doctor of Law degree from The University of Chicago School of Law in 1980. He serves on the advisory board of the Guthrie Center for Real Estate Research at the Kellogg Graduate School of Management and on the board of directors of Eagle Hospitality Properties Trust.

Rockford O. Kottka. Mr. Kottka has been Executive Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer of the Company since July 2000 and Treasurer of the Company since 1989. From 1978 to 1989, Mr. Kottka served as the Vice President and Controller of Globe Industries, Inc., a Chicago based manufacturer of roofing and automotive acoustical materials. Mr. Kottka graduated from St. Joseph s Calumet College in 1975 with a Bachelor of Science degree in Accountancy. Mr. Kottka is a certified public accountant. He serves on the advisory board of Welton Enterprises, a privately held real estate company located in Madison, Wisconsin, and is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the Illinois CPA Society.

James N. Clewlow. Mr. Clewlow was named Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer of the Company effective January 1, 2005. He served as Senior Vice President of Investments from 1997 to 2005. Additionally, he manages CenterPoint Venture, LLC, a joint venture of the Company and CalEast Industrial. Mr. Clewlow has been involved in industrial real estate since graduating from the University of Illinois in 1985. He worked for CB Commercial as an industrial real estate broker for 11 years, serving the Chicago area. Mr. Clewlow

42

received his Masters in Business Administration in 2004 from the Kellogg Graduate School of Management at Northwestern University.

Sean P. Maher. Mr. Maher was named Executive Vice President, Portfolio Operations of the Company on April 15, 2005. Prior to April 15, 2005, Mr. Maher served as the Company s Senior Vice President of Development and as a member of the Company s Investment Committee since 2000, responsible for numerous investments and developments. Mr. Maher initially joined the Company in 1997 as Vice President of Investments and has been active in Chicago industrial real estate for 19 years. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Maher was a real estate broker at CB Richard Ellis and Trammel Crow. Mr. Maher received his Bachelor Degree in Business Administration from St. Norbert College in 1986.

Peter H. Schaff. Mr. Schaff was appointed as a trustee of the Company in connection with the acquisition of the Company by CalEast. Mr. Schaff has served as the Chief Executive Officer of LaSalle s North American Private Equity business since 2005 and as the Chief Executive Officer of LaSalle s U.S. Private Equity business since 2001. Mr. Schaff has been an International Director of LaSalle since 1999. In addition, Mr. Schaff has been on LaSalle s Global Management Committee since 2001 and its North America Private Equity Investment and Allocation Committees since 1999. From 1991 to 1998, Mr. Schaff was responsible for various client portfolios as well as new product initiatives, and from 1984 to 1990, he was responsible for property acquisitions in various regions of the country, including the Midwest and Mid-Atlantic regions. Mr. Schaff joined LaSalle Partners, Inc., the predecessor-in-interest to Jones Lang LaSalle Incorporated, in 1984. Prior to 1984, Mr. Schaff was a Banking Officer of Continental Illinois National Bank from 1980 to 1984. Mr. Schaff received a Masters in Business Administration degree from the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business and an undergraduate degree from Stanford University.

Russell L. Blackwell. Mr. Blackwell was appointed as a trustee of the Company in connection with the acquisition of the Company by CalEast. Mr. Blackwell has been a Managing Director of LaSalle since June 2002 and Chief Executive Officer of CalEast Industrial since its inception in April of 1998. Mr. Blackwell also served as the Regional Asset Manager for the Ameritech Project from June 1996 to March 1998 and as Regional Manager for the Leasing and Management Group for properties in Ohio, Atlanta and Texas from June 1993 to June 1996. Mr. Blackwell joined LaSalle Partners, the predecessor-in-interest to Jones Lang LaSalle Incorporated, in 1988. Prior to 1988, Mr. Blackwell served as Director of Financial Planning for CIGNA in Atlanta, Georgia. Mr. Blackwell is an active member of the National Association of Industrial and Office Properties, as well as the National Association of Real Estate Investment Managers and the National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries. Mr. Blackwell graduated from the University of Notre Dame, South Bend, Indiana with a Bachelor of Science degree in economics with a concentration in labor relations.

Daniel C. Witte. Mr. Witte was appointed as a trustee of the Company in connection with the acquisition of the Company by CalEast. Mr. Witte is currently a Managing Director of the Acquisitions group for LaSalle, where he began in 1992. Mr. Witte focuses on investment in office and industrial properties and has a specialty in initiating, structuring, and overseeing joint ventures with public and private operators. Mr. Witte received a Masters in Business Administration degree with a specialization in finance and real estate from the Kellogg Graduate School of Management of Northwestern University and a Bachelor of Science degree in finance from the University of Illinois.

Ernest Fiorante. Mr. Fiorante was appointed as a trustee of the Company in connection with the acquisition of the Company by CalEast. Mr. Fiorante has been a Senior Vice President of LaSalle Investment Management, Inc. since June 2004, and Chief Financial Officer of CalEast Industrial Investors, LLC since 2002. Prior to serving as Senior Vice President of LaSalle, Mr. Fiorante served in the Jones Lang LaSalle Global Treasury group from 1994 to 2002, most recently serving as Assistant Treasurer. Mr. Fiorante joined LaSalle Partners, the predecessor-in-interest to Jones Lang LaSalle Incorporated, in 1994. Prior to 1994, Mr. Fiorante served in the Business Assurance practice of Coopers and Lybrand from 1991 to 1994. Mr. Fiorante received his Masters in Business Administration degree from the Kellogg Graduate School of Management at Northwestern University and an undergraduate degree from DePaul University, and is a certified public accountant.

43

Kimball C. Woodrow. Mr. Woodrow was appointed as a trustee of the Company in connection with the acquisition of the Company by CalEast. Mr. Woodrow currently serves as Managing Director and Chief Operating Officer of LaSalle. Mr. Woodrow has served as LaSalle s Managing Director and Chief Operating Officer since 2001, and from 2000 to 2005, Mr. Woodrow served as head of LaSalle s Portfolio Management. Prior to joining LaSalle, Mr. Woodrow was a member of Jones Lang LaSalle s Property Management and Leasing group from 1982 to 1989. Mr. Woodrow joined LaSalle Partners, the predecessor-in-interest to Jones Lang LaSalle Incorporated, in 1982. Prior to 1982, Mr. Woodrow served as a director for Johnson, Johnson & Roy, a privately held consulting company based in Ann Arbor, Michigan, from 1978 to 1982. Mr. Woodrow holds a Masters Degree in Urban Planning and a Bachelor of Arts from the University of Michigan.

Board of Trustees

The Company s trustees were appointed, in connection with the acquisition of the Company by CalEast, pursuant to the Company s Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust. The number of trustees that serve on the Board may be increased or decreased pursuant to the Company s bylaws. The Company s Board of Trustees has the power to appoint executive officers. Each executive officer will hold office for the term determined by the Company s Board of Trustees and until such person s successor is chosen and qualified or until such person s death, resignation or removal from the Company.

Audit Committee

The Company is not required to have a separately-designated standing Audit Committee composed of independent trustees, as its securities are not listed on a national securities exchange. However, the Company s Board of Trustees established a separately-designated standing Audit Committee in connection with the acquisition by CalEast, with Ernest Fiorante and Kimball C. Woodrow serving as committee members. The Board of Trustees has determined that Mr. Fiorante is an audit committee financial expert, as such term is defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Each of Messrs. Fiorante and Woodrow is employed by LaSalle, and is therefore not independent.

Code of Ethics and Business Conduct

All of the Company s trustees and employees, including its Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and other senior financial officers, are required to abide by the Company s Code of Ethics and Business Conduct. The Code of Ethics is available on the Company s web site at www.CenterPoint-Prop.com. The Code of Ethics and Business Conduct covers all areas of professional conduct, including responsibilities to employees, customers, consumers, business partners and shareholders, conflicts of interest, fair dealing and the protection of confidential information, as well as the strict adherence to all laws and regulations applicable to the conduct of the Company s business. The Company intends to disclose future amendments to, or waivers from, certain provisions of the Code of Ethics and Business Conduct for executive officers and trustees on the Company s website within four business days following the date of such amendment or waiver.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth information concerning compensation awarded to the Company $\,$ s Chief Executive Officer and the four other most highly compensated executive officers for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003:

		Annual		Long Term Compensation			
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary(\$)(1)	Bonus(\$)	Other Annual Compensation (\$)(2)	Restricted Share Award (\$) (3)	Securities Underlying Options (#)	All Other Compensation (\$ (4)
Michael M. Mullen Chief Executive	2005	358,800	824,393	62,451	594,093	161,000	9,000
Officer	2004 2003	358,800 355,925	676,829 490.061	64,331 93,984	533,250 552,641	144,500 87,943	8,000 6,000
	2003	333,923	490.001	93,964	332,041	67,943	0,000
Paul S. Fisher	2005	337,491	714,843	47,904	426,685	144,900	9,000
President and Chief Financial Officer	2004 2003	337,459 334,755	569,949 436,331	52,172 61,413	382,992 497,354	130,050 77,149	6,500 6,000
i manetar Officer	2003	331,733	130,331	01,113	177,331	77,119	0,000
Rockford O. Kottka Executive Vice	2005	255,938	526,900	29,956	241,506	80,500	9,000
President,	2004	244,792	248,063	30,197	216,776	72,250	8,000
Treasurer and Chief Accounting Officer	2003	223,192	233,192	38,557	276,320	46,625	7,000
James N. Clewlow Executive Vice	2005	273,750	267,221	26,956	241,506	-0-	7,000
President and Chief Investment	2004	246,875	108,327	30,197	216,776	-0-	6,500
Officer	2003	227,207	187,865	38,557	276,320	-0-	6,000
Sean P. Maher Executive Vice	2005	270,525	284,768	26,956	241,506	-0-	7,000
President,	2004	240,833	209,790	30,197	216,776	-0-	6,500
Portfolio Operations	2003	219,105	104,083	38,557	276,320	-0-	6,000

Includes amounts deferred at the election of the named executive officer under the Company s 401(k) Plan.

Represents dividend payments on restricted shares. **(2)**

(3) All restricted shares vested in connection with the acquisition of the Company by CalEast on March 8, 2006 and were converted into the right to receive the merger consideration.

Represents the Company $\, s \, matching \, contribution \, to \, the \, 401(k) \, Plan.$

Option Grants

The following table sets forth, for the Company s Chief Executive Officer and each of the other executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table, information with respect to option grants during the last fiscal year. All of the Company s outstanding options were terminated in connection with the acquisition of the Company by CalEast for a cash payment equal to the difference between the \$50 per share merger consideration and the exercise price.

Option Grants in Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2005

	Inc	dividual Grants			
		Percent of Total			
	Number of	Options			
	Securities Underlying	Granted to Employees in the	Even	cise or Base	
	Options	Year Ended December	Exer	Price	Expiration
Name	Granted (#)	31, 2005	(\$/Share)	Date
Michael M. Mullen	161,000	41.67%	\$	44.99	3/8/2015
Paul S. Fisher	144,900	37.50%	\$	44.99	3/8/2015
Rockford O. Kottka	80,500	20.83%	\$	44.99	3/8/2015
James N. Clewlow	-0-	0%			
Sean P. Maher	-0-	0%			

Aggregated Option Exercises in Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2005

Shares Acquired	Value
on Exercise (#)	Realized (\$)
-0-	
84,066	2,296,153(1)
120,000	2,627,573(3)
-0-	
-0-	
	Acquired on Exercise (#) -0- 84,066 120,000 -0-

⁽¹⁾ Based on the difference between exercise price of \$16.0313 and \$16.9375 and the closing price of the Common Shares as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on the dates of exercise, February 18, 2005 which was \$45.29.

as report	Based on the difference between exercise price of \$16.0313, \$17.4688, and \$22.95 and the closing price of the Common Shares ed on the New York Stock Exchage on the dates of exercise, September 1, 2005 which was \$43.00, September 2, 2005 which was and September 6, 2005 which was \$43.155.

Based on the difference between exercise price of \$16.0313, \$17.4688, and \$22.95 and the closing price

(2)

The Company s Chief Executive Officer and each of the other executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table, received the following amounts (based on the merger consideration) with respect to their existing options to purchase our common shares:

	Share Option
Name	Amounts (1)(\$)
Michael M. Mullen	23,275,978
Paul S. Fisher	26,041,761
Rockford O. Kottka	5,243,946
James N. Clewlow	0
Sean P. Maher	85,601

45

(1) Represents the merger consideration less the applicable option exercise prices with respect to all outstanding options held by such executive officer.

Compensation of Trustees

In 2005, each trustee who was not an employee of the Company was entitled to receive an annual fee of \$40,000 (except for Martin Barber and John S. Gates, Jr., the Co-Chairmen, who received \$60,000), at least 50% of which was payable in Common Shares. The trustees were entitled to elect to receive up to 100% of the annual fee in Common Shares. The Company also paid its non-employee trustees a fee of \$2,500 for attendance at each meeting of the Board and the Company reimbursed independent trustees for travel expenses incurred in connection with their activities on behalf of the Company. Under the Company s 2003 Omnibus Employee Retention and Incentive Plan (the 2003 Plan) each independent trustee was awarded 472 Common Shares on May 16, 2005, except Martin Barber who was awarded 708 Common Shares and Donald A. King, Jr. who was awarded 944 Common Shares, in lieu of the cash portion of his annual retainer fee. Trustees who were employees of the Company were not paid any trustees fees.

Other fees paid to non-employee trustees in 2005 included an annual fee of \$7,500 for the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, \$15,000 for the Chairman of the Committee and \$20,000 for the independent member of the Asset Allocation Committee. John S. Gates, Jr., a non-independent member of the Asset Allocation Committee, did not receive an annual fee. For attendance at committee meetings, a payment of \$3,000 was made to each member of the Audit Committee and \$2,500 for each member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Compensation Committee. No fee was paid for attendance at the Asset Allocation Committee meetings. In addition, each member of the Committee of Independent Trustees, which was formed for the purpose of considering the acquisition of the Company by CalEast, was entitled to receive a special fee. The Company paid \$5,000 per meeting to the Chairman of the Committee, and \$3,500 per meeting to the other members of the Committee.

Non-management trustees were eligible for the grant of restricted shares under the 2003 Plan. For the most recently completed fiscal year, under the 2003 Plan, each non-management trustee was granted 1,000 restricted shares, except Martin Barber, John S. Gates, Jr. and Robert Stovall who were each awarded 1,300 restricted shares on May 16, 2005 at \$42.36 per share. All restricted shares vested in connection with the acquisition of the Company by CalEast on March 8, 2006.

Non-management trustees were also eligible for the grant of options under the 2003 Plan. For the most recently completed fiscal year, under the 2003 Plan, each non-management trustee was granted options on May 16, 2005, to acquire 10,000 Common Shares, except Martin Barber, John S. Gates, Jr. and Robert Stovall who were each awarded options to acquire 13,000 Common Shares at \$42.36 per share. All options vested in connection with the acquisition of the Company by CalEast and were cancelled in exchange for a cash payment equal to the difference between the \$50 per share merger consideration and the exercise price.

The Company does not intend to pay the trustees an annual fee following the merger. However, to the extent that the trustees are neither employees of the Company nor the Company s equity investors, such trustees may receive fees in the future.

Executive Employment Agreements

In connection with the acquisition of the Company by CalEast, CalEast and the Company entered into Employment Agreements with each of Michael M. Mullen and Paul S. Fisher, dated March 7, 2006, which supersede the executives prior agreements. Pursuant to the Employment Agreements, Mr. Mullen will serve as Chief Executive Officer and Mr. Fisher will serve as President and Chief Financial Officer. Each executive officer s employment agreement includes the following principal terms:

(1) Represents the merger consideration less the applicable option exercise prices with respect to fall fourtstan

employment for an initial term commencing at the effective time of the merger and ending on June 30, 2011, with automatic annual renewal commencing on June 30 2011, and each succeeding June 30th thereafter unless 90 days prior written notice of non-renewal by either party is provided to the other party;

an annual base salary, which will initially be \$350,000 for each of Messrs. Mullen and Fisher;

annual cash bonuses, as follows: (i) on or around the effective date of the merger, a cash bonus equal to the amount that the executive would have earned had the merger not occurred and based on the performance multiple derived from the performance of the Company and the executive during 2005; (ii) on or around July 1, 2006, a cash bonus based on the executive starget annual bonus award (as set forth in the employment agreements) and performance multiple as of June 30, 2006, times 50%; and (iii) each year during the executive s employment term, eligibility to receive an annual cash bonus based on the executive starget annual bonus award, the executive s performance and the results of the Company with respect to annual goals, as determined by the Board of Trustees in its sole discretion. The target annual bonus award will initially be \$507,500 for each of Messrs. Mullen and Fisher, and may be increased or decreased thereafter, but not below such amounts, as determined by the Board of Trustees in its sole discretion;

a one-time award of Unvested Class A Units of Solstice under the Company s Initial Incentive Award Plan (a component plan of the RIECP) with an initial value of approximately \$2.0 million in the case of Mr. Mullen, and \$1.8 million in the case of Mr. Fisher;

eligibility to receive equity-based incentives in Solstice following consummation of the merger pursuant to the Company s newly-created Management Incentive Plan (MIP);

a required investment in Solstice of approximately \$5.0 million in the case of Mr. Mullen, \$5.2 million in the case of Mr. Fisher;

other benefits, including: (i) life, disability, medical insurance and other welfare benefit plans maintained by the Company for the benefit of its executives; (ii) participation in the Company s qualified 401(k) plan and all other savings and retirement plans maintained by the Company for the benefit of its executives; (iii) paid vacation days and holidays in accordance with the Company s policies; (iv) reimbursement for travel, entertainment and other business expenses reasonably incurred by the executive in connection with the performance of his duties; (v) payment by the Company of club dues, membership fees and other related or similar club expenses, including, without limitation, initiation fees and entertainment expenses for memberships in professional or social clubs or other organizations approved by the Board of Trustees, in its sole discretion; (vi) use of an automobile, provided by the Company and consistent with its policy, including automobile insurance coverage and reimbursement for fuel and maintenance; (vii) reimbursement for reasonable tax preparation costs; and (viii) office space, secretarial support and other assistance reasonably necessary for the executive to perform his duties. In addition, Mr. Fisher s employment agreement provides for payment by the Company of his membership dues and fees to the University Club;

severance benefits in the event that the executive s employment is terminated without Cause or by the executive for Good Reason, including the following: (i) payment of the executive s pro rata share of his annual bonus award (calculated in accordance with the terms of the executive s employment agreement); (ii) monthly payments equal to the sum of the executive s monthly base salary in effect on the termination date plus one-twelfth of the executive s actual annual bonus award for the prior year, for a 24-month period; (iii) eligibility to continue to receive group health coverage, at the same cost to the executive prior to termination, for a maximum period following termination as specified in the employment agreements; (iv) accelerated vesting of certain equity-based interests in the Company (as described in the employment agreements);

(1) Represents the merger consideration less the applicable option exercise prices with respect to 1all Boutstan

and (v) a put option to require, by providing to Solstice a notice within 30 days after the termination date, Solstice to purchase within 30 days all of the vested

47

(1) Represents the merger consideration less the applicable option exercise prices with respect to 1all 4outstan

equity interests held by the executive as of the termination date, at fair market value (as determined by the Board of Trustees);

severance benefits in the event that the executive s employment is terminated because either the Company or the executive elects not to renew the employment agreement, including the following: (i) monthly payments equal to the sum of the executive s monthly base salary in effect on the termination date plus one-twelfth of the executive s actual annual bonus award for the prior year, for a 12-month period; (ii) eligibility to continue to receive group health coverage, at the same cost to the executive prior to termination, for a maximum period following termination as specified in the employment agreements; (iii) accelerated vesting of certain equity-based interests in the Company (as described in the employment agreements); and (iv) a put option to require, by providing to Solstice a notice within 30 days after the termination date, Solstice to purchase within 30 days all of the vested equity interests held by the executive as of the termination date, at fair market value (as determined by the Board of Trustees);

severance benefits in the event of a Qualifying Termination (other than termination of employment resulting from either party electing not to renew the employment agreement within twenty-four (24) months of a change in control), including the following: (i) payment of the executive s pro rata share of his annual bonus award (calculated in accordance with the terms of the executive s employment agreement); (ii) payment of an amount equal to three times the sum of the executive s base salary and actual annual bonus award; (iii) accelerated vesting of certain equity-based interests in the Company; (iv) eligibility to continue to receive group health coverage (at the same cost to the executive prior to termination) for a maximum of 36 months following termination of his employment; (v) outplacement benefits for a period of up to two years (such benefits not to exceed 25% of the executive s base salary); and (vi) other compensation and benefits to which the executive already has a vested right;

severance benefits in the event of a Qualifying Termination due to termination of employment resulting from either party electing not to renew the employment agreement within twenty-four (24) months of a change in control, including the following: (i) payment of an amount equal to two times the sum of the executive s base salary and actual annual bonus award; (ii) accelerated vesting of certain equity-based interests in the Company; (iii) eligibility to continue to receive group health coverage (at the same cost to the executive prior to termination) for a maximum of 24 months following termination of his employment; and (iv) other compensation and benefits to which the executive already has a vested right;

a non-compete clause, effective during the executive s employment term and for a period of up to two years thereafter;

a non-solicitation clause, effective during the executive s employment term and for a period of three years thereafter; and

nondisclosure and nonuse of confidential information clauses, effective during the executive s employment term and thereafter.

The employment agreements are terminable upon the occurrence of any of the following: (i) the executive s death or disability; (ii) by the Company other than for Cause, upon 60 days advance written notice by the Company; (iii) at the executive s election for Good Reason where such termination is not a Qualifying Termination, upon 60 days advance written notice by the executive; (iv) at the executive s election for Good Reason where such termination is a Qualifying Termination, upon 30 days advance written notice by the executive; (v) at the Company s election for Cause; or (vi) at the executive s election other than for Good Reason, upon three months advance written notice by the executive.

(1) Represents the merger consideration less the applicable option exercise prices with respect to 1all 5 outstan

Cause is defined in the employment agreements as the occurrence of any one or more of the following, as determined in the good faith and reasonable judgment of the Board of Trustees: (i) the executive s commission of a

48

(1) Represents the merger consideration less the applicable option exercise prices with respect to 1all 6 outstan

felony; (ii) the executive s commission of fraud with respect to the Company, Solstice or any of their subsidiaries; (iii) the executive s misappropriation of any material funds or assets of the Company, Solstice or any of their subsidiaries or any of their employees, customers or suppliers; (iv) the executive s gross negligence or willful misconduct in the performance of his duties, which causes financial or reputational harm to the business or operations of the Company, Solstice or any of their subsidiaries, and which, if curable, has not been cured within 15 days written notice thereof from the Board of Trustees; (v) the executive s repeated failure to perform his duties after written notice from the Board of Trustees; or (vi) any other material breach by the executive of the employment agreement or any policy of the Company, Solstice or any of their subsidiaries, and which, if curable, has not been cured within 15 days written notice thereof from the Board of Trustees.

Good Reason is defined in the employment agreements as the occurrence, without the executive s prior written consent, of any one or more of the following: (i) a relocation of the executive of more than 50 miles from the place where the executive was located at the time of a Change in Control (as defined in the employment agreements); (ii) a reduction in the executive s base salary below the base salary on the effective date of the merger; (iii) a material reduction in the benefits provided to the executive pursuant to the employment agreement, except for across-the-board reductions of such benefits for all senior management of the Company; (iv) a material breach of the employment agreement by the Company; or (v) a reduction of the executive s target annual bonus award within 24 months of a Change in Control.

Qualifying Termination is defined in the employment agreements as the occurrence of any one or more of the following: (i) the Company s termination of the executive s employment other than for Cause within 24 months following a Change in Control; (ii) the executive s voluntary termination of employment for Good Reason within 24 months following a Change in Control; (iii) a successor of the Company fails to assume expressly the Company s entire obligations under the employment agreement prior to becoming such a successor; or (iv) the Board of Trustees election not to renew the term of the employment agreement within 24 months following a Change in Control. If the executive s employment is terminated before a Change in Control and the executive can reasonably demonstrate that the termination by the Company or the actions constituting Good Reason for termination by the executive were at the request of a third party who had indicated an intention or taken steps reasonably calculated to effect a Change in Control who then effects a Change in Control, then the date of the Change in Control shall be deemed to be the date immediately prior to the executive s termination of employment.

Rockford O. Kottka, James N. Clewlow, and Sean P. Maher are subject to the terms of their current employment agreements. Each agreement has a term of five years and will be automatically extended for additional one-year periods unless the Board elects not to extend the agreement. Each agreement is subject to earlier termination in specified circumstances, including for Cause by the Company and for Good Reason by the executive (as such terms are defined in the agreement). The executive is entitled to payments upon termination in certain circumstances depending on the nature of the termination. If the termination is at the Company selection other than for Cause or at the executive selection for Good Reason and the termination does not qualify as a Qualifying Termination, in addition to the right to a pro-rated annual cash bonus, the executive is also entitled to (i) a monthly payment equal to his monthly salary in effect at the time of the termination plus one-twelfth of his prior year s bonus, payable for a 24-month period, and (ii) continued group health coverage for the period specified in the agreement. If the Board elects not to renew the term of an agreement, the executive is entitled to (i) a monthly payment equal to his monthly salary in effect at the time of the termination plus one-twelfth of his prior year s bonus, payable for a 12-month period, (ii) continued group health coverage for the period specified in the agreement.

A termination will be a Qualifying Termination under each agreement if it occurs in certain specified circumstances in connection with a Change of Control (as defined in the agreement) and, in most cases, if the termination occurs within 24 months following the Change of Control. Under each employment agreement, if the executive experiences a Qualifying Termination, the executive is entitled to the following severance benefits: (i) a pro-rated annual cash bonus (unless the Qualifying Termination results from the Board's election not to renew the term of the agreement), (ii) three times the executive s base salary in effect upon the date of the Qualifying Termination or, if greater, three times the executive s base salary in effect immediately prior to the Change of Control (or two times the executive s base salary if the Qualifying Termination results from the Board's election not to renew the term of the agreement), (iii) three times the executive s highest annual cash bonus (or two times the

bonus if the Qualifying Termination results from the Board's election not to renew the term of the agreement), (iv) payment or reimbursement for outplacement services of a scope and nature customary for executives holding comparable positions and provided by a nationally-recognized outplacement firm of the executive's selection, for a period of up to two years commencing on the date of the Qualifying Termination (such amount not to exceed 25% of the executive s base salary) and (v) continued group health plan coverage for 36 months from the date of the Qualifying Termination, unless the executive becomes eligible for other substantially similar group health coverage during such period (or 24 months if the Qualifying Termination results from the Board's election not to renew the term of the agreement).

Each employment agreement (i) requires that the executive devote his best efforts and his full business time and attention to the business of the Company, (ii) sets forth the minimum base salary, bonus and stock option grant targets and employee benefits available to the executive and (iii) entitles the executive to participate in the 2003 Plan and other incentive and equity-based plans or programs established by the Company. Each agreement also requires the executive to enter into a non-competition, non-solicitation and confidentiality agreement which prohibits the executive from (i) competing with the Company during the term of his employment and for a period of two years after his termination (or a period of one year if the employment terminates because the Board elects not to renew the executive s employment), subject to certain exceptions, (ii) soliciting the Company s employees, customers, suppliers and other specified persons during the term of his employment and for a period of three years after his termination and (iii) disclosing or using confidential information during his employment and after his termination.

Contracts similar to the ones offered to Michael Mullen and Paul Fisher have been offered to these individuals and, upon execution, will supersede the current contracts.

Incentive Plans

In connection with the acquisition of the Company by CalEast, all of the Company s existing equity compensation plans were terminated. The Company s executive officers and key employees are eligible to participate in a Management Incentive Plan pursuant to which they are entitled to receive units in Management LLC. Solstice will issue a special class of membership interests to the Management LLC, which issuance will be deemed effective as of March 8, 2006. In addition, executive officers and key employees are eligible to participate in the RIECP. These plans are described below.

Management Incentive Plan

In connection with the acquisition of the Company by CalEast, CalEast agreed to create a special class of units of membership interest in Solstice (we refer to these units as the MIP Units). The MIP Units will be issued to the Management LLC, effective as of March 8, 2006. The MIP Units entitle the Management LLC to receive distributions from Solstice based upon the achievement of certain financial performance targets in accordance with the terms of the Solstice limited liability company agreement. Ownership interests in the Management LLC (the Management MIP Units) will be issued to key employees of the Company, pursuant to the terms of a Management Incentive Plan adopted by the Management LLC (the MIP). The purpose of the MIP is to assist in retaining key employees of the Company, and to provide such persons with long-term incentives and rewards for superior performance and maximizing the value of the Company.

It is expected that the board of managers of the Management LLC will delegate its authority to administer the MIP to the board of managers of Solstice. Participants in the MIP will be selected from time to time by the CEO and CFO of Solstice, subject to approval by the board of managers of Solstice, to participate in the MIP and receive an award or awards of Management MIP Units in amounts approved by the board of managers of Solstice. Management MIP Units will be subject to vesting and forfeiture as provided in the MIP, an employment agreement

(1) Represents the merger consideration less the applicable option exercise prices with respect to 1all 9 outstan

between the Company and such employee or an award agreement applicable to a particular award of Management MIP Units under the MIP, as applicable.

50

(1) Represents the merger consideration less the applicable option exercise prices with respect to 1200 outstan

Retention Equity Compensation Plan

In connection with the acquisition of the Company by Solstice, effective as of March 8, 2006, Solstice adopted the RIECP, to assist in attracting and retaining key employees on behalf of the Company and to provide such persons with long-term incentives and rewards for superior performance and maximizing value of the Company. Participants in the REICP will be selected by the Solstice CEO and CFO, as approved by the Board, to receive an award of Class A Units of Solstice which will be subject to vesting requirements (Univested Class A Units).

The RIECP consists collectively of an Initial Incentive Award Plan (the IIAP) and a Retention Equity Compensation Plan (the RECP). The IIAP provides for the one-time grant, as of March 8, 2006, of Unvested Class A Units. The Unvested Class A Units issued under the IIAP are in lieu of any share options, restricted shares or performance units that Company may have awarded to its employees in 2006 with respect to the Company s 2005 performance. The RECP provides for grants, from time to time, of Unvested Class A Units.

Except as provided in the limited liability company agreement of Solstice, an applicable employment agreement between a recipient of an award under the RIECP (a Recipient) and the Company, or an applicable grant agreement pursuant to the IIAP or RECP, Unvested Class A Units granted pursuant to the terms of RIECP shall be subject to forfeiture in the event of termination of a Recipient s employment with the Company. Unvested Class A Units may become vested upon the satisfaction of applicable vesting conditions, and thereafter will be subject to repurchase rather than forfeiture in the event of termination of a Recipient s employment with the Company. Any such repurchase will be subject to the terms of the limited liability company agreement of Solstice or a Recipient s employment agreement or award agreement, as applicable. No Recipient will have any right to continued employment or service with the Company by virtue of the RIECP or receipt of any award thereunder.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The members of the Company s Compensation Committee during fiscal year 2005 included Nicholas C. Babson, Martin Barber and Alan D. Feld. Mr. Feld was the Chairman of the Committee. During fiscal year 2005, no member of the Company s Compensation Committee was at any time an officer or employee of the Company and no member of the Compensation Committee was formerly an officer of the Company. In addition, during fiscal year 2005, no executive officer of the Company served as a member of the Compensation Committee or board of directors of another entity in which one of the executive officers of such entity served as a member of the Company s Compensation Committee or Board of Trustees.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters

CenterPoint Properties Trust is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Solstice. Solstice was formed in connection with the Company s acquisition by CalEast. Solstice is wholly owned by CalEast and members of the Company s management. Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each person is c/o the Company at 1808 Swift Drive, Oak Brook, Illinois 60523.

Solstice Holdings authorized capital consists of an unlimited number of Class A Membership Interests (Class A Units) and 10,000 MIP Unit Membership Interests (MIP Units). Class A Units may be vested (Vested Class A Units) or unvested (Unvested Class A Units). As of March 9, 2006, Solstice Holdings had 51,793,613 Vested Class A Units and, 76,855 Unvested Class A Units. The Vested Class A Units are not subject to forfeiture, but are subject to repurchase. The Unvested Class A Units are subject to vesting and forfeiture provisions, as described in Solstice

(1) Represents the merger consideration less the applicable option exercise prices with respect to Alloutstan

Holdings Limited Liability Company Agreement, the IIAP, the RECP or other award agreement, or the Company s executive employment agreements, as applicable. Holders of Class A Units have preference on cash distributions, as compared to holders of MIP Units. The Class A Units are the only class of Solstice s equity capital entitled to vote on matters submitted to a vote of the members.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of Solstice s Class A Units as of March 9, 2006, by (1) each person whom we know to own beneficially more than five percent of the outstanding Class A Units; (2) each of the Company s trustees and named executive officers; and (3) all of the

51

Company s trustees and executive officers as a group. Unless otherwise stated, each of the persons in the table has sole voting and investment power with respect to the securities beneficially owned.

	Class A Units Beneficially Owned Amount and Nature of	
Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Beneficial Ownership (1)	Percent of Class
CalEast Solstice, LLC(2)	51,585,668	99.45%
Michael M. Mullen (3)	140,000	*
(Chief Executive Officer and Trustee)		
Paul S. Fisher (4)	140,000	*
(President, Chief Financial Officer and Trustee)		
Rockford O. Kottka		*
(Executive Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Accounting Officer)		
James N. Clewlow		*
(Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer)		
Sean P. Maher		*
(Executive Vice President, Portfolio Operations)		
Peter H. Schaff (5)		
Russell L. Blackwell (5)		
Daniel C. Witte (5)		
Ernest Fiorante (5)		
Kimball C. Woodrow (5)		
All other trustees and executive officers as a group (10 persons)		

^{*} Less than one percent.

(1) Beneficial ownership has been determined in accordance with the applicable rules and regulations promulgated under the Exchange Act. The number of shares beneficially owned includes shares that may be purchased under options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 9, 2006. The percent of class is calculated based on the number of shares outstanding plus such option shares held by the person or the aggregation of persons for which such percentage ownership is being determined.

(2) The address for CalEast is c/o Jones Lang LaSalle, 200 E. Randolph Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60601. Each of CalPERS and LaSalle may be deemed to beneficially own the Class A Units held by CalEast.

(3) Includes 100,265 Vested Class A Units and 39,735 Univested Class A Units.

(4) Includes 104,680 Vested Class A Units and 35,320 Univested Class A Units.

(5) The address of such trustee is c/o LaSalle Investment Management, Inc., 200 E. Randolph Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Since 1999, CenterPoint and CalEast Industrial have partnered in a joint venture known as CenterPoint Venture, which acquires, develops, manages and sells industrial property. CalEast Industrial s members are CalPERS and an affiliate of Jones Lang LaSalle. The Company engages in transactions with CenterPoint Venture on a regular basis. Transactions between the Company and CenterPoint Venture occurring since the beginning of 2005 are described under Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Related Party Transactions.

Robert Stovall, the retired Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company, received \$100,000 annually pursuant to a non-competition agreement. The agreement, effective upon his retirement on October 31, 1997, continued until December 31, 2000 and was extended by the Board of Trustees through May 15, 2006. Under the agreement, Mr. Stovall was also provided medical insurance coverage which totals approximately \$12,000 annually.

52

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services
The Company paid the following professional fees to its independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, for fiscal years 2005 and 2004:
Audit Fees
For fiscal years 2005 and 2004, the total fees billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for the annual audit, which includes comfort letters and assistance with and review of documents filed with the SEC, totaled \$797,196 and \$946,721, respectively.
Audit-Related Fees
For fiscal years 2005 and 2004, audit-related fees billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for employee benefit plan audits, attest services that are not required by statute or regulations, consultations concerning financial accounting and reporting standards, consultations concerning Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and consultations regarding 280G totaled \$222,679 and \$163,745, respectively.
Tax Fees
For fiscal years 2005 and 2004, the tax fees billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for tax compliance related items and tax planning and tax advice, including consulting on various matters, totaled \$371,313 and \$263,589, respectively.
All Other Fees
Except for those described above, no other fees were billed to the Company by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in the last two fiscal years.
All of the services described under the captions above entitled Audit-Related Fees and Tax Fees were approved by the Company s Audit Committee.
Pre-Approval Policy for Independent Accountant Services

(5) The address of such trustee is c/o LaSalle Investment Management, Inc., 200 E. Randolph Drive, Chasago, Illir

The Audit Committee is responsible for approving in advance all audit and permitted non-audit services to be performed for the Company by its independent auditors. In recognition of this responsibility, the Audit Committee has established a policy to approve in advance all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the Company s independent auditor. Prior to engagement of the independent auditor for the next year s audit, management or the independent auditor submits to the Audit Committee for approval an aggregate request of services expected to be rendered during that year for each of the four categories of services outlined in the paragraphs above. Prior to engagement, the Audit Committee approves in advance these services by category of service. The fees are budgeted and the Audit Committee requires the independent auditor and management to report actual fees versus the budget periodically throughout the year by category of service. During the year, circumstances may arise when it may become necessary to engage the independent auditor for additional services not contemplated in the original advance approval. In those instances, the Audit Committee requires specific approval in advance before engaging the independent auditor. The Audit Committee may delegate authority to make advance approval to one or more of its members. The member or members to whom such authority is delegated must report, for information purposes only, any such approval decisions to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

- (a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:
- 1. The consolidated financial statements indicated in Part II, Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements on Page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- 2. The financial statement schedules indicated in Part II, Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements on Page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- (b) The following exhibits are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or, where indicated, were heretofore filed and are hereby incorporated by reference.

Exhibit Number	- Distribution
(a)1.1	Agreement, dated as of July 7, 2004, by and among CenterPoint Properties Trust, Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC, Banc One Capital Markets, Inc., Banc of America Securities LLC, ABN AMRO Incorporated and Lehman Brothers Inc.
(b)1.2	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated December 7, 2005, by and among CalEast Industrial Investors, LLC, a California limited liability company, Solstice Merger Trust, a Maryland real estate investment trust, and CenterPoint Properties Trust.
(c)1.3	Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated February 2, 2006, by and among CalEast Industrial Investors, LLC, a California limited liability company, Solstice Merger Trust, a Maryland real estate investment trust, and CenterPoint Properties Trust.
(d)3.1	Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust
(d)3.2	Second Amended and Restated Bylaws
(e)3.3	Articles Supplementary for 100,000 Series D Flexible Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares
(f)4.2	Senior Securities Indenture
(f)4.3	Form of Subordinated Securities Indenture
(g)4.4	First Supplemental Indenture dated as of July 7, 2004 by and between the Company and SunTrust Bank

(5) The address of such trustee is c/o LaSalle Investment Management, Inc., 200 E. Randolph Drive, Chodago, Illir

(h)4.5	Form of Senior Securities Indenture
(i)4.6	Form of First Supplemental Indenture
(j)4.7	Form of Second Supplemental Indenture
(k)4.8	Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 20, 2002, by and between CenterPoint Properties Trust and U.S. Bank Trust National Association

54

(1)10.1	Employment and Severance Agreement between the Company and each of James N. Clewlow, Rockford O. Kottka and Sean P. Maher.
(d)10.2	Employment Agreement among the Company, Solstice Holdings, LLC and Paul S. Fisher, dated March 7, 2006.
(d)10.3	Employment Agreement among the Company, Solstice Holdings, LLC and Michael M. Mullen, dated March 7, 2006.
10.4	Management Incentive Plan
10.5	Form of Award Agreement under Management Incentive Plan
10.6	Retention Equity Compensation Plan
10.7	Form of Award Agreement under Retention Equity Compensation Plan
10.8	Form of Award Agreement under Initial Incentive Award Plan
(m)10.15	Amended and Restated Unsecured Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of June 30, 2003 among CenterPoint Properties Trust, as Borrower, Banc One Capital Markets, Inc., as Sole Lead Arranger/Book Manager, Bank One, NA as Administrative Agent and Lender, Bank of America, N.A. as Syndication Agent and Lender, Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Syndication Agent and Lender, Commerzbank AG, New York Branch, as Documentation Agent and Lender, SunTrust Bank, as Managing Agent and Lender, and the several other lenders from time to time parties thereto.
(n)10.16	Calculation and Exchange Rate Agent Agreement dated as of July 12, 2004 by and between the Company and SunTrust Bank
(o)10.17	Remarketing Agreement, dated as of December 14, 2004, by and between Lehman Brothers Inc., Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC and the Company
(o)10.18	Calculation Agent Agreement , dated as of December 14, 2004, by and between SunTrust Bank and the Company
(p)10.19	Limited Liability Company Agreement for CenterPoint Wispark Land Company LLC, dated as of December 22, 2004, by and between CenterPoint Venture, LLC and WISPARK LLC
(p)10.20	Limited Liability Company Agreement for Rochelle Development Joint Venture LLC, dated December 14, 2004, by and between CenterPoint Realty Services Corporation and Highway 38 Investors, LLC
(p)10.21	Agreement to Develop and Lease, dated as of December 15, 2004, by and between DuPage Airport Authority and the Company
(q)10.22	Sale agreement dated April 6, 2005, by and between CenterPoint Properties Trust and CenterPoint James Fielding, LLC, and forms of material exhibits to those agreements
(r)10.23	Lease agreement, dated as of August 24, 2005, by and between CenterPoint Properties Trust and Wal-Mart Stores East, LP
(s)10.24	Limited Liability Company Agreement of CenterPoint James Fielding, LLC, dated as of April 6, 2005, by and between CenterPoint Properties Trust and JF US Industrial Property Trust

- (s)10.25 Right of First Offer Agreement, dated as of April 6, 2005, by and between CenterPoint Properties Trust and CenterPoint James Fielding, LLC
 - 12.1 Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
 - 12.2 Ratio of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends.
 - 21 Subsidiaries of the Company.
 - 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 32 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - (a) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 14, 2004.
 - (b) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 13, 2005.
 - (c) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Definitive Proxy Statement filed on February 3, 2006.
 - (d) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 13, 2006.

56

- (e) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Report on Form 8-K filed December 15, 2004.
- (f) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Form S-3 Registration Statement (File No. 333-113572) filed on March 12, 2004
- (g) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 14, 2004
- (h) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-18235) filed on December 19, 1996
- (i) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 3, 1998
- (j) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 30, 1998
- (k) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 27, 2002
- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 1, 2005
- (m) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 18, 2003
- (n) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 24, 2004
- (o) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 15, 2004
- (p) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 18, 2005
- (q) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, exhibit 10.1, for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2005.
- (r) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 30, 2005
- (s) Incorporated by reference to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 12, 2005

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST,

a Maryland business trust

By: /s/ Michael M. Mullen

Michael M. Mullen, Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Paul S. Fisher

Paul S. Fisher,

President and Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Name and Title	Date
/s/ Michael M. Mullen	Michael M. Mullen Chief Executive Officer and Trustee	March 16, 2006
/s/ Paul S. Fisher	Paul S. Fisher, Trustee, President and President, Chief Financial Officer and Trustee	March 16, 2006
/s/ Peter H. Schaff	Peter H. Schaff, Chairman	March 16, 2006
/s/ Russell L. Blackwell	Russell L. Blackwell, Trustee	March 16, 2006
/s/ Daniel C. Witte	Daniel C. Witte, Trustee	March 16, 2006
/s/ Ernest Fiorante	Ernest Fiorante, Trustee	March 16, 2006
/s/ Kimball C. Woodrow	Kimball C. Woodrow, Trustee	March 16, 2006
	58	

CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST		

(5) The address of such trustee is c/o LaSalle Investment Management, Inc., 200 E. Randolph Drive, Choo ago, Illir

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Consolidated Financial Statements:		Page(s)
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>F-2</u>
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2005 and 2004	<u>F-4</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	<u>F-5</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	<u>F-6</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	<u>F-7</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003	<u>F-8</u>
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>F-9 to F-41</u>
Financial Statement Schedules:		
	Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	<u>F-42</u>
	Schedule III - Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation	F-43 to F-54
	Schedule IV Mortgage Loans on Real Estate	<u>F-55</u>

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

CenterPoint Properties Trust:

We have completed integrated audits of CenterPoint Properties Trust s 2005 and 2004 consolidated financial statements and of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, and an audit of its 2003 consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Our opinions, based on our audits, are presented below.

Consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of CenterPoint Properties Trust and its subsidiaries (the Company) at December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005 in conformity with accounting principles generally

and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2005 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedules listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit of financial statements includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As discussed in Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2003, the Company changed its accounting policy related to certain developer notes receivable.

As discussed in Note 20 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company was acquired on March 8, 2006 by CalEast Solstice, LLC.

Internal control over financial reporting

To the Board of Trustees and Shareholders of

Also, in our opinion, management s assessment, included in Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A, that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005 based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on those criteria. Furthermore, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2005, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the COSO. The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on management s assessment and on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We conducted our audit of internal control over financial reporting oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. An audit of internal control over financial reporting includes obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a

reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Chicago, Illinois

March 16, 2006

F-3

CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except for share information)

		December 31,		
ACCETE		2005		2004
ASSETS Assets:				
Investment in real estate:				
Land and leasehold	\$	209,374	\$	233,326
Buildings	Ψ	730,195	Ψ	881,328
Building Improvements		137,572		167,982
Furniture, fixtures and equipment		21,380		26,130
Construction in progress		197,193		148,545
Construction in progress		1,295,714		1,457,311
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		(159,762)		(183,770)
Real estate held for sale, net of depreciation and amortization		115,417		49,210
Build-to-suit for sale costs, net of deposits		113,117		12,414
Net investment in real estate		1,251,369		1,335,165
1 to m to m to m to m to m		1,201,000		1,555,155
Cash and cash equivalents		137		1,496
Restricted cash and cash equivalents		91,642		79,297
Tenant accounts receivable, net		24,993		36,949
Mortgage and other notes receivable		15,027		75,089
Investments in and advances to affiliates		11,753		14,202
Prepaid expenses and other assets		17,266		16,694
Deferred expenses, net		30,192		34,613
•	\$	1,442,379	\$	1,593,505
LIABILITIES AND SH	AREHOLI	DERS EQUITY		
Liabilities:				
Mortgage notes payable and other debt	\$	63,369	\$	73,109
Senior unsecured debt		450,000		550,000
Tax-exempt debt		141,940		118,900
Line of credit		27,200		131,500
Preferred dividends payable		224		254
Accounts payable		24,328		18,778
Accrued expenses		87,149		81,776
Build-to-suit for sale deposits, net of costs		2,317		
Rents received in advance and security deposits		10,418		12,224
Total liabilities		806,945		986,541
Commitments and contingencies				
Communents and contingencies				
Shareholders equity				
Series B Convertible Preferred Shares, 219,599 and 292,650				
issued and outstanding, respectivley, having a liquidation				
preference of \$50 per share (\$10,980 and \$14,633, respectively)		1		1
Series D Flexible Cummulative Redeemable Preferred Shares,				
100,000 issued and outstanding for both periods presented				
having a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share (\$100,000)		1		1
Common shares of beneficial interest, \$.001 par value,		49		49
120,000,000 shares authorized; 49,402,765 and 48,900,040				
issued, respectively; 48,762,165 and 48,900,040 oustanding,				

respectively		
Additional paid-in-capital	652,027	644,674
Treasury shares	(26,099)	
Retained earnings / (accumulated deficit)	23,217	(22,031)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(5,256)	(6,532)
Unearned compensation - restricted shares	(8,506)	(9,198)
Total shareholders equity	635,434	606,964
	\$ 1,442,379	\$ 1,593,505

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except for share information)

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2005		2004		2003	
Revenues:						
Minimum rents	\$ 93,378	\$	69,504	\$	66,561	
Straight line rents	2,476		1,598		1,617	
Expense reimbursements	28,272		24,187		21,692	
Mortgage interest income	1,204		946		1,317	
Real estate fee income	12,632		4,132		11,988	
Build-to-suit for sale revenue	56,894					
Total revenue	194,856		100,367		103,175	
Expenses:						
Real estate taxes	27,662		22,542		18,656	
Property operating and leasing	33,912		29,099		26,406	
General and administrative	17,638		11,994		8,681	
Depreciation and amortization	34,171		25,448		21,305	
Build-to-suit for sale construction costs	52,475					
Impairment of asset	1,153		937			
Total expenses	167,011		90,020		75,048	
Other income / (expense)						
Interest income	1,825		1,917		2,430	
Interest expense	(29,050)		(33,032)		(25,735)	
Amortization of deferred financing costs	(3,742)		(3,567)		(3,354)	
Total other income / (expense)	(30,967)		(34,682)		(26,659)	
Income from continuing operations before income taxes and equity						
in net income of affiliate	(3,122)		(24,335)		1,468	
Benefit from (provision for) income tax expense	2,592		993		(389)	
Equity in net income of affiliate	881		5,703		2,281	
Gain from sale of equity interest			6,469		,	
Income (loss) from continuing operations	351		(11,170)		3,360	
meone (1033) from continuing operations	331		(11,170)		3,300	
Discontinued operations:					26.200	
Gain on sale, net of tax	111,176		57,412		36,308	
Income from operations of sold properties, net of tax	17,733		46,681		32,967	
Income before gain on sale of real estate, and cumulative effect of						
change in accounting principle	129,260		92,923		72,635	
Gain on sale of real estate, net of tax	7,082		185		5,421	
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	136,342		93,108		78,056	
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax (see						
Note 7)					6,528	
Net income	136,342		93,108		84,584	
Preferred dividends	(6,328)		(2,621)		(9,599)	
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 130,014	\$	90,487	\$	74,985	
	,	,	,		,,	

Edgar Filing: CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

\$ 0.02	\$	(0.29)	\$	(0.02)
2.66		2.20		1.51
				0.14
\$ 2.68	\$	1.91	\$	1.63
\$ 0.02	\$	(0.29)	\$	(0.02)
2.58		2.20		1.51
				0.14
\$ 2.60	\$	1.91	\$	1.63
\$ 1.71	\$	1.56	\$	1.22
\$ 136,342	\$	93,108	\$	78,056
\$ \$ \$	\$ 2.66 \$ 0.02 2.58 \$ 2.60 \$ 1.71	\$ 2.66 \$ 2.68 \$ \$ 0.02 \$ 2.58 \$ 2.60 \$ \$ 1.71 \$	2.66 2.20 \$ 2.68 \$ 1.91 \$ 0.02 \$ (0.29) 2.58 2.20 \$ 2.60 \$ 1.91 \$ 1.71 \$ 1.56	2.66 2.20 \$ 2.68 \$ 1.91 \$ 0.02 \$ 2.58 \$ 2.20 \$ 2.60 \$ 1.91 \$ 1.71 \$ 1.56

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in thousands)

	2005	Years En	ded December 31, 2004	2003
Net income	\$ 136,342	\$	93,108	\$ 84,584
Other comprehensive income:				
Settlement of interest rate protection agreement			(1,739)	(972)
Amortization of interest rate protection agreement	1,276		1,131	946
Comprehensive income	\$ 137,618	\$	92,500	\$ 84,558

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

(in thousands, except for share information)

	Prefe Shares, Number of Shares		Convertible Preferred Shares Series B Number of Shares Amount		Preferred Shares Series C Number of Shares Amount			d Shares es D Amount	Common Shares Number of Shares Amount		
Balance, December 31, 2002	3,000,000	\$ 3	994,712	\$ 1		\$		\$	46,134,672	\$	47
Issuance of shares for	3,000,000	ў 3	994,712	φ 1		Ф		φ	40,134,072	Ф	47
stock options exercised									396,362		
Issuance of preferred									270,202		
shares, Series C, net of											
offering costs of \$2,366					3,000,000	3					
Employee share awards									233,172		
Trustee share awards									3,050		
Amortization of									·		
unearned compensation											
Forfeited stock grants									(3,552)		
Purchase of treasury											
shares											
Retirement of treasury shares									(97,236)		
Share conversion									(>7,200)		
preferred Series B			(11,000)						25,286		
Distribution declared			(11,000)						20,200		
on common shares,											
\$1.22 per share											
Distributions declared											
on preferred shares,											
Series A \$2.12 per											
share											
Distributions declared											
on convertible											
preferred shares, Series											
B, \$3.75 per share											
Distributions declared											
on preferred shares,											
Series C \$0.184 per											
share											
Redemptions of											
preferred Series A	(3,000,000)	(3))								
Redemptions of											
preferred Series C					(3,000,000)	(3))				
Settlement of interest											
rate protection											
agreement											
Amortization of											
interest rate protection											
agreement											
Net income											
Balance, December 31,											
2003			983,712	1					46,691,754		47
Issuance of shares for											
stock options exercised									564,532		
Issuance of preferred							100,000	1			
shares, Series D, net of											

offering costs of										
\$1,574								122,520		
Employee share awards Trustee share awards								4,244		
Amortization of								7,277		
unearned compensation										
Forfeited stock grants								(4,004)		
Purchase of treasury										
shares										
Retirement of treasury								(CR 52.1)		
shares Share conversion								(67,564)		
preferred Series B		(691,062)						1,588,558		2
Distribution declared		(0)1,002)						1,500,550		2
on common shares,										
\$1.56 per share										
Distributions declared										
on convertible										
preferred shares, Series										
B, \$3.75 per share Distributions declared										
on preferred shares,										
Series D \$2.54 per										
share										
Settlement of interest										
rate protection										
agreement										
Amortization of										
interest rate protection agreement										
Net income										
Balance, December 31,										
2004		292,650		1		100,000		1 48,900,040		49
Issuance of shares for										
stock options exercised								286,830		
Employee share awards								139,208		
Trustee share awards								5,570		
Amortization of										
unearned compensation Forfeited stock grants								(23,116)		
Purchase of treasury								(23,110)		
shares										
Retirement of treasury										
shares								(73,645)		
Share repurchase										
program								(640,600)		
Share conversion preferred Series B		(73,051)						167,878		
Distribution declared		(73,031)						107,070		
on common shares,										
\$1.71 per share										
Distributions declared										
on convertible										
preferred shares, Series										
B, \$3.75 per share										
Distributions declared on preferred shares,										
Series D \$53.77 per										
share										
Amortization of										
interest rate protection										
agreement										
Net income										
Balance, December 31,	¢	210.500	¢	1	¢	100.000	¢	1 40 760 165	¢	40
2005	\$	219,599	\$	1	\$	100,000	\$	1 48,762,165	\$	49

Retained

Additional

Total

Accumulated

Other

Unearned

Compensation

	Paid-In Capital	Earnings (Deficit)	Treasury Stock	Restricted Shares	Comprehensive Loss	•		cholders Juity
Balance, December 31, 2002	\$ 596,629	\$ (54,474)	\$	\$ (9,349)	\$ (5,89	8) \$	ŝ	526,959
Issuance of shares for stock options exercised	6,073							6,073
Issuance of preferred shares,	0,073							0,075
Series C, net of offering costs of								
\$2,366	72,634			(6.470)				72,637
Employee share awards Trustee share awards	6,470 92			(6,470)				92
Amortization of unearned	,2)2
compensation				6,312				6,312
Forfeited stock grants	(89)		(2.502)	89				(2.502)
Purchase of treasury shares Retirement of treasury shares	(2,231)	(1,272)	(3,503) 3,503					(3,503)
Share conversion preferred Series B	(2,231)	(1,272)	2,202					
Distribution declared on common								
shares, \$1.22 per share		(56,491)						(56,491)
Distributions declared on preferred shares, Series A \$2.12 per share		(2,225)						(2,225)
Distributions declared on		(2,223)						(2,223)
convertible preferred shares,								
Series B, \$3.75 per share		(3,721)						(3,721)
Distributions declared on preferred		(2(5)						(265)
shares, Series C \$0.184 per share Redemptions of preferred Series A	(71,896)	(365) (3,101)						(365) (75,000)
Redemptions of preferred Series C	(72,634)	(187)						(72,824)
Settlement of interest rate protection	(,,,,,,	(- 1)						(, , , ,
agreement					(97	2)		(972)
Amortization of interest rate					0.4	,		046
protection agreement Net income		84,583			94	o		946 84,583
Balance, December 31, 2003	535,048	(37,253)		(9,418)	(5,92	4)		482,501
Issuance of shares for stock options		, , ,		,	•	ĺ		
exercised	8,005							8,005
Issuance of preferred shares, Series D, net of offering costs of \$1,574	98,426							98,427
Employee share awards	4,824			(4,824)				, ,
Trustee share awards	150							150
Amortization of unearned				4,912				4,912
compensation Forfeited stock grants	(132)			132				4,912
Purchase of treasury shares	(102)		(2,489)	102				(2,489)
Retirement of treasury shares	(1,645)	(844)	2,489					
Share conversion preferred Series B	(2)							
Distribution declared on common shares, \$1.56 per share		(74,421)						(74,421)
Distributions declared on		(71,121)						(71,121)
convertible preferred shares,								
Series B, \$3.75 per share		(2,367)						(2,367)
Distributions declared on preferred shares, Series D \$2.54 per share Settlement of interest rate protection		(254)						(254)
agreement Amortization of interest rate					(1,73	9)		(1,739)
protection agreement					1,13	1		1,131
Net income		93,108						93,108
Balance, December 31, 2004	644,674	(22,031)		(9,198)	(6,53	2)		606,964
Issuance of shares for stock options exercised	3,922							3,922
Employee share awards	6,236			(6,236)				3,944
Trustee share awards	236			(3,203)				236
Amortization of unearned								
compensation Forfaited stock grants	(066)			5,962 966				5,962
Forfeited stock grants Purchase of treasury shares	(966)		(3,254)	900				(3,254)
Retirement of treasury shares	(2,075)	(1,179)	3,254					(3,234)
Share repurchase program	,		(26,099)					(26,099)
Share conversion preferred Series B								

Distribution declared on common							
shares, \$1.71 per share		(83,587)					(83,587)
Distributions declared on							
convertible preferred shares,							
Series B, \$3.75 per share		(951)					(951)
Distributions declared on preferred							
shares, Series D \$53.77 per share		(5,377)					(5,377)
Amortization of interest rate							
protection agreement					1,276		1,276
Net income		136,342					136,342
Balance, December 31, 2005	\$ 652,027	\$ 23,217	\$ (26,099)	\$ (8,506) \$	(5,256) \$	3	635,434

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

	2005	Years End	led December 31, 2004	2003
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net Income	\$ 136,3	342 \$	93,108	\$ 84,584
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by				
operating activities				
Impairment of asset	1,1	153	937	
Bad debts	(530	1,710	1,322
Depreciation	34,3	366	36,323	33,512
Amortization of deferred financing costs	3,7	742	3,567	3,354
Other amortization	8,9	953	5,662	2,799
Straight-line rents	(3,3	314)	(4,687)	(3,654)
Incentive stock awards	5,9	962	4,912	6,401
Equity in net income of affiliates	(8	381)	(5,703)	(2,281)
Distributions received from affiliates	3	881	5,703	2,281
Gain on disposal of real estate	(119,0)78)	(57,597)	(42,481)
Gain on sale of investment in affiliates	, ,	ĺ	(6,469)	, , ,
Build-to-suit for sale activity, net of deposits	5,8	307		
Net changes in:	- /-			
Tenant accounts receivable	4,3	310	(1,014)	(1,816)
Prepaid expenses and other assets		163)	7,969	(6,096)
Rents received in advance and security deposits		913)	(878)	281
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		983)	(770)	(1,704)
Net cash provided by operating activities	68,8		82,773	76,502
Cash flows from investing activities				
Change in restricted cash and cash equivalents	(12,3	345)	(27,156)	22,921
Acquisition of real estate	(208,2	223)	(213,726)	(130,595)
Additions to construction in progress	(77,3	352)	(117,705)	(46,797)
Improvements and additions to properties	(22,0	002)	(20,986)	(17,832)
Proceeds from sale of real estate	501,3	349	191,158	73,529
Proceeds from sale of equity interest			42,774	
Change in deposits on acquisitions	(1,5	559)	(1,549)	(1,479)
Issuance of mortgage and other notes receivable				(82,615)
Repayment of mortgage and other notes receivable	65,9	981	42,195	69,523
Distributions from (net investment in and advances to) affiliates	2,8	357	(2,458)	(16,142)
Receivables from affiliates and employees		28	43	16
Additions to deferred expenses	(1,2	246)	(8,445)	(7,814)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	247,4	188	(115,855)	(137,285)
Cash flows from financing activities				
Proceeds from sales of preferred shares			98,427	75,003
Proceeds from sale of common shares	3,9	922	8,005	6,073
Offering costs paid				(2,366)
Proceeds from issuance of senior unsecured debt			146,517	147,940
Proceeds from issuance of mortgage and other notes payable			42,023	
Proceeds from issuance of tax exempt bonds	22,9	966		
Proceeds from line of credit	386,5	500	462,300	578,500
Redemption of preferred stock				(147,824)

Repayment of line of credit	(490,800)	(544,500)	(382,800)
Repayment of revenue bonds payable	(210)	(210)	(210)
Repayments of mortgage and other notes payable	(23,995)	(1,427)	(1,734)
Repayments of bonds payable - unsecured	(100,000)	(100,000)	(150,000)
Distributions - Common	(83,587)	(74,421)	(56,492)
Distributions - Preferred	(6,358)	(2,367)	(6,311)
Purchase of treasury shares	(26,099)		
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(317,661)	34,347	59,779
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(1,359)	1,265	(1,004)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	1,496	231	1,235
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 137	\$ 1,496	\$ 231

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except for per share data)

1. Organization

CenterPoint Properties Trust (the Company), a Maryland trust, and its wholly owned subsidiaries, own and operate primarily warehouse and other industrial properties in the metropolitan Chicago area. In 2005, the Company operated as a real estate investment trust (REIT).

On December 7, 2005 the Company announced that CalEast Industrial Investors, LLC (CalEast Industrial) and the Company reached a definitive agreement whereby CalEast Industrial would acquire the Company for \$50 per common share in cash. On March 8, 2006, the Company was acquired by a sister company of CalEast Industrial, CalEast Solstice, LLC (CalEast Solstice). CalEast Solstice is partnership between California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS) and LIC II Solstice Holdings, LLC, an affiliate of Jones Lang LaSalle (LaSalle). Since 1999, CenterPoint and CalEast Industrial have partnered in a joint venture known as CenterPoint Venture LLC (CenterPoint Venture), which acquires, develops, manages and sells industrial property. On March 12, 2006, the Company converted from a REIT for federal income tax purposes to a partnership for federal income tax purposes (the Tax Conversion).

On March 2, 2004, the Company s Board of Trustees approved a proposed amendment to the Company s Declaration of Trust to increase the number of authorized Common Shares from 50 million to 120 million shares in order to accommodate a two-for-one split of the Common Shares. The Declaration of Trust amendment was approved by the shareholders at the annual shareholder meeting held on May 18, 2004. The record date for the share split was June 1, 2004 with a distribution date of June 30, 2004. All share information included in this report has been adjusted retroactively to reflect the two-for-one share split.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Lease Revenue

Minimum rents are recognized based on the contractual rents stated in lease agreements. Straight-line rental revenue is recorded as the difference between the average rent over the term of the lease agreement compared to the contractual rents. The balance of unbilled straight-line rent receivable is included in tenant accounts receivable, and at December 31, 2005 and 2004 was \$19,001 and \$23,899, respectively.

Recoveries from tenants for taxes, insurance and other property operating expenses are recognized in the period the applicable costs are incurred, based on the reimbursement rules stated in lease agreements.

The Company provides an allowance for doubtful accounts against the portion of tenant accounts receivable estimated to be uncollectible. Specifically, the Company allows for identified troubled accounts and also provides an additional reserve based on a percentage of other long outstanding items based on historical trends. Tenant accounts receivable in the consolidated balance sheets is shown net of an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,984 and \$2,121 as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Real Estate Fee Income

Real estate fee income includes revenues recognized for certain development services provided by the Company, property management services, assignment fees, lease termination fees and other real estate related transactions. In 2005, the Company recovered \$14,473 in connection with the bankruptcy proceedings relating to our lost revenue claim against HALO Industries Inc., which was higher than the Company s \$3,055 receivable balance. Such excess recovery has been included in real estate fee income. In 2004, the Company earned lease termination fees of \$8,395 upon the early termination of certain tenant s leases and occupancy. Due to one of the

properties being under contract as of December 31, 2005, \$6,653 of the lease termination fees are included in income from operations of sold properties, net of tax on the statement of operations for 2004.

Development fees are earned by the Company acting as a contractor in accordance with Statement of Position 81-1, Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts (SOP 81-1). In certain instances where the Company earns development fees and it does not guarantee any cost of construction, the Company is not liable for any cost overruns. In these cases, the fee is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the development agreement, provided a constant level of project management effort is required. In other instances where the Company guarantees the cost of construction, as discussed in the Build-to-Suits for Sale section below, the Company s fee is the difference between the actual cost of the development and the amount of the contract with the customer. The percentage of fee recognized is calculated using the ratio of total costs incurred as a percentage of total estimated costs for the project.

Build-to-Suits for Sale

During 2005 the Company closed on the sale of four build-to-suits for sale projects. These were separate contracts with third parties to construct facilities that required an up front earnest money deposit, with the remaining contract costs paid upon completion. For contracts such as these where payment is due at completion and funded at closing, the Company has used the completed contract method of accounting in accordance with SOP 81-1 because the Company remains at risk until the sale has closed. During the period of performance, costs are accumulated on the balance sheet, but no revenues or expenses are recorded before completion or substantial completion of the work.

At the end of 2005, the Company had two build-to-suits for sale under contract, which are presented in build-to-suit for sale deposits, net of costs on the balance sheet. At the end of 2004, the Company had two build-to-suits for sale under contract, which are presented in build-to-suit for sale costs, net of deposits on the balance sheet.

Discontinued Operations

For purposes of applying FAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, (FAS No. 144), the Company considers each operating property to be an operating component. Current period operating results for such properties sold and operating results for all prior periods presented are reclassified to income from discontinued operations. The gain or loss upon sale for operating properties sold is shown as discontinued operations. Property investments sold before operating activities commence are not considered components and are therefore not subject to discontinued operations presentation.

Deferred Expenses

Deferred expenses consist of financing costs, leasing commissions, lease value, customer value and other deferred items. Leasing commissions are amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective lease agreements. Financing costs are amortized over the terms of the respective loan agreements. Lease values and customer relationship values are discussed in the Property Acquisitions section below.

Preacquisition Costs

These costs are capitalized and included in prepaid expenses when incurred if they are directly identifiable with a specific property that the Company is actively seeking to acquire or develop. If the Company ceases pursuit of the project or the project fails to meet the Company s investment criteria, the Company will write off the related capitalized preacquisition costs.

Property Acquisitions

The Company accounts for all acquisitions in accordance with FAS No. 141, Business Combinations (FAS 141). The Company allocates the purchase price of its operating property acquisitions based on the relative fair value of the assets acquired consisting of land, building and improvements, and identified intangible assets and liabilities generally consisting of above and below market leases, in-place lease value, the leasing costs for the in-place lease as if they had been incurred by the Company, and the value of the customer relationship. For certain property acquisitions where the in-

place lease is short term in nature, the Company has underwritten the original purchase as if the tenant was vacating upon expiration. Therefore, for short term leases acquired, the Company has placed no value on the customer relationship.

In estimating the fair value of the tangible and intangible assets acquired, the Company considers information obtained about each property as a result of its due diligence and marketing and leasing activities, and utilizes various valuation methods such as estimated cash flow projections utilizing appropriate discount and capitalization rates, estimates of replacement costs net of depreciation, and available market information. The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property considers the value of the property as if it were vacant.

Values for above and below market leases, in-place lease values, and customer relationship values are based on management s evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant s lease and the Company s overall relationship with the respective tenant. Factors to be considered by management in its analysis of in-place lease values include an estimate of carrying costs during hypothetical expected lease-up periods, depending on local market conditions. In estimating costs to execute similar leases, management considers leasing commissions, legal and other related expenses. Characteristics considered by management in valuing customer relationships include the nature and extent of the Company s existing business relationships with the customer, growth prospects for developing new business with the customer, the customer s credit quality and expectations of lease renewals. The value of in-place leases are amortized to expense over the remaining initial terms of the respective leases and the value of customer relationships are amortized to expense over the anticipated life of the relationships. Both are included in depreciation and amortization.

For properties acquired during 2005 and 2004, the Company allocated \$13,881 and \$11,405 of purchase cost to lease value and \$2,116 and \$1,753 to customer value, respectively, all of which are included in deferred expenses, net on the balance sheets. The Company also allocated \$1,716 to below market leases in 2005, which is included in accrued expenses on the balance sheets.

Owned Properties

Real estate assets are stated at cost. Depreciation expense is computed using the straight-line method based upon the useful life of each asset. The following table summarizes the most common estimated useful lives:

	Years
Building and improvements	31.5
Land improvements	15
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	4 to 15

Construction allowances for tenant improvements are capitalized and amortized over the terms of each specific lease. Expenditures for improvements that add to the life of the real estate or its component are capitalized and depreciated based on their useful life. Repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred.

When assets are sold or retired, their cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts. The resulting gains or losses from dispositions of properties are reflected in the Company s statement of operations.

The Company reviews the carrying value of its investments in real estate for impairment in accordance with FAS No. 144. The Company will recognize an impairment loss on real estate assets under the following circumstances:

When an asset is designated to be held for sale and the fair value of the asset less the cost of disposal is less than the asset s carrying value, or

When market conditions or some triggering event has made it certain that the carrying amount of an asset held for use might not be recoverable and the estimated undiscounted cash flows of the asset are insufficient to recover the carrying value of the asset.

Market conditions are assessed utilizing both management s experience and external data. The Company analyzes potential absorption, market values and market rental rates for each property on a periodic basis and as conditions change. In cases of impairment, the asset will be reduced to its fair value based on the property s estimated discounted future cash flows. The amount of the reduction is recorded as an operating expense, impairment of asset.

Construction in Progress

Construction in progress consists of properties currently under development. Land acquisition costs and direct and indirect construction costs (including costs of the Company s development department) are included in construction in progress until the property or building is completed. During the construction period property taxes and insurance associated with the property under construction are capitalized as development cost. In addition, interest is capitalized monthly based on the average construction balance multiplied by the Company s weighted average interest on debt outstanding during the month. Interest and other operating costs incurred for such items after the property is substantially complete and ready for its intended use are charged to expense as incurred. At the time the project is placed in service, it is reclassified into land and building and depreciated accordingly.

For industrial park and multi-phased developments, costs are assigned to individual components of the project when those costs benefit certain sites rather than the whole project. Where specific identification is impractical or costs incurred benefit the project as a whole, capitalized costs

Site acquisition costs and all other common costs are allocated to each land parcel benefited. Allocation of such costs is based on the relative

Site improvement and construction costs are allocated to individual units in the phase on the basis of relative sales value of each unit.

When allocation based on relative sales value is impracticable, capitalized costs are allocated based on acreage.

In the event a parcel within a park development is sold prior to completion of the park, the cost of the sold parcel will reflect a pro rata allocation of future common costs.

Real Estate Held for Sale

are allocated as follows:

fair value before construction.

The Company classifies properties under contract for sale, or assets otherwise designated for sale by management, which meet the criteria of FAS No. 144, as of the end of the quarter as real estate held for sale. The assets are stated at the lesser of cost net of accumulated depreciation or fair value less cost to dispose, and depreciation expense ceases.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the consolidated financial statem	ents, the Company considers	all investments purchased v	with original maturities	of three months
or less to be cash equivalents.				

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash represents escrow and reserve funds for the completion of non-taxable 1031 exchanges, real estate taxes, capital improvements, and certain security deposits. The balance of 1031 exchanges was \$53,863 and \$41,183 as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The funds in this account are invested in short term investments and valued at cost, which approximates market.

Developer Notes Receivable (Tax Increment Financing)

Tax Increment Financing (TIF) is a municipal financing and planning technique that is widely used to renovate declining areas or redevelop blighted areas while expanding a municipality s tax base. TIFs allow municipalities to make needed public and private improvements by promising to return all or a portion of the real estate tax increase generated by the improvements to the developer for a limited period of time. TIF arrangements take many forms. In some cases, municipalities issue bonds to the public to raise money to fund public improvement projects and the bonds are then repaid from either an increase in real estate taxes or a levy of special assessment on those benefiting from the improvements. Other forms of TIF arrangement involve situations where municipalities provide incentives to developers to develop owned land in an effort to increase the municipality s property tax base. These types of arrangements can take many forms and in certain cases include an outright grant of cash or developer notes receivable to the developer.

In connection with certain development projects, the Company has obtained TIF developer notes receivable from municipalities in order to finance improvements such as streets, curbs, sidewalks, building demolition, land assemblage, site rehabilitation and other eligible items. The Company accounts for developer notes receivable based on the facts and circumstances of the development, the terms of the redevelopment agreement, the source of the real estate taxes funding the TIF district and the deemed collectibility of the underlying TIF. The Company has described its accounting for each of its TIF arrangements in Note 6 and has described the financial impact of a related change in accounting principle in Note 7.

Investment in and Advances to Affiliate

The Company accounts for its investments in affiliates using the equity method whereby its cost of investment is adjusted for its share of equity in net income or loss from the date of inception and reduced by distributions received.

The equity method is applied to investments when the Company does not have a majority interest in the investee, but does have significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee company. The equity method of accounting is also applied to investees when the Company has a majority ownership but does not have a majority vote or controlling interest. In all cases, the Company evaluates the investment or joint venture, using the principles of FASB Interpretation Number 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*, as revised December, 2003, collectively (FIN 46R). When the Company has determined that the joint venture arrangement is not a variable interest entity or that the arrangement is a variable interest entity and the Company is not the primary beneficiary, then the Company applies the equity method of accounting.

Consolidation

The Company s consolidated financial statements include all of its accounts and other entities in which the Company has control. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated upon consolidation. The Company consolidates the operations of CenterPoint Realty Services Corporation (CRS), a wholly owned consolidated taxable REIT subsidiary.

CenterPoint Materials Corporation

Pursuant to the redevelopment agreement related to Center Point Intermodal Center (CIC), the Company has established a procurement company on the site. The purpose of the procurement company is to capture sales taxes for the benefit of the town of Elwood, Illinois. In addition, a portion of the sales taxes collected by the town of Elwood will be used to repay the developer notes held by the Company described in Note 6. The Company accounts for the activities of the procurement company by netting material sales with material purchases and associated costs.

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Factors that may affect CenterPoint s estimates include:

The Company s ability to monitor and predict real estate taxes assessed in arrears on owned and operating properties.

The Company s ability to forecast accurately and control spending on long term development projects where total cost estimates and future left to spend estimates have been accrued in the case of sales of a portion of the entire project.

The Company s ability to determine the fair value allocation of the tangible and intangible assets in conjunction with property acquisitions.

The Company s ability to forecast future tax receipts assessed at CIC.

Income Taxes

The Company qualified as a REIT under sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code beginning January 1, 1994. In order to qualify as a REIT, the Company was required to distribute at least 90% of its taxable ordinary income to shareholders and to meet certain asset and income tests as well as certain other requirements. As a REIT, the Company was generally not liable for Federal income taxes to the extent that it distributes its ordinary and net capital gain income to its shareholders.

CRS is subject to income taxes. In accordance with FAS No. 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases, and operating loss carry forwards of CRS. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled.

Fair value of Financial Instruments

The Company s financial instruments include cash equivalents, tenant accounts receivable, mortgage and other notes receivable, accounts payable, other accrued expenses, notes payable, and mortgage loans payable. The Company assesses the fair value of these instruments based on market rates for financial instruments with similar terms.

Common Share-Based Compensation

The Company has several common share-based employee compensation plans, which are described in detail in Note 11. As of the end of 2005, the Company accounted for these plans under the recognition and measurement principles of APB No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25) and related interpretations and the applicable disclosure requirements of FASB Statement Number 123, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation (FAS 123). The Company records restricted share grants by recognizing the fair value of stock as of the grant date as unearned compensation, a separate component of shareholders equity. Unearned compensation is then amortized to compensation expense over the expected vesting period. For options granted to employees, no compensation expense is reflected in net income as long as the options granted have exercise prices equal to the market value of underlying common shares on the date of the grant. The following table illustrates the

effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of FAS 123 and illustrates the impact of options granted.

		Year Ended December 31, 2005 2004 (in thousands, except per share data)			2003
Net income available to common					
shareholders, as reported	\$	130,014	\$	90,487 \$	74,985
Add: share based employee compensation					
expense		5,962		4,912	6,312
Deduct: total share-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects		(10,097)		(7,139)	(8,235)
Pro forma net income available to common					
shareholders	\$	125,879	\$	88,260 \$	73,062
Shareholders	Ψ	123,077	Ψ	σο,2σο φ	73,002
Per share net income available to common shareholders					
Basic - as reported	\$	2.68	\$	1.91 \$	1.63
Basic - pro forma	\$	2.59	\$	1.86 \$	1.59
Diluted - as reported	\$	2.60	\$	1.91 \$	1.63
Diluted - pro forma	\$	2.53	\$	1.86 \$	1.59

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company used interest rate protection agreements in 2004 and 2003 to lock in the interest rate on an anticipated debt offering, and may utilize interest rate protection agreements in the future. Receipts or payments that result from the settlement of rate protection agreements are recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) and amortized over the life of the new debt issuance as amortization of financing costs. During the period prior to the settlement, interest rate protection agreements that qualify for hedge accounting are marked to market and any gain or loss is recognized in other comprehensive income (loss). Any agreements that do not qualify for hedge accounting are marked to market and any gain or loss is recognized in net income.

Reclassifications

Certain items presented in the consolidated statements of operations for prior periods have been reclassified to conform with current classifications with no effect on results of operations.

Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FIN 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations (FIN 47), an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 143, Asset Retirement Obligations (FAS 143). FIN 47 provides clarification of the term conditional asset retirement obligation—as used in FAS 143, defined as a legal obligation to perform an asset retirement activity in which the timing and/or method of settlement are conditional on a future event that may or may not be within the control of the company. Under this standard, a company must record a liability for a conditional asset retirement obligation if the fair value of the obligation can be reasonably estimated. FIN 47 became effective in the Company s fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2005. Certain properties of the Company contain

asbestos. The Company s policy is to keep asbestos appropriately contained, in accordance with current environmental regulations. The Company does address asbestos in certain circumstances depending on the condition of the materials and depending on the investment needs of the property. The Company adopted FIN 47 and the initial application did not have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In May 2005 the FASB issued FAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections replacement of APB No. 20 (Accounting Changes) and FAS 3 (Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements). This statement requires companies to apply changes in accounting principle to all periods presented as if the accounting had been in place in those periods as long as the periods-specific effects of the cumulative effect can be determined. This guidance applies to voluntary changes in accounting principle and changes required by new accounting pronouncements that do not include specific transition provisions. This statement also carries forward without change the guidance contained in Opinion 20 for reporting the correction of errors in previously issued financial statements and a change in accounting estimate. This statement shall be effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005.

In June 2005, the FASB ratified the consensus reached by the EITF regarding EITF No. 05-6, Determining the Amortization Period for Leasehold Improvements. The guidance requires that leasehold improvements acquired in a business combination, or purchased subsequent to the inception of a lease, be amortized over the lesser of the useful life of the assets or a term that includes renewals that are reasonable assured at the date of the business combination or purchase. The guidance is effective for periods beginning after June 29, 2005. EITF 05-6 does not impact the Company s results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

FASB Statement Number 123(R) (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (FAS 123R) was issued on December 16, 2004. FAS 123R requires companies to expense the fair value of employee stock options and other forms of stock based compensation. As revised by the February 14, 2005 meeting of the FASB, this pronouncement is now effective for annual periods beginning after June 15, 2005. The Company plans to adopt the provisions of FAS 123R in 2006 using the modified prospective application method and expects that expenses related to stock options previously disclosed in the footnotes will be consistent with expenses recorded within the statement of operations on a prospective basis.

3. Property Acquisitions and Dispositions

During each of the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, the Company acquired 36, 55 and 13 operating properties and six, six and six land parcels, respectively, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$241,805, \$269,281 and \$127,901, respectively. The properties were funded with proceeds from properties sold, borrowings under the Company s line of credit, and proceeds of debt issuances in 2003 and 2004. The acquisitions have been accounted for utilizing the purchase method of accounting, and accordingly, the results of operations of the acquired properties are included in the consolidated statements of operations from the dates of acquisition.

The Company disposed of 51 properties and seven land parcels in 2005, 34 properties and two land parcels in 2004, and 14 properties and 14 land parcels in 2003 for aggregate proceeds of approximately \$501,349, \$191,158 and \$73,529, respectively. In 2005, the Company also disposed of four build-to-suits for sale for aggregate sale proceeds of \$56,894, which is reflected in the Company s statement of operations as build-to-suit for sale revenue. The construction costs for these properties are reflected in build-to-suit for sale construction costs in the Company s statement of operations. In 2004 CenterPoint sold its interest in Chicago Manufacturing Campus (CMC), a joint venture investment in four buildings totaling 1.6 million square feet (unaudited) between Ford Land and the Company. The Company s proceeds upon sale were \$42,774.

The following unaudited pro forma financial data for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 are presented to illustrate the estimated effects of the 2005 acquisitions as if they had occurred as of the beginning of each fiscal period presented. The pro forma information includes adjustments for the results of operations for operating properties (rental revenues, operating expenses, depreciation and amortization and interest expense). The following unaudited pro forma financial data is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations as if the 2005 acquisitions had been completed on the assumed date.

	Years Ended December 31, 2005 2004		
Revenues	\$ 202,275	\$	114,531
Income before cummulative effect of change in accounting principle	134,772		91,103
Net income available to common shareholders	128,444		88,482
Per share net income available to common shareholders - diluted	\$ 2.57	\$	1.87

The following unaudited pro forma financial data for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003 are presented to illustrate the estimated effects of the 2004 acquisitions as if they had occurred as of the beginning of the fiscal period presented. The pro forma information includes adjustments for the results of operations for operating properties (rental revenues, operating expenses, depreciation and amortization and interest expense). The following unaudited pro forma financial data is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations as if the 2004 acquisitions had been completed on the assumed date.

	Years Ended December 31,			
	2004			2003
Revenues	\$	116,633	\$	125,620
Income before cummulative effect of change in				
accounting principle		93,982		78,588
Net income available to common shareholders		91,361		75,517
Per share net income available to common shareholders -				
diluted	\$	1.93	\$	1.64

4. Mortgage and Other Notes Receivable

As of December 31, 2005, the Company had mortgages and other notes receivable outstanding of \$15,027, bearing interest at rates ranging from 5.0% to 11.0% and maturing on dates ranging from February 2006 to December 2006. As of December 31, 2004, the Company had mortgages and other notes receivable outstanding of \$75,089, bearing interest at rates ranging from 4.5% to 11.0% and maturing on dates ranging from May 2005 to March 2006.

Land and buildings have been pledged as collateral for the above notes receivable held as mortgages.

5. Investment in and Advances to Affiliates

The Company accounts for its investments in affiliates using the equity method whereby its cost of investment is adjusted for its share of equity in net income or loss from the date of acquisition and reduced by distributions received.

The Company has applied the principles of FIN 46R to its equity investees and the Company believes that the CenterPoint Venture, CenterPoint James Fielding, LLC (CNTJF) and CMC are not variable interest entities. The Company believes the Rochelle Development Joint Venture LLC

(Rochelle JV) is a variable interest entity, but has determined that our venture partner is the primary beneficiary because they are expected to bear the majority of the expected losses.

The Company has therefore applied the equity method to all of these investments. The equity method of accounting is also applied to investees when the Company has a majority ownership but does not have a majority vote or controlling interest.

CenterPoint Venture

On September 23, 2004, CRS and CalEast Industrial, an investment vehicle between CalPERS and LaSalle Investment Management, Inc., the investment management business of LaSalle, entered into an amended and restated limited liability company agreement for CenterPoint Venture. The agreement, which extends CenterPoint Venture to June, 2012, sets forth the terms and conditions relating to the organization of CenterPoint Venture and sets forth the relationship between CenterPoint Venture and its members. The agreement also governs the management, dissolution, liquidation and termination of CenterPoint Venture. Pursuant to the agreement, CRS has an equity commitment of \$66,700 and CalEast Industrial has an equity commitment in the amount of \$200,000. CenterPoint Venture also expanded and extended its line of credit facility to \$150,000. At December 31, 2005, CRS maintains a 25% investment in CenterPoint Venture.

The Company has considered this amendment as a triggering event for the re-evaluation of CenterPoint Venture as it relates to FIN 46R. The Company believes that CenterPoint Venture, as governed by the amended and restated limited liability company agreement, is still not a variable interest entity and therefore is accounted for using the equity method.

As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company s investment in CenterPoint Venture was \$8,463 and \$11,797, respectively, and is included in investments in and advances to affiliates on the balance sheets.

The following table portrays certain operating information (unaudited) for CenterPoint Venture as of the end of December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003:

	2005	2004	2003
Number of owned warehouse/industrial properties	6	16	17
Square footage of owned warehouse/industrial properties	1.0 million	1.8 million	2.4 million
Occupancy	48.7%	67.7%	76.9%

In 2000, CRS paid an additional \$1,800 in syndication fees relating to CenterPoint Venture and is amortizing these fees on a straight-line basis over the life of CenterPoint Venture, 7 years. Amortization of the syndication fees of \$78, \$212 and \$257 were included in equity in net income (loss) of affiliates on the Company s Consolidated Statement of Operations for each of the twelve months ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively. In 2004, the amortization was adjusted for the additional 5 year extension. Unamortized syndication fees of \$503, \$581 and \$793 are included in investments in affiliates in the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Rochelle Development Joint Venture

In December 2004, CenterPoint entered into a joint venture agreement with UBS Real Estate to develop CenterPoint Intermodal Center Rochelle (CIC - Rochelle), a 362 acre industrial park located less than one mile from the Union Pacific Railroad s 1,230 acre intermodal facility in Rochelle, Illinois. Rochelle JV was capitalized with initial equity commitments of \$60,000 by UBS Real Estate and \$15,000 by CenterPoint, supported by a \$30,000 subscription facility led by Wachovia Securities. The venture expects to develop and sell leased facilities or develop facilities to be owned by users. At December 31, 2005 CenterPoint maintains a 20% interest in the Rochelle JV.

The Company has considered FIN 46R as it relates to the joint venture. The Company believes that the Rochelle JV is a variable interest entity, but has determined that UBS is the primary beneficiary because they are expected to bear the majority of the expected losses. Accordingly, the Company accounts for its investment in this joint venture using the equity method of accounting. The Company s maximum exposure to loss as of December 31, 2005 is \$2,385.

As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company s investment in Rochelle JV was (\$245) and (\$237), respectively, and is included in investments in and advances to affiliates on the balance sheets.

In 2005 and 2004, the Company earned development fees of \$185 and \$388, respectively, from the Rochelle JV. In 2004, \$78 of the development fee was deferred due to the Company's partial ownership. These fees were recognized in 2005.

CenterPoint James Fielding, LLC

CNTJF, a venture between the Company and the JF US Industrial Trust, a property trust listed on the Australian Stock Exchange and managed by James Fielding Funds Management Limited, was formed on May 19, 2005 to acquire and lease warehouse properties.

In 2005, the Company closed on the sale of 31 properties to CNTJF, which occurred in three phases. As a result of the sales, the Company deferred \$13,337 of its gain. \$3,381 is related to the Company s 5% ownership of CNTJF and is included in investment in affiliate on the balance sheets. The remaining \$9,956 of deferred gain relates to a short term yield guarantee and is included in accrued expenses on the balance sheets. As of December 31, 2005, the Company s remaining balance on the short term yield guarantee was \$5,329. Also, in conjunction with these sales, CNTJF signed a promissory note in the amount of \$3,800 payable to the Company and maturing in February 2006. The note appears in mortgage and other notes receivable on the Company s balance sheets.

As of December 31, 2005, an additional 9 properties are under contract to be sold to CNTJF in the first quarter of 2006 and are included in real estate held for sale, net of depreciation and amortization on the Company s balance sheets.

In addition to the Company s 5% ownership interest in CNTJF, the Company is managing the portfolio for fees. Fees earned for the year ended December 31, 2005 amount to \$241. At December 31, 2005, the Company had \$47 receivable for these fees included in prepaid expenses and other assets on the Company s balance sheets.

As of December 31, 2005, the Company s investment in CNTJF was \$892, which includes the deferred gains described above, and is included in investments in and advances to affiliates on the balance sheets.

Chicago Manufacturing Campus

On January 14, 2002, CenterPoint finalized a joint venture agreement with Ford Motor Land Development Corporation (Ford Land) to develop Ford s new automotive supplier manufacturing campus located on Chicago s southeast side. CMC, was owned 51% by CenterPoint and 49% by Ford Land. The park occupies a 155-acre former brownfield site located approximately one-half mile from Ford s Chicago Assembly Plant on the southeast side of Chicago, near the intersection of 126th Street and Torrence Avenue. Site preparation and construction of four buildings, or 1.6 million square feet was completed in the third quarter of 2003.

On March 3, 2004, CenterPoint and Ford Land sold 90% of their respective interests in CMC to CalEast CMC Holding LLC, a subsidiary of CalEast Industrial. The remaining 10% of CenterPoint s and Ford Land s interests was sold to CalEast CMC Holding LLC on December 14, 2004.

As a result of the 100% membership interest sales mentioned above, the Company was able to recognize \$1,240 in previously deferred fees in the first quarter of 2004 and \$324 in previously deferred fees in the fourth quarter of 2004.

Aside from the sale of the Company s interest in 2004, the Company maintains a 51% interest in Chicago Manufacturing Campus II, which is comprised of approximately 30 acres of developable land. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company s investment in this venture amounts to \$1,834 and \$1,851, respectively, and is included in investments in and advances to affiliates on the balance sheets.

6. Tax Increment Financing Arrangements

As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company was party to two TIF arrangements; the first related to the 2,200 acre CIC in the city of Elwood, Illinois, and the second related to the 246 acre CenterPoint Business Center McCook North (CBC - North McCook) in the city of McCook, Illinois. The Company s accounting treatment for each development is dependent on the facts, circumstances and substance of each TIF arrangement.

All of the TIF arrangements were provided to the Company in connection with redevelopment plans that the Company and each respective municipality are currently involved with or were involved with in the past. In each instance, the Company has incurred capital costs related to the improvement of certain blighted areas or previously undeveloped land. Also, in all cases the redevelopment agreements establish development plans and the arrangement by which the city has provided incentives to CenterPoint, documented in developer notes. However, the terms related to each TIF arrangement, developer note and the source of real estate tax increment used to repay the developer notes receivable are each unique. Below is a summary of the Company s accounting treatment for each TIF arrangement:

CIC The CIC project encompasses an entire 2,200 acre TIF district established to develop land previously utilized by the United States Army training area. The Company planned to develop this land into a 620 acre intermodal yard and adjacent industrial park. In return, the city promised all tax increments produced to be returned to CenterPoint through June of 2023. This arrangement was documented in the redevelopment agreement and developer notes bearing interest at 10.0% and terminating in 2023.

In this case, CIC represents an entire TIF district. Therefore, the Company s developer notes are serviced solely by the tax increment produced by the Company s real estate development. Upon completion of each individual project within the CIC development, the Company does not recognize developer notes receivable because the source of the repayments and the collectibility of the notes had not been established. The TIF district s tax increments were solely reliant on the success of the development, which was owned by the Company. Therefore, during the term of the Company s ownership, CenterPoint accounts for the incentives provided by the city as tax abatements as earned. The Company recorded \$142, \$526 and \$1,060 for tax abatements during the three years ended 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

CBC-North McCook The CBC North McCook project encompasses an entire 242 acre TIF district established to redevelop a blighted industrial site. The Company plans to develop this site into a retail and industrial park. The city promised the Company a certain dollar amount of tax increments as documented in the redevelopment agreement and developer notes bearing no interest and terminating in December 2027.

In this case, CBC North McCook represents the entire TIF district. The city s incentives provided to the Company are solely serviced by the tax increments produced by the Company s development and its success. The Company completed two projects at this site in 2005 and has estimated the total taxes produced by these properties to be less than the original taxes on the project. Therefore, no increment was realized.

2003 Change in TIF Accounting Policy

As of December 31, 2002 and for the three quarters ending September 30, 2003, the Company had been applying the same accounting principle for all of the developer notes described above and other notes that they were party to previously. The developer notes were recorded when the collectibility of the developer notes had been demonstrated. The recorded value of such notes were accounted for as cost reimbursement arrangements; thereby reducing the basis of the related development.

During the fourth quarter of 2003 the Company changed its accounting policy related to developer notes receivable where the sole source of real estate tax increment will be produced by the Company s own development activities. Where this is the case, the real estate tax increments paid to the municipality are essentially returned to the Company. Accordingly, the developer note receivable is more representative of a real estate tax abatement arrangement than a cost reimbursement arrangement that is being funded over time through municipal revenue sources derived from third parties.

As described above, the CIC project encompasses an entire TIF district and this TIF district is the sole source of real estate tax increment for purposes of servicing the city's commitment, incentives and developer notes. The Company's accounting policy for the CIC developer notes changed in 2003 from a cost reimbursement arrangement to a real estate tax abatement arrangement. The new accounting policy reflected the fact that when the Company pays real estate taxes at the CIC development, the incremental taxes flow through the city of Elwood and are returned to the Company. Under this accounting principle, when the Company accrues real estate taxes at the CIC (because real estate taxes are paid one year in arrears) the Company simultaneously accrues an abatement receivable to offset the tax expense incurred.

As the Company sells land parcels in the CIC development third parties become responsible for future real estate taxes; however, the Company will continue to receive tax increment payments produced by such parcels until 2023, the maturity date of the CIC developer notes. Therefore, when third parties become responsible for paying future real estate taxes (e.g. upon sale), the Company will recognize the developer notes receivable in an amount equal to the discounted value of estimated future real estate tax increment receipts related to the sold parcels though the end of the developer note agreement. The notes recognized will decrease the carrying cost of parcels upon sale, and therefore, increase the gain recognized upon sale.

The estimate of future real estate taxes on sold parcels is based on current year tax bills and third party estimates of future taxes. The discount rate used to determine the present value of the future real estate taxes is the face rate on the developer notes receivable (10% in the case of the CIC developer notes).

Since the Company has adopted this change in accounting policy in the fourth quarter of 2003, the new policy has been retroactively applied to the first quarter of 2003, resulting in a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle of \$6,528, representing the establishment of CIC developer notes and interest receivable of \$5,904 for sold parcels at CIC and \$624 for real estate tax abatements related to 2002 real estate taxes at CIC. This change in accounting treatment also increased net income (over that which would have been reported under the previous accounting policy) for the first nine months of 2003 by \$3,684, which relates to 2003 real estate tax abatements at CIC and the recognition of additional developer note principal and interest receivables due to the sale of parcels of CIC during 2003. The new accounting policy is deemed to more appropriately reflect the substance of the CIC developer notes and the agreement that the Company has with the town of Elwood, Illinois.

7. Financial Impact of Change in Accounting for TIF Notes

As described in Note 6, the Company adopted a new accounting principle for certain developer notes in 2003. The following is a summary of the results of operations of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 and the proforma results of operations assuming the new method of accounting for certain developer notes for those same periods was applied retroactively.

		2005	Years En	nded December 31, 2004		2003
Results of operations as reported:						
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of tax	\$	136,342	\$	93,108	\$	78,056 6,528
Net income Preferred dividends		136,342 (6,328)		93,108 (2,621)		84,584 (9,599)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	130,014	\$	90,487	\$	74,985
Basic EPS: Income available to common shareholders from before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Net income available to common shareholders Diluted EPS: Income available to common shareholders from before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Net income available to common shareholders	\$ \$ \$	2.68 2.68 2.60 2.60	\$ \$ \$	1.91 1.91 1.91 1.91	\$ \$ \$	1.49 0.14 1.63 1.49 0.14 1.63
Proforma results of operations assuming new method of accounting for certain developer notes was applied retroactively:						
Net income Preferred dividends	\$	136,342 (6,328)	\$	93,108 (2,621)	\$	78,056 (9,599)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	130,014	\$	90,487	\$	68,457
Basic EPS: Net income available to common shareholders	\$	2.68	\$	1.91	\$	1.49
Diluted EPS: Net income available to common shareholders	\$	2.60	\$	1.91	\$	1.49

8. Deferred Expenses

Fully amortized deferred expenses of \$2,755 and \$1,801 were written off in 2005 and 2004, respectively. In connection with property dispositions, the Company also wrote off unamortized deferred leasing, customer value, lease value and other costs of \$11,348 and \$8,468 in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The balances are as follows:

	Decem	ber 3	1,
	2005		2004
Deferred financing costs, net of accumulated			
amortization of \$6,243 and \$5,129	\$ 6,979	\$	8,559
Deferred lease value, net of accumulated			
amortization of \$4,634 and \$2,210	10,716		12,504
Deferred customer value, net of accumulated			
amortization of \$227 and \$122	2,469		2,372
Deferred leasing and other costs, net of accumulated			
amortization of \$4,858 and \$5,140	10,028		11,178
	\$ 30,192	\$	34,613

As of December 31, 2005, certain properties under contract for sale had \$2,175 of lease and customer values which were included in real estate held for sale, net of depreciation on the balance sheets.

9. Long Term Debt

The long-term debt as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 consists of the following:

Property Pledged as Collateral		Amount o December 3		Interest Rate	Periodic Payment Terms	Estimated Balloon Payment at Maturity	Final Maturity Date
Mortgage Notes Payable and							
Other Debt:							
7620 S. 10th Street, Oak Creek, WI			1,871	8.05%	22(a)	1,795	08/01/05
11801 South Central, Alsip, IL	2,859		3,218	7.35%	49(a)		01/01/12
16750 Vincennes, South Holland,							
IL	3,824		3,897	7.75%	31(a)	3,514	08/15/09
Designated pool of four properties	11,820		12,530	7.05%	131(a)	9,661	09/01/08
Designated pool of eight properties							
(b)	14,111		14,499	7.17%	101(a)	13,086	05/31/08
Designated pool of six properties							
(b)	14,716		15,136	7.17%	105(a)	13,611	07/01/08
Designated pool of two properties							
(c)	6,702			7.78%	53(a)	5,328	08/01/10
11925 W. Carmen, Milwaukee, WI							
(d)	500			6.24%	(d)	500	11/20/13
Non-recourse TIF debt (e)	8,837		21,958	8.00%	(e)		06/15/23
	63,369		73,109				
Senior Unsecured Debt:							
Bonds Payable - 1998 (f)			100,000	6.75%	(g)	100,000	04/01/05
Bonds Payable - 2002	150,000		150,000	5.75%	(g)	150,000	08/15/09
Bonds Payable - 2003	150,000		150,000	4.75%	(g)	150,000	08/01/10
Bonds Payable - 2004 (h)	150,000		150,000	5.25%	(g)	150,000	07/15/11
	450,000		550,000				
Tax Exempt Debt:							
City of Chicago Revenue Bonds -							
1997	44,100		44,100	(i)	(j)	44,100	09/08/32
City of Chicago Revenue Bonds -							
2002	47,000		47,000	(k)	(j)	47,000	03/01/37
Illinois Department Finance							
Authority	2,690		2,900	(1)	(j)		12/01/18
INAFA Enterprise Center (b)	24,900		24,900	(b)	(j)	24,900	06/01/22
City of Chicago, IL Enterprise							
Center (m)	23,250			(m)	(j)	23,250	06/01/22
	141,940		118,900				
Line of Credit:							
Revolving line of credit	27,200		131,500	(n)	(n)		06/30/06
Total long term debt	\$ 682,509	\$	873,509				

⁽a) Amount represents the monthly payment of principal and interest.

⁽b) Along with the purchase of a portfolio of properties from the Prime Realty Group (Prime) in October and November 2004, the Company assumed two mortgage notes payable and one issuance of tax exempt debt. The first

mortgage note was collateralized by a pool of eight properties, bearing interest at a face rate of 7.17% and terminating on May 31, 2008. The second mortgage note was collateralized by a pool of six properties, bearing interest at a face rate of 7.17% and terminating on July 1, 2008. As part of these acquisitions, the Company recognized a \$686 mortgage premium on the first mortgage and a \$715 mortgage premium on the second mortgage, due to the interest rate being higher than market, 5.5% at the time of the purchase. These mortgage premiums are included on the mortgage note s balance in this table and in the Company s consolidated balance sheets. These premiums are being amortized over the terms of the respective loans. The tax exempt debt was Variable/Fixed Rate Demand Tax Exempt bonds issued by the state of Indiana. The tax exempt bonds are enhanced by a letter of credit, which contains certain financial covenants pertaining to consolidated net worth. The tax-exempt bonds bear interest at a Weekly Adjustable Interest Rate determined by the Remarketing Agent (3.56% and 2.08% at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively). The tax exempt bonds require monthly payments of interest only and mature in June 2022.

(c) Along with the purchase of a portfolio of properties from HSA Commercial Real Estate in January 2005, the Company assumed two mortgages totaling \$25,323. The first mortgage note was collateralized by a pool of two properties, bearing interest at a face rate of 7.78% and terminating in August 2010. The second

mortgage note was collateralized by one property, bearing interest at a face rate of 7.0% and terminating in August 2009. As part of the acquisition, the Company recognized a \$1,554 mortgage premium due to the interest rate of each note being higher than market, 5.5% at the time of the purchase. The Company has since repaid \$19,487 of these notes in conjunction with subsequent property sales. The remaining mortgage premium is included on the mortgage note s balance in this table and in the Company s consolidated balance sheets.

- (d) Along with the purchase of 11925 W. Carmen, Milwaukee, Wisconsin in June 2005, the company assumed a \$500 mortgage. The mortgage is collateralized by the property, bearing interest at a face rate of 6.24% and maturing on November 20, 2013. The mortgage requires annual payments of interest only.
- (e) On January 22, 2004, the Company completed the sale of \$48,000 of TIF developer notes related to CIC. Debt service payments related to the \$48,000 in developer notes sold will come from real estate tax increments levied on the entire CIC project. Real estate tax increment on Company owned parcels will be paid by the Company while third parties will pay the increment on sold properties. As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company is carrying \$8,837 and \$21,958, respectively, of the \$48,000 in sold TIF developer notes on its balance sheet in mortgage notes payable and other debt. The note balance has been reduced due to the recognition of the discounted value of expected future real estate tax increment payments to be made by third parties resulting from property sales at CIC, which, absent the sale of the \$48,000 in TIF Notes, would be recognized as a note receivable on the Company s balance sheet. This liability, however, is entirely non-recourse to the Company and is not a legal liability of the Company.
- (f) The Company paid off its outstanding \$100,000 senior unsecured notes, which were bearing interest at a rate of 6.75%, on April 1, 2005, their maturity date.
- (g) The note requires semi-annual payments of interest only.
- (h) On July 12, 2004, the Company issued \$150,000 in unsecured, 7-year notes that bear interest at a face rate of 5.25% and maturing in 2011. The proceeds from the issuance were \$146,517 after issuance costs and the settlement of an interest rate lock for \$1,739. The settlement of the interest rate lock is included in accumulated other comprehensive loss and is being amortized over the term of the debt as amortization of deferred financing costs (\$248 and \$104 in 2005 and 2004, respectively).
- These Variable/Fixed Rate Demand Special Facilities Airport Revenue Bonds issued by the City of Chicago, Illinois are enhanced by a letter of credit. The letter of credit contains certain financial covenants pertaining to consolidated net worth. The tax-exempt bonds bear initial interest at a Weekly Adjustable Interest Rate determined by the Remarketing Agent (3.63% and 2.03% at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively). The bonds require monthly payments of interest only and mature in September, 2032. Of the original proceeds, the Company holds \$1,471 and \$1,444 in escrow (shown in restricted cash and cash equivalents) at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, for future construction costs.

- (j) The note requires monthly payments of interest only.
- (k) These Variable/Fixed Rate Demand Special Facilities Airport Revenue Bonds issued by the City of Chicago, Illinois are enhanced by a letter of credit. The letter of credit contains certain financial covenants pertaining to consolidated net worth. The tax-exempt bonds bear interest at a Weekly Adjustable Interest Rate determined by the Remarketing Agent (3.56% and 2.08% at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively). The bonds require monthly payments of interest only and mature in March, 2037. Of the original proceeds, the Company holds \$21,661 and \$21,829 in escrow (shown in restricted cash and cash equivalents) as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, for future construction costs.
- (1) The Adjustable Rate Revenue Bonds, issued by the Illinois Department Financing Authority, are enhanced by a letter of credit. The bonds bear interest at a Weekly Adjustable Interest Rate determined by the Remarketing Agent (3.56% and 2.08% at December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively). The bonds require monthly payments of interest only and mature in December, 2018. On every December 1st since the

Company assumed the loan, the Company is required to make a principal payment of \$210 in accordance with the terms of the loan.

- (m) On January 4, 2005, the Company marketed \$23,250 in tax-exempt bonds, issued by the City of Chicago, Illinois. These adjustable rate revenue bonds are enhanced by a letter of credit. These bonds were acquired by the Company at the time of the portfolio acquisition from Prime, completed in October 2004 (see b above). They had been issued but unmarketed by Prime. These bonds bear interest at a Weekly Adjustable Interest Rate determined by the Remarketing Agent (3.56% at December 31, 2005). The bonds require monthly payments of interest only and mature in June 2022.
- In June 2003, the Company extended its unsecured line of credit facility, which originated in 1996 and was previously amended in 2000. The interest rate at December 31, 2004 reflects the rates paid under different LIBOR contracts ranging from 2.8400% to 3.2375% (LIBOR plus 0.8%) and there were no Prime Rate contracts outstanding. At December 31, 2005, the interest rate payable under LIBOR contracts was 5.1750% (LIBOR plus 0.8%) and the interest rate payable under Prime Rate contracts was 7.2500%. The line requires payments of interest only when LIBOR contracts mature and monthly on borrowings under Prime Rate. On August 23, 2005, the Company reduced its available line of credit facility from \$350,000 to \$250,000, and the Company s commitment fee was reduced from \$700 per year to \$500 per year, or 20 basis points. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company had \$222,800 and \$218,500, respectively, available under the line.

As of December 31, 2005 mortgage notes, other debt, senior unsecured debt, tax exempt debt and line of credit mature as follows:

	Total
2006	\$ 29,798
2007	2,737
2008	38,404
2009	154,610
2010	156,299
Thereafter	300,661
Total	\$ 682,509

Based on borrowing rates available to the Company at the end of 2005 and 2004 for mortgage loans with similar terms and maturities, the fair value of the fixed interest rate mortgage notes payable was \$501,060 compared to \$504,532 carrying value for 2005 and \$602,358 compared to \$601,151 carrying value for 2004.

Land, buildings and equipment with an aggregate net book value of approximately \$92,208 at December 31, 2005 and \$84,601 at December 31, 2004 have been pledged as collateral for the above mortgage debt.

Shareholders Equity

10.

Common Shares of Beneficial Interest As of December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company had outstanding shares of 48,762,165 and 48,900,040, respectively. Series A and Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest On November 10, 1997, the Company issued 3,000,000 shares of its Series A Preferred Shares at a purchase price of \$25 per share. Dividends on the Preferred Shares were cumulative from the date of issuance and

payable quarterly commencing on January 30, 1998. The Series A Preferred Shares were not redeemable prior to October 30, 2002.

On May 5, 2003, the Company issued \$75,000 of variable rate Series C Preferred Shares through a private placement to an institutional investor. The initial dividend rate on the Series C Preferred Shares was three month LIBOR plus 150 basis points. On May 6, 2003, proceeds from this issuance were used to redeem all outstanding shares of the Company s Series A Preferred Shares (redemption announced April 1, 2003) for an aggregate redemption price of \$25.0353 per Series A Preferred Share (approximately \$75,106). On July 7, 2003, the Company redeemed its Series C Preferred Shares with proceeds from the Company s line of credit. Preferred dividends on the Company s statement of operations was increased by \$3,101 and \$191 due to the difference between the fair value of the consideration transferred to the holders of the shares and the carrying amount of the Series A Preferred Shares and the Series C Preferred Shares, respectively.

Series B Convertible Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of Beneficial Interest

On June 23, 1999, the Company completed a public offering of 1,000,000 shares of 7.50% Series B Convertible Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares (Series B Preferred Shares) at a purchase price of \$50.00 per share. Dividends on the Series B Preferred Shares are cumulative from the date of issuance and payable quarterly commencing on September 30, 1999. The payment of dividends and amounts upon liquidation will rank senior to the Common Shares. The shares have no maturity date, but may be redeemed by the Company for \$50.00 per share after June 30, 2004. The shares are convertible into common shares at a conversion price of \$21.75 per common share, equivalent to a conversion rate of 2.2989 to 1. The Company converted 73,051 shares and 691,062 shares into common shares in accordance with the share agreement in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Series D Flexible Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares

On December 14, 2004 the Company completed a public offering of 100,000 shares of 5.377% Series D Flexible Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares (the Series D Preferred Shares) at a purchase price of \$1,000.00 per share. The Company received net proceeds of \$98,427 after offering costs. Dividends will accrue from the date of original issuance and initially will be payable semiannually in arrears at a fixed rate of 5.377%, commencing on June 15, 2005 through December 14, 2009. Unless the Company decides to redeem or remarket the shares to fix the dividend rate for periods allowed for in the prospectus, after five years the dividends rate will automatically adjust to a variable rate reset quarterly equal to 1.85%, plus the greater of (i) the 3-month LIBOR rate; (ii) the 10-year Treasury rate: and (iii) the 30-year Treasury rate, as defined by the prospectus. The shares have no stated maturity, sinking fund or mandatory redemption and are not convertible into any other securities of the Company.

Earnings Per Common Share

Following are the reconciliations of the numerators and denominators for computing basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) data:

		2005	Years Eı	nded December 31, 2004		2003
Numerators:						
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	351	\$	(11,170)	\$	3,360
Gain on sale of real estate, net of tax		7,082		185		5,421
Dividend on preferred shares		(6,328)		(2,621)		(9,599)
Income available to common shareholders from continuing						
operations - for basic and diluted EPS		1,105		(13,606)		(818)
Discontinued operations						
Gain on sale, net of tax		111,176		57,412		36,308
Income from operations of sold properties, net of tax		17,733		46,681		32,967
Discontinued operations - for basic and diluted EPS		128,909		104,093		69,275
Income available to common shareholders before cumulative effect						
of change in accounting principle		130,014		90,487		68,457
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle - for basic and diluted EPS						6,528
Net income available to common shareholders - for basic and	Ф	120.014	¢.	00.407	¢.	74.005
diluted EPS	\$	130,014	\$	90,487	\$	74,985
Denominators:						
		49 522 290		47 264 105		46 021 460
Weighted average common shares outstanding - for basic EPS		48,532,280		47,364,105		46,021,460
Effect of share options and grants		1,518,084		1,793,806		1,466,620
Weighted average common shares outstanding - for diluted EPS		50,050,364		49,157,911		47,488,080
Basic EPS:						
Income available to common shareholders from continuing	\$	0.02	\$	(0.29)	\$	(0.02)
operations Discontinued energians	Ф	2.66	Ф	2.20	Ф	(0.02)
Discontinued operations Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		2.00		2.20		0.14
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	2.68	¢	1.91	\$	
Net income available to common snareholders	Þ	2.08	\$	1.91	Ф	1.63
Diluted EPS:						
Income available to common shareholders from continuing						
operations	\$	0.02	\$	(0.29)	\$	(0.02)
Discontinued operations	φ	2.58	Ф	2.20	φ	1.51
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		2.38		2.20		0.14
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	2.60	\$	1.91	\$	1.63
thet income available to common shareholders	Ф	2.00	Ф	1.91	Ф	1.03

Pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 128, Earnings Per Share, the weighted average common shares outstanding for diluted EPS is the same as the weighted average common shares outstanding for basic EPS due to the loss from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003.

The assumed conversion of convertible preferred stock into common shares for purposes of computing diluted EPS by adding convertible preferred dividends to the numerator and adding assumed share conversions to the denominator for 2005, 2004 and 2003 would be anti-dilutive.

11. Stock Incentive Plans

As of December 31, 2005 the Company has reserved 285,847 common shares for future issuance under the 2003 Omnibus Employee Retention and Incentive Plan (the 2003 Plan), and 2,000,000 common shares for future issuance under the dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan.

2003 Omnibus Employee Retention and Incentive Plan

On May 16, 2003, the Shareholders adopted the 2003 Plan to permit the Company to continue to make share based awards as part of the Company's long-term compensation plan. In accordance with the 2003 Plan, no further grants or option awards will be made under the 2000 Omnibus Employee Retention and Incentive Plan (the 2000 Plan). As amended by the June 2004 two-for-one share split of Common Shares, the 2003 Plan authorizes the award of 2,400,000 shares over its term. The 2003 Plan is administered by a committee (the Committee) consisting of two or more non-employee trustees designated by the Board of Trustees of the Company. No awards may be granted under the 2003 Plan after July 31, 2006. The terms of the 2003 Plan are highlighted below:

First, the 2003 Plan authorizes the Committee to grant options to purchase the Company s common shares in the form of incentive stock options (ISO_s) or other tax-qualified options which may be subsequently authorized under the federal tax laws. The exercise price of the options may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of common shares at the time of issuance.

Second, the 2003 Plan authorizes the Committee to grant appreciation rights to key employees, which entitles the grantee to receive upon exercise the excess of (a) the fair market value of the specified number of shares at the time of exercise over (b) a price specified by the Committee which may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the common shares at the time of grant. The term of the appreciation rights shall be fixed by the Committee, but no appreciation rights shall be exercisable more than 10 years after the date of grant.

Third, the 2003 Plan authorizes the Committee to grant restricted shares or equivalents of the Company s common shares. The restriction periods may vary at the Committee s discretion, but may not be less than one year.

Finally, the 2003 Plan authorizes the Committee to grant performance awards to employees in the form of either performance shares, representing one share of the Company's common shares, or performance units, representing an amount established by the Committee at the time of the award. At the time the award is made, the Committee will establish superior and satisfactory performance targets measuring the Company's performance over a set period. The actual awards will be determined by the Committee measured against these goals.

Restricted Stock Grants

During 2005, 2004 and 2003 under the terms of the 2003 Plan and the 2000 Plan, the Compensation Committee of the Company awarded employees, officers and directors restricted shares as follows:

Date	Number of Restricted Shares Awarded	Grant Price
2000 Plan	Shares 11 war ded	Grunt Trice
Restricted Shares		
March 7, 2003	229,828	\$ 28.15
March 25, 2003	400	29.19

Edgar Filing: CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

May 15, 2003	2,042	30.05
<u>2003 Plan</u>		
Restricted Shares		
May 16, 2003	902	30.28
March 2, 2004	114,920	39.50
June 18, 2004	7,600	37.49
February 9, 2005	487	44.10
March 8, 2005	128,758	44.99
April 25, 2005	63	40.45
May 16, 2005	9,900	42.36
Restricted Share Equivalents		
March 2, 2004	23,508	39.50
March 8, 2005	21,603	44.99

All of the restricted share grants mentioned above were awarded in the name of the participants, each of whom have all rights of other common shareholders, subject to certain restrictions and forfeiture provisions. Unearned compensation was recorded at the date of award based on the market value of the shares.

According to the terms of each respective grant and share equivalents agreement, and in accordance with the 2003 Plan, the above restricted share grants and restricted share equivalents are designed to vest at the earlier of eight years or in twenty percent increments at the close of business on the last day of the period commencing at least two years after the date of the award and including 60 consecutive trading days such that the average total shareholder return for such trading days equals or exceeds 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, and 70%. Total shareholder return includes the cumulative share price appreciation plus dividends or dividend equivalents since the award.

The 2005 and 2004 restricted share equivalents were awarded in the name of the participants, each having dividend equivalent rights equal to dividends paid to common shareholders. Upon vesting, under the terms of the share equivalent agreements, the participants will receive cash equal to the number of equivalents held multiplied by the closing price of the Company s stock on the day the equivalents vest. The Company is using variable-plan-accounting treatment for these share equivalents. Changes in the quoted market value of the Company s shares between the date of grant and each balance sheet date result in a change in the measure of compensation expense for the share equivalents. The dividend equivalent is recorded as compensation expense in the period the dividend is declared.

As of December 31, 2005, the Company had the following unvested, restricted share grants outstanding at the following grant prices:

	Number of Shares at	Number of Shares Outstanding at	
Date of Grant	Original Issuance	December 31, 2005	Grant Price
<u>2003 Plan</u>			
March 2, 2004	114,920	101,930	\$ 39.50
June 18, 2004	7,600	7,600	37.49
February 9, 2005	487	487	44.10
March 8, 2005	128,758	117,663	44.99
April 25, 2005	63	63	40.45
May 16, 2005	9,900	9,900	42.36

According to the terms of previous incentive plans, the restricted share grants awarded prior to 2004 are designed to vest at the earlier of eight years or at the close of business on the last day of a period commencing at least two years after the date of the award and including 60 consecutive trading days such that the average total shareholder return for such trading days equals or exceeds 60%.

During 2005, 2004 and 2003, the Company has incurred additional share grant expense upon the early vesting of certain 2000 Plan and 2003 plan share grants. The following table summarizes this activity and their impact on each respective reporting period:

		Number of	A	dditional Compensation
Early Vesting Date	Original Issue Date	Shares Vested		Expense
November 23, 2003	February 21, 2001	276,072	\$	4,158
April 23, 2004	January 29, 2002	196,416		3,487
June 16, 2005	March 7, 2003	222,691		4,044
August 3, 2005	March 25, 2003	400		8
December 1, 2005	May 15, 2003	2,042		42

December 12, 2005 May 16, 2003 902 18

The amount amortized to expense, inclusive of the early vesting charge, during 2005, 2004, and 2003 was \$5,512, \$4,912 and \$6,312, respectively. In 2005, the Company capitalized a portion of the stock grant vesting related to development department efforts on projects under development of \$450.

Director Stock Plan

The 1995 Director Stock Plan is for an aggregate of 150,000 common shares and provides that each independent director, upon election or re-election to the Board, must receive 50% and may elect to receive 100% of his annual retainer fee in Common Shares at the market price on such date. The plan terminated on December 31, 2004 in accordance with its terms; therefore no shares were issued in 2005. In 2004 and 2003, 4,244 and 3,050 Common Shares were issued under this plan, respectively. In connection with the issuance of such shares, \$150 and \$92 was charged to expense in 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Stock Options Outstanding

For the three year period ended December 31, 2005, the Compensation Committee of the Company granted employees, officers and trustees share options as follows under the terms of the 2003 Plan and the 2000 Plan:

	Number of Shares Options	
Date of Issue	Issued	Exercise Price
<u>2000 Plan</u>		
March 7, 2003	278,160	\$ 28.15
<u>2003 Plan</u>		
May 16, 2003	76,000	30.28
June 10, 2003	325,160	30.68
March 2, 2004	722,502	39.50
June 28, 2004	76,000	37.49
February 9, 2005	4,875	44.10
March 8, 2005	825,930	44.99
April 25, 2005	625	40.45
May 16, 2005	99,000	42.36

The options from both the 2003 Plan and the 2000 Plan were granted at fair market value on the date of grant and have a 10-year term. They become exercisable in 20% annual increments after one year from date of grant. Option activity for the three years ended December 31, 2005 is summarized below:

	2005			2004			2003			
		Weighted Average Exercise				Weighted Average Exercise			Weighted Average Exercise	
	Shares		Price	Shares		Price	Shares		Price	
Outstanding at beginning of year	4,019,921	\$	25.57	3,846,642	\$	21.23	3,577,442	\$	19.00	
Granted	930,430		44.70	798,502		39.31	679,320		29.60	
Exercised	(341,400)		18.23	(625,223)		16.38	(410,120)		15.62	

Terminated	(268,496)	41.53				
Outstanding at end of year	4,340,455	29.27	4,019,921	25.57	3,846,642	21.23
Exercisable at end of year	1,652,061		1,100,305		1,044,090	
Available for future grant	285,847		1,076,916		1,997,938	
Weighted average per share						
value of options granted during						
the year		\$ 5.83		\$ 4.48		\$ 2.99
		F-:	31			

In the first quarter of 2005, the Company entered into a service and employment with John S. Gates, Jr., the former Chief Executive Officer of the Company, which among other terms, amended the life of his option agreements to be effectively vested on December 31, 2005. As a result of this re-pricing, the Company incurred a compensation charge of \$1,540 in 2005 related to the amortization of the value of his share options then outstanding in accordance with FIN 44, Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation, an interpretation of APB 25.

The fair value of each option grant was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

	2005	2004	2003
Risk free interest rate	3.94%	3.54%	2.75%
Dividend yield	3.77%	3.97%	4.12%
Expected lives	6 years	6 years	6 years
Expected volatility	16.26%	15.93%	16.62%

The following table summarizes information about stock options at December 31, 2005:

	Options outstan	ding		Options 1	Exercisable	
Range of Exercise Price	Number Outstanding at 12/31/05	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	8	Number Exercisable at 12/31/05	Weighted Average Exercise Price	
\$24.35- \$39.50	542,576	1 year	\$ 33.16	488,318	\$ 33.	.16
12.44- 17.96	822,737	4 years	16.90	504,794	16.	.81
18.91- 20.50	455,600	5 years	20.31	227,800	20.	.31
22.95- 23.26	480,032	6 years	22.99	192,013	22.	.99
24.35- 27.63	322,296	7 years	25.02	96,689	25.	.02
28.15- 30.68	500,834	8 years	29.54	100,167	29.	.54
37.49- 39.50	422,800	9 years	39.14	42,280	39.	.14
40.45- 44.99	793,580	10 years	44.65			

Shareholder Rights Plan

In July 1998, the Board of Trustees approved a shareholder protection plan (the Rights Plan), declaring a dividend of one right for each share of the Company s common shares outstanding on or after August 11, 1998. Exercisable 10 days after any person or group acquires 15 percent or more or commences a tender offer for 15 percent or more of the Company s common shares, each right entitles the holder to purchase from the Company one one-thousandth of a Junior Preferred Share of Beneficial Interest, Series A (a Rights Preferred Share), at a price of \$120, subject to adjustment. The Rights Preferred Shares (1) are non-redeemable, (2) are entitled to a minimum preferential quarterly dividend payment equal to the greater of \$25 per share or 1,000 times the Company s common share dividend, (3) have a minimum liquidation preference equal to the greater of \$100 per share or 1,000 times the liquidation payment made per common share and (4) are entitled to vote with the common shares with each Rights Preferred Share having 1,000 votes. 50,000 of the Company s authorized preferred shares have been designated for the plan.

The Rights Plan was not adopted in response to any takeover attempt but was intended to provide the Board with sufficient time to consider any and all alternatives under such circumstances. Its provisions are designed to protect the Company s shareholders in the event of an unsolicited attempt to acquire the Company at a value that is not in the best interest of the Company s shareholders.

12. 401K Savings Plan

CenterPoint Properties Trust Savings and Retirement Plan (the 401K Plan) was established to cover eligible employees of the Company. Under the 401K Plan eligible employees may elect to enter into an agreement with the Company to defer a percentage of their compensation up to the annual limit set by the Internal Revenue Service. Employees may elect to participate at the beginning of each quarter subsequent to achieving 30 days of service. Company matching contributions are made after completion of one year of service. The Company may make a matching contribution equal to a discretionary percentage of the Participants salary reductions. The Company contributed 50 percent of the first 8 percent per pay period for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004, and 2003. Participants direct the investment of all contributions into various options offered by the 401K Plan. The Company incurred expense of approximately \$342, \$311, and \$268 in each year, respectively.

13. Impairment of Assets and Asset Held for Sale

As of December 31, 2005, the Company classified 18 operating properties, a land parcel in Naperville, Illinois, a land parcel in McCook, Illinois and two build-to-suits for sale at McCook Industrial Center as held for sale. All of these above mentioned properties were under contract for sale as of December 31, 2005. Of the 18 operating properties held for sale, 9 properties were scheduled to be sold to CNTJF in the first quarter of 2006. Net income (property revenues less real estate taxes, property operating and leasing expenses, property specific interest expense and depreciation and amortization) related to these properties held for sale was \$6,621, \$10,319 and \$3,945 for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

As of March 31, 2005, the Company had one property held for sale in which the carrying value of the property, 2601 Bond Street, University Park, Illinois, was greater than the expected net sale proceeds; therefore the Company recorded a \$694 impairment. The decline in value was attributable to weak market conditions in the submarket, and the Company s long-term plan for the property was revised in the first quarter of 2005 upon the receipt of interest from a prospective buyer. This property was sold in the second quarter of 2005 for no additional loss.

As of September 30, 2005, the Company had two land parcels in Naperville, Illinois held for sale in which the carrying value of the properties was greater than the expected net sale proceeds. Therefore, the Company recorded a \$459 impairment. The decline in value was attributable to weak market conditions in the submarket, and the Company s long-term plan for the property was revised in the third quarter of 2005. These properties were sold in the fourth quarter of 2005.

As of December 31, 2004, the Company classified three operating properties, a land parcel in McCook, Illinois, two build-to-suits for sale at CBC - Gurnee, Gurnee, Illinois and two land parcels in Naperville, Illinois as held for sale. All of these above mentioned properties were under contract for sale as of December 31, 2004. The carrying value of land parcels in Naperville was greater than the expected net sales proceeds; therefore, the Company recorded a \$937 impairment. The decline in value was attributable to weakening market conditions for retail land which is the expected use for the land. Net income related to these properties held for sale was \$4,005 and \$4,037 for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

There can be no assurance that any properties held for sale will be sold.

14. Discontinued Operations

The Company s results of operations include the operating results of both properties disposed and properties held for future sale. For the periods presented, the Company included all of the results of operations from the 120 operating properties disposed since January 1, 2003 and all properties held for sale as of December 31, 2005 in discontinued operations, income from discontinued operations, net of tax. The following table summarizes the operating results from these properties for the three years ended December 31, 2005:

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2005		2004		2003
Revenues:					
Minimum rents	\$ 29,457	\$	65,516	\$	52,323
Straight line rents	 838	_	3,090	_	2,037
Expense reimbursements	9,904		13,289		13,594
Total revenue	40,199		81,895		67,954
Expenses					
Real estate taxes	10,349		14,257		14,280
Property operating and leasing	2,966		4,475		3,460
Depreciation and amortization	9,148		16,548		15,006
Interest incurred, net	338				1,861
Total expenses	22,801		35,280		34,607
Operating income	17,398		46,615		33,347
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	335		66		(380)
Net income	\$ 17,733	\$	46,681	\$	32,967

15. Income Taxes

In 2005, 2004 and 2003, because CenterPoint qualified as a REIT and distributed all of its taxable ordinary and capital gain net income, it incurred no federal income tax liability. The differences between taxable income as reported on CenterPoint s tax return (estimated 2005 and actual 2004 and 2003) and consolidated net income are reported here as follows:

	2005 Estimate	2004 Actual	2003 Actual
Net income	\$ 136,342	\$ 93,108	\$ 84,584
Less: (Net income) loss of CRS, Taxable REIT subsidiary, included			
above	2,784	905	(1,224)
Add: Impairment of asset held for sale		937	
Net income from REIT operations	139,126	94,950	83,360
Less: Straight-line rent (excluding CRS)	(3,158)	(4,705)	(3,471)
Less: Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle			(6,528)
Add: Book depreciation and amortization (excluding CRS)	38,664	37,716	35,925
Less: Tax depreciation and amortization	(26,444)	(27,619)	(26,952)
Less: Book gain on sale of real estate (excluding CRS)	(120,405)	(63,300)	(41,600)
Add: Tax gain on sale of real estate	57,773	11,416	16,329
Add / (less): Other book/tax differences, net	889	(4,032)	9,944
Taxable income before adjustments	86,445	44,426	67,007
Less: Capital gains	(57,773)		
Taxable ordinary income before adjustments subject to 90%	\$ 28,672	\$ 44,426	\$ 67,007

For income tax purposes, distributions paid to common shareholders consist of ordinary income, return of capital and capital gains if applicable. For the three years ended December 31, 2005, CenterPoint s dividends per share were taxable as follows:

	2005		Ye	ears Ended Dece 2004	ember 31,	2003	
Ordinary income	\$ 0.57	33.0%	\$	1.06	68.0%	\$ 1.22	100.0%
Return of capital		0.0%		0.50	32.0%		0.0%
Capital gains	0.75	44.0%			0.0%		0.0%
Unrecaptured Section							
1250 gains	0.39	23.0%			0.0%		0.0%
	\$ 1.71	100.0%	\$	1.56	100.0%	\$ 1.22	100.0%

The components of income tax (expense) benefit are as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2005		2004		2003
Current:					
Federal	\$ 414	\$	(1,697)	\$	(1,272)
State	375		(232)		(208)
Deferred:					
Federal	968		2,088		(488)
State	350		490		(57)
	\$ 2,107	\$	649	\$	(2,025)

Deferred income taxes represent the tax effect of the differences between the book and tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets (liabilities) include the following as of December 31, 2005, December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2003:

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2005		2004		2003	
Fixed assets	\$ 192	\$	515	\$	(2,989)	
Intangible assets	503		473		382	
Investment in partnerships	217		20		(10)	
Accrued expenses	491		2		79	
Prepaid rents	5				34	
Straight-line rent	(83)		(11)		(130)	
Other	25		49			
State NOL	125					
Disallowed interest	891				1,104	
Net deferred tax asset/(liability)	\$ 2,366	\$	1,048	\$	(1,530)	

The provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2005, reconcile to the Company s components of income tax expense for the periods presented as follows:

	2005		Year l	Ended Decemb 2004	er 31	200.	3
Tax benefit (expense) associated with income from operations on sold properties which is included in discontinued operations	\$	335	\$	66	í :	\$	(380)
Tax (expense) associated with gains and losses on the sale of real estate which is included in discontinued operations	((640)		(292	<u>.</u>)		(988)
Tax (expense) associated with gains and losses on the sale of real estate	((180)		(118	5)		(268)
Provision for income tax benefit (expense)	2,	,592		993			(389)
Income tax benefit (expense)	\$ 2,	,107	\$	649) ;	\$	(2,025)

The income tax expense differs from the amounts computed by applying the Federal statutory rate of 34% to income before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,					
		2005		2004		2003
Tax benefit (expense) at federal rate	\$	1,663	\$	529	\$	(1,105)
State tax benefit (expense), net of Federal benefit (expense)		478		67		(174)
Tax exempt interest				167		264
Disallowed interest expense				(84)		(615)
Gain on sale of real estate				(53)		(127)
Other		(34)		23		(268)
	\$	2,107	\$	649	\$	(2,025)

16. Future Rental Revenues

Under existing noncancelable operating lease agreements as of December 31, 2005, tenants of the warehouse and other industrial properties are committed to pay in aggregate the following minimum rentals:

2006	103,006
2007	91,085
2008	78,947
2009	62,750
2010	49,700
Thereafter	111,961
Total	\$ 497,449

17. Supplemental Information to Statements of Cash Flows

		Year Er	ded December 3	1,	
	2005		2004		2003
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:					
Interest paid, net of interest capitalized	\$ 30,406	\$	31,595	\$	25,563
Interest capitalized	8,978		6,586		8,569
Income taxes paid	64		2,851		1,216
Dividends declared, not paid	224		254		
Non-cash additions to mortgage notes receivable	119		672		1,188
Non-cash reduction in non-recourse TIF debt	13,126		7,539		14,994

In conjunction with the property acquisitions, the Company assumed the following assets and liabilities:

Purchase of real estate	\$ (241,805) \$	(269,281) \$	(127,901)
Mortgage notes payable	27,377	29,764	
Tax-exempt debt		24,900	
Liabilities, net of other assets	6,205	891	(2,694)
Acquisition of real estate	\$ (208,223) \$	(213,726) \$	(130,595)

In conjunction with the property dispositions, the Company disposed of the following assets and liabilities:

Disposal of real estate	\$ 471,191 \$	245,859 \$	132,292
Mortgage notes payable assumed by buyers			(55,992)
Mortgage financing provided to buyers	(5,800)	(73,434)	(3,482)
Net other assets (liabilities) assumed by buyers	35,958	18,733	711
Disposition of real estate	\$ 501,349 \$	191,158 \$	73,529

In the twelve months of 2005, the Company s build-to-suit for sale activity consists of proceeds from property sales of \$56,894 and 2005 disbursements associated with these sales of \$29,745. An additional \$4,563 was spent on two build-to-suits for sale, which are in progress.

As part of the early vesting of stock grants mentioned in Note 11, the Company withheld shares (based on employee s election) with a fair value of \$3,254, \$2,489 and \$3,503 in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, in order to pay employee related taxes based on the statutory rate. The related shares were retired.

In 2004, the Company took a deed in lieu of foreclosure on a mortgage note receivable totaling \$4,912. The Company reduced its mortgage notes receivable and added the property to its investment in real estate, assuming ownership of the property.

In 2003, the Company recorded charges to preferred dividends relating to the original offering cost of preferred share issuances that were redeemed totaling \$3,288, in accordance with EITF Topic D-42.

18. Related Party Transactions

In 2005 the Company purchased a portfolio of 5 properties from CenterPoint Venture with a purchase price of \$19,000. As a result of the sale, CenterPoint Venture recorded a gain of \$1,574. Due to the Company s 25% interest in CenterPoint Venture, the Company deferred its share of the gain from its equity pick-up, amounting to \$394.

The Company earned fees from CenterPoint Venture totaling \$423, \$601 and \$2,344 for acquisitions, administrative services and for property management services for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and

2003, respectively. At December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company had \$72 and \$143, respectively, receivable for these fees, included in prepaid expenses and other assets on the Company s balance sheets.

In 2005, the Company closed on the sale of 31 properties to CNTJF, which occurred in three phases. As a result of the sales, the Company deferred \$13,337 of its gain. \$3,381 is related to the Company s 5% ownership of CNTJF and is included in investment in affiliate on the balance sheets. The remaining \$9,956 of deferred gain relates to a short term yield guarantee and is included in accrued expenses on the balance sheets. As of December 31, 2005, the Company s remaining balance on the short term yield guarantee was \$5,329. Also, in conjunction with these sales, CNTJF signed a promissory note in the amount of \$3,800 payable to the Company that matures in February 2006. The note appears in mortgage and other notes receivable on the Company s balance sheets. As of December 31, 2005, an additional 9 properties are under contract to be sold to CNTJF in the first quarter of 2006. In addition to the Company s 5% ownership interest in CNTJF, the Company is managing the portfolio for fees. Fees earned for the year ended December 31, 2005 amounted to \$241. At December 31, 2005, the Company had \$47 receivable for these fees included in prepaid expenses and other assets on the Company s balance sheets.

In 2004, the Company and its affiliates sold a portfolio of 10 properties, totaling \$108,446 to Benderson Harlem Associates LP. Legg Mason advised and brokered the transaction on behalf of the buyer. One of the Company s trustees, Thomas Robinson, is a current Managing Director in the Corporate Finance Real Estate Group of Legg Mason Wood Walker, Inc. Mr. Robinson abstained from the voting on this transaction. This transaction was approved by a unanimous vote of the remaining trustees. Mr. Robinson s independence under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange and the Securities and Exchange Commission was not impacted by this sale.

In 2004, the Company sold its interest in CMC to CalEast Industrial, the Company s joint venture partner in CenterPoint Venture. The Company sold its interest for \$42,774 and recorded a gain related to the sale of \$6,469.

In December 2004, CenterPoint Venture entered into a joint venture with WisPark Land Company. Simultaneously with the closing, CenterPoint transferred its undeveloped land holdings located in Gurnee, Illinois to the new venture and recorded \$23 of profit and deferred \$6 due to the Company s ownership percentage.

In December 2004, the Company entered into a joint venture with UBS Real Estate to develop CenterPoint Intermodal Center Rochelle, a 362 acre planned industrial park located less than one mile from the Union Pacific Railroad s new intermodal facility. The Company sold land and a building to the Rochelle Venture and recorded no gain upon sale as the land and building were sold at cost.

19. Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, from time to time, the Company is involved in legal actions relating to the ownership and operations of its properties. In management s opinion, the liabilities, if any, that may ultimately result from such legal actions are not expected to have a materially adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, results of operations, or liquidity of the Company.

The Company has entered into several contracts for the acquisition of properties. Each acquisition is subject to satisfactory completion of due diligence and, in the case of developments, completion and occupancy of the project.

At December 31, 2005, the Company has six properties subject to purchase options, as follows:

The property located at 343 Carol Lane, Elmhurst, Illinois is subject to a purchase option exercisable on February 1, 2008. The tenant has exercised this option and the purchase price is \$1,476.

The property located at 6600 Industrial Road, Milwaukee, Wisconsin is subject to a purchase option at fair market value, exercisable after July 18, 2006.

The property located at 230 Scott Street, Elk Grove Village, Illinois is subject to a purchase option for \$1,450, exercisable at any time prior to the end of the lease, which is June 30, 2010.

The property located at 27236 Baseline Road, Elwood, Illinois is subject to a purchase option for \$14,521, exercisable after August 1, 2007.

The property located at 11045 Gage Avenue, Franklin Park, Illinois is subject to a purchase option for \$7,445, exercisable until July 1, 2007.

The property located at 1319 Marquette Drive, Romeoville, Illinois is subject to a purchase option for \$4,220, exercisable after April 1, 2006.

For all of these options agreements, the option price exceeds the Company s current net book value for the property.

On April 15, 2005, Paul T. Ahern, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, was placed on administrative leave. On May 24, 2005, Mr. Ahern filed a lawsuit against the Company in Cook County alleging claims of breach of his employment agreement and defamation. On July 8, 2005, the Court dismissed Mr. Ahern s complaint without prejudice, but entered a preliminary injunction against the enforcement of the covenant not to compete in Paragraph 1 of Mr. Ahern s Non-Competition, Non-Solicitation and Confidentiality Agreement. Although it believed Mr. Ahern had, by his actions, resigned from the Company, this was disputed by Mr. Ahern and the Company s Board of Trustees terminated Mr. Ahern s employment on July 12, 2005. On October 3, 2005, the Court dismissed five of Mr. Ahern s seven breach of contract claims and his entire defamation claim, and also revoked the preliminary injunction entered on behalf of Mr. Ahern on July 8, 2005. The parties are presently engaged in discovery. The Company believes that this matter will have no material impact on its financial results.

On October 4, 2005, Joliet Township District No. 204 (the District) filed a lawsuit in Will County, Illinois against a subsidiary of the Company for breach of contract, among other things, relating to an agreement of April 24, 2001 (the TIF Agreement). In the TIF Agreement, the Company agreed to pay the District a charitable contribution and the District agreed to waive objection to the TIF district or the Redevelopment Agreement between the Company s subsidiary and the Village of Elwood. In the lawsuit the District claimed an interest in a senior share of the tax increment under the Redevelopment Agreement, complained that the Company and the Village amended the Redevelopment Agreement eliminating the purportedly agreed upon senior shares, asked for actual damages of \$7,500 and, based on a Fraud count in the lawsuit, punitive damages of \$20,000. The Company filed a motion to dismiss the Complaint. In response, the District voluntarily filed an Amended Complaint. The District abandoned the Fraud count and the punitive damage claim and added two additional counts based on contract and estoppel theories. The claim for actual damages of \$7,500 remains and the Amended Complaint also asks the court to abolish the TIF District and reinstate the senior share provisions of the Redevelopment Agreement. The Company will file a motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint also and believes the complaint as now drafted is flawed and should be dismissed. The Company s subsidiary to pay any more than the agreed charitable contribution and that the lawsuit will have no material effect on its financial statements.

20. Subsequent Events

As mentioned in Note 1, on March 8, 2006, the Company was acquired by CalEast Solstice. CalEast Solstice purchased all of the outstanding common shares of the Company following the approval of a merger agreement by vote of the shareholders.

In connection with the merger, the Company requested its common shares and Series B Preferred Shares be delisted from the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). The Company has received confirmation from the NYSE that the Company s common shares and Series B Preferred Shares were delisted effective prior to the open of trading on March 9, 2006.

Effective March 12, 2006, the Company converted from a REIT for federal income tax purposes to a partnership for federal income tax purposes.

All of the Company s outstanding options and restricted shares were terminated in connection with the acquisition of the Company by CalEast Solstice for a cash payment equal to the difference between the \$50 per share merger

consideration and the exercise price for options and \$50 per share for restricted shares. The total consideration paid amounted to \$103,078.

Also, as referenced in Note 5, the Company was under contract to sell 9 properties to CNTJF at December 31, 2005. On February 1, 2006, the Company closed on the sale of these properties to the venture for an aggregate sales price of \$81,012.

21. Quarterly Financial Highlights (Unaudited)

The following tables reflect the results of operations for the Company during the four quarters of 2005 and 2004 (dollars in thousands, except unit and per share data). The results of operations have been adjusted from their original reported value to reflect the reclassification of the results of operations for every operating property sold in 2005 to income from operations of sold properties from discontinued operations in accordance with FAS No. 144. The reclassification has no effect on net income available to common shareholders or net income available to common shareholders per share.

		Quarter ended									
		March 31, 2005		June 30, 2005	Se	eptember 30, 2005	Γ	December 31, 2005			
Total revenues	\$	36,402	\$	58,120	\$	32,543	\$	67,791			
Income from continuing operations		780		(6,556)		(1,446)		7,573			
Discontinued operations											
Gain on sale, net of tax		25,048		25,936		39,950		20,242			
Income from operations of sold properties, net											
of tax		5,559		5,456		4,300		2,418			
Gain on sale of real estate, net of tax				655		374		6,053			
Preferred dividends		(1,604)		(1,588)		(1,572)		(1,564)			
Net income available to common shareholders		29,783		23,903		41,606		34,722			
Basic EPS:											
Income available to common shareholders from											
continuing operations	\$	(0.02)	\$	(0.15)	\$	(0.06)	\$	0.25			
Discontinued operations		0.63		0.64		0.92		0.47			
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	0.61	\$	0.49	\$	0.86	\$	0.72			
Diluted EPS:											
Income available to common shareholders from											
continuing operations	\$	(0.02)	\$	(0.15)	\$	(0.06)	\$	0.24			
Discontinued operations		0.63		0.64		0.92		0.45			
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	0.61	\$	0.49	\$	0.86	\$	0.69			
Distributions per common share	\$	0.4275	\$	0.4275	\$	0.4275	\$	0.4275			
-											
F-40											

		Quarter	ended			
	March 31, 2004	June 30, 2004	S	eptember 30, 2004]	December 31, 2004
Total revenues	\$ 26,446	\$ 20,318	\$	25,094	\$	28,509
Income from continuing operations	5,798	(9,445)		(4,660)		(2,863)
Discontinued operations						
Gain on sale, net of tax	6,573	2,543		26,570		21,726
Income from operations of sold properties, net						
of tax	7,984	22,010		8,368		8,319
Gain on sale of real estate, net of tax		177				8
Preferred dividends	(887)	(837)		(368)		(529)
Net income available to common shareholders	19,468	14,448		29,910		26,661
Basic EPS:						
Income available to common shareholders from						
continuing operations	\$ 0.11	\$ (0.22)	\$	(0.11)	\$	(0.07)
Discontinued operations	0.31	0.53		0.74		0.62
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.31	\$	0.63	\$	0.55
Diluted EPS:						
Income available to common shareholders from						
continuing operations	\$ 0.10	\$ (0.22)	\$	(0.11)	\$	(0.07)
Discontinued operations	0.30	0.53		0.74		0.62
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.31	\$	0.63	\$	0.55
Distributions per common share	\$ 0.3900	\$ 0.3900	\$	0.3900	\$	0.3900

F-41

SCHEDULE II

SCHEDULE II 219

CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

Edgar Filing: CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

(Dollars in thousands)

Description	Beginning Balance	Cl	narge to cost and Expenses	Recoveries	I	Deductions(a)	Ending Balance
For year ended December 31, 2005:							
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 2,121	\$	630	\$	\$	(767) \$	1,984
For year ended December 31, 2004:							
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 1,705	\$	1,710	\$	\$	(1,294) \$	2,121
For year ended December 31, 2003:							
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 1,318	\$	1,322	\$	\$	(935) \$	1,705

NOTE: (a) Deductions represent the write-off of accounts receivable against the allowance for doubtful accounts.

CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

Schedule III

As of December 31, 2005 (Dollars in thousands)

	Encu	mbrances	Init	ial Costs Buildings and Improvements	S	Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition Buildings and		
Description	23104	(e)			Land	Improvements	Carrying Costs (b)	
Warehouse/industrial properties:								
425 West 151st Street								
East Chicago, IN			\$ 252	\$ 1,805	\$ (70)	\$ 5,929	\$ 1,155	
201 Mississippi Street			007	0.040	270	25 707		
Gary, IN 620 Butterfield Road			807	9,948	278	25,707		
Mundelein, IL			335	1,974	61	624		
1319 Marquette Drive			333	1,974	01	024		
Romeoville, IL			948	2,530		294		
1400 Busse Road			710	2,330		271		
Elk Grove Village, IL			439	5,719		495		
1250 Carolina Drive				·				
West Chicago, IL			583	3,836		757		
5619 West 115th Street								
Alsip, IL			2,267	12,169		2,341		
900 W. University Drive			0.1.7	2.260		0.6		
Arlington Heights, IL			817	3,268	17	96		
745 Birginal Drive Bensenville, IL			601	2,406	1	504		
21399 Torrence Avenue			001	2,400	1	304		
Sauk Village, IL			1,550	6,199	565	755		
2600 N. Elmhurst Road			1,550	0,177	303	133		
Elk Grove Village, IL.	\$	11,820(g)	842	3,366	1	108		
8901 W. 102 nd Street		, (2)		,				
Pleasant Prairie, WI			900	3,608		276		
10601 Seymour Avenue								
Franklin Park, IL			2,020	8,081	184	14,199		
11701 South Central								
Alsip, IL			1,241	4,964	22	1,785		
850 Arthur Avenue Elk Grove Village, IL			270	1,081	2	722		
1827 North Bendix Drive			270	1,081	2	122		
South Bend, IN			1,010	4,040	24	190		
4400 S. Kolmar			1,010	1,010		1,0		
Chicago, IL			603	2,412	9	2,265		
7501 N. 81st Street								
Milwaukee, WI			1,018	4,073	24	144		
1100 Chase Avenue								
Elk Grove Village, IL			248	993	7	339		
2553 N. Edgington			1.070	7.404	<i>-</i> -	2001		
Franklin Park, IL			1,870	7,481	67	2,804		
875 Fargo Avenue Elk Grove Village, IL			572	2,284	14	1,082		
1501 Pratt Avenue			312	2,204	14	1,002		
Elk Grove Village, IL			1,047	4,189	72	2,503		
400 N. Wolf Road			-,,	.,		_,,,,,,		
Northlake, IL			4,504	18,017	(996)	14,662		

Description		ounts at Which Close of Period Buildings and Improvements	Total (c) (d)	Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Life Upon Which Depreciation In Latest Income Statement Is Computed
Warehouse/industrial properties:							
425 West 151st Street							
East Chicago, IN	\$ 182	\$ 8,889	9,071	\$ (4,989)	1913/1988-1990	1987	(f)
201 Mississippi Street							
Gary, IN	1,085	35,655	36,740	(18,392)	1946/1985-1988	1985	(f)
620 Butterfield Road	206	2.500	2.004	(966)	1000	1002	(0)
Mundelein, IL 1319 Marquette Drive	396	2,598	3 2,994	(866)	1990	1993	(f)
Romeoville, IL	948	2,824	3,772	(1,039)	1990-1991	1993	(f)
1400 Busse Road		_,-,-		(-,)			(3)
Elk Grove Village, IL	439	6,214	6,653	(2,918)	1987	1993	(f)
1250 Carolina Drive							
West Chicago, IL 5619 West 115th Street	583	4,593	5,176	(1,576)	1989-1990	1993	(f)
Alsip, IL	2,267	14,510) 16,777	(5,355)	1974	1993	(f)
900 W. University Drive	2,207	14,510	10,777	(3,333)	17/4	1773	(1)
Arlington Heights, IL	834	3,364	4,198	(1,227)	1974	1994	(f)
745 Birginal Drive							
Bensenville, IL	602	2,910	3,512	(1,025)	1974	1994	(f)
21399 Torrence Avenue	2,115	6,954	9,069	(2,496)	1987	1994	(f)
Sauk Village , IL 2600 N. Elmhurst Road	2,113	0,932	9,009	(2,490)	1987	1994	(1)
Elk Grove Village, IL.	843	3,474	4,317	(1,169)	1995	1995	(f)
8901 W. 102 nd Street		•	·				
Pleasant Prairie, WI	900	3,884	4,784	(1,299)	1990	1994	(f)
10601 Seymour Avenue	2 204	22.20	24.404	(6.070)	10/04/0/5	4005	(0)
Franklin Park, IL 11701 South Central	2,204	22,280	24,484	(6,078)	1963/1965	1995	(f)
Alsip, IL	1,263	6,749	8,012	(2,009)	1972	1995	(f)
850 Arthur Avenue	1,200	0,7.12	0,012	(2,00)	19,72	1,,,0	(1)
Elk Grove Village, IL	272	1,803	3 2,075	(509)	1972/1973	1995	(f)
1827 North Bendix Drive							
South Bend, IN 4400 S. Kolmar	1,034	4,230	5,264	(1,349)	1964/1990	1995	(f)
Chicago, IL	612	4,677	5,289	(948)	1964	1995	(f)
7501 N. 81st Street	012	4,077	3,207	(740)	1704	1773	(1)
Milwaukee, WI	1,042	4,217	5,259	(1,269)	1987	1996	(f)
1100 Chase Avenue							
Elk Grove Village, IL	255	1,332	2 1,587	(396)	1969	1996	(f)
2553 N. Edgington	1,937	10,285	12 222	(2.922)	1967/1989	1996	(4)
Franklin Park, IL 875 Fargo Avenue	1,937	10,28.	5 12,222	(2,823)	1907/1989	1990	(f)
Elk Grove Village, IL	586	3,366	3,952	(1,030)	1979	1996	(f)
1501 Pratt Avenue							
Elk Grove Village, IL	1,119	6,692	7,811	(1,538)	1973	1996	(f)
400 N. Wolf Road	2.700	22.4=	25.45=	10 - 1 0	10564065	1005	
Northlake, IL	3,508	32,679	36,187	(8,716)	1956/1965	1996	(f)

F-43

	Encumbrances	Initi	ial Costs Buildings and Improvements		Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition Buildings and	Carrying	
Description	(e)	Land	(a)	Land	Improvements	Costs (b)	
425 South 37th Avenue							
St. Charles, IL		644	2,575	7	260		
2004-2207 South 114th Street							
West Allis, WI		942	3,770	7	290		
2801 S. Busse Road							
Elk Grove Village, IL		1,875	7,556	12	679	107	
7447 South Central Avenue Bedford Park, IL		437	1,748	8	206		
7525 S. Sayre Avenue		437	1,740	o	200		
Bedford Park, IL		587	2,345	5	948		
1 Allsteel Drive		367	2,343	3	740		
Aurora, IL		2,458	9,832	(252)	11,284		
2525 Busse Highway		2,.50	>,002	(202)	11,20		
Elk Grove Village, IL		5,400	12,601	527	12,077		
2701 S. Busse Road		-,	,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Elk Grove Village, IL		1,875	5,667	4	1,713	255	
East Avenue and 55th Street							
McCook, IL		1,190	4,761	943	491		
2301 Route 30							
Plainfield, IL		1,217	4,868	(60)	2,498		
200 Champion Dr.							
North Lake, IL		467	5,645		2,149	87	
745 Dillon Drive							
Wood Dale, IL		645	2,820		128		
4700 Ironwood Drive							
Franklin, WI		419	3,415	11	64		
3400 N. Powell		012	2.255	2	52		
Franklin Park, IL		812	3,277	3	53		
11440 West Addison		540	2 200	3	191		
Franklin Park, IL 3434 N. Powell		340	2,200	3	191		
Franklin Park, IL		429	1,723	3	215		
7633 S. Sayre		72)	1,723	3	213		
Bedford Park		167	700	4	99		
11550 W. King Drive		10,	700				
Franklin Park, IL		320	1,303	3	195		
7201 S. Leamington							
Bedford Park, IL		336	1,697		388		
11400 W. Melrose Street							
Franklin Park, IL		168	43	3	11		
11801 S. Central							
Alsip, IL	2,859	1,592	6,367	2	657		
1808 Swift Dr.							
Oak Brook, IL		475	2,620	675	13,951		
5611 W. Mill Road		210	005				
Milwaukee, WI		218	925		161		

Description	Gross Amoun Carried at Cl Land		Total (c) (d)	Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Which Depreciation In Latest Income Statement Is Computed
425 South 37th Avenue		2.025	2.406	(00.6)	1056	4007	(0)
St. Charles, IL	651	2,835	3,486	(826)	1976	1996	(f)
2004-2207 South 114th							
Street							
West Allis, WI	949	4,060	5,009	(1,118)	1965/1966	1997	(f)

Edgar Filing: CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

2801 S. Busse Road	4.005	0.040	10.000	(2.205)	4005	4005	(0)
Elk Grove Village, IL 7447 South Central	1,887	8,342	10,229	(2,295)	1997	1997	(f)
Avenue							
Bedford Park, IL	445	1,954	2,399	(517)	1980	1997	(f)
7525 S. Sayre Avenue		1,50.	2,000	(017)	1,00	2,5,7,	(1)
Bedford Park, IL	592	3,293	3,885	(805)	1980	1997	(f)
1 Allsteel Drive							
Aurora, IL	2,206	21,116	23,322	(5,099)	1957-1967	1997	(f)
2525 Busse Highway							
Elk Grove Village, IL	5,927	24,678	30,605	(6,298)	1975	1997	(f)
2701 S. Busse Road	1,879	7,635	9,514	(1.049)	1997	1997	(6)
Elk Grove Village, IL East Avenue and 55 th	1,879	7,033	9,514	(1,948)	1997	1997	(f)
Street							
McCook, IL	2,133	5,252	7,385	(2,117)	1979	1997	(f)
2301 Route 30	2,100	5,252	7,000	(2,117)	27,77	1,,,,	(1)
Plainfield, IL	1,157	7,366	8,523	(1,772)	1972/1984	1997	(f)
200 Champion Dr.							
North Lake, IL	467	7,881	8,348	(1,602)	1998/2004	1998	(f)
745 Dillon Drive							
Wood Dale, IL	645	2,948	3,593	(694)	1985/1986	1998	(f)
4700 Ironwood Drive	430	2.470	2.000	(0.41)	1000	1000	(6)
Franklin, WI 3400 N. Powell	430	3,479	3,909	(841)	1998	1998	(f)
Franklin Park, IL	815	3,330	4,145	(791)	1961	1998	(f)
11440 West Addison	013	3,330	7,173	(771)	1701	1770	(1)
Franklin Park, IL	543	2,391	2,934	(569)	1961	1998	(f)
3434 N. Powell		,	•	,			
Franklin Park, IL	432	1,938	2,370	(493)	1960	1998	(f)
7633 S. Sayre							
Bedford Park	171	799	970	(183)	1968/1969	1998	(f)
11550 W. King Drive	222	1 100	1.021	(2.11)	1072	1000	(2)
Franklin Park, IL	323	1,498	1,821	(341)	1963	1998	(f)
7201 S. Leamington Bedford Park, IL	336	2,085	2,421	(449)	1958	1998	(f)
11400 W. Melrose Street	330	2,063	2,421	(449)	1936	1990	(1)
Franklin Park, IL	171	54	225	(45)		1998	(f)
11801 S. Central	.,.			(.5)		1,,,0	(1)
Alsip, IL	1,594	7,024	8,618	(1,563)	1985	1998	(f)
1808 Swift Dr.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Oak Brook, IL	1,150	16,571	17,721	(3,416) 19	965/1969/1973	1997	(f)
5611 W. Mill Road							
Milwaukee, WI	218	1,086	1,304	(225)	1960	1998	(f)

	F	Initi	ial Costs Buildings and		Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Const.
Description	Encumbrances (e)	Land	Improvements (a)	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Carrying Costs (b)
100 W. Whitehall						
Northlake, IL		578	7,791		209	185
101 45th Street						
Munster, IN		1,925	7,700	1	321	
250 W. 63rd Street						
Westmont, IL		188	751		24	
22W760 Poss Street						
Glen Ellyn, IL		286	1,145		31	
1000 Swanson Dr.						
Batavia, IL		211	846		23	
425 N. Villa Avenue		225	1.200		2.5	
Villa Park, IL		325	1,300		25	
16951 State Street		207	1.500		57	
South Holland, IL 1140 W. Thorndale		397	1,589		57	
Itasca, IL		374	1,497	1	247	
1265 Naperville Dr.		374	1,497	1	247	
Romeoville, IL		571	2,285	1	194	
737 Fargo Ave.		371	2,203	1	194	
Elk Grove Village, IL		460	1,841	12	114	
3511 W. Greentree Rd.		100	1,011	12	111	
Milwaukee, WI		540	2,160		390	
951 Fargo Avenue			,			
Elk Grove Village, IL	(g)	954	2,470		1,635	
301 E. Vienna						
Milwaukee, WI		1,005	4,022	22	(5)	
317 W. Lake Street						
Northlake, IL		2,735	10,940		2,401	
10801 W. Irving Park Rd						
Chicago, IL			7,553		72	159
3450 W. Touhy						
Skokie, IL		970	3,881		1,879	
11100 W. Silver Spring Rd.		006	2.045		102	
Milwaukee, WI		986	3,945		102	
875 Diggins Street Harvard, IL		788	3,154	41	525	
16750 South Vincennes		/88	3,134	41	323	
South Holland, IL	3,824	1,178	4,710		623	
1810-1850 Northwestern Ave	3,024	1,170	4,710		023	
Gurnee, IL		822	3,289		338	
6600 Industrial Drive		022	3,207		330	
Milwaukee, WI		500	2,000		1,202	
500 Wall Street		200				
Glendale Heights, IL		1,610	6,440		1,085	
600 W. Irving Park Road						
Bensenville, IL 60106		163	652	2	400	

Description		nts at Which lose of Period Buildings and Improvements	Total (c) (d)	Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Life Upon Which Depreciation In Latest Income Statement Is Computed
100 W. Whitehall							
Northlake, IL	578	8,185	8,763	(1,737)	1999	1999	(f)
101 45th Street							
Munster, IN	1,926	8,021	9,947	(1,696)	1991	1999	(f)

Edgar Filing: CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

250 W. 63rd Street							
Westmont, IL	188	775	963	(164)	1967	1999	(f)
22W760 Poss Street							
Glen Ellyn, IL	286	1,176	1,462	(248)	1964	1999	(f)
1000 Swanson Dr.							
Batavia, IL	211	869	1,080	(185)	1990	1999	(f)
425 N. Villa Avenue							
Villa Park, IL	325	1,325	1,650	(280)	1996	1999	(f)
16951 State Street							
South Holland, IL	397	1,646	2,043	(346)	1983	1999	(f)
1140 W. Thorndale							
Itasca, IL	375	1,744	2,119	(344)	1984	1999	(f)
1265 Naperville Dr.							
Romeoville, IL	572	2,479	3,051	(508)	1996	1999	(f)
737 Fargo Ave.							
Elk Grove Village, IL	472	1,955	2,427	(400)	1975	1999	(f)
3511 W. Greentree Rd.							
Milwaukee, WI	540	2,550	3,090	(542)	1969-1971	1999	(f)
951 Fargo Avenue							
Elk Grove Village, IL	954	4,105	5,059	(817)	1973	1999	(f)
301 E. Vienna							
Milwaukee, WI	1,027	4,017	5,044	(827)	1999	1999	(f)
317 W. Lake Street							
Northlake, IL	2,735	13,341	16,076	(2,415)	1972	1999	(f)
10801 W. Irving Park Rd							
Chicago, IL	0	7,784	7,784	(1,545)	1999	1999	(f)
3450 W. Touhy							
Skokie, IL	970	5,760	6,730	(885)	1972	1999	(f)
11100 W. Silver Spring							
Rd.							
Milwaukee, WI	986	4,047	5,033	(788)	1968	1999	(f)
875 Diggins Street							
Harvard, IL	829	3,679	4,508	(698)	1952	1999	(f)
16750 South Vincennes							
South Holland, IL	1,178	5,333	6,511	(934)	1970	2000	(f)
1810-1850 Northwestern							
Gurnee, IL	822	3,627	4,449	(633)	1977	2000	(f)
6600 Industrial Drive							
Milwaukee, WI	500	3,202	3,702	(443)	1973	2000	(f)
500 Wall Street							
Glendale Heights, IL	1,610	7,525	9,135	(1,242)	1989	2000	(f)
600 W. Irving Park Road							
Bensenville, IL 60106	165	1,052	1,217	(161)	1982	2000	(f)

		Initi	ial Costs Buildings and		Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	
Description	Encumbrances (e)	Land	Improvements (a)	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Carrying Costs (b)
145 Tower Road						
Burr Ridge, IL		463	1,851		384	
1311 Meacham Avenue						
Itasca, IL		990	3,960		666	
7620 South 10th Street						
Oak Creek, WI		620	2,480	20	760	
2900 S. 160 th Street		1.070	4.200		1.267	
New Berlin, WI		1,070	4,280		1,367	
7020 Parkland Court		720	2.024		245	
Milwaukee, WI 7025 Parkland Court		730	2,924		243	
Milwaukee, WI		1,376	5,505		(144)	
315 West Edgerton		1,570	3,303		(144)	
Milwaukee, WI		510	2,043		162	
1111 Bowes Road		510	2,0.0		102	
Elgin, IL		1,099	4,395	10	(252)	
222 Hartrey Avenue					,	
Evanston, IL		510	2,042		87	
4930 South 2nd Street						
Milwaukee, WI		322	1,287		406	
4950 South 2 nd Street						
Milwaukee, WI		121	485		94	
4960 South 2 nd Street		120			2.52	
Milwaukee, WI		138	552		252	
5140 South 3 rd Street		110	438		177	
Milwaukee, WI 5144 South 3rd Street		110	438		1//	
Milwaukee, WI		128	512		21	
4903-07 S. Howell Street		120	312		21	
Milwaukee, WI		162	650		160	
4965 S. Howell Street		102	030		100	
Milwaukee, WI		222	890		237	
5050 South 2nd Street						
Milwaukee, WI		417	1,666		(84)	
300 West Edgerton						
Milwaukee, WI		371	1,484		(21)	
7330 Santa Fe Drive						
Hodgkins, IL		1,214	4,856		633	
2400 Commerce Drive						
Libertyville, IL		689	2,755		265	
3740 Hawthorne Lane	()	246	007		150	
Waukegan, IL 500 Country Club Drive	(g)	246	986		150	
Bensenville, IL	(g)	1,529	6,117		(418)	
6333 West Douglas	(g)	1,329	0,117		(410)	
Milwaukee, WI		141	564		115	
		171	304		113	

Description	Gross Amou Carried at Cl Land		Total (c) (d)	Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Life Upon Which Depreciation In Latest Income Statement Is Computed
145 Tower Road							
Burr Ridge, IL	463	2,235	2,698	(350)	1968	2000	(f)
1311 Meacham Avenue							
Itasca, IL	990	4,626	5,616	(720)	1980	2001	(f)

Edgar Filing: CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

7620 South 10th Street							
Oak Creek, WI	640	3,240	3,880	(483)	1970	2001	(f)
2900 S. 160th Street	1.070	5.645	6.717	(715) 10	22/102/11020	2001	(0)
New Berlin, WI 7020 Parkland Court	1,070	5,647	6,717	(715) 19	072/1974/1978	2001	(f)
Milwaukee, WI	730	3,169	3,899	(389)	1979	2001	(f)
7025 Parkland Court	730	3,109	3,099	(369)	1979	2001	(1)
Milwaukee, WI	1,376	5,361	6,737	(703)	1973	2001	(f)
315 West Edgerton	1,570	3,301	0,737	(103)	1773	2001	(1)
Milwaukee, WI	510	2,205	2,715	(279)	1971	2001	(f)
1111 Bowes Road		,	,	(11)			
Elgin, IL	1,109	4,143	5,252	(533)	1994	2002	(f)
222 Hartrey Avenue				` ,			` /
Evanston, IL	510	2,129	2,639	(241)	1955/1961	2002	(f)
4930 South 2nd Street							
Milwaukee, WI	322	1,693	2,015	(176)	1972	2002	(f)
4950 South 2 nd Street							
Milwaukee, WI	121	579	700	(64)	1973	2002	(f)
4960 South 2 nd Street							
Milwaukee, WI	138	804	942	(71)	1971	2002	(f)
5140 South 3rd Street	440	24 2	50.		1050	2002	(0)
Milwaukee, WI	110	615	725	(66)	1978	2002	(f)
5144 South 3rd Street	128	522	661	((0)	1072	2002	(6)
Milwaukee, WI 4903-07 S. Howell Street	128	533	001	(60)	1972	2002	(f)
Milwaukee, WI	162	810	972	(86)	1977	2002	(f)
4965 S. Howell Street	102	610	912	(80)	1977	2002	(1)
Milwaukee, WI	222	1,127	1,349	(112)	1976	2002	(f)
5050 South 2 nd Street	222	1,127	1,517	(112)	1770	2002	(1)
Milwaukee, WI	417	1,582	1,999	(184)	1970	2002	(f)
300 West Edgerton		,	•				
Milwaukee, WI	371	1,463	1,834	(175)	1970	2002	(f)
7330 Santa Fe Drive							
Hodgkins, IL	1,214	5,489	6,703	(512)	1979	2002	(f)
2400 Commerce Drive							
Libertyville, IL	689	3,020	3,709	(292)	1994	2002	(f)
3740 Hawthorne Lane							
Waukegan, IL	246	1,136	1,382	(105)	1977	2002	(f)
500 Country Club Drive							
Bensenville, IL	1,529	5,699	7,228	(565)	1974	2002	(f)
6333 West Douglas	1.41	(70	920	(110)	1070	2000	(0)
Milwaukee, WI	141	679	820	(110)	1970	2000	(f)

		Initi	al Costs Buildings and		Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	
5	Encumbrances		Improvements		Buildings and	Carrying
Description	(e)	Land	(a)	Land	Improvements	Costs (b)
505 Railroad Avenue						
Northlake, IL		1,530	6,121	24	871	
625 Willowbrook Court						
Willowbrook, IL		487			5,430	97
515 Factory Road						
Addison, IL		176	704		205	
1901 Chicory Road		722	2.002		104	
Mount Pleasant, WI		723	2,892		104	
10 East Golf Road		407	1.046		0.5	
Des Plaines, IL 7500 North Caldwell		486	1,946		85	
Niles, IL		585	2,341		431	
2200 Channahon Road Bldg D		363	2,341		431	
Joliet, IL		931	3,724		247	
2200 Channahon Road Bldg E		931	3,724		247	
Joliet, IL		1,758	7,033		289	
N53W 24700 Corporate Circle		1,750	7,033		20)	
Sussex, WI		1,113	4,452		33	
1471 Business Center Dr		1,110	1,102			
Mount Prospect, IL		1,263	5,051		84	
1605 Penny Lane		-,,-	2,000			
Schaumburg, IL		340	1,394		1	
1665 Penny Lane			,			
Schaumburg, IL		213	854	2	26	
990 East 107th Street						
Woodridge, IL		716	2,864	2	46	
801 Bryn Mawr Avenue						
Itasca, IL		1,533	6,148		473	
1600 West Glenlake						
Itasca, IL		1,064	2,229	5	136	
1500 W. Zellman Court						
Milwaukee, WI		454	1,815		25	
440 Medinah Road						
Roselle, IL		2,342	9,368	23	141	
450 Medinah Road		1 120	1.661		(0.6)	
Roselle, IL		1,129	4,661		(96)	
1723-1757 Marshall Drive		611	2 445	3	88	
Des Plaines, IL		011	2,445	3	88	
6400 W. Enterprise WH Mequon, WI		2,724	10,898		14	
6400 W. Enterprise ADMIN		2,724	10,090		14	
Mequon, WI		743	2,972		12	
6400 W. Enterprise CORR		775	2,712		12	
Mequon, WI		228	913		11	
13535 Torrence Bldg A&A1		220	715			
Chicago, IL		978	4,021		97	
			,			

Description		nts at Which lose of Period Buildings and Improvements	Total (c) (d)	Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Life Upon Which Depreciation In Latest Income Statement Is Computed
505 Railroad Avenue							
Northlake, IL	1,554	6,992	8,546	(1,007)	1965/1988	2001	(f)
625 Willowbrook Court Willowbrook, IL	487	5,527	6,014	(951)	2001	2001	(f)

Edgar Filing: CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

515 Factory Road Addison, IL	176	909	1,085	(66)	1965	2003	(f)
1901 Chicory Road	170	707	1,003	(00)	1703	2003	(1)
Mount Pleasant, WI 10 East Golf Road	723	2,996	3,719	(241)	1970	2003	(f)
Des Plaines, IL	486	2,031	2,517	(157)	1978	2003	(f)
7500 North Caldwell							
Niles, IL	585	2,772	3,357	(177)	1971	2003	(f)
2200 Channahon Road Joliet, IL	931	3,971	4,902	(260)	1950	2003	(f)
2200 Channahon Road							
Joliet, IL	1,758	7,322	9,080	(481)	1950	2003	(f)
N53W 24700 Corporate							
Sussex, WI	1,113	4,485	5,598	(285)	1998	2004	(f)
1471 Business Center Dr							
Mount Prospect, IL	1,263	5,135	6,398	(295)	1989	2004	(f)
1605 Penny Lane							
Schaumburg, IL	340	1,395	1,735	(81)	1986	2004	(f)
1665 Penny Lane	24.5	200	4.005	/ ~ 4\	1006	2004	
Schaumburg, IL	215	880	1,095	(51)	1986	2004	(f)
990 East 107th Street	5 40	2010	2 (20	(4.64)	1000	2004	(0)
Woodridge, IL	718	2,910	3,628	(161)	1988	2004	(f)
801 Bryn Mawr Avenue	4.500		0.151	(210)	1070	2004	
Itasca, IL	1,533	6,621	8,154	(310)	1978	2004	(f)
1600 West Glenlake	1.060	2265	2.424	(124)	1076	2004	(6)
Itasca, IL	1,069	2,365	3,434	(124)	1976	2004	(f)
1500 W. Zellman Court	454	1.040	2 20 4	(00)	1000	2004	(6)
Milwaukee, WI	454	1,840	2,294	(88)	1998	2004	(f)
440 Medinah Road	0.265	0.500	11.074	(451)	1985	2004	(6)
Roselle, IL	2,365	9,509	11,874	(451)	1985	2004	(f)
450 Medinah Road	1 120	1.565	5.604	(220)	1005	2004	(6)
Roselle, IL	1,129	4,565	5,694	(220)	1985	2004	(f)
1723-1757 Marshall	614	2.522	2 147	(105)	1069	2004	(f)
Des Plaines, IL	014	2,533	3,147	(105)	1968	2004	(f)
6400 W. Enterprise WH	2.724	10.012	12.626	(462)	1991/1998	2004	(6)
Mequon, WI 6400 W. Enterprise	2,724	10,912	13,636	(462)	1991/1998	2004	(f)
1	743	2,984	3,727	(126)	1990	2004	(f)
Mequon, WI	/43	2,904	3,141	(126)	1990	200 4	(f)
6400 W. Enterprise Mequon, WI	228	924	1,152	(39)	1990	2004	(f)
13535 Torrence Bldg	440	924	1,132	(39)	1990	200 4	(1)
Chicago, IL	978	4,118	5,096	(157)	1916-1950	2004	(f)
Cincago, IL	9/0	4,110	3,090	(137)	1710-1730	200 4	(1)

		Init	ial Costs Buildings and		Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition			
	Encumbrances		Improvements		Buildings and	Carrying		
Description	(e)	Land	(a)	Land	Improvements	Costs (b)		
13535 Torrence Ave Bldg T								
Chicago, IL		117	476		143			
13535 Torrence Ave Bldg S								
Chicago, IL		87	354		45			
13535 Torrence Bldg PQR								
Chicago, IL		292	1,195		24			
4407 Railroad Plant 4		100	7.11		46			
East Chicago, IN		180	741		46			
4407 Railroad Plant 2		205	1.006		155			
East Chicago, IN		295	1,236		155			
4407 Railroad Plant 3		518	2.126		60			
East Chicago, IN 1301 Tower Road		318	2,126		60			
Schaumburg, IL	14,234(i)	1,489	5,955		263			
13535 Torrence Ave Bldg C	14,234(1)	1,409	3,933		203			
Chicago, IL		157	643		45			
1401 South Jefferson St		137	043		43			
Chicago, IL	13,664(h)	176	710		21			
350 Randy Road	13,004(11)	170	710		21			
Carol Stream, IL	(h)	272	1,087		32			
200 East Fullerton	(11)	2,2	1,007		32			
Carol Stream, IL	(h)	831	3,325		77			
4160 Madison	()		2,020					
Hillside, IL	(h)	688	2,764		14			
370 Carol Lane	` '							
Elmhurst, IL	(h)	680	2,721		137			
11039 Gage Avenue								
Franklin Park, IL	(h)	312	1,247		24			
11045 Gage Avenue								
Franklin Park, IL	(h)	1,193	4,771	30	170			
555 Kirk								
St. Charles, IL		554	2,216		22			
425 East Algonquin Road								
Arlington Heights, IL		2,403	9,615		880			
200 Mitchell Court								
Addison, IL		1,404	5,615		31			
550 Kehoe	(*)	(()	0.00		75			
Carol Stream, IL	(i)	666	2,662		75			
343 Carol Lane	(*)	267	1.007		5.5			
Elmhurst, IL	(i)	267	1,087		55			
388 Carol Lane	(1)	500	2 257		71			
Elmhurst, IL 342-46 Carol Lane	(i)	588	2,357		/1			
Elmhurst, IL	(i)	858	3,461		47			
Emiliuist, IL	(1)	030	3,401		4/			

Description	Gross Amounts a Carried at Close		Total (c) (d)	Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Life Upon Which Depreciation In Latest Income Statement Is Computed
13535 Torrence Ave Bldg T	117	(10)	726	(21)	1020 1040	2004	(0)
Chicago, IL 13535 Torrence Ave	117	619	736	(21)	1920-1940	2004	(f)
Bldg S Chicago, IL	87	399	486	(16)	1930-1940	2004	(f)

Edgar Filing: CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

13535 Torrence Bldg						
PQR	202	1.210	1.511	(46) 1016 1050/1000	2004	(0)
Chicago, IL	292	1,219	1,511	(46) 1916-1950/1989	2004	(f)
4407 Railroad Plant 4	100	707	067	(20) 1020 1050	2004	(6)
East Chicago, IN	180	787	967	(29) 1930-1950	2004	(f)
4407 Railroad Plant 2	295	1 201	1,686	(57) 1020 1050	2004	(6)
East Chicago, IN 4407 Railroad Plant 3	295	1,391	1,686	(57) 1930-1950	2004	(f)
	518	2.106	2.704	(92) 1020 1050	2004	(f)
East Chicago, IN 1301 Tower Road	318	2,186	2,704	(83) 1930-1950	2004	(f)
Schaumburg, IL	1,489	6,218	7,707	(229) 1960	2004	(f)
13535 Torrence Ave	1,469	0,218	7,707	(229) 1900	2004	(1)
Chicago, IL	157	688	845	(27) 1930-1940	2004	(f)
1401 South Jefferson St	137	000	043	(27) 1930-1940	2004	(1)
Chicago, IL	176	731	907	(29) 1967/1985	2004	(f)
350 Randy Road	170	731	907	(29) 1907/1983	2004	(1)
Carol Stream, IL	272	1,119	1,391	(44) 1974	2004	(f)
200 East Fullerton	212	1,117	1,371	(44) 1574	2004	(1)
Carol Stream, IL	831	3,402	4,233	(133) 1968	2004	(f)
4160 Madison	031	3,402	4,233	(133)	2004	(1)
Hillside, IL	688	2,778	3,466	(110) 1949/1974	2004	(f)
370 Carol Lane	000	2,770	2,100	(110) 1515/1571	2001	(1)
Elmhurst, IL	680	2,858	3,538	(112) 1977/2001	2004	(f)
11039 Gage Avenue	000	2,000	2,230	(112) 137772001	200.	(1)
Franklin Park, IL	312	1,271	1,583	(50) 1964/1995	2004	(f)
11045 Gage Avenue		, .	,	(4.7)		
Franklin Park, IL	1,223	4,941	6,164	(194) 1969	2004	(f)
555 Kirk	, -	,	,			
St. Charles, IL	554	2,238	2,792	(89) 1990	2004	(f)
425 East Algonquin				, ,		, ,
Arlington Heights, IL	2,403	10,495	12,898	(394) 1979	2004	(f)
200 Mitchell Court						
Addison, IL	1,404	5,646	7,050	(224) 1981	2004	(f)
550 Kehoe						
Carol Stream, IL	666	2,737	3,403	(101) 1996	2004	(f)
343 Carol Lane						
Elmhurst, IL	267	1,142	1,409	(43) 1989	2004	(f)
388 Carol Lane						
Elmhurst, IL	588	2,428	3,016	(90) 1976/1978/2001	2004	(f)
342-46 Carol Lane						
Elmhurst, IL	858	3,508	4,366	(130) 1989	2004	(f)

		Initi	ial Costs Buildings and		Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition			
Description	Encumbrances (e)	Land	Improvements (a)	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Carrying Costs (b)		
4000 Commerveial Blvd								
Northbrook, IL		2,040	8,161		732			
80 Scott Street								
Elk Grove Village, IL		181	725		36			
160 Scott Street								
Elk Grove Village, IL		201	805		38			
One Wildlife Way								
Long Grove, IL		1,024	4,097		8			
30120 Skokie Highway		2 4 7 4	10.616		000			
North Chicago, IL		3,154	12,615		928			
3708 North River Road		2 220	12.212		25			
Franklin Park, IL		3,328	13,312		25			
221 Covington Drive		1,477	5,910	3	46			
Bloomingdale, IL 468 Brighton Drive		1,477	3,910	3	40			
Bloomingdale, IL		1,081	4,324	3	50			
1645 Downs Drive		1,001	4,324		50			
West Chicago, IL	6,156(j)	850	3,412		21			
1733 Downs Drive	0,130(j)	050	3,112		21			
West Chicago, IL	(j)	1,049	4,195		35			
999 Bilter Road	0)	1,0.5	.,150		55			
Aurora, IL		2,916	11,666	70	307			
2233 West Street		,	,					
River Grove, IL		1,885	7,541		261			
2671 United Lane								
Elk Grove Village, IL		122	486		34			
1005 Atlantic								
West Chicago, IL		740	2,962	12	196			
3600 Sunset								
Waukegan, IL		1,210	4,840		66			
11925 W. Carmen								
Milwaukee, WI	500	935	3,741		102			
8201 109th Street		2 442	0.771		16			
Pleasant Prairie, WI		2,443	9,771		16			
1260 Lunt Avenue Elk Grove Village, IL		545	2,180	6	96			
145 N. Swift Road		545	2,100	U	90			
Addison, IL		1,099	4,396		54			
3733-37 Hawthorne		1,077	7,370		54			
Waukegan, IL		379	1,517		281			
1800 Averill		317	1,517		201			
Geneva, IL		2,201	8,802		21			
5650 CenterPoint Drive		,===	-,,,,,,					
Gurnee, IL		2,167	7,642		17			
2420 Halsted Avenue								
Chicago, IL		833	3,330		28			

Description		ents at Which lose of Period Buildings and Improvements	Total (c) (d)	Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Life Upon Which Depreciation In Latest Income Statement Is Computed
4000 Commervcial Blvd							
Northbrook, IL	2,040	8,893	10,933	(288)	1976/1988	2004	(f)
80 Scott Street Elk Grove Village, IL	181	761	942	(26)	1959/1973	2004	(f)

Edgar Filing: CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

160 Scott Street							
Elk Grove Village, IL	201	843	1,044	(29)	1960/1969	2004	(f)
One Wildlife Way					1978/1982/		
Long Grove, IL	1,024	4,105	5,129	(141)	1994	2004	(f)
30120 Skokie Highway	2.154	12.542	16.607	(27.6)	2002	2007	(6)
North Chicago, IL	3,154	13,543	16,697	(376)	2003	2005	(f)
3708 North River Road Franklin Park, IL	3,328	13,337	16,665	(388)	2002	2005	(f)
221 Covington Drive	3,328	13,337	10,003	(388)	2002	2005	(1)
Bloomingdale, IL	1,480	5,956	7,436	(175)	1991/1995	2005	(f)
468 Brighton Drive	1,400	3,930	7,430	(173)	1991/1993	2003	(1)
Bloomingdale, IL	1,084	4,374	5,458	(127)	1991	2005	(f)
1645 Downs Drive	1,004	4,574	3,436	(127)	1991	2003	(1)
West Chicago, IL	850	3,433	4,283	(100)	1975/2005	2005	(f)
1733 Downs Drive	030	3,433	4,203	(100)	177372003	2003	(1)
West Chicago, IL	1,049	4,230	5,279	(123)	1975	2005	(f)
999 Bilter Road	-,0	-,=	-,,	()			(-)
Aurora, IL	2,986	11,973	14,959	(346)	2001	2005	(f)
2233 West Street	·	•	•				
River Grove, IL	1,885	7,802	9,687	(165)	1955	2005	(f)
2671 United Lane							
Elk Grove Village, IL	122	520	642	(12)	1971/1975	2005	(f)
1005 Atlantic							
West Chicago, IL	752	3,158	3,910	(64)	1988/1994	2005	(f)
3600 Sunset							
Waukegan, IL	1,210	4,906	6,116	(91)	1970/2000	2005	(f)
11925 W. Carmen							
Milwaukee, WI	935	3,843	4,778	(71)	1969/1998	2005	(f)
8201 109th Street	2.442	0.707	10.000	(101)	1000	2005	(6)
Pleasant Prairie, WI	2,443	9,787	12,230	(181)	1999	2005	(f)
1260 Lunt Avenue	551	2,276	2,827	(25)	1978	2005	(6)
Elk Grove Village, IL 145 N. Swift Road	331	2,270	2,827	(35)	1978	2005	(f)
Addison, IL	1,099	4,450	5,549	(70)	1980	2005	(f)
3733-37 Hawthorne	1,099	4,430	3,349	(70)	1900	2003	(1)
Waukegan, IL	379	1,798	2,177	(21)	1974	2005	(f)
1800 Averill	517	1,770	2,177	(21)	1271	2003	(1)
Geneva, IL	2,201	8,823	11,024	(93)	2000	2005	(f)
5650 CenterPoint Drive	_,,	-,	,	(20)			(1)
Gurnee, IL	2,167	7,659	9,826	(82)	2005		(f)
2420 Halsted Avenue				`			, ,
Chicago, IL	833	3,358	4,191	(27)	1940/1950	2005	(f)
-							

	Encumbrances	Initi	al Costs Buildings and Improvements		Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition Buildings and Carrying			
Description	(e)	Land	(a)	Land	Improvements	Costs (b)		
1175 Alexander Court								
Cary, IL		469	1,876		23			
7084 N. McCormick					440			
Lincolnwood, IL		1,471	6,026		(44)			
1949-51 Arthur Avenue		706	2,825		(58)			
Elk Grove Village, IL 89-94 O Leary Drive		700	2,823		(38)			
Bensenville, IL		1,369	5,477		2			
859-89 1/2 O Leary Drive		1,507	3,177					
Bensenville, IL		641	2,562		2			
91 O Leary Drive			,					
Bensenville, IL		903	3,614		1			
101-107 O Leary Drive								
Bensenville, IL		403	1,610		2			
800-802 Irving Park Road								
Bensenville, IL		408	1,632		2			
165 Chicago Avenue		625	2.740		2			
Cary, IL 7500 Linder		635	2,540		8			
Skokie, IL		1,085	4,339		7			
4001-4045 Fleetwood		1,065	4,339		/			
Franklin Park, IL		270	1,080		5			
4002-4046 Tugwell		2.0	1,000		<u> </u>			
Franklin Park, IL		272	1,087		2			
4041-4047 Tugwell								
Franklin Park, IL		146	583		1			
4201 Victoria								
Chicago, IL		792	3,168		6			
27236 S. Baseline Road								
Elwood, IL		13,536		(3,518)	40			
515 Express Center Drive		1.007	7,060		469	110		
Chicago, IL 517 Express Center Drive		1,097	7,000		468	110		
Chicago, IL		1,683	10,500		1,118	96		
516 Express Center Drive		1,003	10,500		1,110	70		
Chicago, IL		1,618	6,287		5,232	328		
514 Express Center Drive		,	-,		-, -			
Chicago, IL		2,603	12,117		170	50		
O hare Express - C2								
Chicago, IL			147		1			
11601 Touhy Avenue								
Chicago, IL			11,523		1,538	15		
899 Upper Express Drive			4.000		7.10			
Chicago, IL			4,388		549			
2800 Henkle Drive			4,061		70			
Lebanon, OH			4,001		/0			

Description		nts at Which lose of Period Buildings and Improvements	Total (c) (d)	Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Life Upon Which Depreciation In Latest Income Statement Is Computed
1175 Alexander Court							
Cary, IL	469	1,899	2,368	(15)	1998	2005	(f)
7084 N. McCormick							
Lincolnwood, IL	1,471	5,982	7,453	(48)	1958/1993	2005	(f)

Edgar Filing: CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

1949-51 Arthur Avenue							
Elk Grove Village, IL	706	2,767	3,473	(15)	1966/1977	2005	(f)
89-94 O Leary Drive	1.260	5 470	(0.40	(20)	1079/1002	2005	(6)
Bensenville, IL 859-89 1/2 O Leary	1,369	5,479	6,848	(29)	1978/1993	2005	(f)
Bensenville, IL	641	2,564	3,205	(14)	1976/1999	2005	(f)
91 O Leary Drive	041	2,304	3,203	(14)	1970/1999	2003	(1)
Bensenville, IL	903	3,615	4,518	(19)	1975/1997	2005	(f)
101-107 O Leary Drive	703	3,013	1,510	(17)	1975/1997	2003	(1)
Bensenville, IL	403	1,612	2,015	(9)	1976/1997	2005	(f)
800-802 Irving Park		,-	,	(- /			
Bensenville, IL	408	1,634	2,042	(9)	1975/2001	2005	(f)
165 Chicago Avenue							
Cary, IL	635	2,548	3,183	(7)	1984/1996	2005	(f)
7500 Linder							
Skokie, IL	1,085	4,346	5,431	(11)	1960/1963	2005	(f)
4001-4045 Fleetwood							
Franklin Park, IL	270	1,085	1,355	0	1972	2005	(f)
4002-4046 Tugwell	252	1.000	1 261		1070	2007	
Franklin Park, IL	272	1,089	1,361	0	1972	2005	(f)
4041-4047 Tugwell	146	584	730	0	1070	2005	(0)
Franklin Park, IL 4201 Victoria	146	584	/30	0	1972	2005	(f)
Chicago, IL	792	3,174	3,966	0	1961/1971	2005	(f)
27236 S. Baseline Road	192	3,174	3,900	U	1901/1971	2003	(1)
Elwood, IL	10,018	40	10,058	(1)	2005	2005	(f)
515 Express Center	10,010	10	10,050	(1)	2003	2003	(1)
Chicago, IL	1,097	7,638	8,735	(2,137)	1997	1997	(f)
517 Express Center	,	.,	-,	() /			,
Chicago, IL	1,683	11,714	13,397	(3,480)	1997	1997	(f)
516 Express Center							
Chicago, IL	1,618	11,847	13,465	(3,270)	1999	1999	(f)
514 Express Center							
Chicago, IL	2,603	12,337	14,940	(2,584)	2000	1999	(f)
O hare Express - C2							
Chicago, IL		148	148	(13)	2005		(f)
11601 Touhy Avenue		12.076	12.076	(026)	2004	2004	(0)
Chicago, IL		13,076	13,076	(836)	2004	2004	(f)
899 Upper Express		4,937	4,937	(202)	2004	2004	(£)
Chicago, IL 2800 Henkle Drive		4,937	4,937	(203)	1994/1995/	2004	(f)
Lebanon, OH		4,131	4,131	(881)	1994/1993/	2000	(f)
Leganon, Off		4,131	4,131	(001)	1997	2000	(1)

Description	Encumbrances (e)	Initi Land	al Costs Buildings and Improvements (a)	Land	Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition Buildings and Improvements	Carrying Costs (b)
•	(-)		(-)		r	
1 North Bridge Street		502	1.017	500	2.272	
Gary, IN		593	1,817	590	2,372	
588 Lakeview Parkway Vernon Hills, IL		354	1,415		51	
400 Fort Hill Drive		334	1,413		51	
Naperville, IL		1,556				
2638 126th Street		1,550				
Chicago, IL		369	1,476		45	
1100 Lakeside Drive			,			
Gurnee, IL		389	1,558	17	81	
Land held for development:						
1800 Bruning Drive						
Itasca, IL		1,999	7,995	(1,193)	(7,995)	
Caterpiller Land						
Joliet, IL		3,579				
14.78 Acres Diehl Road						
Naperville, IL		3,107		78		
Prime Vacant Land						
East Chicago, IL		118				
5201-5235 International Drive		1 474				
Cudahy, WI BNSF Montgomery Land		1,474				
Montgomery, IL		5,186				
Prime Land held for development		3,100				
Aurora, IL		9,474				
Prime Land held for development		,,				
Batavia, IL		2,464				
Prime Land held for development						
DeKalb, IL		1,199				
Creek Side Land held for						
development						
Oak Creek, WI		1,377		45		
Construction in progress:						
First Avenue & Joliet Road						
McCook, IL					23,063	4,953
1400 Busse Highway					500	
Elk Grove Village, IL					529	15
DuPage Tech Park - Pella					633	
West Chicago, IL 1601 Pratt Avenue					033	
Elk Grove Village, IL					2,686	
Zik Giove vinage, iL					2,000	

Description	Gross Amou Carried at Cl Land		Total (c) (d)	Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Which Depreciation In Latest Income Statement Is Computed
1 North Bridge Street							
Gary, IN	1,183	4,189	5,372	(789)	1967/1989/1994	1999	(f)
588 Lakeview Parkway							
Vernon Hills, IL	354	1,466	1,820	(76)	1990	2004	(f)
	1,556		1,556	0	2005		(f)

400 Fort Hill Drive							
Naperville, IL							
2638 126th Street							
Chicago, IL	369	1,521	1,890	(44)	1953/1980	2005	(f)
1100 Lakeside Drive							
Gurnee, IL	406	1,639	2,045	(39)	1989/2003	2005	(f)
Land held for							
development:							
1000 D D .							
1800 Bruning Drive	226		006				
Itasca, IL	806		806				
Caterpiller Land							
Joliet, IL	3,579		3,579				
14.78 Acres Diehl Road							
Naperville, IL	3,185		3,185				
Prime Vacant Land							
East Chicago, IL	118		118				
5201-5235 International							
Drive							
Cudahy, WI	1,474		1,474				
BNSF Montgomery Land							
Montgomery, IL	5,186		5,186				
Prime Land held for							
development							
Aurora, IL	9,474		9,474				
Prime Land held for							
development							
Batavia, IL	2,464		2,464				
Prime Land held for							
development							
DeKalb, IL	1,199		1,199				
Creek Side Land held for							
development							
Oak Creek, WI	1,422		1,422				
Construction in							
progress:							
First Assessed & Isliet							
First Avenue & Joliet							
Road		20.016	20.016				
McCook, IL		28,016	28,016				
1400 Busse Highway		~	~				
Elk Grove Village, IL		544	544				
DuDaga Tach Dark Dalla							
DuPage Tech Park - Pella		622	622				
West Chicago, IL 1601 Pratt Avenue		633	633				
		2606	2 696				
Elk Grove Village, IL		2,686	2,686				
			F-51				

Description	Encumbrances (e)	Initi Land	al Costs Buildings and Improvements (a)	Sul	Costs Capitalized osequent to Acquisition Buildings and Improvements	Carrying Costs (b)
Walmart Building #1 Elwood, IL					32,583	217
Walmart Building #2 Elwood, IL					21,973	223
CenterPoint Joliet Joliet, IL					13,194	167
I-94 Corridor					11,090	290
Wadsworth, IL O Hare Express North - Infrastructure						
Chicago, IL O Hare Express North - Building					6,455	648
#3 Chicago, IL CenterPoint Intermodal Center					6,276	97
Elwood, IL California & I-290 Expressway					43,475	26,216
Chicago, IL					1,680	730
Offices of the management						
Company Oak Brook, IL		675	15,918	137	(6,645)	513
Subtotals	53,057	210,735	729,905	(1,361)	319,722	36,713
Real estate held for sale:						
Summit Cold Storage McCook, IL					2,190	10
Koch Foods McCook, IL					2,303	61
Retail land parcel McCook, IL					10,924	
BNSF Naperville Land Naperville, IL		13,777		(11,897)		
5990 Touhy Avenue Niles, IL		2,047	8,509	` , ,	4,288	
11601 South Central Alsip, IL		1,071	4,285	53	1,668	
6600 River Road Hodgkins, IL		2,640	10,562	47	,	
1999 N. Ruby Franklin Park, IL		402		3	2,870 327	
28618 N. Ballard			1,615			
Lake Forest, IL		469	1,943	3	116	Life Upon
	ross Amounts at Which nrried at Close of Period Buildings an d Improvemen	d ts Total	Accumulate (c) (d) Depreciatio		f Date	Which Depreciation In Latest Income Statement Is Computed

32,800

22,196

32,800

22,196

Wallmart Building #1 Elwood, IL

Wallmart Building #2 Elwood, IL

CenterPoint Joliet							
Joliet, IL		13,361	13,361				
I-94 Corridor Wadsworth, IL		11,380	11,380				
O Hare Express North -		11,360	11,500				
Chicago, IL		7,103	7,103				
O Hare Express North -		, , , , ,	, , , , ,				
Chicago, IL		6,373	6,373				
CenterPoint Intermodal							
Elwood, IL		69,691	69,691				
California & I-290		2,410	2,410				
Chicago, IL		2,410	2,410				
Offices of the							
management							
Company Oak Brook,							
IL	812	9,786	10,598	(5,804)			(f)
Subtotals	209,374	1,086,340	1,295,714	(159,762)			
Subtotals	209,374	1,060,340	1,293,714	(139,702)			
Real estate held for							
sale:							
Summit Cold Storage		2 200	2 200				
McCook, IL Koch Foods		2,200	2,200				
McCook, IL		2,364	2,364				
Retail land parcel		2,50	2,50				
McCook, IL		10,924	10,924				
BNSF Naperville Land							
Naperville, IL	1,880		1,880				
5990 Touhy Avenue Niles, IL	2,047	12,797	14,844	(3,761)	1957	1993	(f)
11601 South Central	2,047	12,797	14,844	(3,701)	1957	1993	(f)
Alsip, IL	1,124	5,953	7,077	(1,846)	1971	1995	(f)
6600 River Road	,	- ,	,,,,,,	()/			()
Hodgkins, IL	2,687	13,432	16,119	(3,328)	Unknown	1996	(f)
1999 N. Ruby							
Franklin Park, IL	405	1,942	2,347	(431)	1962	1998	(f)
28618 N. Ballard Lake Forest, IL	472	2,059	2,531	(477)	1984	1998	(f)
Lake Pulest, IL	414	2,039	2,331	(477)	1704	1770	(1)
			F-52				
			Γ - 32				

				Initi	al Costs Build	ings and		Si	Costs Capitalize		
Description	Encui	mbrances (e)]	Land	Impro	ovements (a)	L	and	Buildings and Improvements		Carrying Costs (b)
3400 West Pratt											
Lincolnwood, IL				1,638		6,554		276	5,2	36	
3841 Swanson Court											
Gurnee, IL				623		2,493			10)3	
1725 Delaney											
Gurnee, IL				197		789			:	37	
9901 South 78th Avenue											
Hickory Hills, IL				752		3,009		5	10	01	
4507 Columbia Avenue											
Hammond, IN				574		2,342				56	
4531 Columbia Avenue									_		
Hammond, IN				606		2,436			1	12	
4211 Madison		a.	`	700		2.155			,	20	
Hillside, IL		(h	.)	788		3,155				29	
1543 Abbott Drive				325		1 201				30	
Wheeling, IL 1455 Sequoia				323		1,301				50	
Aurora, IL				1,964		7,858				30	
4300 Madison				1,904		7,030			•	30	
Hillside, IL		(i)		1,246		4,986			20	91	
2200 Busse Road		(1)	,	1,240		4,960			3:	91	
Elk Grove Village, IL				4,491		14,045			10	55	
200 Scott Street				7,771		14,043			1,	33	
Elk Grove Village, IL				141		564				90	
230 Scott Street				171		304				, ,	
Elk Grove Village, IL				201		805			2	29	
Ziii Giove village, IZ				201		003			2.		
Subtotals				33,952		77,251		(11,510)	31,3:	55	71
Totals	\$	53,057	\$	244,687	\$	807,156	\$	(12,871)	\$ 351,0	77	\$ 36,784

Description	0 - 0 - 0 - 0	nts at Which lose of Period Buildings and Improvements	Total (c) (d)	Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction	Date Acquired	Life Upon Which Depreciation In Latest Income Statement Is Computed
3400 West Pratt Lincolnwood, IL	1,914	11,840	13,754	(1,674)	1955	1999	(f)
3841 Swanson Court	1,914	11,040	15,754	(1,074)	1933	1999	(1)
Gurnee, IL	623	2,596	3,219	(442)	1978	2000	(f)
1725 Delaney							
Gurnee, IL	197	826	1,023	(45)	1960	2003	(f)
9901 South 78th Avenue							
Hickory Hills, IL	757	3,110	3,867	(128)	1981	2004	(f)
4507 Columbia							
Avenue Hammond, IN	574	2,408	2,982	(56)	1940	2004	(f)
4531 Columbia Avenue		,	ŕ				
Hammond, IN	606	2,548	3,154	(58)	1930-1950	2004	(f)
4211 Madison							
Hillside, IL	788	3,184	3,972	(126)	1977	2004	(f)
1543 Abbott Drive Wheeling, IL	325	1,331	1,656	(32)	1989	2004	(f)

Edgar Filing: CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-K

1455 Sequoia							
Aurora, IL	1,964	7,888	9,852	(188)	2000	2004	(f)
4300 Madison							
Hillside, IL	1,246	5,377	6,623	(187)	1980	2004	(f)
2200 Busse Road							
Elk Grove Village, IL	4,491	14,210	18,701	(485)	1972/1987	2004	(f)
200 Scott Street							
Elk Grove Village, IL	141	654	795	(21)	1979	2004	(f)
230 Scott Street							
Elk Grove Village, IL	201	1,034	1,235	(29)	1962/1966	2004	(f)
Subtotals	22,442	108,677	131,119	(13,314)			
Totals	\$ 231,816	\$ 1,195,017 \$	1,426,833 \$	(173,076)			

Notes to Schedule III:

- (a) Initial cost for each respective property is the total acquisition costs associated with its purchase.
- (b) Carrying costs consist of capitalized construction period interest, taxes and insurance.
- (c) At December 31, 2005, the aggregate cost of land and buildings and equipment for Federal income tax purposes was approximately \$1,118,107.
- (d) Reconciliation of real estate and accumulated depreciation, including assets held for development:

Reconciliation of Real Estate

		Year I	Ended December 31,	
	2005		2004	2003
Balance at the beginning of year	\$ 1,530,912	\$	1,350,045	\$ 1,267,741
Additions	327,301		393,922	193,451
Impairment of asset	(1,153)		(937)	
Net build-to-suit for sale activity	(12,836)			
Dispositions and asset write-off	(417,391)		(212,118)	(111,147)
Balance at close of year	\$ 1,426,833	\$	1,530,912	\$ 1,350,045

Reconciliation of Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization

		Year En	ded December 31,	
	2005		2004	2003
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 190,761	\$	170,756	\$ 143,587
Depreciation and amortization	34,366		36,322	33,512
Dispositions and asset write-off	(52,051)		(16,317)	(6,343)
Balance at close of year	\$ 173,076	\$	190,761	\$ 170,756

(e) See description of encumbrances in Note 9 to Consolidated Financial Statements.

(f) Depreciation is computed based upon the following estimated lives:

Buildings, improve	ements and carrying costs	31.5 to 40 years
Land improvement		15 years
Furniture, fixtures	and equipment	4 to 15 years
(g)	These four properties c	ollateralize \$11,820 of mortgage notes payable.
(h)	These eight properties	collateralize \$13,664 of mortgage notes payable.
(i)	These six properties c	ollateralize \$14,234 of mortgage notes payable.
(j)	These two properties	collateralize \$6,156 of mortgage notes payable.
		F-54

SCHEDULE IV

CENTERPOINT PROPERTIES TRUST AND SUBSIDIARIES

MORTGAGE LOANS ON REAL ESTATE

(Dollars in thousands)

Interest Rate	Final Maturity Date	Periodic Payment Terms	Balloon Payment	Prior Liens			A	mount of	Principal Amounts of Loans Subject to Delinquent Principal or Interest
11.0%	06/30/2006	30(a)	\$ 3,318	none	\$	3,318	\$	3,318	none
6.0%	03/01/2006	39(b)	5,880	none		5,909		5,909	none
5.0%	02/28/2006	16(a)	3,800	none		3,800		3,800	none
8.0%	12/01/2006	13(a)	2,000	none		2,000		2,000	none
					\$	15,027	\$	15,027	
	11.0% 6.0% 5.0%	Interest Rate Maturity Date 11.0% 06/30/2006 6.0% 03/01/2006 5.0% 02/28/2006	Interest Rate Maturity Date Payment Terms 11.0% 06/30/2006 30(a) 6.0% 03/01/2006 39(b) 5.0% 02/28/2006 16(a)	Interest Rate Maturity Date Payment Terms Balloon Payment 11.0% 06/30/2006 30(a) \$ 3,318 6.0% 03/01/2006 39(b) 5,880 5.0% 02/28/2006 16(a) 3,800	Interest Rate Maturity Date Payment Terms Balloon Payment Prior Liens 11.0% 06/30/2006 30(a) \$ 3,318 none 6.0% 03/01/2006 39(b) 5,880 none 5.0% 02/28/2006 16(a) 3,800 none	Interest Rate Maturity Date Payment Terms Balloon Payment Prior Liens Face of Maturity Payment 11.0% 06/30/2006 30(a) \$ 3,318 none \$ 6.0% 03/01/2006 39(b) 5,880 none 5.0% 02/28/2006 16(a) 3,800 none 8.0% 12/01/2006 13(a) 2,000 none	Interest Rate Maturity Date Payment Terms Balloon Payment Liens Prior Liens Face Amount of Mortgages 11.0% 06/30/2006 30(a) \$ 3,318 none \$ 3,318 6.0% 03/01/2006 39(b) 5,880 none 5,909 5.0% 02/28/2006 16(a) 3,800 none 3,800 8.0% 12/01/2006 13(a) 2,000 none 2,000	Interest Rate Maturity Date Payment Terms Balloon Payment Liens Prior Liens Face Amount of Mortgages Amount of Mortgages 11.0% 06/30/2006 30(a) \$ 3,318 none \$ 3,318 \$ 6.0% 03/01/2006 39(b) 5,880 none 5,909 5.0% 02/28/2006 16(a) 3,800 none 3,800 8.0% 12/01/2006 13(a) 2,000 none 2,000	Interest Rate Maturity Date Payment Terms Balloon Payment Prior Liens Face Amount of Mortgages Amount of Mortgages (c) 11.0% 06/30/2006 30(a) \$ 3,318 none \$ 3,318 \$ 3,318 6.0% 03/01/2006 39(b) 5,880 none 5,909 5,909 5.0% 02/28/2006 16(a) 3,800 none 3,800 3,800 8.0% 12/01/2006 13(a) 2,000 none 2,000 2,000

(a) Amount represents a monthly payment of interest only.

(b) Amount represents a monthly payment of principal and interest.

(c) Reconciliation of mortgage notes receivable:

	2005	Year Ended December 31, 2004			2003	
Balance at the beginning of year	\$ 75,089	\$	63,084	\$	30,287	
Additions during period						
New mortgage loans	5,800		73,434		86,341	
Interest added to principal	119		672		1,188	
Developer notes receivable					14,994	
Deductions during period						
Paydowns	(65,981)		(42,195)		(69,523)	
Forclosures			(4,912)			
Developer notes receivable			(14,994)			
Write-off					(203)	
Balance at close of year	\$ 15,027	\$	75,089	\$	63,084	